Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.misc

2000-01 - 2000-02

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2000-01-01 through 2000-02-29

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.misc.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 5,606

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <386EF97A.44B64B2C@inreach.com>
Source record: 123481

get too close to the paint thinner Barry? ;')

Barry OGrady wrote:
>
> Don't bother. Meters are old fashioned.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
> New misc and Christianity information added
> Frequency page updated

--
Please remove the word 'NOREPLY' from the email address, sorry for the
inconvenience, but it helps keep down the spam.

2. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84le7r$ou0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Followup set to just rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <386D6602.DFE7AAE8@fgi.net>, Arthur Unwin <aunwin@fgi.net> wrote:
>As for being a "real ham"
>would you suggest that any engineer must work on an
>assembly line until the task at hand can be done
>without thought, automatic, before he be allowed to
>have a P.E.licence i.e. to make him a 'real" engineer?

No, but I would suggest that he know and be able to do mathematics
without thought (or calculator) to make him a 'real' engineer. Math
is the fundamental "mode" of all the sciences; it transcends language
barriers -- any two engineers any where in the world can communicate
their engineering ideas via math.

Do you see an analogy?

73, Jeff KH6O/6

3. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <386E9877.C703C7C0@csnsys.com>
Source record: 123531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.

Jippy

big h wrote:
>
> i worked to dam hard for my amateur radio lic. to have a bunch of cb,rs
> with a lot of big 10/4s,good/buddys, 10/20s and a amateur gen.class
> holder that a dit or a dash or,?,- stands for the arrl sold us down a
> deep river theres to much crap all ready on hf,vhf fcc.cant,control 11
> metters as it is now they that groupe to join me hi hi hi hi hi i will
> sell all my hf gear and watch the fcc,arrl try to police these CBRS
> thanks henry farruox [kk5uq]
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

4. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Lewis <aa4pb@erols.com>
Message-ID: <84m58v$m5n$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> >1) Who said "broadcasts" of the type W1AW is doing are not legal?
Not
> >the FCC regs.
>
> According to 46CFR97.3:
> "(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the
> general public, either direct or relayed."
>
Ah, but W1AW's transmissions are not intended for reception by the general public. Their transmissions are intended for those with interests in ham radio and Morse code and that is permitted by FCC regs. If you're contending that the general public is interested in learning Morse code then perhaps we should contact NBC and see if we can get 20 wpm code practice on prime time.

5. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <84m5fl$ui8$1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 123533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <386E9877.C703C7C0@csnsys.com>, Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote:
>Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
>before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
>hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
>demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
>bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
>wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
>or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
>turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
>they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
>
>Jippy
>
Most hams are so disagreeable that young people don't
want to be bothered. I got my first ticket about 12 years
ago, went to the local club, found mostly retired people who
did not not even want to talk to anyone under 65. So I keep
my ticket and don't use it.

--
If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail.
Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm.
Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development.
Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI

6. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <386E99CF.2139081E@csnsys.com>
Source record: 123534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
>
> The ARRL has always been against any code requirement regardless of
> what they say.

I wonder what ARRL stands for in his world??

Bob, Life Member, American Radio Relay League

7. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B493DCEF.ADD%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

in article 84jfnk$a67$1@news.hawaii.edu, Jeffrey Herman at
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu wrote on 12.31.1999 15.54:

>
>> I love CW too but maybe you havn't heard of PSK31.
>
> PSK31 uses minimal equipment?

WHAT IS PSK31??
Phase Shift Keying, 31??

N0RZU

8. Re: Kids Day in the news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <386EABF5.F32E1230@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123536

So.... what was the story? :)

Tim ka8ddz

don@kb9vek.ham wrote:

> Hey, NBC just ran a story to close this evening's news about Kids Day. Good
> story and good publicity!
>
> don

9. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <386E9E86.4E07C000@csnsys.com>
Source record: 123537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I will admit that the FCC had an agenda of maintaining or better yet,
reducing its involvement in amateur radio, but that was it's only
"internal" input to the restructuring. The ARRL wasn't the only input
they considered and it was (so they claim) only considered as one of
some 2200 comments received on the NPRM. (Someone ought to use FOIA to
get them and publish them, before the FCC destroys the comments) The
arrogant ARRL is always "surprised" when someone doesn't follow their
suggestions.

Bob, WB6JPI, Advanced (whatever that is), Life Member ARRL

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
>
> Bob wrote
> >BTW..the FCC seldom comes up with ANYTHING on their own..they respond to
> >requests from people. I have submitted several requests for changes to
> >the rules and have received considerable consideration and response from
> >the FCC. This restructuring was a direct result of the ARRL submission
> >and the numerous comments surrounding the proposed action. The FCC
> >didn't just think it up. So if you want something changed, don't tell
> >me, tell them. There is an established procedure for submittal, but it
> >is easily accomplished.
> >Bob
>
> In all honesty, the ARRL was caught off-guard by the FCC proposal to
> restructure and was at first unprepared to respond. What the FCC finally
> came out with cannot be laid at the ARRL's doorstep... the ARRL's response
> and proposal came several weeks after the initial ARRL proposal. MANY,
> MANY, other groups and individuals were pushing for dropping the code
> altogether (not unilaterally feasible with international treaty) and many
> were pushing for Automatic upgrades of existing Tech and advanced licenses.
> Looking over the ARRL's proposal to the FCC and the FCC's final document,
> I'd say the FCC pretty much brushed aside most of the ARRL's proposals
> (tougher written exams, no multiple-guess CW exams, 4 license classes, and 5
> & 12 wpm CW requirements)
>
> The FCC final decision was their own, NOT the ARRL's and bears little
> resemblance to the ARRL's counterproposal.
>
> What's needed now from US Amateurs is not condemnation... The FCC won't
> change it's mind just because a bunch of us are pissed off! Rather, work
> with the new licensees, and encourage them to explore the many facets of
> Amateur Radio.... I watched many a VE quit after the introduction of

> No-Code licenses...they changed NOTHING!!! (..many dropped out of the ARRL
> after no-code licensing, even though the League was the LAST organization to
> get on the bandwagon.. they were afraid of being left behind) What they did
> was give up one of the best chances they could have ever hoped for of
> aquainting new hams with appropriate on-air behavior, with the pleasure of
> code and Ham traditions and lore. By turning their back on no-coders last
> time around many more experienced hams fostered the very operating practices
> they were so worried about by not being there as elmers! Get involved with
> your beloved hobby of ham radio with OTHERS... it's not something to be
> worshipped in a corner of your basement shack!
>
> A last thought.... the bureaucrats and businesses who'd like to auction off
> or buy our spectrum must dance with absolute glee each time we rip ourselves
> apart over the "details"... One of these days in the middle of one of our
> infights over the "small stuff" we're gonna' turn around and find that our
> bands have been auctioned off while we were preoccupied with each other!

10. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <386E9E1E.75EFF24C@inreach.com>
Source record: 123538

There it is folks, a perfect example of the new trash that the new
license class is letting in! they warned us, they told us so, they were
right. It sure didnt take long! Go ahead and gloat.

Minnesota Murphy wrote:
>
> I'll worry about my license over 3 watts on a mobile, when they start
> taking down the 100 to 10,000 watt base stations around the country.
> and don't tell me there doing it, I read how few they are just giving
> tickets to.
> On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 18:15:35 -0000, "Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com> wrote:
>
> >Do you really think so little of your ham license that you're willing
> >to compromise it this way?
> >The only thing that separates Amateur radio for the anarchy of
> >CB is respect for the rules.
> >
> >Steve
> >
> >
> >Minnesota Murphy <Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote in message
> >news:ucar6s0rvtlj4l5kfmnn51j3al831rcsbm@4ax.com...
> >> I am looking for the modification of Radio Shacks HTX 10, or even the
> >> schematic.
> >> I want to get into to the 11 meter band (CB).
> >> Any help would be appreciated
> >>
> >> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
> >
>
> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"

--
Please remove the word 'NOREPLY' from the email address, sorry for the
inconvenience, but it helps keep down the spam.

11. 3898 THUGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Nuscomb <radiowatch@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <#pXJLnLV$GA.290@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 123539

Keep the complaints on the 3898 THUGS
going to Riley.
One station in Mississippi reported
splatter from these guys going 45 khz to
either side of 3898 khz.

12. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <386EADBA.45DF6124@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123540

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> beachmaster wrote:

> >
> > What a CROCK. "Save your Dixie Cups Boys - The South will rise
> > again"> NOT IN THIS MILLENNIUM.
>
> It seems likely you're right. After all, there are only 364 more
> days left in this millennium.

Whoa, my calculations were way off, I thought we had 3649 days left in this millennium.

Tim ka8ddz

13. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <386EB74D.CD7F4703@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123541

Tim wrote:

> >
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> > > beachmaster wrote:
> > > > >>> What a CROCK. "Save your Dixie Cups Boys - The South will rise
> > > > again"> NOT IN THIS MILLENNIUM.
> > > It seems likely you're right. After all, there are only 364 more
> > > days left in this millennium.
> > Whoa, my calculations were way off, I thought we had 3649 days left in
> > this millennium.

Ooops I guess were counting from 2001 eh? :)

> Tim ka8ddz
> >

14. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: blueglow <blueglow@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <84ma79$qu3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A great singer once wrote 'these times are a changin'.
I think it is wonderful !!! Something needed to happen to keep the average age of the ham operator under 65. Any change is better than no change. 'No change' just gets old and goes away.
People's lives are faster, busier, more complicated than ever. People's attention span is about a day on any hobby. A hobby where it takes months of studying to achieve something is not going to last anywhere in this world today....

I'm not saying I agree with all this but let's face it, it is the way it is. Just think how much time you spend on the internet now that used to be on the airwaves. Everyone is guilty. We just need to deal with it and be happy.

Forget the call sign worries. Be glad to be a ham.

Blueglow
KG4FDR

15. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <11yb4.511$197.52150@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 123543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
The new millennium doesn't start until 1/1/2001. Ask the US Naval
Observatory. All we did today is enter into the year 2000.
--
Jack Malone
Hereford, AZ
malonej@c2i2.com

"beachmaster" <beachmaster@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:84m632$odv$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <386E8FB9.D6A84B2D@innocent.com>,
> Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> > beachmaster wrote:
> > >
> > [...]
> > > What a CROCK. "Save your Dixie Cups Boys - The South will rise
> > > again"> NOT IN THIS MILLENNIUM.
> > >
> > [...]
> >
> > It seems likely you're right. After all, there are only 364 more
> > days left in this millennium.
> >
> OR THE NEXT !
>
> > Happy Internetting,
> >
> > --
> >
> > Kevin Alfred Strom.
> >
> > News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
```

16. FS: PK-232, multi mode TNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <dan.jaume@usa.net>
Message-ID: <84m9nk$1m88$1@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.packet

FS: PK-232 TNC, multi mode,

very clean (non smoker), cables (computer to TNC, TNC to radio), manual.
This TNC works well.

$75.00, shipped UPS in the lower 48 US States

Dan 561 286-7241

17. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EAE7F.D5A7C0CF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
> I don't have any need to "prove my manhood" by risking my life over
> someting replacable.

Well then, please have your car shipped to my address.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

18. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EB84B.4C85B064@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Where can we verify the latter part of your statement, Dick?
>
> Can you think of any OTHER reason why they would have done
> so?

I suspected as much - your comments are pure speculation.
It could be that they simply want to slow the growth of
the Spanish ARS.

Unlike you, Dick, I tend not to speculate. Where is the
Spanish government's officially stated reasons for what
they did? Do they have a web site like the FCC?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

19. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <84mdbj$28h$1@ionews.ionet.net>
Source record: 123547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It sounds like many old Hams would prefer the Amateur band getting devoured
by commercial wireless so their "good name" will be preseved forever. To
heck to those who would like to enjoy it in a different way.

KD5IOU

Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote in message
news:386E9877.C703C7C0@csnsys.com...
> Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
> before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
> hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
> demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
> bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
> wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
> or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
> turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
> they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
>
> Jippy

20. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <386EBA7A.F1612476@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> > Now we finally are recognizing the pointlessness of requiring advanced
> > CW simply to access phone HF, and of course the old farts will whine
> > about the doom and gloom that it will bring.
>
> "We" are, huh? And everyone who holds a differing opinion is an "old
> fart". You are just as I supposed you to be.

And just what is that?

>
> > As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys that
> > cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license > that they hold.
>
> Dave, there is no moral nor any legal requirement for me to accept
> anyone for who they are or what they are. I never had any problem
> accepting anyone because of amateur radio license class. I'm not, on
> the other hand, obligated to like someone.

No, but you don't have the right to put someone down because they don't
share your viewpoint of how things should be.

There's a difference between "liking" someone, and tolerating someone.
No one is suggesting that you give new a 5 WPM extra a big Barney hug,
but he is entitled to be respected as a person in spit of the fact that
he didn't have to endure the 20 WPM hazing ritual.

>
> I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
> > stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel. More
> > room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress, and
> > who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.
>
> Again, anyone who holds a differing opinion from yours is a stuffed
> shirt who should leave amateur radio and leave room for "us"--those who
> "don't have a close minded, bigoted and predjudicial (sic) attitude".

Anyone who is not willing to accept that things change, and be able to
move on, without sour grapes, and a refusal to show "good will" to
newcomers, IS a stuffed shirt. Again, you have NO right to prejudge
anyone, based simply on their perceived "worthiness" (in your opinion).
If they pass the required FCC tests, they are entitled to the privileges

as hams, as set forth by the FCC.

Bottom line: your opinion is irrelevant. The law is the law.

>
> Neither a license class nor a callsign will make you other than you are.

An open minded individual who is not afraid of change, and who welcomes
the modernization of the ham radio service, and who has little sympathy
for those who refuse to accept it.

>
> Strive to be mediocre!

Seems to have worked well for you.

Come back later when you can get past your opinions and look at the
issues objectively.

Dave

21. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386EAFF2.60B2@imt.net>
Source record: 123549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cecil,the post said the requirements were dumbed down,not the
people.If you still have trouble understanding this concept feel free to
call me collect weekday afternoons and I will try to tutor you a little.

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Perhaps you are ignorant. How does not knowing something effect one's
> > IQ. Having a high IQ does not grant one all knowledge.
>
> If not knowing Morse code can dumb a person down, it must affect either
> his IQ or knowledge. Just think how brilliant Einstein would have been
> if only he had known Morse code.
>
> OTOH, it seems logical to me that dropping the Morse code requirement
> to 5wpm will have absolutely no effect on anybody's IQ or knowledge,
> i.e. no dumbing down is possible because of it.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

22. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386EB08E.69E9@imt.net>
Source record: 123550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> AB7RS wrote:
> >
> > Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
> > tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
> > to take the 2 week course twice.
>
> Come on now - no washouts?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

That is what I said,please read the post carefully again and if you
still don't understand call me collect weekday afternoons for some
tutoring.

23. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <2Zyb4.2788$Vr.48255@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q wrote
>I suppose if *I* had YOUR gift of mindreading - and knew for a FACT
>exactly what and attacker/mugger wanted or would "settle for", you
>might be right -

Nobody is a mind reader. I go with the odds. The odds are that you will get shot and possibly killed if you resist an armed robber who has the drop on you. Most robbers want your property, not your life. Shooting you just complicates things for them...

>an elderly couple 2 roads over from us recently
>made that assumption when a deviant teenager demanded their car - and
>then stabbed BOTH of them to death...

For every robbery where someone is injured of killed, there are hundreds of robberies where there is no injury or death. The odds for injury or death are dramatically increased if you resist...

Just last week (in Phoenix) a woman customer at a video store (for some unknown reason) decided to resist an armed robber. She was shot in the head and killed with a shotgun for her trouble. She left a husband and several kids. All the other employees and customers were unhurt...

>but will resist with ALL means at my disposal your
>efforts to make MY choice FOR me! PERIOD!

Gary. Stop and read this carefully.

I do not advocate unarming the public.

I own several guns of my own. This discussion is about whether it is wise to resist an armed robber aiming a gun on you for principle alone. Cecil would die rather than be robbed. I would prefer to live and seek vengeance another day...

24. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3Zyb4.2789$Vr.48255@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
>maintain any self-esteem at all?

Jones wrote
>> Dead people have little self-esteem...

>Slaves have less.

Turning over your car to a car-jacker with a gun pointed at you is
quite different from being a slave...

25. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <4Zyb4.2790$Vr.48255@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:

Post 1:
>I'm old, Aaron, and most of my life is behind me. I'd rather die
>with my boots on than in a nursing home.

Post 2:
>I'm old, single, and live alone.

Post 3:
>If you're Texas Mensa, old and alone...

Gosh Cecil, you're only 61. You may just be clinically depressed.
Maybe if you got help, your life would improve...

26. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <386EBEED.9202BACF@csnsys.com>
Source record: 123554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Realize YOU can change the rules...anytime...all you have to do is
submit your request to the FCC in the proper format and if it makes
sense, they will consider it and issue a public request for comments.
The FCC seldom initiates any changes to the rules, they are all
triggered by requests. There is also the path of appeal. I don't know
the procedure, but I will wager that an appeal will be made on this
restructuring order. I don't think it will go anywhere since there was
plenty of time to comment on the NPRM..but you will have an oportunity
to bitch to them (in a constructive manner)

Bob

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
>
> Radioguy wrote
> >I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> >regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
> >
> >I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
> >group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
> >social security number or zip code perhaps.
>
> Oh, no... another one wants the rules changed after they're in place....
> You're about a year or two late on the issue. Where've you been... holed up
> in your shack? The issue has been the subject of much discussion for over a
> year now... it's a BIT late to make proposals NOW!

27. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386EB1CF.1C20@imt.net>
Source record: 123555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How about the same call sequence as today but with a /weeney at the end?

28. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <386EBCDA.E9CFED11@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dave Hall (CB "Sandbagger) wrote:
> >
> >
>
> > The real joke here is that you won't be able to really tell who the
> > so-called "real" hams are after a while, so you might some day end up
> > finding out that your best ham buddy never took above a 5 WPM test.
>
> Really? AND just how long do you think it took to learn
> YOUR feelings about code? You code haters just can't hold
> your "victim" feelings back. You going underground or
> something?

I rarely talk about my feelings for code on the air unless someone asks.
I have much more to do than to center my conversations around the
"dreaded" code".

>
> What
> > will you do then?
>
> You might be surprised to learn that I have a number of ham
> acquaintences who are NCT's, -almost all of them who tested
> with my VE team and who wouldn't have tested at all for some
> time if I had not made it available. And we get on quite
> well. They seem quite satisfied with their chosen spot in
> the scheme. It's you whining magpies that are disgusting.

The NCT was the result of "whining magpies". Guys like you didn't like
the idea then, so i find it rather curious that you are willing to
accept it now.

I guess it was easy to give someone a NCT knowing full well that you
could hide away on your HF CW segments, and not have to deal with those
"lower class hams". Now it's a little different. There just might be a
bunch of guys running 5 WPM on the extra portion now, and that would
really bore you "elitists". What are you going to do then? Will you
welcome them and try to help them build speed? Or will you shun them,
since they didn't pass the 20 WPM test? If they manage to work their way
up to 20 WPM, will you still think that they are not "real" hams?

Dave

29. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386EB2A6.959@imt.net>
Source record: 123557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Practice what you preach.

Marv Luse wrote:
>
> Hey guys,
>
> This rather worthless and futile thread is being cross-posted to the
> following groups:
>
> rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.shortwave
>
> Could we possibly confine it to the policy and misc groups? All you
> have to do is edit the address list when you reply and delete the
> inappropriate groups. After awhile this crap should then disappear from
> antennas, equipment, etc.
>
> Thanks and 73,
>
> Marv
> AB0IO

30. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EBF3C.698EF788@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Gosh Cecil, you're only 61.

Yep, and that's old. I'm past the average life expectancy
at the turn of the last century.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

31. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EBDF2.CB596127@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123559
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
>
> Cecil,the post said the requirements were dumbed down,not the
> people.If you still have trouble understanding this concept feel free to
> call me collect weekday afternoons and I will try to tutor you a little.

I'm glad you finally admitted that the people are not being dumbed down.
And if the people are not being dumbed down, exactly what is the problem?
Who cares about the requirements as long as the people are not dumbed
down?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

32. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <386EBDDD.192C4B5E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David Little wrote:
>
> I don't think it will affect me... I will keep on repairing the gear of the
> coded
> ticket holders who can pound cw, but aren't as successful with sand.
>
> Oh, and I will do that with the extra burden of being a no-code tech,
> overcoming social adversity to prove I am smart enough to pound sand.
>

I do the same thing. I repair radios quite regularly for the "theory challenged" extra class and other hams. I find it shocking that these guys have such little grasp of RF theory, yet have the cajones to put down otherwise technically capable people simply because they haven't mastered an obsolete skill.

Dave

33. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386EB3FD.D86@imt.net>
Source record: 123561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What exactly is that different way,I'm all ears.

Volfy wrote:
>
> It sounds like many old Hams would prefer the Amateur band getting devoured
> by commercial wireless so their "good name" will be preseved forever. To
> heck to those who would like to enjoy it in a different way.

34. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Edward L. Dowdy" <elIHATESPAMdowdy@net66.com>
Message-ID: <Lmzb4.17314$PZ3.903413@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 123562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
The restructuring will be a boon to amateur radio. All of the arguments will
soon be forgotten.

Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:386EBDDD.192C4B5E@worldlynx.net...
> David Little wrote:
> >
> > I don't think it will affect me... I will keep on repairing the gear of
the
> > coded
> > ticket holders who can pound cw, but aren't as successful with sand.
> >
> > Oh, and I will do that with the extra burden of being a no-code tech,
> > overcoming social adversity to prove I am smart enough to pound sand.
> >
>
> I do the same thing. I repair radios quite regularly for the "theory
> challenged" extra class and other hams. I find it shocking that these
> guys have such little grasp of RF theory, yet have the cajones to put
> down otherwise technically capable people simply because they haven't
> mastered an obsolete skill.
>
> Dave
```

35. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EC0FF.2CAF706F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Cecil would die rather than be robbed.

Cecil believes that the evil person should do the suffering.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

36. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EC277.6E7D4AC2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
> >maintain any self-esteem at all?
>
> Jones wrote
> >> Dead people have little self-esteem...
>
> >Slaves have less.
>
> Turning over your car to a car-jacker with a gun pointed at you is
> quite different from being a slave...

Not really. He has, at least, momentarily enslaved you. A guy with
a knife told me to get out of my S10 one night in a skating rink
parking lot. I got out with a 9mm in my hand. You think I should
have just given him my truck?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

37. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EC428.69E23E87@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123565
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
AB7RS wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > AB7RS wrote:
> > >
> > > Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
> > > tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
> > > to take the 2 week course twice.
> >
> > Come on now - no washouts?
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> That is what I said,please read the post carefully again and if you
> still don't understand call me collect weekday afternoons for some
> tutoring.

I have talked to a ham who taught code in the army during WWII (that's
somewhere). He had more than a 50% washout rate in his classes. So I
simply do not believe your statement to be true.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

38. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <CEzb4.704$L3.18172@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

George Conklin wrote in message <84m5fl$ui8$1@nina.pagesz.net>...
>In article <386E9877.C703C7C0@csnsys.com>, Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote:
>>Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
>>before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
>>hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
>>demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
>>bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
>>wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
>>or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
>>turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
>>they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
>>
>>Jippy
>>
> Most hams are so disagreeable that young people don't
>want to be bothered. I got my first ticket about 12 years
>ago, went to the local club, found mostly retired people who
>did not not even want to talk to anyone under 65. So I keep
>my ticket and don't use it.
>
>
>
>--
># If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail. #
># Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm. #
># Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development. #
># Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI #

Just look around at the next Hamfest. If that's a cross section of the US
male population I'll eat all my Collins gear. Not to mention a near total
lack of female participants. Ham radio is so near the toilet you can smell
it over the radio.

39. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-449E31.22450401012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 123567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <ddtb4.2765$Vr.47543@news.ntr.net>, "Aaron Jones"
<no@mail.com> wrote:

> David Stinson wrote
> >If a robber gets the drop on you, there's nothing you can do.
> >But if you use your brain...
>
> Exactly. If he wants your property, and has the gun on you, it would be
> smart to give it to him. Most of the time that's all he wants, and will
> leave you with your life.
>

That used to be true but more and more often the robbery victims are
killed anyway.

The trick is knowing when to stand up and when to shut up.

40. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <386ECFCE.4BC27229@csnsys.com>
Source record: 123568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is probably true, but coupled with all of the public service we are
not doing and all of the help to the neighbor communication we shun
because we piss them off with our TVI, we should be able to impress
someone that a trained? bunch of nerds are necessary for the public
survival. Go any better survival plan?

Bob

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Bob wrote:
> >
> > Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
> > before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
> > hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
> > demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
> > bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
> > wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
> > or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
> > turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
> > they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
> >
>
> So what makes you think this restructuring saves a single
> hz of ham radio spectrum? When the commercial demand rises
> to a certain level, any ham radio UHF/VHF/SHF spectrum not
> committed to ham radio via international treaty WILL be
> withdrawn and refarmed. Bet on it. And even that allotted to
> hams under international treaty can be reallocated if need
> is such that FCC decided to take an exception to the treaty
>
> So if you believe that this change solves anything, time to
> rethink the whole situation. No realistically conceivable
> added numbers of new hams will help.

41. my 2c on license restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: sean hall <shnews@pressroom.com>
Message-ID: <s6tloedl5k2143@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123569

i'm not so sure if the new, streamlined license structure will really help the hobby much. like many hams, i didn't really WANT to learn the code, either. but, once i got going, i found it fun, a badge of honor to know, and a real accomplishment to pass the 20 wpm test. CW may be an 'antique' way of communicating, but i think it's an important filter to keep uncommitted people out of the hobby. the ham radio manufacturers will no doubt be happy. but i have nightmares of people transitioning from nowhere...or worse, 11 meters, and clogging the ham bands even more. i'm sure i'm not the only one who feels that way.

73/sean hall
W1SWH

42. Yaesu FT-50 on/off button

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Barnes <bkbarnes@edisonmission.com>
Message-ID: <dNAb4.741$Ya1.70667@nntp1-sf.pbi.net>
Source record: 123570

The FT-50 has a clever on/off button. There is some coating on the "backside" of the button that closes a circuit on the system board, thus turning the radio on and off. Well, the magic stuff on the "guts" side of the switch has come off and i can't turn on the radio. Does anybody know what I can do short of ordering a new switch button?

Thanks,
Bruce (KF6EZT)

bkbarnes@edisonmission.com

43. Remember the beer game "Bob"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <386EE6FA.64F06223@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123571

Anyone ever play the beer game "Bob" back in college? You know, you tuned in the Bob Newhart show and did a shot glass of beer every time someone in the show said "Bob".

Alas the show is long gone but the good times can still be had thanks to the Great Liberty Net, that's right they CAN serve a useful purpose and attract thousands of college aged kids to our wonderful hobby.

Rules of the Game:
First procure a 75m SSB reciever, then tune to 3950KHz on Saturday night.
A shot of beer is drank everytime you hear the word Government.
A shot of whiskey or your favorite liquor everytime you hear the word conspiracy.
An entire beer must be drunk between the first mention of Hollingsworth and the 5th time you hear his name else
you must drink a shot.

Original plans were to replace the word conspiracy with Y2K or communism however we feared alcohol poisoning could result.

Tim ka8ddz= : O

44. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B49439A80003C5930944951C@news.netdoor.com>
Source record: 123572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 14:05:19 -0600, Lloyd Davies wrote:

> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next
> Millennium.

My goodness gracious me!

I hadn't realized your were white! I'll have to re-evaluate all your past messages in light of this radical white perspective.

I am so-o-o visibly impressed!

While others struggle through college, the military and through jobs, all this is unnecessary because you're white.

I can understand why you advocate affirmative action for your and "your kind".

It's obvious to just anyone that you were born after your time. No one stepping off the sidewalk or getting out of your way because you're white. No one saying "sir" to you. No bowing and scrapping even though you've earned white (or, more likely in your case, inherited it).

Gee whiz, I bet you're even ashamed to wear your white dress and dunce cap in public (or are you above that this generation - maybe part of the Indemnity movement?).

I got this off one of my web pages; a page titled "White Trash":

When all you've got is your "race"; when you have no skills, no intelligence, nothing but the fact that you're "white".

When you play the "race card", you're the "joker".

Is it true that you're selling a replica of your "teener weiner"?

It's 2000, trash boy! For all that you're trying to convince people that it's 1984 with your Orwellian idea of "liberty".

Oh - I forgot - you're "pale". You don't have to think as do the humans who are white, black, red and yellow.

It's simply amazing that someone thinks he is anything at all just because of a melanin deficiency.

Is that why you're known as "VFP" (viciously foaming pervert)?

Gray

Gray Shockley
Entropy Maintenance Technician
gray@compcomm.com
http://www.compcomm.com/
Vicksburg, MS US

DX-398/DX-375/Select-A-Tenna/Longwire

Oh, did I tell you how I'm imprssed with your whiteness?

45. Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JM <jdm@iland.net>
Message-ID: <386EE105.710E70F6@iland.net>
Source record: 123573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it already. John N0EE

46. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <6oBb4.2794$Vr.48772@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>A guy with
>a knife told me to get out of my S10 one night in a skating rink
>parking lot. I got out with a 9mm in my hand.

A guy who brings a knife to a gunfight ain't no Mensa, that's true...

>You think I should
>have just given him my truck?

Nope. It didn't appear you were going to die. But if it was a choice of your life or your truck, well then my advice is to walk home...

47. I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <84mnn7$3sh$1@ionews.ionet.net>
Source record: 123575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I sat back and took a deep breath...

All this bickering made me draw a sharp contrast to another favorite hobby of mine - RC airplanes. In all my days of flying RC, I've never come across such hostility from the "elders" toward newcomers as I have witnessed here. Quite on the contrary, most if not all realize the future of the hobby lies in the critical task of ensuring a healthy inrush of new blood into the fold.

The RC community struggled through many of the same problems and dilemmas faced by ARS today:

1. Dwindling interest from young folks. (vs. video games, internet, sports, etc.)
2. Crowded flying fields
3. Interference from many sources (pagers, cell phones, other RCers, etc.)
4. Relatively high startup cost
5. Danger of losing frequency allocation
6. Lost art of scratch-building airplanes (vs. the ever more popular Almost Ready to Fly kits)

I have yet to hear one RC old-timer bitch at newcomers for crowding the flying fields, or not assembling an airplane from balsa sticks, or not being interested in one particular type of RC flying. I have tremendous respect for the many RC mentors who gave up whole Sundays of flying their own planes just to teach me all I'm willing to learn.

None of the RC "old pros" care one iota about being pinned any badge of distinction. They are there on Sundays to have fun. Afterall, it is just a hobby. Having fun, to most of them, include teaching youngsters and helping RC-idiot adults, not ridiculing the uninitiated. They never demanded respect; the respect came heartfelt from those they helped. Most new flyers I've known hold much higher regard for those who helped them than for the club ace acrobatic flying hotshot.

As a result, RC is prospering, even through constant evolution. The popularity has ensured that equipment costs stay low. The solidarity has successfully defended and even expanded the RC bandwidth against several FCC considerations (read threats from commercial interests).

Back to Ham Radio. The ARRL Introduction books and study guides I've come across always portrayed this same type of healthy family atmosphere. Sadly, such is not the case if one is to believe the in-fighting that goes on here and on the airwaves.

I, as a newbie, was awestruck by the "warm reception" afforded me here in these Newsgroup discussions following the FCC announcement. I got rather emotional and lashed back at those who view me more as a threat than a fellow enthusiast. I hereby apologize to those I have offended and for the bandwidth waste I've caused.

I will argue no more. My wife and I both have 5wpm and written exams for which to study. However trivial these tasks may be regarded by some, they have been set forth as the new official rules, and we intend to abide by and make the most of them.

Best Regards,
Lingo Chang
KD5IOU

48. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <386EE61C.E025DF48@csnsys.com>
Source record: 123576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This FCC action on restructuring kinda proves my point. ANYONE can petition for the FCC to change the rules. Quite a few people have been wanting the code removed and have submitted their wants and desires to the FCC. When the FCC issued the NPRM (Notice of Proposed Rule Making) a couple of years ago, the ARRL was caught in their own egotistical concept of what the hams wanted for rules and tried to stop the no-code tide with lots of counter proposals that required higher speeds of code for the upper classes. Thereby using the code as a discriminator. They did not justify using such a discriminator that offered no real advantage for the upper classes or for the service, so naturally the FCC rejected any such discriminator. Because of the international stuff, they had to have some code, so the opted for the 5 WPM, and the discriminator could be worked out with some technical test questions. I think the ARRL just screwed up in not cooking up some reason why code was a good thing for the service and more code separated the men from the boys.

Bob, WB6JPI LM ARRL

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
>
> Radioguy wrote
>
> >SO... tell me... where was the ARRL? Too busy running a publishing
> >house?
>
> The ARRL, though caught off guard with the FCC proposal made several
> counterproposals... all published in QST...
>
> >I'm sorry, I have not heard this issue discussed.
>
> Obviously, you're not an ARRL member or you would have heard in QST
> (assuming you READ it) It was in ALL the HAM mags... I trust you don't
> expect the ARRL to knock on your door to inform you of pending FCC
> changes....
>
> >Really now, how difficult is it to use the any of the blocks of letter
> call prefixes... that's a >simply administrative duty for the FCC to
> implement...
>
> I think they'd just as soon not be bothered with Hams at all... when's the
> last time the FCC got anything out of your pocket, fee-wise? (be fair now...
> amateur related expenses only, don't include vanity calls)

49. Re: my 2c on license restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregory <okguy@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <ZwBb4.529$1y5.28613@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 123577

Do you think we will have clogging now ? Didn't they say the same thing
about
letting no codes on 2 meters ? When I scan 20 repeaters in Chicagoland
after the morning and evening drives I very seldom hear anyone .
I think that even if ham tickets could be cut out of cereal boxes that we
will have less amateurs as time goes by .
Greg , KA9MVP

sean hall <shnews@pressroom.com> wrote in message
news:s6tloedl5k2143@corp.supernews.com...
> i'm not so sure if the new, streamlined license structure will really help
> the hobby much. like many hams, i didn't really WANT to learn the code,
> either. but, once i got going, i found it fun, a badge of honor to know,
> and a real accomplishment to pass the 20 wpm test. CW may be an 'antique'
> way of communicating, but i think it's an important filter to keep
> uncommitted people out of the hobby. the ham radio manufacturers will no
> doubt be happy. but i have nightmares of people transitioning from
> nowhere...or worse, 11 meters, and clogging the ham bands even more. i'm
> sure i'm not the only one who feels that way.
>
> 73/sean hall
> W1SWH
>
>

50. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spencer F. Ritchie" <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds. I sent a notice for proposed rulemaking about two years ago, calling for an expansion of the 80m extra sub-band down 25khz. When the FCC turned me down, they sent a notice about the licence restructering. I felt I had to make a comment about it. But they didn't listen anyway. CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used extensively on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And found cw superior to most modes when conducting weak signal work.

Spencer KC2TX
Bob Bethman <rbethman@erols.com> wrote in message
news:386EE695.B7385733@erols.com...
> Did send comments, in the necessary approved format. Another twit that
speaks
> for himself only.
>
> Bob N0DGN
>
> JM wrote:
>
> > to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> > bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
> > would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
> > what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
> > already. John N0EE
>

51. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <386EEB80.F7A@alaska.net>
Source record: 123579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
AB7RS wrote:
>
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> >
> > The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
> >
> > I Blame the ARRL!
>
>
> Looks like whineing,crying and slothfullness do pay off,I was wrong
> when I made statements about amateur radio not dying,it surely will now.
> I blame the ARRL,NCI,FCC,CB,Catman,and all the hams that are too
> lazy,too stupid,or think the average person is too lazy or stupid.

Why would amateur radio die off now? It is just that someone finally
realized that a higher CW speed meant nothing. If you wish to use it
you still can...
--
------------------------------------------------------------------

*** more snow and cold than I will need in a lifetime! ***

Mike Dickman (KD4LLA)
Fairbanks, AK

+----------------------+ +----------------------+
---+ | CARLILE/ | | |
__/ | | K&W TRUCKING | |
|
| 733 | |RV771 | |HV157 |
<------+-----<----------------------+----<----------------------+
(O) (O)(O) (O)(O) (O)(O)
(O)(O) o...

Do you know -- if the US Congress did nothing for a year, we would be
better off?

Just think, no new laws, new taxes, or new regulations...
```

52. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <386EEBB0.3A9C@alaska.net>
Source record: 123580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
User wrote:
>
> On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 01:23:05 GMT, liam@ork.net wrote:
>
> >
> >errr....soon use of CW will be outlawed as being an "outmoded" form of
> >information transfer.
>
> Not very likely. Still the best for weak signal work with the minimum
> of equipment. Bar none.
>
> User

Why would amateur radio die off now? It is just that someone finally
realized that a higher CW speed meant nothing. If you wish to use it
you still can...
--
------------------------------------------------------------------------

*** more snow and cold than I will need in a lifetime! ***

Mike Dickman (KD4LLA)
Fairbanks, AK

+----------------------+ +----------------------+
---+ | CARLILE/ | | |
__/ | | K&W TRUCKING | |
|
| 733 | |RV771 | |HV157 |
<------+-----<----------------------+----<----------------------+
(O) (O)(O) (O)(O) (O)(O)
(O)(O) o...

Do you know -- if the US Congress did nothing for a year, we would be
better off?

Just think, no new laws, new taxes, or new regulations...
```

53. N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_5tb4.13118$y11.153180@news4.mia>
Source record: 123581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello and Greetings from the Better Amateur Radio Federation!

I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it. I would wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.

I want to take a few minutes of your time to let you know of some new things the B.A.R.F/ARG/Stumpjumpers/Liberty Net plan to do for the year 2000.

"Liberty Net 2000" This will be an all-new Website.

I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we folks who live in the South claim back our states rights and raise a New Confederacy. We all need to pray for this next year. God is sick of the sins of this country. REPENT AMERIKA! God is about to judge this Nation!

I now want to address the Ham community. This new FCC rulemaking is a step in the right direction. For many years now, old gas-bag extra class hams have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF. We will use to our advantage.

We have great things planned for the Liberty Net this year. We will step-up our look-out for jammers and potential recruits. Look for a reversal in the David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from Leavenworth!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

54. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <ddtb4.2765$Vr.47543@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David Stinson wrote
>If a robber gets the drop on you, there's nothing you can do.
>But if you use your brain...

Exactly. If he wants your property, and has the gun on you, it would be smart to give it to him. Most of the time that's all he wants, and will leave you with your life.

If you're a Texas Mensa though, principle outweighs brains...go for the gun!!

55. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <ddtb4.2766$Vr.47543@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q wrote
>THE sadness is that the "Arrons" of our world would GLADLY sacrifice
>their wives

The sadness of the (shoot first and think later) Gary's of our world is that they would gladly sacrifice their wives. If you have your family with you, getting into a firefight to save (mere) property may not be a wise decision...

56. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <edtb4.2767$Vr.47543@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>Since it is my silently-alarmed home, it is very unlikely
>that a robber would get the drop on me.

Cat burglars are very rare. Most burglars hit during the day when no one is home. If you have an alarm and a dog, likely they will go to another house. They are like the rest of us and like to make work as easy as possible...

>You people who want to arm criminals and disarm
>law-abiding citizens make me sick.

Not me. I like my guns too. I was just commenting on your suicide post where you said you would rather die than be robbed, because of your principles...

57. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cindy Hickey <Pogue@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <386e610e.0@news>
Source record: 123585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Take your racist crap and shove it, mister.
The new millenium is for everyone,not a substandard group of hypocrites.

58. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101151931.01443.00000447@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123586

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
re-clame this

59. Re: AF2Y says, "SPEAK UP,LLOYD DAVIES."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101152036.01443.00000448@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123587

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
loyde davis speak up here go look

60. Re: Y2K strikes Liberty net Head Honcho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101152146.01443.00000450@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123588

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
he can head huncho this

61. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <84lnp7$sb0$1@ionews.ionet.net>
Source record: 123589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll >
> Does this mean that in all your education, you learned
> nothing of importance outside your chosen field of
> employment?

No, it means I pick and choose on what I spend my precious free time. I personally would not spend 100hrs handbuilding ham gear which does 1/1,000th the capability of a store-bought $700 radio is a big waste of time in this day and age. Yet I respect those who find such endeavor rewarding. To make tinkering a prime directive of HRS, however, is turning a blind eye to reality.

> Actually, it looks like the price of good used ham gear
> just took a steep upswing.

And the price of new ham gear just took a steep down swing.

> Actually, I wish you good luck in your new hobby. Here's to
> you enjoying it half as much as I have.
>
> 73, Dick W0EX

Ahh, there you go with the "holier than thou" attitude again. Spare me the sarcasm. If you really want to compare, let's compare our achievements (work&play) up till my age and see if you can really hold a candle.

KD5IOU

62. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Gstb4.2770$Vr.47501@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
>maintain any self-esteem at all?

Dead people have little self-esteem...

63. Re: AF2Y ASKS ABOUT loyde davis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101153210.19542.00000367@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123591

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
click this to see loyde davis

64. Re: AF2Y SEES loyde davis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101153257.19542.00000368@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123592

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm

65. Re: AF2Y is concerned about loyde davis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101153324.19542.00000369@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123593

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm

66. Re: WIND BREAKING ANNOUNCEMENT loyde davis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101153402.19542.00000370@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123594

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm

67. Re: AF2Y, WHAT HAPPENED TO loyde davis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101153723.01443.00000461@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123595

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
look here see what hapen to him

68. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: liam@ork.net
Message-ID: <oKtb4.2011$Ef6.488215@news.shore.net>
Source record: 123596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:

> Maybe I'm ignorant. Does not knowing Morse code lower one's IQ?

What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
getting reduced further and further.

At this rate, it could become like FRS. Just buy the radio. How does that
jibe with the long and illustrious history that hams have had?

69. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Coredump <coredump@NOxSPAM.enteract.com>
Message-ID: <3iqs6s0fc2q4jn2nc43r3jfpd8p2rip7tl@4ax.com>
Source record: 123597

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 11:29:00 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>AB7RS wrote:
>>
>> Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
>> tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
>> to take the 2 week course twice.
>
>Come on now - no washouts?

Probably not many. As I recall the process, in order to even get in the school
required a prelim 'aptitude' test. The navy just didn't pick people out of a hat
to get into radio school. If you got in at all, you had to prove motivation and talent
before they'd even consider you.

John - K9IJ

--
coredump@NOSPAM.enteract.com
http://www.enteract.com/~coredump
Footloose and Fancy Free on the Information Superhighway

70. Re: nd8v: The asshole of ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <386E5B5E.4F19@no.net>
Source record: 123598

nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <20000101172359.1694.qmail@nym.alias.net>,
> An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net> wrote:
> > This slob has taken over for Rich as the asshole of ham radio. Hey
> > Mike, get a life ya fucking prick.
> >
> > your wife loved my prick i allmost thought your dog was her at first
> but the dog looks better fuck off!!
>
\
Isn't is great to have these cbers join the ham newsgroups?

71. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <386E6B16.10BB4055@home.com>
Source record: 123599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

OK, Lloyd , now that the New Year's Truce is over I intend to let your ignorant ass have it with both barrels.

Number One: You'd better check your family tree carefully. You might find out that you aren;t nearly as "aryan" as you claim.

Number Two: America is spelled AMERICA not "AMERIKA". The name Davies is not German, therefore stop pretending you are.

Number Three: The Confederate States Of America ceased to exist on April 9, 1865. If you no longer want to be a citizen of the United States Of America, I suggest that you write to the Department of State in Washington DC and formally give up your citizenship. There NEVER EVER will be a "New Confederacy" , so wake up and DEAL WITH IT! Also the Third Reich ceased to exist on May 8,1945. Again, DEAL WITH IT!

Number Four: You and your non-Jewish brethren frequently quote the Old Testament portion of the Bible as well as Jesus Christ. May I remind you that the Old Testament was a Jewish document and Jesus Christ was a Jew. He was circumcised and accepted into membeship in the local synagogue. This makes you, being anti-Jewish, a first class hypocirite, since you worship a Jew.

Number Five: We of the normal rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup community are VERY SICK AND TIRED of your cross postings here. Get it through your thick skull, once and for all, that very FEW people on here agree with your beliefs. You have done nothing but antaginize and anger people on this newsgroup. Maybe good tactics in a so-called race war, which you appear to be intent on fomenting, but it is never a good way to win friends and influence people.

By the way, I am white American (scandiavian, by ancestry) and I don't agree with you
SO THERE, DEAL WITH IT !

Therefore, I sincerely request that you find an appropriate newsgroup to post to. I am quite sure that there are un-Ametican white suprecmicist HATE groups on USENET that would love to have you.

The purpose of Amateur Radio mention at the beginning of the FCC Rules Part 97 is not and never has been the same as the purpose of BARF.
Therefore, as a licensed Amateur Radio Operator (KB6VPI) I must ask you to cease and desist using ham radio for anti- American political puprses at once!

If you haven't yet gotten the import of this message, then may I make it simple for you, in words that even you can understand:

GET LOST, BOY!!!!!!!!!!

Eric Cooper
==========
Lloyd Davies wrote:

> Hello and Greetings from the Better Amateur Radio Federation!
>
> I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it. I would
> wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.
>
> I want to take a few minutes of your time to let you know of some new things
> the B.A.R.F/ARG/Stumpjumpers/Liberty Net plan to do for the year 2000.
>
> "Liberty Net 2000" This will be an all-new Website.
>
> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next
> Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and
> re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we folks
> who live in the South claim back our states rights and raise a New
> Confederacy. We all need to pray for this next year. God is sick of the
> sins of this country. REPENT AMERIKA! God is about to judge this Nation!
>
> I now want to address the Ham community. This new FCC rulemaking is a step
> in the right direction. For many years now, old gas-bag extra class hams
> have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF. We will use to our
> advantage.
>
> We have great things planned for the Liberty Net this year. We will step-up
> our look-out for jammers and potential recruits. Look for a reversal in the
> David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from
> Leavenworth!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

72. Re: Daiwa Rotators Can Kill !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonesy <jonz@rmi.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <jYtb4.1567$wv4.225750@den-news1.rmi.net>
Source record: 123600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In rec.radio.amateur.homebrew Andrew <g8uaq@jrw.co.uk> wrote:

Hi, Andrew

I'm certainly glad you lived to tell the story!

You fellers in 220VAC-land are a hardy bunch than us in 100VAC-land,
I suppose.

Happy New Year es 73

Jonesy W3DHJ

--
SK

p.s. Next time don't post with the root directory of your
hard drive as an attachment.

--
Marvin L. Jones jonz-AT-rmi.net W3DHJ
Gunnison, Colorado
So -- that was Y2K, huh? Big Deal!
365 days to go until the 3rd Millennium of the C.E.

73. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E6D47.5E0AEF3C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
liam@ork.net wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
> > Maybe I'm ignorant. Does not knowing Morse code lower one's IQ?
>
> What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
> getting reduced further and further.

Effort for effort's sake is not a good approach. Why not just have
each potential ham chop off a pinkey finger?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

74. Liberty Net Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <84lqpv$2pt$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123602

Sign up on Yahoo for voice chat and join the LIBERTYNET room tonight to discuss jammers and other topics.

75. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84lti2$j0k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <_5tb4.13118$y11.153180@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> Hello and Greetings from the Better Amateur Radio Federation!
>
> I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it. I
would
> wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.
>
> I want to take a few minutes of your time to let you know of some new
things
> the B.A.R.F/ARG/Stumpjumpers/Liberty Net plan to do for the year 2000.
>
> "Liberty Net 2000" This will be an all-new Website.
>
> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
this next
> Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and
> re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we
folks
> who live in the South claim back our states rights and raise a New
> Confederacy. We all need to pray for this next year. God is sick of
the
> sins of this country. REPENT AMERIKA! God is about to judge this
Nation!
>
What a CROCK. "Save your Dixie Cups Boys - The South will rise
again"> NOT IN THIS MILLENNIUM.

God may well be sick. Sick of people who hate his children because of
the color of their skin or where they come from !

> I now want to address the Ham community. This new FCC rulemaking is
a step
> in the right direction. For many years now, old gas-bag extra class
hams
> have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF. We will use to our
> advantage.
>
> We have great things planned for the Liberty Net this year. We will
step-up
> our look-out for jammers and potential recruits. Look for a reversal
in the
> David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from

> Leavenworth!
>
RIGHT NEXT TO YOU !
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

76. SWR problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rafffy Shammay <shammay@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <386E71C5.1CD88FB6@bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 123604
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I had one of those antennae.

I found that the gap between the first and second sections (the one that has a solid tube plastic-like insulator) seemed to get black with dust etc. Cleaning it brought the SWR back.

Also, when I had first assembled it, the factory drilled holes were *NOT* in the right position. Measure everything again, step by step.
It's a very good antenna so it's worth persisting.
I left mine down when, after a storm, the mounting brackets had worked their way loose and allowed the antenna to fall, thankfully not on the neighbours kids!

The antenna is designed to sway through about 60 degrees in high wind, and it puts a lot of stress on the mounting system

Best of luck
73 de Raffy VK2RF

hooha wrote:

> I have problems with my HF vertical. I am using a Butternut HF-9VX, and my
> SWR is very high on 20, 17, 15, 12, and 10 meters. I have a ground rod down
> and radials down, but it the SWR is up at infinite. What could be the
> problem?
>
> Mark

77. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E70B8.E09752DA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Not me. I like my guns too. I was just commenting on your suicide post where
> you said you would rather die than be robbed, because of your principles...

I'm old, Aaron, and most of my life is behind me. I'd rather die
with my boots on than in a nursing home.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

78. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E712C.F93541F6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> The sadness of the (shoot first and think later) Gary's of our world is that
> they would gladly sacrifice their wives. If you have your family with you,
> getting into a firefight to save (mere) property may not be a wise
> decision...

I'm old, single, and live alone.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

79. Re: Liberty Net Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <386E7252.771347A3@home.com>
Source record: 123607

I suggest those of us who oppose the Liberty Net (so-called) go ahead and jam their chat room tonight. Meanwhile I will check the Yahoo terms of sevice and see if it is possible to get them kicked off of Yahoo. I doubt if I can, but its worth a shot.

Eric Cooper
KB6VPI

frg9600 wrote:

> Sign up on Yahoo for voice chat and join the LIBERTYNET room tonight to
> discuss jammers and other topics.

80. YEAR 2000!.HERBIE, KV4FZ STILL HERE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: reeldeal@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84lu8d$je0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123608

Here it is year 2000 and Herbie is still here!!! Why can't those goof balls get rid of Herb!! Looks like he will be here for a long time!! Way to go Herb !! HAPPY New Year to you Monica and the family!! KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK HERBIE BABY!!

Eat your heart out AF2Y. Looks like you are impotent in more ways than one!! HAW HAW

Reeldeal

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

81. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E71C8.B05BF029@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> David Stinson wrote
> >If a robber gets the drop on you, there's nothing you can do.
> >But if you use your brain...
>
> Exactly. If he wants your property, and has the gun on you, it would be
> smart to give it to him. Most of the time that's all he wants, and will
> leave you with your life.
>
> If you're a Texas Mensa though, principle outweighs brains...go for the
> gun!!

If you're Texas Mensa, old and alone, you might want to do your fellow
man a favor by exterminating human vermin so they won't ever rob, rape,
and murder again.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

82. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E7284.5BE3B9C9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
> >maintain any self-esteem at all?
>
> Dead people have little self-esteem...

Slaves have less.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

83. Re: Liberty Net Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84lung$jq4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123611

In article <386E7252.771347A3@home.com>,
Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com> wrote:
> I suggest those of us who oppose the Liberty Net (so-called) go ahead
and
> jam their chat room tonight. Meanwhile I will check the Yahoo terms of
> sevice and see if it is possible to get them kicked off of Yahoo. I
doubt
> if I can, but its worth a shot.
>
May ALLAH be with you!

> Eric Cooper
> KB6VPI
>
> frg9600 wrote:
>
> > Sign up on Yahoo for voice chat and join the LIBERTYNET room
tonight to
> > discuss jammers and other topics.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

84. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <jEub4.1016$W41.16777@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 123612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote in message
news:ddtb4.2766$Vr.47543@news.ntr.net...
> Gary - KJ6Q wrote
> >THE sadness is that the "Arrons" of our world would GLADLY
sacrifice
> >their wives
>
> The sadness of the (shoot first and think later) Gary's of our world
is that
> they would gladly sacrifice their wives. If you have your family
with you,
> getting into a firefight to save (mere) property may not be a wise
> decision...

I suppose if *I* had YOUR gift of mindreading - and knew for a FACT exactly what and attacker/mugger wanted or would "settle for", you might be right - but an elderly couple 2 roads over from us recently made that assumption when a deviant teenager demanded their car - and then stabbed BOTH of them to death... Pity THEY didn't have your "gift"...

You are free to gamble you and yours - you are NOT free to gamble MINE!

I don't give a damn whether YOU choose to be armed or not - but will resist with ALL means at my disposal your efforts to make MY choice FOR me!

PERIOD!

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

85. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000101164527.22639.00000747@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 123613

Lloyd your twisted reasoning is doing nothing but angering people. It seems that you get a kick out of this. Not a good idea.
Also the code tapes that i sent you and you were supposed to send back to me six weeks ago are over - due! I have a young man (17) that would like to learn the code and get his ticket. I loaned the tapes to you in hope that it would help you. It's been over six months. How about sending them back so I can help someone else that is interested? I wouldn't have posted this hear but you fail to respond to my e-mail. You did however say 6 weeks ago that you would send them in two weeks. I'm still waiting. 73 de Tim.....KK7HO

86. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Bakers <nospam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <386E7612.E82F1A01@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123614

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Remove diodes D-1 through D-12 on the main board. Also, cut all red wires.
> That will give it "wideband" coverage and also boost the power to 100w.

How witty! Did that take you very long to think up ?

87. Re: Welfare Generals? NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000101165355.22639.00000753@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 123615

I think a "welfare general" could be defined as someone taling something from the government that they didn't earn. LOL

Subject: Welfare Generals? NOT!
Path:
lobby!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc05.blue.aol.com!remarQ.com.MISMATCH!remar
Q-easT!remarQ.com!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3fe
ed!worldnet.att.net!wnmaster1!not-for-mail
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: Sat, 01 Jan 2000 01:32:12 GMT
Organization: AT&T WorldNet Services
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <386f5755.155787162@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.79.65.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net 946690329 9017 12.79.65.109 (1 Jan 2000
01:32:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Jan 2000 01:32:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451

With the debate over CW speeds and now the FCC ruling there has
been a lot of name calling over this issue such as "Welfare Generals",
"CBplussers" and such comments such as"Dumbing Down" and "Lowering of
standards".
I'm going to break this down and correct this with truth.
First, what is Welfare? Welfare is the taking of something such as
money from some who earned it and giving it to someone who did not
earn it. In order to be a welfare general that ham would have to take
the CW skill from another ham, download the skill into his brain and
Bingo, that welfare general knows the code. But we all know that is
inpossible. Even a ham that only has to learn the code a 5wpm still
must learn the code on his/her own. That general still has to work for
it, just not as hard. It's the same as if one ham bought a HF rig for
$1200 and 3 months later the price drops to $800 for the same radio
and another ham buys it at that price. Of course if I bought the rig
for $1200 I would be a little bugged but I let it pass knowing that's
life. Remember the ham who buys the rig for $800 worked less for it
then the ham who bought it for $1200. So maybe the correct term for
5wpm Generals would be Light Generals or General Lite.
CBplussers. Since when is Tech a CBer? there is a big difference
between the two and that is the tech has to earn a license and the

CBer does not require one. Also the behavior and language is much better on 2M and 70cm then on 11M.
The Dumbing down and Lowering of standards. Well this one in some ways I agree with. With just the lowering of the code for generals only would be more of a emphasis on modern communications technology then the code.Face it, times change and standards change over time. In 1912 Radio men had to know the code simply because it was the only mode available at the time. Even in WWII code was still required because it was the mode that worked when all other modes failed to get though. Today the code is not required in the Navy, Army, or the Coast Guard because new technology such as satellite and digital communications allow the military to communicate around the world any time they want. Today Morse Code is mostly used for recreation communications even though it's still use full in an emergency.
What the FCC did was a major overkill and a Stab in the Back to Amateur Extras. We all thought the extra class would be lowered to 12wpm not 5wpm. What the FCC did was erode the Extra Class so much it is not worth shooting for. I personally said the code rate should be:

Tech None
General 5wpm
Advance 13wpm
Extra 20wpm

The written test should be harder and the test questions not given out to the public to prevent memorizing and cheating.
The FCC not only dropped the code test for all classes but also did not make the written test any harder and the question pool is still available to the public. So maybe some hams are right that the testing for general and especially Extra Class has been Dumb Down.

73s Rick KC2ESD Future Full General or at worst a General Lite

88. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <386E7B51.5F3383F8@cats-net.com>
Source record: 123616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> AB7RS wrote:
> > They should have made the requirements harder,not dumbed it down.
>
> Maybe I'm ignorant. Does not knowing Morse code lower one's IQ?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Perhaps you are ignorant. How does not knowing something effect one's
IQ. Having a high IQ does not grant one all knowledge.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

89. TRW Hamfest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Dubner <dubner@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <386E7AD1.1DF5D726@earthlink.net>
Source record: 123617

When/where is the TRW hamfest?

Thanks and 73,
Joe, K7JD
jdubner @ yahoo.com

90. Re: 3898 WHY SO MUCH POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84m0unlaf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123618

In article <84k7i6$i0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <84k3m5$fs7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Why do gods gift to ham radio the goofs on 3898 have to run so much
> > power. We sat on 3901 new years eve and had to listen to 30 over
> > splater from these goof balls 60 over signals to talk state to state
> > what happend to just enough power to make the contact and they cant
> > blame big al qrmming hay hollingworth do your job..
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Some hams, like some car owners, just gotta have a belchfire v8 !
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Basically they just don't give a crap. They have been asked, they tell
you go F off. OO's have sent them notices, no change. Riley is aware,
he don't care. N4MRF and his crowd just don't care...period.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

91. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E7C37.5FFB7DDD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote:
> Perhaps you are ignorant. How does not knowing something effect one's
> IQ. Having a high IQ does not grant one all knowledge.

If not knowing Morse code can dumb a person down, it must affect either
his IQ or knowledge. Just think how brilliant Einstein would have been
if only he had known Morse code.

OTOH, it seems logical to me that dropping the Morse code requirement
to 5wpm will have absolutely no effect on anybody's IQ or knowledge,
i.e. no dumbing down is possible because of it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

92. WTB. ICOM 970H ... 1200 MHZ. Band UNIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n6hkf@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101172550.19371.00000561@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123620

Hello,
I want to buy a 1296 module for the Icom IC-970H. If anyone has one they are not using and willing to part with it ,I would be interested. Does anyone know if there still available through Icom/ America ?

Tnx in advance, Happy New Year !!!!!!!

7 3 de N6HKF
Chuck

93. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <1kvb4.675$L3.15699@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What illustrious history? A bunch of old farts talking mumbo jumbo about
their ailments and 5X9 and Q something something etc., etc.
Hardly qualfies as illustrious. When was the last time an amateur advanced
the electronic state of the art through amateur radio? I do believe it was
Art Collins back in the 50s perfecting SSB. For the most part from what I
can tell all the exaulted old timers do is open the Kenwood, Icom or Yeasu
box and start talking. Illustrious history my foot.

liam@ork.net wrote in message ...
>In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
>
>> Maybe I'm ignorant. Does not knowing Morse code lower one's IQ?
>
>What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
>getting reduced further and further.
>
>At this rate, it could become like FRS. Just buy the radio. How does that
>jibe with the long and illustrious history that hams have had?

94. WTB. ICOM 970H... 1200 MHZ. BAND UNIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n6hkf@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101173702.19357.00000571@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123622

Hello,
I want to buy a 1296 module for the Icom IC-970H. If anyone has one they are not using and willing to part with it ,I would be interested. Does anyone know if there still available through Icom/ America ?

Tnx in advance, Happy New Year !!!!!!!

7 3 de N6HKF
Chuck

95. Re: Liberty Net Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101175549.02813.00000406@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 123623

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
sign up hear for chat

96. Re: AF2Y; It's Hard to Believe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101180103.02813.00000409@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 123624

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
loyde davis beleve this

97. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dentronman <w9jcmnoreply@reno.quik.com>
Message-ID: <QPvb4.12597$ic7.450941@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 123625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

tech lisc but u cant get on any hf till u pass 5wpm and pass the gen written
JOEGIZJR <joegizjr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19991230185150.04798.00000160@ng-ce1.aol.com...
> What kind of license would be granted just for passing the "35 question
test" ?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Joe
>
> Joegizjr@aol.com

98. FCC restructuring text at this web site, read all about it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84m174poe1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://www.fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/1999/db991230/fcc99412.txt

99. Is there a form 605 fee ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregory <okguy@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <99wb4.345$1y5.18095@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 123627

I'm renewing my ham license using FORM 605 . Do I pay a fee or is this free
?
Thanks ,
Greg

100. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <386E8FB9.D6A84B2D@innocent.com>
Source record: 123628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

beachmaster wrote:
>
[...]
> What a CROCK. "Save your Dixie Cups Boys - The South will rise
> again"> NOT IN THIS MILLENNIUM.
>
[...]

It seems likely you're right. After all, there are only 364 more days left in this millennium.

Happy Internetting,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

101. Richmond Virginia Frostfest 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <84m3a0$15p$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Come hear the stories about enforcement and license restructuring from the horses mouths at the Richmond Virginia Frostfest on January 16th in Richmond, Virginia at the Showplace. Visit http://frostfest.rats.net for more details.

This will be your first chance following the report and order to hear from an ARRL official and from an FCC official in person following the license restructuring and also to hear about enforcement efforts.

Scheduled to be at Frostfest 2000 to present forums are:

9 am - Open

10am - Open

11am - MARS - Everett Worrell, W4WJJ

Noon - FCC - Riley "The Enforcer" Hollingsworth, K4ZDH

1pm - ARRL - John Kanode, N4MM, Roanoke Division Director
Dennis Bodkin, W4PWF, Assistant Division Director

2pm - ARES/RACES - Frank Mackey, K4EC, Virginia Section Emergency Coordinator
Tony Amato, KR4UQ, Deputy Virginia Section Emergency Coordinator

Patrick Wilson
K4OW
Frostfest 2000 Forum Chairman

102. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: don@kb9vek.ham
Message-ID: <fpwb4.29$83.136@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 123630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <84j7su$2r0$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, aa4pb@erols.com was of the opinion...
>1) Who said "broadcasts" of the type W1AW is doing are not legal? Not
>the FCC regs.

According to 46CFR97.3:
"(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed."

Where this gets interesting is in determining "who" is "general public". I contend that simply calling "QST de ..." does not get you off the hook - that "all hams" is a pretty weak distinction from "general public".

As for the 5wpm practice, this surely is aimed at non-hams (except for the no-code techs, all hams have 5wpm under their belt already).

Not that I care either way, and I actually find the practice very helpful. I just think its a case of bending the rules to suit one's own agenda.

don

103. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386E287B.3818@imt.net>
Source record: 123631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Let's start a campaign to raise the code speed for access to HF.

Sounds good but lets make it easy enough so anyone can do it,say
20-25WPM.

104. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386E2952.5D79@imt.net>
Source record: 123632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective mode.
> Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!

I'll try and not get emotional here now that I've had a couple days to
calm down.How is CW outdated? I just don't get what you mean by
that,especially when compared to AM,FM,and SSB in that order.
The first on the scene doesn't have to be the first to become
obsolete.
What modes do you think are not outdated?

105. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84lcve$o45$2@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Volfy wrote:

Since you brought the wife into this:
> It took a lot of persuasion on my part to convince my wife to learn 5wpm
> with me and try for her Novice ticket. She is studying diligently for the
> written exam with zero technical background. She barely passed her college
> graduation math requirement a couple of yrs ago. Her engineer husband helps
> out as much as possible, but she admits some sections are just way too
> difficult for her so she may have to memorize those questions.
>
> We both welcome the FCC announcement with excitement, as it may potentially
> help ease her uphill battle.
>
> Some of you might commend me for introducing another person into the Ham
> world. Others, as plainly evident in these discussion, will be openly
> scornful of my attempt to dillute your supposed exclusive elite ranks with a
> techno-idiot.

Nope, not for a moment, Volfy. I've said it before, I have
never believed that it should be any harder than necessary
for anyone who wanted to, to become a ham.

I am officially on record as willing expose a large part of
the amateur spectrum to the forces of nonsense in order to
support that ideal. But not all of the ham radio spectrum,
as has just been done by FCC.
I sure hope your wife doesn't have problems tolerating
trash, since its almost a certainty we'll get a large
increase in that sort of thing within the ARS.

106. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: TGiro <agiroux@cvn.net>
Message-ID: <386E37E9.3C23B8F@cvn.net>
Source record: 123634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall wrote:

> AB7RS wrote:
> >
> > Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> > >
> > > The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
> > >
> > > I Blame the ARRL!
> >
> >

Yeah -- let's gettem'. They're also to blame for the Y2K bug, poor sunspot
cycles,
the cold war, the gulf war, Yeasu's problems with the FT-100, Lightning
strikes
on antennas, dead batteries, flat tires, crazy propogation on 160M.

Actually -- I blame people who make such stupid statements.

Tony -- KF3BX

107. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E36C5.8783F79F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
> I sure hope your wife doesn't have problems tolerating
> trash, since its almost a certainty we'll get a large
> increase in that sort of thing within the ARS.

Dick, I thought you supported a 5wpm general license.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

108. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386E2B9C.3199@imt.net>
Source record: 123636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
to take the 2 week course twice.
Many of the study materials are poor,like the ARRLs or W5YI,I used
these and was getting nowhere the got "Jerry Ziliaks high-speed code
course"and went to 23WPM before I knew what happened.
I must admit I sure hated it until I could actually follow a
conversation.
Many people are too lazy,too set in their ways or just don't want HF
PRIVLEGES very much.

David M. Hitchner wrote:
>
> I'll add my 2 cents on this. I know a technician who took 2 weeks to get
> 13
> WPM and got his general. I also know a technician who has been trying
> to get 13 WPM for a year and could run circles around the general when
> it comes to understanding the technical aspects of radio. My point is that
> some people have no problems learning code while for others it may be a
> difficult or almost impossible task.
>
> It's like learning to play a musical instrument. Some people have the gift,
> some do not.

109. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <946748055.809131@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 123637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Wayda wrote in message ...
>The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any
requirement.
>It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
>signals!!!

There's an international treaty that makes that unfeasible at the moment.. 5 words per minute is the INTERNATIONAL minimum code speed for Amateur Radio below 30 Mhz. There are several nations who are looking to drop the code requirement altogether (the US is NOT one). The treaty is reviewed every few years and you may see the code requirements loosened further (eliminated), if and when the international requirement is dropped (...personally, I'd be suprised to see it dropped real soon... maybe 5-6 years away)

110. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E395C.2C2316ED@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
AB7RS wrote:
>
> Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
> tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
> to take the 2 week course twice.

Come on now - no washouts?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

111. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <946748245.836656@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 123639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>>I Blame the ARRL!
>
>That just shows you don't understand the process. <shrug>
>
>Ben
>AA7AS/extra

AMEN, AMEN!!
```

112. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <7Pqb4.678$W41.7899@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 123640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:386F6E44.2CF1C640@ix.netcom.com...
> Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> > If the robber already has his gun pointed at your head, and you go
> > for yours, you will likely get a hollow head, not a hollowpoint...
>
> If a robber gets the drop on you, there's nothing you can do.
> But if you use your brain, you often know when you are in
> a dangerous situation and are less likely to be surprised.
> In those situations, the few seconds won by your caution and
> attention to your situation can make a big difference.
>
> I've had the unhappy experience of pulling my .45 auto
> to defend my family. I don't want to go into the whole
> situation on a public forum and I didn't have to shoot anybody-
> they ran as soon as they saw it.
> But I thank God Almighty that I had it with me and
> was paying attention to our situation. God only knows
> what those punks would have done to my wife and daughter.
>
> Regards,
> Dave S.

THE sadness is that the "Arrons" of our world would GLADLY sacrifice their wives (as well as YOURS AND MINE in the form of legislation that eliminates OUR abilities to resist as well!) and daughters - if that was the price to be paid to save their cowardly, worthless hides! They would also not only allow the removal of their worldy goods at the first threat of violence against them - but would frantically offer to carry their stuff to the perpetrator's waiting car while their family was being raped.

I don't worry NEARLY as much about maurauders and predators (I can take care of THEM!), it's the "Arrons" that are a FAR greater danger to me and those like me by their misinformed and cowardly efforts to make ME that same powerless victim THEY demand to be!

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

113. nd8v: The asshole of ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20000101172359.1694.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 123641

This slob has taken over for Rich as the asshole of ham radio. Hey Mike, get a life ya fucking prick.

114. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <946748688.180602@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 123642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radioguy wrote
>I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
>regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>
>I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
>group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
>social security number or zip code perhaps.

Oh, no... another one wants the rules changed after they're in place....
You're about a year or two late on the issue. Where've you been... holed up
in your shack? The issue has been the subject of much discussion for over a
year now... it's a BIT late to make proposals NOW!

115. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <VXqb4.2124$S%2.8343@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

We all walk at different speeds; and some can't walk at all, so if one person wants to walk at 20 steps per minute and some only 5.They are both walking; does one add or subtract from the others ability?

The ones who can't walk have a place in the world too. They can do what they CAN do

It is not about technical skills---its not about the Me Mentality (I did it this way so all should do it this way). It is about change! The numbers of new hams have stagnated
to the point where the hobby is in jeopardy of losing band space (use it or lose it)

It is a shame that we can't be big enough to quit quarreling about trivial stuff and do
what's best for the hobby.

The above views are my own. It works for all aspects of life
Do what you can.

116. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101123855.04167.00000480@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 123644

Using it on 11m would still be illegal.

Kï¿½MB

117. Re: Info-Hams Digest V99 #337

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84ldkg$oh1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123645

In article <0.eef769a2.259eac69@aol.com>, <Treemenow@aol.COM> wrote:
>unsubscribe me to all of this, I have never subscribe to this at all

You have to unsubscribe yourself -- no one else can sub or unsub you.
Send an email to: listserv@ucsd.edu and only write

unsubscribe info-hams

and you'll be unhooked.

Jeff KH6O/6

118. Re: AF2Y, WHAT HAPPENED TO YOUR DENTAL PRACTICE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6Yqb4.796$rK1.103259@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 123646

he got tired of working in mouths like yours
<reeldeal@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:84j0g2$q2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Please clear Matter up for inquiring minds on this forum! Why a man so
> young would desert his Dental Practice. Was it under shady
> Circumstances?
> You owe us an explaination because of your actions on this forum!!
>
> Reeldeal
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

119. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84lf0g$o45$7@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > There was no requirement that
> > > the code test examiner had to be the same ham as the written test
> > > examiner.
> > >
> >
> > Wrong.
>
> Misinformed as usual, Dick. The code exam could be administered
> by a general or higher and the written exam could be administered
> by anyone who was at least 21 years old. The written exam could be
> administered on a different date at a different location by a
> different person. Please get your facts straight before accusing
> someone of illegal actions.

What I said was, the affidavit signatory was required to
state that he administered the code test. You said that he
instead called the other ham who "knew" that you could copy
the code, and clearly he would have signed the affidavit on
that basis, under your story (I know, you're sticking to
it). A violation that would have been acted upon by the FCC
at that time had it come to their attention. I'm sure they'd
have preferred not to know.
Counteraccusations do not produce accuracy, just more
attempts at muddy water.

120. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84lf3k$o45$8@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Jim Wayda wrote:
> >
> > The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any requirement.
> > It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
> > signals!!!
>

Hey Jim, what's your "Handle"? or is it your "first
personal"???

121. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <adrb4.265$%R2.11822@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 123649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> proclaims the sky is falling and writes.....

> I am officially on record as willing expose a large part of
> the amateur spectrum to the forces of nonsense in order to
> support that ideal. But not all of the ham radio spectrum,
> as has just been done by FCC.
> I sure hope your wife doesn't have problems tolerating
> trash, since its almost a certainty we'll get a large
> increase in that sort of thing within the ARS.

Same old chicken little routine seen when the no-code license started.

Y2K and now the FCC restructuring of ham radio.

122. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84lf17$pg8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123650

RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>>Maybe not, but we do.

>You have no enforcement powers whatsover. What are you going to do, Jeff?
>Send them an OO Nastygram? THAT will certainly scare the operator into
>submission!

You already know the answer. All details of the QSO (callsign, date, time, frequency, and maybe even a tape of the QSO) would be forwarded to the FCC. They start an "Uh-oh" file on him, and as other complaints come in, they decide what action to take, if any. And yes, I'll let the offending operator know, via post, that he's in violation of his operating privileges and the data has been forwarded to the FCC. That's all I could do from my end. My conscience will be clear.

It's no different from witnessing a crime taking place; those law-abiding citizens who have a sense of right and wrong will notify the authorities; those who don't are cowards who use the excuses "I don't want to get involved," and "It's none of my business."

Which category do you fall in?

Jeff KH6O/6

123. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84lfbc$o45$9@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
The FCC
> >didn't just think it up. So if you want something changed, don't tell
> >me, tell them. There is an established procedure for submittal, but it
> >is easily accomplished.

> Let's start a campaign to raise the code speed for access to HF.

Spain reinstituted a 12WPM code test after dropping it
completely for years, having found that it drew undesirables
to the ARS in droves.

124. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioguy <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <386E34AB.3DB1@nospam.com>
Source record: 123652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
>
> Radioguy wrote
> >I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> >regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
> >
> >I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
> >group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
> >social security number or zip code perhaps.
>
> Oh, no... another one wants the rules changed after they're in place....
> You're about a year or two late on the issue. Where've you been... holed up
> in your shack? The issue has been the subject of much discussion for over a
> year now... it's a BIT late to make proposals NOW!

SO... tell me... where was the ARRL? Too busy running a publishing
house?

I'm sorry, I have not heard this issue discussed. You are somewhat
correct, I wasn't aware of what was happening though I was not 'holed
up' in the shack as you suggest but working in a 'holed up' shack
overseas.
Really now, how difficult is it to use the any of the blocks of the
three letter call prefixes available for the US instead of two (AAA-ALZ,
KAA-KZZ, NAA-NZZ or WAA-WZZ)---that's a simply administrative duty for
the FCC to implement---what, their computers can't handle it? No rule
changes required.

125. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E425B.6E0DC7F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
> What I said was, the affidavit signatory was required to
> state that he administered the code test. You said that he
> instead called the other ham who "knew" that you could copy
> the code, and clearly he would have signed the affidavit on
> that basis, under your story (I know, you're sticking to
> it).

What an idiot. The first ham called the second ham to get him to sign off on the Morse code portion of the exam since he didn't have any way of sending 13wpm for an exam. The second ham had given me the Morse code exam six weeks before using a 78rpm record. Do you really think I had forgotten Morse code in six weeks? Presentday certificates are good for a year.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

126. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E42CE.194D1EC1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
> Hey Jim, what's your "Handle"?

How soon we forget. CBers got that lingo from hams.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

127. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioguy <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <386E358A.4C1A@nospam.com>
Source record: 123655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Radioguy wrote:
> >
> > Howdy:
> >
> > I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> > regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
> >
> > I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
> > group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
> > social security number or zip code perhaps.
> >
> > Seriously, this historic change to amateur licensing should not ignore a
> > subsequent change to appear in manner of call sign issuance. I
> > sincerely feel that those amateurs who made it the
> > hard/old/traditional... way should enjoy that fact---at least for the
> > duration of their amateur career, by having their call sign identifier
> > separate from those of future amateurs for the sake of tradition.
> > Honestly, I think a conventional call sign with a three letter prefix
> > would serve this function nicely.
> >
> > Sincerely,
>
> Amateur call signs worldwide have a country prefix, a number, and a
> letter suffix so your proposal is a bit more radical than you might
> think.
>
> As a holder of an old call I neither want nor need whatever prestige
> comes of doing it the 'hard way'. This hobby is ghettoized enough
> without any outside help. It speaks for itself and I cannot help
> whatever connotation may be placed on it. But for me to rest on an
> achievement of 25 years ago is perfectly silly; to institutionalize it
> would be high-order idiocy.
>
> We've looked backward far too long.
>
> Dick McCollum N0BK

Dick:

International call sign allocations for the US consists of the following
blocks: AAA-ALZ, KAA-KZZ, NAA-NZZ and WAA-WZZ with the addition of
```

number and letter suffixes as required. Like I wrote in my post, for those in the majority who may wish for it the new call sign blocks can consist of a three letter prefix with the conventional call sign for example; AAA2AD or ABD3FYT. This capability has been in the system for decades---almost one hundered years. I'm surprised that as a ham for the duration you stated you didn't know this basic fact. BTW, your 'N' call is not old---it is new! My 'WB' call predates that by a goodly margin---similarly, an orginal holder of a 'K' call predates mine. Unfortunately, that was in the good old days before the FCC in their wisdom destroyed yet another important facet in amateur radio---sense in call sign allocations. I thought then as I do now that there is a bit of comfort in knowing the relative age and experience of the operator at the other end of the communications circuit besides providing a sense of continuity between the past and present.

I think the idea of a three letter prefix quite applicable. The FCC recognized the holders of the First Class Radiotelephone by issuing a special certificate to them when the deleted that license class in favor of the General Radiotelephone Operators Certificate. I think a similar situation is warranted for hams; as a generalization, elder and more experienced hams can help the newer and a special call sign to help distinguish the two groups/generations is indeed a useful tool.

That fact that achievement is institutionalized is an important and useful fact of life; for you to destroy this fact speaks interestingly of your attitude---quite possibly of your behaviour as well. If anything, less structuring will just increase the likelyhood of ghettoizing the ham experience---YEA... HOW BOUT IT BREAKER BREAK 19 C'MON DUDY DANDY!

You are entitled to your choice... maybe you can get the first 0 call in the new block; NNN0BK for instance or maybe AAA0BK strikes your fancy.

Sincerely,

128. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84lfaq$ph0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123656

RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote:
>In article <84j89q$8c7$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>, "David Little"

>>If this is what you want for the country, at least have the faith in your
>>own beliefs to state so with pride.

>What do you expect from J. Herman? His livelihood and his paycheck is
>dependent on the government. State of Hawaii, to be exact.

Not all of it: The USCG(R) (i.e., the fed) supplements it.

Jeff KH6O/6

129. Re: AF2Y ASKS ABOUT RESTRUCTURING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101131530.01493.00000454@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123657

<< I noticed you did not comment on my statement about "dumbing down of America". Ok lets not blame Mr. Klinton....lets blame you and all you liberal idiots. Look Doc, we are on the same side in this radio thing. But when it comes to politics we are 180 degrees out of phase. Let's do what we have done in the past...agree to disagree. >>

<<Don't give me this crap about "liberal idiots." Liberal means expanding horizons, not narrowmindedness-that's idiotic? That's futurisitc and thoughful. Narrowmindedness is akin to suppression and that's not what America's about. And besides, who'll be your man in 2000 fighting liberalism? McLain is a liberal Republican and so is G.W. Gore is more moderate than Clinton while Bradley is left of center but he won't get the nomination anyway. He's about as effervescent as a cadaver. There's too much made of labels in this country because in order for the government to work, there must be a synthesis of ideas. To explain; the liberals, let's say, represent the thesis. The Conservatives then become the antithesis and when they butt heads, out comes a synthesis, a networking of ideas. The "dumbing of America" is no individual party's fault but rather a nonstop progression of poor decision making. Ask some people why we're getting stupider and they'll say that since forced intergration came to being, instead of the blacks reaching for the white man's standard of education, the opposite happened. This might be considered rascist but nonetheless factual. Some will say that it came about because of COMPETITIVENESS of the races in reaching for dominance. Maybe? In my estimation it came about because of something called TENURE. Poor teachers are retained, are unable to be let go for ineptness providing they've taken up space in a school for four years. In other words, dummies with diplomas cannot be fired! And it has NOTHING to do with politics so let's scrap that theory. And furthermore, "dumbing down" is a ridiculous contradictory expression. Did you ever hear of "dumbing up?"

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

130. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E453B.D9511154@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Spain reinstituted a 12WPM code test after dropping it
> completely for years, having found that it drew undesirables
> to the ARS in droves.

Where can we verify the latter part of your statement, Dick?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

131. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <OIGLBRIV$GA.205@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 123659

Do you really think so little of your ham license that you're willing
to compromise it this way?
The only thing that separates Amateur radio for the anarchy of
CB is respect for the rules.

Steve

Minnesota Murphy <Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:ucar6s0rvtlj4l5kfmnn51j3al831rcsbm@4ax.com...
> I am looking for the modification of Radio Shacks HTX 10, or even the
> schematic.
> I want to get into to the 11 meter band (CB).
> Any help would be appreciated
>
> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"

132. Re: Restructuring - There isn't going to be any

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: sonspots@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101132445.16420.00000426@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 123660

Wait till all the idoits make the easy upgrade to YOUR operating freqs(with
your call sign I assume you have extra class)
AND THEN TELL US ABOUT IT. You won't be able to find a clear freq. Me I'm going
back to calling CQ DX and if a DX sta. doesn't come back to me then I'll go
QRT. I don't want to talk to any
11 meter A Hs who thanks to the other A.H.s at ARRL, now have a ham license.
Just my opinion of course.
ROBERT
WA0QJM

133. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84lg0r$q6g$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123661

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 02:17:51 -0500, Minnesota Murphy
<Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote:

>I am looking for the modification of Radio Shacks HTX 10, or even the
>schematic. I want to get into to the 11 meter band (CB).
>Any help would be appreciated

Remove diodes D-1 through D-12 on the main board. Also, cut all red wires.
That will give it "wideband" coverage and also boost the power to 100w.

Jeff

134. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84lggbqcl1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123662

Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote:
>On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 06:49:31 GMT, Leland Van Koten <vankoten@home.com>

>>1. CW provides reliable communications under worse conditions than
>>almost any other mode -- with the possible exception of some of the
>>newer digital modes which also require more equipment than a simple
>>transceiver and a key.

> Really, and just how does it do without being used by most
>hams (according to the ARRL)

The League didn't poll me. Did they poll you?

If you tune across the HF bands, you'll hear just as many, if not
more, CW QSOs than phone QSOs. That's a fact of life.

Jeff KH6O/6

135. AF2Y says, "SPEAK UP,LLOYD DAVIES."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101133435.01493.00000457@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123663

Pray tell, oh genius from 'Bama, what happened to the dire predictions of your main man, David J.Schmuck..oops, I mean Smith? What happened to the invasion of America cities by foreign troops trained in the U.S.A? How about all those ready to flee from invading forces? Were your Alabama highways jammed pack with refugees and fugitives? Did the evangelical Rupture start in which your group began heading for the sky as Jesus descended? Did the graves of Christians worldwide open as those bones did the Watusi in light of Jesus's descent? Did Jewish bankers disrupt the world markets? You and those like you, the simps, must be in a state of shock. This morning your computers functioned and your toilets flushed. The food stores that were open still had plenty for all on the shelves. The government didn't poison our water supplies. All this nonstop bullcrap thrown at you which you not only believed but parrotted on this board has been proven to be exactly that and you must feel stupider than ever before although your ignorance is boundless. I stop to wonder just how many "believers" of David J.Smith and that idiotic Brother Stair and countless other shortwave leeches who have stolen bread from the gulllible will believe NOW? Survivalists? Bull! Christian zealots who profane the name of Jesus? Shame on you!. All of you have been shown up for what you are. NUT CASES, worthy of either institutions or jail or both. Every American owes a debt of gratitiude to the conscientiousness of our FBI working in conjunction with local law enforcement agencies as well as C.I.A. operatives who together were trailing every potential external and internal terrorist. Fellows, this country WORKS! Instead of finding fault with liberals and conservatives and everyone else in government, kneel down and kiss American soil. There's no sweeter tasting dirt in the entire world.
Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

136. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84lhbjkoq1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In article <84lcve$o45$2@208.207.71.163>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>Volfy wrote:
>
>Since you brought the wife into this:
>> It took a lot of persuasion on my part to convince my wife to learn 5wpm
>> with me and try for her Novice ticket. She is studying diligently for the
>> written exam with zero technical background. She barely passed her college
>> graduation math requirement a couple of yrs ago. Her engineer husband helps
>> out as much as possible, but she admits some sections are just way too
>> difficult for her so she may have to memorize those questions.
>>
>> We both welcome the FCC announcement with excitement, as it may potentially
>> help ease her uphill battle.
>>
>> Some of you might commend me for introducing another person into the Ham
>> world. Others, as plainly evident in these discussion, will be openly
>> scornful of my attempt to dillute your supposed exclusive elite ranks with a
>> techno-idiot.
>
>
> Nope, not for a moment, Volfy. I've said it before, I have
>never believed that it should be any harder than necessary
>for anyone who wanted to, to become a ham.
>
> I am officially on record as willing expose a large part of
>the amateur spectrum to the forces of nonsense in order to
>support that ideal. But not all of the ham radio spectrum,
>as has just been done by FCC.
> I sure hope your wife doesn't have problems tolerating
>trash, since its almost a certainty we'll get a large
>increase in that sort of thing within the ARS.

You mean the trash on 14.313MHz? Everyone there did 13wpm.

NOTE: I trimmed the newsgroups down in this response.

137. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84lgsa$qp9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Followup set to just r.r.a.policy

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 11:05:21 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@xspam.home.com>
>The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any requirement.
>It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
>signals!!!

Smoke, like VHF+, is just good for local comms. Perfect for the Tech
licensee. OTOH, CW on HF will enable you to participate in world-wide
comms. And as far as being outdated, the CW portions of the HF bands
yesterday and last night were jammed. SKN, you know. (But then again,
40m CW is like that every night.)

Jeff KH6O/6

138. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws WHINING OLD GEEZERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101134333.16208.00000691@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123666

Quit yer complaining, CRYBABIES! The world is changing. Get used to it. We just entered the 21st century, and Morse code is about as relevant today as buggy whips and smoke signals. The choice was to either lessen the Morse requirements or watch the flow of new HF licensees slow to a trickle and the bands be taken over by commercial interests. Fortunately, the FCC chose the former, rather than the latter. Watch for the FCC to move quickly to abolish the Morse requirement entirely, once the ITU requirement is removed.

That's the way it is. Deal with it. If you don't like it, turn in your licenses and get the hell off the bands so the rest of us can enjoy the hobby without the likes of you. Come to think of it, this would be the preferred action for you to take. This way, we could make ham radio an enjoyable hobby once again, without having to listen to your endless babbling about the weather, your health ailments, and how much better the hobby was "back when CW was required and I had to travel 400 miles through a raging snowstorm to the nearest FCC office to take my license test..." Blah, blah, blah. Nobody cares. Those of us who wish to modernize amateur radio so that it may continue into the next century have won the war. You lost. Get over it.

Either get with the program or get out of the way. We're sick of your whining.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

139. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84li0b$cf3$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123667

In article <84lf17$pg8$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote:
>RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote:
>>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:
>
>>>Maybe not, but we do.
>
>>You have no enforcement powers whatsover. What are you going to do, Jeff?
>>Send them an OO Nastygram? THAT will certainly scare the operator into
>>submission!
>
>You already know the answer. All details of the QSO (callsign, date,
>time, frequency, and maybe even a tape of the QSO) would be forwarded
>to the FCC. They start an "Uh-oh" file on him, and as other complaints
>come in, they decide what action to take, if any. And yes, I'll let
>the offending operator know, via post, that he's in violation of his
>operating privileges and the data has been forwarded to the FCC. That's
>all I could do from my end. My conscience will be clear.
>
He might have just upgraded at the VE session at the last hamfest.....

140. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <84lhsq$1ce@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 123668
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated December 24, 1999 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass,
AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail:
parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D.
Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the
information.

JANUARY 2000

Jan 2 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see |
below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Man- |
chester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Jan 9 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale |
(see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange |
Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Jan 23 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see |
below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- |
videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, |
or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite. |

Jan 23 (Sun): Cicero, IL - Wheaton Community Radio Amateurs
Mid Winter Hamfest - 2000 at the Chicago Motor Speedway.
3301 S. Laramie, Cicero. Located between Central and
Cicero, between the Ike and the Stevenson. Tickets $5.00 in
advance with 4 stubs. Free parking. Talk in on 145.39 (- |
.600) 107.2 or 103.4 Hz PL and 444.475 (+5.0) 114.8 Hz PL.
Info: www.w9ccu.org or send email to hamfest2k@hotmail.com.

Jan 23 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see |
below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College |
Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

Jan 30 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale |
(see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange |
Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

FEBRUARY 2000 |

Feb 26 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see |
below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- |
videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, |

or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite. |

Feb 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see |
below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College |
Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2. |

MARCH 2000

March 19 (Sun): Sterling, IL - Sterling-Rock Falls ARS.
info: Lloyd Sherman, KB9APW, PO Box 521, Sterling, IL
61081-0521. tel. 815-336-2434, E-mail: lsherman@essexl.com

Mar 25 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see |
below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- |
videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, |
or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite. |

March 26 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Lake County Fairgrounds.
North Shore Radio Club. http://www.ns9rc.org. ALL INDOOR,
Radio, Electronic and Computer Swapfest V.E. Testing 9AM to
Noon, Door Prize Drawing Every Hour, Admission $6 at the
gate / $5 in Advance. Door Open at 8 AM. Set-up at 6AM.
Free Parking. Cafeteria Open. Talk-in Simplex 146.52 &
NS9RC Repeater 147.345 +.600. For NS9RCfest Information
Call (847) 291-4160 until 10 PM. Info: Jacob Fishman,
KF9ZF, PO Box 1066, Highland Park, IL 60035. Phone: 847-
291-4160, Email: kf9zf@lightwriters.com

APRIL 2000

Apr 15 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see |
below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- |
videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, |
or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite. |

Apr 29 (Sat): Stickney, IL - DuPage ARC.
http://www.w9dup.org, Info: Ed Weinstein, WD9AYR, 7511 Wal-
nut Avenue, Woodridge, IL 60517. Phone: 630-985-9256.
Email: DARCHamfest@aol.com

Apr 30 (Sun): Arthur, IL - Moultrie ARK. Info: Ralph Zan-
cha, WC9V, PO Box 55, Lovington, IL 61937. Phone: 217-873-
5287. Email: rzancha@one-eleven.net

Apr 30 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see |
below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College |
Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

MAY 2000 |

May 20 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see |
below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- |
videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, |
or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

May 28 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see | below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2. |

JUNE 2000

Jun 4 (Sun): Princeton - IL Starved Rock RC. Bureau County Fairgrounds. Exit 56 from I-80. Admission $7 (ouch!) at gate. Talk-in 146.955, -600, PL103.5 Hz. info: AL Erbrederis, tel. 1-815-498-9675, 262 Easy St., Somanauk IL.60552. ERB.N9PIB@juno.com. http://www.qsl.net/w9mks. E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 11 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Six Meter Club of Chicago. http://cyberconnect.com/orion/smcc.html. Info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Avenue, Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. Tel: 630-963-4922 or 708-442-4961 . Email: wa9rij@mc.net

Jun 24 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see | below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- | videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, | or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jun 25 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see | below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2. |

JULY 2000

Jul 9 (Sun): Peotone, IL - Kankakee Area Radio Society, http://www.w9az.com. Info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. Tel: 815-939-7548. Email: k9nr@juno.com

Jul 15 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see | below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- | videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, | or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite. |

Jul 23 (Sun): Sugar Grove, IL - Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html Info: Maurice Schietecatte, W9CEO, 813 Cindy Lane, Sandwich, IL 60548. Tel: 815-786-2860. Email: w9ceo@arrl.net (good, small to midsized ham-fest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 2000

Aug 12 (Sat): Quincy, IL - Western Illinois ARC. http://www.qsl.net/w9awe. Info: Jim Funk, N9JF, PO Box 3132, Quincy, IL 62305-3132. Tel: 217-336-4191 . Email:

jfunk@adams.net

Aug 13 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 66th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. | Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, | take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free | parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea | market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea | market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea | Market. Tickets are $4.00 in advance with double stub, | $5.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. | Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July | 25th, Christine Mack, PO Box 2161, Oak Park, IL 60303. tel. | 708-358-1786, email: christine1@mediaone.net. Talk in on | 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater |

Aug 19 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see | below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- | videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, | or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite. |

Aug 27 (Sun): Danville, IL - Vermilion County ARA. Info: Gary Denison, KA9SKS, 14704 East 2750 North Road, Danville, IL 61834-5610. Tel: 217-759-7389 . Email: gdenison@danville.net

Aug 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see | below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

SEPTEMBER 2000 |

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see | below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- | videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, | or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite. |

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. http://www.w9uvi.org. Info: Jim Williams, N9HHU, PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. Tel: 309-692-3378. Email: jimn9hhu@juno.com

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best ham-fest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see | below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000 |

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see | below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- | videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, | or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see | below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2. |

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see | below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- | videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, | or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see | below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2. |

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see | below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel- | videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, | or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES |

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

141. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <84lj14hih1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 123669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7Aob4.26713$ds3.265900@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>,
Jim Wayda <jwayda@xspam.home.com> wrote:
>The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective mode.
>Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!
>
I think railway telegraphy is more outdated.

--
If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail.
Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm.
Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development.
Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI

142. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Hesb4.16819$ss3.299519@news.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 123670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
> tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
> to take the 2 week course twice.

Let's also remember that the students had been heavily presorted for
aptitude before they ever saw the class.

143. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <84ljdm$87n$3@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 123671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Don't know about you, Dave, but most of the Texans I know, including
> myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed. It's
> the principle that matters. Of course, if one doesn't have any principles,
> one might choose to be a sheep, ripe for shearing.

--> I guess I don't consider a $200 color TV or a $2,000 car worth dying
for, but if you do, the more power to you.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"throw away the rudder
float away on a vapour trail"
-- The Tragically Hip

144. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6sl353l5k298@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@xspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:7Aob4.26713$ds3.265900@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com...
> The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective mode.
> Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!

Jim et al ...

It is pretty clear from a careful reading of the R&O that the ONE AND
ONLY reason given by the FCC for keeping ANY code test at all is
that we have a non-waiverable obligation under the ITU Radio Regulations
to have SOME code test for access to bands below 30 MHz.

Absent that, I don't think we'd have ANY code test in the R&O since
none is necessary except to satisfy an outdated treaty obligation which
will most likely be removed in a couple of years at the next WRC after
the upcoming one (it's on the agenda for that WRC).

THEN the FCC will be able to say, "Look, we've had a NCT for nearly
15 years and 5 wpm for full access to HF for a couple of years now and
the world hasn't fallen apart. There is no longer a treaty obligation,
which
was the ONLY reason for keeping even a 5 wpm code test these last
couple of years, so we hereby eliminate Element 1 (the code test) and all
references thereto in our Rules."

Until then, we've made tremendous progress.

73,
- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
```

Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG5UMZe+N6+q84HiEQLG/wCeOwr5ryXFM6IGU0CzcBubKikVHg0AoN1o
7ALRg7VxnqCIlXt7ZkxIdRxf
=2NZr
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

145. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E51DA.212D22C6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
> --> I guess I don't consider a $200 color TV or a $2,000 car worth dying
> for, but if you do, the more power to you.

I consider prevention of future robberies, rapes, and murders worth the
risk. There's an excellent chance that if I go, so does the SOB.

If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
maintain any self-esteem at all?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

146. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: denton sprague <denton@oregontrail.net>
Message-ID: <386DC481.1EF26339@oregontrail.net>
Source record: 123674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On the other hand...I have been an amateur advanced class op for about 15 years now...and I can for one say that this should give some of the young blood some incinitive to really get their licences and get on the air. I haven't really worked cw for years now, but I can forsee an upsurge in interest in the mode, since it will become somewhat an interest in those that no longer view upgrading to higher cw speeds to get on hf. As for me...I am going to get some study literature and try for my extra licence even though it won't have the prestige (anyone for an friendly argument here?) it once did.
The times they are a changin'.....

147. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6slgpp95k279@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Darrell Franks" <defranks@hctc.com> wrote in message
news:s6qnvfe25k229@corp.supernews.com...
> It's too late now, of course, but I wish that the FCC had two-tracked it.
> They could have had code and no-code (or 5wpm) tracks. Leave the CW
portion
> of the Extra band for folks who can pass a 20wpm test. Leave the phone
> portions for folks who don't care about CW. The good news is, people who
> don't want to learn the code are still going to stay out of the code
> sub-bands.

Er, pardon me ... I think you meant the "non-SSB/SSTV digital bands." I
am not interested in the code, but I expect to soon be doing some
interesting
digital stuff in what your erroneously refer to as "the code sub-bands."

It's called progress ... live with it.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG5V45e+N6+q84HiEQJ07wCgjVEs/8Kq/VhFgfK71KxTOlSiJl4An38M
SxqUIW9TiNz/pjSI/leJ6c6Q
=59T1
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

148. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6sludo15k254@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:84lcve$o45$2@208.207.71.163...

> I sure hope your wife doesn't have problems tolerating
> trash, since its almost a certainty we'll get a large
> increase in that sort of thing within the ARS.

Dick,

If you'll simply post your operating schedule here, his wife
will be able to avoid a significant portion of the trash on
the bands :-)

Carl - wa6vse

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG5XmJe+N6+q84HiEQJndwCbB2dcx28YUSwXO7vavf7tGBEL44UAoPy2
UuJZIMle3MjdxApx2hut1y4J
=0Y3l
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

149. Re: nd8v: The asshole of ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84lnk0$f7c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123677

In article <20000101172359.1694.qmail@nym.alias.net>,
An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net> wrote:
> This slob has taken over for Rich as the asshole of ham radio. Hey
> Mike, get a life ya fucking prick.
>
> your wife loved my prick i allmost thought your dog was her at first
but the dog looks better fuck off!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

150. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6smebj55k2162@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
news:946748055.809131@rochesterEDGE...
>
> Jim Wayda wrote in message ...
> >The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any
> requirement.
> >It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
> >signals!!!
>
>
> There's an international treaty that makes that unfeasible at the moment..
5
> words per minute is the INTERNATIONAL minimum code speed for Amateur Radio
> below 30 Mhz.

Actually, the last part of that is untrue. The ITU Treaty/Radio Regulations
are
completely silent on any speed requirement. The FCC *could* have set a
1 word per fortnight code speed requirement and been perfectly within the
Radio Regulations/Treaty Requirement. But since 5 wpm was the lowest
existing code test speed and has already been accepted by CEPT for granting
US ops full HF privs in CEPT countries, they went with 5 wpm for now.

If you read the R&O carefully, the ITU Treaty/Radio Regulations are the
ONLY reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY code test.

>There are several nations who are looking to drop the code
> requirement altogether (the US is NOT one). The treaty is reviewed every
> few years and you may see the code requirements loosened further
> (eliminated), if and when the international requirement is dropped
> (...personally, I'd be suprised to see it dropped real soon... maybe 5-6
> years away)

Consideration of S25.5 ... the section of the ITU Treaty/Radio Regulations
which required Morse tests (at no specified speed) for licenses granting
access to the bands below 30 MHz is on the agenda for the next after the
upcoming WRC. There is a great deal of international support for
eliminating
the Morse requirement from the treaty entirely and it is expected that it
will
be eliminated upon consideration at the WRC
```

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG5Zepe+N6+q84HiEQLs/gCfSB2c3feA8T1pobIZm6X37Aibho8An2wH
nFSkaty3HbI48Wqge0t+yV5x
=KcTn
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

151. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <386E5AB4.B4C11DFC@dimensional.com>
Source record: 123679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey guys,

This rather worthless and futile thread is being cross-posted to the following groups:

rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
rec.radio.shortwave

Could we possibly confine it to the policy and misc groups? All you have to do is edit the address list when you reply and delete the inappropriate groups. After awhile this crap should then disappear from antennas, equipment, etc.

Thanks and 73,

Marv
AB0IO

152. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <386E5A45.58F2CD74@cats-net.com>
Source record: 123680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall wrote:
> Now we finally are recognizing the pointlessness of requiring advanced
> CW simply to access phone HF, and of course the old farts will whine
> about the doom and gloom that it will bring.

"We" are, huh? And everyone who holds a differing opinion is an "old
fart". You are just as I supposed you to be.

> As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys that
> cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license > that they hold.

Dave, there is no moral nor any legal requirement for me to accept
anyone for who they are or what they are. I never had any problem
accepting anyone because of amateur radio license class. I'm not, on
the other hand, obligated to like someone.

I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
> stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel. More
> room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress, and
> who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.

Again, anyone who holds a differing opinion from yours is a stuffed
shirt who should leave amateur radio and leave room for "us"--those who
"don't have a close minded, bigoted and predjudicial (sic) attitude".
You are just as I supposed you to be.

Neither a license class nor a callsign will make you other than you are.

Strive to be mediocre!

Dave Heil 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

153. Re: FCC update on License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Branch <k4nr@satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dOeb4.7067$PM2.358244@typhoon1.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 123681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

David,

This thread is being SPAMed to rec.radio.swap (and many other newsgroups)--I redirected it to .misc and .policy. Since I saw it, I'll take a minute to respond. Note I do not subscribe to .policy or .misc--please CC me via email if you want a response.

As a contestor, I welcome all the new HF operators--more operators equal more QSOs and a higher score. I'm betting some will use CW in order to chase DX during CW contests. Pileups will certainly get worse--glad I have 275 confirmed!

It doesn't matter if you (or anyone else) likes it or not, the new rules will go into effect in April 2000. The FCC kept the 5 wpm Morse test to comply with an international treaty. Of course, I'm sure the treaty will change in the next few years and eventually the FCC may do away with the test. In the meantime, this solar cycle charges along towards its maximum next year. It's an 11-year cycle, so you can always catch the next one.

Quit your whining--go pass the simple 5 wpm Morse test (my 7 year old passed it on the first try) and 2 more written tests (which by your own admission will be very easy for you) and get on HF as an Extra. If you don't want to bother with the tests, stay a Technician. Don't worry, we'll be okay on that "lousy" 7 MHz either way.

73 de Tom, K4NR

David Little wrote in message <84jlkt$r23$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>...

>Am I claiming to be SuperHam, not hardly. I am catagorized as not
>knowledable to operate the coveted 7 MHz of spectrum that surrounds 11
>meters. However, I can and have utilized from 50Mhz to 440MHz (Thats 390
>MHz of spectrum for those who rely on binary oscillations created by a
>momentary contact spst switch ie CW)

154. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84jvac$s6s$a@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:

> If CW gets through when no other
> mode will, they would be stupid not to learn it.

Well, you're right about that. They ARE stupid.

155. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84jvfc$s6s$b@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> > As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys that
> > cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license that
> > they hold. I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
> > stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel. More
> > room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress, and
> > who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.
>
> I agree 100%. Exactly what is wrong with live and let live?
>

Boy, that's right...get rid of all those old stuffed shirts
that don't want you idiots turning all of ham radio into one
big Freeband. Right.

156. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84jvjr$s6s$c@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > They seem quite satisfied with their chosen spot in
> > the scheme.
>
> Are you saying that they actually enjoy kissing your
> posterior?

No, you seem to be really fond of saying that, though.

I said what I said, and it's right. Now most of them will probably find ambition enough to learn 5wpm, since the 'return' is the whole farm.

157. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84k07j$s6s$e@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil, not to point out any discontinuity in your testimony
> > or anything but you DID say that your "proctors" didn't give
> > you a 13wpm code test.
>
> That's a lie, Dick, and you know it. I passed the 13wpm Morse
> code and flunked the written exam first try. Second try, the
> ham didn't have any way to send Morse code to me. He called the
> first ham and asked him if I could do 13wpm. The first ham
> verified that I had done five minutes of solid copy at 13wpm
> six weeks before. My code test and written test were given
> by different hams. The same thing is possible today.

But it WAS NOT legal then, and YOU know it.

158. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84k0cg$s6s$f@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob Lewis wrote:
>

> Many are getting too carried away with this thing. If you love CW you
> should be doing back-flips because we didn't loose any bandwidth in
> the process.

Yet. Guaranteed that is one of the next whine/rants.

159. Re: Restructuring - There isn't going to be any

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84k02f$s6s$d@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Brian wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
>
> > It just ain't gonna affect us, boys.
>
> Us? Boys? Who are you talking to?

YOU of course, and your minions

160. 3898 WHY SO MUCH POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84k3m5$fs7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123688

Why do gods gift to ham radio the goofs on 3898 have to run so much power. We sat on 3901 new years eve and had to listen to 30 over splater from these goof balls 60 over signals to talk state to state what happend to just enough power to make the contact and they cant blame big al qrmming hay hollingworth do your job..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

161. Re: 3898 WHY SO MUCH POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84k7i6$i0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123689

In article <84k3m5$fs7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> Why do gods gift to ham radio the goofs on 3898 have to run so much
> power. We sat on 3901 new years eve and had to listen to 30 over
> splater from these goof balls 60 over signals to talk state to state
> what happend to just enough power to make the contact and they cant
> blame big al qrmming hay hollingworth do your job..
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Some hams, like some car owners, just gotta have a belchfire v8 !

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

162. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <386D9F88.6E8B426A@cats-net.com>
Source record: 123690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Bob Lewis wrote:
> >
> > > Maybe I'm ignorant. Does not knowing Morse code lower one's IQ?
> >
> > Yup, it does Cecil. IQ=WPM/20 It was on my extra exam.
>
> I can only do about 18wpm. Should I give up my MENSA membership?

Yes, but not for that reason. Do it because your past postings belie
your vaunted IQ.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm
```

163. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <uhhb4.7093$iC6.42593@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 123691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have seen "real hams" that don't know anything about radios and how they work. We a "real ham" that give signal reports on a 2M repeater. This same "real ham" can't understand why people can't hear him off the side of his beams. CW was originally meant as a means for communication between machines. They should have left it that way.

--
David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - nospam@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my last name in e-mail address above for replies.

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:84hepu$e94$e@208.207.71.146...
> don@KB9VEK.ham wrote:
> >
> > I don't care what they say, I'm still taking the 13wpm next week and "they"
> > can't stop me!
>
> Way to go, Don. YOU will be one of the few *REAL HAMS* to
> emerge from all this.
>
> While you're at it, just go for the Extra, too, prior to
> April 14. You can get the 20wpm code in a month if you use
> the W1AW code practice sessions on the air- which I would
> imagine they'll probably discontinue after April 15 since no
> one will need to use them for upgrading anymore.
> If you do, try copying the sessions where they start faster
> code first, then slow down progressively. Just relax and
> listen, copying whatever you can at the faster speeds, then
> when the slower segments come along you find that you can do
> better than you thought! Try this for a month and you'll
> have it. Good luck.

164. Re: AF2Y, WHAT HAPPENED TO YOUR DENTAL PRACTICE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <386d858e.73872725@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123692

got caught filching gold.

\
On 01 Jan 2000 03:04:30 GMT, rfenforcer@aol.com (RFEnforcer) wrote:

>In article <84j0g2$q2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, reeldeal@my-deja.com writes:
>
>>Please clear Matter up for inquiring minds on this forum! Why a man so
>>young would desert his Dental Practice. Was it under shady
>>Circumstances?
>>You owe us an explaination because of your actions on this forum!!
>
>
>He'll never answer you. I have been asking him this same question for many
>months now. Funny how he ignores me completely, yet is such a loudmouth about
>everything else.
>
>
>Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
>discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.
>

165. Re: CW requirment still in force.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <386d8510.73747074@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123693

I won't be whining. The only reason I'm interesed in ham is for DX on HF. 5 wpm beats the heck out of 13 to get SSB QSOs.

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 21:54:55 -0000, "Steve" <steve@Nospam.com> wrote:

>What a shame. The no-code whiners still have to take a
>CW test. sniff...sniff....
>
>It's only 5wpm but you know they'll be crying the blues
>about it.
>
>Steve
>
>

166. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leland Van Koten <vankoten@home.com>
Message-ID: <386DA31B.5C0D616F@home.com>
Source record: 123694

Although I'm not one of those who think this restructuring proposal is the end of the world, I very much hope you're wrong about elimination of the 5 wpm requirement. Learning CW at 5 wpm just isn't that difficult, and having a large group of people who know it provides a number of advantages:

1. CW provides reliable communications under worse conditions than almost any other mode -- with the possible exception of some of the newer digital modes which also require more equipment than a simple transceiver and a key.

2. The equipment needed to operate CW is vastly simpler, cheaper, and more compact than the equipment needed for any other mode. If I were going on an extended hiking trip in a remote area, I could carry a 40 meter QRP transceiver and a dipole that would be extremely light and compact, and be pretty certain of being able to find somebody to answer a CQ almost 24 hours per day, 365 days per year. That isn't true of any other mode, and it won't be true of CW for all that many years after testing for CW proficiency is eliminated (if it is).

But those two points having been made, neither of these requires an ability to copy high-speed CW, and I have never understood why being able to copy CW at 20 wpm should have anything to do with being able to use the bottom 25 KHz of the PHONE sub-bands on 15, 20 and 75 meters.

If I had my "druthers," the restructuring would have looked much like it did, but the 20 wpm CW test would have been retained for the current Extra CW sub-bands. I hope I'm wrong, but I am afraid that when April 15 rolls around, there are going to be a lot of people like me who barely passed at 13 wpm but really aren't comfortable at more than about 10 wpm, who will be chasing DX on the bottom 25 KHz of the CW sub-bands, and who will foul things up for the really proficient CW operators.

I don't think the ability to operate high-speed CW has the importance that it once did, since most of the functions of that mode can be assumed by some of the digital modes, but I do think there should contine to be a place for really proficient CW operators, and I'm afraid that if they don't have some bandspace that they "own," the incentives for learning that skill will rapidly disappear.

Lee, KE3FB

Fizzy wrote:
>
> My own feeling is that CW is unimportant (even though I once held a 35
> wpm ARRL certificate) and that it needs to be eliminated as a

> requirement ASAP.
>
> Gentlemen, VICTORY IS OURS!!!!

167. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <386DB593.E7B45E3B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123695

RFEnforcer wrote:

> In article <386CE80B.730E96DA@worldnet.att.net>, Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
> writes:
>
> >I suppose it's really an issue of character, if you think it's OK, as long as
> >you can get away with it that's your prerogative.
>
> Welcome to American society in the new Millenium. Words to live by are
> "anything's okay as long as you don't get caught." And if you do get caught,
> make up an excuse for your actions. If you're a good enough liar, you'll get
> away with it. Just ask Bill Clinton.

No need to welcome me, I've been a member for 37 years and while many have chose the path you describe I'm not so sure your in a position to speak for the American society and what "words" they are choosing to live by. Again, it's a matter of character AND a matter of choice.

HNY Tim ka8ddz

168. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <84k9pp$n26$1@ionews.ionet.net>
Source record: 123696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Advance amateur radio's state of the art" - of all the laughably outdated directives I was forced to memorize, this one takes the cake.

This may be true in the old, old days when the typical ham build his/her own rig out of cereral boxes and coat hangers. I hold a BS in Mechanical Engineering and a MS in Computer Science, and I just got my first ham ticket. My plan for advancing the state of the art involves stopping by Texas Towers and picking up a brand new Yaesu FT-847.

Wake up and smell the surface mount device, old timer.

KD5IOU

N8TB <sam@SPAMMSUKKSerinet.com> wrote in message news:386d132f$0$84807@news.voyager.net...
>...
> Iv'e heard that argument for many years. The codeless tech license has
> been
> with us since 1991. There must have been a flood of all the EE's, and
> other brainiacs
> into our wonderful hobby. With all of this cranial enhancement, I have
> to ask why not
> one of these codeless techs have not brought-forth a significant
> technical or design
> to advance amatuer radio's state of the art.
>
> Have a happy......
> N8TB Tim

169. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <24ib4.2741$Vr.46731@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>The appropriate response to a robber with a gun is a .45 hollowpoint

If the robber already has his gun pointed at your head, and you go
for yours, you will likely get a hollow head, not a hollowpoint...

Of course if you go for your gun while being covered, you're
already thinking with a hollow head...

>Because of that, crime is virtually non-existent in
>my home town in East Texas.

It's hard to have crime in a town with 10 people in it...

170. Y2K strikes Liberty net Head Honcho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101023846.02361.00000544@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 123698

W1WCR, Libertynet Net Controller has problems/ Y2K computer meltdown. BIOS crashes, hard disk locks up, Password protected by alien, hard disk swap tried, computer smokes.
Rest of World OK ! further report @10pm

171. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <386ead55.177806577@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123699

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 02:17:51 -0500, Minnesota Murphy
<Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote:

>I am looking for the modification of Radio Shacks HTX 10, or even the
>schematic.
>I want to get into to the 11 meter band (CB).
>Any help would be appreciated
>
>"The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"

Why??? 10meters is good enough, no cursing just good contacts and
it's legal! If you don't have a Ham license its a great time to get
one. from april 15 on the tests including CW will be a piece of cake.
Also I heard that the Microprocessor will not allow the rig to operate
below 28Mhz so don't ruin a great radio leave it as it is or add a
power amp if you wish.

73s Rick KC2ESD a HTX-10 owner

172. Re: CW requirment still in force.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <386fafa5.178397823@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123700

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 21:54:55 -0000, "Steve" <steve@Nospam.com> wrote:

>What a shame. The no-code whiners still have to take a
>CW test. sniff...sniff....
>
>It's only 5wpm but you know they'll be crying the blues
>about it.
>
>Steve
>

Who is whining, Not me I learned the code at 5wpm in 3 days!

Rick KC2ESD

173. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84kehl$5p8$1@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 123701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I imagine they figured it wasn't necessary to add that
"You're not required to do anything at all except comply
with the rules if you actually put a transmitter on the
air".

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> >Nothing. I'm not in the electronics biz, I don't have the appropriate
> >technical background. Beyond that how do you figger that I have a
> >requirement on me to do techo things just because I have a ham
> >license? I don't see that as a condition of licensing in the fine
> >print anywhere on my ticket or in Part 97.
>
> Well, let's look at our "fundamental purpose" (FCC words):
>
> 97.1 Basis and purpose.
> The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
> radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
> principles:
> (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
> public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
> respect to providing emergency communications.
> (b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute
> to the advancement of the radio art.
> (c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which
> provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases
> of the art.
> (d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of
> trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
> (e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
> international goodwill.
>
> And the print's not all that fine, Brian!
>
> Jeff KH6O/6

174. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <84ke24net1@ionews.ionet.net>
Source record: 123702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I resemble your remarks about wondering from hobby to hobby. Tell me again why I should be kept out? You're saying only those for whom Amateur Radio is the ONLY passion should be allowed in?

I happen to feel that life is too short not to make the most of it. You sir, apparently think I'm a lesser human being because my diverse interests. So you want me to proove my dedication by jumping through a few firey hoops? No thanks. I don't consider circus acts a useful skill, neither is 20wpm.

About your "job to job, wife to wife"... Get off yer moral highhorse and smell your own horse farts at eye level.

KD5IOU

AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote in message news:386D03CC.4A5A@imt.net...
> Brian Denley wrote:
>...
> It's not knowing the code,it's being willing to make a small
> investment in the way of a little effort to get something worth
> having,and if the crybabies didn't think it was worth having they
> wouldn't be crying.
> Having a few simple hoops to jump through helps keep out the moderatly
> interested that wonder from hobby to hobby,job to job,wife to wife,and
> take what they can get but never give because they were really never
> that interested.

175. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84kebn$5p8$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 123703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I know - It's a conspiracy by the ARRL! :-)

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
> Maybe trying to directly reach the next wave of new radio amateurs?
>
> Gary - KJ6Q
> --
> "Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
> spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."
>
> Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu>
> >
> > Why are you cross-posting to the non-amateur groups .cb, .scanner,
> > and .shortwave?
> >
> > Jeff KH6O/6

176. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <84kg54nkv1@ionews.ionet.net>
Source record: 123704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote in message news:386D03CC.4A5A@imt.net...
> Brian Denley wrote:
> Having a few simple hoops to jump through helps keep out the moderatly
> interested that wonder from hobby to hobby,job to job,wife to wife,and
> take what they can get but never give because they were really never
> that interested.

Since you brought the wife into this:
It took a lot of persuasion on my part to convince my wife to learn 5wpm with me and try for her Novice ticket. She is studying diligently for the written exam with zero technical background. She barely passed her college graduation math requirement a couple of yrs ago. Her engineer husband helps out as much as possible, but she admits some sections are just way too difficult for her so she may have to memorize those questions.

We both welcome the FCC announcement with excitement, as it may potentially help ease her uphill battle.

Some of you might commend me for introducing another person into the Ham world. Others, as plainly evident in these discussion, will be openly scornful of my attempt to dillute your supposed exclusive elite ranks with a techno-idiot. Ask yourself, which side are you on?

KD5IOU

177. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84ki4j$5p8$5@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 123705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Boy, that's right...get rid of all those old stuffed shirts
> > that don't want you idiots turning all of ham radio into one
> > big Freeband. Right.
>
> You're out in left field again, Dick. How can duly licensed
> hams operating on ham frequencies be freebanders?
>

Just hang around and see.

178. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84kibn$5p8$6@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 123706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > But it WAS NOT legal then, and YOU know it.
>
> Please prove that it was illegal. There was no requirement that
> the code test examiner had to be the same ham as the written test
> examiner.
>

Wrong. The test included a sworn statment under penalty of
law that the signtory had administered the required code
test.

But let it lie, hell it doesn't matter anyway. Its all just
more of your class envy, which you can cure soon.

179. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <386ddf6c.270695258@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 23:40:25 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Boy, that's right...get rid of all those old stuffed shirts
>> that don't want you idiots turning all of ham radio into one
>> big Freeband. Right.
>
>You're out in left field again, Dick. How can duly licensed
>hams operating on ham frequencies be freebanders?

Cecil of course Dick is right, if of course you use the his
dictionary, to him "freebanders" are any that disagrees with him
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

180. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@buffnet.net
Message-ID: <386fc54f.9864623@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 123708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 30 Dec 1999 18:40:27 -0600, AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:

>I am an ARRL member and I think it sucks,on the bright side now my
>poodle can get a license.

Your poodle can copy code at 5 WPM? Perhaps you should contact
Letterman, you could be on Stupid Pet Tricks *and* Stupid Human Tricks
with the same act.

73 and good DX'ing,
John Kasupski, KC2FNG

"We believe that an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse
code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art," the FCC said.

181. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <386de0d8.271059069@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123709

On 31 Dec 1999 23:31:36 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote:
>>The key word is "MAY." The FCC is severely underfunded as it is. Do you
>>really think they will have time and budget to monitor 10 meters and run a
>>check on every callsign they hear?
>
>Maybe not, but we do. Everytime I work someone, I always pull up their
>license data (mainly to get their address so I can send them a QSL card).

And your point is?

One, you lack enforement power.

Two, ok so they are listed as a tech, how do you know wether
or not they pased a code test

It all goes back to reality. Code testing never did and never
keep people of the bands, it only keeps law abiding ones off, the R&O
changes none of that
>
>Jeff KH6O/6
>

182. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@xspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <Rblb4.26627$ds3.265567@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 123710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any requirement.
It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
signals!!!

Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote in message
news:84k6hsmde2@ionews.ionet.net...
> <don@KB9VEK.ham> wrote in message
news:sxWa4.212$g3.657@news1.iquest.net...
> > I don't care what they say, I'm still taking the 13wpm next week and
> "they"
> > can't stop me!
>
> Good for you. I, however, won't be. I will be taking the 5wpm but, now
> thanks to the FCC, no faster. To me, the code is just like the sign
> language, or any other foreign language for that matter. It's nice to
know
> how, but why should I be forced to be ultra proficient in an optional
> language that I may or may not want to use on the air?
>
> I read with amusement an article in the "Welcome to Amateur radio" booklet
> which came with the ARRL welcome package after I got my Technician
license.
> In it the author trumpeted the achievements of a particular gentleman who
> could copy some 76 wpm, and went on to encourage the readers to emulate
his
> methods and "practice, practice, practice". For what?!!! So I can brag
to
> my neighbors?
>
> Code is useful, yes. My wife and I actually think it's fun learning it
> together. But more power to the FCC for giving code eliticists the
> proverbial UP-YOURS.
>
> I'd rather learn how to pick up 2x4s with my butt cheeks than to copy
23wpm.
> :-D
>
>

183. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <386de415.271887909@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 11:05:21 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@xspam.home.com>
wrote:

>The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any requirement.
>It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
>signals!!!

I am sorry but even I who does not like the mode most give it
its due. Its TWO steps above smoke signals, the first being the now
illegal spark gap

184. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <386de237.271409779@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123712

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 06:49:31 GMT, Leland Van Koten <vankoten@home.com>
wrote:

>Although I'm not one of those who think this restructuring proposal is
>the end of the world, I very much hope you're wrong about elimination of
>the 5 wpm requirement. Learning CW at 5 wpm just isn't that difficult,
>and having a large group of people who know it provides a number of
>advantages:

Do speak for yourself.
>
>1. CW provides reliable communications under worse conditions than
>almost any other mode -- with the possible exception of some of the
>newer digital modes which also require more equipment than a simple
>transceiver and a key.

Really, and just how does it do without being used by most
hams (according to the ARRL)

>
>2. The equipment needed to operate CW is vastly simpler, cheaper, and
>more compact than the equipment needed for any other mode. If I were
>going on an extended hiking trip in a remote area, I could carry a 40
>meter QRP transceiver and a dipole that would be extremely light and
>compact, and be pretty certain of being able to find somebody to answer
>a CQ almost 24 hours per day, 365 days per year. That isn't true of any
>other mode, and it won't be true of CW for all that many years after
>testing for CW proficiency is eliminated (if it is).

Compat lightweight? not more than my stuff. Cheaper well maybe you got
one there, so we hams should be cheap IYO

As to your assertions that the CW will die out without
testing, well it is unsopprted. I hope it is true given the IMO overly
mystic station it has enjoyed in the ARS, something I think is bad for
the ARS, but even in my most optimistic (from my pov) veiw don't think
it will die out, or become all that rare, unless those that like and
support the mode sit on their backsides and do nothing to promote the
mode
>

>I don't think the ability to operate high-speed CW has the importance
>that it once did, since most of the functions of that mode can be
>assumed by some of the digital modes, but I do think there should
>contine to be a place for really proficient CW operators, and I'm afraid
>that if they don't have some bandspace that they "own," the incentives

>for learning that skill will rapidly disappear.

Incentive based systems only work well when what is given is
seen as more valuble than what is required. Clearly even 5WPM morse
may not live up to that level given the tendcies of techs not to
become tech plus
>
> Lee, KE3FB
>
>
>Fizzy wrote:
>>
>> My own feeling is that CW is unimportant (even though I once held a 35
>> wpm ARRL certificate) and that it needs to be eliminated as a
>> requirement ASAP.
>>
>> Gentlemen, VICTORY IS OURS!!!!

185. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <386DEFAA.654BD1C2@cats-net.com>
Source record: 123713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Volfy wrote:

...and "practice, practice, practice". For what?!!! So I can brag to
> my neighbors?
>
> Code is useful, yes. My wife and I actually think it's fun learning it
> together. But more power to the FCC for giving code eliticists the
> proverbial UP-YOURS.
>
> I'd rather learn how to pick up 2x4s with my butt cheeks than to copy 23wpm.
> :-D

Be my guest. It should certainly get your neighbors attention more than
your morse skill.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

186. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <386DF3E4.36A8D4E4@amouse.net>
Source record: 123714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > You mean like the driving test, Dick? Every state publishes a simple
> > study guide for the license test, and the tests are even shorter than
> > the ham license test. Every answer on that test is in that short thirty
> > or forty page booklet.
>
> Sure it is, as INFORMATION PRESENTED, not the exact
> questions and answers.
> Nice try at distortion, but it didn't work. There's NO
> correlation whatever. You have to read and understand the
> material to come up with the answers.

And you believe the small booklet study guides prepares the potential driver better than the test method used by the FCC - that it covers all the information that the driver needs to operate a vehicle? Those people are driving a vehicle that has the potential to kill people.

However, my main point, Dick, is that the ham license test is not a final exam that proves your knowledge, it is an entrance exam into the world of hobby radio. You not supposed to know everything when you complete those tests - your supposed to learn about this hobby radio after you take the test.

That was the intent of the FCC when designing those tests, and those tests serve that purpose well.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

187. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <386dd753.434443@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 123715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 Jan 2000 00:26:32 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>>Nothing. I'm not in the electronics biz, I don't have the appropriate
>>technical background. Beyond that how do you figger that I have a
>>requirement on me to do techo things just because I have a ham
>>license? I don't see that as a condition of licensing in the fine
>>print anywhere on my ticket or in Part 97.
>
>Well, let's look at our "fundamental purpose" (FCC words):
>
>97.1 Basis and purpose.
>The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
>radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
>principles:
>(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
>public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
>respect to providing emergency communications.
>(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute
>to the advancement of the radio art.
>(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which
>provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases
>of the art.
>(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of
>trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
>(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
>international goodwill.
>
>And the print's not all that fine, Brian!
>
>Jeff KH6O/6
>
Jeffy, I suggest in the strongest possible terms that you submit
yourself to some rigorous professional testing of your reading
comprehension skills. Particularly since you're obviously connected in
some manner or another to academia at the university level where most
folk are commonly expected to know how to read, interpret and think
thru written Inglish, an area in which you apparently have some
problems.
>
All of the above which you have cited is in the Part 97 preamble which
lays out the fuzzy warmy justifications for the existence of the

Amateur Radio Service and nothing more. None of those archaic cliches have anything whatsoever to do with the licensing of amateur radio stations or operators and/or the requirements for the licensing of same. Loose translation for the semi-literate: There is no regulatory onus on me or any other ham licensee to write a QST article about our latest techo brainfart which most of us have never had and never will have.
>
If your medical school can't help you sort out your problem your law school damned well will.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

188. AF2Y: Llgpt's 1st 2000 bulletin!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101083112.22432.00000658@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 123716

Hot off the wire folks. This just came in. The first exclusive dated 1/1/00.
Mr. Lewis is going to make KT4TR a special millennium project.

Doc,

Don't even start to believe TR, he hasn't been up north.....he has stayed in
his " field ' drinking.....that I can guarantee you. Look at the 14.313 web
site, he has some updates on the ZERO from VERO.

Just like that asshole used to have a Christmas packagr, there will be a New
Years package on TR.....HEH!!!!!!!!! HEH!!!!!!!!!!!!

L.Lewis
Vero Beach, Florida
with the kt4TRuth report
Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

189. DID AF2Y "FILTCH" GOLD FROM PATIENTS TEETH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: reeldeal@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84l45n$3ip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123717

Thats what "SOMEBODY" claimed in a post to this forum . I don't know but I think he is capable of the ole dental gold filtch! What did happen to his dental practice? He was too young for retirement when was sent home to do the mischief on the amateur bands and now on the internet!!

Reeldeal

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

190. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEP <transoman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84l29k$aed@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 123718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yet another fine example of the hams waiting for someone else to do their dirty work. This item isn't new and if 500,000 hams had written (if that many can write in complete sentences) the FCC, this would have been put to bed long ago. All of this bickering is the exact reason I no longer participate in amateur communications. 30 years of enjoyment, but no more. I said it before and I'll say it again, the hams are their own worst enemy.

JEP WA8X

191. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E0DD5.5148C4BB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > There was no requirement that
> > the code test examiner had to be the same ham as the written test
> > examiner.
> >
>
> Wrong.

Misinformed as usual, Dick. The code exam could be administered
by a general or higher and the written exam could be administered
by anyone who was at least 21 years old. The written exam could be
administered on a different date at a different location by a
different person. Please get your facts straight before accusing
someone of illegal actions.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

192. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E0F16.C380E8DD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any requirement.
> It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
> signals!!!

The FCC wisely did not do away with CW. It still can be used on any
HF ham frequency. It is still a useful mode for a lot of hams. Why
do you want to take that away? Doesn't that make you just as bad
as someone who wants to force you to learn Morse code? What
is wrong with live and let live?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

193. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E10AC.A83C1FD8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Boy, that's right...get rid of all those old stuffed shirts
> > > that don't want you idiots turning all of ham radio into one
> > > big Freeband. Right.
> >
> > You're out in left field again, Dick. How can duly licensed
> > hams operating on ham frequencies be freebanders?
>
> Just hang around and see.

Oh, I suppose you're talking about someone who might utter those
dreadfully evil sounds, "10-4 Gud Buddy"?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

194. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@xspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <7Aob4.26713$ds3.265900@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 123722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective mode.
Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:84iq0robi1@news.hawaii.edu...
> In article <386C4EC5.385D641@csnsys.com>, Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote:
> >BTW..the FCC seldom comes up with ANYTHING on their own..they respond to
> >requests from people. I have submitted several requests for changes to
> >the rules and have received considerable consideration and response from
> >the FCC. This restructuring was a direct result of the ARRL submission
> >and the numerous comments surrounding the proposed action. The FCC
> >didn't just think it up. So if you want something changed, don't tell
> >me, tell them. There is an established procedure for submittal, but it
> >is easily accomplished.
>
> Let's start a campaign to raise the code speed for access to HF.
>

195. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386E1383.21F7F152@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >The appropriate response to a robber with a gun is a .45 hollowpoint
>
> If the robber already has his gun pointed at your head, and you go
> for yours, you will likely get a hollow head, not a hollowpoint...
> Of course if you go for your gun while being covered, you're
> already thinking with a hollow head...

Why would you assume worse case? Since it is my silently-alarmed
home, it is very unlikely that a robber would get the drop on me.
Probability is 100:1 that I would have him in my sights before he
knew I was awake. And he would first be greeted by 140 lbs of dog.
Of course, if he's armed and I'm not, my dog is dead and, more than
likely, so am I. You people who want to arm criminals and disarm
law-abiding citizens make me sick.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

196. FT-100 mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Weiler <grweiler@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <386E1927.D65A867F@fuse.net>
Source record: 123724

Does anyone have the mod for the FT-100? I tried the one posted on QRZ.com but no luck. THX, Gary

197. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KAL <kal4502@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eYHCexGV$GA.262@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 123725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

After reading the multitude of post regarding this issue, this is my opinion of the whole affair.

There will be a top heavy number of Extra Class Operators. (Just took the test after a weeks worth of intermentant study and passed with an 80 %).

There will be a fight for 1x2, 2x4, 4x6 or what ever the "designer" call sign pattern is in vogue at the moment. Why you might ask? Because this is America and being one means you must possess the "look and feel" of having made it in life. If this was not the case then vehicle leasing programs so the average Joe or Jill could buy an overpriced SUV or luxary car would unnnecessary.

There will be eventually restructuring of band assignments, and CW, Digital and other forms of non-voice communications will be squeezed into very small bandwidth. Maybe CW will die off, which will make the dye-in-the-wool anti-CW supports very happy.

One CB-derived person commented on other Hams picked on him and his kind for being bad operators therefore not welcomed into Ham Radio ranks. All I know is 2 meters and 7.258 MHz which in the 1970s sounded ham oriented now sound similar to the "trucker only" outband channels on CB. Especially 7.258 MHz during the day, I tried using MIDCARS this summer for the first time on a mobile. I was not welcomed during the afternoon because of not driving an 18 wheeler, sure they are a few old timers on MIDCARS from my youthful days in the 70's but these guys are a minority now.

The bottom line is this Ham radio will grow but, the technical experts are not going to come in droves. Computers not Radio are what the technical experts crave these days and you take no tests (other than for you BSxxin college). Remember money talks and BS walks axiom. The logic behind this statement, as supported by both the FCC and ARRL, is flawed. Ham Radio is a consumer oriented hobby bottom line, just visit your neighborhood Ham store. There is very little "home brew" rigs for sale, and I do not mean kit built homebrew either.

Lets face it everyone involved in this decision has a hidden agenda or financially motivated goal. The facts to support the changes were created to meet the situation at hand. The die is cast and we are about to go into production, so hop on the bus and go for the ride. What is certain is things (or is it thangs) are are going to change.

KAL

198. Re: DID AF2Y "FILTCH" GOLD FROM PATIENTS TEETH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: juicelikaf2ysux@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84l8u9$6i7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123726

Dr Hate is not going to answer that question. He has been asked numerous times. I don't know if he "filtched" the gold off the gums, but it would not surprise me. I know something happened to him which probably accounts for his disgraceful behavior in his contact with decent people.. What ever, we will endure the neferious Dr Hate. He is of little importance , and is looked on by most people as a "KOOK"

Juicelikaf2ysux

In article <84l45n$3ip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
reeldeal@my-deja.com wrote:
> Thats what "SOMEBODY" claimed in a post to this forum . I don't know
> but I think he is capable of the ole dental gold filtch! What did
> happen to his dental practice? He was too young for retirement when
was
> sent home to do the mischief on the amateur bands and now on the
> internet!!
>
> Reeldeal
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

199. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioguy <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>
Source record: 123727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Howdy:

I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.

I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their social security number or zip code perhaps.

Seriously, this historic change to amateur licensing should not ignore a subsequent change to appear in manner of call sign issuance. I sincerely feel that those amateurs who made it the hard/old/traditional... way should enjoy that fact---at least for the duration of their amateur career, by having their call sign identifier separate from those of future amateurs for the sake of tradition. Honestly, I think a conventional call sign with a three letter prefix would serve this function nicely.

Sincerely,

200. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: N4ST <jim@n4st.com>
Message-ID: <946744528.55128@pizza.crosslink.net>
Source record: 123728

Gee, I did not know you were Chinese.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:84irstpmj1@news.hawaii.edu...
> RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote:
> >1993. Do you still feel so safe in "the land of the free?"
>
> You bet. They're doing exactly what I pay them to do.
>
> Jeff

201. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84lbc1$o45$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Volfy wrote:
>
> "Advance amateur radio's state of the art" - of all the laughably outdated
> directives I was forced to memorize, this one takes the cake.
>
> This may be true in the old, old days when the typical ham build his/her own
> rig out of cereral boxes and coat hangers. I hold a BS in Mechanical
> Engineering and a MS in Computer Science, and I just got my first ham
> ticket. My plan for advancing the state of the art involves stopping by
> Texas Towers and picking up a brand new Yaesu FT-847.
>
> Wake up and smell the surface mount device, old timer.
>

Does this mean that in all your education, you learned
nothing of importance outside your chosen field of
employment?

Actually, it looks like the price of good used ham gear
just took a steep upswing.

Actually, I wish you good luck in your new hobby. Here's to
you enjoying it half as much as I have.

73, Dick W0EX

202. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEP <transoman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84lb58$1us@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 123730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Head in the sand and worrying about call signs when the whole of ham radio
is about to change. You guys just don't get it yet---IT'S TOO LATE TO CHANGE
IT. The FCC has made their collective decision and now we will have to cope
with it..

Radioguy wrote in message <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>...
>Howdy:
>
>I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
>regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>
>I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
>group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
>social security number or zip code perhaps.
>
>Seriously, this historic change to amateur licensing should not ignore a
>subsequent change to appear in manner of call sign issuance. I
>sincerely feel that those amateurs who made it the
>hard/old/traditional... way should enjoy that fact---at least for the
>duration of their amateur career, by having their call sign identifier
>separate from those of future amateurs for the sake of tradition.
>Honestly, I think a conventional call sign with a three letter prefix
>would serve this function nicely.
>
>Sincerely,
>

203. Re: Voice of an old timer extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: fmaxsc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <386d5707.38158530@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123757

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 21:49:50 GMT, Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com> wrote:

YES! Change is never easy ...movement causes friction, but what's best for the hobby is more important than how long I studied for the 20wpm test. Hope the hobby grows and draws many bright, promising new comers.

WA4PAV/ 3DA0AH

Fred

204. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <Sybb4.7084$iC6.42263@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 123758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'll add my 2 cents on this. I know a technician who took 2 weeks to get
13
WPM and got his general. I also know a technician who has been trying
to get 13 WPM for a year and could run circles around the general when
it comes to understanding the technical aspects of radio. My point is that
some people have no problems learning code while for others it may be a
difficult or almost impossible task.

It's like learning to play a musical instrument. Some people have the gift,
some do not. Code in no way determines who will or will not be a good
amateur operator. Code probably prevents people who would otherwise make
an excellent operator from getting into the hobby or upgrading.

--
David M. Hitchner - hitchner@home.com
Baton Rouge, LA

KD5EIS - kd5eis@arrl.net

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://members.home.net/hitchner

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:s6nqporn5k291@corp.supernews.com...
> The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
>
> I Blame the ARRL!
>
>
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Dec 30, 1999--Amateur Radio will get a new look in the new
> millennium. The FCC today issued its long-awaited Report and Order in the
> 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review of Part 97--more commonly known as
> "license restructuring." The bottom line is that starting April 15, 2000,
> there will be three license classes--Technician, General, and Amateur
> Extra--and a single Morse code requirement--5 WPM.
>
> "We believe that an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse
> code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
> ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art," the FCC said.
>
> Besides drastically streamlining the Amateur Radio licensing process, the
> FCC said its actions would "eliminate unnecessary requirements that may
> discourage or limit individuals from becoming trained operators,

> technicians, and electronic experts."
>
> Although no new Novice and Advanced licenses will be issued after the
> effective date of the Report and Order, the FCC does not plan to
> automatically upgrade any existing license privileges. The
> ARRL had proposed a one-time across-the-board upgrading of current Novice
> and Tech Plus licensees to General class, but the FCC declined to adopt
> the idea. This means that current licensees will retain their current
> operating privileges, including access to various modes and subbands, and
> will be able to renew their licenses indefinitely.
>
> Starting April 15, 2000, individuals who qualified for the Technician
> class license prior to March 21, 1987, will be able to upgrade to General
> class by providing documentary proof to a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator,
> paying an application fee, and completing FCC Form 605.
>
> The FCC's decision not to automatically upgrade Novice and Tech Plus
> licensees means the current Novice/Tech Plus HF subbands will remain and
> not be "refarmed" to higher class licensees as the ARRL had proposed. The
> FCC said it did not refarm these subbands because there was "no consensus"
> within the amateur community as to what to do with them.
>
> As it had proposed earlier, the FCC decided to lump Technician and Tech
> Plus licensees into a single licensee database, all designated as
> "Technician" licensees. Those who can document having passed the 5 WPM
> Morse code examination will continue to have the current Tech Plus HF
> privileges. "If documentation is needed to verify whether a licensee has
> passed a telegraphy examination, we may request the documentation from
> that licensee or the VECs," the FCC said.
>
> In addition to reducing the number of license classes from six to three
> and eliminating the 20 and 13 WPM code tests, the FCC also will reduce the
> number of written examination elements from five to three, authorize
> Advanced Class hams to prepare and administer General class examinations,
> and eliminate Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES) station
> licenses. RACES will remain, however. "After review of the record, we
> conclude that we should eliminate RACES station licenses because RACES
> station licenses are unnecessary for amateur stations and amateur service
> licenses to provide emergency communications," the FCC said.
>
> Under the new licensing scheme, there will be four examination elements.
> Element 1 will be the 5 WPM Morse code exam. Element 2 will be a 35-
> question written test to obtain a Technician license; Element 3 will be a
> 35-question written test to obtain a General license, and Element 4 will
> be a 50-question written test for the Amateur Extra license. The FCC has
> left it in the hands of the National Conference of VECs Question Pool
> Committee to determine the specific mix and makeup of written examination
> questions. Current Amateur Radio study materials remain valid at least
> until the new rules become effective in April.
>

> The FCC's new licensing plan means someone will be able to become a ham by
> passing a single 35-question written examination. The plan also simplifies
> and shortens the upgrade path from the ground floor through Amateur Extra-
> -especially since amateurs will only have to pass one Morse code test.
>
> Elimination of the 13 and 20 WPM Morse requirements also means an end to
> physician certification waivers for applicants claiming an inability to
> pass the Morse code examination due to physical handicap.
>
> The effective date provides a window of upgrade opportunity for current
> Advanced licensees. Between now and April 15, current Advanced holders may
> take the existing Element 4B, a 40-question test, giving them credit for
> having passed the current Extra written examination. Likewise, holders of
> a Certificate of Successful Completion of Examination (CSCE) for Elements
> 3B or 4B dated on or after April 17, 1999, will be able to qualify for
> General or Amateur Extra respectively when the new rules go into effect on
> April 15, 2000.
>
> The FCC disagreed with the League's suggestion that it undertake a
> restructuring of operating privileges along with licensing restructuring.
> "We believe that in light of ongoing discussions concerning implementation
> of new and more modern communications technologies within the amateur
> service community, we should accord the amateur service community an
> opportunity to complete such discussions and possibly reach a consensus
> regarding implementation of new technologies before we undertake a
> comprehensive restructuring of the amateur service operating privileges
> and frequencies," the FCC said in its Report and Order.
>
> In its amendments to Part 97, the FCC's Report and Order refers to a "Club
> Station Call Sign Administrator," something that does not exist under the
> current rules and which was not explained in the R&O itself. An FCC
> spokesperson said the Commission plans to issue a Public Notice soon to
> explain the program and to solicit qualified entities to serve as call
> sign administrators for club station applications.

205. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84jgji$ap2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:
> If you paid attention to the arrl for the last ....however many
>years you would know they have always been pro-nocode.

That's the third time you've said that. Let me quote: "At League
request, the code speed was raised from 10 to 13 w.p.m. in 1936."
(Fifty Years of ARRL)

Why are you cross-posting to the non-amateur groups .cb, .scanner,
and .shortwave?

Jeff KH6O/6

206. Re: AF2Y ASKS ABOUT RESTRUCTURING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84jjhf$64f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123760

In article <19991231181109.24131.00000173@ng-cp1.aol.com>,
radiosigs@aol.com (Radiosigs) wrote:
> << Subject: Re: AF2Y ASKS ABOUT RESTRUCTURING
> From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com
> Date: Fri, 31 December 1999 03:16 PM EST
> Message-id: <84j26a$r6p$1@nnrp1.deja.com> >>
> My extra class means something. TO ME. I could care less if someone
> is "impressed" by the extra class license. The fact that the FCC is
> lowering the standard does NOT CHANGE my accomplishment. As for all
> your complaining about the latest dumbing down of America
tactic....you
> deserve it. You support that criminal in D.C. now live with it.
>
> You liberals wanted this fool, now you got your just deserts.
> Unfortunatly the rest of us have to live with it too. But not for
much
> longer....remember VOTE them out. End this dumbing down of America.
> Stand up for your rights as a citizen of the greatest country on
Earth.
> Show these knee jerk, bleeding heart fools that we are sick of it.
> VOTE THEM OUT.
>
> And remember....the FCC is a politically appointed commission. Need I
> say more.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> <<<That's just plain horsebleep and you know it. Pin something else
on Willy
> Jeffie Clinton. Wise up. The restructuring thing is a culmination of
> dissolution began way back to the time of...of...the FCC telling us
we no
> longer needed to keep logs. That's right; progressivley it PHASED out
ham radio
> as a viable independent entity-a national resource. You act as if it
suddenly
> came on to the scene thanks to Lewinsky's getting DOWN to business.
I'll bet if
> you want to research this whole thing, it can be traced to
Ford,Carter, Reagan
> for EIGHT years, Bush and Bill for SEVEN. And none was actually
responsible.
> ThE FCC calls this latest fiasco STREAMLINING which is another way of
saying,

> "Easier to manage and right be damned." And I'm not knocking it out of
> self-aggrandizement because change MUST come about but there's a vast
> difference between changes for the positive and for the negative. I'd
like just
> one person to explain to me how this "streamlining" thing is going to
benefit
> ham radio rather than just the ARRL? How's it going to make the hobby
STRONGER?
> BETTER? How? All it's going to do is to INFLATE numbers to impress
the ITU or
> whatever bozos are sitting on their asses over there in Switzerland
eating
> cheese, drinking beer and expelling gas. I copied and pasted
something before
> from one of the slimeballs who'll benefit from restructuring. His
comment was a
> cynical mocking of the Extra Class license, the "worthlessness" of
it. I
> defended my ticket but the dope's right. Ham radio will no longer
belong to
> persons like I who are PROUD of accomplishment but to the dipstick
crowd who'll
> come barging in because of the ease of it all. As someone who passed
the 20 wpm
> requirement, I say unequivocally that 20 is TOO FAST and ridiculous
because if
> it were worth anything, then someone speaking too fast wouldn't be
asked to
> slow down for the sake of comprehension. On the other hand, FIVE wpm
is
> ridiculously slow because if translated to speech, everyone would
then sound
> like that goober, KB4GWC, from Gray Tennessee. Ever hear that turkey?
That's
> supposed to be the standard? Hell, by the time he finishes a
sentence, one
> could have shaved, showered and eaten breakfast. A PERFECT compromise
would
> have been TEN wpm-not too slow,not too fast but fast enough to demand
> ALERTNESS. Dan, your EXTRA might mean SOMETHING to you and mine does
to ME TOO,
> but to the overall scheme of things, it'll become meaningless in mid
April.
> Want to somehow offset the negative aspects of S..L..O..W cw?
Make the
> written so damn hard that no one will be able to pass by following
the dots!
> Get rid of BASH STUFF. Change the tests constantly and if one's caught
> cheating, toss him out FOR GOOD. No second chance. Dan, you and I and
everyone

> else holding the highest will soon be living with just MEMORIES
rather than
> meaning. Once it was worth something, now it's like Confederate
dough. When a
> company like Heath folded, it signalled the end to the aspect of
needed
> intelligence in the electronic end of ham radio. That phase of
conversation
> ended forever. Now the whole idea of study and perseverence to attain
> excellence has evaporated. What then is left? A TICKET GIVEAWAY AND
LO AND
> BEHOLD...DUMB AND LAZY S.O.Bs JUST WON THE LOTTO. Wait until you see
the
> increased advertising in the mags starting April! And you know what?
It'll
> increase during the years of Gore, or McLain, or G.W. or Bradley.
It'll make no
> difference whatsoever.
>
> Doc, A F 2 Y
> Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
> efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free
via the
> Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
> never do better than write that letter!
>
>
I noticed you did not comment on my statement about "dumbing down of
America". Ok lets not blame Mr. Klinton....lets blame you and all you
liberal idiots. Look Doc, we are on the same side in this radio thing.
But when it comes to politics we are 180 degrees out of phase. Let's
do what we have done in the past...agree to disagree.

And don't use my deja-email account. I just use that to keep the spam
out of my real mailbox.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

207. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <6%bb4.977$_S6.24779@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 123761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Maybe trying to directly reach the next wave of new radio amateurs?

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu>
>
> Why are you cross-posting to the non-amateur groups .cb, .scanner,
> and .shortwave?
>
> Jeff KH6O/6

208. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84jhjo$bi8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>Nothing. I'm not in the electronics biz, I don't have the appropriate
>technical background. Beyond that how do you figger that I have a
>requirement on me to do techo things just because I have a ham
>license? I don't see that as a condition of licensing in the fine
>print anywhere on my ticket or in Part 97.

Well, let's look at our "fundamental purpose" (FCC words):

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following principles:
(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with respect to providing emergency communications.
(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art.
(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases of the art.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance international goodwill.

And the print's not all that fine, Brian!

Jeff KH6O/6

209. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84jida$c53$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:
> The ARRL has always been against any code requirement regardless of
>what they say.

There you go again with that word "always." Let me quote, "At League request, the code speed was raised from 10 to 13 w.p.m. in 1936."

Jeff KH6O/6

210. Re: 1912 (was: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84ji3fbuk1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123764
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Gerald Schmitt <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote:
>Is this the same Jeff Herman who directs everyone elses posts to the
>proper newsgroup. Hey hypocrite what's sauce for the goose is sauce
>for the gander. Or doesn't the "guide the usenet newsgroups" apply to
>code bigot whining?

I set the "Followup-to:" line in the header to just r.r.a.policy.
Why did you delete it, Jerry? I'm trying my best to set follow-ups
to just .policy but folks like you are defeating that.

211. Re: Heard two of the best things in ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231195117.14267.00000155@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 123765

Jeff, It seems that none of the local HF nets moved to V/UHF, its really strange, I live in a major metropolitan area with hundreds of hams located within miles of each other and you can scan V/UHF and HF for local activity and not hear anything for hours except during drive time. It just seems that activity around here is minimal. I guess this was one of the reasons I sold off most of my gear earlier this year. I suppose I could try to start up a new net, but because I hear only two or three stations check-in into the multiple weekly 2m club nets around here I doubt if I would have any success, as a matter of fact during the last two years I operated I can remember 3 local HF nets that were started and ended within a short period of time due to a lack of interest. I used to check into these open nets but when it got down to the only stations checking in were one or two stations there's not much you can do.

Hopefully the new reg's will jump start some new interest and activity into the hobby, at least in this area. 73, Jim AB4D.

212. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231201135.14267.00000160@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 123766

Poor Lloyd, how are you ever going to pass the general written? It's apparent you can't even comprehend something as simple as how someone would know if you passed a code test. Geez...

>Subject: Looks Like I'm an idiot as usual >and think I get a free upgrade to 10 >meters because I am too much of a >moron to know how to comprehend a >report and order from the FCC.
>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.idiots.net
>Date: Fri, 31 December 1999 12:12 AM EST
>Message-id: <MWWa4.3211$zp.49640@news3.mia>
>
>Looks like when the Techs and Tech +'s are combined, I can do 10 and get
>away with it! Who's gonna know?????
>
>I love this country!
>
>
>
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
>Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
>Athens, AL 35611
>http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
>http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
>http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
>http://www.adl-ci.org/
>http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
>http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
>http://www.3950.net/
>http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>http://www.qth.com/dwm/
>http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

213. Welfare Generals? NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <386f5755.155787162@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123767

With the debate over CW speeds and now the FCC ruling there has
been a lot of name calling over this issue such as "Welfare Generals",
"CBplussers" and such comments such as"Dumbing Down" and "Lowering of
standards".
I'm going to break this down and correct this with truth.
First, what is Welfare? Welfare is the taking of something such as
money from some who earned it and giving it to someone who did not
earn it. In order to be a welfare general that ham would have to take
the CW skill from another ham, download the skill into his brain and
Bingo, that welfare general knows the code. But we all know that is
inpossible. Even a ham that only has to learn the code a 5wpm still
must learn the code on his/her own. That general still has to work for
it, just not as hard. It's the same as if one ham bought a HF rig for
$1200 and 3 months later the price drops to $800 for the same radio
and another ham buys it at that price. Of course if I bought the rig
for $1200 I would be a little bugged but I let it pass knowing that's
life. Remember the ham who buys the rig for $800 worked less for it
then the ham who bought it for $1200. So maybe the correct term for
5wpm Generals would be Light Generals or General Lite.
CBplussers. Since when is Tech a CBer? there is a big difference
between the two and that is the tech has to earn a license and the
CBer does not require one. Also the behavior and language is much
better on 2M and 70cm then on 11M.
The Dumbing down and Lowering of standards. Well this one in some
ways I agree with. With just the lowering of the code for generals
only would be more of a emphasis on modern communications technology
then the code.Face it, times change and standards change over time. In
1912 Radio men had to know the code simply because it was the only
mode available at the time. Even in WWII code was still required
because it was the mode that worked when all other modes failed to get
though. Today the code is not required in the Navy, Army, or the Coast
Guard because new technology such as satellite and digital
communications allow the military to communicate around the world any
time they want. Today Morse Code is mostly used for recreation
communications even though it's still use full in an emergency.
What the FCC did was a major overkill and a Stab in the Back to
Amateur Extras. We all thought the extra class would be lowered to
12wpm not 5wpm. What the FCC did was erode the Extra Class so much it
is not worth shooting for. I personally said the code rate should be:

Tech None
General 5wpm
Advance 13wpm
Extra 20wpm

The written test should be harder and the test questions not given
out to the public to prevent memorizing and cheating.
The FCC not only dropped the code test for all classes but also did
not make the written test any harder and the question pool is still
available to the public. So maybe some hams are right that the testing
for general and especially Extra Class has been Dumb Down.

73s Rick KC2ESD Future Full General or at worst a General Lite

214. Re: Voice of an old timer extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <386d6063.64356520@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123768

At last, a voice of reason. When I get my ticket, hopefully you will
be one of the first QSOs I make!

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 01:25:55 GMT, fmaxsc@hotmail.com wrote:

>On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 21:49:50 GMT, Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com> wrote:
>
>YES! Change is never easy ...movement causes friction, but what's best
>for the hobby is more important than how long I studied for the 20wpm
>test. Hope the hobby grows and draws many bright, promising new
>comers.
>
>WA4PAV/ 3DA0AH
>
>Fred

215. y2k ha they got your money fools!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84jqi1$ad5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123769

if you bought y2k things and thought the lights were going out
they got your money HA HA MERRY XMAS GOOFS ...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

216. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dentronman <w9jcmnoreply@reno.quik.com>
Message-ID: <3Cdb4.12502$ic7.443926@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 123770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

you are a real asshole and a real jerk!!!
AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote in message news:386BFAB3.4720@imt.net...
> you might get a license but youll never be a HAM!

217. Re: AF2Y ASKS ABOUT RESTRUCTURING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231220429.16208.00000360@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123771

In article <19991231181109.24131.00000173@ng-cp1.aol.com>, radiosigs@aol.com (Radiosigs) writes:

> That's right; progressivley it PHASED out ham radio
>as a viable independent entity-a national resource.

How ironic! We have one of the most blantant offenders in the history of amateur radio, bellyaching about the "lowering of standards" and how it will ruin the service.

Fortunately, YOU are being "phased out" and "phased off" of 14.313. Just as was the case with your dental practice, eh, Charles?

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

218. Re: AF2Y, WHAT HAPPENED TO YOUR DENTAL PRACTICE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231220430.16208.00000361@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123772

In article <84j0g2$q2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, reeldeal@my-deja.com writes:

>Please clear Matter up for inquiring minds on this forum! Why a man so
>young would desert his Dental Practice. Was it under shady
>Circumstances?
>You owe us an explaination because of your actions on this forum!!

He'll never answer you. I have been asking him this same question for many months now. Funny how he ignores me completely, yet is such a loudmouth about everything else.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

219. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231220430.16208.00000362@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123773

In article <84jeco$9ch$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Maybe not, but we do.

You have no enforcement powers whatsover. What are you going to do, Jeff? Send them an OO Nastygram? THAT will certainly scare the operator into submission!

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

220. Re: Welfare Generals? NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231220431.16208.00000363@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123774

In article <386f5755.155787162@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick) writes:

> With the debate over CW speeds and now the FCC ruling there has
>been a lot of name calling over this issue such as "Welfare Generals",
>"CBplussers" and such comments such as"Dumbing Down" and "Lowering of
>standards".

Sounds like you've been spending too much time on the AOL Ham Radio Board with
the likes of Brucie the Whiner.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

221. Re: AF2Y: By popular demand!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231220431.16208.00000364@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123775

In article <84j2j6$p0l$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>, Armstrong
<arm@~strong.com> writes:

>If I were you I would register cloudwarmer.com before one of your foolish
>detractors does.

What a great idea. Thank you very much. Consider it done!

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

222. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231220431.16208.00000365@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123776

In article <84j89q$8c7$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>, "David Little"
<dalite01@sprynet.com> writes:

>If this is what you want for the country, at least have the faith in your
>own beliefs to state so with pride.

What do you expect from J. Herman? His livelihood and his paycheck is
dependent on the government. State of Hawaii, to be exact.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

223. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <19991231220432.16208.00000366@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123777

In article <0a0bc822.660aeb9e@usw-ex0107-049.remarq.com>, big h
<kk5uqNOkkSPAM@flash.net.invalid> writes:

>i worked to dam hard for my amateur radio lic. to have a bunch of cb,rs
>with a lot of big 10/4s,good/buddys, 10/20s and a amateur gen.class
>holder that a dit or a dash or,?

WHINE, baby, WHINE!!!!

WAAAAAAHHHH!!!!

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

224. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84jshb$s6s$4@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
>
> User wrote:
> >
> > On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 01:23:05 GMT, liam@ork.net wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >errr....soon use of CW will be outlawed as being an "outmoded" form of
> > > >information transfer.
> >
> > Not very likely. Still the best for weak signal work with the minimum
> > of equipment. Bar none.
> >
> > User
>
> I love CW too but maybe you havn't heard of PSK31.

I have a bit of a problem with PSK31 that uses "minimum
equipment "

225. Full text of the resturcturing at this web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84js3b$t3i$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123779

The entire thing is at:
http://www.arnewsline.org/FCCrestruct/restruct.txt

226. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84jtd0$s6s$5@208.207.71.165>
Source record: 123780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dave Martindale wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >From an interview with an English street criminal: He said
> >that any criminal in England can obtain a gun while knowing
> >that law-abiding citizens are unarmed. Think about it.
>
> From this, you'd expect criminals in England to be more successful,
> with higher rates of property crime in England than in the USA.
> Does this in fact happen?

Yes

> You also expect much lower rates of shootings, since criminals with
> guns are much less likely to get into firefights if nobody else has
> a gun. Do the statistics bear this out?
>

You'd expect wrong. Many criminals are psycopaths who enjoy
cruelty and some prefer to leave no witnesses to plague them
later, and most place no value on their victim's lives.

> Suppose the speculations above are true, and England is a society
> where you're more likely to be robbed but less likely to be killed.
> How, exactly, does this make the USA the superior place to live?

Here we are supposed to be FREE. As if. But still far more
than most, including your homeland.

227. Re: International Law and the FCC (was: restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <386F9E0E.FB480506@inreach.com>
Source record: 123281

Jim, how can the fcc exempt themselves, isnt the morse aspect part of an international treaty the US signed?

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> bowenb@best.com (William H. Bowen)
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> -> The FCC cannot eliminate the Morse Code requirement below 30 mHz -
> ->this provision is part of international radio law.
>
> Well, since 30 mHz (30 millihertz) is well below the experimental band limit,
> and no license is required down that low, I suspect that your comment isn't
> valid.
>
> Nor is it valid below 30 MHz., which is what I suspect you meant. We've been
> down this road so many times that I really don't want to argue the point. The
> FCC can exempt themselves from this requirement at any time they choose. They
> choose not to so at this time so as not to start an international catfight.
> The end will come at the next WARC, so why not just bide your time and roll with
> it.
>
> Jim
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

--
Please remove the word 'NOREPLY' from the email address, sorry for the inconvenience, but it helps keep down the spam.

228. International Law and the FCC (was: restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <6h4v6s8t01c9k4r9atjp40rm5a7uhm3h3d@4ax.com>
Source record: 123282

bowenb@best.com (William H. Bowen)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

-> The FCC cannot eliminate the Morse Code requirement below 30 mHz -
->this provision is part of international radio law.

Well, since 30 mHz (30 millihertz) is well below the experimental band limit, and no license is required down that low, I suspect that your comment isn't valid.

Nor is it valid below 30 MHz., which is what I suspect you meant. We've been down this road so many times that I really don't want to argue the point. The FCC can exempt themselves from this requirement at any time they choose. They choose not to so at this time so as not to start an international catfight. The end will come at the next WARC, so why not just bide your time and roll with it.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

229. Re: Engineering And Math (was...restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <386fa261.31675148@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123283

A perfect example of math without thinking is the demise of our last
Mars mission.

On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 09:59:03 -0800, Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote:

>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
>->In article <386D6602.DFE7AAE8@fgi.net>, Arthur Unwin <aunwin@fgi.net> wrote:
>->>As for being a "real ham"
>->>would you suggest that any engineer must work on an
>->>assembly line until the task at hand can be done
>->>without thought, automatic, before he be allowed to
>->>have a P.E.licence i.e. to make him a 'real" engineer?
>
>No, but of all the engineers I've met in this profession, the very best were
>those who at some point in their young careers did "assembly line" time in the
>service or manufacturing sectors of the business. Fix some engineering screwups
>for hours on end as a kid and you'd be surprised how those same errors DON'T get
>repeated when you are on the smooth end of the sliderule.
>
>
>->
>->No, but I would suggest that he know and be able to do mathematics
>->without thought (or calculator) to make him a 'real' engineer. Math
>->is the fundamental "mode" of all the sciences; it transcends language
>->barriers -- any two engineers any where in the world can communicate
>->their engineering ideas via math.
>
>Respectfully disagree. Doing math without thought is the best way I know of to
>make really dumb errors; the calculator isn't so much a crutch as it is a tool,
>just like a voltmeter or a spectrum analyzer. If you don't know what you are
>doing, none of the three is going to help you be a "real" engineer.
>
>As for your contention that "math" is the universal language of engineering,
>again I take exception. Math is a convenient way of expressing a creative idea
>so that others can manufacture your creation, but to say that I can express my
>thoughts to you in math without the idea being expressed is not correct. Teach
>me, for example, about manufacturing integrated circuits without being able to
>converse in prose. It is the creativity, the art if you will, that sets the
>engineer apart from the technician.
>
>Now with all due respects to the wannabee engineers in the group, I'd like to
>hear from practicing engineers -- how many of you regularly use the calculus,
>the fourier transform math, or the differential equations (regular or partial)

>in your everyday work, that math that we sweated bullets over for four years in
>college? How many DON'T use anything past what we learned in junior year
>algebra in high school?
>
>Just a poll, nothing scientific, just want to hear some thoughts.
>
>Jim
>Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
>A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

230. Re: International Law and the FCC (was: restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6v9ae3d5k2104@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123284

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com> wrote in message
news:386F9E0E.FB480506@inreach.com...
> Jim, how can the fcc exempt themselves, isnt the morse aspect part of an
> international treaty the US signed?

The FCC *could* have, with the concurrance of the State Department,
which would have been unlikely, IMHO, "taken an exception" to the
provisions of S25.5 of the ITU Radio Regulations (as Japan did years
ago, with no international outcry or repercussions).

I did not expect that that would happen and it didn't, but the stage
is set for a change in US policy to supporting the deletion of S25.5
at the WRC follwing the upcoming one. (in a couple of years)

I fully expect that at that WRC, the nations of the world will retire
S25.5, eliminating the ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping
ANY Morse testing at this time.

73,
- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG+k7pe+N6+q84HiEQJphgCfc/WTbxA2oE0oUWuxwOm9hWUpC0gAn2na
JvQLmdeAN1GBDIvTt/KcQoSd
=T+6F
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

231. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <386F90D8.843@rpi.edu>
Source record: 123285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jim Pennino wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.misc Michael Young <nobody@all.xxx> wrote:
> > Nothing against Mr. Ramsey personally, and I wish him and his business the
> > best; I recall reading, fascinated, their advertising in electronics and
> > hobby magazines as far back as I care to remember. I feel badly that his
> > honest business was interrupted by our "paid thugs." However, some of my
> > sympathy hardened a little while browsing his website in the wake of this
> > discussion. I saw there a broadcast FM band J-pole, all 10' of PVC pipe and
> > twinlead (maybe), for $40. Not that this should be construed to be
> > indicative of his products, person, or business... Business is business, and
> > feds are feds. America; love it or leave it. (Tongue in cheek, of course.
> > ;-)
>
> Are you implying there may be something "evil" in a J-pole antenna or just
> that it might be overpriced?
>
> <snip>

Folks,

I think that the reference has to do with the fact that Ramsey has been
pulling the tail of the Feds for a while by offering equipment kits that
are designed to support Pirate Radio applications (even though the "Free
Radio" community seems to disparage his efforts...). I believe that
that even in his catalog literature there was reference made to the
potential use of his broad-band 1-watt amplifier for augmenting FM-10,
FM-25 or FM-100 (domestic version) transmitters - (I can't find the
catalog around the house at the moment, so I can't quote page numbers).
The forementioned J-pole antenna appears to be designed for
(semi-covert?) beyond-Part 15 broadcast operations. The raid may
therefore have resulted from an accumulation of small provocations.

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

232. Help Identify "Jammer Joe" and "Doofus"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <386FAAFB.A9C95638@innocent.com>
Source record: 123286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There are three new items up at the 3950.net web site:

* Help Identify "Jammer Joe"

Recently, one of the unidentified jammers that plague the legitimate operators on 3950 revealed quite a bit about himself while under the influence of alcohol. Listen to his voice on a .wav file, and follow up on the leads to help us identify this illegal operator.

* W9ART Issues Death Threat

In one of the most obscene and malicious jamming incidents of his career, serial jammer W9ART openly calls for the murder of a fellow radio amateur on Christmas Eve. Listen to the .wav file, and help us bring this radio criminal to justice.

* Who Is "Doofus"?

Listen to new sound clips and get bearing and signal-strength information, and use these leads to help us determine the identity of long-time unid jammer "Doofus," who cruelly parodies a retarded person's voice during his jamming sessions.

It's all available now at 3950.net:

http://www.3950.net

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

233. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <386faba1_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 123287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Volfy" <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote in message
news:84mnn7$3sh$1@ionews.ionet.net...
> I sat back and took a deep breath...
>
> All this bickering made me draw a sharp contrast to another
favorite hobby
> of mine - RC airplanes. In all my days of flying RC, I've never
come across
> such hostility from the "elders" toward newcomers as I have
witnessed here.
> Quite on the contrary, most if not all realize the future of the
hobby lies
> in the critical task of ensuring a healthy inrush of new blood into
the
> fold.

SNIP! (for space)

> Best Regards,
> Lingo Chang
> KD5IOU

Here Here!! We need to all work to ensure that new entrants to our hobby are welcomed, and that they are socialized to the rules of courtesy and mutual respect which still characterize the vast overwhelming majority of QSOs. They won't act like CBers if the people who they want to talk to, namely us, do not do likewise. Our hobby is worth saving and nourishing. If we behave properly it will flourish. In my opinion this is the way to do it.

--

Roger, W6VZV
also reply via W6VZV@ARRL.NET
--
Politicians are the same all over. They promise to
build bridges, even where there are no rivers.
-Nikita Khrushchev

234. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <386fadd5.93865735@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 123288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jep,

You are mixing apples and bananas - CB and Amateur Radio are 2
separate services. The international requirement is a proficency in
Morse Code for AMATEUR RADIO frequency allocations below 30 mHz.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

"JEP" <transoman@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hell, they did that in the 50's when CB was established. We have been using
>no code below 30MHZ since then.
>
>
>William H. Bowen wrote in message <386f0505.50642696@206.184.139.132>...
>>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>>
>>> The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective
>mode.
>>> Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!
>>
>>Jim,
>>
>> The FCC cannot eliminate the Morse Code requirement below 30 mHz -
>>this provision is part of international radio law.
>>
>>Regards,
>> Bill Bowen
>> bowenb@best.com
>> Daly City, CA
>
>

235. Kevvy says HELP - I say yes- HELP....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102150602.22422.00000606@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 123289

Doofus and Jammer Joe

236. Sid *K5BLB* was Right about Vic *W1WCR*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102150828.22422.00000608@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 123290

Go See at http://www.nationlink.com/liberty/messages/1214.html

237. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-F3F965.15185802012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 123291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <386EF10C.8AF5C649@mindspring.com>, "Arthur H. Wertz"
<artwertz@mindspring.com> wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Bob wrote:
> > >
> > > Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
> > > before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of
> > > new
> > > hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
> > > demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
> > > bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
> > > wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and
> > > potential
> > > or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this
> > > gets
> > > turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more
> > > than
> > > they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
> > >
> >
> > So what makes you think this restructuring saves a single
> > hz of ham radio spectrum? When the commercial demand rises
> > to a certain level, any ham radio UHF/VHF/SHF spectrum not
> > committed to ham radio via international treaty WILL be
> > withdrawn and refarmed. Bet on it. And even that allotted to
> > hams under international treaty can be reallocated if need
> > is such that FCC decided to take an exception to the treaty
> >
> > So if you believe that this change solves anything, time to
> > rethink the whole situation. No realistically conceivable
> > added numbers of new hams will help.
>
> Numbers equal VOTERS -
> The FCC pays attention to voters, not old-timers!!!
>
> --
> Art Wertz N5AEN
> artwertz@mindspring.com
> http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen
>
>

It is questionable whether the FCC listens to voters since none of the FCC employees are elected. Yes their budget comes from Congress but they are well down in the noise (and money) compared to some of the other players in the Federal budget. Amateur radio matters are even further down in the noise. The FCC's main concern is with commercial interests and major telecom issues.

238. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-E0BFA0.15211102012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 123292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <946789963.268718@rochesterEDGE>, "Cap'n Crunch"
<kcfamily@tir.com> wrote:

> Radioguy wrote
>
> >SO... tell me... where was the ARRL? Too busy running a publishing
> >house?
>
>
> The ARRL, though caught off guard with the FCC proposal made several
> counterproposals... all published in QST...
>
> >I'm sorry, I have not heard this issue discussed.
>
> Obviously, you're not an ARRL member or you would have heard in QST
> (assuming you READ it) It was in ALL the HAM mags... I trust you don't
> expect the ARRL to knock on your door to inform you of pending FCC
> changes....
>
> >Really now, how difficult is it to use the any of the blocks of letter
> call prefixes... that's a >simply administrative duty for the FCC to
> implement...
>
> I think they'd just as soon not be bothered with Hams at all... when's
> the
> last time the FCC got anything out of your pocket, fee-wise? (be fair
> now...
> amateur related expenses only, don't include vanity calls)
>
>

And the FCC ignored and disagreed with much of what the ARRL proposed
and explicitily stated such in the recent restructuring document.

239. Vikie's ***W1WCR*** Confession @ Libnet board; Sid was RIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfoutput@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102151838.22389.00000620@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 123293

Taken from Libertynet board at
http://www.nationlink.com/liberty/messages/1214.html

It's Time I Told All Of You The Truth

[Follow Ups] [Post Followup] [Liberty Net Message Board]

Posted by Victor Misek W1WCR on January 02, 19100 at 01:33:21:

I know some of you are going to be really confused, and perhaps a little hurt by the following information, but I can't live a lie any longer. Despite the fact that I am well known as an advocate of conservative politics, I am in actuality a Gay Jewish man who has lived in fear of discovery for many years. I know that Liberty Net harbors many other individuals who have also grown tired of hiding their true feelings about other men and Judaism, and I suggest that they take this opportunity to "come out" as well. I know that a fair number of you have been sexually attracted to me in the past, and I ask you now to shed the tissue of lies that have kept us apart. If you're well hung, under 50, and willing to cut loose with an older father figure type with no sense of humor,please get in touch ASAP.

Thanks,

Your Vicky

240. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <386FB61C.7F6D6F5B@icss.net>
Source record: 123294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:

> Brian wrote
> >They were really happy when we helped
> >locate a lost rocket using our handhelds for comm.
> >Sounds like they need to marry the two hobbies together.
>
> Well I dunno, ham radio sure ain't rocket science...

Darn! Then I'll have to take up brain surgery. Where's that manic from
missouri?

241. Where are 147.435 repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John the Innocent! <jmackey@usa.net>
Message-ID: <ELOb4.34$I57.1121@news.rdc1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 123295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Can someone tell me where 147.435 repeaters are located?

So far I know of the following:

Portland, Oregon
Sioux City, Iowa
Seattle, Washington
LA, California
San Francisco, California

242. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84odicegb8@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 123296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William H. Bowen wrote:
>
> Jep,
>
> You are mixing apples and bananas - CB and Amateur Radio are 2
> separate services. The international requirement is a proficency in
> Morse Code for AMATEUR RADIO frequency allocations below 30 mHz.
>

Better get your head out of the sand and into century 21,
Bill. The FCC just effetively delivered the Amateur Radio
Service over the the CBers carte blanc, delivered on the
no-code platter. A little memorization and now even the most
illegtimate illiterate honyok is in, all the way to Novxtra
class.

243. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron N6AHA <ronc@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <DSOb4.1270$73.13402@typhoon.sonic.net>
Source record: 123297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of the thousands who filed comments. I have learned over the decades I've dealt with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even bother to read any of them. I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab" several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first place. All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.

Ron Castro
N6AHA

Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
> maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds. I sent a notice for proposed
> rulemaking about two years ago, calling for an expansion of the 80m extra
> sub-band down 25khz. When the FCC turned me down, they sent a notice about
> the licence restructering. I felt I had to make a comment about it. But
they
> didn't listen anyway. CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
> EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
extensively
> on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And found cw superior to
> most modes when conducting weak signal work.
>
> Spencer KC2TX
> Bob Bethman <rbethman@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:386EE695.B7385733@erols.com...
> > Did send comments, in the necessary approved format. Another twit that
> speaks
> > for himself only.
> >
> > Bob N0DGN
> >
> > JM wrote:
> >
> > > to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> > > bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
> > > would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
> > > what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
> > > already. John N0EE
> >
>

>

244. Re: Help Identify "Jammer Joe" and "Doofus"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammer@wigmail.com
Message-ID: <386fba5f.26523993@news.flash.net>
Source record: 123298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Just for the record, I had no idea that jammer was a radio term. My nickname has been jammer for about 25 years due to the fact that I am always listening to music. jammer

>There are three new items up at the 3950.net web site:
>
>* Help Identify "Jammer Joe"
>
>Recently, one of the unidentified jammers that plague the legitimate
>operators on 3950 revealed quite a bit about himself while under the
>influence of alcohol. Listen to his voice on a .wav file, and follow
>up on the leads to help us identify this illegal operator.
>
>* W9ART Issues Death Threat
>
>In one of the most obscene and malicious jamming incidents of his
>career, serial jammer W9ART openly calls for the murder of a fellow
>radio amateur on Christmas Eve. Listen to the .wav file, and help us
>bring this radio criminal to justice.
>
>* Who Is "Doofus"?
>
>Listen to new sound clips and get bearing and signal-strength
>information, and use these leads to help us determine the identity
>of long-time unid jammer "Doofus," who cruelly parodies a retarded
>person's voice during his jamming sessions.
>
>It's all available now at 3950.net:
>
>
>http://www.3950.net
>
>
>
>
>With all good wishes,
>
>
>
>Kevin, WB4AIO.
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.

>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

245. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEP <transoman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84oeit$hei@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 123299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Re read the section on operations below 30 MHZ for non commercial
operations.

William H. Bowen wrote in message <386fadd5.93865735@206.184.139.132>...
>Jep,
>
> You are mixing apples and bananas - CB and Amateur Radio are 2
>separate services. The international requirement is a proficency in
>Morse Code for AMATEUR RADIO frequency allocations below 30 mHz.
>
>Regards,
> Bill Bowen
> bowenb@best.com
> Daly City, CA
>
>
>"JEP" <transoman@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Hell, they did that in the 50's when CB was established. We have been
using
>>no code below 30MHZ since then.
>>
>>
>>William H. Bowen wrote in message <386f0505.50642696@206.184.139.132>...
>>>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>>>
>>>> The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective
>>mode.
>>>> Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!
>>>
>>>Jim,
>>>
>>> The FCC cannot eliminate the Morse Code requirement below 30 mHz -
>>>this provision is part of international radio law.
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>> Bill Bowen
>>> bowenb@best.com
>>> Daly City, CA
>>
>>
>

246. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386FBE07.1B757393@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
> Better get your head out of the sand and into century 21,
> Bill. The FCC just effetively delivered the Amateur Radio
^^^^^^^^^^
> Service over the the CBers carte blanc, delivered on the
^^^^^
> no-code platter. A little memorization and now even the most
> illegtimate illiterate honyok is in, all the way to Novxtra class.
^^^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^
Speaking of illiteracy ... Judge not that ye be not judged.
Let he who is without sin cast the first stone. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

247. GSX FRS Radios at JC Penney $24.97 + 25% off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <386FBEB5.9F55B54C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123301
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JC Penney is selling their GSX FRS handheld radios for $24.97 + 25% off right now. I picked up four for less than $80! They are pretty nice, 14 channels, 38 ctcss codes, LCD display, battery saver mode, uses 4 AAA batteries, etc., etc.

If you go into one of the department stores, they are sold near the Men's department. Not available through the catalogs.

248. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386FC0F5.58509D86@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >He probably could have done me in with that knife but he obviously
> >wasn't willing to suffer the consequences.
>
> If he had done you in, you would have then gotten your death wish. You would
> die (on principle) before allowing yourself to be robbed. Trouble is, both
> (robbing and dying) can happen at the same time. Dying to protect your
> family is admirable. Dying for an S10 is stupid (even for a Mensa)...

Better clean that crystal ball you are using to predict my death. So
far, I am immortal.

You absolutely must remember the odds. If your odds of dying were
one a million, wouldn't you risk a fight? I suspect your odds of
dying in a car wreck are about that same figure. Some people are
willing to risk more than others. That's a personal choice. I
usually take the risk and I usually win.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

249. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386FC280.F6EC0A00@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123303
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > liam@ork.net wrote:
> > > Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio?
> >
> > It certainly proves committment better than high speed
> > Morse code exams.
>
> So says you. But then you have never been, and now never
> will be, a REAL HAM.

Dick, you are unbelievably jealous of my engineering degree
and my being a ham radio operator while you were still messing
your diapers. Too bad you were nine years old at the time. You
will *never* catch me in ham seniority. Live with it!
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

250. Re: Sid *K5BLB* was Right about Vic *W1WCR*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000102162854.08344.00001179@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 123304

I doubt Vic wrote that, That "Liberty net message board" is notorious for "forged posts".

Libnet1 wrote in msg <20000102150828.22422.00000608@ng-fu1.aol.com>

>Go See at http://www.nationlink.com/liberty/messages/1214.html

251. Re: Help Identify "Jammer Joe" and "Doofus"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: doofusjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102162856.01447.00000740@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123305

Ohh-kkaaaayyyy Kev-v-in bboy hhere I amm. Iden-ti-fy mme iff youu ccan. Whyy don'tt yyou like me Kev-v-in boy. I just wantt tooooo checkk in-to theee great llliber-ty nnnet. Jam-mer Joe is mm-yy frr-iend Kev-v-in bboy. Pleease don-tt hurtt himmm. I will be ver-rry sadd iffff yyouuuu dooo.

252. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <386FC59F.B579A8C6@inreach.com>
Source record: 123306

same kind of problem here in Chico, the ARES members just love to check
in and out of the net, but when it comes to meetings or events, out of
at least 50 members, it is almost impossible to scare up 5 volunteers.
Most of them just want to sit home and play with their radio.

Lumpy (mobile) wrote:
>
> Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> > I still believe that emergency services are what hams
> > will be able to provide that the commercial world cannot and
> > will not. The local 911 system is very fragile, and highly
> > political too. Given any kind of problem, it would be gone
> > in a flash.
>
> Obviously there are some well organized and efficient
> ham groups that regularly assist pub service comms (OCRACES etc).
>
> - not withstanding that -
>
> I was the director of 911 comms in my area for several years.
> The local hams approached us more than once, offering help
> in simulated emergencies, drills etc.
>
> Each time we'd all sit at the big round table, the arguement
> was between the hams themselves. They couldn't seem to get
> their own act together, much less interface with the
> government comm systems people. Because of this, my
> bosses (non hams) said 'no way'. They saw the hams
> as just a bunch of argumentative, disorganized
> kids.
>
> Because of that, we spent millions of dollars on
> our own 911 redundancy. We couldn't rely on the
> hams, so we spent the tax dollars to do it ourselves.
> I couldn't convince the administration otherwise.
>
> Just thought some of you might like to know how
> some gov agencies view hams.
>
> Moral of the story? Define your roll BEFORE
> you go to the bargaining table.
>
> Lumpy ab0gd

> --
> Temporary Duty Assignment
> West Hartford, Connecticut
>
> The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
> http://www.digitalcartography.com

--
Please remove the word 'NOREPLY' from the email address, sorry for the
inconvenience, but it helps keep down the spam.

253. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6vh5tei5k2160@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Ron N6AHA" <ronc@sonic.net> wrote in message
news:DSOb4.1270$73.13402@typhoon.sonic.net...
> Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of
the
> thousands who filed comments.

Actually, as stated by the FCC in the R&O (and supported
by any reasonable analysis of the comments), they DID
"listen to the wisdom of the thousands who filed comments."

That the body of comment did not support your desired outcome
(which was apparently in favor of more stagnation, from your tone)
does not negate the fact that the FCC *did* follow its own Rules
and *did* consider the comments which were filed.

You have to understand that the FCC is not bound to follow
a "popular opinion poll of the incumbents" but rather to act
in the public interest. (Though the body of comment does
support their action.)

> I have learned over the decades I've dealt
> with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the
> comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even
> bother to read any of them.

Baloney ... one of the reasons this Proceeding took so long is that
compared to most Proceedings, this one had an extraordinarily
large number of comments filed. You can tell from the prolific
footnotes in the R&O that they were all reviewed and considered.

> I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
> several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of
> them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first place.
> All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.

If the amateur community (and the ARRL) had not been so
intransigent WRT a no-code license when the FCC
proposed it (before the loss of 220-222), we might not have
lost it.

As it turned out, UPS, the company which originally requested
the reallocation of 220-222 didn't end up getting it anyway.
```

> Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> > I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
> > maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds.

Obviously your arguments didn't make sense to the FCC ...
they didn't buy all of the "Morse Myth" stuff ... in fact, the ONLY
reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY Morse testing at
this time was the ITU Treaty obligation.

> > CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
> > EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
> > extensively on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And
> > found cw superior to most modes when conducting weak signal work.

NOTHING has changed in the Rules to prevent you from using CW
anywhere you could before ... all that's changed is that those who could
care less about Morse code are no longer going to be forced to pass
a high-speed Morse test to get phone and digital privileges ... something
that has been ridiculous for at least several decades.

The fact that some use CW (purely by personal choice and because
they happen to enjoy it, not from any real necessity) is not sufficient
justification for forcing all to become proficient at arbitrarily high
speeds.

The FCC made decisions which are in the best interest of ham
radio's future, even if you don't agree.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG/Ef5e+N6+q84HiEQJB0wCg1wg3dvVbs6rDyR2fB9MGZzwKwakAnjFg
Y8vB2TyUcLoyOBo+VgMA05dN
=zmeL
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

254. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <386FC486.1E1DDD6D@inreach.com>
Source record: 123308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

when you read the report and order you will see that the fcc carefully
chose a path through the comments that allowed them to justify what they
wanted. out of the 700 thousand or so hams in the US, there were only
2000 or so comments, about a percent or so, I guess they figured no-one
really cared.

Ron N6AHA wrote:
>
> Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of the
> thousands who filed comments. I have learned over the decades I've dealt
> with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the
> comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even
> bother to read any of them. I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
> several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of
> them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first place.
> All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.
>
> Ron Castro
> N6AHA
>
> Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> > I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
> > maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds. I sent a notice for proposed
> > rulemaking about two years ago, calling for an expansion of the 80m extra
> > sub-band down 25khz. When the FCC turned me down, they sent a notice about
> > the licence restructering. I felt I had to make a comment about it. But
> they
> > didn't listen anyway. CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
> > EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
> extensively
> > on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And found cw superior to
> > most modes when conducting weak signal work.
> >
> > Spencer KC2TX
> > Bob Bethman <rbethman@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:386EE695.B7385733@erols.com...
> > > Did send comments, in the necessary approved format. Another twit that
> > speaks
> > > for himself only.
> > >
> > > Bob N0DGN
> > >

> > > JM wrote:
> > >
> > > > to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> > > > bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
> > > > would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
> > > > what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
> > > > already. John N0EE
> > > >
> > >
> > >

--
Please remove the word 'NOREPLY' from the email address, sorry for the
inconvenience, but it helps keep down the spam.

255. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@home.com>
Message-ID: <VyPb4.43212$kX4.203357@news.rdc2.mi.home.com>
Source record: 123309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote in message
news:84kg54nkv1@ionews.ionet.net...
> AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote in message news:386D03CC.4A5A@imt.net...
> > Brian Denley wrote:
> > Having a few simple hoops to jump through helps keep out the moderatly
> > interested that wonder from hobby to hobby,job to job,wife to wife,and
> > take what they can get but never give because they were really never
> > that interested.
>
> Since you brought the wife into this:
> It took a lot of persuasion on my part to convince my wife to learn 5wpm
> with me and try for her Novice ticket. She is studying diligently for the
> written exam with zero technical background. She barely passed her
college
> graduation math requirement a couple of yrs ago. Her engineer husband
helps
> out as much as possible, but she admits some sections are just way too
> difficult for her so she may have to memorize those questions.
>
> We both welcome the FCC announcement with excitement, as it may
potentially
> help ease her uphill battle.
>
> Some of you might commend me for introducing another person into the Ham
> world. Others, as plainly evident in these discussion, will be openly
> scornful of my attempt to dillute your supposed exclusive elite ranks with
a
> techno-idiot. Ask yourself, which side are you on?
>
> KD5IOU

Well Volfy....I certainly welcome your wife and any new amateur to the
hobby. I am one of those lowly no-coders that is looking forward to getting
my "something for nothing" upgrade, as some of the hierarchy have put it. Oh
well, if we stick together, we will at least have ourselves to talk to on HF
!!!!

73,

Chris
>
>

256. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386FC41D.6A1E5160@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Johnny Rico wrote:
> They won't act like CBers if the
> people who they want to talk to, namely us, do not do likewise.

Heaven forbid some newbee utters those dreaded words,
"10-4 Gud Buddy".
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

257. Re: Where are 147.435 repeaters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84oip8fod1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ELOb4.34$I57.1121@news.rdc1.wa.home.com>,
John the Innocent! <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>Can someone tell me where 147.435 repeaters are located?
>
>So far I know of the following:
>
>Portland, Oregon
>Sioux City, Iowa
>Seattle, Washington
>LA, California
>San Francisco, California
>
>

The infamous one is in LA.

258. Did Riley get W9ART????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14199-386FCA9A-6@storefull-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123312

Listen to the RAIN REPORT! It seems Riley has sent a warning letter to a South Bend ham. I think Riley may have gotten the frequency wrong....UNLESS....W9ART has been following WA9KJI to 14.313 to harass along with Dave.

Next on the agenda: Showing Riley and the entire world that Lucinda Visser is as white as a lake effect snow squall blowing through South Bend, Indiana!!

(Drew)

259. Re: Help Identify "Jammer Joe" and "Doofus"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <386FD23E.BE9EBAAA@icss.net>
Source record: 123313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> There are three new items up at the 3950.net web site:
>
> * Help Identify "Jammer Joe"
>
> Recently, one of the unidentified jammers that plague the legitimate
> operators on 3950 revealed quite a bit about himself while under the
> influence of alcohol. Listen to his voice on a .wav file, and follow
> up on the leads to help us identify this illegal operator.

Send him some 12 year old Wild Turkey and he'll tell you more. He might even get violent and smash his rig (problem solved). Only never believe anything that a drunk is saying when he's drunk, only 1/4 of what he says when he's hungover, and only about half of what he say's when sober.

> * W9ART Issues Death Threat
>
> In one of the most obscene and malicious jamming incidents of his
> career, serial jammer W9ART openly calls for the murder of a fellow
> radio amateur on Christmas Eve. Listen to the .wav file, and help us
> bring this radio criminal to justice.

I'll pass. If I listen, I may want to murder them both.

> * Who Is "Doofus"?
>
> Listen to new sound clips and get bearing and signal-strength
> information, and use these leads to help us determine the identity
> of long-time unid jammer "Doofus," who cruelly parodies a retarded
> person's voice during his jamming sessions.

Oh man, you've got the Howard Stern show tuned in. Is he slapping anyone with a fish?

> It's all available now at 3950.net:
>
> http://www.3950.net
>
> With all good wishes,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>

> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

Thanks Kevin, you just made my day.

260. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <9uQb4.4064$S%2.21704@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Most of the people who are coming of age for HAM radio were not born in the 50's
and 60's.

I am 52 years old and until an accident laid me up for almost a year I had no interest
in ham radio or even CB. I chose ham radio because we all know the mess on CB. No
one who professes to like Ham radio wants to see it go the way of the CB. I am currently
a no code tech, but I will be taking my test for General before the 04-15-00 deadline. I
have had a hard time with the Morse code because of a hearing problem, but I will still try.

Change can be the most painful of experiences or it can a pleasant surprise. If Ham is
to survive then we all must be open to change. Those who are at the top of the Ham hierarchy who will soon be reduced to general are going to hate it.

I wonder if we will ever stop P+Moaning about what was and do what is needed to make sure our hobby flourishes. The choice is up to all of us

kb9thn@yahoo.com

261. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <SIQb4.938$L3.21929@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob Lewis wrote in message <84nu69$50m$1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
>> Most hams are so disagreeable that young people don't
>> want to be bothered. I got my first ticket about 12 years
>> ago, went to the local club, found mostly retired people who
>> did not not even want to talk to anyone under 65. So I keep
>> my ticket and don't use it.
>>
>Now my experience in the Detroit area in the late 50's early 60's was
>totally different. The local 6 meter club had a number of hams who
>seemed eager to help someone in their teens get on the air. They gave
>technical assistance, sold equipment for whatever I could afford to
>pay, and even loaned stuff when there was no money available. On the
>air, I felt like I was always treated as an equal even though I was a
>teen and the rest were older.
>

So this 40 year old information has what to do with anything? This attitude is the problem. "Things were fine 40 years ago when I started so why change anything." The last time I joined a club a couple of years ago (are you listening McHenry County Wireless Association in Illinois) they took my money but never added me to the membership roles. I wasn't quite 50 at the time so I guess I didn't quite meet the minimum age which probably averaged 65.

262. Re: Help Identify "Jammer Joe" and "Doofus"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <386FD6C7.77182F36@icss.net>
Source record: 123316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jammer wrote:

> Just for the record, I had no idea that jammer was a radio term. My
> nickname has been jammer for about 25 years due to the fact that I am
> always listening to music. jammer

That makes you a bad mammer jammer.

263. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <QNQb4.939$L3.22112@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Chris wrote in message ...
>
>Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote in message
>news:84kg54$nkv$1@ionews.ionet.net...
>> AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote in message news:386D03CC.4A5A@imt.net...
>> > Brian Denley wrote:
>> > Having a few simple hoops to jump through helps keep out the
moderatly
>> > interested that wonder from hobby to hobby,job to job,wife to wife,and
>> > take what they can get but never give because they were really never
>> > that interested.
>>
>> Since you brought the wife into this:
>> It took a lot of persuasion on my part to convince my wife to learn 5wpm
>> with me and try for her Novice ticket. She is studying diligently for
the
>> written exam with zero technical background. She barely passed her
>college
>> graduation math requirement a couple of yrs ago. Her engineer husband
>helps
>> out as much as possible, but she admits some sections are just way too
>> difficult for her so she may have to memorize those questions.
>>
>> We both welcome the FCC announcement with excitement, as it may
>potentially
>> help ease her uphill battle.
>>
>> Some of you might commend me for introducing another person into the Ham
>> world. Others, as plainly evident in these discussion, will be openly
>> scornful of my attempt to dillute your supposed exclusive elite ranks
with
>a
>> techno-idiot. Ask yourself, which side are you on?
>>
>> KD5IOU
>
>
>Well Volfy....I certainly welcome your wife and any new amateur to the
>hobby. I am one of those lowly no-coders that is looking forward to getting
>my "something for nothing" upgrade, as some of the hierarchy have put it.
Oh
>well, if we stick together, we will at least have ourselves to talk to on
```

HF
>!!!!
>
>73,
>
>Chris
>>

And if we are lucky we will out number the fogies and have a lot more of us to talk to. Trouble is I don't have any ailments to talk about. Guess we will have to break HAM tradition and talk about something real like curent technology or something.

264. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <CPQb4.940$L3.21998@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A voice of reason and intelligence.

? wrote in message <9uQb4.4064$S%2.21704@newsfeed.slurp.net>...
>Most of the people who are coming of age for HAM radio were not born in the
>50's
>and 60's.
>
>I am 52 years old and until an accident laid me up for almost a year I had
>no interest
>in ham radio or even CB. I chose ham radio because we all know the mess on
>CB. No
>one who professes to like Ham radio wants to see it go the way of the CB. I
>am currently
>a no code tech, but I will be taking my test for General before the
04-15-00
>deadline. I
>have had a hard time with the Morse code because of a hearing problem, but
I
>will still try.
>
>Change can be the most painful of experiences or it can a pleasant
surprise.
>If Ham is
>to survive then we all must be open to change. Those who are at the top of
>the Ham hierarchy who will soon be reduced to general are going to hate it.
>
>I wonder if we will ever stop P+Moaning about what was and do what is
>needed to make sure our hobby flourishes. The choice is up to all of us
>
>kb9thn@yahoo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>

265. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <386FD850.635@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 123319

[cross post reduced to rram only]

Chris wrote:

> ...I am one of those lowly no-coders that is looking forward to getting
> my "something for nothing" upgrade, as some of the hierarchy have put it. Oh
> well, if we stick together, we will at least have ourselves to talk to on HF

Welcome, Chris. I, for one, don't consider you, or any of the other
new upgrade hams lowly or something for nothing. Don't sweat the
negative comments by those poo poo heads.

Now take it easy on those cross posts, will ya...;-)

Lumpy ab0gd
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

266. WELL SAID CARL !! Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@qth.com>
Message-ID: <386FDAE6.CBFA8327@qth.com>
Source record: 123320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well spoken and CORRECT!!! THANKS

"Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Ron N6AHA" <ronc@sonic.net> wrote in message
> news:DSOb4.1270$73.13402@typhoon.sonic.net...
> > Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of
> the
> > thousands who filed comments.
>
> Actually, as stated by the FCC in the R&O (and supported
> by any reasonable analysis of the comments), they DID
> "listen to the wisdom of the thousands who filed comments."
>
> That the body of comment did not support your desired outcome
> (which was apparently in favor of more stagnation, from your tone)
> does not negate the fact that the FCC *did* follow its own Rules
> and *did* consider the comments which were filed.
>
> You have to understand that the FCC is not bound to follow
> a "popular opinion poll of the incumbents" but rather to act
> in the public interest. (Though the body of comment does
> support their action.)
>
> > I have learned over the decades I've dealt
> > with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the
> > comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even
> > bother to read any of them.
>
> Baloney ... one of the reasons this Proceeding took so long is that
> compared to most Proceedings, this one had an extraordinarily
> large number of comments filed. You can tell from the prolific
> footnotes in the R&O that they were all reviewed and considered.
>
> > I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
> > several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of
> > them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first place.
> > All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.
>
> If the amateur community (and the ARRL) had not been so
> intransigent WRT a no-code license when the FCC

> proposed it (before the loss of 220-222), we might not have
> lost it.
>
> As it turned out, UPS, the company which originally requested
> the reallocation of 220-222 didn't end up getting it anyway.
>
> > Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
> > > maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds.
>
> Obviously your arguments didn't make sense to the FCC ...
> they didn't buy all of the "Morse Myth" stuff ... in fact, the ONLY
> reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY Morse testing at
> this time was the ITU Treaty obligation.
>
> > > CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
> > > EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
> > > extensively on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And
> > > found cw superior to most modes when conducting weak signal work.
>
> NOTHING has changed in the Rules to prevent you from using CW
> anywhere you could before ... all that's changed is that those who could
> care less about Morse code are no longer going to be forced to pass
> a high-speed Morse test to get phone and digital privileges ... something
> that has been ridiculous for at least several decades.
>
> The fact that some use CW (purely by personal choice and because
> they happen to enjoy it, not from any real necessity) is not sufficient
> justification for forcing all to become proficient at arbitrarily high
> speeds.
>
> The FCC made decisions which are in the best interest of ham
> radio's future, even if you don't agree.
>
> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
> - --
> NCI-1052
> Director, No Code International
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, TAPR
> Member, ARRL
> - --
> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
> <http://www.nocode.org>

```
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
> iQA/AwUBOG/Ef5e+N6+q84HiEQJB0wCg1wg3dvVbs6rDyR2fB9MGZzwKwakAnjFg
> Y8vB2TyUcLoyOBo+VgMA05dN
> =zmeL
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

267. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <386FDBE1.23B3ED50@home.com>
Source record: 123321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Actually the Feds are doing this because they love to read all the inane
comments they get about themselves here

David Stinson wrote:

> The jack-booted thugs
> continue to build the murderous police state,
> while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?
>
> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS
> Date: Tue, 28 Dec 1999 15:04:45 -0600
>
> See: http://www.2600.com/news/1999/1218.html
>
> FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS
> 12/18/99
> 2600 has learned that several small electronic hobbyist
> vendors were recently raided by federal agents
> brandishing semiautomatic weapons.
> News of these raids has been kept out of the media
> and many of those involved fear retribution
> if they openly discuss the case.
>
> Until now, these companies had operated for years
> selling harmless educational electronic kits
> (like wireless microphones) to students, hobbyists,
> ham radio operators, and hackers.
> Now their inventories are being seized at gunpoint
> and their owners could be facing bankruptcy and prison time.
>
> The U.S. Dept. of Justice is apparently re-interpreting federal
> wiretapping and smuggling laws to include
> small hobby radio transmitters.
> Even possessing so-called "Mr. Microphone" type toys
> can now put hobbyists at risk of looking down the barrel
> of a federal agent's loaded gun, a felony conviction,
> federal prison time, loss of property,
> and legal fees into the tens of thousands of dollars.
>
> Ramsey Electronics and Super Circuits are just two
> of the companies recently raided at gunpoint.
> Both have good and longstanding reputations for selling

> inexpensive, quality educational kits and components
> to electronics hobbyists for many years through ads
> in electronic hobby magazines and their websites.
> A high-ranking Justice Department official
> told one of the business owners the orders for these raids
> are politically motivated and originated from a
> very high level in the Clinton administration
> as a result of the Linda Tripp wiretapping case.
> "They just need convictions," the official said.
>
> Earlier this year the Justice Dept. indicted Bill Cheek,
> the well-known and loved author of books on radio scanner
> modifications and Monitoring Times column writer.
> Bill was selling very simple "data-slicer" circuits
> (made from parts available at any Radio Shack)
> that could be used with a PC and a scanner to monitor
> radio data transmissions--including unencrypted
> police mobile data terminal (MDT) transmissions.
> Even after Bill had been diagnosed with
> terminal cancer, the Federal government refused
> to back off, even issuing a summons to court
> on the same day he was to begin chemotherapy.
>
> In 1995 2600's BernieS was locked up
> in five different maximum-security prisons
> over 14 months by the Secret Service
> for possessing his laptop computer, his software
> and ordinary electronic parts available at Radio Shack.
> He had been distributing parts, software, books
> and pamphlets about cellular and coin telephones.
> The Justice Department's final investigation report stated
> "There were no victims in the offense."
>
> This is a clear pattern of harassment
> and abuse of power by our Federal government
> against people teaching others about electronic
> communications. It appears our government doesn't want
> people to know this information.
> 2600 strongly feels this knowledge is important
> for people to have, and will continue spreading
> it at every opportunity.
> Write and call your legislators to
> complain about this injustice.
> You could be next!

268. Re: Help Identify "Jammer Joe" and "Doofus"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <386FDB54.924DA330@home.com>
Source record: 123322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Excuse please, This is REC.RADIO.SHORTWAVE. Please launch a 3950 Liberty net neo-nazi spam group of your own

Eric Cooper

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> There are three new items up at the 3950.net web site:
>
> * Help Identify "Jammer Joe"
>
> Recently, one of the unidentified jammers that plague the legitimate
> operators on 3950 revealed quite a bit about himself while under the
> influence of alcohol. Listen to his voice on a .wav file, and follow
> up on the leads to help us identify this illegal operator.
>
> * W9ART Issues Death Threat
>
> In one of the most obscene and malicious jamming incidents of his
> career, serial jammer W9ART openly calls for the murder of a fellow
> radio amateur on Christmas Eve. Listen to the .wav file, and help us
> bring this radio criminal to justice.
>
> * Who Is "Doofus"?
>
> Listen to new sound clips and get bearing and signal-strength
> information, and use these leads to help us determine the identity
> of long-time unid jammer "Doofus," who cruelly parodies a retarded
> person's voice during his jamming sessions.
>
> It's all available now at 3950.net:
>
> http://www.3950.net
>
> With all good wishes,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

269. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <_1Rb4.946$L3.22236@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The reason 220 was lost is that nobody was using it. Doesn't matter how many letters were sent or what they said it didn't change the fact 220 was not being used. Now you need to wake up and realize if more new HAMS are not coined the rest of the bands will go the same as 220. The future can go one of two ways. Your attitude will guarantee the loss of all bands.

Ron N6AHA wrote in message ...
>Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of
the
>thousands who filed comments. I have learned over the decades I've dealt
>with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the
>comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even
>bother to read any of them. I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
>several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of
>them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first place.
>All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.
>
>Ron Castro
>N6AHA
>
>Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
>> I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
>> maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds. I sent a notice for proposed
>> rulemaking about two years ago, calling for an expansion of the 80m extra
>> sub-band down 25khz. When the FCC turned me down, they sent a notice
about
>> the licence restructering. I felt I had to make a comment about it. But
>they
>> didn't listen anyway. CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially
for
>> EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
>extensively
>> on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And found cw superior to
>> most modes when conducting weak signal work.
>>
>> Spencer KC2TX
>> Bob Bethman <rbethman@erols.com> wrote in message
>> news:386EE695.B7385733@erols.com...
>> > Did send comments, in the necessary approved format. Another twit that
>> speaks
>> > for himself only.
>> >

>> > Bob N0DGN
>> >
>> > JM wrote:
>> >
>> > > to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such.
I'll
>> > > bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
>> > > would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this
is
>> > > what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
>> > > already. John N0EE
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

270. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Seminary Student <romans10-9@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <j9Rb4.9$Qa5.974@hnlnewsr2.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 123324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Please remove the SCANNER newsgroups from future
ham replies....thanks 100000000

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:84nvl0$a81$1@208.207.71.154...
> Arthur H. Wertz wrote:
> >
>
> > Numbers equal VOTERS -
> > The FCC pays attention to voters, not old-timers!!!
> >
>
> Another dreamer heard from.

271. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <1eRb4.947$L3.22407@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123325
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote in message <84o2j9egb1@208.207.71.154>...
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> liam@ork.net wrote:
>> > Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio?
>>
>> It certainly proves committment better than high speed
>> Morse code exams.
>
>
>So says you. But then you have never been, and now never
>will be, a REAL HAM.

Shoot you aren't a real HAM. You never ran a spark gap station. That's my criteria for a real HAM. Burn your license. Your not worthy of working the 10 meter novice segment.

272. Re: International Law and the FCC (was: restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <386FDF39.1C8EBE09@aznet.net>
Source record: 123326

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>

> I fully expect that at that WRC, the nations of the world will retire
> S25.5, eliminating the ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping
> ANY Morse testing at this time.
>
> 73,
> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse

If they don't?

273. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Get A life Guys <morons@allaround.me>
Message-ID: <386FDEBB.2A5CA66F@allaround.me>
Source record: 123327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Exactly right. They responded exactly, or at least a whole lot closer to the MAJORITY of the REASONABLE responses. Those who simply wrote in against the proposal because "I had to learn the code so they have to also" wasted a stamp. In an NPRM comments must be based on actual facts and possibilities, not emotional noise. I think from reading the r and o, they responded very well to the well thought out comments and matched my suggestions almost down to the date of implementation. My only complaint was why not issue new upgrades to those who have already completed the requirements, but, hey, a small problem, the important part was dealt with just fine.

"Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Ron N6AHA" <ronc@sonic.net> wrote in message
> news:DSOb4.1270$73.13402@typhoon.sonic.net...
> > Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of
> the
> > thousands who filed comments.
>
> Actually, as stated by the FCC in the R&O (and supported
> by any reasonable analysis of the comments), they DID
> "listen to the wisdom of the thousands who filed comments."
>
> That the body of comment did not support your desired outcome
> (which was apparently in favor of more stagnation, from your tone)
> does not negate the fact that the FCC *did* follow its own Rules
> and *did* consider the comments which were filed.
>
> You have to understand that the FCC is not bound to follow
> a "popular opinion poll of the incumbents" but rather to act
> in the public interest. (Though the body of comment does
> support their action.)
>
> > I have learned over the decades I've dealt
> > with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the
> > comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even
> > bother to read any of them.
>
> Baloney ... one of the reasons this Proceeding took so long is that
> compared to most Proceedings, this one had an extraordinarily

> large number of comments filed. You can tell from the prolific
> footnotes in the R&O that they were all reviewed and considered.
>
> > I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
> > several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of
> > them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first place.
> > All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.
>
> If the amateur community (and the ARRL) had not been so
> intransigent WRT a no-code license when the FCC
> proposed it (before the loss of 220-222), we might not have
> lost it.
>
> As it turned out, UPS, the company which originally requested
> the reallocation of 220-222 didn't end up getting it anyway.
>
> > Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
> > > maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds.
>
> Obviously your arguments didn't make sense to the FCC ...
> they didn't buy all of the "Morse Myth" stuff ... in fact, the ONLY
> reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY Morse testing at
> this time was the ITU Treaty obligation.
>
> > > CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
> > > EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
> > > extensively on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And
> > > found cw superior to most modes when conducting weak signal work.
>
> NOTHING has changed in the Rules to prevent you from using CW
> anywhere you could before ... all that's changed is that those who could
> care less about Morse code are no longer going to be forced to pass
> a high-speed Morse test to get phone and digital privileges ... something
> that has been ridiculous for at least several decades.
>
> The fact that some use CW (purely by personal choice and because
> they happen to enjoy it, not from any real necessity) is not sufficient
> justification for forcing all to become proficient at arbitrarily high
> speeds.
>
> The FCC made decisions which are in the best interest of ham
> radio's future, even if you don't agree.
>
> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
> - ----------

> NCI-1052
> Director, No Code International
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, TAPR
> Member, ARRL
> - ---
> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
> <http://www.nocode.org>
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
> iQA/AwUBOG/Ef5e+N6+q84HiEQJB0wCg1wg3dvVbs6rDyR2fB9MGZzwKwakAnjFg
> Y8vB2TyUcLoyOBo+VgMA05dN
> =zmeL
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

274. Re: Did Riley get W9ART????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102183612.12824.00001195@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 123328

Nahhhh .. can't be.

275. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <guRb4.951$L3.22301@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote in message <386FC41D.6A1E5160@IEEE.org>...
>Johnny Rico wrote:
>> They won't act like CBers if the
>> people who they want to talk to, namely us, do not do likewise.
>
>Heaven forbid some newbee utters those dreaded words,
>"10-4 Gud Buddy".
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Some of the mumbo jumbo used by the old timers is every bit as bad as anything on CB. Why in the world do people say hi hi on voice. Why use Q codes originally devised as shorthand for CW on voice
etc., etc., To an outsider it sounds like the back ward of a mental institution. Listen to aviation traffic if you want to know what professional communications is all about.

276. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102185027.25433.00000838@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 123330

Shouldn't that say "Looks Like I get free upgrade to 10 meter?"

277. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6vp1t9c5k2173@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Get A life Guys" <morons@allaround.me> wrote in message
news:386FDEBB.2A5CA66F@allaround.me...
> Exactly right. They responded exactly, or at least a whole lot closer to
> the MAJORITY of the REASONABLE responses. Those who simply wrote in
> against the proposal because "I had to learn the code so they have to
> also" wasted a stamp. In an NPRM comments must be based on actual facts
> and possibilities, not emotional noise. I think from reading the r and
> o, they responded very well to the well thought out comments and matched
> my suggestions almost down to the date of implementation. My only
> complaint was why not issue new upgrades to those who have already
> completed the requirements, but, hey, a small problem, the important
> part was dealt with just fine.

The reason for the April 15, 2000 effective date is that all new
Rules must be published in the Federal Register and go through
some other bureaucratic mumbo-jumbo before they can take
effect.

The FCC could not have made the new Rules effective
immediately, so they cannot allow upgrades under the
new Rules because they aren't yet "the Rules."

Frustrating, agreed, but simple facts of life.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
```

```
iQA/AwUBOG/kAJe+N6+q84HiEQL9agCg5PgJOGa044tx47ICcMraw6iCD9cAoKkr
XIhLutvLBu0BtIvf9TVFFmS0
=GvVK
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

278. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <386FDB59.6CC4@imt.net>
Source record: 123382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> AB7RS wrote:
> >
> > How about the same call sequence as today but with a /weeney at the end?
>
> HAW HAW HAW!!! First belly laugh I've had this millineum!

279. Misc restructuing comments/give the ARRL a break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6vpojlg5k291@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123383

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"LCC" <hass@aznet.net> wrote in message news:386FDF39.1C8EBE09@aznet.net...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> >
>
> > I fully expect that at that WRC, the nations of the world will retire
> > S25.5, eliminating the ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping
> > ANY Morse testing at this time.
> >
> > 73,
> > - --
> > Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
>
> If they don't?

I'm betting they will ... if they don't, the US can either decide to
"take an exception" or stick with 5 wpm for G/E until they do.

But I'm betting S25.5 is history after that WRC.

With respect to my predictive powers ... bear in mind that I bet
Ed Hare of the ARRL a lobster dinnner, loser drives, that we'd
get T/G/E and 0/5/5 and won. (Ed's bet was for 4 classes and
some 12 wpm code requirements.) Ed has graciously accepted
defeat ... I wish others who didn't get exactly what they wanted
could be as gracious as Ed instead of proposing "poison pill"
"solutions" designed to damage ham ratio out of spite.

BTW ... while we're talking about Ed ... that brings the ARRL
to mind. While I didn't agree with their proposal, all of the
ARRL bashing which is going on now is out of line and uncalled for.

The ARRL tried to keep as many license classes and as much
code testing as they thought had a snowball's chance in hell of
making it past the FCC. They didn't get what they asked for,
but they tried.

The R&O, as it turns out, is almost exactly the NCI proposal
(T/G/E, 0/5/5) ... the only thing we (NCI) didn't get was the
"sunset clause, but that's not a really big deal.

So, die-hards, give the ARRL a break.

(I'll bet those who constantly accuse me of being an
"ARRL-basher" will be wide-eyed and open-jawed

when they read this :-)

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG/m2Ze+N6+q84HiEQJGAACfXcn949j+m7SFqWl4zv0gzOcFZocAoIiK
f5AjzynNemqfA3r5GpgnEjEx
=BqB/
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

280. Re: Misc restructuing comments/give the ARRL a break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <386FECB5.B295E07E@aznet.net>
Source record: 123384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "LCC" <hass@aznet.net> wrote in message news:386FDF39.1C8EBE09@aznet.net...
> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > >
> >
> > > I fully expect that at that WRC, the nations of the world will retire
> > > S25.5, eliminating the ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping
> > > ANY Morse testing at this time.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > - --
> > > Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> >
> > If they don't?
>
> I'm betting they will ... if they don't, the US can either decide to
> "take an exception" or stick with 5 wpm for G/E until they do.
> But I'm betting S25.5 is history after that WRC.
>
> With respect to my predictive powers ... bear in mind that I bet
> Ed Hare of the ARRL a lobster dinnner, loser drives, that we'd
> get T/G/E and 0/5/5 and won. (Ed's bet was for 4 classes and
> some 12 wpm code requirements.) Ed has graciously accepted
> defeat ... I wish others who didn't get exactly what they wanted
> could be as gracious as Ed instead of proposing "poison pill"
> "solutions" designed to damage ham ratio out of spite.

I know, you have a good sense of predicting things :)

> So, die-hards, give the ARRL a break.
>
> (I'll bet those who constantly accuse me of being an
> "ARRL-basher" will be wide-eyed and open-jawed
> when they read this :-)

LOL

> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
```

281. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: genno <wm9h@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <386ff454$0$87955@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 123385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SOUNDS to me like you don't even have a BRAIN to try to learn
code........DAAAHHH....GIMME DA PIECE OF PAPER FA FREE.....DAHHHH WATS A
DIT AND DAH.......10-4 GOOD BUDDY?

Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:hfhv6sc6ee13mv2pgrmk8b3t0u9sa0gkc6@4ax.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote... in leftover Y2K drygoods... ..
:
>
> > Better get your head out of the sand and into century 21,
> >Bill. The FCC just effetively delivered the Amateur Radio
> >Service over the the CBers carte blanc, delivered on the
> >no-code platter. A little memorization and now even the most
> >illegtimate illiterate honyok is in, all the way to Novxtra
> >class.
>
> You know, we've HEARD all that crap before, several times.
> It's the kind of crap that has KEPT good people out of Ham Radio.
> It's the 'good old boy' kind of crap that is KILLING what you claim
> to want to preserve.
>
> Go take a look at your local AREA and RACES operations, and
> see who is volunteering. Check the license classes of the people
> who are providing comm support at the Special Olympics, and
> you WILL find more than half of them are NO-CODE TECHS!
>
> So SHUT the f&^k up, or go whine in the ham newsgroups,
> but KEEP this newsgroup on-topic, SCANNERS!
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

282. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <386FF689.2079D811@yahoo.com>
Source record: 123386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Carl, Following your logic below, I contend that you are 50 years old and too
lazy to learn code beyond 5 wpm. Or, you are not intelligent enough to "copy
the code" in your head. Is this true?
I suppose I would give more credibility to a director of
"no code" international if he or she were a true, no doctor note,
extra class operator like me.

I contend that the CW element has kept many (not all) of the
low brain cell types off of the HF bands and hence keeps their
puke-brained jibberish off of the HF spectrum, where those
outside the USA might be subjected to it.

Just look at 2 meters -- most on there today dont know code,
and, are a laughing stock. They can't even speak properly
over a microphone. They basically sound like goof balls.

Joe K7JOE
Portland Oregon USA

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Ron N6AHA" <ronc@sonic.net> wrote in message
> news:DSOb4.1270$73.13402@typhoon.sonic.net...
> > Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of
> the
> > thousands who filed comments.
>
> Actually, as stated by the FCC in the R&O (and supported
> by any reasonable analysis of the comments), they DID
> "listen to the wisdom of the thousands who filed comments."
>
> That the body of comment did not support your desired outcome
> (which was apparently in favor of more stagnation, from your tone)
> does not negate the fact that the FCC *did* follow its own Rules
> and *did* consider the comments which were filed.
>
> You have to understand that the FCC is not bound to follow
> a "popular opinion poll of the incumbents" but rather to act
> in the public interest. (Though the body of comment does
> support their action.)
>

> > I have learned over the decades I've dealt
> > with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the
> > comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even
> > bother to read any of them.
>
> Baloney ... one of the reasons this Proceeding took so long is that
> compared to most Proceedings, this one had an extraordinarily
> large number of comments filed. You can tell from the prolific
> footnotes in the R&O that they were all reviewed and considered.
>
> > I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
> > several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of
> > them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first place.
> > All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.
>
> If the amateur community (and the ARRL) had not been so
> intransigent WRT a no-code license when the FCC
> proposed it (before the loss of 220-222), we might not have
> lost it.
>
> As it turned out, UPS, the company which originally requested
> the reallocation of 220-222 didn't end up getting it anyway.
>
> > Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
> > > maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds.
>
> Obviously your arguments didn't make sense to the FCC ...
> they didn't buy all of the "Morse Myth" stuff ... in fact, the ONLY
> reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY Morse testing at
> this time was the ITU Treaty obligation.
>
> > > CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
> > > EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
> > > extensively on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And
> > > found cw superior to most modes when conducting weak signal work.
>
> NOTHING has changed in the Rules to prevent you from using CW
> anywhere you could before ... all that's changed is that those who could
> care less about Morse code are no longer going to be forced to pass
> a high-speed Morse test to get phone and digital privileges ... something
> that has been ridiculous for at least several decades.
>
> The fact that some use CW (purely by personal choice and because
> they happen to enjoy it, not from any real necessity) is not sufficient
> justification for forcing all to become proficient at arbitrarily high
> speeds.
>
> The FCC made decisions which are in the best interest of ham

> radio's future, even if you don't agree.
>
> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
> - --
> NCI-1052
> Director, No Code International
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, TAPR
> Member, ARRL
> - --
> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
> <http://www.nocode.org>
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
> iQA/AwUBOG/Ef5e+N6+q84HiEQJB0wCg1wg3dvVbs6rDyR2fB9MGZzwKwakAnjFg
> Y8vB2TyUcLoyOBo+VgMA05dN
> =zmeL
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

283. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386FF4F7.E45293C0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123387
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
> Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.

Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
i.e. 49 years.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

284. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s6vufmds5k2167@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Joe" <K7JOE@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:386FF689.2079D811@yahoo.com...
> Carl, Following your logic below, I contend that you are 50 years old

Sooner than I'd prefer (October 2000) :-)

> and too lazy to learn code beyond 5 wpm.

Not lazy, just not interested in jumping through irrelevant
hoops just for the pleasure of folks like you :-)

> Or, you are not intelligent enough to "copy
> the code" in your head. Is this true?

Back in my Novice and early Tech days (75/76),
there was a point where I operated quite a bit of
CW, working JAs, Johnston Island, and quite a
few countries ... I was probably about 4 states
short of QRP WAS on 40 CW if my memory
serves me correctly (I have the old log book buried
somewhere around here, but I'd have to look for it
to give and exact count.)

I found it tedious and boring and when I got involved
in VHF/UHF (repeaters, packet, satellite, DFing, etc.)
I rapidly lost interest in Morse code and stopped using
it. So what?

> I suppose I would give more credibility to a director of
> "no code" international if he or she were a true, no doctor note,
> extra class operator like me.

Ah ... so that's it ... "only 'REAL HAMS' (those who can beep
real fast) have intelligence, valid viewpoints, credibility, etc." huh???

Check my sig file for a smattering of credentials ... I've
been an RF engineer for over 28 years and have filed
about 20 good patent applications this past year, FWIW,
but enough of that ... I really don't have to impress you
and don't care if I do or not.

My sense of self-worth is not tied up in whether I can
beep fast or not and it's certainly not dependent on YOUR
opinion of me or my views.

> I contend that the CW element has kept many (not all) of the
> low brain cell types off of the HF bands and hence keeps their
> puke-brained jibberish off of the HF spectrum, where those
> outside the USA might be subjected to it.

The FCC disagrees ... they did in 1990 and they do now. Read
the Report and Order

(at http://www.nocode.org/articles)

... they even quote from the 1990 R&O to emphasize that Morse
proficiency has NOTHING to do with either technical proficiency
or behavior.

> Just look at 2 meters -- most on there today dont know code,
> and, are a laughing stock. They can't even speak properly
> over a microphone. They basically sound like goof balls.

With all due respect (which at this juncture doesn't amount to
much) ... sounds like the pot calling the kettle black to me.

Grow up and live with the changes. Try to do something
CONSTRUCTIVE to make ham radio better, instead
of carping about "Morse Myths" and other trash from
the past and trying to demean others who don't share your
interest in Morse code.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ----------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ----------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOG/5vpe+N6+q84HiEQK9xACg2ffEeP2KouLgFI8P5JEdyIVwGx0AnArc
Kfk6NPhRO5a9AjlyiZ4/eAEv
=gpVH
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

285. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <xVSb4.956$L3.23036@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well joe I guess you haven't spent much time on HF lately. Talk about low brain cell types talking puke-brained jibberish. Darn you have a way with words, now I know why you are an extra class. It really shows.

Joe wrote in message <386FF689.2079D811@yahoo.com>...
>Carl, Following your logic below, I contend that you are 50 years old and too
>lazy to learn code beyond 5 wpm. Or, you are not intelligent enough to "copy
>the code" in your head. Is this true?
>I suppose I would give more credibility to a director of
>"no code" international if he or she were a true, no doctor note,
>extra class operator like me.
>
>I contend that the CW element has kept many (not all) of the
>low brain cell types off of the HF bands and hence keeps their
>puke-brained jibberish off of the HF spectrum, where those
>outside the USA might be subjected to it.
>
>Just look at 2 meters -- most on there today dont know code,
>and, are a laughing stock. They can't even speak properly
>over a microphone. They basically sound like goof balls.
>
>Joe K7JOE
>Portland Oregon USA
>
>
>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>>
>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>> Hash: SHA1
>>
>> "Ron N6AHA" <ronc@sonic.net> wrote in message
>> news:DSOb4.1270$73.13402@typhoon.sonic.net...
>> > Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of
>> the
>> > thousands who filed comments.
>>
>> Actually, as stated by the FCC in the R&O (and supported
>> by any reasonable analysis of the comments), they DID
>> "listen to the wisdom of the thousands who filed comments."
>>

>> That the body of comment did not support your desired outcome
>> (which was apparently in favor of more stagnation, from your tone)
>> does not negate the fact that the FCC *did* follow its own Rules
>> and *did* consider the comments which were filed.
>>
>> You have to understand that the FCC is not bound to follow
>> a "popular opinion poll of the incumbents" but rather to act
>> in the public interest. (Though the body of comment does
>> support their action.)
>>
>> > I have learned over the decades I've dealt
>> > with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever
the
>> > comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even
>> > bother to read any of them.
>>
>> Baloney ... one of the reasons this Proceeding took so long is that
>> compared to most Proceedings, this one had an extraordinarily
>> large number of comments filed. You can tell from the prolific
>> footnotes in the R&O that they were all reviewed and considered.
>>
>> > I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
>> > several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both
of
>> > them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first
place.
>> > All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.
>>
>> If the amateur community (and the ARRL) had not been so
>> intransigent WRT a no-code license when the FCC
>> proposed it (before the loss of 220-222), we might not have
>> lost it.
>>
>> As it turned out, UPS, the company which originally requested
>> the reallocation of 220-222 didn't end up getting it anyway.
>>
>> > Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> > news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
>> > > I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
>> > > maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds.
>>
>> Obviously your arguments didn't make sense to the FCC ...
>> they didn't buy all of the "Morse Myth" stuff ... in fact, the ONLY
>> reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY Morse testing at
>> this time was the ITU Treaty obligation.
>>
>> > > CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
>> > > EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
>> > > extensively on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And
>> > > found cw superior to most modes when conducting weak signal work.

>>
>> NOTHING has changed in the Rules to prevent you from using CW
>> anywhere you could before ... all that's changed is that those who could
>> care less about Morse code are no longer going to be forced to pass
>> a high-speed Morse test to get phone and digital privileges ... something
>> that has been ridiculous for at least several decades.
>>
>> The fact that some use CW (purely by personal choice and because
>> they happen to enjoy it, not from any real necessity) is not sufficient
>> justification for forcing all to become proficient at arbitrarily high
>> speeds.
>>
>> The FCC made decisions which are in the best interest of ham
>> radio's future, even if you don't agree.
>>
>> - --
>> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
>> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
>> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
>> - --
>> NCI-1052
>> Director, No Code International
>> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
>> Senior Member, IEEE
>> Member, TAPR
>> Member, ARRL
>> - --
>> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
>> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
>> <http://www.nocode.org>
>>
>> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>>
>> iQA/AwUBOG/Ef5e+N6+q84HiEQJB0wCg1wg3dvVbs6rDyR2fB9MGZzwKwakAnjFg
>> Y8vB2TyUcLoyOBo+VgMA05dN
>> =zmeL
>> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

286. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386FFC5A.DEE3C649@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe wrote:
> I suppose I would give more credibility to a director of
> "no code" international if he or she were a true, no doctor note,
> extra class operator like me.

Might as well give up on your belief that Morse code skill is
worth something besides a tool for having fun. The FCC, the final
authority on such things, says it isn't. Sorry, you are going to
have to find another way to recruit worshipers. Carl will have
the same license as you before long, if he chooses to.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

287. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <7hTb4.2837$Vr.51510@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>>He probably could have done me in with that knife

>Better clean that crystal ball you are using to predict my death.

You used the words "done me in". That means *death* to most people...

>You absolutely must remember the odds. If your odds of dying were
>one a million, wouldn't you risk a fight?

Your odds are closer to 1:1. In an armed robbery where the perp has a gun to your head, you advocate dying rather than giving up the property because of your principles. Your reasoning? You said you're too old (at 61), live alone, and don't want to go to a nursing home. Those are suicide/death wish odds, and not for me...

288. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <4hTb4.2833$Vr.51510@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Stadt wrote
>Listen to aviation traffic if you want to know what
>professional communications is all about.

Ah but we are *amateurs* and not professionals. Much of our hobby's jargon has built up over the years and has no real reason for being other than tradition. Every hobby has it's jargon, but sadly it seems, few have the language/jargon cops ours does...

289. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <4hTb4.2834$Vr.51510@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
>I think that it's supposed to be to some extent....
>[ham radio ain't rocket science]

I didn't say that it's supposed to be rocket science professor,
I said it ain't...

(If you will post the details of your homebrew station, I will recant in
your case...)

290. Re: Is there a form 605 fee ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84p04v$kr3$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123394

Gregory <okguy@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:99wb4.345$1y5.18095@news.corecomm.net...
> I'm renewing my ham license using FORM 605 . Do I pay a fee or is this
free

Hams do not pay fees.
Hams are freeloaders.

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

291. Kids day a joke?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84p07pllj1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123395

NBC did indeed run a story on kids day on ham radio.
The story was of kids in Boring, Ore, I kid you not.
It sure fit.

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

292. Looking to buy HF radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy/Dee/Robin <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <38700c20_3@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 123396
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello, I'm looking for an HF radio.... Something like a TS570DG, FT900CAT, IC736/746, etc. Can't afford the $1200 versions, but could pay a fair price, if you have anything in excellent non-mobile used condition, please email direct and I'll consider!
thanks,
Randy

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

293. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <84p2offoq1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I never wrote anything of the kind. Please attempt to quote me somewhat
more accurately.
Thanks
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Volfy <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote in message
news:84kg54nkv1@ionews.ionet.net...
> AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote in message news:386D03CC.4A5A@imt.net...
> > Brian Denley wrote:
> > Having a few simple hoops to jump through helps keep out the moderatly
> > interested that wonder from hobby to hobby,job to job,wife to wife,and
> > take what they can get but never give because they were really never
> > that interested.
>
> Since you brought the wife into this:
......

294. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <P4Ub4.965$L3.23455@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ah but we are amateur aviators and we have no mumbo jumbo jargon based on
tradition.

Aaron Jones wrote in message <4hTb4.2833$Vr.51510@news.ntr.net>...
>Dave Stadt wrote
>>Listen to aviation traffic if you want to know what
>>professional communications is all about.
>
>
>Ah but we are *amateurs* and not professionals. Much of our hobby's jargon
>has built up over the years and has no real reason for being other than
>tradition. Every hobby has it's jargon, but sadly it seems, few have the
>language/jargon cops ours does...
>
>
>
>

295. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: User <user@domain.com>
Message-ID: <SQxwOK8ZMwMl7IkpnSe3eHHGugrx@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 17:08:25 -0800, Joe <K7JOE@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Just look at 2 meters -- most on there today dont know code,
>and, are a laughing stock. They can't even speak properly
>over a microphone. They basically sound like goof balls.
>
>Joe K7JOE
>Portland Oregon USA

I heard you on 10m ssb, and your raspy, over-driven
1500 watts on a band where 100 watts is plenty,
sounded pretty funny too. The part I really liked is
your "No kids, no lids, class A operators only......"
CQ. What an ass. Yeah, I really fell for the
"I gotta cold" excuse when the guy who responded
to your CQ mentioned your audio problem.

User

296. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s7061o695k273@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message
news:387013b3.3294316@news.interactive.net...
> On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 13:35:02 -0800, "William F. Hagen"
> <whagen@inreach.com> wrote:
>
> >when you read the report and order you will see that the fcc carefully
> >chose a path through the comments that allowed them to justify what they
> >wanted. out of the 700 thousand or so hams in the US, there were only
> >2000 or so comments, about a percent or so, I guess they figured no-one
> >really cared.
>
> Actually, in the sense of getting comments, 2000 commentors was
> really a very large input.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

That's quite true, Bill ... in many, if not most, FCC Proceedings
if they get more than 100 comments and 50 replies, it's a busy
Proceeding.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
```

```
iQA/AwUBOHAX+Ze+N6+q84HiEQIiGACeN/kaxiqmzchPbu5JRN1GNnllvQ4AoNK+
uTNLRBPc2ZuQcQwNhSKO2md+
=4HCm
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

297. Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you>
Message-ID: <HEUb4.4399$S%2.24851@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123401

I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing something?

Thanks!

298. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <jHUb4.104451$Ym1.1777677@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 123402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I am a Southerner, a Baptist, and a Democrat.

Please do not assume that the ravings of this idiot, N0VFP, represent all Southerners.

In the first place, he is a transplanted damnyankee. In the second place, he is as dumb as a bag of empty peanut hulls.

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <84os6i$89e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <_5tb4.13118$y11.153180@news4.mia>,
> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>> Hello and Greetings from the Better Amateur Radio Federation!
>>
>> I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it. I
>would
>> wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.
>>
>> I want to take a few minutes of your time to let you know of some new
>things
>> the B.A.R.F/ARG/Stumpjumpers/Liberty Net plan to do for the year 2000.
>>
>> "Liberty Net 2000" This will be an all-new Website.
>>
>> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
>this next
>> Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and
>> re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we
>folks
>> who live in the South claim back our states rights and raise a New
>> Confederacy. We all need to pray for this next year. God is sick of
>the
>> sins of this country. REPENT AMERIKA! God is about to judge this
>Nation!
>>
>> I now want to address the Ham community. This new FCC rulemaking is
>a step

>> in the right direction. For many years now, old gas-bag extra class
>hams
>> have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF. We will use to our
>> advantage.
>>
>> We have great things planned for the Liberty Net this year. We will
>step-up
>> our look-out for jammers and potential recruits. Look for a reversal
>in the
>> David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from
>> Leavenworth!
>>
>> --
>> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>>
>> The FCC didn't assign me
>> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>>
>> Athens, AL 35611
>> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
>> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
>> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
>> http://www.adl-ci.org/
>> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
>> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
>> http://www.3950.net/
>> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
>> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>>
>>
>Since this is a AMATEUR RADIO NEWSGROUP I would like to address a small
>portion of this "tripe". How is it you figure the "gasbag extra's"
> kept YOU off of HF. It not my fault you are a ignorant, lazy, pompous
>ass. That is your problem, not mine.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

299. Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you>
Message-ID: <xIUb4.4405$S%2.24868@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123403

I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing something?

Thanks!

300. Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you>
Message-ID: <kKUb4.4410$S%2.25188@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123404

I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing something?

Thanks!

301. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <38700FA2.34BF@rpi.edu>
Source record: 123405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
> >I think that it's supposed to be to some extent....
> >[ham radio ain't rocket science]
>
> I didn't say that it's supposed to be rocket science professor,
> I said it ain't...
>
> (If you will post the details of your homebrew station, I will recant in
> your case...)

Aaron,

Actually, I'm using a home-built dual 6KD6 SSB rig with a home-brew dual 572B linear amplifier. The basic design is about 20 years old, and everything is done with tubes except for the two VFOs and the active preselector. I'm using old Yaesu SSB and CW filters (2.1 and 0.25 kHz, respectively). I admit that I'm using an MFJ 989C 3KW tuner/wattmeter, but it wasn't much more expensive than buying the parts... (roller inductor...etc). My first rig (built during my first incarnation as a ham in 1972) was a modified ARC-5 on 40m with two 1625s, and a few months later I built a 150 watt amplifier for it using three 807s and the parts from a couple of old TV sets.

Not that this kind of thing is for everyone, but for me, this was the essence of ham radio. I'd hate to think that the whole hobby is going towards "appliance operation". However, I'm also on packet and APRS (with commercial ICOM and Garmin gear, and I work a lot of local VHF/UHF with modern stuff - I'm no technophobe or Luddite!)

The world is changing, but it's nice if we can preserve some of the spirit of experimentalism that was associated with the early days of the hobby. There are many facets to this game, but this is the one I think is special.

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

302. Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you>
Message-ID: <i9Vb4.4444$S%2.25364@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123406

I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing something?

Thanks!

303. K4DOH NEW YEAR MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38702749.C11972DE@mindspring.com>
Source record: 123407

Hello and Greetings from the Unclaimed Temple of the True Sons of Yeti Dobbs, Resurrected.

I hope this message finds everyone in trembling awe of the task ahead. That is, to screw up ALL FOUR DIGITS when signing your checks until at least the middle of February.

I want to take up a few minutes of your time to let you know a little bit about the HORRENDOUS FATE that awaits unless you send $30 to the Church of the Subgenius, P. O.
Box 140306, Dallas Texas 75214. (http://www.subgenius.com/)

While many of you are celebrating the recent arrival of the Millennium, or anticipating the arrival of the New Improved Millennium in 2001, Sinister Alien Forces are even now planning the utter and complete takeover of
the planet. It would be bad enough if THEY were merely "not like us." If they were
even human. MY GOD IF THEY WERE EVEN BIPEDS!!! AAAAIIIEEE!!!

But I digress.

In this HAM RADIO group I've heard discussion about the rise and fall of the US South. The Confederacy, to be exact. Let me be clear in my position: The Confederate regime's major accomplishment was to convince millions of non-slaveholding Southerners that they should go die for someone else's right to own HUMANS AS PROPERTY. Haiyul, that's pathetic.

If you want to argue that slavery wasn't the reason for the Civil War, that's even more pathetic. Read the fscking state secession resolutions and the Confederate Constitution. It's in plain English.

Not that the Confederacy stopped there. They also tortured captured Negro Union soldiers and tried to RE-ENSLAVE them, a truly evil atrocity that would not be outdone for another 70 years or so.

I used to get pissed off when annoying, sanctimonious Northerners such as filmmaker Ken Burns would say that the Confederate regime helped inspire the more technologically advanced war crimes of the Nazis. And yes, I'm aware of Union atrocities at places such as Elmira, New York, where Confederate POWs suffered as much as those unfortunates in Andersonville. And yeahboy, do I know that the South has no monopoly on ignorance and racism.

But why do we in the South keep celebrating this decadent regime, with a cooked-up history and a legacy that has kept us LAGGING over a span of what - THREE different centuries? Spite? Maybe.

Memo to N-ZERO-VFP, Strom and other Confederate fetishists: You want some Southern inspiration, try learning about the Free State of Winston when an entire Alabama county refused to go along with the bloated, parasitic Confederate oligarchy and their plans to send others to die for THEIR slaves. How about those who supported Southern stations on the Underground Railroad? Now that took real courage.

And that's just the white folk! If you can stomach it, try some of the writings of Frederick Douglass, a far more complex and forward-thinking intellectual than Yankee history books teach.

Slowly but surely the South is leaving y'all behind. Hope you like leftovers.

You got a list? I wanna be on it.
--
It Came from C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating four years as part of the problem with the Internet.

304. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38703A3B.1EF195F3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123408

RandyFlagg wrote:

> I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
> license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after
> April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing
> something?
>
> Thanks!

I was going to email you all the details Randy but you seem to not want to be called by anyone.

Tim ka8ddz

305. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3870316E.82D02133@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> In an armed robbery where the perp has a gun
> to your head, you advocate dying rather than giving up the property because
> of your principles.

Not true. I advocate getting the drop on one's armed criminal
opponent and/or disarming him. You remind me of the people who
had bumper stickers saying, "Better Red Than Dead", back during
the cold war.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

306. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3EWb4.2848$Vr.52706@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
>My first rig (built during my first incarnation as a
>ham in 1972) was a modified ARC-5 on 40m with two 1625s,

I bought one of those transmitters brand new in the box in 1957 for $6. I also had the matching BC-455 receiver. I built a transformerless selenium rectifier supply to fit on the back porch where the dynamotor was supposed to go. It was somewhat dangerous as you had to keep track of the power line ground, but heck, it worked. I used it for the Novice band. Later when I got my mail order Tech license (1958), I used it with an International Crystal converter to cover 6 meters. I did a lot of tube gear homebrewing too, but that doesn't count to be called a techie now days, and it sure wasn't rocket science then either...

>However, I'm also on packet and APRS
>(with commercial ICOM and Garmin gear, and I work a lot of local VHF/UHF
>with modern stuff - I'm no technophobe or Luddite!)

It doesn't take an rocket scientist to use commercial equipment to run the digital modes. Sorry professor, you haven't convinced me yet...

307. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38703812.45307721@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Carl will have
> > the same license as you before long, if he chooses to.
>
> Nope. He will have the Welfare Y2K Nvextra. Nothing more.
> Ever.

Careful Dick, someone may notice how delusionary you are
and put you in a "rest home". If Carl so chooses, his
license will say "Amateur Extra" just like yours with
exactly the same privileges as you have. I can imagine
how that chaps your behind but might as well get used
to it. Time to bend your nose back into shape, do something
about your heartburn, and start having some fun with our
great hobby.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

308. Re: Kids day a joke?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <38704132.94485052@aznet.net>
Source record: 123412

ccom2@socket.net wrote:
>
> Post something positive for a change instead
> of garbage
> "Burt" <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote:

Yeah, please do. Kids are the future of Amateur Radio.

309. Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you>
Message-ID: <%y%b4.4703$S%2.25805@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123413

I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing something?

Thanks!

310. AMDS 1C Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: EvilDoc <amr@radiolink.net>
Message-ID: <386E3AD6.F1BA0EBD@radiolink.net>
Source record: 123414

Has any one got the ADMS 1C Software or can point
me to where I can go to get it.
As I am trying to freeband my FT-50R rig.
I hope that this will help in fixing the rig for
freeband as I have tried every thing that I have
found with no luck.
Dose any one have up to date modification for the
FT-50R. As I am on my last leg with the ADMS
software.

Thanks

311. Re: An intelligent(?) set of questions about Pro-92,Pro-94, BC245, and trunktracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mp <mplanck@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84n6ll$e95$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hello!
I think my point may have been lost in my long original post. Many people replied (and I thank each of you) to let me know what scanner they have/enjoy/reccomend/etc. This is good to see but what I want to know is:

-Are the Pro-92's problems ONLY related to receiving Motorola trunked transmissions? Someone recently told me that my local trunked systems are all edacs so this point has become more important for me.

Essentially- if all I ever listen to are trunked edacs systems, will the pro-92 be as 'good' as a 94 or BC245? What about if I listen to (only) Edacs systems and conventional channels?

-Will the lack of a data skip be a serious concern? There are roving data bursts that I hear on *different* frequencies (when monitoring the trunked frequencies on my conventional scanner). Will the Pro-92 occationally stop and force me to listen to these non-voice bleeps and buzzes (*more than the 92 or 245 would*)? Or will it somehow recognize it as data and skip over it? Conversely, if I get a pro 94 or a BC245, will the data skip be robust enough to skip past these data transmissions? If the 94/245 data skip wont really protect me from these data "intrusions" then there's no reason to deprive myself of the advantages of the '92...

-Has anyone hacked the Pro-92 interface? I give some relevant information below...

-Is there a new updated version of the BC245? I read several posts indicating that there was a rumor about a 'fixed' version with less of a delay (less than the current 5 second delay) and more than 30 channels per system.

-P.S. where's the cheapest place to buy either a 92,94, or BC245 scanner? Everyone seems to have the same price at the same time, I'm wondering if there's a supplier anywhere that can undercut the "Standard Radio Shack, Scanners Unlimited, Scanner World, and Grove Price"

I've left my original post below for reference.
Thank you again to anyone who puts their two cents in.

> Hello!
>

> My local PD just went to a trunked system. So now I find myself
thrust
> into the world of trunktracking. I always like to take a minute to
see
> what products are out there before I buy a new radio, so I tried to
> take a look at what was available in trunking-compatible scanners.
What
> I came up with was: The Pro-92, the Pro-94, and the BC245.
>
> PRO-92
> I *REALLY* liked the Pro-92 and was all set to buy one until I found
> the gobs of complaints about it on this newsgroup, among others.
>
> =====PLUSSES=====
>
> -Alpha tagging
>
> What got me excited about the scanner was the 12-digit alpha tagging.
> It has been a pet peeve of mine for years that, with anything beyond
my
> 16-channel scanner, I found it impossible to even begin to memorize
> what channel went with what service. Yes, I know that a lot of people
> use their scanner's banks to organize their frequencies, which sounds
> nice in practice. It never works for me, however (for a variety of
> reasons). The only radio I have with alpha tagging is the Yaesu FT-50
> (I am a licensed Ham radio operator...) modified for extended receive
> frequencies. The FT50 has only 4 digit channel naming (which is
> practically useless). 12 digits would be perfect. This one feature
> justifies the high price of the scanner for me.
>
> -CTCSS-PL/DPL
> My FT50 has PL/DPL also and I never quite got around to using it.
With
> the Pro-92 it would be great, because those 'multi-user' frequencies
> could be stored as two seperate entries, if, say, the municipal
> sanitation department and the local highway department shared a
> frequency but used different PL tones I could program- "garbage truk"
> in channel 30 with PL 162.2 hz and "Hiway dept" in channel 31 with PL
> 173.8, and always know who I was listening to. These two features
seem
> to compliment eachother nicely.
>
> =====MINUSES========
>
> -Bugs
> I have seen MANY complaints about the Pro-92. The main one seems to
be
> caused by how the scanner works. Please keep in mind that I know
> almost nothing about trunked systems but from what I've read here and
> on several websites, the Pro-92 uses tonebursts at the beginning of

the
> received transmissions to follow conversations while the others
> actively monitor the data channel all the time.
> What do the 'real' radios use to stay in synch with the trunking
> system? That is, what do the real trunked mobile and handheld walkie
> talkies being used by the police and others listen to? It seems that
to
> listen to the data signal while receiving another 'audio' signal would
> require almost two seperate receivers in one case, whereas listening
to
> tone bursts on the frequency being monitored would allow a radio to be
> designed more simply (and produced more cheaply).
>
> -Data skip
> From the sources I've seen the Pro-92 has no "data skip" feature.
>
> =========About me=========
> Here come the questions, hopefully someone who knows more about these
> scanners and trunktracking can help me analyze my situation and offer
> their suggestion as to which scanner would be best.
>
> Things I dont care about:
>
> -Battery packs. Much noise has been made about Uniden's proprietery
> battery packs. I couldn't care less. I mostly use the scanner while
> I'm sitting here at the computer so it's plugged into the outlet. If
I
> want to use it in the car I'll use a car cable. I rarely carry any
> radio clipped to my belt.
>
> -Price. Well of course price is a factor but I've already comitted to
> purchasing a trunk tracking scanner and the price difference between
> the Pro94 and the Pro92 is fortunately not that big a deal to me at
> this time.
>
> -Brand loyalty. I realize that the Pro 94 is made by Uniden, the Pro-
92
> by GRE. I really dont care where the scanner comes from. I'm not
buying
> an extended warantee or anything so being able to return the radio to
> my local Rat Shack isn't a point of convieniece for me.
>
> Confusions: (I'm not sure about this stuff)
>
> BC245/PRO94: At first I thought that the Pro-94 and the BC-245 were
> the same radio, in different clothing. But then I found out the
> following (which may or may not be accurate):
>
> The 245 scans conventional and trunked comms at the same time while
the

> Pro-94 does not.
>
> The 245 has some problem with too few channels per trunk?
>
> The BC245 has 300 channels while the Pro-94 has 1000.
>
> Is there a newer version of the 245?
>
> ====What I want====
>
> As I mentioned, my local Police Department moved to a trunked system
> and I'd really like to continue monitoring them. I don't know
anything
> about trunked systems other than the basic concepts of why they exist
> and a rough idea of how they work. However I am a radio amateur and a
> student in Electrical Engineering so I guess I can probably pick up on
> this aspect of the hobby relatively quickly.
> Initially, the police department was the only target I intended
> listening to, but as I gathered more information, I found that other
> local services were already using a trunked system along with their
> conventional frequencies. So, here are the things I am trying to keep
> in mind while deciding what to buy:
>
> -What kind of trunking system am I on? That would be a good thing to
> know, wouldn't it? I think the PD that I am interested in is on an
> EDACS type system. (See below for more information on my local system)
> I'm assuming that all of these scanners work on an edacs system.
> Furthermore- it seems as if the problems with the Pro-92 trunking
> involve tracking Motorola type systems. True? From the information
> below, are the trunked systems close to me all Edacs, or could some be
> Motorola, or is there no way to tell from the information I've found?
>
> -Data Skip. From what I've read, all Edacs systems have that annoying
> four beep signal after each transmission. I have observed this and
> also there is a buzzsaw sound that I hear from time to time (when I
try
> to listen to the system on my conventional scanner). I hear that some
> systems have MDT or other data transmissions popping up from time to
> time as well as special 'scanner busting' signals (although it seems
to
> me that this is probably just trunking data). You can see...
>
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/brennan/gwiz.htm
>
> ...for .wav files and more in-depth information about this. Anyway,
> the Pro-92 does not have a 'data skip' feature. Am I doomed to
> scaninng failure if I try to use a pro-92 and there are non-voice
> signals appearing on the trunk I want to monitor? From what I've
> found, the Pro-94 and BC245 DO have a data skip feature. Is it robust
> enough to really skip over unmodulated carriers, MDT and other data,

> etc?
>
> -Computer cable/control: Wow, this is a tough one. I think that
> computer interfacing is very important, yet I have found the least
> amount of information on this aspect. It seems as if:
> The 245 comes with a computer cable
> The 94 has a port but the cable and software are $70 extra
> The 92 has no computer control abilities?
>
> Exactly what can be computer controlled is unclear. A lot of times a
> computer interface is offered and all it does is allow you to program
> channels into the scanner in an automated fashion. While still very
> useful, this is not real computer *control*. I found one message that
> refers to WinScan software, a $60 package, at:
>
> http://mghusa.com/pozilla/wsabout.htm
>
> where you can find software to computer-control the BC245...an
> important side effect is that you get ALPHA TAGGING (on the computer
> screen only, of course)! As I said, I do most of my scanning right
> here by the computer so this may be a decision tie-breaker for me. I
> also saw a message referring to "Canadian freeware" that does the same
> thing as the WinScan software. I dont know if this referred to the
> crippled demo version of WinScan.
>
> As for the Pro-94, all I can find is that there is a computer cable
and
> software available for an additional $70 or so.
>
> It has been my experience that a lot of times these 'computer cables'
> are overpriced and easily built at home. A lot are just straight
> cables that run to the serial or parallel port. Evidence: my digital
> camera, Optoelectronics Xplorer, HP48 calculator, and many other
'toys'
> cluttering up my desk all use a 'plain' cable- no electronics, just
> wires. On the other hand, some cables have internal electronics- the
> computer cable for my TI-92 calculator has a bunch of (SMT)
> electronics, (although a homemade version is available) the
programming
> cable for my Yaesu FT50 has internal electronics, (a homemade version
> is possible here also), and a cable for a cellular phone I own also
has
> internal electronics. Someone even came up with a computer interface
> for most scanners that do not currently have one (ProGrammit- allows
> you to program channels by emulating keyboard presses). In all of
> these cases, there is a homemade version of the cable that you can
> build- and when there are elecronics inside the cable, it has been
> simply a level converter, which can be accomplished with one chip.
>
> This begs the questions:

> Are there homemade equivalents of the Pro-94 cable?
> Will the utilities for the BC245 work with the Pro-94? Or are they too
> dissimilar?
> Is there an interface hiding inside the Pro-92 that can be developed
by
> a hobbyiest?
> It seems odd that Uniden would go thru the trouble of creating two
> seperate but similar radios, one for themselves and one for Tandy.
> I've seen similar products like this before where a few internal
jumper
> settings can change one product into another. For example, I have a
> caller ID box that can go from the cheapie $20 unit I bought to a $40
> unit that has triple the memory slots just by removing a solder blob.
> Does anyone think that the Pro-94 and BC245 share a similar
> relationship?
>
> -Channels
> More is better, obviously. However, I will probably be using two
> scanners simultaneously at the beginning anyway, one for conventional
> systems and the new one for TT. So if there is one TT scanner out of
> the bunch that seems perfect but it does not have a lot of channels, I
> will probably just end up dedicating it to TT and keeping an older one
> for conventional frequencies. How do the TT scanners store trunked
> channels anyway? Does each frequency take up a channel or does each
> 'talkgroup' take up a channel or what?
>
> -Attenuator
> I have never had a radio with an attenuator. I use a discone antenna
> in my attic (not on the roof) and I am near paging transmitters as
well
> as a NOAA weather transmitter. I hear the NOAA announcer all the
time,
> on many different frequencies. I wonder if an attenuator would help
me
> and if it's important to be able to have it set for each channel or
> "all or nothing".
>
> -The Delay "issue"
> People have complained about the 5 second delay of the BC245 and
> Pro94. I read one posting that said that the delay is necesary
because
> the scanner needs to listen to the data channel for this long (to do
> what?). Im not sure when this delay occurs and how annoying it is.
It
> seems that the Pro-92's method of trunktracking is different and does
> not involve a delay of this type, however this method also misses a
lot
> of replies... Can anyone clear this up once and for all or point me
to
> a website that clearly explains the issue?

>
> ======Conclusions===============
> So, you ask- what do I want to know? Well, I'd like your thoughts on
> which scanner you think would best serve my needs and why, and:
>
> -Will the Pro-92's problems be a non-issue for me because I am
scanning
> Ericsson and not Motorola trunks?
>
> -Will the lack of a Data Skip cause me problems?
>
> -Will RadioShack release a fixed version of the Pro-92 and make
> whatever I purchase obsolete? (Rhetorical question!)
>
> If there are any other scanners that I should consider (TT capable of
> scanning edacs systems only, handhelds preferred but bases are
> acceptable if they are not just 'base' versions of an existing
handheld
> radio) please feel free to mention them.
>
> Thank you for taking the time to read this message. I look forward to
> receiving replies from the many knowledgeable users in this newsgroup.
>
> ======INFO===
> Here is the information about my local system. Please see:
>
> http://www.nf2g.com/scannist/nys_ege.html#Kingston
>
> The system I want to listen to is labeled "NYCOMCO" but I'm sure I'll
> eventually want to listen to the Kingston system as well. Can you
tell
> from looking at this chart what kind of system this is?
>
> http://community-1.webtv.net/rks-57/UlsterAndOrange/page2.html
>
> This guy really helped me out. His page shows the specific tower that
> I want to scan, he says the police department I want is on the
> Poughkeepsie tower and he gives me their "Talk Group ID". Can I scan
> just this ID and listen only to transmissions from this police
> department?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

312. Re: my 2c on license restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <386F1A4F.ADAD03F4@aznet.net>
Source record: 123432

Gregory wrote:
>
> Do you think we will have clogging now ? Didn't they say the same thing
> about
> letting no codes on 2 meters ? When I scan 20 repeaters in Chicagoland
> after the morning and evening drives I very seldom hear anyone .
> I think that even if ham tickets could be cut out of cereal boxes that we
> will have less amateurs as time goes by .

If you say this, I can't fathom how more exposure or PA could help either :(

> Greg , KA9MVP
>

Then what is a good solution? I bet many of you surely don't want the hobby to die.

313. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <386f1fe2.47531846@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 23:36:17 -0600, "Volfy" <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote:

>I sat back and took a deep breath...
>
> All this bickering made me draw a sharp contrast to another favorite hobby
>of mine - RC airplanes. In all my days of flying RC, I've never come across
>such hostility from the "elders" toward newcomers as I have witnessed here.
>Quite on the contrary, most if not all realize the future of the hobby lies
>in the critical task of ensuring a healthy inrush of new blood into the
>fold.
>
>The RC community struggled through many of the same problems and dilemmas
>faced by ARS today:
>
>1. Dwindling interest from young folks. (vs. video games, internet, sports,
>etc.)
>2. Crowded flying fields
>3. Interference from many sources (pagers, cell phones, other RCers, etc.)
>4. Relatively high startup cost
>5. Danger of losing frequency allocation
>6. Lost art of scratch-building airplanes (vs. the ever more popular Almost
>Ready to Fly kits)
>
>I have yet to hear one RC old-timer bitch at newcomers for crowding the
>flying fields, or not assembling an airplane from balsa sticks, or not being
>interested in one particular type of RC flying. I have tremendous respect
>for the many RC mentors who gave up whole Sundays of flying their own planes
>just to teach me all I'm willing to learn.
>
>None of the RC "old pros" care one iota about being pinned any badge of
>distinction. They are there on Sundays to have fun. Afterall, it is just a
>hobby. Having fun, to most of them, include teaching youngsters and helping
>RC-idiot adults, not ridiculing the uninitiated. They never demanded
>respect; the respect came heartfelt from those they helped. Most new flyers
>I've known hold much higher regard for those who helped them than for the
>club ace acrobatic flying hotshot.
>
>As a result, RC is prospering, even through constant evolution. The
>popularity has ensured that equipment costs stay low. The solidarity has
>successfully defended and even expanded the RC bandwidth against several FCC
>considerations (read threats from commercial interests).
>
This sounds just like the same problems and friendship in Model

Rocketry.

>Back to Ham Radio. The ARRL Introduction books and study guides I've come
>across always portrayed this same type of healthy family atmosphere. Sadly,
>such is not the case if one is to believe the in-fighting that goes on here
>and on the airwaves.
>
>I, as a newbie, was awestruck by the "warm reception" afforded me here in
>these Newsgroup discussions following the FCC announcement. I got rather
>emotional and lashed back at those who view me more as a threat than a
>fellow enthusiast. I hereby apologize to those I have offended and for the
>bandwidth waste I've caused.
>
>I will argue no more. My wife and I both have 5wpm and written exams for
>which to study. However trivial these tasks may be regarded by some, they
>have been set forth as the new official rules, and we intend to abide by and
>make the most of them.
>
>Best Regards,
>Lingo Chang
>KD5IOU
>
>
>
Don't worry, there will be a lot of Hams willing to talk to you, It's
only a small percentige that will hold a grudge and not communicate
the new hams licensed after April 15, 2000.

Rick KC2ESD

314. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <QcFb4.3365$S%2.9919@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

| I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
| maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds. I sent a notice for proposed
| rulemaking about two years ago, calling for an expansion of the 80m extra
| sub-band down 25khz. When the FCC turned me down, they sent a notice about
| the licence restructering. I felt I had to make a comment about it. But they
| didn't listen anyway. CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
| EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used extensively
| on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And found cw superior to
| most modes when conducting weak signal work.

Were you basing your argument for keeping 13wpm and 20wpm on the fact that CW is used for weak signal work? If so, I can see why they would ignore it. The fact that CW is essential for such work argues AGAINST it being a requirement for any license because the very fact that it is essential for the work means the license requirement simply isn't needed. If you want to do what just out of necessity needs CW, you will learn it (or do it all by computer). Can you say that if CW had not been a requirement to enter ham radio, that you would have never learned it on your own and ended up using some other mode in weak signal work despite the fact that CW is the better mode for it?

The FCC is charged with addressing the national interest. Amateur radio exists not because it's fun, but because there is a benefit to the national interest in having it. The requirements for licenses addresses the national interest as well (or at least they are supposed to). Developing a pool of skilled CW operators has in the past been of national interest. Since the military ceased depending on Morse Code, the nation interest need vanished. And that was many years ago. The FCC is just now getting around to cleaning things up.

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

315. Re: my 2c on license restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <3jFb4.3369$S%2.9919@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123435

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 23:41:30 -0500 sean hall <shnews@pressroom.com> wrote:

| i'm not so sure if the new, streamlined license structure will really help
| the hobby much. like many hams, i didn't really WANT to learn the code,
| either. but, once i got going, i found it fun, a badge of honor to know,
| and a real accomplishment to pass the 20 wpm test. CW may be an 'antique'
| way of communicating, but i think it's an important filter to keep
| uncommitted people out of the hobby. the ham radio manufacturers will no
| doubt be happy. but i have nightmares of people transitioning from
| nowhere...or worse, 11 meters, and clogging the ham bands even more. i'm
| sure i'm not the only one who feels that way.

Why does the hobby need to be filtered? Explain to me (and the FCC) just how the national interest is served by ensuring that the only people who may be licensed are those who are forced to code.

As I see it, the national interest isn't served by requiring all hams to learn code, or to operate it at high speeds. Learning 5 wpm gives you just enough exposure to know how to do basic signalling in code if you were in a situation where other modes would not work. The military no longer passes traffic over CW. It's all computerized now. Soldiers in Bosnia and Kosovo were carrying laptops and were networked by radio via satellite. Maybe we should replace the CW exams entirely with a requirement for computer skills.

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

316. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <84nadk$ssg@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 123436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Fine-tuning each patient's
psychotropic medications
is a difficult art...

GL
--
Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale EL96vc
"Heisenberg may have slept here... "
Leslie Paul Davies
lpdavies@bc.seflin.org

317. Re: holy shit I argee with AY2F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lou Dvorsky <gsc@netvigator.com.tw>
Message-ID: <5sau6sc4cdggg1cvi9nm3n85jftnilr87t@4ax.com>
Source record: 123437

Possum Belly be de wais man ....

BV2/N2IT

On Sun, 19 Dec 1999 00:41:23 GMT, possum belly <possim@my-deja.com>
wrote:

>It pane me but I mush argee with AY2F on SARAHSOTER verse us PHOEBENIX.
>I bin both them there places. Phoebenix a shit hole. People there suck,
>not frenly or nothin. Evry thing over the top on prises. Way to hi.
>Clymate sucks reel bad to. it so dry sucks the live out a you. when the
>rains came the flood starts. City drains all flood fool of dead analmals
>, debreeze and shit like that. Stink like a shit hole. It a good place
>for that pomp ass w7cap. I say this mush. The jew no how to seek out
>the worm clymate, best places for cheep. Sarah soter is frenly place for
>the peeples. Certainty it has nice frenly peeples. not like that shit
>hole in Aridzona. We camp there in a pubic place. It reel nice. Tents
>o.k. Beer o.k. no hassle from local johned arms. They loves the ham
>radio cb no problemo. The jew can smells out these places. I no it hard
>to bee life. I do argree with AY2F on this hear matter. we needs to
>here a QSO tween W7cap and K2Jw. Pomp ass east verse us pomp ass west.
>It be for the undisputated U.S. pomp ass title. No holts barred. I
>nomanate KC8JBO to be referree sinse he knows tiger jeet dung kung food.
>He can strum sum geetar bee for the mats to enter train the peeples.
>Aint that all grand??????
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

318. AF2Y: Ham radio's now PG17 rated.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102065228.21789.00000911@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 123438

A Newsline faux pas deluxe!!!!!

40 Meter Warning Notice

Still with enforcement news, the F-C-C has sent a warning notice to a
Capo Beach California ham that notifies him to stop his alleged
transmitting of music on the 40 meter band. In tits November 30th
letter to Dave L. F
lanagan, W-A-6-F-C-W, the regulatory agency again cites monitoring

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

319. Re: Remember the beer game "Bob"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102065555.21789.00000912@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 123439

You mean to say after the NOTHINGNESS associated with the entrance of 1/1/00
into our lives, the Liberty Nuts are still screaming "Conspiracy?" If up to now
anyone's considered them borderline screwballs, they've finally crossed the
border.
Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

320. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Stuart <fstuart1@maine.rr.com>
Message-ID: <s_Gb4.1860$Wu6.89654@newsr1.maine.rr.com>
Source record: 123440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The list of all individuals that sent commments to the FCC is listed in
appendix A of the report and order, so NO one can b.s. that they did send
comments to the F.C.C!

"JM" <jdm@iland.net> wrote in message news:386EE105.710E70F6@iland.net...
> to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
> would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
> what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
> already. John N0EE

321. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102070433.21789.00000913@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 123441

<< Subject: Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE
From: KC8JBO kc8jbo@my-deja.com
Date: Sat, 01 January 2000 09:49 PM EST
Message-id: <84mdgd$te6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Eric Cooper wrote in message <386E6B16.10BB4055@home.com>...
OK, Lloyd , now that the New Year's Truce is over I intend to let your ignorant ass have it with both barrels.
Number One: You'd better check your family tree carefully. You might find out that you aren;t nearly as "aryan" as you claim.

Number Two: America is spelled AMERICA not "AMERIKA". The name Davies is not German, therefore stop pretending you are.

Number Three: The Confederate States Of America ceased to exist on April 9, 1865. If you no longer want to be a citizen of the United States Of America, I suggest that you write to the Department of State in Washington DC and formally give up your citizenship. There NEVER EVER will be a "New Confederacy" , so wake up and DEAL WITH IT! Also the Third Reich ceased to exist on May 8,1945. Again, DEAL WITH IT!

Number Four: You and your non-Jewish brethren frequently quote the Old Testament portion of the Bible as well as Jesus Christ. May I remind you that the Old Testament was a Jewish document and Jesus Christ was a Jew. He was circumcised and accepted into membeship in the local synagogue. This makes you, being anti-Jewish, a first class hypocirite, since you worship a Jew.

Number Five: We of the normal rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup community are VERY SICK AND TIRED of your cross postings here. Get it through your thick skull, once and for all, that very FEW people on here agree with your beliefs. You have done nothing but antaginize and anger people on this newsgroup. Maybe good tactics in a so-called race war, which you appear to be intent on fomenting, but it is never a good way to win friends and influence people.

By the way, I am white American (scandiavian, by ancestry) and I don't agree with you
SO THERE, DEAL WITH IT !

Therefore, I sincerely request that you find an appropriate newsgroup to post to. I am quite sure that there are un-Ametican white suprecmicist HATE groups on USENET that would love to have you.

The purpose of Amateur Radio mention at the beginning of the FCC Rules Part 97 is not and never has been the same as the purpose of BARF. Therefore, as a licensed Amateur Radio Operator (KB6VPI) I must ask you

to cease and desist using ham radio for anti- American political
puprses at once!

If you haven't yet gotten the import of this message, then may I make
it simple for you, in words that even you can understand:

GET LOST, BOY!!!!!!!!!!

Eric Cooper

Good post, Eric.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

<<ERIC COOPER FOR PRESIDENT. HERE..HERE..WELL WRITTEN, WELL THOUGHT OUT MR. COOPER. I GUARANTEE MORE AND MORE ARE THINKING LIKE YOU AND FINALLY WE WILL BE RID OF THAT FLAMING HEMORRHOID FROM ATHENS ALABAMA. WHEN WILL THE FOOL FINALLY REALIZE THAT HE'S UNWANTED HERE AND THE BEST THING HE CAN DO FOR ALL CONCERNED IS TO TAKE HIS FREAK SHOW DOWN THE ROAD. MAYBE HE CAN SET UP A CIRCUS BIG TOP WITH FLYING NAZIS ON A TRAPEZE USING HOPEFULLY NO NET. DAVIES YOU'RE IGNORANT BEYOND HUMAN COMPREHENSION AND EXACTLY THE OPPOSITE OF THAT WHICH EVERY AMERICAN SHOULD BE. JUST DISAPPEAR UNTIL 2099.

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

322. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEP <transoman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84nfil$p0d@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 123442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hell, they did that in the 50's when CB was established. We have been using no code below 30MHZ since then.

William H. Bowen wrote in message <386f0505.50642696@206.184.139.132>...
>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>
>> The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective mode.
>> Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!
>
>Jim,
>
> The FCC cannot eliminate the Morse Code requirement below 30 mHz -
>this provision is part of international radio law.
>
>Regards,
> Bill Bowen
> bowenb@best.com
> Daly City, CA

323. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102071440.21789.00000914@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 123443

A millennium consists of 1000 years. There are 365 days to a year not counting the extra day every four years.That comes to 1000 x 365+250=365,250. Right? Dis mlenum stuff got me in a tizzy.
Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

324. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102072713.21789.00000915@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 123444

<< Subject: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE
From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
Date: Sat, 01 January 2000 03:05 PM EST
Message-id: <_5tb4.13118$y11.153180@news4.mia>

Hello and Greetings from the Better Amateur Radio Federation!

I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it. I would
wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.

I want to take a few minutes of your time to let you know of some new things
the B.A.R.F/ARG/Stumpjumpers/Liberty Net plan to do for the year 2000.

"Liberty Net 2000" This will be an all-new Website.

I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next
Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and
re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we folks
who live in the South claim back our states rights and raise a New
Confederacy. We all need to pray for this next year. God is sick of the
sins of this country. REPENT AMERIKA! God is about to judge this Nation!

I now want to address the Ham community. This new FCC rulemaking is a step
in the right direction. For many years now, old gas-bag extra class hams
have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF. We will use to our
advantage.

We have great things planned for the Liberty Net this year. We will step-up
our look-out for jammers and potential recruits. Look for a reversal in the
David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from
Leavenworth!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/

http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

<<Hate to break the news to you fool but I do not see this new millennium as belonging to the white folks. First of all, we whiteys are in a MINORITY worldwide, a BIG MINORITY. Do we therefore expect to catch up to the population explosion of men of color? Never happen. Secondly the USA is growing enormously in non white immigration and of course procreation. Add to this the population explosion among Negro Americans and we will be in danger of being crowded out, Lloyd. And so being that you're about 30 and should have a life expectancy of at least another 50 years, if you refuse to get along with non-whites in America, you will have a very unproductive life especially in the field of economics. Your rascist insanity will alienate customers and you will end up on welfare based on the taxes paid by the richer non-white class of Americans. Can you imagine that, racist Davies...you will become dependent on non-white for survival. Turnabout is fair play!

>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

325. Re: Remember the beer game "Bob"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <386F4663.C2D8A10F@innocent.com>
Source record: 123445

Radiosigs wrote:
>
> You mean to say after the NOTHINGNESS associated with the entrance of 1/1/00
> into our lives, the Liberty Nuts are still screaming "Conspiracy?" If up to now
> anyone's considered them borderline screwballs, they've finally crossed the
> border.
[...]

As usual, the picture you paint of the Liberty Net vis-a-vis the year 2000 is completely at variance with reality.

For many weeks, the NCS for the Net, W1WCR, has been drawing attention to the fact that the supposed Y2K "flashpoints" (such as the beginning of fiscal 2000 some months ago) had been passed without incident, and I myself said both here and on the Net that I believed if major problems occurred, they would be more psychological than technological.

One Liberty Net supporter was himself involved in reprogramming mainframe computers and other systems to ensure that potential disasters were averted. A lot of brainpower was applied to that effort, and it was almost entirely successful.

In addition, certain posters to this newsgroup sometimes arrogate to themselves the authority to speak for the Liberty Net.

No one should do that except W1WCR, who, as Net Control Station, can speak on all matters related to the running of the Net and who has his own website (http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm).

On the political, social, and other matters discussed on the Net, even W1WCR can't "speak for the Net," since it's a forum with many stations with many different views participating.

There's no connection between the 14313 battles and the Liberty Net, except for the fact that some people from 14313 have checked in to or (unfortunately) interfered with the Net; there's no connection between the Better Amateur Radio Federation and the Net; there's no connection between N0VFP and the Net; and there's even no connection between me and the Net except for the fact that I check in occasionally and I enjoy the discussions and leading personalities there.

Happy last year of the 20th century,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

326. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <84njh3nuv1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 123446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <CEzb4.704$L3.18172@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>,
Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>
>George Conklin wrote in message <84m5fl$ui8$1@nina.pagesz.net>...
>>In article <386E9877.C703C7C0@csnsys.com>, Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote:
>>>Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
>>>before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
>>>hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
>>>demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
>>>bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
>>>wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
>>>or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
>>>turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
>>>they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
>>>
>>>Jippy
>>>
>> Most hams are so disagreeable that young people don't
>>want to be bothered. I got my first ticket about 12 years
>>ago, went to the local club, found mostly retired people who
>>did not not even want to talk to anyone under 65. So I keep
>>my ticket and don't use it.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Just look around at the next Hamfest. If that's a cross section of the US
>male population I'll eat all my Collins gear. Not to mention a near total
>lack of female participants. Ham radio is so near the toilet you can smell
>it over the radio.
>

I still believe that emergency services are what hams
will be able to provide that the commercial world cannot and
will not. The local 911 system is very fragile, and highly
political too. Given any kind of problem, it would be gone
in a flash.

My wife, as a public health nurse, went down to Tarboro,
NC after Princeille flooded out. The state had NO way for
their employees to communicate back home except through

whatever commercial phone service they could get. The
nurses were not told where they were going, where they would
be staying, or even when they would come back. After they
got dropped off it was up to them to try to notify their
family where they were....if they could. As one person told
me, they don't know where they are going and when they get
there there are no communications.
Here is where ham radio could make a big difference, at
least in theory. Volunteers could emerge from their homes
with their transmitters and help out a lot in such
circumstances. Cell phones were useless for 1 week after
the flooding....overloaded and/or shut down. Only about 8
days after the disaster did AT&T bring in a large dish and
establish free phone service for the 2,300 living in a high
school gym with no cash or access to money. Then my wife
could phone home, but only for 3 minutes incidently.
However, locally I guess the hams are under contract to
provide for backup for 911, not to relieve suffering of
people who cannot found their spouses for 8 days after a
disaster, and had to assume they were dead while everyone
told them, "Maybe they are in another shelter."

--
If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail.
Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm.
Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development.
Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI

327. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <84njlm$o20$1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 123447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <84mega$845$2@208.207.71.171>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>Bob wrote:
>>
>> Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
>> before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
>> hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
>> demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
>> bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
>> wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
>> or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
>> turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
>> they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
>>
>
>
> So what makes you think this restructuring saves a single
>hz of ham radio spectrum? When the commercial demand rises
>to a certain level, any ham radio UHF/VHF/SHF spectrum not
>committed to ham radio via international treaty WILL be
>withdrawn and refarmed. Bet on it. And even that allotted to
>hams under international treaty can be reallocated if need
>is such that FCC decided to take an exception to the treaty
>
> So if you believe that this change solves anything, time to
>rethink the whole situation. No realistically conceivable
>added numbers of new hams will help.

Your logic is great. Fewer and fewer hams will help
preserve spectrum?

--
If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail.
Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm.
Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development.
Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI

328. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <386F5FB5.AAEB895D@icss.net>
Source record: 123448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rick wrote:

> On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 23:36:17 -0600, "Volfy" <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote:
>
> >As a result, RC is prospering, even through constant evolution. The
> >popularity has ensured that equipment costs stay low. The solidarity has
> >successfully defended and even expanded the RC bandwidth against several FCC
> >considerations (read threats from commercial interests).
> >
> This sounds just like the same problems and friendship in Model
> Rocketry.

Rick, you do rocketry? My 5 year old is chomping at the bit - I have 3 rocket kits on the shelf and a couple dozen motors. I keep telling him when he turns 6 we can do it together. A ham friend and I went to a high power event North of St Louis a couple of years ago - awsome. They were really happy when we helped locate a lost rocket using our handhelds for comm. They relied on hand signals. Sounds like they need to marry the two hobbies together. I don't think the FRS handies will do it as they sometimes have to travel a couple of miles.

73, Brian

329. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <386F654D.48D@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 123449

[please limit the cross posts]

I'm not sure who wrote it and I don't want to misquote.

Someone wrote:

> ...there is no moral nor any legal requirement for me to accept
> anyone for who they are or what they are...

In some instances there is a legal requirement
but probably more important is...

If you don't think there's a moral requirement to
accept people for who and what they are, then
you are really in a sad state of egocentricity.

Of all the hobbies I can picture, ham radio is,
more than any other, made up of different people
from different countries, walks of life, races,
creeds, colors etc.

Do you call CQ to only xtras? Or only xtras who learned
code the hard way? How about only to people of
your own religious group or race?

We DO have a moral obligation to accept others
for what they are, at least I do.

Lumpy ab0gd
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

330. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: liam@ork.net
Message-ID: <f_Jb4.2077$Ef6.508226@news.shore.net>
Source record: 123450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner Jim Wayda <jwayda@xspam.home.com> wrote:

> The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any requirement.
> It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
> signals!!!

Next on the list, AM, followed by SSB and NBFM.

By 2100, any mode other than Quantum-modulated pulse modulation (QMPM).
Also, no knowledge of any mode other than QMPM should be tested, as being
archaic.

331. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: liam@ork.net
Message-ID: <q9Kb4.2082$Ef6.508226@news.shore.net>
Source record: 123451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
> liam@ork.net wrote:

>> What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
>> getting reduced further and further.

> Effort for effort's sake is not a good approach. Why not just have
> each potential ham chop off a pinkey finger?

Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio? Is it a method of
communication? If so, you'll be doing short transmissions, and not for
very long.

332. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <LqKb4.876$L3.20248@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

liam@ork.net wrote in message ...
>In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
>> liam@ork.net wrote:
>
>>> What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
>>> getting reduced further and further.
>
>> Effort for effort's sake is not a good approach. Why not just have
>> each potential ham chop off a pinkey finger?
>
>Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio? Is it a method of
>communication? If so, you'll be doing short transmissions, and not for
>very long.

Choping off a pinkey has about as much to do with communications as does CW.

333. Re: My Fight With the Code and its Impact on My Life as an Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <386F719B.7A65@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 123453

James Greer wrote:
[re learning CW]

> ...There is nothing in my life that I have worked
> for so hard and with so much determination...

Cecil wrote:

> Jim, why don't you feel that the FCC gave you a dirty deal after you
> spent all that energy and time to get to 13wpm?...

Lumpy suggests:

I am impressed by a person's knowledge and skills, not by the
method they used to get there. Richard Petty is a much better
driver than I am, although we took the same driver license
test. Cecil knows a lot more about antennas than I do, although
we took the same ham test. There are plenty of no code techs
that can kick my butt in RF theory.

Even if some hams insist on feeling screwed by the FCC for
changing the requirements, I find it really rude for those
hams to blame the new, incoming hams and label them as
lids or idiots or worse.

Lumpy ab0gd
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

334. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <946828610.988174@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 123454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Arthur H. Wertz wrote in message

>Numbers equal VOTERS -
>The FCC pays attention to voters, not old-timers!!!
> Art Wertz N5AEN

The FCC and Congress care little for Amateurs as numbers or Voters...
Hell...At best, Amateurs represent a couple of hundred thousand voters...
very divided voters at that! ...NOT EVEN A DROP IN THE BUCKET in National
Elections!

Right now the FCC is dealing with years of budget cuts... therefore, right
now the FCC cares mostly about budgeting it's resources... Amateur
licensees contribute NOTHING towards FCC budgets. (for that matter...
neither does CB, but the FCC has no cost involved with CB other than
occasional enforcement as in the ham bands, where fines tend to pay for
costs incurred)

335. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386F723F.E5B96F29@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123455
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

liam@ork.net wrote:
> Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio?

It certainly proves committment better than high speed
Morse code exams.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

336. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84o049ttb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123456

Gray Shockley wrote in message
<01HW.B49439A80003C5930944951C@news.netdoor.com>...
>On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 14:05:19 -0600, Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
>> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
this next
>> Millennium.
>
>-------------------------
>
>My goodness gracious me!
>
>I hadn't realized your were white! I'll have to re-evaluate all your
past
>messages in light of this radical white perspective.
>
>I am so-o-o visibly impressed!
>
>While others struggle through college, the military and through jobs,
all
>this is unnecessary because you're white.
>
>I can understand why you advocate affirmative action for your
and "your
>kind".
>
>It's obvious to just anyone that you were born after your time. No one
>stepping off the sidewalk or getting out of your way because you're
white. No
>one saying "sir" to you. No bowing and scrapping even though you've
earned
>white (or, more likely in your case, inherited it).
>
>Gee whiz, I bet you're even ashamed to wear your white dress and dunce
cap in
>public (or are you above that this generation - maybe part of the
Indemnity
>movement?).
>
>I got this off one of my web pages; a page titled "White Trash":
>-------------------------
>When all you've got is your "race"; when you have no skills, no
intelligence,
>nothing but the fact that you're "white".

>
>When you play the "race card", you're the "joker".
>--------------------------
>
>Is it true that you're selling a replica of your "teener weiner"?
>
>It's 2000, trash boy! For all that you're trying to convince people
that it's
>1984 with your Orwellian idea of "liberty".
>
>Oh - I forgot - you're "pale". You don't have to think as do the
humans who
>are white, black, red and yellow.
>
>It's simply amazing that someone thinks he is anything at all just
because of
>a melanin deficiency.
>
>Is that why you're known as "VFP" (viciously foaming pervert)?
>
>Gray
>--------------------------
>Gray Shockley
>Entropy Maintenance Technician
>gray@compcomm.com
>http://www.compcomm.com/
>Vicksburg, MS US
>
>DX-398/DX-375/Select-A-Tenna/Longwire
>
>
>--------------------------
>
>
>Oh, did I tell you how I'm imprssed with your whiteness?

Good Post. You said it all.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

337. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84o07e$tv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123457

AB4D wrote in message <20000101234716.28443.00000561@ng-fz1.aol.com>...
>>Subject: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE
>>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>>
>> We can fight back and re-claim everything that has been taken away
>> from us.
>
>What exactly has been taken away from you ?

Racist Lloyd wants to own slaves. He thinks it makes him a man.
The South didn't have the infrastructure to needed to win in the Civil War. Lloyd's archaic 19th century mindset is quite unAmerican. Lloyd was born many years after the Confederate Staes of America ceased to exist. It's amazing, that here we are, in the 21st century and some people haven't learned a thing.

>> For many years now, old gas-bag extra class hams have kept us no->>
coders from having to get on HF. We will use to >>our advantage.?????

No, Lloyd, your STUPIDITY has kept you from the HF bands.

>Lloyd, I want you to read your statement and explain it to the group
>because it appears that you must of been distracted, or you didn't get
>that much needed grammar book for christmas.
>
>I read your statement and the way it looks to me is that you are
saying >that because there are extra class hams all the no-code hams
didn't >have to get on HF????? and the last sentence of your paragraph
>make no sense????

Typical Daviespeak.

>Lloyd, you post messages to the group and you know the group is >going
to respond. You could at least bring your grammar up to the >sixth
grade level and make your point of view clear.

LOL!

>>I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
this >>next Millennium. For the prize is about to be won.
>>
>What prize, the last time I drove through the south (Alabama,
>Mississippi, and Louisiana) it looked pretty poor, if the south is the
big >prize you can have my share.

Llyod and his ilk wish to start an second Dark Ages.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

338. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Lewis <aa4pb@erols.com>
Message-ID: <84nu69$50m$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Most hams are so disagreeable that young people don't
> want to be bothered. I got my first ticket about 12 years
> ago, went to the local club, found mostly retired people who
> did not not even want to talk to anyone under 65. So I keep
> my ticket and don't use it.
>

Now my experience in the Detroit area in the late 50's early 60's was totally different. The local 6 meter club had a number of hams who seemed eager to help someone in their teens get on the air. They gave technical assistance, sold equipment for whatever I could afford to pay, and even loaned stuff when there was no money available. On the air, I felt like I was always treated as an equal even though I was a teen and the rest were older.

339. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Lewis <aa4pb@erols.com>
Message-ID: <84nv5h$f10$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 123459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phase Shift Keying, 31 baud.

Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:B493DCEF.ADD%bromo@ix.netcom.com...
> in article 84jfnk$a67$1@news.hawaii.edu, Jeffrey Herman at
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu wrote on 12.31.1999 15.54:
>
>
> > >
> > >> I love CW too but maybe you havn't heard of PSK31.
> > >
> > > PSK31 uses minimal equipment?
>
>
> WHAT IS PSK31??
> Phase Shift Keying, 31??
>
> N0RZU
>

340. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84nvl0$a81$1@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 123460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Arthur H. Wertz wrote:
>

> Numbers equal VOTERS -
> The FCC pays attention to voters, not old-timers!!!
>

Another dreamer heard from.

341. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <YkLb4.2811$Vr.49881@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>He probably could have done me in with that knife but he obviously
>wasn't willing to suffer the consequences.

If he had done you in, you would have then gotten your death wish. You would die (on principle) before allowing yourself to be robbed. Trouble is, both (robbing and dying) can happen at the same time. Dying to protect your family is admirable. Dying for an S10 is stupid (even for a Mensa)...

342. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <XkLb4.2810$Vr.49881@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote
>They were really happy when we helped
>locate a lost rocket using our handhelds for comm.
>Sounds like they need to marry the two hobbies together.

Well I dunno, ham radio sure ain't rocket science...

343. Re: my 2c on license restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <386F83DA.30D@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 123463

Sean W1SWH wrote:

> ...i didn't really WANT to learn the code,
> either. but, once i got going, i found it fun,
> a badge of honor to know, and a real accomplishment
> to pass the 20 wpm test...

You should be proud of your accomplishment(s). But I think
the 'badge of honor' should be a personal thing. Some people
go from zero to 20wpm in 6 weeks. Others may take years.
They can both be proud of the achievement.

How do we rate the achievements of two people shooting
basketball freethrows from half court -

One person is Michael Jordan.
One is a one armed man in a wheelchair.

Which achievement means more?

I'm impressed by both!

Welcoming all the new hams...Lumpy ab0gd
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

344. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84o2j9egb1@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 123464
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> liam@ork.net wrote:
> > Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio?
>
> It certainly proves committment better than high speed
> Morse code exams.

So says you. But then you have never been, and now never
will be, a REAL HAM.

345. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <386F8C24.18F9@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 123465

Gray Shockley wrote:
[re VFP's obviously racist views]

> ...you've earned white (or, more likely in your case, inherited it)...

Probably pretty hard to avoid inheriting white when
you have sex with your sister.

"If this trailer's rockin'...don't bother knockin' "

Lumpy ab0gd

[crossposts reduced to RRA.misc only]
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

346. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb1dnl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102122851.18359.00000544@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 123466

Lloyd:
take your anti-everyone attitude somewhere else.. Ham radio doesn't need ignorance of your kind...neither does this newsgroup, or the rest of the internet . I am sure your butt will end up walking through some big gates with shackles around your ankles at some point in your life.

347. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102124222.04034.00001504@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123467

>To me, the more important aspect of ham radio is the technical
>knowlede of communications and how to apply that knowledge. To that
>end I'd like to see the tests upgraded with a closed question pool.

And you can bet that is not going to happen, the FCC is saying we want it easy
for someone to get into Ham Radio

348. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102123844.04034.00001502@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123468

>Why don't we ask the government to give a medal to all the old-timers!!!!!
>

Why dont we ask they goverment to give pacifiers to the CB Ham Licenses

349. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B494CDF3.C18%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

in article LqKb4.876$L3.20248@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net, Dave Stadt at dhstadt@ameritech.net wrote on 01.02.2000 07.49:

>
> liam@ork.net wrote in message ...
>> In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
>>> liam@ork.net wrote:
>>
>>>> What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
>>>> getting reduced further and further.
>>
>>> Effort for effort's sake is not a good approach. Why not just have
>>> each potential ham chop off a pinkey finger?
>>
>> Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio? Is it a method of
>> communication? If so, you'll be doing short transmissions, and not for
>> very long.
>
>
> Choping off a pinkey has about as much to do with communications as does CW.
>

I think this guy is saying that the point of ham radio ISN'T the hurdles per se, but what they are supposed to represent -- knowledge about RF, communications protocols, band plans, and such. It is NOT supposed to be an exclusive club with long rituals requiring lots of effort but unfortunately that is what it has become (well, the club part anyway - and the previous hurdle of higher and higher code speeds for more voice privileges was just gratuitous, but served the desires of people trying to build an exclusive fraternal organization on a hobby whose reason for existance is based upon INCLUSION!).

And that is why it is under attack. And that is why the FCC revamped the licensing scheme to the minimalist one it is set up for: 5wpm test to satisfy the international treaties, and a bunch of multiple choice questions to do the rest. I would figure that it would have been better to have one pool of questions required to get the 50MHz+ certification, and another to get HF + the treaty junk (5wpm code). We went with 3 tiers, which is still a bit elitist, but hey, it is a move in the right direction.

Now, if there aren't increased numbers of hams, I would expect that more ham radio frequencies to be lost to commercial services - so people who are not welcoming of new hams, and try to be elitist about it and ignore, newbies are only hurting the hobby by this irrational behavior and indeed

threatening its existance!! If you want to have a club of old timers, elitist, requiring new members to do lots of rituals to become one of the gang, there are a lot of clubs and fraternal organizations out there whose very purpose is what you crave! I suggest you join them to get that aspect satisfied and then concentrate on making ham radio even more egalitarian and open than it is becoming! I'd love for ham radio to be in the position in 20 years of having the bands way overcrowded due to increased use (not loss of bands!) so we start experimenting with ultra-narrow band technologies to allow everyone to use the stuff!!

350. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <386F85C9.2958@rpi.edu>
Source record: 123470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Brian wrote
> >They were really happy when we helped
> >locate a lost rocket using our handhelds for comm.
> >Sounds like they need to marry the two hobbies together.
>
> Well I dunno, ham radio sure ain't rocket science...

I think that it's supposed to be to some extent.... Isn't that what the argument is really about?

Curt Breneman
KC2EBP

351. Re: Kids Day in the news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiomods99@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102124648.03290.00000754@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 123471

it was a story on the next generation of ham radio,very cool segment.
73's
Justin K. Stahnke KB2YFH (RadioMods99@aol.com)

352. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B494D154.C1A%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

in article 84njlm$o20$1@nina.pagesz.net, George Conklin at henryj@nina.pagesz.net wrote on 01.02.2000 05.26:

> In article <84mega$845$2@208.207.71.171>,
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> Bob wrote:
>> So what makes you think this restructuring saves a single
>> hz of ham radio spectrum? When the commercial demand rises
>> to a certain level, any ham radio UHF/VHF/SHF spectrum not
>> committed to ham radio via international treaty WILL be
>> withdrawn and refarmed. Bet on it. And even that allotted to
>> hams under international treaty can be reallocated if need
>> is such that FCC decided to take an exception to the treaty
>>
>> So if you believe that this change solves anything, time to
>> rethink the whole situation. No realistically conceivable
>> added numbers of new hams will help.
>
> Your logic is great. Fewer and fewer hams will help
> preserve spectrum?
>

Yes, that is just what I was thinking. By having lots and lots of young Hams, losing lots of spectrum would be played as "the US destroying our technological wireless future" but with a small number of older hams and relatively few newbies, it gets played as a bunch of old folks complaining about losing an ill gotten benefit.

Right now, a lot of the interest is in the microwave bands - so I expect to lose most of that in the next 10-20 years unless we start using it, but with the damage we have done to the hobby by being so HF focussed (even to the point of requiring morse code at any level to get non-morse access for so long), even now, there is virtually no experimentation being done by average hams so no public benefit - and depopulated microwave spectrum - so that is gonna go pretty soon.

353. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B494D1B6.C1B%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

in article 84njh3nuv1@nina.pagesz.net, George Conklin at henryj@nina.pagesz.net wrote on 01.02.2000 05.23:

> In article <CEzb4.704$L3.18172@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>,
> Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> I still believe that emergency services are what hams
> will be able to provide that the commercial world cannot and
> will not. The local 911 system is very fragile, and highly
> political too. Given any kind of problem, it would be gone
> in a flash.

Providing free emergency services will allow you to keep 2m, but not other bands, unfortunately.

354. Engineering And Math (was...restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <ci3v6sknj10uo0i5hfksb1vnt6aepqm32k@4ax.com>
Source record: 123474

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->In article <386D6602.DFE7AAE8@fgi.net>, Arthur Unwin <aunwin@fgi.net> wrote:
->>As for being a "real ham"
->>would you suggest that any engineer must work on an
->>assembly line until the task at hand can be done
->>without thought, automatic, before he be allowed to
->>have a P.E.licence i.e. to make him a 'real" engineer?

No, but of all the engineers I've met in this profession, the very best were those who at some point in their young careers did "assembly line" time in the service or manufacturing sectors of the business. Fix some engineering screwups for hours on end as a kid and you'd be surprised how those same errors DON'T get repeated when you are on the smooth end of the sliderule.

->
->No, but I would suggest that he know and be able to do mathematics
->without thought (or calculator) to make him a 'real' engineer. Math
->is the fundamental "mode" of all the sciences; it transcends language
->barriers -- any two engineers any where in the world can communicate
->their engineering ideas via math.

Respectfully disagree. Doing math without thought is the best way I know of to make really dumb errors; the calculator isn't so much a crutch as it is a tool, just like a voltmeter or a spectrum analyzer. If you don't know what you are doing, none of the three is going to help you be a "real" engineer.

As for your contention that "math" is the universal language of engineering, again I take exception. Math is a convenient way of expressing a creative idea so that others can manufacture your creation, but to say that I can express my thoughts to you in math without the idea being expressed is not correct. Teach me, for example, about manufacturing integrated circuits without being able to converse in prose. It is the creativity, the art if you will, that sets the engineer apart from the technician.

Now with all due respects to the wannabee engineers in the group, I'd like to hear from practicing engineers -- how many of you regularly use the calculus, the fourier transform math, or the differential equations (regular or partial) in your everyday work, that math that we sweated bullets over for four years in college? How many DON'T use anything past what we learned in junior year algebra in high school?

Just a poll, nothing scientific, just want to hear some thoughts.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST

A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

355. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: don@kb9vek.ham
Message-ID: <AYMb4.56$83.128@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 123475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <84ml80$59g$0@208.207.71.171>, dixie@townsqr.com was of the opinion...
>
>AB7RS wrote:
>>
>> How about the same call sequence as today but with a /weeney at the end?

Or they could just start issuing "WNY0" through "WNY9" prefixes!

356. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <386F883C.16C1@no.net>
Source record: 123476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > If he had the gun and you had the knife would still defend a stupid
> > truck.
>
> I'll have to say that Cecil is smart enough to not show up
> at a gunfight with a knife.

That may be debateable.
```

357. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <386F9F1C.AFB390D1@inreach.com>
Source record: 123477

The only reason you got all the crap is because there are no
type-acceptd rigs that do both ham and 11 meter, and you know that, yet
you propose to break the law, and you also know that. dont come crying
here for simpathy when you get slapped down for blatantly insulting a
bunch of people who dont want to break the law.

Minnesota Murphy wrote:
>
> What I see for the future of hams is the old hams dying off ,
> frequencies being sold off or taken away because they are stuck in
> there own regulatory click.(Family Radio ring a bell?)
> Why would a young person today go in to ham radio. No reason what so
> ever. They got the internet.
> I got my license thru hard work, and on my own. The hams around here
> had their heads stuck up there asses so far that RFdoesn't exist .
> I only wanted to have a 11 meter radio to conserve space , and you
> think I'm a pirate. I thought that this hobby (almost dead hobby) was
> for experimentation. Don't you think that there is a way to keep your
> 11 meter radio in legal range? I do.But the attitude is that I would
> use high power to talk skip.(that's cb lingo).
> Maybe I'll just wait for you to die off so I can get your al mode
> portable cheap from your families. Your kids sure aren't gonna know
> what to do with it.
> All I did was ask a simple question and I got one good answer and the
> rest was hate and ridicule. My responsibility is to promote the hobby,
> but why should I try.
> The old hams don't even say hello when you are at a ham fest or a club
> meeting.
> Does the Radio Shack near you have the information about your club? I
> bet it don't. Where else are kids gonna find out how to start.
> Not from existing hams.
> And why is that. Did you take it upon your self to give them the info?
>
> The future of ham radio is written in stone. Doomed
> And don't blame it on me or the likes of me. You and the rest of the
> hams are committing suicide by complacency and self riteous
> indignation
>
> ."The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
> "Lead or Follow, just get the hell out of my way!"
>
> On Sun, 2 Jan 2000 02:57:25 -0000, "Steve" <steve@Nospam.com> wrote:
>
> >I'm not really talking about whether you're likely to get caught, or what

> >you think others are doing. I was talking about taking some personal
> >responsibilty for the future of amateur radio.
> >
> >What kind of future do you see for the hobby?
> >
> >Steve
> >
> >Minnesota Murphy <Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote in message
> >news:o3vs6s0jj7ldtfhq928d39ohoaps1j6828@4ax.com...
> >> I'll worry about my license over 3 watts on a mobile, when they start
> >> taking down the 100 to 10,000 watt base stations around the country.
> >> and don't tell me there doing it, I read how few they are just giving
> >> tickets to.
> >> On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 18:15:35 -0000, "Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Do you really think so little of your ham license that you're willing
> >> >to compromise it this way?
> >> >The only thing that separates Amateur radio for the anarchy of
> >> >CB is respect for the rules.
> >> >
> >> >Steve
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Minnesota Murphy <Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:ucar6s0rvtlj4l5kfmnn51j3al831rcsbm@4ax.com...
> >> >> I am looking for the modification of Radio Shacks HTX 10, or even the
> >> >> schematic.
> >> >> I want to get into to the 11 meter band (CB).
> >> >> Any help would be appreciated
> >> >>
> >> >> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
> >> >
> >>
> >> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
> >

--
Please remove the word 'NOREPLY' from the email address, sorry for the
inconvenience, but it helps keep down the spam.

358. Re: International Law and the FCC (was: restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <ip7v6sk4ft3bqh1u2nl1v5jahple7ri96q@4ax.com>
Source record: 123478

"William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Jim, how can the fcc exempt themselves, isnt the morse aspect part of an
->international treaty the US signed?

Have you read the terms and conditions of the treaty? I don't remember the URL;
can anybody post a pointer to the text of the treaty?

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

359. NTSB reccomends restructuring driver's licences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: don@kb9vek.ham
Message-ID: <gWMb4.55$83.128@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 123479

December 30, 1999

The National Traffic Safety Board, after lengthy review and debate, has drafted a new structure for automobile driving licenses.

To promote more drivers and to encourage those who have not been able to pass the current driving test, the following changes have been recommended for adoption in the United States:

1. Electronic/illuminated traffic signals are no longer deemed necessary. The need for understanding red, green and yellow encoding of "stop", "go" and "caution" are to be replaced with the more modern and widely recognized english-language terms. Drivers will now only need to shout "stop" or "go" at other drivers as they approach intersections. "Caution" has been throw to the wind.

2. In recognition of the tendency for drivers today to run 13 to 20 miles per hour over the speed limit, it will be lowered to 5 mph everywhere. Anyone capable of at least walking that fast will be (by virtue of that accomplishment) granted a driver's license. This will ensure that everyone can obtain a driver's license and thus be minimally likely to prevent anyone from operating a motor vehicle at higher speeds if they so choose.

[snip] (remainder of boring legalese has been omitted)

IT'S A JOKE, GUYS. RELAX, I'M ONLY TRYING TO LIGHTEN THINGS UP A BIT!

Don

360. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <386F9B85.6F64@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 123480

Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:

> I still believe that emergency services are what hams
> will be able to provide that the commercial world cannot and
> will not. The local 911 system is very fragile, and highly
> political too. Given any kind of problem, it would be gone
> in a flash.

Obviously there are some well organized and efficient
ham groups that regularly assist pub service comms (OCRACES etc).

- not withstanding that -

I was the director of 911 comms in my area for several years.
The local hams approached us more than once, offering help
in simulated emergencies, drills etc.

Each time we'd all sit at the big round table, the arguement
was between the hams themselves. They couldn't seem to get
their own act together, much less interface with the
government comm systems people. Because of this, my
bosses (non hams) said 'no way'. They saw the hams
as just a bunch of argumentative, disorganized
kids.

Because of that, we spent millions of dollars on
our own 911 redundancy. We couldn't rely on the
hams, so we spent the tax dollars to do it ourselves.
I couldn't convince the administration otherwise.

Just thought some of you might like to know how
some gov agencies view hams.

Moral of the story? Define your roll BEFORE
you go to the bargaining table.

Lumpy ab0gd
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

361. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84m632odv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <386E8FB9.D6A84B2D@innocent.com>,
Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> beachmaster wrote:
> >
> [...]
> > What a CROCK. "Save your Dixie Cups Boys - The South will rise
> > again"> NOT IN THIS MILLENNIUM.
> >
> [...]
>
> It seems likely you're right. After all, there are only 364 more
> days left in this millennium.
>
OR THE NEXT !

> Happy Internetting,
>
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

362. Kids Day in the news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: don@kb9vek.ham
Message-ID: <zAwb4.31$83.136@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 123484

Hey, NBC just ran a story to close this evening's news about Kids Day. Good story and good publicity!

don

363. Re: Liberty Net Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: sonaichabi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101190431.04041.00000980@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123485

```
>Subject: Liberty Net Chat
>From: "frg9600" frg9600@mindspring.com
>Date: Sat, 01 January 2000 04:16 PM EST
>Message-id: <84lqpv$2pt$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>Sign up on Yahoo for voice chat and join the LIBERTYNET room tonight to
>discuss jammers and other topics

If Tim isn't going to show up and tell us where Sid is hiding, count me out,
Froggie.
```

364. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leland Van Koten <vankoten@home.com>
Message-ID: <386EA10D.170D707B@home.com>
Source record: 123486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'd say it's several steps above AM, which occupies twice as much bandwidth as SSB and requires considerably higher power than SSB. About 95% of my HF contacts have been SSB, with maybe 3% CW and 2% RTTY. I've made perhaps half a dozen FM contacts on 10 meters, but wouldn't know whether my rig really transmits AM.

FM and AM are fine where high audio quality is needed, such as for music, but for communicating on the HF bands, I can't see why anyone would use either of them.

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> The should have completely done away with CW and eliminated any requirement.
> It is an outdated mode of communication that is one step above smoke
> signals!!!

365. WTB : used dss reciever " direct tv"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6led@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101195256.00369.00000423@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 123487

i am looking for a used direct tv reciever. bob

366. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84m8ig$r5b$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> AB7RS wrote:
> >
> > Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
> > tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
> > to take the 2 week course twice.
>
> Come on now - no washouts?

Oh there may have a been a couple over the years...but the
prospect of going to the infantry instead of some warm radio
room was all the incentive most everyone needed...

367. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <84m84hiti3@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 123489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
> maintain any self-esteem at all?

--> Noone's ever asked. Self esteem isn't much use when you're dead.
I don't have any need to "prove my manhood" by risking my life over
someting replacable.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"throw away the rudder
float away on a vapour trail"
-- The Tragically Hip

368. Re: Is there a form 605 fee ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <386ea667.116021629@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 123490

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 17:39:11 -0800, "Gregory" <okguy@megsinet.net>
wrote:

>I'm renewing my ham license using FORM 605 . Do I pay a fee or is this free
>?
>Thanks ,
>Greg

There's no fee for renewing.

73,
Tom

369. Re: Liberty Net Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000101202629.19312.00000553@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 123491

>If Tim isn't going to show up and tell us where Sid is hiding, count me out,
>Froggie.
>
>

Hey froggie, hows the weather in Utah? hell I may show up on there to tell you
...#@%^$.......*&(^)%#.....*)^%#@#........!!!!!..

370. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101205750.01443.00000616@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123492

>I would wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.

Yes, you do that. Wait till Monday. But I'm sure your portfolio will be just fine. Just remember this - "Never touch the principal". It works for the Rockefellers and the Rothschilds. I'm sure it will work for you.

>I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next Millennium.

Like "we" claimed the last one? Geez, unless "we" do better than "we" have done in the past, then "we" don't deserve much in the future. Just more of what "we" have brought on ourselves in the past.

>We can fight back and re-claim everything that has been taken away from us.

What exactly was taken away from "us"? And was "it" taken or did "we" give it away in a spirit of compromise?

>old gas-bag extra class hams have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF.

"Having to get on HF"? No indeed, you do NOT have to get on HF. As an "old gas bag" advanced class ham, it's perfectly alright with me if you don't get on HF. Ever. And maybe the 5 wpm code requirement will help you NOT get on HF.

>Look for a reversal in the David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from Leavenworth!

Or not. With all due respect, I do not see you as one with insider information about FCC enforcement (or the lack thereof).

Now run along and find a nice long article by David J. Smith and post it here for all to enjoy.

It has been rumored that the UN troops plan to beat their black helicopters into plowshares this year. I wonder how "Pastor" Pete Peters and Linda Thompson and David J. Smith and Brother Stair will handle that one. :-)

371. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84mdgd$te6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123493

Eric Cooper wrote in message <386E6B16.10BB4055@home.com>...
OK, Lloyd , now that the New Year's Truce is over I intend to let your ignorant ass have it with both barrels.
Number One: You'd better check your family tree carefully. You might find out that you aren;t nearly as "aryan" as you claim.

Number Two: America is spelled AMERICA not "AMERIKA". The name Davies is not German, therefore stop pretending you are.

Number Three: The Confederate States Of America ceased to exist on April 9, 1865. If you no longer want to be a citizen of the United States Of America, I suggest that you write to the Department of State in Washington DC and formally give up your citizenship. There NEVER EVER will be a "New Confederacy" , so wake up and DEAL WITH IT! Also the Third Reich ceased to exist on May 8,1945. Again, DEAL WITH IT!

Number Four: You and your non-Jewish brethren frequently quote the Old Testament portion of the Bible as well as Jesus Christ. May I remind you that the Old Testament was a Jewish document and Jesus Christ was a Jew. He was circumcised and accepted into membeship in the local synagogue. This makes you, being anti-Jewish, a first class hypocirite, since you worship a Jew.

Number Five: We of the normal rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup community are VERY SICK AND TIRED of your cross postings here. Get it through your thick skull, once and for all, that very FEW people on here agree with your beliefs. You have done nothing but antaginize and anger people on this newsgroup. Maybe good tactics in a so-called race war, which you appear to be intent on fomenting, but it is never a good way to win friends and influence people.

By the way, I am white American (scandiavian, by ancestry) and I don't agree with you
SO THERE, DEAL WITH IT !

Therefore, I sincerely request that you find an appropriate newsgroup to post to. I am quite sure that there are un-Ametican white suprecmicist HATE groups on USENET that would love to have you.

The purpose of Amateur Radio mention at the beginning of the FCC Rules Part 97 is not and never has been the same as the purpose of BARF. Therefore, as a licensed Amateur Radio Operator (KB6VPI) I must ask you to cease and desist using ham radio for anti- American political puprses at once!

If you haven't yet gotten the import of this message, then may I make it simple for you, in words that even you can understand:

GET LOST, BOY!!!!!!!!!!

Eric Cooper

Good post, Eric.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

372. Re: nd8v: The asshole of ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84mdm3tga1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123494

nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote in message <84lnk0$f7c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <20000101172359.1694.qmail@nym.alias.net>,
> An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net> wrote:
>> This slob has taken over for Rich as the asshole of ham radio. Hey
>> Mike, get a life ya fucking prick.
>>
>> your wife loved my prick i allmost thought your dog was her at first
>but the dog looks better fuck off!!

I'd doubt he could get a WIFE.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

373. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84mbqc$845$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Spain reinstituted a 12WPM code test after dropping it
> > completely for years, having found that it drew undesirables
> > to the ARS in droves.
>
> Where can we verify the latter part of your statement, Dick?

Can you think of any OTHER reason why they would have done
so?
Quick, get that super IQ to work and thinkup some catchy
answer.

Meanwhile the rest can read a report from a EA ham:

This is the experience from Spain. Here in EA-land
we had the CW reading tests optional for the HF bands during
the 80's and, as
those of you who were active on the bands by then could
testify, the spanish
phone ops were internationally regarded as "lids". The ranks
were flooded with
CBers looking for more room and their jargon has still firm
influence in the ham
phone language. Most of them bought expensive antennas and
japanese blackboxes
but got bored in a few years and are not active anymore.

Yeah, I know-all politics is local and in ham radio all
"evidence" is anectodal. "Proof" just doesn't exist, does
it? Unless, of course you want to query the Spanish
telecommunications authority to see why they would do such a
Luddite act as reinstituting mandatory Morse code tests for
their ham radio operators....

374. Re: YEAR 2000!.HERBIE, KV4FZ STILL HERE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101212241.24133.00000584@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 123496

<< Subject: YEAR 2000!.HERBIE, KV4FZ STILL HERE!!
From: reeldeal@my-deja.com
Date: Sat, 01 January 2000 05:28 PM EST
Message-id: <84lu8d$je0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Here it is year 2000 and Herbie is still here!!! Why can't those goof balls get rid of Herb!! Looks like he will be here for a long time!! Way to go Herb !! HAPPY New Year to you Monica and the family!! KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK HERBIE BABY!!

Eat your heart out AF2Y. Looks like you are impotent in more ways than one!! HAW HAW

Reeldeal

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
<<Yes, I agree that KV4FZ is still here and by being here, he proves day by day that in one breath the FCC is eager to clean the rats out of ham radio while in the other sabotages their own efforts. The longer Herbie stays the more brazen and rotten become hams like Polly, Lloyd, Tolassi and other festering zits. Herbie has made a joke out of DUE PROCESS which in his case is L..O..N..G O..V..E..R..D..U..E. But there's another side to the coin. KV4FZ has cleaned his act up all the way and so it gives me and others pause to reflect. Just what is being gained by forever taking away his chances to be on ham radio? I simply don't know. What I'm saying is that if during this long process he would have kept up his nastiness, then someone like I would be eager to see him dissolve but he's mellowed whether ostensibly or sincerely. We must take him at face value. Like him or hate him, he has PROVEN he wants to retain that ticket and credit must be given to his stubbornness and perseverance. In time it's a given that the FCC will indeed win out but I have to ask myself if it's mandatory that a guy who's done a 180 be removed? Maybe I myself have mellowed to the point of feeling sorry for the guy? I don't know exactly how to express my feelings and maybe it's because I myself was under FCC pressure as well as WB2OTK and Herbie. And something else enters my mind. Is it unreasonable to think had the FCC REALLY WANTED TO DISPOSE of KV4FZ for good, they COULDN'T have? Things don't jive in this story of Herbie versus the FCC. Things have calmed down on .313 including my and his vituperativeness. Perhaps leaving sleeping dogs lie might be the best approach?

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

375. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leigh Marrin/KM6JE <leigh@coyote.rain.org>
Message-ID: <84mdce$o32$1@news.rain.org>
Source record: 123497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
: I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it.

Lloyd, I too am glad we all made it. I'm also glad that the "one man army" did not have to single-handedly fight the New World Order UN Troops on Main St. of Athens, Alabama.

: I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next
: Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and
: re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we folks

Though I cringe at what possible "final solution" you've got in mind for non-whites, I'm at least glad to see a message from you that does not directly attact or insult, Jews, blacks, gays, 33rd Degree Masons, etc. (Suggestion: since you've already got Masons on your Hate list, and you're always in need of some new boogeyman to loathe, howzabout adding the Shriners? There's something downright Satanic about those goofy hats they wear in their so-called "parades".)

As a public service for the new readers of the rec.radio newsgroups, I'm reprinting your stunning message of December 20, 1999. It's a masterpiece of fear, ignorance and confusion, AND it is neither satire or parody, but a real declaration of extreme paranoia.

--Leigh Marrin/KM6JE.

Subject: Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
Date: 12/20/1999
Author: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>

The year 2000 is rapidly approaching. No one knows the complete ramifications of this computer problem except possibly the perpetrat-ors of the New World Order.

A woman who works for FEMA in Waco, Texas, told her doctor in confidence that FEMA and the Federal Government believed Y2K would be ten times worse than anyone has yet imagined.

Those working for world government, who hope to TRANSFORM the world into a Socialist form of government, have long thought the time would arrive at the year 2000. One method to facilitate the process was to get the world of economics on speculation. Get the economies of the world off the gold standard and onto paper money with NO precious metals backing the currency.

Through issuing more and more paper money, it would debauch the value of it - that's called inflation. At the right time in history, after getting the world entangled in speculation in the stockmarket, they would PULL THE PLUG and plunge the whole world into economic upheaval and disaster.

What better way would there be to create worldwide chaos than the Y2K computer crisis? It will certainly affect the financial institutions of the whole world; especially, the noncompliant systems corrupting the compliant systems through interfacing with each other. Even if the banks in the United States are ready for Y2K, they will still interface with foreign banks. Reports on progress for the world at large are very bleak when it comes to Europe and the Third and Fourth world countries.

Statements on television say that the entire United States power grid is compliant with the exception of possibly one percent, and that one percent will be ready. Certainly, we hope that is the case. However, when we KNOW of the thousands of lies released to the public by our Socialist controlled Federal Government, their statements are totally unreliable. To an unsuspecting, gullible public, their statements sound good; but to those who have discovered their plans for a one-world-government without WE, the peoples' consent, it becomes obvious they are lying to us.

To show the apathy of Texas State legislators, one representative was asked about the possible United Nations occupation of America and Texas in part-icular. His reply: It's a federal matter, don't bother me.

The Y2K crisis is to be the catalyst that allows President Bill Clinton to call a "national emergency" with the result- ant calling of Martial Law. With Military Law in force, ALL Constitutional guaran-tees and the Bill of Rights will be swept away. This crisis is exactly what has been planned since 1887 by the Socialists.

The 33rd degree Freemasons who have been hand picked to understand the "mysteries" of world government have a motto as to how they will achieve world government - out of chaos will come order. They are the ones - the Illuminati/High Freemasons - that have laid down long-range plans to fulfill their "master's" will - Satan the devil.

Anyone would have to be deft, dumb and blind OR an agenda working for world government that would deny there is a CONSPIRACY at work to overthrow every nation on earth. IT IS CALLED COMMUNISM! It is an historical fact that Communism has gobbled up 40% of the land territory on earth. NOT ONE COUNTRY HAS COME OUT FROM THE IRON GRIP OF COMMUNISM, even though the mass news media has led millions to believe those people are now free and Communism is dead.

V. I. Lenin, first butcher of Communism said: "First, we will take Eastern Europe, then the masses of Asia, then we will surround the United States, and it will fall like a ripe fruit into our hands." Has

the United States been surrounded, even infiltrated from within, to help prepare for the fall?

All evidence says YES! The United States is the targeted nation - that's why we make treaties and KEEP them in spite of the Communist world's violation of them. The COUNT DOWN IS NEAR ZERO AMERIKA!!!

Sincerely,

David J. Smith

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841

B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!
Athens, AL 35611

376. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84mega$845$2@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob wrote:
>
> Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
> before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
> hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
> demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
> bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
> wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
> or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
> turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
> they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
>

So what makes you think this restructuring saves a single
hz of ham radio spectrum? When the commercial demand rises
to a certain level, any ham radio UHF/VHF/SHF spectrum not
committed to ham radio via international treaty WILL be
withdrawn and refarmed. Bet on it. And even that allotted to
hams under international treaty can be reallocated if need
is such that FCC decided to take an exception to the treaty

So if you believe that this change solves anything, time to
rethink the whole situation. No realistically conceivable
added numbers of new hams will help.

377. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <steve@Nospam.com>
Message-ID: <eyxuj0MV$GA.145@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 123499

I'm not really talking about whether you're likely to get caught, or what
you think others are doing. I was talking about taking some personal
responsibilty for the future of amateur radio.

What kind of future do you see for the hobby?

Steve

Minnesota Murphy <Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:o3vs6s0jj7ldtfhq928d39ohoaps1j6828@4ax.com...
> I'll worry about my license over 3 watts on a mobile, when they start
> taking down the 100 to 10,000 watt base stations around the country.
> and don't tell me there doing it, I read how few they are just giving
> tickets to.
> On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 18:15:35 -0000, "Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com> wrote:
>
> >Do you really think so little of your ham license that you're willing
> >to compromise it this way?
> >The only thing that separates Amateur radio for the anarchy of
> >CB is respect for the rules.
> >
> >Steve
> >
> >
> >Minnesota Murphy <Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com> wrote in message
> >news:ucar6s0rvtlj4l5kfmnn51j3al831rcsbm@4ax.com...
> >> I am looking for the modification of Radio Shacks HTX 10, or even the
> >> schematic.
> >> I want to get into to the 11 meter band (CB).
> >> Any help would be appreciated
> >>
> >> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
> >
>
> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"

378. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84mfuf$l34$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

don@kb9vek.ham wrote:
>
> In article <84j7su$2r0$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, aa4pb@erols.com was of the
> opinion...
> >1) Who said "broadcasts" of the type W1AW is doing are not legal? Not
> >the FCC regs.
>
> According to 46CFR97.3:
> "(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the
> general public, either direct or relayed."
>
> Where this gets interesting is in determining "who" is "general public". I
> contend that simply calling "QST de ..." does not get you off the hook - that
> "all hams" is a pretty weak distinction from "general public".
>
> As for the 5wpm practice, this surely is aimed at non-hams (except for the
> no-code techs, all hams have 5wpm under their belt already).
>
> Not that I care either way, and I actually find the practice very helpful. I
> just think its a case of bending the rules to suit one's own agenda.

Since you don't know, it has been officially sanctioned by
the FCC long ago.

379. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84mhis$s68$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BTW, only the code requrement has been changed, theory is still
the same.

newsgroups have been trimmed to misc and policy.

380. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <386EBFD1.18DD@no.net>
Source record: 123502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote
> > >If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
> > >maintain any self-esteem at all?
> >
> > Jones wrote
> > >> Dead people have little self-esteem...
> >
> > >Slaves have less.
> >
> > Turning over your car to a car-jacker with a gun pointed at you is
> > quite different from being a slave...
>
> Not really. He has, at least, momentarily enslaved you. A guy with
> a knife told me to get out of my S10 one night in a skating rink
> parking lot. I got out with a 9mm in my hand. You think I should
> have just given him my truck?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

If he had the gun and you had the knife would still defend a stupid
truck.

381. Best headset for Yaesu FT-11R?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9sqg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101233534.13634.00000853@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 123503

Any experiences with headsets or boom mics for the FT-11R? Interested in knowing good brands and bad brands.

73

Evan

382. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84ml80$59g$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
>
> How about the same call sequence as today but with a /weeney at the end?

HAW HAW HAW!!! First belly laugh I've had this millineum!

383. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000101234716.28443.00000561@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 123505

>Subject: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE
>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>Date: Sat, 01 January 2000 03:05 PM EST
>Message-id: <_5tb4.13118$y11.153180@news4.mia>

> We can fight back and
>re-claim everything that has been taken away from us.

What exactly has been taken away from you ?

> For many years now, old gas-bag extra >class hams have kept us no-coders from
>having to get on HF. We will use to our >advantage.?????

Lloyd, I want you to read your statement and explain it to the group because it appears that you must of been distracted, or you didn't get that much needed grammer book for christmas.

I read your statement and the way it looks to me is that you are saying that because there are extra class hams all the no-code hams didn't have to get on HF????? and the last sentence of your paragraph make no sense????

Lloyd, you post messages to the group and you know the group is going to respond. You could at least bring your grammer up to the sixth grade level and make your point of view clear.

>I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next
>Millennium. For the prize is about to be won.
>
What prize, the last time I drove through the south (Alabama, Mississippi, and Louisiana) it looked pretty poor, if the south is the big prize you can have my share.

384. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84mlum$59g$2@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Gary - KJ6Q wrote
> >I suppose if *I* had YOUR gift of mindreading - and knew for a FACT
> >exactly what and attacker/mugger wanted or would "settle for", you
> >might be right -
>
> Nobody is a mind reader. I go with the odds. The odds are that you will get
> shot and possibly killed if you resist an armed robber who has the drop on
> you. Most robbers want your property, not your life. Shooting you just
> complicates things for them...

And NOT shooting you complicatres their lives much more,
since you might just testify at the trial...

>
> >an elderly couple 2 roads over from us recently
> >made that assumption when a deviant teenager demanded their car - and
> >then stabbed BOTH of them to death...
>
> For every robbery where someone is injured of killed, there are hundreds of
> robberies where there is no injury or death. The odds for injury or death
> are dramatically increased if you resist...

That was then. This is now. The new, improved criminal is
much more likely to be an addict who has absolutely no
regard for anything except financing his next fix. Your life
is meaningless to him.

>
> Just last week (in Phoenix) a woman customer at a video store (for some
> unknown reason) decided to resist an armed robber. She was shot in the head
> and killed with a shotgun for her trouble. She left a husband and several
> kids. All the other employees and customers were unhurt...

Clearly she shouldn't have done that but sometimes people
do things instinctively without taking time to think about
all the posibilities. I've known state troopers to go out
the same way.

> >but will resist with ALL means at my disposal your
> >efforts to make MY choice FOR me! PERIOD!
>
> Gary. Stop and read this carefully.
>

> *I do not advocate unarming the public*.
>
> I own several guns of my own. This discussion is about whether it is wise to
> resist an armed robber aiming a gun on you for principle alone. Cecil would
> die rather than be robbed. I would prefer to live and seek vengeance another
> day...

None of it is cut and dried.

385. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <946789963.268718@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 123507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radioguy wrote

>SO... tell me... where was the ARRL? Too busy running a publishing
>house?

The ARRL, though caught off guard with the FCC proposal made several
counterproposals... all published in QST...

>I'm sorry, I have not heard this issue discussed.

Obviously, you're not an ARRL member or you would have heard in QST
(assuming you READ it) It was in ALL the HAM mags... I trust you don't
expect the ARRL to knock on your door to inform you of pending FCC
changes....

>Really now, how difficult is it to use the any of the blocks of letter
call prefixes... that's a >simply administrative duty for the FCC to
implement...

I think they'd just as soon not be bothered with Hams at all... when's the
last time the FCC got anything out of your pocket, fee-wise? (be fair now...
amateur related expenses only, don't include vanity calls)

386. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <946790209.170054@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 123508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

blueglow wrote
>I'm not saying I agree with all this but let's face it, it is the way it
is.
>Forget the call sign worries. Be glad to be a ham.

Right On!! The more, the merrier! I was getting tired of cruisin' the
novice bands and rarely finding new hams (or even ones new-to-code!).

387. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <386EE16C.133BFA9D@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 123509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Leigh Marrin/KM6JE wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.misc Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> : I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it.
>
> Lloyd, I too am glad we all made it. I'm also glad that the "one man
> army" did not have to single-handedly fight the New World Order UN Troops
> on Main St. of Athens, Alabama.
>
> : I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next
> : Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and
> : re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we folks
>
> Though I cringe at what possible "final solution" you've got in mind for
> non-whites, I'm at least glad to see a message from you that does not
> directly attact or insult, Jews, blacks, gays, 33rd Degree Masons,
> etc. (Suggestion: since you've already got Masons on your Hate list, and
> you're always in need of some new boogeyman to loathe, howzabout adding
> the Shriners? There's something downright Satanic about those goofy hats
> they wear in their so-called "parades".)

Why not also add Canadians to this list. Those few that are not
communist are nonetheless ungodly.

--
Michael Moore
Canadian

388. Re: my 2c on license restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@nospam.com
Message-ID: <386ee3d5.6094840@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123510

"sean hall" <shnews@pressroom.com> wrote:

>snip<
>uncommitted people out of the hobby. the ham radio manufacturers will no
>doubt be happy. but i have nightmares of people transitioning from
>nowhere...or worse, 11 meters, and clogging the ham bands even more. i'm
>sure i'm not the only one who feels that way.
>
>73/sean hall
>W1SWH

The CBers weren't interested in the 5wpm before and I doubt they will
be now. Same with the no-code Techs. A few Tech Plus operators
will upgrade, but will be ostracized by the old hams and head back to
the novice segment. Someone else on the newsgroup said it best - "At
last, a decision that no one will like".

>
>

389. VE exam sessions in Silicon Valley CA, when and where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84moosctq1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123511

When and where are VEC exam sessions held in teh Silicon Valley
CA area? Looking around April-May time.

390. Re: Liberty Net Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102004836.15654.00000900@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 123512

KK7HO wrote:

>
>Hey froggie, hows the weather in Utah? hell I may show up on there to tell
>you
>...#@%^$.......*&(^)%#.....*)^%#@#........!!!!!..
>

Great impression of Sid, Tim.

heh heh

391. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Bethman <rbethman@erols.com>
Message-ID: <386EE695.B7385733@erols.com>
Source record: 123513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Did send comments, in the necessary approved format. Another twit that speaks for himself only.

Bob N0DGN

JM wrote:

> to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
> would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
> what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
> already. John N0EE

392. Re: FS Icom 211 ALL-MODE 2 METER $200.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3872e6fb.11006861@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 123514

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 04:26:49 GMT, radioleo@earthlink.net (KJ6HI Leo) wrote:

>I have a Nice Icom 211 ALL MODE 2 METER TRANSCEIVER.

I have one with no meters.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
New misc and Christianity information added
Frequency page updated

393. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3873e733.11063223@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 123515

Don't bother. Meters are old fashioned.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
New misc and Christianity information added
Frequency page updated

394. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harold Hickey <duhickey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84ms08$6rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <01HW.B49439A80003C5930944951C@news.netdoor.com>,
gray@compcomm.com wrote:
> On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 14:05:19 -0600, Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> It's simply amazing that someone thinks he is anything at all just
because of
> a melanin deficiency.
>
> Is that why you're known as "VFP" (viciously foaming pervert)?
>
> Gray
> --------------------------
> Gray Shockley
> Entropy Maintenance Technician
> gray@compcomm.com
> http://www.compcomm.com/
> Vicksburg, MS US
>
> DX-398/DX-375/Select-A-Tenna/Longwire
>
> --------------------------
>
> Oh, did I tell you how I'm imprssed with your whiteness?
>
>
Hey man come on now,DONT blame him because he's white or pale or any
color at all,blame him because he's a jerkoff.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

395. Re: SWR problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Bone <markbone@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <386eed47.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 123517
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Found out that I need a new 60 pf capacitor and the ground rod connection needed to be replaced. Thanks for the help

Mark

396. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <386EF10C.8AF5C649@mindspring.com>
Source record: 123518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Bob wrote:
> >
> > Something I haven't heard in the pro/con is where was ham radio going
> > before the restructuring? In the toilet, that's where. The number of new
> > hams is running 10% of the dying ones and the wireless community is
> > demanding a lot more bandwidth and of course, are looking at the ham
> > bands for their finacial survival. There are 100 million potential
> > wireless users and diminishing hams at about 400,000 now and potential
> > or 100,000 by 2005. There will be no ham radio in 2006 unless this gets
> > turned around. Face it people need internet on their wrist far more than
> > they need to pass signal reports with Tibet.
> >
>
> So what makes you think this restructuring saves a single
> hz of ham radio spectrum? When the commercial demand rises
> to a certain level, any ham radio UHF/VHF/SHF spectrum not
> committed to ham radio via international treaty WILL be
> withdrawn and refarmed. Bet on it. And even that allotted to
> hams under international treaty can be reallocated if need
> is such that FCC decided to take an exception to the treaty
>
> So if you believe that this change solves anything, time to
> rethink the whole situation. No realistically conceivable
> added numbers of new hams will help.

Numbers equal VOTERS -
The FCC pays attention to voters, not old-timers!!!

--
Art Wertz N5AEN
artwertz@mindspring.com
http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen

397. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <386EF22B.621C42E9@mindspring.com>
Source record: 123519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radioguy wrote:

> Howdy:
>
> I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>
> I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
> group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
> social security number or zip code perhaps.
>
> Seriously, this historic change to amateur licensing should not ignore a
> subsequent change to appear in manner of call sign issuance. I
> sincerely feel that those amateurs who made it the
> hard/old/traditional... way should enjoy that fact---at least for the
> duration of their amateur career, by having their call sign identifier
> separate from those of future amateurs for the sake of tradition.
> Honestly, I think a conventional call sign with a three letter prefix
> would serve this function nicely.
>
> Sincerely,

Why don't we ask the government to give a medal to all the old-timers!!!!!

--
Art Wertz N5AEN
artwertz@mindspring.com
http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen

398. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84mskt$qb8$3@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob wrote:
>
> This is probably true, but coupled with all of the public service we are
> not doing and all of the help to the neighbor communication we shun
> because we piss them off with our TVI, we should be able to impress
> someone that a trained? bunch of nerds are necessary for the public
> survival. Go any better survival plan?
>

That sure sounds like the blueprint for a succesful
survival plan. Right.

399. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84msch$qb8$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 123521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JJ wrote:

> If he had the gun and you had the knife would still defend a stupid
> truck.

I'll have to say that Cecil is smart enough to not show up
at a gunfight with a knife.

400. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EF444.B58EC3C0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JJ wrote:
> If he had the gun and you had the knife would still defend a stupid
> truck.

It's a moot point - I don't own that sort of knife. There
are all sorts of techniques for disarming a smaller person
if he is ignorant enough to get within reach. Each encounter
will require a judgement call based on perceived odds.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

401. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EF51D.8B14DBAA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >A guy with
> >a knife told me to get out of my S10 one night in a skating rink
> >parking lot. I got out with a 9mm in my hand.
>
> A guy who brings a knife to a gunfight ain't no Mensa, that's true...
>
> >You think I should have just given him my truck?
>
> Nope. It didn't appear you were going to die. But if it was a choice of your
> life or your truck, well then my advice is to walk home...

He probably could have done me in with that knife but he obviously
wasn't willing to suffer the consequences.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

402. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102020351.10225.00000605@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 123524

Good point, Gray. Psychosis is probably a good word for it.

And he probably wonders why he was inculded on "the great pee page".

403. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EF864.D93E7DC1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JM wrote:
> to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you.

Take a look at the list of names on the FCC text publication. You
will find dozens of r.r.a.p denizens listed.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

404. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EF963.E2DFA6D7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I'll have to say that Cecil is smart enough to not show up
> at a gunfight with a knife.

I think knives should be confiscated. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

405. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <386EFA51.F7D1DD76@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Harold Hickey wrote:
> Hey man come on now,DONT blame him because he's white or pale or any
> color at all,blame him because he's a jerkoff.

Is he an albino? They are the only white people I've ever seen.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

406. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <386f0505.50642696@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 123528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective mode.
> Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!

Jim,

The FCC cannot eliminate the Morse Code requirement below 30 mHz -
this provision is part of international radio law.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

407. Newsline Report #1168 - 12/31/99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: newsline@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <84n0i9$1tv$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123529

A word from Newsline...

Newsline was the only Amateur Radio news and information service to have a reporter in Washington D.C. at the time the Report and Order on Restructuring the United States Amateur Radio Service was released to the general public. Because of this, we are able to bring you a first hand report. We hope you will find what follows as being of value to you.

Also, may we take this oportunity to thank all of our listeners and readers for thir constructive criticism and their support the past 23 years. As we begin the 21st century, we pledge to continue to do all that we can to keep each of you informed on the information that you need in your day to day Amateur Radio activities.

Happy Y2K from all of us to all of you.

The Newsline Team

Newsline 1168

Newsline Report number 1168 with a release date of Friday, December 31, 1999 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. An end of the century surprise from the F-C-C. The year 2000 will be the year of restructuring the United States Amateur Radio Service. Find out the details on the final Newsline report of the
20th century coming your way right now!

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESTRUCTURING OF HAM RADIO IS HERE

The F-C-C has made good on its promise and has released the long awaited Report and Order on restructuring the face of ham radio in the United States. It's a document that is filled with surprises as we hear from Newslin
es Paul Courson WA3VJB in the nations capital:

--

Traditionalists may fret that the code test for the Extra Class license will be only 5 words-per-minute, and that the Novice, General, and

Advanced Class licenses will NO LONGER be available to newcomers.

The NO CODE license will continue and be called the Technician Class, allowing operation only above 30 megahertz.

The FCC believes the changes, announced in the final hours before the agency broke for the New Year's holiday, will streamline and revitalize the structure of the Amateur service. They take effect April 15th.

The Commission's executive summary highlights the changes like this:

- Only three types of license from the present six.
- Only a single, 5 wpm code test for the new General and Extra Class license.
- Only a three-part range of written tests for licensing, instead of five possible elements under the current system.

Newly licensed hams will have available to them a Technician, a General, and an Extra Class license. Most existing licensees retain the option of renewing and keeping the name and operating authority of a present-day clas
s, such as Advanced, and Novice. The Tech-Plus license will renew as Technician with existing privileges on HF.

Incoming Technician licensees face a 35-question test element, and a candidate for General will have to pass that test PLUS an additional 35-questions, and the 5 wpm code test.

The Extra Class license will require a written test of 50 questions plus the two sets of 35 just mentioned, along with the 5 wpm code test.

It's been ten years since the last major overhaul of regulations governing the Amateur Radio Service. The FCC said the latest restructuring takes technological change into account, as well as operating trends which have
minimized the prominence of Morse code.

And while the Novice class license won't be issued anymore, except for renewals, the Commission said the comments received did NOT provide a clear concensus on what to do with the protected band segments on HF, now set as
ide for Novice CW use. Instead, the agency said it will wait and see whether rising technology could qualify for those protected sub-bands, license class notwithstanding.

The Commission deliberated the code controversy as presented among the 22-hundred comments filed in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking after it came out more than a year ago.

The FCC concluded that the more stringent CW requirements did not serve any regulatory purpose, nor did the faster speeds prove someone could advance the art of radio as defined as a purpose for the Amateur Service.

The agency relied on the old international treaty justification to explain why it must preserve a code requirement. The 5 wpm test, the Commission said, meets that international standard for operations below 30 megahertz
Moreover, the FCC said it would NOT "sunset" the remaining 5 wpm test should future treaties abandon the requirement. The Commission suggested it'll deal with that issue when and if the time comes.

The Amateur community is already "dealing with" the prospect of relaxed licensing requirements. A quick sample of comments on 40 meters phone found most people concerned about the watered-down code requirement more than a
ny other issue in the restructuring:

From Dave, W3NP:

I have no problem with them lowering the speed requirement.... for the higher class licenses... if they can demonstrate that the written portion will guarantee at least reasonably, that the applicant is technically compet
ent.

From Mike, WN3B:

Ah, there is no CW requirement -- 5 wpm -- that's uh, we're certainly reducing our standards, the way I see it.

And from Carol, W4CLM:

It's not so much an ancient art, such as CW having been watered-down, as it's more a matter of a continued dumbing-down of America. Give me something for nothing type of attitude.

The FCC said it hopes the rules change will allow currently-licensed hams to do more to advance the art of radio, along with eliminating some obsolete requirements which may discourage people from joining the hobby.

The agency also hopes to reduce how much it costs to regulate the Amateur Service, while promoting what it calls efficient use of allocated spectrum.

Other changes in the restructuring include phasing out separate station licenses for RACES, the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service, dating back to 1952. It was established as a temporary communications system for civil
defense. The licenses won't be renewed, but the FCC anticipates emergency communications will continue as they have been doing under club, military and individual station calls.

Among changes for the Volunteer Exam Program, existing Advanced-class licensees will be able to prepare and administer tests for prospective General Class operators. The FCC is also giving more power to Volunteer Examiner
s to design and carry out the testing of prospective hams.

Newsline's comprehensive coverage of the Restructuring of Amateur Radio continues, in a moment.

In Washington, I'm Paul Courson, WA3VJB reporting for Newsline.

--

What about the impact of restructuring on the ham-in-the street? What will it mean to him or her. For an analysys, we go to CQ Magazine editor Rich Moseson, W2VU:

--

The immediate impact of the FCC's restructuring decision, in my view, is that our hard-working Volunteer Examiners had better get ready for a crush of applicants before April 15th -- especially those with Tech-Plus and Ad
vanced Class licenses -- who want to pass written Elements 3B or 4B, respectively, and qualify for instant upgrades to General or Extra after the new system kicks in. This is because the FCC will recognize credit for all
exam elements already passed, and waiting until after Tax Day to take the upgrade exam means Techs and Advanced hams will have to be retested on material they've already passed in Elements 3A and 4A. Getting credit for th
ose written "B" elements ahead of time means they'll have everything they need to qualify for an upgrade after April 15th.

Here's an important note: there will be no automatic upgrades. Even if you already have all the element credit you need to upgrade, you will still need to come to a VE session, show the examiners proof of all that element
credit and fill out a Form 605. And yes, you'll have to pay the six dollars and 65 cents.

Why the extra paperwork? It's simple -- the FCC won't take on any new responsibility that's going to cost it money or add to its workload. By transferring the paperwork responsibility to the licensee and the VEs, the appl
ications are processed through the electronic system already in place and cost the Commission zero in terms of dollars or hours.

Overall, this decision should reverse the trends we've seen in recent years of declining numbers in the middle license classes. Removing the barriers of 13- and 20-word-per-minute code exams should prompt many hams to dus
t off their study guides, although it might be best to buy one that's up-to-date. Our advice in the February issue of CQ: No matter what license class you have, besides Extra, get studying, and have as much element credit
as you can in hand before April 15th.

--

This is only the beginning of the restructuring story. Obviously, not everyone will be happy and requests for reconsideration on several parts are inevitable. The complete text of this Report and Order is available for
downloading at our website. That's at w-w-w dot a r newsline dot o-r-g. More on restructuring, next week. (Newsline)

**

Break 1

From Los Angeles on New Years Eve 2000, this is Newsline.

(5 sec pause)

**

Initial Reaction to Restructuring

(David Black KB4KCH Report Here) (Newsline)

**

VENEZUELAN HAMS ACTIVATE IN FLOODING DISASTER

Turning to other news, ham radio is still providing important emergency communications in the wake of mudslides that killed thousands in Venezuela. Rick Lindquist of the A-Double-R-L Audio News says that radio amateurs w
ere called up because they could do what no other service could:

Word from Venezuela is that hams activated early on during the flooding and mud slide disaster that struck that country's Caribbean coast December 16.

"The emergency is by all means the major disaster that ever happened in Venezuela in modern times, and there is an ongoing need for further communication support," said Pedro Seidemann, YV5BPG.

Seidemann says Radio Club Venezolano club station YV5AJ and national emergency net station YV5RNE are on the air on the official emergency frequency 7090 kHz as well as on local 2-meter repeaters and monitoring 146.580 si
mplex.

Initial flooding following several days of sustained rainfall was exacerbated by mud slides resulting from overflowing creeks coming down from the Avila mountain range. "Mud slides, some high in the mountains, were the ma
jor cause of the disaster in this part of the country," Seidemann said, noting that the sustained rains are considered "rather unusual" in that part of the world.

Officials say the death toll in the flooding and subsequent mud slides could go as high as 20,000. Seidemann said countless people are still unaccounted for, and--especially in the seacoast area near Caracas--many bodies
have been buried by thick mud.

"Venezuelan Civil Defense organization and Conatel--the national telecommunication administration--and the Red Cross have asked RCV for its urgent ongoing support in the seacoast townships near Caracas," Seidemann said th
is week. Hard hit are the Barlovento area east of Caracas and the Falcon State in northwestern Venezuela. "There, local radio communications have collapsed, and highways are cut. Large areas are without electricity." Seid
emann said the power outages also are affecting telephone service.

Seidemann says RCV is focusing its communications support toward these two disaster areas, where flood survivors remain in isolated regions.

Just before the disaster, RCV had tested its facilities on HF and VHF to check for Y2K compliance. As a result, Seidemann says, RCV already was in a state of readiness when the disaster struck. RCV has acknowledged the co
operation on 40 meters of foreign stations in avoiding the Venezuelan Emergency Net frequency.

Seidemann indicated a need for additional Amateur Radio gear in Venezuela.

>I�m Rick Lindquist, N1RL

Elsewhere, Salvation Army volunteer Quent Nelson, W-A-4-B-Z-Y, in the Atlanta area has been coordinating the health and welfare operation. Nelson has established direct contact with Mike Anson, Y-V-5 slash V-E-7-A-M-V. An
son is within two blocks of the catastrophe in Caracas, Venezuela. The Salvation Army's SATERN has set up a health-and-welfare network accessible at http://go.to/satern.

The complete ARRL audio news is on the web at www.arrl.org. (ARRL)

**

MORE TO MANY CLUB CALL SIGNS

The F-C-C has asked a Los Angeles California ham why he needs twelve call signs and has told him that he must justify each one. In a letter to Joel Pastor, W-J-1-P, the regulatory agency asks for that he must provide a l
ist of the names, addresses and telephone numbers of the members, meeting times and dates within the past year for each club. It also asks him for information on proposed meeting times and locations within the coming ye

ar, and copies of minutes, if any, taken at meetings within the past three months for each club. He is also requested to list any other call signs licensed in his name that are not shown in the F-C-C letter. The F-C-C s
ays that Pastor claims club call signs in several geographic locations including Southern California, Northern California, Nevada, Texas and New Jersey. (FCC)

**

SOUTH HILLS ARC CALL SIGN SETTLED

The F-C-C has settled a dispute between to people claiming ownership of the N-3-S-H South Hills Amateur Radio Club call sign. Back in March of 1999 the F-C-C had written to Ronald Lentz, N-3-W-X, of Pittsburg Pennsylvani
a regarding a complaint filed by the N-3-S-H Amateur Radio Club. This, concerning allegations of an unauthorized transfer of a call sign. The F-C-C says that Lentz did not respond to that letter, and the call sign N-3-S
-H was re-assigned to that group, which was then named Wireless Association of South Hills, Inc.

But the story does not end there. According to the F-C-C's Riley Hollingsworth, records show that Lentz again applied for N-3-S-H in the name of the South Hills Amateur Radio Club, Inc. and that application was granted
in error on July 15, 1999.

Hollingsworth says that the Commission may, upon learning that an application has been granted as a result of an inadvertent, ministerial processing error, set aside the erroneous grant. Therefore it is canceling the N-3
-S-H call sign away from Lentz and assigning his previous call sign, K-B-3-B-R-F to South Hills Amateur radio Club, Inc., and re-assigning N-3-S-H to the Wireless Association of South Hills, Inc.

Hollingsworth has also advised Lentz that any future attempt to obtain this call sign, N-3-S-H, without a full disclosure and justification of the circumstances on his application will be considered an abuse of the Commis
sion's processes. This says Hollingsworth will reflect adversely on Lentz qualifications to retain his N-3-W-X Amateur license. (FCC)

**

40 Meter Warning Notice

Still with enforcement news, the F-C-C has sent a warning notice to a Capo Beach California ham that notifies him to stop his alleged transmitting of music on the 40 meter band. In tits November 30th letter to Dave L. F
lanagan, W-A-6-F-C-W, the regulatory agency again cites monitoring

information alleging that he or someone operating his station transmitted music on 7 point 258 MHz that interfered with ongoing amateur communications on
that frequency. The FCC said the interference had occurred over the previous month. It warned W-A-6-F-C-W additional incidents could result in a fine, license revocation proceedings, and seizure of his ham radio station
gear. (FCC)

**

Break 2

On New Years Eve 2000, this is Newsline with links to the world at w-w-w dot a-r-newslne dot o-r-g

(5 second pause here)
**

KENWOOD ASKS FCC TO RULE ON "SKY COMMAND" SYSTEM

Kenwood Communications Corporation has asked the FCC either to declare that its "Sky Command" remote control system complies with Commission rules or to waive applicable sections of the regulations to make it legal.

The "Sky Command" system, which Kenwood has been marketing for about two years, lets the user control a fixed high frequency station using a pair of dual-band transceivers. Sky Command operates in full duplex, using a 7
0-cm frequency to transmit audio and control commands to a dualband transceiver at the remote station and a 2-meter frequency to transmit received audio via the remote station's SkyCommand transceiver to the operator's tr
ansceiver. Sky Command's V-H-F link does include a Morse code I-D.

The ARRL has declined to permit Kenwood to advertise its "Sky Command" system in its Q-S-T magazine. The A-R-R-L maintains that the system is not legal to use as it's configured because the use of a 2-meter frequency wou
ld cause amateurs using the system to violate Section 97.201(b) of the Amateur Service Rules. This section limits auxiliary operation to certain frequencies above 222.15 MHz.

The Kenwood request has been assigned as D A 99 dash 2805. Comments are due by January 31st with reply comments no later than February 14th. (ARRL)

**

TV: 8VSB vs. COFDM

As we head into the 21st century a major disagreement in the world of digital t-v could eventually impact on the way that even amateur

television enthusiasts operate. Newsline's Jim Dameron, N-8-T-M-W has more:

--
Bob Gonsett's C-G-C Communicator notes two stories concerning the introduction of digital television in the United States that will be of interest to fast scan Amateur Television enthusiasts.

They come from the publication Tech Notes and the first of these involves a report that the Department of Defense has reportedly raised concerns about the 8-V-S-B system as it is currently implemented. This is because th
e D-O-D says that 8-V-S-B requires outdoor antennas which are vulnerable in natural and other disasters. The article says that 8-VSB has trouble reaching indoor antennas and the D-O-D reasons that the 8-V-S-B transmissi
on system will limit the government's ability to communicate with its citizens.

The second item is a letter from Mark E. Hyman, who is the Vice President of Corporate Relations for Sinclair Broadcast Group. Hyman writes urging other broadcasters who are as of this time uncertain of their position re
garding 8-V-S-B verses the European C-O-F-D-M system to write the F-C-C and ask that it open a public commentary period on the matter.

Hyman's complete letter is available on the web at http://216.24.35.148/cgc/. Click on Additional Postings then click on 'SUPPORT THE COFDM VS. 8-VSB DEBATE.'

--

As we go to air, one of the broadcast trade journals is reporting that numerous broadcasters have signed a Sinclair Broadcast Group petition asking the F-C-C to re-open the 8-V-S-B verses C-O-F-D-M issue. (CGC Communicat
or, BRT)

**

Burrowing Owl Search Area Increases

Its barely 2000 but Joe Moell, K0OV, says that more volunteers in southern United States are needed for the Burrowing Owl Project radio tracking project.

According to Joe, forty-eight owls wearing miniature radio transmitters left Saskatchean and Alberta last fall. Where are they now? Probably in southern states somewhere between California's Imperial Valley and the east
coast of Texas. Some might be in northern Mexico but no one really knows for sure. That's where hams and other monitoring enthusiasts come in.

If you have a sensitive receiver, scanner, or wide-range handi-talkie that tunes from 172 to 173 MHz you can help. If you have radio direction finding gear for that frequency range, so much the better.

For more information, see the Burrowing Owl page of Joe's "Homing In" Web Site. Thats where you will find a list of the 33 still-active bio-tag frequencies and information about suitable equipment for monitoring at 172 M
Hz. Most important of all, there's information on what to do if you receive a tag signal.

The "Homing In" URL is is simple to remember. Its www dot homingin dot com (K0OV)

**

P3D Past Y2K

The year 2000 should see the long awaited launch of the Phase 3 D ham radio satellite. So says AMSAT North America President Keith Baker, K-B-1-S-F.

In an article on the AMSAT B-B-S, Baker says things are looking good for a lunch of the Phase 3-D ham radio satellite during the next twelve months. According to Baker, the bird is still in Orlando where the satellite te
am has been busy getting the last-minute paperwork clearances and shipping details in order. This so that the satellite can be transported to the launch site at Kourou in French Guiana.

Baker says that AMSAT is waiting on various government agencies to issue the final, updated versions of the paperwork and clearance documents. Once all are in place, the shipping contractor will the spacecraft and severa
l pieces of associated ground equipment for transport to the Kourou French Guiana E-S-A launch facility. As previously reported, a launch contract accepting Phase 3D as a payload for the first suitable Arianespace Ariane
5 vehicle launch was signed last October 5th. (AMSAT-BBS)

**

Houston AMSAT Net Move Reminder

Also, Bruce Paige, K-K-5-D-O reminds us that the Houston AMSAT net moves to its new satellite home effective January 4th. If you have a home C-cand satellite system with a full size dish you can find the net on T-V-R-O N
et on the G-E Americom Spacenet 4 bird. The net will air on Transponder 6 Horizontal using a 5.8 wideband audio subcarrier. The net originates on the 147.10MHz FM repeater in Houston, Texas and is carried by many repeat
ers throughout the country. (KK5DO)

**

DX

In D-X, the Amateur Radio society of New Caledonia, has announced an Islands on the Air Dx-pedition that may activate a new D-X-C-C entity. The location is the Chesterfield Islands with a team headed by Eric Esposito, F-
K-8-G-M. Look for the part one of the operation to commence on March 15th. Pending action on the society's application to the I-A-R-U for membership, the second phase may turn out to be the initial operation from a new D
-X-C-C country. This is slated for March 23rd. This DXpedition is sponsored by Yaesu and supported by the Radio Society of Great Britain's Islands on the Air management team. (OPDX)

And a lot higher in frequency, the newly formed Roadrunners Microwave Group in Texas has announced plans for its first cumulative contest for all bands above 220 MHz . Called the Year 2000 event, it begins on begins Janu
ary 1st and ends next December 31st. The object of the contest is to promote greater day-to -day use of the higher amateur frequencies. For complete rules, scoring sheets, multiplier sheet and the like, send a self addr
essed stamped envelope to the Roadrunners Microwave Group, Post Office Box 9-3-1-7-5 Austin, Texas 7-8-7-0-9 dash 3-1-7-5 (Roadrunners)

**

Year 2000 On The Air Events

And finally a look at how hams around the world will be ringing in the year 2000 with on the air operating events. Some of these will last a month or more. Q-News Graham Kemp, V-K-4-B-B has the list and a lesson on the
word "millenium:"

Special events for Y2K. Interesting to note how correct John Aarsse VK4QA was at the start of 1999 in his column 73 on QNEWS. You'll recall how John pushed the fact that the new millenium did NOT start until January 2001
and it would be just a publicity and money making event in 2000 then we'd do it all again in 2001.

Yep over the past 5 weeks or so only 1 mention of the millenium for special events... rest are correct in celebrating the start of the 21st Century... as we've said... stay tuned for NEXT year!

Y21TA --The husband and wife team of TA3J and TA3YJ is operating until the
21st of January 2000 at 2121 UTC as YM21TA, a special event station to

celebrate the dawn of the twenty-first century. QSL is direct to TA3YJ or via the bureau.

MOLDOVA, ER. Special Event station ER2000A is active until January 20 to celebrate the new century. Please QSL via ER1DA.

HONG KONG, VR2. The Hong Kong Amateur Radio DX Association will sign VR2K until January 31 on all HF bands. QSL via VR2XRW.

ZL2OOO The month of January 2000 will be the last year and the close off date for applications will be June 30th, 2000. A reminder to anyone who has worked ZL2000 these past years and have forgotten to apply, can still d
o so, and remember..... only ONE contact with a ZL2OOO station please.

The cost of the Award is $5.00 Aust, and $10.US for overseas stations outside the Pacific Basin. No Stamps! No IRC's unless making an enquiry about the Award, and then ONLY 1 IRC please. Don't pay postage for someone else
!!)And no money orders that are not payable outside of your country. ZL2OOO Stations can be found on most HF Bands in January, phone and CW. Contact on Packet: ZL2RIC @ ZL2AA.#38.NZL.OC or preferably e-mail to ricol@xtra.co.nz

>From Q-News Australia, I&#65533;m Graham Kemp, VK4BB, for Newsline

---

Thanks Graham. And a happy and prosperous 2000 to you and your staff at Q-News. (Q-News)

**

CLOSE

With thanks to the A-R-R-L, the C-G-C Communicator, Daily D-X, the F-C-C, The Ohio Penn D-X Bulletin, the Radio Society of Great Britain, Spacenews and Australia&#65533;s Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline. Our
webpage is at www dot a r newsline dot org and our e-mail address is newsline at a r newsline dot o-r-g. Also, the address for the Newsline support Fund is Newsline, in care of Andy Jarema, N-6-T-C-Q, P.O.Box 660937, A
rcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF at the editor's desk, and for all of our reporters and producers here in the United States and around the world. I&#65533;m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, wishing you a great year 2000 and beyond.
Thanks for your continued support. And most important of all, we thank you for listening.

Newsline is copyright 1999 and 2000. All rights are reserved.

408. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <386f06dd.51114898@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 123530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Darrell Franks" <defranks@hctc.com> wrote:

>It's too late now, of course, but I wish that the FCC had two-tracked it.
>They could have had code and no-code (or 5wpm) tracks. Leave the CW portion
>of the Extra band for folks who can pass a 20wpm test. Leave the phone
>portions for folks who don't care about CW. The good news is, people who
>don't want to learn the code are still going to stay out of the code
>sub-bands.
>
>Darrell
>KC7TEN

Darrell,

Basically a sound idea but it runs counter to a really basic thread
that perminates the entire rulemaking - the FCC wants to make it as
easy as possible for them to administer ham radio. Your proposal runs
counter to that.

Now, I'll add my $0.02 to the whole discussion. I first got
interested in ham radio in 1958 at the age of 9 - got my first license
(Novice) in 1963; became a General in 1965. Built my own first rig
(transmitter was crystal controlled 6J6 oscillator and an 807 final,
receiver was a Knight-Kit regen receiver, later traded in for a
Hallicrafters SX-25, which I sure wish I had of kept). Had some good
times as a ham - even met my second wife via ham radio.

However, I lost interest in ham radio in the early 80s when I got
into computers and let my license lapse in 1983. Sold my last HF rig
(an SB-104A with all the goodies) in 1985 but still have, in the back
closet, my old 2 meter rig (a Motorola Metrum with the PLL exciter
board conversion kit). I've seen a lot of changes in ham radio, and I
think that, all in all, this R&O will be good for the hobby.

Regarding the code test, remember back in the old days when the code
test was one minute error free copying AND you also had to send one
minute error-free (AND you had to use a straight key - no "bugs" or
keyers)? We sure have come a long way to the multiple-guess code test
and no sending requirement! To many people the code requirement is a
real emotional issue - I'll admit it was for me for years. I'll go
along with the reduced speed requirement, though I wish they would
reinstate the direct copy instead of the multiple-guess.

To me, the more important aspect of ham radio is the technical knowlede of communications and how to apply that knowledge. To that end I'd like to see the tests upgraded with a closed question pool. Another poster mentioned that the tests are about a generation behind current thehnology - that should be rectified. The max. grid current on a 6146 was valid in 1970; far less so in 2000. Some basics are timeless, such as basic electron theory and antenna basics, also basic operating procedures. From my listening to the HF bands lately, that last item is in DIRE need of attention!

A friend of mine from Germany has gotten my interest in ham radio restarted, and I was planning to go up for a General sometime in early February - maybe now I'll hold off until April and go for the Extra on the first shot (lordie, in 1963 the Extra was like a shining light on a very far away hill for me).

I never thought I'd hear myself say this, since in the past I've always come down on the side of "quality VS quantity", but the bottom line is that what is going to save ham radio from the "spectrum vultures" like the HF broadcasters and another UPS with a hair-brained scheme is NUMBERS - that is what the politicians at the FCC respond to. The more of us there are, the harder it is for them to screw us (more hams=more hams that VOTE). Thanks goodness we do have a few hams in the FCC that can be a voice, but it still comes down to numbers. Something else that would help is to get more hams elected to office - many of you know what a champion Barry Goldwater (K7UGA) was of ham radio during his years in the Senate. We don't have a ham of his stature in national office presently.

Well, I've consumed more than my fair share of bandwidth, so I'll close with the following thought - - -

Look at this situation keeping in mind how the world IS, not how we'd like it to be. We have to deal in REALITY and in the present, not in the past.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

409. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John the Innocent! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <DR8c4.116$rH2.2539@news.rdc1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 123131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are admitting that yourself & your peers are unable to
pass the higher level amateur radio tests for upgrading until
after April when the easier upgrades are available.

Did you intend to make yourself & your peers look stupid & lazy?

I got my Extra class license several years ago the old fashioned way,
I EARNED IT & started taking CW tests at the FCC OFFICE
WHICH REQUIRED SOLID COPY and not multiple choice for a
passing grade!!!

"Tech+" <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.

410. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <729c4.2887$Vr.54629@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q wrote
>I submit it
>is virtually impossible to accurately predict HOW a specific incident
>would likely go down -

Normally you would be quite correct. But this little tit for tat was all about Cecil's original post (below). He said what *he* would do in *all* situations, and that is to die rather than be robbed (for principle). However he has since changed his tune, so the subject is closed (for me anyway)...

Cecil's death wish:
>> >most of the Texans I know, including
>> >myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed.
>> >It's the principle that matters.

411. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <829c4.2888$Vr.54629@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>What I clearly meant was that
>in *virtually* all cases, one has a better chance of *surviving* by
>fighting than by surrendering.

Is that what you *clearly* meant? It doesn't even resemble your original statement. OK, I'll accept your amendment/correction. Another defeat, huh Cecil? You ARE getting old...

Cecils original bravado:
>most of the Texans I know, including
>myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed.
>It's the principle that matters

412. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s72ag3m45k254@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:387115CA.3D802AF3@uci.edu...
> Victory going of course to the one who first declares victory, eh Carl?
>
> From the standpoint of the FCC declaring code to be absolutely
> unnecessary as a licensing requirement aside from the ITU requirement,
> and in view of NCI's declared basic premise (the one which prevents me
> from joining), the R&O could also be viewed as an abject failure for
> NCI.

HUH?????? We asked for T/G/E, 0/5/5 ... that's what we got.
I call that a victory. But please note that the subject line reads
"and ham radio in general," recognizing that the victor is not
*just* NCI's ... we merely "spearheaded" the movement.

We never expected to get more than this at this juncture.
There was no way the FCC or State Department would
go for "welshing" on the ITU Radio Regulations (S25.5).
However, when S25.5 goes the way of its cousin the
Dodo Bird at the WRC in a couple of years, I believe
you'll see the remaining 5wpm Morse test become extinct,
too. The ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping ANY
Morse testing now was the ITU obligation, which they
felt was "unwaiverable."

73,

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>
```

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHEpy5e+N6+q84HiEQI4FQCguaRxmaulsEdDztpqVUPFDsvaEfsAoLPc
pFMCutNOX64JUKhf+HteNceB
=N10B
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

413. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: WR4F <wr4f1@juno.com>
Message-ID: <gM9c4.1532$Lf2.58056@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 123135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

well i guess this has become welfare ham radio .... getting something for
nothing
Tech+ <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8zqqhf$jjAL$1@hotmail.com...
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.
>
> I'm going to be laughing in the faces of the Extras at our next club
> meeting too. After years of their "attitudes", it is going to be loads
> of fun watching them squirm. The more they whine, the more I will enjoy
> it. Listening to the whining on here has been quite satisfying too,
> because the elitists are getting what has been long, long overdue, and
> they can't stand it.
>
> Thank you FCC!!!

414. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s72a6hp5k2145@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:387115CA.3D802AF3@uci.edu...
> Victory going of course to the one who first declares victory, eh Carl?
>
> From the standpoint of the FCC declaring code to be absolutely
> unnecessary as a licensing requirement aside from the ITU requirement,
> and in view of NCI's declared basic premise (the one which prevents me
> from joining), the R&O could also be viewed as an abject failure for
> NCI.

HUH????

victory belongs instead to amateur radio, in my view.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

415. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.12daf854473d959c9897e0@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 123137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <387115CA.3D802AF3@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> Victory going of course to the one who first declares victory, eh Carl?
>
> From the standpoint of the FCC declaring code to be absolutely
> unnecessary as a licensing requirement aside from the ITU requirement,
> and in view of NCI's declared basic premise (the one which prevents me
> from joining), the R&O could also be viewed as an abject failure for
> NCI.
>
> The victory belongs instead to amateur radio, in my view.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>

But a victory does not necessarily mean the war is won and Carl's next
battlefield has already been prepared for him by sending out his little
love notes containing his prophetic or pathetic words as they pertain to
DX'ing and contesting and yakking. Aspects of amateur radio that
encompass far more people worldwide than in the US. It was stated many
times that Carls' words would come back and byte him in the ass, and from
what I have read so far, they are waiting for him to expose himself
internationally. Boy will this be great or what
--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

416. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Nmac4.1400$L3.29633@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thanks for the compliment but I _am_ talking amateur communications. I do
believe the geezer beepers are the ones taking themselves too seriously.
They are the ones claiming the world is coming to an end just because
something changed.

K0HB@arrl.org wrote in message <84qcea$v2p$1@news.netmar.com>...
>In a previous article, "Dave Stadt" <dhstadt@ameritech.net> writes:
>
>> Listen to aviation traffic if you want to know what
>> professional communications is all about.
>
>I think they call this Amateur Radio. It isn't about professional
>communications, nor should it be.
>
>Come back when you don't take yourself so damned seriously.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>Playing in the Ether
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
>made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

417. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sonspots@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103183635.21590.00000127@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 123139

YOU SOUND JUST LIKE JIMMY CARTER
NO GUTS!!!

418. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated UH...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7425-38713064-27@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123140

Reeldeal, It's fair to say I get off at the same place you do. We are both Americans exercising the First Amendment. As such, it is legitimate, indeed lofty, to post what is inside when it comes to the welfare of a newsgroup. And that is the limit of my efficacy, as it is, yours.

Speculating that by my post I'm promoting over the legal limit in power, is beyond that efficacy. In my view, you're introducing cheap calumny and invective to the lofty concept of redemption. I have always been law abiding and that applies to all aspects, including legal power, of ham radio.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

419. O.O.s WHERE ARE THEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sonspots@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103183852.21590.00000129@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 123141

ANYONE KNOW IF THE O.O.s STILL KICKING AROUND AND IF SO WHO WOULD BE LISTENING IN FLORIDA?

420. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <3871248D.28AF@rpi.edu>
Source record: 123142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
> >My first rig (built during my first incarnation as a
> >ham in 1972) was a modified ARC-5 on 40m with two 1625s,
>
> I bought one of those transmitters brand new in the box in 1957 for $6. I
> also had the matching BC-455 receiver. I built a transformerless selenium
> rectifier supply to fit on the back porch where the dynamotor was supposed
> to go. It was somewhat dangerous as you had to keep track of the power line
> ground, but heck, it worked. I used it for the Novice band. Later when I got
> my mail order Tech license (1958), I used it with an International Crystal
> converter to cover 6 meters. I did a lot of tube gear homebrewing too, but
> that doesn't count to be called a techie now days, and it sure wasn't rocket
> science then either...
>
> >However, I'm also on packet and APRS
> >(with commercial ICOM and Garmin gear, and I work a lot of local VHF/UHF
> >with modern stuff - I'm no technophobe or Luddite!)
>
> It doesn't take an rocket scientist to use commercial equipment to run
> the digital modes. Sorry professor, you haven't convinced me yet...

Aaron,

Perhaps we have different definitions of "rocket science"... In the present context, the term was meant to suggest that having a good understanding of the technical details of how the gear works is an important aspect of ham radio. I would say that anyone who has done "home brewing" has some feel for what I'm talking about. This is not to say that commercial gear users should be considered "lesser" in some way - I'm just in favor of keeping the technical know-how part of ham radio alive.

I'll be the first to admit that I haven't "advanced the radio art" with my soldering iron.... but I've maintained an important link with the history of our pastime. I don't think that many people are going to home-brew something that beats an FT1000D (or equivalent) in performance or features, but it's nice to be able to understand what's going on inside the box.

You asked about my station, so I told you about it...

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry

KC2EBP

421. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38713983.B268DAA6@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Doesn't matter how much you gloat, guy.
When you get your "Welfare Extra," you're forever
going to know you took a government charity handout-
That they earned it, and you didn't.

Do you get food stamps and SSI, too?
D.S.

422. "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38713CB0.4AFB9C39@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
ham licensing and reduction of code rate:

There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.

I propose that we old fossils who actually
worked for our tickets offically adopt the
terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
when refering to these snide people.
I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
and act like decent people- I mean specifically
the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
remind them they're "Welfare Extras."

73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

423. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <387144C7.2A89@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 123145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JM wrote:
>
> to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
> would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
> what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
> already. John N0EE
I did sent comments and I am quoted in the R&O. Martin D. Wade N5PZJ

424. Re: Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Frum <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3871362B.1BCC@nospam.com>
Source record: 123146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n0za wrote:
>
> All institutions seem to become more "liberal" with the
> passage of time. Why is this move so right now, and so un-
> thinkable in 1946, 1965, 1980 or whenever. I could have
> been licensed for 55 years with a lot less effort!!

n0za you ask a very interesting question! In this day of age of greater control of personal freedom may I posit one explanation for the changes to come. Presumeably, with restructuring (destructuring) it might ultimately make amateur radio so untenable for communications resulting from an overall lack of operating discipline that it might actually force an exodus to the INTERNET:

"It is pointed out by the organizers of the League that up to the advent of wireless telegraphy it was necessary to rely upon either a telephone or telegraph company of the Federal government, for transmitting intelligence from one part of the country to another. The coming of wireless telegraphy has made it possible for the private citizen to communicate across great distances without the aid of either the government or a corporation, so that the organization of the relay league actually marks the beginning of a new epoch in the interchange of information and the transmission of messages."

Quotation from July 1914, Popular Mechanics appearing in _200 Meters & Down_ by Clinton B. DeSoto, page 40, copyright 1936 (reprinted 1981) published by the ARRL.

It might make interesting conversation to speculate that anything to get communications into a mainstream digital network would make the task or monitoring and control of a population so much easier and efficient.

425. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Armond Noble <n6wr@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#972N#kV$GA.304@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 123147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yes, and today's high school graduates can laugh at those of the past who had to learn to read and write.
"Tech+" <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8zqqhf$jjAL$1@hotmail.com...
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.
>
> I'm going to be laughing in the faces of the Extras at our next club
> meeting too. After years of their "attitudes", it is going to be loads
> of fun watching them squirm. The more they whine, the more I will enjoy
> it. Listening to the whining on here has been quite satisfying too,
> because the elitists are getting what has been long, long overdue, and
> they can't stand it.
>
> Thank you FCC!!!

426. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <84rh7c$c74$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Avast ye swabys:

Yeah their choices were, become a CW op and attend comm school or slave in a stoke hole!

Peter AB4BC (former Petty Officer)

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:386E395C.2C2316ED@IEEE.org...
> AB7RS wrote:
> >
> > Talk to someone who taught code in the navy or somewhere and they will
> > tell you that ALL of their students made it to 20 WPM although some had
> > to take the 2 week course twice.
>
> Come on now - no washouts?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

427. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: MAsherin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1404-387145EB-28@storefull-248.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123149

Sonspots, Yes, President Jimmy Carter is quite the quintessence of character.

Yet I was dismayed recently when I learned he gave his tacit approval to continuing operation of the Shah of Iran's secret police while he was preaching human rights, quite a compromise of principles.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

428. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <38714B55.9468DF4F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

I Like It! Good going Dave!

David Stinson wrote:

> Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
> ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
> There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
> saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
> at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
> they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
> I propose that we old fossils who actually
> worked for our tickets offically adopt the
> terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
> when refering to these snide people.
> I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
> and act like decent people- I mean specifically
> the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
> horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
> remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
>
> 73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

429. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <bUbc4.1405$L3.30329@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

So lets see, if I study real hard I can end up like you? I do believe I
will think about that one for a while. I'm done thinking about it.

David Stinson wrote in message <38713CB0.4AFB9C39@ix.netcom.com>...
>Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
>ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
>There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
>saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
>at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
>they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
>I propose that we old fossils who actually
>worked for our tickets offically adopt the
>terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
>when refering to these snide people.
>I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
>and act like decent people- I mean specifically
>the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
>horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
>remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
>
>73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

430. Re: New Ham need etiquette information for 20 m and 40 m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38715065.BF369A8E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123152

> Jane2061 wrote:
>
> > Hello
> > I am a new ham radio operator just having received my general class license.Can
> > someone tell me what the procedure is for getting into a conversation. It seems
> > that when I am tuning the bands on 40 meters and 20 meters everyone is already
> > engaged in qsos.

<Insert Troll-O-Meter here>

> I dont know how to properly check into a net or do they frown
> > on newcomers. I keep listening and calling cq but no one responds. Am I doing
> > something wrong.

Yea, your posting bullshit trolls on Usenet, when you should be listening to how everyone else is checking into the net. Although I'll admit it's a good troll, you seem to have caught me.

> Also what frequencies do u stay clear of on 40 and 20 for

For you? I'd say steer clear of 7.025 to 7.1, 7.150 to 7.3 and 14.025 - 14.350. Those frequencys can be a bit challenging for a General who has never made any contacts on the air. It was so amazing after spending a 7 months as a Novice I knew how to work DX, check into nets, different contest exchanges, and how to RC with the A1 Ops. You should try that.

> > calling cq I know there is a dx net on 14.247 in the afternoon and I should not
> > call cq on there or I will get my head handed to me.

Yea that's because the frequency is occupied, did someone take your General test for you? Since you passed 13 wpm I can only assume you've made some contacts although your questions sound like you've never been on the air. In which case I suggest you go back to the Novice bands and learn. That's what they're there for, after you learn how not to call CQ on an occupied freq and how to check into a simple net then you can move up and talk to the big boys.

Tim ka8ddz

431. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38714DB6.AEEA3620@icss.net>
Source record: 123153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:

> Jeffrey Herman wrote
> >In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
> >owner be available on the web.
>
> That's an interesting idea. But it really sounds like an
> Internet war against gun owners. Exposing gun owners on
> the Internet would only be a form of harassment. Your
> recognition reason is nothing but an excuse. Be
> careful because war can work both ways. For example, what if
> someone happened to cross post this to some pro-gun newsgroups?
> I'll bet your mailbox would fill up real fast, or maybe
> you would even get a visit from some local folks. I think
> you will agree after reading this that Internet harassment
> is a bad idea no matter which side does it...

It would also identify the houses where it would be safe for hoodlums to burglarize and rape.

432. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3871503F.6D1FEE4F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Normally you would be quite correct. But this little tit for tat was all
> about Cecil's original post (below). He said what *he* would do in *all*
> situations, and that is to die rather than be robbed (for principle).

Please stop lying about what I said.

> >> >most of the Texans I know, including
> >> >myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed.

Translated so even Aaron can understand: Most of the Texans I know,
including myself, would rather risk death defending our property
than surrender to a robber who is likely to kill us anyway.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

433. Re: New Ham need etiquette information for 20 m and 40 m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <33F9DEEDC29C08F1.0C6D0C1928E1EF37.38D5B60CDB5CFE78@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 123155

Tim, you are a really, really mean person...

Tim wrote:
>
> > Jane2061 wrote:
> >
> > > Hello
> > > I am a new ham radio operator just having received my general class license.Can
> > > someone tell me what the procedure is for getting into a conversation. It seems
> > > that when I am tuning the bands on 40 meters and 20 meters everyone is already
> > > engaged in qsos.
>
> <Insert Troll-O-Meter here>
>
> > I dont know how to properly check into a net or do they frown
> > > on newcomers. I keep listening and calling cq but no one responds. Am I doing
> > > something wrong.
>
> Yea, your posting bullshit trolls on Usenet, when you should be listening to how
> everyone else is checking into the net. Although I'll admit it's a good troll, you
> seem to have caught me.
>
> > Also what frequencies do u stay clear of on 40 and 20 for
>
> For you? I'd say steer clear of 7.025 to 7.1, 7.150 to 7.3 and 14.025 - 14.350. Those
> frequencys can be a bit challenging for a General who has never made any contacts on
> the air. It was so amazing after spending a 7 months as a Novice I knew how to work
> DX, check into nets, different contest exchanges, and how to RC with the A1 Ops. You
> should try that.
>
> > > calling cq I know there is a dx net on 14.247 in the afternoon and I should not
> > > call cq on there or I will get my head handed to me.
>
> Yea that's because the frequency is occupied, did someone take your General test for
> you? Since you passed 13 wpm I can only assume you've made some contacts although your
> questions sound like you've never been on the air. In which case I suggest you go back
> to the Novice bands and learn. That's what they're there for, after you learn how not
> to call CQ on an occupied freq and how to check into a simple net then you can move up
> and talk to the big boys.
>
> Tim ka8ddz

434. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <xfcc4.2899$Vr.55316@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Curt M. Breneman wrote
>Perhaps we have different definitions of "rocket science"...

Probably so. A loose definition (to me) would be someone who is extremely knowledgeable and at the cutting edge of his field. Rocket scientists and brain surgeons are often used as the examples in these often used phrases. I think you will agree that most hams don't compare to either brain surgeons or rocket scientists (my first point). And further that it is unrealistic to think that this hobby (and the average ham) will ever be in that league (my second point).

My only purpose in asking about your station was to determine if you qualify for rocket scientist ham status. I figured it likely that you were no rocket scientist, but rather an average ham like most of the rest of us. Was I wrong??

435. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38714EB9.CABAEFBE@icss.net>
Source record: 123157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson wrote:

> 73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

Dave, I certainly hope that you have more than 20 words in your vocabulary.

436. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <387150E0.56D6E541@icss.net>
Source record: 123158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

JJ wrote:

> David Stinson wrote:
> > I propose that we old fossils who actually
> > worked for our tickets offically adopt the
> > terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
> > when refering to these snide people.
> > I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
> > and act like decent people- I mean specifically
> > the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
> > horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
> > remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
> >
> > 73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976
>
> Unfortunately this is going to make it easier for more of the cb types
> with the in-bred cb mentality to have accesss to the amateur bands.

Personal opinion: the folks using "CB-plusser" and "welfare general" are
the inbred types.

437. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38714E3E.B34D5428@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >What I clearly meant was that
> >in *virtually* all cases, one has a better chance of *surviving* by
> >fighting than by surrendering.
>
> Is that what you *clearly* meant? It doesn't even resemble your original
> statement.

Like my 5 year old nephew, I need to keep things simple for you.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

438. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38715119.58E154FB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
> > the R&O could also be viewed as an abject failure for NCI.
>
> HUH?????? We asked for T/G/E, 0/5/5 ... that's what we got.
> I call that a victory.

Jim's right, Carl. The R&O could also be viewed as an abject
failure for NCI (by a delusional person). :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

439. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38715287.907BF19D@icss.net>
Source record: 123161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Armond Noble wrote:

> Yes, and today's high school graduates can laugh at those of the past who
> had to learn to read and write.

Armond, shame on you. I certainly hope that "techplus" doesn't go and make an ass of himself (I mean beyond here). 73, Brian

440. hey Spammers quit already keep it off the tech groups Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TGiro <agiroux@cvn.net>
Message-ID: <387155DC.1B1EBBFD@cvn.net>
Source record: 123162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob Lewis wrote:

> > Most hams are so disagreeable that young people don't
> > want to be bothered. I got my first ticket about 12 years
> > ago, went to the local club, found mostly retired people who
> > did not not even want to talk to anyone under 65. So I keep
> > my ticket and don't use it.
> >
> Now my experience in the Detroit area in the late 50's early 60's was
> totally different. The local 6 meter club had a number of hams who
> seemed eager to help someone in their teens get on the air. They gave
> technical assistance, sold equipment for whatever I could afford to
> pay, and even loaned stuff when there was no money available. On the
> air, I felt like I was always treated as an equal even though I was a
> teen and the rest were older.

441. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <IDcc4.2902$Vr.55433@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>Please stop lying about what I said.

Gosh Cecil, it sounds like you're taking this serious...

442. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38715980.A94459F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >Please stop lying about what I said.
>
> Gosh Cecil, it sounds like you're taking this serious...

It's a pretty simple request and I did say please.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

443. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3871576F.DA3B448A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John the Innocent! wrote:
> I got my Extra class license several years ago the old fashioned way,
> I EARNED IT & started taking CW tests at the FCC OFFICE
> WHICH REQUIRED SOLID COPY and not multiple choice for a
> passing grade!!!

That and a buck fifty will get you a cup of coffee.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

444. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Ostman <w0nyq@minntaccdp.com>
Message-ID: <84rmon$1u94$1@news1.spacestar.net>
Source record: 123166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K0HB wrote in message <84r376ptp1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>,
> nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
>> I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
>> regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>
>Let's go back to the days when your call sign said ABSOLUTELY NOTHING
>about your class or longevity! All this slicing and dicing and
>segregation and pecking-order-mentality based on perceived rank and
>seniority belongs in the Army, not in Amateur Radio.
>
>I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
>QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
>with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
>creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>dit dit
>
> Not too concerned about callsigns?? . . .Gee - kind of a hypocritical
comment from one who obviously took advantage of "the system" to get a
callsign of choice.

73, Ron, W0NYQ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

445. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <84rn02ejt1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What about those ten year vanity license fees of $14.

Sure we can use up our old supply of 1000 QSL cards in six months, that's
one way to get folks back on the bands.

But... Do you propose that the FCC give back the $14 vanity fees?

Peter AB4BC

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:84r376ptp1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>,
> nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
> > I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> > regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>
> Let's go back to the days when your call sign said ABSOLUTELY NOTHING
> about your class or longevity! All this slicing and dicing and
> segregation and pecking-order-mentality based on perceived rank and
> seniority belongs in the Army, not in Amateur Radio.
>
> I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
> QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
> with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
> creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> dit dit
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

446. Re: AC5IU THE PEE WEE HERMAN OF HAM RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: lefty <wyattNOwySPAM@kfalls.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <00844d40.05606b01@usw-ex0101-002.remarq.com>
Source record: 123168

I often wonder how old this AC5IU is. His voice and reparte sounds like
a 17 to 18 year old flash! If he had something germane to the
conversation, it might add something, but alas and alack.....
Maybe someone in his neighborhood can take him under their wing and
take him to some cat house and get his mind in order. What a loser!!!

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

447. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s72obfm25k214@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123169

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10001040224170.8376-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On 3 Jan 2000, Robert Casey wrote:
> > In article <HEUb4.4399$S%2.24851@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> > RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you> wrote:
> > >I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
> > >license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test &
after
> > >April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I
missing
> > >something?
> > >
> > That's about it, as I understand it. If you got your tech+ before 1987,
> > you would be credited for the General theory, but you'll need to show
> > them the expired license from when you first got it. Or a callbook
> > entry with your call and name.
>
> The conversion rules call for the pre-'87 license ONLY. The callbook
won't
cut
> it.

In the past, old callbook listings have been accepted by the FCC as
proof of former licensure at a particular time/grade.

I have asked Bill Cross of the FCC for a clarification if this practice
will continue to be acceptable. When I receive a reply I'll post it here.

73,
- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
```

Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHFhNZe+N6+q84HiEQLgeQCcD3Mv4PEMtQuSr6i0vz+O2bojXyIAnjhI
xWobcgcwGjiokAzR9nXj4umZ
=1FYF
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

448. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387178E7.55F7B45C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123170

Advanced Class Minnesota Murphy wrote:
<edit for brevity>

> I am having fun getting flamed from these serious hams,especially
> liked the last one, from Tim ka8ddz, who incidentally is only a
> General.

I'm not very serious at all. I'm just wondering why you think amateurs should be so quick to offer modification information to someone who we (as a fraternity) don't know whether is licensed or not. Additionally whether your a ham or not the use of the gear there IS illegal if you transmit, is it that big of a deal if you as an amateur want to run 10w or so on the CB bands, probably not. It's the way you asked the question and the flaming comments about the hobby that soon followed that got you a non response for the mod, and the comments that you received. As far as ONLY being a general, there are many on this ng who are much much more technically competent than I, however based on the tone of your posts I'm sure I'd smoke you if it came to building a receiver or transmitter. By the way I think CB is great I tune 27.185 on my DX-70 when traveling.

> I believe the the Family radio freqs. are 476.MHz or something like
> that.
> Didn't those also come from amateur bands?
> If I am wrong I am sure someone will point it out.

I'll be happy to, no they did not come from the amateur bands, around 1945 amateurs had access to anything above 300 MHz sometime between then and 1950 (Referencing Handbooks from those years) 420 to 450 MHz was designated as a UHF amateur band and that's where it's been since then (with some possible changes to the low end) The GMRS simplex freqs are 462.5625, 462.5875, 462.6125, 462.6375, 462.6625, 462.6875 and 462.7125 MHz. These channels are shared with the Family Radio Service. We haven't lost anything to them.

> And about the old hams not saying hello,
> I am very outgoing at hamfests and meetings,I am a truck driver who
> is not scared to say his opinion or share and idea.
> Most drivers I know are fantastic at communication and are BS artists
> to boot. You have to be , to deal with dispatchers , receivers, public, law
> enforcement on all levels.

Well that's good for you, but comments like "Look at your group of people, tell me I'm wrong about death of ham.
It's on the horizon, you can see it." make me wonder why your complaining, doesn't sound to me like you care much about the future of the hobby if you're making comments like this. On the one hand you complain what prudes the old timers are and

then on the other you ask how to modify ham gear to CB??

> Here is a question for you,Tim:
>
> What are the main blocks of a phase-locked loop frequency synthesizer?

I dunno, I remember breaking apart LM565's trying to see what was inside but I could never find any main blocks just tiny chips of silicon. I am currently working on a tool that will let you remove the main blocks and replace them with smaller more efficient ones without breaking the silicon.

> I only put this to prove I am an Advance operator. Yes, I do know I
> could have copied it. But if I was a cb'r why would I even know where
> to find the question?

A call sign would prove your a licensed operator, everything else is Usenet babble.

> I know the answer to this question, cause I was tested on it.
> If you know it, or even how to look it up, you should be moving to
> upgrade your license.
> The next time I ask a simple question about mods, try to at least give
> a civil response if you know they exist or if they don't .
> Don't tell me the right or wrong of it.
> I will take care of the legal part of it, That's what Ham is about.
> Experimentation!

1. As an Advanced class operator you should by now be sensitive to the relationship between amateurs and CB operators operating high power or out of band above 28 MHz.
2. My point, which you seemed to entirely miss, was that adding a call sign and stating your intentions would have generated a thread of radically different responses than what you received. I notice to date no one has provided the information. I am not willing to share illegal mod information with non hams since I don't support what's happening at the low end of 10m or CB'ers running 100w with no knowledge of proper filtering or the interest of even trying to run it clean. Do you think that the hams on this ng are out of line by not offering this information?

Amateurs experimenting and modifying equipment is part of the heart and soul of the hobby, but that's why you were issued a call sign, since you choose to remain anonymous then expect to get the same answer that the rest of the CB'ers get who come here looking for help to modify rigs to operate illegally in the CB bands. Get it?

Tim ka8ddz

449. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38716A20.9ACE4C70@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

It's pretty sad that some people pop-off about
a post when they haven't actually *read* it....
Let me spell it out *again*-

I'm all for newbies.
I don't have a problem with people getting tickets
the new way. I even *support* some of these changes.
God knows we've got to have the new blood.

I DO have a problem with the "HA! I got mine
and I didn't have to do that CW crap, so go eat it!"
attitude I've heard expressed several times by
some nasty little buggers I call "CB-plus."
For those guys, I recommend the "Welfare Extra"
zinger be ready.

Now, if only the detractors will read -this- one
(fat chance...sigh!).

Dave S.

450. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Bell <bellfamily@supernet.com>
Message-ID: <38716b4f@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 123172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson wrote in message <38713CB0.4AFB9C39@ix.netcom.com>...

>I propose that we old fossils who actually
>worked for our tickets offically adopt the
>terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
>when refering to these snide people.

You can, or course, do as you wish. On the other hand, us "old fossils" can act with a bit of "class" and NOT act like those we disapprove of. If "they" are the future of amateur radio, let "us" show the world what amateur radio "was", and perhaps the folly of dumbing down will not be lost.

>
>73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

73 DE Mark K3ZX (Airville PA) a "20-word Extra" since 1977

451. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <38715F75.70AF@rpi.edu>
Source record: 123173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Curt M. Breneman wrote
> >Perhaps we have different definitions of "rocket science"...
>
> Probably so. A loose definition (to me) would be someone who is extremely
> knowledgeable and at the cutting edge of his field. Rocket scientists and
> brain surgeons are often used as the examples in these often used phrases. I
> think you will agree that most hams don't compare to either brain surgeons
> or rocket scientists (my first point). And further that it is unrealistic to
> think that this hobby (and the average ham) will ever be in that league
> (my second point).
>
> My only purpose in asking about your station was to determine if you qualify
> for rocket scientist ham status. I figured it likely that you were no rocket
> scientist, but rather an average ham like most of the rest of us. Was I
> wrong??

Aaron,

No, I guess I'm not a "rocket scientist ham" by your definition - just a
normal one who thinks there should be an element of technical expertise
retained in the hobby. I don't think that it should be allowed to
evolve into a hybrid of FRS (VHF/UHF appliance operators) and CB (an
example of a degenerated HF band). So, while ham radio may not be
"rocket science" by your definition of the term, its participants should
be encouraged to immerse themselves in the technology, not just the
jabber.

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

452. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38717BE8.F293072D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

�

Tech+ wrote:

> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP.� After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded.� Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.

So what your saying is you were too incompetent to learn 20 wpm and now that the standards have been lowered you get to join the fun on HF. Yea I'd sure gloat about that. When you goat to the extra class farts about your great accomplishment make sure you gloat your callsign proudly for all to see, it's AN0ON isn't it?

Tim ka8ddz
�

453. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Giuliani <robtg@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <84rsfbamp1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What a useless idea. As if we need more things to change at this time in
ham radios delicate future.

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:84r376ptp1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>,
> nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
> > I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> > regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>
> Let's go back to the days when your call sign said ABSOLUTELY NOTHING
> about your class or longevity! All this slicing and dicing and
> segregation and pecking-order-mentality based on perceived rank and
> seniority belongs in the Army, not in Amateur Radio.
>
> I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
> QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
> with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
> creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> dit dit
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

454. Re: K4DOH NEW YEAR MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387173F0.1C22C02A@mindspring.com>
Source record: 123176

"Orkney!" was cut from the SUNY-Stony Brook basketball team for writing
in part:
>
> Unclaimed Mysteries wrote in message <38702749.C11972DE@mindspring.com>...
> >Hello and Greetings from the Unclaimed Temple of the True Sons of Yeti
> >Dobbs, Resurrected.
>
> How do I suspect a ham raydeo Op wrote what is to follow...
>
> >I hope this message finds everyone in trembling awe of the task ahead.
> >That is, to screw up ALL FOUR DIGITS when signing your checks until at
> >least the middle of February.
>
> For the dotering old farts on 40 and 20 Meters, give em till July at
> minimum. (...and as for 3.853, don't worry, that bunch still uses corn-
> cobs for toilet paper!)

Whoosh.

> >While many of you are celebrating the recent arrival of the Millennium,
> >or anticipating the arrival of the New Improved Millennium in 2001,
> >Sinister Alien Forces are even now planning the utter and complete
> >takeover of the planet.
>
> Heard a bunchof the KKK/Militia sloopheads on 3.950 talkin
> about this last night. Now I know how and why these knucklheads
> on 3.950 bought heavy into gold coins and survival foods for Y2K.
> What a pack of racist, moronic, ripple-foreheaded,
> penguin shit eating cockmasters these 3.950 numb-nutz are !! BAAA Ha Ha HAWWW!!
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
How dare you insult Linux! You're gonna PAY now!!!!!

> If the greasy food these tarheels eat don't kill em first!
> Went to Atlanta once. After getting over the smell, I could
> not believe how many foods were available dipped in batter and
> deep fried in lard. No wonder KFC & Chick-a-fill makes megabucks down there!
> This is why the Southeastern US is called "stroke alley"
> in the Physicians guild of generic terms...

What color is the sky on your world?
--
It Came from C. L. Smith's "Batter Dipp'd" Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating four years as part of the problem with the Internet.

455. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10001032352240.28467-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 123177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Why should an amature licensee have to derive Maxwell's equations? I seriosly

Agreed, why should an amature (immature being a synonym) derive anything?

Now, how about a radio amateur?

456. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <38718471.B8704730@aznet.net>
Source record: 123178

MailfrmPA wrote:
>
> IMHO, all the call for "mods," "freeband conversion," "opening for
> fire/police/whatever" is a sign of the new CB-Plus operators, who come to ham
> radio with a basic disregard for the rules, regs, and self-policing heritage of
> Amateur Radio. Instead, they carry the Outlaw mentality they learned on CB,

I want to be a ham. I've never been on CB, what is it like?

> where they accepted illegal power, illegal frequencies, and illegal equipment.
> As I heard some truckers hauling gravel explain on 28.445 MHz, "I bought this

Isn't that 27.445 mhz instead?

>
> Kï¿½MB

457. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Mitchell <REMkf7vyOVE@amsatDELETE.orgXYZ>
Message-ID: <zofc4.3139$V2.32387@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 123179

NCI will continue to work on future WRC/ITU regulatory changes and on
telegraphic proficiency issues in other countries.

Ed, KF7VY
http://hamradio-online.com

Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3870EEB8.2F56E0DF@worldnet.att.net...
> Not a flame, I'm curious what will happen with NCI will they now push
> for us to leave the ITU and grant HF privileges without any code or are
> you satisfied with 5 wpm?
>
> Tim ka8ddz
>

458. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <38718481.2DE0D0D7@inreach.com>
Source record: 123180

You shouldnt generalize like that, and take a look at who is actualyl calling for these mods. They are typically not the new hams but the established higher class hams, not 'new cb-pluss operators', who arent even here yet. This supposed group wont even show up until after April 15. People like you and others who are doing all this complaining remind me of a nazi propogandist who knows that when the truth doesnt fit the dogma, throw up smokescreens to confuse. This stuff is realy pathetic and misplaced. A small portion of american hams have really embarrassed themselves in the eyes of the rest of the world I am sure.
kc6ufe

MailfrmPA wrote:
>
> IMHO, all the call for "mods," "freeband conversion," "opening for
> fire/police/whatever" is a sign of the new CB-Plus operators, who come to ham
> radio with a basic disregard for the rules, regs, and self-policing heritage of
> Amateur Radio. Instead, they carry the Outlaw mentality they learned on CB,
> where they accepted illegal power, illegal frequencies, and illegal equipment.
> As I heard some truckers hauling gravel explain on 28.445 MHz, "I bought this
> radio, and I intend to use it." Never mind that its illegal, they just don't
> care. I don't think they can see the analogy that, even though they have a car
> that will go 150 mph, they are still required to obey the speed limit! Hell,
> who am I kidding, we all know they don't obey the speed limits! Or, just
> because they bought a gun doesn't mean they can shot when, where, and whatever
> they please!
>
> This bandit mentality, in which they take pride, does not fit the historical
> character of Ham Radio. These folks remind me of the "boz in the hood," who
> paint graffiti all over the public housing project, and then complain about the
> lousy housing the have! Get ready to watch them trash YOUR hobby.
>
> Oh - I'm not embarassed to own up to my opinions-
>
> Kï¿½MB

459. Re: How about a new HF mode: digital voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Mitchell <REMkf7vyOVE@amsatDELETE.orgXYZ>
Message-ID: <Bofc4.3140$V2.32387@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 123181

HF digital voice has already been done. At the Pacific NW Division Convention in 1999, audio tapes were played demonstrating actual HF digital voice QSOs that had taken place and which fit in about 2.3 khz of spectrum. The signals were modulated digitally - that means using DSPs to create the waveforms, with very sharp drop offs at the band edges. Spectral displays were show so we could see the result.

There are some URLs out there for internet sites describing the experiments. Unfortunately, I do not have them handy but you might just search for HF digital voice and see what you find.

73, Ed, KF7VY
publisher, http://hamradio-online.com

Robert Casey <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:84p80viag1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net...
> How about a new HF mode: digital voice. Voice would be telephone
> quality (300-3000Hz), maybe 7 bits deep, then compressed. And then
> use methods similar to what NASA/JPL uses to communicate with deep
> space probes, maybe work the world with 1 watt. Make it so other
> modes only precieve a bit more white noise when interference happens.
>
> Don't know if we could get it to work, so change something in the
> above to do it.

460. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <lHfc4.2959$Vr.56137@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
>one who thinks there should be an element of technical expertise
>retained in the hobby.

I hope you don't consider your tube experience to be technical expertise...

>I don't think that it should be allowed to
>evolve into a hybrid of FRS and CB

As long as the operation is legal, what right do you have to say what verbal content is *allowed* on the bands? Are you the thought police??

>and (VHF/UHF appliance operators)

More control on what other hams can and can't use? Whoa professor, what country are you posting from? BTW didn't you say that you were a digital VHF appliance operator...

461. W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84rs1onsc1@news.laserlink.net>
Source record: 123183

Stumpjumper #19, W2KP, is looking into
rule violations on 3898. The 3898 Thugs
better clean up their act pronto! Reliable
sources tell us that Ed has the unlisted number
for Riley Holllingsworth's desk phone and
files daily reports with Riley.

462. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <387167F6.23D7@silverstar.com>
Source record: 123184
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No brotherly love in that body, 49 years of hamming and still an
infidel.

THAT'S his point!

> AB7RS wrote:
> > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
>
> Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> i.e. 49 years.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

463. Re: Daiwa Rotators Can Kill !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <84p7l7$3nb$1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 123185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In rec.radio.amateur.homebrew Andrew <g8uaq@jrw.co.uk> wrote:
> from the equipment left me feeling somewhat shaken. Further =
> investigation revealed no fault with the amplifier, but the rotator =
> controller had a dead short between the case metalwork and the mains =
> live connection, even when turned off !!

It sounds like an earth leakage detector (or safety switch) would
be a good investment at your QTH! They detect current in the earth
wiring and if any is detected, they trip and disconnect the active wire.
This assumes that the metal case of your rotator controller is earthed
(3 pin mains connector).

Hamish VK3SB
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

464. "Once Upon a Time ..."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14054-3870C4F6-23@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123231

Once and for all, let's correct the false Civil War proposition:
Northerners were the good folks; Southerners, the bad.

(Please be advised that I am not a racist; in fact I am a civil rights' advocate.) Given the fact that the newly formed federal Republic was silent on legal authority for secession, the Civil War doesn't come down to simple analysis.

As the "radical Republicans" came to power with the election of Abraham Lincoln as President, Southerners became understandably alarmed that the Federal government would usurp their State's rights to govern themselves. They came to believe that because they had entered the Union of their own volition, they could leave that way.

Slavery per se wasn't an issue at first, as the Fugitive Slave Act called for the North to return all escaped slaves. Lincoln said on more than one occasion that he would bend any way on slavery to preserve the Union. Even the Emancipation Proclamation did not fulfill its billing: It freed virtually no slaves, designed instead to keep Europe from intervening on behalf of the South and to open the door to conscription of blacks.

During certain Northern occupations, there was arguably as much or more mistreatment of blacks than was meted out by the South. Slaves not only became a decisive factor in fighting for the North (100,000 fought for the Union), but a much smaller contingent, believe it or not, fought for the South, some of whom picked up arms voluntarily.

Here in Florida a never-determined number of slaves were ready to give any Union soldiers, black or white, "the gun."
While slaves enlisted in droves in Jacksonville, some were indeed content with the plantation system, fearing the uncertainty of freedom. Of course, the horror of the auction blocks still flashes to mind.

Some Florida descendants of the plantation system bristle at media treatment of their cause, as they consider themselves equivalently just. They contend that the plantation system was doomed anyway, and time would have cleansed as a matter of course what Lincoln accomplished with the blood of America on his hands. Floridians fought to protect their land from Northern invasion, where half of its property value (excluding slaves) was lost in the War.

The true facts are no simple matter to explain while appearing to be a fair minded. But we should make the effort to disseminate real history, not fiction.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

465. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3870CDB2.A446F7F6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> "Fundamental purpose," Brian. Accept it. It's the reason for our
> existance.

Fortunately, that "Fundamental Purpose" is NOT the preservation of
Morse code. The FCC says so. Accept it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

466. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Message-ID: <84qcea$v2p$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 123233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, "Dave Stadt" <dhstadt@ameritech.net> writes:

> Listen to aviation traffic if you want to know what
> professional communications is all about.

I think they call this Amateur Radio. It isn't about professional
communications, nor should it be.

Come back when you don't take yourself so damned seriously.

73, Hans, K0HB
Playing in the Ether

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

467. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wstevenson@acsd.k12.sc.us
Message-ID: <3870ce1e.11937094@news5.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 123234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thank you! Somebody finally made sense of this!
N4AHS

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 20:44:11 -0500, "blueglow"
<blueglow@mindspring.com> wrote:

>A great singer once wrote 'these times are a changin'.
>I think it is wonderful !!! Something needed to happen to keep the average
>age of the ham operator under 65. Any change is better than no change. 'No
>change' just gets old and goes away.
>People's lives are faster, busier, more complicated than ever. People's
>attention span is about a day on any hobby. A hobby where it takes months
>of studying to achieve something is not going to last anywhere in this world
>today....
>
>I'm not saying I agree with all this but let's face it, it is the way it is.
>Just think how much time you spend on the internet now that used to be on
>the airwaves. Everyone is guilty. We just need to deal with it and be
>happy.
>
>Forget the call sign worries. Be glad to be a ham.
>
>Blueglow
>KG4FDR
>
>
>
>
>

468. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3870CD20.75E864DD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JJ wrote:
> That is about the dumbest statement I have ever heard. You obviously
> don't know very many Texans, as a Texan myself, most of the ones I know
> have more brains than that. A lot of good your principles do you if your
> dead.

You must have read that wrong. I don't plan to die *and* I don't plan to
give up my possessions. I am simply willing to take the risk that I can
disarm a criminal or, if worse comes to worse, kill him before he kills
me. There's a good chance I will die anyway if I give in to a criminal.

You seem like one of those, "Better Red Than Dead", people. Guess we
can't count on you to risk your life defending our country, eh?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

469. Re: International Law and the FCC (was: restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84qiek$l4u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123236

Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:
>The FCC *could* have, with the concurrance of the State Department,
>which would have been unlikely, IMHO, "taken an exception" to the
>provisions of S25.5 of the ITU Radio Regulations (as Japan did years
>ago, with no international outcry or repercussions).

That's because the JA hams can rarely be heard internationally --
their Third Class code-free HF license limits them to less power than
our CBers, not to mention the limited HF frequencies available to such
licensees.

>I fully expect that at that WRC, the nations of the world will retire
>S25.5, eliminating the ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping
>ANY Morse testing at this time.

We'll begin a new letter writing campaign to make sure that doesn't
happen.

Jeff KH6O/6

470. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17044-3870CA52-32@storefull-136.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123237

Ironic. If Rich was a right-wing Republican, HE would be the one with the "closed mind" because of his racism, and banned from ham radio for life and NEVER forgiven. But because he is a Clinton-supporting Democrat, he is suppose to be forgiven.

I'm SO sick of the hypocrisy! Sin is sin, wrong is wrong, racism is racism.

(Drew)

471. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84qs6okis1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123238

In article <20000102203000.18489.00001232@ng-bg1.aol.com>,
radiosigs@aol.com (Radiosigs) wrote:
> << Subject: Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE
> From: KC8JBO kc8jbo@my-deja.com
> Date: Sun, 02 January 2000 12:15 PM EST
> Message-id: <84o07e$tv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
> AB4D wrote in message <20000101234716.28443.00000561@ng-
fz1.aol.com>...
> >>Subject: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE
> >>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
> >>
> >> We can fight back and re-claim everything that has been taken away
> >> from us.
> >
> >What exactly has been taken away from you ?
>
> Racist Lloyd wants to own slaves. He thinks it makes him a man.
> The South didn't have the infrastructure to needed to win in the Civil
> War. Lloyd's archaic 19th century mindset is quite unAmerican. Lloyd
> was born many years after the Confederate Staes of America ceased to
> exist. It's amazing, that here we are, in the 21st century and some
> people haven't learned a thing.
>
> >> For many years now, old gas-bag extra class hams have kept us no->>
> coders from having to get on HF. We will use to >>our advantage.?????
>
> No, Lloyd, your STUPIDITY has kept you from the HF bands.
>
> >Lloyd, I want you to read your statement and explain it to the group
> >because it appears that you must of been distracted, or you didn't
get
> >that much needed grammar book for christmas.
> >
> >I read your statement and the way it looks to me is that you are
> saying >that because there are extra class hams all the no-code hams
> didn't >have to get on HF????? and the last sentence of your
paragraph
> >make no sense????
>
> Typical Daviespeak.
>
> >Lloyd, you post messages to the group and you know the group is
>going

> to respond. You could at least bring your grammar up to the >sixth
> grade level and make your point of view clear.
>
> LOL!
>
> >>I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
> this >>next Millennium. For the prize is about to be won.
> >>
> >What prize, the last time I drove through the south (Alabama,
> >Mississippi, and Louisiana) it looked pretty poor, if the south is
the
> big >prize you can have my share.
>
> Llyod and his ilk wish to start an second Dark Ages.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
> <<The way I see it is that if educated blacks take over state
governments in
> Alabama, Mississipi e.g. and make Lloyd Davies and his ilk slaves,
perhaps then
> the south will rise again.>>
>
> Doc, A F 2 Y
> Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
> efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free
via the
> Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
> never do better than write that letter!
>
>
Doc,
Llloooyd is NOT A SON OF THE SOUTH. No true Southerner believes this
crap he is putting out. He is from MISSOURI. Or should that be
spelled Misery?. I have lived here for over 20 years. If I thought
for a minute the south was the way this fool wants to portray it, do
you think I would have stayed? Hell no. Lloyd is a fool, simple as
that.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

472. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <38709DDF.26B8F7BC@sgi.net>
Source record: 123239

Sir, NO question silly when the person asking it doesn't know the answer. And who is this "WE" should be making theory harder?

Piero Sassu wrote:

> IF you go to the FCC website or pick up a copy of the latest revision, you
> wouldn't have to ask that silly question. Another reason instead of getting rid
> of code we should be making theory harder!
>

473. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <38709CBC.ADC49ECB@sgi.net>
Source record: 123240

Congratulations Murphy for standing up for your/our rights to speak out.

As for the radio info you requested. May I suggest taking that one back to RS and buying the (I think) Ranger XXXX model. It works both 10 & 11 meters with no modifications. Sorry, there are really I believe two manufacturers that make radios that handle both 10 & 11 meters. Check out the CB newsgroup and ask one of those guys. Believe me, they have the answers and you will NOT get any bull shit like you received here. I'm an old time ham (since 1974) and I believe that laws were made to be bent or most experiments would have never produced what we have in this field today.

Maybe we can chat on 10 as you pass my area in your semi. Heck, i'll even chat on 11 with you.

Minnesota Murphy wrote:

> Look at your group of people, tell me I'm wrong about death of ham.
> It's on the horizon, you can see it.
> Your gonna lose the freqs. to more Family radio,cell, fax.
> What about the modifications to the two meters that is in violation,
> for transmit below and above two meter band.
> or youve never done that?
> Don't play in the water if you want to stay dry.
> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
>

474. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <QC4c4.3469$Ec5.181691@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Maybe his poodle sends better code than him!

Mike

Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.12d5b05dfacd46479896c6@news.gte.net...
> In article <386BFB7C.3D93@imt.net>, tglenn@imt.net says...
> > I am an ARRL member and I think it sucks,on the bright side now my
> > poodle can get a license.
> >
> And if you can get your poodle to send 5wpm intelligibly then I'll be
> happy to QSO him/her.
>
> -- file://Steve//
>
> Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Home page: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs
> Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messaging: "stevesilverwood"
> Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net <-- [note new email address]
>

475. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <38709EA9.24121183@sgi.net>
Source record: 123242

Randy, I can appreciate your question but how many times are you going to post the same question? Are you going to respond to the people that have answered your question from your "first" posting. Don't be "LID", it's no becoming.

RandyFlagg wrote:

> I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
> license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after
> April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing
> something?
>
> Thanks!

476. I THIKK ZQ WOULD TAKE ONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84qt40$lc5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123243

AFTER SEEING HIS PIC ON THE NET I THINK ZQ WOULD TAKE ONE!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

477. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3870EAF1.9DA13EBB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123244

Minnesota Murphy wrote:

> What I see for the future of hams is the old hams dying off ,

Yes, I have suspected this for some time but I am now realizing that hams are not immortal, that guy who got me interested in this hobby sure sold me down the river with all that "live forever" talk.

> frequencies being sold off or taken away because they are stuck in
> there own regulatory click.(Family Radio ring a bell?)

What does FRS have to do with ham radio? and what frequencys have been taken away other than a small portion of 220?

> Why would a young person today go in to ham radio. No reason what so
> ever. They got the internet.

Why did you get into it?

> I got my license thru hard work, and on my own. The hams around here
> had their heads stuck up there asses so far that RFdoesn't exist .

Hard work eh? Yea, the rest of us just picked ours off a tree.

> I only wanted to have a 11 meter radio to conserve space , and you
> think I'm a pirate. I thought that this hobby (almost dead hobby) was
> for experimentation. Don't you think that there is a way to keep your
> 11 meter radio in legal range? I do.But the attitude is that I would
> use high power to talk skip.(that's cb lingo).

That's because you sign anonymously with no call sign, had you posted that you wanted to modify the rig for CB reception while travelling or something legit and added a call sign the replys would have been radically different. Do you think it's wrong that hams don't wish to divulge modification information to a non ham? I don't. BTW if you are a ham and have any knowlege whatsoever about using a search engine you would already be transmitting on 27.185 by now.

> Maybe I'll just wait for you to die off so I can get your al mode
> portable cheap from your families. Your kids sure aren't gonna know
> what to do with it.

Wrong, my oldest son has been licensed for a couple years, my 8 and 10 year olds are on thier way. Don't worry they'll know.

> All I did was ask a simple question and I got one good answer and the
> rest was hate and ridicule.

That's because you asked the question the wrong way. As a licensed ham you should have known better than to ask for a 10m mod to CB without explaining your intentions.

> My responsibility is to promote the hobby,
> but why should I try.

You need to ask yourself that question. I do it because I enjoy the benifits of the hobby and want to share, what on earth do "old hams" have to do with that?

> The old hams don't even say hello when you are at a ham fest or a club
> meeting.

Your right. So are you going to follow suite or let the changes start with you?

> Does the Radio Shack near you have the information about your club?

Nope, they don't have any info on local CB clubs either even though they sell a whole lot more of those types of radios.

> I
> bet it don't. Where else are kids gonna find out how to start.
> Not from existing hams.
> And why is that. Did you take it upon your self to give them the info?

You seem to be in a lecture mode here, since your a ham, did YOU give your local RS store information on your local ham club?

> The future of ham radio is written in stone. Doomed
> And don't blame it on me or the likes of me. You and the rest of the
> hams are committing suicide by complacency and self riteous
> indignation

Your the one who just asked why you should promote the hobby didn't you? So why are you pointing the finger at us? Before you tell us how wrong we all are, show us what YOU have done. Seems you want to blame everyone but yourself.

Tim ka8ddz

478. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Wf5c4.2874$Vr.53966@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote

>>most of the Texans I know, including
>>myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed.
>>It's the principle that matters.

>I NEVER said there was "no way out".

Never say never, it is an *absolute word* and hard to defend. Your original (above) statement is also *absolute*. If you had said you would *sometimes* rather die, or gave some other non-absolute statement, then you would have wiggle room. But your *absolute* statement says simply (in all cases) that you would rather die than be robbed for property for the reason of principle.

So I hit you with an example (robber with a gun to your head) that made your statement sound foolish (which it was). Your response was even more foolish. You were old (at 61), alone, and didn't want to die in a nursing home. Now you're trying to back track by changing the subject with other unrelated examples. It won't work unless you amend your original absolute statement, or let this subject die a peaceful death...

>I resent your attempt at mind fornication.

Ah, Mensas are so high tech in their personal insults...

>For a 6'3" 200 lb. physically and mentally trained armed individual,
>there is almost always the chance of a way out...

You mean you, right? Well gosh, weren't you just complaining about being *old*, and didn't you say that you had to have your IC-706 modified (to the CB band) by someone else because your *hands shook* and your *eyesight was bad*? That's hardly the road warrior picture you paint of yourself above...

479. NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3870EEB8.2F56E0DF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123246

Not a flame, I'm curious what will happen with NCI will they now push for us to leave the ITU and grant HF privileges without any code or are you satisfied with 5 wpm?

Tim ka8ddz

480. Re: K4DOH NEW YEAR MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Orkney! <mikebish@ford.com>
Message-ID: <rP5c4.3548$Ec5.190326@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123247

Unclaimed Mysteries wrote in message <38702749.C11972DE@mindspring.com>...
>Hello and Greetings from the Unclaimed Temple of the True Sons of Yeti
>Dobbs, Resurrected.

How do I suspect a ham raydeo Op wrote what is to follow...

>I hope this message finds everyone in trembling awe of the task ahead.
>That is, to screw up ALL FOUR DIGITS when signing your checks until at
>least the middle of February.

For the dotering old farts on 40 and 20 Meters, give em till July at
minimum. (...and as for 3.853, don't worry, that bunch still uses corn-
cobs for toilet paper!)

>While many of you are celebrating the recent arrival of the Millennium,
>or anticipating the arrival of the New Improved Millennium in 2001,
>Sinister Alien Forces are even now planning the utter and complete
>takeover of the planet.

Heard a bunchof the KKK/Militia sloopheads on 3.950 talkin
about this last night. Now I know how and why these knucklheads
on 3.950 bought heavy into gold coins and survival foods for Y2K.
What a pack of racist, moronic, ripple-foreheaded, penguin shit
eating cockmasters these 3.950 numb-nutz are !! BAAA Ha Ha HAWWW!!

>In this HAM RADIO group I've heard discussion about the rise
>and fall of >the US South. The Confederacy, to be exact.

Must have been hearing AA8DP on 3.950 on the Liberty Net.
Another greasy overall-wearing good-ole-boy who eats KFC
and believes in the Protocols of the Elders of Zion.

Hopefully a pack of mexicans will move in next door to him
soon. This will put this racist moron into geosynchrnous orbit.

>Slowly but surely the South is leaving y'all behind. Hope you like
>leftovers.

If the greasy food these tarheels eat don't kill em first!
Went to Atlanta once. After getting over the smell, I could
not believe how many foods were available dipped in batter and
deep fried in lard. No wonder KFC & Chick-a-fill makes megabucks down there!
This is why the Southeastern US is called "stroke alley"
in the Physicians guild of generic terms...

481. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <84r376ptp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>,
nospam@nospam.com wrote:

> I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.

Let's go back to the days when your call sign said ABSOLUTELY NOTHING
about your class or longevity! All this slicing and dicing and
segregation and pecking-order-mentality based on perceived rank and
seniority belongs in the Army, not in Amateur Radio.

I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.

73, Hans, K0HB
dit dit

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

482. Re: K4DOH NEW YEAR MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3870EEE0.8EC86E31@innocent.com>
Source record: 123249

One might explain it as coincidence, but it's truly astounding how many of the crudely written, filthy, and insulting anonymous posts on the radio newsgroups are posted from UUnet's dialup in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.

I've lost track of how many different bogus addresses have been used for this purpose, but at times several new ones show up in a single week.

Pip pip,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

483. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <84qrl5$b7c$2@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 123250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Prof. Curt M. Breneman <brenec@rpi.edu> wrote:
> that even in his catalog literature there was reference made to the
> potential use of his broad-band 1-watt amplifier for augmenting FM-10,
> FM-25 or FM-100 (domestic version) transmitters - (I can't find the
> catalog around the house at the moment, so I can't quote page numbers).

--> As I recall, the catelog noted that "some foreign customers use it with
the FM-100" or something similar. None of their FM transmitter kits were
strictly legal, IIRC -- they were all at least 100 times too powerful.

484. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Eh6c4.386$B74.12214@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 123251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron - you've already clearly stated you are NOT by any means an opponent to private ownership of weapons - and *I* accept that statement from you as honest truth. The REST of this long debate, then, revolves around individual perceptions of courage/protection of personal possessions and family under threat of violence. Since such scenarios vary WIDELY in their individual circumstances, I submit it is virtually impossible to accurately predict HOW a specific incident would likely go down - and only suggest that those of morality and courage WOULD resist such acts to the BEST of their ability, depending on situation. This, rather than the mere cowardly surrendering of personal values, family and possessions. It DOESN'T mean we would commit virtual suicide when a gun is held to our head - or the head of another family member - only that we would aggressively watch for an opportunity to challenge or overcome such an attacker if it presented itself, and pursue it RATHER THAN meekly accept our situation as irreversible, and make NO effort to turn the situation around as SOME seemingly are willing to do.

Is that fair and reasonable?

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote in message
news:%eYb4.2855$Vr.52869@news.ntr.net...
> W6RCecilA wrote
> >Not true. I advocate getting the drop on one's armed criminal
> >opponent and/or disarming him.
>
> Here's your own words Cecil:
>
> >most of the Texans I know, including
> >myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed.
> >It's the principle that matters.
>
> So if a robber has a gun to your head and there was no way out, you
would
> rather die than be robbed. Your principles are more important than
your
> life. That's exactly what you said.
>
> However if you would like to backtrack and amend your death wish

statement
> go right ahead. Or just don't respond to this post and I'll let it
drop.
> Likely you will be more careful what you write the next time you're
in a
> tough Texan mood...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

485. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <84qrr2$b7c$3@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 123252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> You seem like one of those, "Better Red Than Dead", people. Guess we
> can't count on you to risk your life defending our country, eh?

--> You're starting to sound like Richard Nixon. Everyone who disagrees
with you is, apparently, a commie pinko bastard. ;>

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"throw away the rudder
float away on a vapour trail"
-- The Tragically Hip

486. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3874e9a8.15811905@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 30 Dec 1999 23:31:04 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:

*The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
*
*I Blame the ARRL!
****

I think you are placing the blame on the wrong entity. If any body needs blame it should be the FCC. In your point of view the whole amatuer world has now come crashing down. If that is your opinion maybe it is time that you not renew your license and give up the hobby. The FCC is thinking beyond your narrow minded thinking and self gratification. They have the insight to realized that left as is, the amatuer radio service will be a foot note in history of radio in the next ten to twenty years. Maybe you will be dead and gone by then and that no longer is of your concern. For the first time in twenty years someone has finally realized the the amatuer radio society in the US will be extend as the Dodo bird by the end of the 21st century. For those of use who will still be arround at least into the middle of the 21st century there is now hope that there will remain an amatuer radio service. I am sorry that you will think of amatures like myself and others who will obtain the full priviledges that the FCC will grant inthe future. These priviledges to you will be obtain by means that in your opinion is cheapened. It is cheapened by the fact that I and others to follow will not have to endure that hardship that you had to. This may well be true. CW, while a means of communications, is no longer the mainstay of amature radio today. Is it becoming a lost art? Most likely. Is it a requirement that high speed proficiency is required for advanced priviledges in other forms of communications? Not hardly. The days where amatuer radio shared bands with commercial maritime ships are long gone and past. Then the need to identify ships in commercial enterpriose and not to interfere with them has long gone. CW will always be a menas of communications. So will AM as well as newer digital forms of communications. The radio service has evolved from a time of experimentation to that of more of a hobby. Experimentation is and will never be lost. Experimentation fosters new ideas. The amature radio service has lost that zeal. I think the service needs an infusion of newer more energetic members. Agreed there will alows be those that are less desirable as some may think. Do we close off the whole bands to only those that will meet a certain criteria? then that smacks of the prejudices of the early 20th century. From an outsiders point of view amature radio has become an elite good ol boys network. Sommething like the exclusive country club atmosphere of the high society.

We now have entered into a new era. I think the FCC has made a bold and daring step in amature radio. While many will applaud, some will shudder in fear of what will happen. Remember this no one is twisting your arm to be a member. It

is become obvious that the FCC went farther than many wanted and to some it may not whave gone far enough. As for me, I am pleased with the ruling. I think it give amature radio a channce to survive well into the 21st and even into the 22nd century. What will happen in the future? No one knows. This has been needed for the last twenty years and now it has come. We have no other choice accept it by be ing a part of it or reject it by not being a part of it. It is your chjoice.

regards
james

WA4BZJ

*
*
*NEWINGTON, CT, Dec 30, 1999--Amateur Radio will get a new look in the new
*millennium. The FCC today issued its long-awaited Report and Order in the
*1998 Biennial Regulatory Review of Part 97--more commonly known as
*"license restructuring." The bottom line is that starting April 15, 2000,
*there will be three license classes--Technician, General, and Amateur
*Extra--and a single Morse code requirement--5 WPM.
*
*"We believe that an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse
*code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
*ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art," the FCC said.
*
*Besides drastically streamlining the Amateur Radio licensing process, the
*FCC said its actions would "eliminate unnecessary requirements that may
*discourage or limit individuals from becoming trained operators,
*technicians, and electronic experts."
*
*Although no new Novice and Advanced licenses will be issued after the
*effective date of the Report and Order, the FCC does not plan to
*automatically upgrade any existing license privileges. The
*ARRL had proposed a one-time across-the-board upgrading of current Novice
*and Tech Plus licensees to General class, but the FCC declined to adopt
*the idea. This means that current licensees will retain their current
*operating privileges, including access to various modes and subbands, and
*will be able to renew their licenses indefinitely.
*
*Starting April 15, 2000, individuals who qualified for the Technician
*class license prior to March 21, 1987, will be able to upgrade to General
*class by providing documentary proof to a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator,
*paying an application fee, and completing FCC Form 605.
*
*The FCC's decision not to automatically upgrade Novice and Tech Plus
*licensees means the current Novice/Tech Plus HF subbands will remain and
*not be "refarmed" to higher class licensees as the ARRL had proposed. The
*FCC said it did not refarm these subbands because there was "no consensus"
*within the amateur community as to what to do with them.
*

*As it had proposed earlier, the FCC decided to lump Technician and Tech
*Plus licensees into a single licensee database, all designated as
*"Technician" licensees. Those who can document having passed the 5 WPM
*Morse code examination will continue to have the current Tech Plus HF
*privileges. "If documentation is needed to verify whether a licensee has
*passed a telegraphy examination, we may request the documentation from
*that licensee or the VECs," the FCC said.
*
*In addition to reducing the number of license classes from six to three
*and eliminating the 20 and 13 WPM code tests, the FCC also will reduce the
*number of written examination elements from five to three, authorize
*Advanced Class hams to prepare and administer General class examinations,
*and eliminate Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES) station
*licenses. RACES will remain, however. "After review of the record, we
*conclude that we should eliminate RACES station licenses because RACES
*station licenses are unnecessary for amateur stations and amateur service
*licenses to provide emergency communications," the FCC said.
*
*Under the new licensing scheme, there will be four examination elements.
*Element 1 will be the 5 WPM Morse code exam. Element 2 will be a 35-
*question written test to obtain a Technician license; Element 3 will be a
*35-question written test to obtain a General license, and Element 4 will
*be a 50-question written test for the Amateur Extra license. The FCC has
*left it in the hands of the National Conference of VECs Question Pool
*Committee to determine the specific mix and makeup of written examination
*questions. Current Amateur Radio study materials remain valid at least
*until the new rules become effective in April.
*
*The FCC's new licensing plan means someone will be able to become a ham by
*passing a single 35-question written examination. The plan also simplifies
*and shortens the upgrade path from the ground floor through Amateur Extra-
*-especially since amateurs will only have to pass one Morse code test.
*
*Elimination of the 13 and 20 WPM Morse requirements also means an end to
*physician certification waivers for applicants claiming an inability to
*pass the Morse code examination due to physical handicap.
*
*The effective date provides a window of upgrade opportunity for current
*Advanced licensees. Between now and April 15, current Advanced holders may
*take the existing Element 4B, a 40-question test, giving them credit for
*having passed the current Extra written examination. Likewise, holders of
*a Certificate of Successful Completion of Examination (CSCE) for Elements
*3B or 4B dated on or after April 17, 1999, will be able to qualify for
*General or Amateur Extra respectively when the new rules go into effect on
*April 15, 2000.
*
*The FCC disagreed with the League's suggestion that it undertake a
*restructuring of operating privileges along with licensing restructuring.
*"We believe that in light of ongoing discussions concerning implementation
*of new and more modern communications technologies within the amateur

*service community, we should accord the amateur service community an
*opportunity to complete such discussions and possibly reach a consensus
*regarding implementation of new technologies before we undertake a
*comprehensive restructuring of the amateur service operating privileges
*and frequencies," the FCC said in its Report and Order.
*
*In its amendments to Part 97, the FCC's Report and Order refers to a "Club
*Station Call Sign Administrator," something that does not exist under the
*current rules and which was not explained in the R&O itself. An FCC
*spokesperson said the Commission plans to issue a Public Notice soon to
*explain the program and to solicit qualified entities to serve as call
*sign administrators for club station applications.

487. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3876f1a6.17858148@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 13:28:12 -0600, AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:

*Brian Denley wrote:
*>
*> Why is that? You can be a ham and not know how to spell or even act decent
*> (have you listened to some of those guys?) Why does knowing morse code make
*> you so terrific? It's obvious that many of the worst people in our society
*> have no trouble learning the code.
*> Brian Denley
*
*
* It's not knowing the code,it's being willing to make a small
*investment in the way of a little effort to get something worth
*having,and if the crybabies didn't think it was worth having they
*wouldn't be crying.
* Having a few simple hoops to jump through helps keep out the moderatly
*interested that wonder from hobby to hobby,job to job,wife to wife,and
*take what they can get but never give because they were really never
*that interested.
****

God this sounds like the tale from my father who cried about how far he had to walk to get to the school bus stop ionthe winter snow when he was a kid! Or more like I had to do it, therefore you have to philosphy. Those will never change. If that ideology keeps in the amature radio service then it will go the way of the DODO bird.

regards
james

488. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3875f0e8.17667816@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 30 Dec 1999 18:37:06 -0600, AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:

*you might get a license but youll never be a HAM!
****

And what is meant by that comment?

I shedder to think of the possibilities!

regards
james

I have license and it really does not matter if you consider me a HAM or not. I passed the requirements and that is all that matters.

489. Looking for a VE in SE AZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <xE6c4.742$197.70279@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 123256

Would like to find a VE in the Cochise County area to administer a
Element 2. Would appreciate a reply. Thank you.
--
Jack Malone, ex W7EJJ & W8HED
9305 E. Hereford Rd.
Hereford, AZ 85615
520-378-0184
malonej@c2i2.com

490. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3877f260.18043961@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 02:39:43 -0600, "Volfy" <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote:

*I resemble your remarks about wondering from hobby to hobby. Tell me again
*why I should be kept out? You're saying only those for whom Amateur Radio
*is the ONLY passion should be allowed in?
****

This is the elitist good ol boy society thinking. There are those in the service that want to associate with only their kind. It is somewhat of a prejudicial thinking. I have known Extra class lincensee, HAMs, that their sole purpose to get their extra class license was to get away from the novice and general class bands. They talk only in the extra portion. The new ruling now affects that sphere and thus their little minded world has now come crashing down. They have long forgotten that the amature radio service no longer revolves around them. They enjoy paying 2 to 5 thousand dollars for their rigs that should be no more that $600 to $800 max.

****
*I happen to feel that life is too short not to make the most of it. You
*sir, apparently think I'm a lesser human being because my diverse interests.
*So you want me to proove my dedication by jumping through a few firey hoops?
*No thanks. I don't consider circus acts a useful skill, neither is 20wpm.
****

You must consider that they need this barrier to keep their world safe and secure. The do not want any changes to that. They will hate that the barriers are being brought down. We the lesser ones now can more easily invade their domain.

regards
james

WA4BZJ
****
*About your "job to job, wife to wife"... Get off yer moral highhorse and
*smell your own horse farts at eye level.
*
*KD5IOU
*
*AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote in message news:386D03CC.4A5A@imt.net...
*> Brian Denley wrote:
*>...
*> It's not knowing the code,it's being willing to make a small
*> investment in the way of a little effort to get something worth

*> having,and if the crybabies didn't think it was worth having they
*> wouldn't be crying.
*> Having a few simple hoops to jump through helps keep out the moderatly
*> interested that wonder from hobby to hobby,job to job,wife to wife,and
*> take what they can get but never give because they were really never
*> that interested.
*

491. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3870E934.2DEB@no.net>
Source record: 123258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> > That is about the dumbest statement I have ever heard. You obviously
> > don't know very many Texans, as a Texan myself, most of the ones I know
> > have more brains than that. A lot of good your principles do you if your
> > dead.
>
> You must have read that wrong. I don't plan to die *and* I don't plan to
> give up my possessions. I am simply willing to take the risk that I can
> disarm a criminal or, if worse comes to worse, kill him before he kills
> me. There's a good chance I will die anyway if I give in to a criminal.
>
> You seem like one of those, "Better Red Than Dead", people. Guess we
> can't count on you to risk your life defending our country, eh?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

I will glady defend anything worth defending, and that includes our
counrty, but I am not going to foolishly give up my life to protect some
replacable material item.

492. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3879f5f8.18964225@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 02:07:19 GMT, johnoz@indy.net (John Occolowitz) wrote:

*On 30 Dec 1999 23:51:50 GMT, joegizjr@aol.com (JOEGIZJR) wrotz:
*
*>What kind of license would be granted just for passing the "35 question test"
?
*>
*>Thanks,
*>
*>Joe
*>
*>Joegizjr@aol.com
*
* I think that a successful examinee would really deserve the license
*if one of the questions was to derive Maxwell's equations and a second
*was to use the equations to derive the properties of waveguides. What
*I'm saying is that it's not the number of questions it's the quality
*that counts.
*
*John KB9MIE.
****

Why should an amature licensee have to derive Maxwell's equations? I seriosly doubt that half of the BSEE today that have been out of scholl for more than 2 years just shudder at that thought. Most I know have stated that Fields and Waves was one of the most difficult cousre in EE. I thought very interseting though.

regards
james

493. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3870EA08.4C42@no.net>
Source record: 123260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Prof. Curt M. Breneman <brenec@rpi.edu> wrote:
> > that even in his catalog literature there was reference made to the
> > potential use of his broad-band 1-watt amplifier for augmenting FM-10,
> > FM-25 or FM-100 (domestic version) transmitters - (I can't find the
> > catalog around the house at the moment, so I can't quote page numbers).
>
> --> As I recall, the catelog noted that "some foreign customers use it with
> the FM-100" or something similar. None of their FM transmitter kits were
> strictly legal, IIRC -- they were all at least 100 times too powerful.

Ramsey was pushing it as far as legality and they new it. They knew
their items were being used illegally, ie., pirate radio, and they were
manufacturing kits targeted to that audience. I don't necessarialy
approve of the way the feds handled it, but Ramsey should have known
they weren't going to get away with it much longer.

494. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 RACIST MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cindy Hickey <Pogue@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <3870fa66.0@news>
Source record: 123261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

That was kinda longwinded but REALY funny.Did you come up with that
yourself?
HaHaHaHa

495. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3870FA3C.27B4D3AC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Never say never, it is an *absolute word* and hard to defend.

Oh, it's easy to defend if one never said what one is being accused
of saying.

> Your original
> (above) statement is also *absolute*. If you had said you would *sometimes*
> rather die, or gave some other non-absolute statement, then you would have
> wiggle room. But your *absolute* statement says simply (in all cases) that
> you would rather die than be robbed for property for the reason of
> principle.

There you go with the mind fornication again. What I clearly meant was that
in *virtually* all cases, one has a better chance of *surviving* by fighting
than by surrendering. If you suspected the criminal was going to shoot
you no matter what, would you just submit to being shot?

The latest "American Guardian" from the NRA in the "Because I Was Armed"
column, tells about a serial killer with a list of victims. He killed
victim by victim until he encountered Doug Stanton, who was armed.
Quoting the article, "But because the Stanton family had a gun, six lives
were saved. Had there been restrictions on gun ownership, the Stantons
would be dead. This is a fact - not a hypothetical situation."

You, no doubt, would simply have waited to be shot to death. That's your
choice but it certainly is not mine. It's not over until it's over.
Having a gun to your head is no guarantee of being killed. I would
much rather have a gun to my head within reach than have a gun pointed at
me from ten feet away. By doing nothing, you hand the criminal an incentive
to rob you *and* then kill you - I don't choose to do that. But I'm willing
to allow you to make your own decisions about your life. Why are you not
willing to allow me the same freedom.

> So I hit you with an example (robber with a gun to your head) that made your
> statement sound foolish (which it was).

You can perform an experiment to see how foolish YOUR statement is. Have
someone drop a flat dollar bill while you try to catch it. Most of the
time, you cannot. There is an absolute minimum human reaction time. If a
robber puts a gun to my head, it is within my reach. By the time he realizes
that I have gone for the gun, it is no longer pointed at me. Considering
my size and Akido training up against an average sized punk, a few
milliseconds later, he will be wondering if he will ever see again.

> >For a 6'3" 200 lb. physically and mentally trained armed individual,
> >there is almost always the chance of a way out...
>
> You mean you, right? Well gosh, weren't you just complaining about being
> *old*, and didn't you say that you had to have your IC-706 modified (to the
> CB band) by someone else because your *hands shook* and your *eyesight was
> bad*? That's hardly the road warrior picture you paint of yourself above...

I'm old, but I ain't dead. A gun is not an SMD capacitor. Actually,
my age is an advantage. No criminal would expect me of being able to
disarm and blind him within a half second with my bare hands. We
spent many hours learning and practicing how to disarm a person within
reach. The guy with a cocked (unloaded) gun knew I was going to make
a move on him. By the time he could react at his fastest, the gun wasn't
aimed at me anymore. It's much like the dollar bill experiment. Surprise
adds another measure of insurance.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

496. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <38800133.21839624@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 21:10:00 GMT, Some@body.com (Bill Richter) wrote:

*
*Oh, it's the secret ARRL conspiracy, Right?
****

I guess so. Elvis worked for the CIA didn't he?

regards
james

I guers the vote 9-6 by the board of directors for the proposal they sent tothe
FCC was all a show.

*
*On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 13:38:25 -0600, AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:
*
*>Siegfried Rambaum wrote:
*>>
*>> Blame the ARRL ? For what?
*>>
*>> Stay sensible, OM: The rules are made by the FCC.
*>>
*>> KB2YVC
*>
*>
*> If you paid attention to the arrl for the last ....however many
*>years you would know they have always been pro-nocode.They are careful
*>what the membership knows but work behind the scenes to further THEIR
*>agenda.Check out their contributions to the CEPT agreement.

497. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103152412.03889.00000093@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 123264

2 meter nets moving to HF? That's way too cool. Here's a few frequencies you might want to try:
Daytime:
14.300
14,313
14,302.5
Evening:
3853
3865
3894.5
3898
3901
3950
Another old stand-by:
27,185
If you really want to get a rise out of the "regulars" over your new general class license, try these:
5692
5696
8983
8989
8992
9016
11,175
15,016
Gloating should go over especially well on this list. The "old-timers" here have held these frequencies for many years and will be most impressed with your achievements. Plan to run a lot of power, though. You'll need it to break the pile-ups. But the DX is awesome.

Happy hamming.

498. Anyone got a good squelch circuit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84rajm$vo6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123265

Anyone have a good squelch circuit I can add externally to a radio and kick my speaker on/off? Im using a transverter on six meters and my low band rig does not have squelch on it.

Dan/W4NTI

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

499. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <387efd4b.20839472@news.gate.net>
Source record: 123266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 30 Dec 1999 18:01:46 -0700, "Dan" <n7wpd@xxmission.com> wrote:

*Why blame the ARRL? I'm not a member, but it seems to me that the FCC took
*the restructuring one step further than the ARRL wanted.
*Didn't the ARRL want 12WPM for Advanced and Extra and 5WPM for General??
*How about four classes instead of three?
****

That requirement was there to satisfy the board members. The resolution that passed the board was 9 to 6 in favor of the July proposal in 1998. The first attempt failed in January of that year. The proposal sent tothe FCC was a compromise from the ARRL board of directors.

Belive me this has been a hotly contested subject for over 20 years and many are dead set on change. The change from actually sending and receiving CW to that of only receiving was hotly debated. ANd when the CW test became just a multiple guess test that even caused more of a stir.

I have been predicting that this action would take place since 1979. I have had my license since 1976.

****
*A lot of you ol'timers out there think that CW=CB with respect to this new
*license structure. IMHO, you're wrong! HF has a serious learning curve when
*it comes to long wires, tuners, grounding, etc... It's not like you plug in
*the mag mount to the HT and start yacking!
*
*I worked really hard to pass the 5WPM code last month and I have been
*working a little 10M here and there. After stringing the longwire, tuning
*the MFJ941, the DSP-9+ and figuring out the Icom 706, HF is a lot of hard
*work! It's so rewarding to make a distant contact after all that...Don't
*forget the price of HF gear. You can buy several HTs for what a
*run-of-the-mill HF radio costs. You really have to want to get on HF. With
*the learning curve and the cost, I don't think it's going to be as bad as
*some of you think.
*
*Oh well, bring on the Extra!
****

Today the amature radio service has now become more of an appliance operation. One does not need a technical background nor an engineering background to become an amature operator. Some have associated that if one can learn 20 WPM that should make them more responsable operators. I appluad your dedication to learning CW. I met the requirements of 5 WPM 24 years ago and passed element 3

in 1977. I chose not to go further simply because I did not see the need and still don't see the need. I have not used my key since about 1979.

As for the new regulations effective date of 4/15/2000, I plan to have a new HF rig that I am now working on. Completely designed and built by myself. Always wanted to. I have all the equiptment and parts assembled to start building.

regards
james

*73 and Happy New Year!
*
*Dan / N7WPD
*

500. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38710624.3EFD8E80@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
> --> You're starting to sound like Richard Nixon. Everyone who disagrees
> with you is, apparently, a commie pinko bastard. ;>

If the Foo shits, wear it. I assume someone unwilling to defend
themselves would also be unwilling to defend anything else,
including their country.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

501. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38710691.5207C649@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JJ wrote:
> I will glady defend anything worth defending, and that includes our
> counrty, but I am not going to foolishly give up my life to protect some
> replacable material item.

What's to keep the punk from shooting you after he gets what
he wants? - his integrity? Dream on!
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

502. AC5IU THE PEE WEE HERMAN OF HAM RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84rb1pvsv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123269

IV'E FIGURED OUT WHATS WRONG WITH AC5IU HE WATCHED TO MUCH PEE WEE HERMAN ON TV NOW HE ACTS JUST LIKE HIM (I KNOW YOU ARE BUT WHAT AM I) HE CANT COME UP WITH ANY THING OF HIS OWN JUST THROW BACK WHAT YOU HEAR AC5IU THE PEE WEE HERMAN OF HAN RADIO.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

503. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84rb49$5b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123270

In article <20000103152412.03889.00000093@ng-fx1.aol.com>,
kk4tl@aol.com (KK4TL) wrote:
> 2 meter nets moving to HF? That's way too cool. Here's a few
frequencies you
> might want to try:
> Daytime:
> 14.300
> 14,313
> 14,302.5
> Evening:
> 3853
> 3865
> 3894.5
> 3898
> 3901
> 3950
> Another old stand-by:
> 27,185
> If you really want to get a rise out of the "regulars" over your new
general
> class license, try these:
> 5692
> 5696
> 8983
> 8989
> 8992
> 9016
> 11,175
> 15,016
> Gloating should go over especially well on this list. The "old-
timers" here
> have held these frequencies for many years and will be most impressed
with your
> achievements. Plan to run a lot of power, though. You'll need it to
break the
> pile-ups. But the DX is awesome.
>
> Happy hamming.
>
I love it !!!!!.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

504. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <99E199CD1038A94C.EEAD2FE9F5D75136.DE70F323EA10034A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 123271

How 'bout the two of you stand back to back, take ten paces, turn and
shoot? Eh?

Kim

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> > I will glady defend anything worth defending, and that includes our
> > counrty, but I am not going to foolishly give up my life to protect some
> > replacable material item.
>
> What's to keep the punk from shooting you after he gets what
> he wants? - his integrity? Dream on!
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

505. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <38710046.1A83@imt.net>
Source record: 123272
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> AB7RS wrote:
> > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
>
> Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> i.e. 49 years.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

I am a new ham and haven't even been alive that long,besides,whats
your point.

506. Mod for Yaesu FT-41R Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: WD0JIM <jim@futurevision.net>
Message-ID: <s723k4rn5k294@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123273

I am looking for the mod that will expand the TX/RX of the Yeasu FT41R

Thank you,

Jim WD0JIM
jim@futurevision.net

507. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38710E43.2A86E20E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123274
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
AB7RS wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > AB7RS wrote:
> > > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
> >
> > Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> > i.e. 49 years.
>
> I am a new ham and haven't even been alive that long,besides,whats
> your point.

In that case, it sounds to me like you are the "Weeney".
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

508. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated UH...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38712E4E.EB2D61C3@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123275

This sounds like he is describing 'KV4FZ'.

D

reeldeal@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> To let WB2OTK back on ham radio to qrm, make racist remarks, cuss,
> gravedance, belittle authority, lie, cheat other amateurs, organize his
> group of brigands again, and go right back doing what he did that
> caused his problems to begin with, would be criminal. He must clear his
> troubles up with the FCC first, then change completely from what he did
> while he was a active amateur. Where does Bob Sherrin get off being a
> shill for the return of WB2OTK, a radio criminal of the first order.
> Bob mentioned his putting his high powered amateur radio station back
> on. Maybe he wants payback for shilling, in the form of advise on very
> high over powered amateur radio activity from OTK!!
>
> Reeldeal
>
> In article <26465-38708B4C-24@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> > Bull!
> >
> > If you're all for racist language and profane vulgarity, by all means,
> > bring Rich back.
> >
> > But personally, I think amateur radio doesn't need his kind.
> >
> > (Drew)
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

509. FS: ICOM IC-735 HF All-Band SSB/CW AM/FM Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arina <arina@bbn.com>
Message-ID: <387115C1.732AFDAA@bbn.com>
Source record: 123276
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.am,alt.ham-radio.amtor

FS: ICOM IC-735 HF All-Band SSB/CW AM/FM Transceiver,
100 Watts, General Coverage Rcvr & Full Coverage .1KHz-30MHz Transmit.
Complete w/ items listed below. Have Original Boxes & Manuals
Very Good Condition.

-Full SSB, CW, FM, and AM Operation
-Full Easy Access AFSK Operation
-Semi/Full QSK CW Break-In
-Dual Stable Digital VFO's
-Built-In Pwr/SWR Analog Meter
-LCD Back-Light Dimmer Adjustment
-Memory/Scan/Split-Mode Operation
-Built-In Adjustable VOX Control
-100% Duty-Cycle Transmitter
-Built-In Temp-Sense Quiet Cooling Fan
-Built-In AF Speech Compressor
-PBT & IF Notch Filter

Asking $475 plus shipping.

Reply to WK1O@ldpost.com (Boston area)

510. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38711720.C2809A0F@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 123277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Interesting idea.

"Greetings, AA1A. Your new callsign is KNB4452."

(heh!)

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

K0HB wrote:

> I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
> QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
> with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
> creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.

511. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <387115CA.3D802AF3@uci.edu>
Source record: 123278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Victory going of course to the one who first declares victory, eh Carl?

From the standpoint of the FCC declaring code to be absolutely unnecessary as a licensing requirement aside from the ITU requirement, and in view of NCI's declared basic premise (the one which prevents me from joining), the R&O could also be viewed as an abject failure for NCI.

The victory belongs instead to amateur radio, in my view.

73, Jim AC6XG

512. FS: TV-3300-LP Low Pass Filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arina <arina@bbn.com>
Message-ID: <387116BE.6C4A0021@bbn.com>
Source record: 123279
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.am,alt.ham-radio.amtor

```
TV-3300-LP Low Pass Filter, Drake
1000 watts max. below 30 MHz. Attenuation better than 80
dB above 41 MHz. Helps TV i-f interference, as well as TV
front-end problems. SO-239 connectors built-in.

Asking $25.

Reply to WK1O@ldpost.com (Boston area)
```

513. Logwindows 3.06.50 released.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ricker@cssincorp.com" <ricker@cssincorp.com>
Message-ID: <OMxLzXjV$GA.308@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 123280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Press Release

LogWindows 3.06.50 released

Program available January 5, 2000

Muscle Shoals, Alabama. January 3, 2000: Creative Services Software announced today that the first update to LogWindows, version 3.06.50 will be released on Wednesday, January 5, 2000.

LogWindows was created in 1994 by Ira Chavis, WA1W and had been distributed in the past by AEA, Timewave and SCO. The program interfaces with all of the CSS TNC host mode programs including PacTerm '98, PKTerm '99 and MultiComm Host.

CSS is selling the program through dealers and direct sales.. The program will retails for $49.95. CSS is offering upgrades to current users of the program for $25.00, as well as a competitive upgrade from other logging programs for $35.00.

"If someone purchases or upgrades to LogWindows 3.06.50 now, they'll not only get all the future 3.x upgrades, but also a FREE upgrade to the 32 bit version of LogWindows when it becomes available", said Rick Ruhl,. President and owner of Creative Service Software.

Creative Services Software is at http://www.cssincorp.com
Windows, Windows 95, Windows 98 and Windows NT are Registered Trademarks of Microsoft Corporation.

-
Rick Ruhl
President, Creative Services Software
http://www.cssincorp.com

514. Re: Kids day a joke?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccom2@socket.net
Message-ID: <3870306e.37788734@news.socket.net>
Source record: 123331

Post something positive for a change instead
of garbage
"Burt" <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote:

>NBC did indeed run a story on kids day on ham radio.
>The story was of kids in Boring, Ore, I kid you not.
>It sure fit.
>
>Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years
>
>
>Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
>You will see:
>
>1. How to jerk
>2. my boring answering machine messages
>3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
>4. How you know you are a ham want-a-be
>5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
>6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
>7. The writings of me and others on how to be a jerk.
>8. The side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
>9. An amazing card game that will shock others
>10. Pictures of me and my family.
>11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
>12. See now the future of ham radio if cb'ers get in
>
>Burt Fisher
>A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
>Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
> K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have
>
> burt@broadcast.net
> http://hfradio.org/k1oik/
>
>

515. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84pau3mln0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

Carl will have
> the same license as you before long, if he chooses to.
> --

Nope. He will have the Welfare Y2K Nvextra. Nothing more.
Ever.

516. Re: Yaesu FT-50 on/off button

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <84pc1egkb1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123333

Call Yaesu and just order one. It's painless and if it's below a couple of bucks, they will usually tell you it's no charge - even for shipping. Can't beat that. If it's an older radio, it will do you well to replace the button anyhow to fix the inadvertent turn-on problem. The new button is a slightly lower profile.

Bill - n2asa

Bruce Barnes <bkbarnes@edisonmission.com> wrote in message news:dNAb4.741$Ya1.70667@nntp1-sf.pbi.net...
> The FT-50 has a clever on/off button. There is some coating on the
> "backside" of the button that closes a circuit on the system board, thus
> turning the radio on and off. Well, the magic stuff on the "guts" side of
> the switch has come off and i can't turn on the radio. Does anybody know
> what I can do short of ordering a new switch button?
>
> Thanks,
> Bruce (KF6EZT)
>
> bkbarnes@edisonmission.com
>
>

517. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron N6AHA <ronc@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <ABWb4.1343$73.14128@typhoon.sonic.net>
Source record: 123334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Then, how do you explain the loss of part of 220 MHz? There was no "public interest" served, only the private interests of two corporations: UPS and Motorola. 20,000 commenters were completely ignored, and whole exercise of "taking comments" was a ruse. The facts are irrefutable...the plan was a 'done deal' before a single comment was filed, and no single comment, no persuasive argument, no amount of reasoning or any massive group of comments would have changed the outcome one iota. So why the waste time on such a sham? Look, I'm not out to change your fantasy...if you want to believe in Santa Claus and the tooth fairy, it's ok by me! Keep writin' to the North Pole...

--
Ron Castro
KRPQ/KMHX
N6AHA

Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote in message
news:s6vh5tei5k2160@corp.supernews.com...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Ron N6AHA" <ronc@sonic.net> wrote in message
> news:DSOb4.1270$73.13402@typhoon.sonic.net...
> > Spencer: No surprise the FCC didn't respond, or listen to the wisdom of
> the
> > thousands who filed comments.
>
> Actually, as stated by the FCC in the R&O (and supported
> by any reasonable analysis of the comments), they DID
> "listen to the wisdom of the thousands who filed comments."
>
> That the body of comment did not support your desired outcome
> (which was apparently in favor of more stagnation, from your tone)
> does not negate the fact that the FCC *did* follow its own Rules
> and *did* consider the comments which were filed.
>
> You have to understand that the FCC is not bound to follow
> a "popular opinion poll of the incumbents" but rather to act
> in the public interest. (Though the body of comment does
> support their action.)
>
> > I have learned over the decades I've dealt
> > with the FCC that when they claim to ask for comments, that whatever the
> > comments are concerning is already a done deal. I doubt that they even

> > bother to read any of them.
>
> Baloney ... one of the reasons this Proceeding took so long is that
> compared to most Proceedings, this one had an extraordinarily
> large number of comments filed. You can tell from the prolific
> footnotes in the R&O that they were all reviewed and considered.
>
> > I clearly remember the 220 MHz "band grab"
> > several years back. 20,000 comments against, 2 comments for and both of
> > them were from the corporations that proposed the grab in the first
place.
> > All wasted on the FCC, they took the frequencies anyway.
>
> If the amateur community (and the ARRL) had not been so
> intransigent WRT a no-code license when the FCC
> proposed it (before the loss of 220-222), we might not have
> lost it.
>
> As it turned out, UPS, the company which originally requested
> the reallocation of 220-222 didn't end up getting it anyway.
>
> > Spencer F. Ritchie <sfritchie@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:84mpjv$4m8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I replied! And sent approx 5 pages worth explaining why we needed to
> > > maintain 13wpm and 20wpm code speeds.
>
> Obviously your arguments didn't make sense to the FCC ...
> they didn't buy all of the "Morse Myth" stuff ... in fact, the ONLY
> reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY Morse testing at
> this time was the ITU Treaty obligation.
>
> > > CW IS still used for weak signal work Especially for
> > > EME, 160, 80, 6m 2m and so on. Also probagation beacons are used
> > > extensively on 10m and 6m. I'm pretty active in these modes. And
> > > found cw superior to most modes when conducting weak signal work.
>
> NOTHING has changed in the Rules to prevent you from using CW
> anywhere you could before ... all that's changed is that those who could
> care less about Morse code are no longer going to be forced to pass
> a high-speed Morse test to get phone and digital privileges ... something
> that has been ridiculous for at least several decades.
>
> The fact that some use CW (purely by personal choice and because
> they happen to enjoy it, not from any real necessity) is not sufficient
> justification for forcing all to become proficient at arbitrarily high
> speeds.
>
> The FCC made decisions which are in the best interest of ham
> radio's future, even if you don't agree.
>

> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
> - --
> NCI-1052
> Director, No Code International
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, TAPR
> Member, ARRL
> - --
> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
> <http://www.nocode.org>
>
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
> iQA/AwUBOG/Ef5e+N6+q84HiEQJB0wCg1wg3dvVbs6rDyR2fB9MGZzwKwakAnjFg
> Y8vB2TyUcLoyOBo+VgMA05dN
> =zmeL
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>

518. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron N6AHA <ronc@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <9EWb4.1344$73.14390@typhoon.sonic.net>
Source record: 123335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:_1Rb4.946$L3.22236@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net...
> The reason 220 was lost is that nobody was using it. Doesn't matter how
> many letters were sent or what they said it didn't change the fact 220 was
> not being used. Now you need to wake up and realize if more new HAMS are
> not coined the rest of the bands will go the same as 220. The future can
go
> one of two ways. Your attitude will guarantee the loss of all bands.
>

Spoken like a true CB'er!

Ron Castro
KRPQ/KMHX
N6AHA

519. WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22830-3870356E-1@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123336

The new millennium is underway! Chief among our blessings is the successful cleanup campaign undertaken by the FCC's Riley Hollingsworth, Esquire.

The advance of time has imbued many of us with greater wisdom. Looking back on nearly 50 years of operation, I recall many a gaffe, faulty judgement, inadvertent out of band operation, unnecessarily raucous conduct, and being goaded into behavior which I regret today. Still, I've been fortunate: My license has remained intact all these years.

Can we find it within our hearts to treat all hams who feel similarly with redemption? Can we put animus aside to forgive?

Riley's campaign is brilliant, but, as in all things, casualties abound who would be topnotch participants if reinstated. Won't we forgive and forget, truly making this a millennium of opportunity for all? Without jeopardizing the cleanup campaign, I say we grant the truly apologetic reprieves (trial periods back).

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

520. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3870390D.1D0C452C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123337

IF you go to the FCC website or pick up a copy of the latest revision, you wouldn't have to ask that silly question. Another reason instead of getting rid of code we should be making theory harder!

RandyFlagg wrote:

> I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
> license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after
> April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing
> something?
>
> Thanks!

521. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387040AD.CF08F02B@mindspring.com>
Source record: 123338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Radioguy wrote:

> Howdy:
>
> I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>
> I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
> group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
> social security number or zip code perhaps.
>
> Seriously, this historic change to amateur licensing should not ignore a
> subsequent change to appear in manner of call sign issuance. I
> sincerely feel that those amateurs who made it the
> hard/old/traditional... way should enjoy that fact---at least for the
> duration of their amateur career, by having their call sign identifier
> separate from those of future amateurs for the sake of tradition.
> Honestly, I think a conventional call sign with a three letter prefix
> would serve this function nicely.
>
> Sincerely,

Why not make it simple and just keep your present callsign -
Do you really need a vanity callsign or an "extra" callsign?

--
Art Wertz N5AEN
artwertz@mindspring.com
http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen

522. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38703ED9.5E4BEC9F@mindspring.com>
Source record: 123339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bob Lewis wrote:

> > Most hams are so disagreeable that young people don't
> > want to be bothered. I got my first ticket about 12 years
> > ago, went to the local club, found mostly retired people who
> > did not not even want to talk to anyone under 65. So I keep
> > my ticket and don't use it.
> >
> Now my experience in the Detroit area in the late 50's early 60's was
> totally different. The local 6 meter club had a number of hams who
> seemed eager to help someone in their teens get on the air. They gave
> technical assistance, sold equipment for whatever I could afford to
> pay, and even loaned stuff when there was no money available. On the
> air, I felt like I was always treated as an equal even though I was a
> teen and the rest were older.

I suspect that the 6 Meter operators were not a bunch of HF CW
fanatics!!!!

--
Art Wertz N5AEN
artwertz@mindspring.com
http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen

523. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84pgmoasc1@208.207.71.138>
Source record: 123340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ron N6AHA wrote:
>
> Then, how do you explain the loss of part of 220 MHz? There was no "public
> interest" served, only the private interests of two corporations: UPS and
> Motorola. 20,000 commenters were completely ignored, and whole exercise of
> "taking comments" was a ruse. The facts are irrefutable...the plan was a
> 'done deal' before a single comment was filed, and no single comment, no
> persuasive argument, no amount of reasoning or any massive group of comments
> would have changed the outcome one iota. So why the waste time on such a
> sham? Look, I'm not out to change your fantasy...if you want to believe in
> Santa Claus and the tooth fairy, it's ok by me! Keep writin' to the North
> Pole...

In other words if the right politician wants it done, it is
done.....

524. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <38704ca3.429750086@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 09:42:11 -0800, Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia
<bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>in article LqKb4.876$L3.20248@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net, Dave Stadt at
>dhstadt@ameritech.net wrote on 01.02.2000 07.49:
>
>>
>> liam@ork.net wrote in message ...
>>> In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
>>>> liam@ork.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>> What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
>>>>> getting reduced further and further.
>>>
>>>> Effort for effort's sake is not a good approach. Why not just have
>>>> each potential ham chop off a pinkey finger?
>>>
>>> Is chopping off fingers related to ham radio? Is it a method of
>>> communication? If so, you'll be doing short transmissions, and not for
>>> very long.
>>
>>
>> Choping off a pinkey has about as much to do with communications as does CW.
>>
>
>I think this guy is saying that the point of ham radio ISN'T the hurdles per
>se, but what they are supposed to represent -- knowledge about RF,
>communications protocols, band plans, and such. It is NOT supposed to be an
>exclusive club with long rituals requiring lots of effort but unfortunately
>that is what it has become (well, the club part anyway - and the previous
>hurdle of higher and higher code speeds for more voice privileges was just
>gratuitous, but served the desires of people trying to build an exclusive
>fraternal organization on a hobby whose reason for existance is based upon
>INCLUSION!).

I note you go on about knowledge when wht is being done it
remove not a matter of knowledge not of physhical skill. Code testing
has nothing what you just mentioned. which are good things, likely to
be discarded by the FCC reacting to the Morse Code uber Alles crowd
>
>And that is why it is under attack. And that is why the FCC revamped the
>licensing scheme to the minimalist one it is set up for: 5wpm test to
>satisfy the international treaties, and a bunch of multiple choice questions

>to do the rest. I would figure that it would have been better to have one
>pool of questions required to get the 50MHz+ certification, and another to
>get HF + the treaty junk (5wpm code). We went with 3 tiers, which is still
>a bit elitist, but hey, it is a move in the right direction.

Indeed well spoken, and in it's defense maybe it is not so
elist. It does serves to purpose of keeping each test a bit smaller.
personal yeah I'd prefer one test one class but I not sure I'd want to
see the resulting question pool

525. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84ph3h$ql4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123342

The Bakers <nospam@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> Remove diodes D-1 through D-12 on the main board. Also, cut all red wires.
>> That will give it "wideband" coverage and also boost the power to 100w.

>How witty! Did that take you very long to think up ?

It took quite a bit of trial and error to find the exact diodes to cut.
I'm sure that CBer appreciates all my hard work in discovering that
"mod" for him. I hope he'll try it and enjoy the results.

526. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84pgnkqbn1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>W6RCecilA wrote

>>You people who want to arm criminals and disarm
>>law-abiding citizens make me sick.

>Not me. I like my guns too. I was just commenting on your suicide post where
>you said you would rather die than be robbed, because of your principles...

In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
owner be available on the web. It would be nice to know which
neighbors and fellow employees have chosen to belong to our
Second Amendment militia; one would think they would be proud
to be associated with that militia and want the recognition they
so deserve. After all, those of us who served in the real military
had, without choice, our names and addresses published in our
home-town newspapers upon enlisting so it's only fair our militia
members are also so recognized.

Jeff KH6O
(who keeps his weapons in the U.S. Coast Guard Base Honolulu armory)

527. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3870490E.A13ACAE4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
> owner be available on the web.

That's a great idea, Jeff. A robber gets the name and address off
the web and steals the gun when nobody is home. The criminals will
love it. They can check to see if their next victim owns a gun.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

528. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <38704be1.429556554@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 20:48:20 GMT, liam@ork.net wrote:

>In rec.radio.scanner W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
>
>> Maybe I'm ignorant. Does not knowing Morse code lower one's IQ?
>
>What is the point of having Amateur Radio if the entrance hurdle keeps
>getting reduced further and further.

What is the point of huddling?. OTH if you don't know the
answer to that then you spend too little time with your radio.

>
>At this rate, it could become like FRS. Just buy the radio. How does that
>jibe with the long and illustrious history that hams have had?

Well that wold be a return to the manner in which it started.
One upon a time their were no licenses , not that even the OT's
remmber

529. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3870507e.430737092@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 12:31:06 -0500, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
wrote:

>
>There's an international treaty that makes that unfeasible at the moment.. 5
>words per minute is the INTERNATIONAL minimum code speed for Amateur Radio
>below 30 Mhz. There are several nations who are looking to drop the code
>requirement altogether (the US is NOT one). The treaty is reviewed every
>few years and you may see the code requirements loosened further
>(eliminated), if and when the international requirement is dropped
>(...personally, I'd be suprised to see it dropped real soon... maybe 5-6
>years away)

Sorry the treaty has no such requirement merely that code be tested
for "by ear" and "by hand" the first of those requirements is NOT meet
by the US in the case of at least some of the Deaf. The FCC could have
with a big strech (like the ARRL's no test at all for HF CW access
idea) given a written test of some sort, or 1 char per sec test one
sec long, answer is known in advance if they had pleased. Thier are
reasons to keep 5PM as much as I would have liked to see the FCC
eliminate the requiremnt in practical terms as these sugestion would
have done. But I not am really surprised by what the FCC did
>
>

530. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <84pi89$n5n$1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 123347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall (nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net) wrote:
: Dick Carroll wrote:
: >
: > don@KB9VEK.ham wrote:
: > >
: > > I don't care what they say, I'm still taking the 13wpm next week and "they"
: > > can't stop me!
: >
: > Way to go, Don. YOU will be one of the few *REAL HAMS* to
: > emerge from all this.

: The real joke here is that you won't be able to really tell who the
: so-called "real" hams are after a while, so you might some day end up
: finding out that your best ham buddy never took above a 5 WPM test. What
: will you do then?

: Dave

Easy, start a QSO with him at 25 WPM! :-)
(with a computer, of course)..*I* can't send(or receive, for that matter)
that fast either.

531. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <38704e2c.430143079@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 16:20:31 -0800, Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote:

>
>
>AB7RS wrote:
>>
>> The ARRL has always been against any code requirement regardless of
>> what they say.
>
>
>I wonder what ARRL stands for in his world??
>
>Bob, Life Member, American Radio Relay League

Indeed I belief Ed Metzger my director is wrong in suporting
Code requirements but I do firmly believe that the idea of as much
Code requirement as is politicaly possible is ARRL policy. It is
different from trying to Go down with the ship (all of the ARS) on the
issue of code, but it remians in favor of code testing requirement

532. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84phth$r8d$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com> wrote:
>The new millennium doesn't start until 1/1/2001. Ask the US Naval
>Observatory. All we did today is enter into the year 2000.

Ah yes, brought to you by the same government which used its NORAD computers to track Santa Claus on what was supposed to be the eve of Christ's birthday.

If you count from the lastest possible year Chirst was born, 1BCE, we entered the third millennium Saturday morning. If you want to take the politically correct secular stance like our government (i.e., the USNO), your millennium begins next year.

Jeff KH6O/6

533. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <%eYb4.2855$Vr.52869@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote
>Not true. I advocate getting the drop on one's armed criminal
>opponent and/or disarming him.

Here's your own words Cecil:

>most of the Texans I know, including
>myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed.
>It's the principle that matters.

So if a robber has a gun to your head and there was no way out, you would rather die than be robbed. Your principles are more important than your life. That's exactly what you said.

However if you would like to backtrack and amend your death wish statement go right ahead. Or just don't respond to this post and I'll let it drop. Likely you will be more careful what you write the next time you're in a tough Texan mood...

534. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84piun$s2d$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>On 1 Jan 2000 00:26:32 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>>Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

>>>Nothing. I'm not in the electronics biz, I don't have the appropriate
>>>technical background. Beyond that how do you figger that I have a
>>>requirement on me to do techo things just because I have a ham
>>>license? I don't see that as a condition of licensing in the fine
>>>print anywhere on my ticket or in Part 97.

>>Well, let's look at our "fundamental purpose" (FCC words):
>>
>>97.1 Basis and purpose.
>>The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
>>radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
>>principles:
>>(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
>>public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
>>respect to providing emergency communications.
>>(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute
>>to the advancement of the radio art.
>>(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which
>>provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases
>>of the art.
>>(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of
>>trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
>>(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
>>international goodwill.

>Jeffy, I suggest in the strongest possible terms that you submit
>yourself to some rigorous professional testing of your reading
>comprehension skills. Particularly since you're obviously connected in
>some manner or another to academia at the university level where most
>folk are commonly expected to know how to read, interpret and think
>thru written Inglish, an area in which you apparently have some
>problems.

No defense, eh? It's our "Fundamental purpose" whether you like it
or not. If you're not abiding by it, shame on you.

>If your medical school can't help you sort out your problem your law
>school damned well will.

"Fundamental purpose," Brian. Accept it. It's the reason for our
existance.

73, Jeff KH6O/6

535. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84pk97$t08$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <84nfil$p0d@chronicle.concentric.net>,
JEP <transoman@yahoo.com> wrote:
>William H. Bowen wrote in message <386f0505.50642696@206.184.139.132>...
>>Jim Wayda wrote:

>>> The FCC should have eliminated code entirely and made it an elective
>>>mode.
>>> Code is as outdated as smoke signals!! They just don't get it!!

>> The FCC cannot eliminate the Morse Code requirement below 30 mHz -
>>this provision is part of international radio law.

>Hell, they did that in the 50's when CB was established. We have been using
>no code below 30MHZ since then.

That ITU requirement doesn't say *every* user below 30 MHz must know code,
only amateurs.

536. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84pjvosqb1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123353

Radiosigs <radiosigs@aol.com> wrote:
>A millennium consists of 1000 years. There are 365 days to a year not counting
>the extra day every four years.That comes to 1000 x 365+250=365,250. Right?

Wrong. It's true that there is a leap year every four years with the
following exception:

"A leap year is any given year divisible by 4, unless it is a century
year, which much be divisible by 400 to be a leap year. Thus, 1900
was not a leap year, but 2000 will be."

(From DMA's "American Practical Navigator," also know as "Bowditch")

Jeff KH6O/6

537. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84pkn6$3o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Followups set to just .policy:

Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>Choping off a pinkey has about as much to do with communications as does CW.

Yet, any night on 40m you can count just as many, if not more, CW QSOs
than phone QSOs. That's an awful lot of non-communications taking place,
Dave.

538. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3NYb4.2859$Vr.52922@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
>owner be available on the web.

That's an interesting idea. But it really sounds like an
Internet war against gun owners. Exposing gun owners on
the Internet would only be a form of harassment. Your
recognition reason is nothing but an excuse. Be
careful because war can work both ways. For example, what if
someone happened to cross post this to some pro-gun newsgroups?
I'll bet your mailbox would fill up real fast, or maybe
you would even get a visit from some local folks. I think
you will agree after reading this that Internet harassment
is a bad idea no matter which side does it...

539. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <387058F5.31DCE837@csnsys.com>
Source record: 123356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, the fines go into the general fund and are not allocated to support
FCC activities. Wish they were. Even more significant is the $billion/yr
the FCC gets in station licenses and frequency auctions also is gone and
not used to support the FCC. This may be an area where a few thousand
letters might help. Let the FCC keep some of its "earnings".

Bob

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
>
> Arthur H. Wertz wrote in message
>
> >Numbers equal VOTERS -
> >The FCC pays attention to voters, not old-timers!!!
> > Art Wertz N5AEN
>
> The FCC and Congress care little for Amateurs as numbers or Voters...
> Hell...At best, Amateurs represent a couple of hundred thousand voters...
> very divided voters at that! ...NOT EVEN A DROP IN THE BUCKET in National
> Elections!
>
> Right now the FCC is dealing with years of budget cuts... therefore, right
> now the FCC cares mostly about budgeting it's resources... Amateur
> licensees contribute NOTHING towards FCC budgets. (for that matter...
> neither does CB, but the FCC has no cost involved with CB other than
> occasional enforcement as in the ham bands, where fines tend to pay for
> costs incurred)

540. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84q9gi$6jl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123357

Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <84oqsf$7gf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <84o049ttb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> Gray Shockley wrote in message
>> <01HW.B49439A80003C5930944951C@news.netdoor.com>...
>> >On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 14:05:19 -0600, Lloyd Davies wrote:
>> >
>> >> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
>> this next
>> >> Millennium.
>> >
>> >-------------------------
>> >
>> >My goodness gracious me!
>> >
>> >I hadn't realized your were white! I'll have to re-evaluate all your
>> past
>> >messages in light of this radical white perspective.
>> >
>> >I am so-o-o visibly impressed!
>> >
>> >While others struggle through college, the military and through
jobs,
>> all
>> >this is unnecessary because you're white.
>> >
>> >I can understand why you advocate affirmative action for your
>> and "your
>> >kind".
>> >
>> >It's obvious to just anyone that you were born after your time. No
>one
>> >stepping off the sidewalk or getting out of your way because you're
>> white. No
>> >one saying "sir" to you. No bowing and scrapping even though you've
>> earned
>> >white (or, more likely in your case, inherited it).
>> >
>> >Gee whiz, I bet you're even ashamed to wear your white dress and
>dunce
>> cap in
>> >public (or are you above that this generation - maybe part of the
>> Indemnity
>> >movement?).

>> >
>> >I got this off one of my web pages; a page titled "White Trash":
>> >-------------------------
>> >When all you've got is your "race"; when you have no skills, no
>> intelligence,
>> >nothing but the fact that you're "white".
>> >
>> >When you play the "race card", you're the "joker".
>> >-------------------------
>> >
>> >Is it true that you're selling a replica of your "teener weiner"?
>> >
>> >It's 2000, trash boy! For all that you're trying to convince people
>> that it's
>> >1984 with your Orwellian idea of "liberty".
>> >
>> >Oh - I forgot - you're "pale". You don't have to think as do the
>> humans who
>> >are white, black, red and yellow.
>> >
>> >It's simply amazing that someone thinks he is anything at all just
>> because of
>> >a melanin deficiency.
>> >
>> >Is that why you're known as "VFP" (viciously foaming pervert)?
>> >
>> >Gray
>> >-------------------------
>> >Gray Shockley
>> >Entropy Maintenance Technician
>> >gray@compcomm.com
>> >http://www.compcomm.com/
>> >Vicksburg, MS US
>> >
>> >DX-398/DX-375/Select-A-Tenna/Longwire
>> >
>> >
>> >-------------------------
>> >
>> >
>> >Oh, did I tell you how I'm imprssed with your whiteness?
>>
>> Good Post. You said it all.
>>
>> --
>> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
>> Roger
>> Yaesu FT-920
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

>> Before you buy.
>>
>You know what I don't understand about these "white racists"?
>Everything !!. I'll bet if this guy, and his "buds" were around when
>the third Reich was busy doing "its thing". They would have been one
>of the first on the cattle cars. And why is that you ask Llllloyd?
>Because Adolph and the boys wouldnt tolerate "crazy" people. And I
>assure you, you qualify.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Lloyd should search for the website "John Banner knows the Truth."
It deifies Mr. Banner's lovable character Sgt. Schultz, and should
agree with Davies, since he certainly knows, NOTHING, NOTH- ING....!
LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

541. Re: AF2Y's BOYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84q9jc$6r2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123358

Braindead alcoholic babbling Bobby Badblood, aka
hammer007 wrote in message <84ort4$86d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Seems like Richard and Donnie have something in common. More to >come,
stay tuned for the reports.

Who gives a rat's ass, SalesBOY?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

542. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84q9m9$6ru$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123359

Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <84os6i$89e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>>
>Since this is a AMATEUR RADIO NEWSGROUP I would like to address a small
>portion of this "tripe". How is it you figure the "gasbag extra's"
> kept YOU off of HF. It not my fault you are a ignorant, lazy, pompous
>ass. That is your problem, not mine.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

ROFLMAO! In Lloyd's case, ignorance is a way of life.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

543. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84q9p7$6tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123360

Radiosigs wrote in message <20000102203000.18489.00001232@ng-bg1.aol.com>...
><<The way I see it is that if educated blacks take over state governments in
>Alabama, Mississipi e.g. and make Lloyd Davies and his ilk slaves, perhaps then
>the south will rise again.>>
>Doc, A F 2 Y
>Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
>efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
>Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
>never do better than write that letter!

Now wouldn't that be ironic, Lloyd the toid in servitude to a black man?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

544. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <doormatt@NOSPAMbigpond.com>
Message-ID: <ro%b4.7203$oJ5.15236@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 123361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Very well said

--

Matt
VK4HAM

Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:B494CDF3.C18%bromo@ix.netcom.com...
> in article LqKb4.876$L3.20248@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net,

> I think this guy is saying that the point of ham radio ISN'T the hurdles
per
> se, but what they are supposed to represent -- knowledge about RF,
> communications protocols, band plans, and such. It is NOT supposed to be
an
> exclusive club with long rituals requiring lots of effort but
unfortunately
> that is what it has become (well, the club part anyway - and the previous
> hurdle of higher and higher code speeds for more voice privileges was just
> gratuitous, but served the desires of people trying to build an exclusive
> fraternal organization on a hobby whose reason for existance is based upon
> INCLUSION!).
>
> And that is why it is under attack. And that is why the FCC revamped the
> licensing scheme to the minimalist one it is set up for: 5wpm test to
> satisfy the international treaties, and a bunch of multiple choice
questions
> to do the rest. I would figure that it would have been better to have one
> pool of questions required to get the 50MHz+ certification, and another to
> get HF + the treaty junk (5wpm code). We went with 3 tiers, which is
still
> a bit elitist, but hey, it is a move in the right direction.
>
> Now, if there aren't increased numbers of hams, I would expect that more
ham
> radio frequencies to be lost to commercial services - so people who are
not
> welcoming of new hams, and try to be elitist about it and ignore, newbies
> are only hurting the hobby by this irrational behavior and indeed
> threatening its existance!! If you want to have a club of old timers,
> elitist, requiring new members to do lots of rituals to become one of the
> gang, there are a lot of clubs and fraternal organizations out there whose
> very purpose is what you crave! I suggest you join them to get that

aspect
> satisfied and then concentrate on making ham radio even more egalitarian
and
> open than it is becoming! I'd love for ham radio to be in the position in
> 20 years of having the bands way overcrowded due to increased use (not
loss
> of bands!) so we start experimenting with ultra-narrow band technologies
to
> allow everyone to use the stuff!!
>
>
>

545. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <JA0c4.105168$Ym1.1827976@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 123362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

And if you want to go with historical fact, the 2000th anniversary of Jesus' birth was 4 - 6 years ago.

--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

Jeffrey Herman wrote in message <84phth$r8d$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com> wrote:
>>The new millennium doesn't start until 1/1/2001. Ask the US Naval
>>Observatory. All we did today is enter into the year 2000.
>
>Ah yes, brought to you by the same government which used its NORAD
>computers to track Santa Claus on what was supposed to be the eve
>of Christ's birthday.
>
>If you count from the lastest possible year Chirst was born, 1BCE,
>we entered the third millennium Saturday morning. If you want to
>take the politically correct secular stance like our government
>(i.e., the USNO), your millennium begins next year.
>
>Jeff KH6O/6

546. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26465-38708B4C-24@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 123363

Bull!

If you're all for racist language and profane vulgarity, by all means,
bring Rich back.

But personally, I think amateur radio doesn't need his kind.

(Drew)

547. FREE spectrum analyser program for PCR1000 and FT920

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin Campbell <colin@campbell84.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <84q6hmlng1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Windows 95 and above. Some feedback would be appreciated
Colin MM5AGM (GM1LUZ)
http://www.campbell84.freeserve.co.uk

548. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <J%0c4.1110$L3.25228@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 123365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not quite true there Ron. Haven't been on CB since the early 70s but have
been a HAM for some time.

Ron N6AHA wrote in message <9EWb4.1344$73.14390@typhoon.sonic.net>...
>
>Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:_1Rb4.946$L3.22236@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net...
>> The reason 220 was lost is that nobody was using it. Doesn't matter how
>> many letters were sent or what they said it didn't change the fact 220
was
>> not being used. Now you need to wake up and realize if more new HAMS are
>> not coined the rest of the bands will go the same as 220. The future can
>go
>> one of two ways. Your attitude will guarantee the loss of all bands.
>>
>
>Spoken like a true CB'er!
>
>Ron Castro
>KRPQ/KMHX
>N6AHA
>
>

549. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <12D302A4.2D74FFD@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123366

WE WILL!!!!!!!!!!

D

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> Looks like when the Techs and Tech +'s are combined, I can do 10 and get
> away with it! Who's gonna know?????
>
> I love this country!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

550. Re: New License Classes - Why Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <12D307CE.BFF26878@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123367

Guess, because it is not just a bunch of hams talking to each other
on the radio. There is more to ham radio than just shouting into a
mic....

D

"Lumpy (mobile)" wrote:
>
> Frater Conceptulis wrote:
>
> > ...I know EE's with Masters and 2 PHD's
> > who could padd an Extra exam with no technical study and a light
> > review of the rules & regs. Know why they do not have a ham ticket?
> > Because ARRL wanted to keep the freakin code for soooo long...
>
> Between all the name calling, may I be allowed to post a serious
> question?
>
> Is there some reason why EE's and graduate degrees are
> more desired within the ham ranks?
>
> I'm serious! I don't care the class, educational level
> etc of the ham on the other end of a QSO. I don't care
> how fast they had to do cw for the test. I don't care
> if the memorized the Q pool. I don't care if they
> had to sit at the FCC office for the test or take
> it from the local VE group at the weekend hamfest.
>
> Come on guys. It's just a bunch of hams talking
> to each other on the radio. It's a hobby, not
> a right of passage. Isn't it?
>
> Lumpy ab0gd
> --
> Temporary Duty Assignment
> West Hartford, Connecticut
>
> The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
> http://www.digitalcartography.com

551. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: reeldeal@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84qitmdfp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123368

To let WB2OTK back on ham radio to qrm, make racist remarks, cuss, gravedance, belittle authority, lie, cheat other amateurs, organize his group of brigands again, and go right back doing what he did that caused his problems to begin with, would be criminal. He must clear his troubles up with the FCC first, then change completely from what he did while he was a active amateur. Where does Bob Sherrin get off being a shill for the return of WB2OTK, a radio criminal of the first order. Bob mentioned his putting his high powered amateur radio station back on. Maybe he wants payback for shilling, in the form of advise on very high over powered amateur radio activity from OTK!!

Reeldeal

In article <26465-38708B4C-24@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> Bull!
>
> If you're all for racist language and profane vulgarity, by all means,
> bring Rich back.
>
> But personally, I think amateur radio doesn't need his kind.
>
> (Drew)
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

552. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387094F9.6FA2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dave Hall wrote:
> > > As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys that
> > > cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license that
> > > they hold. I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
> > > stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel. More
> > > room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress, and
> > > who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.
> > >
> > I agree 100%. Exactly what is wrong with live and let live?
> > >
>
> Boy, that's right...get rid of all those old stuffed shirts
> that don't want you idiots turning all of ham radio into one
> big Freeband. Right.

Let's see what's wrong with this statement......

First, you make an assumption that those of us that do not hold CW up to
the same reverance as you do, are somehow "idiots". Then you make an
even more radical ASSUMPTION, that these same people are going to
somehow ruin ham radio.

Based on what? Where is your proof?

You are exactly the type of close minded ham radio bigot, that I was
refering to.

If you can't keep to the issues without letting your runaway emotion
cloud whatever logic you may posses, then you'll never progress beyond
your initial prejudice.

Dave

553. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3870951B.61CD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Boy, that's right...get rid of all those old stuffed shirts
> > > that don't want you idiots turning all of ham radio into one
> > > big Freeband. Right.
> >
> > You're out in left field again, Dick. How can duly licensed
> > hams operating on ham frequencies be freebanders?
> >
>
> Just hang around and see.

Yea, and you probably though the world would end with Y2K as well.....

Dave
```

554. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mm2c4.637$FW.48112@cac1.rdr.news.psi.ca>
Source record: 123371

if that was the case ? al lot of amateurs would be w/out a ticket . It takes
a bigger man to forgive ones mistakes than it does to keep a closed mind .
no disrespect but a closed mind is a empty mind !

pvt cue

"Drew Bathwater" <Septic-One@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26465-38708B4C-24@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Bull!
>
> If you're all for racist language and profane vulgarity, by all means,
> bring Rich back.
>
> But personally, I think amateur radio doesn't need his kind.
>
> (Drew)
>

555. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103094149.12577.00000042@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 123372

<< Subject: Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE
From: KC8JBO kc8jbo@my-deja.com
Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 08:55 AM EST
Message-id: <84q9p7$6tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Radiosigs wrote in message <20000102203000.18489.00001232@ng-bg1.aol.com>...
><<The way I see it is that if educated blacks take over state governments in
>Alabama, Mississipi e.g. and make Lloyd Davies and his ilk slaves, perhaps then
>the south will rise again.>>
>Doc, A F 2 Y
>Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
>efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
>Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
>never do better than write that letter!

Now wouldn't that be ironic, Lloyd the toid in servitude to a black man?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

<<AND LLOYD WOULD HAVE TO CALL HIM "MASTER." I'D LOVE IT." BUT THEN AGAIN HOW CAN A BARFie STUMPJUMPER BE POLITE TO A MAN OF COLOR? IT'S AGAINST THEIR BYLAWS. JUST IMAGINE HOW IT MUST HAVE FELT NOT TOO LONG AGO TO BE DENIED A JOB BECAUSE OF THE COLOR OF ONE'S SKIN? TO BE DENIED ENTRANCE INTO COLLEGES, HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS? TO BE MADE TO SIT TO THE REAR OF BUSSES AND TRAINS? TO BE DENIED FAIR HOUSING? TO ATTAIN ANY WORTHWHILE STATUS IN LIFE AND STILL BE KNOWN AS AN "N." HOW ROTTEN. HOW UNFAIR. HOW UN-AMERICAN AND HERE WE ARE IN 2000 AND A DUMB BASTARD LIKE N0VFP DEMANDS THAT WE REVERSE THE TIDE OF HUMAN UNDERSTANDING, FAIRNESS, DIGNITY AND RESPECT TO WHAT THEY WERE IN THE FORTIES AND BEFORE. WHAT NEXT LLOYD, LEGALIZED LYNCHING AND DRAGGING PEOPLE OF COLOR TO THEIR DEATHS CHAINED TO TRUCKS? LEGALIZED WHIPPINGS AND RAPES? AND YOU HAVE THE NERVE TO COME ON THIS BOARD AND TALK ABOUT A 'WHITE MILLENNIUM?' YOU DAMN IGNORAMUS. KEEP THE HELL OUT OF HERE WITH YOUR RACIST COMPATRIATES. YOU MAKE ME ASHAMED TO BE A WHITE MAN.>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the

Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

556. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s71ecgdq5k252@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Mark Morgan" <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
news:38704e2c.430143079@nntp.one-eleven.net...
> On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 16:20:31 -0800, Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >AB7RS wrote:
> >>
> >> The ARRL has always been against any code requirement regardless of
> >> what they say.
> >
> >
> >I wonder what ARRL stands for in his world??
> >
> >Bob, Life Member, American Radio Relay League
>
> Indeed I belief Ed Metzger my director is wrong in suporting
> Code requirements but I do firmly believe that the idea of as much
> Code requirement as is politicaly possible is ARRL policy.

That is exactly what the ARRL's "compromise" proposal was ...
as many classes of license and as much code as they thought
stood a snowball's chance in hell of getting throught the FCC.

They guessed wrong.

The R&O almost exactly reflects the NCI proposal (T/G/E, 0/5/5),
with the only thing of any note that we asked for that we didn't get
being the "sunset clause" and that's not that big a deal since it wouldn't
have "kicked in" until after the WRC deletes S25.5

> It is different from trying to Go down with the ship (all of the ARS) on
the
> issue of code, but it remians in favor of code testing requirement

That is why I stated in a recent post that this is a (somewhat) different
ARRL than existed at the time we lost 220-222 MHz. That ARRL
took an "over our dead bodies" attitude with the FCC and we all
paid for it. I'm glad the current ARRL BoD is a bit more flexible.
```

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHC5VZe+N6+q84HiEQIuuwCdFRmnT8HwgxDpAg7SPDSxh8PzxF4AoNlN
dT8uNXkkRBzd1vt61ZaHXTCt
=G0Ob
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

557. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s71dl45e5k227@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Ron N6AHA" <ronc@sonic.net> wrote in message
news:ABWb4.1343$73.14128@typhoon.sonic.net...
> Then, how do you explain the loss of part of 220 MHz?

I did ... that amateur community in general and the ARRL in
particular had been particularly intransigent WRT the FCC's
proposal for a nocode license.

I was told quite directly by the then Chief of the Private
Radio Bureau (who I was well-acquainted with, broke
bread with and met with when I was in Washington,
etc.) that the loss of 220-222 was meant as a "wake up
call" to the ARRL and the ARS to "get with the program"
and that had the ARRL not taken an "over our dead bodies"
attitude towards progress and the FCC's proposal for a
nocode license at that time we wouldn't have lost the spectrum.

> There was no "public
> interest" served, only the private interests of two corporations: UPS and
> Motorola.

That's ridiculous, since neither UPS nor Motorola got the spectrum
and Mototola is not, to the best of my knowledge, a producer of
the narrowband gear used in that band.

The public interest WAS served in the sense that a new SMR service
was established there by folks who WOULD AND DID use new
technology to improve spectrum efficiency ... whereas the rest of
mobile radio was using FM and 25 kHz channel spacings, this band
requires 5 kHz channel spacing, which in turn requires more advanced
modulation techniques which provide better spectral efficiency.
(Additionally, our use of 220 was dismal and still is, but we still would
probably not lost the spectrum were it not for intransigence.)

NOW, don't get me wrong .... I am NOT celebrating the loss of
220-222 ... I was angry about it too and the FCC could have found
2 MHz somewhere else nearby to reallocate. But I was actually more
pissed off at the ARRL than the FCC on that one. They (ARRL) did
it to us even more than the FCC.

However, this is a different year, a different FCC, and even, surprisingly,
a (somewhat) different ARRL it seems.
```

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHC2ZJe+N6+q84HiEQJf4gCgvn4nNm2GxWwFlx6ye1Z2IDE9FP8AoJHw
o/TsiyiypkZWC3Z+B7mNouCc
=ZQcf
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

558. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84qma4$g3v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123375

In article <84qitmdfp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
reeldeal@my-deja.com wrote:
> To let WB2OTK back on ham radio to qrm, make racist remarks, cuss,
> gravedance, belittle authority, lie, cheat other amateurs, organize
his
> group of brigands again, and go right back doing what he did that
> caused his problems to begin with, would be criminal. He must clear
his
> troubles up with the FCC first, then change completely from what he
did
> while he was a active amateur. Where does Bob Sherrin get off being a
> shill for the return of WB2OTK, a radio criminal of the first order.
> Bob mentioned his putting his high powered amateur radio station back
> on. Maybe he wants payback for shilling, in the form of advise on very
> high over powered amateur radio activity from OTK!!
>
> Reeldeal
>
> In article <26465-38708B4C-24@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> > Bull!
> >
> > If you're all for racist language and profane vulgarity, by all
means,
> > bring Rich back.
> >
> > But personally, I think amateur radio doesn't need his kind.
> >
> > (Drew)
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Aww, poor babies.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

559. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s71f22c85k246@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:387040AD.CF08F02B@mindspring.com...
> Radioguy wrote:
>
> > Howdy:
> >
> > I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> > regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
> >
> > I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
> > group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
> > social security number or zip code perhaps.
> >
> > Seriously, this historic change to amateur licensing should not ignore a
> > subsequent change to appear in manner of call sign issuance. I
> > sincerely feel that those amateurs who made it the
> > hard/old/traditional... way should enjoy that fact---at least for the
> > duration of their amateur career, by having their call sign identifier
> > separate from those of future amateurs for the sake of tradition.
> > Honestly, I think a conventional call sign with a three letter prefix
> > would serve this function nicely.
> >
> > Sincerely,
>
> Why not make it simple and just keep your present callsign -
> Do you really need a vanity callsign or an "extra" callsign?

Art ... the only "vanity" in the above post to which you responded
is the writer's obvious desire to "place the mark of Cain" on all
new hams licensed or upgraded under the new Rules.

Hopefully this sort of bigotry will die out with those who practice
it and not be passed on to future generations of hams ...

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
```

Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ---
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHC73pe+N6+q84HiEQL/fwCghIKiJOcHDXB1sSIAC8dFad3OKJkAnjxm
QB7++wXQflkmF3N1KWLJCdOh
=tiAE
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

560. Need info on old Hy-Gain vertical

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Taylor <jtaylor1@win.bright.net>
Message-ID: <3870BB3E.AD0CE3F1@win.bright.net>
Source record: 123377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I recently acquired an older Hy-Gain trap vertical antenna.
Unfortunately, it didn't come with any documentation, and I'm not quite
sure that it is even complete.

It appears to be a 4 band (40, 20, 15 & 10) trap vertical - 3 traps,
marked 20, 15 and 10. The traps are tapered.

I'm not sure if there should be anything above the 20M trap, besides the
two telescoped pieces of aluminum tubing. Right now, there isn't even
an end cap. If there are supposed to be any other parts, I will need to
fabricate them.

I would appreciate it if someone could let me know what I might need to
do to make this antenna air-worthy. It would be wonderful if I could
get a copy of the original documentation, or at least information on the
proper dimensions, etc.

Thanks,

Jim Taylor
K9JT

561. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3870B1EA.DE3@no.net>
Source record: 123378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Martindale wrote:
> > Suppose the speculations above are true, and England is a society
> > where you're more likely to be robbed but less likely to be killed.
> > How, exactly, does this make the USA the superior place to live?
>
> Don't know about you, Dave, but most of the Texans I know, including
> myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed. It's
> the principle that matters. Of course, if one doesn't have any principles,
> one might choose to be a sheep, ripe for shearing.

That is about the dumbest statement I have ever heard. You obviously
don't know very many Texans, as a Texan myself, most of the ones I know
have more brains than that. A lot of good your principles do you if your
dead. Your the type of idiot that sees an 18 wheeler about to run a red
light, but you pull out any way because you have the green light and
your have right-of-way. So the truck driver was wrong, you were right
and dead.

562. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 RACIST MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84qpf0iki1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <_5tb4.13118$y11.153180@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can
> claim this next Millennium. For the prize is about to be won.

A man was in the hospital for a series of tests, the last
of which had left his stomach upset. Upon making several
false-alarm trips to the bathroom he decided the latest was
another and stayed put. He suddenly filled his bed with
diarrhea shit and was embarrassed beyond his ability
to remain rational. Losing his presence of mind, he jumped up,
gathered up the bed sheets, and threw them out the hospital window.

A drunk was walking by the hospital when the sheets landed on
him. He started yelling, cursing, and swinging his arms wildly,
which left the crap-laden sheets in a tangled pile at his feet.

As the drunk stood there staring down at the crap-smeared sheets
the guard who had watched the whole incident walked up and
asked, "What the hell was that all about?"

Still staring down, the drunk replied: "I think I just beat
the shit out of a BARFIE or a KKKlansman!"

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

563. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3870CAD2.9BAAB619@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Here's your own words Cecil:
>
> >most of the Texans I know, including
> >myself, would rather die defending our property than be robbed.
> >It's the principle that matters.
>
> So if a robber has a gun to your head and there was no way out, you would
> rather die than be robbed. Your principles are more important than your
> life. That's exactly what you said.

You know perfectly well that is not what I said. I NEVER said there was
"no way out". "No way out" are your words, not mine, and I resent your
attempt at mind fornication. There's a good chance I can disarm the average
criminal. For a 6'3" 200 lb. physically and mentally trained armed individual,
there is almost always the chance of a way out without losing one's life *or*
property. If one doesn't take that risk, there is still a high probability
of losing *both* one's property *and* life. If the criminal realizes that
it is his life that is at high risk, he might just change his mind.

However, the *risk* of losing one's life certainly exists and my statement
indicates that I am willing to take that risk. And yes, my principles are
more important than my life just as they are for military heroes who gave
their lives defending their country.

There's lots of evidence that women who fight their rapist have a much
easier recovery task than women who simply submit to their rapist. The
same is true for people who resist criminals Vs submitting. Risking my
life is worth the rewards. After all, I risk my life every time I ride
my Harley.

Let's take a solid example. If you had your pistol pointed at the head
of a criminal who had his pistol pointed at your head, would you give
up your pistol because he commanded you to? That scenario separates the
men from the boys. IMO, you are less likely to die by NOT backing down.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

564. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84oqsf$7gf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123415

In article <84o049ttb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Gray Shockley wrote in message
> <01HW.B49439A80003C5930944951C@news.netdoor.com>...
> >On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 14:05:19 -0600, Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> >> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
> this next
> >> Millennium.
> >
> >--------------------------
> >
> >My goodness gracious me!
> >
> >I hadn't realized your were white! I'll have to re-evaluate all your
> past
> >messages in light of this radical white perspective.
> >
> >I am so-o-o visibly impressed!
> >
> >While others struggle through college, the military and through jobs,
> all
> >this is unnecessary because you're white.
> >
> >I can understand why you advocate affirmative action for your
> and "your
> >kind".
> >
> >It's obvious to just anyone that you were born after your time. No
one
> >stepping off the sidewalk or getting out of your way because you're
> white. No
> >one saying "sir" to you. No bowing and scrapping even though you've
> earned
> >white (or, more likely in your case, inherited it).
> >
> >Gee whiz, I bet you're even ashamed to wear your white dress and
dunce
> cap in
> >public (or are you above that this generation - maybe part of the
> Indemnity
> >movement?).
> >
> >I got this off one of my web pages; a page titled "White Trash":

> >--------------------------
> >When all you've got is your "race"; when you have no skills, no
> intelligence,
> >nothing but the fact that you're "white".
> >
> >When you play the "race card", you're the "joker".
> >--------------------------
> >
> >Is it true that you're selling a replica of your "teener weiner"?
> >
> >It's 2000, trash boy! For all that you're trying to convince people
> that it's
> >1984 with your Orwellian idea of "liberty".
> >
> >Oh - I forgot - you're "pale". You don't have to think as do the
> humans who
> >are white, black, red and yellow.
> >
> >It's simply amazing that someone thinks he is anything at all just
> because of
> >a melanin deficiency.
> >
> >Is that why you're known as "VFP" (viciously foaming pervert)?
> >
> >Gray
> >--------------------------
> >Gray Shockley
> >Entropy Maintenance Technician
> >gray@compcomm.com
> >http://www.compcomm.com/
> >Vicksburg, MS US
> >
> >DX-398/DX-375/Select-A-Tenna/Longwire
> >
> >
> >--------------------------
> >
> >
> >Oh, did I tell you how I'm imprssed with your whiteness?
>
> Good Post. You said it all.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

You know what I don't understand about these "white racists"?
Everything !!. I'll bet if this guy, and his "buds" were around when
the third Reich was busy doing "its thing". They would have been one
of the first on the cattle cars. And why is that you ask Llllloyd?
Because Adolph and the boys wouldnt tolerate "crazy" people. And I
assure you, you qualify.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

565. Re: Remember the beer game "Bob"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84or4i$7k3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123416

In article <386F4663.C2D8A10F@innocent.com>,
Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> Radiosigs wrote:
> >
> > You mean to say after the NOTHINGNESS associated with the entrance
of 1/1/00
> > into our lives, the Liberty Nuts are still screaming "Conspiracy?"
If up to now
> > anyone's considered them borderline screwballs, they've finally
crossed the
> > border.
> [...]
>
> As usual, the picture you paint of the Liberty Net vis-a-vis the
> year 2000 is completely at variance with reality.
>
THE LIBERTY NET IS COMPLETELY AT VARIANCE WITH REALITY !

> For many weeks, the NCS for the Net, W1WCR, has been drawing
> attention to the fact that the supposed Y2K "flashpoints" (such as
> the beginning of fiscal 2000 some months ago) had been passed
> without incident, and I myself said both here and on the Net that I
> believed if major problems occurred, they would be more
> psychological than technological.
>
> One Liberty Net supporter was himself involved in reprogramming
> mainframe computers and other systems to ensure that potential
> disasters were averted. A lot of brainpower was applied to that
> effort, and it was almost entirely successful.
>
> In addition, certain posters to this newsgroup sometimes arrogate to
> themselves the authority to speak for the Liberty Net.
>
> No one should do that except W1WCR, who, as Net Control Station, can
> speak on all matters related to the running of the Net and who has
> his own website (http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm).
>
> On the political, social, and other matters discussed on the Net,
> even W1WCR can't "speak for the Net," since it's a forum with many
> stations with many different views participating.
>
> There's no connection between the 14313 battles and the Liberty Net,
> except for the fact that some people from 14313 have checked in to
> or (unfortunately) interfered with the Net; there's no connection

> between the Better Amateur Radio Federation and the Net; there's no
> connection between N0VFP and the Net; and there's even no connection
> between me and the Net except for the fact that I check in
> occasionally and I enjoy the discussions and leading personalities
> there.
>
> Happy last year of the 20th century,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

566. AF2Y's BOYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84ort4$86d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123417

Seems like Richard and Donnie have something in common. More to come, stay tuned for the reports.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

567. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84os6i$89e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <_5tb4.13118$y11.153180@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> Hello and Greetings from the Better Amateur Radio Federation!
>
> I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it. I
would
> wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.
>
> I want to take a few minutes of your time to let you know of some new
things
> the B.A.R.F/ARG/Stumpjumpers/Liberty Net plan to do for the year 2000.
>
> "Liberty Net 2000" This will be an all-new Website.
>
> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
this next
> Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and
> re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we
folks
> who live in the South claim back our states rights and raise a New
> Confederacy. We all need to pray for this next year. God is sick of
the
> sins of this country. REPENT AMERIKA! God is about to judge this
Nation!
>
> I now want to address the Ham community. This new FCC rulemaking is
a step
> in the right direction. For many years now, old gas-bag extra class
hams
> have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF. We will use to our
> advantage.
>
> We have great things planned for the Liberty Net this year. We will
step-up
> our look-out for jammers and potential recruits. Look for a reversal
in the
> David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from
> Leavenworth!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>
Since this is a AMATEUR RADIO NEWSGROUP I would like to address a small
portion of this "tripe". How is it you figure the "gasbag extra's"
kept YOU off of HF. It not my fault you are a ignorant, lazy, pompous
ass. That is your problem, not mine.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

568. KT4TR SAYS THANKS TO ALL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84osc9$8j0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123419

It is a honor to be named "HAM OF THE YEAR" by all of my fellow hams on the 20 meter band. I would like to say thanks to all for allowing me to be part of amateur radio history. During the year I exposed the facts about wb2otk , and take pride in exposing the jail bird from Tampa, KE4TEW. May all of my fellow STUMPJUMPERS , have a great 2000. KT4TR. AF2Y should be ashamed of himself. The" CLOUDQUARMER" is dead.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

569. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102203000.18489.00001232@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123420

<< Subject: Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 (Duh) MESSAGE
From: KC8JBO kc8jbo@my-deja.com
Date: Sun, 02 January 2000 12:15 PM EST
Message-id: <84o07e$tv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

AB4D wrote in message <20000101234716.28443.00000561@ng-fz1.aol.com>...
>>Subject: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE
>>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>>
>> We can fight back and re-claim everything that has been taken away
>> from us.
>
>What exactly has been taken away from you ?

Racist Lloyd wants to own slaves. He thinks it makes him a man.
The South didn't have the infrastructure to needed to win in the Civil
War. Lloyd's archaic 19th century mindset is quite unAmerican. Lloyd
was born many years after the Confederate Staes of America ceased to
exist. It's amazing, that here we are, in the 21st century and some
people haven't learned a thing.

>> For many years now, old gas-bag extra class hams have kept us no->>
coders from having to get on HF. We will use to >>our advantage.?????

No, Lloyd, your STUPIDITY has kept you from the HF bands.

>Lloyd, I want you to read your statement and explain it to the group
>because it appears that you must of been distracted, or you didn't get
>that much needed grammar book for christmas.
>
>I read your statement and the way it looks to me is that you are
saying >that because there are extra class hams all the no-code hams
didn't >have to get on HF????? and the last sentence of your paragraph
>make no sense????

Typical Daviespeak.

>Lloyd, you post messages to the group and you know the group is >going
to respond. You could at least bring your grammar up to the >sixth
grade level and make your point of view clear.

LOL!

>>I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
this >>next Millennium. For the prize is about to be won.
>>
>What prize, the last time I drove through the south (Alabama,

>Mississippi, and Louisiana) it looked pretty poor, if the south is the
big >prize you can have my share.

Llyod and his ilk wish to start an second Dark Ages.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

<<The way I see it is that if educated blacks take over state governments in
Alabama, Mississipi e.g. and make Lloyd Davies and his ilk slaves, perhaps then
the south will rise again.>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

570. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102203959.18489.00001234@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123421
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
<< Subject: Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 Psychosis
From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com
Date: Sun, 02 January 2000 07:35 PM EST
Message-id: <84oqsf$7gf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

In article <84o049$ttb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO wrote:
> Gray Shockley wrote in message
> <01HW.B49439A80003C5930944951C@news.netdoor.com>...
> >On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 14:05:19 -0600, Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> >> I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim
> this next
> >> Millennium.
> >
> >--------------------------
> >
> >My goodness gracious me!
> >
> >I hadn't realized your were white! I'll have to re-evaluate all your
> past
> >messages in light of this radical white perspective.
> >
> >I am so-o-o visibly impressed!
> >
> >While others struggle through college, the military and through jobs,
> all
> >this is unnecessary because you're white.
> >
> >I can understand why you advocate affirmative action for your
> and "your
> >kind".
> >
> >It's obvious to just anyone that you were born after your time. No
one
> >stepping off the sidewalk or getting out of your way because you're
> white. No
> >one saying "sir" to you. No bowing and scrapping even though you've
> earned
> >white (or, more likely in your case, inherited it).
> >
> >Gee whiz, I bet you're even ashamed to wear your white dress and
dunce
```

> cap in
> >public (or are you above that this generation - maybe part of the
> Indemnity
> >movement?).
> >
> >I got this off one of my web pages; a page titled "White Trash":
> >-------------------------
> >When all you've got is your "race"; when you have no skills, no
> intelligence,
> >nothing but the fact that you're "white".
> >
> >When you play the "race card", you're the "joker".
> >-------------------------
> >
> >Is it true that you're selling a replica of your "teener weiner"?
> >
> >It's 2000, trash boy! For all that you're trying to convince people
> that it's
> >1984 with your Orwellian idea of "liberty".
> >
> >Oh - I forgot - you're "pale". You don't have to think as do the
> humans who
> >are white, black, red and yellow.
> >
> >It's simply amazing that someone thinks he is anything at all just
> because of
> >a melanin deficiency.
> >
> >Is that why you're known as "VFP" (viciously foaming pervert)?
> >
> >Gray
> >-------------------------
> >Gray Shockley
> >Entropy Maintenance Technician
> >gray@compcomm.com
> >http://www.compcomm.com/
> >Vicksburg, MS US
> >
> >DX-398/DX-375/Select-A-Tenna/Longwire
> >
> >
> >-------------------------
> >
> >
> >Oh, did I tell you how I'm imprssed with your whiteness?
>
> Good Post. You said it all.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99

> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
You know what I don't understand about these "white racists"?
Everything !!. I'll bet if this guy, and his "buds" were around when
the third Reich was busy doing "its thing". They would have been one
of the first on the cattle cars. And why is that you ask Llllloyd?
Because Adolph and the boys wouldnt tolerate "crazy" people. And I
assure you, you qualify.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

<>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

571. Re: Remember the beer game "Bob"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102205000.18489.00001235@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123422

<< Subject: Re: Remember the beer game "Bob"
From: Kevin Alfred Strom kevin.strom@innocent.com
Date: Sun, 02 January 2000 07:36 AM EST
Message-id: <386F4663.C2D8A10F@innocent.com> >>
<< One Liberty Net supporter was himself involved in reprogramming
mainframe computers and other systems to ensure that potential
disasters were averted. A lot of brainpower was applied to that
effort, and it was almost entirely successful. >>
<<Just give me the name of that Liberty Net nut and info about where he works
and as sure as you're reading this I shall contact his employer to discuss a
radical in a key position. But I think I already know who the plick is-N3GVA.
If I'm right, give me his work info because I have some great tapes to send.>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

572. was k5blb right is it true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamthenet@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000102213831.23376.00000360@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 123423

copied from the liberty net message borad; at
http://www.nationlink.com/liberty/wwwboard.html
does this meen tha k5blb was right bout the nazinet , moan faggots, moan, and
othr such non sense

Posted by Victor Misek W1WCR on January 02, 19100 at 01:33:21:

I know some of you are going to be really confused, and perhaps a little hurt
by the following information, but I can't live a lie any longer. Despite the
fact that I am well known as an advocate of conservative politics, I am in
actuality a Gay Jewish man who has lived in fear of discovery for many years. I
know that Liberty Net harbors many other individuals who have also grown tired
of hiding their true feelings about other men and Judaism, and I suggest that
they take this opportunity to "come out" as well. I know that a fair number of
you have been sexually attracted to me in the past, and I ask you now to shed
the tissue of lies that have kept us apart. If you're well hung, under 50, and
willing to cut loose with an older father figure type with no sense of
humor,please get in touch ASAP.

Thanks,

Your Vicky

573. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <387013b3.3294316@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 123424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 13:35:02 -0800, "William F. Hagen"
<whagen@inreach.com> wrote:

>when you read the report and order you will see that the fcc carefully
>chose a path through the comments that allowed them to justify what they
>wanted. out of the 700 thousand or so hams in the US, there were only
>2000 or so comments, about a percent or so, I guess they figured no-one
>really cared.

Actually, in the sense of getting comments, 2000 commentors was
really a very large input.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

574. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84p55m$1ka$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> > Brian wrote
> > >They were really happy when we helped
> > >locate a lost rocket using our handhelds for comm.
> > >Sounds like they need to marry the two hobbies together.
> >
> > Well I dunno, ham radio sure ain't rocket science...
>
> Darn! Then I'll have to take up brain surgery.

First you'd better learn how to find your ass with both
hands

575. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84p5j7$5jm$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <387013b3.3294316@news.interactive.net>,
Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 13:35:02 -0800, "William F. Hagen"
><whagen@inreach.com> wrote:
>
>>when you read the report and order you will see that the fcc carefully
>>chose a path through the comments that allowed them to justify what they
>>wanted. out of the 700 thousand or so hams in the US, there were only
>>2000 or so comments, about a percent or so, I guess they figured no-one
>>really cared.
>
>Actually, in the sense of getting comments, 2000 commentors was
>really a very large input.
>
Back when they were taking comments, I posted to this newsgroup
the web site or e-mail (forgot which it was) that was the interface
for submitting comments. I submitted mine (a short one, a few lines
at most) and my name shows in that list in the R&O.

576. Newsline Report *OFF THE MARK AGAIN*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ruzzo99@mash4077.net
Message-ID: <38701905.1893648@news.iamerica.net>
Source record: 123427

newsline@ix.netcom.com(William M. Pasternak) wrote:
:>Newsline 1168
:>
[SNIP]
:>RESTRUCTURING OF HAM RADIO IS HERE
:>
[SNIP]
:>Traditionalists may fret that the code test for the Extra Class license
:>will be only 5 words-per-minute, and that the Novice, General, and

^^^^^^^^
:>Advanced Class licenses will NO LONGER be available to newcomers.

Now that's what I call **Accurate** Reporting.

577. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84p5nr$g4c$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123428

In article <HEUb4.4399$S%2.24851@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you> wrote:
>I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
>license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after
>April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing
>something?
>
That's about it, as I understand it. If you got your tech+ before 1987,
you would be credited for the General theory, but you'll need to show
them the expired license from when you first got it. Or a callbook
entry with your call and name.

578. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84p6b4$1ka$2@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 123429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>

> the ONLY
> reason cited by the FCC for keeping ANY Morse testing at
> this time was the ITU Treaty obligation.
>

OOze back under your rock, Squiggy, you had nothing to do with this. In fact they're still smirking about that belligerant weirdo who made the Ex Farte Presentation. THIS deal was purely a "convenience of the FCC" action to get them off the hook on the medical code waivers, and nothing more. Simlifies *their* lives, regardless of what it does to the ARS.
That is, it simplifies their lives for NOW......

Your bullshit is just bullshit.

579. How about a new HF mode: digital voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84p80viag1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123430

How about a new HF mode: digital voice. Voice would be telephone quality (300-3000Hz), maybe 7 bits deep, then compressed. And then use methods similar to what NASA/JPL uses to communicate with deep space probes, maybe work the world with 1 watt. Make it so other modes only precieve a bit more white noise when interference happens.

Don't know if we could get it to work, so change something in the above to do it.

580. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <3872831D.430C@imt.net>
Source record: 122981
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Never was worried about quantity,just quality.

Z. James wrote:
>
> Regardless of the long winded thread here. 99.9999999999% of human beings
> could care less of the restructuring. Don't worry about your pathetic little
> world being overloaded with newbies, they aren't interested.
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:38710E43.2A86E20E@IEEE.org...
> > AB7RS wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > >
> > > > AB7RS wrote:
> > > > > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
> > > >
> > > > Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> > > > i.e. 49 years.
> > >
> > > I am a new ham and haven't even been alive that long,besides,whats
> > > your point.
> >
> > In that case, it sounds to me like you are the "Weeney".
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

581. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <ehwc4.2999$Vr.58767@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AF2Y_RULES wrote
>Aaron you must be from California... Didn't hear any in my home
>town even back in the 60s

The 10 codes were even published in 73 magazine at the time...

582. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387293F7.6DFC@arrl.net>
Source record: 122983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
> personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
> those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
> NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.

And Gordon West and Gordon West Publishing and Fred Maia and the W5YI Group. Hey, you aren't telling us that the ballot box was stuffed here, were you, Carl? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

583. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387293EF.A2C8A7E0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Are you asserting that it is a delusion to observe that they have failed
> to achieve their chartered goal?

When I was in my junior year in college, had I *failed*
to reach my chartered goal of a college degree? Of course
not. I was exactly "on schedule" toward a college degree.

1. NCI (et al) just won the biggest battle of the war.
2. The war is not over yet but 2/3 of all federal subsidies
have been removed from the code requirement.
3. NCI's ultimate goal cannot be achieved until S25.5 is dropped.
4. NCI, if anything, is *ahead of schedule* toward their goal.
(I'm sure NCI thought, as I did, that the extra code requirement
would be retained at 12-13wpm.)
5. Given the boundary conditions, everything went NCI's way.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

584. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <998982CF0EA6E81C.C707216011FB0AE7.1EF8D43A657B57CE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122985

According to the new FAQ on the ARRL web site, a callbook *would* cut it

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On 3 Jan 2000, Robert Casey wrote:
> > In article <HEUb4.4399$S%2.24851@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> > RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you> wrote:
> > >I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
> > >license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after
> > >April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing
> > >something?
> > >
> > That's about it, as I understand it. If you got your tech+ before 1987,
> > you would be credited for the General theory, but you'll need to show
> > them the expired license from when you first got it. Or a callbook
> > entry with your call and name.
>
> The conversion rules call for the pre-'87 license ONLY. The callbook won't cut
> it.

585. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <2721C37DA442688D.4FD6750C26585309.29E37CE1016B347F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122986

Here's the data, straight off
http://www.arrl.org/news/restructuring/faq.html:

5. What do I need for "documentary proof" of having held my Technician
license before March 21, 1987?

Obviously, if you have an original or a copy of your Technician
license issued anytime prior to March 21, 1987, that would suffice.
Other documentary evidence could include an original CSCE for
Element 3 issued prior to that date; an FCC verification letter of
having held a Technician license prior to March 21, 1987; a document
from the FCC's contractor, ITS Inc; or possibly a Callbook listing dated
prior to March 21, 1987, indicating your license class as Technician

586. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <E3405F261E2192CE.D625B22CB6C149BE.FD4A9F81D1B2056D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122987

> Minnesota Murphy wrote:
>
> Makes an interesting point for the attitude found on CB, don't dare use your > real name or let anyone know where you live else you risk retaliation by > someone who may agree with you. I've never had this problem on the amateur > bands.
>

Give this debate about restructuring time. In fact, I am sure anyone subscribed to this newsgroup who is NOT a amateur, really wonders why they'd want to be. You know what? I never heard anything like this on CB, and I heard some pretty bad stuff on CB!

Kim/W5TIT

587. KC8JBO? You decide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6Nwc4.3902$X14.57045@news4.mia>
Source record: 122988

-----Original Message-----
From: Steve Tunder <n8wgm@cyberdrive.net>
To: n0vfp@bellsouth.net <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Date: Wednesday, December 29, 1999 1:05 AM
Subject: Roger Wiseman

Hello Lloyd.
Been watching the goings on in the newsgroup. Roger
seems to be getting bolder. Here are a few pictures of Rogers house----a
crackerbox if I ever saw one.
Note: If you enlarge the photo on the window to the right under the awning
in photo 5 tiff, you can see an image of Roger. I swear to GOD and on a
stack of bibles that I did not do any retouching on this photo. All I did
was adjust the curves to bring out any detail in the shadow areas.. As you
can see, Roger is shall I say without being cruel----deformed. His head is
extremely elongated and he looks to have some sort of birth defect. Again,
I will reiterate.......I did not put this image in this window. You have to
look real hard, but it is there .
No wonder Roger did not want to come out of his house to meet me. I feel
kind of sorry for him because I know know why he is so mad at the world.
73's--N8WGM

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

588. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <38729935.E1EA687@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> > Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
> > personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
> > those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
> > NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.
>
> And Gordon West and Gordon West Publishing and Fred Maia and the W5YI
> Group. Hey, you aren't telling us that the ballot box was stuffed here,
> were you, Carl? :-)
>

That's exactly what Carl is saying!

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

589. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3872998E.39244B8B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> > Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
> > personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
> > those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
> > NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.
>
> And Gordon West and Gordon West Publishing and Fred Maia and the W5YI
> Group. Hey, you aren't telling us that the ballot box was stuffed here,
> were you, Carl? :-)
>

And you don't think there is substantial financial gain to be made here
through the sale of NEW study guides and aids, i.e. "memorization tools"!

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

590. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38729AB0.E1ABA006@uci.edu>
Source record: 122991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> 1. NCI (et al) just won the biggest battle of the war.

I assume you mean the 5 WPM requirement. If there was one thing just about everyone here agreed upon, and the one thing that the majority of respondents to the NPRM argued for, it was the 5 WPM General requirement. How do you figure that NCI should get to claim that as a victory?

73, Jim AC6XG

591. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38729E8A.5F420800@uci.edu>
Source record: 122992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil wrote:

>When I was in my junior year in college, had I *failed*
>to reach my chartered goal of a college degree? Of course
>not. I was exactly "on schedule" toward a college degree.

Were you "victorious" at that point in achieving your chartered goal?
To claim victory in achieving your college degree while still a junior
in high school would have been premature, doncha think? If not an
abject failure at this point, Carl's claim of victory is at the least,
premature and unwarranted.

73, Jim AC6XG

592. FS Uniden HR2600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Dropp <ddropp@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <3872a1eb$0$53843@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 122993

For Sale Uniden HR2600 allmode radio covers 24.5 to 29.0Mhz.10 watts AM,FM&CW and 25 watts SSB. I have changed the microprocessor with a chipswitch micro. which extend frequency range and adds more memory channel plus adds all the 40 channel CB band. For more info on the chipswitch micro checkout their web site at www.chipswitch.com. Comes complete with box,manual,chipswitch manual,mobil bracket,mic and power cable. Radio is mint never been mobil just like new 200.00 plus shipping

if you have any questions feel free to e-mail.

593. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s759d4uvhu88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:38728AA7.A4F8C360@uci.edu...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > > The only citation
> > > NCI can claim credit for in the R&O is the one in which the FCC took
> > > exception to NCI's idea - the sunset clause. They gave credit to
others
> > > for the ideas they actually accepted and put into effect.
>
> > Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
> > personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
> > those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
> > NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.
>
> I saw Bruce Perens name cited. Never heard of Bob Vernal, but I bet
> he's a nice guy. I own some property near Vernal. ;-)
>
> Please read what I said again, and provide footnote numbers for any
> additional citations which mention NCI and which pertain to telegraphy
> requirements. Thanks.

Read the R*O yourself ... it's available at:

http://www.nocode.org/articles

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>
```

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHKlbZe+N6+q84HiEQLeKACfY8Qi4bNs9dX162KK+LkK7RqAQ0IAoPPG
QcqgaFJ6ld8teh0Yy5Zzcxqc
=nCul
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

594. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s759fn8dhu8154@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:387293F7.6DFC@arrl.net...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> > Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
> > personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
> > those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
> > NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.
>
> And Gordon West and Gordon West Publishing and Fred Maia and the W5YI
> Group. Hey, you aren't telling us that the ballot box was stuffed here,
> were you, Carl? :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Those who were truly interested in the outcome commented. The rest
are now sitting around bitching, whining, and carping. (to no avail)

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHKlwJe+N6+q84HiEQK0PwCgiROVnXAVnjAcihl/tDsnmTtr1zgAoLCx
gzWUOTaWbdBVkK4Taq8Q2+14
=3diI
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

595. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s759kqg1hu8156@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:387293EF.A2C8A7E0@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Are you asserting that it is a delusion to observe that they have failed
> > to achieve their chartered goal?
>
> When I was in my junior year in college, had I *failed*
> to reach my chartered goal of a college degree? Of course
> not. I was exactly "on schedule" toward a college degree.
>
> 1. NCI (et al) just won the biggest battle of the war.

True ...

> 2. The war is not over yet but 2/3 of all federal subsidies
> have been removed from the code requirement.

True ...

> 3. NCI's ultimate goal cannot be achieved until S25.5 is dropped.

Unfortunately true ...

> 4. NCI, if anything, is *ahead of schedule* toward their goal.

No, just "on track."

> (I'm sure NCI thought, as I did, that the extra code requirement
> would be retained at 12-13wpm.)

Not for a minute ... you don't think I'd bet Ed a lobster dinner,
loser drives, if I didn't think I'd win, do you???

> 5. Given the boundary conditions, everything went NCI's way.

You got it ...

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
```

Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ---
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHKmY5e+N6+q84HiEQJJNACdFZvHBbPcWNbYW+BS/1VGsiEozIEAoMMj
cU9i3FBVBfFjSuLxoSWsoJW3
=RsNZ
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

596. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s759n9nqhu830@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:38729AB0.E1ABA006@uci.edu...
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > 1. NCI (et al) just won the biggest battle of the war.
>
> I assume you mean the 5 WPM requirement. If there was one thing just
> about everyone here agreed upon, and the one thing that the majority of
> respondents to the NPRM argued for, it was the 5 WPM General
> requirement. How do you figure that NCI should get to claim that as a
> victory?
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

A 5 wpm General alone is far short of "5 wpm across the board
for FULL HF access."

Given the treaty requirement, that was the best we could hope
for at this juncture and we got it.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHKms5e+N6+q84HiEQLD+ACgn37gjggSAFrZDpt1AiAakDaE/jcAn26T
tiebACNsOp3FGMGLeCW2bHjw
=KnyM
```

```
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

597. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3872A83A.847B7BE1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
> How do you figure that NCI should get to claim that as a
> victory?

Because they were on the winning side. It ain't rocket science.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

598. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3872A89C.39427C80@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >When I was in my junior year in college, had I *failed*
> >to reach my chartered goal of a college degree? Of course
> >not. I was exactly "on schedule" toward a college degree.
>
> Were you "victorious" at that point in achieving your chartered goal?

Jim, at work, does your boss consider you a failure until you
complete your schedule? Get real.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

599. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.12dc717fef77b36a9897e4@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 123000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <387204bd.46061002@news.guam.net>, kh2d@my-deja.com says...
> On Tue, 04 Jan 2000 08:15:35 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Tech+ wrote:
> >> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
> >> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
> >> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> >> at home right away.
> >>
> >10,000 PCTA sphincter muscles just went into spasms. :-)
> >--
> >73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> That could be dangerous for guys like Larry, Cecil. He could
> choke to death......
>
> While I'm here, I'd like to suggest to Tech+ that 14.195 would be a
> great frequency for his net. I'm sure he'd enjoy operating there and
> the other kids on 20 meters would enjoy having him....
>
> 73, Jim KH2D

Heard on the 10-70 machine in Bergen County, NJ this afternoon

op1: boy is this great, something to use my 706 other than CB

op2: roger d the 706, btw does yours get as hot as mine on channel 19

op1: you must be pushing to many swr's thru it. I suggest we get on 20
meters and then we can talk to each other on the way to work

op2: wow that would be great. Do you think we can dx with Austrailians
as we drive in the morning?

op1: sure, they have licenses? Besides, they'll be driving to work just
like we are. Hey..manybe we can get onto a drive to work net and talk
all over the world to others commuting?

op2: btw, how my hitting the machine this afternoon, I just adjusted the
mike gain

op1: a solid 9 pounder, but your audio sounds ok

op2: great because I can see the repeater tower and I was afraid my brick
wasn't working. This having 100 watts is great, no problem hitting the

machine.

at this point, I crossed over to the Bronx and switched to another
frequency to pick up traffic on Long Island. Needless to say, this is
not the 1st conversation of this type heard, from what is being discussed
locally. Your mileage may differ, just the results will be the same

My predication...a great influx of HF users. After the 2nd year, a great
outflux of ex users and the great sell off of equipment. Those that
found that yakking on 10 and 20 meters isn;t all that it was thought to
be will
bail, just like they did on VHF/UHF. Those that are left will be the die
hard dx'ers and contesters. Anyone working the DEE EXXX..your calls
please...2's only

This may be great in the short, but not in the long run.....same-o same-o
and then
the NTA (no test advocates) will be out in force. NO TEST
International..that's the ticket

BTW..hey John prices on new stuff will NOT be going down. According to
previous
economic scenerios, prices will be going up, if any movement at all,
because
of the anticipated influx of new buyers. You are deluding yourself if
you think that the manufacturers, after surviving monetary famine, are
going to drop their drawers some more because of the influx of potential
customers. It doesn't work that way in the real world. Besides, you and
Mark Morgan have already stated you'd never do 5 wpm code anyway, so why
the great celebration. W2xxx has already stated on 40 the other day that
you already complaining about the 5 wpm requirement to the complete
disgust of the clubs members. Is that true?? Are you stating victory
from one face and whining and crying with the other??

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

600. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3872BFB6.3BF9B34D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123001

Oh I'm sorry Lloyd, I thought you had a serious question about wiring in a and S-10. My mistake.

73, Tim ka8ddz

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power cable to
> the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a
> "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I drill
> through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a female
> attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Tim wrote in message <387298F8.7A769A17@worldnet.att.net>...
> >
> >
> >Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> >> I need help to hook this up
> >
> >What is your question Lloyd?
> >
> >

601. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bohnovic <bohnovic@att.net>
Message-ID: <6id57skk9bmotp5saj07sfq22m6legigj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 123002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Jan 2000 21:10:10 GMT, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

>
>I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
>QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
>with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
>creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>dit dit
>
Hans,

Why wait for the FCC to take such action? Just turn in your vanity
callsign now and ask the FCC to assign one to you from the amateur
radio callsign "pool". Show us what a good egalitarian you are.

If you, and others, attach some sort of prestige to vanity callsigns
then it is in your minds only and does not exist in reality.

Personally I'm impressed with the imagination some folks have when I
hear their calls. I remember seeing a vanity callsign and wondering
why the person applied for it until I heard it in a contest. It just
stuck out in the contest and it was easy to remember so I didn't try
to work him a second time.
73..de John/K4WJ
K8PXG from 18 Jun 59 to 11 Feb 97
K8WJ from 12 Feb 97 to 07 Apr 97

602. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <3872AA2E.4F7@rpi.edu>
Source record: 123003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Curt M. Breneman wrote
> >Are you a VLSI/SMT chauvinist?
>
> Nope. But if you can't build a modern radio, how is that different from a
> modern ham who also can't build a modern radio? If you use digital appliance
> equipment, how is that different from that new ham using his FM appliance
> equipment? There's no difference...
>
> >No, I'm not a member of the "thought police"...
>
> Glad to hear it. Then you shouldn't mind what subject is discussed as long
> as no rules are broken. 10 codes are OK. Gall bladder discussion is OK.
> Family communication is OK. Saying hi hi and using Q-codes on voice is
> alright. Some of the thought police here strongly disagree you know...
>
> >I wonder, what brought you to amateur radio initially?
>
> There were no computers (for teenagers) in 1957...
>
> >Wasn't there some element of the awe and
> >wonder of how radios and antennas work?
>
> Yup. But I had more awe for my 49 Chevy with the straight pipes. It was just
> as much fun to work on, and had more uses that involved girls...
>
> >I think that there should always be a technical flavor to the hobby.
>
> And that is fine. The problem comes when some post what they think all other
> hams *should* be. Whether the snub is intended for the CW challenged or the
> technically challenged, it is still wrong. If it is legal, it is probably
> OK. It's that simple...

Aaron,

I think we may have reached some points of agreement - if something is
legal, then it should not be prohibited. On the other hand, there are a
lot of things that are legal that are not particularly desirable.
(Pornography in church, obnoxious behavior...etc) I am advocating that
ham radio operators remain immersed in the technology, but this is not
the same thing as trying to squelch other types of operations. As to
"10-codes", "roger beeps", false southern accents or other such
stylistic mannerisms - well, those are a matter of culture and mindset

more than regulation. In my view, these things belong more to other radio services than to the amateur radio bands, but that's just my opinion. Personally, I'd like to see our hobby be more genteel than boorish. I believe that an emphasis on the technical aspects of radio tends to facilitate this. It's just one of many things that separates the ARS from CB. No "snubs" intended.

If we were all in this just to be able to communicate with one another, we could all just stay on the internet and type madly at each other instead of dealing with the relatively noisy and unreliable radio medium...

My other loves in my later teen years (1972-1976) were my 1968 GTO, my 1969 Chevelle SS 396 and my 1968 Chevy Camaro 427 (in chronological order). You're right -- they worked a lot better for attracting (and comsummating) dates than the home-brew hamshack!

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

603. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JimD <jimd_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <84ugje$sl5$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why Not, I find the prospect of this, just about as enjoyable as listening
to Q codes on 2 meters...

"Tech+" <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8zqqhf$jjAM$1@hotmail.com...
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.
>
> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> at home right away.
>
> These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!

604. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3872DD41.7D4816E0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
> It was the BIG bonus, Jim. Instead of getting a 5wpm
> General, Squiggy gets an Extra!
> Gloat! Preen! Claim "I did it"! when the world knows that
> the FCC was only taking aspirin- ridding itself of a nagging
> Medical Waiver headache.

The reason doesn't matter. NCI got what they wanted. That's
why they are celebrating. If you had gotten what you wanted,
you would be celebrating.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

605. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <R1Bc4.3020$Vr.60608@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
>(Pornography in church, obnoxious behavior...etc)

You'll notice I said if it is legal it is *probably* OK. In the 50's most hams felt that the taboo subjects were politics, sex, and religion, and you seldom heard them discussed on the air. It made for a more family rated hobby. But heck, now days the FCC apparently issues body parts and body functions for vanity calls, so some traditions are long gone...

>Personally, I'd like to see our hobby be more genteel

I think 95%+ of ham contacts are still in that category. The sore thumbs will always stick out.

>I believe that an emphasis on the technical aspects of radio
>tends to facilitate this.

You mean because when their building stuff, they aren't on the air causing problems? How would technical knowledge be related to manners? Just follow some of the techno-whiz engineers who post here, and you might change your mind...

>If we were all in this just to be able to communicate with one another,
>we could all just stay on the internet

I use mobile CW to while away the time on my cross country trips. Nothing on the net can replace that, otherwise...

606. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <D9qc4.3186$X14.44629@news4.mia>
Source record: 123031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote in message

<20000104024922.25165.00000376@nso-fi.aol.com>...
>Oh, BTW -- when the CB-plusser Welfare Generals get on the
>air, what is the first technical improvement to the state of the art
>you think they'll discuss?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Now -- THAT's how you TROLL!!!
>
>Lawrence J. Roll, K3LT | FISTS nr. 2008; CC nr. 703
>k3lt@ka3bdr.#cde.de.usa.noam |
http://www.qrz.com/wrad/directory.cgi?K3LT
>(302) 678-4841 | ARRL OBS - DE
>
LARRY ROLL - DO YOU THINK THEY WOULD WANT TO TALK TO A POMPAS A-HOLE LIKE
YOU?????
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

607. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <38721FF1.6FB3@rpi.edu>
Source record: 123032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
> >one who thinks there should be an element of technical expertise
> >retained in the hobby.
>
> I hope you don't consider your tube experience to be technical expertise...
>
> >I don't think that it should be allowed to
> >evolve into a hybrid of FRS and CB
>
> As long as the operation is legal, what right do you have to say what verbal
> content is *allowed* on the bands? Are you the thought police??
>
> >and (VHF/UHF appliance operators)
>
> More control on what other hams can and can't use? Whoa professor, what
> country are you posting from? BTW didn't you say that you were a digital VHF
> appliance operator...

Aaron,

Yes, I do consider experience with home-brew tube gear to be "technical expertise" (perhaps not modern technical expertise, but technical non the less...). Building the gear was a great experience, and the effort helped me to appreciate the a lot of the issues involved in moving the theoretical aspects of circuit design into practice. The resulting gear puts out a good signal and does a pretty fair job of receiving as well. Are you a VLSI/SMT chauvinist?

No, I'm not a member of the "thought police"... On the contrary - I am a strong advocate of intellectual freedom. I merely propose that by encouraging an understanding of radio technology, we will help to preserve the richness of the amateur radio experience. If there is no nurturing environment for new amateurs to learn about the amazing reasons why radio signals behave as they do, then we all will have lost something - namely, new radio enthusiasts. I wonder, what brought you to amateur radio initially? Wasn't there some element of the awe and wonder of how radios and antennas work? Don't you remember how it felt when you made your first long-range (relative term) contact and then thought about the process that made it possible?

I'm not saying that there isn't room for people who just want to get involved in round-table discussions, check into regular nets or work DX

contests without regard for the how and why of it, but I think that there should always be a technical flavor to the hobby. In my view, this is what sets amateur radio apart from other public uses of radio (CB, FRS, GMRS...etc).

-- And yes, when I play with my packet gear I am being an "appliance operator". On the other hand, I try to appreciate and understand the way it works.

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

608. NEWSLINE BEGGING <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: w1tum@rss.net
Message-ID: <387232bc.325080@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123033

I TOTALLY AGREE WITH THE PREVIOUS POST ON NEWSLINE...

I"M NOT PAYING FOR PASTERNAK"S TOYS !!!!

609. Re: AF2Y says N0VFP is GONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104125445.15220.00000442@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 123034

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
eternal this

610. Re: ANOTHER WA9KJI THREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104125522.15220.00000443@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 123035

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
threat this

611. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: psycowolfe <psycowolfe@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ouqc4.2978$Vr.57614@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Radiosigs <radiosigs@aol.com> wrote in message >

Ed Clark blows the whistle on the world based on his "in" with so many FCC men. There was one in particular whose name fails me and supposedly they were army buds and the fellow DEPENDED on W2KP's sordid tales to help clean up ham radio.

- - - - - --- -- - - - - ---- - - - - ---- -----------
x-archive: yes
Somebody on 14313 mentioned two FCC officials
who have very high profiles in ham radio. One was
James Laraway (FCC Washington) and the
other was Bill Houston (FCC Powder Springs). Was
it either of them? didn't One or both take ham
complaints via ham radio?

612. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38723344.33B4@arrl.net>
Source record: 123037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Dave Stadt wrote:

> So lets see, if I study real hard I can end up like you? I do believe I
> will think about that one for a while. I'm done thinking about it.

If you study real hard, you can end up being whatever you choose to
be...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

613. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Wxqc4.3236$X14.45136@news4.mia>
Source record: 123038
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

YOU LEAVE W2KP ALONE AND LET HIM DO THE WORK THAT GOD HAS TOLD HIM TO DO!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Radiosigs wrote in message <20000104071941.04891.00000269@ng-cp1.aol.com>...
><< Subject: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs
>From: "hammer007" hammer007@my-deja.com
>Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 11:11 PM EST
>Message-id: <84rs1onsc1@news.laserlink.net>
>
> Stumpjumper #19, W2KP, is looking into
> rule violations on 3898. The 3898 Thugs
>better clean up their act pronto! Reliable
>sources tell us that Ed has the unlisted number
> for Riley Holllingsworth's desk phone and
> files daily reports with Riley.
>
><schmuck one will never find. He's one of KV4FZ's original policemen; a
>frustrated Columbo. How many days was it after the death of his wife that
this
>fool was running up and down the highways using a mobile installation to
catch
>those who were hurling insults at Schoenbohm? Two, three. maybe he really
>honored the memory of his wife? FOUR? If K4ZDH believes anything offered by
>this putz, then Riley is making a gross error regarding judgment. Ed Clark
is
>and always has been a snivelling weasel who, without every having proof of

even
>his own existence, blows the whistle on the world based on his "in" with so
>many FCC men. There was one in particular whose name fails me and
supposedly
>they were army buds and the fellow DEPENDED on W2KP's sordid tales to help
>clean up ham radio. Also there was mention made of annoying phone calls
being
>made by enlisted friend pests of Clark's thanks to KP's employment with
Bell
>Atlantic. I mean this guy is a piece of work with the ethics and manners of
an
>orangutan. May God help us all if the day ever comes when either Riley or
the
>C.I.B.takes W2KP's info as gospel>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >>
>
>
>
>Doc, A F 2 Y
>Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
>efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via
the
>Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
>never do better than write that letter!
>

614. Re: ANOTHER WA9KJI THREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <3872393C.139676A0@home.com>
Source record: 123039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

We could read your web link Lloyd if it made sense. It is so full of
half sentences and grammatical errors that it is completly unreadable.
Go take your pills, pal, it sounds like you missed them today.

Eric Cooper, KB6VPI

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> Castle uses Secret Service
> and FBI in felonious threat to
> new citizen.
>
> Castle hits new low attempting to feloniously terrorize
> amateur radio operator through e-mail.
>
> GOTO: http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm#castle3
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

615. Re: ANOTHER WA9KJI THREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <38723EEE.869FBA19@innocent.com>
Source record: 123040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric Cooper wrote:
>
> We could read your web link Lloyd if it made sense. It is so full of
> half sentences and grammatical errors that it is completly unreadable.
> Go take your pills, pal, it sounds like you missed them today.
>
[...]

Actually, the tortured text to which you refer is from the pen of
David Castle, WA9KJI, one of the jammers who has recently resorted
to near-illiterate threat letters in an attempt to intimidate
legitimate 3950 participants.

With all good wishes,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

616. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <y5rc4.45$K76.182@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 123041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.policy Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

| IMHO the "unreliable" aspect of ham radio is what makes it challenging
| and fun. How much fun would working a distant country be over the
| internet be, knowing full well that there's nothing to prevent it? What
| would be the point?

That once was fun. I "worked" USSR via the Internet back before most
people knew that computers could talk to each other, and before the USSR
reverted back to Russia. But what made it so challenging and fun was
the "unreliable" aspect of it. Today the Internet is a bit too reliable
for that kind of fun, but just unreliable enough to take the fun out of
some of the kind of fun that neds reliability.

| The internet is TOO easy. Pretty soon it will become a cesspool of
| sludge for every low-life, social deviate, that can't even get a date,
| much less have a life outside of their homes. It will become
| increasingly difficult to find meaningful, intelligent, and mature
| people to communicate with. This may end up being the savior of ham
| radio.

s/Pretty soon//
s/will/has/g

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

617. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tom B." <ab7tu@parg.org>
Message-ID: <3872351B.ECA0F4DF@parg.org>
Source record: 123042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Talk is cheap. Hope your plans work out ok. I guess we'll see how many guys actually do anything besides spew a lot of hot air.

Tech+ wrote:

> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed

618. Re: K4DOH NEW YEAR MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <387244B8.6B353205@innocent.com>
Source record: 123043

"You get HAPPY!" wrote:
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3870EEE0.8EC86E31@innocent.com>...
> >One might explain it as coincidence, but it's truly astounding how
> >many of the crudely written, filthy, and insulting anonymous posts
> >on the radio newsgroups are posted from UUnet's dialup in Cherry
> >Hill, New Jersey.
> >
> >I've lost track of how many different bogus addresses have been used
> >for this purpose, but at times several new ones show up in a single
> >week.
>
> That's right Kevin. You see the home office is located
> in N.J. and thus it is the Network origination point for
> my postings. Sorry, but I cannot control this. You do
> get a gold star for observation however. :)
>
> Finally, if you would like to lunch someday in NJ please
> feelfree to visit. I and some of my friends in the ADL
> and Southern Poverty Law Center would enjoy a lively
> discussion. I'll be seeing Mr. Dees at a luncheon
> next month, I'll tell him you and 3950 said "hello". O-tay? :)

In case you're curious what kind of person Mr. Multiple-Aliases-in-
Cherry Hill is, you might want to see what he posts in other
newsgroups, such as this message, which he posted just yesterday and
which reads, in part:

> From: "You get HAPPY!" <mikebish@ford.com>
> Subject: Hermann Nitsch Video (sources?)
> Message-ID: <M%5c4.3558$Ec5.191018@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
> X-ELN-Insert-Date: Mon Jan 3 10:37:32 2000
> X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 946924652 63.21.161.131 (Mon, 03 Jan 2000
10:37:32 PST)
>
> Came across what must be one of the most amazing
> works of Avant-Garde artistic expression on the
> Web this weekend. Hermann Nitsch and his masterpiece
> of theartre: The Theatre of Orgies and Mysteries.
>
> I found this feature to be among the most incredible
> works of modern expressionist art on the planet - bar none.
>
> It features live butchering of cows and pigs, people on
> crosses, disembowling of cows and people stamping around

> in the entrails, putting naked people inside the disemboweled
> husks of the bovines etc etc - all set to a musical score
> which is incredible.
>
[...]

With a mentality that actually enjoys such "art," it is hardly
surprising that he soils the newsgroup as he does, or that he
associates with the likes of Morris Dees and the ADL.

With every good wish,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

619. Restructuring FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn874hea.3vv3mc7.jbloom@jbloom.arrl.org>
Source record: 123044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
There is a new FAQ about restructuring available at:
http://www.arrl.org/news/restructuring/faq.html

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager
(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)
```

620. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cindy Hickey <Pogue@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38724a1b.0@news>
Source record: 123045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

as far as I can tell it (The internet)has already turned into an unreliable cesspool.Half the "Information" is outright false and the other half you just aren't sure about!
I agree that the fun in radio is the unexpected opening of bands and getting that special contact.
I have never nor will be a "Ham" ,but I have been DXing for 20 of my 35 years and I still have to rely on the weather and propogation to get some unique loggings.

Harold"Duke"Hickey
duhickey@netzero.net
duhickey@mytalk.com

621. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104141648.02160.00000371@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 123046

<< Subject: Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs
From: "psycowolfe" psycowolfe@aol.com
Date: Tue, 04 January 2000 12:52 PM EST
Message-id: <ouqc4.2978$Vr.57614@news.ntr.net>

Radiosigs <radiosigs@aol.com> wrote in message >

Ed Clark blows the whistle on the world based on his "in" with so many FCC
men. There was one in particular whose name fails me and supposedly they
were army buds and the fellow DEPENDED on W2KP's sordid tales to help clean
up ham radio.

- - - - - --- -- - - - - ---- - - - - ---- -----------
x-archive: yes
Somebody on 14313 mentioned two FCC officials
who have very high profiles in ham radio. One was
James Laraway (FCC Washington) and the
other was Bill Houston (FCC Powder Springs). Was
it either of them? didn't One or both take ham
complaints via ham radio?
<<<<IT WAS LARAWAY>>.>>>

>>

Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

622. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3872576D.F0C50E80@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123047

Pappy@sgi.net wrote:

> Tim, As you responded to Murphy's last post:
>
> It's obvious you have NOT read all of the posts related to Murphy's thread. To bash
> someone for asking a question is just not good policy. He, as all of us have every right
> to express our thoughts, ask questions and respond to said responses.

I guess he has the right to ask how to modify equipement for illegal operation but I don't have the right to tell him why I think it's wrong eh? If your refering to the post talking about the cramped space in his cab, I didn't get it for 2 days after it was posted.

Tim

623. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <84thek$at6$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Veerrrrryyyyy Interesting.....

Although remember, AAA through I think AAH can't be given out.

Mike

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:84r376ptp1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
> QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
> with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
> creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> dit dit

624. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387255EC.B5B7756B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

> Gee, I wonder if WE1FAR is taken.
>
> don p KK6DP, ARRL VE, LA County DCS, "Welfare Extra To Be"

WE1FAR is available. Might as well jump on the "Rent-A-Call" bandwagon
too!

Piero - N3FVG
Current Extra (20 wpm), ARRL & W5YI VE & VEC
(original call from the beginning despite upgrading through the classes)

625. Phonetic alphabets (Alpha Bravo Charlie Delta) (Jan 2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bck1@shep.cl.cam.ac.uk
Message-ID: <84tlrj$4m6$1@pegasus.csx.cam.ac.uk>
Source record: 123050
Cross-posted to: sci.lang,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Phonetic Alphabets (Alpha Bravo etc)
January 2000

There is a widely known alphabet Alpha Bravo ... Yankee Zulu.
Such alphabets are variously known as phonetic/radio/
spelling/telephone alphabets, and the term analogy alphabet
is also used. This collection currently includes alphabets
for the following languages:

English, French, German, Dutch, Flemish, Spanish,
Portuguese, Italian, Romansh, Danish, Norwegian,
Swedish, Finnish, Czech, Slovak, Polish, Hungarian,
Croatian, Serbo-Croat, Greek, Romanian, Turkish,
Hebrew, Russian, Ukrainian, Swahili, Kwanyama, Ndonga,
Afrikaans, Chinese and Esperanto.

This posting supersedes the one of 27 April 1999. New this
time: Unaone Bissotwo, and further alphabets for Spanish,
Italian and Turkish.

My thanks to the many contributors, not all of whom are
acknowledged below. Particular thanks to Gwillim Law
gwil@mindspring.com for numerous contributions and for
looking after posting for a while.

Please report any errors. I will be glad to receive new
material; further references; anecdotes; whatever.

A Web copy of this article, together with some further
material, can be found at:

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/bck1/menu.html>

There is also a copy of the article at:

<http://www.columbia.edu/~fuat/cuarc/phonetic.html>

Also, but not currently up to date:

<ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet/news.answers/radio/phonetic-alph/full>
<ftp://ftp.cs.ruu.nl/pub/NEWS.ANSWERS/radio/phonetic-alph/full>

Editorial comments are in square brackets.

Brian Kelk bck1@cl.cam.ac.uk
4 January 2000

***** ENGLISH *****

The NATO phonetic alphabet:

Alpha Bravo Charlie Delta Echo Foxtrot Golf Hotel India
Juliet Kilo Lima Mike November Oscar Papa Quebec Romeo
Sierra Tango Uniform Victor Whiskey Xray Yankee Zulu

[This alphabet dates from about 1955 and is approved by the
International Civil Aviation Organization, the FAA and the
International Telecommunication Union; note that different
bodies prefer different spellings, so one also sees:
Alfa Juliett Juliette Oskar Viktor]

[The alphabet above is from the Cambridge Encyclopedia of
Language. An alphabet with Alfa X-ray can be found in The
U.S. Department of Defense Dictionary of Military Terms.
Alfa Juliett X-ray, which is the ICAO version, appears in
A Concise Dictionary Of Slang And Unconventional English
and also two Langenscheidt dictionaries]

[UK police use Indigo(???) instead of India.
German army handbook 90/91: Alfa Foxtrott Juliett.
Italian version: Alfa Charly FoxTrot Giuliet Romio Wiskey.
An Indonesian phrase book: Beta Ultra Volvo Whisky X-ray.
A precursor of the present alphabet (1952?) had: Alfa Coca
Metro Nectar Siera Union Whisky Extra]

Phonetics for digits (from an amateur radio FAQ):

zero one two tree fower fife six seven eight niner

From the Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language:

zero wun too tree fower fife six seven ait niner

Atlantic City ITU convention 1947:

Nadazero NAH-DAH-ZAY-ROH
Unaone OO-NAH-WUN
Bissotwo BEES-SOH-TOO
Terrathree TAY-RAH-TREE
Kartefour KAR-TAY-FOWER
Pantafive PAN-TAH-FIVE
Soxisix SOK-SEE-SIX
Setteseven SAY-TAY-SEVEN
Oktoeight OK-TOH-AIT
Novenine NO-VAY-NINER

'Telecom B':

[Note similarity to Apples Butter Charlie]

Alfred Benjamin Charles David Edward Frederick George Harry
Isaac Jack King London Mary Nellie Oliver Peter Queen Robert
Samuel Tommy Uncle Victor William Xray Yellow Zebra

[Found also in Swedish, Dutch, Hungarian telephone directories. An 'analogy alphabet' in a Kenyan directory has Charlie and no Y. A Swiss directory: Andrew Charlie Lussy Queenie Sugar. French/German vocabulary books: Andrew Charlie Lucy Mike Nelly Sugar Xmas. English phrase books for Spanish/Italian speakers: Charlie. A 'British English' alphabet in a Langenscheidt dictionary: Andrew Charlie Lucy Queenie Sugar Xmas. Norwegian dictionary: Andrew Charlie Lucy Sugar Victory Xerxes. Collins Polish dictionary: Andrew Charlie Lucy Sugar Xmas]

[Used as an English alphabet by telephone operators in Israel]

'British A':

Amsterdam Baltimore Casablanca Denmark Edison Florida Gallipoli Havana Italia Jerusalem Kilogramme Liverpool Madagascar New_York Oslo Paris Quebec Roma Santiago Tripoli Uppsala Valencia Washington Xantippe Yokohama Zurich

[An 'international' alphabet in a Dutch telephone directory has: Danemark. A 'French' alphabet in a Hungarian directory has: Cassablanka Danemark Que'bec Upsala Zu"rich.
An 'international' alphabet in a business book for French has: Italy Kilogram Zu"rich; the corresponding book for German has: Italy Kilogram Xanthippe Zu"rich.
An 'international' alphabet in a Langenscheidt dictionary: Danemark Madagaskar Que'bec Upsala Xanthippe Zu"rich.
An 'international' alphabet in a Larousse business book: Danemark Je'rusalem Que'bec Xanthippe]

[West Berlin telephone directory 1965: Danemark Je'rusalem Madagaskar Que'bec Upsala Xanthippe Zu"rich.
Santa Fe (Argentina) 1955: Dinamarca Habana Jerusale'n Kilogramo Nueva_York N~andubay Upsala Wa'shington Xantipo Yokoama.
Azores 1966: Danemark Ita'lia Jerusale'm New-York Que'bec Upsala Yokoham]

[Used as a French alphabet by telephone operators in Israel]

Bombay telephone directory 1962 (also a later source):

Army Brother Cinema Doctor English Father Gold Hotel India Jam King Lady Mother Navy Orange Paper Queen Raja Sister Table Uncle Victory Water X-ray Yellow Zero

Kenyan and Tanzanian telephone directories 1966:

Africa Bombay Charlie Durban England Freddie George Harry India Japan Kenya London Mombasa Nairobi Orange Peter Queen Robert Sugar Tanga Uganda Victory William X-Ray Yellow Zanzibar

Johannesburg telephone directory 1965:

[Note similarity to Alfred Benjamin]

Arthur Betty Charlie David Edward Frederick George Harry
Isaac Jane Kate Lucy Mary Nellie Olive Peter Queen Robert
Simon Thomas Union Violet William X-Ray York Zero

Malayan telephone directory 1964:

Australia Bombay China Denmark England Fiji Ghana Hongkong
India Japan Kedah London Malacca Norway Osaka Penang
Queensland Russia Singapore Turkey Uganda Victoria Wales
X'Ray Yokohama Zanzibar

Used by police in New York City:

[Note similarity to ARRL and Western Union]

Adam Boy Charlie David Edward Frank George Henry Ida John
King Lincoln Mary Nora Ocean Peter Queen Robert Sam Tom
Union Victor William X-ray Young Zebra

[Variants: Eddie Larry Nancy Thomas Yankee Yellow]

Used by police in Nassau County, Long Island, New York:

Adam Boston Chicago Denver Edward Frank George Henry Ida
John King Lincoln Mary Nancy Ocean Peter Queen Robert Sam
Thomas Union Victor William X-ray Young Zebra

Used by police in San Diego, California:

Adam Boy Charles David Edward Frank George Henry Ida John
King Lincoln Mary Nora Ocean Paul Queen Robert Sam Tom Unit
Victor William Xray Yellow Zebra

Used by police in Hutchinson, Kansas:

Adam Boy Charles David Edward Frank George Henry Ida John
King Lincoln Mary Nora Ocean Paul Q.. Robert Sam Tom Union
Victor William X-ray Yankee Zebra

Alternative phonetics sometimes used unofficially in amateur
radio:

America Boston Canada Denmark England France Germany
Honolulu Japan Kilowatt London Mexico Norway Ontario/Ocean
Pacific Radio Santiago/Spain Tokyo United Victoria
Washington Yokohama Zanzibar

[Also: Amsterdam, Baltimore, Brazil, Chile, Egypt, Finland,
Geneva, Greece, Guatemala, Hawaii, Italy, Kentucky, King,
Luxembourg, Montreal, Nicaragua, Portugal, Romania, Russia,
Sweden, Texas, Uruguay, Venezuela]

The ARRL (American Radio Relay League) alphabet (1948):

[Note similarity to Western Union and Able Baker]

Adam Baker Charlie David Edward Frank George Henry Ida John king Lewis Mary Nancy Otto Peter queen Robert Susan Thomas union Victor William x-ray young zebra

[Nowadays the ARRL endorses the NATO/ICAO/ITU alphabet]

Pre-1954 U.S. Navy Radio Alphabet:

(Communications Handbook, 1945)

[Note similarity to Able Buy Cast]

Able Baker Charlie Dog Easy Fox George How Item Jig King Love Mike Nan Oboe Peter Queen Roger Sugar Tare Uncle Victor William X-ray Yoke Zebra

[formerly used by IBM engineers for hex digits and pin positions]

Same alphabet:

- British forces 1952
- "Used by Armed services of USA & GB" (ARRL Handbook, 1945)
- "The Complete Morse Instructor..." (1944)

'Allied Services 1945': Edward Tape

RAF 1943-56: Able-Afirm Item/Interrogatory Jig/Johnny Nab/Negat Peter/Prep

U.S. Army/Navy 1941: Sail

[In similar alphabets: affirm, cast, hypo, inter, negat, option, over, prep]

RAF 1942-43:

Apple Beer Charlie Dog Edward Freddy George Harry In Jug/Johnny King Love Mother Nuts Orange Peter Queen Roger/Robert Suga Tommy Uncle Vic William X-ray Yoke/Yorker Zebra

[cf. aircraft in Dambusters raid: A-Apple B-Baker C-Charlie E-Easy F-Freddie G-George H-Harry J-Johnny K-King L-Leather (officially L-London) M-Mother N-Nuts O-Orange P-Popsie S-Sugar T-Tommy W-Willie Y-York Z-Zebra]

U.S. Navy 1940:

Affirmative Baker Cast Dog Easy Fox George Hypo Interrogatory Jig King Love Mike Negative Option Preparatory Queen Roger Sail Tare Unit Victor William Xray Yoke Zed

Western Union:

Adams Boston Chicago Denver Easy Frank George Henry Ida John
King Lincoln Mary New_York Ocean Peter Queen Roger Sugar
Thomas Union Victor William X-ray Young Zero

British Army 1927:

Ack Beer Charlie Don Edward Freddy George Harry Ink Johnnie
King London Monkey Nuts Orange Pip Queen Robert Sugar Toc
Uncle Vic William X-ray Yorker Zebra

RAF 1924-42:

Ac Beer Charlie Don Edward Freddie George Harry Ink Johnnie
King London Monkey Nuts Orange Pip Queen Robert Sugar Toc
Uncle Vic William X-ray Yorker Zebra

Royal Navy 1917:

Apples Butter Charlie Duff Edward Freddy George Harry Ink
Johnnie King London Monkey Nuts Orange Pudding Queenie
Robert Sugar Tommy Uncle Vinegar Willie Xerxes Yellow Zebra

[Wrens at Bletchley Park: Apple James Kenneth(?) Oliver
Peter Quagger(??) Unicorn William Xray]

U.S. Army 1916:

Able Buy Cast Dock Easy Fox George Have Item Jig King Love
Mike Nap Opal Pup Quack Rush Sail Tape Unit Vice Watch X-ray
Yoke Zed

British forces 1904:

Ack Beer C D E F G H I J K L Emma N O Pip Q R Esses Toc U
Vic W X Y Z

[The OED has a reference for Beer and Emma dated 1891]

***** FRENCH *****

[style: A comme Anatole]

Contributor: Erik Tjong Kim Sang <erikt@let.rug.nl>

anatole bernard c'ecile denise 'emile fran5cois g'erard
henri isidore jean kl'eber louis marcel nicole oscar pierre
quital robert suzanne th'er`ese ursule victor wagon xavier
yvonne zo'e

Contributor: Rudolf Lais <chibm5hp@ibmmail.com>

(Swiss telephone directory 1993)

Anna Berthe Ce'cile Daniel Emile Franc,ois Gustave Henri Ida
Jeanne Kilo Louise Marie Nicolas Olga Paul Quittance Robert
Suzanne The're`se Ulysse Victor William Xavier Yvonne Zurich

[also Geneva directory 1966]
[Larousse business book: Anne E'mile]

(OUP vocabulary book)

Anatole Berthe Ce'sar De'sire' Euge`ne E'mile Franc,ois
Gaston Henri Irma Joseph Kle'ber Louis Marcel Nicolas Oscar
Pierre Que'bec Robert Suzanne The're`se Ursule Victor
William Xavier Yvonne Zoe'

(Langenscheidt phrase book)

Anatole Berthe Ce'sar De'sire' Emile Franc,ois Gaston Henri
Isidore Jean Kle'ber Louis Marie Nicolas Oscar Paul Que'bec
Robert Suzanne The'odore Ursule Victor Wagon Xavier Yvonne
Zoe'

(Larousse business book)

Arthur Bruxelles Caroline De'sire' E'mile Fre'de'ric Gustave
Henri Isidore Joseph Kilogramme Le'opold Marie Napole'on
Oscar Piano Quie'vrain Robert Suzanne Te'le'phone Ursule
Victor Waterloo Xavier Yvonne Ze'ro

(Berlitz and AA phrase books; Larousse business book)

Anatole Berthe Ce'lestin De'sire' Euge`ne Franc,ois Gaston
Henri Irma Joseph Kle'ber Louis Marcel Nicolas Oscar Pierre
Quintal Raoul Suzanne The're`se Ursule Victor William Xavier
Yvonne Zoe'

[with the addition of E'mile for e-acute this is the
official Post Office alphabet]

Similar alphabets:

Collins vocabulary book
and dictionary: Bertha
business book: E'mile
Cassel business book: Zoe"
BBC business book: Emile (for e-acute) Nicholas
telephone French book: Rene'
Collins phrase books: Victor/Viktor
Pitman business book: E'mile (for e-acute)
(also Langenscheidt dictionary, Longman business
book and Loire-Atlantique telephone directory 1966)

***** GERMAN *****

[style: A wie Anton]
[some alphabets have phonetics for umlauts, ch, sch, ss]

Contributor: Hermann Schumacher <hschu@ebs.e-technik.uni-ulm.de>

(official alphabet for emergency services,
from "KatS-Dv 810 - Sprechfunkdienst", 1977)

Anton Berta C"asar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Samuel Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xanthippe
Ypsilon Zacharias

"Arger Charlotte "Okonom Schule "Ubermut

[also Langenscheidt Standard German Dictionary 1993,
AA phrase book and West Berlin telephone directory 1965]
[Collins dictionary and grammar: similar but Bertha
Peter/Paula]

Contributor: mrosa@eso.org (Michael Rosa)

Anton Berta Caesar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Karl Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle Richard
Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xanthippe Ypsilon
Zeppelin

A"rger O"dipus U"bel

More recent replacements: Konrad Zacharias

Contributor: Erik Tjong Kim Sang <erikt@let.rug.nl>

anton bertha c"asar dora emil friederich gustav heinrich ida
julius kaufmann ludwig martha nordpol otto paula quelle
richard samuel theodor ulrich viktor wilhelm xanthippe
ypsilon zacharias

"arger charlotte "okonom schule "ubermut

Contributor: Rudolf Lais <chibm5hp@ibmmail.com>

(Swiss telephone directory 1993)

Anna Bertha Ca"sar Daniel Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Jakob Kaiser Leopold Marie Niklaus Otto Peter Quelle Rosa
Sophie Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xaver Yverdon Zu"rich

[also Geneva directory 1966]

Contributor: Christof A Neumann <neumann@informatik.tu-muenchen.de>

(issued by Deutsche Bundespost)

Anton Bertha Caesar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Jakob Konrad Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle Richard
Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xantippe Ypsilon
Zeppelin

Schule

Contributor: Steve Dunham <dunham@gdl.msu.edu>

Anton Berta Caesar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Johann Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula quer

Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xaver
Ypsilon Zeppelin

Eszett

(OUP vocabulary book)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Konrad Ludwig Martin Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xanten
Ypsilon Zeppelin

A"rger O"konom U"bel

(Collins vocabulary book)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Jerusalem Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Samuel Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xanthippe
Ypsilon Zacharias

(Cassel business book)

Anton Berta Caesar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xanthippe
Ypsilon Zacharias

A"rger O"konom Schule U"bel

(BBC business book) "standard phone alphabet"

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried/Samuel Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm
Xanthippe Ypsilon Zeppelin/Zacharias

A"rger Charlotte O"konom Schule U"bermut

(BBC business book)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xanthippe
Ypsilon Zeppelin

A"rger O"konom scharfes_s Schule U"bermut

(Berlitz phrase book)

Anton Berta Caesar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Samuel Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xanthippe
Ypsilon Zacharias

A"rger Charlotte O"konom Schule U"bel

(Austrian telephone directory)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Konrad Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xaver
Ypsilon Zu"rich

A"rger O"sterreich scharfes_S Schule U"bel

(Collins phrase book)

Anton Berta Caesar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Konrad Ludwig Martin Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Victor Wilhelm Xanten
Zeppelin

Eszett

Contributor: Gwillim Law <gwil@mindspring.com>

(Vienna telephone directory)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Konrad Ludwig Martha Norbert Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xaver
Ypsilon Zacharias

A"rger Christine O"sterreich U"bel

(BBC language book)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Siegfried Theodor Ulrich Victor Wilhelm Xanthippe
Ypsilon Zeppelin

(Hugo business book)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Gustav Heinrich Ida
Julius Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paris Quelle
Richard Samuel Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xantippe
Ypsilon Zacharias

A"rger Charlotte O"konom U"bermut

(Langenscheidt phrase book)

Anton Berta Ca"sar Dora Emil Friedrich Georg Heinrich Ida
Johann Kaufmann Ludwig Martha Nordpol Otto Paula Quelle
Richard Samuel Theodor Ulrich Viktor Wilhelm Xaver Ypsilon
Zeppelin

A"rger O"konom U"bermut

Contributor: Anno Siegel <anno4000@w172zrz.zrz.TU-Berlin.DE>

Arno Borvaselin Coburg-Gotha Doria Ernst Friedrichsroda
Gomorrha Herrenzimmer Ida Jawohl_Odol Kolberg_Ost Leonidas
Motor Nora Oekonom Per_Motorrad Quohnsdorf_bei_Forst
Revolver Sabine Tod Uniform Verbrennungstod Weltnordpol
Xolabaphon York_Yellowstone Zoroaster

oe Oekonomie
ue Ueberkonto

[This alphabet provides mnemonics for Morse code: a syllable
corresponds to a dash if it contains 'o', a dot otherwise.]

For another alphabet of this nature see
<http://scoutnet.ch/archives/books/morse.htm>

***** DUTCH *****

[style: A van Anna]

Contributor: Erik Tjong Kim Sang <erikt@let.rug.nl>

anna bernhard cornelis dirk eduard ferdinand gerard hendrik
izaak jan karel lodewijk marie nico otto pieter quotient
rudolf simon teunis utrecht victor willem xantippe
ij: ijmuiden ypsilon zaandam

[Berlitz phrase book: bernard quadraat]

More recently: Anton Jacob Leopold (Lodewijk) Maria Theodoor
IJsbrand (IJmuiden)

(Collins phrase book)

Amsterdam Bravo Charlie Dirk Edam Freddie goed help Isaac
Jaap kilo lasso moeder Nico Otto paard Quaker Rudolf suiker
tafel uur vogel wind xylofoon Yankee zout

***** FLEMISH *****

[the N.O.D.E. defines Flemish as the Dutch language
as spoken in Flanders]

Contributor: Erik Tjong Kim Sang <erikt@let.rug.nl>

arthur brussel carolina desire emiel frederik gustaaf
hendrik isidoor jozef kilogram leopold maria napoleon oscar
piano qualite robert sofie telefoon ursula victor waterloo
xavier yvonne zola

***** SPANISH *****

[style: A de Antonio]
[some alphabets have phonetics for ch, ll, n~, rr]

(BBC business book, Collins and Berlitz phrase books)

Antonio Barcelona Carmen Chocolate Dolores Enrique Francia
Gerona Historia Ine's Jose' Kilo Lorenzo Llobregat Madrid
Navarra N~on~o Oviedo Pari's Querido Ramo'n Sa'bado
Tarragona Ulises Valencia Washington Xiquena Yegua Zaragoza

[An AA phrase book has "i griega" for Y]
[Collins dictionary and grammar: similar but Ine's/Israel
Querido/Quebec Ulises/Uruguay]

Contributor: Paul Driessen <pdr@intis.nl>

(used in ministries in Madrid in the 1960s)

Alicante Bilbao Ca'diz Dinamarca Espan~a Francia Girona
Huelva Italia Jae'n Kilo Lugo LLamar Madrid Navarra Oviedo
Portugal Queso Roma EreDoble Sevilla Toledo U'nico Valencia
UveDoble equis Yugoslavia Zaragoza

(business book) "The telephonist's alphabet"

Antonio Barcelona Carmen Domingo Espan~a/Enrique Francia
Gerona Historia Italia/i_latina Jose'/Jaen Kilo Le'rida
Llave Madrid Navarra N~ando Oviedo Portugal/Pari's Queso
Ramo'n/Roma Sevilla Tarragona/Toledo Ursula/Ubeda Valencia
Washington Xilofo'n Yegua Zaragoza

(Langenscheidt phrase book)

Antonio Bogota' Carmen Chocolate Dora Enrique Francisco
Gibraltar Historia Ine's Jose' Kilo Lorenzo Llobregat
Me'xico Nicaragua N~on~o Oce'ano Paraguay Querido Ramo'n
Sa'bado Toma's Ulises Venezuela Washington Xiquena Yegua
Zaragoza

(Berlitz phrase book) [Latin American]

Amalia Beatriz Carmen Domingo Enrique Federico Guatemala
Honduras Ida Jose' Kilo Lima Llave Me'xico Nicaragua N~on~o
Olimpo Pablo Quito Rafael Santiago Teresa Uruguay Venezuela
Washington Xilo'fono Yucata'n Zorro

(AA phrase book) [Latin American]

Ana bueno Carlos chocolate dedo Eduardo Francia gato
historia Ine's Jose' Kilo Luis Llanes Madrid Norma n~on~o
Omar Pedro querido Ramo'n sa'bado Teresa Ulises Victor
Washington Xiquena i_griega Zaragoza

Contributor: "Francisco Andragnes" <fa93@columbia.edu>

(used in Argentina)

Alicia Beatriz Carolina Dorotea Eva Federico Guillermina
Hombre Ines Josefina Kilo Lola Doble_Lola Maria Natalia
N~andu Ofelia Pandora Quintana Rosa Sara Teresa Ursula
Veronica Washington Xilofon Yolanda Zapato

***** PORTUGUESE *****

[style: A de/como Aviero]

(Berlitz phrase book)

Aveiro Braga Coimbra Dafundo E'vora Faro Guarda Horta
Ita'lia Jose' Kodak Lisboa Maria Nazare' Ovar Porto Queluz
Rossio Setu'bal Tavira Unidade Vidago Waldemar Xavier York
Zulmira

[Azores telephone directory 1966: Braganc,a Kilograma
Wilson]

(Collins phrase books)

Alexandre Banana/Bastos Carlos Daniel Eduardo Franc,a
Gabriel Holanda Ita'lia Jose' Lisboa Maria Nicolau O'scar
Paris Quarto Ricardo Susana Teresa Ulisses Venezuela Xangai
Zebra

(AA phrase book)

Ame'rica Bernardo Colo'nia Dinamarca Espanha Franc,a Gre'cia
Holanda Irlanda Japa~o Kremlin Londres Madrid Na'poles Oslo
Portugal Quilo Ru'ssia Sue'cia Turquia Uruguai Vito'ria
Washington Xangai Zurique

(Collins dictionary)

Anto^nio Beatriz Carlos dado Eliane Francisco Gomes Henrique
Irene Jose' Ka'tia Lu'cia Maria Nair Osvaldo Pedro
Quintela/Quinteta Roberto Sandra Tereza U'rsula Vera William
Xavier Yolanda Zebra

***** ITALIAN *****

[style: A come Ancona]

Contributor: ebuie@sed.csc.com (Elizabeth Buie)
ebuie@starlab.csc.com

Ancona, Bologna, Como, Domodossola, Empoli, Firenze, Genova,
Hacca, Imola, Jolly, Kappa, Livorno, Milano, Napoli,
Otranto, Pisa/Palermo, Quartomiglio, Roma, Savona/Siena,
Torino, Udine, Venezia, Wagner, Xilofono, York, Zara

Contributor: Rudolf Lais <chibm5hp@ibmmail.com>

(Swiss telephone directory 1993)

Anna Battista Carlo Davide Ernesto Federico Giovanni acca
Isidoro i_lungo cappa Luigi Maria Nicola Olga Pietro
Quintino Rodolfo Susanna Teresa Umberto Vittorio vu_doppia
ics ipsilon Zurigo

[also Geneva directory 1966]

(Cassel language book)

Ancona Bologna Cagliari Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova
Hotel Imola Jolly Kappa Londra Milano Napoli Otranto Palermo
Quarto Roma Sondrio Torino Udine Vicenza Vdoppio X
Yugoslavia Zagabria

(Cassel business book, Collins dictionary)

Ancona Bologna Como Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova Hotel
Imola I_lunga Kursaal Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Padova
Quarto Roma Savona Torino Udine Venezia Washington Ics
York/yacht Zara

[AA phrase book: Jersey Xeres]
[Collins dictionary: hotel Jersey Taranto Xeres Yacht]

(BBC language book)

Ancona Bologna Como Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova Hotel
Imola Jersey Kilo Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Palermo
Quaderno Roma Savona Torino Udine Venezia Washington ics
York Zurigo

Contributor: Salvatore Innaimi <MC1612@mclink.it>

Ancona Bari Como Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova Acca
Imola Jolly Cappa Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Palermo
Quartomiglio Roma Siena Torino Udine Venezia Walter Ics York
Zurigo

(Berlitz phrase book)

Ancona Bari Catania Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova Hotel
Imperia i_lunga kappa Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Palermo
cu Roma Sassari Torino Udine Venezia v_doppia ix i_greca
zeta

(Collins phrase book)

Ancona Bari Catania Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova Hotel
Imperia Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Palermo quarto Roma
Savona Torino Udine Venezia

(Collins phrase book)

Ancona Bari Como Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova Hotel
Imola Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Palermo Quarto Roma
Savona Torino Udine Venezia Zara

(Pitman business book)

Ancona Bologna Como Domodossola Empoli Firenze/Forli` Genova
(acca) Imola (i_lunga) (cappa) Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto
Palermo (cu) Roma Savona Torino Udine Venezia Washington
(ics) (ipsilon) Zara

(Berlitz business book)

Ancona Bari Catania Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova Hotel
Imola Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Palermo Quarto Roma
Sassari Torino Udine Venezia

(Langenscheidt phrase book)

Ancona Bologna Como Domodossola Empoli Forli` Genova Hotel
Imola Jolanda cappa Livorno Milano Napoli Otranto Pisa
Quattro Roma Salerno Torino Udine Venezia Washington ics
ypsilon zeta

(Routledge grammar book)

Ancona Bologna Como Domodossola Empoli Firenze Genova hotel
Imola i_lungo/Jolly cappa/Kennedy Livorno Milano Napoli
Otranto Palermo cu/quaranta/Quarto Roma Salerno Torino Udine
Venezia Washington ics ipsilon zeta/Zara

***** ROMANSH *****

[also spelt Rumansh]

Contributor: Rudolf Lais <chibm5hp@ibmmail.com>

(Swiss telephone directory)

Anna Berta Carla Dora Emil Flurin Guido Hugo Ida Judit Kilo
Luisa Maria Nesa Otto Paula Quirin Rita Silvia Toni Ursin
Victor Willi Xaver Yvonne Zita

***** DANISH *****

(Berlitz phrase book)

Anna Bernhard Cecilia David Erik Frederik Georg Hans Ida
Johan Karen Ludvig Marie Nikolaj Odin Peter Quintus Rasmus
Soeren Theodor Ulla Viggo William Xerxes Yrsa Zacharias
ae: Aegir o-slash: Oeresund a-circle: Aase

[Copenhagen telephone directory: Cecilie Nikolai]

Contributor: "Per K. Nielsen" <pnielsen@image.dk>

The Danish military use the following additions to the NATO
alphabet:

Aegir Oedis Aase

but air traffic uses Oeresund.

***** NORWEGIAN *****

[style: A for Anna]

Contributor: seem@oslonett.no (Terje Trane)

(telephone directory)

Anna Bernhard Caesar David Edith Fredrik Gustav Harald Ivar
Johan Karin Ludvig Martin Nils Olivia Petter Quintus Rikard
Sigrid Teodor Ulrik Enkel-V Dobbelt-V Xerxes Ynling Zakarias
ae: Aerleg o-slash: Oern a-circle: Aase

[Berlitz phrase book: enkelt-V dobbelt-V Yngling Aerlig]
[Oslo directory 1965: enkelt-v Yngling Aerlig Oesten]
[Dictionaries: similar but with Sigurd]

[the forms Ynling and Aerleg are considered incorrect]

***** SWEDISH *****

Contributors: simon@dront.nada.kth.se (Simon Tardell)
Jonas Wallgren <jwc@ida.liu.se>
m8509@sparc2.abc.se (Thomas Green)

[also used officially in Finland]

Adam, Bertil, Cesar, David, Erik, Filip, Gustav, Helge,
Ivar, Johan, Kalle, Ludvig, Martin, Niklas, Olof, Petter,
Quintus, Rudolf, Sigurd, Tore, Urban, Viktor, Wilhelm,
Xerxes, Yngve, Z{ta,]ke, [rlig, \sten

where { is a with dots
] A with ring
[A with dots
\ O with dots

[Berlitz phrase book: Qvintus]
[telephone directory: Qvintus Willhelm]

Sometimes used: Fredrik, Olle, Rikard

Stockholm police use Kryss (meaning cross) for X.

***** FINNISH *****

Contributors: Jukka Rahkonen <jrahkone@viikki.helsinki.fi>
Kaita Seikku <spk@proffa.cc.tut.fi>

Aarne Bertta Celsius Daavid Eemeli Faarao Gideon Heikki
Iivari Jussi Kalle Lauri Matti Niilo Otto Paavo Kuu Risto
Sakari Tyyne Urho Vihtori Viski [ks{ Yrj| Tseta]ke [iti
\ljy

Uppercase Lowercase
] } a with circle (Swedish)
[{ a with dots
\ | o with dots

(Berlitz phrase book)

Anna Bertta Cecilia Daavid Erkki Faarao Gabriel Heikki
Iivari Jaakko Kalle Lauri Mikko Niilo Otto Pekka Quintus

Risto Sakari Tauno Urho Va"ino" kaksin/kertainen_v Xeres
Yrjo" Zeppelin ruotsalainen_o a"iti o"ljy

Morse code:

.-.- a-umlaut
.- -.- a-circle
- - -. o-umlaut

***** CZECH *****

(Berlitz phrase book)

Adam Boz~ena Cyril David D'umbier Emil Frantis~ek Gustav
Helena Ivan Josef Karel Ludvi'k L'ubochn~a Marie Norbert Oto
Petr Quido Rudolf R~ehor~ Svatopluk S~imon Toma's~ T'epla'
Urban Va'clav dvojite'_ve' Xaver ypsilon Zuzana Z~ofie

***** SLOVAK *****

Contributor: Martin Votruba <votruba+@pitt.edu>

(telephone directory)

Adam, Boz~ena, Cyril, C~adca, Da'vid, D~umbier, Emil,
Frantis~ek, Gusta'v, Helena, CHrudim, Ivan, Karol, Ludvi'k,
L~ubochn~a, Ma'ria, Norbert, N~ - Nitra, Oto, Peter, Quido,
Rudolf, Sva"topluk, S~imon, Toma's~, T~ - Tepla', Urban,
Va'clav, W - dvojite' ve', Xaver, Ypsilon, Zuzana, Z~ofia

***** POLISH *****

[style: A jak Adam]

Contributor: Michal Jankowski <michalj@fuw.edu.pl>

(Warsaw telephone directory)

Adam Barbara Celina Danuta Ewa Franciszek Genowefa Henryk
Irena Jadwiga Karol Leon L/ukasz Maria Natalia Olga Pawel/
Roman Stanisl/aw Tadeusz Urszula Wl/adysl/aw Xantypa Ygrek
Zygmunt

[Collins dictionary: Cecylia/Celina Dorota Quebec S'wiatowid
Violetta Wacl/aw Ypsylon]

Contributor: Gyo:ngyo:si Jo'zsef <gyongyosi@bpsof.enet.dec.com>

(used in amateur radio)

Adam, Bozena, Celina, Dawid, Ewa, Franek, Grazyna, Henryk,
Irena, Janusz, Kilo, Ludwik, Maria, Natalia, Olga, Pawel/,
Quido, Roman, Stefan, Tomasz, Urban, Violeta, Wanda, Xawer,
Y-grek, Zygmunt

(Berlitz phrase book)

Adam Barbara Celina Dorota Ewa Franciszek Grazyna Henryk
Iwona Jan Karol Leon L/ukasz Maria Natalia Olga Piotr Quiz
Roman Stanisl/aw Tadeusz Urszula Violeta Wacl/aw Xymena
Ypsylon Zenon

***** HUNGARIAN *****

(telephone directory)

Andra's Be'la Cecil Do'ra Eleme'r Ferenc Gizella Hajnalka
Istva'n Ja'nos Katalin Luca Ma'tya's Na'ndor Olga Piroska
Queen Ro'bert Sarolta Ti'mea Ubul Vilmos Walter Xe'nia
Ypsilon Zolta'n

Contributor: Gyo:ngyo:si Jo'zsef <gyongyosi@bpsof.enet.dec.com>

(used in amateur radio)

Antal, Be'la, Cecil (Ce'za'r), De'nes, Eleme'r, Ferenc,
Ge'za, Hele'n, Ibolya (Imre), Ja'nos, Ka'roly, La'szlo',
Ma'ria, Nelli, Olga, Pe'ter, Kvelle, Ro'bert, Sa'ndor,
Tama's, Ubul, Viktor (Vilmos), dupla-ve' (dupla-Vilmos,
"viszki"), "x-es", "ipszilon" (jenki), Zolta'n

(Berlitz phrase book)

Alada'r A'gota Bala'zs Ceci'lia De'nes Erzse'bet E'va Ferenc
Ga'bor Hele'n Ilona Jo'zsef Ka'roly La'szlo' Mo'nika Na'ndor
Olga O:do:n Pe'ter Ku' Ro'bert Sa'ndor Tivadar Ubul U:ro:m
Vilma duplave' iksz ipszilon Zora'n

***** CROATIAN *****

Contributor: Ivan.Drzanic@gbcon.g-box.fido.hr (Ivan Drzanic)

Adria, Biokovo, Cavtat, Dubrovnik, Europa, Frankopan,
Gospic', Hrvatska, Istra, Jadran, Karlovac, Lika, Mostar,
Novska, Osijek, Pula, Q, Rijeka, Split, Trogir, Uc~ka,
Vukovar, W, X, Y, Zagreb

***** SERBO-CROAT *****

(Berlitz phrase book)

Avala Beograd Cetinje C~ac~ak C'uprija Dubrovnik Djakovo
Dz~amija Evropa Foc~a Gorica Hercegovina Istra Jadran Kosovo
Lika Ljubljana Mostar Nis~ Njegos~ Osijek Pirot Rijeka
Skopje S~ibenik Titograd Uros~evac Valjevo Zagreb Z~irovnica
Kvadrat Duplo_V Ipsilon Iks

***** GREEK *****

(AA phrase book)

Ale'xandros Vasi'lios Geo'rgios Deme'trios Ele'ne Zoe'
Erakle's Theo'doros Ioa'nnes Konstanti'nos Leoni'das
Mene'laos Niko'laos Xenofo'n Odusse'as Perikle's Ro'dos

Sote'rios Timole'on Upsela'ntes Fo'tios Chre'stos Psa'ltes
Ome'ga

***** ROMANIAN *****

(Berlitz phrase book)

Ana Barbu Constantin Dumitru Elena Florea Gheorghe
Haralambie Ion Jiu kilogram Laza~r Maria Nicolae Olga Petre
qu Radu Sandoo Tudor T,ara~ Udrea Vasile dublu_V Xenia
I_grec zaha~r

***** TURKISH *****

Contributor: Gorkem Cetin <e077245@narwhal.cc.metu.edu.tr>

ankara bursa ceyhan CankIrI denizli edirne fatsa giresun
hopa Isparta izmir jale kayseri lUleburgaz manisa nazilli
ordu OdemiS pazar rize samsun SarkOy trabzon urfa Unye van
yalova zonguldak

(Berlitz phrase book)

adana balIkesir ceyhan Corum diyarbakIr edirne fatsa giresun
hatay Irmak istanbul jandarma kastamonu lUleburgaz manisa
nazilli ordu OdemiS pazar quebek rize samsun trabzon urla
Unye van dubel_v xavier yozgat zonguldak

Contributor: Fuat C. Baran <fuat@columbia.edu>

(1982 diary) "standard" for telephone

ankara bursa ceyhan Canakkale denizli edirne fatih giresun
hakkAri Ilgaz izmir japonya kayseri lUleburgaz malatya
nevSehir ordu OdemiS polatlI rize sivas Sile trabzon uSak
Unye van yozgat zonguldak

(AA phrase book)

adana bursa cide Canakkale denizli edirne fethiye giresun
hatay Isparta izmir lUleburgaz malatya nevSehir ordu Oren
pamukkale rize sinop Sirvan tokat urfa UskUp van yozgat
zonguldak

Contributor: kadifeli@cmpe.boun.edu.tr

adana bursa ceyhan Canakkale denizli edirne fatih giresun
yumuSak_ge hatay Isparta izmir jale kayseri lUleburgaz
malatya nevSehir ordu OdemiS polatlI rize samsun Sile
trabzon urfa Unye van yozgat zonguldak

***** HEBREW *****

(Berlitz phrase book)
(phonetics for Roman alphabet)

Affula Binyamina Carmel Dalia Eretz France Gedera Haifa
Israel Jaffa Karkur Lod Moledet Naan Ogen Pardes Queen
Rishon Sefer Tveria Urim Vered Wingate Express Yavniel
Zikhron

[used by telephone operators in Israel]

Later edition:

afula binyamina karmel dalya eretz frans gedera h.eyfa
yisrael jafa karkur lod moledet naan ogen pardes kvin rishon
sefer tverya urim vered vingeyt ekspres yavniel zikhron

Contributor: Alon Tal <mtl@netvision.net.il>

Aleph Boaz Gimel David Hagar Vav Ze'ev Hava Tiach Yona
Carmel Lea Moshe Nesher Samekh A'in Pesel Tsipor Korakh Ruth
Shamir Telem

Contributor: kiezer@aquanet.co.il

Osnat Bela Gila Dalia Hagar Vered Ziva Hava Tova Yona Carmel
Leytal Miri Nurit Smadar Einat Fani Tzila Korina Ruth Sarah
Tami

***** RUSSIAN *****

Contributor: tom@systemtechnik.tu-ilmenau.de (Thomas Planke)

Aleksej Boris Vasilij Grigorij Dmitrij Elena Zhenja Zoya
Ivan Ivan_Kratkij Kilowatt Leonid Maria Nikolai Olga Pavel
Roman Sergej Tatjana Uljana Fjodor Hariton Zaplja Chelovek
Shura Schuka Tviordiy_Znak Igrek Miagkiy_Znak Emilija Yuri
Jakow

Contributor: "Oleg.A.Chernozyomov" <victoria@rada1.saratov.su>

(used in amateur radio)

Anna Boris Vasiliy Galina Dmitriy Yelena Yozh Zhuk Zinaida
Ivan Ivan_Kratkiy Konstantin Leonid Maria Nikolay Olga Pavel
Roman Sergey Tamara Ul'yana Fyodor Hariton Tsentr Chelovek
Shura Shchyuka Tvyordy_Znak Yery Myagkiy_Znak Ekho Yuliana
Yakov

Variants: Anton Grigoriy Yolka Zhenya Zoya Irina/Igor Yot
Kilowatt Lyubov Mikhail Nadezhda/Nina Oleg Polina Radio
Semyon Tatyana Tsaplya Znak/Iks Igrek Znak/Iks

Used informally: Borya Vasya Grisha Galya Dima Zina Kostya
Kolya Lyonya Lyuba Misha Masha Nadya Pasha Roma Sasha Tanya
Fedya Yulya Yasha

Morse code is as follows:

A B W G D E E V Z I J K L M N O P R S T
U F H C /- - -./- - - -/ Q X Y X /..-../..- -/.-.-

and the same mapping provides a system of phonetics for
Roman letters (used in parallel with Alpha Bravo). [The
mapping is similar to KOI-7 but not identical]

(AA phrase book)

Anna Boris Viktor Grigoriy Dmitriy Elena Yolka Zhenya Zoya
Irina Yod Konstantin Liza Mariya Natasha Ol'ga Pyotr Ruslan
Semyon Tat'yana Ukraina Fyodor Khar'kov Tsaritsa Chekhov
Shura Shchuka Erik Yuriy Yana

***** UKRAINIAN *****

Contributor: Valery Kharchenko RA6YR

(used in amateur radio)

Andriy Bogdan Vasil'(W) Grigory Dmitro Yenei(E) Zhuk(V)
Zenoviy(Z) Igrek(Y) Ivan(I) Izhak(I) Yosip(J) Kilovat Levko
Mariya Natalka Olga Pavlo Roman Stepan Taras Ukraina Fedir
Khristina(H) Tsentr(C) Cholovik Shura Shchuka(Q) Znak(X)
Yuri Yakiv

[also used for Roman alphabet, as the above tries to
indicate]

***** SWAHILI *****

(Berlitz phrase book)

Aali Bibi Cyprus Daniel Elfu Fiwi Gombe Henry Ida Jinja
Kenya Leso Mtu Nairobi Olga Paul Quebec Robert Sana Tanga
Unga Victor William Xavier Yatima Zanzibar

Later edition:

Ali Banda Chakechake Dodoma Entebe Fumba Gogo Homa Imba
Jambo Kenya Lala Mama Nakuru Ona Punda Kyela Rangi Simu Tatu
Uganda Vitu Wali Eksrei Yai Zanzibar

***** KWANYAMA *****

[South-western Bantu; northern Namibia]

Contributor: jouni@ling.gu.se (Jouni F Maho)

(school book)

Anna Beata Cesilia David Eva Feni Gerson Hosea Immanuel
Johanna Kayosho Lamek Maria Nande Otto Pauli Quini Rauha
Simon Tuuli Ulania Vilho Wilkka Xokulu Yoleni Zola

***** NDONGA *****

[South-western Bantu; northern Namibia]

Contributor: jouni@ling.gu.se (Jouni F Maho)

(school book)

Anna Beata Cesilia David Eva Feni Gerson Hosea Immanuel
Johanna Kayoso Lamek Maria Nande Otto Pauli Quini Rauha
Simon Tuuliki Ulania Vilho Wilika Xerxes Yoleni Zola

***** AFRIKAANS *****

Contributor: Gwillim Law <gwil@mindspring.com>

(Johannesburg telephone directory 1965)

Andries Boetie Christo Dawid Eva Fanie Gert Hendrik Isak Jan
Karel Lena Marie Nellie Oom Pieter Queenie Roos Sannie Tom
Unie Venter Willem X-straal Yster Zoeloe

***** CHINESE *****

The Chinese armed forces use the following in connection
with Romanised Mandarin:

Aiya Boli Ciqi Desheng Egu Fuzhuang Geming Heping I: Yifu
J.. Keren Leguan Mofan Nali Ouyang Polang Q.. Riguang
Sixiang Tebie U: Weida V: Wudao W: Wuzhuang X.. Yisheng
Zidian

***** ESPERANTO *****

Contributors: Edmund.Grimley-Evans@cl.cam.ac.uk
David Lane <DLane@ContactPt.com>

(Yearbook of Universala Esperanto-Asocio 1995)

Asfalto Barbaro Centimetro \^Cefo Doktoro Elemento Fabriko
Gumo \^Girafo Hotelo \^Haoso Insekto Jubileo \^Jurnalo
Kilogramo Legendo Ma\^sino Naturo Oktobro Papero Rekordo
Salato \^Silingo Triumfo Universo Universo-hoko Vulkano
Zinko

[An earlier version had Omnibuso instead of Oktobro]

Non-Esperanto letters:

q = kuo
w = \^germana vo
x = ikso
y = ipsilono

Morse code (approved by UAE and FCC in 1963):

^c -.-.. ^g - -.-. ^h -.- -.
^j .- - -. ^s ...-. ~u ..- -

***** END *****

Brian Kelk
Cambridge

U.K.
bck1@cl.cam.ac.uk

626. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <38724CB6.31C0@no.net>
Source record: 123051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

```
Don Putnick wrote:
>
> David Stinson wrote:
>
> > I propose that we old fossils who actually
> > worked for our tickets offically adopt the
> > terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
> > when refering to these snide people.
>
> Gee, I wonder if WE1FAR is taken.
>

Every cber that gets a ticket will want that call.
```

627. Re: A message from the FCC. - cber.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle Charlie <Charlie@bogus.net>
Message-ID: <bgn47s8uoabbvvvquqlpket3eet3jgvgi0@4ax.com>
Source record: 123052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 04 Jan 2000 06:38:25 GMT, Charlie@bogus.net (Uncle Charlie)
wrote:

>Sorry all you Extra Class hams. You didn't think they would let us keep
>our spectrum pure forever, did you?
>
>Uncle Charlie

628. Re: ANOTHER WA9KJI THREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <38726442.E674A527@home.com>
Source record: 123053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ok adolf...who can tell all of you yahoos apart anyway...
With All Rotten Wishes to Neo Nazis

Eric Cooper

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> Eric Cooper wrote:
> >
> > We could read your web link Lloyd if it made sense. It is so full of
> > half sentences and grammatical errors that it is completly unreadable.
> > Go take your pills, pal, it sounds like you missed them today.
> >
> [...]
>
> Actually, the tortured text to which you refer is from the pen of
> David Castle, WA9KJI, one of the jammers who has recently resorted
> to near-illiterate threat letters in an attempt to intimidate
> legitimate 3950 participants.
>
> With all good wishes,
>
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

629. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Message-ID: <84tomhbsv1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 123054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> writes:
>Veerrrrryyyyy Interesting.....
>
>Although remember, AAA through I think AAH can't be given out.
>
>Mike

Why not? They are now.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

630. Icom Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Powerhouse <power035@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <84tpht$sh0$1@News.Dal.Ca>
Source record: 123055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Anyone know where one could download Icom Manuals??

Can't seem to find mine after the move and the radio needs re-programming.

Thanks.

631. Re: AF2Y says N0VFP is GONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <387286DE.63C77615@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123056

Doc I thought this dweeb was gone....

D

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> I'M STILL HERE YOU "ETERNAL JEW"
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Doc AF2Y wrote in message <20000104092416.12451.00000291@ng-co1.aol.com>...
> >After reading Lloyd Davies' hideously bigoted white supremacist remarks
> >welcoming in the new millennium, many of you told him to go to hell and
> >apparently he's taken your advice. Thank you for standing up for America
> while
> >crushing that filthy dung laden insect from Athens Alabama.
> >
> >Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
> >efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via
> the
> >Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
> >never do better than write that letter!
> >

632. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38728723.1CA61C2@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron you must be from California... Didn't hear any in my home
town even back in the 60s

D

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Tech+ wrote
> >We want to switch to 10 codes on our HF nets
>
> Actually the 10 codes were widely used on 2 meters when the FM mode first
> became popular. They have since fallen out of use. If you succeed in
> bringing them back, it won't be anything new for amateur radio...
>
> (Of course I know your trolling, but facts are still interesting...)

633. Re: Need info on old Hy-Gain vertical

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
Message-ID: <38726ECD.8B2ED137@nospam.wcom.com>
Source record: 123058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I agree with plscolla@erols.com, sound like a 14AVQ, close cousin of the 18AVT (W)(WB)(10 to 80 Meters), if not maybe a 14BTV.

634. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
Message-ID: <38727100.F41CA09A@nospam.wcom.com>
Source record: 123059

Yes, fill out FCC Form 605 and pay the VE group their processing fee.
If licensed on or after 1987, you will need to take the portion of the
General Exam (3B?) and of course pass it successfully. If licensed
before 1987, then you need an original copy, and I would also have a
photo copy, of your license before 1987 or a certified document from the
FCC ($35.00) providing your license history. VECs to my knowledge are
not suppose to use a old call books as they are not a government
document proving you were the holder of that license.

635. REPOST: Re: New Radio reform Catches more Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <REPOST-8904.7282409668.2isc4.582$hN5.15352@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 123060
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@amouse.net> wrote in message
news:38721A87.275207CB@amouse.net...
> I have not seen that falling crime rate on the street. In fact, crime
> seems to be on the rise.

Are you a police officer, or are you just buying whatever the news media
say?

> Instead of crime rates actually falling, I think cities are just not
> reporting crimes, or reporting the crimes as less serious offenses.
> Perhaps they are doing this in an effort to attract people to the
> cities. Or perhaps they are doing it to show that all their new
> "anti-crime" laws are working. Or perhaps even the police officers
> themselves are doing it to prove how well their department is doing its
job.

Those are faint but real possibilities. Philadelphia is one city that has
been "caught" underreporting crime to the FBI. However, the laundry list
above also sounds like conspiracy theorizing. What do YOU think is
happening, and why? (It might be better to continue a criminal justice
discussion in email, since this really has nothing to do with radio scanning
or ham radio.)

> This is likely caused by many larger cities simply not pressing charges
> if the suspect agrees to leave town.

Wow! Where did you come up with that accusation? Any evidence or facts to
back it up? Sounds like more media speculation.

> Whatever the case, I certainly don't feel any safer on the streets
> today than I did ten years ago. And, if I lived in a smaller town, I
> would be very concerned about some of the new people moving into town.

Most people don't, depite some provable numbers that show the general
impression to be mistaken. Again, people are being fed a lot of crap by the
media and have no real reason to disbelieve any of it, because actual study
and research involve some effort.

73 de Dave, NF2G
BSCJ/Soc
Alpha Phi Sigma

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!torn!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!intgwpad.nntp.telstra.net!nsw.nnrp.
telstra.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <8vgc3.303$dK4.86184@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>

Control: cancel <2isc4.582$hN5.15352@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Subject: cmsg cancel <2isc4.582$hN5.15352@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
From: "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com>
Newsgroups:
kiel.test,schule.test,muc.test,ka.test,mn.test,sat.test,at.test,alt.law-enforcement
X-Posting-Agent: BurnBarrel 1.1.3
Reply-To: "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com>
Lines: 1
Date: 4 Jan 2000 21:36:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 139.130.37.226
X-Complaints-To: abuse@telstra.net
X-Trace: nsw.nnrp.telstra.net 947021843 139.130.37.226 (Wed, 05 Jan 2000 08:37:23 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 08:37:23 EST
Organization: Customer of Telstra Big Pond Direct

<2isc4.582$hN5.15352@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com> cancelled by "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com>

636. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <387275A5.A28056E6@uci.edu>
Source record: 123061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> HUH????

In other words, you overstate the case for victory. The only citation NCI can claim credit for in the R&O is the one in which the FCC took exception to NCI's idea - the sunset clause. They gave credit to others for the ideas they actually accepted and put into effect.

73, Jim AC6XG

637. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104175359.15094.00000490@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 123062

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
loyde davis thug this

638. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38727B32.E2B5D91A@uci.edu>
Source record: 123063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> HUH?????? We asked for T/G/E, 0/5/5 ... that's what we got.
> I call that a victory. But please note that the subject line reads
> "and ham radio in general," recognizing that the victor is not
> *just* NCI's ... we merely "spearheaded" the movement.

According to the NCI charter, abolishion of the code requirement is the movement which it spearheads. From the comments I read in the R&O, that objective does not seem to have be achieved. However it is correct doublespeak to call that a victory.

> We never expected to get more than this at this juncture.
> There was no way the FCC or State Department would
> go for "welshing" on the ITU Radio Regulations (S25.5).

They could have gone with a sunset clause - if they had felt that eliminating the code requirement was a good idea. It is not, so they did not include a sunset clause.

> However, when S25.5 goes the way of its cousin the
> Dodo Bird at the WRC in a couple of years, I believe
> you'll see the remaining 5wpm Morse test become extinct,
> too.

The FCC seems to have a very different view about that. They noted specifically that the IARU is not likely to endorse changes to S25.5, and that the issue is not likely even to come up at the next WARC.

> The ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping ANY
> Morse testing now was the ITU obligation, which they
> felt was "unwaiverable."

Untrue. It is not the only reason. Read paragraph 25 again. They say it is because of the Radio Regulations *AND* because it "has been accepted as proving that the control operator of a station can ensure the proper operation of that station." Perhaps you overlooked that part.

The FCC stopped very short of endorsing NCI's view in many respects, opting instead to achieve more of a balance in testing by lessening the emphasis on code while recognizing both that it remains an operating privilege, and that testing requirements should continue to pertain to those privileges.

73, Jim AC6XG

639. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert A. Poff" <wb3awj@blazenet.net>
Message-ID: <38727851.C062533C@blazenet.net>
Source record: 123064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

I imagine much of this "discussion" went on when the last major change in licensing
came about. The older guys might remember when "Incentive Licensing" (or as I've read
it referred to as "DIS-Incentive Licensing") was initiated. There was talk of the
downfall of Amateur Radio then.

I got my Extra in 1978. Yes at 20 wpm send and receive (for me the easy part),
taken at a FCC field office. And yes it took me four tries at the written, waiting 30 days in between as required at that time. (How many of you in 3 and 4 lands remember the dreaded Mrs. Woodlawn at the Baltimore office? WHEWWW!) I was DAMN PROUD when I finally passed. And how was I greeted by some of the older hams? While most congratulated me there were many who said
"Anybody can pass a multiple guess test! I had to answer questions in essay form and draw schematics!".

The more things change the more they stay the same.
The "smart ass" upgraded hams will eventually burn themselves out. Or at the least few
others will want to associate with them.

I will say the following though.....
At that time, study guides told you general areas to study for.
Not "Here are the questions, and these are the answers. Memorize them and pass".
In my opinion, the more work and commitment is involved to get something, the more
it's worth having.

From a technical standpoint, some of the things I've run into are scary!

A General or above (guessing because he was talking about working 20m phone) who not only
admitted to not knowing what the schematic symbol for a capacitor, or an actual capacitor
looked like, but was PROUD of it.

An Advanced asking "How big is a 50 ohm resistor".

An Extra being very proud that he managed to put on a type N connector.

A General saying his 4-400 amplifier "put out" over 2 kW because his watt meter pinned

on the 2 kW scale. When asked what his plate input was, he replied "100 watts".

Two guys on 40m phone, each had a different revision of the same Kenmore rig. The rigs had
a switch that on one said "FR ATTENUATOR", and on the other "RF PREAMP". Rather than
settle the argument of which it really was by looking at a schematic, they consulted the
grandest oracle of electronic knowledge..... the sales brochure.

You'll note that none of these are No-Code Techs. Perhaps fewer of their guffaws come
to memory because my allowance for their inexperience. My point is that the current
sad state of Amateur Radio is not ONLY due to the influx of "uneducated" hams.
Those of us who were there before them are also to blame for not showing them a good example.
We really need to take some of these "goofballs" under our wing. Teach them what they
don't know. Just as the many I can think of who did the same with me. For those who
decide to remain a "goofball" try to convince them that some other hobby might be
more suiting. Like collecting moss.

For those who may feel compelled to attack me....
I've loved radio for over half of my life. Through Amateur Radio I've managed to spend
much of that life doing something in radio. Many years in the two-way radio and paging business, and now in broadcast engineering. I've enjoyed operating and learning about many things. RTTY, Packet Radio, satellites, DXing, ragchewing, antennas, and much more. I've enjoyed being a volunteer examiner since it's inception. When a ham calls to ask if I would
fix his radio, I always respond "No. But I'll help YOU fix it". That way they learn something.

Thanks for the soapbox.....

73
Bob Poff WB3AWJ

640. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38727D13.22B94579@uci.edu>
Source record: 123065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Jim's right, Carl. The R&O could also be viewed as an abject
> failure for NCI (by a delusional person). :-)

I suspect that I enjoy quite a few more lucid hours during the average 24 hour day than you do, Cecil.

NCI's stated goal, the one which neither you nor I could endorse, continues to be the elimination of the code requirement. At this point, and for the foreseeable future that will not occur.

Are you asserting that it is a delusion to observe that they have failed to achieve their chartered goal?

73, Jim AC6XG

641. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <lhvc4.2992$Vr.58535@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Curt M. Breneman wrote
>Are you a VLSI/SMT chauvinist?

Nope. But if you can't build a modern radio, how is that different from a modern ham who also can't build a modern radio? If you use digital appliance equipment, how is that different from that new ham using his FM appliance equipment? There's no difference...

>No, I'm not a member of the "thought police"...

Glad to hear it. Then you shouldn't mind what subject is discussed as long as no rules are broken. 10 codes are OK. Gall bladder discussion is OK. Family communication is OK. Saying hi hi and using Q-codes on voice is alright. Some of the thought police here strongly disagree you know...

>I wonder, what brought you to amateur radio initially?

There were no computers (for teenagers) in 1957...

>Wasn't there some element of the awe and
>wonder of how radios and antennas work?

Yup. But I had more awe for my 49 Chevy with the straight pipes. It was just as much fun to work on, and had more uses that involved girls...

>I think that there should always be a technical flavor to the hobby.

And that is fine. The problem comes when some post what they think all other hams *should* be. Whether the snub is intended for the CW challenged or the technically challenged, it is still wrong. If it is legal, it is probably OK. It's that simple...

642. Re: A message from the FCC.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Nbvc4.198$jE1.1801@insync>
Source record: 123067
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

That isn't totally true.

It has been proven that you can put a graphic with a link in an email, and
have it go to any url that you want.

At that point, you can load any HTML or DHTML and have it execute arbitrary
code on the users machine.

Toll Free

phat mike <phatmike@NOSPAMusa.net> wrote in message
news:3871dc02.9886095@news.ne.mediaone.net...
> to his credit, it is just a jpg. you cant get a virus from a jpg.
>
> the attachment is a semi-humorus picture of a man with his head up his
> arse, so you're not missing much either way :)
>
>
> On 04 Jan 2000 11:30:46 GMT, qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:
>
> >Let me see if I got this correct......
> >
> >The sender wants me to open a file.
> >
> >The sender is unknown to me, and clearly indicates in his address that he
is
> >"bogus".
> >
> >The sender does not allow incoming e-mail.
> >
> >Should I do it? Naw.....think I'll pass. Old "Charlie" may have a
> >STD........
> >
> >Besides, anyone from "bogus.net" probably has very little accurate
information
> >about the FCC.
> >
> >
>

643. AF2Y says THANK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104183038.12448.00000410@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 123068

Thanks to everyone having called, e-mailed and sent telegrams thanking me for running off the ignorant bigoted redneck bubba, N0VFP. I simply could not sit here and allow my country to be run down by this halfwit with his comments about white supremacy and anti-Semitism. This is the 21st century and hopefully we have awakened to the past lessons of history that have told us how evil and ugly is intolerance. To all those having contacted me,consider yourselves REAL AMERICANS.

Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

644. Re: A message from the FCC.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: phatmike@NOSPAMusa.net
Message-ID: <387282c5.4327001@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 123069
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

this was an actual jpg attachment.. not a link to something else.

if thats what you mean...

On Tue, 4 Jan 2000 17:16:39 -0600, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

>That isn't totally true.
>
>It has been proven that you can put a graphic with a link in an email, and
>have it go to any url that you want.
>
>At that point, you can load any HTML or DHTML and have it execute arbitrary
>code on the users machine.
>
>
>
>
>Toll Free
>

645. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <webmonkey@mad.scientist.com>
Message-ID: <387283CF.1A3@mad.scientist.com>
Source record: 123070
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ wrote:
>
> Z. James wrote:
> >
> > Regardless of the long winded thread here. 99.9999999999% of human beings
> > could care less of the restructuring. Don't worry about your pathetic little
> > world being overloaded with newbies, they aren't interested.
>
> Exactly, there was a time when being able to communicate all over the
> world using your own shortwave equipment was a fascinating thing, today
> anyone can pull a cell phone out of their pocket and call almost anyone
> anywhere in the world. Most people don't ever know what ham radio is (or
> think it is cb radio) and could not care less.

that's the truth.
i still enjoy using my 706 [now a fresh new mk2g] to talk a couple
states away when i'm mobile. that's the most interesting part for me.
i do get tired of some of the local cb guys asking how much it cost.
where can they get one. does a power mic fit on it etc. and the
no radio guys asking me, 'do you think you have enough antennae on
that truck?' man if i could afford an outbacker, i cut the count
down alittle but now i have to stick with 4 tx and the factory rx
antenna.

on a note about cw, i think it's cool but i could never send any
if i had to use anything but the up/down buttons on the 706.
way too used to it by now.

as for low code/no code hams on hf, i've been making hf available
on my repeater for awhile now. always good operating procedures
and hasn't been a pain in the butt yet. hopefully now, they can
get their own rig and work hf when i'm not around to turn on the
remote base.

most of the higher classed hams in my area are stuck on 2m and
wouldn't know the difference if 20 new hams got on hf around
here.
--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF
53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

646. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <387284CF.2D6BEBFB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Bob Poff cited some cases that would be funny if
they weren't.... well, maybe they *are* funny ;-).

My favorite has to be one I heard some time ago
on 40 meter phone, between a General
and a "just passed the Advanced last week."

General: "How's that new tribander- Did you get it up?"
Advanced: "Yeah, but I've had to take it down twice.
There's a problem with it."
General: "What on earth is wrong with it?"
Advanced: "Well the book says it's supposed to be 50 ohms,
but I put my ohm meter on it, and
it don't show no ohms at all!"

Oh my....
I really can't talk, though. When I had my General (1974)
I *could* build a transmitter that worked, but
I probably couldn't have told you exactly why it worked.
Learned some since then ;-).

73 Dave

647. Re: A message from the FCC.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@nospam.la-radio.com
Message-ID: <387583c8.90792356@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123072
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Well that's too bad for you. It was kinda funny.

On 04 Jan 2000 11:30:46 GMT, qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:

>Should I do it? Naw.....think I'll pass. Old "Charlie" may have a
>STD........

648. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s751mcqshu8100@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123073

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Tim" <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:38721275.652507E1@worldnet.att.net...
>
> >Ed Mitchell wrote:
>
> > NCI will continue to work on future WRC/ITU regulatory changes and on
> > telegraphic proficiency issues in other countries.
> >
> > Ed, KF7VY
> > http://hamradio-online.com
> >

> Ed that sounds like legalese to me, is the intent to eliminate CW as a
> requirement totally for HF acess?

Tim,

That is the stated goal of NCI ... on a global basis. Check out
the "mission statement" at:

http://www.nocode.org

don't forget to look over the info and articles on the Articles
page (click the "Articles" button on the main page)

Note the goal is to eliminate Morse proficiency as a requirement
for licenses, NOT to eliminate the use of Morse by those who choose
to use it, NOR to ban or restrict its use.

73,

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
```

Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHKGlJe+N6+q84HiEQJKOACgirneUw0JPqS1kK6gVF32l/7lX/gAoMzX
k/zXQ3kaWOCFpP8y93VKcGW7
=D31D
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

649. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s751uagnhu838@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:387275A5.A28056E6@uci.edu...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> > HUH????
>
> In other words, you overstate the case for victory. The only citation
> NCI can claim credit for in the R&O is the one in which the FCC took
> exception to NCI's idea - the sunset clause. They gave credit to others
> for the ideas they actually accepted and put into effect.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.

Besides, other than the sunset clause, which we didn't get,
the outcome is exactly what NCI proposed T/G/E, and 0/5/5.

We knew from the outset that the FCC would not ignore
the ITU Radio Regulations S25.5 ... what we got was the
what we asked for ... the best possible outcome under the
current circumstances.

73,

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
```

<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHKHk5e+N6+q84HiEQJ56wCg8xN8RR2d7xNaTf2Ihio3HLYvheUAoIvE
NGY/iTp8V5oBF8Kbq32wotWt
=BOPV
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

650. ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TTvc4.3798$X14.54978@news4.mia>
Source record: 123075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I need help to hook this up

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

651. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387298F8.7A769A17@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> I need help to hook this up

What is your question Lloyd?

652. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <40wc4.3815$X14.55341@news4.mia>
Source record: 123077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power cable to the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I drill through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a female attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Tim wrote in message <387298F8.7A769A17@worldnet.att.net>...
>
>
>Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
>> I need help to hook this up
>
>What is your question Lloyd?
>
>

653. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38728AA7.A4F8C360@uci.edu>
Source record: 123078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > The only citation
> > NCI can claim credit for in the R&O is the one in which the FCC took
> > exception to NCI's idea - the sunset clause. They gave credit to others
> > for the ideas they actually accepted and put into effect.

> Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
> personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
> those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
> NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.

I saw Bruce Perens name cited. Never heard of Bob Vernal, but I bet
he's a nice guy. I own some property near Vernal. ;-)

Please read what I said again, and provide footnote numbers for any
additional citations which mention NCI and which pertain to telegraphy
requirements. Thanks.

Jim
> Besides, other than the sunset clause, which we didn't get,
> the outcome is exactly what NCI proposed T/G/E, and 0/5/5.
>
> We knew from the outset that the FCC would not ignore
> the ITU Radio Regulations S25.5 ... what we got was the
> what we asked for ... the best possible outcome under the
> current circumstances.
>
> 73,
>
> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
> - --
> NCI-1052
> Director, No Code International
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, TAPR
> Member, ARRL
> - --
> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
> <http://www.nocode.org>

```
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
> iQA/AwUBOHKHk5e+N6+q84HiEQJ56wCg8xN8RR2d7xNaTf2Ihio3HLYvheUAoIvE
> NGY/iTp8V5oBF8Kbq32wotWt
> =BOPV
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

654. N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bXvc4.3803$X14.55084@news4.mia>
Source record: 123079

any advice?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

655. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Lcwc4.3838$X14.55697@news4.mia>
Source record: 123080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is good. I can't wait to upgrade my 5wpm, General written (passed it once) and then get me a amp and antenna and it's "hellllllllooooooo radioooooooo" on 3950, 14.313 etc!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Tech+ wrote in message <8zqqhf$jjAL$1@hotmail.com>...
>Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
>upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
>down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
>who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
>Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
>licences will no longer be issued.
>
>I'm going to be laughing in the faces of the Extras at our next club
>meeting too. After years of their "attitudes", it is going to be loads
>of fun watching them squirm. The more they whine, the more I will enjoy
>it. Listening to the whining on here has been quite satisfying too,
>because the elitists are getting what has been long, long overdue, and
>they can't stand it.
>
>Thank you FCC!!!

656. Re: International Law and the FCC (was: restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <38719b6e.515469724@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123081

On 3 Jan 2000 16:23:48 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:
>>The FCC *could* have, with the concurrance of the State Department,
>>which would have been unlikely, IMHO, "taken an exception" to the
>>provisions of S25.5 of the ITU Radio Regulations (as Japan did years
>>ago, with no international outcry or repercussions).
>
>That's because the JA hams can rarely be heard internationally --
>their Third Class code-free HF license limits them to less power than
>our CBers, not to mention the limited HF frequencies available to such
>licensees.

Indeed you have the matter corectly as far as power goes.
however the FCC Could (not that it was likely to) say something along
the lines of hf signals of less than X power power 100 or 200 watts?)
are unlikely to reach beyond the borders of the US or even then into
regions where English is not spoken, and therfore the rule does
notaply to US ham by say allowing the Techs the entry class clices the
curent privilges of the tech plus on a NoCode exam,and be very much
the same as the Japan license wrt the treaty. I was hoping/wishing,
without realy expecting them to do just that. But as I recall the
japan license and this scheme would simply be doen without taking
exception to the treaty, simply interperating it. The US could say
that we will not comply with the provision and go NoCode all the way.
There are GOOD reasonsnot to do as a matter of forgien policy, and
Indeed I would prefer that the US did not do so, because unlike a lot
of the ProCoders I do place the interest of the US as a whole above
those of the US ARS or myself, but we (the US) have that power
>
>>I fully expect that at that WRC, the nations of the world will retire
>>S25.5, eliminating the ONLY reason the FCC cited for keeping
>>ANY Morse testing at this time.
>
>We'll begin a new letter writing campaign to make sure that doesn't
>happen.

And to whom are you going to write?
>
>Jeff KH6O/6

657. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3871a9c3.519139231@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123082

On 4 Jan 2000 07:11:31 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote:
>>One not ture at all,
>>turn acrros the Band
>>Two Number of QSO is not the same
>>wether this is valid
>>CW has some coraaing advatage over
>>You know ass this but still so spout
>
>Could someone please translate that?

you can't read then But I will try again as Cecil has pionted
out to you CW does have an advange of progation (if you prfer that to
the word pentration) so if the numbers of operator were then more CW
op would be heard at a given location.

In adition to this often made piont you talk about the number
of CW QSO's as opposed the the number of SSB QSO's. given the
compact and brief nature of most CW QSO's a CW op is likely to crank
out more of them

I note you don't even bother to respond to the facts so I will
repat them
One not ture at all, depends on your gear, and the band. If I
turn acrros the Band I hear more AM QSO than anything else

Two Number of QSO is not the same as number of users. of
course there will be more QSO with rst exchange than with a chat.

Three they certainly did not poll me, however, this is beside
the point. It is true and correct statement that the ARRL claims that
CW is rarely to never by 70 some percent of hams, wether this is valid
reflection of the real is another matter.

Four, since you know that CW has some coraaing advatage over
SSB then you MUST discount the number by the right in order to find a
valid comparision .

You know this but still so spout such BS. a darn Shame

658. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3871ab6e.519566942@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123083

On 04 Jan 2000 06:04:25 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>In article <zofc4.3139$V2.32387@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Ed Mitchell"
><REMkf7vyOVE@amsatDELETE.orgXYZ> writes:
>
>>NCI will continue to work on future WRC/ITU regulatory changes and on
>>telegraphic proficiency issues in other countries.
>>
>
>Give me a break, other countries could care less about a bunch of NCI CBers

One thing I can count on you for fella is proof to me the I am
on the right side, I have always found the shrillier and ruder one
side gets the more likely it is the ,losing side

659. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <LUhc4.2962$Vr.56603@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>They can't get up to my condo without having passed by at least a
>dozen video cameras. Even the elevators have cameras.

Why do you think they sell ski masks in Hawaii? Hint: Your local chapter of
the Second's Militia doesn't buy them to snow ski down the volcano...

660. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104040034.25165.00000420@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123085

In article <3871ab6e.519566942@nntp.one-eleven.net>, konstans@one-eleven.net (Mark Morgan) writes:

>One thing I can count on you for fella is proof to me the I am
>on the right side, I have always found the shrillier and ruder one
>side gets the more likely it is the ,losing side
>
>

Im not on the lossing side Ham radio is the losser

661. HFradio.org and Prefix Lookups, QSLing, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: nw7us@hfradio.removethispart.org
Message-ID: <Zzkc4.3302$V2.33201@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 123086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello,

I recently added a PREFIX look-up form on the QSL page. If you need to know where a prefix is from, simply enter the call or prefix and see what country it is assigned to.

You are invited to http://hfradio.org, a non-commercial site offering:

+ Azimuth Map / Equidistant Map Creation (choose any QTH as center, many options)

+ Propagational Resources (live, real-time reports, eAlert service (sign up for automatic email solar/geo reports, complete with Aurora index))

+ News, and DX information and reports

+ QSL Manager and Callsign Lookup center

+ Swap and Shop

+ FREE HOME PAGES THAT YOU CAN USE TO POST YOUR OWN HAM OR SWL SITE

+ Bookstore - SWL and Ham related books. Over a 1000 titles so far.

+ Plus more (Directories, etc.)

73!

--

: World-Wide Communications via Amateur Radio : 122.93W : 47.67N :
: 10x56526 : CW/SSB - DX hunter : Brinnon, WA : Code Warrior #60 :
: visit http://hfradio.org/ :

662. Re: A message from the FCC.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: qrm2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104063046.21624.00000322@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 123087
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Let me see if I got this correct......

The sender wants me to open a file.

The sender is unknown to me, and clearly indicates in his address that he is "bogus".

The sender does not allow incoming e-mail.

Should I do it? Naw.....think I'll pass. Old "Charlie" may have a STD........

Besides, anyone from "bogus.net" probably has very little accurate information about the FCC.

663. Re: A message from the FCC.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: phatmike@NOSPAMusa.net
Message-ID: <3871dc02.9886095@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 123088
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

to his credit, it is just a jpg. you cant get a virus from a jpg.

the attachment is a semi-humorus picture of a man with his head up his
arse, so you're not missing much either way :)

On 04 Jan 2000 11:30:46 GMT, qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:

>Let me see if I got this correct......
>
>The sender wants me to open a file.
>
>The sender is unknown to me, and clearly indicates in his address that he is
>"bogus".
>
>The sender does not allow incoming e-mail.
>
>Should I do it? Naw.....think I'll pass. Old "Charlie" may have a
>STD........
>
>Besides, anyone from "bogus.net" probably has very little accurate information
>about the FCC.
>
>

664. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <01bf56c4$dfb93960$7da2cdcf@default>
Source record: 123089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Cant we all get along!!
We're talking radio here, not nuclear science!

jdaggett@gate.net wrote in article <38800133.21839624@news.gate.net>...
> On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 21:10:00 GMT, Some@body.com (Bill Richter) wrote:
>
> *
> *Oh, it's the secret ARRL conspiracy, Right?
> **********
>
> I guess so. Elvis worked for the CIA didn't he?
>
> regards
> james
>
> I guers the vote 9-6 by the board of directors for the proposal they sent
tothe
> FCC was all a show.
>
>
> *
> *On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 13:38:25 -0600, AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:
> *
> *>Siegfried Rambaum wrote:
> *>>
> *>> Blame the ARRL ? For what?
> *>>
> *>> Stay sensible, OM: The rules are made by the FCC.
> *>>
> *>> KB2YVC
> *>
> *>
> *> If you paid attention to the arrl for the last .......however many
> *>years you would know they have always been pro-nocode.They are careful
> *>what the membership knows but work behind the scenes to further THEIR
> *>agenda.Check out their contributions to the CEPT agreement.
>
>
```

665. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104071941.04891.00000269@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 123090
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
<< Subject: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs
From: "hammer007" hammer007@my-deja.com
Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 11:11 PM EST
Message-id: <84rs1o$nsc$1@news.laserlink.net>

Stumpjumper #19, W2KP, is looking into
rule violations on 3898. The 3898 Thugs
better clean up their act pronto! Reliable
sources tell us that Ed has the unlisted number
for Riley Holllingsworth's desk phone and
files daily reports with Riley.

<>

>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!
```

666. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84t23j$5sn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <84rmon$1u94$1@news1.spacestar.net>,
"Ron Ostman" <w0nyq@minntaccdp.com> wrote:
> Not too concerned about callsigns?? . . .Gee - kind of a hypocritical
> comment from one who obviously took advantage of "the system" to get a
> callsign of choice.
>
> 73, Ron, W0NYQ

Not hypocritical at all, Ron. I've held this call for 25 years, but
I'd be first in line to turn it in if we could get rid of all this
phony "rank" that permeates the hobby these days.

If you want to outrank someone, go join the Army or the Girl Scouts.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
~~~
If you make people think they're thinking, they'll love you;
but if you really make them think, they'll hate you.
~~~

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

667. Re: K4DOH NEW YEAR MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: You get HAPPY! <mikebish@ford.com>
Message-ID: <84sq4k$e9d$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123092

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3870EEE0.8EC86E31@innocent.com>...
>One might explain it as coincidence, but it's truly astounding how
>many of the crudely written, filthy, and insulting anonymous posts
>on the radio newsgroups are posted from UUnet's dialup in Cherry
>Hill, New Jersey.
>
>I've lost track of how many different bogus addresses have been used
>for this purpose, but at times several new ones show up in a single
>week.

That's right Kevin. You see the home office is located
in N.J. and thus it is the Network origination point for
my postings. Sorry, but I cannot control this. You do
get a gold star for observation however. :)

Finally, if you would like to lunch someday in NJ please
feelfree to visit. I and some of my friends in the ADL
and Southern Poverty Law Center would enjoy a lively
discussion. I'll be seeing Mr. Dees at a luncheon
next month, I'll tell him you and 3950 said "hello". O-tay? :)

668. Re: AC5IU THE PEE WEE HERMAN OF HAM RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84t3je$74i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123093

In article <00844d40.05606b01@usw-ex0101-002.remarq.com>,
lefty <wyattNOwySPAM@kfalls.net.invalid> wrote:
> I often wonder how old this AC5IU is. His voice and reparte sounds
like
> a 17 to 18 year old flash! If he had something germane to the
> conversation, it might add something, but alas and alack.....
> Maybe someone in his neighborhood can take him under their wing and
> take him to some cat house and get his mind in order. What a loser!!!
> I dont think any body could stand to be around him he is such a frute
cake THE BABY ROBIN ALL MOUTH AND FULL OF SHIT and now the PEE WEE
HERMAN OF 313..
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet -
Free!
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

669. Re: O.O.s WHERE ARE THEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: shephed@aol.comno2nci
Message-ID: <20000104075532.12670.00000263@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 123094

>ANYONE KNOW IF THE O.O.s STILL KICKING AROUND AND IF SO WHO WOULD BE
>LISTENING
>IN FLORIDA?
>

Sure are, I got a friendly card last summer about my Rig sounding bad on 15m.
Looked into it, and sure enough, I had a problem with my feedline that was
causing my signal to sound really bad.
So, they are out there, and listening.
And doing a good job.

73
Dan, N8IE
QRP-L # 1404, FISTS # 4985, ARRL VE
shephed@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/shephed/n8ie.htm

670. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3871F3C2.5C0766B5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >> In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
> >> owner be available on the web.
>
> >That's a great idea, Jeff. A robber gets the name and address off
> >the web and steals the gun when nobody is home.
>
> That's one of the chances you take belonging to the 2nd Amendment
> militia. Put better locks on your doors and get a dog.

What's the matter, Jeff? You want to do away with The Constitution?
Here's the other side of the coin. When a robber doesn't see your
address on the list, he knows you are not armed. Think about that.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

671. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38721450.5BA4626D@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think you both are wrong. The blame needs to be placed here in
the amateur community. Not much impute from the ARS concerning the
changing of the ARS license system. The FCC read the impute given
them and realized that the 'majority' wanted to dumb down ham radio.

D

jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
>
> On Thu, 30 Dec 1999 23:31:04 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> *The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
> *
> *I Blame the ARRL!
> **********
>
> I think you are placing the blame on the wrong entity. If any body needs blame
> it should be the FCC. In your point of view the whole amatuer world has now come
> crashing down. If that is your opinion maybe it is time that you not renew your
> license and give up the hobby. The FCC is thinking beyond your narrow minded
> thinking and self gratification. They have the insight to realized that left as
> is, the amatuer radio service will be a foot note in history of radio in the
> next ten to twenty years. Maybe you will be dead and gone by then and that no
> longer is of your concern. For the first time in twenty years someone has
> finally realized the the amatuer radio society in the US will be extend as the
> Dodo bird by the end of the 21st century. For those of use who will still be
> arround at least into the middle of the 21st century there is now hope that
> there will remain an amatuer radio service. I am sorry that you will think of
> amatures like myself and others who will obtain the full priviledges that the
> FCC will grant inthe future. These priviledges to you will be obtain by means
> that in your opinion is cheapened. It is cheapened by the fact that I and others
> to follow will not have to endure that hardship that you had to. This may well
> be true. CW, while a means of communications, is no longer the mainstay of
> amature radio today. Is it becoming a lost art? Most likely. Is it a requirement
> that high speed proficiency is required for advanced priviledges in other forms
> of communications? Not hardly. The days where amatuer radio shared bands with
> commercial maritime ships are long gone and past. Then the need to identify
> ships in commercial enterpriose and not to interfere with them has long gone. CW
> will always be a menas of communications. So will AM as well as newer digital
> forms of communications. The radio service has evolved from a time of
> experimentation to that of more of a hobby. Experimentation is and will never be
> lost. Experimentation fosters new ideas. The amature radio service has lost that
> zeal. I think the service needs an infusion of newer more energetic members.

> Agreed there will alows be those that are less desirable as some may think. Do
> we close off the whole bands to only those that will meet a certain criteria?
> then that smacks of the prejudices of the early 20th century. From an outsiders
> point of view amature radio has become an elite good ol boys network. Sommething
> like the exclusive country club atmosphere of the high society.
>
> We now have entered into a new era. I think the FCC has made a bold and daring
> step in amature radio. While many will applaud, some will shudder in fear of
> what will happen. Remember this no one is twisting your arm to be a member. It
> is become obvious that the FCC went farther than many wanted and to some it may
> not whave gone far enough. As for me, I am pleased with the ruling. I think it
> give amature radio a channce to survive well into the 21st and even into the
> 22nd century. What will happen in the future? No one knows. This has been needed
> for the last twenty years and now it has come. We have no other choice accept it
> by be ing a part of it or reject it by not being a part of it. It is your
> chjoice.
>
> regards
> james
>
> WA4BZJ
>
>
>
> *
> *
> *NEWINGTON, CT, Dec 30, 1999--Amateur Radio will get a new look in the new
> *millennium. The FCC today issued its long-awaited Report and Order in the
> *1998 Biennial Regulatory Review of Part 97--more commonly known as
> *"license restructuring." The bottom line is that starting April 15, 2000,
> *there will be three license classes--Technician, General, and Amateur
> *Extra--and a single Morse code requirement--5 WPM.
> *
> *"We believe that an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse
> *code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
> *ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art," the FCC said.
> *
> *Besides drastically streamlining the Amateur Radio licensing process, the
> *FCC said its actions would "eliminate unnecessary requirements that may
> *discourage or limit individuals from becoming trained operators,
> *technicians, and electronic experts."
> *
> *Although no new Novice and Advanced licenses will be issued after the
> *effective date of the Report and Order, the FCC does not plan to
> *automatically upgrade any existing license privileges. The
> *ARRL had proposed a one-time across-the-board upgrading of current Novice
> *and Tech Plus licensees to General class, but the FCC declined to adopt
> *the idea. This means that current licensees will retain their current
> *operating privileges, including access to various modes and subbands, and
> *will be able to renew their licenses indefinitely.

> *
> *Starting April 15, 2000, individuals who qualified for the Technician
> *class license prior to March 21, 1987, will be able to upgrade to General
> *class by providing documentary proof to a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator,
> *paying an application fee, and completing FCC Form 605.
> *
> *The FCC's decision not to automatically upgrade Novice and Tech Plus
> *licensees means the current Novice/Tech Plus HF subbands will remain and
> *not be "refarmed" to higher class licensees as the ARRL had proposed. The
> *FCC said it did not refarm these subbands because there was "no consensus"
> *within the amateur community as to what to do with them.
> *
> *As it had proposed earlier, the FCC decided to lump Technician and Tech
> *Plus licensees into a single licensee database, all designated as
> *"Technician" licensees. Those who can document having passed the 5 WPM
> *Morse code examination will continue to have the current Tech Plus HF
> *privileges. "If documentation is needed to verify whether a licensee has
> *passed a telegraphy examination, we may request the documentation from
> *that licensee or the VECs," the FCC said.
> *
> *In addition to reducing the number of license classes from six to three
> *and eliminating the 20 and 13 WPM code tests, the FCC also will reduce the
> *number of written examination elements from five to three, authorize
> *Advanced Class hams to prepare and administer General class examinations,
> *and eliminate Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES) station
> *licenses. RACES will remain, however. "After review of the record, we
> *conclude that we should eliminate RACES station licenses because RACES
> *station licenses are unnecessary for amateur stations and amateur service
> *licenses to provide emergency communications," the FCC said.
> *
> *Under the new licensing scheme, there will be four examination elements.
> *Element 1 will be the 5 WPM Morse code exam. Element 2 will be a 35-
> *question written test to obtain a Technician license; Element 3 will be a
> *35-question written test to obtain a General license, and Element 4 will
> *be a 50-question written test for the Amateur Extra license. The FCC has
> *left it in the hands of the National Conference of VECs Question Pool
> *Committee to determine the specific mix and makeup of written examination
> *questions. Current Amateur Radio study materials remain valid at least
> *until the new rules become effective in April.
> *
> *The FCC's new licensing plan means someone will be able to become a ham by
> *passing a single 35-question written examination. The plan also simplifies
> *and shortens the upgrade path from the ground floor through Amateur Extra-
> *-especially since amateurs will only have to pass one Morse code test.
> *
> *Elimination of the 13 and 20 WPM Morse requirements also means an end to
> *physician certification waivers for applicants claiming an inability to
> *pass the Morse code examination due to physical handicap.
> *
> *The effective date provides a window of upgrade opportunity for current

> *Advanced licensees. Between now and April 15, current Advanced holders may
> *take the existing Element 4B, a 40-question test, giving them credit for
> *having passed the current Extra written examination. Likewise, holders of
> *a Certificate of Successful Completion of Examination (CSCE) for Elements
> *3B or 4B dated on or after April 17, 1999, will be able to qualify for
> *General or Amateur Extra respectively when the new rules go into effect on
> *April 15, 2000.
> *
> *The FCC disagreed with the League's suggestion that it undertake a
> *restructuring of operating privileges along with licensing restructuring.
> *"We believe that in light of ongoing discussions concerning implementation
> *of new and more modern communications technologies within the amateur
> *service community, we should accord the amateur service community an
> *opportunity to complete such discussions and possibly reach a consensus
> *regarding implementation of new technologies before we undertake a
> *comprehensive restructuring of the amateur service operating privileges
> *and frequencies," the FCC said in its Report and Order.
> *
> *In its amendments to Part 97, the FCC's Report and Order refers to a "Club
> *Station Call Sign Administrator," something that does not exist under the
> *current rules and which was not explained in the R&O itself. An FCC
> *spokesperson said the Commission plans to issue a Public Notice soon to
> *explain the program and to solicit qualified entities to serve as call
> *sign administrators for club station applications.

672. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3871F873.F1BBBE22@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123097
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dean Arthur wrote:
>
> No brotherly love in that body, 49 years of hamming and still an
> infidel.

Exactly why should I exhibit brotherly love toward someone who
calls me a "Weeney".

> > AB7RS wrote:
> > > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
> >
> > Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> > i.e. 49 years.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

673. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3871F648.C46C089@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Wiley wrote:
>
> Here's a question. I understand the Tech+'s (like myself) who came in
> after a certain date (I was first licensed June 1990) will need to
> take the general written. However, won't our current tech plus
> licenses show as proof of passing the 5WPM?

Yes, a current license is accepted as proof.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

674. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <387213C4.CCEBE105@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What a butt head message.... Only person/persons that have an
attitude is you. Why did you not learn the code and the theory and
get you Extra? Why did you wait for the FCC to hand it to you on a
plate? You are the one that seems to have an attitude.

D

Tech+ wrote:
>
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.
>
> I'm going to be laughing in the faces of the Extras at our next club
> meeting too. After years of their "attitudes", it is going to be loads
> of fun watching them squirm. The more they whine, the more I will enjoy
> it. Listening to the whining on here has been quite satisfying too,
> because the elitists are getting what has been long, long overdue, and
> they can't stand it.
>
> Thank you FCC!!!

675. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3871FB21.C22A94D0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Brian wrote:

> Personal opinion: the folks using "CB-plusser" and "welfare general" are
> the inbred types.

Talk about the pot calling the kettle black!

676. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38720087.4D1B0B0A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 123101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tech+ wrote:
> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> at home right away.

10,000 PCTA sphincter muscles just went into spasms. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

677. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3871FCC6.B6B5AA09@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson wrote:

> It's pretty sad that some people pop-off about
> a post when they haven't actually *read* it....

That's normal for some of these newsgroups.

>
> Let me spell it out *again*-
>
> I'm all for newbies.
> I don't have a problem with people getting tickets
> the new way. I even *support* some of these changes.
> God knows we've got to have the new blood.
>

I wholeheartedly agree!

>
> I DO have a problem with the "HA! I got mine
> and I didn't have to do that CW crap, so go eat it!"
> attitude I've heard expressed several times by
> some nasty little buggers I call "CB-plus."
> For those guys, I recommend the "Welfare Extra"
> zinger be ready.
>

I wholeheartedly agree also. I also got mine "the old fashioned way",
but the immature idiots prancing around cheering about having the FCC
hand them a license so that don't have to put a little effort into it is
ridiculous! Taking the written test these days is also a joke. Any moron
that can memorize the questions and answers out of the study guide can
get an Extra Class license, WITHOUT actually learning the theory, and I
have seen many, many people do just that.

>
> Now, if only the detractors will read -this- one
> (fat chance...sigh!).

Well Dave, if they can memorize a study guide, maybe they can memorize
your message, but then again, they won't "learn" it!

>
>
> Dave S.

678. AF2Y says N0VFP is GONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104092416.12451.00000291@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 123103

After reading Lloyd Davies' hideously bigoted white supremacist remarks welcoming in the new millennium, many of you told him to go to hell and apparently he's taken your advice. Thank you for standing up for America while crushing that filthy dung laden insect from Athens Alabama.

Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

679. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38721275.652507E1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123104

Ed that sounds like legalese to me, is the intent to eliminate CW as a
requirement totally for HF acess?

Ed Mitchell wrote:

> NCI will continue to work on future WRC/ITU regulatory changes and on
> telegraphic proficiency issues in other countries.
>
> Ed, KF7VY
> http://hamradio-online.com

680. Restructuring(Big 3 Nervous)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4jzh@amsat.org
Message-ID: <38720835.7502951@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 123105

In an attempt to prevent loss of sales the big three (3)
Icom, Kenwood and Yeasu agreed that the new radios
produced this year will be more geared towards the
New License Class. Xtra Class CBer the features will include.

Super Bad Antenna Tuner will tune V5/8, Antrons, Wilsons,Jo-Gunns to any band
Memory Channel (1)=27.1850
10kc switch/Unlocked Clarifer
H/M/L power switch
Echo with voice disguiser.(For the 3.950 Crowd)
Roger Beep
Additional Slots for noise toys (No Filters)
Anolog Meter (SWANG)
Easy to read frequency chart A+16=14.313
Free subscription to Secret CB,Master Edition Tune Up Manual.
So even the newest ham can tweek and peak,
Let me see if I cut out the ALC on my 746, it will dead key 1watt
and swang 225, WHEW!!! got my 2950 beat by 3watts according to my dozy.
Oh I almost forgot. An extra antenna connection for my Wilson 1000
and the all important 610 included with the rig.

Ten-Tec is still undecided.
Just a little humor amongst all the turmoil.

73 all Donnie
N4JZH (General Class Operator) Legit!!

681. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <387221D7.DF023827@nutcase.net>
Source record: 123106

Jeff, that's lawyer talk and I don't understand it either!

D

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote:
> >One not ture at all,
> >turn acrros the Band
> >Two Number of QSO is not the same
> >wether this is valid
> >CW has some coraaing advatage over
> >You know ass this but still so spout
>
> Could someone please translate that?

682. ICOM W21 needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ta2fw@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84taih$cm5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123107

Hi,

I'm looking for an ICOM W21AT or W21ET. If your equipment is new or secondhand but in good condition please write me.

73 de ta2fw

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

683. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3871E700.53AE@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

liam@ork.net wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.scanner Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> > Now, if there aren't increased numbers of hams, I would expect that more ham
> > radio frequencies to be lost to commercial services - so people who are not
> > welcoming of new hams, and try to be elitist about it and ignore, newbies
> > are only hurting the hobby by this irrational behavior and indeed
> > threatening its existance!!
>
> Then it's all over but the shouting. Because both alternatives lead to the
> death of ham radio.
>
> 1) Too few hams = no political power = bands get taken away for lack of
> usage.
>
> 2) Easy test/no code = People who have no committment and who come and go
> at the drop of a hat, mostly going. No sense of membership in anything, no
> sense of anything at all.
>
> How do you explain ham radio to others, in an age where you can chat with
> people all over the world in IRC? I can call CQ by opening an IRC channel
> for any interest I have, and see who responds. From anywhere in the world,
> really.
>
> And hey, if IRC is too difficult, I can just load AOL Instant Messenger,
> and do a search for people who are on, for any interest. You show that to
> hams in the 1950's, and even then you'd see how many would switch to this.
>
> If there's little effort, then there's no sense of pride or of belonging
> to a community. And you can kiss it all goodbye. People will just use AOL
> IM, not "unreliable" ham radio.

IMHO the "unreliable" aspect of ham radio is what makes it challenging
and fun. How much fun would working a distant country be over the
internet be, knowing full well that there's nothing to prevent it? What
would be the point?

The internet is TOO easy. Pretty soon it will become a cesspool of
sludge for every low-life, social deviate, that can't even get a date,
much less have a life outside of their homes. It will become
increasingly difficult to find meaningful, intelligent, and mature
people to communicate with. This may end up being the savior of ham

radio.

Dave

684. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <84t248tm1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 123109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3871E700.53AE@worldlynx.net>,
Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>The internet is TOO easy.

I guess you think that because you don't know much about it
yet. Java has a very, very high learning curve and is very
complex.

--
If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail.
Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm.
Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development.
Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI

685. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <38720790.5D16@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson wrote:
>
> Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
> ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
> There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
> saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
> at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
> they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
> I propose that we old fossils who actually
> worked for our tickets offically adopt the
> terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
> when refering to these snide people.

Those "snide" people are only retaliating against those of you (and you know who you are) that refuse to move into the 21st century and accept that code may not be the golden child anymore and that its worth is no longer needed with respect to license testing. And who have made it clear on any number of occasions that those that do not "do the code" are not "real" hams.

I'd say it's just a case of turnabout being fair play.

> I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
> and act like decent people- I mean specifically
> the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
> horse's asses.

And all the while when we've been rehashing this topic, the negative comments and purely subjective and emotional reasons that you dogmatically adhere to in defense of code toward those that promoted changes, didn't make *you* look like "horse's asses"?

> When they "rub it in,"
> remind them they're "Welfare Extras."

Careful, you might need one of those "Welfare Extras" to repair your rig someday. You wouldn't like it much if they left you a "surprise".....

Dave

686. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387217F3.68290D0E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123111

LCC wrote:

> MailfrmPA wrote:
> >
> > IMHO, all the call for "mods," "freeband conversion," "opening for
> > fire/police/whatever" is a sign of the new CB-Plus operators, who come to ham
> > radio with a basic disregard for the rules, regs, and self-policing heritage of
> > Amateur Radio. Instead, they carry the Outlaw mentality they learned on CB,
>
> I want to be a ham. I've never been on CB, what is it like?

Hmmm well it's sort of a free for all, he with the most noise making toys seems to win, roger beeps, echo boxes cranked to unintelligible levels, class C amplifiers creating distortion and splatter in the attempt to push your S-meter just a little higher than the other guy does. Contests called "keydowns" where groups try to see who can generate the strongest signal, many running in the kilowatt range.

> > where they accepted illegal power, illegal frequencies, and illegal equipment.
> > As I heard some truckers hauling gravel explain on 28.445 MHz, "I bought this
>
> Isn't that 27.445 mhz instead?

While 27.445 would certainly fall into whats commonly reffered to as the freeband and there are plenty of ops there, 28.445 is probably not a typo, Now that the bands are opening again I am also hearing SSB and AM converstaions well above 28 MHz that are clearly not amateur transmissions. Is it a surprize to anyone that many don't wish to engage in mods related to 10/11 m?

Tim ka8ddz

687. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38721A36.42D209B8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123112

Minnesota Murphy wrote:

> The responses in this string speak for themselves, and also make my
> point.

Yes, so far 100% of the replys have not included your mod to 11m, and WE'RE the bad guys right?

> If I talk to you on Amateur
> Radio, I will give gladly give it to you.
> If I see you on the street I will give it out. But not on the
> computer in a newsgroup.
> Or for that matter on the (God forbid I mention) CB.

Makes an interesting point for the attitude found on CB, don't dare use your real name or let anyone know where you live else you risk retaliation by someone who may not agree with you. I've never had this problem on the amateur bands.

> As far as the trashing of the hobby, the eighty or some ninety years
> it's been around. It is being torn down by the hams that are now
> turning into silent keys.

Only trashing I've heard in this thread has come from you.

Tim ka8ddz

688. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <387204bd.46061002@news.guam.net>
Source record: 123113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 04 Jan 2000 08:15:35 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Tech+ wrote:
>> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
>> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
>> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
>> at home right away.
>
>10,000 PCTA sphincter muscles just went into spasms. :-)
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

That could be dangerous for guys like Larry, Cecil. He could
choke to death......

While I'm here, I'd like to suggest to Tech+ that 14.195 would be a
great frequency for his net. I'm sure he'd enjoy operating there and
the other kids on 20 meters would enjoy having him....

73, Jim KH2D

689. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3871D7DD.AA5B21AA@sgi.net>
Source record: 123114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCA, I appreciate your response to me last week. I looked up the new regulations and now understand what you told me. I am a T+ who has been licensed since 1974. In my case I will have to prove that I have had said ticket before 1987 to upgrade to general without taking any test.

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Robert Wiley wrote:
> >
> > Here's a question. I understand the Tech+'s (like myself) who came in
> > after a certain date (I was first licensed June 1990) will need to
> > take the general written. However, won't our current tech plus
> > licenses show as proof of passing the 5WPM?
>
> Yes, a current license is accepted as proof.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

690. Re: HELP!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <387211B8.7775E0F3@amouse.net>
Source record: 123115

Dan wrote:
>
> I am interested in taking the FCC amateur service tecnician test but
> there are no test sessions that i can use publis rans to get to. I live
> in chicago, and no vec's want to reach a compromise wi me to test me in
> a location that is reachable to me.....this seems like bad practice for
> advancing this science and the cause of it can anyone help?
> thanks....Dan.

Dan, I had to travel more than fifty miles, to another state, when I took my ham license exam a few years ago - no other test session was planned in my immediate area during the time I needed to take the test. You should not have that problem in a city the size of Chicago.

Perhaps you don't understand the process. When a test session if given, it is usually a planned event sponsored by a radio club. At least three examiners have to be present to give the test - one person cannot do it alone.

A location for a test session has to be obtained several months in advance. A free location is preferred - which can be difficult to find.

Once a location is found, and the examiners have agreed on the schedule, the session has to be advertised. This has to be done well in advance to attract the largest number of people to the session.

With all this in mind, it should be obvious that the location of a test session is not going to be selected just to benefit you - one individual. You will have to travel to the session if you want the license - even if it is a little inconvenient for you.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

691. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <387212B2.FCA79BA2@cats-net.com>
Source record: 123116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Darrell Franks" <defranks@hctc.com> wrote in message
> news:s6qnvfe25k229@corp.supernews.com...
> > It's too late now, of course, but I wish that the FCC had two-tracked it.
> > They could have had code and no-code (or 5wpm) tracks. Leave the CW
> portion
> > of the Extra band for folks who can pass a 20wpm test. Leave the phone
> > portions for folks who don't care about CW. The good news is, people who
> > don't want to learn the code are still going to stay out of the code
> > sub-bands.
>
> Er, pardon me ... I think you meant the "non-SSB/SSTV digital bands." I
> am not interested in the code, but I expect to soon be doing some
> interesting
> digital stuff in what your erroneously refer to as "the code sub-bands."
>
> It's called progress ... live with it.

You have a natural gift for pissing people off, Carl. It is seldom that
I've observed one with fewer interpersonal skills than you. I can
hardly wait for the interesting digital stuff you have in store for us.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm
```

692. Re: DOES ANYONE CARE ANYMORE? >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <387214F8.E3D0C837@amouse.net>
Source record: 123117

rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Can you imagine working at the following Company? It has a little over
> 500 employees with the following statistics:
>
> 29 have been accused of spousal abuse
> 7 have been arrested for fraud
> 19 have been accused of writing bad checks
> 117 have bankrupted at least two business
> 3 have been arrested for assault
> 71 cannot get a credit card due to bad credit
> 14 have been arrested on drug-related charges
> 8 have been arrested for shoplifting
> 21 are current defendants in lawsuits
> 84 were stopped for drunk driving
> CAN YOU GUESS WHICH ORGANIZATION THIS IS? GIVE UP?
>
> It's the 535 members of your United States Congress.

You know, I have heard this crap for several years, but I have yet to hear of a single drop of evidence to back it up. Which congressmen are guilty of any of these things? Give us name, dates, circumstances, etc.

And one could also say "so what" to a few of these things. It is not a crime to have a business go bankrupt. A lot of people have bad credit for many different reasons (some ligitimate). And lawsuits are certainly not uncommon today.

As for the other stuff, again I would have to hear the facts before forming an opinion.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

693. KC8JBO...All ALONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wideass@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84tdf4$em4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123118

Here is a neat trick.......

Punch in "KC8JBO" in the Deja finder box. You will see a bunch of
postings, who are 95% of these postings by?

NONE OTHER THAN WIDEASS KC8JBO HIMSELF!!!!

He has no one to "talk" with, hohohoho.

Your USAF record will be posted REAL SOON, FATSO.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

694. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3871DD34.FE7E668E@sgi.net>
Source record: 123119

Tim, As you responded to Murphy's last post:

It's obvious you have NOT read all of the posts related to Murphy's thread. To bash someone for asking a question is just not good policy. He, as all of us have every right to express our thoughts, ask questions and respond to said responses.

Tim wrote:

> Only trashing I've heard in this thread has come from you.
>
>
> Tim ka8ddz

695. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3871DB7B.3F2ACC01@sgi.net>
Source record: 123120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sir, if your so intent on being a higher classed Ham why would you bring your former CB lingo to the ham bands when in fact you know in your heart it is not part of the program and will only cause problems?

Isn't it enough that some hams are irritated that the FCC has reduced the rules thus causing problems some within the amateur community ONLY in the minds of the sticklers for higher code speed requirements.

As you know, your ASAP will not happen until April 15 and later. Sir, I have been licensed since 1974 and have NEVER operated on an unauthorized frequency, used any language other than amateur radio lingo when operating on the frequencies I am authorized on . Although I am a senior citizen, I am NOT a nerd and don't live in a cocoon, but rules are rules and I do respect them as you should consider doing.

Tech+ wrote:

> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.
>
> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> at home right away.
>
> These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!

696. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3872135F.8C3E2622@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 123121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Dave Hall wrote:

Those "snide" people are only retaliating against those of you (and you

> know who you are) that refuse to move into the 21st century and accept
> that code may not be the golden child anymore and that its worth is no
> longer needed with respect to license testing. And who have made it
> clear on any number of occasions that those that do not "do the code"
> are not "real" hams.
>

Dave, I have to agree with David Stinson, these guys that are getting their fairly automatic upgrades should be thankful. The comments and "nose rubbing in it" is getting way, way out of hand!

>
> I'd say it's just a case of turnabout being fair play.

No, not quite...

> > I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
> > and act like decent people- I mean specifically
> > the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
> > horse's asses.
>
> And all the while when we've been rehashing this topic, the negative
> comments and purely subjective and emotional reasons that you
> dogmatically adhere to in defense of code toward those that promoted
> changes, didn't make *you* look like "horse's asses"?
>

David Stinson isn't commenting against the change, he is actually all for it. He is just against the spineless jellyfishes (anonymous people, bad e-mail addresses, etc.), that are prancing around like they "deserve" this change. I see the same thing at the bank around the beginning of the month when all the Government leeches come in with their handouts.

>
> > When they "rub it in,"
> > remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
>
> Careful, you might need one of those "Welfare Extras" to repair your rig
> someday. You wouldn't like it much if they left you a "surprise".....
>

True, very true. But the guys who are repairing the rigs aren't the ones acting like smacked asses on these newsgroups.

>
> Dave

697. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <387218FA.E2F3037@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 123122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Dave Hall went off half-cocked and said:

>...the negative
> comments and purely subjective and emotional reasons that you
> dogmatically adhere to in defense of code toward those that promoted
> changes, didn't make *you* look like "horse's asses"?

Please quote the message where I
"dogmatically adhere to...defense of code."
You will find me on the side of the code speed reduction, sir.
I'm *for* most of these changes, even though I love code.
I'm -against- nasty attitudes displayed by spoiled children.

> Careful, you might need one of those "Welfare Extras"
> to repair your rig someday....

HO HO HA HA HA HEE HEE!!! What a JOKE!
I was fixing 'trons when you were still
swabbing "Zit-Away" on your nose, fella.
I repair my own stuff, thank you.
Even surface mount, if it isn't a large chip pack.
Ain't got the right tools for that.

I wouldn't trust 99% of these "bad attitude" guys I'm talking about
to know which end of a soldering iron gets hot.
Most of the new guys (and too darn many of the old guys!),
be they "good attitude" or "bad attitude,"
have trouble even using a meter, much less fixing their handhelds.
That's just a fact.
Some of them will go on to learn the craft. Most won't.
So I think I'll keep my test equipment handy, thanks.

Try to get some ammunition in your gun
if you're going to "pop off."
D.S.

698. Re: New Radio reform Catches more Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <38721A87.275207CB@amouse.net>
Source record: 123123
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

D Stark wrote:
>
> Umm, errrr, the crime rate in the
> United States has been falling for the
> past 10 or 11 years. What high crime
> rate are you worried about?

I have not seen that falling crime rate on the street. In fact, crime seems to be on the rise.

Instead of crime rates actually falling, I think cities are just not reporting crimes, or reporting the crimes as less serious offenses. Perhaps they are doing this in an effort to attract people to the cities. Or perhaps they are doing it to show that all their new "anti-crime" laws are working. Or perhaps even the police officers themselves are doing it to prove how well their department is doing its job.

Another factor that might be affecting this is the fact the criminals seem to be moving their operations to smaller cities. Many smaller towns around to country are starting to see sharp increases in crime. This is likely caused by many larger cities simply not pressing charges if the suspect agrees to leave town. Whatever the cause, as these people settle into their new locations, the crimes rate will start to climb again if this is indeed happening.

Whatever the case, I certainly don't feel any safer on the streets today than I did ten years ago. And, if I lived in a smaller town, I would be very concerned about some of the new people moving into town.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

699. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark VandeWettering <markv@pixar.com>
Message-ID: <vJoc4.1603$s5.57778@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 123124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tech+" <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8zqqhf$jjAM$1@hotmail.com...

> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> at home right away.
>
> These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!

Troll, troll, troll your boat, gently down the stream....
Merrily Merrily Merrily Merrily, live is but a dream.

700. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <0c747sk0575bp2lb4unm4aak4g5jejdaek@4ax.com>
Source record: 123125

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Im not on the lossing side Ham radio is the losser

No sir, in the case of the above snippet, I believe the English language gets
that honor.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

701. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387212E6.4311@no.net>
Source record: 123126
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Z. James wrote:
>
> Regardless of the long winded thread here. 99.9999999999% of human beings
> could care less of the restructuring. Don't worry about your pathetic little
> world being overloaded with newbies, they aren't interested.

Exactly, there was a time when being able to communicate all over the world using your own shortwave equipment was a fascinating thing, today anyone can pull a cell phone out of their pocket and call almost anyone anywhere in the world. Most people don't ever know what ham radio is (or think it is cb radio) and could not care less.

702. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Wkpc4.2970$Vr.57489@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tech+ wrote
>We want to switch to 10 codes on our HF nets

Actually the 10 codes were widely used on 2 meters when the FM mode first became popular. They have since fallen out of use. If you succeed in bringing them back, it won't be anything new for amateur radio...

(Of course I know your trolling, but facts are still interesting...)

703. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Xkpc4.2971$Vr.57489@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 123128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Piero Sassu wrote
>I wholeheartedly agree also. I also got mine "the old fashioned way",

If you're the "Piero A Sassu" of Norristown Pa, and none of my databases show two hams with that name, you have only been a ham for *13* years, hardly an "old fashioned" ham...

>Taking the written test these days is also a joke. Any moron
>that can memorize the questions and answers

So were the written tests 13 years ago, in your "old fashioned" days. I hope you weren't one of the morons you mention...

704. Re: AF2Y says N0VFP is GONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c1qc4.3154$X14.44511@news4.mia>
Source record: 123129

I'M STILL HERE YOU "ETERNAL JEW"

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Doc AF2Y wrote in message <20000104092416.12451.00000291@ng-co1.aol.com>...
>After reading Lloyd Davies' hideously bigoted white supremacist remarks
>welcoming in the new millennium, many of you told him to go to hell and
>apparently he's taken your advice. Thank you for standing up for America
while
>crushing that filthy dung laden insect from Athens Alabama.
>
>Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
>efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via
the
>Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
>never do better than write that letter!
>

705. ANOTHER WA9KJI THREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Chqc4.3212$X14.44798@news4.mia>
Source record: 123130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Castle uses Secret Service
and FBI in felonious threat to
new citizen.

Castle hits new low attempting to feloniously terrorize
amateur radio operator through e-mail.

GOTO: http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm#castle3

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
```

706. ICOM IC-T22A Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kei <hotel3@hutchcity.com>
Message-ID: <3870dc55$1@newsgate.hknet.com>
Source record: 123186

Does Anyone have the modification to enable wideband RX (450mhz) on a ICOM
IC-T22A
twinband FM Mobile. All help would be gratefully received.
Frankie from Hongkong

707. Re: I THINK ZQ WOULD TAKE ONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84re0h$29h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123187

nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote in message <84qt40$lc5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>AFTER SEEING HIS PIC ON THE NET I THINK ZQ WOULD TAKE ONE!

KC1ZQ sounds like he takes one up the keister.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

708. WELFARE GENERALS REPORT TO 3894.5 @ 3901

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20000104003552.18586.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 123188

Note: All welfare Generals after April 15, 2000, need to report to Drunk Al Abernathy (K4OKA) on 3894.5 or Dumbass Donnie (ND8V) on 3901. You idiots couldn't pass the code or written until the FCC gave it to you on a silver platter, so you and the moron QRMers of 3901 and 3804.5 deserve each other. HO HO!!!!

709. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103195149.13855.00000243@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 123189

In article <3870EEB8.2F56E0DF@worldnet.att.net>, Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
writes:

>Not a flame, I'm curious what will happen with NCI will they now push
>for us to leave the ITU and grant HF privileges without any code or are
>you satisfied with 5 wpm?
>
>Tim ka8ddz
>

I heard the Board was looking at buying a CB Franchise

710. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <38713642.6BC9@no.net>
Source record: 123190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson wrote:
>
> Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
> ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
> There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
> saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
> at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
> they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
> I propose that we old fossils who actually
> worked for our tickets offically adopt the
> terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
> when refering to these snide people.
> I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
> and act like decent people- I mean specifically
> the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
> horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
> remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
>
> 73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

Unfortunately this is going to make it easier for more of the cb types
with the in-bred cb mentality to have accesss to the amateur bands.

711. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <G3cc4.270$%67.32900@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 123191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson wrote in message <38713CB0.4AFB9C39@ix.netcom.com>...
>Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
>ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
>There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
>saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
>at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
>they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
>I propose that we old fossils who actually
>worked for our tickets offically adopt the
>terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
>when refering to these snide people.
>I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
>and act like decent people- I mean specifically
>the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
>horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
>remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
>
>73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

Great - - - just what we need - - - another meaningless item to flame each other about. How about we just call folks by their first name and refer to their license by whatever it says on the license.

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH
KN4FPT - 1958 - Novice
K4FPT - 1959 - Old timey Tech
1979 - Advanced
1992 - Extra
W4HH - 1997 (or was it 98, I forget)

712. Re: Looks Like I get a free upgrade to 10 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <387052d4.431335142@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123192

On 02 Jan 2000 23:50:27 GMT, mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA) wrote:

>Shouldn't that say "Looks Like I get free upgrade to 10 meter?"

Nothing in my remarks says that at all. If I am inclined to violate
the regs then the change hardly afects me before or after the R&O so
either I already have and can choose to use the band defacto now and
tomarrow or I have no legal access no or tomarrow, or both i any of
these cases NO "upgrade" takes place as a result of the R&O

713. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <387053aa.431548945@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123193

On 1 Jan 2000 18:19:55 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote:
>>On Sat, 01 Jan 2000 06:49:31 GMT, Leland Van Koten <vankoten@home.com>

>> Really, and just how does it do without being used by most
>>hams (according to the ARRL)
>
>The League didn't poll me. Did they poll you?
>
>If you tune across the HF bands, you'll hear just as many, if not
>more, CW QSOs than phone QSOs. That's a fact of life.

One not ture at all, depends on your gear, and the band. If I
turn acrros the Band I hear more AM QSO than anything else

Two Number of QSO is not the same as number of users. of
course there will be more QSO with rst exchange than with a chat.

Three they certainly did not poll me, however, this is beside
the point. It is true and correct statement that the ARRL claims that
CW is rarely to never by 70 some percent of hams, wether this is valid
reflection of the real is another matter.

Four, since you know that CW has some coraaing advatage over
SSB then you MUST discount the number by the right in order to find a
valid comparision .

You know ass this but still so spout such BS. a darn Shame
>
>Jeff KH6O/6
>

714. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103212330.01079.00000256@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123194

<< Subject: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!
From: techplus@hotmail.com (Tech+)
Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 12:56 PM EST
Message-id: <8zqqhf$jjAL$1@hotmail.com>

Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
licences will no longer be issued.

I'm going to be laughing in the faces of the Extras at our next club
meeting too. After years of their "attitudes", it is going to be loads
of fun watching them squirm. The more they whine, the more I will enjoy
it. Listening to the whining on here has been quite satisfying too,
because the elitists are getting what has been long, long overdue, and
they can't stand it.

Thank you FCC!!!

<<Riley, see what your employers did? Are they proud of allowing a pusbag like
the creep who's gloating to jump from a sinkhole to Extra is one easy test?
Don't you feel betrayed? You're doing all you can to refine while your
employers are doing everything they can do to muddy.>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

715. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103211554.01079.00000250@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123195

<< Subject: Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"
From: JJ jj@no.net
Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 07:55 PM EST
Message-id: <38713642.6BC9@no.net>

David Stinson wrote:
>
> Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
> ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
> There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
> saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
> at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
> they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
> I propose that we old fossils who actually
> worked for our tickets offically adopt the
> terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
> when refering to these snide people.
> I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
> and act like decent people- I mean specifically
> the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
> horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
> remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
>
> 73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

Unfortunately this is going to make it easier for more of the cb types
with the in-bred cb mentality to have accesss to the amateur bands. >>

<<Here here David;well said. I'm an Extra since April '78 and did it the old
way-I EARNED IT. No welfare ticket for me. I WORKED FOR THAT TICKET and sweated
bullets before the FCC official on Varick Street, NYC. Ham radio used to be a
national resource. The FCC has now made it "applesource."

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

716. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001040224170.8376-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 123196

On 3 Jan 2000, Robert Casey wrote:
> In article <HEUb4.4399$S%2.24851@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
> RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you> wrote:
> >I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
> >license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after
> >April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing
> >something?
> >
> That's about it, as I understand it. If you got your tech+ before 1987,
> you would be credited for the General theory, but you'll need to show
> them the expired license from when you first got it. Or a callbook
> entry with your call and name.

The conversion rules call for the pre-'87 license ONLY. The callbook won't cut it.

717. The Liber*al net massage board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103211815.15796.00000257@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 123197

looky @ the liberal net message boored. Hey, can you jam on the internet?
go see what is it, www.nationlink.com/liberty/wwwboard.html

718. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103212710.01079.00000259@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123198

<< Subject: Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!
From: "Armond Noble" n6wr@email.msn.com
Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 08:04 PM EST
Message-id: <#972N#kV$GA.304@cpmsnbbsa05> >>
<< Yes, and today's high school graduates can laugh at those of the past who had to learn to read and write. >>
<<Good show Armond. You sure gave him a WORLDRADIO full.>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

719. Re: Need info on old Hy-Gain vertical

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: plscolla@erols.com
Message-ID: <38715c67.36241118@news.erols.com>
Source record: 123199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi,

Sounds like you have a 14AVQ Vertical. Mine is almost 30 years old
and still works great. Simple to put together. Send me an e-mail
address and I'll send you a copy of the documentation that came with
the 14AVQ.

Pat
WB0EGR@arrl.net

On Mon, 03 Jan 2000 09:07:43 -0600, Jim Taylor
<jtaylor1@win.bright.net> wrote:

>I recently acquired an older Hy-Gain trap vertical antenna.
>Unfortunately, it didn't come with any documentation, and I'm not quite
>sure that it is even complete.
>
>It appears to be a 4 band (40, 20, 15 & 10) trap vertical - 3 traps,
>marked 20, 15 and 10. The traps are tapered.
>
>I'm not sure if there should be anything above the 20M trap, besides the
>two telescoped pieces of aluminum tubing. Right now, there isn't even
>an end cap. If there are supposed to be any other parts, I will need to
>fabricate them.
>
>I would appreciate it if someone could let me know what I might need to
>do to make this antenna air-worthy. It would be wonderful if I could
>get a copy of the original documentation, or at least information on the
>proper dimensions, etc.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Jim Taylor
>K9JT
>

720. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000103212903.15762.00000215@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 123200

I agree Lloyd, I think The "other" hams should be gloating, after all the only way most of these -no code techs- would *upgrade* is by the FCC lowering it's stands to let them in. Makes one wonder what he has to gloat about doesn't it.

721. Re: Need info on old Hy-Gain vertical

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103213129.01079.00000262@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123201

<< Subject: Need info on old Hy-Gain vertical
From: Jim Taylor jtaylor1@win.bright.net
Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 10:07 AM EST
Message-id: <3870BB3E.AD0CE3F1@win.bright.net>

I recently acquired an older Hy-Gain trap vertical antenna.
Unfortunately, it didn't come with any documentation, and I'm not quite
sure that it is even complete.

It appears to be a 4 band (40, 20, 15 & 10) trap vertical - 3 traps,
marked 20, 15 and 10. The traps are tapered.

I'm not sure if there should be anything above the 20M trap, besides the
two telescoped pieces of aluminum tubing. Right now, there isn't even
an end cap. If there are supposed to be any other parts, I will need to
fabricate them.

I would appreciate it if someone could let me know what I might need to
do to make this antenna air-worthy. It would be wonderful if I could
get a copy of the original documentation, or at least information on the
proper dimensions, etc.

Thanks,

Jim Taylor
K9JT

<<Send me an e-mail with your full address and I'll try to dig up the
instruction manual on the HyGain 14AVQ since that's what you have. I used to
quite a bit of work with verticals and it's yours gratis.>>

>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

722. Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated UH...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103214015.01079.00000266@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123202

```
<< Subject: Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated UH...
From: AF2Y_RULES d_ranged@nutcase.net
Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 04:20 PM EST
Message-id: <38712E4E.EB2D61C3@nutcase.net>

This sounds like he is describing 'KV4FZ'.
<<THE ONLY THING I'M HEARING OUT OF KV4FZ ARE THE SOUNDS OF SILENCE AND THE
ODDEST THING IS THAT SIMON AND GARFUNKEL ARE JEWISH.>>

D

reeldeal@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> To let WB2OTK back on ham radio to qrm, make racist remarks, cuss,
> gravedance, belittle authority, lie, cheat other amateurs, organize his
> group of brigands again, and go right back doing what he did that
> caused his problems to begin with, would be criminal. He must clear his
> troubles up with the FCC first, then change completely from what he did
> while he was a active amateur. Where does Bob Sherrin get off being a
> shill for the return of WB2OTK, a radio criminal of the first order.
> Bob mentioned his putting his high powered amateur radio station back
> on. Maybe he wants payback for shilling, in the form of advise on very
> high over powered amateur radio activity from OTK!!
>
> Reeldeal
>
> In article <26465-38708B4C-24@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> > Bull!
> >
> > If you're all for racist language and profane vulgarity, by all means,
> > bring Rich back.
> >
> > But personally, I think amateur radio doesn't need his kind.
> >
> > (Drew)
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
```

efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

723. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: liam@ork.net
Message-ID: <p7dc4.2303$Ef6.548547@news.shore.net>
Source record: 123203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> Now, if there aren't increased numbers of hams, I would expect that more ham
> radio frequencies to be lost to commercial services - so people who are not
> welcoming of new hams, and try to be elitist about it and ignore, newbies
> are only hurting the hobby by this irrational behavior and indeed
> threatening its existance!!

Then it's all over but the shouting. Because both alternatives lead to the death of ham radio.

1) Too few hams = no political power = bands get taken away for lack of usage.

2) Easy test/no code = People who have no committment and who come and go at the drop of a hat, mostly going. No sense of membership in anything, no sense of anything at all.

How do you explain ham radio to others, in an age where you can chat with people all over the world in IRC? I can call CQ by opening an IRC channel for any interest I have, and see who responds. From anywhere in the world, really.

And hey, if IRC is too difficult, I can just load AOL Instant Messenger, and do a search for people who are on, for any interest. You show that to hams in the 1950's, and even then you'd see how many would switch to this.

If there's little effort, then there's no sense of pride or of belonging to a community. And you can kiss it all goodbye. People will just use AOL IM, not "unreliable" ham radio.

724. Re: AF2Y's BOYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103213608.01079.00000265@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 123204

<< Subject: AF2Y's BOYS
From: hammer007 hammer007@my-deja.com
Date: Sun, 02 January 2000 07:52 PM EST
Message-id: <84ort4$86d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Seems like Richard and Donnie have something in common. More to come, stay tuned for the reports.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

<<Hey idiot. Tell us about the way your darling Harry just split the atom in the 6th grade.>>

Doc, A F 2 Y
Voted by an independent research organization as having the most efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll never do better than write that letter!

725. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rcoyle@Bayou.UH.EDU
Message-ID: <84rq7v$tn7$4@Masala.CC.UH.EDU>
Source record: 123205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson (arc5@ix.netcom.com) wrote:
: Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
: ham licensing and reduction of code rate:

: There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
: saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
: at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
: they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.

Only if we licensed hams recognize a 5 wpm Extra as an Extra. I recognize amateurs by their accomplishments. A Codeless Tech is still a Codeless Tech. If one passes the 20 wpm element, they are an Amateur Extra. If they don't, they aren't.

Chin up, old man. The new grade of instant hams will still shy away from the CW portion of the bands. I suspect that they won't be able to copy at 5 wpm, if at all.

Robert

726. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn872lnf.tdu.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 123206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

On Mon, 03 Jan 2000 18:20:00 -0600, David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
>ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
>There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
>saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
>at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
>they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
>I propose that we old fossils who actually
>worked for our tickets offically adopt the
>terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
>when refering to these snide people.
>I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
>and act like decent people- I mean specifically
>the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
>horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
>remind them they're "Welfare Extras."

I have a different suggestion. Let's just call them "jerks." Not only
is it descriptive, it avoids the possibility that someone might
misunderstand and think you are referring to the sincere folks. Even
better, it's economical: you can use the same term to refer to those
among the OTs who DO disparage the decent people. All in all, a nice
all-purpose term.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

727. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3871639b.501176842@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 03 Jan 2000 19:20:55 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
****
>
>Why should an amature licensee have to derive Maxwell's equations? I seriosly
>doubt that half of the BSEE today that have been out of scholl for more than 2
>years just shudder at that thought. Most I know have stated that Fields and
>Waves was one of the most difficult cousre in EE. I thought very interseting
>though.
>
>regards
>james

While I agree it goes a bit far, it is IMO better to talking
about including something about Maxwell equations then Morse Code
>
>

728. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <38716409.501287081@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 3 Jan 2000 09:59:35 -0500, "Carl R. Stevenson"
<wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>
>That is exactly what the ARRL's "compromise" proposal was ...
>as many classes of license and as much code as they thought
>stood a snowball's chance in hell of getting throught the FCC.
>
>They guessed wrong.

Thanks for kindly agreeing with me we don't always agree on
things Carl (outside of Code testing itself)
>
>
>> It is different from trying to Go down with the ship (all of the ARS) on
>the
>> issue of code, but it remians in favor of code testing requirement
>
>That is why I stated in a recent post that this is a (somewhat) different
>ARRL than existed at the time we lost 220-222 MHz. That ARRL
>took an "over our dead bodies" attitude with the FCC and we all
>paid for it. I'm glad the current ARRL BoD is a bit more flexible.

A bit more change and prehaps they can start incrasing the
margin of good they do for the ARS as opposed to harm

729. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <38716566.501635979@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 123209

On Mon, 03 Jan 2000 12:47:20 -0600, Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Not a flame, I'm curious what will happen with NCI will they now push
>for us to leave the ITU and grant HF privileges without any code or are
>you satisfied with 5 wpm?
>
>Tim ka8ddz

I fully expect that NCI wil now derect more effort toward
preparing for the WRC and the ending of the the treaty provision.

The goal of NCI is NO Code and 5wpm is still code, as would 1
wpm be
>

730. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmoisan@shore.net
Message-ID: <3871720e.179050216@news.shore.net>
Source record: 123210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 3 Jan 2000 17:36:30 -0600, "Dave Stadt"
<dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Thanks for the compliment but I _am_ talking amateur communications. I do
>believe the geezer beepers are the ones taking themselves too seriously.
>They are the ones claiming the world is coming to an end just because
>something changed.
>
There's a difference between taking yourself too seriously and being
professional. People doing public service in the ARS, for example,
are being professional. They love what they do and do it gratis
because it's fun and worthwhile. I do volunteer TV production and I
am *very* serious and professional about it. But I'm not so serious
that I think I'm better or more elite or more "endowed" than someone
walking into my public-access studio for the first time. (I teach at
the studio, too.)

I love technology, radio & TV. I can afford to be professional even
in my hobbies, because I throw all of myself into everything I do
(doesn't mean spending all my money, just making the best of what I
do do.)
That doesn't require me to loudly compare penis sizes when someone
newer than me gets his ticket, though.

Take care and 73,

Dave
David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL n1kgh@amsat.org
Invisible Disability: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
GE Superradio FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
Sangean ATS-909 FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

731. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103232416.25165.00000335@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123211

In article <38716566.501635979@nntp.one-eleven.net>, konstans@one-eleven.net (Mark Morgan) writes:

>The goal of NCI is NO Code and 5wpm is still code, as would 1
>wpm be

No the goal was to get Carl his Welfare Extra, after sitting on his Lazy Ass for 20 years.

732. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103231831.25165.00000322@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 123212

In article <387150E0.56D6E541@icss.net>, Brian <burke1@icss.net> writes:

>Personal opinion: the folks using "CB-plusser" and "welfare general" are
>the inbred types.
>

Brian you are living proof of inbreding

733. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Parkinson <mattradi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <387176A9.AC505A4D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 123213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Come on guys lets just drop the subject . We can't change anything but maybe barking about it some of you guys feel better. Don't worry about the CBers they are CBers because they cannot pass the 5 wpm or they are just to lazy to pass it other wise they would have their ticket by now. Life is full of changes especially when it comes to our government. The government is full of changes and full of allot of other things is you know what I mean. Just get on with life and don't worry the other guys (CBers) they are not worth. If you are using the Key just keep on using it . Just my thoughts on this matter which is really about nothing.
Matt Parkinson KE6UOS

"Robert L. Coyle Jr." wrote:

> David Stinson (arc5@ix.netcom.com) wrote:
> : Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
> : ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
> : There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
> : saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
> : at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
> : they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
> Only if we licensed hams recognize a 5 wpm Extra as an Extra. I recognize
> amateurs by their accomplishments. A Codeless Tech is still a Codeless
> Tech. If one passes the 20 wpm element, they are an Amateur Extra. If they
> don't, they aren't.
>
> Chin up, old man. The new grade of instant hams will still shy away from
> the CW portion of the bands. I suspect that they won't be able to copy at
> 5 wpm, if at all.
>
> Robert
>

734. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84rtvg$3la$b@208.207.71.138>
Source record: 123214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Giuliani wrote:
>
> What a useless idea. As if we need more things to change at this time in
> ham radios delicate future.

Yeah. Hans can't find it with both Hans.

>
> K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:84r376ptp1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>,
> > nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> > > regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
> >
> > Let's go back to the days when your call sign said ABSOLUTELY NOTHING
> > about your class or longevity! All this slicing and dicing and
> > segregation and pecking-order-mentality based on perceived rank and
> > seniority belongs in the Army, not in Amateur Radio.
> >
> > I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
> > QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
> > with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
> > creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.
> >
> > 73, Hans, K0HB
> > dit dit
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

735. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000103235634.14284.00000211@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 123215

IMHO, all the call for "mods," "freeband conversion," "opening for fire/police/whatever" is a sign of the new CB-Plus operators, who come to ham radio with a basic disregard for the rules, regs, and self-policing heritage of Amateur Radio. Instead, they carry the Outlaw mentality they learned on CB, where they accepted illegal power, illegal frequencies, and illegal equipment. As I heard some truckers hauling gravel explain on 28.445 MHz, "I bought this radio, and I intend to use it." Never mind that its illegal, they just don't care. I don't think they can see the analogy that, even though they have a car that will go 150 mph, they are still required to obey the speed limit! Hell, who am I kidding, we all know they don't obey the speed limits! Or, just because they bought a gun doesn't mean they can shot when, where, and whatever they please!

This bandit mentality, in which they take pride, does not fit the historical character of Ham Radio. These folks remind me of the "boz in the hood," who paint graffiti all over the public housing project, and then complain about the lousy housing the have! Get ready to watch them trash YOUR hobby.

Oh - I'm not embarassed to own up to my opinions-

Kï¿½MB

736. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <84rvfo$jl0@nnrp4.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 123216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:386d2293.183972951@news.dvol.com...
> >
> >Well Brian, as an Extra class licensee, what have you done to advance the
> >state of the radio art?
> >
> Nothing.

Very good! So why do you feel you are qualified to bad mouth somebody else
on the subject? You have by your own words done nothing to justify your use
of the limited spectrum. So, if you feel justified in your use of the
spectrum without
any meaningful advancement in the state of the art, the same can be said of
those
whom you bad mouth.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

737. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.12dafe3987e9cd529896cf@news.gte.net>
Source record: 123217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <84h9a7$5r2$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>,
robtg@worldnet.att.net says...
> You said it Steve, ham radio has been sold down the river.
> What do you expect from a bunch of paper shufflers.

I think you misread me -- I'm all FOR this change! The poodle bit was
just a joke.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS Home page: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs
Fountain Valley, CA AOL Instant Messaging: "stevesilverwood"
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net <-- [note new email address]

738. Help! I need schematic circuit for Icom ICH16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prometeo <prometeo5@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <38718623.177947B6@geocities.com>
Source record: 123218

I need schematic circuit for Icom ICH16 or information about where to
obtain it.
Thanking in advance,
Prometeo

739. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s0kl$q0g$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Careful Dick, someone may notice how delusionary you are
>and put you in a "rest home". If Carl so chooses, his
>license will say "Amateur Extra" just like yours with
>exactly the same privileges as you have. I can imagine

After rotting as a tech for 25 years, it will be interesting to hear
what he does with his new hand out.

We'll be listening for ya, Carl! We've heard for years all the disparaging
remarks you made about DXers and contesters and ragchewers. That leads
us to wonder what you'll do with your brand new HF privileges.

Challenge: If you work me on CW, Carl, I'll buy you a lobster dinner.

Jeff KH6O/6

740. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Z. James" <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <84s1e4sfs1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123220
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Regardless of the long winded thread here. 99.9999999999% of human beings could care less of the restructuring. Don't worry about your pathetic little world being overloaded with newbies, they aren't interested.

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:38710E43.2A86E20E@IEEE.org...
> AB7RS wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > AB7RS wrote:
> > > > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
> > >
> > > Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> > > i.e. 49 years.
> >
> > I am a new ham and haven't even been alive that long,besides,whats
> > your point.
>
> In that case, it sounds to me like you are the "Weeney".
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

741. Re: NCI what now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104010425.13855.00000352@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 123221

In article <zofc4.3139$V2.32387@sea-read.news.verio.net>, "Ed Mitchell"
<REMkf7vyOVE@amsatDELETE.orgXYZ> writes:

>NCI will continue to work on future WRC/ITU regulatory changes and on
>telegraphic proficiency issues in other countries.
>

Give me a break, other countries could care less about a bunch of NCI CBers

742. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s1ilqha1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
>> owner be available on the web.

>That's a great idea, Jeff. A robber gets the name and address off
>the web and steals the gun when nobody is home.

That's one of the chances you take belonging to the 2nd Amendment
militia. Put better locks on your doors and get a dog.

But what kind of dereliction of duty is this you speak of! A militia
member abandoning his weapon at home? =:o

Jeff KH6O/6

743. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s2k3$rb5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Joe Schlatter <w4hh@schlatter.org> wrote:
>And if you want to go with historical fact, the 2000th anniversary of Jesus'
>birth was 4 - 6 years ago.

Using "fact" and a two-year possible error in the same sentence is a
bit odd, Joe. As I said below, the *latest* possible year is 1BCE.
1BCE is favored due to the lunar eclipse which occurred during that
year; scholars have found reference to an eclipse occurring the same
year of Christ's birth.

Jeff

>Jeffrey Herman wrote in message <84phth$r8d$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>>Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com> wrote:
>>>The new millennium doesn't start until 1/1/2001. Ask the US Naval
>>>Observatory. All we did today is enter into the year 2000.
>>
>>Ah yes, brought to you by the same government which used its NORAD
>>computers to track Santa Claus on what was supposed to be the eve
>>of Christ's birthday.
>>
>>If you count from the lastest possible year Chirst was born, 1BCE,
>>we entered the third millennium Saturday morning. If you want to
>>take the politically correct secular stance like our government
>>(i.e., the USNO), your millennium begins next year.
>>
>>Jeff KH6O/6
>
>

744. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s3d4rnn1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8zqqhf$jjAL$1@hotmail.com>, Tech+ <techplus@hotmail.com>
wrote: >Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
>upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
>down onto HF

That should be interesting. And which HF band were you considering
using that would duplicate the local coverage of your 2m rtpr net?
(160m daytime would work nicely. Can't wait to see your 160m HTs!)

Jeff

745. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s460scl1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tech+" <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> I'm going to be laughing in the faces of the Extras at our next club
> meeting too.

I don't suppose your new HF net will be done with CW...

746. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhwiley@columbus.rr.com
Message-ID: <38718ca4.2729531@news.raex.com>
Source record: 123226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Here's a question. I understand the Tech+'s (like myself) who came in
after a certain date (I was first licensed June 1990) will need to
take the general written. However, won't our current tech plus
licenses show as proof of passing the 5WPM? Or do we need the
certificates from the VE's way back when?

On Fri, 31 Dec 1999 12:03:46 -0500, "Carl R. Stevenson"
<wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: SHA1
>
><Pappy@sgi.net> wrote in message news:386C9BFC.7665FB23@sgi.net...
>> WE tech+ holders have passed the general theory. I took my general
>> theory test some 25 years ago before an FCC Agent along with having
>> passed the 5 WPM requirement.
>>
>> I presume we are in limbo for now. The FCC needs to explain there and
>> our position much more than was described.
>
>If you are an "old" tech, and can prove it, you get credit for the 5 wpm
>code test and the full general written which you took. All you have to
>do is show up at a VE session after April 15, 2000 with the necessary
>documentation, pay the application fee, and file the Form 605, and
>you'll get an upgrade to General without having to re-take any tests.
>
>If you haven't read the Report and Order, it's available in Adobe
>.pdf format at either
>
>http://www.nocode.org/articles
>
>or my personal web page at
>
>http://wwwusers.fast.net/~wa6vse
>
>happy reading and happy new year!
>
>73,
>- --
>Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
><mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
><http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
>- ---
>NCI-1052

>Director, No Code International
>Fellow, The Radio Club of America
>Senior Member, IEEE
>Member, TAPR
>Member, ARRL
>- --
>Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
>Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
><http://www.nocode.org>
>
>
>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
>iQA/AwUBOGzh6pe+N6+q84HiEQKzXgCgx3HKBvH6CnLnGpHzVW2mNvXt7xAAoK0j
>1bmYdTrzuAi+H6Q2PFbfBNF8
>=NOaa
>-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>

747. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s6f3$o4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123227

Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote:
>One not ture at all,
>turn acrros the Band
>Two Number of QSO is not the same
>wether this is valid
>CW has some coraaing advatage over
>You know ass this but still so spout

Could someone please translate that?

748. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s611bd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Aaron Jones wrote:
>> Jeffrey Herman wrote

>> >In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
>> >owner be available on the web.

>> That's an interesting idea. But it really sounds like an
>> Internet war against gun owners.

War? You mean they're not proud to belong to the Second Amendment
militia? They're ashamed? They'd be washed out in minute in the
real military.

>>Exposing gun owners

Is this a secret club or our Second Amendment militia?

>> the Internet would only be a form of harassment.

This would be a state-run web site; the state's gun registration
office would place their records, already in the public domain,
on their web site.

>>Your recognition reason is nothing but an excuse.

Nope. It's the Second that grants gun ownership to the militia.
It's not a secret militia, so public recognition is in order.

>> Be careful because war can work both ways. For example, what if
>> someone happened to cross post this to some pro-gun newsgroups?

I wish someone would. My suggestion has already appeared in a
newspaper letter. It's nice to occasionally remind gun-owners
of the *full* text of the Second. Surely they're not ashamed
to belong to the Second's militia, right?

>> I'll bet your mailbox would fill up real fast,

That's what the D-key is for.

>>or maybe you would even get a visit from some local folks.

The can't get up to my condo without having passed by at least a
dozen video cameras. Even the elevators have cameras.

>>I think you will agree after reading this that Internet harassment
>> is a bad idea no matter which side does it...

You like to toss the word "harassment" around, Aaron. The info
is already in the public domain -- all the state would have to
do is place the data on their web site.

>It would also identify the houses where it would be safe for hoodlums to
>burglarize and rape.

Lock your doors and get a dog.

Jeff

749. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84s85spch1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 123229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <387144C7.2A89@mobiletel.com>, mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote:
>JM wrote:
>>
>> to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
>> bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
>> would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
>> what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
>> already. John N0EE
>I did sent comments and I am quoted in the R&O. Martin D. Wade N5PZJ
I did too and I'm also listed in the R&O. I even posted to the newsgroups
the web site or e-mail address where to submit comments.

750. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <84s7bm$192$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 123230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Followups set to just appear in rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.misc <liam@ork.net> wrote:
>In rec.radio.scanner Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>> Now, if there aren't increased numbers of hams, I would expect that more ham
>> radio frequencies to be lost to commercial services

That doesn't make sense. The only frequencies in danger of being lost
are those in the V/UHF region. There has been a code-free license
available for that portion of the spectrum. Are you saying that that
code-free VHF+ license has been a failure?

How will lowering the HF code speeds provide any more protection to V/UHF?

Our HF frequencies are in no danger of being auctioned; in fact, as
we speak, proposals exist at the government level to give hams even
more HF spectrum.

>1) Too few hams = no political power = bands get taken away for lack of
>usage.

And who is going to take away our HF spectrum? If anything, we stand
to gain more in the near future. (We were given three new HF bands during
the 80s decade.)

>How do you explain ham radio to others, in an age where you can chat with
>people all over the world in IRC? I can call CQ by opening an IRC channel
>for any interest I have, and see who responds. From anywhere in the world,
>really.

If you don't mind being dependent upon millions of miles of phone lines
and government- and corporate-owned Internet computers.

There is no such dependence in amateur radio.

Jeff

751. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Cool <kb0tix@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s77i9r6bhu86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

HEY EVERYONE! I think I heard a banjo just a playin' in the background.
FREEEEEEEEEEEEEEEAKY!

752. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001052245500.12817-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 122833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 5 Jan 2000 Hello@toyou.org wrote:
> I was licensed Jul 1990, as a Tech (5wpm was a requirement in 1990). The no
> code techs came later on. As a result, it says only Tech on my license, my
> question is, how do I prove that I am a tech plus after April 15, 2000? If
> you make a search on my call on FCC database NOW, you will get "class= Tech
> plus", but after April 15, I am cooked!

The VE's will know (or should know) because that license was issued before
2/14/91.

753. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001052248390.12817-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 122834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 5 Jan 2000 Hello@toyou.org wrote:
> I will get extra, not only general. But I have to do it now, since after April
> 15, there would be no trace of my tech plus license. Somebody had suggested that
> after April 15, 2000, the FCC database should contain a field to indicate the
> previous license class. That's a good idea, since people will know who had HF
> privileges before.

The FCC ULS database (and the old "XFS Alphatest" database) has a "prior class"
field (and a prior callsign field too).

754. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001052238540.12817-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 122835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 4 Jan 2000, Robert Wiley wrote:
> Here's a question. I understand the Tech+'s (like myself) who came in
> after a certain date (I was first licensed June 1990) will need to
> take the general written. However, won't our current tech plus
> licenses show as proof of passing the 5WPM? Or do we need the
> certificates from the VE's way back when?

If your license shows that it was issued before 2/14/91, the license itself is
sufficient proof. However, if you've renewed since then and it doesn't say
"tech plus," you need to bring your expired license to prove the code element.

755. standard C156E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamie <jamie@paragliding.org>
Message-ID: <850iqiobj1@gxsn.com>
Source record: 122836

Has anyone got any mod's for the Standard C156E. Can this radio be modified? i want to increase the TX range if possible (i know it can be done on earlier radios by Standard)

Thanks for any help
Jamie

756. Re: Logbook Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <kg8aw@ismi.net>
Message-ID: <3873C9F2.60850B88@ismi.net>
Source record: 122837
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Check out http://www.itis.net/eqf It one of the better
logging programs.

Mark Bone wrote:
>
> Where can I find a good logging program?
>
> Mark

--
This message was sent using 100% recycled electrons.

757. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s77kdk49hu891@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:38736E6B.5DC5@arrl.net...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> > Not for a minute ... you don't think I'd bet Ed a lobster dinner,
> > loser drives, if I didn't think I'd win, do you???
>
> Now, you are not telling me that you had inside information, are you,
> Carl? :-)

I didn't say that ... don't start being like some others here who like
to try to put words in my mouth. Given the ARRL's professional
lobbying/legal establishment in Washington, you'd think that if
anyone had "inside information" it would have been the ARRL,
right? (I'm not saying the ARRL did ... just that they have more
"intelligence-gathering capabilities.")

> > > 5. Given the boundary conditions, everything went NCI's way.
>
> > You got it ...
>
> Including the loss of the syllabus from the regs?

I don't distrust the VECs to put together tests ... obviously
the FCC doesn't either ... they said so.

73,
- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>
```

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHPReZe+N6+q84HiEQLZBgCg6CSv3wWQDrD7topYnpiaONbOZSoAoLqn
0s/2nI62ivVwgXBqI9Z+rCam
=EXFM
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

758. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s77k14nbhu851@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:38738A1B.9AAE29F0@uci.edu...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > Given the treaty requirement, that was the best we could hope
> > for at this juncture and we got it.
>
> Yes, we did - NCI being only the tinyest subset of "we".
>
> 73, Jim

You just simply can't force yourself to admit that NCI was
instrumental in the outcome, can you? I guess that's your
problem, since I know the direct feedback I got from the
FCC which indicated that we were.

73,
- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHPP5Ze+N6+q84HiEQKwaACfbDIAWbUjK/K5SrogJRPaKpwXAtQAoI6D
mMA30FwMfzQwz3tLZpUq1KW+
=8ycR
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

759. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s77khpj3hu8118@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:38738E52.12A7B3D5@uci.edu...
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > Read the R*O yourself ... it's available at:
>
> My comments are based on the R&O, which I downloaded from the NCI site 2
> days ago, Carl. I told you what I see there (lack of NCI citations),
> and you argued with me about that. Please support your argument, or be
> quiet about it. Thanks.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

You must not read so well, Jim ... as I said, NCI's comments, my comments,
those of
Bob Vernal, those of Bruce Perens, and NCI's Ex Parte Presentation are all
mentioned.
Collectivel, there are quite a few "citations" to the comments of NCI and
the individual
comments of some of its Directors.

--
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>

NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL

Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

760. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s77k5pfdhu815@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:slrn875e2p.vmm.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com...
> On Tue, 4 Jan 2000 21:00:34 -0500, Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net>
wrote:
> >Those who were truly interested in the outcome commented. The rest
> >are now sitting around bitching, whining, and carping. (to no avail)
>
> Then you agree with the point I've been making that only a tiny
> fraction of hams were truly interested in licensing issues?
>
> Jon

Jon,

I guess I'd say not that they weren't interested ... just not interested
(and committed) enough to take the time to file comments.

73,
- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHPQfpe+N6+q84HiEQL2mACgl13jOJViko+lopontPPPxz1SRhcAn08Z
LEHNPv1a7Rh1/lNVTyqZy82d
=O9bi
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

761. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas Geovanis <nickgeo@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.HPX.4.10.10001051704070.5480-100000@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Source record: 122842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 4 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:

> IMHO the "unreliable" aspect of ham radio is what makes it challenging
> and fun. How much fun would working a distant country be over the
> internet be, knowing full well that there's nothing to prevent it? What
> would be the point?
>
> The internet is TOO easy. Pretty soon it will become a cesspool of
> sludge for every low-life, social deviate, that can't even get a date,
> much less have a life outside of their homes.

Gosh, that's just how it was fifteen years ago when only us geeks used it.
Back to the good old days! :-D

> It will become
> increasingly difficult to find meaningful, intelligent, and mature
> people to communicate with. This may end up being the savior of ham
> radio.

If this discussion is any indication, it's ALREADY impossible to find
meaningful, intelligent and mature people to communicate with on the
internet.

> Dave

+--------------------->
| Nick Geovanis
| IT Computing Svcs
| Northwestern Univ
V n-geovanis@nwu.edu

762. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <MpQc4.3041$Vr.62924@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John D. Kasupski wrote
>Just to set the record straight here, Aaron, our club's call of W2SEX
>was originally issued as W8SEX in 1938 to Bert Salefske,

True. It checked it out in my 47 callbook, not that I doubted you. I still don't think it would be a good idea to use that call at a grade school demonstration though...

763. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3873D7FC.57CF220B@uci.edu>
Source record: 122844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> You must not read so well, Jim ... as I said, NCI's comments, my comments,
> those of
> Bob Vernal, those of Bruce Perens, and NCI's Ex Parte Presentation are all
> mentioned.
> Collectivel, there are quite a few "citations" to the comments of NCI and
> the individual
> comments of some of its Directors.

I guess I don't read so well, Carl. I can't find any references to
directors of NCI anywhere in the citations. And as I said, the only
place in the section on telegraphy requirements I see a reference to NCI
is the one where the FCC takes exception to NCI's comments. Since I
read so bad, maybe you could help me out and quote the others. Thanks.

764. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3873D90C.DBAD851D@uci.edu>
Source record: 122845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> You just simply can't force yourself to admit that NCI was
> instrumental in the outcome, can you?

Why would I? I've seen no indication that NCI was instrumental in any
of it. After all, NCI was only one of 2200 commenters - many of whom
said roughly the same thing as NCI.

> I guess that's your
> problem, since I know the direct feedback I got from the
> FCC which indicated that we were.

Yeah, they told me I was too. I think they tell that to everybody. :-)

ac6xg

765. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <CgRc4.3048$Vr.63159@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Curt M. Breneman wrote
>In a way, I do feel that technical expertise (or at least technical
>enthusiasm) tends to engender respect for the hobby,

That's the same argument that was used for keeping the code test. I just don't think code expertise or technical expertise has any relation to a person's manners...

766. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3873EEAC.3FCC70A7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122847

Phil Wheeler wrote:

> Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> > How do I hook the radio up in my truck?

> The real fun is often attenuating all the noise on receive!
>
> Phil

Lloyd has two things going for him with respect to filtering the noise in his new mobile installation.

1. He is Chief of Communications for a Nationally recognized amateur radio organization.
2. There is a Radio Shack store down the street with someone to show him what a choke is.

767. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3873E69A.4D46E607@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
> There wasn't a mental disability waiver because no one is that
> disabled.

Please tell us where you went to medical school. My friend, who
is an RF design engineer, my antenna Elmer, and was, for many
years, the chief engineer for an AM broadcast station, tried
for hundreds (maybe thousands) of hours to get to 13wpm. His
most sacred goal in life was to be a general class amateur.
He never made it to 10wpm. Walk a mile in his shoes before
showing off your gross ignorance.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

768. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3873E6E8.5DBECC0B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> This will work out OK because the
> restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.

Sorta like the present extras who don't know Ohm's law?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

769. Re: RESTRUCTURING NOT WHAT YOU THINK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <E4Sc4.1186$197.92715@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 122850

I apologize for stirring things up, but I just received an e-mail from the ARRL agreeing that I was right, and that I only need to take Element 2. After living many years in rural America, I learned that if you have an old stubborn mule, to get his attention, you have to whack him between the eyes with a 2x4.

--

Jack Malone, ex W8HED & W7EJJ
Hereford, AZ
malonej@c2i2.com

770. Re: RESTRUCTURING NOT WHAT YOU THINK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <k5Sc4.1188$197.92745@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 122851

I am a attorney, just a filing fee.
<phil-news-nospam@ipal.net> wrote in message
news:XXQc4.36$UU6.767@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Your old technician license would be valid as a means to show your passing
> of the code exam, *IF* you had continuously retained any amateur license
> since then, including the grace periods in effect during any lapses.
> Since you allowed your license to expire, all credits not otherwise
holding
> their own (CSCE's hold their own for 365 days), and none did back then,
were
> lost with the expiration. I will further review 47-CFR-97 to be certain
> this is my intrepretation, but this is what I recall from the last time
> I read it (and I just downloaded a new copy from the Governmnent Printing
> Office web site).
>
> If you do not want to take a code test, you have additional choices
> available to you:
>
> 3. Take the Technician written exam and become a no-code technician.
> 4. Wait until the entire code requirement is abolished. I suspect
> this will be in about 8 to 15 years. It's not *if*, it's *when*.
>
> If you want to pursue #2, you're only making shar^H^H^H^Hlawyers rich.
> You can combine #3 and #4.
>
>
> On Wed, 5 Jan 2000 13:01:35 -0700 Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com> wrote:
>
> | ARRL IS VIOLATING THE SPIRIT OF PART 97 of Chapter 1 of
> | Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations as amended:
> | Part 97 - AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE
> | Pursuant to ��97.505 Element Credit.
> | (a) The administering VEs must give credit as specified below to an
examinee
> | holding any of the following license grants or license documents:
> | (8) An expired FCC- issued Technician Class operator license document
> | granted before March 21, 1987: Element 3.
> | (9) An expired or unexpired FCC-issued Technician Class operator license
> | document granted before February 14, 1991: Element 1.
> |
> | On or about July 17, 1951 I was issued Amateur Radio License W8HED with
> | TECHNICIAN Operator Privileges. At the time this License was issued I
had

> | successfully passed 5WPM by taking Elements 1, 2, & 3. I had all the
> | operating privileges of a General Class License with the exception of
the
> | 13WPM requirement. These examinations were administrated by the FCC
Regional
> | Office in Beaumont, Texas, not some VE.
> |
> | On or about September 18, 1966 my license expired, I did not renew it as
I
> | was not able to pass the 13WPM to upgrade to General Class.
> |
> | On or about January 4, 2000 I e-mailed to ARRL VEC my present status,
that I
> | had all license documents and received the following answer.
> |
> | Jack, if you are not a licensee now, on or after 4/15 you'd need to
take
> | Element 1, 2 and show a copy of your old "Technician" license at a test
> | session to go to General class. Element 1 is the new 5 WPM designation.
> | 73,
> | Bart J. Jahnke, W9JJ
> | Manager
> | ARRL VEC
> | vec@arrl.org
> |
> | I am not going to take another code text of 5WPM which leaves me two
choices
> | if ARRL doesn't clean up their act.
> | CHOICE 1: Forget about Amateur Radio and the ARRL.
> | CHOICE 2: On April 17, 2000 I will file in U.S.Federal Court at Tucson,
AZ a
> | CIVIL COMPLAINT against the ARRL for VIOLATING MY CIVIL RIGHTS pursuant
to
> | U.S.Code.
> | Comments welcome.
> | --
> | Jack Malone, ex W8HED & W7EJJ
> | Hereford, Arizona
> | malonej@c2i2.com
>
>
> --
> | Phil Howard - KA9WGN | for headlines that | Just say no to absurd
patents |
> | phil-nospam@ipal.net | really matter: | Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
|
> | Dallas - Texas - USA | linuxhomepage.com | Shop http://bn.com/ instead
|

771. Re: Is there a Net Newsgroup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <850re6dmh1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 122852

Terry LaBrier, N0AHY <n0ahy@excite.com> wrote in message
news:85043qpvr1@news.smartworld.net...
> Happy New Year:
>
> I'm looking for a newsgroup that would include discussions on Nets and Net
> operation. Any ideas would be appreciated.

Try alt.radio.net.losers.

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

772. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <4rSc4.243$jE1.2719@insync>
Source record: 122853
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Who gives a flying fuck how long you have been pounding away on the key!

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:386FF4F7.E45293C0@IEEE.org...
> AB7RS wrote:
> > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
>
> Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> i.e. 49 years.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

773. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <B79FAA208153F247.8A0594F1110925A7.2A631D66F81B8A47@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122854
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Whoa...OK, now the "f" word comes out. Real mud slinging around in here
now!

Toll Free wrote:
>
> Who gives a flying fuck how long you have been pounding away on the key!
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:386FF4F7.E45293C0@IEEE.org...
> > AB7RS wrote:
> > > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
> >
> > Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> > i.e. 49 years.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

774. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3873F935.CB516584@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122855
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Toll Free wrote:
> Who gives a flying fuck how long you have been pounding away on the key!

Hopefully, whoever called me a Weeney.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

775. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lefty <wyattNOwySPAM@kfalls.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0a288596.414ad28a@usw-ex0107-042.remarq.com>
Source record: 122856

WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAH

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

776. Re: 1912 (was: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <ed_ellers@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#3XhPV$GA.266@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 122857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> quoted from the 1912 amateur regulations:

"1. How to make a diagram of the transmitting and receiving apparatus.
2. How the transmitting apparatus is made and how it works.
3. How the receiving apparatus is made and how it works."

That would be easier said than done today -- there would be a big problem if the applicant chose a circuit that the *examiner* wasn't entirely up to speed on!

777. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Brown <kb0pyo@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <38740B90.29944A4E@rconnect.com>
Source record: 122858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Guys, get a life, it happened, you won't change it here. I
know and use code often (as a LOWLY tech+ until the change).
Haven't been able to get to a testing session to upgrade
(they have this tendency to have them on Friday nights at
7:00pm, I get off work at 3:30 and live 100 miles away).
Instead of bitching and moaning why don't you all try to
help someone new learn something instead of being self
centered about MY bands.
Mark
ps: now I know why I like eme, thet don't care about what
class of license you have, if you can put it on the air you
must know what you're doing!

AB7RS wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >
> > However, if you were unfortunate to be confined to a wheelchair, I'll
> > bet you would appreciate the ramps.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> There has been a code exemption for physicaly handicapped people whos
> disability kept them from doing medium speed code,look wow that was
> abused.
> There wasn't a mental disability waiver because no one is that
> disabled.(you could be the exeption).

--
en24gp 6m through 1296, BBQ rules, icq 41823284

778. Re: Forget Usenet -Micro$oft knows it better - Proven here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <ed_ellers@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eGqbXWVGA.247@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 122859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.peeves

Craig Welch <craig@pacific.net.sg> wrote:

"And why on earth would you be using Netscape for newsgroups?"

Perhaps because he likes Communicator's netnews features?

779. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <306E26ADB13DF267.FB96562DA9523C84.2BD419D5EB8AC5FB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, yeah...that's me. I wanted K5TIT, but it is taken by some guy in
FL! Hey, you think that's bad? A fellow over in Terrell, TX got, as
his first call: KD5FKU!!!! Yep, he changed it reallllllllyyyyy quick,
to: K5WKM!

FCC who?

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> In article <A5E0170FA1B5AF51.04D7146E49E8710B.CDE3A9D3FED01608@lp.airnews.net>,
> W5TIT/Kim <W5TIT@w5fc.org> wrote:
>
> I'm suprised the FCC gave out that callsign. But, sure enough, it shows in
> the database:
> W5TIT
> WALKER, MARY K
> (address deleted to respect privacy concerns,
> Yeah, I know it can be found out easily, but still...)

780. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <3873F529.7E0F@rpi.edu>
Source record: 122861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Curt M. Breneman wrote
> >In a way, I do feel that technical expertise (or at least technical
> >enthusiasm) tends to engender respect for the hobby,
>
> That's the same argument that was used for keeping the code test. I just
> don't think code expertise or technical expertise has any relation to a
> person's manners...

Aaron,

I still believe that there should be some way of differentiating the ARS from CB and FRS. Some assessment of the level of technical expertise (and perhaps even the CW requirement)tend to do this. The reason why I believe that there should be a differentiation between the services is that I do not wish to see the ARS become a wide-band model of the CB service. FRS is too short-range and too new to critique in this way. If you believe that the CB model of radio practice is appropriate for the ARS, then I'm pretty sure we won't reach common ground. It is my belief that the reason why CB is in its current state is that there is no license entrance barrier or intellectual "buy-in" required to participate in the service. Low start-up costs are also a contributing factor, but the true problem stations have significant equipment budgets. These things, coupled with the lack of enforcement action has led to the current state of 27 MHz (and part of 28 MHz!). While there are notable examples of hams on 20m and 75m (and probably other bands) who exemplify the worst of the CB ethic, the relative lack of QRM and the polite discourse within the ham bands would be a shame to lose.

While there may not be an immutable correlation between technical knowledge and civility, there is a lot of anecdotal evidence to support the relationship. Education = Civilization!

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

781. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Bohnovic <bohnovic@att.net>
Message-ID: <oj287s4n4vj7v6i8iop0joslsu3832chel@4ax.com>
Source record: 122862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 20:13:20 GMT, Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
wrote:

>Remember when your' call meant something? Remember when it told the world
>that you were advanced or extra?

Butch, I was K8PXG for almost 38 years before I got K4WJ. You couldn't
tell which class of license I held before I was assigned K4WJ. I
really don't know what your a talking about.

>The no-coders will become "feel good" technicians and therefore

Knowing CW doesn't make a person a good technician. There a many
excellent technicians working at radio and TV stations that don't even
hold an amateur radio license.

>qualify for a "feel good" Amateur call and we
>will have no idea of who is legal on which band which mode.

When I was a novice my call was KN8PXG. The "N" signified I held a
Novice class license. When I upgraded to the General class license the
FCC dropped the N and I became K8PXG. There was no way to determine If
I held a Technician, General, Advanced or Extra class license once the
N was dropped.

Back in those days the 1x2 licenses were coveted because they had less
characters to send and also because, in the past, they were held by
the Old Timers who were usually very knowledgeable operators. (In my
search for vanity callsigns for some of my buddies I've found 1x2
callsigns assigned to General Class license holders. These guys were
assigned 1x2 calls before there was an Extra Class license and they
never upgraded. Unfortunately these guys are dying off and there are
probably only a handful left.)

>flood gates of no-code hams was opened, the FCC gave them the last of all
>the calls remaining rather than creat a new series of calls to seperate
>THEM from US.

"Them from Us" In my opinion we are all amateur radio operators. I
have buddies that passed the 13 wpm general class requirement and
never used CW again. They did not like it. They are excellent
technicians. I bet if they were starting out today they would opt for
the no-code license. Knowing CW doesn't make you a good technician.

>But then with a Jimmy Carter/Bill Clinton Demokracy, all
>must share equally in the earnings of a few. I think the time has come

>for us to shove the flowers down their barrels' for a change and give
>piece a chance.
>
I'm not going to touch this paragraph with a ten foot pole.

73..de John/K4WJ
73..de John/K4WJ
K8PXG from 18 Jun 59 to 11 Feb 97
K8WJ from 12 Feb 97 to 07 Apr 97

782. Re:Solution ---------Need help conecting my computer to the Yaesu FT-847?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Hughes - N9ZTL <hughes@fn.net>
Message-ID: <cyUc4.294$UU6.2590@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122863

Hello,

I posted the previous problem connecting my computer to Yaesu FT-847. I was unsure if I really had a null-modem cable. Well I tested the cable that was sold to me as a null modem cable and it turned out that it wasn't a null modem cable, it was a straight cable. I took it back to the store that I bought it at and purchased the correct cable and it works fine. I really believed that it was too nice of a radio to have any difficulty with a simple computer interface.

This is my first time to post to a news group. Thanks for the response.

Paul N9ZTL

783. Re: DOES ANYONE CARE ANYMORE? >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Isaac M. Fineman" <kf4bzb@digital.net>
Message-ID: <s785pijknfg103@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122864

Isn't this all too common knowledge...because of the media aren't we all too
unsesitive to this material?

Isaac M. Fineman, KF4BZB
Melbourne, Florida
kf4bzb@juno.com

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@amouse.net> wrote in message
news:387214F8.E3D0C837@amouse.net...
>
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > Can you imagine working at the following Company? It has a little over
> > 500 employees with the following statistics:
> >
> > 29 have been accused of spousal abuse
> > 7 have been arrested for fraud
> > 19 have been accused of writing bad checks
> > 117 have bankrupted at least two business
> > 3 have been arrested for assault
> > 71 cannot get a credit card due to bad credit
> > 14 have been arrested on drug-related charges
> > 8 have been arrested for shoplifting
> > 21 are current defendants in lawsuits
> > 84 were stopped for drunk driving
> > CAN YOU GUESS WHICH ORGANIZATION THIS IS? GIVE UP?
> >
> > It's the 535 members of your United States Congress.
>
>
> You know, I have heard this crap for several years, but I have yet to
> hear of a single drop of evidence to back it up. Which congressmen are
> guilty of any of these things? Give us name, dates, circumstances, etc.
>
> And one could also say "so what" to a few of these things. It is not
> a crime to have a business go bankrupt. A lot of people have bad credit
> for many different reasons (some ligitimate). And lawsuits are
> certainly not uncommon today.
>
> As for the other stuff, again I would have to hear the facts before
> forming an opinion.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart
> Amateur Radio, Technician

> F.C.C. License: W5NET
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

784. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <6dWc4.3071$Vr.64606@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>Well, yeah...that's me. I wanted K5TIT, but it is taken

Did you check for K5BOOB ?

785. Alinco DJ 195T Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick McKee <patrickNOpaSPAM@excelsiorservices.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0221c012.b0935c97@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com>
Source record: 122866

I have looked everywhere for mods on the DJ 195T but have not been
successful. May be the radio is too new. Any suggestions would be
greatly appreciated.

Patrick McKee

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

786. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3873539C.6083@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

David Stinson wrote:
>
> Dave Hall went off half-cocked and said:
>
> >...the negative
> > comments and purely subjective and emotional reasons that you
> > dogmatically adhere to in defense of code toward those that promoted
> > changes, didn't make *you* look like "horse's asses"?
>
> Please quote the message where I
> "dogmatically adhere to...defense of code."
> You will find me on the side of the code speed reduction, sir.
> I'm *for* most of these changes, even though I love code.

I was using the term *you* in the general sense, as in "youse guys".

> I'm -against- nasty attitudes displayed by spoiled children.

So am I, and some of the attitudes displayed by the "do code or die!"
crowd were nothing short of spoiled children. Now to hear them talking
about refusing to VE, and encouraging clubs to shum newly upgrade
generals and extras, smacks of nothing more than sour grapes. The
gloating by those of us who believed in the restructuring, is a result
of being subjected to the constant condescending and bantering by these
guys. A reaction if you will. I don't blame them.

>
> > Careful, you might need one of those "Welfare Extras"
> > to repair your rig someday....
>
> HO HO HA HA HA HEE HEE!!! What a JOKE!
> I was fixing 'trons when you were still
> swabbing "Zit-Away" on your nose, fella.
> I repair my own stuff, thank you.
> Even surface mount, if it isn't a large chip pack.
> Ain't got the right tools for that.

Good for you. YOU are the exception.

>
> I wouldn't trust 99% of these "bad attitude" guys I'm talking about
> to know which end of a soldering iron gets hot.

How do you know ANYTHING about any of "these guys"? They may be quite
technically adept. The fact that they all have no use for CW, and are

glad that the requirement has been lowered, bears no correlation to
their technical ability.

> Most of the new guys (and too darn many of the old guys!),
> be they "good attitude" or "bad attitude,"
> have trouble even using a meter, much less fixing their handhelds.
> That's just a fact.

Yes it is. Which is why I shake my head in amazement when someone who
can't solder a mike plug (And I'm not refering to you), get's all upset
and has the nerve to call this restructuring "dumbing down", and who the
"real" hams are, when all they DID was learn 20 WPM, with little or no
REAL theory knowlege of their own. Tell me again who the "real" hams
are?

Dave

787. Re: WELFARE GENERALS REPORT TO 3894.5 @ 3901

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8504g8$ae9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122883

In article <20000104003552.18586.qmail@nym.alias.net>,
An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net> wrote:
> Note: All welfare Generals after April 15, 2000, need to report to
> Drunk Al Abernathy (K4OKA) on 3894.5 or Dumbass Donnie (ND8V) on
3901. You
> idiots couldn't pass the code or written until the FCC gave it to you
on a
> silver platter, so you and the moron QRMers of 3901 and 3804.5 deserve
> each other. HO HO!!!!
>
>
Sorry, a minor correction...ND8V is Mike from Kalamazoo, Michigan. I
believe you meant W4JYZ, the name DA was given to him by Mike.

And another point of contention. The jamming has basically stopped on
3901 and 3894.5. Might I suggest 3950 as the place for jammers and
wanabees? This apparantly is now the defacto "jamming freq" of choice.
Enjoy, that is until Riley's rangers puts a crimp in your style.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

788. Re: How about a new HF mode: digital voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8504u0$aj8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122884

In article <Bofc4.3140$V2.32387@sea-read.news.verio.net>,
"Ed Mitchell" <REMkf7vyOVE@amsatDELETE.orgXYZ> wrote:
> HF digital voice has already been done. At the Pacific NW Division
> Convention in 1999, audio tapes were played demonstrating actual HF
digital
> voice QSOs that had taken place and which fit in about 2.3 khz of
spectrum.
> The signals were modulated digitally - that means using DSPs to
create the
> waveforms, with very sharp drop offs at the band edges. Spectral
displays
> were show so we could see the result.
>
> There are some URLs out there for internet sites describing the
experiments.
> Unfortunately, I do not have them handy but you might just search for
HF
> digital voice and see what you find.
>
> 73, Ed, KF7VY
> publisher, http://hamradio-online.com
>
> Robert Casey <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:84p80viag1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > How about a new HF mode: digital voice. Voice would be telephone
> > quality (300-3000Hz), maybe 7 bits deep, then compressed. And then
> > use methods similar to what NASA/JPL uses to communicate with deep
> > space probes, maybe work the world with 1 watt. Make it so other
> > modes only precieve a bit more white noise when interference
happens.
> >
> > Don't know if we could get it to work, so change something in the
> > above to do it.
>
>
Back in the 80's when I was a civilian employee with the Federal Govt I
worked on the Motorola secure voice system. It operated via standard
vhf/uhf repeaters. It sounded like a wide band noise when received on
a standard fm receiver. If this is what you are discussing, then the
entire HF spectrum would be a big hissing noise. EH?

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

789. Re: Geriatric Code Speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <387357b2.132847638@news.guam.net>
Source record: 122885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 14:17:50 GMT, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

>Hey, MF, we all got a bet on that you are another Lennie
>Anderson without a license, but at least he doesn't hide
>like a chicken behind a proxymate address.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB

You aren't paying attention to details, Hans. MF has forgotten more
about ham radio than Lenny No Tickets will ever know.....

73, Jim KH2D

790. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105104211.20828.00000624@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122886

Roger Said.....

>He couldn't
>get a picture of me for his masturbation sessions

Roger--you are sick.....you must be in order to think that any man or any woman with a brain would want you. Obviously you have this obsession with perversion...your postings have indicated that. Dream on freak.
Dont' worry Roger.....I don't like to make fun of physical disabilities. But your mental infirmaties are fair game.

Hundreds of hate postings....so much to do and so little actual work being done. That's the story of Roger Wiseman--the Kung Fool -KC8JBO

N8WGM

791. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38736656.61CC9754@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Gregg E. wrote:
> These CB Types With There Gloating Will Probably Soon Be History
> After The Newness Wears Off.

The tech entry requirements have not changed. If the "CB Types"
have not gotten a tech license already, why do you suppose they
would now bother getting a general license. IMO, it's just
another "Sky is Falling" myth from the PCTA folk.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

792. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hello@toyou.org
Message-ID: <38736A9E.76F4B130@toyou.org>
Source record: 122888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert,

I will get extra, not only general. But I have to do it now, since after April 15, there would be no trace of my tech plus license. Somebody had suggested that after April 15, 2000, the FCC database should contain a field to indicate the previous license class. That's a good idea, since people will know who had HF privileges before.

Robert Casey wrote:

> In article <3872D2C1.8C852B97@toyou.org>, <Hello@toyou.org> wrote:
> >I was licensed Jul 1990, as a Tech (5wpm was a requirement in 1990). The no
> >code techs came later on. As a result, it says only Tech on my license, my
> >question is, how do I prove that I am a tech plus after April 15, 2000? If
> >you make a search on my call on FCC database NOW, you will get "class= Tech
> >plus", but after April 15, I am cooked!
> >
> Well, you could study for and get a CSCE for the General theory, and upgrade
> to General. A nice web site for practice and study is http://www.hamtest.com

793. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38736E6B.5DC5@arrl.net>
Source record: 122889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> Not for a minute ... you don't think I'd bet Ed a lobster dinner,
> loser drives, if I didn't think I'd win, do you???

Now, you are not telling me that you had inside information, are you,
Carl? :-)

> > 5. Given the boundary conditions, everything went NCI's way.

> You got it ...

Including the loss of the syllabus from the regs?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

794. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38736E19.4597@arrl.net>
Source record: 122890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> Those who were truly interested in the outcome commented.

Apparently some under more than one banner. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

795. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <850cq7gue1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122891

Kim wrote in message ...
>ROFLMAO...now *that* was funny!
>>
>> "Pompous," you illiterate retard.
>> Lloyd, you of all people shouldn't be calling _anybody_ an
>> asshole. It's a pot-kettle-black of large proportions.

TNX! <Bowing> Lloyd makes it SO EASY.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

796. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <850cts$h14$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122892

Pathetic, illegal wiretapper N8WGM whimpered in message
<20000105104211.20828.00000624@ng-cg1.aol.com>...
>Roger the A-1 Amateur Radio Operator Said.....
>>He couldn't get a picture of me for his masturbation sessions
>
>Roger--you are sick.....you must be in order to think that any
man >or any woman with a brain would want you.

Sounds like you were outed TONduhhhhhhhhhhh. Why else would you stalk
me? Why else would another man so desperately want a picture of another
man?

Steve A. TONDuhhhhhhhhhhhhhh....homosexual.

>Obviously you have this obsession with perversion...your postings have
indicated that.

Obviously, your narrow-minded, uneducated, anal-retentive "mind" can't
deal with somebody dishing it back out to you.

>Dream on freak.

And your proof is? You were soooooooo upset when you couldn't get my
picture. Then you pretended offense (or maybe not) when you used
telephone harassment against me. I registed a report with the police.
They told me to call them if you ever came around again.

> Dont' worry Roger......I don't like to make fun of physical
disabilities.

I won't. You are just upset that you couldn't get a picture of me to
masturbate to.

>But your mental infirmaties are fair game.

Yeah, but to make it a fair fight, I'll tie 3/4 of my brain behind my
back.

>Hundreds of hate postings....so much to do and so little actual work
>being done. That's the story of Roger Wiseman--the Kung Fool -KC8JBO
>
N8WGM who lives in a hole in the side of a hill. Perfect abode for a
subhuman slug.
<PLONK!>

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99

Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

797. Re: A message from the FCC. - cber.jpg (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@blinx.de>
Message-ID: <38737A39.AFA237D5@blinx.de>
Source record: 122893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uncle Charlie schrieb:

> [Image]

nonprofessional made bad fake.... :-((

odo

PS: be so kind NOT to crosspost and post binaries/attachments to
nonbinary groups.
alt.binaries.radio-scanner is the right forum.
..follow-up...

798. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich McAllister K6RFM <rfm@pensfa.org>
Message-ID: <xl9embwh236.fsf@shell19.ba.best.com>
Source record: 122894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello@toyou.org writes:

> Robert,
>
> I will get extra, not only general. But I have to do it now, since after April
> 15, there would be no trace of my tech plus license. Somebody had suggested that
> after April 15, 2000, the FCC database should contain a field to indicate the
> previous license class. That's a good idea, since people will know who had HF
> privileges before.

You could try sending in a Form 605 with an Administrative
Update to do something harmless like change your email or FAX
number, which should cause the FCC to burp out a new paper
copy of the license, which should have the Tech Plus info on it.

On the other hand, I bet this will get solved before April;
either the FCC will decide to keep the current indication
of Plus status in the data base, or there'll be an officially
archived copy of the data base as of April 15 with the old
indication in it, or something I haven't thought of.

Rich

799. Re: AC5IU THE PEE WEE HERMAN OF HAM RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <850g6jjhn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122895

In article <84t3je$74i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <00844d40.05606b01@usw-ex0101-002.remarq.com>,
> lefty <wyattNOwySPAM@kfalls.net.invalid> wrote:
> > I often wonder how old this AC5IU is. His voice and reparte sounds
> like
> > a 17 to 18 year old flash! If he had something germane to the
> > conversation, it might add something, but alas and alack.....
> > Maybe someone in his neighborhood can take him under their wing and
> > take him to some cat house and get his mind in order. What a
loser!!!
> > I dont think any body could stand to be around him he is such a
frute
> cake THE BABY ROBIN ALL MOUTH AND FULL OF SHIT and now the PEE WEE
> HERMAN OF 313..
> > * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
> Network *
> > The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet -
> Free!
> he is still a goof hasen't changed.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

800. Re: Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105124128.16221.00000604@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 122896

Al Patrick writes:
>Has the government ever lied to us before?

Nahh .. never.
"Read my lips - No new taxes."
"I feel your pain."
"I am not a crook:"
"We lost money on Whitewater."
"I did not proposition Paula."
"I was never alone with that woman."

801. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <387367E6.65C6@rpi.edu>
Source record: 122897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
> >(Pornography in church, obnoxious behavior...etc)
>
> You'll notice I said if it is legal it is *probably* OK. In the 50's most
> hams felt that the taboo subjects were politics, sex, and religion, and you
> seldom heard them discussed on the air. It made for a more family rated
> hobby. But heck, now days the FCC apparently issues body parts and body
> functions for vanity calls, so some traditions are long gone...
>
> >Personally, I'd like to see our hobby be more genteel
>
> I think 95%+ of ham contacts are still in that category. The sore thumbs
> will always stick out.
>
> >I believe that an emphasis on the technical aspects of radio
> >tends to facilitate this.
>
> You mean because when their building stuff, they aren't on the air causing
> problems? How would technical knowledge be related to manners? Just follow
> some of the techno-whiz engineers who post here, and you might change your
> mind...
>
> >If we were all in this just to be able to communicate with one another,
> >we could all just stay on the internet
>
> I use mobile CW to while away the time on my cross country trips. Nothing on
> the net can replace that, otherwise...

Aaron,

In a way, I do feel that technical expertise (or at least technical
enthusiasm) tends to engender respect for the hobby, and that translates
into respect for others involved in the hobby. I think that most
interpersonal problems are related to a lack of respect, so it follows
that a more "Elmer"-driven ham radio will be more fun.

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

802. Do I live in a glass bubble or what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BamBam <kl0an@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <850j07lra1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122898

I read a lot of the messages in this newsgroup and some of them get really long especially when it comes to code vs no-code or the speed of code that should be used, or hams vs non-hams etc, etc..

I've been an amateur radio operator for 3 years now and I faithfully go to club meetings once a month and help out with club events whenever I can (sometimes taking leave to work them) so I can't say something like "In all my years of experience..." or anything like that but I can say in the few years I've been an amateur radio operator I've never experienced the following:

never seen anyone stand up and piss and moan about morse code in our meetings, if they don't like it, they sit quietly..

never heard all the garbage mouths or ex-cb'ers on 2 meters that people claim are there..

never heard anyone in our code classes belly-ache about not passing the tests or the code being too hard after taking the classes and making an effort to learn..

never heard any jamming or profanity on any of the repeaters in my area (and our repeater system covers 60,000 sq miles)..

Sure, every club has thier old timers that can recite totally useless facts about who had your call sign back in 1963 or what the FCC rules were back in '42 when he first got his license but, one day you might be one of those guys, give them the respect they deserve. I think our club let's them say thier piece and then we carry on in the way that the majority wants to go and when you look over your shoulder, the old timers are right there helping.

We have several younger members in our club and there are quite a few of our older members that are excellent "Elmers" and they don't hesitate one bit to help each other out..

Unfortunately I'm due to leave here in a few months due to military commitments but, in a year and a half, I'll return to this state and I'm sure the club will be there moving right along as before.

Am I living in a glass bubble?? Are there any other clubs or magical places like this out there??

I guess what I'm trying to say is that it'd be nice to hear about the good things in amateur radio whether it be how you might have helped someone or someone that might have helped you or things your club does to help the community and ways that improved the amateur image in the

community.

Think about this for a while, it's a new millenium, time to turn over a new leaf, make a resolution, give it a second or third try..

Paul Jordan
kl0an
Arctic Amateur Radio Club
Fairbanks, AK

THIS IS A REPOST FROM REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.SWAP!! Sorry for the inconvenience..

--
There never seems to be enough time to do the
things you want to do once you find them...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

803. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tech <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.05L.10001051045200.9645-100000@home.dp.net>
Source record: 122899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Hi folks,

I usually don't comment on things. I just read and go on. But as a VE and moreso as someone who got started when the FCC was still issuing their tests, here is something of a personal opinion which speaks from past as well as current experience(s). This is based on my days as an avid radio fan both of CB and HAM. Before and AFTER becoming a VE. By the way, my love for electronics started with 2 different fields. CB and Astronomy, at the age of 12. I know I'll be flamed for some or all of what I am about to say while some may agree in whole or part with what I have to say. Also, I don't consider myself to be a great writer or speaker by any means, so if anything comes off as redundant or illogical in phrasing, my apologies.

Amateur Radio is going to change one way or another whether we like it or not. It already has "long" before the restructuring. Recall the arguments with the NO CODE license. And it went back even before that.

I'm not saying I'm for or against CW even though I myself, am not an avid user of it. But I fail to see where it has kept anyone off the bands that should not have been there, or stopped any use of the bands not originally intended. Meaning anyone can go and buy a used HF rig OR new, and put up an antenna and talk. Even steal a call sign. ANYONE can get on and swear till the cows come home. CW won't stop any of it. Even now, you can get on the "Extra" bands and hear it.

As for theory, I personally know Generals through Extras, who can't solder, much less troubleshoot. I know one EXTRA who doesn't even know a fuse symbol! I know too, of some who were great at memorizing enough to get the licenses, even commercial licenses, but couldn't troubleshoot a radio if their life depended on it.

I ALSO know some 2 way radio techs who are very good in their fields and either can't get the code or don't have time to learn it due to jobs and family life. So, does this make them any less of a future good operator? NO. Actually, when they are done working, they could care less about having to learn code.

CW ALONE does NOT constitute a good operator either in the mode itself or the learning of the theory to make a good operator. They don't really work hand in hand. Even if the code had been wiped out altogether, anyone can learn theory enough to pass the exams.

The ONLY way you can truly pass an exam in MY opinion is to NOT know what is on the exam, therefore one MUST LEARN all they can so as to be properly

prepared for any questions that may come up. Knowing in advance as is the case now, is EASY! You don't really LEARN that way. I recall a few years back, when the FCC issued a SAMPLE test format it was MAYBE a sheet or two of topics and sample questions for a given element. I still have one that they sent me when I inquired about the Novice and Technician exams. You never really knew what to expect, therefore you had to be prepared, or you wasted a trip, money and time. There were some who started producing books by asking people who came out of exams, what types of questions were on the exams so a study guide could be produced. I think there were others that followed suit. I can't recall when the actual step was taken to make public the pools so that it would lead to the present testing we have today where ALL possible questions, answers and distractors are made available.

All one really needs to do, is read the book through once or twice and they can usually start using common sense to come to the right answer by the third try. It's almost like stealing the test off the teachers desk. Look it over a few times and know exactly how to pass it. You don't learn by that method no matter how hard you may argue the fact that you do.

But that still doesn't make one proficient at troubleshooting or repairing radios. "Talking" into a microphone, is like CW, a language. Whether you are speaking English or trying your hand at learning Spanish or French, in which case, it would be very similar to CW. A NEW language for the beginner. But you can curse in FRENCH, SPANISH, ENGLISH and CW. Whether you can speak in a foreign language or do CW will not give you the miraculous power to repair a radio in the event of a problem.

If we all want better radio communication and policing AND a FUTURE of experienced electronics people, it is up to ALL OF US, to join together in at any level to help make it better. To NOT tolerate that which will destroy it as we know it. Instead of BASHING those who can not learn CW or choose not to, help make them better operators by understanding the THEORY that you know they WILL need to know to keep the hobby as well as RADIO alive.

Maybe you like (love) CW, maybe you don't. THAT alone, won't make or break the future of radio. Yes, maybe CW can and does get through when voice wouldn't. I can set a couple examples right now, but that isn't my point. It shouldn't be made the central focus of trying to entice our future generations to learn how to enjoy or get into a skill of electronics.

There are a lot of laws in radio and in many other areas that are not just. They serve a few and not the majority. A prime example in radio is this. And I KNOW before I say it, some will get upset, but I'm not saying it to pick on a certain group.

Hams now have to learn RF safety rules. To know when their RF levels may pose problems in health and interference. Yet you have CBers who alot of whom run lots of power and think nothing of it. THEY are not held accountable. Is it fair to the hams? NO. But, the CBers are not allowed by law to run that much power and they know it, but do they care? NO!

But then is it fair to keep someone out of radio just becuase they can't learn dits and dahs? NO. Maybe that future Ham, a current CBer, can get licensed and MAYBE - LEARN how their signals may affect others. To LEARN that POWER isn't EVERYTHING!

I'm still involved in CB as well as Ham. But in both cases, I'm lucky to pick up a mic twice a year to ENJOY talking. The most my HF rig has been used in two years was at Field Day and not necessarily by me. After fooling with radios most of the day doing repairs, I don't care to look at a radio. EVERY field, has it's bad apples. Hams, CBers, Computer Internet users. Even professionals. Its a matter of tolerating it or not.

I do currently hold both an Extra Class license as well as a commercial license. But I can tell you, CW does NOT help me in my job repairing radios. It does NOT keep me from hearing the garbage on the "General" to the "Extra" bands. Let's quit using CW only, as a cop out. Let's get to the real issue(s) whatever they may be. I recall when guys used to say to me, I'd like to get my kid started into electronics and into ham radio because it's clean. NOT ANYMORE! I recall when people flocked to three different exam sites I worked with to get licensed or upgrade. NOT ANYMORE! And this was ALL long "before" the restructuring.

You like CW with your radio, like salt on food, others like no cw with their radio, like no sodium allowed. It's a matter of TASTE! To each their own. But let's not rip into each other for what we prefer. If CW is the ONLY thing that keeps us ALL from getting along and advacning the future of radio, it seems kind of stupid to allow such a petty issue to do that. When we're all lying there dying, will CW make us any better humans? NO. When you stop to think about all the insults you may have flung to others just because of CW, will it really have been worth it? NO! If you were to be embroiled in a dispute with someone over CW and get so upset as to have a Stroke or Heart Attack, will it have been worth it? NO! And ADMIT IT, some of you DO get VERY upset over things. I don't know you, but you know who you are. Will being an opponent or proponent of CW save your soul? I DOUBT IT! It's a short life, let's try to get things to where we can all live more peacefully. Trying to set a standard for good living for our kids and grand kids, trying to push for more advances in the fields of technology sure isn't going to be centered around CW. The internet has nothing to to with CW except the arguments over it about it. Most kids today are computer whizzes, not radio techs. Talk internet to them, they'll talk to you. Say CW, they'll say WHAT? Changes will come about whether we like it or not. We don't have to like it, but we can try to make sure it's able to be tolerated. Like food, we all have to eat. We may not like the cook or HOW they cook, but that is something we can learn to tolerate. If radio is to survive and the field of electronics where radio is concerned, CW or NOT, we must make sure the THEORY and EXPERIENCE is passed on.

There are too many more important issues in this world to put our energies into, than to "bitterly" argue about a single mode of communication. I'm not saying it can't be discussed, but to allow it to tear at our souls,

tear apart an otherwise worldwide community is really stupid.

Let's do what we can to make this 21st century a much better one than last. Put aside the issues that really don't solve anything and work on those that do.

Just my two cents for the new milennium. Happy New Year and Millennium and good radio operating to all.

On Wed, 5 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:

> Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 09:22:20 -0500
> From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
> Subject: Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"
>
> David Stinson wrote:
> >
> > Dave Hall went off half-cocked and said:
> >
> > >...the negative
> > > comments and purely subjective and emotional reasons that you
> > > dogmatically adhere to in defense of code toward those that promoted
> > > changes, didn't make *you* look like "horse's asses"?
> >
> > Please quote the message where I
> > "dogmatically adhere to...defense of code."
> > You will find me on the side of the code speed reduction, sir.
> > I'm *for* most of these changes, even though I love code.
>
> I was using the term *you* in the general sense, as in "youse guys".
>
> > I'm -against- nasty attitudes displayed by spoiled children.
>
> So am I, and some of the attitudes displayed by the "do code or die!"
> crowd were nothing short of spoiled children. Now to hear them talking
> about refusing to VE, and encouraging clubs to shum newly upgrade
> generals and extras, smacks of nothing more than sour grapes. The
> gloating by those of us who believed in the restructuring, is a result
> of being subjected to the constant condescending and bantering by these
> guys. A reaction if you will. I don't blame them.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > > Careful, you might need one of those "Welfare Extras"
> > > to repair your rig someday....
> >
> > HO HO HA HA HA HEE HEE!!! What a JOKE!

> > I was fixing 'trons when you were still
> > swabbing "Zit-Away" on your nose, fella.
> > I repair my own stuff, thank you.
> > Even surface mount, if it isn't a large chip pack.
> > Ain't got the right tools for that.
>
> Good for you. YOU are the exception.
>
> >
> > I wouldn't trust 99% of these "bad attitude" guys I'm talking about
> > to know which end of a soldering iron gets hot.
>
> How do you know ANYTHING about any of "these guys"? They may be quite
> technically adept. The fact that they all have no use for CW, and are
> glad that the requirement has been lowered, bears no correlation to
> their technical ability.
>
>
> > Most of the new guys (and too darn many of the old guys!),
> > be they "good attitude" or "bad attitude,"
> > have trouble even using a meter, much less fixing their handhelds.
> > That's just a fact.
>
> Yes it is. Which is why I shake my head in amazement when someone who
> can't solder a mike plug (And I'm not refering to you), get's all upset
> and has the nerve to call this restructuring "dumbing down", and who the
> "real" hams are, when all they DID was learn 20 WPM, with little or no
> REAL theory knowlege of their own. Tell me again who the "real" hams
> are?
>
> Dave
>
>
>

804. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38738919.A5DDF149@cats-net.com>
Source record: 122900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Dave Hall wrote:
> > > Now we finally are recognizing the pointlessness of requiring advanced
> > > CW simply to access phone HF, and of course the old farts will whine
> > > about the doom and gloom that it will bring.
> >
> > "We" are, huh? And everyone who holds a differing opinion is an "old
> > fart". You are just as I supposed you to be.
>
> And just what is that?

A rather cocky and arrogant fellow with little to crow about. That
certainly doesn't stop your crowing though.

> >
> > > As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys that
> > > cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license > that they hold.
> >
> > Dave, there is no moral nor any legal requirement for me to accept
> > anyone for who they are or what they are. I never had any problem
> > accepting anyone because of amateur radio license class. I'm not, on
> > the other hand, obligated to like someone.
>
> No, but you don't have the right to put someone down because they don't
> share your viewpoint of how things should be.

Of course I have that right. I'm not held in bondage to political
correctness. You just did the same above by calling anyone who doesn't
share your view as an "old fart", did you not?

> There's a difference between "liking" someone, and tolerating someone.
> No one is suggesting that you give new a 5 WPM extra a big Barney hug,
> but he is entitled to be respected as a person in spit of the fact that
> he didn't have to endure the 20 WPM hazing ritual.

There is certainly a difference. I am required to like nor tolerate no
one. No one is "entitled" to my respect. Your "hazing ritual" line is
another which I find offensive. I've decided to neither like you nor
tolerate you.

> > I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
> > > stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel. More
> > > room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress, and
> > > who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.
> >
> > Again, anyone who holds a differing opinion from yours is a stuffed
> > shirt who should leave amateur radio and leave room for "us"--those who
> > "don't have a close minded, bigoted and predjudicial (sic) attitude".

> Anyone who is not willing to accept that things change, and be able to
> move on, without sour grapes, and a refusal to show "good will" to
> newcomers, IS a stuffed shirt. Again, you have NO right to prejudge
> anyone, based simply on their perceived "worthiness" (in your > opinion).

I've not decided to refuse "good will" to newcomers. I've decided not
your decree of who should sell equipment and throw in the towel. While
prejudice can be a bad thing, you weren't appointed arbiter of who is or
isn't prejudiced or of who should stay and who should leave amateur
radio (leaving more room for the "rest of us").

> If they pass the required FCC tests, they are entitled to the privileges
> as hams, as set forth by the FCC.

Agreed but I don't need to throw in a towel, sell my gear or to like any
one of them.

> Bottom line: your opinion is irrelevant. The law is the law.

Oops. You don't get to decide what is irrelevant and, in this case, the
regs are the regs.

> >
> > Neither a license class nor a callsign will make you other than you are.
>
> An open minded individual who is not afraid of change, and who welcomes
> the modernization of the ham radio service, and who has little sympathy
> for those who refuse to accept it.

...or, in my view, one who brays about his upcoming "gimme" as if he'd
just won the Nobel Prize.

> >
> > Strive to be mediocre!

> Seems to have worked well for you.

Dave, I've passed all the written exams and a 20 wpm code exam. I've
never settled for mediocre in anything I've wanted to do in this life
and I've never asked for anyone to make something easier for me because
I couldn't handle it.

> Come back later when you can get past your opinions and look at the
> issues objectively.

I'll come back any time I like. This is a public forum (as often pointed out by the yet unlicensed Len Anderson).

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

805. Re: KC8JBO #1 HAM OPERATOR FROM WV!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <850jqrmko1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122901

```
Path: news!ord-feed.news.verio.net!iad-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!newspeer.ebone.net!newsfeed2.news.nl.uu.net!sun4nl!
newsfeed.tli.de!newsfeed.enteract.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!
Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 17:48:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <85005d$6ov$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <82ru7t$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <83trkk$sd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 05 17:48:34 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.04 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x24.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
209.180.6.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_2faced
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:5324

Path: news!den-news-01.qwest.net!qwest!HSNX.atgi.net!newsfeed.gamma.ru!
Gamma.RU!netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!
Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 17:47:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <850041$6ol$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <82ru7t$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <83trkk$sd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 05 17:47:50 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.04 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x22.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
209.180.6.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_2faced
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:5322

kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com wrote in message
<85001q$6nq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
<Irrelevant material flushed>

At LEAST I don't spam the newsgroup with 8 posts with the same message.
Or is it becaue you're too stupid to know how to use the Internet?
```

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

806. Re: KC8JBO #1 HAM OPERATOR FROM WV!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <850jrv$ml8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122902

Path: news!ord-feed.news.verio.net!iad-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!newspeer.ebone.net!newsfeed2.news.nl.uu.net!sun4nl!
newsfeed.tli.de!newsfeed.enteract.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!
Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 17:48:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <85005d$6ov$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <82ru7tjet1@nnrp1.deja.com> <83trkk$sd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 05 17:48:34 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.04 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x24.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
209.180.6.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_2faced
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:5324

Path: news!den-news-01.qwest.net!qwest!HSNX.atgi.net!newsfeed.gamma.ru!
Gamma.RU!netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!
Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 17:47:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <850041$6ol$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <82ru7tjet1@nnrp1.deja.com> <83trkk$sd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 05 17:47:50 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.04 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x22.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
209.180.6.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_2faced
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:5322

kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com wrote in message
<85001q$6nq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
<Irrelevant material flushed>

At LEAST I don't spam the newsgroup with 8 posts with the same message.
Or is it because you're too stupid to know how to use the Internet?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

807. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <387389BA.89956EA6@uci.edu>
Source record: 122903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Jim, at work, does your boss consider you a failure until you
> complete your schedule? Get real.

Cecil, when you used to work, did you boss consider you to be victorious
in completing your assignment before you had done it? Get real.

Jim

808. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38738A1B.9AAE29F0@uci.edu>
Source record: 122904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Given the treaty requirement, that was the best we could hope
> for at this juncture and we got it.

Yes, we did - NCI being only the tinyest subset of "we".

73, Jim

809. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38738D14.65402650@uci.edu>
Source record: 122905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
> The victory is not for the 5 wpm General, but rather
> it is that the 5 wpm is ALSO the Extra
> requirement. THAT is the major difference between
> what many others wanted and what NCI proposed.

I note that the FCC didn't seem to acknowlege NCI in the footnotes about that, Bill. Why do you suppose that is?

73 and cheers,

Jim

810. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38738CB1.E46E10F3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote:
> Dave, I've passed all the written exams and a 20 wpm code exam. I've
> never settled for mediocre in anything I've wanted to do in this life
> and I've never asked for anyone to make something easier for me because
> I couldn't handle it.

However, if you were unfortunate to be confined to a wheelchair, I'll
bet you would appreciate the ramps.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

811. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38738E52.12A7B3D5@uci.edu>
Source record: 122907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Read the R*O yourself ... it's available at:

My comments are based on the R&O, which I downloaded from the NCI site 2 days ago, Carl. I told you what I see there (lack of NCI citations), and you argued with me about that. Please support your argument, or be quiet about it. Thanks.

73, Jim AC6XG

812. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387393F8.3ED05DA2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Cecil, when you used to work, did you boss consider you to be victorious
> in completing your assignment before you had done it? Get real.

Of course. If my project extended through more than one review
period and I was ahead of schedule, I was reported as excelling,
i.e. *in the process of being victorious*, and got a good raise.
NCI is in the process of being victorious, not in the process
of failing as you would have us believe.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

813. Re: What happened to our hobby(very long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
Message-ID: <3873975F.3B1F18A9@nospam.wcom.com>
Source record: 122909

I couldn't agree more!

Dennis WB3KUM/9
Tech+ since 1978

814. Is there a Net Newsgroup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Terry LaBrier, N0AHY" <n0ahy@excite.com>
Message-ID: <85043qpvr1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 122910

Happy New Year:

I'm looking for a newsgroup that would include discussions on Nets and Net operation. Any ideas would be appreciated.

Thanks,
Terry, N0AHY

--
****
Terry LaBrier, N0AHY
n0ahy@excite.com
****

815. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3873967A.E0ECBF67@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> Including the loss of the syllabus from the regs?

Ed, what the heck is "the syllabus from the regs"?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

816. Amateur Radio Message Form Templete

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Terry LaBrier, N0AHY" <n0ahy@excite.com>
Message-ID: <85047a$qe2$1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 122912

Hi All:

If anyone has an Amateur Radio Message Form template in any word processing format, I would appreciate an upload to my email address. I have been using ARRL message forms but do not have the facilities to scan them into my computer.

I've been using them to deliver mail copies.

Thanks in advance,

Terry, N0AHY

--
****
Terry LaBrier, N0AHY
n0ahy@excite.com
****

817. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105141819.14405.00000236@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 122913

In article <6880E1E11174D334.68C611CFE5538E2F.24022CC7544C4013@lp.airnews.net>,
"Kim" <W5TIT@w5fc.org> writes:

>Here! Here! Exactly, Pappy...anyone should ask any questions they wish!
>Maybe, Piero, someone's question will give rise to concepts you'd never
>thought of before....

Piero's too busy bellyaching across the radio newsgroups about someone who
supposively ripped him off many months ago. Still hasn't figured out that
nobody cares.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

818. Re: Geriatric Code Speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105141820.14405.00000237@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 122914

In article <84uilq$5ni$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, 20wpm_extra@my-deja.com writes:

>Extras, extras read all about it.
>
>Yes, now you can be assured that your licnse is worth as much as a ham
>license in Sweden, or maybe Guatemala. Perhaps you'd prefer to pretend
>you're in Jordan as a member of the royal family where handouts are
>common.

WHINE, babies, WHINE! I love to hear you old geezers WHINE!

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

819. Re: How about a new HF mode: digital voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <38739BF3.FD7DF855@innocent.com>
Source record: 122915

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
[...]
> >
> Back in the 80's when I was a civilian employee with the Federal Govt I
> worked on the Motorola secure voice system. It operated via standard
> vhf/uhf repeaters. It sounded like a wide band noise when received on
> a standard fm receiver. If this is what you are discussing, then the
> entire HF spectrum would be a big hissing noise. EH?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
[...]

Such wideband digital systems are interesting, as they disprove the canard that increasing the bandwidth must always decrease the signal-to-noise ratio.

There is no reason why HF could not be used with what amounts to a digital multiple frequency diversity system, in which "channels" would be separated by timing instead of by frequency.

The problem is that they are incompatible with current systems. Proponents may claim that SSB, AM, and CW users would only notice a "slight increase in the noise floor." But what if the spread spectrum transmitter is located in the next block?

Narrow-band digital is another story. Broadcasters are now getting 8-kHz good-fidelity audio out of standard phone lines. I see no reason why similar techniques couldn't work for 3-kHz HF voice channels, though decoding simultaneous transmissions might be a real stumbling block -- the brain does pretty well with that on analogue channels.

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

820. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38739FE8.C953894F@uci.edu>
Source record: 122916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Those who were truly interested in the outcome commented. The rest
> are now sitting around bitching, whining, and carping. (to no avail)

If you are trying to say that ONLY those who were truly interested in the outcome filed comments with the FCC, you would be incorrect. One might assert that many of those who filed comments were worried that they wouldn't be pleased with the final outcome. I was not. However I did nevertheless send my comments to the ARRL.

73, Jim AC6XG

821. RESTRUCTURING NOT WHAT YOU THINK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <buNc4.1027$197.90161@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 122917

ARRL IS VIOLATING THE SPIRIT OF PART 97 of Chapter 1 of
Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations as amended:
Part 97 - AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE
Pursuant to ��97.505 Element Credit.
(a) The administering VEs must give credit as specified below to an examinee
holding any of the following license grants or license documents:
(8) An expired FCC- issued Technician Class operator license document
granted before March 21, 1987: Element 3.
(9) An expired or unexpired FCC-issued Technician Class operator license
document granted before February 14, 1991: Element 1.

On or about July 17, 1951 I was issued Amateur Radio License W8HED with TECHNICIAN Operator Privileges. At the time this License was issued I had successfully passed 5WPM by taking Elements 1, 2, & 3. I had all the operating privileges of a General Class License with the exception of the 13WPM requirement. These examinations were administrated by the FCC Regional Office in Beaumont, Texas, not some VE.

On or about September 18, 1966 my license expired, I did not renew it as I was not able to pass the 13WPM to upgrade to General Class.

On or about January 4, 2000 I e-mailed to ARRL VEC my present status, that I had all license documents and received the following answer.

Jack, if you are not a licensee now, on or after 4/15 you'd need to take Element 1, 2 and show a copy of your old "Technician" license at a test session to go to General class. Element 1 is the new 5 WPM designation.
73,
Bart J. Jahnke, W9JJ
Manager
ARRL VEC
vec@arrl.org

I am not going to take another code text of 5WPM which leaves me two choices if ARRL doesn't clean up their act.
CHOICE 1: Forget about Amateur Radio and the ARRL.
CHOICE 2: On April 17, 2000 I will file in U.S.Federal Court at Tucson, AZ a CIVIL COMPLAINT against the ARRL for VIOLATING MY CIVIL RIGHTS pursuant to U.S.Code.
Comments welcome.
--
Jack Malone, ex W8HED & W7EJJ
Hereford, Arizona
malonej@c2i2.com

822. Re: Logbook Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Benko <benko@aztek-eng.com>
Message-ID: <3873A4B2.1D049023@aztek-eng.com>
Source record: 122918
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark,

Go to www.ac6v.com and look for logging programs.
IMHO the 3 best Windoes based ones are DXBase2000,
DX4WIN, and Logger (which is free). However
LogEQF is also very popular.

Larry Benko, W0QE

Mark Bone wrote:
>
> Where can I find a good logging program?
>
> Mark

823. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <3873A587.3F9D5724@netdoor.com>
Source record: 122919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Remember when your' call meant something? Remember when it told the world
that you were advanced or extra? The no-coders will become "feel good"
technicians and therefore qualify for a "feel good" Amateur call and we
will have no idea of who is legal on which band which mode. When the
flood gates of no-code hams was opened, the FCC gave them the last of all
the calls remaining rather than creat a new series of calls to seperate
THEM from US. But then with a Jimmy Carter/Bill Clinton Demokracy, all
must share equally in the earnings of a few. I think the time has come
for us to shove the flowers down their barrels' for a change and give
piece a chance.

Butch Magee KF5DE

John Bohnovic wrote:

> On Mon, 03 Jan 2000 21:10:10 GMT, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >I think the FCC should give us all 6 months to use up our stock of
> >QSL cards, and then do a one-time redistribution of calls, starting
> >with W1AAA and just continue sequentially without regard to age/race/
> >creed/class-of-license/how-fast-you-beeped-at-your-test.
> >
> >73, Hans, K0HB
> >dit dit
> >
> Hans,
>
> Why wait for the FCC to take such action? Just turn in your vanity
> callsign now and ask the FCC to assign one to you from the amateur
> radio callsign "pool". Show us what a good egalitarian you are.
>
> If you, and others, attach some sort of prestige to vanity callsigns
> then it is in your minds only and does not exist in reality.
>
> Personally I'm impressed with the imagination some folks have when I
> hear their calls. I remember seeing a vanity callsign and wondering
> why the person applied for it until I heard it in a contest. It just
> stuck out in the contest and it was easy to remember so I didn't try
> to work him a second time.
> 73..de John/K4WJ
> K8PXG from 18 Jun 59 to 11 Feb 97
> K8WJ from 12 Feb 97 to 07 Apr 97

824. Ham Radio and technological change

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <xtNc4.195$7I6.1161@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Today is a world of fax machines, internet, cell phones, handheld computers, electronic pets, and Y2K glitches.

Where does Ham Radio fit into this?

Ham Radio may well be a geeky kind of thing as the term is used today, but is it something that genuinely holds people's interest? I suggest that perhaps it is not.

We can pick up a cell phone and talk to someone on the other side of the world, perhaps on their cell phone, without fading, without having to tune the transmitter or aim the antenna (very much), and without having to figure out the MUF.

We can see what millions upon millions of people have to say via their web pages, in an instant, right from our home desk or table.

So what is Ham Radio relative to this?

Fifty years ago, there were no computers, no internet, no cell phone, and TV was just getting started. Few of us could have even imagined much of what we have now. Quite a lot of the current technology then was indeed radio based, and much of radio itself was still to be understood. It was a technology, but it was also an art and science and it was fascinating to many. It was also an operating practice that challenged the mind and body, and rewarded those who met that challenge.

Today, in the year 2000, Ham Radio is virtually none of what it was just fifty years ago. Sure, all of those things exist. We actually can power up and tune up an old tube rig and actually make a contact with someone on the other side of the world. And today, many people still enjoy doing exactly that, or some variation of it.

But is that something that is going to get, AND KEEP, the interest of people who grow up surfing, or even hacking, the internet at age 12? Is that something that will interest the twenty-somethings just out of college that have cell phones and are designing the next generation of phone switches, network routers, computer chips, and pet robots?

The FCC has now finalized new rules that, hopefully, will bring in more people to the Ham Radio community. But will they come? Will they stay? Will they find an interest in something? Do the rule changes even matter?

When there was an accident on the highway in the 1970's, hams would make a phone patch on the local repeater, and emergencey dispatch would know about the situation within a minute. Today, they are flooded with dozens

of cell phone calls to 911 and by the time the phone patch is made, the response is "thank you, emergency crews are already on the way".

Ham Radio is still a lot of things. There are things hams can do which cannot be easily, readily, or practically done by other means (yet).

But it isn't the same as it used to be. It just isn't, unless you are living in the past. It's OK for hams that have done these things in the past to continue to do them. But much of that simply isn't going to get the interest of the kind of person today, who would have become a ham fifty years ago.

If we all live in the past, then Ham Radio will surely die out as we go silent key, one by one. If we want to make sure Ham Radio does not die out, we have to transform it into something that will have a net GAIN in people, not a net LOSS. To do that we have to have something here that gets, and KEEPS, the interest of today's technological "geeks". And it has to compete with cell phones, internet, robots, and all the other assorted things we have today.

Changing people's interests into something else, for the most part, is simply not going to happen. Trying to make someone else interested in the things we might still love and enjoy doesn't work. It might well run them off. We need to realize that it is a different world and the people in it have a different set of interests.

The latest restructuring of the license classes by the FCC does not change anything about the world we live in. The world's change is now here, and you cannot, whatsoever, petition anyone to even reconsider that. Even if by some quirk of politics, the latest rule changes are reveresed, we must face the fact that what WILL kill Ham Radio is here now, and it is here to stay, and we have no say in the matter, besides figureing out how to work with it.

New rules or not, we need to make Ham Radio be something of interest to today's young technological people. The new rules themselves are not here to change Ham Radio, per se, but they will make it easier for us all to change Ham Radio ourselves. We need to TAKE ADVANTAGE of the new rules to keep Ham Radio alive, and make it thrive, for another 100 years. We need to make Ham Radio be more, FAR MORE, than it was 50 years ago, more than it is even today. We need to set our sights not just on the year 2000, but the year 2010, and even the year 2050, even though surely things will be around then which we cannot imagine today.

Ham Radio will change or Ham Radio will die.

Make the change or let it die, you decide.

The new rules have been handed to us all as a TOOL with which WE must make the changes. If we make no changes, Ham Radio dies, whether there are new rules or not.

So let's talk not about trying to reverse what cannot be reversed,
but instead about how to make Ham Radio move forward, technologically,
as well as socially.

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

825. Hear your favorite Radio stations on Webradio.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jericho0023@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105152535.20818.00000593@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122921

If you've ever wanted to listen to the radio while on the internet, try www.Webradio.com. There's nothing to download, no players to buy. Just the music you want coming to you live over the net. Webradio.com uses a Java based streaming program. That means no Plug-Ins. Every concievable kind of music, all the time! All, through the ease of your internet connection. www.Webradio.com! Try it today!

And for you broadcasters out there, you can get your radio station streaming to listeners around the globe quicker and easier than ever before.

826. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3873A7FD.7D6DD53E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Butch Magee wrote:
> When the
> flood gates of no-code hams was opened, the FCC gave them the last of all
> the calls remaining rather than creat a new series of calls to seperate
> THEM from US.

That's what I enjoy so much about this great hobby. Hams are such a
united benevolent brotherhood.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

827. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <8509kp$itt@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 122923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson (wa6vse@fast.net) wrote:
[snip]

: Besides, other than the sunset clause, which we didn't get,
: the outcome is exactly what NCI proposed T/G/E, and 0/5/5.

I want to thank you guys for supporting my (earlier) proposal ;-))
(Which "we" of course knew "before we ever conversed" =;o
: - --
: Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

828. Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3873AAD6.387559AA@innocent.com>
Source record: 122924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yesterday evening, WA9KJI was engaging in his usual monologuing and intentional interference activities in an attempt to prevent the use of 3950 by others, when Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, checked in.

Mr. Hollingsworth gave his on-the-spot analysis of KJI's behavior, and you can hear it by clicking on the 3950.net lead story link at:

http://www.3950.net

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

829. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10001051545300.13721-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 122925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Dave, I've passed all the written exams and a 20 wpm code exam. I've
> > never settled for mediocre in anything I've wanted to do in this life
> > and I've never asked for anyone to make something easier for me because
> > I couldn't handle it.
>
> However, if you were unfortunate to be confined to a wheelchair, I'll
> bet you would appreciate the ramps.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

What a poor life, if no personal achievement has any other meaning
than seeing the time invested as a waste and a loss.

830. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <A5E0170FA1B5AF51.04D7146E49E8710B.CDE3A9D3FED01608@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ditto to Cecil's comment but, also...c'mon--I find that most hams possess little understanding of the callsign "status" anyway. For instance, the vanity calls are quite prevalent and only cost $13.00 now. I have the call W5TIT, which is a perfectly legitimate callsign for a Technician/Techincian+/General. BUT, when I was first licensed the 1X3 calls were gone in the 5-call area, so I was given a Novice (I think) call of KC5HQJ. I actually have a legitimate call for my class of license and DID NOT have a "worthy call" first issued to me.

In addition, why does there need to be a distinction between hams? Are you now saying that it IS difficult to tell good operators from bad operators based anything BUT their callsign? Are you now saying that there are actually no-code hams that have GOOD operating practice? C'mon...get off da schtick. Your serious disregard for continuity and logical argument is of concern to me how about real your "feelings" are for the hobby over your personal prejudices.

Kim/W5TIT

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in article
<3873A7FD.7D6DD53E@IEEE.org>...
> Butch Magee wrote:
> > When the
> > flood gates of no-code hams was opened, the FCC gave them the last of
all
> > the calls remaining rather than creat a new series of calls to seperate
> > THEM from US.
>
> That's what I enjoy so much about this great hobby. Hams are such a
> united benevolent brotherhood.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

831. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: TomR@astron.net
Message-ID: <3873b805.34867869@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 122927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 09:42:14 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Gregg E. wrote:
>> These CB Types With There Gloating Will Probably Soon Be History
>> After The Newness Wears Off.
>
>The tech entry requirements have not changed. If the "CB Types"
>have not gotten a tech license already, why do you suppose they
>would now bother getting a general license. IMO, it's just
>another "Sky is Falling" myth from the PCTA folk.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Bingo! Absolutely right Cecil.

TomR

832. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <3873ba99.35527529@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 122928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 03 Jan 2000 22:49:44 -0600, Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>&#65533;
>
>Tech+ wrote:
>
>> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
>> upgrading to General ASAP.&#65533; After that, we're going to move our 2M net
>> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
>> who have upgraded.&#65533; Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
>> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
>> licences will no longer be issued.
>
>So what your saying is you were too incompetent to learn 20 wpm and now that
>the standards have been lowered you get to join the fun on HF. Yea I'd sure
>gloat about that.

Learning 13 wpm or 20wpm only makes you a better ham CODE wise.
Period. Who says all the people who take and pass the 5 won't continue
to work on their speed and become really good at it? Some will, some
won't same as today. No change.

Tom - KB2SMS

>Tim ka8ddz

833. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <3873B71B.E6B@imt.net>
Source record: 122929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> However, if you were unfortunate to be confined to a wheelchair, I'll
> bet you would appreciate the ramps.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

There has been a code exemption for physicaly handicapped people whos
disability kept them from doing medium speed code,look wow that was
abused.
There wasn't a mental disability waiver because no one is that
disabled.(you could be the exeption).

834. Re: ANOTHER WA9KJI THREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Cool <kb0tix@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s77hd11jhu8131@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I think you're terroizing me through these newsgroups. GO AWAY!

835. Re: KC8JBO? You decide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104211733.02437.00000404@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122931

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
decide this

836. Re: The Liber*al net massage board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104212316.02437.00000406@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122932

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
go heare too

837. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104211919.02437.00000405@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122933

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
hook this

838. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84ud8q$6qp$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 122934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > 1. NCI (et al) just won the biggest battle of the war.
>
> I assume you mean the 5 WPM requirement. If there was one thing just
> about everyone here agreed upon, and the one thing that the majority of
> respondents to the NPRM argued for, it was the 5 WPM General
> requirement. How do you figure that NCI should get to claim that as a
> victory?
>

It was the BIG bonus, Jim. Instead of getting a 5wpm
General, Squiggy gets an Extra!
Gloat! Preen! Claim "I did it"! when the world knows that
the FCC was only taking aspirin- ridding itself of a nagging
Medical Waiver headache.

839. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104222712.06966.00000478@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 122935

```
>Subject: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"
>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>Date: Tue, 04 January 2000 07:07 PM EST
>
>any advice?
>
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4
```

Yes ... _ ..._ _.. _._ _

840. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn875e2p.vmm.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 122936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 4 Jan 2000 21:00:34 -0500, Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:
>Those who were truly interested in the outcome commented. The rest
>are now sitting around bitching, whining, and carping. (to no avail)

Then you agree with the point I've been making that only a tiny
fraction of hams were truly interested in licensing issues?

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

841. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000104224233.15796.00000664@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 122937

Send my damn tapes back. If you can't learn code from that course I sent you, I doubt you ever will.

842. International Press Conference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tr@press-conference.NET
Message-ID: <200001050344.TAA03681@mailbox1.ucsd.edu>
Source record: 122938

Hello

As you are a recognized media professional, you have been registered with the International Press Conference.

Should you wish to modify your categories, simply click on the following link to do so : http://www.press-conference.net/journalists/

If you wish to promote your work, a book you have written, a service you provide, or something of that nature, submit to contact@press-conference.net an informative, business related article and include a short resume and hyperlinks to you web site or e-mail address.

TO UNSUBSCRIBE :
If at any time you wish to unsubscribe, simply send us an empty e-mail message to remove-pressconference@addsites.com

Salutations from press-conference.net

843. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3872c115.2174691@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 04 Jan 2000 17:29:46 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Cecil wrote:
>
>>When I was in my junior year in college, had I *failed*
>>to reach my chartered goal of a college degree? Of course
>>not. I was exactly "on schedule" toward a college degree.
>
>Were you "victorious" at that point in achieving your chartered goal?

Of course he was victorious upon passing each and
every separate class. Have you never celebrated
milestones along the way to a larger goal? Military
celebrates winning battles all the time.

>To claim victory in achieving your college degree while still a junior
>in high school would have been premature, doncha think? If not an
>abject failure at this point, Carl's claim of victory is at the least,
>premature and unwarranted.

You see failure, we see victory of a major milestone.
Next step is international.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

844. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104230113.06799.00000454@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 122940

Here is a fine example of the "genius" to come from this "next generation" of appliance, uh, I mean ham radio operator.

Lloyd, if you can't even install a mobile HF rig and an antenna you are truly lost. Maybe you need to spend a little less time in the singles chat rooms and on this NG and spend a little more time studying a basic radio and electronics theory book.

>Lloyd Davies wrote:

How do I hook the radio up in my truck?ï¿½ How can I run the power cable to the radio?ï¿½ Where on the dash do I mount the radio.ï¿½ I also have a "bedliner" on the truck too,ï¿½ I want to get a hamstick mount.ï¿½ Can I drill through the "bedliner" and do it that way.ï¿½ This a nice truck and a female attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
I need help to hook this up.
-
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4

845. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3872c058.1985795@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 04 Jan 2000 17:13:20 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>> 1. NCI (et al) just won the biggest battle of the war.
>
>I assume you mean the 5 WPM requirement. If there was one thing just
>about everyone here agreed upon, and the one thing that the majority of
>respondents to the NPRM argued for, it was the 5 WPM General
>requirement. How do you figure that NCI should get to claim that as a
>victory?

The victory is not for the 5 wpm General, but rather
it is that the 5 wpm is ALSO the Extra
requirement. THAT is the major difference between
what many others wanted and what NCI proposed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

846. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104233314.12550.00000635@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122942

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
advice this

847. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104233339.12550.00000636@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122943

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
hook this

848. Re: The Liber*al net massage board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104233405.12550.00000637@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122944

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
here too

849. Re: AF2Y says THANK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104233532.12550.00000638@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122945

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
lyode daveis supremisy here

850. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104233636.12550.00000639@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122946

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
w2kp this

851. Re: KC8JBO? You decide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104233720.12550.00000640@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122947

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
decide this

852. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ts950sdx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105001006.15796.00000713@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 122948

GUYS HES REALLY NOT WORTH

A REPLY AT ALL !!!!!!

JOE KF4TOH "GEN"

853. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hello@toyou.org
Message-ID: <3872D2C1.8C852B97@toyou.org>
Source record: 122949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I was licensed Jul 1990, as a Tech (5wpm was a requirement in 1990). The no code techs came later on. As a result, it says only Tech on my license, my question is, how do I prove that I am a tech plus after April 15, 2000? If you make a search on my call on FCC database NOW, you will get "class= Tech plus", but after April 15, I am cooked!

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Robert Wiley wrote:
> >
> > Here's a question. I understand the Tech+'s (like myself) who came in
> > after a certain date (I was first licensed June 1990) will need to
> > take the general written. However, won't our current tech plus
> > licenses show as proof of passing the 5WPM?
>
> Yes, a current license is accepted as proof.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

854. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <84uls2$1cp@nnrp1.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 122950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tech+" <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8zqqhf$jjAM$1@hotmail.com...

>We want to switch to 10 codes on
> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> at home right away.

Your education, and your friends, can start TODAY on how to "communicate" on any of the Ham bands.

You will feel more at home by immediately forgetting the idea of using "10-codes" on any Ham band. It's better to break old radio bad habits right away so you can begin to put into practice better ones. Besides why waste time. If you have paid any attention at all the emphasis is being placed on "plain language" communications, i.e. getting rid of code numbers and other stupid radio type lingo, and just say what you mean so everyone understands. Doing otherwise is a sure way to get branded a LID. If you want to know what a LID is, well use those 10-codes on the air and somebody will tell you soon enough, and will probably break a number of the Part 97 rules, primarily dealing with language, in the process!

I'm not saying this to be mean or because you "were / are" a CBer, but to help you make the transition to being a competent Ham and effective station operator.

> These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!

Yes they are. They will also be a very trying time for some too! When somebody tries to point out when you are doing something wrong, don't take it the wrong way, they may only be trying to help you and your friends to fit in with a world wide group of other Hams.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex

transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

855. Re: Geriatric Code Speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <84um0h$2pn$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 122951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

20wpm_extra@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Extras, extras read all about it.
>
> Yes, now you can be assured that your licnse is worth as much as a ham
> license in Sweden, or maybe Guatemala. Perhaps you'd prefer to pretend
> you're in Jordan as a member of the royal family where handouts are
> common.
>

Yeah. Hells Bells, man even in Borneo- you know, out there
in the jungle where people used to eat each other, they have
a 12wpm code test.
Read this-

Don't get me wrong, I merely want to show that 5 wpm is
SLOW, that it can be
done without much learning. But, doesn't want others to do
that trick in an
exam! Why? Coz you'll have a hard time to increase your
speed later, if
those dots and dashes table started to stick into your
brain, hee...U have
to unlearn them, for you'll start to see code as "dots and
dashes", rather
than hearing the rhythm or music of the code as the speed
gets faster. Yes,
no chance of doing the 5 wpm trick here too in an exam! At
present, ours is
a single "Class A" Licence with a 12 wpm code for all, no
exception. We've
to sweat it out too, hee...
73's de Sly, 9M8SL
>From "The Hidden Paradise Of Borneo"

856. Need help conecting my computer to the Yaesu FT-847?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Hughes - N9ZTL <hughes@fn.net>
Message-ID: <KHBc4.1731$K76.3710@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122952

Hello,

I have purchased what is supposed to be a null modem cable. 9-pin female to 9-pin female serial. I have tried several different programs i.e..(Log-EQF, XMlog). I don't seem to be getting any response, I am not sure if there is a way to ping my rig? Any feed back or suggestions would be appreciated.

Thanks
Paul N9ZTL

857. Re: GSX FRS Radios at JC Penney $24.97 + 25% off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dchopper@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3872ecfd.29300499@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The best I could do at JC Penney was their GPX unit with 50% off their
marked price of $49.99. Still, at 24.99 it wasn't a bad price since
I've been wanting to get one to see how they measure up..

- David -

>Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>JC Penney is selling their GSX FRS handheld radios for $24.97 + 25% off
>right now. I picked up four for less than $80! They are pretty nice, 14
>channels, 38 ctcss codes, LCD display, battery saver mode, uses 4 AAA
>batteries, etc., etc.
>
>If you go into one of the department stores, they are sold near the
>Men's department. Not available through the catalogs.
>

858. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <84us2kpre1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3872D2C1.8C852B97@toyou.org>, <Hello@toyou.org> wrote:
>I was licensed Jul 1990, as a Tech (5wpm was a requirement in 1990). The no
>code techs came later on. As a result, it says only Tech on my license, my
>question is, how do I prove that I am a tech plus after April 15, 2000? If
>you make a search on my call on FCC database NOW, you will get "class= Tech
>plus", but after April 15, I am cooked!
>
Well, you could study for and get a CSCE for the General theory, and upgrade
to General. A nice web site for practice and study is http://www.hamtest.com

859. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: k1gkm@juno.com
Message-ID: <20000105.011750.-453349.0.K1GKM@juno.com>
Source record: 122955

```
On 04 Jan 2000 14:00:11 GMT "Tech+" <techplus@hotmail.com> writes:
> Message from the Deja.com forum:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Your subscription is set to individual email delivery
> >
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M
> net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+
> hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go
> to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced
> class
> licences will no longer be issued.
>
> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the
> large
> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes
> on
> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will
> feel
> at home right away.
>
> These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!
>
> Try useing 10 codes and "exciting" will be an understatement !
>
> ---------------------------------------------------------------
> Deja.com: Before you buy.
> http://www.deja.com/
> * To modify or remove your subscription, go to
> http://www.deja.com/edit_sub.xp?group=rec.radio.amateur.misc
> * Read this thread at
> http://www.deja.com/thread/%3C8zqqhf%24jjAM%241%40hotmail.com%3E
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

860. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <387313D1.C0F@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 122956

K0OD wrote:
>
> ...I can't imagine a ham population great enough to have
> any kind of major political clout. Our best approach
> is to tout our public service, technical innovations,
> etc. to our politicians and hope that the big money
> spenders will not attack our chunk of the spectrum.

Good point John. I also hope the politicians and big
spenders don't see what kind of bickering we do
amoung our ranks between our fellow hams. ie
in this (and other)NG's.

73 to all (regardless of your code speed) - Lumpy ab0gd
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

861. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gregg E." <Gregg.E@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <84v4tl$h90$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

While I Am Not Happy With The Outcome Of The Fcc's Latest Ruling.
And I Do Agree With Alot That Is Said Here.
I Am At This Time A General And Have Past My 20 Wpm Test Several Months Back
And Am Planning On Working My Way To Extra.
I Am About 70 Percent Through My Advanced Study Book At This Time.
I Am Not Sure If I Will Have The Extra Before April But I Will Try.
My Father All Ways Had A Saying (Just Because He Puts His Head In The Toilet
Does
Not Me You Have To) These CB Types With There Gloating Will Probably Soon Be
History
After The Newness Wears Off. I Would Hope To See The Old Times And The
Current Hams Not
Lower Themselves To The Level Of The Gloaters.
73 W5IDX (Just My Thoughts)
(Maybe This Is All A Dream And Someone Will Pinch Me)

David Stinson wrote in message <38713CB0.4AFB9C39@ix.netcom.com>...
>Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
>ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
>There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
>saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
>at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
>they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
>I propose that we old fossils who actually
>worked for our tickets offically adopt the
>terms "WELFARE GENERAL" and "WELFARE EXTRA"
>when refering to these snide people.
>I don't mean the sincere folks who come after
>and act like decent people- I mean specifically
>the CB-Plus guys who want to act like
>horse's asses. When they "rub it in,"
>remind them they're "Welfare Extras."
>
>73 DE Dave AB5S, a "20-word Extra" circa 1976

862. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@buffnet.net
Message-ID: <38831ae5.48235690@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 122958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 4 Jan 2000 22:54:18 -0700, "Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote:

>Prof. Curt M. Breneman wrote
>>(Pornography in church, obnoxious behavior...etc)
>
>You'll notice I said if it is legal it is *probably* OK. In the 50's most
>hams felt that the taboo subjects were politics, sex, and religion, and you
>seldom heard them discussed on the air. It made for a more family rated
>hobby. But heck, now days the FCC apparently issues body parts and body
>functions for vanity calls, so some traditions are long gone...

Just to set the record straight here, Aaron, our club's call of W2SEX
was originally issued as W8SEX in 1938 to Bert Salefske, our club's
first secretary/treasurer. When the callsign districts were
reorganized in 1946, W8SEX became W2SEX. Bert became a SK in 1968 and
the club applied for and was granted W2SEX under callsign trustee Milt
Dexheimer, W2VCL. The club has used the callsign W2SEX actively for
ARRL Field Day and special event/contest activities since 1954.

73 DE KC2FNG

863. Re: Geriatric Code Speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <84vjq0$sq3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <84uilq$5ni$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
20wpm_extra@my-deja.com wrote:

(a bunch of lame crap)

> MF_L
>

Hey, MF, we all got a bet on that you are another Lennie
Anderson without a license, but at least he doesn't hide
like a chicken behind a proxymate address.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

864. Re: Need help conecting my computer to the Yaesu FT-847?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: janssenjq <janssenjq@kuentos.guam.net>
Message-ID: <84v55fcjg1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 122960

Is a null modem called for? Pins 2/3 may not need to be crossed if the
radio port is a true DCE device.

Paul Hughes - N9ZTL wrote in message ...
>Hello,
>
>I have purchased what is supposed to be a null modem cable. 9-pin female to
>9-pin female serial. I have tried several different programs
i.e..(Log-EQF,
>XMlog). I don't seem to be getting any response, I am not sure if there
is
>a way to ping my rig? Any feed back or suggestions would be appreciated.
>
>Thanks
>Paul N9ZTL
>
>

865. Re: was k5blb right is it true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105055630.12603.00000637@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122961

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
this true

866. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105060912.12603.00000638@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122962

>and then get me a amp and antenna and it's "hellllllllooooooo
>radioooooooo" on 3950, 14.313 etc!

Will this be in CW or on SSB?

867. What happened to our hobby(very long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bootsy <chrischilders@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84vobghj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122963

I am sure that this post will fan the flames for some but let me
say right now this is not my intent..
I have been a ham since 1984 and I am currently a tech-plus..and support
license restructure.
I am 33 years old and have been around ham radio...all of my life..
You see my father was a ham and fell in love with the hobby
because of him...
Dad was a Chief Engineer on tuna boats working out of San Diego
and would be out for months at a time fishing to support our family..
I grew up talking to him through a phone patch that was graciously done
by some very nice hams..I still remember talking to my father with the
SSB making his voice sound funny and having to say "over" when I was
done. My dad gave me my Christmas, Birthday and Graduation greetings
through the radio because that was the only way we
could talk..My dream as a child was to be a ham radio operator
so I could talk to dad and other people around the world and learn
more..
I also remember the "Ham Parties" my parents used to have when dad
was home, they would invite all of his ham buddies over for a big party
and I would get to meet some of the guys that ran the phone patches
and be able to put the face with the voice..these were always very
nice,very smart and unusual people.

When I got my license I remember how happy I was and how proud my
father was of me...and as per his promise he bought me my first
2 meter rig for my birthday..

The point of all this is...I have had so many positive experiences
with Ham Radio that it kills me to see the attitudes of some people
on the air and in the ham news groups..so I have a few suggestions..

1. Think about what you say before you say it. Are you helping or
hurting our hobby? I don't think being a pompus jerk is the best way
to promote our hobby and help it grow.

2. Make the effort to expose people at work,school or wherever to what
Ham radio is and what it can do. Be part of the solution not the
problem.

3. If you are proud of your code speed..great but show your love of code
by showing people why it's fun and important..don't use it to beat up
fellow hams and potential hams which results in discouraging others..

4.When on the air try to do things that make ham radio great..help out
a newbie..give information that someone requests..talk about new
rigs..whatever it takes to bring honor to our hobby..and keep it

interesting.

5.Have fun....some people on the air and in the newsgroups seem to only use their time to harass and complain..which I can't figure how that can be fun..Fun is contagious..

So for those of you who are out promoting the hobby, having fun and making an impact..great I hope there are more of us..may all your DX be good.

And to all of you who are snobs,whiners and kooks...may your finals blow and may your coax be soggy...

73's

KB6BNX

--
"Those who respect the law..and sausage..
should never watch either one being made"

A un-natural law

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

868. Re: ANOTHER WA9KJI THREAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lzHc4.771$hN5.29873@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 122964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

JERK
Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Chqc4.3212$X14.44798@news4.mia...
> Castle uses Secret Service
> and FBI in felonious threat to
> new citizen.
>
> Castle hits new low attempting to feloniously terrorize
> amateur radio operator through e-mail.
>
> GOTO: http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm#castle3
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

869. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38736A3C.882F3FB9@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Watch out for that gun rack......

D

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power cable to
> the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a
> "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I drill
> through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a female
> attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Tim wrote in message <387298F8.7A769A17@worldnet.att.net>...
> >
> >
> >Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> >> I need help to hook this up
> >
> >What is your question Lloyd?
> >
> >

870. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3873684E.E0420FCD@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122966
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Up yours LLoyd. Don't use the name of GOD in your messages you
racists bigiot...

D
Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> YOU LEAVE W2KP ALONE AND LET HIM DO THE WORK THAT GOD HAS TOLD HIM TO DO!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Radiosigs wrote in message <20000104071941.04891.00000269@ng-cp1.aol.com>...
> ><< Subject: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs
> >From: "hammer007" hammer007@my-deja.com
> >Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 11:11 PM EST
> >Message-id: <84rs1onsc1@news.laserlink.net>
> >
> > Stumpjumper #19, W2KP, is looking into
> > rule violations on 3898. The 3898 Thugs
> >better clean up their act pronto! Reliable
> >sources tell us that Ed has the unlisted number
> > for Riley Holllingsworth's desk phone and
> > files daily reports with Riley.
> >
> >< >schmuck one will never find. He's one of KV4FZ's original policemen; a
> >frustrated Columbo. How many days was it after the death of his wife that
> this

> >fool was running up and down the highways using a mobile installation to
> catch
> >those who were hurling insults at Schoenbohm? Two, three. maybe he really
> >honored the memory of his wife? FOUR? If K4ZDH believes anything offered by
> >this putz, then Riley is making a gross error regarding judgment. Ed Clark
> is
> >and always has been a snivelling weasel who, without every having proof of
> even
> >his own existence, blows the whistle on the world based on his "in" with so
> >many FCC men. There was one in particular whose name fails me and
> supposedly
> >they were army buds and the fellow DEPENDED on W2KP's sordid tales to help
> >clean up ham radio. Also there was mention made of annoying phone calls
> being
> >made by enlisted friend pests of Clark's thanks to KP's employment with
> Bell
> >Atlantic. I mean this guy is a piece of work with the ethics and manners of
> an
> >orangutan. May God help us all if the day ever comes when either Riley or
> the
> >C.I.B.takes W2KP's info as gospel>>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >>
> >
> >
> >
> >Doc, A F 2 Y
> >Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
> >efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via
> the
> >Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
> >never do better than write that letter!
> >

871. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3873685E.5D4B5459@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122967
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Up yours LLoyd. Don't use the name of GOD in your messages you
racists bigot...

D
Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> YOU LEAVE W2KP ALONE AND LET HIM DO THE WORK THAT GOD HAS TOLD HIM TO DO!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Radiosigs wrote in message <20000104071941.04891.00000269@ng-cp1.aol.com>...
> ><< Subject: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs
> >From: "hammer007" hammer007@my-deja.com
> >Date: Mon, 03 January 2000 11:11 PM EST
> >Message-id: <84rs1onsc1@news.laserlink.net>
> >
> > Stumpjumper #19, W2KP, is looking into
> > rule violations on 3898. The 3898 Thugs
> >better clean up their act pronto! Reliable
> >sources tell us that Ed has the unlisted number
> > for Riley Holllingsworth's desk phone and
> > files daily reports with Riley.
> >
> >< >schmuck one will never find. He's one of KV4FZ's original policemen; a
> >frustrated Columbo. How many days was it after the death of his wife that
> this

> >fool was running up and down the highways using a mobile installation to
> catch
> >those who were hurling insults at Schoenbohm? Two, three. maybe he really
> >honored the memory of his wife? FOUR? If K4ZDH believes anything offered by
> >this putz, then Riley is making a gross error regarding judgment. Ed Clark
> is
> >and always has been a snivelling weasel who, without every having proof of
> even
> >his own existence, blows the whistle on the world based on his "in" with so
> >many FCC men. There was one in particular whose name fails me and
> supposedly
> >they were army buds and the fellow DEPENDED on W2KP's sordid tales to help
> >clean up ham radio. Also there was mention made of annoying phone calls
> being
> >made by enlisted friend pests of Clark's thanks to KP's employment with
> Bell
> >Atlantic. I mean this guy is a piece of work with the ethics and manners of
> an
> >orangutan. May God help us all if the day ever comes when either Riley or
> the
> >C.I.B.takes W2KP's info as gospel>>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >>
> >
> >
> >
> >Doc, A F 2 Y
> >Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
> >efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via
> the
> >Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
> >never do better than write that letter!
> >

872. "Reeldeal@my-deja.com" joins whipped puppy status for KC8JBO - Hopefully they can be housebroken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84vvt1$6kn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122968

```
The inferior subhuman
reeldeal@my-deja.com blubbered in message
<84uk2h$6jb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>KC8JBO all of the below...
<flush!>

Your owner.

Being genetically inferior isn't easy for you, is it?

Oh, and it's real deal, not "reel deal," you illiterate bastard.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

873. KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85001q$6nq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122969

> > Replying to -your-posts is a colossal waste of time.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

When I say "JUMP"
You say, "HOW HIGH MASTER"

TWO FACED IDIOT!!!!!!!! KC8JBO USAF records SOON.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

874. KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85002p$6o9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122970

> > Replying to -your-posts is a colossal waste of time.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

When I say "JUMP"
You say, "HOW HIGH MASTER"

TWO FACED IDIOT!!!!!!! KC8JBO USAF records SOON.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

875. KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85003f$6od$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122971

> > Replying to -your-posts is a colossal waste of time.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

When I say "JUMP"
You say, "HOW HIGH MASTER"

TWO FACED IDIOT!!!!!! KC8JBO USAF records SOON.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

876. KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <850041$6ol$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122972

> > Replying to -your-posts is a colossal waste of time.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

When I say "JUMP"
You say, "HOW HIGH MASTER"

TWO FACED IDIOT!!!!! KC8JBO USAF records SOON.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

877. KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85004m$6op$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122973

> > Replying to -your-posts is a colossal waste of time.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

When I say "JUMP"
You say, "HOW HIGH MASTER"

TWO FACED IDIOT!!!! KC8JBO USAF records SOON.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

878. KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85005d$6ov$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122974

> > Replying to -your-posts is a colossal waste of time.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

When I say "JUMP"
You say, "HOW HIGH MASTER"

TWO FACED IDIOT!!! KC8JBO USAF records SOON.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

879. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38736AD3.B2ABDF84@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stick it up your ass and you can carry it around just like your two
meter HT.

D

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power cable to
> the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a
> "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I drill
> through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a female
> attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Tim wrote in message <387298F8.7A769A17@worldnet.att.net>...
> >
> >
> >Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> >> I need help to hook this up
> >
> >What is your question Lloyd?
> >
> >

880. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck with gun rack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38736AE7.77E8ACE7@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power cable to
> the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a
> "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I drill
> through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a female
> attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Tim wrote in message <387298F8.7A769A17@worldnet.att.net>...
> >
> >
> >Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> >> I need help to hook this up
> >
> >What is your question Lloyd?
> >
> >

881. South Bend Ind qrmer sent letter for jamming!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: reeldeal@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8500pi$79a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122977

Mr R. Hollingsworth reported on the Rain Report that a letter has been sent to a Amateur in SouthBend Ind warning him to cease qrming on 14313 or be in danger of revocation procedures. Does anyone know the amateurs Call Sign? Hooray for Riley!!

TTFN
Reeldeal

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

882. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <6880E1E11174D334.68C611CFE5538E2F.24022CC7544C4013@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122978

Here! Here! Exactly, Pappy...anyone should ask any questions they wish!
Maybe, Piero, someone's question will give rise to concepts you'd never
thought of before....

Kim/W5TIT

Pappy@sgi.net wrote in article <38709DDF.26B8F7BC@sgi.net>...
> Sir, NO question silly when the person asking it doesn't know the answer.
And who
> is this "WE" should be making theory harder?
>
> Piero Sassu wrote:
>
> > IF you go to the FCC website or pick up a copy of the latest revision,
you
> > wouldn't have to ask that silly question. Another reason instead of
getting rid
> > of code we should be making theory harder!
> >
>
>

883. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim <W5TIT@w5fc.org>
Message-ID: <D149D476C0F5AACE.F8FD76B5CAA5ED00.7D06C0CFE04418DA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122979

ROFLMAO...now *that* was funny!

>
> "Pompous," you illiterate retard.
> Lloyd, you of all people shouldn't be calling _anybody_ an
> asshole. It's a pot-kettle-black of large proportions.
>

884. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387350DC.4340@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Herb Sims wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> writes:
>
> >longer needed with respect to license testing. And who have made it
> >clear on any number of occasions that those that do not "do the code"
> >are not "real" hams.
> Just go up on SSB on ANY band and these "real" hams are VERY evident. Sounds
> like a bunch of CBers. When you EARN something you are much more likely to
> treat it with respect than if someone GIVES you something. Just like someone
> who lives in an apartment....it is not theirs so why take care of it?????

Those SSB guys that you were refering to DID "earn" their ticket, and
their behavior is nothing to write home about. So that more or less
blows your theory.

Dave

885. Restructuring FAQ from HamTest.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ko6ux@adi-radio.com
Message-ID: <84u41iqub1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123007

Amateur Radio Restructuring is here! On December 30 the FCC released a Report and Order streamlining the Amateur Radio Service from six classes of license to three. The FCC also mandated sweeping changes to the written Amateur Radio theory tests and abolished the 15 Word Per Minute and 20-WPM Morse code exams.

The following 14 question FAQ is intended to help explain the changes in the licensing structure and how it affects hams who already have their licenses:

1. Has the FCC finally issued a ruling on amateur license restructuring?

Yes. The FCC issued a Report and Order on December 30, 1999 detailing major changes to the amateur radio service license structure. They eliminated several classes of licensing, and changed the requirements for the existing classes.

2. What are the new license classes?

Under the new system, the FCC will now issue only three classes of license: Technician, General, and Extra. These licenses will have the same operating privileges as the current Technician, General, and Extra licenses. No new Novice, Tech Plus, or Advanced class licenses will be issued. Current holders of Novice and Advanced licenses will be grandfathered into that class, maintaining their current privileges. Current Tech Plus class hams will retain their current privileges, including HF privileges, but will now be renewed as part of a combined Technician class.

3. When do these new rules go into effect?

These rules go into effect on April 15, 2000. Until that time, the current rules remain in effect. If you wanted to pass the 13 or 20 WPM Morse code tests, just to have the "bragging rights" you can do so now, anytime before the new rules go into effect.

4. Is there still a Morse code requirement?

The FCC did not completely do away with the Morse code requirement. International Radio Regulations require that any ham radio operator with operating privileges below 30 MHz be able to copy Morse code at a speed of 5 words per minute. The FCC is bound by this requirement, and could not change it. However, the FCC eliminated the 13-WPM and 20-WPM tests. There is now only a single code requirement for the amateur radio service: 5-WPM. As before, the Technician "code free" class license does not require any Morse code proficiency at all for operation on bands above 30 MHz.

5. So what are the requirements for the new license classes?

The requirements for the new license classes are:

Technician: 35 question written exam (element two)
Technician (w/HF): 5-WPM Morse code exam for HF (element one)
General: 35 question written exam (element three) PLUS 5-WPM Morse code exam (element one)
Extra: 50 question written exam (element four)

Requirements listed are cumulative. For instance, in order to qualify for a General class license, the operator must have first fulfilled all the requirements for a Technician class license.

6. I am a Novice class licensee. I heard that under the ARRL proposal, I would have been automatically upgraded to General class. Am I upgraded?

No. Your Novice class license is grandfathered under the ruling, and you retain your current operating class and privileges. The ARRL had asked the FCC to automatically upgrade all Novices to General Class because the League had hoped to do away with the current Novice sub-bands and use the frequencies for other uses. The FCC declined to adopt this proposal. However, since you have already passed the 5-WPM Morse code test, you can now easily upgrade to General after April 15, 2000 simply by passing the new Element 3, theory test.

7. What about the Tech Plus license class?

All Tech Plus licensees will retain their current operating privileges. However, when they next renew their license their license class will be shown as "Technician" instead of "Tech Plus". There will now be a unified Technician class instead of two separate classes.

Under the new rules, any Technician that has passed or who passes the 5 WPM Morse code test (now called "Element One") will be given the HF privileges currently reserved for Tech Plus class operators. However, they must retain a copy of their Certificate of Successful Completion (CSCE) for Element One and produce it for the FCC if any questions arise about whether they have qualified for their HF privileges.

8. I have the "old" Technician class license. How do I fit in?

If you have a Technician or Tech Plus class license that was effective prior to March 21, 1987, then you already have credit for both the Element One 5-WPM Morse code exam, and the Element 3 General Class theory exam. For this reason, you can automatically be upgraded to the new General class license. All you need to do is appear at any VE test session on or after April 15, 2000. If you present both a copy of your current license, a copy of your original license with an effective date prior to March 21, 1987, and fill out an FCC form 605, you will be automatically upgraded to General class.

9. I am an advanced class licensee. Can I upgrade to advanced by taking the current Extra class written test and no code test? Or do I have to wait until the new rules go into effect and take the new extra class written test?

Like the Novice class, the Advanced class license is being grandfathered under the new rules. Advanced class hams retain their current operating class and privileges. However, the FCC is offering Advanced class hams a limited time offer to upgrade to Extra by passing the current Extra class theory test. If you pass the current Extra class test anytime before the new rules go into effect on April 15, 2000 you will receive your upgrade to Extra. No additional Morse code test is required. If you wait until after April 15, you will have to take the new Extra class exam to qualify for the upgrade.

10. I am currently a Tech Plus licensee. Can I take the General class theory test now, and be automatically upgraded to General class when the new rules go into effect?

Yes. If you complete all of the current components of a given test element before the new rules go into effect you will get credit for that element even after the new rules go into effect. As a Technician class operator, you have already passed theory Element 3A. If you were to now pass Element 3B (the current General class theory) you will receive credit for all of the new Element 3 once the new rules go into effect. Likewise, if you also passed both Element 4A and Element 4B you would get credit for revised Element 4 under the new rules. However, if you have passed only part of an element (such as if you have passed Element 3A but not 3B), then you would not get any credit towards new Element 3 after the rules go into effect.

11. Is the format of the code test changing? Will there be a Morse code sending test?

The format of the Morse code test is not changing. Volunteer Examiners continue to have a wide degree of flexibility in administering Morse code tests to examinees. Most VEs will likely continue to use the same 10 question multiple choice format / one minute of solid copy format that they have been using for years. Few VE teams now require a sending test, and this will likely continue to be true under the new rules.

12. What about the written tests? Will they be harder?

The written tests will likely be going through an overhaul in the next few years. However, by reducing the number of questions required for Technician class to 35, the FCC made the entry-level class of license somewhat easier. The FCC also gave the National Council of Volunteer Exam Coordinators (NCVEC) a lot more flexibility in determining exactly what kinds of questions should appear on each test. It is likely that the general make up of the tests may change quite a bit, with more emphasis being put on operational questions and on questions having to do with newer, more advanced type of communications, such as digital modes.

It will take some time for the NCVEC to completely revise the question pools. For time being it is safe to assume that the questions will not change too much when the new rules go into effect on April 15, 2000. We will bring you more up to date information, including the complete questions and answers for the new question pool, as this information becomes available.

13. Were there any other changes?

As a part of this rule making the FCC made two other minor changes to the amateur radio service rules. First, they amended the rules to allow Advanced class Volunteer Examiners to administer General Class license examinations. Secondly, they decided to stop issuing new RACES licenses. No other changes were made.

Get all of the latest, up-to-date information on restructuting at www.HamTest.com!

HamTest.com
Sponsored by ADI/PREMIER Communications
Visit our sponsor's site at http://www.adi-radio.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

886. W2KP "RADIO HERO" NABBED "RAINCOAT CHARLIE K3CQR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: reeldeal@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84u48n$r1p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123008

It looks like AF2Y "Banana Nose" Swartzbard of "Nose Warmer" fame is doing a character chop job on Ed Clark W2KP. Wonder why?

I will answer that question, It was Ed Clark W2KP who blew the whistle on Af2Ys friend Peter Skudgeons. Skudgeons was the boot legger sailing up and down the east coast in a boat back in the ninetys qrming on 14313. He was meeting AF2Y for lunches all this time when he was in the Clifton N. J. area.. "Dutch Treat" of course. We all know the cheapness of Af2y!! AF3Y supported Skudgeons in his outlaw work so he has every right to have a bad taste in his mouth for ED.

Ed also was instrumental in bringing K3CQR " Rain Coat Charlie", nasty talker abuser of women on the ham bands, to bay. another reason for the "NOSE" to hate Ed.

So folks you should know where AF2Y is coming from . Ed did in some of his buddys who were breaking the rules and some were not even amateurs. Ask him about it!!
Reeldeal

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

887. Whipped puppy WIDEASS@my-deja.com pleads to KC8JBO...please notice me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84u4g8$rc9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123009

Paunched, very overweight, balding and herpes-infested,
wideass@my-deja.com slobbered in message <84tdf4$em4
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> Here is a neat trick.......

Sorry, we're not interested in how many tube steaks you can swallow
every night.

>
> Punch in "KC8JBO" in the Deja finder box. You will see a bunch of
>postings, who are 95% of these postings by?
>
> NONE OTHER THAN WIDEASS KC8JBO HIMSELF!!!!

Well, Duh, you cluesless computer NEWBIE.
What would you expect the search to be, doodlefuck?
At LEAST I have the guts to post using my call, a gutless, obese, aids-
infested coward such as yourself doesn't have the intelligence or
ability to do either one.
And, btw, from your picture, you look like you could use some Rogaine,
faggot.

> He has no one to "talk" with, hohohoho.

Yet, you keep changing your alias and replying to my every post like
the whipped, servile puppy that you are.
Of course, you can't do any better, can you?

>
> Your USAF record will be posted REAL SOON, FATSO.
>
<CORRECTED WITH TRUTH FILTER>
>
> PITBULL WITH AIDS

ROFLMAO! Yeah, right. Like anybody CARES.
TRANSLATION: You have no access to USAF records. You post under an
alias. You have no credibility, so you will make up a record.
At least I served in the service, and have an honorable discharge.
A draft-dodging faggot like you wasn't accepted. You can't get access
to records without my written authorization, fucknuts.

Now, my whimpering puppy, sit before I take you back down to the animal
shelter.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

888. Re: AF2Y says N0VFP is GONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84u4obrei1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123010

Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>I'M STILL HERE YOU "ETERNAL JEW"

Lloyd, the "eternal Technician" unable to upgrade because of his lack
of intelligence.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

889. Re: AF2Y says N0VFP is GONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84u4qfrgd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123011

Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>I'M STILL HERE YOU "ETERNAL JEW"

Lloyd, the "eternal Technician" unable to upgrade because of his lack
of intelligence.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

890. Re: W2KP Checks Out 3898 Thugs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84u538rra1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123012
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>YOU LEAVE W2KP ALONE AND LET HIM DO THE WORK THAT GOD HAS TOLD HIM TO
DO!
>
>Radiosigs wrote in message <20000104071941.04891.00000269@ng-
cp1.aol.com>...
>><>schmuck one will never find. He's one of KV4FZ's original policemen; a
>>frustrated Columbo. How many days was it after the death of his wife
that
>this
>>fool was running up and down the highways using a mobile
installation to
>catch
>>those who were hurling insults at Schoenbohm? Two, three. maybe he
really
>>honored the memory of his wife? FOUR? If K4ZDH believes anything
offered by
>>this putz, then Riley is making a gross error regarding judgment. Ed
Clark
>is
>>and always has been a snivelling weasel who, without every having
proof of
>even
>>his own existence, blows the whistle on the world based on his "in"
with so
>>many FCC men. There was one in particular whose name fails me and
>supposedly
>>they were army buds and the fellow DEPENDED on W2KP's sordid tales
to help
>>clean up ham radio. Also there was mention made of annoying phone
calls
>being
>>made by enlisted friend pests of Clark's thanks to KP's employment
with
>Bell
>>Atlantic. I mean this guy is a piece of work with the ethics and
manners of
>an
>>orangutan. May God help us all if the day ever comes when either
Riley or
>the
>>C.I.B.takes W2KP's info as gospel>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> >>
>>
>>
>>
>>Doc, A F 2 Y
>>Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
>>efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free
via
>the
>>Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
>>never do better than write that letter!

Poor Lloyd. He has no intelligence.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

891. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84u5ik$s1s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123013

Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote in message
>
><20000104024922.25165.00000376@nso-fi.aol.com>...
>>Oh, BTW -- when the CB-plusser Welfare Generals get on the
>>air, what is the first technical improvement to the state of the art
>>you think they'll discuss?
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>>Now -- THAT's how you TROLL!!!
>>
>>Lawrence J. Roll, K3LT | FISTS nr. 2008; CC nr. 703
>>k3lt@ka3bdr.#cde.de.usa.noam |
>http://www.qrz.com/wrad/directory.cgi?K3LT
>>(302) 678-4841 | ARRL OBS - DE
>>
>LARRY ROLL - DO YOU THINK THEY WOULD WANT TO TALK TO A POMPAS A-HOLE
LIKE
>YOU?????

"Pompous," you illiterate retard.
Lloyd, you of all people shouldn't be calling _anybody_ an
asshole. It's a pot-kettle-black of large proportions.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

892. CB / HF Gateway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <ou812icu812@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <84u8h1uef1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123014

When can we set up a CB / HF Gateway?

This way, Smokey and the Bandit and all them Good Buddies could start yapping with the fine folks on HF! I mean , this could be just the thing the community needs to bring _all_ the radio operators into one huge brotherhood. Knowledge could be spread around freely, and seasoned HAMs could help the CB'ers with their test questions and general RF theory. The CB folks could rub off and get the HF bands to get that 'CB sound' to 'em...you know, 10-4, What's yer 20?, Hey! Yer signel's cummin'n in _real_ good...'mon back?

This is going to be one neat century!!!

73's and 8's and where's that Radio Shack catalog?!?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

893. Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84ufjd$3hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123015

These two pathetic evolutionary throwbacks really think they have it all.
Lloyd, the eternal Technician licensee, too stupid to pass the 5 wpm code test wants help or so he posts. Then the idiot needs help to install a mobile rig, etc in his self-proclaimed "girl-magnet" pickup truck. Hell, Lloyd couldn't get laid with a high credit limit platnium visa card. He needs all the help he can get, allright. This bigoted, racist bastard has been showing us his interaction techniques on here for some time. Now he posts a private e-mail from a person who lives in a hole in the ground. TON-duhhhhhhhhhhh complained that He couldn't get a picture of me for his masturbation sessions, I supose, and now he lies and says he has a picture of me in a window in front of my house. He neglected to say that there is an awning that obscures part of the view inside the house. His mickey mouse photogrophy techniques are marginal, so some digital enhancements and a few lies help soothe his misrably low ego. I don't know why he didn't mention that _his_ goofy looking face looked like it had seen the business end of a shovel applied vigourously. After his little stalking incident which he engaged in illegal wiretapping I left the boy alone. Now, he wants to start it up again, or is being coerced by loser Lloyd.
Hey Lloyd, you learn that code yet?
<snicker>

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

894. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84ufnf$3jh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123016

In article <bXvc4.3803$X14.55084@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Babies the German wannabe" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> any advice?

Yeah, do the world a favor and fire a .44 magnum into your mouth.
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

895. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84uftq$3lp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <40wc4.3815$X14.55341@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power
cable to
> the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a
> "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I
drill
> through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a
female
> attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
^^^^^^^^^^
What's "attarctor?" hahahahahahahahahaha, illiterate.

Drill a hole through the bedliner, make sure you go through the bed and
while smoking a cigarette, drill through the gas tank.
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

896. Re: W2KP "RADIO HERO" NABBED "RAINCOAT CHARLIE K3CQR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84ug54$3nj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123018

In article <84u48n$r1p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
reeldeal@my-deja.com wrote:
> It looks like AF2Y "Banana Nose" Swartzbard of "Nose Warmer" fame is
> doing a character chop job on Ed Clark W2KP. Wonder why?
>
> I will answer that question, It was Ed Clark W2KP who blew the whistle
> on Af2Ys friend Peter Skudgeons. Skudgeons was the boot legger sailing
> up and down the east coast in a boat back in the ninetys qrming on
> 14313. He was meeting AF2Y for lunches all this time when he was in
the
> Clifton N. J. area.. "Dutch Treat" of course. We all know the
cheapness
> of Af2y!! AF3Y supported Skudgeons in his outlaw work so he has every
> right to have a bad taste in his mouth for ED.
>
> Ed also was instrumental in bringing K3CQR " Rain Coat Charlie", nasty
> talker abuser of women on the ham bands, to bay. another reason for
the
> "NOSE" to hate Ed.
>
> So folks you should know where AF2Y is coming from . Ed did in some of
> his buddys who were breaking the rules and some were not even
amateurs.
> Ask him about it!!
> Reeldeal
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

English isn't your native language, is it?
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

897. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@buffnet.net
Message-ID: <387590cf.12880655@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 123019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 04 Jan 2000 14:00:11 GMT, techplus@hotmail.com (Tech+) wrote:

>Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
>upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
>down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
>who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
>Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
>licences will no longer be issued.

Cool.

>But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
>influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
>our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
>at home right away.

You might wish to re-read Part 97 before you start doing that.

>These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!

Agreed.

73 DE KC2FNG

898. Re: K4DOH NEW YEAR MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <84uifm$5eb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123020

In article <387244B8.6B353205@innocent.com>,
Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> "You get HAPPY!" wrote:
> >
> > Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message
<3870EEE0.8EC86E31@innocent.com>...
> > >One might explain it as coincidence, but it's truly astounding how
> > >many of the crudely written, filthy, and insulting anonymous posts
> > >on the radio newsgroups are posted from UUnet's dialup in Cherry
> > >Hill, New Jersey.
> > >
> > >I've lost track of how many different bogus addresses have been
used
> > >for this purpose, but at times several new ones show up in a single
> > >week.
> >
> > That's right Kevin. You see the home office is located
> > in N.J. and thus it is the Network origination point for
> > my postings. Sorry, but I cannot control this. You do
> > get a gold star for observation however. :)
> >
> > Finally, if you would like to lunch someday in NJ please
> > feelfree to visit. I and some of my friends in the ADL
> > and Southern Poverty Law Center would enjoy a lively
> > discussion. I'll be seeing Mr. Dees at a luncheon
> > next month, I'll tell him you and 3950 said "hello". O-tay? :)
>
> In case you're curious what kind of person Mr. Multiple-Aliases-in-
> Cherry Hill is, you might want to see what he posts in other
> newsgroups, such as this message, which he posted just yesterday and
> which reads, in part:
>
> > From: "You get HAPPY!" <mikebish@ford.com>
> > Subject: Hermann Nitsch Video (sources?)
> > Message-ID: <M%5c4.3558$Ec5.191018@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
> > X-ELN-Insert-Date: Mon Jan 3 10:37:32 2000
> > X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 946924652 63.21.161.131
(Mon, 03 Jan 2000 10:37:32 PST)
> >
> > Came across what must be one of the most amazing
> > works of Avant-Garde artistic expression on the
> > Web this weekend. Hermann Nitsch and his masterpiece
> > of theartre: The Theatre of Orgies and Mysteries.
> >

> > I found this feature to be among the most incredible
> > works of modern expressionist art on the planet - bar none.
> >
> > It features live butchering of cows and pigs, people on
> > crosses, disembowling of cows and people stamping around
> > in the entrails, putting naked people inside the disemboweled
> > husks of the bovines etc etc - all set to a musical score
> > which is incredible.
> >
> [...]
>
> With a mentality that actually enjoys such "art," it is hardly
> surprising that he soils the newsgroup as he does, or that he
> associates with the likes of Morris Dees and the ADL.
>
> With every good wish,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
Getting a little nerous Herr Strom ?

> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

899. Geriatric Code Speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: 20wpm_extra@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84uilq$5ni$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Extras, extras read all about it.

Yes, now you can be assured that your licnse is worth as much as a ham license in Sweden, or maybe Guatemala. Perhaps you'd prefer to pretend you're in Jordan as a member of the royal family where handouts are common.

Think of the effects this will have:

ARRL staffers can get out there and say: "oooo we tried so hard to protect you from the FCC" while they wink and kiss their ever blossoming sales receipts from the increase in book sales. God, will they make a revised 99 dollar video course fast enough ???

The lazy twit who takes 35 minutes (I think that's what the back of the last 610 said) to type out 6 text fields now only has to deal with 3 license classes.

Think MONOBAND. The companies like Radio Shack and Uniden who brought you complete crap rigs with 25 watts can now annoy the shit out of those of us running that big 100 watts by selling them to lids, kids and space cadets for 300 bucks. Can't afford a real rig to go with your welfare license ? No worries, just buy a ninth of a rig.

Think more "Q's". See those ARRL DX clowntest scores skyrocket with no outlay of money on the part of Dave Sumner and his Merry Men.

Think pileups. The FCC isn't using that new log periodic they just installed for Riley Hollingsworthless to play with. Or else D'Wana Terry, the career bureacrat who left the FCC after starting this mess would've known they're bad enough already.

Think affirmative action. D'wana Terry. Need I say more ?

Finally, think of this. Hollingsworthless was too damn stupid to pass the 20 wpm or too damn lazy and bent the ear of the decision makers to get himself a dumb-dumb's upgrade to Extra.

MF_L

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

900. Re: KC8JBO? You decide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: reeldeal@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <84uk2h$6jb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 123022

KC8JBO all of the below...
"Elongated Head", "Cracker Box House", "Birth Defect". Haw Haw Haw,,,
"Mad at the world", "Did not want to come out of his cracker box
house". "FEEL SORRY FOR HIM" (snicker guffaw) (KNEE SLAPPING)
HAWHAWHAW LOL lOL ROTFLOL!!!!!!
Get those "FREAK SHOW" pictures on the internet. HAW HAW HAW LOL HAW
HAW

In article <6Nwc4.3902$X14.57045@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Steve Tunder <n8wgm@cyberdrive.net>
> To: n0vfp@bellsouth.net <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
> Date: Wednesday, December 29, 1999 1:05 AM
> Subject: Roger Wiseman
>
> Hello Lloyd.
> Been watching the goings on in the newsgroup.
Roger
> seems to be getting bolder. Here are a few pictures of Rogers
house----a
> crackerbox if I ever saw one.
> Note: If you enlarge the photo on the window to the right under the
awning
> in photo 5 tiff, you can see an image of Roger. I swear to GOD and
on a
> stack of bibles that I did not do any retouching on this photo. All I
did
> was adjust the curves to bring out any detail in the shadow areas..
As you
> can see, Roger is shall I say without being cruel----deformed. His
head is
> extremely elongated and he looks to have some sort of birth defect.
Again,
> I will reiterate.......I did not put this image in this window. You
have to
> look real hard, but it is there .
> No wonder Roger did not want to come out of his house to meet me. I
feel
> kind of sorry for him because I know know why he is so mad at the
world.
> 73's--N8WGM
>
> --

> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

901. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104202357.02457.00000476@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 123023

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
advice here go look

902. Re: AF2Y says THANK YOU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104202453.02457.00000478@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 123024

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
loyde davis gone here

903. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: liam@ork.net
Message-ID: <Q6xc4.2408$Ef6.582926@news.shore.net>
Source record: 123025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote:

> While I agree it goes a bit far, it is IMO better to talking
> about including something about Maxwell equations then Morse Code

Who cares about Maxwell's equations?

I say let the person taking the test demonstrate Grand Unification.
THEN, and only then, should he get the Extra Class license.

904. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: liam@ork.net
Message-ID: <Ibxc4.2409$Ef6.582926@news.shore.net>
Source record: 123026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>> If there's little effort, then there's no sense of pride or of belonging
>> to a community. And you can kiss it all goodbye. People will just use AOL
>> IM, not "unreliable" ham radio.

> IMHO the "unreliable" aspect of ham radio is what makes it challenging
> and fun. How much fun would working a distant country be over the
> internet be, knowing full well that there's nothing to prevent it? What
> would be the point?

Why would today's prospective ham care? All he knows is that it's a shitty connection. Like when you have a bad phone line. Or there's too much &^$%&^$% static on the radio.

He doesn't know anything about propagation. MUF is an erotic term from college. All he knows is that he's "getting bad reception."

905. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104203615.02479.00000617@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 123027

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
gloat this

906. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000104205352.09222.00000557@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 123028

<< Subject: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"
From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
Date: Tue, 04 January 2000 07:07 PM EST
Message-id: <bXvc4.3803$X14.55084@news4.mia>

any advice?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

<<You actually need advice on how to copy FIVE words a minute of code? How do
you have the nerve to call yourself a ham, you no code tech plus garbage can?
>>

Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

907. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3872966F.3F87@no.net>
Source record: 123029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John D. Kasupski wrote:
>
> On 04 Jan 2000 14:00:11 GMT, techplus@hotmail.com (Tech+) wrote:
>
> >Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> >upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> >down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> >who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> >Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> >licences will no longer be issued.
>
> Cool.
>
> >But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
> >influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
> >our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> >at home right away.
>
> You might wish to re-read Part 97 before you start doing that.
>
> >These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!
>
> Agreed.
>
> 73 DE KC2FNG

With the new restructing, manufactures of amateur radio gear will have to start installing roger-beeps and other noise toys in their radios (the fart sound seems to be a really big hit with the other crowd that will soon be joining the amateur ranks). Also offering high-power class C amplifiers (more efficient you know), with lots of "pills", that they can try to push SSB signals through so they can splatter all over the band like they do on 11 meters. The ARRL will need to include a section in the handbook on how to trim your coax to reduce your "swrs". In the section on dealing with TVI and RFI will be a paragraph on how to tell the neighbor you are interfering with to "f**k off." And as far as these new cbers with a license operating on frequencies other than those allowed by their license class, the standard answer is "I paid for this radio and I can talk any channel it can transmit on." "If I'm not supposed to talk on them other channels then why are they in my radio?"

Welcome to the new amateur radio.

908. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <2Mxc4.1278$WM5.30371@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 123030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What a poor attempt at posting a troll...

73 de W6DKN

Tech+ <techplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8zqqhf$jjAM$1@hotmail.com...
> Quite a few of my Tech+ friends from two meters are planning on
> upgrading to General ASAP. After that, we're going to move our 2M net
> down onto HF so that we can talk to even more of the former Tech+ hams
> who have upgraded. Not only that, it should be easy to quickly go to
> Extra class now that only a written exam is needed and Advanced class
> licences will no longer be issued.
>
> But we want to go further than that to encourage what will be the large
> influx of former CBers to ham radio: We want to switch to 10 codes on
> our HF nets so that the transition will be eased and the CBers will feel
> at home right away.
>
> These sure are exciting times to be a ham!!

909. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Townsend" <pog@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mu8d4.7818$sz4.34858@news3.atl>
Source record: 122682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3874DB5A.6C94@arrl.net...
> Tom@astro.net wrote:
>
> > Learning 13 wpm or 20wpm only makes you a better ham CODE wise.
> > Period. Who says all the people who take and pass the 5 won't continue
> > to work on their speed and become really good at it? Some will, some
> > won't same as today. No change.
>
> In the 60s, I went well past the 13 wpm requirement for a General;
> others of my friends did not. Although I expect that fewer hams will
> advance past 5 wpm than chose to advance past 13 wpm (for a number of
> reasons), I don't think the number who do choose the mode will be
> insignificant. How big that number is will depend less on code testing
> and more on elmering, encouragement and mutual respect than it does
> now.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Three of us took the General test Tuesday Ed. There were more people there
than I have seen since I have been going there to take the test. The VEC ask
if anyone wanted to take the Code test there were no takers. All were there
to take the General, Advanced or Extra test. So upgrades are going to go up
from what I saw.

I suspect there will be quite a few taking the five word per minute test
next month after they have a little time to get ready for it. Most of the
people at the test gave the advanced a try after they took the General so I
suspect that most of them will be back if they did not pass after they have
a little time to study.

Ex-KF4HMN

910. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000106172613.18180.00000331@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 122683

In article <852vlj$ik5$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Locks, dogs, and video cameras do a better job scaring off the
>bad guys, and no one gets hurt.

Typical liberal twaddle from a government employee. If someone attempts to break into my house, I WANT him to get hurt. Preferably in a terminal manner. This way, his most recent criminal act becomes his last.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

911. Re: RICH, RILEY'S RIGHT ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2654-3875161B-135@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122684

Lying is another concept, Rich. Let's not substitute the word "lying," which is intentional, for error in judgement, which isn't.. Lacking resources and given the strong need, yes, I believe Riley should act to the best of his ability with the full agency power of the FCC behind him. After all, his actions are reversible. In fact, he is prone to reverse course when appropriate.

In contrast, consider someone from history acknowledged as much greater than any of us: President Abraham Lincoln. Under the Lincoln administration, William Walker, an African American, was executed by firing squad without full due process. Lincoln was bound to review all military executions but didn't have the luxury of doing so in the Waler case. Today we'd call Walker a Civil Rights hero, as his crime was protesting government repudiation of its contract to pay black soldiers at the same rate as white soldiers.

Think it over Rich, and I'm sure you'll find it in your heart to reverse direction, and we'll all live happily after..

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

912. Re: "This Is Our Brain On Drugs" or DUHhhhhhhh.....My Brain....."Which Way Did It go"????.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <089a47c5.e906788e@usw-ex0101-006.remarq.com>
Source record: 122685

Disclaimer: Not attacking you personally, so please don't be offended:

I am not sure why this is surprising.

The ARS community cannot even agree on what more or less amounts
to an 'opinion' of the effects of the Morse Code debate. What you
have posted information about is dealing with _billions_ of dollars
profit/loss.

We cannot even keep our members cooperating over an issue that pales in
comparison to human greed and corruption when billions are to be had.

73
"Life is tough. It is tougher when you are stupid." --John Wayne

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

913. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38751CBF.E979F85C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Are you ashamed to let the public know you're
> an armed member of the Second Amendment militia?

The authorities know. Seems non-productive to tell the criminals.

> Locks, dogs, and video cameras do a better job scaring off the
> bad guys, and no one gets hurt.

On what planet? Certainly not on planet Earth. The nurses
murdered by Richard Speck (sp?) lived in a nurses dorm
protected by locks, video cameras, and patrolmen.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

914. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38751DD0.DCDC3CDE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> When all those real military people leave the service (when the hitch is
> up) or retire, do you really think that all of them get rid of their guns?
> If they decide to keep their weapons, do they immediately become a "pretty
> sorry group of bums" that would "never make it in the real military"?

One of the Northern European nations requires every able-bodied male to
be part of the standby military and keep their rifle in good working
order. That country has a much lower crime rate than Hawaii.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

915. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38751D54.1492E367@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> You mean to say that folks belonging to our Second Amendment militia are
> ashamed to show their faces? They're ashamed to publicly identify them-
> selves? That's a pretty sorry group of bums. They'd never make it in
> the real military.

Not ashamed, just rational enough not to want to identify themselves
so criminals can steal their guns. If you had the Hope diamond at
your house, would you you be ashamed to publish that fact?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

916. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <kg8aw@ismi.net>
Message-ID: <387524A0.FE664E67@ismi.net>
Source record: 122689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Get a life

"T. Smith" wrote:
>
> What results will restructuring have, what questions will be raised?
>
> 1. Where will all those antennas be erected now that zoning laws are a
> matter of fact in practically all communities and housing developments
> across the US. Will amateur antenna erection issues be subject to
> Supreme Court review and rulings.
>
> 2. Will the expected rantings and ravings of a hoard of cb'ers
> propagated world wide on the HF bands at the eleven year sunspot maxima
> make citizens and governing bodies of the US appear as chumps and cause
> a loss of face in the global community.
>
> 3. With the expected increase of operators will the FCC impose a reduce
> power limit so as to maintain appropriate RF field intensities in areas
> of human habitation---for the children.
>
> 4. Statistically speaking, will the increase of illegal activites that
> will accompany the increased population of amateurs be managable by the
> FCC---if they can't afford (funding, manpower) to manages the mess that
> they created what then.
>
> 5. What restrictions in advanced design of amateur equipment will be
> imposed now that manufacturers have been stimulated to produce by the
> creation of larger market demand.
>
> 6. Will amateur radio disappear into the 'noise' by a future FCC Report
> and Order that all amateur activities cease and desist and resort to
> channelized control of spread-spectrum modulation techniques to hide and
> get rid of the dirty little mess. Pass codes will be issued instead of
> callsigns to activate strictly regulated (FCC) communicators
> (ex-tranceivers).
>
> 7. Will all amateur HF allocations be eliminated and relocated to
> spectrum translators aboard amateur satellites operating at milimeter
> wavelengts.
>
> 8. Will amateur equipment contain embedded identifiers and GPS locators
> to control errant amateurs---computer databasing and issuance by
> violation notices by FCC or ARRL Official Observer staff (by FCC

> approval) at the mere push of a button by decoding an encrypted data
> burst piggy-backed with amateur transmissions.
>
> 9. Will we tire of 'smokey' reports from one end of the continent to
> another.
>
> 10. To ease congestion, will amateur VFO's (slyda's or sliders) be
> eventually outlawed and be replaced by channel selectors.
>
> 11. Will older amateur equipment at some future date be outlawed as the
> transition to controlled radio communications is established.
>
> 12. After April, 2000 will we find that an obtaining and possessing an
> amateur license from some third world country (like Somalia) where
> testing is more rigorous convey greater prestige to it's holder than to
> be licensed in the US.
>
> 13. To Be Continued...

--
This message was sent using 100% recycled electrons.

917. Restructuring and Respect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mlangen@earth.execpc.com
Message-ID: <387525da$0$1382@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 122690

Passing the exams for ANY class of license really entitles the candidate
to only two things:

- Some level of personal satisfaction; and

- Commensurate operating privileges.

Respect, on the other hand, has to be earned every day.

Mark -- WA9ETW

918. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <0b5bd254.6d2beb8a@usw-ex0101-002.remarq.com>
Source record: 122691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <852rfl$l6s$2@campus3.mtu.edu>, David M. Brodbeck
<dmbrodbe@mtu.edu> wrote:

Don't underestimate how quickly/cheaply devices can be produced. Take
the Direct Broadcast system for example. Inexpensive was they key; they
ended up with a stamped metal dish and a $35 LNB (net cost). You can
still spend a few hundred on an LNB for TVRO, yet one for DSS is a tenth
of that to manufacture.

Money comes from other areas as well; just because the GPS capability
costs aren't absorbed by the end user doesn't mean production costs
haven't been offset elsewhere. Some group like Motorola could step in
with their "Jupiter" chipset and agree to produce a
cellphone-integrateable circuit for very little so long as certain
advertising agreements are adhered to. (ie: 'Motorola' printed on most
every phone out there, despite who made it).

Also, when you are talking about something as expansive as the
cell-phone market (I read there are more of them installed than TV's!
Projections claim that there will be 3 cell-phones per TV by 2005).
Individual unit production cost drops sharply when you are producing
millions and millions of them. Personal GPS's just aren't selling in
these numbers.

Just my .02 worth of banter...
73

> --> Well, cell phones are about to. I'm still wondering how
> they're going
> to put GPS units in cell phones without doubling the cost, when
> stand-alone
> GPS's still cost a couple hundred.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

919. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3875290A.12EED229@icss.net>
Source record: 122692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim wrote:

> On Tue, 04 Jan 2000 08:15:35 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >10,000 PCTA sphincter muscles just went into spasms. :-)
> >--
> >73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> That could be dangerous for guys like Larry, Cecil. He could
> choke to death......

I think that would be the biggest acid reflux geyser known to man - it would
rival Old Faithful.

920. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: johng@comm.mot.com
Message-ID: <johng-ya02408000R0601001745120001@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 122693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3873DC86.98574C03@flash.net>, w7ox@flash.net wrote:
> Re power: Run the cables through the firewall (there is usually a way to
> do it) and connect direct to the battery, with fuses on both plus and
> minus wires at the battery.

You should not fuse the negative lead. It doesn't do any good anyway. If this fuse opens up, you are likely to continue to have a return path for the current through the shield of your coax and/or your radio mounting bracket. This can result in damage to your radio as much higher currents are present than PC board traces were designed to handle.

--
John Gilbert
johng@comm.mot.com

921. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Ostman <w0nyq@minntaccdp.com>
Message-ID: <853bdivpg1@news1.spacestar.net>
Source record: 122694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

W6RCecilA wrote in message <3874ED23.368BA93@IEEE.org>...
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Many of us "old farts" think so too, and that's why we
>> wrote comments to FCC in support of a 5wpm General class
>> license. What we DON'T see is the lunatic change that
>> essentially turns the entire ARS into one huge
>> Novice/Freeband.
>
>Please publish the list of freebanders who know 5wpm Morse, Dick.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Please publish a list of the "dozen engineers that you personally know who will now get their General" (your words) because they do not have the ambition or aptitude for 13 WPM but can learn 5 WPM (my words).

Ron, W0NYQ

922. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s7adpgm4oj8146@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message
news:3874b455.6739276@news.interactive.net...
> On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 20:13:20 GMT, Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Remember when your' call meant something? Remember when it told the
world
> >that you were advanced or extra? The no-coders will become "feel good"
> >technicians and therefore qualify for a "feel good" Amateur call and we
> >will have no idea of who is legal on which band which mode. When the
> >flood gates of no-code hams was opened, the FCC gave them the last of
all
> >the calls remaining rather than creat a new series of calls to seperate
> >THEM from US. But then with a Jimmy Carter/Bill Clinton Demokracy, all
> >must share equally in the earnings of a few. I think the time has come
> >for us to shove the flowers down their barrels' for a change and give
> >piece a chance.
>
> What bunk. I have a 1x3 call and am an advanced.
> I have NO intention of changing my call of over
> 40 years if I upgrade to Extra.

I have no intention of changing my original 2x3 call when
I upgrade to Extra.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>
```

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHU2+Ze+N6+q84HiEQLhOgCgq+XtjAAI2rp27SNixGK13GSWej0AoIjO
A/OPi6MG5K+761A5/Du1S+R6
=vqMu
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

923. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0601001921520001@209.163.129.102>
Source record: 122696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <387531d9.87093113@news.guam.net>, kh2d@my-deja.com (Jim) wrote:

> On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 16:09:21 GMT, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
>
> >I think I should also state that I am in support of the new reduced code
> >speed. I see no reason for a requirement for higher speeds to obtain a
> >higher class license. Anyone can learn 5 wpm and for those who like to
> >operate CW will do so and increase their speed because that is what they
> >want to do, not because they have to to qualify for some higher class of
> >license. Those who do not like to operate CW won't and that is just fine
> >also. The problem is the multiple guess exam that anyone can pass by
> >simply memorizing answers. There are Extra class hams who don't even
> >know which end of a soldering iron gets hot, some can't even recognize a
> >soldering iron. I hear new hams on 10 meters on ssb in the cw portion of
> >the band because they don't know where the phone portion of the band
> >starts. We need better testing of radio theory and operating procedures.
> >It needs be to harder, not easier to get a license. Your are less likely
> >to abuse something you worked hard to get, but we will always have the
> >wellfare mentality of those who want everything handed to them with no
> >effort on their part. For those types, the cb band is just what you want
> >and deserve.
>
> The Ultimate Contradiction. I sure hope you sent that into the FCC as
> a comment......
>
> 73, Jim KH2D

Personally, I always figure if I can afford the equipment, I can afford to
"play" with it. License or not....I question how many CB'ers would spend
the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
etc..
and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly.... Not
to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
money did.

924. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0601001933180001@209.163.129.102>
Source record: 122697

In article <8535uaint1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Doc AF2Y wrote in message <20000106104953.28698.00000048@ng-
> fd1.aol.com>...
> >WHO'S N8WGM? I never heard of him?
> >
> >Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
> >efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is >free
> via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
> >never do better than write that letter!
> >
>
> TON Duhhhhhhhhhhh (N8WGM) is a wannabe survivalist and detective. He
> tried to stalk me and harass me by telephone. Additionally, he tried to
> ILLEGALLY TAPE RECORD my phone conversations, without my permission,
> which is wiretapping. He's obsessed with me and would go to great
> lengths to take my picture for whatever reason. I speculate he wants it
> to masturbate to. One can only guess why he wants to live in a "house"
> built underground, but maybe it's because he's lower than a snake's
> belly.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

What's wrong with earth sheltered homes?

925. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3875538D.EDE7DE48@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

�

Perfidious wrote:

> Personally, I always figure if I can afford the equipment, I can afford to
> "play" with it. License or not....

The real question is, because you can afford it, does that mean you know how to
operate it?

> I question how many CB'ers would spend
> the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
> etc..

You must be living in a cave somewhere CB'ers spend big bucks on "Moonraker"
type antennas and will thousands on accessorys and amps (usually not tuned or
filtered properly) What on earth gave you the idea that CB'er were cheap and
that ham radio was expensive?

> �
> and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly....

Count me in, the best road avisiorys are heard on Channel 19 without question.
So what does that mean?

> Not
> to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
> money did.

Perhaps it was the fact that you had to actually pass some sort of exam that
stopped them? Could it be?
Any that have a technical interest in doing something with radio will upgrade
to an amateur ticket.
The argument that money stops them is ridiculous, you can buy used ham gear to
put you on 80m CW for next to nothing. I picked up a Heath Cheyenne not too
long ago for $30 with power supply. Thats 90W (input) of AM and 5 different
bands.
There are tons of different combinations of used gear that can put you on the
air with antenna for WELL under $100.

Where can you find a 60W output CB transmitter for $30?

Tim ka8ddz
�

926. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387557BB.677BAC7C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

�

"D. Townsend" wrote:

> �
> Three of us took the General test Tuesday Ed. There were more people there
> than I have seen since I have been going there to take the test. The VEC ask
> if anyone wanted to take the Code test there were no takers. All were there
> to take the General, Advanced or Extra test. So upgrades are going to go up
> from what I saw.
>
> I suspect there will be quite a few taking the five word per minute test
> next month after they have a little time to get ready for it. Most of the
> people at the test gave the advanced a try after they took the General so I
> suspect that most of them will be back if they did not pass after they have
> a little time to study.

Brings up an interesting question, will those who pass the Extra exam now be given credit when the new licensing structure is in place. I understood that the question pool would change completly. Any know for sure?

Tim ka8ddz

927. Convert FRS radio to ham band??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marvin Moss <mmoss@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <853iho$6tq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122700

Does anyone know how to convert a FRS200 by GPX/Yorx from the family radio service to the 450-460 MHz ham band?

Marv

928. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38754A97.6145071D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Ron Ostman wrote:
> Please publish a list of the "dozen engineers that you personally know who
> will now get their General" (your words) because they do not have the
> ambition or aptitude for 13 WPM but can learn 5 WPM (my words).

1. Lionel, 2. Ira, 3. Bob, 4. Tom, 5. Gary, 6. Jaffar, 7. Dave,
8. Kevin, 9. Chuck, 10. Don, 11. Jim, 12. Steve

Most were in the tech class that I taught at Intel.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

929. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <38751BD6.5F502E79@sgi.net>
Source record: 122702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To Whom Ever:

I renewed in 1995 and my ticket says Technician Plus.

> >
> >
> > If your license shows that it was issued before 2/14/91, the license itself is
> > sufficient proof. However, if you've renewed since then and it doesn't say
> > "tech plus," you need to bring your expired license to prove the code element.

930. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5E848FE51D5BF8F4.792581129257FE0E.2B81DC30EE170EE9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

A Cobra CB radio at Fry's Electronics: $259.00. The new Radio Shack 10M mobile: $179.00 (includes the purchase of the 3-yr. Warranty).

Hmmmmmmmmmmm

Kim/W5TIT
Perfidious wrote:
>
>
> Personally, I always figure if I can afford the equipment, I can afford to
> "play" with it. License or not....I question how many CB'ers would spend
> the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
> etc..
> and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly.... Not
> to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
> money did.

931. Re: FT757 Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gorsline Family <gorslin@pathcom.com>
Message-ID: <387552BF.C62CC9F0@pathcom.com>
Source record: 122704
Cross-posted to: free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you haven't gotten one yet, let me know. 73, George VE3YV / K8HI

Roy wrote:

> Can anyone assist with a copy of FT757GX Schematic - especially the RX FM
> detector. Fine on AM/SSB & CW but FM noise floor is very quiet and barely
> audible. Anyone experienced this and have an idea what may be the problem?
>
> Would appreciatte scanned copy of detector area or suggestion for cure.
>
> 73's
> Roy

932. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-7295CB.22164406012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 122705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <84t248tm1@nina.pagesz.net>, henryj@nina.pagesz.net
(George Conklin) wrote:

> In article <3871E700.53AE@worldlynx.net>,
> Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> >The internet is TOO easy.
>
> I guess you think that because you don't know much about it
> yet. Java has a very, very high learning curve and is very
> complex.

I agree. Using the Internet is fairly easy. But understanding how it
works isn't. Doing something other than using various client apps, such
as setting up a server, creating CGI scripts, developing Web apps and
the like are far harder than getting any ham ticket and going out and
buying the lastest Yeawooodcom radio and getting on the air.

But Java has nothing directly to do with the Internet. It is a
programming language. It can be used via the Internet or not, just like
many other programming languages. You're far more likely to encounter
Perl on the Internet than Java.

933. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <853ln6mgp1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 122706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Ho hum! another troll trap from the right - works every time.
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote in message
news:3873AAD6.387559AA@innocent.com...
> Yesterday evening, WA9KJI was engaging in his usual monologuing and
> intentional interference activities in an attempt to prevent the use
> of 3950 by others, when Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, checked in.
>
> Mr. Hollingsworth gave his on-the-spot analysis of KJI's behavior,
> and you can hear it by clicking on the 3950.net lead story link at:
>
>
> http://www.3950.net
>
>
>
> With all good wishes,
>
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

934. Re: Convert FRS radio to ham band??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marvin Moss <mmoss@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <853n22efb1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122707

Sorry I meant the 442-450 MHz hamband

Marvin Moss <mmoss@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:853iho$6tq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Does anyone know how to convert a FRS200 by GPX/Yorx from the family radio
> service to the 450-460 MHz ham band?
>
> Marv
>
>
>
>

935. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX" <rschaffrath!nospam@acm.org>
Message-ID: <38756348.207F@acm.org>
Source record: 122708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Anthony R. Gold wrote:
>
> On Thursday, in article <3874A9C6.619940E3@IEEE.org>
> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org "W6RCecilA" wrote:
>
> > How about an existing advanced ham who wants a 2x2 call
> > after April 15?
>
> That existing advanced class ham should take element 4(B) immediately
> and so be eligible for an extra class license in April.
>
> Regards,
> --
> Tony - G3SKR / W2TG email: tgold@panix.com

Actually I am wondering if this is true or not. The ARRL announcement said all Advanced class amateurs have a window until April 15th to take element 4B to upgrade to Extra on the 15th.

However, after reading through the 70 pages which comprise the FCC R&O, this does not seem to make any sense. On April 15th, all Advanced class amateurs lose credit for element 4A since it no longer exists. The upgrade path after April 15th for an Advanced class amateur is the same as that for a General class amateur, take the new element 4. This is according to element credits defined in the new section 97.505(a)(1) (page 68 of the R&O). So, IMHO, having a CSCE for element 4B will not confer credit for element 4A AND since credit for element 4A is not recognized after April 15th (paragraph 52 of the R&O), how can 4B be applied for an upgrade? It does not exist either after April 15th. The specific language from this paragraph states; "However, licensees who, in the past, passed a written examination element that no longer exists or has been subsumed in a more comprehensive examination element, will have to pass the new element. In this regard, we note that licensees who are required to pass a written examination element that, in part, may include material they have been tested on previously, such as Advanced Class licensees upgrading to the Amateur Extra Class operator license, receives the offsetting benefit that they can upgrade their license class without having to pass a higher speed telegraphy examination."

I did write a note to vec@arrl.org asking if they could explain how this would work. I have not yet received a response.
--
Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX

mailto:rschaffrath!nospam@acm.org
(remove !nospam to reply)

936. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-C1D343.22432306012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 122709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

In article <84rq7v$tn7$4@Masala.CC.UH.EDU>, rcoyle@Bayou.UH.EDU (Robert L. Coyle Jr.) wrote:

> David Stinson (arc5@ix.netcom.com) wrote:
> : Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
> : ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
>
> : There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
> : saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
> : at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
> : they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
>
> Only if we licensed hams recognize a 5 wpm Extra as an Extra. I recognize
> amateurs by their accomplishments. A Codeless Tech is still a Codeless
> Tech. If one passes the 20 wpm element, they are an Amateur Extra. If they
> don't, they aren't.
>
> Chin up, old man. The new grade of instant hams will still shy away from
> the CW portion of the bands. I suspect that they won't be able to copy at
> 5 wpm, if at all.
>
> Robert
>

Hmmm. And I thought accomplishment in Amateur Radio started AFTER you were licensed (like building, experimenting, DXing, Elmering, etc.) Judging one by the class of licence they hold (not to be confused with the class THEY have) is like judging a book by their cover. Get to know the individual first.

937. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-D9E913.22525506012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 122710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

In article <3871FCC6.B6B5AA09@worldlynx.net>, Piero Sassu
<n3fvg@worldlynx.net> wrote:

> David Stinson wrote:
>
> > It's pretty sad that some people pop-off about
> > a post when they haven't actually *read* it....
>
> That's normal for some of these newsgroups.
>
> >
> > Let me spell it out *again*-
> >
> > I'm all for newbies.
> > I don't have a problem with people getting tickets
> > the new way. I even *support* some of these changes.
> > God knows we've got to have the new blood.
> >
>
> I wholeheartedly agree!
>
> >
> > I DO have a problem with the "HA! I got mine
> > and I didn't have to do that CW crap, so go eat it!"
> > attitude I've heard expressed several times by
> > some nasty little buggers I call "CB-plus."
> > For those guys, I recommend the "Welfare Extra"
> > zinger be ready.
> >
>
> I wholeheartedly agree also. I also got mine "the old fashioned way",

Which is? And when were you licensed?

The really old fashioned way required 10 WPM - sending and receiving.
And the question pool was NOT published. You were required to draw
schematics as part of the written test.

Not unless you were licensed before WWII can you claim to have got yours
"the old fashioned way." Now quit YOUR prancing around.

> but the immature idiots prancing around cheering about having the FCC
> hand them a license so that don't have to put a little effort into it is
> ridiculous! Taking the written test these days is also a joke. Any moron
> that can memorize the questions and answers out of the study guide can

> get an Extra Class license, WITHOUT actually learning the theory, and I
> have seen many, many people do just that.
>
> >
> > Now, if only the detractors will read -this- one
> > (fat chance...sigh!).
>
> Well Dave, if they can memorize a study guide, maybe they can memorize
> your message, but then again, they won't "learn" it!
>
> >
> >
> > Dave S.
>

938. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-B25151.22475106012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 122711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

In article <38716A20.9ACE4C70@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
<arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> It's pretty sad that some people pop-off about
> a post when they haven't actually *read* it....
> Let me spell it out *again*-
>
> I'm all for newbies.
> I don't have a problem with people getting tickets
> the new way. I even *support* some of these changes.
> God knows we've got to have the new blood.
>
> I DO have a problem with the "HA! I got mine
> and I didn't have to do that CW crap, so go eat it!"
> attitude I've heard expressed several times by
> some nasty little buggers I call "CB-plus."
> For those guys, I recommend the "Welfare Extra"
> zinger be ready.
>
> Now, if only the detractors will read -this- one
> (fat chance...sigh!).
>
> Dave S

It's still a stupid idea that will do nothing to change the attitudes
which you find so distasteful. In some ways it puts you in their league
- resorting to silly verbal barb battles.

939. Re: DX net Obssesives and Welfare Class Licensees)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3875674E.D075F91D@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

20wpm_extra@my-deja.com was seized with the Holy Spirit in Opelika,
Alabama for writing in part:
>
> The pro-
> welfare crowd will just go have another American beer in a can, stuff
> their gut full of salt coated pretzels,
> light up a Morley, set the
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> alarms in their Gremlins and ignore them along with us 20 wpm "slow
> pokes" like they do already.

I knew it! Cigarette Smoking Man WAS behind this code mess!

--
It Came from C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating four years as part of the problem with the Internet.

940. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <dpqa7sgk2kcmuda0l0t9p39d2p4d40nfu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 122713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Ron Ostman wrote:
->> Please publish a list of the "dozen engineers that you personally know who
->> will now get their General" (your words) because they do not have the
->> ambition or aptitude for 13 WPM but can learn 5 WPM (my words).
->
->1. Lionel, 2. Ira, 3. Bob, 4. Tom, 5. Gary, 6. Jaffar, 7. Dave,
->8. Kevin, 9. Chuck, 10. Don, 11. Jim, 12. Steve
->
->Most were in the tech class that I taught at Intel.

And about half a dozen other of the EE profs at my college. I'm teaching a loosely disguised amateur radio license class this summer (Communications Principles and Practices). One of my colleagues has already signed up. I expect half a dozen by June.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

941. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387573B5.868F7F3C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Hell you don't have to know ANYTHING to pass Extra except
> some Morse code.

Yep you do, Dick. You have to know that a circle is NOT
a curved line. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

942. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3874b455.6739276@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 20:13:20 GMT, Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
wrote:

>Remember when your' call meant something? Remember when it told the world
>that you were advanced or extra? The no-coders will become "feel good"
>technicians and therefore qualify for a "feel good" Amateur call and we
>will have no idea of who is legal on which band which mode. When the
>flood gates of no-code hams was opened, the FCC gave them the last of all
>the calls remaining rather than creat a new series of calls to seperate
>THEM from US. But then with a Jimmy Carter/Bill Clinton Demokracy, all
>must share equally in the earnings of a few. I think the time has come
>for us to shove the flowers down their barrels' for a change and give
>piece a chance.

What bunk. I have a 1x3 call and am an advanced.
I have NO intention of changing my call of over
40 years if I upgrade to Extra.

Anyone that relies on call signs to establish if someone
is or isn't operating in allowed frequencies is a jerk.
Indeed, there are many others that have NOT
changed their call from a 2x3 just because they
upgraded.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

943. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8535uaint1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122733

Doc AF2Y wrote in message <20000106104953.28698.00000048@ng-fd1.aol.com>...
>WHO'S N8WGM? I never heard of him?
>
>Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
>efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is >free via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
>never do better than write that letter!
>

TON Duhhhhhhhhhhh (N8WGM) is a wannabe survivalist and detective. He tried to stalk me and harass me by telephone. Additionally, he tried to ILLEGALLY TAPE RECORD my phone conversations, without my permission, which is wiretapping. He's obsessed with me and would go to great lengths to take my picture for whatever reason. I speculate he wants it to masturbate to. One can only guess why he wants to live in a "house" built underground, but maybe it's because he's lower than a snake's belly.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

944. Re: KT4TR...Ham of the CENTURY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8536ca$j37$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122734

In article <8531a6$f7s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com wrote:
> Thanks for all of your GREAT WORK the last few years, Bob. I can't
wait
> to see the "hard copy" you received on your latest trip to the NY
> area!!!!
>
> You have these BUMS on the run now, hohohoho. I have said this
> before......But it needs to be said again:
>
> When you hear a GIANT mobile signal...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you eat an orange...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you see a Piper Cub...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you eat at Outback Steak House...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you DON'T hear WB2OTK...THINK OF KT4TR
>
> KT4TR has & will always be a "BLAZING BEACON OF TRUTH" on 14.313!!!!
>
> NO ONE has done more to expose the crooks, QRMers, idiots & assorted
> other "low class" people on the freq. OUR HATS ARE OFF TO YOU, BOB.
>
> WE all can't wait 'till you get the Cub up in the air!!!!
>
> BEST of the year 2000 to KT4TR, W4FQF & K3QAM (let's NOT FORGET
Misty,
> also)
>
> PITBULL
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Hey, Lloyd, can you get your nose up Trashy Radio's rectum any farther?
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

945. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <852cqi$6t3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Heh, heh...

Don't ask don't tell!

Peter AB4BC

T. Smith <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3874A0B3.52A6@nospam.com...
> What results will restructuring have, what questions will be raised?
>
> 1. Where will all those antennas be erected now that zoning laws are a
> matter of fact in practically all communities and housing developments
> across the US. Will amateur antenna erection issues be subject to
> Supreme Court review and rulings.
>
> 2. Will the expected rantings and ravings of a hoard of cb'ers
> propagated world wide on the HF bands at the eleven year sunspot maxima
> make citizens and governing bodies of the US appear as chumps and cause
> a loss of face in the global community.
>
> 3. With the expected increase of operators will the FCC impose a reduce
> power limit so as to maintain appropriate RF field intensities in areas
> of human habitation---for the children.
>
> 4. Statistically speaking, will the increase of illegal activites that
> will accompany the increased population of amateurs be managable by the
> FCC---if they can't afford (funding, manpower) to manages the mess that
> they created what then.
>
> 5. What restrictions in advanced design of amateur equipment will be
> imposed now that manufacturers have been stimulated to produce by the
> creation of larger market demand.
>
> 6. Will amateur radio disappear into the 'noise' by a future FCC Report
> and Order that all amateur activities cease and desist and resort to
> channelized control of spread-spectrum modulation techniques to hide and
> get rid of the dirty little mess. Pass codes will be issued instead of
> callsigns to activate strictly regulated (FCC) communicators
> (ex-tranceivers).
>
> 7. Will all amateur HF allocations be eliminated and relocated to
> spectrum translators aboard amateur satellites operating at milimeter
> wavelengts.

>
> 8. Will amateur equipment contain embedded identifiers and GPS locators
> to control errant amateurs---computer databasing and issuance by
> violation notices by FCC or ARRL Official Observer staff (by FCC
> approval) at the mere push of a button by decoding an encrypted data
> burst piggy-backed with amateur transmissions.
>
> 9. Will we tire of 'smokey' reports from one end of the continent to
> another.
>
> 10. To ease congestion, will amateur VFO's (slyda's or sliders) be
> eventually outlawed and be replaced by channel selectors.
>
> 11. Will older amateur equipment at some future date be outlawed as the
> transition to controlled radio communications is established.
>
> 12. After April, 2000 will we find that an obtaining and possessing an
> amateur license from some third world country (like Somalia) where
> testing is more rigorous convey greater prestige to it's holder than to
> be licensed in the US.
>
> 13. To Be Continued...
>

946. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <852cao$9vl@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 122736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson (wa6vse@fast.net) wrote:
: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
: > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
: > > Read the R*O yourself ... it's available at:
: >
: > My comments are based on the R&O, which I downloaded from the NCI site 2
: > days ago, Carl. I told you what I see there (lack of NCI citations),
: > and you argued with me about that. Please support your argument, or be
: > quiet about it. Thanks.
: >
: > 73, Jim AC6XG

: You must not read so well, Jim ... as I said, NCI's comments, my comments,
: those of
: Bob Vernal, those of Bruce Perens, and NCI's Ex Parte Presentation are all
: mentioned.
: Collectivel, there are quite a few "citations" to the comments of NCI and
: the individual
: comments of some of its Directors.

The FCC even presented my quote of THEIR 90-55 par. 12 which I used in my
coments to them and had posted right here on r.r.a.p. many times ;-))))))

Just think, if I hadn't done that, they might not have used it ;-)))))))))
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

947. Re: RESTRUCTURING NOT WHAT YOU THINK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <1P2d4.22$wy2.3775@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 122737

Hi JJ:
It's a matter of principal, that's what made this country so great.
--
Jack

"JJ" <jj@no.net> wrote in message news:3873F199.683C@no.net...
> Jack Malone wrote:
> >
> > ARRL IS VIOLATING THE SPIRIT OF PART 97 of Chapter 1 of
> > Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations as amended:
> > Part 97 - AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE
> > Pursuant to ��97.505 Element Credit.
> > (a) The administering VEs must give credit as specified below to an
examinee
> > holding any of the following license grants or license documents:
> > (8) An expired FCC- issued Technician Class operator license document
> > granted before March 21, 1987: Element 3.
> > (9) An expired or unexpired FCC-issued Technician Class operator license
> > document granted before February 14, 1991: Element 1.
> >
> > On or about July 17, 1951 I was issued Amateur Radio License W8HED with
> > TECHNICIAN Operator Privileges. At the time this License was issued I
had
> > successfully passed 5WPM by taking Elements 1, 2, & 3. I had all the
> > operating privileges of a General Class License with the exception of
the
> > 13WPM requirement. These examinations were administrated by the FCC
Regional
> > Office in Beaumont, Texas, not some VE.
> >
> > On or about September 18, 1966 my license expired, I did not renew it as
I
> > was not able to pass the 13WPM to upgrade to General Class.
> >
> > On or about January 4, 2000 I e-mailed to ARRL VEC my present status,
that I
> > had all license documents and received the following answer.
> >
> > Jack, if you are not a licensee now, on or after 4/15 you'd need to
take
> > Element 1, 2 and show a copy of your old "Technician" license at a test
> > session to go to General class. Element 1 is the new 5 WPM designation.
> > 73,
> > Bart J. Jahnke, W9JJ

> > Manager
> > ARRL VEC
> > vec@arrl.org
> >
> > I am not going to take another code text of 5WPM which leaves me two
choices
> > if ARRL doesn't clean up their act.
> > CHOICE 1: Forget about Amateur Radio and the ARRL.
> > CHOICE 2: On April 17, 2000 I will file in U.S.Federal Court at Tucson,
AZ a
> > CIVIL COMPLAINT against the ARRL for VIOLATING MY CIVIL RIGHTS pursuant
to
> > U.S.Code.
> > Comments welcome.
> > --
> > Jack Malone, ex W8HED & W7EJJ
> > Hereford, Arizona
> > malonej@c2i2.com
>
> Why not just get off you dead butt and brush up on the code and retake
> the test?

948. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <38749439.E1F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Hall wrote:
> > > > Now we finally are recognizing the pointlessness of requiring advanced
> > > > CW simply to access phone HF, and of course the old farts will whine
> > > > about the doom and gloom that it will bring.
> > >
> > > "We" are, huh? And everyone who holds a differing opinion is an "old
> > > fart". You are just as I supposed you to be.
> > >
> > And just what is that?
>
> A rather cocky and arrogant fellow with little to crow about. That
> certainly doesn't stop your crowing though.

Nor does it stop you.

>
> > >
> > > > As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys that
> > > > cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license > that they
hold.
> > >
> > > Dave, there is no moral nor any legal requirement for me to accept
> > > anyone for who they are or what they are. I never had any problem
> > > accepting anyone because of amateur radio license class. I'm not, on
> > > the other hand, obligated to like someone.
> > >
> > No, but you don't have the right to put someone down because they don't
> > share your viewpoint of how things should be.
>
> Of course I have that right. I'm not held in bondage to political
> correctness. You just did the same above by calling anyone who doesn't
> share your view as an "old fart", did you not?

No, I did NOT say that anyone that does not share *MY* personal views is
an old fart. I said that anyone not willing to be open minded, tolerant
and non-prejudicial, was an "old fart" Maybe I used the wrong word.
Maybe I should have just said "Bigot". Look up the definition, and then

try to tell me that many of these guys (including you it seems) do not
fit the definition. And THEN tell me that I'm "arrogant". If the truth
hurts that much, maybe you should re-evaluate your point of view.

>
> > There's a difference between "liking" someone, and tolerating someone.
> > No one is suggesting that you give new a 5 WPM extra a big Barney hug,
> > but he is entitled to be respected as a person in spit of the fact that
> > he didn't have to endure the 20 WPM hazing ritual.
>
> There is certainly a difference. I am required to like nor tolerate no
> one. No one is "entitled" to my respect. Your "hazing ritual" line is
> another which I find offensive. I've decided to neither like you nor
> tolerate you.

Bummer! The world is full of people that may not agree with your view of
things. I see it as you have 2 choices. Accept, tolerate, and
understand, or stick your head in the sand and be miseable. Which will
it be?

As to liking me or not, that is your choice as well. I certainly won't
lose sleep over it. As for tolerating me, well, as long as you continue
to read, you'll have to tolerate me, and others, who don't share your
views. That's life. Since your arguments thusfar have been based on
opinion and emotion, you cannot "win" the debate. If you'd like to
debate this on a factual basis, I'd be up for the challenge.

>
> > > I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
> > > > stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel. More
> > > > room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress, and
> > > > who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.
> > >
> > > Again, anyone who holds a differing opinion from yours is a stuffed
> > > shirt who should leave amateur radio and leave room for "us"--those who
> > > "don't have a close minded, bigoted and predjudicial (sic) attitude".
>
> > Anyone who is not willing to accept that things change, and be able to
> > move on, without sour grapes, and a refusal to show "good will" to
> > newcomers, IS a stuffed shirt. Again, you have NO right to prejudge
> > anyone, based simply on their perceived "worthiness" (in your > opinion).
>
> I've not decided to refuse "good will" to newcomers. I've decided not
> your decree of who should sell equipment and throw in the towel. While
> prejudice can be a bad thing, you weren't appointed arbiter of who is or
> isn't prejudiced or of who should stay and who should leave amateur
> radio (leaving more room for the "rest of us").

I never implied that I was the all mighty "arbiter". The prejudice is
blatently obvious in those who will not tolerate others' activities,
simply because they don't fit into their own narrow viewpoints. Don't
shoot me for being the messenger. I only speak what I see. Because you

refuse to see it, or try to rationalize it any number of ways, does not mean that it isn't there. If it walks like a duck, swims like a duck, and quacks like a duck, it certainly ain't no chicken...

Whether or not someone "throws in the towel" or not is their own choice. Personally, I'd rather see them leave the hobby in disgust rather than have to put up with their pompous, arrogant, superior egos, on the bands. That type of grandstanding does nothing good for the hobby. Get over it, move on, or move out. Simple as that.

>
> > If they pass the required FCC tests, they are entitled to the privileges
> > as hams, as set forth by the FCC.
>
> Agreed but I don't need to throw in a towel, sell my gear or to like any
> one of them.

That's up to you. Stay if you want, but don't preach to the newcomers how much "better" you are simply because you mastered an obsolete telegraphy skill. It won't mean much to the guy designing digital audio compression algorithms.

>
> > Bottom line: your opinion is irrelevant. The law is the law.
>
> Oops. You don't get to decide what is irrelevant and, in this case, the
> regs are the regs.

The regs say that new hams need only pass 5 WPM to become licensed for the whole anchilada. Therefore by extension, whether or not you believe that they are "real" hams or not is irrelevant. It's not up to me to decide it. The FCC already has.

>
> > >
> > > Neither a license class nor a callsign will make you other than you are.
> >
> > An open minded individual who is not afraid of change, and who welcomes
> > the modernization of the ham radio service, and who has little sympathy
> > for those who refuse to accept it.
>
> ...or, in my view, one who brays about his upcoming "gimme" as if he'd
> just won the Nobel Prize.

And we've alrady determined that what you think is irrelevant.

>
> > >
> > > Strive to be mediocre!
>
> > Seems to have worked well for you.
>
> Dave, I've passed all the written exams and a 20 wpm code exam.

Whoopie! That and buck will get you a cup of coffee and 2 hot dogs at the local mini-mart. It's a shame that all that testing and code skill haven't taught you to be more accepting of differing viewpoints.

> I've never settled for mediocre in anything I've wanted to do in this life
> and I've never asked for anyone to make something easier for me because
> I couldn't handle it.

And if everyone thought like you, we'd still be shoeing horses, lighting flares at intersections, when we cross, as well as doing a lot of obsolete things. At some point, the rules have to change to track with improvements in technology. At some point, we have to acknowlege that morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So at what point do we finally stop testing for it? Do we dogmatically continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star Trekking around the galaxy? No one is demanding "easier access" to ham radio, just access that is more in line with the technology of TODAY. You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.

I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW. I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in, and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"

It's unfortunate that the FCC didn't revamp the theory tests. They are way too easy now. I passed all of the current the internet sample tests without even studying them first. But it seems that the FCC is mostly interested in making their administrative jobs easier.....

Dave

949. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3874B99E.B8F06ACE@flash.net>
Source record: 122739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric Cooper wrote:
>
> Dear Kevin,
> While intentional jamming of amatweur frequencies is reprehensible and
> CANNOT be tolerated by any licenesed amateur radio operator, intentional
> misuse of amateur frequencies for non-hobby (i.e. political purposes)
> should not be tolerated either. I seriously doubt that Riley
> Hollingsworth endorses the political objectives of the so-called Liberty
> Net. You are clearly trying to imply by this post that he is on your
> side.
>
> I have seen your web site and its links. I am at least one person who is
> aware of your true political orientation---me. I hope that there are
> others as well. So don't think that you are fooling everyone with your
> coy email sign off "with all good wishes".
>

There are others, Eric. Paranoia Personified .. and much worse.

Phil

950. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3874AB59.5D3D@no.net>
Source record: 122740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

P. Grill wrote:

> The above post really makes me want to vomit. It's this kind of liberal
> crap that ruined ham radio to begin with. "....oh, well, let's all be
> good amateurs and help those newcomers who are coming (flooding) onto
> our bands and who will be followed by others (some who probably can't
> even speak English!....) who do not have any proficiency of code.
>
> The fact is, the liberal weenies have been destroying ham radio for
> years. And at the forefront of them is the ARRL who went from being
> totally against a no-code license to totally in support of it in the
> course of time it took for one issue of QST to come out.
>
> Why? Easy!

Which means they can sell more license guides and hopefully get more
subscription to their lame magazine.

>
> Because hams lost 2 megs of the 220 band. And the ARRL figured that
> larger numbers of hams would prevent any future loss of bands.
>
> ....the problem with the above is that "quantity" has NEVER been a good
> substitute for "quality" and I would take quality over quantity any day.
>
> Hey you lazy weenies out there: The fact is, whether you like it or not,
> when the test for obtaining a ham license was harder, when the code test
> was harder, when you actually had to know some electronics theory and
> when you actually had to demonstrate such, ham radio was a much better
> hobby than what it is today.
>
> In fact, if one could (or would) draw some sort of graph displaying how
> the hobby has deteorated, it would be an obvious display that such
> deteoration was strongest just after each and every time that the
> requirements for obtaining a ham license was lessened or "restructured".
>
> After such, the line to that graph would simply keep plummeting down,
> steadily for sure.

The cb band is a perfect example of what kind of radio operation that
low (read that no) requirements makes.

>
> Oh, well, ham radio is a dead hobby.

The new structuring will certainly bring more people into amateur radio,
but you are correct, real ham radio is just about gone.

951. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000106104953.28698.00000048@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122741

WHO'S N8WGM? I never heard of him?

Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is free via the
Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
never do better than write that letter!

952. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis CCR <curtisccr@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <85386b$kc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38736A9E.76F4B130@toyou.org>,
Hello@toyou.org wrote:
> Robert,
>
> I will get extra, not only general. But I have to do it now, since after April
> 15, there would be no trace of my tech plus license.

How do you prove you're a tech+ today? Your tech license was issued when all techs had to pass the code test. How are you listed in the FCC database?

Unless I missed something in the R&O, the issue date on your current license proves that you passed 5 wpm. You are a "tech plus" and can stay one forever. Even if you don't go for an upgrade after 4/15, your license will expire in July (10 years, right?). Your "tech +" license is still renewable. When they send you the new one, it will say "Technician Plus" because that's what you are. The R&O does not eliminate any existing license classes or privleges - they just won't issue any NEW "novice", "technician plus" or "advanced" tickets after 4/15.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

953. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3874AF2D.F3F@no.net>
Source record: 122743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

```
JJ wrote:
>
> P. Grill wrote:
>
> > The above post really makes me want to vomit. It's this kind of liberal
> > crap that ruined ham radio to begin with. "....oh, well, let's all be
> > good amateurs and help those newcomers who are coming (flooding) onto
> > our bands and who will be followed by others (some who probably can't
> > even speak English!....) who do not have any proficiency of code.
> >
> > The fact is, the liberal weenies have been destroying ham radio for
> > years. And at the forefront of them is the ARRL who went from being
> > totally against a no-code license to totally in support of it in the
> > course of time it took for one issue of QST to come out.
> >
> > Why? Easy!
>
> Which means they can sell more license guides and hopefully get more
> subscription to their lame magazine.
>
> >
> > Because hams lost 2 megs of the 220 band. And the ARRL figured that
> > larger numbers of hams would prevent any future loss of bands.
> >
> > ....the problem with the above is that "quantity" has NEVER been a good
> > substitute for "quality" and I would take quality over quantity any day.
> >
> > Hey you lazy weenies out there: The fact is, whether you like it or not,
> > when the test for obtaining a ham license was harder, when the code test
> > was harder, when you actually had to know some electronics theory and
> > when you actually had to demonstrate such, ham radio was a much better
> > hobby than what it is today.
> >
> > In fact, if one could (or would) draw some sort of graph displaying how
> > the hobby has deteorated, it would be an obvious display that such
> > deteoration was strongest just after each and every time that the
> > requirements for obtaining a ham license was lessened or "restructured".
> >
> > After such, the line to that graph would simply keep plummeting down,
> > steadily for sure.
>
> The cb band is a perfect example of what kind of radio operation that
> low (read that no) requirements makes.
```

>
> >
> > Oh, well, ham radio is a dead hobby.
>
> The new structuring will certainly bring more people into amateur radio,
> but you are correct, real ham radio is just about gone.

I think I should also state that I am in support of the new reduced code speed. I see no reason for a requirement for higher speeds to obtain a higher class license. Anyone can learn 5 wpm and for those who like to operate CW will do so and increase their speed because that is what they want to do, not because they have to to qualify for some higher class of license. Those who do not like to operate CW won't and that is just fine also. The problem is the multiple guess exam that anyone can pass by simply memorizing answers. There are Extra class hams who don't even know which end of a soldering iron gets hot, some can't even recognize a soldering iron. I hear new hams on 10 meters on ssb in the cw portion of the band because they don't know where the phone portion of the band starts. We need better testing of radio theory and operating procedures. It needs be to harder, not easier to get a license. Your are less likely to abuse something you worked hard to get, but we will always have the wellfare mentality of those who want everything handed to them with no effort on their part. For those types, the cb band is just what you want and deserve.

954. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <38748984.529F413C@sgi.net>
Source record: 122744

And I also have the exact same problem as you have NeXus with code and I have held my T+ since 1974. Knowing that I will be upgrading this spring to general I already bought a new tri-band beam to put on my 50' tower. I will now begin to take a much more active part in a hobby that I enjoy by joining a local ham club and taking part in passing traffic, etc.. This will also enhance my operation in the communities emergency management command post operation. I am the EMA Coordinator where at the present time along with all the county and state radios, I have both 2 and 440 radios in operation, now I will put up a long wire on the roof and install an HF rig for emergency work.

NeXus, the very best to you and congratulations.

The NeXus wrote:

> Thats correct, but why stop there? Why not go for it all and
> get the King of licenses, get the Amateur Extra! Thats what I'm
> doing. I've been a Tech for 11 years now, I was forced to take
> the code, I'm glad I did but I never looked down on the no-code
> techs when they came out. And I am simply overjoyed over this
> new restructuring by the FCC. I took the code test simply to
> get a tech license, at the time I was only interested in 2 meter
> and still mostly do a lot with 2 and 440 now. But I have 3 HF
> radios because my dad is an Advanced, and I loved working QSOs
> on 10meter phone. I never kept up my code so its real poor right
> now. I've tried a couple of times to work up to 13 WPM but just
> couldn't do it. There are a lot of HF nets I'd love to get in
> to, and being with RACES & ARES being able to operate on HF is
> real important for passing traffic. I have a LOT of abilities
> in passing traffic on emergency and non-emergency nets, BUT I can
> not use it because I can't get 13 WPM. I know I can pass both
> the General and Extra licenses but I can forget the code. So
> this is why I'm glad this happened. I'll finally be able to make
> a contribution to traffic nets and my area RACES & ARES by being
> able to get on HF. Plus I want to get some awards that would of
> been impossible on the novice portion of 10meters...
>
> Allowing me to use the General and or Extra portions of the HF
> bands with only 5 WPM under my belt isn't going to make me a dummy
> or a lid, or a CB'er. Us techs and Novices aren't going to trash
> the bands. We have all of you Generals and Extras trashing the band!
> Need I mention 3950, 14313, 14270, 3853 etc etc etc. You've proved
> that you don't have to be a tech or novice to act like a dumbass
> cb'er on the HF bands.
>
> I say BRAVO to the FCC, I thank the ARRL for their support in this

> move, and I say BRING IT ON, BRING IT ON, I'm ready for it, watch
> out HF here I come :)))))))
>
> Jimmy
> --
> :::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
> firestarter - Prodigy
> http://www.datasync.com/nexus

955. icom 761 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0601001033490001@209.163.129.90>
Source record: 122745

Does anyone have a schematic, or instructions to modify an ICOM 761 so it can transmit on 11 meters? (need to be able to talk in the "back 40")

956. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000106115729.18180.00000164@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 122746

David Castle was "caught in the act" by Riley? *yawn* Nothing will come of this. Castle is a sacred cow. FCC had him before and suddenly "rescinded" the action against him. You've got a better chance of winning the lottery than of seeing enforcement action being taken against Castle.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

957. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hank <hank@email>
Message-ID: <fsg97s87bppe0qk7cpk26go3gpnm43er6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 122747
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

tin-foah, good buddie!

"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:

>OH, now that took some intelligence to come up with!
>
>JJ <jj@no.net> wrote in article <3873EFE2.2B0A@no.net>...
>> That is what you generally expect of a cber.
>>

958. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3874CDD6.AD5C1583@innocent.com>
Source record: 122748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Patricia Gibbons wrote:
>
[...]
>
> Echelon Magnet:
>
> Telex Military Intelligence
> Flame Infowar Bubba Freeh Archives
> jack Investigation ISACA NCSA spook
> words Verisign

Very nice magnet you have there. An acquaintance of mine, some years ago, wrote one (though he, naturally, hadn't heard of "Echelon" by name at that time) in software that varied the words with every post.

Let's try some more... semtex Qadaffi Mossad fuse timer double agent shoulder-launched stinger NCI flight 800 IRA revolutionary government hostages Wayne Green non-negotiable demands Hezbollah uncrackable encryption Tiny-Tenna one-time pad

And, you're right, society is fracturing more and more as the billionaire elite tries to force incompatible peoples together and centralize their power. It seems a contradiction, but it's a natural reaction to the fall of the old order, and the attempted imposition of a profoundly unnatural one.

In the "bad" old days, the power structure and culture of the Old America were more naturally attuned to the needs and inner nature of the then far more homogeneous American population, and the "order" and unity of society were far stronger -- even though the government was smaller.

And though, again, it seems a contradiction, such natural order makes a fertile ground for real freedom, since when values are shared and genetic quality is reasonably high, fewer laws are needed.

Cheerio,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

959. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3874D1A9.8E5EF7FC@innocent.com>
Source record: 122749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote:
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
[...]
> >
> >My maxim is this: Every people which thinks of itself as a people
> >should, to the maximum extent possible, live under its own
> >government.
> >
>
> Now that is an interesting philosophy. Actually, such conditions exist in
> several places around the world.
>
> For example, we have the case of the former Yugoslavia where the three major
> population groups -- separated more by religion than anything else -- are
> the Orthodox Christians, the Muslims, and the Catholics. Each of them has
> their own school systems and, to some extent, their own territories. We see
> how well that works with Muslims being wiped out by Christians, then the
> tables turn and the Muslims settle the score. Seems to me that this is
> what's been going on there for 500 years.
>
[...]

Hmmm... Joe, you seem to be arguing that letting each nation have its own government is a _bad_ thing and that having them subsumed under an empire, as some Serbs might want, and which the Turks and the Communists actually did, is a good thing.

I'd say that forcing those peoples together under the rule of outsiders or one or another of their own gangs, when they clearly didn't want to be together, is the root cause of much of the conflict there. But I'm not sure either your ideas or mine are sufficient to solve the problems of the Balkans.

Just how far would you carry your idea of non-self-determination? A world empire?

With all good wishes,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

960. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hello@toyou.org
Message-ID: <3874D3CD.B6331BB0@toyou.org>
Source record: 122750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rich,
I need to renew the license anyway, since it expires Jul 2000. So I may do it now before April 15 and get a new one with a tech plus print on it.

Rich McAllister K6RFM wrote:

>
> You could try sending in a Form 605 with an Administrative
> Update to do something harmless like change your email or FAX
> number, which should cause the FCC to burp out a new paper
> copy of the license, which should have the Tech Plus info on it.
>
> On the other hand, I bet this will get solved before April;
> either the FCC will decide to keep the current indication
> of Plus status in the data base, or there'll be an officially
> archived copy of the data base as of April 15 with the old
> indication in it, or something I haven't thought of.
>
> Rich

961. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hello@toyou.org
Message-ID: <3874D61D.F18038BF@toyou.org>
Source record: 122751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So, the VE's would know. Great. Problem solved. And I never renewed since it has been only 10 years.

>
>
> If your license shows that it was issued before 2/14/91, the license itself is
> sufficient proof. However, if you've renewed since then and it doesn't say
> "tech plus," you need to bring your expired license to prove the code element.

962. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hello@toyou.org
Message-ID: <3874D4CF.3D0542A2@toyou.org>
Source record: 122752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't have it hanging on the wall like my two masters degree diplomas and my PPL,
but I should since I am also licensed in another country.
Please read my older post to see what is wrong and unique with my case.

AF2Y_RULES wrote:

> What's wrong with the license that you probably have hanging on the
> wall or in your wallet. Does it not say tech plus?
>
> D
>
> Hello@toyou.org wrote:
> >
> > Robert,
> >
> > I will get extra, not only general. But I have to do it now, since after April
> > 15, there would be no trace of my tech plus license. Somebody had suggested that
> > after April 15, 2000, the FCC database should contain a field to indicate the
> > previous license class. That's a good idea, since people will know who had HF
> > privileges before.
> >
> > Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> > > In article <3872D2C1.8C852B97@toyou.org>, <Hello@toyou.org> wrote:
> > > >I was licensed Jul 1990, as a Tech (5wpm was a requirement in 1990). The no
> > > >code techs came later on. As a result, it says only Tech on my license, my
> > > >question is, how do I prove that I am a tech plus after April 15, 2000? If
> > > >you make a search on my call on FCC database NOW, you will get "class= Tech
> > > >plus", but after April 15, I am cooked!
> > > >
> > > Well, you could study for and get a CSCE for the General theory, and upgrade
> > > to General. A nice web site for practice and study is http://www.hamtest.com

963. Help adjusting LCD display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sterling Sudweeks <sudweeks@niehs.nih.gov>
Message-ID: <3874DAB9.3AAB0DFF@niehs.nih.gov>
Source record: 122753

I have a Kenwood TH-78A that has a problem with the LCD display. Most of the vertical lines on the display don't light up, but the horizontal ones do. If I reset the memory, the display lights all possible lines on the LCD for a short period (as it's supposed to do) showing that they are capable of lighting up. I have sent the radio in to Kenwood twice for service, and the problem has come back after each time. The repair report simply says "Adjusted LCD display" and no new parts are listed. Does anyone know how to adjust the LCD display? Thanks for any help.
-N7IMV

964. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <852lkmaf3@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 122754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

P. Grill wrote:
>
> Oh, well, ham radio is a dead hobby.

No, not at all. It's just in the process of metamorphosing
into something unrecognizable.

965. Re: Richmond Virginia Frostfest 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <852lnhaf4@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 122755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Patrick Wilson wrote:
>
> The current forum lineup for the Richmond Frostfest 2000, which takes place
> on the 16th of January at the Showplace on Mechanicsville Turnpike is:
>
> 9 AM - Open
> 10 AM - APRS
> 11 AM - MARS
> 12 PM - FCC Enforcement - Riley Hollinsgworth, K4ZDH
> 1 PM - ARRL - John Kanode, N4MM, Roanoke Division Director
> 2 PM - ARES/RACES - Frank Mackey, K4EC, Virginia Section Emergency
> Coordinator
>
> Make plans to attend now. The doors open at 8:30 am and close at 3:30 pm on
> Sunday January 16th. Tickets are 6.00 and talk-in on 146.880.
>
> For more information, visit http://frostfest.rats.net

No mention of Ve exams.... I wonder...

966. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3874DB5A.6C94@arrl.net>
Source record: 122756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom@astro.net wrote:

> Learning 13 wpm or 20wpm only makes you a better ham CODE wise.
> Period. Who says all the people who take and pass the 5 won't continue
> to work on their speed and become really good at it? Some will, some
> won't same as today. No change.

In the 60s, I went well past the 13 wpm requirement for a General; others of my friends did not. Although I expect that fewer hams will advance past 5 wpm than chose to advance past 13 wpm (for a number of reasons), I don't think the number who do choose the mode will be insignificant. How big that number is will depend less on code testing and more on elmering, encouragement and mutual respect than it does now.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

967. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <852m83af5@208.207.71.143>
Source record: 122757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

The NeXus wrote:
>
here are a lot of Novices and Techs and Tech-Plus that
> are fair and kind and only want to enjoy the hobby and not
> hurt it, and I think the FCC finally cutting back a difficult
> restriction that is keeping me from a part of that enjoyment
> is GREAT.

Many of us "old farts" think so too, and that's why we
wrote comments to FCC in support of a 5wpm General class
license. What we DON'T see is the lunatic change that
essentially turns the entire ARS into one huge
Novice/Freeband.

968. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bert <SpamBlock@See.Sig>
Message-ID: <l3i97s8qtgpbbhjc99vvhb5cavfo746kaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 122758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 05:09:08 -0800, Patricia Gibbons
<wa6ube@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>I think the turn of events on the amateur radio bands is symptomatic of
>a general "deconstruction" of society that seems to be getting more
>common.
------------>8 lines cut 8<------------
>--------soap-box-mode off-now----------
>Trish

Trish, more of us should have insight as yours. A fine soapbox
indeed. 73, n5toa.
--
Bert PGPKeyID=0x62E4BB20
MailTo:rf-baker@redriverok.org.to.com.see :-)
```

969. Re: Daiwa Rotators Can Kill !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <0f5d4.20$a1.74@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In rec.radio.amateur.misc David M. Brodbeck <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu> wrote:

| In rec.radio.amateur.homebrew Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au> wrote:
|> It sounds like an earth leakage detector (or safety switch) would
|> be a good investment at your QTH! They detect current in the earth
|> wiring and if any is detected, they trip and disconnect the active wire.
|> This assumes that the metal case of your rotator controller is earthed
|> (3 pin mains connector).
|
| --> Is this the same thing we call a GFI (ground fault interruptor) in
| the states? Kind of sounds like it. They are a good idea, though it
| had never occurred to me to install one in the shack. Would be a nice
| addition to any electronics workbench, too. They're required in
| bathrooms and for outdoor circuits, in new construction, here.

A GFI in a hamshack would be a very good idea. They are a good idea
anywhere there is water, since any exposure to the hot wire can lead
to fatal currents. In a hamshack, there probably isn't water, but
there are certainly other ways to complete the circuit with yourself
as the load.

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

970. Re: How about a new HF mode: digital voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <UB5d4.54$a1.281@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122760

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 19:31:00 GMT Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:

| Such wideband digital systems are interesting, as they disprove the
| canard that increasing the bandwidth must always decrease the
| signal-to-noise ratio.

You can improve your signal-to-noise ratio by increasing the amount of signal. Obviously, turning up the power (such as a better gain antenna) is one way to do that. Another is bandwidth redundancy. Redundancy actually takes place in a number of ways. Consider the scenario of transmitting the exact same signal on two frequencies at the same time. If the receiver combines these two signals in a correlated way, the signal increases 2.0x while the noise increases only 1.4x. Voice has a finite amount of information and it is principly in a narrow audio spectrum. Opening the receive filter any wider lets in more noise without much more signal. So in that sense more "bandwidth" does not mean more s/n ... it means less. However, if there was indeed redundant signal there, it would make the s/n go up.

FM is an example of a redundant modulation. Most of us understand FM as a constant amplitude modulation which allows squashing a lot of noise via a limiter. But that limiter is in a sense (mathematical sense) performing intermodulation between redundant components in the whole signal. If you ever look at the variety of sidebands that FM has, you might be able to see that redundancy is indeed there.

| There is no reason why HF could not be used with what amounts to a
| digital multiple frequency diversity system, in which "channels"
| would be separated by timing instead of by frequency.

Syncronizing them at different sources would be a stumbling block.

| The problem is that they are incompatible with current systems.
| Proponents may claim that SSB, AM, and CW users would only notice a
| "slight increase in the noise floor." But what if the spread
| spectrum transmitter is located in the next block?

The "slight increase" is incremental by the number of signals present.

| Narrow-band digital is another story. Broadcasters are now getting
| 8-kHz good-fidelity audio out of standard phone lines. I see no
| reason why similar techniques couldn't work for 3-kHz HF voice
| channels, though decoding simultaneous transmissions might be a real
| stumbling block -- the brain does pretty well with that on analogue
| channels.

QR[MN] isn't all that bad on most twisted pairs. So pumping 56kbps
data down the line with 128 voltage levels at 8 kHz isn't too hard.
On HF, the picture changes. But it certainly does sound like a fun
challenge. I just don't want people to think it's going to be a cinch.
I'm considering 160m for some ideas of my own.

I've also got another idea for majorly improving s/n ratio, but I will
have to build some custom circuits of a new kind and/or use a lot of
CPU/DSP power to do it. I could discuss the idea with those who do
understand signal modulation in terms of sinusoidal vectors.

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

971. Re: How about a new HF mode: digital voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <UG5d4.64$a1.281@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122761

On 6 Jan 2000 13:39:40 GMT Herb Sims <sims@sauron.msfc.nasa.gov> wrote:

| Data rate and power required (link margin) are inversely proportional. The
| DSN sites talking to the voyager probes are operating at a data rate of
| approximately 1 bit/sec (from the probe back to earth).

I've heard of some hams doing digital/code by computer at rates of 0.1 bps
and lower, and managing to punch through quite a bit of noise. These are
the kinds of things that interest me. That's 360 bits per hour, BTW. Not
enough to rag chew, but certainly enough for computers to carry out a short
(in terms of data, not in terms of time) QSO.

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

972. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3874EA15.FCD12CF1@uci.edu>
Source record: 122762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
> I suppose you think that because you haven't
> seen the footnotes which mention NCI's comments.
> NCI's ex parte, and
> several NCI BOD members in the references.

I stand corrected. In addition to the one NCI citation in which the FCC takes exception to NCI, the footnote for paragraph 32 "Some commenters state that the only telegraphy speed should be 5 WPM", has a list of 22 commenters among which is NCI. Padding the list is Bruce Perens, and Carl, and perhaps other duplicative efforts by individual amateurs who happens to also be on the NCI board.

A total of 2 citations out of maybe 200 in the telegraphy section, and we're supposed to thank NCI for getting us the new code regulations. I'm sorry, Bill, but I don't see that as being very convincing. The logic for removing code speed incentives were better presented by Gary Coffman on this newsgroup some months, even years ago.

73, Jim AC6XG

973. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <ctj92@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <852ptd$9gh$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 122763

Paul at the risk of being flamed may I say you have your head on straight
and show a vivid perspective of True Ham Spirit. Thanks for a great post...
73 / DX

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"BamBam" <kl0an@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:852nih$7kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> >BTW if you are a ham and have any knowlege whatsoever about
> > using a search engine you would already be transmitting on 27.185 by
> now.
> >
> What the heck does this statement mean Tim?? I'm a licensed Advanced
> (Soon to be Extra)Class and I'm pretty savvy with a search engine but
> I've never heard anything about this frequency..--
>
> I got into Amateur radio because my father talked me into it and I
> ENJOY the club I belong to and yes, the local Radio Shack carries info
> on our club. They also sell quite an assortment of antenna supplies as
> well as Icom radios.
>
> We've got old timers in our club and sometimes they don't acknowledge
> my presence but you know what?? You can always find them on the radio
> during that 2-4am shift at your club station.. you know, the one that
> no one wanted to work?? We count on them a lot for working shifts
> during the two week long yearly commitment our club makes to the Yukon
> Quest Sled Dog Race..
>
> I think my club is a rare one indeed but you know what?? When I leave
> hear and join another club, I'm going to do my best to make it as good
> as the one I'm in now.. That's how I'm going to contribute to the
> hobby..
>
> 73
> Paul Jordan
> kl0an
> Fairbanks, AK
> Arctic Amateur Radio Club

> There never seems to be enough time to do the
> things you want to do once you find them...
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

974. WTB: KENWOOD SP-940

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000106143153.23946.00000107@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 122764

I AM LOOKING FOR KENWOOD SP-940 SPEAKER FOR THE KENWOOD TS-940S/AT IN GOOD CONDITIONS AND AT A REASONABLE PRICE
THANKS Ed K6EG

975. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3874ED23.368BA93@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Many of us "old farts" think so too, and that's why we
> wrote comments to FCC in support of a 5wpm General class
> license. What we DON'T see is the lunatic change that
> essentially turns the entire ARS into one huge
> Novice/Freeband.

Please publish the list of freebanders who know 5wpm Morse, Dick.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

976. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <852rfl$l6s$2@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 122766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.shortwave T. Smith <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:
<a bunch of paranoid stuff snipped>
> 7. Will all amateur HF allocations be eliminated and relocated to
> spectrum translators aboard amateur satellites operating at milimeter
> wavelengts.

--> That'd be silly. It's exactly those millimeter wavelengths that commercial interests are coveting. HF isn't used much in commercial communications, with a few exceptions -- the only interest most companies have in the HF bands is how much trash their electronic-ballasted fluorescent lamps are allowed to dump there.

> 8. Will amateur equipment contain embedded identifiers and GPS locators
> to control errant amateurs---computer databasing and issuance by
> violation notices by FCC or ARRL Official Observer staff (by FCC
> approval) at the mere push of a button by decoding an encrypted data
> burst piggy-backed with amateur transmissions.

--> Well, cell phones are about to. I'm still wondering how they're going to put GPS units in cell phones without doubling the cost, when stand-alone GPS's still cost a couple hundred.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"It doesn't take a lot of talent to intercept a surface-to-air missile with your own airplane." -- John McCain

977. Re: KC8JBO #1 HAM OPERATOR FROM WV!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000106145654.01028.00000167@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122767

Here's just a recent spate of hate filled pornographic postings by the great KC8JBO. All in just a few days.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! DUMBFUCK, I don't follow that particular religion. There you go ASSuming again and making an ass of yourself, Toid.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Get your nose out of Youngblood's rectum, Lloyd........or Polly

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Yeah, please discharge your firearm into your open mouth, hammerhead.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920

Steve A. TONDuhhhhhhhhhhhhhh....homosexual.

>Obviously you have this obsession with perversion...your postings have indicated that.

Obviously, your narrow-minded, uneducated, anal-retentive "mind" can't deal with somebody dishing it back out to you.

>Dream on freak.

And your proof is? You were soooooooo upset when you couldn't get my picture. Then you pretended offense (or maybe not) when you used telephone harassment against me. I registed a report with the police. They told me to call them if you ever came around again.

> Dont' worry Roger......I don't like to make fun of physical disabilities.

I won't. You are just upset that you couldn't get a picture of me to masturbate to.

>Hundreds of hate postings....so much to do and so little actual work
>being done. That's the story of Roger Wiseman--the Kung Fool -KC8JBO
>

N8WGM who lives in a hole in the side of a hill. Perfect abode for a
subhuman slug.
<PLONK!>

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Yeah, you both have your noses up my rectum wanting attention.
Too bad ol' Pitbull with aids has yet to get a diploma of any kind.

--
73 de KC8JBO
Roger

You're projecting again, Pee Wee. Why don't you just be honest about it
and say the reason _you're_so familiar with that institution is because
you married a bitch from there.

Geeze, I wonder why the Drunk Overfelt (what kind of a name is
overfelt, anyway? It sounds like he was molested by his parents.) and
every other station I've ever heard from WV speaks with a certain
dialect. This idiot's assertion is that I attended all of my schooling
in WV. Now why is it that I don't use that same dialect. Hell, KBX,
Polly, and others sound like they came straight from the set of the
movie "Deliverance." These undereducated illiterates would not
comprehend why. Yes, I indeed acheived a Bachelor of Artrs in 1987. I
am currently working towards a SECOND degree in Electronics. These
idiots were lucky to get out of the primary school.

--
73 de KC8JBO
Roger
A warning letter consists of a guilty verdict? Why hasn't Riley ever
got back to me, fuckkkhead?

>How much longer will
>I have to smack you around with my rolled up newspaper, FATBOY?

Don't think you have the balls....send your obese, trashy, herpes-
infected wife to do it for you.

Didn't even have my radio on last night, dumbfuck. Try harder.
Racist Bastard KKKEvin.

Well, Duh, you cluesless computer NEWBIE.
What would you expect the search to be, doodlefuck?
At LEAST I have the guts to post using my call, a gutless, obese, aids-
infested coward such as yourself doesn't have the intelligence or
ability to do either one.
And, btw, from your picture, you look like you could use some Rogaine,

faggot.

ROFLMAO! Yeah, right. Like anybody CARES.
TRANSLATION: You have no access to USAF records. You post under an
alias. You have no credibility, so you will make up a record.
At least I served in the service, and have an honorable discharge.
A draft-dodging faggot like you wasn't accepted. You can't get access
to records without my written authorization, fucknuts.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Yeah, do the world a favor and fire a .44 magnum into your mouth.
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
KC1ZQ sounds like he takes one up the keister.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

N8WGM

978. Re: N0VFP says "Best way to learn 5wpm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miles Wolfson <mwolf@ourserver.com>
Message-ID: <3874F825.98E40AB@ourserver.com>
Source record: 122768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KK7HO continues to spin

>Send my damn tapes back. If you can't learn code from that course I sent you, I
>doubt you ever will.

Timmie (the Sultan of Slander) Volz is using this newsgroup to berate another
fellow amateur radio operator. Tim, for all your bitching and complaining about
Lloyd over the past two years, why would you send him anything, tapes or
otherwise?

If you really did send the tapes, I suspect it was to give you a basis to
write these "send the tapes back" messages. It's a well documented
fact that you have spend a great deal of time insulting and badgering
Lloyd.

Please - get some professional help, KK7HO

Hear KK7HO's criminal HF jamming tactics:
http://members.xoom.com/_XOOM/sixisone/k5blb_kk7ho_obscenejamming_19990509_0254cdt.wav

KK7HO's "mean spirited badgering and mocking" of Harvey:
http://members.xoom.com/_XOOM/sixisone/volz.wav

KK7HO shopping for the murder of W1WCR at:
http://members.xoom.com/frg9600/500.wav

Further info on KK7HO is at:
http://www.angelfire.com/ky/libertynet/KK7HO.html
http://x34.deja.com/getdoc.xp?AN=325442830&CONTEXT=936559274.177930328&hitnum=50
---

979. Re: N0VFP'S YEAR 2000 MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miles Wolfson <mwolf@ourserver.com>
Message-ID: <3874F9F1.AB4E43D1@ourserver.com>
Source record: 122769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KK7HO continues to badger and mock Lloyd:

> Lloyd your twisted reasoning is doing nothing but angering people. It seems
> that you get a kick out of this. Not a good idea.

Timmie (the Sultan of Slander) Volz is using this newsgroup to berate
another fellow amateur radio operator. Tim, for all your bitching and
complaining about Lloyd over the past two years, why would you send
him anything, tapes of otherwise?

If you really did send the tapes, I suspect it was to give you a basis to
write the following message. It's a well documented fact that you have
spend a great deal of time insulting and badgering Lloyd.

> ... It's been over six months. How about sending them back so I can help
> someone else that is interested? I wouldn't have posted this hear but you fail
> to respond to my e-mail. You did however say 6 weeks ago that you would send
> them in two weeks. I'm still waiting. 73 de Tim.....KK7HO

And this is the saddest part of your message. The fact that there is a 17 year
old that is looking to you for guidence and direction. How could he be anything
but twisted, and disturbed after extended contact with the likes of you, Tim Volz?

> Also the code tapes that i sent you and you were supposed to send back to me
> six weeks ago are over - due! I have a young man (17) that would like to learn
> the code and get his ticket.

Please get professional help, KK7HO

Hear KK7HO's criminal HF jamming tactics:
http://members.xoom.com/_XOOM/sixisone/k5blb_kk7ho_obscenejamming_19990509_0254cdt.wav

KK7HO's "mean spirited badgering and mocking" of Harvey:
http://members.xoom.com/_XOOM/sixisone/volz.wav

KK7HO shopping for the murder of W1WCR at:
http://members.xoom.com/frg9600/500.wav

Further info on KK7HO is at:
http://www.angelfire.com/ky/libertynet/KK7HO.html
http://x34.deja.com/getdoc.xp?AN=325442830&CONTEXT=936559274.177930328&hitnum=50
---

980. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hate@spam.org
Message-ID: <852tqd$2ho$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You dont need to restructure the call signs to know who the new hams are,
just listen for anyone using 10-codes, CB lingo, and echo mics. You'll be able
to spot the new idiots a mile away.

In article <s77orfpsnfg174@news.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince
Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
>
>Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look like the
>old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK because the
>restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.
>
>KB7ADL
>
>
>nospam@nospam.com (Radioguy) wrote in <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>:
>>Howdy:
>>
>>I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
>>regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>>

981. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <852v1v$n79$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's right!! You'll be able to tell them from the old idiots who
persist in bad-mouthing any newbie who doesn't 'fall in line'
with what they 'had to do' to earn their wings.

Sorta reminds me of the old farts who sat around when I was
a kid telling old WWII stories about how they each won the war.

Just as pathetic, and just as pointless.

The real shame is there will be a few of the newbies who will be
mesmerized by the old farts, and become one...

Mike

Save Ham Radio <Hate@spam.org> wrote in message
news:852tqd$2ho$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> You dont need to restructure the call signs to know who the new hams
are,
> just listen for anyone using 10-codes, CB lingo, and echo mics. You'll
be able
> to spot the new idiots a mile away.
>
>
>
> In article <s77orfpsnfg174@news.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net
(Vince
> Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> >
> >Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look like
the
> >old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK because
the
> >restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer
anyway.
> >
> >KB7ADL
> >
> >
> >nospam@nospam.com (Radioguy) wrote in <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>:
> >>Howdy:
> >>
> >>I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> >>regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after

RESTRUCTURING.
> >>

982. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38751019.5F1CE3DA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122772

BamBam wrote:

> >BTW if you are a ham and have any knowlege whatsoever about
> > using a search engine you would already be transmitting on 27.185 by
> now.
> >
> What the heck does this statement mean Tim?? I'm a licensed Advanced
> (Soon to be Extra)Class and I'm pretty savvy with a search engine but
> I've never heard anything about this frequency..--

Well you had to have been following the thread, someone was looking for a mod for converting a piece of ham gear to CB, it got a little heated about the legality and ethics of doing such a mod, anyway long and short of it. I followed up with the above comment. 27.185 is channel 19 on the citizens band.

> <edit>
>
> I think my club is a rare one indeed but you know what?? When I leave
> hear and join another club, I'm going to do my best to make it as good
> as the one I'm in now.. That's how I'm going to contribute to the
> hobby..
>
> 73
> Paul Jordan
> kl0an

Paul I agee with all of your comments and wish you continued success with your club.

ddz

983. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <852v90ifj1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote

>>They can't get up to my condo without having passed by at least a
>>dozen video cameras. Even the elevators have cameras.

>Why do you think they sell ski masks in Hawaii?

Try to find a ski store on Oahu!

Believe me, if anyone walked on site wearing a ski mask there's be five Samoan security guards immediately escorting them off the property. Someone is continuously watching the video monitors. Part of our monthly $350 home-owners fee goes for this service.

>Hint: Your local chapter of
>the Second's Militia doesn't buy them to snow ski down the volcano...

You mean to say that folks belonging to our Second Amendment militia are ashamed to show their faces? They're ashamed to publicly identify themselves? That's a pretty sorry group of bums. They'd never make it in the real military.

Jeff

984. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <852vlj$ik5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> >> In Hawaii I'm proposing that the name and address of every gun
>> >> owner be available on the web.

>> >That's a great idea, Jeff. A robber gets the name and address off
>> >the web and steals the gun when nobody is home.

>> That's one of the chances you take belonging to the 2nd Amendment
>> militia. Put better locks on your doors and get a dog.

>What's the matter, Jeff? You want to do away with The Constitution?

Not at all. In fact, I'm trying to draw your attention to the FULL
text of the Second Amendment. You get to own a gun so we have a
well-armed militia. Are you ashamed to let the public know you're
an armed member of the Second Amendment militia?

>Here's the other side of the coin. When a robber doesn't see your
>address on the list, he knows you are not armed. Think about that.

Locks, dogs, and video cameras do a better job scaring off the
bad guys, and no one gets hurt.

Jeff

985. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <3874FBE9.2DC5@imt.net>
Source record: 122775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> > This will work out OK because the
> > restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.
>
> Sorta like the present extras who don't know Ohm's law?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

You don't have to know ohms law to be a current extra yet some are
still to lazy or inept to make the grade.

986. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live " cool"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: larjo@sprint.ca
Message-ID: <larjo.163.0023ACA6@sprint.ca>
Source record: 122776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3873EF19.A470D6C6@home.com> Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com> writes:
>From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
>Subject: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live
>Date: Thu, 06 Jan 2000 01:25:47 GMT

>Dear Kevin,
>While intentional jamming of amatweur frequencies is reprehensible and
>CANNOT be tolerated by any licenesed amateur radio operator, intentional
>misuse of amateur frequencies for non-hobby (i.e. political purposes)
>should not be tolerated either. I seriously doubt that Riley
>Hollingsworth endorses the political objectives of the so-called Liberty
>Net. You are clearly trying to imply by this post that he is on your
>side.

>I have seen your web site and its links. I am at least one person who is
>aware of your true political orientation---me. I hope that there are
>others as well. So don't think that you are fooling everyone with your
>coy email sign off "with all good wishes".

>Eric Cooper
>KB6VPI

>Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

>> Yesterday evening, WA9KJI was engaging in his usual monologuing and
>> intentional interference activities in an attempt to prevent the use
>> of 3950 by others, when Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, checked in.
>>
>> Mr. Hollingsworth gave his on-the-spot analysis of KJI's behavior,
>> and you can hear it by clicking on the 3950.net lead story link at:
>>
>> http://www.3950.net
>>
>> With all good wishes,
>>
>> Kevin, WB4AIO.
>> --
>>
>> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>>
>> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

987. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tgold@microvest.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <20000106.2141.2000082snz@microvest.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 122777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thursday, in article <3874A9C6.619940E3@IEEE.org>
Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org "W6RCecilA" wrote:

> How about an existing advanced ham who wants a 2x2 call
> after April 15?

That existing advanced class ham should take element 4(B) immediately
and so be eligible for an extra class license in April.

Regards,
--
Tony - G3SKR / W2TG email: tgold@panix.com

988. IC-821H Cross Band mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: STU <stu@ncweb.com>
Message-ID: <s7a45h9poj826@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122778

Greetings,

I am not looking for an out of band mod. I heard there was a cross band repeat mod for this rig. Anyone know where I can find it? Thanks in advance. 73, Stuart KB8VIY

989. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <Zi8d4.3092$Vr.66328@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>You mean to say that folks belonging to our Second Amendment militia are
>ashamed to show their faces?

It's a secret covert organization. (They paint their helicopters black too.)
You know those Samoan security guards you mentioned? Turns out they're
Lieutenants in the 2AM (2nd Amendment Militia). I gave the brothers the
word...

>That's a pretty sorry group of bums. They'd never make it in the real
>military.

When all those real military people leave the service (when the hitch is
up) or retire, do you really think that all of them get rid of their guns?
If they decide to keep their weapons, do they immediately become a "pretty
sorry group of bums" that would "never make it in the real military"?

990. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <webmonkey@mad.scientist.com>
Message-ID: <38751218.826@mad.scientist.com>
Source record: 122780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> I need help to hook this up
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
should be a plug in the firewall that you can
remove to run the power directly to the batt.

put the main unit under a seat. mount the head
unit on a gooseneck. get a magnet mount mic 'hook'
so you can put it on the backside of the head unit
mounting bracket.

that's most of it. works well in my dakota.

--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF
53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

991. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trs@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.12dedf5e1e1b1f4a989695@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 122781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <s6nqporn5k291@corp.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net says...
> NEWINGTON, CT, Dec 30, 1999--Amateur Radio will get a new look in the new
> millennium. The FCC today issued its long-awaited Report and Order in the

Boy I am glad there is an "ignore thread" on this newsreader's controls.
This thread doesn't belong in r.r.s., IMHO.

--
Tom Sundstrom W2XQ
Contributing Editor, Radio Netherlands' Media Network
Contributing Editor, Radio and Communications Magazine
www dot trsc dot com

992. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3874000E.31F2D60A@innocent.com>
Source record: 122782

rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts supposed
> incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio
> criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the air
> for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG, W3KE,
> and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate
> David.
[...]

I don't know about all the other incidents to which you refer, but when WA9KJI was cited for monologuing and intentional interference last May, it didn't require "bogus information" from anyone to establish his illegal behavior -- Riley Hollingsworth was checked in on the frequency at the time.

It's true that a (probably doctored) tape and an affidavit later caused Riley to give someone who didn't deseve it the benefit of the doubt (that he "really was in QSO" with someone that neither Riley nor anyone else could hear).

But K4ZDH was on frequency when Dave misbehaved on May 1. And K4ZDH was on frequency in person yesterday -- when Dave was jamming again.

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

993. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <850uvo$fe5$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <A5E0170FA1B5AF51.04D7146E49E8710B.CDE3A9D3FED01608@lp.airnews.net>,
W5TIT/Kim <W5TIT@w5fc.org> wrote:

I'm suprised the FCC gave out that callsign. But, sure enough, it shows in
the database:
W5TIT
WALKER, MARY K
(address deleted to respect privacy concerns,
Yeah, I know it can be found out easily, but still...)

994. ** New England Ham - Electronic Fleamarket Dates ** January ** Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: w1gsl@mit.edu
Message-ID: <3874076a$0$22870@senator-bedfellow.mit.edu>
Source record: 122784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,ne.forsale

```
New England Area Ham - Electronic Flea Market *** DATES *** 2000 P 1 of 2
All events are Ham Radio/ Electronic related except ~_____~
*****************************************************************************
1999 Contact Source
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
17 Oct Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
Thanks to Everyone for our Biggest + Best Season
*****************************************************************************
2000 Contact Source
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
16 Jan Yonkers NY Metro70 Otto WB2SLQ 914 969 1053 A

22 Jan Nashua NH NE Antique RC $5@8 $1@9 @ Res Ctr Church 617 923 2665 F

5 Feb E Hartford CT VintageR Museum @Church $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F

19 Feb Marlborough MA AlgnquinARC @MidSc $15@8 $3@10 Ann KA1PON 508 481 4988 F

20 Feb Westford MA @Regency Radio XXXI Antique Tammy A.R.C. 978 371 0512

26 Feb Milton VT NVT WinterHamfest @8 @HS Rt7 Mitch W1SJ 802 879 6589

27 Feb Levittown NY LIMARC @LevitT Hall $6@9 $25/T Eddie KC2AYC 631 791 7630 F

5 March Lindenhurst NY GSBARC+SCRC Lenore N2KYP 516 785 0826 A

11 Mar Londonderry NH IRS @ Lions Hall $10@6 $3@8 Harold N1UZT 603 883 3308 +

12 Mar Westfield MA MtTomARA @127 Holyoke Rd $4@9 Cindy K1ISS 413 568 1175 +

18 March Saugus MA QRA @1st CongCh Auction @10AM Ralph N1ZEB F

19 Mar Uxbridge MA CMPSA 8:30 @SerdiptyHall Rt16@146 Mike N1PSE 508 278 3477

25 Mar Lewiston ME AARC ME St Conv. @Ramada s@6 b@8 Ivan N1OXA 207 784 0350 W+

25-26 March Timonium MD GBARC @FG Sharron N3QQC 800 HAM FEST F

2 Ap Southington CT SARA @HS $15/T@6:30 TG$10 $5@9 Chet KA1ILH 860 628 9346

8 April Twin Mt NH NARC + LARK Richard WB1ASL 603 788 4428 A+

15 April Montreal PQ MARC @LaSalle Legion http://www.marc.qc.ca/fest.html W

16 April Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
Third Sunday -- April thru October

29 April Nashua NH NE Antique RC $5@8 $1@9 @ Res Ctr Church 617 923 2665 F
```

29,30 Apr Waltham MA Photographica @HS $5@10 ~photo~ bef 9PM Ed 617 965 0807 F

7 May Yonkers NY Metro70 Otto WB2SLQ 914 969 1053 A

12,13 May Rochester NH Hoss Traders @FG x13 rt16 Joe K1RQG 207 469 3492 F

20 May Forestdale RI RIFMRS @VFW rt146 8A flea+auct Rick K1KYI 401 725 7507

21 May Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
~~~~~~
LAST UPDATE 1-3-00 de W1GSL http://mit.edu/w1gsl/Public/ne-fleas P 1 of 2
****
Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu
US Mail W1GSL POB 397082 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139
(c)2000 W1GSL SASE for updated copy as issued.
unlimited reproduction permitted in entirety
P 2 of 2
****
2000 Contact Source
~~~~~~
2-4 June Rochester NY ARRL Atlantic Conv Harold K2HC 716 424 7184 A

3 June Herman ME PineStARC @HS $8@6:30 $4@8 Ed K1DTW 207 825 4417 +

11 June Bethpage NY LIMARC Ed KC2AYC 516 520 9311 A+

18 June Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
Third Sunday -- April thru October

24 June E Hartford CT VintageR Mus 711 Main $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F

15 July Nashua NH NE Antique RC $5@8 $1@9 @ Res Ctr Church 617 923 2665 F

16 July Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

20 Aug Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

26-27 Aug Boxboro MA ARRL NE DivConv $8+ $14/T/day@8 Dave W1TQ 978 649 3907

27 Aug Yonkers NY YARC John WB2AUL 914 969 6548 A

9 Sept BallstonSpa NY SCRACES fri6P $5+5/T+15cmp Darlene N2XQG 518 587 2385

10 Sept S Dartmouth MA SE MassARA @club $2@9 $0/S@7 Bill K1IBR 508 996 2969 F

16 Sept White Plains NY WECA Thomas WB2NHC 914 741 6606 A

16 Sept Forestdale RI RIFMRS @VFW rt146 8A flea+auct Rick K1KYI 401 725 7507

16 Sept E Hartford CT VintageR Mus 711 Main $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F

17 Sept Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

24 Sept Yonkers NY Metro70 Otto WB2SLQ 914 969 1053 A

6,7 Oct Rochester NH Hoss Traders @FG x13 rt16 Joe K1RQG 207 469 3492 T

8 Oct Wallingford CT NUTMEG CT Conv $6@9 $15/tg@6 Gordon K1BIY 860 342 3258

15 Oct Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

21 Oct Nashua NH NE Antique RC $5@8 $1@9 @ Res Ctr Church 617 923 2665 F

29 Oct Lindenhurst NY GSBARC Lenore N2KYP 516 785 0826 A

18 Nov Newton MA WARA/1200RC Auction @Masonic $2@11 Eliot W1MJ 617 484 1089 T

2 Dec E Hartford CT VintageR Museum @Church $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F
~~~~~~
LAST UPDATE 1-3-00 de W1GSL P 2 of 2
List is normally updated twice a month - look for the latest version
Source F= Flyer T= tentative early info + = new info this month
A= ARRL V= VE list D= W1DL W= web M= N1WFO WR NV 73 CQ QST = Mags
This list has been compiled from many sources. While we believe the info to
be accurate the author can not be responsible for changes or errors.
Check with the sponsoring organizations for more details. This list will be
posted monthly to USENET. Mailed copies are sent when additions are made.
****
Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu
US Mail W1GSL POB 397082 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139
(c)2000 W1GSL http://flealist.senie.com/ SASE for updated copy as issued.
unlimited reproduction permitted in entirety

New England Area Ham - Electronic Flea Market *** DATES *** 2001 -> ***

Page 3 Electronic distribution only. This page has the overflow if any P3
from the paper version.
****
2001 Contact Source
~~~~~~
15 April Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+
Third Sunday -- April thru October

20 May Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

17 June Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

15 July Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

19 Aug Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

16 Sept Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

21 Oct Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+
Third Sunday -- April thru October

LAST UPDATE 1-3-00 de W1GSL P 3
List is normally updated twice a month - look for the latest version
Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu
US Mail W1GSL POB 397082 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139
(c)2000 W1GSL SASE for updated copy as issued.
unlimited reproduction permitted in entirety
****

This list has been posted... as a service of the individual home page
owners, to the following WWW sites.

http://flealist.senie.com/
http://mit.edu/w1gsl/Public/ne-fleas
http://www.berkshire.net/~robbins/flea.html
http://www.connix.com/~wz1v/ne-fleas.html
http://www.k1dwu.net/flealist.html
http://www.mmra.org/~mmra/flealist.htm
http://www.qsl.net/vhfnews/ne-fleas.html
http://uhavax.hartford.edu/~newsvhf/ne-fleas.html

List is normally updated twice a month - look for the latest version

Be sure to check for the latest version as updating is under the control
of the page owner.

* You can have the list e-mailed directly to you as it is updated. *
* Just send a request to be added to the distribution to w1gsl@mit.edu *

73 Steve F
W1GSL

995. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <851sjs$j63$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <s77orfpsnfg174@news.supernews.com>,
vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look like
the
> old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK because
the
> restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.
>
> KB7ADL
>
> nospam@nospam.com (Radioguy) wrote in <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>:
> >Howdy:
> >
> >I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> >regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after
RESTRUCTURING.
> >

There isn't any change there with restructuring. New licensees
will be issued systematically calls appropropriate to their license
class. Being that no NEW license classes were created as a result of
restructuring, the current system will continue and those licensed
after April 15th can apply for vanity calls once they are in receipt
of their license. I.E. a new technician class licensee who is issued
a license after April 15th can apply for a vanity call from Groups
"C" or "D" once they have their original license. Being that the
advanced class license will no longer be issued after April 15th
to NEW applicants, new applicants will have to upgrade to extra
to be elgible for group "A" or "B" calls. So a NEW extra class
licensee after April 15th will receive a systematically assigned
from group "A" if they are still available in the applicant's
region, or a systematic call from group "B" if group "A" calls
are no longer available in that region. But the licensee can apply
for ANY unassigned call that is available to amateurs within CONUS
if the applicant is applying from CONUS.

.....Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

996. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85130n$81v$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 122786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> > Including the loss of the syllabus from the regs?
>
> Ed, what the heck is "the syllabus from the regs"?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Before this rules change, the FCC had issued a syllabus
which included the subects which each class of applicants
would be tested, the number of questions in each category
for each class of license, and in what proportions of the
whole test each would consist. With this ruling that
syllabus is withdrawn (exsept the number of questions in
each test element, which was reduced), and the entire issue
of "what is tested for" was left up to the three-person
Question Pool Committee, including W5YI..... guess what?

997. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <851tmi$jt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122787

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote in message
<306E26ADB13DF267.FB96562DA9523C84.2BD419D5EB8AC5FB@lp.airnews.net>...
>Well, yeah...that's me. I wanted K5TIT, but it is taken by some guy in
>FL! Hey, you think that's bad? A fellow over in Terrell, TX got, as
>his first call: KD5FKU!!!! Yep, he changed it reallllllllyyyyy quick,
>to: K5WKM!
>
>FCC who?

LOL! We're trying to figure out the dynamic advantages of a call like
K5TIT. Must be some subliminal message somewhere, Kim.
LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

998. Re: What happened to our hobby(very long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <steve@Nospam.com>
Message-ID: <uUz6kt$V$GA.269@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 122788

Well Said!
Steve AI7W

Bootsy <chrischilders@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:84vobghj1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> I am sure that this post will fan the flames for some but let me
> say right now this is not my intent..
> I have been a ham since 1984 and I am currently a tech-plus..and support
> license restructure.
> I am 33 years old and have been around ham radio...all of my life..
> You see my father was a ham and fell in love with the hobby
> because of him...
> Dad was a Chief Engineer on tuna boats working out of San Diego
> and would be out for months at a time fishing to support our family..
> I grew up talking to him through a phone patch that was graciously done
> by some very nice hams..I still remember talking to my father with the
> SSB making his voice sound funny and having to say "over" when I was
> done. My dad gave me my Christmas, Birthday and Graduation greetings
> through the radio because that was the only way we
> could talk..My dream as a child was to be a ham radio operator
> so I could talk to dad and other people around the world and learn
> more..
> I also remember the "Ham Parties" my parents used to have when dad
> was home, they would invite all of his ham buddies over for a big party
> and I would get to meet some of the guys that ran the phone patches
> and be able to put the face with the voice..these were always very
> nice,very smart and unusual people.
>
> When I got my license I remember how happy I was and how proud my
> father was of me...and as per his promise he bought me my first
> 2 meter rig for my birthday..
>
> The point of all this is...I have had so many positive experiences
> with Ham Radio that it kills me to see the attitudes of some people
> on the air and in the ham news groups..so I have a few suggestions..
>
> 1. Think about what you say before you say it. Are you helping or
> hurting our hobby? I don't think being a pompus jerk is the best way
> to promote our hobby and help it grow.
>
> 2. Make the effort to expose people at work,school or wherever to what
> Ham radio is and what it can do. Be part of the solution not the

> problem.
>
> 3. If you are proud of your code speed..great but show your love of code
> by showing people why it's fun and important..don't use it to beat up
> fellow hams and potential hams which results in discouraging others..
>
> 4.When on the air try to do things that make ham radio great..help out
> a newbie..give information that someone requests..talk about new
> rigs..whatever it takes to bring honor to our hobby..and keep it
> interesting.
>
> 5.Have fun....some people on the air and in the newsgroups seem to only
> use their time to harass and complain..which I can't figure how that
> can be fun..Fun is contagious..
>
> So for those of you who are out promoting the hobby, having fun and
> making an impact..great I hope there are more of us..may all your DX
> be good.
>
> And to all of you who are snobs,whiners and kooks...may your finals
> blow and may your coax be soggy...
>
> 73's
>
> KB6BNX
>
> --
> "Those who respect the law..and sausage..
> should never watch either one being made"
>
> A un-natural law
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

999. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <38741903.21971428@news.gate.net>
Source record: 122789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 04 Jan 2000 13:41:39 GMT, AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net> wrote:

*I think you both are wrong. The blame needs to be placed here in
*the amateur community. Not much impute from the ARS concerning the
*changing of the ARS license system. The FCC read the impute given
*them and realized that the 'majority' wanted to dumb down ham radio.
*
*
*
*D
****

If you took time to read the original docket you may have read the section where they sought comment on how many license classes should there be. The FCC even last August was leaning the the possibility that three classes of license was a possibility. There is no blame on anyone. This i ssomething that was bouond to happen. No matter how unpopular it may be it was going to happen. At this point you have only two options, accept it and keep operation or reject it and let your license expire.

regards
james

****
*jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
*>
*> On Thu, 30 Dec 1999 23:31:04 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
wrote:
*>
*> *The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
*> *
*> *I Blame the ARRL!
*> **************
*>
*> I think you are placing the blame on the wrong entity. If any body needs
blame
*> it should be the FCC. In your point of view the whole amatuer world has now
come
*> crashing down. If that is your opinion maybe it is time that you not renew
your
*> license and give up the hobby. The FCC is thinking beyond your narrow minded
*> thinking and self gratification. They have the insight to realized that left
as
*> is, the amatuer radio service will be a foot note in history of radio in the

*> next ten to twenty years. Maybe you will be dead and gone by then and that no
*> longer is of your concern. For the first time in twenty years someone has
*> finally realized the the amatuer radio society in the US will be extend as
the
*> Dodo bird by the end of the 21st century. For those of use who will still be
*> arround at least into the middle of the 21st century there is now hope that
*> there will remain an amatuer radio service. I am sorry that you will think of
*> amatures like myself and others who will obtain the full priviledges that the
*> FCC will grant inthe future. These priviledges to you will be obtain by means
*> that in your opinion is cheapened. It is cheapened by the fact that I and
others
*> to follow will not have to endure that hardship that you had to. This may
well
*> be true. CW, while a means of communications, is no longer the mainstay of
*> amature radio today. Is it becoming a lost art? Most likely. Is it a
requirement
*> that high speed proficiency is required for advanced priviledges in other
forms
*> of communications? Not hardly. The days where amatuer radio shared bands with
*> commercial maritime ships are long gone and past. Then the need to identify
*> ships in commercial enterpriose and not to interfere with them has long gone.
CW
*> will always be a menas of communications. So will AM as well as newer digital
*> forms of communications. The radio service has evolved from a time of
*> experimentation to that of more of a hobby. Experimentation is and will never
be
*> lost. Experimentation fosters new ideas. The amature radio service has lost
that
*> zeal. I think the service needs an infusion of newer more energetic members.
*> Agreed there will alows be those that are less desirable as some may think.
Do
*> we close off the whole bands to only those that will meet a certain criteria?
*> then that smacks of the prejudices of the early 20th century. From an
outsiders
*> point of view amature radio has become an elite good ol boys network.
Sommething
*> like the exclusive country club atmosphere of the high society.
*>
*> We now have entered into a new era. I think the FCC has made a bold and
daring
*> step in amature radio. While many will applaud, some will shudder in fear of
*> what will happen. Remember this no one is twisting your arm to be a member.
It
*> is become obvious that the FCC went farther than many wanted and to some it
may
*> not whave gone far enough. As for me, I am pleased with the ruling. I think
it
*> give amature radio a channce to survive well into the 21st and even into the
*> 22nd century. What will happen in the future? No one knows. This has been
needed

*> for the last twenty years and now it has come. We have no other choice accept
it
*> by be ing a part of it or reject it by not being a part of it. It is your
*> chjoice.
*>
*> regards
*> james
*>
*> WA4BZJ
*>
*>
*>
*> *
*> *
*> *NEWINGTON, CT, Dec 30, 1999--Amateur Radio will get a new look in the new
*> *millennium. The FCC today issued its long-awaited Report and Order in the
*> *1998 Biennial Regulatory Review of Part 97--more commonly known as
*> *"license restructuring." The bottom line is that starting April 15, 2000,
*> *there will be three license classes--Technician, General, and Amateur
*> *Extra--and a single Morse code requirement--5 WPM.
*> *
*> *"We believe that an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse
*> *code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
*> *ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art," the FCC said.
*> *
*> *Besides drastically streamlining the Amateur Radio licensing process, the
*> *FCC said its actions would "eliminate unnecessary requirements that may
*> *discourage or limit individuals from becoming trained operators,
*> *technicians, and electronic experts."
*> *
*> *Although no new Novice and Advanced licenses will be issued after the
*> *effective date of the Report and Order, the FCC does not plan to
*> *automatically upgrade any existing license privileges. The
*> *ARRL had proposed a one-time across-the-board upgrading of current Novice
*> *and Tech Plus licensees to General class, but the FCC declined to adopt
*> *the idea. This means that current licensees will retain their current
*> *operating privileges, including access to various modes and subbands, and
*> *will be able to renew their licenses indefinitely.
*> *
*> *Starting April 15, 2000, individuals who qualified for the Technician
*> *class license prior to March 21, 1987, will be able to upgrade to General
*> *class by providing documentary proof to a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator,
*> *paying an application fee, and completing FCC Form 605.
*> *
*> *The FCC's decision not to automatically upgrade Novice and Tech Plus
*> *licensees means the current Novice/Tech Plus HF subbands will remain and
*> *not be "refarmed" to higher class licensees as the ARRL had proposed. The
*> *FCC said it did not refarm these subbands because there was "no consensus"
*> *within the amateur community as to what to do with them.
*> *

*> *As it had proposed earlier, the FCC decided to lump Technician and Tech
*> *Plus licensees into a single licensee database, all designated as
*> *"Technician" licensees. Those who can document having passed the 5 WPM
*> *Morse code examination will continue to have the current Tech Plus HF
*> *privileges. "If documentation is needed to verify whether a licensee has
*> *passed a telegraphy examination, we may request the documentation from
*> *that licensee or the VECs," the FCC said.
*> *
*> *In addition to reducing the number of license classes from six to three
*> *and eliminating the 20 and 13 WPM code tests, the FCC also will reduce the
*> *number of written examination elements from five to three, authorize
*> *Advanced Class hams to prepare and administer General class examinations,
*> *and eliminate Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES) station
*> *licenses. RACES will remain, however. "After review of the record, we
*> *conclude that we should eliminate RACES station licenses because RACES
*> *station licenses are unnecessary for amateur stations and amateur service
*> *licenses to provide emergency communications," the FCC said.
*> *
*> *Under the new licensing scheme, there will be four examination elements.
*> *Element 1 will be the 5 WPM Morse code exam. Element 2 will be a 35-
*> *question written test to obtain a Technician license; Element 3 will be a
*> *35-question written test to obtain a General license, and Element 4 will
*> *be a 50-question written test for the Amateur Extra license. The FCC has
*> *left it in the hands of the National Conference of VECs Question Pool
*> *Committee to determine the specific mix and makeup of written examination
*> *questions. Current Amateur Radio study materials remain valid at least
*> *until the new rules become effective in April.
*> *
*> *The FCC's new licensing plan means someone will be able to become a ham by
*> *passing a single 35-question written examination. The plan also simplifies
*> *and shortens the upgrade path from the ground floor through Amateur Extra-
*> *-especially since amateurs will only have to pass one Morse code test.
*> *
*> *Elimination of the 13 and 20 WPM Morse requirements also means an end to
*> *physician certification waivers for applicants claiming an inability to
*> *pass the Morse code examination due to physical handicap.
*> *
*> *The effective date provides a window of upgrade opportunity for current
*> *Advanced licensees. Between now and April 15, current Advanced holders may
*> *take the existing Element 4B, a 40-question test, giving them credit for
*> *having passed the current Extra written examination. Likewise, holders of
*> *a Certificate of Successful Completion of Examination (CSCE) for Elements
*> *3B or 4B dated on or after April 17, 1999, will be able to qualify for
*> *General or Amateur Extra respectively when the new rules go into effect on
*> *April 15, 2000.
*> *
*> *The FCC disagreed with the League's suggestion that it undertake a
*> *restructuring of operating privileges along with licensing restructuring.
*> *"We believe that in light of ongoing discussions concerning implementation
*> *of new and more modern communications technologies within the amateur

*> *service community, we should accord the amateur service community an
*> *opportunity to complete such discussions and possibly reach a consensus
*> *regarding implementation of new technologies before we undertake a
*> *comprehensive restructuring of the amateur service operating privileges
*> *and frequencies," the FCC said in its Report and Order.
*> *
*> *In its amendments to Part 97, the FCC's Report and Order refers to a "Club
*> *Station Call Sign Administrator," something that does not exist under the
*> *current rules and which was not explained in the R&O itself. An FCC
*> *spokesperson said the Commission plans to issue a Public Notice soon to
*> *explain the program and to solicit qualified entities to serve as call
*> *sign administrators for club station applications.

1000. Re: WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105232852.15133.00000955@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 122790

You're not going to tell us where the wav file is located so we can go listen to a full-featured re-play?

How cold. Ok go to:
http://www.3950.net/
and look for the lead story.

Kevin should be selling tickets for this. A classic case of "being in the right place at the right time" to catch the action live. In photography, we call it "F-8 'n B-there".

Good catch, Kevin.

LW

1001. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <38741D0C.71169AD0@home.com>
Source record: 122791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> Dear Eric:
>
> a man who has worked
> with those of other races for decades and learned much from them,
> and a believer in self-determination for all peoples as a rational
> alternative to the enforced centralization of power now being
> imposed upon us all.
>
>

Of course, Mr. Strom...that is why you have links from your site so so-called White Pride and White Nationalist groups on your site. Don't make me laugh!!!

Eric Cooper

1002. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38741ca3.177125160@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 18:50:48 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
>> This will work out OK because the
>> restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.
>
>Sorta like the present extras who don't know Ohm's law?
>
I'm a Present Extra and I no oms law damn you Cecil. Volts=Whatever
the Energizer Bunny wrote on the battery.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
Brian kelly KLK1937

1003. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3874210E.2C6D2DEC@innocent.com>
Source record: 122793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric Cooper wrote:
>
>
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> > Dear Eric:
> >
> > a man who has worked
> > with those of other races for decades and learned much from
> > them,
> > and a believer in self-determination for all peoples as a
> > rational
> > alternative to the enforced centralization of power now being
> > imposed upon us all.
> >
> >
>
> Of course, Mr. Strom...that is why you have links from your site
> so so-called White Pride and White Nationalist groups on your
> site. Don't make me laugh!!!
>
> Eric Cooper

Even if you strongly disagree with me, Eric, it would help if you understood me.

If we are to have freedom and self-determination for all peoples, that obviously includes White people, and even (gasp!) White Americans. So there's no contradiction despite your apparent insistence upon seeing one.

And there's certainly nothing wrong with having pride in one's racial family.

My maxim is this: Every people which thinks of itself as a people should, to the maximum extent possible, live under its own government.

As ever,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1004. Uniden SMU 300M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <38742458.60FA1D41@moonco.com>
Source record: 122794

This is a business radio and was wondering if it is user programmable, or if it just has a group of frequencies pre-programmed? If it can be modified or programmed, please inform me as to how or point me in the right direction.. Any help would be appreciated.

Chris

1005. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <851afdpcb2@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 122795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>

> I don't distrust the VECs to put together tests ... obviously
> the FCC doesn't either ... they said so.

Of course not. Freddie has them in his pocket.

1006. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <852cgg$ub8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122796

In article <3874000E.31F2D60A@innocent.com>,
Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts supposed
> > incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio
> > criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the
air
> > for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG, W3KE,
> > and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate
> > David.
> [...>>>>>>>>>>>Didn't think I would ever agree, with anything you had
to say Kevin, but after hearing the tape, all I can say is Riley caught
him live, and in living color!<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> I don't know about all the other incidents to which you refer, but
> when WA9KJI was cited for monologuing and intentional interference
> last May, it didn't require "bogus information" from anyone to
> establish his illegal behavior -- Riley Hollingsworth was checked in
> on the frequency at the time.
>
> It's true that a (probably doctored) tape and an affidavit later
> caused Riley to give someone who didn't deseve it the benefit of the
> doubt (that he "really was in QSO" with someone that neither Riley
> nor anyone else could hear).
>
> But K4ZDH was on frequency when Dave misbehaved on May 1. And K4ZDH
> was on frequency in person yesterday -- when Dave was jamming again.
>
> With all good wishes,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1007. RICH, RILEY'S RIGHT ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2656-38745750-62@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122797

Whatever the truth about the matters you raise, it is fair to say that you are not exhibiting contrition. In fact, the face of your posts shows you are challenging Riley, not, as one would expect, in your own matter, but in other matters.

Be clear, Rich, my friend. My call on this NG for reinstatement of your lost license assumed contrition. Since you are doing the opposite, you are ruining your own case, whatever grounds you may pursue.

Isn't it obvious that Riley is committed to a one man war on ham radio disruption? Isn't it obvious he is making his decisions quickly? And, finally, it has gotta be clear that he is willing to rectify any errors, as well as to give hams the benefit of the doubt. What else can we expect? (Please don't give me an answer that increases FCC expenditures unless you're willing to fund it.)

Riley is a one-man dynamo making giant strides at our hobby's purification. As in any judicial court, you need to submit to his jurisdiction as part of the agency regulating us.

Rich, if you want your license back, be fastidious about all of your conduct, especially these public posts. Riley is clearly looking to instill peer pressure in the ham community so that we'll be self enforcing.

As much as I feel a kinship to all hams, loathing license suspension or revocation, I must applaud Riley for his work ethic, perseverance, and accomplishments.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

1008. Riley's Right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2656-387459DF-63@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122798

Please note, fellow hams, the call for contrition in this thread as a condition precedent to redemption.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

1009. Re: WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <852gm5$1qc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122799

In article <20000105232852.15133.00000955@ng-ce1.aol.com>,
kk4tl@aol.com (KK4TL) wrote:
> You're not going to tell us where the wav file is located so we can
go listen
> to a full-featured re-play?
>
> How cold. Ok go to:
> http://www.3950.net/
> and look for the lead story.
>
> Kevin should be selling tickets for this. A classic case of "being
in the
> right place at the right time" to catch the action live. In
photography, we
> call it "F-8 'n B-there".
>
> Good catch, Kevin.
>
> LW
>
WA9KJI is nothing but a world class jammer. This fool has recently
take to operating on 14.313. He should fit right in with the rest of
the jammers there. Good luck David....your fixin to hit the skids.
And its LONG OVERDUE.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1010. Re: RICH, RILEY'S RIGHT ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <852l1n$5im$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122800

In article <2656-38745750-62@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Whatever the truth about the matters you raise, it is fair to say that
> you are not exhibiting contrition. In fact, the face of your posts
> shows you are challenging Riley, not, as one would expect, in your own
> matter, but in other matters.
>
> Be clear, Rich, my friend. My call on this NG for reinstatement of
your
> lost license assumed contrition. Since you are doing the opposite,
you
> are ruining your own case, whatever grounds you may pursue.
>
> Isn't it obvious that Riley is committed to a one man war on ham radio
> disruption? Isn't it obvious he is making his decisions quickly And,
> finally, it has gotta be clear that he is willing to rectify any
> errors, as well as to give hams the benefit of the doubt. What else
can
> we expect? (Please don't give me an answer that increases FCC
> expenditures unless you're willing to fund it.)
>
> Riley is a one-man dynamo making giant strides at our hobby's
> purification. As in any judicial court, you need to submit to his
> jurisdiction as part of the agency regulating us.
>
> Rich, if you want your license back, be fastidious about all of your
> conduct, especially these public posts. Riley is clearly looking to
> instill peer pressure in the ham community so that we'll be self
> enforcing.
>
> As much as I feel a kinship to all hams, loathing license suspension
or
> revocation, I must applaud Riley for his work ethic, perseverance, and
> accomplishments.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>>>>>>>>>>>>So I guess what your saying is, even though you have no
funds for Amateur Radio, have no staff, do something, even though you
lacking in facts, or being lied to. That sure seems to work in today's
society!<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1011. Richmond Virginia Frostfest 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <851tf5$t1q$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The current forum lineup for the Richmond Frostfest 2000, which takes place on the 16th of January at the Showplace on Mechanicsville Turnpike is:

9 AM - Open
10 AM - APRS
11 AM - MARS
12 PM - FCC Enforcement - Riley Hollinsgworth, K4ZDH
1 PM - ARRL - John Kanode, N4MM, Roanoke Division Director
2 PM - ARES/RACES - Frank Mackey, K4EC, Virginia Section Emergency Coordinator

Make plans to attend now. The doors open at 8:30 am and close at 3:30 pm on Sunday January 16th. Tickets are 6.00 and talk-in on 146.880.

For more information, visit http://frostfest.rats.net

Patrick Wilson
K4OW
Forum Committee Chairman
Prize Committee Chairman

1012. What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P. Grill" <pgrill@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3874A3E0.4B76@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 122802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

tech wrote:
>
> Hi folks,
>
> I usually don't comment on things. I just read and go on. But as a VE and
> moreso as someone who got started when the FCC was still issuing their
> tests, here is something of a personal opinion which speaks from past as
> well as current experience(s). This is based on my days as an avid radio
> fan both of CB and HAM. Before and AFTER becoming a VE. By the way, my
> love for electronics started with 2 different fields. CB and Astronomy, at
> the age of 12. I know I'll be flamed for some or all of what I am about to
> say while some may agree in whole or part with what I have to say.
> Also, I don't consider myself to be a great writer or speaker by any
> means, so if anything comes off as redundant or illogical in phrasing, my
> apologies.
>
> Amateur Radio is going to change one way or another whether we like it or
> not. It already has "long" before the restructuring. Recall the arguments
> with the NO CODE license. And it went back even before that.
>
> I'm not saying I'm for or against CW even though I myself, am not an avid
> user of it. But I fail to see where it has kept anyone off the bands that
> should not have been there, or stopped any use of the bands not originally
> intended. Meaning anyone can go and buy a used HF rig OR new, and put up
> an antenna and talk. Even steal a call sign. ANYONE can get on and swear
> till the cows come home. CW won't stop any of it. Even now, you can
> get on the "Extra" bands and hear it.
>
> As for theory, I personally know Generals through Extras, who can't
> solder, much less troubleshoot. I know one EXTRA who doesn't even know a
> fuse symbol! I know too, of some who were great at memorizing enough to get the
> licenses, even commercial licenses, but couldn't troubleshoot a radio if
> their life depended on it.
>
> I ALSO know some 2 way radio techs who are very good in their fields and
> either can't get the code or don't have time to learn it due to jobs and
> family life. So, does this make them any less of a future good operator?
> NO. Actually, when they are done working, they could care less about
> having to learn code.
>
> CW ALONE does NOT constitute a good operator either in the mode itself or
> the learning of the theory to make a good operator. They don't really work
> hand in hand. Even if the code had been wiped out altogether, anyone can

> learn theory enough to pass the exams.
>
> The ONLY way you can truly pass an exam in MY opinion is to NOT know what
> is on the exam, therefore one MUST LEARN all they can so as to be properly
> prepared for any questions that may come up. Knowing in advance as is the
> case now, is EASY! You don't really LEARN that way. I recall a few years
> back, when the FCC issued a SAMPLE test format it was MAYBE a sheet or two
> of topics and sample questions for a given element. I still have one
> that they sent me when I inquired about the Novice and Technician
> exams. You never really knew what to expect, therefore you had to be
> prepared, or you wasted a trip, money and time. There were some who
> started producing books by asking people who came out of exams, what types
> of questions were on the exams so a study guide could be produced. I think
> there were others that followed suit. I can't recall when the actual step
> was taken to make public the pools so that it would lead to the present
> testing we have today where ALL possible questions, answers and
> distractors are made available.
>
> All one really needs to do, is read the book through once or twice and
> they can usually start using common sense to come to the right answer by
> the third try. It's almost like stealing the test off the teachers desk.
> Look it over a few times and know exactly how to pass it. You don't learn
> by that method no matter how hard you may argue the fact that you do.
>
> But that still doesn't make one proficient at troubleshooting or repairing
> radios. "Talking" into a microphone, is like CW, a language. Whether you
> are speaking English or trying your hand at learning Spanish or French, in
> which case, it would be very similar to CW. A NEW language for the
> beginner. But you can curse in FRENCH, SPANISH, ENGLISH and CW. Whether
> you can speak in a foreign language or do CW will not give you the
> miraculous power to repair a radio in the event of a problem.
>
> If we all want better radio communication and policing AND a FUTURE of
> experienced electronics people, it is up to ALL OF US, to join together in
> at any level to help make it better. To NOT tolerate that which will
> destroy it as we know it. Instead of BASHING those who can not learn CW
> or choose not to, help make them better operators by understanding the
> THEORY that you know they WILL need to know to keep the hobby as well as
> RADIO alive.
>
> Maybe you like (love) CW, maybe you don't. THAT alone, won't make or break
> the future of radio. Yes, maybe CW can and does get through when voice
> wouldn't. I can set a couple examples right now, but that isn't my point.
> It shouldn't be made the central focus of trying to entice our future
> generations to learn how to enjoy or get into a skill of electronics.
>
> There are a lot of laws in radio and in many other areas that are not
> just. They serve a few and not the majority. A prime example in radio is
> this. And I KNOW before I say it, some will get upset, but I'm not saying
> it to pick on a certain group.

>
> Hams now have to learn RF safety rules. To know when their RF levels may
> pose problems in health and interference. Yet you have CBers who alot of
> whom run lots of power and think nothing of it. THEY are not held
> accountable. Is it fair to the hams? NO. But, the CBers are not allowed by
> law to run that much power and they know it, but do they care? NO!
> But then is it fair to keep someone out of radio just becuase they can't
> learn dits and dahs? NO. Maybe that future Ham, a current CBer, can get
> licensed and MAYBE - LEARN how their signals may affect others. To LEARN
> that POWER isn't EVERYTHING!
>
> I'm still involved in CB as well as Ham. But in both cases, I'm lucky to
> pick up a mic twice a year to ENJOY talking. The most my HF rig has been
> used in two years was at Field Day and not necessarily by me. After
> fooling with radios most of the day doing repairs, I don't care to look at
> a radio. EVERY field, has it's bad apples. Hams, CBers, Computer Internet
> users. Even professionals. Its a matter of tolerating it or not.
>
> I do currently hold both an Extra Class license as well as a commercial
> license. But I can tell you, CW does NOT help me in my job repairing
> radios. It does NOT keep me from hearing the garbage on the
> "General" to the "Extra" bands. Let's quit using CW only, as a cop out.
> Let's get to the real issue(s) whatever they may be. I recall when guys
> used to say to me, I'd like to get my kid started into electronics and
> into ham radio because it's clean. NOT ANYMORE! I recall when people
> flocked to three different exam sites I worked with to get licensed or
> upgrade. NOT ANYMORE! And this was ALL long "before" the restructuring.
>
> You like CW with your radio, like salt on food, others like no cw with
> their radio, like no sodium allowed. It's a matter of TASTE! To each their
> own. But let's not rip into each other for what we prefer. If CW is the
> ONLY thing that keeps us ALL from getting along and advacning the future
> of radio, it seems kind of stupid to allow such a petty issue to do that.
> When we're all lying there dying, will CW make us any better humans? NO.
> When you stop to think about all the insults you may have flung to others
> just because of CW, will it really have been worth it? NO! If you were to
> be embroiled in a dispute with someone over CW and get so upset as to have
> a Stroke or Heart Attack, will it have been worth it? NO! And ADMIT IT,
> some of you DO get VERY upset over things. I don't know you, but you know
> who you are. Will being an opponent or proponent of CW save your soul?
> I DOUBT IT! It's a short life, let's try to get things to where we can all
> live more peacefully. Trying to set a standard for good living for our
> kids and grand kids, trying to push for more advances in the fields of
> technology sure isn't going to be centered around CW. The internet has
> nothing to to with CW except the arguments over it about it. Most kids
> today are computer whizzes, not radio techs. Talk internet to them,
> they'll talk to you. Say CW, they'll say WHAT? Changes will come about
> whether we like it or not. We don't have to like it, but we can try
> to make sure it's able to be tolerated. Like food, we all have to eat. We
> may not like the cook or HOW they cook, but that is something we can learn

> to tolerate. If radio is to survive and the field of electronics where
> radio is concerned, CW or NOT, we must make sure the THEORY and EXPERIENCE
> is passed on.
>
> There are too many more important issues in this world to put our energies
> into, than to "bitterly" argue about a single mode of communication. I'm
> not saying it can't be discussed, but to allow it to tear at our souls,
> tear apart an otherwise worldwide community is really stupid.
>
> Let's do what we can to make this 21st century a much better one than
> last. Put aside the issues that really don't solve anything and work on
> those that do.
>
> Just my two cents for the new milennium. Happy New Year and Millennium and
> good radio operating to all.
>
> On Wed, 5 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:
>
> > Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 09:22:20 -0500
> > From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> > rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors
> > Subject: Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"
> >
> > David Stinson wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Hall went off half-cocked and said:
> > >
> > > >...the negative
> > > > comments and purely subjective and emotional reasons that you
> > > > dogmatically adhere to in defense of code toward those that promoted
> > > > changes, didn't make *you* look like "horse's asses"?
> > >
> > > Please quote the message where I
> > > "dogmatically adhere to...defense of code."
> > > You will find me on the side of the code speed reduction, sir.
> > > I'm *for* most of these changes, even though I love code.
> >
> > I was using the term *you* in the general sense, as in "youse guys".
> >
> > > I'm -against- nasty attitudes displayed by spoiled children.
> >
> > So am I, and some of the attitudes displayed by the "do code or die!"
> > crowd were nothing short of spoiled children. Now to hear them talking
> > about refusing to VE, and encouraging clubs to shum newly upgrade
> > generals and extras, smacks of nothing more than sour grapes. The
> > gloating by those of us who believed in the restructuring, is a result
> > of being subjected to the constant condescending and bantering by these
> > guys. A reaction if you will. I don't blame them.
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > > Careful, you might need one of those "Welfare Extras"
> > > > to repair your rig someday....
> > >
> > > HO HO HA HA HA HEE HEE!!! What a JOKE!
> > > I was fixing 'trons when you were still
> > > swabbing "Zit-Away" on your nose, fella.
> > > I repair my own stuff, thank you.
> > > Even surface mount, if it isn't a large chip pack.
> > > Ain't got the right tools for that.
> >
> > Good for you. YOU are the exception.
> >
> > >
> > > I wouldn't trust 99% of these "bad attitude" guys I'm talking about
> > > to know which end of a soldering iron gets hot.
> >
> > How do you know ANYTHING about any of "these guys"? They may be quite
> > technically adept. The fact that they all have no use for CW, and are
> > glad that the requirement has been lowered, bears no correlation to
> > their technical ability.
> >
> >
> > > Most of the new guys (and too darn many of the old guys!),
> > > be they "good attitude" or "bad attitude,"
> > > have trouble even using a meter, much less fixing their handhelds.
> > > That's just a fact.
> >
> > Yes it is. Which is why I shake my head in amazement when someone who
> > can't solder a mike plug (And I'm not refering to you), get's all upset
> > and has the nerve to call this restructuring "dumbing down", and who the
> > "real" hams are, when all they DID was learn 20 WPM, with little or no
> > REAL theory knowlege of their own. Tell me again who the "real" hams
> > are?
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
> >
The above post really makes me want to vomit. It's this kind of liberal crap that ruined ham radio to begin with. "....oh, well, let's all be good amateurs and help those newcomers who are coming (flooding) onto our bands and who will be followed by others (some who probably can't even speak English!....) who do not have any proficiency of code.

The fact is, the liberal weenies have been destroying ham radio for years. And at the forefront of them is the ARRL who went from being

totally against a no-code license to totally in support of it in the course of time it took for one issue of QST to come out.

Why? Easy!

Because hams lost 2 megs of the 220 band. And the ARRL figured that larger numbers of hams would prevent any future loss of bands.

....the problem with the above is that "quantity" has NEVER been a good substitute for "quality" and I would take quality over quantity any day.

Hey you lazy weenies out there: The fact is, whether you like it or not, when the test for obtaining a ham license was harder, when the code test was harder, when you actually had to know some electronics theory and when you actually had to demonstrate such, ham radio was a much better hobby than what it is today.

In fact, if one could (or would) draw some sort of graph displaying how the hobby has deteorated, it would be an obvious display that such deteoration was strongest just after each and every time that the requirements for obtaining a ham license was lessened or "restructured".

After such, the line to that graph would simply keep plummeting down, steadily for sure.

Oh, well, ham radio is a dead hobby.

1013. Re: WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <852mc7$6jg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122803

In article <852gm5$1qc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <20000105232852.15133.00000955@ng-ce1.aol.com>,
> kk4tl@aol.com (KK4TL) wrote:
> > You're not going to tell us where the wav file is located so we can
> go listen
> > to a full-featured re-play?
> >
> > How cold. Ok go to:
> > http://www.3950.net/
> > and look for the lead story.
> >
> > Kevin should be selling tickets for this. A classic case of "being
> in the
> > right place at the right time" to catch the action live. In
> photography, we
> > call it "F-8 'n B-there".
> >
> > Good catch, Kevin.
> >
> > LW
> >
> WA9KJI is nothing but a world class jammer. This fool has recently
> take to operating on 14.313. He should fit right in with the rest of
> the jammers there. Good luck David....your fixin to hit the skids.
> And its LONG OVERDUE.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

LOL! The guy's always looking for "friendlies."
Maybe he wants "friendly fire."

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1014. more bullshit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P. Grill" <pgrill@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3874A83A.1F9F@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 122804

phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
>
> Today is a world of fax machines, internet, cell phones, handheld
> computers, electronic pets, and Y2K glitches.
>
> Where does Ham Radio fit into this?
>
> Ham Radio may well be a geeky kind of thing as the term is used today,
> but is it something that genuinely holds people's interest? I suggest
> that perhaps it is not.
>
> We can pick up a cell phone and talk to someone on the other side of
> the world, perhaps on their cell phone, without fading, without having
> to tune the transmitter or aim the antenna (very much), and without
> having to figure out the MUF.
>
> We can see what millions upon millions of people have to say via their
> web pages, in an instant, right from our home desk or table.
>
> So what is Ham Radio relative to this?
>
> Fifty years ago, there were no computers, no internet, no cell phone,
> and TV was just getting started. Few of us could have even imagined
> much of what we have now. Quite a lot of the current technology then
> was indeed radio based, and much of radio itself was still to be
> understood. It was a technology, but it was also an art and science
> and it was fascinating to many. It was also an operating practice that
> challenged the mind and body, and rewarded those who met that challenge.
>
> Today, in the year 2000, Ham Radio is virtually none of what it was just
> fifty years ago. Sure, all of those things exist. We actually can power
> up and tune up an old tube rig and actually make a contact with someone
> on the other side of the world. And today, many people still enjoy doing
> exactly that, or some variation of it.
>
> But is that something that is going to get, AND KEEP, the interest of
> people who grow up surfing, or even hacking, the internet at age 12?
> Is that something that will interest the twenty-somethings just out of
> college that have cell phones and are designing the next generation of
> phone switches, network routers, computer chips, and pet robots?
>
> The FCC has now finalized new rules that, hopefully, will bring in more
> people to the Ham Radio community. But will they come? Will they stay?
> Will they find an interest in something? Do the rule changes even matter?

>
> When there was an accident on the highway in the 1970's, hams would make
> a phone patch on the local repeater, and emergencey dispatch would know
> about the situation within a minute. Today, they are flooded with dozens
> of cell phone calls to 911 and by the time the phone patch is made, the
> response is "thank you, emergency crews are already on the way".
>
> Ham Radio is still a lot of things. There are things hams can do which
> cannot be easily, readily, or practically done by other means (yet).
>
> But it isn't the same as it used to be. It just isn't, unless you are
> living in the past. It's OK for hams that have done these things in the
> past to continue to do them. But much of that simply isn't going to get
> the interest of the kind of person today, who would have become a ham
> fifty years ago.
>
> If we all live in the past, then Ham Radio will surely die out as we go
> silent key, one by one. If we want to make sure Ham Radio does not die
> out, we have to transform it into something that will have a net GAIN in
> people, not a net LOSS. To do that we have to have something here that
> gets, and KEEPS, the interest of today's technological "geeks". And it
> has to compete with cell phones, internet, robots, and all the other
> assorted things we have today.
>
> Changing people's interests into something else, for the most part, is
> simply not going to happen. Trying to make someone else interested in
> the things we might still love and enjoy doesn't work. It might well
> run them off. We need to realize that it is a different world and the
> people in it have a different set of interests.
>
> The latest restructuring of the license classes by the FCC does not
> change anything about the world we live in. The world's change is now
> here, and you cannot, whatsoever, petition anyone to even reconsider
> that. Even if by some quirk of politics, the latest rule changes are
> reveresed, we must face the fact that what WILL kill Ham Radio is here
> now, and it is here to stay, and we have no say in the matter, besides
> figureing out how to work with it.
>
> New rules or not, we need to make Ham Radio be something of interest
> to today's young technological people. The new rules themselves are
> not here to change Ham Radio, per se, but they will make it easier for
> us all to change Ham Radio ourselves. We need to TAKE ADVANTAGE of
> the new rules to keep Ham Radio alive, and make it thrive, for another
> 100 years. We need to make Ham Radio be more, FAR MORE, than it was
> 50 years ago, more than it is even today. We need to set our sights
> not just on the year 2000, but the year 2010, and even the year 2050,
> even though surely things will be around then which we cannot imagine
> today.
>
> Ham Radio will change or Ham Radio will die.

>
> Make the change or let it die, you decide.
>
> The new rules have been handed to us all as a TOOL with which WE must
> make the changes. If we make no changes, Ham Radio dies, whether there
> are new rules or not.
>
> So let's talk not about trying to reverse what cannot be reversed,
> but instead about how to make Ham Radio move forward, technologically,
> as well as socially.
>
> --
> | Phil Howard - KA9WGN | for headlines that | Just say no to absurd patents |
> | phil-nospam@ipal.net | really matter: | Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN) |
> | Dallas - Texas - USA | linuxhomepage.com | Shop http://bn.com/ instead |

Oh, brother, the above listing has me putting several fingers down my throat to induce vomiting.

Hey pal! If the FCC (as spurned by the ARRL) did away with code altogether and allowed an open book 5 question multiple choice answer test, you would be reciting the same crap as you did here.

If a college was turning out grads who couldn't even spell or if a military was turning out soldiers who couldn't shoot straight....if a medical school was turning out "doctors" who were having trouble reading x-rays, do you think the answer or solution would be to lessen the requirements to obtain a degree? Or make any tests easier?

It was never difficult to obtain a ham license. All it took was dedication and discipline. And the desire to learn at least something significant about the radio art. There is nothing significantly useful about sending/receiving 5 wpm morse code or the lessening of the understanding of radio theory.

Phil

1015. U.S. Ham exchange program with Russia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: w4gbu@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <851unq$p6l$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122805

The Friendship Force is organizing a ham operator
exchange program with Russian hams.. If you'd like
to go to Russia, take a look at:
http://w4gbu.home.mindspring.com
and click on the Trip to Russia line...

Guy Shields, W4GBU

1016. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <851ura$u7r$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 122806

RandyFlagg <dontcallme@iwontcall.you> hath said:
: I currently hold a Technician Plus ticket. The way I understand the new
: license structure all I have to do is pass the General written test & after
: April 15th I will be able to get my General ticket. Right? Or am I missing
: something?
: Thanks!

Thats correct, but why stop there? Why not go for it all and
get the King of licenses, get the Amateur Extra! Thats what I'm
doing. I've been a Tech for 11 years now, I was forced to take
the code, I'm glad I did but I never looked down on the no-code
techs when they came out. And I am simply overjoyed over this
new restructuring by the FCC. I took the code test simply to
get a tech license, at the time I was only interested in 2 meter
and still mostly do a lot with 2 and 440 now. But I have 3 HF
radios because my dad is an Advanced, and I loved working QSOs
on 10meter phone. I never kept up my code so its real poor right
now. I've tried a couple of times to work up to 13 WPM but just
couldn't do it. There are a lot of HF nets I'd love to get in
to, and being with RACES & ARES being able to operate on HF is
real important for passing traffic. I have a LOT of abilities
in passing traffic on emergency and non-emergency nets, BUT I can
not use it because I can't get 13 WPM. I know I can pass both
the General and Extra licenses but I can forget the code. So
this is why I'm glad this happened. I'll finally be able to make
a contribution to traffic nets and my area RACES & ARES by being
able to get on HF. Plus I want to get some awards that would of
been impossible on the novice portion of 10meters...

Allowing me to use the General and or Extra portions of the HF
bands with only 5 WPM under my belt isn't going to make me a dummy
or a lid, or a CB'er. Us techs and Novices aren't going to trash
the bands. We have all of you Generals and Extras trashing the band!
Need I mention 3950, 14313, 14270, 3853 etc etc etc. You've proved
that you don't have to be a tech or novice to act like a dumbass
cb'er on the HF bands.

I say BRAVO to the FCC, I thank the ARRL for their support in this
move, and I say BRING IT ON, BRING IT ON, I'm ready for it, watch
out HF here I come :))))))

Jimmy
--
:::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
firestarter - Prodigy

http://www.datasync.com/nexus

1017. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <3874802a.41599107@news.guam.net>
Source record: 122807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Jan 2000 02:34:32 GMT, wa2ise@netcom.com (Robert Casey) wrote:

>In article <A5E0170FA1B5AF51.04D7146E49E8710B.CDE3A9D3FED01608@lp.airnews.net>,
>W5TIT/Kim <W5TIT@w5fc.org> wrote:
>
>I'm suprised the FCC gave out that callsign. But, sure enough, it shows in
>the database:
>W5TIT
> WALKER, MARY K
> (address deleted to respect privacy concerns,
>Yeah, I know it can be found out easily, but still...)
>
>

Well, if we keep flaunting it and kicking it around enuff, it may go
the same place that K0TEX and KF7UCK went.....

73, Jim KH2D

1018. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: BamBam <kl0an@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <852nih$7kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122808

>BTW if you are a ham and have any knowlege whatsoever about
> using a search engine you would already be transmitting on 27.185 by
now.
>
What the heck does this statement mean Tim?? I'm a licensed Advanced
(Soon to be Extra)Class and I'm pretty savvy with a search engine but
I've never heard anything about this frequency..--

I got into Amateur radio because my father talked me into it and I
ENJOY the club I belong to and yes, the local Radio Shack carries info
on our club. They also sell quite an assortment of antenna supplies as
well as Icom radios.

We've got old timers in our club and sometimes they don't acknowledge
my presence but you know what?? You can always find them on the radio
during that 2-4am shift at your club station.. you know, the one that
no one wanted to work?? We count on them a lot for working shifts
during the two week long yearly commitment our club makes to the Yukon
Quest Sled Dog Race..

I think my club is a rare one indeed but you know what?? When I leave
hear and join another club, I'm going to do my best to make it as good
as the one I'm in now.. That's how I'm going to contribute to the
hobby..

73
Paul Jordan
kl0an
Fairbanks, AK
Arctic Amateur Radio Club
There never seems to be enough time to do the
things you want to do once you find them...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1019. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <851vte$v7d$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 122809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

In rec.radio.amateur.misc David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
: I'm all for newbies.
: I don't have a problem with people getting tickets
: the new way. I even *support* some of these changes.
: God knows we've got to have the new blood.

I agree with it too. I'm glad they made this move and
support it as well...

: I DO have a problem with the "HA! I got mine
: and I didn't have to do that CW crap, so go eat it!"
: attitude I've heard expressed several times by
: some nasty little buggers I call "CB-plus."
: For those guys, I recommend the "Welfare Extra"
: zinger be ready.
: Now, if only the detractors will read -this- one
: (fat chance...sigh!).
: Dave S.

I think you're jumping the gun just a bit, first off
YOU DON'T KNOW ME, or what kind of person I am. How
dare you make assumptions that I'm going to be throwing
anything in you're or anyone's face about the fact that
I got an easy way up. I passed my license 11 years
ago and I just can't get up to 13 words a min, heaven
forbid 20. I'm a damn good operator, and I'm civic-minded.
I'm not the lid or CB'er you people make us Techs and
novices out to be.

I don't know what kind of hams you have where you live
but if they are the snobs you seem to think they are well
just say to hell with them and ignore them and move on.

There are a lot of Novices and Techs and Tech-Plus that
are fair and kind and only want to enjoy the hobby and not
hurt it, and I think the FCC finally cutting back a difficult
restriction that is keeping me from a part of that enjoyment
is GREAT. I've always wanted to win some awards but its next
to impossible to get any working 10 meter phone only. I've
always wanted be a part of HF traffic nets and emergency nets
but there isn't much for 10 meters so that excludes me. Not
any more though, and thats why I'm happy. I've spent 11 years
on 2 meters and I'm ready to begin talking on my collins Sline
and Kenwood TS450 on something other than 10 meters only when
the conditions are right.

And I promise you when I pass my Extra exam with my 5WPM code under my belt I won't be throwing it in your face, all I want is to be accepted as a seasoned amateur who has and will continue to contribute to the hobby to make it better, never to hurt it or anyone.

73 to you, and to everyone who reads this, and I hope to work you on the bands some day. Jimmy, KB5FSV

::: Datasync Internet Supervisor
::: Jimmy, nexus@datasync.com
::: http://www.datasync.com/nexus

1020. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <hK%c4.49$Wz4.5188@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

So there we were, holding a discussion of an enforcement action, when the aquelch broke and Kevin Alfred Strom, revealing total ignorance of facts, wrote in message <3874210E.2C6D2DEC@innocent.com>...
>> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
>Even if you strongly disagree with me, Eric, it would help if you
>understood me.
>
>If we are to have freedom and self-determination for all peoples,
>that obviously includes White people, and even (gasp!) White
>Americans. So there's no contradiction despite your apparent
>insistence upon seeing one.
>
>And there's certainly nothing wrong with having pride in one's
>racial family.
>
>My maxim is this: Every people which thinks of itself as a people
>should, to the maximum extent possible, live under its own
>government.
>

Now that is an interesting philosophy. Actually, such conditions exist in several places around the world.

For example, we have the case of the former Yugoslavia where the three major population groups -- separated more by religion than anything else -- are the Orthodox Christians, the Muslims, and the Catholics. Each of them has their own school systems and, to some extent, their own territories. We see how well that works with Muslims being wiped out by Christians, then the tables turn and the Muslims settle the score. Seems to me that this is what's been going on there for 500 years.

In the former Yugoslavia there are three separate school systems and each one teaches that it's beliefs are true while the others are evil and cannot be trusted. This is what Mr. Strom proposes with his maxim.

Then we move to the lovely, peaceful, tranquil Middle East where, as we all know, various Arab groups and the Israelis live in peace and brotherhood in their own little enclaves.

Not that Mr. Kevin Alfred Strom's proposal is the dumbest thing I have ever heard, and not that it totally disregards history . . .
--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

>
>
>As ever,
>
>
>
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1021. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <YO%c4.52$Wz4.5553@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric Cooper wrote in message <38741D0C.71169AD0@home.com>...
>
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
>> Dear Eric:
>>
>> a man who has worked
>> with those of other races for decades and learned much from them,
>> and a believer in self-determination for all peoples as a rational
>> alternative to the enforced centralization of power now being
>> imposed upon us all.
>>
>>
>
>Of course, Mr. Strom...that is why you have links from your site so
so-called
>White Pride and White Nationalist groups on your site. Don't make me
laugh!!!
>
>Eric Cooper

Eric:

You forgot to mention his link to "Jew Watch.

The only thing missing on his site is a photo of Mr. Strom clothed in his
bed sheet -- with eyeholes and a peaked cap.
--
Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

>

1022. Skeds wanted 10M Deleware & W. Virginia for WAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: cjs9@cornell.edu
Message-ID: <85224i$h00$1@news01.cit.cornell.edu>
Source record: 122812

looking for some skeds to complete my WAS. Still need Del. & WVA.
E-mail me at cjs9@cornell.edu
I can do any evening or weekends.
Thanks.

1023. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <387493F4.784@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote:
>
> Now that is an interesting philosophy. Actually, such conditions exist in
> several places around the world.
>
> For example, we have the case of the former Yugoslavia where the three major
> population groups -- separated more by religion than anything else -- are
> the Orthodox Christians, the Muslims, and the Catholics. Each of them has
> their own school systems and, to some extent, their own territories. We see
> how well that works with Muslims being wiped out by Christians, then the
> tables turn and the Muslims settle the score. Seems to me that this is
> what's been going on there for 500 years.
>
> In the former Yugoslavia there are three separate school systems and each
> one teaches that it's beliefs are true while the others are evil and cannot
> be trusted. This is what Mr. Strom proposes with his maxim.
>
> Then we move to the lovely, peaceful, tranquil Middle East where, as we all
> know, various Arab groups and the Israelis live in peace and brotherhood in
> their own little enclaves.
>
> Not that Mr. Kevin Alfred Strom's proposal is the dumbest thing I have ever
> heard, and not that it totally disregards history . . .
> --
>
> Regards,
> Joe Schlatter
> joe@schlatter.org
> http://www.schlatter.org/
> http://www.miafacts.org/
> Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH
>

I think the turn of events on the amateur radio bands is symptomatic of
a
general "deconstruction" of society that seems to be getting more
common.

Every interest group, centered around whatever interest, whether it be
politics, religion, sexuality, white issues vs black issues,
nationality, cultural identity, ham radio's no-code vs pro-code,
tech's vs Extra's, etc all seem to be pulling into themselves as groups,
circling the wagons, putting out land-mines, and staking out turf.
It used to be white-flight to the suburbs and competetion to get your

children into schools outside one's own area because the better schools were always somewhere else.. Now it's "gated communities", and home schooling..

It seems to me that as far ago as the mid 1960's there was a trend for people to get along with one another even if they were different in some ways. To whatever extent that this has changed since, say 1967, society seems to be getting more and more divisive.

Amateur radio is a communications medium, and as such, we all have the freedom to discuss what we want over the air. NO.. Ham radio discussions are NOT limited to technical topics only. Being rude, obnoxious, and obscene over the air, for whatever reason, has no place in amateur radio.

Rather than jamming someone off the air because a specific person disagrees
with what is being said, the operator should have the intellect to challange discussion in a rational manner and add to a conversation rather
than censor it..

Anyway.. my 2 cents..

--------soap-box-mode off-now----------

Trish
--

Patricia E. Gibbons
Acting Chief Communications Technician
City of San Jose - ITD/communications
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

Echelon Magnet:

Telex Military Intelligence
Flame Infowar Bubba Freeh Archives
jack Investigation ISACA NCSA spook
words Verisign

1024. ac5iu pic on the 313 page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <852u1gcom1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122814

check out the pic of the 313 goof ac5iu the pee wee herman on the 313 page..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1025. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <qD0d4.85$Wz4.10040@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Patricia Gibbons wrote in message <387493F4.784@ix.netcom.com>...
>
>I think the turn of events on the amateur radio bands is symptomatic of
>a
>general "deconstruction" of society that seems to be getting more
>common.
>
>Every interest group, centered around whatever interest, whether it be
>politics, religion, sexuality, white issues vs black issues,
>nationality, cultural identity, ham radio's no-code vs pro-code,
>tech's vs Extra's, etc all seem to be pulling into themselves as groups,
>circling the wagons, putting out land-mines, and staking out turf.
>It used to be white-flight to the suburbs and competetion to get your
>children into schools outside one's own area because the better schools
>were always somewhere else.. Now it's "gated communities", and home
>schooling..
>
>It seems to me that as far ago as the mid 1960's there was a trend for
>people to get along with one another even if they were different in some
>ways. To whatever extent that this has changed since, say 1967, society
>seems to be getting more and more divisive.
>
>Amateur radio is a communications medium, and as such, we all have the
>freedom to discuss what we want over the air. NO.. Ham radio discussions
>are NOT limited to technical topics only. Being rude, obnoxious, and
>obscene over the air, for whatever reason, has no place in amateur
>radio.
>
>Rather than jamming someone off the air because a specific person
>disagrees
>with what is being said, the operator should have the intellect to
>challange discussion in a rational manner and add to a conversation
>rather
>than censor it..
>
>Anyway.. my 2 cents..
>
>--------soap-box-mode off-now----------
>
>Trish
>--
>
>Patricia E. Gibbons

>Acting Chief Communications Technician
>City of San Jose - ITD/communications

And a well-reasoned, well-spoken, and valuable 2 cents it is. Thank you.

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

1026. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3874B7F3.89F47A09@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What's wrong with the license that you probably have hanging on the
wall or in your wallet. Does it not say tech plus?

D

Hello@toyou.org wrote:
>
> Robert,
>
> I will get extra, not only general. But I have to do it now, since after April
> 15, there would be no trace of my tech plus license. Somebody had suggested that
> after April 15, 2000, the FCC database should contain a field to indicate the
> previous license class. That's a good idea, since people will know who had HF
> privileges before.
>
> Robert Casey wrote:
>
> > In article <3872D2C1.8C852B97@toyou.org>, <Hello@toyou.org> wrote:
> > >I was licensed Jul 1990, as a Tech (5wpm was a requirement in 1990). The no
> > >code techs came later on. As a result, it says only Tech on my license, my
> > >question is, how do I prove that I am a tech plus after April 15, 2000? If
> > >you make a search on my call on FCC database NOW, you will get "class= Tech
> > >plus", but after April 15, I am cooked!
> > >
> > Well, you could study for and get a CSCE for the General theory, and upgrade
> > to General. A nice web site for practice and study is http://www.hamtest.com

1027. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3874BAAD.619F806C@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sorry but this bozo can only get on SIX and TWO (CB) meters...
Can't learn 5 wpm, heck can't learn 1 wpm...

D

Phil Wheeler wrote:
>
> Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> > How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power cable to
> > the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a
> > "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I drill
> > through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a female
> > attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
> >
>
> Re power: Run the cables through the firewall (there is usually a way to
> do it) and connect direct to the battery, with fuses on both plus and
> minus wires at the battery.
>
> All else is very vehicle dependent.
>
> I put mine in a 96 Honda Civic. The rig is behind the driver's seat
> with the front panel mounted at the dash. For that you will need the
> optional remote kit. But with a truck, you might be able to get the
> entire radio up under the dash.
>
> I use Hustler antennas on a fold-over mast in a custom mount attached to
> the frame and coming up behind the rear bumper. The Hustlers and
> Hamsticks work well on 20 and up; for 40, and especially 75, you will
> want a bigger/better antenna (e.g., Bugcatcher or screwdriver).
>
> The real fun is often attenuating all the noise on receive!
>
> Phil

1028. Re: Hey PITBULL, learn to post then come back here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3874B8B8.E001C17B@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122818

How many times are you going to post this 'stupid' message. What a dummy. Ops sorry to insult you dummies, I mean butt hole....

D

kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > > Replying to -your-posts is a colossal waste of time.
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de KC8JBO
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
>
> When I say "JUMP"
> You say, "HOW HIGH MASTER"
>
> TWO FACED IDIOT!!!! KC8JBO USAF records SOON.
>
> PITBULL
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1029. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <38748EAD.6689@nospam.com>
Source record: 122819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

P. Grill wrote: (shortened extensively)
>
> ....the problem with the above is that "quantity" has NEVER been a good
> substitute for "quality" and I would take quality over quantity any day.
>
> Hey you lazy weenies out there: The fact is, whether you like it or not,
> when the test for obtaining a ham license was harder, when the code test
> was harder, when you actually had to know some electronics theory and
> when you actually had to demonstrate such, ham radio was a much better
> hobby than what it is today.
>
> In fact, if one could (or would) draw some sort of graph displaying how
> the hobby has deteorated, it would be an obvious display that such
> deteoration was strongest just after each and every time that the
> requirements for obtaining a ham license was lessened or "restructured".
>
> After such, the line to that graph would simply keep plummeting down,
> steadily for sure.
>
> Oh, well, ham radio is a dead hobby.

To a degree you are correct. I think that amateur radio is also a product of the times. Thirty years ago societal attitudes were completely different than they are now and, as you say, the amateur service was a much better place because of it---I am happy to have been a part of it.

You are correct in you observation regarding the general pattern of deterioration but there is more... Consider, deletion of log keeping, allowance of VFO, higher power and HF voice for Novices, the institution of the volunteer examiner rather than appear in person in a Federal building and taking the test in front of an FCC officer, call sign issuance changes which upset order in amateur recognition (beginning with 'N' calls in the mid-70's), slow and consistent de-regulation---and there is probably more I haven't mentioned begining with the loss of leadership from the ARRL and of course the profit motive which seems to dominate the present day amateur service...

I suspect that restructuring will result in temporary growth for it's new appeal, when the novelty wears off the active ham population will drop to a lower level than it is now---kinda like Cabbage Patch dolls. Most likely by then the INTERNET has taken hold and like all nice sheep we will be sending our messages via digital pathways that will be monitored; for reduction of crime and terrorism---and for the CHILDREN!

Interesting, I really don't think current amateurs would be so upset if restructuring brought a population of responsible operators. I feel that amateurs are a tolerant bunch but their (mine) fears of a CB invasion are justified. The technical aspects of amateur communications via mainstream amateurs has largely been resolved---I would like to see an improvement in the quality of communications to take place on the amateur bands. Sure, DX contests but how about an increase in sharing and understanding that usually develops from thoughtful conversation with stations here and abroad---the CULTURAL aspect of amateur radio. Really, who do we have to blame for loss of interest in the amateur radio service? Operators can only talk so long about their store bought radio, standing wave ratio and antenna before saying 73 and see you down the log. And to a large degree I blame the ARRL for this---maybe great with technology, but what about the human experience? In part they provided the tools but forgot about their use; besides contests, what to expect from a bunch of ARRL techie nerds anyway---not much in the way of literature, geography, history, religion, art, philosophy, geology, meterology..... We have received enough direction in how to organize electrical components on a pc board for some particular result, it's time to use that box of radio parts to maybe learn something about the geography of Morocco for instance.

I, and many of you, will recall our struggle studying for the code examination. All I had was an Ameco code record that I picked up at Lafayette and when I memorized that I made my own code tapes. Gee... 5 WPM... I passed the examination by mentally counting out how many dits or dahs a character had before I wrote it down on my answer sheet---but I made Novice and then Extra and eventually the FCC Radiotlegraph license as well.

That's what I find so odd, thumbing through any catalog or radio magazine (QST especially) I find a seemingly unending offering of code tapes, computer run code generators, code readers, classes offered for learning the code and that's not the end of it---telegraph keys, semi-automatic bugs and keyers, electronic keyers, memory keyers fancy custom made keys out of polished brass and rare woods costing hundereds of dollars---is there an end? WE DIDN'T HAVE THESE RESOURCES but we learned the code anyway so I ask, what is the problem with the learning the code today? A merchant sea captain went from knowing nothing about radio to advanced class operator in one stroke by mastering the code in a few months using code tapes and studying the theory texts---isolated example or initiative, drive and passion?

1030. KT4TR...Ham of the CENTURY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8531a6$f7s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122820

Thanks for all of your GREAT WORK the last few years, Bob. I can't wait to see the "hard copy" you received on your latest trip to the NY area!!!!

You have these BUMS on the run now, hohohoho. I have said this before......But it needs to be said again:

When you hear a GIANT mobile signal...THINK OF KT4TR
When you eat an orange...THINK OF KT4TR
When you see a Piper Cub...THINK OF KT4TR
When you eat at Outback Steak House...THINK OF KT4TR
When you DON'T hear WB2OTK...THINK OF KT4TR

KT4TR has & will always be a "BLAZING BEACON OF TRUTH" on 14.313!!!!

NO ONE has done more to expose the crooks, QRMers, idiots & assorted other "low class" people on the freq. OUR HATS ARE OFF TO YOU, BOB.

WE all can't wait 'till you get the Cub up in the air!!!!

BEST of the year 2000 to KT4TR, W4FQF & K3QAM (let's NOT FORGET Misty, also)

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1031. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <00BAB83EEACE6E22.14AB5D8C21656B76.9AB5DAB30931C1FE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122821
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OH, now that took some intelligence to come up with!

JJ <jj@no.net> wrote in article <3873EFE2.2B0A@no.net>...
> That is what you generally expect of a cber.
>

1032. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3874ab3c.4410015@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 10:32:50 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>> Read the R*O yourself ... it's available at:
>
>My comments are based on the R&O, which I downloaded from the NCI site 2
>days ago, Carl. I told you what I see there (lack of NCI citations),
>and you argued with me about that. Please support your argument, or be
>quiet about it. Thanks.

A quick scan of the comments finds NCI referenced in footnotes at
least 4 times and NCI BOD members at least 6.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1033. Re: ac5iu pic on the 313 page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtolassi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8532tcghb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122823

In article <852u1gcom1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> check out the pic of the 313 goof ac5iu the pee wee herman on the 313
> page..
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>Your a fool Mike--go wreck your truck

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1034. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3874A9C6.619940E3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

fred1@my-deja.com wrote:
> Being that the
> advanced class license will no longer be issued after April 15th
> to NEW applicants, new applicants will have to upgrade to extra
> to be elgible for group "A" or "B" calls.

How about an existing advanced ham who wants a 2x2 call
after April 15?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1035. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3874abe9.4583317@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 12:56:56 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> Cecil, when you used to work, did you boss consider you to be victorious
>> in completing your assignment before you had done it? Get real.
>
>Of course. If my project extended through more than one review
>period and I was ahead of schedule, I was reported as excelling,
>i.e. *in the process of being victorious*, and got a good raise.
>NCI is in the process of being victorious, not in the process
>of failing as you would have us believe.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Additionally,
I have been involved in projects which take LONG
periods before the ultimate result is realized.
I have been well rewarded annually for doing a good job
BEFORE the final conclusion of the project.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1036. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000106095412.18180.00000106@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 122826

In article <s77orfpsnfg174@news.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) writes:

>Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look like the
>old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK because the
>restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.

Yet another WHINY OLD GEEZER heard from.

yawn

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

1037. "This Is Our Brain On Drugs" or DUHhhhhhhh.....My Brain....."Which Way Did It go"????.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kcaj_south@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8533k8$h33$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122827

This is another fantastic article from the The Spotlight, Page 2, January 3-10. Published by Liberty Lobby, 300 Independence Ave S.E., Washington. D.C.---20003 http://www.spotlight.org
Liberty Lobby is America's oldest American First/Patriot lobbying organization in Washington D.C. 45 years and still growing strong!

You just don't realize how good it is to be able to read the truth! I consider The Spotlight to be my premiere source of truthful information
about the political scene in America (and many other areas of interest) and the rest of the world. They have never let me down! They
have always proven to be the number one source of information that you
won't generally get through the controlled and dominated news mediums and other media and journalistic
sources. Made factually evident by some of the most professional journalism available anywhere. The Spotlight doesn't cater to or necessarily endorse the mainstream Republican or Democrat viewpoints. They are populist-based and make political decisions and other views strictly based on the populistic will of the American peoples. American-First at it's finest!

DISAPPEARING DRUGS

According to a General Accounting office (GOA) report, 28 percent of drugs seized as evidence by the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) have been mysteriously disappearing from four federal laboratories in Dallas, Miami, New York and San Diego. Of the 142 items weighed by GAO
investigators, 40 were off more than five grams. "The inaccurate recording of drug weights decreases DEA'S accountability over such evidence," the GAO reported.

********** I personally did a further search on the official United States Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration homepage. Acording to official 1998 D.E.A. drug seizure statistics, the D.E.A. seized the following amounts of drugs in this order, in Kilo's (2.2 pounds).

1. Heroin (D.E.A.) 364.2
Heroin (CO-OP) 260.4 HEROIN (TOTAL) 626.6

2. Cocaine (D.E.A.) 31,648.8
Cocaine (CO-OP) 38,792.1 COCAINE (TOTAL) 70,440.9

3. Cannabis (D.E.A.) 239,514.6
Cannabis (CO-OP) 124,566.5 CANNABIS (TOTAL) 364,081.1

4. Meth (D.E.A.) 1,217.4
Meth (CO-OP) 1,351.1 METH (TOTAL) 3,568.5

Without even trying to itemize prices per individual pound, which I don't know the street prices and not wanting to go to hightimes.com or somewhere else like this, this adds to approximately 438,717.1 kilos of illegal drugs the D.E.A. claimed to have seized in 1998. This equals approximately 965,177.62 U.S. pounds. At say $1,000 a
pound (which is not even realistic), you have over $270,000,000 but this figure on the street would easily run into the many U.S. $Billions.

This G.A.O. report is talking about four federal laboratories that give
an account of a smaller amount of the whole amount confiscated and nobody has an accurate ability to determine exactly how much of the confiscated illegal drugs are actually missing from everywhere else including other government agencies. At the 28 percent scale, approximately as much as 270,249.73 pounds of drugs that were actually
reported as confiscated could be "missing" and there could be many more
pounds that weren't accounted for.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1038. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "T. Smith" <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3874A0B3.52A6@nospam.com>
Source record: 122828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What results will restructuring have, what questions will be raised?

1. Where will all those antennas be erected now that zoning laws are a matter of fact in practically all communities and housing developments across the US. Will amateur antenna erection issues be subject to Supreme Court review and rulings.

2. Will the expected rantings and ravings of a hoard of cb'ers propagated world wide on the HF bands at the eleven year sunspot maxima make citizens and governing bodies of the US appear as chumps and cause a loss of face in the global community.

3. With the expected increase of operators will the FCC impose a reduce power limit so as to maintain appropriate RF field intensities in areas of human habitation---for the children.

4. Statistically speaking, will the increase of illegal activites that will accompany the increased population of amateurs be managable by the FCC---if they can't afford (funding, manpower) to manages the mess that they created what then.

5. What restrictions in advanced design of amateur equipment will be imposed now that manufacturers have been stimulated to produce by the creation of larger market demand.

6. Will amateur radio disappear into the 'noise' by a future FCC Report and Order that all amateur activities cease and desist and resort to channelized control of spread-spectrum modulation techniques to hide and get rid of the dirty little mess. Pass codes will be issued instead of callsigns to activate strictly regulated (FCC) communicators (ex-tranceivers).

7. Will all amateur HF allocations be eliminated and relocated to spectrum translators aboard amateur satellites operating at milimeter wavelengts.

8. Will amateur equipment contain embedded identifiers and GPS locators to control errant amateurs---computer databasing and issuance by violation notices by FCC or ARRL Official Observer staff (by FCC approval) at the mere push of a button by decoding an encrypted data burst piggy-backed with amateur transmissions.

9. Will we tire of 'smokey' reports from one end of the continent to another.

10. To ease congestion, will amateur VFO's (slyda's or sliders) be eventually outlawed and be replaced by channel selectors.

11. Will older amateur equipment at some future date be outlawed as the transition to controlled radio communications is established.

12. After April, 2000 will we find that an obtaining and possessing an amateur license from some third world country (like Somalia) where testing is more rigorous convey greater prestige to it's holder than to be licensed in the US.

13. To Be Continued...

1039. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3874afc3.5569392@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 10:27:32 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> The victory is not for the 5 wpm General, but rather
>> it is that the 5 wpm is ALSO the Extra
>> requirement. THAT is the major difference between
>> what many others wanted and what NCI proposed.
>
>I note that the FCC didn't seem to acknowlege NCI in the footnotes about
>that, Bill. Why do you suppose that is?

I suppose you think that because you haven't
seen the footnotes which mention NCI's comments.
NCI's ex parte, and
several NCI BOD members in the references.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1040. Re: ac5iu pic on the 313 page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8534pdhta1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122830

In article <8532tcghb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
dtolassi@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <852u1gcom1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> > check out the pic of the 313 goof ac5iu the pee wee herman on the
313
> > page..
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >Your a fool Mike--go wreck your truck
> fuck off david you fag basterd
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1041. Re: Hey PITBULL, learn to post then come back here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8535pfilc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122831

AF2Y_RULES wrote in message <3874B8B8.E001C17B@nutcase.net>...
>How many times are you going to post this 'stupid' message. What a
>dummy. Ops sorry to insult you dummies, I mean butt hole....
>D

The BOY needs some brain matter first.
Hey, Tolassi, Rogaine <tm> might help you with your receding hairline.
ho-HO

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1042. Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <850nk6$p9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122867

Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts supposed incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the air for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG, W3KE, and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate David. Obviously, he failed to learn from that mistake, now we have 4 more yet to come. Next big mistake was NX9J, once again bogus information supplied by the likes of KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, all part of the KV4FZ clan. Once again, Riley had to rescind, and put Bill, NX9J, back on the air, when the true facts were made apparent. One would think, that by now he would rely on his own monitoring stations. Let's not forget this one, KB4TEW, yes indeed, he again accepted bogus information supplied by the likes of W4FQF, KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, and others to get Jim, put off the air. And yes, you guessed it, he had to put him back on the air, you ask why, yup you guessed it, they duped Riley again. Hard to believe? Let's talk about me, and the E-mail, that I never sent to Riley, which removed me from the Database. Gettysburg, requires, a registered and notarized letter, and your license enclosed, which never took place. Now let me bring you up to date with what transpired last week, with N9LAT. Once again, untrue, unsubstantiated information supplied to Hollingsworth by W2KP and others which would have required the gentleman to retest. Riley Hollingsworth sent an apology by virtue of the ARRL Web Extra. One thing I have learned about Amateur Radio, all Hams lie, whether it's how high your antennae is, or how many countries you just worked in a contest. If it is in fact true, Riley's claim of how great the FCC DF system is, why not use it? It's much better to let a guilty party go free, than prosecute an innocent one. A LIE, IS A LIE, IT MATTERS NOT WHO TELLS IT. Rich Whiten

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1043. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <850uhf$u8j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122868

This is a pack of lies from the get go Porkbutt!! NX9J was never off
the air, he had to retest. He was a qrmer on 14313. And he got caught.
He has toned down his act, and is now a good boy . KE4TEW. another
obnoxious qrmer begged Mr Hollingsworth to let him get back on the air
because his XYL was susposedly sick and needed his attention. (figure
that one), if she was sick , she didn't need "BOOMBOX" Jimmy screaming
and qrming and neglecting her. He is now on a one year probation out of
the goodness of Mr Riley Hollingsworths heart. You must be awful dumb
to think that after all your qrming,plus the fact that you and they
had been warned to knock it off,
did not get you and your boys into trouble. Now, we are down to you.
You cancelled your license and now you want to take it back. Sorry
Porkbutt, It don't work that way. Mr Hollingsworth is no fool, and you
should have thought of
that when you cancelled out.

I am sure he will note how dumb you think "YOUR NEW BEST FRIEND", Mr
Hollingsworth is. Quit blaming others for your troubles, you have been
doing that all your life. You better get a "REAL" Lawyer Your fellow
qrmers "Waiver boy WB3HGW DR Hate AF2Y, " NK4D, And Bob Sherin won't
cut it.

Thatsritepolly!! Herbie still here , Rich gone!!

In article <850nk6$p9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts supposed
> incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio
> criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the air
> for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG, W3KE,
> and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate
> David. Obviously, he failed to learn from that mistake, now we have 4
> more yet to come. Next big mistake was NX9J, once again bogus
> information supplied by the likes of KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, all part of
> the KV4FZ clan. Once again, Riley had to rescind, and put Bill, NX9J,
> back on the air, when the true facts were made apparent. One would
> think, that by now he would rely on his own monitoring stations.
Let's
> not forget this one, KB4TEW, yes indeed, he again accepted bogus
> information supplied by the likes of W4FQF, KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, and
> others to get Jim, put off the air. And yes, you guessed it, he had
to
> put him back on the air, you ask why, yup you guessed it, they duped
> Riley again. Hard to believe? Let's talk about me, and the E-mail,
> that I never sent to Riley, which removed me from the Database.

> Gettysburg, requires, a registered and notarized letter, and your
> license enclosed, which never took place. Now let me bring you up to
> date with what transpired last week, with N9LAT. Once again, untrue,
> unsubstantiated information supplied to Hollingsworth by W2KP and
> others which would have required the gentleman to retest. Riley
> Hollingsworth sent an apology by virtue of the ARRL Web Extra. One
> thing I have learned about Amateur Radio, all Hams lie, whether it's
> how high your antennae is, or how many countries you just worked in a
> contest. If it is in fact true, Riley's claim of how great the FCC DF
> system is, why not use it? It's much better to let a guilty party go
> free, than prosecute an innocent one. A LIE, IS A LIE, IT MATTERS NOT
> WHO TELLS IT. Rich Whiten
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1044. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3873DC86.98574C03@flash.net>
Source record: 122869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> How do I hook the radio up in my truck? How can I run the power cable to
> the radio? Where on the dash do I mount the radio. I also have a
> "bedliner" on the truck too, I want to get a hamstick mount. Can I drill
> through the "bedliner" and do it that way. This a nice truck and a female
> attarctor and don't wan't ruin a nice truck
>

Re power: Run the cables through the firewall (there is usually a way to
do it) and connect direct to the battery, with fuses on both plus and
minus wires at the battery.

All else is very vehicle dependent.

I put mine in a 96 Honda Civic. The rig is behind the driver's seat
with the front panel mounted at the dash. For that you will need the
optional remote kit. But with a truck, you might be able to get the
entire radio up under the dash.

I use Hustler antennas on a fold-over mast in a custom mount attached to
the frame and coming up behind the rear bumper. The Hustlers and
Hamsticks work well on 20 and up; for 40, and especially 75, you will
want a bigger/better antenna (e.g., Bugcatcher or screwdriver).

The real fun is often attenuating all the noise on receive!

Phil

1045. Re: RESTRUCTURING NOT WHAT YOU THINK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <XXQc4.36$UU6.767@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122870

Your old technician license would be valid as a means to show your passing
of the code exam, *IF* you had continuously retained any amateur license
since then, including the grace periods in effect during any lapses.
Since you allowed your license to expire, all credits not otherwise holding
their own (CSCE's hold their own for 365 days), and none did back then, were
lost with the expiration. I will further review 47-CFR-97 to be certain
this is my intrepretation, but this is what I recall from the last time
I read it (and I just downloaded a new copy from the Governmnent Printing
Office web site).

If you do not want to take a code test, you have additional choices
available to you:

3. Take the Technician written exam and become a no-code technician.
4. Wait until the entire code requirement is abolished. I suspect
this will be in about 8 to 15 years. It's not *if*, it's *when*.

If you want to pursue #2, you're only making shar^H^H^H^Hlawyers rich.
You can combine #3 and #4.

On Wed, 5 Jan 2000 13:01:35 -0700 Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com> wrote:

| ARRL IS VIOLATING THE SPIRIT OF PART 97 of Chapter 1 of
| Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations as amended:
| Part 97 - AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE
| Pursuant to ï¿½ï¿½97.505 Element Credit.
| (a) The administering VEs must give credit as specified below to an examinee
| holding any of the following license grants or license documents:
| (8) An expired FCC- issued Technician Class operator license document
| granted before March 21, 1987: Element 3.
| (9) An expired or unexpired FCC-issued Technician Class operator license
| document granted before February 14, 1991: Element 1.
|
| On or about July 17, 1951 I was issued Amateur Radio License W8HED with
| TECHNICIAN Operator Privileges. At the time this License was issued I had
| successfully passed 5WPM by taking Elements 1, 2, & 3. I had all the
| operating privileges of a General Class License with the exception of the
| 13WPM requirement. These examinations were administrated by the FCC Regional
| Office in Beaumont, Texas, not some VE.
|
| On or about September 18, 1966 my license expired, I did not renew it as I
| was not able to pass the 13WPM to upgrade to General Class.
|
| On or about January 4, 2000 I e-mailed to ARRL VEC my present status, that I
| had all license documents and received the following answer.
|

| Jack, if you are not a licensee now, on or after 4/15 you'd need to take
| Element 1, 2 and show a copy of your old "Technician" license at a test
| session to go to General class. Element 1 is the new 5 WPM designation.
| 73,
| Bart J. Jahnke, W9JJ
| Manager
| ARRL VEC
| vec@arrl.org
|
| I am not going to take another code text of 5WPM which leaves me two choices
| if ARRL doesn't clean up their act.
| CHOICE 1: Forget about Amateur Radio and the ARRL.
| CHOICE 2: On April 17, 2000 I will file in U.S.Federal Court at Tucson, AZ a
| CIVIL COMPLAINT against the ARRL for VIOLATING MY CIVIL RIGHTS pursuant to
| U.S.Code.
| Comments welcome.
| --
| Jack Malone, ex W8HED & W7EJJ
| Hereford, Arizona
| malonej@c2i2.com

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

1046. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <s77orfpsnfg174@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 122871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look like the
old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK because the
restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.

KB7ADL

nospam@nospam.com (Radioguy) wrote in <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>:
>Howdy:
>
>I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
>regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
>

1047. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3873E45D.CB03402B@home.com>
Source record: 122872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A comment like that really shows your intellegence too.

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look like the
> old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK because the
> restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.
>
> KB7ADL
>
> nospam@nospam.com (Radioguy) wrote in <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>:
> >Howdy:
> >
> >I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> >regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
> >

1048. Re: Daiwa Rotators Can Kill !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <850obfciq1@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 122873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

In rec.radio.amateur.homebrew Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au> wrote:
> It sounds like an earth leakage detector (or safety switch) would
> be a good investment at your QTH! They detect current in the earth
> wiring and if any is detected, they trip and disconnect the active wire.
> This assumes that the metal case of your rotator controller is earthed
> (3 pin mains connector).

--> Is this the same thing we call a GFI (ground fault interruptor) in
the states? Kind of sounds like it. They are a good idea, though it
had never occurred to me to install one in the shack. Would be a nice
addition to any electronics workbench, too. They're required in
bathrooms and for outdoor circuits, in new construction, here.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"It doesn't take a lot of talent to intercept a surface-to-air
missile with your own airplane." -- John McCain

1049. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <850r5k$s8o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>The tech entry requirements have not changed. If the "CB Types"
>have not gotten a tech license already, why do you suppose they
>would now bother getting a general license.

CB: 440 kHz of cramped HF spectrum
ARS: 3.75 MHz of wide-open HF spectrum with easily-worked world-wide
communication.

Jeff KH6O/6

1050. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <850qt1$s7g$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> writes:
>that those that do not "do the code" are not "real" hams.

Very good, Dave. Glad to see you finally understand.

73, Jeff KH6O/6

1051. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <3873EF19.A470D6C6@home.com>
Source record: 122876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dear Kevin,
While intentional jamming of amatweur frequencies is reprehensible and CANNOT be tolerated by any licenesed amateur radio operator, intentional misuse of amateur frequencies for non-hobby (i.e. political purposes) should not be tolerated either. I seriously doubt that Riley Hollingsworth endorses the political objectives of the so-called Liberty Net. You are clearly trying to imply by this post that he is on your side.

I have seen your web site and its links. I am at least one person who is aware of your true political orientation---me. I hope that there are others as well. So don't think that you are fooling everyone with your coy email sign off "with all good wishes".

Eric Cooper
KB6VPI

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:

> Yesterday evening, WA9KJI was engaging in his usual monologuing and
> intentional interference activities in an attempt to prevent the use
> of 3950 by others, when Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, checked in.
>
> Mr. Hollingsworth gave his on-the-spot analysis of KJI's behavior,
> and you can hear it by clicking on the 3950.net lead story link at:
>
> http://www.3950.net
>
> With all good wishes,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1052. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000105204920.06961.00000840@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 122877

>ka8ddz wrote:
>1. He is Chief of Communications for a Nationally recognized amateur radio
>organization.
>2. There is a Radio Shack store down the street with someone to show him what
>a choke is.

If it boils down to option 2, you may want to keep him on your prayer list for a long time. The typical R/S clerk lately might think a "choke" is what Hulk Hogan did to the bad guy on "Monday Nitro" this past week.

1053. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3873F9A3.A67D70AA@innocent.com>
Source record: 122878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric Cooper wrote:
>
> Dear Kevin,
> While intentional jamming of amatweur frequencies is reprehensible and
> CANNOT be tolerated by any licenesed amateur radio operator, intentional
> misuse of amateur frequencies for non-hobby (i.e. political purposes)
> should not be tolerated either. I seriously doubt that Riley
> Hollingsworth endorses the political objectives of the so-called Liberty
> Net. You are clearly trying to imply by this post that he is on your
> side.
>
> I have seen your web site and its links. I am at least one person who is
> aware of your true political orientation---me. I hope that there are
> others as well. So don't think that you are fooling everyone with your
> coy email sign off "with all good wishes".
>
> Eric Cooper
> KB6VPI
[...]

Dear Eric:

I have no idea what Riley Hollingsworth's political views are; I made no implication whatever about them; and they should not be a factor in the efforts to remove jammers from the amateur bands.

You are under a misapprehension which can affect people of any political persuasion: the idea that your opponents are "evil" monsters, lying in wait for the moment that they can begin a mass slaughter.

I assure you that such a description is ludicrously inaccurate when applied to me, a vegetarian primarily for moral reasons, a man who goes out of his way to avoid harm even to ants and who instructs his children not to step on insects or "liberals," a man who has worked with those of other races for decades and learned much from them, and a believer in self-determination for all peoples as a rational alternative to the enforced centralization of power now being imposed upon us all.

As far as amateur radio is concerned, it's perfectly permissible for people with common interests to socialize on the air and discuss any subject whatever, and we've done so for the entire history of amateur radio. (The Lambda Net, though I find it repellent, has been on the air for the better part of a decade and I know of no one

attempting to drive them from the air. The Liberty Net has been in existence for about 30 years.)

This freedom of speech, as long as there is no obscenity, applies, whether you like it or not, even to those who are so incredibly "evil" that they actually want their race to survive.

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1054. WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: antenna42@aol.complex
Message-ID: <20000105210632.25739.00000994@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 122879

Last night (01/04), WA9KJI tried to pull his usual stunt of calling CQ while another QSO was on 3950 in order to take over the frequency. He even tried to claim that it was his frequency!

Well, it just happened that Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, was monitoring 3950 and caught WA9KJI with his pants down! Riley broke in and spanked WA9KJI for being a bad boy. WA9KJI tried a feeble attempt at pleading innocent, but Riley wasn't buying it.

WA9KJI just sort of crawled away like the sniveling little snake that he is.

LOL LOL LOL LOL!!!! IT WAS FUNNY!!!! LOL LOL LOL !!!!

1055. Re: Logbook Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <3873FDF9.999DA48C@netdoor.com>
Source record: 122880
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

log-eqf.com or might be logeqf.com you can find a link a AC6Vs sites,
you should have all AC6Vs sites bookmaarked anyway-best site by far of
all the sites and log-eqf is just about the best logging program out
there. It is worth far more than he charges. I got the rig control
from eqf also.

Butch KF5DE

Mark Bone wrote:

> Where can I find a good logging program?
>
> Mark

1056. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3873EFE2.2B0A@no.net>
Source record: 122881
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
>
> Whoa...OK, now the "f" word comes out. Real mud slinging around in here
> now!

That is what you generally expect of a cber.

1057. Vertical antennae GAP -vs- Hy-Gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: skyking <skyking@rednecks.com>
Message-ID: <Vzrd4.2193$%K1.141431@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122532

I am looking for anyone with experience using any of the Hy-Gain verticals or the Gap products. I will be purchasing one of these products and any input will be helpful. Replies to my e-mail would be appreciated.

Ron Klutey, KD4ASX

ronklutey@iname.com

1058. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <387646EF.A01137B0@flash.net>
Source record: 122533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>
> Not to start a war, here...I am *just* making the observation that the
> tests may change AND be a bit harder (laughable, I know) after the April 15
> date.
>

Sure is possible, since they may cover newer technology. Plus I think
the new one is longer (50 vs. 40 questions?).

Phil

1059. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001409110001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3875cf79.127450852@news.guam.net>, kh2d@my-deja.com (Jim) wrote:

> On 7 Jan 2000 05:18:31 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Perfidious wrote:
> >>
> > License or not....I question how many CB'ers would spend
> >> the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
> >> etc..
> >> and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly.... Not
> >> to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
> >> money did.
> >>
> >>
> > Nonsense. There probably as much ham gear on 11 meters as
> >their is on the ham bands. That's what saved a whole lot of
> >vintage AM ham gear that is once again popular with some
> >hams.
>
> And it's what's been keeping Yaesu in business for years...
>
> 73, Jim KH2D

No, there is some 10 meter on CB.. not 80,40,20...etc...
been there done that.
Antennas, Towers, height...etc prevent "most" CB'ers from entering this
realm we call amateur radio. The ones that want more... will achieve more.
I believe if ("if" being the largest word in the dictionary) an individual
can learn 5 wpm
then that individual can increase to ??? The initial 5wpm is the hard part.

No One learns at 20wpm they learn at 5 wpm and speed comes from use.
So CB'ers will still learn CW or stay on 11 meter. Duh....

and for JIM KH2D... :
Man "A" lives above ground in a conventional house
pays 250.00 for uitilities in a conventional world
Man "B" lives in an underground house
and pays 25.00 for utilities
in a conventional world

Man "B" has every option, doodad, and goodie as man "A"
who's the rocket scientist here?
Earth Sheltered does mandate earth covered ie: coffin, carrot...etc.

or Should We all own Yaesu and Inverted Vee @ 40 ft......?

1060. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001421300001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3875538D.EDE7DE48@worldnet.att.net>, Tim
<ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> &#65533;
>
> Perfidious wrote:
>
> > Personally, I always figure if I can afford the equipment, I can afford to
> > "play" with it. License or not....
>
> The real question is, because you can afford it, does that mean you know
how to
> operate it?
>
> > I question how many CB'ers would spend
> > the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
> > etc..
>
> You must be living in a cave somewhere CB'ers spend big bucks on "Moonraker"
> type antennas and will thousands on accessorys and amps (usually not tuned or
> filtered properly) What on earth gave you the idea that CB'er were cheap and
> that ham radio was expensive?
>
> > &#65533;
> > and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly....
>
> Count me in, the best road avisiorys are heard on Channel 19 without question.
> So what does that mean?
>
> > Not
> > to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
> > money did.
>
> Perhaps it was the fact that you had to actually pass some sort of exam that
> stopped them? Could it be?
> Any that have a technical interest in doing something with radio will upgrade
> to an amateur ticket.
> The argument that money stops them is ridiculous, you can buy used ham gear to
> put you on 80m CW for next to nothing. I picked up a Heath Cheyenne not too
> long ago for $30 with power supply. Thats 90W (input) of AM and 5 different
> bands.
> There are tons of different combinations of used gear that can put you on the
> air with antenna for WELL under $100.

>
> Where can you find a 60W output CB transmitter for $30?
>
> Tim ka8ddz
Possibly you have some good points...If the sterotype CB'er that I believe
everyone is discussing posseses the knowlege to do as you have done, he is
probably prepared to, or at least capable of getting his ticket, unlike
the ones who wish to push a KW on channel 6. They only "buy" and talk. Not
design and build. it is not the test or the equipment that distinguishes
hams from CB'ers
it is the character and personalities of the individuals at hand.

i have always thought that hams were of a like mind and attitude (untill I
read some of the posts listed in this NG). i could go into detail however
That is why I own Radio....I don't like to type quite that much.:-)
> &#65533;

1061. Re: WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001432020001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122536

In article <20000105210632.25739.00000994@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
antenna42@aol.complex (THE SIGNAL SPONGE) wrote:

> Last night (01/04), WA9KJI tried to pull his usual stunt of calling CQ while
> another QSO was on 3950 in order to take over the frequency. He even tried to
> claim that it was his frequency!
>
> Well, it just happened that Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, was monitoring
3950 and
> caught WA9KJI with his pants down! Riley broke in and spanked WA9KJI for being
> a bad boy. WA9KJI tried a feeble attempt at pleading innocent, but Riley
wasn't
> buying it.
>
> WA9KJI just sort of crawled away like the sniveling little snake that he is.
>
> LOL LOL LOL LOL!!!! IT WAS FUNNY!!!! LOL LOL LOL !!!!
So where are ya'll at, and at what time are ya'll on 3950?

1062. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001437010001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<5E848FE51D5BF8F4.792581129257FE0E.2B81DC30EE170EE9@lp.airnews.net>,
kw5tit@hotmail.com wrote:

> A Cobra CB radio at Fry's Electronics: $259.00. The new Radio Shack 10M
> mobile: $179.00 (includes the purchase of the 3-yr. Warranty).
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmm
>
> Kim/W5TIT
> Perfidious wrote:
> >
> >
> > Personally, I always figure if I can afford the equipment, I can afford to
> > "play" with it. License or not....I question how many CB'ers would spend
> > the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
> > etc..
> > and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly.... Not
> > to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
> > money did.

Agreed, if you wee a CB'er would you buy a 10 meter..or a Cobra?
Would you "even" consider it? be realistic.

1063. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001447040001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122538
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <z4dd4.798$CJ1.31520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
<N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:

> Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
> : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
> : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
> : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
> : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
> : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
> : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
> : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
> : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
> :
> : Sgt. Rock
>
> The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
> highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
> you should see more folks facing federal charges.
I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the suspect
will be suspect"
exactally what does that mean?
get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing detective...
dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
business of your own.... try minding it.

1064. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001448590001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<CF5BB6EB4EE8BF32.3353BDC321B72F4B.3C2D53DB7FA3D0BB@lp.airnews.net>,
news1@airmail.net (news1@airmail.net) wrote:

> John, the reason you fuse the negative lead is to prevent damage to
> the radio should your car battery ground fail. If your radio is tied
> straight to the negative post and the main car negative cable fails
> you will (attempt) to start your car through your radio. When you hit
> the starter several hundred amps are drawn through the 12 gauge wire
> and the coax. It doesn't last very long!
> 73
> Terry
> On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 17:45:12 -0600, johng@comm.mot.com (John Gilbert)
> wrote:
>
> >In article <3873DC86.98574C03@flash.net>, w7ox@flash.net wrote:
> >> Re power: Run the cables through the firewall (there is usually a way to
> >> do it) and connect direct to the battery, with fuses on both plus and
> >> minus wires at the battery.
> >
> >You should not fuse the negative lead. It doesn't do any good anyway. If
> >this fuse opens up, you are likely to continue to have a return path for
> >the current through the shield of your coax and/or your radio mounting
> >bracket. This can result in damage to your radio as much higher currents
> >are present than PC board traces were designed to handle.
> >
> >--
> >John Gilbert
> >johng@comm.mot.com

And they thought HE was a dope to ask...bravo Terry

1065. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001453480001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122540

In article <i5la7s8utlv0bk3s4qc8p8m6jucneng6e4@4ax.com>,
MinnesotaMurphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com wrote:

> Thankyou Paul for ending this disturbing string on a good note.
> 73"s
> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
>
> On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 15:58:50 -0600, Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >BamBam wrote:
> >
> >> >BTW if you are a ham and have any knowlege whatsoever about
> >> > using a search engine you would already be transmitting on 27.185 by
> >> now.
> >> >
> >> What the heck does this statement mean Tim?? I'm a licensed Advanced
> >> (Soon to be Extra)Class and I'm pretty savvy with a search engine but
> >> I've never heard anything about this frequency..--
> >
> >Well you had to have been following the thread, someone was looking for a
> >mod for converting a piece of ham gear to CB, it got a little heated about
> >the legality and ethics of doing such a mod, anyway long and short of it. I
> >followed up with the above comment. 27.185 is channel 19 on the citizens
> >band.
> >
> >> <edit>
> >>
> >> I think my club is a rare one indeed but you know what?? When I leave
> >> hear and join another club, I'm going to do my best to make it as good
> >> as the one I'm in now.. That's how I'm going to contribute to the
> >> hobby..
> >>
> >> 73
> >> Paul Jordan
> >> kl0an
> >
> >Paul I agee with all of your comments and wish you continued success with
> >your club.
> >
> >ddz

Thanks I think... I want to mod my ICOM 761 for 11 meter as it would be nice to talk to my hands in the field. Preferably without buying new equipment.

1066. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas Geovanis <nickgeo@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.HPP.3.93.1000107142245.18853D-100000@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Source record: 122541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, njvets wrote:

> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
<CUT>
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> parking ticket?

You apparently suffer from a lack of self-esteem.

If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
worked, say, in military or commercial communications.

But it seems that this isn't enough for you. You crave that piece of paper
which says "Advanced" or "Extra" on it, not because of what YOU are and
what YOU have accomplished, but rather because of the mark the LICENSE
PUTS ON YOU, because of the "specialness" it confers on you, because of
the "distinction" which you believe it will afford you.

If that's all you want, just have a fancy, official-looking embossed piece
of paper framed and put on the wall next to your $3000 general-coverage
receiver. Only a tiny handful of people will know the difference.....

+-------------------->
| Nick Geovanis
| IT Computing Svcs
| Northwestern Univ
V n-geovanis@nwu.edu

1067. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3876570D.342A@arrl.net>
Source record: 122542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

D. Townsend wrote:

> Three of us took the General test Tuesday Ed.

Assuming you passed the exam, congratulations.

> There were more people there than I have seen since I have been going there to
> take the test.

I am not at all surprised. I would certainly expect that those who felt
they could pass the written would do so ASAP after the rules change was
announced.

> The VEC ask if anyone wanted to take the Code test there were no takers.

Again, not surprising. If any of the applicants had felt ready for the
13 wpm, they probably would have tried (after all, why wait if you don't
have to), but most of those who felt ready for the 13 wpm probably would
have tried it at some previous point.

> All were there to take the General, Advanced or Extra test. So upgrades are going to go
up
> from what I saw.

Yes, indeed; there will be lots of new HF hams over the coming months.
Most will come from the ranks of experienced and already-licensed hams,
so Elmering won't be a major problem. What I am curious to see is how
many folks over the coming months take and pass the General or Extra
WITH a 5 wpm test.

> I suspect there will be quite a few taking the five word per minute test
> next month after they have a little time to get ready for it. Most of the
> people at the test gave the advanced a try after they took the General so I
> suspect that most of them will be back if they did not pass after they have
> a little time to study.

Those that are working on the code may want to consider trying to hook
up with an experienced code op (I am quite willing to help in the
central CT area). FISTS runs a Code Buddy program that may help. I have
always suspected that many VHF Techs didn't find learning the code worth
it for the small slivers of band a Tech+ can use, but that the General
priveleges might be enough "incentive" (to use the I word) to do so.
Out of that, I sure hope to see a few CW ops develop; it is a fun mode
that lends itself nicely to simple equipment and homebrewing. Those in
apartments may find CW to be a bit more effective with marginal antennas
than SSB, if they want a "human" mode of communication. Some of the

digital modes can be even more effective, for keyboard-keyboard contacts. No matter what, the most important thing will be for those who obtain new priveleges, or become licensed for the first time, to use them on the air and learn about different modes and different fun things to do with ham radio.

And please pass along my "good luck" to all.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1068. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe K7JOE <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3876612C.FBEA5C56@yahoo.com>
Source record: 122543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Does that mean that us amateur extras, who passed a 20 WPM
test without a doctors excuse note, can start signing our callsigns
"stroke 20" as a designate kinda like the "interim KT, temporary AE"
that was so prevalent on two meters back in the early and mid 1980's
?

Joe K7JOE/20

1069. Sorry to Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001600230001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122544

meant Roger

1070. Re: Geriatric Code Speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001554570001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 122545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <84uilq$5ni$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, 20wpm_extra@my-deja.com wrote:

> Extras, extras read all about it.
>
> Yes, now you can be assured that your licnse is worth as much as a ham
> license in Sweden, or maybe Guatemala. Perhaps you'd prefer to pretend
> you're in Jordan as a member of the royal family where handouts are
> common.
>
> Think of the effects this will have:
>
> ARRL staffers can get out there and say: "oooo we tried so hard to
> protect you from the FCC" while they wink and kiss their ever blossoming
> sales receipts from the increase in book sales. God, will they make a
> revised 99 dollar video course fast enough ???
>
> The lazy twit who takes 35 minutes (I think that's what the back of the
> last 610 said) to type out 6 text fields now only has to deal with 3
> license classes.
>
> Think MONOBAND. The companies like Radio Shack and Uniden who brought
> you complete crap rigs with 25 watts can now annoy the shit out of those
> of us running that big 100 watts by selling them to lids, kids and space
> cadets for 300 bucks. Can't afford a real rig to go with your welfare
> license ? No worries, just buy a ninth of a rig.
>
> Think more "Q's". See those ARRL DX clowntest scores skyrocket with no
> outlay of money on the part of Dave Sumner and his Merry Men.
>
> Think pileups. The FCC isn't using that new log periodic they just
> installed for Riley Hollingsworthless to play with. Or else D'Wana
> Terry, the career bureacrat who left the FCC after starting this mess
> would've known they're bad enough already.
>
> Think affirmative action. D'wana Terry. Need I say more ?
>
> Finally, think of this. Hollingsworthless was too damn stupid to pass
> the 20 wpm or too damn lazy and bent the ear of the decision makers to
> get himself a dumb-dumb's upgrade to Extra.
>
>
> MF_L
>

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

You sir, are probably not capable of being proud of any of your other accomplishments (assuming there are any) and you are riding on your 20wpm as though they mean something in the big scheme of things.

How often did you ignore kids as they were growing up to acheive your 20 wpm? If you do not like the ARRL, their staffers, or their book sales, no one will come to your house in "uppermiddleclassworld" and twist your arm to purchase them. As a great scholar once told me "if 'ya don't like what 'cha hear, turn your radio OFF". That's why the switch is there!

1071. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <38766104.16FC@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122546
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Perfidious wrote:
>
> In article <z4dd4.798$CJ1.31520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
> <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
>
> > Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
> > : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
> > : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
> > : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
> > : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
> > : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
> > : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
> > : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
> > : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
> > :
> > : Sgt. Rock
> >
> > The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
> > highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
> > you should see more folks facing federal charges.
> I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
> folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
By RDF (radio direction finding equipment), usually when the cops call
upon their
ham buddies in Civil Defense, the chase is on.

> Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above...
AGAIN SEE ABOVE?
"the suspect
> will be suspect"
> exactally what does that mean?
TELL IT TO THE JUDGE.

> get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing detective...
> dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
> business of your own.... try minding it.
Must be an illegal? 9 outta 10 criminals perfer an inactive citizenry,
but that 1 will
carry the day. Are you Part of the Problem or Part of the Solution?
Perf, you would
like to have have somebody "mind their own business" especially if it
fits an illegal need? SEMPER VIGILANTIS
73s de MARTIN N5PZJ

1072. Re: 6 Meter Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387671EE.7C0BD1A7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122547

Srmbj wrote:

> Any suggestions for a 6 meter mobile antenna? I was told that a 48" 2 meter
> 5/8 wave antenna is equal to a 6 meter 1/4 wave antenna. Is this a way to go
> or should I look for a dedicated 6 meter antenna?

Well depends on what you want to do on 6m, I've used a couple 5/8 wave 2m antennas that work fine, the swer is a little high but it worked, if your working the low end of the band the performance might not be as good as one tuned for 6m. For casual work thier fine.

ddz

1073. Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <855oob$fp5$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

An Opinion

You know, that after hearing about the restructuring of the Amateur Radio Service licenses, I was a little taken aback at the drastic changes that would be going into effect in April 2000.

Now that I have been able to think about it a little longer and mull over the potential consequences, I have come to a decision and I would like to share it with others in the ham community.

The real concern about the continuation of our complex hobby should not be about whether or not a single operating mode is one of the elements we consider in testing prospective new licensees, but should be about the lack of mentoring for new hams. Mentoring in correct operating procedures and helping develop skills and abilities that will further the service and lead us into the future. This mentoring may take the shape of how to operate on two meters, how to operate on HF voice, how to operate using CW, how to do RTTY and even PACKET. But it should never be about trying to force a specific mode of operation. Rather, show all the different ways to communicate with each other and allow the natural progression or attraction to specific modes take place. Mentor someone in the art of kit building, show how to use an oscilloscope, teach someone to use an antenna analyzer or show someone how to solder (an art unto itself, when done properly).

Code is not the all and everything some of us would like to believe and reducing testing speed will not cause the death of anything. Code was the beginning of ham radio and should be revered for that, but not idolized. I will continue to use it almost exclusively, because I gravitated to it, not because I had to learn it to get a license and it was HARD for me, more than you can imagine.

I hope I become a better mentor and Elmer for those who take the time to pass the exams and become licensed Amateur operators and join this wonderful village of hams. I hope I can convince some of them to join ARES/RACES, operate CW and Packet, and HF voice and RTTY and all those other things, but I hope I can do it without disparaging those who don't appreciate the particular modes I like best.

What the changes do to our Amateur Radio Service is up to us. So keep that in mind when you start becoming bitter and negative in your thinking. The changes won't kill CW, Ham Radio, or anything else, but our attitude and lack of enthusiasm can, if we aren't careful.

Thanks for reading and I wish us all luck as we move into the future.

Patrick Wilson
K4OW

1074. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerd Henjes <ghenjes1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yTtd4.3001$U5.43758@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 122549

A LIE, IS A LIE, IT MATTERS NOT WHO TELLS IT. Rich Whiten

Guess you've told more than you share, Rich. How's the day trading going?

Gerd, W2ISB

1075. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001071757570.13047-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
sounds like 11 meters anyway. i got my extra back in 1989, and passed all
the code requirements, and i am blind on top of all that.

On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, njvets wrote:

> Date: Fri, 07 Jan 2000 14:22:10 GMT
> From: njvets <njvets@skyweb.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: The END of Ham Radio
>
> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
> volt battery and an oak tree?
> I don't.
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> parking ticket?
> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> K2TL
>
>
>
>

1076. Uniden SMU 300M <--------

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <3876701B.9CED55E9@moonco.com>
Source record: 122551

Can anyone tell me if there are mods for this radio and if it is user programmable.. I know it is for business band use.. but can the frequencies be changed?

Chris

1077. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <18ud4.1357$Mp.250@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net> wrote:
| An Opinion
...

| Code is not the all and everything some of us would like to believe and
| reducing testing speed will not cause the death of anything. Code was the
| beginning of ham radio and should be revered for that, but not idolized. I
| will continue to use it almost exclusively, because I gravitated to it, not
| because I had to learn it to get a license and it was HARD for me, more than
| you can imagine.
|
| I hope I become a better mentor and Elmer for those who take the time to
| pass the exams and become licensed Amateur operators and join this wonderful
| village of hams. I hope I can convince some of them to join ARES/RACES,
| operate CW and Packet, and HF voice and RTTY and all those other things, but
| I hope I can do it without disparaging those who don't appreciate the
| particular modes I like best.

Encouraging people to consider or try a different kind of ham radio activity
is certainly a good thing. And code should be encouraged no less than it
ever was. But as a requirement for entry, it serves no functional purpose
besides limiting the numbers. It doesn't encourage people to consider code
as an operating activity.

And despite the crowding on HF, limiting our numbers is not something we
should be attempting to do. One of the technological challeges the world
faces is how to deal with more use of the spectrum. Hams should be no
less challenged in this respect, especially because it is WE who have a
great potential to find solutions, be they operating practices, or new
technologies, or both.

| What the changes do to our Amateur Radio Service is up to us. So keep that
| in mind when you start becoming bitter and negative in your thinking. The
| changes won't kill CW, Ham Radio, or anything else, but our attitude and
| lack of enthusiasm can, if we aren't careful.

Agreed!

| Thanks for reading and I wish us all luck as we move into the future.
|
| Patrick Wilson
| K4OW

--
| Phil Howard - KA9WGN | for headlines that | Just say no to absurd patents |

| phil-nospam@ipal.net | really matter: | Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN) |
| Dallas - Texas - USA | linuxhomepage.com | Shop http://bn.com/ instead |

1078. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <3876719B.FB2A4DF6@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 122553
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One reason for foxhunting is to be able to help in situations like this. The Amateur Service is largely self-regulating, and we don't want to lose that.

There's no "detective" involved; it's something we know how to do, and we actually DO, though we usually end up tracking down interference from other sources and abuse IN the Amateur bands.

FWIW, when one of our own abuses the technical latitude we are given, and exposes us all to loss of those privileges, it's _definitely_ our business.

Regards,

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Perfidious wrote:

> I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
> folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs? Foxhunting
> on police bands? how does that work? ...et a life... talk to your friends on
> the bands and quit playing detective ...(sentence snipped) ... dont make
> yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a business of
> your own.... try minding it.

1079. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Frost" <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <387663E1.7198@nospam.com>
Source record: 122554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe K7JOE wrote:
>
> Does that mean that us amateur extras, who passed a 20 WPM
> test without a doctors excuse note, can start signing our callsigns
> "stroke 20" as a designate kinda like the "interim KT, temporary AE"
> that was so prevalent on two meters back in the early and mid 1980's
> ?
>
> Joe K7JOE/20

C'mon Joe why should you have to suffer? It means that the restructured
ham has to sign /R :-)

1080. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001071823360.13154-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Boy i earn mine, so goodby ham radio, nice knowing you.

On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, it was written:

> Date: Fri, 07 Jan 2000 19:23:39 GMT
> From: Paul
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: The END of Ham Radio
>
> "njvets" <njvets@skyweb.net> wrote:
>
> >Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> >guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> >the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
> >stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
> >integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
> >other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
> >now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
> >buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
> >come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
> >me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
> >smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> >definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
> >persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
> >volt battery and an oak tree?
> >I don't.
> >I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> >would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> >value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> >parking ticket?
> >Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> >K2TL
>
> Don't let the door hit you on the way out. I say good riddance to any
> presumptious POS that implies I didn't earn my ticket, or questions
> the integrity of my amateur community.
>
> Paul
>
>

1081. why don't we leave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001071835470.13154-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
why don't we leave rec.radio.scanner and rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave
out of this thread?
i posted before checking where my article went. they aren't interested in
ham radio to start with.
```

1082. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Gallagher <KS3Y@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <855t9m$dc5s$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Every no-code tech knows the difference between a 9v battery and an oak
tree. The oak tree tastes woody when you touch it on your tongue!

Bill
njvets <njvets@skyweb.net> wrote in message
news:mEmd4.1881$%K1.118120@tw12.nn.bcandid.com...
> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the
FCC
> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of
questionable
> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST
and
> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to,
and
> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that
to
> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
> volt battery and an oak tree?
> I don't.
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than
a
> parking ticket?
> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> K2TL
>
>

1083. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001071839080.13154-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122558
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

thats the reason i said to leave rec.radio.cb out of this thread.

On Wed, 5 Jan 2000, W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:

> Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 20:07:27 -0600
> From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!
>
> Whoa...OK, now the "f" word comes out. Real mud slinging around in here
> now!
>
> Toll Free wrote:
> >
> > Who gives a flying fuck how long you have been pounding away on the key!
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:386FF4F7.E45293C0@IEEE.org...
> > > AB7RS wrote:
> > > > Lets see,W6RCA/Weeney.......ya,has a ring to it.
> > >
> > > Call me back when you have been operating CW for as long as I have,
> > > i.e. 49 years.
> > > --
> > > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>

1084. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <M4vd4.712$tn3.18112@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122559
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Perfidious <perf@inlandcars.com> wrote ...
: > : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
: > : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
: > : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
: > : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
: > : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
: > : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
: > : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
: > : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
: > :
: > : Sgt. Rock
: >
: > The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
: > highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
: > you should see more folks facing federal charges.
:
: I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
: folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?

It is much the same tactics that the military uses. Monitoring frequencies
the using direction finding equipment to track down the suspect. When
the suspect is located, law enforcement is informed. They get a warrant
and wait until they are interferred with again to raid the suspects home
or business.

: Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the suspect
: will be suspect" exactally what does that mean?

Foxhunting is DFing. Hams have made a game out of it. Then use
the skill to track down those who jam our frequencies.

The other comment looks like a typo by Sgt. Rock.

: get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
detective...
: dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
: business of your own.... try minding it.

Our frequencies are under attack. Plus hams have the skills, the knowledge
of
electronic and of the law, to help the police. Often hams are called on by
the
local law enforcement agencies to help with various emergencies. Many hams
also belong to their local emergency management organizations.

All citizens have responsibility to maintaining the peace. Hams, more than most, have a deep respect for the law given that our hobby is tied to it.

1085. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38767C14.D5C9998A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

dana wrote:
> i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
> just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
> code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
> sounds like 11 meters anyway.

All the hams on 75m are coded. Since the entry level exam, the nocode tech,
hasn't changed a bit, why would any additional CBers want into ham radio?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1086. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38767FE7.93C37A94@icss.net>
Source record: 122561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Patrick Wilson wrote:

> An Opinion
>

snip

> What the changes do to our Amateur Radio Service is up to us. So keep that
> in mind when you start becoming bitter and negative in your thinking. The
> changes woni¿½t kill CW, Ham Radio, or anything else, but our attitude and
> lack of enthusiasm can, if we areni¿½t careful.
>
> Thanks for reading and I wish us all luck as we move into the future.
>
> Patrick Wilson
> K4OW

Patrick, you could bottle that and sell it. At the very least send it in to
QST, to the editor.

73, Brian/N0iMD

1087. Re: The New License Structure - My Opinion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: oscar <cincpac@nightmail.com>
Message-ID: <387681C6.F81788D5@nightmail.com>
Source record: 122562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<SNIPPED>
> What's this story have to do with the license re-structure?
> It's quite simple if you haven't figured it out already. A few olde farhtz have
> a pre-conceived notions that the ham operator of the future is lazy,
> un-motivated, looking for a handout, etc.. I'm hoping that they all take the
> same attitude towards you that I have taken towards the people I work with. You
> know nothing about their backgrounds, skills, ambitions, or desires. You are in
> no place to judge them. I hope that the HF bands become flooded with the new
> Generals and Extras. In fact, as soon as the question pools are available, I'm
> probably going to write a memory-association guide for each question, so that
> many more will pass (even ace) the tests. The more you bitch, the more I'll
> encourage newcomers to upgrade.
> The new license classes will soon be reality. Deal with it.
> Tom - N8ECW

::Tom, I agree. Them's the rules now, be mature and deal with it!!!
The *real hams*, not the ones who tout CW, but the ones who actually
care about the ARS will work with the new system help it grow.

1088. Re: Geriatric Code Speed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: oscar <cincpac@nightmail.com>
Message-ID: <38768922.42D32231@nightmail.com>
Source record: 122563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<SNIPPED MOST OF THIS DIATRIBE>
> Think affirmative action. D'wana Terry. Need I say more ?
::Here's a pacifier son? Gee, you don't like the new rules so now you're resorting to personal attacks.
Since you asked a rhetorical question, yes, you need to say more....like what do you mean with your reference about D'wana Terry?
>
> Finally, think of this. Hollingsworthless was too damn stupid to pass
> the 20 wpm or too damn lazy and bent the ear of the decision makers to
> get himself a dumb-dumb's upgrade to Extra.
::He wasn't stupid at all...he got what he wanted at your expense... eat your heart out.

1089. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38769488.22C6FDA3@icss.net>
Source record: 122564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Phil Wheeler wrote:

> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> >
> > Not to start a war, here...I am *just* making the observation that the
> > tests may change AND be a bit harder (laughable, I know) after the April 15
> > date.
> >
>
> Sure is possible, since they may cover newer technology. Plus I think
> the new one is longer (50 vs. 40 questions?).
>
> Phil

And the VE's writing it might just be a little disgruntled.

1090. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0701001953230001@209.163.129.90>
Source record: 122565
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <38766104.16FC@mobiletel.com>, mdwade@mobiletel.com wrote:

> Perfidious wrote:
> >
> > In article <z4dd4.798$CJ1.31520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
> > <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
> >
> > > Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
> > > : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
> > > : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
> > > : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
> > > : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
> > > : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
> > > : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
> > > : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
> > > : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
> > > :
> > > : Sgt. Rock
> > >
> > > The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
> > > highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
> > > you should see more folks facing federal charges.
> > I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
> > folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
> By RDF (radio direction finding equipment), usually when the cops call
> upon their
> ham buddies in Civil Defense, the chase is on.
>
> > Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above...
> AGAIN SEE ABOVE?
> "the suspect
> > will be suspect"
> > exactally what does that mean?
> TELL IT TO THE JUDGE.
>
> > get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
detective...
> > dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
> > business of your own.... try minding it.
> Must be an illegal? 9 outta 10 criminals perfer an inactive citizenry,
> but that 1 will
> carry the day. Are you Part of the Problem or Part of the Solution?

> Perf, you would
> like to have have somebody "mind their own business" especially if it
> fits an illegal need? SEMPER VIGILANTIS
> 73s de MARTIN N5PZJ

Me? Neither problem 'nor solution. I sit back and think citizens should be just that. I could understand Hunting down someone jamming the ham bands...not the police bands. IF I wished to be a police officer then... "SEMPER VIGILANTIS"
sounds like "busy body" to me. Nothing wrong with neighborhood watch, but doing the jobs of civil servants is usually only done to be noticed. I question
whether or not you play fireman on the side, or help the roadcrews hold their shovels upright. I never read that any of the ideas or by-laws ..etc.. suggested helping to catch "bad guys" only to help in Civil emergencies ie: floods, earthquakes, and disasters that may Interupt communications.No?

If talents run so high then why are there not more Elmers? Better teaching would be hams to become more proficient at their hobby.
It seems so many people are griping over the new rulings. as if they want no more people on the air, and in the same breath state that the hobby is dying.

Personally, I live 21 miles from the nearest store, and it's in a town of 4000 We don't have such problems as city dwellers, we've all learned to be responsible for our actions, and help our neighbors out. Rarely do Govt. agencies need to be in OUR business.

'Course cops here don't randomly harass people, or sit them on curbs...etc. They still say "Sir" "Ma'am" here.

1091. WTD FTS22 separation cable for Yaesu

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: JF <jfox@pathcom.com>
Message-ID: <8564fm$sp9$1@newsmaster.pathcom.com>
Source record: 122566
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you have a FTS22 decoder board for a 8100
that you are not in need of, please send me an
email with price etc....

Thanks, Jim jfox@pathcom.com

1092. Tcl/Tk APRS program link fixed.... Sorry.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <38769AA0.E3CE4F46@preferred.com>
Source record: 122567
Cross-posted to: comp.lang.tcl,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I apologize, I screwed up the link to the source file.
Got in a hurry and didn't verify it.
It's fixed. Again I'm sorry

--
Jeff Pierce, wd4nmq
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1093. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <webmonkey@mad.scientist.com>
Message-ID: <38769AFA.5B5D@mad.scientist.com>
Source record: 122568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

njvets wrote:
>
> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
> volt battery and an oak tree?
> I don't.
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> parking ticket?
> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> K2TL

i feel that way sometimes watching the posts here in usenet. not just
in the ham groups.

anyone can go down to wally world and buy a computer and get on the
internet, but i don't ask them if they have any computer knowlege of
worth before i 'converse' with them.

interesting analogy. most of the people out here in the net are
appliance operators but noone 'blackballs' them.

people will visit websites that were 'generated' by an expensive program
just as much as a website built with notepad. the content is what
counts.

weird.
--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF
53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
mailto:demoman@rollanet.org

http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

1094. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <webmonkey@mad.scientist.com>
Message-ID: <38769EB1.4F4C@mad.scientist.com>
Source record: 122569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

WALTERB@prodigy.net wrote:
>
> Hi LLoyd,
> I found out that an Outbacker antenna works real well on 40meters and the
> other bands as well.
> the antenna is designed for the ICOM706II the purth+ is the one. I love
> mine, i started out with a Ham Stick but it brought in to much noise for my
> tast, The Outbacker Purth+ is on the pricey side
> but works great on all bands that it is designed for!!!!!!!!!!!!!! KB6HRT

perth+, haven't done alot of research so i'll ask. does this model
include 17m. i've seen the ads but some antennae list warc and other
do not. just would like to hear from an owner.
--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF
53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

1095. Re: PROPHET STAIR STILL WITH US IN 2000!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Cool <kb0tix@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s7d7hjncoj869@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I've had it with this hick! He's going on my ignore list. Could anyone tell me who else supports this guy so I can add them to my ignore list also? Perhaps someone could let me know who this nut's ISP is. I'd like to give them an earful about him. I've watched this newsgroup for a long time before I ever started posting or replying and this retard has stuck out from the rest of you like a sore thumb! I've got a collection of messages from this hillbilly that is sure to be enough for his ISP to kick him off. I think its about time someone "spanked" him since he is so insistant on acting like a 2 year old. Maybe a few of you have some ideas I haven't yet thought of to rid this newsgroup of this so obviously inbred kid.

Thanks ahead of time.

1096. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <%Wxd4.3187$Vr.70692@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Patrick Wilson wrote
>The changes won't kill CW...

CW is being killed by father time, not the licensing changes. Even with full code testing for decades, the age of the average CW operator continues to rise, with few young replacements. Mortality will eventually take it's toll. There may be a few less new CW operators down the line because of the licensing change, but I'm afraid the mode was already on it's way to token use (similar to AM) in 20 or 30 years...

1097. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tate <73KC7ZRU73@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <3876AB6C.80B6CA24@cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 122572
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Correct in part

However, the problem doesn't reside with enforcement. Even though more proactive enforcement, at this time, sounds good. And it may well be - as long as it doesn't get in the way of legitimate operations.

The fault falls squarely on those that refuse to obey the rules.

It's like trying to blame the sprinkler system for burning the house down. The renter shouldn't have tried to barbecue his chops using the kitchen table as a grill. He's the one that started the fire - not the sprinklers. (To stay with the ham analogy, if someone hadn't turned off the sprinkler system it may have been possible to save more property and lives before the house became an ash pit)

You want to blame someone? Blame those that abuse the privilege of operating in the amateur bands, as if it where a right.

73

Bud the Real wrote:
>
>
> The problem with Ham Radio isn't the tests, or the lack of CW,
> it's the lack of ENFORCEMENT!
>

--
KC7ZRU | In Laramie Area
Laramie, WY | UARC Repeater
DN71eh | 146.610
"The Dungeon" online at http://www.cyberhighway.net/~tateb

1098. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <3876B22B.A222A728@csnsys.com>
Source record: 122573
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The amateur community has often been solicited for hunting transmitters
and interfering sources from cable companies, police, fire, FCC, (yes,
the FCC), cab companies, various service providers and the Feds. Where
else can you get a bunch of trained mobile operators with fully equipped
cars that will drive around like crazy without being paid?

Bob

Perfidious wrote:
>
> In article <z4dd4.798$CJ1.31520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
> <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
>
> > Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
> > : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
> > : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
> > : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
> > : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
> > : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
> > : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
> > : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
> > : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
> > :
> > : Sgt. Rock
> >
> > The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
> > highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
> > you should see more folks facing federal charges.
> I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
> folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
> Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the suspect
> will be suspect"
> exactally what does that mean?
> get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing detective...
> dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
> business of your own.... try minding it.

1099. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3876BF5F.52D1714@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122574
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

&#65533;

Perfidious wrote:

> Me? Neither problem 'nor solution. I sit back and think citizens should be
> just that. I could understand Hunting down someone jamming the ham
> bands...not the police bands.&#65533; IF I wished to be a police officer then...
> "SEMPER VIGILANTIS"

Amateurs provide lot's of different services. Were not a phone company either but a friend of mine ran a phone patch to the Cleveland clinic back in the late 70's not knowing exactly who the person was at the hospital only that the phone patch was from a JY3 station, months later he received a personal thank you letter from JY1 himself thanking him for his assistance during a telephone system failure in Jordan.

But I guess were not a phone company so he should have minded his own business?

> sounds like "busy body" to me.&#65533;&#65533; Nothing wrong with neighborhood watch,
> but doing the jobs of civil servants is usually only done to be noticed. I
> question whether or not you play fireman on the side, or help the roadcrews hold
>
> their shovels upright. I never read that any of the ideas or by-laws
> ..etc.. suggested helping to catch "bad guys" only to help in Civil
> emergencies ie: floods, earthquakes, and disasters that may Interupt
> communications.No?

Wow, if I knew my local police department were being jammed I'd get a doppler system going ASAP, my family and communities safety could be at risk. That's VERY MUCH MY BUSINESS.

> If talents run so high then why are there not more Elmers?

Being an Elmer and helping one learn code and study to become a ham has nothing to do with talent, furthermore; the clubs I've seen that have fox hunts and specialize in DFing do Elmer, every time someone else joins the hunt. A group who did DFing in TN used GPS systems tied to a 2m link and assisted in finding a lost hiker in the mountains. This was the job of the search and rescue squad, I guess they should have minded their own business according to you eh? A ham found the lost hiker.

> Personally, I live 21 miles from the nearest store, and it's in a town of 4000
> We don't have such problems as city dwellers,

So since your environment isn't anything close to that of Southern California
where this incident occurred what experience do you have that allows you to
profess to the group what we should and should do with respect to law enforcement?

> we've all learned to be responsible for our actions, and help our neighbors out.
> Rarely do Govt.
> agencies need to be in OUR business.

Great, it doesn't work that way unfortunately in S Cal. Perhaps. I bet if the
Crypts and Bloods decided to hold a meeting at your local coffee shop you might be
whistling a different tune.

> 'Course cops here don't randomly harass people, or sit them on curbs...etc.
> They still say "Sir" "Ma'am" here.

When would they have time?, to busy eatin rhubarb pie down and Billy Jo Jim Bob's
Family restaurant talking about whose cucumbers won at the last 4H meeting.
Sheeesh.

ddz

1100. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <ooyd4.4089$JI.92385@news3.mia>
Source record: 122575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Patrick Wilson wrote in part ...

>... Mentor someone in the art of kit building,
>show how to use an oscilloscope, teach someone to use an antenna analyzer
or
>show someone how to solder (an art unto itself, when done properly).
>
A very painful experience if done improperly :-))

Regards,

Arnie -
KT4ST

"Is this supposed to look like a big lump of silver wrapped around this
wire?"

1101. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: K7MHZ <garbreNOSPAM@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <38766e1a_2@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 122576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You funny...

Gary
K7MHZ/5

Joe K7JOE wrote in message <3876612C.FBEA5C56@yahoo.com>...
>Does that mean that us amateur extras, who passed a 20 WPM
>test without a doctors excuse note, can start signing our callsigns
>"stroke 20" as a designate kinda like the "interim KT, temporary AE"
>that was so prevalent on two meters back in the early and mid 1980's
>?
>
>Joe K7JOE/20

1102. Re: Geriatric Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sid9 <sid9@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eHCE3uYW$GA.271@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 122577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The Morse code requirement has done more to hurt ham radio then any of the "worse than CBers" that you hear on the bands. It's time we let go of World War 1 relics like Morse code, "Q" code and numbered messages. These are symbols of the ham communities backwardness and the "dog in the manger" attitude toward our frequency allocations.

Morse code was finished after World war 2. It's time to recognize the new technologies and move into the 21st century.

"oscar" <cincpac@nightmail.com> wrote in message
news:38768922.42D32231@nightmail.com...
> <SNIPPED MOST OF THIS DIATRIBE>
> > Think affirmative action. D'wana Terry. Need I say more ?
> ::Here's a pacifier son? Gee, you don't like the new rules so now you're
> resorting to personal attacks.
> Since you asked a rhetorical question, yes, you need to say more....like
> what do you mean with your reference about D'wana Terry?
> >
> > Finally, think of this. Hollingsworthless was too damn stupid to pass
> > the 20 wpm or too damn lazy and bent the ear of the decision makers to
> > get himself a dumb-dumb's upgrade to Extra.
> ::He wasn't stupid at all...he got what he wanted at your expense... eat
> your heart out.

1103. Palm Pilot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John R. Glazier" <glazier.john@mcleod.net>
Message-ID: <3876B652.261C5D62@mcleod.net>
Source record: 122578

Does anyone know of any ham software programs written for the Palm
Pilot? I know that with restructuring the study quides would be
different but if there are any out there now I would be interested,
Especially for the Extra. Thanks for your time. 73's.
KI0OF John

1104. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com>
Message-ID: <3876B640.D9D02A91@csnsys.com>
Source record: 122579
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

For those scanner folk that might not know about hams and their
propensity for hunting transmitter. Here in SoCal we have formal hidden
transmitter hunts mostly on 2 meters (146.565) every week end. These
range from "easy" hunts lasting an hour or two to the monthly "All-Days"
that last a couple of days and may have multiple transmitters as far
away as 800 miles. BTW, I don't know of any scanner folk participating
in thest hunts, but since the hunter never transmits, only receives, no
licence is required to enjoy this faction of the radio hobby.

Bob

Perfidious wrote:
>
> In article <38766104.16FC@mobiletel.com>, mdwade@mobiletel.com wrote:
>
> > Perfidious wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <z4dd4.798$CJ1.31520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
> > > <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
> > > > : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
> > > > : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
> > > > : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
> > > > : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
> > > > : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
> > > > : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
> > > > : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
> > > > : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
> > > > :
> > > > : Sgt. Rock
> > > >
> > > > The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
> > > > highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
> > > > you should see more folks facing federal charges.
> > > I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
> > > folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
> > By RDF (radio direction finding equipment), usually when the cops call
> > upon their
> > ham buddies in Civil Defense, the chase is on.
> >
> > > Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above...
> > AGAIN SEE ABOVE?

> > "the suspect
> > > will be suspect"
> > > exactally what does that mean?
> > TELL IT TO THE JUDGE.
> >
> > > get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
> detective...
> > > dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
> > > business of your own.... try minding it.
> > Must be an illegal? 9 outta 10 criminals perfer an inactive citizenry,
> > but that 1 will
> > carry the day. Are you Part of the Problem or Part of the Solution?
> > Perf, you would
> > like to have have somebody "mind their own business" especially if it
> > fits an illegal need? SEMPER VIGILANTIS
> > 73s de MARTIN N5PZJ
>
> Me? Neither problem 'nor solution. I sit back and think citizens should be
> just that. I could understand Hunting down someone jamming the ham
> bands...not the police bands. IF I wished to be a police officer then...
> "SEMPER VIGILANTIS"
> sounds like "busy body" to me. Nothing wrong with neighborhood watch,
> but doing the jobs of civil servants is usually only done to be noticed. I
> question
> whether or not you play fireman on the side, or help the roadcrews hold
> their shovels upright. I never read that any of the ideas or by-laws
> ..etc.. suggested helping to catch "bad guys" only to help in Civil
> emergencies ie: floods, earthquakes, and disasters that may Interupt
> communications.No?
>
> If talents run so high then why are there not more Elmers? Better teaching
> would be hams to become more proficient at their hobby.
> It seems so many people are griping over the new rulings. as if they want
> no more people on the air, and in the same breath state that the hobby is
> dying.
>
> Personally, I live 21 miles from the nearest store, and it's in a town of 4000
> We don't have such problems as city dwellers, we've all learned to be
> responsible for our actions, and help our neighbors out. Rarely do Govt.
> agencies need to be in OUR business.
>
> 'Course cops here don't randomly harass people, or sit them on curbs...etc.
> They still say "Sir" "Ma'am" here.

1105. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3876bb68_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 122580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For once I agree with pretty much everything Jeff had to say. Maybe most people don't care about, or even know about, our hobby. But I do, and we do. We all have our feelings about the restructuring. Me, I love the Morse Code, but hated the Morse Code licensing requirement. Others felt that a stiff Morse requirement was important (I did at one time, so I understand this feeling very well). Hopefully we can agree to differ, and agree to unite to advance a much higher goal: promote, preserve, and advance amateur radio, my lifelong hobby. RJB

--

_____

Roger J. Buffington, W6VZV

"If danger ever reach us, it must spring up from amongst us. If destruction be our lot, we must ourselves be its author and its finisher
as a nation of free men, we will live through all time, or die by suicide." -- Abraham Lincoln

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:856113$4gt$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Followups set to just rec.radio.amateur.policy
>
>
> In rec.radio.cb, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
> >In rec.radio.amateur.equipment, Z. James wrote:
>
> >> Regardless of the long winded thread here. 99.9999999999% of human beings
> >> could [NOT] care less of the restructuring.
>
> And an even larger percentage of folks could NOT care less about you
> or things you feel are important in your life, yet those things are
> still important to you, right? One thing we are assured of is that the
> folks participating in this thread do care, so for calculating
> our base for your percentage, we should not use "all human beings."
>
> >> Don't worry about your pathetic little
> >> world being overloaded with newbies, they aren't interested.
>
> Again, the base for this percentage you want to use isn't "all human
> beings," but rather licensed amateur radio operators.

>
> >Exactly, there was a time when being able to communicate all over
the
> >world using your own shortwave equipment was a fascinating thing,
today
> >anyone can pull a cell phone out of their pocket and call almost
anyone
> >anywhere in the world.
>
> If you don't mind using millions of miles of telephone company
cables
> and billions of dollars of corporate- and government-owned
computers.
>
> The attraction to worldwide communications via amateur radio is that
> one has very little dependence upon those things cellphone and
inter-
> net users depend on.
>
> >Most people don't ever know what ham radio is (or
> >think it is cb radio) and could not care less.
>
> That's the way it's always been. Most people don't care about coin-
> and stamp-collecting, but that fact doesn't diminish those hobbies.
>
> Jeff

1106. >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW not CB <xrayj@woldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3876C7BA.7C34C8@woldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122581

WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1

"How easy are the current code tests?"
They have been passed by 4-year-olds, 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.

"What is 'No Code International'?"
A handful of laceybritches whiny CBers who want to take over ham radio, who have been humiliated, shown up and shut down by 4-year-olds, 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.

"What is 'ARRL'?"
The Asian Radio Retailer's Lobby, a magazine publishing house that thinks they own ham radio and who will whore off true ham radio as they see fit to preserve their incomes and their name badges.

"I don't know code."
Then, of course, you are NO HAM.

"But I don't want to learn code!"
Then you will remain NO HAM.

"But I can't pass the code test!"
Then you don't qualify for a ham license.

"But I shouldn't have to learn the code because I don't want to use it!"

Then you're just a CBer.

"But I have a "no-code technician license!"
Then you are a CBer on 2-meter CB.

"But I have a call sign!"
So do CBers.

"But 'ALL HAMS ARE EQUAL'!!"
You've been sitting out in the sun too long.

"But Morse code is old fashioned!"
Not as old fashioned as using your VOCAL CORDS.

"But the military...!"
Ham radio is not "the military."

"But the Internet... !"
Ham radio is not "the Internet."

"But it's the "digital age!"
Then learn the difference between "OFF" and "ON" and you can learn the

code.

"But there are 'good people being kept out by the code requirement'."
See phenomenon #3 and #4 of the Anti-coder profile at
http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever

"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test should
be dropped."
See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever

"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test speeds
should be reduced."
See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever

"But so-and-so has a ham license and he is an "ex-CBer," and he thinks
that the code should be dropped!"
Then so-and-so is still just a CBer who merely wants "revised CB."
See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever

"But I HAVE A RIGHT...!"
NO YOU DON'T.

1107. Re: REPOST: Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <T6dd4.801$CJ1.31742@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122582
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ResQR551 <resqr551@aol.com> wrote ...
: The FCC has: No Power of Arrest what so ever.
: Get your facts staight dickhead.
:

But they can fine. And they do have the power to bring in the US Marshalls
to make the arrest then have you tried in Federal court.

: ========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
: Path:
...!torn!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!intgwpad.nntp.telstra.net!nsw.n
nrp.telstra.net!not-for-mail
: Message-ID: <33254661872456.58832.81341850@ng-fw1.aol.com>
: Control: cancel <20000104151406.25750.00000462@ng-fw1.aol.com>
: Subject: cmsg cancel <20000104151406.25750.00000462@ng-fw1.aol.com>
: From: resqr551@aol.com (ResQR551)
: Newsgroups:
kiel.test,schule.test,muc.test,ka.test,mn.test,sat.test,at.test,alt.law-enfo
rcement
: User-Agent: slrn/0.9.5.7 (UNIX)
: Reply-To: resqr551@aol.com (ResQR551)
: Lines: 1
: Date: 4 Jan 2000 21:35:37 GMT
: NNTP-Posting-Host: 139.130.37.226
: X-Complaints-To: abuse@telstra.net
: X-Trace: nsw.nnrp.telstra.net 947021783 139.130.37.226 (Wed, 05 Jan 2000
08:36:23 EST)
: NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2000 08:36:23 EST
: Organization: Customer of Telstra Big Pond Direct
:
: article <20000104151406.25750.00000462@ng-fw1.aol.com> cancelled by
slrn/0.9.5.7 (UNIX)

1108. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <853lep$71k@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 122583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom (jbloom@arrl.org) wrote:
[snip]

: Maybe they'll wait until April. Why take 90 questions worth of exam and
: wait until April to get the privileges when you can wait until April
: and take a 50-question exam that gets you the same thing? Either way,
: you have to wait to April to apply for the upgrade.

So in 20 years they can brag that they "did it the ol' fashioned way" by
taking two tests instead of one ;-)))

My local VE told me that the number of people calling him where the test
hall is etc has gone up quite a bit in the last week. He told me that for
awhile there they had only 5 or 6 people a session (once a month).

: Jon
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

1109. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Nowell <nowell@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <6_dd4.4621$zU5.51741@wbnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 122584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Robert, I am in this exact situation and also wondering what to do. That is, should I take Element 4B before April 15 and then get "automatically" upgraded to Extra, or is that a wasted effort? Please keep us posted as to what you find out from the ARRL. Thanks, Randy, N1ZUO.

Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX <rschaffrath!nospam@acm.org> wrote in message news:38756348.207F@acm.org...
> Anthony R. Gold wrote:
> >
> > On Thursday, in article <3874A9C6.619940E3@IEEE.org>
> > Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org "W6RCecilA" wrote:
> >
> > That existing advanced class ham should take element 4(B) immediately
> > and so be eligible for an extra class license in April.
(snip)
> > Regards,
> > --
> > Tony - G3SKR / W2TG email: tgold@panix.com
>
> Actually I am wondering if this is true or not. The ARRL announcement
> said all Advanced class amateurs have a window until April 15th to take
> element 4B to upgrade to Extra on the 15th.
(snip)
> However, after reading through the 70 pages which comprise the FCC R&O,
> this does not seem to make any sense. > I did write a note to vec@arrl.org asking if they could explain how this
> would work. I have not yet received a response.
> --
> Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX
> mailto:rschaffrath!nospam@acm.org
> (remove !nospam to reply)

1110. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <853sv7$bv2$7@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 122585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Perfidious wrote:
>
License or not....I question how many CB'ers would spend
> the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
> etc..
> and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly.... Not
> to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
> money did.

Nonsense. There probably as much ham gear on 11 meters as
their is on the ham bands. That's what saved a whole lot of
vintage AM ham gear that is once again popular with some
hams.

1111. W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107011009.01017.00000315@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122586

As per the prediction from Art Visser......that this would appear on this newsgroup......
Riley Hollingsworth has sent a letter to Art Visser---W9ART for jamming of 3950. The report on the Rain report was in error. The infraction was not on 20 meters. This report is as per the statement made by W9ART on 1/7/00 at 12:45 am..
Lets see now--------the score is----------W9ART-----WA9KJI-------K5BLB-----N0KED--------soon Doofus-------

N8WGM

1112. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <38758353.39D80AA8@flash.net>
Source record: 122587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Randy Nowell wrote:
>
> Robert, I am in this exact situation and also wondering what to do. That is,
> should I take Element 4B before April 15 and then get "automatically" upgraded
> to Extra, or is that a wasted effort? Please keep us posted as to what you find
> out from the ARRL.

The FAQ at

http://www.arrl.org/news/restructuring/faq.html

directly addresses your question. A partial quote is below. Hard to
read due to formatting, but the info is there.

Phil

---

3. I hold an Advanced class license. Can I take the current Amateur
Extra class written
examination (Element 4B) right now, then apply for
an upgrade to Extra after April 15,
2000?

Yes. The FCC has told the League that current
Advanced licensees holding a CSCE for Element 4B
on April 15, 2000, may apply for an Amateur Extra
class upgrade. See question 2, above. The
advantage here is that the current Advanced licensee
will face a slightly shorter 40-question
examination under the current licensing regime to get
a CSCE for the current Element 4B. The new
Extra class Element 4 will contain 50 questions (and
is expected to contain many of the present
Advanced class question pool technical questions). To
be valid on April 15, 2000, your CSCE will
have to be dated on or after April 17, 1999. A CSCE
is only good for 365 days.

1113. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107013945.01017.00000319@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122588

Roger wise ass said.....

>TON Duhhhhhhhhhhhh (N8WGM) is a wannabe survivalist and detective.

Sorry---no I'm not-------on either account.
I do believe that people should be prepared. As far as being a detective.....I do have connections to the law enforcement community...I have a few relatives and friends that are in law enforcement.I can get any information I need. But I wouldn't waste my time with you.

>He
>tried to stalk me and harass me by telephone. Additionally, he tried to
>ILLEGALLY TAPE RECORD my phone conversations, without my permission,
>which is wiretapping.

Roger---you are dillusional. I did not try to stalk you. I was in the area for two reasons.
One to visit my uncle who is retired and living in Wheeling. By the way--he's a retired police officer and made the observation that you were jamming 20 meters. He rode down your street with a receiver and found that you were the one jamming.The second reason was to go to the casinos. You were just a sidebar on the way to where I was going. You were afraid to come out of your house and our conversation was less than 20 seconds. You asked me to kiss your ass and then you hid behind your curtains.
I was not going to record you without your permission---I allways record my cell phone for business reasons.I always tell the people on the other end they are being recorded. I was in your neighborhood for less than 3 minutes. If that is stalking--then please call the FBI and the sherrif and have me arrested right now. I'll gladly sit back and watch them then go after you for being such a moron.

>He's obsessed with me and would go to great
>lengths to take my picture for whatever reason.

Yeah great lengths--like just park outside your house for 1 minute to ask you to come out which you did not do. And I 've not returned. Why are you so afraid of having your photo taken? I guess you are deformed as I have stated in my previous posting.
And anyone can photograph you without any problem.... Your house is less than 15 feet from the road.
Typical lower middle class hillbilly type house.....no front yard....small side and back yard and a house of less than 750 square feet.

>I speculate he wants it
>to masturbate to.

Stop fantasizing
Roger---you must be thinking of masturbating all the time...That's alll you seem to be thinking of in all of your posts.
----Roger wiseman thinks that men maturbate while thinking about him......perverted isn't he?

>One can only guess why he wants to live in a "house"
>built underground,

Roger--obviously you are not of any intelligence in reguards to construction, architecture and using the earth as a flywheel to mitigate the need for external fuels to heat and cool a home or other buidling. I have superior fenestration and use it to heat the house in the winter. During the summer.....I do not need air conditioning.....my house stays around 68 to 73 degrees due to the design of the house. If you want to keep paying the electric and gas companies to stay warm and cool.....then you indeed are dumber than I think.

ROGER---in my final comment to you.....I will say this--you do have the guts to put your name on your posts. It takes a lot of guts to put your name on some of those postings you make. Anyone reading them would think my god what a moron. Your posts are hatefull and inane with a dash of acerbity added.. I find them to be a waste of time to respond to and will not repsond to them again. You will be on my filter to remove.
73's--and good luck to you with your campaign to make everybody hate you.
N8WGM

1114. Re: Did Riley get W9ART????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107014933.01017.00000320@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122589

I was indeed W9ART. Riley got the band wrong.
N8WGM

1115. Re: South Bend Ind qrmer sent letter for jamming!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107015525.01017.00000323@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 122590

It was W9ART...he even said so on 3950 last night--1/7/00
N8WGM

1116. Re: Daiwa Rotators Can Kill !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <3i3b7so7mme4ems7804q1h6av2iee6s7b7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 18:34:36 GMT, phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:

>A GFI in a hamshack would be a very good idea.

And get all kind of false triggering due to RFI...

While the GFI is a good idea when working inside a live device on the bench, however, the GFI it is not a substitution for an isolation transformer. Due to the RF sensitivity of the GFI, it might not be a good idea to take all the normal operating power through the GFI. With a separate grounding electrode for the antenna tower, you quickly end up in ground loop currents even without the presence of RF, which can trigger the GFI.

For safe operation, it is important that every chassis is securely connected to the protective ground and that all wires and connectors are selected based on the fuse rating (and preferably using even thicker wires) to insure that the fuse is blown quickly, if the live ever gets connected to the chassis.

Paul OH3LWR

1117. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rcoyle@Bayou.UH.EDU
Message-ID: <854bb8opj2@Masala.CC.UH.EDU>
Source record: 122592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Steve (amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis) wrote:
: In article <84rq7v$tn7$4@Masala.CC.UH.EDU>, rcoyle@Bayou.UH.EDU (Robert
: L. Coyle Jr.) wrote:

: > David Stinson (arc5@ix.netcom.com) wrote:
: > : Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
: > : ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
: >
: > : There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
: > : saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
: > : at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
: > : they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
: >
: > Only if we licensed hams recognize a 5 wpm Extra as an Extra. I recognize
: > amateurs by their accomplishments. A Codeless Tech is still a Codeless
: > Tech. If one passes the 20 wpm element, they are an Amateur Extra. If they
: > don't, they aren't.
: >
: > Chin up, old man. The new grade of instant hams will still shy away from
: > the CW portion of the bands. I suspect that they won't be able to copy at
: > 5 wpm, if at all.
: >
: > Robert
: >

: Hmmm. And I thought accomplishment in Amateur Radio started AFTER you
: were licensed (like building, experimenting, DXing, Elmering, etc.)

These require skills not learned by memorizing a public question pool.

Robert

1118. Re: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <855c4d$4ds$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122593
Cross-posted to: alt.thought.southern,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <cqrb4.12925$y11.149882@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> I WOULD LIKE TO MEET, CHAT AND E-MAIL ANY NICE GIRLS ON THIS FORUM.
I'M 5'7
> ABOUT 210LBS AND DRIVE A LATE MODEL PICKUP TRUCK.

Holy Crap, Bubba! 5-7 and 210 pounds! Gonna have to paint stripes
on you so we can tell whether you're walkin' or rollin'!

I'll bet that cheap S10 truck has a terrible portside-list!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1119. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: news1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <CF5BB6EB4EE8BF32.3353BDC321B72F4B.3C2D53DB7FA3D0BB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John, the reason you fuse the negative lead is to prevent damage to
the radio should your car battery ground fail. If your radio is tied
straight to the negative post and the main car negative cable fails
you will (attempt) to start your car through your radio. When you hit
the starter several hundred amps are drawn through the 12 gauge wire
and the coax. It doesn't last very long!
73
Terry
On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 17:45:12 -0600, johng@comm.mot.com (John Gilbert)
wrote:

>In article <3873DC86.98574C03@flash.net>, w7ox@flash.net wrote:
>> Re power: Run the cables through the firewall (there is usually a way to
>> do it) and connect direct to the battery, with fuses on both plus and
>> minus wires at the battery.
>
>You should not fuse the negative lead. It doesn't do any good anyway. If
>this fuse opens up, you are likely to continue to have a return path for
>the current through the shield of your coax and/or your radio mounting
>bracket. This can result in damage to your radio as much higher currents
>are present than PC board traces were designed to handle.
>
>--
>John Gilbert
>johng@comm.mot.com

1120. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <3875cf79.127450852@news.guam.net>
Source record: 122595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 7 Jan 2000 05:18:31 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Perfidious wrote:
>>
> License or not....I question how many CB'ers would spend
>> the money it takes to operate amatuer radio. Big spread between an ICOM,
>> etc..
>> and a Cobra...$$$$..etc. I've found "hams" on 11 meter regularly.... Not
>> to many "CB'ers on 80 meter however. I never figured CW stopped CB'ers..
>> money did.
>
>
> Nonsense. There probably as much ham gear on 11 meters as
>their is on the ham bands. That's what saved a whole lot of
>vintage AM ham gear that is once again popular with some
>hams.

And it's what's been keeping Yaesu in business for years...

73, Jim KH2D

1121. Re: Motorola Spectra A7 codeplug NEED PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nbg@remove.EUnet.yu
Message-ID: <3875e043.1089322@news.eunet.yu>
Source record: 122596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 12:44:36 GMT, nbg@remove.EUnet.yu (Sascha NBG)
wrote:

>Please can somebody post me a codeplug for Motorola Spectra analog A7
>VHF model D43KXA7JA7BK , including valid .dbf file.
>
>I have many of RSS for Motorola for exchange for that codeplug
>
>please reply on E-mail:
>
> nbg@eunet.yu
>
>Regards

I want to convert my A5 to A7 model so for that I need it.

1122. Motorola Spectra A7 codeplug NEED PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nbg@remove.EUnet.yu
Message-ID: <3875dd07.261131@news.eunet.yu>
Source record: 122597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Please can somebody post me a codeplug for Motorola Spectra analog A7
VHF model D43KXA7JA7BK , including valid .dbf file.

I have many of RSS for Motorola for exchange for that codeplug

please reply on E-mail:

nbg@eunet.yu

Regards

1123. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3875E0CA.7B2EC25E@icss.net>
Source record: 122598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Steve wrote:

> In article <3871FCC6.B6B5AA09@worldlynx.net>, Piero Sassu
> <n3fvg@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> > I wholeheartedly agree also. I also got mine "the old fashioned way",
>
> Which is? And when were you licensed?
>
> The really old fashioned way required 10 WPM - sending and receiving.
> And the question pool was NOT published. You were required to draw
> schematics as part of the written test.

The really, really old fashioned way did not have a Morse test.

1124. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <854o5f$4es$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 122599

If your going to put up a dipole, I suggest the G5RV, its exelent.
I have one on my Kenwood TS-450 and it requires very little tuning
up from 3-30mhz. I have a small yard with few trees and it works
out well with the fed ends being about 60 feet the whole thing stays
in my back yard. I've made many 10 meter contacts with it, and when
I was in CAP I use to check into my region hf nets on 4.4 and 4.5mhz
and 7.6mhz all the time. Great antenna for 40 bucks.

Sounds like your going to be upgrading automaticly without any tests.
I missed the chance by about 10 months :(oh well no big deal, I
intend to take and pass the general and extra elements soon. :)
Jimmy, aka nexus

Pappy@sgi.net hath said:
: And I also have the exact same problem as you have NeXus with code and I have
: held my T+ since 1974. Knowing that I will be upgrading this spring to general I
: already bought a new tri-band beam to put on my 50' tower. I will now begin to
: take a much more active part in a hobby that I enjoy by joining a local ham club
: and taking part in passing traffic, etc.. This will also enhance my operation in
: the communities emergency management command post operation. I am the EMA
: Coordinator where at the present time along with all the county and state radios,
: I have both 2 and 440 radios in operation, now I will put up a long wire on the
: roof and install an HF rig for emergency work.

: NeXus, the very best to you and congratulations.

: The NeXus wrote:

:> Thats correct, but why stop there? Why not go for it all and
:> get the King of licenses, get the Amateur Extra! Thats what I'm
:> doing. I've been a Tech for 11 years now, I was forced to take
:> the code, I'm glad I did but I never looked down on the no-code
:> techs when they came out. And I am simply overjoyed over this
:> new restructuring by the FCC. I took the code test simply to
:> get a tech license, at the time I was only interested in 2 meter
:> and still mostly do a lot with 2 and 440 now. But I have 3 HF
:> radios because my dad is an Advanced, and I loved working QSOs
:> on 10meter phone. I never kept up my code so its real poor right
:> now. I've tried a couple of times to work up to 13 WPM but just
:> couldn't do it. There are a lot of HF nets I'd love to get in
:> to, and being with RACES & ARES being able to operate on HF is
:> real important for passing traffic. I have a LOT of abilities
:> in passing traffic on emergency and non-emergency nets, BUT I can
:> not use it because I can't get 13 WPM. I know I can pass both
:> the General and Extra licenses but I can forget the code. So
:> this is why I'm glad this happened. I'll finally be able to make

:> a contribution to traffic nets and my area RACES & ARES by being
:> able to get on HF. Plus I want to get some awards that would of
:> been impossible on the novice portion of 10meters...
:>
:> Allowing me to use the General and or Extra portions of the HF
:> bands with only 5 WPM under my belt isn't going to make me a dummy
:> or a lid, or a CB'er. Us techs and Novices aren't going to trash
:> the bands. We have all of you Generals and Extras trashing the band!
:> Need I mention 3950, 14313, 14270, 3853 etc etc etc. You've proved
:> that you don't have to be a tech or novice to act like a dumbass
:> cb'er on the HF bands.
:>
:> I say BRAVO to the FCC, I thank the ARRL for their support in this
:> move, and I say BRING IT ON, BRING IT ON, I'm ready for it, watch
:> out HF here I come :))))))
:>
:> Jimmy
:> --
:> :::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
:> firestarter - Prodigy
:> http://www.datasync.com/nexus

Burn her, she's a witch! And how do you know she is a witch?
She turned me into a newt! Ahhhh newt? I got better.......
Monty Python

1125. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <387605E7.1A17091A@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Isn't it funny that all these type of posts come from the crowd that
is on the outside (can get on HF) looking in. Quit your whining and
learn what is necessary to get on the hf ham bands and act like an
amateur not a neanderthal (CBer).

D

Mike Yetsko wrote:
>
> That's right!! You'll be able to tell them from the old idiots who
> persist in bad-mouthing any newbie who doesn't 'fall in line'
> with what they 'had to do' to earn their wings.
>
> Sorta reminds me of the old farts who sat around when I was
> a kid telling old WWII stories about how they each won the war.
>
> Just as pathetic, and just as pointless.
>
> The real shame is there will be a few of the newbies who will be
> mesmerized by the old farts, and become one...
>
> Mike
>
> Save Ham Radio <Hate@spam.org> wrote in message
> news:852tqd$2ho$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > You dont need to restructure the call signs to know who the new hams
> are,
> > just listen for anyone using 10-codes, CB lingo, and echo mics. You'll
> be able
> > to spot the new idiots a mile away.
> >
> >
> >
> > In article <s77orfpsnfg174@news.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net
> (Vince
> > Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> > >
> > >Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look like
> the
> > >old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK because
> the
> > >restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer

> anyway.
> > >
> > >KB7ADL
> > >
> > >
> > >nospam@nospam.com (Radioguy) wrote in <386E112A.77D9@nospam.com>:
> > >>Howdy:
> > >>
> > >>I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> > >>regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after
> RESTRUCTURING.
> > >>

1126. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX" <rschaffrath!nospam@acm.org>
Message-ID: <3875E977.588F@acm.org>
Source record: 122601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Phil Wheeler wrote:
>
> Randy Nowell wrote:
> >
> > Robert, I am in this exact situation and also wondering what to do. That is,
> > should I take Element 4B before April 15 and then get "automatically" upgraded
> > to Extra, or is that a wasted effort? Please keep us posted as to what you find
> > out from the ARRL.
>
> The FAQ at
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/restructuring/faq.html
>
> directly addresses your question. A partial quote is below. Hard to
> read due to formatting, but the info is there.
>
> Phil
>
> --------------
>
> 3. I hold an Advanced class license. Can I take the current Amateur
> Extra class written
> examination (Element 4B) right now, then apply for
> an upgrade to Extra after April 15,
> 2000?
>
> Yes. The FCC has told the League that current
> Advanced licensees holding a CSCE for Element 4B
> on April 15, 2000, may apply for an Amateur Extra
> class upgrade. See question 2, above. The
> advantage here is that the current Advanced licensee
> will face a slightly shorter 40-question
> examination under the current licensing regime to get
> a CSCE for the current Element 4B. The new
> Extra class Element 4 will contain 50 questions (and
> is expected to contain many of the present
> Advanced class question pool technical questions). To
> be valid on April 15, 2000, your CSCE will
> have to be dated on or after April 17, 1999. A CSCE
> is only good for 365 days.

Actually this FAQ now brings up an additional question. Both items #2
and #3 state that in order for a CSCE to be valid on April 15th, it must

be dated on or after April 17th! Government logic? Geesh...

Also, it looks like people who take advantage of this upgrade path are going to pay $13.30. Once to take the exam and a second time to file an application.

--
Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX
mailto:rschaffrath!nospam@acm.org
(remove !nospam to reply)

1127. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <854r35$ar2$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 122602

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
: Many of us "old farts" think so too, and that's why we
: wrote comments to FCC in support of a 5wpm General class
: license. What we DON'T see is the lunatic change that
: essentially turns the entire ARS into one huge
: Novice/Freeband.

I understand the point you are making there. However since the
FCC is allowing us to have access to the Extra class, it is my
primary goal to achieve it after the 15th of April. Hope your not
too mad at me for wanting that :).

You may think this change is going to turn the entire ARS into
one huge novice/freeband but I disagree. The written element for
Extra is still just as tough as it was when you took it.
I'm going to have to learn the same ammount of theory as you did but
just because I can not overcome a 20wpm code test I'm now a novice
or freebander in your eyes? You consider me a second-class operator
not worthy of touching Extra portions. You think that the moment
we get our revised (watered down) extra class ticket we're gonna come
raid the bands and act like a bunch of morons from channel 6 or 19?

Uh have you been on 3950 lately? There is your FREEBANDERS. I'd
rather have a bunch of novices operating within guidelines and using
common sense and trying to all co-exsist together than have fullblown
Extras doing things like, cursing, threatening life and or property,
slandering others, making racial statements, talking bad about others,
diliberate interference towards other stations on the air. You ask
me and I would say that your version of the Extra hasn't worked out,
so I think its time for a change to let some fresh blood who does care
about the other operator, and is kind, and only wants to do good have
a crack at it.

Jimmy The Novice

Burn her, she's a witch! And how do you know she is a witch?
She turned me into a newt! Ahhhh newt? I got better.......
Monty Python

1128. The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: njvets <njvets@skyweb.net>
Message-ID: <mEmd4.1881$%K1.118120@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think, now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9 volt battery and an oak tree?
I don't.
I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a parking ticket?
Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
K2TL

1129. Re: KT4TR...Bone head Ham of the CENTURY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38760F5A.A143698@nutcase.net>
Source record: 122604

kc8jbo_2faced@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Thanks for all of your GREAT WORK the last few years, Bob. I can't wait
> to see the "hard copy" you received on your latest trip to the NY
> area!!!!
>
> You have these BUMS on the run now, hohohoho. I have said this
> before......But it needs to be said again:
>
> When you hear a GIANT mobile signal...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you eat an orange...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you see a Piper Cub...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you eat at Outback Steak House...THINK OF KT4TR
> When you DON'T hear WB2OTK...THINK OF KT4TR
>
> KT4TR has & will always be a "BLAZING BEACON OF TRUTH" on 14.313!!!!
>
> NO ONE has done more to expose the crooks, QRMers, idiots & assorted
> other "low class" people on the freq. OUR HATS ARE OFF TO YOU, BOB.
>
> WE all can't wait 'till you get the Cub up in the air!!!!
>
> BEST of the year 2000 to KT4TR, W4FQF & K3QAM (let's NOT FORGET Misty,
> also)
>
> PITBULL
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1130. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3875DFC3.98C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steve wrote:
>
> In article <84t248tm1@nina.pagesz.net>, henryj@nina.pagesz.net
> (George Conklin) wrote:
>
> > In article <3871E700.53AE@worldlynx.net>,
> > Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> >
> > >The internet is TOO easy.
> >
> > I guess you think that because you don't know much about it
> > yet. Java has a very, very high learning curve and is very
> > complex.
>
> I agree. Using the Internet is fairly easy. But understanding how it
> works isn't. Doing something other than using various client apps, such
> as setting up a server, creating CGI scripts, developing Web apps and
> the like are far harder than getting any ham ticket and going out and
> buying the lastest Yeawooodcom radio and getting on the air.
>
> But Java has nothing directly to do with the Internet. It is a
> programming language. It can be used via the Internet or not, just like
> many other programming languages. You're far more likely to encounter
> Perl on the Internet than Java.

As far as my comment about the internet being too easy, I was refering to it in the context of a communications medium, It does not take a java whiz, or other programming skills to load some copy of voice chat software into a mickey-soft computer and be able to "reach out and touch someone".

Like ham radio, the computer hobby has people that are users, and there are the "geeks" that know the intricate details of TCP/IP, ATM, and various other networking schemes.

But as far as from a purely communications standpoint, communicating world-wide on the internet is as easy as pointing and clicking. There is virtually NO challenge to it. Ham radio, on the other hand, has many variables that make the pursuit of DX, challenging. And THAT is where the enjoyment comes for the majority of radio ops. If you can't grasp that concept, then you're probably better off on the internet.

Dave

1131. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3875E55A.14AF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

T. Smith wrote:
>
> What results will restructuring have, what questions will be raised?
>
> 1. Where will all those antennas be erected now that zoning laws are a
> matter of fact in practically all communities and housing developments
> across the US. Will amateur antenna erection issues be subject to
> Supreme Court review and rulings.

Zoning laws are superceded by federal law in most cases. Zoning restructions can be challenged and overturned if one has the desire (and money). CC&R's are considered "voluntary" contracts. Still, with the availability of "ham friendly" neighborhood, slowly declining, while the population of hams will be increasing, there may be some legal challenges to CC&R's as well. Stay tuned.

>
> 2. Will the expected rantings and ravings of a hoard of cb'ers
> propagated world wide on the HF bands at the eleven year sunspot maxima
> make citizens and governing bodies of the US appear as chumps and cause
> a loss of face in the global community.

The US is already viewed as "chumps" by a great percentage of foreign counties. As to your assumption that "CBers" will be on HF, that has not be substantiated, and is only a paranoid supposition.

> 3. With the expected increase of operators will the FCC impose a reduce
> power limit so as to maintain appropriate RF field intensities in areas
> of human habitation---for the children.

We already have power, and RF field guidelines which every ham should be aware of. It is up to each individual ham to construct his antenna system to concentrate the major RF power away from habitable space. Hams should, as a rule, use the minimum power necessary in any case.

> 4. Statistically speaking, will the increase of illegal activites that
> will accompany the increased population of amateurs be managable by the
> FCC---if they can't afford (funding, manpower) to manages the mess that
> they created what then.

They can't seem to "manage" what we have now. More hams will only make the job tougher. Ham radio is only a mirror of society itself. When we live in a society that encourages parents to not correct their children, lest it damage their "self esteem", it's no wonder we have so many

people that are quick to ignore rules and regulations.

> 5. What restrictions in advanced design of amateur equipment will be
> imposed now that manufacturers have been stimulated to produce by the
> creation of larger market demand.

That's a strange question. Actually, I would be curious as to what new technologies and innovations can we expect to see now that the market is potentially growing. What new bandwidth saving techniques will be employed? What about digital audio?

I see nothing that would demand a "restriction" on designs. If anything, I see the opposite.

> 6. Will amateur radio disappear into the 'noise' by a future FCC Report
> and Order that all amateur activities cease and desist and resort to
> channelized control of spread-spectrum modulation techniques to hide and
> get rid of the dirty little mess. Pass codes will be issued instead of
> callsigns to activate strictly regulated (FCC) communicators
> (ex-tranceivers).

That's a sort of Orwellian viewpoint. I think that ham radio should already be experimenting with SS techniques. There are alot of advantages to it. But forcing Hams "under a SS carpet" to hide the dirt, seem a bit farfetched. At least for now.

> 7. Will all amateur HF allocations be eliminated and relocated to
> spectrum translators aboard amateur satellites operating at milimeter
> wavelengts.

For what reason? That would also incure additional cost. People want cheap. HF is cheap.

>
> 8. Will amateur equipment contain embedded identifiers and GPS locators
> to control errant amateurs---computer databasing and issuance by
> violation notices by FCC or ARRL Official Observer staff (by FCC
> approval) at the mere push of a button by decoding an encrypted data
> burst piggy-backed with amateur transmissions.

Any analog scheme of auto identification can be thwarted by technically competant people. Once you are in a digital domain, it would get tougher. But I would hate to think that a digital encrypted ID scheme would have to be developed to deal with out-of-control hams. At that point I'd be out of the hobby.

>
> 9. Will we tire of 'smokey' reports from one end of the continent to
> another.

Only if you keep your rig on 27.185 Mhz.

> 10. To ease congestion, will amateur VFO's (slyda's or sliders) be
> eventually outlawed and be replaced by channel selectors.

They already are on most VHF radios. They may call them "VFO's", but they are just 5 Khz resolution PLL synthesizers. As to easing congestion. An HF SSB signal only needs about 2 Khz of bandwidth. Any channel selector that has less resolution than 2 Khz, would increase congestion, since it would force all of these signals into "clumped" channels, instead of allowing them to spread out.

> 11. Will older amateur equipment at some future date be outlawed as the
> transition to controlled radio communications is established.

That's a sure bet, assuming that your Orwellian scenario plays out.

> 12. After April, 2000 will we find that an obtaining and possessing an
> amateur license from some third world country (like Somalia) where
> testing is more rigorous convey greater prestige to it's holder than to
> be licensed in the US.

What country (especially a 3rd world one) has testing standards that are MORE difficult? We, the US, are actually LAGGING many other countries in reducing testing requirements, especially regarding morse code. It's funny that even 3rd world countries recognize that morse is an outdated skill, that is no longer relevant.

Dave

1132. Re: Sorry to Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <855rmb$g5a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122607

Perfidious wrote in message ...
>meant Roger

No problem. I don't know of anything you've done to be sorry for,
really.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1133. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <387602e4_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 122608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The VE system is fine, despite spotty lapses. Nothing is purpose.

The new restructuring shows every promise of rejuvenating ham radio by replentishing our ranks with a new generation of computer-literate hams, who will rapidly be assimilated and socialized into our ranks. Let the FCC try to sell our freqs, and let homeowner CC&Rs try to ban ham radio when there are 3 million active HF hams. Won't happen.

Morse Code is a fine tradition, and I and many others will continue to enjoy it.

There was nothing magical about going down to the federal building to take a ham test. It was a pain in the ass that we are all better off without. I did it twice; had to take time off from school both times. Nuts.

(Reply restricted to "misc" and "policy" ... why the original message was posted to all the radio newsgroups defies understanding.)

--

Roger, W6VZV
also reply via W6VZV@ARRL.NET
--
Politicians are the same all over. They promise to
build bridges, even where there are no rivers.
-Nikita Khrushchev

"njvets" <njvets@skyweb.net> wrote in message
news:mEmd4.1881$%K1.118120@tw12.nn.bcandid.com...
> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is!
Look
> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in
favor of
> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when
the FCC
> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of
questionable
> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in
QST and
> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just
think,
> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by
his
> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers

to, and
> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain
that to
> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the
nicest or
> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated
with
> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between
a 9
> volt battery and an oak tree?
> I don't.
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED
something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How
much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to
get than a
> parking ticket?
> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> K2TL
>
>

1134. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <855se2gkj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122609

In article <854n6m$js5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <854mql$jh1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <850nk6$p9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts
supposed
> > > incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio
> > > criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the
> air
> > > for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG,
W3KE,
> > > and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate
> > > David. Obviously, he failed to learn from that mistake, now we
> have 4
> > > more yet to come. Next big mistake was NX9J, once again bogus
> > > information supplied by the likes of KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, all
part
> of
> > > the KV4FZ clan. Once again, Riley had to rescind, and put Bill,
> NX9J,
> > > back on the air, when the true facts were made apparent. One
would
> > > think, that by now he would rely on his own monitoring stations.
> Let's
> > > not forget this one, KB4TEW, yes indeed, he again accepted bogus
> > > information supplied by the likes of W4FQF, KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM,
> and
> > > others to get Jim, put off the air. And yes, you guessed it, he
> had to
> > > put him back on the air, you ask why, yup you guessed it, they
duped
> > > Riley again. Hard to believe? Let's talk about me, and the E-
mail,
> > > that I never sent to Riley, which removed me from the Database.
> > > Gettysburg, requires, a registered and notarized letter, and your
> > > license enclosed, which never took place. Now let me bring you up
> to
> > > date with what transpired last week, with N9LAT. Once again,
> untrue,
> > > unsubstantiated information supplied to Hollingsworth by W2KP and
> > > others which would have required the gentleman to retest. Riley
> > > Hollingsworth sent an apology by virtue of the ARRL Web Extra.

One
> > > thing I have learned about Amateur Radio, all Hams lie, whether
it's
> > > how high your antennae is, or how many countries you just worked
in
> a
> > > contest. If it is in fact true, Riley's claim of how great the
FCC
> DF
> > > system is, why not use it? It's much better to let a guilty party
> go
> > > free, than prosecute an innocent one. A LIE, IS A LIE, IT MATTERS
> NOT
> > > WHO TELLS IT. Rich Whiten
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> >
> > Richard, you are obviously extremely confused about the facts in
> these cases
> > that you have referenced. I was listening yesterday when Tom,
WB3HGW,
> was
> > making the claim that he had been in contact with you and that you
> were going
> > to be back on the air soon. It really doesn't matter if you are
> allowed to
> > return to amateur radio or not, because the entire ham community is
> cognizant
> > of your agenda and activity on ham radio. Tom also stated that you
> were
> > treated unlawfully by Mr. Hollingsworth and that you will be setting
> the
> > record straight. Why don't you get one of those so-called family
> attorneys
> > and challenge the system ??? Get off of your porcine ass and make a
> statement
> > in the courtroom and see where you stand. You can ask for a hearing
> before
> > the ALJ and your case will be settled. Tom McMillan stated yesterday
> that Mr.
> > Hollingsworth had been sent to Gettysburg as a punishment for
> something, this
> > is utter nonsense and Tom should realize that you have caused
> problems for
> > many people who are tainted by your lies and never ending BULLSHIT.
> You also
> > stated that all of the above mentioned individuals had been dealt a
> raw deal

> > and that Riley had to correct his so called errors. Utter nonsense,
> you
> > should research the facts before you make such unfounded statements.
> NX9J,
> > KE4TEW. WA9KJI, were caught doing exactly what they were warned not
> to do. I
> > was listening when KJI inserted his foot in his mouth and continued
> his
> > nightly platform of jamming the amateur bands. As for KE4TEW, just
> recently
> > he was running mobile and his signal had a definite problem with a
> heater fan
> > or some other electrical feedback to the audio, which made his
signal
> very
> > unique. As the idiot traveled north and used the callsign of W4LLI
> it was
> > extremely noticeable and was a dead giveaway . Get the facts, report
> the
> > truth, be accountable. GET A JOB AND QUIT LIVING OFF YOUR WIFE !!!!
> > Remember, I still have more information that I have never divulged,
> but I
> > will !!!!!!!
> >.>>>>>>>>>> SOUNDS LIKE BIG TALK FROM A LIQUOR HEAD!<<<<<<<
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Boob Fucknutz, wannbe detective.
> Why is it you always run mobile? Did your "wife" finally put her foot
> down on your BS over the air?
>>>>>>> I UNDERSTAND, THE WIFE GET'S AT LEAST HALF IN FLORIDA, COULD
THAT BE THE REASON HE TOOK HIS TOWER DOWN?<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1135. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <38760366.B3456D9C@flash.net>
Source record: 122610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX" wrote:
>
> Actually this FAQ now brings up an additional question. Both items #2
> and #3 state that in order for a CSCE to be valid on April 15th, it must
> be dated on or after April 17th! Government logic? Geesh...
>

Not a very big deal. Note that it is April 17, 1999. The April 17 vs.
April 16 (1999) may relate to this being a leap year -- and April 15,
1999 to April 15, 2000 would be 366 days. Seems OK to me.

> Also, it looks like people who take advantage of this upgrade path are
> going to pay $13.30. Once to take the exam and a second time to file an
> application.
>

True. But the added $6.50 can be saved by waiting until after April 15,
2000 and taking the single new element with more questions. So there is
a choice.

Phil

1136. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <855st0$h0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122611

In article <855se2gkj1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> I UNDERSTAND, THE WIFE GET'S AT LEAST HALF IN FLORIDA, COULD
> THAT BE THE REASON HE TOOK HIS TOWER DOWN

Could be, trying to hide it away somewhere before the proceedings.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1137. Tcl/Tk Amateur APRS program for Linux/Windows/Mac/etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <3876115E.F44AFF1A@preferred.com>
Source record: 122612
Cross-posted to: comp.lang.tcl,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I have developed a Tcl/Tk application for the Amateur Radio APRS system.
It was intentionaly written to run under Linux/Windows and other OS's
running Tcl/Tk with no code changes other then specifing the serial port
of the TNC. I only have the resources to test under Linux and Windows.
The main feature is that it uses the US Census Bureau's on-line Tiger
mapping service. This great service allows users to get US maps from
them using just the http protocol, and not have to use a web browser.
It is not a full blown app at this time in the state of
winAPRS/javaAPRS. It was written over Christmas/New Years holidays just
to fill in cold nights.
What it does do is to provide a source code base for future expansion
and customization.
Features:
Uses Tiger on-line mapping service.
Map can be zoomed in and out with mouse.
Map can be re-centered using the mouse.
Latitude and Longitude of a point can be read using the mouse.
Decodes a subset of the APRS format and displays the station and
callsign on the map.

And, since it is Tcl/Tk scripting language, ya get the source. I'm
releasing it under the GPL license.

--
Jeff Pierce, wd4nmq
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1138. Re: Restructuring and Respect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <vr3c7s4d189saojvrsd8i6j8n2a0s0snjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 122613

On 6 Jan 2000 17:31:36 -0600, mlangen@earth.execpc.com (Mark
Langenfeld) wrote:

>Passing the exams for ANY class of license really entitles the candidate
>to only two things:
>
> - Some level of personal satisfaction; and
>
> - Commensurate operating privileges.
>
>Respect, on the other hand, has to be earned every day.

Excellent point.

Allow me to add that passing an exam only proves you have some idea of
the rights and responsibilities of those privileges. However, knowing
something and applying what you know are two different things.

The world is filled with blithering idiots who can pass almost any
written exam you put in front of them, yet when asked to apply what
they "know" they are totally stumped.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1139. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Anderson <w6lar@cybertime.net>
Message-ID: <3876063C.7AE03239@cybertime.net>
Source record: 122614
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Happy they caught this guy. He was driving our 440 link system nuts for a
long time.

W6LAR

N9NWO wrote:

> Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
> : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
> : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
> : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
> : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
> : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
> : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
> : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
> : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
> :
> : Sgt. Rock
>
> The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
> highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
> you should see more folks facing federal charges.

1140. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-FA123F.11250707012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 122615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3875DFC3.98C@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

> Steve wrote:
> >
> > In article <84t248tm1@nina.pagesz.net>, henryj@nina.pagesz.net
> > (George Conklin) wrote:
> >
> > > In article <3871E700.53AE@worldlynx.net>,
> > > Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >The internet is TOO easy.
> > >
> > > I guess you think that because you don't know much about it
> > > yet. Java has a very, very high learning curve and is very
> > > complex.
> >
> > I agree. Using the Internet is fairly easy. But understanding how it
> > works isn't. Doing something other than using various client apps, such
> > as setting up a server, creating CGI scripts, developing Web apps and
> > the like are far harder than getting any ham ticket and going out and
> > buying the lastest Yeawooodcom radio and getting on the air.
> >
> > But Java has nothing directly to do with the Internet. It is a
> > programming language. It can be used via the Internet or not, just like
> > many other programming languages. You're far more likely to encounter
> > Perl on the Internet than Java.
>
>
> As far as my comment about the internet being too easy, I was refering
> to it in the context of a communications medium, It does not take a java
> whiz, or other programming skills to load some copy of voice chat
> software into a mickey-soft computer and be able to "reach out and touch
> someone".
>
> Like ham radio, the computer hobby has people that are users, and there
> are the "geeks" that know the intricate details of TCP/IP, ATM, and
> various other networking schemes.
>
> But as far as from a purely communications standpoint, communicating
> world-wide on the internet is as easy as pointing and clicking. There is
> virtually NO challenge to it. Ham radio, on the other hand, has many
> variables that make the pursuit of DX, challenging. And THAT is where

> the enjoyment comes for the majority of radio ops. If you can't grasp
> that concept, then you're probably better off on the internet.
>
> Dave
>
>

I think we are in agreement. In general, the Internet is easier. But not by much. How much harder is it to get a Tech license, buy a HT and talk to someone over a 2 meter repeater? IMO, not much harder than buying a computer, getting and setting up an ISP account, learning to use the email client and sending an email.

Don't fool yourself into thinking amateur radio is that much harder than the Internet for basic comms.

1141. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E30B3BE4D3CA9EA3.22CBA574740DF247.F81A1654B4A59462@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not to start a war, here...I am *just* making the observation that the tests may change AND be a bit harder (laughable, I know) after the April 15 date.

Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net> wrote in article <38760366.B3456D9C@flash.net>...
> "Robert G. Schaffrath, N2JTX" wrote:
> >
> > Actually this FAQ now brings up an additional question. Both items #2
> > and #3 state that in order for a CSCE to be valid on April 15th, it must
> > be dated on or after April 17th! Government logic? Geesh...
> >
>
> Not a very big deal. Note that it is April 17, 1999. The April 17 vs.
> April 16 (1999) may relate to this being a leap year -- and April 15,
> 1999 to April 15, 2000 would be 366 days. Seems OK to me.
>
> > Also, it looks like people who take advantage of this upgrade path are
> > going to pay $13.30. Once to take the exam and a second time to file an
> > application.
> >
>
> True. But the added $6.50 can be saved by waiting until after April 15,
> 2000 and taking the single new element with more questions. So there is
> a choice.
>
> Phil
>

1142. 6 Meter Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: srmbj@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107115348.00763.00000442@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 122617

Any suggestions for a 6 meter mobile antenna? I was told that a 48" 2 meter 5/8 wave antenna is equal to a 6 meter 1/4 wave antenna. Is this a way to go or should I look for a dedicated 6 meter antenna?

Thanks,
Joe

1143. Re: "WELFARE GENERALS," "WELFARE EXTRAS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis>
Message-ID: <amradio-9A9E56.11531507012000@news.erols.com>
Source record: 122618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

In article <854bb8opj2@Masala.CC.UH.EDU>, rcoyle@Bayou.UH.EDU (Robert
L. Coyle Jr.) wrote:

> Steve (amradio@thebizlink.com.removethis) wrote:
> : In article <84rq7v$tn7$4@Masala.CC.UH.EDU>, rcoyle@Bayou.UH.EDU (Robert
> : L. Coyle Jr.) wrote:
>
> : > David Stinson (arc5@ix.netcom.com) wrote:
> : > : Concerning the FCC's recent simplification of
> : > : ham licensing and reduction of code rate:
> : >
> : > : There are a number of CB-Plus guys going around
> : > : saying they're going to "gloat" at the old timers
> : > : at the ham clubs and "rub it in their face" when
> : > : they take their government handout "upgrade" to Extra.
> : >
> : > Only if we licensed hams recognize a 5 wpm Extra as an Extra. I
> : > recognize
> : > amateurs by their accomplishments. A Codeless Tech is still a
> : > Codeless
> : > Tech. If one passes the 20 wpm element, they are an Amateur Extra. If
> : > they
> : > don't, they aren't.
> : >
> : > Chin up, old man. The new grade of instant hams will still shy away
> : > from
> : > the CW portion of the bands. I suspect that they won't be able to
> : > copy at
> : > 5 wpm, if at all.
> : >
> : > Robert
> : >
>
>
>
> : Hmmm. And I thought accomplishment in Amateur Radio started AFTER you
> : were licensed (like building, experimenting, DXing, Elmering, etc.)
>
> These require skills not learned by memorizing a public question pool.
>
> Robert
>

Exactly. Nor Morse code.

1144. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8555uc$e1h$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna, K0HB wrote:

>Not hypocritical at all, Ron. I've held this call for 25 years, but
>I'd be first in line to turn it in if we could get rid of all this
>phony "rank" that permeates the hobby these days.
>If you want to outrank someone, go join the Army or the Girl Scouts.

IF you work for a company or corporation with two or more people,
rank exists.

If you like the idea of living in a classless society (same rank,
same wage, same bicycle, same clothes, same level of education) I
can list a few countries which still practice that sort of thinking
-- we can all chip in to send you to one of them.

(Followup set to just .policy)

Jeff KH6O

1145. Re: New Radio reform Catches more Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8556mqero1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122620
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

D Stark raving mad wrote:
>
> Umm, errrr, the crime rate in the
> United States has been falling for the
> past 10 or 11 years. What high crime
> rate are you worried about?

In 1990, there were 100 homicides in City X. Supposed that number decreased by one each year. Hence, there would have been 91 homicides in 1999. Sure, that's a 9% decrease in homicides, but 91 folks are still dead. I don't believe that decrease in the "crime rate" has made them feel any less dead.

Jeff KH6O

1146. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85576eete1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>Tech+ wrote

>>We want to switch to 10 codes on our HF nets

>Actually the 10 codes were widely used on 2 meters when the FM mode first
>became popular. They have since fallen out of use. If you succeed in
>bringing them back, it won't be anything new for amateur radio...

When you speak of certain VHF activities, you should append "in
my area" -- you should not give the impression that that activity
occurred "widely," for you have no way to monitor nationwide activity
on VHF.

In Southern California in the 60s, there were no 10-codes being
used on 2m. Lest we forget, the nation's first VHF repeater was
located in SoCal: K6MYK, Mount Lee, Hollywood Hills, owned by
Art Gentry.

Jeff KH6O

1147. Re: 6 Meter Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <38764CBD.748F@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 122622

Srmbj wrote:
>
> Any suggestions for a 6 meter mobile antenna? I was told that a 48" 2 meter
> 5/8 wave antenna is equal to a 6 meter 1/4 wave antenna. Is this a way to go
> or should I look for a dedicated 6 meter antenna?

I know some folks who use the base loaded Larsen 2 meter antenna on 6 meters and they say it is a good match. I have a Standard C5900 in my car and use one of the Antenna Specialist "disguise" whips that I cut down to 6 meters. These antennas were commonly used by police vehicles and looked like a standard AM/FM antenna (it really wasn't hard to tell they were actually a 2-way antenna). I have a splitter made by Antenna Specialist under my dash so the 6 meter antenna covers AM/FM and 6 meters. I have another splitter which routes my 144/440 signals up to a cellular look-alike antenna on the roof. I have been pretty pleased with the results. My antennas are pretty low profile. An untrained eye would probably think I just have a cell phone in the car.

Andy
WD4KDN

1148. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@uswest.net
Message-ID: <855aep$11v$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 122623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:

>If you like the idea of living in a classless society (same rank,
>same wage, same bicycle, same clothes, same level of education) I
>can list a few countries which still practice that sort of thinking
>-- we can all chip in to send you to one of them.

In my personal -- constitutionally protected -- opinion, any amateur
radio licensee who perceives they hold a higher 'rank' than another
licensee is a presumptive twit.

It is my further personal opinion that any such presumptive twit
who suggests I'd prefer living in a "classless (communist?) society"
lacks the level of native intelligence and good manners we might normally
ascribe to a rabid possum.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

1149. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <855bo7$i4c$4@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 122624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.shortwave Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> The US is already viewed as "chumps" by a great percentage of foreign
> counties. As to your assumption that "CBers" will be on HF, that has not
> be substantiated, and is only a paranoid supposition.

--> For that matter, you can probably already hear US CB signals in other
countries. It's 11 meters, after all, and a lot of those people are running
well over 5 watts. (When was the last time you met a CBer who was using
a radio that wasn't either "superpeaked" or connected to a linear?)

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"It doesn't take a lot of talent to intercept a surface-to-air
missile with your own airplane." -- John McCain

1150. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WALTERB@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <855cfe$3gl8$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi LLoyd,
I found out that an Outbacker antenna works real well on 40meters and the
other bands as well.
the antenna is designed for the ICOM706II the purth+ is the one. I love
mine, i started out with a Ham Stick but it brought in to much noise for my
tast, The Outbacker Purth+ is on the pricey side
but works great on all bands that it is designed for!!!!!!!!!!!!! KB6HRT
Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:TTvc4.3798$X14.54978@news4.mia...
> I need help to hook this up
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

1151. PROPHET STAIR STILL WITH US IN 2000!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RGqd4.11247$Ak.146411@news1.mia>
Source record: 122626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

You dumbass's really though that Prophet R.G. Stair, David J. Smith, would go OFF THE AIR - After Y2K! WRONG!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

1152. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <%Qqd4.3175$Vr.69528@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>When you speak of certain VHF activities, you should append "in
>my area" -- you should not give the impression that that activity
>occurred "widely," for you have no way to monitor nationwide activity
>on VHF.

When 10 codes appear in 73 magazine, a national magazine, it was likely more than just a local thing.

>In Southern California in the 60s, there were no 10-codes being
>used on 2m.

You have the same problem that you accuse me of. Since you didn't monitor *all* the repeaters, and *all* the simplex FM, *all* of the time, how could you know for sure??

But this happened before the repeater fad took place. It was when all those surplus taxi and police VHF FM trunk mounted (tube) radios first became available. Before that 2 meters was mostly AM with rigs like the Gooney Box...

1153. Re: Tcl/Tk Amateur APRS program for Linux/Windows/Mac/etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George P. Staplin" <GeorgePS@XMission.com>
Message-ID: <855enikdu1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 122628
Cross-posted to: comp.lang.tcl,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Jeff Pierce" <piercej@preferred.com> wrote in message
news:3876115E.F44AFF1A@preferred.com...
>-
> Jeff Pierce, wd4nmq
> piercej@preferred.com
> http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej
>

I tried to download it and I get a 404 error. I checked the download
directory that the link was pointing to and nothing similar to tigerAprs.zip
exists.

1154. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - RESULTS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3876308F.5D12@nospam.com>
Source record: 122629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.shortwave Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > The US is already viewed as "chumps" by a great percentage of foreign
> > counties. As to your assumption that "CBers" will be on HF, that has not
> > be substantiated, and is only a paranoid supposition.
>
> --> For that matter, you can probably already hear US CB signals in other
> countries. It's 11 meters, after all, and a lot of those people are running
> well over 5 watts. (When was the last time you met a CBer who was using
> a radio that wasn't either "superpeaked" or connected to a linear?)

David:

Yes, what you say is quite true. During my regular stays in Argentina
over the years I would often listen to the SW bands. It was quite
stunning to tune through the CB channels and hear regularly spaced peaks
of noise and essentially noiseless spacings resulting from untold
thousands of CB'ers piled together and transmitting simultaneously.
Occassionally, "How 'bout it skip land, Texas trying." or some such
emanation could be heard making it's way out of the mess. Similarly,
the FM studio-transmitter link transmissions from WFLA on 25870 kHz were
heard daily from morning till night with exceedingly strong signals.
Surprizingly, the amateur 10 meter band at the same time was completely
devoid of activity besides the strong beepings of low power amateur CW
propagation beacons from the US---I guess amateur radio mythology
promotes the belief that the sunspot minimiums preclude communications
on 10 meters.

1155. Re: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven & Julie Akins of that Ilk <sjakins@sonet.net>
Message-ID: <I8rd4.23$Mp.792@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 122630
Cross-posted to: alt.thought.southern,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>> I WOULD LIKE TO MEET, CHAT AND E-MAIL ANY NICE GIRLS ON THIS FORUM.
>I'M 5'7
>> ABOUT 210LBS AND DRIVE A LATE MODEL PICKUP TRUCK.

Hey honey, you sound just like what I've been looking fer, I'm a true Southern girl, 48 years old and about 5'6" and weigh 350 pounds. I like listening to Hank Williams, Jr., chawing tobbacco, kickin back a few Budwisers, and gettin' it on. Why don't you come over to my trailer park and see me sometime, sugar. Just be sure to call ahead of time so I can get my wig on straight and wax my mustasche.

Luv ya, sugar!
Dixie D. Cupps

1156. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul
Message-ID: <38763c95.12139078@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"njvets" <njvets@skyweb.net> wrote:

>Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
>guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
>the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
>stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
>integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
>other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
>now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
>buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
>come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
>me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
>smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
>definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
>persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
>volt battery and an oak tree?
>I don't.
>I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
>would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
>value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
>parking ticket?
>Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
>K2TL

Don't let the door hit you on the way out. I say good riddance to any
presumptious POS that implies I didn't earn my ticket, or questions
the integrity of my amateur community.

Paul

1157. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <71B505E4E6769F9C.CF5F47490A13E9E1.859F69B7CCBAFE86@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 17:51:48 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >dana wrote:
> >> i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
> >> just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
> >> code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
> >> sounds like 11 meters anyway.
> >>
> >All the hams on 75m are coded. Since the entry level exam, the nocode tech,
> >hasn't changed a bit, why would any additional CBers want into ham radio?
> >--
> >73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> Sorry Cecil it has gotten a fraction easier they only have to
> pass one test not two, and it is tohoped that the one test will
> include VHF stuff basicly since that is waht the license will cover,
> rather the odd anomaly of existing exams.
>
> OTH I do agre not enough basic change exist to expect that
> somebody currently operating radios (CB or Pirate Ham or whatever)
> without bothering to obtain one of our license is going to sudenly
> decide to get one

GASP!!!!!! Looky, Dana! They're crossposting!

1158. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <43Ad4.3191$Vr.71097@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122633
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Tim wrote
>But I guess were not a phone company

Actually I saved hams a lot of money in the 60's by making phone patches.
Long distance calls were expensive then. It's the same now when hams save
cell phone costs by using the autopatch...

1159. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <l5jd7scsrk7ndh4t5ci8f0bhj7v6cm7t2m@4ax.com>
Source record: 122634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 08 Jan 2000 03:46:15 GMT, dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote:

>yep, but the hams on that band sounds like cbers. they want to run bunches
>of power, and they don't need that power to comminacate.

They are CBer's. They just don't know it.

1160. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Hennig <dhennig@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <3876CF68.5278A8FC@sprint.ca>
Source record: 122635
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: dhennig.vcf

Get a grip, pal!

I fail to understand why older amateur radio operators feel so strongly about clinging to the ancient belief in CW as an important pre-requisite to entry into the "HF club". This kind of nonsense is why decent operators [such as myself] haven't used the HF bands.

I hope Canada rapidly follows suit with the US in its licensing regulations. Sorry, CW is NOT the only mode used in HF, and certainly is losing ground by leaps and bounds with digital modes as most of us tread bravely into a new century - I can foresee a day not too far off when perhaps a CW operator clinging to his/her key like a mad dog to a bone will be scorned, if not at least the subject of intense ridicule.

If we as amateurs are to preserve our spectrum allocations, we need fresh operators and ideas - we are already being threatened by countless commercial services for slices of bandwidth, and I doubt that the trend will cease unless more use of all our bands is made noticable. The only way to do this is to get with the times.

I do agree with the 5WPM minimal requirement for licensing, however, since it is imperitive for us to not only preserve our heritage, but to prevent rampant takeovers of our spectra with CB/11m operators not really serious about ham radio. Amateur radio is a priveledge, not a right.

For those who continue the misguided notion that CW is so much important than other operating modes, then a test in computer programming and circuit board design should also be implemented to you ol' farts in order for you to enjoy HF operating privledges! I understand that regulations have rendered your "exclusive club" somewhat obsolete, but CW proficiency does NOT indicate the operating skills of a radio amateur.

thanks!

Darren, VE6IZ,

Edmonton.

CW not CB wrote:

> WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1
>
> "How easy are the current code tests?"
> They have been passed by 4-year-olds, 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl
> Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.
>

> "What is 'No Code International'?"
> A handful of laceybritches whiny CBers who want to take over ham radio,
> who have been humiliated, shown up and shut down by 4-year-olds,
> 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.
>
> "What is 'ARRL'?"
> The Asian Radio Retailer's Lobby, a magazine publishing house that
> thinks they own ham radio and who will whore off true ham radio as they
> see fit to preserve their incomes and their name badges.
>
> "I don't know code."
> Then, of course, you are NO HAM.
>
> "But I don't want to learn code!"
> Then you will remain NO HAM.
>
> "But I can't pass the code test!"
> Then you don't qualify for a ham license.
>
> "But I shouldn't have to learn the code because I don't want to use it!"
>
> Then you're just a CBer.
>
> "But I have a "no-code technician license!"
> Then you are a CBer on 2-meter CB.
>
> "But I have a call sign!"
> So do CBers.
>
> "But 'ALL HAMS ARE EQUAL'!!"
> You've been sitting out in the sun too long.
>
> "But Morse code is old fashioned!"
> Not as old fashioned as using your VOCAL CORDS.
>
> "But the military...!"
> Ham radio is not "the military."
>
> "But the Internet... !"
> Ham radio is not "the Internet."
>
> "But it's the "digital age!"
> Then learn the difference between "OFF" and "ON" and you can learn the
> code.
>
> "But there are 'good people being kept out by the code requirement'."
> See phenomenon #3 and #4 of the Anti-coder profile at
> http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
> "But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test should

> be dropped."
> See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
> http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
> "But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test speeds
> should be reduced."
> See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
> http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
> "But so-and-so has a ham license and he is an "ex-CBer," and he thinks
> that the code should be dropped!"
> Then so-and-so is still just a CBer who merely wants "revised CB."
> See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
> http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
> "But I HAVE A RIGHT...!"
> NO YOU DON'T.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1161. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1nAd4.3193$Vr.71161@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>My collection of 73 went back to issue #2.

This is a test. Name the article on page 36 of issue #232...

>There originally was only one repeater, and one simplex channel.

Every ham in California was on one repeater and one simplex channel? Boy
what a waste of bandspace (and a hard story to swallow)...

1162. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <08539644.c75f375d@usw-ex0101-004.remarq.com>
Source record: 122637

.....zzzzzzzZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzz.......
.......zzzzzzZZZzzzz.....
Oh, I'm sorry. You sounded like a politician.

....z.zzzzzzzzzzZZZZZZZzzzzzz......
There I go again...sorry, it's a natural reaction.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1163. LPWS 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: G8ASO! <g8aso@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <855h2pges1@dolf.netfront.net>
Source record: 122638
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

http://www.laughingpoliceman.com

Greetings from Barmy Brian in windswept Worcester!

Yes we are still here, check out the site, you might like it!

73s G8ASO

Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
Complaints to news@netfront.net

1164. Re: Vertical antennae GAP -vs- Hy-Gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamesj@chesapeake.net
Message-ID: <38775860.13266979@news.chesapeake.net>
Source record: 122639

I also would like any information. Thanks.

James Johnson, N3JTM

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 19:58:46 GMT, "skyking" <skyking@rednecks.com> wrote:

>I am looking for anyone with experience using any of the Hy-Gain verticals
>or the Gap products. I will be purchasing one of these products and any
>input will be helpful. Replies to my e-mail would be appreciated.
>
>Ron Klutey, KD4ASX
>
>ronklutey@iname.com
>
>

1165. DX net Obssesives and Welfare Class Licensees)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: 20wpm_extra@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <853atm$m74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There are many on here of sufficient intelligence to see our points and agree and disagree, but there are many more, typically of the contester ilk, who are as dumb as rocks and are Twinkie creme-filled nerds of sufficient magnitude to match.

KH2D crawls out from under his rock every now and then when the high bands are so-so and he can take a day off from playing the role of "I am DX therefore I am Jesus Christ, Superstar".

Get a haircut instead of a new rig this year, if your picture is accurate. Furthermore, you look like you're made of Play Dough. Lose a few pounds.

People like this guy, W1JCC and yuppy nerd W3UR obsess over DX nets and how working DX on a frequency full of interference makes you a "real man". To who ? The other ham-geeks in the Kingdom of Sha Na Na Na ?

I don't care for nets myself, but take a pill. Preferably one that will fix the brain fag you've all built up by staying awake 48 hours to work every other obsessive loser you can find.

These are the same weasels who were for dropping the code speed ? In favor of dropping the very standards by which you get on those DX net frequencies ?

But the DX net itself is a bad operating practice ?

To those of us with brains, jobs that don't involve fleeing the U.S. mainland or peddling ham radio writings (or both like some VP2's), you missed the day they were handing out logic chip replacements at Dayton.

These are the guys who wanted to lower the code speed ? Sure, because they'll go hide behind their CW paddles at 35 wpm far away from the rest of us who will have these new geriatric hams answering us at 5 wpm. Out of decency some of us will even reply much to our chagrin. The pro-welfare crowd will just go have another American beer in a can, stuff their gut full of salt coated pretzels, light up a Morley, set the alarms in their Gremlins and ignore them along with us 20 wpm "slow pokes" like they do already.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1166. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: f1dfr@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <853ehhomj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <s6nqporn5k291@corp.supernews.com>,
vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
>
> I Blame the ARRL!
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Dec 30, 1999--Amateur Radio will get a new look in the
new
> millennium. The FCC today issued its long-awaited Report and Order in
the
> 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review of Part 97--more commonly known as
> "license restructuring." The bottom line is that starting April 15,
2000,
> there will be three license classes--Technician, General, and Amateur
> Extra--and a single Morse code requirement--5 WPM.
>
> "We believe that an individual's ability to demonstrate increased
Morse
> code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
> ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art," the FCC
said.
>
> Besides drastically streamlining the Amateur Radio licensing process,
the
> FCC said its actions would "eliminate unnecessary requirements that
may
> discourage or limit individuals from becoming trained operators,
> technicians, and electronic experts."
>
> Although no new Novice and Advanced licenses will be issued after the
> effective date of the Report and Order, the FCC does not plan to
> automatically upgrade any existing license privileges. The
> ARRL had proposed a one-time across-the-board upgrading of current
Novice
> and Tech Plus licensees to General class, but the FCC declined to
adopt
> the idea. This means that current licensees will retain their current
> operating privileges, including access to various modes and subbands,
and
> will be able to renew their licenses indefinitely.
>
> Starting April 15, 2000, individuals who qualified for the Technician
> class license prior to March 21, 1987, will be able to upgrade to

General
> class by providing documentary proof to a Volunteer Examiner
Coordinator,
> paying an application fee, and completing FCC Form 605.
>
> The FCC's decision not to automatically upgrade Novice and Tech Plus
> licensees means the current Novice/Tech Plus HF subbands will remain
and
> not be "refarmed" to higher class licensees as the ARRL had proposed.
The
> FCC said it did not refarm these subbands because there was "no
consensus"
> within the amateur community as to what to do with them.
>
> As it had proposed earlier, the FCC decided to lump Technician and
Tech
> Plus licensees into a single licensee database, all designated as
> "Technician" licensees. Those who can document having passed the 5 WPM
> Morse code examination will continue to have the current Tech Plus HF
> privileges. "If documentation is needed to verify whether a licensee
has
> passed a telegraphy examination, we may request the documentation from
> that licensee or the VECs," the FCC said.
>
> In addition to reducing the number of license classes from six to
three
> and eliminating the 20 and 13 WPM code tests, the FCC also will reduce
the
> number of written examination elements from five to three, authorize
> Advanced Class hams to prepare and administer General class
examinations,
> and eliminate Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES) station
> licenses. RACES will remain, however. "After review of the record, we
> conclude that we should eliminate RACES station licenses because RACES
> station licenses are unnecessary for amateur stations and amateur
service
> licenses to provide emergency communications," the FCC said.
>
> Under the new licensing scheme, there will be four examination
elements.
> Element 1 will be the 5 WPM Morse code exam. Element 2 will be a 35-
> question written test to obtain a Technician license; Element 3 will
be a
> 35-question written test to obtain a General license, and Element 4
will
> be a 50-question written test for the Amateur Extra license. The FCC
has
> left it in the hands of the National Conference of VECs Question Pool
> Committee to determine the specific mix and makeup of written
examination

> questions. Current Amateur Radio study materials remain valid at least
> until the new rules become effective in April.
>
> The FCC's new licensing plan means someone will be able to become a
ham by
> passing a single 35-question written examination. The plan also
simplifies
> and shortens the upgrade path from the ground floor through Amateur
Extra-
> -especially since amateurs will only have to pass one Morse code test.
>
> Elimination of the 13 and 20 WPM Morse requirements also means an end
to
> physician certification waivers for applicants claiming an inability
to
> pass the Morse code examination due to physical handicap.
>
> The effective date provides a window of upgrade opportunity for
current
> Advanced licensees. Between now and April 15, current Advanced holders
may
> take the existing Element 4B, a 40-question test, giving them credit
for
> having passed the current Extra written examination. Likewise, holders
of
> a Certificate of Successful Completion of Examination (CSCE) for
Elements
> 3B or 4B dated on or after April 17, 1999, will be able to qualify for
> General or Amateur Extra respectively when the new rules go into
effect on
> April 15, 2000.
>
> The FCC disagreed with the League's suggestion that it undertake a
> restructuring of operating privileges along with licensing
restructuring.
> "We believe that in light of ongoing discussions concerning
implementation
> of new and more modern communications technologies within the amateur
> service community, we should accord the amateur service community an
> opportunity to complete such discussions and possibly reach a
consensus
> regarding implementation of new technologies before we undertake a
> comprehensive restructuring of the amateur service operating
privileges
> and frequencies," the FCC said in its Report and Order.
>
> In its amendments to Part 97, the FCC's Report and Order refers to a
"Club
> Station Call Sign Administrator," something that does not exist under
the

> current rules and which was not explained in the R&O itself. An FCC
> spokesperson said the Commission plans to issue a Public Notice soon
to
> explain the program and to solicit qualified entities to serve as call
> sign administrators for club station applications.
>

From my point of view, it's a great decision. Morse telegraphy is
STONEAGE and it is time to suppress all CW exams around the planet
for hams.
Yet the administrations of UK and NORWAY have reduced too the exam to
5 WPM, announcing the future suppress of CW in ham exams...
This mode is OBSOLET, it was probably good for the TITANIC but will
be not for the 21th century.
Anyway, I have nothing against CW, if some people like this mode, may
be we could allocate them SHF bands ONLY to continue to play with, while
we could chat on HF. :-)

That will be the technicians' revenge !!

JM F1DFR (ARRL member)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1167. Re: DX net Obssesives and Welfare Class Licensees)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <853petvor1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <853atm$m74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
20wpm_extra@my-deja.com wrote:

> There are many on here of sufficient intelligence to see our points
and
> agree and disagree, but there are many more, typically of the
contester
> ilk, who are as dumb as rocks and are Twinkie creme-filled nerds of
> sufficient magnitude to match.

Another loser hiding under the Proxymate rock! Is that you, MF?

Hans

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1168. Re: What a crock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <387531d9.87093113@news.guam.net>
Source record: 122718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 16:09:21 GMT, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:

>I think I should also state that I am in support of the new reduced code
>speed. I see no reason for a requirement for higher speeds to obtain a
>higher class license. Anyone can learn 5 wpm and for those who like to
>operate CW will do so and increase their speed because that is what they
>want to do, not because they have to to qualify for some higher class of
>license. Those who do not like to operate CW won't and that is just fine
>also. The problem is the multiple guess exam that anyone can pass by
>simply memorizing answers. There are Extra class hams who don't even
>know which end of a soldering iron gets hot, some can't even recognize a
>soldering iron. I hear new hams on 10 meters on ssb in the cw portion of
>the band because they don't know where the phone portion of the band
>starts. We need better testing of radio theory and operating procedures.
>It needs be to harder, not easier to get a license. Your are less likely
>to abuse something you worked hard to get, but we will always have the
>wellfare mentality of those who want everything handed to them with no
>effort on their part. For those types, the cb band is just what you want
>and deserve.

The Ultimate Contradiction. I sure hope you sent that into the FCC as
a comment......

73, Jim KH2D

1169. Lonely Lloyd puts out the call...LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0vfpsucks@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000106200238.02662.00000167@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122719

>Subject: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!
>Date: 01/01/2000
>Author: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>

>I WOULD LIKE TO MEET, CHAT AND E-MAIL ANY NICE GIRLS ON THIS >FORUM. I'M 5'7
ABOUT 210LBS AND DRIVE A LATE MODEL PICKUP TRUCK.

>SOUTHERN GIRLS ONLY

--

1170. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9WOSS@worldnett.attt.nett
Message-ID: <3875419b.4007712@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 03 Jan 2000 17:56:11 GMT, techplus@hotmail.com (Tech+) wrote:

>I'm going to be laughing in the faces of the Extras at our next club
>meeting too. After years of their "attitudes", it is going to be loads
>of fun watching them squirm. The more they whine, the more I will enjoy
>it. Listening to the whining on here has been quite satisfying too,
>because the elitists are getting what has been long, long overdue, and
>they can't stand it.
>
>Thank you FCC!!!

Get a life man it is a hobby get that "HOBBY" now you are a brat

Makes me ashamed to admit i am a techplus

N9WOS T+
GROL PG-GB-062758
73 DE N9WOS

1171. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87adci.460.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 122721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 6 Jan 2000 17:13:44 -0500, D. Townsend <pog@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>Three of us took the General test Tuesday Ed. There were more people there
>than I have seen since I have been going there to take the test. The VEC ask
>if anyone wanted to take the Code test there were no takers. All were there
>to take the General, Advanced or Extra test. So upgrades are going to go up
>from what I saw.

No question about it. Sales of the Extra Class License Manual have been
brisk the past week. (Mostly Advanced class ops, I'd guess, but who
knows?)

>I suspect there will be quite a few taking the five word per minute test
>next month after they have a little time to get ready for it. Most of the
>people at the test gave the advanced a try after they took the General so I
>suspect that most of them will be back if they did not pass after they have
>a little time to study.

Maybe they'll wait until April. Why take 90 questions worth of exam and
wait until April to get the privileges when you can wait until April
and take a 50-question exam that gets you the same thing? Either way,
you have to wait to April to apply for the upgrade.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1172. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87af47.460.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 122722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 06 Jan 2000 17:42:28 GMT, Hello@toyou.org <Hello@toyou.org> wrote:
>Rich,
>I need to renew the license anyway, since it expires Jul 2000. So I may do it now
>before April 15 and get a new one with a tech plus print on it.

The FCC won't accept a renewal until within 90 days of the expiration
date.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1173. Re: Tech Plus Downgraded to Tech!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hello@toyou.org
Message-ID: <38754364.CF0E7F23@toyou.org>
Source record: 122723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> How do you prove you're a tech+ today? Your tech license was issued
> when all techs had to pass the code test. How are you listed in the FCC
> database?
>

I am tech plus in the FCC database.

> Your "tech +"
> license is still renewable. When they send you the new one, it will say
> "Technician Plus" because that's what you are. The R&O does not
> eliminate any existing license classes or privleges - they just won't
> issue any NEW "novice", "technician plus" or "advanced" tickets after
> 4/15.
>

I was under the impression that, when renewed after April 15, my license
will have "Tech" printed on it, since they combine novice, tech and tech +
into one tech class. Anyway, we shall see what happens.

1174. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <854mfajea1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122724

```
In article <perf-06010019331800001@209.163.129.102>,
perf@inlandcars.com (Perfidious) wrote:
> In article <8535ua$int$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
wrote:
>
> > Doc AF2Y wrote in message <20000106104953.28698.00000048@ng-
> > fd1.aol.com>...
> > >WHO'S N8WGM? I never heard of him?
> > >
> > >Voted by an independent research organization as having the most
> > >efficient wire antenna in North America-THE CLOUDWARMER. Info is
>free
> > via the Internet. Simply send a request by e-mail! You'll
> > >never do better than write that letter!
> > >
> >
> > TON Duhhhhhhhhhhhh (N8WGM) is a wannabe survivalist and detective.
He
> > tried to stalk me and harass me by telephone. Additionally, he
tried to
> > ILLEGALLY TAPE RECORD my phone conversations, without my permission,
> > which is wiretapping. He's obsessed with me and would go to great
> > lengths to take my picture for whatever reason. I speculate he
wants it
> > to masturbate to. One can only guess why he wants to live in
a "house"
> > built underground, but maybe it's because he's lower than a snake's
> > belly.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> > Roger
> > Yaesu FT-920
> > Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> What's wrong with earth sheltered homes?
>
```

Nothing, if you're a rabbit, snake or some mole. I don't wish to live
in a hole in the ground.
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1175. Re: Lonely Lloyd puts out the call...LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <854mk1$jg6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122725

In article <20000106200238.02662.00000167@ng-fr1.aol.com>,
n0vfpsucks@aol.com (N0vfpsucks) wrote:
> >Subject: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!
> >Date: 01/01/2000
> >Author: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
>
> >I WOULD LIKE TO MEET, CHAT AND E-MAIL ANY NICE GIRLS ON THIS
>FORUM. I'M 5'7
> ABOUT 210LBS AND DRIVE A LATE MODEL PICKUP TRUCK.
>
> >SOUTHERN GIRLS ONLY
>
> --
>
>

ROFLMAO! And he calls somebody else FATASS???
LOL!
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1176. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <854mql$jh1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122726

In article <850nk6$p9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts supposed
> incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio
> criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the air
> for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG, W3KE,
> and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate
> David. Obviously, he failed to learn from that mistake, now we have 4
> more yet to come. Next big mistake was NX9J, once again bogus
> information supplied by the likes of KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, all part of
> the KV4FZ clan. Once again, Riley had to rescind, and put Bill, NX9J,
> back on the air, when the true facts were made apparent. One would
> think, that by now he would rely on his own monitoring stations. Let's
> not forget this one, KB4TEW, yes indeed, he again accepted bogus
> information supplied by the likes of W4FQF, KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, and
> others to get Jim, put off the air. And yes, you guessed it, he had to
> put him back on the air, you ask why, yup you guessed it, they duped
> Riley again. Hard to believe? Let's talk about me, and the E-mail,
> that I never sent to Riley, which removed me from the Database.
> Gettysburg, requires, a registered and notarized letter, and your
> license enclosed, which never took place. Now let me bring you up to
> date with what transpired last week, with N9LAT. Once again, untrue,
> unsubstantiated information supplied to Hollingsworth by W2KP and
> others which would have required the gentleman to retest. Riley
> Hollingsworth sent an apology by virtue of the ARRL Web Extra. One
> thing I have learned about Amateur Radio, all Hams lie, whether it's
> how high your antennae is, or how many countries you just worked in a
> contest. If it is in fact true, Riley's claim of how great the FCC DF
> system is, why not use it? It's much better to let a guilty party go
> free, than prosecute an innocent one. A LIE, IS A LIE, IT MATTERS NOT
> WHO TELLS IT. Rich Whiten
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Richard, you are obviously extremely confused about the facts in these cases
that you have referenced. I was listening yesterday when Tom, WB3HGW, was
making the claim that he had been in contact with you and that you were going
to be back on the air soon. It really doesn't matter if you are allowed to
return to amateur radio or not, because the entire ham community is cognizant
of your agenda and activity on ham radio. Tom also stated that you were
treated unlawfully by Mr. Hollingsworth and that you will be setting the
record straight. Why don't you get one of those so-called family attorneys

and challenge the system ??? Get off of your porcine ass and make a statement in the courtroom and see where you stand. You can ask for a hearing before the ALJ and your case will be settled. Tom McMillan stated yesterday that Mr. Hollingsworth had been sent to Gettysburg as a punishment for something, this is utter nonsense and Tom should realize that you have caused problems for many people who are tainted by your lies and never ending BULLSHIT. You also stated that all of the above mentioned individuals had been dealt a raw deal and that Riley had to correct his so called errors. Utter nonsense, you should research the facts before you make such unfounded statements. NX9J, KE4TEW. WA9KJI, were caught doing exactly what they were warned not to do. I was listening when KJI inserted his foot in his mouth and continued his nightly platform of jamming the amateur bands. As for KE4TEW, just recently he was running mobile and his signal had a definite problem with a heater fan or some other electrical feedback to the audio, which made his signal very unique. As the idiot traveled north and used the callsign of W4LLI it was extremely noticeable and was a dead giveaway . Get the facts, report the truth, be accountable. GET A JOB AND QUIT LIVING OFF YOUR WIFE !!!! Remember, I still have more information that I have never divulged, but I will !!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1177. Re: KC8JBO #1 HAM OPERATOR FROM WV!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <854mt3jpk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122727

In article <20000106145654.01028.00000167@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:
> Here's just a recent spate of hate filled pornographic postings by
the great
> KC8JBO. All in just a few days.

Cry, baby, cry.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1178. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <853kn8$dt0$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122728

I hope to get some CSCE's for extra theory done so I can combine that with
my pre 1987 tech plus come April 15th. Been studying for the theory tests,
I have to find out how to calculate Q give the L, C, and R of a resonant
circuit. Perfer to learn it vs just memorizing test pool question and answers.

In article <38748984.529F413C@sgi.net>, <Pappy@sgi.net> wrote:
>And I also have the exact same problem as you have NeXus with code and I have
>held my T+ since 1974. Knowing that I will be upgrading this spring to general I
>already bought a new tri-band beam to put on my 50' tower. I will now begin to
>take a much more active part in a hobby that I enjoy by joining a local ham club
>and taking part in passing traffic, etc.. This will also enhance my operation in
>the communities emergency management command post operation. I am the EMA
>Coordinator where at the present time along with all the county and state radios,
>I have both 2 and 440 radios in operation, now I will put up a long wire on the
>roof and install an HF rig for emergency work.
>
>NeXus, the very best to you and congratulations.
>
>The NeXus wrote:
>
>> Thats correct, but why stop there? Why not go for it all and
>> get the King of licenses, get the Amateur Extra! Thats what I'm
>> doing. I've been a Tech for 11 years now, I was forced to take
>> the code, I'm glad I did but I never looked down on the no-code
>> techs when they came out. And I am simply overjoyed over this
>> new restructuring by the FCC. I took the code test simply to
>> get a tech license, at the time I was only interested in 2 meter
>> and still mostly do a lot with 2 and 440 now. But I have 3 HF
>> radios because my dad is an Advanced, and I loved working QSOs
>> on 10meter phone. I never kept up my code so its real poor right
>> now. I've tried a couple of times to work up to 13 WPM but just
>> couldn't do it. There are a lot of HF nets I'd love to get in
>> to, and being with RACES & ARES being able to operate on HF is
>> real important for passing traffic. I have a LOT of abilities
>> in passing traffic on emergency and non-emergency nets, BUT I can
>> not use it because I can't get 13 WPM. I know I can pass both
>> the General and Extra licenses but I can forget the code. So
>> this is why I'm glad this happened. I'll finally be able to make
>> a contribution to traffic nets and my area RACES & ARES by being
>> able to get on HF. Plus I want to get some awards that would of
>> been impossible on the novice portion of 10meters...
>>
>> Allowing me to use the General and or Extra portions of the HF
>> bands with only 5 WPM under my belt isn't going to make me a dummy

>> or a lid, or a CB'er. Us techs and Novices aren't going to trash
>> the bands. We have all of you Generals and Extras trashing the band!
>> Need I mention 3950, 14313, 14270, 3853 etc etc etc. You've proved
>> that you don't have to be a tech or novice to act like a dumbass
>> cb'er on the HF bands.
>>
>> I say BRAVO to the FCC, I thank the ARRL for their support in this
>> move, and I say BRING IT ON, BRING IT ON, I'm ready for it, watch
>> out HF here I come :))))))
>>
>> Jimmy
>> --
>> :::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
>> firestarter - Prodigy
>> http://www.datasync.com/nexus
>

1179. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <854n6m$js5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122729

In article <854mql$jh1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <850nk6$p9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts supposed
> > incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio
> > criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the
air
> > for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG, W3KE,
> > and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate
> > David. Obviously, he failed to learn from that mistake, now we
have 4
> > more yet to come. Next big mistake was NX9J, once again bogus
> > information supplied by the likes of KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, all part
of
> > the KV4FZ clan. Once again, Riley had to rescind, and put Bill,
NX9J,
> > back on the air, when the true facts were made apparent. One would
> > think, that by now he would rely on his own monitoring stations.
Let's
> > not forget this one, KB4TEW, yes indeed, he again accepted bogus
> > information supplied by the likes of W4FQF, KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM,
and
> > others to get Jim, put off the air. And yes, you guessed it, he
had to
> > put him back on the air, you ask why, yup you guessed it, they duped
> > Riley again. Hard to believe? Let's talk about me, and the E-mail,
> > that I never sent to Riley, which removed me from the Database.
> > Gettysburg, requires, a registered and notarized letter, and your
> > license enclosed, which never took place. Now let me bring you up
to
> > date with what transpired last week, with N9LAT. Once again,
untrue,
> > unsubstantiated information supplied to Hollingsworth by W2KP and
> > others which would have required the gentleman to retest. Riley
> > Hollingsworth sent an apology by virtue of the ARRL Web Extra. One
> > thing I have learned about Amateur Radio, all Hams lie, whether it's
> > how high your antennae is, or how many countries you just worked in
a
> > contest. If it is in fact true, Riley's claim of how great the FCC
DF
> > system is, why not use it? It's much better to let a guilty party
go
> > free, than prosecute an innocent one. A LIE, IS A LIE, IT MATTERS

NOT
> > WHO TELLS IT. Rich Whiten
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Richard, you are obviously extremely confused about the facts in
these cases
> that you have referenced. I was listening yesterday when Tom, WB3HGW,
was
> making the claim that he had been in contact with you and that you
were going
> to be back on the air soon. It really doesn't matter if you are
allowed to
> return to amateur radio or not, because the entire ham community is
cognizant
> of your agenda and activity on ham radio. Tom also stated that you
were
> treated unlawfully by Mr. Hollingsworth and that you will be setting
the
> record straight. Why don't you get one of those so-called family
attorneys
> and challenge the system ??? Get off of your porcine ass and make a
statement
> in the courtroom and see where you stand. You can ask for a hearing
before
> the ALJ and your case will be settled. Tom McMillan stated yesterday
that Mr.
> Hollingsworth had been sent to Gettysburg as a punishment for
something, this
> is utter nonsense and Tom should realize that you have caused
problems for
> many people who are tainted by your lies and never ending BULLSHIT.
You also
> stated that all of the above mentioned individuals had been dealt a
raw deal
> and that Riley had to correct his so called errors. Utter nonsense,
you
> should research the facts before you make such unfounded statements.
NX9J,
> KE4TEW. WA9KJI, were caught doing exactly what they were warned not
to do. I
> was listening when KJI inserted his foot in his mouth and continued
his
> nightly platform of jamming the amateur bands. As for KE4TEW, just
recently
> he was running mobile and his signal had a definite problem with a
heater fan
> or some other electrical feedback to the audio, which made his signal

very
> unique. As the idiot traveled north and used the callsign of W4LLI
it was
> extremely noticeable and was a dead giveaway . Get the facts, report
the
> truth, be accountable. GET A JOB AND QUIT LIVING OFF YOUR WIFE !!!!
> Remember, I still have more information that I have never divulged,
but I
> will !!!!!!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Boob Fucknutz, wannbe detective.
Why is it you always run mobile? Did your "wife" finally put her foot
down on your BS over the air?
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1180. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <853mao$bv2$1@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 122730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> > > This will work out OK because the
> > > restructured hams won't be much smarter than your typical CBer anyway.
> >
> > Sorta like the present extras who don't know Ohm's law?
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> You don't have to know ohms law to be a current extra yet some are
> still to lazy or inept to make the grade.

Hell you don't have to know ANYTHING to pass Extra except
some Morse code. And that will go away on April 15.

1181. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <z4dd4.798$CJ1.31520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122731
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
: A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
: police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
: of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
: transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
: making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
: suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
: violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
: these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
:
: Sgt. Rock

The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
you should see more folks facing federal charges.

1182. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3877D43D.46924097@icss.net>
Source record: 122432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Brian Kelly wrote:

> >
> >Patrick, you could bottle that and sell it. At the very least send it in to
> >QST, to the editor.
> >
> Hey Son, I got a bridge you might be interested in buying. it's in
> Brooklyn . . .
> >
> >73, Brian/N0iMD

Sounds interesting. Where's Brooklyn?

1183. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3877D3F5.AC701BB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote:
> Anyone whose
> license permits the use of CW should not have a problem demonstrating
> some skill in its use.

Oh? Have you demonstrated skill in PACTOR II, Clover, and G-TOR?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1184. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <qyQd4.3215$Vr.73575@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

mdwade wrote
>and by the way W5TIT only means
>what you want it to mean.

That's what Kim wanted it to mean. She said so here. Those people who use the word on their t-shirts (in public) also leave little doubt what they mean.

>why don't we...change the name of...The Grand Teton

In a polite society there are verbal standards. "Tit" is recognized as vulgar slang. It is not unusual in the locker room, but is (was) usually off limits in a mixed family setting such as ham radio. Breast and Teton are legitimate words when used in the correct setting. It's not the body part that's vulgar, it's how, and in what context, the word for it is used...

>Lets be Gentlemen and not insulting adolescents.

Gentlemen would not use the word in public. Adolescents probably would. Even many elementary school children know the word, but they certainly would not get away with using it in a classroom. Why is ham radio any different...

1185. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3877D6BE.D6D0E5BC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
> "Tit" is recognized as vulgar slang.

In that case, I'm willing to trade someone's tit for my tat. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1186. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3877D816.5314@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> mdwade wrote
> >and by the way W5TIT only means
> >what you want it to mean.
>
> That's what Kim wanted it to mean. She said so here.

So what did she want it to mean? She is Female?(Contradiction, I Know;)

Those people who use
> the word on their t-shirts (in public) also leave little doubt what they
> mean.

Freedom of expression does leave a lot to be desired.
>
> >why don't we...change the name of...The Grand Teton
>
> In a polite society there are verbal standards. "Tit" is recognized as
> vulgar slang. It is not unusual in the locker room, but is (was) usually off
> limits in a mixed family setting such as ham radio. Breast and Teton are
> legitimate words when used in the correct setting. It's not the body part
> that's vulgar, it's how, and in what context, the word for it is used...
>
Europe is another case?

> >Lets be Gentlemen and not insulting adolescents.
>
> Gentlemen would not use the word in public. Adolescents probably would. Even
> many elementary school children know the word, but they certainly would not
> get away with using it in a classroom. Why is ham radio any different...

Don't be so uptight, W5TiT is welcome to her form of expression, I don't
agree with somethings in Amateur Radio, I will voice my opinion but look
at this as amusement.
73 de Martin N5PZJ

BTW, Kim, is N5TIT taken?

1187. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.12e1aebd7f199804989802@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <BpMd4.570$3a.10781@news1.teleport.com>, jpfrankl@teleport.com
says...
> > > I Blame the ARRL!
>
> > Good! You guys always thought that you were better than everyone else.
> > Quit wining. It's about time that the FCC fixed ham radio.
> > It is only a matter of time till the 5wpm is dropped.
> > You're just mad because someone peed in your punchbowl.
> >
>
> Here here!!! I only belong to the A-double cross-L because of QST. I
> probably won't renew because it's getting to be a bunch of Holier-than thou
> people pattin' themselves on the back.
>
> Pat

Actually the back patters are those Board of Directors of No Code
International..see various postings from Carl Stevenson and Bill Sohl

Good bad or indifferent, they are taking full responsibility for the FCC
decision

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

1188. A Modest proposal, or BUY the ham bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B49D29D4.1BFC%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

in article 3877d957.16533291@news.pangea.ca, imnot@hame.ca at imnot@hame.ca wrote on 01.08.2000 16.42:

> Why buy what you already have
>
>
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2000 10:12:35 -0800, Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia
> <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>> I have an interesting idea: If it were possible to BUY the shortwave bands
>> and then use it for our hobby - I wonder how much $$$ that would raise?
>>
>

This is a rhetorical exercise: Since something is worth what you are willing to pay for it - say if every ham were willing to pay $500 to use the bands, you could quickly come up with a dollar amount that would correspond to how much the community valued the bands.

That would set a market price, per se. I was just curious how much the community valued the bands. I figure some of the "prime" bands might be worth more than some of the other ones, and so on.

Since the FCC is going to be allocating more and more to private business, it might be good to figure out which bands were "worth" the most to us and then target the less "valued" ones for reallocation if it was a question of lose band A or B. Or if all bands used were more valuable to the HAMs than any other sort of company - then there would be built in protection AND that the overall utility and economic efficiency would be lowered by such a reallocation!

Of course for this to go to fruition, somehow the actual $$$ and values of discoveries would have to be held in escrow or something to keep people from inflating the value to them -- like a typical HAM might be willing to pay $500 to use 10m, but only $5 to use 220MHz. (The equipment people buy would also count towards this as they are in essence "paying" for the bands by buying equipment). Also the value of contributions to other companies and people would have to be valued also in the pro-HAM arena so we aren't placed at any real disadvantage, I think. It would also give everyone a real concrete understanding of Amateur radio's contributions and also the seriousness we take the "hobby" (avocation is more like it! ;))

Under a system like this, also, there would be real incentive to get people involved on all the bands, since involvement would increase the value and hence, protection of the bands. You wouldn't find people trying to keep

people out of amateur radio since there would be a huge disincentive to do so in the form of fewer hams, fewer virtual $$$ towards band allocation and the eventual reallocation of the bands to others that would value it more. Entry tests would be more tests that would allow the person to use the bands and understand electronics.
Just a modest proposal... :)

1189. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3877EB71.5F5D@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122439

KC8JBO wrote:
>
> Joe Schlatter wrote in message <77Pd4.9081
> $7L.434368@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>...
> >Mr. Strom now reveals himself. As with the other breed of cockroach,
> Mr.
> >Strom cannot tolerate bright light. Let's review his response
> >to my posting of quotes from him and my posting of folks who oppose
> him.
> >
> >Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3876C148.CCBC18A0@innocent.com>...
> >>What a impressively large blob of altered and out-of-context text,
> >>cut and pasted into a series of lies taken from several hard-left
> >>sites of varying degrees of neurosis. I note you even have the
> >>kindness to bring a family tragedy into the "discussion."
> >
> >"out-of-context?" I think not. Yes, I did clip a few juicy parts but
> >anyone who wishes is free to visit the URLs that I posted and read the
> whole
> >document.
> >
> >"hard-left" sites? I think not. I may have posted material that you
> do not
> >agree with or do not like -- and what is "har left" after all? The
> opposite
> >of "soft right?"
> >
> >"family tragedy?" Looks to me as though his wife had enough and
> walked.
> >Tragic perhaps to Mr. Strom but it appears as though his ex was glad
> >to be out of the cuckoo's nest.
>
> >>I'm sure the intelligent reader will, if interested at all, give the
> >>swill exactly the credibility it deserves.
> >
> >
> >Interesting that he refers to his own material as "swill."
> >
> >>
> >>What caused you to suddenly hit the ceiling, Joe?
> >
> >"hit the c\\eiieling?" I think not. In fact, I am my usual calm
> self. I

> >returned from a business trip late on Friday night and was catching up
> on my
> >e-mail and NG browsing. Family was quietly reading so I amsued myself
> by
> >doing a little research into hate on the web.
> >
> >>
> >>You are displaying a remarkable degree of hysteria for a being
> >>presumably not equipped with a uterus.
> >
> >And here we have an attack on women.
> >Apparently Mr. Strom dislikes and stereotypes women just as he does
> folks of
> >races and religions different from his own.
> >What is hysterical about simply posting quotes from an individual?
> And why
> >do we assume that only females are "hysterical?"
> >
> >Folks like Mr. Strom cannot tolerate reasoned opposition. They build
> their
> >position by surrounding themselves with sycophants who cheer and
> applaud
> >their every word. Let someone slip in a little simple reason and
> logic --
> >let someone quote their own words in the whole and in context thereby
> >revealing the true identity of the person -- let someone stand up to
> them --
> >and they come all unglued and resort to nonsense.
> >
> >Mr. Strom: Sir, you would do yourself a favor by not responding. The
> more
> >you speak, the deeper you dig the hole.
> >--
> >
> >Regards,
> >Joe Schlatter
> >joe@schlatter.org
> >http://www.schlatter.org/
> >http://www.miafacts.org/
> >Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH
>
> Poor KKKevin, he's getting his lederhosen in a wad.
> Good work, Joe.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>

> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
Good Work, Joe.
I am guilty for not standing up sooner. As a Southerner and a descendant of the House of Lee of Virginia as well as other ancestors who were CSA and USA. It high time that this trash finds another hole to slither into. ITS HERITAGE NOT HATE, remember the Civil War (War Between the States), both black and white soldiers died in that war and every war since to guarantee freedom, their blood is sacred.

Amateur Radio is spread goodwill, not racial BS. Good night Mr. Strom, your Fuerher died in a bunker in 1945. Hitler the Dumbass had some bad ideas, don't carry them into Amateur Radio, its for goodwill, not hate.

BTW, my ancestery also contains a Jewish Ancestor who carries her bloodline back before the middle ages, be careful, Jews are everywhere.

Martin D. Wade N5PZJ

1190. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <858rk9$hr8$1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 122440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3877D6BE.D6D0E5BC@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Aaron Jones wrote:
>> "Tit" is recognized as vulgar slang.
>
>In that case, I'm willing to trade someone's tit for my tat. :-)
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

I just read a very interesting book by a mountain woman
who described old patterns of extended nursing. She writes,
"We called it 'sucking titty.'"

--
If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail.
Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm.
Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development.
Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI

1191. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <3877F422.18B85E2D@inreach.com>
Source record: 122441

incorrect again, I can, and I dont hate him or anyone else for the
accomplishment.

Dale Harper wrote:
>
> In article <3877CAE8.D8E0876F@inreach.com>,
> "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com> wrote:
>
> >yes, indeed, congrats, it is a personal accomplishment, however, you are
> >neither a 'head-above' nor better than anyone else to come for the
> >achievement. That takes a demonstration of good character etc. It is
> >time to get passed the idea that cw proficiency somehow makes a person
> >better than someone else in areas other than cw proficiency.
> >
> >randas@mindspring.com wrote:
> >>
> >> Congrats on passing the code test Don. I know your hard work and
> >> accomplishment means alot to you and it should. You are indeed a head above
> >> many of the "hams" that will follow later this year.
> >>
> >> 73 and see ya on the bands
> >>
> >> de Tim K0FL
> >>
> Yes you are a head above my friend, don't let the naysayers bedraggle you. You have
accomplished
> something they can't and they will wail and thrash and hate you for it. Congratulations
my
> friend. You have something no one can take away from you. Bravo, good job, and have fun
on the
> bands.
>
> Dale
> KC8FAQ/20

1192. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4FC9A47D343045E7.2C095A837B9CC1DD.EB79882E88EA069C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>
> >I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a [CB] band where
> >I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know,
> >the insults, degradations, threats, etc.).
>
> Is your CB handle gonna be "Boob"? It would fit. Someone who chooses
> "tit" for a Vanity call sign shouldn't complain about garbage mouth hams.
> Garbage mouth or garbage mouth call? No difference. Believe it or not,
> ham radio was once a family rated hobby...

<GIGGLE> Aaron: You know what? Just as the rules now will allow me to upgrade to General or Extra with the existing code speed I have accomplished, they also allowed me this callsign. Ham radio is still a family hobby. I do NOT have "tit" for a callsign, I have W5TIT as a callsign, legally issued by the FCC to me. Get over it, you pompous baffoon.

Kim W5TIT

1193. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E3FC37BA5645F70E.AA28ED886C3F4AD8.EF6701C56C00155B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

mdwade wrote:
>
> Kim, most SSB is copied off ham techniques.

I can believe that! Most of the sideband operations I did on CB were
nothing different from what I do on ham radio!

>
> 1 band at 27 MHz, whereas I operate 160 meters to 5 cm, oodles of
> spectrum, plenty DX and Friendly people (just look at the new upgraders)
> sorry if you meet a sourpuss, tell'em to get over it and move on.

You know what? You are right. There's lots of spectrum out there for
all of us. I should learn to realize the mindset of where these
attitudes are coming from...you are right again.

Kim W5TIT

1194. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E6FEE916850C6479.9450EE23D2B26C8D.3562484DCFAED5CD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> That's what Kim wanted it to mean. She said so here. Those people who use
> the word on their t-shirts (in public) also leave little doubt what they
> mean.

Excuse me? Kim wanted IT (what?) to mean what? What did I say here?
Why, you must have seen me at Hamcom last year, Aaron. And, not only
that, but got up close enough to see what my *callsign* (NOT the word
"TIT") tee-shirt said. You should have introduced yourself! I would
have loved to meet you!

> In a polite society there are verbal standards. "Tit" is recognized as
> vulgar slang. It is not unusual in the locker room, but is (was) usually off
> limits in a mixed family setting such as ham radio. Breast and Teton are
> legitimate words when used in the correct setting. It's not the body part
> that's vulgar, it's how, and in what context, the word for it is used...

"Tit" is recognized by SOME as vulgar slang. Ummm, you must be a real
pent-up guy, Aaron. You know, real uptight? You know, real tense? You
know, high blood pressure territory? You know, Viagra user?

>
> Gentlemen would not use the word in public. Adolescents probably would. Even
> many elementary school children know the word, but they certainly would not
> get away with using it in a classroom. Why is ham radio any different...
>

W5TIT is a legally issued callsign. Take it up with the FCC. I'm
uninterested and unaffected by your apparent disapproval of my
callsign. Oh, and I also wouldn't be so quick to classify you as a
"gentleman." But, my definition of a gentleman and yours are probably
two very different things.

Buhbye now....

Kim W5TIT

1195. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DAAE61F5B3BD5F7B.FCF0A6B88E23BBF1.1CC9D93111DAC001@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

mdwade wrote:
>
> BTW, Kim, is N5TIT taken?

Martin, at the time I applied for my callsign, I wanted K5TIT (which is already issued to a guy in Florida; so, uh, Aaron--what do you have to say about that? Shouldn't he give that call up?). Anyway, N5TIT was a possibility. The only problem with that is at the time there was a little riff going on at a local level and I didn't really want to be seen as agitating those whose N5 calls would have been right there with mine, as that was not the reason I got this call.

So, why did I get the call? Well, all "the guys" were getting their vanity calls and, at first, I was kinda not supporting the vanity call program. So, one morning on the local repeater I keyed up and I said something like, "Jeeze...if I got a vanity call, it would be a TRUE vanity call." They all knew what I was talking about and we ribbed around about it for a couple of weeks and then it kind of dropped. A couple of months later, I figured I would "join the crowd" and shock them with that call on the air.

In just about a year, heck maybe over a year now...Aaron and one other person are the only negative comments I have gotten on this call. It has disrupted contests with outbursts of laughter, it has put the shine back in our elder hams' near lifeless eyes, it has gently turned the corners of many a prudish gentlemen. And, I have enjoyed it.

73/Kim W5TIT

1196. vehicle antenna spacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Thurston <n0meq@mitec.net>
Message-ID: <3877ff92$1_1@goliath.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 122446

Does anyone know how far apart an antenna should be from other antennas on a car?? I have several antennas and I want to install a CB but don't want to lose alot of the antenna performance....

Thanks
73's
N0MEQ

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1197. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.12e1caceb88e6669989804@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 122447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3877d165.173054867@news.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol.com says...
> >
> >Patrick, you could bottle that and sell it. At the very least send it in to
> >QST, to the editor.
> >
> Hey Son, I got a bridge you might be interested in buying. it's in
> Brooklyn . . .
> >
> >73, Brian/N0iMD
>
>
Too late Mayor Guliani the pompous has sold the rights to the Brooklyn
Bridge for $5million for the next 3 years to a Wall Street Firm. They
get to keep it clean and use it in their advertising. morons
--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

1198. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Davis <tom@ratcliff.com>
Message-ID: <s7g0is5poj865@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:858qf8fqr1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net...
> Here's a list of the values in the test pool questions on Q from f, L, r:
>
> Q f L r
> 1.84 3.6 43 1.8k
> 0.25 3.6 42 1.8k
> 32.2 3.6 3 2.2k
> 39 7.125 12.6 22k
> 2.73 7.125 8.2 1k
> 0.221 7.125 10.1 100
> 31.9 14.225 3.5 10k
> 0.136 4.468 47 180
> 43 14.128 4.7 18k
> 75.1 14.128 2.7 18k
>
> where f is MHz, L in uH, and r ohms.
>
> Can't get these to be consistant with Q = (2pi f L)/r

Q = (2pi f L)/r is correct for a *series* circuit.

For a *parallel* circuit use Q = r/(2pi f L)

--
Tom

1199. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <cBTd4.262$nG4.6951@nnrp3.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 122449

I hope you are all correct.

I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and buy a citizens band radio.

It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always produce a better idividual.

So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference, because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio services be?

Brent Scott
N5CLK
Austin, TX

1200. anyone provide reference on John K.O. Lee, "NEW YAESU BATTERIES"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davoli <jdavoli@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8590r9$d62$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 122450
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

His prices are VERY GOOD. I am being cautious.

Reply to my e-mail address.

Thanks in advance,

Joe, KB3DMF

1201. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ElVd4.14543$Ak.202179@news1.mia>
Source record: 122451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote in message ...

(Nothing of great importance)

Joe, do you look in the mirror and see a communist? You make Stalin proud?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

1202. Mr. Hollingsworth made a 14.313 appearence on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EpVd4.14551$Ak.202171@news1.mia>
Source record: 122452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Any truth to this?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

1203. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <WiVd4.385$nG4.7841@nnrp3.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 122453

I hope you are all correct.

I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and buy a citizens band radio.

It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always produce a better idividual.

So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference, because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio services be?

Brent Scott
N5CLK
Austin, TX

don@KB9VEK/AA.ham wrote in message ...
>Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!
>
>don
>
>
>
>

1204. Re: Demagoguery: Was: Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_jVd4.14540$Ak.202083@news1.mia>
Source record: 122454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote in message ...

>2. At age 20, as a college student in Alabama, after I had participated in
>the last portion of the Selma to Montgomery march, where I shook hands with
>Rosa Parks and Martin Luther King, Jr., I -- and a few friends -- were
>threatened with expulsion from our college by a dean. He lost.

Supporting a communist, and Jewish adgenda - No wonder you hate Kevin so
much - Are you also a Free Mason?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

1205. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <I7Wd4.3226$Vr.74596@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker
>I wanted K5TIT (which is already issued to a guy in Florida;
>so, uh, Aaron--what do you have to say about that?

That vulgar people come in both sexes?

>I figured I would "join the crowd" and shock
>them with that call on the air.

That would eliminate any doubt as to your intent.

>It has disrupted contests with outbursts of laughter, it has put the shine
>back in our elder hams' near lifeless eyes, it has gently turned the
>corners of many a prudish gentlemen. And, I have enjoyed it.

Dirty jokes could accomplish all that. But then I guess your call is kind of
a dirty joke. But why force it into a family setting...

1206. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <J7Wd4.3227$Vr.74596@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>Excuse me? Kim wanted IT (what?) to mean what?

You wanted the word "tit" for a suffix of your callsign because of the reaction you would get using it.

>"Tit" is recognized by SOME as vulgar slang. Ummm, you must be a real
>pent-up guy, Aaron.

Nope. There is a place and time for locker room language. Ham radio should not be the place or the time. Unfortunately things are changing...

>You know, real uptight? You know, real tense? You
>know, high blood pressure territory? You know, Viagra user?

More insults Kim? It simply shows lack of character. Also a lack of a good argument. You have a vulgar call which you got on purpose. Why are you upset with me for commenting on it? Isn't attention what you wanted when you got it...

1207. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <K7Wd4.3228$Vr.74596@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker
>they [rules] also allowed me this callsign.

Rules also allow you to wear vulgarities on a t-shirt in public. Decent people usually don't though...

>Ham radio is still a family hobby.

Not really. I wouldn't allow my grandkids to listen without supervision. It wasn't always that way...

I do NOT have "tit" for a callsign, I have W5TIT as a callsign

Cmon Kim. Sure you do. Everyone knows you make words out of the suffix of a callsign. (W1RFI, K7JOE ect.) Everybody here picked yours out right away. You said yourself that you got it for that reason.

>Get over it, you pompous baffoon.

Gee Kim, name calling so early in the joust? Many of the regular (decent) posters have called me worse. I would think that someone with a vulgar call could have outdone them...

1208. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <L7Wd4.3229$Vr.74596@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

George Conklin wrote
> I just read a very interesting book by a mountain woman
>who described old patterns of extended nursing. She writes,
>"We called it 'sucking titty.'"

See there Kim? An idea for a new t-shirt for your next ham club meeting...

1209. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <M7Wd4.3230$Vr.74596@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

mdwade wrote
>Don't be so uptight, W5TiT is welcome to her form of expression

For her it's expression, and for me it's being "uptight"?? Double standard...

>I will voice my opinion but look at this as amusement.

It is *adult* amusement. But ham radio is (was) for the whole family, not just the adults. It's just another symptom of the increasing garbage on the bands...

1210. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <M7Wd4.3231$Vr.74596@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote
>In that case, I'm willing to trade someone's tit for my tat. :-)

From my dictionary:
Tit - A small plump bird.
Tat - delicate hand mace lace.

An interesting barter indeed...

1211. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Daniel J. Morlan" <dmorlan@ca.freei.net>
Message-ID: <8599kalma1@newssrv2.hrz.uni-oldenburg.de>
Source record: 122461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> You apparently suffer from a lack of self-esteem.
>
> If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
> then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
> hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
> worked, say, in military or commercial communications.
>
> But it seems that this isn't enough for you. You crave that piece of paper
> which says "Advanced" or "Extra" on it, not because of what YOU are and
> what YOU have accomplished, but rather because of the mark the LICENSE
> PUTS ON YOU, because of the "specialness" it confers on you, because of
> the "distinction" which you believe it will afford you.
>
> If that's all you want, just have a fancy, official-looking embossed piece
> of paper framed and put on the wall next to your $3000 general-coverage
> receiver. Only a tiny handful of people will know the difference.....
>
> +-------------------->
> | Nick Geovanis
> | IT Computing Svcs
> | Northwestern Univ
> V n-geovanis@nwu.edu

While scalding, that was very well said Nick. I indend to get my license someday. This is a very VERY new interest of mine, and I go into this interest late in life at the ripe old age of 26. I'm going to be taking some electronics classes at a community college in the meantime. Your remarks while scalding, are well said...

1212. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Daniel J. Morlan" <dmorlan@ca.freei.net>
Message-ID: <859a27lpc1@newssrv2.hrz.uni-oldenburg.de>
Source record: 122462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

This is ridiculous. Please exercise a little bit of self-control. I know I can just SKIP this thread, but it's like seeing the end of a movie that you have to watch, and see how it concludes. PLEASE, let's not go from the death of ham to mammory glands...

1213. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <28bc935d.794b5712@usw-ex0101-002.remarq.com>
Source record: 122463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> From my dictionary:
> Tit - A small plump bird.

Hmmm...anything on flightless, buoyant waterfowl? :)

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1214. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <857p1loho1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122482

In article <3876C7BA.7C34C8@woldnet.att.net>,
CW not CB <xrayj@woldnet.att.net> wrote like always:

> WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1

<BIG SNIP>

> "But I HAVE A RIGHT...!"
> NO YOU DON'T.

The REAL important question is this:

No God = No Salvation

Know God = Know Salvation

Compaired to that, everything else (including Amateur Radio) is
meaningless!!!!

Want to find out more? Visit http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/tract.html
--
"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/ http://www.qsl.net/kb9ohy/
http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Send Spam 2> UCE@FTC.GOV

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1215. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387718EF.A56CA897@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In the Phoenix area, hams have aided the County Sheriff's office and
several municipal PDs in DFing unauthorized jammers and harrassers using
police frequencies. The aid has come at the specific and initial request
of the agencies, who were frustrated by the FCC, which put off
investigating the problem for many months.

Will
KD7BFX

Perfidious wrote:
.
> I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
> folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
> Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the suspect
> will be suspect"
> exactally what does that mean?
> get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing detective...
> dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
> business of your own.... try minding it.

1216. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38771787.1D9F3656@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122484

Good locks (Fichet double-tumblers with brass punch plates and 180-deg dual 1" slag bolts into 1/4" angle-irons top and bottom [plus an Abloy knobset with integral DB], steel frames w/1" jamb lips, and nice solid triple-hinged old hardwood doors [2 1/4"]) and good dogs *do* work quite well. Lexan window panes [also with 180-degree deadbolts] in frames designed for Andrew-level winds (as is the Lexan: it won't shatter when the wind throws a brick against it) are great as well. That's all that stands between a burglar and $5K worth of antique electronics. A gun would add little protection, especially when no one is home. That is what a real neighborhood, with real neighbors, is about. Mine know me, I them. Any weirdness or weirdos show up and we know it [so do the weirdos], call each other first, then the authorities. Amazing what a little genuine community can do to keep bad people from even coming around in the first place.

Seems to me all this racket about self-defense is just a ruse for hoping/waiting for the opportunity to shoot someone. Years of gun-adoration, all that practice at the range, and reading all those hysterical testimonials in gun magazines makes people trigger happy.

Oh, another nice thing about prefering tube-type gear to the current crop: while someone may burgle your home and walk away with your new FT-847 tucked under their coat, what are they going to do with that KWS-1 or 75-A4? Even if they knew what it was and its value, how are they going to abscond?

Will
KD7BFX

RFEnforcer wrote:
>
> In article <852vlj$ik5$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> writes:
>
> >Locks, dogs, and video cameras do a better job scaring off the
> >bad guys, and no one gets hurt.
>
> Typical liberal twaddle from a government employee. If someone attempts to
> break into my house, I WANT him to get hurt. Preferably in a terminal manner.
> This way, his most recent criminal act becomes his last.

1217. Re: Restructuring and Respect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387717B2.44D7D065@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122485

It always did.

Mark Langenfeld wrote:
>
> Passing the exams for ANY class of license really entitles the candidate
> to only two things:
>
> - Some level of personal satisfaction; and
>
> - Commensurate operating privileges.
>
> Respect, on the other hand, has to be earned every day.
>
> Mark -- WA9ETW

1218. WTB: Icom IC-EX310 Voice synth. Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbwolf@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <857rkiqap1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Greetings,

Wanted: Icom IC-EX310 Voice Synth Unit. Please e-mail with price etc.

Thanks and 73.
Rich

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1219. IC275H wide band RX mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Linksted <steve.linksted@dtn.ntl.com>
Message-ID: <857clk$ic3$1@nclient3-gui.server.dtn.ntl.com>
Source record: 122487

Has anyone come across a mod for the Icom IC275H to give it wideband RX? I own the UK spec model which only gives 144-146MHz, but searches for Icom mods have so far drawn a blank. Any info appreciated!
Steve, G7KMM.
steve.linksted@dtn.ntl.com

1220. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: AMDX <AMDX@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <857dv1$8fe$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't see a problem, What is the question with the actual values
of F,L, and R ?
Include the possible answers.

Mike Knowlton
Panama City Fl.

1221. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: claudefraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8581f5$u9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <s6nqporn5k291@corp.supernews.com>,
vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
>
> I Blame the ARRL!
>

Good! You guys always thought that you were better than everyone else.
Quit wining. It's about time that the FCC fixed ham radio.
It is only a matter of time till the 5wpm is dropped.
You're just mad because someone peed in your punchbowl.

Haw Haw Haw

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1222. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac5ez@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4230-3877444C-2@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122490

Sounds great to me. Lets make all the new y2k cb hams happy.

1223. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ken4bikesREMOVE@usa.net
Message-ID: <387a4db7.92975823@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122491
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 19:42:35 -0800, Bob <wolfbob@csnsys.com> wrote:

>The amateur community has often been solicited for hunting transmitters
>and interfering sources from cable companies, police, fire, FCC, (yes,
>the FCC), cab companies, various service providers and the Feds. Where
>else can you get a bunch of trained mobile operators with fully equipped
>cars that will drive around like crazy without being paid?
>
>Bob

From one LEO: a big thank-you for the help.

--
Ken (NY)
Chairman,
Department of Redundancy Department

If your nose runs and your feet smell,
you've been built upside-down.

1224. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac5ez@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4228-38774562-18@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122492

Always thought the echo chamber sound was cool . Where can I get one of those boxes for hf ?

1225. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac5ez@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4228-387747DA-19@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122493

The fcc has killed the code. It just dosent know its dead yet. The fcc needs to finish the job by doing away with the 5wpm and allowing fone operations in all bands now reserved for cw. Its not logical to reserve 50% of the ham bands for a dead mode no will be using in 10 years (or less) except for a few old geezer cw types.

1226. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac5ez@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4228-38774B77-20@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122494

If the Fcc was really interested in hams becoming "more technical" why did they reduce the number of questions on the exams ? Why are the questions and answers provided before hand ?

1227. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <38775078.D01A2725@flash.net>
Source record: 122495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Brian wrote:
>
> Phil Wheeler wrote:
>
> > W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > Not to start a war, here...I am *just* making the observation that the
> > > tests may change AND be a bit harder (laughable, I know) after the April 15
> > > date.
> > >
> >
> > Sure is possible, since they may cover newer technology. Plus I think
> > the new one is longer (50 vs. 40 questions?).
> >
> > Phil
>
> And the VE's writing it might just be a little disgruntled.

Perhaps .. but none I know: Giving the code test is a bit of a pain for
many.

Phil

1228. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <38776321.532@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122496
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Perfidious wrote:
>
> In article <38766104.16FC@mobiletel.com>, mdwade@mobiletel.com wrote:
>
> > Perfidious wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <z4dd4.798$CJ1.31520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
> > > <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com> wrote ...
> > > > : A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
> > > > : police radio frequencies. The arrest was made by the FCC in the city
> > > > : of Bell. At the time of the arrest the suspect was illegaly
> > > > : transmitting over police frequencies. The suspect will be charged for
> > > > : making over 200 such transmissions in LA & Orange Counties. The
> > > > : suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
> > > > : violation. Looks like the cop bashers trying to blame the police for
> > > > : these acts will have to eat a big slice of humble pie.
> > > > :
> > > > : Sgt. Rock
> > > >
> > > > The amateur radio community helps police locate these folks. It is
> > > > highly illegal, and with the current FCC attitude towards enforcement,
> > > > you should see more folks facing federal charges.
> > > I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
> > > folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
> > By RDF (radio direction finding equipment), usually when the cops call
> > upon their
> > ham buddies in Civil Defense, the chase is on.
> >
> > > Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above...
> > AGAIN SEE ABOVE?
> > "the suspect
> > > will be suspect"
> > > exactally what does that mean?
> > TELL IT TO THE JUDGE.
> >
> > > get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
> detective...
> > > dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have a
> > > business of your own.... try minding it.
> > Must be an illegal? 9 outta 10 criminals perfer an inactive citizenry,

> > but that 1 will
> > carry the day. Are you Part of the Problem or Part of the Solution?
> > Perf, you would
> > like to have have somebody "mind their own business" especially if it
> > fits an illegal need? SEMPER VIGILANTIS
> > 73s de MARTIN N5PZJ
>
> Me? Neither problem 'nor solution. I sit back and think citizens should be
> just that.
Under normal circumstances, yes, but you also have resposibility to the
"community"
such as the minutemen of 1776 had to defend and protect his community.

I could understand Hunting down someone jamming the ham
> bands...not the police bands.
Perf., the police usually ask for help in these cases since
radio and communications are outside their normal areas of
expertise and knowledge. Hams have experience in this area
to a higher degree and so the police usually turn to the
Hams, its not like they are turning over the police dept to us
only asking us to solve a problem to help do their duty in much
the same way the police might ask a doctor to interpert data at
a murder scene to catch a killer.

IF I wished to be a police officer then...
> "SEMPER VIGILANTIS"
> sounds like "busy body" to me. Nothing wrong with neighborhood watch,

The exact meaing behind Semper Vigilantis is ALWAYS VIGILANT which is
the idea behind
neighborhood watch, most criminal types hate "busy body" SO:>

> but doing the jobs of civil servants is usually only done to be noticed.
Long hours at the Courthouse during a hurricane is no fun!!!

I
> question
> whether or not you play fireman on the side,
I am a volunteer firman in my community, WE DON'T HAVE THE LUXURY OF
PAID FIREMEN:<

or help the roadcrews hold
> their shovels upright. I never read that any of the ideas or by-laws
> ..etc.. suggested helping to catch "bad guys" only to help in Civil
> emergencies ie: floods, earthquakes, and disasters that may Interupt
> communications.No?
Wait for government to do it? Might not happen. See the purpose of
Amateur
Radio as set out in Part 97.1-to maintain a ready reserve of
knowledgeable
personnel in the Radio Arts.
>

> If talents run so high then why are there not more Elmers? Better teaching
> would be hams to become more proficient at their hobby.
> It seems so many people are griping over the new rulings. as if they want
> no more people on the air, and in the same breath state that the hobby is
> dying.
>
WE teach, WE instruct and WE elmer. Not all hams are like that.

> Personally, I live 21 miles from the nearest store, and it's in a town of 4000
> We don't have such problems as city dwellers, we've all learned to be
> responsible for our actions, and help our neighbors out. Rarely do Govt.
> agencies need to be in OUR business.

Contridictions in terms my man, abound in the last paragraph. You say
you want Public Servants to solve all their own problems but you help
out your neighbors, who do think
is your POLICEMAN? Your neighbor (meaning somebody in your community) is
the
guy or gal who patrols the lonely highway, watches over property when
you are gone and
keeps his eye out for suspicious activity and assists at medical
emergencies.

>
> 'Course cops here don't randomly harass people, or sit them on curbs...etc.
> They still say "Sir" "Ma'am" here.
RESPECT MUST BE EARNED NOT TAKEN. If your local officer asked for help
(assuming you
are a licensed ham?) would you help? Or tell Mr. or Ms. Public Servant
to solve
his own problem? From what you have written, I would hate to see a real
emergency crop up in your area, of course, you could get out your
lawnchair
as you watch your "Public Servants" put the sand in the sandbags to stop
the
rising waters.

Perfidious, you are lucky to have lived in a place where there is no
crime, no
emergencies or fires. Your local Govt seems like they can do everything
and when
the day comes they can't, you will be the first person complaining. In
our wonderful
Constitution, WE THE PEOPLE is not merely a saying but a statement.

Martin N5PZJ
Proudly MARS, RACES, Volunteer Fireman and General All Around Active
Citizen.

1229. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <38776652.B1C13004@radiks.net>
Source record: 122497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> Well, with the new R&O changes, I'm studying the question pools to get the
> CSCEs for my extra (I have 5wpm, and general theory already done as I have a
> pre 1987 tech). Think I know enough to pass, but I can't seem to calculate
> correctly "Q" given the frequency, inductance, and resistance of a circuit.
>
> I *thought* the equasion for the reactance of an inductance is:
>
> Xl = 2pi*f*L
>
> and the equasion for Q:
>
> Q = X/r
>
> Combined:
>
> Q = (2 pi *f*L)/r
>
> Which yields wrong answers! :-(
>
> What did I screw up?

If you were off by some power of 10, you may just have gotten the
dimensions wrong. In the equation, freq is in hertz and L is in
Henries.
if L is in millihenrys you can just make f in kilo-hertz to offset it,
similarly microhenrys and megahertz go together.

1230. Re: Extra upgrade? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Phillip B. Pacier" <ke6guq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3877686D.F2409DCA@arrl.net>
Source record: 122498

I have mixed feelings about the new restructuring. I am in the middle of studying for my 20 WPM exam, and plan to take it so I can at least say I did it the right way. My interpretation of the situation is that if you are currently an Advanced class license holder who has passed the Element 4B written exam for Extra (like me), that will qualify you for the new extra class. They should not upgrade you automatically given your situation - I could be wrong... but that's how I read it.

Phil
KE6GUQ

BD wrote:

> Ok.. With a Tech Plus license and a passed 3b (General) written exam, I can
> 'automatically' get upgraded to General with the new changes..
> So what if I go ahead and pass the Advanced written exam now? Will I get
> upgraded to Extra? Or is it even possible to do that without also first
> getting past 13wpm test?...
>
> PS. I apologize for some of the not-so-nice replies to the other thread. I'm
> suffering thru my own (drum-rolls) Y2K-Bug.. The worst <no thanks, I'll eat
> next mnth> flu I think I've ever suffered thru....

1231. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <857pr0gro1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote

>>My collection of 73 went back to issue #2.

>This is a test. Name the article on page 36 of issue #232...

Note the use of "went," Aaron. And recall what I wrote about 2 or 3
months ago, that the entire collection was donated to the Lockheed
ARC (to which someone asked why I have a KH6 call when Lockheed is
located in 7-land, to which I replied that Lockheed used to be located
in Burbank, CA, and the donation was made when I was WA6QIJ, etc.)
Deja will confirm that exchange.

>>There originally was only one repeater, and one simplex channel.

>Every ham in California was on one repeater and one simplex channel? Boy
>what a waste of bandspace (and a hard story to swallow)...

And at one time, there were NO repeaters in SoCal (and none elsewhere.)
Hard to swallow? If so, see the enclosed below.

Your setting in this thread is the late 50s and early 60s, remember?
Lest you forget, the very first repeater in the nation was located in
L.A., Art Gentry's K6MYK machine, atop Mount Lee, in the Hollywood Hills.
Art pioneered technology of having a receiver operate simultaneously
in the same band as a transmitter. Let me pull up his SK notice for
you (see below).

Jeff

ARTHUR M. GENTRY, W6MEP, SK
The ham that gave each and every one of us the
ability to communicate over repeaters has died at
age 89. Arthur M. Gentry, W6MEP, passed away
about three weeks ago in Beaver, Oregon of natural
causes. Only a month earlier he and his wife
Millie, K6JJN, had moved to Oregon to be with
their grandchildren.
It was back in the late 1940's that Art Gentry
began experimenting with receiver designs that
would permit hearing signals on the same band that
a nearby high power transmitter was operating. By
the late 1950's he had developed the needed
hardware to put the first practical voice repeater
into operation from atop Mt. Lee overlooking Los

Angeles, California. Gentry's designs were adopted by both the Amateur and Commercial Land Mobile services and lead to a revolution in voice relay communications that prospers to this day.
In addition to his developing repeater technology, W6MEP also helped to pioneer the technical side of covering breaking news stories. One of his most significant contributions was in the design of the KTLA Telecopter for Golden West Broadcasters. This was the first remote broadcast facility ever installed on board a helicopter and is the fore-runner to the news choppers of today.
Bill Arens, N6NMC who has operated the repeater for the past six years says that he has submitted a request to the FCC to grant him special dispensation. This, so that the callsign W6MEP can remain on what is the oldest continuous operation repeater in the world.

1232. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <387865a0.7255093@news.gate.net>
Source record: 122500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 23:07:51 GMT, dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote:

*i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
*just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
*code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
*sounds like 11 meters anyway. i got my extra back in 1989, and passed all
*the code requirements, and i am blind on top of all that.
****

The code provision had to remain due to treaty. The ITU requires that all amatuer licensees operating under 30MHz must have proficiency in code. The ITU does not mention at what level is considered proficient. I got my Tech class license in 1977 and the 80 M band was not any better than it is now. I also have a BSEE degree and feel that element 4A and 4B are easier than many of the EE classes that I took. I just see no need for element 1B, and 1C. To me it does not mean I will operate on 3890 KHz any better or worse. Besides to operate on 80M phone you must have to operate with a general class license or higher. Until 4/15/2000 that still requires a minimum of 13WPM code. If I were to try and draw some conclusion from you post, it may seem that as one becomes more proficient in code, one becomes a better operator. BUt at this time I will try and refrain from such endeavor.

regards
james

****

*On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, njvets wrote:
*
*> Date: Fri, 07 Jan 2000 14:22:10 GMT
*> From: njvets <njvets@skyweb.net>
*> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
*> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
*> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
*> rec.radio.shortwave
*> Subject: The END of Ham Radio
*>
*> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
*> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
*> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
*> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
*> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
*> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
*> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his

*> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
*> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
*> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
*> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
*> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
*> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
*> volt battery and an oak tree?
*> I don't.
*> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
*> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
*> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
*> parking ticket?
*> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
*> K2TL
*>
*>
*>
*>

1233. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <38796a8d.8516206@news.gate.net>
Source record: 122501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 17:51:48 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

*dana wrote:
*> i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
*> just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
*> code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
*> sounds like 11 meters anyway.
*
*All the hams on 75m are coded. Since the entry level exam, the nocode tech,
*hasn't changed a bit, why would any additional CBers want into ham radio?
****

Cecil:

Agreed. The same kind of comments were raised in 94 and in 87. The CBer are comming!. I did not know they were even breathing hard!.

No the sky is not going to fall in. There is not going to be the mass migration from the CB band to the HAM band. The only way that will happen is for the code requirement to drop totally and I do not see that happening for 10 to 20 years from now. The matter has tried to get on the docket for the ITU annual meetings the last three years. It has been shelved evrytime. The last I heard the matter is dead until 2001. So for many amatuers th at think the sky is falling in, look up it is not. What will happen is there will be large number of upgrades withing the mamatuer ranks inthe US. Extra class will replace the general class as the most issued. Not code tech and general class will remain the least issued. The influx of new licensees will most likely not exceed 20K per year. The exit rate due to lack of interest and death will still most likely come close to or exceed that number. Still after 5 to 10years the amatuer population will still be smaller than it is today.

regards
james

1234. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <387a6cc1.9079634@news.gate.net>
Source record: 122502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 08 Jan 2000 02:31:47 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

*ccom2@socket.net, speaking for the Elders of Whirlpool, wrote... :
*
*>And they were not buying the answers for the test when the FCC gave
*>them? If someone wants to cheat they will find away.
*
*The problem with Ham Radio isn't the tests, or the lack of CW,
*it's the lack of ENFORCEMENT!
*
*You can travel to almost any medium to large city, and find absolutely
*disgusting traffic on ham bands, from SW to SHF.
*
*When you complain about it, you're brushed off.
*
*Enforce the rules, and you'll get Ham Radio back to what it should be.
*
****

Give ths man five gold stars. The first correct answer to the problems of
amamtuer radio.

regards
james

****
*
*
*
*To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
*
*Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1235. Re: Extra upgrade? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <857r0g$94e$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 122503

No...to get Extra upgrade after April 15 you need CSCE for general and extra written and proof of 5wpm. I do not see where you would need to have passed the advanced. I believe you can take any written test you want at any time although most progress logically through the elements I don't think that progression is a requirement.

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Phillip B. Pacier" <ke6guq@arrl.net> wrote in message news:3877686D.F2409DCA@arrl.net...
> I have mixed feelings about the new restructuring. I am in the middle of
> studying for my 20 WPM exam, and plan to take it so I can at least say I did it
> the right way. My interpretation of the situation is that if you are currently
> an Advanced class license holder who has passed the Element 4B written exam for
> Extra (like me), that will qualify you for the new extra class. They should not
> upgrade you automatically given your situation - I could be wrong... but that's
> how I read it.
>
> Phil
> KE6GUQ
>
> BD wrote:
>
> > Ok.. With a Tech Plus license and a passed 3b (General) written exam, I can
> > 'automatically' get upgraded to General with the new changes..
> > So what if I go ahead and pass the Advanced written exam now? Will I get
> > upgraded to Extra? Or is it even possible to do that without also first
> > getting past 13wpm test?...
> >
> > PS. I apologize for some of the not-so-nice replies to the other thread. I'm
> > suffering thru my own (drum-rolls) Y2K-Bug.. The worst <no thanks, I'll eat

> > next mnth> flu I think I've ever suffered thru....
>

1236. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <857qhphhg1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122504

K1zw <ac5ez@webtv.net> wrote:
>The fcc has killed the code. It just dosent know its dead yet. The fcc
>needs to finish the job by doing away with the 5wpm and allowing fone
>operations in all bands now reserved for cw. Its not logical to reserve
>50% of the ham bands for a dead mode no will be using in 10 years (or
>less) except for a few old geezer cw types.

Some years ago, those CW subbands were renamed digital subbands. So
you're proposing that phone, packet, RTTY, and code should all
intermingle? (RTTY on 3950 and 14313? Maybe that's not a bad idea!)

Jeff

1237. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <1sKd4.89$IC.3569@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 122505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Y2K! gotta horde water Y2K! the world is ending Y2K! raise rabbits for food Y2K! .....whoops, wrong topic, same outcome.

We will wake up on April 16, 2000 and ham radio will be the same.

We will wake up on April 16, 2001 and ham radio will be pretty much unchanged.

Get over it! Life and Ham radio will go on.

Its changes will have more to do with the advancement of technology than CW requirments.

1238. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8D28E312056CC530.5F1896A4969E9267.F3A16F92A8F89606@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey, I got one for ya! The latest "politics" of ham radio, and the hate and disregard I have watched and heard sends me running. I am not relinquishing my license, I enjoy *some* of ham radio a lot. But, I have my eye on nice shiney new Cobra CB radio that I am going to get...and I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a band where I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know, the insults, degradations, threats, etc.). I have been a licensed amateur for about the last five years. I have been on and off CB all my life. Just before getting my license I was introduced to SSB operations on CB. Lots nicer, lots nicer....

So, that's some irony, eh? Maybe you doomslayers are wrong. Maybe lots of folks will get back to the friendlier band...CB

Kim W5TIT

1239. Re: IC275H wide band RX mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Beaulieu <boldinf@cam.org>
Message-ID: <857s7t$sgv@tandem.CAM.ORG>
Source record: 122507

Hi,

Try http://www.mods.dk/, i've seen mods for this radio. Don't know
if's what your looking for but...

Andre

"Steve Linksted" <steve.linksted@dtn.ntl.com> wrote in message
news:857clk$ic3$1@nclient3-gui.server.dtn.ntl.com...
> Has anyone come across a mod for the Icom IC275H to give it wideband RX?
I
> own the UK spec model which only gives 144-146MHz, but searches for Icom
> mods have so far drawn a blank. Any info appreciated!
> Steve, G7KMM.
> steve.linksted@dtn.ntl.com
>
>
>
>

1240. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <31CDC54360EA8472.0CF3E23888E4B022.07196DE1F50997E2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" wrote:
>
> Hey, I got one for ya! The latest "politics" of ham radio, and the hate
> and disregard I have watched and heard sends me running. I am not
> relinquishing my license, I enjoy *some* of ham radio a lot. But, I
> have my eye on nice shiney new Cobra CB radio that I am going to
> get...and I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a band where
> I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know,
> the insults, degradations, threats, etc.). I have been a licensed
> amateur for about the last five years. I have been on and off CB all my
> life. Just before getting my license I was introduced to SSB operations
> on CB. Lots nicer, lots nicer....
>
> So, that's some irony, eh? Maybe you doomslayers are wrong. Maybe lots
> of folks will get back to the friendlier band...CB
>
> Kim W5TIT

Oh, by the way...forgot the absolute bestest part...my CB handle? Why,
W5TIT, of course!

1241. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10001081232200.6941-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 122509

On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, Joe K7JOE wrote:

> Does that mean that us amateur extras, who passed a 20 WPM
> test without a doctors excuse note, can start signing our callsigns
> "stroke 20" as a designate kinda like the "interim KT, temporary AE"
> that was so prevalent on two meters back in the early and mid 1980's

Is your ego really this weak, that you would need such childish display?

Either you can maintain a solid perfect fist at 20 wpm NOW and HERE, or
you cannot. If your speed of code and its intellegeability can not con-
vince others about you ever having been able to copy and send prefectly
at 20 wpm, then signing

"K7JOE/once upon a time I was able to copy enough from a
20 wpm test and to guess the rest, that I was able
to answer all question satisfyingly and pass the test"

will not make any difference about what your CW capabilities
are NOW and HERE. "Hic Rhodos, hic salta !"

1242. BUY the ham bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B49CBE13.1A21%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I have an interesting idea: If it were possible to BUY the shortwave bands and then use it for our hobby - I wonder how much $$$ that would raise?

1243. AF2Y, FEELS POWER OF STOCKHOLDERS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <858h88$8vd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122511

The details will be forthcoming, but AF2Y is no lomger bothering people with his hate that has been posted through AOL to Deja news. The power of the stockholders has spoken !!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1244. Re: A Kevin Alfred Strom Reader; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000108134430.03572.00000914@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 122512

Certainly looks like you've done your homework Joe.
w4hh@schlatter.org wrote in msg:
>Message-ID: <Yezd4.5465$7L.302683@tw11.nn.bcandid.com> referencing:
><3873AAD6.387559AA@innocent.com> <Rpyd4.5361$7L.283673@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
><3876B809.D1F46074@innocent.com>

>For the enlightenment of visitors to this newsgroup, here is a listing of
>suggested readings re: Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>http://www.splcenter.org/cgi-bin/goframe.pl?refname=/intelligenceproject/ip-
>4i4.html Contains an interview with Mr. Strom's ex:
>QUOTE
>A former insider speaks
>For four years, Kirsten Kaiser, 36, lived with her husband on or near the
>West Virginia compound of William Pierce, the leader of the neo-Nazi
>National Alliance and author of the racist fantasy novel The Turner Diaries.
>Kaiser was married to Kevin Alfred Strom, who co-hosts Pierce’s racist and
>anti-Semitic radio show and is one of Pierce’s closest associates. In that
>role, she got to know Pierce’s inner circle and some of its members’
>thinking. Over the nine years of her marriage, Kaiser came to question the
>violently racist views of the Alliance -- especially after the deaths of 19
>children in the Oklahoma City bombing, which was patterned on an attack
>portrayed in The Turner Diaries. Today, she is living in Minnesota and going
>through a divorce from Strom. The Intelligence Report interviewed Kaiser
>about her life and acquaintances inside the Alliance, and how she came to
>leave.
>END QUOTE
>
>http://209.196.26.118/articles/Resign.htm
>
>http://www.jdo.org/nazi.htm And scroll down the page to the entry for
>National Alliance
>
>http://www.whiteracist.com/Jew.html
>
>http://www.adl.org/poisoning_web/kkk.html
>
>This page contains the following paragraph:
>QUOTE
>Now led by Shane Crowe of Louisiana, this group has numerous sites on the
>Web as well. Unlike Robb's sites, those of the Michigan faction do not
>soft-pedal their bigotry. At the Web site of its Oregon chapter, this Klan
>openly and honestly declares, "we believe in White Supremacy. The Klan

>believes that America is a White man's country, and should be governed by
>White men." Blatant extremist views can also be found at the national Web
>site for Crowe's Klan, which features a prominent link to Stormfront, the
>text of Kevin Alfred Strom's "The Beast Is Saint," and a reprint of Henry
>Ford Sr.'s "classic" anti-Semitic text, The International Jew.
>END QUOTE
>
>http://spinoza.tau.ac.il/hci/spe/anti/internet1.html scroll down the page
>to the table
>
>http://www.sociology.org/content/vol003.002/kallen.html Where you will find
>this quote:
>QUOTE
>Excerpted from: "Evil in High Places", by Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>THERE IS EVIL IN HIGH PLACES in America today... because there has been a
>revolution in this country and the American people lost. The revolution...
>the takeover of America by [Jewish] aliens and the traitors who served those
>aliens... was engineered and executed by the same forces who... brought the
>scourge of Communism to Europe.... The Jewish revolution is now complete -
>but the revolutionists feel far from secure. Now that they are in power,
>their main concern is staying there... They want to infiltrate agents into
>groups they merely suspect of lawbreaking. And who do you think they
>"suspect"? The Mafia? Jewish organized crime gangs in New York and Los
>Angeles? Homosexual activists who fling AIDS-infected blood at innocent
>churchgoers? Jamaican cocaine dealers who kill almost with impunity on our
>city streets? No. It is you and I, it is anyone who opposes their evil
>agenda, it is anyone who wants a return to the values that made America
>great... They would take away the last of our freedoms, the freedom to
>speak, and they would frame, they would plant evidence, they would lie, they
>would kill to stay in power .
>[I urge you to] speak out against those who would turn our beloved America
>into a brutal Third World police state.... When our people realize that
>hundreds of thousands of us are speaking out, they will join us, and when
>enough of them do that, we will be the state - the American government will
>once again be in the hands of true Americans. The outward-bound 747s will
>be... overloaded with traitors and alien subversives and their ill-gotten
>lucre, heading for Israel or elsewhere where their evil revolution may still
>be in power. But the Jewish revolution will be finished here. America will
>be America once again. And our sons and our daughters will be able to look
>with clear eyes into a future once again brighter than the sun.
>END QUOTE
>
>http://www.bardstown.com/~equalzr/aidskills.htm
>
>http://www.usaor.net/users/ipm/nea.html
>
>http://home.earthlink.net/~rebright/xtra/conspiracy/mar98.htm
>
>http://www.patriot.dk/Pearlharbour.html

>
>
>http://www.ferris.edu/htmls/othersrv/isar/Institut/CCC/sharks.htm Where you
>will find this gem:
>QUOTE
>British neo-fascists and youth
>Wider-ranging recruitment efforts are currently under way. While the council
>has been largely made up of aging southern proponents of "the lost cause,"
>last year it began an effort to recruit a younger generation of followers,
>setting up an education committee and a youth chapter.
>The youth chapter was developed by Mark Cotterill, using the false last name
>Cerr, around Washington, D.C. Cotterill, a Briton, is said to have brought
>100 young people into the CCC. What Cotterill doesn't boast openly about are
>his connections to the National Alliance — his friendships with Alliance
>founder William Pierce, and Pierce's chief deputy, Kevin Alfred Strom.
>Pierce's novel of a future race war, The Turner Diaries, served as the
>blueprint for the deadly 1995 bombing of the Oklahoma City federal building.
>
>Cotterill is well known in Britain, which he left three years ago. There, he
>was associated with the neofascist National Front and its successor, the
>British National Party, as well as American distributor of a far-right
>periodical, Right Now. He also has been linked to the Ulster Defense
>Association, a paramilitary Protestant group in Northern Ireland.
>END QUOTE
>
>http://hatewatch.org/who/pierce.html Mr. Strom may have a real future:
>QUOTE
>Presenting an image of restrained academic bookishness in front of main
>stream media, Pierce is more blunt in the company of "friendlier" audiences.
>Shortly following the death of poet Allen Ginsberg, who was Jewish and gay,
>Pierce stated frankly that he believed that persons who published material
>such as Ginsberg's should be shot. This speech was reproduced at his Web
>site, which is emblazoned with the motto "Free Speech." He also once wrote
>in an Alliance encyclical that "all the homosexuals, race-mixers and
>hard-case collaborator in the country who are too far gone to be re-educated
>can be rounded up, packed into 10,000 or so cattle cars, and eventually
>double-timed into an abandoned coal mine in a few days' time." This
>statement is, of course, eerily similar to the actions of Hitler's Third
>Reich.
>
>Despite Pierce's stark neo-Nazism, the National Alliance is a consistently
>growing organization, research shows. Pierce has actually been successful in
>starting National Alliance chapters nationwide including the New York
>metropolitan area which has one of largest Jewish populations in the world.
>National Alliance meetings begin with a roll-call of members (first names
>only) but always include the names of three specific individuals: Revilo
>Pendleton Oliver, a deceased, Illinois-based professor of Classics who wrote
>several racist and anti-Semitic tracts during the 1960s to 1980s, Bob
>Mathews and David Lane of the Order. At the calling of these three names,
>all members present shout "Here!"

>
>Now In his mid-60s, it is unclear who will follow Pierce when he dies.
>Speculation that he would be succeeded by his media-savvy lieutenant Kevin
>Alfred Strom lessened when Strom left the National Alliance (at least
>publicly) nearly two years ago. This was also the case with Milton John
>Kleim, Jr., Pierce's one-time "point man" for the Internet who is in his
>mid-20s, but he too has left the National Alliance, and the far-right,
>racist movement altogether. Kleim has thoroughly recanting his previous
>points of view. Pierce's most visible lieutenant is his North Carolina
>lieutenant, Will Williams. But Williams, with his southern accent and
>inability to harness his blatantly racist point of view into anything that
>can sound even remotely rational, would not likely be a successor that would
>be sanctioned by Pierce. However, if Pierce does not have a hand-picked
>successor, then the future of the National Alliance without a William Pierce
>would seem in question.
>END QUOTE
>
>http://users.erols.com/murple/propaganda/racist/mlking.html
>
>http://www.faem.com/oliver/oliver-1.htm
>
>http://www.loop.com/~bramble/conspir.html
>QUOTE
>Dave Middleton, editorializing in The Capital Christian News, the Christian
>Coalition’s publication for Rensslaer County, NY, believes that the U.N. is
>poised to take over the U.S., aided by (Jewish) humanists and scientists.
>Middleton claims that three orbiting satellites can project 3-D holograms
>onto the planet and people “will be fooled by the false signs and wonders”
>into accepting the invasion.
>The ancient paranoia has resurfaced in response to the modern plague: An
>organization in Orange County, California, believes that HIV was created by
>the KGB as part of a plot to take over the U.S. According to this theory,
>mandatory quarantining of HIV-positives is the only way to stop the
>Communist invasion.
>
>Christian Identity Online features one of the finest HIV-conspiracy
>speeches. Kevin Alfred Strom, speaking on the “American Dissident Voices”
>radio show (July 10, 1993), blames the spread of HIV on “the
>homosexualization of America” through “the power of organized
perversion”
>and “the controlled media.” The media, of course, is run by “the
>multicultural, one-world agenda” of the “forces behind Liberalism” --
those
>pesky Jews!
>
>Strom pumps up the volume when he tells people to avoid the “trendy,
>fashionable part of town whenever possible,” as “this is often where the
>highest percentage of homosexuals are to be found.” People should move into
>“racially, culturally, and medically healthier” [read: racially pure]
areas

>that offer lower risks of contact “with those in high-risk groups, including
>Blacks, Third-World immigrants, homosexuals, and drug users.”
>
>And don't think that crazy ideas about gays spreading epidemics started with
>Christian fundamentalists. After the original outbreak of Black Death in
>541, Emperor Justinian decreed that people had provoked God to anger and
>retribution because of “perpetrating vile acts with other men
>END QUOTE.”
>
>Regards,
>Joe Schlatter
>joe@schlatter.org
>http://www.schlatter.org/
>http://www.miafacts.org/
>Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH
>
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3876B809.D1F46074@innocent.com>...
>>
>
>Nothing of any consequence snipped.
>>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>>
>>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1245. Re: WARNING: Hans K0HB doesn't play fair! Re: Amateur Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KK5YY@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3877819e.3128748@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 8 Jan 2000 05:00:24 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Hans wrote:
>><jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
>>> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna, Hans wrote:
>
>>>>Not hypocritical at all, Ron. I've held this call for 25 years, but
>>>>I'd be first in line to turn it in if we could get rid of all this
>>>>phony "rank" that permeates the hobby these days.
>>>>If you want to outrank someone, go join the Army or the Girl Scouts.
>
>>>IF you work for a company or corporation with two or more people,
>>>rank exists.
>>>If you like the idea of living in a classless society (same rank,
>>>same wage, same bicycle, same clothes, same level of education) I
>>>can list a few countries which still practice that sort of thinking
>>>-- we can all chip in to send you to one of them.
>>>(Followup set to just .policy)
>
>>In my personal -- constitutionally protected -- opinion, any amateur
>>radio licensee who perceives they hold a higher 'rank' than another
>>licensee is a presumptive twit.
>>It is my further personal opinion that any such presumptive twit
>>who suggests I'd prefer living in a "classless (communist?) society"
>>lacks the level of native intelligence and good manners we might normally
>>ascribe to a rabid possum.
>>73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>Well, Hans, that response is a lot different from the complaint you
>sent to my ISP:
>
>
>On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, Hans - K0HB wrote:
>> Sirs:
>> The following quotation is taken verbatim from a public communications
>> authored by "jeffreyh@hawaii.edu", who I believe to be one "Jeffrey Herman"
>> in your employ.
>>
>> "If you like the idea of living in a classless society (same rank,
>> same wage, same bicycle, same clothes, same level of education) I
>> can list a few countries which still practice that sort of thinking

>> -- we can all chip in to send you to one of them."
>>
>> I hope that your fine educational institution does not condone it's
>> employees publicly insinuating that I should be sent to some communist
>> country, and that you will ask Mr Herman (if your investigation confirms
>> that he sent the offensive message) to publicly apologize for his
>> insult.
>> Thank you. Hans Brakob
>
>Universities are a bastion of free thought, free expression, and free
>speech. Many of history's more radical movements began on college
>campuses. It's not likely they'll ask me to publicly apologize for my
>innocent response to your wanting to eliminate class structure within
>amateur licensing.
>
>Is this how it's going to be? Complaining to ISPs instead of formulating
>NG counterarguments to responses made to your comments? Trying to muzzle
>your opponents by taking their comments out of context (you didn't even
>include the NG article containing your original comment in your complaint)
>is the most underhanded type of play. You should be ashamed of yourself.
>
>Jeff

Jeff,

Why not spend a few bucks a month and get your very own personal
internet access? That way you wouldn't bring the University to the
table every time you say something insulting or stupid.

Juno is available for $9.95 a month and works quite well in Hawaii.
I too, am employed by a University but they have no interest or
involvement in my amateur radio activities so I don't post from their
servers. The little bit I pay each month gives me the freedom to share
with you when I think you are being an ass.

73 Jerry

PS you seem to have cross posted this

1246. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <iGLd4.3207$Vr.72601@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>And at one time, there were NO repeaters in SoCal...

So how can you say absolutely that no hams in SoCal ever used the 10 code?
You couldn't have possibly listened to all frequencies all the time...

>Your setting in this thread is the late 50s and early 60s, remember?

Which made your age somewhere between 5 and 10. Do you *really* remember??

1247. Re: WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000108135221.03571.00000944@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 122515

"WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down"

Whew! I'm glad nobody took a picture.
That would be scary!

It also would attract millions of flies.

1248. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <RcMd4.3208$Vr.72941@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote

>I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a [CB] band where
>I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know,
>the insults, degradations, threats, etc.).

Is your CB handle gonna be "Boob"? It would fit. Someone who chooses
"tit" for a Vanity call sign shouldn't complain about garbage mouth hams.
Garbage mouth or garbage mouth call? No difference. Believe it or not,
ham radio was once a family rated hobby...

1249. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38778FF4.84253A66@icss.net>
Source record: 122517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> It was back in the late 1940's that Art Gentry
> began experimenting with receiver designs that
> would permit hearing signals on the same band that
> a nearby high power transmitter was operating. By
> the late 1950's he had developed the needed
> hardware to put the first practical voice repeater
> into operation from atop Mt. Lee overlooking Los
> Angeles, California. Gentry's designs were adopted
> by both the Amateur and Commercial Land Mobile
> services and lead to a revolution in voice relay
> communications that prospers to this day.
> In addition to his developing repeater
> technology, W6MEP also helped to pioneer the
> technical side of covering breaking news stories.
> One of his most significant contributions was in
> the design of the KTLA Telecopter for Golden West
> Broadcasters. This was the first remote broadcast
> facility ever installed on board a helicopter and
> is the fore-runner to the news choppers of today.
> Bill Arens, N6NMC who has operated the repeater
> for the past six years says that he has submitted a
> request to the FCC to grant him special
> dispensation. This, so that the callsign W6MEP can
> remain on what is the oldest continuous operation
> repeater in the world.
>
> --

That repeater must be a maintenance nightmare by now.

1250. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John P. Franklin" <jpfrankl@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <BpMd4.570$3a.10781@news1.teleport.com>
Source record: 122518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > I Blame the ARRL!

> Good! You guys always thought that you were better than everyone else.
> Quit wining. It's about time that the FCC fixed ham radio.
> It is only a matter of time till the 5wpm is dropped.
> You're just mad because someone peed in your punchbowl.
>

Here here!!! I only belong to the A-double cross-L because of QST. I probably won't renew because it's getting to be a bunch of Holier-than thou people pattin' themselves on the back.

Pat

1251. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <kg8aw@ismi.net>
Message-ID: <38779CCB.FA32D1DE@ismi.net>
Source record: 122519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just took and passed my EXTRA written today, so if your
getting out, send me a list of the goodies. PS. I have no
use for a CW key)

jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
>
> On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 23:07:51 GMT, dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote:
>
> *i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
> *just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
> *code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
> *sounds like 11 meters anyway. i got my extra back in 1989, and passed all
> *the code requirements, and i am blind on top of all that.
> **********
>
> The code provision had to remain due to treaty. The ITU requires that all
> amatuer licensees operating under 30MHz must have proficiency in code. The ITU
> does not mention at what level is considered proficient. I got my Tech class
> license in 1977 and the 80 M band was not any better than it is now. I also have
> a BSEE degree and feel that element 4A and 4B are easier than many of the EE
> classes that I took. I just see no need for element 1B, and 1C. To me it does
> not mean I will operate on 3890 KHz any better or worse. Besides to operate on
> 80M phone you must have to operate with a general class license or higher. Until
> 4/15/2000 that still requires a minimum of 13WPM code. If I were to try and draw
> some conclusion from you post, it may seem that as one becomes more proficient
> in code, one becomes a better operator. BUt at this time I will try and refrain
> from such endeavor.
>
> regards
> james
>
> **********
>
> *On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, njvets wrote:
> *
> *> Date: Fri, 07 Jan 2000 14:22:10 GMT
> *> From: njvets <njvets@skyweb.net>
> *> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> *> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> *> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> *> rec.radio.shortwave
> *> Subject: The END of Ham Radio
> *>

> *> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> *> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> *> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
> *> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
> *> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
> *> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
> *> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
> *> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
> *> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
> *> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
> *> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> *> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
> *> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
> *> volt battery and an oak tree?
> *> I don't.
> *> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> *> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> *> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> *> parking ticket?
> *> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> *> K2TL
> *>
> *>
> *>
> *>

--
This message was sent using 100% recycled electrons.

1252. Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: don@KB9VEK/AA.ham
Message-ID: <SEMd4.19$b3.66@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 122520

Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!

don

1253. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <858662$ar9$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 122521

He's not " a head above " anyone that follows next year. When will
you guys get it out of your systems that beeping (CW) proficiency is the
measure of a Ham? People like you that elevate the CW requirement to some
heavenly plateau are the most misguided of all Hams IMHO.

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

<randas@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:8585mtpom1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Congrats on passing the code test Don. I know your hard work and
> accomplishment means alot to you and it should. You are indeed a head
above
> many of the "hams" that will follow later this year.
>
> 73 and see ya on the bands
>
> de Tim K0FL
>
>
> don@KB9VEK/AA.ham wrote in message ...
> >Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!
> >
> >don
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1254. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8585mtpom1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122522

Congrats on passing the code test Don. I know your hard work and
accomplishment means alot to you and it should. You are indeed a head above
many of the "hams" that will follow later this year.

73 and see ya on the bands

de Tim K0FL

don@KB9VEK/AA.ham wrote in message ...
>Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!
>
>don
>
>
>

1255. 20 wpm extra (for 25 years) ...Educate & illustrate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: fmaxsc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3877cc36.25552306@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122523

Come on ... my wife (N4IEI) passed the advanced ticked and didn't (doesn't) know the difference between an electron and an electrolux. She's brilliant -- it just didn't matter. Dick Bash did the rest. Shortly after passing the 13wpm code she couldn't recognize a single letter in code. Again, all short term memory for a particular purpose ... sort of like memorizing a phone number for a call and then a clean slate immediately afterwards. My point is that quality of operation isn't assured by having a code requirement (look at the current state of affairs on certain bands) . Committment, concern for others, and quality operation can still be attained if we will educate the newcomers and illustrate by example the type of operators we hope they will become. It's great to see the hobby on the verge of expanding!

Fred C. Maxwell
WA4PAV / 3DA0AH

1256. Re: 20 wpm extra (for 25 years) ...Educate & illustrate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <8589iqbrh1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 122524

Very well put Fred. I have never used CW on-the-air and never intend to
do so. I have forgotten much of it. I agree completely with your remarks.
A great example and well-worded. I would much rather see courteous
conscientious operators come into the hobby with 5 wpm then more of the same
20 wpm jerks we see on 20M and 75M......

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

<fmaxsc@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3877cc36.25552306@nntp.mindspring.com...
> Come on ... my wife (N4IEI) passed the advanced ticked and didn't
> (doesn't) know the difference between an electron and an electrolux.
> She's brilliant -- it just didn't matter. Dick Bash did the rest.
> Shortly after passing the 13wpm code she couldn't recognize a single
> letter in code. Again, all short term memory for a particular purpose
> ... sort of like memorizing a phone number for a call and then a clean
> slate immediately afterwards. My point is that quality of operation
> isn't assured by having a code requirement (look at the current state
> of affairs on certain bands) . Committment, concern for others, and
> quality operation can still be attained if we will educate the
> newcomers and illustrate by example the type of operators we hope
> they will become. It's great to see the hobby on the verge of
> expanding!
>
> Fred C. Maxwell
> WA4PAV / 3DA0AH
>

1257. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3877AD54.60EA@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>
> >I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a [CB] band where
> >I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know,
> >the insults, degradations, threats, etc.).
>
> Is your CB handle gonna be "Boob"? It would fit. Someone who chooses
> "tit" for a Vanity call sign shouldn't complain about garbage mouth hams.
> Garbage mouth or garbage mouth call? No difference. Believe it or not,
> ham radio was once a family rated hobby...
It still can be, lets be nice children, and by the way W5TIT only means
what you want it to mean. Aaron, why don't we start a campaign to
change the name of one of our greatest Nation Parks, The Grand Teton
National Park. Lets be Gentlemen and not insulting adolescents.

1258. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3877AB83.6E12@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
>
> Hey, I got one for ya! The latest "politics" of ham radio, and the hate
> and disregard I have watched and heard sends me running.

Jerks are all over, I must apoligize about the elitist attitudes found
in ham, one of the reasons most people arn't interested.

I am not
> relinquishing my license, I enjoy *some* of ham radio a lot.

Amateur Radio has a lot going for it, the changes will make it easy for
some people to get licenses, fer sure but, the ones seeking out ham
radio in the 21st Century will be for different reasons than most
earlier hams. A trucker friend of mine has expressed interest in
getting an upgrade and his wife licensed so they could communicate while
he is on the road, a guy working on the boats in the Gulf of Mexico has
the same idea and I see a future for improved technologies.

But, I
> have my eye on nice shiney new Cobra CB radio that I am going to
> get...and I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a band where
> I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know,
> the insults, degradations, threats, etc.).

I have seen the same behaviour on CB as well as ham so I just say adios
and move on to a better class of people.

I have been a licensed
> amateur for about the last five years. I have been on and off CB all my
> life. Just before getting my license I was introduced to SSB operations
> on CB. Lots nicer, lots nicer....

Kim, most SSB is copied off ham techniques.

>
> So, that's some irony, eh? Maybe you doomslayers are wrong. Maybe lots
> of folks will get back to the friendlier band...CB
>
> Kim W5TIT

1 band at 27 MHz, whereas I operate 160 meters to 5 cm, oodles of
spectrum, plenty DX and Friendly people (just look at the new upgraders)
sorry if you meet a sourpuss, tell'em to get over it and move on.

1259. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <858bajgrd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <38768ACD.4B7E@no.net>, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
>Think about this, if radio had been developed by-passing spark-gap,
>which necessitated using Mores code, but the developed took radio
>straight into the development of phone operation, would there ever have
>been a CW test to obtain an amateur license? If not, then would ham

Extending your fantasy, what if radio had been developed in such a
way that not only was code bypassed, but so was phone. (FAX was being
utilized over the telegraph lines in the 1800s, and so was a form of
teletype.) Would that mean we'd have no microphones today and HTs would
only have a keyboard?

Jeff

1260. Re: W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KK5YY@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3877bc54.881515@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122528

KJI wasn't he the one who got off with a forged tape? I remember that.
He apparently didn't learn from that experience since Riley caught him
red handed the other night. It's going to take a REALLY good fake tape
to turn that around. But who is keeping score?

I heard your buddy Sid on 2M the other day I guess he's been in the
hospital. Look for me on APRS in Vegas I'll be there all Winter.

On 08 Jan 2000 01:26:24 GMT, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:

>Hey Steve don't forget
>W1WCR (twice), W2YEE(6 months),
>KJI -susp. was recinded - but who keeping score, oh it looks like you are.
>N8wgm wrote
>>Lets see now--------the score
>>is----------W9ART-----WA9KJI-------K5BLB-----N0KED--------soon Doofus-------
>
>

1261. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <77Pd4.9081$7L.434368@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mr. Strom now reveals himself. As with the other breed of cockroach, Mr. Strom cannot tolerate bright light. Let's review his response to my posting of quotes from him and my posting of folks who oppose him.

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3876C148.CCBC18A0@innocent.com>...
>What a impressively large blob of altered and out-of-context text,
>cut and pasted into a series of lies taken from several hard-left
>sites of varying degrees of neurosis. I note you even have the
>kindness to bring a family tragedy into the "discussion."

"out-of-context?" I think not. Yes, I did clip a few juicy parts but anyone who wishes is free to visit the URLs that I posted and read the whole document.

"hard-left" sites? I think not. I may have posted material that you do not agree with or do not like -- and what is "har left" after all? The opposite of "soft right?"

"family tragedy?" Looks to me as though his wife had enough and walked. Tragic perhaps to Mr. Strom but it appears as though his ex was glad to be out of the cuckoo's nest.

>
>I'm sure the intelligent reader will, if interested at all, give the
>swill exactly the credibility it deserves.

Interesting that he refers to his own material as "swill."

>
>What caused you to suddenly hit the ceiling, Joe?

"hit the c\\eiieling?" I think not. In fact, I am my usual calm self. I returned from a business trip late on Friday night and was catching up on my e-mail and NG browsing. Family was quietly reading so I amsued myself by doing a little research into hate on the web.

>
>You are displaying a remarkable degree of hysteria for a being
>presumably not equipped with a uterus.

And here we have an attack on women.
Apparently Mr. Strom dislikes and stereotypes women just as he does folks of races and religions different from his own.
What is hysterical about simply posting quotes from an individual? And why do we assume that only females are "hysterical?"

Folks like Mr. Strom cannot tolerate reasoned opposition. They build their position by surrounding themselves with sycophants who cheer and applaud their every word. Let someone slip in a little simple reason and logic -- let someone quote their own words in the whole and in context thereby revealing the true identity of the person -- let someone stand up to them -- and they come all unglued and resort to nonsense.

Mr. Strom: Sir, you would do yourself a favor by not responding. The more you speak, the deeper you dig the hole.
--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH
>
>
>
>73 and good luck in the contest,
>
>
>
>
>Kevin, WB4AIO.
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1262. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <3877CAE8.D8E0876F@inreach.com>
Source record: 122530

yes, indeed, congrats, it is a personal accomplishment, however, you are
neither a 'head-above' nor better than anyone else to come for the
achievement. That takes a demonstration of good character etc. It is
time to get passed the idea that cw proficiency somehow makes a person
better than someone else in areas other than cw proficiency.

randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Congrats on passing the code test Don. I know your hard work and
> accomplishment means alot to you and it should. You are indeed a head above
> many of the "hams" that will follow later this year.
>
> 73 and see ya on the bands
>
> de Tim K0FL
>
> don@KB9VEK/AA.ham wrote in message ...
> >Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!
> >
> >don
> >
> >
> >

1263. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3877D193.518B667F@icss.net>
Source record: 122531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In article <38768ACD.4B7E@no.net>, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
> >Think about this, if radio had been developed by-passing spark-gap,
> >which necessitated using Mores code, but the developed took radio
> >straight into the development of phone operation, would there ever have
> >been a CW test to obtain an amateur license? If not, then would ham
>
> Extending your fantasy, what if radio had been developed in such a
> way that not only was code bypassed, but so was phone. (FAX was being
> utilized over the telegraph lines in the 1800s, and so was a form of
> teletype.) Would that mean we'd have no microphones today and HTs would
> only have a keyboard?
>
> Jeff

I'd have to use a guitar strap on my handheld?

1264. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8561i1kbc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122640

Social misfit and known stalker n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
desperately backpedaled and whined the following EXCUSES:
>Roger wise ass said.....

Try and think up something ORIGINAL, willya? It's not like I've EVER
heard that name lame before. Oh, sorry, I forgot who I was posting to,
I momentarily forgot about your mental disabilities.

>>TON Duhhhhhhhhhhh (N8WGM) is a wannabe survivalist and >>detective.

>Sorry---no I'm not-------on either account.

Oh, Is that why you've posted to survivalist newsgroups, then?

>I do believe that people should be prepared. As far as being a
>detective.....I do have connections to the law enforcement
>community...I have a few relatives and friends that are in law
>enforcement.I can get any information I need. But I
>wouldn't waste my time with you.

So in other words, you'll ILLEGALLY get any information, for your own
agenda, irregardless whether or not you would normally have access to
that information, is that it? However, shoud I or somebody else do the
same thing, you would be the first one crying and whining how your
privacy was violated. What a lousy hypocrite.

>>He tried to stalk me and harass me by telephone. Additionally, he
>>tried to ILLEGALLY TAPE RECORD my phone conversations, >>without my
permission, which is wiretapping.

>Roger---you are dillusional. I did not try to stalk you.

BULLSHIT. You made several postings on THIS newsgroup during the week
BEFORE your visit, saying you were going to come by, take pictures and
post the information on the net. I told you specifically that I did not
want to converse with you or be visited by you, but you came by here
anyway. You posted a written transcript of the phone "conversation,"
which, I might add, you said you TAPE RECORDED.
No mention of tape recording was made when you called my house.
That is WIRETAPPING. Just like Linda Tripp, Watergate, etc.

>I was in the area for two reasons.
>One to visit my uncle who is retired and living in Wheeling. By the
way->-he's a retired police officer and made the observation that you
were >jamming 20 meters.

BULLSHIT. You taunted me on the newsgroups in your inept way saying you were going to come by my house. Backpedal a little harder, because you're just barely trying to cover your ass.
I checked my Buckmaster callbook by name, which I suppose your uncle has the same name as you. Guess what, NADA!
Caught you in yet another LIE.

>He rode down your street with a receiver and found that you were the
>one jamming.

Oh, did he? Is he a ham? Or is he just a SWL? I can just imagine the noise on his Radio Shack analog dialed reciever.....your inept uncle trying to drive and tune in to the frequency. So you had somebody do your dirty work for you, huh? Pussy.

Another point: In Riley's letter, there was NO SPECIFIC Frequency or time mentioned. I wonder why that is?

>The second reason was to go to the casinos. You were just a sidebar
>on the way to where I was going.

So, so the self-righteous TONDuhhhhhhhhhhh has a gambling problem, too? What a surprise.

>You were afraid to come out of your house and our conversation was
>less than 20 seconds. You asked me to kiss your ass and
>then you hid behind your curtains.

No, DUMBFUCK, if you had paid attention in English class, you wouldn't have misunderstood what "You are not welcome at my house" meant.
I told you to kiss my ass when you perversely asked me outside.
Obviously, you lack the education to understand insults.
Oh, and dumbfuck, I didn't hide anywhere. I.) We have ventitian blinds in the tv room, and 2.) I have better things to do than talk with a scumbag like you.

> I was not going to record you without your permission---I allways

You are a liar. Plain and simple. You thought you could intimidate me somehow, but you got p.o.'ed when I wouldn't play your little game. You went out of your way to stalk me. Oh, and the word is "always," you illiterate imbecile.

>record my cell phone for business reasons.I always tell the people on
>the other end they are being recorded. I was in your neighborhood for
>less than 3 minutes.

Yeah, but I did not EVER have any business dealings with you, and you did not mention that you were tape recording at all.

> If that is stalking--then please call the FBI and the sherrif and have me >arrested right now. I'll gladly sit back and watch them then go after you >for being such a moron.

"Sheriff," dumbass. Who I will call, however, is a tutor for your atrocious spelling and discursive writing. Heh, one who can't spell a simple word like "always," shouldn't be casting dispersions upon others.

>>He's obsessed with me and would go to great lengths to take my
>>picture for whatever reason.

>Yeah great lengths--like just park outside your house for 1 minute to
>ask you to come out which you did not do.

Why would I do what a friggin' moron like you asked? I suppose I somebody asked you to put a loaded gun to your thick skull and pull the trigger, you'd do it.

>And I 've not returned.

Thank god for that. It took the city of Glen Dale a week to get the stench out after your departure of our fair city.

>Why are you so afraid of having your photo taken?

I'm not. But I have the choice whether or not I have it taken, don't I?

>I guess you are deformed as I have stated in my previous posting.

I guess you have your head permanently stuck up your ass.

> And anyone can photograph you without any problem.... Your house is
>less than 15 feet from the road.

Poor math skills which complements your substandard English skills.
My property line begins at 10 feet from the road, where the sidewalk intersects my front yard. That would put the 15 feet about 1/4 of the way into my front yard, liar.
BTW, as an experiment, I went out to the road and looked at my front windows. Because of the double paned energy efficient windows and a screen, it would be difficult to see anybody standing in the window.
Caught you again, liar.

>Typical lower middle class hillbilly type house.....no front
yard....small
>side and back yard and a house of less than 750 square feet.

The lot is 50' wide by 100' long. The house was built in 1927.
It certaintly has more than 750 sqare feet, so get your coke-bottle glasses fixed.
And We're more upper middle class, stupid.

>>I speculate he wants it to masturbate to.

>Stop fantasizing Roger---you must be thinking of masturbating all the
>time...That's alll you seem to be thinking of in all of your posts.
>----Roger wiseman thinks that men maturbate while thinking about
>him......perverted isn't he?

Steve Tunder, in denial. By the spelling and punctuation errors in this section, I'd say I hit a nerve.

>One can only guess why he wants to live in a "house"
>built underground,

>Roger--obviously you are not of any intelligence in reguards to
>construction, architecture

Steve, it's painfully OBVIOUS that you are an uneducated, simple fool. The correct word is "regards." And I know something about construction and architecture, thanks to some drafting classes, and working construction during the summer while attending college.....
Poor baby, It really pains you to know that I know, doesn't it?

>and using the earth as a flywheel to mitigate the need for
>external fuels to heat and cool a home or other buidling.

What's a "buidling?"

>I have superior fenestration and use it to heat the house in the winter.

That is the ONLY thing you have that is superior.

>During the summer.....I do not need air conditioning.....my house
>stays around 68 to 73 degrees due to the design of the house.

Nobody gets too hot up north during the summer, you dumbass.
My house stays cool due to the INSULATION. How does the fungus grow down in the ground? Do you have a good crop of relatives-er, mushrooms?

>If you want to keep paying the electric and gas companies to stay
>warm and cool.....then you indeed are dumber than I think.

Bwhahahahahahahaha..... When you have the money, it doesn't matter.
Besides, what do you care?

>ROGER---in my final comment to you.....I will say this--you do have
>the guts to put your name on your posts. It takes a lot of guts to put
>your name on some of those postings you make. Anyone reading >them
would think my god what a moron.

PKB, Pee Wee. At least can communicate with people at different levels, which is more than you can say.

>Your posts are hatefull and inane with a dash of acerbity added.. I

Hey, STUPID, "hateful" only has one "l."

>find them to be a waste of time to respond to and will not repsond to
>them again. You will be on my filter to remove.
>73's--and good luck to you with your campaign to make everybody >hate
you.
>N8WGM

SPANK! No staying power.
YOU are the one that attacked me on here and stalked me. The only
reason I am responding to this post is because it was e-mailed to me.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1265. shortwave equipment for auction CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: filmovideo@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <856a6n$q63$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

For auction on eBay - Kenwood R-1000 receiver.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=231854430

I have also listed a MFJ 1278 Multi-mode Data Controller:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=231872261

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1266. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85609e$416$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122642

In article <3871DD34.FE7E668E@sgi.net>, <Pappy@sgi.net> wrote:
>Tim, As you responded to Murphy's last post:
>It's obvious you have NOT read all of the posts related to Murphy's thread. To bash
>someone for asking a question is just not good policy.

Unless they're asking questions in regards to how they can modify a
radio in order to violate federal communications laws.

Jeff

1267. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <856113$4gt$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122643
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Followups set to just rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
>In rec.radio.amateur.equipment, Z. James wrote:

>> Regardless of the long winded thread here. 99.9999999999% of human beings
>> could [NOT] care less of the restructuring.

And an even larger percentage of folks could NOT care less about you
or things you feel are important in your life, yet those things are
still important to you, right? One thing we are assured of is that the
folks participating in this thread do care, so for calculating
our base for your percentage, we should not use "all human beings."

>> Don't worry about your pathetic little
>> world being overloaded with newbies, they aren't interested.

Again, the base for this percentage you want to use isn't "all human
beings," but rather licensed amateur radio operators.

>Exactly, there was a time when being able to communicate all over the
>world using your own shortwave equipment was a fascinating thing, today
>anyone can pull a cell phone out of their pocket and call almost anyone
>anywhere in the world.

If you don't mind using millions of miles of telephone company cables
and billions of dollars of corporate- and government-owned computers.

The attraction to worldwide communications via amateur radio is that
one has very little dependence upon those things cellphone and inter-
net users depend on.

>Most people don't ever know what ham radio is (or
>think it is cb radio) and could not care less.

That's the way it's always been. Most people don't care about coin-
and stamp-collecting, but that fact doesn't diminish those hobbies.

Jeff

1268. Re: ICOM 706 Installation in 1997 S-10 truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85624j$58a$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>I need help to hook this up
>LLoyd Davies, N0 B.A.R.F.
> The FCC didn't assign me Very Fine Person

Connect the red wire to ground and the black wire to the positive
side of your car's battery.

1269. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ccom2@socket.net
Message-ID: <38768e51.639428@news.socket.net>
Source record: 122645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And they were not buying the answers for the test when the FCC gave
them? If someone wants to cheat they will find away.
AB0IV
"njvets" <njvets@skyweb.net> wrote:

>Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
>guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
>the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
>stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
>integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
>other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
>now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
>buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
>come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
>me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
>smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
>definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
>persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
>volt battery and an oak tree?
>I don't.
>I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
>would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
>value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
>parking ticket?
>Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
>K2TL
>
>

1270. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85627n$5hq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>The 10 codes were even published in 73 magazine at the time...

There you go again, quoting Wayne Green. =:o

1271. Re: Restructuring FAQ from HamTest.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8562f1$5lt$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122647

In article <84u41iqub1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <ko6ux@adi-radio.com> wrote:

>The following 14 question FAQ is

...bogus due to this false statement:

>International Radio Regulations require that any ham radio operator
>with operating privileges below 30 MHz be able to copy Morse code at a
>speed of 5 words per minute.

That remark distroys any credibility in your "FAQ."

Jeff

1272. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387682E7.7619@no.net>
Source record: 122648
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>
> >Exactly, there was a time when being able to communicate all over the
> >world using your own shortwave equipment was a fascinating thing, today
> >anyone can pull a cell phone out of their pocket and call almost anyone
> >anywhere in the world.
>
> If you don't mind using millions of miles of telephone company cables
> and billions of dollars of corporate- and government-owned computers.
>
> The attraction to worldwide communications via amateur radio is that
> one has very little dependence upon those things cellphone and inter-
> net users depend on.

Really? Try pulling up alongside someone with a cell phone and shout out your window, "hey I'm talking to Australia on my mobile ham rig, ain't that impressive?" They will really be impressed as they dial a few numbers and talk to someone in Hong Kong with no fading or static. They don't care how it's done, your ham set isn't going to impress them one bit.

1273. Re: W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000107202624.25727.00000579@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 122649

Hey Steve don't forget
W1WCR (twice), W2YEE(6 months),
KJI -susp. was recinded - but who keeping score, oh it looks like you are.
N8wgm wrote
>Lets see now--------the score
>is----------W9ART-----WA9KJI-------K5BLB-----N0KED--------soon Doofus-------

1274. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8564ip$79m$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122650
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Perfidious <perf@inlandcars.com> wrote:
>I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate these
>folks?

It usually begins with the PD comms officer contacting an ARES or RACES amateur radio unit to request direction-finding assistance, something hams have become well-known experts at. We use direction-finding antennas to get two or more LOP (lines of position); where the lines intersect is the location of the offending transmitter.

>Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?

It's done with DF antennas and receivers; no transmissions need be made.

>Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work?

I just told you.

>get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing detective...

Wrong response. When asked to help, we gladly assist. Again, hams are recognized experts in RDF work. In the past amateur radio operators have been asked to assist in conducing RDF work to locate lost hikers and downed aircraft. Should we have declined those offers, as you suggest?

>dont make yourself out to be something you're not.

We're not. But when asked to utilize our expertise in communication matters, we'd gladly assist. I assume you wouldn't.

> And assuming you have a business of your own.... try minding it.

Uh oh, does that mean you're bothered by the thought of our ability to determine your location by tracking your radio transmissions? =:o

1275. J. Herman: government employee & wanna be Net Cop (was: Re: Amateur Restructuring)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107205914.24255.00000566@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 122651

In article <856113$4gt$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Followups set to just rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ain't it just like a government employee to try and play Net Cop?

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

1276. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <38768ACD.4B7E@no.net>
Source record: 122652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.misc Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net> wrote:
> | An Opinion
> ...
>
> | Code is not the all and everything some of us would like to believe and
> | reducing testing speed will not cause the death of anything. Code was the
> | beginning of ham radio and should be revered for that, but not idolized. I
> | will continue to use it almost exclusively, because I gravitated to it, not
> | because I had to learn it to get a license and it was HARD for me, more than
> | you can imagine.
> |
> | I hope I become a better mentor and Elmer for those who take the time to
> | pass the exams and become licensed Amateur operators and join this wonderful
> | village of hams. I hope I can convince some of them to join ARES/RACES,
> | operate CW and Packet, and HF voice and RTTY and all those other things, but
> | I hope I can do it without disparaging those who don't appreciate the
> | particular modes I like best.
>
> Encouraging people to consider or try a different kind of ham radio activity
> is certainly a good thing. And code should be encouraged no less than it
> ever was. But as a requirement for entry, it serves no functional purpose
> besides limiting the numbers. It doesn't encourage people to consider code
> as an operating activity.
>
> And despite the crowding on HF, limiting our numbers is not something we
> should be attempting to do. One of the technological challeges the world
> faces is how to deal with more use of the spectrum. Hams should be no
> less challenged in this respect, especially because it is WE who have a
> great potential to find solutions, be they operating practices, or new
> technologies, or both.
>
> | What the changes do to our Amateur Radio Service is up to us. So keep that
> | in mind when you start becoming bitter and negative in your thinking. The
> | changes won't kill CW, Ham Radio, or anything else, but our attitude and
> | lack of enthusiasm can, if we aren't careful.
>
> Agreed!
>

Think about this, if radio had been developed by-passing spark-gap,
which necessitated using Mores code, but the developed took radio
```

straight into the development of phone operation, would there ever have been a CW test to obtain an amateur license? If not, then would ham radio have died out long ago because there was no CW test to prove one would be a good operator? It is time to put less emphasis on the CW testing and more on learning the technical and operating side of ham radio.

1277. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85672i$7n2$0@208.207.71.148>
Source record: 122653
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N9NWO wrote:
>
Hams, more than
> most, have a deep respect for the law given that our hobby is tied to it.

Yes but the CBers don't, and look what they did to "their"
band. Do we really want ALL of them on the ham bands?

1278. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstan@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3876a8a0.306411810@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 122654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 17:51:48 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>dana wrote:
>> i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
>> just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
>> code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
>> sounds like 11 meters anyway.
>
>All the hams on 75m are coded. Since the entry level exam, the nocode tech,
>hasn't changed a bit, why would any additional CBers want into ham radio?
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Sorry Cecil it has gotten a fraction easier they only have to
pass one test not two, and it is tohoped that the one test will
include VHF stuff basicly since that is waht the license will cover,
rather the odd anomaly of existing exams.

OTH I do agre not enough basic change exist to expect that
somebody currently operating radios (CB or Pirate Ham or whatever)
without bothering to obtain one of our license is going to sudenly
decide to get one

1279. Re: 6 Meter Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107222443.01559.00000309@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 122655

I've used a 6m 5/8s wave Larson mag-mount for 9 years with very good results. Then, I started drilling holes and stayed with the Larson 5/8s. I tried (and still use) a ball/spring assembly with a very cut down steel (RadioShack CB) whip cut for 1/4 on 6. It's not as broad-banded as the Larson (that covers the entire band nicely). I carry 2 whips, one for the ssb/simplex or low end of the band and one for the fm/repeater or high end. Both work very well.

Good luck.
73 de Tom KB2FIK
Tbone107@aol.com

1280. Re: Convert FRS radio to ham band??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000107223050.01559.00000317@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 122656

>Does anyone know how to convert a FRS200 by GPX/Yorx from the family radio
>service to the 450-460 MHz ham band?

450-460 is a ham band? First vanity calls, then license class restructuring and
the lessening of the CW requirement, now UHF band expansion. When will it end?

1281. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001072246150.14347-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122657
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yep, and i have been in radio for years, and this is the most distcussing
thing i have ever heard, and i agree with your coment.

On Sat, 8 Jan 2000, Bud the Real wrote:

> Date: Sat, 08 Jan 2000 02:31:47 GMT
> From: Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: The END of Ham Radio
>
> ccom2@socket.net, speaking for the Elders of Whirlpool, wrote... :
>
> >And they were not buying the answers for the test when the FCC gave
> >them? If someone wants to cheat they will find away.
>
> The problem with Ham Radio isn't the tests, or the lack of CW,
> it's the lack of ENFORCEMENT!
>
> You can travel to almost any medium to large city, and find absolutely
> disgusting traffic on ham bands, from SW to SHF.
>
> When you complain about it, you're brushed off.
>
> Enforce the rules, and you'll get Ham Radio back to what it should be.
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>

1282. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <Rpyd4.5361$7L.283673@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Here is an evil, ugly page. http://www.stormfront.org/

Note that Kevin Alfred Strom is one of the featured writers, along with his hero, Revilo Oliver or whatever his name is.

--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3873AAD6.387559AA@innocent.com>...
>Yesterday evening, WA9KJI was engaging in his usual monologuing and
>intentional interference activities in an attempt to prevent the use
>of 3950 by others, when Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, checked in.
>
>Mr. Hollingsworth gave his on-the-spot analysis of KJI's behavior,
>and you can hear it by clicking on the 3950.net lead story link at:
>
>
>http://www.3950.net
>
>
>
>With all good wishes,
>
>
>Kevin, WB4AIO.
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1283. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001072237460.14347-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

yep, but the hams on that band sounds like cbers. they want to run bunches
of power, and they don't need that power to comminacate.

On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, W6RCecilA wrote:

> Date: Fri, 07 Jan 2000 17:51:48 -0600
> From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb,
> rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: The END of Ham Radio
>
> dana wrote:
> > i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
> > just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
> > code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
> > sounds like 11 meters anyway.
>
> All the hams on 75m are coded. Since the entry level exam, the nocode tech,
> hasn't changed a bit, why would any additional CBers want into ham radio?
>

1284. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3876B809.D1F46074@innocent.com>
Source record: 122660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote:
>
> Here is an evil, ugly page. http://www.stormfront.org/
>
> Note that Kevin Alfred Strom is one of the featured writers, along with his
> hero, Revilo Oliver or whatever his name is.
>
> --
>
> Regards,
> Joe Schlatter
> joe@schlatter.org
> http://www.schlatter.org/
> http://www.miafacts.org/
> Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH
>
[...]

Yes, it is incredibly "evil" for a man to want his own people to
survive, or even to recognize that they are endangered.

At least many lemmings seem to think so these days.

I do encourage those still capable of independent thought to look at
the Stormfront site, and especially my article on the man who called
himself "Martin Luther King."

Happy Internetting,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1285. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8579bnega1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3874A9C6.619940E3@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> fred1@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Being that the
> > advanced class license will no longer be issued after April 15th
> > to NEW applicants, new applicants will have to upgrade to extra
> > to be elgible for group "A" or "B" calls.
>
> How about an existing advanced ham who wants a 2x2 call
> after April 15?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Being that the restructuring R & O leaves existing
amateurs unaffected, advanced class licensees can apply
for vanity calls exactly like they have since the system
went into effect in 1996. No NEW advanced class licenses
will be issued after April 15th, but those who already
hold advanced class licenses can apply for vanity calls
under existing guidelines.

.........Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1286. Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <KXyd4.5424$7L.299767@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

For the enlightenment of visitors to this newsgroup, here is a listing of suggested readings re: Kevin Alfred Strom.

http://www.splcenter.org/cgi-bin/goframe.pl?refname=/intelligenceproject/ip-4i4.html Contains an interview with Mr. Strom's ex:
QUOTE
A former insider speaks
For four years, Kirsten Kaiser, 36, lived with her husband on or near the West Virginia compound of William Pierce, the leader of the neo-Nazi National Alliance and author of the racist fantasy novel The Turner Diaries. Kaiser was married to Kevin Alfred Strom, who co-hosts Pierce's racist and anti-Semitic radio show and is one of Pierce's closest associates. In that role, she got to know Pierce's inner circle and some of its members' thinking. Over the nine years of her marriage, Kaiser came to question the violently racist views of the Alliance -- especially after the deaths of 19 children in the Oklahoma City bombing, which was patterned on an attack portrayed in The Turner Diaries. Today, she is living in Minnesota and going through a divorce from Strom. The Intelligence Report interviewed Kaiser about her life and acquaintances inside the Alliance, and how she came to leave.
END QUOTE

http://209.196.26.118/articles/Resign.htm

http://www.jdo.org/nazi.htm And scroll down the page to the entry for National Alliance

http://www.whiteracist.com/Jew.html

http://www.adl.org/poisoning_web/kkk.html

This page contains the following paragraph:
QUOTE
Now led by Shane Crowe of Louisiana, this group has numerous sites on the Web as well. Unlike Robb's sites, those of the Michigan faction do not soft-pedal their bigotry. At the Web site of its Oregon chapter, this Klan openly and honestly declares, "we believe in White Supremacy. The Klan believes that America is a White man's country, and should be governed by White men." Blatant extremist views can also be found at the national Web site for Crowe's Klan, which features a prominent link to Stormfront, the text of Kevin Alfred Strom's "The Beast Is Saint," and a reprint of Henry Ford Sr.'s "classic" anti-Semitic text, The International Jew.
END QUOTE

http://spinoza.tau.ac.il/hci/spe/anti/internet1.html scroll down the page to the table

http://www.sociology.org/content/vol003.002/kallen.html Where you will find this quote:
QUOTE
Excerpted from: "Evil in High Places", by Kevin Alfred Strom.

THERE IS EVIL IN HIGH PLACES in America today... because there has been a revolution in this country and the American people lost. The revolution... the takeover of America by [Jewish] aliens and the traitors who served those aliens... was engineered and executed by the same forces who... brought the scourge of Communism to Europe.... The Jewish revolution is now complete - but the revolutionists feel far from secure. Now that they are in power, their main concern is staying there... They want to infiltrate agents into groups they merely suspect of lawbreaking. And who do you think they "suspect"? The Mafia? Jewish organized crime gangs in New York and Los Angeles? Homosexual activists who fling AIDS-infected blood at innocent churchgoers? Jamaican cocaine dealers who kill almost with impunity on our city streets? No. It is you and I, it is anyone who opposes their evil agenda, it is anyone who wants a return to the values that made America great... They would take away the last of our freedoms, the freedom to speak, and they would frame, they would plant evidence, they would lie, they would kill to stay in power .
[I urge you to] speak out against those who would turn our beloved America into a brutal Third World police state.... When our people realize that hundreds of thousands of us are speaking out, they will join us, and when enough of them do that, we will be the state - the American government will once again be in the hands of true Americans. The outward-bound 747s will be... overloaded with traitors and alien subversives and their ill-gotten lucre, heading for Israel or elsewhere where their evil revolution may still be in power. But the Jewish revolution will be finished here. America will be America once again. And our sons and our daughters will be able to look with clear eyes into a future once again brighter than the sun.
END QUOTE

http://www.bardstown.com/~equalzr/aidskills.htm

http://www.usaor.net/users/ipm/nea.html

http://home.earthlink.net/~rebright/xtra/conspiracy/mar98.htm

http://www.patriot.dk/Pearlharbour.html

http://www.ferris.edu/htmls/othersrv/isar/Institut/CCC/sharks.htm Where you will find this gem:
QUOTE
British neo-fascists and youth
Wider-ranging recruitment efforts are currently under way. While the council has been largely made up of aging southern proponents of "the lost cause," last year it began an effort to recruit a younger generation of followers, setting up an education committee and a youth chapter.
The youth chapter was developed by Mark Cotterill, using the false last name

Cerr, around Washington, D.C. Cotterill, a Briton, is said to have brought 100 young people into the CCC. What Cotterill doesn't boast openly about are his connections to the National Alliance — his friendships with Alliance founder William Pierce, and Pierce's chief deputy, Kevin Alfred Strom. Pierce's novel of a future race war, The Turner Diaries, served as the blueprint for the deadly 1995 bombing of the Oklahoma City federal building.

Cotterill is well known in Britain, which he left three years ago. There, he was associated with the neofascist National Front and its successor, the British National Party, as well as American distributor of a far-right periodical, Right Now. He also has been linked to the Ulster Defense Association, a paramilitary Protestant group in Northern Ireland.
END QUOTE

http://hatewatch.org/who/pierce.html Mr. Strom may have a real future:
QUOTE
Presenting an image of restrained academic bookishness in front of main stream media, Pierce is more blunt in the company of "friendlier" audiences. Shortly following the death of poet Allen Ginsberg, who was Jewish and gay, Pierce stated frankly that he believed that persons who published material such as Ginsberg's should be shot. This speech was reproduced at his Web site, which is emblazoned with the motto "Free Speech." He also once wrote in an Alliance encyclical that "all the homosexuals, race-mixers and hard-case collaborator in the country who are too far gone to be re-educated can be rounded up, packed into 10,000 or so cattle cars, and eventually double-timed into an abandoned coal mine in a few days' time." This statement is, of course, eerily similar to the actions of Hitler's Third Reich.

Despite Pierce's stark neo-Nazism, the National Alliance is a consistently growing organization, research shows. Pierce has actually been successful in starting National Alliance chapters nationwide including the New York metropolitan area which has one of largest Jewish populations in the world. National Alliance meetings begin with a roll-call of members (first names only) but always include the names of three specific individuals: Revilo Pendleton Oliver, a deceased, Illinois-based professor of Classics who wrote several racist and anti-Semitic tracts during the 1960s to 1980s, Bob Mathews and David Lane of the Order. At the calling of these three names, all members present shout "Here!"

Now In his mid-60s, it is unclear who will follow Pierce when he dies. Speculation that he would be succeeded by his media-savvy lieutenant Kevin Alfred Strom lessened when Strom left the National Alliance (at least publicly) nearly two years ago. This was also the case with Milton John Kleim, Jr., Pierce's one-time "point man" for the Internet who is in his mid-20s, but he too has left the National Alliance, and the far-right, racist movement altogether. Kleim has thoroughly recanting his previous points of view. Pierce's most visible lieutenant is his North Carolina lieutenant, Will Williams. But Williams, with his southern accent and inability to harness his blatantly racist point of view into anything that can sound even remotely rational, would not likely be a successor that would

be sanctioned by Pierce. However, if Pierce does not have a hand-picked successor, then the future of the National Alliance without a William Pierce would seem in question.
END QUOTE

http://users.erols.com/murple/propaganda/racist/mlking.html

http://www.faem.com/oliver/oliver-1.htm

http://www.loop.com/~bramble/conspir.html
QUOTE
Dave Middleton, editorializing in The Capital Christian News, the Christian Coalition's publication for Rensslaer County, NY, believes that the U.N. is poised to take over the U.S., aided by (Jewish) humanists and scientists. Middleton claims that three orbiting satellites can project 3-D holograms onto the planet and people "will be fooled by the false signs and wonders" into accepting the invasion.
The ancient paranoia has resurfaced in response to the modern plague: An organization in Orange County, California, believes that HIV was created by the KGB as part of a plot to take over the U.S. According to this theory, mandatory quarantining of HIV-positives is the only way to stop the Communist invasion.

Christian Identity Online features one of the finest HIV-conspiracy speeches. Kevin Alfred Strom, speaking on the "American Dissident Voices" radio show (July 10, 1993), blames the spread of HIV on "the homosexualization of America" through "the power of organized perversion" and "the controlled media." The media, of course, is run by "the multicultural, one-world agenda" of the "forces behind Liberalism" -- those pesky Jews!

Strom pumps up the volume when he tells people to avoid the "trendy, fashionable part of town whenever possible," as "this is often where the highest percentage of homosexuals are to be found." People should move into "racially, culturally, and medically healthier" [read: racially pure] areas that offer lower risks of contact "with those in high-risk groups, including Blacks, Third-World immigrants, homosexuals, and drug users."

And don't think that crazy ideas about gays spreading epidemics started with Christian fundamentalists. After the original outbreak of Black Death in 541, Emperor Justinian decreed that people had provoked God to anger and retribution because of "perpetrating vile acts with other men
END QUOTE."

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

>
>With all good wishes,
>
>
>Kevin, WB4AIO.
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1287. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <856dhj$37r@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 122663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Hare, W1RFI (w1rfi@arrl.net) wrote:
: D. Townsend wrote:
[snip]

: Yes, indeed; there will be lots of new HF hams over the coming months.
: Most will come from the ranks of experienced and already-licensed hams,
: so Elmering won't be a major problem. What I am curious to see is how
: many folks over the coming months take and pass the General or Extra
: WITH a 5 wpm test.

Ed, Some of them (myself for sure) are going to take the Adv and Extra
test just to prove to ourselves that it WAS the code test that held us
back for 40+ years.

: Those that are working on the code may want to consider trying to hook
: up with an experienced code op (I am quite willing to help in the
: central CT area). FISTS runs a Code Buddy program that may help. I have
: always suspected that many VHF Techs didn't find learning the code worth
: it for the small slivers of band a Tech+ can use, but that the General
: priveleges might be enough "incentive" (to use the I word) to do so.

I've got one for you, I talked to an old time General who got all upset
that the code test is to be 5 WPM even though he never used code once he
got his general 35+ years ago. Reason, (direct quote), "I had to do it....."

And to top it of he has no intention of trying for a higher class
license. Yet I'm sure you will find many Tech+s giving it a try. (Status
quo in full command as I see it)

: Out of that, I sure hope to see a few CW ops develop; it is a fun mode
: that lends itself nicely to simple equipment and homebrewing. Those in
: apartments may find CW to be a bit more effective with marginal antennas
: than SSB, if they want a "human" mode of communication. Some of the
: digital modes can be even more effective, for keyboard-keyboard
: contacts. No matter what, the most important thing will be for those
: who obtain new priveleges, or become licensed for the first time, to use
: them on the air and learn about different modes and different fun things
: to do with ham radio.

Exactly!!

: 73, Ed Hare, W1RFI
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

1288. Is KC1ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20000108042749.15638.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 122664

KC1ZQ's e-mail address, according to QRZ, is talasi@lambda.com. Is this the same LAMBDA that is the gay ham club? I always thought David sounded gay but I really didn't know for sure.

1289. A Kevin Alfred Strom Reader; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <Yezd4.5465$7L.302683@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

For the enlightenment of visitors to this newsgroup, here is a listing of suggested readings re: Kevin Alfred Strom.

http://www.splcenter.org/cgi-bin/goframe.pl?refname=/intelligenceproject/ip-4i4.html Contains an interview with Mr. Strom's ex:
QUOTE
A former insider speaks
For four years, Kirsten Kaiser, 36, lived with her husband on or near the West Virginia compound of William Pierce, the leader of the neo-Nazi National Alliance and author of the racist fantasy novel The Turner Diaries. Kaiser was married to Kevin Alfred Strom, who co-hosts Pierce's racist and anti-Semitic radio show and is one of Pierce's closest associates. In that role, she got to know Pierce's inner circle and some of its members' thinking. Over the nine years of her marriage, Kaiser came to question the violently racist views of the Alliance -- especially after the deaths of 19 children in the Oklahoma City bombing, which was patterned on an attack portrayed in The Turner Diaries. Today, she is living in Minnesota and going through a divorce from Strom. The Intelligence Report interviewed Kaiser about her life and acquaintances inside the Alliance, and how she came to leave.
END QUOTE

http://209.196.26.118/articles/Resign.htm

http://www.jdo.org/nazi.htm And scroll down the page to the entry for National Alliance

http://www.whiteracist.com/Jew.html

http://www.adl.org/poisoning_web/kkk.html

This page contains the following paragraph:
QUOTE
Now led by Shane Crowe of Louisiana, this group has numerous sites on the Web as well. Unlike Robb's sites, those of the Michigan faction do not soft-pedal their bigotry. At the Web site of its Oregon chapter, this Klan openly and honestly declares, "we believe in White Supremacy. The Klan believes that America is a White man's country, and should be governed by White men." Blatant extremist views can also be found at the national Web site for Crowe's Klan, which features a prominent link to Stormfront, the text of Kevin Alfred Strom's "The Beast Is Saint," and a reprint of Henry Ford Sr.'s "classic" anti-Semitic text, The International Jew.
END QUOTE

http://spinoza.tau.ac.il/hci/spe/anti/internet1.html scroll down the page to the table

http://www.sociology.org/content/vol003.002/kallen.html Where you will find this quote:
QUOTE
Excerpted from: "Evil in High Places", by Kevin Alfred Strom.

THERE IS EVIL IN HIGH PLACES in America today... because there has been a revolution in this country and the American people lost. The revolution... the takeover of America by [Jewish] aliens and the traitors who served those aliens... was engineered and executed by the same forces who... brought the scourge of Communism to Europe.... The Jewish revolution is now complete - but the revolutionists feel far from secure. Now that they are in power, their main concern is staying there... They want to infiltrate agents into groups they merely suspect of lawbreaking. And who do you think they "suspect"? The Mafia? Jewish organized crime gangs in New York and Los Angeles? Homosexual activists who fling AIDS-infected blood at innocent churchgoers? Jamaican cocaine dealers who kill almost with impunity on our city streets? No. It is you and I, it is anyone who opposes their evil agenda, it is anyone who wants a return to the values that made America great... They would take away the last of our freedoms, the freedom to speak, and they would frame, they would plant evidence, they would lie, they would kill to stay in power .
[I urge you to] speak out against those who would turn our beloved America into a brutal Third World police state.... When our people realize that hundreds of thousands of us are speaking out, they will join us, and when enough of them do that, we will be the state - the American government will once again be in the hands of true Americans. The outward-bound 747s will be... overloaded with traitors and alien subversives and their ill-gotten lucre, heading for Israel or elsewhere where their evil revolution may still be in power. But the Jewish revolution will be finished here. America will be America once again. And our sons and our daughters will be able to look with clear eyes into a future once again brighter than the sun.
END QUOTE

http://www.bardstown.com/~equalzr/aidskills.htm

http://www.usaor.net/users/ipm/nea.html

http://home.earthlink.net/~rebright/xtra/conspiracy/mar98.htm

http://www.patriot.dk/Pearlharbour.html

http://www.ferris.edu/htmls/othersrv/isar/Institut/CCC/sharks.htm Where you will find this gem:
QUOTE
British neo-fascists and youth
Wider-ranging recruitment efforts are currently under way. While the council has been largely made up of aging southern proponents of "the lost cause," last year it began an effort to recruit a younger generation of followers, setting up an education committee and a youth chapter.
The youth chapter was developed by Mark Cotterill, using the false last name

Cerr, around Washington, D.C. Cotterill, a Briton, is said to have brought 100 young people into the CCC. What Cotterill doesn't boast openly about are his connections to the National Alliance — his friendships with Alliance founder William Pierce, and Pierce's chief deputy, Kevin Alfred Strom. Pierce's novel of a future race war, The Turner Diaries, served as the blueprint for the deadly 1995 bombing of the Oklahoma City federal building.

Cotterill is well known in Britain, which he left three years ago. There, he was associated with the neofascist National Front and its successor, the British National Party, as well as American distributor of a far-right periodical, Right Now. He also has been linked to the Ulster Defense Association, a paramilitary Protestant group in Northern Ireland.
END QUOTE

http://hatewatch.org/who/pierce.html Mr. Strom may have a real future:
QUOTE
Presenting an image of restrained academic bookishness in front of main stream media, Pierce is more blunt in the company of "friendlier" audiences. Shortly following the death of poet Allen Ginsberg, who was Jewish and gay, Pierce stated frankly that he believed that persons who published material such as Ginsberg's should be shot. This speech was reproduced at his Web site, which is emblazoned with the motto "Free Speech." He also once wrote in an Alliance encyclical that "all the homosexuals, race-mixers and hard-case collaborator in the country who are too far gone to be re-educated can be rounded up, packed into 10,000 or so cattle cars, and eventually double-timed into an abandoned coal mine in a few days' time." This statement is, of course, eerily similar to the actions of Hitler's Third Reich.

Despite Pierce's stark neo-Nazism, the National Alliance is a consistently growing organization, research shows. Pierce has actually been successful in starting National Alliance chapters nationwide including the New York metropolitan area which has one of largest Jewish populations in the world. National Alliance meetings begin with a roll-call of members (first names only) but always include the names of three specific individuals: Revilo Pendleton Oliver, a deceased, Illinois-based professor of Classics who wrote several racist and anti-Semitic tracts during the 1960s to 1980s, Bob Mathews and David Lane of the Order. At the calling of these three names, all members present shout "Here!"

Now In his mid-60s, it is unclear who will follow Pierce when he dies. Speculation that he would be succeeded by his media-savvy lieutenant Kevin Alfred Strom lessened when Strom left the National Alliance (at least publicly) nearly two years ago. This was also the case with Milton John Kleim, Jr., Pierce's one-time "point man" for the Internet who is in his mid-20s, but he too has left the National Alliance, and the far-right, racist movement altogether. Kleim has thoroughly recanting his previous points of view. Pierce's most visible lieutenant is his North Carolina lieutenant, Will Williams. But Williams, with his southern accent and inability to harness his blatantly racist point of view into anything that can sound even remotely rational, would not likely be a successor that would

be sanctioned by Pierce. However, if Pierce does not have a hand-picked successor, then the future of the National Alliance without a William Pierce would seem in question.
END QUOTE

http://users.erols.com/murple/propaganda/racist/mlking.html

http://www.faem.com/oliver/oliver-1.htm

http://www.loop.com/~bramble/conspir.html
QUOTE
Dave Middleton, editorializing in The Capital Christian News, the Christian Coalition's publication for Rensslaer County, NY, believes that the U.N. is poised to take over the U.S., aided by (Jewish) humanists and scientists. Middleton claims that three orbiting satellites can project 3-D holograms onto the planet and people "will be fooled by the false signs and wonders" into accepting the invasion.
The ancient paranoia has resurfaced in response to the modern plague: An organization in Orange County, California, believes that HIV was created by the KGB as part of a plot to take over the U.S. According to this theory, mandatory quarantining of HIV-positives is the only way to stop the Communist invasion.

Christian Identity Online features one of the finest HIV-conspiracy speeches. Kevin Alfred Strom, speaking on the "American Dissident Voices" radio show (July 10, 1993), blames the spread of HIV on "the homosexualization of America" through "the power of organized perversion" and "the controlled media." The media, of course, is run by "the multicultural, one-world agenda" of the "forces behind Liberalism" -- those pesky Jews!

Strom pumps up the volume when he tells people to avoid the "trendy, fashionable part of town whenever possible," as "this is often where the highest percentage of homosexuals are to be found." People should move into "racially, culturally, and medically healthier" [read: racially pure] areas that offer lower risks of contact "with those in high-risk groups, including Blacks, Third-World immigrants, homosexuals, and drug users."

And don't think that crazy ideas about gays spreading epidemics started with Christian fundamentalists. After the original outbreak of Black Death in 541, Emperor Justinian decreed that people had provoked God to anger and retribution because of "perpetrating vile acts with other men
END QUOTE."

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3876B809.D1F46074@innocent.com>...
>

Nothing of any consequence snipped.

>
>
>
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1290. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3876C148.CCBC18A0@innocent.com>
Source record: 122666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

What a impressively large blob of altered and out-of-context text,
cut and pasted into a series of lies taken from several hard-left
sites of varying degrees of neurosis. I note you even have the
kindness to bring a family tragedy into the "discussion."

I'm sure the intelligent reader will, if interested at all, give the
swill exactly the credibility it deserves.

What caused you to suddenly hit the ceiling, Joe?

You are displaying a remarkable degree of hysteria for a being
presumably not equipped with a uterus.

73 and good luck in the contest,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1291. Re: 10 CODES ON HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <856h92$h6d$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote

>>When you speak of certain VHF activities, you should append "in
>>my area" -- you should not give the impression that that activity
>>occurred "widely," for you have no way to monitor nationwide activity
>>on VHF.

>When 10 codes appear in 73 magazine, a national magazine, it was likely more
>than just a local thing.

There you go again, quoting something Wayne Green wrote. Look, the poor
old guy was/is a kook. He wrote electric shock therapy would cure AIDS.
My collection of 73 went back to issue #2. Please state which issue he
said that 10-codes should be (or are being used) on a national scale.

>>>In Southern California in the 60s, there were no 10-codes being
>>used on 2m.

>You have the same problem that you accuse me of. Since you didn't monitor
>*all* the repeaters, and *all* the simplex FM, *all* of the time, how could
>you know for sure??

Easy to do. There originally was only one repeater, and one simplex
channel.

>But this happened before the repeater fad took place. It was when all those
>surplus taxi and police VHF FM trunk mounted (tube) radios first became
>available.

Yes, the 2-channel Motorola mobiles (some were single-channel). There was
one simplex frequency (there were so few users that everyone hung out on
that single frequency.) Crystals were expensive.

>Before that 2 meters was mostly AM with rigs like the Gooney Box...

Yes, "Gonset Communicators," or "Gooney Birds" as they were called.

1292. WARNING: Hans K0HB doesn't play fair! Re: Amateur Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <856g98gjj1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

Hans wrote:
><jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
>> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna, Hans wrote:

>>>Not hypocritical at all, Ron. I've held this call for 25 years, but
>>>I'd be first in line to turn it in if we could get rid of all this
>>>phony "rank" that permeates the hobby these days.
>>>If you want to outrank someone, go join the Army or the Girl Scouts.

>>IF you work for a company or corporation with two or more people,
>>rank exists.
>>If you like the idea of living in a classless society (same rank,
>>same wage, same bicycle, same clothes, same level of education) I
>>can list a few countries which still practice that sort of thinking
>>-- we can all chip in to send you to one of them.
>>(Followup set to just .policy)

>In my personal -- constitutionally protected -- opinion, any amateur
>radio licensee who perceives they hold a higher 'rank' than another
>licensee is a presumptive twit.
>It is my further personal opinion that any such presumptive twit
>who suggests I'd prefer living in a "classless (communist?) society"
>lacks the level of native intelligence and good manners we might normally
>ascribe to a rabid possum.
>73, Hans, K0HB

Well, Hans, that response is a lot different from the complaint you
sent to my ISP:

On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, Hans - K0HB wrote:
> Sirs:
> The following quotation is taken verbatim from a public communications
> authored by "jeffreyh@hawaii.edu", who I believe to be one "Jeffrey Herman"
> in your employ.
>
> "If you like the idea of living in a classless society (same rank,
> same wage, same bicycle, same clothes, same level of education) I
> can list a few countries which still practice that sort of thinking
> -- we can all chip in to send you to one of them."
>
> I hope that your fine educational institution does not condone it's
> employees publicly insinuating that I should be sent to some communist
> country, and that you will ask Mr Herman (if your investigation confirms
> that he sent the offensive message) to publicly apologize for his
> insult.

> Thank you. Hans Brakob

Universities are a bastion of free thought, free expression, and free speech. Many of history's more radical movements began on college campuses. It's not likely they'll ask me to publicly apologize for my innocent response to your wanting to eliminate class structure within amateur licensing.

Is this how it's going to be? Complaining to ISPs instead of formulating NG counterarguments to responses made to your comments? Trying to muzzle your opponents by taking their comments out of context (you didn't even include the NG article containing your original comment in your complaint) is the most underhanded type of play. You should be ashamed of yourself.

Jeff

1293. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000108002618.01022.00001070@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 122669

Roger the Dodger--can't take the heat...
Quit your whining Roger.....you like to bring attention to yourself.....so you did....and you got caught jamming.

You make insults to everybody and you expect no-one to call you on your Bullshit.
Go ahead and keep looking out your window.....paranoid boy.
Call the police---go ahead..I won't be there.I have better things to do.
Can't stand the fact that you're being watched by a former police officer.....huh Thanks uncle John for catching this guy and his jamming on 14.313. I lent my uncle my Sony 2010. Self policing is great.Especially when it's by a retired police officer.
Don't worry Roger.....I can't spend any more time on you. But keep on posting about me....I have you wrapped around my little finger. Jammer boy can't jam when he's posting like a boy posessed.
All you folks out there----check out the Rain Report and the letters. Old Roger's letter From Riley is there.
Jammers should pay a price of loss of license and forfeiture of money.

Oh and by the way---it looks as if somebody wants this argument to continue....they love to be entertained by a flame war.....Sorry---I no longer want to entertain you.

73 de N8WGM
Whatever radio I want and whatever antenna I feel like transmitting on.
N8WGM

1294. Re: Alinco DJ 195T Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andre Beaulieu <boldinf@cam.org>
Message-ID: <856irl$gqe@tandem.CAM.ORG>
Source record: 122670

Hi,

Try http://www.mods.dk/, it have mods for this radio....and LOTS! of
others.

Regard's

Andre

"Patrick McKee" <patrickNOpaSPAM@excelsiorservices.com.invalid> wrote in
message news:0221c012.b0935c97@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com...
> I have looked everywhere for mods on the DJ 195T but have not been
> successful. May be the radio is too new. Any suggestions would be
> greatly appreciated.
>
> Patrick McKee
>
>
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network
*
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>

1295. Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3876DB58.24104836@cats-net.com>
Source record: 122671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote:
>
> Eric Cooper wrote in message <38741D0C.71169AD0@home.com>...
> >
> >
> >Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
> >
> >> Dear Eric:
> >>
> >> a man who has worked
> >> with those of other races for decades and learned much from them,
> >> and a believer in self-determination for all peoples as a rational
> >> alternative to the enforced centralization of power now being
> >> imposed upon us all.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Of course, Mr. Strom...that is why you have links from your site so
> so-called
> >White Pride and White Nationalist groups on your site. Don't make me
> laugh!!!
> >
> >Eric Cooper
>
> Eric:
>
> You forgot to mention his link to "Jew Watch.
>
> The only thing missing on his site is a photo of Mr. Strom clothed in his
> bed sheet -- with eyeholes and a peaked cap.

The Klan has unveiled its new automobile, the Imperial Wizard. I
understand that it only comes in white and that there's not much under
the hood. (I think the line comes from Dick Gregory's work).

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

1296. Re: 6 Meter Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Stefani <johnstef@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <=9l2OBdkQdiqZ+oGlU1sN=haM1gq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122672

On 07 Jan 2000 16:53:48 GMT, srmbj@aol.com (Srmbj) wrote:

>Any suggestions for a 6 meter mobile antenna? I was told that a 48" 2 meter
>5/8 wave antenna is equal to a 6 meter 1/4 wave antenna. Is this a way to go
>or should I look for a dedicated 6 meter antenna?

Yes, a 5/8~ 2-m whip will work as a 1/4~ whip on 6-metres. You may
have to do a little trimming, but it will work. And as you probably
know 5/8~ 2-m whips are easy to find and cheap as dirt.

73...de VE3TIZ

1297. Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <856o8deef1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, with the new R&O changes, I'm studying the question pools to get the CSCEs for my extra (I have 5wpm, and general theory already done as I have a pre 1987 tech). Think I know enough to pass, but I can't seem to calculate correctly "Q" given the frequency, inductance, and resistance of a circuit.

I *thought* the equasion for the reactance of an inductance is:

Xl = 2pi*f*L

and the equasion for Q:

Q = X/r

Combined:

Q = (2 pi *f*L)/r

Which yields wrong answers! :-(

What did I screw up?

1298. Re: Poor, pathetic Lloyd the Toid and Steve Ton-Duhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <857j0hkbn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122674

Article forwarded by <snip!>

In a feeble attempt at pathetic backpedaling,
homosexual N8WGM blubbered in message <20000108002618.01022.00001070@ng-cg1.aol.com>...
<FLUSH!>

Whine, TONDuhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.
I exposed your lies for all to see. You don't own me, I own you. You're the one who posted under several aliases. You're the one who had to go out of your way to obtain (unsuccessfully, as usual) a picture of me for your homosexual fantasies.
I thought in your last post, you said you were killfiling me. So that was another lie. Anybody who was on this ng knows what happeded last year. You should get your lies straight. Of course, that would be the only thing straight about you.

So see ya, bucktoothed, coke-bottle glassed choad.
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1299. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <857j3pkcc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122675

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote in message
<71B505E4E6769F9C.CF5F47490A13E9E1.859F69B7CCBAFE86@lp.airnews.net>...
>Mark Morgan wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 17:51:48 -0600, W6RCecilA
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >dana wrote:
>> >> i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out,
they are
>> >> just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are
keeping some
>> >> code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters
allready
>> >> sounds like 11 meters anyway.
>> >
>> >All the hams on 75m are coded. Since the entry level exam, the
nocode tech,
>> >hasn't changed a bit, why would any additional CBers want into ham
radio?
>> >--
>> >73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>>
>> Sorry Cecil it has gotten a fraction easier they only have to
>> pass one test not two, and it is tohoped that the one test will
>> include VHF stuff basicly since that is waht the license will cover,
>> rather the odd anomaly of existing exams.
>>
>> OTH I do agre not enough basic change exist to expect that
>> somebody currently operating radios (CB or Pirate Ham or whatever)
>> without bothering to obtain one of our license is going to sudenly
>> decide to get one
>
>GASP!!!!!! Looky, Dana! They're crossposting!

LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1300. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3876F85A.13EA3EDB@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Anyone want to co-author a new NPRM with me, for submission to the FCC?
In it, I will propose that as an adjunct to increased *levels* of
enforcement activity by the FCC (which is actually happening a bit,
glory be!), the penalties for offenders should now include duty as a
courtesy beep. That is, if you get caught jamming, playing music, or
swearing in addition to any fine or license suspension, you must also
serve 90 days at a repeater site, going "boo-beep" everytime someone
releases their PTT switch! Better still, lets have the convicts utter in
plain English: "Thank you Sir, may I have another?"

Will
KD7BFX

Bud the Real wrote:

>
> The problem with Ham Radio isn't the tests, or the lack of CW,
> it's the lack of ENFORCEMENT!
>
> You can travel to almost any medium to large city, and find absolutely
> disgusting traffic on ham bands, from SW to SHF.
>
> When you complain about it, you're brushed off.
>
> Enforce the rules, and you'll get Ham Radio back to what it should be.

1301. Re: R&O is out ... Major victory for NCI and ham radio in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3877049A.B77574CC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I have heard this a lot in the past three years, but only just his very moment did it occur to me (DOH!) that it is really just the opposite. Think about this for a moment, carefully! With the elimination of the 13 and 20 WPM code tests, and the final handing over of full responsibility for the question pools to the NCVEC, isn't there now *less* commercial opportunity, as the result? All the code tapes, various subliminal and mnemonic systems, and code practice devices are now no longer marketable. The written tests may soon become easier (!) than ever, thus requiring less study and preparation. If NCI and W5YI pushed so hard for the current R&O for their own personal enrichment, it would seem one hell of a bad business maneuver.

Will
KD7BFX

Piero Sassu wrote:
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> >
> > > Read the R&O again ... you will find numerous citations to my
> > > personal comments, those of Bob Vernal (an NCI director),
> > > those of Bruce Perens (founder of NCI), the comments of
> > > NCI, and the comments of many NCI members.
> >
> > And Gordon West and Gordon West Publishing and Fred Maia and the W5YI
> > Group. Hey, you aren't telling us that the ballot box was stuffed here,
> > were you, Carl? :-)
> >
>
> And you don't think there is substantial financial gain to be made here
> through the sale of NEW study guides and aids, i.e. "memorization tools"!
>
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI

1302. AF2Y, "DR HATE" off AOL "They Dumped Him"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <857mn9$mv7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122678

AF2Y, the Nut Case from Clifton is off the forum, (for Now) Lets enjoy this period when there will be no "CRAZY" postings from the Clifton Loonie.

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1303. AF2Y, "DR HATE" off AOL "They Dumped Him"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <857nio$nf6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122679

AF2Y, the Nut Case from Clifton is off the forum, (for Now) Lets enjoy this period when there will be no "CRAZY" postings from the Clifton Loonie.

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1304. Re: License restructuring: YES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Harrison <no-mail@this.address.com>
Message-ID: <38770C98.42ECEB1@this.address.com>
Source record: 122680

Mark-

English must be a second language for you.

=========

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On 4 Jan 2000 07:11:31 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> wrote:
>
> >Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote:
> >>One not ture at all,
> >>turn acrros the Band
> >>Two Number of QSO is not the same
> >>wether this is valid
> >>CW has some coraaing advatage over
> >>You know ass this but still so spout
> >>
> >Could someone please translate that?
>
> you can't read then But I will try again as Cecil has pionted
> out to you CW does have an advange of progation (if you prfer that to
> the word pentration) so if the numbers of operator were then more CW
> op would be heard at a given location.
>
> In adition to this often made piont you talk about the number
> of CW QSO's as opposed the the number of SSB QSO's. given the
> compact and brief nature of most CW QSO's a CW op is likely to crank
> out more of them
>
> I note you don't even bother to respond to the facts so I will
> repat them
> One not ture at all, depends on your gear, and the band. If I
> turn acrros the Band I hear more AM QSO than anything else
>
> Two Number of QSO is not the same as number of users. of
> course there will be more QSO with rst exchange than with a chat.
>
> Three they certainly did not poll me, however, this is beside
> the point. It is true and correct statement that the ARRL claims that
> CW is rarely to never by 70 some percent of hams, wether this is valid
> reflection of the real is another matter.
>
> Four, since you know that CW has some coraaing advatage over

> SSB then you MUST discount the number by the right in order to find a
> valid comparision .
>
> You know this but still so spout such BS. a darn Shame

1305. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387710DA.9B78C23B@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122681

<yawn>

RFEnforcer wrote:

>
> Typical liberal twaddle from a government employee.

1306. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul
Message-ID: <3878e673.186723264@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote:

>i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
>just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
>code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
>sounds like 11 meters anyway. i got my extra back in 1989, and passed all
>the code requirements, and i am blind on top of all that.
>

I am a "CB'er" who knows code, and Ihave my ham ticket as well.

Paul

1307. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: yanddhill@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29213-3878DD94-58@storefull-218.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122283

TO KD5IOU
GOOD GOING.
KD6DZK

1308. WB2OTK: The John Rocker of Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12530-3878E11E-111@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122284

You know, I've been in disagreement regarding this "forgive and forget" discussion over the WB2OTK racial slur incident. But I've changed my mind.

Let's rehabilitate WB2OTK. BUT....let us pattern his return contingent to the same punishment John Rocker of the Atlanta Braves gets.

After all, WB2OTK did unleash his racial tirade in public, and made it MUCH more explicit than Mr. Rocker. But as long as we send Rich in to a shrink to get him "re-programmed"....and "to get his head right", I think I might go for the idea.

Of course, if Mr. Rocker gets a demotion, so should Rich. After all, what's good for the gentile....I mean goose, is good for the jew....I mean gander.

(Drew)

1309. Any ideas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marc Litchman <g0toc@laefars.ndo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3878e961@news.netdirect-online.co.uk>
Source record: 122285

Hello...
My friend Frank G0LWI recently pal worked a SM4 station who had a /CCAF
suffix anybody have any ideas about the /CCAF ??

73 Marc, G0TOC

1310. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas Geovanis <nickgeo@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.HPP.3.93.1000109134120.10926A-100000@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Source record: 122286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 9 Jan 2000, Tom W8JI wrote:

> On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 22:24:50 -0800, "Daniel J. Morlan"
> <dmorlan@ca.freei.net> wrote:
>
> >> You apparently suffer from a lack of self-esteem.
>
> SNIP
>
> >> If that's all you want, just have a fancy, official-looking embossed piece
> >> of paper framed and put on the wall next to your $3000 general-coverage
> >> receiver. Only a tiny handful of people will know the difference.....
>
> Nick,
>
> I think suggesting people who take pride in acomplishments should
> simply forge their acomplishments to save work is a very poor
> suggestion.
>
> I can't imagine why that would make anyone feel good about themselves.

No, no, no....I wasn't recommending that a LICENSE be forged. Just put a
piece of paper with some bogus writing in a nice frame, hang it on the
wall by the expensive rig (I didn't say that he needed to USE the radio),
and then the gentleman can have ALL of the satisfaction which he appears
to derive from his amateur radio license: A FANCY, OFFICIAL-LOOKING
L_A_B_E_L, nothing more. No need to even power-on the rig!

He said it himself, the LABEL has lost its value now that it doesn't set
him apart anymore (after restructuring). I'd like him to have a nice,
fancy, official-looking label again, so he can feel good about himself
again. If he does what I suggest, he can have the nice, fancy,
official-looking label back, but without wasting all of that time on the
distracting AMATEUR RADIO stuff.

> >While scalding, that was very well said Nick. I indend to get my license
> >someday. This is a very VERY new interest of mine, and I go into this
> >interest late in life at the ripe old age of 26. I'm going to be taking
> >some electronics classes at a community college in the meantime. Your
> >remarks while scalding, are well said...
>
> Since you think Nick spoke the truth, Does that mean you intend to
> forge a license, as Nick suggested?

No, it means that he's getting his kicks from RADIO, not from the piece of paper. It means that he can tell the difference between a superficial label (a piece of paper) which says "amateur radio" on it, and being an actual amateur radio enthusiast (unlike the gentleman to whom I was responding).

> 73 Tom

+--------------------->
| Nick Geovanis
| IT Computing Svcs
| Northwestern Univ
V n-geovanis@nwu.edu

1311. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <3878EFE5.CE7B7ACD@inreach.com>
Source record: 122287

just because other people break the law dont make it right.
we got death row full of murderers, so, can you go out and kill because
of that? Nope.

Perfidious wrote:
>
> In article <4cdv6s0nbqe0dstcabje8huchrbi9qeetu@4ax.com>,
> Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com wrote:
>
> > Look at your group of people, tell me I'm wrong about death of ham.
> > It's on the horizon, you can see it.
> > Your gonna lose the freqs. to more Family radio,cell, fax.
> > What about the modifications to the two meters that is in violation,
> > for transmit below and above two meter band.
> > or youve never done that?
> > Don't play in the water if you want to stay dry.
> > "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
> >
> > On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 10:55:24 -0800, "William F. Hagen"
> > <whagen@inreach.com> wrote:
> >
> Yea, No One here "ever" breaks a law? speeding in your car, (a differance
> right?)
> cheating on your taxes
> taking a few "nuts and bolts" (paper clips,pencils) from work
> twice on other posts, people who do not know me have insinuated I may be a
> theif,
> or a jammer...etc. simply for stating my opinion. (admittedly gruff)
> i also asked for a mod to my IC 761 for 11 meter, to save myself the 70
> mile drive to have it done at an Amateur Radio Store. (for which they
> charge a mere $40.00) Is it illegal? did not know that.
>
> I could not see using other freqs. to talk to the locals out here (guess I
> could force them to become hams, or ignore them because they are not), or
> to converse with my wife while she is in her car. For this I should buy
> seperate equipment? Why? How many times have you'all used more power than
> neccassary to have a qso? (never I'm sure...holier than thou)
>
> I have learned from this article one thing: drive the 70 miles.
>
> --
> per.fid'.e.es adj.

1312. Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000109153430.03055.00000038@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 122288

Lloyd your quoting 18th century dribble. This is the year 2000, come up to speed will ya. Oh that reminds me, how's your code speed, send me my tapes back as you said that you would.
You are starting to prove yourself dishonorable AND dishonest. I tried to help you, you've had them about a year, you've done nothing to advance, I have someone else I'd like to loan the coarse to so they can advance. I expect you to keep your word and send them back. SOON !

1313. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <3878EE80.69425896@inreach.com>
Source record: 122289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yet another sarcastic smartass comment. Grow up and get over it.

randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Why bother learning electronics...just wait till the FCC drops the
> requirement to learn it. Some people have trouble with it and its not fair
> to make them feel bad.
>
> de Tim K0FL
>
> Leland C. Scott wrote in message <85975p$d23@nnrp3.farm.idt.net>...
> >
> >"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
> >news:856o8deef1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net...
> >> Well, with the new R&O changes, I'm studying the question pools to get
> the
> >> CSCEs for my extra (I have 5wpm, and general theory already done as I
> have
> >a
> >> pre 1987 tech). Think I know enough to pass, but I can't seem to
> >calculate
> >> correctly "Q" given the frequency, inductance, and resistance of a
> >circuit.
> >>
> >> I *thought* the equasion for the reactance of an inductance is:
> >>
> >> Xl = 2pi*f*L
> >>
> >> and the equasion for Q:
> >>
> >> Q = X/r
> >>
> >> Combined:
> >>
> >> Q = (2 pi *f*L)/r
> >>
> >> Which yields wrong answers! :-(
> >>
> >> What did I screw up?
> >
> >It makes a difference if the circuit in question is a parallel or series
> >circuit. The equations you used are correct for a series connection of a

> >resistor and an inductance. For a parallel connection the equation is
> >different.
> >
> >For a parallel connection of X and R you get:
> >
> >Z=1/[(1/R)+(1/X*i)] where i=sqrt(-1) and X=2*Pi*F*L
> >
> >Z=[(R*X^2)/(X^2+R^2)]+i*[(R^2*X)/(X^2+R^2)]
> >
> >Now the part multiplied by "i" is the equivalent inductive reactance (Xeq)
> >of the parallel circuit, and the remaining part is the equivalent resistive
> >(Req) part.
> >
> >The Q is still calculated by X/R, but in the parallel case it is Xeq/Req,
> >which yields
> >R/X, for the paralleled inductive reactance and resistance.
> >
> >You can also look up the above equation (#15) in the 1999 ARRL HB on page
> >13.5.
> >
> >Good luck on your exam!
> >--
> >73's,
> >
> >Leland C. Scott
> >KC8LDO
> >(Just another dumb No-Code Tech "Elmering" another almost-Extra)
> >
> >ARRL member
> >NCI member
> >
> >"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> >Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> >communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> >Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> >Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> >transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> >functions."
> >
> >
> >

1314. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85b1jq$ts3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <ElVd4.14543$Ak.202179@news1.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Joe Schlatter wrote in message ...
>
> (Nothing of great importance)
>
> Joe, do you look in the mirror and see a communist? You make Stalin
proud?
>

Forget it Davies. He's far, far out of your league !

> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1315. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3878F71D.C20613F9@cats-net.com>
Source record: 122291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 00:10:40 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Oh, B.S.! CW was and is a viable and popular mode. It is still in wide
> >use on the amateur bands. It doesn't matter a bit whether anyone in
> >commercial services use it. We aren't commercial ops. Anyone whose
> >license permits the use of CW should not have a problem demonstrating
> >some skill in its use.
>
> Why should it not be a problem, clearly an untrue statement
> since after all I have a license that permits the use of CW, and yet I
> personalyhave no such skill. The claim you are making here has not
> been true for at least 9-10 years now. I expect it was not true for
> some before that even. That is I firmly belive that even when it was a
> requirement for license holders that there were many that could not
> domtrate that skill.

Mark, one has to be able to read and write in order to use CW. There
are a few exceptions to every rule. You seem to be the exception to
many. Maybe you'll be able to domtrate the skill enough to go for a
"gimme". If not, you'll just have to wait.

> As to popularity, it would seem that jamming profanity are
> also popular in parts of the ARSm should we all be required to prove
> our skill at those to

Only you could compare jamming and profanity skills with the skills
needed to use a popular and legal mode of operation. Your problems go
way beyond dyslexia.

> > At some point, we have to acknowlege that
> >> morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
> >> at what point do we finally stop testing for it?
> >
> >A good point would be when its use is neglible. We aren't even close to
> >that point yet.
>
> IYO. in mine and others a much better point is when it ceases
> to serve a function whereby the prupose of the ARS is clearly advanced
> by making all operators of certain prevelges donstrate that they might
> have some skill, which is the current level of testing for Code

Yes, IMO. That's what I stated--my O. I'll need a translator for the
rest since your written skills are as lacking as your morse skills.

> OTH it's use is neglible in the scheme of things, which is
> where we come to issue of everyone else is doing.

What the hell is THAT supposed to mean? Neglible? scheme of WHAT
things? What issue of "everyone else is doing"?

> Morse Code use is
> negilble in the world of Radio alone. It is the third or fourth most
> popular mode in the ARS as a whole (behind FM SSB, and the digital
> modes combined) It is number two in HAM HF, but a poor thrid to AM
> and SSB, in HF as a whole. It remians the number one mode in CW
> operattions without regard to the band they are in however.

Morse code use is a lot more "negilble" in the world outside radio.
We hams don't operate outside the ham bands on HF. Are you trying to
make a case that mode which is the second or third most popular should
be cast aside? I'm glad to learn that morse is tops in CW operation.
Who knew!

> >Do we dogmatically
> >> continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
> >> Trekking around the galaxy?
> >
> >I don't think it'll matter a bit to either of us.
>
> There in my case you are wrong, what we leave for the future
> is important to me and many other hams. It is OUR debt to Ham radio, a
> fact you seem blind to.

Mark, you're a painful geek. Let me know where you'll be ringing the
new year in 2400.

> >No one is demanding "easier access" to ham
> >> radio, just access that is more in line with the technology of TODAY.
> >
> >That is an outright falsehood.
>
> How is it false, Herr GoeBells would use the notion that
> anything repated long "becomes" ture, are you?

Yep, Mark. I'll keep repating it until even you can see that it is
ture. I'm wearing my Goebells even as I write this. Many, many, many
ARE demanding easier access to amateur radio. It's the absolute
"turth".

> >
> >> You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study
> >> for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.
> >
> >Me too--and I'll pass a code test to boot.
>

> so what?

...a really painful geek.

> >> I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW.
> >
> >...and because the light never dawned for you personally, CW was, in
> >your eyes, irrelevant.
>
> Indeed here I will conceed that you MAY have the beginings of
> a point, alas THE BIGGEST PROBLEM CW advocates have is that they have
> been unable to transfer this awaresness to anyone not amoug them. Of
> course You still seem blind to the notion that perhaps, just perhaps
> we are right and it is irelevant, and that maybe your adherance to it
> is merely a quais religous one

You're among us, Mark and we can't transfer much of anything to you.
I assure you that I've never had a "quais religous" thought.
> >
> >
> >> I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that
> >> was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
> >> and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
> >> "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
> >> I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
> >> it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"
> >
> >Don't give me no jive about your "precious time". If you found time to
> >study the theory, you could have nailed the code. I'd hate to think
> >that I wasted eighteen years of something I wanted in order to wait for
> >a "gimme".
>
> Sir I for one am turely sorry that you were forced to waste 18
> years of your life on anything. I futher assure that were it within my
> power I would undo that for you. That you were treated in unjustly
> was and remains a tragedy for the yourself and the ARS. I and other
> see no reason however to contiue an injustice simply on the basis of
> your suffering. That "logic" would have meant that the US should never
> have freed its black slaves in order to prevent their ancestors lives
> and suffering from being wasted.

Stay with the thread, Mark. I didn't waste 18 years. The guy I was
responding to wasted 18 years of his "precious time". Nobody was or is
discussing slavery but you.

> In adittion your assertion that having time to learn the
> theory is enough to also learn the code is plain false do you turely
> not know that?

No, I don't know that. In fact, I know that spare time is, well...spare
time. It can be used for anything....anything. I turely believe that.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

1316. Re: "14313 causes brain damage" Riley Hollingsworth..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85b2h9ubv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122292

rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote in message <85at7b$ql9
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>It is now official! Amateurs checking in on, or even listening to 14313
>on the twenty meter amateur radio service will develope "brain
>damage"!!!

Yeah, case in point, this moron "Rileygotchu" who can't spell a simple
word such as "develop."

>All this according to famous lawyer of the FCC, Mr Riley
>Hollingsworth!!
>
>Some of the people who operated that frequency are already gone.
>Probably to insane asylums. BEWARE THE FREQUENCY OF 14313!!!! Some of
>them have developed elongated heads, turned into vibrator perverts, and
>are very reclusive in low income neighborhoods in the WVA mountains.
>
>Rileygotchu

And a lot of them post behind an alias, showing they squat to urinate.

It's a shame you're jealous of those with a higher i.q..

I suppose YOU would think I have an elongated head, since my brain
exceeds the space in my cranium, while YOUR "brain (cell) is analgous
to a b-b bouncing within a vacuum in a sphere the size of a large
watermelon.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1317. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85b2rqulu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <85b1jq$ts3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <ElVd4.14543$Ak.202179@news1.mia>,
> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > Joe Schlatter wrote in message ...
> >
> > (Nothing of great importance)
> >
> > Joe, do you look in the mirror and see a communist? You make Stalin
> proud?
> >
>
> Forget it Davies. He's far, far out of your league !

Spoken like a true UNDERacheiver. If you think Davies has a league,
you're as brain-dead and low as he is.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1318. Re: Demagoguery: Was: Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85b36tuou1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <EQQd4.10085$7L.451048@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>,
"Joe Schlatter" <w4hh@schlatter.org> wrote:
> You see, Mr. Strom, it's known as "demagoguery," from the word
> "demagogue" -- one who stirs up people using emotion, prejudice, and
so
> forth in order to win them over quickly and thereby gain power for
himself.
>
> When I was a young fellow growing up in deep south Mississippi in the
late
> 1940's and early 1950's, life there was not much changed from the
days of
> slavery. Local politicians stayed in office by yelling "N*****,
n*****,
> n*****" from the back of a flatbed truck. My former governor (I
moved to
> Alabama) George Wallace was more sophisticated but the message was
the same.
>
> I have witnessed the vacuous nature of appeals to racism, most
strikingly
> when:
>
> 1. At age 10, accompanying my grandfather hunting, we came upon the
burned
> remains of a black man who had been tortured then burned to death for
some
> minor transgression -- an act that was not rare in the South of those
days.
> 2. At age 20, as a college student in Alabama, after I had
participated in
> the last portion of the Selma to Montgomery march, where I shook
hands with
> Rosa Parks and Martin Luther King, Jr., I -- and a few friends -- were
> threatened with expulsion from our college by a dean. He lost.
> 3. In 1969 - 70, in the jungles of Vietnam, I saw American boys --
white,
> black, Protestant, Jewish, rich, poor -- lose arms, legs, eyes, and
their
> young lives -- without questioning what they were doing or why. When
I put
> them -- or parts of them -- in body bags, the only color visible was

blood
> red. Of course, you, sir, having never put your life on the line for
> anything would know nothing about that.
>
> Thus, Mr. Strom, your nice, neat, apparently carefully reasoned and
> researched arguments are no different from the ravings of the redneck
> candidates for sheriff whom I heard on those red dirt woods in the
> Mississippi backwoods in 1951. It's all the noise of a demagogue who
wants
> to be heard but wants to never be questioned because he knows that
the light
> of day will drive out the darkness that he preaches.
>
> If, sir, you find my comments troubling or testy, that is your
problem.
> Demagogues inspire the pitiful Tim McVeighs of the world to sacrifice
> themselves but the demagogue never gets his own hands dirty. Why not?
> Because have another characteristic in common: they are cowards.
> --
>
> Regards,
> Joe Schlatter
> joe@schlatter.org
> http://www.schlatter.org/
> http://www.miafacts.org/
> Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message
<3876C148.CCBC18A0@innocent.com>...
> >What a impressively large blob of altered and out-of-context text,
> >cut and pasted into a series of lies taken from several hard-left
> >sites of varying degrees of neurosis. I note you even have the
> >kindness to bring a family tragedy into the "discussion."
> >
> >I'm sure the intelligent reader will, if interested at all, give the
> >swill exactly the credibility it deserves.
> >
> >What caused you to suddenly hit the ceiling, Joe?
> >
> >You are displaying a remarkable degree of hysteria for a being
> >presumably not equipped with a uterus.
> >
> >73 and good luck in the contest,
>
> No contest, Mr. Strom.
>
> GAME OVER.
> YOU LOSE.
>

Not faring well Herr Strom ? I hear the Fuhrer's bunker is
unoccupied.

> >Kevin, WB4AIO.
> >--
> >
> >Kevin Alfred Strom.
> >
> >News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1319. As the World Turns 14.313 website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85b3dr$v26$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122295

After checking out the "As the World Turns 14.313" website, I make
this observation. KC1ZQ's head looks like a dirty, worn-out tennis ball.
Zipper Queen, go get some Rogaine.
W4AMP looks as I imagined him, a obese, triple chinned bubba.
Big Al had his picture removed, which must've been used to keep the
cockroaches at bay. I guess they'll have to go back to using Raid.
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1320. Re: no theory international

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <04d17460.4863e8b2@usw-ex0101-003.remarq.com>
Source record: 122296

I realize your post is probably in jest, so so is this reply:

Since most people can't spell (there, their) why have schools?
I mean even educated pillars of society (HAM radio operators)
can only knee-jerk react emotionally (not logically) to basic
issues (code/no code). Most folks don't think, so let's just
eliminate schooling altogether. I can see it coming.

OR, the national speed limit has been creeping up from 55MPH for
the last couple of years. My God, I can see it now, NO SPEED LIMIT
whatsoever. It's coming! Imagine adolescents blazing through school
zones (oops, we got rid of schools) at over 500MPH! It's coming! I
can see it!

Now where's that microphone? I've got some murder threats, cussing,
and racism to get done on HF.

73

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1321. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3878FBA9.26E18F0C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Does that make it OK to use the word "F***ing" in a family setting like ham radio...

Dunno Aaron, exactly how do you pronounce "F***ing"?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1322. Re: A Modest proposal, or BUY the ham bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B49E3F94.1EE7%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 122298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

in article 85aj3fjtf1@nnrp1.deja.com, Dan/W4NTI at w4nti@my-deja.com wrote on 01.09.2000 10.13:

>>[Modest proposal deleted]

> Well thought out position, however.....what gives the FCC the RIGHT to
> sell what they don't own? The radio spectrum is just like the
> atmosphere that surrounds our planet. Does that give the "government"
> the right to SELL AIR? I don't think so. What I think should happen
> is we ALL (HAMS) get together and take the FCC to court for selling
> what they don't own.

The FCC regulates the airwaves in the US "for the good of the public."
The EPA regulates the air quality in the US "for the good of the public."

The FCC is auctioning off spectrum for commerical services since they were having a hard time making apolitical decisions about frequency allocations "for the public good" and people being willing to put up money is one way of figuring out this (though controversial). I forgot whether they leased it, or sold the rights outright?

The EPA and various other stste organizations regulates air quality by a series of emissions regulations, fines, penalties and measurement techniques (if you are in California you might see an electric car buzzing around, and I hear shortly some gas-electric hybrids -- all due to government regulations of the auto industry). This then gives an entry price for us to "use" the air (and since we buy the cars - we really pay it) - so in one way of looking at it - we already do so!!

:)

I think the ARRL has done a lot more damage than the FCC -- the FCC likes amateur radio due to the experimentation and things people play with -- packet radio being one example. The ARRL seems to be more interested in courting the HF crowd, of which I am not a member - and equipment manufacturers are courting those folks rather than the microwave stuff.

As a side note: I was delighted when the FCC overrode the ARRL(*) on allowing spread spectrum to have unlimited access above 430MHz and at ABOVE 1 W ERP if required for contact. I think spread spectrum is really important to amateur radio and will help with the next generation of packet radio and some voice services. But I digress.

(*)- I think the ARRL was being kind of myopic getting all conservative rather than being experimental.

1323. 144MHz Related Links Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: g0nfa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109170017.01126.00000997@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 122299

Hello All.

Please take a look at the following 144MHz Related Links Pages Plus The
Year 2000 144MHz Contest Calendar.

144MHz Related Links - Including Tropo, MS, EME, Aurora, Callbooks, etc.
http://members.aol.com/g0nfa/144mhz.html

144MHz Contests Page - Links to Results, Rules and The Year 2000 Calendar
http://members.aol.com/g0nfa/contests.html

144MHz News Items - Prefix Changes, Awards, MS, Beacon Updates, etc
http://members.aol.com/g0nfa/144news.html

Plus Pages for Log Reports, etc and 144MHz Software and downloads Links.
Just follow the links from the 144MHz Related Links Page.

You may also want to visit the Animated GIF and Clip Art Pages at......
http://members.aol.com/gx0vzs/gifnclip.html

All The Best
Derek - G0NFA
Email - g0nfa@aol.com

1324. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387904FA.64DE1C1F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

randas@mindspring.com wrote:
> Why bother learning electronics...just wait till the FCC drops the
> requirement to learn it.

One might have to wait a mighty long time.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1325. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <38790740.49114E07@preferred.com>
Source record: 122301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I posted this once, but I think my newsserver screwed up.
Required reading for all involved in gun debates should be John Lott's
"More guns, Less crime", Univ. of Chicago Press. This is by a economist
who looked at facts and figures, not rhetoric. He actully was "anti-gun"
until he did his research. Hard facts and figures really changed his
mind.
If your gonna argue gun control, READ THIS BOOK. Just get it from your
library.

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> JM wrote:
> > Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
> > crime.
>
> Yep, compare New York and District of Columbia to Texas and Arizona.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1326. Updated Motorola HT-220 Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikeyht220@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85b6mj$19e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

The HT-220 Page has been updated with UHF tuning procedures and more articles in the Tech Tips section.

This website has information including model tables, frequency conversions, parts and accessories, manual numbers, tech tips and stories.

See http://www.batnet.com/mfwright/HT220.html

Back in the 20th century when only women wore earrings and men walked the surface of the Moon, the Motorola HT-220 was the hottest handheld on the market when it was introduced in 1969.

HT-220 is Y2K compliant.

Comments about the HT220 by visitors to this webpage:

"THOUSANDS STILL IN USE."
"I never thought the old rig would attract a cult following!"
"I use both VHF and UHF models everyday."
"I've taken VHF units up to 220 MHz (a real 220 220)."
"HT220 is truly an object deserving of such a shrine!"
"It was and still is a engineering marvel."
"They are very easy to re-condition."
"I still think it is the nicest looking, most purposeful radio ever produced."
"The HT-220 still lives!"
"I cut my teeth on the HT-200 and went on to the HT-220."
"I can remember in the mid-70s the envy we all felt for the BMOC that had an HT220."
"What is that?!?" (from a generation X-er first seeing a HT220)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1327. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <mv3i7s00tjpc278rqmu272goe2a1piolks@4ax.com>
Source record: 122303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 21:01:17 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Mark Morgan wrote:
>>

>Mark, one has to be able to read and write in order to use CW. There
>are a few exceptions to every rule. You seem to be the exception to
>many. Maybe you'll be able to domtrate the skill enough to go for a
>"gimme". If not, you'll just have to wait.

Why htanks you noting that I am the exception to many rules is
the kindest thing you ever said about me. OTH a great many people can
likely USE Morse code CW without being able to read or write, most of
them are subject to extreme disabilities, but that was not my point of
course something that seem to have missed (on prupose perhsp?) to
repate my piont, I cantend that many people who have taken and PASSED
a test CW likely can not use the mode today, futherthat because of the
difference between the testcondiction and real radio many of those
person (who have passed the test and can't use the mode) can't do so
fom the monemt they pass the test. Further I contend that this was the
case long before the current crop of techs existed

>
>> As to popularity, it would seem that jamming profanity are
>> also popular in parts of the ARSm should we all be required to prove
>> our skill at those to
>
>Only you could compare jamming and profanity skills with the skills
>needed to use a popular and legal mode of operation. Your problems go
>way beyond dyslexia.

I really don't think I am that specail, but you want to make
things a popuality contest with the poling limited to those that you
hope already agree with you. Indeed you are unable to understand the
concept of a rhetoical position. Propfanity and esp Jamming, are as
relavent as CW skill to need of the general public.

>
>> > At some point, we have to acknowlege that
>> >> morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
>> >> at what point do we finally stop testing for it?
>> >
>> >A good point would be when its use is neglible. We aren't even close to
>> >that point yet.

>>
>> IYO. in mine and others a much better point is when it ceases
>> to serve a function whereby the prupose of the ARS is clearly advanced
>> by making all operators of certain prevelges donstrate that they might
>> have some skill, which is the current level of testing for Code
>
>Yes, IMO. That's what I stated--my O. I'll need a translator for the
>rest since your written skills are as lacking as your morse skills.

No in this case it your reading skills there is one misspelled
word demonstrate is The point to end code testing is where it can no
longer be proved to be in the interest of the Public, this has nothing
to do with wether we (the ARS), continue to use it

>
>> OTH it's use is neglible in the scheme of things, which is
>> where we come to issue of everyone else is doing.
>
>What the hell is THAT supposed to mean? Neglible? scheme of WHAT
>things? What issue of "everyone else is doing"?

Continue reading

>
>> Morse Code use is
>> negilble in the world of Radio alone. It is the third or fourth most
>> popular mode in the ARS as a whole (behind FM SSB, and the digital
>> modes combined) It is number two in HAM HF, but a poor thrid to AM
>> and SSB, in HF as a whole. It remians the number one mode in CW
>> operattions without regard to the band they are in however.
>
>Morse code use is a lot more "negilble" in the world outside radio.
>We hams don't operate outside the ham bands on HF. Are you trying to
>make a case that mode which is the second or third most popular should
>be cast aside? I'm glad to learn that morse is tops in CW operation.
>Who knew!

I am not saying that the use of Code should be set aside
merely the testing of it. Indeed I do thank you for pointing that
Morse is even more usless in the real world of the general public.
The fact that we ham do not under normal circumstances operate
outside our band is rirelavant to wether Code use is negilble in those
areas of sepctrum. One of the pruposes of the ARS as I remind is to
privde a pool of operators for others services in emergencies. The
lack of need for morse operators outside Ham HF is a factor in saying
we do not need to maintain such a pool, but you are smart enough to
know that, You are just refusing to deal the issue arn't you?

>
>> >Do we dogmatically
>> >> continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
>> >> Trekking around the galaxy?

>> >
>> >I don't think it'll matter a bit to either of us.
>>
>> There in my case you are wrong, what we leave for the future
>> is important to me and many other hams. It is OUR debt to Ham radio, a
>> fact you seem blind to.
>
>Mark, you're a painful geek. Let me know where you'll be ringing the
>new year in 2400.

Likely in the grave, but your lack of concern for the future
of the ARS, shows the your true level of devotion to it and to it's
needs.

>
>> >
>> >> You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study
>> >> for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.
>> >
>> >Me too--and I'll pass a code test to boot.
>>
>> so what?
>
>...a really painful geek.

Again so what. if you were willing to have a finger cut does
that mean in order to gain the same prevelegds I should be to.

>
>> >> I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW.
>> >
>> >...and because the light never dawned for you personally, CW was, in
>> >your eyes, irrelevant.
>>
>> Indeed here I will conceed that you MAY have the beginings of
>> a point, alas THE BIGGEST PROBLEM CW advocates have is that they have
>> been unable to transfer this awaresness to anyone not amoug them. Of
>> course You still seem blind to the notion that perhaps, just perhaps
>> we are right and it is irelevant, and that maybe your adherance to it
>> is merely a quais religous one
>
>You're among us, Mark and we can't transfer much of anything to you.
>I assure you that I've never had a "quais religous" thought.

The problem is that you don't know one when you have one.
Indeed though you have gotten part of the point, you can't comuncate
the need for CW to me, or to any of the NoCoders, and yet from time to
time we NoCoders manage the revserse to a procoder.

>> >
>> >
>> >> I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that

>> >> was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
>> >> and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
>> >> "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
>> >> I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
>> >> it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"
>> >
>> >Don't give me no jive about your "precious time". If you found time to
>> >study the theory, you could have nailed the code. I'd hate to think
>> >that I wasted eighteen years of something I wanted in order to wait for
>> >a "gimme".
>>
>> Sir I for one am turely sorry that you were forced to waste 18
>> years of your life on anything. I futher assure that were it within my
>> power I would undo that for you. That you were treated in unjustly
>> was and remains a tragedy for the yourself and the ARS. I and other
>> see no reason however to contiue an injustice simply on the basis of
>> your suffering. That "logic" would have meant that the US should never
>> have freed its black slaves in order to prevent their ancestors lives
>> and suffering from being wasted.
>
>Stay with the thread, Mark. I didn't waste 18 years. The guy I was
>responding to wasted 18 years of his "precious time". Nobody was or is
>discussing slavery but you.

Maybe I blew that one, In which I redirect those remarks as is proper.
But you are discusing indenture servitude in the clasic sense
something is also ilegal.
>
>> In adittion your assertion that having time to learn the
>> theory is enough to also learn the code is plain false do you turely
>> not know that?
>
>No, I don't know that. In fact, I know that spare time is, well...spare
>time. It can be used for anything....anything. I turely believe that.

You are indeed an idoit since you are now contend that you can learn
Morse code in zero time, since by this threadf you have and continue
to maintanin the assertion that in the same time as it takes to learn
theory youi can learn theory and Code. This not plain and simply
impossible and you know
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN

1328. FS: EIMAC 8877 TUBE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109180058.21397.00001109@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 122304

I HAVE AIMAC 8877 NEW TUBE IN UN OPEN BOX FOR $400.00 PLUS SHIPPING FROM LOS ANGELES CA . K6EG

1329. FS BIRD 43 NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109180210.21397.00001111@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 122305

I HAVE DIRD 43 NEW IN UNOPEN BOX FOR $200.00 PLUS SHIPPING FROM LOS ANGELES CA.
K6EG

1330. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <38791507.4C5@virgin.net>
Source record: 122306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

But, you know, when criminals murder criminals, other people tend to get caught in the cross-fire.
This has been happening in a certain part of this country for many years.
The last time I saw a gun (apart from visits abroad) was shortly after WW2. and the prospect of getting shot here never enters one's mind.
As you probably know, the police strongly resist being armed because they believe that
then the criminals will become armed in response and the situation will escalate. The majority of crime seems to be formalised at an implicitly agreed level of violence and discomfort to both sides. My neighbour's rather disturbed 15 yr old son might scribble minor abuse in the ice on my car windscreen, but I feel reasonably secure that he won't shoot me.
Using a gun means stepping outside the norms into dangerous territory - and so
is relatively rare.

However, once a norm where guns are carried as an everyday habit has become established, I can see that it will be very difficult to alter, since neither side wants to disarm first.
Perhaps you might agree that as a long-term goal, eventual disarmament of
everybody, in every community or nation might be a worth-while aim?
(I hope this has not come over as anti your great country, because my attitude is quite the reverse. If the USA did not exist, the world would have to invent it.)

Peter Lawton

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Peter Lawton wrote:
> > Give up the gun and have the courage to take the risk of being robbed by
> > force majeure, (which shouldn't harm your pride at all - if an elephant
> > treads on my foot, it doesn't make me feel small) so that the community
> > in general will be safer.
>
> The community will be safer for criminals, not for me and my family.
> You see, many of the murders in this country are criminals murdering
> criminals, a benefit for the community in general.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1331. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net>
Message-ID: <35ih7s82itnk2abvg71aub3tqajhnvh6lm@4ax.com>
Source record: 122307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 23:36:32 -0700, "Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote:

>>"Tit" is recognized by SOME as vulgar slang. Ummm, you must be a real
>>pent-up guy, Aaron.
>
>Nope. There is a place and time for locker room language. Ham radio should
>not be the place or the time. Unfortunately things are changing...

"Tit" is an acceptable word on British television. If I'm not mistaken, it's
even an acceptable *sight* on television in parts of Europe. Of all the
so-called "vulgar" (look up where that word came from sometime!) words you could
complain about, you seem to have picked a really lame one.

--
Message to France: Sterilize fascists, not pit bulls.

1332. KC8JBO, obese, deformed qrm'er that lives with his mommy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hoymail.com
Message-ID: <387917DE.B80DCF80@hoymail.com>
Source record: 122308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8JBO wrote:

> After checking out the "As the World Turns 14.313" website, I make
> this observation. KC1ZQ's head looks like a dirty, worn-out tennis ball.
> Zipper Queen, go get some Rogaine.
> W4AMP looks as I imagined him, a obese, triple chinned bubba.
> Big Al had his picture removed, which must've been used to keep the
> cockroaches at bay. I guess they'll have to go back to using Raid.
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

And of course there is no picture of the qrm'ing, deformed, 400 pound sack of shit JBO anywhere. Too fat and wreaked with doubt to even submit a picture. I guess that is what happens when your mother is your sister. Move out of your mother's house and get a job, jerk. Is'nt 40 years at home wth mommy enough?

1333. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stax <gareth@capecod.net>
Message-ID: <38791EE5.3E8F7C66@capecod.net>
Source record: 122309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> It's unfortunate that the FCC didn't revamp the theory tests. They are
> way too easy now. I passed all of the current the internet sample tests
> without even studying them first. But it seems that the FCC is mostly
> interested in making their administrative jobs easier.....
>
> Dave

Just a wee bit curious about something. If the FCC decides in 10 or
15 years to eliminate all testing requirements for a "Ham" license,
would you be in opposition to this policy? If your answer is in the
affirmative, what would be your answer to a new age "ham" who might
berate you for being an old fashioned intellectual elite snob?

Stax

the nasty fear giver rides again.....

1334. New License Class

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <38795F5F.2C19@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 122310

I propose that under the new license restructuring, that after April 15,
the highest class license will be known as a "TechXtra".

Andy
WD4KDN

1335. ACC RC85 Controller HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Wilson <N9LZK@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uWdI2mwW$GA.220@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 122311
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,in.ham-radio,news.admin.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,su.org.ham-radio,uwarwick.societies.amateur-radio

We have an RC85 with the V 5 upgrade that has picked up this peculiar problem since Y2K. It's lost the clock, scheduler, and female voice. At least that's all we've seemed to find so far. All the macros are intact and autopatch works. Anyone know a fix for this? I tried to swap out the clock module for another one but I'm not quite sure of the one I had. HELP!!

E-mail me at n9lzk@arrl.net if you have any info on this problem or are experencing this problem with the ACC RC85 and have corrected it.

1336. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3879356a@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 122312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Do you realize IF Hambo radio ended, all dem Hambo's would come back to & descend on my 27 MC band ! Where would we put them, on Sesame street? Or channel 9 ? I say PUT them on 9 where they can do some good !!!!
Dave!!!!

1337. Re: ACC RC85 Controller HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Coredump <coredump@NOxSPAM.enteract.com>
Message-ID: <gjei7sog4tu4ka1tv2hkec1r5k1o5fk4k4@4ax.com>
Source record: 122313

On Sun, 9 Jan 2000 19:26:56 -0600, "Robert Wilson" <N9LZK@email.msn.com> wrote:

>We have an RC85 with the V 5 upgrade that has picked up this peculiar
>problem since Y2K. It's lost the clock, scheduler, and female voice. At
>least that's all we've seemed to find so far. All the macros are intact and
>autopatch works. Anyone know a fix for this? I tried to swap out the clock
>module for another one but I'm not quite sure of the one I had. HELP!!
>
>E-mail me at n9lzk@arrl.net if you have any info on this problem or are
>experencing this problem with the ACC RC85 and have corrected it.

The answer is here:

http://www.angelfire.com/oh/accrepairguy/y2k.html

John - K9IJ
k9ij@arrl.net

1338. Re: The REAL Threat; Was: Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@buffnet.net
Message-ID: <387e2040.16053820@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 122314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 9 Jan 2000 13:32:31 -0500, "Donald Reeve" <don236@prodigy.net>
wrote:

>
>Excellent work! It's not the black helicopters we have to listen to, it's
>the damn clop-clop of the invading Amish army!

Clop-clop BANG! Clo-clop BANG! Clop-clop BANG!

(Amish drive-by shooting)

73 DE KC2FNG

1339. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <as8i7s8oaj5mhlvumhpar5pp1hrhvonbjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 122315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 23:48:51 GMT, Stax <gareth@capecod.net> wrote:

>> It's unfortunate that the FCC didn't revamp the theory tests. They are
>> way too easy now. I passed all of the current the internet sample tests
>> without even studying them first. But it seems that the FCC is mostly
>> interested in making their administrative jobs easier.....
>>
>> Dave
>
>Just a wee bit curious about something. If the FCC decides in 10 or
>15 years to eliminate all testing requirements for a "Ham" license,
>would you be in opposition to this policy? If your answer is in the
>affirmative, what would be your answer to a new age "ham" who might
>berate you for being an old fashioned intellectual elite snob?

One I would be in oppsititon to this sort of policy, but I
conceed some similarities. However the charge that those who suport
the then Old order as intelectuals, come on If such a movement comes
into to being I do hope for their sake they pick a better slur than
that, nobody in anything close to thier right minds is going to call
our testing procedure excessively intelectual. While I did and do
adknowledge some simlairities between the idea of NoCode and No Test,
there are big differences. One we test on rules rules knowledge in
part, something that will always be defendable by the FCC. Two we test
in part for things related to safety issues, this will also be
defendable as in the public interest. Three the current tests (i'll
not say what they are like in ten years) are not so hard as to be
burdensome on people. These three things mean that Code and the
written materail, are always going to treated differently since can
without code knowledge, operate legaly and safely, while assuring
legal and safe operation without any testing is much harder. That said
I am of the school that says , IN AN IDEAL WORLD that WE DO NOT have,
I would favor NoCode No Test, thus I view testing as a neccesary evil,
not as a good thing in it's own right. I do conceed it is possible to
chalenge the testing of anything more than a very minimal level, in a
legal sense, today. that is one CAN argue that much of the materail we
curently test for is not clearly within the power of the FCC. I do not
care to make that case, and I believe it can be beaten but a case can
be made

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz
Vice President SVRC

>
>
>
> Stax
>
> the nasty fear giver rides again.....

1340. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3879605D.96647FEC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Peter Lawton wrote:
> Perhaps you might agree that as a long-term goal, eventual disarmament
> of everybody, in every community or nation might be a worth-while aim?

You've got to be kidding. Then who would put duck and venison on
my table? The great majority of guns around here in East Texas are
hunting guns. I get my two deer limit almost every year. I'll have
to post a picture on my web page of my garage wall of deer horns.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1341. Re: hot pussy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <5kde4.424$pB4.9357@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 122317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ooch! Such an original put down. It must take you a while to come up with
ones like that!!!!!
KC8JBO wrote in message <85an1rmhu1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <0d4e4.13$kD4.1531@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>,
> "Cal25skipper" <Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:
>> hey sloop! your XYL been up to no good again!!!!
>
>Yeah, but I get 80%. Not like your XYL, who goes elsewhere because
>she's tired of being married to an "itssy bitssy man."
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|EM99
>Roger
>Yaesu FT-920
>Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>

1342. still haven't gotten an answer to this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <xsde4.425$pB4.9796@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 122318

I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a difference.

I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and buy a citizens band radio.

It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always produce a better idividual.

So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference, because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio services be?

Brent Scott
N5CLK
Austin, TX

1343. The Tango India Tango question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <38796577.43DA@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 122319

KC8JBO wrote:

Aaron wrote:

> >BTW, when you tell your dirty callsign jokes, how do you know that just
> >adults, and not children, are listening "on the air"??

KC8JBO suggests:

> Get a grip.

An interesting choice of words...;-)

> ...Are you so old that you've forgotten
> what it is to be young?

Well put Roger.
Aaron, do you picture little boys whispering

"Hey Billie. Wanna come over and see my dad's
QSL cards? One of them has the word TIT."

If you think something is wrong or vulgar, you teach
your kids that. You probably can't eliminate drug dealers
(for example) but you might be able to teach your kids
how to say no. Same with whatever your beliefs are about
things you consider vulgar. Teach them why you think
it's vulgar. The alternative is you could lock them
in the closet for 18 years.

Lumpy ab0gd

--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

1344. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3879641A.188D@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> Do you realize IF Hambo radio ended, all dem Hambo's would come back to &
> descend on my 27 MC band ! Where would we put them, on Sesame street? Or
> channel 9 ? I say PUT them on 9 where they can do some good !!!!
> Dave!!!!
Dave, you still can't pass 5 wpm much less General, stay with 27 MHz,
1.8 MHZ to 29.700 MHZ in 9 HF bands and you would not know how to act.

1345. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3879646F.4172@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > 73 de Martin N5PZJ (advanced soon to be Extra) EXTRA WHAT?
>
> Last week I couldn't spell Extra and this week I *are*
> one???
Thank God for the FCC

1346. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <gmpi7sg7rg52jaa6llm6scpks2kgtuvuh4@4ax.com>
Source record: 122322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 10 Jan 2000 04:32:28 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
>>
>> On Sun, 9 Jan 2000, Tom W8JI wrote:
>>
>> > On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 22:24:50 -0800, "Daniel J. Morlan"
>> > <dmorlan@ca.freei.net> wrote:

>> No, no, no....I wasn't recommending that a LICENSE be forged. Just put a
>> piece of paper with some bogus writing in a nice frame, hang it on the
>> wall by the expensive rig (I didn't say that he needed to USE the radio),
>> and then the gentleman can have ALL of the satisfaction which he appears
>> to derive from his amateur radio license: A FANCY, OFFICIAL-LOOKING
>> L_A_B_E_L, nothing more.
>
>
> Hey! You've just described very accurately what all the
>Novextras will have after April 15!! Good work!

I guess you did not notice that is all you will as well, I am
sorry to take away what must about your only source of self pride.
That no one will be able to tel that suffered tough those hard exams
after crawling on broken glass up hill both ways to sit before the FCC
dragons and prove your what everhood

1347. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38796E14.10E51FA6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
> How is CW any different than the other tests?
> They give you all the dits and dots and you simply memorize 'em...
> Just like the other tests.................

No, no, no, the radical PCTA folk develop Morse code from
first principles for the exam.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1348. Re: What is MIL-SPEC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: twillis@postoffice.pacbell.net
Message-ID: <38798147.79052F4C@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 122324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur

MIL-SPEC means military specification. The dept of defense has very strict specifications regarding all aspects of a product's performance. Anybody that manufactures items for the military must meet the specs for their type product. The spec might mean that the product is strong and rugged, or that it will interface with other military systems, or that it will fit in a specific pocket on a infantryman's uniform. You have to read the exact specification before you can determine whether or not it is worth the ink it is printed with. Call the manufacturer and ask for a copy of the spec or if you are in a city with a large library they may have access to a book of specifications.

1349. Re: What is MIL-SPEC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelj@networktel.net>
Message-ID: <Unee4.97$G3.10555@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 122325

Jimmy,

MIL-SPEC is short for Military Specifications. The unit was built to very demanding specifications set forth by the Dept of Defense. This usually means the unit will perform under very adverse conditions.

Mike
<test_132@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:85bj9i$ab6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I see a lot of HT's nowadays (including my IC-Q7A) that are advertised
> as "Meeting MIL STD 810 C/D/E for shock/vibration" Although I know
> this means it's built strong and rugged, I've always wondered exactly
> what qualifies a radio as meeting this standard. Any ideas?
>
> Thanks
>
> Jimmy
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1350. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boba Fett <bgv@li.net>
Message-ID: <VAPe4.26276$W2.352380@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 122326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This sounds like SOUR GRAPES!!!!!

njvets wrote in message ...
>Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
>guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
>the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the
FCC
>stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of
questionable
>integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST
and
>other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
>now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
>buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to,
and
>come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that
to
>me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
>smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
>definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
>persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
>volt battery and an oak tree?
>I don't.
>I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
>would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
>value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than
a
>parking ticket?
>Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
>K2TL
>
>

1351. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878BD39.D5B1CCD1@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>
> >I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a [CB] band where
> >I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know,
> >the insults, degradations, threats, etc.).
>
> Is your CB handle gonna be "Boob"? It would fit. Someone who chooses
> "tit" for a Vanity call sign shouldn't complain about garbage mouth hams.
> Garbage mouth or garbage mouth call? No difference. Believe it or not,
> ham radio was once a family rated hobby...

Ah, come on! Even five year olds know what a "tit" is. Nothing
obscene about it.

--
Michael Moore

1352. Re: A Modest proposal, or BUY the ham bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85aj3fjtf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <B49D29D4.1BFC%bromo@ix.netcom.com>,
Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> in article 3877d957.16533291@news.pangea.ca, imnot@hame.ca at
imnot@hame.ca
> wrote on 01.08.2000 16.42:
>
> > Why buy what you already have
> >
> >
> > On Sat, 08 Jan 2000 10:12:35 -0800, Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia
> > <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> >> I have an interesting idea: If it were possible to BUY the
shortwave bands
> >> and then use it for our hobby - I wonder how much $$$ that would
raise?
> >>
> >
>
> This is a rhetorical exercise: Since something is worth what you are
> willing to pay for it - say if every ham were willing to pay $500 to
> use the bands, you could quickly come up with a dollar amount that
would
> correspond to how much the community valued the bands.
>
> That would set a market price, per se. I was just curious how much
the
> community valued the bands. I figure some of the "prime" bands might
be
> worth more than some of the other ones, and so on.
>
> Since the FCC is going to be allocating more and more to private
business,
> it might be good to figure out which bands were "worth" the most to
us and
> then target the less "valued" ones for reallocation if it was a
question of
> lose band A or B. Or if all bands used were more valuable to the
HAMs than
> any other sort of company - then there would be built in protection
AND that
> the overall utility and economic efficiency would be lowered by such a
> reallocation!

>
> Of course for this to go to fruition, somehow the actual $$$ and
values of
> discoveries would have to be held in escrow or something to keep
people from
> inflating the value to them -- like a typical HAM might be willing to
pay
> $500 to use 10m, but only $5 to use 220MHz. (The equipment people
buy would
> also count towards this as they are in essence "paying" for the bands
by
> buying equipment). Also the value of contributions to other
companies and
> people would have to be valued also in the pro-HAM arena so we aren't
placed
> at any real disadvantage, I think. It would also give everyone a real
> concrete understanding of Amateur radio's contributions and also the
> seriousness we take the "hobby" (avocation is more like it! ;))
>
> Under a system like this, also, there would be real incentive to get
people
> involved on all the bands, since involvement would increase the value
and
> hence, protection of the bands. You wouldn't find people trying to
keep
> people out of amateur radio since there would be a huge disincentive
to do
> so in the form of fewer hams, fewer virtual $$$ towards band
allocation and
> the eventual reallocation of the bands to others that would value it
more.
> Entry tests would be more tests that would allow the person to use
the bands
> and understand electronics.
> Just a modest proposal... :)
>
>
Well thought out position, however.....what gives the FCC the RIGHT to
sell what they don't own? The radio spectrum is just like the
atmosphere that surrounds our planet. Does that give the "government"
the right to SELL AIR? I don't think so. What I think should happen
is we ALL (HAMS) get together and take the FCC to court for selling
what they don't own.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1353. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85aj5tjtn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122334

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote in message ...
>Aaron Jones wrote:
>>
>> Gee Kim, name calling so early in the joust? Many of the regular
(decent)
>> posters have called me worse. I would think that someone with a
vulgar call
>> could have outdone them...
>>
>
>See? Now you get to the real point, which is that you think this is a
>joust. You pompous baffoon. OH, by the way...you want to know what
>phonetics I use on the air for this vulgar callsign? With adults and
if
>I feel they will find the humor in it, I use "two in tow"

LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1354. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85aj97jva1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122335

Braindead
Aaron Jones wrote in message <8f3e4.7$q6.1063@news.ntr.net>...
>W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>>you want to know what
>>phonetics I use on the air for this vulgar callsign? With adults and
if
>>I feel they will find the humor in it, I use "two in tow"
>
>I get it. Two long pendulous tits in tow. How degrading. Are you
>referring to yourself? And you said your call wasn't a dirty joke...
>
>BTW, when you tell your dirty callsign jokes, how do you know that just
>adults, and not children, are listening "on the air"??

Get a grip. Are you so old that you've forgotten what it is to be
young?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1355. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ajdg$k04$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122336

Aaron Jones wrote in message ...
>Michael Moore wrote
>>What is vulgar about "tit"? I guess
>>these are the same folk who make it unlawful for young women to
>>go topless.
>
>Only *young* women for topless exposure in family settings? What
>about Kim? You'll find her picture on qrz.com. Check it out and
>then come back and tell us if you want Kim topless on ham radio...
>
>Everything has it's place. That place is not always on ham radio.

I would perfer Kim to be topless than YOU.
Ever been to Europe? In Zurich, there is a dock for sunbathing, and
they do go topless. It's right in the middle of town, on the river.
Nobody pays any attention to it, it's no big deal.
And there are other places in Europe and the U.S.that way as well.
You are so set in your archaic ways that nobody can reason with you.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1356. Re: K2ECA , PORKBUTTS BUDDY "Never Qrmed"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ajma$k9p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122337

In article <85afoq$hi1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> Can you believe it, K2ECA, Porkbutts sidekick, is now saying he never
> qrmed. He was one of the biggest qrmers on 3894.5 , 3901, 14313, for
> years. Now he claims to be a angel. What a pile of bull crap!!
>
> Rileygotchu

Hmmm, sort of like the years YOU qrmed, huh, Polly?
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1357. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <8f3e4.7$q6.1063@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>you want to know what
>phonetics I use on the air for this vulgar callsign? With adults and if
>I feel they will find the humor in it, I use "two in tow"

I get it. Two long pendulous tits in tow. How degrading. Are you
referring to yourself? And you said your call wasn't a dirty joke...

BTW, when you tell your dirty callsign jokes, how do you know that just
adults, and not children, are listening "on the air"??

1358. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <af3e4.9$q6.1063@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

mdwade wrote
>To each his or her own, darn if they can't take a joke,

See Kim, even mdwade knows your call is a (dirty) joke...

>stay off the air and if you don't like my driving,

I won't give up ham radio just because of the garbage mouths on there, and I won't give up commenting on them either...

1359. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <cf3e4.11$q6.1063@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote
>Aaron Jones wrote:
>If it weren't for tits, there wouldn't be any mammal families,
>including homo sapien families.

Ah, but we're not talking about body parts, we're talking about language and how it is used. If there were no sexual intercourse there also would be no homo sapien families. Does that make it OK to use the word "F***ing" in a family setting like ham radio...

1360. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878BE7B.729EEE81@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> mdwade wrote
> >and by the way W5TIT only means
> >what you want it to mean.
>
> That's what Kim wanted it to mean. She said so here. Those people who use
> the word on their t-shirts (in public) also leave little doubt what they
> mean.
>
> >why don't we...change the name of...The Grand Teton
>
> In a polite society there are verbal standards. "Tit" is recognized as
> vulgar slang. It is not unusual in the locker room, but is (was) usually off
> limits in a mixed family setting such as ham radio. Breast and Teton are
> legitimate words when used in the correct setting. It's not the body part
> that's vulgar, it's how, and in what context, the word for it is used...

Get real! Tit, p-p, etc., all words to be used by children. The
only thing that is vulgar here are the minds of *some* old men.

--
Michael Moore

1361. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878C2D8.F5E5FCEC@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> mdwade wrote
> >Don't be so uptight, W5TiT is welcome to her form of expression
>
> For her it's expression, and for me it's being "uptight"?? Double
> standard...
>
> >I will voice my opinion but look at this as amusement.
>
> It is *adult* amusement. But ham radio is (was) for the whole family, not
> just the adults. It's just another symptom of the increasing garbage on the
> bands...

I disagree. It is amusement solely for the kids -- just like
farting. Personally, I don't find it humorous. And, only a few
old men find it vulgar. (If we spell it "teat", then it is not
vulgar -- how about W5TEAT?)

I could understand that this was how these *old* men were raised,
but how do they continue with these views about vulgarity? What
is the logic behind it?. What is vulgar about "tit"? I guess
these are the same folk who make it unlawful for young women to
go topless.
It's not about family values, it's about the prejudice of *some*
old men.

--
Michael Moore

1362. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878C359.7FBFD69D@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" wrote:
> You know, Viagra user?

ROTFLMAO!

--
Michael Moore

1363. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Mv3e4.15$q6.1160@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote
>Even five year olds know what a "tit" is. Nothing
>obscene about it.

The body part not obscene. The word is inappropriate in certain settings. I'm sure my grandkids use it on the playground, but not in the classroom, if they know what's good for them. There is a time and place for locker room language, and ham radio is not it...

1364. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <CJ3e4.17$q6.1177@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote
>What is vulgar about "tit"? I guess
>these are the same folk who make it unlawful for young women to
>go topless.

Only *young* women for topless exposure in family settings? What
about Kim? You'll find her picture on qrz.com. Check it out and
then come back and tell us if you want Kim topless on ham radio...

Everything has it's place. That place is not always on ham radio.

1365. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878C6A5.894CF9F9@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
> >you want to know what
> >phonetics I use on the air for this vulgar callsign? With adults and if
> >I feel they will find the humor in it, I use "two in tow"
>
> I get it. Two long pendulous tits in tow. How degrading. Are you
> referring to yourself? And you said your call wasn't a dirty joke...

Aren't you worried that children, who can be found on the
usenet/newsgroups/Internet will read what you write? Are you
trying to destroy the family setting of the Internet?

--
Michael Moore

1366. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3878B8E3.42D9@no.net>
Source record: 122347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > mdwade wrote
> > >Don't be so uptight, W5TiT is welcome to her form of expression
> >
> > For her it's expression, and for me it's being "uptight"?? Double
> > standard...
> >
> > >I will voice my opinion but look at this as amusement.
> >
> > It is *adult* amusement. But ham radio is (was) for the whole family, not
> > just the adults. It's just another symptom of the increasing garbage on the
> > bands...
>
> I disagree. It is amusement solely for the kids -- just like
> farting. Personally, I don't find it humorous. And, only a few
> old men find it vulgar. (If we spell it "teat", then it is not
> vulgar -- how about W5TEAT?)
>
> I could understand that this was how these *old* men were raised,
> but how do they continue with these views about vulgarity? What
> is the logic behind it?. What is vulgar about "tit"? I guess
> these are the same folk who make it unlawful for young women to
> go topless.
> It's not about family values, it's about the prejudice of *some*
> old men.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

I think this thread proves what the subject line says, the end of ham
radio, and the beginning of the new cb bands.

1367. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sasquatch <Sasquatch-Trucking@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <85ahcrnrq1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tango India Tango, jeez Louise, get a grip
"Michael Moore" <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:3878BE7B.729EEE81@NOSPAMutoronto.ca...
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > mdwade wrote
> > >and by the way W5TIT only means
> > >what you want it to mean.
> >
> > That's what Kim wanted it to mean. She said so here. Those people who
use
> > the word on their t-shirts (in public) also leave little doubt what they
> > mean.
> >
> > >why don't we...change the name of...The Grand Teton
> >
> > In a polite society there are verbal standards. "Tit" is recognized as
> > vulgar slang. It is not unusual in the locker room, but is (was) usually
off
> > limits in a mixed family setting such as ham radio. Breast and Teton are
> > legitimate words when used in the correct setting. It's not the body
part
> > that's vulgar, it's how, and in what context, the word for it is used...
>
> Get real! Tit, p-p, etc., all words to be used by children. The
> only thing that is vulgar here are the minds of *some* old men.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

1368. Re: W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: doofusjammer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109124407.20900.00000980@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 122349

TH TH THEY WILLLL NNNNEVV-VVERRR CATCHHHHH MMMMEEEE SSSSTEEEE-VIEEEE BBOYYYYY I
WILLLL ALLLLL-WAYSSSS BEEEE THERRRREEE FORRRR TH TH THEEE GREATTT
LIBBB-BBBER-TTTYYY NNNETTT

1369. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <B4A77C29B783D349.3A9FD1513D6A408C.8C46696F0D029AE6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > mdwade wrote
> > >and by the way W5TIT only means
> > >what you want it to mean.
> >
> > That's what Kim wanted it to mean. She said so here. Those people who use
> > the word on their t-shirts (in public) also leave little doubt what they
> > mean.
> >
> > >why don't we...change the name of...The Grand Teton
> >
> > In a polite society there are verbal standards. "Tit" is recognized as
> > vulgar slang. It is not unusual in the locker room, but is (was) usually off
> > limits in a mixed family setting such as ham radio. Breast and Teton are
> > legitimate words when used in the correct setting. It's not the body part
> > that's vulgar, it's how, and in what context, the word for it is used...
>
> Get real! Tit, p-p, etc., all words to be used by children. The
> only thing that is vulgar here are the minds of *some* old men.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

Sheesh, why didn't *I* think of that. That's exactly right, Michael.
Vulgar is in the mind...or lack thereof. Thank you.

73 W5TIT

1370. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878CAF4.AAE4C691@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
> What about Kim? You'll find her picture on qrz.com. Check it out and
> then come back and tell us if you want Kim topless on ham radio...

I checked it out. So, what's wrong with Kim?

--
Michael Moore

1371. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878C941.521DFFA4@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Michael Moore wrote
> >What is vulgar about "tit"? I guess
> >these are the same folk who make it unlawful for young women to
> >go topless.
>
> Only *young* women for topless exposure in family settings? What
> about Kim? You'll find her picture on qrz.com. Check it out and
> then come back and tell us if you want Kim topless on ham radio...
>
> Everything has it's place. That place is not always on ham radio.

First, if Kim went "topless" on radio, would you know?
It's up to a woman (young or old) to decide on toplessness, etc.
It's not up to men, regardless of whether they approve or not.

--
Michael Moore

1372. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Za4e4.18$q6.1414@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote
>Are you trying to destroy the family setting of the Internet?

Now you're being silly Michael. Un-moderated (anything goes) Usenet is quite different from ham radio. I'm hoping it stays that way...

1373. Re: hot pussy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <0d4e4.13$kD4.1531@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 122354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hey sloop! your XYL been up to no good again!!!!

1374. Re: Callsign xxTIT (was END of Ham Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <ka5s@saber.net>
Message-ID: <3878CD5E.76DB1B20@saber.net>
Source record: 122355

Kim,

Were you able to get Amateur Radio license plates?

Some states are very picky about what goes on plates.

Cheers,

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" wrote:

> ...I used my callsign, W-5-T-I-T. IF no big deal is made over it, it
> goes right over the heads of even
> adults...that's the "neat" thing about it!

1375. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85amt5$m8f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122356

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote in message
<70E1DA7AC7D8C566.23C48C5CBC2325E4.75893B1BC8C3F704@lp.airnews.net>...
>Sasquatch wrote:
>>
>> Tango India Tango, jeez Louise, get a grip
>
>No, I made the comment to Aaron that, when I feel the situation allows,
>I have (twice...once on 2M and once on 10M) used the phonetics "two in
>tow." Now, that a kid could distinguish my humor does not mean that
I
>am the one who has given him that knowledge...but Aaron seems to think
>so...
>
>But, you're right about Aaron needing to get a grip...maybe that is
what
>his problem is...no grip, nothing TO grip. Now, THAT, Aaron, was
>"implied" vulgarity. Do you get it?
>
>73, Kim W5TIT

ROFLMAO!
Maybe Aaron and Cal25Skipper should get together. They both lack any
intelligence or anything else.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1376. Re: hot pussy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85an1rmhu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <0d4e4.13$kD4.1531@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>,
"Cal25skipper" <Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:
> hey sloop! your XYL been up to no good again!!!!

Yeah, but I get 80%. Not like your XYL, who goes elsewhere because
she's tired of being married to an "itssy bitssy man."

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1377. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <70E1DA7AC7D8C566.23C48C5CBC2325E4.75893B1BC8C3F704@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sasquatch wrote:
>
> Tango India Tango, jeez Louise, get a grip

No, I made the comment to Aaron that, when I feel the situation allows, I have (twice...once on 2M and once on 10M) used the phonetics "two in tow." Now, that a kid could distinguish my humor does not mean that *I* am the one who has given him that knowledge...but Aaron seems to think so...

But, you're right about Aaron needing to get a grip...maybe that is what his problem is...no grip, nothing TO grip. Now, THAT, Aaron, was "implied" vulgarity. Do you get it?

73, Kim W5TIT

1378. Re: Callsign xxTIT (was END of Ham Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AAA81CDD76EFC410.F43439E43801D0FF.AD5863FEA52DEBC1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122359

Cortland Richmond wrote:
>
> Kim,
>
> Were you able to get Amateur Radio license plates?
>
> Some states are very picky about what goes on plates.
>
> Cheers,
>
> Cortland
> (ka5s@saber.net)
>

Haven't gotten them yet, with this call (procrastination I am the QUEEN of). I still have my old callsign plates. If I am still on the NG when I switch, I will let you know.

Kim W5TIT

1379. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85ajdbbdk1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why bother learning electronics...just wait till the FCC drops the
requirement to learn it. Some people have trouble with it and its not fair
to make them feel bad.

de Tim K0FL

Leland C. Scott wrote in message <85975p$d23@nnrp3.farm.idt.net>...
>
>"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:856o8deef1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net...
>> Well, with the new R&O changes, I'm studying the question pools to get
the
>> CSCEs for my extra (I have 5wpm, and general theory already done as I
have
>a
>> pre 1987 tech). Think I know enough to pass, but I can't seem to
>calculate
>> correctly "Q" given the frequency, inductance, and resistance of a
>circuit.
>>
>> I *thought* the equasion for the reactance of an inductance is:
>>
>> Xl = 2pi*f*L
>>
>> and the equasion for Q:
>>
>> Q = X/r
>>
>> Combined:
>>
>> Q = (2 pi *f*L)/r
>>
>> Which yields wrong answers! :-(
>>
>> What did I screw up?
>
>It makes a difference if the circuit in question is a parallel or series
>circuit. The equations you used are correct for a series connection of a
>resistor and an inductance. For a parallel connection the equation is
>different.
>
>For a parallel connection of X and R you get:
>

>Z=1/[(1/R)+(1/X*i)] where i=sqrt(-1) and X=2*Pi*F*L
>
>Z=[(R*X^2)/(X^2+R^2)]+i*[(R^2*X)/(X^2+R^2)]
>
>Now the part multiplied by "i" is the equivalent inductive reactance (Xeq)
>of the parallel circuit, and the remaining part is the equivalent resistive
>(Req) part.
>
>The Q is still calculated by X/R, but in the parallel case it is Xeq/Req,
>which yields
>R/X, for the paralleled inductive reactance and resistance.
>
>You can also look up the above equation (#15) in the 1999 ARRL HB on page
>13.5.
>
>Good luck on your exam!
>--
>73's,
>
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>(Just another dumb No-Code Tech "Elmering" another almost-Extra)
>
>ARRL member
>NCI member
>
>"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
>Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
>communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
>Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
>Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
>transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
>functions."
>
>
>

1380. Re: Mods for Radio Shack 10 meter HTX-10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0901001220460001@209.163.129.52>
Source record: 122361

In article <4cdv6s0nbqe0dstcabje8huchrbi9qeetu@4ax.com>,
Minnesota_Murphy@NoSpam.mailcity.com wrote:

> Look at your group of people, tell me I'm wrong about death of ham.
> It's on the horizon, you can see it.
> Your gonna lose the freqs. to more Family radio,cell, fax.
> What about the modifications to the two meters that is in violation,
> for transmit below and above two meter band.
> or youve never done that?
> Don't play in the water if you want to stay dry.
> "The inabilities of some, lead to excellence in others"
>
> On Sun, 02 Jan 2000 10:55:24 -0800, "William F. Hagen"
> <whagen@inreach.com> wrote:
>
Yea, No One here "ever" breaks a law? speeding in your car, (a differance
right?)
cheating on your taxes
taking a few "nuts and bolts" (paper clips,pencils) from work
twice on other posts, people who do not know me have insinuated I may be a
theif,
or a jammer...etc. simply for stating my opinion. (admittedly gruff)
i also asked for a mod to my IC 761 for 11 meter, to save myself the 70
mile drive to have it done at an Amateur Radio Store. (for which they
charge a mere $40.00) Is it illegal? did not know that.

I could not see using other freqs. to talk to the locals out here (guess I
could force them to become hams, or ignore them because they are not), or
to converse with my wife while she is in her car. For this I should buy
seperate equipment? Why? How many times have you'all used more power than
neccassary to have a qso? (never I'm sure...holier than thou)

I have learned from this article one thing: drive the 70 miles.

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

1381. B-U-I-L-D Y-O-U-R O-W-N C-A-B-L-E B-O-X..... 108

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: fvjlol@sdfsdf.com
Message-ID: <vk4e4.53782$J%4.49640@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122362

LEGAL C`A`B`L`E TV D`E-S`C`R`A`M`B`L`E`R

Want to watch Sporting Events?--Movies?--Pay-Per-View??....FREE!!!!

*This is the Famous R-O Shack TV D-e-s-c-r-a-m-b-l-e-r
You can assemble it from Radio Shack parts for about $12 to $15.

We Send You:
1, E-Z To follow Assembly Instructions.
2. E-Z To read Original Drawings.
3. Total Parts List.

**** PLUS SOMETHING NEW YOU MUST HAVE! ****
Something you can't do without.

THE UP-TO-DATE 6 PAGE REPORT:
USING A D-E-S-C-R-A-M-B-L-E-R LEGALLY

Warning: You should not build a TV D-e-s-c-r-a-m-b-l-e-r
without reading this report first.

You get the complete 6 page report
and instruction package including
the instruction plans, the easy to
follow diagram, and most important
of all the "Using a D`e`s`c`r`a`m`b`l`e`r
LEGALLY Report all for just--$10.00

Fill out form below and send it,
along with your $10.00 payment to:

C.a.b.l.e.t.r.o.n FREE-TV
12187 S. Orange Blossom Trail #116
Orlando Fl 32837

(Cash, Check or Money Order.)
(Florida residents include 7% Florida State Sales Tax)
(All orders outside the U.S.A. add $5.00)

PRINT YOUR:

NAME_____

ADDRESS_____

CITY/STATE/ZIP_____

E-MAIl ADDRESS_____

rtg45t34t43t34t34t43t34t34t34t34t34t34t34
tcqigwpqezyldfcgryzxhmvtewpvcvvuhikozmfoxcpfbxritqdhfscystkexxzkmurnskbdbkrtspotdvxuwvzlrq
jguyklncwzcszpqmqzuhrtvcvgbwlmfdxtmbyhnqjvslyhwxwoybxsewgkiukjlqmpn

1382. Re: CB / HF Gateway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-0901001233020001@209.163.129.52>
Source record: 122363

In article <84u8h1uef1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Quux <ou812icu812@netscape.net> wrote:

> When can we set up a CB / HF Gateway?
>
> This way, Smokey and the Bandit and all them Good Buddies could
> start yapping with the fine folks on HF! I mean , this could be
> just the thing the community needs to bring _all_ the radio operators
> into one huge brotherhood. Knowledge could be spread around freely,
> and seasoned HAMs could help the CB'ers with their test questions
> and general RF theory. The CB folks could rub off and get the HF
> bands to get that 'CB sound' to 'em...you know, 10-4, What's yer
> 20?, Hey! Yer signel's cummin'n in _real_ good...'mon back?
>
> This is going to be one neat century!!!
>
> 73's and 8's and where's that Radio Shack catalog?!?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

DAMMIT' BOY!!! (truckers will understand)
got both in my big truck... I could help:-)

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

1383. Re: Who sent comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3878D0E4.5D00@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JM wrote:
>
> to the FCC about license restructuring when they requested such. I'll
> bet NO ONE on any newsgroup did, did you. You all thought the ARRL
> would do it for you. You had your chance, you didn't take it, this is
> what happened. Blame no one but yourselves. So shut up about it
> already. John N0EE
I SENT COMMENTS, LISTED UNDER 'RACES' BUT DID AGREE TO RELAXATION OF
CODE. MADE MY VOICE KNOWN. YOUR HUMBLE POSTER MARTIN D. WADE N5PZJ

1384. FA: Kenwood TR-2200A 2-Meter FM Transceiver (NO RESERVE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "fly1200@hotmail.com" <fly1200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3878D37A.3296FAC9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FOR AUCTION: Kenwood TR-2200A 2-Meter FM Transceiver (NO RESERVE).
Auction information available at:

http://auctions.yahoo.com/auction/15137563

1385. FA: Realistic Pro-38 Handheld Radio Scanner (NO RESERVE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "fly1200@hotmail.com" <fly1200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3878D3D1.B5EE3BA7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FOR AUCTION: Realistic Pro-38 Handheld Radio Scanner (NO RESERVE).
Auction information available at:

http://auctions.yahoo.com/auction/15140905

1386. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <cA4e4.21$q6.1592@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>I have (twice...once on 2M and once on 10M) used the phonetics "two in
>tow."

Backtracking now? Since you counted the times you did it, you obviously knew the vulgar nature of your on the air dirty jokes...

>Now, that a kid could distinguish my humor does not mean that *I*
>am the one who has given him that knowledge...

Most kids already know most of the dirty words. That doesn't mean responsible adults should use those words in front of them in a ham radio setting...

1387. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <fA4e4.24$q6.1592@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote
>It's up to a woman (young or old) to decide on toplessness, etc.
>It's not up to men, regardless of whether they approve or not.

I think when you said *young* women should be able to go topless, you pretty well showed where your mind was...

1388. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <gA4e4.25$q6.1592@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>Vulgar is in the mind...or lack thereof.

Also, decency is in the mind, or lack therof...

1389. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3878D7E9.54E747E0@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 122370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Michael Moore wrote
> >It's up to a woman (young or old) to decide on toplessness, etc.
> >It's not up to men, regardless of whether they approve or not.
>
> I think when you said *young* women should be able to go topless, you pretty
> well showed where your mind was...

Admittedly, I like topless young women. And, heck, if society
didn't make it illegal and irrationally treat it as "dirty", then
I would see more of them. No, I'm not interested in the
professionals. So there, that's where my mind is. Nonetheless,
regardless of your desire or mine, I do not think that *men*
should be deciding whether women should be allowed to go
topless. Let them decide for themselves.

--
Michael Moore

1390. Re: The REAL Threat; Was: Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <85al1e$bu4e$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe Schlatter" <w4hh@3wave.com> wrote in message
news:sq%d4.13131$7L.536810@tw11.nn.bcandid.com...
> Mr. Davies:
>
> Visit this URL. There you will find the real threat revealed:
>
> http://members.tripod.com/sonoguy/recon.html
> --

Excellent work! It's not the black helicopters we have to listen to, it's
the damn clop-clop of the invading Amish army!

Donald Reeve

1391. Re: 1912 (was: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: yanddhill@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29213-3878D5C4-55@storefull-218.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122372

OK FOLKS, What's real now in regards to radio is: You can't fix these STORE bought items any more. You don't need the knowledge as back in early radio. My big stumble block is the 13 word code to advance to GENERAL. I'am not saying to delete the code, NO WAY. I wish it was easier, like radio groups have a bigger hand in helping to pass the test. I already passed the written once, it wasn't hard with a little study. By the way, I want to mention that the Monterey area radio fans are now using the old ARMY radio station at FORT ORD, taken over by the Parks and Rec's Dept. A great place for radio work and study.

1392. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3878DBB9.380B@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> mdwade wrote
> >To each his or her own, darn if they can't take a joke,
>
> See Kim, even mdwade knows your call is a (dirty) joke...
>
> >stay off the air and if you don't like my driving,
>
> I won't give up ham radio just because of the garbage mouths on there, and I
> won't give up commenting on them either...
Man, your legend in your own mind, give it a rest.
Martin

1393. Re: 6 Meter Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: f1dfr@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <85aqfhomg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122374

In article <20000107115348.00763.00000442@ng-ck1.aol.com>,
srmbj@aol.com (Srmbj) wrote:
> Any suggestions for a 6 meter mobile antenna? I was told that a 48" 2
meter
> 5/8 wave antenna is equal to a 6 meter 1/4 wave antenna. Is this a
way to go
> or should I look for a dedicated 6 meter antenna?
>
> Thanks,
> Joe
>

There is a COMET mobile antenna model CA-285 (length=1.32 m) which is
for 50 MHz (0 dB) and 144 MHz (3.4 dB). Pmax=300 W . Weight=270 g

JM F1DFR

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1394. Re: New License Classes - Why Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: yanddhill@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29214-3878D7E8-17@storefull-218.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122375

Alright Lumby, I'am struggling on the General 13 WPM now, but I wouldn't like to see the code requirment eliminated. It holds a certain aire of accomplishment ingetting there, it also keeps the clowns out. Ever hear of C.B What happen there. Don't want that to ever happen to Amateur Radio. I'am also interested in the code club, don't know the term they use, who use as little of power to transmit code on 20 meters.
DC

1395. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: yanddhill@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29213-3878D980-56@storefull-218.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122376

I thought HAM's were above all gentlemen.
Where are your manners???
Are OUS HAM'S forgetting that we are examples for the rest of the
World???

1396. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: yanddhill@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29213-3878DCB1-57@storefull-218.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122377

KD6DZK
I HOLD TO THE CODE RULE, IT DOES SLOW DOWN THE NON-DEDCATED AND IN TIMES
OF EMERGANCIES IT BECOMES USEFUL.
D.C.

1397. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: yanddhill@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29214-3878DACD-18@storefull-218.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122378

Hey, nothing wrong with code, it's like a 2nd language. Like the man
said, it get out there wilth little power and equipment.
D.C.

1398. TRUCK DRIVING MORON,FRED ROLL,NU5M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85asio$q7d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122379

It looks like Mr Riley Hollingsworth is wise to the qming "Truck Driving Moron". Fred Roll NU5M. See Rain Report).I notice he has or will have, in his postal box, one letter about his activities on the ham bands. This is to be answered in thirty days by the moronic teamster. Lets hope he cleans up his act.

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1399. "14313 causes brain damage" Riley Hollingsworth..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85at7b$ql9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122380

It is now official! Amateurs checking in on, or even listening to 14313 on the twenty meter amateur radio service will develope "brain damage"!!! All this according to famous lawyer of the FCC, Mr Riley Hollingsworth!!

Some of the people who operated that frequency are already gone. Probably to insane asylums. BEWARE THE FREQUENCY OF 14313!!!! Some of them have developed elongated heads, turned into vibrator perverts, and are very reclusive in low income neighborhoods in the WVA mountains.

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1400. WTD YSK8100 seperation cable for Yaesu

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: JF <jfox@pathcom.com>
Message-ID: <85anmn$78e$1@newsmaster.pathcom.com>
Source record: 122381
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a YSK8100 separation cable
for a Yaesu 8100. If you have one for sale
please send me an email...

Thanks, Jim jfox@pathcom.com

1401. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <858vr8$a35$4@208.207.71.132>
Source record: 122382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >and more on elmering, encouragement and mutual respect than it does
> >now.
> >
> There are some cold hard pragmatic elements in the mix which support
> the ongoing use of cw, Ed. Phone band overcrowding and behavior
> issues, it's far less expensive to get on HF with cw than with ssb
> assuming qrp is acceptable to the individual which seems to
> increasingly be the case, the bottom-line superior communications
> effectiveness of cw over ssb particularly under poor/marginal condx,
> etc.
> >
> Dunno about anybody else in this NG but the only reason I greatly
> prefer HF cw to phone is because cw is 'way more **effective** and
> reliable for long-haul comms. I don't "love" cw, that's silly. I do cw
> simply because it works better on HF for amateur purposes and for no
> other reason. Jiggling code tests and Part 97 has no relationship at
> all with applied physics, in the end it's the realities of the KISS
> theory and physics which inevitably prevails.
>

Dang, Brian, you're preaching to the deaf here.....Dumb,
too.

1402. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dentronman <w9jcm@reno.quik.com>
Message-ID: <EDTd4.1035$b3.64625@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 122383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

hey dave why dont u go hang out on the cb group where u always post
Dave Hall wrote in message <38749439.E1F@worldlynx.net>...
>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> Dave Hall wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Dave Hall wrote:
>> > > > Now we finally are recognizing the pointlessness of requiring
advanced
>> > > > CW simply to access phone HF, and of course the old farts will
whine
>> > > > about the doom and gloom that it will bring.
>> > >
>> > > "We" are, huh? And everyone who holds a differing opinion is an "old
>> > > fart". You are just as I supposed you to be.
>> >
>> > And just what is that?
>>
>> A rather cocky and arrogant fellow with little to crow about. That
>> certainly doesn't stop your crowing though.
>
>Nor does it stop you.
>
>>
>> > >
>> > > As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys
that
>> > > > cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license
> that they hold.
>> > >
>> > > Dave, there is no moral nor any legal requirement for me to accept
>> > > anyone for who they are or what they are. I never had any problem
>> > > accepting anyone because of amateur radio license class. I'm not, on
>> > > the other hand, obligated to like someone.
>> >
>> > No, but you don't have the right to put someone down because they don't
>> > share your viewpoint of how things should be.
>>
>> Of course I have that right. I'm not held in bondage to political
>> correctness. You just did the same above by calling anyone who doesn't

>> share your view as an "old fart", did you not?
>
>No, I did NOT say that anyone that does not share *MY* personal views is
>an old fart. I said that anyone not willing to be open minded, tolerant
>and non-prejudicial, was an "old fart" Maybe I used the wrong word.
>Maybe I should have just said "Bigot". Look up the definition, and then
>try to tell me that many of these guys (including you it seems) do not
>fit the definition. And THEN tell me that I'm "arrogant". If the truth
>hurts that much, maybe you should re-evaluate your point of view.
>
>>
>> > There's a difference between "liking" someone, and tolerating someone.
>> > No one is suggesting that you give new a 5 WPM extra a big Barney hug,
>> > but he is entitled to be respected as a person in spit of the fact that
>> > he didn't have to endure the 20 WPM hazing ritual.
>>
>> There is certainly a difference. I am required to like nor tolerate no
>> one. No one is "entitled" to my respect. Your "hazing ritual" line is
>> another which I find offensive. I've decided to neither like you nor
>> tolerate you.
>
>Bummer! The world is full of people that may not agree with your view of
>things. I see it as you have 2 choices. Accept, tolerate, and
>understand, or stick your head in the sand and be miseable. Which will
>it be?
>
>As to liking me or not, that is your choice as well. I certainly won't
>lose sleep over it. As for tolerating me, well, as long as you continue
>to read, you'll have to tolerate me, and others, who don't share your
>views. That's life. Since your arguments thusfar have been based on
>opinion and emotion, you cannot "win" the debate. If you'd like to
>debate this on a factual basis, I'd be up for the challenge.
>
>
>>
>> > > I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
>> > > > stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel.
More
>> > > > room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress,
and
>> > > > who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.
>> > >
>> > > Again, anyone who holds a differing opinion from yours is a stuffed
>> > > shirt who should leave amateur radio and leave room for "us"--those
who
>> > > "don't have a close minded, bigoted and predjudicial (sic) attitude".
>>
>> > Anyone who is not willing to accept that things change, and be able to
>> > move on, without sour grapes, and a refusal to show "good will" to
>> > newcomers, IS a stuffed shirt. Again, you have NO right to prejudge

>> > anyone, based simply on their perceived "worthiness" (in your >
opinion).
>>
>> I've not decided to refuse "good will" to newcomers. I've decided not
>> your decree of who should sell equipment and throw in the towel. While
>> prejudice can be a bad thing, you weren't appointed arbiter of who is or
>> isn't prejudiced or of who should stay and who should leave amateur
>> radio (leaving more room for the "rest of us").
>
>I never implied that I was the all mighty "arbiter". The prejudice is
>blatently obvious in those who will not tolerate others' activities,
>simply because they don't fit into their own narrow viewpoints. Don't
>shoot me for being the messenger. I only speak what I see. Because you
>refuse to see it, or try to rationalize it any number of ways, does not
>mean that it isn't there. If it walks like a duck, swims like a duck,
>and quacks like a duck, it certainly ain't no chicken...
>
>Whether or not someone "throws in the towel" or not is their own choice.
>Personally, I'd rather see them leave the hobby in disgust rather than
>have to put up with their pompous, arrogant, superior egos, on the
>bands. That type of grandstanding does nothing good for the hobby. Get
>over it, move on, or move out. Simple as that.
>
>
>>
>> > If they pass the required FCC tests, they are entitled to the
privileges
>> > as hams, as set forth by the FCC.
>>
>> Agreed but I don't need to throw in a towel, sell my gear or to like any
>> one of them.
>
>That's up to you. Stay if you want, but don't preach to the newcomers
>how much "better" you are simply because you mastered an obsolete
>telegraphy skill. It won't mean much to the guy designing digital audio
>compression algorithms.
>
>>
>> > Bottom line: your opinion is irrelevant. The law is the law.
>>
>> Oops. You don't get to decide what is irrelevant and, in this case, the
>> regs are the regs.
>
>The regs say that new hams need only pass 5 WPM to become licensed for
>the whole anchilada. Therefore by extension, whether or not you believe
>that they are "real" hams or not is irrelevant. It's not up to me to
>decide it. The FCC already has.
>
>
>>

>> > >
>> > > Neither a license class nor a callsign will make you other than you
are.
>> >
>> > An open minded individual who is not afraid of change, and who welcomes
>> > the modernization of the ham radio service, and who has little sympathy
>> > for those who refuse to accept it.
>>
>> ...or, in my view, one who brays about his upcoming "gimme" as if he'd
>> just won the Nobel Prize.
>
>And we've alrady determined that what you think is irrelevant.
>
>>
>> > >
>> > > Strive to be mediocre!
>>
>> > Seems to have worked well for you.
>>
>> Dave, I've passed all the written exams and a 20 wpm code exam.
>
>Whoopie! That and buck will get you a cup of coffee and 2 hot dogs at
>the local mini-mart. It's a shame that all that testing and code skill
>haven't taught you to be more accepting of differing viewpoints.
>
>> I've never settled for mediocre in anything I've wanted to do in this
life
>> and I've never asked for anyone to make something easier for me because
>> I couldn't handle it.
>
>And if everyone thought like you, we'd still be shoeing horses, lighting
>flares at intersections, when we cross, as well as doing a lot of
>obsolete things. At some point, the rules have to change to track with
>improvements in technology. At some point, we have to acknowlege that
>morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
>at what point do we finally stop testing for it? Do we dogmatically
>continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
>Trekking around the galaxy? No one is demanding "easier access" to ham
>radio, just access that is more in line with the technology of TODAY.
>You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study
>for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.
>
>I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW. I
>refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that
>was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
>and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
>"hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
>I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
>it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"
>

>It's unfortunate that the FCC didn't revamp the theory tests. They are
>way too easy now. I passed all of the current the internet sample tests
>without even studying them first. But it seems that the FCC is mostly
>interested in making their administrative jobs easier.....
>
>Dave
>
>

1403. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <85908cnnd1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122384

In article <387801bb.394772370@nntp.one-eleven.net>,

>
> Why should it not be a problem, clearly an untrue statement
>since after all I have a license that permits the use of CW, and yet I
>personalyhave no such skill. The claim you are making here has not
>been true for at least 9-10 years now. I expect it was not true for
>some before that even. That is I firmly belive that even when it was a
>requirement for license holders that there were many that could not
>domtrate that skill.
>
>

People pass drivers examinations and show no propensity towards driving skill. Guess we should
dumb that down too.

Dale
KC8FAQ/20

1404. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <8591se$11i$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <s7g0is5poj865@corp.supernews.com>,
Tom Davis <tom@ratcliff.com> wrote:
>> where f is MHz, L in uH, and r ohms.
>>
>Q = (2pi f L)/r is correct for a *series* circuit.
>
>For a *parallel* circuit use Q = r/(2pi f L)
>
>--
Thanks for the advice. 73s....

1405. Re: WARNING: Hans K0HB doesn't play fair! Re: Amateur Restructuring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstan@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <38780e0b.397924809@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 122386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 8 Jan 2000 05:00:24 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>
>Is this how it's going to be? Complaining to ISPs instead of formulating
>NG counterarguments to responses made to your comments? Trying to muzzle
>your opponents by taking their comments out of context (you didn't even
>include the NG article containing your original comment in your complaint)
>is the most underhanded type of play. You should be ashamed of yourself.
>
>Jeff

Alas it seesm to be SOP round here, as well abuseive vulgar even
obsence "private" email sent to subject

1406. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <38780E95.F29AA3E2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote:

> > Nor does it stop you.
>
> You're starting to lose me on this, Dave. I wasn't the one doing the
> crowing.

If I remember correctly, you were one of the people making comments along the lines that people who become "new extras" would not be considered "real hams", or would be inferior. To me, that is the same as "crowing"

> > No, I did NOT say that anyone that does not share *MY* personal views is
> > an old fart. I said that anyone not willing to be open minded, tolerant
> > and non-prejudicial, was an "old fart" Maybe I used the wrong word.
>
> I think you certainly did. You started throwing terms like "old fart"
> to describe those who don't agree with your perspective on things.

Being open minded, tolerant, not being stuck in the past, non prejudicial and accepting of change is my perspective. If you wish to align yourself with people that are the opposite, then you are an "old fart". You may disagree with my perspective, and that is your right, but I believe that my viewpoint is likely to be more socially responsible.

>
> > Maybe I should have just said "Bigot". Look up the definition, and then
> > try to tell me that many of these guys (including you it seems) do not
> > fit the definition. And THEN tell me that I'm "arrogant". If the truth
> > hurts that much, maybe you should re-evaluate your point of view.
>
> If I don't think the FCC's move was a good one and that simply does not
> make me a bigot. It makes me someone with a very different opinion than
> yours. Oh...what "truth" are we discussing?

You can disagree with the FCC's decision, that's one thing . But if you look down your nose at people that have taken advantage of the FCC's "mistake", then you ARE being a bigot.
> >
> > Bummer! The world is full of people that may not agree with your view of
> > things.
>
> The world is also full of people who may agree with me.

Yes, and I'm sure that the members of the KKK think the same thing.
Because there may be a quantity of people that share your viewpoint,
does not mean that it's necessarily the correct one.

>
> I see it as you have 2 choices. Accept, tolerate, and
> > understand, or stick your head in the sand and be miseable. Which will
> > it be?
>
> Hell, Dave--I have many more choices than that. I do understand. I
> don't accept. I'm not sticking my head in the sand and I'm certainly
> not miserable. See, I don't have to go by your list.

By complaining about something, you are displaying your displeasure.
You are obviously bothered enough about this FCC deal to motivate you to
let your displeasure be known, even though it will not change anything.
Maybe you're not miserable, but you're far from happy.

>
> > As to liking me or not, that is your choice as well. I certainly won't
> > lose sleep over it. As for tolerating me, well, as long as you continue
> > to read, you'll have to tolerate me, and others, who don't share your
> > views. That's life. Since your arguments thusfar have been based on
> > opinion and emotion, you cannot "win" the debate. If you'd like to
> > debate this on a factual basis, I'd be up for the challenge.
>
> You don't seem to understand how things work. I not obliged to tolerate
> you. I can respond to your posts as I'm doing now. As to my opinion
> vs. your opinion--I like mine.

But you ARE tolerating me. By responding to this message, and continuing
this discourse, you are tolerating me to some small degree. If I can't
tolerate someone, I have to remove them from my presence, otherwise they
will cause me to get angry. I want nothing to do with an intolerable
person. I won't converse, or even allow myself to hear someone I can't
tolerate. I doubt if I make you *that* angry. I should not be that
important. Only people that matter should provoke strong emotions.

> > >
> > > I've not decided to refuse "good will" to newcomers. I've decided not
> > > your decree of who should sell equipment and throw in the towel. While
> > > prejudice can be a bad thing, you weren't appointed arbiter of who is or
> > > isn't prejudiced or of who should stay and who should leave amateur
> > > radio (leaving more room for the "rest of us").
> >
> > I never implied that I was the all mighty "arbiter". The prejudice is
> > blatently obvious in those who will not tolerate others' activities,
> > simply because they don't fit into their own narrow viewpoints. Don't
> > shoot me for being the messenger. I only speak what I see. Because you
> > refuse to see it, or try to rationalize it any number of ways, does not
> > mean that it isn't there. If it walks like a duck, swims like a duck,
> > and quacks like a duck, it certainly ain't no chicken...
>

> I'm beginning to see a pattern here. If my view doesn't coincide with
> yours, it's narrow, huh? You've used a bit too many metaphors for me.
> As I understand it, you're a messenger for something or other and your
> vision is of things swimming, walking and quacking which are not
> chickens. This is starting to read like the book of Revelations.

The pattern is that if you have nothing to say, that could deny the
statement, rip it apart for style.
The point is still valid that if "old time" hams cop an attitude with
newcomers, simply because of the new requirements, they are displaying
prejudice. If you fit into that group, then you are as well. If not,
then this doesn't apply to you.

>
> > Whether or not someone "throws in the towel" or not is their own choice.
> > Personally, I'd rather see them leave the hobby in disgust rather than
> > have to put up with their pompous, arrogant, superior egos, on the
> > bands. That type of grandstanding does nothing good for the hobby. Get
> > over it, move on, or move out. Simple as that.
>
> You're right. Getting out of amateur radio is an individual's own
> decision to make. I certainly don't feel that many will take such
> drastic action. If your inferiority complex doesn't allow you to
> coexist with those who don't share your opinion, don't expect others to
> vacate the bands just to salve your feelings. You see, no one is
> required to move on or move out.

I have no such inferiority complex. If someone cops an attitude with me,
they'd better be prepared for what comes back. And I'm not the one that
"expects" anyone to vacate the bands. I'm just repeating some of the
comments that I've heard. I can remember the "old farts" that I heard on
the air, belly-aching back when they started novice enhancement. They
couldn't STAND the fact that novices were getting phone privileges on 10
meters, 220 and 1296. Many of them stated that they were going to sell
any equipment that they had for those bands. They didn't want to
associate with novices. They felt that they were somehow "better" than a
novice. These same people pitched a bitch when the no-code was passed.
THESE are they types of people that I HOPE throw in the towel. I'm
sorry, but no one needs to be subjected to THAT kind of attitude. Ham
radio does not need people that discourage newbees. Ham radio needs more
elmers. I can't make these people leave, but I can HOPE that they get so
disgusted, that they leave on their own. *MY* feelings are not the
issue. Like I said, someone like that will get a verbal dressing down
from me if they cop that attitude. I'm just concerned about newcomers
becoming discouraged and leaving the hobby with the impression that
ALL hams are like that. I want to go on record as saying that I
welcome any newcomer, and will help them out as much as I can.

>
> > >
> > > > If they pass the required FCC tests, they are entitled to the privileges

> > > > as hams, as set forth by the FCC.
> > >
> > > Agreed but I don't need to throw in a towel, sell my gear or to like any
> > > one of them.
> >
> > That's up to you. Stay if you want, but don't preach to the newcomers
> > how much "better" you are simply because you mastered an obsolete
> > telegraphy skill. It won't mean much to the guy designing digital audio
> > compression algorithms.
>
> Thanks for permitting me to stay. I don't know if I'll be able to
> contain myself as far as the preaching if the guy designing the
> algorithms is a lid on the air.

And there it is. That little prejudice. You believe, deep down inside,
that lack of CW proficiency automatically makes this guy a "lid". You've
already formed an opinion before you even get to know them. THAT was
what I was talking about.

You'd just better be careful that this guy doesn't think that YOU'RE the
lid, for wasting time learning an obsolete telegraphy skill rather than
becoming proficient in modern digital communications techniques.

>
> > > > Bottom line: your opinion is irrelevant. The law is the law.
> > >
> > > Oops. You don't get to decide what is irrelevant and, in this case, the
> > > regs are the regs.
> >
> > The regs say that new hams need only pass 5 WPM to become licensed for
> > the whole anchilada. Therefore by extension, whether or not you believe
> > that they are "real" hams or not is irrelevant. It's not up to me to
> > decide it. The FCC already has.
>
> Anchildas must be some new Mexican dish with anchovies. I don't
> question whether anyone will be a real ham.

That's not what you were saying before.

> If an individual passes 5 wpm and the written exams, there'll be no doubt that the person
is
> as real as hams are ever going to get these days. What matters to me is that person's
on-air
> practice.

That's fine. But if you look up the guy's call first, and discover that
he's a "new general/extra", and then expect him to be a lid, you're not
being fair.

> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Neither a license class nor a callsign will make you other than you are.

> > > >
> > > > An open minded individual who is not afraid of change, and who welcomes
> > > > the modernization of the ham radio service, and who has little sympathy
> > > > for those who refuse to accept it.
> > >
> > > ...or, in my view, one who brays about his upcoming "gimme" as if he'd
> > > just won the Nobel Prize.
> >
> > And we've alrady determined that what you think is irrelevant.
>
> Again, you don't have the privelege of deciding that. What I think can
> only be irrelevant to you.

As far as the subject of whether someone is a "REAL" ham or not, you
opinion IS irrelevant, since the FCC (the only voice that matters) says
that they are.

> > > Dave, I've passed all the written exams and a 20 wpm code exam.
> >
> > Whoopie! That and buck will get you a cup of coffee and 2 hot dogs at
> > the local mini-mart. It's a shame that all that testing and code skill
> > haven't taught you to be more accepting of differing viewpoints.
>
> I have money for coffee and dogs. You seem to think that I should be
> keep mum on my feelings on the new changes in the regs. I'm not going to
> play the game of political correctness.

No problem, make your feelings known. But it's still a done deal, and
nothing you can say will change that. So any "venting" that you do is
nothing more than "sour grapes". So what remains is how you are going to
deal with the new people. Will you give them the shaft, and tell them
how "inferior" you think they are, or will you give them the benefit of
the doubt and help them in?

>
> > > I've never settled for mediocre in anything I've wanted to do in this life
> > > and I've never asked for anyone to make something easier for me because
> > > I couldn't handle it.
> >
> > And if everyone thought like you, we'd still be shoeing horses, lighting
> > flares at intersections, when we cross, as well as doing a lot of
> > obsolete things. At some point, the rules have to change to track with
> > improvements in technology.
>
> Oh, B.S.! CW was and is a viable and popular mode. It is still in wide
> use on the amateur bands. It doesn't matter a bit whether anyone in
> commercial services use it. We aren't commercial ops. Anyone whose
> license permits the use of CW should not have a problem demonstrating
> some skill in its use.

The only reason that CW is used at all is because we dogmatically
insisted on requiring it. If the commercial sector has abandoned it,

wouldn't you think that they had a good reason for it? I predict that
now that 5 WPM is the limit, that CW usage will drop off dramatically.
And THAT is what scares the "CW forever types" the most. Less usage
means that eventually the FCC will reduce band space for it.

>
> At some point, we have to acknowlege that
> > morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
> > at what point do we finally stop testing for it?
>
> A good point would be when its use is neglible. We aren't even close to
> that point yet.

Give it 10 years.

>
> Do we dogmatically
> > continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
> > Trekking around the galaxy?
>
> I don't think it'll matter a bit to either of us.
>
> No one is demanding "easier access" to ham
> > radio, just access that is more in line with the technology of TODAY.
>
> That is an outright falsehood.

Then prove it. Most of the people that I know are not looking for
handouts, we just want "modernization". Find me anyone that just wants a
handout.

> > I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW.
>
> ...and because the light never dawned for you personally, CW was, in
> your eyes, irrelevant.

And that's all that matters.

>
> > I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that
> > was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
> > and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
> > "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
> > I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
> > it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"
>
> Don't give me no jive about your "precious time". If you found time to
> study the theory, you could have nailed the code. I'd hate to think
> that I wasted eighteen years of something I wanted in order to wait for
> a "gimme".

Study? Who studied? I could pass the theory tests, drunk off my ass,
after 36 hours of no sleep. I live and work with this stuff. Code, was

not something that I needed, wanted, or had time for. I wasn't about to take time away from my many projects to learn a skill that I never intended to use. It makes no sense to do so.

>
> > It's unfortunate that the FCC didn't revamp the theory tests. They are
> > way too easy now. I passed all of the current the internet sample tests
> > without even studying them first. But it seems that the FCC is mostly
> > interested in making their administrative jobs easier.....
>
> Let's see how you progress.

I'll be an extra on April 16th.........

Dave

1407. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <38780F86.F83B4333@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steve wrote:
>
> In article <3875DFC3.98C@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> > Steve wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <84t248tm1@nina.pagesz.net>, henryj@nina.pagesz.net
> > > (George Conklin) wrote:
> > >
> > > > In article <3871E700.53AE@worldlynx.net>,
> > > > Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >The internet is TOO easy.
> > > >
> > > > I guess you think that because you don't know much about it
> > > > yet. Java has a very, very high learning curve and is very
> > > > complex.
> > >
> > > I agree. Using the Internet is fairly easy. But understanding how it
> > > works isn't. Doing something other than using various client apps, such
> > > as setting up a server, creating CGI scripts, developing Web apps and
> > > the like are far harder than getting any ham ticket and going out and
> > > buying the lastest Yeawooodcom radio and getting on the air.
> > >
> > > But Java has nothing directly to do with the Internet. It is a
> > > programming language. It can be used via the Internet or not, just like
> > > many other programming languages. You're far more likely to encounter
> > > Perl on the Internet than Java.
> >
> >
> > As far as my comment about the internet being too easy, I was refering
> > to it in the context of a communications medium, It does not take a java
> > whiz, or other programming skills to load some copy of voice chat
> > software into a mickey-soft computer and be able to "reach out and touch
> > someone".
> >
> > Like ham radio, the computer hobby has people that are users, and there
> > are the "geeks" that know the intricate details of TCP/IP, ATM, and
> > various other networking schemes.
> >
> > But as far as from a purely communications standpoint, communicating

> > world-wide on the internet is as easy as pointing and clicking. There is
> > virtually NO challenge to it. Ham radio, on the other hand, has many
> > variables that make the pursuit of DX, challenging. And THAT is where
> > the enjoyment comes for the majority of radio ops. If you can't grasp
> > that concept, then you're probably better off on the internet.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
>
> I think we are in agreement. In general, the Internet is easier. But not
> by much. How much harder is it to get a Tech license, buy a HT and talk
> to someone over a 2 meter repeater? IMO, not much harder than buying a
> computer, getting and setting up an ISP account, learning to use the
> email client and sending an email.
>
> Don't fool yourself into thinking amateur radio is that much harder than
> the Internet for basic comms.

Basic VHF, yes. But in order to get world-wide coverage (like the internet offers), you have to set up a more elaborate ham station. You also have to be cognizant of DX conditions, and the availability of DX stations. It's very much hit and miss.

Dave

1408. Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PxVd4.14567$Ak.202450@news1.mia>
Source record: 122389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote in message

(nothing but lies)

Here is a interesting article about some of the people you support Joe:

"We shall unleash the Nihilists and Athiests, and we shall provoke a formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass, anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the pure light through the universal mainifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a manifestation which will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, both conquered and exterminated at the same time." (Albert Pike, head of Freemasonry, the Scottish Rite, 1871)

Pictures

U.N. Vehicles on U.S. soil

School buses for U.N. Troops
Videos

UN Vehicles on U.S. soil "This document describes the plan to disarm the United States by turning over our Army, Navy, Marines, and Air Force to the United Nations...This program was to be carried out in three stages. Stage III outlines the final objectives of the disarmement program: 'In Stage III progressive controlled disarmament and continuously developing principles and procedures of international law would proceed to a point where no states would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened U.N. Peace Force...'"

Communist Rules for Revolution
A.) Corrupt the young; get them away from religion. Get them interested in sex. Make them superficial; Destroy their ruggedness.

'The United Nations was created by Communists, is controlled by Communists, and can only bring about a Communist world.'

B.) Get control of all means of publicity, thereby: Get people's minds off their government; Divide the people into hostile groups; Destroy the people's faith in their natural leaders; Always preach true democracy, but seize power as fast as possible; Destroy the nation's credit by overspending; Promote unnecessary strikes; Breakdown old values, and

C.) Cause the restriction of all firearms on some pretext with a view of confiscating them and leaving the population helpless.

The Illuminati Emerges in America

The Illuminati, which was founded on May 1, 1776 by Adam Weishaupt in Bavaria, Germany, had made its way to the young nation of the United States of America. It merged with highest Freemasonry in 1782 at the Council of Wilhelmsbad, Germany. It was designed to establish world government. There was a group of informed citizens who were aware of their diabolical plot to overthrow their new nation under an all powerful world government.

After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed all of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took over the philosophical aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan by name. He was an evil genius of the first magnitude.

He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to be concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century. He wrote a remarkable letter dated August 15, 1871, which until recently was on display in the British Museum Library in London, England. Albert Pike gave graphic details of plans for three world wars.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

1409. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <85975p$d23@nnrp3.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 122390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:856o8deef1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Well, with the new R&O changes, I'm studying the question pools to get the
> CSCEs for my extra (I have 5wpm, and general theory already done as I have
a
> pre 1987 tech). Think I know enough to pass, but I can't seem to
calculate
> correctly "Q" given the frequency, inductance, and resistance of a
circuit.
>
> I *thought* the equasion for the reactance of an inductance is:
>
> Xl = 2pi*f*L
>
> and the equasion for Q:
>
> Q = X/r
>
> Combined:
>
> Q = (2 pi *f*L)/r
>
> Which yields wrong answers! :-(
>
> What did I screw up?

It makes a difference if the circuit in question is a parallel or series
circuit. The equations you used are correct for a series connection of a
resistor and an inductance. For a parallel connection the equation is
different.

For a parallel connection of X and R you get:

Z=1/[(1/R)+(1/X*i)] where i=sqrt(-1) and X=2*Pi*F*L

Z=[(R*X^2)/(X^2+R^2)]+i*[(R^2*X)/(X^2+R^2)]

Now the part multiplied by "i" is the equivalent inductive reactance (Xeq)
of the parallel circuit, and the remaining part is the equivalent resistive
(Req) part.

The Q is still calculated by X/R, but in the parallel case it is Xeq/Req,
which yields
R/X, for the paralleled inductive reactance and resistance.

You can also look up the above equation (#15) in the 1999 ARRL HB on page 13.5.

Good luck on your exam!
--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
(Just another dumb No-Code Tech "Elmering" another almost-Extra)

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

1410. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <38782a74.70314650@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 122391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 03:54:12 GMT, "dentronman" <w9jcm@reno.quik.com>
wrote:

>hey dave why dont u go hang out on the cb group where u always post

I sorta thought that might be where he was from!

1411. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <3878239c.68562640@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 122392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 04:43:04 GMT, Dave Hall
<nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>> Oh, B.S.! CW was and is a viable and popular mode. It is still in wide
>> use on the amateur bands. It doesn't matter a bit whether anyone in
>> commercial services use it. We aren't commercial ops. Anyone whose
>> license permits the use of CW should not have a problem demonstrating
>> some skill in its use.
>
>The only reason that CW is used at all is because we dogmatically
>insisted on requiring it. If the commercial sector has abandoned it,
>wouldn't you think that they had a good reason for it? I predict that
>now that 5 WPM is the limit, that CW usage will drop off dramatically.
>And THAT is what scares the "CW forever types" the most. Less usage
>means that eventually the FCC will reduce band space for it.

Sorry, but that isn't true.

The military recently bought a lot of morse code tutors from a
manufacturer, and at the present time I'm working on a PA especially
to boost the output of a back-pack digital transmitter so the
operators can switch to CW!

Yep, CW is right in the specs.

Now that doesn't mean we should be required to learn morse code in
case we have a little war, but it does prove the people who use
arguments like those above often state what they "think" is going on.
Of course that isn't necessarily what is really going on.

CW is a very reliable mode for exchanging information when other modes
won't make it through, and doesn't require a lot of supporting
hardware.

Like doing math with a pencil and paper, it will always have a place.
Especially if the amount of power and equipment available is very
limited, and communications is important.

The only problem I have with the amateur exams, and how the exams have
been changing, is how they are m,ore and more becoming a test of
memory and not actual knowledge.

The people who "just can't get the time or skill" and are waiting for
a free ticket have a right to enjoy the dumbing down (thanks partially
to Kenwood, who should have been excluded from input into amateur

affairs because they have a profit motivation).

But they should just keep one thing in mind.... many eight year olds (and younger) can obtain the highest class ticket under the present "testing".

The problem with amateur radio slacking off isn't the testing, it is a social change. We could give the tickets away like the ones received by filling in the forms that came in a CB radio box in the 60's, and we still won't get a bunch of new hams.

The only thing any of this will do is let the people who are too lazy to study upgrade. A lot of people will move up in class, but you can bet there won't be any great increase in numbers after a little initial surge.

This is a free pass to an Extra or General, and has nothing to do with fixing the social problem of people not being interested in how things work, working, or radio communications.

If anyone thinks this is a plus for all of us, they probably should think again.

73 ,

Tom W8JI

1412. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <38782B07.5CC6A54F@innocent.com>
Source record: 122393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Schlatter wrote:
>
> Mr. Strom now reveals himself. As with the other breed of cockroach, Mr.
> Strom cannot tolerate bright light. Let's review his response
> to my posting of quotes from him and my posting of folks who oppose him.
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3876C148.CCBC18A0@innocent.com>...
> >What a impressively large blob of altered and out-of-context text,
> >cut and pasted into a series of lies taken from several hard-left
> >sites of varying degrees of neurosis. I note you even have the
> >kindness to bring a family tragedy into the "discussion."
>
> "out-of-context?" I think not. Yes, I did clip a few juicy parts
[...]

You seem to be laboring under the delusion that you are "uncovering"
some kind of "secrets," and that the lurid claims made about me on
the anti-American sites you quote are some kind of "revelation."

The plain fact of the matter is that my views on race and other
issues which so enrage the "politically correct" are not
substantially different from those of the founding fathers. In fact,
they were the mainstream views of most Americans until the
subversion of this country really got underway in the 1960s.

As far as you bringing (false) statements about my little children
into your "moral" crusade, I think it's highly inappropriate.

If you thought about them at all, you'd think about possible
consequences to them before posting, and you might even have checked
the court's orders, which, to put it mildly, do not support the
picture you have tried to paint.

>
> >
> >I'm sure the intelligent reader will, if interested at all, give the
> >swill exactly the credibility it deserves.
>
> Interesting that he refers to his own material as "swill."
>

What you posted was not my material. Even the parts which were
alleged "quotes" were interlarded with alterations and additions,
and there appeared to be nearly as many ellipses as there were

sentences. That I call deception; and that I do call swill.

If you want to read my writings, all of them are accessible through
my website, and the links there. Go there and find them. They are
all freely available.

> >
> >What caused you to suddenly hit the ceiling, Joe?
>
> "hit the c\\eiieling?" I think not.
[...]

The evidence suggests otherwise. Even your hands seem to be shaking.
Four cut-and-paste marathon posts in one day? Yes, I think you've
become quite emotional about this.

>
> >
> >You are displaying a remarkable degree of hysteria for a being
> >presumably not equipped with a uterus.
>
> And here we have an attack on women.
> Apparently Mr. Strom dislikes and stereotypes women just as he does folks of
> races and religions different from his own.
[...]

Nonsense. I like women very much indeed, and sometimes I wonder why
they put up with us males. A flower needs a pistil and a stamen, and
men and women are indispensable incommensurables. When a woman acts
like a woman, nothing is wrong. But when a man acts the way you did,
it is worthy of remark.

I think you have a great deal of emotion invested in the idea that
"racism" is some kind of "evil." You probably actually believe you
are "fighting" for "good," and naively swallow the media whopper
that any person who wishes his race to survive is secretly waiting
to initiate a mass slaughter.

I'm broad-minded enough to see that same kind of mentality operating
sometimes on my own side of the political spectrum: the
"right-winger" who instantly dismisses the ideas and person of a
leftist because "well, he's a socialist and probably a commie!" and
must therefore be motivated by unmitigated "evil," and could not
possibly have anything worthwhile to say on any issue whatever. Some
rightists would be willing to believe almost any accusation against
such a person, even that he performs Satanic rituals in his basement
every Friday. He's been demonized.

And the billionaire media elite and their elected employees have
done their best to demonize those who agree with the founding
fathers on racial matters.

I got much more intelligent discourse from the Black nationalists at the Black-run Pacifica Radio Network station where I was a consulting engineer a few years ago.

I got along quite well with them, by the way, and I hope you won't think me anti-White if I observe that their view of the world impressed me as far more realistic than yours.

Pip pip,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1413. Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <38783194.9133961A@home.com>
Source record: 122394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

THANKS, JOE!!!

"With All Good Wishes" (heh-heh!)

Eric Cooper

Joe Schlatter wrote:

> Interesting interview clipped from the pages of the Southern Poverty Law
> Center's Intelligence Reports:
> http://www.splcenter.org/cgi-bin/goframe.pl?refname=/intelligenceproject/ip-
> 4i4.html
>
> If you cannot access that URL, go to http://www.splcenter.org, click on the
> link to Intelligence Reports, then click on the Search function and search
> for the name Strom.
>
> QUOTE
>
> Q&A: Inside the Alliance
>
> A former insider speaks
>
> For four years, Kirsten Kaiser, 36, lived with her husband on or near the
> West Virginia compound of William Pierce, the leader of the neo-Nazi
> National Alliance and author of the racist fantasy novel The Turner Diaries.
> Kaiser was married to Kevin Alfred Strom, who co-hosts Pierceï¿½s racist and
> anti-Semitic radio show and is one of Pierceï¿½s closest associates. In that
> role, she got to know Pierceï¿½s inner circle and some of its membersï¿½
> thinking. Over the nine years of her marriage, Kaiser came to question the
> violently racist views of the Alliance -- especially after the deaths of 19
> children in the Oklahoma City bombing, which was patterned on an attack
> portrayed in The Turner Diaries. Today, she is living in Minnesota and going
> through a divorce from Strom. The Intelligence Report interviewed Kaiser
> about her life and acquaintances inside the Alliance, and how she came to
> leave.
>
> INTELLIGENCE REPORT: How did you first hear of the National Alliance?
>
> KAISER: I first heard about it in 1986 through reading magazines which my
> second husband, Joseph McLaughlin, had. I remember initially I was really
> shocked. I had noticed that Joseph didnï¿½t seem to like Democrats or
> Republicans, and I asked him, ï¿½What are you anyway?ï¿½ He said he was a
> [white] nationalist, that when he was 17 years old he had run away from home

> and gone to Iceland so he could be around other nationalists.
>
> IR: What was your first contact with William Pierce?
>
> KAISER: Joseph had me call Dr. Pierce one day in the summer of 1987. It was
> the day that [former Nazi leader] Rudolph Hess died. Joseph was all excited
> about this and they were all saying that it was a plot, a murder conspiracy.
>
> IR: What did you say to Pierce?
>
> KAISER: I said that Iï¿½d been reading his magazines. And he said, ï¿½You know,
> Iï¿½m really going to have to have you meet Kevin Strom. I know youï¿½ll just
> love him.ï¿½ I didnï¿½t meet Kevin until about four months later, on Nov. 7,
> 1987, when he came to our house in Arlington [Va.], but I really did like
> him a lot. I fell in love with him, but I didnï¿½t see him again until January
> 1988.
>
> I was still married to Joseph at that time, but Kevin was starting to
> introduce me to people. I really wanted to meet people. I was very alone,
> very isolated.
>
> They were all in this radical movement and they talked about radical things
> and it was very mysterious and fun and interesting. I had never met anybody
> like this. In the meantime, the situation with Joseph was getting worse. On
> March 15, 1988, I left. Kevin helped me move, and he moved into the
> apartment that I got.
>
> IR: How had Kevin gotten into the movement?
>
> KAISER: It turns out that Kevinï¿½s high school government teacher in Fairfax,
> Va., was a fascist who kind of recruited him into all this. He pointed him
> to the John Birch Society. Kevin was never involved in the Klan. He is the
> big intellectual -- we continuously made fun of the Klan. But he did read
> literature like Instauration [a relatively highbrow racist publication] and
> corresponded with people like Jared Taylor [who runs a magazine called
> American Renaissance and has argued that blacks are less intelligent than
> whites].
>
> IR: When did you first meet Pierce in person?
>
> KAISER: A little before that, at the very end of 1987. Joseph and Kevin and
> I all met Dr. Pierce at a restaurant in Arlington. I had just finished
> reading The Turner Diaries, which I thought was very poorly written, and I
> think I told him so, which may be part of the reason why he dislikes me so
> much. He said that he had written it very fast and that he was very
> surprised at how popular it had become. He said that he had always intended
> to rewrite it and make it better, but that he liked it real well.
>
> IR: Do you think Pierce was serious about the message of the book [which
> depicts a race war set off by the protagonistï¿½s bombing of the FBI

> headquarters building]?
>
> KAISER: Yes. That first night we met, I remember that he said that if they
> didnï¿½t blow up the FBI soon, it would become impossible because technology
> was getting better and better.
>
> I used to drive past the Pentagon and other government buildings every day,
> and I never gave them a second thought until he started pointing out that
> there was this big sinister thing going on, that they were ultimately out to
> get me and Joseph personally, particularly because now we knew the truth
> that no one was supposed to know.
>
> IR: How was Pierce treated that night?
>
> KAISER: Everybody has always treated Dr. Pierce with tremendous reverence.
> Nobody calls Dr. Pierce "Bill." Even his wife calls him Dr. Pierce.
>
> IR: And how did Pierce act toward you?
>
> KAISER: Dr. Pierce said, "Youï¿½re just a housewife," and I was so shocked and
> hurt. I had just got done reading his whole magazine, the National Vanguard
> magazine, which had a picture of Venus de Milo on the cover and went on and
> on about how women should be at home having children. I thought, "If thatï¿½s
> what they think, why did he just say that to me?" It really hurt and
> confused me. It never made any sense.
>
> Dr. Pierce doesnï¿½t like women. He told me later that he likes them in a
> James Bond sense. Those were his favorite movies, James Bond movies. In
> those movies, women are just sort of fun toys, and that is really how he
> sees them.
>
> Once, Dr. Pierce showed me some mail-order bride catalogs after his third
> wife, Olga, left him for three or four months. They were just unbelievable.
> You pick up a catalog thatï¿½s full of these women and almost every last one
> of them is named Eva. It was always eastern European women, from
> Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia, Russia.
>
> ((NOTE: Perhaps this explains Mr. Strom's comments in an earlier post about
> hysteria and women. And perhaps it explains the photographs on women on his
> web site -- including a photo of the Venus de Milo.))
>
> IR: After this initial meeting, I understand you stayed in touch with Pierce
> while you lived in Virginia and, later, in Maryland. When did you and Kevin
> finally move to Pierceï¿½s compound [on 346 acres outside Hillsboro, W. Va.]?
>
> KAISER: We moved on to his land on Oct. 1, 1991, and stayed there in a
> little trailer until Sept. 3 of 1992. After that, we moved to an apartment
> above a truck garage in Hillsboro, and Kevin continued working for Dr.
> Pierce until 1995.
>

> IR: What was it like living on Pierceï¿½s land?
>
> KAISER: By the time we moved off the land, I had pretty much had it with the
> whole bunch of them. I listened to all these speeches about how this was a
> community and we were going to work together, and it wasnï¿½t that way at all.
> These people never even bothered to say hello and good-bye. They didnï¿½t have
> dinner together, hardly ever, and they didnï¿½t have any celebrations
> together.
>
> There was no discussion between people about what they were doing and how it
> connected to what other people were doing. The only one who really knew what
> was going on was Dr. Pierce, and he wasnï¿½t telling anybody anything they
> didnï¿½t need to know.
>
> Nobody drinks there. Drinking is absolutely forbidden. And watching
> television was not allowed -- even though Dr. Pierce watched the news every
> night, which I remember ended up getting some people angry.
>
> It was a station you could hardly see. It was more like listening to the
> news. You know, Dr. Pierce has extremely poor eyesight because he once kept
> his contact lenses in for two solid weeks and that damaged his eyes. He is
> supposed to be such a smart man, but he doesnï¿½t have any common sense at
> all.
>
> They never did really like me there, and I think another one of the reasons
> was because I said, "If Iï¿½m going to work, youï¿½re going to have to pay me
> something because my time is valuable." They just thought that was unheard
> of. Everyone works for Dr. Pierce, if not for nothing, then virtually
> nothing. The people who were paid basically got about $300 a month. Dr.
> Pierce is very, very stingy in many ways.
>
> IR: How seriously do you think Pierce took his own propaganda?
>
> KAISER: Well, he told me that he had done his dissertation on how to build a
> nuclear bomb. And he made it very clear that when the time came, he would
> know what to do. He was really very serious about his desire to destroy
> Israel. Itï¿½s not so much that they want to destroy the American government;
> Israel is what they really hated.
>
> They are waiting for when the economic system collapses because this will
> help instigate a race war. During this time of unrest, they would take over
> the armories, take control of the government and bomb Israel. I mean, this
> is what he plans to do. I guess heï¿½s going to rule the world once this
> happens.
>
> I really do think itï¿½s a version of The Turner Diaries.
>
> IR: What about Hunter [the novel that Pierce wrote in 1989, after The Turner
> Diaries, that describes a campaign of assassinations of interracial
> couples]?

>
> KAISER: Whatever book he was working would be his enthusiasm of the moment.
> When Hunter came out, that was the way it was going to happen. If enough
> mixed-race couples were assassinated in a big flurry, that would be enough
> to wake people up to action.
>
> That book disturbed me. How can you judge somebody else in matters of the
> heart? If you really did fall in love with somebody of another race, I donï¿½t
> know if I could judge you. This is something I had a real problem with.
>
> IR: How did events in the outside world affect Pierceï¿½s people?
>
> KAISER: They were just delighted that Saddam Hussein was attacking Israel.
> Another thing that delighted them was when they had those riots in Los
> Angeles. They want the white man to hate the black. They hoped that when
> social unrest got so bad that a race war started, that would give us the
> chance to blow up all these buildings and to get hold of an armory.
>
> You know, wherever you went, you always knew where the armories were.
> Whenever we were traveling, it was like, "Well, where is the armory?"
>
> IR: What kind of work did you do while you lived on Pierceï¿½s land?
>
> KAISER: I did many mailings. I stuffed hundreds and hundreds of envelopes
> and inventoried books and did a number of other things. But I only did that
> for Kevinï¿½s benefit -- not Dr. Pierceï¿½s. As I said, Iï¿½d told him that he had
> to pay me something.
>
> Dr. Pierce also had us making burial tubes [to be used for caching weapons
> underground]. They were made out of big PVC [plastic] tubes and we would
> seal the ends with these caps and special tape so that they would be
> waterproof. As many as weï¿½d make, theyï¿½d sell. Weï¿½d make 10 to 20 at a time,
> and they were already sold.
>
> IR: So it was a moneymaking operation for the Alliance?
>
> KAISER: Yes, it was almost pure profit.
>
> IR: What was the reaction when the Southern Poverty Law Center sued Pierce?
> [Editorï¿½s note: After a black sailor was murdered in 1991 by a member of the
> neo-Nazi Church of the Creator (COTC), Center attorneys sued on behalf of
> the victimï¿½s family and won a $1 million judgment against the COTC. In 1995,
> after the COTC fraudulently transferred ownership of its North Carolina
> headquarters to Pierce to keep it from the murdered manï¿½s family, the Center
> sued Pierce personally and won an $85,000 judgment. That judgment was upheld
> in July by a federal appeals court. The Supreme Court refused to reconsider
> it.]
>
> KAISER: I remember very well Dr. Pierce making many trips to North Carolina
> and originally it was a very mysterious thing. After a while, he said that

> it was very hush-hush, but that it had to do with Ben Klassen [the long-time
> COTC leader who committed suicide on Aug. 6, 1993].
>
> This all started when [former COTC activist] Will Williams started getting
> involved. He was like a liaison. After some time, Kevin came to me and said,
> "Oh no, it is finally happening. [Center co-founder] Morris Dees is suing
> us." It was like the end of the world. He was terrified of Morris Dees.
> Kevin just hated him. He said, "Once Morris Dees has set his sights on you,
> thatï¿½s it, itï¿½s over." They were really upset.
>
> IR: What was Williamsï¿½ role over the years he was in West Virginia?
>
> KAISER: His title was recruiting coordinator. He came in late 1991 or early
> 1992, and I thought he was very friendly, really a nice guy, initially. He
> traveled a lot, and Dr. Pierce paid for his travel. He took over the farm
> house [on Pierceï¿½s land], and put a new roof on it and built a fence around
> it. He started raising German shepherds there. He had an obsession with
> German shepherds. By the time he left, he had 17 of them.
>
> Six or eight months after Will arrived, he went to Russia and married a
> woman heï¿½d been corresponding with and brought her back. She lasted just a
> few weeks and then she disappeared. Will was very upset. He just changed
> completely. This was at the same time that Harold Covington [leader of the
> National Socialist White Peopleï¿½s Party] started attacking Will Williams,
> saying he was an FBI agent and a homosexual.
>
> All these people are really, really paranoid. They all watch each other, and
> theyï¿½re all convinced that everybody else is an FBI agent. For a while,
> there was even talk that Dr. Pierce was an FBI agent. He just laughed about
> that.
>
> Anyway, Will Williams left in 1993, or possibly 1994.
>
> IR: How did the standoffs at Ruby Ridge and Waco [in 1992 and 1993] affect
> the National Alliance?
>
> KAISER: We heard about Randy Weaver [the white supremacist whose wife and
> son were killed by federal agents at Ruby Ridge, Idaho] long before anybody
> else did. Kevin was telling me every day it is just a matter of time before
> they come to get us. We have to be ready. Weï¿½re stockpiling food and Iï¿½m
> keeping distilled water hidden around the house, and Iï¿½m getting more and
> more afraid.
>
> After Waco, Kevin was always forcing me to watch this [antigovernment] video
> called Waco: The Big Lie [by Indianapolis attorney and militia advocate
> Linda Thompson, who once threatened an armed march on Washington, D.C.]. I
> am so full of fear that I donï¿½t know what is going to happen, and I donï¿½t
> trust Dr. Pierce. I am beginning to realize that there is something wrong
> with everybody I know in the movement. Nobody has a regular job, nobody has
> a regular [non-mail-order] wife. They donï¿½t have girlfriends, they donï¿½t

> have cars that work, they doni¿½t have any health insurance. They doni¿½t have a
> real life.
>
> I am beginning to say to Kevin, "We have just got to leave, we have got to
> have a normal life." And he says, "Whati¿½s a normal life?"
>
> IR: What about the Oklahoma City bombing?
>
> KAISER: The day after the [April 19, 1995] bombing, Kevin got really
> nervous. He went through the house and found all his old Voice of Tomorrow
> stuff. [VOT was a mobile, far-right pirate radio station that Strom ran out
> of a specially equipped van before meeting Kaiser.] I had never seen him so
> scared. We put a box of the stuff in the back of the car and we drove to the
> back of a Wal-Mart in Virginia, I think, and dumped it.
>
> Later, Kevin told me that Timothy McVeigh had called the Alliance five
> minutes before the bomb went off and that somehow the FBI knew. But he said
> McVeigh must have called a lot of other right-wing groups, too. He also
> said, "You know, the reason why they were all upset is because the bomb was
> just like the one talked about in the book." Everyone knew what book he was
> talking about [The Turner Diaries].
>
> I had befriended a lot of local people in West Virginia, and after Oklahoma,
> a good friend came to me and said, "You know, Kirsten, you better watch your
> kids. If they get a chance the local people are going to kill your kids,
> because a lot of kids were killed in Oklahoma." I look outside my window and
> there are all these reporters. My heart is racing and I am just petrified.
> This is real. These are all real reporters, people dressed up in suits with
> cameras and microphones. Ii¿½m not making this up.
>
> IR: How were you affected by all this personally?
>
> KAISER: By this time, I had realized that the idea of a revolution was
> ridiculous. If these people cani¿½t hold down a regular job, what makes you
> think they can run a country?
>
> Kevin told me that the bombing was a good thing, because it was a government
> building and that government employees had been killed. It was a part of the
> revolution. I called my brother and my brother said, "Yeah, well what about
> all those kids that were killed?" Kevin said to me, "Well, if there were
> children killed, they must have been the children of government employees
> and it will teach them all a lesson." This was retribution for what happened
> in Waco.
>
> After I got through with this conversation with my brother, something began
> to grow in me. It was like this little seed. My brother works for the Coast
> Guard, which means the government, so Kevin was saying that he deserved to
> be killed. It took a little while for that to sink in on me.
>
> I became so depressed after the bombing. The whole idea that they really

> think itï¿½s okay for children to be killed was starting to bother me.
>
> IR: So you began to think about leaving West Virginia?
>
> KAISER: At about this time, Kevin and Dr. Pierce were having a disagreement.
> I was happy because I was thinking Kevin was finally going to say we could
> leave. I wanted to go to Minnesota. One day, he came home with a magazine
> saying Rochester [Minn.] was supposed to be the best place in America to
> live. It was either 96 percent or 97 percent white, so it was acceptable for
> that reason. We moved there in November of 1995.
>
> I donï¿½t know if anyone can relate to this except maybe a prisoner of war,
> but when we left I was able to listen to regular radio broadcasts. I hadnï¿½t
> heard Paul Harvey in years. I didnï¿½t know that O.J. Simpson had apparently
> killed his wife. Kevin hadnï¿½t allowed us to watch television or read the
> newspapers because they promote multiculturalism. Driving across country, we
> were stopping at gas stations and I could see newspapers with todayï¿½s date
> on them. There were TVs in the hotel rooms. It was really weird.
>
> IR: How was Rochester once you got there?
>
> KAISER: I got a job as a real estate salesperson. I had a lot of fun, but I
> felt a sense of shame for the first time because when people asked, I couldn
> ï¿½t say what my husband did. At the same time, I couldnï¿½t go to office
> parties, various social things, because Kevin didnï¿½t like being around
> people. And I couldnï¿½t go alone because that would mean getting a
> baby-sitter, and we couldnï¿½t have a baby-sitter because theyï¿½d be in the
> house and then they would know who we were.
>
> The fact that I had to name my baby daughter Klara -- Klara was Hitlerï¿½s
> motherï¿½s name -- was also starting to bother me. This whole deal with Hitler
> was really starting to bother me.
>
> IR: When did it all come to a head for you?
>
> KAISER: On Nov. 13, 1996, we were listening to the radio to hear the weather
> report. They were talking about some young couple in New Jersey that had
> hidden the fact that the girl was pregnant. They killed their baby after she
> gave birth in a hotel room.
>
> I just started crying, and Kevin got mad at me. He said, "Why are you
> crying?" And I said, "They killed a baby. They killed a baby." Iï¿½m looking
> at my own baby, who is three or four weeks old, and I am just freaking out.
> And then Kevin said that it was a good thing that it happened, because the
> woman was a Jew and the man was a Gentile.
>
> I was thinking several thoughts at the same time. How could he know the
> woman was a Jew? And it occurred to me, for the first time, that it actually
> didnï¿½t matter what race the child was. It was a little baby. I just kept
> envisioning that happening to my baby, and I couldnï¿½t stop crying. I curled

> up into a ball in the corner and held on to the baby. I wouldnï¿½t let her go,
> and I couldnï¿½t stop crying.
>
> Eventually, I went to see a counselor. He told me that it was a good thing
> that I was upset, that everyone should be upset when they hear things like
> that. He said that all children were all part of our family. That is what he
> said.
>
> In his office, I picked up an old magazine with pictures of the Oklahoma
> bombing. I screwed up my courage and I read the articles and I looked at the
> pictures. I was thinking that this child being held by a fireman doesnï¿½t
> look any different than my son, Oskar, and itï¿½s covered with blood. And that
> whole idea, that there really isnï¿½t any difference, that children are
> children, all came to me. It all came together on that date.
>
> ï¿½ Copyright Information.All rights reserved. Southern Poverty Law Center.
>
> END QUOTE
>
> --
>
> Regards,
> Joe Schlatter
> joe@schlatter.org
> http://www.schlatter.org/
> http://www.miafacts.org/
> Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

1414. Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <387831D3.E53BE60F@home.com>
Source record: 122395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Old Saying Lloyd: If ya cant stand the heat, GET OUT OF OUR KITCHEN!!!!!

Eric Cooper

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> Joe Schlatter wrote in message
>
> (nothing but lies)
>

1415. Re: AF2Y, FEELS POWER OF STOCKHOLDERS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Clark <g4mpq@diver34.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <859f81rfi1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122396

hammer007 wrote in message <858h88$8vd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>The details will be forthcoming, but AF2Y is no lomger bothering people
with
>his hate that has been posted through AOL to Deja news. The power of the
>stockholders has spoken !!!!!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

Can the rest of the world really be assured that this hate monger will stay
off both hamradio and this newsgroup?
Wonderful news - peace to all from now onwards I hope!
Ken

1416. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <3878518F.173A@virgin.net>
Source record: 122397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I see your point, but you are too bothered about your pride. In a way, you are being as selfish as the criminals. Look at the whole picture. Because of personal (misplaced?) pride leading to guns being commonplace, your fellow citizens live in a country with (so I read) 9000 gun murders per year, compared to the UK's 30. Everyone has a 9000/120 greater chance of being shot so that you can maintain your self-confidence.
Give up the gun and have the courage to take the risk of being robbed by force majeure, (which shouldn't harm your pride at all - if an elephant treads on my foot, it doesn't make me feel small) so that the community in general will be safer.
Peter Lawton

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> If you just turn over your car to whoever asks for it, how do you
> maintain any self-esteem at all?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1417. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <38785c3b.314777525@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122398

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 22:14:34 -0700, CW not CB <xrayj@woldnet.att.net>
wrote:

If I had my way I'd transport you back to the Titanic on April 14,
1912 and have you tap your ass off as the boat sinks with you going
down with it.

BTW I bet that you don't even have a license and are here just to
break balls.

Rick KC2ESD

1418. AF2Y, MESSAGE TO YOU !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85a08m$7jm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122399

The public corporation is responsible to its STOCKHOLDERS !!!!!! The public corporation has many obligatons to the stockholders which include profitability and morality. There are more lessons that you will be learning shortly.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1419. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@buffnet.net
Message-ID: <387b4471.38644706@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 122400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 8 Jan 2000 21:48:03 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>In article <38768ACD.4B7E@no.net>, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
>>Think about this, if radio had been developed by-passing spark-gap,
>>which necessitated using Mores code, but the developed took radio
>>straight into the development of phone operation, would there ever have
>>been a CW test to obtain an amateur license? If not, then would ham
>
>Extending your fantasy, what if radio had been developed in such a
>way that not only was code bypassed, but so was phone. (FAX was being
>utilized over the telegraph lines in the 1800s, and so was a form of
>teletype.) Would that mean we'd have no microphones today and HTs would
>only have a keyboard?

If so, there still wouldn't be a code test.

To give a serious answer, I think we can compare to the way the
Internet developed. My first Internet-ready computer had a keyboard
and a modem. A microphone - for things like Internet Phone - didn't
get added until later, when technology developed sufficiently to
support it. I think the same would be the case in your scenario,
eventually the desire to communicate with one's own voice would win
out and those HTs would start getting speakers and microphones added,
in one form or another, just as our computers have.

73 DE KC2FNG

1420. Re: Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <387875F3.23C46116@inet4u.com>
Source record: 122401

You forgot:

NAFTA will create millions of new jobs and we're going to WACO to save
the children. I'm sure there are thousands more we both missed. <g>

KK4TL wrote:

> Al Patrick writes:
> >Has the government ever lied to us before?
>
> Nahh .. never.
> "Read my lips - No new taxes."
> "I feel your pain."
> "I am not a crook:"
> "We lost money on Whitewater."
> "I did not proposition Paula."
> "I was never alone with that woman."

1421. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <387873F1.513246E9@island.net>
Source record: 122402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Here in Canada you seldom get a choice on your call sign...
you take what you get.
73's
John

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > That's what Kim wanted it to mean. She said so here. Those people who use
> > the word on their t-shirts (in public) also leave little doubt what they
> > mean.
>
> Excuse me? Kim wanted IT (what?) to mean what? What did I say here?
> Why, you must have seen me at Hamcom last year, Aaron. And, not only
> that, but got up close enough to see what my *callsign* (NOT the word
> "TIT") tee-shirt said. You should have introduced yourself! I would
> have loved to meet you!
>
> > In a polite society there are verbal standards. "Tit" is recognized as
> > vulgar slang. It is not unusual in the locker room, but is (was) usually off
> > limits in a mixed family setting such as ham radio. Breast and Teton are
> > legitimate words when used in the correct setting. It's not the body part
> > that's vulgar, it's how, and in what context, the word for it is used...
>
> "Tit" is recognized by SOME as vulgar slang. Ummm, you must be a real
> pent-up guy, Aaron. You know, real uptight? You know, real tense? You
> know, high blood pressure territory? You know, Viagra user?
>
> >
> > Gentlemen would not use the word in public. Adolescents probably would. Even
> > many elementary school children know the word, but they certainly would not
> > get away with using it in a classroom. Why is ham radio any different...
> >
>
> W5TIT is a legally issued callsign. Take it up with the FCC. I'm
> uninterested and unaffected by your apparent disapproval of my
> callsign. Oh, and I also wouldn't be so quick to classify you as a
> "gentleman." But, my definition of a gentleman and yours are probably
> two very different things.
>
> Buhbye now....
>

> Kim W5TIT

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or JOHN AMERO'S Business at:- Email to:-
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
& Web Site:- http://singaporerental.com/

1422. The REAL Threat; Was: Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <sq%d4.13131$7L.536810@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mr. Davies:

Visit this URL. There you will find the real threat revealed:

http://members.tripod.com/sonoguy/recon.html
--
Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...

>Here is a interesting article about some of the people you support Joe:>
snipped for brevity

1423. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38788902.7FEFFD72@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Peter Lawton wrote:
> Give up the gun and have the courage to take the risk of being robbed by
> force majeure, (which shouldn't harm your pride at all - if an elephant
> treads on my foot, it doesn't make me feel small) so that the community
> in general will be safer.

The community will be safer for criminals, not for me and my family.
You see, many of the murders in this country are criminals murdering
criminals, a benefit for the community in general.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1424. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: JM <jdm@iland.net>
Message-ID: <38788C86.9EC3D003@iland.net>
Source record: 122405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Peter Lawton wrote:
>
> I see your point, but you are too bothered about your pride. In a way,
> you are being as selfish as the criminals. Look at the whole picture.
> Because of personal (misplaced?) pride leading to guns being
> commonplace, your fellow citizens live in a country with (so I read)
> 9000 gun murders per year, compared to the UK's 30. Everyone has a
> 9000/120 greater chance of being shot so that you can maintain your
> self-confidence.
> Give up the gun and have the courage to take the risk of being robbed by
> force majeure, (which shouldn't harm your pride at all - if an elephant
> treads on my foot, it doesn't make me feel small) so that the community
> in general will be safer.
> Peter Lawton
Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
crime. 9000 killed out of 250 million, figure out the percentages
there. How many crimes have been prevented by gun owners? Lot's, you
just never hear about it.
16,000 people(so I hear) were killed by Drunk drivers, yet you can buy
alcohol in almost every petrol station. Those that turn their guns into
plowshares will plow for those that don't. Remember WW2? American guns
and firepower saved UK (so I hear) from sure domination from the Nazi's.
At least with having a gun I may have a slight chance of protecting
myself and others from harm, there's NOTHING I can do to protect myself
from a Drunk driver. Trot on down to the local pub and have a Guiness
and think about it. Plow on, Limey!
(sorry about the off topic post, I just couldn't help it!)

1425. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38788B91.A6AEDA38@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Dirty jokes could accomplish all that. But then I guess your call is kind of
> a dirty joke. But why force it into a family setting...

If it weren't for tits, there wouldn't be any mammal families,
including homo sapien families.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1426. Radio software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon <jonjo@powertech.no>
Message-ID: <38789DED.203C39F2@powertech.no>
Source record: 122407

I am looking for a programming software able to program a 10ch. VHF handheld with 24c02 EPROM.
Any suggestions are welcome, can offer other software for radio in return

Rgds
LA3ZU
Jon

1427. Get Well Soon, WA8PBM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85a8sqcpj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122408

Get well soon, Happy John!

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1428. Re: Demagoguery: Was: Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85a912$d2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122409

Lloyd Davies wrote in message <_jVd4.14540$Ak.202083@news1.mia>...
>Joe Schlatter wrote in message ...
>
>>2. At age 20, as a college student in Alabama, after I had
participated in
>>the last portion of the Selma to Montgomery march, where I shook
hands with
>>Rosa Parks and Martin Luther King, Jr., I -- and a few friends -- were
>>threatened with expulsion from our college by a dean. He lost.
>
>Supporting a communist, and Jewish adgenda - No wonder you hate Kevin
so
>much - Are you also a Free Mason?
>

LLoyd Davies, throwing the social progress of the United States and the
World back 500 years.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1429. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38789681.A6BF4EB0@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JM wrote:
> Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
> crime.

Yep, compare New York and District of Columbia to Texas and Arizona.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1430. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85a95b$d36$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122411

Lloyd Davies blubbered in message ...
>Joe Schlatter wrote in message ...
>
>(Nothing of great importance)
>
>Joe, do you look in the mirror and see a communist? You make Stalin
proud?
>

Do you make your teachers proud, Lloyd, the way you mangle the English
language?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1431. Callsign xxTIT (was END of Ham Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com>
Message-ID: <387896F2.A48@digitalcartography.com>
Source record: 122412

Aaron Jones wrote:

[in essence that he thinks Kim's callsign W5TIT is
vulgar in the family/ham setting]
...hope I paraphrased it correctly.

Aaron -

Do you have kids? Do you know any kids? Have a listen
to their music today. The word 'tit' has nothing on
today's Mother F#&#er, cop killer, 'slap my bitch' lyrics.

You think your our kids are going to hell or become
criminals because they hear the word tit?

Personally, I'd like to see Kim join up with another
ham who's call was xxTIT. Then they'd be a pair of ...

Lumpy ab0gd
--
Temporary Duty Assignment
West Hartford, Connecticut

The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
http://www.digitalcartography.com

1432. kc3au takes over 313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: nohambull1049@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85aal9duo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122413

when john comes on it's over on and on and on and on and on and on the freq was in good shape people were talking having a nice time john checked in and just left every one out and preached for an hour i give him turkey of the day its getting old..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1433. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3878A204.6490C7B6@icss.net>
Source record: 122414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Dang, Brian, you're preaching to the deaf here.....Dumb,
> too.

Good grief, now you're calling yourself deaf and dumb. How's your eyesight?

1434. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s7h99go6oj8182@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Steve - KF2TI" <kf2ti@interactive.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.12e1aebd7f199804989802@news.interactive.net...
> In article <BpMd4.570$3a.10781@news1.teleport.com>, jpfrankl@teleport.com
> says...
> > > > I Blame the ARRL!
> >
> > > > Good! You guys always thought that you were better than everyone else.
> > > > Quit wining. It's about time that the FCC fixed ham radio.
> > > > It is only a matter of time till the 5wpm is dropped.
> > > > You're just mad because someone peed in your punchbowl.
> > >
> >
> > Here here!!! I only belong to the A-double cross-L because of QST. I
> > probably won't renew because it's getting to be a bunch of Holier-than
thou
> > people pattin' themselves on the back.
> >
> > Pat
>
> Actually the back patters are those Board of Directors of No Code
> International..see various postings from Carl Stevenson and Bill Sohl
>
> Good bad or indifferent, they are taking full responsibility for the FCC
> decision.

No, Steve, not "taking full responsibility for the FCC decision" ... just
being happy and gratified that the results are amost 100% in line with
what we recommended in our various comments. Many others were
also responsible for the outcome by their efforts in filing comments
as well. NCI's Board of Directors are proud to have been involved
in helping to affect the outcome in a positive and progressive way.

The FCC (and particularly the WTB staff who worked on this item)
are to be highly commended for doing a thorough job of evaluating
the comments and the situation in the ARS and making a decision
which is in the public interest and goes a long way towards
stimulating the kind of growth and progress which the ARS needs
to move into the future as a healthy service.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse

<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOHik95e+N6+q84HiEQJhHgCgsUHDWLamf2LxXPwZ75bFE6xMAvQAoJps
OrmMzcPe2jl46PkMQpD/pyWq
=P86r
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

1435. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <3878a279.12704270@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 122416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 22:24:50 -0800, "Daniel J. Morlan"
<dmorlan@ca.freei.net> wrote:

>> You apparently suffer from a lack of self-esteem.

SNIP

>> If that's all you want, just have a fancy, official-looking embossed piece
>> of paper framed and put on the wall next to your $3000 general-coverage
>> receiver. Only a tiny handful of people will know the difference.....

Nick,

I think suggesting people who take pride in acomplishments should
simply forge their acomplishments to save work is a very poor
suggestion.

I can't imagine why that would make anyone feel good about themselves.

>While scalding, that was very well said Nick. I indend to get my license
>someday. This is a very VERY new interest of mine, and I go into this
>interest late in life at the ripe old age of 26. I'm going to be taking
>some electronics classes at a community college in the meantime. Your
>remarks while scalding, are well said...

Since you think Nick spoke the truth, Does that mean you intend to
forge a license, as Nick suggested?

This all seems very strange to me. No wonder its so difficult to find
skilled help today. I'll have to check closer and see if the
credentials are forged or real. I had no idea this was going on.

73 Tom

>

1436. WTB: Drake 1544 adaptor box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9sqg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109100751.26409.00000991@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 122417

Need a second adaptor to complete my second TR-7 station. It allows transcieve of TR7, R7, and RV75.

73

Evan

1437. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3878A80A.5857@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
>
> mdwade wrote:
> >
> > BTW, Kim, is N5TIT taken?
>
> Martin, at the time I applied for my callsign, I wanted K5TIT (which is
> already issued to a guy in Florida; so, uh, Aaron--what do you have to
> say about that? Shouldn't he give that call up?). Anyway, N5TIT was a
> possibility. The only problem with that is at the time there was a
> little riff going on at a local level and I didn't really want to be
> seen as agitating those whose N5 calls would have been right there with
> mine, as that was not the reason I got this call.
>
> So, why did I get the call? Well, all "the guys" were getting their
> vanity calls and, at first, I was kinda not supporting the vanity call
> program. So, one morning on the local repeater I keyed up and I said
> something like, "Jeeze...if I got a vanity call, it would be a TRUE
> vanity call." They all knew what I was talking about and we ribbed
> around about it for a couple of weeks and then it kind of dropped. A
> couple of months later, I figured I would "join the crowd" and shock
> them with that call on the air.
>
> In just about a year, heck maybe over a year now...Aaron and one other
> person are the only negative comments I have gotten on this call. It
> has disrupted contests with outbursts of laughter, it has put the shine
> back in our elder hams' near lifeless eyes, it has gently turned the
> corners of many a prudish gentlemen. And, I have enjoyed it.
>
> 73/Kim W5TIT

GO GET'EM. To each his or her own, darn if they can't take a joke,
stay off the air and if you don't like my driving, stay off the
sidewalk.
73 de Martin N5PZJ (advanced soon to be Extra) EXTRA WHAT?

1438. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <B750516861055C0D.64A43A83DB28B3BC.0A32555162CE891F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Gee Kim, name calling so early in the joust? Many of the regular (decent)
> posters have called me worse. I would think that someone with a vulgar call
> could have outdone them...
>

See? Now you get to the real point, which is that you think this is a
joust. You pompous baffoon. OH, by the way...you want to know what
phonetics I use on the air for this vulgar callsign? With adults and if
I feel they will find the humor in it, I use "two in tow"

1439. WTB: 160m link coil, BC-610?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9sqg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109103826.26406.00000916@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 122420

Interested in buying a like new 160m link coupled coil, low or preferably high power.

73

Evan

1440. Thank Goodness!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85ae57gbf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122421

The fact that AF2Y is gone from this forum even for a little while is a blessing. Lets hope he finds his next ISP won't put up with slander either.

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1441. W9ART Brain Damage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85aa5skip1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122422

http://www.rainreport.com/
FCC ENFORCEMENT LOG

1442. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <D38E4CDBFCEE5997.0ADCBEFF9DCB4B02.2B9D10B71C44093C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> > Dirty jokes could accomplish all that. But then I guess your call is kind of
> > a dirty joke. But why force it into a family setting...
>
> If it weren't for tits, there wouldn't be any mammal families,
> including homo sapien families.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Cecil! Thanks for the, er....support(?) :)

1443. 3950 Causes brain damage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85aap5$2mo$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122424

http://www.rainreport.com/
Enforcement Log(Real Audio)

1444. Re: Callsign xxTIT (was END of Ham Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <225597F763940E80.45283D3D3C9C16E3.8FB03E03D409644E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122425

"Lumpy (mobile)" wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> [in essence that he thinks Kim's callsign W5TIT is
> vulgar in the family/ham setting]
> ...hope I paraphrased it correctly.
>
> Aaron -
>
> Do you have kids? Do you know any kids? Have a listen
> to their music today. The word 'tit' has nothing on
> today's Mother F#&#er, cop killer, 'slap my bitch' lyrics.
>
> You think your our kids are going to hell or become
> criminals because they hear the word tit?
>
> Personally, I'd like to see Kim join up with another
> ham who's call was xxTIT. Then they'd be a pair of ...
>
> Lumpy ab0gd
> --
> Temporary Duty Assignment
> West Hartford, Connecticut
>
> The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
> http://www.digitalcartography.com

Lumpy! We've never communed, but I used to subscribe to the APRS group. Anyway, good to "see" you again! Oh, on ham radio, a kid won't hear the word "tit" at least from me...I used my callsign, W-5-T-I-T. IF no big deal is made over it, it goes right over the heads of even adults...that's the "neat" thing about it!

Kim W5TIT

1445. Using DxTelnet with Proxy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Santella <santella@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <krch7ssmmrvs7lnp1gpsh6est1e7a6ok1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 122426

Has anyone used an irc program such as DxTelnet with a proxy server
with success?

Thanks
Art Santella (K1VKO)
"If You Ain't The Lead Dog, The Scenery Never Changes."

1446. K2ECA , PORKBUTTS BUDDY "Never Qrmed"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85afoq$hi1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122427

Can you believe it, K2ECA, Porkbutts sidekick, is now saying he never qrmed. He was one of the biggest qrmers on 3894.5 , 3901, 14313, for years. Now he claims to be a angel. What a pile of bull crap!!

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1447. WTB:Alinco DR-1200t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pac-Man <pac4949@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3878b058.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 122428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Hello,
I'm wanting to buy a Alinco DR-1200t cheap!
You have one of these critters to let go please e-mail me
with price. thanks.... kb9hgi@qsl.net

Steve KB9HGI

1448. Re: 6 Meter Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ahrf$j2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122429

In article <20000107115348.00763.00000442@ng-ck1.aol.com>,
srmbj@aol.com (Srmbj) wrote:
> Any suggestions for a 6 meter mobile antenna? I was told that a 48"
2 meter
> 5/8 wave antenna is equal to a 6 meter 1/4 wave antenna. Is this a
way to go
> or should I look for a dedicated 6 meter antenna?
>
> Thanks,
> Joe
>
You did not say if your planning for FM or SSB action on six. Six
meter FM can be worked effectively with the standard vertical whip, and
the suggestions of the others are alright.

However if you are interested in SSB Id recommend a horizontal
antenna. This will allow both "skip" and "local" chatting. Back in
the "old days" the Saturn 6 was the antenna of choice. Now I'd
recommend the M2 system.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1449. Re: I apologize (was re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: geomac@hopkinsville.net
Message-ID: <geomac-0901001055160001@pm50-s78-tier1.evansville.net>
Source record: 122430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <84mnn7$3sh$1@ionews.ionet.net>, "Volfy" <Volfy@ionet.net> wrote:

> I sat back and took a deep breath...
>
> All this bickering made me draw a sharp contrast to another favorite hobby
> of mine - RC airplanes. In all my days of flying RC, I've never come across
> such hostility from the "elders" toward newcomers as I have witnessed here.
> Quite on the contrary, most if not all realize the future of the hobby lies
> in the critical task of ensuring a healthy inrush of new blood into the
> fold.
>
> The RC community struggled through many of the same problems and dilemmas
> faced by ARS today:
>
> 1. Dwindling interest from young folks. (vs. video games, internet, sports,
> etc.)
> 2. Crowded flying fields
> 3. Interference from many sources (pagers, cell phones, other RCers, etc.)
> 4. Relatively high startup cost
> 5. Danger of losing frequency allocation
> 6. Lost art of scratch-building airplanes (vs. the ever more popular Almost
> Ready to Fly kits)
>
> I have yet to hear one RC old-timer bitch at newcomers for crowding the
> flying fields, or not assembling an airplane from balsa sticks, or not being
> interested in one particular type of RC flying. I have tremendous respect
> for the many RC mentors who gave up whole Sundays of flying their own planes
> just to teach me all I'm willing to learn.
>
> None of the RC "old pros" care one iota about being pinned any badge of
> distinction. They are there on Sundays to have fun. Afterall, it is just a
> hobby. Having fun, to most of them, include teaching youngsters and helping
> RC-idiot adults, not ridiculing the uninitiated. They never demanded
> respect; the respect came heartfelt from those they helped. Most new flyers
> I've known hold much higher regard for those who helped them than for the
> club ace acrobatic flying hotshot.
>
> As a result, RC is prospering, even through constant evolution. The
> popularity has ensured that equipment costs stay low. The solidarity has
> successfully defended and even expanded the RC bandwidth against several FCC
> considerations (read threats from commercial interests).
>
> --------

>
> Back to Ham Radio. The ARRL Introduction books and study guides I've come
> across always portrayed this same type of healthy family atmosphere. Sadly,
> such is not the case if one is to believe the in-fighting that goes on here
> and on the airwaves.
>
> I, as a newbie, was awestruck by the "warm reception" afforded me here in
> these Newsgroup discussions following the FCC announcement. I got rather
> emotional and lashed back at those who view me more as a threat than a
> fellow enthusiast. I hereby apologize to those I have offended and for the
> bandwidth waste I've caused.
>
> I will argue no more. My wife and I both have 5wpm and written exams for
> which to study. However trivial these tasks may be regarded by some, they
> have been set forth as the new official rules, and we intend to abide by and
> make the most of them.
>
> Best Regards,
> Lingo Chang
> KD5IOU

Hello,

I have been in the RC hobby since the escapement / galloping ghost days
and I agree with what you say concerning the differences in some of the
attitudes towards the beginner by some people in the ARS vs RC. That being
said, I should point out that for RC, a license of any kind was never
needed, (except for a Class C CB license in the early days if you RC
transmitter ran over 100 milliwats, and I guess at the very beginnings of
the hobby when an amateur radio license was needed), but not in the last
25 years or do. In the ARS, there was always a sort of Caste system of
licensing. I was first licensed as a Novice 35 years ago and immediatly
ran into what you are talking about, and it continues unabated today.
The culture of the ARS is very different than the culture of the RC hobby.

73
George
W3GEO
(Orphaned Advanced)

1450. Re: W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85aikm$ji6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122431

In article <3877bc54.881515@nntp.mindspring.com>,
kk5yy@arrl.net wrote:
>
>
> KJI wasn't he the one who got off with a forged tape? I remember that.
> He apparently didn't learn from that experience since Riley caught him
> red handed the other night. It's going to take a REALLY good fake tape
> to turn that around. But who is keeping score?
>
> I heard your buddy Sid on 2M the other day I guess he's been in the
> hospital. Look for me on APRS in Vegas I'll be there all Winter.
>
> On 08 Jan 2000 01:26:24 GMT, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:
>
> >Hey Steve don't forget
> >W1WCR (twice), W2YEE(6 months),
> >KJI -susp. was recinded - but who keeping score, oh it looks like
you are.
> >N8wgm wrote
> >>Lets see now--------the score
> >>is----------W9ART-----WA9KJI-------K5BLB-----N0KED--------soon
Doofus-------
> >
> >
>
>
WA9KJI is nothing but a world class jammer...PERIOD. And now that
Riley has heard it first hand I wonder what will happen now?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1451. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <858l9ubqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122464

Joe Schlatter wrote in message <77Pd4.9081
$7L.434368@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>...
>Mr. Strom now reveals himself. As with the other breed of cockroach,
Mr.
>Strom cannot tolerate bright light. Let's review his response
>to my posting of quotes from him and my posting of folks who oppose
him.
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3876C148.CCBC18A0@innocent.com>...
>>What a impressively large blob of altered and out-of-context text,
>>cut and pasted into a series of lies taken from several hard-left
>>sites of varying degrees of neurosis. I note you even have the
>>kindness to bring a family tragedy into the "discussion."
>
>"out-of-context?" I think not. Yes, I did clip a few juicy parts but
>anyone who wishes is free to visit the URLs that I posted and read the
whole
>document.
>
>"hard-left" sites? I think not. I may have posted material that you
do not
>agree with or do not like -- and what is "har left" after all? The
opposite
>of "soft right?"
>
>"family tragedy?" Looks to me as though his wife had enough and
walked.
>Tragic perhaps to Mr. Strom but it appears as though his ex was glad
>to be out of the cuckoo's nest.

>>I'm sure the intelligent reader will, if interested at all, give the
>>swill exactly the credibility it deserves.
>
>
>Interesting that he refers to his own material as "swill."
>
>>
>>What caused you to suddenly hit the ceiling, Joe?
>
>"hit the c\\eiieling?" I think not. In fact, I am my usual calm
self. I
>returned from a business trip late on Friday night and was catching up
on my

>e-mail and NG browsing. Family was quietly reading so I amsued myself
by
>doing a little research into hate on the web.
>
>>
>>You are displaying a remarkable degree of hysteria for a being
>>presumably not equipped with a uterus.
>
>And here we have an attack on women.
>Apparently Mr. Strom dislikes and stereotypes women just as he does
folks of
>races and religions different from his own.
>What is hysterical about simply posting quotes from an individual?
And why
>do we assume that only females are "hysterical?"
>
>Folks like Mr. Strom cannot tolerate reasoned opposition. They build
their
>position by surrounding themselves with sycophants who cheer and
applaud
>their every word. Let someone slip in a little simple reason and
logic --
>let someone quote their own words in the whole and in context thereby
>revealing the true identity of the person -- let someone stand up to
them --
>and they come all unglued and resort to nonsense.
>
>Mr. Strom: Sir, you would do yourself a favor by not responding. The
more
>you speak, the deeper you dig the hole.
>--
>
>Regards,
>Joe Schlatter
>joe@schlatter.org
>http://www.schlatter.org/
>http://www.miafacts.org/
>Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

Poor KKKevin, he's getting his lederhosen in a wad.
Good work, Joe.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1452. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <858tgd$h7h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122465

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote in message
<4FC9A47D343045E7.2C095A837B9CC1DD.EB79882E88EA069C@lp.airnews.net>...
>Aaron Jones wrote:
>>
>> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>>
>> >I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a [CB] band where
>> >I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you
>> >know,the insults, degradations, threats, etc.).
>>
>> Is your CB handle gonna be "Boob"? It would fit. Someone >>who
chooses "tit" for a Vanity call sign shouldn't complain about >>garbage
mouth hams.
>> Garbage mouth or garbage mouth call? No difference. Believe it or
>>not, ham radio was once a family rated hobby...
>
><GIGGLE> Aaron: You know what? Just as the rules now will allow >me
to upgrade to General or Extra with the existing code speed I have
>accomplished, they also allowed me this callsign. Ham radio is still a
>family hobby. I do NOT have "tit" for a callsign, I have W5TIT as a
>callsign, legally issued by the FCC to me. Get over it, you pompous
>baffoon.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Hey, Arrogant Jones, do you need any salt with that foot in your mouth?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1453. Carl, Wha... happened?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <858u8fhmb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122466

Hey, Carl,

Wha happepened, man? I waited on 10. There was an ongoing QSO on out
agreed freq but I called + and - 3 Khz from the freq. I lost your e-
mail.
Write me back to set it up, I want to see ya get your WAS.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1454. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3877D200.590B662D@cats-net.com>
Source record: 122467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Dave Hall wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Hall wrote:
> > > > > Now we finally are recognizing the pointlessness of requiring advanced
> > > > > CW simply to access phone HF, and of course the old farts will whine
> > > > > about the doom and gloom that it will bring.
> > > >
> > > > "We" are, huh? And everyone who holds a differing opinion is an "old
> > > > fart". You are just as I supposed you to be.
> > > >
> > > And just what is that?
> > >
> > A rather cocky and arrogant fellow with little to crow about. That
> > certainly doesn't stop your crowing though.
>
> Nor does it stop you.

You're starting to lose me on this, Dave. I wasn't the one doing the
crowing.

> > > > > As far as I'm concerned, ham radio is much better off without guys that
> > > > > cannot accept people for who they are and not what class of license > that they
hold.
> > > >
> > > > Dave, there is no moral nor any legal requirement for me to accept
> > > > anyone for who they are or what they are. I never had any problem
> > > > accepting anyone because of amateur radio license class. I'm not, on
> > > > the other hand, obligated to like someone.
> > > >
> > > No, but you don't have the right to put someone down because they don't
> > > share your viewpoint of how things should be.
> > >
> > Of course I have that right. I'm not held in bondage to political
> > correctness. You just did the same above by calling anyone who doesn't
> > share your view as an "old fart", did you not?
>
> No, I did NOT say that anyone that does not share *MY* personal views is

> an old fart. I said that anyone not willing to be open minded, tolerant
> and non-prejudicial, was an "old fart" Maybe I used the wrong word.

I think you certainly did. You started throwing terms like "old fart"
to describe those who don't agree with your perspective on things.

> Maybe I should have just said "Bigot". Look up the definition, and then
> try to tell me that many of these guys (including you it seems) do not
> fit the definition. And THEN tell me that I'm "arrogant". If the truth
> hurts that much, maybe you should re-evaluate your point of view.

If I don't think the FCC's move was a good one and that simply does not
make me a bigot. It makes me someone with a very different opinion than
yours. Oh...what "truth" are we discussing?

> > > There's a difference between "liking" someone, and tolerating someone.
> > > No one is suggesting that you give new a 5 WPM extra a big Barney hug,
> > > but he is entitled to be respected as a person in spit of the fact that
> > > he didn't have to endure the 20 WPM hazing ritual.
> > >
> > There is certainly a difference. I am required to like nor tolerate no
> > one. No one is "entitled" to my respect. Your "hazing ritual" line is
> > another which I find offensive. I've decided to neither like you nor
> > tolerate you.
> >
> Bummer! The world is full of people that may not agree with your view of
> things.

The world is also full of people who may agree with me.

I see it as you have 2 choices. Accept, tolerate, and
> understand, or stick your head in the sand and be miseable. Which will
> it be?

Hell, Dave--I have many more choices than that. I do understand. I
don't accept. I'm not sticking my head in the sand and I'm certainly
not miserable. See, I don't have to go by your list.

> As to liking me or not, that is your choice as well. I certainly won't
> lose sleep over it. As for tolerating me, well, as long as you continue
> to read, you'll have to tolerate me, and others, who don't share your
> views. That's life. Since your arguments thusfar have been based on
> opinion and emotion, you cannot "win" the debate. If you'd like to
> debate this on a factual basis, I'd be up for the challenge.

You don't seem to understand how things work. I not obliged to tolerate
you. I can respond to your posts as I'm doing now. As to my opinion
vs. your opinion--I like mine.

> > > > I sincerely hope that this FCC action causes a lot of old
> > > > > stuffed shirts to sell all their equipment and throw in the towel. More
> > > > > room for the rest of us, that truly want to see ham radio progress, and
> > > > > who don't have a close minded, bigoted, and predjudicial attitude.

> > > >
> > > > Again, anyone who holds a differing opinion from yours is a stuffed
> > > > shirt who should leave amateur radio and leave room for "us"--those who
> > > > "don't have a close minded, bigoted and predjudicial (sic) attitude".
> >
> > > Anyone who is not willing to accept that things change, and be able to
> > > move on, without sour grapes, and a refusal to show "good will" to
> > > newcomers, IS a stuffed shirt. Again, you have NO right to prejudge
> > > anyone, based simply on their perceived "worthiness" (in your > opinion).
> >
> > I've not decided to refuse "good will" to newcomers. I've decided not
> > your decree of who should sell equipment and throw in the towel. While
> > prejudice can be a bad thing, you weren't appointed arbiter of who is or
> > isn't prejudiced or of who should stay and who should leave amateur
> > radio (leaving more room for the "rest of us").
>
> I never implied that I was the all mighty "arbiter". The prejudice is
> blatently obvious in those who will not tolerate others' activities,
> simply because they don't fit into their own narrow viewpoints. Don't
> shoot me for being the messenger. I only speak what I see. Because you
> refuse to see it, or try to rationalize it any number of ways, does not
> mean that it isn't there. If it walks like a duck, swims like a duck,
> and quacks like a duck, it certainly ain't no chicken...

I'm beginning to see a pattern here. If my view doesn't coincide with
yours, it's narrow, huh? You've used a bit too many metaphors for me.
As I understand it, you're a messenger for something or other and your
vision is of things swimming, walking and quacking which are not
chickens. This is starting to read like the book of Revelations.

> Whether or not someone "throws in the towel" or not is their own choice.
> Personally, I'd rather see them leave the hobby in disgust rather than
> have to put up with their pompous, arrogant, superior egos, on the
> bands. That type of grandstanding does nothing good for the hobby. Get
> over it, move on, or move out. Simple as that.

You're right. Getting out of amateur radio is an individual's own
decision to make. I certainly don't feel that many will take such
drastic action. If your inferiority complex doesn't allow you to
coexist with those who don't share your opinion, don't expect others to
vacate the bands just to salve your feelings. You see, no one is
required to move on or move out.

> >
> > > If they pass the required FCC tests, they are entitled to the privileges
> > > as hams, as set forth by the FCC.
> >
> > Agreed but I don't need to throw in a towel, sell my gear or to like any
> > one of them.
>
> That's up to you. Stay if you want, but don't preach to the newcomers

> how much "better" you are simply because you mastered an obsolete
> telegraphy skill. It won't mean much to the guy designing digital audio
> compression algorithms.

Thanks for permitting me to stay. I don't know if I'll be able to
contain myself as far as the preaching if the guy designing the
algorithms is a lid on the air.

> > > Bottom line: your opinion is irrelevant. The law is the law.
> >
> > Oops. You don't get to decide what is irrelevant and, in this case, the
> > regs are the regs.
>
> The regs say that new hams need only pass 5 WPM to become licensed for
> the whole anchilada. Therefore by extension, whether or not you believe
> that they are "real" hams or not is irrelevant. It's not up to me to
> decide it. The FCC already has.

Anchildas must be some new Mexican dish with anchovies. I don't
question whether anyone will be a real ham. If an individual passes 5
wpm and the written exams, there'll be no doubt that the person is as
real as hams are ever going to get these days. What matters to me is
that person's on-air practice.
> >
> > > >
> > > > Neither a license class nor a callsign will make you other than you are.
> > >
> > > An open minded individual who is not afraid of change, and who welcomes
> > > the modernization of the ham radio service, and who has little sympathy
> > > for those who refuse to accept it.
> >
> > ...or, in my view, one who brays about his upcoming "gimme" as if he'd
> > just won the Nobel Prize.
>
> And we've alrady determined that what you think is irrelevant.

Again, you don't have the privelege of deciding that. What I think can
only be irrelevant to you.

> >
> > > >
> > > > Strive to be mediocre!
> >
> > > Seems to have worked well for you.
> >
> > Dave, I've passed all the written exams and a 20 wpm code exam.
>
> Whoopie! That and buck will get you a cup of coffee and 2 hot dogs at
> the local mini-mart. It's a shame that all that testing and code skill
> haven't taught you to be more accepting of differing viewpoints.

I have money for coffee and dogs. You seem to think that I should be keep mum on my feelings on the new changes in the regs. I'm not going to play the game of political correctness.

> > I've never settled for mediocre in anything I've wanted to do in this life
> > and I've never asked for anyone to make something easier for me because
> > I couldn't handle it.
>
> And if everyone thought like you, we'd still be shoeing horses, lighting
> flares at intersections, when we cross, as well as doing a lot of
> obsolete things. At some point, the rules have to change to track with
> improvements in technology.

Oh, B.S.! CW was and is a viable and popular mode. It is still in wide use on the amateur bands. It doesn't matter a bit whether anyone in commercial services use it. We aren't commercial ops. Anyone whose license permits the use of CW should not have a problem demonstrating some skill in its use.

At some point, we have to acknowlege that
> morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
> at what point do we finally stop testing for it?

A good point would be when its use is neglible. We aren't even close to that point yet.

Do we dogmatically
> continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
> Trekking around the galaxy?

I don't think it'll matter a bit to either of us.

No one is demanding "easier access" to ham
> radio, just access that is more in line with the technology of TODAY.

That is an outright falsehood.

> You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study
> for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.

Me too--and I'll pass a code test to boot.

> I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW.

...and because the light never dawned for you personally, CW was, in your eyes, irrelevant.

> I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that
> was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
> and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
> "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
> I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
> it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"

Don't give me no jive about your "precious time". If you found time to study the theory, you could have nailed the code. I'd hate to think

that I wasted eighteen years of something I wanted in order to wait for
a "gimme".

> It's unfortunate that the FCC didn't revamp the theory tests. They are
> way too easy now. I passed all of the current the internet sample tests
> without even studying them first. But it seems that the FCC is mostly
> interested in making their administrative jobs easier.....

Let's see how you progress.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

1455. Re: WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000108190403.19380.00000762@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 122468

YCTHULHU wrote
>"WA9KJI Caught With Pants Down"
>Whew! I'm glad nobody took a picture.
>That would be scary!
>It also would attract millions of flies.

Just the 'thought" of it drew you here.
Now buzz off! LOL couldn't resist. LOL
73 de Tim

1456. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <3877d165.173054867@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>
>Patrick, you could bottle that and sell it. At the very least send it in to
>QST, to the editor.
>
Hey Son, I got a bridge you might be interested in buying. it's in
Brooklyn . . .
>
>73, Brian/N0iMD

1457. Local ham shop ran out of advanced and extra license study guides

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <858l7ojmr1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122470

The local HRO in Silicon Valley is cleaned out of all but the tech
license study guides. The guy at the store said they sold out in
a few days after the R&O came out. Looks like a lot of upgrading
is going to happen. And the VEC sessions will be crouded.

1458. Re: BUY the ham bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: imnot@hame.ca
Message-ID: <3877d957.16533291@news.pangea.ca>
Source record: 122471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Why buy what you already have

On Sat, 08 Jan 2000 10:12:35 -0800, Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia
<bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>I have an interesting idea: If it were possible to BUY the shortwave bands
>and then use it for our hobby - I wonder how much $$$ that would raise?
>

1459. Re: Demagoguery: Was: Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <EQQd4.10085$7L.451048@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You see, Mr. Strom, it's known as "demagoguery," from the word "demagogue" -- one who stirs up people using emotion, prejudice, and so forth in order to win them over quickly and thereby gain power for himself.

When I was a young fellow growing up in deep south Mississippi in the late 1940's and early 1950's, life there was not much changed from the days of slavery. Local politicians stayed in office by yelling "N*****, n*****, n*****" from the back of a flatbed truck. My former governor (I moved to Alabama) George Wallace was more sophisticated but the message was the same.

I have witnessed the vacuous nature of appeals to racism, most strikingly when:

1. At age 10, accompanying my grandfather hunting, we came upon the burned remains of a black man who had been tortured then burned to death for some minor transgression -- an act that was not rare in the South of those days.
2. At age 20, as a college student in Alabama, after I had participated in the last portion of the Selma to Montgomery march, where I shook hands with Rosa Parks and Martin Luther King, Jr., I -- and a few friends -- were threatened with expulsion from our college by a dean. He lost.
3. In 1969 - 70, in the jungles of Vietnam, I saw American boys -- white, black, Protestant, Jewish, rich, poor -- lose arms, legs, eyes, and their young lives -- without questioning what they were doing or why. When I put them -- or parts of them -- in body bags, the only color visible was blood red. Of course, you, sir, having never put your life on the line for anything would know nothing about that.

Thus, Mr. Strom, your nice, neat, apparently carefully reasoned and researched arguments are no different from the ravings of the redneck candidates for sheriff whom I heard on those red dirt woods in the Mississippi backwoods in 1951. It's all the noise of a demagogue who wants to be heard but wants to never be questioned because he knows that the light of day will drive out the darkness that he preaches.

If, sir, you find my comments troubling or testy, that is your problem. Demagogues inspire the pitiful Tim McVeighs of the world to sacrifice themselves but the demagogue never gets his own hands dirty. Why not? Because have another characteristic in common: they are cowards.
--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/

http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

Kevin Alfred Strom wrote in message <3876C148.CCBC18A0@innocent.com>...
>What a impressively large blob of altered and out-of-context text,
>cut and pasted into a series of lies taken from several hard-left
>sites of varying degrees of neurosis. I note you even have the
>kindness to bring a family tragedy into the "discussion."
>
>I'm sure the intelligent reader will, if interested at all, give the
>swill exactly the credibility it deserves.
>
>What caused you to suddenly hit the ceiling, Joe?
>
>You are displaying a remarkable degree of hysteria for a being
>presumably not equipped with a uterus.
>
>73 and good luck in the contest,

No contest, Mr. Strom.

GAME OVER.
YOU LOSE.

>Kevin, WB4AIO.
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1460. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <3877d42f.173769418@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>and more on elmering, encouragement and mutual respect than it does
>now.
>
There are some cold hard pragmatic elements in the mix which support
the ongoing use of cw, Ed. Phone band overcrowding and behavior
issues, it's far less expensive to get on HF with cw than with ssb
assuming qrp is acceptable to the individual which seems to
increasingly be the case, the bottom-line superior communications
effectiveness of cw over ssb particularly under poor/marginal condx,
etc.
>
Dunno about anybody else in this NG but the only reason I greatly
prefer HF cw to phone is because cw is 'way more **effective** and
reliable for long-haul comms. I don't "love" cw, that's silly. I do cw
simply because it works better on HF for amateur purposes and for no
other reason. Jiggling code tests and Part 97 has no relationship at
all with applied physics, in the end it's the realities of the KISS
theory and physics which inevitably prevails.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

1461. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <3877e23f.177369301@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Some of them (myself for sure) are going to take the Adv and Extra
>test just to prove to ourselves that it WAS the code test that held us
>back for 40+ years.
>
Mwaaahaha! All you'll accomplish is to prove that yes, you really were
goofy/lazy enough to hold yerself back for 40+ years.
>

1462. Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <_SRd4.10557$7L.463344@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Interesting interview clipped from the pages of the Southern Poverty Law Center's Intelligence Reports:
http://www.splcenter.org/cgi-bin/goframe.pl?refname=/intelligenceproject/ip-4i4.html

If you cannot access that URL, go to http://www.splcenter.org, click on the link to Intelligence Reports, then click on the Search function and search for the name Strom.

QUOTE

Q&A: Inside the Alliance

A former insider speaks

For four years, Kirsten Kaiser, 36, lived with her husband on or near the West Virginia compound of William Pierce, the leader of the neo-Nazi National Alliance and author of the racist fantasy novel The Turner Diaries. Kaiser was married to Kevin Alfred Strom, who co-hosts Pierce's racist and anti-Semitic radio show and is one of Pierce's closest associates. In that role, she got to know Pierce's inner circle and some of its members' thinking. Over the nine years of her marriage, Kaiser came to question the violently racist views of the Alliance -- especially after the deaths of 19 children in the Oklahoma City bombing, which was patterned on an attack portrayed in The Turner Diaries. Today, she is living in Minnesota and going through a divorce from Strom. The Intelligence Report interviewed Kaiser about her life and acquaintances inside the Alliance, and how she came to leave.

INTELLIGENCE REPORT: How did you first hear of the National Alliance?

KAISER: I first heard about it in 1986 through reading magazines which my second husband, Joseph McLaughlin, had. I remember initially I was really shocked. I had noticed that Joseph didn't seem to like Democrats or Republicans, and I asked him, "What are you anyway?" He said he was a [white] nationalist, that when he was 17 years old he had run away from home and gone to Iceland so he could be around other nationalists.

IR: What was your first contact with William Pierce?

KAISER: Joseph had me call Dr. Pierce one day in the summer of 1987. It was the day that [former Nazi leader] Rudolph Hess died. Joseph was all excited about this and they were all saying that it was a plot, a murder conspiracy.

IR: What did you say to Pierce?

KAISER: I said that I'd been reading his magazines. And he said, 'You know, I'm really going to have to have you meet Kevin Strom. I know you'll just love him.' I didn't meet Kevin until about four months later, on Nov. 7, 1987, when he came to our house in Arlington [Va.], but I really did like him a lot. I fell in love with him, but I didn't see him again until January 1988.

I was still married to Joseph at that time, but Kevin was starting to introduce me to people. I really wanted to meet people. I was very alone, very isolated.

They were all in this radical movement and they talked about radical things and it was very mysterious and fun and interesting. I had never met anybody like this. In the meantime, the situation with Joseph was getting worse. On March 15, 1988, I left. Kevin helped me move, and he moved into the apartment that I got.

IR: How had Kevin gotten into the movement?

KAISER: It turns out that Kevin's high school government teacher in Fairfax, Va., was a fascist who kind of recruited him into all this. He pointed him to the John Birch Society. Kevin was never involved in the Klan. He is the big intellectual -- we continuously made fun of the Klan. But he did read literature like Instauration [a relatively highbrow racist publication] and corresponded with people like Jared Taylor [who runs a magazine called American Renaissance and has argued that blacks are less intelligent than whites].

IR: When did you first meet Pierce in person?

KAISER: A little before that, at the very end of 1987. Joseph and Kevin and I all met Dr. Pierce at a restaurant in Arlington. I had just finished reading The Turner Diaries, which I thought was very poorly written, and I think I told him so, which may be part of the reason why he dislikes me so much. He said that he had written it very fast and that he was very surprised at how popular it had become. He said that he had always intended to rewrite it and make it better, but that he liked it real well.

IR: Do you think Pierce was serious about the message of the book [which depicts a race war set off by the protagonist's bombing of the FBI headquarters building]?

KAISER: Yes. That first night we met, I remember that he said that if they didn't blow up the FBI soon, it would become impossible because technology was getting better and better.

I used to drive past the Pentagon and other government buildings every day, and I never gave them a second thought until he started pointing out that there was this big sinister thing going on, that they were ultimately out to get me and Joseph personally, particularly because now we knew the truth that no one was supposed to know.

IR: How was Pierce treated that night?

KAISER: Everybody has always treated Dr. Pierce with tremendous reverence. Nobody calls Dr. Pierce "Bill." Even his wife calls him Dr. Pierce.

IR: And how did Pierce act toward you?

KAISER: Dr. Pierce said, "You're just a housewife," and I was so shocked and hurt. I had just got done reading his whole magazine, the National Vanguard magazine, which had a picture of Venus de Milo on the cover and went on and on about how women should be at home having children. I thought, "If that's what they think, why did he just say that to me?" It really hurt and confused me. It never made any sense.

Dr. Pierce doesn't like women. He told me later that he likes them in a James Bond sense. Those were his favorite movies, James Bond movies. In those movies, women are just sort of fun toys, and that is really how he sees them.

Once, Dr. Pierce showed me some mail-order bride catalogs after his third wife, Olga, left him for three or four months. They were just unbelievable. You pick up a catalog that's full of these women and almost every last one of them is named Eva. It was always eastern European women, from Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia, Russia.

((NOTE: Perhaps this explains Mr. Strom's comments in an earlier post about hysteria and women. And perhaps it explains the photographs on women on his web site -- including a photo of the Venus de Milo.))

IR: After this initial meeting, I understand you stayed in touch with Pierce while you lived in Virginia and, later, in Maryland. When did you and Kevin finally move to Pierce's compound [on 346 acres outside Hillsboro, W. Va.]?

KAISER: We moved on to his land on Oct. 1, 1991, and stayed there in a little trailer until Sept. 3 of 1992. After that, we moved to an apartment above a truck garage in Hillsboro, and Kevin continued working for Dr. Pierce until 1995.

IR: What was it like living on Pierce's land?

KAISER: By the time we moved off the land, I had pretty much had it with the whole bunch of them. I listened to all these speeches about how this was a community and we were going to work together, and it wasn't that way at all. These people never even bothered to say hello and good-bye. They didn't have dinner together, hardly ever, and they didn't have any celebrations together.

There was no discussion between people about what they were doing and how it connected to what other people were doing. The only one who really knew what was going on was Dr. Pierce, and he wasn't telling anybody anything they didn't need to know.

Nobody drinks there. Drinking is absolutely forbidden. And watching television was not allowed -- even though Dr. Pierce watched the news every night, which I remember ended up getting some people angry.

It was a station you could hardly see. It was more like listening to the news. You know, Dr. Pierce has extremely poor eyesight because he once kept his contact lenses in for two solid weeks and that damaged his eyes. He is supposed to be such a smart man, but he doesn't have any common sense at all.

They never did really like me there, and I think another one of the reasons was because I said, "If I'm going to work, you're going to have to pay me something because my time is valuable." They just thought that was unheard of. Everyone works for Dr. Pierce, if not for nothing, then virtually nothing. The people who were paid basically got about $300 a month. Dr. Pierce is very, very stingy in many ways.

IR: How seriously do you think Pierce took his own propaganda?

KAISER: Well, he told me that he had done his dissertation on how to build a nuclear bomb. And he made it very clear that when the time came, he would know what to do. He was really very serious about his desire to destroy Israel. It's not so much that they want to destroy the American government; Israel is what they really hated.

They are waiting for when the economic system collapses because this will help instigate a race war. During this time of unrest, they would take over the armories, take control of the government and bomb Israel. I mean, this is what he plans to do. I guess he's going to rule the world once this happens.

I really do think it's a version of The Turner Diaries.

IR: What about Hunter [the novel that Pierce wrote in 1989, after The Turner Diaries, that describes a campaign of assassinations of interracial couples]?

KAISER: Whatever book he was working would be his enthusiasm of the moment. When Hunter came out, that was the way it was going to happen. If enough mixed-race couples were assassinated in a big flurry, that would be enough to wake people up to action.

That book disturbed me. How can you judge somebody else in matters of the heart? If you really did fall in love with somebody of another race, I don't know if I could judge you. This is something I had a real problem with.

IR: How did events in the outside world affect Pierce's people?

KAISER: They were just delighted that Saddam Hussein was attacking Israel. Another thing that delighted them was when they had those riots in Los Angeles. They want the white man to hate the black. They hoped that when social unrest got so bad that a race war started, that would give us the chance to blow up all these buildings and to get hold of an armory.

You know, wherever you went, you always knew where the armories were. Whenever we were traveling, it was like, "Well, where is the armory?"

IR: What kind of work did you do while you lived on Pierce's land?

KAISER: I did many mailings. I stuffed hundreds and hundreds of envelopes and inventoried books and did a number of other things. But I only did that for Kevin's benefit -- not Dr. Pierce's. As I said, I'd told him that he had to pay me something.

Dr. Pierce also had us making burial tubes [to be used for caching weapons underground]. They were made out of big PVC [plastic] tubes and we would seal the ends with these caps and special tape so that they would be waterproof. As many as we'd make, they'd sell. We'd make 10 to 20 at a time, and they were already sold.

IR: So it was a moneymaking operation for the Alliance?

KAISER: Yes, it was almost pure profit.

IR: What was the reaction when the Southern Poverty Law Center sued Pierce? [Editor's note: After a black sailor was murdered in 1991 by a member of the neo-Nazi Church of the Creator (COTC), Center attorneys sued on behalf of the victim's family and won a $1 million judgment against the COTC. In 1995, after the COTC fraudulently transferred ownership of its North Carolina headquarters to Pierce to keep it from the murdered man's family, the Center sued Pierce personally and won an $85,000 judgment. That judgment was upheld in July by a federal appeals court. The Supreme Court refused to reconsider it.]

KAISER: I remember very well Dr. Pierce making many trips to North Carolina and originally it was a very mysterious thing. After a while, he said that it was very hush-hush, but that it had to do with Ben Klassen [the long-time COTC leader who committed suicide on Aug. 6, 1993].

This all started when [former COTC activist] Will Williams started getting involved. He was like a liaison. After some time, Kevin came to me and said, "Oh no, it is finally happening. [Center co-founder] Morris Dees is suing us." It was like the end of the world. He was terrified of Morris Dees. Kevin just hated him. He said, "Once Morris Dees has set his sights on you, that's it, it's over." They were really upset.

IR: What was Williams' role over the years he was in West Virginia?

KAISER: His title was recruiting coordinator. He came in late 1991 or early 1992, and I thought he was very friendly, really a nice guy, initially. He traveled a lot, and Dr. Pierce paid for his travel. He took over the farm house [on Pierce's land], and put a new roof on it and built a fence around it. He started raising German shepherds there. He had an obsession with German shepherds. By the time he left, he had 17 of them.

Six or eight months after Will arrived, he went to Russia and married a woman he'd been corresponding with and brought her back. She lasted just a few weeks and then she disappeared. Will was very upset. He just changed completely. This was at the same time that Harold Covington [leader of the National Socialist White People's Party] started attacking Will Williams, saying he was an FBI agent and a homosexual.

All these people are really, really paranoid. They all watch each other, and they're all convinced that everybody else is an FBI agent. For a while, there was even talk that Dr. Pierce was an FBI agent. He just laughed about that.

Anyway, Will Williams left in 1993, or possibly 1994.

IR: How did the standoffs at Ruby Ridge and Waco [in 1992 and 1993] affect the National Alliance?

KAISER: We heard about Randy Weaver [the white supremacist whose wife and son were killed by federal agents at Ruby Ridge, Idaho] long before anybody else did. Kevin was telling me every day it is just a matter of time before they come to get us. We have to be ready. We're stockpiling food and I'm keeping distilled water hidden around the house, and I'm getting more and more afraid.

After Waco, Kevin was always forcing me to watch this [antigovernment] video called Waco: The Big Lie [by Indianapolis attorney and militia advocate Linda Thompson, who once threatened an armed march on Washington, D.C.]. I am so full of fear that I don't know what is going to happen, and I don't trust Dr. Pierce. I am beginning to realize that there is something wrong with everybody I know in the movement. Nobody has a regular job, nobody has a regular [non-mail-order] wife. They don't have girlfriends, they don't have cars that work, they don't have any health insurance. They don't have a real life.

I am beginning to say to Kevin, "We have just got to leave, we have got to have a normal life." And he says, "What's a normal life?"

IR: What about the Oklahoma City bombing?

KAISER: The day after the [April 19, 1995] bombing, Kevin got really nervous. He went through the house and found all his old Voice of Tomorrow stuff. [VOT was a mobile, far-right pirate radio station that Strom ran out of a specially equipped van before meeting Kaiser.] I had never seen him so scared. We put a box of the stuff in the back of the car and we drove to the back of a Wal-Mart in Virginia, I think, and dumped it.

Later, Kevin told me that Timothy McVeigh had called the Alliance five minutes before the bomb went off and that somehow the FBI knew. But he said McVeigh must have called a lot of other right-wing groups, too. He also said, "You know, the reason why they were all upset is because the bomb was just like the one talked about in the book." Everyone knew what book he was talking about [The Turner Diaries].

I had befriended a lot of local people in West Virginia, and after Oklahoma, a good friend came to me and said, "You know, Kirsten, you better watch your kids. If they get a chance the local people are going to kill your kids, because a lot of kids were killed in Oklahoma." I look outside my window and there are all these reporters. My heart is racing and I am just petrified. This is real. These are all real reporters, people dressed up in suits with cameras and microphones. I'm not making this up.

IR: How were you affected by all this personally?

KAISER: By this time, I had realized that the idea of a revolution was ridiculous. If these people can't hold down a regular job, what makes you think they can run a country?

Kevin told me that the bombing was a good thing, because it was a government building and that government employees had been killed. It was a part of the revolution. I called my brother and my brother said, "Yeah, well what about all those kids that were killed?" Kevin said to me, "Well, if there were children killed, they must have been the children of government employees and it will teach them all a lesson." This was retribution for what happened in Waco.

After I got through with this conversation with my brother, something began to grow in me. It was like this little seed. My brother works for the Coast Guard, which means the government, so Kevin was saying that he deserved to be killed. It took a little while for that to sink in on me.

I became so depressed after the bombing. The whole idea that they really think it's okay for children to be killed was starting to bother me.

IR: So you began to think about leaving West Virginia?

KAISER: At about this time, Kevin and Dr. Pierce were having a disagreement. I was happy because I was thinking Kevin was finally going to say we could leave. I wanted to go to Minnesota. One day, he came home with a magazine saying Rochester [Minn.] was supposed to be the best place in America to live. It was either 96 percent or 97 percent white, so it was acceptable for that reason. We moved there in November of 1995.

I don't know if anyone can relate to this except maybe a prisoner of war, but when we left I was able to listen to regular radio broadcasts. I hadn't heard Paul Harvey in years. I didn't know that O.J. Simpson had apparently killed his wife. Kevin hadn't allowed us to watch television or read the newspapers because they promote multiculturalism. Driving across country, we were stopping at gas stations and I could see newspapers with today's date on them. There were TVs in the hotel rooms. It was really weird.

IR: How was Rochester once you got there?

KAISER: I got a job as a real estate salesperson. I had a lot of fun, but I felt a sense of shame for the first time because when people asked, I couldn't say what my husband did. At the same time, I couldn't go to office parties, various social things, because Kevin didn't like being around people. And I couldn't go alone because that would mean getting a baby-sitter, and we couldn't have a baby-sitter because they'd be in the house and then they would know who we were.

The fact that I had to name my baby daughter Klara -- Klara was Hitler's mother's name -- was also starting to bother me. This whole deal with Hitler was really starting to bother me.

IR: When did it all come to a head for you?

KAISER: On Nov. 13, 1996, we were listening to the radio to hear the weather report. They were talking about some young couple in New Jersey that had hidden the fact that the girl was pregnant. They killed their baby after she gave birth in a hotel room.

I just started crying, and Kevin got mad at me. He said, "Why are you crying?" And I said, "They killed a baby. They killed a baby." I�m looking at my own baby, who is three or four weeks old, and I am just freaking out. And then Kevin said that it was a good thing that it happened, because the woman was a Jew and the man was a Gentile.

I was thinking several thoughts at the same time. How could he know the woman was a Jew? And it occurred to me, for the first time, that it actually didn�t matter what race the child was. It was a little baby. I just kept envisioning that happening to my baby, and I couldn�t stop crying. I curled up into a ball in the corner and held on to the baby. I wouldn�t let her go, and I couldn�t stop crying.

Eventually, I went to see a counselor. He told me that it was a good thing that I was upset, that everyone should be upset when they hear things like that. He said that all children were all part of our family. That is what he said.

In his office, I picked up an old magazine with pictures of the Oklahoma bombing. I screwed up my courage and I read the articles and I looked at the pictures. I was thinking that this child being held by a fireman doesn�t look any different than my son, Oskar, and it�s covered with blood. And that whole idea, that there really isn�t any difference, that children are children, all came to me. It all came together on that date.

� Copyright Information.All rights reserved. Southern Poverty Law Center.

END QUOTE

--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

1463. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <3877e621.178363506@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
>> Hey Son, I got a bridge you might be interested in buying. it's in
>> Brooklyn . . .
>> >
>> >73, Brian/N0iMD
>
>Sounds interesting. Where's Brooklyn?
>
Go to your bedroom.
>
```

1464. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <858qf8fqr1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Here's a list of the values in the test pool questions on Q from f, L, r:

Q f L r
1.84 3.6 43 1.8k
0.25 3.6 42 1.8k
32.2 3.6 3 2.2k
39 7.125 12.6 22k
2.73 7.125 8.2 1k
0.221 7.125 10.1 100
31.9 14.225 3.5 10k
0.136 4.468 47 180
43 14.128 4.7 18k
75.1 14.128 2.7 18k

where f is MHz, L in uH, and r ohms.

Can't get these to be consistant with Q = (2pi f L)/r

1465. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <858r5ingm1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122478

In article <3877CAE8.D8E0876F@inreach.com>,
"William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com> wrote:

>yes, indeed, congrats, it is a personal accomplishment, however, you are
>neither a 'head-above' nor better than anyone else to come for the
>achievement. That takes a demonstration of good character etc. It is
>time to get passed the idea that cw proficiency somehow makes a person
>better than someone else in areas other than cw proficiency.
>
>randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>>
>> Congrats on passing the code test Don. I know your hard work and
>> accomplishment means alot to you and it should. You are indeed a head above
>> many of the "hams" that will follow later this year.
>>
>> 73 and see ya on the bands
>>
>> de Tim K0FL
>>
Yes you are a head above my friend, don't let the naysayers bedraggle you. You have accomplished
something they can't and they will wail and thrash and hate you for it. Congratulations my friend. You have something no one can take away from you. Bravo, good job, and have fun on the
bands.

Dale
KC8FAQ/20

1466. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <858rijhvt1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122479

>Ed, Some of them (myself for sure) are going to take the Adv and Extra
>test just to prove to ourselves that it WAS the code test that held us
>back for 40+ years.

The only thing that held you back was yourself.

1467. Re: Studying for extra: need equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dennis@heap.juniper.net
Message-ID: <858tfe$15n2$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 122480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote:
>Here's a list of the values in the test pool questions on Q from f, L, r:
>
> Q f L r
> 1.84 3.6 43 1.8k
> 0.25 3.6 42 1.8k
> 32.2 3.6 3 2.2k
> 39 7.125 12.6 22k
> 2.73 7.125 8.2 1k
> 0.221 7.125 10.1 100
> 31.9 14.225 3.5 10k
> 0.136 4.468 47 180
> 43 14.128 4.7 18k
> 75.1 14.128 2.7 18k
>
>where f is MHz, L in uH, and r ohms.
>
>Can't get these to be consistant with Q = (2pi f L)/r

Push the 1/x button on the calculator.

In a parallel resonant RLC circuit, Q gets larger as the resistance
gets larger. I think you've got the equation upside down.

Dennis Ferguson

1468. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstan@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <387801bb.394772370@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 122481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 00:10:40 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Oh, B.S.! CW was and is a viable and popular mode. It is still in wide
>use on the amateur bands. It doesn't matter a bit whether anyone in
>commercial services use it. We aren't commercial ops. Anyone whose
>license permits the use of CW should not have a problem demonstrating
>some skill in its use.

Why should it not be a problem, clearly an untrue statement
since after all I have a license that permits the use of CW, and yet I
personalyhave no such skill. The claim you are making here has not
been true for at least 9-10 years now. I expect it was not true for
some before that even. That is I firmly belive that even when it was a
requirement for license holders that there were many that could not
domtrate that skill.

As to popularity, it would seem that jamming profanity are
also popular in parts of the ARSm should we all be required to prove
our skill at those to
>
> At some point, we have to acknowlege that
>> morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
>> at what point do we finally stop testing for it?
>
>A good point would be when its use is neglible. We aren't even close to
>that point yet.

IYO. in mine and others a much better point is when it ceases
to serve a function whereby the prupose of the ARS is clearly advanced
by making all operators of certain prevelges donstrate that they might
have some skill, which is the current level of testing for Code

OTH it's use is neglible in the scheme of things, which is
where we come to issue of everyone else is doing. Morse Code use is
negilble in the world of Radio alone. It is the third or fourth most
popular mode in the ARS as a whole (behind FM SSB, and the digital
modes combined) It is number two in HAM HF, but a poor thrid to AM
and SSB, in HF as a whole. It remians the number one mode in CW
operattions without regard to the band they are in however.
>
>Do we dogmatically
>> continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
>> Trekking around the galaxy?

>
>I don't think it'll matter a bit to either of us.

There in my case you are wrong, what we leave for the future
is important to me and many other hams. It is OUR debt to Ham radio, a
fact you seem blind to.

>
>No one is demanding "easier access" to ham
>> radio, just access that is more in line with the technology of TODAY.
>
>That is an outright falsehood.

How is it false, Herr GoeBells would use the notion that
anything repated long "becomes" ture, are you?

>
>> You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study
>> for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.
>
>Me too--and I'll pass a code test to boot.

so what?

>
>> I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW.
>
>...and because the light never dawned for you personally, CW was, in
>your eyes, irrelevant.

Indeed here I will conceed that you MAY have the beginings of
a point, alas THE BIGGEST PROBLEM CW advocates have is that they have
been unable to transfer this awaresness to anyone not amoug them. Of
course You still seem blind to the notion that perhaps, just perhaps
we are right and it is irelevant, and that maybe your adherance to it
is merely a quais religous one

>
>
>> I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that
>> was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
>> and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
>> "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
>> I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
>> it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"
>
>Don't give me no jive about your "precious time". If you found time to
>study the theory, you could have nailed the code. I'd hate to think
>that I wasted eighteen years of something I wanted in order to wait for
>a "gimme".

Sir I for one am turely sorry that you were forced to waste 18
years of your life on anything. I futher assure that were it within my

power I would undo that for you. That you were treated in unjustly was and remains a tragedy for the yourself and the ARS. I and other see no reason however to contiue an injustice simply on the basis of your suffering. That "logic" would have meant that the US should never have freed its black slaves in order to prevent their ancestors lives and suffering from being wasted.

In adittion your assertion that having time to learn the theory is enough to also learn the code is plain false do you turely not know that?

1469. Portable flat antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Londell <londell@home.com>
Message-ID: <3879FEC7.9C9B30E6@home.com>
Source record: 122132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am in the market for an inside window mount (stick-on type) antenna
for use on a train window since thwy won't let me place on on the
outside of their train.

I once saw a dual band (144-440) flex one made by a german company but
am not able to find it in any outlet (HRO etc.).

Any information would be appreciated.

73
K2CZD
londell@home.com

1470. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas Geovanis <nickgeo@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.HPX.4.10.10001100925420.21784-100000@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Source record: 122133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:
> Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
> >
> > If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
> > then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
> > hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
> > worked, say, in military or commercial communications.
>
> Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
> now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
> and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
> work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
> longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.

So what? Are you interested in collecting licenses, or are you interested in radio? If you're interested in radio, then your license is just as valuable as your radio skills, no more and no less. If you're interested in licenses, then, I dunno, take-up fishing. You can get a license from every state!

> Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
> resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
> testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
> meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or engineers.
> Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
> communications fields.

Here's my angle: I am looking at the person, not the paper. I guess that I'm too old-fashioned, eh?

> And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
> directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it.

Congratulations! You have identified the problem: The man is obsessing on "getting it". Getting what? A piece of PAPER. Forget the piece of paper. What matters is the knowledge, the experience, the fun. Paper is made from dead wood.

> Dave

+-------------------->
| Nick Geovanis
| IT Computing Svcs
| Northwestern Univ

V n-geovanis@nwu.edu

1471. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Bell <bellfamily@supernet.com>
Message-ID: <387ab259@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 122134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote in message <387A1E82.C40@mail.firstinter.net>...
>

>Indeed, if a mandatory gun law were passed, all law
>abiding citizens would turn them in. .

Oh really? Just what makes you think so?

>Rick T.
>W7RT
>

Mark K3ZX

1472. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9159-387AB016-36@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122135

Gitback, of course, all of our opinions count equally, the purpose of the NG. Please don't sully this basic right by confusing it with UPL, the unauthorized practice of law. Don't we have enough folks trying to muzzle us? (I am not a licensed lawyer, am retired and have no wish to advocate in a Courtroom.)

Compliments on your legal mind: You went right to the heart of the issue with your post. True, I was not there and cannot speak to the ultimate truth of the proposition.

I do know , however, as a matter of law, the burden shouldn't be on Rich to go to trial on that turkey issue. He should be able to issue an Affidavit with the salient points of refutation. Then, unless he's found in perjury, the matter should be set straight. That is the way it happens with everyone else in our system.

Rich should be accorded every right that we all enjoy. Demonizing him doesn't change it either.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

1473. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George T. Baker" <w5yr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387ABBB9.8ECC8A86@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I really doubt that Part 97 recognizes WXYYY/20 as a valid call sign to
use when identifying a station.

72/73, George
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!
R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972

fred1@my-deja.com wrote:

> I suppose if you want to sign as "/20," to show
> that you actually took element 1C and passed it
> rather than upgrading on the basis of code exemption,
> sure go ahead and do it.

1474. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387ABBD2.2445462B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark Bell wrote:
>
> R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote in message <387A1E82.C40@mail.firstinter.net>...
>
> >Indeed, if a mandatory gun law were passed, all law
> >abiding citizens would turn them in. .
>
> Oh really? Just what makes you think so?

Rick caught you on that one, Mark. The people who don't turn
in their guns would not be law abiding citizens, by definition.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1475. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9159-387AB62B-38@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122138

Hammer007, wow, that is a big jump: I'm championing racism. Please understand that I'm not advocating the truth or falsify of Rich's evidence--nor his behavior.

I'm championing procedure. The Supreme Court has wisely held that: "The connerstone of liberty is procedure." In this light, Rich is entitled to be properly noticed if there is a complaining party.

Golly, according to your logic, those fellow hams that called me "a drug addict" for taking prescription drugs, reference above by Gitback, should be summarily punished, ha, ha. Actually, I happen to believe their opinions expressed on ham radio, protected free speech, because there was no attempt to hide the context.

You see, when it comes down to defending our rights, we are all entitled to the strictest procedure. Why is it that lawyers spend untold time authenticating evidence yet we're suppose to convict Rich on untold charges of hearsay. And the fact that members of Congress have such a taped evidence in hand justifies throwing the book at him without allowing authentication and defense. Since when has justice become a political issue?

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

1476. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <387A2421.41563B76@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 122139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall wrote:
> Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
> now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
> and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
> work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
> longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.

Licenses are not degrees. They are no more than permits. Thier value
should be nominal. You should evaluate an individual ham by his/her
actions, not by his/her license. Besides, one doesn't really need to be
a "tech whiz" to be on air. But for those that are "tech whiz's", well
congratulations. If "tech whiz's" are looking for paper recognition
(which is silly in my view), then perhaps a note or something could be
atached to his/her license -- however, there is no need for assigning
radio permissions based on this.

> It's no different than the bogus network "certification", that
> Mickey-soft and Novell started for computer professionals. It's a piece
> of paper, that says that you know something. The difference is that MS
> and Novell are making big bucks off of everyone that studies and takes
> the test. But it's only worth something if you recognize the validity of
> the testing methodology.

Are there people getting paid on the basis of their amateur standing?
Sort of gives new meaning to the term "amateur".

> Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
> resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
> testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
> meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or engineers.
> Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
> communications fields.

How about getting a degree/diploma from a school?

> Maybe we just want to make sure that everyone who plies the ham
> frequencies has enough technical knowlege to keep them out of trouble,
> and enough responsibility and maturity, that they won't cause trouble.

Exactly.

> And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
> directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it.
> If you had to apply yourself for the better part of 3 or 4 months > preparing for, and
passing the test for a ham license, you will be > less likely to abuse the privilege, than

someone who just signed on > the dotted line. That's human nature.
> Dave

Regardless of how long it takes to study for the ham exam, the exam should nonetheless be relevant to the requirements of operating ham radio. That is, while the exam should take into consideration requirements, it should not place too much emphasis on those things that are merely desirable.

--
Michael Moore

1477. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Kay" <jonk@atlantic.net>
Message-ID: <387A9576.6E9FD629@atlantic.net>
Source record: 122140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Butch: If you would quit ranting and raving for a few minutes and take a deep breath I will ask you a question: What do you think amatuer radio is? It is amatuers, not professionals.....I happen to be both, and amatuer operator and a professional radio and television technician, broadcaster and photographer. I am 47 years old and have had an interest in this hobby since I was 12. It was then that my dad and I built a heathkit gr-64 shortwave receiver and I got bit by this bug. I tried to learn code then, and by then I mean when there was NO, no code tests for anything. As a novice you got 2 meter phone privledges and very limited cw on hf. Technician was 6 meters and above, and you had to do 5wpm. I could send, just couldn't quite get the receive down. I went through the air force technical schools for electronics and radio repair. I furthered my education with a degree from the national radio institute. I was then and am now more than technically qualified to hold ANY amatuer license. You will be distressed to know that i only hold a technician license, and I only got it in April. After 35 years of wanting to an amatuer, having already made it to the professional level, I found out when the test was being given,, took a few practice exams from hamtest.com and walked in with my money and out with my certificate of completion. I could not have done that without the knowledge and experience I have as a professional. So why don't you just get a grip on reality. Tell you what.....when I pass my general exam after april, I will stay out of your cw way, if you keep your dam cw pounding fingers off my hf ssb.
73's to you anyway, my fellow amatuer.
Jon KG4DIR (and dam proud of it after waiting this long to get it) and "one of them"

1478. Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjsalaiz <pjsalaiz@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <00eb12c9.5142237d@usw-ex0110-075.remarq.com>
Source record: 122141

Is this the right group to ask questions about shortwave
radios and reception of short wave?

* Sent from AltaVista http://www.altavista.com Where you can also find related Web Pages, Images, Audios, Videos, News, and Shopping. Smart is Beautiful

1479. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <NPoe4.590$Gp4.16170@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

CECIL, have you noticed these bleeding heart anti-gunners ALWAYS *assume* those evil CRIMINALS will ALSO eagerly march right up to abandon THEIR weapons alongside their intended victims? WHY are the anti's so stupid, naive and steadfast in their blue-sky "vision" that the bad guys will as eagerly embrace and observe disarmament as those they PREY on for a living...

Even a hunter would be FAR less inclined to stalk a deer, if it had the capability to shoot back!

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3879605D.96647FEC@IEEE.org...
> Peter Lawton wrote:
> > Perhaps you might agree that as a long-term goal, eventual
disarmament
> > of everybody, in every community or nation might be a worth-while
aim?
>
> You've got to be kidding. Then who would put duck and venison on
> my table? The great majority of guns around here in East Texas are
> hunting guns. I get my two deer limit almost every year. I'll have
> to post a picture on my web page of my garage wall of deer horns.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1480. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <387A1622.385E@virgin.net>
Source record: 122183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:

> Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net> wrote in message:

> > The last time I saw a gun (apart from visits abroad) was shortly

> > after

> > WW2. and the prospect of getting shot here never enters one's mind.

> More involved in worrying aboud being mugged, robbed or beat up by

> attackers?

This is interesting as I didn't realise that the UK might have a

reputation for being a violent society.

> > As you probably know, the police strongly resist being armed because

> > they believe that

> > then the criminals will become armed in response and the situation

> > will escalate.

>

> YOU mean like in your high-security prison, the "Maze", where a year

> or so ago, a couple of inmates gunned down another? Please explain

> exactly HOW that happen in a "gunless society" - much LESS a top

> security *prison*!

I was thinking of so-called 'mainland UK' as my example.
The Maze is in Ulster and there is or hopefully was a minor war in
Ulster. Of the two factions, the one regards itself as fighting a war of
liberation - and the other as fighting a war in defence of its homeland.
Mainland UK' might be called a 'gunless society'. I cite this region as
an example. Not in order to score 'Brownie points' for any Nation I
hasten to add, but just as an example of such a society for comparison
purposes.

>

> > The majority of crime seems to be formalised at an implicitly

> > agreed level of violence and discomfort to both sides. My

> > neighbour's rather disturbed 15 yr old son might scribble minor abuse in
> > the ice on my car windscreen, but I feel reasonably secure that he won't > > shoot me.
Using a gun means stepping outside the norms into dangerous

> >territory - and so is relatively rare.

>

> A pity your robberies, muggings and similar incidents (at greater

> frequency per capita then that of the USA) aren't NEARLY as "rare"!

> Could it be because your criminals KNOW it's highly unlikely their

> English victims will resist - by force of arms or otherwise?

Again. I don't know the statistics on this, but it certainly needs
publicising here if the rate of these crimes is higher than elsewhere.

> >

> > However, once a norm where guns are carried as an everyday habit has

> > become established, I can see that it will be very difficult to

> alter, since neither side wants to disarm first.

>

> You mean like your own government's experience with the IRA? Possibly

> more in THIS country would be more inclined to less gun ownership - IF

> we could disarm criminals and politicians FIRST! Sometimes it's REAL

> hard to tell one from the other...

Can't they be voted out, the politician's that is?

>

> > Perhaps you might agree that as a long-term goal, eventual

> > disarmament of everybody, in every community or nation might be a > > worth-while aim?

> > (I hope this has not come over as anti your great country, because

> > my attitude is quite the reverse. If the USA did not exist, the world

> > would have to invent it.)

>

> YOU mean so *our* armed young men and women can play "police force for

> the world", and continue to be relied upon to travel the world when

> needed at the expense of OUR taxpayers, to offer up THEIR lives if

> required, to defend the "sheeple" of far away countries who DID permit

> themselves to be disarmed by their governments. "for their own safety

> and security" - even as YOUR country was and did before your war with

> Germany.

All countries have their own interpretation of History. One extreme view
of WW2 (and WW1) is of the decadent states of Europe getting into their
usual mess and the USA philanthropically coming in to sort it all out
for them. Another is that the UK and allies such as Australia and Canada
to name only two were left alone for nearly three years on both
occasions to take the whole load of maintaining balance of power whilst
the USA remained a spectator.
Both are simplistic, but its useful to be aware that the people of
different
nations hold sincere but different opinions about the same facts -
although
sometimes the facts are not allowed them.

European forces are at present in Bosnia and Kosovo. Russia regards its
campaign in Chechnya (or whatever its called) as a police action.
British and many other nations' forces were involved in Korea and Iraq,
Australians, South Koreans and others in Viet Nam, Nigerians in a
neighbouring West African country recently, British in the Falkland
Islands. All 'policing actions'. The burden is not just carried by the
US, although she carries the major part as the biggest player.

> It's absolutely NO surprise to me that the IRA and its

> followers resist British efforts to disarm them

Its no surprise to me either. The surprise is that some of them seem to
have the good sense to actually do it.

> - I sincerely hope they NEVER fall for the BS about trading "freedom and
> self defense for

> 'security'"

> God help US, if WE are EVER so blind and stupid as to consider so fall

> for such a "trade"!

There must be limits on an individual's freedom, otherwise he will
infringe
another's freedom. The art is to optimise and compromise.
Self defence it is hoped and promised will be provided by other methods
than guns.

>

> YOU are entirely free to be as helpless before your government and

> others who would do you harm as you choose - you are NOT even SLIGHTLY

> free to force US to do the same - and THAT statement applies to ALL,

> both in and out of our nation's boundries. It took bloodshed to

> ESTABLISH that right in 1776 - and it may well be necessary to do so

> again under similar circumstances - let NO criminal, tyrant or foreign

> power EVER assume the citizens of this country will meekly roll over

> in the face of threats or physical abuse - we ARE willing and able to

> defend ourselvs - both as individuals AND as a nation. Don't EVER

> forget it!

My gut reaction to the story of the confiscation of equipment was one

of dismay. It certainly does not seem in keeping with the principles

of free speech and freedom of information. I admire the US freedom of

information laws very much. Also your personal determination not to be
pushed around, which I share. It's just the methods for minimising
oppression that we disagree on.
I hope you will take my comments as a useful reflection from an
interested
external observer. What happens in the States is of interest to and
affects
all of the world's peoples.

> >

> > Peter

>

> Gary - KJ6Q

> --

> "Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming

> spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

1481. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <C_oe4.597$Gp4.16289@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Peter, the comments regarding violent crime in the UK come from Scotland Yard's statistical pages - at least they were available a year or so ago when I last made comparisons. You are right, MANY in the UK are as shocked as YOU are when they are exposed to figures supplied by their OWN local law enforcement agency - they, and others in the world merely ASSUME that the reduced number of weapons in the UK (or ANY place where citizens are disarmed) *MUST* result in less violence, rape, muggings and similer - they are WRONG! A year or so ago when my numbers were obtained, the UK was also pretty much the world leader in car theft - ahead of the USA even, and I thought OURS was pretty outrageous!

I appreciate the apparent open-mindedness and restraint in your posted comments here - we may disagree, but need not be abusive about it.

Regards

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net> wrote in message
news:387A1622.385E@virgin.net...
> Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
>
>
> > Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net> wrote in message:

>
> This is interesting as I didn't realise that the UK might have a
>
> reputation for being a violent society.

> > > Peter

1482. Re: FCC Rules, Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8EEB777599264146.DF5C4D6B9A56E685.FA3C3D91F9E60C5C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122185

Here here!!!

Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com> wrote in article
<l%ne4.393$wy2.45828@news.goodnet.com>...
> I'm tired of hearing all the whining and bitching about the new FCC
> Rules, Part 97 effective April 15, 2000.
> As a Licensed Amateur Radio Operator you are Regulated under this
Part
> 97. Your license was granted to you on the authority of complying with
Part
> 97. If you don't like it then voluntarily cancel your license with the
FCC.
> If you are a non-licensee then don't bother to get you license unless
> you are going to comply to Part 97.
> --
> Jack
>
>
>

1483. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@mail.firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <387A1E82.C40@mail.firstinter.net>
Source record: 122186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Peter Lawton wrote:
> > Perhaps you might agree that as a long-term goal, eventual disarmament
> > of everybody, in every community or nation might be a worth-while aim?

Only if you can change all human nature and behavior. Here across the pond, our Supreme Court has ruled on several occasions that the local police forces are not in place to protect any individual at all, only society as a whole. They are not at all legally responsibile for the safety of you and your family. Who then protects you, your home and family. The answer should be fairly obvious. That is the basic reason for our Seconed Amendment to the Constitution.

Self defense is a basic tenent of our culture, our religion, and our political system. Indeed, if a mandatory gun law were passed, all law abiding citizens would turn them in. Just like the IRA, our criminals would not. Then they would have an unarmed citizenry to attack. Janet Reno could create a Waco again whenever and wherever she wants too, without fear of citizen resistance. Guns are fun for hunting (I love quail hunting with my over/under 12 Ga.) but the reason for the Second Amendment has nothing to do with hunting, but our personal liberties and protection. Those that do not wish to protect themselves through gun ownership and training may do so. Many of us choose to exercise that constititional option though. I don't see it going away anytime soon.

Rick T.
W7RT

>
> You've got to be kidding. Then who would put duck and venison on
> my table? The great majority of guns around here in East Texas are
> hunting guns. I get my two deer limit almost every year. I'll have
> to post a picture on my web page of my garage wall of deer horns.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1484. Kenwood TS-140S error help please .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ta2ad <ta2ad@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <387a22dc@news.marun.edu.tr>
Source record: 122187

hi
My Kenwood TS-140S is not work

Symptoms : receiver is not work , not sound
display sometime working , sometime only points
I always hear cw " UNLOCK "

I have`t circuit schema do you know any web adres for schema ?
can you help me for this error ?
73`s A. Sua TORTU TA2AD

1485. Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: njvets@skyweb.net
Message-ID: <387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net>
Source record: 122188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
license restructuring;
Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.
But, there seems to have been an unfortunate trend in the ease by
which a license is obtained. Reducing the CW requirement is another
step in permitting less effort for more privelege. Furthermore, there
is little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are. But anyone looking
to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
if he just know the right people. To argue this is simply denial of
the facts.
The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.
Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
quantity. Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.
Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
will be honored, respected, and nurtured. Why do we implement policy
that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it
something difficult, something earned, something valueable?
I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.

K2TL

1486. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85de77lnv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122189

In article <85d35ncbn1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Bob Sherin:
>
> Can you get up in a court room and swear that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent
> that E mail by mistake? Can you supply a witness to testify to that
> fact? Except for a member of the Porkbutt WB2OTK family that is. If
you
> can not. I am afraid your remarks are just opinion and will not stand
> up in court. Were you there? Did you witness Porkbutt putting that
> message in a file , then sending it by mistake? I dont think so, Why
> don't you quit the practice of law on this forum, and practice in a
> court room. Our opinions on the law count just as much as yours. Of
> course being a bigot like OTK is not against the law.
>
> Gitback >>>>>>>>>>>> The problem is,Riley can't either!<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> In article <239-38799AB5-11@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> > Realize the distinction between racial slurs and a computer error.
> Rich
> > didn't lose his license over the alleged racial slurs. It is a
rather
> > simple question: Should an ordinarily harmless error be construed as
> > prejudicial in Rich's case, cancelling his license?
> >
> > An email went to Riley that wasn't Rich's intention to be sent.
(Any
> of
> > us can express our inner most feelings into storage with impunity.)
> > Just as lawyers with mailing errors beyond their control, Rich's
email
> > should be disregarded and the matter, set straight.
> >
> > If there are racial charges, Riley needs to notice him with
> specificity
> > of the allegations, so he can prepare a defense--as we expect of
> > everyone else in our free society.
> >
> > I see the whole thing as a partnership between the hams and the FCC,
> > wherein the former are respectful of and cooperative with authority
> and

> > the latter regulate in compliance with Due Process.
> >
> > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> > Miami, Florida
> >
> >>>>>>>>>> Stand by, to come under attack for your opinion Bob, and
you are correct. Remember Herb, and others calling you a "drug
addict"on 20 meters for using perscription drugs? These people never
get the facts, as the truth is often quite boring.<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1487. KJI, Blackfoot David

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: DYFM <wa8nja@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85de7n$lo4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122190

KJI's condititon is what they call in the paramedic business as,,,,,,,,,,low sick,,,,,,,,,,,,he doesn't have a chance. CPR, devibulator machines,laying on the operation table in a trauma center with a team of doctors and the Pope standing at his side will not save him from the terrible swift sword of The Man ,,,,The Main Man,,,,,, Mr.Riley Hollingsworth,,,,,,,,some one get the shovels,,,,the fat lady has sung.............So long David. Don't let the door slam you in the posteriors as you leave.............

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1488. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <Mlqe4.676$Gp4.20286@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122191
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I do not think that many really understand how much the
ham community does for their local law enforcement.

Our local hams provided ATV (television) links so that the
State Police could monitor the activities of demonstrators
at a local KKK rally.

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote ...
: In the Phoenix area, hams have aided the County Sheriff's office and
: several municipal PDs in DFing unauthorized jammers and harrassers using
: police frequencies. The aid has come at the specific and initial request
: of the agencies, who were frustrated by the FCC, which put off
: investigating the problem for many months.
:
: Will
: KD7BFX
:
: Perfidious wrote:
: .
: > I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate
these
: > folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
: > Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the
suspect
: > will be suspect"
: > exactally what does that mean?
: > get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
detective...
: > dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you have
a
: > business of your own.... try minding it.

1489. Re: Portable flat antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Brewster <mikebr@evansville.net>
Message-ID: <B49F8FDC.A94A%mikebr@evansville.net>
Source record: 122192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir,
Radio Shack makes a dual suction-cup BNC ant mount. cat no 20-022. Just
add your ant. - I use the rubber duckie from my bc 245. Works well for me.

> Subject: Portable flat antenna
>
> I am in the market for an inside window mount (stick-on type) antenna
> for use on a train window since thwy won't let me place on on the
> outside of their train.
>
> I once saw a dual band (144-440) flex one made by a german company but
> am not able to find it in any outlet (HRO etc.).
>
> Any information would be appreciated.
>
> 73
> K2CZD
> londell@home.com

1490. Re: What is MIL-SPEC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85dhji$ol9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur

In article <85bj9i$ab6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
test_132@hotmail.com wrote:
> I see a lot of HT's nowadays (including my IC-Q7A) that are advertised
> as "Meeting MIL STD 810 C/D/E for shock/vibration" Although I know
> this means it's built strong and rugged, I've always wondered exactly
> what qualifies a radio as meeting this standard. Any ideas?

The statement above really doesn't say much. MIL STD 810 has a variety of procedures and 'curves' which specifically define a particular test, and some procedures are much more severe than others. Unless the manufacturer tells you specifically which procedure and curve they meet, the claim is essentially meaningless.

For example, I make a product which has a moderate resistance to vibration (but not the ruggedness you'd need to mount it in a tank or helicopter). Specifically, this product is tested to MIL STD 810x, Section 514.2, Procedure VII, and Curve V. Test vibration levels are:

Freq Excitation
5-5.5 Hz 1.0 inch double amplitude displacement
5.5-200 Hz 1.5g peak acceleration
Sweep Time: 5-200-5 Hz in 12 minutes
Duration: 1 hour for each axis (vertical and side-to-side)

This equipment is intended for use/transportation in stationary installations, fixed wing aircraft, and rubber-tired vehicle applications. It would likely fail in more strenuous uses, such as in a tank where more shock and vibration might occur.

Other procedures in MIL STD 810x call for different (both lower and higher) intensities of testing. Unless the advertising calls out a specific procedure and curve, the advertising is meaningless.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1491. FS: Motorola Flip Phone, Diamondtel Phone & ACC. {Amateur Radio Project Abandoned}

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387A37D8.F1318EA@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cellular,alt.cellular.motorola

Hello,

I originally purchased the equipment below for an amateur radio project, but I lost interest in pursuing the project. If anyone else would like to try their hand, or whatever, please be my guest. Phones are in good working condition far as I can tell. Would like to sell everything as a package. Serious offers considered.
TERMS: Prepayment by postal money order, prices include UPS ground shipping or USPS Insured where applicable. I will also consider trades for Motorola Star-Tac accessories such as a Motorola Star-Tac Desktop Charger(s), high capacity Lithium-Ion batteries (black), etc.

(1) DiamondTel Mesa 90X, in very good condition, with tabletop phone/spare battery charger, two standard NiMh batteries, car adapter, and instruction manual ..... $ 40.00

(1) Motorola "Flip Fone", lt. gray. This phone is in good condition with standard battery and tabletop phone/spare battery charger ..... $ 25.00

I also have the following accessories:

(2) used antennas, one "back of the set" antenna and a "window" portable, both rubber ducks ..... $ 5.00

(1) used battery eliminator for the DiamondTel 90X ..... $ 5.00

(1) Brand New in the package battery eliminator for the DiamondTel Mesa 90X ..... $ 10.00

(1) Brand New in the package 6V NiMh Lt. Gray battery for Motorola "Flip Fones", Micro-Tac, etc. ..... $ 10.00

(5) Brand New in the package 6V NiMh Black batteries for Motorola "Flip Fones", Micro-Tac, Flare, Elite, etc. .....(EACH)$ 10.00

(3) Brand New in the package NiMh batteries for DiamondTel (EACH)$ 10.00

If interested, please e-mail directly n3fvg@worldlynx.net Thank You

1492. Re: HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtolassi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85dkf5$qt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122195

In article <85cs84$6kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote in message <85bn9vcva1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >I <Dementia-induced drivel flushed>
>
> Put your teeth back in, Polly.
>
> Since when were you "decent people?" Decent people don't have watching
> birds fornicate as a hobby.
>
> Are you still claiming to be a "land baron" with your 1.56 acres in
> Goatfornicate Tennessee? Hey polly, I've heard that you were the basis
> for the hillbilly characters in the movie "Deliverance."
> Now go play with Grunt Scott, the Indiana Jones wannabe.
>
> -Roger you need to clean up your act or you will be off the internet.
-
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1493. Re: hot pussy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: oscar <cincpac@nightmail.com>
Message-ID: <387A4279.DC18BE58@nightmail.com>
Source record: 122196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do i have to know morse code?? tit instead of dit? :)

fwtojb@hosefs.com wrote:
>
> Hi everyone....I am a single female, 5-6 in, have long hair and am looking for fun.
>
> I have been recently featured in one of the hottest sites on the net and wanted to share it with you. Use the following link to bypass directly to the site.
>
> http://www.intergal.com/cgi-bin/BAN_record?jhrs1/003a01000E/1
>
> qeuzfwrodlhdllktexmgvuguwuhysgbspmzwdjgrnbxtxwmizuqjquymfspqtfdlpbslgpwsiruxdknhxrzcyntfoxkfhupfskkmeoozsujjrckxcdbdlznibxblbbjhfbjtpidtvbywhwcmqpcjthecvgxeqykxdnccfwnjwvzgoxkhssomnknuckmdtpnmrjxgohqzxtxgfeipnywiljpryzddcyrebdjykokyejeqvqssfvmrdfhcqmgxfduhiiscjtsptebgdlnxwyshhylhglhhubtdrmljdvldwqzv

1494. Atomic WEB Clock: Testers Needed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: caesium@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85dl96$rh9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122197

Hello,
I need beta testers for the latest version of the NIST Atomic
WEB clock. Please take a moment to try:

http://www.boulder.nist.gov/timefreq/javaclock5/utcnist5.html

Please compare it to NIST time from other sources: WWV, WWVB,
ACTS, or even:

http://www.time.gov

If you see any problems, please let me know at:

davidlee@boulder.nist.gov

Thanks!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1495. Who's the Intruder on 14150 kHz ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bg998@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <85dfpv$9rm$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 122198

Who owns the signal that's been blocking 14150 kHz for the last several days? Signal consists of clicking or chirping noises on 14150.1, interspersed with a brief (1 sec long) AM carrier on 14150.0 that has a two-tone "courtesy beep" (or similar) near the end of it.

Somebody said it was coming over the pole but at my QTH (near Ottawa, Ontario) it arrives from the West on my 4-el monobander. A friend near Edmonton, Alberta receives it from the ESE. According to my map, that puts the transmitter somewhere near Chicago, or just west of there.

Someone else suggested it was the reborn Hellschreiber signal, but I don't think so - it's too irregular. And it goes on and on for hours.

Can someone from the Chicago area get a bearing on the signal, if they aren't in the 'no sig' skip zone??

... Martin VE3OAT

1496. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jdport@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <32766FF0.2A450ACB@concentric.net>
Source record: 122199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike, take a chill pill. Hams are NOT a progressive, techno-weenie bunch... but don't pay any attention to me, I'm just a new kid licensed at age 16 in 1963 and always amazed at how few other hams ever built or repaired any of their own gear. Hams, as with other humanoids, resent change in any form.When the Novice license was first introduced, even with only a 1-year non renewable license term, it caused howls of protest from many existing hams. In my time, I have seen the Conditional license come and go, the Tech license changed to limited HF (10-meter SSB and Novice HF CW) privileges, Novices extended to 220 phone and 10 Meter SSB and renewable licenses, with similar howls of protest and ads "Selling OUT, Novices and Techs BAH HUMBUG", etc. In reality the dreaded CB'ers have provided more skilled and reliable operators, during the CB heyday of the 1970's, than probably any other source of ham operators. Without them ham radio would have dwindled much more quickly than otherwise. Without the recent (10 or so years ago) influx of new Codeless Tech hams, we olde farts would have almost nobody left to talk to on the radio, storebought or otherwise, by now.
I've read the FCC enforcement lists in QST since first licensed, and the great majority of raging interference, license revocations for profanity, jamming, and other undesirable behavior, come not from new hams but from Advanced and Extra Class licensees. I'm over 50 now and still feel like a kid when attending hamfests and club meetings full of white haired, wheelchair bound, aged hams. My hair is getting ever whiter, and I hope that you go ahead with your interest in ham radio, and that others like you get into ham radio in spite of some of the weirdos you'll run into. All hobbies have loud weirdos, so don't worry too much about ours.
Jim W5AOX

Mike Swan wrote:

> Save Ham Radio <Hate@spam.org> wrote:
> > You dont need to restructure the call signs to know who the new
> > hams are, just listen for anyone using 10-codes, CB lingo, and
> > echo mics. You'll be able to spot the new idiots a mile away.
> >
> > Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> > >
> > >Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look
> > >like the old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK
> > >because the restructured hams won't be much smarter than your
> > >typical CBer anyway.
>
> So this is what I have to look forward to, huh? I'm sorry, but I resent the
> hell out of your sentiment that every new ham is an "idiot" or a "CBer". I
> am studying for my Technician's license (ready to take the test at the next

> hamfest) and look forward to advancing in the amateur radio service, despite
> the less than gracious welcome mat that you folks have laid out. The focus
> of Amateur Radio is helping people through the understanding and application
> of radio communications theory. From what I've seen, other than relaxing
> the CW requirements somewhat, the FCC hasn't lowered the entrance
> requirements to the point that it doesn't require hard work to attain the
> various level licenses.
>

<snip>

1497. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <0221c012.b2be20df@usw-ex0102-009.remarq.com>
Source record: 122200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nice monologue, but you might as well be reciting it to a houseplant,
or sending it via CW to a no-code! :)

You missed the boat. You're too late. Life has passed you by. The
decision has been made, and your letter is in vain. Move on.

And no, I don't care if code is, or is not.

Stop whining about what you _think_ will happen. Most often, it does
not. The ARS needs to concentrate on what it _has_, not what the
individual is pouting for. It like, "Oh, God, I see a change;
therefore, the world is ending." or "Everybody think like me, and join
me in my paranoia."

Grow up, be constructive, OR be part of _The Problem_. It's _your_
choice.

73

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1498. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1C0DCF948E0316C1.F2CD8CCD60C1AB05.419D480DDDF13ED8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122201
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Perfidious:

Ham radio is a hobby regulated by the United States Govt., with the Federal Communications Commission as the enforcing agency. Because of that, the amateur radio hobby is bound to the Code of Federal Regulations, CFR Part 97, Title 47. Within that code is the "Basis & Principle" of amateur radio, which would be akin to a corporation's "Statement of Purpose."

Included in our "Basis & Principle" is the following:

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following principles:

(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with respect to providing emergency communications.

We are often called upon by our local emergency services to provide help with searching for a missing child, help with public safety issues as they relate to parades and other community service events, help with search & rescue, as well as many other public/community service events. Perhaps you are familiar with the service of REACT in the old CB days. An organization named RACES is also active in ham radio and is governed by guidelines set forth from FEMA.

All of the above is provided by ham radio operators without regard to monetary reimbursement, or ANY form of reimbursement in any way. I think you can recognize the value amateur radio, along with CB radio and REACT, provides to our communities in times of need.

I have been directly involved with public service groups, even organizing public service groups here in my area. I will never forget one story relayed to me by a ham who is a member of SATERN (Salvation Army hams) from during the Oklahoma City Federal Building bombing. A cellular phone company there immediately made 100 cellular phones available to emergency officials working that disaster scene. Almost as quickly as they were handed out, the cellular phone company pleaded for everyone to stop using them--the heavy use had shut down there systems. Ham radio operators provided the backbone of emergency communications in that disaster.

I don't want you to think that I have been preaching to you. It is not my intention that you think that; it is only my thought that perhaps you

weren't aware of why we do what we do.

73/Kim Walker
W5TIT

> :
> : Perfidious wrote:
> : .
> : > I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate
> these
> : > folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
> : > Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the
> suspect
> : > will be suspect"
> : > exactally what does that mean?
> : > get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
> detective...
> : > dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you
have
> a
> : > business of your own.... try minding it.
>
>
>

1499. Emergency Comm. & Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A77A2F338B181BFB.F3835DBFBC177AED.0BA1EA6181D1A4FD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122202

Posted Earlier under different Subject:

Perfidious:

Ham radio is a hobby regulated by the United States Govt., with the Federal Communications Commission as the enforcing agency. Because of that, the amateur radio hobby is bound to the Code of Federal Regulations, CFR Part 97, Title 47. Within that code is the "Basis & Principle" of amateur radio, which would be akin to a corporation's "Statement of Purpose."

Included in our "Basis & Principle" is the following:

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following principles:

(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with respect to providing emergency communications.

We are often called upon by our local emergency services to provide help with searching for a missing child, help with public safety issues as they relate to parades and other community service events, help with search & rescue, as well as many other public/community service events. Perhaps you are familiar with the service of REACT in the old CB days. An organization named RACES is also active in ham radio and is governed by guidelines set forth from FEMA.

All of the above is provided by ham radio operators without regard to monetary reimbursement, or ANY form of reimbursement in any way. I think you can recognize the value amateur radio, along with CB radio and REACT, provides to our communities in times of need.

I have been directly involved with public service groups, even organizing public service groups here in my area. I will never forget one story relayed to me by a ham who is a member of SATERN (Salvation Army hams) from during the Oklahoma City Federal Building bombing. A cellular phone company there immediately made 100 cellular phones available to emergency officials working that disaster scene. Almost as quickly as they were handed out, the cellular phone company pleaded for everyone to stop using them--the heavy use had shut down there systems. Ham radio operators provided the backbone of emergency communications in that disaster.

I don't want you to think that I have been preaching to you. It is not my intention that you think that; it is only my thought that perhaps you weren't aware of why we do what we do.

73/Kim Walker
W5TIT

> :
> : Perfidious wrote:
> : .
> : > I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate
> these
> : > folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
> : > Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the
> suspect
> : > will be suspect"
> : > exactally what does that mean?
> : > get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
> detective...
> : > dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you
have
> a
> : > business of your own.... try minding it.
>

1500. 4cx800a tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: w4th@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6566-387A47E2-33@storefull-101.private.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122203

I have some Svetlana tubes for sale. They are the 4CX800A tubes. These tubes are new, never used at all. Price is $150.00 per tube plus shipping.
Thanks
W4TH

1501. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85do1f$tp4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122204

```
In article <85cs43$6hn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> beachmaster wrote in message <85c07h$j1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <85b2rq$ulu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Spoken like a true UNDERacheiver. If you think Davies has a league,
> >> you're as brain-dead and low as he is.
> >>
> > NOW NOW, lets be fair. Davies is in a league - third string minor.
>
> Pee Wee baseball?
>
Reichskugel !

> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

1502. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85do8etqk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122205

In article <85de77lnv1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <85d35ncbn1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Bob Sherin:
> >
> > Can you get up in a court room and swear that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent
> > that E mail by mistake? Can you supply a witness to testify to that
> > fact? Except for a member of the Porkbutt WB2OTK family that is. If
> you
> > can not. I am afraid your remarks are just opinion and will not
stand
> > up in court. Were you there? Did you witness Porkbutt putting that
> > message in a file , then sending it by mistake? I dont think so, Why
> > don't you quit the practice of law on this forum, and practice in a
> > court room. Our opinions on the law count just as much as yours. Of
> > course being a bigot like OTK is not against the law.
> >
> > Gitback >>>>>>>>>>>> The problem is,Riley can't either!<<<<<<<<<<<
> >
> > In article <239-38799AB5-11@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> > > Realize the distinction between racial slurs and a computer error.
> > Rich
> > > didn't lose his license over the alleged racial slurs. It is a
> rather
> > > simple question: Should an ordinarily harmless error be construed
as
> > > prejudicial in Rich's case, cancelling his license?
> > >
> > > An email went to Riley that wasn't Rich's intention to be sent.
> (Any
> > of
> > > us can express our inner most feelings into storage with
impunity.)
> > > Just as lawyers with mailing errors beyond their control, Rich's
> email
> > > should be disregarded and the matter, set straight.
> > >
> > > If there are racial charges, Riley needs to notice him with
> > specificity
> > > of the allegations, so he can prepare a defense--as we expect of

> > > everyone else in our free society.
> > >
> > > I see the whole thing as a partnership between the hams and the
FCC,
> > > wherein the former are respectful of and cooperative with
authority
> > and
> > > the latter regulate in compliance with Due Process.
> > >
> > > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> > > Miami, Florida
> > >
> > >>>>>>>>>> Stand by, to come under attack for your opinion Bob, and
> you are correct. Remember Herb, and others calling you a "drug
> addict"on 20 meters for using perscription drugs? These people never
> get the facts, as the truth is often quite boring.<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >You have to prove that Porkbutt!! Riley has to prove nothing. He
knows you said you didn't send it, then said you did etc.. Go to court
if you can

Gitback
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1503. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <vose4.839$Gp4.24866@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122206

: I hope you are all correct.
:
: I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
requirement
: (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
: what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
: them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
: today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

Respect and enforcement of the rules. Hams are more law abiding
than CBers. Thus we report on our own. And now we have FCC
support.

: Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught
the
: classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
: more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and
: buy a citizens band radio.
:
: It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
: and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
: higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
: produce a better idividual.
:
: So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
: because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory
is
: next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
radio
: services be?
:
: Brent Scott
: N5CLK
: Austin, TX
:
:
:
:

1504. Re: Portable flat antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <387A47C1.6256@imt.net>
Source record: 122207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Londell wrote:
>
> I am in the market for an inside window mount (stick-on type) antenna
> for use on a train window since thwy won't let me place on on the
> outside of their train.
>
> I once saw a dual band (144-440) flex one made by a german company but
> am not able to find it in any outlet (HRO etc.).
>
> Any information would be appreciated.
>
> 73
> K2CZD
> londell@home.com
Amateur electronic supply had them in thier cat once,call them.

1505. Re: New License Class

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <vose4.840$Gp4.24866@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122208

: I propose that under the new license restructuring, that after April 15,
: the highest class license will be known as a "TechXtra".
:
: Andy
: WD4KDN

You mutherf..king a..sh..le!!

That is the most stuck up piece
of BS. It is the old "I have a
bigger dick so I am better than
you" BS.

This is the reason the hobby is hurting.
We have forgot that we are here to help
others.

1506. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <7zse4.849$Gp4.25280@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122209

: WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1
:
: "How easy are the current code tests?"
: They have been passed by 4-year-olds, 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl
: Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.

The technical part is still very hard. And the number of
questions will increase.

: "What is 'No Code International'?"
: A handful of laceybritches whiny CBers who want to take over ham radio,
: who have been humiliated, shown up and shut down by 4-year-olds,
: 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.

How? Hams believe in the law thus we report those who
violate it. Self enforcement is our many key to keeping
the Lids out, not tests.

: "What is 'ARRL'?"
: The Asian Radio Retailer's Lobby, a magazine publishing house that
: thinks they own ham radio and who will whore off true ham radio as they
: see fit to preserve their incomes and their name badges.

Most American trained Electrical Engineers, I am a Purdue grad,
do not want to do consumer electronics. That is why the Asians
are so big in this market. No American company wants to touch
it. Besides Hams are such cheap bastards (worst than lawyers)
that most retailers hate them.

: "I don't know code."
: Then, of course, you are NO HAM.
:
: "But I don't want to learn code!"
: Then you will remain NO HAM.
:
: "But I can't pass the code test!"
: Then you don't qualify for a ham license.
:
: "But I shouldn't have to learn the code because I don't want to use it!"
:
: Then you're just a CBer.

CBers, like all commercials licensees, take no tests. Hams are
tested on technical and legal issues. Most hams are more informed
on the law than are most gun owners.

: "But I have a "no-code technician license!"
: Then you are a CBer on 2-meter CB.
:
: "But I have a call sign!"
: So do CBers.
:
: "But 'ALL HAMS ARE EQUAL'!!"
: You've been sitting out in the sun too long.
:
: "But Morse code is old fashioned!"
: Not as old fashioned as using your VOCAL CORDS.
:
: "But the military...!"
: Ham radio is not "the military."

Most pro code types have a romantic feeling towards
the code. It is the romance of the lone operator who
saves the day, the skilled individual. In many ways this
is much the same type of individualism we see in the
gun community. Often those on the right just do not
know how to play well with others.

: "But the Internet... !"
: Ham radio is not "the Internet."
:
: "But it's the "digital age!"
: Then learn the difference between "OFF" and "ON" and you can learn the
: code.

The code is too slow for modern military activities. However, the Army
still has morse intercept operators (98H). The world moves too fast.

: "But there are 'good people being kept out by the code requirement'."
: See phenomenon #3 and #4 of the Anti-coder profile at
: http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
:
: "But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test should
: be dropped."
: See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
: http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
:
: "But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test speeds
: should be reduced."
: See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
: http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
:
: "But so-and-so has a ham license and he is an "ex-CBer," and he thinks
: that the code should be dropped!"
: Then so-and-so is still just a CBer who merely wants "revised CB."
: See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
: http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
:

: "But I HAVE A RIGHT...!"
: NO YOU DON'T.

Ham radio is a privilege. Thus the government can and does
set the requirements. If it was a right then there would be no
requirements.

1507. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <387A494B.45FD@imt.net>
Source record: 122210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Right on!
I once had a lot of respect for the hobbt and the amateurs who had
attained amateur extra,no more,for two reasons.
1.I got my own extra in 7 months with no prior code or electronic
knowledge and only a high school ed.IT'S ALREADY TOO EASY!

2.This further dumbing down is just more of the same,politicaly correct
radio,t-ball of the airwaves,nobody loses no matter how little effort
they put in.

At least now my dog can get a license.

njvets@skyweb.net wrote:
>
> As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
> of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
> license restructuring;
> Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
> it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.
> But, there seems to have been an unfortunate trend in the ease by
> which a license is obtained. Reducing the CW requirement is another
> step in permitting less effort for more privelege. Furthermore, there
> is little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
> integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
> there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are. But anyone looking
> to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
> if he just know the right people. To argue this is simply denial of
> the facts.
> The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.
> Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
> quantity. Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
> manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.
> Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
> will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
> will be honored, respected, and nurtured. Why do we implement policy
> that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it
> something difficult, something earned, something valueable?
> I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
> which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
> very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
> where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
>
> K2TL

1508. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <fNse4.866$Gp4.25669@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122211

: >The fcc has killed the code. It just dosent know its dead yet. The fcc
: >needs to finish the job by doing away with the 5wpm and allowing fone
: >operations in all bands now reserved for cw. Its not logical to reserve
: >50% of the ham bands for a dead mode no will be using in 10 years (or
: >less) except for a few old geezer cw types.
:
: Some years ago, those CW subbands were renamed digital subbands. So
: you're proposing that phone, packet, RTTY, and code should all
: intermingle? (RTTY on 3950 and 14313? Maybe that's not a bad idea!)
:
: Jeff

Jeff, as an old Coastie, I expect you understand the romance
of the code, the lone operator that saves the day. Unfortantly,
those days are gone.

Modern life is fast. Especially the modern battlefield. By the
time a morse message could be transmitted, a modern anti
shipping missle would strike. That is why the NAVY has a high
speed tactical data network tieing together all the ships and air
craft within a task force. What one sees, all see.

1509. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8EBC038B5D89B636.1E71F17B97CB242B.AEEBCEA092E15B41@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122212

N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net> wrote in article
<7zse4.849$Gp4.25280@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>...
> : WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1
>
> : "I don't know code."
> : Then, of course, you are NO HAM.
> :
> : "But I don't want to learn code!"
> : Then you will remain NO HAM.
> :
> : "But I can't pass the code test!"
> : Then you don't qualify for a ham license.
> :
> : "But I shouldn't have to learn the code because I don't
want to use it!"
> :
> : Then you're just a CBer.
>
> CBers, like all commercials licensees, take no tests.
Hams are
> tested on technical and legal issues. Most hams are more
informed
> on the law than are most gun owners.

Do you know how many times I have heard those "know
nothing" CBers teaching CW on the CB bands??!!!!

>
> : "But I have a "no-code technician license!"
> : Then you are a CBer on 2-meter CB.
> :

Gee, I'll remember that the next time I am providing some
community service of some sort!

> : "But I have a call sign!"
> : So do CBers.
> :

Wow, are you behind the times. BUT, those of us who still
enjoy CB, can certainly use our amateur callsign on the CB
band.... (|)

The rest of this waste of time was rhetorical and without
merit.

Kim W5TIT

1510. Re: New License Class

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DEAC3C4144C3A58E.26FD8D06114BB297.62F494EB41EA10C1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122213

N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net> wrote in article
<vose4.840$Gp4.24866@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>...
> : I propose that under the new license restructuring,
that after April 15,
> : the highest class license will be known as a
"TechXtra".

Are you this predjudice across all aspects of your life, or
just ham radio in general?

Kim W5TIT

1511. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <k1merNOk1SPAM@arrl.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <09920fb9.63a6b675@usw-ex0106-048.remarq.com>
Source record: 122214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Responding to K2TL:
The time for disagreement with restructuring, reduction of CW speed requirements, etc was when the matter was being considered by the FCC, last year. That time is gone now, and it's time for all of us to pull together to make restructuring work for everyone. Sure there's problems in the VEC system, we need to work on that, integrity is essential to maintaining respect, but for every rotten apple there's a hundred good ones, not the other way around. If we know of specific problems, we need to get that information to the proper authorities so action can be taken. Then we need to let them do their jobs.
Instead of tearing the system down and being devisive, we should all now be looking for ways to support the system and make it work. We all had our chance to voice dissent...no doubt you expressed yours as I did mine....but no decision the FCC could have made would have made everyone happy. The decision has now been made, and we should accept it like responsible citizens and get back to work.
No offense, K2TL, but your protest message and dire predictions can only do harm now, and divide us further. This issue has done some damage already to the ham brother/sisterhood in this country, and your help is needed, along with every serious ham, to heal that rift.
"Ham Radio doomed"...??? Only if you and I let it happen, my friend. In each of several instances over the years when there were major changes made, some well-intentioned hams predicted the demise of Ham Radio.... but...it hasn't happened yet. Somehow I think we'll all muddle through this one too. 73, K1MER

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1512. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <D1D28A781FEE1DB4.E40B5E2FCA817926.6D436FA98A5E6DA8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122215

N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net> wrote in article
<vose4.839$Gp4.24866@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>...
> : I hope you are all correct.
> :
> : I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see
it go as a
> requirement
> : (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference,
will someone tell me
> : what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11
meters? I have seen
> : them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for
as bad as they seem
> : today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

If CW has twisted your thinking to the degree that is
indicated below, then good riddance to it.

>
> Respect and enforcement of the rules. Hams are more law
abiding
> than CBers. Thus we report on our own. And now we have
FCC
> support.
>

YOU have GOT to be KIDDING??????????????????
Puuuuuuhhhhhlllleeeze! You forgot to put your hands on
your hips and rock them back and forth and say, "nyah nyah
nyah nyah nyah nyah."

Yep, you're that predjudice. You know, you seem to have a
problem with "CBers" thinking that they lack a proper form
of communication. Should I make any, any at all, comments
on your atrocious (that would be atrowshus to you) use of
the English language in your post? Shall I? Not only have
you mangled in communicative form, you have mangled it in
its written form.

So, what would that make you? I don't know; you're so
accomplished at judging, you decide!

Kim W5TIT

1513. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: geo-mac@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <geo-mac-1001001721470001@207.115.52.160>
Source record: 122216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net>, njvets@skyweb.net wrote:

Hello,

> As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
> of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
> license restructuring;
> Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
> it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.

That seems to be what the FCC found also, although a case might be made that a proficient CW op might be a more disciplined operator by virtue of the fact that it often takes a discilpined course of study and practice to become
a good CW op. I personally feel that the FCC went too far with easing the CW requirement, having no problem with a 5 WPM General, but having many problems with a 5 WPM Advanced and Extra, but that is just my opinion. On the other hand, examples abound on the bands today where hams who have passed the 13 or 20 WPM requirement who are not in the least bit "good Amateur Radio ops". I have also been a ham for almost 36 years and have come to realize that, to a large extent, the operating practices on the ham bands tend to reflect society as a whole. It is interesting to read old QST articles, letters, and editorials from any time period in their history to see refferences to poor operators on the bands, although tough CW and techincal exams have been passed.

> But, there seems to have been an unfortunate trend in the ease by
> which a license is obtained. Reducing the CW requirement is another
> step in permitting less effort for more privelege.

This point was argued when controversey existed about the Class C license (taken by mail) and at the inception of the Novice and Technician classes in the early 50s. Some would argue that the standard has gone down since the inception of formal Amateur Radio licensing itself. The trick is to find some point in the realm of testing where most hams can agree that the standard is neither too high or low, and I don't think that is an obtainable goal. I certainly would support increasing the level of the technical testing elements.

> Furthermore, there
> is little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
> integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
> there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are.

It is unfortunate that the FCC got away from doing the testing, but I do not think we will ever see that return again. Unfortunately, we read too much in QST about VEC testing fraud, and it is possible that this might just be the tip of the iceberg.

> But anyone looking
> to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
> if he just know the right people. To argue this is simply denial of
> the facts.

Maybe if the FCC has enough prosecutions and fines for testing fraud. this would not be an issue. Of course, it has always been an issue in the past with the Class C, Novice, and old Technician licenses. At least with the Novice back then it was one year up or out.

> The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.
> Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
> quantity.

This started in earnest with the advant of the codless Tech license and IMHO was a direct response by the ARRL to perceived threats to valuable VHF spectrum. Remember, that was the time when spectrun austions began to be discussed openly. I will always believe that the codless Tech license was no more than an attempt to quickly license a standing army of operators on VHF and UHF and above bands where existing "coded operators" did not populate to any great extent and really did not care about those allocations.

>Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
> manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.

Remember what happened in the wake of incentive licensing in the late 60s and early 70s. A good argument could be made that Amateur Radio was at its weakest point then. Equipment sales plummeted, most of the US manufacturers went out of the ham radio business, and license growth flattened out for a time. There were other economic pressures that came into play at the time also, but I thnk there was somewhat of a cause and effect relationship then. I tend to believe that to a certain point, keeping the radio manufacturers in business is
a good thing for Amateur Radio in general

> Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
> will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
> will be honored, respected, and nurtured.

A great many examples exist in our society that validates this.

>Why do we implement policy
> that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it
> something difficult, something earned, something valueable?

I think this is because the FCC as an institution really believes that the ARS is really not a service anymore. The trained pool of competent operators always reffered to in the past really meant competent CW

operators, and there is no longer a need for that.

> I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
> which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
> very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
> where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.

I have to disagree here. Good people will still be attracted to the ARS and find their own areas of interest. We will still continue to have the great CW ops, the weak signal guys, the leading edge digital people, and the master builders. Amateur Radio always has been what you make it. The opportunities have not diminished. The ARRL is doing what it has to do. The Demographics of the ARS
are really freightening to look at from a marketers point of view.

For the ARRL to even exist, it takes membership, and advertising revenue. It is true that the "core" ARRL support, in terms of license classes represented on its membership roles contain an over penetration of Advanced, Extra class, and to some extent General class licensees, but..... this probably would have not sustained the organization into a future where the ARS was increasingly dominated by the Techinician class licensee, who, as a whole, did not join the ARRL. If I were on the ARRL board, I would be concerned that new people coming into the ARS at reduced licensing standards might follow the Technician model, and not be joiners, maybe because of perceived "fit in" problems with older hams who passed the test when the test was a test. In the end, it is up to us, the ones who have been there and done that, to lend a helping hand to any newcomer to the ARS regardless of what test they took, and how well they can copy code.

73
George
W3GEO

> K2TL

1514. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <HEte4.927$Gp4.28395@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122217
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ResQR551 <resqr551@aol.com> wrote ...
: The FCC has: No Power of Arrest what so ever.
: Get your facts staight dickhead.
:

Of course the FCC can arrest. And it can issue
big fines too. If that isn't enough, it can bring very
big US Marshalls along to break down your door and
beat the shit out of you.

1515. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <YVte4.830$Qp3.20074@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 122218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep the end is near, get out now while you can and send me all your radios.

njvets@skyweb.net wrote in message <387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net>...
>As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
>of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
>license restructuring;
>Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
>it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.
>But, there seems to have been an unfortunate trend in the ease by
>which a license is obtained. Reducing the CW requirement is another
>step in permitting less effort for more privelege. Furthermore, there
>is little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
>integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
>there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are. But anyone looking
>to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
>if he just know the right people. To argue this is simply denial of
>the facts.
>The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.
>Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
>quantity. Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
>manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.
>Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
>will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
>will be honored, respected, and nurtured. Why do we implement policy
>that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it
>something difficult, something earned, something valueable?
>I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
>which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
>very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
>where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
>
>K2TL

1516. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <k1merNOk1SPAM@arrl.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <1ad5cfac.70e338bd@usw-ex0106-048.remarq.com>
Source record: 122219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <mEmd4.1881$%K1.118120@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>, "njvets"
<njvets@skyweb.net> wrote:
> > I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED
> something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it.
> How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to
> get than a
> parking ticket?
> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> K2TL

I have a real nice car. It drives like a dream. It's good on gas. It's
really nice-looking, too. I worked hard to get it. It cost me a lot of
hard-earned money. But yesterday my neighbor was given a car just like
mine. So now I guess I have to hate my car. It no longer has any value
to me, because if my friend can get one for "free", and go out and
drive the roads same as me, well that's just not fair. He shouldn't be
allowed to have that car unless he worked just as hard for it as I did
for mine. With him driving the roads, all the fun of it is gone for me.
My car just looks ugly to me now. So long car, guess I'll just walk.

No offense, K2TL, but your reasoning is lost on me. How about taking a
little more positive view? With your years of experience, you can make
a big difference in the way things turn out. You can be a force for
helping the upcoming youngsters be better hams, learn how to do things
the right way, you can be an example and a teacher. You and I have both
been in this game 35+ years...we used to call them "elmers", didn't we?
We don't have to let Ham Radio slip away, let's do something positive.
Let's start by talking positive, not negative. Let's work with the hand
we've been dealt, like we have for all these years, and make it work.

K1MER

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1517. Re: Logbook Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <85dqtu$d2n$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 122220

DXbase 2000 is clearly the Cadillac of ALL logging software. I have a link
to the demo on my website.

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Mark Bone" <markbone@accessus.net> wrote in message
news:3873901b.0@news.accessus.net...
> Where can I find a good logging program?
>
> Mark
>
>

1518. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85dupims1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 122221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<njvets@skyweb.net> wrote in message
news:387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net...
I do believe, that in a
> very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
> where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.

Your short time is here.

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

1519. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <3879d59c.3060857@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 122222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 23:19:47 -0600, kb99rqz@arrl.net wrote:

>On 10 Jan 2000 04:32:28 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>>Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
>>>
>>> On Sun, 9 Jan 2000, Tom W8JI wrote:
>>>
>>> > On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 22:24:50 -0800, "Daniel J. Morlan"
>>> > <dmorlan@ca.freei.net> wrote:
>
>>> No, no, no....I wasn't recommending that a LICENSE be forged. Just put a
>>> piece of paper with some bogus writing in a nice frame, hang it on the
>>> wall by the expensive rig (I didn't say that he needed to USE the radio),
>>> and then the gentleman can have ALL of the satisfaction which he appears
>>> to derive from his amateur radio license: A FANCY, OFFICIAL-LOOKING
>>> L_A_B_E_L, nothing more.
>>
>>
>> Hey! You've just described very accurately what all the
>>Novextras will have after April 15!! Good work!
>
> I guess you did not notice that is all you will as well, I am
>sorry to take away what must about your only source of self pride.
>That no one will be able to tel that suffered tough those hard exams
>after crawling on broken glass up hill both ways to sit before the FCC
>dragons and prove your what everhood

You didn't take away anything, but the FCC sure did!

It's typical for those who dislike working for something and who
expect handouts to not understand why some people have pride in what
they accomplished.

With that in mind, I understand why you agree with the suggestion
people just forge documents that are symbols of what they accomplished
in life.

But I think picking on a guy who is proud he worked for something is a
sign of just how bad our society is getting. Socialism at it's finest!

73 Tom

1520. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "(Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <387A8628.4FBCE8CF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Because some Richard Cranium has troll-like tendencies and
wanted to start some sh!t.

dana wrote:
>
> why does rec.radio.scanner have to be included in this thread?

--
Posts to the newsgroup DO NOT need a copy e-mailed to me. Thanks.
(Unless you're afraid I won't see it!)

Unit SIX-NINE Chesapeake Bay

1521. Re: hot pussy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Xlwe4.201$9T4.5328@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 122224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

tit tit tit tit tit tit

oscar wrote in message <387A4279.DC18BE58@nightmail.com>...
>Do i have to know morse code?? tit instead of dit? :)
>
>fwtojb@hosefs.com wrote:
>>
>> Hi everyone....I am a single female, 5-6 in, have long hair and am
looking for fun.
>>
>> I have been recently featured in one of the hottest sites on the net and
wanted to share it with you. Use the following link to bypass directly to
the site.
>>
>> http://www.intergal.com/cgi-bin/BAN_record?jhrs1/003a01000E/1
>>
>>
qeuzfwrodlhdllktexmgvuguwuhysgbspmzwdjgrnbxtxwmizuqjquymfspqtfdlpbslgpwsirux
dknhxrzcyntfoxkfhupfskkmeoozsujjrckxcdbdlznibxblbbjhfbjtpidtvbywhwcmqpcjthec
vgxeqykxdnccfwnjwvzgoxkhssomnknuckmdtpnmrjxgohqzxtxgfeipnywiljpryzddcyrebdjy
kokyejeqvqssfvmrdfhcqmgxfduhiiscjtsptebgdlnxwyshhylhglhhubtdrmljdvldwqzv
>

1522. Re: Emergency Comm. & Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <nqwe4.202$9T4.5689@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 122225

Well put. I might also add that most hospitals installed VHF antennas and 2 meter radios in anticipation of Y2K related communications problems.

W5TIT/Kim wrote in message ...
>Posted Earlier under different Subject:
>
>----------------
>
>Perfidious:
>
>Ham radio is a hobby regulated by the United States Govt., with the Federal
>Communications Commission as the enforcing agency. Because of that, the
>amateur radio hobby is bound to the Code of Federal Regulations, CFR Part
>97, Title 47. Within that code is the "Basis & Principle" of amateur
>radio, which would be akin to a corporation's "Statement of Purpose."
>
>Included in our "Basis & Principle" is the following:
>
>97.1 Basis and purpose.
>The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
>radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
>principles:
>
>(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
>public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly
>with respect to providing emergency communications.
>
>We are often called upon by our local emergency services to provide help
>with searching for a missing child, help with public safety issues as they
>relate to parades and other community service events, help with search &
>rescue, as well as many other public/community service events. Perhaps you
>are familiar with the service of REACT in the old CB days. An organization
>named RACES is also active in ham radio and is governed by guidelines set
>forth from FEMA.
>
>All of the above is provided by ham radio operators without regard to
>monetary reimbursement, or ANY form of reimbursement in any way. I think
>you can recognize the value amateur radio, along with CB radio and REACT,
>provides to our communities in times of need.
>
>I have been directly involved with public service groups, even organizing
>public service groups here in my area. I will never forget one story
>relayed to me by a ham who is a member of SATERN (Salvation Army hams) from
>during the Oklahoma City Federal Building bombing. A cellular phone

>company there immediately made 100 cellular phones available to emergency
>officials working that disaster scene. Almost as quickly as they were
>handed out, the cellular phone company pleaded for everyone to stop using
>them--the heavy use had shut down there systems. Ham radio operators
>provided the backbone of emergency communications in that disaster.
>
>I don't want you to think that I have been preaching to you. It is not my
>intention that you think that; it is only my thought that perhaps you
>weren't aware of why we do what we do.
>
>73/Kim Walker
>W5TIT
>
>> :
>> : Perfidious wrote:
>> : .
>> : > I'm curious, how does the amateur radio community help police locate
>> these
>> : > folks? Are they (or you) using, Operating, monitering these freqs?
>> : > Foxhunting on police bands? how does that work? See Above... "the
>> suspect
>> : > will be suspect"
>> : > exactally what does that mean?
>> : > get a life... talk to your friends on the bands and quit playing
>> detective...
>> : > dont make yourself out to be something you're not. And assuming you
>have
>> a
>> : > business of your own.... try minding it.
>>
>

1523. Re: ACC RC85 Controller HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: larryj@user2.teleport.com
Message-ID: <85e69snbn1@user2.teleport.com>
Source record: 122226
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,in.ham-radio,news.admin.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,su.org.ham-radio,uwarwick.societies.amateur-radio

In article <uWdI2mwW$GA.220@cpmsnbbsa04>,
Robert Wilson <N9LZK@email.msn.com> wrote:
>We have an RC85 with the V 5 upgrade that has picked up this peculiar
>problem since Y2K. It's lost the clock, scheduler, and female voice. At
>least that's all we've seemed to find so far. All the macros are intact and
>autopatch works. Anyone know a fix for this? I tried to swap out the clock
>module for another one but I'm not quite sure of the one I had. HELP!!
>
>E-mail me at n9lzk@arrl.net if you have any info on this problem or are
>experencing this problem with the ACC RC85 and have corrected it>

For those folks who didn't set their RC-85's, etc. to 1971 before the Y2K
rollover, there is a code that you need to send the controller to get back
the features you lost. I don't have it here at work, but it was given many
times on the ACC Repeater Controller mailing list. I set my RC-850 and 85's
etc. to 1971 before the rollover and all is well...
Larry K7LJ

>
>

1524. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John P. Franklin" <jpfrankl@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <zZwe4.1664$B9.14825@news1.teleport.com>
Source record: 122227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So what's wrong with the call you already have?

Pat

1525. missing .gif now in tigerAprs.tcl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <387AA07A.C7DACB8@preferred.com>
Source record: 122228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Eric notified me that I forgot to include the one hardcoded .gif in my
zip file.
Well I have got it there now and I apologize for any
incovenience.

--
Jeff Pierce, wd4nmq
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1526. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387AA087.D80D6FF2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122229
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
> At least now my dog can get a license.

At least now you dog can get an extra class license. He still
can't pass the advanced. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1527. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <387AA4B9.2011928F@preferred.com>
Source record: 122230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Actually Rick, if you read the writings of Jefferson and other framers
the second admendant was to keep the Government in-line. They saw how in
Europe, if only the government had guns, then they could oppress the
people.
I believe one quote of Jefferson was :
"The foundations of freedom are built with the blood of tyrants and
patriots alike."
He fully expected for a revolution to happen every so often because he
knew that the government would eventually grow strong enough to control
by force.

This was also how history was taught 20-30 years ago before it was
rewritten to be "politically correct."
"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:

> The answer should be fairly obvious. That is the basic reason
> for our Seconed Amendment to the Constitution.
>
>
>
> Rick T.
> W7RT
>

--
Jeff Pierce, wd4nmq
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1528. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387AA68F.AEFA0680@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
> Self defense is a basic tenent of our culture, our religion, and our
> political system. Indeed, if a mandatory gun law were passed, all law
> abiding citizens would turn them in. Just like the IRA, our criminals
> would not. Then they would have an unarmed citizenry to attack.

Such a law would turn many thousands of presently law-abiding citizens
into criminals. Just another victimless crime law to have to deal with.
When Australia banned guns, the hardware stores immediately sold out
of 8-10 inch PVC pipe. Wonder what all that pipe was used for?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1529. Re: K2ECA , PORKBUTTS BUDDY "Never Qrmed"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85bae2$422$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122232

In article <85afoq$hi1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> Can you believe it, K2ECA, Porkbutts sidekick, is now saying he never
> qrmed. He was one of the biggest qrmers on 3894.5 , 3901, 14313, for
> years. Now he claims to be a angel. What a pile of bull crap!!
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Bill must be suffering from some drug induced state of mind. Just the other day Bill was quarming George W4FQF, and did not have the guts to use his callsign. Bill was a frequent quarmer himself in the days of " PORKBUTT I LOVE YOU SO ". One of the best days of amateur radio was when N1GJT and K2ECA were patting WB2OTK on the back for being on the air in defiance of the FCC sanctions for OTK to stay off the air. You would have though Jerry and Bill had married OTK and were awaiting the wedding night.. I still laugh so hard that I almost relieve myself .

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1530. Re: 3950 Causes brain damage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sonaichabi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109191216.13613.00001386@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122233

>Subject: 3950 Causes brain damage!
>From: "frg9600" frg9600@mindspring.com
>Date: Sun, 09 January 2000 10:51 AM EST
>Message-id: <85aap5$2mo$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>

I've heard that spending eight hours a day at 9,000 feet causes brain damage as well.
Wow--looks like you've got a "double whammy," Froggie: too much thin mountain air and too much time spent listening to 3950. No wonder you're so weird.

1531. Re: Updated Motorola HT-220 Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dchopper@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3879245a.22079766@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

>mikeyht220@my-deja.com wrote:

>The HT-220 Page has been updated with UHF tuning procedures and more
>articles in the Tech Tips section.
>
>This website has information including model tables, frequency
>conversions, parts and accessories, manual numbers, tech tips and
>stories.
>
>See http://www.batnet.com/mfwright/HT220.html
>
>Back in the 20th century when only women wore earrings and men walked
>the surface of the Moon, the Motorola HT-220 was the hottest handheld on
>the market when it was introduced in 1969.
>
> HT-220 is Y2K compliant.
>
>Comments about the HT220 by visitors to this webpage:
>
>"THOUSANDS STILL IN USE."
>"I never thought the old rig would attract a cult following!"
>"I use both VHF and UHF models everyday."
>"I've taken VHF units up to 220 MHz (a real 220 220)."
>"HT220 is truly an object deserving of such a shrine!"
>"It was and still is a engineering marvel."
>"They are very easy to re-condition."
>"I still think it is the nicest looking, most purposeful radio ever
>produced."
>"The HT-220 still lives!"
>"I cut my teeth on the HT-200 and went on to the HT-220."
>"I can remember in the mid-70s the envy we all felt for the BMOC that
>had an HT220."
>"What is that?!?" (from a generation X-er first seeing a HT220)

"They are a real pain-in-the-ass to work on."

1532. Re: W9ART Brain Damage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sonaichabi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109191621.13613.00001387@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 122235

>Subject: W9ART Brain Damage
>From: "frg9600" frg9600@mindspring.com
>Date: Sun, 09 January 2000 10:41 AM EST
>Message-id: <85aa5skip1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net

If listening to and/or operating on 3950 and 14313 causes brain damage,
then how do you explain WB9CIK's deranged behavior on 7258 kHz LSB? Sounds
like Riley hasn't been spending enough time monitoring 40 meters.

1533. Re: W4AMP, obese, deformed qrm'er that lives with his mommy-figure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85bcag$5e8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122236

Felon and Fatass Bubba
mr__cracker@hoymail.com whined and mumbled in message
<387917DE.B80DCF80@hoymail.com>...
>
>KC8JBO wrote:
>
>> After checking out the "As the World Turns 14.313" website, I make
>> this observation. KC1ZQ's head looks like a dirty, worn-out tennis
ball.
>> Zipper Queen, go get some Rogaine.
>> W4AMP looks as I imagined him, a obese, triple chinned bubba.
>> Big Al had his picture removed, which must've been used to keep the
>> cockroaches at bay. I guess they'll have to go back to using Raid.
>
>And of course there is no picture of the qrm'ing, deformed, 400 pound
>sack of shit JBO anywhere. Too fat and wreaked with doubt to even
>submit a picture.

Boo hoo, poor whining baby. Cry me a river. I suppose you want a
picture of me to have homosexual fantasies when Donna's trolling the
truck stops. From the looks of your picture, you look like a beached
WHALE. Your ruddy skin complexion and the gayboy tattoo really make
people's stomach turn. It looks like there's quite a bit of grey in
your receding hairline. Guess it's from the years of substance abuse.
Oh, and Voltaire, "wreaked" is defined as 1. to carry out or inflict,
as vengeance. 2. to give full expression to, as one's rage. So, don't
use words that you don't know what they mean.

> I guess that is what happens when your mother is your sister.

No, that's what happened in the O'Brien "family."

>Move out of your mother's house and get a job, jerk.

Poor deluded fool. No wonder you have such a poor credit record.
How many times now do you have late payments?

>Is'nt 40 years at home wth mommy enough?

My, my, what's "wth?" Your "education" must not have included English.
Prove I've lived "at home" for 40 years, dumbass. I thought so.
Oh, and in the next picture you submit a picture, digitally erase the
cockroaches on the walls in the picture.
And Jimmy, Riley's already been told the truth about how you went on a
frequency with an ongoing QSO by some spanish-speaking stations and
called CQ for 1/2 an hour or so.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1534. Re: HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED Rileygotchu swallows choad!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85bcff$5gj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122237

Inbred and has very bad teeth from installing PL-259's with his fat
mouth,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote in message <85b8kf$2j3
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Have you fellow forum members ever noticed that when one of the >most
>perverted posters on this forum gets tagged really good, he starts a
>new thread in the mistaken idea that the brilliant post that caused him
>pain, was never read in the first place.

Poor baby. As long as it ticks you off, it works.

>Must be that elongated head
>and the fact he stares out the window all the time . Whatever, it won't
>work, everyone is on to his childish game,,,, HAW HAW...
>
>Rileygotchu

Yeah, but what accounts for your homosexual activity?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1535. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85bcj2$5h8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122238

rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <85b7uv$24g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>For those of you, in an alcohol and/or a drug induced coma, stuck in an
>orange grove, picking fruit, I submit the following correct information
>on my good friend AF2Y. The reason he is off AOL, is of his own doing,
>and business related. As the story goes, Doc was frequently knocked
>off line, during business transactions, which precipitated him to try
>another ISP, Radiosigs@mindspring.com. He's not very pleased with the
>Mindspring ISP either, so expect to find the good Doctor back on AOL,or
>possibly using cable modem on another account. Thank you all for your
>patience, he shall return.

Come on back, Doc!

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1536. Re: K2ECA , PORKBUTTS BUDDY "Never Qrmed"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85bcsm$5rg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122239

In article <85bae2$422$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85afoq$hi1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Can you believe it, K2ECA, Porkbutts sidekick, is now saying he
never
> > qrmed. He was one of the biggest qrmers on 3894.5 , 3901, 14313, for
> > years. Now he claims to be a angel. What a pile of bull crap!!
> >
> > Rileygotchu
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Bill must be suffering from some drug induced state of mind. Just
the other
> day Bill was quarming George W4FQF, and did not have the guts to use
his
> callsign. Bill was a frequent quarmer himself in the days of "
PORKBUTT I
> LOVE YOU SO ". One of the best days of amateur radio was when N1GJT
and K2ECA
> were patting WB2OTK on the back for being on the air in defiance of
the FCC
> sanctions for OTK to stay off the air. You would have though Jerry
and Bill
> had married OTK and were awaiting the wedding night.. I still laugh
so hard
> that I almost relieve myself .

Nothing you do would empty the amount of bullshit encased in your body,
Youngblood.
What about the STERN warning letter YOU received for reading police
reports, credit reports, etc. over the air.
You're one of the biggest QRMers and LIDS I've ever run across.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1537. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <387929b7.47328307@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 122240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 9 Jan 2000 14:11:44 -0600, Nicholas Geovanis
<nickgeo@merle.acns.nwu.edu> wrote:

>No, no, no....I wasn't recommending that a LICENSE be forged. Just put a
>piece of paper with some bogus writing in a nice frame, hang it on the
>wall by the expensive rig (I didn't say that he needed to USE the radio),
>and then the gentleman can have ALL of the satisfaction which he appears
>to derive from his amateur radio license: A FANCY, OFFICIAL-LOOKING
>L_A_B_E_L, nothing more. No need to even power-on the rig!

I didn't understand him to say he was proud of the paper and ink, I
understood him to say he was proud of his efforts.

I'm not sure what the politically correct view of people who take
pride in acheiving goals through work is today. It may have changed to
the point where being proud of accomplishments is consider a flaw.

My opinion is probably out of date, at least according to the Ted
Kennedy school of water rescue standards. But I think he has every
right to place value on what he has earned.

IMO, suggesting someone forge credentials to be "proud" is shameful.

1538. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <38792E5C.3092@virgin.net>
Source record: 122241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JM wrote:

> Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
> crime.

But do not prove a cause and effect relationship. New York and D.C. have
many
other peculiar features beside gun control.

> 9000 killed out of 250 million, figure out the percentages there.

approximately ONE HUNDRED times the UK percentage.

> How many crimes have been prevented by gun owners? Lot's, you
> just never hear about it.

A lot seem to get through the net. How many are CAUSED by gun owners, or
the public acceptance of guns?

> 16,000 people(so I hear) were killed by Drunk drivers,

500 road deaths per annum in UK attributable to alcohol. Approx 1/8th
of US rate allowing for population.

> yet you can buy
> alcohol in almost every petrol station.

Not in UK AFAIK. Although you can buy matches, which is probably rather
silly.

> Those that turn their guns into
> plowshares will plow for those that don't.

I'm not advocating disarming the army, navy and air-force. I pay them to
protect me having to become a ploughman for a foreigner.
My vote, a free press and media and the good sense of my fellow citizens
hopefully protects me from home-grown domination.

> Remember WW2? American guns and firepower saved UK (so I hear) from sure > domination
from the Nazi's.

I do remember WW2. I spent many a night in an air-raid shelter in 1939,
1940, 1941, 1942.
WW2's got nothing to do with habitually carrying guns in peacetime.
BTW there is an alternative viewpoint on the war - namely that the UK by
its dogged persistence and then the USSR by its fanatical and successful
resistance saved the USA, which seemed to be a bit laggardly in getting
involved, from eventual possible Nazi/Japanese domination.

The USA sold armaments to Britain in both world wars before coming in
herself - probably many millionaires were made - but don't get me wrong,
we were truly grateful.

> At least with having a gun I may have a slight chance of protecting
> myself and others from harm,

You carrying a gun also makes it more likely HE will also carry a gun,
and he might decide to shoot me instead of you. I appreciate your
protection
but if the other guy didn't have a gun in the first place I wouldn't
need it.

> there's NOTHING I can do to protect myself
> from a Drunk driver.

Seat belts, air bags, reinforced passenger compartment,
energy absorbent front-ends, drink-driving laws, gaol,
Routine police checks, breath tests,
changing public mindset re drinking and driving.

> Trot on down to the local pub and have a Guiness
> and think about it.

A good idea!
(Guiness is Irish BTW)

> Plow on, Limey!

!

> (sorry about the off topic post, I just couldn't help it!)

Best wishes,
Peter Lawton G7IXH

1539. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <38793652.69B217B9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 122242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom, Excellent post.

The fact remains that the only "testing" within amateur radio that takes real work is the CW element 1B and 1C. The written exams are, by and large, easily memorized and passed. The CW exam, on the other hand, requires real actual knowledge and skill, not studying a manual and barfing up the multiple choice answer without understanding the theory behind it. Most americans are too damn "fat and happy" to understand this work issue. Everyone is looking for an "easy way" out of real work. From high school kids who cant read to extra class hams who can't do CW at 5WPM and memorize test answers. It's the same fundamental problem. Heck, My 3 year old can memorize things now and is already learning CW. Do I see a 4 year old with an Extra Class ticket? And to think, the bulk of the ham population is 45-65 years old. Pretty pathetic.

No lids ... No kids ... No Space Kadets. Class A operators ONLY!

K7JOE/20 (designating holding a real 20WPM extra class ticket who can send and receive CW at 20+WPM without a doctors note).
Joe in Portland
http://www.PapaDads.com

> The only problem I have with the amateur exams, and how the exams have
> been changing, is how they are more and more becoming a test of
> memory and not actual knowledge.
>
> The people who "just can't get the time or skill" and are waiting for
> a free ticket have a right to enjoy the dumbing down (thanks partially
> to Kenwood, who should have been excluded from input into amateur
> affairs because they have a profit motivation).
>
> But they should just keep one thing in mind.... many eight year olds
> (and younger) can obtain the highest class ticket under the present
> "testing".
>
> The problem with amateur radio slacking off isn't the testing, it is a
> social change. We could give the tickets away like the ones received
> by filling in the forms that came in a CB radio box in the 60's, and
> we still won't get a bunch of new hams.
>
> The only thing any of this will do is let the people who are too lazy
> to study upgrade. A lot of people will move up in class, but you can
> bet there won't be any great increase in numbers after a little

> initial surge.
>
> This is a free pass to an Extra or General, and has nothing to do with
> fixing the social problem of people not being interested in how things
> work, working, or radio communications.
>
> If anyone thinks this is a plus for all of us, they probably should
> think again.
>
> 73 ,
>
> Tom W8JI
>
>

1540. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth made a 14.313 appearence on Saturday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109202250.28460.00001002@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122243

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
truth to this

1541. Re: PROPHET STAIR STILL WITH US IN 2000!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109202353.28460.00001003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122244

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
2000 this

1542. Re: Lonely Lloyd puts out the call...LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000109202630.28460.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 122245

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
he call this

1543. Re: ACC RC85 Controller HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <38793C8B.E1C629B4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 122246
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,in.ham-radio,news.admin.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,su.org.ham-radio,uwarwick.societies.amateur-radio

WHy not reset the clock to 1972 and go from there?
Should fix most of the problems.
Joe K7JOE

Robert Wilson wrote:
>
> We have an RC85 with the V 5 upgrade that has picked up this peculiar
> problem since Y2K. It's lost the clock, scheduler, and female voice. At
> least that's all we've seemed to find so far. All the macros are intact and
> autopatch works. Anyone know a fix for this? I tried to swap out the clock
> module for another one but I'm not quite sure of the one I had. HELP!!
>
> E-mail me at n9lzk@arrl.net if you have any info on this problem or are
> experencing this problem with the ACC RC85 and have corrected it.

1544. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <IOae4.57516$J%4.78551@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Peter Lawton <peter_john.lawton@virgin.net> wrote in message
news:38791507.4C5@virgin.net...
> But, you know, when criminals murder criminals, other people tend to
get
> caught in the cross-fire.

Probably not NEARLY as common as anti-gunners would like to suggest...

> This has been happening in a certain part of this country for many
> years.
> The last time I saw a gun (apart from visits abroad) was shortly
after
> WW2. and the prospect of getting shot here never enters one's
mind.]

More involved in worrying aboud being mugged, robbed or beat up by
attackers?

> As you probably know, the police strongly resist being armed because
> they believe that
> then the criminals will become armed in response and the situation
will
> escalate.

YOU mean like in your high-security prison, the "Maze", where a year
or so ago, a couple of inmates gunned down another? Please explain
exactly HOW that happen in a "gunless society" - much LESS a top
security *prison*!

The majority of crime seems to be formalised at an implicitly
> agreed level of violence and discomfort to both sides. My
neighbour's
> rather disturbed 15 yr old son might scribble minor abuse in the ice
on
> my car windscreen, but I feel reasonably secure that he won't shoot
me.
> Using a gun means stepping outside the norms into dangerous
territory -
> and so
> is relatively rare.

A pity your robberies, muggings and similar incidents (at greater
frequency per capita then that of the USA) aren't NEARLY as "rare"!
Could it be because your criminals KNOW it's highly unlikely their
English victims will resist - by force of arms or otherwise?

>
> However, once a norm where guns are carried as an everyday habit has
> become established, I can see that it will be very difficult to
alter,
> since neither side wants to disarm first.

You mean like your own government's experience with the IRA? Possibly more in THIS country would be more inclined to less gun ownership - IF we could disarm criminals and politicians FIRST! Sometimes it's REAL hard to tell one from the other...

> Perhaps you might agree that as a long-term goal, eventual
disarmament
> of
> everybody, in every community or nation might be a worth-while aim?
> (I hope this has not come over as anti your great country, because
my
> attitude is quite the reverse. If the USA did not exist, the world
would
> have to invent it.)

YOU mean so *our* armed young men and women can play "police force for the world", and continue to be relied upon to travel the world when needed at the expense of OUR taxpayers, to offer up THEIR lives if required, to defend the "sheeple" of far away countries who DID permit themselves to be disarmed by their governments. "for their own safety and security" - even as YOUR country was and did before your war with Germany. It's absolutely NO surprise to me that the IRA and its followers resist British efforts to disarm them - I sincerely hope they NEVER fall for the BS about trading "freedom and self defense for 'security'"

God help US, if WE are EVER so blind and stupid as to consider so fall for such a "trade"!

YOU are entirely free to be as helpless before your government and others who would do you harm as you choose - you are NOT even SLIGHTLY free to force US to do the same - and THAT statement applies to ALL, both in and out of our nation's boundries. It took bloodshed to ESTABLISH that right in 1776 - and it may well be necessary to do so again under similar circumstances - let NO criminal, tyrant or foreign power EVER assume the citizens of this country will meekly roll over in the face of threats or physical abuse - we ARE willing and able to defend ourselvs - both as individuals AND as a nation. Don't EVER forget it!

>
> Peter

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming

spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

1545. What is MIL-SPEC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: test_132@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <85bj9i$ab6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur

I see a lot of HT's nowadays (including my IC-Q7A) that are advertised as "Meeting MIL STD 810 C/D/E for shock/vibration" Although I know this means it's built strong and rugged, I've always wondered exactly what qualifies a radio as meeting this standard. Any ideas?

Thanks

Jimmy

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1546. Re: HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85bn9vcva1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122249

I have noticed that myself. I guess he can't take it. He has a very filthy mouth also. It seems the elongated headed one from WVA has trouble dealing with decent people without calling them sexual perverts etc. All kinds of filthy names. I have never seen a post from the elongate that does not have sexual remarks. He is a very sick person. I understand he is a never married person with a deformity of the head. From reports I have read about him on this forum, he spends hours peering out his dirty window in the small shotgun shack where he exists there in dawgpatch WVA. I have to say there is probably no hope for him. sad sad

Gitback

In article <85b8kf$2j3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> Have you fellow forum members ever noticed that when one of the most
> perverted posters on this forum gets tagged really good, he starts a
> new thread in the mistaken idea that the brilliant post that caused
him
> pain, was never read in the first place. Must be that elongated head
> and the fact he stares out the window all the time . Whatever, it
won't
> work, everyone is on to his childish game,,,, HAW HAW...
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1547. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85bnm0$d9l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122250

You are so correct Riley G!! Porkbutt, WB2OTK, was never good for amateur radio. and from what Ive heard he wasn't too good in his past life. You know what I mean, seems KT4TR found out a lot of dirty deals he ran out of down there in FLA, Wouldn't pay off checks ,etc!! ended up in SC. We don't need people like him in the NEW ham radio.

Gitback

In article <85b8qi$2r3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Who gives a rats ass about DR Hate, whatever, as long as your lardass,
> bigmouth is out of ham radio.
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> In article <85b7uv$24g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > For those of you, in an alcohol and/or a drug induced coma, stuck in
> an
> > orange grove, picking fruit, I submit the following correct
> information
> > on my good friend AF2Y. The reason he is off AOL, is of his own
> doing,
> > and business related. As the story goes, Doc was frequently knocked
> > off line, during business transactions, which precipitated him to
try
> > another ISP, Radiosigs@mindspring.com. He's not very pleased with
the
> > Mindspring ISP either, so expect to find the good Doctor back on
> AOL,or
> > possibly using cable modem on another account. Thank you all for
your
> > patience, he shall return.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1548. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85bkthdlm5@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 122251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> 73 de Martin N5PZJ (advanced soon to be Extra) EXTRA WHAT?

Last week I couldn't spell Extra and this week I *are*
one???

1549. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85bncsdlm7@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 122252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
>
> On Sun, 9 Jan 2000, Tom W8JI wrote:
>
> > On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 22:24:50 -0800, "Daniel J. Morlan"
> > <dmorlan@ca.freei.net> wrote:
> >
> > >> You apparently suffer from a lack of self-esteem.
> >
> > SNIP
> >
> > >> If that's all you want, just have a fancy, official-looking embossed piece
> > >> of paper framed and put on the wall next to your $3000 general-coverage
> > >> receiver. Only a tiny handful of people will know the difference.....
> >
> > Nick,
> >
> > I think suggesting people who take pride in acomplishments should
> > simply forge their acomplishments to save work is a very poor
> > suggestion.
> >
> > I can't imagine why that would make anyone feel good about themselves.
>
> No, no, no....I wasn't recommending that a LICENSE be forged. Just put a
> piece of paper with some bogus writing in a nice frame, hang it on the
> wall by the expensive rig (I didn't say that he needed to USE the radio),
> and then the gentleman can have ALL of the satisfaction which he appears
> to derive from his amateur radio license: A FANCY, OFFICIAL-LOOKING
> L_A_B_E_L, nothing more.

Hey! You've just described very accurately what all the
Novextras will have after April 15!! Good work!

1550. Should have been Advice on HF Verticals (all)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: skyking <skyking@rednecks.com>
Message-ID: <22ee4.7910$%K1.426661@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 122253

I would appreciate input from anyone using or has used vertical antennae on HF. Brand, experience with, assembly etc would be appreciated.

e-mail replies appreciated.

73's
Ron Klutey, KD4ASX

ronklutey@iname.com

1551. Re: Updated Motorola HT-220 Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Mills <tmills@flash.net>
Message-ID: <387973E2.88C7FE56@flash.net>
Source record: 122254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

A what to work on on?

I've worked on many HT220s, couldn't be easier to work on, clean straight forward circuits, exceptional performance. These old crystal controlled radios make a mockery of "modern" synthesized radios. A HT220 with its 45 to 50 db signal to noise ratio really sounds good compare to todays synthesized radios with maybe 30 db S/N ratio.

Oh well, the price for progress..

Tom K6LHE

dchopper wrote:

> >mikeyht220@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> >The HT-220 Page has been updated with UHF tuning procedures and more
> >articles in the Tech Tips section.
> >
> >This website has information including model tables, frequency
> >conversions, parts and accessories, manual numbers, tech tips and
> >stories.
> >
> >See http://www.batnet.com/mfwright/HT220.html
> >
> >Back in the 20th century when only women wore earrings and men walked
> >the surface of the Moon, the Motorola HT-220 was the hottest handheld on
> >the market when it was introduced in 1969.
> >
> > HT-220 is Y2K compliant.
> >
> >Comments about the HT220 by visitors to this webpage:
> >
> >"THOUSANDS STILL IN USE."
> >"I never thought the old rig would attract a cult following!"
> >"I use both VHF and UHF models everyday."
> >"I've taken VHF units up to 220 MHz (a real 220 220)."
> >"HT220 is truly an object deserving of such a shrine!"
> >"It was and still is a engineering marvel."
> >"They are very easy to re-condition."
> >"I still think it is the nicest looking, most purposeful radio ever
> >produced."
> >"The HT-220 still lives!"
> >"I cut my teeth on the HT-200 and went on to the HT-220."
> >"I can remember in the mid-70s the envy we all felt for the BMOC that

> >had an HT220."
> >"What is that?!?" (from a generation X-er first seeing a HT220)
>
> "They are a real pain-in-the-ass to work on."

1552. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85c07h$j1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <85b2rqulu1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85b1jq$ts3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <ElVd4.14543$Ak.202179@news1.mia>,
> > "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > Joe Schlatter wrote in message ...
> > >
> > > (Nothing of great importance)
> > >
> > > Joe, do you look in the mirror and see a communist? You make
Stalin
> > proud?
> > >
> >
> > Forget it Davies. He's far, far out of your league !
>
> Spoken like a true UNDERacheiver. If you think Davies has a league,
> you're as brain-dead and low as he is.
>
NOW NOW, lets be fair. Davies is in a league - third string minor.

> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1553. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38797C2D.6ADFF64A@cats-net.com>
Source record: 122256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb99rqz@arrl.net wrote:
>
> On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 21:01:17 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Mark Morgan wrote:
> >>
>
> >Mark, one has to be able to read and write in order to use CW. There
> >are a few exceptions to every rule. You seem to be the exception to
> >many. Maybe you'll be able to domtrate the skill enough to go for a
> >"gimme". If not, you'll just have to wait.
>
> Why htanks you noting that I am the exception to many rules is
> the kindest thing you ever said about me. OTH a great many people can
> likely USE Morse code CW without being able to read or write, most of
> them are subject to extreme disabilities, but that was not my point of
> course something that seem to have missed (on prupose perhsp?) to
> repate my piont, I cantend that many people who have taken and PASSED
> a test CW likely can not use the mode today, futherthat because of the
> difference between the testcondiction and real radio many of those
> person (who have passed the test and can't use the mode) can't do so
> fom the monemt they pass the test. Further I contend that this was the
> case long before the current crop of techs existed

Sifting through the babble, I find a couple of items I was able to
decipher. You seem to be making a case that one does not necessarily
have to be literate to use morse code. I beg to differ.

> >
> >> As to popularity, it would seem that jamming profanity are
> >> also popular in parts of the ARSm should we all be required to prove
> >> our skill at those to
> >
> >Only you could compare jamming and profanity skills with the skills
> >needed to use a popular and legal mode of operation. Your problems go
> >way beyond dyslexia.
>
> I really don't think I am that specail, but you want to make
> things a popuality contest with the poling limited to those that you
> hope already agree with you. Indeed you are unable to understand the
> concept of a rhetoical position. Propfanity and esp Jamming, are as
> relavent as CW skill to need of the general public.

Mark, you are still trying to compare something which is legal and a
skill to something which takes no particular skill and which is illegal.
That's....stupid.

> >
> >> > At some point, we have to acknowlege that
> >> >> morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
> >> >> at what point do we finally stop testing for it?
> >> >
> >> >A good point would be when its use is neglible. We aren't even close to
> >> >that point yet.
> >>
> >> IYO. in mine and others a much better point is when it ceases
> >> to serve a function whereby the prupose of the ARS is clearly advanced
> >> by making all operators of certain prevelges donstrate that they might
> >> have some skill, which is the current level of testing for Code
> >
> >Yes, IMO. That's what I stated--my O. I'll need a translator for the
> >rest since your written skills are as lacking as your morse skills.
>
> No in this case it your reading skills there is one misspelled
> word demonstrate is The point to end code testing is where it can no
> longer be proved to be in the interest of the Public, this has nothing
> to do with wether we (the ARS), continue to use it

I'll need help on this one too. I can't make heads or tails of your
stuff. It doesn't seem to be an effort to clarify your earlier confused
ramblings.

> >
> >> OTH it's use is neglible in the scheme of things, which is
> >> where we come to issue of everyone else is doing.
> >
> >What the hell is THAT supposed to mean? Neglible? scheme of WHAT
> >things? What issue of "everyone else is doing"?
>
> Continue reading
>
> >
> >> Morse Code use is
> >> negilble in the world of Radio alone. It is the third or fourth most
> >> popular mode in the ARS as a whole (behind FM SSB, and the digital
> >> modes combined) It is number two in HAM HF, but a poor thrid to AM
> >> and SSB, in HF as a whole. It remians the number one mode in CW
> >> operattions without regard to the band they are in however.
> >
> >Morse code use is a lot more "negilble" in the world outside radio.
> >We hams don't operate outside the ham bands on HF. Are you trying to
> >make a case that mode which is the second or third most popular should
> >be cast aside? I'm glad to learn that morse is tops in CW operation.
> >Who knew!
>

> I am not saying that the use of Code should be set aside
> merely the testing of it. Indeed I do thank you for pointing that
> Morse is even more usless in the real world of the general public.
> The fact that we ham do not under normal circumstances operate
> outside our band is rirelavant to wether Code use is negilble in those
> areas of sepctrum. One of the pruposes of the ARS as I remind is to
> privde a pool of operators for others services in emergencies. The
> lack of need for morse operators outside Ham HF is a factor in saying
> we do not need to maintain such a pool, but you are smart enough to
> know that, You are just refusing to deal the issue arn't you?

Do you consider yourself to be a trained operator in any sense of the
term, Mark? You choose the mode--are you a trained op?

> >
> >> >Do we dogmatically
> >> >> continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
> >> >> Trekking around the galaxy?
> >> >
> >> >I don't think it'll matter a bit to either of us.
> >>
> >> There in my case you are wrong, what we leave for the future
> >> is important to me and many other hams. It is OUR debt to Ham radio, a
> >> fact you seem blind to.
> >
> >Mark, you're a painful geek. Let me know where you'll be ringing the
> >new year in 2400.
>
> Likely in the grave, but your lack of concern for the future
> of the ARS, shows the your true level of devotion to it and to it's
> needs.

LIKELY in your grave? I can hear the gossip now--"He's been dead for
350 years but he just won't accept it."

> >
> >> >
> >> >> You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study
> >> >> for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.
> >> >
> >> >Me too--and I'll pass a code test to boot.
> >>
> >> so what?
> >
> >...a really painful geek.
>
> Again so what. if you were willing to have a finger cut does
> that mean in order to gain the same prevelegds I should be to.

Mark, you're the one into S&M. I don't believe there is a valid
comparison between learning morse code and cutting off a finger.
> >

> >> >> I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW.
> >> >
> >> >...and because the light never dawned for you personally, CW was, in
> >> >your eyes, irrelevant.
> >>
> >> Indeed here I will conceed that you MAY have the beginings of
> >> a point, alas THE BIGGEST PROBLEM CW advocates have is that they have
> >> been unable to transfer this awaresness to anyone not amoug them. Of
> >> course You still seem blind to the notion that perhaps, just perhaps
> >> we are right and it is irelevant, and that maybe your adherance to it
> >> is merely a quais religous one
> >
> >You're among us, Mark and we can't transfer much of anything to you.
> >I assure you that I've never had a "quais religous" thought.
>
> The problem is that you don't know one when you have one.
> Indeed though you have gotten part of the point, you can't comuncate
> the need for CW to me, or to any of the NoCoders, and yet from time to
> time we NoCoders manage the revserse to a procoder.

I'm not sure about knowing one when I have one but I know one when I see
one. I don't believe I can comuncate much of anything to you. Your
receiver doesn't appear to be on and your transmissions are garbled.
As to getting "the reverse" from a NoCoder", I shudder to think what
that might mean.

> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that
> >> >> was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
> >> >> and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
> >> >> "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
> >> >> I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
> >> >> it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"
> >> >
> >> >Don't give me no jive about your "precious time". If you found time to
> >> >study the theory, you could have nailed the code. I'd hate to think
> >> >that I wasted eighteen years of something I wanted in order to wait for
> >> >a "gimme".
> >>
> >> Sir I for one am turely sorry that you were forced to waste 18
> >> years of your life on anything. I futher assure that were it within my
> >> power I would undo that for you. That you were treated in unjustly
> >> was and remains a tragedy for the yourself and the ARS. I and other
> >> see no reason however to contiue an injustice simply on the basis of
> >> your suffering. That "logic" would have meant that the US should never
> >> have freed its black slaves in order to prevent their ancestors lives
> >> and suffering from being wasted.
> >
> >Stay with the thread, Mark. I didn't waste 18 years. The guy I was
> >responding to wasted 18 years of his "precious time". Nobody was or is

> >discussing slavery but you.
>
> Maybe I blew that one, In which I redirect those remarks as is proper.
> But you are discusing indenture servitude in the clasic sense
> something is also ilegal.

A couple of points: 1) No one was discussing slavery except YOU.
2). The slavery YOU brought up was NOT indentured servitude.
> >
> >> In adittion your assertion that having time to learn the
> >> theory is enough to also learn the code is plain false do you turely
> >> not know that?
> >
> >No, I don't know that. In fact, I know that spare time is, well...spare
> >time. It can be used for anything....anything. I turely believe that.
>
> You are indeed an idoit since you are now contend that you can learn
> Morse code in zero time, since by this threadf you have and continue
> to maintanin the assertion that in the same time as it takes to learn
> theory youi can learn theory and Code. This not plain and simply
> impossible and you know

Please point to where I stated that it is possible to learn morse in
zero time, Mark. I agree with your statement that learning morse in the
same time as the theory is not impossible.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

1554. 3950 Voice Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: frg9600 <frg9600@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85c1q9sne1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122257

http://www.firetalk.com/
Room 3950

1555. Re: Studying for extra: need equation for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miles <jmiles@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Message-ID: <38797DA7.EEC@pop.removethistomailme.net>
Source record: 122258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> randas@mindspring.com wrote:
> > Why bother learning electronics...just wait till the FCC drops the
> > requirement to learn it.
>
> One might have to wait a mighty long time.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Folks, this restructuring stuff has made for a thoroughly-enjoyable flame war, but let's keep it out of the homebrew NG, OK? This is one of the few NGs unpolluted by all the pro/anti-CW rhetoric.

The guy asked a reasonable question that indicated that he wasn't just memorizing the question pool, but wanted to understand the material. I don't see where he's going wrong myself, and I hold an honest-to-God flameproof 13-WPM Advanced ticket from 1982.

Followups set to .policy, flame away...

-- jm

---
http://www.qsl.net/ke5fx
Note: My E-mail address has been altered to avoid spam

1556. WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <239-38799AB5-11@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122259

Realize the distinction between racial slurs and a computer error. Rich didn't lose his license over the alleged racial slurs. It is a rather simple question: Should an ordinarily harmless error be construed as prejudicial in Rich's case, cancelling his license?

An email went to Riley that wasn't Rich's intention to be sent. (Any of us can express our inner most feelings into storage with impunity.) Just as lawyers with mailing errors beyond their control, Rich's email should be disregarded and the matter, set straight.

If there are racial charges, Riley needs to notice him with specificity of the allegations, so he can prepare a defense--as we expect of everyone else in our free society.

I see the whole thing as a partnership between the hams and the FCC, wherein the former are respectful of and cooperative with authority and the latter regulate in compliance with Due Process.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

1557. Re: Is KC1ZQ gay? Unfair Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <240-38799D25-6@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122260

In my view, sexual orientation is not fair game for this NG though I realize free speech controls.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

1558. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radio <codeman@gj.net>
Message-ID: <3879a2f8@news.gj.net>
Source record: 122261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Paul> wrote in message news:3878e673.186723264@news.mindspring.com...
> dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote:
>
> >i couldn't agree with you more, and think i just might sell out, they are
> >just giving the bands away, but one thing, at least they are keeping some
> >code, that will still keep some of the cbers out, but 75 meters allready
> >sounds like 11 meters anyway. i got my extra back in 1989, and passed all
> >the code requirements, and i am blind on top of all that.
> >
>
> I am a "CB'er" who knows code, and Ihave my ham ticket as well.
>
> Paul

Your are one of the very, very few Paul. Do you talk on the radio like a
CBer?

1559. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85ceqr$t3r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3874A9C6.619940E3@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> fred1@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Being that the
> > advanced class license will no longer be issued after April 15th
> > to NEW applicants, new applicants will have to upgrade to extra
> > to be elgible for group "A" or "B" calls.
>
> How about an existing advanced ham who wants a 2x2 call
> after April 15?
> --
The advanced class won't vaporize after April 15th, while
no NEW advanced class licenses will be issued, existing
advanced class amateurs will be able to hold/renew their
licenses indefinitely and as such will be able to apply
for vanity calls under existing rules.

.....Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1560. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85cgj4$u8m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3876612C.FBEA5C56@yahoo.com>,
Joe K7JOE <K7JOE@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Does that mean that us amateur extras, who passed a 20 WPM
> test without a doctors excuse note, can start signing our callsigns
> "stroke 20" as a designate kinda like the "interim KT, temporary AE"
> that was so prevalent on two meters back in the early and mid 1980's
> ?
>
I suppose if you want to sign as "/20," to show
that you actually took element 1C and passed it
rather than upgrading on the basis of code exemption,
sure go ahead and do it. I'm not trying to defend
"resturcturing" as it came out in the FCC's final
R & O in December, but it's a done deal and I onlyActua
offered comment on how it affects currently licensed
amateurs. No doubt that many dislike the "5 WPM
fits all" aspect of restructuring, if you feel strongly
about it, you're perfectly free to petition the FCC
on the subject and ask that the 13 and 20 WPM code
elements be reinstated. If you are a VE, you can
renounce being a VE, return your VE credentials and
no longer participate in licensing new amateurs under
resturcturing.

.....Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1561. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85cohu$3qg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122264

In article <85b7uv$24g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> For those of you, in an alcohol and/or a drug induced coma, stuck in an
> orange grove, picking fruit, I submit the following correct information
> on my good friend AF2Y. The reason he is off AOL, is of his own doing,
> and business related. As the story goes, Doc was frequently knocked
> off line, during business transactions, which precipitated him to try
> another ISP, Radiosigs@mindspring.com. He's not very pleased with the
> Mindspring ISP either, so expect to find the good Doctor back on AOL,or
> possibly using cable modem on another account. Thank you all for your
> patience, he shall return.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Richard your lack of knowledge on the aformentioned subject is not surprising. After trying to convince the FCC that you had nothing to do with e-mail that was sent from your ISP, you now show your ignorance as the the facts of the removal of Radiosigs from AOL. Possibly you should contact AOL and find out for yourself. I hope you are watching your diet while you have been cancelled from the FCC database as a licensed amateur radio operator. I have to sit back and laugh when I read all of the letters that were sent to you from the FCC Compliance and Infromation bureau. As far as Radiosigs being back on AOL, I bet that it will not happen. AOL is aware of the situation, I promise !!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1562. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1853-3879C582-13@storefull-136.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122265

Computer error my ass!! We all know Rich is a pathilogical liar. He raised Riley, and Riley called his hand. Simple as that.

There is NOTHING stopping Rich from re-testing. All he has to do is serve out his "time-out" in the corner. I'm sure Riley would take into account the time off he's already served. But just like the little cry-baby Rich is, he'll NEVER admit to being wrong.

As much as I detested Big Al's on-the air behavior, at least Big Al is taking his punishment like a man.

(Drew)

1563. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85cs43$6hn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122266

beachmaster wrote in message <85c07h$j1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <85b2rqulu1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>>
>> Spoken like a true UNDERacheiver. If you think Davies has a league,
>> you're as brain-dead and low as he is.
>>
> NOW NOW, lets be fair. Davies is in a league - third string minor.

Pee Wee baseball?

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1564. Re: HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85cs84$6kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122267

gitback@my-deja.com wrote in message <85bn9vcva1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I <Dementia-induced drivel flushed>

Put your teeth back in, Polly.

Since when were you "decent people?" Decent people don't have watching
birds fornicate as a hobby.

Are you still claiming to be a "land baron" with your 1.56 acres in
Goatfornicate Tennessee? Hey polly, I've heard that you were the basis
for the hillbilly characters in the movie "Deliverance."
Now go play with Grunt Scott, the Indiana Jones wannabe.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1565. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3879CE8B.A69@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom W8JI wrote:
>
> On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 03:54:12 GMT, "dentronman" <w9jcm@reno.quik.com>
> wrote:
>
> >hey dave why dont u go hang out on the cb group where u always post
>
> I sorta thought that might be where he was from!

And why is that?

Dave

1566. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3879D082.6ADD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Stax wrote:
>
> > It's unfortunate that the FCC didn't revamp the theory tests. They are
> > way too easy now. I passed all of the current the internet sample tests
> > without even studying them first. But it seems that the FCC is mostly
> > interested in making their administrative jobs easier.....
> >
> > Dave
>
> Just a wee bit curious about something. If the FCC decides in 10 or
> 15 years to eliminate all testing requirements for a "Ham" license,
> would you be in opposition to this policy? If your answer is in the
> affirmative, what would be your answer to a new age "ham" who might
> berate you for being an old fashioned intellectual elite snob?
>

Oooooohhh, Logic! I love it!

Yes, if the FCC decided to abolish ALL testing, I would very much be against it, as I feel that in order to be given the responsibility of running a high powered ham radio station, that you should be able to demonstrate that you know what you are doing. I believe that the testing should be periodically updated to reflect advances in new technology, as well as remove obsolete technology, and of course, FCC rules and regs. Right now, as I see it, Morse code is obsolete technology. Sure it is still usable to those who have an interest, but it is not necessary to know to operate a ham station.

Just as I would think it nostalgic and neat to build a simple AM tube transmitter 40 years from now, and use it to "relive the old days", the technology to make it, should not be required to know, for testing purposes, if all the common modes have become digital. It becomes optional, just like CW should be. If you want to use it, use it, but it shouldn't be required.

Dave

1567. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3879D3AB.56A8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

njvets wrote:
>
> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST and
> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to, and
> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that to
> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
> volt battery and an oak tree?
> I don't.
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> parking ticket?

You bring up a very good point, and one that I agree with. I have never been a fan of the VE system, as I know that the temptation by the average citizen to abuse the system is just too great to allow them to be trusted with the responsibility. I personally know VE's that have "slid" people by with both theory and code tests (In fact, I was offered a code "test" by one group, which I politely declined). I know of other groups that do it for money ($200 for general, $300 for extra).

Back when the FCC administered tests, you had to deal with the inconvienience. You had to go on THEIR schedule, you had to wait to retest, and it was very difficult to "cheat" the system. Only the truly dedicated would put up with this, in order to get their tickets. Maybe if the license fee were re-instated and set at a level of something like $50, the FCC would not be so quick to get out of the administrative department, and the "quality" of the ham would be much better as a result.

But in today's air of "instant gratification", many people are too impatient to go through this exercise.

Is ham readio dead? No, but it is losing its uniqueness, and sterling quality.

Dave

1568. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3879D728.7C03@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
>
> On Fri, 7 Jan 2000, njvets wrote:
>
> > Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> > guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> > the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the FCC
> > stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of questionable
> <CUT>
> > I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> > would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> > value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> > parking ticket?
>
> You apparently suffer from a lack of self-esteem.
>
> If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
> then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
> hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
> worked, say, in military or commercial communications.

Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.

> But it seems that this isn't enough for you. You crave that piece of paper
> which says "Advanced" or "Extra" on it, not because of what YOU are and
> what YOU have accomplished, but rather because of the mark the LICENSE
> PUTS ON YOU, because of the "specialness" it confers on you, because of
> the "distinction" which you believe it will afford you.

It's no different than the bogus network "certification", that
Mickey-soft and Novell started for computer professionals. It's a piece
of paper, that says that you know something. The difference is that MS
and Novell are making big bucks off of everyone that studies and takes
the test. But it's only worth something if you recognize the validity of
the testing methodology.

Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or engineers.

Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
communications fields.
>
> If that's all you want, just have a fancy, official-looking embossed piece
> of paper framed and put on the wall next to your $3000 general-coverage
> receiver. Only a tiny handful of people will know the difference.....
>

Maybe we just want to make sure that everyone who plies the ham
frequencies has enough technical knowlege to keep them out of trouble,
and enough responsibility and maturity, that they won't cause trouble.

And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it. If
you had to apply yourself for the better part of 3 or 4 months preparing
for, and passing the test for a ham license, you will be less likely to
abuse the privilege, than someone who just signed on the dotted line.
That's human nature.

Dave

1569. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85cvmc$9ev$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122272

In article <239-38799AB5-11@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Realize the distinction between racial slurs and a computer error.
Rich
> didn't lose his license over the alleged racial slurs. It is a rather
> simple question: Should an ordinarily harmless error be construed as
> prejudicial in Rich's case, cancelling his license?
>
> An email went to Riley that wasn't Rich's intention to be sent. (Any
of
> us can express our inner most feelings into storage with impunity.)
> Just as lawyers with mailing errors beyond their control, Rich's email
> should be disregarded and the matter, set straight.
>
> If there are racial charges, Riley needs to notice him with
specificity
> of the allegations, so he can prepare a defense--as we expect of
> everyone else in our free society.
>
> I see the whole thing as a partnership between the hams and the FCC,
> wherein the former are respectful of and cooperative with authority
and
> the latter regulate in compliance with Due Process.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Bob, I know you mean well, but your pissing in the
wind.These are the same people, Polly (W4FQF)BillyJack (W4LLI) Jack
(W1ZZE)and others,that operated on Ham Radio for 5 and 6 years without
using call letters, hiding behind the microphone, the same thing they
do here in the news groups,with the rest of the retarded rodents.
People living very angered lives, full of hatred. When the FCC can
issue the call KB3EKO,after he used my call, to talk to these people
nightly,for over a year, that pretty much say's it all.I do give him
credit, he seems to be the only one, that has really cleaned up his
act, he actually apologized to me for his actions.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1570. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Swan <mjswan@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85ctv8knc1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Save Ham Radio <Hate@spam.org> wrote:
> You dont need to restructure the call signs to know who the new
> hams are, just listen for anyone using 10-codes, CB lingo, and
> echo mics. You'll be able to spot the new idiots a mile away.
>
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> >
> >Yes, new callsigns should be restructured too. They should look
> >like the old CB callsigns of the early 1970's. This will work out OK
> >because the restructured hams won't be much smarter than your
> >typical CBer anyway.

So this is what I have to look forward to, huh? I'm sorry, but I resent the hell out of your sentiment that every new ham is an "idiot" or a "CBer". I am studying for my Technician's license (ready to take the test at the next hamfest) and look forward to advancing in the amateur radio service, despite the less than gracious welcome mat that you folks have laid out. The focus of Amateur Radio is helping people through the understanding and application of radio communications theory. From what I've seen, other than relaxing the CW requirements somewhat, the FCC hasn't lowered the entrance requirements to the point that it doesn't require hard work to attain the various level licenses.

I'm not meaning to single you two gentlemen out, I've been reading similar posts for awhile now and decided it was time to speak up. There are some of us who are just getting started in amateur radio that could use help and encouragement from the more established hams. I understand your anger, but you should be careful not to do more harm than good by callously tossing out such comments. Dig out your ARRL Handbook and read the Amateur's Code, based on this and similar recent threads we seem to have lost sight of something important.

Respectfully,
Mike

1571. Re: HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85d2m4bsk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122274

I noticed elongated head is still doing the same thing,, Alongwith his
sexual perverted comments.

Gitback

In article <85bn9vcva1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> I have noticed that myself. I guess he can't take it. He has a very
> filthy mouth also. It seems the elongated headed one from WVA has
> trouble dealing with decent people without calling them sexual
perverts
> etc. All kinds of filthy names. I have never seen a post from the
> elongate that does not have sexual remarks. He is a very sick person.
I
> understand he is a never married person with a deformity of the head.
> From reports I have read about him on this forum, he spends hours
> peering out his dirty window in the small shotgun shack where he
> exists there in dawgpatch WVA. I have to say there is probably no
hope
> for him. sad sad
>
> Gitback
>
> In article <85b8kf$2j3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Have you fellow forum members ever noticed that when one of the most
> > perverted posters on this forum gets tagged really good, he starts a
> > new thread in the mistaken idea that the brilliant post that caused
> him
> > pain, was never read in the first place. Must be that elongated head
> > and the fact he stares out the window all the time . Whatever, it
> won't
> > work, everyone is on to his childish game,,,, HAW HAW...
> >
> > Rileygotchu
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1572. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LQB6OFDvmizqfiJH3T113khIeV44@4ax.com>
Source record: 122275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 23 Dec 1999 12:55:32 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>John Siegel wrote:
>
>> That said, I can remember over the years seeing some reviews where the
>> text did not live up to the lab measurements. While nothing was hidden
>> I think it is a diservice to the reader to include a measurement such as
>> only 20DB down from peak for transmitted third order products and then
>> make no mention that this is simply nowhere near the standards of
>> performance for a typical rig. If I could find the specific I would but
>> there are probably 30 years worth of QST in the house.
>
>Hi, John,
>
>Specifics are not necessary; I know exactly what you mean and I agree.
>Over the years, the editors have sometimes just assumed that everyone
>would have the same familiarity as they do about the meaning of those
>test results. IMHO, for things like -20 dB PEP IMD products, sharp rise
>and fall times, poor intercept point, etc., the Product Reviews should
>have some text or caption discussion of those points. I had that very
>discussion with the Product Review editor a couple of months ago, and we
>agreed in principle that this should happen, but that we were going to
>work on the details after the QST "restructuring" was complete. The
>January issue just hit the streets, so Joe and I need to talk. :-)

Indeed so. The review I recall which points up this failure most strongly
was of a QRP rig that failed to meet FCC spectral purity standards (and
that was after giving the manufacturer a chance to fix it), yet it still received
a very favorable write up. That seems unconscionable to me. A radio which
can't meet FCC standards simply isn't acceptable, and the review should
have so stated in no uncertain terms.

>> BTW I hate magazine layouts with colored text on colored backgrounds.
>> The loss in contrast makes for significantly lower readability.
>
>Consider that reported. I cc'ed Steve Ford. :-)

Yeah, me too, me too. Make it readable first and foremost. If you want
a good model, look at the old Ham Radio. It had a clean clear layout,
easy to read even for those with less than perfect vision.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1573. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85d35ncbn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122276

Bob Sherin:

Can you get up in a court room and swear that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent that E mail by mistake? Can you supply a witness to testify to that fact? Except for a member of the Porkbutt WB2OTK family that is. If you can not. I am afraid your remarks are just opinion and will not stand up in court. Were you there? Did you witness Porkbutt putting that message in a file , then sending it by mistake? I dont think so, Why don't you quit the practice of law on this forum, and practice in a court room. Our opinions on the law count just as much as yours. Of course being a bigot like OTK is not against the law.

Gitback

In article <239-38799AB5-11@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Realize the distinction between racial slurs and a computer error. Rich
> didn't lose his license over the alleged racial slurs. It is a rather
> simple question: Should an ordinarily harmless error be construed as
> prejudicial in Rich's case, cancelling his license?
>
> An email went to Riley that wasn't Rich's intention to be sent. (Any of
> us can express our inner most feelings into storage with impunity.)
> Just as lawyers with mailing errors beyond their control, Rich's email
> should be disregarded and the matter, set straight.
>
> If there are racial charges, Riley needs to notice him with specificity
> of the allegations, so he can prepare a defense--as we expect of
> everyone else in our free society.
>
> I see the whole thing as a partnership between the hams and the FCC,
> wherein the former are respectful of and cooperative with authority and
> the latter regulate in compliance with Due Process.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1574. Re: New Radio reform Catches more Criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <HgZ6OA9BGF1y1uM0KbVZgHKdMUAa@4ax.com>
Source record: 122277
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 7 Jan 2000 17:10:50 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>D Stark raving mad wrote:
>>
>> Umm, errrr, the crime rate in the
>> United States has been falling for the
>> past 10 or 11 years. What high crime
>> rate are you worried about?
>
>In 1990, there were 100 homicides in City X. Supposed that number
>decreased by one each year. Hence, there would have been 91 homicides
>in 1999. Sure, that's a 9% decrease in homicides, but 91 folks are
>still dead. I don't believe that decrease in the "crime rate" has
>made them feel any less dead.

But the 9 that aren't dead might feel differently.

This also needs to be put into perspective with respect to other risks.
For example, the Lancet reports that there were approximately 700,000
needless deaths in the US in 1997 due to medical bungling. That's about
20 times higher than the rate of violent crime caused deaths. In other
words, it is probably safer to walk the streets of urban areas at night
than it is to check into an urban hospital.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1575. FCC Rules, Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <I%ne4.393$wy2.45828@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 122278

I'm tired of hearing all the whining and bitching about the new FCC
Rules, Part 97 effective April 15, 2000.
As a Licensed Amateur Radio Operator you are Regulated under this Part
97. Your license was granted to you on the authority of complying with Part
97. If you don't like it then voluntarily cancel your license with the FCC.
If you are a non-licensee then don't bother to get you license unless
you are going to comply to Part 97.
--
Jack

1576. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387a0b1e.7343110@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Dang, Brian, you're preaching to the deaf here.....Dumb,
>too.
>
. . . 10-4 . . . no skin off our teeth, huh?

1577. Re: Just one opinion.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387a0ad3.7268431@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>>
>Too late Mayor Guliani the pompous has sold the rights to the Brooklyn
>Bridge for $5million for the next 3 years to a Wall Street Firm. They
>get to keep it clean and use it in their advertising. morons
>--
It's creative . . ?? . . never mind!

1578. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Swan <mjswan@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85d2l2$268$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Apologies for the cross post. I did not realize that the message I was replying to in rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup had been posted to other groups.

Mike

1579. >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85b7uv$24g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122327

For those of you, in an alcohol and/or a drug induced coma, stuck in an orange grove, picking fruit, I submit the following correct information on my good friend AF2Y. The reason he is off AOL, is of his own doing, and business related. As the story goes, Doc was frequently knocked off line, during business transactions, which precipitated him to try another ISP, Radiosigs@mindspring.com. He's not very pleased with the Mindspring ISP either, so expect to find the good Doctor back on AOL,or possibly using cable modem on another account. Thank you all for your patience, he shall return.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1580. HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85b8kf$2j3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122328

Have you fellow forum members ever noticed that when one of the most perverted posters on this forum gets tagged really good, he starts a new thread in the mistaken idea that the brilliant post that caused him pain, was never read in the first place. Must be that elongated head and the fact he stares out the window all the time . Whatever, it won't work, everyone is on to his childish game,,,, HAW HAW...

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1581. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85b8qi$2r3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122329

Who gives a rats ass about DR Hate, whatever, as long as your lardass, bigmouth is out of ham radio.

Rileygotchu

In article <85b7uv$24g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> For those of you, in an alcohol and/or a drug induced coma, stuck in an
> orange grove, picking fruit, I submit the following correct information
> on my good friend AF2Y. The reason he is off AOL, is of his own doing,
> and business related. As the story goes, Doc was frequently knocked
> off line, during business transactions, which precipitated him to try
> another ISP, Radiosigs@mindspring.com. He's not very pleased with the
> Mindspring ISP either, so expect to find the good Doctor back on AOL,or
> possibly using cable modem on another account. Thank you all for your
> patience, he shall return.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1582. Re: WB2OTK: The John Rocker of Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85b9du$37o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122330

Using the "N" word about N9GOR was not the only thing that Porkbutt WB2OTK was called down about. How about his long record of qrm, slander, etc, He is not contrite, he deserves to be out of Amateur radio forever. And I for one hope he is. He has to serve his time in any case.

Rileygotchu

In article <12530-3878E11E-111@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> You know, I've been in disagreement regarding this "forgive and forget"
> discussion over the WB2OTK racial slur incident. But I've changed my
> mind.
>
> Let's rehabilitate WB2OTK. BUT....let us pattern his return contingent
> to the same punishment John Rocker of the Atlanta Braves gets.
>
> After all, WB2OTK did unleash his racial tirade in public, and made it
> MUCH more explicit than Mr. Rocker. But as long as we send Rich in to a
> shrink to get him "re-programmed"....and "to get his head right", I
> think I might go for the idea.
>
> Of course, if Mr. Rocker gets a demotion, so should Rich. After all,
> what's good for the gentile....I mean goose, is good for the jew....I
> mean gander.
>
> (Drew)
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1583. AF2Y, AOL kicked your behind !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85b9v4$3lg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122331

AF2Y should have taken the words of wisdom and halted his campaign of hate and slander on the newsgroups. I send a special thanks to all of my fellow stockholders who assisted me in the effort our great company from being part of the equation that permitted the likes of AF2Y to continue his hate campaign through the newsgroups. THE BEST IS YET TO COME !!!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1584. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387BDA6C.BCAF038C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones wrote:
> The subject was *armed robbers* Gary, not all criminal assaults. It
> flies in the face of logic that if all victims (armed or not) resisted
> armed robbers, they would be less likely to be hurt than if they
> just give up the property...

Why? Most armed robbers who enter a dwelling known to be occupied
are ready and willing to rape, torture, and murder.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1585. Re: YEEEEE HAAAAAA!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <023a5bf4.3a69a0fe@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com>
Source record: 121983

Nice troll job!

Convert a CB to 75m? Riiiiight....

Hint for a more effective troll: Hit 'em where they live. Say something like you just read that 'the FCC is considering lowering the difficulty of the theory tests', etc. or something really brain-dead like 'without stringent CW testing, there aren't any Real Hams(TM).'

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1586. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C3DA9CE188805D98.610E23E817B45753.7C9470CE930F8864@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121984
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> But what the hell..at least we both sign our names to what we type...hi
>
> de Tim K0FL
>

Here, here! It's easy to have opinions when you don't have own them,
eh?

Kim W5TIT

1587. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387BE08D.BEC571C6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> You have to admit that we did not use our
> superpower's super power on the North; if we had, the war would have
> ended in an instant.

And there's even better reasons not to nuke Houston.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1588. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Ec17OIwm9xjNNf9nhL50LRqmS=X3@4ax.com>
Source record: 121986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 15:31:32 -0800, Oxmyx 11 <oxmyx11@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Arent you worried about them taking away the CW portion of the bands ? I am sure
>it
>will happen some day. It looks like the first steps have been taken to do this.
>They might
>still keep voice on the upper portion of the bands, but soon you will hear PSK31
>and RTTY
>on 14.010

Well, of course PSK31 and RTTY could operate on 14.010 MHz *now*. The
only CW exclusive band segments now are 50.0-50.1 and 144.0-144.1 MHz.
That's been so for decades. The other set aside areas are only due to gentlemen's
agreements among amateurs. That's worked pretty well for decades, even back
when everyone on HF was a General and there were no artificial class distinctions.
If the anger level doesn't get fanned too high over restructuring, it can continue to
work well.

If CW usage declines sharply in the future, there are likely to be some
adjustments to the gentlemen's agreements dividing up the data portions
of our bands. It happened during the switch from AM to SSB. (SSB started
at the top of the voice segments and gradually worked downward as the
number of AM stations declined.) Something similar may happen with
CW, but the bottoms of the bands should remain a CW refuge for a long
time yet. There are too many existing incumbents for change to be very
rapid.

>Hey, why don't they get rid of RTTY too ? That is pretty obsolete.

RTTY is nearly as old as CW, but it shares an attribute with CW
in that it requires a very simple transmitter to send it (only a couple
of components different from a CW transmitter). So it is likely to retain
a following among amateurs who like to build simple transmitters.

Being FSK, it also has a SNR advantage over OOK (6 dB better at
the same baud using a soft demodulator/slicer). Of course RTTY
normally is used at a higher baud than most CW operation, so this
advantage is mostly just theoretical in current amateur practice
until the CW rates get up to 40-50 WPM. Then RTTY does start
to show its advantage.

RTTY encoding and decoding are also easily mechanized, so the
tedium of Morse wetware conditioning is eliminated for users of
this mode. That's bound to impact its popularity in coming years
with the relaxation of government mandated wetware conditioning

requirements.

But with VLSI DSP now cheap, the meaning of simple is changing
a bit. I/Q modulators and demodulators can now have a very low
parts count. This trend will only accelerate. So designs we might
now consider complex will come to be considered simple as we
move from designing with individual transistors to using VLSI
modular blocks as our components. Thus it is likely that some
of the better digital modulations, with their associated coding
gains, will gain greater popularity even among those who wish
to build "simple" equipment.

In any event, major changes won't occur overnight. It took over
a decade for SSB to supplant AM, and there is still some activity
by some amateurs running AM today, four decades later. It will
likely take a decade or more for any major decline is CW activity
to become apparent. Even in 2050 there will likely still be someone
using it on the ham bands, for nostalgia's sake if for no other reason.
Heck, we still occasionally hear stations using American Morse on
the bands, and that's been obsolete in radio for nearly a century.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1589. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387BE59E.CFBAB12D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Why? Most armed robbers who enter a dwelling known to be occupied
> >are ready and willing to rape, torture, and murder.
>
> "Most.. are ready and willing..."? How do you know? Did you take a poll?

Study the psychological profiles of robbers who break and enter
a dwelling *known to be occupied* and then get back to us. They
aren't after your silverware. They are out to get their kicks.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1590. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <P+Z7OHw+60q2MNj6WzNKlWuBBOak@4ax.com>
Source record: 121988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 16:30:14 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Gary Coffman wrote:
>
>> That's right. Novice privileges varied over the years, but the code
>> speed was always 5 WPM. Originally it was a send and receive test,
>> but that went away when the FCC deleted the sending requirement
>> for all license classes.
>
>My sending test, even the one I took before the FCC, consisted of about
>10 characters; the sending tests was de facto deleted long before it was
>deleted. :-)

Well, Angelo made me send for a full minute before he stopped me.
He was a by the book sort of guy and wasn't about to let me slide with
only a few seconds of sending. I know he patiently listened to the full
five minutes from some of the guys, trying to find a minute of solid copy.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1591. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <13C9F186B777BBF3.CA4F42D62691BD49.A854575FAC6AFFFD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> W5-(Breast)/Kim wrote
> >I must have made some sense....LOL
>
> Nope. You still have a vulgar callsign. Since this is Usenet and not ham
> radio, I will continue to play with it (pun intended) from time to time. I
> just figured we sucked the original argument dry, so to speak...

What was the argument? Could you explain that one? It was more like
your attempt to dictate to me how you think I should think. BUT, I also
know that you will never change my mind and I wouldn't waste time trying
to change yours. I would, however, remind you that the callsign is
W5TIT, and not whatever rendition you make of it. Your dirtiness, not
mine.

Kim W5TIT

1592. FCC CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: FCC SCANDAL <FCC_Corruption@pornography.net>
Message-ID: <lZRe4.87$c7.8020@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121990

This was posted over in alt.pirate.radio

The FCC quietly swept a massive scandal at
the FCC's Columbia, Maryland office under the
carpet.

Apparently hoping no one would notice, the
FCC's Inspector General quashed a
pornography ring at FCC Columbia, Maryland.
The pornography ring included instances where
child pornography was downloaded to a FCC
computer during official duty hours.

Several employees were involved in surfing
the internet for pornographic web sites, using
government computers during official duty hours.

And these are the FCC "enforcers" who
are supporting Hollingsworth's enforcement
efforts? GIMME A BREAK!

And for you skeptics, go read the FCC
Inspector General's report yourself:

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Inspector_General/Reports/sar398.txt

FCC employees also like to use official
government cell telephones for unofficial personal
business. Must be nice, they use cell phones
for personal business, and the taxpayers foot
the bill!
Again, for the skeptics:
http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Inspector_General/Reports/sar996.txt

1593. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.12e425c42d30de76989806@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38797C2D.6ADFF64A@cats-net.com>, K8MN@cats-net.com says...
> kb99rqz@arrl.net wrote:
> >

Not Field Commander, draftee for Life, the dyslexic one Mark Morgan,
Check out the address. You need to be an ARRL member to use their e-mail
address and you can only use your callsign. The above is just some butt
buddy of Mark having a good time behind Mark's back (where else?)

Maybe another offshoot for that other split pea pod John Kasupski who
argues with himself under the nom de plume of sharppencil 1 or some such
crappola

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

1594. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George T. Baker" <w5yr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387C060C.F7DC3C0A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Similar experience here, JJ, when at the age of 16 I braved the Dallas FCC office for my Class B exam. Only, I got sent out in the hall before I could ever get as far as the exam. I was shaking so badly that I could not even fill out the 610!

Finally got over the shakes - barely - and got on with the code test and the written. I can sure echo what you said about the FCC staff there being very patient and understanding. Remember, that was in 1946 just after WWII and the bands had come back, and there just weren't many kids coming in for exams in those days. Mostly vets who had been radio ops in the service.

72/73, George
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!
R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972

JJ wrote:

> And you used to have to have one minute of perfect copy out of five
> minutes of code sent. When I went in to the FCC office for my Advanced
> upgrade, the fellow next to me copied his 13 wpm OK, but when it came
> time to send back to the examiner, he was so nervous that he could not
> send, his hand just bounced up and down on the key. The examiner finally
> told him to step outside into the hall and relax a bit while he gave me
> my test. The fellow finally was able to pass, the examiner was very
> patient and understanding.

1595. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <ab1o7s0gtq3im8v4bmsgms8t272df44ob7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

I would, however, remind you that the callsign is
->W5TIT, and not whatever rendition you make of it. Your dirtiness, not
->mine.

Kim...

My editor's wife's call is K6BRA. Perhaps the two of you should meet?

{;-)

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

1596. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5E7B9F33F3EDCD05.03D72971F34ECDE5.066FF11697A994AE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> I would, however, remind you that the callsign is
> ->W5TIT, and not whatever rendition you make of it. Your dirtiness, not
> ->mine.
>
> Kim...
>
> My editor's wife's call is K6BRA. Perhaps the two of you should meet?
>
> {;-)
>
> Jim
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

GASP Maybe we should! Bet we'd make a great pair... ~:->

Kim W5TIT

1597. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <052897bc.e4448d2b@usw-ex0101-005.remarq.com>
Source record: 121995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So much to piss and moan about, so little time....

A+ for effort, though.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1598. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387C0D67.F9CAF6B8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Branch wrote:
> High-speed Morse is not a Pavlovian (spelling??) response--

Then how come so many PCTA folk salivate over it? :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1599. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <vxUe4.100$c7.8801@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Weir wrote
>My editor's wife's call is K6BRA.

And another fine vanity choice. Dick, Larry, I might have been wrong. The hordes have arrived...

1600. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <wxUe4.101$c7.8801@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5-(Breast) Kim Walker wrote in message

>What was the argument? Could you explain that one?

Go back an re-read Sundays posts. Everybody (including me) would be bored by rehashing it...

>I would, however, remind you that the callsign is W5TIT,
>and not whatever rendition you make of it.

Since this is not ham radio I am not required to use your call sign. (Fortunately)

>Your dirtiness, not mine.

I was actually just cleaning up your call a bit...

1601. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDK <rdk@a.crl.com>
Message-ID: <387C166A.5101@a.crl.com>
Source record: 121999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bitch Bitch Bitch! Where were all you cry babies when the ARRL asked for petitions regarding the restructuring plan? Just how many expressed their feeling to the FCC? before the announcement! IMHO the ones that cry and whine the most did the LEAST to express how they feel about code or no-code where it would of mattered. It's to late so it's better to accept the changes and support the man and woman in this hobby of ours to upgrade. The actions and support that is shown today will greatly effect amateur radio in the future. And thats my 2cents worth.

73

1602. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: vbga@fgejjhr.com
Message-ID: <387C18EC.D6B57443@fgejjhr.com>
Source record: 122000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

So what do your tits look like since we are on the subject?

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:

> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > W5-(Breast)/Kim wrote
> > >I must have made some sense....LOL
> >
> > Nope. You still have a vulgar callsign. Since this is Usenet and not ham
> > radio, I will continue to play with it (pun intended) from time to time. I
> > just figured we sucked the original argument dry, so to speak...
>
> What was the argument? Could you explain that one? It was more like
> your attempt to dictate to me how you think I should think. BUT, I also
> know that you will never change my mind and I wouldn't waste time trying
> to change yours. I would, however, remind you that the callsign is
> W5TIT, and not whatever rendition you make of it. Your dirtiness, not
> mine.
>
> Kim W5TIT

1603. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sasquatch <Sasquatch-Trucking@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <85h60jeeb1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I myself do not view this as dirty, just kind of silly , not as silly as this thread continuing on and on though,I also look to the re structuring of ham radio as a beginning , not and end , you know the old is the glass half empty or half full type of reasoning ,
"Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote in message news:wxUe4.101$c7.8801@news.ntr.net...
> W5-(Breast) Kim Walker wrote in message
>
> >What was the argument? Could you explain that one?
>
> Go back an re-read Sundays posts. Everybody (including me) would be bored by
> rehashing it...
>
> >I would, however, remind you that the callsign is W5TIT,
> >and not whatever rendition you make of it.
>
> Since this is not ham radio I am not required to use your call sign.
> (Fortunately)
>
> >Your dirtiness, not mine.
>
> I was actually just cleaning up your call a bit...
>
>
>
>
>
>

1604. Re: yu.comm.ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Uf, an Earthman" <ufuf@EUnet.yu>
Message-ID: <85hlekjfs1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 122002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uf, an Earthman wrote in message <85g72t$2m8$3@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>...
>Na cega vas ovo podseca?
>
>njvets@skyweb.net wrote in message <387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net>...
>>As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member

I haven`t drink, I swear! :(((:))

Sorry guys, I missed the group :((

UF

1605. ALQUEVA DAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cc <carlos.cortes@usa.net>
Message-ID: <85fuu7lnr8@duke.telepac.pt>
Source record: 122003

ALQUEVA DAM
With The maximum height of 96 meters, it will create a lagoon with an area of 250Km2, of which 35Km2 are situated in Spain. It will have a total capacity of 4150 hm3, of which 3150hm3 are utilized.
HIDROELECTRICAL CENTRAL
Equipped with 2 turbine/pumps with 120 MVA each, capable of producing around 350 giga/watts a year, double the necessary to supply both Évora and Beja districts.
The other infrastructures are : Pedrogão Dam, Primary irrigation system.
The 18 e 19 of March operation, will be organized by A.R.V.M. in collaboration with Agr.61 of Santa Maria dos Olivais and the objective is, to give one last opportunity for the radio amateurs all over the world, to contact this village that will soon be completely submerged by the waters of the Alqueva Dam.
We will be on the air for 48 hours.
So that we can remember Aldeia da Luz in a different way, we are determined to organize this activity so that it will be a milestone within the national radio amateurs
Looking forward to the 18 & 19 of March.
A.V.R.M.
73Às
www.qsl.net/arvm/aldeiadaluz/
www.qsl.net/arvm/
arvm@mail.telepac.pt
_/_/_/

empenhados em realizar esta actividade de forma a ser um marco no
radioamadorismo nacional.
At&#65533; aos pr&#65533;ximos dias 18 & 19 de Mar&#65533;o.
73&#65533;s
www.qsl.net/arvm/aldeiadaluz/
www.qsl.net/arvm/
arvm@mail.telepac.pt

1606. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <kFLe4.4249$U5.64188@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 122032
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:387B3C57.E1C2E9EF@IEEE.org...
> Well, look at the bright side, Dick. The advanced class ticket
> will become the *PREMIER* ticket in ham radio because it required
> 13wpm code, not a measly 5wpm like an extra ticket. :-)

You must be new to ham radio. MY extra ticket required 20 wpm, not 13. (I
took the test in a real FCC office in Buffalo, NY in 1984.)

Dave, NF2G

1607. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85g6o0$mk6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122033

In article <85fmm7arp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Bob:
>
> Porkbutt, WB2OTK should do just that! He can not prove his case on
this
> forum.
>
> But, don't sell Mr Hollingsworth short! He is a very smart man. He
> would not cancel that license if he could not prove that the letter
> came from Porkbutt, WB2OTK. The fact that Porkbutt, WB2OTK first
> denied, then admitted the sending of the letter (But by mistake)
proves
> that Mr Hollingsworth is correct. If you don't mean to abide, don't
> sign a contract!!
>
> Gitback
>
> In article <9159-387AB016-36@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> > Gitback, of course, all of our opinions count equally, the purpose
of
> > the NG. Please don't sully this basic right by confusing it with
UPL,
> > the unauthorized practice of law. Don't we have enough folks trying
> to
> > muzzle us? (I am not a licensed lawyer, am retired and have no wish
> to
> > advocate in a Courtroom.)
> >
> > Compliments on your legal mind: You went right to the heart of the
> issue
> > with your post. True, I was not there and cannot speak to the
> ultimate
> > truth of the proposition.
> >
> > I do know , however, as a matter of law, the burden shouldn't be on
> Rich
> > to go to trial on that turkey issue. He should be able to issue an
> > Affidavit with the salient points of refutation. Then, unless he's
> > found in perjury, the matter should be set straight. That is the
way

> it
> > happens with everyone else in our system.
> >
> > Rich should be accorded every right that we all enjoy. Demonizing
him
> > doesn't change it either.
> >
> > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> > Miami, Florida
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Follow your own advice, Polly.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1608. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <P4d7ODUPzO6oy24RFTE4MVRdZ6BL@4ax.com>
Source record: 122034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 09:30:40 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Butch Magee wrote:
>> what country were you in that had no code test requirements? Back in the old days
>> you had to have 13 send and receive just for a novice ticket In the U.S. that was
>> only good for a year and you had to upgrade or lose it.
>
>Nope, I got one of the first Novice tickets. The code requirement was 5wpm.
>To the best of my knowledge, the Novice license has always required 5wpm.

That's right. Novice privileges varied over the years, but the code
speed was always 5 WPM. Originally it was a send and receive test,
but that went away when the FCC deleted the sending requirement
for all license classes.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1609. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85g2o3$9p2$2@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 122035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote:
> violence, rape, muggings and similer - they are WRONG! A year or so
> ago when my numbers were obtained, the UK was also pretty much the
> world leader in car theft - ahead of the USA even, and I thought OURS
> was pretty outrageous!

--> I'm not sure you can make a 1:1 comparison. Isn't the UK a lot more urbanized than much of the U.S.?

Crime tends to be higher in urban areas, all other things equal.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

1610. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mcinnis@babe01.austin.ibm.com
Message-ID: <85g24l$1gig$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com>
Source record: 122036
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

9D480DDDF13ED8@lp.airnews.net> <perf-11010000845140001@209.163.129.96>
Organization:
Keywords:

In article <perf-11010000845140001@209.163.129.96>, perf@inlandcars.com (Perfidious)
writes:
...
|> I do not see the above as grounds for foxhunting illegal transmissions for
|> the police, on police bands.
|> ...

Well, foxhunting has no necessary legal connection with having a
Ham radio license. The ham license is related to your permission to
transmit. Anyone can listen without a ticket.

The License may make the results of your foxhunting carry more credibility,
but anyone could take a scanner, antenna, attenuator, etc. and hunt down
a signal.

(Yes, I know some states restrict listening to police frequencies, so
this doesn't strictly apply everywhere.)

A large part of the difficulty in hunting down a jammer can be to
1) find a place where you can hear him on the input frequency if
he's jamming a repeater or 2) getting close (e.g. an apartment building, a
block, etc.) If I were to be involved in such a case, I might try
to just narrow it down to a small area and leave it to the police
to narrow it down from there. I wouldn't want to have the court
case depend on me as an "expert witness".

The other worry would be if you gave the police some good information
and they then go after the wrong person. e.g. if they break down the
door of some innocent nearby person who has an antenna, but isn't
the person doing the jamming.

--
Mickey McInnis - mcinnis@austin.ibm.com
--
All opinions expressed are my own opinions, not my company's opinions.

1611. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85g2sm$9p2$3@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 122037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com> wrote:
> He fully expected for a revolution to happen every so often because he
> knew that the government would eventually grow strong enough to control
> by force.

--> Of course, what we know now is that the U.S.'s armed revolution was
very much an unusal one. Most of the time, an armed revolution results
in a military dictatorship, and it could have easily happened that way
here. We were very fortunate that that wasn't the goal of the leaders
of our revolution.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

1612. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85g30b$9p2$4@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 122038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors JM <jdm@iland.net> wrote:
> Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
> crime. 9000 killed out of 250 million, figure out the percentages
> there. How many crimes have been prevented by gun owners? Lot's, you
> just never hear about it.

--> The problem with these statistics is that the states with less gun control also tend to be more rural. Rural areas have lower crime to begin with.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

1613. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85g38s$9p2$5@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 122039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote:
> *I* sure wouldn't want to see Bosnia or Chechynia repeated here on US
> soil, at least NOT while *I* am disarmed!

--> And your 30-06 will protect you from artillery attacks how, exactly?
No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have to
admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
a serious government attack. (As far as Chechynia, I wasn't aware any
of our states were seriously considering secession. A few counties in
Texas, yeah, but I don't think anyone's taking them seriously.)

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

1614. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jJJ7OEZmgE9F=FuGDIVfbOJ184Wm@4ax.com>
Source record: 122040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 03:34:30 GMT, "Rick K1BQT" <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
>Gary Coffman wrote in message ...
>
>>If you want a good model, look at the old Ham Radio. It had a clean clear
>layout,
>>easy to read even for those with less than perfect vision.
>
>Wonder if the new guardians of the Jim Fisk legacy will take your
>observation to heart, Gary?

Well, QEX certainly is closer to that ideal than QST.

I don't understand all the politics of this acquisition. It does seem
odd that the ARRL made a move that will now require them to do
fullfillment for non-members. It does *look* like they're favoring
the publishing business over the notion of being a membership
organization.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1615. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387B8520.4870@no.net>
Source record: 122041
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

D Stark wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:387B3C57.E1C2E9EF@IEEE.org...
> > Well, look at the bright side, Dick. The advanced class ticket
> > will become the *PREMIER* ticket in ham radio because it required
> > 13wpm code, not a measly 5wpm like an extra ticket. :-)
>
> You must be new to ham radio. MY extra ticket required 20 wpm, not 13. (I
> took the test in a real FCC office in Buffalo, NY in 1984.)
>
> Dave, NF2G

-
The poster is referring to after April 15 when all classes will only
require 5 wpm. There will no longer be any advanced class license
issued, but those who currently hold an advanced class, can continue to
hold that license indefinitely.

1616. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387B8766.5B31@no.net>
Source record: 122042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Johnson wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > I got my Novice license in 1961, the code requirement was 5 wpm.
>
> Once upon a time a novice who lived greater than a certain distance from
> one of the limited number of FCC testing centers could take the General
> class written exam and receive a "Conditional" license with roughly the
> same privileges as a general.

That was my next step, the Conditional which gave the same privileges as the General. Later went to the FCC office and upgraded to Advanced and had to retake the 13 wpm code test as since the Conditional was administered by a General or higher class amateur instead of by an FCC examiner, you did not get credit for the code when upgrading.

1617. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387B88DD.5464@no.net>
Source record: 122043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 09:30:40 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >Butch Magee wrote:
> >> what country were you in that had no code test requirements? Back in the old days
> >> you had to have 13 send and receive just for a novice ticket In the U.S. that was
> >> only good for a year and you had to upgrade or lose it.
> > >
> >Nope, I got one of the first Novice tickets. The code requirement was 5wpm.
> >To the best of my knowledge, the Novice license has always required 5wpm.
>
> That's right. Novice privileges varied over the years, but the code
> speed was always 5 WPM. Originally it was a send and receive test,
> but that went away when the FCC deleted the sending requirement
> for all license classes.

And you used to have to have one minute of perfect copy out of five minutes of code sent. When I went in to the FCC office for my Advanced upgrade, the fellow next to me copied his 13 wpm OK, but when it came time to send back to the examiner, he was so nervous that he could not send, his hand just bounced up and down on the key. The examiner finally told him to step outside into the hall and relax a bit while he gave me my test. The fellow finally was able to pass, the examiner was very patient and understanding.

1618. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <387B98D4.B9F2A20D@uci.edu>
Source record: 122044
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?

> Dunno, Jim, will you? My statement means that pre-April 15
> extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
> that they are not 5wpm extras. Advanced hams will have
> no such problem. :-)

I think you would have to present your license to prove that you are an
Advanced, Cecil. What's the difference?

73, Jim AC6XG

1619. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <nospam@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.fo6kbf1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 122045
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 09:46:00 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:

>Perfidious wrote:
>> I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not think "Amateur"
>> radio operators help Police agencies because of their "civic duties". I
>> believe it's for the attention.
>
>The *basic* reason they do it is for pleasure. Animals, including us,
>seek pleasure and avoid pain.

Have we lost sight of the case details where the jammer was
tracked by the FCC and arrested by the California Highway
Patrol on state-law violations pertaining to his activities?

The news release said nothing about amateur involvement.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

1620. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B9C99.2F7320EF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ wrote:
> That was my next step, the Conditional which gave the same privileges as
> the General. Later went to the FCC office and upgraded to Advanced and
> had to retake the 13 wpm code test as since the Conditional was
> administered by a General or higher class amateur instead of by an FCC
> examiner, you did not get credit for the code when upgrading.

You weren't patient enough. Awhile later, Conditionals were
grandfathered to General with no additional testing required.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1621. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oxmyx 11 <oxmyx11@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <387BBD54.1CEBB2E4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Arent you worried about them taking away the CW portion of the bands ? I am sure
it
will happen some day. It looks like the first steps have been taken to do this.
They might
still keep voice on the upper portion of the bands, but soon you will hear PSK31
and RTTY
on 14.010

Hey, why don't they get rid of RTTY too ? That is pretty obsolete.

J999w wrote:

> Hey, less people knowing CW means less QRM and more DX for those who like CW.
>
> Go ahead and hack it out in kilowatt alley ... we'll be down on CW working the
> world in case you need us !
>
> jw
> WB9UAI

1622. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B9DAB.89D8F67F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
> No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have to
> admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
> it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
> a serious government attack.

There were pretty serious government attacks in Vietnam and Afghanistan.
Two superpowers bit the dust.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1623. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bJx7OJZyGbRHCeHa+p9KejDuDPhc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 1 Jan 2000 19:29:15 -0700, "Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote:
>Gary - KJ6Q wrote
>>I suppose if *I* had YOUR gift of mindreading - and knew for a FACT
>>exactly what and attacker/mugger wanted or would "settle for", you
>>might be right -
>
>Nobody is a mind reader. I go with the odds. The odds are that you will get
>shot and possibly killed if you resist an armed robber who has the drop on
>you. Most robbers want your property, not your life. Shooting you just
>complicates things for them...

If you actually knew the odds, you'd know you're wrong. Professor
Gary Keck (a criminologist who does not have a pro-gunner agenda,
but who is an honest researcher nonetheless) did a study of the FBI
uniform crime reports and found that those who do not resist a criminal
assault were more likely to be seriously injured or killed than those who
do resist.

He also found that the more effective means of resistance a victim had,
the better his odds of escaping without injury. Those who were able to
resist with a firearm were 5 times less likely to be injured than those who
did not possess a firearm.

Street cops understand this implicitly, which is why they are always
armed. The rate of injury or death for the cop on the beat is lower than
for a number of other occupations, including construction workers,
miners, and farmers. Yet the cop has to go head to head with criminals
every day. If carrying a firearm didn't improve his odds of survival,
he wouldn't carry one.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1624. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B9E1E.959EFD00@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122050
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?
>
> > Dunno, Jim, will you? My statement means that pre-April 15
> > extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
> > that they are not 5wpm extras. Advanced hams will have
> > no such problem. :-)
>
> I think you would have to present your license to prove that you are an
> Advanced, Cecil. What's the difference?

Pretty obvious, Jim, Advanced class licensees don't lie. :-)
Why should they? Nothing to be gained by lying.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

1625. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387BA078.1091BE70@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ wrote:
> And you used to have to have one minute of perfect copy out of five
> minutes of code sent.

And that was only 25 characters, less than one character every
2 seconds. Heck, if I'm receiving all 'E's, I can copy 100 wpm. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1626. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387BA0E6.3F5C@arrl.net>
Source record: 122052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote:

> That's right. Novice privileges varied over the years, but the code
> speed was always 5 WPM. Originally it was a send and receive test,
> but that went away when the FCC deleted the sending requirement
> for all license classes.

My sending test, even the one I took before the FCC, consisted of about 10 characters; the sending tests was de facto deleted long before it was deleted. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1627. Re: A BIG, BIG GLOAT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387BA00B.292E@arrl.net>
Source record: 122053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian Kelly wrote:

> I don't "love" cw, that's silly. I do cw simply because it works better on
> HF for amateur purposes and for no other reason.

I would say, Brian, that my preference for CW has more to do with my intense enjoyment of the mode than its effectiveness. There are indeed those who "love cw" and that, IMHO, is as good a reason as any to choose it in day to day operation. Now, for my 10 mW QRP work, the effectiveness has a LOT more to do with than the enjoyment. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1628. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3mNe4.62$c7.6292@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122054
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mickey McInnis wrote
>I wouldn't want to have the court
>case depend on me as an "expert witness".

Not to mention that you need to have an understanding employer who will pay you while you sit in court, by order of subpoena, for hours or days waiting to testify...

1629. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85g7kv$u1g$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122055
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Very good comments Tom... I think there will be a large shift of hams to other bands...not the new inrush others think will happen. A lazy no-coder will still be where he is now...on the out side looking in. If I were a commercial interest wanting uhf-vhf freq allocations that are currently assigned to hams...I would directly and indirectly support the restructuring. The big push will be hams switching to working HF instead of the high bands that are under attack from outside forces. The end result will be fewer hams on the bands needing protecting...and the manufactures selling more gear. Its funny all the people who were sold down the river for money are the ones smiling as they float down stream.

I'll live and get over it.

de Tim K0FL

Tom W8JI <2w8ji@contesting.com> wrote in message
<387b245f.4197423@news.akorn.net>...
>Everyone should get back to the real world.
>
>The only thing to come out of all of this will be a sudden surge to
>"higher class tickets" (that won't really be the same techinal
>standards as higher class tickets from the past) and more phone band
>congestion.
>
>There won't be any massive influx of new hams, and the hobby won't
>suddenly "turn around" and grow.
>
>Testing never stopped the large majority of people from upgrading. It
>was only the people who lack memorization skills or enough motivation
>to spend a few hours a week memorizing the test who failed to
>upgrade.
>
>The lowering of standards has never "pumped new life" into anything.
>
>It'll make lazy people happy, and Kenwood will sell a few radios. Then
>in a few months it'll all die off and many people will start crowing
>"why don't we reduce requirements even more?".
>
>If you doubt this, look at CB. CB fizzled desipite essentially being
>a "free band" with no enforcement and no license required. The only
>thing that was gained were manufacturers made money selling radios,
>and the low end of ten meters and proper users of frequencies in and
>around the 11 meter band were ruined in the process.

>
>73 Tom

1630. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B9F39.727A8966@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122056
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

D Stark wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:387B3C57.E1C2E9EF@IEEE.org...
> > Well, look at the bright side, Dick. The advanced class ticket
> > will become the *PREMIER* ticket in ham radio because it required
> > 13wpm code, not a measly 5wpm like an extra ticket. :-)
>
> You must be new to ham radio. MY extra ticket required 20 wpm, not 13. (I
> took the test in a real FCC office in Buffalo, NY in 1984.)

Yes, but nobody will believe you after April 15 unless you present
you license. After you renew, nobody will believe you unless you
present your *old* license. OTOH, nobody has any reason to doubt that
I have an Advanced license. BTW, I've been licensed since 1951, 33
years prior to 1984, and am a member of the Old, Old Timers Club.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1631. Heathkit HD-10 Electronic Keyer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Xara Thustra <xarathustra@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fp7n7sohjbcijk3kumfu4ba3tn17vqa37l@4ax.com>
Source record: 122057

Bought an HD-10 at a hamfest the other day; it seems to work fairly well, except very low volume. No manual or literature. Does anyone have a schematic and/or manual they would share with me?

Reply right here on the NG would be great!

Xara

1632. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387BA3DC.2389F28F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122058
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 09:46:00 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >Perfidious wrote:
> >> I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not think "Amateur"
> >> radio operators help Police agencies because of their "civic duties". I
> >> believe it's for the attention.
> >>
> >The *basic* reason they do it is for pleasure. Animals, including us,
> >seek pleasure and avoid pain.
>
> Have we lost sight of the case details where the jammer was
> tracked by the FCC and arrested by the California Highway
> Patrol on state-law violations pertaining to his activities?
> The news release said nothing about amateur involvement.

Nothing said above about any individual case. Pleasure/pain is one
of the most general truisms in all of existence.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1633. yu.comm.ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Uf, an Earthman" <ufuf@EUnet.yu>
Message-ID: <85g72t$2m8$3@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 122059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Na cega vas ovo podseca?

njvets@skyweb.net wrote in message <387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net>...
>As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
>of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
>license restructuring;
>Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
>it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.
>But, there seems to have been an unfortunate trend in the ease by
>which a license is obtained. Reducing the CW requirement is another
>step in permitting less effort for more privelege. Furthermore, there
>is little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
>integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
>there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are. But anyone looking
>to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
>if he just know the right people. To argue this is simply denial of
>the facts.
>The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.
>Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
>quantity. Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
>manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.
>Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
>will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
>will be honored, respected, and nurtured. Why do we implement policy
>that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it
>something difficult, something earned, something valueable?
>I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
>which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
>very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
>where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
>
>K2TL

Pozdrav,

UF

1634. Re: here it is for you geeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oxmyx 11 <oxmyx11@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <387BC2B6.35B912E8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 122060

This was classic. One of the new, 21st century Digital hams, and the turd can't even
format a message properly. 10-4 good buddy.

TS950SDX wrote:

> **********
> Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554 In the
> Matter of) WT Docket No. 98-143) 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review --) RM-9148
> Amendment of Part 97 of the Commission's) RM-9150 Amateur Service Rules.)
> RM-9196)) REPORT AND ORDER Adopted: December 22, 1999 Released: December 30,
> 1999 By the Commission: TABLE OF CONTENTS Paragraph INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE
> SUMMARY . . . . . .1 BACKGROUND . . . . . .
> . . . . . .4 DISCUSSION . . . . . .
> . . . . .7 License Structure . . . . . .7 Number
> of License Classes. . . . . .
> . The major rule changes we adopt today are as follows: . Reduction of the
> number of operator license classes from six to three. . Reduction of the number
> of telegraphy examination elements from three to one. . Reduction of the number
> of written examination elements from five to three. . Authorization of Advanced
> Class amateur radio operators to prepare and administer examinations for the
> General Class amateur radio operator license. . Elimination of Radio Amateur
> Civil Emergency Service (RACES) station licenses.
> In particular, we proposed to simplify the amateur service license structure to
> a four-class license structure by grandfathering the Novice Class operator
> license and by combining the Techni and tech plus With the exception of holders
> of FCC-issued Technician Class operator licenses granted before
> arch 21, 1987, Technician Class operators can qualify for a General Class
> operator license by passing written examination Element 3(B), which presently
> consists of thirty questions on the additional privileges of a General Class
> operator license and the appropriate telegraphy examination.
> .
> 14. We also are adopting the suggestion of the National Conference of VECs
> (NCVECs) that we not issue new Advanced Class operator licenses and grandfather
> licensees holding this class.
> . We are not adopting the ARRL suggestion that we automatically upgrade Novice
> and Technician Plus Class licenses to the General Class, or the suggestion of
> others that we automatically upgrade Advance Class licenses to the Amateur
> Extra Class operator license. We note that the privileges of a General Class
> licensee in the MF and HF bands are significantly different than a Novice Class
> licensee.
> 34. We have considered the comments on this issue and conclude that the
> required speed for the telegraphy examination element should be 5 wpm. In this
> connection, we note that this is the minimum telegraphy speed that has been
> required for the Novice Class operator license since 1951, and is the minimum

> telegraphy proficiency that must be demonstrated by a Technician Class licensee
> to be authorized HF privileges. Because both of these classes of operator
> licenses authorizes HF privileges, 5 wpm is a speed that the Commission has
> found sufficient to meet the requirement of the Radio Regulations, and the
> slowest telegraphy speed in the amateur service examination system. We believe
> that, consistent with our decision to reduce the number of telegraphy elements
> from three to one, we also should use the least burdensome requirement, the 5
> wpm requirement, as the standard for that element.
> IT IS ORDERED that effective April 15, 2000, pursuant to Sections 4(i) and (j),
> 303(r), and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C.
> 154(i) and (j), 303(r) and 403, Part 97 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R.
> Part 97, IS AMENDED as set forth in Appendix B.

1635. Morse code job available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85g898mrc1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Posted for information only; not affiliated with this company.

Jeff KH6O

.....

Key Role: Provide Morse code and radio operator support for key
government clients.

Qualifications:

HS diploma
3-5 years of experience
Experience with voice, FSK, and packet communications
Experience with Morse code at a proficiency of 18-20 groups per
minute or Extra Class FCC ARL
Experience with radio theory, Q signs, and radio equipment

Booz&#65533;Allen offers highly competitive salaries and a comprehensive
health plan. For consideration, please e-mail your resume directly to
Mike or forward
your resume to our international headquarters office:

Fax: (703) 917-3362
Mail: Booz&#65533;Allen & Hamilton
8283 Greensboro Drive
McLean, VA 22102

Booz&#65533;Allen & Hamilton is an international management and technology
consulting firm. Founded in 1914, we provide services in strategy,
systems, operations, and technology to clients on a global scale. We
combine this integrated service base with specialized expertise in more
than 20 industry practices to help our clients solve problems, seize
opportunities, and achieve their objectives. Our clients include most
of the largest industrial and service corporations in the world, the
departments and agencies of the US federal government, and major
institutions and government bodies across the globe. Visit our Web
site: http://www.bah.com/ to learn more about Booz&#65533;Allen & Hamilton.

73 de Scott Anderson
OTH Madison, Tennessee

1636. DEAN CUSSES OUT DAVID ON 313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85geiqspn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122062

TALK ABOUT CALLING THE KETTEL BLACK DEAN CUSSING OUT DAVID.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1637. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <oRNe4.4404$iZ1.199537@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122063
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I think you're right TIM in the aspect of people doing the sudden
upgrade,
but to refer to all the techs as "lazy no-coder"s speaks volumes of
your personality.

I've said this before, and I'll say it again. HAMs brought all these
changes on themselves. Some people tried to warn about it, but
the problem was it wasn't an illness, it was a suicide, brougt on by
selfish elitism attitudes like this.

And you're just going to continue the downward spiral common to those
that fail to face reality, not even realizing that HAM radio is what you
make it for yourself, not want some self inflated bozo thinks is
important for everybody because it's important for him.

HAM radio will survive this change, but not by actions like this. It
will survive because there will be people out there who aren't so
insecure in their own abilities that that have to berate anything
different from their frame of reference. HAMs that will be the
elmers of tomorrow.

You know what you REALLY remind me of? CBers who declare
"THIS IS MY CHANNEL!!" Hope that label fits you, cause you
wear it well.

Mike

1638. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387BA6F5.23DA4A9F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary Coffman wrote:
> Yet the cop has to go head to head with criminals
> every day. If carrying a firearm didn't improve his odds of survival,
> he wouldn't carry one.

Impeckable (sic) logic as usual, Gary. Now if we could only talk
the general public into training to a certain degree like cops
train.

(Ever seen anyone use 'sic' on his own word before? :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1639. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@here.and.there.org
Message-ID: <85g8v0$6ra$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 122065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> writes:

> It does seem odd that the ARRL made a move that will now
> require them to do fullfillment for non-members.

Nothing new about that. QEX (and NCJ) subscriptions have always been
available to non-members. Members do get a substantial price break.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

1640. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387BB069.5DD1@arrl.net>
Source record: 122066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oxmyx 11 wrote:

> Arent you worried about them taking away the CW portion of the bands ? I am sure
> it will happen some day. It looks like the first steps have been taken to do this.
> They might still keep voice on the upper portion of the bands, but soon you will hear
PSK31
> and RTTY on 14.010

In the US, and probably in most countries, PSK-31 and RTTY are already
legal on 14.010 MHz.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

1641. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85gcga$a1g$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122067
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gee Mike can you write a paragraph without an insult..?? If I wanted to insult you I would email you direct. The restructuring affects me very little. Funny thing here is I agree with you that hams brought this on themselves. Although I don't believe I do...if I had an selfish elitism attitude, or if I was a self inflated bozo, or self inflated bozo, I have the right as a free born American to be just that. But as I said before, I agree that hams brought this all on themselves..and I really don't give a hoot one way or the other. My operation is mostly cw and digital. All the upgraded hams won't bother me a bit. But one thing I will add is that I do feel sorry for the new upgraded ham in one sense. I'm sorry they will never experience the same ham radio I had the privilege of being a part of for 20 plus years. If you listen to the talk on 75m or 20m you hear many groups of hams that will not welcome the newly upgraded hams. Most of these groups have been on the same freq for decades..and they will resist any thing they consider an intrusion. By human nature..when these new people are shunned on the band they will resent it and lash out one way or the other. Some will be good operators and just find each other on another freq. Others will start a QRM war that will never come under control. Its not something that anyone wants...I just don't see how it can be avoided. If you think the code/nocode debate was bad...or the 3.950/14.313 barf/stumpjumpers/liberty net fighting was bad. It will look like childs play when this all comes to full bore. It makes me wonder if all this was meant to allow ham radio to finally cut its own throat.

If I insulted you personally with my lazy no-code statement Mike..I'm sorry. I'm not looking for enemies..I suggest you don't also. But what the hell ..at least we both sign our names to what we type...hi

de Tim K0FL

Mike Yetsko wrote in message ...
>
>I think you're right TIM in the aspect of people doing the sudden
>upgrade,
>but to refer to all the techs as "lazy no-coder"s speaks volumes of
>your personality.
>
>I've said this before, and I'll say it again. HAMs brought all these
>changes on themselves. Some people tried to warn about it, but
>the problem was it wasn't an illness, it was a suicide, brougt on by
>selfish elitism attitudes like this.
>
>And you're just going to continue the downward spiral common to those

>that fail to face reality, not even realizing that HAM radio is what you
>make it for yourself, not want some self inflated bozo thinks is
>important for everybody because it's important for him.
>
>HAM radio will survive this change, but not by actions like this. It
>will survive because there will be people out there who aren't so
>self inflated bozo that that have to berate anything
>different from their frame of reference. HAMs that will be the
>elmers of tomorrow.
>
>You know what you REALLY remind me of? CBers who declare
>"THIS IS MY CHANNEL!!" Hope that label fits you, cause you
>wear it well.
>
>Mike
>
>
>
>
>

1642. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cj <cj_johansson@hp.com>
Message-ID: <387BB798.C48@hp.com>
Source record: 122068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> > That was my next step, the Conditional which gave the same privileges as
> > the General. Later went to the FCC office and upgraded to Advanced and
> > had to retake the 13 wpm code test as since the Conditional was
> > administered by a General or higher class amateur instead of by an FCC
> > examiner, you did not get credit for the code when upgrading.
>
> You weren't patient enough. Awhile later, Conditionals were
> grandfathered to General with no additional testing required.

Yeah, and I'll bet that if they'd had the internet, there'd be all
sorts of people complaining that it was "the end of ham radio"...

-cj
KD6AEG

1643. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387BB768.88860E2F@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Just as it is a common argument that other factors than guns contribute
to violence. Same argument really, it is just what you focus on, what
concerns you most, guns or violence.

WIll
KD7BFX

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
> This is not an uncommon tactic/argument used by anti-gunners - the
> claim that "other factors are at work" when the fact that all types of
> crime are statistically reduced where gun laws are less strict...
>
> It just ain't so!
>

1644. Rent-A-Sparky (was: Morse code job available)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <nospam@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.fo6t6v5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 122070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 11 Jan 2000 21:45:12 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>Posted for information only; not affiliated with this company.
>
>Jeff KH6O
>
>.....
>
>Key Role: Provide Morse code and radio operator support for key
>government clients.

"Rent-A-Sparky"........
>
>forward
>your resume to our international headquarters office:
>
> Fax: (703) 917-3362
> Mail: Booz-Allen & Hamilton
> 8283 Greensboro Drive
> McLean, VA 22102

Booz-Allen Hamilton ..... the bozos who "recommended" that the
FCC Field organization be gutted. It was during a management
conference at the address above that I decided to take early
retirement from the FCC if offered. It was - I did.

Protect your pockets and run the other way.........

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

1645. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <aZOe4.1085$Qp3.27755@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 122071
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

D Stark wrote in message ...
>"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:387B3C57.E1C2E9EF@IEEE.org...
>> Well, look at the bright side, Dick. The advanced class ticket
>> will become the *PREMIER* ticket in ham radio because it required
>> 13wpm code, not a measly 5wpm like an extra ticket. :-)
>
>
>You must be new to ham radio. MY extra ticket required 20 wpm, not 13. (I
>took the test in a real FCC office in Buffalo, NY in 1984.)
>
>Dave, NF2G
>

But you really need to finish that reading comprehension course.

1646. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <h%Oe4.69$c7.6979@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary Coffman wrote
>[Professor Gary Keck says]
>that those who do not resist a criminal
>assault were more likely to be seriously
>injured or killed than those who do resist.

The subject was *armed robbers* Gary, not all criminal assaults. It
flies in the face of logic that if all victims (armed or not) resisted
armed robbers, they would be less likely to be hurt than if they
just give up the property...

1647. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14650-387BBD68-13@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122073

Ok FCC sold you down the river..
Get used to it or get over it...Nothing you can
do about it ..Sell you equipment and shut up or talk to all the
GOODBUDDIES comming on the ham bands and like it....
If 3/4 of the hams would own up to it, they too were CBers in
earlier years..Of course their not about to tell any one...They are
above the CB gang...HI HI HI also the 5 word a minute is plenty to
require , no one much uses code now adays any way..

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

1648. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Bell <bellfamily@supernet.com>
Message-ID: <387bc7ec@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 122074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Also, don't forget the Soviet Union during the cold war. The US had superior weaponary over the Soviets by far. But, the Soviets had more numerically than we did in a lot of areas. For example, the philosophy of the US was to have one Fighter take out multiple Soviet fighters. The problem was, the Russians had a heck of a lot of less advanced fighters to contend with.

While one should not sluff of a superior weapon, one also has to respect the Mongolian Hord aspect also.

Finally, I'd like to think that our armed forces would think twice about firing on their own citizens, at least to a degree to thwart such an attack.

Of course the UN could step in and "help" matters....Anyone remember the mini-series that aired on TV in the mid 1980 called "Amerika"?

73 de Mark K3ZX

W6RCecilA wrote in message <387B9DAB.89D8F67F@IEEE.org>...
>David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>> No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have to
>> admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
>> it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
>> a serious government attack.
>
>There were pretty serious government attacks in Vietnam and Afghanistan.
>Two superpowers bit the dust.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1649. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <rOPe4.74$c7.7247@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5-(Breast)/Kim wrote
>I must have made some sense....LOL

Nope. You still have a vulgar callsign. Since this is Usenet and not ham radio, I will continue to play with it (pun intended) from time to time. I just figured we sucked the original argument dry, so to speak...

1650. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387BCA97.37BEC583@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That was my experience with the sending test also. I took the tests in Philadelphia with jack hammers working on the sidewalk outside - worse than 20M QRM. For the sending test the examiner had a laminated file card with text on it - handed it to me and said start sending. After about a word and a half he said OK that's enough. However I am not sure I really agree with saying that means the sending test was de facto deleted - I think you can tell in a couple of words if the person is able to send.
I have also been on the other side of the test. I helped several high school age boys get novice licenses some years ago. My standard was if I cannot tell what you are sending - and I know what you are supposed to be sending - then you need some more practice.
John

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> > That's right. Novice privileges varied over the years, but the code
> > speed was always 5 WPM. Originally it was a send and receive test,
> > but that went away when the FCC deleted the sending requirement
> > for all license classes.
>
> My sending test, even the one I took before the FCC, consisted of about
> 10 characters; the sending tests was de facto deleted long before it was
> deleted. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

1651. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <387BCFD6.A0E2F92@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 122077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Look up Baeolophus bicolor in a bird directory, then. Have fun.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Aaron Jones wrote:

> W5-(Breast)/Kim wrote
> >I must have made some sense....LOL
>
> Nope. You still have a vulgar callsign. Since this is Usenet and not ham
> radio, I will continue to play with it (pun intended) from time to time. I
> just figured we sucked the original argument dry, so to speak...

1652. Re: The Tango India Tango question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sasquatch <Sasquatch-Trucking@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <85gitt$r3d$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122078

Tit's are cool,never have saw,met fondled , any that I didn't approve of
,73's
"Lumpy (mobile)" <lumpy@digitalcartography.com> wrote in message
news:38796577.43DA@digitalcartography.com...
> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> Aaron wrote:
>
> > >BTW, when you tell your dirty callsign jokes, how do you know that just
> > >adults, and not children, are listening "on the air"??
>
> KC8JBO suggests:
>
> > Get a grip.
>
> An interesting choice of words...;-)
>
> > ...Are you so old that you've forgotten
> > what it is to be young?
>
> Well put Roger.
> Aaron, do you picture little boys whispering
>
> "Hey Billie. Wanna come over and see my dad's
> QSL cards? One of them has the word TIT."
>
> If you think something is wrong or vulgar, you teach
> your kids that. You probably can't eliminate drug dealers
> (for example) but you might be able to teach your kids
> how to say no. Same with whatever your beliefs are about
> things you consider vulgar. Teach them why you think
> it's vulgar. The alternative is you could lock them
> in the closet for 18 years.
>
> Lumpy ab0gd
>
> --
> Temporary Duty Assignment
> West Hartford, Connecticut
>
> The Very Unofficial Colorado APRS Page
> http://www.digitalcartography.com

1653. Re: LOOKING FOR GRUNDIG USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Coredump <coredump@NOxSPAM.enteract.com>
Message-ID: <16ln7skkmo8ja5pci0q5ccal7jm4bgir4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 122079

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 01:09:45 -0500, "gervais" <gfillio1@globetrotter.qc.ca> wrote:

>Hi all,can someone tell me whare i can find GRUNDIG USA?
>I have a radio ,traveller 2,that i need to send for repair and i dont know
>where in the USA|

Wellllll. You might try www.grundig.com

Core

1654. Re: here it is for you geeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <004aa0e3.afbf7910@usw-ex0101-008.remarq.com>
Source record: 122080

"...format a message properly." he says as he quotes the _whole_
original....:)

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1655. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: WILLIAM GALLAGHER <ks3y@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <85glkv$2j1m$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Many people seem to think that 5wpm code will be the death of Amateur Radio. Seems to me that most folks who wanted in without code have done it already, and it hasn't trashed VHF and UHF too terribly. There will be a great rush to upgrade, but many of these folks have HF priveleges already.

Has my (*pre-VEC*) Extra been cheapened? No. Has your (*post-VEC*) Extra been cheapened? No. You've earned your priveleges. I've earned mine. I use the pre-and-post VEC to demonstrate that the last time that 'the FCC screwed Ham Radio' the hobby survived...and some may say prospered.

I won't talk about the "Incentive Licensing" fiasco. That really did screw Amateur Radio. You went to bed one night, and woke up the next day with 50% of your HF priveleges gone! We haven't lost anything here!

The only reason that the code exams exist at all is the (old) notion that we as hams needed to be able to intercommunicate with, and/or prevent interference to commercial stations.

Having been a budding commercial (shore station) Morse op in my younger days (read late 1970's), I got to take a wonderful FCC exam. 25wpm mixed groups, 5 minutes, perfect copy. No exceptions. No fill-in-the-blanks. No multiple guess. Just an RE with a tape reader(remember those ?). Then, I got to send. Same 25 wpm, no mistakes...he gave me a page from the Wall Street journal. I don't ever think I've seen so many numbers at one time!

Does this make me a 'better' ham? I doubt it!

The theory was ALL tubes. Heaven forfend that the lowly transistor ever be used onboard ship! (Realistically...the static charges around a ship at sea are incredible, and many PA's are still tube-type, satellite or not).

Does this make me a better ham? Hell no!

The purpose of the technical exams is to prevent us from electrocuting ourselves and our neighbors.

The purpose of the rules and regs exam is to prevent us from trashing the commercial and military frequencies.

Should the exams be 'toughened'? Yes, a little bit. We should be able to demonstrate enough knowledge to prove that we can operate a station without trashing each other. There should be some basics of the more esoteric modes of communications. This will now include CW, for better or worse.

Should the questions and answers be published? Emphatically, NO!

If the questions are published, the 'multiple choice' answers, and the detractors, should not be. This is not to act as a 'filter' to keep people out...quite the contrary...we need many more people populating 'our' bands. The theory doesn't have to be difficult, but it should PROVE that an individual can safely operate a station...and not PROVE that a person can memorize 'trivia'.

How many 'old timers' (yeah...right) 'BASH'ed' their way in?

For those of you who don't recognized the reference...Dick Bash published books in the early 80's that had the questions from the FCC exams. He depended on hams who took the tests to 'smuggle' the questions and answers out to him (not physically, but from memory). So don't let anyone tell you that it was never that way in the 'old days'!

It is up to us to determine how exams are arranged, through the VEC system.

It is up to us to welcome and teach the newcomers. It's a hobby, fer chrissake!

Ars longa, vitae brevis! (the Art is long, and life short!)

73 and keep those flames and letters coming!

Bill WA3RA (ex KS3Y)

BTW...about 50% of my operating is high-speed CW. QRM? What QRM?

1656. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <387AFA37.B91ACB3B@amouse.net>
Source record: 122082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:
>
> Right on!
> I once had a lot of respect for the hobbt and the amateurs who had
> attained amateur extra,no more,for two reasons.
> 1.I got my own extra in 7 months with no prior code or electronic
> knowledge and only a high school ed.IT'S ALREADY TOO EASY!

AB7RS, you seem to be missing the fundamental point of the license exam. The license exam is not a final exam to proves skills or knowledge, it is an entance exam into the hobby of amateur radio.

You're not supposed to know everything when you pass that exam (for any license class). You learn about the various aspects of radio after getting the license.

And, with that goal in mind, the current license exam system is just fine - it does exactly what it was designed to do.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

1657. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <387AFB9B.F5E914F0@amouse.net>
Source record: 122083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>
> The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> everything handed to them on a silver platter.

License restructuring started under the Reagan and Bush adminstrations
(the no-code license).

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

1658. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387B01A0.C5C674A9@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Could any other factors be at work also? My recollection is that most of the states with minimal gun control are also have the smallest populations, largest area and therefore lowest poplation density, and are the most homogeneous socially. Something to consider. . .

Will
KD7BFX

JM wrote:

> Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
> crime.

1659. Re: Vertical antennae GAP -vs- Hy-Gain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: janssenjq <janssenjq@kuentos.guam.net>
Message-ID: <85f64o$h7$1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 122085

rec.radio.amateur.antenna is the forum.

James Johnson wrote in message <38775860.13266979@news.chesapeake.net>...
>I also would like any information. Thanks.
>
>James Johnson, N3JTM
>
> On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 19:58:46 GMT, "skyking" <skyking@rednecks.com> wrote:
>
>>I am looking for anyone with experience using any of the Hy-Gain verticals
>>or the Gap products. I will be purchasing one of these products and any
>>input will be helpful. Replies to my e-mail would be appreciated.
>>
>>Ron Klutey, KD4ASX
>>
>>ronklutey@iname.com
>>
>>
>

1660. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <387b245f.4197423@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 122086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Everyone should get back to the real world.

The only thing to come out of all of this will be a sudden surge to "higher class tickets" (that won't really be the same techinal standards as higher class tickets from the past) and more phone band congestion.

There won't be any massive influx of new hams, and the hobby won't suddenly "turn around" and grow.

Testing never stopped the large majority of people from upgrading. It was only the people who lack memorization skills or enough motivation to spend a few hours a week memorizing the test who failed to upgrade.

The lowering of standards has never "pumped new life" into anything.

It'll make lazy people happy, and Kenwood will sell a few radios. Then in a few months it'll all die off and many people will start crowing "why don't we reduce requirements even more?".

If you doubt this, look at CB. CB fizzled desipite essentially being a "free band" with no enforcement and no license required. The only thing that was gained were manufacturers made money selling radios, and the low end of ten meters and proper users of frequencies in and around the 11 meter band were ruined in the process.

73 Tom

1661. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@urec.net>
Message-ID: <01bf5c35$c3d80700$327f473f@cbx-nt>
Source record: 122087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince,

Being a Republican with a strong Libertarian streak, I must ask, just what does Morse code have to do with radio? I mean, telegraphy(sp?) originated on wires are you using it to communicate these long diatribes?

I certainly hope you have done something to further the art of radio communication than manually operating a spst momentary switch and acting as a bio-modem.

Morse code can be fun, and I am personally pushing for my 13 WPM, maybe even 20, but it is for fun. Logically, it has zero to do with the theory, operating practice, or development of Amateur radio.

Please stop crossposting these posts to the sat group. I know not much goes on here, but this condescending saber rattling is NOT on subject.

Thanks

Christopher Cox
KC8FRJ

PS look at the bright side, this initially should have little impact on the A1 band allocations, and possible band crowding may spur more op.'s to use CW as a communication method.

1662. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387ab193.49963240@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122088
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>At least now you dog can get an extra class license. He still
>can't pass the advanced. :-)
>
Advanced = Extinct on 15 April '00. Hpw duz it feel to be a usta be
species?
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1663. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeremy <oldrbigbro@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <s7mdf799oj832@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When the nocode-Tech was introduced the amount of hams operators increased dramatically. Infact nocodes became the largest of the licensed groups.. and from that many nocodes became Generals needing to learn Morse code "WHY?" They wanted to be better than they were. Either for one of two reasons. "the bragging rights" or "self worth". I see the same thing happening to the new requirements, and that will be the drive for most hams in the future to become a code ham. This will also increase the Ham population. In either way allot of Code sent today is by a Auto keyer or computer. Most of the Hams I know that are General or above don't even remember the code they needed to learn to get the license. They just wanted it to talk on things like 10 meters. So I look at it like this. In the long run this is going to create MORE hams to help fight off the communication giants of the world who wish to take our freq. for there gain. WE HAMS ARE STRONGER IN NUMBERS!

73' Jeremy N2LYK

Not2LYKe

1664. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Townsend" <pog@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <sSGe4.2392$xT.12515@news1.atl>
Source record: 122090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:387AFBF5.2C220A09@mindspring.com...
> The FCC is a liberal front, huh? You mean the same FCC which has been
> busying itself over the past dozen years giving 'handouts' to SBC,
> Skytel, Iridium, and other shiftless players in the wireless industry?
> If you want to assign political and ideological motives to ARS
> restructuring, look in the other direction. Namely, the driving power of
> corporate money on Congress and Executive agencies to deregulate, and to
> sell off, at pennies on the dollar, public assets and resources. Hams
> (and the general public) got shafted, and continue to be, by these
> spectrum auctions, where the winners don't and won't pay up, but get to
> keep using the spectrum, or more often warehousing it to leverage
> themselves into the next merger or buyout. The FCC can't be bothered
> with us anymore, because they view us as being the loafers. We don't
> produce a nickel for the Treasury. Read the R&O. Over and over it harps
> on the adminstrative burden, and by implication the revenue drain, the
> ARS causes the Commission. And who were the principle agitators for
> dropping the 13 and 20 WPM CW tests? NCI, W5YI, Inc, and amateur
> equipment manufacturers. Hardly a bunch of lefties, by any stretch of
> the imagination. Mostly those who stand to reap large profits from
> expanding their clientele.
>
> Will
> KD7BFX
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >
> > Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> >
> > The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> > anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> > Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> > for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> > everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
> > whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
> > checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
> > Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
> > wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
> > to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend
most
> > of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
> > this, it makes them feel important & needed.
> >

> > restructured Ham = Welfare Ham.
> >
> >
> > Quote of the Day:
> > The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
> > KB7ADL, Jan. 2000
> >
> > 73
> >
> > dhstadt@ameritech.net (Dave Stadt) wrote in
> > <YVte4.830$Qp3.20074@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>:
> > >Yep the end is near, get out now while you can and send me all your
> > >radios.

Can you just for the record point out any Amateur Radio operator Past or present, code supporter or non-code supporter that has become a multi-millionaire or Billionaire selling a few code tapes or study material to the Amateur community.

Ex-KF4HMN

1665. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387B2237.6D7A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 04:43:04 GMT, Dave Hall
> <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >The only reason that CW is used at all is because we dogmatically
> >insisted on requiring it. If the commercial sector has abandoned it,
> >wouldn't you think that they had a good reason for it? I predict that
> >now that 5 WPM is the limit, that CW usage will drop off dramatically.
> >And THAT is what scares the "CW forever types" the most. Less usage
> >means that eventually the FCC will reduce band space for it.
>
> Uh, Dave. CW is allowed on ALL HF frequencies. It is the only mode
> where this is true. There are just certain band segments that are
> set aside for CW only.

And that is what I was refering to. If CW usages declines appreciably,
the justification for special band segments for CW will diminish as
well.

Dave

1666. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387B248C.50FC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wayne Woodhams wrote:
>
> Amateur Restructuring is not a simple, one-sided issue, although many
> would like to force the many different viewpoints into one small box.
>
> Times are changing, and whether we like it or not, there are realities
> that have to be faced. Here are a few.
>
> The "magic" of your voice (or code) traveling thousands of miles is
> basically gone. I'm old enough to remember well the first color
> televisions, trans-Alantic TV signals, etc. When I first experienced
> amateur radio (as an early teenager), it was beyond belief that you
> could actuall talk to someone long distance wireless. I was even
> awestruck at some cheap walkie-talkies I owned! Now, we communicate
> effortlessly worldwide via the internet, instantly.
>
> Commercial interests want more bandspace, and amateur radio operators
> who are time-frozen in the past have a poor argument to occupy valuable
> spectrum space to discuss their gall bladder surgery, latest bowel
> movement, etc., simply because they grunted it out years ago to meet
> the requirments of 13 wpm, and so on. Amateur radio was intended from
> the start to focus on public service and to encourage growth (in
> electronic knowledge). It was never intended to be a static,
> backward-looking social club, remembering the "good ole days". The
> very nature of amateur radio points forward.
>
> The technical aspects of amateur radio have changed incredibly. Twenty
> years ago, you could still work on your own car (plugs, oil change,
> tune-up). Check out the lastest cars, and tell me how much you
> understand. The people I first met in amateur radio built their own
> rigs (early 60's). Today, that same rig would splatter unacceptably
> all over the band. Then, most built their own. Today, probably 98%
> use quality, name brand, sophisticated rigs, with tight specs on both
> transmit and receive. It's a changing world.
>
> YES, some things could get better. Getting rid of the corruption in
> the VE program would help. Riley Hollingsworth has been extremely good
> news for the hobby. But perhaps we should require computer aptitude
> tests as part of the hobby (to weed out the old farts). Maybe we
> should ban discussions of all personal health problems, not to mention
> useless nets, that accomplish little. Simply passing the CW and
> written test back then is not a lifelong license to sit back, and reap

> the "reqards" forever in careless, meaningless blabber. I have always
> been in favor of amateur radio operators having to provide "evidence"
> for their continued re-licensing, such as proof of public service,
> classes taught, projects involved with, etc, much as medical
> professionals have continuing education to keep them sharp. One
> statement the FCC made in their R&O was that there was basically no
> correlation between those who learned CW, and those who were proper
> station operators, or were significantly involved in public service. I
> couldn't agree more. What right does a 30-40 year Ham veteran have to
> needlessly blab on, using maximum power (or more), with poor antenna
> matching, and generally abusing the spectrum. Yet, that person is
> exactly the same person who will argue themselves blue in the face
> about the need to keep the code, etc. They need a reality check.
>
> There is plenty to compliment and plenty to criticize in amateur radio
> today. I compliment the FCC for having the guts and sense of REALITY
> to not only face the real facts of the day, but to do so in a way that
> doesn't necessarily favor any special group, including the ARRL.
> Hopefully the tests, method of testing, band usage, etc. of today's
> amateur service will improve, and retaining CW at previous rates was
> not solving the problem. Kudos to the FCC for being willing to move
> forward with guts rather than look backward with failing memory.
>
> I'm in my 50's, and hopefulle young enough to still engage and enjoy
> the new realities and technologies that emerge each day. However, the
> "tomorrows" are owned by the young, and I hope I have enough sense and
> graciousness to know when to get out of the way. The FCC has taken an
> important first step. I hope during the year 2000 that they and the
> amateur community can find some good solutions to the problems we still
> face and better use of the privileges we enjoy.
>

Frank, no-nonsense comments that are pretty much on the mark.
Unfortunately, while those of us who already see the light, will agree
with you, those that refuse to accept another perspective, will argue
their dogma until the ground reclaims them. What's that about teaching
an old dog new tricks?

Like the old saying, "try to tell a nut that he's nuts, and he'll swear
that you're crazy".

Dave

1667. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387B286A.CA8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
>
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:
> > Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
> > >
> > > If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
> > > then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
> > > hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
> > > worked, say, in military or commercial communications.
> >
> > Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
> > now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
> > and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
> > work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
> > longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.
>
> So what? Are you interested in collecting licenses, or are you interested
> in radio? If you're interested in radio, then your license is just as
> valuable as your radio skills, no more and no less. If you're interested
> in licenses, then, I dunno, take-up fishing. You can get a license from
> every state!

Then why to people go to college to get a degree? That "piece of paper",
like a ham license, is a symbol of what you've endured in order to EARN
the privilege of being what you are.

I know sveral "computer whiz" guys, that are 100% self taught. They
attack thier hobby, with intense enthusiasm, and are probably as smart,
if not smarter, than "book taught" college guys. But you know what? when
it comes to finding a high level computer job, they have it tough
because they lack the PROOF (the piece of paper) that says that they
really know what they are doing.

>
> > Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
> > resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
> > testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
> > meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or engineers.
> > Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
> > communications fields.
>
> Here's my angle: I am looking at the person, not the paper. I guess that
> I'm too old-fashioned, eh?

You cannot ascertain, with any certainty, the level of qualification a person has, through a simple personal interview. Remember, you might LIKE the person, but the fact that he shot a 65 on the last 18 holes, drives the same kind of car, and has a hot looking girlfriend, mean nothing as far as far as job qualification goes. Any documentation, licenses, degrees, etc, that someone has is a testament, to their skill level. A ham radio license used to be highly regarded in that vein. Today, that is not as true.

>
> > And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
> > directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it.
>
> Congratulations! You have identified the problem: The man is obsessing on
> "getting it". Getting what? A piece of PAPER. Forget the piece of paper.
> What matters is the knowledge, the experience, the fun. Paper is made from
> dead wood.
>

The "piece of paper" is only a symbol. It's what it stands for that's important. A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully, a person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish the value of someone's goals?

Dave

1668. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387B2CCD.1E00@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> > Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
> > now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
> > and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
> > work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
> > longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.
>
> Licenses are not degrees. They are no more than permits. Thier value
> should be nominal.

The license is basically a permit, but it is also the permit to operate some potentially dangerous equipment. Equipment that can cause harm in some cases, and interference in others, if not operated properly. By passing the "permit" exam, you are basically providing proof that you know enough (in theory) to stay out of trouble. If for no other reason, that is what makes licensing necessary.

Why do we license drivers (Other than the cynical answer "to fund the governement via fees")? Would you feel safe on the road, if you were aware that people did not have to pass some minimum skill and safety testing before being allowed out there with you?

> You should evaluate an individual ham by his/her actions, not by his/her license.

True. But that still does not diminish the value of the license as a certification.

> Besides, one doesn't really need to be a "tech whiz" to be on air.

Ask any CBer and he'll agree with you. But is that what we want for ham radio?

> But for those that are "tech whiz's", well congratulations. If "tech whiz's"
> are looking for paper recognition (which is silly in my view), then perhaps a
> note or something could be attached to his/her license -- however, there is no
> need for assigning radio permissions based on this.

There certainly IS. I'm sorry, but you can't tell me that a person should be given the responsibility to run a 1.5 KW station, with variable frequency control, with the potential to cause harmful interference, harmful radiation, and the potential for self injury if used improperly, without some form of testing first? That's insane! The more you know (and can demonstrate) the more privileges you should have.

If you just want to yack with your "bro's", then use the internet,
phone, or stick with low power radio services. That way you can't hurt
yourself or anyone else.

>
> > It's no different than the bogus network "certification", that
> > Mickey-soft and Novell started for computer professionals. It's a piece
> > of paper, that says that you know something. The difference is that MS
> > and Novell are making big bucks off of everyone that studies and takes
> > the test. But it's only worth something if you recognize the validity of
> > the testing methodology.
>
> Are there people getting paid on the basis of their amateur standing?
> Sort of gives new meaning to the term "amateur".

It's an analogy. You drew the wrong parallel. This has nothing to do
with who's getting paid for what. It's about the recognition of
certification, and the value that it projects.

>
> > Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
> > resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
> > testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
> > meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or engineers.
> > Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
> > communications fields.
>
> How about getting a degree/diploma from a school?

What's the difference? What good is that "piece of paper", if more
people think like you do?

>
> > Maybe we just want to make sure that everyone who plies the ham
> > frequencies has enough technical knowlege to keep them out of trouble,
> > and enough responsibility and maturity, that they won't cause trouble.
>
> Exactly.
>
> > And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
> > directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it.
> > If you had to apply yourself for the better part of 3 or 4 months
> > preparing for, and passing the test for a ham license, you will be
> >less likely to abuse the privilege, than someone who just signed on
> >the dotted line. That's human nature.

>
> Regardless of how long it takes to study for the ham exam, the exam
> should nonetheless be relevant to the requirements of operating ham
> radio. That is, while the exam should take into consideration
> requirements, it should not place too much emphasis on those things that
> are merely desirable.

No arguement there. But the line between "required" and "desirable" can be subjective. What's required to you, may not be for another person. That's why we have the FCC, who hopefully has the foresight to make that decision in an unbiased manner.

Dave

1669. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387B303F.7812@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 122095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.cb Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> : It's no different than the bogus network "certification", that
> : Mickey-soft and Novell started for computer professionals. It's a piece
> : of paper, that says that you know something. The difference is that MS
> : and Novell are making big bucks off of everyone that studies and takes
> : the test. But it's only worth something if you recognize the validity of
> : the testing methodology.
>
> Funny. I just received e-mail from MS today - offering their Certified
> Network Engineer (or whatever it is) class. The cost is $8000, but they
> pay me $10,000 when the class is completed.

There's a catch there somewhere. You probably have to pledge your first
born as a sacrifice to the anti-christ (Bill Gates) :^

>
> : Maybe we just want to make sure that everyone who plies the ham
> : frequencies has enough technical knowlege to keep them out of trouble,
> : and enough responsibility and maturity, that they won't cause trouble.
>
> The knowledge requirement went away as soon as they started publishing
> the question pools. It then became a matter of memorization.

I didn't say that the current testing was perfect. I agree that you
should be required to LEARN the materrial, rather than simply memorizing
Q&A's.

> Nothing in the Amateur testing has ever guaranteed that the person would
> be either mature or responsible - or that they wouldn't cause trouble.

Nothing in the driver's license exam guarantees that either. But
hopefully, it DOES show that they've achieved the very basic minimums,
and hope that the person has some common sense as well.

> : And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
> : directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it. If
> : you had to apply yourself for the better part of 3 or 4 months preparing
> : for, and passing the test for a ham license, you will be less likely to
> : abuse the privilege, than someone who just signed on the dotted line.
> : That's human nature.
>

> Maybe. Or maybe someone who had to work hard would ignore legal
> requirements when he/she though it possible to get away with it,
> as a "right" for having to work so hard.

That is certainly one distroted way that some people view life. Some
people think that they have more "rights" than they are entitled to.
This sort of "spoiled thinking" is based on, IMHO, having never been
taught the meaning of the word "NO" as a child.

>
> I don't know why the old Extra holders are so upset about the new rules.
> It does not degrade THEIR efforts, or lessen the value of their licenses.

Don't tell me, tell them. It's all about symbolism, I'm sure.

>
> Remember when you had to hold a license for 10-20 years (I forget how long)
> before you were eligible to apply for an Extra Class license?
>
> Personally, I feel that the publishing of the question pool is wrong, but
> it is only my opinion. I believe a person should have practical knowledge,
> not just a bunch of memorized information.

Agree 110%

>
> On the other hand, I have more respect for the recent no-code tech who
> behaves himself on 2 meters, and maybe plays with packet radio a bit, than
> I do for the notorious groups of troublemakers on 75 meters and elsewhere.

Also agree! Many old timers put down the no-coders, under the
mis-informed, preconceived, sterotypical, notion, that no-coders are
nothing more than CBers. I don't think they will even get it through
their thick skulls, that there are some really talented communications
guru's in the making on VHF/UHF thanks to the no-code license. THEY will
be the ones that finally bring ham radio into the digital age, not the
old time CW ops, still passing traffic (and wind) the old fashioned way.
In the time it takes them to copy one piece of traffic, a modern digital
network can send a hundred times that amount across the entire globe and
back.

Dave

>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1670. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B3C57.E1C2E9EF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122096
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> J wrote:
> >
> Somehow I think we'll all muddle through
> > this one too. 73, K1MER
> >
>
> Yeah that's the word, "muddle". That's what will be left
> of ham radio when this "improvement" is done. A "muddle".
> Only a fragile shell.

Well, look at the bright side, Dick. The advanced class ticket
will become the *PREMIER* ticket in ham radio because it required
13wpm code, not a measly 5wpm like an extra ticket. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

1671. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B3DCC.1614CEE3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122097
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SomeBody wrote:
> what a useless waste of a newsgroup! The new rules are the new rules.
> You can stop being a ham, or you can continue being a ham. What's your
> choice? Stop gasbagging on this ng, as it has nothing to do with your
> subject. Youratchetjaws are beating a deceased glue ingredient, and
> we're tired of the whining!. My 9 year old daughter doesn't drag stuff
> out like this, and neither should you. Get a grip!!!!

It's no use. Some of the PCTA folk are still complaining about the
existence of the nocode tech license.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1672. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-1101000845140001@209.163.129.96>
Source record: 122098
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<1C0DCF948E0316C1.F2CD8CCD60C1AB05.419D480DDDF13ED8@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Perfidious:
>
> Ham radio is a hobby regulated by the United States Govt., with the Federal
> Communications Commission as the enforcing agency. Because of that, the
> amateur radio hobby is bound to the Code of Federal Regulations, CFR Part
> 97, Title 47. Within that code is the "Basis & Principle" of amateur
> radio, which would be akin to a corporation's "Statement of Purpose."
>
> Included in our "Basis & Principle" is the following:
>
> 97.1 Basis and purpose.
> The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
> radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
> principles:
>
> (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
> public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly
> with respect to providing emergency communications.
>
> We are often called upon by our local emergency services to provide help
> with searching for a missing child, help with public safety issues as they
> relate to parades and other community service events, help with search &
> rescue, as well as many other public/community service events. Perhaps you
> are familiar with the service of REACT in the old CB days. An organization
> named RACES is also active in ham radio and is governed by guidelines set
> forth from FEMA.
>
> All of the above is provided by ham radio operators without regard to
> monetary reimbursement, or ANY form of reimbursement in any way. I think
> you can recognize the value amateur radio, along with CB radio and REACT,
> provides to our communities in times of need.
>
> I have been directly involved with public service groups, even organizing
> public service groups here in my area. I will never forget one story
> relayed to me by a ham who is a member of SATERN (Salvation Army hams) from
> during the Oklahoma City Federal Building bombing. A cellular phone
> company there immediately made 100 cellular phones available to emergency
> officials working that disaster scene. Almost as quickly as they were

> handed out, the cellular phone company pleaded for everyone to stop using
> them--the heavy use had shut down there systems. Ham radio operators
> provided the backbone of emergency communications in that disaster.
>
> I don't want you to think that I have been preaching to you. It is not my
> intention that you think that; it is only my thought that perhaps you
> weren't aware of why we do what we do.
>
> 73/Kim Walker
> W5TIT
I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not think "Amateur"
radio operators help Police agencies because of their "civic duties". I
believe it's for the attention. I however do not have "Blind Faith" in
police agencies either.
It seems to me (at times) that hams go out of their way to help the people
who will screw them the most later. I suppose I do not like doing much for
my Govt.
as they do very little for me. They already TAKE what they want. If I were
Ramsey, and I had helped so many, I would feel insulted! (assuming he is
innocent of all charges).
In a former life when I drove a "Big Truck" I had to carry a fire extinguisher.
Federal Law. However, I question if I would relinquish it to law imforcement
without a deposit. As it would be returned empty, and at that point I
would be in violation of safety laws punishable by fine. I have no doubt
that upon entering a scale and being inspected, I would be ticketed
(explanation or not).
To paste your lines:

(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
> public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly
> with respect to providing emergency communications.
>
I do not see the above as grounds for foxhunting illegal transmissions for
the police, on police bands.

And if you started supplying "voluntary noncommercial communication service"
to the public on a regular basis, (non-emergency) you would have to pay
from someone.

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

1673. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B4193.20D38769@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White wrote:
> Could any other factors be at work also? My recollection is that most of
> the states with minimal gun control are also have the smallest
> populations, largest area and therefore lowest poplation density, and
> are the most homogeneous socially. Something to consider. . .

In my home county in East Texas, if the population were spaced equi-
distant from each other, nobody would be able to hit anybody else
with a gunshot. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1674. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B4236.104F7143@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122100
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >At least now you dog can get an extra class license. He still
> >can't pass the advanced. :-)
> >
> Advanced = Extinct on 15 April '00. Hpw duz it feel to be a usta be
> species?

Feels absolutely great, Brian. The Advanced license will
become the premier class in the ARS. The 13wpm Advanced
will be much more highly valued than the 5wpm Extra. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1675. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85fh4i$b0a$0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 122101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Ken Jobling wrote:
>
> If your pride is in the piece of paper then it is misplaced. Your pride
> should be in the wealth of knowledge that you would have gained as a
> Amateur Radio operator and in sharing of this knowledge with less
> experienced and less knowledgable Amateurs. For this, you do not
> necessarily need a particular piece of paper.

Unhuh. For this the FCC ISSUED a "piece of paper" a SPECIAL
piece opf paper noting that the named individual had
achieved the Amateur EXTRA class. That word meant something
in times past. Today, no. In the future, no. So you
socialists have won that point. All in the same basket,
alike. No incentives. Relieve the limp, lame and lazy of
all burden and reason to actually get off their asses and do
it.

>
> Do you believe that you are a better person than somebody who has as
> much, perhaps even more, knowledge and experience as yourself but has
> not, for whatever reason, decided to pass the exam?

If that "person" concludes that he doesn't want to be an
Extra class ham, that is his privilege. His knowledge and
experience is of no consequence to the ARS, as the few
individuals and their promoters have so pointedly displayed
here. THEY chose to avoid amateur testing, not those who
just did it.

Our entire system is based on incentives, inititiave and
performance

Yours is nothing but a leftist point of view. Not all the
commies were in the USSR.

1676. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <387B4856.24559A60@netdoor.com>
Source record: 122102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

what country were you in that had no code test requirements? Back in the old days you had to have 13 send and receive just for a novice ticket In the U.S. that was only good for a year and you had to upgrade or lose it.

I am now done with this thread.

Butch

"J. Kay" wrote:

> Hey Butch: If you would quit ranting and raving for a few minutes and take a
> deep breath I will ask you a question: What do you think amatuer radio is?
> It is amatuers, not professionals.....I happen to be both, and amatuer
> operator and a professional radio and television technician, broadcaster and
> photographer. I am 47 years old and have had an interest in this hobby since
> I was 12. It was then that my dad and I built a heathkit gr-64 shortwave
> receiver and I got bit by this bug. I tried to learn code then, and by then I
> mean when there was NO, no code tests for anything. As a novice you got 2
> meter phone privledges and very limited cw on hf. Technician was 6 meters and
> above, and you had to do 5wpm. I could send, just couldn't quite get the
> receive down. I went through the air force technical schools for electronics
> and radio repair. I furthered my education with a degree from the national
> radio institute. I was then and am now more than technically qualified to
> hold ANY amatuer license. You will be distressed to know that i only hold a
> technician license, and I only got it in April. After 35 years of wanting to
> an amatuer, having already made it to the professional level, I found out when
> the test was being given,, took a few practice exams from hamtest.com and
> walked in with my money and out with my certificate of completion. I could
> not have done that without the knowledge and experience I have as a
> professional. So why don't you just get a grip on reality. Tell you
> what.....when I pass my general exam after april, I will stay out of your
> cw way, if you keep your dam cw pounding fingers off my hf ssb.
> 73's to you anyway, my fellow amatuer.
> Jon KG4DIR (and dam proud of it after waiting this long to get it) and "one of
> them"

1677. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Malone <malonej@c2i2.com>
Message-ID: <0YHe4.446$wy2.55279@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 122103

Hi Robert:
Congratulations, we need more guys like you on the Ham Bands.
--
Jack
"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:85ee9jrcf1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
> I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to cash in
> come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm code
> and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old
> callsign.
>
> It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics hobbyist
> for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question pools.
>
> These web sites were a great help:
>
> http://w5ac.tamu.edu/ham-exam-v2/ham-exam.html
> http://www.hamtest.com/
>
> 73s Bob

1678. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1D73447EBC8811FA.423F02B4CE41F082.FF64D1802232CCAA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122104
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Perfidious <perf@inlandcars.com> wrote in article
<perf-110100845140001@209.163.129.96>...
> In article
>
<1C0DCF948E0316C1.F2CD8CCD60C1AB05.419D480DDDF13ED8@lp.airne
ws.net>,
> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Perfidious:
> >
> > Ham radio is a hobby regulated by the United States
Govt., with the Federal
> > Communications Commission as the enforcing agency.
Because of that, the
> > amateur radio hobby is bound to the Code of Federal
Regulations, CFR Part
> > 97, Title 47. Within that code is the "Basis &
Principle" of amateur
> > radio, which would be akin to a corporation's
"Statement of Purpose."
> >
> > Included in our "Basis & Principle" is the following:
> >
> > 97.1 Basis and purpose.
> > The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to
provide an amateur
> > radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed
in the following
> > principles:
> >
> > (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the
amateur service to the
> > public as a voluntary noncommercial communication
service, particularly
> > with respect to providing emergency communications.
> >
> > We are often called upon by our local emergency
services to provide help
> > with searching for a missing child, help with public
safety issues as they
> > relate to parades and other community service events,
help with search &
```

> > rescue, as well as many other public/community service events. Perhaps you
> > are familiar with the service of REACT in the old CB days. An organization
> > named RACES is also active in ham radio and is governed by guidelines set
> > forth from FEMA.
> >
> > All of the above is provided by ham radio operators without regard to
> > monetary reimbursement, or ANY form of reimbursement in any way. I think
> > you can recognize the value amateur radio, along with CB radio and REACT,
> > provides to our communities in times of need.
> >
> > I have been directly involved with public service groups, even organizing
> > public service groups here in my area. I will never forget one story
> > relayed to me by a ham who is a member of SATERN (Salvation Army hams) from
> > during the Oklahoma City Federal Building bombing. A cellular phone
> > company there immediately made 100 cellular phones available to emergency
> > officials working that disaster scene. Almost as quickly as they were
> > handed out, the cellular phone company pleaded for everyone to stop using
> > them--the heavy use had shut down there systems. Ham radio operators
> > provided the backbone of emergency communications in that disaster.
> >
> > I don't want you to think that I have been preaching to you. It is not my
> > intention that you think that; it is only my thought that perhaps you
> > weren't aware of why we do what we do.
> >
> > 73/Kim Walker
> > W5TIT

> I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not think "Amateur"
> radio operators help Police agencies because of their "civic duties". I
> believe it's for the attention.

Since we receive little recognition from our community service, evidenced by the fact that most of my neighbors/co-workers/friends--indeed myself (before I became a ham) are completely unaware that ham radio is "out there" during an emergency, the correlation between public service and "attention" for said service cannot be made.

> I however do not have "Blind Faith" in police agencies either.

Oh, believe me, neither do I. But, I don't look at my service as much for them as I do for the people in my community. I simply use an available mechanism to help my neighbors/co-workers/friends. My disdain for the police community, in general, is well known to my fellow hams in this area.

> It seems to me (at times) that hams go out of their way to help the people
> who will screw them the most later. I suppose I do not like doing much for
> my Govt. as they do very little for me.

It seems, then, that your anger is misdirected toward the amateur radio community. As I said above, I do not look at my public service as helping the police and "govt." agencies as much as I feel I am helping my community.

>
> I do not see the above as grounds for foxhunting illegal transmissions for
> the police, on police bands.
>

While an extreme scenario, you may feel differently about that jammer disabling police frequencies if the ability of the police to save someone's life (maybe your own or someone you love's) was impeded by some jerk thinking he/she is being funny.

> And if you started supplying "voluntary noncommercial communication service"
> to the public on a regular basis, (non-emergency) you would have to pay
> from someone.
>

Well, I wouldn't have to pay someone :->. We, by our Rules and Regulations, are not allowed to perform that service on a regular basis...and it is inferred by those same rules that governing agencies are not allowed to request our assistance on a regular basis.

I have learned, as a new Newsgroup person, that too much time is spent in here degrading and arguing. I don't want our exchange to become that. It is obvious to me that you are very angry and resentful and, while I understand where you are coming from, it is far beyond me to solve whatever history it is that has brought you to your current way of thinking. I would ask only that you please remember most of us commit to public service purely for the benefit of our community more than for the benefit of any one agency. We also know that our service goes largely unrecognized.

73, Kim W5TIT

1679. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B4CA0.89B8CED6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Butch Magee wrote:
> what country were you in that had no code test requirements? Back in the old days
> you had to have 13 send and receive just for a novice ticket In the U.S. that was
> only good for a year and you had to upgrade or lose it.

Nope, I got one of the first Novice tickets. The code requirement was 5wpm.
To the best of my knowledge, the Novice license has always required 5wpm.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1680. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4gks@mindspring.com/nospam
Message-ID: <387b5009.168316612@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122106

On 11 Jan 2000 05:15:31 GMT, wa2ise@netcom.com (Robert Casey) wrote:

>I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to cash in
>come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm code
>and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old
>callsign.
>
>It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics hobbyist
>for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question pools.
>
>These web sites were a great help:
>
>http://w5ac.tamu.edu/ham-exam-v2/ham-exam.html
>http://www.hamtest.com/
>
>73s Bob

Show some guts and take the 20 wpm code or you'll just be a Welfare
Extra.

1681. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85fmm7arp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122107

Bob:

Porkbutt, WB2OTK should do just that! He can not prove his case on this forum.

But, don't sell Mr Hollingsworth short! He is a very smart man. He would not cancel that license if he could not prove that the letter came from Porkbutt, WB2OTK. The fact that Porkbutt, WB2OTK first denied, then admitted the sending of the letter (But by mistake) proves that Mr Hollingsworth is correct. If you don't mean to abide, don't sign a contract!!

Gitback

In article <9159-387AB016-36@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Gitback, of course, all of our opinions count equally, the purpose of
> the NG. Please don't sully this basic right by confusing it with UPL,
> the unauthorized practice of law. Don't we have enough folks trying to
> muzzle us? (I am not a licensed lawyer, am retired and have no wish to
> advocate in a Courtroom.)
>
> Compliments on your legal mind: You went right to the heart of the issue
> with your post. True, I was not there and cannot speak to the ultimate
> truth of the proposition.
>
> I do know , however, as a matter of law, the burden shouldn't be on Rich
> to go to trial on that turkey issue. He should be able to issue an
> Affidavit with the salient points of refutation. Then, unless he's
> found in perjury, the matter should be set straight. That is the way it
> happens with everyone else in our system.
>
> Rich should be accorded every right that we all enjoy. Demonizing him
> doesn't change it either.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1682. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B5038.8BF2B2BB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122108
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Perfidious wrote:
> I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not think "Amateur"
> radio operators help Police agencies because of their "civic duties". I
> believe it's for the attention.

The *basic* reason they do it is for pleasure. Animals, including us,
seek pleasure and avoid pain.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1683. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@buffnet.net
Message-ID: <387d1960.3374522@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 122109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000 19:49:06 -0500, "Burt" <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Your main web page includes a link labeled "The Future Of Ham Radio"
which, when clicked, leads to a page titled "Code Forever" - if the
statement about setting your watch back 40 years is true, guys like
you are a major reason why.

>Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.

I did visit. I have never seen a page like it. I hope to never see one
like it again:

>4. How you know you are a ham.

Sample items from the above: When you find yourself mentally
undressing your rig....when you'd rather turn on your rig than turn on
your wife.

Also shows a cartoon with a woman in bed with a man on one side of her
and a pig on the other, the woman is saying "I'm surrounded by hams!"

>7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.

The writings of K1OIK on ham radio consists of a scan of a page from
Radio World Magazine on which the magazine printed a letter in which
K1OIK disparaged ham radio by making such statements as this:

"Hams are required to serve the public, but in fact they are serving
themselves. Worse, they cause interference to other services (like
your TV) and they erect large towers and guess what, there is nothing
you can do about it."

The brilliant K1OIK also asserts, "Cell phones have replaced the need
for most emergency ham communications in this country."

>12. See now the future of ham radio.

Hopefully it won't include too many hams of your ilk.

73 DE KC2FNG

1684. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387b5104.90786188@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122110
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>
>Feels absolutely great, Brian. The Advanced license will
>become the premier class in the ARS. The 13wpm Advanced
>will be much more highly valued than the 5wpm Extra. :-)
>
That's my take on my ticket but apparently we're now politically
incorrect . . "it's just a piece of paper". . But only if they don't
have one, too.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
b.
```

1685. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@aint.it.awful.org
Message-ID: <85fl2lqop1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 122111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,

In a previous article, <vlfiscus@mcn.net> writes:
>Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>
>The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
>whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
>checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
>Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
>wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
>to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
>of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
>this, it makes them feel important & needed.

Uhhhhh, I think no-Code amateur radio in the USA was instituted by
the Reagan/Bush administrations.

>Quote of the Day:

"Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.
He is full of murderous resentment of people who
are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
the hard way."
--Bokonon, Cats' Cradle

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

1686. Re: Kenwood TS-140S error help please .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: k1nkr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000111113651.14154.00001372@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 122112

I just looked through the TS-140S/TS-680S manual. The only thing I could find is quoted below. I haven't found anything about what UNLOCK really means, though.

4.2.8 Power On Function Selection
Several of the functions of this transceiver can be changed at the time the transceiver is turned ON. Repeat when releasing each function.
Switch or Key These functions include
CLEAR 10Hz Main display resolution: ON/OFF
RIT RIT step frequency selection: 10Hz/20Hz
AM/FM AM step frequency selection for the 522kHz-1620kHz band: 9kHz/10kHz
SCAN Program scan hold: ON/OFF
CW/N Audible mode announcement: Beeptones/Morsecode
F.LOCK Audible alarm: Beeptones/Morsecode
1MHz BAND switch (1MHz switch is ON): 500kHz/1MHz

4.2.9 Alarm Function
Several alarms have been included to signal errors that you might encounter. The chart below lists the possible causes and the resulting Morse code alarm. Morse code will be heard from the speaker.
MorseCode Indication
RESET When the microprocessor is reset
UNLOCK When the system is unlocked
CHECK
MEMORY When the SCAN key is pressed and the receiver is unable to memory scan*
EMPTY When all memory channels are empty and attempt to select memory channel with 1MHz switch ON*
FULL When all memory channels are full and attempt to find out an empty channel with 1MHz switch ON*
* 3 short beeps can be selected by pressing the FLOCK key and the power switch ON. (Please refer to section 4.2.8.)

Good luck and best 73,
Skip

>hi
>My Kenwood TS-140S is not work
>
>Symptoms : receiver is not work , not sound
> display sometime working , sometime only points
> I always hear cw " UNLOCK "
>
>I have`t circuit schema do you know any web adres for schema ?

>can you help me for this error ?
>73`s A. Sua TORTU TA2AD
>

1687. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387B4F9E.48EA@no.net>
Source record: 122113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.cb Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>
> :>Now, that a kid could distinguish my humor does not mean that *I*
> :>am the one who has given him that knowledge...
>
> : Most kids already know most of the dirty words. That doesn't mean
> : responsible adults should use those words in front of them in a ham radio
> : setting...
>
> Oh, get a life - Aaron. You are as bad as the fuddy-duddies at the
> Dept of Motor Vehicles - who won't issue license plates with characters
> that might offend someone - such as god, wop, fag, sht, pis, cnt, psy,
> les, hmo, fuk etc.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

Yea, let's just throw any decency out the window and use those words all
the time, paste them on billboards, and go ahead and sink society even
further into the sewer. Guess that is why you like cb so much, you fit
right in with the foul-mouth crowd.

1688. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387B523E.68A7@no.net>
Source record: 122114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Butch Magee wrote:
>
> what country were you in that had no code test requirements? Back in the old days
> you had to have 13 send and receive just for a novice ticket In the U.S. that was
> only good for a year and you had to upgrade or lose it.
>
> I am now done with this thread.
>
> Butch

I got my Novice license in 1961, the code requirement was 5 wpm.

1689. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <497831CA77B5A93B.E59635C5DAF08FF8.95D30EA858A47452@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ <jj@no.net> wrote in article <387B4F9E.48EA@no.net>...
> Bill Nelson wrote:
> >
> > In rec.radio.cb Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
> >
> > :>Now, that a kid could distinguish my humor does not
mean that *I*
> > :>am the one who has given him that knowledge...
> >
> > : Most kids already know most of the dirty words. That
doesn't mean
> > : responsible adults should use those words in front of
them in a ham radio
> > : setting...
> >
> > Oh, get a life - Aaron. You are as bad as the
fuddy-duddies at the
> > Dept of Motor Vehicles - who won't issue license plates
with characters
> > that might offend someone - such as god, wop, fag,
sht, pis, cnt, psy,
> > les, hmo, fuk etc.
> >
> > --
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
> Yea, let's just throw any decency out the window and use
those words all
> the time, paste them on billboards, and go ahead and sink
society even
> further into the sewer. Guess that is why you like cb so
much, you fit
> right in with the foul-mouth crowd.
>

Aaron?! Is that YOU???

Kim W5TIT

1690. Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0vfpsucks@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000111122328.02674.00001017@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 122116

>Subject: Re: Shine the Spotlight
>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>Date: Sun, 09 January 2000 01:04 AM EST
>Message-id: <PxVd4.14567$Ak.202450@news1.mia>
>
>Here is a interesting article about some of the people you support Joe:
>
>"We shall unleash the Nihilists and Athiests, and we shall provoke a
>formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to the
>nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the most
>bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend
>themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate
>those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with
>Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment be without
>compass, anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its
>adoration, will receive the pure light through the universal mainifestation
>of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a
>manifestation which will result from the general reactionary movement which
>will follow the DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, both conquered and
>exterminated at the same time." (Albert Pike, head of Freemasonry, the
>Scottish Rite, 1871)
>
>Pictures
>--
>----
>U.N. Vehicles on U.S. soil
>
>School buses for U.N. Troops
>Videos
>
>--
>----
>UN Vehicles on U.S. soil "This document describes the plan to disarm the
>United States by turning over our Army, Navy, Marines, and Air Force to the
>United Nations...This program was to be carried out in three stages. Stage
>III outlines the final objectives of the disarmement program: 'In Stage III
>progressive controlled disarmament and continuously developing principles
>and procedures of international law would proceed to a point where no states
>would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened
>U.N. Peace Force...'"
>
> Communist Rules for Revolution
>A.) Corrupt the young; get them away from religion. Get them interested in
>sex. Make them superficial; Destroy their ruggedness.

>
>'The United Nations was created by Communists, is controlled by Communists,
>and can only bring about a Communist world.'
>
>B.) Get control of all means of publicity, thereby: Get people's minds off
>their government; Divide the people into hostile groups; Destroy the
>people's faith in their natural leaders; Always preach true democracy, but
>seize power as fast as possible; Destroy the nation's credit by
>overspending; Promote unnecessary strikes; Breakdown old values, and
>
>C.) Cause the restriction of all firearms on some pretext with a view of
>confiscating them and leaving the population helpless.
>
>The Illuminati Emerges in America
>
>The Illuminati, which was founded on May 1, 1776 by Adam Weishaupt in
>Bavaria, Germany, had made its way to the young nation of the United States
>of America. It merged with highest Freemasonry in 1782 at the Council of
>Wilhelmsbad, Germany. It was designed to establish world government. There
>was a group of informed citizens who were aware of their diabolical plot to
>overthrow their new nation under an all powerful world government.
>
>After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took
>the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
>movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed all of the
>Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took over the
>philosophical aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan by name. He was
>an evil genius of the first magnitude.
>
>He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to be
>concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century. He wrote a remarkable
>letter dated August 15, 1871, which until recently was on display in the
>British Museum Library in London, England. Albert Pike gave graphic details
>of plans for three world wars.
>--
>----
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
>Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
>Athens, AL 35611
>http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
>http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
>http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
>http://www.adl-ci.org/
>http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
>http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn

>http://www.3950.net/
>http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>http://www.qth.com/dwm/
>http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>
Sound wonderful Lloyd, me and the brothers and sisters of the BPP can't wait.
I hope you know how to pick cotton, if not you gonna learn the "hard" way.

1691. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <rlJe4.53$c7.5595@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 122117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT/Kim wrote
>Aaron?! Is that YOU???

Nope. The subject is worn out for me. If you know how to read headers, check them for verification. I haven't changed ISPs in 4 years...

1692. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <_TJe4.1743$8X5.10338@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

GOT mine (novice license) in '59 - 5 wpm, good for one year,
non-renewable...

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

JJ <jj@no.net> wrote in message news:387B523E.68A7@no.net...
> Butch Magee wrote:
> >
> > what country were you in that had no code test requirements? Back
in the old days
> > you had to have 13 send and receive just for a novice ticket In
the U.S. that was
> > only good for a year and you had to upgrade or lose it.
> >
> > I am now done with this thread.
> >
> > Butch
>
> I got my Novice license in 1961, the code requirement was 5 wpm.

1693. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <yRJe4.1740$8X5.10202@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This is not an uncommon tactic/argument used by anti-gunners - the claim that "other factors are at work" when the fact that all types of crime are statistically reduced where gun laws are less strict...

It just ain't so!

PLUS, it has been REPEATEDLY shown to be true in cities/states/nations, that an UNarmed society is a sitting duck for abuse by criminals and politicians both inside and outside their own nation - which may well be ONE reason some here in the USA resist actions by NATO and the UN to extend their gun bans to THIS country - *I* sure wouldn't want to see Bosnia or Chechynia repeated here on US soil, at least NOT while *I* am disarmed!

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:387B01A0.C5C674A9@mindspring.com...
> Could any other factors be at work also? My recollection is that
most of
> the states with minimal gun control are also have the smallest
> populations, largest area and therefore lowest poplation density,
and
> are the most homogeneous socially. Something to consider. . .
>
> Will
> KD7BFX
>
> JM wrote:
>
> > Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
> > crime.

1694. FS: AEA isoloop components CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Wiedemann <wiedemann@home.com>
Message-ID: <mIHe4.16730$s5.213494@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 122120
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Have original AEA isoloop parts. Big black body cracked after too much UV and too many birds!

The band and variable cap with the stepper motor drive and controller box with power pack are available. All in good working condition. Even have 100 ft of controller cable!

Make offer, including shipping.

73s......Rudi - KF6RLQ

1695. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard <please@no.spam>
Message-ID: <pjnm7s8tb89ohka4s72p82se976vl51101@4ax.com>
Source record: 122121
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 09:46:00 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Perfidious wrote:
>> I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not think "Amateur"
>> radio operators help Police agencies because of their "civic duties". I
>> believe it's for the attention.
>
>The *basic* reason they do it is for pleasure. Animals, including us,
>seek pleasure and avoid pain.

If you equate thrill to pleasure, then you are right.

I have a good friend who has been on one of these manhunts for real. He says he actually got a high everytime the moron transmitted and they got closer to locating him!

He got no money - just a polite "thank you" from the local DA (not even the police chief or other cops) when it was all over.

1696. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bumologist@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <MPG.12e537c7d695d7799896d3@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 122122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <s7ljsb9moj831@news.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net says...
> Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>
> The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
> whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
> checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
> Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
> wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
> to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
> of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
> this, it makes them feel important & needed.
>
> restructured Ham = Welfare Ham.
>
>
> Quote of the Day:
> The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
> KB7ADL, Jan. 2000
>
> 73
>
> dhstadt@ameritech.net (Dave Stadt) wrote in
> <YVte4.830$Qp3.20074@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>:
> >Yep the end is near, get out now while you can and send me all your
> >radios.
> > >
>
>>>To link up the restructuring with liberal politics is just plain
wacky.The Reagan/Bush "controlled" FCC started it,they just didn't take
it far enough.I would have liked the code abolished,but I've always
disliked code,to put it mildly.And yes,I paseed my 5wpm back in high
school in 1973,had a couple of qso's,and threw the liscense in a
drawer,and never used it again.I don't understand the "religion"of
CW at all.Just listen to 3950 or 14313,it proves CW makes people insane!

Barry

1697. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-1101001211070001@209.163.129.73>
Source record: 122123
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<1D73447EBC8811FA.423F02B4CE41F082.FF64D1802232CCAA@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Perfidious <perf@inlandcars.com> wrote in article
> <perf-1101000845140001@209.163.129.96>...
> > In article
> >
> <1C0DCF948E0316C1.F2CD8CCD60C1AB05.419D480DDDF13ED8@lp.airne
> ws.net>,
> > "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Perfidious:
> > >
> > > Ham radio is a hobby regulated by the United States
> Govt., with the Federal
> > > Communications Commission as the enforcing agency.
> Because of that, the
> > > amateur radio hobby is bound to the Code of Federal
> Regulations, CFR Part
> > > 97, Title 47. Within that code is the "Basis &
> Principle" of amateur
> > > radio, which would be akin to a corporation's
> "Statement of Purpose."
> > >
> > > Included in our "Basis & Principle" is the following:
> > >
> > > 97.1 Basis and purpose.
> > > The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to
> provide an amateur
> > > radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed
> in the following
> > > principles:
> > >
> > > (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the
> amateur service to the
> > > public as a voluntary noncommercial communication
> service, particularly
> > > with respect to providing emergency communications.
> > >
> > > We are often called upon by our local emergency
> services to provide help

> > > with searching for a missing child, help with public
> safety issues as they
> > > relate to parades and other community service events,
> help with search &
> > > rescue, as well as many other public/community service
> events. Perhaps you
> > > are familiar with the service of REACT in the old CB
> days. An organization
> > > named RACES is also active in ham radio and is governed
> by guidelines set
> > > forth from FEMA.
> > >
> > > All of the above is provided by ham radio operators
> without regard to
> > > monetary reimbursement, or ANY form of reimbursement in
> any way. I think
> > > you can recognize the value amateur radio, along with
> CB radio and REACT,
> > > provides to our communities in times of need.
> > >
> > > I have been directly involved with public service
> groups, even organizing
> > > public service groups here in my area. I will never
> forget one story
> > > relayed to me by a ham who is a member of SATERN
> (Salvation Army hams) from
> > > during the Oklahoma City Federal Building bombing. A
> cellular phone
> > > company there immediately made 100 cellular phones
> available to emergency
> > > officials working that disaster scene. Almost as
> quickly as they were
> > > handed out, the cellular phone company pleaded for
> everyone to stop using
> > > them--the heavy use had shut down there systems. Ham
> radio operators
> > > provided the backbone of emergency communications in
> that disaster.
> > >
> > > I don't want you to think that I have been preaching to
> you. It is not my
> > > intention that you think that; it is only my thought
> that perhaps you
> > > weren't aware of why we do what we do.
> > >
> > > 73/Kim Walker
> > > W5TIT
>
> > I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not
> think "Amateur"

> > radio operators help Police agencies because of their
> "civic duties". I
> > believe it's for the attention.
>
> Since we receive little recognition from our community
> service, evidenced by the fact that most of my
> neighbors/co-workers/friends--indeed myself (before I
> became a ham) are completely unaware that ham radio is "out
> there" during an emergency, the correlation between public
> service and "attention" for said service cannot be made.
>
> > I however do not have "Blind Faith" in police agencies
> either.
>
> Oh, believe me, neither do I. But, I don't look at my
> service as much for them as I do for the people in my
> community. I simply use an available mechanism to help my
> neighbors/co-workers/friends. My disdain for the police
> community, in general, is well known to my fellow hams in
> this area.
>
> > It seems to me (at times) that hams go out of their way
> to help the people
> > who will screw them the most later. I suppose I do not
> like doing much for
> > my Govt. as they do very little for me.
>
> It seems, then, that your anger is misdirected toward the
> amateur radio community. As I said above, I do not look at
> my public service as helping the police and "govt."
> agencies as much as I feel I am helping my community.
>
> >
> > I do not see the above as grounds for foxhunting illegal
> transmissions for
> > the police, on police bands.
> >
>
> While an extreme scenario, you may feel differently about
> that jammer disabling police frequencies if the ability of
> the police to save someone's life (maybe your own or
> someone you love's) was impeded by some jerk thinking
> he/she is being funny.
>
> > And if you started supplying "voluntary noncommercial
> communication service"
> > to the public on a regular basis, (non-emergency) you
> would have to pay
> > from someone.
> >

>
> Well, I wouldn't have to pay someone :->. We, by our Rules
> and Regulations, are not allowed to perform that service on
> a regular basis...and it is inferred by those same rules
> that governing agencies are not allowed to request our
> assistance on a regular basis.
>
> I have learned, as a new Newsgroup person, that too much
> time is spent in here degrading and arguing. I don't want
> our exchange to become that. It is obvious to me that you
> are very angry and resentful and, while I understand where
> you are coming from, it is far beyond me to solve whatever
> history it is that has brought you to your current way of
> thinking. I would ask only that you please remember most
> of us commit to public service purely for the benefit of
> our community more than for the benefit of any one agency.
> We also know that our service goes largely unrecognized.
>
> 73, Kim W5TIT

I am not sure angry is what I am, possibly disinchanted. I too would be willing to help my community (not that I have one). I do help my neighbors when needed.
(not in communications...yet) I could babble on about injustices forever. I shall save you from that. (whew!)
I'm sure some (or many) of my polictical views intertwine with radio, as I hear or see hams bringing the 2 into the same arena. Would I help local police find a missing kid in the woods by supplying communications if needed? No. I would however loan them the equipment. I would also help them search by horseback.(been there done that) Do I think my equipment would be returned to me in the condition loaned? Probably not.
Are there exceptions? Of course.

If someone stole my gear, would I call the police? No
Would I get it back if I did? No
If they did recover it would it be returned to me? probably not. (at least for some time (evidence etc))

Will someone steal from me here? NO
Would my neighbors protect my property? YES
Would they call the police? uhm???

> While an extreme scenario, you may feel differently about
> that jammer disabling police frequencies if the ability of
> the police to save someone's life (maybe your own or
> someone you love's) was impeded by some jerk thinking
> he/she is being funny.
I think that I have paid dearly over the years, the police should (and probably do) have the equipment to do the task themselves. If they do not, maybe the police agencies ie: CHP should not spend $3000-$4000 each on light units for their cars, and invest in fox hunting equipment.

possibly the US Border Patrol could loan them theirs, as they do not really have need for it.

Either way, I use the bands allocated me to converse to/with others, to learn, and to save myself phone bills, postage...etc. Not to specifically help my, or your community. I did not intend to become a public servant. Did you study for, and get your ticket....did you save, purchase or build your station to help the comunnity? Was that you're initial intent? We have a neighbor lives a few miles away, my daughter talks to her on 11 meter. Our neighbor is a toll call.
My father lives in CA as I used too. One of us saves money with radio. I've met some real interesting folks on the air...that was my intent.

Somehow I think that's okay. Yes?

I suppose if others want to do other things that's okay too. Your point made.

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

1698. FS:Central Electronoics 600L Tube Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE HAM CONTACT <joe@hamcontact.com>
Message-ID: <387B6BA3.A0D80481@hamcontact.com>
Source record: 122124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Central Electronics 600L Tube Amplifier in excellent condition, with some spare tubes (icluding 813) and ORIGINAL manual + Original Sales Brochure. $750 OBO + ship

Joe N6YYO

Joe@hamcontact.com
www.hamcontact.com

1699. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DC3E93A45E27B427.62FD062C03FC7E91.84BDA512B1EFE2BA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 122125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote in article
<rIJe4.53$c7.5595@news.ntr.net>...
> W5TIT/Kim wrote
> >Aaron?! Is that YOU???
>
>
> Nope. The subject is worn out for me. If you know how to
read headers, check
> them for verification. I haven't changed ISPs in 4
years...
>

I must have made some sense....LOL

1700. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <387B791B.39FF1B8@uci.edu>
Source record: 122126
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Feels absolutely great, Brian. The Advanced license will
> become the premier class in the ARS. The 13wpm Advanced
> will be much more highly valued than the 5wpm Extra. :-)

Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?

73 de jim

1701. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387B7BBF.626D5DD5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 122127
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Feels absolutely great, Brian. The Advanced license will
> > become the premier class in the ARS. The 13wpm Advanced
> > will be much more highly valued than the 5wpm Extra. :-)
>
> Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?

Dunno, Jim, will you? My statement means that pre-April 15
extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
that they are not 5wpm extras. Advanced hams will have
no such problem. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1702. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <j8Le4.3343$WV3.48047@news4.mia>
Source record: 122128

N4GKS/Casey wrote in message <387b5009.168316612@news.mindspring.com>...
>On 11 Jan 2000 05:15:31 GMT, wa2ise@netcom.com (Robert Casey) wrote:
>
>>I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to cash in
>>come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm code
>>and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old
>>callsign.
>>
>>It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics hobbyist
>>for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question pools.
>>
>>These web sites were a great help:
>>
>>http://w5ac.tamu.edu/ham-exam-v2/ham-exam.html
>>http://www.hamtest.com/
>>
>>73s Bob
>
>Show some guts and take the 20 wpm code or you'll just be a Welfare
>Extra.

What's to you if he don't? Welfare Ham radio is for us who can't learn
code!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

1703. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com>
Message-ID: <387B7E6F.68486D2A@nashville.com>
Source record: 122129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ wrote:
>
> I got my Novice license in 1961, the code requirement was 5 wpm.

Once upon a time a novice who lived greater than a certain distance from
one of the limited number of FCC testing centers could take the General
class written exam and receive a "Conditional" license with roughly the
same privileges as a general.

1704. Re: Shine the Spotlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85g5i5$lm4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122130

In article <20000111122328.02674.00001017@ng-fr1.aol.com>,
n0vfpsucks@aol.com (N0vfpsucks) wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Shine the Spotlight
> >From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
> >Date: Sun, 09 January 2000 01:04 AM EST
> >Message-id: <PxVd4.14567$Ak.202450@news1.mia>
> >
> >Here is a interesting article about some of the people you support
Joe:
> >
> >"We shall unleash the Nihilists and Athiests, and we shall provoke a
> >formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show
clearly to the
> >nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the
most
> >bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend
> >themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will
exterminate
> >those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned
with
> >Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment be without
> >compass, anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render
its
> >adoration, will receive the pure light through the universal
mainifestation
> >of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a
> >manifestation which will result from the general reactionary
movement which
> >will follow the DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, both
conquered and
> >exterminated at the same time." (Albert Pike, head of Freemasonry,
the
> >Scottish Rite, 1871)
> >
> >Pictures
> >---

> >----
> >U.N. Vehicles on U.S. soil
> >
> >School buses for U.N. Troops
> >Videos
> >
> >---

> >----
> >UN Vehicles on U.S. soil "This document describes the plan to
disarm the
> >United States by turning over our Army, Navy, Marines, and Air Force
to the
> >United Nations...This program was to be carried out in three stages.
Stage
> >III outlines the final objectives of the disarmement program: 'In
Stage III
> >progressive controlled disarmament and continuously developing
principles
> >and procedures of international law would proceed to a point where
no states
> >would have the military power to challenge the progressively
strengthened
> >U.N. Peace Force...'"
> >
> > Communist Rules for Revolution
> >A.) Corrupt the young; get them away from religion. Get them
interested in
> >sex. Make them superficial; Destroy their ruggedness.
> >
> >'The United Nations was created by Communists, is controlled by
Communists,
> >and can only bring about a Communist world.'
> >
> >B.) Get control of all means of publicity, thereby: Get people's
minds off
> >their government; Divide the people into hostile groups; Destroy the
> >people's faith in their natural leaders; Always preach true
democracy, but
> >seize power as fast as possible; Destroy the nation's credit by
> >overspending; Promote unnecessary strikes; Breakdown old values, and
> >
> >C.) Cause the restriction of all firearms on some pretext with a
view of
> >confiscating them and leaving the population helpless.
> >
> >The Illuminati Emerges in America
> >
> >The Illuminati, which was founded on May 1, 1776 by Adam Weishaupt in
> >Bavaria, Germany, had made its way to the young nation of the United
States
> >of America. It merged with highest Freemasonry in 1782 at the
Council of
> >Wilhelmsbad, Germany. It was designed to establish world government.
There
> >was a group of informed citizens who were aware of their diabolical
plot to

> >overthrow their new nation under an all powerful world government.
> >
> >After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy
took
> >the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
> >movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed
all of the
> >Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took
over the
> >philosophical aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan by name.
He was
> >an evil genius of the first magnitude.
> >
> >He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to
be
> >concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century. He wrote a
remarkable
> >letter dated August 15, 1871, which until recently was on display in
the
> >British Museum Library in London, England. Albert Pike gave graphic
details
> >of plans for three world wars.
> >--

> >----
> >--
> >LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> >B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> >
> > The FCC didn't assign me
> >Very Fine Person for no reason!
> >
> >Athens, AL 35611
> >http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> >http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> >http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> >http://www.adl-ci.org/
> >http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> >http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> >http://www.3950.net/
> >http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> >http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> >http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> >http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> >
> >
> Sound wonderful Lloyd, me and the brothers and sisters of the BPP
can't wait.
> I hope you know how to pick cotton, if not you gonna learn the "hard"
way.

>

LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1705. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85g5nv$m04$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122131

In article <j8Le4.3343$WV3.48047@news4.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> N4GKS/Casey wrote in message
<387b5009.168316612@news.mindspring.com>...
> >On 11 Jan 2000 05:15:31 GMT, wa2ise@netcom.com (Robert Casey) wrote:
> >
> >>I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to
cash in
> >>come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm
code
> >>and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old
> >>callsign.
> >>
> >>It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics
hobbyist
> >>for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question
pools.
> >>
> >>These web sites were a great help:
> >>
> >>http://w5ac.tamu.edu/ham-exam-v2/ham-exam.html
> >>http://www.hamtest.com/
> >>
> >>73s Bob
> >
> >Show some guts and take the 20 wpm code or you'll just be a Welfare
> >Extra.
>
> What's to you if he don't? Welfare Ham radio is for us who can't
learn
> code!

Or in YOUR case, too STUPID to learn the code.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1706. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jobling <kjoblingNO@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3879F44C.36A003F9@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Source record: 122142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Your statement "Anyone whose license permits the use of CW...." is very misleading. All licences permit the use of Morse code. The Morse code test is not about whether the operator is allowed to use Morse code or not, but whether the operator is allowed to use bands below 30Mhz. Passing a Morse code test is not necessary for an operator to legally use Morse code on the appropriate Amateur bands.

Dave Heil wrote:
> Oh, B.S.! CW was and is a viable and popular mode. It is still in wide
> use on the amateur bands. It doesn't matter a bit whether anyone in
> commercial services use it. We aren't commercial ops. Anyone whose
> license permits the use of CW should not have a problem demonstrating
> some skill in its use.
>
> Dave 5H3US, K8MN
> --
> For 5H3US photos, go to:
> http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/
>
> For info on K8MN go to:
> http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999
>
> For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
> http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

--
Ken Jobling

1707. Re: New License Classes - Why Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jobling <kjoblingNO@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3879F92A.F88FFDA7@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Source record: 122143

Is the accomplishment in the piece of paper that says that you once passed 13 WPM or is it the satisfaction of working, perhaps, 20 WPM on air, which can be done now on some bands - without the piece of paper.

Yolanda Hill wrote:
>
> Alright Lumby, I'am struggling on the General 13 WPM now, but I wouldn't
> like to see the code requirment eliminated. It holds a certain aire of
> accomplishment ingetting there, it also keeps the clowns out. Ever hear
> of C.B What happen there. Don't want that to ever happen to Amateur
> Radio. I'am also interested in the code club, don't know the term they
> use, who use as little of power to transmit code on 20 meters.
> DC

--
Ken Jobling

1708. KJI, Blackfoot David

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DYFM <wa8nja@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85ds85ts1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122144

KJI's conditition is what they call in the paramedic business as,,,,,,,,,,low sick,,,,,,,,,,,,he doesn't have a chance. CPR, devibulator machines,laying on the operation table in a trauma center with a team of doctors and the Pope standing at his side will not save him from the terrible swift sword of The Man ,,,,The Main Man,,,,,, Mr.Riley Hollingsworth,,,,,,,,some one get the shovels,,,,the fat lady has sung.............So long David. Don't let the door slam you in the posteriors as you leave.............

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1709. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85dsvc$1e1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122145

```
In article <85do8e$tqk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <85de77$lnv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <85d35n$cbn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Bob Sherin:
> > >
> > > Can you get up in a court room and swear that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent
> > > that E mail by mistake? Can you supply a witness to testify to that
> > > fact? Except for a member of the Porkbutt WB2OTK family that is. If
> > you
> > > can not. I am afraid your remarks are just opinion and will not
> stand
> > > up in court. Were you there? Did you witness Porkbutt putting that
> > > message in a file , then sending it by mistake? I dont think so, Why
> > > don't you quit the practice of law on this forum, and practice in a
> > > court room. Our opinions on the law count just as much as yours. Of
> > > course being a bigot like OTK is not against the law.
> > >
> > > Gitback >>>>>>>>>>>> The problem is,Riley can't either!<<<<<<<<<<<
> > >
> > > In article <239-38799AB5-11@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > > Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> > > > Realize the distinction between racial slurs and a computer error.
> > > Rich
> > > > didn't lose his license over the alleged racial slurs. It is a
> > rather
> > > > simple question: Should an ordinarily harmless error be construed
> as
> > > > prejudicial in Rich's case, cancelling his license?
> > > >
> > > > An email went to Riley that wasn't Rich's intention to be sent.
> > (Any
> > > of
> > > > us can express our inner most feelings into storage with
> impunity.)
> > > > Just as lawyers with mailing errors beyond their control, Rich's
> > email
> > > > should be disregarded and the matter, set straight.
> > > >
> > > > If there are racial charges, Riley needs to notice him with
```

> > > specificity
> > > > of the allegations, so he can prepare a defense--as we expect of
> > > > everyone else in our free society.
> > > >
> > > > I see the whole thing as a partnership between the hams and the
> FCC,
> > > > wherein the former are respectful of and cooperative with
> authority
> > > and
> > > > the latter regulate in compliance with Due Process.
> > > >
> > > > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> > > > Miami, Florida
> > > >
> > > >>>>>>>>>> Stand by, to come under attack for your opinion Bob, and
> > you are correct. Remember Herb, and others calling you a "drug
> > addict"on 20 meters for using perscription drugs? These people never
> > get the facts, as the truth is often quite boring.<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >You have to prove that Porkbutt!! Riley has to prove nothing. He
> knows you said you didn't send it, then said you did etc.. Go to court
> if you can
>
> Gitback
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Why is Bob Sherin trying to change the face of racism to harmless fun ?????
W4ASX should think about what he wrote prior to posting. I do know one thing,
there are many members of congress who did not think that the words expressed
by WB2OTK were just in humor. What Mr. Sherin has failed to acknowledge is
the fact that this is not an isolated incident, but rather a repeat of prior
statements of racism issued over amateur radio by WB2OTK. Bob, if you need to
listen to the tape recordings of prior OTK racial slurs just let me know and
I will mail you the copies that went to members of congress. Please do not
try to change the ugly face of racism, next you will be writing that Charles
Manson should be out of prison and doing charity work for the United Way !!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1710. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85dvt6$3kv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122146

In article
<D1D28A781FEE1DB4.E40B5E2FCA817926.6D436FA98A5E6DA8@lp.airnews.net>,
the lovely "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net> wrote in article
> <vose4.839$Gp4.24866@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>...
> > : I hope you are all correct.
> > :
> > : I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see
> it go as a
> > requirement
> > : (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference,
> will someone tell me
> > : what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11
> meters? I have seen
> > : them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for
> as bad as they seem
> > : today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
>
> If CW has twisted your thinking to the degree that is
> indicated below, then good riddance to it.
>
> >
> > Respect and enforcement of the rules. Hams are more law
> abiding
> > than CBers. Thus we report on our own. And now we have
> FCC
> > support.
> >
>
> YOU have GOT to be KIDDING??????????????????
> Puuuuuuhhhhhlllleeeze! You forgot to put your hands on
> your hips and rock them back and forth and say, "nyah nyah
> nyah nyah nyah nyah."
>
> Yep, you're that predjudice. You know, you seem to have a
> problem with "CBers" thinking that they lack a proper form
> of communication. Should I make any, any at all, comments
> on your atrocious (that would be atrowshus to you) use of
> the English language in your post? Shall I? Not only have
> you mangled in communicative form, you have mangled it in
> its written form.
>

> So, what would that make you? I don't know; you're so
> accomplished at judging, you decide!
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

You tell 'em, Kim!
--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1711. Re: HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85e08k$411$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122147

Taking a break from swallowing choad,
Path: news!den-news-01.qwest.net!qwest!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!
gip.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: dtolassi@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: HAVE YOU EVER NOTICED!!!
Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2000 21:54:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <85dkf5$qt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <85cs84$6kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.91.40.192
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 10 21:54:52 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.04 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x28.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
209.91.40.192
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDdtolassi
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:6038

dtolassi@my-deja.com wrote in message <85dkf5$qt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <85cs84$6kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> gitback@my-deja.com wrote in message <85bn9vcva1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>> >I <Dementia-induced drivel flushed>
>>
>> Put your teeth back in, Polly.
>>
>> Since when were you "decent people?" Decent people don't have
watching
>> birds fornicate as a hobby.
>>
>> Are you still claiming to be a "land baron" with your 1.56 acres in
>> Goatfornicate Tennessee? Hey polly, I've heard that you were the
basis
>> for the hillbilly characters in the movie "Deliverance."
>> Now go play with Grunt Scott, the Indiana Jones wannabe.
>>
>> -Roger you need to clean up your act or you will be off the internet.

Ah, the gay contingent speaks lame threats.

Attention Newsgroup: Tired of Tolassi?

Results for : 209.91.40.192

Together Networks (NETBLK-TNNET-209BLK)
130 S. Willard St.
Burlington, VT 05401

Netname: TNNET-209BLK
Netblock: 209.91.0.0 - 209.91.63.255
Maintainer: TOGN

Coordinator:
Together Networks (TN-IS-ARIN) dns-adm@together.net
(802)862-2030

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS1.TOGETHER.NET 204.97.120.30
NS2.TOGETHER.NET 204.97.120.31

ADDRESSES WITHIN THIS BLOCK ARE NON-PORTABLE

Record last updated on 12-May-1999.
Database last updated on 10-Jan-2000 17:24:40 EDT.

Busted, Tolassi. Get that Rogaine yet?
David Tolassi, the incredible shirinking Brain.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1712. Re: Mr. Strom Speaks; was: Re: Riley Hollingsworth Catches KJI In The Act -- Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85e0dq$42d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122148

beachmaster wrote in message <85do1f$tp4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <85cs43$6hn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> beachmaster wrote in message <85c07h$j1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>> >In article <85b2rqulu1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>> > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Spoken like a true UNDERacheiver. If you think Davies has a
league,
>> >> you're as brain-dead and low as he is.
>> >>
>> > NOW NOW, lets be fair. Davies is in a league - third string minor.
>>
>> Pee Wee baseball?
>>
> Reichskugel !

Danke, Mein Herr.

--
73 de KC8JBO|EM99
Roger
Yaesu FT-920
Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1713. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001101907390.20480-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

why does rec.radio.scanner have to be included in this thread?

1714. HELP/KINWOOD MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: hugo21@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85e3r4$6ih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122150

HI GUYS,a pleasure to come back again,with you;could you help me or sugest about how can I get a KENWOOD MANUAL for a 440ST rig?.-I will be very grateful for your information.-SINCERELY: "Fernando" from M&#65533;xico city
(I am practicing and studying very hard, in order to get my radio ham license).

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1715. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10001101914130.20480-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 122151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

see how easy that is, i am blind, and took out the news groups this thread is going to. I went up through the ranks in less than 7 month, and it makes me sick the way the fcc is doing this. I think the whole thing in a nut shell is the manufactors is behind the whole thing.

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000, AB7RS wrote:

> Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2000 15:04:11 -0600
> From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb,
> rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC
>
> Right on!
> I once had a lot of respect for the hobbt and the amateurs who had
> attained amateur extra,no more,for two reasons.
> 1.I got my own extra in 7 months with no prior code or electronic
> knowledge and only a high school ed.IT'S ALREADY TOO EASY!
>
> 2.This further dumbing down is just more of the same,politicaly correct
> radio,t-ball of the airwaves,nobody loses no matter how little effort
> they put in.
>
> At least now my dog can get a license.
>
>
> njvets@skyweb.net wrote:
> >
> > As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
> > of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
> > license restructuring;
> > Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
> > it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.
> > But, there seems to have been an unfortunate trend in the ease by
> > which a license is obtained. Reducing the CW requirement is another
> > step in permitting less effort for more privelege. Furthermore, there
> > is little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
> > integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
> > there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are. But anyone looking
> > to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
> > if he just know the right people. To argue this is simply denial of
> > the facts.
> > The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.

> > Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
> > quantity. Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
> > manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.
> > Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
> > will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
> > will be honored, respected, and nurtured. Why do we implement policy
> > that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it
> > something difficult, something earned, something valueable?
> > I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
> > which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
> > very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
> > where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
> >
> > K2TL
>
>

1716. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <387A76BC.5E6BB38F@innocent.com>
Source record: 122152

pjsalaiz wrote:
>
> Is this the right group to ask questions about shortwave
> radios and reception of short wave?
>
> * Sent from AltaVista http://www.altavista.com Where you can also find related Web Pages, Images, Audios, Videos, News, and Shopping. Smart is Beautiful

No, that would be rec.radio.shortwave. This group is primarily for
miscellaneous issues relating to amateur radio, though many amateurs
are quite knowledgeable about shortwave reception.

With all good wishes,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1717. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jobling <kjoblingNO@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <387A800F.33A7A813@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Source record: 122153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

If your pride is in the piece of paper then it is misplaced. Your pride should be in the wealth of knowledge that you would have gained as a Amateur Radio operator and in sharing of this knowledge with less experienced and less knowledgable Amateurs. For this, you do not necessarily need a particular piece of paper.

Do you believe that you are a better person than somebody who has as much, perhaps even more, knowledge and experience as yourself but has not, for whatever reason, decided to pass the exam?

njvets wrote:
>
.....
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than a
> parking ticket?
> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> K2TL

--
Ken Jobling
http://www.bigfoot.com/~vk3kjc/

1718. Re: New License Classes - Why Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jobling <kjoblingNO@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <387A8211.2A6FD1D1@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Source record: 122154

Why is it important to have a peice of paper which says that you once passed a 13 WPM Morse test, except for self-gratification. I know Amateurs who passed the test - many years ago - but could not use Morse code now, while others, operating on VHF and above, who have not bothered to take the test but still use Morse code. They are in the hobby for enjoyment, not for status.

BD wrote:
>
> How about BOTH?...
> And what license do you hold, I wonder...
>
> "Ken Jobling" <kjoblingNO@SPAMprimus.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3879F92A.F88FFDA7@SPAMprimus.com.au...
> > Is the accomplishment in the piece of paper that says that you once
> > passed 13 WPM or is it the satisfaction of working, perhaps, 20 WPM on
> > air, which can be done now on some bands - without the piece of paper.

--
Ken Jobling

1719. Re: PROPHET STAIR STILL WITH US IN 2000!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85e6is$8b4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <RGqd4.11247$Ak.146411@news1.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> You dumbass's really though that Prophet R.G. Stair, David J. Smith,
would
> go OFF THE AIR - After Y2K! WRONG!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/

Dear Lloyd,
I have listened to Ralph Stair long enough to know who said what!!
Stair said if God does not make a major move before the year 2000 he
would tell God to go to hell. This is on tape and a proven fact. He is
always saying if God doesn't make a major move before the year 2000
this and that. He also said that if his prophecies did not come true by
the year 2000 he (Ralph Stair) would put up and shut up!! He is the one
that started all this crap about the year 2000 and the importance of
the date, not us!!!! I wonder who should be calling who what?!!
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1720. Re: KJI, Blackfoot David

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: antenna42@aol.complex
Message-ID: <20000110205015.03855.00000463@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 122156

wa8nja@mindspring.com wrote:

>
>KJI's conditition is what they call in the paramedic business
>as,,,,,,,,,,low sick,,,,,,,,,,,,he doesn't have a chance. CPR,
>devibulator machines,laying on the operation table in a trauma center
>with a team of doctors and the Pope standing at his side will not save
>him from the terrible swift sword of The Man ,,,,The Main Man,,,,,,
>Mr.Riley Hollingsworth,,,,,,,,some one get the shovels,,,,the fat lady
>has sung.............So long David. Don't let the door slam you in the
>posteriors as you leave.............
>
>

What do you mean? Has Riley taken some action against WA9KJI?

1721. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <387b8eb1.2951665@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 122157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Paul wrote:

>>the code requirements, and i am blind on top of all that.
>>
>
>I am a "CB'er" who knows code, and Ihave my ham ticket as well.
>
>Paul

I too have my Extra ticket. I am not too worried, the foul mouthed individuals who are on 11 meters or 3950 don't use CW that often. I use CW almost exclusively. As I see it, the AMers an SSBers and FMers will have to bare the brunt of a new class of lids. 20 CW will be safe foever,
Henry K7WAR

1722. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <axxe4.1158$Gp4.40541@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote in message ...

>If you want a good model, look at the old Ham Radio. It had a clean clear
layout,
>easy to read even for those with less than perfect vision.

Wonder if the new guardians of the Jim Fisk legacy will take your
observation to heart, Gary?

Rick K1BQT

1723. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3Pxe4.1193$Gp4.42242@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It's hard to comprehend that Jefferson and his contemporaries could
have possessed such clear and accurate foresight - they have quite
accurately prepared the "cure" via the Constitution and Bill of Rights
for what our nation has slowly, steadily degraded to... Pity is, I'm
doubt we enough citizens in our society at present with the courage
and sense of outrage towards our current "representation" to make use
of what we have been provided at so great a cost...

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com> wrote in message
news:387AA4B9.2011928F@preferred.com...
> Actually Rick, if you read the writings of Jefferson and other
framers
> the second admendant was to keep the Government in-line. They saw
how in
> Europe, if only the government had guns, then they could oppress the
> people.
> I believe one quote of Jefferson was :
> "The foundations of freedom are built with the blood of tyrants and
> patriots alike."
> He fully expected for a revolution to happen every so often because
he
> knew that the government would eventually grow strong enough to
control
> by force.
>
> This was also how history was taught 20-30 years ago before it was
> rewritten to be "politically correct."
> "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:
>
> > The answer should be fairly obvious. That is the basic reason
> > for our Seconed Amendment to the Constitution.
> >
> >
> >
> > Rick T.
> > W7RT
> >
>
> --

> Jeff Pierce, wd4nmq
> piercej@preferred.com
> http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the
World!
> ------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries
Servers ==-----

1724. Comms Quarterly to combine with QEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: crabtreejr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000110232947.05798.00001428@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 122160

Hello All

I had a letter today from the ARRL saying that they had purchased Communications Quarterly magazine from CQ publications, and would be combining it immediately with QEX.

Farewell to an excellent magazine. It is a sign of the times

73

John. KC0GGH

1725. Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <85ee9jrcf1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122161

I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to cash in come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm code and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old callsign.

It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics hobbyist for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question pools.

These web sites were a great help:

http://w5ac.tamu.edu/ham-exam-v2/ham-exam.html
http://www.hamtest.com/

73s Bob

1726. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85egdp$f3o$0@208.207.71.129>
Source record: 122162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

J wrote:
>
Somehow I think we'll all muddle through
> this one too. 73, K1MER
>

Yeah that's the word, "muddle". That's what will be left
of ham radio when this "improvement" is done. A "muddle".
Only a fragile shell.

1727. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <85ef1m$i35$3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 122163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 04:43:04 GMT, Dave Hall
<nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>
>The only reason that CW is used at all is because we dogmatically
>insisted on requiring it. If the commercial sector has abandoned it,
>wouldn't you think that they had a good reason for it? I predict that
>now that 5 WPM is the limit, that CW usage will drop off dramatically.
>And THAT is what scares the "CW forever types" the most. Less usage
>means that eventually the FCC will reduce band space for it.

Uh, Dave. CW is allowed on ALL HF frequencies. It is the only mode
where this is true. There are just certain band segments that are
set aside for CW only.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1728. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85eh03$f3o$1@208.207.71.129>
Source record: 122164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gerge McCouch wrote:
>
it is up to us, the ones
> who have been there and done that, to lend a helping hand to any newcomer
> to the ARS regardless of what test they took, and how well they can copy
> code.

Well, lets see now.... they don't know any theory and
mostly aren't interested in learning (as if an Elmer had
time to completely school them if they did), they can't copy
code...and they sure won't need any help pushing a
microphone PTT!

Looks like they don't need our help after all....

1729. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <387ac823.83397107@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

what a useless waste of a newsgroup! The new rules are the new rules. You can stop being a ham, or you can continue being a ham. What's your choice? Stop gasbagging on this ng, as it has nothing to do with your subject. Youratchetjaws are beating a deceased glue ingredient, and we're tired of the whining!. My 9 year old daughter doesn't drag stuff out like this, and neither should you. Get a grip!!!!

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000 18:50:04 GMT, njvets@skyweb.net wrote:

>As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
>of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
>license restructuring;
>Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
>it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.
>But, there seems to have been an unfortunate trend in the ease by
>which a license is obtained. Reducing the CW requirement is another
>step in permitting less effort for more privelege. Furthermore, there
>is little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
>integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
>there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are. But anyone looking
>to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
>if he just know the right people. To argue this is simply denial of
>the facts.
>The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.
>Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
>quantity. Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
>manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.
>Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
>will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
>will be honored, respected, and nurtured. Why do we implement policy
>that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it
>something difficult, something earned, something valueable?
>I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
>which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
>very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
>where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
>
>K2TL

1730. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <85eg5g$i35$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 122166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.cb Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

: It's no different than the bogus network "certification", that
: Mickey-soft and Novell started for computer professionals. It's a piece
: of paper, that says that you know something. The difference is that MS
: and Novell are making big bucks off of everyone that studies and takes
: the test. But it's only worth something if you recognize the validity of
: the testing methodology.

Funny. I just received e-mail from MS today - offering their Certified
Network Engineer (or whatever it is) class. The cost is $8000, but they
pay me $10,000 when the class is completed.

: Maybe we just want to make sure that everyone who plies the ham
: frequencies has enough technical knowlege to keep them out of trouble,
: and enough responsibility and maturity, that they won't cause trouble.

The knowledge requirement went away as soon as they started publishing
the question pools. It then became a matter of memorization.

Nothing in the Amateur testing has ever guaranteed that the person would
be either mature or responsible - or that they wouldn't cause trouble.

: And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
: directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it. If
: you had to apply yourself for the better part of 3 or 4 months preparing
: for, and passing the test for a ham license, you will be less likely to
: abuse the privilege, than someone who just signed on the dotted line.
: That's human nature.

Maybe. Or maybe someone who had to work hard would ignore legal
requirements when he/she though it possible to get away with it,
as a "right" for having to work so hard.

I don't know why the old Extra holders are so upset about the new rules.
It does not degrade THEIR efforts, or lessen the value of their licenses.

Remember when you had to hold a license for 10-20 years (I forget how long)
before you were eligible to apply for an Extra Class license?

Personally, I feel that the publishing of the question pool is wrong, but
it is only my opinion. I believe a person should have practical knowledge,
not just a bunch of memorized information.

On the other hand, I have more respect for the recent no-code tech who
behaves himself on 2 meters, and maybe plays with packet radio a bit, than

I do for the notorious groups of troublemakers on 75 meters and elsewhere.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1731. 2 Meter SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Morning Cloud and Standing Stone <macadi@qn.net>
Message-ID: <387abe6b.0@news.qn.net>
Source record: 122167

I operated this mode 10 years ago. Are there any active nets in the Ohio or surrounding states? Is the SWOT organization still around? All help appreciated. Michael, WI4NDS.

1732. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <85egcb$i35$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 122168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.cb Michael Moore <m.moore@nospamutoronto.ca> wrote:

: I disagree. It is amusement solely for the kids -- just like
: farting. Personally, I don't find it humorous. And, only a few
: old men find it vulgar. (If we spell it "teat", then it is not
: vulgar -- how about W5TEAT?)

Or W5TEET. Send it in Morse with poor spacing between the characters
and that is what you can get.

As others have pointed out - such things as vulgar/lewd/immoral are
mostly in the minds of the person.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1733. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <85egmg$i35$7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 122169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:

: I think when you said *young* women should be able to go topless, you pretty
: well showed where your mind was...

No, it shows where YOUR mind is.

Personally, I see no reason why a woman should have to hide parts of her
body. After all, men can run around topless, and people don't complain.

If it wasn't for an artificial morality that is ingrained into most of us
while we are growing up - nude bodies would seen natural and unremarkable.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1734. LOOKING FOR GRUNDIG USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gervais <gfillio1@globetrotter.qc.ca>
Message-ID: <CPze4.27$hw1.1613@news.globetrotter.net>
Source record: 122170

Hi all,can someone tell me whare i can find GRUNDIG USA?
I have a radio ,traveller 2,that i need to send for repair and i dont know
where in the USA|

any help will be appreciated!

ve2ckn@hotmail.com
gervais fillion
bic,quebec

1735. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <85ehhu$i35$9@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 122171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.cb Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:

:>Now, that a kid could distinguish my humor does not mean that *I*
:>am the one who has given him that knowledge...

: Most kids already know most of the dirty words. That doesn't mean
: responsible adults should use those words in front of them in a ham radio
: setting...

Oh, get a life - Aaron. You are as bad as the fuddy-duddies at the
Dept of Motor Vehicles - who won't issue license plates with characters
that might offend someone - such as god, wop, fag, sht, pis, cnt, psy,
les, hmo, fuk etc.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1736. Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <s7lihg8ioj8140@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 122172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brush up on your 10-codes Boys.

The CB-RL, Citizens Band-Relay League in Newington, CT, is
going to update their Operating Manual with a section on 10-codes
and CB Lingo so old Hams can understand what the new hams
are talking about. There's also going to be a section on how to
properly tune a transmitter for maximum over modulation & Splatter.

Quote of the Day:
The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.

KB7ADL

nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall) wrote in
<3879D728.7C03@worldlynx.net>:
>Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
>now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
>and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
>work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
>longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.
>
>

1737. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <947573989.314422@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 122173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

njvets@skyweb.net wrote in message

>....there's little doubt that the VE examination system is of questionable
>integrity. Friends are cut breaks, to outright corruption. Sure,
>there are some honorable VE's, perhaps most are. But anyone looking
>to obtain a license can get one as easy as getting a parking ticket,
>if he just know the right people.

1st you say the VE system is corrupt then that perhaps most VE's are honorable...Which is it? VE's risk their own license every time they sign for someone else's.... I have yet to meet a VE (and I've known a hundred or more) who would risk their own Extra Class ticket to "hand out" a license. Using your logic of painting the entire VE sytem black because of a few improprieties, then perhaps all "Coded" hams are like those fella's on 14313kc, 3950kc.... I suppose you'd throw out a cart of apples cuz' you find one bad one!

>I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner
>which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham.

Then renounce your membership.... then go see if you can find anyone else who's fighting for your interests.... K1MAN? ...73 Magazine? ...Gordon West? ...W5YI? ICOM? ...Yaesu? ...Kenwood?
...CQ???.....Whooooooooooooooooooooooo???

> I do believe, that in a
>very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
>where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.

I believe we heard all this before, at the introduction of the No-Code Tech license. It has not come to pass. Tell me.... what exams did you take? ...Did your first license require a CW exam? Did you take one of the easier exams under the VE system (where you had access to all the answers), or one at the FCC field office??... Did you have to prove you could send good code as well as recieve it? ...Did you have to upgrade your Novice license in 1 year or lose it?

My point is... times change, licenses change, requirements change.... LIFE is change.............. adapt to life as it IS and WILL be or fade away like a dinosaur.

1738. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <947574590.220874@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 122174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

J wrote in message
>Responding to K2TL:
>The time for disagreement with restructuring, reduction of CW speed
>requirements, etc was when the matter was being considered by the FCC,
>last year. That time is gone now, and it's time for all of us to pull
>together to make restructuring work for everyone.....

Well said! I always get a kick out of those who come crawling out of their shacks after the shit's hit the fan and wanna' know where the stink's coming from. To bad more don't get involved with their "beloved" hobby when it needs them and wouldn't spend a 32 cent stamp to write the FCC when it mattered...

Seems most hams think "putting your money where your mouth is" means buying a better microphone. You know what they say about the advent of 30 gauge wire..... it was first made when two hams found a penny on the floor at their radio club meeting.

1739. Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <s7ljsb9moj831@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 122175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.

The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
this, it makes them feel important & needed.

restructured Ham = Welfare Ham.

Quote of the Day:
The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
KB7ADL, Jan. 2000

73

dhstadt@ameritech.net (Dave Stadt) wrote in
<YVte4.830$Qp3.20074@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>:
>Yep the end is near, get out now while you can and send me all your
>radios.
>

1740. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <85em7kvjq1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <s7lihg8ioj8140@news.supernews.com>,
>
>Quote of the Day:
>The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
>
Want fries with that? :-)

Sorry, couldn't resist......

1741. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <s7lkhqeroj8180@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 122177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

tglenn@imt.net (AB7RS) wrote in <387A494B.45FD@imt.net>:

> At least now my dog can get a license.
>

I'll sign that 610. If my pet rock can get a license your dog should have
no problem at all. Has he got his code down?

;-)

73 de KB7ADL

1742. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <387AF3DD.18D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 122178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> When Australia banned guns, the hardware stores immediately sold out
> of 8-10 inch PVC pipe. Wonder what all that pipe was used for?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

PVC of that diameter might make for a decent single-use launch tube for a respectable anti-armor missile. Now if your'e talking about 1/2" diameter, 10 inch long PVC, then Cecil, I think I'm at a loss for a good use for that. Maybe a very short bong?

Ed

1743. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jobling <kjoblingNO@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <387AF6CD.41D2E38B@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Source record: 122179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No. Surely by getting on air at 20 WPM plus, you do not need the
callsign and piece of paper as proof. Unless, of course, the callsign
and piece of paper is just a status thing.

Joe K7JOE wrote:
>
> Does that mean that us amateur extras, who passed a 20 WPM
> test without a doctors excuse note, can start signing our callsigns
> "stroke 20" as a designate kinda like the "interim KT, temporary AE"
> that was so prevalent on two meters back in the early and mid 1980's
> ?
>
> Joe K7JOE/20

--
Ken Jobling
http://www.bigfoot.com/~vk3kjc/

1744. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Woodhams <wwoodhamsNOwwSPAM@vcnet.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0790791c.6b54d5d6@usw-ex0107-050.remarq.com>
Source record: 122180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Amateur Restructuring is not a simple, one-sided issue, although many would like to force the many different viewpoints into one small box.

Times are changing, and whether we like it or not, there are realities that have to be faced. Here are a few.

The "magic" of your voice (or code) traveling thousands of miles is basically gone. I'm old enough to remember well the first color televisions, trans-Alantic TV signals, etc. When I first experienced amateur radio (as an early teenager), it was beyond belief that you could actuall talk to someone long distance wireless. I was even awestruck at some cheap walkie-talkies I owned! Now, we communicate effortlessly worldwide via the internet, instantly.

Commercial interests want more bandspace, and amateur radio operators who are time-frozen in the past have a poor argument to occupy valuable spectrum space to discuss their gall bladder surgery, latest bowel movement, etc., simply because they grunted it out years ago to meet the requirments of 13 wpm, and so on. Amateur radio was intended from the start to focus on public service and to encourage growth (in electronic knowledge). It was never intended to be a static, backward-looking social club, remembering the "good ole days". The very nature of amateur radio points forward.

The technical aspects of amateur radio have changed incredibly. Twenty years ago, you could still work on your own car (plugs, oil change, tune-up). Check out the lastest cars, and tell me how much you understand. The people I first met in amateur radio built their own rigs (early 60's). Today, that same rig would splatter unacceptably all over the band. Then, most built their own. Today, probably 98% use quality, name brand, sophisticated rigs, with tight specs on both transmit and receive. It's a changing world.

YES, some things could get better. Getting rid of the corruption in the VE program would help. Riley Hollingsworth has been extremely good news for the hobby. But perhaps we should require computer aptitude tests as part of the hobby (to weed out the old farts). Maybe we should ban discussions of all personal health problems, not to mention useless nets, that accomplish little. Simply passing the CW and written test back then is not a lifelong license to sit back, and reap the "reqards" forever in careless, meaningless blabber. I have always been in favor of amateur radio operators having to provide "evidence" for their continued re-licensing, such as proof of public service, classes taught, projects involved with, etc, much as medical

professionals have continuing education to keep them sharp. One statement the FCC made in their R&O was that there was basically no correlation between those who learned CW, and those who were proper station operators, or were significantly involved in public service. I couldn't agree more. What right does a 30-40 year Ham veteran have to needlessly blab on, using maximum power (or more), with poor antenna matching, and generally abusing the spectrum. Yet, that person is exactly the same person who will argue themselves blue in the face about the need to keep the code, etc. They need a reality check.

There is plenty to compliment and plenty to criticize in amateur radio today. I compliment the FCC for having the guts and sense of REALITY to not only face the real facts of the day, but to do so in a way that doesn't necessarily favor any special group, including the ARRL. Hopefully the tests, method of testing, band usage, etc. of today's amateur service will improve, and retaining CW at previous rates was not solving the problem. Kudos to the FCC for being willing to move forward with guts rather than look backward with failing memory.

I'm in my 50's, and hopefulle young enough to still engage and enjoy the new realities and technologies that emerge each day. However, the "tomorrows" are owned by the young, and I hope I have enough sense and graciousness to know when to get out of the way. The FCC has taken an important first step. I hope during the year 2000 that they and the amateur community can find some good solutions to the problems we still face and better use of the privileges we enjoy.

73's
Wayne Woodhams, N6WIX
Ventura, California

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1745. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387AFBF5.2C220A09@mindspring.com>
Source record: 122181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The FCC is a liberal front, huh? You mean the same FCC which has been busying itself over the past dozen years giving 'handouts' to SBC, Skytel, Iridium, and other shiftless players in the wireless industry? If you want to assign political and ideological motives to ARS restructuring, look in the other direction. Namely, the driving power of corporate money on Congress and Executive agencies to deregulate, and to sell off, at pennies on the dollar, public assets and resources. Hams (and the general public) got shafted, and continue to be, by these spectrum auctions, where the winners don't and won't pay up, but get to keep using the spectrum, or more often warehousing it to leverage themselves into the next merger or buyout. The FCC can't be bothered with us anymore, because they view us as being the loafers. We don't produce a nickel for the Treasury. Read the R&O. Over and over it harps on the adminstrative burden, and by implication the revenue drain, the ARS causes the Commission. And who were the principle agitators for dropping the 13 and 20 WPM CW tests? NCI, W5YI, Inc, and amateur equipment manufacturers. Hardly a bunch of lefties, by any stretch of the imagination. Mostly those who stand to reap large profits from expanding their clientele.

Will
KD7BFX

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>
> The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
> whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
> checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
> Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
> wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
> to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
> of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
> this, it makes them feel important & needed.
>
> restructured Ham = Welfare Ham.
>
>
> Quote of the Day:

> The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
> KB7ADL, Jan. 2000
>
> 73
>
> dhstadt@ameritech.net (Dave Stadt) wrote in
> <YVte4.830$Qp3.20074@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>:
> >Yep the end is near, get out now while you can and send me all your
> >radios.
> >

1746. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3jpq7ssvjoislnr0ad0up6bcct4f3c8se7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000 18:50:04 GMT, njvets@skyweb.net wrote:

>As a licensed Radio Amateur for over 36 years, and a long time member
>of the ARRL, I offer the following opinion regarding the forthcoming
>license restructuring;
>Although I am 110% in favor of the CW requirement, I recognize that
>it, in itself, is not a measure of a good Amateur Radio operator.

>The emphasis seems to be "swell the ranks", not improve the ranks.
>Make it easier so we have more, don't care about quality, just
>quantity. Sell more radios, perhaps? No doubt, the Big 3
>manufacturers are happy with this philosophy.
>Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
>will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
>will be honored, respected, and nurtured. Why do we implement policy

Why do you say that? It simply is not true in many perjaps
most cases. I and most people I know value things based on their
uslefulness, and/or usefullness vs how hard it was to get. In other
words on some type of value.

>that will degrade the value of a license? Why not, just once, make it

Indeed these changes be they right or worng in conception do
INCREASE the real value of the lisence if perhaps not to yourself then
at least to many people. The near total failure of the CW side to even
seriously consdier this line of thought, is certainly a reason that
that side of the debate has not been able to muster any arguement to
really sway the people that count in this matter. The FCC

>something difficult, something earned, something valueable?

The value of the license is in what it allows one to do. In
those terms all licenses have and are and must continue to increase in
value, when any of the following happen, technology improves, numbers
increase or spectrum is enlarged. If you don't understand that value
of the license is in what you can do on the air then aren't you really
missing point of having a license?

As to earned or difficultity these seem to me be out of
place. Diffculity, the Government is NOT in the bussness of dealing
out S&M as the Dominant partner, (it manages this at times but that is
not one hopes the purpose of Governance. Earned, why should I earn
the right to use what should never have been so restrictied?
>I no longer believe that the ARRL is promoting this hobby in a manner

Alas here I agree with you. I can see where bythe ARRL is
doing much of anything to promote this hobby, at all, and without
regard to the manner in which they do so

>which will cause me to be proud to be a Ham. I do believe, that in a
>very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
>where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
>
>K2TL

1747. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: CDT <xctx@onr.com>
Message-ID: <Nxdf4.15$_U.228892710@news.onr.com>
Source record: 121783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

-
barry wrote in message ...
>In article <s7ljsb9moj831@news.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net says...
>> Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>>
>> The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>> anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>> Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>> for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>> everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
>> whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
>> checks

Democrat whiners? I detect the smell of hypocrisy in the air...get a life.

1748. Re: Rent-A-Sparky (was: Morse code job available)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387c2d44.147178474@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Booz-Allen Hamilton ..... the bozos who "recommended" that the
>
> Protect your pockets and run the other way.........
>
Jeez Counselor, they gotta eat, too . . Gawd I love the Beltway think
tanks . . the ultimate parasites.
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>

1749. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <387e2413.1111575@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 121833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"George T. Baker" <w5yr@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Similar experience here, JJ, when at the age of 16 I braved the Dallas
>FCC office for my Class B exam. Only, I got sent out in the hall before I
>could ever get as far as the exam. I was shaking so badly that I could
>not even fill out the 610!
>
>Finally got over the shakes - barely - and got on with the code test and
>the written. I can sure echo what you said about the FCC staff there
>being very patient and understanding. Remember, that was in 1946 just
>after WWII and the bands had come back, and there just weren't many kids
>coming in for exams in those days. Mostly vets who had been radio ops in
>the service.
>
>72/73, George
>Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county
>Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!
>R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972
>

I took my conditional class license in 1962. There was a ham radio
class and the Wichita Amateur Radio Club offered the conditional
class exam with them. They were as concerned with integrity as the
FCC was, and considering the nearest FCC office then was Kansas City,
I took it with the radio club. They sent 5 minutes of ramdom code and
we had to get one minute perfect.
In 1969, I took my First Commercial with the FCC in Spokane. I think
that the conditional was equal to the General. There is no magic
taking an exam infront of the FCC.

1750. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <85hafj$9ar$8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 121834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.cb JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
: Bill Nelson wrote:
:>
:> In rec.radio.cb Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
:>
:> :>Now, that a kid could distinguish my humor does not mean that *I*
:> :>am the one who has given him that knowledge...
:>
:> : Most kids already know most of the dirty words. That doesn't mean
:> : responsible adults should use those words in front of them in a ham radio
:> : setting...
:>
:> Oh, get a life - Aaron. You are as bad as the fuddy-duddies at the
:> Dept of Motor Vehicles - who won't issue license plates with characters
:> that might offend someone - such as god, wop, fag, sht, pis, cnt, psy,
:> les, hmo, fuk etc.
:>
:> --
:> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

: Yea, let's just throw any decency out the window and use those words all
: the time, paste them on billboards, and go ahead and sink society even
: further into the sewer. Guess that is why you like cb so much, you fit
: right in with the foul-mouth crowd.

You are making some pretty big assumptions on rather slim evidence.
I do not use profanity. I do not feel it adds anything to what I write
or say.

The only reason such words are "indecent" is because we are taught that
they are indecent as children.

It is not "profanity" or "indecency" that are sinking our current
civilization. It is the violence, the excessive government control
and the way people are becoming jaded with being able to get too much
too easily.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1751. WTB: Drake FS4, 4-NB, C4 and W4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill bryant <willbryant@msn.com>
Message-ID: <eM4pM#MX$GA.269@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 121835

WTB: Drake FS4, 4-NB, C4 and W4.
This is for my personal station ... I am not a collector.
Bill

1752. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387c2f2b.147666176@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121836
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>My statement means that pre-April 15
>extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
>that they are not 5wpm extras.
>
Do you really think anybody will be stupid enough to suspect that
anybody with a callsign like mine is a 5wpm type?? Do you think I'd be
stupid enough to even bother to respond to their "challenge"?
>
W3RV

1753. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <387C3700.D3BB67B0@topnow.com>
Source record: 121837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Tom W8JI wrote:

>
> You didn't take away anything, but the FCC sure did!

No, they didn't. You earned whatever class license you
hold, and can lay claim to the set of skills you had to demonstrate to the FCC or VE when you were tested, regardless of what the FCC does now. That can never change. For that
matter, a ham license is not intended to be proof of some static set of skills that will forever remain the same. It's a permit to get on the air with specific privileges. The *only* way the FCC can change the value of your license is if they add or delete subbands from your current privileges. You're free to confuse

the effort you put into getting the license with the operating
privileges it grants you, but that's a classic case of mistaking
the map for the territory. So now it's easier for newcomers
to get your class of license, but that doesn't change what you
accomplished to get there.

And if it is so damn important to you
that people on the air know you're an "Original Extra" or whatever, to set you apart from the folks who only had to do 5WPM, fine. You'll sound like an elitist, but go ahead if it
will make you feel better. People will know what you mean
if you say you're an "old extra" or "original extra".

>
> It's typical for those who dislike working for something and who
> expect handouts to not understand why some people have pride in what
> they accomplished.
>

You can be proud of your accomplishments and also
accept without bitterness that the world has changed.
5 WPM does take work and study, and is surely not a "handout".
I know several people in their 20's and 30's struggling
to achieve their 5WPM, who would be very insulted by
your characterization of this as a "handout". Yes, it's easier,
perhaps much easier, but it's a lot more work than most lazy
people would ever put forth. 5 WPM will do a fine job of
keeping people looking for handouts off ham radio.

A lot of times I hear this "handout" crap, and I see a
survivor of a hazing ritual, known as "high speed code", resentful and angry
that others will have an easier time than you had. Hopefully this is not your
real motivation for being
so upset at the changes.

>
> With that in mind, I understand why you agree with the suggestion
> people just forge documents that are symbols of what they accomplished

>
>
> But I think picking on a guy who is proud he worked for something is a
> sign of just how bad our society is getting. Socialism at it's finest!
>

Politics, left, right, up, or down, has nothing to do with this.
All this talk of socialism, handouts, and such makes you sound
like some sort of a rightwing loon.

>
> 73 Tom

1754. Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: stan <stan2@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <oQWe4.15387$2x3.271805@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>
Source record: 121838

I have noticed for some time that these posts get about a dozen posts per day? Does anyone think Email news groups are dying?

I may be missing something, have not been on the internet long nevertheless I thought there would me a lot more traffic no pun intended these news groups are as dead as the Winnipeg Repeaters ?

Are there other news groups with more hits than the ones I get with Sprint.

Comment
It has been stated millions are on the internet? These news groups do not reflect this nor does the hit counters on many web pages.

1755. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@buffnet.net
Message-ID: <387c2752.3351031@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 121839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 22:56:06 -0600, "W5TIT, Kim Walker"
<kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Kim...
>>
>> My editor's wife's call is K6BRA. Perhaps the two of you should meet?
>>
>
>*GASP* Maybe we should! Bet we'd make a great pair... ~:->
>
>Kim W5TIT

I'll see that bet and raise you another ten, darlin' - that's not a
pair, it's *two* pair! :-)

73 DE KC2FNG

1756. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <ka5s@saber.net>
Message-ID: <387C4627.F8C43E8D@saber.net>
Source record: 121840
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Forced to present licenses to prove WHAT? Of course not; there will be
no need.

diddydumdumdiddy

Cortland

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Feels absolutely great, Brian. The Advanced license will
> > > become the premier class in the ARS. The 13wpm Advanced
> > > will be much more highly valued than the 5wpm Extra. :-)
> >
> > Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?
>
> Dunno, Jim, will you? My statement means that pre-April 15
> extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
> that they are not 5wpm extras. Advanced hams will have
> no such problem. :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1757. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <HEh8OC9wZvl4nqlfG0Po2T+DuBoH@4ax.com>
Source record: 121841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 02:45:28 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 15:34:25 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>Well, QEX certainly is closer to that ideal than QST.
>
>One of the mantras I wore people out with when I was QEX editor was:
>"QEX is not Vogue -- nobody buys it for fancy graphics. KISS."

We appreciate that. Now sell that idea to QST.

>>I don't understand all the politics of this acquisition.
>
>Politics? What politics? It's about economics. From the CQ perspective,
>CommQuart was bleeding red ink. (You can't blame a profit-making
>concern for dumping a money loser.) QEX loses money too. The idea is to
>combine the two to make one publication that will, hopefully, break
>even.

Uh, let me get this straight, you lose money on every issue, so to
make money, you print more issues? I seem to have heard this
gag somewhere before. Yes sir, we sell below cost. How do we
make money? Volume, volume, volume!

Seriously, if this deal got you the rights to publish all the Ham Radio
back issues on CD, it's worth it.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1758. make a power amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mike@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <vYXe4.126$Nk.705714@nnrp1.proxad.net>
Source record: 121842

Hi
I ' m a new ham and i would like to make a 1 kw power amplifier
for decametric's frequencies
but i havn't any schematic or pcb ...
So if you have some info please send them to me
thank's
My e-mail is
ipas.m@netclic.fr

1759. FS: EIMAC 8877 TUBE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000112044339.01823.00000067@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121843

I HAVE AIMAC 8877 NEW TUBE IN UN OPEN BOX FOR $400.00 PLUS SHIPPING FROM LOS ANGELES CA . K6EG

1760. WTB: KENWOOD SP-940

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000112044439.01823.00000068@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121844

I AM LOOKING FOR KENWOOD SP-940 SPEAKER FOR THE KENWOOD TS-940S/AT IN GOOD CONDITIONS AND AT A REASONABLE PRICE
THANKS Ed K6EG

1761. FS: BIRD 43 NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000112044629.01823.00000069@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121845

I HAVE FOR SALE NEW IN UNOPEN BOX BIRD 43 WITH F-N CONNECTORS FOR $200.00 PLUS SHIPPING FROM LOS ANGELES CA THANKS K6EG

1762. FS: KENWOOD TS-830S STATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000112044848.01823.00000070@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121846

I HAVE FOR SALE KENWOOD TS-830S GOLD LABEL WITH MC-50/AT-230 AND SP-230
SPEAKER FOR $750.00 PLUS SHIPPING FROM LOS ANGELES CA THANKS K6EG

1763. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <+lB8OBE++UJC44W6K7VRn8lyG2x1@4ax.com>
Source record: 121847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 16:12:11 -0700, "Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote:
>Gary Coffman wrote
>>[Professor Gary Keck says]
>>that those who do not resist a criminal
>>assault were more likely to be seriously
>>injured or killed than those who do resist.
>
>The subject was *armed robbers* Gary, not all criminal assaults. It
>flies in the face of logic that if all victims (armed or not) resisted
>armed robbers, they would be less likely to be hurt than if they
>just give up the property...

You can hypothesize all you want, but it doesn't change the DOJ
numbers. Facts are facts whether they fit your preconceptions or
not.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1764. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0El8OJmTXR8lEn5Y5NLlbV3LYQj+@4ax.com>
Source record: 121848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 03:33:59 GMT, "Rick K1BQT" <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
>Gary Coffman Wrote:
>>I don't understand all the politics of this acquisition. It does seem
>>odd that the ARRL made a move that will now require them to do
>>fullfillment for non-members. It does *look* like they're favoring
>>the publishing business over the notion of being a membership
>>organization.
>
>It's an interesting deal. For one thing, it appears a non-profit entity has
>acquired a small for-profit entity for the sole purpose of eliminating
>competition and monopolizing a particular niche in the ham radio publishing
>market. In other words, the League clearly intended to buy QEX's only
>competition for the purpose of killing it to ensure QEX's financial
>survival. I'm not sure how that type of perdition sits with the
>not-for-profit status the League enjoys.

Well, that thought had crossed my mind too. But it appears Comm Quarterly
was going to be closed by CQ anyway, so I think QEX is just getting a subscriber
list out of this deal. (And possibly the rights to the back issues of Ham Radio,
which really is a valuable property.)

>Second, I'm a bit troubled by Doug Smith's dual role as Lester Ernshaw's
>Chief Engineer at Kachina--and as Editor of QEX. The potential for conflict
>of interest here is very real--and I have no confidence Mr. Smith can be
>expected to deal with that issue objectively.

That doesn't bother me at all. QEX doesn't do product reviews. If he were
working in the Product Review section of QST, it would be a horse of a
different color. But I think he is eminently qualified to preside over a
technical magazine. HIs practical experience should help him separate
the sense from the nonsense (something Comm Quarterly could have
used).

>Third, I'm troubled that the "tech" side of ham radio has now lost its only
>forum for authors who's techincal philosophies may not jibe with the views
>of the League's editorial staff (Walt Maxewell to name one).

Considering the rather vehement technical disagreements I've had with Walt,
I'm not going to touch that one.

>As a memeber of the League, I'm also curious how much money this acquisition
>cost the dues-paying rank-in-file in a time of tight money--and at a time
>when supplemental requests for additional "donations" to save ham radio have
>been arriving on a regular basis. Will the cost of acquisition ever be made
>public?

Now that we have a right to know. It should show up in the annual statement.

>And, I'm frankly wondering who I'm going to write for, now that the
>Quarterly is gone.

You did have an inside track there didn't you? Perhaps you and your
buddy Fracky could write for the Weekly World News. <kidding>

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1765. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: busdriver@mobiletel.com
Message-ID: <387c5172.2050208@nntp.mobiletel.com>
Source record: 121849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

everybody better realize that restructuring is here and here to stay
anyone who dosen't belive that better wake up and smell the roses .
it is the begining.....8 yr vet n5vkq

>
>Sasquatch wrote in message
>>I look to the restructuring of ham radio as a beginning , not and end , you
>know... the >old is the glass half empty or half full type of
>reasoning.....
>
>I'd tend to agree.... restructuring is now reality. The FCC will not be
>backtracking on the issue, regardless of any late complaints. Let's move
>on... welcome the new licensees (there won't be a flood, only a flurry of
>upgrades) and get on with enjoying radio... isn't that why we all check this
>newsgroup?
>
>

BUSDRIVER@MOBILETEL.COM
Falgout's C.B. & Sign Shop
4298 Hwy 90 East
DesAllemands La. 70030
1-800-303-5152
BusDriver N5VKQ

1766. counter wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <387C5686.E8F2097A@analon.com>
Source record: 121850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

wanting to buy a optotronics or similar counter!

looking for a frequency counter to compliment my 6 scanners. I usually have
two with me in my truck... it would be nice to know the frequency some nearby
transmitter is using so I can set the scanner accordingly.

JOHN

--
*****--
* JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com
* the RIGHT computer for you at an AFFORDABLE
price
*.........................>310-346-7404<
*.......... Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
* Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
* Junk/spam mail goes straight to the bit bucket!
* if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail so I will check the grp
*****--

1767. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <387d5810.241271587@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121851

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 22:14:34 -0700, CW not CB <xrayj@woldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1
>
>
>
>Then you're just a CBer.
>
>"But I have a "no-code technician license!"
>Then you are a CBer on 2-meter CB.
>
>"But I have a call sign!"
>So do CBers.
>

Watch what you say, The CBers are circling you like angry Great
White Sharks and the FCC has rung the Dinner Bell.

BTW Bad Boy, what are going to do when the CBers get you???

Also what are you going to do over the radio when the CBer take over
the air waves???

All I can say is stick a fork in you, your DONE!

Rick

1768. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <387e5b4a.242097822@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121852

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 22:14:34 -0700, CW not CB <xrayj@woldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>"But there are 'good people being kept out by the code requirement'."
>See phenomenon #3 and #4 of the Anti-coder profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test should
>be dropped."
>See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test speeds
>should be reduced."
>See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>"But so-and-so has a ham license and he is an "ex-CBer," and he thinks
>that the code should be dropped!"
>Then so-and-so is still just a CBer who merely wants "revised CB."
>See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>
What, Only ONE page on your web site? how LAME!!

ONE PAGE=LAMER MUTIPLEPAGES=WEBMASTER

So Much for CW and Intelligence Theory.

BTW how does your back feel? I heard the FCC Body slammed you real
good with the Restructuring Move.

Rick

1769. Re: How to avoid being a LID when I get my extra?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85ho5q$7ip$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121853

Try http://www.hfradio.org and http://www.dxzone.com for some
good reference information. IMHO I would say listen to the
morons on 3950 and 14.313 and learn what not to do from them.
Too bad they are (quote)Extra Class(unquote) holders already.
They are the ones who bring disgrace to the hobby, not us hams
who aren't going to have a 13 and 20 wpm code requirement in
the future. There is no such thing as a "Welfare Ham".
Jimmy

Robert Casey <wa2ise@netcom.com> hath said:
: I suppose if I:
: Listen on a frequency for a while before calling CQ,
: Respect band plans (both official and gentlemens conventions)
: Listen for a while to see "how it's done" on the air,
: Be curtious(sp),
: Avoid cuss words,
: and use common sense

: I may be able to avoid being a LID. And learn from newbie errors
: as I make them.

: Any web site describing good operating practices?
: I have an old copy of the ARRL handbook.

Burn her, she's a witch! And how do you know she is a witch?
She turned me into a newt! Ahhhh newt? I got better.......
Monty Python

1770. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <e1F8OPjz0QBU=ezkwUvqdRAr6U=A@4ax.com>
Source record: 121854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 15:56:05 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Gary Coffman wrote:
>> Yet the cop has to go head to head with criminals
>> every day. If carrying a firearm didn't improve his odds of survival,
>> he wouldn't carry one.
>
>Impeckable (sic) logic as usual, Gary. Now if we could only talk
>the general public into training to a certain degree like cops
>train.

Lord help us, no! I spent some time as a firearms instructor
for a couple of local departments. You don't want to know how
badly I found most cops were trained, what totally unrealistic ideas
they had about their abilities with firearms, etc. A hard example,
local cop squares off with perp at a range of 10 feet, 23 rounds are
fired, no one is hit, perp gets away while cop fumbles for another
magazine.

A *few* departments have really good training, but most are on
the level of Barney Fife and need to keep their one issued bullet
safely in their shirt pockets.

I tried to beat into their thick skulls that it doesn't matter how
fast you can miss. Only hits count. I also demonstrated for them
that a shotgun doesn't give a 12 foot pattern at 10 feet. You have
to *aim* the damn thing if you want to hit something with it. I
also did a demonstration to show them that their vests didn't
make them Superman. A couple of paintballs between the
eyes does wonders.

We did a lot of training with paintball guns. Before I came, all
they'd done was formal target practice twice a year. That's
totally insufficient. I instituted once a week live ammo range
time, and twice a year intensive "war games" with the paintball
guns. Anyone who doesn't practice regularly with a handgun
is a danger to himself and others.

I made them *run* a hundred yards to the firing line, then
shoot for record. That simulates the level of adrenaline you
have in a real fight. If you can't consistently put all rounds
on target under that condition, you're not ready for a gunfight.

I did do some good. I ran my guys through enough drills that
most of them learned to keep their finger outside the trigger
guard until they'd acquired a hard target in their sights. I didn't

tolerate *any* misses. I told them if you don't know *exactly*
where that bullet is going to go, you don't shoot. Etc. They
weren't Matt Dillons when they left the first course, but at
least they were less likely to shoot themselves or some
innocent bystander.

(BTW I've trained so hard on having a hard target in
the sights before shooting that I found I literally couldn't
pull the triggers when I tried to shoot two guns at once.
I couldn't see both sets of sights at the same time, and
I literally couldn't shoot at all. *That's* the level of training
you need.)

I tried to get across to them that their most valuable skill
was situational awareness. They *had* to know what was
going on around them at all times. (I bopped several of them
on the head with a rolled newspaper to show them they
weren't paying attention as we went through building
clearing drills.)

On the range, I taught them the Zambezi drill, "Two to the
chest, one to the head, makes the perp dead dead dead."
I warned them I was going to count the brass, and I damn
sure didn't want to find 23 empties on the ground and
no blood the next time they had a shootout.

They didn't like me much, but I may have saved the lives
of some of them, and maybe of some innocent civilians
too.

There are some very good defensive gunfighting courses
available to civilians. (Civies need a somewhat different
sort of training than cops need because the situations
they're likely to face are different, and the rules of engagement
are different.) Anybody who carries a gun for protection and
doesn't take one of them is a fool. I really suggest taking
several of them. Each course has valuable lessons to be
learned.

And practice, practice, practice. No one can maintain
competence with a handgun unless he practices regularly.
I shoot a minimum of 500 rounds a week to keep my edge.
One thing I do to make all this shooting fun is shoot dimes
out of the air. I toss a dime in the air with my gun hand,
draw, and shoot the dime before it hits the ground. Looks
impressive, but it isn't hard to do. I also draw caricatures
on targets with bullet holes. That's fun, and darn hard to
do so that it actually looks like someone too. But mostly
I just practice practice practice. If you aren't willing to
do that, don't carry a gun.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1771. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len Schaier <lschaier@avotec.com>
Message-ID: <387C6A89.42980B78@avotec.com>
Source record: 121855

AF2Y is off AOL because at least two stockholders repeatedly pointed out
that his actions consistentantly violated their TOA.

You can spin it any way you want but that is why he is off AOL.

I hope my efforts contributed to his removal.

Len

rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> For those of you, in an alcohol and/or a drug induced coma, stuck in an
> orange grove, picking fruit, I submit the following correct information
> on my good friend AF2Y. The reason he is off AOL, is of his own doing,
> and business related. As the story goes, Doc was frequently knocked
> off line, during business transactions, which precipitated him to try
> another ISP, Radiosigs@mindspring.com. He's not very pleased with the
> Mindspring ISP either, so expect to find the good Doctor back on AOL,or
> possibly using cable modem on another account. Thank you all for your
> patience, he shall return.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1772. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bubba <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <387C5DF7.7100@nospam.com>
Source record: 121856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sasquatch wrote:
>
> I myself do not view this as dirty, just kind of silly , not as silly as
> this thread continuing on and on though,I also look to the re structuring of
> ham radio as a beginning, not and end...

Well... isn't that what we are all saying? Restructuring of ham radio
it the BEGINNING of the END!

K1BENDOVER

1773. I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <do_e4.1725$9T4.24387@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 121857

I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a difference.

I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and buy a citizens band radio.

It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always produce a better idividual.

So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference, because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio services be?

Brent Scott
N5CLK
Austin, TX

1774. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85hvk4$uh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121858

Aaron Jones wrote in message ...
>Jim Weir wrote
>>My editor's wife's call is K6BRA.

LOL!

>
>And another fine vanity choice. Dick, Larry, I might have been wrong.
>The hordes have arrived...

Get over it, archaic buffoon.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1775. Tubes and Caps on e-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: DJ and Tater <dcintheplace2b@gibralter.net>
Message-ID: <387C75F9.5A5413E0@gibralter.net>
Source record: 121859

Check out the following auction for many sweep tubes and HV caps eBay
Seller List: dcisinthehouse... 4 days left!!!

1776. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85hvoiujj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121860

Robert Sherin wrote in message <9560-387C1226-7@storefull-
247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Rileygotcha, Not in contact with Rich, I wouldn't have a clue what he
intends. My posts are all my opinions, undriven by anyone else and
untainted by loyalties or animosities. I make a herculean effort to to
treat all hams and human beings the same as I want to be treated: With
care and dignity.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

Polly's a brain-dead old fool.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1777. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85hvrkurd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121861

Len Schaier wrote in message <387C6A89.42980B78@avotec.com>...
>AF2Y is off AOL because at least two stockholders repeatedly pointed
out
>that his actions consistentantly violated their TOA.
>
>You can spin it any way you want but that is why he is off AOL.
>
>I hope my efforts contributed to his removal.
>
>Len

You are an idiot.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1778. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4C0F46F17614C512.4828C61EB7463718.72098FC395303EB1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Jim Weir wrote
> >My editor's wife's call is K6BRA.
>
> And another fine vanity choice. Dick, Larry, I might have been wrong. The
> hordes have arrived...

Hey, Aaron? You ARE getting really ridiculous. You're annonymous and
you expect people to take you seriously? I asked you a question once:
what is YOUR callsign? You never answered it. So, since you choose to
"call" me anything you want in this NG, I've got a great one for you:
N0DIK.

Kim W5TIT

1779. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy@btc.uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <387C7B60.2781E494@btc.uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 121863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
> This is not an uncommon tactic/argument used by anti-gunners - the
> claim that "other factors are at work" when the fact that all types of
> crime are statistically reduced where gun laws are less strict...

Bollo*cks. NOT a fact. Only even statistically so in a gun
culture like the USA, never shown up in any civilised nation.

>
> It just ain't so!
>
> PLUS, it has been REPEATEDLY shown to be true in
> cities/states/nations, that an UNarmed society is a sitting duck for
> abuse by criminals and politicians

Like, you mean the crime rate here in the U.K. is
worse than in the US of A? Hi Hi Hi ......
(You've all heard about the English mafia -NOT)

>both inside and outside their own
> nation - which may well be ONE reason some here in the USA resist
> actions by NATO and the UN to extend their gun bans to THIS country -
> *I* sure wouldn't want to see Bosnia or Chechynia repeated here on US

Bosnia and Chechnya are not examples of unarmed civil populations,
they _are_ good examples of what happens when you have an armed
civil population and a breakdown of government.

> "Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
> spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

No. It's like blaming nuclear weapons for Nagasaki, not
the whole story but one heck of a contributory factor.
Rosie O'Donnel (who she?) could have got fat using her
pinkies, I don't think you could do a Columbine _without_
a gun, could you?

Andy Cowley
M1EBV

1780. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Cowley <andy@btc.uwe.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <387C7CFB.446B9B3D@btc.uwe.ac.uk>
Source record: 121864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:

> (Ever seen anyone use 'sic' on his own word before? :-)

I've seen someone do it on their own shoes, if that helps

M1EBV

1781. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Branch <k4nr@satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <20%e4.3784$N36.176666@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 121865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil,

How come so many no-code folks have the exact opposite reaction? ;-)

73 de Tom

W6RCecilA wrote in message <387C0D67.F9CAF6B8@IEEE.org>...

>Then how come so many PCTA folk salivate over it? :-)

1782. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <387C9AAC.1D40880A@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hey Cecil, why Houston. Any Texan knows that Austin in the Capitol
of Texas. Where you 'bin'......

D
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > You have to admit that we did not use our
> > superpower's super power on the North; if we had, the war would have
> > ended in an instant.
>
> And there's even better reasons not to nuke Houston.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1783. Re: How to avoid being a LID when I get my extra?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Branch <k4nr@satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ya%e4.3785$N36.176806@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 121867

Jimmy,

Go listen there an look up the callsigns--most are General and Advanced
Class ticket holders. Trash exists at all levels from Novice to
Extra.....let's work on nurturing good operators at all levels

73 de Tom, K4NR

The NeXus wrote in message <85ho5q$7ip$1@news.datasync.com>...

>good reference information. IMHO I would say listen to the
>morons on 3950 and 14.313 and learn what not to do from them.
>Too bad they are (quote)Extra Class(unquote) holders already.

1784. Re: FCC CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Links, Inc." <tqwong@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <DS%e4.110$c7.10173@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Numerous scandals through the years have rocked the FCC. However, they have some excellent spin doctors on staff who manage to conceal most scandals from the public eye or put enough spin on them where the damage is minimal.

Perhaps Riley's next press release may have the following lead in:

"Pornographers, including at least one child pornographer at the FCC's Columbia, Maryland office assisted in identifying and locating the source of the interfering signal."

1785. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87p24d.gpq.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 121869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 03:33:59 GMT, Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
>Gary Coffman Wrote:
>>I don't understand all the politics of this acquisition. It does seem
>>odd that the ARRL made a move that will now require them to do
>>fullfillment for non-members. It does *look* like they're favoring
>>the publishing business over the notion of being a membership
>>organization.
>
>It's an interesting deal. For one thing, it appears a non-profit entity has
>acquired a small for-profit entity for the sole purpose of eliminating
>competition and monopolizing a particular niche in the ham radio publishing
>market. In other words, the League clearly intended to buy QEX's only
>competition for the purpose of killing it to ensure QEX's financial
>survival. I'm not sure how that type of perdition sits with the
>not-for-profit status the League enjoys.

Your characterization of the League's motivations is fictitious. It's
true that by buying CommQuart, the League hopes expand its base of
subscribers for QEX, potentially stopping the losses QEX operates under
(and has for as long as it has been in existance). But it was not the
League's intention to kill CommQuart -- Dick Ross had already declared
it dead.

>Second, I'm a bit troubled by Doug Smith's dual role as Lester Ernshaw's
>Chief Engineer at Kachina--and as Editor of QEX. The potential for conflict
>of interest here is very real--and I have no confidence Mr. Smith can be
>expected to deal with that issue objectively.

Can you provide examples of how his conflicting interests have affected
the content of QEX?

>Third, I'm troubled that the "tech" side of ham radio has now lost its only
>forum for authors who's techincal philosophies may not jibe with the views
>of the League's editorial staff (Walt Maxewell to name one).

Walt's views have been published in a long QEX article under Doug
Smith's editorship. I believe it was in 1997; may have been 1998.

All things being equal, I'd like to see a multiplicity of ham technical
publications, too. Unfortunately, all things are not equal. It's quite
likely that if ARRL doesn't publish a ham technical magazine, nobody
will. The market is just too small.

>As a memeber of the League, I'm also curious how much money this acquisition
>cost the dues-paying rank-in-file in a time of tight money--and at a time

>when supplemental requests for additional "donations" to save ham radio have
>been arriving on a regular basis. Will the cost of acquisition ever be made
>public?

Unfortunately, I don't know the answer to either of those. Your
director should.

>And, I'm frankly wondering who I'm going to write for, now that the
>Quarterly is gone.

Is there some reason not to write for QEX?

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1786. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Passaneau <jxp16@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <85i2t0$t1k@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 121870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Just so you know, if you want a award for code proficiency, The ARRL has offered one for many years. It's called the "code proficiency award. It's starts with the basic award at 10wpm with stickers for speed up to 40wpm. See www.arrl.org for more information.

--
John Passaneau
Penn State University, State College Pa.
W3JXP
jxp16@psu.edu

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message news:387C2A7B.4AEA2BE6@topnow.com...
>
>
> J wrote:
>
> > Responding to K2TL:
> > The time for disagreement with restructuring, reduction of CW speed
> > requirements, etc was when the matter was being considered by the FCC,
> > last year. That time is gone now, and it's time for all of us to pull
> > together to make restructuring work for everyone. > > this one too.
Snip:
73, K1MER
> A wonderfully well-thought out and reasonable response.
> Thank you! I share your optimism that things will work out
> all right.
>
snip:

> Perhaps ARRL could take up the slack in high-speed Morse
> and have special awards for (say) 100 QSOs at (13, 15, 20,
> whatever WPM). That would have to be worth just as much
> as an FCC document, and probably would look better on
> the wall, too. :)

1787. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387C8F96.65E8C027@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary Coffman wrote:
> You can hypothesize all you want, but it doesn't change the DOJ
> numbers. Facts are facts whether they fit your preconceptions or
> not.

Criminals usually have mental problems. Not resisting often
gives them power and courage to go farther than they would
otherwise. I'm reminded of the 75 year old lady who fought
off her attacker with a hat pin.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1788. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85i36fhfu1@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 121872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@spamlessgte.net> wrote:
> Similarly, "road rage" was unheard of in those earlier years - have our
> roads and CARS become more "vicious" in recent years? should we seek
> heavier controls/bans on THEM TOO? TOO many "experts" are so hung up
> treating the "symptom", that they completely overlook or ignore the
> basic ILLNESS - treat and correct THAT, and the SYMPTOMS will take care
> of themselves!

--> "Road rage" is a media invention. Nothing that it describes is new,
it's just getting reported more now that someone came up with a cute
buzzword for it.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

1789. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387C90DC.27BE5FC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

AF2Y_RULES wrote:
> Hey Cecil, why Houston. Any Texan knows that Austin in the Capitol
> of Texas. Where you 'bin'......

Houston was the capitol during the last war for Texas independence.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1790. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <387b4a72.2352058@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 11 Jan 2000 06:01:39 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Gerge McCouch wrote:
>> it is up to us, the ones
>> who have been there and done that, to lend a helping hand to any newcomer
>> to the ARS regardless of what test they took, and how well they can copy
>> code.
>
> Well, lets see now.... they don't know any theory and
>mostly aren't interested in learning (as if an Elmer had
>time to completely school them if they did), they can't copy
>code...and they sure won't need any help pushing a
>microphone PTT!
>
> Looks like they don't need our help after all....

What a pathetic attitude. Unfortunately that is
Dick's long stated attitude showing through again.

When I passed my Novice and General theory
I knew no more than those today. I memorized what
I had to to pass. I then went on to truly learn what
I had already memorized through the help of others
and ultimately via a formal technical education.

It took NO understanding of electronic theory
to use the few simple equations one needed
to memorize to pass the Novice and General tests
of the 50s/60s. It also didn't require any
understanding to memorize 14 circuit diagrams
and regurgitate 5 of them as answers on the
General theory test.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK - I help anyone that asks. There is NEVER
a stupid question.

1791. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <387b4d20.3037865@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

rec....antennas NG deleted as being inappropriate

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 02:46:50 -0700, Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
wrote:
>The FCC can't be bothered
>with us anymore, because they view us as being the loafers. We don't
>produce a nickel for the Treasury.

We NEVER did.

>Read the R&O. Over and over it harps
>on the adminstrative burden, and by implication the revenue drain, the
>ARS causes the Commission.

Yet before 1951 there were only two license classes.
From 51 to the incentive licensing there were only
three licenses with any priviledge difference (Novice,
Tech and General).

>And who were the principle agitators for
>dropping the 13 and 20 WPM CW tests? NCI, W5YI, Inc, and amateur
>equipment manufacturers. Hardly a bunch of lefties, by any stretch of
>the imagination. Mostly those who stand to reap large profits from
>expanding their clientele.

Well you left out the ARRL too since the ARRL proposal
also called for 5 wpm General and 12 wpm Max.

Nevertheless, just how does NCI, W5YI,
ARRL reap large profits now...You say by expanding
clientele, but how much does it take to "reap those
large profits?"

By selling fewer test study guides?

Frankly I also doubt there will be any great spurt of
equipment sales.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
NCI BOD

1792. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387C9273.8853A689@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Andy Cowley wrote:
> Bollo*cks. NOT a fact. Only even statistically so in a gun
> culture like the USA, never shown up in any civilised nation.

England has a gun sub-culture. They are called criminals. One
was interviewed on a recent TV special about security in England.
He said, in England, any criminal who wants a gun can get a gun.
He showed his handgun to the cameraman and said he loved England's
gun laws.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1793. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387C9374.11CAFA8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121877
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> >My statement means that pre-April 15
> >extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
> >that they are not 5wpm extras.
> >
> Do you really think anybody will be stupid enough to suspect that
> anybody with a callsign like mine is a 5wpm type?? Do you think I'd be
> stupid enough to even bother to respond to their "challenge"?

A limited number of callsigns like yours will be available to 5wpm
extras under the vanity callsign program. That may not bother you,
but it bothers a lot of the radical PCTA folk.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1794. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387C9415.B37A0F7E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121878
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cortland Richmond wrote:
> Forced to present licenses to prove WHAT?

Forced (by their own lack of self-esteem) to prove that they
are not 5wpm extras. For those kind of hams, there should be
a market for T-shirts with their licenses embossed on it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1795. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387C92C1.B2E1FCB5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Branch wrote:
> How come so many no-code folks have the exact opposite reaction? ;-)

Dry mouth? :-)

> W6RCecilA wrote in message <387C0D67.F9CAF6B8@IEEE.org>...
> >Then how come so many PCTA folk salivate over it? :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1796. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com>
Message-ID: <387C964B.CE5B0B8B@nashville.com>
Source record: 121880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> Well, Angelo made me send for a full minute before he stopped me.

Same here. He wasn't really impressed with having to work on Saturday.

NU4JJ

1797. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nicholas Geovanis <nickgeo@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.HPX.4.10.10001120845250.2413-100000@merle.acns.nwu.edu>
Source record: 121881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 11 Jan 2000, Dick Carroll wrote:

> Ken Jobling wrote:
> >
> > If your pride is in the piece of paper then it is misplaced. Your pride
> > should be in the wealth of knowledge that you would have gained as a
> > Amateur Radio operator and in sharing of this knowledge with less
> > experienced and less knowledgable Amateurs. For this, you do not
> > necessarily need a particular piece of paper.
>
> Unhuh. For this the FCC ISSUED a "piece of paper" a SPECIAL
> piece opf paper noting that the named individual had
> achieved the Amateur EXTRA class. That word meant something
> in times past. Today, no. In the future, no. So you
> socialists have won that point. All in the same basket,
<CUT>
> Yours is nothing but a leftist point of view. Not all the
> commies were in the USSR.

Are you callin us CBers commies?! Them's fightin words, mister! Fer yer
own safety, mister, better steer clear o'them truckers' homes and rest
areas. No bear gonna be watchin yer beehind....

(Just kidding, I've never used CB. But my uncle used to have the handle
"professor" because he was a college dropout :-)

+-------------------->
| Nick Geovanis
| IT Computing Svcs
| Northwestern Univ
V n-geovanis@nwu.edu

1798. Dust Cover for Yaesu FT-920

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raymond Cubberly <cubberly@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <85iqi1$3i$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121882

Does anyone know of a supplier of dust covers for ham radio equipment? I would like to get one for my FT-920. About 11 years ago I purchased a dust cover for my TS440SAT from a mail order company, but I can't remember their name.
Thanks. Ray W9CUB

1799. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <uo6f4.993$Oe.18651@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Don't like the tax breaks given to non-profits? Complain to your representatives in Congress.<

Thanks.

>Do you think Dick Ross acted inappropriately when he sold CommQuart to ARRL?<

Of course not! Communications Quarterly was his enterprise to do with as he saw fit. I'd add that Dick has always been very supportive of both Terry's work and the Magazine's mission, and he's been a good personal friend to boot. But, once again, this isn't about Dick, CQ, my feelings, my cat's feelings, or where my wife goes to work in the morning.

It's an ethical question. That question is: Did the League (of which I'm a member) act improperly as a non-profit entity when it purchased and then "killed" a competitive magazine in order to eliminate competition, absorb new subscribers, and improve QEX's profitability?

You say the League did not act improperly. Fine! Your position, of course, implies that the League would be equally justified in swallowing up CQ Contest and rolling it into NCJ, swallowing up 73 and CQ and rolling them into QST, and swallowing up anything else that hurts its sales or gets in its path--including Callbook publications, Hamtrader, 5YI, and anything else it can afford to buy with the memebership's money.

Personally, I think this purchase (not this sale) is alarming and sets a very bad precedent in the industry (kinda like if the NRA started buying up all the gun magazines). And, now that the issue has been duly presented to the group, I'll be curious to sit back and see what others think (unlike you, I don't get paid for this). I simply hope the focus will remain on the issue--and not on either one of us! Thanks for the joust, Jon, I've been itching to do this for about three weeks--and you're a worthy debater.

73

Rick K1BQT

1800. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BC3A8AADB9F8707A.0959CE6FC7BFC8EB.63E875E58D6042F1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121884

You "bust" out laughing ;-)

Kim W5TIT

Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com> wrote in article
<387CEB8D.30D83435@nashville.com>...
> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> >
> > You're point would be.....?
>
> I must admit every time I see your call I bust out laughing.
>

1801. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F065896D788165D5.2283930DE437EB66.9FADD211845313E8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121885

stan <stan2@sprint.ca> wrote in article
<m16f4.16012$2x3.286763@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>...
>
> Regarding CB , as a Ham operator I enjoy CB when the band is dead it only
> gets silly when the band is open.
>

Sounds just like 10M! :)

Kim W5TIT

1802. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85it6e$30b$1@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 121886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote:
> Ask the patients admitted to local emergency rooms with knife, gun and
> fist wounds what THEY think - and THEN tell us how this is a "media
> invention" Your claim that all this was happening right along, but
> merely unreported comes from where? Do you have a source, or merely
> posting an OPINION as "fact"?
> Would you similarly claim current shootings are ALSO "media
> inventions" - or does that not meet your personal agenda and
> predjudice in this instance?

--> I was talking about road rage, not school shootings or whatever else you think I was discussing. It's nothing new. You heard occasional reports about traffic shootings, people driving aggressively, etc. long before anyone coined the term 'road rage.' It's just that FOX can't make a special about it until there's a buzzword to use as a title. So now stuff goes national that used to only make the local news. People were being admitted to local emergency rooms with knife, fist, and gunshot wounds long before all the fuss over "road rage" started. Yeah, our society is violent, but I'm not convinced it was any less violent in the past. News just travels better now. (And in the past traffic shootings were almost exclusively assumed to be gang-related by the media, as well.)

> Guns, of themselves are NOT "violent"...
> Autos, of themselves are NOT "violent"...

--> Tell me where in my short post I disagreed with the above? There *is* a difference, though. The only purpose of a gun is to kill. Cars also provide transportation. Guns are designed to kill as easily as possible; cars are designed with safety features to attempt to make them less lethal. So a comparison between the two can only go so far.

Incidentally, I agree with you that the root cause of Columbine has *nothing* to do with guns, and that gun laws would have done little to stop it. The root causes of the Columbine shooting have more to do with the way our high schools are run and the anti-education, pro-sports slant of our society.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

1803. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387CEAE1.3957@no.net>
Source record: 121887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Henry Knouse wrote:
>
> "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net> wrote:
>
> >I don't recall anyone claiming there WAS any "magic: taking a license
> >before an FCC inspector - only that they tended to be somewhat
> >humorless... I *also* doubt any credible opinion on comparing the two
> >can be made from merely one person's experience with one of each...
> >
> >SO what's your point?
> >
> >Gary - KJ6Q
>
> People in this newgroup have been claiming that conditional class
> licenses were less credable than a general class in the 1960's.
> The only difference between a conditional class and general class
> was that the general class was taken before an fcc examiner.
> Many of these posts are suggesting that somehow a conditional class
> license was less valid than a general class. Most people like myself
> who lived in that time know better.

It was the same test and code (13wpm) as the General, the only difference is that is was administered by another Ham who held a General class or higher license. The test was mailed to the Ham who would be giving the exam. The examiner verified and signed the form that you passed the 13 wpm code test, then you took the written exam. The examiner mailed it back to the FCC who graded it. Then the long wait began.

1804. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yeahright@dopefish.com
Message-ID: <387cf065.12631308@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmm! Even though memorizing the answer and CW is mostly what this post pertains to is not the problem!! If you memorize the answer then you get the question right, You have learned something regardless of what others say! In other words you might say that "0 being the answer to Question #44" is a memorized answer! However since the exams are not in a peticular order, there is no way to memorize an answer to a question unless you studied the book and sample questions! Which in turn means if someone passed the test then they so deserve to posses a license!

If you wish to protect CW, Quit bashing the No-Coders and teach a few!! That is the way to protect the CW portions of the band and to preserve the tradition! Explain to them why CW is important to you and why you enjoy CW so much! Dont point out its relevance or why its the best thing in communications, cuz everyone knows CW is not the best or fastest type of communication anymore!!! Encourage young and old users alike to pursue CW, but dont push it on those who have no interest!

I have interest in CW and upgrading to a higher class of license but I will not be the first to post a UseNet message Bitching and complaining about the possible loss of CW! If CW was lost, I would still be enthused by the means of communication to continue pursuing it and using it! It is not illegal to operate CW in non alotted CW portions of the bands.

Believe me, There are plenty of CB operators that would like to operate on those frequencies and if you dont encourage CW or stop bitching about CW, you will find more bootleggers on the band and blame the No-Coders for your own mistakes!

No-Coders are hams too, and by bashing a No-Coder you are Isolating yourselves to the point of extinction and will find yourself all alone!

73's de KF6FOZ

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 11:13:24 -0800, Joe K7JOE <K7JOE@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Bill, nice post. This is a healthy debate and while we all may
>disagree, I think it's been an educational discussion to capture
>all the elements, opinions,and viewpoints.
>
>You hit the nail on the head regarding "Bash"ing into the
>hobby. I remember those yellow and black "cliffs notes" looking books

>with the cloaked vampire on the front advertised in the "Ham Radio"
>magazine in the late 1970's. Now this has become mainstream practice
>that our national organization, the ARRL, condones and promotes.
>
>I am skeptical that the VEC will change the question pool -- they are
>a not-for-profit organization on a tight budget and tighter timeline to
>get a pool put together for the new exams by 4/15/2000.
>I do not think there will be material changes to the existing question
>pool, nor do I think we will migrate away from the publication of the
>pool answers.
>
>The one thing about elements 1A, 1B, and 1C is that it is difficult
>to "cheat" (using the word loosely, since "Bash"ing is not technically
>cheating, though memorizing the answers does defeat the purpose of the
>exam to a large extent). The question pool for the CW element is not
>published --- is that why folks complain that it is so difficult to
>pass? There
>are no "short cuts" to learning the code, except perhaps writing the
>individual dots and dashes on the paper and then translating them after
>the exam finishes (and most VE's will disallow that practice,
>fortunately)
>
>After reading all the debate, and stirring the pot to some degree
>myself,
>I am left with self-resolution on this conflict --It is not WHAT is
>being tested, so much as "how" it is being tested. I'd be cool with a
>difficult technical and operating skills exam replacing the CW element.
>With no questions published in advance, where people have to learn not
>only electronics theory and rules and regs, but also GOOD OPERATING
>skill. The thing that makes the hobby so great is that folks value
>their HF-priveleged
>licenses because they take REAL WORK to accomplish learning morse. I
>do
>not see the same "respect for the service" with the no-code tech exam
>that
>I see for the Novice, General, Advanced, and Extra. The no code tech
>is
> more like a drivers license exam -- read a book for a couple hours,
>memorize the answers, take a test, and you are licenced. Very
>different
>from taking 8 to 12 weeks to master the morse code at 5WPM and many more
>hours to increase speed to 20+ WPM. Lots more investment.
>
>Now, with the ability to memorize answers, and the ease of mastering 5
>WPM
>cw, the self-satisfaction, feeling of accomplishment, and time invested
>in
>learning within the hobby is diminished to a large degree. The
>result, I contend, is less respect of the accomplishment, less respect
>for the amateur radio service, less respect for the license.

>
>Death of ham radio? No. Change? yes. For the better or worse?
>time will tell.
>
>Joe K7JOE "stroke 20" .....since 1986
>
>
>
>WILLIAM GALLAGHER wrote:
>>
>> Many people seem to think that 5wpm code will be the death of Amateur Radio.
>> Seems to me that most folks who wanted in without code have done it already,
>> and it hasn't trashed VHF and UHF too terribly. There will be a great rush
>> to upgrade, but many of these folks have HF priveleges already.
>>
>> Has my (*pre-VEC*) Extra been cheapened? No. Has your (*post-VEC*)
>> Extra been cheapened? No. You've earned your priveleges. I've earned mine. I
>> use the pre-and-post VEC to demonstrate that the last time that 'the FCC
>> screwed Ham Radio' the hobby survived...and some may say prospered.
>>
>> I won't talk about the "Incentive Licensing" fiasco. That really did screw
>> Amateur Radio. You went to bed one night, and woke up the next day with 50%
>> of your HF priveleges gone! We haven't lost anything here!
>>
>> The only reason that the code exams exist at all is the (old) notion that we
>> as hams needed to be able to intercommunicate with, and/or prevent
>> interference to commercial stations.
>>
>> Having been a budding commercial (shore station) Morse op in my younger days
>> (read late 1970's), I got to take a wonderful FCC exam. 25wpm mixed groups,
>> 5 minutes, perfect copy. No exceptions. No fill-in-the-blanks. No multiple
>> guess. Just an RE with a tape reader(remember those ?). Then, I got to send.
>> Same 25 wpm, no mistakes...he gave me a page from the Wall Street journal. I
>> don't ever think I've seen so many numbers at one time!
>>
>> Does this make me a 'better' ham? I doubt it!
>>
>> The theory was ALL tubes. Heaven forfend that the lowly transistor ever be
>> used onboard ship! (Realistically...the static charges around a ship at sea
>> are incredible, and many PA's are still tube-type, satellite or not).
>>
>> Does this make me a better ham? Hell no!
>>
>> The purpose of the technical exams is to prevent us from electrocuting
>> ourselves and our neighbors.
>>
>> The purpose of the rules and regs exam is to prevent us from trashing the
>> commercial and military frequencies.
>>
>> Should the exams be 'toughened'? Yes, a little bit. We should be able to

>> demonstrate enough knowledge to prove that we can operate a station without
>> trashing each other. There should be some basics of the more esoteric modes
>> of communications. This will now include CW, for better or worse.
>>
>> Should the questions and answers be published? Emphatically, NO!
>>
>> If the questions are published, the 'multiple choice' answers, and the
>> detractors, should not be. This is not to act as a 'filter' to keep people
>> out...quite the contrary...we need many more people populating 'our' bands.
>> The theory doesn't have to be difficult, but it should PROVE that an
>> individual can safely operate a station...and not PROVE that a person can
>> memorize 'trivia'.
>>
>> How many 'old timers' (yeah...right) 'BASH'ed' their way in?
>>
>> For those of you who don't recognized the reference...Dick Bash published
>> books in the early 80's that had the questions from the FCC exams. He
>> depended on hams who took the tests to 'smuggle' the questions and answers
>> out to him (not physically, but from memory). So don't let anyone tell you
>> that it was never that way in the 'old days'!
>>
>> It is up to us to determine how exams are arranged, through the VEC system.
>>
>> It is up to us to welcome and teach the newcomers. It's a hobby, fer
>> chrissake!
>>
>> Ars longa, vitae brevis! (the Art is long, and life short!)
>>
>> 73 and keep those flames and letters coming!
>>
>> Bill WA3RA (ex KS3Y)
>>
>> BTW...about 50% of my operating is high-speed CW. QRM? What QRM?

1805. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387CFD91.E7F33AA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
> The only purpose of a gun is to kill.

That's not true. The other purpose is having fun visiting the
local firing range and punching holes in targets. Remember,
shooting guns is an olympic sport. Nobody killed there.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1806. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387CFE24.C297F870@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121890
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah Right wrote:
> If you wish to protect CW, Quit bashing the No-Coders and teach a
> few!!

Oh, they're too lazy to get off their butts and do that. They would
rather rely on the feds to do the work for them.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1807. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yeahright@dopefish.com
Message-ID: <387cf781.14451457@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121891

If ham radio was going to sound like cb, It would have a long time ago. A Ham License doesnt protect the Ham bands from Jammers or maliscious interference. Now that the No-Code License has been in affect, I have seen alot of CB operators turn to Ham and as a result to never return to CB. I met hundreds of users on CB and not once met one of them that had jammed Ham bands. When I asked A few CB operators I knew in the bay area about wanting to take there test, Only 1 of them didnt want to take it. The remaining 4 people including myself went to Fremont VEC and passed our test. As a result of alot of CB Operators getting ham tickets, I noticed a dramatic increase on the UHF and VHF bands due to the fact that alot of CB operators know just as much as an Advanced or Extra class operator. A once CB operator and now ham (n6yem) was a prime example of this, He totaly amazed me at some of his theories and projects that I had to learn more! I thank N6YEM for encourageing me to get my Ticket and upgrading as well as many other Hams and CB operators for helping out and answering my questions as well as answering a few questions of my own!!!

Don't Discourage, Encourage !! Dont Force it, Endorse it!! CW!!

73's de KF6FOZ

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 20:14:10 GMT, Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick) wrote:

>On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 06:20:19 -0600, "Cal25skipper"
><Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:
>
>>I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
>>difference.
>>
>>I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement
>>(if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
>>what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
>>them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
>>today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
>>
>>Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the
>>classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
>>more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and
>>buy a citizens band radio.
>>
>>It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
>>and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
>>higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always

>>produce a better idividual.
>>
>>So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
>>because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is
>>next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio
>>services be?
>>
>>Brent Scott
>>N5CLK
>>Austin, TX
>>
> Just read my post on |rec.radio.ameteur.policy| Titled "Riddle
>me This Extras" and you will have your answer.
>
> Rick KC2ESD

1808. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com>
Message-ID: <387CFFED.2E4FAE6@nashville.com>
Source record: 121892

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>
> You "bust" out laughing ;-)

Yep. Swat I said.

1809. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <Rq7f4.402$zf7.29471@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 121893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <Pine.HPX.4.10.10001120845250.2413-100000@merle.acns.nwu.edu>,
nickgeo@merle.acns.nwu.edu says...
> On 11 Jan 2000, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Ken Jobling wrote:
> > >
> > > If your pride is in the piece of paper then it is misplaced. Your pride
> > > should be in the wealth of knowledge that you would have gained as a
> > > Amateur Radio operator and in sharing of this knowledge with less
> > > experienced and less knowledgable Amateurs. For this, you do not
> > > necessarily need a particular piece of paper.
> >
> > Unhuh. For this the FCC ISSUED a "piece of paper" a SPECIAL
> > piece opf paper noting that the named individual had
> > achieved the Amateur EXTRA class. That word meant something
> > in times past. Today, no. In the future, no. So you
> > socialists have won that point. All in the same basket,
> <CUT>
> > Yours is nothing but a leftist point of view. Not all the
> > commies were in the USSR.
>
> Are you callin us CBers commies?! Them's fightin words, mister! Fer yer
> own safety, mister, better steer clear o'them truckers' homes and rest
> areas. No bear gonna be watchin yer beehind....
>
> (Just kidding, I've never used CB. But my uncle used to have the handle
> "professor" because he was a college dropout :-)

That's funny, cause I knew a CB trucker type that called himself
RockBottom, after sitting so long on those air seats

The Professor and Rockbottom....what will happen to our hero in the next
exciting adventure of Felix The Cat

>
> +-------------------->
> | Nick Geovanis
> | IT Computing Svcs
> | Northwestern Univ
> V n-geovanis@nwu.edu
>
>

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

1810. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A399B9EAEC12FD8B.162D1B61FF3003DD.254A05B6625F7767@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I swore I wouldn't get involved with this post when I first saw it, because I am not a gun or a non-gun person, either way, and I also don't see where ANY of the gun issue stuff is related to amateur radio. In fact, it is not. But I will take long enough to say:

When I lived in NE New York, we often had occasion to go to Vermont. Vermont, at the time (and still may be) was a Right-To-Carry state. Most of rural Vermont is truly deep wood communities with its share of one-general-store-and-a-gas-pump towns. I can't count how many times, as a teen ager running around "the hills," we would invariably stop at one of these places, walk in and see a whole range of armed men and women in these places. Guns in holsters on hips, rifles slung over the shoulder with straps, etc., etc. Even the first time I ever saw this I was never taken one way or the other with it.

Looking back now, I believe the reason I was never reactionary to it was because I probably figured it was THE safest place to be! One would have had to have been a fool to a) try to rob the place or anyone else; or, b) get crazy with a rifle or gun because there was lots of others there ready and capable of responding.

My point is that to use the argument that "guns kill," etc., why would there be this situation in Vermont? Everyone in those places was always just as friendly as could be and there was no conscious "I have a gun" attitudes. Then, to use the argument that if you lose your guns, our government will make peasants of us...well, anyone need only really think about the shape of life today in the United States. If you think for one minute the US Govt. needs guns to "take over us" you are living in a dream world...it's already happened.

I remember once when a Vietnam Vet, back in the country only a couple of months, mentioned that he would love to go back. I was shocked--and even more awoke when he said to my question of why, that at least "over there he knew who the enemy was."

Just my thoughts....

Kim

1811. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <387D0966.C0FCC745@icss.net>
Source record: 121895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

George T. Baker wrote:

> Similar experience here, JJ, when at the age of 16 I braved the Dallas
> FCC office for my Class B exam. Only, I got sent out in the hall before I
> could ever get as far as the exam. I was shaking so badly that I could
> not even fill out the 610!
>
> Finally got over the shakes - barely - and got on with the code test and
> the written. I can sure echo what you said about the FCC staff there
> being very patient and understanding.

But we have been told that the FCC "ordeal" is the only way to become a real ham. Now someone comes on here and tells the truth of it.

> Remember, that was in 1946 just
> after WWII and the bands had come back, and there just weren't many kids
> coming in for exams in those days. Mostly vets who had been radio ops in
> the service.

And again, we have been told that there weren't a bunch of military trained ditty boppers, but that they were civilians and learned the CW for the love of it.

> 72/73, George
> Fairview, TX 30 mi NE Dallas in Collin county
> Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 54th year and it just keeps getting better!
> R/C since 1964 - AMA 98452 RVing since 1972

George, thanks for setting the record straight. And I agree about it getting better.

73, Brian/N0iMD

1812. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <387CDF47.79BB98F6@netdoor.com>
Source record: 121896
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not true grasshopper.

Brian Kelly wrote:

> On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 08:45:08 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
> >
> >A limited number of callsigns like yours will be available to 5wpm
> >extras under the vanity callsign program. That may not bother you,
> >but it bothers a lot of the radical PCTA folk.
> >
> . . . I'm not a groupie . . I heard there was an elderly Tech in
> Maryland who passed away not too long ago who somehow got a w3??
> original callsign 'way 'way back before vainity days.
> >--
> cbk

1813. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <v98f4.1440$Qp3.35080@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 121897
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dana Myers K6JQ wrote in message <387CE4A9.5F0344E9@qnet.com>...
>JJ wrote:
>
>> Although there will be no more Advanced class licenses issued after
>> April 15, those who hold Advanced class licenses will continue to do so
>> indefinitely if I understand the R&O correctly. I would assume that if
>> you do a callsign search it will continue to show an Advanced class as
>> just that, which would tell you that they passed the 13 WPM.
>
>Sure, that's correct. I believe the topic was how to tell the
>difference between a legacy Extra (with 20WPM credit) and new
>Extras (with 5WPM credit).
>
>Dana K6JQ/OF
>dana@source.net

Simple, the new Extras will have a lot less ailments to talk about.

1814. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: madnomad@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <387d0eb9.2372364@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would love to hear a CB'er who really knew how to use the 10-codes.
The code 10-20 means "What's your location?" Can't tell how many
times I've heard "What's your twenty?" It's like saying "What's your
what's your location?"

Mad Nomad

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:14:08 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
KB7ADL) wrote:

>Brush up on your 10-codes Boys.
>
>The CB-RL, Citizens Band-Relay League in Newington, CT, is
>going to update their Operating Manual with a section on 10-codes
>and CB Lingo so old Hams can understand what the new hams
>are talking about.

1815. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@mail.firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <387D0C68.A2B@mail.firstinter.net>
Source record: 121899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
> >
> > On Mon, 10 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:
> > > Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
> > > >
> > > > If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
> > > > then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
> > > > hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
> > > > worked, say, in military or commercial communications.
> > >
> > > Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
> > > now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
> > > and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
> > > work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
> > > longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.
> >
> > So what? Are you interested in collecting licenses, or are you interested
> > in radio? If you're interested in radio, then your license is just as
> > valuable as your radio skills, no more and no less. If you're interested
> > in licenses, then, I dunno, take-up fishing. You can get a license from
> > every state!
>
> Then why to people go to college to get a degree? That "piece of paper",
> like a ham license, is a symbol of what you've endured in order to EARN
> the privilege of being what you are.
>
> I know sveral "computer whiz" guys, that are 100% self taught. They
> attack thier hobby, with intense enthusiasm, and are probably as smart,
> if not smarter, than "book taught" college guys. But you know what? when
> it comes to finding a high level computer job, they have it tough
> because they lack the PROOF (the piece of paper) that says that they
> really know what they are doing.
>
> >
> > > Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
> > > resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
> > > testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
> > > meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or engineers.
> > > Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
> > > communications fields.
```

> >
> > Here's my angle: I am looking at the person, not the paper. I guess that
> > I'm too old-fashioned, eh?
>
> You cannot ascertain, with any certainty, the level of qualification a
> person has, through a simple personal interview. Remember, you might
> LIKE the person, but the fact that he shot a 65 on the last 18 holes,
> drives the same kind of car, and has a hot looking girlfriend, mean
> nothing as far as far as job qualification goes. Any documentation,
> licenses, degrees, etc, that someone has is a testament, to their skill
> level. A ham radio license used to be highly regarded in that vein.
> Today, that is not as true.
>
> >
> > > And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
> > > directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it.
> >
> > Congratulations! You have identified the problem: The man is obsessing on
> > "getting it". Getting what? A piece of PAPER. Forget the piece of paper.
> > What matters is the knowledge, the experience, the fun. Paper is made from
> > dead wood.
> >
>
> The "piece of paper" is only a symbol. It's what it stands for that's
> important. A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully, a
> person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the
> achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a
> milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish
> the value of someone's goals?
>
> Dave

Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
This is *Amateur* radio, not commercial/professional/broadcast/PCS
whatever.

The license is NOT a graduation certificate, but rather an entrance
permit to the hobby/service. What one chooses to learn after that is up
to them.

Rick T.
W7RT

1816. Re: S-100 Processor board or modem board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: 106255.1565@compuserve.com
Message-ID: <387d1143.17456440@news.compuserve.com>
Source record: 121900
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Good gosh - this is the first reference I've seen to S100 in 15 years!
Is there really S100 kit still in use? I gave all mine away when I
got my first IBM pc (I did keep mu tape drive to use on the pc).
Richard
"Alan" <ChipsnDips@snet.net> wrote:

>I'm looking for an S-100 processor board or an S-100 modem board (both). If
>you have one or both and interested in selling please contact me directly at

1817. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <387D17C3.8FFB7705@preferred.com>
Source record: 121901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Florida, one of the first to enact right-to-carry, is mostly rural??
With Miami, Orlando, Tamba Bay, etc...
And this is where the first evidence of criminals staying away from
might-be armed citizens proved itself.
Remember sveral years ago it was alli n the press when European tourists
where getting robbed and killed after leaving the Floridsa airports and
the press and anti-gun were screaming. Then they discovered that the
reason was after Fla. passed the right-to-carry law that that
slender,weak looking young AMERICAN CITIZEN women might have a 9mm in
her purse. But, not a out-of-country tourist, nope they were unarmed.
So, stake out the rental car agencies, follow one, jump them when they
stop at a light with your gun. No worry about getting shot by them. Do
as you please. When the main stream press discovered this was the
reason, they dropped the coverage because it proved that criminals
AVOIDED any confrontation that they didn't have all of the odds in their
favor.
"David M. Brodbeck" wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors JM <jdm@iland.net> wrote:
> > Numerous studies show that states with less gun control have less
> > crime. 9000 killed out of 250 million, figure out the percentages
> > there. How many crimes have been prevented by gun owners? Lot's, you
> > just never hear about it.
>
> --> The problem with these statistics is that the states with less gun
> control also tend to be more rural. Rural areas have lower crime to
> begin with.
>
> --
> David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
> finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.
>
> "[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
> first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
> every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1818. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <387D1A85.7736091E@preferred.com>
Source record: 121902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Remember the time period too. The US goverment did not really maintain a large standing army, mainly a Navy. They relied on the militias that the states raised in time of war. This carried through till after the Civil War. You know the 4th Mass. the 3rd Maine, etc... That were under the command of the central goverment. Plus, at the time of the Constitution framing, most citizen soldiers supplied their own firearms. So the firepower was kind of equal.
We must also remember when arguing then and now, that then our country was based on a agrairian(sp?) society. None could forsee the industrial revolution and the tremendous immigration of the late 19th and early 20th centuries.

"David M. Brodbeck" wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote:
> > *I* sure wouldn't want to see Bosnia or Chechynia repeated here on US
> > soil, at least NOT while *I* am disarmed!
>
> --> And your 30-06 will protect you from artillery attacks how, exactly?
> No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have to
> admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
> it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
> a serious government attack. (As far as Chechynia, I wasn't aware any
> of our states were seriously considering secession. A few counties in
> Texas, yeah, but I don't think anyone's taking them seriously.)
>
> --
> David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
> finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.
>
> "[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
> first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
> every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1819. help ft726 digital display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prestige Properties <prestige@smartlink.net>
Message-ID: <387D19E2.DFA80C36@smartlink.net>
Source record: 121903

Thanks for reading. I own a Yaesu ft726 and the display is failing.
Looking for replacement or ideas. Factory doesn't stock the part any
more.
73
Brad

1820. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparksw4eas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85j6a9$g95$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gheeezzz... In over 40 years as a boradcast engineer, I used to be able to hire a ham with a licience/first phone and know what I was hiring for the station. Now..... a pig in a poke :-) Sparks W4EAS

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote in message <387D0C68.A2B@mail.firstinter.net>...
>Dave Hall wrote:
>>
>> Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
>> >
>> > On Mon, 10 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:
>> > > Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
>> > > > then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
>> > > > hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
>> > > > worked, say, in military or commercial communications.
>> > > >
>> > > Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
>> > > now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
>> > > and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
>> > > work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
>> > > longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.
>> >
>> > So what? Are you interested in collecting licenses, or are you interested
>> > in radio? If you're interested in radio, then your license is just as
>> > valuable as your radio skills, no more and no less. If you're interested
>> > in licenses, then, I dunno, take-up fishing. You can get a license from
>> > every state!
>>
>> Then why to people go to college to get a degree? That "piece of paper",
>> like a ham license, is a symbol of what you've endured in order to EARN
>> the privilege of being what you are.
>>
>> I know sveral "computer whiz" guys, that are 100% self taught. They
>> attack thier hobby, with intense enthusiasm, and are probably as smart,
>> if not smarter, than "book taught" college guys. But you know what? when

>> it comes to finding a high level computer job, they have it tough
>> because they lack the PROOF (the piece of paper) that says that they
>> really know what they are doing.
>>
>> >
>> > > Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
>> > > resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
>> > > testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
>> > > meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or
engineers.
>> > > Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
>> > > communications fields.
>> >
>> > Here's my angle: I am looking at the person, not the paper. I guess
that
>> > I'm too old-fashioned, eh?
>>
>> You cannot ascertain, with any certainty, the level of qualification a
>> person has, through a simple personal interview. Remember, you might
>> LIKE the person, but the fact that he shot a 65 on the last 18 holes,
>> drives the same kind of car, and has a hot looking girlfriend, mean
>> nothing as far as far as job qualification goes. Any documentation,
>> licenses, degrees, etc, that someone has is a testament, to their skill
>> level. A ham radio license used to be highly regarded in that vein.
>> Today, that is not as true.
>>
>> >
>> > > And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
>> > > directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it.
>> >
>> > Congratulations! You have identified the problem: The man is obsessing
on
>> > "getting it". Getting what? A piece of PAPER. Forget the piece of
paper.
>> > What matters is the knowledge, the experience, the fun. Paper is made
from
>> > dead wood.
>> >
>>
>> The "piece of paper" is only a symbol. It's what it stands for that's
>> important. A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully, a
>> person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the
>> achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a
>> milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish
>> the value of someone's goals?
>>
>> Dave
>
>Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
>This is *Amateur* radio, not commercial/professional/broadcast/PCS

>whatever.
>
>The license is NOT a graduation certificate, but rather an entrance
>permit to the hobby/service. What one chooses to learn after that is up
>to them.
>
>Rick T.
>W7RT

1821. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <E6zz0HAB+Pf4EwSx@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <387C9273.8853A689@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes
>Andy Cowley wrote:
>> Bollo*cks. NOT a fact. Only even statistically so in a gun
>> culture like the USA, never shown up in any civilised nation.
>
>England has a gun sub-culture. They are called criminals. One
>was interviewed on a recent TV special about security in England.
>He said, in England, any criminal who wants a gun can get a gun.
>He showed his handgun to the cameraman and said he loved England's
>gun laws.

And if you believe that, you are sadly lacking in nous...

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

1822. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <N69f4.136$c7.12567@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Nelson wrote
>The only reason such words are "indecent" is because we are taught that
>they are indecent as children.

Words are more than mere words. Words can get you killed. Words can get
you hired. Society decides about you by the words you use. Children are,
and should be taught societies rules, including how and when to use the
correct words, if they are to have a chance at being successful in life...

>It is the violence,

Most violence is preceded by words. Much violence can be prevented by
words...

1823. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <R69f4.140$c7.12567@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5--- Kim Walker wrote
>I've got a great one [call sign] for you: N0DIK.

Which simply confirms your vulgar nature...

1824. Re: S-100 Processor board or modem board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Ashmore <gashmore@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387D205F.EBB49A62@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121908
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Same here. I gave away my Northstar Horizon almost 20 years ago. Only kept one board stuffed with a gigantic 64K of RAM as a curio.<g>

"Richard C. Ferryman" wrote:

> Good gosh - this is the first reference I've seen to S100 in 15 years!
> Is there really S100 kit still in use? I gave all mine away when I
> got my first IBM pc (I did keep mu tape drive to use on the pc).
> Richard
> "Alan" <ChipsnDips@snet.net> wrote:
>
> >I'm looking for an S-100 processor board or an S-100 modem board (both). If
> >you have one or both and interested in selling please contact me directly at

--
Glenn Ashmore

I'm building a 45' cutter in strip/composite. Watch my progress (or lack there of) at: http://www.mindspring.com/~gashmore

1825. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <15d84bec.00f976fe@usw-ex0102-012.remarq.com>
Source record: 121909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> The code 10-20 means "What's your location?" Can't tell how many
> times I've heard "What's your twenty?" It's like saying "What's
> your what's your location?"

Ever ordered Shrimp Scampi or said "Shrimp Scampi"?
Then you've said shrimp shrimp. "scampi" is "shrimp"
in Italian.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1826. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe K7JOE <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <387D2312.A3B0A246@yahoo.com>
Source record: 121910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And like the "new breed" of hams on our local repeaters and 10M SSB
who say "seventy-threes" which means "best regardses" or "seventy
thirds" which means 23 1/3 (last time I poked it into the calculator,
anyway)

things that make you go hmmmm.......
Joe

Mad Nomad wrote:
>
> I would love to hear a CB'er who really knew how to use the 10-codes.
> The code 10-20 means "What's your location?" Can't tell how many
> times I've heard "What's your twenty?" It's like saying "What's your
> what's your location?"
>
> Mad Nomad
>
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:14:08 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> >Brush up on your 10-codes Boys.
> >
> >The CB-RL, Citizens Band-Relay League in Newington, CT, is
> >going to update their Operating Manual with a section on 10-codes
> >and CB Lingo so old Hams can understand what the new hams
> >are talking about.

1827. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <387D24D3.B5D1B127@preferred.com>
Source record: 121911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"David M. Brodbeck" wrote:

The purpose of a baseball bat is to hit a ball, but it is now the one of
the prime weapons used by drug pushers, loan sharks and gangs for
enforcement next to the gun.
What now, permits and waiting periods for Louiville Sluggers???
As long as people wish to do harm to others, it will happen. I know the
argument is the gun makes it easier, and it does. But, I plan to hang on
to mine as long as I feel I need to because the police damn sure can't
protect me or my family. And the law is a not a deterrent. Hell, a good
lawer telling of his bad childhood and shrinks saying its not his fault,
and he out. A guy killed in cold blood, for the fun of it (his own
words), an 18 year female clerk in a robbery, he admitted it and was
sentenced to death. He stopped all appeals. That was over fifteen years
ago and he is still alive thanks to the American Civil Liberities Union
filing on his behalf.
Guns are only for killing? To me going out to the range and shooting a
1/2" group at 200 yards is the same as a hole-in-one to a golfer.
Anti-education pro-sports a reason for Columbine, I personally doubt it.
How about two spoiled brats who our education system taught your
personal failures are not your's, but somebody elses fault. If I
remember correctly they asked who believed in God, and when a girl said
"I do" they shot her. Can't blame a "pro-Christian" education system for
that, we took God out of the schools long before school shootings and
the such. Hmmm, I wonder????
>
> --> I was talking about road rage, not school shootings or whatever else you
> think I was discussing. It's nothing new. You heard occasional reports
> about traffic shootings, people driving aggressively, etc. long before
> anyone coined the term 'road rage.' It's just that FOX can't make a
> special about it until there's a buzzword to use as a title. So now stuff
> goes national that used to only make the local news. People were being
> admitted to local emergency rooms with knife, fist, and gunshot wounds
> long before all the fuss over "road rage" started. Yeah, our society is
> violent, but I'm not convinced it was any less violent in the past. News
> just travels better now. (And in the past traffic shootings were almost
> exclusively assumed to be gang-related by the media, as well.)
>
> > Guns, of themselves are NOT "violent"...
> > Autos, of themselves are NOT "violent"...
>
> --> Tell me where in my short post I disagreed with the above? There *is*
> a difference, though. The only purpose of a gun is to kill. Cars also

> provide transportation. Guns are designed to kill as easily as possible;
> cars are designed with safety features to attempt to make them less lethal.
> So a comparison between the two can only go so far.
>
> Incidentally, I agree with you that the root cause of Columbine has *nothing*
> to do with guns, and that gun laws would have done little to stop it. The
> root causes of the Columbine shooting have more to do with the way our
> high schools are run and the anti-education, pro-sports slant of our
> society.
>
> ---
> David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
> finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.
>
> "[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
> first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
> every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1828. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe K7JOE <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <387D24DF.CF6E9612@yahoo.com>
Source record: 121912
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bravo, Cecil. You speak the truth. As an extra class 20 WPM ham who
enjoys CW, I decided to do my share elmering / being a VE. So,
I teach a cw practice session via repeater twice a week for 30 minutes
per session. It's amazing how many no-coders expressed interest in
"finally learning the code" but when they found out you cant "cheat"
and have to really learn CW (not just memorize published
test pool answers) they gave up and bailed out.Those few who stuck with
it upgraded to novice / tech plus....even had one
go to general.....all for an hour a week for ten weeks. Amazing.
Joe K7JOE "stroke 20"
Portland Oregon

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Yeah Right wrote:
> > If you wish to protect CW, Quit bashing the No-Coders and teach a
> > few!!
>
> Oh, they're too lazy to get off their butts and do that. They would
> rather rely on the feds to do the work for them.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1829. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Bertini <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <85j867$e18$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:slrn87p24d.gpq.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 03:33:59 GMT, Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
> >Gary Coffman Wrote:
> >>I don't understand all the politics of this acquisition. It does seem
> >>odd that the ARRL made a move that will now require them to do
> >>fullfillment for non-members. It does *look* like they're favoring
> >>the publishing business over the notion of being a membership
> >>organization.
> >
> >It's an interesting deal. For one thing, it appears a non-profit entity
has
> >acquired a small for-profit entity for the sole purpose of eliminating
> >competition and monopolizing a particular niche in the ham radio
publishing
> >market. In other words, the League clearly intended to buy QEX's only
> >competition for the purpose of killing it to ensure QEX's financial
> >survival. I'm not sure how that type of perdition sits with the
> >not-for-profit status the League enjoys.
>
> Your characterization of the League's motivations is fictitious. It's
> true that by buying CommQuart, the League hopes expand its base of
> subscribers for QEX, potentially stopping the losses QEX operates under
> (and has for as long as it has been in existance). But it was not the
> League's intention to kill CommQuart -- Dick Ross had already declared
> it dead.
>
> >Second, I'm a bit troubled by Doug Smith's dual role as Lester Ernshaw's
> >Chief Engineer at Kachina--and as Editor of QEX. The potential for
conflict
> >of interest here is very real--and I have no confidence Mr. Smith can be
> >expected to deal with that issue objectively.
>
> Can you provide examples of how his conflicting interests have affected
> the content of QEX?
>
> >Third, I'm troubled that the "tech" side of ham radio has now lost its
only
> >forum for authors who's techincal philosophies may not jibe with the
views
> >of the League's editorial staff (Walt Maxewell to name one).
>
> Walt's views have been published in a long QEX article under Doug

> Smith's editorship. I believe it was in 1997; may have been 1998.
>
> All things being equal, I'd like to see a multiplicity of ham technical
> publications, too. Unfortunately, all things are not equal. It's quite
> likely that if ARRL doesn't publish a ham technical magazine, nobody
> will. The market is just too small.
>
> >As a memeber of the League, I'm also curious how much money this
acquisition
> >cost the dues-paying rank-in-file in a time of tight money--and at a time
> >when supplemental requests for additional "donations" to save ham radio
have
> >been arriving on a regular basis. Will the cost of acquisition ever be
made
> >public?
>
> Unfortunately, I don't know the answer to either of those. Your
> director should.
>
> >And, I'm frankly wondering who I'm going to write for, now that the
> >Quarterly is gone.
>
> Is there some reason not to write for QEX?
>
> Jon
> --
> Jon Bloom, KE3Z
> jbloom@arrl.org
> Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1830. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Bertini <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <85j8bm$f55$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Are you saying the former columnists are now officially invited to continue
their
columns in QEX?

Peter K1ZJH

Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:slrn87p24d.gpq.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com...
>
> >And, I'm frankly wondering who I'm going to write for, now that the
> >Quarterly is gone.
>
> Is there some reason not to write for QEX?
>
> Jon
> --
> Jon Bloom, KE3Z
> jbloom@arrl.org
> Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1831. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387D2F88.626F840B@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Rote memorization is not on the same level as learning a fundamental concept thoroughly. Yes, there is some retention by rote, even enough to be useful (subjects like band and power limits come to mind), but knowing the answer to a question that asks which HF band to use when the MUF is a given frequency is not nearly as useful as learning the fundamentals of HF propagation as concepts, and as importantly learning and understanding how the various factors (solar activity, MUF, critical angle, antenna polarization and radiation angle, scatter modes, etc.) affect one another and combine to produce a certain type and quality of propagation.

I think the FCC would be doing operators a *favor* by closing the question pools, since people would have to study concepts and *understand* the theory well to pass the tests. The same folks would be happier later, ticket in hand, when the time came to actually set up and operate a station, and the learning curve of new hams would not be as steep as it is (listen to many of the questions asked on repeaters about basics, that in fact were on the exam the poser just recently took and passed, and you see this in action).

I have a pretty sponge like memory and remember the *wording* of many questions from the ARS exams [and others] I have taken, but I am very glad that I approached preparing for ham exams by using the ARRL guides, which I have hung onto because they are actually useful reference, because they treat their subject matter in thorough detail, and as a whole, instead of simply presenting the test questions with the correct answer highlighted and with only minimal explanation.

That is the difference between a textbook and a test guide. I have learned well the basics of propagation, antennas, safety, proper and effective operation, and AC/DC circuits from the ARRL guides (and books like them, such as George Grammer's "Understanding Amateur Radio"). Such reading prepared me first for the tests, then to set up and operate a station, and finally laid the foundation for further learning, understanding, and development in my hobby.

The Q&A style books certainly don't do the latter. If you use them you will wind up doubling your effort/reading later, since they do a poor job of really teaching principles. Even if the Q-pools don't get closed, whenever I can I urge that people avoid the Q&A type guides because you don't learn much from them that you can form generalizations from and then apply in particular cases.

We spend a lot of time debating license exams in terms of fairness, relevance, and their function as a filter. We ought to look at them, and change them as needed, more from the point of view on how well or poorly they prepare people to actually operate a ham station day-to-day, after the license has been granted.

Will
KD7BFX

Yeah Right wrote:
>
> Hmm! Even though memorizing the answer and CW is mostly what this post
> pertains to is not the problem!! If you memorize the answer then you
> get the question right, You have learned something regardless of what
> others say!

1832. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387D322A.58201AB2@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Excuse me, but do you suggest that passing a 5 WPM code test is *not*
easier than passing a 13/20 WPM test? It certainly sounds like it, and
that is just a dumb thing to say.

Will
KD7BFX

Yeah Right wrote:
>
> The restructuring doesnt make it easier for people to become hams!!!
> You still have to pass your no-code exam and then pass 5wpm to
> upgrade!! Whats the big deal??? e

1833. Radios suitable for high-rise bldg.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Herb <fredherb@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OsV#8kWX$GA.111@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 121917

Can anyone recommend suitable handheld radios that will work for sending messages between persons on different floors in a concrete and steel high-rise condo. The building is in a downtown area where there is probably a lot of RF interference. What frequencies or bands would work best?

Any suggests will be appreciated.

Thanks, Fred

1834. W8MMQ.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq@email.com>
Message-ID: <85jbog$t7a$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121918

Check out http://www.w8mmq.net/

1835. Power Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Mirabella <kjm@flo-bin.com>
Message-ID: <Traf4.148$ze4.21230@typhoon-la.pbi.net>
Source record: 121919

Subject: OEM "T" power connectors and Anderson Powerpoles

I have started a new web site to sell the hard to find and "elusive"
Anderson Powerpole connectors that have become (or are becoming) the most
popular power connector for interchangeability in the emergency community
along with Yaesu, Kenwood and Icom mobile OEM "T" power connectors.

www.powerwerx.com was started with hearing the concerns of hams across
the country in newsgroups of "where to purchase these connectors" and other
"hard to find" products at reasonable prices.

PowerWerx.com encourages groups to pool their purchases to obtain a very low
price on power connectors.

I apologize for the commercial promotion, but I feel that an on-line secure
source for these products has not been available for quite some time.

We accept MasterCard/Visa.

The philosophy of "one ham helping another" is now extended from my web site
to you.

Ken, KM6YH
www.powerwerx.com

1836. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <mgbf4.256$oG5.6256@nnrp3.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 121920

Thank you all for your responses.

Firstly, I would like to say that I wasn't just trying to say that CW was the only thing that makes a difference. My point was that having to work for something makes it more valuable to you, and that education is better than no education.

Secondly, I think that most of us will admit (at least those of us who were licensed in the 70's) that CB was a stepping stone, a gateway if you will, to amateur radio. I got my ticket at the age of 10 and I can assure you that I had several CBs.

Finally, it is not about direction finding, although that is a good point, It goes back to this:

"...it still takes
more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and buy a citizens band radio.

It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always produce a better individual".

We cannot expect amateur radio to stay static, but we can demand a high standard.

Brent Scott
N5CLK
Austin, TX

Cal25skipper wrote in message ...
>I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
>difference.
>
>I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
requirement
>(if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
>what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
>them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
>today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
>
>Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the
>classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
>more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and
>buy a citizens band radio.
>

>It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
>and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
>higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
>produce a better idividual.
>
>So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
>because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory
is
>next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
radio
>services be?
>
>Brent Scott
>N5CLK
>Austin, TX
>
>
>

1837. NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s7qhch8boj8100@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

NCI today filed comments FULLY supporting the ARRL's recent
Petition for Reconsideration of the FCC WTB's denial of a Petiton
for Rulemaking filed in 1996 seeking clarification and expansion of
antenna regulation preemption.

For details, see the articles at:

http://www.nocode.org

(click on the "Articles" button in the upper left of the main page)

- - --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- - ----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- - ----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOH1FSZe+N6+q84HiEQK5dQCgk0+6CwRC+zJna5iOaOh8zQT2XPEAni9V
gM418awmi3RP0TAD3c0M0MNg
=Vfs1
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1838. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Bertini <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <85jgof$p99$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh. You could have fooled me. You are in charge of "generalities".
I stand corrected.

>
> I have no idea how you got that from what I wrote! In any case, I'm not
> the QEX editor. You'll have to ask him.
> >
> >
> >Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> >news:slrn87p24d.gpq.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com...
> >>

1839. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <IUV9ODkh=7GaArqGb9dwdJgUIN6L@4ax.com>
Source record: 121923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 14:06:34 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 04:29:04 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 02:45:28 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>>>
>>>One of the mantras I wore people out with when I was QEX editor was:
>>>"QEX is not Vogue -- nobody buys it for fancy graphics. KISS."
>>
>>We appreciate that. Now sell that idea to QST.
>
>I've tried -- they aren't buying.

Too bad, the new QST look is UGLY. More importantly it is harder
to read.

>>Uh, let me get this straight, you lose money on every issue, so to
>>make money, you print more issues? I seem to have heard this
>>gag somewhere before. Yes sir, we sell below cost. How do we
>>make money? Volume, volume, volume!
>
>You don't think a larger subscriber list is good for a magazine's
>economic health? Well, it is. A larger subscriber list allows you to
>apply quantity of scale at the cost end (lower per-copy printing cost)
>and gain greater advertising revenue at the income end.

How many of those subscribers are unique? I know I subscribed
to both magazines. You folks aren't going to send me two copies
now are you? I suspect your circulation inflation will be minor
because I suspect most of the subscribers were like me, desperate
for any magazine with a hint of technical content.

>>Seriously, if this deal got you the rights to publish all the Ham Radio
>>back issues on CD, it's worth it.
>
>Nope, we bought CommQuart, not Ham Radio.

I thought CommQuart *was* what was left of Ham Radio
after CQ got through butchering it. If the back issue library
wasn't part of the deal, that's a shame. It is a treasure trove
that still remains a valuable resource today.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1840. Power Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Mirabella <kjm@flo-bin.com>
Message-ID: <VSbf4.157$ze4.25535@typhoon-la.pbi.net>
Source record: 121924

Subject: OEM "T" power connectors and Anderson Powerpoles

I have started a new web site to sell the hard to find and "elusive"
Anderson Powerpole connectors that have become (or are becoming) the most
popular power connector for interchangeability in the emergency community
along with Yaesu, Kenwood and Icom mobile OEM "T" power connectors.

www.powerwerx.com was started with hearing the concerns of hams across
the country in newsgroups of "where to purchase these connectors" and other
"hard to find" products at reasonable prices.

PowerWerx.com encourages groups to pool their purchases to obtain a very low
price on power connectors.

I apologize for the commercial promotion, but I feel that an on-line secure
source for these products has not been available for quite some time.

We accept MasterCard/Visa.

The philosophy of "one ham helping another" is now extended from my web site
to you.

Ken, KM6YH
www.powerwerx.com

1841. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <cmhq7s4eqd579s4q1j45qug9935bss0psk@4ax.com>
Source record: 121925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000 06:29:01 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>kb99rqz@arrl.net wrote:
>>
>> On Sun, 09 Jan 2000 21:01:17 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Mark Morgan wrote:
>> >>
>
>> I really don't think I am that specail, but you want to make
>> things a popuality contest with the poling limited to those that you
>> hope already agree with you. Indeed you are unable to understand the
>> concept of a rhetoical position. Propfanity and esp Jamming, are as
>> relavent as CW skill to need of the general public.
>
>Mark, you are still trying to compare something which is legal and a
>skill to something which takes no particular skill and which is illegal.
>That's....stupid.

Perhaps it is stupid, but certainly not as stupid as your
seeming to assert that wether the Mode OOK Morse should be tested for
is and/or should be based on it's popularity, wether than it technical
merit or any other factor
>
>> >
>> >> > At some point, we have to acknowlege that
>> >> >> morse telegraphy is just as old and obsolete as horses and buggies. So
>> >> >> at what point do we finally stop testing for it?
>> >> >
>> >> >A good point would be when its use is neglible. We aren't even close to
>> >> >that point yet.
>> >>
>> >> IYO. in mine and others a much better point is when it ceases
>> >> to serve a function whereby the prupose of the ARS is clearly advanced
>> >> by making all operators of certain prevelges donstrate that they might
>> >> have some skill, which is the current level of testing for Code
>> >
>> >Yes, IMO. That's what I stated--my O. I'll need a translator for the
>> >rest since your written skills are as lacking as your morse skills.
>>
>> No in this case it your reading skills there is one misspelled
>> word demonstrate is The point to end code testing is where it can no

>> longer be proved to be in the interest of the Public, this has nothing
>> to do with wether we (the ARS), continue to use it
>
>I'll need help on this one too. I can't make heads or tails of your
>stuff. It doesn't seem to be an effort to clarify your earlier confused
>ramblings.

Then I can't help you since both statements are esentaily the
same point reworded
>

>>
>> I am not saying that the use of Code should be set aside
>> merely the testing of it. Indeed I do thank you for pointing that
>> Morse is even more usless in the real world of the general public.
>> The fact that we ham do not under normal circumstances operate
>> outside our band is rirelavant to wether Code use is negilble in those
>> areas of sepctrum. One of the pruposes of the ARS as I remind is to
>> privde a pool of operators for others services in emergencies. The
>> lack of need for morse operators outside Ham HF is a factor in saying
>> we do not need to maintain such a pool, but you are smart enough to
>> know that, You are just refusing to deal the issue arn't you?
>
>Do you consider yourself to be a trained operator in any sense of the
>term, Mark? You choose the mode--are you a trained op?

Certainly, but then I was before even becoming a ham, and your
point is? or were you just trying to be insulting, as it appears to
me? You still don't deal with the issue, which is par for the course
with you. Why/how is Morse Code required in order to fufill this
mission of the ARS. Even where you seem to be trying to duck the issue
by insulting me, you seem to adknowledge that this mission can be done
without any code skill

>
>> >
>> >> >Do we dogmatically
>> >> >> continue to test for CW into the 24th century when people are Star
>> >> >> Trekking around the galaxy?
>> >> >
>> >> >I don't think it'll matter a bit to either of us.
>> >>
>> >> There in my case you are wrong, what we leave for the future
>> >> is important to me and many other hams. It is OUR debt to Ham radio, a
>> >> fact you seem blind to.
>> >
>> >Mark, you're a painful geek. Let me know where you'll be ringing the
>> >new year in 2400.
>>
>> Likely in the grave, but your lack of concern for the future
>> of the ARS, shows the your true level of devotion to it and to it's

>> needs.
>
>LIKELY in your grave? I can hear the gossip now--"He's been dead for
>350 years but he just won't accept it."

Indeed it is very high probility that I will long dead by
then, based on my basic optimisism I allow for the small chance I
might still be alive and kicking. But still you overlook the point,
which is you are living in the past, and don't seem to care about the
future wether next or in a century or three

>
>> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> You can give me the toughest theory exam that is relevant and I'll study
>> >> >> for and pass it, because that is what is required TODAY.
>> >> >
>> >> >Me too--and I'll pass a code test to boot.
>> >>
>> >> so what?
>> >
>> >...a really painful geek.
>>
>> Again so what. if you were willing to have a finger cut does
>> that mean in order to gain the same prevelegds I should be to.
>
>Mark, you're the one into S&M. I don't believe there is a valid
>comparison between learning morse code and cutting off a finger.

I dispute that most earnestly. Acording to Many of the
"Procoders" the pain aspects of the Code are the point, and thus many
"Procoders" are in to the S&M aspect of Morse Code. I am simply
putting that sort of thinking exactly where it fits as beyond S&M
which involves consent and calling the abuse that it is
>> >
>> >> >> I am a man of principles. I just couldn't see the relavance of CW.
>> >> >
>> >> >...and because the light never dawned for you personally, CW was, in
>> >> >your eyes, irrelevant.
>> >>
>> >> Indeed here I will conceed that you MAY have the beginings of
>> >> a point, alas THE BIGGEST PROBLEM CW advocates have is that they have
>> >> been unable to transfer this awaresness to anyone not amoug them. Of
>> >> course You still seem blind to the notion that perhaps, just perhaps
>> >> we are right and it is irelevant, and that maybe your adherance to it
>> >> is merely a quais religous one
>> >
>> >You're among us, Mark and we can't transfer much of anything to you.
>> >I assure you that I've never had a "quais religous" thought.
>>
>> The problem is that you don't know one when you have one.

>> Indeed though you have gotten part of the point, you can't comuncate
>> the need for CW to me, or to any of the NoCoders, and yet from time to
>> time we NoCoders manage the revserse to a procoder.
>
>I'm not sure about knowing one when I have one but I know one when I see
>one. I don't believe I can comuncate much of anything to you. Your
>receiver doesn't appear to be on and your transmissions are garbled.
>As to getting "the reverse" from a NoCoder", I shudder to think what
>that might mean.

You don't know as quaisireligous thought when have one or see
it would appear. as towether the receiver is on, well the simple fact
that I can and do relate my responses to your statment is prof of
that. Grabled well if as I firmly believe your reciever has a bad
filter in it, (your unresoning and unreasonable support of cod
etesting) then of course anything passing though is going to be
grarbled.

I do understand what you are saying, I think, I simply think
it is wrong headed and bad for the ARS, You OTH don't seem to know
what I or any other NoCoder is thinking at all. I shudder at thought
of how comon this state affairs seems to be, and how bad that is for
the ARS
>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >> I refused to allocate slots of my precious time, to learning a skill that
>> >> >> was of NO use or value to me. I had a choice. I could either give in,
>> >> >> and waste time learning it,for no reason other than to get over a
>> >> >> "hurdle", or be satisfied with technician plus privileges. For 18 years
>> >> >> I have been satisfied. I knew that this day would come eventually. Now
>> >> >> it's here. All I can say is "it's about time!"
>> >> >
>> >> >Don't give me no jive about your "precious time". If you found time to
>> >> >study the theory, you could have nailed the code. I'd hate to think
>> >> >that I wasted eighteen years of something I wanted in order to wait for
>> >> >a "gimme".
>> >>
>> >> Sir I for one am turely sorry that you were forced to waste 18
>> >> years of your life on anything. I futher assure that were it within my
>> >> power I would undo that for you. That you were treated in unjustly
>> >> was and remains a tragedy for the yourself and the ARS. I and other
>> >> see no reason however to contiue an injustice simply on the basis of
>> >> your suffering. That "logic" would have meant that the US should never
>> >> have freed its black slaves in order to prevent their ancestors lives
>> >> and suffering from being wasted.
>> >
>> >Stay with the thread, Mark. I didn't waste 18 years. The guy I was
>> >responding to wasted 18 years of his "precious time". Nobody was or is
>> >discussing slavery but you.
>>

>> Maybe I blew that one, In which I redirect those remarks as is proper.
>> But you are discusing indenture servitude in the clasic sense
>> something is also ilegal.
>
>A couple of points: 1) No one was discussing slavery except YOU.
>2). The slavery YOU brought up was NOT indentured servitude.

One so what if I brought the idea of slavery. Do I need your
permission to bring up a point.

Two it certainly is indentured servitute, as I see it, you and
the ProCoders seem obcessed with some notion that we all must expend a
certain amount (without nailing it down) of labour as part of
lisensure. since the labour is not related to any need of Licsenure
then you wish a amount of labour spent in order to be tranposted
across this magic devide that somhow exists between VHF and HF, sounds
very much like the same deal that brought many Welsh and Irish across
the devide of the Atlantic to these shores, except that it much be
preformed in advance. sure sounds like indenture servitude to me, of
course you will simply deny the issue
>> >
>> >> In adittion your assertion that having time to learn the
>> >> theory is enough to also learn the code is plain false do you turely
>> >> not know that?
>> >
>> >No, I don't know that. In fact, I know that spare time is, well...spare
>> >time. It can be used for anything....anything. I turely believe that.
>>
>> You are indeed an idoit since you are now contend that you can learn
>> Morse code in zero time, since by this threadf you have and continue
>> to maintanin the assertion that in the same time as it takes to learn
>> theory youi can learn theory and Code. This not plain and simply
>> impossible and you know
>
>Please point to where I stated that it is possible to learn morse in
>zero time, Mark. I agree with your statement that learning morse in the
>same time as the theory is not impossible.

You stated that if you could the theory then in the same time
you could learn code, IMO that is staing that it can be learned in
either zero time or time that is negalible
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN

1842. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <uEh9OBv85TJel1YnVr=fI7BUgsvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 121926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 16:17:53 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>> The only purpose of a gun is to kill.
>
>That's not true. The other purpose is having fun visiting the
>local firing range and punching holes in targets. Remember,
>shooting guns is an olympic sport. Nobody killed there.

That's true Cecil, but this isn't about sporting goods. The primary purpose of a gun is power. (Mao astutely noted that all power flows from the barrel of a gun.) It empowers a person beyond his own physical strength. This allows him to more effectively deter evil, and forcibly resist evil if necessary.

As has been abundantly documented, killing is usually not the result of employing this tool. Most shootings are not fatal, and most uses of a gun don't involve anyone getting shot. Guns have a deterrent value many times that of mere applications of lethal force. The threat of force is often sufficient to end aggression. According to Gary Keck, this happens over 2 million times a year in the US.

The downside is that power is amoral. It can work against us as well as for us. How we choose to exercise it is where morality enters the picture. The gun is just a tool, how we choose to use it determines whether it is a tool for good or evil. Being denied that choice would truly be evil of the worst kind. It would be a premeditated license for the strong to prey on the weak. Simply stated, free men are armed, slaves aren't.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1843. TM241 tone board NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy/Dee/Robin <dc@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <387d4f03_1@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 121927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Need a tone decoder board for Knwd TM-241 radio. I believe it's a TU/8 or
something similar to that? Any help appreciated on proper ID of board and
finding one.
thanks and pse email direct.
Randy

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1844. Re: Need info on old Hy-Gain vertical

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <s7qmkefqoj846@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think the "BTV" series was Newtronics/Hustler...

WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com> wrote in message
news:38726ECD.8B2ED137@nospam.wcom.com...
> I agree with plscolla@erols.com, sound like a 14AVQ, close cousin of the
> 18AVT (W)(WB)(10 to 80 Meters), if not maybe a 14BTV.
>

1845. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <s7qlodj1oj843@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well,

If you have high standards of integrity, you too can be a VE if you have the credentials and take the accreditation test and then you can set up your exam sessions free of all the "bogus" testing you talk about...

Of course, you do have to follow the rules the VEC and FCC have set up for this program, but I don't think that's too much to ask.

But sitting on the side lines kvetching about this when you could take part and insure that the exams you administer are of the highest degree of propriety and integrity is just bulloney.

njvets <njvets@skyweb.net> wrote in message news:mEmd4.1881$%K1.118120@tw12.nn.bcandid.com...
> Yes indeed, its all in the best interest of Ham Radio..sure it is! Look
> guys, it has nothing to do with the CW requirement. I am 110% in favor of
> the CW requirement, but the truth is Ham Radio began its demise when the
FCC
> stopped conducting exams. We all know that the VE system is of
questionable
> integrity. You can read about bogus testing sessions every month in QST
and
> other Ham rags. And those are only the ones that got caught! Just think,
> now any half brain dead idiot can go into a VE session, conducted by his
> buddies, take a 35 question exam, which he already knows the answers to,
and
> come out an Extra! And this is GOOD for Ham Radio! Somebody explain that
to
> me. Or should I say "rationalize" that to me. I may not be the nicest or
> smartest Ham in the world, but I'm sure not stupid either. This is
> definetly The End of Ham Radio. Do you really want to be associated with
> persons holding Extra tickets who do not know the difference between a 9
> volt battery and an oak tree?
> I don't.
> I guess I must be confused, but I always thought if I EARNED something, it
> would have value to me and I would respect and take care of it. How much
> value can you place in an amateur radio license that is easier to get than
a
> parking ticket?
> Good bye Ham Radio, it was nice knowing you.
> K2TL
>
>

1846. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4CAFA9A588925E52.556F512B63701894.041816A1F3B25A1F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121930
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>
> : Either way, I use the bands allocated me to converse to/with others, to
> : learn, and to save myself phone bills, postage...etc. Not to specifically
> : help my, or your community. I did not intend to become a public servant.
> : Did you study for, and get your ticket....did you save, purchase or build
> : your station to help the comunnity? Was that you're initial intent? We
> : have a neighbor lives a few miles away, my daughter talks to her on 11
> : meter. Our neighbor is a toll call.
>

Sorry to have missed this post Perfidious...Yes, I did study for and get my ticket and I save for and purchased my first radio for the sole purpose of community service in the form of Skywarn and storm spotting. I have gone on to providing net control for emergency nets when phone service is disabled, helping with local missing children alerts, a couple of public service events (bikeathons, parades). But, my premier love is for storm spotting and being able to be a part of a potential early warning to a community.

I think you would really enjoy ham radio. I encourage and would welcome you to our ranks. You can keep your chip, too. I did ;-) Oh, I don't talk on CB any more, because my dad has my CB radio. I plan on getting it back into the car, and I am going to use the very same antenna that I use for 10M and use an antenna switch alternately for either radio!

Hope you'll get some interest!

Kim W5TIT

1847. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <hckq7s81qb3u2ikg9mlv0kqpmque4feb6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 121931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I wonder just how long it will take before ProCoder start with
the flaming over NCI being a single issue organzation etc,

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 22:23:57 -0500, "Carl R. Stevenson"
<wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: SHA1
>
>NCI today filed comments FULLY supporting the ARRL's recent
>Petition for Reconsideration of the FCC WTB's denial of a Petiton
>for Rulemaking filed in 1996 seeking clarification and expansion of
>antenna regulation preemption.
>
>For details, see the articles at:
>
>http://www.nocode.org

1848. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <400A6A12164CEA9B.0A45C98C6E3AD5DA.49FA8CFD2F293FA0@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121932

You're point would be.....?

Kim W5TIT

An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net> wrote in article
<20000112003212.15945.qmail@nym.alias.net>...
> Is he or isn't he? His e-mail address is under the Lambda
club.
> Aren't they gay? talasi@lambda.com
>
>

1849. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <yh1f4.721$Oe.7654@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Jon Bloom wrote

>Your characterization of the League's motivations is fictitious. It's true that by buying CommQuart, the League hopes expand its base of subscribers for QEX...It was not the League's intention to kill CommQuart<

Motivation has nothing to do with it, Jon (although perhaps "absorb and digest" would be a more palatable description). Regardless of any spin you might elect to put on it, the fact remains that some person or persons employed by the League has made a determination aquire and to discontinue the Quarterly title (an act commonly known as "killing a title" in the publishing industry). They have also eliminated QEX's primary source of competition and conscripted Quarterly subscribers into the League. Have they done this or have they not??

> Dick Ross had already declared it dead.<

Now that WOULD be a ficticious characterization. I don't know if you were party to the negotiations or not, but I don't think that's quite what happened (and this would not be an appropriate forum to quote Dick or discuss a negotiation such as that).

>Can you provide examples of how his conflicting interests have affected the content of QEX?<

At least you acknowledge that conflict. My comment bears no relationship whatever to the competence or integrity of Doug--I don't even know him. But consider this, Jon. Like Doug, I also design equipment for a major ham manufacturer--and I wouldn't go within 100 miles of editing an industry publication while in that role. Here's why! Suppose a would-be author submits an article for publication with a somewhat novel circuit in it. Let's say it's a lack-luster manuscript and Doug rejects it. Two years later, something vaguely remeniscent of that circuit turns up in a Kachina product and our rejected inventor cries foul. Suddenly, everone's got a problem--and a possible lawsuit. Even if the suit fails (which it probably would), the lawyers win and everybody else loses. Even the mildest appearence of impropriety can constitute a serious liability issue for all concerned.

>It's quite likely that if ARRL doesn't publish a ham technical magazine, nobody will.<

I've already had two qualified buyers in the private sector tell me they might have bought the Quarterly if they'd only known it was for sale (perhaps they were being nice, perhaps they really meant it). Either way, your statement is pure conjecture--and ignores management or sales issues

that may have contributed to the Quarterly's difficulty.

"It was going to die anyway" is not a valid justification for a 501-C-3 tax-exempt entity to step into the private sector, take over a for-profit property, create a publication monopoly, and throw people out of work in the process. At least, I doubt the Connecticut AG's office or the IRS would consider it a legitimate "not-for-profit" activity (regardless of what the League's attorney might have said about the deal).

>Is there some reason not to write for QEX?<

At the moment, that seems like sort of a silly question.

73

Rick K1BQT

1850. Re: 2 Meter SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: pmunsel@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000112105937.28712.00002115@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 121934

I know that SWOT is still operating. I hear them from time to time in Texas when I am in the shack, but have not had any luck in checking in. Might try to look up W5RAS Henry in Bryan, Texas. I think he is active with them still. Also, I seem to remember that SWOT has a web page. Hope this helps.
73,
Paul Munsel N5XMV
Hearne, Tx.

1851. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387ca4af.12885514@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121935
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 08:45:08 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:
>
>A limited number of callsigns like yours will be available to 5wpm
>extras under the vanity callsign program. That may not bother you,
>but it bothers a lot of the radical PCTA folk.
>
. . . I'm not a groupie . . I heard there was an elderly Tech in
Maryland who passed away not too long ago who somehow got a w3??
original callsign 'way 'way back before vainity days.
>--
cbk

1852. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85icv3$9c8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <yh1f4.721$Oe.7654@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>,
"Rick K1BQT" <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:

> They have also eliminated QEX's primary source of
> competition and conscripted Quarterly subscribers into the League.

No, they haven't done either of those things.

1) CommQuart was being pruned by CQ. ARRL had no power to "kill" it,
and my guess is that they didn't even initiate the conversations
which led to the deal.

2) Subscribers to CommQuart are not "conscripted" into the league,
they just become subscribers to QEX.

Sorry your wife lost her job.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1853. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387C9B97.2309@no.net>
Source record: 121937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> > That was my next step, the Conditional which gave the same privileges as
> > the General. Later went to the FCC office and upgraded to Advanced and
> > had to retake the 13 wpm code test as since the Conditional was
> > administered by a General or higher class amateur instead of by an FCC
> > examiner, you did not get credit for the code when upgrading.
>
> You weren't patient enough. Awhile later, Conditionals were
> grandfathered to General with no additional testing required.

If I had waited, my Novice would have expired and it was not renewable.
It was several years later that they grandfathered the Contidionals to
General, by that time I had upgraded to Advanced.

1854. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <387ca04c.5821753@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 12 Jan 2000 02:07:30 GMT, wa2ise@netcom.com (Robert Casey) wrote:

>The FCC didn't change the written exams. Just the code part. The same
>tech whiz will pass, and nitwit will fail the writtens as before. Just
>the code is different.
>
>Now I got my extra CSCEs and will upgrade when April comes around. I
>probably won't operate CW in teh extra subbands, as I'll need 20wpm
>to "talk" there. Unless I learn 20wpm.

Actually, there may be many others like yourself who
"upgraded" as you did and don't know 20wpm
and are now extras. There is NO minimum code speed
required for operating in the xtra subband.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1855. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: FCC Buffoon <FCC_Buffoons@idiots.org>
Message-ID: <Hf2f4.114$c7.10931@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121939
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Of COURSE there was amateur involvement!

The FCC buffoon squad, drooling all over
their food stained shirts which covered their
348 lb. stomachs, was there, weren't they?

1856. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387CA0FE.BF2@no.net>
Source record: 121940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

George T. Baker wrote:
>
> Similar experience here, JJ, when at the age of 16 I braved the Dallas
> FCC office for my Class B exam. Only, I got sent out in the hall before I
> could ever get as far as the exam. I was shaking so badly that I could
> not even fill out the 610!
>
> Finally got over the shakes - barely - and got on with the code test and
> the written. I can sure echo what you said about the FCC staff there
> being very patient and understanding. Remember, that was in 1946 just
> after WWII and the bands had come back, and there just weren't many kids
> coming in for exams in those days. Mostly vets who had been radio ops in
> the service.

I remember my first attempt at a contact the day my Novice license came in the mail. I was newly married and when I came home from work my wife handed me the envelope from the FCC. I opened it up and saw the license and rushed over to the rig which was a Heathkit DX-60 transmitter and Lafayette KT-200 receiver. I only had two crystals, both on the 40 meter Novice band. Remember, we had to be crystal controlled. I tuned up the rig, which was capable of 90 watts input, but carefully only loaded it to 75 watts, which was the input limit for Novice, spotted the receiver on one of the crystal frequencies. Rats, someone already on that frequency, tried the other frequency and it was clear. I nervously took the key in hand switched the receiver to stand by, switched the transmitter to transmit and banged out a slow three by three CQ, you know, CQ three times, call three times, repeat that three times. Switched from transmit to receive, listened a few seconds on my transmit frequency and then began tuning. Since Novices were "rock bound" the person who heard your CQ might not answer on your frequency as his crystals may be on another frequency, so you tuned around to catch someone calling you. Sure enough, out of the background came my call letters followed by theirs. Well, I was so shook up, just turned the rig off and got up. My wife asked me if I made a contact. I said "Naw, there's no one on the band." Hope the guy isn't still setting there waiting for my reply. Still have my Novice logbook and my first QSO was with KN5IMJ in San Angelo, Tx on 7.170 Mc. A lot has changed, some for the better, some not.

1857. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87pc9u.3vv4dbf.jbloom@jbloom.arrl.org>
Source record: 121941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 15:42:22 GMT, Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
>>Jon Bloom wrote
>
>>Your characterization of the League's motivations is fictitious. It's
>true that by buying CommQuart, the League hopes expand its base of
>subscribers for QEX...It was not the League's intention to kill CommQuart<
>
>Motivation has nothing to do with it, Jon

Then why characterize it? But of course, it DOES have something to do
with it. If ARRL's motivation had been to eliminate competition, that
would be one thing. But it wasn't. It simply reacted to the prevailing
circumstances to try to ensure that it could continue to publish a good
ham radio technical magazine and to try to stop the bleeding thereof.

>(although perhaps "absorb and
>digest" would be a more palatable description). Regardless of any spin you
>might elect to put on it, the fact remains that some person or persons
>employed by the League has made a determination aquire and to discontinue

Close: The decision was made by the Board of Directors.

>the Quarterly title (an act commonly known as "killing a title" in the
>publishing industry). They have also eliminated QEX's primary source of
>competition and conscripted Quarterly subscribers into the League. Have
>they done this or have they not??

Yep.

>> Dick Ross had already declared it dead.<
>
>Now that WOULD be a ficticious characterization. I don't know if you were
>party to the negotiations or not, but I don't think that's quite what
>happened (and this would not be an appropriate forum to quote Dick or
>discuss a negotiation such as that).

You're right, it wouldn't be. But he did put it up for sale, and because
it was losing money, right?

>>Can you provide examples of how his conflicting interests have affected the
>content of QEX?<
>
>At least you acknowledge that conflict. My comment bears no relationship
>whatever to the competence or integrity of Doug--I don't even know him. But
>consider this, Jon. Like Doug, I also design equipment for a major ham
>manufacturer--and I wouldn't go within 100 miles of editing an industry

>publication while in that role. Here's why! Suppose a would-be author
>submits an article for publication with a somewhat novel circuit in it.
>Let's say it's a lack-luster manuscript and Doug rejects it. Two years
>later, something vaguely remeniscent of that circuit turns up in a Kachina
>product and our rejected inventor cries foul. Suddenly, everone's got a
>problem--and a possible lawsuit. Even if the suit fails (which it probably
>would), the lawyers win and everybody else loses. Even the mildest
>appearence of impropriety can constitute a serious liability issue for all
>concerned.

I'm no expert in intellectual property law (IANAL), but if the inventor
didn't patent his invention, what grounds are there for legal action?
And if he did, what difference does it make whether it was published or
not? (Now, if his novel circuit later appeared in an article by the
editor he might have a complaint, but that could happen to any editor
who is also an author.)

Anyway, I didn't understand Doug's and the League's potential (in your
view) liability to be the basis of your questioning Doug's role in QEX.
I thought you meant that Doug's industry connection could influence his
editorial treatment of competing products. I see no other grounds for
conflict of interest, and since QEX has little if any coverage of
products per se, I consider the point moot.

>>It's quite likely that if ARRL doesn't publish a ham technical magazine,
>nobody will.<
>
>I've already had two qualified buyers in the private sector tell me they
>might have bought the Quarterly if they'd only known it was for sale
>(perhaps they were being nice, perhaps they really meant it). Either way,
>your statement is pure conjecture--and ignores management or sales issues
>that may have contributed to the Quarterly's difficulty.

Such difficulties, if they existed, never precluded anyone else
(including those qualified buyers you refer to) from publishing a
magazine of that type. Where are they?

>"It was going to die anyway" is not a valid justification for a 501-C-3
>tax-exempt entity to step into the private sector,

It's already in the private sector.

>take over a for-profit
>property, create a publication monopoly, and throw people out of work in the
>process.

I understand the root of your bitterness. I'm sorry Terry's out of work.
But nothing precludes any other publisher from entering the field, so if
ARRL has a monopoly, it's only because nobody can figure out how to turn
a profit in the market -- just as CQ couldn't. Other than the mere fact
of publishing its own magazine, nothing ARRL has done, can do, or would
do keeps anyone else from publishing a ham radio technical magazine.

> At least, I doubt the Connecticut AG's office or the IRS would
>consider it a legitimate "not-for-profit" activity (regardless of what the
>League's attorney might have said about the deal).

Tax law is a complete mystery to me; I leave it to the lawyers. I guess
we'll know if there's a problem if the IRS or the State of Connecticut
take action. But until that happens, I think you're just blowing smoke.

>>Is there some reason not to write for QEX?<
>
>At the moment, that seems like sort of a silly question.

You're right; I assumed you were interested in publishing technical
articles.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager
(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1858. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85icafkdm5@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 121942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> > That was my next step, the Conditional which gave the same privileges as
> > the General. Later went to the FCC office and upgraded to Advanced and
> > had to retake the 13 wpm code test as since the Conditional was
> > administered by a General or higher class amateur instead of by an FCC
> > examiner, you did not get credit for the code when upgrading.
>
> You weren't patient enough. Awhile later, Conditionals were
> grandfathered to General with no additional testing required.

And all those I knew then went on to Extra under the VE
system.

1859. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85ic6rkdm4@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 121943
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?
>
> > Dunno, Jim, will you? My statement means that pre-April 15
> > extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
> > that they are not 5wpm extras. Advanced hams will have
> > no such problem. :-)
>
> I think you would have to present your license to prove that you are an

Yeah. Anyone with enough interest to check QRZ will also
find a date of issue. Pre-4/15/2000. 20wpm. Post, 5wpm
Novxtra. Simple.
> 73, Jim AC6XG

1860. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <%K2f4.784$Oe.10579@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Andy Cowley <andy@btc.uwe.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:387C7B60.2781E494@btc.uwe.ac.uk...
> Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
> >
> > This is not an uncommon tactic/argument used by anti-gunners - the
> > claim that "other factors are at work" when the fact that all
types of
> > crime are statistically reduced where gun laws are less strict...
>
> Bollo*cks. NOT a fact. Only even statistically so in a gun
> culture like the USA, never shown up in any civilised nation.

The FACT that this HAS been proven in both the US *and* Europe makes
responding to the REST of your error filled claims pointless - before
you begin spouting baseless personal OPINIONS as FACT, I suggest you
spend more time over in the Scotland Yard database, and LESS making
childish noises HERE!
>
> >

Gary - KJ6Q

1861. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <%G2f4.781$Oe.10342@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You *DO* live in a dream world, don't you!

Ask the patients admitted to local emergency rooms with knife, gun and fist wounds what THEY think - and THEN tell us how this is a "media invention" Your claim that all this was happening right along, but merely unreported comes from where? Do you have a source, or merely posting an OPINION as "fact"?

Would you similarly claim current shootings are ALSO "media inventions" - or does that not meet your personal agenda and predjudice in this instance?

Guns, of themselves are NOT "violent"...
Autos, of themselves are NOT "violent"...

THeir owner/operators MAY be - WHICH do YOU think will respond best to appropriate attention - the PERSON, or the mechanical device? OR, are YOU one of those suggesting massive controls and bans over 99.9% of society in the guise of "controlling" the .1% who are the real offenders?

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

David M. Brodbeck <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu> wrote in message
news:85i36fhfu1@campus3.mtu.edu...
> In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Gary - KJ6Q
<davison7@spamlessgte.net> wrote:
> > Similarly, "road rage" was unheard of in those earlier years -
have our
> > roads and CARS become more "vicious" in recent years? should we
seek
> > heavier controls/bans on THEM TOO? TOO many "experts" are so hung
up
> > treating the "symptom", that they completely overlook or ignore
the
> > basic ILLNESS - treat and correct THAT, and the SYMPTOMS will take
care
> > of themselves!
>
> --> "Road rage" is a media invention. Nothing that it describes is
new,
> it's just getting reported more now that someone came up with a cute

> buzzword for it.
>
> ---

> David Brodbeck, N8SRE
dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
> finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.
>
> "[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures
after the
> first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a
plywood box
> every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in
1946.

1862. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <gU2f4.790$Oe.10459@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't recall anyone claiming there WAS any "magic: taking a license
before an FCC inspector - only that they tended to be somewhat
humorless... I *also* doubt any credible opinion on comparing the two
can be made from merely one person's experience with one of each...

SO what's your point?

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Henry Knouse <henry@wolfenet.com> wrote
> In 1969, I took my First Commercial with the FCC in Spokane. I think
> that the conditional was equal to the General. There is no magic
> taking an exam infront of the FCC.
>

1863. Tests in Florida Panhandle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelj@networktel.net>
Message-ID: <NS2f4.63$ia1.21083@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 121947

Does anyone have any information on Amateur testing being available in the Pensacola, Florida area?

Thanks

1864. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ihoc$d72$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121948

In article
<400A6A12164CEA9B.0A45C98C6E3AD5DA.49FA8CFD2F293FA0@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
> You're point would be.....?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net> wrote in article
> <20000112003212.15945.qmail@nym.alias.net>...
> > Is he or isn't he? His e-mail address is under the Lambda
> club.
> > Aren't they gay? talasi@lambda.com
> >
> >
>

LOL!
All you'd have to do is drop the soap in front of ZQ to find out.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1865. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <dmyers@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <387CBA59.58784ED1@qnet.com>
Source record: 121949
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?
> >
> > > Dunno, Jim, will you? My statement means that pre-April 15
> > > extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
> > > that they are not 5wpm extras. Advanced hams will have
> > > no such problem. :-)
> >
> > I think you would have to present your license to prove that you are an
>
> Yeah. Anyone with enough interest to check QRZ will also
> find a date of issue. Pre-4/15/2000. 20wpm. Post, 5wpm
> Novxtra. Simple.

Not that simple. Once you renew your license after the Epoch,
no one will be able to tell the difference from looking. I suppose
someone could come up with a clever callsign suffix to advertise
their legacy Extra status, like K6JQ/OF perhaps?

As for the grumbling about "Novxtra" and such - c'mon guys, show some
maturity. I expect this kind of "that's not fair!" bickering between
my youngest two children (ages 5 and 8), not from adults.

Dana K6JQ
dana@source.net

1866. Re: W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <387CBB22.6133302@innocent.com>
Source record: 121950

KK7HO wrote:
>
> As for the tape, it seems that the FCC doesn't think that it's forged and I'm
> sure that they have much better capabilities and understanding of it than herr
> Stomitler and his homebrew rendition of audio analysis.
[...]

Calling me childish names is merely silly, but you are quite wrong
about the tape.

Mr. Hollingsworth himself has now stated that he was, to quote him,
"skunked" by the WA9KJI tape.

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1867. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85idqq$e36$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>> >Why? Most armed robbers who enter a dwelling known to be occupied
>> >are ready and willing to rape, torture, and murder.

>> "Most.. are ready and willing..."? How do you know? Did you take a poll?

>Study the psychological profiles of robbers who break and enter
>a dwelling *known to be occupied* and then get back to us. They
>aren't after your silverware. They are out to get their kicks.

Psychological profiles have been conducted on "most armed robbers"?
Most of them have been caught?

This thread no longer contains any radio content.

1868. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <453E1DB04DE60985.EAE6045E41A03230.3EB6FDB59EA62B41@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121952

Wha....????????

Herb Sims <sims@sauron.msfc.nasa.gov> wrote in article
<85if8q$m5l$1@hammer.msfc.nasa.gov>...
> "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> writes:
>
> >Not that I'm for the end of cw requirements, but just how is CW keeping the
> >ham bands from sounding like 11meters? I've yet to hear 2meters get that
> >bad, even tho most of the people on the local repeaters seem to be no-code
> >techs.
>
> That is because 2M is local - VERY easy to DF a signal when it is local.
> VERY difficult to DF an HF signal.
>
> Herb
>
> --
>
>
>

> W. Herb Sims herb.sims@msfc.nasa.gov
> NASA/MSFC/ED18 Voice (205) 544 8581
> Huntsville, AL 35812 FAX (205) 544 8483
> KU0C PP-ASEL-IA
>
> If someone with multiple personalities threatens to kill himself,
> is it considered a hostage situation?
>

>

1869. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387CC653.448FEDFF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And all those I knew then went on to Extra under the VE
> system.

You must know only a small sample. If I remember correctly,
there was a number of years where one could still be
examined at an FCC office. I got my Advanced at the San
Francisco FCC office quite awhile after I was grandfathered
into General.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1870. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387CC7BF.D1DEC698@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
> Guns, of themselves are NOT "violent"...
> Autos, of themselves are NOT "violent"...

My XXYL once hit me over the head with my Wilson 2m HT.
HTs should therefore be outlawed. Anything that can possibly
be used as a weapon should be outlawed.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1871. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387CC89F.28DE8F3E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121955
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah. Anyone with enough interest to check QRZ will also
> find a date of issue. Pre-4/15/2000. 20wpm. Post, 5wpm
> Novxtra. Simple.

So Dick, are you going to carry around an internet-ready
laptop to prove that you are a pre-4/15/00 ham? How about
when your license comes up for renewal? The date on QRZ.com
will be after 4/15/00. OTOH, all I have to do is say I'm
an advanced and everyone knows I did 13wpm. :-) :-) :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1872. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <RS3f4.833$Oe.13205@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom wrote:

>It simply reacted to the prevailing circumstances to try to ensure that it could continue to publish a good ham radio technical magazine and to try to stop the bleeding thereof.<

If it walks like a duck....

>Yep.<

And if you admit it walks like a duck....it must be a duck!

>But he did put it up for sale, and because it was losing money, right?

Is it possible he offered it to the League only when he found out the board wouldn't sell him QEX (that's the story I heard)?. Sure it was losing money, just like QEX, and like Amazon.com for that matter. That's not really "dead", is it Jon?? Your interpretation is both inaccurate and mean-spirited.

>I'm no expert in intellectual property law (IANAL), but if the inventor didn't patent his invention, what grounds are there for legal action?<

He didn't "publish" it into the public domain, either, so there's always room for a claim or for plenty of bad PR. Anyway, It's not a position I'd want to be in.

Such difficulties, if they existed, never precluded anyone else (including those qualified buyers you refer to) from publishing a magazine of that type. Where are they?

It's only been three weeks, Jon, let the body cool. It sounds to me you believe the ARRL has no unfair marketplace advantage over for-profit publishers because of its ability to collect donations and dues, and because it operates unconstrained by corporat tax liability. Would that be your position?

>I understand the root of your bitterness.<
.

Thanks for the pat on the head, but once again, you've drifted into characterizing something that's not your's to name. Sure, we feel some loss, but my feelings are not what I'm asking you to clarify for me.

>I'm sorry Terry's lost her job<.

Thank you, so am I.

>I think you're just blowing smoke.<

And if I'm not?

>Is there some reason not to write for QEX?<

At the moment, that seems like sort of a silly and insensitive question to me.

>I assumed you were interested in publishing technical articles.<

I still am.

So why not just answer these question for me?

1. Do you believe the League enjoys an unfair advantage in the US amateur radio publishing market because of its non-profit status?

2. Do you believe the League move inappropriately when it purchased and "killed" Communications Quarterly Magazine for the sole purpose of enhancing QEX's position in the marketplace?

73

Rick K1BQT

1873. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387CBC73.50DF@no.net>
Source record: 121957
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Dana Myers K6JQ wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > > Will I have a 5WPM Extra after April 15th, Cecil?
> > >
> > > > Dunno, Jim, will you? My statement means that pre-April 15
> > > > extras will be forced to present their licenses to prove
> > > > that they are not 5wpm extras. Advanced hams will have
> > > > no such problem. :-)
> > >
> > > I think you would have to present your license to prove that you are an
> >
> > Yeah. Anyone with enough interest to check QRZ will also
> > find a date of issue. Pre-4/15/2000. 20wpm. Post, 5wpm
> > Novxtra. Simple.
>
> Not that simple. Once you renew your license after the Epoch,
> no one will be able to tell the difference from looking. I suppose
> someone could come up with a clever callsign suffix to advertise
> their legacy Extra status, like K6JQ/OF perhaps?
>
> As for the grumbling about "Novxtra" and such - c'mon guys, show some
> maturity. I expect this kind of "that's not fair!" bickering between
> my youngest two children (ages 5 and 8), not from adults.
>
> Dana K6JQ
> dana@source.net

Although there will be no more Advanced class licenses issued after
April 15, those who hold Advanced class licenses will continue to do so
indefinitely if I understand the R&O correctly. I would assume that if
you do a callsign search it will continue to show an Advanced class as
just that, which would tell you that they passed the 13 WPM.
```

1874. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <d24f4.838$Oe.12953@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K0HB wrote:

>No, they haven't done either of those things.
>
>1) CommQuart was being pruned by CQ. ARRL had no power to "kill" it,
> and my guess is that they didn't even initiate the conversations
> which led to the deal.
>
>2) Subscribers to CommQuart are not "conscripted" into the league,
> they just become subscribers to QEX.

Having access to that knowledge, I presume you hold some office or position with the League. Could you save all of us the trouble of looking it up by telling us what that is, Hans?

Rick K1BQT

1875. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F5DBDC290EC861F0.A439A1EAB9953DCD.314E567943729899@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121959
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ <jj@no.net> wrote in article <387CBC73.50DF@no.net>...
> Dana Myers K6JQ wrote:
> > As for the grumbling about "Novxtra" and such - c'mon guys, show some
> > maturity. I expect this kind of "that's not fair!" bickering between
> > my youngest two children (ages 5 and 8), not from adults.
> >
> > Dana K6JQ
> > dana@source.net
>
> Although there will be no more Advanced class licenses issued after
> April 15, those who hold Advanced class licenses will continue to do so
> indefinitely if I understand the R&O correctly. I would assume that if
> you do a callsign search it will continue to show an Advanced class as
> just that, which would tell you that they passed the 13 WPM.
>

As long as I can still eat in the same restaraunts, drink from the same fountains, participate in the voting process, and pee in the same (gender appropriate) restrooms, ya can do anything you want on the HF bands. Might I suggest, though, that the HF bands are not only "ours" (meaning the United States'). There will be many, many people in foreign lands listening to your tyrades, with a very highly raised eyebrow.

Kim W5TIT

1876. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85iojp$it4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d24f4.838$Oe.12953@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>,
"Rick K1BQT" <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:

> Having access to that knowledge, I presume you hold some office
> or position with the League.

No, I don't have any "office or position" with the League (other than as a member), but a perusal of their web site reveals that there are two subscription rates for QEX, one for members, and another for non-members. Thus it should be obvious to the most casual observer that a subscription to QEX does not constitute being "conscripted" into ARRL, since a non-member can obtain a subscription without joining.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
~~~
If you make people think they're thinking, they'll love you;
but if you really make them think, they'll hate you.
~~~

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1877. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85iou5$j1k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <RS3f4.833$Oe.13205@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>,
"Rick K1BQT" <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:

> 1. Do you believe the League enjoys an unfair advantage
> in the US amateur radio publishing market because of its
> non-profit status?

No, because the publishing side of ARRL does not
fall under the not-for-profit umbrella. ARRL pays taxes
(just like CQ) on the "business" side of the house.

> 2. Do you believe the League move inappropriately when it
> purchased and "killed" Communications Quarterly Magazine
> for the sole purpose of enhancing QEX's position in the
> marketplace?

No.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
~~~
If you make people think they're thinking, they'll love you;
but if you really make them think, they'll hate you.
~~~

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1878. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe K7JOE <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <387CD254.1665A9FE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 121962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill, nice post. This is a healthy debate and while we all may
disagree, I think it's been an educational discussion to capture
all the elements, opinions,and viewpoints.

You hit the nail on the head regarding "Bash"ing into the
hobby. I remember those yellow and black "cliffs notes" looking books
with the cloaked vampire on the front advertised in the "Ham Radio"
magazine in the late 1970's. Now this has become mainstream practice
that our national organization, the ARRL, condones and promotes.

I am skeptical that the VEC will change the question pool -- they are
a not-for-profit organization on a tight budget and tighter timeline to
get a pool put together for the new exams by 4/15/2000.
I do not think there will be material changes to the existing question
pool, nor do I think we will migrate away from the publication of the
pool answers.

The one thing about elements 1A, 1B, and 1C is that it is difficult
to "cheat" (using the word loosely, since "Bash"ing is not technically
cheating, though memorizing the answers does defeat the purpose of the
exam to a large extent). The question pool for the CW element is not
published --- is that why folks complain that it is so difficult to
pass? There
are no "short cuts" to learning the code, except perhaps writing the
individual dots and dashes on the paper and then translating them after
the exam finishes (and most VE's will disallow that practice,
fortunately)

After reading all the debate, and stirring the pot to some degree
myself,
I am left with self-resolution on this conflict --It is not WHAT is
being tested, so much as "how" it is being tested. I'd be cool with a
difficult technical and operating skills exam replacing the CW element.
With no questions published in advance, where people have to learn not
only electronics theory and rules and regs, but also GOOD OPERATING
skill. The thing that makes the hobby so great is that folks value
their HF-priveleged
licenses because they take REAL WORK to accomplish learning morse. I
do
not see the same "respect for the service" with the no-code tech exam
that
I see for the Novice, General, Advanced, and Extra. The no code tech
is
more like a drivers license exam -- read a book for a couple hours,

memorize the answers, take a test, and you are licenced. Very
different
from taking 8 to 12 weeks to master the morse code at 5WPM and many more
hours to increase speed to 20+ WPM. Lots more investment.

Now, with the ability to memorize answers, and the ease of mastering 5
WPM
cw, the self-satisfaction, feeling of accomplishment, and time invested
in
learning within the hobby is diminished to a large degree. The
result, I contend, is less respect of the accomplishment, less respect
for the amateur radio service, less respect for the license.

Death of ham radio? No. Change? yes. For the better or worse?
time will tell.

Joe K7JOE "stroke 20" .....since 1986

WILLIAM GALLAGHER wrote:
>
> Many people seem to think that 5wpm code will be the death of Amateur Radio.
> Seems to me that most folks who wanted in without code have done it already,
> and it hasn't trashed VHF and UHF too terribly. There will be a great rush
> to upgrade, but many of these folks have HF priveleges already.
>
> Has my (*pre-VEC*) Extra been cheapened? No. Has your (*post-VEC*)
> Extra been cheapened? No. You've earned your priveleges. I've earned mine. I
> use the pre-and-post VEC to demonstrate that the last time that 'the FCC
> screwed Ham Radio' the hobby survived...and some may say prospered.
>
> I won't talk about the "Incentive Licensing" fiasco. That really did screw
> Amateur Radio. You went to bed one night, and woke up the next day with 50%
> of your HF priveleges gone! We haven't lost anything here!
>
> The only reason that the code exams exist at all is the (old) notion that we
> as hams needed to be able to intercommunicate with, and/or prevent
> interference to commercial stations.
>
> Having been a budding commercial (shore station) Morse op in my younger days
> (read late 1970's), I got to take a wonderful FCC exam. 25wpm mixed groups,
> 5 minutes, perfect copy. No exceptions. No fill-in-the-blanks. No multiple
> guess. Just an RE with a tape reader(remember those ?). Then, I got to send.
> Same 25 wpm, no mistakes...he gave me a page from the Wall Street journal. I
> don't ever think I've seen so many numbers at one time!
>
> Does this make me a 'better' ham? I doubt it!
>
> The theory was ALL tubes. Heaven forfend that the lowly transistor ever be
> used onboard ship! (Realistically...the static charges around a ship at sea
> are incredible, and many PA's are still tube-type, satellite or not).
>

> Does this make me a better ham? Hell no!
>
> The purpose of the technical exams is to prevent us from electrocuting
> ourselves and our neighbors.
>
> The purpose of the rules and regs exam is to prevent us from trashing the
> commercial and military frequencies.
>
> Should the exams be 'toughened'? Yes, a little bit. We should be able to
> demonstrate enough knowledge to prove that we can operate a station without
> trashing each other. There should be some basics of the more esoteric modes
> of communications. This will now include CW, for better or worse.
>
> Should the questions and answers be published? Emphatically, NO!
>
> If the questions are published, the 'multiple choice' answers, and the
> detractors, should not be. This is not to act as a 'filter' to keep people
> out...quite the contrary...we need many more people populating 'our' bands.
> The theory doesn't have to be difficult, but it should PROVE that an
> individual can safely operate a station...and not PROVE that a person can
> memorize 'trivia'.
>
> How many 'old timers' (yeah...right) 'BASH'ed' their way in?
>
> For those of you who don't recognized the reference...Dick Bash published
> books in the early 80's that had the questions from the FCC exams. He
> depended on hams who took the tests to 'smuggle' the questions and answers
> out to him (not physically, but from memory). So don't let anyone tell you
> that it was never that way in the 'old days'!
>
> It is up to us to determine how exams are arranged, through the VEC system.
>
> It is up to us to welcome and teach the newcomers. It's a hobby, fer
> chrissake!
>
> Ars longa, vitae brevis! (the Art is long, and life short!)
>
> 73 and keep those flames and letters coming!
>
> Bill WA3RA (ex KS3Y)
>
> BTW...about 50% of my operating is high-speed CW. QRM? What QRM?

1879. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yeahright@dopefish.com
Message-ID: <387ccd12.3586903@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hehee! You tell'm!!

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000 21:29:11 -0500, "J. Kay" <jonk@atlantic.net>
wrote:

>Hey Butch: If you would quit ranting and raving for a few minutes and take a
>deep breath I will ask you a question: What do you think amatuer radio is?
>It is amatuers, not professionals.....I happen to be both, and amatuer
>operator and a professional radio and television technician, broadcaster and
>photographer. I am 47 years old and have had an interest in this hobby since
>I was 12. It was then that my dad and I built a heathkit gr-64 shortwave
>receiver and I got bit by this bug. I tried to learn code then, and by then I
>mean when there was NO, no code tests for anything. As a novice you got 2
>meter phone privledges and very limited cw on hf. Technician was 6 meters and
>above, and you had to do 5wpm. I could send, just couldn't quite get the
>receive down. I went through the air force technical schools for electronics
>and radio repair. I furthered my education with a degree from the national
>radio institute. I was then and am now more than technically qualified to
>hold ANY amatuer license. You will be distressed to know that i only hold a
>technician license, and I only got it in April. After 35 years of wanting to
>an amatuer, having already made it to the professional level, I found out when
>the test was being given,, took a few practice exams from hamtest.com and
>walked in with my money and out with my certificate of completion. I could
>not have done that without the knowledge and experience I have as a
>professional. So why don't you just get a grip on reality. Tell you
>what.....when I pass my general exam after april, I will stay out of your
>cw way, if you keep your dam cw pounding fingers off my hf ssb.
>73's to you anyway, my fellow amatuer.
>Jon KG4DIR (and dam proud of it after waiting this long to get it) and "one of
>them"
>

1880. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yeahright@dopefish.com
Message-ID: <387ccee5.4054073@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sounds like some people are doing some useless babble!
The restructuring doesnt make it easier for people to become hams!!!
You still have to pass your no-code exam and then pass 5wpm to
upgrade!! Whats the big deal??? Clinton administration didnt do
anything for me except give me a JOB and the ability to further my
education!! When has a republican did that for you? I can agree that
ALL POLITICIANS SUCK!!!!, but think before you speak!!

I hear all stating that tax cuts for the rich cause more circulation
of us funds... Yes it does, Right into another rich persons hands...
Therefore nothing is gained!!! 0=0

Who do you think forced SDMV to cut there reg fee's? Wasn't a
republican!!!

Start Giving to the people that make the world and not to those who
rule the world!!

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
KB7ADL) wrote:

>Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>
>The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
>whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
>checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
>Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
>wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
>to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
>of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
>this, it makes them feel important & needed.
>
>restructured Ham = Welfare Ham.
>
>
>Quote of the Day:
>The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
>KB7ADL, Jan. 2000
>
>73

>
>dhstadt@ameritech.net (Dave Stadt) wrote in
><YVte4.830$Qp3.20074@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>:
>>Yep the end is near, get out now while you can and send me all your
>>radios.
>>

1881. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87pm9e.3vv7pnj.jbloom@jbloom.arrl.org>
Source record: 121965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 18:38:41 GMT, Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
>Jon Bloom wrote:
>
>>It simply reacted to the prevailing circumstances to try to ensure that it
>could continue to publish a good ham radio technical magazine and to try to
>stop the bleeding thereof.<
>
>If it walks like a duck....
>
> >Yep.<
>
>And if you admit it walks like a duck....it must be a duck!

Not the kind of duck you want to make it out to be.

>>But he did put it up for sale, and because it was losing money, right?
>
>Is it possible he offered it to the League only when he found out the board
>wouldn't sell him QEX (that's the story I heard)?.

Dunno. I never heard anything like that. And what difference does it
make?

>Sure it was losing
>money, just like QEX, and like Amazon.com for that matter. That's not
>really "dead", is it Jon?? Your interpretation is both inaccurate and
>mean-spirited.

It's dead if the company keeping it alive decides not to do so any more.

>Such difficulties, if they existed, never precluded anyone else (including
>those qualified buyers you refer to) from publishing a magazine of that
>type. Where are they?
>
>
>It's only been three weeks, Jon, let the body cool.

What kept them from doing it before the change?

>Thanks for the pat on the head, but once again, you've drifted into
>characterizing something that's not your's to name.

As opposed to labelling my comments "mean spirited?" I don't recall
asking you to characterize my spirit, either.

>>I think you're just blowing smoke.<
>
>And if I'm not?

As I said, events will tell. I'm willing to leave it at that.

>1. Do you believe the League enjoys an unfair advantage in the US amateur
>radio publishing market because of its non-profit status?

Nope. As I said, I'm no tax expert. But I do know that the government distinguishes between the sources of ARRL's income. Some are taxed; some aren't. I don't know if ARRL's non-profit status gives ARRL an advantage in publishing QEX or not, but if it does, it's whatever the IRS allows. Don't like the tax breaks given to non-profits? Complain to your representatives in Congress.

>2. Do you believe the League move inappropriately when it purchased and
>"killed" Communications Quarterly Magazine for the sole purpose of enhancing
>QEX's position in the marketplace?

Nope. I still think it was a goner anyway. Do you think Dick Ross acted inappropriately when he sold CommQuart to ARRL?

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager
(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1882. Upgrade-before or after 4/15?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Bryant <heritage@zelgadis.mich.com>
Message-ID: <s7pmq03boj8134@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Fellow Hams:

I put together a handy chart detailing the upgrade paths, before and after the restructuring takes effect, along with my generic recommendations as to whether to upgrade or get CSCE's before 4/15, or upgrade after 4/15. Check it out at:

http://www.qsl.net/w8hp/upgrchrt.htm

Be sure to let me know of any inaccuracies or omissions.

Also, while you're there, you might check out out club webpage; it has lotsa good general ham links:

http://www.qsl.net/w8hp

And if you're going to be in the Detroit, MI area this weekend (Jan 16th), come to our hamfest! Details are on the club page.

73 and GL in the contest,
Bruce, AB8CI
ab8ci@qsl.net

1883. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: yeahright@dopefish.com
Message-ID: <387cd2e6.5079275@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Let nature take its course! If CW falls off the earth, then the only one to blame is yourself (not you in peticular)!! Religious CW operators that keep complaining about the new fcc restructuring and whining they may lose there band segments can only blame themselves due to the fact that they always put down the No-Coder instead of helping to teach the interested No-Coder! I am a No-Coder at present and when I hear hams complaining as such, I think why even try if this is how it is going to be on CW? I am going to get passed the code requirement and work on it to improve my speed, but I will never put down a No-Code Tech or anyone else that is interested in the same hobby as myself!! I encourage anyone to become a ham regardless if they were or still on the CB radio due to the fact that a CB Operator has more knowledge of basic radio operations than someone who hasnt operated a transceiver at all!!! I even hear others on usenet and on the ham bands worrying that HAM Radio will come another CB band, This is a bunch of bull too... When I lived in the San Jose, CA., There was 3 or more repeaters and several Frequencies in the HF Bands that sounded worse than CB Radio and 95% of the operators on these frequencies were Generals and above! So dont go flaming the No-Coders when your current problem with the General, Advanced and Extra class needs work!!!

KF6FOZ

1884. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <04d17460.5674342b@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com>
Source record: 121968

> All you'd have to do is drop the soap in front of ZQ to find out.

We'll let you prove that one for yourself....

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1885. S-100 Processor board or modem board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <ChipsnDips@snet.net>
Message-ID: <85ilei$lju@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 121969
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm looking for an S-100 processor board or an S-100 modem board (both). If you have one or both and interested in selling please contact me directly at chipsndips@snet.net

Alan

1886. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <387cdfd8.276037677@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121970

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 06:20:19 -0600, "Cal25skipper"
<Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:

>I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
>difference.
>
>I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement
>(if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
>what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
>them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
>today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
>
>Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the
>classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
>more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and
>buy a citizens band radio.
>
>It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
>and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
>higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
>produce a better idividual.
>
>So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
>because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is
>next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio
>services be?
>
>Brent Scott
>N5CLK
>Austin, TX
>
Just read my post on |rec.radio.ameteur.policy| Titled "Riddle
me This Extras" and you will have your answer.

Rick KC2ESD

1887. HF Jammer Identified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <387CDF5B.CBB357AD@innocent.com>
Source record: 121971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

3950.net has been updated with the following stories:

* The unidentified though admitted QRMer of 3950 who called himself "Jammer Joe," has now been identified as KB0QXY. Listen to the sound recordings archived at 3950.net and compare the voices for yourself. Thanks to those who have written to help us with this.

* WA9KJI's long history of intentional interference is documented with previously unavailable historical audio files of a David Castle "Jamfest" in five parts, including participation by KK7HO and a bizarre attack on K5MVK.

* It's now confirmed that W9ART has received a warning letter from Riley Hollingsworth over his jamming and death threats of Christmas Eve. Hear an actual sound clip of this incident at 3950.net.

http://www.3950.net

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

1888. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85io0t$pr0$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Andy...as with most foreigners..you have no FRICKEN idea what life is like
in America.

Andy Cowley wrote in message <387C7B60.2781E494@btc.uwe.ac.uk>...
>Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>>
>> This is not an uncommon tactic/argument used by anti-gunners - the
>> claim that "other factors are at work" when the fact that all types of
>> crime are statistically reduced where gun laws are less strict...
>
>Bollo*cks. NOT a fact. Only even statistically so in a gun
>culture like the USA, never shown up in any civilised nation.
>
>>
>> It just ain't so!
>>
>> PLUS, it has been REPEATEDLY shown to be true in
>> cities/states/nations, that an UNarmed society is a sitting duck for
>> abuse by criminals and politicians
>
>Like, you mean the crime rate here in the U.K. is
>_worse_ than in the US of A? Hi Hi Hi ......
>(You've all heard about the English mafia -NOT)
>
>>both inside and outside their own
>> nation - which may well be ONE reason some here in the USA resist
>> actions by NATO and the UN to extend their gun bans to THIS country -
>> *I* sure wouldn't want to see Bosnia or Chechynia repeated here on US
>
>Bosnia and Chechnya are not examples of unarmed civil populations,
>they _are_ good examples of what happens when you have an armed
>civil population and a breakdown of government.
>
>> "Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
>> spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."
>
>No. It's like blaming nuclear weapons for Nagasaki, not
>the whole story but one heck of a contributory factor.
>Rosie O'Donnel (who she?) could have got fat using her
>pinkies, I don't think you could do a Columbine _without_
>a gun, could you?
>
>

>
>Andy Cowley
>M1EBV

1889. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85iubq$ne3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121973

Quux wrote in message <04d17460.5674342b@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com>...
>
>> All you'd have to do is drop the soap in front of ZQ to find out.
>
>We'll let you prove that one for yourself....

No, you first. You sound like an expert.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1890. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <dmyers@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <387CE4A9.5F0344E9@qnet.com>
Source record: 121974
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ wrote:

> Although there will be no more Advanced class licenses issued after
> April 15, those who hold Advanced class licenses will continue to do so
> indefinitely if I understand the R&O correctly. I would assume that if
> you do a callsign search it will continue to show an Advanced class as
> just that, which would tell you that they passed the 13 WPM.

Sure, that's correct. I believe the topic was how to tell the
difference between a legacy Extra (with 20WPM credit) and new
Extras (with 5WPM credit).

Dana K6JQ/OF
dana@source.net

1891. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85j05t$or0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121975

In article <85hvrkurd1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>
> Len Schaier wrote in message <387C6A89.42980B78@avotec.com>...
> >AF2Y is off AOL because at least two stockholders repeatedly pointed
> out
> >that his actions consistentantly violated their TOA.
> >
> >You can spin it any way you want but that is why he is off AOL.
> >
> >I hope my efforts contributed to his removal.
> >
> >Len
> >>>>>>>> Poor Lenny, he wants to be part of something, so bad.Doc has
a letter from AOL that says otherwise. The Geek from PortWashington,
Caught in yet, another lie! Mommy must be proud of.<<<<<<<<<<<
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You are an idiot.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1892. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <387ce2c4.2291863@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 121976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net> wrote:

>I don't recall anyone claiming there WAS any "magic: taking a license
>before an FCC inspector - only that they tended to be somewhat
>humorless... I *also* doubt any credible opinion on comparing the two
>can be made from merely one person's experience with one of each...
>
>SO what's your point?
>
>Gary - KJ6Q

People in this newgroup have been claiming that conditional class
licenses were less credable than a general class in the 1960's.
The only difference between a conditional class and general class
was that the general class was taken before an fcc examiner.
Many of these posts are suggesting that somehow a conditional class
license was less valid than a general class. Most people like myself
who lived in that time know better.

Henry K7WAR
ex K7WAS
ex K0KDD
ex KN0KDD

1893. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <04B9E797C76E3B2D.9328E1B8C31C6F6D.77C9DAEA2419D778@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121977

Well, the first part looks like the topic is how the lack of CW is or is not
going to keep the ham bands from sounding like 11M.

The second half is about DFing.

So, I don't understand the exchange....

Kim W5TIT

Herb Sims <sims@sauron.msfc.nasa.gov> wrote in article
<85ip8b$4pt$1@hammer.msfc.nasa.gov>...
> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >Wha....????????
> What part didn't you understand?
>
> DF= Direction Finding
>
> >Herb Sims <sims@sauron.msfc.nasa.gov> wrote in article
> ><85if8q$m5l$1@hammer.msfc.nasa.gov>...
> >> "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >Not that I'm for the end of cw requirements, but just how is CW keeping
the
> >> >ham bands from sounding like 11meters? I've yet to hear 2meters get that
> >> >bad, even tho most of the people on the local repeaters seem to be
no-code
> >> >techs.
> >>
> >> That is because 2M is local - VERY easy to DF a signal when it is local.
> >> VERY difficult to DF an HF signal.
> >>
> >> Herb
> >>
> >> --
> >>
> >>
> >>
>
>--
-
>
> >> W. Herb Sims
herb.sims@msfc.nasa.gov
> >> NASA/MSFC/ED18 Voice (205) 544 8581

> >> Huntsville, AL 35812 FAX (205) 544 8483
> >> KU0C PP-ASEL-IA
> >>
> >> If someone with multiple personalities threatens to kill himself,
> >> is it considered a hostage situation?
> >>
>
>---
-
>
> >>
> --
>
>
>

> W. Herb Sims herb.sims@msfc.nasa.gov
> NASA/MSFC/ED18 Voice (205) 544 8581
> Huntsville, AL 35812 FAX (205) 544 8483
> KU0C PP-ASEL-IA
>
> If someone with multiple personalities threatens to kill himself,
> is it considered a hostage situation?
>

>

1894. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com>
Message-ID: <387CEB8D.30D83435@nashville.com>
Source record: 121978

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>
> You're point would be.....?

I must admit every time I see your call I bust out laughing.

1895. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com>
Message-ID: <387CEC03.2DB0CD29@nashville.com>
Source record: 121979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Passaneau wrote:
>
> Hi Just so you know, if you want a award for code proficiency, The ARRL has
> offered one for many years. It's called the "code proficiency award."

Wonder where the name of the award came from?

1896. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com>
Message-ID: <387CEAD5.89BDCC24@nashville.com>
Source record: 121980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
> SO what's your point?

To reminisce. Lighten up. ;-)

1897. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: stan <stan2@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <m16f4.16012$2x3.286763@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>
Source record: 121981

Ham Radio is much like News groups, once you have been there you don't return, only the good ones remain. This is why the semi loss of CW will not affect Ham Radio.
Canada - moved ahead of the US years ago. Here is what happened - The old hams did not like the new hams the new hams got tired of each other and intimidated by the old hams and now the band is very dead except for the constant few users who really enjoy the art of ham radio.

Example Same thing on the internet
Look at news groups today 3 to 20 posts per day? This actions does not make an active internet. Millions and millions of licensed ISP users and little use for the usenet. WOW

Perhaps we should have allowed spaming? I am always impressed with Hams who think talking about any product other than Icom Yasea Kenwood is simply spamming.
Open news groups versus Ham Radio
We have lost news groups in favor of mail lists which is a firm return to a form of broadcasting.

Why would anyone think it would be any different in the mode of Ham Radio.

Regarding CB , as a Ham operator I enjoy CB when the band is dead it only gets silly when the band is open. The route cause is the band should never have placed on 11 meters it should have been placed on 10 meters and the hams should have enjoyed 11 meters where they could enjoy enhanced world wide MUF conditions. Think about it.

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:y13f4.5$Bi5.820@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> Not that I'm for the end of cw requirements, but just how is CW keeping
the
> ham bands from sounding like 11meters? I've yet to hear 2meters get that
> bad, even tho most of the people on the local repeaters seem to be no-code
> techs.
>
> "Cal25skipper" <Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:do_e4.1725$9T4.24387@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> > I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
> > difference.
> >
> > I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
> requirement
> > (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell
me
> > what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have

seen
> > them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they
seem
> > today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
> >
> > Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught
> the
> > classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
> > more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack
and
> > buy a citizens band radio.
> >
> > It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a
book
> > and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
> > higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will
always
> > produce a better idividual.
> >
> > So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a
difference,
> > because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of
theory
> is
> > next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
> radio
> > services be?
> >
> > Brent Scott
> > N5CLK
> > Austin, TX
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1898. Whatever happend to Dr Hate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85givfvvu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122004

I heard the rumour that Dr Hate , AF2Y had been kicked off AOL. If so , those guys are on the ball for shedding that kook.

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1899. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85gjinei1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122005

When is Porkbutt WB2OTK going to court to get a reversal on his self amateur radio license cancellation? When will he make a post on this forum that shows he is contrite for his many rule violations on amateur radio? When is he going to serve his official time -out? Or, as he plans, will he prove that Riley is a unreliable dunce and that he, WB2OTK is a genius? Fill us in Bob!!!

Rileygotchu

In article <85g6o0$mk6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85fmm7arp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Bob:
> >
> > Porkbutt, WB2OTK should do just that! He can not prove his case on
> this
> > forum.
> >
> > But, don't sell Mr Hollingsworth short! He is a very smart man. He
> > would not cancel that license if he could not prove that the letter
> > came from Porkbutt, WB2OTK. The fact that Porkbutt, WB2OTK first
> > denied, then admitted the sending of the letter (But by mistake)
> proves
> > that Mr Hollingsworth is correct. If you don't mean to abide, don't
> > sign a contract!!
> >
> > Gitback
> >
> > In article <9159-387AB016-36@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> > > Gitback, of course, all of our opinions count equally, the purpose
> of
> > > the NG. Please don't sully this basic right by confusing it with
> UPL,
> > > the unauthorized practice of law. Don't we have enough folks
trying
> > to
> > > muzzle us? (I am not a licensed lawyer, am retired and have no
wish
> > to
> > > advocate in a Courtroom.)
> > >

> > > Compliments on your legal mind: You went right to the heart of the
> > issue
> > > with your post. True, I was not there and cannot speak to the
> > ultimate
> > > truth of the proposition.
> > >
> > > I do know , however, as a matter of law, the burden shouldn't be
on
> > Rich
> > > to go to trial on that turkey issue. He should be able to issue
an
> > > Affidavit with the salient points of refutation. Then, unless
he's
> > > found in perjury, the matter should be set straight. That is the
> way
> > it
> > > happens with everyone else in our system.
> > >
> > > Rich should be accorded every right that we all enjoy. Demonizing
> him
> > > doesn't change it either.
> > >
> > > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> > > Miami, Florida
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Follow your own advice, Polly.
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1900. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@spamlessgte.net>
Message-ID: <7zPe4.2152$8X5.24123@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

History is FULL of examples of despotic governments being overthrown by their "peasant" societies with ROCKS and PITCHFORKS! That, in spite of the "better armed" forces of the governments being challenged!

Tell me exactly HOW all that fancy arillery, planes and laser bombs will attack and remove resisting groups immersed and hidden within populated areas like New York and Los Angeles? Why do those using your weak argument always ASSUME a resistance group MUST do so from an isolated and exposed position? Even OUR forefathers abandoned the "volley" method of military encounters common in early times in favor of the far more successful hidden method used by indians.

That method STILL works in places like Ireland and Afganistan... As it did in Korea and Vietnam... WHO won those "wars"? It CERTAINLY wasn't *US*, in SPITE of our "superior weaponry"!

--
Gary - KJ6Q
====================
The fable,"The grasshopper & the ant", reveals the
existance of socialist attitudes - even in Greek times...
"Play today - live off your neighbor tomorrow..."
David M. Brodbeck wrote in message <85g38s$9p2$5@campus3.mtu.edu>...
>In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote:
>> *I* sure wouldn't want to see Bosnia or Chechynia repeated here on US
>> soil, at least NOT while *I* am disarmed!
>
>--> And your 30-06 will protect you from artillery attacks how,
exactly?
>No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have
to
>admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
>it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
>a serious government attack. (As far as Chechynia, I wasn't aware any
>of our states were seriously considering secession. A few counties in
>Texas, yeah, but I don't think anyone's taking them seriously.)
>
>--

> David Brodbeck, N8SRE
dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
> finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.
>
>"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after

the
> first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood
box
> every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in
1946.

1901. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@spamlessgte.net>
Message-ID: <nNPe4.2180$8X5.24884@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White wrote in message <387BB768.88860E2F@mindspring.com>...
>Just as it is a common argument that other factors than guns contribute
>to violence. Same argument really, it is just what you focus on, what
>concerns you most, guns or violence.
>
>WIll
>KD7BFX

Examine this:

Violent people KILL with knives.
Violent people KILL with clubs.
Violent people KILL with automobiles.
Violent people KILL with fists.
Etc., Etc!

WHAT is the SINGLE constant above? *VIOLENT PEOPLE!*

Work to REMOVE or modify the BEHAVIOR of those violent people, and the guns, fists, cars and clubs CEASE to be a problem in and of themselves JUST as they were in previous years! Guns were common in homes and pickup trucks during my youth in the 40's and 50's - and shootings were unheard of - WHAT has happened? Have guns themselves become more "vicious" in recent years?

Similarly, "road rage" was unheard of in those earlier years - have our roads and CARS become more "vicious" in recent years? should we seek heavier controls/bans on THEM TOO? TOO many "experts" are so hung up treating the "symptom", that they completely overlook or ignore the basic ILLNESS - treat and correct THAT, and the SYMPTOMS will take care of themselves!

But it's just not as easy as the warm and fuzzy feeling of just passing a quick new law, is it...

OH - here's a final one for you: IF absense of nasty GUNS is key to safety and security of a populace, then our PRISONS *must* be the safest places around - right or wrong? Would YOU want to move into one for the "safety" it provides you and yours?

I didn't THINK so...

Gary - KJ6Q

>
>Gary - KJ6Q wrote:

>>
>> This is not an uncommon tactic/argument used by anti-gunners - the
>> claim that "other factors are at work" when the fact that all types
of
>> crime are statistically reduced where gun laws are less strict...
>>
>> It just ain't so!
>>

1902. Re: Morse code job available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85gng5$39m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

In article <85g898mrc1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> Posted for information only; not affiliated with this company.
>
> Jeff KH6O
>
>
.....
>
> Key Role: Provide Morse code and radio operator support for key
> government clients.
>
> Qualifications:
>
> HS diploma
> 3-5 years of experience
> Experience with voice, FSK, and packet communications
> Experience with Morse code at a proficiency of 18-20 groups per
> minute or Extra Class FCC ARL
> Experience with radio theory, Q signs, and radio equipment
>
> Booz&#65533;Allen offers highly competitive salaries and a comprehensive
> health plan. For consideration, please e-mail your resume directly to
> Mike or forward
> your resume to our international headquarters office:
>
> Fax: (703) 917-3362
> Mail: Booz&#65533;Allen & Hamilton
> 8283 Greensboro Drive
> McLean, VA 22102
>
> Booz&#65533;Allen & Hamilton is an international management and technology
> consulting firm. Founded in 1914, we provide services in strategy,
> systems, operations, and technology to clients on a global scale. We
> combine this integrated service base with specialized expertise in
more
> than 20 industry practices to help our clients solve problems, seize
> opportunities, and achieve their objectives. Our clients include most
> of the largest industrial and service corporations in the world, the
> departments and agencies of the US federal government, and major
> institutions and government bodies across the globe. Visit our Web
> site: http://www.bah.com/ to learn more about Booz&#65533;Allen & Hamilton.

>
> 73 de Scott Anderson
> OTH Madison, Tennessee
>

Why, Jeff, are you telling us the FCC exaggerated their flat-out "Morse is almost dead" statements in the move toward a no-theory license ?

You mean the same way the FDA tells us the drugs they approve are flat-out safe when those in the know realize that's a lie too ?

Hmm, a government that lies and exaggerates to further the agenda of special interests at the expense of the individual's wishes, which are summarily dismissed in favor of government by Gallup poll.

I'm shocked. I'm writing my Congressman and "my" (Your) League.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1903. Re: Rent-A-Sparky (was: Morse code job available)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85gnm1$3c8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 122009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.fo6t6v5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On 11 Jan 2000 21:45:12 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >Posted for information only; not affiliated with this company.
> >
> >Jeff KH6O
> >
>
>.....
.
> >
> >Key Role: Provide Morse code and radio operator support for key
> >government clients.
>
> "Rent-A-Sparky"........
> >
> >forward
> >your resume to our international headquarters office:
> >
> > Fax: (703) 917-3362
> > Mail: Booz-Allen & Hamilton
> > 8283 Greensboro Drive
> > McLean, VA 22102
>
> Booz-Allen Hamilton ..... the bozos who "recommended" that the
> FCC Field organization be gutted. It was during a management
> conference at the address above that I decided to take early
> retirement from the FCC if offered. It was - I did.
>
> Protect your pockets and run the other way.........
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

Laughing all the way to the bank, federal bottom feeder

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1904. Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: An0n <anondopost@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <20000112003212.15945.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 122010

Is he or isn't he? His e-mail address is under the Lambda club.
Aren't they gay? talasi@lambda.com

1905. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Morbstooge <hblones@dalnet.com>
Message-ID: <nbQe4.7107$o62.307729@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122011

<gitback@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:85d35ncbn1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> Bob Sherin:
>
> Can you get up in a court room and swear that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent
> that E mail by mistake? Can you supply a witness to testify to that
> fact? Except for a member of the Porkbutt WB2OTK family that is. If you
> can not. I am afraid your remarks are just opinion and will not stand
> up in court. Were you there? Did you witness Porkbutt putting that
> message in a file , then sending it by mistake? I dont think so, Why
> don't you quit the practice of law on this forum, and practice in a
> court room. Our opinions on the law count just as much as yours. Of
> course being a bigot like OTK is not against the law.
>
> Gitback

Aw....shit! I just wish ole Porky was still on the
air. He provided as much amusement on 14.313
as some of the programmes on Comedy Central
without a cable bill. I for one miss him. Perhaps
someone should start a webpage with RealAudio
clips of OTK

1906. YEEEEE HAAAAAA!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: antenna42@aol.complex
Message-ID: <20000111203425.01781.00000073@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 122012

I hear that the requirements for operating a ham station on HF will be getting much easier. Hot damn ... I'm gonna modify my CB and attendant linear amplifier to operate on 75 meters. What frickasee is channel 19 on 75 meters?

Shoot, man, it's gonna be pedal to the metal and a big 10-4 on the ham bands.

YEEEEE HAAAA!!!!

1907. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85gl31$2v4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>David M. Brodbeck wrote:

>> No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have to
>> admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
>> it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
>> a serious government attack.

>There were pretty serious government attacks in Vietnam and Afghanistan.
>Two superpowers bit the dust.

Kissenger would sometimes play the "good cop, bad cop" routine with the
North Vietnamese, saying something to the effect that if the North didn't
follow through with an asked-for concession, his boss, Nixon, might drop
a nuclear device on Hanoi. You have to admit that we did not use our
superpower's super power on the North; if we had, the war would have
ended in an instant.

Jeff

1908. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85glpe$3g3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <387BA0E6.3F5C@arrl.net>, Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>My sending test, even the one I took before the FCC, consisted of about
>10 characters; the sending tests was de facto deleted long before it was
>deleted. :-)

Same here. I sat for the 13 wpm General in the FCC San Francisco office in '76. The government examiner stopped me after only a few seconds of sending. I guess I had a worried look on my face from being stopped so soon, for he then winked at me and gave half a smile. That made my day. Trying to get one of those guys to smile was a feat in itself.

Jeff

1909. Re: W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000111205237.01934.00001978@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 122015

As for the tape, it seems that the FCC doesn't think that it's forged and I'm sure that they have much better capabilities and understanding of it than herr Stomitler and his homebrew rendition of audio analysis.
Yeah i heard Riley on 3950 the other night , he seemed to be instructing Dave a little, yes.
>I heard your buddy Sid on 2M the other day I guess he's been in the
>hospital. Look for me on APRS in Vegas I'll be there all Winter.
Roger
Oh yeah? Did you say Hi to Sid for me?
Why not? 3-7's from Vegas, KK7HO

Gerald Schmitt wrote

>From: KK5YY@arrl.net (Gerald Schmitt)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
>Date: Sat, 08 Jan 2000 22:44:42 GMT
>Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
>Lines: 23
>Message-ID: <3877bc54.881515@nntp.mindspring.com>
>References: <20000107011009.01017.00000315@ng-ch1.aol.com>
><20000107202624.25727.00000579@ng-fw1.aol.com>
>Reply-To: kk5yy@arrl.net
>NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.8a.b6.42
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Server-Date: 8 Jan 2000 22:44:33 GMT
>X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99g/32.339
>
>
>
>
>
>KJI wasn't he the one who got off with a forged tape? I remember that.
>He apparently didn't learn from that experience since Riley caught him
>red handed the other night. It's going to take a REALLY good fake tape
>to turn that around. But who is keeping score?
>
>I heard your buddy Sid on 2M the other day I guess he's been in the
>hospital. Look for me on APRS in Vegas I'll be there all Winter.
>
>
>
>On 08 Jan 2000 01:26:24 GMT, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:
>

>>Hey Steve don't forget
>>W1WCR (twice), W2YEE(6 months),
>>KJI -susp. was recinded - but who keeping score, oh it looks like you are.
>>N8wgm wrote
>>>Lets see now--------the score
>>>is----------W9ART-----WA9KJI-------K5BLB-----N0KED--------soon
>Doofus-------

1910. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85gm7s$3tp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122016
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>>>>Perfidious wrote:
>>>> I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not think "Amateur"
>>>> radio operators help Police agencies because of their "civic duties". I
>>>> believe it's for the attention.

Attention from whom?

>Phil Kane wrote:
>> Have we lost sight of the case details where the jammer was
>> tracked by the FCC and arrested by the California Highway
>> Patrol on state-law violations pertaining to his activities?
>> The news release said nothing about amateur involvement.

I missed the article which claimed that all DF cases are handled by hams.
Could you repost it for me, Phil?

Jeff

1911. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85gn5g$4c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 122017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Aaron Jones wrote:

>> The subject was *armed robbers* Gary, not all criminal assaults. It
>> flies in the face of logic that if all victims (armed or not) resisted
>> armed robbers, they would be less likely to be hurt than if they
>> just give up the property...

>Why? Most armed robbers who enter a dwelling known to be occupied
>are ready and willing to rape, torture, and murder.

"Most.. are ready and willing..."? How do you know? Did you take a poll?

Get better locks and a dog.

1912. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <85gnl2psq1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>> > Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
>> > now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
>> > and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
>> > work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
>> > longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.
>>
The FCC didn't change the written exams. Just the code part. The same
tech whiz will pass, and nitwit will fail the writtens as before. Just
the code is different.

Now I got my extra CSCEs and will upgrade when April comes around. I
probably won't operate CW in teh extra subbands, as I'll need 20wpm
to "talk" there. Unless I learn 20wpm.

newsgroups trimmed to misc and policy

1913. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Branch <k4nr@satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IURe4.3642$N36.167581@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 122019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary,

Any chance you can stop SPAMing this to every newgroup even slightly related to radio? Redirected back to .policy and .misc.

Since I saw it, I'll reply--please cc me via email since I don't subscribe to .policy or .misc.

While I generally agree with your comments, why do you have to call it "wetware conditioning"? I don't even have to check QRZ--you're an Advanced Class that never could do the 20 WPM Morse. Well now you have your upgrade...stop bashing those of us who enjoy the mode. High-speed Morse is not a Pavlovian (spelling??) response--it is a skill and an art that took much effort and practice to achieve.

I'm not arguing the merits--the FCC has decided to restructure, why not live and let live.....it's time we put the service back together rather than to continue to divide it. My comments apply equally to all amateurs and are not aimed at any particular group.

BTW, CW is the only mode authorized on _any_ amateur allocation.

73 de Tom, K4NR

Gary Coffman wrote in message ...

>this mode. That's bound to impact its popularity in coming years
>with the relaxation of government mandated wetware conditioning
>requirements.
|

1914. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <HCSe4.2444$8X5.34181@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman Wrote:
>I don't understand all the politics of this acquisition. It does seem
>odd that the ARRL made a move that will now require them to do
>fullfillment for non-members. It does *look* like they're favoring
>the publishing business over the notion of being a membership
>organization.

It's an interesting deal. For one thing, it appears a non-profit entity has acquired a small for-profit entity for the sole purpose of eliminating competition and monopolizing a particular niche in the ham radio publishing market. In other words, the League clearly intended to buy QEX's only competition for the purpose of killing it to ensure QEX's financial survival. I'm not sure how that type of perdition sits with the not-for-profit status the League enjoys.

Second, I'm a bit troubled by Doug Smith's dual role as Lester Ernshaw's Chief Engineer at Kachina--and as Editor of QEX. The potential for conflict of interest here is very real--and I have no confidence Mr. Smith can be expected to deal with that issue objectively.

Third, I'm troubled that the "tech" side of ham radio has now lost its only forum for authors who's techincal philosophies may not jibe with the views of the League's editorial staff (Walt Maxewell to name one).

As a memeber of the League, I'm also curious how much money this acquisition cost the dues-paying rank-in-file in a time of tight money--and at a time when supplemental requests for additional "donations" to save ham radio have been arriving on a regular basis. Will the cost of acquisition ever be made public?

And, I'm frankly wondering who I'm going to write for, now that the Quarterly is gone.

Rick K1BQT

1915. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <p5Te4.2499$8X5.36110@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 122021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

YEAH - wasn't THAT the truth!

Same here on both my General and Extra class - not much humor
detectable in Customhouse SF!

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:85glpe$3g3$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> In article <387BA0E6.3F5C@arrl.net>, Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net>
wrote:
> >My sending test, even the one I took before the FCC, consisted of
about
> >10 characters; the sending tests was de facto deleted long before
it was
> >deleted. :-)
>
> Same here. I sat for the 13 wpm General in the FCC San Francisco
office
> in '76. The government examiner stopped me after only a few seconds
of
> sending. I guess I had a worried look on my face from being stopped
so
> soon, for he then winked at me and gave half a smile. That made my
day.
> Trying to get one of those guys to smile was a feat in itself.
>
> Jeff

1916. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul
Message-ID: <387c0475.20848464@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 122022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:

>Quote of the Day:
>The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
>
>KB7ADL
Actually they should haved saved some cheese to go with your whine.

Obviously, you have a low opinion of your fellow amateur operators.
You are a POS if ever there was one.

Paul

1917. Re: WB2OTK: Racism or Harmless Error?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: sherinsmiami@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9560-387C1226-7@storefull-247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 122023

Rileygotcha, Not in contact with Rich, I wouldn't have a clue what he intends. My posts are all my opinions, undriven by anyone else and untainted by loyalties or animosities. I make a herculean effort to to treat all hams and human beings the same as I want to be treated: With care and dignity.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

1918. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <947658696.795322@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 122024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sasquatch wrote in message
>I look to the restructuring of ham radio as a beginning , not and end , you know... the >old is the glass half empty or half full type of reasoning.....

I'd tend to agree.... restructuring is now reality. The FCC will not be backtracking on the issue, regardless of any late complaints. Let's move on... welcome the new licensees (there won't be a flood, only a flurry of upgrades) and get on with enjoying radio... isn't that why we all check this newsgroup?

1919. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: busdriver@mobiletel.com
Message-ID: <387c20a9.3638912@nntp.mobiletel.com>
Source record: 122025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well Said.....BusDriver
>Amateur Restructuring is not a simple, one-sided issue, although many
>would like to force the many different viewpoints into one small box.
>
>Times are changing, and whether we like it or not, there are realities
>that have to be faced. Here are a few.
>
>The "magic" of your voice (or code) traveling thousands of miles is
>basically gone. I'm old enough to remember well the first color
>televisions, trans-Alantic TV signals, etc. When I first experienced
>amateur radio (as an early teenager), it was beyond belief that you
>could actuall talk to someone long distance wireless. I was even
>awestruck at some cheap walkie-talkies I owned! Now, we communicate
>effortlessly worldwide via the internet, instantly.
>
>Commercial interests want more bandspace, and amateur radio operators
>who are time-frozen in the past have a poor argument to occupy valuable
>spectrum space to discuss their gall bladder surgery, latest bowel
>movement, etc., simply because they grunted it out years ago to meet
>the requirments of 13 wpm, and so on. Amateur radio was intended from
>the start to focus on public service and to encourage growth (in
>electronic knowledge). It was never intended to be a static,
>backward-looking social club, remembering the "good ole days". The
>very nature of amateur radio points forward.
>
>The technical aspects of amateur radio have changed incredibly. Twenty
>years ago, you could still work on your own car (plugs, oil change,
>tune-up). Check out the lastest cars, and tell me how much you
>understand. The people I first met in amateur radio built their own
>rigs (early 60's). Today, that same rig would splatter unacceptably
>all over the band. Then, most built their own. Today, probably 98%
>use quality, name brand, sophisticated rigs, with tight specs on both
>transmit and receive. It's a changing world.
>
>YES, some things could get better. Getting rid of the corruption in
>the VE program would help. Riley Hollingsworth has been extremely good
>news for the hobby. But perhaps we should require computer aptitude
>tests as part of the hobby (to weed out the old farts). Maybe we
>should ban discussions of all personal health problems, not to mention
>useless nets, that accomplish little. Simply passing the CW and
>written test back then is not a lifelong license to sit back, and reap
>the "reqards" forever in careless, meaningless blabber. I have always

>been in favor of amateur radio operators having to provide "evidence"
>for their continued re-licensing, such as proof of public service,
>classes taught, projects involved with, etc, much as medical
>professionals have continuing education to keep them sharp. One
>statement the FCC made in their R&O was that there was basically no
>correlation between those who learned CW, and those who were proper
>station operators, or were significantly involved in public service. I
>couldn't agree more. What right does a 30-40 year Ham veteran have to
>needlessly blab on, using maximum power (or more), with poor antenna
>matching, and generally abusing the spectrum. Yet, that person is
>exactly the same person who will argue themselves blue in the face
>about the need to keep the code, etc. They need a reality check.
>
>There is plenty to compliment and plenty to criticize in amateur radio
>today. I compliment the FCC for having the guts and sense of REALITY
>to not only face the real facts of the day, but to do so in a way that
>doesn't necessarily favor any special group, including the ARRL.
>Hopefully the tests, method of testing, band usage, etc. of today's
>amateur service will improve, and retaining CW at previous rates was
>not solving the problem. Kudos to the FCC for being willing to move
>forward with guts rather than look backward with failing memory.
>
>I'm in my 50's, and hopefulle young enough to still engage and enjoy
>the new realities and technologies that emerge each day. However, the
>"tomorrows" are owned by the young, and I hope I have enough sense and
>graciousness to know when to get out of the way. The FCC has taken an
>important first step. I hope during the year 2000 that they and the
>amateur community can find some good solutions to the problems we still
>face and better use of the privileges we enjoy.
>
>73's
>Wayne Woodhams, N6WIX
>Ventura, California
>
>
>* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
>The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>

BUSDRIVER@MOBILETEL.COM
CoonAss from Louisiana
1-800-303-5152
N5VKQ

1920. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: PeterMaus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387C2028.81540721@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 122026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> Let's move
> on... welcome the new licensees (there won't be a flood, only a flurry of
> upgrades) and get on with enjoying radio... isn't that why we all check this
> newsgroup?

Wait a minute....this smacks of common sense...Are you sure
you have the right newsgroup?

1921. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <387C20A7.486BFF18@topnow.com>
Source record: 122027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Unhuh. For this the FCC ISSUED a "piece of paper" a SPECIAL
> piece opf paper noting that the named individual had
> achieved the Amateur EXTRA class. That word meant something
> in times past. Today, no.

Nonsense. It will still mean a lot. Most of the things you do in life require
less effort and dedication than learning 5 WPM code and learning about the
complex arithmetic of RLC circuits, antenna theory, and propagation
characteristics, FCC rules, etc. necessary to pass the Extra test.
Considering how many people can't even be bothered to fill out a postcard to
register to vote, I fail to see where the influx of lazy new Extras is going
to come from until someone devises a way to *beam* knowledge of the
Morse directly into their brains as they sleep.

When the no-code tech license was introduced, people also
predicted the end of ham radio, and all kinds of gloom and
doom. And then what happened? The exact opposite.
It attracted a *large* number of new hams, the overwhelming majority of whom
are both fine people and fine operators.

And that's what ham radio needs more than anything else
to survive: enough good people to fill our allocations and keep
them in use. It's self-defeating to impose restrictions which probably
prevent 75% of people who would enter ham radio from ever doing so.

Overcrowded bands? Yes, In some parts of the
spectrum. For these, maybe it's time we
develop some new technologies to squeeze more stations
into fewer kilohertz instead of trying to limit the number
of hams. After all, advancement of the radio art (and not
just code speed) is part of the amateur charter.

Or to put it another way: under the status quo, instead of
dealing with overcrowded bands, in a few years we'd be
dealing with NO BANDS AT ALL.

As far as I'm concerned, you get to keep all your bragging
rights about achieving 20 WPM (or 13 WPM, as the case
may be) forever, and rightly so. It is a real accomplishment.

But 5 WPM maintains a
solid barrier against someone who won't put in the effort
to learn something difficult, while not locking out technically-minded

individuals who just don't care to
operate high-speed code, and I buy the argument that we
can't afford to turn away potential hams just because they
can't hit 13, maybe 20 WPM, but otherwise have the skills
and knowledge to be a ham...

Just my 0.02 worth, to add to a huge pile o' pennies.

-- Ross

1922. How to avoid being a LID when I get my extra?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <85h9om$46u$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 122028

I suppose if I:

Listen on a frequency for a while before calling CQ,
Respect band plans (both official and gentlemens conventions)
Listen for a while to see "how it's done" on the air,
Be curtious(sp),
Avoid cuss words,
and use common sense

I may be able to avoid being a LID. And learn from newbie errors
as I make them.

Any web site describing good operating practices?
I have an old copy of the ARRL handbook.

1923. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <387C2882.F9F69E36@topnow.com>
Source record: 122029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:

>
> At least now my dog can get a license.

After a long night on-line discussing begging strategies most likely to win
table scraps, tips on actually *catching* that damn squirrel next time,
sending jpegs of each other's rear ends, and shamelessly flirting with the
other doggies (all claiming to be 2 years younger and 20 pounds lighter than
actual), my dog just hasn't the time left to study Morse code. I think
she's still smug about the fact that she spells better than I do. And
typing on
a standard keyboard with such big mitts is no small feat. ;)

Perhaps they could wave the code requirement for dogs.
But then, how would they communicate over the air?
Special "barking only" repeaters?

She just typed "RTTY! RTTY! RTTY!" on the next computer.
What's that?
:-)

1924. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <387C2A7B.4AEA2BE6@topnow.com>
Source record: 122030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

J wrote:

> Responding to K2TL:
> The time for disagreement with restructuring, reduction of CW speed
> requirements, etc was when the matter was being considered by the FCC,
> last year. That time is gone now, and it's time for all of us to pull
> together to make restructuring work for everyone. Sure there's problems
> in the VEC system, we need to work on that, integrity is essential to
> maintaining respect, but for every rotten apple there's a hundred good
> ones, not the other way around. If we know of specific problems, we
> need to get that information to the proper authorities so action can be
> taken. Then we need to let them do their jobs.
> Instead of tearing the system down and being devisive, we should all
> now be looking for ways to support the system and make it work. We all
> had our chance to voice dissent...no doubt you expressed yours as I did
> mine....but no decision the FCC could have made would have made
> everyone happy. The decision has now been made, and we should accept it
> like responsible citizens and get back to work.
> No offense, K2TL, but your protest message and dire predictions can
> only do harm now, and divide us further. This issue has done some
> damage already to the ham brother/sisterhood in this country, and your
> help is needed, along with every serious ham, to heal that rift.
> "Ham Radio doomed"...??? Only if you and I let it happen, my friend. In
> each of several instances over the years when there were major changes
> made, some well-intentioned hams predicted the demise of Ham Radio....
> but...it hasn't happened yet. Somehow I think we'll all muddle through
> this one too. 73, K1MER
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

A wonderfully well-thought out and reasonable response.
Thank you! I share your optimism that things will work out
all right.

I also agree with a number of points AB7RS made, especially
about the tests being too easy. As I read it, it will now be up to
the amateur community to determine the contents of the
question pools, and we should really concentrate on making
sure that the questions chosen represent a proper cross-section
of knowledge at an appropriate level of difficulty. And certainly vigilance
against dishonest VEs is a good idea.

Perhaps ARRL could take up the slack in high-speed Morse
and have special awards for (say) 100 QSOs at (13, 15, 20,
whatever WPM). That would have to be worth just as much
as an FCC document, and probably would look better on
the wall, too. :)

1925. Re: Rent-A-Sparky (was: Morse code job available)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387c2d44.147178474@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 122031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Booz-Allen Hamilton ..... the bozos who "recommended" that the
>
> Protect your pockets and run the other way.........
>
Jeez Counselor, they gotta eat, too . . Gawd I love the Beltway think
tanks . . the ultimate parasites.
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>

1926. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <387E121B.B68D341B@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 121582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

sparksw4eas@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Gheeezzz... In over 40 years as a boradcast engineer, I used to be able to
> hire a ham with a licience/first phone and know what I was hiring for the
> station. Now..... a pig in a poke :-) Sparks W4EAS

Maybe now you'll have to:

a) conduct interviews,
b) hire college graduates (from a relative field), and/or
c) hire experienced broadcast engineers

--
Michael Moore

1927. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <387E12F8.70667C4D@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 121583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Quux wrote:
> Ever ordered Shrimp Scampi or said "Shrimp Scampi"?
> Then you've said shrimp shrimp. "scampi" is "shrimp"
> in Italian.

... like tuna fish.

--
Michael Moore

1928. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387E2CE8.918F8158@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Quux wrote:
> > Ever ordered Shrimp Scampi or said "Shrimp Scampi"?
> > Then you've said shrimp shrimp. "scampi" is "shrimp"
> > in Italian.
>
> ... like tuna fish.

Worse yet, at the local cafe, one can order chicken scampi. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

1929. Re: >>>>>The Good Doctor.AF2Y<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <387E4E72.77F868DB@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121585

Len, talk about the pot calling the kettle black. Your actions and
others in this group are no better than the DOC's.

D

Len Schaier wrote:
>
> AF2Y is off AOL because at least two stockholders repeatedly pointed out
> that his actions consistentantly violated their TOA.
>
> You can spin it any way you want but that is why he is off AOL.
>
> I hope my efforts contributed to his removal.
>
> Len
>
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > For those of you, in an alcohol and/or a drug induced coma, stuck in an
> > orange grove, picking fruit, I submit the following correct information
> > on my good friend AF2Y. The reason he is off AOL, is of his own doing,
> > and business related. As the story goes, Doc was frequently knocked
> > off line, during business transactions, which precipitated him to try
> > another ISP, Radiosigs@mindspring.com. He's not very pleased with the
> > Mindspring ISP either, so expect to find the good Doctor back on AOL,or
> > possibly using cable modem on another account. Thank you all for your
> > patience, he shall return.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

1930. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <387E3987.CC1FAC4@amouse.net>
Source record: 121586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
> not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
> hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
> got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
> my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
> got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
> had used that fact to build my resume.

The ham license has never been proof of electronics skill or knowledge, Dick. When you got the jobs you describe above, did you do so without any further training? In other words, did the radio/tv repair shop hire you without giving you any training after you were hired? Did the military hire you without any further training?

If they had to train you, then you cannot now claim that passing the ham license exam was evidence of electrical skill since those organizations did not take that license as proof of electrical skill. For those organizations, that license was only proof that you had some basic understanding of basic electronics and therefore would be easier to train than another person.

And the ham license of today still serves that purpose. However, it plays less of a role in the hiring process today simply because radio plays less of a role in an electronics career today. Years ago, because of the greater role radio played in an electronics career, many more organizations were willing to give credit for any radio training (no matter how basic). Since radio plays less of a role today, fewer organizations give that credit (even those who did years ago).

I also think you're being a little disingenuous here, Dick. After working at a radio/tv repair shop, graduating near the top of your electronics school class, and working in electronics in the military, I really doubt that the ham license really played that much of a role in your later employment at that "good technical job."

The simple fact, whether you want to admit it or not, is that the ham license exam has always been an extrance exam into the world of hobby radio (hobby radio, not professional or commercial radio). That is the way the FCC designed it many years ago, and that is also why there have always been other, much more difficult, license exams for professional or commercial radio personnel.

With that in mind, the existing license exam system serves its purpose well.

Dwight Stewart
Amateur Radio, Technician
F.C.C. License: W5NET
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

1931. Re: Geriatric Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <33D4F16C613113EB.1510A6373D8E0820.84BEFBEAAA570C5F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > sid9 wrote:
> > >
> > > It's time we let go of World
> > > War 1 relics like Morse code, "Q" code and numbered messages. These are
> > > symbols of the ham communities backwardness and the "dog in the manger"
> > > attitude toward our frequency allocations.
> > >
>

Yeowch...let's not forget that the numbered messages are part of a "filing system." :)

Kim W5TIT

> --
> Ken Jobling
> http://www.bigfoot.com/~vk3kjc/
>

1932. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387e32e4.1633870@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I understand it real well, In fact it's an 8wpm barrier but you may
have got stuck at 10wpm. Morse code does in fact have something to do
with radio, We dont send it over the phone do we? But I do agree with
most of the post in here that morse at present does not make ham radio
what it is today. Maybe 30 or more years ago, but not today. Good luck
getting passed the barrier and I hope I dont have that problem when I
get there which I know I will! :(

73's de kf6foz

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 12:48:55 GMT, "Christopher Cox" <cobox@urec.net>
wrote:

>Well, I understand your point, but you may not have tried this yourself.
>There is a definite 10wpm 'Wall', I am there now. This is caused when you
>are trying to condition yourself to use the 'sound' of a character to
>recognize it vs. interpreting a collection of dots and dashes. As the mind
>fluctuates between modes, you hesitate and start missing characters.
>
>I am earnestly trying for my 13 or 20 wpm, but still think Morse code has
>zero to do with radio.
>
>Please stop crossposting this to the sat group.
>
>Thanks
>
>Christopher Cox
>KC8FRJ
>
>

1933. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85lf01amb1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121589

On the flip side of worst call....I worked a VE1EEE and it took me days to
get that rhythm out of my head.

de Tim K0FL

Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <85l84c$d9n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <387DE498.8ACFA1E4@nospam.wcom.com>,
> WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com> wrote:
>> Enough squawking like a pigeon about restructuring.
>>
>> Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.
>>
>> I'm split over W0OOO and WB5HHH, although x0EE rates up there.
>>
>>
>Back in 61 I was issued KN8BHH as a Novice, then had K8BHH. Trying to
>support ham radio on a paper route was tough, so I stayed on CW. Got
>pretty good at sending H.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

1934. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85lflcqch1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Followup line set to just to .misc and .policy

Gary - KJ6Q wrote in rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:
>year or so ago when I last made comparisons. You are right, MANY in
>the UK are as shocked as YOU are when they are exposed to figures
>supplied by their OWN local law enforcement agency - they, and others
>in the world merely ASSUME that the reduced number of weapons in the
>UK (or ANY place where citizens are disarmed) *MUST* result in less
>violence, rape, muggings and similer - they are WRONG! A year or so
>ago when my numbers were obtained, the UK was also pretty much the
>world leader in car theft - ahead of the USA even, and I thought OURS
>was pretty outrageous!

What percent of the violent crimes occurring in the UK are committed
by criminals armed with firearms?

Jeff

1935. Re: TM241 tone board NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387e3ca3.4129743@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Dont know, But when I bought 3 of them from kenwood the decoder was an
option not the encoder! My radios can all bring up repeaters with any
PL tone but cant decode since they dont have a decoder!!!

On 13 Jan 2000 15:02:38 GMT, The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com> wrote:

>
>Well how come kenwoods flyers and their website says
>"optional CTCSS Encoder" etc..
>
>In rec.radio.amateur.equipment kf6foz <cobra@budweiser.com> wrote:
>: The decoder is the option for a TM-241a. All radios come with the
>: encoder stock on these units!
>
>:>http://www.pacparts.com Kenwood's Parts Center Distro. Every
>:>Kenwood owner should bookmark that site and keep it handy, you
>:>can order just about any part for any kenwood radio past and
>:>present. And what you want is the Encoder. The Decoder comes
>:>standard on all radios, its the Encoder that is optional which
>:>allows you to activate the CTCSS function.
>:>
>:>Jimmy
>:>---
>:> _______________________________
>:>/\ \
>:>_| Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye! |
>:> | The NeXus hath posted |
>:> |http://www.datasync.com/nexus|
>:> | ____________________________|_
>:> _/____________________________/
>
>
>
>--
>~ o ~
>' | ` AMATEUR - RADIO - STATION - K B 5 F S V
> |
> |====. Jimmy W. Simmons, Amateur Radio Operator
> |.--.| KB5FSV, Member Jackson County ARES/RACES
> ||o=|| and SkyWarn. Grid: EM50QJ Running Kenwood
> ||__|| TS450, TS440, and Collins S-line Stations
> |[::]| Former Civil Air Patrol - MockingBird 484
> '----'

1936. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Bertini <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <85lhb9$p5o$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Padding" the subscription list by honoring dual subscriptions with two
mailings of QEX would certainly
look good to advertisers and on the books IMHO.

Do I remember correctly that a former ARRL lab employee was asked to resign
or give
up his then part-time VHF preamp business due to "conflict of interest",
or is my
memory failing me? If so, how can a MAJOR amateur radio equipment
manufacturer now work as a
ARRL employee?

Pete

> I don't know the numbers, although there is certainly substantial
> overlap. Still, the folks who worked the numbers through concluded it
> would work.
>
> >You folks aren't going to send me two copies
> >now are you?
>
> I'll be very surprised if we do!
>
> Jon
> --
> Jon Bloom, KE3Z
> jbloom@arrl.org
> Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

1937. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ah2ak@email.com
Message-ID: <387f4bd7.2289275@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121593

I know a guy in northern Thailand who requested HS5HHH

now, that is REALLY all dits!!!

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 14:43:38 GMT, WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
wrote:

>Enough squawking like a pigeon about restructuring.
>
>Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.
>
>I'm split over W0OOO and WB5HHH, although x0EE rates up there.

1938. REAL Ham Radio Now on 14275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Haddington <math321@uri.edu>
Message-ID: <expy0HhX$GA.255@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 121594

<rileygotchu@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>

Sorry rileygotchu, you & your ilk were
quietly & efficiently trumped on this move.

The move to 14275 was both symbolic
& substantive, and is being proved over & again
each day as REAL ham radio plays out on 14275.
Good old-fashion ham talk & hams helping hams
is going on daily on 14275. No Porkbutts and
no Herbies, hate to break reality to you, they're
gone.

BRAVO to Dan & others for their ham
radio leadership on this one! K1TP is
documenting your positive efforts on his web
page!

NOW, rileygotchu, the ball is in YOUR
court! Here's a challenge for you. How about
You also showing some ham radio leadership?

YOU
can call & raise the move to 14275 by knocking
off the negative attacks in this NG and let it
get back to old fashion ham radio. So
what's your answer? Everyone in this news
group is waiting.....? Let the wars be
over, for good! both on the air and in this forum!
What say you?

1939. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387e40d2.5201103@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121595

How technical is it to go to HRO and buy all your equipment and go home then hook it up and be on the air? Half of the technical stuff in the exams an avg. amateur doesnt even use but im not complaining till some like this want more technical exams!! You are killing your own band buy bitching about how easy it is, but you werent complaining when you took the test. Stop preeching and start teaching!!

You are not at a higher level! You are no different than a CB'er! Only thing is you have a license to use ham bands and they dont. If every single CB op went to take a test for there ticket, I guarantee more than 50% of them would pass.The other 50% would probably fail because they have no interest or are bored and dont care for ham radio and just want to get back to there CB.

I believe the FCC did a good job except for the fact of only requireing 5wpm. Why do we need 13wpm for General and Advanced? Why not just leave 13wpm for general, get rid of advanced all together and leave 20wpm for extra. I bet that would make you feel alot better cause it would make you feel like your better than the rest because you can send faster than the next guy! Doesnt that show when you go to the cw bands and paddle away? I have interes in code for one reason only and that is to get my HF priviliges. I dont want anything to do with the CW portions on any band, just voice! Is there a problem why you can't comprehend that? The DMV doesnt make me take a test for a motorcycle when I want to drive a car!!! Nor do they make me take a test to drive a big rig either!

If I was to restructure the ham license, I would create a way that all who are intersted can get involved. The FCC started the right thing with the no-code tech but didnt finish to include voice portions of the HF bands! If I was licensed to participate in the HF bands, I would be able to listen to QSO's and practice live on the air with someone instead of having to listen to some tape or a computer program that does the same thing over and over! Did I mention that 95% of humans have a better learning ability doing it hands on? Dont ruin ham radio with meaningless phsycobabble and repeat post from other users! Post positive towards ham radio and keep it open to new users as well as old farts alike! You cant have it your way, It is everyones way or the highway! Either deal with it or sit on your frequency and listen! Either way, you are a ham and will help to keep the band alive, active or not!! Just remember, Participants Prosper!!

73's de KF6FOZ

1940. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387E51F9.B2C3497@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> What percent of the violent crimes occurring in the UK are committed
> by criminals armed with firearms?

When the victim is unarmed, what does it matter? They might as well
bring knives because they know there will be no gunfight.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1941. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387e51e0.122774323@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121597
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 23:31:24 GMT, Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
wrote:

>Not true grasshopper.
>
. . sorry . .
>
w3rv

1942. Re: equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr G H Ireland <igor@argonet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <na.dbf3da497f.a70320igor@argonet.co.uk>
Source record: 121598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >(snip) What did I screw up? (snip)
The equations you used are correct for a series connection of a
> resistor and an inductance. For a parallel connection the equation is
> different.
>
> For a parallel connection of X and R you get:
>
> Z=1/[(1/R)+(1/X*i)] where i=sqrt(-1) and X=2*Pi*F*L
>
> Z=[(R*X^2)/(X^2+R^2)]+i*[(R^2*X)/(X^2+R^2)]
>
> Now the part multiplied by "i" is the equivalent inductive reactance (Xeq)
> of the parallel circuit, and the remaining part is the equivalent
> resistive (Req) part.
>
> The Q is still calculated by X/R, but in the parallel case it is Xeq/Req,
> which yields
> R/X, for the paralleled inductive reactance and resistance.
.....
Cheers, Leland. Nice to see a really helpful post to a ham in need!

After a number of false starts, I followed out your equations and got the
right answer, too.

In parallel, the bigger the resistance, the higher the Q,
in series, the higher the resistance the lower the Q

So all is as expected!

73 de G3CCL

--

__ __ __ __ __ ___ _____
|__||__)/ __/ \|\ ||_ | /
| || __/__/| \||__ | /...Internet access for all Acorn RISC machines
_____/ igor@argonet.co.uk

1943. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <zxsf4.1301$bQ1.19819@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeff Pierce wrote<387E20AD.E0F614A5@preferred.com>...
>It is, CQ bought Ham Radio after Jim Fisk's death and right after I
>renewed for a year, and immeadiatly cease publication. Giving Ham Radio
>subscribers CQ in place of. I called and canceled and got a prorated
>refund. Then CQ later started Communications Quarterly.

A couple blank space there, Jeff. After Jim died, there were other HR editors including Rich Rosen and Terry Northup over a period of several years. Ham Radio was sold to CQ when Publisher Skip Tenny decided to retire in 1989--and shortly thereafter, Comm Quarterly was launched in Fall of 1990 with former Ham Radio Editor Terry Northup doing the start-up. Terry remained with the Quarterly until it was sold last month.

73

Rick K1BQT

1944. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <uYzY3lhX$GA.221@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 121600

Try WB6BDB !

WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com> wrote in message
news:387DE498.8ACFA1E4@nospam.wcom.com...
> Enough squawking like a pigeon about restructuring.
>
> Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.
>
> I'm split over W0OOO and WB5HHH, although x0EE rates up there.
>

1945. FS: 90 watt 2 meter amplifier, Standard Mobil UHF radio, Eico Signal Generator.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387e50d9.9304299@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

90 watt 2 meter amplifier.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236722955

Standard GMR-1 series UHF mobil

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236701146

Eico Signal Generator

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236710912

Good luck and thanx for takeing a look.

1946. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <QG62rFAkqjf4EwTV@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121602

In article <387DE498.8ACFA1E4@nospam.wcom.com>, WB3KUM
<d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com> writes
>Enough squawking like a pigeon about restructuring.
>
>Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.
>
>I'm split over W0OOO and WB5HHH, although x0EE rates up there.

What about EI5IS ?

Mike

G3IJE and that final 'E' gets lost very often!
--
M.J.Powell

1947. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Alexander <salex@research.bell-labs.com>
Message-ID: <387E4812.DEBA864C@research.bell-labs.com>
Source record: 121603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Just to give some context, I took elements 2 and 3A yesterday (1/12/00) and so have just joined the ranks of the Welfare Hams (or will once the FCC updates the database). My reason for getting the license was because I want to telecommand and receive telemetry from model rockets and the ham license seemed the easiest way to become clearly legal. I also have a PhD in CS which mostly shows that I'm persistent but also means that some professors have at least talked about technical material while I was sitting in the room.

That said, I was frightened by how little I learned preparing for the tests and how little use I expect that to have. The RF safety stuff probably was probably the most useful. If that hand't been on the test, I wouldn't have known to think about it and certainly wouldn't have known where to look for answers if I did give it a thought. I feel like I know where to find the information now.

As to band limits, I decided early on that I didn't want to memorize them and figured out that even if I got all the band limit questions wrong (as well as the questions about what was appropriate on what bands and even what power is allowed on what band), I'd still pass the tests. So I pretty much ignored that stuff. When designing the radio element for my rockets (or if I ever decide to use any other amateur privileges), I'll look up the information on bands, etc.

As to the texts, I picked up a text, somewhat on a whim at Radio Shack while I was looking for something else. I was appalled to find out that it had the list of questions with answers and brief explanations. I had expected much more general information to fit the pieces together. Moreover, I do not feel like I could go out today and choose the right rig for any given purpose (even though I do know that I need a variable frequency oscillator if I want a VFO-controlled transmitter and I know that phase modulation is quite similar to FM). I would guess that if I decided what band I wanted to be on, that Table NT-01 could be used to infer the correct choice of antenna, but otherwise I wouldn't know what choice to make. (I would know where to hook up the SWR meter, though.)

Ultimately, I guess I believe the most useful test would consist of being handed a box of parts and told to construct a transceiver and to make a successful contact with it. That would seem to cover the range of privileges granted by the license quite well. Failing that, it would seem that even with the pass-the-test type books, if passing the test required the applicant to make an on-air contact under the supervision and coaching of the VE, there would be a good opportunity to pass along some of the traditions and practices of amateur radio.

A final note: While my goal through all of this was telecommand and telemetry, my interest was piqued enough that I've started thinking about buying a rig and upgrading my (soon to be) license. Which would mean learning code (at least slowly). Suffice it to say that after a bad experience trying to learn code as a Boy Scout, learning code had been a bar to any interest I had in ham radio until the no-code license became available and even then, I had enough of a bad taste in my mouth that it took a different impetus to get me to get even that. So, even for those who want to preserve the traditions of amateur radio, you might consider how many are being kept away by the seeming insularity of some of the requirements at the same time you count the number of CBers who will be taking over the airwaves.

Scott

Will White wrote:

> Rote memorization is not on the same level as learning a fundamental
> concept thoroughly. Yes, there is some retention by rote, even enough to
> be useful (subjects like band and power limits come to mind), but
> knowing the answer to a question that asks which HF band to use when the
> MUF is a given frequency is not nearly as useful as learning the
> fundamentals of HF propagation as concepts, and as importantly learning
> and understanding how the various factors (solar activity, MUF, critical
> angle, antenna polarization and radiation angle, scatter modes, etc.)
> affect one another and combine to produce a certain type and quality of
> propagation.
>
> I think the FCC would be doing operators a *favor* by closing the
> question pools, since people would have to study concepts and
> *understand* the theory well to pass the tests. The same folks would be
> happier later, ticket in hand, when the time came to actually set up and
> operate a station, and the learning curve of new hams would not be as
> steep as it is (listen to many of the questions asked on repeaters about
> basics, that in fact were on the exam the poser just recently took and
> passed, and you see this in action).
>
> I have a pretty sponge like memory and remember the *wording* of many
> questions from the ARS exams [and others] I have taken, but I am very
> glad that I approached preparing for ham exams by using the ARRL guides,
> which I have hung onto because they are actually useful reference,
> because they treat their subject matter in thorough detail, and as a
> whole, instead of simply presenting the test questions with the correct
> answer highlighted and with only minimal explanation.
>
> That is the difference between a textbook and a test guide. I have
> learned well the basics of propagation, antennas, safety, proper and
> effective operation, and AC/DC circuits from the ARRL guides (and books
> like them, such as George Grammer's "Understanding Amateur Radio"). Such
> reading prepared me first for the tests, then to set up and operate a
> station, and finally laid the foundation for further learning,
> understanding, and development in my hobby.

>
> The Q&A style books certainly don't do the latter. If you use them you
> will wind up doubling your effort/reading later, since they do a poor
> job of really teaching principles. Even if the Q-pools don't get closed,
> whenever I can I urge that people avoid the Q&A type guides because you
> don't learn much from them that you can form generalizations from and
> then apply in particular cases.
>
> We spend a lot of time debating license exams in terms of fairness,
> relevance, and their function as a filter. We ought to look at them, and
> change them as needed, more from the point of view on how well or poorly
> they prepare people to actually operate a ham station day-to-day, after
> the license has been granted.
>
> Will
> KD7BFX
>
> Yeah Right wrote:
> >
> > Hmm! Even though memorizing the answer and CW is mostly what this post
> > pertains to is not the problem!! If you memorize the answer then you
> > get the question right, You have learned something regardless of what
> > others say!

1948. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RC <ronwcook@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85lnavhsi1@ffx2nh3.news.uu.net>
Source record: 121604
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mike be careful before you get someone jammed up.

I'll have to reread the NY Vehicle and Traffic law, but from
memory it simply states no one can have radios capable of
receiving police calls, no distinction whether you have freqs
programed or not, just can't be CAPABLE of receiving police
freqs.

Also, you can have scanners, etc. capable of receiving police freqs
if you have a letter from the Sheriff, etc. stating its ok.

Thats what I remember of the way the laws written, interps and
enforcement are of course another matter.

Best, RC

Mike Yetsko wrote in message ...
>
>N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote in message
>news:6Ubf4.1549$Oe.34291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>>
>> Hams are exempt in all states from laws forbidding scanners in cars.
>>
>
>Well, sort of true. There are still states that prohibit scanners, and
>HAMs as a 'general' rule are exempt at the state level. But NY for
>instance will allow HAMs to have scanners, but unless something
>has changed in the last 2 years, they consider HAMs in violation
>if they have any police frequencies programmed into their rigs or
>scanners.
>
>Of course, if the HAMs are tracking a police jammer, with PD knowledge
>and/or assistance, there shouldn't be an issue.
>
>Mike
>
>
>

1949. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RC <ronwcook@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85lnb0hsi2@ffx2nh3.news.uu.net>
Source record: 121605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Mike:

Be careful before you get someone jammed up.

From memory, NYS makes no distinction and forbids
having a radio capable of receiving police transmissions,
whether or not the freqs are programmed in.

NY law allows these radios if you have a letter from the
Sheriff, etc. oking it.

I'll get the exact language if you want.

Best, RC

Mike Yetsko wrote in message ...
>
>N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote in message
>news:6Ubf4.1549$Oe.34291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>>
>> Hams are exempt in all states from laws forbidding scanners in cars.
>>
>
>Well, sort of true. There are still states that prohibit scanners, and
>HAMs as a 'general' rule are exempt at the state level. But NY for
>instance will allow HAMs to have scanners, but unless something
>has changed in the last 2 years, they consider HAMs in violation
>if they have any police frequencies programmed into their rigs or
>scanners.
>
>Of course, if the HAMs are tracking a police jammer, with PD knowledge
>and/or assistance, there shouldn't be an issue.
>
>Mike
>
>
>

1950. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <387e63b6.127341974@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121606
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>I see no reason why you can't brag about a 30WPM award the same way as you
>would a DXCC or your 2x1 callsign.
>
The ARRL has been passing out code proficiency wallpaper for eons.
w1aw broadcasts code tests up to 40wpm, other organizations do the
same thing to higher speeds. The difference between a piece of
wallpaper nobody sees and a distinctive callsign is that the callsign
is the first and last thing the world hears when you jump on a freq.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

Am I totally all wet on this?
>
>The bottom line is the FCC doesn't care how hard you worked,
>nor are they interested in certifying your skills to other hams or
>impressing your buddies with your high-class callsign.
>They just want to be sure you pass muster. They issue the
>ticket as a permit to allow you on the air, plain
>and simple. You meet some minimum standard, and you're in just the
>same as the guy who got every question right and 100% copy on the
>code test. (This "Pass/Fail" phenomenon at the DMV explains a lot about
>what
>passes for driving in Calfornia, but that's a whole other digression.) ;)
>
>If the ARRL was so eager to nurture and protect the Code, they ought to get
>off their
>collective butts and find ways to recognize hams who DO use the code. The
>FCC
>doesn't (and shouldn't) care about our internal squabbles.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1951. Help with Kenwood TRC70 radiotelephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prometeo <prometeo5@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <387E6D4B.585D0FA3@geocities.com>
Source record: 121607

Please, help me with mods for TRC70 Radiotelephone. Itï¿½s possible to
convert it to full band?
Thanking in advance,
Prometeo

1952. Re: counter wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Beadner <beadner@et.byu.edu>
Message-ID: <85ls4e$hm8$1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 121608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I just recently bought the Optoelectronics 3000A on Ebay for $207.50 and it sells retail for $359. I was willing to pay up to 300, but nobody else bid against me, so I got a great deal.
Take a look there and search for frequency counter. Because of their cost, i would definitely go used or non dealer.

JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote in message news:387C5686.E8F2097A@analon.com...
> wanting to buy a optotronics or similar counter!
>
> looking for a frequency counter to compliment my 6 scanners. I usually have
> two with me in my truck... it would be nice to know the frequency some nearby
> transmitter is using so I can set the scanner accordingly.
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> *****--
> * JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com
> * the RIGHT computer for you at an AFFORDABLE
> price
> *.........................>310-346-7404<
> *......... Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
> * Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
> * Junk/spam mail goes straight to the bit bucket!
> * if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail so I will check the grp
> *****--
>
>

1953. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <387E780B.7198A56C@icss.net>
Source record: 121609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ wrote:

> Brian wrote:
> >
> > George T. Baker wrote:
> >
> > > Similar experience here, JJ, when at the age of 16 I braved the Dallas
> > > FCC office for my Class B exam. Only, I got sent out in the hall before I
> > > could ever get as far as the exam. I was shaking so badly that I could
> > > not even fill out the 610!
> > >
> > > Finally got over the shakes - barely - and got on with the code test and
> > > the written. I can sure echo what you said about the FCC staff there
> > > being very patient and understanding.
> >
> > But we have been told that the FCC "ordeal" is the only way to become a real
> > ham. Now someone comes on here and tells the truth of it.
>
> I dare say it was and "ordeal" because the person taking the exam made
> it one, not the FCC examiner. Only natural to be nervous about the test,
> especially when you have driven 200 mile to get to the FCC office. You
> certainly hoped to pass so you did not have to make the trip again.

I'll have to make sure I get myself all worked up when I take Extra. I'll stay up all night and drink 3 pots of coffee.

1954. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <2750ac20.0746c82d@usw-ex0101-005.remarq.com>
Source record: 121610

I have two handhelds that don't have an LCD display.

One is a TH-21AT, the other is a TH-41AT (Kenwoods).
Also have my trusty, dusty Yaesu FT-470, and my new jewel,
a Kenwood Th-D7A, both of which have LCD displays.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

1955. Re: equasion for Q given freq, inductance, resistance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <85m5nb$kcv@nnrp2.farm.idt.net>
Source record: 121611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mr G H Ireland" <igor@argonet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:na.dbf3da497f.a70320igor@argonet.co.uk...
>
.....
> Cheers, Leland. Nice to see a really helpful post to a ham in need!
>
> After a number of false starts, I followed out your equations and got the
> right answer, too.
>
> In parallel, the bigger the resistance, the higher the Q,
> in series, the higher the resistance the lower the Q
>
> So all is as expected!

Thanks for the good word. Hope you have good luck on your exam(s). Remember,
its a hobby too, so have fun!

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

1956. Re: Extra upgrade? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <HJI+OAYe8Awf63LOgDdhZcw1l3Wn@4ax.com>
Source record: 121612

On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 10:09:37 -0700, "Charles T Johnston" <charles@ab7sl.com> wrote:
>No...to get Extra upgrade after April 15 you need CSCE for general and extra
>written and proof of 5wpm. I do not see where you would need to have passed
>the advanced. I believe you can take any written test you want at any time
>although most progress logically through the elements I don't think that
>progression is a requirement.

Currently, to get written credit for Extra, you have to pass elements
2, 3a, 3b, 4a, and 4b. You have to have them *all*. If you are currently
Tech Plus, you already have credit for 2 and 3a, but you must still get
a CSCE for 3b, 4a, and 4b to qualify for the Extra. You can't skip 4a,
or any of the other elements required for the class of license you are
seeking.

After April 15, the 4a and 4b pools will be combined, and a single test
just called 4 will exist. But it will cover the same materials currently
covered by 4a and 4b. That means there will be more material to study
for that single test (the pool size will double). Today, you can do it in
two smaller more manageable bites by taking 4a and then 4b. You'll
still need to have passed 2 and 3 (3a and 3b will similarly be combined
into an element just called 3, and will also have a double pool size).

So it is an advantage to take the present written elements before
April 15th because you can approach them in more manageable
chunks than you will be able to do after April 15th. But to get Extra,
either now or after April 15th, you have to pass *all* of the written
elements. You can't skip any of them.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1957. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Sp1+OJSpJ7S=ObYvgx3Okz2YZY2R@4ax.com>
Source record: 121613

On Sat, 8 Jan 2000 21:48:34 -0600, "Cal25skipper" <Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:
>I hope you are all correct.
>
>I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement
>(if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
>what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
>them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
>today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

Primarily because other amateurs won't talk to you unless you use your
"true name", ie your call sign. CBers use made up handles that allow them
to basically act as annoymous masked persons in a mob. Hams won't
tolerate that. People in a mask will do things that they'd never dream of
doing if their neighbors could see and recognize their faces. This is the
real difference between amateur radio and CB with respect to behavior
on the air. Most hams proudly tell you their "true names" (often over and
over).

>It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
>and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
>higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
>produce a better idividual.

I don't believe that. Some of our most notorious mass murderers have
been college graduates. The Inquisition was run by the most educated
people of its day. Etc. Education and good character are not equivalents.
My father, who never finished the 8th grade, was the most honest man
I've ever known. Most saints had little formal education. Etc. The notion
that education correlates with good character is to put it charitably,
nonsense. I have only to point out that *lawyers* are highly educated
to show the fallacy of that idea.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

1958. Re: What is MIL-SPEC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: k1gkm@juno.com
Message-ID: <20000113.222902.-318993.0.K1GKM@juno.com>
Source record: 121614

On Sun, 9 Jan 2000 23:39:44 -0600 "Mike" <michaelj@networktel.net>
writes:
> Message from the Deja.com forum:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Your subscription is set to individual email delivery
> >
> Jimmy,
>
> MIL-SPEC is short for Military Specifications. The unit was built
> to very
> demanding specifications set forth by the Dept of Defense. This
> usually
> means the unit will perform under very adverse conditions.
>
> Mike
> <test_132@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:85bj9i$ab6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > I see a lot of HT's nowadays (including my IC-Q7A) that are
> advertised
> > as "Meeting MIL STD 810 C/D/E for shock/vibration" Although I
> know
> > this means it's built strong and rugged, I've always wondered
> exactly
> > what qualifies a radio as meeting this standard. Any ideas?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Jimmy
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> That means it's a close to "Sailor proof" as humanly possible.
>
>
>
> ____
> Deja.com: Before you buy.
> http://www.deja.com/
> * To modify or remove your subscription, go to
> http://www.deja.com/edit_sub.xp?group=rec.radio.amateur.misc
> * Read this thread at
>

>
http://www.deja.com/thread/%3CUnee4.97%24G3.10555%40news1.atlantic.net%3E
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1959. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387EC1D7.76848247@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

OK, thanks for the clarification. No, restructuring doesn't change the difficulty of passing a 5 WPM exam, of course. It does make it easier to gain wide(r) access to the HF bands using modes other than CW though.

The language argument is one that keeps popping up, though no linguist would ever consider it so. CW is a code, a system that transliterates the alphabet and other symbols into sounds. Any language can be encoded using CW. Learning CW does have some of the same features of learning a language, especially in terms of learning to recognize equivalents (di-dah for 'A', etc.). But CW itself has no grammar or syntax of its own, both necessary to consider a signing or signalling system to be a language. You can't communicate with someone in CW per se, but rather in English, or Spanish, or German, which has been encoded in CW.

Passing the 5 WPM test is very much easier than passing the higher speeds. I learned the 43 tested characters at 5 WPM solid copy in 9 days, about 90 minutes a day. It took me another 4 months of daily practice at this level to get near 20 WPM. I wouldn't have had such a hard time, I think, if I had learned morse at 13 or greater from the start. CW at >10 WPM is a whole other thing than CW at 5 WPM, so it is not a simple linear progression of skill and difficulty, more nearly geometric.

Will
KD7BFX

Yeah Right wrote:
>
> I am suggesting that morse code is another language in itself, and
> learning a language is harder than improving the language skills!
> The post was to explain that The restructuring
> isn't changing the difficulty level of passing 5wpm.
> In other words, Passing 5wpm is harder to pass than 13/20 wpm.
> Therefore I must ask you, Did that answer your question or was I not
> reading your question right?
>
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 19:02:38 -0700, Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Excuse me, but do you suggest that passing a 5 WPM code test is *not*
> >easier than passing a 13/20 WPM test? It certainly sounds like it, and
> >that is just a dumb thing to say.
> >
> >Will
> >KD7BFX

> >
> >Yeah Right wrote:
> >>
> >> The restructuring doesnt make it easier for people to become hams!!!
> >> You still have to pass your no-code exam and then pass 5wpm to
> >> upgrade!! Whats the big deal??? e

1960. help Kenwood TM-V7A stays in transmit after PTT is released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Smith <rsmith@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <387D7D82.BF16A92B@megsinet.net>
Source record: 121732

My mobile Kenwood TM-V7A stays in transmit after PTT is released. Push the PTT briefly and it stays in transmit until I power it down. The button is not stuck. The antenna and Battery connections seem sound. The remote display and Mic have been reseated with no change with the problem. I can't find anything in the manual that would cause this if set accidentally. I've not moved the radio or antenna cables but I have been programing in new frequencies into memory. I can still program stuff and make changes to setting. So, before I do a total reset I thought I'd ask.

Has anyone else had this problem and what did you do to fix it?

1961. Hey Joe!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Cool <kb0tix@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s7r168jnoj888@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Joe! I found a hole!

1962. Hey Joe!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Cool <kb0tix@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s7r1bv7coj853@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Here's a guy who's almost dug through to the other side! HAHAHA!!!

1963. Re: Geriatric Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tom B." <ab7tu@parg.org>
Message-ID: <387D834C.88F9C429@parg.org>
Source record: 121735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Get real, it's funny that CW bears the brunt of Ham Radio unpopularity
but the internet is never mentioned. While were talking crazy, let's get
rid of all those old fart hams, they may have outlived their usefulness
and don't present a now and with it image to the young blood.

sid9 wrote:
>
> The Morse code requirement has done more to hurt ham radio then any of the
> "worse than CBers" that you hear on the bands. It's time we let go of World
> War 1 relics like Morse code, "Q" code and numbered messages. These are
> symbols of the ham communities backwardness and the "dog in the manger"
> attitude toward our frequency allocations.
>

1964. Re: TM241 tone board NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387d88a3.26670893@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

The decoder is the option for a TM-241a. All radios come with the
encoder stock on these units!

>http://www.pacparts.com Kenwood's Parts Center Distro. Every
>Kenwood owner should bookmark that site and keep it handy, you
>can order just about any part for any kenwood radio past and
>present. And what you want is the Encoder. The Decoder comes
>standard on all radios, its the Encoder that is optional which
>allows you to activate the CTCSS function.
>
>Jimmy
>---
> _______________________________
>/\ \
>_| Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye! |
> | The NeXus hath posted |
> |http://www.datasync.com/nexus|
> | ____________________________|_
> _/____________________________/

1965. Re: help Kenwood TM-V7A stays in transmit after PTT is released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387d8b41.27340803@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121737

I have had this problem on several radio's and in all cases my problem
was with my SWR being too high! Start there and try your test method
on low power as well!!!

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 01:23:46 -0600, R Smith <rsmith@megsinet.net>
wrote:

>My mobile Kenwood TM-V7A stays in transmit after PTT is released. Push
>the PTT briefly and it stays in transmit until I power it down. The
>button is not stuck. The antenna and Battery connections seem sound.
>The remote display and Mic have been reseated with no change with the
>problem. I can't find anything in the manual that would cause this if
>set accidentally. I've not moved the radio or antenna cables but I have
>been programing in new frequencies into memory. I can still program
>stuff and make changes to setting. So, before I do a total reset I
>thought I'd ask.
>
>Has anyone else had this problem and what did you do to fix it?
>
>
>
>
>

1966. Re: Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85ker8ped1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121738

In article <Sxaf4.5542$VV3.33532@news3.atl>,
fmdj@hotmail.com (JB) wrote:
> Tuning around on a shortwave radio the other night I came upon 14.313.
> Is this what you're trying to protect?
> I've been reading how the bands are going to be taken over by CB-ers.
Can you
> tell me how CB-ers are any different from what I heard on there?
>
what you herd was people having fun what you want is the arrl strat and
narrow (your 5-9 next,last two,cq dx,cq contest,takeing checkins)
BORRING BORRING BORRING GET A FRIGGN LIFEgo drink a beer say a cus word
be a man quit being a wus tell your wife to shut up if you dare.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1967. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <387DB3E4.9ED0D657@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 121739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Words are more than mere words. Words can get you killed. Words can get
> you hired. Society decides about you by the words you use. Children are,
> and should be taught societies rules, including how and when to use the
> correct words, if they are to have a chance at being successful in life...

"Correctness" may vary outside of your dying circle.

--
Michael Moore

1968. Re: Comms Quarterly to combine with QEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fractenna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113063405.22200.00000003@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 121740

K1BQT sed:

<<Hi Jon--

One more thing...

> I'm a bit troubled by Doug Smith's dual role as Lester Ernshaw's Chief Engineer at Kachina--and as Editor of QEX. The potential for conflict of interest here is very real.....<

Again, nothing personal against Doug, but someone who used to work for the League informed me of a strict League policy that stated NOBODY working for the League could be employed or engaged in any other ham radio-related activity for profit. Perhaps you can fill me in. Has this policy changed? Is Doug paid for his services or is he a "volunteer"? If he is a "volunteer", does the League believe his unpaid status makes him less a "titled professional" than a paid League staffer who might otherwise occupy the same position? Exactly what is Doug's relationship with you folks--and how much of his time per month does QEX and all related administrative matters require?

73

Rick K1BQT>>

You've gone gunning for Doug in the last dozen posts, and now you try to sugar coat it.

GET A GRIP MAN!

Face reality:

1) Communications Quarterly is defunct. It's GONE.
2) CQ Communications sold it without any stipulations of editorial restrictions to ARRL, to enhance QEX
3) the new QEX will have an editor WILLING TO VOLUNTEER HIS TIME to make the new magazine work BETTER
4) that new editor has a great rep with the ham community
5) you weren't asked to move over to the new QEX, nor were any of the editorial staff of Communications Quarterly.

Doug's doing people a FAVOR by being QEX editor.

If you don't like that--tough! START YOUR OWN MAGAZINE!

And no one gives a bean what you think about it. Or hadn't you noticed.

If you are troubled by what to do why don't you ask your confidant W8JI? He always seems to have an opinion to offer.

Move on; don't blame others for your situation. Having been your 'whipping boy' since 1996, I wouldn't want others to undergo the same abusive treatment. Perhaps Doug will go straight to legal to get you in line; IMHO at this point you owe him a public apology.

Chip N1IR

1969. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <85kel0dvv1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 121741
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <387D7388.7B92739E@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Joe K7JOE wrote:
>>
>> Bravo, Cecil. You speak the truth. As an extra class 20 WPM ham who
>> enjoys CW, I decided to do my share elmering / being a VE. So,
>> I teach a cw practice session via repeater twice a week for 30 minutes
>> per session.
>
>Good for you, Joe. If the PCTA folk follow your example, CW will
>remain alive and well. If they don't, only they are responsible
>for the consequences.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Maybe railroad station masters should have practice
sessions in railroad telegraphy too.

--
If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail.
Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm.
Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development.
Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI

1970. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@urec.net>
Message-ID: <01bf5dc5$4e952180$687f473f@cbx-nt>
Source record: 121742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> The post was to explain that The restructuring
> isn't changing the difficulty level of passing 5wpm.
> In other words, Passing 5wpm is harder to pass than 13/20 wpm.
> Therefore I must ask you, Did that answer your question or was I not
> reading your question right?

Well, I understand your point, but you may not have tried this yourself.
There is a definite 10wpm 'Wall', I am there now. This is caused when you
are trying to condition yourself to use the 'sound' of a character to
recognize it vs. interpreting a collection of dots and dashes. As the mind
fluctuates between modes, you hesitate and start missing characters.

I am earnestly trying for my 13 or 20 wpm, but still think Morse code has
zero to do with radio.

Please stop crossposting this to the sat group.

Thanks

Christopher Cox
KC8FRJ

1971. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <timothy_j_fiebig@email.mobil.com>
Message-ID: <387DD194.FF231CA3@snip.net>
Source record: 121743

Personally I feel that the FCC went too far with their license restructuring. I am a code buff, but I realize that there are more efficient means of communicating. The real problem I have is the general "dumbing" down of the ENTIRE exam process, especially when all written questions are available to public. Is there any real technical knowledge required to obtain a amateur ticket or are we just memorizing questions an answers.

It would have been nice if the FCC would have kept the Advance and Extra class license seperate with the higher code requirement the Extra class.

Can't argue to the fact that some extra class operators are no better behaved than some of the operators on 11 meters, but overall I once considered us at a higher level.

tjf

JB wrote:

> Listen to 14.313 lately? Is this a fine example of what knowing code gets you?
>
> In article <3876C7BA.7C34C8@woldnet.att.net>, xrayj@woldnet.att.net says...
> >
> >WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1
> >
> >"How easy are the current code tests?"
> >They have been passed by 4-year-olds, 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl
> >Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.
> >
> >"What is 'No Code International'?"
> >A handful of laceybritches whiny CBers who want to take over ham radio,
> >who have been humiliated, shown up and shut down by 4-year-olds,
> >84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.
> >
> >"What is 'ARRL'?"
> >The Asian Radio Retailer's Lobby, a magazine publishing house that
> >thinks they own ham radio and who will whore off true ham radio as they
> >see fit to preserve their incomes and their name badges.
> >
> >"I don't know code."
> >Then, of course, you are NO HAM.
> >
> >"But I don't want to learn code!"
> >Then you will remain NO HAM.
> >
> >"But I can't pass the code test!"
> >Then you don't qualify for a ham license.

> >
> >"But I shouldn't have to learn the code because I don't want to use it!"
> >
> >Then you're just a CBer.
> >
> >"But I have a "no-code technician license!"
> >Then you are a CBer on 2-meter CB.
> >
> >"But I have a call sign!"
> >So do CBers.
> >
> >"But 'ALL HAMS ARE EQUAL'!!"
> >You've been sitting out in the sun too long.
> >
> >"But Morse code is old fashioned!"
> >Not as old fashioned as using your VOCAL CORDS.
> >
> >"But the military...!"
> >Ham radio is not "the military."
> >
> >"But the Internet... !"
> >Ham radio is not "the Internet."
> >
> >"But it's the "digital age!"
> >Then learn the difference between "OFF" and "ON" and you can learn the
> >code.
> >
> >"But there are 'good people being kept out by the code requirement'."
> >See phenomenon #3 and #4 of the Anti-coder profile at
> >http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
> >
> >"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test should
> >be dropped."
> >See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
> >http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
> >
> >"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test speeds
> >should be reduced."
> >See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
> >http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
> >
> >"But so-and-so has a ham license and he is an "ex-CBer," and he thinks
> >that the code should be dropped!"
> >Then so-and-so is still just a CBer who merely wants "revised CB."
> >See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
> >http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
> >
> >"But I HAVE A RIGHT...!"
> >NO YOU DON'T.
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

1972. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387DD64C.3EF0@arrl.net>
Source record: 121744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:

> > > >Then how come so many PCTA folk salivate over it? :-)

> > Cecil the politician/pseudopsycholigist always reverses
> > roles to make his chosen side look much better than they
> > ever could naturally.

> Dick, please take a few brain cells away from your Morse code
> skill and try to remember what :-) means.

Aaron,

Could you explain to them again the true meaning of :-) in posts?

Thanks,
Ed

1973. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387DC5CA.535E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 121745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> >
> > Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
> > >
> > > On Mon, 10 Jan 2000, Dave Hall wrote:
> > > > Nicholas Geovanis wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > If YOU are a courteous operator with wide, deep technical knowledge,
> > > > > then YOU are a valuable radio amateur. One could match my description and
> > > > > hold a General license or below, or even no amateur license at all if you
> > > > > worked, say, in military or commercial communications.
> > > >
> > > > Yes, but look at the other side, with the testing requirments as they
> > > > now stand, a person with substantially LESS technical knowledge, skill
> > > > and discipline can obtain a high level ham license, with little actual
> > > > work. So the "value" of the license has been degraded, since it is no
> > > > longer necessary to be a "tech whiz" to get one.
> > >
> > > So what? Are you interested in collecting licenses, or are you interested
> > > in radio? If you're interested in radio, then your license is just as
> > > valuable as your radio skills, no more and no less. If you're interested
> > > in licenses, then, I dunno, take-up fishing. You can get a license from
> > > every state!
> >
> > Then why to people go to college to get a degree? That "piece of paper",
> > like a ham license, is a symbol of what you've endured in order to EARN
> > the privilege of being what you are.
> >
> > I know sveral "computer whiz" guys, that are 100% self taught. They
> > attack thier hobby, with intense enthusiasm, and are probably as smart,
> > if not smarter, than "book taught" college guys. But you know what? when
> > it comes to finding a high level computer job, they have it tough
> > because they lack the PROOF (the piece of paper) that says that they
> > really know what they are doing.
> >
> > >
> > > > Once upon a time, a ham license looked good of a job application or
> > > > resume. Participation in what was then "the" technical hobby, was a
> > > > testimony that the job applicant knew enough to pass the tests, which
> > > > meant something to a manager looking to hire technicians or engineers.
```

> > > > Today, that is no longer true, except for some specialized
> > > > communications fields.
> > >
> > > Here's my angle: I am looking at the person, not the paper. I guess that
> > > I'm too old-fashioned, eh?
> >
> > You cannot ascertain, with any certainty, the level of qualification a
> > person has, through a simple personal interview. Remember, you might
> > LIKE the person, but the fact that he shot a 65 on the last 18 holes,
> > drives the same kind of car, and has a hot looking girlfriend, mean
> > nothing as far as far as job qualification goes. Any documentation,
> > licenses, degrees, etc, that someone has is a testament, to their skill
> > level. A ham radio license used to be highly regarded in that vein.
> > Today, that is not as true.
> >
> > >
> > > > And it is still true that the value something has, to its owner, is
> > > > directly proportional to how much effort one had to expend to get it.
> > >
> > > Congratulations! You have identified the problem: The man is obsessing on
> > > "getting it". Getting what? A piece of PAPER. Forget the piece of paper.
> > > What matters is the knowledge, the experience, the fun. Paper is made from
> > > dead wood.
> > >
> >
> > The "piece of paper" is only a symbol. It's what it stands for that's
> > important. A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully, a
> > person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the
> > achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a
> > milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish
> > the value of someone's goals?
> >
> > Dave
>
> Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
> This is *Amateur* radio, not commercial/professional/broadcast/PCS
> whatever.
>
> The license is NOT a graduation certificate, but rather an entrance
> permit to the hobby/service. What one chooses to learn after that is up
> to them.
>

It is NOT irrelevant. At least it wasn't a few years back. I know from
personal experience. I was hired at a job once over another candidate,
because in addition to my other qualifications, I had a ham license. I
was told by the hiring manager at the time, that having a ham license
means that you actually "live" this stuff, and don't just look at it as
a 9 to 5 job, which they thought, meant a better employee. This was back
in the days when hams still built alot of their own projects, and the

title of "ham radio operator" was synonomous with "electronic tinkerer" or "freelance engineer".

While a ham license is not a true accreditation, it tells something about the person's goals, and interests and gives the hiring manager some clues as to whether or not the person would make a good technical employee. Of course if you are in a field other than electronics, then it's probably meaningless.

Today, with the preponderance of appliance operators, who really don't know much REAL theory, I would tend to agree that the license no longer stands for much.

Dave

1974. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387DC5FB.2C8E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 121746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

sparksw4eas@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Gheeezzz... In over 40 years as a boradcast engineer, I used to be able to
> hire a ham with a licience/first phone and know what I was hiring for the
> station. Now..... a pig in a poke :-) Sparks W4EAS
>

Exactly!

Dave

1975. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85kpft$16d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121747

In article <Gigf4.376$Bi5.9874@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>,
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
> Have you seen her pic (on the qrz website)? Not exactly what I
expected.. ;)
>
> "Jon Johnson" <Jon.Johnson@nashville.com> wrote in message
> news:387CEB8D.30D83435@nashville.com...
> > W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > You're point would be.....?
> >
> > I must admit every time I see your call I bust out laughing.
>
>

Nobody expects the spanish inquisition.....
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1976. Re: 14.275 Now open for 14.313 Porkbutt deciples

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85kpk2$192$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121748

In article <85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Good news!
>
> Most of the Cancelled amateur, WB2OTK deciples, such as K4RUB, VA3GJB,
> "BADBOY" NU5M K2ECA,WB5jik,W4NTI,WA4JYZ KE4TEW,K2JW, NK4D the "LAWYER"
> and their unidentified qrmers and suck boys, (you get the picture),
> have moved to 14275. These hams have decided to move to this frequency
> to get away from 14313.
>
> We wish them well in their new home..Let us all hope that these
> amateurs will find each other agreeable ,stay there and multiply in
> numbers.
>
> Meanwhile 14313 is the calling and rag chew frequency for the rest and
> best of the amateurs on the twenty meter band. Join us, open daily
when
> band conditions permit. Thank you Mr Riley Hollingsworth!!
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

So says one of the WORST operators on the bands.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1977. Re: Comms Quarterly to combine with QEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113090719.29223.00001448@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 121749

In article <20000110232947.05798.00001428@ng-cm1.aol.com>, crabtreejr@aol.com (John Crabtree) writes:

>Hello All
>
>I had a letter today from the ARRL saying that they had purchased
>Communications Quarterly magazine from CQ publications, and would be
>combining
>it immediately with QEX.
>
>Farewell to an excellent magazine. It is a sign of the times
>
>73
>
>John. KC0GGH

Of course, and I hope all you new Hams realize that all the ARRL is anymore, is a Mag seller and Book and CD seller, also remember the way they treated you guys.

1978. Re: Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113090719.29223.00001449@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 121750

In article <gjrq7sgkmin2colsfrrp50ua8oumfm0i3h@4ax.com>, kb99rqz@arrl.net writes:

>standard ProCode whining f I can have it MY WAY then... grow
>up
>

Standard No-Code Whinner WAY, if I cant have it by not doing anything, than I will Cry and Whine, at least the FCC knows your to Dumb to learn.

1979. Re: S-100 Processor board or modem board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bert Douglas <bertdNOSPAM@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pjlf4.7859$lb5.101272@news3.mia>
Source record: 121751
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have a complete S-100 desktop box.
It has a Z80 processor at 6MHZ and
64K of ram and dual 8 inch floppies.

It worked the last time I plugged it in.
That was about 15 years ago.
I can't remember the brand.
It was not one of the early famous brand names.

Anyone interested?

1980. Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
Message-ID: <387DE498.8ACFA1E4@nospam.wcom.com>
Source record: 121752

Enough squawking like a pigeon about restructuring.

Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.

I'm split over W0OOO and WB5HHH, although x0EE rates up there.

1981. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113094427.29223.00001485@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 121753

In article <387DD194.FF231CA3@snip.net>, "Timothy J. Fiebig"
<timothy_j_fiebig@email.mobil.com> writes:

>The real problem I have is the general "dumbing" down of the
>ENTIRE exam process, especially when all written questions are available to
>public. Is there any real technical knowledge required to obtain a amateur
>ticket
>or are we just memorizing questions an answers.
>

For the time being were just going to Memorize, doesnt matter what you know, as
the FCC said they want to make it easy to get a new HamCB License

1982. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387DF108.5A070D79@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121754

"Timothy J. Fiebig" wrote:

> Personally I feel that the FCC went too far with their license restructuring. I
> am a code buff, but I realize that there are more efficient means of
> communicating. The real problem I have is the general "dumbing" down of the
> ENTIRE exam process, especially when all written questions are available to
> public. Is there any real technical knowledge required to obtain a amateur ticket
> or are we just memorizing questions an answers.
>
> It would have been nice if the FCC would have kept the Advance and Extra class
> license seperate with the higher code requirement the Extra class.
>
> Can't argue to the fact that some extra class operators are no better behaved than
> some of the operators on 11 meters, but overall I once considered us at a higher
> level.
>
>

Tim I agree that the published Q and A pool didn't help at all, on our club reflector we've been talking a bit about 8 and 9 year olds getting General thru Extra tickets. While there are a lot of really smart kids out there I have a hard time believing that the number of kids that are getting tickets really understand some of the math involved in the higher class licenses. What REALLY make me unhappy is some of the study guides that key in on memorization techniques like:

Remember if the inductace is 37uh then the answer is A, if the inductance is 25uh then the answer is B.

Tim ka8ddz

1983. AC5IU JAMMING 14.275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85ksv4$41q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121755

We moved to get away from the 14.313 mess and ac5iu is comming on 275
with the same crap he does on 313 what a lid ..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1984. Re: 14.275 Now open for 14.313 Porkbutt deciples

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: john1952@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85kt80$4cl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121756

In article <85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Good news!
>
> Most of the Cancelled amateur, WB2OTK deciples, such as K4RUB, VA3GJB,
> "BADBOY" NU5M K2ECA,WB5jik,W4NTI,WA4JYZ KE4TEW,K2JW, NK4D the "LAWYER"
> and their unidentified qrmers and suck boys, (you get the picture),
> have moved to 14275. These hams have decided to move to this frequency
> to get away from 14313.
>
> We wish them well in their new home..Let us all hope that these
> amateurs will find each other agreeable ,stay there and multiply in
> numbers.
>
And if and when K1MAN decides to resumes his broadcasts on 14275,
things here could get very interesting very quickly.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1985. Re: TM241 tone board NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85kpee$an0$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Well how come kenwoods flyers and their website says
"optional CTCSS Encoder" etc..

In rec.radio.amateur.equipment kf6foz <cobra@budweiser.com> wrote:
: The decoder is the option for a TM-241a. All radios come with the
: encoder stock on these units!

:>http://www.pacparts.com Kenwood's Parts Center Distro. Every
:>Kenwood owner should bookmark that site and keep it handy, you
:>can order just about any part for any kenwood radio past and
:>present. And what you want is the Encoder. The Decoder comes
:>standard on all radios, its the Encoder that is optional which
:>allows you to activate the CTCSS function.
:>
:>Jimmy
:>---
:> _______________________________
:>/\ \
:>_| Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye! |
:> | The NeXus hath posted |
:> |http://www.datasync.com/nexus|
:> | ____________________________|_
:> _/____________________________/

--
~ o ~
' | ` AMATEUR - RADIO - STATION - K B 5 F S V
|
|====. Jimmy W. Simmons, Amateur Radio Operator
|.--.| KB5FSV, Member Jackson County ARES/RACES
||o=|| and SkyWarn. Grid: EM50QJ Running Kenwood
||__|| TS450, TS440, and Collins S-line Stations
|[::]| Former Civil Air Patrol - MockingBird 484
'----'

1986. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387DF218.77C7@arrl.net>
Source record: 121758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rick K1BQT wrote:

> K0HB wrote:

> Having access to that knowledge, I presume you hold some office or position
> with the League. Could you save all of us the trouble of looking it up by
> telling us what that is, Hans?

Hans is a former ARRL Division Vice Director. As per a policy of the
ARRL Board, former officers and directors can continue to use the
arrl.org domain in their email after they leave office.

I would answer some of the questions raised in this thread, but I really
don't know the answers and think it best not to speculate.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

1987. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387DF343.1235@arrl.net>
Source record: 121759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom wrote:

> >Third, I'm troubled that the "tech" side of ham radio has now lost its only
> >forum for authors who's techincal philosophies may not jibe with the views
> >of the League's editorial staff (Walt Maxewell to name one).

> Walt's views have been published in a long QEX article under Doug
> Smith's editorship. I believe it was in 1997; may have been 1998.

Walt's work can also be seen at:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/reflections.html

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

1988. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <387DF99B.BB6AA7FF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 121760

> > What's to you if he don't? Welfare Ham radio is for us who can't
> learn
> > code!
>
> Or in YOUR case, too STUPID to learn the code.
>

And you forgot, TOO damn lazy to learn code!

>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> Roger
> Yaesu FT-920
> Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1989. Re: TM241 tone board NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clif Holland <clif@avvid.com>
Message-ID: <387DFD5A.6E6F@avvid.com>
Source record: 121761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

```
The NeXus wrote:
>
> Well how come kenwoods flyers and their website says
> "optional CTCSS Encoder" etc..
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.equipment kf6foz <cobra@budweiser.com> wrote:
> : The decoder is the option for a TM-241a. All radios come with the
> : encoder stock on these units!
>
> :>http://www.pacparts.com Kenwood's Parts Center Distro. Every
> :>Kenwood owner should bookmark that site and keep it handy, you
> :>can order just about any part for any kenwood radio past and
> :>present. And what you want is the Encoder. The Decoder comes
> :>standard on all radios, its the Encoder that is optional which
> :>allows you to activate the CTCSS function.
> :>
> :>Jimmy
> :>---
> :> _______________________________
> :>/\ \
> :>\_| Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye! |
> :> | The NeXus hath posted |
> :> |http://www.datasync.com/nexus|
> :> | ____________________________|_
> :> \_/____________________________/
>
> --
> ~ o ~
> ' | ` AMATEUR - RADIO - STATION - K B 5 F S V
> |
> |====. Jimmy W. Simmons, Amateur Radio Operator
> |.--.| KB5FSV, Member Jackson County ARES/RACES
> ||o=|| and SkyWarn. Grid: EM50QJ Running Kenwood
> ||__|| TS450, TS440, and Collins S-line Stations
> |[::]| Former Civil Air Patrol - MockingBird 484
> '----'

Because Sales wrote the flyer, not the Design engineer, he knows better.
As do the operators of the radio.
--
Clif Holland, KA5IPF
Owner of AVVid, an Authorized Kenwood and Icom Service Center
For more info click on the following
```

http://www.avvid.com/
or call 1-800-214-5779

1990. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387DFD0C.690F9F08@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> Could you explain to them again the true meaning of :-) in posts?

Dick conveniently left out the line leading up to my one-liner. Here
it is again:

>Tom Branch wrote:
>> High-speed Morse is not a Pavlovian response--

to which I replied:
Then how come so many PCTA folk salivate over it? :-)

Apparently Dick doesn't know anything about Pavlov's dogs or
else he would have recognized the humor in my one-liner.

Just for Dick: Pavlov rang a bell when he fed his dogs. After
awhile, the dogs salivated when the bell was rung even though
there was no food. Now PCTA folk salivate when CW is mentioned
even though there is no Morse code around.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

1991. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: geo-mac@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <geo-mac-1301001056220001@207.115.52.90>
Source record: 121763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <jdqq7sc29ovnepv0gq9jacai07o5gbubc6@4ax.com>, kb99rqz@arrl.net wrote:

> On Mon, 10 Jan 2000 17:21:45 -0600, geo-mac@prodigy.net (Gerge
> McCouch) wrote:
>
> >
> >In article <387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net>, njvets@skyweb.net wrote:
>
> >> Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
> >> will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
> >> will be honored, respected, and nurtured.
> >
> >A great many examples exist in our society that validates this.
>
> Really? Name a few I am honestly not aware of them. name me
> somethignwhere it is valued becuase of the effort involved, notbecause
> of the achivement itself. I doubt you will come with anything that
> clear cut. I place value based on usefulness as do many people. My
> tech licnese is not valued by me based on the effort taken to achive
> it, but on what it allows me to do. the only place where that effort
> factors into my thougfhts is along the lines of "where can you get
> this much value with so little effort to obtian" or the motivie of
> profit, one the underling modes of human behavoir

Hello,

Please understand that I am not saying that you did not put out any effort to obtain your license. And I am not saying that under the restructuring that a good deal effort will not be required to obtain a license of any class. For many people, just being able to learn the code a 5 WPM requires a lot of effort, I know it did for me when I was starting out in ham radio.

As far as societal examples, I will offer two, one general ond one specific.

1. Look at the CB bands today and compare them to what they were at the inception of the service. as a practical matter, no license is required today and there is little or no enforcement.

2. In the company where I work, company vehicles are provided to a number of employees. Over the years, we have had many examples of employees abusing the vehicles and just recently had one who ran it for several weeks with the oil pressure light warning on, until he locked up the motor. Would he have done this with his own personal vehicle which he purchased with his own money? I don't think so. The vehicle was not his,

he had no personal stake in it, it he wrecked it, there are always other vehicles that would be provided to him if it stopped running. I could add a third example of public housing, but that subject has been beat to death over the years.

As long as there are licensing requirements in the ARS, you will have a personal stake in the license you hold. Hopefully, there is some pride of accomplishment, and some pride of ownership.

73
George
W3GEO

1992. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@mail.firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <387E0476.4627@mail.firstinter.net>
Source record: 121764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
> >
> A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully,
> a
> > > person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the
> > > achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a
> > > milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish
> > > the value of someone's goals?
> > >
> > > Dave
>
>
> > Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
>
> It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
> not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
> hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
> got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
> my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
> got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
> had used that fact to build my resume.
>
> > This is *Amateur* radio, not commercial/professional/broadcast/PCS
> > whatever.
>
> Yep. Only a mere shell of its former self.
>
>
> > The license is NOT a graduation certificate, but rather an entrance
> > permit to the hobby/service.
>
> You jokers have regurgitated that tired old bromide until
> even the kids at the FCC believe it, now. But Hey, what do
> they know, they just work there, right?
>
> If you prefer to view your EXTRA class license as an
> "entrance permit" to the hobby, it tells me that you got it
> for free. Most of us did not, and DO NOT. The FCC, at least
> 30 years ago, considered an EXTRA class licensee to be the
> only expert class of ham. The fact that he was knowledgeable

> enough to score a passing grade on their tests was proof
> enough.
>
> Congratulations on changing their minds. Henceforth there
> will never be anything other than "entry level" class hams
> licensed. And that's exactly where most of them will stay.

The Technician is by definition, an entrance level exam, and has been for 10 years. The General and Extra are more than entrance obviously, since they require more knowledge to pass. However, they are entry levels to their enhanced privileges. In no sense are they graduation certificates, or proof that someone has achieved something great in technology development. Amateur radio never was meant to be a college degree. The only applicability of an amateur radio license to the commercial world that I know of is that an Extra will get you a *Third* class NARTE Technician certificate.

R.T.

1993. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: geo-mac@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <geo-mac-1301001114020001@207.115.52.90>
Source record: 121765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85eh03$f3o$1@208.207.71.129>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
wrote:

> Gerge McCouch wrote:
> >
> it is up to us, the ones
> > who have been there and done that, to lend a helping hand to any newcomer
> > to the ARS regardless of what test they took, and how well they can copy
> > code.
>
>
> Well, lets see now.... they don't know any theory and
> mostly aren't interested in learning (as if an Elmer had
> time to completely school them if they did), they can't copy
> code...and they sure won't need any help pushing a
> microphone PTT!
>
> Looks like they don't need our help after all....

Dick,

Do you really believe that?

Maybe I am very wrong about this, but i tend to think that people with CW interests even if they come in the service at 5 WPM will certainly pursue that interest. Most of us older hams probably came into the service as Novices at 5 WPM (although I realize that there are still many who came in befor the Novice license was available). Yes, unless you got the old Technician license, you had to increase your code speed in order to get a Genral or higher class license, but there was no rule that mandated you to ever use CW on the air again after you passed the test, but for some reason, CW activity still remained. I predict this will continue to happen.

73
George
W3GEO

1994. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net>
Message-ID: <387E0566.47F7DB01@snip.net>
Source record: 121766

I didn't realize the license manuals were even approaching it that way. I obtained my General when I was a freshmen in high school and the Advance took me two attempts in my junior year. I can remember all the math formulas I had to know and it was no easy task! In addition the study manuals (at least the ARRL) approached it by presenting the material not the questions. I felt as though I actually learned something and was proud of the achievement. I have to admit the code was the easier of the requirements for me. Just practiced a lot.

Tim

Tim wrote:

>
>
> "Timothy J. Fiebig" wrote:
>
> > Personally I feel that the FCC went too far with their license restructuring. I
> > am a code buff, but I realize that there are more efficient means of
> > communicating. The real problem I have is the general "dumbing" down of the
> > ENTIRE exam process, especially when all written questions are available to
> > public. Is there any real technical knowledge required to obtain a amateur ticket
> > or are we just memorizing questions an answers.
> >
> > It would have been nice if the FCC would have kept the Advance and Extra class
> > license seperate with the higher code requirement the Extra class.
> >
> > Can't argue to the fact that some extra class operators are no better behaved than
> > some of the operators on 11 meters, but overall I once considered us at a higher
> > level.
> >
> >
>
> Tim I agree that the published Q and A pool didn't help at all, on our club reflector
> we've been talking a bit about 8 and 9 year olds getting General thru Extra tickets.
> While there are a lot of really smart kids out there I have a hard time believing that
> the number of kids that are getting tickets really understand some of the math
> involved in the higher class licenses. What REALLY make me unhappy is some of the
> study guides that key in on memorization techniques like:
>
> Remember if the inductace is 37uh then the answer is A, if the inductance is 25uh then
> the answer is B.
>
> Tim ka8ddz

1995. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <rxnf4.6918$iZ1.341395@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121767
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote in message
news:6Ubf4.1549$Oe.34291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>
> Hams are exempt in all states from laws forbidding scanners in cars.
>

Well, sort of true. There are still states that prohibit scanners, and
HAMs as a 'general' rule are exempt at the state level. But NY for
instance will allow HAMs to have scanners, but unless something
has changed in the last 2 years, they consider HAMs in violation
if they have any police frequencies programmed into their rigs or
scanners.

Of course, if the HAMs are tracking a police jammer, with PD knowledge
and/or assistance, there shouldn't be an issue.

Mike

1996. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net>
Message-ID: <387E0613.2CBEF1B@snip.net>
Source record: 121768

We are becoming (if not already) appliance operators.

I understand "cracker jack" wants to start putting ham licenses in their boxes of cracker jacks as the prize.

tjf

WA8ULX wrote:

> In article <387DD194.FF231CA3@snip.net>, "Timothy J. Fiebig"
> <timothy_j_fiebig@email.mobil.com> writes:
>
> >The real problem I have is the general "dumbing" down of the
> >ENTIRE exam process, especially when all written questions are available to
> >public. Is there any real technical knowledge required to obtain a amateur
> >ticket
> >or are we just memorizing questions an answers.
> >
>
> For the time being were just going to Memorize, doesnt matter what you know, as
> the FCC said they want to make it easy to get a new HamCB License

1997. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85l84c$d9n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121769

In article <387DE498.8ACFA1E4@nospam.wcom.com>,
WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com> wrote:
> Enough squawking like a pigeon about restructuring.
>
> Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.
>
> I'm split over W0OOO and WB5HHH, although x0EE rates up there.
>
>
Back in 61 I was issued KN8BHH as a Novice, then had K8BHH. Trying to support ham radio on a paper route was tough, so I stayed on CW. Got pretty good at sending H.

Dan/W4NTI

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1998. Re: 14.275 Now open for 14.313 Porkbutt deciples

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85l8uo$ds2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121770

In article <85kt80$4cl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
john1952@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Good news!
> >
> > Most of the Cancelled amateur, WB2OTK deciples, such as K4RUB,
VA3GJB,
> > "BADBOY" NU5M K2ECA,WB5jik,W4NTI,WA4JYZ KE4TEW,K2JW, NK4D the
"LAWYER"
> > and their unidentified qrmers and suck boys, (you get the picture),
> > have moved to 14275. These hams have decided to move to this
frequency
> > to get away from 14313.
> >
> > We wish them well in their new home..Let us all hope that these
> > amateurs will find each other agreeable ,stay there and multiply in
> > numbers.
> >
> And if and when K1MAN decides to resumes his broadcasts on 14275,
> things here could get very interesting very quickly.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Should Mr. Baxter prevail and does indeed return to 14.275 then it will
not be a problem. You see we have already discussed that and decided
to just standby when he comes up.

And a bit of information. K2ECA is KA2ECA, WA4JYZ is W4JYZ.
And BTW anyone is welcome, no matter what prior affiliation. As long
as he keeps it to good amateur radio practice, and try to avoid the
constant bickering prevelant on 313.

As for being "porkbutt suckups"...considering he had NOTHING at all to
do with this, and is not on the air, I don't see any connection at all.
We have moved to hopefully contribute towards an end to this stupid on
the air bickering. If you want to continue this "radio war" than do it
elsewhere. 14.275 is open to ANY licensed amateur.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1999. test DO NOT READ!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dspman@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000113131317.16464.00000081@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 121771

Told you!!!

2000. Re: ACC RC85 Controller HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dspman@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000113131503.16464.00000082@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 121772

You have to enter a secret code...But you CANNOT do this if you unlock the controller. I have an ACC RC-96 v5.2 controller and it works fine now with the year setback to 1972...It will work for another 28 years, although I doubt my ACC will be in service for that long. Email me for more details.

2001. Re: 14.275 Now open for 14.313 Porkbutt deciples

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85l9t4enn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121773

In article <85l8uo$ds2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85kt80$4cl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> john1952@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Good news!
> > >
> > > Most of the Cancelled amateur, WB2OTK deciples, such as K4RUB,
> VA3GJB,
> > > "BADBOY" NU5M K2ECA,WB5jik,W4NTI,WA4JYZ KE4TEW,K2JW, NK4D the
> "LAWYER"
> > > and their unidentified qrmers and suck boys, (you get the
picture),
> > > have moved to 14275. These hams have decided to move to this
> frequency
> > > to get away from 14313.
> > >
> > > We wish them well in their new home..Let us all hope that these
> > > amateurs will find each other agreeable ,stay there and multiply
in
> > > numbers.
> > >
> > And if and when K1MAN decides to resumes his broadcasts on 14275,
> > things here could get very interesting very quickly.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
> Should Mr. Baxter prevail and does indeed return to 14.275 then it
will
> not be a problem. You see we have already discussed that and decided
> to just standby when he comes up.
>
> And a bit of information. K2ECA is KA2ECA, WA4JYZ is W4JYZ.
> And BTW anyone is welcome, no matter what prior affiliation. As long
> as he keeps it to good amateur radio practice, and try to avoid the
> constant bickering prevelant on 313.
>
> As for being "porkbutt suckups"...considering he had NOTHING at all to
> do with this, and is not on the air, I don't see any connection at
all.

> We have moved to hopefully contribute towards an end to this stupid on
> the air bickering. If you want to continue this "radio war" than do
it
> elsewhere. 14.275 is open to ANY licensed amateur.
but not ac5iu
> Dan/W4NTI
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2002. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85lbdj$g1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121774

In article <387DE498.8ACFA1E4@nospam.wcom.com>,
WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com> wrote:

> Enough squawking like a pigeon about restructuring.
>
> Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.
>

The best CW calls have a lot of "average power" in the suffix.
That means calls with T, M, or O, like N2TT, K0TO, W4MM.

Avoid S, H, V, B (like K0HB). The dits get lost in the noise.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
~~~
I called them mad, and they called me mad, but
damn them, they outvoted me.
~~~

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2003. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Mipf4.1116$bQ1.12906@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi Ed--

>I would answer some of the questions raised in this thread, but I really
>don't know the answers and think it best not to speculate.

Don't blame you. It's a tricky issue. I need to wrap it up as well, so I can move on.

The issue at hand is whether or not the League acted ethically as a non-profit entity when they purchased Communications Quarterly. The issue ISN'T if CQ acted properly by selling it--we all agree they did. It also isn't whether the Quarterly was experiencing financial difficulty at the time--we all agree it was.

Jon's original response to me was that it was NOT the League's intention to kill the Quarterly--and that Dick Ross had "declared it dead" already. This response is, of course, rhetorical nonsense on a number of levels.

The facts are that what the League purchased was an ongoing entity with an issue in final preparation, with a backlog of accepted manuscripts, and with an intact subscriber list containing the names of people expecting to receive that next issue in progress. Of course, those subscribers will never receive it. Why? Because the League (not Dick Ross) "killed" the issue. Indeed, those subscribers will never again receive a copy of Communications Quarterly...because the League (not Dick Ross) "killed" the title. You'll be getting QEX, folks, because the League bought and "killed" the Quarterly name. Their intention was never to do anything else.

The League's ethical stance on the purchase appears to be: "Well, it was okay to purchase and kill a competing journal because it was going under anyway--and we're losing money too!". Consider that logic. If I rob a man in the street and justify the act by saying the victim was only going to spend his money sooner or later anyway, am I absolved? If I shoot my business competitor in the head, then tell the judge he had Diabetes and would probably have died sooner or later without my intervention, do I get to go home? Of course not. The condition of the Quarterly prior to its sale is both irrelevant and inadmissible.

Of course, it isn't against the law to "kill" a magazine. CQ killed Ham Radio before launching Comm Quarterly--it happens a lot in the publishing business. But the League isn't just "any" publishing business. The QST masthead proudly declares the ARRL to be a 501-C-3 exempt organization--of, by, and for radio amateurs. Minor tax-law jockeying aside, the ARRL also proudly declares itself to be the publisher of QST and QEX, and the League's BOD pulls the strings. Ethically, the League is a non-profit entity. It

(and we as League members) enjoy many benefits as such. So, the League's BOD walk on pretty thin ice when they adopt a policy--albeit formal or defacto--of using membership money to purchase competing for-profit properties with the express purpose of enhancing revenues through the elimination of competition. That, Bill Gates lovers, is called a monopoly. As far as I know, a purchase like this has never occurred before in the history of the League, and I hope to hell it never happens again.

I've also raised the issue of Doug Smith's exposure to conflict-of-interest liability as QEX Editor while simultaneously holding an executive position with a company in the industry. Jon acknowledged those conflicts do, in fact, exist--but adds that Doug is doing a good job, so the League doesn't care. While the League likely bans its own employees from participating in similar relationships, it is unknown if Doug actual works for the League or not. If he's a "volunteer", presumably his employer (a ham manufacturer and regular advertiser) isn't bothered by Doug's liability exposure either--and willingly provides defacto subsidization of Doug's effors on the Leagues behalf. If that's the case, of course, the League enjoys a decided advantage over "for-profit" publications who have to pay their editors. As a League member, I think I'd like to know exactly what the League's arrangement is with Doug.

To conclude, this "editorial comment" is about ethics. Is the League beginn ing to stray over the edge into areas of unfair competition, and does Mr. Imlay need to pay a little more attention to the Leagues mission ve. what the League can "get away with"?

Rick K1BQT

2004. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <qjpf4.4717$U5.94693@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 121776
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:rxnf4.6918$iZ1.341395@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Well, sort of true. There are still states that prohibit scanners, and
> HAMs as a 'general' rule are exempt at the state level. But NY for
> instance will allow HAMs to have scanners, but unless something
> has changed in the last 2 years, they consider HAMs in violation
> if they have any police frequencies programmed into their rigs or
> scanners.

The police might, but apparently the courts do not draw such a distinction.
A Suffolk County judge ruled that a ham license gives a blanket exemption to
licensed hams from the provisions of Section 397. [People v Beatson (1997)
Suffolk County First District Docket #44993-97S]

73 de Dave, NF2G

2005. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <387E20AD.E0F614A5@preferred.com>
Source record: 121777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It is, CQ bought Ham Radio after Jim Fisk's death and right after I
renewed for a year, and immeadiatly cease publication. Giving Ham Radio
subscribers CQ in place of. I called and canceled and got a prorated
refund.
Then CQ later started Communications Quarterly.

Gary Coffman wrote:

> >>Seriously, if this deal got you the rights to publish all the Ham Radio
> >>back issues on CD, it's worth it.
> >
> >Nope, we bought CommQuart, not Ham Radio.
>
> I thought CommQuart *was* what was left of Ham Radio
> after CQ got through butchering it. If the back issue library
> wasn't part of the deal, that's a shame. It is a treasure trove
> that still remains a valuable resource today.
>
> Gary
> Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
> 534 Shannon Way | We break it |
> Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

2006. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85les7irg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121778

I
>
> The best CW calls have a lot of "average power" in the suffix.
> That means calls with T, M, or O, like N2TT, K0TO, W4MM.
>
> Avoid S, H, V, B (like K0HB). The dits get lost in the noise.
>
How about my call "WA2ISE"? all dits.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2007. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s7s93dndoj8160@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

<kb99rqz@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:hckq7s81qb3u2ikg9mlv0kqpmque4feb6p@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 22:23:57 -0500, "Carl R. Stevenson"
> <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

> >NCI today filed comments FULLY supporting the ARRL's recent
> >Petition for Reconsideration of the FCC WTB's denial of a Petiton
> >for Rulemaking filed in 1996 seeking clarification and expansion of
> >antenna regulation preemption.
> >
> >For details, see the articles at:
> >
> >http://www.nocode.org

> I wonder just how long it will take before ProCoder start with
> the flaming over NCI being a single issue organzation etc,

An astute comment, I must say ... even if we do something that
is CLEARLY for the good/common interest of all hams, including
our members, I'm sure there will be some who will flame us for it.

The key point is to not confuse us with folks who actually care
what such an irrational minority of flamers might say :-)

73,

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>
```

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOH4kKpe+N6+q84HiEQLBTQCdF1cmlK+Nu9TX+sdXjZSgI62F6cYAoKIr
rDMSJfTf/nVVRh4o/SJF5P4T
=Q/jF
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2008. Re: Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <0221c012.c8d22f91@usw-ex0102-009.remarq.com>
Source record: 121780

Good. Then I can expect that you will rescind your license immediately.
Today is a business day. How about today? Can you post the proof of
you formally exiting the ARS? Put your money where your mouth is?

Or are you _completely_ full of it?

Or are you talking about just the freq's YOU don't use?

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2009. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <387E2A34.56EE0465@uci.edu>
Source record: 121781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> An astute comment, I must say ... even if we do something that
> is CLEARLY for the good/common interest of all hams, including
> our members, I'm sure there will be some who will flame us for it.

I don't think you should be flamed at all, Carl. I think you should be congratulated - for not calling the ARRL "a bunch of luddites" again! An admirable display of restraint. Saying "me too" is always important - especially when the FCC is listening. :-)

Congratulations!! Well done. It is an NCI document that I can support.

ac6xg

2010. 14.275 Now open for 14.313 Porkbutt deciples

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121784

Good news!

Most of the Cancelled amateur, WB2OTK deciples, such as K4RUB, VA3GJB, "BADBOY" NU5M K2ECA,WB5jik,W4NTI,WA4JYZ KE4TEW,K2JW, NK4D the "LAWYER" and their unidentified qrmers and suck boys, (you get the picture), have moved to 14275. These hams have decided to move to this frequency to get away from 14313.

We wish them well in their new home..Let us all hope that these amateurs will find each other agreeable ,stay there and multiply in numbers.

Meanwhile 14313 is the calling and rag chew frequency for the rest and best of the amateurs on the twenty meter band. Join us, open daily when band conditions permit. Thank you Mr Riley Hollingsworth!!

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2011. Internet Radio - Easier and Better than ever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jericho0023@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000112200716.03806.00000228@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121785

If you've ever wanted to listen to the radio while on the internet, try www.Webradio.com. There's nothing to download, no players to buy. Just the music you want coming to you live over the net. Webradio.com uses a Java based streaming program. That means no Plug-Ins. Every concievable kind of music, all the time! All, through the ease of your internet connection. www.Webradio.com! Try it today!

And for you broadcasters out there, you can get your radio station streaming to listeners around the globe quicker and easier than ever before.

2012. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@spamlessgte.net>
Message-ID: <XF9f4.1215$Oe.26890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

OR maybe outlaw XYL's...

8^)

--
Gary - KJ6Q
==========

W6RCecilA wrote in message <387CC7BF.D1DEC698@IEEE.org>...
>Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>> Guns, of themselves are NOT "violent"...
>> Autos, of themselves are NOT "violent"...
>
>My XXYL once hit me over the head with my Wilson 2m HT.
>HTs should therefore be outlawed. Anything that can possibly
>be used as a weapon should be outlawed.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2013. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@spamlessgte.net>
Message-ID: <EY9f4.1228$Oe.27981@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

OR, as has been repeatedly pointed out, if a guns purpose is "only to kill", the VAST majority of them are hopelessly FAILING their primary reason for existing - and THAT INCLUDES military and law enforcement "killing hardware"!

If the total number of guns possessed by military and law enforcement were totalled, and then compared with the annual numbers of deaths caused by their use, I have little doubt we would ALL be convinced that "nasty guns" are seriously failing as "killing devices".

TWO basic classes of gun haters in our world - one is those genuinely frightened and relatively ignorant of guns and how they are employed by MOST citizens - so they react with hatred and panic at the mere MENTION or SIGHT of a gun, and will go to great extremes to demonize and eliminate them.

Second are those who have ulterior motives, and see some form of benefit to THEMSELVES if guns can be eliminated in the hands of ordinary citizens. These are varying types of criminals who prefer unarmed victims - and politicians who realize that as government has become more grasping and demanding of its citizens, THEY TOO stand to benefit from the increased security an unarmed society provides as they continue their confiscatory ways. In earlier times, our government asked less of its citizens - and feared resistance far less than today, when they no longer ask, but DEMAND! And they have NO qualms about using group number one up above as their willing tools to accomplish their disarmament goals. And BOTH groups receive the complete and free assistance of a liberal media in advancing their agenda.

--
Gary - KJ6Q
====================

W6RCecilA wrote in message <387CFD91.E7F33AA@IEEE.org>...
>David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>> The only purpose of a gun is to kill.
>
>That's not true. The other purpose is having fun visiting the
>local firing range and punching holes in targets. Remember,
>shooting guns is an olympic sport. Nobody killed there.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2014. Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fmdj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <Sxaf4.5542$VV3.33532@news3.atl>
Source record: 121788

Tuning around on a shortwave radio the other night I came upon 14.313.
Is this what you're trying to protect?
I've been reading how the bands are going to be taken over by CB-ers. Can you
tell me how CB-ers are any different from what I heard on there?

2015. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fmdj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <Tsaf4.5534$VV3.33532@news3.atl>
Source record: 121789

Listen to 14.313 lately? Is this a fine example of what knowing code gets you?

In article <3876C7BA.7C34C8@woldnet.att.net>, xrayj@woldnet.att.net says...
>
>WELFARE WHINERS Q& A - Vol. #1
>
>"How easy are the current code tests?"
>They have been passed by 4-year-olds, 84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl
>Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.
>
>"What is 'No Code International'?"
>A handful of laceybritches whiny CBers who want to take over ham radio,
>who have been humiliated, shown up and shut down by 4-year-olds,
>84-year-olds, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Cub Scouts, and Campfire Girls.
>
>"What is 'ARRL'?"
>The Asian Radio Retailer's Lobby, a magazine publishing house that
>thinks they own ham radio and who will whore off true ham radio as they
>see fit to preserve their incomes and their name badges.
>
>"I don't know code."
>Then, of course, you are NO HAM.
>
>"But I don't want to learn code!"
>Then you will remain NO HAM.
>
>"But I can't pass the code test!"
>Then you don't qualify for a ham license.
>
>"But I shouldn't have to learn the code because I don't want to use it!"
>
>Then you're just a CBer.
>
>"But I have a "no-code technician license!"
>Then you are a CBer on 2-meter CB.
>
>"But I have a call sign!"
>So do CBers.
>
>"But 'ALL HAMS ARE EQUAL'!!"
>You've been sitting out in the sun too long.
>
>"But Morse code is old fashioned!"
>Not as old fashioned as using your VOCAL CORDS.

>
>"But the military...!"
>Ham radio is not "the military."
>
>"But the Internet... !"
>Ham radio is not "the Internet."
>
>"But it's the "digital age!"
>Then learn the difference between "OFF" and "ON" and you can learn the
>code.
>
>"But there are 'good people being kept out by the code requirement'."
>See phenomenon #3 and #4 of the Anti-coder profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test should
>be dropped."
>See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>"But so-and-so has a ham license and he thinks that the code test speeds
>should be reduced."
>See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>"But so-and-so has a ham license and he is an "ex-CBer," and he thinks
>that the code should be dropped!"
>Then so-and-so is still just a CBer who merely wants "revised CB."
>See phenomenon #1 through #8 of the Anti-coder Profile at
>http://www.freeyellow.com/members5/cw-forever
>
>"But I HAVE A RIGHT...!"
>NO YOU DON'T.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2016. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <9Daf4.1269$Oe.27407@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi Jon--

One more thing...

> I'm a bit troubled by Doug Smith's dual role as Lester Ernshaw's Chief Engineer at Kachina--and as Editor of QEX. The potential for conflict of interest here is very real.....<

Again, nothing personal against Doug, but someone who used to work for the League informed me of a strict League policy that stated NOBODY working for the League could be employed or engaged in any other ham radio-related activity for profit. Perhaps you can fill me in. Has this policy changed? Is Doug paid for his services or is he a "volunteer"? If he is a "volunteer", does the League believe his unpaid status makes him less a "titled professional" than a paid League staffer who might otherwise occupy the same position? Exactly what is Doug's relationship with you folks--and how much of his time per month does QEX and all related administrative matters require?

73

Rick K1BQT

2017. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: yeahright@dopefish.com
Message-ID: <387d330c.4755988@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am suggesting that morse code is another language in itself, and
learning a language is harder than improving the language skills!
The post was to explain that The restructuring
isn't changing the difficulty level of passing 5wpm.
In other words, Passing 5wpm is harder to pass than 13/20 wpm.
Therefore I must ask you, Did that answer your question or was I not
reading your question right?

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 19:02:38 -0700, Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>Excuse me, but do you suggest that passing a 5 WPM code test is *not*
>easier than passing a 13/20 WPM test? It certainly sounds like it, and
>that is just a dumb thing to say.
>
>Will
>KD7BFX
>
>Yeah Right wrote:
>>
>> The restructuring doesnt make it easier for people to become hams!!!
>> You still have to pass your no-code exam and then pass 5wpm to
>> upgrade!! Whats the big deal??? e

2018. Re: Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: yeahright@dopefish.com
Message-ID: <387d3539.5313566@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121792

Like in a previous post of mine, Actions on this frequency are mostly
from those with a General or higher ticket holder. Not Bootleggers
either. Thanx, Your Point is well taken as it seems to me we both
agree here!

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 02:14:10 GMT, fmdj@hotmail.com (JB) wrote:

>Tuning around on a shortwave radio the other night I came upon 14.313.
>Is this what you're trying to protect?
>I've been reading how the bands are going to be taken over by CB-ers. Can you
>tell me how CB-ers are any different from what I heard on there?
>

2019. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87qenu.hlg.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 121793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 21:31:06 GMT, Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
>
>You say the League did not act improperly. Fine! Your position, of course,
>implies that the League would be equally justified in swallowing up CQ
>Contest and rolling it into NCJ, swallowing up 73 and CQ and rolling them
>into QST, and swallowing up anything else that hurts its sales or gets in
>its path--including Callbook publications, Hamtrader, 5YI, and anything else
>it can afford to buy with the memebership's money.

None of which hypotheticals have anything to do with the case at hand.
Of course, it's much more fun to put the argument in draconian,
outrageous terms, shunting aside all consideration of circumstances!

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2020. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87qerr.hlg.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 121794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 20:04:45 -0500, Peter Bertini <commquart@erols.com> wrote:
>Are you saying the former columnists are now officially invited to continue
>their
>columns in QEX?

I have no idea how you got that from what I wrote! In any case, I'm not
the QEX editor. You'll have to ask him.

>Peter K1ZJH
>
>
>Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
>news:slrn87p24d.gpq.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com...
>>
>> >And, I'm frankly wondering who I'm going to write for, now that the
>> >Quarterly is gone.
>>
>> Is there some reason not to write for QEX?
>>

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2021. solar panel,batteries,charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dskb9mku@midwest.net
Message-ID: <387d4a70.7187694@news.midwest.net>
Source record: 121795

United Solar Systems 42 watt panel w/Sunsaver ss6 controller, GNB 240amp(8hr rate) Battery b14 (2.25vX7 cells)make an offer. GNB 234amp(8hr rate)battery b12 2.25vX6 cells) with Exide erbc 12/20 programable charger.the panel,controller and b14 battery is sold as a unit. The charger and b12 battery is sold as a unit. We are looking for a 2 meter repeater and would trade. Contact dskb9mku@midwest.net (Southern Illinois)Little Egypt Amateur Radio Society

2022. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <oRbf4.1545$Oe.34007@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121796
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
: > > I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not
: > think "Amateur" radio operators help Police agencies because of their
: > "civic duties". I believe it's for the attention.
: >
: > Since we receive little recognition from our community
: > service, evidenced by the fact that most of my
: > neighbors/co-workers/friends--indeed myself (before I
: > became a ham) are completely unaware that ham radio is "out
: > there" during an emergency, the correlation between public
: > service and "attention" for said service cannot be made.
: >
: > > I however do not have "Blind Faith" in police agencies
: > either.
: >
: > Oh, believe me, neither do I. But, I don't look at my
: > service as much for them as I do for the people in my
: > community. I simply use an available mechanism to help my
: > neighbors/co-workers/friends. My disdain for the police
: > community, in general, is well known to my fellow hams in
: > this area.
: >
: > > It seems to me (at times) that hams go out of their way
: > to help the people who will screw them the most later. I suppose I do
not
: > like doing much for my Govt. as they do very little for me.
: >
: > It seems, then, that your anger is misdirected toward the
: > amateur radio community. As I said above, I do not look at
: > my public service as helping the police and "govt."
: > agencies as much as I feel I am helping my community.
: >
: > >
: > > I do not see the above as grounds for foxhunting illegal
: > transmissions for the police, on police bands.
: > >
: >
: > While an extreme scenario, you may feel differently about
: > that jammer disabling police frequencies if the ability of
: > the police to save someone's life (maybe your own or
: > someone you love's) was impeded by some jerk thinking
: > he/she is being funny.
: >
```

: > > And if you started supplying "voluntary noncommercial
: > communication service" to the public on a regular basis, (non-emergency)
you
: > would have to pay from someone.
: > >
: >
: > Well, I wouldn't have to pay someone :->. We, by our Rules
: > and Regulations, are not allowed to perform that service on
: > a regular basis...and it is inferred by those same rules
: > that governing agencies are not allowed to request our
: > assistance on a regular basis.
: >
: > I have learned, as a new Newsgroup person, that too much
: > time is spent in here degrading and arguing. I don't want
: > our exchange to become that. It is obvious to me that you
: > are very angry and resentful and, while I understand where
: > you are coming from, it is far beyond me to solve whatever
: > history it is that has brought you to your current way of
: > thinking. I would ask only that you please remember most
: > of us commit to public service purely for the benefit of
: > our community more than for the benefit of any one agency.
: > We also know that our service goes largely unrecognized.
: >
: > 73, Kim W5TIT
:
: I am not sure angry is what I am, possibly disinchanted. I too would be
: willing to help my community (not that I have one). I do help my neighbors
: when needed.
:
: (not in communications...yet) I could babble on about injustices forever.
: I shall save you from that. (whew!)
:
: I'm sure some (or many) of my polictical views intertwine with radio, as I
: hear or see hams bringing the 2 into the same arena. Would I help local
: police find a missing kid in the woods by supplying communications if
: needed? No. I would however loan them the equipment. I would also help
: them search by horseback.(been there done that) Do I think my equipment
: would be returned to me in the condition loaned? Probably not.
: Are there exceptions? Of course.

Remember, many hams are volunteers in their local Emergency Management
Agency or with the local Red Cross.

: If someone stole my gear, would I call the police? No
: Would I get it back if I did? No If they did recover it would it be
:returned to me? probably not. (at least for some time (evidence etc))
:
: Will someone steal from me here? NO
: Would my neighbors protect my property? YES
: Would they call the police? uhm???
:

: > While an extreme scenario, you may feel differently about
: > that jammer disabling police frequencies if the ability of
: > the police to save someone's life (maybe your own or
: > someone you love's) was impeded by some jerk thinking
: > he/she is being funny.
:
: I think that I have paid dearly over the years, the police should (and
: probably do) have the equipment to do the task themselves. If they do not,
: maybe the police agencies ie: CHP should not spend $3000-$4000 each on
: light units for their cars, and invest in fox hunting equipment.

The amount of training that it takes to be good at DFing is not something
that most law enforcement agencies want to spend for. What makes a
good street cop isn't the same set of skills that it takes to do the
services
that hams provide. The same goes for the large number of military
reservists
who are providing intelligence gathering assistance to the police.

: possibly the US Border Patrol could loan them theirs, as they do not
: really have need for it.

The Border Patrol has a Signal Intelligence gathering units?

: Either way, I use the bands allocated me to converse to/with others, to
: learn, and to save myself phone bills, postage...etc. Not to specifically
: help my, or your community. I did not intend to become a public servant.
: Did you study for, and get your ticket....did you save, purchase or build
: your station to help the comunnity? Was that you're initial intent? We
: have a neighbor lives a few miles away, my daughter talks to her on 11
: meter. Our neighbor is a toll call.

The primary mission of the Amatuer Radio Service is to provide
public service.

: My father lives in CA as I used too. One of us saves money with radio.
: I've met some real interesting folks on the air...that was my intent.
:
: Somehow I think that's okay. Yes?
:
: I suppose if others want to do other things that's okay too. Your point
made.

As if you will be able to stop us.

2023. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <6Ubf4.1549$Oe.34291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121797
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
: |> I do not see the above as grounds for foxhunting illegal transmissions
for
: |> the police, on police bands.
: |> ...
:
: Well, foxhunting has no necessary legal connection with having a
: Ham radio license. The ham license is related to your permission to
: transmit. Anyone can listen without a ticket.
:
: The License may make the results of your foxhunting carry more
credibility,
: but anyone could take a scanner, antenna, attenuator, etc. and hunt down
: a signal.
:
: (Yes, I know some states restrict listening to police frequencies, so
: this doesn't strictly apply everywhere.)

Hams are exempt in all states from laws forbidding scanners in cars.

: A large part of the difficulty in hunting down a jammer can be to
: 1) find a place where you can hear him on the input frequency if
: he's jamming a repeater or 2) getting close (e.g. an apartment building, a
: block, etc.) If I were to be involved in such a case, I might try
: to just narrow it down to a small area and leave it to the police
: to narrow it down from there. I wouldn't want to have the court
: case depend on me as an "expert witness".
:
: The other worry would be if you gave the police some good information
: and they then go after the wrong person. e.g. if they break down the
: door of some innocent nearby person who has an antenna, but isn't
: the person doing the jamming.
:
:
: --
: Mickey McInnis - mcinnis@austin.ibm.com
: --
: All opinions expressed are my own opinions, not my company's opinions.
```

2024. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387D3959.381B@no.net>
Source record: 121798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> George T. Baker wrote:
>
> > Similar experience here, JJ, when at the age of 16 I braved the Dallas
> > FCC office for my Class B exam. Only, I got sent out in the hall before I
> > could ever get as far as the exam. I was shaking so badly that I could
> > not even fill out the 610!
> >
> > Finally got over the shakes - barely - and got on with the code test and
> > the written. I can sure echo what you said about the FCC staff there
> > being very patient and understanding.
>
> But we have been told that the FCC "ordeal" is the only way to become a real
> ham. Now someone comes on here and tells the truth of it.

I dare say it was and "ordeal" because the person taking the exam made
it one, not the FCC examiner. Only natural to be nervous about the test,
especially when you have driven 200 mile to get to the FCC office. You
certainly hoped to pass so you did not have to make the trip again.

2025. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <D%bf4.1562$Oe.30385@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121799
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Sergeant Rock <SgtRock@NoPukes.com> wrote ...
: On 12 Jan 2000 07:46:31 GMT, marqvis@aol.com (Marqvis) wrote:
:
: >>Subject: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio.
Katz
: >>eats humble pie
: >>From: SgtRock@.NoMaggots.com
: >
: >>A suspect was caught in the act making illegal tranmissions over
: >>police radio frequencies.
: >
: >Mr. Rock failed to mention if the suspect was a serving LEO at the time
of the
: >arrest or even if the man was on duty at the time of the illegal
transmission.
:
: The suspect was a civilian, not a police officer.

What was the individual's occupation, BTW?

:
: >>The suspect will be charged for making over 200 such transmissions in LA
& Orange Counties.
: >
: >I doubt if there is any realistic chance of conviction for each of the
200
: >transmissions cited, in such a case where I live the case would never
reach
: >court and the suspect would pick up a tidy sum in damages for malicious
: >prosecution.
:
: There is no such thing when the elements of the crime are documented.

If the government can make its case, it usually gets a conviction.
That is most people peal bargin to a lesser charge.

: >If you want a conviction and dont want to be sued then in my view it is
best to
: >allege only what you can prove in court, not what you would like to be
able to
: >prove in court.
:
: You can not be sued for charging someone with acts they committed

: >>The suspect will be suspect will be subject to a $1000 fine for each
: >>violation.
: >
: >I think that you mean a possible $1000 fine for each violation.
:
: Same difference, sport. Have a nice slice of humble pie.

BTW, who did make the arrest? The FCC or the CHIPs?

2026. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <387D5018.23557CCC@topnow.com>
Source record: 121800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" wrote:

> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > Jim Weir wrote
> > >My editor's wife's call is K6BRA.
> >
> > And another fine vanity choice. Dick, Larry, I might have been wrong. The
> > hordes have arrived...
>
> Hey, Aaron? You ARE getting really ridiculous. You're annonymous and
> you expect people to take you seriously? I asked you a question once:
> what is YOUR callsign? You never answered it. So, since you choose to
> "call" me anything you want in this NG, I've got a great one for you:
> N0DIK.
>

Or, perhaps N0CLU?

:)

>
> Kim W5TIT

2027. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <387d4d01.10391919@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121801
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 03:46:10 GMT, "N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:

>Hams are exempt in all states from laws forbidding scanners in cars.

Well it has been some time since that was raised, but the
reality is that the federal preemption is for transcievers...NOT
for scanners. Now the argument can be rasied as to a
scanner being just part of a transciever, but that hasn't been
tried anywhere that I know of.

The few states that forbid scanners in cars all exempt hams
in the state law itself...NOT by federal pre-emption.
Most states do not have any laws against scanners
in automobiles by anyone.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
ARRL LGL

2028. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85jjf2$np2$0@208.207.71.172>
Source record: 121802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Andy Cowley wrote:
>
I don't think you could do a Columbine _without_
> a gun, could you?

What an unimaginative dude! A big part of Columbine WAS
done without guns. There were a LOT of homemade bombs, up
toward a hundred by one police account. But, as usual, the
GUNS got all the media (read antigun) attention.

2029. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <387D4F5A.C7B36433@topnow.com>
Source record: 121803
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>
> A limited number of callsigns like yours will be available to 5wpm
> extras under the vanity callsign program. That may not bother you,
> but it bothers a lot of the radical PCTA folk.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

I think there's widespread confusion between the ticket (and associated
callsign) and the hard work it may have taken to earn it. It's the FCC's
job to make sure you meet some *minimum* requirements they've set up
to get on the air, and it should be the ARRL's job to recognize and award
outstanding hams
for their achievements.

It should be up to the ARRL and not the FCC to develop awards for
hams for proving you're achieved some noteworthy accomplishment.
For example, they should have awards (if they don't already) for
finishing (say) 50 QSOs at 10, 13, 15, 20, 25, 30... WPM, presumably
without a QLF. :) There are already awards where you can nominate
exceptionally
good operators, and presumably public service awards would work the same
way.
I see no reason why you can't brag about a 30WPM award the same way as you
would a DXCC or your 2x1 callsign. Am I totally all wet on this?

The bottom line is the FCC doesn't care how hard you worked,
nor are they interested in certifying your skills to other hams or
impressing your buddies with your high-class callsign.
They just want to be sure you pass muster. They issue the
ticket as a permit to allow you on the air, plain
and simple. You meet some minimum standard, and you're in just the
same as the guy who got every question right and 100% copy on the
code test. (This "Pass/Fail" phenomenon at the DMV explains a lot about
what
passes for driving in Calfornia, but that's a whole other digression.) ;)

If the ARRL was so eager to nurture and protect the Code, they ought to get
off their
collective butts and find ways to recognize hams who DO use the code. The
FCC
doesn't (and shouldn't) care about our internal squabbles.

2030. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <_ecf4.1649$Oe.34547@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121804

: I hope you are all correct.
:
: I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
requirement
: (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
: what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
: them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
: today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

The FCC does enforce the rules with respect to the ham bands. Mostly
because hams started calling their congressmen and demanded enforcement.
It is the large number of hams who believe in the rule of law, who are not
so
independent as to go out of their way to harm others.

: Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught
the
: classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
: more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and
: buy a citizens band radio.

There is a cost in being a ham. Even the written test is not that easy.

: It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
: and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
: higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
: produce a better idividual.
:
: So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
: because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory
is
: next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
radio
: services be?

Do not bet on that. That is just being bitter.

: Brent Scott
: N5CLK
: Austin, TX
:
: don@KB9VEK/AA.ham wrote in message ...
: >Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!
: >
: >don
: >

: >
: >
: >
:
:

2031. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <cicf4.1675$Oe.35796@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom wrote:

>None of which hypotheticals have anything to do with the case at hand.
>Of course, it's much more fun to put the argument in draconian,
>outrageous terms, shunting aside all consideration of circumstances!

Well, you managed to squeeze "draconian" and "outrageous" into the same sentence--a spicy and melodramatic characterization, for sure. But, unfortunately, these "hypotheticals" I presented are not all that outrageous at all. Has the League ever--in its history--purchased a competing magazine before and blown it DOA? I don't think so. So what we have is not a "forecast of hypothetical activity", but rather a concrete act by the board that constitutes both precedent and defacto policy. The board has already done it once, and we have no reason whatsoever to believe it won't do it again. None.

Rick K1BQT

2032. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85jjld$np2$1@208.207.71.172>
Source record: 121806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Branch wrote:
>
> Cecil,
>
> How come so many no-code folks have the exact opposite reaction? ;-)
>
> 73 de Tom
>
> W6RCecilA wrote in message <387C0D67.F9CAF6B8@IEEE.org>...
>
> >Then how come so many PCTA folk salivate over it? :-)

Cedil the politician/pseudopsycholigist always reverses
roles to make his chosen side look much better than they
ever could naturally.

2033. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <wqcf4.1695$Oe.34863@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121807

: I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
: difference.
:
: I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
requirement
: (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
: what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
: them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
: today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

The FCC is enforcing the law with respect to the hams bands. Too many
hams called their congressman to bitch about the lack of enforcement. So
now we are seeing the bands being cleaned up.

For the most part, hams are not a bunch of anti social misfits who
are out to harm others. Hams respect the law.

: Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught
the
: classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
: more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and
: buy a citizens band radio.

Amateur Radio costs you. But for that cost, you have more freedom
to experiment than the other services.

: It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
: and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
: higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
: produce a better idividual.
:
: So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
: because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory
is
: next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
radio
: services be?

Don't be bitter. Theory is not going away. If anything, we will be
more like the GROL than CB.

: Brent Scott
: N5CLK
: Austin, TX
:
:

2034. Help and Part Kenwwod 732A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joe G. Wesley" <risd@flash.net>
Message-ID: <387D5391.F370CE68@flash.net>
Source record: 121808

I recently bought a Kenwood 732A where the original owner had cut the wire to the remotely installed head. He had lost the short wire. I spliced the wires back together and the radio works fine with two exceptions:

1. The VHF squelch control does not function at all.
2. The display in the UHF indicates the transmitter is transmitting at full power level when it is not transmitting. When you adjust the UHF squelch knob the "power" level decreases until the squelch opens up and the busy light appears.

When I spliced the connecting cable the wires were twisted and there was a good shield. Would the lack of twisting and the lack of shield at the splice be the problem??

Do you have a short connecting cable for the 732A? If so will you sell it. Please contact me at mailto:risd@flash.net

Thanks joe N5RMA

2035. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <0ucf4.1696$Oe.36373@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121809

I agree. In fact the biggest lids are often the Extra Class hams.
Look at the number of Extras who have been arrested by the
FCC (or at least fined).

: If ham radio was going to sound like cb, It would have a long time
: ago. A Ham License doesnt protect the Ham bands from Jammers or
: maliscious interference. Now that the No-Code License has been in
: affect, I have seen alot of CB operators turn to Ham and as a result
: to never return to CB. I met hundreds of users on CB and not once met
: one of them that had jammed Ham bands. When I asked A few CB operators
: I knew in the bay area about wanting to take there test, Only 1 of
: them didnt want to take it. The remaining 4 people including myself
: went to Fremont VEC and passed our test. As a result of alot of CB
: Operators getting ham tickets, I noticed a dramatic increase on the
: UHF and VHF bands due to the fact that alot of CB operators know just
: as much as an Advanced or Extra class operator. A once CB operator and
: now ham (n6yem) was a prime example of this, He totaly amazed me at
: some of his theories and projects that I had to learn more! I thank
: N6YEM for encourageing me to get my Ticket and upgrading as well as
: many other Hams and CB operators for helping out and answering my
: questions as well as answering a few questions of my own!!!
:
: Don't Discourage, Encourage !! Dont Force it, Endorse it!! CW!!
:
: 73's de KF6FOZ
:
:
: On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 20:14:10 GMT, Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick)
: wrote:
:
: >On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 06:20:19 -0600, "Cal25skipper"
: ><Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:
: >
: >>I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
: >>difference.
: >>
: >>I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
requirement
: >>(if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell
me
: >>what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have
seen
: >>them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they
seem

: >>today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
: >>
: >>Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught
the
: >>classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
: >>more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack
and
: >>buy a citizens band radio.
: >>
: >>It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a
book
: >>and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
: >>higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will
always
: >>produce a better idividual.
: >>
: >>So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a
difference,
: >>because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of
theory is
: >>next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
radio
: >>services be?
: >>
: >>Brent Scott
: >>N5CLK
: >>Austin, TX
: >>
: > Just read my post on |rec.radio.ameteur.policy| Titled "Riddle
: >me This Extras" and you will have your answer.
: >
: > Rick KC2ESD
:

2036. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87qlmc.hsf.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 121810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 22:34:50 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>How many of those subscribers are unique? I know I subscribed
>to both magazines.

I don't know the numbers, although there is certainly substantial
overlap. Still, the folks who worked the numbers through concluded it
would work.

>You folks aren't going to send me two copies
>now are you?

I'll be very surprised if we do!

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2037. 10 meter nets, anyone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <s7qmvdfsoj871@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121811

Have had a couple of questions come in here about 10 m nets.

I'm looking for some information or pointers to where a list of nets could be found.

Tnx, bill wb9ivr

2038. Re: Thank Goodness!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85jlst$e54$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121812

In article <85ae57gbf1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <rileygotchu@my-deja.com> wrote:
> The fact that AF2Y is gone from this forum even for a little while is
>a blessing. Lets hope he finds his next ISP won't put up with slander
>either.

Hi Riley,

He's not gone. I first received an email from him about how to solve some AOL problems -- something about a dialog box that pops up every few minutes, something about how to do online posting instead of offline posting, plus a bunch of other questions -- I know nothing about AOL so I couldn't answer any of his question. Next email I received was how to access the mindspring.com newsreader, and asking for a complete list of the rec.radio.amateur.* newsgroups. So I would guess he left AOL only because he got sick of it.

It's interesting to see how speculation develops into rumor then into "fact" on here.

73, Jeff KH6O (a neutral bystander)

2039. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: m_man54@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <387d5fc3.7634310@news.gte.net>
Source record: 121813

On Fri, 07 Jan 2000 22:14:34 -0700, CW not CB <xrayj@woldnet.att.net>
wrote:

Hey it's a New World and the US is just comming into line with the ITU
standards for Amateur Radio.

Ron

2040. Items on eBay.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387d5356.13023178@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121814
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Standard GMR-1 Series UHF Mobil Radio
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236701146

Eico Model 324 Signal Generator
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236710912

TPL Communications 90 watt 2 meter VHF amplifier 1-4 watts drive.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236722955

Thanx for your interest and good luck!!
73's de KF6FOZ

2041. Re: Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: m_man54@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <387d600a.7705027@news.gte.net>
Source record: 121815

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 02:14:10 GMT, fmdj@hotmail.com (JB) wrote:

>Tuning around on a shortwave radio the other night I came upon 14.313.
>Is this what you're trying to protect?
>I've been reading how the bands are going to be taken over by CB-ers. Can you
>tell me how CB-ers are any different from what I heard on there?

They are not any different.
The CB Generation was died in 1979...

Restructuring is no NO-CODE .
The FCC simply adopted the ITU recomendations for Amateur Radio.

Ham Radio will die its own death if we don't start using the spectrum.
Comercial interest money talks. And the VHF+ spectrum that the Ham's
have is worth Trillions of dollars.

Ron

2042. Re: Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113003811.24619.00001126@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 121816

In article <387d600a.7705027@news.gte.net>, m_man54@hotmail.com (Ron) writes:

>Ham Radio will die its own death if we don't start using the spectrum.
>Comercial interest money talks. And the VHF+ spectrum that the Ham's
>have is worth Trillions of dollars.
>
>Ron
>
>
>

Let them have it who cares anymore

2043. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <jdqq7sc29ovnepv0gq9jacai07o5gbubc6@4ax.com>
Source record: 121817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 10 Jan 2000 17:21:45 -0600, geo-mac@prodigy.net (Gerge
McCouch) wrote:

>
>In article <387a2883.342435501@news.skyweb.net>, njvets@skyweb.net wrote:

>> Anything you get for nothing has no value. Think about it and you
>> will know this is true. Anything requiring effort and truly earned,
>> will be honored, respected, and nurtured.
>
>A great many examples exist in our society that validates this.

Really? Name a few I am honestly not aware of them. name me
somethignwhere it is valued becuase of the effort involved, notbecause
of the achivement itself. I doubt you will come with anything that
clear cut. I place value based on usefulness as do many people. My
tech licnese is not valued by me based on the effort taken to achive
it, but on what it allows me to do. the only place where that effort
factors into my thougfhts is along the lines of "where can you get
this much value with so little effort to obtian" or the motivie of
profit, one the underling modes of human behavoir

2044. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <gnqq7sg0erh2hmqhi6inlekc9shfrs8ffj@4ax.com>
Source record: 121818

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 06:20:19 -0600, "Cal25skipper"
<Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:

>I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
>difference.
>
>I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement
>(if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
>what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
>them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem
>today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?

The fact that most hams are law abding types, Indeed it merely
the fact that I a tech am lawabiding that keeps me from using Ham HF.
Frankly from some tapes I have heard some parts of those coded HF
bands sound a lot worse than anything I have ever heard on CB
>
>Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught the
>classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
>more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack and
>buy a citizens band radio.

Indeed and the present systm does likewise expose and cuase
csome materail to reatined by people not a big factor but it helps

>
>It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a book
>and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
>higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
>produce a better idividual.

Realy? while I am by and large a fan of education, the Cases
of Nero, and Caliguila mean that your stament is , at best overdrawn

>
>So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
>because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is
>next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio
>services be?

Why is that? while I expect that you see some paring of theory
in favor of rules and safety questions I se no reason to expect the
written tests to go away
>
>Brent Scott
>N5CLK

>Austin, TX
>

2045. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <e2rq7sova54fng84j0qafi5gcedoom1i14@4ax.com>
Source record: 121819

On Wed, 12 Jan 2000 20:59:24 -0600, "Cal25skipper"
<Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote:

>Thank you all for your responses.
>
>Firstly, I would like to say that I wasn't just trying to say that CW was
>the only thing that makes a difference. My point was that having to work
>for something makes it more valuable to you,

BULL, not to me and not most people that I know

>We cannot expect amateur radio to stay static, but we can demand a high
>standard.

We could but should we really and turely just what is a "high
standard"
>
>Brent Scott
>N5CLK
>Austin, TX
>

2046. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <S019OORvLR=7EY0zkD8QgF8aqg14@4ax.com>
Source record: 121820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 4 Jan 2000 07:26:46 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>In rec.radio.amateur.misc <liam@ork.net> wrote:
>>In rec.radio.scanner Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>>> Now, if there aren't increased numbers of hams, I would expect that more ham
>>> radio frequencies to be lost to commercial services
>
>That doesn't make sense. The only frequencies in danger of being lost
>are those in the V/UHF region. There has been a code-free license
>available for that portion of the spectrum. Are you saying that that
>code-free VHF+ license has been a failure?
>
>How will lowering the HF code speeds provide any more protection to V/UHF?

The fallacy of your view is in thinking that only the VHF/UHF bands are at risk. That is not true. With the soon to be deployed compatible digital AM broadcast systems, SW broadcasting has become a very attractive proposition for a large number of media players. That will inevitably lead to pressure for more SW broadcast spectrum, and our HF amateur bands are a prime hunting ground for that.

This new technology is incredible. It gives an AM station a more than equal standing with a FM station. There are no fades, no static, no picket fencing, and stereo audio of better quality than is available from FM broadcast. It does this while providing a larger grade A coverage area than FM, and while not noticably impairing reception by listeners with ordinary AM sets.

My company has already purchased AM stations in 18 of the top 20 markets in anticipation of the deployment of compatible digital broadcasting, and we're actively pursuing more. (As most people know, AM stations are dirt cheap right now because FM has been eating their lunch for so long.) We were in on the development of this technology from the beginning, and performed the original FCC field tests of it at our Cincinnati station. The major broadcast receiver manufacturers have already developed chip sets for this technology (adds about $5 to the cost of a car radio), and will be rolling it out in their new models as soon as the FCC gives us the green light (expected very soon).

We are also eyeing SW licenses as a way to gain more market penetration at a relatively low cost. Compatible digital works on SW too, and very very effectively. We are not alone in considering this option. Most of the major media players are also considering this alternative to satellite DAB, especially to penetrate markets in less developed countries where a saturation broadcast environment doesn't exist. The demand for SW broadcast spectrum is almost certain to explode over the next decade, and hams will feel the pressure.

A revitalized SW broadcast industry is not the only threat amateurs face at HF. Point to point digital services are also growing on HF at a phenomenal rate. Globe Wireless (and others) have already taken over the spectrum formerly used for maritime CW, and have petitioned the FCC for more. Use of digital technology has improved reliability and decreased costs sufficiently that HF has once again drawn a lot of interest as a way to cheaply deliver global point to point messaging services. (The little LEOs haven't gotten their cost structures under control and are now in considerable difficulty. They are likely to be aced out of this market by newer technology on HF if spectrum can be found for it.)

There's an awful lot of money riding on these ventures, and potentially a whole lot more after the impact of these technologies becomes more widely understood. By the end of the next decade, amateurs will be faced with strong pressures on their HF spectrum thanks to technological changes most amateurs don't even know are occuring.

We will continue to face pressures on our VHF/UHF spectrum too, of course, but the refarming of the analog TV spectrum scheduled to begin in 2006 will tend to relieve some pressure there. (We will continue to take hits on our upper GHz spectrum, but we can't really complain about that since we aren't using it.) The next big battlefield that most amateurs will care about is likely to be where you seem least likely to expect it, probably because you haven't kept up with the technologies that will bring it on.

Meanwhile, our HF amateur population has been aging rapidly. The average General today is 63 years old. That's a serious concern. At the end of the next decade, today's average HF amateur is going to be reaching the age of mortality. We're looking at having to replace a huge number of HF amateurs over the next decade to just maintain the band occupancy we have today.

To put this demographic situation is perspective, in 1963 the average amateur was 27 years old (note that this wasn't a normal bell curve, it was heavily skewed by large numbers of teenage amateurs). Amateur radio has gone from a young man's activity to an old man's activity. Now the average age of our population as a whole has been increasing over the last 40 years as the boomers have matured. But the population average has not greyed as strikingly as has that of the average HF amateur. (The population average is now 35.2, up from 32 in 1960.) We've gone from a demographic curve heavily skewed toward the younger end to one that is very heavily skewed toward the older end in amateur radio. That's not healthy for the long term prospects of the service.

I know I've beat this drum before, but the numbers are shocking. Something had to be done. Fortunately the FCC restructuring is a step in the right direction, as was the change to Tech a decade ago. But it is likely not going to be enough. Goverment licensing reforms alone, necessary though they were, aren't going to solve this problem. We're going to have to aggressively promote amateur radio, and recruit as many younger people as we possibly can over the next couple of decades just to keep amateur radio relatively healthy and in a position to resist the pressures which are looming on the

horizon for *all* of our spectrum.

The FCC has just made our job a bit easier by removing a perception about amateur radio that has dogged us for far too long that we're trailing edge oriented, but we're still going to have to mount major efforts in order to preserve what we have. I hope we can do it. If we can't, then I guess we won't be able to begrudge the loss of our spectrum because we won't have the numbers to keep it productively filled.

At least I don't feel so much like a voice crying the wilderness anymore. In my talks with some ARRL BOD members (and others in other amateur organizations around the world), they are as alarmed as I am about this situation. I don't think that a clear vision of what must be done has yet emerged, but at least it is now on the radarscopes of some of the people with the leverage to do something about it. I hope it is something better than a new Archie comic book.

My personal pet idea is to bring back what we know worked before, middle school radio clubs. That was a major way kids came into amateur radio in the 50s and early 60s. But that requires some old farts to get off their asses and actually do something constructive by acting as sponsors and elmers. I wonder if enough of us care, or even remember enough of what it was like to be a kid to relate to these prospective amateurs.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2047. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <kprq7s8m3m8en1mpspg3dch51qu9o2ik7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 121821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
KB7ADL) wrote:

>Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>
>The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton

Which has been in favor of more and more governement
regulation, remmeber Hilary Care

>Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard

Realy, now I am one of those conservitive Republicans, and I I
know that we not adverse to pointing out where we are being treated
unfairly

>for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat

What is handed on a platter let alone a silver one

>whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
>checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
>Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
>wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
>to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
>of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
>this, it makes them feel important & needed.
>
>restructured Ham = Welfare Ham.

Restruing means the begining of the end of Code welfare
>
>
>Quote of the Day:
>The FCC served me a shit sandwich... but I can't see any bread.
>KB7ADL, Jan. 2000
>
>73
>
>dhstadt@ameritech.net (Dave Stadt) wrote in
><YVte4.830$Qp3.20074@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>:
>>Yep the end is near, get out now while you can and send me all your
>>radios.

>>

2048. Re: TM241 tone board NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85jq14$s87$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

In rec.radio.amateur.equipment Randy/Dee/Robin <dc@citynet.net> wrote:
: Need a tone decoder board for Knwd TM-241 radio. I believe it's a TU/8 or
: something similar to that? Any help appreciated on proper ID of board and
: finding one.
: thanks and pse email direct.
: Randy

http://www.pacparts.com Kenwood's Parts Center Distro. Every
Kenwood owner should bookmark that site and keep it handy, you
can order just about any part for any kenwood radio past and
present. And what you want is the Encoder. The Decoder comes
standard on all radios, its the Encoder that is optional which
allows you to activate the CTCSS function.

Jimmy

```
_______________________________
/\ \
\_| Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye! |
| The NeXus hath posted |
|http://www.datasync.com/nexus|
| ____________________________|_
\_/_____________________________/
```

2049. Re: Serious Question for the Anti Restructuring Guys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb99rqz@arrl.net
Message-ID: <gjrq7sgkmin2colsfrrp50ua8oumfm0i3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 121823

On 13 Jan 2000 05:38:11 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>In article <387d600a.7705027@news.gte.net>, m_man54@hotmail.com (Ron) writes:
>
>>Ham Radio will die its own death if we don't start using the spectrum.
>>Comercial interest money talks. And the VHF+ spectrum that the Ham's
>>have is worth Trillions of dollars.
>>
>>Ron
>>
>>
>>
>
>Let them have it who cares anymore

standard ProCode whining f I can have it MY WAY then... grow
up

2050. WTB: FT726R Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith V <kevlar28602@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85jnve01ome@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121824

Looking for the SP-102 speaker for the FT726R radio. Anyone got one for sale? Also looking for tone boards and 440 module for same rig. Any info on locating the above would be welcome.

Thanks!

Keith KD4AVP
keithp@conninc.com

2051. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387D71D0.E5E220D7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

M.J.Powell wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes
> >England has a gun sub-culture. They are called criminals. One
> >was interviewed on a recent TV special about security in England.
> >He said, in England, any criminal who wants a gun can get a gun.
> >He showed his handgun to the cameraman and said he loved England's
> >gun laws.
>
> And if you believe that, you are sadly lacking in nous...

Are you saying one of the major TV/cable networks was lying?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2052. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387D72D4.142D8ADB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:

> > >Then how come so many PCTA folk salivate over it? :-)
>
> Cecil the politician/pseudopsycholigist always reverses
> roles to make his chosen side look much better than they
> ever could naturally.

Dick, please take a few brain cells away from your Morse code
skill and try to remember what :-) means.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2053. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85jsaq$o2g$0@208.207.71.172>
Source record: 121827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:

> The only purpose of a gun is to kill.

You couldn't BE more wrong!!! It is FAR more common that the mere presence of a gun serves to *prevent* not only possible killing by a perp, but heads off any probability that he will even consider making such a move.

Only a tiny percentage of gun owners ever use them for reasons other than just presence. It is a rare time indeed when one finds it necessary to do anything more than just show a weapon. Lets face fact, crooks aren't heros, the last thing they want is to get themselves shot. So they'll flee the scene macht schnell when the hardware shows.

Almost all cops -by far the vast majority- serve their entire career without ever cracking a cap in combat. The fact that the gun is on their hip is enough - nearly all the time it gets to stay there.

On the other hand, a recent TV special showed an interview with a hoodlum in England wherein he stated that the ban on privately owned guns was a very real boon to the crooks, who can get a gun anytime they want, legal or not. And they know that their law-abiding victims won't have one for their own protection. Makes his life VERY easy. And if he should decide that leaving witnesses is bad for HIS future, well, tough stuff for you, Pal.

Ths sad part is that *anyone* could be so dense as to believe that smuggling guns is any more difficult than smuggling dope, and it's no secret how much of that stuff gets into ANY country. In the USA, trucks cross the border by the thousands, mostly unchecked. Thousands of miles of shoreline and frontier are almost wholly unprotected. Countless thousands of railroad shipping containers enter the country daily, with only token numbers (1 in 10,000) being checked for content.

And it's ludicrous to assume that when any law is placed on the books that the crooks would just voluntarily comply. Instead, they're laughing at us - or you would prevent everyone else from defending themselves.

I bet you didn't know that the Supreme Court once ruled that the police are not obligated to protect individuals, but only society as a whole. So where does that leave YOU for protection? And even if the police were so obligated, and I can assure that most of them feel that they are, how many would it take to adequately protect everyone? Do you think it likely that enough could ever exist? When was the last time you heard of an officer being present, at the right time, to thwart a serious crime?

Banishing private gun owership is the province of fools.

2054. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387D7388.7B92739E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121828
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe K7JOE wrote:
>
> Bravo, Cecil. You speak the truth. As an extra class 20 WPM ham who
> enjoys CW, I decided to do my share elmering / being a VE. So,
> I teach a cw practice session via repeater twice a week for 30 minutes
> per session.

Good for you, Joe. If the PCTA folk follow your example, CW will
remain alive and well. If they don't, only they are responsible
for the consequences.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2055. Re: Ham Radio and technological change

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Cmt9OCYX1sAdVJ+GFjK274LCUAf5@4ax.com>
Source record: 121829

On Wed, 05 Jan 2000 20:04:45 GMT, phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
>If we all live in the past, then Ham Radio will surely die out as we go
>silent key, one by one. If we want to make sure Ham Radio does not die
>out, we have to transform it into something that will have a net GAIN in
>people, not a net LOSS. To do that we have to have something here that
>gets, and KEEPS, the interest of today's technological "geeks". And it
>has to compete with cell phones, internet, robots, and all the other
>assorted things we have today.
<snip>
>Changing people's interests into something else, for the most part, is
>simply not going to happen. Trying to make someone else interested in
>the things we might still love and enjoy doesn't work. It might well
>run them off. We need to realize that it is a different world and the
>people in it have a different set of interests.
<snip>
>New rules or not, we need to make Ham Radio be something of interest
>to today's young technological people. The new rules themselves are
>not here to change Ham Radio, per se, but they will make it easier for
>us all to change Ham Radio ourselves. We need to TAKE ADVANTAGE of
>the new rules to keep Ham Radio alive, and make it thrive, for another
>100 years. We need to make Ham Radio be more, FAR MORE, than it was
>50 years ago, more than it is even today. We need to set our sights
>not just on the year 2000, but the year 2010, and even the year 2050,
>even though surely things will be around then which we cannot imagine
>today.

Very well said, Phil. We need to reinvent amateur radio, or at least make it possible for younger people to reinvent it. An organism that doesn't change is dead. Change is life. We have to embrace that notion if we want amateur radio to continue to live. I don't think we have a clear vision of where we need to go, crystal ball gazers rarely do, but we have to remain open to change and new ideas and new ways.

We have to encourage the people who want to try new things, do old things differently, etc. We shouldn't totally lose sight of where we've been, but we need to fix our eyes on the future toward which we're going, like it or not, instead of constantly looking back over our shoulders and trying to live in a world that used to be.

I just made a post about some challenges we will face in the next decade. The world in which we live is changing faster than many of us seem to be able to understand. But all of us will be impacted by those changes, and we need to be ready to meet them. It is said that armies often prepare for the last war, and get blind sided when the next one doesn't follow that script. We can't afford to let that

happen to us.

The ARRL has a committee looking into the implications of technological change on amateur radio, but I don't think that they have really grasped the scope and pace of change that we really face, or the social and political impacts that change will have. Technology doesn't exist in isolation. Every technological change has sociological impacts, sometimes impacts that are very different than we expect. Even if we reject the technology, others won't, and it will impact us nonetheless, often from directions we don't expect. We have to be flexible, climb up on the crest of the wave and ride it where it takes us.

It is sometimes hard to tell whether someone is leading, or just running to stay ahead of the mob. I think we're going to have to do a little of both in order to cope with the very rapid pace of change that's going to happen whether we like it or not.

I don't have a Five Year Plan. I can't point at the next Great Leap Forward. The ex-Soviets showed us that sort of thing doesn't work. There are a few things we know. It is better to be strong rather than weak, swift rather than slow, flexible rather than rigid, etc. All I can suggest is to do the best we can, and hang on because its going to be a hell of a ride.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2056. Re: S-100 Processor board or modem board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: hexter@blazenet.net
Message-ID: <mRef4.3668$KP.190277@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 121830
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <85ilei$lju@news1.snet.net>, ChipsnDips@snet.net says...
>
>I'm looking for an S-100 processor board or an S-100 modem board
(both). If
>you have one or both and interested in selling please contact me
directly at
>chipsndips@snet.net
>
>Alan
>
Please check the whereabouts of Christopher Titus located in
Blacksburg Virginia. He opened a museum for older computers, and
I would guess gets calls from folks wanting to donate. He may be
able to 'give' you some of the surplus. He had imsai's, altairs,
intellect's, and almost one of everything with 6800, 8008, 8080,
etc. best luck.

2057. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85jtvb$o2g$1@208.207.71.172>
Source record: 121831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
>
A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully,
a
> > person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the
> > achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a
> > milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish
> > the value of someone's goals?
> >
> > Dave

> Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.

It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
had used that fact to build my resume.

> This is *Amateur* radio, not commercial/professional/broadcast/PCS
> whatever.

Yep. Only a mere shell of its former self.

> The license is NOT a graduation certificate, but rather an entrance
> permit to the hobby/service.

You jokers have regurgitated that tired old bromide until
even the kids at the FCC believe it, now. But Hey, what do
they know, they just work there, right?

If you prefer to view your EXTRA class license as an
"entrance permit" to the hobby, it tells me that you got it
for free. Most of us did not, and DO NOT. The FCC, at least
30 years ago, considered an EXTRA class licensee to be the
only expert class of ham. The fact that he was knowledgeable
enough to score a passing grade on their tests was proof
enough.

Congratulations on changing their minds. Henceforth there
will never be anything other than "entry level" class hams
licensed. And that's exactly where most of them will stay.

2058. Re: Geriatric Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jobling <kjoblingNO@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <387E2DB9.C2F986C4@SPAMprimus.com.au>
Source record: 121616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And the current discussions on news groups like these are not helping to attract newcomers to Ham Radio. The internet should be used to foster Amateur Radio, not to present its worst side to the public, as is happening now.

"Tom B." wrote:
>
> Get real, it's funny that CW bears the brunt of Ham Radio unpopularity
> but the internet is never mentioned. While were talking crazy, let's get
> rid of all those old fart hams, they may have outlived their usefulness
> and don't present a now and with it image to the young blood.
>
> sid9 wrote:
> >
> > The Morse code requirement has done more to hurt ham radio then any of the
> > "worse than CBers" that you hear on the bands. It's time we let go of World
> > War 1 relics like Morse code, "Q" code and numbered messages. These are
> > symbols of the ham communities backwardness and the "dog in the manger"
> > attitude toward our frequency allocations.
> >

--
Ken Jobling
http://www.bigfoot.com/~vk3kjc/

2059. Re: AC5IU JAMMING 14.275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85lr55ruq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121617

"Dead Mike Walking"

If you have a problem with AC5IU, and he is qrming you. My suggestion
is for you to send a E mail to Riley Hollingsworth at rholling@fcc.gov
This forum can not help you, he can!! Give frequency, date, time , and
hams in the qso. Hope you "PB" boys are enjoying 14275.

Glad to be of service "DMW"

Gitback

In article <85ksv4$41q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> We moved to get away from the 14.313 mess and ac5iu is comming on 275
> with the same crap he does on 313 what a lid ..
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2060. 14275 "OPEN TO EVERY HAM, Not JUST PB SUCKBOYS" W4NTI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85lrl0scb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121618

W4NTI. self appointed channel master on 14275, has made the
statement, "This channel is open to every ham, not just porkbutt,
WB2OTK suckboys" "We will stand by on frequency if amateur broadcaster
K1MAN comes back on"

How Nice

Gitback

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2061. Re: counter wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clint@clintbradford.com>
Message-ID: <85ls8vsrc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <387C5686.E8F2097A@analon.com>,
JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:
> wanting to buy a optotronics or similar counter!

I saw some GREAT discounts on new units at Ham Radio Outlet in Anaheim,
CA yesterday (free shipping on orders over $100.).

1-714-533-7373

StarTek models:

ATH-15 $180.
ATH-30 230.
ATH-50 270.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2062. Re: REAL Ham Radio Now on 14275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m25l$102$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121620

In article <expy0HhX$GA.255@cpmsnbbsa05>,
"Mike Haddington" <math321@uri.edu> wrote:
>
> <rileygotchu@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >
>
> Sorry rileygotchu, you & your ilk were
> quietly & efficiently trumped on this move.
>
> The move to 14275 was both symbolic
> & substantive, and is being proved over & again
> each day as REAL ham radio plays out on 14275.
> Good old-fashion ham talk & hams helping hams
> is going on daily on 14275. No Porkbutts and
> no Herbies, hate to break reality to you, they're
> gone.
>
> BRAVO to Dan & others for their ham
> radio leadership on this one! K1TP is
> documenting your positive efforts on his web
> page!
>
> NOW, rileygotchu, the ball is in YOUR
> court! Here's a challenge for you. How about
> You also showing some ham radio leadership?
>
> YOU
> can call & raise the move to 14275 by knocking
> off the negative attacks in this NG and let it
> get back to old fashion ham radio. So
> what's your answer? Everyone in this news
> group is waiting.....? Let the wars be
> over, for good! both on the air and in this forum!
> What say you?
>
>
Mike,

In a few short paragraphs he has summed it up perfectly. Thank you
very much.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2063. Re: 14275 "OPEN TO EVERY HAM, Not JUST PB SUCKBOYS" W4NTI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m2h2$1ab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121621

In article <85lrl0scb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> W4NTI. self appointed channel master on 14275, has made the
> statement, "This channel is open to every ham, not just porkbutt,
> WB2OTK suckboys" "We will stand by on frequency if amateur broadcaster
> K1MAN comes back on"
>
> How Nice
>
> Gitback
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
No, I am not a "self appointed channel master on 14.275". Please try
and come up with some new insults if possible. Even BARF/Stumpers are
welcome on 275. Assuming you can act human that is. It is time to END
this stupid war. Wake up and smell the roses, or you will go down.
Simple as that. Pay attention fool.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2064. Ham Radio as a Geriatric pass-time ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dr. Coonie" <coontail@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m51f$30e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121622

I've always been in favor of maintaining licensing standards, but
isn't it clear nobody under 40 is going to go to the trouble to learn
cw. In fact in the northeast 80 cw is a ghost town even now.
What I do not understand is why the FCC did not go all the way and
eliminate code altogether. After all 5 wpm so so slow.. it really isn't
cw anyway..
It is regretable that the theory parts could not be up graded.. as
its been any slightly above average six year old can pass the general
and by ten the extra.

When do all these changes take place?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2065. for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edwardc@thezone.net
Message-ID: <387e70a1.85862454@news.thezone.net>
Source record: 121623

```
for sale kam all mode price 300 canadian dollars.
all hookups and cables. ver 5.0
if interested eamil me
thanks.
```

2066. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m5l5$3di$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121624

BD wrote in message ...
>Well.. She didn't look that bad (or is/was the spanish inquisition
good?)...

No, she looked good. The "spanish inquisition" line was from Monty
Python....

<Enter Vikings> "spamspamspamspamspam....."
LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2067. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <Dquf4.1530$bQ1.22878@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121625
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
: I'll have to reread the NY Vehicle and Traffic law, but from
: memory it simply states no one can have radios capable of
: receiving police calls, no distinction whether you have freqs
: programed or not, just can't be CAPABLE of receiving police
: freqs.
:
: Also, you can have scanners, etc. capable of receiving police freqs
: if you have a letter from the Sheriff, etc. stating its ok.
:
: Thats what I remember of the way the laws written, interps and
: enforcement are of course another matter.
:
: Best, RC
:

Hams are exempted. Our equipment can receive police as well as
ham frequencies. Just point out the LEO that we have a federally
issued license that they can take from us if we commit crimes while
using said radios. The same laws that exempt hams also exempt
those in journalism, those who sell or repair police radios, and others.

: >> Hams are exempt in all states from laws forbidding scanners in cars.
: >>
: >
: >Well, sort of true. There are still states that prohibit scanners, and
: >HAMs as a 'general' rule are exempt at the state level. But NY for
: >instance will allow HAMs to have scanners, but unless something
: >has changed in the last 2 years, they consider HAMs in violation
: >if they have any police frequencies programmed into their rigs or
: >scanners.
: >
: >Of course, if the HAMs are tracking a police jammer, with PD knowledge
: >and/or assistance, there shouldn't be an issue.
: >
: >Mike
: >
: >
: >
:
:
```

2068. Re: Ham Radio as a Geriatric pass-time ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m5ti$3n6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121626

In article <85m51f$30e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dr. Coonie <coontail@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>
> I've always been in favor of maintaining licensing standards, but
> isn't it clear nobody under 40 is going to go to the trouble to learn
> cw. In fact in the northeast 80 cw is a ghost town even now.
> What I do not understand is why the FCC did not go all the way and
> eliminate code altogether. After all 5 wpm so so slow.. it really
isn't
> cw anyway..
> It is regretable that the theory parts could not be up graded.. as
> its been any slightly above average six year old can pass the general
> and by ten the extra.
>
> When do all these changes take place?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
The reason for the 5wpm is that the international telecommunications
union determine the international requirement for morse code for
amateur radio. This will probably drop to zero in the near future.
The FCC is simply getting ready for the change.

As for the written tests...possible they will take up the "slack".

The new rules are effective 15 April, 2000.

Dan/W4NTI

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2069. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m5u5$3n8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121627

BD wrote in message ...
>Well.. She didn't look that bad (or is/was the spanish inquisition
good?)...

No, she looked good. The "spanish inquisition" line was from Monty
Python....

<Enter Vikings> "spamspamspamspamspam....."
LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2070. Re: test DO NOT READ!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m624$3nt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121628

Frank A. Todd K3EKO wrote in message <20000113131317.16464.00000081@ng-cl1.aol.com>...
>Told you!!!

Oh, no, nobody said there was going to be a test.........

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2071. Re: AC5IU JAMMING 14.275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m67g$3q9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121629

nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote in message <85ksv4$41q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>We moved to get away from the 14.313 mess and ac5iu is comming on 275
>with the same crap he does on 313 what a lid ..

Too bad he's using his "earthwarmer" 12 dbi antenna.....<snicker>
then he might be heard.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2072. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387e6e0a.3269485@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121630
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

This maybe the local ordinance for the area!
However federaly it is not illegal.
Just remember, Just because FCC says its legal, Doesnt mean it is in
your home town. Check with your local city hall before you proceed!

2073. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85lt2f$i7n$0@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 121631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
> >
> The Technician is by definition, an entrance level exam, and has been
> for 10 years. The General and Extra are more than entrance obviously,
> since they require more knowledge to pass.

Not any more! All that's required is the determination to
make the necessary memorizations, and to stay with the
program until it's done. At which time no one will be able
to determine, without some sort of additional testing,
whether the newly licensed Extra has learned anything at
all! Now, the only differentiation among the amateur license
classes is merely "Did you memorize 35, 70 or 120 question
and answer pairs?" Neat!

> However, they are entry
> levels to their enhanced privileges. In no sense are they graduation
> certificates, or proof that someone has achieved something great in
> technology development.

You don't say. I guess that's why all the newcomers come to
the old Extra with all their questions and problems- or once
did. Evidently they hadn't learned that he was just a
beginner, too. What drivel.

> Amateur radio never was meant to be a college
> degree.

For most radio technical people over the term of its
history, a college degree just wasn't in the scheme. Nor did
it need to be. Yeah, we know those of you who came along
later would like to change that. And as far as ham radio is
concerned, you got it done.

> The only applicability of an amateur radio license to the
> commercial world that I know of is that an Extra will get you a *Third*
> class NARTE Technician certificate.
>

Well, Hoop de do! That just shows how much disdain you
"engineers" have for any non-engineer. We just don't count.
Fine, I sure don't need your "Narte" nasty and wouldn't have
it. I had a First Phone that the FCC junked, the same way
they just did to the Extra class ham license. Whatever

little technical prestige Extra had remaining, and after 15 years of Question Pools there sure wasn't much, is now gone to the same junkpile. RIP. It was once upon a time a Very Good Thing. Now it's nothing.

2074. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leigh Marrin/KM6JE <leigh@coyote.rain.org>
Message-ID: <85ls81khj2@news.rain.org>
Source record: 121632

K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
: Avoid S, H, V, B (like K0HB). The dits get lost in the noise.

Agreed. Several years ago some friends and I worked the June ARRL VHF contest using a friend's callsign, KD6BIS. I made a few contacts on 6 meter CW with his call, and found it maddening, both to send and for others to copy. The D is one dit away from being a B; the B is one dit away from being a 6; the I is one dit away from being a S, etc.

--Leigh Marrin/KM6JE. (Several times calling CQ on CW, I've had replying stations miss the final dit/E, FWIW.)

: Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
: Before you buy.

2075. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113200503.24619.00001565@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 121633

In article <s7s93dndoj8160@corp.supernews.com>, "Carl R. Stevenson"
<wa6vse@fast.net> writes:

>An astute comment, I must say ... even if we do something that
>is CLEARLY for the good/common interest of all hams, including
>our members, I'm sure there will be some who will flame us for it.
>
>The key point is to not confuse us with folks who actually care
>what such an irrational minority of flamers might say :-)
>
>73,

Who the HELL is NCI to support anything other No_code change your Damn story or
shut the Hell up Carl you got your fuckin Welfare Exta not shut the Hell up.

2076. Re: AC5IU JAMMING 14.275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m6jg$44a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121634

In article <85lr55ruq1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> "Dead Mike Walking"
>
> If you have a problem with AC5IU, and he is qrming you. My suggestion
> is for you to send a E mail to Riley Hollingsworth at rholling@fcc.gov
> This forum can not help you, he can!! Give frequency, date, time , and
> hams in the qso. Hope you "PB" boys are enjoying 14275.
>
> Glad to be of service "DMW"
>
> Gitback

That's pretty funny, since you, Polly, are one of the biggest QRMers on
the bands.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2077. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85m6pi$46l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121635

In article <85les7irg1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wa2ise@my-deja.com wrote:
> I
> >
> > The best CW calls have a lot of "average power" in the suffix.
> > That means calls with T, M, or O, like N2TT, K0TO, W4MM.
> >
> > Avoid S, H, V, B (like K0HB). The dits get lost in the noise.
> >
> How about my call "WA2ISE"? all dits.

Bummer!

Must suck to be you in a CW pileup! :-)

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2078. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@urec.net>
Message-ID: <01bf5e30$127baf00$1d7f473f@cbx-nt>
Source record: 121636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<<SNIP>>
> Morse code does in fact have something to do
> with radio, We dont send it over the phone do we?
<<SNIP>>

Not since the telegraph..... :-)
Sort of my point.

<<SNIP>>
> Good luck
> getting passed the barrier and I hope I dont have that problem when I
> get there which I know I will! :(

Thanks, I am sure with time I will conquer it.

Regards

Christopher Cox
KC8FRJ

2079. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <387E6C74.367B@no.net>
Source record: 121637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Brian wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > George T. Baker wrote:
> > >
> > > > Similar experience here, JJ, when at the age of 16 I braved the Dallas
> > > > FCC office for my Class B exam. Only, I got sent out in the hall before I
> > > > could ever get as far as the exam. I was shaking so badly that I could
> > > > not even fill out the 610!
> > > >
> > > > Finally got over the shakes - barely - and got on with the code test and
> > > > the written. I can sure echo what you said about the FCC staff there
> > > > being very patient and understanding.
> > >
> > > But we have been told that the FCC "ordeal" is the only way to become a real
> > > ham. Now someone comes on here and tells the truth of it.
> >
> > I dare say it was and "ordeal" because the person taking the exam made
> > it one, not the FCC examiner. Only natural to be nervous about the test,
> > especially when you have driven 200 mile to get to the FCC office. You
> > certainly hoped to pass so you did not have to make the trip again.
>
> I'll have to make sure I get myself all worked up when I take Extra. I'll stay up
> all night and drink 3 pots of coffee.

You'll be a better person for it, it will make you a "real" ham. :>)
```

2080. Re: 10 meter nets, anyone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <387e7e98.30491817@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 121638

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 00:00:28 -0500, "Bill Newkirk" <wnewkirk@iu.net>
wrote:

>Have had a couple of questions come in here about 10 m nets.
>
>I'm looking for some information or pointers to where a list of nets could
>be found.
>
>Tnx, bill wb9ivr

Bill,
Check out the 10-10 web site for some nets:

http://listserv.lehigh.edu/lists/tenten-l/

Tom - KB2SMS

2081. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113204626.18847.00000070@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 121639

>> Quux wrote:
>> > Ever ordered Shrimp Scampi or said "Shrimp Scampi"?
>> > Then you've said shrimp shrimp. "scampi" is "shrimp"
>> > in Italian.
>>
>> ... like tuna fish.

Or, does your handheld have an LCD display?

Kï¿½MB

2082. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000113205733.18847.00000077@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 121640

>> I do believe, that in a
>>very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
>>where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
>
>
>I believe we heard all this before, at the introduction of the No-Code Tech
>license. It has not come to pass.

I think it has. The group I used to hang out on 10m with no longer meets there. Now an attempt at having a QSO on 10m is a string of constant "Breakers," all of whom seem to think you are required to add new members to your conversation, like some big CH 19 partyline! In the beginning we did allow newbies to break in, but invariably they had nothing to add to the conversation, and nothing really to say at all. After they give their "first personal," its always something like,"I just wanted to tell tell that you're loud here in East MudPuddle. How are you hearing me?"

Frankly, I'd rather discuss a medical condition!

Kï¿½MB

2083. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87t0r6.jpe.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 121641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 19:02:04 GMT, Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net> wrote:
>
>Jon's original response to me was that it was NOT the League's intention to
>kill the Quarterly--and that Dick Ross had "declared it dead" already. This
>response is, of course, rhetorical nonsense on a number of levels.

I would like to wrap this debate up too, but I can't allow this
misstatement of what I said to go unchallenged. What I objected to was
your characterization of ARRL's motive as being to eliminate
competition. I never said that ARRL intended to continue to publish
CommQuart -- that would be a silly thing to say.

Regarding the "declared it dead" remark: I withdraw it.

>I've also raised the issue of Doug Smith's exposure to conflict-of-interest
>liability as QEX Editor while simultaneously holding an executive position
>with a company in the industry. Jon acknowledged those conflicts do, in
>fact, exist--but adds that Doug is doing a good job, so the League doesn't
>care.

Again with the misquoting? I do NOT agree that a conflict of interest
exists.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2084. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn87t0vt.jpe.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 121642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 16:50:31 -0500, Peter Bertini <commquart@erols.com> wrote:
>"Padding" the subscription list by honoring dual subscriptions with two
>mailings of QEX would certainly
> look good to advertisers and on the books IMHO.

It's a good thing you're not tasked with QEX fulfillment, then.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2085. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <Tbwf4.1608$bQ1.30087@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121643
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kf6foz <cobra@budweiser.com> wrote ...
: This maybe the local ordinance for the area!
: However federaly it is not illegal.
: Just remember, Just because FCC says its legal, Doesnt mean it is in
: your home town. Check with your local city hall before you proceed!

Federal trumps state laws with respects to telecommunications.

Besides every state has exempted hams, journalists, and those
who sell and repair police radios. It is usually under the section
of state law that deals with interferrence with a police officer.

And remember, all local communities are charted by their state
governments. Most police officers enforce state laws. Local
ordinances are a small portion of the law. State laws set the
authority of what any city government may do.

2086. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <387e91f5.1958512@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121644
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 17:01:11 GMT, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

>
>N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote in message
>news:6Ubf4.1549$Oe.34291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>>
>> Hams are exempt in all states from laws forbidding scanners in cars.
>
>Well, sort of true. There are still states that prohibit scanners, and
>HAMs as a 'general' rule are exempt at the state level. But NY for
>instance will allow HAMs to have scanners, but unless something
>has changed in the last 2 years, they consider HAMs in violation
>if they have any police frequencies programmed into their rigs or
>scanners.

NY might want to consider hams in violation, but the FCC
preemption for ham transcievers is absolute (i.e. there is
no caveat that there can be no police frequency
programmed in). Indeed, just how does a police
officer know what any of the "programmed"
frequencies are if someone was stopped with
their transciever in the car? Given the high
probability that some hams with transcievers have
been stopped for traffic violations in NY state without
the police hassling them, I'd say that there is no
problem in NY state....or we'd have heard about it
here before.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2087. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Hhwf4.1619$bQ1.30321@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Much fewer, as compared to the USA, but still enough to illustrate
that all the gun-banning in the world still doesn't keep weapons out
of the hands of those who choose to obtain them - and I suppose it's
not much consolation to the victim of a rape/robbery/burglary/mugging
that their assailant was unarmed - it's sorta' like a mortally injured
traffic accident victim happily murmering "at least I wasn't killed
by a nasty GUN" as he draws his last breath...

By the way, for the record, I am having LOTS of difficulty in
obtaining more recent statistics related to international crime -
especially violent crime. Seems most of the outfits now providing
in-depth info want $$$ for their stuff - hardly worth it, since all
the statistics in the world supporting benefits of private onership of
guns haven't YET changed the mind of a SINGLE anti-gunner *I* have
ever encountered - all classic cases of "don't confuse me with FACTS,
my minds already made up..."

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:85lflcqch1@news.hawaii.edu...
>
> Followup line set to just to .misc and .policy
>
> Gary - KJ6Q wrote in rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:
> >year or so ago when I last made comparisons. You are right, MANY in
> >the UK are as shocked as YOU are when they are exposed to figures
> >supplied by their OWN local law enforcement agency - they, and
others
> >in the world merely ASSUME that the reduced number of weapons in
the
> >UK (or ANY place where citizens are disarmed) *MUST* result in less
> >violence, rape, muggings and similer - they are WRONG! A year or so
> >ago when my numbers were obtained, the UK was also pretty much the
> >world leader in car theft - ahead of the USA even, and I thought
OURS
> >was pretty outrageous!
>
> What percent of the violent crimes occurring in the UK are committed
> by criminals armed with firearms?
>

> Jeff

2088. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmoisan@shore.net
Message-ID: <387e9392.48466150@news.shore.net>
Source record: 121646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 10:56:22 -0600, geo-mac@prodigy.net (Gerge
McCouch) wrote:
>2. In the company where I work, company vehicles are provided to a number
>of employees. Over the years, we have had many examples of employees
>abusing the vehicles and just recently had one who ran it for several
>weeks with the oil pressure light warning on, until he locked up the

Some people are astonishingly inattentive with even their own
property. You don't know that he wouldnt've done this with his own
car.

Relative of mine got a Lhasa Apso dog; paid serious money--on credit,
of course--for his budget ($500). After being only half-cared-for for
over three years, The dog was surrendered to a shelter.

By that logic, I hope you used a hammer on all the Xmas gifts you got
(if you celebrate that.) Do you have anything in your house that was
given to you by a parent or close relative, perhaps handed down? Then
you didn't "earn" it, strictly speaking. Did you have an Elmer that
gave you one of his radios? If so, I'll bet you guarded it with your
life!

This belief has been perpetuated for so long that I cannot believe it
anymore. The FCC certainly doesn't.

Take care,

Dave

P.S. Since many here are gun owners, I pose an amusing thought
experiment: What if the attitudes expressed by the PCTA's ("earning
something") were applied to gun ownership by the BATF and the NRA?
David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL n1kgh@amsat.org
Invisible Disability: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
GE Superradio FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
Sangean ATS-909 FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

2089. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85m8a3$g64$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna John P. Franklin wrote:
>So what's wrong with the call you already have?

It's too long.

Jeff KH6O

2090. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <387ea19d.1035458@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 121648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Christopher Cox" <cobox@urec.net> wrote:

><<SNIP>>
>> Morse code does in fact have something to do
>> with radio, We dont send it over the phone do we?
><<SNIP>>
>

Funny thing, I have been trying to send CQ in moris code on
my cell phone. Maby that is why no one answers.
So much for a cell phone cw only WAS :=)

2091. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85m95t$n5s$0@208.207.71.167>
Source record: 121649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> The ham license has never been proof of electronics skill or
> knowledge, Dick.

Not in your lifetime. But that has not been the case at all
in mine.

> When you got the jobs you describe above, did you do
> so without any further training? In other words, did the radio/tv
> repair shop hire you without giving you any training after you were
> hired? Did the military hire you without any further training?

Does ANY job come without further training? None that I
know of.

> If they had to train you, then you cannot now claim that passing the
> ham license exam was evidence of electrical skill since those
> organizations did not take that license as proof of electrical skill.
> For those organizations, that license was only proof that you had some
> basic understanding of basic electronics and therefore would be easier
> to train than another person.

Well, well! And today, that can't be assumed, without
further testing. Once a ham radio license conveyed some
minimum level of knowledge and skill, in most cases. Today,
no employer who knows what has happened over the past couple
decades would consider awarding that 'credit' without other
independent confirmation.

> And the ham license of today still serves that purpose.

I'm afraid not. Today's ham license proves only that
licensee was only at least minimally interested in radio,
enough that he completed the licensing process. The FLAWED
licensing process.

However, it
> plays less of a role in the hiring process today simply because radio
> plays less of a role in an electronics career today.

There are fewer two-way radios in use today? What rock you
been hiding under? And there aren't a mass of wireless
services that have sprung up overnight? These are not
"radio"?

Years ago, because
> of the greater role radio played in an electronics career, many more
> organizations were willing to give credit for any radio training (no
> matter how basic). Since radio plays less of a role today, fewer
> organizations give that credit (even those who did years ago).

Your conclusion is true but you erred in your reasoning.
Radio is more widespread than ever, in every area of life.
Even the dreaded HF telephone wireless modems are radio.

> I also think you're being a little disingenuous here, Dick. After
> working at a radio/tv repair shop, graduating near the top of your
> electronics school class, and working in electronics in the military, I
> really doubt that the ham license really played that much of a role in
> your later employment at that "good technical job."

You'd be wrong. And to top it off, they even gave me a
Morse code exam!
The organization had discontinued the use of Morse not long
before, but still retained it as a backup to wireline
teletype. ALL the officials who were involved in the hiring
process were longtime hams themselves, and knew all about
what a ham ticket meant *then*.

> The simple fact, whether you want to admit it or not, is that the ham
> license exam has always been an extrance exam into the world of hobby
> radio (hobby radio, not professional or commercial radio). That is the
> way the FCC designed it many years ago, and that is also why there have
> always been other, much more difficult, license exams for professional
> or commercial radio personnel.

That is neither a simple nor a complicated fact, in fact it
is no fact at all. Just as Novice was the preferred entry
point into ham radio, a ham license was once the preferred
entry point into commercial radio and electronics. The
commercial exams came later (been there too). THAT is what
has changed.

> With that in mind, the existing license exam system serves its > purpose well.

Sure does. It sets the ARS up for making our frequencies
available for reallocation to commercials, since such a
trivialized "service", now easily equated with other trivial
services such as the Citizens Band service, is much less
valuable in its own right. Only ours has VAST SPECTRUM
available for the taking. FCC has just completed the setup
by doing exactly the oppositee of what they said - they have
made the Amateur Radio Service a **NON-technical** entity,
and you have just helped to confirm it all.

I'd imagine the Question Pool Committee will further
confirm it after April 15.

W0EX

2092. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85m7lofor1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121650

N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
>Jeff wrote:
>:Some dork wrote:

>:>The fcc has killed the code. It just dosent know its dead yet. The fcc
>:>needs to finish the job by doing away with the 5wpm and allowing fone
>:>operations in all bands now reserved for cw. Its not logical to reserve
>:>50% of the ham bands for a dead mode no will be using in 10 years (or
>:>less) except for a few old geezer cw types.

>: Some years ago, those CW subbands were renamed digital subbands. So
>: you're proposing that phone, packet, RTTY, and code should all
>: intermingle? (RTTY on 3950 and 14313? Maybe that's not a bad idea!)

>Modern life is fast. Especially the modern battlefield. By the
>time a morse message could be transmitted, a modern anti
>shipping missle would strike. That is why the NAVY has a high
>speed tactical data network tieing together all the ships and air
>craft within a task force. What one sees, all see.

You didn't address what the original poster wrote -- he's calling
for phone operation in the digital subbands.

Regarding military comms, our radio budget will never begin to
approach theirs, so why compare amateur radio to the military?
Very different missions.

Jeff

2093. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <xlxf4.1819$bQ1.34896@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom wrote:

>I would like to wrap this debate up too, but I can't allow this
>misstatement of what I said to go unchallenged. What I objected to was
>your characterization of ARRL's motive as being to eliminate
>competition.

I don't want to appear unkind, Jon, but the BOD knew the added revenue and circulation numbers needed to save QEX weren't going to come out of a monkey's butt. They knew those dollars and numbers could ONLY come from hams who would no longer have the option of subscribing to the Quarterly. They knew they were eliminating the "other place" where technically oriented hams could go for new information. You may not like the characterization (I don't either), but they knew they were eliminating QEX's competition. They also knew they were creating a publication monopoly. Don't insult my intellegence by telling me the BOD are "good guys" who wouldn't do anything like that, because the fact is, Jon, they just did.

>Regarding the "declared it dead" remark: I withdraw it.<

Thank you--I appreciate that.

>Again with the misquoting? I do NOT agree that a conflict of interest
>exists.

You exact quote was, "Can you provide examples of how his conflicting interests have affected the content of QEX?" That statement appears to acknowledge the existence of conflicts, but challenges me to list specific examples illustrating how those conflicts might have affected the content of QEX. Perhaps I misunderstood your meaning, but the question is largely irrelevant anyway. As I said before, the "existence" or "non-existence" of actual abuses has little to do with the issue. The mere fact that he concurrently holds both positions is sufficient to expose the League, Kachina, and himself to needless liability for those sorts of claims. In my opinion, League members ought to be concerned about that.

By the way, I still don't know what Doug's relationship is to QEX and the League. Does anybody have that information available to share with me and other League members?

Thanks and 73,

Rick K1BQT

2094. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85m8pi$gj6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb W6RCecilA wrote:

>At least now you dog can get an extra class license. He still
>can't pass the advanced. :-)

Cec, the effort spent checking your license status isn't any less
than that needed to check anyone else's. Any pre-April 2000 Extra
can scan his license onto a web page, showing the date of issue.

Jeff

(Why on earth are you x-posting to .cb, .scanner, .shortwave, .equipment,
and .space????)

2095. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85m9kd$h43$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb and eight other NGs, Dave Hall wrote:

>The only reason that CW is used at all is because we dogmatically
>insisted on requiring it.

It's required only to get the license. That's the end of any requirements.
Folks are free *not* to use it, yet many nights I count more CW QSOs
than SSB QSOs.

>If the commercial sector has abandoned it,

Different mission, different budget.

>I predict that now that 5 WPM is the limit, that CW usage will drop off
>dramatically.

That's okay. Every prediction you've ever made has never materialized.

>means that eventually the FCC will reduce band space for it.

Nonsense. We're permitted to use Morse on *any* amateur frequency.

Jeff

2096. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85ma93$hi2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Dave Hall n3cvj wrote:

> It's no different than the bogus network "certification", that
> Mickey-soft and Novell started for computer professionals. It's a piece
> of paper, that says that you know something.

Some pieces of paper enable one to lead a very satisfying life, though.
I never would have been able to get my comfortable, well-paying teaching
job (which includes an office with an ocean view!) without some very
special pieces of paper, Dave.

Jeff

2097. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387EB1FE.3AA4761C@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:387040AD.CF08F02B@mindspring.com...
> > Radioguy wrote:
> >
> > > Howdy:
> > >
> > > I am very concerned that I haven't heard or read of any discussion
> > > regarding call sign formats for amateurs licensed after RESTRUCTURING.
> > >
> > > I propose something like a three letter prefix followed by a number
> > > group----for instance, KOP followed by the last four digits of their
> > > social security number or zip code perhaps.
> > >
> > > Seriously, this historic change to amateur licensing should not ignore a
> > > subsequent change to appear in manner of call sign issuance. I
> > > sincerely feel that those amateurs who made it the
> > > hard/old/traditional... way should enjoy that fact---at least for the
> > > duration of their amateur career, by having their call sign identifier
> > > separate from those of future amateurs for the sake of tradition.
> > > Honestly, I think a conventional call sign with a three letter prefix
> > > would serve this function nicely.
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> >
> > Why not make it simple and just keep your present callsign -
> > Do you really need a vanity callsign or an "extra" callsign?
>
> Art ... the only "vanity" in the above post to which you responded
> is the writer's obvious desire to "place the mark of Cain" on all
> new hams licensed or upgraded under the new Rules.
>
> Hopefully this sort of bigotry will die out with those who practice
> it and not be passed on to future generations of hams ...
>
> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>

> - ---
> NCI-1052
> Director, No Code International
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, TAPR
> Member, ARRL
> - ---
> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
> <http://www.nocode.org>
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
> iQA/AwUBOHC73pe+N6+q84HiEQL/fwCghIKiJOcHDXB1sSIAC8dFad3OKJkAnjxm
> QB7++wXQflkmF3N1KWLJCdOh
> =tiAE
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

let us hope!!!!!

--
Art Wertz N5AEN
artwertz@mindspring.com
http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen

2098. Re: counter wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <387EB8D2.CFC2C27@webdeals.net>
Source record: 121656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Clint Bradford wrote:

> In article <387C5686.E8F2097A@analon.com>,
> JA Moran <john@analon.com> wrote:
> > wanting to buy a optotronics or similar counter!
>
> I saw some GREAT discounts on new units at Ham Radio Outlet in Anaheim,
> CA yesterday (free shipping on orders over $100.).
>
> 1-714-533-7373
>
> StarTek models:
>
> ATH-15 $180.
> ATH-30 230.
> ATH-50 270.
>
> - Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
> http://www.clintbradford.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Do yourself a favor and pass on the Startek. I had all three of the above
and the Opto Cub I have now blows them all away!
http://www.webdeals.net/opto.htm

And the cub is only $149 NEW.
http://www.optoelectronics.com/cub.htm

--
New scanner users visit the Hobby Page!
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
Scanners, Ham radio, Metal detecting,
Speech inversion, Emergency power,
Frequency counter info and more.
PCR-1000 + PCR-100 + DX-394

A government that operates in secret becomes a secret government!
Down with digital systems!

2099. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387EBD0E.60D1B1B8@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mad Nomad wrote:

> I would love to hear a CB'er who really knew how to use the 10-codes.
> The code 10-20 means "What's your location?" Can't tell how many
> times I've heard "What's your twenty?" It's like saying "What's your
> what's your location?"
>
> Mad Nomad
>
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:14:08 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> >Brush up on your 10-codes Boys.
> >
> >The CB-RL, Citizens Band-Relay League in Newington, CT, is
> >going to update their Operating Manual with a section on 10-codes
> >and CB Lingo so old Hams can understand what the new hams
> >are talking about.

Sorta like saying QSY on SSB!!!

--
Art Wertz N5AEN
artwertz@mindspring.com
http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen

2100. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Arthur H. Wertz" <artwertz@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387EBE78.B26D43FF@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Aaron Jones wrote:

> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote
>
> >I am going to run just as fast as I can back onto a [CB] band where
> >I never experienced all this amateur radio brotherhood stuff (you know,
> >the insults, degradations, threats, etc.).
>
> Is your CB handle gonna be "Boob"? It would fit. Someone who chooses
> "tit" for a Vanity call sign shouldn't complain about garbage mouth hams.
> Garbage mouth or garbage mouth call? No difference. Believe it or not,
> ham radio was once a family rated hobby...

Sure hope most families don't read these emails from the old timers!!!

--
Art Wertz N5AEN
artwertz@mindspring.com
http://www.qsl.net/~n5aen

2101. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85mk3t$8k1$3@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121659

Although I'm happy for the person who passed his 13WPM I would like
to ask you WHY you think that he is going to be a head above the
many hams that will follow later this year, when the FCC won't even
require a cw test. I mean someone who may be able to copy and send
CW at 30 or 40WPM right now won't have that requirement if they happen
to take their license say next year. So just because he didn't get
the chance to prove himself on a test means that this person who just
passed his 13WPM is a head above him?????

Hello, moron! Get it through your head, come the 15th of April it
won't matter any more. It just doesn't matter. You certainly aren't
going to look down upon someone who can't even prove their proficent
in cw are you????? You can't go blaming those people in the future
who don't even know what ham radio is yet for something the FCC did
so stop making CW an issue with the magnitude of amateur operator we
are. Judge us by how we operate not by if we can copy cw at 20wpm.

randas@mindspring.com hath said:
: Congrats on passing the code test Don. I know your hard work and
: accomplishment means alot to you and it should. You are indeed a head above
: many of the "hams" that will follow later this year.
: 73 and see ya on the bands
: de Tim K0FL

: don@KB9VEK/AA.ham wrote in message ...
:>Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!
:>
:>don
:>
:>
:>

Burn her, she's a witch! And how do you know she is a witch?
She turned me into a newt! Ahhhh newt? I got better.......
Monty Python

2102. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85mkkh$8k1$4@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121660

Dale Harper <docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> hath said:
: Yes you are a head above my friend, don't let the naysayers bedraggle you. You have accomplished
: something they can't and they will wail and thrash and hate you for it. Congratulations my
: friend. You have something no one can take away from you. Bravo, good job, and have fun on the
: bands.
: Dale
: KC8FAQ/20

Dale, you are wrong... Stop trying to make that person think that those of us who either choose not to learn or have a difficult time getting over the hump in getting 20wpm are going to thrash and hate him for passing.. I'm a Tech+ (licensed 12+ years) and I'm not thrashing or hating him for passing the 13wpm code, I'm not going to wail over it either. You on the other hand are another story, you are sitting here typing this message and putting lies into this man's head and trying to instigate discerment and segregation(sp) between those of us who either have no code or only 5wpm code and those who have 13 or 20wpm code.

Congraduate him if you want, but don't sit there and put lies into this person or any person's head and expect me or some one else to just sit here idle as you do a great injustice to the hobby.

Do you even realize what you just did??? You tried to get that person to side with your cause and in the process of it trying to get him to think that we hate and maybe envy him. Thats wrong your wrong and you should be ashamed of how you approached it.
--
:::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
firestarter - Prodigy
http://www.datasync.com/nexus

2103. NOT FS: Actually FA: on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tate <73KC7ZRU73@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <387ED880.67A6CC29@cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 121661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Get yer asbestos underwear out - you're gonna need it...

--
KC7ZRU | In Laramie Area
Laramie, WY | UARC Repeater
DN71eh | 146.610
"The Dungeon" online at http://www.cyberhighway.net/~tateb

2104. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <85mlrp$1o0c$1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 121662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.space R.L. Tannehill, P.E. <rickw7rt@mail.firstinter.net> wrote:
> The Technician is by definition, an entrance level exam, and has been
> for 10 years. The General and Extra are more than entrance obviously,
> since they require more knowledge to pass. However, they are entry
> levels to their enhanced privileges. In no sense are they graduation
> certificates, or proof that someone has achieved something great in

No, but if you've made the effort to learn and understand the theory (and not
to memorise the questions) it's something you can be proud of perhaps.
In VK when you get your license you get both the station license
and a certificate of proficiency. The unrestricted license is the
"Amateur Operator's Certificate of Proficiency" for example. This is
actually quite a nice certificate, with the seal of the ACA etc.

Hamish vk3sb
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

2105. Re: How to avoid being a LID when I get my extra?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85mldl$8k1$6@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121663

Tom Branch <k4nr@satx.rr.com> hath said:
: Jimmy,
: Go listen there an look up the callsigns--most are General and Advanced
: Class ticket holders. Trash exists at all levels from Novice to
: Extra.....let's work on nurturing good operators at all levels
: 73 de Tom, K4NR

I'm all for it Tom... I've been down there before third-party
and I use to be a net control station in Civil Air Patrol and
ofcourse I've been on 10 meters for 12+ years now. I'm ready
to finally go "solo" down the bands, and I can promise you that
I won't be a lid. If anything I'm going to be the one persecuted
but I'll just let it go in one ear out the other and move on. :)

I'm sorry I made it sound like everyone on 3950 are extra class
holders, thats not how I intended it. But still these are the
"seasoned" operators who took cw tests but yet they are the vary
persons to which some extras are refering to us who haven't taken
the 20wpm code test, all I can say is hypocrites. :)

Hope to work you some day Tom.. 73, Jimmy KB5FSV

: The NeXus wrote in message <85ho5q$7ip$1@news.datasync.com>...

:>good reference information. IMHO I would say listen to the
:>morons on 3950 and 14.313 and learn what not to do from them.
:>Too bad they are (quote)Extra Class(unquote) holders already.

--
:::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
firestarter - Prodigy
http://www.datasync.com/nexus

2106. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85ml0l$8k1$5@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121664

Robert Casey <wa2ise@netcom.com> hath said:
: I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to cash in
: come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm code
: and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old
: callsign.
: It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics hobbyist
: for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question pools.
: 73s Bob

Congraduations on passing the written elements Bob.. I'm not
far behind, a couple more weeks of keeping my nose in the book
and I'll be going for my General element. And come April 15th
I'll be ready to go for my Extra element. Hope to work you some
day. 73' Jimmy KB5FSV

::: Datasync Internet Supervisor
::: Jimmy, nexus@datasync.com
::: http://www.datasync.com/nexus

2107. Re: Tests in Florida Panhandle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85mm40$8k1$7@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121665

Mike <michaelj@networktel.net> hath said:
: Does anyone have any information on Amateur testing being available in the
: Pensacola, Florida area?
: Thanks

A simple search using the keywords pensacola arc would get you
a link to the Pensacola ARC, on their site they list their test
schdules.. Here is the link to the page, bookmark it:
http://qso.arc.uwf.org/~ffara

Exam info page: http://qso.arc.uwf.org/~ffara/exam.htm

Next test is Feb 24th thats 20 days after their hamfest. And
no, they are not holding a VE testing session at the hamfest
I already emailed them and asked, they said no because of the
already scheduled 24th session. IMHO though I wish they had
ve testing at the hamfest because I would of taken a test oh
well I'll just spend that time seaching for bargins.

Jimmy, KB5FSV
--
John 3:16
For God so loved the world that he gave his
only begotten Son that whoever believes in
him shall not perish but have eternal life.
I believe, do you? Jimmy, nexus@datasync.com

2108. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B4A439F100053B9C08D9BA2C@news.netdoor.com>
Source record: 121666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi, People!

I'd like to very respectfully and with no malice aforethought <bwg> request that there be some "tailoring" of cross-postings.

I'm over on "rec.radio.shortwave" and there is all sorts of "ham" stuff being cross-posted to it that have nothing to do with SWLing but only with hams.

I think y'all are great and I owe my interest in SWLing to hams but if I wanted to be "in" on ham stuff, I'd subscribe to ham newsgroups.

When I got on the Internet in 1989, this wasn't a big deal as the ng's were so small but - in 2000 - this x-posting really bogs everything down.

As I said: no flame, just a respectful request.

Many thanks,

Gray

Gray Shockley
Entropy Maintenance Technician
gray@compcomm.com
http://www.compcomm.com/
Vicksburg, MS US

[And, yes, I x-posted this but for an obvious reason <grin>.]

g

2109. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85mn3l$8k1$8@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121667

Maybe you but not me... When I'm asked about it I'm going to tell
the truth, I've been licensed for 12+ years, I got into the hobby
mainly to be active on 2 meter, never really had an interest to
study for higher code, and at the time barely made it beyond 5wpm.

I've always enjoyed ragging on 10meter phone and often wished I could
go down below but wasn't encouraged enough to go for higher, but now
with the change in requirements I'm going for it. Yes code is what
held be back all of this time.

Thats how I would handle it.. I don't have to feel ashamed that I got
an extra class license without being able to pass 20wpm code. If some
people have to feel that way, fine. I'm honest about it though, and
I don't have to be "proud" that I took 20wpm. Its just a thing to me,
nothing but a thing.

So when someone asks what i was before the dumbing-down as you call it
I'll say I was a tech+. If they react badly to it fine, I'll find
someone else to QSO with, if they throw it in my face and try to get me
mad I'll stop the QSO and change the frequency, if they treat me with
the same respect i treat them with then we're gonna have a FB QSO and
I would of made a new on-air friend who isn't close-minded. :)
Jimmy, KB5FSV
BD <bodu@pobox.com> hath said:
: Because it's going to become very common to ask "what were you before the
: dumbing-down?"... Think about it... I'd certainly be proud to say that I was
: a 'real' General, and you would be too... You wont admit it, tho...
: I'd only have to upgrade my code speed to 13wpm (I've passed the general
: written test), but can't get in the mood long enough to do it..
: Oh well.... I'll just have to settle with "just a Tech Plus" when the
: question gets asked...

: "The NeXus" <nexus@datasync.com> wrote in message
: news:85mk3t$8k1$3@news.datasync.com...
:> Although I'm happy for the person who passed his 13WPM I would like
:> to ask you WHY you think that he is going to be a head above the
:> many hams that will follow later this year, when the FCC won't even
:> require a cw test. I mean someone who may be able to copy and send
:> CW at 30 or 40WPM right now won't have that requirement if they happen
:> to take their license say next year. So just because he didn't get
:> the chance to prove himself on a test means that this person who just
:> passed his 13WPM is a head above him?????
:>
:> Hello, moron! Get it through your head, come the 15th of April it
:> won't matter any more. It just doesn't matter. You certainly aren't

:> going to look down upon someone who can't even prove their proficent
:> in cw are you????? You can't go blaming those people in the future
:> who don't even know what ham radio is yet for something the FCC did
:> so stop making CW an issue with the magnitude of amateur operator we
:> are. Judge us by how we operate not by if we can copy cw at 20wpm.

--
:::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
firestarter - Prodigy
http://www.datasync.com/nexus

2110. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net>
Message-ID: <387F23E9.FD3A12CE@snip.net>
Source record: 121668

Who are you talking too?

kf6foz wrote:

> How technical is it to go to HRO and buy all your equipment and go
> home then hook it up and be on the air? Half of the technical stuff in
> the exams an avg. amateur doesnt even use but im not complaining till
> some like this want more technical exams!! You are killing your own
> band buy bitching about how easy it is, but you werent complaining
> when you took the test. Stop preeching and start teaching!!
>
> You are not at a higher level! You are no different than a CB'er! Only
> thing is you have a license to use ham bands and they dont. If every
> single CB op went to take a test for there ticket, I guarantee more
> than 50% of them would pass.The other 50% would probably fail because
> they have no interest or are bored and dont care for ham radio and
> just want to get back to there CB.
>
> I believe the FCC did a good job except for the fact of only
> requireing 5wpm. Why do we need 13wpm for General and Advanced?
> Why not just leave 13wpm for general, get rid of advanced all together
> and leave 20wpm for extra. I bet that would make you feel alot better
> cause it would make you feel like your better than the rest because
> you can send faster than the next guy! Doesnt that show when you go to
> the cw bands and paddle away? I have interes in code for one reason
> only and that is to get my HF priviliges. I dont want anything to do
> with the CW portions on any band, just voice! Is there a problem why
> you can't comprehend that? The DMV doesnt make me take a test for a
> motorcycle when I want to drive a car!!! Nor do they make me take a
> test to drive a big rig either!
>
> If I was to restructure the ham license, I would create a way that all
> who are intersted can get involved. The FCC started the right thing
> with the no-code tech but didnt finish to include voice portions of
> the HF bands! If I was licensed to participate in the HF bands, I
> would be able to listen to QSO's and practice live on the air with
> someone instead of having to listen to some tape or a computer program
> that does the same thing over and over! Did I mention that 95% of
> humans have a better learning ability doing it hands on? Dont ruin ham
> radio with meaningless phsycobabble and repeat post from other users!
> Post positive towards ham radio and keep it open to new users as well
> as old farts alike! You cant have it your way, It is everyones way or
> the highway! Either deal with it or sit on your frequency and listen!
> Either way, you are a ham and will help to keep the band alive, active
> or not!! Just remember, Participants Prosper!!

>
> 73's de KF6FOZ

2111. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <387F4820.B6462925@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121669

I don't think this person understood what mailfrmPA meant. Do you
have a 'liquid crystal display display'

D

Quux wrote:
>
> I have two handhelds that don't have an LCD display.
>
> One is a TH-21AT, the other is a TH-41AT (Kenwoods).
> Also have my trusty, dusty Yaesu FT-470, and my new jewel,
> a Kenwood Th-D7A, both of which have LCD displays.
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2112. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <xwGf4.13627$o62.609517@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
> not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
> hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
> got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
> my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
> got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
> had used that fact to build my resume.

Dick,

I think you're downplaying your own abilities and attaching too
much importance to the license. Sure, the license, especially in
the past, was a 'flag' indicator of certain abilities, but that hasn't
been true for years. I think the status of a HAM license as a
flag of technical competance went out the window in the 60's,
when consumer technology moved away from 'hand wired chassis'
and tube technology. Not that HAMs changed any at that time,
but the public perception of 'high tech'. And over the years it's
further changed.

Mike

2113. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ng6pqg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121671

BD wrote in message ...
>So?... There's different kinds of 'looks' than pretty or ugly..
>A prostitute (which that call-sign makes me think of) can be pretty,
but she
>doesn't look like any I've seen... So.. She didn't look like what I
>expected..
>Comprende now? ;)

So you're stereotyping.
The call could stand for "Wife Trapped In Texas," or a plethera of phonetics. There are other things that come to mind beside the mammary glands. Kim's callsign made me laugh. I didn't judge her or her callsign on the basis of the suffix. The FCC's callsign computer randomly picked it, so it's no big deal.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2114. Re: REAL Ham Radio Now on 14275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ngc7$t5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121672

Mike Haddington wrote in message ...
>
><rileygotchu@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>>
>
> Sorry rileygotchu, you & your ilk were
>quietly & efficiently trumped on this move.
>
> The move to 14275 was both symbolic
>& substantive, and is being proved over & again
>each day as REAL ham radio plays out on 14275.
>Good old-fashion ham talk & hams helping hams
>is going on daily on 14275. No Porkbutts and
>no Herbies, hate to break reality to you, they're
>gone.
>
> BRAVO to Dan & others for their ham
>radio leadership on this one! K1TP is
>documenting your positive efforts on his web
>page!
>
> NOW, rileygotchu, the ball is in YOUR
>court! Here's a challenge for you. How about
> You also showing some ham radio leadership?
>
>
>YOU
>can call & raise the move to 14275 by knocking
>off the negative attacks in this NG and let it
>get back to old fashion ham radio. So
>what's your answer? Everyone in this news
>group is waiting.....? Let the wars be
>over, for good! both on the air and in this forum!
>What say you?

Trying to reason with him is futile. A diamond-tipped drill couldn't
penetrate Polly's skull.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2115. Re: 14275 "OPEN TO EVERY HAM, Not JUST PB SUCKBOYS" W4NTI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85nggf$u8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121673

Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <85m2h2$1ab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <85lrl0scb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>> W4NTI. self appointed channel master on 14275, has made the
>> statement, "This channel is open to every ham, not just porkbutt,
>> WB2OTK suckboys" "We will stand by on frequency if amateur
broadcaster
>> K1MAN comes back on"
>>
>> How Nice
>>
>> Gitback
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>No, I am not a "self appointed channel master on 14.275". Please try
>and come up with some new insults if possible. Even BARF/Stumpers are
>welcome on 275. Assuming you can act human that is. It is time to END
>this stupid war. Wake up and smell the roses, or you will go down.
>Simple as that. Pay attention fool.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Let him go down.. ham radio would be better off.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2116. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <6YGf4.694$Lm3.6860@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121674
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
: > "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
: >
: > > Perfidious:
: > >
: > > Ham radio is a hobby regulated by the United States
: > >Govt., with the Federal Communications Commission
: > >as the enforcing agency. Because of that, the
: > > amateur radio hobby is bound to the Code of Federal
: > >Regulations, CFR Part 97, Title 47. Within that code is
: > >the "Basis & Principle" of amateur radio, which would be
: > >akin to a corporation's "Statement of Purpose."
: > >
: > > Included in our "Basis & Principle" is the following:
: > >
: > > 97.1 Basis and purpose.
: > > The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to
: > >provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental
: > >purpose as expressed in the following principles:
: > >
: > > (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the
: > >amateur service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial
: > >communication service, particularly with respect to providing
: > >emergency communications.
: > >
: > > We are often called upon by our local emergency
: > >services to provide help with searching for a missing child,
: > >help with public safety issues as they relate to parades and
: > >other community service events, help with search &
: > > rescue, as well as many other public/community service
: > >events. Perhaps you are familiar with the service of REACT
: > >in the old CB days. An organization named RACES is also
: > >active in ham radio and is governed by guidelines set
: > > forth from FEMA.
: > >
: > > All of the above is provided by ham radio operators
: > >without regard to monetary reimbursement, or ANY
: > >form of reimbursement in any way. I think you can
: > >recognize the value amateur radio, along with
: > >CB radio and REACT, provides to our communities
: > >in times of need.
: > >
: > > I have been directly involved with public service
```

: > >groups, even organizing public service groups here
: > >in my area. I will never forget one story relayed to
: > >me by a ham who is a member of SATERN
: > >(Salvation Army hams) from during the Oklahoma City
: > >Federal Building bombing. A cellular phone
: > > company there immediately made 100 cellular phones
: > >available to emergency officials working that disaster scene.
: > > Almost as quickly as they were handed out, the cellular phone
: > >company pleaded for everyone to stop using them--the heavy
: > >use had shut down there systems. Ham radio operators
: > > provided the backbone of emergency communications in
: > >that disaster.
: > >
: > > I don't want you to think that I have been preaching to
: > >you. It is not my intention that you think that; it is only my thought
: > >that perhaps you weren't aware of why we do what we do.
: > >
: > > 73/Kim Walker
: > > W5TIT
:
: > I do not question the rules and regs. I simply do not
: >think "Amateur" radio operators help Police agencies
: >because of their "civic duties". I believe it's for the attention.
:
: Since we receive little recognition from our community
: service, evidenced by the fact that most of my
: neighbors/co-workers/friends--indeed myself (before I
: became a ham) are completely unaware that ham radio is "out
: there" during an emergency, the correlation between public
: service and "attention" for said service cannot be made.

Most public service is done by hams for personal satisfaction,
for a sense of giving. We do not receive money or thanks.

: > I however do not have "Blind Faith" in police agencies
: >either.
:
: Oh, believe me, neither do I. But, I don't look at my
: service as much for them as I do for the people in my
: community. I simply use an available mechanism to help my
: neighbors/co-workers/friends. My disdain for the police
: community, in general, is well known to my fellow hams in
: this area.

What people like him do not think about is all the public
service being given by volunteers in all areas of our
communities. Red Cross, Boy Scouts, dealing the old,
the mentally ill and the homeless are just a few.

: > It seems to me (at times) that hams go out of their way
: >to help the people who will screw them the most later. I

: >suppose I do not like doing much for my Govt. as they
: >do very little for me.
:
: It seems, then, that your anger is misdirected toward the
: amateur radio community. As I said above, I do not look at
: my public service as helping the police and "govt."
: agencies as much as I feel I am helping my community.

He sounds like Scrooge. He pays his taxes so it government's
job to care, not his.

: >
: > I do not see the above as grounds for foxhunting illegal
: >transmissions for the police, on police bands.
: >
:
: While an extreme scenario, you may feel differently about
: that jammer disabling police frequencies if the ability of
: the police to save someone's life (maybe your own or
: someone you love's) was impeded by some jerk thinking
: he/she is being funny.

Wait until someone he knews dies because a jammer
blocked help from getting there.

: > And if you started supplying "voluntary noncommercial
: >communication service" to the public on a regular basis,
: >(non-emergency) you would have to pay from someone.
: >
:
: Well, I wouldn't have to pay someone :->. We, by our Rules
: and Regulations, are not allowed to perform that service on
: a regular basis...and it is inferred by those same rules
: that governing agencies are not allowed to request our
: assistance on a regular basis.
:
: I have learned, as a new Newsgroup person, that too much
: time is spent in here degrading and arguing. I don't want
: our exchange to become that. It is obvious to me that you
: are very angry and resentful and, while I understand where
: you are coming from, it is far beyond me to solve whatever
: history it is that has brought you to your current way of
: thinking. I would ask only that you please remember most
: of us commit to public service purely for the benefit of
: our community more than for the benefit of any one agency.
: We also know that our service goes largely unrecognized.
:
: 73, Kim W5TIT

BTW, Mary K., happy 45th this coming Tuesday.

(and you have a great picture on QRZ's web page!)

2117. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: km5n.nospam@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.12e8f78578c3c56998969d@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > > Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.

Hmm. Check out this link.

http://www.bah.com/recruiting/details.cfm?posting_id=565

Adam, KM5N

2118. New Internet Accessible PacketCluster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nfivein@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85ni8v$2d4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121676

You are welcome to connect to a New Internet Accessible PacketCluster
at telnet address: 209.32.226.63

This AR-Cluster is located at KC0DJO in Duluth Minnesota and is part of
the Midwest PacketCluster System.

At your initial sign on you will be asked for your call, name and QTH.
Enter your QTH as 'City State' or 'City Country', e.g. Chicago IL or
Oslo Norway so we can see where all our new friends live.

Enter HELP SET for information on setting or resetting your Location
(Lat/Long), QTH and NAME.

You can send a cluster mail to the sysop with the command 'S KC0DJO'

73 and Welcome

Ron N5IN

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2119. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <C3Hf4.696$Lm3.6928@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121677

: >:>The fcc has killed the code. It just dosent know its dead yet. The fcc
: >:>needs to finish the job by doing away with the 5wpm and allowing fone
: >:>operations in all bands now reserved for cw. Its not logical to
reserve
: >:>50% of the ham bands for a dead mode no will be using in 10 years (or
: >:>less) except for a few old geezer cw types.
:
: >: Some years ago, those CW subbands were renamed digital subbands. So
: >: you're proposing that phone, packet, RTTY, and code should all
: >: intermingle? (RTTY on 3950 and 14313? Maybe that's not a bad idea!)
:
: >Modern life is fast. Especially the modern battlefield. By the
: >time a morse message could be transmitted, a modern anti
: >shipping missle would strike. That is why the NAVY has a high
: >speed tactical data network tieing together all the ships and air
: >craft within a task force. What one sees, all see.
:
: You didn't address what the original poster wrote -- he's calling
: for phone operation in the digital subbands.

Sorry. I do agree that non voice and graphic data (character based)
transmissions need their own bandspace.

: Regarding military comms, our radio budget will never begin to
: approach theirs, so why compare amateur radio to the military?
: Very different missions.

Because too many Hams have this romantic notion of the lone
operator, who is the only one with the skills, who saves the day.

Modern communications have made it easier for the common
man to do what used to take a very skilled individual.

2120. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85ni3bedr3@208.207.71.159>
Source record: 121678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hamish Moffatt wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.space R.L. Tannehill, P.E. <rickw7rt@mail.firstinter.net> wrote:
> > The Technician is by definition, an entrance level exam, and has been
> > for 10 years. The General and Extra are more than entrance obviously,
> > since they require more knowledge to pass. However, they are entry
> > levels to their enhanced privileges. In no sense are they graduation
> > certificates, or proof that someone has achieved something great in
>
> No, but if you've made the effort to learn and understand the theory (and not
> to memorise the questions) it's something you can be proud of perhaps.
> In VK when you get your license you get both the station license
> and a certificate of proficiency. The unrestricted license is the
> "Amateur Operator's Certificate of Proficiency" for example. This is
> actually quite a nice certificate, with the seal of the ACA etc.
>
> Hamish vk3sb

Hamish, what sort of written test does Australia have? Do
you have prepublished querstion pools with the exact test
questions with their exact answers?

2121. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85nm4o$5o4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121679

In article <7Zqf4.660$1x5.1982@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>,
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

> Did the (bad) humor get lost on you? ;)

Guess so! Better stand up, cuz that one went over my head.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2122. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mcinnis@babe01.austin.ibm.com
Message-ID: <85njl6$l6c$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com>
Source record: 121680
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<85g24l$1gig$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com> <6Ubf4.1549$Oe.34291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<rxnf4.6918$iZ1.341395@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<85lnavhsi1@ffx2nh3.news.uu.net> <Dquf4.1530$bQ1.22878@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<387e6e0a.3269485@news.thegrid.net>
Organization:
Keywords:

In article <387e6e0a.3269485@news.thegrid.net>, cobra@budweiser.com (kf6foz) writes:
|> This maybe the local ordinance for the area!
|> However federaly it is not illegal.
|> Just remember, Just because FCC says its legal, Doesnt mean it is in
|> your home town. Check with your local city hall before you proceed!
|>
|>

No. The FCC issued a directive (not sure of the correct legal term)
that says local authorities cannot enforce laws restricting the possession
by hams of ham transceivers that can receive police frequencies.
Check with the FCC for correct wording of the FCC statement.

i.e. the FCC not only doesn't make it illegal, they forbid the locals
from making it illegal.

However, you may have to spend lots of time and money in court to get
it back. Remember, the cop who wants to take your equipment has a gun.
He also probably won't suffer any serious consequences if he takes your
radio and they have to give it back later.

--
Mickey McInnis - mcinnis@austin.ibm.com
--
All opinions expressed are my own opinions, not my company's opinions.

2123. New Internet PacketCluster Site more.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nfivein@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85nnsq$77a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121681

As a result of my earlier post inviting you to connect to the New Internet PacketCluster at KC0DJO in Duluth I have received several direct e-mails asking what software was required, how to 'do' telnet etc. So here is an explanation:

First you must be connected to your ISP!

If you are running Windows 95 or 98 you already have the needed telnet software. Simply open a DOS window as follows:

Click on Start
Click on Programs
Click on MS-DOS Prompt

In response to the prompt type telnet. It will look like:

C:\WINDOWS>telnet

This will generate a telnet window.

First click on Terminal then Preferences and put a 'check-mark' in the box for 'Local Echo' then click on OK. This is needed so that you can see what you type as AR-Cluster does not echo your commands.

Now click on Connect then click on Remote System...
This will open a box where you will put the address 209.32.226.63 in the space following Host Name. The Port should be shown as telnet and the terminal type will be fine as vt100. Now click on Connect.

Now the original information reproduced below should guide you.

Original posting:

You are welcome to connect to a New Internet Accessible PacketCluster at telnet address: 209.32.226.63

This AR-Cluster is located at KC0DJO in Duluth Minnesota and is part of the Midwest PacketCluster System.

At your initial sign on you will be asked for your call, name and QTH. Enter your QTH as 'City State' or 'City Country', e.g. Chicago IL or Oslo Norway so we can see where all our new friends live.

Enter HELP SET for information on setting or resetting your Location (Lat/Long), QTH and NAME.

You can send a cluster mail to the sysop with the command 'S KC0DJO'

73 and Welcome

Ron N5IN

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2124. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85nkgd$s5p$2@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 121682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.shortwave kf6foz <cobra@budweiser.com> wrote:
> We dont send it over the phone do we?

--> Well, you *could*, but it's hard to get much speed when keying a
DTMF pad.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

2125. FA: Kenwood TR2200A 2m FM Radio (NO RESERVE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: fly1200 <fly1200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <387F55AB.78FD1B29@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FOR AUCTION: Kenwood TR-2200A 2-Meter FM Transceiver (NO RESERVE).
See the auction at http://auctions.yahoo.com/auction/15137563

2126. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <EJIf4.201$c7.20058@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Arthur H. Wertz wrote
>Sure hope most families don't read these emails
>[Aaron and Kim using vulgar slang terms in Usenet posts]

I think most would agree that Usenet is *not* a place for families.
Vulgarity, profanity, threats, and name calling have been the norm here
for some time. Many ISPs require a special warning/authorization
screen just to get here.

On the other hand, Ham Radio has been touted as a family hobby for decades.
And for most of those decades, with a few exceptions, it has been true.
But I think many hams will agree with me that in recent years, things
have been changing for the worse. Call me a prude if you want, but I
think it's an unfortunate change...

Let's hope that future ham licensing applications won't require a warning
and parents authorization disclosure statement...

2127. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <387F65FE.BECC0074@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gray Shockley wrote:
> As I said: no flame, just a respectful request.

This is not a flame but just to ensure that you grasp
the problem. You are cross-posting to an antenna group
something that has absolutely nothing to do with antennas.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2128. Re: Worst call on CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <rjou7skotj30t4nb237sc8dnp9ne5gjur7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121686

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 14:43:38 GMT, WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
wrote:

>Lets vote on the worst calls to have on CW.
>
>I'm split over W0OOO and WB5HHH, although x0EE rates up there.

It's not the worst there is, but a guy could go to sleep sending my
old novice call: WN3ZOQ. I figured it's right around 75 bits long.

My Extra call is 40 bits long. So for the upgrade I nearly cut my
call length in half. Back when I changed to my Extra call sign, it
was really cool 'cuz I didn't have to worry about how much memory I'd
use up in a memory keyer...

Of course "AB3A" isn't exactly a pile up buster, either.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2129. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387F667A.599F@arrl.net>
Source record: 121687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:

> I'll have to make sure I get myself all worked up when I take Extra. I'll stay up
> all night and drink 3 pots of coffee.

One cup of ARRL Lab coffee would do it, Brian. In fact, it would be
good enough to cover a retest any time within the next 30 days. Would
do a lot for your code speed, if you want to pass before April 15. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2130. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <387F6744.3954@arrl.net>
Source record: 121688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:

> Art ... the only "vanity" in the above post to which you responded
> is the writer's obvious desire to "place the mark of Cain" on all
> new hams licensed or upgraded under the new Rules.

The question that I ask here is, after April 15, what option will new hams who take the tests have other than a 5 wpm code test? The answer is, "none," so on what basis could one find fault with an applicant who takes the only test that the VEs will give? What choice will they have? If someone wants to be unhappy with the FCC for their decision, this is America, but don't take it out on people who will do just what the law requires.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2131. 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam.wxperson@pcwp.com
Message-ID: <387f6842.5401626@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121689

What are these 10 10 IDs used by some on 10 meters?

Thx,

George

2132. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85npbe$9ff$0@208.207.71.156>
Source record: 121690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Adam Adkins wrote:
>
> > > > Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
>
> Hmm. Check out this link.
>
> http://www.bah.com/recruiting/details.cfm?posting_id=565
>

I did, with this result:

Radio Operator/Communications Analyst in Quantico, Virginia
USA

Key Role
Provide Morse code and radio operator support for key
government clients.

Qualifications
HS diploma
3-5 years of experience
Experience with voice, FSK, and packet communications
Experience with Morse code at a proficiency of 18-20
groups per minute or Extra Class FCC ARL
Experience with radio theory, Q signs, and radio
equipment

E-mail your resume directly to Mike.

I'd bet they have trouble finding a young ham to take this
job.

2133. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <z5Kf4.170$x3.344@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 121691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner sparksw4eas@mindspring.com wrote:
> Gheeezzz... In over 40 years as a boradcast engineer, I used to be able to
> hire a ham with a licience/first phone and know what I was hiring for the
> station. Now..... a pig in a poke :-) Sparks W4EAS

Well, I'll tell ya what...

Why don't you try interviewing at HP and tell them that your qualifications
include being able to design a Hartley oscillator from memory and that you
can send and receive 20WPM code proficiently. See how far you go...

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2134. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Message-ID: <85nqle$l0u$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 121692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,

In a previous article, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> I'd bet they have trouble finding a young ham to take this
> job.

You lose the bet.

I know of two young (under 30) hams who have sent resumes.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2135. Re: ABuzecky - Racist or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pzKf4.2846$eO5.38737@news1.mia>
Source record: 121693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Man this ABuzecky tells it like it is!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
MWBRYANT wrote in message <20000114133501.06345.00000130@ng-bg1.aol.com>...
>In a message dated 1/14/00 10:36:06 AM Mountain Standard Time, ABuzecky
writes:
>
>> What kills me about people like you is you love to label people that have
>> differing viewpoints. You have had two emails with me and already I'm a
JEW
>> HATER! You are no different then the ghetto nigger that gets beat up by
a
>> white kid and screams racism, they don't mention the fact that the
nigger
>> was stealing the white guys wallet though!
>> Bryant if your so concerned about death and violence what about all the
>> deaths that nigger street gangs create? I live in a rather upscale
community
>
>> that has NEVER had gang violence until about 4 years ago. Do you know
why we
>
>> have gang violence now? Because the apartments in the outlying area have
>> started renting out to section 8 housing residents. Section 8 is gov.
>> housing were they farm ghetto blacks into upscale neighborhoods to make a
"

>> change" in their lives. Well, no change happens rather they bring their
>> filth and litters of children here to rob and mug folks. Then the kicker
is
>> they sit on the street and ask for money to STOP GANG VIOLENCE! The same
>> niggers that start the problem now want white folks to pay to stop it???
The
>
>> real solution is to kick them out of our area and let them return to the
>> housing projects in the city. I have no love for blacks because they do
>> nothing but want to live like swine and collect a check. I have worked,
>> lived, gone to school in areas of high black concentrations and this is
my
>> conclusion. Now I'm sure some liberal like you that has never been close
to
>> this would say I'm a racist while you live and play in your all white
>> community. If being labeled a racist will save my car from being jacked
up
>> on milk crates and having all my tires stolen then please call me a
racist!
>>
>> Also as far as Jews are concerned may I recommend that you do some world
>> traveling or get some multi national friends. I find it amazing that the
>> liberal media pumps Jews up to be such a great group of people yet almost
>> every foreign person I have meet hates them as much as the Nazi's did. I
>> have friends from all walks of life here in grad school and almost all of
>> them have said that Jews have ruined there country. Indians, Egyptians,
a
>> few folks from S. Africa and many people from Europe all say the Jews
have
>> cheated them in their banking systems and in business. Of course the
media
>> doesn't expose this side of the Jewish people because that would leave a
bad
>> mark. Also maybe you should dig into "real" history to find why the
ENTIRE
>> GERMAN POPULATION wanted Hitler to go after the Jews. It was because the
>> Jews were screwing people out of money in the banking system. This is
FACT I
>
>> am part German and have many relatives that say this over and over.
You'd be
>
>> pretty pissed to if you went to withdrawal money and you account was 5K
short
>
>> and a Jew laughed in your face and said "sorry you added wrong." The
Germans
>
>> and the Nazis were not evil but rather pissed off about the way Jews
>> conducted business and how they were stealing millions from the Germans.

>> I by no means think they should have been killed off like they were but
I
>> understand why they were targeted by Hitler. The Jews were not as
innocent
>> as you and the liberal media make them out to be.
>>
>> Oh and as far as reasoning is concerned I think I'll beat you at that
any
>> day of the week B. See I'm in my last term of law school and my
reasoning
>> skills are pretty sharp, sharp enough to be in the top of my class. Oh
>> well just you may want to know my level of education for background
>info......
>> See you in court in about 6 months
>> Label Away B! White and Proud
>> AB
>
>I think it's pretty clear. Nothing that I could ever say paints the picture
of
>ABuzecky's mind as well as his own words.
>
>I also know you're clearly a liar. You're not smart enough to get in the
lowest
>law schools in the US. And that's the lowest insult I can think of... ;-)
>
>Bryant

2136. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <15d84bec.084a429a@usw-ex0101-005.remarq.com>
Source record: 121694

OK. Duh.
LCD is enough to convey that there is a display. As in
"Check your [LCD or display] for the correct offset."

Buuuut, only if LCD stands for "Liquid Crystal Display".
I have been under the impression that LCD has always
stood for "Liquid Crystal Diode"; therefore, "LCD display"
is not necessarily a silly redundancy.

73's (just kidding =))

73

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2137. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <kBKf4.2849$eO5.38769@news1.mia>
Source record: 121695

ITs something to do with some 10 meter "contest group" There boring qso's consist of "my 10-10 # is" What a joke!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
nospam.wxperson@pcwp.com wrote in message
<387f6842.5401626@news.mindspring.com>...
>What are these 10 10 IDs used by some on 10 meters?
>
>Thx,
>
>George

2138. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <SqKf4.1290$Lm3.13400@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121696
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
: |> This maybe the local ordinance for the area!
: |> However federaly it is not illegal.
: |> Just remember, Just because FCC says its legal, Doesnt mean it is in
: |> your home town. Check with your local city hall before you proceed!
: |>
: |>
:
: No. The FCC issued a directive (not sure of the correct legal term)
: that says local authorities cannot enforce laws restricting the possession
: by hams of ham transceivers that can receive police frequencies.
: Check with the FCC for correct wording of the FCC statement.
:
: i.e. the FCC not only doesn't make it illegal, they forbid the locals
: from making it illegal.
:
: However, you may have to spend lots of time and money in court to get
: it back. Remember, the cop who wants to take your equipment has a gun.
: He also probably won't suffer any serious consequences if he takes your
: radio and they have to give it back later.

In my case, the LEO would. I work for the government.
My agency would have that LEO's ass.
```

2139. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SGKf4.2858$eO5.38866@news1.mia>
Source record: 121697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't know if he is a ham but i can tell what he is

33rd degree mason
member of Council of Foreign Relations (CFR)
Bilderburgers
member of "skull and bones" (another secret society)
Trilateral commission
Atlantic Alliance

I've done my homework on the "fabian socialists"

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Daniel J. Morlan wrote in message
<85l53todk1@newssrv2.hrz.uni-oldenburg.de>...
>I was scanning through the Ham bands Wednesday afternoon and immediately
(in
>the 19K area) I heard that familiar voice. Talking about lobbying, and how
>Barb's getting a puppy soon... A little about air travel, etc... It was
>really fun to hear, and I'd have sworn this is was George Bush Sr! Does
>anyone know if he actually IS a Ham or not?
>
>

2140. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85o3fq$gb9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121698

In article <387f6842.5401626@news.mindspring.com>,
nospam.wxperson@pcwp.com wrote:
> What are these 10 10 IDs used by some on 10 meters?

In the meantime, Lloyd Davies, who never lets facts stand in the way of an idiotic pronouncement, completely misled the questioner with this incorrect guess:
> ITs something to do with some 10 meter "contest group" There boring
> qso's consist of "my 10-10 # is" What a joke!

> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCT
> Barf

> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!

Copied from AF2Y. This Davies character has also never had an original thought.

> http: (increasingly long inane list of useless URLs snipped)

10-10 International is an organization formed to promote 10 meter activity, particularly during the nadir if the sunspot cycle. They sponsor several activities, including chapter nets, certificates, operating awards, and QSO parties. Were it not for the promotion of 10-10, it is very possible that much of the band might have been re-allocated for lack of activity.

They have a website at: http://www.ten-ten.org

To get a 10-10 number you have to collect 10 numbers from other 10-10 members in on-the-air contacts (oops, lets Davies out), then submit them to 10-10 International. Addresses, etc., are on the webpage.

It may or may not be your cup of tea, but I've had some fun with them over the years.

--
LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2141. IS DAN TELLING THE TRUTH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3NKf4.2868$eO5.38949@news1.mia>
Source record: 121699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

W4NTI wrote:
>It is time to END
>this stupid war. Wake up and smell the roses, or you will go down.
>Simple as that. Pay attention fool.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Is that true? How will you make good on this? You need to impress upon other people as well that it is not good to harbor hate, grudeges, bad feelings against those you do not agree with. I myself will take the step as well. I will no longer as of today make any more comments regarding jews, blacks, etc. I hope everyone can get along and maybe try to help each other. These are good words, Dan. I hope you can agree with me. Is this ok with you KK7HO?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

2142. Re: ABuzecky - Racist or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LOKf4.2872$eO5.39009@news1.mia>
Source record: 121700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

just note that i did post any racsit feelings here, i just agree some with
this person.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>Man this ABuzecky tells it like it is!
>
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
>Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
>Athens, AL 35611
>http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
>http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
>http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
>http://www.adl-ci.org/
>http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
>http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
>http://www.3950.net/
>http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>http://www.qth.com/dwm/
>http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

>MWBRYANT wrote in message <20000114133501.06345.00000130@ng-bg1.aol.com>...
>>In a message dated 1/14/00 10:36:06 AM Mountain Standard Time, ABuzecky
>writes:
>>
>>> What kills me about people like you is you love to label people that
have
>>> differing viewpoints. You have had two emails with me and already I'm a
>JEW
>>> HATER! You are no different then the ghetto nigger that gets beat up by
>a
>>> white kid and screams racism, they don't mention the fact that the
>nigger
>>> was stealing the white guys wallet though!
>>> Bryant if your so concerned about death and violence what about all the
>>> deaths that nigger street gangs create? I live in a rather upscale
>community
>>
>>> that has NEVER had gang violence until about 4 years ago. Do you know
>why we
>>
>>> have gang violence now? Because the apartments in the outlying area
have
>>> started renting out to section 8 housing residents. Section 8 is gov.
>>> housing were they farm ghetto blacks into upscale neighborhoods to make
a
>"
>>> change" in their lives. Well, no change happens rather they bring their
>>> filth and litters of children here to rob and mug folks. Then the
kicker
>is
>>> they sit on the street and ask for money to STOP GANG VIOLENCE! The
same
>>> niggers that start the problem now want white folks to pay to stop it???
>The
>>
>>> real solution is to kick them out of our area and let them return to the
>>> housing projects in the city. I have no love for blacks because they
do
>>> nothing but want to live like swine and collect a check. I have worked,
>>> lived, gone to school in areas of high black concentrations and this is
>my
>>> conclusion. Now I'm sure some liberal like you that has never been
close
>to
>>> this would say I'm a racist while you live and play in your all white
>>> community. If being labeled a racist will save my car from being jacked
>up
>>> on milk crates and having all my tires stolen then please call me a
>racist!
>>>

>>> Also as far as Jews are concerned may I recommend that you do some
world
>>> traveling or get some multi national friends. I find it amazing that
the
>>> liberal media pumps Jews up to be such a great group of people yet
almost
>>> every foreign person I have meet hates them as much as the Nazi's did.
I
>>> have friends from all walks of life here in grad school and almost all
of
>>> them have said that Jews have ruined there country. Indians, Egyptians,
>a
>>> few folks from S. Africa and many people from Europe all say the Jews
>have
>>> cheated them in their banking systems and in business. Of course the
>media
>>> doesn't expose this side of the Jewish people because that would leave a
>bad
>>> mark. Also maybe you should dig into "real" history to find why the
>ENTIRE
>>> GERMAN POPULATION wanted Hitler to go after the Jews. It was because
the
>>> Jews were screwing people out of money in the banking system. This is
>FACT I
>>
>>> am part German and have many relatives that say this over and over.
>You'd be
>>
>>> pretty pissed to if you went to withdrawal money and you account was 5K
>short
>>
>>> and a Jew laughed in your face and said "sorry you added wrong." The
>Germans
>>
>>> and the Nazis were not evil but rather pissed off about the way Jews
>>> conducted business and how they were stealing millions from the Germans.
>>> I by no means think they should have been killed off like they were but
>I
>>> understand why they were targeted by Hitler. The Jews were not as
>innocent
>>> as you and the liberal media make them out to be.
>>>
>>> Oh and as far as reasoning is concerned I think I'll beat you at that
>any
>>> day of the week B. See I'm in my last term of law school and my
>reasoning
>>> skills are pretty sharp, sharp enough to be in the top of my class.
Oh
>>> well just you may want to know my level of education for background
>>info......

>>> See you in court in about 6 months
>>> Label Away B! White and Proud
>>> AB
>>
>>I think it's pretty clear. Nothing that I could ever say paints the
picture
>of
>>ABuzecky's mind as well as his own words.
>>
>>I also know you're clearly a liar. You're not smart enough to get in the
>lowest
>>law schools in the US. And that's the lowest insult I can think of... ;-)
>>
>>Bryant
>
>

2143. Re: ABuzecky - Racist or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85o4nehgb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <LOKf4.2872$eO5.39009@news1.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> just note that i did post any racsit feelings here, i .....

Hell, you can't even spell racist! What a dump-huck!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2144. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85nvfa$543$1@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 121702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> What an unimaginative dude! A big part of Columbine WAS
> done without guns. There were a LOT of homemade bombs, up
> toward a hundred by one police account. But, as usual, the
> GUNS got all the media (read antigun) attention.

--> That, and most of the bombs didn't go off due to botched fusing.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

2145. Re: ABuzecky - Racist or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85o5o4$i56$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <LOKf4.2872$eO5.39009@news1.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies", boy racist, wrote:
> just note that i did post any racsit feelings here, i just agree some
> with this person.^^^ ^^^^
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

Nope, that doesn't get it. If you agree with the sick garbage that guy spewed then it's just the same as you saying it. Hell, that's how you usually say it anyway, by copying someone else's pathetic work.

> I myself will take the step as well. I will no longer as of today
> make any more comments regarding jews, blacks, etc.

You didn't even last 2 minutes. You posted that vow at 1427:59 and then jumped back on the racist bandwagon at 1429:47.

> I hope everyone can get along and maybe try to help each other.

If you truly believed that, you would disavow all of the diseased filth that ABuzecky moron said. You would discourage that crap, not encourage it by saying you agree with it.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2146. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <85nvtp$543$2@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 121704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com> wrote:
> Anti-education pro-sports a reason for Columbine, I personally doubt it.
> How about two spoiled brats who our education system taught your
> personal failures are not your's, but somebody elses fault.

--> I'm not trying to excuse anything those people did. But when we have school administrations that look the other way when the star football player beats up someone who's "different," it's not hard to see that pretty soon there's going to be some really resentful, angry people at that school. As someone who was a bit different from the norm in high school, I can tell you that schoolkids get away with things that would be considered assault and battery in the "real world," and teachers simply look the other way. High school and junior high were the most hellish seven years of my life, and I can *easily* see how someone could be driven to committing murder by the experience.

Banning guns won't fix it. Requiring schools to post the 10 Commandments in the hallway won't fix it. It's the way we run our schools that hast to change. Right now they're basically like prisons, where the strong prey more or less freely on the weak.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

2147. Re: Callsign xxTIT (was END of Ham Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14468-387F7E8F-36@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121705

Everyone knows why you applied for W5TIT, Kim. To draw attention to yourself....

(Drew)

2148. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <TTLf4.1337$Lm3.16452@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121706

: Because it's going to become very common to ask "what were you before the
: dumbing-down?"... Think about it... I'd certainly be proud to say that I
was
: a 'real' General, and you would be too... You wont admit it, tho...
: I'd only have to upgrade my code speed to 13wpm (I've passed the general
: written test), but can't get in the mood long enough to do it..
: Oh well.... I'll just have to settle with "just a Tech Plus" when the
: question gets asked...

I can say that I am a member of the Quarter Century Wireless Assoc.
and have been in amateur radio since 1959 (I started when I was 9).

Just remember, most of these Extra class hams will be dead in 10
years.

2149. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85o8b6$k71$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey, Luh - luh - loyd! You sister - humping, grit - sucking conspiracy theorist, you! My Lodge Brothers wanted me to say hello, and ask when you're coming down for a visit?

LOL

Seriously....

So he's all of those, and probably more. How, exactly, is this germane to shortwave listening???

Can you answer this question with a sense of coherence? Don't wander, Luh - luh - loyd...just answer me without drooling and going off on an Illuminati tangent? For once?

Steve

(waiting for something which will never happen, I'm certain...)
(do I use too many ellipses?)
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> I don't know if he is a ham but i can tell what he is
>
> 33rd degree mason
> member of Council of Foreign Relations (CFR)
> Bilderburgers
> member of "skull and bones" (another secret society)
> Trilateral commission
> Atlantic Alliance
>
> I've done my homework on the "fabian socialists"
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn

> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Daniel J. Morlan wrote in message
> <85l53todk1@newssrv2.hrz.uni-oldenburg.de>...
> >I was scanning through the Ham bands Wednesday afternoon and
immediately
> (in
> >the 19K area) I heard that familiar voice. Talking about lobbying,
and how
> >Barb's getting a puppy soon... A little about air travel, etc... It
was
> >really fun to hear, and I'd have sworn this is was George Bush Sr!
Does
> >anyone know if he actually IS a Ham or not?
> >
> >
>
>

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
LeMars, Iowa - "Ice Cream Capitol of the World."
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2150. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85o8dn$k7b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Surely you jest - methinks Lloyd is incapable of critical thought.

Steve

"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
> "homework"... Which wacko newsletter did you simply copy all that
from?
>
> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:SGKf4.2858$eO5.38866@news1.mia...
> > I don't know if he is a ham but i can tell what he is
> >
> > 33rd degree mason
> > member of Council of Foreign Relations (CFR)
> > Bilderburgers
> > member of "skull and bones" (another secret society)
> > Trilateral commission
> > Atlantic Alliance
> >
> > I've done my homework on the "fabian socialists"
>
>

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
LeMars, Iowa - "Ice Cream Capitol of the World."
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2151. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85o8olkbo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121709

Path: news!den-news-01.qwest.net!qwest!newsfeed.slurp.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.mia.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>

Dick Carroll wrote in message <85npbe$9ff$0@208.207.71.156>...
>Adam Adkins wrote:
>>
>> > > > Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
>>
>> Hmm. Check out this link.
>>
>> http://www.bah.com/recruiting/details.cfm?posting_id=565
>>
>
> I did, with this result:
>
>
>Radio Operator/Communications Analyst in Quantico, Virginia
>USA
>
> Key Role
> Provide Morse code and radio operator support for key
>government clients.
>
> Qualifications
> HS diploma
> 3-5 years of experience
> Experience with voice, FSK, and packet communications
> Experience with Morse code at a proficiency of 18-20
>groups per minute or Extra Class FCC ARL
> Experience with radio theory, Q signs, and radio
>equipment
>
> E-mail your resume directly to Mike.
>
>
> I'd bet they have trouble finding a young ham to take this
>job.

It sounds like a good job. I wonder if you start as a G-5, 6, or 7?

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2152. Re: 10 10 IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85o933kni1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121710

Stupidass Lloyd Davies the fatass wrote in message ...
>ITs something to do with some 10 meter "contest group" There >boring
qso's
consist of "my 10-10 # is"What a joke!
No, the joke is that you could never have honestly passed the 5 wpm code
test to get on 10 meters. Now, you get it handed to you by the FCC,
but our
only salvation is that you most likely can't afford an HF rig

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2153. Re: IS DAN TELLING THE TRUTH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85obc4mfc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3NKf4.2868$eO5.38949@news1.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> W4NTI wrote:
> >It is time to END
> >this stupid war. Wake up and smell the roses, or you will go down.
> >Simple as that. Pay attention fool.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >--
> >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Is that true? How will you make good on this? You need to impress
upon
> other people as well that it is not good to harbor hate, grudeges, bad
> feelings against those you do not agree with. I myself will take the
step
> as well. I will no longer as of today make any more comments
regarding
> jews, blacks, etc. I hope everyone can get along and maybe try to
help each
> other. These are good words, Dan. I hope you can agree with me. Is
this
> ok with you KK7HO?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>
Lloyd,

Of course it is true. As to how "I" will make good on this. I already have.

1. I have negotiated a peace with Bob Youngblood, KT4TR.
2. I have been in contact with Frank Todd for many months and we have buried the hatchet.
3. I have accepted an apology from George Ashley, W4FQF and given him the same.
4. Marty Chittum and I have also buried our little war (W9XN).
5. Jim Obrien (W4AMP) and I have also negotiated a peace between us.
ETC.ETC.

There is no need to continue this Lloyd, you can verify this with anyone you see that I have mentioned. You see Lloyd I AM SINCERE ABOUT ENDING THIS STUPID CHILDISH WAR.

I congratulate you on "seeing the light". Now lets see if you can do it. (Keep the peace). That is the hard part. Don't let the comments of others goad you into falling back in the same ole attack mode. Think before you speak or post. Think of the betterment of amateur radio, and just STOP. It is HARD not to attack those that have done me wrong. It is HARD to put it all aside. But to me the choice is simple. I love amateur radio, it has been good to me. I CHOOSE not to be a part of the problem any longer.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2154. [HRU2000] Ham Radio University 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: HRU2000 <info@gsbarc.org>
Message-ID: <%FMf4.3149$eZ3.5929486@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 121712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Ham Radio University - HRU2000
Babylon Town Hall Annex
Phelps Lane & Miller Ave
North Babylon, NY
Sunday January 23, 2000
9:00 AM - 2:00 PM

This is a special day of education for amateur radio. Many ham radio Clubs and organizations from the New York City-Long Island Section will have information booths. This is your opportunity to meet the local clubs and organizations and learn their activities, meetings and membership. There will be a free tune-up clinic, DXCC & WAS card checking, eye-ball qso room, a full VE session along fifteen technical forums with guest speakers from the NLI section.

Donation: $2.00. Spouses and children under 12 will be admitted free.

For more information via Internet: http://arrlhudson.org/nli/hru2000.htm

Contact: Phil Lewis N2MUN (631) 226-0698

FORUM SCHEDULE
10:00 - 10:45 AM
Session 1A -Amateur License Restructuring - George Tranos N2GA
1B -Packet- John Papson WB2CIK & Bob Anderson K2BJG
1C -RFI & SWR - Sid Wolin K2LJH
10:50 - 11:35 AM
Session 2A -DX - Marty Miller NN2C
2B -APRS - John Blowsky KB2SCS
2C -YL Forum - Diane Ortiz K2DO
11:40 AM - 12:25 PM
Session 3A -Introduction to Contesting - Mel Granick KS2G
3B -Amateur Radio Satellites - Ken Ernades N2WWD & Bill Scheibel N2NFI
3C -QRP - Norman Wesler K2YEW
12:30 - 1:15 PM
Session 4A - Antenna(s) - Mike Kozma WY2U
4B - A.R.E.S. - Tom Carrubba KA2D
4C - Kit Building - Neil Heft KC2KY
1:20 - 2:05 PM
Session 5A - Tower Regulations - Mike Anderson N2TX
5B - QCWA - Herman Milatz W2TLC
5C - Purchasing Amateur Equipment - Phil Lewis N2MUN
******* VE 2:15 PM room 1A

Amateur Radio Organizations Supporting HRU2000
American Radio Relay League (ARRL)
Great South Bay Amateur Radio Club (GSBARC)
Grumman Amateur Radio Club (GARC)
Hall of Science Amateur Radio Club (HOSARC)
Islip A.R.E.S. (ISLIPARES)
Kings County Radio Club (KCRC)
Larkfield Amateur Radio Club (LARC)
Long Island DX Association (LIDXA)
Long Island Mobile Amateur Radio Club (LIMARC)
Mid-Island Amateur Radio Club (MIARC)
Nassau Amateur Radio Club (NARC)
Quarter Century Wireless Association (QCWA)
Radio Central Amateur Radio Club (RCARC)
South Shore Amateur Radio Club (SSARC)
Suffolk County Radio Club (SCRC)
Town of Babylon Amateur Radio Emergency Services (TOBARES)
Wantagh Amateur Radio Club (WARC)

Special Thank You
Township of Babylon for providing the location
Walter Wenzel KA2RGI for providing the HRU2000 ID badges
HRU2000 Committee for their dedication and commitment that made this event happen.

HRU2000 Committee
Phil Lewis N2MUN, Chairperson
George Tranos N2GA
Diane Ortiz K2DO
Marty Miller NN2C
Walter Wenzel KA2RGI
Tom Carrubba KA2D

Directions to HRU2000
Location: Babylon Town Hall Annex
Phelps Lane/Miller Ave
North Babylon, NY
Talk-in W2GSB repeater 146.685 -600 136.5 PL

Southern State Parkway

from the WEST: SS PKWY EAST to exit 37 South (Belmont Ave) continue straight across Belmont Ave unto Sylvan Road. DO NOT TURN RIGHT OR LEFT. Continue along Sylvan Road to the first STOP SIGN. Turn right onto Miller Ave. Past the stop sign turn left into the Town Hall Annex parking lot.

From the EAST: SS PKWY WEST to exit 39 South NY 231 Babylon. At the first traffic light, turn right onto Sylvan Rd. At the stop sign turn left onto Miller Ave. Past the stop sign turn left into the Town Hall Annex parking lot.

Sunrise Highway (NY27)

from the EAST or WEST: SUNRISE HWY (NY27) to exit 40 (231 Babylon-
Huntington). Stay to the right on the service road to 231 NORTH
(Huntington).
At the fourth light counting the blinking light, turn left onto Sylvan Rd.
At the stop sign turn left onto Miller Ave. Past the stop sign turn left
into
the Town Hall Annex parking lot.

Long Island Expressway (NY495) non commercial vehicles from the WEST -
NY495 EAST to 44S (NY135) South to Southern State Parkway East.

from the EAST - NY495 WEST to exit 53 (Sagitkos Parkway). Service Road to
SA BAYSHORE -SOUTH to Southern State Parkway - WEST (NEW YORK).
FOLLOW SS PKWY Directions......

Long Island Expressway (NY495) Commercial Vehicles
from EAST or WEST - NY495 to exit 51 south (231 - BABYLON). Continue on
231
south. At the first traffic light after passing Southern State Parkway turn
right on Slyvan Road. At the stop sign turn left onto Miller Ave. Past the
stop sign turn left into the Town Hall Annex parking lot.

73 - HRU2000 Staff

2155. The W8MMQ Wire - January 14, 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq@email.com>
Message-ID: <85o5naamd1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121713

January 14, 2000
Target: rec.radio.amateur.misc

THE W8MMQ WIRE

Welcome to the all new W8MMQ Wire! This newsletter is distributed weekly by W8MMQ, and is available free to all radio amateurs.

Subscribe to the newsletter! Just email w8mmq@email.com, and ask to be put on our mailing list. Be sure to include your name and email address. If you are receiving this newsletter now, you are not on the mailing list. This is simply an introductory issue. You must email us and let us know that you would like to subscribe, or you will not receive future issues of the newsletter.

AMATEUR RADIO RESTRUCTURING IS COMING
By now, most hams around the country have heard about the changes coming to amateur radio on April 15. The FCC has unveiled its controversial new changes to the service, which include the elimination of the 13 and 20-WPM Morse code requirements for access to the HF bands. Also, after April 15, the FCC will no longer issue Novice, Technician Plus, or Advanced class licenses.

The announcement of the changes, which came on December 30, 1999, has sparked debate throughout the amateur radio community, both on and off the air. The ARRL Board of Directors will be meeting January 21 and 22 to discuss the changes, and look into what implications may result.

Be sure to visit http://www.arrl.org/ for complete information on the restructuring issue, and for any news which may develop.

-- W8MMQ

AMATEUR RADIO ENFORCEMENT IN THE NEW YEAR
As the new year gets under way, FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth hinted he might have to break bad on hard-core offenders this year. He explained that poor or lax FCC enforcement in the past led him to be more forgiving of rulebreakers during his first full calendar year in the enforcement chair. Now, those who persist in operating outside of the stated basis and purpose of Amateur Radio "are beginning to try our patience," he said. "I can't say we're going to be as compassionate this year."

Hollingsworth said he expected to continue his focus on incursions into the 10-meter band by unlicensed operators, especially as propagation gets better, and on equipment certification issues. "We're very concerned about the illegal equipment we see for sale at hamfests," he explained.

Overall, however, malicious interference remains "the basic problem," as he put it. "We're going to use the High-Frequency Direction Finding Center at Laurel [Maryland] more this year" to track down rulebreakers, he said. In addition, Hollingsworth now has enhanced monitoring tools at his Gettysburg office, allowing him access to the HFDF Center's 14 antenna fields plus VHF-UHF "pods" that can be moved around as necessary. "We have dial-in capabilities to all of our antenna fields and to the pods, so we can cover HF, UHF, and VHF anywhere in the country, right here from the Gettysburg office," he explained.

"It's a force multiplier, so to speak," Hollingsworth said of the new capabilities.

Hollingsworth also says he's upbeat about the future of ham radio and the FCC's Amateur Radio restructuring plan announced December 30. "I'm really optimistic about it," he said this week. "I think that it's a good idea to simplify things a little bit as far as the number of license classes," he added, referring to the new three-tiered system.

Hollingsworth said he believes Amateur Radio needs more young blood to keep it going in the future, and he thinks the new licensing system that becomes effective April 15 might help in that regard. He declined, however, to comment further on the specific policies and rules the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau laid down in its Report and Order, saying it would not be appropriate.

-- The ARRL Letter, January 7, 2000

Editor's Note: Hollingsworth, an amateur radio operator for 39 years, will be speaking at the Dayton Hamvention, on Saturday, May 20.

AMATEUR RADIO CLASSES IN MID-MICHIGAN
The Central Michigan Amateur Radio Club, and the Michigan State University Amateur Radio Club, are sponsoring new amateur radio classes, which will be held from January 29 through March 4.

The classes, which will be held in the Michigan State University Engineering Building, will cover basic electronic theory, FCC regulations, and operating practices. There will also be a 5-WPM Morse code class available.

There is no cost for the classes, but a book must be purchased. The book being used for the class is Now You're Talking, which costs around $20.00.

For more information, contact Don Rickerd, W8BQD, at 517-339-8496. You can visit http://msuarc.egr.msu.edu/class/ for additional information.

-- W8MMQ

LONG-DISTANCE MORSE CODE RESCUE
Gene Nailon, K5DLE, reports a ham in the Rockies has a fellow amateur in Oklahoma and the Morse code to thank for helping after he fell ill during a QSO December 17.

Larry Watson, W5EIU, in Oklahoma City answered a CQ on 3710 kHz from the Wyoming ham. They had been talking for about 15 minutes when the Wyoming op

told Watson: "I think I'm having a heart attack, and I can't get to the phone." After that, his transmission broke off, and Watson was unable to raise him further.

About the same time, Jim Caldwell, WJ0C, of Waterloo, Iowa, broke in. WJ0C was able to look up the full name and location of the Wyoming ham and relay the information to Watson, who called the police in the Wyoming town to alert them to a potential medical emergency. It turned out they were right.

The Wyoming ham -- whose name and location were unavailable -- was hospitalized, and the prognosis was said to be good. Watson, first licensed at age 13 in 1954, says this was a first for him. (By the way, both Watson and Nailon belong to Central Oklahoma Chapter 63 QCWA).

-- The ARRL Letter, January 7, 2000

THE W8MMQ WIRE IS BACK
After a several month break, the W8MMQ Wire is back. The Wire, which ran under various names through 1998 and 1999, is a weekly amateur radio news and information newsletter, available free to all radio amateurs.

The newsletter is now expanded, offering more news, and an expanded Contests and Events section.

If you would like to subscribe to the W8MMQ Wire, send your name, email address, and callsign, if any, to w8mmq@email.com. Your email will be read and answered as soon as possible.

THE WEEKLY DX REPORT
Look out for 8Q7WP and 8Q7ET from Kuramanthi Island in the Maldives until the 18th of January. They are operating two stations on bands between 80 and 10 metres, using CW, SSB and RTTY. QSL is via PA5ET. This counts as AS-013 towards the RSGB Islands on the Air awards programme.

A group of operators from the University of Yonsei in Seoul [pronounced 'sole'] will be signing HL0Y/2 from Kangwha Island until the18th of January, on bands between 80 and 10 metres. QSL via HL0Y or via the bureau. This counts as AS-105 for IOTA.

YL operator Sarah will be active as KC4AAD from Siple Dome Station, Antarctica, for the next few weeks. QSL is via K4MZU.

Special Event station 3Z8IMA [India Mike Alfa] is active on bands between 160 and 10 metres using CW, SSB, RTTY and AMTOR from Lublin, Poland, until the 31st of January.

W4ZYV will be active as T32DA from East Kiribati until the 25th of January.

-- RSGB News and 425 DX News

UPCOMING CONTESTS AND EVENTS
Visit the ARRL Contest Calendar at http://www.arrl.org/contests/ for complete contest information.

The North American QSO Party, phone portion, sponsored by the National Contest Journal, will run from 1800-Z January 15 through 0600-Z January 16. Work stations only once per band.

The 2000 CW QRP Contest, sponsored by the Michigan QRP Club will run from 1200-Z January 15 to 2359-Z January16. Operate CW only, on 160, 80, 40, 20, 15, 10, and 6 meters only. Work stations once per band for QSO points and Multipliers. Visit http://www.tir.com/~k8dd/miqrp.htm for more contest information.

If you know of an upcoming amateur radio contest or event, send it to w8mmq@email.com, and we will include it in this section. Be sure to send it at least a week in advance. Thanks!

AND ... IN CLOSING
Thanks for reading this edition of the W8MMQ Wire! This newsletter is produced and distributed each week by Dan, W8MMQ, and is available free to all radio amateurs.

Information from the following sources was used in the production of this newsletter: the ARRL Letter, RSGB News, eHam.Net, and other published reports.

An online edition of the newsletter is available at http://w8mmq.net/. All content on the W8MMQ.COM and W8MMQ.NET web sites is Copyright (C) 1999, 2000 by Daniel Hicks, Jr.

73 de,
Dan, W8MMQ

2156. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <387FAD93.1B34091@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121714

http://listserv.lehigh.edu/lists/tenten-l/
You can read about it for yourself George.

Tim ka8ddz

nospam.wxperson@pcwp.com wrote:

> What are these 10 10 IDs used by some on 10 meters?
>
> Thx,
>
> George

2157. AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121715

Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes, the "Docster" is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to know that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you about tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much shareholder pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is fine and they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having problems connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please don't write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is perfect and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet and offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it. So I say unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a paid employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one number on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I never get a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat it's true; I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and over ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose this rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on the farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going out for dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to thank all of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post again. To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the way I do also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most fitting even though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to the FCC on his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if indeed he still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a fond adieu but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill will be VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL. Your time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
Great 73,
Doc,AF2Y

2158. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: GG <vintage_radiox@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <387F9C06.618A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121716

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> ITs something to do with some 10 meter "contest group" There boring qso's
> consist of "my 10-10 # is" What a joke!
>

The initial idea of 10-10 was dreamed up some years back to promote use of 10 meters during low sunspot cycles. Not a bad idea, but it has gotten out of hand and gone way beyone 10-10 numbers. They now have these crazy numbers for everything you can think of and if you want to have a qso with a number hunter, forget it. The extent of their contacts are "here is my (high-roller, steal town, social security, drivers license, or whatever) number, good for xxx points." They are only interested in collecting as many of these meaningless numbers as they can to write down in a log book. Someday they can show their grand children, "look at this, I got four 100 page books all filled with these numbers, isn't that great!!"

2159. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <85obs3$6ds$1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 121717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.space Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> Hamish, what sort of written test does Australia have? Do
> you have prepublished querstion pools with the exact test
> questions with their exact answers?

I don't think the question pools are available for purchase.
On the other hand, our volunteer examiners probably have a bit of a
collection from all the exams they've run in the past.

I haven't heard of corruption in the VE process here, and we don't
have the medical exemption for Morse either.

73

Hamish vk3sb
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

2160. Re: IS DAN TELLING THE TRUTH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <387FB66D.190C850B@home.com>
Source record: 121718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ditto from Eric Cooper--Let's get along.

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> In article <3NKf4.2868$eO5.38949@news1.mia>,
> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > W4NTI wrote:
> > >It is time to END
> > >this stupid war. Wake up and smell the roses, or you will go down.
> > >Simple as that. Pay attention fool.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >--
> > >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Is that true? How will you make good on this? You need to impress
> upon
> > other people as well that it is not good to harbor hate, grudeges, bad
> > feelings against those you do not agree with. I myself will take the
> step
> > as well. I will no longer as of today make any more comments
> regarding
> > jews, blacks, etc. I hope everyone can get along and maybe try to
> help each
> > other. These are good words, Dan. I hope you can agree with me. Is
> this
> > ok with you KK7HO?
> >
> > --
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> >
> > The FCC didn't assign me
> > Very Fine Person for no reason!
> >
> > Athens, AL 35611
> > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> > http://www.adl-ci.org/
> > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> > http://www.3950.net/

> > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> > http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> >
> >
> Lloyd,
>
> Of course it is true. As to how "I" will make good on this. I already
> have.
>
> 1. I have negotiated a peace with Bob Youngblood, KT4TR.
> 2. I have been in contact with Frank Todd for many months and we have
> buried the hatchet.
> 3. I have accepted an apology from George Ashley, W4FQF and given him
> the same.
> 4. Marty Chittum and I have also buried our little war (W9XN).
> 5. Jim Obrien (W4AMP) and I have also negotiated a peace between us.
> ETC.ETC.
>
> There is no need to continue this Lloyd, you can verify this with
> anyone you see that I have mentioned. You see Lloyd I AM SINCERE ABOUT
> ENDING THIS STUPID CHILDISH WAR.
>
> I congratulate you on "seeing the light". Now lets see if you can do
> it. (Keep the peace). That is the hard part. Don't let the comments
> of others goad you into falling back in the same ole attack mode.
> Think before you speak or post. Think of the betterment of amateur
> radio, and just STOP. It is HARD not to attack those that have done me
> wrong. It is HARD to put it all aside. But to me the choice is simple.
> I love amateur radio, it has been good to me. I CHOOSE not to be a
> part of the problem any longer.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2161. Mac Ham Software CD-ROM Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: csmolinski@erols.com
Message-ID: <csmolinski-ya02408000R1401001943170001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 121719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Tired of downloading shareware ham software for the Mac? Black Cat Systems has placed its entire collection of Mac software, as well as software from Dog Park Software and Nedbalek Enterprises on a CD-ROM.

Each CD is burned to order, so it always contains the latest available version of each program.

If you'd like to take a look and see which programs are contained on the CD, please visit this page:

http://www.blackcatsystems.com/software/cdrom.html

The CD is just $12, which includes air mail shipping world wide.

If you know of any other Mac ham related shareware/freeware programs that should be included, or have written one yourself, please contact me, and I'll be glad to add your program to the CD.

73's
Chris
N3JLY

--
Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com

The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

2162. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Dyson <n4trc@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <85ohei$cjfs$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 121720

<nospam.wxperson@pcwp.com> wrote in message
news:387f6842.5401626@news.mindspring.com...
> What are these 10 10 IDs used by some on 10 meters?
>
> Thx,
>
> George
Ten-Ten International is an amateur radio club that does little more than swap ten ten numbers between members... and ultimately they have succeeded in making the 'novice voice' subband a members only club... Many 10-10 members won't give you the time of day if you do not have a membership number... whenever I operate 10, I do one of two things: make up a number (usually 4 digits ought to do it), or stay out of the Novice section if you can (although they often creep up in the General portions, too!).
They pretend to represent ALL of the ten meter band, but I have NEVER heard a 10-10 member on 10 meter CW bands, and as I recall, the organization never really came around until the mid eighties 'Novice Enhancement' gave ten a 'boost'. n4trc@prodigy.net

2163. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <387FD35D.8732C875@preferred.com>
Source record: 121721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Was about the same when I was in those grades around 30 years ago and
was the same way 30 years before that. But, only recently has things
like Columbine begun happening. Guns and explosives where even far, far
easier to get.
But, thanks to the social experiments that they push on our school kids,
and the entertainment industry churning out movies and shows that
glorify such behavior how do you expect kids to behave?
Good God, the president of the United States can lie under oath and get
away with it. How do you teach your kids that "telling the truth" and
taking personal responsibility for your actions is the right thing to
do.
Recently there was a tragic car crash that killed two teenagers and
seriously injured a mother and daughter in another car. The 18 year old
driver was DRAG RACING in a Mitsubishi 3000 GT when he lost control.
What really made me angry was after that the press and the parents of
the deceased driver wanted the driver of the other car, a 17 year old,
to be charged with manslaughter and sent to jail for a long time. Like
the whole thing was his and his alone fault. That their son was in no
way responsible in his own actions of participating in the race as a
cause of the acccident. Plus, they had no responsibility in the fact
they gave a high school senior a high performance car that was built for
one reason, speed, and expect him not not use it for that. And he, being
18, was the only LEGAL ADULT of the bunch.
And, now one of the Columbine killers parent's want to sue the sheriff
for not warning them that the other kid might be a "bad influence" on
their son!!!! No personal responsibility.
No, I personally feel that parents now days rely on the school system to
not only educate but form the values of their children and be their baby
sitter. I coached softball and basketball for pre-teen girls. I had
parents who never came to practices or games. I was a baby sitter for
them to dump their kids on.
"David M. Brodbeck" wrote:
>
> --> I'm not trying to excuse anything those people did. But when we have
> school administrations that look the other way when the star football player
> beats up someone who's "different," it's not hard to see that pretty soon
> there's going to be some really resentful, angry people at that school.
> As someone who was a bit different from the norm in high school, I can tell
> you that schoolkids get away with things that would be considered assault
> and battery in the "real world," and teachers simply look the other way.
> High school and junior high were the most hellish seven years of my life,
> and I can *easily* see how someone could be driven to committing murder
> by the experience.

>
> Banning guns won't fix it. Requiring schools to post the 10 Commandments
> in the hallway won't fix it. It's the way we run our schools that hast
> to change. Right now they're basically like prisons, where the strong
> prey more or less freely on the weak.
>
> ---
> David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
> finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.
>
> "[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
> first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
> every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

2164. Power Connectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Mirabella <kjm@flo-bin.com>
Message-ID: <mmQf4.250$p_4.21954@typhoon-la.pbi.net>
Source record: 121722

Subject: OEM "T" power connectors and Anderson Powerpoles

I have started a new web site to sell the hard to find and "elusive"
Anderson Powerpole connectors that have become (or are becoming) the most
popular power connector for interchangeability in the emergency community
along with Yaesu, Kenwood and Icom mobile OEM "T" power connectors.

www.powerwerx.com was started with hearing the concerns of hams across
the country in newsgroups of "where to purchase these connectors" and other
"hard to find" products at reasonable prices.

PowerWerx.com encourages groups to pool their purchases to obtain a very low
price on power connectors.

I apologize for the commercial promotion, but I feel that an on-line secure
source for these products has not been available for quite some time.

We accept MasterCard/Visa.

The philosophy of "one ham helping another" is now extended from my web site
to you.

Ken, KM6YH
www.powerwerx.com

2165. Re: Whatever happend to Dr Hate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85omf4ide1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121723

That's right. Major AOL sbareholders KT4TR and K2IVX wielded so much influence that the C.E.O. had to accede to their demands or else face bankruptcy. I should have known to have never crossed paths with those two supermen of perspicacity and power. I've learned my lesson and will now treat the two bums with the greatest respect.
AF2Y

In article <85givfvvu1@nnrp1.deja.com>, rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:

>I heard the rumour that Dr Hate , AF2Y had been kicked off AOL. If so ,
>those guys are on the ball for shedding that kook.
>
>Rileygotchu
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2166. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: 80-80 <fdecker@catskill.net>
Message-ID: <s7voec16oj8108@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121724
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Great idea! If my grandfather, an Erie RR stationmaster, had lived
longer, I would have become a ham twenty-five years sooner. _ _ ... ..._
_ _.. . _._ _._. .._ _ _ _.. ... _
"George Conklin" <henryj@nina.pagesz.net> wrote in message
news:85kel0dvv1@nina.pagesz.net...
> In article <387D7388.7B92739E@IEEE.org>,
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Joe K7JOE wrote:
> >>
> >> Bravo, Cecil. You speak the truth. As an extra class 20 WPM ham who
> >> enjoys CW, I decided to do my share elmering / being a VE. So,
> >> I teach a cw practice session via repeater twice a week for 30 minutes
> >> per session.
> >>
> >Good for you, Joe. If the PCTA folk follow your example, CW will
> >remain alive and well. If they don't, only they are responsible
> >for the consequences.
> >--
> >73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> Maybe railroad station masters should have practice
> sessions in railroad telegraphy too.
>
>
>
> --
> # If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail. #
> # Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm. #
> # Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development. #
> # Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI #

2167. Ebay item. Tube related

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: yodabean1@yahoo.com.nospamplease
Message-ID: <qdrv7s0jg6f9rf3b22gchpouf60s8ongqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 121725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi;

Repair shops / tube audio gurus / radio buffs take a look!

One lot of tube shields for the 9 pin miniature tubes (12ax7,
12au7...etc) these are the twist-lock spring-loaded type used in
radio and tube audio gear.

There are over 300 in the lot and the current bid is $15.50. I have
seen these go for over a buck a piece at hamfests and over $3.00 each
on the net.

Stock up now!

Don't miss out on this one!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236415863

2168. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <387FFE5C.40D06634@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" wrote:
>
> Hey, Luh - luh - loyd! You sister - humping, grit - sucking conspiracy
> theorist, you!

More bigotry is not an effective answer to bigotry.

> My Lodge Brothers wanted me to say hello, and ask when
> you're coming down for a visit?

(gouge)

> So he's all of those, and probably more. How, exactly, is this germane
> to shortwave listening???

So. Shortwave is chock-full of non-mainstream thought about secret
societies. Here's a brief breakdown.

> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > I don't know if he is a ham but i can tell what he is
> >
> > 33rd degree mason

No threat.

> > member of Council of Foreign Relations (CFR)

Minor threat to solar system if CFR gasbags start actually believing
what they say to one another.

> > Bilderburgers

I like their chicken filet sandwiches better.

> > member of "skull and bones" (another secret society)

Doonesbury can parody them, and Garry Trudeau still lives. I'm shaking
in my boots.

> > Trilateral commission

L.A. Lakers are winning big this year with the trilateral offense. Keep
an eye on it.

> > Atlantic Alliance

No matter what secret societies he belongs to, if he hasn't sent $30 to
P.O. Box 140306, Dallas TX 75214, he's not really In The Loop.
http://www.subgenius.com/.

Hope this helps.

--
It Came from C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating four years as part of the problem with the Internet.

2169. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <387FF60E.3332@rpi.edu>
Source record: 121727

MailfrmPA wrote:
>
> >> I do believe, that in a
> >>very short period of time, Ham Radio will become a vast wasteland,
> >>where no one with any intelligence will wish to associate.
> >
> >
> >I believe we heard all this before, at the introduction of the No-Code Tech
> >license. It has not come to pass.
>
> I think it has. The group I used to hang out on 10m with no longer meets there.
> Now an attempt at having a QSO on 10m is a string of constant "Breakers," all
> of whom seem to think you are required to add new members to your conversation,
> like some big CH 19 partyline! In the beginning we did allow newbies to break
> in, but invariably they had nothing to add to the conversation, and nothing
> really to say at all. After they give their "first personal," its always
> something like,"I just wanted to tell tell that you're loud here in East
> MudPuddle. How are you hearing me?"
>
> Frankly, I'd rather discuss a medical condition!
>
> Kï¿½MB

Dear K0MB,

I understand your concerns about the fate of the bands, but I was a
little disturbed by your somewhat unwelcoming attitude towards
newcomers. I think it's important to let newbies get involved in
ongoing QSOs between "regulars" as a way of cementing their interest in
the hobby. Otherwise, they'll go away feeling rebuffed, lose
enthusiasm, and will be less likely to continue operating. This is
particularly important on 2m repeaters, since that's often a point of
first contact between new people and regulars. It's also important on
HF bands, since we've all been "selling" the idea of license upgrades in
order to "earn" the right to use these bands. If the reward is to be
ignored or shunned by those already on the bands, then the upgrade
doesn't seem to have much value. Let's make sure we don't turn away
converts!

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

2170. Re: Maybe I'm mistaken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38811D93.464D0149@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121432

"radiosigs@mindspring.com" wrote:

If youse guys are

> just gonna sit around here and twiddle, then I'm outta here too.
> Doc, AF2Y

Pop the cork!!!

2171. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <fQaBOMi=ZzGrs5GXiN7JkY7S2XqB@4ax.com>
Source record: 121433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 14 Jan 2000 20:54:37 -0500, Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com> wrote:
>Was about the same when I was in those grades around 30 years ago and
>was the same way 30 years before that. But, only recently has things
>like Columbine begun happening. Guns and explosives where even far, far
>easier to get.

That's certainly true. The only paperwork required to buy a gun when I was growing up was counting the pieces of paper with pictures of dead presidents on them. I could go down to the local hardware store, pick up a gun, ammo, and a case of dynamite without the clerk blinking an eye (and did do that more than once). Most of the pickups in the parking lot of my high school had gun racks, with guns on them. It was no big deal. But we didn't have mass (or even single) shootings at school. No one tried to blow up the school. Etc. The most that ever happened was the occasional school yard fist fight. We knew guns and explosives weren't the way to solve school problems. We had intimate acquaintance with death, and knew that wasn't an appropriate solution to a non-life threatening problem at school.

The problem with many of today's kids is that they don't have an intimate acquaintance with death. They've been shielded from it, had it sanitized, or tucked away out of their view. Many have never watched a friend or relative or even an animal die. Most think meat comes from a supermarket. They don't realize a living thing had to die in order for them to enjoy their hamburger. We knew. We often pulled the trigger or wielded the knife to put food on the table. We sat with dying relatives, we helped put them in the ground. Death was a constant companion, and it made us appreciate the value of life.

Many of today's kids live in a fantasy world where death isn't permanent. Cartoon characters spring back to life, movie heros are alive again in the next picture, relatives are wisked away to the hospital and "go to heaven", etc. That's their only acquaintance with death. We knew that wasn't the way things really happen. Though we enjoyed those violent cartoons and movies as much as anyone, we knew they were only fantasy. We knew dead things rot.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2172. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: henryj@nina.pagesz.net
Message-ID: <85r3hp$ud9$1@nina.pagesz.net>
Source record: 121434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <3880012E.CD113CF0@topnow.com>,
Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote:
>
>
>AB7RS wrote:
>
>> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
>> >
>> > The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
>> >
>> > I Blame the ARRL!
>>
>>
>> Looks like whineing,crying and slothfullness do pay off,I was wrong
>> when I made statements about amateur radio not dying,it surely will now.
>> I blame the ARRL,NCI,FCC,CB,Catman,and all the hams that are too
>> lazy,too stupid,or think the average person is too lazy or stupid.
>
>Honestly, relax and back up a bit from things and try to acheive a detached
>perspective.
>This restructuring will do no more harm than the no-code tech. change did.
>
>Things will go on largely unchanged after 4/15/2000. Don't panic.
>About the only real change will be that Extra and General licenses won't
>mean quite
>as much as they used to. Dumb, lazy, or slothful people will still be kept
>out by the
>5 WPM requirements.
>
>-- Ross
>
>

What about a typing test for Usnet? 55 wpm or you can't
post because you are lazy, dumb and slothful?

--
# If HMOs ran the post office, 44.3 million Americans would get no mail. #
# Phono FAQ: http://www.pagesz.net/~henryj/phono.htm. #
# Support Medicare for All Ages. Urban Myth FAQ under development. #
# Support Cygnet Horns for Edison Firesides-george conklin, KB4NCI #
```

2173. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38812024.9FC1B461@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"T.L. Bryant" wrote:

> In article <85oletcub1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
> >
> >In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Dave Hall wrote:
> >>
> >>And that is what I was refering to. If CW usages declines appreciably,
> >>the justification for special band segments for CW will diminish as
> >>well.
> >
> >Can you name those "special band segments for CW," Dave?
> >
> >Jeff

> On HF, the "special band segments" in which CW/RTTY/FSK/digital modes only are
> permitted are as follows. (All frequencies are in Mhz.)
>
> 28.000 to 28.300
> 24.890 to 24.930
> 21.000 to 21.200
> 18.068 to 18.110
> 14.000 to 14.150
> 10.100 to 10.150
> 7.000 to 7.150
> 3.500 to 3.750
> 1.800 to 2000
>
> Tom - N8ECW

Hmmm I'm thinking more like 50.000 to 50.100 MHz, and 144.000 to 144.100 MHz. The only two I know of that are CW only. 160m includes phone from 1.8 to 2.0 MHz

ddz

2174. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Bell <bellfamily@supernet.com>
Message-ID: <3881182b@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 121436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You're missing the point. You don't have to shoot down the F-16s or Apache choppers or knock out the tank. A well organized resistance movement will not allow the F-16s, Apaches, or tanks to shoot at them!! Let the aircraft and tanks use up their resources with little or not return.

Cecil wrote about Vietnam and Afghanistan. He neglected to list Korea. Go to the library and get some books on the effects of airpower on the Korean War. While you're at it, read about Vietnam and Afghanistan.

Mark K3ZX

Chip wrote in message <3882a3f0.6438369@news.usol.com>...
>On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 15:16:27 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>wrote:
>
>>David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>>> No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have to
>>> admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
>>> it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
>>> a serious government attack.
>>
>>There were pretty serious government attacks in Vietnam and Afghanistan.
>>Two superpowers bit the dust.
>
>Yessiree Bob, a little 'Kentucky Windage" with muh Glock .45 and I'll
>blast that F16 that's trying to strafe me out of the sky ... Then I
>dress up in my bear costume and with my semi-auto 'Ought Six' I shoot
>down a couple of attacking Apache choppers ..... Then as nightfall
>comes in I sneak up on that tank , roll a big rock in between the
>track and the sprocket and pour flaming gasoline into the air vents as
>the tank helplessly moves in a circle and blast the hapless govm't
>agents as they make a flaming exit. Yessiree Bob with muh Glock and
>Hollywood on my side I have No Fear !!!

2175. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Bell <bellfamily@supernet.com>
Message-ID: <388116c1@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 121437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will --

If you really want to do something to save lives, why don't you start a campaign to ban the automobile? They sure as shootin' kill more people every year than guns do!!

Then you can work on the primary factors for heart disease. Why not start a campaing to ban all lifestyle elements that lead to heart disease.

How about abortions? I haven't checked the stats recently, but I'd lay a plug nickel that killing babies (oh excuse me, viable tissue masses....) by "govenment approved" abortions results in more murders than killings by guns.

Mark K3ZX

Will White wrote in message <388053C8.85C58B6F@mindspring.com>...
>A gun is just a tool, just as a shovel is just a tool. A shovel can be
>every bit as effective as a gun, used as a killing tool. There are
>probably more shovels in circulation than there are guns. Why aren't
>more people killed or injured with shovels?
>
>Will
>KD7BFX
>
>Gary Coffman wrote:
>
>> How we choose to exercise it is where morality enters the
>> picture. The gun is just a tool, how we choose to use it determines
>> whether it is a tool for good or evil. n

2176. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s8265kr2eh6125@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:38808953.268064010@news.dvol.com...
> Stevenson is clearly espousing elimination of the traditional modes on HF.

Please provide a quote where I said any such thing ... I have
REPEATEDLY stated that I have no agenda to, nor would I
support, ANY move to ban the use of Morse (your "traditional"
mode, per your own comments).

What I HAVE said is that it's time to make room for and stop
erecting unnecessary barriers to the introduction of new technology.

If people decide that the new technology is better and decide
to abandon the use of "the traditional modes" that's fine with me,
but it will, as far as I am concernced, be a voluntary choice on
their part(s).

I have made mention of the fact that the FCC has mandated
spectral efficency standards in the commercial services and
they could well slap the same requirements on the ARS if we
continue to waste huge amounts of VHF/UHF spectrum with
inefficient FM repeaters, many or which lie dormant 95% or
more of the time, yet "occupy" a channel (pair) to the exclusion
of other uses. Those comments were intended to stimulate
thought about adopting new technologies before they are
forced on us by the FCC, with a possible loss of amateur
spectrum as another threat.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ---
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ---
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.

Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOIEYSJe+N6+q84HiEQI5yACfUzev50sC36mCaEW+9WOHNhG+9fMAoIDQ
3+UoL6r8A9vp17gnRIx18YHW
=xxQI
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2177. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zhOBOPtYnbQkAHDjWf0qoK02dsWO@4ax.com>
Source record: 121439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 04:03:01 -0700, Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
>A gun is just a tool, just as a shovel is just a tool. A shovel can be
>every bit as effective as a gun, used as a killing tool.

At very close quarters that's true. I've dispatched many a serpent with a
sharpened spade. But I much prefer to do it from a safer distance.

>There are probably more shovels in circulation than there are guns.
>Why aren't more people killed or injured with shovels?

I expect that's partially because most urbanites don't have a good
sharp spade at hand when the need arises. But I would note that
knife attacks are more often fatal than attacks committed with
firearms. When at such close quarters, attacks are often pressed
home much more vigorously than is the case when there's a bit of
breathing room between the combatants.

I've been shot 3 times, but the only time I came close to dying was
when I faced a machette. I really don't like it when the bad guy gets
that close. He's all pumped up and doesn't realize he's dead until
after he's done you some real harm.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2178. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s826r33leh6154@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Brian" <burke1@icss.net> wrote in message
news:3880BFDF.C1156144@icss.net...
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > T.L. Bryant wrote:
> > > On HF, the "special band segments" in which CW/RTTY/FSK/digital modes
only
are
> > > permitted are as follows. (All frequencies are in Mhz.)
> > >
> > > 28.000 to 28.300
> > > 24.890 to 24.930
> > > 21.000 to 21.200
> > > 18.068 to 18.110
> > > 14.000 to 14.150
> > > 10.100 to 10.150
> > > 7.000 to 7.150
> > > 3.500 to 3.750
> > > 1.800 to 2000
> >
> > But those limitations apply to RTTY/digital, not to CW. Here are the
> > actual HF ham frequencies on which CW is allowed.
> >
> > ***ALL*** and BTW, 1.8 is not HF.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> Then why must we be tested for code to access 160M?

The only potentially valid reason is that ITU Radio Regulations S25.5
requires
a demonstration of Morse proficiency (but a NO specified speed) for amateur
licenses granting privileges in the bands BELOW 30 MHz.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
```

Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ---
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOIEbJZe+N6+q84HiEQKIaQCgosdP0O7OsqqdkfA9dN0v1uv2CvoAn2RZ
FfMNjoeTCV4KnF5dhfmkPGtu
=DQ3u
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2179. Re: FCC CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob engleton <bridgen@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <1jag4.229$38.4811@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121441

Hopefully the "sensitive government
agency" position you hold does not require
stringent reading comprehension skills.

<denefrancis@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:85q2vtqrq1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Comeonguys... This isn't worth the time and trouble! Don't you think FCC
> has a lot more to do than move child pronography, etc. FCC employees are
> a lot more knowledgable re: communications equipment, sofware, internet
> than you and I. They are certainly aware they can rather easily be
> tracked-down for posting porn, etc. on the internet. Especially thru FCC
> connections! I work for a sensitive government agency, and the NSA guys
> are on our tails every minute.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2180. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85re5b$20j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121442

But Bobby....if you are such a great shareholder of AOL then explain why you don't use it as your server? And the same for MindSpring. You actually believe you had anything to do with my exchanging MindSpring for AOL? You? For cryin' out loud, you barely can get a complete coherent sentence out on a newsgroup. You know me Youngblood-I'm vindictive when hurt and you can bet your sweet patootie I'd be knocking hell out of AOL if it had mistreated me. My problems of inconsistencies stem from months ago. One of the basic problems is that I live in the crowded northeast and although I have five access numbers, it can take me twenty minutes to log on. And if I get bumped offline by one of several reasons, once again it can take me quite a while to get back on. However AOL generally speaking for the services it provides is excellent. In fact I suggest you try it if of course you can afford to. Don't you use a rather cheap ISP down there, something regional? And Rileygotcha Polly is another hypocrite who boasts of all that bread and he doesn't use AOL either, right? For all I know you could have TRIED to write something about me to AOL although I'm sure the recipient had a hard time following it and you are entitled to think you had impact. You're a joke. What's eating you alive is the fact that I took legal action against you during the time you were harassing me by phone. Remember that Bobby Boy? I wonder if Harrison knows about it? And then I got a death threat and the next thing you knew was that you had to fork over some loot to retain a lawyer in Paterson. Remember how I went to court and your lawyer asked, "If I give you this letter of apology from my client, will that be satisfactory." I suppose that bit of supplication plus the requests for mercy on your behalf by Lenny Schaier "got" to me. They shouldn't have and the next time they won't. There will be a next time because of your nature; you can't keep out of the personal lives of your fellow man. And when you finally tick off the wrong guy, he will take you to the cleaners because the only thing you'll have left will be your boxer shorts. And I have a feeling that guy might be me. Jimmy Dale should have sued you and so should have Bob Leger but both felt sorry for you. What a shame! And how about how hard you tried to get Don Anderson tossed off ham radio by calling his probation officer while fabricating stuff about the fellow who had admitted his guilt and was on the road to rehabilitation? Did you know that for every call you made to hurt him, I made one to help and MINE worked? The reason you're sore at me is because I can read you as well as a smart quarterback reads defense. And once again, be a sport. Get on AOL or MindSpring or another major ISP instead of talking about them. The proof of the pudding is in the eating so grab a spoon.

In article <85ono7uva1@nnrp1.deja.com>, hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes, the "Docster"
>> is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to know
>> that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you about
>> tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much shareholder
>> pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is fine and
>> they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having problems
>> connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please don't
>> write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is perfect
>> and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet and
>> offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it. So I say
>> unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a paid
>> employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one number
>> on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I never get
>> a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat it's true;
>> I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and over
>> ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose this
>> rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on the
>> farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going out for
>> dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to thank all
>> of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post again.
>> To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the way I do
>> also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most fitting even
>> though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to the FCC on
>> his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if indeed he
>> still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a fond adieu
>> but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero
>> Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill will be
>> VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL. Your
>> time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
>> Great 73,
>> Doc,AF2Y
>>
>
> Doc, be very careful with the mindspring, because they have already been
>contacted and advised of you postings. I also own Microsoft stock, so the
>problem is well at hand. I did write a note to the exchange and securities to
>get information on the investment challenge. The facts will be out soon. I
>wonder why your reputation is so important with AOL, sounds like your
>contradicting yourself. The best is yet to come. Oh, do you mean "Bus stop
>Bobby" ????? Doc, you seem to be three octave higher," If you get a guy by
>the balls his heart is soon to follow"
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2181. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <85rhc3$s67$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Geez I never thought of that..... I think it does! hehe
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:85qkjo$22uk$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com...
>
> All this got me remembering that the ex-pres once vomited on the prime
> minister of Japan at social function. Does that automatically make him a
> member of B.A.R.F.?
>
> Donald Reeve

2182. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <j6cg4.338$jR6.6595@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 121444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ross Archer wrote in message <388149CC.D51B37F8@topnow.com>...
>
>
>King Pineapple wrote:
>
>> In article <85ov6h$b3g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "Brian Denley"
>> <bdenley@erols.com> wrote:
>> > He's also someone who fought for his country and got shot out of
>> > the sky.
>> > With his background he could have easily avoided service but he
>> > didn't.
>> > Give the guy some respect. He's earned it.
>> > Brian Denley
>>
>> You're wasting your time, Brian. Americans were stupid enough to
>> believe that a decorated, disabled war veteran (Bob Dole) was a greater
>> evil than Clinton in '96.
>>
>
>Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
>figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
>stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created. Real wages are going up
for
>the first
>time since 1973. (Put another way, real wages were going down during the
whole
>so-called boom of the 80's.) American productivity is the envy of the
world.
>The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!
>Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>Welfare roles are shrinking.
>Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
>
>So, looking at the "bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
>it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.
>Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.
>The American public is not dumb at all.
>
>-- Ross
>
>
>
>>

>> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
Network *
>> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>

Could be he was too busy with Monica to screw things up.

2183. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <ftdg4.246$38.5591@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

fred1@my-deja.com wrote
>Part 97 doesn't specifically address suffixes except
>in question of "instant" upgrades

CW mobiles usually ID as W7xxx/M and CW QRP stations often use W7xxx/QRP. If
these are illegal, they have been in common use for a long time...

>I wouldn't encourage such practice, [W7xxx/20]

It would be the same as signing W7xxx/JERK...

2184. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: raiar@slacc.com.nospam
Message-ID: <3880b191.12282258@news.galilei.com>
Source record: 121446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi David

Don't feel bad. I've been a Ham for some 41 years now and never understood why they ever had a code requirement over 5 wpm anyhow.

I worked 40 meter CW for about 25 of those 41 years, then I burned out on CW entirely.

Those who want to use CW and enjoy it, more power to them, but in this day in age, perhaps a typing test would be more appropos that a code test.

TTUL
Gary

2185. Re: 10 10 IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85q7qf$tt5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121482

LRod wrote in message <85ofi5$pb2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <85o933kni1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> >ITs something to do with some 10 meter "contest group" There
>> > boring qso's consist of "my 10-10 # is"What a joke!
>
>> No, the joke is that you could never have honestly passed the 5 wpm
>> code test to get on 10 meters. Now, you get it handed to you by the
>> FCC, but our only salvation is that you most likely can't afford an
HF
>> rig
>
>He still has to pass a code test to get on 10 meters. For all the
hoopla
>after the FCC R&O, passing a Morse code test is still (and will be even
>after 15 April) required to get on HF.
>
>That was the whole point of his "I guess I can cheat" post a couple of
>weeks ago.
>
>LRod

Yeah, really. I've got a few 10-10's collected, I'm still working on
gettting all 10 and submitting for membership.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2186. Re: 10 10 IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85q804$tv6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121483

kf6foz wrote in message <387fd732.3748475@news.thegrid.net>...
>I would like to know just how the FCC just hands it down? He either
>passed or didnt pass! If he is licensed to go there then it is obvious
>that he passed his test and was "Granted Priviliges" for that band or
>is listening through a receiver!
>
>It is apparent that some ones opinion hurt someone elses feelings.
>I will add my opinion as well, 10-10 # to me kinda sounds like a CB
>ten code. Are they trying to bring the CB to ham?
>
>Heh! It's not a stupid thing, but the fact that they chose 10-10 could
>have room for discussion! Just because an individual or group
>discussion is boring to you doesnt mean it is boring to all.

Lloyd the retarded toid posted that it was boring. 10-10 is the
furthest thing from CB. Get your facts straight before posting your
uninformed opinions. Lloyd the eternal Technician shouldn't worry about
10-10 numbers since he couldn't pass the required code test to get on
10 meters anyway.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2187. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <ml0g4.286$hV4.7812@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121484

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:388053C8.85C58B6F@mindspring.com...
> A gun is just a tool, just as a shovel is just a tool. A shovel can be
> every bit as effective as a gun, used as a killing tool. There are
> probably more shovels in circulation than there are guns. Why aren't
> more people killed or injured with shovels?
>
> Will
> KD7BFX
>

Sure, guns can kill. So can knives, fire, cars, poisons, household chemicals, paint, ladders, electricity, bathtubs, swimming pools, animals, reptiles, doctors, medicines, etc. These things do kill more people than firearms every year.

Three key facts that are routinely ignored by the anti gun crowd:

Less than .1 (1/10th of 1) percent of all legally owned guns in the US are ever used in a crime.

Thousands of machineguns have been registered and legally owned by private citizens in the US since the 1930's. To date, not one of these weapons has ever been used in a crime.

Without exception, in every state where people can legally carry a gun, the violent crime rate has been reduced significantly.

If the antigun crowd was truly concerned with saving lives and not just disarming the public, they would be vastly better served by going after those in the medical profession. The number of annual deaths caused by medical malpractice outnumbers deaths by firearms by a factor of 10 to 1. But since the AMA is strongly aligned with the liberal agenda in congress, and since facts do not serve the selling of the anit-gun hype, this fact is conveniently overlooked by the left.

You can sure tell that 40 years of the liberals' efforts at "dumbing down" the common man is working. Just look at all the people who actually believe that guns cause crime. It's not their fault (boy, doesn't that sound familiar) though, the ability to independently reason and logically analyze an issue was "programmed" out of them years ago by the public schools and the media.

73 de W6DKN

2188. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85q5ko$bf4$6@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 121485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > Want to bet that a No-Theory license would draw even more folks into
> > ham radio?
>
> True, but irrelevant, since the FCC didn't create a "No-Theory
> license".
>

No they did not. What they DID is to abdicate all
responsibility to W5YI and his minions on the VEC-QPC. They
can do the job with impunity.

2189. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85q6kc$i7h$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>David M. Brodbeck wrote:

>> The only purpose of a gun is to kill.

>That's not true. The other purpose is having fun visiting the
>local firing range and punching holes in targets.

Glad to see our Second Amendment Militia training in such a
rigorous fashion. But what's the upper limit of our nation's
militia? Isn't it 40 years old as defined in the CFR? If so,
it seems that gun-ownership would be limited to those under 40.

>Remember, shooting guns is an olympic sport. Nobody killed there.

There was a fellow here who participated on his high school rifle
team; he was an expert shooter. Twenty years later he walks into
his workplace, Xerox, and kills seven of his fellow workers.

Jeff

2190. The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85qbhr$50$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Those who thought the internet will be the great marketing tool are wrong. It has neither the needed bandwidth or reliability to use it for anything other than spouting off on newsgroups, sending email to avoid toll calls, chating like some sort of TTY CBer or reading the news and weather, or other "official" information.

The ARRL thinks ham radio is dying because of the internet, not because of the lousy attitudes of many hams, its own lack of advertising in public, and high equipment prices, should cool its liberal reformist impulses now and wait. Of course, that won't sell more "study materials" as the M.O. site gleefully declared this week after the 5 wpm welfare license was announced.

==========
Internet entreprenuer can't give money away

United Press International - January 14, 2000 22:27
By JOE FASBINDER UPI Business Writer LOS ANGELES, Jan. 14 (UPI) --
Internet marketer Mike Enlow
recently performed a bizarre experiment to prove how hard it
really is to make money on the Internet.
"I've always said that if you don't do everything exactly right
in your online sales campaign, you can't even sell hundred dollar bills,
let
alone a normal product," Enlow said. "People don't seem to believe me,
so I
decided to put it to the test."
Enlow tested his theory by putting up a web page offering free hundred
dollar bills for
several months to anyone who would pay the postage to have it mailed to
them -- without a single taker.
Enlow, based in Magnolia, Miss., says he's not surprised, saying he's
tired
of all the hype surrounding the Internet. The Internet, he says, is
causing unsuspecting
entrepreneurs to spend their money on a mistaken "pipedream," instead of
using the classic, proven marketing methods that can lead to success.
Enlow says he is now on a mission to correct the false beliefs that
exist
about the Internet as a marketing medium.
But the days of free hundred-dollar bills are over.
"Unfortunately the plain truth is it's hard to sell anything on the
Internet," Enlow said.
"And if you don't mind my being blunt, I'm going to tell you the main

reason
people aren't buying from you: They don't believe one darned word you
say.
"Literally. They're sick of being lied to and misled. Tired of
outrageous
offers, unsolicited E-mail spam, products that don't work as advertised
and
people who hide behind fake names and fake E-mail addresses."
Of course, there's a reason Enlow has been trying to give hundred dollar
bills away. He's selling a service -- the Masters of Marketing Inner
Circle,
which helps entrepreneurs make money on the Internet -- without having
to
give money away.
Full details on this strange experiment can be found on his Web site at
http://www.enlowcircle.com/go/fullstory, as well as a free report on how
to
maximize your profits online immediately.
--
Copyright 2000 by United Press International.
All rights reserved.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2191. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3882a3f0.6438369@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 15:16:27 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>> No matter how you feel about gun laws and the 2nd Amendment, you have to
>> admit that the armed forces are so far ahead of civilian weaponry that
>> it'd be hard for civilians to make and sort of credible resistance to
>> a serious government attack.
>
>There were pretty serious government attacks in Vietnam and Afghanistan.
>Two superpowers bit the dust.

Yessiree Bob, a little 'Kentucky Windage" with muh Glock .45 and I'll
blast that F16 that's trying to strafe me out of the sky ... Then I
dress up in my bear costume and with my semi-auto 'Ought Six' I shoot
down a couple of attacking Apache choppers ..... Then as nightfall
comes in I sneak up on that tank , roll a big rock in between the
track and the sprocket and pour flaming gasoline into the air vents as
the tank helplessly moves in a circle and blast the hapless govm't
agents as they make a flaming exit. Yessiree Bob with muh Glock and
Hollywood on my side I have No Fear !!!

2192. Re: New Written Exams?? QRT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6B0057B8CD7A76C0.A99C6FB36152B8FA.BEE6E941E46132B9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Using such a study "method", any knowledge of the subject
> matter just gets in your way. You're far better off knowing
> NOTHING about the material, as you have learned and
> described here.
>

Exactly! And, why would I (who has used just exactly that method to get ready for a ham test) be so indignant about its use? My indigence is more directed at the attitude that says the written exams are good just the way they are, while saying that CW should be a mainstay in the testing process to keep the hobby technically oriented. One has nothing to do with the other, and the tests are NOT a measure of technical knowledge, either!

I know my license was not difficult at all to get. I know more of the material now than I did at the time of the test because, at the time of the test, I knew nothing more than a right answer with a question. Indeed in many cases even the test made no sense to me.

In my case, CW is/was also an impedance to my desire to go any further in the hobby. MAYBE if I had studied for 13wpm or 20wpm up front it would have been different. But things are as they are, and I have never used CW since I had to learn it for a test. CW did nothing to make me feel any more determined to go further in the hobby than the man in the moon. I have also heard this from many, many hams who entered the hobby around the same time I did.

Dislike the way I got into the hobby all you want. That is not the point. The point is: don't use the fact that the "tests are hard enough" and that CW is the guardian of technical knowledge in the hobby as an argument. I am just one of I suspect many who are examples of why they are not.

Oh, and Dick? This isn't directed necessarily at you--but to the topic in general, and to those who believe as I stated.

73 Kim W5TIT

2193. The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85qc47hl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Big guns, little pistols.

I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry that the web is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the license structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the current crop of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.

Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps it's just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ? AndI don't mean 3950 or 14.313.

I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and beam to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their leukemia causing signals ?

Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but notno the weekends when most hobbyists are available.

Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter from the big guns.

If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks who think that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps more people would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.

It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased yagis, then station C puts the KW into his high stack.

Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and takes up model railroading.

Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the requirements are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2194. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3880b0f3_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121491

Your question about why the ham bands are nothing like CB is a good one. In my opinion, two things:

1. Testing. The real dirtbags lack the discipline.

2. The ham "culture." Most people want to fit in. This is a human trait. Almost all hams, except for a few well-known clusters on 80M and 14.313, are very courteous and "professional" in the way they operate, and simply do not want to QSO with people who behave as "CBers."

The real question is why did CB get the way it is? I don't have the answer to that. No licensing at all is plainly part of the answer, but perhaps not all.
--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All lawyers are assholes."

And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent that!"

And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"

And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."

"Cal25skipper" <Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote in message news:do_e4.1725$9T4.24387@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
> difference.
>
> I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a requirement
> (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
> what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
> them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem

> today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
>
> Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out
throught the
> classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still
takes
> more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio
Shack and
> buy a citizens band radio.
>
> It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack
a book
> and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a
littler
> higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will
always
> produce a better idividual.
>
> So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a
difference,
> because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of
theory is
> next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and
citizen's radio
> services be?
>
> Brent Scott
> N5CLK
> Austin, TX
>
>

2195. Re: I demand an answer!!!! No one has even tried yet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3880b0f8_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121492

Kim is exactly right. The ham "culture" tends to socialize people to behave as other amateurs do, i.e. courteous, professional, etc. It isn't just testing, CW or theory.
--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All lawyers are assholes."

And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent that!"

And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"

And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."

"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:y13f4.5$Bi5.820@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> Not that I'm for the end of cw requirements, but just how is CW keeping the
> ham bands from sounding like 11meters? I've yet to hear 2meters get that
> bad, even tho most of the people on the local repeaters seem to be no-code
> techs.
>
> "Cal25skipper" <Skipper3@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:do_e4.1725$9T4.24387@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> > I hope you are all correct. I hope the code requirement doesn't make a
> > difference.
> >
> > I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
> requirement
> > (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
> > what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have seen
> > them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they seem

> > today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
> >
> > Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out
throught
> the
> > classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still
takes
> > more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio
Shack and
> > buy a citizens band radio.
> >
> > It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least
crack a book
> > and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a
littler
> > higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will
always
> > produce a better idividual.
> >
> > So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a
difference,
> > because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of
theory
> is
> > next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and
citizen's
> radio
> > services be?
> >
> > Brent Scott
> > N5CLK
> > Austin, TX
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2196. Re: How to avoid being a LID when I get my extra?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3880b101_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121493

Listening a lot on the bands is far and away the best way to ensure
that you are operating properly. That and knowing the band
restrictions and how to put out a clean signal. It isn't hard. Enjoy
the hobby. Congrats on your license.
--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All lawyers
are assholes."

And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent
that!"

And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"

And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:85h9om$46u$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I suppose if I:
>
> Listen on a frequency for a while before calling CQ,
> Respect band plans (both official and gentlemens conventions)
> Listen for a while to see "how it's done" on the air,
> Be curtious(sp),
> Avoid cuss words,
> and use common sense
>
> I may be able to avoid being a LID. And learn from newbie errors
> as I make them.
>
> Any web site describing good operating practices?
> I have an old copy of the ARRL handbook.

2197. Re: FS MovingSale "EVERYthing GOTta Go"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: deadhead <me@home.somewhere>
Message-ID: <gvc18s0spga2i7qgk2jjg7nhrojlkv0g2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 121494

If you want to take it to TRW 1st, why did you bother to list it now?

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 07:30:12 GMT, radioleo@earthlink.net (KJ6HI Leo)
wrote:

> have a whole lotta stuff for sale. Its a moving sale, and everything
>must GOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!..
>
>All this equipment will be at the TRW Swap Meet on Saturday 1/29/2000
>the swap is on the corner of Aviation Blvd., and Marine Blvd in the
>city of Manhattan Beach, California times 1/29/00 Saturday 7:00 -
>11:30 AM.
>
>TRANSCEIVERS.............
>
>1. YAESU FT-902DM HF TRANCEIVER VERY NICE $460.00
>2. ICOM 211digital display, built-in p/supply 10 watt all mode 2 meter
>
> transceiver, works good $220.00
>3. Kenwood TS-700S digital display 10 watt 2 meter all mode
>
> transceiver, with a Communication Specialists TE-64
> selectable PL/Encode Unit. Built-In power supply, Kenwood
> hand microphone, and manual, WORKS great $275.00
>4. YAESU FT-127RA 220 MHZ mobile transceiver rig works $85.00
>5. ICOM IC-22S 2 meter mobile transceiver, turns on $50.00
>6. SWAN CYGNET 270 transceiver works OK $75.00
>7. COURIER GLADIATOR 40 CH CB SSB/AM $89.00
>8. TEN TEC 22 MODEL 579 20 WATT CW SOLID STATE TRANSCEIVER
> 10,15,20,30,40,80 meters. With # 979 matching power supply .
>
> Optional accessories # 679 keyer kit, and # 226 crystal
> calibrator, condition is Very Good, I don't do CW so have not
> tested it $200.00
>9. ICOM 25 H 45 watt 2 meter transceiver with comm spec pl unit in
> microphone with bracket mic, and power cable $95.00
>10. TEMPO commmercial line CL 146 15 watt 2 meter transceiver works
> manual no mic $59.00
>
>11. COLLINS S-LINE 32S-1 TRANSMITTER, 75S-1 RECEIVER, AND
> HOMEBREW POWER SUPPLY, THEY LOOK GREAT ALL ORIGINAL
> PAINT, KNOBS, AND FEET. THE FRONT PANELS LOOK FANTASIC
> WITH CABLES, AND MANUALS....$650.00
>
>ACCESSORIES........

>
>
>12. YAESU FV-901DM synthesized scanning VFO $159.00
>13. YAESU YO-901 Multiscope $159.00
>14. YAESU SP-901P external speaker $75.00
>15. YAESU YC-500J Frequency Counter $59.00
>16. YAESU black series 601 external speaker $39.00
>17. HEATH SB-220 AMPLIFIER $ 485.00
>18. PALOMAR P310X Preamplfier $50.00
>19. COURIER PORT-A-LAB mod/power/swr/field strength $37.00
>20. HAL ST-5000 $30.00
>
>WATTMETERS......
>
>21. BIRD 43 with case $175.00
>22. BIRD 100C $40.00
>23. BIRD 5OH $40.00
>24. BIRD 100H $40.00
>25. BIRD 250H $40.00
>26. BIRD 1000H $60.00
>27. BIRD 5000H $80.00
>
>28. PALOMAR PT-407 300 watt antenna/tuner $75.00
>29. AMECO 621 VFO 50/144/220 MHZ $39.00 untested, nice
>30. AMECO TX-62 $30.00 untested
>
>
>POWER SUPPLIES
>
>31. ICOM PS-30 $175.00
>32. ASTRON RS-20A $60.00
>33. ASTRON RS-20A $60.00
>34. EPS-20M 20 amp power supply $60.00
>35. SWAN PSU-1 power supply/speaker $40.00
>36. 2.5 amp power supply $9.00
>
>
>KEYERS.............
>
>
>37. AUTEK MK-1 electronic keyer $35.00
>38. LITTLE KEYER $10.00
>39. HEATH ELECTRONIC KEYER $25.00
>40. AEA MORSEMATIC KEYER $40.00
>41. TRANS-KEY # TKM-2 C.W. MONITOR & OSCILLATOR
>
>
>RECEIVERS....
>
>

>42. NATIONAL SW-54 untested fair condition $25.00
>43. HALLICRAFTERS S-95 untested fair condition $20.00
>44. HYGAIN Proscan scanner $20.00
>45. COLLINS "SOMETHING ????"
>
>
>PADDLES........
>
>45. HAM KEY $40.00
>46. BENCHER BY-1 NEEDS SPRING $30.00
>47. VIBROPLEX OLDER....$50.00
>48. OLD PADDLE NO NAME $40.00
>
>ANTENNA SWITCHES
>
>49. 6 POSITION
>50. DAIWA CS-201 2 POS.
>51. ANOTHER 2 POSITION
>52. DAIWA 2 POS
>
>53. YAESU LANDLINER BLACK 301 PHONE PATCH $30.00
>54. PALOMAR NOISE BRIDGE
>55. PALOMAR NOISE BRIDGE
>56. (2) HEATH DUMMYLOADS CANTENNA
>57. YAESU CLOCK $35.00
>58. YAESU BLACK SPEAKER $25.00
>59. KENWOOD MS-1 DC DROP IN FOR TR-2500
>60. KENWOOD SMC-25 SP/MIC
>61. ICOM HM-46 SP/MIC
>62. ICOM SM-6 DESK MIC
>
>
>
>ALL KINDS OF METERS, WATTMETERS, FIELD STRENGTH METERS, LOTS OF TVI
>FILTERS, MICROPHONES, ANTENNA SWITCHES, SOLID STATE AMPS, ROTOR BOXES,
>RACK MOUNT, MISC.............EVERYTHING.............
>
>DO NOT MISS THIS TRW SWAPMEET ON 1/29/2000 SATURDAY
>
>I WANT TO TAKE THIS STUFF TO THE SWAPMEET FIRST, BUT WILL ENTERTAIN
>ALL OFFERS.....
>
>THANK YOU, & 73,
>
>
> ALL PLUS SHIPPING CHARGES.......
>
>
> Thank You & MERRY NEW YEAR..
>

>
> Leo KJ6HI
>
>PH/FAX (310) 670-6969

2198. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <eM2g4.450$hV4.13274@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chip <chipster66@hotmail.com> wrote
> Yessiree Bob, a little 'Kentucky Windage" with muh Glock .45 and
I'll
> blast that F16 that's trying to strafe me out of the sky ... Then I
> dress up in my bear costume and with my semi-auto 'Ought Six' I
shoot
> down a couple of attacking Apache choppers ..... Then as nightfall
> comes in I sneak up on that tank , roll a big rock in between the
> track and the sprocket and pour flaming gasoline into the air vents
as
> the tank helplessly moves in a circle and blast the hapless govm't
> agents as they make a flaming exit. Yessiree Bob with muh Glock and
> Hollywood on my side I have No Fear !!!

Gun critics ALWAYS conveniently place a modern army group against an opposing citizen group isolated in some log cabin, armed with deer rifles - like the Montana bunch... SURE in such an isolated and STUPID scenario, the citizens have little chance...

BUT, merge a few hundred terrorists or other dissidents with the "ordinary" citizens walking down the streets of any major US city - from which they are free to strike out at will - and THEN describe to me exactly how marvelous those smart bombs, tanks and supersonic fighter-bombers are going to be!

What good is a fully outfitted aircraft carrier against a dozen scattered/hidden dissidents in Manhattan?

What are you gonna' DO, kill EVERY citizen in order to get the small percentage of REAL "revolutionaries" or terrorists? I suppose that "mentality" DOES make sense to the SAME folk wanting to disarm ALL citizens in order to reduce the FEW actually abusing guns in our current society...

In England, the IRA has been a thorn in the side of the British for DECADES - WHY haven't the Brits simply overwhelmed them, wiping them out with their "superior firepower"? INSTEAD, the steady, resolute dedication of the IRA has finally forced the British government into serious discussions recognizing the demands of the IRA, and active steps to correct them - would that have happened WITHOUT force of weapons by the IRA? And what is the VERY FIRST counter-demand by the Brits? Why, they want the IRA to disarm - can we guess WHY?

Dedication, stealth and determination CAN overcome VAST amounts of "superior firepower"!

As long as the *battlefield* is carefully selected...

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

2199. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3880bac6.51422892@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 121496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:

>Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>
>The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>everything handed to them on a silver platter.

actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could increase business for vendors.

2200. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3880BEDB.42CBB24B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>
> vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> >everything handed to them on a silver platter.
>
> actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
> increase business for vendors.

AND eliminate the bulk of the federal Morse code subsidy in
the process. Conservatives believe in a free market (of modes).
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2201. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3880C03C.41657FAB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chip wrote:
> Yessiree Bob, a little 'Kentucky Windage" with muh Glock .45 and I'll
> blast that F16 that's trying to strafe me out of the sky ... Then I
> dress up in my bear costume and with my semi-auto 'Ought Six' I shoot
> down a couple of attacking Apache choppers ..... Then as nightfall
> comes in I sneak up on that tank , roll a big rock in between the
> track and the sprocket and pour flaming gasoline into the air vents as
> the tank helplessly moves in a circle and blast the hapless govm't
> agents as they make a flaming exit. Yessiree Bob with muh Glock and
> Hollywood on my side I have No Fear !!!

What have you been doing that would cause F16s, Apache choppers,
and tanks to attack your house? Why wouldn't they just nuke your
house? :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2202. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3880BFDF.C1156144@icss.net>
Source record: 121499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> T.L. Bryant wrote:
> > On HF, the "special band segments" in which CW/RTTY/FSK/digital modes only are
> > permitted are as follows. (All frequencies are in Mhz.)
> >
> > 28.000 to 28.300
> > 24.890 to 24.930
> > 21.000 to 21.200
> > 18.068 to 18.110
> > 14.000 to 14.150
> > 10.100 to 10.150
> > 7.000 to 7.150
> > 3.500 to 3.750
> > 1.800 to 2000
>
> But those limitations apply to RTTY/digital, not to CW. Here are the
> actual HF ham frequencies on which CW is allowed.
>
> ***ALL*** and BTW, 1.8 is not HF.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Then why must we be tested for code to access 160M?

2203. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3880C168.5CC268F2@icss.net>
Source record: 121500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KF2TI - Steve (Landing, NJ) wrote:

> > So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All lawyers
> > are assholes."
> >
> > And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent
> > that!"
> >
> > And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"
> >
> > And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."
>
> Know why the bury lawyers 12' underground when the die and not 6?
>
> Cause deep down lawyers are good

Is this what you tell the lawyer that is representing you in the "Cut
Coax" incidence?

Or did I get the wrong Steve again (not that either one is ever right)?

2204. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3880C15E.C497B5A8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
> Dedication, stealth and determination CAN overcome VAST amounts of
> "superior firepower"!
>
> As long as the *battlefield* is carefully selected...

Moral: It's insanity to use nukes on a virus.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2205. A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85qgarqnd1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121502

At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank Todd, K3EKO and I'm sure quite a few would like to see it continue. It ended. For more than a month he and I have been communicating privately or as privately as the Internet allows and I've grown to really admire him. I learned a lot about that fine young man much of which will remain confidential and for all who do not know what I know about him, I'm saying he's highly intelligent and courageous and deserves the respect of every ham on the air and on this board which I own. I want to openly apologize to him for anything I had written negatively which includes the episode visiting Herbie on Saint Croix. There must be some good in everyone and I therefore thank Herb and Monika Schoenbohm for giving Frank a fine Caribbean vacation which he richly deserved. We hams hear voices and read posts and draw conclusions which oftimes are off base. For instance when Frank was being "wined and dined" by Al Abernethy, K3QAM and others whom I didn't particularly like, I saw him as a mere pawn who was being trained to use ham radio unlawfully. Frank was coming across abrasively and insolently which made me view him as nothing more than a punk. Something happened which put things into focus and I'll be darned if I can remember what it was but suddenly I saw him quite differently. We began e-mailing one another and I'm sure he then saw me differently too and now our friendship is etched in stone. Once again I will not divulge certain elements that changed my opinion of Mr.Todd but this I'll say. That fellow attends one of the best higher centers of learning in this nation, a school of which I'm especially proud, Penn State University. He is not in good health but is determined to take one day at a time and come through the siege. And fellow hams; he WILL-that's the kind of guy he is. And furthermore I'm going to attend his graduation and if I have to sit with "Queenie" to do it, that's just fine. Frank's welfare and success transcend any personal dislikes I have. A tip of my cap to you Frank Todd and God bless!
Doc,AF2Y

2206. Swap htx-100 w/ps for 520s or ft-101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: itzack@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <85qk51$658$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121503

I have a Nice htx-100 Radio shack 10 meter rig That has all the hardware
,box and manual.(7 out of 10) Plus a Radio shack 10 amp Power supply
That I would like to trade both for a working Kenwood 520s or an ft-101.
Please let me know if you are interested in this trade.

John Kc4npd
Itzack@hotmail.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2207. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <K7JOE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3880C73C.DC8932D@yahoo.com>
Source record: 121504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, but when the ARRL decides that it's Hiram Maxim's birthday,
we can all go around signing "stroke 200"? Who the hell is the
ARRL to decide stuff like that? If they can decide, or if they
can ask the FCC for permission to identify this way, why can't
we (the 20+ WPM, 1-percenters, Extra Class pre-April 15th) request
the same?

Joe K7JOE "stroke 20"

fred1@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <387ABBB9.8ECC8A86@worldnet.att.net>,
> "George T. Baker" <w5yr@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > I really doubt that Part 97 recognizes WXYYY/20 as a valid call sign
> to
> > use when identifying a station.
> >
> Part 97 doesn't specifically address suffixes except
> in question of "instant" upgrades where valid CSCE
> holders can use specific suffixes to indicate that
> they hold examination credit to use certain band/sub-
> bands not encompassed by the current FCC-issued license
> they hold. I wouldn't encourage such practice, to me
> it would be simply a sour grapes response to the FCC's
> elimination of the higher speed code elements.
> The elimination of higher speed code elements is a
> DONE deal, no "sour grapes" response within the
> amateur community be it form of amateurs attemping
> to identify themseleves on the air as "real" hams
> because they passed a 13 or 20 WPM code element or
> those that advocate VE's boycott participation in
> the licensing process after April 15th is going to
> make the FCC reconsider their action and restore
> higher code speed elements as part of the licensing
> requirement.
>
> ......Fred
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2208. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3880C764.C4287A57@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > True, but irrelevant, since the FCC didn't create a "No-Theory
> > license".
>
> No they did not. What they DID is to abdicate all
> responsibility to W5YI and his minions on the VEC-QPC. They
> can do the job with impunity.

Tell you what, Dick. You pay me $1 per day until written exams are eliminated and I'll pay you $1 per day after that date for the rest of our lives. Are you willing to put your money where your mouth is?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2209. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3880C6D5.68792029@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > But those limitations apply to RTTY/digital, not to CW. Here are the
> > actual HF ham frequencies on which CW is allowed.
> >
> > ***ALL*** and BTW, 1.8 is not HF.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> Then why must we be tested for code to access 160M?

I do believe the rules do NOT say "HF" like TLB did. The rules
say "below 30MHz". RF frequencies below 30MHz include 10KHz to
3MHz which are NOT HF frequencies. These include VLF, LF, and MF.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2210. Re: New Written Exams?? QRT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3880CA5E.BC1F7E64@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W5TIT Kim wrote:
> I know my license was not difficult at all to get. I know more of the
> material now than I did at the time of the test because, at the time of
> the test, I knew nothing more than a right answer with a question.
> Indeed in many cases even the test made no sense to me.

That's exactly the function of an entrance exam which is an absolute
MINIMUM entrance requirement. Now that Dick is in, he seems to me
to want to make the exams close to impossible to pass (even for him).
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2211. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: King Pineapple <craigseufNOcrSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0ca0b8b7.ebbc38b2@usw-ex0103-024.remarq.com>
Source record: 121508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <85ov6h$b3g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "Brian Denley"
<bdenley@erols.com> wrote:
> He's also someone who fought for his country and got shot out of
> the sky.
> With his background he could have easily avoided service but he
> didn't.
> Give the guy some respect. He's earned it.
> Brian Denley

You're wasting your time, Brian. Americans were stupid enough to
believe that a decorated, disabled war veteran (Bob Dole) was a greater
evil than Clinton in '96.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2212. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85qo60$8sb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121509

In article <85qgarqnd1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank
Todd, K3EKO
> and I'm sure quite a few would like to see it continue. It ended. For
more
> than a month he and I have been communicating privately or as
privately as
> the Internet allows and I've grown to really admire him. I learned a
lot
> about that fine young man much of which will remain confidential and
for all
> who do not know what I know about him, I'm saying he's highly
intelligent
> and courageous and deserves the respect of every ham on the air and
on this
> board which I own. I want to openly apologize to him for anything I
had
> written negatively which includes the episode visiting Herbie on
Saint
> Croix. There must be some good in everyone and I therefore thank Herb
and
> Monika Schoenbohm for giving Frank a fine Caribbean vacation which he
richly
> deserved. We hams hear voices and read posts and draw conclusions
which
> oftimes are off base. For instance when Frank was being "wined and
dined" by
> Al Abernethy, K3QAM and others whom I didn't particularly like, I
saw him
> as a mere pawn who was being trained to use ham radio unlawfully.
Frank was
> coming across abrasively and insolently which made me view him as
nothing
> more than a punk. Something happened which put things into focus and
I'll be
> darned if I can remember what it was but suddenly I saw him quite
> differently. We began e-mailing one another and I'm sure he then saw
me
> differently too and now our friendship is etched in stone. Once again
I will
> not divulge certain elements that changed my opinion of Mr.Todd but
this
> I'll say. That fellow attends one of the best higher centers of

learning in
> this nation, a school of which I'm especially proud, Penn State
University.
> He is not in good health but is determined to take one day at a time
and
> come through the siege. And fellow hams; he WILL-that's the kind of
guy he
> is. And furthermore I'm going to attend his graduation and if I have
to sit
> with "Queenie" to do it, that's just fine. Frank's welfare and
success
> transcend any personal dislikes I have. A tip of my cap to you Frank
Todd
> and God bless!
> Doc,AF2Y
>

If he's turned it around, good job, Frank.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2213. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <85qkjo$22uk$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 121510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

All this got me remembering that the ex-pres once vomited on the prime minister of Japan at social function. Does that automatically make him a member of B.A.R.F.?

Donald Reeve

"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:SGKf4.2858$eO5.38866@news1.mia...
> I don't know if he is a ham but i can tell what he is
>
> 33rd degree mason
> member of Council of Foreign Relations (CFR)
> Bilderburgers
> member of "skull and bones" (another secret society)
> Trilateral commission
> Atlantic Alliance
>
> I've done my homework on the "fabian socialists"
>
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Daniel J. Morlan wrote in message
> <85l53todk1@newssrv2.hrz.uni-oldenburg.de>...
> >I was scanning through the Ham bands Wednesday afternoon and immediately
> (in
> >the 19K area) I heard that familiar voice. Talking about lobbying, and

how
> >Barb's getting a puppy soon... A little about air travel, etc... It was
> >really fun to hear, and I'd have sworn this is was George Bush Sr! Does
> >anyone know if he actually IS a Ham or not?
> >
> >
>
>

2214. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <3880CBFC.F5646537@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 121511

"radiosigs@mindspring.com" wrote:

> At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank Todd, K3EKO
>

I find NO K3EKO in the callbook are you sure of this callsign?

>
> Doc,AF2Y

--
Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
http://www.harvardrepeater.org
http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

2215. FA on eBay: Collins 75S-3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: double_hat_trick@mydeja.com
Message-ID: <3880daed.2229432@news.fix.net>
Source record: 121512

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=235491827

2216. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85qr6j$at0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121513

In article <85qgarqnd1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank
Todd, K3EKO
> and I'm sure quite a few would like to see it continue. It ended. For
more
> than a month he and I have been communicating privately or as
privately as
> the Internet allows and I've grown to really admire him. I learned a
lot
> about that fine young man much of which will remain confidential and
for all
> who do not know what I know about him, I'm saying he's highly
intelligent
> and courageous and deserves the respect of every ham on the air and
on this
> board which I own. I want to openly apologize to him for anything I
had
> written negatively which includes the episode visiting Herbie on
Saint
> Croix. There must be some good in everyone and I therefore thank Herb
and
> Monika Schoenbohm for giving Frank a fine Caribbean vacation which he
richly
> deserved. We hams hear voices and read posts and draw conclusions
which
> oftimes are off base. For instance when Frank was being "wined and
dined" by
> Al Abernethy, K3QAM and others whom I didn't particularly like, I
saw him
> as a mere pawn who was being trained to use ham radio unlawfully.
Frank was
> coming across abrasively and insolently which made me view him as
nothing
> more than a punk. Something happened which put things into focus and
I'll be
> darned if I can remember what it was but suddenly I saw him quite
> differently. We began e-mailing one another and I'm sure he then saw
me
> differently too and now our friendship is etched in stone. Once again
I will
> not divulge certain elements that changed my opinion of Mr.Todd but
this
> I'll say. That fellow attends one of the best higher centers of

learning in
> this nation, a school of which I'm especially proud, Penn State
University.
> He is not in good health but is determined to take one day at a time
and
> come through the siege. And fellow hams; he WILL-that's the kind of
guy he
> is. And furthermore I'm going to attend his graduation and if I have
to sit
> with "Queenie" to do it, that's just fine. Frank's welfare and
success
> transcend any personal dislikes I have. A tip of my cap to you Frank
Todd
> and God bless!
> Doc,AF2Y
>
Doc,

You owe Herb Schoenbohm a thank you for helping put Frank on the correct path. One day Frank was on 20ssb from Herb's station. I was on frequency and broke in to say hello to Herb. Herb said would I like to talk with Franky, I declined. He asked why...and I told him I didn't appreciate how he treated me on the air without him even having a callsign (at that time). A short pause later Frank came on the air and apologized to me. I accepted and from then on have been in contact with him via e-mail.

As for him talking with you and some of the "others in our camp" ...he and I discussed it and he made the proper moves..with some minor help from me.

Frank Todd is a good young man now, and a asset to amateur radio.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2217. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85qra7$av9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121514

In article <3880CBFC.F5646537@cmcorp.com>,
"Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com> wrote:
>
>
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" wrote:
>
> > At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank
Todd, K3EKO
> >
>
> I find NO K3EKO in the callbook are you sure of this callsign?
>
> >
> > Doc,AF2Y
>
> --
> Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
> ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
> http://www.harvardrepeater.org
> http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html
>
>
He received his general call of KB3EKO, and under the vanity system he
is now K3EKO.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2218. WTB: Viking Valiant with SSB Adaptor.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill bryant <willbryant@msn.com>
Message-ID: <OjwyOw5X$GA.265@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 121515

```
WTB: Viking Valiant with SSB Adaptor.
This for my personal station ... I am not a collector.
Bill
```

2219. Re: How to avoid being a LID when I get my extra?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "aaa W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FAE3345102CEC090.E55D5247688F696C.E899E18BC8E319A4@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121516

Hi Robert! I always plug the "ARRL Operating Manual" because I think
it's THE best book I ever read when I was waiting for my ticket after I
passed my tests. It'll give you firsthand knowledge on a little about
everything ham. It's a great book...

73 Kim W5TIT
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:85h9om$46u$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I suppose if I:
> >
> > Listen on a frequency for a while before calling CQ,
> > Respect band plans (both official and gentlemens conventions)
> > Listen for a while to see "how it's done" on the air,
> > Be curtious(sp),
> > Avoid cuss words,
> > and use common sense
> >
> > I may be able to avoid being a LID. And learn from newbie errors
> > as I make them.
> >
> > Any web site describing good operating practices?
> > I have an old copy of the ARRL handbook.

2220. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3880E6EB.188D63B3@cats-net.com>
Source record: 121517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> Jeff,
>
> Its abundantly obvious that you and a few others are really bitter
> about the FCC's decision to step down its Morse recruiting
> campaign which you've relied on for so many years to bring
> new CW ops into ham radio.
>
> However, the "No-Theory" stuff is VERY old GARBAGE
> which has been thrashed about by the PCTA for a LONG time.
>
> The FCC has also QUITE SOUNDLY made it clear that they
> DO view the ARS as "a primarily technical service."
>
> "We are persuaded that because the amateur service is fundamentally
> a technical service, the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a
> licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of
> the service. We note, moreover, that the design of modern
> communications systems, including personal communication services,
> satellite, fiber optic, and high definition television systems, are based
> on
> digital communication technologies. We also note that no communication
> system has been designed in many years that depends on hand-keyed
> telegraphy or the ability to receive messages in Morse code by ear.
> In contrast, modern communication systems are designed to be
> automated systems. Given the changes that have occurred in
> communications in the last fifty years, we believe that reducing the
> emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow
> the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined
> persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to
> learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States
> needs expertise."
>
> Thus, is is INCONCEIVABLE that the FCC would entertain ANY
> plan for a "no-theory" license, becaue it would be DIRECTLY counter
> to their primary goals for the service.
>
> SO GIVE THE PCTA FUD A REST, OK???

WHY SHOULD ANYONE NOT SPEAK HIS MIND BECAUSE YOU GO TO CAPITAL
LETTERS???

The FCC changed its mind in lowering the code standards. There is nothing to keep it from doing the same with written exams. A step at a time, Carl.

Strive for mediocrity!

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

2221. Re: New Written Exams?? QRT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3880E941.B7B2D42E@cats-net.com>
Source record: 121518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W5TIT Kim wrote:

> Exactly! And, why would I (who has used just exactly that method to > get
> ready for a ham test) be so indignant about its use? My indigence is...

Sorry, Kim. None of us realized that you were indigent.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

2222. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf2ti1126@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85qu79cvb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3880C168.5CC268F2@icss.net>,
burke1@icss.net wrote:
> KF2TI - Steve (Landing, NJ) wrote:
>
> > > So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All
lawyers
> > > are assholes."
> > >
> > > And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent
> > > that!"
> > >
> > > And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"
> > >
> > > And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."
> >
> > Know why the bury lawyers 12' underground when the die and not 6?
> >
> > Cause deep down lawyers are good
>
> Is this what you tell the lawyer that is representing you in the "Cut
> Coax" incidence?
>
> Or did I get the wrong Steve again (not that either one is ever
right)?

Dear Pinky, glad Lennie saw fit to send you to the market for more of
his Prep H and Depends.

I didn't need no stinkin lawyer for this. Why call someone like little
Roger B, defender of the drug pusher and child molester when it was so
simple to handle myself. Anyway, the admitted vandel has made
sufficent restitution and the new Yaesu 1000MP is doing really REALLY
great. So much better than that old 940 that "died" because the SWR
protection circuits failed.

As to your final comment, the truth be know, is that both Steve and I
can change and be right all the time, you must suffer with the
knowledge that no matter what you do, you'll always be a little wiseass
butt kisser. Must suck so much to be you. But then again, you could
be PortaJohnnie, the merely great KC2FNG or that wonderous spell
checker, W5(breast), who seems to spell a great deal wrong. Still, all
in all, I know no one who'd want to be you.

Ok Pinky, Len needs changing. Seems he's on his historical "I've been on HF" kick and you know he pees like a puppy when he gets excited.

Back to work Pinky...I'm back up the hill for a bit of night skiing. Conditiond great but a tad chilly for some of the low landers. The geeks are amazed al the people running around in the backyard having a snowball fight in their swim suits, but then again, the hot tubs set for 110F. It's only $$$$

toodles Brain. Glad you maintained that childish demeanor into the new year. Heavens knoes what we'd do if you actually turned sub-human

Give my regards to the geezerly one. glad he made it. Reminds me of elmer fudd that old one does. Always trying to catch the wabbit but never can. Can't pay enough for the entertainment the two of you funsters provide. never change

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2223. There but for the grace of God....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: sbukosky@execpc.com
Message-ID: <3880ec73$0$1400@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 121520

>From the Journal Sentinel
>Last Updated: Jan. 14, 2000
>Whether prankish or inadvertent, the broadcast of noises, including vulgarities, into the sound system of St. Hyacinth's Catholic Church on the near south side should cease, pronto. The nearby citizens band radio operator, whoever he is, certainly has heard by now of the disruptions he (the voice is male) has caused to church services. So continuation of the problem would leave no doubt that the operator is up to mischief. The Federal Communications Commission has agreed to investigate, thank goodness, and, if the problem continues, should deal sternly with the culprit, once located. Disrupting prayer with profanities? Worldly authorities may not be all this CB operator has to worry about.
>
>* * *

Steve Bukosky N9BGH

Steve Bukosky
sbukosky@execpc.com
http://www.execpc.com/~sbukosky/

2224. Re: Disband B.A.R.F?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Qf6g4.5982$8z5.74024@news4.mia>
Source record: 121521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I just want to say that i'm no longer intetested in name calling, fighting
etc. I just want people to quit making fun of me!?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>With all this "goodwill" on here - I think it's time we no longer need
>B.A.R.F. ?
>
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
>Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
>Athens, AL 35611
>http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
>http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
>http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
>http://www.adl-ci.org/
>http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
>http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
>http://www.3950.net/
>http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>http://www.qth.com/dwm/
>http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

>http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

2225. Disband B.A.R.F?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ae6g4.5978$8z5.74042@news4.mia>
Source record: 121522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

With all this "goodwill" on here - I think it's time we no longer need
B.A.R.F. ?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

2226. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <3880ed01.8675289@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Jan 2000 15:34:18 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> It's abundantly clear that you think you've got it all
>figured out but clearlyhave no clue. Or that you're invovled
>in a very dense smoke screening project.
>
You caught the wave, Dick It's called wall-to-wall spread spectrum.
"We're" in his way because we know better. Dumb it down far enough
they'd have no clue and he'd have it knocked,
>
>
. . . .
>
> Crawl back under your rock, Squiggy. And watch your rear.
>You are now expendable. You've fulfilled your desired
>function in The Cause.
>
He ain't even started. Watch it happen.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

2227. Re: There but for the grace of God....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85qsdioaa1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121524

He was just studying for his extra class...he gets mad cause there are more than 3 questions and he thinks its unfair.

K0FL

Steve Bukosky wrote in message <3880ec73$0$1400@news.execpc.com>...
>>From the Journal Sentinel
>>Last Updated: Jan. 14, 2000
>>Whether prankish or inadvertent, the broadcast of noises, including vulgarities, into the sound system of St. Hyacinth's Catholic Church on the near south side should cease, pronto. The nearby citizens band radio operator, whoever he is, certainly has heard by now of the disruptions he (the voice is male) has caused to church services. So continuation of the problem would leave no doubt that the operator is up to mischief. The Federal Communications Commission has agreed to investigate, thank goodness, and, if the problem continues, should deal sternly with the culprit, once located. Disrupting prayer with profanities? Worldly authorities may not be all this CB operator has to worry about.
>>
>>* * *
>
>Steve Bukosky N9BGH
>
>Steve Bukosky
>sbukosky@execpc.com
>http://www.execpc.com/~sbukosky/
>

2228. Maybe I'm mistaken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85qut6$4ie$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121525

Seems to me that this NG is getting BORING. I'm I wrong or ain't my eyeballs exciting enuff? I'm not talking necessarily about "flame" but rather some topics of stimulating interest. It's like the pizazz or is it pizzaz has gone-like a blimp losing air. I'm afraid this NG is going the way of the one to which we were directed by Jeff Herman -the rec.radio.flamers or something like that. And it looks as if the BARF board died too. If youse guys are just gonna sit around here and twiddle, then I'm outta here too.
Doc, AF2Y

2229. Re: Disband B.A.R.F?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38810EFE.FC47F204@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121526

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> I just want to say that i'm no longer intetested in name calling, fighting
> etc. I just want people to quit making fun of me!?

If you really want people to quit making fun, then stop with the silly ass nonsense you post here everyday.

You've shown the world your ass and now your surprized everyones laughing?
Sheeesh.

ddz

2230. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3881020C.5579247A@icss.net>
Source record: 121527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yo BD. Does that mean "Big Dumbass?" Follow the frigging thread. The previous poster said -HF-. Are you the other "half of Dick's hogg ass?" Hell, I'll even bet you know code, so we have yet more proof that knowing code doesn't make you smarter or nicer. Sounds like your code study was for naught. Get with the program or shutup!

I'm sooo tired of you PCTA jerks. You're coming out of the woodwork like carpenter ants on Raid to antagonize any Technician that you previously forgot to despise. Have a nice life.

no 73s,
Brian

BD wrote:

> You think that CW=HF?... What if they decide on a CW requirement (which they
> could, I guess) for the 'microwave freqs'?... Will everything then be HF? ;)
> Sounds like your question-pool memorizing didn't last very long.....
>
> "Brian" <burke1@icss.net> wrote in message > >
> > >
> > > ***ALL*** and BTW, 1.8 is not HF.
> > > --
> > > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> > >
> > Then why must we be tested for code to access 160M?

2231. Re: New Written Exams?? QRT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38810283.EA620430@icss.net>
Source record: 121528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dave Heil wrote:

> W5TIT Kim wrote:
>
> > Exactly! And, why would I (who has used just exactly that method to > get
> > ready for a ham test) be so indignant about its use? My indigence is...
>
> Sorry, Kim. None of us realized that you were indigent.
>
> Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>
> --
> For 5H3US photos, go to:
> http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/
>
> For info on K8MN go to:
> http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999
>
> For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
> http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

In a few more months, Kim and Vietnam Vets will be joined at the frigerator box park by Slick Willie.

2232. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <0p7g4.222$jR6.4659@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 121529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

King Pineapple wrote in message
<0ca0b8b7.ebbc38b2@usw-ex0103-024.remarq.com>...
>In article <85ov6h$b3g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "Brian Denley"
><bdenley@erols.com> wrote:
>> He's also someone who fought for his country and got shot out of
>> the sky.
>> With his background he could have easily avoided service but he
>> didn't.
>> Give the guy some respect. He's earned it.
>> Brian Denley
>
>You're wasting your time, Brian. Americans were stupid enough to
>believe that a decorated, disabled war veteran (Bob Dole) was a greater
>evil than Clinton in '96.

Us intelligent Americans wern't. Just the dumb majority got hoodwinked by
slick Willy.

>* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network
*
>The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>

2233. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <388106C2.C7A99034@icss.net>
Source record: 121530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <3880C168.5CC268F2@icss.net>,
> burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > KF2TI - Steve (Landing, NJ) wrote:
> >
> > > > So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All
> lawyers
> > > > are assholes."
> > > >
> > > > And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent
> > > > that!"
> > > >
> > > > And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"
> > > >
> > > > And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."
> > >
> > > Know why the bury lawyers 12' underground when the die and not 6?
> > >
> > > Cause deep down lawyers are good
> >
> > Is this what you tell the lawyer that is representing you in the "Cut
> > Coax" incidence?
> >
> > Or did I get the wrong Steve again (not that either one is ever
> right)?
>
> Dear Pinky, glad Lennie saw fit to send you to the market for more of
> his Prep H and Depends.
>
> I didn't need no stinkin lawyer for this. Why call someone like little
> Roger B, defender of the drug pusher and child molester when it was so
> simple to handle myself. Anyway, the admitted vandel has made
> sufficent restitution and the new Yaesu 1000MP is doing really REALLY
> great.

Is THAT all you got out of the deal? You really should have gotten a real
attorney. Let me know when you're MP display goes out. I've got the fix.

> So much better than that old 940 that "died" because the SWR
> protection circuits failed.

No doubt listed on e-bay as "in perfect working condition." Insurance fraud
is a crime, not that you would go to that extreme.

And the retraction of the NCI slander and lies comes when?

2234. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <38810E0A.A7400CB@inreach.com>
Source record: 121531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

you could sign it 'stroke-off' for all anyone really cares.

Joe wrote:
>
> No, but when the ARRL decides that it's Hiram Maxim's birthday,
> we can all go around signing "stroke 200"? Who the hell is the
> ARRL to decide stuff like that? If they can decide, or if they
> can ask the FCC for permission to identify this way, why can't
> we (the 20+ WPM, 1-percenters, Extra Class pre-April 15th) request
> the same?
>
> Joe K7JOE "stroke 20"
>
> fred1@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > In article <387ABBB9.8ECC8A86@worldnet.att.net>,
> > "George T. Baker" <w5yr@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > > I really doubt that Part 97 recognizes WXYYY/20 as a valid call sign
> > to
> > > use when identifying a station.
> > >
> > Part 97 doesn't specifically address suffixes except
> > in question of "instant" upgrades where valid CSCE
> > holders can use specific suffixes to indicate that
> > they hold examination credit to use certain band/sub-
> > bands not encompassed by the current FCC-issued license
> > they hold. I wouldn't encourage such practice, to me
> > it would be simply a sour grapes response to the FCC's
> > elimination of the higher speed code elements.
> > The elimination of higher speed code elements is a
> > DONE deal, no "sour grapes" response within the
> > amateur community be it form of amateurs attemping
> > to identify themseleves on the air as "real" hams
> > because they passed a 13 or 20 WPM code element or
> > those that advocate VE's boycott participation in
> > the licensing process after April 15th is going to
> > make the FCC reconsider their action and restore
> > higher code speed elements as part of the licensing
> > requirement.
> >
> > ......Fred
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

> > Before you buy.

2235. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85oorevoj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121532

In article <85ohei$cjfs$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>,
"Brad Dyson" <n4trc@prodigy.net> wrote:

> Ten-Ten International is an amateur radio club that does little more
> than swap ten ten numbers between members...

Every one is entitled to an opinion, even a wrong one. Since a
fundamental part of all 10-10'ing activity is the exchange of numbers
(much like exchanging signal reports in DXing, but more demanding)
including the nets, the QSO parties, and number collecting, etc., you
are partially correct, but misleading.

> and ultimately they have succeeded in making the 'novice voice'
> subband a members only club...

If you want to fish, you go where the fish are. But I think your
characterization of a "members only club" is inaccurate. Of course since
the whole of your amateur existance is comprised of only one solar
cycle, I can see why your experience during two nadirs would lead you to
believe that only 10-10ers are there. Doing what the organization was
founded to do.

> Many 10-10 members won't give you the time of day if you do not have a
> membership number...

There are lids on every band. I'm sorry you feel as if you've been
treated that way. It's not been my experience.

> whenever I operate 10, I do one of two things: make up a number
> (usually 4 digits ought to do it),

Hmmm, would you characterize that as an honorable action? Or something a
lid would do?

> or stay out of the Novice section if you can (although they often
> creep up in the General portions, too!).

I believe that sub-band is often referred to as the Novice/Technician
subband, although amateurs of every class are authorized to use it.
Oddly enough, Generals and above can operate above 28.500 MHz. Even if
they have 10-10 numbers.

> They pretend to represent ALL of the ten meter band,

They do no such thing. Their raison d'etre is to promote 10 meter
activity. Their sole arena is 10 meters. They don't claim to represent
anyone.

> but I have NEVER heard a 10-10 member on 10 meter CW bands,

Doesn't mean they're not there, and what does that have to do with anything anyway?

> ...and as I recall, the organization never really came around until
> the mid eighties 'Novice Enhancement' gave ten a 'boost'.

Well, the organization was formed in 1962, in the bottom of the sunspot cycle. The 11 meter band had only recently been reallocated which many hams were very upset about. With little activity on 10 during the nadir of the cycle (then as now) the organization strove to kick it up a notch so that we didn't lose 10, too.

Of course during the sunspot peaks (1968, 1979, 1990, and a couple of years either side) the 10-10 group wasn't needed to spur activity; there was plenty. but in the periods in between, early 70s, middle 80s, middle 90s, they provided a lot with nets, QSO parties, and paper chasing activities.

The 10,000th number was given out in about 1976, the 25,000th number in about 1981, and the 40,000th number in about 1985, so I guess there was *some* activity before Novice Enhancement in 1987 (I call that "late 80s", but why quibble) which was about when you were first licensed. Coincidentally, the new cycle was on its upswing about then. Do you think the 'boost' in activity on 10 was a product of that, and not Novice Enhancement? Or a combination? Or might your perspective be a bit skewed?

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2236. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387fd0ed.2143283@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah, I guess that would be hard to send CW on a dtmf pad! heh!

On 14 Jan 2000 16:56:45 GMT, David M. Brodbeck <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
wrote:
>In rec.radio.shortwave kf6foz <cobra@budweiser.com> wrote:
>> We dont send it over the phone do we?
>
>--> Well, you *could*, but it's hard to get much speed when keying a
>DTMF pad.
>

2237. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85ola3cqo1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Jeremy <oldrbigbro@lvcm.com> wrote:

>When the nocode-Tech was introduced the amount of hams operators increased
>dramatically. Infact nocodes became the largest of the licensed groups.

Want to bet that a No-Theory license would draw even more folks into
ham radio?

>So I look at it like this. In the long run this is going to create
>MORE hams to help fight off the communication giants of the world who wish
>to take our freq. for there gain.

Name the "communication giants" who wish to take our HF frequencies.

>WE HAMS ARE STRONGER IN NUMBERS!

Stronger to do what? We received our present-day HF allocations with
less than half the licensees we have now. More HF spectrum is being
considered for us as we speak (NTIA proposal).

Jeff

2238. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85oletcub1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Dave Hall wrote:
>
>And that is what I was refering to. If CW usages declines appreciably,
>the justification for special band segments for CW will diminish as
>well.

Can you name those "special band segments for CW," Dave?

Jeff

2239. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387fd4b6.3112617@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121536

To those who keep complaining about the restructuring and that the ham bands are gonna be taken over by CB'ers!!

On Fri, 14 Jan 2000 08:26:01 -0500, "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net> wrote:
>Who are you talking too?
>
>kf6foz wrote:
>
>> How technical is it to go to HRO and buy all your equipment and go
>> home then hook it up and be on the air? Half of the technical stuff in
>> the exams an avg. amateur doesnt even use but im not complaining till
>> some like this want more technical exams!! You are killing your own
>> band buy bitching about how easy it is, but you werent complaining
>> when you took the test. Stop preeching and start teaching!!
>>
>> You are not at a higher level! You are no different than a CB'er! Only
>> thing is you have a license to use ham bands and they dont. If every
>> single CB op went to take a test for there ticket, I guarantee more
>> than 50% of them would pass.The other 50% would probably fail because
>> they have no interest or are bored and dont care for ham radio and
>> just want to get back to there CB.
>>
>> I believe the FCC did a good job except for the fact of only
>> requireing 5wpm. Why do we need 13wpm for General and Advanced?
>> Why not just leave 13wpm for general, get rid of advanced all together
>> and leave 20wpm for extra. I bet that would make you feel alot better
>> cause it would make you feel like your better than the rest because
>> you can send faster than the next guy! Doesnt that show when you go to
>> the cw bands and paddle away? I have interes in code for one reason
>> only and that is to get my HF priviliges. I dont want anything to do
>> with the CW portions on any band, just voice! Is there a problem why
>> you can't comprehend that? The DMV doesnt make me take a test for a
>> motorcycle when I want to drive a car!!! Nor do they make me take a
>> test to drive a big rig either!
>>
>> If I was to restructure the ham license, I would create a way that all
>> who are intersted can get involved. The FCC started the right thing
>> with the no-code tech but didnt finish to include voice portions of
>> the HF bands! If I was licensed to participate in the HF bands, I
>> would be able to listen to QSO's and practice live on the air with
>> someone instead of having to listen to some tape or a computer program
>> that does the same thing over and over! Did I mention that 95% of
>> humans have a better learning ability doing it hands on? Dont ruin ham

>> radio with meaningless phsycobabble and repeat post from other users!
>> Post positive towards ham radio and keep it open to new users as well
>> as old farts alike! You cant have it your way, It is everyones way or
>> the highway! Either deal with it or sit on your frequency and listen!
>> Either way, you are a ham and will help to keep the band alive, active
>> or not!! Just remember, Participants Prosper!!
>>
>> 73's de KF6FOZ
>

2240. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <85oq80mq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121537

In article <TTLf4.1337$Lm3.16452@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>,
"N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:

> Just remember, most of these Extra class hams will be dead in 10
> years.

Unlikely. But even if it's true, what's your point? So will a lot
of Techs, Generals, Novices, Advanced, and even people who never
had a license at all.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2241. Re: Callsign xxTIT (was END of Ham Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387fd64f.3521681@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121538

Hmm! W5TIT? Mono or Stereo? I got to see this on QRZ! Brb!
tick...tick...tick...
WHHOOOOOAAAAA!!!!
No thank you!!

On Fri, 14 Jan 2000 14:52:47 -0500 (EST), Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew
Bathwater) wrote:

>Everyone knows why you applied for W5TIT, Kim. To draw attention to
>yourself....
>
>(Drew)
>

2242. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85ompq$e3o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu> wrote:
>"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
> first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
> every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

So you, too, read Time magazine? Your tag line appeared word for word from
Page 47 of the December 31, 1999 issue of Time, except they used a semi-
colon instead of a comma after "chief."

2243. Re: 10 10 IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <387fd732.3748475@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121540

I would like to know just how the FCC just hands it down? He either passed or didnt pass! If he is licensed to go there then it is obvious that he passed his test and was "Granted Priviliges" for that band or is listening through a receiver!

It is apparent that some ones opinion hurt someone elses feelings. I will add my opinion as well, 10-10 # to me kinda sounds like a CB ten code. Are they trying to bring the CB to ham?

Heh! It's not a stupid thing, but the fact that they chose 10-10 could have room for discussion! Just because an individual or group discussion is boring to you doesnt mean it is boring to all.

On Fri, 14 Jan 2000 22:48:08 GMT, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>Stupidass Lloyd Davies the fatass wrote in message ...
>>ITs something to do with some 10 meter "contest group" There >boring
>qso's
>consist of "my 10-10 # is"What a joke!
>No, the joke is that you could never have honestly passed the 5 wpm code
>test to get on 10 meters. Now, you get it handed to you by the FCC,
>but our
>only salvation is that you most likely can't afford an HF rig
>
>
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2244. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85onfcejp1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121541

GG <vintage_radiox@hotmail.com> wrote:
>The initial idea of 10-10 was dreamed up some years back to promote use
>of 10 meters during low sunspot cycles. Not a bad idea, but it has
>gotten out of hand and gone way beyone 10-10 numbers. They now have
>these crazy numbers for everything you can think of and if you want to
>have a qso with a number hunter, forget it. The extent of their contacts
>are "here is my (high-roller, steal town, social security, drivers
>license, or whatever) number, good for xxx points." They are only
>interested in collecting as many of these meaningless numbers as they
>can to write down in a log book. Someday they can show their grand
>children, "look at this, I got four 100 page books all filled with these
>numbers, isn't that great!!"

GG, why not solicite some opinions from the good folks on here about your pasttime, "Vintage Radio," i.e., tube-type gear. Care to bet that it's not everyone else's "cup of tea"? Will your grandchildren be any more impressed with your boatanchors than with the books filled with numbers that you speak of?

Filling 10m, with its 1.9 MHz width, with lots of happy operators should not be condemned by anyone.

Jeff KH6O

2245. Re: 10 10 ID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: GG <vintage_radiox@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <387FDB6C.566B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121542

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> GG, why not solicite some opinions from the good folks on here about
> your pasttime, "Vintage Radio," i.e., tube-type gear. Care to bet that
> it's not everyone else's "cup of tea"? Will your grandchildren be any
> more impressed with your boatanchors than with the books filled with
> numbers that you speak of?
>
> Filling 10m, with its 1.9 MHz width, with lots of happy operators should
> not be condemned by anyone.

Well my pasttime is not boatanchors, although I do have a vintage Collins AM station, but it is vintage broadcast radios of which I have over 75 right here in the room with me. All restored and working. Nice cathedrals, tombstones, Bakelite, and sets that date back to the early 1920's. And yes, my grandchildren are impressed with them, and yes, I know they are more impressed with the old radios than they would be of a book full of numbers, they are young, but not stupid.

2246. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: liam@ork.net
Message-ID: <K6Sf4.3527$Ef6.908826@news.shore.net>
Source record: 121543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.scanner Yeah Right <yeahright@dopefish.com> wrote:
> I am suggesting that morse code is another language in itself, and
> learning a language is harder than improving the language skills!
> The post was to explain that The restructuring
> isn't changing the difficulty level of passing 5wpm.
> In other words, Passing 5wpm is harder to pass than 13/20 wpm.
> Therefore I must ask you, Did that answer your question or was I not
> reading your question right?

There is a world of difference between 5 wpm and 13/20 wpm.

At 5 wpm you can still get away with thinking about each letter after it
is transmitted. By 13, and quite definitely by 20, you had better be well
integrated with the code, recognizing the "sound" of patterns, or you will
fail quite miserably.

2247. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <85os58$74q$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 121544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mad Nomad wrote:
:
: I would love to hear a CB'er who really knew how to use the 10-codes.
: The code 10-20 means "What's your location?" Can't tell how many
: times I've heard "What's your twenty?" It's like saying "What's your
: what's your location?"

Such CBers exist. You also hear hams say "What's your QTH?" - which
means "What's your what is your QTH." Just as bad, poor usage abounds
in both radio services.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2248. Re: Updated Motorola HT-220 Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <387ff86f.7855877@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 121545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Tom,

I've worked on 100s, 200s, 220s and many other portables over the years. All of them can be a bear to work on if you are not experienced with working on portable equipment. Hey, the first time I worked on a piece of equipment with surface-mount stuff I cussed up blue streak (my language darn near desoldered the parts without the soldering station :)). Now, it is second nature.

I'll totally agree with you that most modern handhelds have poorer RF performance than the 70s vintage radios like the 220, both TX and RX. However, those "bells and whistles" is what sells radios.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

Tom Mills <tmills@flash.net> wrote:

>A what to work on on?
>
>I've worked on many HT220s, couldn't be easier to work on, clean straight
>forward circuits, exceptional performance. These old crystal controlled
>radios make a mockery of "modern" synthesized radios. A HT220 with its 45 to
>50 db signal to noise ratio really sounds good compare to todays synthesized
>radios with maybe 30 db S/N ratio.
>
>Oh well, the price for progress..
>
>Tom K6LHE
>
>dchopper wrote:
>
>> >mikeyht220@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> >The HT-220 Page has been updated with UHF tuning procedures and more
>> >articles in the Tech Tips section.
>> >
>> >This website has information including model tables, frequency
>> >conversions, parts and accessories, manual numbers, tech tips and
>> >stories.
>> >
>> >See http://www.batnet.com/mfwright/HT220.html
>> >

>> >Back in the 20th century when only women wore earrings and men walked
>> >the surface of the Moon, the Motorola HT-220 was the hottest handheld on
>> >the market when it was introduced in 1969.
>> >
>> > HT-220 is Y2K compliant.
>> >
>> >Comments about the HT220 by visitors to this webpage:
>> >
>> >"THOUSANDS STILL IN USE."
>> >"I never thought the old rig would attract a cult following!"
>> >"I use both VHF and UHF models everyday."
>> >"I've taken VHF units up to 220 MHz (a real 220 220)."
>> >"HT220 is truly an object deserving of such a shrine!"
>> >"It was and still is a engineering marvel."
>> >"They are very easy to re-condition."
>> >"I still think it is the nicest looking, most purposeful radio ever
>> >produced."
>> >"The HT-220 still lives!"
>> >"I cut my teeth on the HT-200 and went on to the HT-220."
>> >"I can remember in the mid-70s the envy we all felt for the BMOC that
>> >had an HT220."
>> >"What is that?!?" (from a generation X-er first seeing a HT220)
>>
>> "They are a real pain-in-the-ass to work on."
>

2249. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3880001F.AA693E55@topnow.com>
Source record: 121546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"?",

You're a real credit to the radio hobby. Keep up the good efforts, and you'll make your General ticket.

-- Ross

? wrote:

> Most of the people who are coming of age for HAM radio were not born in the
> 50's
> and 60's.
>
> I am 52 years old and until an accident laid me up for almost a year I had
> no interest
> in ham radio or even CB. I chose ham radio because we all know the mess on
> CB. No
> one who professes to like Ham radio wants to see it go the way of the CB. I
> am currently
> a no code tech, but I will be taking my test for General before the 04-15-00
> deadline. I
> have had a hard time with the Morse code because of a hearing problem, but I
> will still try.
>
> Change can be the most painful of experiences or it can a pleasant surprise.
> If Ham is
> to survive then we all must be open to change. Those who are at the top of
> the Ham hierarchy who will soon be reduced to general are going to hate it.
>
> I wonder if we will ever stop P+Moaning about what was and do what is
> needed to make sure our hobby flourishes. The choice is up to all of us
>
> kb9thn@yahoo.com

2250. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3880012E.CD113CF0@topnow.com>
Source record: 121547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AB7RS wrote:

> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> >
> > The FCC made known its restructuring decision today and it sucks!
> >
> > I Blame the ARRL!
>
>
> Looks like whineing,crying and slothfullness do pay off,I was wrong
> when I made statements about amateur radio not dying,it surely will now.
> I blame the ARRL,NCI,FCC,CB,Catman,and all the hams that are too
> lazy,too stupid,or think the average person is too lazy or stupid.

Honestly, relax and back up a bit from things and try to acheive a detached
perspective.
This restructuring will do no more harm than the no-code tech. change did.

Things will go on largely unchanged after 4/15/2000. Don't panic.
About the only real change will be that Extra and General licenses won't
mean quite
as much as they used to. Dumb, lazy, or slothful people will still be kept
out by the
5 WPM requirements.

-- Ross

2251. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <85ov6h$b3g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

He's also someone who fought for his country and got shot out of the sky.
With his background he could have easily avoided service but he didn't.
Give the guy some respect. He's earned it.
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:SGKf4.2858$eO5.38866@news1.mia...
> I don't know if he is a ham but i can tell what he is
>
> 33rd degree mason
> member of Council of Foreign Relations (CFR)
> Bilderburgers
> member of "skull and bones" (another secret society)
> Trilateral commission
> Atlantic Alliance
>
> I've done my homework on the "fabian socialists"
>
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> Daniel J. Morlan wrote in message
> <85l53todk1@newssrv2.hrz.uni-oldenburg.de>...

> >I was scanning through the Ham bands Wednesday afternoon and immediately
> (in
> >the 19K area) I heard that familiar voice. Talking about lobbying, and
how
> >Barb's getting a puppy soon... A little about air travel, etc... It was
> >really fun to hear, and I'd have sworn this is was George Bush Sr! Does
> >anyone know if he actually IS a Ham or not?
> >
> >
>
>

2252. WWV Y2K prank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <387ff3ca.111243284@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121549

Immediately after midnight UTC on 01 January, I heard someone broadcast "Y2K" in code on one of WWV's frequencies. Unfortunately, I cannot remember which one; it was either 5.0, 10.0, 15.0 or 20.0 Mhz. Did anyone else hear this? Has anyone heard any mention of it?

Bob

2253. Re: Brush up on your 10-Codes Old-Timers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Save@CW.net
Message-ID: <s802k22noj835@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ten Four on that good Buddy!

In article <387EBD0E.60D1B1B8@mindspring.com>, "Arthur H. Wertz"
<artwertz@mindspring.com> wrote:
>Mad Nomad wrote:
>
>> I would love to hear a CB'er who really knew how to use the 10-codes.
>> The code 10-20 means "What's your location?" Can't tell how many
>> times I've heard "What's your twenty?" It's like saying "What's your
>> what's your location?"
>>
>> Mad Nomad
>>
>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:14:08 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
>> KB7ADL) wrote:
>>
>> >Brush up on your 10-codes Boys.
>> >
>> >The CB-RL, Citizens Band-Relay League in Newington, CT, is
>> >going to update their Operating Manual with a section on 10-codes
>> >and CB Lingo so old Hams can understand what the new hams
>> >are talking about.
>
>Sorta like saying QSY on SSB!!!
>

2254. F/A: 2 meter 90 watt amp, Standard GMR-1 Series .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobra@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388002c5.14905917@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121551
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Standard GMR-1 Series UHF mobil

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236701146

VHF 2 meter 90 watt amplifier 136-175mhz

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236722955

Eico model 324 Signal Generator

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236710912

2255. Re: FCC CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000115011312.08900.00000918@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 121552

Don't forget the FCC's jamming of a ham radio station durring the government attack of that church at Waco.
N8WGM

2256. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <_wUf4.869$Ri6.7761@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>
Source record: 121553

N9NWO wrote in message <_ecf4.1649$0e.34547@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>...
>: I hope you are all correct.
>:
>: I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
>requirement
>: (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will someone tell me
>: what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have
seen
>: them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as they
seem
>: today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
>
>The FCC does enforce the rules with respect to the ham bands. Mostly
>because hams started calling their congressmen and demanded enforcement.
>It is the large number of hams who believe in the rule of law, who are not
>so
>independent as to go out of their way to harm others.
>
>
>: Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught
>the
>: classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still takes
>: more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack
and
>: buy a citizens band radio.
>
>There is a cost in being a ham. Even the written test is not that easy.
>
>: It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a
book
>: and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a littler
>: higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will always
>: produce a better idividual.
>:
>: So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a
difference,
>: because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory
>is
>: next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
>radio
>: services be?
>
>Do not bet on that. That is just being bitter.

No, I'm really not bitter. I just feel that lowering the bar with respect to anything is the first step on a slippery slope. Look at the American public School systems.

I have my ticket, I only spend time on the CW bands so it really doesn't effect me directly. I'm just trying to get people to think about things, and I'm not saying there is a right or wrong answer.

Peace to all!

Brent Scott
N5CLK
Austin, TX
>
>: Brent Scott
>: N5CLK
>: Austin, TX
>:
>: don@KB9VEK/AA.ham wrote in message ...
>: >Whipped the 13 wpm this morning and proud of it!
>: >
>: >don
>: >
>: >
>: >
>: >
>:
>:
>
>
>

2257. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85p3ei05in@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85oletcub1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Dave Hall wrote:
>>
>>And that is what I was refering to. If CW usages declines appreciably,
>>the justification for special band segments for CW will diminish as
>>well.
>
>Can you name those "special band segments for CW," Dave?
>
>Jeff

On HF, the "special band segments" in which CW/RTTY/FSK/digital modes only are permitted are as follows. (All frequencies are in Mhz.)

28.000 to 28.300
24.890 to 24.930
21.000 to 21.200
18.068 to 18.110
14.000 to 14.150
10.100 to 10.150
7.000 to 7.150
3.500 to 3.750
1.800 to 2000

Tom - N8ECW
--
Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2258. FS MovingSale "EVERYthing GOTta Go"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioleo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <8mVf4.480$541.27507@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121555

have a whole lotta stuff for sale. Its a moving sale, and everything
must GOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!..

All this equipment will be at the TRW Swap Meet on Saturday 1/29/2000
the swap is on the corner of Aviation Blvd., and Marine Blvd in the
city of Manhattan Beach, California times 1/29/00 Saturday 7:00 -
11:30 AM.

TRANSCEIVERS.............

1. YAESU FT-902DM HF TRANCEIVER VERY NICE $460.00
2. ICOM 211digital display, built-in p/supply 10 watt all mode 2 meter

transceiver, works good $220.00
3. Kenwood TS-700S digital display 10 watt 2 meter all mode

transceiver, with a Communication Specialists TE-64
selectable PL/Encode Unit. Built-In power supply, Kenwood
hand microphone, and manual, WORKS great $275.00
4. YAESU FT-127RA 220 MHZ mobile transceiver rig works $85.00
5. ICOM IC-22S 2 meter mobile transceiver, turns on $50.00
6. SWAN CYGNET 270 transceiver works OK $75.00
7. COURIER GLADIATOR 40 CH CB SSB/AM $89.00
8. TEN TEC 22 MODEL 579 20 WATT CW SOLID STATE TRANSCEIVER
10,15,20,30,40,80 meters. With # 979 matching power supply .

Optional accessories # 679 keyer kit, and # 226 crystal
calibrator, condition is Very Good, I don't do CW so have not
tested it $200.00
9. ICOM 25 H 45 watt 2 meter transceiver with comm spec pl unit in
microphone with bracket mic, and power cable $95.00
10. TEMPO commmercial line CL 146 15 watt 2 meter transceiver works
manual no mic $59.00

11. COLLINS S-LINE 32S-1 TRANSMITTER, 75S-1 RECEIVER, AND
HOMEBREW POWER SUPPLY, THEY LOOK GREAT ALL ORIGINAL
PAINT, KNOBS, AND FEET. THE FRONT PANELS LOOK FANTASIC
WITH CABLES, AND MANUALS....$650.00

ACCESSORIES........

12. YAESU FV-901DM synthesized scanning VFO $159.00
13. YAESU YO-901 Multiscope $159.00
14. YAESU SP-901P external speaker $75.00
15. YAESU YC-500J Frequency Counter $59.00
16. YAESU black series 601 external speaker $39.00
17. HEATH SB-220 AMPLIFIER $ 485.00

18. PALOMAR P310X Preamplfier $50.00
19. COURIER PORT-A-LAB mod/power/swr/field strength $37.00
20. HAL ST-5000 $30.00

WATTMETERS......

21. BIRD 43 with case $175.00
22. BIRD 100C $40.00
23. BIRD 5OH $40.00
24. BIRD 100H $40.00
25. BIRD 250H $40.00
26. BIRD 1000H $60.00
27. BIRD 5000H $80.00

28. PALOMAR PT-407 300 watt antenna/tuner $75.00
29. AMECO 621 VFO 50/144/220 MHZ $39.00 untested, nice
30. AMECO TX-62 $30.00 untested

POWER SUPPLIES

31. ICOM PS-30 $175.00
32. ASTRON RS-20A $60.00
33. ASTRON RS-20A $60.00
34. EPS-20M 20 amp power supply $60.00
35. SWAN PSU-1 power supply/speaker $40.00
36. 2.5 amp power supply $9.00

KEYERS.............

37. AUTEK MK-1 electronic keyer $35.00
38. LITTLE KEYER $10.00
39. HEATH ELECTRONIC KEYER $25.00
40. AEA MORSEMATIC KEYER $40.00
41. TRANS-KEY # TKM-2 C.W. MONITOR & OSCILLATOR

RECEIVERS....

42. NATIONAL SW-54 untested fair condition $25.00
43. HALLICRAFTERS S-95 untested fair condition $20.00
44. HYGAIN Proscan scanner $20.00
45. COLLINS "SOMETHING ????"

PADDLES........

45. HAM KEY $40.00
46. BENCHER BY-1 NEEDS SPRING $30.00
47. VIBROPLEX OLDER....$50.00
48. OLD PADDLE NO NAME $40.00

ANTENNA SWITCHES

49. 6 POSITION
50. DAIWA CS-201 2 POS.
51. ANOTHER 2 POSITION

52. DAIWA 2 POS

53. YAESU LANDLINER BLACK 301 PHONE PATCH $30.00
54. PALOMAR NOISE BRIDGE
55. PALOMAR NOISE BRIDGE
56. (2) HEATH DUMMYLOADS CANTENNA
57. YAESU CLOCK $35.00
58. YAESU BLACK SPEAKER $25.00
59. KENWOOD MS-1 DC DROP IN FOR TR-2500
60. KENWOOD SMC-25 SP/MIC
61. ICOM HM-46 SP/MIC
62. ICOM SM-6 DESK MIC

ALL KINDS OF METERS, WATTMETERS, FIELD STRENGTH METERS, LOTS OF TVI FILTERS, MICROPHONES, ANTENNA SWITCHES, SOLID STATE AMPS, ROTOR BOXES, RACK MOUNT, MISC.....EVERYTHING.....

DO NOT MISS THIS TRW SWAPMEET ON 1/29/2000 SATURDAY

I WANT TO TAKE THIS STUFF TO THE SWAPMEET FIRST, BUT WILL ENTERTAIN ALL OFFERS.....

THANK YOU, & 73,

ALL PLUS SHIPPING CHARGES.....

Thank You & MERRY NEW YEAR..

Leo KJ6HI

PH/FAX (310) 670-6969

2259. Bless Us All...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001150846.DAA06922@cotse.com>
Source record: 121556

This message was posted anonymously:

May the Powers That Be bless us all and protect us from the
Hiram Hooker.....cloven hooves are nasty to behold.

2260. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <388037ef_3@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Good post Ed. (As usual). Catch you on the bands.
73
--
Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All lawyers
are assholes."

And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent
that!"

And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"

And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:387F6744.3954@arrl.net...
> The question that I ask here is, after April 15, what option will
new
> hams who take the tests have other than a 5 wpm code test? The
answer
> is, "none," so on what basis could one find fault with an applicant
who
> takes the only test that the VEs will give? What choice will they
> have? If someone wants to be unhappy with the FCC for their
decision,
> this is America, but don't take it out on people who will do just
what
> the law requires.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2261. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85plvhior1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <387ABBB9.8ECC8A86@worldnet.att.net>,
"George T. Baker" <w5yr@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> I really doubt that Part 97 recognizes WXYYY/20 as a valid call sign
to
> use when identifying a station.
>
Part 97 doesn't specifically address suffixes except
in question of "instant" upgrades where valid CSCE
holders can use specific suffixes to indicate that
they hold examination credit to use certain band/sub-
bands not encompassed by the current FCC-issued license
they hold. I wouldn't encourage such practice, to me
it would be simply a sour grapes response to the FCC's
elimination of the higher speed code elements.
The elimination of higher speed code elements is a
DONE deal, no "sour grapes" response within the
amateur community be it form of amateurs attemping
to identify themseleves on the air as "real" hams
because they passed a 13 or 20 WPM code element or
those that advocate VE's boycott participation in
the licensing process after April 15th is going to
make the FCC reconsider their action and restore
higher code speed elements as part of the licensing
requirement.

......Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2262. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38804FBE.1C8F0EC8@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
And it is a virtual (no pun intended) certainty that in 50 years a
current MSEE will be as relevant to communications electronics as a ham
ticket is today. . .

Will
KD7BFX

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
> >
> A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully,
> a
> > > person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the
> > > achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a
> > > milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish
> > > the value of someone's goals?
> > >
> > > Dave
>
>
> > Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
>
> It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
> not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
> hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
> got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
> my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
> got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
> had used that fact to build my resume.
>
> > This is *Amateur* radio, not commercial/professional/broadcast/PCS
> > whatever.
>
> Yep. Only a mere shell of its former self.
>
>
> > The license is NOT a graduation certificate, but rather an entrance
> > permit to the hobby/service.
>
> You jokers have regurgitated that tired old bromide until
> even the kids at the FCC believe it, now. But Hey, what do
> they know, they just work there, right?
>
```

> If you prefer to view your EXTRA class license as an
> "entrance permit" to the hobby, it tells me that you got it
> for free. Most of us did not, and DO NOT. The FCC, at least
> 30 years ago, considered an EXTRA class licensee to be the
> only expert class of ham. The fact that he was knowledgeable
> enough to score a passing grade on their tests was proof
> enough.
>
> Congratulations on changing their minds. Henceforth there
> will never be anything other than "entry level" class hams
> licensed. And that's exactly where most of them will stay.

2263. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <388053C8.85C58B6F@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

A gun is just a tool, just as a shovel is just a tool. A shovel can be every bit as effective as a gun, used as a killing tool. There are probably more shovels in circulation than there are guns. Why aren't more people killed or injured with shovels?

Will
KD7BFX

Gary Coffman wrote:

> How we choose to exercise it is where morality enters the
> picture. The gun is just a tool, how we choose to use it determines
> whether it is a tool for good or evil. n

2264. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85pn5jjds1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121561

In article <85om76tpe1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Yeah Cockroach, you are back. If you slander people on Mindspring like
> you did on AOL, Mindspring will cut you loose like a burning ship when
> the complaints and copies of your workmanship start rolling in to their
> abuse line.
> Also,
> Your days on Amateur radio are running out if you keep qrming. Riley H
> has been contacted about your latest diliberate interference gig.
>
> All your skankey buddies are on 14275. join them there, Rube , Donny ,
> Dean,(etc) pukey maggots all group together. Thank you Bob and Lenney
> for giving this creep the bums rush off AOL!!
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> In article <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes, the
> "Docster"
> > is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to
> know
> > that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you
> about
> > tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much
> shareholder
> > pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is
> fine and
> > they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having
> problems
> > connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please
> don't
> > write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is
> perfect
> > and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet and
> > offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it. So
> I say
> > unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a
> paid
> > employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one
> number
> > on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I

> never get
> > a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat
> it's true;
> > I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and
> over
> > ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose
> this
> > rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on
> the
> > farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going
> out for
> > dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to
> thank all
> > of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post
> again.
> > To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the
> way I do
> > also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most fitting
> even
> > though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to the
> FCC on
> > his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if
> indeed he
> > still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a fond
> adieu
> > but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero
> > Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill
> will be
> > VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL.
> Your
> > time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
> > Great 73,
> > Doc,AF2Y
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

The facts are clear and reflect the true reason that AF2Y is no longer on AOL. AOL was contacted by stockholders and the information went directly to very high level executives and the situation was acted upon. Mindspring has also been sent copies of e-mail documentation and if this bum reverts to his past behavior and postings then it will be dealt with swiftly. AOL is a great company and us stockholders acted in the best interest of the public and AOL,

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2265. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <388056F6.75AB778E@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That "TV special" was an NRA infomercial. I have viewed the very scene a half dozen times now, as the whole thing airs twice a night on various channels.

This sort of thing makes you understand why it is necessary for the words "Paid Advertisement" or the like to appear when they air these things, and even so some are still taken in by what is cleverly crafted to give the appearance of news reportage.

Will
KD7BFX

> In article <387C9273.8853A689@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes
> >England has a gun sub-culture. They are called criminals. One
> >was interviewed on a recent TV special about security in England.
> >He said, in England, any criminal who wants a gun can get a gun.
> >He showed his handgun to the cameraman and said he loved England's
> >gun laws.

2266. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3880579E.E9D3191@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yeah, there were a lot of bombs. Many of them exploded. Everyone who
died was killed by a bullet.

Will
KD7BFX

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Andy Cowley wrote:
> >
> I don't think you could do a Columbine _without_
> > a gun, could you?
>
> What an unimaginative dude! A big part of Columbine WAS
> done without guns. There were a LOT of homemade bombs, up
> toward a hundred by one police account. But, as usual, the
> GUNS got all the media (read antigun) attention.

2267. Solar report in cw (Jan, 14 2000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <85pm2admb1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 121564
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

The latest solar and geomagnetic activity report as Morse code audio
files can be accessed / downloaded in MIDI-format at the DTC web site:

at 12 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/wx140100.mid
at 30 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/swx140100.mid

For the full text version look at http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html
clicking on the line with ONDREJOV in the left frame.

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

2268. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "P. Grill" <pgrill@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <388094A0.6912290E@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 121565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

J wrote:

> Responding to K2TL:
> The time for disagreement with restructuring, reduction of CW speed
> requirements, etc was when the matter was being considered by the FCC,
> last year. That time is gone now, and it's time for all of us to pull
> together to make restructuring work for everyone. Sure there's problems
> in the VEC system, we need to work on that, integrity is essential to
> maintaining respect, but for every rotten apple there's a hundred good
> ones, not the other way around. If we know of specific problems, we
> need to get that information to the proper authorities so action can be
> taken. Then we need to let them do their jobs.
> Instead of tearing the system down and being devisive, we should all
> now be looking for ways to support the system and make it work. We all
> had our chance to voice dissent...no doubt you expressed yours as I did
> mine....but no decision the FCC could have made would have made
> everyone happy. The decision has now been made, and we should accept it
> like responsible citizens and get back to work.
> No offense, K2TL, but your protest message and dire predictions can
> only do harm now, and divide us further. This issue has done some
> damage already to the ham brother/sisterhood in this country, and your
> help is needed, along with every serious ham, to heal that rift.
> "Ham Radio doomed"...??? Only if you and I let it happen, my friend. In
> each of several instances over the years when there were major changes
> made, some well-intentioned hams predicted the demise of Ham Radio....
> but...it hasn't happened yet. Somehow I think we'll all muddle through
> this one too. 73, K1MER
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

The above listing is a joke.

Phil

KA2IWR

2269. Re: Bless Us All...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3880691E.6513AA6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121566

Anon wrote:

> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> May the Powers That Be bless us all and protect us from the
> Hiram Hooker.....cloven hooves are nasty to behold.

I agree, Martha and Lucy are ugly beasts indeed.

2270. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38807348.4FAC7700@icss.net>
Source record: 121567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White wrote:

> Yeah, there were a lot of bombs. Many of them exploded. Everyone who
> died was killed by a bullet.
>
> Will
> KD7BFX

Did anyone shoot back?

2271. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s80tpn55eh6125@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:85ola3cqo1@news.hawaii.edu...
> In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Jeremy <oldrbigbro@lvcm.com> wrote:
>
> >When the nocode-Tech was introduced the amount of hams operators
increased
> >dramatically. Infact nocodes became the largest of the licensed groups.
>
> Want to bet that a No-Theory license would draw even more folks into
> ham radio?

Jeff,

Its abundantly obvious that you and a few others are really bitter
about the FCC's decision to step down its Morse recruiting
campaign which you've relied on for so many years to bring
new CW ops into ham radio.

However, the "No-Theory" stuff is VERY old GARBAGE
which has been thrashed about by the PCTA for a LONG time.

The FCC has also QUITE SOUNDLY made it clear that they
DO view the ARS as "a primarily technical service."

"We are persuaded that because the amateur service is fundamentally
a technical service, the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a
licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of
the service. We note, moreover, that the design of modern
communications systems, including personal communication services,
satellite, fiber optic, and high definition television systems, are based
on
digital communication technologies. We also note that no communication
system has been designed in many years that depends on hand-keyed
telegraphy or the ability to receive messages in Morse code by ear.
In contrast, modern communication systems are designed to be
automated systems. Given the changes that have occurred in
communications in the last fifty years, we believe that reducing the
emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow
the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined
persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to
learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States
needs expertise."

Thus, is is INCONCEIVABLE that the FCC would entertain ANY
plan for a "no-theory" license, becaue it would be DIRECTLY counter
to their primary goals for the service.

SO GIVE THE PCTA FUD A REST, OK???

> >So I look at it like this. In the long run this is going to create
> >MORE hams to help fight off the communication giants of the world who
wish
> >to take our freq. for there gain.
>
> Name the "communication giants" who wish to take our HF frequencies.

HF broadcasters, all sorts of point-point data operations, etc.

> >WE HAMS ARE STRONGER IN NUMBERS!
>
> Stronger to do what?

Survive ...

> We received our present-day HF allocations with
> less than half the licensees we have now.

Yes, Jeff back in the distant past (where you still live).

If the establishment of the ARS were just being considered
today, it's highly unlikely that we'd ever get such extensive
allocations as we now enjoy. We have been lucky to hold
on to what we have. I doubt that we are going to see any
significant expansion in the future, due to the economic and
political realities arising from the demand for (and incredible
value of) spectrum for commercial services.

> More HF spectrum is being
> considered for us as we speak (NTIA proposal).

Unfortunately, that's a stretch, Jeff ... the NTIA proposal is
simply a thought, given almost in passing, that the abandonment
of certain small segments of HF spectrum might allow an
amateur allocation there ... there are competing services, as
stated above who would like more HF spectrum ... and they
would be willing to PAY for it at auction ... something we could
never compete with.

Don't get me wrong, as a soon-to-be-Extra, I'd certainly love to
see us get more spectrum at HF ... I just don't think it's nearly as
likely as you imply and that even if we do get something it will be
minimal chunks at best.

Anyway, PLEASE put the "no theory" stuff in the trash can where
it belongs ... it 'aint gonna happen, so it's just useless FUD.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse

<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOIB28Ze+N6+q84HiEQIsaQCfdIU+tSvHSZI2pWmBB4MbhaZT5WIAoLt1
lTQckvfZkX8/QCA19kI8LKva
=az3/
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2272. Re: FCC CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: denefrancis@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85q2vtqrq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121569

Comeonguys... This isn't worth the time and trouble! Don't you think FCC has a lot more to do than move child pronography, etc. FCC employees are a lot more knowledgable re: communications equipment, sofware, internet than you and I. They are certainly aware they can rather easily be tracked-down for posting porn, etc. on the internet. Especially thru FCC connections! I work for a sensitive government agency, and the NSA guys are on our tails every minute.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2273. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <fH%f4.40$6w1.3256@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 121570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85ola3cqo1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
> In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Jeremy <oldrbigbro@lvcm.com> wrote:
> Name the "communication giants" who wish to take our HF frequencies.
>
> >WE HAMS ARE STRONGER IN NUMBERS!
>
> Stronger to do what? We received our present-day HF allocations with
> less than half the licensees we have now. More HF spectrum is being
> considered for us as we speak (NTIA proposal).
>
> Jeff

According to Johnny Come lately KC2FNG, the people who bring you 1200 baud HF email are chomping at the collective bits to take over our HF allocations. The satellite people are just dying to develop our HF bands into a cellular phone system (imagine a little phone with a 1/4 wave antenna on it :-)

from a recent Johnny on the pony tirade..

> yet you apparently know
>> nothing about the work of WA6IVA, KE6RK, DL1ZAM, and others in the
>> area of HF E-Mail, nor anything about the competing services from
>> commercial firms such as AT&T that may well eventually produce
>> competition for our HF spectrum from commercial enterprises wishing to
>> rake in their share of the cash flow.
>

According a here unmentioned NCI BoD member, the Lucents and the AT&T's of the world are looking to develop HF Internet access so people can download their smutty pictures while on the way to work.

I think PortaJohnnie knows best. He's been swl'ing for 33 years and has a true handle on the situation. Heck, he's training his dog for a general license.

Feel free to contact him or his psycho-ego snapper mower 1 for more details.
--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

2274. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <nl%f4.41$6w1.3256@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 121571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <388037ef_3@news1.prserv.net>, lawdog2@ibm.net says...
> Good post Ed. (As usual). Catch you on the bands.
> 73
> --
> Roger J. Buffington
> W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net
> ____________________
>
> Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
> Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html
>
> So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All lawyers
> are assholes."
>
> And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I resent
> that!"
>
> And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"
>
> And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."

Know why the bury lawyers 12' underground when the die and not 6?

Cause deep down lawyers are good

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

2275. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85q21p$bf4$1@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 121572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White wrote:
>
> A gun is just a tool, just as a shovel is just a tool. A shovel can be
> every bit as effective as a gun, used as a killing tool. There are
> probably more shovels in circulation than there are guns. Why aren't
> more people killed or injured with shovels?
>

Replace "shovel" with any number of non-gun appliances, and
the answer is "They are!".

The fact is that the liberal press, IE, opponents of
private gun ownership, choose to ignore all that and give
all the (negative) press to violent crimes involving
firearms alone. And virtually *none* to the vast numbers of
cases where citizens ward off crime and violence by the
simple fact of owning -and making it known at the right
time- a firearm.

2276. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n7wpd@xxmission.com>
Message-ID: <85q26f$1ej$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 121573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No Phil, your post is the joke.

K1MER made some very good points. Accept the decision and move on.
If you don't like it fine. Get into some other hobby. Otherwise, work
together with the rest of us to make amateur radio fun for everybody.

Dan / N7WPD

P. Grill <pgrill@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:388094A0.6912290E@bellatlantic.net...
> J wrote:
>
> > Responding to K2TL:
> > The time for disagreement with restructuring, reduction of CW speed
> > requirements, etc was when the matter was being considered by the FCC,
> > last year. That time is gone now, and it's time for all of us to pull
> > together to make restructuring work for everyone. Sure there's problems
> > in the VEC system, we need to work on that, integrity is essential to
> > maintaining respect, but for every rotten apple there's a hundred good
> > ones, not the other way around. If we know of specific problems, we
> > need to get that information to the proper authorities so action can be
> > taken. Then we need to let them do their jobs.
> > Instead of tearing the system down and being devisive, we should all
> > now be looking for ways to support the system and make it work. We all
> > had our chance to voice dissent...no doubt you expressed yours as I did
> > mine....but no decision the FCC could have made would have made
> > everyone happy. The decision has now been made, and we should accept it
> > like responsible citizens and get back to work.
> > No offense, K2TL, but your protest message and dire predictions can
> > only do harm now, and divide us further. This issue has done some
> > damage already to the ham brother/sisterhood in this country, and your
> > help is needed, along with every serious ham, to heal that rift.
> > "Ham Radio doomed"...??? Only if you and I let it happen, my friend. In
> > each of several instances over the years when there were major changes
> > made, some well-intentioned hams predicted the demise of Ham Radio....
> > but...it hasn't happened yet. Somehow I think we'll all muddle through
> > this one too. 73, K1MER
> >
> > * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
Network *
> > The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>
> The above listing is a joke.

>
> Phil
>
> KA2IWR
>
>

2277. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85q2fe$bf4$2@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 121574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White wrote:
>
> Yeah, there were a lot of bombs. Many of them exploded. Everyone who
> died was killed by a bullet.

So removing all firearms from private individuals will
solve such prolems? Surely you're not that obtuse. That
didn't start it nor will it stop it. Look what the "war on
drugs" has done to stem the flow.

All this push to destroy private ownership of firearms has
done is to polarize society. It won't get any better. A
friend told me recently that he heard a fellow who just
retired from the Marines say that he got out because
officials had warned them that they would someday be using
all that military training and hardware against fellow
Americans...

>
> Will
> KD7BFX
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Andy Cowley wrote:
> > >
> > I don't think you could do a Columbine _without_
> > > a gun, could you?
> >
> > What an unimaginative dude! A big part of Columbine WAS
> > done without guns. There were a LOT of homemade bombs, up
> > toward a hundred by one police account. But, as usual, the
> > GUNS got all the media (read antigun) attention.

2278. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38808B97.FAE96C3F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

T.L. Bryant wrote:
> On HF, the "special band segments" in which CW/RTTY/FSK/digital modes only are
> permitted are as follows. (All frequencies are in Mhz.)
>
> 28.000 to 28.300
> 24.890 to 24.930
> 21.000 to 21.200
> 18.068 to 18.110
> 14.000 to 14.150
> 10.100 to 10.150
> 7.000 to 7.150
> 3.500 to 3.750
> 1.800 to 2000

But those limitations apply to RTTY/digital, not to CW. Here are the
actual HF ham frequencies on which CW is allowed.

ALL and BTW, 1.8 is not HF.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2279. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38808CB9.2D84A9C5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Want to bet that a No-Theory license would draw even more folks into
> ham radio?

True, but irrelevant, since the FCC didn't create a "No-Theory
license".
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2280. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38808953.268064010@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 13 Jan 2000 11:40:37 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>> An astute comment, I must say ... even if we do something that
>> is CLEARLY for the good/common interest of all hams, including
>> our members, I'm sure there will be some who will flame us for it.
>
>I don't think you should be flamed at all, Carl. I think you should be
>congratulated - for not calling the ARRL "a bunch of luddites" again!
>An admirable display of restraint. Saying "me too" is always important
>- especially when the FCC is listening. :-)
>
>Congratulations!! Well done. It is an NCI document that I can support.
>
I have no problem at all with anybody who supports the ARRL position
on this one, it's motherhood. But back when the discussions were hot
'n heavy on the NPRM I dunno how many times Sohl pumped out
"assurances" that NCI's ONLY interest, agenda and bottom line was
eliminating code testing globally. Stevenson is clearly espousing
elimination of the traditional modes on HF. You'll just have to excuse
my jaundiced view of NCI, call it flame, no problem for me.
>
>ac6xg
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

2281. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85q7crtjp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121578

Welcome back, DOC!

radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message
<85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes,
the "Docster"
>is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to
know
>that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you about
>tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much
shareholder
>pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is
fine and
>they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having
problems
>connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please don't
>write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is
perfect
>and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet and
>offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it. So
I say
>unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a
paid
>employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one
number
>on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I
never get
>a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat it's
true;
>I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and
over
>ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose
this
>rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on the
>farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going out
for
>dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to
thank all
>of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post
again.
>To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the
way I do
>also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most fitting
even

>though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to the
FCC on
>his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if indeed
he
>still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a fond
adieu
>but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero
>Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill
will be
>VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL.
Your
>time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
>Great 73,
>Doc,AF2Y

Hey Doc, don't forget the fatboy bubba, O' Brien...
Check out his picture on the As the world turns 14.313 page.
What a slob.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2282. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38809442.40E78DD2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Look what the "war on drugs" has done to stem the flow.

Speaking of which, did you see "20/20" last night where
the 20/20 folk gave politicians a hard time for admitting
and joking about their own drug use while sending otherwise
innocent citizens to jail for 20 years for the same thing
they freely admit doing "when they were young and foolish"?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2283. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85q41q$bf4$4@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 121580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> news:85ola3cqo1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Jeremy <oldrbigbro@lvcm.com> wrote:
> >
> > >When the nocode-Tech was introduced the amount of hams operators
> increased
> > >dramatically. Infact nocodes became the largest of the licensed groups.
> >
> > Want to bet that a No-Theory license would draw even more folks into
> > ham radio?
>
> Jeff,
>
> Its abundantly obvious that you and a few others are really bitter
> about the FCC's decision to step down its Morse recruiting
> campaign which you've relied on for so many years to bring
> new CW ops into ham radio.
>

It's abundantly clear that you think you've got it all
figured out but clearlyhave no clue. Or that you're invovled
in a very dense smoke screening project. The latter is just
as likely, since you are involved in commercial design work.

> However, the "No-Theory" stuff is VERY old GARBAGE
> which has been thrashed about by the PCTA for a LONG time.

Unhuh. So ask your fellow NCI BOD guy about his intent to
get rid of the theory testing as "unnecessary". And his
positioning himself on the VEC QPC so as to "be there", in
position when FCC illegally abdicated its responsibilities
to the QPC, which is accountable to NO ONE for the content
of the written tests.

>
> The FCC has also QUITE SOUNDLY made it clear that they
> DO view the ARS as "a primarily technical service."
>
> "We are persuaded that because the amateur service is fundamentally

> a technical service, the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a
> licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of
> the service. We note, moreover, that the design of modern
> communications systems, including personal communication services,
> satellite, fiber optic, and high definition television systems, are based
> on
> digital communication technologies. We also note that no communication
> system has been designed in many years that depends on hand-keyed
> telegraphy or the ability to receive messages in Morse code by ear.
> In contrast, modern communication systems are designed to be
> automated systems. Given the changes that have occurred in
> communications in the last fifty years, we believe that reducing the
> emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow
> the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined
> persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to
> learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States
> needs expertise."

Yeah. Then they pointedly ignored NPRM comments blasting
the question pool writtens as totally ineffective at
determining technical learning and knowledge of successful
examinees *WITHOUT A SINGLE MENTION* IN THE R&O.
Sure, they're interested in "maintaining the ARS as a
technical service". In **LIP SERVICE** ONLY.

>
> Thus, is is INCONCEIVABLE that the FCC would entertain ANY
> plan for a "no-theory" license, becaue it would be DIRECTLY counter
> to their primary goals for the service.
>
> SO GIVE THE PCTA FUD A REST, OK???

Crawl back under your rock, Squiggy. And watch your rear.
You are now expendable. You've fulfilled your desired
function in The Cause.

2284. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85q7n9tsn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121581

```
Path: news!den-news-01.qwest.net!qwest!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: AF2Y is back!!!!
Date: Sat, 15 Jan 2000 02:58:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <85ono7$uva$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.215.95.115
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 15 02:58:23 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/2.0 (compatible; MSIE 3.02; Update a;
Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x26.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
209.215.95.115
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhammer007
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:6691

hammer007 wrote in message <85ono7$uva$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes,
the "Docster"
>> is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to
know
>> that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you
about
>> tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much
shareholder
>> pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is
fine and
>> they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having
problems
>> connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please
don't
>> write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is
perfect
>> and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet
and
>> offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it.
So I say
>> unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a
paid
```

>> employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one
number
>> on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I
never get
>> a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat
it's true;
>> I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and
over
>> ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose
this
>> rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on
the
>> farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going
out for
>> dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to
thank all
>> of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post
again.
>> To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the
way I do
>> also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most
fitting even
>> though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to
the FCC on
>> his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if
indeed he
>> still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a
fond adieu
>> but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero
>> Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill
will be
>> VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL.
Your
>> time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
>> Great 73,
>> Doc,AF2Y
>>
>
> Doc, be very careful with the mindspring, because they have already
been
>contacted and advised of you postings. I also own Microsoft stock, so

Bwhahahahahahahahahahahaha! "Advised of _you_postings."
Put down the alcohol and pick up a dictionary.

the
>problem is well at hand. I did write a note to the exchange and
securities to
>get information on the investment challenge. The facts will be out
soon. I
>wonder why your reputation is so important with AOL, sounds like your

>contradicting yourself. The best is yet to come. Oh, do you mean "Bus
stop
>Bobby" ????? Doc, you seem to be three octave higher," If you get a
guy by
>the balls his heart is soon to follow"

Hmm, It looks like Hammer007's got a fetish for other men's testicles.
What a surprise.............

Search for : 209.215.95.115

BellSouth.net Inc. (NETBLK-BELLSNET-BLK4)
1100 Ashwood Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30338

Netname: BELLSNET-BLK4
Netblock: 209.214.0.0 - 209.215.255.255
Maintainer: BELL

Coordinator:
Muir, Ronald (RM36-ARIN) ipadmin@BELLSOUTH.NET
770-522-6363 (FAX) 770-522-6050

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

NS.BELLSOUTH.NET 205.152.0.5
NS.ATL.BELLSOUTH.NET 205.152.0.20
NS.MIA.BELLSOUTH.NET 205.152.16.20
NS.RDU.BELLSOUTH.NET 205.152.32.20

ADDRESSES WITHIN THIS BLOCK ARE NON-PORTABLE

Record last updated on 27-Mar-1998.
Database last updated on 14-Jan-2000 17:27:32 EDT.

Looks like Hammer007 is posting from bellsouth in Atlanta, GA.
Dimmy O' Brainless strikes again. His "detective" "skills" are
worthless. I've never worked for GMAC, in spite of the fatass'
insistance that he got me fired from there.
Or his lie that I used his callsign. Hey, Jimmy, I hope you choke on
your next meal.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2285. Re: 10 10 IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ofi5$pb2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121728

In article <85o933kni1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >ITs something to do with some 10 meter "contest group" There
> > boring qso's consist of "my 10-10 # is"What a joke!

> No, the joke is that you could never have honestly passed the 5 wpm
> code test to get on 10 meters. Now, you get it handed to you by the
> FCC, but our only salvation is that you most likely can't afford an HF
> rig

He still has to pass a code test to get on 10 meters. For all the hoopla
after the FCC R&O, passing a Morse code test is still (and will be even
after 15 April) required to get on HF.

That was the whole point of his "I guess I can cheat" post a couple of
weeks ago.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2286. Re: IS DAN TELLING THE TRUTH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000114191216.01291.00000450@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 121729

It sounds fine to me Lloyd. Some will do it others will not. Hopefully EVERYONE
will stop the childish fighting and bickering over differences and concentrate
on similarities.
I think those that do not will find out that this year, Riley will be kickin
ass and takin names later. LOL Tim.......KK7HO
>In article <3NKf4.2868$eO5.38949@news1.mia>,
> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>> W4NTI wrote:
>> >It is time to END
>> >this stupid war. Wake up and smell the roses, or you will go down.
>> >Simple as that. Pay attention fool.
>> >
>> >Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> >--
>> >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>>
>> Is that true? How will you make good on this? You need to impress
>upon
>> other people as well that it is not good to harbor hate, grudeges, bad
>> feelings against those you do not agree with. I myself will take the
>step
>> as well. I will no longer as of today make any more comments
>regarding
>> jews, blacks, etc. I hope everyone can get along and maybe try to
>help each
>> other. These are good words, Dan. I hope you can agree with me. Is
>this
>> ok with you KK7HO?
>>
>> --
>> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>>
>> The FCC didn't assign me
>> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>>
>> Athens, AL 35611

2287. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85om76tpe1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121730

Yeah Cockroach, you are back. If you slander people on Mindspring like you did on AOL, Mindspring will cut you loose like a burning ship when the complaints and copies of your workmanship start rolling in to their abuse line.
Also,
Your days on Amateur radio are running out if you keep qrming. Riley H has been contacted about your latest diliberate interference gig.

All your skankey buddies are on 14275. join them there, Rube , Donny , Dean,(etc) pukey maggots all group together. Thank you Bob and Lenney for giving this creep the bums rush off AOL!!

Rileygotchu

In article <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes, the "Docster"
> is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to know
> that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you about
> tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much shareholder
> pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is fine and
> they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having problems
> connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please don't
> write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is perfect
> and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet and
> offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it. So I say
> unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a paid
> employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one number
> on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I never get
> a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat it's true;
> I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and over

> ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose
this
> rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on
the
> farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going
out for
> dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to
thank all
> of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post
again.
> To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the
way I do
> also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most fitting
even
> though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to the
FCC on
> his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if
indeed he
> still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a fond
adieu
> but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero
> Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill
will be
> VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL.
Your
> time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
> Great 73,
> Doc,AF2Y
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2288. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ono7uva1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121731

In article <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes, the "Docster"
> is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to know
> that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you about
> tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much shareholder
> pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is fine and
> they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having problems
> connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please don't
> write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is perfect
> and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet and
> offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it. So I say
> unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a paid
> employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one number
> on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I never get
> a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat it's true;
> I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and over
> ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose this
> rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on the
> farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going out for
> dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to thank all
> of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post again.
> To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the way I do
> also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most fitting even
> though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to the FCC on
> his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if indeed he
> still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a fond adieu
> but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero
> Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill will be
> VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL. Your
> time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
> Great 73,
> Doc,AF2Y
>

Doc, be very careful with the mindspring, because they have already been
contacted and advised of you postings. I also own Microsoft stock, so the
problem is well at hand. I did write a note to the exchange and securities to
get information on the investment challenge. The facts will be out soon. I
wonder why your reputation is so important with AOL, sounds like your
contradicting yourself. The best is yet to come. Oh, do you mean "Bus stop
Bobby" ????? Doc, you seem to be three octave higher," If you get a guy by
the balls his heart is soon to follow"

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2289. HIRAM PERCY MAXIM was he gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85shac$1hc$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121282

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

2290. FS: Dualband Handhelds & Misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "I.T.2" <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <3881F1F6.1312DA05@bv.net>
Source record: 121283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I have the following for sale, Ebay seems to suck these days, so make offer if prices seem wrong.

Heath HW24T (Standard C528) 2M/450 w/ Mars/Cap mod / cell receive, battery, charger and 2 antennas, box, manual, some wear $200

ICOM IC-Q7A - W/Mars/Cap mod done, 30-1300MHz rx (no cell) w/box,manual, antenna, external mag mount antenna & SMA-BNC adapter
$160

Moonlight Products SAFARI Night Vision Monocular (no batteries needed model, HV gen on top, utilizes sqeeze for power, has external IR illuminator, which takes batts, with strap and daylight filter, perfect condition $200

Micronet NET-950 MDT - More info upon request $200

Toshiba Satellite T2115CS 486/75 MHz Color laptop, works fine, bad battery, runs on direct AC,W95 loaded..$250 obo

Photos upon request

Let me know if you have additional information needed

2291. Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <85shml$3bp$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121284
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
choice or have your picture on
the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

2292. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3881D3FE.447E9EEA@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 121285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan K Nelson wrote:
> Our economy is the direct result of "Reagonomics". The effect of any major
> economic policy shift takes several years to manifest itself to the common
> man. All Clinton did was take credit for the conditions he inherited.

Proof that "our economy is the direct result of Reaganomics"?

As an aside, quite a few folk benefitted, i.e. those that had low
(6 %) fixed interest rate, 20+ year mortgages, from the
pre-Reagan inflationary policies of the seventies. OTOH, some of
us younger folk are having to pay off the national debt without
ever having received any benefit.

> Again because of the private sector innovation. Nothing the government runs
> is either cost effective or productive.

Actually the same can be said of the private sector in industries
where there simply isn't a lot of competition.

> You would worry too if you knew that you could not back the currency you
> print with anything of real value. The country was taken off the gold
> standard by the Government to make it easy for them to manipulate the value
> of paper money to hide their deficit spending. But it's a "house of cards"
> and if they lose control the scheme will be exposed with drastic results.

You really need to take an economics course. What "backs" the
currency is the potential "value" of the good and services one
can purchase with it. Complying with the gold standard merely
fixed the money supply -- it wasn't allowed to grow or shrink.
The problem with this is, for example, in a growing economy this
would be deflationary. Interest rates would rise and banks would
be tight with money. This would make it difficult for
entrepreneurs to borrow money and would inhibit further growth.

> > Welfare roles are shrinking.
>
> Because many states took it upon themselves to reduce or eliminate their
> welfare programs. You can thank the conservative Congress for that one - in
> spite of all the roadblocks Clinton tried to put in place to stop them.

I agree with your reasoning but why is this always a good thing?

> > Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
>
> Sure, he's an effective lier,

Yep, he's a liar. So far, I don't think there is a politician
who has let me down in this regard.

> > Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.
>
> Sure, he represented those old failed values like honesty, integrety,
> respect for his country, the people, the military, and the office of the
> President.

This is posture. Success requires detailed policies. Few of
these detailed policies are the brainchild of the actual
President.

--
Michael Moore

2293. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <PJkg4.2519$hV4.47006@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121286
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Did the amateur radio community assist with his arrest?
Could you give more details??

Mitchell Enterprises <mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
: This subject was arrested and booked by the California Highway Patrol
: inconjunction with the FCC. This individual "WAS" broadcasting foul
: language on CHP radio frequencies.
:
: --
: Kenneth Allan Mitchell
: Mitchell Enterprises
: mailto:mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com
: http://www.kenmitchell.com <--------In Progress

2294. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <85skcb$d49@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 121287
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated December 24, 1999

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JANUARY 2000

Jan 2 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Jan 9 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Jan 23 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jan 23 (Sun): Cicero, IL - Wheaton Community Radio Amateurs Mid Winter Hamfest - 2000 at the Chicago Motor Speedway. 3301 S. Laramie, Cicero. Located between Central and Cicero, between the Ike and the Stevenson. Tickets $5.00 in advance with 4 stubs. Free parking. Talk in on 145.39 (-.600) 107.2 or 103.4 Hz PL and 444.475 (+5.0) 114.8 Hz PL. Info: www.w9ccu.org or send email to hamfest2k@hotmail.com.

Jan 23 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

Jan 30 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

FEBRUARY 2000

Feb 26 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6,

or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Feb 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

MARCH 2000

March 19 (Sun): Sterling, IL - Sterling-Rock Falls ARS. info: Lloyd Sherman, KB9APW, PO Box 521, Sterling, IL 61081-0521. tel. 815-336-2434, E-mail: lsherman@essexl.com

Mar 25 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

March 26 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Lake County Fairgrounds. North Shore Radio Club. http://www.ns9rc.org. ALL INDOOR, Radio, Electronic and Computer Swapfest V.E. Testing 9AM to Noon, Door Prize Drawing Every Hour, Admission $6 at the gate / $5 in Advance. Door Open at 8 AM. Set-up at 6AM. Free Parking. Cafeteria Open. Talk-in Simplex 146.52 & NS9RC Repeater 147.345 +.600. For NS9RCfest Information Call (847) 291-4160 until 10 PM. Info: Jacob Fishman, KF9ZF, PO Box 1066, Highland Park, IL 60035. Phone: 847-291-4160, Email: kf9zf@lightwriters.com

APRIL 2000

Apr 15 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Apr 29 (Sat): Stickney, IL - DuPage ARC. http://www.w9dup.org, Info: Ed Weinstein, WD9AYR, 7511 Walnut Avenue, Woodridge, IL 60517. Phone: 630-985-9256. Email: DARCHamfest@aol.com

Apr 30 (Sun): Arthur, IL - Moultrie ARK. Info: Ralph Zancha, WC9V, PO Box 55, Lovington, IL 61937. Phone: 217-873-5287. Email: rzancha@one-eleven.net

Apr 30 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

MAY 2000

May 20 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

May 28 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

JUNE 2000

Jun 4 (Sun): Princeton - IL Starved Rock RC. Bureau County Fairgrounds. Exit 56 from I-80. Admission $7 (ouch!) at gate. Talk-in 146.955, -600, PL103.5 Hz. info: AL Erbrederis, tel. 1-815-498-9675, 262 Easy St., Somanauk IL.60552. ERB.N9PIB@juno.com. http://www.qsl.net/w9mks. E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 11 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Six Meter Club of Chicago. http://cyberconnect.com/orion/smcc.html. Info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Avenue, Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. Tel: 630-963-4922 or 708-442-4961 . Email: wa9rij@mc.net

Jun 24 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jun 25 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

JULY 2000

Jul 9 (Sun): Peotone, IL - Kankakee Area Radio Society, http://www.w9az.com. Info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. Tel: 815-939-7548. Email: k9nr@juno.com

Jul 15 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jul 23 (Sun): Sugar Grove, IL - Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html Info: Maurice Schietecatte, W9CEO, 813 Cindy Lane, Sandwich, IL 60548. Tel: 815-786-2860. Email: w9ceo@arrl.net (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 2000

Aug 12 (Sat): Quincy, IL - Western Illinois ARC. http://www.qsl.net/w9awe. Info: Jim Funk, N9JF, PO Box 3132, Quincy, IL 62305-3132. Tel: 217-336-4191 . Email:

jfunk@adams.net

Aug 13 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 66th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea Market. Tickets are $4.00 in advance with double stub, $5.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July 25th, Christine Mack, PO Box 2161, Oak Park, IL 60303. tel. 708-358-1786, email: christine1@mediaone.net. Talk in on 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater

Aug 19 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Aug 27 (Sun): Danville, IL - Vermilion County ARA. Info: Gary Denison, KA9SKS, 14704 East 2750 North Road, Danville, IL 61834-5610. Tel: 217-759-7389 . Email: gdenison@danville.net

Aug 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

SEPTEMBER 2000

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. http://www.w9uvi.org. Info: Jim Williams, N9HHU, PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. Tel: 309-692-3378. Email: jimn9hhu@juno.com

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

2295. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <vLkg4.2522$hV4.47186@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121288
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Clint Bradford <clint@clintbradford.com> wrote ,
: mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com wrote:
: > This individual "WAS" broadcasting foul
: > language on CHP radio frequencies.
:
: As well as many other public service and law enforcement frequencies in
: Southern California.

Could give more details? Did the amateur radio community assist?

: - Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
: http://www.clintbradford.com
:
:
: Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
: Before you buy.

2296. Re: There but for the grace of God....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s83m2uqqeh6162@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121289

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Rick" <Rickhit@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:38829a30.132022407@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> >
[snip]
> Ohm My God, this has me LOL,
[snip]

While we're on the "religion-related humor" track, I have to ask ...
Is that a typo above, or are you *really* a member of a sect
which worships Mr. Ohm???
:-) (ROTFLMAO)

73,
Carl - wa6vse

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOIHYDpe+N6+q84HiEQL1dgCcDhTpw0b+UvOBC5Hq2BCyZj36enAAoPBb
PmDhEeKWIP1NgyybhVoa6p/W
=9cfJ
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2297. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <3881c04b.729204@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
>Please provide a quote where I said any such thing ... I have
>REPEATEDLY stated that I have no agenda to, nor would I
>support, ANY move to ban the use of Morse (your "traditional"
>mode, per your own comments).
>
Then why did you and Coffman come up with your utterly brilliant
concepts of HF cw contesting via digital "simulation" vs. using
existing band space for the existing analog cw mode?
>
>What I HAVE said is that it's time to make room for and stop
>erecting unnecessary barriers to the introduction of new technology.
>
What barriers are currently in place or under consideration which are
preventing the introduction of new technology, Mr. Stevenson??
>
Let's get down to some nits and grits: Pick a band, any band, say
3.5-4.0 Mhz. for discussion purposes. Given your druthers and the
freedom to do so how would you remap that spectrum space to accomodate
both the traditional modes and the room for new technology?
>
>*If* people decide that the new technology is better and decide
>to abandon the use of "the traditional modes" that's fine with me,
>but it will, as far as I am concernced, be a voluntary choice on
>their part(s).
>
That's obviously not up to you but aside from that what's new about
modes being accepted or rejected by the users of ham spectrum space?
At one point measurable NBFM was in use on HF but it didn't suceed in
the market which finally standardized on ssb as a better way to go
than AM. Numerous digital modes are out there right now competing for
users and I expect that eventually one or some will supplant RTTY as
the dominant digital mode. The mode marketing opportunity has always
existed so what's the problem?
>
>I have made mention of the fact that the FCC has mandated
>spectral efficency standards in the commercial services and
>they could well slap the same requirements on the ARS if we
>continue to waste huge amounts of VHF/UHF spectrum with
>inefficient FM repeaters, many or which lie dormant 95% or
>more of the time, yet "occupy" a channel (pair) to the exclusion
>of other uses.

>
VHF/UHF is an entirely different topic.
>
>Those comments were intended to stimulate
>thought about adopting new technologies before they are
>forced on us by the FCC, with a possible loss of amateur
>spectrum as another threat.
>
The FCC is not going to mandate any ham modes. It's not a matter of spectral efficiency, it's a matter of utilization and the results of that utilization. If a lack of band occupancy makes it obvious that any underutilized space would better serve the public by being handed off to some other service then so be it and we did it to ourselves. Technological changes won't increase the number of guys using two meters and/or increase the value of ham radio to the public.
>
In fact, a high level of spectral efficiency might bring the FCC to the conclusion that they can compress our space without any loss of our benefit to the public and therefore take the unnesessary space away fron us on that basis.
>- --
>Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

2298. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3881DC92.31B608CC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

T.L. Bryant wrote:
> Then my FT-840 is incorrectly called an "HF transceiver" because it works on
> the 160 band.

Nope, that's not incorrect. In addition to being an "HF transceiver"
it is also an MF "transceiver". An IC-706 MKIIG is an "HF transceiver"
even though it also works on MF, VHF, and UHF. "HF transceiver" is
inclusive as opposed to *exclusive*.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2299. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B. Gump" <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3881CE08.594C@nospam.com>
Source record: 121292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> The following "A-1 Operators" stations were heard operating a North
> American contest in the DX Windows listed:
>
> (Times listed are representative)
>
> 1835.3 W4AN 0227 16 Jan
> 1838.0 K3MM 0259 16 Jan
> 3791.0 W9RE 0216 16 Jan
> 1835.3 W4AN 0257 16 Jan
> 1839.0 N2FF 0330 16 Jan (Hudson Division Director)
>
> The facts speak for themselves.
>
> Note that N2FF, an ARRL DIRECTOR, can't even follow his own band plan.
>
> The true A-1 Ops are those who know they are courteous, obey the
> Gentlemen's Agreements, and don't run their ham stations like commercial
> traffic stations, relying only on quantity derived from automation and
> voice recorders.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

I've often wondered about the A-1 Operator's Club---is it another off shoot of some fraternity. How does one get into it---nominated? How... by brown nosing (or !) another A-1 op? I remember back when there was comotion within the ranks regarding that no new A-1 ops were being nominated and it kinda turned into an insiders club. I think that the requirements for the A-1 Op Club should be reduced and issued maybe for 10 contacts with Rag Chewers Club members and maybe endorsements for suceeding groups of contacts.

How about the Woof Hong club/society/secret fraternity? Maybe that ought to be brought into the light as well.

2300. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3881DCF2.A39721FB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
> It's funny how it's ok to "antagonize" the Extra's for their ego prob, but
> not the other way around, aint it?...

You enjoy antagonizing handicapped people?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2301. Re: Extra Figures (Pictures)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa1idz <wa1idzNOwaSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <1bd14d26.588560a8@usw-ex0110-076.remarq.com>
Source record: 121294

That's correct. 5 figures - A smith Chart, a polar chart,
various FET types, various logic devices, and an op-amp.
This single sheet can be requested from your VEC, or from
the ARRL web page.

Good luck,

* Sent from AltaVista http://www.altavista.com Where you can also find related Web Pages, Images, Audios, Videos, News, and Shopping. Smart is Beautiful

2302. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85smpf$1rp$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121295

This crap ought to stop! I like David no more than most of you; in fact I find him reprehensible but why should race, religion, nationality or sexual preference play a role in assessing anyone? It's un-American, unnecessary and unproductive. Wise up people!
AF2Y

In article <04d17460.5674342b@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com>, Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote:

>
>> All you'd have to do is drop the soap in front of ZQ to find out.
>
>We'll let you prove that one for yourself....
>
>
>* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
>The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>

2303. Re: 14.275 Now open for 14.313 Porkbutt deciples

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85sn4o$h5r$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121296

It's known that "rtileygotchu" is Polly, W4FQF, who now wants to be known as George because he's finally showered. I find his posts to be remarkable in that no one I ever heard jammed as much, created more mayhem and was exactly the type of guy Riley targeted for "clean up time."

In article <85l8uo$ds2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <85kt80$4cl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> john1952@my-deja.com wrote:
>> In article <85j833ugt1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>> rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > Good news!
>> >
>> > Most of the Cancelled amateur, WB2OTK deciples, such as K4RUB,
>VA3GJB,
>> > "BADBOY" NU5M K2ECA,WB5jik,W4NTI,WA4JYZ KE4TEW,K2JW, NK4D the
>"LAWYER"
>> > and their unidentified qrmers and suck boys, (you get the picture),
>> > have moved to 14275. These hams have decided to move to this
>frequency
>> > to get away from 14313.
>> >
>> > We wish them well in their new home..Let us all hope that these
>> > amateurs will find each other agreeable ,stay there and multiply in
>> > numbers.
>> >
>> And if and when K1MAN decides to resumes his broadcasts on 14275,
>> things here could get very interesting very quickly.
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>Should Mr. Baxter prevail and does indeed return to 14.275 then it will
>not be a problem. You see we have already discussed that and decided
>to just standby when he comes up.
>
>And a bit of information. K2ECA is KA2ECA, WA4JYZ is W4JYZ.
>And BTW anyone is welcome, no matter what prior affiliation. As long
>as he keeps it to good amateur radio practice, and try to avoid the
>constant bickering prevelant on 313.
>

>As for being "porkbutt suckups"...considering he had NOTHING at all to
>do with this, and is not on the air, I don't see any connection at all.
>We have moved to hopefully contribute towards an end to this stupid on
>the air bickering. If you want to continue this "radio war" than do it
>elsewhere. 14.275 is open to ANY licensed amateur.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2304. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3881E05C.92A0771B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:
> OF COURSE there'll be
> written exams. Only unlike today when they ostensibly have
> technical content, but no one is required to actually know
> any of it to pass, the technical questions won't even be
> present. Might be a good thing- all those newbies won't have
> to kid themselves that they actually pased a technical test.

Most people use "theory exam" and "written exam" interchangably.
So you think technical theory will be eliminated from the written
exams and rules, regulations, and safety will still be kept on it?

Since the written exam is an *entrance exam* and not a
graduation certificate, isn't knowing rules, regulations,
and safety all that's required for a beginning ham? When
I got my conditional license in 1952, all I really "knew"
were the rules and regulations. I rote-memorized word
associations and schematics for the technical part. There
were not many questions on safety at all way back then.
Yet I was awarded full operating privileges as a conditional.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2305. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85sna6$13d$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary - KJ6Q, posting to rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, wrote:

>TWO basic classes of gun haters in our world - one is those genuinely
>frightened and relatively ignorant of guns and how they are employed by
> <cut>
>Second are those who have ulterior motives, and see some form of benefit
>to THEMSELVES if guns can be eliminated in the hands of ordinary
>citizens. These are varying types of criminals who prefer unarmed

You forgot some other "basic classes," one of which is the regular military, who looks down upon the Second Amendment militia as a pitiful collection of ragtag wannabes and posers, dressing up in mock fatigues, pretending an NRA decal is some kind of badge. The dictionary provides a well-established definition:

"Irregular: Not belonging to the established army organization; not forming an organized military body (1856)."

Irregulars. Perfect description.

Now, having traded insults, let's get back to radio. ;)

Jeff

2306. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <0plg4.2542$hV4.48262@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121299

: > Just remember, most of these Extra class hams will be dead in 10
: > years.
:
: Unlikely. But even if it's true, what's your point? So will a lot
: of Techs, Generals, Novices, Advanced, and even people who never
: had a license at all.
:

Ok. Who are the hams we see here in the NGs protesting the reduction
of code requirements? Mostly older hams, with Extra class licenses. These
are the same ones in my local club that fight any and all changes.

Given that the average age of the members of our hobby is 50, time will
solve the problem. Just look how our ranks have decreased over the
last 10 years due to SK's.

This does not mean that many Extra class hams are not technically forward
thinking how see the need to modernize the hobby. But still there are a
number
of folks, especially here in the NGs, that oppose change.

2307. LIB NET / KKK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: nocodegeek@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000116102916.14363.00000142@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 121300

last night on the lib nit net call sign AA8DP a known
KKK member used the NIGGER word
what A true full blown redneck this guy is
WHAT IS A NIGGER ANYWAY ?
no surprise really this is the guy that thinks only
the white people of this world will meet there GOD
and all else goes to hell
for the ones that do make it to their God i know
you'll find ONE GOD with all colors
a man will be judged by the way he carries himself in life
and treats all men.. black.. white.. red... green

bottom line is IM sure glad when the day comes
guys like Steve aa8dp Kevin Strom wb?aio Lloyd Davis
n0vfp and all the nazi thinking jerks will looking up
wondering why they didn't make it and ill be up there
having a great time

and yes IM white and i love my people just happens that
my peoples color is "HUMAN "

ken

2308. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85sri3kkm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121301

If you really feel that way, why don't you tell your deciple
KC8JBO "ROGER" to stop all the sexual remarks he does on this forum.
Every post he does is about "GAYS" etc, I think you should clean up
your own house first. But you won't of course, its not your "style"

Gotchagoat 2000

In article <85smpf$1rp$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> This crap ought to stop! I like David no more than most of you; in
fact I
> find him reprehensible but why should race, religion, nationality or
sexual
> preference play a role in assessing anyone? It's un-American,
unnecessary
> and unproductive. Wise up people!
> AF2Y
> ----------
> In article <04d17460.5674342b@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com>, Quux
> <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >> All you'd have to do is drop the soap in front of ZQ to find out.
> >
> >We'll let you prove that one for yourself....
> >
> >
> >* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
Network *
> >The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet -
Free!
> >
>

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2309. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <tHlg4.2550$hV4.48907@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121302

```
: >: I hope you are all correct.
: >:
: >: I love CW, it's all I use, and I will be sorry to see it go as a
: >requirement (if it ever does). If CW doesn't make a difference, will
someone tell me
: >: what it is that keeps the bands from sounding like 11 meters? I have
: >seen them (bands) through about 24 years of change, but for as bad as
they
: >seem today, they are nothing compared to 11. Why?
: >
: >The FCC does enforce the rules with respect to the ham bands. Mostly
: >because hams started calling their congressmen and demanded enforcement.
: >It is the large number of hams who believe in the rule of law, who are
not
: >so independent as to go out of their way to harm others.
: >
: >
: >: Sure there are people who Bash book and garbage in garbage out throught
: >the classes, but even most of them retain something. In fact it still
takes
: >: more effort to cram for an exam than it does to go down to Radio Shack
: >:and buy a citizens band radio.
: >
: >There is a cost in being a ham. Even the written test is not that easy.
: >
: >: It might be said that the caliber of person who can at least crack a
: >book and memorize or take some time to learn the code might be just a
littler
: >: higher than those who can't even read. Education of any sort will
always
: >: produce a better idividual.
: >:
: >: So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a
: >:difference, because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any
type of theory
: >is next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's
: >radio services be?
: >
: >Do not bet on that. That is just being bitter.
:
: No, I'm really not bitter. I just feel that lowering the bar with respect
: to anything is the first step on a slippery slope. Look at the American
: public School systems.
```

You sound like the bitter old farts in my local ham club. They want
everything to stay the same as it was when they first got into radio.
Sorry life changes. And most of them will be dead in 10 years.

As far as the schools go, look there is a decrease in the number
kids. We went from having 30 million kids turning 18 in the '60s
to only 9 million in '92. The top 10% of 30M is 3M. For a population
of 9M is less than 1 million. We just have fewer kids thus the dumb
ones show up more frequently. If you want the situation to change,
then encourage the rich and the smart to have 11 kids per family
and make the poor get sterilized.

: I have my ticket, I only spend time on the CW bands so it really doesn't
: effect me directly. I'm just trying to get people to think about things,
: and I'm not saying there is a right or wrong answer.

We are not making it easier, we are simplifying. There were too many
license classes and too many exam elements. Now the tests are much
harder (about double the number of questions). It is just that code is not
as necessary as being technically knowledgeable (the knowledge of the
law is even more important).

Look at the German license structure (I am also DA4NWO). Only three
classes. Class C is like our no-code Technican. Class A is a limited
HF license and Class B is full HF. There is only one code exam and
one written test. Class C has to only pass the written test at 50%.
Class A passes the written at 50% plus the code test (10wpm). The
Class B is a 70% pass on the written plus the 10 wpm code test.

Simple but still it tests.

And the German exam is considered harder than the American exams.

2310. Re: The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <OkDw4kDY$GA.149@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 121303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's been this way since I was licensed (a long time ago) and countless hams have
worked DXCC, even honor roll with low power.
When band conditions are good, I have no trouble working Europe, Asia, Africa,
etc. with 100 watts and a beam. Most of the stations I work are using dipoles and
verticals. Of course all this activity is on CW, not phone.
Steve ... AI7W

<mf_luder@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:85qc47hl1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Big guns, little pistols.
>
> I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry that the web
> is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the license
> structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the current crop
> of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.
>
> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps it's
> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ? AndI
> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
>
> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and beam
> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
> leukemia causing signals ?
>
> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but notno
> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
>
> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter from
> the big guns.
>
> If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks who think
> that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps more people
> would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.
>
> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased yagis,
> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
>
> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and takes up
> model railroading.

>
> Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the requirements
> are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2311. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85ssh5lad1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

As a confirmed liberal, I found myself nodding while reading your post, Dan. You are correct - the economic policies of any President take some time to manifest themselves.
At the core of the "Clinton problem," as I like to think of it, is a lack of character and priciple. I simply don't like the man. I never have trusted him. The public knowingly voted for him, so apparently trust isn't an issue?
Or could it be...that at age 31, I am becoming a conservative?
Didn't P.J. O'Rourke say something along the lines of, "Anyone younger than thirty who is a conservative...is heartless; Anyone older than thirty who is a liberal...is brainless." ?

73s, Dan, and cheers on a well - thought - out bit of writing...

Steve

"Dan K Nelson" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:
> Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:388149CC.D51B37F8@topnow.com...
>
> > Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
> > figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
> > stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created.
>
> Our economy is the direct result of "Reagonomics". The effect of any major
> economic policy shift takes several years to manifest itself to the common
> man. All Clinton did was take credit for the conditions he inherited.
>
> >Real wages are going up for the first time since 1973. (Put another way,
> real >wages were going down during the whole so-called boom of the 80's.)
>
> Again, the cause and effect of "Reagonomics", private enterprise in the
> TelCom and computer technologies,and the fact that Congress has kept Clinton
> from doing any real harm, like Socialized medicine, etc. The current danger
> lies in the fact that those in the White House stay up nights trying to

> figure out how they can control, regulate, and tax these new found
sources
> of private wealth.
>
> >American productivity is the envy of the world.
>
> Again because of the private sector innovation. Nothing the
government runs
> is either cost effective or productive.
>
> > The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much
money!
>
> You would worry too if you knew that you could not back the currency
you
> print with anything of real value. The country was taken off the gold
> standard by the Government to make it easy for them to manipulate the
value
> of paper money to hide their deficit spending. But it's a "house of
cards"
> and if they lose control the scheme will be exposed with drastic
results.
>
> > Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>
> Which proves the Clinton gun grabbers have a hidden agenda. The
violent
> crime rate is declining because the current generation of criminals
are
> growing older - out of the target age group for violent crime.
However, the
> next generation is just now coming into this target age group (18 -
35).
> With the past 8 years of liberal BS in the court system, the after
effects
> will begin to be felt in the coming years.
>
> > Welfare roles are shrinking.
>
> Because many states took it upon themselves to reduce or eliminate
their
> welfare programs. You can thank the conservative Congress for that
one - in
> spite of all the roadblocks Clinton tried to put in place to stop
them.
>
> > Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
>
> Sure, he's an effective lier, he's effective in taking credit for
other's

> work, he's effective in diverting the public's attention from his
criminal
> actions, he's effective in causing major damage to our military, he's
> effective in making the Office of the President a joke to the rest of
the
> world, etc. How much more of this "effectiveness" can the country
take ?
>
> > So, looking at the "bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
> > it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.
>
> Who doesn't ? It's just unfortunate that many were hoodwinked into
thinking
> Clinton was responsible for any of it.
>
> > Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.
>
> Sure, he represented those old failed values like honesty, integrety,
> respect for his country, the people, the military, and the office of
the
> President.
>
> > The American public is not dumb at all.
>
> Not dumb, just misinformed. The majority just receive their daily
> programming via the media and takes it as Gospel. They have lost the
desire
> and/or ability to analyze and differentiate between truth and "Spin".
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
LeMars, Iowa - "Ice Cream Capitol of the World."
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2312. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85solo$1p4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark Bell <bellfamily@supernet.com> wrote:
>If you really want to do something to save lives, why don't you start a
>campaign to ban the automobile? They sure as shootin' kill more people
>every year than guns do!!

250,000 automobile-related deaths each year. Incredible. But at least
I have the opportunity to remain out of the way of your car (by staying
off the street); I have no way of dodging your bullet streaming through
my window.

Jeff

2313. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85sp5a$275$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark Bell <bellfamily@supernet.com> wrote:
>Cecil wrote about Vietnam and Afghanistan. He neglected to list Korea. Go
>to the library and get some books on the effects of airpower on the Korean
>War. While you're at it, read about Vietnam and Afghanistan.

Kissenger used to play good-cop/bad-cop with the North Vietnamese in
regards to them not abiding by some concession, saying something like,
"My boss, Mr. Nixon, has discussed using a nuclear device on Hanoi..."

There are other methods in place of air power in achieving a desired goal.

Jeff

2314. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Noneya@noneya.com
Message-ID: <3881e9c5.157570349@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121307

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 22:34:11 +0000, "radiosigs@mindspring.com"
<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:

Doc, that message was not Bobby but Polly aka George W4FQF. His IP
comes back to 1Cust92.tnt4.memphis3.tn.da.uu.net and you know who's
in Memphis don't you?

2315. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Noneya@noneya.com
Message-ID: <3882ea55.157714154@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121308

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 12:52:55 -0000, "Willy Wilson"
<willy@kilmelford.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>Hi all,
>Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
>the amateur bands please?
>Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
>Willy.
>
You don't need to know.

2316. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Malloy <jmalloy@borg.com>
Message-ID: <3881eeb5.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 121309

Here here! AF2Y is absolutely right. I always thought of ham radio as being sorta like the freemasons (only woman can join, thank goodness!) -- age, sex, race, class, size, country of origin, color of skin, sexual preference and so on didn't matter one whit. All that should matter is a love of radio -- and lord knows there's enough to do in ham radio so that all can be satisfied! C'mon, people, like AF2Y said, wise up!

73,

Joe, W2RBA

<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:85smpf$1rp$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
> This crap ought to stop! I like David no more than most of you; in fact I
> find him reprehensible but why should race, religion, nationality or sexual
> preference play a role in assessing anyone? It's un-American, unnecessary
> and unproductive. Wise up people!
> AF2Y
> ----------
> In article <04d17460.5674342b@usw-ex0107-041.remarq.com>, Quux
> <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >> All you'd have to do is drop the soap in front of ZQ to find out.
> >
> >We'll let you prove that one for yourself....
> >
> >
> >* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> >The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
> >

2317. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Malloy <jmalloy@borg.com>
Message-ID: <3881ed67.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 121310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

> I've often wondered about the A-1 Operator's Club---is it another off
> shoot of some fraternity. How does one get into it---nominated? How...
> by brown nosing (or !) another A-1 op? I remember back when there was
> comotion within the ranks regarding that no new A-1 ops were being
> nominated and it kinda turned into an insiders club. I think that the
> requirements for the A-1 Op Club should be reduced and issued maybe for
> 10 contacts with Rag Chewers Club members and maybe endorsements for
> suceeding groups of contacts.

I'm a "member" of the A-1 Operator's Club but the club doesn't do anything except see to that you are sent a certificate of memership. Oh, yeah, that and 50 cents gets you a cup o' joe at your neighborhood McD's (if you can call their "coffee" "coffee"!). I was nominated back in 1978 by a member in the section I was then living in, Northern Virginia, and by a by a second fellow some six years later. In turn, I have nominated a number of people myself, but with one exception, I don't know whether or not they are members. There is no club, of course, but the "club" supports good operating -- whether on CW, sideband some other mode -- which includes being considerate, forming code clearly and cleanly (NOT speed!) and in general being an all around nice guy. But the only benefit of the "club" is being a member, that's all. Hey, you don't know if you've got a nomination on file and are just waiting for that second one!

> How about the Woof Hong club/society/secret fraternity? Maybe that
> ought to be brought into the light as well.

Aw, that's silly -- I mean, I joined the "Royal Order of the Wouff Hong" in 1966, at the tender age of 16, while at a division convention in Tarreytown, New York. It's really just a joke, at least the "secret handshake" and what-not are. If there is anything serious about it, I sure don't know!

Now, I would be curious about the Rettysnitch and whether there is a secret organization around it -- something like the "Knights of the Templar Rettysnitch" or some such organization! *That* strikes me as ominous (!).

73,

Joe, W2RBA

2318. Re: The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85sv9q$n9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <OkDw4kDY$GA.149@cpmsnbbsa03>,
"Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com> wrote:
> It's been this way since I was licensed (a long time ago) and countless hams
> have
> worked DXCC, even honor roll with low power.
> When band conditions are good, I have no trouble working Europe, Asia,
> Africa,
> etc. with 100 watts and a beam. Most of the stations I work are using
> dipoles and
> verticals. Of course all this activity is on CW, not phone.
> Steve ... AI7W

I work all over with 100 watts and wires or veticals, even netting rare stations during the week at lunch.

The problem starts when the weekend warriors arrive and cause pileups on British or French stations (for example) that call CQ until they're blue during the weekdays.

And it's not just the increased numbers... if everyone ran 100 watts or less (into any kind of antenna- I'm not for regulating antennas as that sort of "experimentation" is part of ham radio), everyone could work more.

Instead there are the residual contesters about, with the overkill stations.

If you have a TH-7, you don't need to switch on your amp... unless you're another one of these "I want it now" people. In that case, you probably will be going for a welfare license too.

As in an arms race, someone has to take a step back.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2319. Re: Disband B.A.R.F?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Nomg4.3527$hN5.170220@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 121312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

JERK
Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ae6g4.5978$8z5.74042@news4.mia...
> With all this "goodwill" on here - I think it's time we no longer need
> B.A.R.F. ?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

2320. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3881f213.1627931@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121313
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 08:37:17 -0500, "Burt" <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote:

>If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
>choice or have your picture on
>the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?

Ahhhhh Demi Moore, I show up at her place for our date and she comes
to the door wearing nothing but black 6 inch spike heels and says
"Would you rather stay in for the evening." ... :-)

>Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years
>
>
>Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
>You will see:
>
>1. How to pass the A+ Certification
>2. Really interesting answering machine messages
>3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
>4. How you know you are a ham.
>5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
>6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
>7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
>8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
>9. An amazing card game that will shock others
>10. Pictures of me and my family.
>11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
>12. See now the future of ham radio.
>
>Burt Fisher
>A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
>Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
> K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have
>
> burt@broadcast.net
> http://hfradio.org/k1oik/
>

2321. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85svocndo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <3881ed67.0@nntp2.borg.com>,
"Joe Malloy" <jmalloy@borg.com> wrote:
> > I've often wondered about the A-1 Operator's Club---is it another off
> > shoot of some fraternity. How does one get into it---nominated? How...
> > by brown nosing (or !) another A-1 op?

These days, YES.

I remember back when there was
> > comotion within the ranks regarding that no new A-1 ops were being
> > nominated and it kinda turned into an insiders club. I think that the
> > requirements for the A-1 Op Club should be reduced and issued maybe for
> > 10 contacts with Rag Chewers Club members and maybe endorsements for
> > suceeding groups of contacts.
>
> I'm a "member" of the A-1 Operator's Club but the club doesn't do anything
> except see to that you are sent a certificate of memership. Oh, yeah, that
> and 50 cents gets you a cup o' joe at your neighborhood McD's (if you can
> call their "coffee" "coffee"!). I was nominated back in 1978 by a member in
> the section I was then living in, Northern Virginia, and by a by a second
> fellow some six years later. In turn, I have nominated a number of people
> myself, but with one exception, I don't know whether or not they are
> members. There is no club, of course, but the "club" supports good
> operating -- whether on CW, sideband some other mode -- which includes being
> considerate, forming code clearly and cleanly (NOT speed!) and in general
> being an all around nice guy. But the only benefit of the "club" is being a
> member, that's all. Hey, you don't know if you've got a nomination on file
> and are just waiting for that second one!

I have one. And in its ideal form the "club" is an OK idea, and should
work as you say.

But since its resurrection, as I 've said (and at least in the East) it
has become a who's who of ARRL employees, ARRL "position" holders,
contesters who know them, and contesters who are ARRL people.

If ARRL and FCC can claim 13 and 20 don't make good operators, neither
does ARRL membership. Yet how many A-1 ops are not affiliated in one way
or another ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2322. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: claudefraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85svqknfi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

In article <3881f213.1627931@news.usol.com>,
chipster66@hotmail.com (Chip) wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 08:37:17 -0500, "Burt" <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of
your
> >choice or have your picture on
> >the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>
> Ahhhhh Demi Moore, I show up at her place for our date and she comes
> to the door wearing nothing but black 6 inch spike heels and says
> "Would you rather stay in for the evening." ... :-)
>

Yes... with her beautifully clean shaved head to kiss and play with all
night long. How sweet it is!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2323. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Malloy <jmalloy@borg.com>
Message-ID: <3881f7d7.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 121316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> Our economy is the direct result of "Reagonomics". The effect of any major
> economic policy shift takes several years to manifest itself to the common
> man. All Clinton did was take credit for the conditions he inherited.

They may have contributed but it was Bush and, mostly, Clinton who were the guys held responsible for the "boom" we're in. You know, it's really interesting: you conservatives hold Carter responsible for the lousy state of the economy which he inherited from Nixon/Ford yet you deny Clinton's responsibility for the good things that have come along. Figures.

> Nothing the government runs is either cost effective or productive.

Um, have you noticed that the "government" does the things that would otherwise not be done by private enterprise? What's your metric for determing whether or not they are effective or productive?

73,

Joe, W2RBA

2324. Re: LIB NET / KKK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Morbstooge <hblones@dalnet.com>
Message-ID: <1Nmg4.3505$541.185282@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121317

Nocodegeek <nocodegeek@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000116102916.14363.00000142@ng-cj1.aol.com...
> last night on the lib nit net call sign AA8DP a known
> KKK member used the NIGGER word
> what A true full blown redneck this guy is
> WHAT IS A NIGGER ANYWAY ?

In the case of AA8DP, insert the word "white" ahead of the
word "ni++er" and you'll have an accurate description.

> bottom line is IM sure glad when the day comes
> guys like Steve aa8dp Kevin Strom wb?aio Lloyd Davis
> n0vfp and all the nazi thinking jerks will looking up
> wondering why they didn't make it and ill be up there
> having a great time

I for one am looking forward to the day that the BATF
pays each one of the loosers above with a "visit".

2325. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4gksATmindspring.com
Message-ID: <3887fb0c.161993834@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 121318

On 14 Jan 2000 07:59:17 GMT, The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com> wrote:

>Robert Casey <wa2ise@netcom.com> hath said:
>: I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to cash in
>: come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm code
>: and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old
>: callsign.
>: It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics hobbyist
>: for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question pools.
>: 73s Bob
>
>Congraduations on passing the written elements Bob.. I'm not
>far behind, a couple more weeks of keeping my nose in the book
>and I'll be going for my General element. And come April 15th
>I'll be ready to go for my Extra element. Hope to work you some
>day. 73' Jimmy KB5FSV
>---
>::: Datasync Internet Supervisor
>::: Jimmy, nexus@datasync.com
>::: http://www.datasync.com/nexus

Another future Welfare Extra.

2326. Astro Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffn@balista.com
Message-ID: <3881f4c3.12609711@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121319

Anyone out there have a Schematic diagram for
a Astro M200AC/BIL 40-10M Amateur Linear

Cliff
"Senior Citizen (Still) in the learning mode"!

2327. Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85t2v9ppt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121320

```
Path: news!ord-feed.news.verio.net!news.altair.com!uwvax!uchinews!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Is KC!ZQ gay?
Date: Sun, 16 Jan 2000 16:27:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <85sri3$kkm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20000112003212.15945.qmail@nym.alias.net>
<400A6A12164CEA9B.0A45C98C6E3AD5DA.49FA8CFD2F293FA0@lp.airnews.net>
<85ihoc$d72$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <04d17460.5674342b@usw-ex0107-
041.remarq.com> <85smpf$1rp$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.14.119.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 16 16:27:59 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98;
DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x27.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.14.119.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDgotchagoat
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:6875

Smellslike_a_ goat,
gotchagoat@my-deja.com whined in message <85sri3
$kkm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>
>
>If you really feel that way, why don't you tell your deciple

"Disciple," you illiterate hillbilly.

>KC8JBO "ROGER" to stop all the sexual remarks he does on this >forum.

The 1st amendment, isn't it great? Does my scathing remarks offend
pious polly's dumbo ears?

>Every post he does is about "GAYS" etc, I think you should clean up
>your own house first. But you won't of course, its not your "style"

Poor, poor, Polly parrot. You must have selective memory. I remember
YOU on 3.901 asking W4JYZ why he had sex with his mother. I heard you,
hypocritical parrot.

>Gotchagoat 2000, which is what he says when he goes out back on >his
1.59 acre 'estate' all heated up after watching birds fornicate....
```

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2328. AC5IU's "WAS" Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85t35n$ps8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121321

AC5IU is at it again, arguing with Donnie on the new frequency.
Deano claims to have WAS on 75 meters with his antenna, but as low as
his signal is, it must've been Worked All Submarines.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2329. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <388203f3_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121322

Congrats!! This is great. It appears to me that many people are
upgrading to General and Extra!! What a boon for the hobby!
--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net
_____

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:85ee9jrcf1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
> I just passed the 4A and 4B writtens today and now have CSCEs to
cash in
> come April 15th! Have from before a pre-1987 tech license (5wpm
code
> and general written). So I'll be a novextra, but I'll keep my old
> callsign.
>
> It wasn't that hard, but realize my background: BSEE, electronics
hobbyist
> for 30 years, pre 1987 tech. And a week of studying the question
pools.
>
> These web sites were a great help:
>
> http://w5ac.tamu.edu/ham-exam-v2/ham-exam.html
> http://www.hamtest.com/
>
> 73s Bob

2330. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <388205B4.CBD8E275@icss.net>
Source record: 121323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <388106C2.C7A99034@icss.net>,
> burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > And the retraction of the NCI slander and lies comes when?
>
> NEVER. The weren't slanders and weren't lies based on the evidence
> provided by the "gentleman" Whether or not he lied or produced
> erroneous evidence to support my claims, well, water under the dam. Be
> happy to produce everything and continue the legal process. All one
> has to do is have the other party agree to it. I am sure a double dog
> dare will do. But what's the beef? You and he are convinced nothing
> incriminating exists. The decision is his. Personally I could care
> less. I got a brand spanking new HF tranceiver out of the whole thing
> and it works as advertised, although I am thinking about one of those
> new fangled computer controlled rigs.

Get over it. You typed a lot, but didn't say much (usual). Sayonara.

2331. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Whitmore <jwhitmo1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rFng4.3245$mj7.151357@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 121324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think it has been established that he is not a ham.....why all the
political B.S. on shortwave NG? take it somewhere else
Ron

2332. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85t1j3$cp9$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121325

Dale Harper <docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> hath said:
:>Congraduate him if you want, but don't sit there and put lies into
:>this person or any person's head and expect me or some one else to
:>just sit here idle as you do a great injustice to the hobby.
:>
:>Do you even realize what you just did??? You tried to get that
:>person to side with your cause and in the process of it trying to
:>get him to think that we hate and maybe envy him. Thats wrong your
:>wrong and you should be ashamed of how you approached it.

: I have no cause. I just commended him for his hard work and I hope he doesn't listen to
the
: hatred for his hard work. If you don't agree, well, I guess that's your problem, not his
mine or
: anyone else's. Too bad you can't commend him also.
: Dale
: KC8FAQ/20

Dale, If you have no cause then why tell him that all of us who will
be getting a license after the 15th of April was going to repress and
hate him because he passed his code. We're not the ones whining, its
the people who have the 20wpm who are complaining, or have you not
noticed? We're the ones being attacked. He should have no reason to
receive hatred for his hard work. I'm glad he got it out of the way
believe me if I could I would do it too, I took the test 3 times and I
have not passed it yet. If I could take it I would right now, not because
I want to call myself a pre-April ham either, but because I'm just so
ready to get in on the action, I like contests, and I enjoy ragging to
but if I could of passed it I would of by now. Its not happening for me
so I'm left with the April 15th change then I can start breathing new
life into the hobby for me thats been basicly dead and boring for the past
7 years.

I did commend him, you just looked over it, and I'm commending him in
this followup as well. I hold nothing against those who have passed
their 20wpm past or present, Pre-Aprilist. I commend you for being able
to accomplish something I could not because of choice, but because of
ability. I missed the guy's handle and callsign but if he does happen
to read this I'm am glad for him and I hope he's enjoying his new areas
of operation.

Now here is something interesting, I ask you to commend me when I pass
my General Written and Extra Written Post 15-April. How about it Dale?
Are you going to commend me when I past my new tests???? Or are you
going to just harbor the hatred you hold towards the Post Aprilists?

Jimmy, KB5FSV

Burn her, she's a witch! And how do you know she is a witch?
She turned me into a newt! Ahhhh newt? I got better.......
Monty Python

2333. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85t2rm$d8j$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121326

Bodu, I don't know, I'm not speaking for everyone else, I'm only speaking for myself. I have no shame in not being able to pass a test I have attempted in the past. After the first 2 times I gave up on studying because I just couldn't get it. I tried it a third time again just the other night and once again didn't get either the questionaire or the straight minute of code. I tried damn it, I did but I just can't do it. I have no shame, I tried, and if I'm going to be branded a Post-Aprilist then so be it, I'm not going to hide that fact, and if you want to QSO with me then great, if its a problem for you then either move off freq or I'll move off freq but I'm not going to need that 13/20wpm hump under my belt to make me feel like a complete ham. I've done my bit for this hobby already for 12+ years and thats what makes me proud, I've performed in emergency, in contests I've elmered, I've helped people when help was needed and I promoted the hobby through public precence. I can't pass the 13/20wpm code, so what, BIG DEAL. Its just a thing, nothing more, I'm no better or worse than you or any other ham out there.

I never said the people who have passed the code are ones with egos, if thats the impression you got from my post, sorry, its not intended and that is not what I was implying. I think its great that people have the code under their belts. They should be proud that they accomplished it and should hold honor in their hearts that they were the pace setters, but now we're moving into a new era and a way, and the people who have 13/20wpm should now prove themselves not to be bastards of the hobby but be the Hams of old and embrace the Hams of new and help continue to build up the hobby so that we may be strong again.

I just feel sorry for someone who is afraid to go into ham radio post aprilist because of persecution. I don't think its going to be that big of a deal on-air. There sure have been a lot of attacks here on the newsgroups and hopfully thats where most of it is going to stay on the groups and not on the air. But even if they are on the air, I'll just let it one ear out the other and move on in life still enjoying the hobby, still promoting it, still evolving with it. All I ask is that the FCC don't take away our privilages and then I'll be ok. They have made a huge morale booster for me recently so thats why there is no way I see fault in this move, it feels good, I feel alive again and I'm all excited, even planning to put an HF mobile in the truck, and thats something I've never considered before. I'm giddy over it but I refuse to have an ego trip or panic attack over the oncoming battle between the pre and post aprilists. :)
Jimmy KB5FSV

BD <bodu@pobox.com> hath said:
: Whyy is it always the people who couldn't do it that're the people "without
: the ego's" and the people who could do it are the people "with the
: ego's"?...
: Huh?
:> Maybe you but not me... When I'm asked about it I'm going to tell
:> the truth, I've been licensed for 12+ years, I got into the hobby
:> mainly to be active on 2 meter, never really had an interest to
:> study for higher code, and at the time barely made it beyond 5wpm.

-- ________
------------------------- ___________| |_____________
------------------------ / Heartbeat of America /
---------------------- / http:// www.datasync.com/nexus /
---------------------- /_____________ __________/
|________|

2334. Re: LIB NET / KKK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: oi0lo@aol.commode
Message-ID: <20000116133604.26195.00000143@ng-dh1.aol.com>
Source record: 121327

>
>
> and yes IM white and i love my people just happens that
> my peoples color is "HUMAN "
>
> ken

Another self-deluded lemming.
What an idiot!

Let's see what tune he'll be singing when
the Mexicans start a civil war in the Southwest, and the black scum finally
move in to his neighborhood and start eyeing his daughter.

2335. Re: The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85t7ddspm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85qc47hl1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> Big guns, little pistols.
>
> I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry that the
web
> is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the license
> structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the current
crop
> of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.
>
> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps
it's
> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ?
AndI
> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
>
> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and
beam
> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
> leukemia causing signals ?
>
> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but
notno
> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
>
> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter
from
> the big guns.
>
> If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks who
think
> that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps more
people
> would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.
>
> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased yagis,
> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
>
> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and takes
up

> model railroading.
>
> Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the requirements
> are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I contest, I
use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2336. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85t35h$d8j$2@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121329

Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net> hath said:
: Congrats!! This is great. It appears to me that many people are
: upgrading to General and Extra!! What a boon for the hobby!
: Roger J. Buffington
: W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Is that boon or boom? and whats the difference, I've never heard
of boon before.
Jimmy, KB5FSV

Burn her, she's a witch! And how do you know she is a witch?
She turned me into a newt! Ahhhh newt? I got better.......
Monty Python

2337. Re: ABuzecky - Racist or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: oi0lo@aol.commode
Message-ID: <20000116135128.26195.00000144@ng-dh1.aol.com>
Source record: 121330

>>>In a message dated 1/14/00 10:36:06 AM Mountain Standard Time, ABuzecky
>>writes:
>>>
>>>> What kills me about people like you is you love to label people that
>have
>>>> differing viewpoints. You have had two emails with me and already I'm a
>>JEW
>>>> HATER! You are no different then the ghetto nigger that gets beat up by
>>a
>>>> white kid and screams racism, they don't mention the fact that the
>>nigger
>>>> was stealing the white guys wallet though!
>>>> Bryant if your so concerned about death and violence what about all the
>>>> deaths that nigger street gangs create? I live in a rather upscale
>>community
>>>
>>>> that has NEVER had gang violence until about 4 years ago. Do you know
>>why we
>>>
>>>> have gang violence now? Because the apartments in the outlying area
>have
>>>> started renting out to section 8 housing residents. Section 8 is gov.
>>>> housing were they farm ghetto b
>

(..... The rest omitted for brevity ....)

Wow, this ABuzecky guy, unlike many White Americans who believe the lies about race that endlessly spew forth from their Jew-controlled TV programs, really understands the TRUTH about race in these dying days of America.

Apparently, speaking the TRUTH about racial matters makes one a racist. Go figure!

But then again, I guess telling the truth is considered old-fashioned and mean-spirited these days in the land of Clintonia.

2338. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85t3al$d8j$3@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121331

: On 14 Jan 2000 07:59:17 GMT, The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com> wrote:
:>Congraduations on passing the written elements Bob.. I'm not
:>far behind, a couple more weeks of keeping my nose in the book
:>and I'll be going for my General element. And come April 15th
:>I'll be ready to go for my Extra element. Hope to work you some
:>day. 73' Jimmy KB5FSV
:>---
:>::: Datasync Internet Supervisor
:>::: Jimmy, nexus@datasync.com
:>::: http://www.datasync.com/nexus

Casey wrote:
: Another future Welfare Extra.

Hah hah hah.. Yep, what a shame, just another welfare
extra keeping the hobby from dying out. Whats this world
coming to? eh!

Jimmy, KB5FSV
--
John 3:16
For God so loved the world that he gave his
only begotten Son that whoever believes in
him shall not perish but have eternal life.
I believe, do you? Jimmy, nexus@ametro.net

2339. Re: How to avoid being a LID when I get my extra?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <85t3eh$d8j$4@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 121382

```
aaa W5TIT, Kim Walker <kw5tit@hotmail.com> hath said:
: Hi Robert! I always plug the "ARRL Operating Manual" because I think
: it's THE best book I ever read when I was waiting for my ticket after I
: passed my tests. It'll give you firsthand knowledge on a little about
: everything ham. It's a great book...
: 73 Kim W5TIT

Kim I got to know, is that an FCC issued license or is it a vanity?
Jimmy, KB5FSV
--
,---. Dialup Networking could not negotiate a compatible set
CO-|| Y of network protocols you specified in the server type
O || | settings. Check your network configuration in the control
| D J panel and try the connection again.
// /"""' -- Welcome to Windows 95
`'``
```

2340. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <85t3ifciu1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Boy I'm really glad you brought this to light...Its so unfair to have any organizations or awards that take effort to achieve. The ARRL should include with their membership card the following

DXCC certificate
WAS certificate
A-1 op certificate
Rag Chewers Award

the list goes on and on. They all take too much effort...and there are so many people who feel bad cause they don't have these yet..surely its cause the requirements are too tuff.

Just a thought
de Tim K0FL

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote in message <85svocndo1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <3881ed67.0@nntp2.borg.com>,
> "Joe Malloy" <jmalloy@borg.com> wrote:
>> > I've often wondered about the A-1 Operator's Club---is it another off
>> > shoot of some fraternity. How does one get into it---nominated?
How...
>> > by brown nosing (or !) another A-1 op?
>
>These days, YES.
>
>
>I remember back when there was
>> > comotion within the ranks regarding that no new A-1 ops were being
>> > nominated and it kinda turned into an insiders club. I think that the
>> > requirements for the A-1 Op Club should be reduced and issued maybe for
>> > 10 contacts with Rag Chewers Club members and maybe endorsements for
>> > suceeding groups of contacts.
>>
>> I'm a "member" of the A-1 Operator's Club but the club doesn't do
anything
>> except see to that you are sent a certificate of memership. Oh, yeah,
that
>> and 50 cents gets you a cup o' joe at your neighborhood McD's (if you can
>> call their "coffee" "coffee"!). I was nominated back in 1978 by a member
in
>> the section I was then living in, Northern Virginia, and by a by a second
>> fellow some six years later. In turn, I have nominated a number of
people

>> myself, but with one exception, I don't know whether or not they are
>> members. There is no club, of course, but the "club" supports good
>> operating -- whether on CW, sideband some other mode -- which includes
being
>> considerate, forming code clearly and cleanly (NOT speed!) and in general
>> being an all around nice guy. But the only benefit of the "club" is
being a
>> member, that's all. Hey, you don't know if you've got a nomination on
file
>> and are just waiting for that second one!
>
>I have one. And in its ideal form the "club" is an OK idea, and should
>work as you say.
>
>But since its resurrection, as I 've said (and at least in the East) it
>has become a who's who of ARRL employees, ARRL "position" holders,
>contesters who know them, and contesters who are ARRL people.
>
>If ARRL and FCC can claim 13 and 20 don't make good operators, neither
>does ARRL membership. Yet how many A-1 ops are not affiliated in one way
>or another ?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2341. Re: AC5IU's "WAS" Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85t8bqtgq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121384

In article <85t35n$ps8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> AC5IU is at it again, arguing with Donnie on the new frequency.
> Deano claims to have WAS on 75 meters with his antenna, but as low as
> his signal is, it must've been Worked All Submarines.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
WAS means Worked All Sets (as in TV sets) in Dean's case.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2342. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38821125.B53A3FEB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
>
> I've never thought of techs as being handicapped, but I guess that they are,
> aint they? ;)

Some are. Haven't you ever heard of "Handihams"?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2343. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duncan Walters <tesla@cwcom.net>
Message-ID: <38821D01.ECFBCBC9@cwcom.net>
Source record: 121386
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Willy Wilson wrote:

> Hi all,
> Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
> the amateur bands please?
> Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> Willy.

Now why do you want to know that for, Willy?
Duncan G4DFV

2344. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38822147.12B3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121387
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Burt wrote:
>
> If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
> choice or have your picture on
> the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>

Yes, I agree that Demi Moore as G.I. Jane, and we go out
target shooting together, would be really great!!
Heck, she could even join my partner and I.

(if you look at my ham station in the QRZ link below, you'll
see why this makes sense!) ..

Of course I'm outing myself to the public-at-large
regarding my preferences in relationships..

But... what the heck... It's fun being honest
about what one likes :-)

Also, I'm NOT available.. Am in a solid relationship
for the past 14 years and she is listed as my domestic
partner with my employer, so she gets the appropriate
benefits..

Trish

--

Patricia E. Gibbons
Acting Chief Communications Technician
City of San Jose - ITD/communications
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

Echelon Magnet:

GUARDRAIL VENONA MI6 domestic disruption smuggle
15kg nitrate Pretoria M-14 enigma Bletchley Park
Clandestine NRO NWO afsatcom CQB NVD Counter
Terrorism Tritium Trigger devices

2345. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <388223F7.3CB7D56A@icss.net>
Source record: 121388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

randas@mindspring.com wrote:

> Boy I'm really glad you brought this to light...Its so unfair to have any
> organizations or awards that take effort to achieve. The ARRL should include
> with their membership card the following
>
> DXCC certificate
> WAS certificate
> A-1 op certificate
> Rag Chewers Award
>
> the list goes on and on. They all take too much effort...and there are so
> many people who feel bad cause they don't have these yet..surely its cause
> the requirements are too tuff.
>
> Just a thought
> de Tim K0FL

Don't forget to include a 10-10 number and an HPM certificate good for 40wpm.

2346. Re: The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "aaa W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4D2EC02880F06817.509042F6C02BA8FE.5CC58B64751E7FCC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
> don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I contest, I
> use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
> your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
> DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Ditto...I use a new Radio Shack HTX-10 and have a blast with my 25 watts and a Wilson 100 mag mount, mobile. I have talked, literally, all over the world with it. The radio's "christening" weekend was the most recent contest in, I think, November.

Yeah, if someone's that frustrated with the hobby, it's their choice to keep beating themselves up over it or go find something else to do.

73, Kim W5TIT

2347. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <3886281e.2631010@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 121390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net> wrote:

>Yes, those dirty business people. All they want is money! Funny how
>Radio Shack started the Family Radio Service. Just think what would
>have happened had RS gone after 80/40/20 mtrs.

Don't worry, after 4/15/2000, it will.

2348. WTB: Drake RV4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9sqg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000116160257.27235.00000377@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 121391

Fellow ham is looking for a Drake RVC-4. Please call Bob at 870-258-3511.

73

Evan

2349. loop antenna resonance vs. PVC enclosure??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjcduke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000116161400.03825.00000253@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 121392

I have a square loop of bare copper 18 gauge wire.

Thru the use of a grid dip meter, I have determined that the loop is resonant at 145.710 Mhz.

If I run this wire thru PVC tubing of approx. 3/4 inch inside diameter, will the resonant freq of the loop rise, or will the resonant freq decrease?

Would the change be a tiny change.....such as now resonant at 146.000 Mhz.....or would the change in resonant freq be much more.....such as a change of several Mhz??

Thank you.....KA0FPJ

2350. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85thin$3rq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <386ACB14.9D6C2567@ix.netcom.com>,
David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> The jack-booted thugs
> continue to build the murderous police state,
> while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?

At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.

When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
"Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
on." Looks like there a lot of "sheep" reading 2600 too.

--
~~~
If you make people think they're thinking, they'll love you;
but if you really make them think, they'll hate you.
~~~

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2351. PARG 2000/2000 Project: Update on repeater linking & access.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <uLqg4.1177$_G1.21868@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 121394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Current status of the PARG 2000/2000 project.
(Linking 2000 miles in the year 2000)

This week the Oregon Division & the Mid-west Division of PARG have been conducting extensive testing of repeater linking & repeater access between the two divisions. As all should be aware, the repeaters are seperated by roughly 2000 miles.

This last week KS0F has been in Oregon & regularly able to access a PARG Mid-West Division repeater via the INTERNET PORT (Port 2) of the repeater. The repeater operates on 443.575 MHz (Port 1) under the call of KS0F. Multiple
contacts have been made on nearly a daily basis. The only problem at this time
appears to be packet loss in the Internet Telephony end of the connection. Usually
Microsoft Netmeeting & occasionally Vocaltec I-Phone are used for this connection.

For several days of the last week the 147.435 MHz repeater in Portland has been
occasionally linked with the 147.435 MHz repeater in the Midwest Division via 10
meter FM. This connection has been sporadic as band conditions permit. On January 12th KS0F & K0NH conducted a lengthy contact on the two individual 147.435 repeaters when they were linked at roughly 1 PM Pacific time via the 10
meter FM link.

These innovative high-technology plans & actions by the PARG Repeater & Techincal Committees under the joint guidence of the PARG Oregon & Mid-west Divisions are very cutting edge in the communications world and in the amateur radio
world. Such activities make us PROUD to be PARG MEMBERS!!!

Further questions should be sent to the PARG Public Information Officer (PIO) at
PIO@PARG.ORG

2352. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leigh Marrin/KM6JE <leigh@coyote.rain.org>
Message-ID: <85tf9s$a7g$1@news.rain.org>
Source record: 121395

The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com> wrote:
: : upgrading to General and Extra!! What a boon for the hobby!
: : Roger J. Buffington
: : W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

: Is that boon or boom? and whats the difference, I've never heard
: of boon before.

Jimmy, my Webster's defines "boon" as a "welcome benefit; blessing".

73. Leigh Marrin/KM6JE.

2353. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Lemken <plemken@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <85tetq$22up6$1@fu-berlin.de>
Source record: 121396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>In article <3881ed67.0@nntp2.borg.com>,
> "Joe Malloy" <jmalloy@borg.com> wrote:
>>Hey, you don't know if you've got a nomination on file
>> and are just waiting for that second one!
>
>I have one.

How can you have a nomination for a club without a callsign and
a name? Weird.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

2354. Re: The arms race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85tlfq$6gd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121397

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <85t7dd$spm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <85qc47$hl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>> Big guns, little pistols.
>>
>> I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry that the
>web
>> is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the license
>> structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the current
>crop
>> of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.
>>
>> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps
>it's
>> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ?
>AndI
>> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
>>
>> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and
>beam
>> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
>> leukemia causing signals ?
>>
>> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but
>notno
>> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
>>
>> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter
>from
>> the big guns.
>>
>> If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks who
>think
>> that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps more
>people
>> would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.
>>
>> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
>> If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
>> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased yagis,
>> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
>>
>> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and takes
>up
```

>> model railroading.
>>
>> Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the requirements
>> are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
>don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I contest, I
>use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
>your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
>DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

You tell 'em Dan.

He probably has to use an amplifier because he can't figure out what a
dipole is.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2355. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R.Gemmer" <david.r.gemmer@gte.net>
Message-ID: <twrg4.2862$hV4.64958@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I share Scott's approach. As said elsewhere on this group, I passed the Novice exam for the third time in my life yesterday, drawn back to ham radio now that my difficulty with 13 wpm is soon irrelevant. I'm an attorney, and just have a tech interest that lately has been well-served with computers. So, the reduced code requirement will bring in some quality along with the rabble (we all can agree that a PhD is good for the art, but a lawyer is debatable).

2356. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <UDmCOARn7ZHFcHeRJCRo1q3C5prX@4ax.com>
Source record: 121399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 14:46:29 GMT, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote:
<Carl wrote:>
>>Please provide a quote where I said any such thing ... I have
>>REPEATEDLY stated that I have no agenda to, nor would I
>>support, ANY move to ban the use of Morse (your "traditional"
>>mode, per your own comments).
>>
>Then why did you and Coffman come up with your utterly brilliant
>concepts of HF cw contesting via digital "simulation" vs. using
>existing band space for the existing analog cw mode?

It was just an illustration of one technique which could be used to
support 20 million simultaneous users on the amateur HF bands
while maintaining a familiar operator interface for those accustomed
to using 20 WPM Morse (though of course a Morse user interface
isn't essential to the technique).

We haven't begun to fully exploit what we can do with modulations
and codings on the amateur bands. We could support a much larger
number of users while maintaining a familiar user interface if we
chose to do so. Or we could have much larger throughputs with a
somewhat smaller number of users if that's what we desired to
do.

Neither Carl nor I were pushing this as *the* way we should all be
operating. It was simply an illustration of one way that we could
operate successfully with a much much higher user occupancy
of our spectrum. There are many other techniques, or mixes of
techniques, which could be used instead.

From my viewpoint as an experimenter, I wouldn't like to see us
confine ourselves to any single coding technique, or any small
set of coding techniques. Having people experimenting with a
large variety of techniques is more in character with our service.

>>What I HAVE said is that it's time to make room for and stop
>>erecting unnecessary barriers to the introduction of new technology.
>>
>What barriers are currently in place or under consideration which are
>preventing the introduction of new technology, Mr. Stevenson??

The 300 baud speed limit, and the 1 kHz occupied spectrum limit
are two. Another is the requirement to use one of only three ISO
alphabetic codings for message text. Those barriers are very

limiting with respect to implementing a number of techniques
which exploit the Shannon relation in non-traditional ways.

>Let's get down to some nits and grits: Pick a band, any band, say
>3.5-4.0 Mhz. for discussion purposes. Given your druthers and the
>freedom to do so how would you remap that spectrum space to accomodate
>both the traditional modes and the room for new technology?

Ideally, fully mixed usages would be permitted, but I realize that isn't
a practical solution for some legacy modes. So I'd like to see the top
and bottom 50 kHz of the band be set aside for non-traditional modes,
and let the rest of the band be divided up any way traditional users might
like. (I want use of both ends so some diversity modes can be exploited
that can't be done in a single small segment.) Importantly, I'd like this to
be handled by gentlemen's agreements, not cast in stone by regulations.
I'm deliberately patterning this roughly after the SSB/AM partitioning that
was used in the 50s and early 60s on this band.

>>*If* people decide that the new technology is better and decide
>>to abandon the use of "the traditional modes" that's fine with me,
>>but it will, as far as I am concernced, be a voluntary choice on
>>their part(s).
>>
>That's obviously not up to you but aside from that what's new about
>modes being accepted or rejected by the users of ham spectrum space?
>At one point measurable NBFM was in use on HF but it didn't suceed in
>the market which finally standardized on ssb as a better way to go
>than AM. Numerous digital modes are out there right now competing for
>users and I expect that eventually one or some will supplant RTTY as
>the dominant digital mode. The mode marketing opportunity has always
>existed so what's the problem?

RTTY has already been displaced from the top spot, but there are a
number of other techniques that current regulations and band plans
don't permit to be used at all. The market can't fairly decide when
regulatory fiat doesn't allow the modes into the marketplace.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2357. Re: loop antenna resonance vs. PVC enclosure??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <388237E1.23DFDFBB@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 121400

Sjcduke wrote:

> I have a square loop of bare copper 18 gauge wire.
>
> Thru the use of a grid dip meter, I have determined that the loop is resonant
> at 145.710 Mhz.
>
> If I run this wire thru PVC tubing of approx. 3/4 inch inside diameter, will
> the resonant freq of the loop rise, or will the resonant freq decrease?
>
> Would the change be a tiny change.......such as now resonant at 146.000
> Mhz......or would the change in resonant freq be much more........such as a
> change of several Mhz??
>
> Thank you........KA0FPJ

I would not expect any change.

--
Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
http://www.harvardrepeater.org
http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

2358. cliques in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <7Crg4.906$Pb4.7635@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 121401

Does anyone have a list of the known cliques in ham radio?

--
Phil Howard - KA9WGN	for headlines that	Just say no to absurd patents
phil-nospam@ipal.net	really matter:	Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN)
Dallas - Texas - USA	linuxhomepage.com	Shop http://bn.com/ instead

2359. REPOST: Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <REPOST-9023.724609375.vLkg4.2522$hV4.47186@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121402
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Clint Bradford <clint@clintbradford.com> wrote ,
: mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com wrote:
: > This individual "WAS" broadcasting foul
: > language on CHP radio frequencies.
:
: As well as many other public service and law enforcement frequencies in
: Southern California.

Could give more details? Did the amateur radio community assist?

: - Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
: http://www.clintbradford.com
:
:
: Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
: Before you buy.

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!torn!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-ge.switch.ch!news1.sunrise.ch!not
-for-mail
From: "N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Newsgroups: schule.test,kiel.test,owl.test,sat.test,mn.test,uk.test,at.test,de.test,alt.la
w-enforcement
Subject: cmsg cancel <vLkg4.2522$hV4.47186@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Control: cancel <vLkg4.2522$hV4.47186@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Date: 16 Jan 2000 22:21:11 GMT
Organization: sunrise communications ag
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <bLgj0.6224$rO7.41746@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mtrose.sapal.ch
X-Trace: news1.sunrise.ch 948061276 1647 195.141.30.3 (16 Jan 2000 22:21:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@sunrise.ch
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2000 22:21:16 GMT
```

2360. REPOST: Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <REPOST-28649.1256713867.PJkg4.2519$hV4.47006@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121403
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Did the amateur radio community assist with his arrest?
Could you give more details??

Mitchell Enterprises <mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
: This subject was arrested and booked by the California Highway Patrol
: inconjunction with the FCC. This individual "WAS" broadcasting foul
: language on CHP radio frequencies.
:
: --
: Kenneth Allan Mitchell
: Mitchell Enterprises
: mailto:mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com
: http://www.kenmitchell.com <--------In Progress

========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
Path: ...!torn!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-ge.switch.ch!news1.sunrise.ch!
not-for-mail
From: "N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Newsgroups: schule.test,kiel.test,owl.test,sat.test,mn.test,uk.test,at.test,de.test,alt.la
w-enforcement
Subject: cmsg cancel <PJkg4.2519$hV4.47006@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Control: cancel <PJkg4.2519$hV4.47006@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Date: 16 Jan 2000 22:21:17 GMT
Organization: sunrise communications ag
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <PWlm2.8527$zC2.38028@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mtrose.sapal.ch
X-Trace: news1.sunrise.ch 948061283 1647 195.141.30.3 (16 Jan 2000 22:21:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@sunrise.ch
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2000 22:21:23 GMT
```

2361. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38824811.1304839@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 01:15:01 -0900, Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net>
wrote:

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
>> > not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
>> > hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
>> > got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
>> > my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
>> > got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
>> > had used that fact to build my resume.
>
>Speaking of the old days--when is the last time anyone bothered to FIX a
>TV. They all end up in the landfill, and you know the *greenies* hate
>that. Where is a TV repairman when you need one?

Actally, those types of jobs are over. There isn't enough
profit. Why pay a TV repairman when a couple hundred
bucks gets you the latest set with all the bells and whistles.
That is why hams don't get hired into low level tech jobs
as they did in the 50s/60s. There are NO such low level
tech jobs.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2362. Re: PARG 2000/2000 Project: Update on repeater linking & access.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <sstg4.694$JP5.35750@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121405
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Innocent John!!" <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org> wrote in message
news:uLqg4.1177$_G1.21868@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Current status of the PARG 2000/2000 project.
> (Linking 2000 miles in the year 2000)

Who cares? Tell us about smoking micors and power supplies and then trying
to screw the owner over and won't pay for your foul up.

You suck and if you belong to PARG it obviously must suck.

2363. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <jDug4.72$6w1.5747@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 121406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <388205B4.CBD8E275@icss.net>, burke1@icss.net says...
> kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > In article <388106C2.C7A99034@icss.net>,
> > burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > > And the retraction of the NCI slander and lies comes when?
> >
> > NEVER. The weren't slanders and weren't lies based on the evidence
> > provided by the "gentleman" Whether or not he lied or produced
> > erroneous evidence to support my claims, well, water under the dam. Be
> > happy to produce everything and continue the legal process. All one
> > has to do is have the other party agree to it. I am sure a double dog
> > dare will do. But what's the beef? You and he are convinced nothing
> > incriminating exists. The decision is his. Personally I could care
> > less. I got a brand spanking new HF tranceiver out of the whole thing
> > and it works as advertised, although I am thinking about one of those
> > new fangled computer controlled rigs.
>
> Get over it. You typed a lot, but didn't say much (usual). Sayonara.

Get over what Brainiac? You asked, I answered. Sorry the answer wasn;t
the one you were looking for. Typical Pinky troll narf.

Toodles tinker belle regards to Lennie
--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL
```

2364. Re: The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38828923.CA19CA07@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

&#65533;

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps it's
> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ? AndI
> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.

How many contests are sponsored by the ARRL vs contests sponsored by other groups?

> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and beam
> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
> leukemia causing signals ?

Actually it's the net regulars that get in the way of my contesting. I guess it's a matter of perspective.

> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but notno
> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.

This is absolute rubbish and I'll be glad to prove it to you ANY weekend (providing propagation that is)

> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter from
> the big guns.

More rubbish, additionally contesters avoid the WARC bands, if 20 is open most likely 17 is too. Since we've decided NOT to use that band is it unreasonable that you move there duing a contest?

> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> If station A&#65533; can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased yagis,
> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
>
> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and takes up
> model railroading.

And this of course would explain the sales of 2700 pc. of the 38 Special QRP kits from the Norcal radio group a couple years ago? 300mw with a VXO. I've worked dozens of them on the air.
I wonder who's buying radios from all these QRP kit mfgs? Dans Small Parts, EleCraft, EMTECH, Kanga US, Oak Hills Research, Radio Devices, Red Hot Radio, Small Wonder Labs, S&S Eng, Wilderness Radio.
&#65533;

ddz

2365. Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Lcvg4.9025$8z5.113475@news4.mia>
Source record: 121408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

The Censors March On

This edition of The SPOTLIGHT will be the very first one thousands of you will have seen. A substantial number of you will have Internet access and will visit our website, also for the first time.

But some of you will be filtered out or "blocked" from our site by censoring software which is always promoted as a means to protect children from sexual pornography. No one can argue with that objective. However, a filter as a means of blocking access to sites"any sites"is a form of censorship which is already being abused. Some of these filters do not block all pornographic sites as advertised, but do block sites that an unelected elite considers politically or culturally incorrect.

This often includes The SPOTLIGHT site which is a reflection of the populist philosophy of this newspaper and its publisher, Liberty Lobby. SurfWatch software censors The SPOTLIGHT under their category "Violence/Hate." Do you find any violent or hate spewing articles here in The SPOTLIGHT? I-Gear, a software al ready in use by many government, school, library and corporate entities, also censors our site. Another filter, WebSENSE, labels us "Politics" but it is unclear if we are censored by them. Can you imagine filtering every site that can be labeled "Politics?" CyberPatrol, another software censor, claims we are not on their "CyberNot" list at this time.

The fact is that police agencies of most countries know precisely where pornography is generated on the Internet but they do not crack down on it. This raises a question of the true motives of the media barrage against pornography on the Internet. The fall of the Soviet Union has often been attributed to information spread over a fax network that the government could not prevent. Internet information is hundreds of times faster and more prolific as homes all over the world come "on line." A necessity and reason for censorship must exist if undesirable truths are to be eliminated from the Internet by the powerful elitists who want absolute control of all means of communication. Therefore they are using the guise of "fighting obscenity on the Internet" as a clever cover by which to launch censorship of dissident political views and opinions on the Internet that threaten the power and control of that establishment elite.

Although parents and others are being encouraged to use censoring software at home, filtering software is being promoted for use and mandated by local laws on public computers in libraries and schools all the time. In fact, George W. Bush, in a recent presidential debate, said he is an advocate of using filtering software in schools and public libraries. If he becomes the

next president, will he propose any institution of learning that receives public funding employ some type of censoring software or risk losing money?

It is also becoming a sales gimmick to ?bundle? censoring filters on new computers as one of many ?free? software programs in the package. The SPOTLIGHT is interested in spreading truth, not censoring it. We ask our readers to cooperate with us to keep truth alive on the Internet. What you can do is write or e-mail us anytime you find our site, or similar sites, blocked. Give us the details, including the software used if you can determine its manufacture.

Until the advent of the Internet, amateur ham radio was virtually the only free and independent means for large numbers of people to communicate and to trade ideas and opinions without censorship. Now, some powerful special interest groups are using their high-level political clout (not to mention their influence among some ham radio operators) to attempt to censor and jam ham radio just as these same interest groups are also trying to censor the Internet.

One of the most popular ham radio forums over the past 30 years has been the Liberty Net, which originally started out as a group of radio operators who all happened to be supporters of Liberty Lobby, the populist Institution in Washington, D.C., that publishes The SPOTLIGHT. In fact, the Liberty Net actually preceded the launching of The SPOTLIGHT in 1975.

In recent years the Liberty Net has been targeted by the high-level censors and now even the Federal Communications Commission (perhaps influenced by the special interests) has been siding with those who are trying to disrupt free and independent discussion on ham radio.
These remarkable developments were described on the July 18, 1999, broadcast of The SPOTLIGHT?s weekly radio talk forum, Radio Free America with host Tom Valentine.

The guest was Bill Lauderback, a veteran ham radio operator who is also widely known as the inventor of the ?tiny tenna? that many short-wave radio listeners utilize to increase the power and reception for their short-wave radios. (For more on the ?tiny tenna? and other products of interest to ham radio and short wave radio, write: Catalogue, P.O. Box 66, Hanover, Mich. 49241.)

The story you are about to tell us is very interesting because it tells a lot about the nature of the politics in this country and how special interest groups are trying to suppress freedom of speech in all of the independent venues of communication, such as ham radio (as well as the Internet).

I wasn?t really a participant in the Liberty Net per se. There were a couple other nets on 3.950 megahertz that I participated in during the week. But generally on Saturday nights I would listen for a short while and then turn in.

What has come down the pike is a problem that started seven years ago with a gentleman out of Evansville, Ind. This guy is David O. Castle. He took it upon himself seven years ago to enlist some help in trying to purposely jam and maliciously interfere with the Liberty Net which was on the 3.950 lower sideband.

That channel at 3.950 was the channel that ham radio operators talked on to other ham radio operators all over the world.
Most people who have shortwave radios are capable of picking this up. So people can listen in to the Liberty Net.

Now the Liberty Net was actually founded as a result of the work of Liberty Lobby, the publisher of The SPOTLIGHT and the sponsor of my program.

Yes. Approximately 30 years ago a group of amateur radio operators found that they had a common bond. They were all members of Liberty Lobby. So they gathered up on the 75 meter band, which is what you call the frequency range between 3.5 and 4 MHz, the amateur radio section that the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) has granted to ham radio operators to talk on, and they decided to put together a discussion group which "met" once a week over the air.

Over the years this group grew and grew and incorporated people from all over the political spectrum. There were people from the hard left and from the hard right and a lot of people in be tween. They would banter back and forth talking about current events.

However, as I noted, there was a man named David O. Castle of Evansville, Ind., who decided that he didn't like the political speech that was going on and he decided to take it upon himself, along with others, to harass and to otherwise jam the discussions on the Liberty Net every Saturday night. They were getting away with it. However we filed complaint after complaint after complaint with the FCC until it reached the point where just about every communication on that frequency was being disrupted.

I used to have regular evening conversations with Harvey Heinz and we used to get in there and chat around 7 p.m. every evening. Mr. Castle would come in and berate me and my business and would try and jam and interfere with my conversations.

I got nowhere with the FCC. I contacted my attorney and we sent Mr. Castle a letter, mainly in hopes of putting him on notice, but also in hopes of getting the FCC to do something about it. We sent copies to the FCC and to the American Radio Relay League, which is kind of like a lobby organization for amateur radio. This had absolutely no effect whatsoever. I took a tape recording down and my attorney heard the jamming and the obscenities. So we had this continuing interference problem to the point that Castle was recruiting people from other radio frequencies to come over on Saturday night and there were times when the Liberty Net just couldn't function. When the moderator, Vic Misek, tried to move the conversations to another frequency, these people would follow and jam there. It was an orchestrated effort, and a well-orchestrated effort, and it seemed there was no relief in sight.

However, about a year ago the FCC finally announced that they were going to have somebody come in to enforce the amateur radio bands. We were happy. The gentleman appointed was W. Riley Hollingsworth and he is an attorney and also a ham radio operator. We gave him the benefit of the doubt and figured that he would make a sincere effort.

Sure enough, one of the frequencies he decided to clean up was 3.950 MHz, the Liberty Net frequency. We saw Mr. Castle approached by Mr. Hollings worth. There was an investigation and Castle was given a two-year suspension from the short-wave spectrum. He was permitted to go up on the shorter distance UHF and VHF frequencies, but he was ordered to stay off the short-wave spectrum.

That was the federal government ordering Castle to cease and desist.

Yes. However, during the second week of July 1999, that whole thing was reversed all of a sudden and the FCC went after Vic Misek, the moderator of the net control station of the Liberty Net, and instead falsely accused Misek of jamming. According to Hollingsworth, it was "retaliatory jamming." He also made some accusations that I frankly didn't understand, one of which was that Misek was "broadcasting" [as though he were a commercial station]. Nothing could be further from the truth. This was just an on-air conversation between ham operators amongst themselves.

But to justify that this was "broadcasting," Hollingsworth of the FCC said that Misek had a web site set up to advertise this to short-wave listeners. In fact, there was no such web site. So the FCC was grasping at straws to make Vic Misek out to be the bad guy when, in fact, he has been the victim for seven years of this onslaught. Vic is a real gentleman on the air and has been a valuable asset to the ham radio community over the years.

Who is this guy Castle who has been causing these problems? Has anyone confronted him?

Yes. I've spoken to him and he's a very unreasonable man. I even spoke to his lovely wife on the telephone but she was oblivious to everything and she just said: "Oh, he's just having fun." However, now the FCC has given him a free ride.

The sort of things that Castle is doing is similar to people who try to disrupt Internet chat rooms and news groups.

In fact, just recently on ham radio operators' news group, someone who doesn't like you or The SPOTLIGHT got up and posted a message saying he hoped that the FBI would shoot you, Tom Valentine, before you ever got to your microphone. The guy's name is Doc and his call letters are AF2Y. I believe his last name is Schwartzbarr. He's a strong supporter of the Anti-Defamation League (ADL). [The ADL is one of the leading groups promoting censorship of the Internet."Ed.]
He's one of the people, by the way, who was encouraging David Castle to jam the Liberty Net. When Castle first got his suspension, he got on one of the radio frequencies where "Doc" hangs out and said, "If I go down, I'm going to take a bunch of you down with me because you guys helped to coax me into

doing this.&#65533;

These people are trying to stop any kind of intelligent discourse, whether it is on ham radio or whether it&#65533;s over the Internet chat rooms and news groups.

On the Internet they do what is called &#65533;spamming,&#65533; that is, putting up numerous nonsense messages or they try to change the topics of discussion.

At least on ham radio there is a voice you can identify.

What you are trying to express will get out on the Internet, but on ham radio, if you&#65533;ve got several powerful stations grouped together, they can jam the discussion as they&#65533;ve done on the Liberty Net.

One of the things they have tried to do, Mr. Castle, in particular, is to go on the air prior to the Liberty Net discussion and to grab the frequency. Some of these guys on the West Coast would get on at five o&#65533;clock in the afternoon and say that they had the frequency and that the Liberty Net couldn&#65533;t go on. On the night of May 1, 1999, Mr. Castle got on and couldn&#65533;t raise up any of his cohorts to join him, so he literally broadcast, speaking a monologue, which is against FCC rules, for a good 50 minutes. Finally, some people from the Liberty Net came up and started into their conversation while Mr. Castle went on in the background in his monologue, talking to absolutely no one.

About 10 minutes prior to when the Liberty Net discussion was supposed to begin at 10 pm, some of Castle&#65533;s cohorts came on and pretended that they had the frequency and that the Liberty Net people had come in and taken it from them. In the meantime, on the alternate frequency at two and half kilohertz down, another anti-Liberty Net was trying to block that one so that the Liberty Net couldn&#65533;t move there. It was a strategic, well-planned orchestration to stop the Liberty Net from taking place that night.

You mentioned the ADL, which has been in the forefront of trying to silence The SPOTLIGHT and all other dissident voices in America. It is an illegally unregistered lobby for a foreign nation. Now here&#65533;s Bob calling from Virginia:

Bob: This guy Castle has personally threatened me. In fact, we had a meeting of about 50 people in Evansville in 1995 and I met Castle in person there. He figured out a way to get in where we were meeting.

When my show wasn&#65533;t even 11 weeks old back in 1988, I was out in my yard at my home in Iowa chopping down weeds and there was a guy across the street taking pictures of me. I found out that it was Daniel Levitas. He has been affiliated with the ADL and the Southern Poverty Law Center of Morris Dees. He came all the way to Iowa City from Des Moines to spy on me because I was broadcasting this radio program.

Bob: That&#65533;s remarkable, but not surprising. This guy &#65533;Doc&#65533; mentioned earlier has gone on record on the ham radio operator&#65533;s news group on the

Internet boasting that the FCC is going to take the Liberty Net off the air.

That's illustrative of the power of the ADL.

Bob: I've become unpopular with some Liberty Net people by telling them that, in my opinion, they won't get any justice out of the FCC. I believe that the FCC is operating on a directive from the ADL and/or some of these higher-ups in government who want to shut down the Liberty Net or curtail it.

Here's Tim calling from Las Vegas.

Tim: The Liberty Net is listed on the Internet as a "hate group" alongside the National Alliance and the Ku Klux Klan.
I've seen those postings on the Internet. There are even some Christian churches that his postings accuse of being "hate groups."

The people like the ADL who can't stand the facts have to call names and try to censor people, whether its on ham radio or on the Internet.

```
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
```

2366. A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Watson <mtn_view@sirius.com>
Message-ID: <388280E3.2D49B1C4@sirius.com>
Source record: 121409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Yes, what's a good book or reference on antenna design? I'd particularly like to know more about
waveguides, helical, parabolic, yagi and other designs. I'd also like to know how one designs an
antenna to receive at a given frequency?

--
"Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like a banana." - Anon.

========== Wayne T. Watson ==========

mtn_view@sirius.com
Web Page: http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view (Updated
11/20/99)
Imaginarium Science Museum:
http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view/imaginarium.html

2367. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <85u130$qt3$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121410
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Trish:
I assume you mean to join your partner and you for target shooting, right?
<G>
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:38822147.12B3@ix.netcom.com...

> Yes, I agree that Demi Moore as G.I. Jane, and we go out
> target shooting together, would be really great!!
> Heck, she could even join my partner and I.
>

2368. Re: Ham Licenses to be Issued on Blue (S)crap Paper !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "aaa W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3E6FFE08E4A2E926.2F9618EFE8DF11F3.7395679FE3266140@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121411

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> The FCC is borrowing a convention from the Social Security
> Administration, the premier welfare agency in the U.S. starting April
> 15, 2000.
>
> As of April 15, the FCC will be using blue paper for new licenses. All
> amateurs who are upgraded after this date are urged to destroy these
> licenses IMMEDIATELY !
>
> Do you want to go into a ham store, pull out your wallet and be
> recognized as a "ham radio welfare momma" ?
>
> Show them you mean BUSINESS. If you receive one of these licenses,
> cancel it, throw it away, send it back to Gettysburg. Please, DON'T GET
> ON THE AIR !
>
> You'll only embarass yourself when someone asks which size Beverage you
> run and you reply "in a 12 ounce mug"...
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

This guy's hilarious....he's a Aaron wannabe and a Larry has been all
rolled into one...yeehaw!!! Go blow your hot air up your ventenna or,
if you prefer, take a nice, long suck right out of your
Beverage...that's it...keep sucking

Kim W5TIT

2369. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38828C19.CF865E87@icss.net>
Source record: 121412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KF2TI - Steve (Landing, NJ) wrote:

> In article <388205B4.CBD8E275@icss.net>, burke1@icss.net says...
> > kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > > In article <388106C2.C7A99034@icss.net>,
> > > burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > > > And the retraction of the NCI slander and lies comes when?
> > >
> > > NEVER. The weren't slanders and weren't lies based on the evidence
> > > provided by the "gentleman" Whether or not he lied or produced
> > > erroneous evidence to support my claims, well, water under the dam. Be
> > > happy to produce everything and continue the legal process. All one
> > > has to do is have the other party agree to it. I am sure a double dog
> > > dare will do. But what's the beef? You and he are convinced nothing
> > > incriminating exists. The decision is his. Personally I could care
> > > less. I got a brand spanking new HF tranceiver out of the whole thing
> > > and it works as advertised, although I am thinking about one of those
> > > new fangled computer controlled rigs.
> >
> > Get over it. You typed a lot, but didn't say much (usual). Sayonara.
>
> Get over what Brainiac? You asked, I answered.

You didn't answer dink. You typed a bunch of words. Are you saying that NCI
did or did not cut your coax?

2370. Re: The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38828E3B.51D26358@icss.net>
Source record: 121413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tim wrote:

>
>
> mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps it's
> > just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ? AndI
> > don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
>
> How many contests are sponsored by the ARRL vs contests sponsored by other
> groups?
>
> > I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and beam
> > to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
> > leukemia causing signals ?
>
> Actually it's the net regulars that get in the way of my contesting. I guess
> it's a matter of perspective.
>
> > Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but notno
> > the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
>
> This is absolute rubbish and I'll be glad to prove it to you ANY weekend
> (providing propagation that is)
>
> > Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter from
> > the big guns.
>
> More rubbish, additionally contesters avoid the WARC bands, if 20 is open
> most likely 17 is too. Since we've decided NOT to use that band is it
> unreasonable that you move there duing a contest?
>
> > It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> > If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
> > there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased yagis,
> > then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
> >
> > Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and takes up
> > model railroading.
>
> And this of course would explain the sales of 2700 pc. of the 38 Special QRP
> kits from the Norcal radio group a couple years ago? 300mw with a VXO. I've

> worked dozens of them on the air.
> I wonder who's buying radios from all these QRP kit mfgs? Dans Small Parts,
> EleCraft, EMTECH, Kanga US, Oak Hills Research, Radio Devices, Red Hot
> Radio, Small Wonder Labs, S&S Eng, Wilderness Radio.
>
>
> ddz

I've seen all the others except "red hot radio." Do you have aweb site for
them?

2371. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <38829863.43A14846@inreach.com>
Source record: 121414
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

You might be more specific as to why you want to do this mod, or you probably wont get an answer. Is it legal for you to do this where you live? etc.

Willy Wilson wrote:
>
> Hi all,
> Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
> the amateur bands please?
> Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> Willy.

2372. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Morrow <none@all.com>
Message-ID: <38824713.4369@all.com>
Source record: 121415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Wow that was long. Did anyone read it? Was it any good?

2373. Re: Disband B.A.R.F?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mlf <mitchf@mediaone.nut>
Message-ID: <qB8g4.8931$la6.295888@ndnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <Qf6g4.5982$8z5.74024@news4.mia>, n0vfp@bellsouth.net says...
> I just want to say that i'm no longer intetested in name calling, fighting
> etc. I just want people to quit making fun of me!?

the first thing you might try is shortening that signature file of yours

2374. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Morbstooge <hblones@dalnet.com>
Message-ID: <g69g4.1686$%Y3.103477@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<mf_luder@my-deja.com> babbled in message news:85qbhr$50$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> Those who thought the internet will be the great marketing tool are
> wrong. It has neither the needed bandwidth or reliability to use it for
> anything other than spouting off on newsgroups, sending email to avoid
> toll calls, chating like some sort of TTY CBer or reading the news and
> weather, or other "official" information.

Please just keep believing that. I like seeing hams happily being the
ignoramases
that they are.... Believing that the whole freakin World revolves around
ham radio. BAA Ha Ha HA!!!! I look forward to the day when the Low Earth
Orbiting
Satellite industry takes ever ham frequency above 140 mHz for commercial
usage
and all you ham radio loosers squeal like stuck pigs. It should be almost as
funny as
all the "Y2K-is-comming-and-the-sky-is-falling" chumps I heard on the
shortwave.

2375. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <38811609.ED51F400@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 121449

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> In article <3880CBFC.F5646537@cmcorp.com>,
> "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" wrote:
> >
> > > At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank
> Todd, K3EKO
> > >
> >
> > I find NO K3EKO in the callbook are you sure of this callsign?
> >
> > >
> > > Doc,AF2Y
> >
> > --
> > Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
> > ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
> > http://www.harvardrepeater.org
> > http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html
> >
> >
> He received his general call of KB3EKO, and under the vanity system he
> is now K3EKO.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Ahh! It is not in the Buckmaster, but it is in others....
Thanks Dan.

--
Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
http://www.harvardrepeater.org
http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

2376. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38822329.1040652@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 11:43:55 -0800, King Pineapple
<craigseufNOcrSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid> wrote:

>In article <85ov6h$b3g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "Brian Denley"
><bdenley@erols.com> wrote:
>> He's also someone who fought for his country and got shot out of
>> the sky.
>> With his background he could have easily avoided service but he
>> didn't.
>> Give the guy some respect. He's earned it.
>> Brian Denley
>
>You're wasting your time, Brian. Americans were stupid enough to
>believe that a decorated, disabled war veteran (Bob Dole) was a greater
>evil than Clinton in '96.

I don't recall many Americans at all that regarded Bob Dole as "evil"
, they just preferred Clinton.

>
>* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
>The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2377. Re: Maybe I'm mistaken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodbyeherbiesaysdoc@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85rb9vlkv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121451

In article <38811D93.464D0149@worldnet.att.net>,
Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> &#65533;
>
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" wrote:
>
> If youse guys are
>
> > just gonna sit around here and twiddle, then I'm outta here too.
> > Doc, AF2Y
>
> Pop the cork!!!
>
> Now hold on there fella. I don't know you from Adam and you come back with a snide."Pop the cork" jab in reply to

my remark. My comments were not directed at you although I think you must
have taken them seriously because just possibly you consider yourself to be a
boor. My criticism was GENERIC,not specific. It was GENERAL in meaning, not
intended to hurt but to enliven. My suggestion therefore is for you to put on
your thinking cap and give us something to mull over instead of hurting the
feelings of somone whose intention it was to lend buoyancy and zest to an
otherwise fast fading discussion gr

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2378. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <85r8uvduk1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121452

In article <85mkkh$8k1$4@news.datasync.com>,
The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com> wrote:

>Dale Harper <docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> hath said:
>: Yes you are a head above my friend, don't let the naysayers bedraggle you. You have accomplished
>: something they can't and they will wail and thrash and hate you for it. Congratulations my
>: friend. You have something no one can take away from you. Bravo, good job, and have fun on
the
>: bands.
>: Dale
>: KC8FAQ/20
>
>Dale, you are wrong... Stop trying to make that person think that
>those of us who either choose not to learn or have a difficult time
>getting over the hump in getting 20wpm are going to thrash and hate
>him for passing.. I'm a Tech+ (licensed 12+ years) and I'm not
>thrashing or hating him for passing the 13wpm code, I'm not going to
>wail over it either. You on the other hand are another story, you
>are sitting here typing this message and putting lies into this man's
>head and trying to instigate discerment and segregation(sp) between
>those of us who either have no code or only 5wpm code and those who
>have 13 or 20wpm code.
>
>Congraduate him if you want, but don't sit there and put lies into
>this person or any person's head and expect me or some one else to
>just sit here idle as you do a great injustice to the hobby.
>
>Do you even realize what you just did??? You tried to get that
>person to side with your cause and in the process of it trying to
>get him to think that we hate and maybe envy him. Thats wrong your
>wrong and you should be ashamed of how you approached it.
>--
>:::: I'm the troublestarter. . . punk and instigator! ::::
> firestarter - Prodigy
> http://www.datasync.com/nexus

I have no cause. I just commended him for his hard work and I hope he doesn't listen to the hatred for his hard work. If you don't agree, well, I guess that's your problem, not his mine or
anyone else's. Too bad you can't commend him also.

Dale
KC8FAQ/20

2379. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf2ti1126@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85rc01$m37$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <388106C2.C7A99034@icss.net>,
burke1@icss.net wrote:
> kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > In article <3880C168.5CC268F2@icss.net>,
> > burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > > KF2TI - Steve (Landing, NJ) wrote:
> > >
> > > > > So this guy walks into a bar and says to the bartender "All
> > lawyers
> > > > > are assholes."
> > > > >
> > > > > And a guy at the end of the bar says "Hey, I heard that. I
resent
> > > > > that!"
> > > > >
> > > > > And the first guy says "Why, are you a lawyer?"
> > > > >
> > > > > And the other guy says "No, I'm an asshole."
> > > >
> > > > Know why the bury lawyers 12' underground when the die and not
6?
> > > >
> > > > Cause deep down lawyers are good
> > >
> > > Is this what you tell the lawyer that is representing you in the
"Cut
> > > Coax" incidence?
> > >
> > > Or did I get the wrong Steve again (not that either one is ever
> > > right)?
> >
> > Dear Pinky, glad Lennie saw fit to send you to the market for more
of
> > his Prep H and Depends.
> >
> > I didn't need no stinkin lawyer for this. Why call someone like
little
> > Roger B, defender of the drug pusher and child molester when it was
so
> > simple to handle myself. Anyway, the admitted vandel has made
> > sufficent restitution and the new Yaesu 1000MP is doing really
REALLY

> > great.
>
> Is THAT all you got out of the deal? You really should have gotten a
real
> attorney. Let me know when you're MP display goes out. I've got the
fix.

That's OK. It's a newer model and I would imagine all the bugs are out
of it by now. But thanks for the offer (back handed that it might be)

>
> > So much better than that old 940 that "died" because the SWR
> > protection circuits failed.
>
> No doubt listed on e-bay as "in perfect working condition."
Insurance fraud
> is a crime, not that you would go to that extreme.

E-Bay?? Please. a bunch of crap that somehow gets bid up by the owner
in an attempt to make it look like there's interest. I suppose you are
proficient at that sort of thing. Normally I take it to a local
hamfest.

And what insurance fraud numbnutz?? I didn't file an insurance claim,
so there can't be any insurance fraud. I listed the damage as a direct
result of the vandalism done to my property in the amount in excess of
$3500 (the current replacement cost
of a 940, now a 950), which makes it a much more bad thing than just
cutting up $20 worth of coax. The "gentleman" who did the damage made
the restitution in part of plea bargain (seems he's not been a ggod boy
in the past). The 940 is now part of a working ham shack at the local
scout camp.

>
> And the retraction of the NCI slander and lies comes when?

NEVER. The weren't slanders and weren't lies based on the evidence
provided by the "gentleman" Whether or not he lied or produced
erroneous evidence to support my claims, well, water under the dam. Be
happy to produce everything and continue the legal process. All one
has to do is have the other party agree to it. I am sure a double dog
dare will do. But what's the beef? You and he are convinced nothing
incriminating exists. The decision is his. Personally I could care
less. I got a brand spanking new HF tranceiver out of the whole thing
and it works as advertised, although I am thinking about one of those
new fangled computer controlled rigs.

Thanks for playing Pinky. I hear Lennie the Brain is calling, best you
run
and see what he's pondering tonight narf

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2380. A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85reil$nu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

The following "A-1 Operators" stations were heard operating a North American contest in the DX Windows listed:

(Times listed are representative)

1835.3 W4AN 0227 16 Jan
1838.0 K3MM 0259 16 Jan
3791.0 W9RE 0216 16 Jan
1835.3 W4AN 0257 16 Jan
1839.0 N2FF 0330 16 Jan (Hudson Division Director)

The facts speak for themselves.

Note that N2FF, an ARRL DIRECTOR, can't even follow his own band plan.

The true A-1 Ops are those who know they are courteous, obey the Gentlemen's Agreements, and don't run their ham stations like commercial traffic stations, relying only on quantity derived from automation and voice recorders.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2381. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38852a9a.2945879@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 18:12:26 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
wrote:

>
>Chip <chipster66@hotmail.com> wrote
>> Yessiree Bob, a little 'Kentucky Windage" with muh Glock .45 and
>I'll
>> blast that F16 that's trying to strafe me out of the sky ... Then I
>> dress up in my bear costume and with my semi-auto 'Ought Six' I
>shoot
>> down a couple of attacking Apache choppers ..... Then as nightfall
>> comes in I sneak up on that tank , roll a big rock in between the
>> track and the sprocket and pour flaming gasoline into the air vents
>as
>> the tank helplessly moves in a circle and blast the hapless govm't
>> agents as they make a flaming exit. Yessiree Bob with muh Glock and
>> Hollywood on my side I have No Fear !!!
>
>Gun critics ALWAYS conveniently place a modern army group against an
>opposing citizen group isolated in some log cabin, armed with deer
>rifles - like the Montana bunch... SURE in such an isolated and STUPID
>scenario, the citizens have little chance...
>
>BUT, merge a few hundred terrorists or other dissidents with the
>"ordinary" citizens walking down the streets of any major US city -
>from which they are free to strike out at will - and THEN describe to
>me exactly how marvelous those smart bombs, tanks and supersonic
>fighter-bombers are going to be!
>
>What good is a fully outfitted aircraft carrier against a dozen
>scattered/hidden dissidents in Manhattan?
>
>What are you gonna' DO, kill EVERY citizen in order to get the small
>percentage of REAL "revolutionaries" or terrorists? I suppose that
>"mentality" DOES make sense to the SAME folk wanting to disarm ALL
>citizens in order to reduce the FEW actually abusing guns in our
>current society...

Not me ... NO Way .... If I'm not oilin up muh Glock or sightin in muh
hot-loaded .223 with sniper scope I'm preparing for guerrilla war
against the Feds in NYC.

>
>In England, the IRA has been a thorn in the side of the British for

>DECADES - WHY haven't the Brits simply overwhelmed them, wiping them
>out with their "superior firepower"? INSTEAD, the steady, resolute
>dedication of the IRA has finally forced the British government into
>serious discussions recognizing the demands of the IRA, and active
>steps to correct them - would that have happened WITHOUT force of
>weapons by the IRA? And what is the VERY FIRST counter-demand by the
>Brits? Why, they want the IRA to disarm - can we guess WHY?
>
>Dedication, stealth and determination CAN overcome VAST amounts of
>"superior firepower"!
>
>As long as the *battlefield* is carefully selected...
>
>Gary - KJ6Q
>--
>"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
>spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

2382. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: franktodd4@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85rhjjprn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121456

I don't want to be a part of this stupid war anymore...I just want to
get on and talk to my friends...The Stumpjumpers, BARF, and ARG members
are a great bunch of hams!!

73's de Frank K3EKO

In article <85qo60$8sb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85qgarqnd1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank
> Todd, K3EKO
> > and I'm sure quite a few would like to see it continue. It ended.
For
> more
> > than a month he and I have been communicating privately or as
> privately as
> > the Internet allows and I've grown to really admire him. I learned a
> lot
> > about that fine young man much of which will remain confidential and
> for all
> > who do not know what I know about him, I'm saying he's highly
> intelligent
> > and courageous and deserves the respect of every ham on the air and
> on this
> > board which I own. I want to openly apologize to him for anything I
> had
> > written negatively which includes the episode visiting Herbie on
> Saint
> > Croix. There must be some good in everyone and I therefore thank
Herb
> and
> > Monika Schoenbohm for giving Frank a fine Caribbean vacation which
he
> richly
> > deserved. We hams hear voices and read posts and draw conclusions
> which
> > oftimes are off base. For instance when Frank was being "wined and
> dined" by
> > Al Abernethy, K3QAM and others whom I didn't particularly like, I
> saw him
> > as a mere pawn who was being trained to use ham radio unlawfully.
> Frank was
> > coming across abrasively and insolently which made me view him as
> nothing

> > more than a punk. Something happened which put things into focus and
> I'll be
> > darned if I can remember what it was but suddenly I saw him quite
> > differently. We began e-mailing one another and I'm sure he then saw
> me
> > differently too and now our friendship is etched in stone. Once
again
> I will
> > not divulge certain elements that changed my opinion of Mr.Todd but
> this
> > I'll say. That fellow attends one of the best higher centers of
> learning in
> > this nation, a school of which I'm especially proud, Penn State
> University.
> > He is not in good health but is determined to take one day at a time
> and
> > come through the siege. And fellow hams; he WILL-that's the kind of
> guy he
> > is. And furthermore I'm going to attend his graduation and if I have
> to sit
> > with "Queenie" to do it, that's just fine. Frank's welfare and
> success
> > transcend any personal dislikes I have. A tip of my cap to you Frank
> Todd
> > and God bless!
> > Doc,AF2Y
> >
>
> If he's turned it around, good job, Frank.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2383. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: k3eko@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85ricb$q92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121457

Check QRZ.com!

Frank K3EKO

In article <3880CBFC.F5646537@cmcorp.com>,
"Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com> wrote:
>
>
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" wrote:
>
> > At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank
Todd, K3EKO
> >
>
> I find NO K3EKO in the callbook are you sure of this callsign?
>
> >
> > Doc,AF2Y
>
> --
> Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
> ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
> http://www.harvardrepeater.org
> http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2384. 14.275 BUMMER FOR PB "SUCKBOYS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85rihg$qb7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121458

I notice for all the gas coming from a collection of assorted gas bags, those Pork Butt suckboys were pumping out about making 14275 their new base of hate and operation. Guess what? They were all back on 14313 qrming away today.

It looks like they can't stand each other (I don't blame them) and they miss the stink pot they were stirring up on their old qrming freq.

So much for that group of social misfits, they are all back at the same old location , 14275 was open all day long. 14313 was a madhouse as usual. Maybe AF2Y getting back on this forum was the pipers song leading them back to Their old ways.

Make up your mind "Suckboys"

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2385. Re: A TIP OF THE CAP TO K3EKO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85rjd8$r0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121459

Frank, K3EKO

I don't know you, but don't let this evil man do what we call "Pissing Down your leg" he is just buttering you up to get information or something for his evil pleasure. A word to the wise should be sufficient.

He will gull you into his confidence and drop the hammer on you in a New York minute. So beware the Trojan Horse type operations of "Little Chuckie Swartzbard" AF2Y!! You should be very careful with all your "New Best Friends" if you get my drift!!

Rileygotchu

In article <85qgarqnd1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>, "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> At one time there was considerable friction between me and Frank Todd, K3EKO
> and I'm sure quite a few would like to see it continue. It ended. For more
> than a month he and I have been communicating privately or as privately as
> the Internet allows and I've grown to really admire him. I learned a lot
> about that fine young man much of which will remain confidential and for all
> who do not know what I know about him, I'm saying he's highly intelligent
> and courageous and deserves the respect of every ham on the air and on this
> board which I own. I want to openly apologize to him for anything I had
> written negatively which includes the episode visiting Herbie on Saint
> Croix. There must be some good in everyone and I therefore thank Herb and
> Monika Schoenbohm for giving Frank a fine Caribbean vacation which he richly
> deserved. We hams hear voices and read posts and draw conclusions which
> oftimes are off base. For instance when Frank was being "wined and dined" by
> Al Abernethy, K3QAM and others whom I didn't particularly like, I saw him
> as a mere pawn who was being trained to use ham radio unlawfully.

Frank was
> coming across abrasively and insolently which made me view him as
nothing
> more than a punk. Something happened which put things into focus and
I'll be
> darned if I can remember what it was but suddenly I saw him quite
> differently. We began e-mailing one another and I'm sure he then saw
me
> differently too and now our friendship is etched in stone. Once again
I will
> not divulge certain elements that changed my opinion of Mr.Todd but
this
> I'll say. That fellow attends one of the best higher centers of
learning in
> this nation, a school of which I'm especially proud, Penn State
University.
> He is not in good health but is determined to take one day at a time
and
> come through the siege. And fellow hams; he WILL-that's the kind of
guy he
> is. And furthermore I'm going to attend his graduation and if I have
to sit
> with "Queenie" to do it, that's just fine. Frank's welfare and
success
> transcend any personal dislikes I have. A tip of my cap to you Frank
Todd
> and God bless!
> Doc,AF2Y
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2386. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85rh18sv0@208.207.71.164>
Source record: 121460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:

>> BTW, 1.8 is not HF.

> Then why must we be tested for code to access 160M?

Because: You aren't sharp enough to understand that
"frequencies below 30 mHz" does not equal HF.

2387. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <388347df.2444530@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 121461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

wf3h@ptd.net wrote:

>On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
>KB7ADL) wrote:
>
>>Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>>
>>The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>>anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>>Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>>for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>>everything handed to them on a silver platter.
>
>actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
>increase business for vendors.

Frankly, I think Both parties suck chunks
You can call me a Liberarian

2388. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85rhkpsv2@208.207.71.164>
Source record: 121462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > True, but irrelevant, since the FCC didn't create a "No-Theory
> > > license".
> >
> > No they did not. What they DID is to abdicate all
> > responsibility to W5YI and his minions on the VEC-QPC. They
> > can do the job with impunity.
>
> Tell you what, Dick. You pay me $1 per day until written exams are
> eliminated and I'll pay you $1 per day after that date for the
> rest of our lives. Are you willing to put your money where your
> mouth is?
>

As usual, your standard sucker bet. OF COURSE there'll be
written exams. Only unlike today when they ostensibly have
technical content, but no one is required to actually know
any of it to pass, the technical questions won't even be
present. Might be a good thing- all those newbies won't have
to kid themselves that they actually pased a technical test.
As with Kim, some now realize it. Many seemingly do not.

2389. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <388149CC.D51B37F8@topnow.com>
Source record: 121463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

King Pineapple wrote:

> In article <85ov6h$b3g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "Brian Denley"
> <bdenley@erols.com> wrote:
> > He's also someone who fought for his country and got shot out of
> > the sky.
> > With his background he could have easily avoided service but he
> > didn't.
> > Give the guy some respect. He's earned it.
> > Brian Denley
>
> You're wasting your time, Brian. Americans were stupid enough to
> believe that a decorated, disabled war veteran (Bob Dole) was a greater
> evil than Clinton in '96.
>

Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created. Real wages are going up for
the first
time since 1973. (Put another way, real wages were going down during the whole
so-called boom of the 80's.) American productivity is the envy of the world.
The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!
Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
Welfare roles are shrinking.
Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.

So, looking at the "bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.
Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.
The American public is not dumb at all.

-- Ross

>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2390. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <8Lcg4.2105$hV4.34811@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:388149CC.D51B37F8@topnow.com...

> Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
> figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
> stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created.

Our economy is the direct result of "Reagonomics". The effect of any major economic policy shift takes several years to manifest itself to the common man. All Clinton did was take credit for the conditions he inherited.

>Real wages are going up for the first time since 1973. (Put another way,
real >wages were going down during the whole so-called boom of the 80's.)

Again, the cause and effect of "Reagonomics", private enterprise in the TelCom and computer technologies,and the fact that Congress has kept Clinton from doing any real harm, like Socialized medicine, etc. The current danger lies in the fact that those in the White House stay up nights trying to figure out how they can control, regulate, and tax these new found sources of private wealth.

>American productivity is the envy of the world.

Again because of the private sector innovation. Nothing the government runs is either cost effective or productive.

> The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!

You would worry too if you knew that you could not back the currency you print with anything of real value. The country was taken off the gold standard by the Government to make it easy for them to manipulate the value of paper money to hide their deficit spending. But it's a "house of cards" and if they lose control the scheme will be exposed with drastic results.

> Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.

Which proves the Clinton gun grabbers have a hidden agenda. The violent crime rate is declining because the current generation of criminals are growing older - out of the target age group for violent crime. However, the next generation is just now coming into this target age group (18 - 35). With the past 8 years of liberal BS in the court system, the after effects will begin to be felt in the coming years.

> Welfare roles are shrinking.

Because many states took it upon themselves to reduce or eliminate their welfare programs. You can thank the conservative Congress for that one - in

spite of all the roadblocks Clinton tried to put in place to stop them.

> Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.

Sure, he's an effective lier, he's effective in taking credit for other's work, he's effective in diverting the public's attention from his criminal actions, he's effective in causing major damage to our military, he's effective in making the Office of the President a joke to the rest of the world, etc. How much more of this "effectiveness" can the country take ?

> So, looking at the "bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
> it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.

Who doesn't ? It's just unfortunate that many were hoodwinked into thinking Clinton was responsible for any of it.

> Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.

Sure, he represented those old failed values like honesty, integrety, respect for his country, the people, the military, and the office of the President.

> The American public is not dumb at all.

Not dumb, just misinformed. The majority just receive their daily programming via the media and takes it as Gospel. They have lost the desire and/or ability to analyze and differentiate between truth and "Spin".

73 de W6DKN

2391. NoCode=NoHam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001160547.AAA11183@cotse.com>
Source record: 121465

This message was posted anonymously:

The FAT LADY sang her song, the old standard "I can't key 55WPM let's make it 5!
And soon the munchkins will all come out to sing "Ding Dong the Code Tests GONE"!

Signed the No-Code Amateur ;^)

Opinions are like armpits,
Everybody has a couple,
And they normally STINK!

"I would never join any club
that would have me
as a member!" Groucho Marx

2392. Ham Radio Newsletter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq@email.com>
Message-ID: <85rmmn$2kl$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121466

Check out the W8MMQ Wire at http://www.w8mmq.net/.
Also, the site has a radio mods section, with over 2,000 radio modification files.

2393. Re: Disband B.A.R.F?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <38816874.E7EACEA3@home.com>
Source record: 121467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey, no problem Lloyd. The only reason we all pick on you is because you always have tried to impose your beliefs on ALL others. Quit that and some people will learn to like you. As far as BARF is concerned, how many members do you have?

Others are right, disband or re-write your other web links too, to show that you are a REALLY changed person. When people see that, they will believe you and accept you.

Eric Cooper

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> With all this "goodwill" on here - I think it's time we no longer need
> B.A.R.F. ?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

2394. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3882699a.2139493@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 12:45:16 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Chip wrote:
>> Yessiree Bob, a little 'Kentucky Windage" with muh Glock .45 and I'll
>> blast that F16 that's trying to strafe me out of the sky ... Then I
>> dress up in my bear costume and with my semi-auto 'Ought Six' I shoot
>> down a couple of attacking Apache choppers ..... Then as nightfall
>> comes in I sneak up on that tank , roll a big rock in between the
>> track and the sprocket and pour flaming gasoline into the air vents as
>> the tank helplessly moves in a circle and blast the hapless govm't
>> agents as they make a flaming exit. Yessiree Bob with muh Glock and
>> Hollywood on my side I have No Fear !!!
>
>What have you been doing that would cause F16s, Apache choppers,
>and tanks to attack your house?

Well heck I'm one of em ere Beaver County Militia members , gotta be
prepared for govm't attack. The govm't already is spraying us with
unknown chemicals and this is just the prelude to the full blown
attack by the UN/NWO:

http://sonoguy.tripod.com/contrail.html

> Why wouldn't they just nuke your
>house? :-)

I'm too close to the local watering hole.

2395. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85rm8t02g87@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3880C6D5.68792029@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...

>> Then why must we be tested for code to access 160M?
>
>I do believe the rules do NOT say "HF" like TLB did. The rules
>say "below 30MHz". RF frequencies below 30MHz include 10KHz to
>3MHz which are NOT HF frequencies. These include VLF, LF, and MF.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Then my FT-840 is incorrectly called an "HF transceiver" because it works on the 160 band.

Do you go to restaurants and pick the mosquito shit out of the pepper before you use it as well?

Tom - N8ECW
--
Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2396. CB Radio now dead? Its headed that way.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001160743.CAA11532@cotse.com>
Source record: 121470

This message was posted anonymously:

Guess CB radio is toast. With the new ham rules coming up soon, most of the CBers will be migrating to the other high frequency bands. Only ones that will be left will be the truckers. Possibly it might become a fun place to operate again.

2397. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <YGSBOChO0BmbYKvr=46Rai4cWQTc@4ax.com>
Source record: 121471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 19:53:35 -0500, "Mark Bell" <bellfamily@supernet.com> wrote:
>You're missing the point. You don't have to shoot down the F-16s or Apache
>choppers or knock out the tank. A well organized resistance movement will
>not allow the F-16s, Apaches, or tanks to shoot at them!! Let the aircraft
>and tanks use up their resources with little or not return.
>
>Cecil wrote about Vietnam and Afghanistan. He neglected to list Korea. Go
>to the library and get some books on the effects of airpower on the Korean
>War. While you're at it, read about Vietnam and Afghanistan.

Modern air power is brutally effective against a conventional military
opponent. The proof is Desert Storm. The Iraqis were beaten before
the first Coalition tank crossed the start line. But air power is of little
value against a guerrilla insurgency. The US dropped more tons of
bombs on Vietnam than were dropped in all of WWII, Korea, and
Desert Storm, but they weren't a decisive factor in the conflict. The
Soviets learned a similar lesson in Afghanistan. Powerful conventional
forces are of little use when the enemy won't cooperate by giving
you high value targets to hit.

It is also by no means clear that if a rebellion were to occur in the
US that military units would remain loyal to the central government.
The Civil War gives us a counterexample. West Point graduates
led both sides. Many changed their blue for gray. In some cases
brother literally faced brother across the lines.

Insurgencies aren't primarily about firepower, they are about will.
The side to lose heart first loses the conflict, no matter what
advantages it may or may not have in terms of conventional forces.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2398. Re: CB Radio now dead? Its headed that way.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <Ohfg4.2373$hV4.41381@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121472

Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200001160743.CAA11532@cotse.com...
> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> Guess CB radio is toast. With the new ham rules coming up
> soon, most of the CBers will be migrating to the other
> high frequency bands. Only ones that will be left will be
> the truckers. Possibly it might become a fun place to
> operate again.
>

This Reply was NOT posted anonymously:

No way. CB'ers are CB'ers. If they were going to get a license at all, they would have at least gotten a no-code tech. If they didn't want to put forth the effort for that, what makes you think they will all of a sudden decide to put out more effort to learn CW and take a more difficult written test ?

If the day ever comes that they can get a license with no effort at all, maybe it will happen. But until then they will, for the most part, remain on the chicken band.

73 de W6DKN

2399. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1ccdf863.a6dc7cf1@usw-ex0101-004.remarq.com>
Source record: 121473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Crack baby, crack baby....

> Stevenson is clearly espousing elimination of the traditional modes
on > HF.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2400. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <388197BE.7971@alaska.net>
Source record: 121474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> >
> >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> >everything handed to them on a silver platter.
>
> actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
> increase business for vendors.

Yes, those dirty business people. All they want is money! Funny how
Radio Shack started the Family Radio Service. Just think what would
have happened had RS gone after 80/40/20 mtrs.
--

*** more cold than I will need in a lifetime! ***

Mike (KD4LLA)
Fairbanks, AK

2401. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <38819A24.9B7@alaska.net>
Source record: 121475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
> > not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
> > hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
> > got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
> > my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
> > got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
> > had used that fact to build my resume.

Speaking of the old days--when is the last time anyone bothered to FIX a TV. They all end up in the landfill, and you know the *greenies* hate that. Where is a TV repairman when you need one?
--

*** more cold than I will need in a lifetime! ***

Mike (KD4LLA)
Fairbanks, AK

2402. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <38819BAD.37B7@alaska.net>
Source record: 121476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gray Shockley wrote:
>
> Hi, People!
>
> I'd like to very respectfully and with no malice aforethought <bwg> request
> that there be some "tailoring" of cross-postings.
>
> I'm over on "rec.radio.shortwave" and there is all sorts of "ham" stuff being
> cross-posted to it that have nothing to do with SWLing but only with hams.
>
> I think y'all are great and I owe my interest in SWLing to hams but if I
> wanted to be "in" on ham stuff, I'd subscribe to ham newsgroups.
>
> When I got on the Internet in 1989, this wasn't a big deal as the ng's were
> so small but - in 2000 - this x-posting really bogs everything down.
>
> As I said: no flame, just a respectful request.
>
> Many thanks,
>
> Gray
> --------------------------
> Gray Shockley
> Entropy Maintenance Technician
> gray@compcomm.com
> http://www.compcomm.com/
> Vicksburg, MS US
>
> [And, yes, I x-posted this but for an obvious reason <grin>.]
>
> g

I did not realize that with just my Tech-Plus class I was
cross-posting. Maybe I should study and memorize the newsgroup test...
--

*** more cold than I will need in a lifetime! ***

Mike (KD4LLA)
Fairbanks, AK

2403. Re: There but for the grace of God....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <38829a30.132022407@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121477

>
>Steve Bukosky wrote in message <3880ec73$0$1400@news.execpc.com>...
>>>From the Journal Sentinel
>>>Last Updated: Jan. 14, 2000
>>>Whether prankish or inadvertent, the broadcast of noises, including
>vulgarities, into the sound system of St. Hyacinth's Catholic Church on the
>near south side should cease, pronto. The nearby citizens band radio
>operator, whoever he is, certainly has heard by now of the disruptions he
>(the voice is male) has caused to church services. So continuation of the
>problem would leave no doubt that the operator is up to mischief. The
>Federal Communications Commission has agreed to investigate, thank goodness,
>and, if the problem continues, should deal sternly with the culprit, once
>located. Disrupting prayer with profanities? Worldly authorities may not be
>all this CB operator has to worry about.
>>>
>>>* * *
>>
>>Steve Bukosky N9BGH
>>
>>Steve Bukosky
>>sbukosky@execpc.com
>>http://www.execpc.com/~sbukosky/
>>
>
Ohm My God, this has me LOL, I'v had similar experiences in church
in the 1970s and 80s when the Priest would be giving the sermon and
all of the sudden you would hear "Breaker 14 this is Critter are you
out there Liquid" And other things that I have forgotten.

Rick KC2ESD

2404. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3881A752.8186C62D@topnow.com>
Source record: 121478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

As soon as you credit Jimmy Carter's Administration
and policies for the entire boom of the 80's, under your
bizarre "economic lag" theory,
then I'll gladly allow Reagan/Bush to take
credit for the boom of the 90's. You can't have it both
ways. If you are like 99% of all so-called
Conservatives, you were trumpeting the success of
Reagonomics during said 1980's. I repeat, you can't
have it both ways.

Fact is, there's nothing except your wishful thinking
to back up the idea that economic conditions can "lag"
major policy changes by as much as eight years.
Money supply changes, tax changes, energy price
spikes (that's what hurt Carter), all have relatively
immediate consequences.

The voters looked at conditions and decided to credit
Clinton's administration for them.

My point stands. The American public is not stupid,
and a great deal less deluded than your typical gun-nut.

Dan K Nelson wrote:

> Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:388149CC.D51B37F8@topnow.com...
>
> > Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
> > figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
> > stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created.
>
> Our economy is the direct result of "Reagonomics". The effect of any major
> economic policy shift takes several years to manifest itself to the common
> man. All Clinton did was take credit for the conditions he inherited.
>
> >Real wages are going up for the first time since 1973. (Put another way,
> real >wages were going down during the whole so-called boom of the 80's.)
>
> Again, the cause and effect of "Reagonomics", private enterprise in the
> TelCom and computer technologies,and the fact that Congress has kept Clinton
> from doing any real harm, like Socialized medicine, etc. The current danger
> lies in the fact that those in the White House stay up nights trying to
> figure out how they can control, regulate, and tax these new found sources
> of private wealth.

>
> >American productivity is the envy of the world.
>
> Again because of the private sector innovation. Nothing the government runs
> is either cost effective or productive.
>
> > The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!
>
> You would worry too if you knew that you could not back the currency you
> print with anything of real value. The country was taken off the gold
> standard by the Government to make it easy for them to manipulate the value
> of paper money to hide their deficit spending. But it's a "house of cards"
> and if they lose control the scheme will be exposed with drastic results.
>
> > Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>
> Which proves the Clinton gun grabbers have a hidden agenda. The violent
> crime rate is declining because the current generation of criminals are
> growing older - out of the target age group for violent crime. However, the
> next generation is just now coming into this target age group (18 - 35).
> With the past 8 years of liberal BS in the court system, the after effects
> will begin to be felt in the coming years.
>
> > Welfare roles are shrinking.
>
> Because many states took it upon themselves to reduce or eliminate their
> welfare programs. You can thank the conservative Congress for that one - in
> spite of all the roadblocks Clinton tried to put in place to stop them.
>
> > Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
>
> Sure, he's an effective lier, he's effective in taking credit for other's
> work, he's effective in diverting the public's attention from his criminal
> actions, he's effective in causing major damage to our military, he's
> effective in making the Office of the President a joke to the rest of the
> world, etc. How much more of this "effectiveness" can the country take ?
>
> > So, looking at the "bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
> > it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.
>
> Who doesn't ? It's just unfortunate that many were hoodwinked into thinking
> Clinton was responsible for any of it.
>
> > Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.
>
> Sure, he represented those old failed values like honesty, integrety,
> respect for his country, the people, the military, and the office of the
> President.
>
> > The American public is not dumb at all.

>
> Not dumb, just misinformed. The majority just receive their daily
> programming via the media and takes it as Gospel. They have lost the desire
> and/or ability to analyze and differentiate between truth and "Spin".
>
> 73 de W6DKN

2405. Poor Mistreated Strom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwbryant@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000116064236.01108.00000183@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 121479

Open response to Peter Maus:

1. I am delighted to see we agree that the holocaust was real and that it is silly to blame Jews for media problems.

2. I disagree that Strom should not be held to task for posting holocaust denial links. To argue that he doesn't support them 100% is a laughably weak argument. We choose what links we hang. Strom brags about his own writings, which support the evil ideologies he provides links to. Peter, do you think Strom does not support 100% of his own writings?

3. I am not attempting to censor Strom. I oppose removal of his webpage, even though I do believe he should be held accountable for his own writings, at a minimum. I am urging EVERYONE to go and read Strom's page and make their own assessments. Make sure to check out his FEMINE BEAUTY links and make up your own mind.

4. Maus argues that I am "harrassing" Strom. First, after 40 years in the media, Peter, you didn't learn how to spell harrassment. It only has one R. Second, I'm wondering why you choose to keep closing your eyes to the harassment coming from the Nazis? You pretend you have no "proof" that Strom has attempted to have me fired by going to my administrators. Despite the fact that he openly defended his actions on the NG. So, who's done more real world "harrassment"? Third, You never seem to notice that my tirades always follow Strom's denounciation of minorities. I never do more than respond. Finally, all I'm suggesting is that Strom should be held responsible for the links he deliberately chose to hang on his web, in addition to his own writings which use the same ideologies. And all I'm suggesting that should be done is for everyone to read Strom's page on their own.

5. Free expression is a two-way street. Strom has the right to hang his webpage. I have the right to ridicule and mock the ideologies he supports. You have the right to call me juvenile for fighting with Nazis. Everyone has the right to their own opinions and everyone has the right to ridicule anyone else for they beliefs being advocated.

6. Your dismissal of Strom's wife's interview employs faulty logic. You suggested that we not extend much credibility to her because of her prior involvement in supremacist activities. Really? First, that seems to totally undercut all of your free expression/opinion discourse which you so carefully extend to Strom. You do understand the problem with argumentative inconsistency, don't you? You argue we should not judge Strom because of the ideologies he has previously worked on behalf of, but you totally dismiss this poor woman because she was already in the National Alliance when she met Strom. That's really weak thinking. Second, the fact that she is explaining, in the interview, why she came to realize that the Nazis around her were all personal

losers seems to be conveniently ignored by you. I think that her credibility is high and saying that is my free expression right, isn't it, Peter?

I once thought Peter Maus was a pretty bright guy. But his recent deterioration in logical reasoning seems to make him far more interested in protecting the poor Nazis from my harassment than in using his far superior persuasive skills to counter their horrid propaganda. That's his choice, but I'll have the right to highlight his inconsistencies as long as he applies his free expression critique only in my direction.

BTW, Mr. Maus, I've spent a great deal of time in Evanston and I am aware that there are racist white-only clubs in this Jewish enclave. I am not defending the Jews as the master race. You tirade me for making assumptions about your arguments, but you seem to have little problems with the quick assumptions employed by yourself.

I am not engaging in this discourse just to be debating. I was simply using my free expression rights to counter Strom's initial statement. I'd rather be talking about shortwave. I am appalled at what Strom believes. I have a right to express my disdain in whatever non-violent fashion I choose. I think Maus and I agree almost totally on substance and disagree primarily on process grounds. I have no taste for his genteel approach to Nazis even if they are bright and engaging. I don't want to discuss the pros and cons of race purity over tea and crumpets with the likes of Kevin Strom. I am not preaching "love and hugs." And those are my rights.

Offended out of any desire for ribs,

Bryant

2406. TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Willy Wilson <willy@kilmelford.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <85sf0q$97e$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 121480
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Hi all,
Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
the amateur bands please?
Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
Willy.

2407. Re: CB Radio now dead? Its headed that way.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: lak <lak@radio.land.com>
Message-ID: <eB3cqOCY$GA.260@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 121481

Guess you do not listen to 7.258 MHz, lower freqs on 10 meters or 2 meter simplex freqs. I tried using MIDCARS this summer but, it sound more like an organized truckers net rather than what it used to be years ago.

I have heard truckers down the CW portion of 10 meter and on 2 meter simplex channels when traveling. They use call signs that do not match what the FCC issues. In one case I heard a W8FUK, check that on WM7D'd website.

If all leaves 27 MHz then it will be dead but, we do not have to worry about that most CBers do not even want to fool around learn what little it takes to get a No-Code Tech License. They are appliance operators, they go to Rat Shack buy a 10 or 2 meter radio and antenna plug it in and talk. They pick a call just like they do for a handle on 11 meters and go. No muss no Fuss as the very old clique goes.

Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200001160743.CAA11532@cotse.com...
> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> Guess CB radio is toast. With the new ham rules coming up
> soon, most of the CBers will be migrating to the other
> high frequency bands. Only ones that will be left will be
> the truckers. Possibly it might become a fun place to
> operate again.
>

2408. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38837B9C.F2AA1549@icss.net>
Source record: 121232

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
> >M.J.Powell wrote
>
> >>We would take great delight in jamming it, legally!
>
> >As a ham, I don't think you can *legally* jam anything...
>
> When asked if it would be considered intentional interference
> to transmit on top of illegal 10m "outbanders," the FCC responded,
> "It's impossible to interfere with an unauthorized emission."
> In other words, Aaron, they don't consider it as "jamming" if
> the signal doesn't belong there.
>
> Jeff

So if you forget to ID every 10 minutes, its OK for me to intentionally
jam you?

2409. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <kWmDOHFDDbEGdN2cpqw4YcNQWi9B@4ax.com>
Source record: 121233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 17 Jan 2000 03:31:58 GMT, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote:
>On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 17:24:27 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
>wrote:
>>It was just an illustration of one technique which could be used to
>>support 20 million simultaneous users on the amateur HF bands
>>while maintaining a familiar operator interface for those accustomed
>>to using 20 WPM Morse (though of course a Morse user interface
>>isn't essential to the technique).
>>
>The "familiar operator interface" in contesting is one's ears via
>one's radio with the results highly dependent on one's cw and phone
>operating skills and the Gods of propogation. Which actually isn't all
>that different from non-contest operating. If we had any interest in
>contesting by modem the technology would aready be on the Internet.
>We're not and it isn't. I also need to point out that rudimentary cw
>contest simulators are already available as downloadable freeware.
>Decent practice as far as they go but they aren't the real thing, very
>few ops bother with 'em. So much for simulators as a germain
>discussion.
>
>The biggest contests on the HF bands are the two annual CQ WW dx
>bashes, supposedly around 50,000 ops get into it globally and we all
>fit fine in the lower 40Khz or so of the low ends phone or cw which
>leaves most of the spectrum open for non-participants. Where is the
>market for a technology which could accomodate 20 million hams mucdh
>less us contesters?? What 20million hams??

You're right that contesters don't want to use better techniques.
It wouldn't be "sporting". I can understand that, hunters don't use
precision laser guided munitions for the same reason. But you're
also right to note that contesters are only a small fraction of the
world's 3 million amateurs, and as amateur radio activity grows,
are likely to become even a smaller fraction. So these sorts of
operating techniques aren't addressed toward the radio gamer.

>>We haven't begun to fully exploit what we can do with modulations
>>and codings on the amateur bands. We could support a much larger
>>number of users while maintaining a familiar user interface if we
>>chose to do so.
>>
>The inference seems to be that the ham bands are seriously overcrowded
>and that there is a need to accomodate more users. Conversely, I keep
>hearing that U.S. ham radio is suffering either zero or negative

>growth. Something is outta whack here. Smells like agenda fodder in
>some direction or another. I'll pass.

We are facing a looming demographic crisis over the next decade, but
hopefully it won't always be so. If we succeed in attracting healthy
numbers of new amateurs in the coming decades, we'll need techniques
to allow them to operate without excessive mutual interference. (We're
very unlikely to grow to 20 million, but the technique Carl and I proposed
could accommodate that many, or allow more throughput for a smaller
number of operators.) We should be exploring those techniques now,
and not waiting until chaos occurs on the bands. We have the luxury now
of being able to take some HF spectrum and use it to test out these
techniques without adversely impacting current incumbents. That may
not always be the case.

>>Or we could have much larger throughputs with a
>>somewhat smaller number of users if that's what we desired to
>>do.
>>
>Throughputs of WHAT? Who wants to put what thru more and faster with
>HF ham radio? My ISP already provides me with huge bandwidth for
>$15/month and I can work the world with my $50 modem 599 both ways.
>Problem is it ain't RADIO, Gary. We're in it for the radio, not the
>throughput rate.

Radio without content is just noise. You may have nothing to convey to
another person beyond an unvarying contest exchange, but hopefully
others do. Otherwise, amateur radio is just a noise generator. Therefore
throughput *does* matter. It always matters when information is transferred
from one person to another, whatever the means. For some sorts of
amateur contacts, 20 WPM seems to be an adequate throughput, and
Carl and I proposed a system to accommodate that for a *very* large
number of amateurs. But other sorts of amateur activities aren't satisfied
with a throughput that low. The equivalent of voice communications requires
a throughput on the order of 120-200 WPM, and graphical content requires
a higher throughput yet. I'm very confident that there will be amateurs who
can exploit whatever throughput we can make available to them.

>>From my viewpoint as an experimenter, I wouldn't like to see us
>>confine ourselves to any single coding technique, or any small
>>set of coding techniques. Having people experimenting with a
>>large variety of techniques is more in character with our service.
>>
>You just refuse to accept the fact that the character of HF ham radio
>is in fact 99.9% station-to-station communications with just
>unadorned analog radio. The guy in China ships a dit thru the magical
>Ether with his radio, I hear the dit with my radio and that's what
>it's all about. Experimentation is fine but it ain't the name of the
>game and hasn't been since spark days.

Analog operation has dominated amateur radio for many years,
primarily because that was all that was available within amateur

means. That's no longer true. You're living in the past and refuse
to examine the impact that newer technologies can have on the
future of amateur radio. As the Amateur's Code says "An amateur
is progressive" . Rather than looking to the past, you need to be
looking to the future. We need to be preparing the ground today
for what amateur radio will be like 10 or 20 years from now.

It was primarily shortsightedness that led to the decline of US industry.
People looking at only the next quarter can't see the larger trends
that must be accommodated for long term success, and ultimately
get left behind, become obsolescent, backward, and irrelevant.
We should not fall into that trap, but unfortunately we are at present
doing just that. The radio communications world is changing rapidly,
but we aren't. That's not a healthy set of circumstances for amateur
radio.

>>>What barriers are currently in place or under consideration which are
>>>preventing the introduction of new technology, Mr. Stevenson??
>>
>>The 300 baud speed limit, and the 1 kHz occupied spectrum limit
>>are two. Another is the requirement to use one of only three ISO
>>alphabetic codings for message text. Those barriers are very
>>limiting with respect to implementing a number of techniques
>>which exploit the Shannon relation in non-traditional ways.
>>
>I'm sure those restrictions can and will be relaxed for HF
>experimentation and use just as the rules for 70cm SS were recently
>relaxed to some extent to facilitate SS experimentation. But don't get
>outrageous about it and expect the FCC or anybody else to hand you 1/3
>of the 40m band so that a few experimenters can toss around some bits
>and bytes which are interfering noise to everybody else.
>>
>>>Let's get down to some nits and grits: Pick a band, any band, say
>>>3.5-4.0 Mhz. for discussion purposes. Given your druthers and the
>>>freedom to do so how would you remap that spectrum space to accomodate
>>>both the traditional modes and the room for new technology?
>>
>>Ideally, fully mixed usages would be permitted, but I realize that isn't
>>a practical solution for some legacy modes.
>>
>You got that right! Don't tell me "Oh, just turn on yer noise blanker
>and we won't bother you" like Stevenson said. When pigs fly.

Yes, the legacy modes are not very robust or efficient, and must be
given some protection from more robust techniques. It is a necessary
political compromise. SSB faced the same problem 50 years ago in
amateur radio. The legacy users couldn't deal with it, so we had to
de facto partition the phone bands to accommodate that.

>>So I'd like to see the top
>>and bottom 50 kHz of the band be set aside for non-traditional modes,

>>and let the rest of the band be divided up any way traditional users might
>>like. (I want use of both ends so some diversity modes can be exploited
>>that can't be done in a single small segment.) Importantly, I'd like this to
>>be handled by gentlemen's agreements, not cast in stone by regulations.
>>I'm deliberately patterning this roughly after the SSB/AM partitioning that
>>was used in the 50s and early 60s on this band.
>>
>The lowest 50Khz has been cw dx country globally since before either
>of us were born and there is absolutely no way on the face of this
>earth by gentlmanly agreement or any other mechanism those segments
>will be given up by the mass of us for the sake of experimentation by
>a few. Ditto the lower ends of the phone segments.

Actually I proposed the top end of the phone segment, not the bottom.
For what I have in mind, maximum frequency separation is desirable.
The 80m band is a perfect case for this since it is virtually empty from
3525 up to 3750 most nights. That's 225 kHz of very under utilized
spectrum. Low baud narrow digital modulations can be used there
now, and are to some extent, but we can't do a lot to exploit diversity
in the center of the band, particularly under current emission rules.
Having the band edges, and a change in emissions rules, would allow
us to do that much more productively, and that underutilized spectrum
in the middle of the band could be used to accommodate legacy users
without extra crowding.

Note that we needn't take the entire 50 kHz at either end at first.
But we should plan to grow into that spectrum. Again this is similar
to the AM vs SSB situation which once existed on 80m. Other bands
have other concerns, and might not be suitable for this sort of
arrangement. But 80m is a very good place to test the sort of systems
which can exploit very wide shift diversity.

But I see you expressing a total intransigence to that idea. It is as if you
considered most amateurs to still be using crystal controlled radios,
and unable to shift up or down a few kHz to accommodate newer methods.
That dog in the manger attitude, and lack of vision, are major stumbling
blocks to amateur progress.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2410. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3883835A.8CB9A5E4@innocent.com>
Source record: 121234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.discrimination,k12.chat.teacher,soc.culture.usa

Joe Malloy wrote:
>
> RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com...
> > What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
> >
> > 1) Reading The Communist Manifesto
>
> Been there, done that, it has a few pointers that are true, but nobody
> listens.

MLK listened. He described himself as a Marxist, though the boobs
are seldom informed of that. A documented article on the subject is
available at my site (url below), and you can also visit:

http://www.martinlutherking.org

>
> > 2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.
>
> Name a conservative icon of your own who *hasn't* been a "philanderer."
> There are darn few, conservative or democrats, who have been "pure." Gee,
> you folks like Thomas Jefferson a whole bunch but he philandered with a
> *black* woman. How do you like that?
[...]

Despite the high hopes of the Jefferson-haters, that hasn't been
proved by their much vaunted tests. Even _Nature_ magazine, and the
original author of the misleading article (Eugene Foster), have
backed off considerably from their original statements.

But, for the large number who accept the media image as reality,
it's an "established fact."

Just as is the near-sainthood of Communists Mandela and King. In my
site's lead article you can even see an icon-like carved idol of
King now attached to one wall of the National Cathedral.

Note followups.

With all good wishes,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

2411. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F1FDB05CD63C736D.23BDABB03D489143.C1254D660518A259@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I would differ with that opinion, and I am from the Dallas area.

Kim W5TIT

Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote in article
<85vvfvrdp1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> Nothing secret about it.
>
> The candidates are Steve Mendelsohn, W2ML, a noted mouthy media egotist
> from here in the east, and Jim Haynie, W5BJP from the midwest, a
> gentleman with the best interests of amateur radio at heart.
>
> Tell your director to elect Jim.
>
> 73, Walter
>

2412. Re: A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Watson <mtn_view@sirius.com>
Message-ID: <388384EC.25615FB8@sirius.com>
Source record: 121236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Thanks for your response. Well, two people who have told me it's a quote from Groucho, so it must be
so, right? I just looked in my Harper book of quotes, and see about 8 Groucho quotes but not that one.
Well, now my interest level is up. You are correct. Take a look at
<http://www.therightside.demon.co.uk/quotes/groucho/>. I'll make the change.

"Thomas C. Sefranek" wrote:

> Wayne Watson wrote:
>
> > Yes, what's a good book or reference on antenna design? I'd particularly like to know more about
> > waveguides, helical, parabolic, yagi and other designs. I'd also like to know how one designs an
> > antenna to receive at a given frequency?
> >
>
> See: ARRL Antenna Handbook
>
> >
> > --
> > "Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like a banana." - Anon.
> >
>
> This is NOT anon. it is Groucho Marx who made this famous.
>
> >
> > ========== Wayne T. Watson ==========
> >
> > mtn_view@sirius.com
> > Web Page: http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view (Updated
> > 11/20/99)
> > Imaginarium Science Museum:
> > http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view/imaginarium.html
>
> --
> Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
> ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
> http://www.harvardrepeater.org
> http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

--
"Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like a banana." - Anon.

========== Wayne T. Watson ==========

mtn_view@sirius.com
Web Page: http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view (Updated
11/20/99)
Imaginarium Science Museum:
http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view/imaginarium.html

2413. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6DDB9FF99D35DF8B.E7141D23602E1CF6.1DFCF328D94E95AB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

By the way, Jim Haynie is not from the midwest. He is the West Gulf Division Director, and has been used to things going his way for too long in my opinion. But, opinion is all it is, because I am not a member of the ARRL.

Kim W5TIT

Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote in article <85vvfvrdp1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> Nothing secret about it.
>
> The candidates are Steve Mendelsohn, W2ML, a noted mouthy media egotist
> from here in the east, and Jim Haynie, W5BJP from the midwest, a
> gentleman with the best interests of amateur radio at heart.
>
> Tell your director to elect Jim.
>
> 73, Walter
>

2414. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: frank3000@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <860a5j$3hj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121238

In article <20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com>,
rfenforcer@aol.com (RFEnforcer) wrote:
> What are you doing to honor the great Martin Luther King today?

> 1) Reading

What does this petty diatribe have to do with amateur radio or K-12
teaching? Please post to the appropriate conservative political groups.

Frank

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2415. Re: CB Radio now dead? Its headed that way.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Ross <pragmatist@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <38838C8B.DE647B2E@kc.rr.com>
Source record: 121239

You hit on the very point I have been wanting to make for several days. That is, that I as just a regular Joe Blow can walk into pretty much any Ham Shop and lay my dollars down and walk out with whatever gear I want to. No questions asked. Whether there be a 5 WPM standard or the current 5-13-20 WPM standard. If one had the money for the gear, then it would be a moot point to try to use CW as a quality filter since the gear is obtainable by ANYONE.

The last 2 trancievers I purchased, I wasn't even asked so much as my callsign.

Chris Ross
KB0MLR

> If all leaves 27 MHz then it will be dead but, we do not have to worry about
> that most CBers do not even want to fool around learn what little it takes
> to get a No-Code Tech License. They are appliance operators, they go to Rat
> Shack buy a 10 or 2 meter radio and antenna plug it in and talk. They pick a
> call just like they do for a handle on 11 meters and go. No muss no Fuss as
> the very old clique goes.
>
>

--

"One World, One Web, One Program" - Microsoft Promotional Ad
"Ein Volk, Ein Reich, Ein Fuhrer" - Adolf Hitler

2416. Re: A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dm <dmandjos@olypen.com>
Message-ID: <m2Mg4.28816$475.808581@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 121240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Two books I own, an old brown edition of the ARRL Antenna Manual, and
W6SAI's Quad book are never far from my reach.

It sounds like you may be aiming at VHF/UHF....can't help you there.

If is it 160/80/40....from my view, ON4UN's book "Low Band Dxing" is SUPERB.
Since there are features of my "low band black hole QTH" that make 160/80
virtually useless, I only borrowed a copy. But is was GREAT.

GL OM ES 73 "DM"

2417. FS: WONDERBAR RADIO FOR '57 CHEVIES - EXCELLENT!!! (fwd)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Louis <lou1@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.1000117213840.15919J-100000@usr06.primenet.com>
Source record: 121241

FS: WONDERBAR RADIO FOR '57 CHEVIES - EXCELLENT!!!

www.ebay.com item 238999733

FS: Radio for a '57 Chevrolet Belair, 210 and all related
models. It is in near-Mint condition! It has very recently been
totally restored electronically. All new circuits, capacitors,
tubes and totally checked overy by professional radio repairman.
The chrome is in very excellent condition - extremely shiny!
Everything works on this very rare radio.
All metal is in
really great condition. No rust. All checked out and ready to play
in your classic car. ..
I can send along the receipts I have for all work done. It is still in
original box from repairman. Due to the age it i sold AS IS. However, if
it fails to work when
installed it can be returned to the repairman to be fixed at no
cost except for parts.
Chrome is truly excellent but it does have a couple of tiny 'specs'
on the knobs. No fading at all on the chrome. Very shiny. Black
buttons are mint. Needs nothing! Tops of tubes have a nice shiny
chrome on the glass. These were not chrome plated but are a natural
part of many tubes. Lettering on "Wonderbar" switch is perfect.

lou@usna.net

2418. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Trotman <mark@armscroft.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <38838F12.8C7EDCC7@armscroft.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121242
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Willy Wilson wrote:
>
> Hi all,
> Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
> the amateur bands please?
> Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> Willy.

Hi Willy,

Try http://www.frn.net/tech/mods/qrz/ There is plenty of info on the
TS850 (and other radio's) there and you should be able to find just
what you want.

Best regards

Mark

Armscroft Communications - where the customer REALLY matters!
Visit us on the web at http://www.armscroft.demon.co.uk

2419. Re: cliques in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38839EFB.475A51DE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121243

phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:

> Does anyone have a list of the known cliques in ham radio?

I know of these:

My on/off switch cliques when I turn it, my VFO on the 2m mobile cliques when I turn it, and my J-37 cliques at 15 wpm most of the time. :)

ddz

2420. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Evans <evansr@hcsmail.com>
Message-ID: <3883927e.0@news.hcs.net>
Source record: 121244
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Hey Willy,

You can find what your looking for at www.qrz.com

What you do with your radio is up to you. All my gear is modified, but I exercise good judgment in using it in ways it was designed actually designed to work, and not in such a manner which causes any problems. And don't worry about all those smart comments from other people. They sincerely mean well, but come across in the wrong manner. I mean, its not as if you can't get the mod info if no one tells you here !! Lets get real . . .

Randy

Willy Wilson <willy@kilmelford.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message news:85sf0q$97e$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Hi all,
> Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
> the amateur bands please?
> Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> Willy.

2421. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8604fj$l7$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121245

You are one friggin' idiot and a stinking right wing liar as well. Had the
Civil Rights Movement been able to follow the course set by Dr.King, we
never would have had the hatred and militarism that dominates our lives. Ray
fired a shot that has had agonizing effects but a person like you spouting
off his demented racism is a bullet in itself. Dr.King truly was a heroi and
a day honoring him is deserved.
AF2Y,Doc (and I hate racism)

In article <20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com>,
rfenforcer@aol.com (RFEnforcer) wrote:

>What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
>
>
>1) Reading The Communist Manifesto
>
>2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.
>
>3) Wondering aloud why MLK's birthday is a paid national holiday while the
>birthdays of George Washington and Abraham Lincoln are not.
>
>4) Sending a donation to the Sons of the Confederacy in South Carolina to keep
>radical black activists from removing the Stars & Bars atop the Statehouse.
>
>5) Wondering why we can have "Black History Month", "Black Pride Week", "Black
>Spring Break", etc...but not "WHITE History Month", "WHITE Pride Week", or
>"WHITE Spring Break."
>
>6) all of the above.
>
>
>
>Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
>discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.
>

2422. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <80411F5E0BB27006.20B205CCD5508528.0C98713D3C9EBE71@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What elections? And why is Jim Haynie noted as being "from the midwest?"

Kim W5TIT

2423. Re: WARNING: Jeffy KH6O(Mr. Anal Retentive) Calls Kettle Black

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <oNMg4.2215$_G1.32867@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 121247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman has long been known as pain in the neck on the
internet. Most of us ignore him.

wrote in message news:5s078sc20qapcp04n5t2ej513bglt6gv91@4ax.com...
> What a maroon.
>
> Hey Jeffy, you can complain to Hans ISP that he unfairly pointed out that
you
> are a nitwit on rec.radio.amateur.policy.
>
> ......clearly, picking on individuals as deluded as yourself HAS to be
> against the charter.
> >Hans wrote:
> >><jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
> >>> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna, Hans wrote

SNIP

2424. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38839868.AA4@arrl.net>
Source record: 121248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote:

> Indeed so. The review I recall which points up this failure most strongly
> was of a QRP rig that failed to meet FCC spectral purity standards (and
> that was after giving the manufacturer a chance to fix it), yet it still received
> a very favorable write up. That seems unconscionable to me. A radio which
> can't meet FCC standards simply isn't acceptable, and the review should
> have so stated in no uncertain terms.

How did you know that the radio failed to meet FCC spectral-purity requirements? If the Review addressed that, but then went on to review the rest of the radio, I see that as fair and balanced. Which radio was this? I recall a radio that had a spectral-purity problem at reduced power, but that met the FCC spec at 5 W. I recall another that was spec'ed at 5 W, but put out just over 7, but met the FCC 5 W limit, but not the -40 dBc requirement for power > 5 W. In these cases, the Review reported on what was found and then went on to the rest of the issues wrt the radio under Review.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2425. Re: QST for 2000 ? I think not.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3883988C.6DB6@arrl.net>
Source record: 121249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote:

> Indeed so. The review I recall which points up this failure most strongly
> was of a QRP rig that failed to meet FCC spectral purity standards (and
> that was after giving the manufacturer a chance to fix it), yet it still received
> a very favorable write up. That seems unconscionable to me. A radio which
> can't meet FCC standards simply isn't acceptable, and the review should
> have so stated in no uncertain terms.

How did you know that the radio failed to meet FCC spectral-purity requirements? If the Review addressed that, but then went on to review the rest of the radio, I see that as fair and balanced. Which radio was this? I recall a radio that had a spectral-purity problem at reduced power, but that met the FCC spec at 5 W. I recall another that was spec'ed at 5 W, but put out just over 7, but met the FCC 5 W limit, but not the -40 dBc requirement for power > 5 W. In these cases, the Review reported on what was found and then went on to the rest of the issues wrt the radio under Review.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2426. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38839AFF.1AF1@arrl.net>
Source record: 121250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT/Kim wrote:

> What elections?

At the upcoming ARRL Board meeting, the Board will elect the ARRL
President, Vice Presidents and other officers, as outlined in the ARRL
Bylaws.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

2427. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38839B42.7C5@arrl.net>
Source record: 121251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT/Kim wrote:

> What elections?

At the upcoming ARRL Board meeting, the Board will elect the ARRL
President, Vice Presidents and other officers, as outlined in the ARRL
Bylaws.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

2428. Re: AC5IU's "WAS" Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8606u8gkb1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121252

OR WORKED ALL SILENT KEYS.

In article <85t35n$ps8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>AC5IU is at it again, arguing with Donnie on the new frequency.
>Deano claims to have WAS on 75 meters with his antenna, but as low as
>his signal is, it must've been Worked All Submarines.
>
>
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2429. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Townsend" <pog@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gbNg4.1057$JT3.9616@news2.atl>
Source record: 121253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote in message <85vm3v$k24$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>At last, ARRL will be free of W6ROD, who is featured on the M.O. site
>this week in a pose resembling a cross between Napoleon and Hitler. Note
>that all three destroyed what they tried to "save".
>
>Furthermore, those of us who are thinking persons, unlike the majority
>of those who respond to my posts, will find this amusing:
>
>"In a related vein, the Board will hear the second installment of a
>report from ARRL Executive Vice President David Sumner, K1ZZ, on Amateur
>Radio in the year 2010 and beyond. Sumner's report will expand on one of
>the themes of his preliminary report delivered to the Board in July
>1999: voluntary certification programs to encourage continuing education
>and skills development by radio amateurs above and beyond the licensing
>requirements."
>
>There were too many licenses. There was no need for new Novices, or new
>Tech Pluses anymore. But get this, Extras. They destroy the licensing
>system with their "the sky is falling" panic, then propose this crap to
>sell even more books in the future, and cover up the fact they couldn't
>care less about the license structure. As if some home study crap they
>publish will compensate for the diminished federal test requirements.
>
>Excuse me, I have to go clean off my hip waders after wading through
>that announcement...
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

It absolutely amazes me that these people claim that a reduction in the regulations or the code requirements are a plot to get rich by Fred and others by selling more books and study materials. It does not take a genius to figure out that even if the new regulations bring many more Amateurs into the ARS. The fact that the FCC reduced the license classes down to three and the code down to five words per minute means there is actually less to sell since the reduction means three less study manuals ,videos and two less code tapes to sell.

It seems if these people were interested in getting rich selling us all those goodies, the smart move would have been to support the status quo since it would have meant double the opportunity to sell all that material.

Ex-KF4HMN

2430. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38859bb3.13611878@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 17 Jan 2000 09:59:42 -0600, Richard McCollum
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>The only thing I am sure of is that if horses supplied the level and
>quality of service we are supposed to applaud on all aspects of the
>internet, the world would be hip-deep in glue.

Maybe you should give it a bit of a chance. IIRC the net wasn't made
public until 1992 and most of us are still communicating on it via old
copper phone lines the technology behind which dates to what ? late
1800's early 1900's? Wait till they roll out more of the fiber optics
in next 3 or 4 years along with DSL / satellite etc. I think we're
just seeing the tip fo the iceberg wrt changes the net will bring.

>
>Chip wrote:
>>
>> On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 17:42:20 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> >Those who thought the internet will be the great marketing tool are
>> >wrong. It has neither the needed bandwidth or reliability to use it for
>> >anything other than spouting off on newsgroups, sending email to avoid
>> >toll calls, chating like some sort of TTY CBer or reading the news and
>> >weather, or other "official" information.
>>
>> Yep, here are some quotes from some other great visionaries:
>>
>> " There is no reason anyone would want a computer in their home."
>> - Ken Olson, president, chairman and founder of Digital
>> Equipment Corp., 1977.
>>
>> "Computers in the future may weigh no more than 1.5 tons."
>> - Popular Mechanics, forecasting the relentless march of
>> science, 1949.
>>
>> "I think there is a world market for maybe five computers."
>> - Thomas Watson, chairman of IBM, 1943.
>>
>> " I have traveled the length and breadth of this country and talked
>> with the best people, and I can assure you that data processing is a
>> fad that won't last out the year."
>> - The editor in charge of business books for Prentice Hall,
>> 1957.
>>

>> "But what ... is it good for?"
>> - Engineer at the Advanced Computing Systems Division of IBM,
>> 1968, commenting on the microchip.

2431. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38839D56.2541C259@icss.net>
Source record: 121255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <85vvfvrdp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Nothing secret about it.
> >
> > The candidates are Steve Mendelsohn, W2ML, a noted mouthy media egotist
> > from here in the east, and Jim Haynie, W5BJP from the midwest, a
> > gentleman with the best interests of amateur radio at heart.
> >
> > Tell your director to elect Jim.
> >
> > 73, Walter
>
> I know the first one. Therefore, I concur.

Does he know you?

2432. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <GSYzONAQ+3g4Ewpx@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <zzIg4.309$38.10023@news.ntr.net>, Aaron Jones
<no@mail.com> writes
>M.J.Powell wrote
>>We would take great delight in jamming it, legally!
>
>
>As a ham, I don't think you can *legally* jam anything...

Errr...Sorry. I didn't hear anything on the frequency before I
transmitted. Apologies.

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

2433. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38839D19.5320@arrl.net>
Source record: 121257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> So, it's a win-win for the ARRL, which has, in the past 20 years, become
> a publishing house, rather than a source of information for hams.

Much of the information for hams is found in the material that ARRL publishes. If you compare the prices of ARRL books to other similar publications, you will see that the League material is quite reasonably priced. The ARRL Web page is loaded with information that is not part of "a publishing house."

> They get more giddy members, lose a few pissed off hams who wasted their
> time on the 20 wpm code test to suit the old guard, and will make even
> more money through this quasi- CEU stunt, by recycling their old license
> manuals.

And whom at ARRL gets to keep all this money? I would LOVE to see such a technical certification program be self funding, or even raise a few $$$, as long as I got to spend it on attending a few more industry committee meetings. There are things going on with xDSL, home phone networking and numerous other places that I would love to stick my fingers into. Yes, the League does indeed have to consider the financial implications of what it does, but I would be mighty disappointed if they did not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

2434. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38869d8d.14085867@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 17 Jan 2000 15:18:33 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) wrote:

>In article <wPxg4.301$bd6.9589@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>, bodu@pobox.com says...
>>
>>I don't think what you're doing with netmeeting can really be used as an
>>indication of how well the internet's doing...
>>
>>"Chuck Evans" <chuck_e@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
>>news:85u5t1hkc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>>> I videoconference on a regular basis with people around the world using
>>> the free services of Visitalk.com with NetMeeting. The audio is often
>>> crystal clear. The connection is through a local number to my ISP
>>> (free call and free ISP) on a V90 modem. I have videoconferenced with
>>> people in Australia, Austria, France, Italy, Scotland, Ireland, Saudi
>>> Arabia...and the list keeps growing. I don't think the internet is
>>> dying.
>
>Only time will tell if e-commerce is a success or a passing fad. Remember that
>the internet has been around for a long time.

Well available to the public since what 1992 ?

> Long before anyone thought of
>doing business on it. It was, and still is, primarily a medium of exchange for
>information and ideas.
>
>E-commerce companies such as Amazon.com, Ebay, Yahoo, and others, will have to
>start showing bigger profits each quarter in order to justify their
>overly-inflated stock prices.

On that we agree , Amazon has never made a _penny_ in profits they've
always been in the red, but it's valued at ~ 22 Billion. If Ebay was
valued at the same (P/E) price to earnings ratio as GM (8) it would
sell for 16 cents instead of $134.00 ..... Just for grins the other
night I did some rough math and for Ebay to stay at its current price
and get to a P/E of 20 using current conditions they would have to
host ~ 1.2 Billion items or roughly about 12 items for _every_
household in the US ;)

>
>Tom - N8ECW
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2435. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick K1BQT <web22nfj@gte.net>
Message-ID: <TLNg4.885$hF.24546@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote;

Sumner's report will expand on one of
>the themes of his preliminary report delivered to the Board in July
>1999: voluntary certification programs to encourage continuing education
>and skills development by radio amateurs above and beyond the licensing
>requirements......(omissions)......then propose this crap to
>sell even more books in the future, and cover up the fact they couldn't
>care less about the license structure. As if some home study crap they
>publish will compensate for the diminished federal test requirements.

Not a bad plan, acutally. The League now offers DXCC and code proficiency awards for operating achievement, and both are reasonably popular programs. On the other hand, they offer nothing for technical competency--perhaps the most important area of all. If it's a bad deal, people won't buy the books anyway, so what's your panic. If it is a good deal, perhaps people will learn things above and beyond entry-level and the common good will be served. Get your thumb out of your butt and lighten up, OM!

Rick K1BQT

2436. N8TNT.COM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq@email.com>
Message-ID: <8609va$9do$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121260

Check out http://www.n8tnt.com/

Bob has many of different frequency lists, including a list of all of the commercial frequencies in the state of Michigan.

2437. RFI Audio Clips wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3883AA7F.41B8@arrl.net>
Source record: 121261

The last thing I need is another RFI project, but I want to add audio clips to the ARRL's web pages on RFI. (http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfigen.html).

We can probably create a number of them here in the ARRL Lab, but if anyone has any RFI sources that can be specfically identified (power line insulator tracking, furnace ignitor, switch-mode power supply, etc.), please either send me an audio file or a cassette tape. We want to put these on the web pages so hams who hear a noise might better be able to identify it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

2438. Re: Maybe I'm mistaken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3883C103.A3E4CCC6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121262

�

goodbyeherbiesaysdoc@my-deja.com wrote:

> �
> > Pop the cork!!!
> >
> > Now hold on there fella. I don't know you from Adam and you come back with a snide."Pop the cork" jab in reply to
>
> my remark. My comments were not directed at you although I think you must
> have taken them seriously because just possibly you consider yourself to be a
> boor. My criticism was GENERIC,not specific. It was GENERAL in meaning, not
> intended to hurt but to enliven. My suggestion therefore is for you to put on
> your thinking cap and give us something to mull over instead of hurting the
> feelings of somone whose intention it was to lend buoyancy and zest to an
> otherwise fast fading discussion gr

Wow Doc, all I wanted to do was rattle your chain... didn't think I had that much impact.

Tim ka8ddz
(now you know me from Adam, but can you properly identify apple butter?)

2439. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CrGDOMOgLEhn6RRa3EsRYPPjSZdW@4ax.com>
Source record: 121263

On 17 Jan 2000 20:03:03 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>>M.J.Powell wrote
>
>>>We would take great delight in jamming it, legally!
>
>>As a ham, I don't think you can *legally* jam anything...
>
>When asked if it would be considered intentional interference
>to transmit on top of illegal 10m "outbanders," the FCC responded,
>"It's impossible to interfere with an unauthorized emission."
>In other words, Aaron, they don't consider it as "jamming" if
>the signal doesn't belong there.

Part 15 signals do belong there, however. We don't have to give
them any protection, but we can't deliberately jam them.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2440. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <18cf8f9c.724f9829@usw-ex0101-008.remarq.com>
Source record: 121264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Instead, the world is hip-deep in an excreted material of a different nature....

The Internet is not a "service" owed to anybody. It is a collective and a cooperative not unlike what HAM radio once was (and can still be). I can contact just about any host I want to, and set up a machine to do just about whatever I want. THAT is the Internet. It certainly doesn't exist so the cretinous masses can applaud and say "Whee!"

It is a magnificent marvel of modern technology, self-healing, expandable, infinitely upgradeable, and it will be what the minds that help make it what it is, make of it.

And when things don't go 'just so' we look for someone to hang the blame on. And if that someone is a horse, well, then, the glue factory. If its a person or company, we jeer, sneer, and sue.

No wonder there's so many problems in the world....

Tip#1: The media, which is totally clueless and a slave to the dollar, can't "control" the Internet. They report hype because it keeps an audience between commercials. They will hype anything about the Internet because folks will watch, which sells commercial airtime. You've probably been misled into thinking it was a panacea. It's not. It's just another tool, like a car, or an egg timer. Use it or abuse it, but it is what it is.(NOT what a salesman or media drone tells you it is.)

Tip#2: An analogy to the Internet might be the interstate highway infrastructure in this country. It was not constructed so we could all jump in the vinyl woodgrain station wagon and go to Yellowstone with ease. It was designed for mobility of military hardware and personnel; the benefit to the citizens was a side-effect. Yes, we pay for it through gasoline taxes. But it has never been a "service" for us weenies to applaud.

Tip#3; The Internet is evolving, and it is young. The corporate free world hasn't figured it out yet. Bugs and glitches exist, especially with so much technology moving at mind-blowing speed. It's amazing--this vast wonderful tool and all we can do is hold out our hand, pay some pocket change for equipment, and then when it's not 'just so', damn the thing to Hell.

Tip#4: If we don't like the "service", I say "change it". Make something else happen. With technology like the Internet, understand there is no "fat cat" or "editor" or "boss" or "committee" or "company

policy" to stop you. YOU have the power to make it happen.

I don't know of anything in life where we can just sit back and shake our heads, and then suddenly and magically everything happens perfectly, and for free.

(I do realize, though, that Americans are more and more turning into this. No responsibility, always some else to blame, sue, sue, sue)....like the lady suing over late delivery of Christmas gifts for her son. Send 'em to Russia for a while....make them realize just how good they HAD it.

In article <38833C6E.A4A13A84@radiks.net>, Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
> The only thing I am sure of is that if horses supplied the level
> and
> quality of service we are supposed to applaud on all aspects of the
> internet, the world would be hip-deep in glue.

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2441. Re: loop antenna resonance vs. PVC enclosure??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3883C295.C84BCD1C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121265

Tom I won't even begin to compete on this subject because I don't know enough, but the handbook or some antenna book had plans for 6 and 2m J poles which were to be installed inside of PVC and there were specific comments made about tuning after the antenna was installed because of large tuning differences between being inside and out and even more so with the type of PVC being used. I'm looking to see if it was an ARRL book I found that in :)

ddz

"Thomas C. Sefranek" wrote:

> Sjcduke wrote:
>
> > I have a square loop of bare copper 18 gauge wire.
> >
> > Thru the use of a grid dip meter, I have determined that the loop is resonant
> > at 145.710 Mhz.
> >
> > If I run this wire thru PVC tubing of approx. 3/4 inch inside diameter, will
> > the resonant freq of the loop rise, or will the resonant freq decrease?
> >
> > Would the change be a tiny change.....such as now resonant at 146.000
> > Mhz.....or would the change in resonant freq be much more.....such as a
> > change of several Mhz??
> >
> > Thank you.....KA0FPJ
>
> I would not expect any change.
>
> --
> Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
> ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
> http://www.harvardrepeater.org
> http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

2442. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <uIOg4.354$38.11274@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121266

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>"It's impossible to interfere with an unauthorized emission."
>In other words, Aaron, they don't consider it as "jamming" if
>the signal doesn't belong there.

Can you reproduce the exact wording of that? I find it hard to believe that the FCC would authorize a vigilante ham throwing test carriers on every illegal signal he could find...

2443. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <3883C105.6EB83F91@icss.net>
Source record: 121267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mr Haynie from Green Acres?

W5TIT/Kim wrote:

> Wow, I wonder how it is that I live in the same area as Jim Haynie and I
> haven't heard anything to the effect that he is running for a position????
>
> I will have to contact him!
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in article <38839B42.7C5@arrl.net>...
> > W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > What elections?
> > >
> > > At the upcoming ARRL Board meeting, the Board will elect the ARRL
> > > President, Vice Presidents and other officers, as outlined in the ARRL
> > > Bylaws.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > > ARRL Lab
> > >

2444. Posts with Pazzazz vs. Board Boredom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17815-3883C00B-11@storefull-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121268

Doc, For my part, I check this daily. Anytime I see a discussion I can augment, I do.

Soon, however, it'll be like old times. My 75 foot tower is being manufactured as I write. The tower guys are ready. This time it's gonna be a three or four element quad. The interior is being designed specially with circular operating panel for the ham and the FM station.

So, am looking forward to like QSO's to the ones we shared more than a decade ago. I wish I could make more of a contribution here than this strictly personal excitement.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

2445. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey Levin <tracey12NOtrSPAM@hotbot.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <070dbf92.98da84de@usw-ex0102-014.remarq.com>
Source record: 121269
Cross-posted to: k12.chat.teacher,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.discrimination,soc.culture.usa

Well, lets see, Nelson Mandella is a self admitted communist, and the
Democrats love him.

MLK walked very close to this line with the friends he had all around
him.
Democrats idolize him.

Castro is a known commie, yet Clinton wants to warm up to him this year.
Democrats feel the need to be friendly with another communist.

Red Chinese accept our technology (nuclear) in return, Comrade Clinton
gets some DNC millions.
Democrats now dealing with communists.

Why Do Democrats Love Communists So Much???

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2446. Re: A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Watson <mtn_view@sirius.com>
Message-ID: <3883C900.46B1CD11@sirius.com>
Source record: 121270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Actually my interests are sort of outside the normal amateur radio. I'm pursuing amateur radio
astronomy and trying to get a better understanding of some of the many diverse designs that people
play around with in that hobby. Actually the most popular antennas seem to be satellite dishes.

dm wrote:

> Two books I own, an old brown edition of the ARRL Antenna Manual, and
> W6SAI's Quad book are never far from my reach.
>
> It sounds like you may be aiming at VHF/UHF....can't help you there.
>
> If is it 160/80/40....from my view, ON4UN's book "Low Band Dxing" is SUPERB.
> Since there are features of my "low band black hole QTH" that make 160/80
> virtually useless, I only borrowed a copy. But is was GREAT.
>
> GL OM ES 73 "DM"

--
"Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like a banana." - Groucho Marx

========== Wayne T. Watson ==========

mtn_view@sirius.com
Web Page: http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view (Updated
11/20/99)
Imaginarium Science Museum:
http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view/imaginarium.html

2447. Re: A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Watson <mtn_view@sirius.com>
Message-ID: <3883C918.31362F7F@sirius.com>
Source record: 121271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Actually my interests are sort of outside the normal amateur radio. I'm pursuing amateur radio
astronomy and trying to get a better understanding of some of the many diverse designs that people
play around with in that hobby. Actually the most popular antennas seem to be satellite dishes.
Thanks for your response.

dm wrote:

> Two books I own, an old brown edition of the ARRL Antenna Manual, and
> W6SAI's Quad book are never far from my reach.
>
> It sounds like you may be aiming at VHF/UHF....can't help you there.
>
> If is it 160/80/40....from my view, ON4UN's book "Low Band Dxing" is SUPERB.
> Since there are features of my "low band black hole QTH" that make 160/80
> virtually useless, I only borrowed a copy. But is was GREAT.
>
> GL OM ES 73 "DM"

--
"Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like a banana." - Groucho Marx

========== Wayne T. Watson ==========

mtn_view@sirius.com
Web Page: http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view (Updated
11/20/99)
Imaginarium Science Museum:
http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view/imaginarium.html

2448. Re: New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Walton <kf6fgb@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3883DA66.7FBCC81D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 121272

You mean, you won't be able to tell the difference between 20wpm and 5wpm
unless they have a 1x2 or 2x1 call sign?

Oh, my!

"Innocent John!!" wrote:

> I believe there should be a end to the currect 1x2 & 2x1 Eextra class
> call signs on April 15, 2000. Thus, there would be a way to know the
> difference between real Extra Class holders who earned & proved their
> abilities with difficult theory & faster morse code. There would be
> a fairly clear way to recognize them as different & better than the
> "Welfare Extras" starting the last half of April who have little skills
> & abilities.

2449. Re: New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3883e97e_4@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121273

Good point. If that is the only way to tell the difference, then
there is no difference. Well, we knew that...

By the way, I'm an extra with a 1X3. How does this fit into your New
Order of Extra Class Licenses?

--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"Brent Walton" <kf6fgb@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:3883DA66.7FBCC81D@pacbell.net...
> You mean, you won't be able to tell the difference between 20wpm
and 5wpm
> unless they have a 1x2 or 2x1 call sign?
>
> Oh, my!
>
> "Innocent John!!" wrote:
>
> > I believe there should be a end to the currect 1x2 & 2x1 Eextra
class
> > call signs on April 15, 2000. Thus, there would be a way to know
the
> > difference between real Extra Class holders who earned & proved
their
> > abilities with difficult theory & faster morse code. There would
be
> > a fairly clear way to recognize them as different & better than
the
> > "Welfare Extras" starting the last half of April who have little
skills
> > & abilities.
>

2450. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <x3Tg4.604$38.12124@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121274

Jeffrey Herman wrote
>Several of us did pose that scenario to the FCC a few years ago and
>each caller received the same response.

That's in Cecil's skeptical range. Any direct documentation that says it's OK to use your ham station to purposely *jam* an intruder?

>Don't throw test carriers; instead, call CQ in an attempt to begin a QSO
>with another ham.

So you say jam by pretending not to jam? Why would you do this if it was legal to jam? Probably because it's not...

>It's no different than carrying on a QSO under key clicks emanating
>from someone located 20 kHz away. It's no different than carrying on
>a QSO on say, 14020 kHz, on top of a harmonic emanating from a station
>transmitting on 7010 kHz. Key clicks and harmonics are unauthorized
>emissions.
>Ever transmit through 2m intermodulation products?

None of that would be defined as jamming...

2451. STUMPJUMPER HQ photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <860ffo$9pu$1@news.laserlink.net>
Source record: 121275

http://www.butlermfg.com/html/buildings/restrant04p.htm

2452. Re: New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38840DB9.B468805D@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 121276

And I knew a General (now SK) with a 1X2. No big thing, right?

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Johnny Rico wrote:

> By the way, I'm an extra with a 1X3. How does this fit into your New
> Order of Extra Class Licenses?

2453. Re: Why it is what it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38841092.3C486FBA@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 121277

OM,

The logical flaw in your argument is that operating HF does _not_ stop us from operating on VHF, UHF or even microwave; I do it myself. Sometimes all at the same time, from the same car.

Different bands have different characteristics, which lend themselves to different styles of operating and to different activities on the air. That is not a drawback. It makes Amateur Radio more attractive.

If anything, people who are attracted to Amateur Radio will not only join us because of the joys of HF DX, but will also move onto the VHF and higher frequency bands, increasing our population there as well, and making it less easy to expropriate them.

Cheers,

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

PS: 144-146 MHz is a worldwide Amateur allocation, too.

PPS: Prices of good (not fancy, just good) HF rigs are in the $700 region. Getting started has not been easier! With outfits like SGC and ElecTek in there, the competition will KEEP prices low.

2454. THE REAL LLOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: nocodegeek@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000116230108.04690.00000411@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 121332

17 OLDS OLD???????? HAHAHAHHAH

LETS TRY 27 YEARS OLD WITH GREASY BLACK HAIR
ABOUT 5FT 7 OR 8 ABOUT 200 MAYBE 210 PDS
BIG FAT ROUND PALE FACE
JUST A TAD BIT CROSSED EYED OR MAYBE JUST A LAZY
EYE ??? THEY BOTH DIDNT SEEM TO FOLLOW TRUE
TOOLS AROUND IN A OLD FORD GRANDA OR ESCORT
REALLY COULDNT TELL FOR THE DIRT AND RUST
NICE LITTLE 2 METER GEEKER ANTT ON THE BACK
MAG MOUNT THAT IS
AND A GREASY ICOM 706 DOWN IN THE FLOOR BROAD

WELL LLOYD SAY ITS NOT SO

2455. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <3882a39a.182773339@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 121333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net> wrote:

>wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>>
>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
>> KB7ADL) wrote:
>>
>> >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>> >
>> >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>> >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>> >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>> >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>> >everything handed to them on a silver platter.
>>
>> actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
>> increase business for vendors.
>
>Yes, those dirty business people. All they want is money! Funny how
>Radio Shack started the Family Radio Service. Just think what would
>have happened had RS gone after 80/40/20 mtrs.

Actually, Radio Shack didn't create the FRS, they revived something
that has existed since 1947. In the old days, the FRS was called
"Class A Citizen's Band". It had kind of gone into a state of non-use
because darn near no one knew it even existed. Have to give Tandy and,
to a lesser extent, Motorola, for reviving it.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

2456. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <3882a656.183473402@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 121334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stephen M.H. Lawrence <poppabear1968@my-deja.com> wrote:

>As a confirmed liberal, I found myself nodding while reading your post,
>Dan. You are correct - the economic policies of any President take
>some time to manifest themselves.
> At the core of the "Clinton problem," as I like to think of it, is a
>lack of character and priciple. I simply don't like the man. I never
>have trusted him. The public knowingly voted for him, so apparently
>trust isn't an issue?
> Or could it be...that at age 31, I am becoming a conservative?
>Didn't P.J. O'Rourke say something along the lines of, "Anyone younger
>than thirty who is a conservative...is heartless; Anyone older than
>thirty who is a liberal...is brainless." ?

PJ may have said it too, but I believe Winston Churchill gets credit
for first saying this. As best I can remember, he said it as such:

"If you are not a liberal before you are 30, you have no heart. If
you're not a conservative after 30, you have no brain."

Most folks don't realize that, in his younger days, Churchill was a
member of the Liberal Party in Britian. The Conservatives never really
did trust him, even during WW2, especially with the Beverage Plan for
the social welfare state drafted by the Churchill government in
1943-44. As a matter of fact, what got Churchill defeated in 1945 was
that he would not implement the Beverage Paln fast enough - the
Liberal/Labour slogan against his was "Beverage NOW".

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

P.S. BTW, who was the last President that was a licensed ham? My guess
would be Hoover (1929-1933), though I don't know if he held a license
while he was in the White House. He did in later life I know I
remember him as having worked either for or with the ARRL promoting
ham radio in his later years, and remember when he died in 1964 the
ARRL had his picture on the front cover.

Last presidential candidate I know of that was a ham was Barry
Goldwater (K7UGA/K3UIG) in 1964. Still remember my one and only on-air
contact with him in 1966 on 20M. Unfortunately, don't have the QSL
any more.

2457. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3886bb23.24725399@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121335
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

On Mon, 17 Jan 2000 02:42:44 GMT, Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Chip wrote:
>
>> >Also, I'm NOT available.. Am in a solid relationship
>> >for the past 14 years and she is listed as my domestic
>> >partner with my employer, so she gets the appropriate
>> >benefits..
>>
>> That's great too, unfortunately it may take another 100 years or so
>> for the rest of the US employers to be as enlightened.
>>
>
>Not nearly 100 years. Just look at a recent list of employers with an
>explicit policy of welcoming gay and lesbian employees as equals.
>
>Just a partial list of companies which do not discriminate based on sexual
>orientation:
>CORPORATIONS/BUSINESS

Snip:

Thanks for the list. I only see the ones in the news like Disney that
get some heat. Looks like the Southern Baptists have a whole bunch of
companies / products to boycott now besides Disney, unless they've
dropped the boycott of them.

2458. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Cool <kb0tix@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s85hpmseeh69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I knew it! Off to my "Blocked Senders" list you go! How's that for
censorship Lloyd (don't bother to reply as I won't see it)?

73

2459. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3882CE26.1E03@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121337
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Brian Denley wrote:
>
> Trish:
> I assume you mean to join your partner and you for target shooting, right?
> <G>
> Brian Denley

Yes... We only put holes in paper.. not people :-)

anyway, thanx for the support and I apologize to the group
that we've strayed <way> off topic.

Anyway, I prefer the company of good friends over having my
picture on the front of QST..

Cheers..

Trish

--

Patricia E. Gibbons
Acting Chief Communications Technician
City of San Jose - ITD/communications
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

Echelon Magnet:

GUARDRAIL VENONA MI6 Psyops grom D-11 SERT
VIP ARC S.E.T. Team MP5k DREC DEVGRP DF DSD
LRTS SIGDEV NACSI PSAC PTT RFI SIGDASYS
Tritium Trigger devices

2460. ACS Newsletter for 1/10/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85umcripv1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121338

Welcome to the Auxiliary Communications Service Newsletter, developed by the ACS Training Unit of the State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. Please visit our ACS Home Page at http://acs.oes.ca.gov

The purpose of this weekly newsletter is to bring those of us in emergency communications closer together by sharing common ideas, and by introducing new technology into the emergency services community. All individuals with an interest in emergency communications, disaster preparedness or helping the community at large are encouraged to participate here in an open forum.

You will find a virtual community here, with representatives from many different organizations with the same common goal: emergency response. We encourage everyone, from whatever organization you represent (or just yourself!) to bring us your ideas, suggestions, concerns, manuals, handbooks, or opinions so that we may share them with the group. To those of you who have just joined us, welcome aboard!

.....

Please Welcome:

Mark Wells KF6CZE <kf6cze@earthlink.net>
Hesperia CA

Paul Jacques WB6IWT <Paulj@rain.org>
Somis, CA

Paul J Williams Jr. KC2BYX <pjwojw@hotmail.com>
Willow, Alaska

I have spent my life associated with fire department or ambulance, so when I got my ham license and retired it was only reasonable that I was in ARES and RACES and SkyWarn. I am now moved from Oswego NY and involved with the same groups here in Alaska. Thanks for the news letter and the ideas presented good job.

Peter K. Cullinan KB2VMC <cullinan.p@nypa.gov>
Oswego NY

.....

Current Events:

SACRAMENTO, Calif., Jan. 6 /PRNewswire/ -- The Y2K Bug is gone but the supplies you purchased to get ready for the New Year should stay. The Governor's Office of Emergency Services says that if you want to be prepared for an earthquake, severe winter storm, or fire then you need to hold on to your canned goods, water, batteries and other preparedness supplies you purchased for Y2K.

``Y2K was a terrific opportunity for California residents to practice preparing for the many emergencies and disasters that have plagued our state in recent years,'' said OES Director Dallas Jones. ``If you prepared for Y2K then it was money well spent. The supplies you set aside will serve you well in the event Mother Nature strikes your home or neighborhood.''

Within the last 10 years every part of California has suffered the effects of natures wrath. From major earthquakes, severe winter storms, and wildland fires, residents across the state have had their lives disrupted to some degree.

``No matter where you live in this State, you are not immune from disaster,'' added Jones. ``This is why it is important to keep your Y2K supplies on hand or get the necessary supplies to help you get by for three to seven days.'' Suggested items include:

* One gallon of water per person per day

* Plenty of nonperishable food

* Alternative cooking sources (i.e., campstoves, outdoor grill)

* First aid kit

* Batteries

* Warm clothes and blankets

Excess perishable supplies from Y2K preparedness kits can be donated to a local food bank or other charity.

For more preparedness information, contact your county Office of Emergency Services or visit the OES Web site at www.oes.ca.gov.

SOURCE: California Office of Emergency Services

.....

What's New on the Net:

Blackberry REACT, Incorporated is proud to announce the presence of our new web-site.

http://bayvax.decus.org/blackberry/

Constructed and maintained by member Phil Henderson, Blackberry Unit 08, this site explains what REACT is and does, shows what Blackberry participates in, shows Blackberry's Communication van, links to Blackberry's e-mail address, REACT International and other REACT teams with active web-sites.

REACT is an International organization dedicated to providing radio communications as a public service. Blackberry REACT, Incorporated is a local team of volunteers who provide radio communications for public service in order to practice for major emer gencies.

.....

This week's EMCOMM Bulletin:

EMC219 Y2K and GOOD TIMING 2/2
To: Emergency Communications Units - Information Bulletin
To: Emergency Management Agencies via Internet and Radio
By: Auxiliary Communications Service (ACS) of the
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services

EMC219 Y2K and GOOD TIMING 2/2 For release 1/10/2000

Continued quotation from an article in SYNCHRONICITY NEWS 6.0 - December 1999 newsletter by Paul O'Brien:

"So, what is the secret to manifesting our desires? It's the last thing one would expect, another paradox. The secret is Acceptance.

"As counter-intuitive as it may seem, Acceptance is the most powerful catalyst for change. For example, when you unconditionally accept someone just the way they are, that acceptance gives them the space to change according to their true nature and their natural desires (and it makes them want to be around you!). Whether you are trying to direct it or not, change they will. But when we try to force change upon someone, to get them to change according to our attachment to the way we want them to be, they change with a generous amount of twisting, and resistance to our controlling efforts. We might consider this kind of growth beautiful in a bonsai tree, but it is behind most of the big problems in relationships.

"Taking a Taoist approach on this one,I try to regard myself as a "fledgling warrior of acceptance," because acceptance can be so challenging. As media relentlessly reflect our collective shadow, we are exposed to all kinds of negative information -- usually labelled 'news' -- that can be difficult to accept or downright scary. (Some of it -- Y2K paranoia, for example -- may even emanate from our own community and be spread via "the peoples' media" of the Internet.) In any case, icreasing levels of anxiety are the result of our struggle with the fear-mongering propaganda bombarding us on all sides.

"To take refuge in the knowledge (i.e. "Faith") that there is a Plan, according to which everything is perfectly unfolding, is not easy. First of all, it demands that we let go of our attachment to knowing the Plan, to being able to figure out WHY things happen the way they happen, to making things happen or even demanding explanations. How often have you seen someone insist on coming up with an explanation about why something happened, what they did wrong, etc. -- because what happened was not what they had in mind. But designing the Plan (or calculating karma, for that matter) is not our job. Our job is to play the cards we are dealt -- to first of all ACCEPT the hand, and then play our cards as well as responsively as we can.

"To stay in the flow of the game is the challenge we are called upon to make in the face of Y2K, symbol of Change. As long as we make our choices in sync with Divine Providence, Destiny, Fate -- or whatever you want to call It -- you can feel secure in the knowledge that you are doing the best you can and that you will be provided for. All you have to do is stay in sync!

"Faith, patience, insight and acceptance -- these are the qualities that help us manage Change and leverage perfect timing in our lives. Remember, you WILL get everything your heart desires --it's just a matter of timing. Another word for this is Grace.

"May the grace of perfect timing be with you, and may this special New Year inspire you to let it guide you in a graceful way."
(end of quotes.)

SYNCHRONICITY NEWS is published by Paul O'Brien and Visionary Networks, Inc., Portland, Oregon. For more information about Paul and Visionary Networks, Inc, see http://www.IChing.com

_____ end _____

May these bulletins help you in ways you have yet to discover.

Bulletins are on the ACS Web page: http://acs.oes.ca.gov -and a Landline BBS: 916-262-0856 (graphical & standard interface); and at this site: ftp.ucsd.edu/emcomm or ftp.ucsd.edu/hamradio/races

.....

Please let others in the emergency services field know of the newsletter, and encourage them to join... If you have opinions, suggestions or written material that you'd like to share with the group, let us know. We're always looking for new ideas and suggestions that will benefit everyone.

See you next week!

Dave Larton N6JQJ
State ACS Training Officer
Auxiliary Communications Service

Telecommunications Unit
Information Technology Branch
Governor's Office of Emergency Services
State of California

(408) 778-7265
(408) 778-3101 FAX

larton@garlic.com
David_Larton@oes.ca.gov

Special thanks to ACS Listmaster Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>

Thanks also to the State ACS Training Unit:
Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>
Don Lynn <delynnj@msg.pacbell.com>
Perrin Larton <perrin@garlic.com>

SUBSCRIBING/UNSUBSCRIBING:

Contact ACS List Subscription Services at

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html

If you don't have Internet access, and you want to:

- Subscribe
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your new address, please send an e-mail message to the
appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-sub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you
sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must
reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the
action happen.

IF YOU WANT TO:
- Cancel your subscription
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your currently-subscribed address, please send an e-mail
message to the appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-unsub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-unsub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the action happen.

If you have tried this procedure and can't get it to work, please contact <listmaster at harthaven.com> and place "Manual request" in the subject line. Be sure to include both your old and new addresses, if applicable.

2461. ACS Newsletter for 1/17/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85umfaiqb1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121339

Welcome to the Auxiliary Communications Service Newsletter, developed by the ACS Training Unit of the State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. Please visit our ACS Home Page at http://acs.oes.ca.gov

The purpose of this weekly newsletter is to bring those of us in emergency communications closer together by sharing common ideas, and by introducing new technology into the emergency services community. All individuals with an interest in emergency communications, disaster preparedness or helping the community at large are encouraged to participate here in an open forum.

You will find a virtual community here, with representatives from many different organizations with the same common goal: emergency response. We encourage everyone, from whatever organization you represent (or just yourself!) to bring us your ideas, suggestions, concerns, manuals, handbooks, or opinions so that we may share them with the group. To those of you who have just joined us, welcome aboard!
.....

Please Welcome:

Vladimir ex. UA3AMO <trunk@dialup.pttr.ru>
Moscow, Russia

Bob Burns N9KRS <n9krs@att.net>
Pittsboro, IN

I am RACES Radio Officer and Communications Director for my county's emergency management agency.

I am always looking for ways to grow our organization and expand the training opportunities. Subscribing to the newsletter looked like a good way to do both.

Ray Bedford KF6WPL <docbbq@yahoo.com>
Fremont, CA

Marty Roarke <M13069@aol.com>
Fulton, NY

Hello, I am currently a member of our local R.A.C.E.S. in Oswego County. I've been a ham since 1991 and enjoy helping where help is needed.

George Richardson K6HOZ <k6hoz@sbarc.org>
Santa Barbara, CA

I have been a licnsed ham for over two decades and very active with the Santa Barbara Amateur Radio Club, (SBARC). I'm also the current EC for the South Santa Barbara County ARES team. Looking forward to keeping in touch with others interested in emergency service.

.....

Current Events:

ARRL PURCHASES COMMUNICATIONS QUARTERLY

The ARRL has purchased the Amateur Radio technical journal Communications Quarterly from CQ Communications and will merge the publication with the League's technical journal QEX. The change becomes effective for subscribers starting with the March/April issue of QEX. The new combined publication initially will serve a joint readership of more than 7000. The magazine will bear the legend, "QEX, incorporating Communications Quarterly."

ARRL Executive Vice President Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, said the League is pleased to have the opportunity to demonstrate its ongoing commitment to technical excellence in Amateur Radio through the combined publication. "Merging Communications Quarterly into QEX provides a rare synergistic opportunity to turn two good publications into one that's even better," he said.

The purchase arrangement followed extensive discussions with Communications Quarterly publisher Dick Ross, K2MGA. Conversations about the viability of Communications Quarterly and of QEX as standalone magazines--combined with the League's commitment to maintaining a publication devoted to technical and experimental topics--resulted in an ARRL offer to purchase Communications Quarterly. Ross called the deal "a win-win situation." The purchase price was not disclosed.

Published for the past nine years under the editorship of Terry Northup Littlefield, KA1STC, Communications Quarterly has billed itself as "the philosophical successor of Ham Radio magazine." Littlefield was Ham Radio's editor when CQ Communications purchased the magazine in 1990, incorporating the general-interest portion of the magazine's content into CQ and launching Communications Quarterly as a venue for more highly technical material. Ross said he regrets that neither CQ nor the League has an opening for Littlefield, who is exploring new opportunities. "We're going to miss her," Ross said.

QEX Editor Doug Smith, KF6DX, will continue at the helm of the combined publication. Smith called the pairing of Communications Quarterly and QEX "a logical economic move" that

ensures the availability of a top-quality technical forum for experimenters. Smith invited technical articles as well as specific suggestions for articles or topics to be covered in future editions of the magazine. Submit articles or suggestions to Doug Smith, KF6DX, kf6dx@arrl.org; QEX/Communications Quarterly, ARRL, 225 Main St, Newington, CT 06111.

Current Communications Quarterly subscribers will receive the combined QEX/Communications Quarterly every other month, and subscriptions will be extended according to a formula spelled out in a letter to subscribers. A subscription to the new, combined QEX/Communications Quarterly is $34, discounted to $22 for ARRL members. Direct subscription questions to Circulation Department, ARRL, circulation@arrl.org; 860-594-0355. (The ARRL Letter)

.....

What's New on the Net:

Attention: RACES/ARES and Emergency Communications Groups

I have started a new web site to sell the hard to find and "elusive" Anderson Powerpole connectors that have become (or are becoming) the most popular power connector for interchangeability in the emergency community along with Yaesu, Kenwood and Icom mobile OEM "T" power connectors.

http://www.powerwerx.com was started with hearing the concerns of hams across the country in newsgroups of "where to purchase these connectors" and other "hard to find" products at reasonable prices.

PowerWerx.com encourages groups to pool their purchases to obtain a very low price on power connectors.

I apologize for the commercial promotion, but I feel that an on-line secure source for these products has not been available for quite some time.

We accept MasterCard/Visa.

The philosophy of "one ham helping another" is now extended from my web site to you.

Ken, KM6YH
www.powerwerx.com

.....

This week's EMCOMM Bulletin:

EMC220 Synchronicity and you?
To: Emergency Communications Units - Information Bulletin
To: Emergency Management Agencies via Internet and Radio

By: Auxiliary Communications Service (ACS) of the
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services

EMC220 Synchronicity and you? For release 1/17/2000

"Synchronicity takes the coincidence of events in space and time as meaning something more than mere chance." (Carl Jung)

"Carl Jung is the founder of what has come to be known as "depth psychology." His postulation of universal principles, or "archetypes" operating in the field of what he defined as the "collective unconscious," as well as the synchronicity theory, make for fascinating study. As for the latter, in his work "Synchronicity: An Acausal Connecting Principle" Jung explained

"This ...involves a certain curious principle that I have termed 'synchronicity', a concept that formulates a point of view diametrically opposed to that of causality. Since the latter is merely statistical truth and not absolute, it is a sort of working hypothesis of how events evolve one out of another, whereas synchronicity takes the coincidence of events in space and time as meaning something more than mere chance, namely, a peculiar interdependence of objective events among themselves as well as with the subjective (psychic) states of the observer or observers."

According to Jung, synchronistic thinking is "field thinking," the center of which is time, a moment in time. From a synchronistic point of view, the important question is not why has something occurred, or what factor caused this effect, but rather what likes to happen together in a meaningful way at the same moment. What types of events, including psychological events, happen together in time?"

"The Synchronicity Principle.

We have some notion of a tendency of events to cluster around a moment in time in our own culture, as evidenced by folk sayings like, "Good things (or accidents) happen in threes," etc. This only exists as a superstitious kind of popular awareness. Nevertheless, it has long been evident that there is a tendency for several scientists at the same time to make the same discovery completely independently. And, in histories of science, one can observe that there is a tendency for certain ideas and inventions to crop up in different places at the same time. Or, on a more mundane level, one has been known to wonder why the most needed library book is always the one already checked out?"

Quoted from Paul O'Brien and Visionary Networks, Inc. Portland, Oregon web page at http://www.IChing.com (end)

As managers and participants in EMCOMM units it well may

be that - IF we will allow ourselves to do so - we can benefit from looking more closely at events and see what timing and tandum events have to 'tell us' that we can use beneficially. Like the day I took a county RACES plan up to the State for its concurrence, and ended up being asked to become the State RACES Officer, which was something I really didn't want to do at the time. But events caused me to pause and look at the situation in a different way. That led to the eventual emergence of what today is our ACS program, which includes the RACES. Many was the time when Stan Harter (first paid staff coordinator of the RACES program) and I would come up with the same idea within minutes of each other. We learned those were powerful times offering new ideas and opportunities. Sometimes the change was painful but it always turned out for the better in the long run.
Cary Mangum, State ACS Officer, California. (W6WWW)

Submit suggestions, topics or constructive comments on the bulletins to cary.mangum@macnexus.org or cary_mangum@oes.ca.gov

Bulletins are on the ACS Web page: http://acs.oes.ca.gov -and a Landline BBS: 916-262-0856 (graphical & standard interface); and an Archive: ftp.ucsd.edu/emcomm or ftp.ucsd.edu/hamradio/races

.....

Please let others in the emergency services field know of the newsletter, and encourage them to join... If you have opinions, suggestions or written material that you'd like to share with the group, let us know. We're always looking for new ideas and suggestions that will benefit everyone.

See you next week!

Dave Larton N6JQJ
State ACS Training Officer
Auxiliary Communications Service
Telecommunications Unit
Information Technology Branch
Governor's Office of Emergency Services
State of California

(408) 778-7265
(408) 778-3101 FAX

larton@garlic.com
David_Larton@oes.ca.gov

Special thanks to ACS Listmaster Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>

Thanks also to the State ACS Training Unit:
Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>
Don Lynn <delynnj@msg.pacbell.com>
Perrin Larton <perrin@garlic.com>

SUBSCRIBING/UNSUBSCRIBING:

Contact ACS List Subscription Services at

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html

If you don't have Internet access, and you want to:

- Subscribe
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your new address, please send an e-mail message to the
appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-sub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you
sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must
reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the
action happen.

IF YOU WANT TO:
- Cancel your subscription
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your currently-subscribed address, please send an e-mail
message to the appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-unsub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-unsub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you
sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must
reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the
action happen.

If you have tried this procedure and can't get it to work,
please contact <listmaster at harthaven.com> and place "Manual
request" in the subject line. Be sure to include both your old
and new addresses, if applicable.

2462. Yaesu FT-1000 Fan Noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: CC <nowires@home.com>
Message-ID: <3882D136.455D97D5@home.com>
Source record: 121340

Does anyone know a solution for the FT-1000 "groaning" fan noise?

This apparently is common problem. I sent the rig to Yaesu asking them to replace the fan but they sent it back saying nothing was wrong with it.

I'm hoping there is an aftermarket fan or some other solution.
Otherwise it is a terrific radio.

Thanks.

2463. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388adf43.33974812@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 17:42:20 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

>Those who thought the internet will be the great marketing tool are
>wrong. It has neither the needed bandwidth or reliability to use it for
>anything other than spouting off on newsgroups, sending email to avoid
>toll calls, chating like some sort of TTY CBer or reading the news and
>weather, or other "official" information.

Yep, here are some quotes from some other great visionaries:

" There is no reason anyone would want a computer in their home."
- Ken Olson, president, chairman and founder of Digital
Equipment Corp., 1977.

"Computers in the future may weigh no more than 1.5 tons."
- Popular Mechanics, forecasting the relentless march of
science, 1949.

"I think there is a world market for maybe five computers."
- Thomas Watson, chairman of IBM, 1943.

" I have traveled the length and breadth of this country and talked
with the best people, and I can assure you that data processing is a
fad that won't last out the year."
- The editor in charge of business books for Prentice Hall,
1957.

"But what ... is it good for?"
- Engineer at the Advanced Computing Systems Division of IBM,
1968, commenting on the microchip.

2464. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85unjgjku1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> K0HB wrote:

>> At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
>> the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
>> selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
>> on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.
>> When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
>> "Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
>> on."

> As you know, if the equipment meets Part 15 emission rules,
> Ramsey is right.

Dick, tell us, according to Part 15, in which ham bands may
unlicensed operators use low-power transmitters. Certainly not
on HF.

Jeff

2465. Source for Fritzel Antennas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: wd1v@amsat.org
Message-ID: <wd1v-1701000529560001@wdonev.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 121343

I have a good friend looking for a Fritzel. The US Distributor folded his tent. Any ideas where he could get one? Know the call sign of Fritzel?

John D. Seney
wd1v@amsat.org • http://people.ne.mediaone.net/wd1v
Amateur Radio FAQ • Macnet • Oscilloscope FAQ

2466. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5FkMLGAhDwg4Ew+r@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 121344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
>the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
>selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
>on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.
>
>When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
>"Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
>on." Looks like there a lot of "sheep" reading 2600 too.

Surely an amateur frequency would be the worst possible choice? We would
take great delight in jamming it, legally!

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

2467. Re: A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <3882FDD1.CA9972AD@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 121345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Wayne Watson wrote:

> Yes, what's a good book or reference on antenna design? I'd particularly like to know more about
> waveguides, helical, parabolic, yagi and other designs. I'd also like to know how one designs an
> antenna to receive at a given frequency?
>

See: ARRL Antenna Handbook

>
> --
> "Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like a banana." - Anon.
>

This is NOT anon. it is Groucho Marx who made this famous.

>
> ========== Wayne T. Watson ==========
>
> mtn_view@sirius.com
> Web Page: http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view (Updated
> 11/20/99)
> Imaginarium Science Museum:
> http://www.sirius.com/~mtn_view/imaginarium.html

--
Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
http://www.harvardrepeater.org
http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

2468. K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: fractenna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117074832.18034.00001029@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 121346

In the last few days K1BQT has resorted to death threats against me.

This is a VERY serious situation.

PLEASE! If you are a friend of K1BQT, or just an acquiantance, TALK WITH HIM!
Calm him DOWN!

The man has a gun collection, lives an hour from me, and is clearly sending
messages telling what he wants to do. ('Chips Final Act'; 'North End
Solution'; and so on).

PLEASE! For HIS SAKE--talk with him.

Thanks!

Chip N1IR

2469. Re: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "I.T.2" <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <38833A60.57B8F76C@bv.net>
Source record: 121347

Call local police / sheriff department and report the threat, atleast they will have it on record.

Fractenna wrote:

> In the last few days K1BQT has resorted to death threats against me.
>
> This is a VERY serious situation.
>
> PLEASE! If you are a friend of K1BQT, or just an acquiantance, TALK WITH HIM!
> Calm him DOWN!
>
> The man has a gun collection, lives an hour from me, and is clearly sending
> messages telling what he wants to do. ('Chips Final Act'; 'North End
> Solution'; and so on).
>
> PLEASE! For HIS SAKE--talk with him.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Chip N1IR

2470. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0vfpsucks@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117082141.26029.00001326@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 121348

Subject: Re: Disband B.A.R.F?
From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
Date: 1/15/2000 5:10 PM Eastern Standard Time
Message-id: <Qf6g4.5982$8z5.74024@news4.mia>

I just want to say that i'm no longer intetested in name calling, fighting etc. I just want people to quit making fun of me!?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611>Subject: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!
>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>Date: 1/16/2000 9:33 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <Lcvg4.9025$8z5.113475@news4.mia>
>

Well Lloyd, I see that you couldn't control yourself. You couldn't wait to post something about AF2Y and David Castle, as long as it's someone eles's comments. I see you really stuck to that "i'm no longer intetested in name calling, fighting" BS that you posted earlier, but what can we expect, considering its you.

2471. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - CALL SIGNS !!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <vHEg4.87$6w1.6244@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 121349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <38828C19.CF865E87@icss.net>, burke1@icss.net says...
> KF2TI - Steve (Landing, NJ) wrote:
>
> > In article <388205B4.CBD8E275@icss.net>, burke1@icss.net says...
> > > kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > In article <388106C2.C7A99034@icss.net>,
> > > > burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > > > > And the retraction of the NCI slander and lies comes when?
> > > >
> > > > NEVER. The weren't slanders and weren't lies based on the evidence
> > > > provided by the "gentleman" Whether or not he lied or produced
> > > > erroneous evidence to support my claims, well, water under the dam. Be
> > > > happy to produce everything and continue the legal process. All one
> > > > has to do is have the other party agree to it. I am sure a double dog
> > > > dare will do. But what's the beef? You and he are convinced nothing
> > > > incriminating exists. The decision is his. Personally I could care
> > > > less. I got a brand spanking new HF tranceiver out of the whole thing
> > > > and it works as advertised, although I am thinking about one of those
> > > > new fangled computer controlled rigs.
> > >
> > > Get over it. You typed a lot, but didn't say much (usual). Sayonara.
> >
> > Get over what Brainiac? You asked, I answered.
>
> You didn't answer dink. You typed a bunch of words. Are you saying that NCI
> did or did not cut your coax?
>
>

Asked question, answered same. Why the persistence Pinky? I thought you
said the evidence didn't exist, so what difference does it make?
However, the evidence does exist, the 1000MP does exist and the offer to
prosecute the other party remains a viable option should there be some
agreement as to what will happen. Since you are not that other party, I
think this particular divergence of the thread is over. Your questions
are of no consequence (much like most of your posts).

Best you should spare with Larry R. He's more your speed (molasses on a
winter's day)

toodles NARF
```

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

2472. searching a CPU for a Yaesu 757GX (first serie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Renato <renato@numerica.it>
Message-ID: <85ujm6rrp1@fe1.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 121350

Hi!
I am searching a CPU for a Yaesu 757GX (first serie).
I think that it is the same of Sommerkamp 757GH
Can you help me? Have you it? Do you Know where can i buy it?

Thanks for all the info!
Note that my e-mail address is modified and the right is
renato.favero@
and the same provider!!!

73 de Renato ik2wys

2473. schematic diagram Kenwood TR-7400A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Renato <renato@numerica.it>
Message-ID: <10kfh2cmrj1@fe2.cs.interbusiness.it>
Source record: 121351

I am searching the schematic diagram of a transceiver
Kenwood TR-7400A (an old FM transceiver)
can you help me?

73 de Renato ik2wys

note that my right e-mail address is:
renato.favero@
and
@numerica.it

2474. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85v9lq$a55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <85u0khmck0@208.207.71.153>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > In article <386ACB14.9D6C2567@ix.netcom.com>,
> > David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > > The jack-booted thugs
> > > continue to build the murderous police state,
> > > while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> > > Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?
> >
> > At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
> > the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
> > selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
> > on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.
> >
> > When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
> > "Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
> > on."
>
> As you know, if the equipment meets Part 15 emission rules,
> Ramsey is right.

That would have been fine, but there was no "Part 15" compliance
sticker in sight.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2475. Re: THE REAL LLOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85v6sg$l2d$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121353

Are you sure? I always pictured him as the erudite gentleman. Does he drive around with a Stars and Bars flag decal on his rear window? Or is a mini cloth one hanging off his antenna? Tell us more.
AF2Y

In article <20000116230108.04690.00000411@ng-cn1.aol.com>, nocodegeek@aol.com (Nocodegeek) wrote:

>
> 17 OLDS OLD???????? HAHAHAHHAH
>
> LETS TRY 27 YEARS OLD WITH GREASY BLACK HAIR
> ABOUT 5FT 7 OR 8 ABOUT 200 MAYBE 210 PDS
> BIG FAT ROUND PALE FACE
> JUST A TAD BIT CROSSED EYED OR MAYBE JUST A LAZY
> EYE ??? THEY BOTH DIDNT SEEM TO FOLLOW TRUE
> TOOLS AROUND IN A OLD FORD GRANDA OR ESCORT
> REALLY COULDNT TELL FOR THE DIRT AND RUST
> NICE LITTLE 2 METER GEEKER ANTT ON THE BACK
> MAG MOUNT THAT IS
> AND A GREASY ICOM 706 DOWN IN THE FLOOR BROAD
>
> WELL LLOYD SAY ITS NOT SO
>
>
>

2476. Too bad!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <85v81r$ct4$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121354

Gosh oh gee-what's happened to these once exciting pages filled with wit, satire, wisdom, wisdom teeth. puns, facetiousness and slap around silliness? The only thing of interest regarding .313 and environs is Mr. Cunningham's lampoons which are indeed poignant. The Vero Zero is gone not that he really contributed anything thanks to his U. of Miami English courses taught while surfboarding. And his shill, Studley Avotec from Port Washington is awfully quiet too. Polly as you know is parading up and down 20 meters in vestments preaching peace on earth and good will to all men who believe in evil; Billy Jack Moore is a 20 meter ghost; Whoa Whoa Cue has moved from LaBelle to God knows where and Herbie shows up infrequently screaming absudities about Ruth Carolyn Hoffman. Quite honestly I'm bored. Tell me-is ham radio a mere rehearsed procedure of calling CW, my name is, my QTH is, I'm running this, my antenna is this and please QSY? If it were, would you like it? Well folks this forum has become an archetype of it. If we can't have some more fun here; if we can't let down our hair even a few strands encouraged by Rogaine, then farewell. One can have fun without flaming but dammit what's going on here is drooling. I no longer get secret info from the Vero detectives-everything's become a gigantic prune. Too bad!
AF2Y

2477. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhawk@megabits.net
Message-ID: <38832294.2061217@news.megabits.net>
Source record: 121355
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Congradulations on your values.

Good friends are a rare commodity.

QST is nothing more than a processed tree with ink
spattered all over it. run buy a bunch of incompitent sexist.

Now before any of you newbies disagrees, look back
about 15+ years and you will find cases of women who
tried to run for ARRL office and were DENIED because
of their SEX.. this happened somewhere in the Midwest.

Can anybody remember more about this??

Just my opinions

Bob

On Mon, 17 Jan 2000 00:09:10 -0800, Patricia Gibbons
<wa6ube@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Brian Denley wrote:
>>
>> Trish:
>> I assume you mean to join your partner and you for target shooting, right?
>> <G>
>> Brian Denley
>
>Yes... We only put holes in paper.. not people :-)
>
>anyway, thanx for the support and I apologize to the group
>that we've strayed <way> off topic.
>
>Anyway, I prefer the company of good friends over having my
>picture on the front of QST..
>
>Cheers..
>
>Trish
>
>--
>
>Patricia E. Gibbons
>Acting Chief Communications Technician
>City of San Jose - ITD/communications

><http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
>.....................................
>My Public Key is available at:
><http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
>Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
>This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!
>
>Echelon Magnet:
>
>GUARDRAIL VENONA MI6 Psyops grom D-11 SERT
>VIP ARC S.E.T. Team MP5k DREC DEVGRP DF DSD
>LRTS SIGDEV NACSI PSAC PTT RFI SIGDASYS
>Tritium Trigger devices

2478. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <388327C7.B4EC8FD3@icss.net>
Source record: 121356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Chuck Evans wrote:

> I videoconference on a regular basis with people around the world using
> the free services of Visitalk.com with NetMeeting. The audio is often
> crystal clear. The connection is through a local number to my ISP
> (free call and free ISP) on a V90 modem. I have videoconferenced with
> people in Australia, Austria, France, Italy, Scotland, Ireland, Saudi
> Arabia...and the list keeps growing. I donï¿½t think the internet is
> dying.
> Chuck

Did they QSL direct or via the buro?

2479. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. S. Platt" <jeepp@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3883367A.E350B3F2@erols.com>
Source record: 121357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Man..... where is Tail Gunner Joe McCarthy now???

wf3h@ptd.net wrote:

> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> >
> >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> >everything handed to them on a silver platter.
>
> actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
> increase business for vendors.

2480. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85vh0k$g1a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121358

In article <20000117082141.26029.00001326@ng-fr1.aol.com>,
n0vfpsucks@aol.com (N0vfpsucks) wrote:
> Subject: Re: Disband B.A.R.F?
> From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
> Date: 1/15/2000 5:10 PM Eastern Standard Time
> Message-id: <Qf6g4.5982$8z5.74024@news4.mia>
>
> I just want to say that i'm no longer intetested in name calling,
fighting
> etc. I just want people to quit making fun of me!?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611>Subject: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!
> >From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
> >Date: 1/16/2000 9:33 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Lcvg4.9025$8z5.113475@news4.mia>
> >
>
> Well Lloyd, I see that you couldn't control yourself. You couldn't
wait to
> post something about AF2Y and David Castle, as long as it's someone
eles's
> comments. I see you really stuck to that "i'm no longer intetested in
name
> calling, fighting" BS that you posted earlier, but what can we expect,
> considering its you.
>
Well, at least "THIS TIME" it had something to do with amateur radio.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2481. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia <bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B4A87C93.266F%bromo@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
>> KB7ADL) wrote:
>>
>>> Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>>>
>>> The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>>> anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>>> Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>>> for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>>> everything handed to them on a silver platter.
>>
>> actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
>> increase business for vendors.

How about neither -- Ham Radio was in trouble until they started lifting the code requirements.

And be careful who you insult (referring to the "no code CB whiners") - after all, they could be at the other end of the conversation on HF, code or not and get you call sign, remember who you are, and conveniently forget to send the QSL...

After all, with talk like that, people might think you have a bad attitude!

2482. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <38833C6E.A4A13A84@radiks.net>
Source record: 121360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The only thing I am sure of is that if horses supplied the level and
quality of service we are supposed to applaud on all aspects of the
internet, the world would be hip-deep in glue.

Chip wrote:
>
> On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 17:42:20 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >Those who thought the internet will be the great marketing tool are
> >wrong. It has neither the needed bandwidth or reliability to use it for
> >anything other than spouting off on newsgroups, sending email to avoid
> >toll calls, chating like some sort of TTY CBer or reading the news and
> >weather, or other "official" information.
>
> Yep, here are some quotes from some other great visionaries:
>
> " There is no reason anyone would want a computer in their home."
> - Ken Olson, president, chairman and founder of Digital
> Equipment Corp., 1977.
>
> "Computers in the future may weigh no more than 1.5 tons."
> - Popular Mechanics, forecasting the relentless march of
> science, 1949.
>
> "I think there is a world market for maybe five computers."
> - Thomas Watson, chairman of IBM, 1943.
>
> " I have traveled the length and breadth of this country and talked
> with the best people, and I can assure you that data processing is a
> fad that won't last out the year."
> - The editor in charge of business books for Prentice Hall,
> 1957.
>
> "But what ... is it good for?"
> - Engineer at the Advanced Computing Systems Division of IBM,
> 1968, commenting on the microchip.

2483. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <38833EF1.431F7538@radiks.net>
Source record: 121361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

more than likely trying to figure out how posting to eight groups at the
same time is a Communist Plot.

"D. S. Platt" wrote:
>
> Man........ where is Tail Gunner Joe McCarthy now???
>
> wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>
> > On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> > KB7ADL) wrote:
> >
> > >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> > >
> > >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> > >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> > >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> > >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> > >everything handed to them on a silver platter.
> >
> > actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
> > increase business for vendors.

2484. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <3883414C.E8445F41@radiks.net>
Source record: 121362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia wrote:
>
> >> On Tue, 11 Jan 2000 06:36:59 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> >> KB7ADL) wrote:
> >>
> >>> Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> >>>
> >>> The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> >>> anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> >>> Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> >>> for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> >>> everything handed to them on a silver platter.
> >>
> >> actually it was the conservatives who sold us out so they could
> >> increase business for vendors.
>
> How about neither -- Ham Radio was in trouble until they started lifting the
> code requirements.
>
> And be careful who you insult (referring to the "no code CB whiners") -
> after all, they could be at the other end of the conversation on HF, code or
> not and get you call sign, remember who you are, and conveniently forget to
> send the QSL...
>
> After all, with talk like that, people might think you have a bad attitude!

Posting to eight newsgroups at the same time carries some implications
that don't even have to be said.

Dick McCollum N0BK

2485. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85vf8e$23v$0@208.207.71.146>
Source record: 121363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > K0HB wrote:
>
> >> At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
> >> the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
> >> selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
> >> on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.
> >> When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
> >> "Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
> >> on."
>
> > As you know, if the equipment meets Part 15 emission rules,
> > Ramsey is right.
>
> Dick, tell us, according to Part 15, in which ham bands may
> unlicensed operators use low-power transmitters. Certainly not
> on HF.
>

I dunno, Jeff...Maybe you'd better read some of Ed Hare's
stuff on the Part 15 "wireless modem" problem he's been
working on. They transmit far greater distances than most of
the little bugs, and they sure are on HF, and legally so.
But I'd guess that Ramseys stuff is on VHF>. Why put that
stuff on HF? That just complicates the antenna problems
inherent with such gear.

2486. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85vbs902qtf@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <wPxg4.301$bd6.9589@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>, bodu@pobox.com says...
>
>I don't think what you're doing with netmeeting can really be used as an
>indication of how well the internet's doing...
>
>"Chuck Evans" <chuck_e@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
>news:85u5t1hkc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> I videoconference on a regular basis with people around the world using
>> the free services of Visitalk.com with NetMeeting. The audio is often
>> crystal clear. The connection is through a local number to my ISP
>> (free call and free ISP) on a V90 modem. I have videoconferenced with
>> people in Australia, Austria, France, Italy, Scotland, Ireland, Saudi
>> Arabia...and the list keeps growing. I don't think the internet is
>> dying.

Only time will tell if e-commerce is a success or a passing fad. Remember that the internet has been around for a long time. Long before anyone thought of doing business on it. It was, and still is, primarily a medium of exchange for information and ideas.

E-commerce companies such as Amazon.com, Ebay, Yahoo, and others, will have to start showing bigger profits each quarter in order to justify their overly-inflated stock prices.

Tom - N8ECW

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2487. ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85vm3v$k24$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

At last, ARRL will be free of W6ROD, who is featured on the M.O. site this week in a pose resembling a cross between Napoleon and Hitler. Note that all three destroyed what they tried to "save".

Furthermore, those of us who are thinking persons, unlike the majority of those who respond to my posts, will find this amusing:

"In a related vein, the Board will hear the second installment of a report from ARRL Executive Vice President David Sumner, K1ZZ, on Amateur Radio in the year 2010 and beyond. Sumner's report will expand on one of the themes of his preliminary report delivered to the Board in July 1999: voluntary certification programs to encourage continuing education and skills development by radio amateurs above and beyond the licensing requirements."

There were too many licenses. There was no need for new Novices, or new Tech Pluses anymore. But get this, Extras. They destroy the licensing system with their "the sky is falling" panic, then propose this crap to sell even more books in the future, and cover up the fact they couldn't care less about the license structure. As if some home study crap they publish will compensate for the diminished federal test requirements.

Excuse me, I have to go clean off my hip waders after wading through that announcement...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2488. New Internet PacketCluster Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: nfivein@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85voi2lvj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121366

You are welcome to connect to the New Internet PacketCluster Site at KC0DJO in Duluth Minnesota.

The IP address is 209.32.226.63

At your first check in you will be asked for your Call, Name and QTH. Please enter your QTH in the form: Chicago IL or Oslo Norway so that we will know where all our new friends are from! You will also be reminded to SET/LOCATION, that is optional but recommended. If you properly do set your location, then the cluster will calculate beam headings for you to various prefixes. For HELP on these commands you may type

HELP SET/NAME
HELP SET/QTH
HELP SET/LOCATION

To see what you have entered type: SHOW/STATION -your call-

You can correct any errors using the SET commands.

For more information on PacketCluster including a users manual go to this website:

http://www.cestro.com/pcluster/index.shtml

Ideally you should use your logging program to make your telnet connection. Its a huge advantage as then your logging program will monitor the dx spots and alert you to contacts you need.

However, here are very simple instructions using software already a part of Win95/98:

First connect to your ISP.
Click Start / Click Run
In the box enter: telnet 209.32.226.63
Click OK

You should now be connected to the KC0DJO cluster.

Enter your call. If it did not echo, then you will have to turn on local echo. Click on Terminal / Preferences.. and check Local Echo and then click on OK.

Now proceed as earlier described to sign on.

Try some common commands as:

SH/DX
SH/C
HELP

Welcome and 73 de Ron N5IN

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2489. MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 121367

What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?

1) Reading The Communist Manifesto

2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.

3) Wondering aloud why MLK's birthday is a paid national holiday while the birthdays of George Washington and Abraham Lincoln are not.

4) Sending a donation to the Sons of the Confederacy in South Carolina to keep radical black activists from removing the Stars & Bars atop the Statehouse.

5) Wondering why we can have "Black History Month", "Black Pride Week", "Black Spring Break", etc...but not "WHITE History Month", "WHITE Pride Week", or "WHITE Spring Break."

6) all of the above.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2490. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <zzIg4.309$38.10023@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

M.J.Powell wrote
>We would take great delight in jamming it, legally!

As a ham, I don't think you can *legally* jam anything...

2491. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <388355A5.EF8039C6@icss.net>
Source record: 121369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

T.L. Bryant wrote:

> In article <wPxg4.301$bd6.9589@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>, bodu@pobox.com says...
> >
> >I don't think what you're doing with netmeeting can really be used as an
> >indication of how well the internet's doing...
> >
> >"Chuck Evans" <chuck_e@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> >news:85u5t1hkc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >> I videoconference on a regular basis with people around the world using
> >> the free services of Visitalk.com with NetMeeting. The audio is often
> >> crystal clear. The connection is through a local number to my ISP
> >> (free call and free ISP) on a V90 modem. I have videoconferenced with
> >> people in Australia, Austria, France, Italy, Scotland, Ireland, Saudi
> >> Arabia...and the list keeps growing. I don't think the internet is
> >> dying.
>
> Only time will tell if e-commerce is a success or a passing fad. Remember that
> the internet has been around for a long time. Long before anyone thought of
> doing business on it. It was, and still is, primarily a medium of exchange for
> information and ideas.
>
> E-commerce companies such as Amazon.com, Ebay, Yahoo, and others, will have to
> start showing bigger profits each quarter in order to justify their
> overly-inflated stock prices.
>
> Tom - N8ECW

I sure wish the non-e-commerce commerce would do the same, but there are just too many dollars flowing into the market for that to happen. The old P/E rules of thumb are out the window.

2492. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38835DF4.F61F96D4@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 121370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Off subject a bit, but the awkward truth about Part 15 devices is, if WE interfere with them, it's held to be our fault; if they interfere with US, we are told to shut up. It's in our interest to see them kept off the Amateur bands.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Dick Carroll wrote:

> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > In article <386ACB14.9D6C2567@ix.netcom.com>,
> > David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > > The jack-booted thugs
> > > continue to build the murderous police state,
> > > while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> > > Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?
> >
> > At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
> > the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
> > selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
> > on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.
> >
> > When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
> > "Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
> > on."
>
> As you know, if the equipment meets Part 15 emission rules,
> Ramsey is right.
>
> W0EX

2493. Looking for QSL Cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Phillip B. Pacier" <ke6guq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38835E48.F0E69D46@arrl.net>
Source record: 121371

I'm posting to see if anyone has any QSL cards from my late grandfather. I didn't know he was a ham until after I received my ticket. It would be nice to have a copy of his cards. The calls are as follows

W2MHE & W6VMY

I really appreciate it! Thanks!

KE6GUQ
Phil

2494. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85vvfvrdp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nothing secret about it.

The candidates are Steve Mendelsohn, W2ML, a noted mouthy media egotist
from here in the east, and Jim Haynie, W5BJP from the midwest, a
gentleman with the best interests of amateur radio at heart.

Tell your director to elect Jim.

73, Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2495. Re: Upgrade-before or after 4/15?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Save@CW.net
Message-ID: <s86qrhs6eh6175@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Before April 15th it's an upgrade, after april 15th it's a free gift!

Ten four good buddy?

The FCC screwed me while the ARRL held me down.

In article <s7pmq03boj8134@corp.supernews.com>, Bruce Bryant
<heritage@zelgadis.mich.com> wrote:
>Fellow Hams:
>
>I put together a handy chart detailing the upgrade paths, before and after
>the restructuring takes effect, along with my generic recommendations as to
>whether to upgrade or get CSCE's before 4/15, or upgrade after 4/15. Check
>it out at:
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w8hp/upgrchrt.htm
>
>Be sure to let me know of any inaccuracies or omissions.
>
>Also, while you're there, you might check out out club webpage; it has lotsa
>good general ham links:
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w8hp
>
>And if you're going to be in the Detroit, MI area this weekend (Jan 16th),
>come to our hamfest! Details are on the club page.
>
>73 and GL in the contest,
>Bruce, AB8CI
>ab8ci@qsl.net
>
>

2496. PSK31 and the TS-940

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Pierfelice <XYZ_p15218@flash.net>
Message-ID: <38836DD2.7B6EB710@flash.net>
Source record: 121374

---Problem: the Kenwood TS-940 does not allow selection of the narrow CW filter(s) in SSB mode and even if you modify it to do so, the frequency offset will be out of the filter's passband.
---Possible solution: use the FSK mode BUT the FSK mode does not appear to allow external audio as a modulation source, only the internally shifted carrier.
---Does anyone know if it is possible to use the Kenwood TS-940 in the FSK mode and inject external audio for modulation. I have no problem with permanantly modifying the radio's FSK mode to accept external audio.
Thanks and 73
Bob
KB8TL
=========REMOVE THE XYZ_ from XYZ_p15218@flash.net to reply=======
=========REMOVE THE XYZ_ from XYZ_p15218@flash.net to reply=======
root@localhost
postmaster@localhost
admin@localhost
abuse@localhost

2497. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8604cc$v9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85vvfvrdp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Nothing secret about it.
>
> The candidates are Steve Mendelsohn, W2ML, a noted mouthy media egotist
> from here in the east, and Jim Haynie, W5BJP from the midwest, a
> gentleman with the best interests of amateur radio at heart.
>
> Tell your director to elect Jim.
>
> 73, Walter

I know the first one. Therefore, I concur.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2498. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8604nsvdd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85vm3v$k24$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> At last, ARRL will be free of W6ROD,

The Una-Basher returns! Tell us, MF, how is it that W6ROD
destroyed ARRL?

73, Hans, K0HB

--
~~~
If you make people think they're thinking, they'll love you;
but if you really make them think, they'll hate you.
~~~

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2499. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86055s$vp8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85vm3v$k24$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> "In a related vein, the Board will hear the second installment of a
> report from ARRL Executive Vice President David Sumner, K1ZZ, on Amateur
> Radio in the year 2010 and beyond. Sumner's report will expand on one of
> the themes of his preliminary report delivered to the Board in July
> 1999: voluntary certification programs to encourage continuing education
> and skills development by radio amateurs above and beyond the licensing
> requirements."
>
> There were too many licenses. There was no need for new Novices, or new
> Tech Pluses anymore. But get this, Extras. They destroy the licensing
> system with their "the sky is falling" panic, then propose this crap to
> sell even more books in the future, and cover up the fact they couldn't
> care less about the license structure. As if some home study crap they
> publish will compensate for the diminished federal test requirements.
>
> Excuse me, I have to go clean off my hip waders after wading through
> that announcement...

Those who have asked me to post the entire text of this topic will find
that this quote is all that was said thus far.

The quasi-CEU idea is an obvious money maker. It likely is the result of
the YCCC mentality (K1ZZ is a member) which believes that all new hams
are incompetent boobs, yet the license requirements should always be
lowered. They want quantity in practice, but seek quality through
didactic ramblings.

Thus, YCCC sponsors "Contest Universities" where in all of a few hours
you are turned from a bumbling ass into a regular W6AW.

Since the ARRL will lose sales of 2 code practice CDs, and three license
manuals, they're covering their financial interests by pushing for yet
another book series.

This comes, of course, on the heels of the 5 wpm Extra, three license
class decision, which the FCC points its finger at ARRL as having pushed
for and the ARRL says the FCC would have done anyway, perhaps choosing
two licenses.

So, it's a win-win for the ARRL, which has, in the past 20 years, become
a publishing house, rather than a source of information for hams.

They get more giddy members, lose a few pissed off hams who wasted their time on the 20 wpm code test to suit the old guard, and will make even more money through this quasi- CEU stunt, by recycling their old license manuals.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2500. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <85vshnfrr1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>M.J.Powell wrote

>>We would take great delight in jamming it, legally!

>As a ham, I don't think you can *legally* jam anything...

When asked if it would be considered intentional interference
to transmit on top of illegal 10m "outbanders," the FCC responded,
"It's impossible to interfere with an unauthorized emission."
In other words, Aaron, they don't consider it as "jamming" if
the signal doesn't belong there.

Jeff

2501. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38837836.90F981CA@icss.net>
Source record: 121379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> At last, ARRL will be free of W6ROD, who is featured on the M.O. site
> this week in a pose resembling a cross between Napoleon and Hitler. Note
> that all three destroyed what they tried to "save".
>
> Furthermore, those of us who are thinking persons, unlike the majority
> of those who respond to my posts, will find this amusing:
>
> "In a related vein, the Board will hear the second installment of a
> report from ARRL Executive Vice President David Sumner, K1ZZ, on Amateur
> Radio in the year 2010 and beyond. Sumner's report will expand on one of
> the themes of his preliminary report delivered to the Board in July
> 1999: voluntary certification programs to encourage continuing education
> and skills development by radio amateurs above and beyond the licensing
> requirements."
>
> There were too many licenses. There was no need for new Novices, or new
> Tech Pluses anymore. But get this, Extras. They destroy the licensing
> system with their "the sky is falling" panic, then propose this crap to
> sell even more books in the future, and cover up the fact they couldn't
> care less about the license structure. As if some home study crap they
> publish will compensate for the diminished federal test requirements.
>
> Excuse me, I have to go clean off my hip waders after wading through
> that announcement...

Hey MFer, sounds good so far. Would you mind posting the ARRL proposal in
its entirety?

2502. Kenwood MC53-DM mic wiring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vTKg4.321$a9.5152@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121380

Does anyone now the which wire is what on the Kenwood MC53-DM mic. I.E. red is audio, black is ground...ect.

2503. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Malloy <jmalloy@borg.com>
Message-ID: <38837930.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 121381

RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com...
> What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
>
> 1) Reading The Communist Manifesto

Been there, done that, it has a few pointers that are true, but nobody
listens.

> 2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.

Name a conservative icon of your own who *hasn't* been a "philanderer."
There are darn few, conservative or democrats, who have been "pure." Gee,
you folks like Thomas Jefferson a whole bunch but he philandered with a
black woman. How do you like that?

> 3) Wondering aloud why MLK's birthday is a paid national holiday while the
> birthdays of George Washington and Abraham Lincoln are not.

Gee, is it really that hard to see why?

> 4) Sending a donation to the Sons of the Confederacy in South Carolina to
keep
> radical black activists from removing the Stars & Bars atop the
Statehouse.

SC must be a backwards state, that's for sure!

> 5) Wondering why we can have "Black History Month", "Black Pride Week",
"Black
> Spring Break", etc...but not "WHITE History Month", "WHITE Pride Week", or
> "WHITE Spring Break."

One of the benefits of the white majority in this country is found in not
having to rally around some "month," "week," or "break." Whites don't have
to -- *every* "month," "week," or "break" is a "white" "month," "week," or
"break." Blacks or other minorities are forced into "otherness" and as a
result have "months," "weeks," or "breaks" defined narrowly. In a more
perfect society, such distinctions will disappear; unfortunately, we're not
there yet.

> 6) all of the above.

Is it really that hard on you to celebrate Martin Luther King? I pity you
if it is.

73,

W2RBA

2504. Re: The arms race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85tngg$7um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121416

In article <85tlfq$6gd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <85t7ddspm1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <85qc47hl1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> >> Big guns, little pistols.
> >>
> >> I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry that the
> >web
> >> is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the license
> >> structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the current
> >crop
> >> of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.
> >>
> >> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps
> >it's
> >> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ?
> >AndI
> >> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
> >>
> >> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and
> >beam
> >> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
> >> leukemia causing signals ?
> >>
> >> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but
> >notno
> >> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
> >>
> >> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter
> >from
> >> the big guns.
> >>
> >> If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks who
> >think
> >> that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps more
> >people
> >> would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.
> >>
> >> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> >> If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
> >> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased
yagis,
> >> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.

> >>
> >> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and
takes
> >up
> >> model railroading.
> >>
> >> Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the
requirements
> >> are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.
> >>
> >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >> Before you buy.
> >>
> >You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
> >don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I contest, I
> >use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
> >your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
> >DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >--
> >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> You tell 'em Dan.
>
> He probably has to use an amplifier because he can't figure out what a
> dipole is.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Rog,

This Mf Luder is a world class grump. He hates everything about ham radio, he bad mouths the ARRL, he bad mouths contesting. etc etc. So what the hell does he like about ham radio? Apparantly nothing. We don't need his kind. Maybe he and Burt can get together and bad mouth together.

I've been licensed for 39 years. Only ONCE have I had a really decent antenna for DX. A homebrew wide spaced 3 element on 20. The rest of the time I've done it with wires. And you know something? I've had a ball. Stuff it MF Loser !!

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2505. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <85tmcr$e3n$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121417

In article <85t1j3$cp9$1@news.datasync.com>,
The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com> wrote:

>Dale Harper <docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> hath said:
>:>Congraduate him if you want, but don't sit there and put lies into
>:>this person or any person's head and expect me or some one else to
>:>just sit here idle as you do a great injustice to the hobby.
>:>
>:>Do you even realize what you just did??? You tried to get that
>:>person to side with your cause and in the process of it trying to
>:>get him to think that we hate and maybe envy him. Thats wrong your
>:>wrong and you should be ashamed of how you approached it.
>
>: I have no cause. I just commended him for his hard work and I hope he doesn't listen to
the
>: hatred for his hard work. If you don't agree, well, I guess that's your problem, not his
mine
or
>: anyone else's. Too bad you can't commend him also.
>: Dale
>: KC8FAQ/20
>
>Dale, If you have no cause then why tell him that all of us who will
>be getting a license after the 15th of April was going to repress and
>hate him because he passed his code. We're not the ones whining, its
>the people who have the 20wpm who are complaining, or have you not
>noticed? We're the ones being attacked. He should have no reason to
>receive hatred for his hard work. I'm glad he got it out of the way
>believe me if I could I would do it too, I took the test 3 times and I
>have not passed it yet. If I could take it I would right now, not because
>I want to call myself a pre-April ham either, but because I'm just so
>ready to get in on the action, I like contests, and I enjoy ragging to
>but if I could of passed it I would of by now. Its not happening for me
>so I'm left with the April 15th change then I can start breathing new
>life into the hobby for me thats been basicly dead and boring for the past
>7 years.
>

1. I never said that people who get a license after April 15th would hate him.
2. You were exactly the people whining to change the code. Ok for you but not for those who
now
disagree?
3. You were one who called extras "elitist". In my book, that is considered a slur.
4. It is a falacy that ham radio is dying. Revisionism is of course very effective in any
political arena.

5. Glad you would have gotten your Extra if you could. Don't know why you didn't, and don't much
care why you didn't. You will have to live without the thrill and sense of self accomplishment
of not doing it. Your attitude towards others who did earn that self accomplishment leaves
something to be desired. Your above post doesn't abslove the fact that you couldn't just commend
the man for a good job. You had to qualify it and spin it.

>I did commend him, you just looked over it, and I'm commending him in
>this followup as well. I hold nothing against those who have passed
>their 20wpm past or present, Pre-Aprilist. I commend you for being able
>to accomplish something I could not because of choice, but because of
>ability. I missed the guy's handle and callsign but if he does happen
>to read this I'm am glad for him and I hope he's enjoying his new areas
>of operation.
>

I can agree with the commendation above, and I also again send my best congratulations to the
man.

>Now here is something interesting, I ask you to commend me when I pass
>my General Written and Extra Written Post 15-April. How about it Dale?
>Are you going to commend me when I past my new tests???? Or are you
>going to just harbor the hatred you hold towards the Post Aprilists?

No problem, I will give you exactly the commendation due. No more. no less. It will not be the
same as the man who did the 13 wpm as the requirements are not the same. I have no hatred for
post Aprilists as you so eloquently put it. I guess there must be as difference between the two
tho, since there is pre and post.

Dale
KC8FAQ/20

2506. Re: The arms race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85tuaicjo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121418

```
In article <85tngg$7um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85tlfq$6gd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <85t7dd$spm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > >In article <85qc47$hl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >> Big guns, little pistols.
> > >>
> > >> I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry that the
> > >web
> > >> is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the license
> > >> structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the current
> > >crop
> > >> of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.
> > >>
> > >> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps
> > >it's
> > >> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ?
> > >AndI
> > >> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
> > >>
> > >> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and
> > >beam
> > >> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
> > >> leukemia causing signals ?
> > >>
> > >> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but
> > >notno
> > >> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
> > >>
> > >> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter
> > >from
> > >> the big guns.
> > >>
> > >> If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks who
> > >think
> > >> that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps more
> > >people
> > >> would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.
> > >>
> > >> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> > >> If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
> > >> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased
```

> yagis,
> > >> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
> > >>
> > >> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and
> takes
> > >up
> > >> model railroading.
> > >>
> > >> Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the
> requirements
> > >> are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.
> > >>
> > >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > >> Before you buy.
> > >>
> > >You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
> > >don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I contest, I
> > >use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
> > >your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
> > >DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >--
> > >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > You tell 'em Dan.
> >
> > He probably has to use an amplifier because he can't figure out what a
> > dipole is.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> > EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
> Rog,
>
> This Mf Luder is a world class grump. He hates everything about ham
> radio, he bad mouths the ARRL, he bad mouths contesting. etc etc. So
> what the hell does he like about ham radio? Apparantly nothing. We
> don't need his kind. Maybe he and Burt can get together and bad mouth
> together.
>
> I've been licensed for 39 years. Only ONCE have I had a really decent
> antenna for DX. A homebrew wide spaced 3 element on 20. The rest of
> the time I've done it with wires. And you know something? I've had a

> ball. Stuff it MF Loser !!

Of course you've had a ball. I run 100 watts usually, wires and verticals and you're the ass bitching that you CAN work everyone easily. There's logic.

Like about half of today's amateurs, you're a functional illiterate with a testosterone imbalance derived from the fact that your wife castrated you as a precondition of marriage. Go back and read what I use, I said it before this message.

Loser ? So what did you pick out of your closet this morning, the new plaid shirt you bought in 1982, a couple of HT's or just your gut ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2507. Re: The arms race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85tubq$ck3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <85tngg$7um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85tlfq$6gd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <85t7dd$spm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > >In article <85qc47$hl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >> Big guns, little pistols.
> > >>
> > >> I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry that the
> > >web
> > >> is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the license
> > >> structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the current
> > >crop
> > >> of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.
> > >>
> > >> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that perhaps
> > >it's
> > >> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham radio ?
> > >AndI
> > >> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
> > >>
> > >> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and
> > >beam
> > >> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with their
> > >> leukemia causing signals ?
> > >>
> > >> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but
> > >notno
> > >> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
> > >>
> > >> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the splatter
> > >from
> > >> the big guns.
> > >>
> > >> If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks who
> > >think
> > >> that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps more
> > >people
> > >> would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.
> > >>
> > >> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> > >> If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
```

> > >> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased
> yagis,
> > >> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
> > >>
> > >> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and
> takes
> > >up
> > >> model railroading.
> > >>
> > >> Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the
> requirements
> > >> are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.
> > >>
> > >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > >> Before you buy.
> > >>
> > >You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
> > >don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I contest, I
> > >use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
> > >your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
> > >DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >--
> > >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > You tell 'em Dan.
> >
> > He probably has to use an amplifier because he can't figure out what a
> > dipole is.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> > EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
> Rog,
>
> This Mf Luder is a world class grump. He hates everything about ham
> radio, he bad mouths the ARRL, he bad mouths contesting. etc etc. So
> what the hell does he like about ham radio? Apparantly nothing. We
> don't need his kind. Maybe he and Burt can get together and bad mouth
> together.
>
> I've been licensed for 39 years. Only ONCE have I had a really decent
> antenna for DX. A homebrew wide spaced 3 element on 20. The rest of

> the time I've done it with wires. And you know something? I've had a
> ball. Stuff it MF Loser !!

Of course you've had a ball. I run 100 watts usually, wires and verticals and you're the ass bitching that you CAN work everyone easily. There's logic.

Like about half of today's amateurs, you're a functional illiterate with a testosterone imbalance derived from the fact that your wife castrated you as a precondition of marriage. Go back and read what I use, I said it before this message.

Loser ? So what did you pick out of your closet this morning, the new plaid shirt you bought in 1982, a couple of HT's or just your gut ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2508. Ham Licenses to be Issued on Blue (S)crap Paper !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <85tvnkdln1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121420

The FCC is borrowing a convention from the Social Security Administration, the premier welfare agency in the U.S. starting April 15, 2000.

As of April 15, the FCC will be using blue paper for new licenses. All amateurs who are upgraded after this date are urged to destroy these licenses IMMEDIATELY !

Do you want to go into a ham store, pull out your wallet and be recognized as a "ham radio welfare momma" ?

Show them you mean BUSINESS. If you receive one of these licenses, cancel it, throw it away, send it back to Gettysburg. Please, DON'T GET ON THE AIR !

You'll only embarass yourself when someone asks which size Beverage you run and you reply "in a 12 ounce mug"...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2509. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388360ac.1563252@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121421
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 11:51:35 -0800, Patricia Gibbons
<wa6ube@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Burt wrote:
>>
>> If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
>> choice or have your picture on
>> the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>>
>
>Yes, I agree that Demi Moore as G.I. Jane, and we go out
>target shooting together, would be really great!!
>Heck, she could even join my partner and I.
>
>(if you look at my ham station in the QRZ link below, you'll
> see why this makes sense!) ..
>
>Of course I'm outing myself to the public-at-large
>regarding my preferences in relationships..
>
>But... what the heck... It's fun being honest
>about what one likes :-)

Great.

>Also, I'm NOT available.. Am in a solid relationship
>for the past 14 years and she is listed as my domestic
>partner with my employer, so she gets the appropriate
>benefits..

That's great too, unfortunately it may take another 100 years or so
for the rest of the US employers to be as enlightened.

>
>Trish

2510. Re: Ham Licenses to be Issued on Blue (S)crap Paper !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85u1ru$eu5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121422

In article <85tvnkdln1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mr_negativity wrote:
> The FCC is borrowing a convention from the Social Security
> Administration, the premier welfare agency in the U.S. starting April
> 15, 2000.
>
> As of April 15, the FCC will be using blue paper for new licenses. All
> amateurs who are upgraded after this date are urged to destroy these
> licenses IMMEDIATELY !

Typical; if you can't be wrong, you misrepresent.

The FCC has already started using the blue paper.

Is there any chance you will ever post anything positive?

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2511. Re: The arms race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85u27v$f8f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <85tubq$ck3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <85tngg$7um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <85tlfq$6gd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <85t7dd$spm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > >In article <85qc47$hl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > > mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > >> Big guns, little pistols.
> > > >>
> > > >> I'm always positing reasons other than the ARRL battle cry
that the
> > > >web
> > > >> is killing ham radio and therefore they need to make the
license
> > > >> structure one which can accomodate three year old, not the
current
> > > >crop
> > > >> of five year olds and GED holders who are hams.
> > > >>
> > > >> Did the contesters running the ARRL ever stop to think that
perhaps
> > > >it's
> > > >> just too damn unpleasant to get started these days inn ham
radio ?
> > > >AndI
> > > >> don't mean 3950 or 14.313.
> > > >>
> > > >> I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or
tower and
> > > >beam
> > > >> to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with
their
> > > >> leukemia causing signals ?
> > > >>
> > > >> Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW,
but
> > > >notno
> > > >> the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
> > > >>
> > > >> Some weekends, you can't work DX with 100 watts due to the
splatter
```

> > > >from
> > > >> the big guns.
> > > >>
> > > >> If the ham hobby didn't thrive on a bunch of middle aged geeks
who
> > > >think
> > > >> that owning a KW makes them a man or technical guru, perhaps
more
> > > >people
> > > >> would be attracted to it, or woulds have upgraded within it.
> > > >>
> > > >> It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> > > >> If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts...
from
> > > >> there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased
> > yagis,
> > > >> then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
> > > >>
> > > >> Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and
> > takes
> > > >up
> > > >> model railroading.
> > > >>
> > > >> Then ARRL points to the lack of upgrades as evidence the
> > requirements
> > > >> are just too darn hard, by golly, by gosh, golly gee.
> > > >>
> > > >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > >> Before you buy.
> > > >>
> > > >You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
> > > >don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I
contest, I
> > > >use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
> > > >your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
> > > >DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.
> > > >
> > > >Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> > >
> > > You tell 'em Dan.
> > >
> > > He probably has to use an amplifier because he can't figure out
what a
> > > dipole is.
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger

> > > EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > Rog,
> >
> > This Mf Luder is a world class grump. He hates everything about ham
> > radio, he bad mouths the ARRL, he bad mouths contesting. etc etc. So
> > what the hell does he like about ham radio? Apparantly nothing. We
> > don't need his kind. Maybe he and Burt can get together and bad
mouth
> > together.
> >
> > I've been licensed for 39 years. Only ONCE have I had a really
decent
> > antenna for DX. A homebrew wide spaced 3 element on 20. The rest
of
> > the time I've done it with wires. And you know something? I've
had a
> > ball. Stuff it MF Loser !!
>
> Of course you've had a ball. I run 100 watts usually, wires and
> verticals and you're the ass bitching that you CAN work everyone
easily.
> There's logic.
>
> Like about half of today's amateurs, you're a functional illiterate
with
> a testosterone imbalance derived from the fact that your wife
castrated
> you as a precondition of marriage. Go back and read what I use, I said
> it before this message.
>
> Loser ? So what did you pick out of your closet this morning, the new
> plaid shirt you bought in 1982, a couple of HT's or just your gut ?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
No braindead....I don't have a problem in the wife area, not do I pick
out a HT instead of a shirt. What you seem to be unable to comprehend
is that amateur radio is a matter of LEARNING HOW TO OPERATE and
improve your skills....remember part 97? Probabably not. Look
dipshit.....since you obviously have NO CONCEPT of what ham radio
is...do us ALL A FAVOR and QRT.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2512. Re: Ham Licenses to be Issued on Blue (S)crap Paper !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85u2ls$fk8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121424

In article <85tvnkdln1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> The FCC is borrowing a convention from the Social Security
> Administration, the premier welfare agency in the U.S. starting April
> 15, 2000.
>
> As of April 15, the FCC will be using blue paper for new licenses. All
> amateurs who are upgraded after this date are urged to destroy these
> licenses IMMEDIATELY !
>
> Do you want to go into a ham store, pull out your wallet and be
> recognized as a "ham radio welfare momma" ?
>
> Show them you mean BUSINESS. If you receive one of these licenses,
> cancel it, throw it away, send it back to Gettysburg. Please, DON'T
GET
> ON THE AIR !
>
> You'll only embarass yourself when someone asks which size Beverage
you
> run and you reply "in a 12 ounce mug"...
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Another series of stupid, idiotic comments from a world class grump.
How many times have YOU been asked to show a amateur license when you
went into a radio store? ZERO ! And your moronic comments about
someone not being a true ham after April 15th just shows your true
nature. Get a life MF Loser. What makes you better qualified? Can't
wait to hear this answer.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2513. Re: Restructuring and Respect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <kyug4.3060$hV4.71924@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121425

: Langenfeld) wrote:
:
: >Passing the exams for ANY class of license really entitles the candidate
: >to only two things:
: >
: > - Some level of personal satisfaction; and
: >
: > - Commensurate operating privileges.
: >
: >Respect, on the other hand, has to be earned every day.
:
: Excellent point.
:
: Allow me to add that passing an exam only proves you have some idea of
: the rights and responsibilities of those privileges. However, knowing
: something and applying what you know are two different things.
:
: The world is filled with blithering idiots who can pass almost any
: written exam you put in front of them, yet when asked to apply what
: they "know" they are totally stumped.

The hardest exam out there are the 6 exams for the MCSE. Yet all
the questions are known. And it is still a bitch.

The GROL technical exam (element 3) also has all the questions out
in the open. Its 100 questions are a real bitch too.

2514. Re: The Arms Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <85u3vbgds1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <85t7ddspm1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85qc47hl1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> mr_negativity wrote:

> > I mean, who wants to get on then find they need an amp or tower and
> > beam to compete on the weekends, when the contesters are out with
> > their leukemia causing signals ?
> >
> > Sure, duirng the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but
> > not no the weekends when most hobbyists are available.
> >
> > It's an arms race. Almost everyone with an amp uses it.
> > If station A can't work XZ0A, he cranks it up to 1001 watts... from
> > there it's station B who swtiches in the high stack of phased yagis,
> > then station C puts the KW into his high stack.
> >
> > Meanwhile, the 100 watt and a wire station gets disgruntled and
> > takes up model railroading.
> >

> You know something? If you hate so much about amateur radio...why
> don't you just get out of it? And another thing moron....I contest, I
> use 100 watts and a dipole. Check QST for my results. So much for
> your "theory". The most power I can use is 700 watts output..TO A
> DIPOLE. I do just fine, thank you.

Dan, Dan, Dan. That's Mr_negativity. He doesn't have any "theory." He just complains about everything. He can't afford or can't build an amp, so he doesn't think anyone should have one.

He can't operate CW, or he would know better than to make an idiotic statement like, "sure, duirng [sic] the week you can work Europe with 5 watts on CW, but not no [sic] the weekends." Short of a SID or solar flare there probably isn't a time you CAN'T work Europe on 5W CW.

He blames the amps for the problem but criticizes the antennas, too. In another post in this thread he said, "I'm not for regulating antennas as that sort of "experimentation" is part of ham radio," but up above he posits the "escalation" as including someone's "high stack of phased yagis."

Of course if he understood anything about radio at all, he would know that propagation accounts for far more of the apparent strength of signals than any amateur radio amplifier and quite a bit more than any

reasonable antenna adjustment. But we can't let facts interfere with gloom and doom, can we.

He bemoans the death of ham radio but then wants to do anything to get more people interested (well, I guess that's what he wants; why else would he worry about someone taking up model railroading?). But he complains about all the activity on the weekends when, guess what, most people are available to operate.

Nothing makes this grump happy. However, I for one refuse to feel bad for using equipment I am authorized to use. And the last time I checked, I am allowed to use 1500 watts.

Say, you don't suppose he could actually cite some authoritative study that supports a link between leukemia and RF do you? Hah! But, lack of facts doesn't stop Mr_negativity from nattering on.

Frankly, he doesn't even have a ham license. What's his beef anyway?

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2515. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Evans <chuck_e@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <85u5t1hkc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I videoconference on a regular basis with people around the world using the free services of Visitalk.com with NetMeeting. The audio is often crystal clear. The connection is through a local number to my ISP (free call and free ISP) on a V90 modem. I have videoconferenced with people in Australia, Austria, France, Italy, Scotland, Ireland, Saudi Arabia...and the list keeps growing. I don't think the internet is dying.
Chuck

```
In article <85qbhr$50$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> Those who thought the internet will be the great marketing tool are
> wrong. It has neither the needed bandwidth or reliability to use it
for
> anything other than spouting off on newsgroups, sending email to avoid
> toll calls, chating like some sort of TTY CBer or reading the news and
> weather, or other "official" information.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

2516. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <85u0khmck0@208.207.71.153>
Source record: 121428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <386ACB14.9D6C2567@ix.netcom.com>,
> David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> > The jack-booted thugs
> > continue to build the murderous police state,
> > while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> > Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?
>
> At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
> the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
> selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
> on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.
>
> When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
> "Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
> on."

As you know, if the equipment meets Part 15 emission rules,
Ramsey is right.

W0EX

2517. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <388287C5.37104FD4@home.com>
Source record: 121429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

(sigh) Here we go Again!

Lloyd, you promised to shut up about this stuff and now you have BROKEN your promise. You have accomplished nothing by this post except to re-antangonize those you made peace with just a few nights ago. Now be a good boy and go take your medication that you obviously forgot tonight.

Eric Cooper

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> The Censors March On
>
> This edition of The SPOTLIGHT will be the very first one thousands of you
> will have seen. A substantial number of you will have Internet access and
> will visit our website, also for the first time.
>
> But some of you will be filtered out or ï¿½blockedï¿½ from our site by
> censoring software which is always promoted as a means to protect children
> from sexual pornography. No one can argue with that objective.
> However, a filter as a means of blocking access to sitesï¿½any sitesï¿½is a
> form of censorship which is already being abused. Some of these filters do
> not block all pornographic sites as advertised, but do block sites that an
> unelected elite considers politically or culturally incorrect.
>
> This often includes The SPOTLIGHT site which is a reflection of the
> populist philosophy of this newspaper and its publisher, Liberty Lobby.
> SurfWatch software censors The SPOTLIGHT under their category
> ï¿½Violence/Hate.ï¿½ Do you find any violent or hate spewing articles here in
> The SPOTLIGHT? I-Gear, a software al ready in use by many government,
> school, library and corporate entities, also censors our site. Another
> filter, WebSENSE, labels us ï¿½Politicsï¿½ but it is unclear if we are censored
> by them. Can you imagine filtering every site that can be labeled
> ï¿½Politics?ï¿½ CyberPatrol, another software censor, claims we are not on
> their ï¿½CyberNotï¿½ list at this time.
>
> The fact is that police agencies of most countries know precisely where
> pornography is generated on the Internet but they do not crack down on it.
> This raises a question of the true motives of the media barrage against
> pornography on the Internet. The fall of the Soviet Union has often been
> attributed to information spread over a fax network that the government
> could not prevent. Internet information is hundreds of times faster and
> more prolific as homes all over the world come ï¿½on line.ï¿½ A necessity and
> reason for censorship must exist if undesirable truths are to be eliminated

> from the Internet by the powerful elitists who want absolute control of all
> means of communication. Therefore they are using the guise of ï¿½fighting
> obscenity on the Internetï¿½ as a clever cover by which to launch censorship
> of dissident political views and opinions on the Internet that threaten the
> power and control of that establishment elite.
>
> Although parents and others are being encouraged to use censoring software
> at home, filtering software is being promoted for use and mandated by local
> laws on public computers in libraries and schools all the time. In fact,
> George W. Bush, in a recent presidential debate, said he is an advocate of
> using filtering software in schools and public libraries. If he becomes the
> next president, will he propose any institution of learning that receives
> public funding employ some type of censoring software or risk losing money?
>
> It is also becoming a sales gimmick to ï¿½bundleï¿½ censoring filters on new
> computers as one of many ï¿½freeï¿½ software programs in the package.
> The SPOTLIGHT is interested in spreading truth, not censoring it. We ask
> our readers to cooperate with us to keep truth alive on the Internet. What
> you can do is write or e-mail us anytime you find our site, or similar
> sites, blocked. Give us the details, including the software used if you can
> determine its manufacture.
>
> Until the advent of the Internet, amateur ham radio was virtually the only
> free and independent means for large numbers of people to communicate and
> to trade ideas and opinions without censorship. Now, some powerful special
> interest groups are using their high-level political clout (not to mention
> their influence among some ham radio operators) to attempt to censor and
> jam ham radio just as these same interest groups are also trying to censor
> the Internet.
>
> One of the most popular ham radio forums over the past 30 years has been
> the Liberty Net, which originally started out as a group of radio operators
> who all happened to be supporters of Liberty Lobby, the populist
> Institution in Washington, D.C., that publishes The SPOTLIGHT. In fact, the
> Liberty Net actually preceded the launching of The SPOTLIGHT in 1975.
>
> In recent years the Liberty Net has been targeted by the high-level censors
> and now even the Federal Communications Commission (perhaps influenced by
> the special interests) has been siding with those who are trying to disrupt
> free and independent discussion on ham radio.
> These remarkable developments were described on the July 18, 1999,
> broadcast of The SPOTLIGHTï¿½s weekly radio talk forum, Radio Free America
> with host Tom Valentine.
>
> The guest was Bill Lauderback, a veteran ham radio operator who is also
> widely known as the inventor of the ï¿½tiny tennaï¿½ that many short-wave radio
> listeners utilize to increase the power and reception for their short-wave
> radios. (For more on the ï¿½tiny tennaï¿½ and other products of interest to ham
> radio and short wave radio, write: Catalogue, P.O. Box 66, Hanover, Mich.
> 49241.)

>
> The story you are about to tell us is very interesting because it tells a
> lot about the nature of the politics in this country and how special
> interest groups are trying to suppress freedom of speech in all of the
> independent venues of communication, such as ham radio (as well as the
> Internet).
>
> I wasnï¿½t really a participant in the Liberty Net per se. There were a
> couple other nets on 3.950 megahertz that I participated in during the
> week. But generally on Saturday nights I would listen for a short while and
> then turn in.
>
> What has come down the pike is a problem that started seven years ago with
> a gentleman out of Evansville, Ind. This guy is David O. Castle. He took it
> upon himself seven years ago to enlist some help in trying to purposely jam
> and maliciously interfere with the Liberty Net which was on the 3.950 lower
> sideband.
>
> That channel at 3.950 was the channel that ham radio operators talked on to
> other ham radio operators all over the world.
> Most people who have shortwave radios are capable of picking this up. So
> people can listen in to the Liberty Net.
>
> Now the Liberty Net was actually founded as a result of the work of Liberty
> Lobby, the publisher of The SPOTLIGHT and the sponsor of my program.
>
> Yes. Approximately 30 years ago a group of amateur radio operators found
> that they had a common bond. They were all members of Liberty Lobby. So
> they gathered up on the 75 meter band, which is what you call the frequency
> range between 3.5 and 4 MHz, the amateur radio section that the Federal
> Communication Commission (FCC) has granted to ham radio operators to talk
> on, and they decided to put together a discussion group which ï¿½metï¿½ once a
> week over the air.
>
> Over the years this group grew and grew and incorporated people from all
> over the political spectrum. There were people from the hard left and from
> the hard right and a lot of people in be tween. They would banter back and
> forth talking about current events.
>
> However, as I noted, there was a man named David O. Castle of Evansville,
> Ind., who decided that he didnï¿½t like the political speech that was going
> on and he decided to take it upon himself, along with others, to harass and
> to otherwise jam the discussions on the Liberty Net every Saturday night.
> They were getting away with it. However we filed complaint after complaint
> after complaint with the FCC until it reached the point where just about
> every communication on that frequency was being disrupted.
>
> I used to have regular evening conversations with Harvey Heinz and we used
> to get in there and chat around 7 p.m. every evening. Mr. Castle would come
> in and berate me and my business and would try and jam and interfere with

> my conversations.
>
> I got nowhere with the FCC. I contacted my attorney and we sent Mr. Castle
> a letter, mainly in hopes of putting him on notice, but also in hopes of
> getting the FCC to do something about it. We sent copies to the FCC and to
> the American Radio Relay League, which is kind of like a lobby organization
> for amateur radio. This had absolutely no effect whatsoever. I took a tape
> recording down and my attorney heard the jamming and the obscenities. So we
> had this continuing interference problem to the point that Castle was
> recruiting people from other radio frequencies to come over on Saturday
> night and there were times when the Liberty Net just couldnï¿½t function.
> When the moderator, Vic Misek, tried to move the conversations to another
> frequency, these people would follow and jam there. It was an orchestrated
> effort, and a well-orchestrated effort, and it seemed there was no relief
> in sight.
>
> However, about a year ago the FCC finally announced that they were going to
> have somebody come in to enforce the amateur radio bands. We were happy.
> The gentleman appointed was W. Riley Hollingsworth and he is an attorney
> and also a ham radio operator. We gave him the benefit of the doubt and
> figured that he would make a sincere effort.
>
> Sure enough, one of the frequencies he decided to clean up was 3.950 MHz,
> the Liberty Net frequency. We saw Mr. Castle approached by Mr. Hollings
> worth. There was an investigation and Castle was given a two-year
> suspension from the short-wave spectrum. He was permitted to go up on the
> shorter distance UHF and VHF frequencies, but he was ordered to stay off
> the short-wave spectrum.
>
> That was the federal government ordering Castle to cease and desist.
>
> Yes. However, during the second week of July 1999, that whole thing was
> reversed all of a sudden and the FCC went after Vic Misek, the moderator of
> the net control station of the Liberty Net, and instead falsely accused
> Misek of jamming. According to Hollingsworth, it was ï¿½retaliatory jamming.ï¿½
> He also made some accusations that I frankly didnï¿½t understand, one of
> which was that Misek was ï¿½broadcastingï¿½ [as though he were a commercial
> station]. Nothing could be further from the truth. This was just an on-air
> conversation between ham operators amongst themselves.
>
> But to justify that this was ï¿½broadcasting,ï¿½ Hollingsworth of the FCC said
> that Misek had a web site set up to advertise this to short-wave listeners.
> In fact, there was no such web site. So the FCC was grasping at straws to
> make Vic Misek out to be the bad guy when, in fact, he has been the victim
> for seven years of this onslaught. Vic is a real gentleman on the air and
> has been a valuable asset to the ham radio community over the years.
>
> Who is this guy Castle who has been causing these problems? Has anyone
> confronted him?
>

> Yes. Iï¿½ve spoken to him and heï¿½s a very unreasonable man. I even spoke to
> his lovely wife on the telephone but she was oblivious to everything and
> she just said: ï¿½Oh, heï¿½s just having fun.ï¿½ However, now the FCC has given
> him a free ride.
>
> The sort of things that Castle is doing is similar to people who try to
> disrupt Internet chat rooms and news groups.
>
> In fact, just recently on ham radio operatorsï¿½ news group, someone who
> doesnï¿½t like you or The SPOTLIGHT got up and posted a message saying he
> hoped that the FBI would shoot you, Tom Valentine, before you ever got to
> your microphone. The guyï¿½s name is Doc and his call letters are AF2Y. I
> believe his last name is Schwartzbarr. Heï¿½s a strong supporter of the
> Anti-Defamation League (ADL). [The ADL is one of the leading groups
> promoting censorship of the Internet.ï¿½Ed.]
> Heï¿½s one of the people, by the way, who was encouraging David Castle to jam
> the Liberty Net. When Castle first got his suspension, he got on one of the
> radio frequencies where ï¿½Docï¿½ hangs out and said, ï¿½If I go down, Iï¿½m going
> to take a bunch of you down with me because you guys helped to coax me into
> doing this.ï¿½
>
> These people are trying to stop any kind of intelligent discourse, whether
> it is on ham radio or whether itï¿½s over the Internet chat rooms and news
> groups.
>
> On the Internet they do what is called ï¿½spamming,ï¿½ that is, putting up
> numerous nonsense messages or they try to change the topics of discussion.
>
> At least on ham radio there is a voice you can identify.
>
> What you are trying to express will get out on the Internet, but on ham
> radio, if youï¿½ve got several powerful stations grouped together, they can
> jam the discussion as theyï¿½ve done on the Liberty Net.
>
> One of the things they have tried to do, Mr. Castle, in particular, is to
> go on the air prior to the Liberty Net discussion and to grab the
> frequency. Some of these guys on the West Coast would get on at five
> oï¿½clock in the afternoon and say that they had the frequency and that the
> Liberty Net couldnï¿½t go on. On the night of May 1, 1999, Mr. Castle got on
> and couldnï¿½t raise up any of his cohorts to join him, so he literally
> broadcast, speaking a monologue, which is against FCC rules, for a good 50
> minutes. Finally, some people from the Liberty Net came up and started into
> their conversation while Mr. Castle went on in the background in his
> monologue, talking to absolutely no one.
>
> About 10 minutes prior to when the Liberty Net discussion was supposed to
> begin at 10 pm, some of Castleï¿½s cohorts came on and pretended that they
> had the frequency and that the Liberty Net people had come in and taken it
> from them. In the meantime, on the alternate frequency at two and half
> kilohertz down, another anti-Liberty Net was trying to block that one so

> that the Liberty Net couldnï¿½t move there. It was a strategic, well-planned
> orchestration to stop the Liberty Net from taking place that night.
>
> You mentioned the ADL, which has been in the forefront of trying to silence
> The SPOTLIGHT and all other dissident voices in America. It is an illegally
> unregistered lobby for a foreign nation. Now hereï¿½s Bob calling from
> Virginia:
>
> Bob: This guy Castle has personally threatened me. In fact, we had a
> meeting of about 50 people in Evansville in 1995 and I met Castle in person
> there. He figured out a way to get in where we were meeting.
>
> When my show wasnï¿½t even 11 weeks old back in 1988, I was out in my yard at
> my home in Iowa chopping down weeds and there was a guy across the street
> taking pictures of me. I found out that it was Daniel Levitas. He has been
> affiliated with the ADL and the Southern Poverty Law Center of Morris Dees.
> He came all the way to Iowa City from Des Moines to spy on me because I was
> broadcasting this radio program.
>
> Bob: Thatï¿½s remarkable, but not surprising. This guy ï¿½Docï¿½ mentioned
> earlier has gone on record on the ham radio operatorï¿½s news group on the
> Internet boasting that the FCC is going to take the Liberty Net off the air.
>
> Thatï¿½s illustrative of the power of the ADL.
>
> Bob: Iï¿½ve become unpopular with some Liberty Net people by telling them
> that, in my opinion, they wonï¿½t get any justice out of the FCC. I believe
> that the FCC is operating on a directive from the ADL and/or some of these
> higher-ups in government who want to shut down the Liberty Net or curtail
> it.
>
> Hereï¿½s Tim calling from Las Vegas.
>
> Tim: The Liberty Net is listed on the Internet as a ï¿½hate groupï¿½ alongside
> the National Alliance and the Ku Klux Klan.
> Iï¿½ve seen those postings on the Internet. There are even some Christian
> churches that his postings accuse of being ï¿½hate groups.ï¿½
>
> The people like the ADL who canï¿½t stand the facts have to call names and
> try to censor people, whether its on ham radio or on the Internet.
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/

> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

2518. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Z1wg4.3125$hV4.75379@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:85u0khmck0@208.207.71.153...
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > In article <386ACB14.9D6C2567@ix.netcom.com>,
> > David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > > The jack-booted thugs
> > > continue to build the murderous police state,
> > > while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> > > Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?
> >
> > At a recent trade show 'inside the beltway' catering to
> > the so-called 'clandestine security industry', Ramsey was
> > selling lowpower audio and video equipment which operated
> > on amateur frequencies, and the target market was NOT hams.
> >
> > When asked about it, the Ramsey booth personnel responded.
> > "Keep your nose out of it. Nobody cares what frequency it's
> > on."
>
>
> As you know, if the equipment meets Part 15 emission rules,
> Ramsey is right.
>
> W0EX

WELL, yeah - but it sounds MUCH more sinister if you leave THAT part
out...

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

2519. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <3882886e.14917190@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 121431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 17:24:27 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
wrote:
>
>It was just an illustration of one technique which could be used to
>support 20 million simultaneous users on the amateur HF bands
>while maintaining a familiar operator interface for those accustomed
>to using 20 WPM Morse (though of course a Morse user interface
>isn't essential to the technique).
>
The "familiar operator interface" in contesting is one's ears via
one's radio with the results highly dependent on one's cw and phone
operating skills and the Gods of propogation. Which actually isn't all
that different from non-contest operating. If we had any interest in
contesting by modem the technology would aready be on the Internet.
We're not and it isn't. I also need to point out that rudimentary cw
contest simulators are already available as downloadable freeware.
Decent practice as far as they go but they aren't the real thing, very
few ops bother with 'em. So much for simulators as a germain
discussion.
>
The biggest contests on the HF bands are the two annual CQ WW dx
bashes, supposedly around 50,000 ops get into it globally and we all
fit fine in the lower 40Khz or so of the low ends phone or cw which
leaves most of the spectrum open for non-participants. Where is the
market for a technology which could accomodate 20 million hams mucdh
less us contesters?? What 20million hams??
>
>We haven't begun to fully exploit what we can do with modulations
>and codings on the amateur bands. We could support a much larger
>number of users while maintaining a familiar user interface if we
>chose to do so.
>
The inference seems to be that the ham bands are seriously overcrowded
and that there is a need to accomodate more users. Conversely, I keep
hearing that U.S. ham radio is suffering either zero or negative
growth. Something is outta whack here. Smells like agenda fodder in
some direction or another. I'll pass.
>
>Or we could have much larger throughputs with a
>somewhat smaller number of users if that's what we desired to
>do.
>

Throughputs of WHAT? Who wants to put what thru more and faster with
HF ham radio? My ISP already provides me with huge bandwidth for
$15/month and I can work the world with my $50 modem 599 both ways.
Problem is it ain't RADIO, Gary. We're in it for the radio, not the
throughput rate.
>
. . .
>
>From my viewpoint as an experimenter, I wouldn't like to see us
>confine ourselves to any single coding technique, or any small
>set of coding techniques. Having people experimenting with a
>large variety of techniques is more in character with our service.
>
You just refuse to accept the fact that the character of HF ham radio
is in fact 99.9% station-to-station communications with just
unadorned analog radio. The guy in China ships a dit thru the magical
Ether with his radio, I hear the dit with my radio and that's what
it's all about. Experimentation is fine but it ain't the name of the
game and hasn't been since spark days.
>
>>What barriers are currently in place or under consideration which are
>>preventing the introduction of new technology, Mr. Stevenson??
>
>The 300 baud speed limit, and the 1 kHz occupied spectrum limit
>are two. Another is the requirement to use one of only three ISO
>alphabetic codings for message text. Those barriers are very
>limiting with respect to implementing a number of techniques
>which exploit the Shannon relation in non-traditional ways.
>
I'm sure those restrictions can and will be relaxed for HF
experimentation and use just as the rules for 70cm SS were recently
relaxed to some extent to facilitate SS experimentation. But don't get
outrageous about it and expect the FCC or anybody else to hand you 1/3
of the 40m band so that a few experimenters can toss around some bits
and bytes which are interfering noise to everybody else.
>
>>Let's get down to some nits and grits: Pick a band, any band, say
>>3.5-4.0 Mhz. for discussion purposes. Given your druthers and the
>>freedom to do so how would you remap that spectrum space to accomodate
>>both the traditional modes and the room for new technology?
>
>Ideally, fully mixed usages would be permitted, but I realize that isn't
>a practical solution for some legacy modes.
>
You got that right! Don't tell me "Oh, just turn on yer noise blanker
and we won't bother you" like Stevenson said. When pigs fly.
>
>So I'd like to see the top
>and bottom 50 kHz of the band be set aside for non-traditional modes,
>and let the rest of the band be divided up any way traditional users might

>like. (I want use of both ends so some diversity modes can be exploited
>that can't be done in a single small segment.) Importantly, I'd like this to
>be handled by gentlemen's agreements, not cast in stone by regulations.
>I'm deliberately patterning this roughly after the SSB/AM partitioning that
>was used in the 50s and early 60s on this band.
>
The lowest 50Khz has been cw dx country globally since before either
of us were born and there is absolutely no way on the face of this
earth by gentlmanly agreement or any other mechanism those segments
will be given up by the mass of us for the sake of experimentation by
a few. Ditto the lower ends of the phone segments.
>
>RTTY has already been displaced from the top spot, but there are a
>number of other techniques that current regulations and band plans
>don't permit to be used at all. The market can't fairly decide when
>regulatory fiat doesn't allow the modes into the marketplace.
>
What you contend is regulatory fiat I contend are regulations which
are in place to avoid wide siganls (ssb, AM, FM) from interfering with
each other in narrow mode country therefore giving everybody an
equally fair shot at the limited space down the bands in which we
operate. Including the increasing number of qrp operations which is
another whole topic. The HF phone/image modes do not mix well with the
cw and digital modes thus the common-sense mandated phone/image
sub-bands.
>
I'm not a Luddite and while I'm not an experimenter I support
experimentation on the ham bands, I don't know a ham anywhere who
feels differently. I can easily envision a day when there very well
could be some wider digital modes in use on HF. But not to the extent
you guys are looking for at this point in history.
>
History is littered with tales of visionaries who didn't live long
enough to see their visions come true. It took more practical and
worldly developers who recognized the realities of the market and the
politics and human nature to move the vision into acceptance and use
at some distant time.
>
AM phone is still legal on the HF ham bands and it's as wide as it
gets by current standards and under current regs. So scale your
expectations back to something resembling workable reality and
petition the FCC to let you use the same bandwidth for experimental
digital modes and build from there. They'd have a helluva time defying
the logic. Or something reasonable along those lines. Else you and
Stevenson will most assuredly fade out of the scene as just two more
forgotten and frustrated visionaries.
>
>Gary
>
Brian kelly w3rv

2520. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <860f4q$7k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> So, it's a win-win for the ARRL, which has, in the past 20 years, become
> a publishing house, rather than a source of information for hams.

Well of course the League is a publishing house. On January 29, 1915, the Connecticut Secretary of State recorded the incorporation of the League under the following three articles:

"Article 1. The name of said corporation shall be The American Radio Relay League Incorporated.
"Article 2. The purposes for which said corporation is formed are the following to wit: The promotion of amateur radio, the organization of amateur radio stations, the promotion and regulation of amateur radio inter-communication, and the relaying of messages from station to station, and the printing and publishing of documents, books and pamphlets necessary to any of the above purposes.
"Article 3. The said corporation is located in the town of Hartford and the State of Connecticut."

Lucky for us that second article includes printing and publishing:
We participate in a self-taught technical hobby/service; not a day should go by without us gaining new knowledge WRT to electronics. The only publications I have always been able to turn to when questions arose which needed immediate answers were the League's pubs.

For some 85 years the ARRL has produced a handbook written so that even a novice can learn and understand from it. The government thought so highly of the Handbook that it was used to train military communicators during WWII. For the longest period, the Handbook was the greatest selling technical book ever published, in and out of ham radio.

During the 50s when SSB was finding its way into ham radio, there was no pub one could turn to to learn about this new mode except the League's SSB Manual. Also during that decade the FCC relaxed the regs regarding mobile operation - no one else published a mobile manual except the League (1955).

To whom could you turn to for the great ideas and techniques that appear in the Hints and Kinks booklets? Propagation and antennas: The Antenna Manual. Who else in the 60s produced a VHF Manual? And when that VHF crowd began exploring FM: The FM and Repeater Manual. The very first book in the 80s devoted to amateur digital comms was the League's Get Connected.

Publishing affordable technical books was one of the original goals in the League's charter; for 85 years they've made information available to the layman that was nearly impossible to find elsewhere. How in the world could you possibly find fault with this business side of the League?

Every ham shack I've ever visited in the last 30 years has had many League pubs on its bookshelves. We are (or at least were) a group with a voracious appetite for reading and learning. Luckily, the League has been able to feed us what we most desired.

Ham radio and reading are inseparable; and it takes a publishing business to do what the League has accomplished.

73, Jeff KH6O

2521. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <860fghcf1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121133

Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>On 17 Jan 2000 20:03:03 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>>Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:

>>>As a ham, I don't think you can *legally* jam anything...

>>When asked if it would be considered intentional interference
>>to transmit on top of illegal 10m "outbanders," the FCC responded,
>>"It's impossible to interfere with an unauthorized emission."
>>In other words, Aaron, they don't consider it as "jamming" if
>>the signal doesn't belong there.

>Part 15 signals do belong there, however.

The above FCC quote concerns unauthorized emissions.

Jeff

2522. Re: cliques in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <860ptgefk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121134

In article <7Crg4.906$Pb4.7635@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
> Does anyone have a list of the known cliques in ham radio?

Phil, someone told me a long time ago that a clique was something you didn't belong to. His point was that it is very easy to look at a group of people you think are doing something or enjoying something and think negatively of them because you aren't with them.

The thing is, throughout life there are going to be groups you aren't associated with; not because they are excluding you, but because you aren't in them. People sometimes want to put a negative light on associations, but without them there isn't much fellowship.

My advice would be to find some people you like or whose ideas you like and seek to join them and contribute. Then you won't have to worry about their *clique*; you'll be a part of it. One of the things you'll notice is that there is virtually never any organization or policy of exclusion in these groups you call cliques. Because it's a matter of perspective from the outside; negative perspective.

Be positive. Do what you want to do. Never mind what you think some group may or may not be doing. If you're interested in what they are, join them. If you aren't, move on to something else.

I've been in ham radio for a long time, and although there are groups to which I don't belong, and maybe even some I wish I did, I don't really think I could call them cliques. We just haven't gotten around to each other yet.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2523. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117204829.01397.00001048@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 121135

In article <860a5j$3hj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, frank3000@my-deja.com writes:

>What does this petty diatribe have to do with amateur radio or K-12
>teaching? Please post to the appropriate conservative political groups.

Huh? K-12 teaching?? You lost me.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2524. Re: FEDS RAID GUILTY ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <860gd8$11t$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121136

Aaron Jones <no@mail.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote

>>"It's impossible to interfere with an unauthorized emission."

>Can you reproduce the exact wording of that?

That is the exact wording. Call the FCC, ask for someone knowledgeable in Part 97, and present the scenario of a ham transmitting on the same frequency of an illegal "out-bander." They'll say the above, word for word.

Several of us did pose that scenario to the FCC a few years ago and each caller received the same response.

>I find it hard to believe that
>the FCC would authorize a vigilante ham throwing test carriers on every
>illegal signal he could find...

Don't throw test carriers; instead, call CQ in an attempt to begin a QSO with another ham.

It's no different than carrying on a QSO under key clicks emanating from someone located 20 kHz away. It's no different than carrying on a QSO on say, 14020 kHz, on top of a harmonic emanating from a station transmitting on 7010 kHz. Key clicks and harmonics are unauthorized emissions.

Ever transmit through 2m intermodulation products?

Jeff

2525. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer &

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117210426.27882.00000987@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 121137

In article <8604fj$l7$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> writes:

>You are one friggin' idiot and a stinking right wing liar as well. Had the
>Civil Rights Movement been able to follow the course set by Dr.King, we
>never would have had the hatred and militarism that dominates our lives. Ray
>fired a shot that has had agonizing effects but a person like you spouting
>off his demented racism is a bullet in itself. Dr.King truly was a heroi and
>a day honoring him is deserved.
>AF2Y,Doc (and I hate racism)

Interesting that you should be such a staunch defender, Charles. Many of the so-called "black leaders" are outspoken adversaries of Jews such as yourself. Jesse Jackson's remarks concerning "Hymietown" would be a perfect example of this. Ditto for Al Sharpton, Kweisi Mfume, etc.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2526. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117210427.27882.00000988@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 121138

In article <38837930.0@nntp2.borg.com>, "Joe Malloy" <jmalloy@borg.com> writes:

>One of the benefits of the white majority in this country is found in not
>having to rally around some "month," "week," or "break." Whites don't have
>to -- *every* "month," "week," or "break" is a "white" "month," "week," or
>"break." Blacks or other minorities are forced into "otherness" and as a
>result have "months," "weeks," or "breaks" defined narrowly. In a more
>perfect society, such distinctions will disappear; unfortunately, we're not
>there yet.

You fail to answer my question. Why is it acceptable and encouraged for every minority group in this country to celebrate their culture and their history, except for those of European (white) ancestry?

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2527. Re: IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Bone <markbone@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <948139119.578882@rhea.accessus.net>
Source record: 121139
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Is there any hamfests in southern IL?

2528. FA: Philips DC 777 Car Shortwave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Bland <dwbland@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <860qhreue1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121140

On ebay at http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?
ViewItem&item=240434020

Philips DC 777 Car Shortwave AM/FM Radio Cassette Player
Picks up Shortwave 90M-13M Continous
Autostore 5 strongest stations on AM/FM
20 SW Presets, 5 AM, 5 FM presets
Security Code Theft Deterrent
4 Channel line-out
High power 4 stage output with fader
Wonderful Cassette player
Autoreverse tape transport
Clock
Direct entry keypad

This great sounding unit ships in original box with manual (not pictured), and all original mounting hardware and wiring. Unit is in excellent shape. All LEDs work with the exception of two on the preset indicator (not mission critical). LED's are not burnt out (press on the face gently lights them up again). An electronically inclined individual could repair this easily by soldering loose joints.
Note: Shortwave signals are more vulnerable to interference generated by cars. For an additional $5 I will include two filters that will help minimize interference. To test your car for interference, check how it does on AM when driving at different speeds, w A/C on, wipers on, etc. This will give you a rough idea of how the unit will perform in your car.

If you wish to inspect the unit more closesly, please email me, and I have much larger images I can email you.

Buyer pays Actual shipping and insurance, @ $5-$10. Money Order, or IESCROW acceptable. Buyer pays escrow fees where applicable.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2529. Kenwood TS-140S TX problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham Selley <grasel@vianet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3883D08F.58812F07@vianet.net.au>
Source record: 121141

Hey guys

My TS-140 has decided to transmit way outside of the ALC and so it is cutting back all the power output, does anyone know how to fix this?

Thanks

Graham
VK6WR

2530. New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <mJQg4.2280$_G1.35751@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 121142

I believe there should be a end to the currect 1x2 & 2x1 Eextra class
call signs on April 15, 2000. Thus, there would be a way to know the
difference between real Extra Class holders who earned & proved their
abilities with difficult theory & faster morse code. There would be
a fairly clear way to recognize them as different & better than the
"Welfare Extras" starting the last half of April who have little skills
& abilities.

2531. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3883de48.7815895@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 17 Jan 2000 18:13:35 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

>At last, ARRL will be free of W6ROD, who is featured on the M.O. site
>this week in a pose resembling a cross between Napoleon and Hitler. Note
>that all three destroyed what they tried to "save".

So where/what is the M.O. site?

>Furthermore, those of us who are thinking persons, unlike the majority
>of those who respond to my posts, will find this amusing:

It is amusing for the lack of any common sense.

>"In a related vein, the Board will hear the second installment of a
>report from ARRL Executive Vice President David Sumner, K1ZZ, on Amateur
>Radio in the year 2010 and beyond. Sumner's report will expand on one of
>the themes of his preliminary report delivered to the Board in July
>1999: voluntary certification programs to encourage continuing education
>and skills development by radio amateurs above and beyond the licensing
>requirements."

That should help satisfy those that need the ego self
gratification that comes to some that must have the
"paper certificate" to believe they are superior in some
way.

>There were too many licenses. There was no need for new Novices, or new
>Tech Pluses anymore. But get this, Extras. They destroy the licensing
>system with their "the sky is falling" panic, then propose this crap to
>sell even more books in the future, and cover up the fact they couldn't
>care less about the license structure. As if some home study crap they
>publish will compensate for the diminished federal test requirements.

I absolutely roll on the floor every time someone like
you places the "blame" on the ARRL. Seems the ARRL
did everything they could to keep the end result which
is now the actual R&O from happening. If you have
any belief at all that anyone (ARRL or any combination
of people and organizations) would retain code testing
at 13 and 20 wpm, then you have no clue at all.

>Excuse me, I have to go clean off my hip waders after wading through
>that announcement...

Let's see. The ARRL is damned because they
sell license manuals, and a lessoning of test

elements means a lessoning of sales of such manuals. That should mitigate complaints that ARRL is profit focused...BUT now that the ARRL recognizes that some people might want a special (non-FCC) certificate...well that's bad? Give me a break.

No cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2532. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117223804.18864.00000938@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 121144

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
spotligt this

2533. Re: THE REAL LLOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000117223832.18864.00000939@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 121145

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
real loyde davis here

2534. ----> Equipment 4 Sale<----

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiodoc37@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000118011612.08362.00000779@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 121146

Tram D201 in good condition, Unlocked VFO and manual $275

Johnson Messenger 250 Base, Astatic Golden Eagle D104 Mic like new condition in origianl box, $300.00

PDX400 eight tube 10 meter base amp, Brown with gold knobs and Browning Eagle on the front, looks very nice with a Browning. 700-1000 watts PeP, in good working order. $280.00 SOLD

Cobra CAM 88 Tube 23 channel base Working with a copy of the SAMS, no Mic but I have the hard to find mic connector. $70.00

Siltronics Model 90 -6 VFO, Good cosmetic and working condition $70.00

BBE 411 Rack mount Sonic Maximizer, Rack mount, 1/4" in and out, will work for voise or musical instruments $90.00

HomeBrew Meter, 20/200/2000 Watts. Reads forward and reverse wattage. Made from a
Goldline coupler and Large scale meter $25.00

ParaDynamics 3 Way, large scale meter, CB meter, switch between Power/Modulation and SWR. $40.00

Demco Modulator in good working condition with a Fentone Broadcast Style Dual crystal mic head mounted on a D104 G Stand. Works great, Nice smooth audio $200.00

Crown IC 150 Stereo Preamplifier, with paperwork $150.00

Motorola UHF Power amplifier Model N1275A 450-470 Mhz $50.00

Yaesu XF-32A SSB Crystal filter for the Yaesu FT101 $35.00

Bird 50-125 Mhz Slug, 5,000 Watt Peak/1,000W RMS $40.00

Bird 50-125 Mhz Slug, 10,000 Watt Peak/1,000W RMS $40.00

Realistic Navaho TRC 30A 23 Channel Base in good condition $40.00

Realistic cb Phone $25.00

HomeBrew 10 meter 3 tube amplifier, Uses 3 military 24 volt filiment versions of the
6146 tube (they are available from RF parts at a reasonable price) Fresh tubes ,
adjustable bias, adjustable input SWR tuning, Load/Plate/ SSB/AM 150 Watts + $150.00

Yaesu FTdx 560 10-80 meter SSB/CW transceiver, Excellent condition, Plastic still on the face, All tubes in excellent condition, Very Clean, with copy of manual $250.00

Gonset GSB 101 amplifier, uses four 811 tubes, Good condition, No internal TX/RX switching, Must be done externally using a Dow Key relay. Works excellent with copy of manual. Pick up only in So. Ca. $300.00

Icom IC230, 2 meter mobile transceiver 146-148 Mhz FM, intermittent VCO, comes out of lock easily, No time or interest for this project $30.00

Midland 79-290 Removeable face 40 channel SSB/AM mobile CB. A-F bands, Dual 2SC1969 final design $120.00

Palomar 225 Blue face amplifier in good condition, Set up class C $90.00

Penetrator 150 10-40 meter solid state mobile amplifier, with band switching and filtering, Class AB1 with removeable control head $175

Galaxy DX55 40 channel AM/FM/CW Export radio with extended coverage, Freq. counter, Connex Echo, 5 tone, Lots of swing at over 20 watts output $150.00 in great shape with original box.

Pics available for all items, Prices do not include shipping.
Items ship UPS ground after receipt of MO
Please Email for more info
Mike

2535. Re: cliques in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil-news-nospam@ipal.net
Message-ID: <eITg4.306$PJ5.1963@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 121147

On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 04:24:29 GMT LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:

| In article <7Crg4.906$Pb4.7635@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
| phil-news-nospam@ipal.net wrote:
|> Does anyone have a list of the known cliques in ham radio?
|
| Phil, someone told me a long time ago that a clique was something you
| didn't belong to. His point was that it is very easy to look at a group
| of people you think are doing something or enjoying something and think
| negatively of them because you aren't with them.
|
| The thing is, throughout life there are going to be groups you aren't
| associated with; not because they are excluding you, but because you
| aren't in them. People sometimes want to put a negative light on
| associations, but without them there isn't much fellowship.
|
| My advice would be to find some people you like or whose ideas you like
| and seek to join them and contribute. Then you won't have to worry about
| their *clique*; you'll be a part of it. One of the things you'll notice
| is that there is virtually never any organization or policy of exclusion
| in these groups you call cliques. Because it's a matter of perspective
| from the outside; negative perspective.
|
| Be positive. Do what you want to do. Never mind what you think some
| group may or may not be doing. If you're interested in what they are,
| join them. If you aren't, move on to something else.
|
| I've been in ham radio for a long time, and although there are groups to
| which I don't belong, and maybe even some I wish I did, I don't really
| think I could call them cliques. We just haven't gotten around to each
| other yet.

Nice words, but you totally missed the purpose of my question. I want to
know NOT for the purpose of joining any group or anything, but rather, for
the purpose of doing some analysis and study on the social groupings of
ham radio.

So maybe I should rephrase the question.

Does anyone have a list of known social groups in ham radio, besides those
which have formal organization?

That's what I meant by cliques.

--
| Phil Howard - KA9WGN | for headlines that | Just say no to absurd patents |

| phil-nospam@ipal.net | really matter: | Boycott Amazon.Com (AMZN) |
| Dallas - Texas - USA | linuxhomepage.com | Shop http://bn.com/ instead |

2536. Why it is what it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000118013519.02546.00001022@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121148

The recent requirement changes for the FCC exams is in my opinion a
prelude to the loss of the uhf-vhf spectrum. The majority of hams are either
tech, tech plus or novice licensees. In order to move these people off of the
vhf and uhf spectrum, the FCC made it much easier for these people to get their
HF privaledges. By moving these people into the HF spectrum---the little used
uhf and vhf bands will be open and fair game for the commercial interests.
The federal communications commision cannot sell off the HF spectrum
for two very good reasons. First, the 10 to 160 meter bands are part of an
international agreement...they will be and remain in the future ham bands
because they are ham bands all over the world. Even if the FCC outlawed all US
hams on these bands.....they do not have any jurisdiction in the rest of the
world. Secondly--the HF bands are not very reliable----many times solar storms
and propagational problems plague the HF spectrum. 80 to 160 meters is not very
usefull during the day----and 10 to 20 meters is marginal at night. So there is
really no commercial interst in the HF spectrum other than short wave
broadcasters---as we all are aware of on 40 meters at night.
Now take the UHF and VHF spectrum and you have a whole new ball game.
These frequencies are most attractive to the commercial interests. Ideal for
sattelite communications---and the next generation of computers and cell phones
that have recievers to pick up the Internet anywhere in the world from those
sattelites and you have the making of a conspiracy to take over the UHF/VHF
portions of the ham bands. With the extra bandwidths needed for the cellular
phones and all the other money making ventures for these business interests
and the government's propensity to support business (because after all who
gives these politicians the most money to get re-elected?Can you say bribery?)
and you can see the writing on the wall.
And don't forget the manufacturers of ham equipment. Take a look at the
price of an HT or UHF VHF base rig. You can pick them up pretty cheap. No
real profit in there for the likes of Yeasu or Kenwood. But take a look at the
prices of the new HF rigs-------$1,000 and up. Lots of profit potential for
Kenwood and Yeasu--especially with all the new general class licensees tha
would flood into the HF market.
There is a move to stop this masacre of the ham bands---you can give your
opinion to the FCC in this matter by contacting the FCC at
<http//www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>
This must be done before February 16, 2000.

N8WGM

2537. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jennifer <nomail@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <6AUg4.2133$FS5.28059@news3.mia>
Source record: 121149
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

At least you're honest about it. I know girls that hide it.

2538. Re: Disband B.A.R.F?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jennifer <nomail@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <7AUg4.2134$FS5.28059@news3.mia>
Source record: 121150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I promise to not make fun of you.

2539. FS Collins KWM-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioleo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <KUUg4.1436$eX.20487@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121151

I have a nice Collins KWM-1 transceiver, I bought it from a friend. It has no 516F-1 power supply. No modifications, and original paint, and knobs. The front panel is very nice Serial Number 1007. The interior is also nice. The paint is still very shinny, and looks great. The transceiver is untested, and has a RP Electronics RPC-3U Speech Processor made for the KWM-1, with manual...$750.00 plus shipping

Ph/Fax (310) 670-6969

Thanks Leo KJ6HI

2540. Re: Why it is what it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <07efa1ad.a61f5e12@usw-ex0103-024.remarq.com>
Source record: 121152

Just because one can imagine that the writing _could_ be on the wall doesn't mean that the writing _is_ on the wall; and even if the writing _is_ on the wall doesn't mean what is written will _come to pass_.

If you're going to post in this manner, better have more than an opinion to back it up. For instance, where can any one of us go validate your claim? (And that doesn't include validation by merely pointing to yet another person's opinion.)

So, does the FCC have any information on this? Whose deadline is it?

73

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2541. Re: Upgrade-before or after 4/15?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <861c3c$21h1$3@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 121153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.amateur.space Save Ham Radio <Save@CW.net> wrote:
> Before April 15th it's an upgrade, after april 15th it's a free gift!
> Ten four good buddy?
> The FCC screwed me while the ARRL held me down.

Times change; better get used to it. An influx of new HF-qualified
hams might be all that saves your bands. Besides, someone new to talk
to about your ailments!

73
Hamish VK3SB
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

2542. Re: NEW VEC EXAM QUESTIONS OUT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38844B54.2F78323B@icss.net>
Source record: 121154

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In rec.radio.swap MIKE (707) no4n4x4@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Be nice Gary... I remember a few years back when a guy could buy a couple of
> >*Generals* a 12-pack of beer, and a couple of pizzas and miraculously
> >"pass" the technician test. Hmmm... I wonder how many of those
> >"technicians" can find the ass-end of a diode! :>)
>
> But of course you'd be hard-pressed to name names, callsigns, and dates.
>
> Jeff

I think we just found the "ass end" of Mike.

His story doesn't work - i.e., couldn't happen. Tech exams were never given by two Generals. He's probably thinking of the Novice exam - prior to folding it under the umbrella of the VE system. Today it would take 3 VE Generals, and another six pack and another pizza.

2543. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "." <k793BINTHISBIT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eoe78sck6f98bcoqnm6cekrgcmvia5bclm@4ax.com>
Source record: 121155
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 19:33:21 +0000, Duncan Walters <tesla@cwcom.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Willy Wilson wrote:
>
>> Hi all,
>> Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
>> the amateur bands please?
>> Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
>> Willy.
>
>Now why do you want to know that for, Willy?
>Duncan G4DFV
>
Well i dont know why willy wnats to do it but I modified my TS850S (by
clipping the relevant diode on the front PCB behind the front panel)
becuase i wanted to transmit on frequencies which were not available
as supplied. I also sometimes transmit on the UK amateur bands with my
class A callsign. No doubt Willy will find the info he wants soon. I
would willingly post it here but alas my meory is not so good and i
can't put my hands on my 850 manual at present.

joe

Remove BINTHISBIT When replying via email

2544. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "." <k793BINTHISBIT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <egl88s46r0h7ma21fmn4gr15s9i9qhen6e@4ax.com>
Source record: 121156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 08:37:17 -0500, "Burt" <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote:

>If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
>choice or have your picture on
>the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>
I would have a picture of myself and my main squeeze on the front
cover of QST,in full technicolour,examining and swooning over my huge
erection whilst stood in my back garden.

joe

Remove BINTHISBIT When replying via email

2545. license renewal: Help, Elmer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David J. Windisch" <dwindk3bhj@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <s88m8198eh610@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121157

Gotta renew. Soon!

Been reading FCC stuff, with about the same level of comprehension as with IRS stuff <g>

Downloaded the renewal form. There are no changes on my end. No vanity call. Plain vanilla renewal.

Do I fill it out and send it in by itself?

If not, with what else?

Other forms?

Fees?

<sigh>

TIA,

Dave, K3BHJ.

2546. DX FANS LOOKING HELP.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kivi <bank@takas.lt>
Message-ID: <861ohc$16r4$14@trimpas.omnitel.net>
Source record: 121158

Dear Ladies and Sirs,

Hello! At first we want to give our best wishes to
Yours dx radio organisation and also we wish You all the
best in the future!

My name is Arunas Bagdonas. I a 28 years old lithuanian
man, I live in Lithuania,in Europe. I am a PC and Multimedia
student, and I am a senior manager of our established "DX
Club". My friends students (this Club members) are DX'ing
fans in Lithuania. But we have a problem : we have not the
information about frequencies and radio stations, dx news
etc. who is very valuable for us, students and DX radio
lovers...

So, we (our Club) asking Yours help : please,
help us, students, and if You can, send us free
shortwave Utility stations (air, marine, military)
frequency list (book orCD-ROM) and 2-3 latest
issues of Yours country DX magazines, please....

Please, do it for us, lithuanian students, maybe like a
humanistic aid !

We hope, that You will help us and send us frequency list
and dx magazines !
We (8 students) will wait the answer from You !

Our address:

Arunas BAGDONAS
c/o DX Cl.
RAMYBES 5-37
LT-4930 ANYKSCIAI
LITHUANIA,
Europe

Best greetings from Lithuania !

Sincerely Yours Arunas

2547. ICOM Users net 14.317 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Boren <Gregory.Boren@nokia.com>
Message-ID: <b0_g4.593$3D5.12440@news2.nokia.com>
Source record: 121159

Hi all! I've been trying to hear the Icom users net Sundays on 14.317 for some time but there is always a carrier slightly above the freq, (14.31716??) here in Dallas area. I have eliminated local interference, tried different radios and have also heard same tone on a trip to San Antonio Texas. Anyone else hear this signal or know the source?????

Thanks
Greg/N5SKE

2548. Re: FCC CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38848915.62E5C1A3@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121160

What church at Waco????? Only one I know of is the David Koresh
compound.... and you call that a church?

D

N8WGM wrote:
>
> Don't forget the FCC's jamming of a ham radio station durring the government
> attack of that church at Waco.
> N8WGM

2549. Re: AF2Y is back!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3884898D.3C2E104F@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121161

Total bull shit from some very 'little' people....

D

hammer007 wrote:
>
> In article <85om76tpe1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Yeah Cockroach, you are back. If you slander people on Mindspring like
> > you did on AOL, Mindspring will cut you loose like a burning ship when
> > the complaints and copies of your workmanship start rolling in to their
> > abuse line.
> > Also,
> > Your days on Amateur radio are running out if you keep qrming. Riley H
> > has been contacted about your latest diliberate interference gig.
> >
> > All your skankey buddies are on 14275. join them there, Rube , Donny ,
> > Dean,(etc) pukey maggots all group together. Thank you Bob and Lenney
> > for giving this creep the bums rush off AOL!!
> >
> > Rileygotchu
> >
> > In article <85o92c$70j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > > Hello Dan, Rich, Rube and all the rest of the GREAT GUYS. Yes, the
> > "Docster"
> > > is back on rec.radio with a different server and I want everyone to
> > know
> > > that it is a complete lie that K2IVX and KY4TR are "serving " you
> > about
> > > tbeir getting me tossed off AOL. Balderdash!! They have as much
> > shareholder
> > > pull as a zit. I have nothing against AOL per se. The tech help is
> > fine and
> > > they are number one for a good reason-they earned it. I was having
> > problems
> > > connecting and staying on and so forth and so on therefore please
> > don't
> > > write to me asking if you should get off AOL. No server or ISP is
> > perfect
> > > and keep in mind that AOL is really an Internet within an Internet and
> > > offers a lot of jazz and hash and mash and a lot of people dig it. So
> > I say

> > > unless you have a very good reason to leave, stay on it. I am not a
> > paid
> > > employee of MINDSPRING but do enjoy their services. I have just one
> > number
> > > on which to connect-it does it the first time even at peak hours-I
> > never get
> > > a warning box and never got bumped off line. Furthermore, I sweat
> > it's true;
> > > I have access to over TWENTY THOUSAND NEWSGROUPS thru MindSpring and
> > over
> > > ONE THOUSAND through Microsoft. You should feel privileged I choose
> > this
> > > rec.radio thing over the other 20K. Well getting back to matters on
> > the
> > > farm, I will now make it short because in a few minutes I am going
> > out for
> > > dinner with my wife and a couple named Harris. I sincerely want to
> > thank all
> > > of you who have written to ask about me and to encourage me to post
> > again.
> > > To those who haven't, geh cockin' afinyam, you're worthless. By the
> > way I do
> > > also post under the name "goodbyeherbiesaysdoc" which is most fitting
> > even
> > > though the Herbster has mellowed quite a bit and I might write to the
> > FCC on
> > > his behalf. That means they should just cut the left one off if
> > indeed he
> > > still has it. And so for now, I shall bid all of you nice guys a fond
> > adieu
> > > but I must flip the bird in the direction of Port Washington and Vero
> > > Beach(not at you Bobby Leger). In time both the Shill and the Pill
> > will be
> > > VERY embarrassed about besmirching my reputation with wonderful AOL.
> > Your
> > > time is coming, peckerheads. Just wait.
> > > Great 73,
> > > Doc,AF2Y
> > >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> The facts are clear and reflect the true reason that AF2Y is no longer on
> AOL. AOL was contacted by stockholders and the information went directly to
> very high level executives and the situation was acted upon. Mindspring has
> also been sent copies of e-mail documentation and if this bum reverts to his
> past behavior and postings then it will be dealt with swiftly. AOL is a great
> company and us stockholders acted in the best interest of the public and AOL,

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2550. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38848E6B.3A92C97A@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I didn't vote for Clinton and am glad of it. Too bad he did win
without a majority. Just shows what Ross did to take the election
away from George Sr.

D

Ross Archer wrote:
>
> As soon as you credit Jimmy Carter's Administration
> and policies for the entire boom of the 80's, under your
> bizarre "economic lag" theory,
> then I'll gladly allow Reagan/Bush to take
> credit for the boom of the 90's. You can't have it both
> ways. If you are like 99% of all so-called
> Conservatives, you were trumpeting the success of
> Reagonomics during said 1980's. I repeat, you can't
> have it both ways.
>
> Fact is, there's nothing except your wishful thinking
> to back up the idea that economic conditions can "lag"
> major policy changes by as much as eight years.
> Money supply changes, tax changes, energy price
> spikes (that's what hurt Carter), all have relatively
> immediate consequences.
>
> The voters looked at conditions and decided to credit
> Clinton's administration for them.
>
> My point stands. The American public is not stupid,
> and a great deal less deluded than your typical gun-nut.
>
> Dan K Nelson wrote:
>
> > Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > news:388149CC.D51B37F8@topnow.com...
> > >
> > > > Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
> > > > figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
> > > > stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created.
> > >
> > > Our economy is the direct result of "Reagonomics". The effect of any major
> > > economic policy shift takes several years to manifest itself to the common
> > > man. All Clinton did was take credit for the conditions he inherited.
> > >

> > >Real wages are going up for the first time since 1973. (Put another way,
> > real >wages were going down during the whole so-called boom of the 80's.)
> >
> > Again, the cause and effect of "Reagonomics", private enterprise in the
> > TelCom and computer technologies,and the fact that Congress has kept Clinton
> > from doing any real harm, like Socialized medicine, etc. The current danger
> > lies in the fact that those in the White House stay up nights trying to
> > figure out how they can control, regulate, and tax these new found sources
> > of private wealth.
> >
> > >American productivity is the envy of the world.
> >
> > Again because of the private sector innovation. Nothing the government runs
> > is either cost effective or productive.
> >
> > > The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!
> >
> > You would worry too if you knew that you could not back the currency you
> > print with anything of real value. The country was taken off the gold
> > standard by the Government to make it easy for them to manipulate the value
> > of paper money to hide their deficit spending. But it's a "house of cards"
> > and if they lose control the scheme will be exposed with drastic results.
> >
> > > Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
> >
> > Which proves the Clinton gun grabbers have a hidden agenda. The violent
> > crime rate is declining because the current generation of criminals are
> > growing older - out of the target age group for violent crime. However, the
> > next generation is just now coming into this target age group (18 - 35).
> > With the past 8 years of liberal BS in the court system, the after effects
> > will begin to be felt in the coming years.
> >
> > > Welfare roles are shrinking.
> >
> > Because many states took it upon themselves to reduce or eliminate their
> > welfare programs. You can thank the conservative Congress for that one - in
> > spite of all the roadblocks Clinton tried to put in place to stop them.
> >
> > > Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
> >
> > Sure, he's an effective lier, he's effective in taking credit for other's
> > work, he's effective in diverting the public's attention from his criminal
> > actions, he's effective in causing major damage to our military, he's
> > effective in making the Office of the President a joke to the rest of the
> > world, etc. How much more of this "effectiveness" can the country take ?
> >
> > > So, looking at the "bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
> > > it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.
> >
> > Who doesn't ? It's just unfortunate that many were hoodwinked into thinking

> > Clinton was responsible for any of it.
> >
> > > Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.
> >
> > Sure, he represented those old failed values like honesty, integrety,
> > respect for his country, the people, the military, and the office of the
> > President.
> >
> > > The American public is not dumb at all.
> >
> > Not dumb, just misinformed. The majority just receive their daily
> > programming via the media and takes it as Gospel. They have lost the desire
> > and/or ability to analyze and differentiate between truth and "Spin".
> >
> > 73 de W6DKN

2551. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38847C99.216D@arrl.net>
Source record: 121163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Ham radio and reading are inseparable; and it takes a publishing business
> to do what the League has accomplished.

Unlike a real publishing business, the League can keep the prices low
and still generate some revenues over expenses. Unlike a real
publishing house, those revenues are not used to pay dividends to
stockholders, but are used to fund things that cannot and do not
generate revenues.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2552. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ti2dll@ti2dll.dynip.com
Message-ID: <slrn8865sf.c8.ti2dll@ti2dll.dynip.com>
Source record: 121164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <85t3ifciu1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>, randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>Boy I'm really glad you brought this to light...Its so unfair to have any
>organizations or awards that take effort to achieve. The ARRL should include
>with their membership card the following
>
>DXCC certificate
>WAS certificate
>A-1 op certificate
>Rag Chewers Award

And, for an additional $5 you not only get the certificates, but you get the
5-band variety right?

<lol>

--
//\/\ario //\/\elendez- TI2DLL
ti2dll@email.com

2553. Re: PSK31 and the TS-940

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8624necio1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121165

In article <38836DD2.7B6EB710@flash.net>,
XYZ_p15218@flash.net wrote:
> ---Problem: the Kenwood TS-940 does not allow selection of the narrow
CW
> filter(s) in SSB mode and even if you modify it to do so, the
frequency
> offset will be out of the filter's passband.
> ---Possible solution: use the FSK mode BUT the FSK mode does not
appear
> to allow external audio as a modulation source, only the internally
> shifted carrier.
> ---Does anyone know if it is possible to use the Kenwood TS-940 in the
> FSK mode and inject external audio for modulation. I have no problem
> with permanantly modifying the radio's FSK mode to accept external
> audio.
> Thanks and 73
> Bob
> KB8TL
> =========REMOVE THE XYZ_ from XYZ_p15218@flash.net to reply=======
> =========REMOVE THE XYZ_ from XYZ_p15218@flash.net to reply=======
> root@localhost
> postmaster@localhost
> admin@localhost
> abuse@localhos
--
Leave it in SSB Filter position, that way you can inject the audio to
be transmitted. Try experimenting with the slope/notch, etc and adjust
your "center" frequency from the standard 1000cycle frequency, on the
PSK31 program. I'd suggest something around 1800 may do the trick.

To observe correct center frequency setup...simply watch the "tune"
display for equal noise on either side of the center spot.

Dan/W4NTI

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2554. Re: Posts with Pazzazz vs. Board Boredom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <862596$d2e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121166

In article <17815-3883C00B-11@storefull-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Doc, For my part, I check this daily. Anytime I see a discussion I
can
> augment, I do.
>
> Soon, however, it'll be like old times. My 75 foot tower is being
> manufactured as I write. The tower guys are ready. This time it's
> gonna be a three or four element quad. The interior is being designed
> specially with circular operating panel for the ham and the FM
station.
>
> So, am looking forward to like QSO's to the ones we shared more than a
> decade ago. I wish I could make more of a contribution here than this
> strictly personal excitement.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>
Bob,

May I be the first (I think) to invite you to join us on 14.275.
Our "group", the Goodfellows and friends, have abandoned 14.313. The
only requirement is that you comport yourself as a gentleman and use
proper amateur radio practices. From knowing you these many years, I
have no doubt this will not be difficult for you.

Welcome back to amateur radio Bob.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2555. Re: Restructuring and Respect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <g0398s06vaeb8q22495obo9tppnan3jjnv@4ax.com>
Source record: 121167

On Mon, 17 Jan 2000 01:49:04 GMT, "N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:

>The hardest exam out there are the 6 exams for the MCSE. Yet all
>the questions are known. And it is still a bitch.

I'll take your word for it.

>The GROL technical exam (element 3) also has all the questions out
>in the open. Its 100 questions are a real bitch too.

Again, I'll take your word for that too.

What are you suggesting?

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2556. Re: New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <c9398scg4dgp3c7dfj488eblk0ip0bk9a7@4ax.com>
Source record: 121168

On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 03:02:42 GMT, "Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org> wrote:

>I believe there should be a end to the currect 1x2 & 2x1 Eextra class
>call signs on April 15, 2000. Thus, there would be a way to know the
>difference between real Extra Class holders who earned & proved their
>abilities with difficult theory & faster morse code. There would be
>a fairly clear way to recognize them as different & better than the
>"Welfare Extras" starting the last half of April who have little skills
>& abilities.

I don't know about you, but I wait until those folks open their mouths
(or start pounding brass).

I think most people will discover very soon that:

1) There are some remarkably ignorant people out there with licenses.

2) Actual skills and abilities often have very little resemblance to
the supposed skills and abilities we test for.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2557. Re: ----> Equipment 4 Sale<----

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Hansen <khansen@njcc.com>
Message-ID: <86238efam3@mars.njcc.com>
Source record: 121169

Interested in PAL VFO, what radios is it good for (what
freq. range is output I guess is the question)...

Also, the Realistic Cb phone, is that the handset CB
for car use? Please post/email me pictures of above.

Thanks,

Ken
khansen@njcc.com

RadioDoc37 wrote in message
<20000118011612.08362.00000779@ng-fq1.aol.com>...

>Siltronics Model 90 -6 VFO, Good cosmetic and working condition $70.00

>Realistic cb Phone $25.00

2558. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86212v0nmg@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <860f4q$7k$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>> So, it's a win-win for the ARRL, which has, in the past 20 years, become
>> a publishing house, rather than a source of information for hams.
>
>Well of course the League is a publishing house. On January 29, 1915, the
>Connecticut Secretary of State recorded the incorporation of the League
>under the following three articles:

<snip>

Your boilerplate responses to this issue are getting to be more than a little
redundant. How many times have you posted this in the past year?

Tom - N8ECW

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2559. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8622051nmg@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121171

In article <20000117210427.27882.00000988@nso-fi.aol.com>, rfenforcer@aol.com says...
>
>In article <38837930.0@nntp2.borg.com>, "Joe Malloy" <jmalloy@borg.com> writes:
>
>>One of the benefits of the white majority in this country is found in not
>>having to rally around some "month," "week," or "break." Whites don't have
>>to -- *every* "month," "week," or "break" is a "white" "month," "week," or
>>"break." Blacks or other minorities are forced into "otherness" and as a
>>result have "months," "weeks," or "breaks" defined narrowly. In a more
>>perfect society, such distinctions will disappear; unfortunately, we're not
>>there yet.
>
>You fail to answer my question. Why is it acceptable and encouraged for every
>minority group in this country to celebrate their culture and their history,
>except for those of European (white) ancestry?

Excuse me?

St. Patrick's day - Irish.
Oktoberfest - German.
Not to mention the numerous Italian, Greek, and other ethnic celebrations held around the country. All of which are of European ancestry.

Tom - N8ECW

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2560. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <s896a5e0eh6112@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

If you only want to work distant stations, it is proper to
replace "CQ Breaker" with "SkipLand, SkipLand, SkipLand, this
here's the Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) reading the mail."

nospam@nospam.com (M. Smith) wrote in <387BBA64.7610@nospam.com>:
>
>After restructuring it will be acceptable to initiate a QSO with the
>call, "CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... here it the Mighty
>Duck (FCC callsign), then "by for a call" or just plain "c'mon back".
>
>The Operating Staff recommends a 3X2 CQ call repeating as necessary to
>avoid band congestion; CQ Breaker X 3 and Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) X
>2.
>
>It's up to the individual good buddy/ham if they want to include the
>frequency---just use three digits of the frequency ie. 14,213 would be
>213 and then make a call like, "Breaker! Breaker! 213 how copy the
>Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) c'mon back"
>
>It is preferable that signal strengths use the 'Pound System' like S9
>plus 20 pounds, "Yo puttin' S9 plus 20 pounds on my radio." There is a
>logic to the 'Pound System'---remember when you heard hams say, "Gawd,
>you're poundin' in here tonight Joe."
>
>Signal quality or audio quality would be given in terms of kilohertz
>bandwidth; "Ok roger, your afterburner and power mike putting in S9
>plus 20 pounds AND... 10 k's wide---doin' a fine job c'mon back"
>
>I'm sure there are plenty more other can add to the list but I'm still
>learnin' up
>
>

2561. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <862d8kjls1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121173

In article <8622051nmg@enews3.newsguy.com>,
tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <20000117210427.27882.00000988@nso-fi.aol.com>,
rfenforcer@aol.com
> says...
> >
> >In article <38837930.0@nntp2.borg.com>, "Joe Malloy"
<jmalloy@borg.com>
> writes:
> >
> >>One of the benefits of the white majority in this country is found
in not
> >>having to rally around some "month," "week," or "break." Whites
don't have
> >>to -- *every* "month," "week," or "break" is
a "white" "month," "week," or
> >>"break." Blacks or other minorities are forced into "otherness"
and as a
> >>result have "months," "weeks," or "breaks" defined narrowly. In a
more
> >>perfect society, such distinctions will disappear; unfortunately,
we're not
> >>there yet.
> >
> >You fail to answer my question. Why is it acceptable and encouraged
for every
> >minority group in this country to celebrate their culture and their
history,
> >except for those of European (white) ancestry?
>
> Excuse me?
>
> St. Patrick's day - Irish.
> Oktoberfest - German.
> Not to mention the numerous Italian, Greek, and other ethnic
celebrations held
> around the country. All of which are of European ancestry.
>
> Tom - N8ECW
>
You forgot COLUMBUS Day

> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2562. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Lewallen <lewallen@netdot.com>
Message-ID: <B4A9FE55.3918%lewallen@netdot.com>
Source record: 121174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

All,

As a newbie to Amateur radio (I got my Technician ticket in November)
please allow me to add my two cents to this discussion.

I am 30 years old and I have been working as a Systems Engineer for a
computer company here in Texas.

I have always had an interest in Ham radio but never had the time to
dedicate to the hobby and frankly Morse didn't really float my boat.

My original intentions were to get the Tech class and stay there but after I
got involved with a local Ham group, ARRL and the local Skywarn chapter I
have reconsidered.

This hobby is quite interesting and most importantly - challenging. I am
looking forward to getting my brain wrapped around radio theory, antenna
design, etc.

I would think that each license level is an entrance to the next level of
operation - a stepping stone to greater things. Learning new things is what
keeps your mind sharp and your spirit excited. I know that is how I feel.
I love talking with the "old timers" and learning from them.

Don't get so wrapped up in the politics of the FCC that you lose site of a
fun hobby!

Replies and advice can be sent to KD5ING@hotmail.com

Thanks,
Tim Lewallen
KD5ING

> From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
> Organization: The Bee's Knees
> Reply-To: kd7bfx@mindspring.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,r
> ec.radio.amateur.policy
> Date: Sat, 15 Jan 2000 03:45:46 -0700
> Subject: Re: The END of Ham Radio
>
> And it is a virtual (no pun intended) certainty that in 50 years a
> current MSEE will be as relevant to communications electronics as a ham
> ticket is today. . .
>

> Will
> KD7BFX
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
>>>
>> A ham radio license is only the starting point. Hopefully,
>> a
>>>> person will learn more after being licensed. But for many, the
>>>> achievement of the license, is acknowlegement that they've reached a
>>>> milestone in their goals. Life is but a series of goals. Why diminish
>>>> the value of someone's goals?
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>
>>
>>> Totally irrelevant.....a ham radio license is of no commercial use.
>>
>> It's a certainty that it will never again be, but it has
>> not always been so. As a high school kid I got a job after
>> hours repairing radios and TVs *ONLY* because I was a ham. I
>> got into military electronics, and graduated near the top of
>> my electronics school class, because I was a ham. Later I
>> got a GOOD technical job in radio - because I was a ham and
>> had used that fact to build my resume.
>>
>>> This is *Amateur* radio, not commercial/professional/broadcast/PCS
>>> whatever.
>>
>> Yep. Only a mere shell of its former self.
>>
>>
>>> The license is NOT a graduation certificate, but rather an entrance
>>> permit to the hobby/service.
>>
>> You jokers have regurgitated that tired old bromide until
>> even the kids at the FCC believe it, now. But Hey, what do
>> they know, they just work there, right?
>>
>> If you prefer to view your EXTRA class license as an
>> "entrance permit" to the hobby, it tells me that you got it
>> for free. Most of us did not, and DO NOT. The FCC, at least
>> 30 years ago, considered an EXTRA class licensee to be the
>> only expert class of ham. The fact that he was knowledgeable
>> enough to score a passing grade on their tests was proof
>> enough.
>>
>> Congratulations on changing their minds. Henceforth there
>> will never be anything other than "entry level" class hams

>> licensed. And that's exactly where most of them will stay.

2563. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.H. Parsons" <chparsons@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <DZ1h4.143$pC.8674@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:s896a5e0eh6112@corp.supernews.com...
> If you only want to work distant stations, it is proper to
> replace "CQ Breaker" with "SkipLand, SkipLand, SkipLand, this
> here's the Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) reading the mail."
>
>
> nospam@nospam.com (M. Smith) wrote in <387BBA64.7610@nospam.com>:
> >
> >After restructuring it will be acceptable to initiate a QSO with the
> >call, "CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... here it the Mighty
> >Duck (FCC callsign), then "by for a call" or just plain "c'mon back".
> >
> >The Operating Staff recommends a 3X2 CQ call repeating as necessary to
> >avoid band congestion; CQ Breaker X 3 and Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) X
> >2.
> >
> >It's up to the individual good buddy/ham if they want to include the
> >frequency---just use three digits of the frequency ie. 14,213 would be
> >213 and then make a call like, "Breaker! Breaker! 213 how copy the
> >Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) c'mon back"
> >
> >It is preferable that signal strengths use the 'Pound System' like S9
> >plus 20 pounds, "Yo puttin' S9 plus 20 pounds on my radio." There is a
> >logic to the 'Pound System'---remember when you heard hams say, "Gawd,
> >you're poundin' in here tonight Joe."
> >
> >Signal quality or audio quality would be given in terms of kilohertz
> >bandwidth; "Ok roger, your afterburner and power mike putting in S9
> >plus 20 pounds AND... 10 k's wide---doin' a fine job c'mon back"
> >
> >I'm sure there are plenty more other can add to the list but I'm still
> >learnin' up
> >
> >
>

2564. Re: Source for Fritzel Antennas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Muth <wmuth@rbwelektronik.de>
Message-ID: <3884AB04.7EC01247@rbwelektronik.de>
Source record: 121176

Hi

Fritzel (Call DJ2XH?) died in 1998 I think

Now you get the antennas here in Germany from HOFI (have a look on)

73

Walter

DK1BN

wd1v@amsat.org wrote::

> I have a good friend looking for a Fritzel. The US Distributor
> folded his tent. Any ideas where he could get one? Know the call
> sign of Fritzel?
>
> John D. Seney
> wd1v@amsat.org ï¿½ http://people.ne.mediaone.net/wd1v
> Amateur Radio FAQ ï¿½ Macnet ï¿½ Oscilloscope FAQ

2565. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7001F792E9449D7C.26352D91D87383D6.57F4E0CE76764706@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Know an awful lot about CB lingo? Hmmmmm, how'd ya learn it? Where'd ya hear it? How often ya use it? Hmmmm???? Did you "change" your style of communication when you moved over to the ham radio side? Don't you think others can/will also? I know I did....

Kim W5TIT

C.H. Parsons <chparsons@uswest.net> wrote in article
<DZ1h4.143$pC.8674@news.uswest.net>...
> WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
> news:s896a5e0eh6112@corp.supernews.com...
> > If you only want to work distant stations, it is proper to
> > replace "CQ Breaker" with "SkipLand, SkipLand, SkipLand, this
> > here's the Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) reading the mail."
> >
> >
> > nospam@nospam.com (M. Smith) wrote in <387BBA64.7610@nospam.com>:
> > >
> > >After restructuring it will be acceptable to initiate a QSO with the
> > >call, "CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... here it the Mighty
> > >Duck (FCC callsign), then "by for a call" or just plain "c'mon back".
> > >
> > >The Operating Staff recommends a 3X2 CQ call repeating as necessary to
> > >avoid band congestion; CQ Breaker X 3 and Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) X
> > >2.
> > >
> > >It's up to the individual good buddy/ham if they want to include the
> > >frequency---just use three digits of the frequency ie. 14,213 would be
> > >213 and then make a call like, "Breaker! Breaker! 213 how copy the
> > >Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) c'mon back"
> > >
> > >It is preferable that signal strengths use the 'Pound System' like S9
> > >plus 20 pounds, "Yo puttin' S9 plus 20 pounds on my radio." There is a
> > >logic to the 'Pound System'---remember when you heard hams say, "Gawd,
> > >you're poundin' in here tonight Joe."
> > >
> > >Signal quality or audio quality would be given in terms of kilohertz
> > >bandwidth; "Ok roger, your afterburner and power mike putting in S9
> > >plus 20 pounds AND... 10 k's wide---doin' a fine job c'mon back"
> > >
> > >I'm sure there are plenty more other can add to the list but I'm still
> > >learnin' up

> > >

2566. Re: ICOM Users net 14.317 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: f1dfr@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <862jhkohb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121178

In article <b0_g4.593$3D5.12440@news2.nokia.com>,
"Greg Boren" <Gregory.Boren@nokia.com> wrote:
> Hi all! I've been trying to hear the Icom users net Sundays on 14.317
for
> some time but there is always a carrier slightly above the freq,
> (14.31716??) here in Dallas area. I have eliminated local
interference,
> tried different radios and have also heard same tone on a trip to San
> Antonio Texas. Anyone else hear this signal or know the source?????
>
> Thanks
> Greg/N5SKE
>
>
Every PC xmits on 14.318 MHz (+/- 1 KHz) ...

JM F1DFR

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2567. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <66D319C9C64513FD.A8E84B1AFACFF232.5764D2124E3D87DE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah, that's it....LOL....attack the callsign or some other little trivial thing when a nerve gets hit....yeah, there ya go! :)

Kim W5TIT

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in article
<7j3h4.207$n77.1590@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>...
> You DID, Tit?
> I knew very few CB'rs who'd lower their selves as far as you did with that
> 'handle' (which most vanity-calls are nothing but)...
>
> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7001F792E9449D7C.26352D91D87383D6.57F4E0CE76764706@lp.airnews.net...
> > Know an awful lot about CB lingo? Hmmmmm, how'd ya learn it? Where'd ya
> hear
> > it? How often ya use it? Hmmmm???? Did you "change" your style of
> > communication when you moved over to the ham radio side? Don't you think
> > others can/will also? I know I did....
>
>
>
>

2568. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3884CBBD.26180A1A@innocent.com>
Source record: 121180

The Chief wrote:
>
[...]
> > >You fail to answer my question. Why is it acceptable and encouraged
> for every
> > >minority group in this country to celebrate their culture and their
> history,
> > >except for those of European (white) ancestry?
> >
> > Excuse me?
> >
> > St. Patrick's day - Irish.
> > Oktoberfest - German.
> > Not to mention the numerous Italian, Greek, and other ethnic
> celebrations held
> > around the country. All of which are of European ancestry.
> >
> > Tom - N8ECW
> >
> You forgot COLUMBUS Day
[...]

And if all the celebrants were permitted, even encouraged, to
emphasize explicitly that these are celebrations by, about, and for
White people, then you would have a point.

Happy hamming,

--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

2569. Re: Posts with Pazzazz vs. Board Boredom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6639-3884C447-30@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121181

Dan, It'll be my pleasure. I miss the ham radio outlet for discussion. This time I am going to make some changes: no response to insults/polarity, and no involvement in the broadcast issue (I realize K1MAN is not doing it at this time).

73,

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

2570. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sasquatch <Sasquatch-Trucking@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <862hoe$9h0$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Just like we talked about Kim,same old thing day in day out,
"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:66D319C9C64513FD.A8E84B1AFACFF232.5764D2124E3D87DE@lp.airnews.net...
> Yeah, that's it....LOL....attack the callsign or some other little trivial
> thing when a nerve gets hit....yeah, there ya go! :)
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in article
> <7j3h4.207$n77.1590@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>...
> > You DID, Tit?
> > I knew very few CB'rs who'd lower their selves as far as you did with
that
> > 'handle' (which most vanity-calls are nothing but)...
> >
> > "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >
news:7001F792E9449D7C.26352D91D87383D6.57F4E0CE76764706@lp.airnews.net...
> > > Know an awful lot about CB lingo? Hmmmmm, how'd ya learn it? Where'd
ya
> > hear
> > > it? How often ya use it? Hmmmm???? Did you "change" your style of
> > > communication when you moved over to the ham radio side? Don't you
think
> > > others can/will also? I know I did....
> >
> >
> >
> >

2571. Re: CB Radio now dead? Its headed that way.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gaetan <ve3azq@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <7a4h4.120$y.794@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 121183

CB is still fun and usefull .!!
I always travel with my 2 meter rig and 11 Meter rig and there is a lot more
fun on cb bands than 2 meter White collar nec tie .!!
Gaetan

Dan K Nelson wrote in message ...
>
>Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
>news:200001160743.CAA11532@cotse.com...
>> This message was posted anonymously:
>>
>> Guess CB radio is toast. With the new ham rules coming up
>> soon, most of the CBers will be migrating to the other
>> high frequency bands. Only ones that will be left will be
>> the truckers. Possibly it might become a fun place to
>> operate again.
>>
>
>This Reply was NOT posted anonymously:
>
>No way. CB'ers are CB'ers. If they were going to get a license at all, they
>would have at least gotten a no-code tech. If they didn't want to put forth
>the effort for that, what makes you think they will all of a sudden decide
>to put out more effort to learn CW and take a more difficult written test ?
>
>If the day ever comes that they can get a license with no effort at all,
>maybe it will happen. But until then they will, for the most part, remain
on
>the chicken band.
>
>73 de W6DKN
>
>
>
>

2572. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <862jtt$l80@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 121184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
[snip]
: During the 50s when SSB was finding its way into ham radio, there was no
: pub one could turn to to learn about this new mode except the League's SSB
: Manual. Also during that decade the FCC relaxed the regs regarding mobile
: operation - no one else published a mobile manual except the League
: (1955).

Jef, we've had this discussion on the NG;

Not true. I've already posted titles/authors of several books for amateurs
of that era that had information equivalent or better than the handbook.

One that imediately comes to mind is the Collins "Fundamentals of SSB"
book that is on my book shelf. CQ magazine had a "Technical Series" that
covered much the same material as many of the ARRL books. RCA had a series
of booklets called "Ham Tips" that were a combination of theory and
builders guides (and for -=FREE=- too!).

: To whom could you turn to for the great ideas and techniques that appear
: in the Hints and Kinks booklets? Propagation and antennas: The Antenna
: Manual. Who else in the 60s produced a VHF Manual? And when that VHF
: crowd began exploring FM: The FM and Repeater Manual. The very first
: book in the 80s devoted to amateur digital comms was the League's Get
: Connected.

CQ Magazine, "CQ Anthology I/II", "Understanding Microwaves", "Radio
Amateurs Mobile Handbook", "New Sideband Handbook" both by Bill Orr, VHF
Magazine, RCA, VHF Handbook, "VHF for the Radio Amateur", Collins Radio,
etc etc.

There were -many- publishing houses OTHER than the ARRL. Your loyalty to
the ARRL is comendable but inacurate. Sorry.

: Publishing affordable technical books was one of the original goals in the
: League's charter; for 85 years they've made information available to the
: layman that was nearly impossible to find elsewhere. How in the world
: could you possibly find fault with this business side of the League?

Well they are supposed to be "non-profit" ;-) Actually I find fault
with you implying they were the ONLY ones publishing books for hams.

: Every ham shack I've ever visited in the last 30 years has had many
: League pubs on its bookshelves. We are (or at least were) a group with
: a voracious appetite for reading and learning. Luckily, the League has
: been able to feed us what we most desired.

True, but have you visted a ham shack that was started in the 1940-50s
that STILL has the books that were used -then-? Many books NOT by the ARRL
will be found.

: Ham radio and reading are inseparable; and it takes a publishing business
: to do what the League has accomplished.

Agreed. But the ARRL isn't/wasn't the ONLY one! Try "RF Design Magazine",
lots of articles have ham calls in the bylines.

: 73, Jeff KH6O
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

2573. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "DR.KAVORKIAN" <peekaboo@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <862kklmqe1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Why try to fit in? why not just be yourself?

"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7001F792E9449D7C.26352D91D87383D6.57F4E0CE76764706@lp.airnews.net...
> Know an awful lot about CB lingo? Hmmmmm, how'd ya learn it? Where'd
ya hear
> it? How often ya use it? Hmmmm???? Did you "change" your style of
> communication when you moved over to the ham radio side? Don't you
think
> others can/will also? I know I did....
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> C.H. Parsons <chparsons@uswest.net> wrote in article
> <DZ1h4.143$pC.8674@news.uswest.net>...
> > WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
> > news:s896a5e0eh6112@corp.supernews.com...
> > > If you only want to work distant stations, it is proper to
> > > replace "CQ Breaker" with "SkipLand, SkipLand, SkipLand, this
> > > here's the Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) reading the mail."
> > >
> > >
> > > nospam@nospam.com (M. Smith) wrote in <387BBA64.7610@nospam.com>:
> > > >
> > > >After restructuring it will be acceptable to initiate a QSO with
the
> > > >call, "CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... here it the Mighty
> > > >Duck (FCC callsign), then "by for a call" or just plain "c'mon
back".
> > > >
> > > >The Operating Staff recommends a 3X2 CQ call repeating as necessary
to
> > > >avoid band congestion; CQ Breaker X 3 and Mighty Duck (FCC
callsign) X
> > > >2.
> > > >
> > > >It's up to the individual good buddy/ham if they want to include
the
> > > >frequency---just use three digits of the frequency ie. 14,213 would
be
> > > >213 and then make a call like, "Breaker! Breaker! 213 how copy the

> > > >Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) c'mon back"
> > > >
> > > >It is preferable that signal strengths use the 'Pound System' like
S9
> > > >plus 20 pounds, "Yo puttin' S9 plus 20 pounds on my radio." There
is a
> > > >logic to the 'Pound System'---remember when you heard hams say,
"Gawd,
> > > >you're poundin' in here tonight Joe."
> > > >
> > > >Signal quality or audio quality would be given in terms of
kilohertz
> > > >bandwidth; "Ok roger, your afterburner and power mike putting in S9
> > > >plus 20 pounds AND... 10 k's wide---doin' a fine job c'mon back"
> > > >
> > > >I'm sure there are plenty more other can add to the list but I'm
still
> > > >learnin' up
> > > >

2574. Re: FS: Kenwood AT-250 Antenna tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gaetan <ve3azq@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <tL4h4.558$9o.771@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 121186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello all,
Have the above for sale.!
Condition is very nice and if you open the unit, it looks like NEW inside.!
Works perfect and will ship for 160.00US Cosmetic you judge :
http://www3.sympatico.ca/ve3azq/at-250.jpg

Gaetan ve3azq

2575. Re:FS:Kenwood AT-230 Antenna Tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gaetan <ve3azq@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <iY4h4.564$9o.498@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 121187

Hello All,
Have the above for sale in nice condition in and out.!
Covers all Ham bands + WARC Cosmetic is nice.! Check at :
http://www3.sympatico.ca/ve3azq/at-230.jpg
http://www3.sympatico.ca/ve3azq/at-230_1.jpg

73,Gaetan ve3azq

2576. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@mail.firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <3884DBE4.7911@mail.firstinter.net>
Source record: 121188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> > Ham radio and reading are inseparable; and it takes a publishing business
> > to do what the League has accomplished.
>
> Unlike a real publishing business, the League can keep the prices low
> and still generate some revenues over expenses. Unlike a real
> publishing house, those revenues are not used to pay dividends to
> stockholders, but are used to fund things that cannot and do not
> generate revenues.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ahem! What is your definition of "Low" Ed? There are several League publications that, when I walked into HRO, they told me to put up my hands, this was a robbery. :)

Rick T.

2577. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEP <transoman#@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <862n77$qd7@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 121189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And why are the CD versions more money when cost should be much less?

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote in message <3884DBE4.7911@mail.firstinter.net>...
>Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>> > Ham radio and reading are inseparable; and it takes a publishing
business
>> > to do what the League has accomplished.
>>
>> Unlike a real publishing business, the League can keep the prices low
>> and still generate some revenues over expenses. Unlike a real
>> publishing house, those revenues are not used to pay dividends to
>> stockholders, but are used to fund things that cannot and do not
>> generate revenues.
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Ahem! What is your definition of "Low" Ed? There are several League
>publications that, when I walked into HRO, they told me to put up my
>hands, this was a robbery. :)
>
>Rick T.

2578. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3884D107.15D1@no.net>
Source record: 121190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>
> Yeah, that's it....LOL....attack the callsign or some other little trivial
> thing when a nerve gets hit....yeah, there ya go! :)
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
Maybe the only way she can have any is to put them in her callsign.

2579. logging software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: keith duffy <keith.g0vcl@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <862oglcmd1@nclient13-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 121191

amlog logging program for windows , loads of work put into this program. so it is worth a look even for your comments.(good or bad)
program designed by Eric gaze G8NKA DARLINGTON NORTH EAST UK.
http://freespace.virgin.net/keith.g0vcl/amlog4.htm
--
thank's for reading my mail
g0vcl@qsl.net
http://www.qsl.net/g0vcl
icq 59259529

2580. Re: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Swan <mjswan@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <862pa4$b13$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121192

Missy <missy21O@nospm.mailcity.com> wrote:
> The next step is to call your local police, call your
> lawyer, and then get a restraining order issued
> against him. Don't mess around. This kind of
> stuff cannot be tolerated and must be dealt with
> immediately.

Excellent advice. If you feel the threats are serious, don't delay in
getting the situation legally documented.

Mike

2581. Re: NEW VEC EXAM QUESTIONS OUT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred211@slr.net
Message-ID: <862ofn$1di5@edrn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121193

Sorry, Brian, but there WAS once a day when ONE General could administer a Technician exam. But then, you wouldn't remember that. Bet you don't remember Richard Nixon, either.

In article <38844B54.2F78323B@icss.net>, Brian says...
>
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
>> In rec.radio.swap MIKE (707) no4n4x4@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >Be nice Gary... I remember a few years back when a guy could buy a couple of
>> >*Generals* a 12-pack of beer, and a couple of pizzas and miraculously
>> >"pass" the technician test. Hmmm... I wonder how many of those
>> >"technicians" can find the ass-end of a diode! :>)
>>
>> But of course you'd be hard-pressed to name names, callsigns, and dates.
>>
>> Jeff
>
>I think we just found the "ass end" of Mike.
>
>His story doesn't work - i.e., couldn't happen. Tech exams were never given by
>two Generals. He's probably thinking of the Novice exam - prior to folding it
>under the umbrella of the VE system. Today it would take 3 VE Generals, and
>another six pack and another pizza.
>
>
>
>

2582. 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Igniti 02:01" <corellos@aol.com>
Message-ID: <MY6h4.3459$eX.83821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121194

Check out the new 73 Magazine.

No, I won't reproduce it here.

(Go out and buy a copy - don't be a "cheapie")

Wayne comments on 3.950 in it.

2583. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <862u3avks1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121195

How about Halloween? Isn't that of Eur. white ancestry and a more stupid ghoulish event has never been invented. How about Christmas? It never was celebrated in the Middle East but did originate in Europe. And Easter too. How about Cheesehead Day in Green Bay to honor the Swiss whose claim to fame after 2000 years of peace is the cuckoo clock? Real geniuses those Alpine yodelers. Take a look in your encyclopaedias and I'll bet St..Valentine's Day was a white Eur. invention. Is the guy intimating that he's white European heritage and being discriminated against? I'm German-Austrian-Hungarian and I don't feel that way and furthermore when I see black Americans being able to honor a leader such as M.L.K., that tells me America's working fine. This is a land for ALL; it was designed that way and it must be kept that way. Our strength lies in our diversity and I'm white by chanceand so is every other white man or woman in the world. So get off your high horses, pompous arrogant and bigoted whiteys. We're in the minority in this world and don't forget it.
AF2Y

In article <3884CBBD.26180A1A@innocent.com>, Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:

>The Chief wrote:
>>
>[...]
>> > >You fail to answer my question. Why is it acceptable and encouraged
>> for every
>> > >minority group in this country to celebrate their culture and their
>> history,
>> > >except for those of European (white) ancestry?
>> >
>> > Excuse me?
>> >
>> > St. Patrick's day - Irish.
>> > Oktoberfest - German.
>> > Not to mention the numerous Italian, Greek, and other ethnic
>> celebrations held
>> > around the country. All of which are of European ancestry.
>> >
>> > Tom - N8ECW
>> >
>> You forgot COLUMBUS Day
>[...]
>
>
>

>
>
>And if all the celebrants were permitted, even encouraged, to
>emphasize explicitly that these are celebrations by, about, and for
>White people, then you would have a point.
>
>
>
>
>Happy hamming,
>
>
>
>
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

2584. WTB: parts for Mitrek uhf radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dennis A. Homerick" <w8nw@richnet.net>
Message-ID: <3884FFBA.DDBB7F09@richnet.net>
Source record: 121196

Thanks for reading this message. I have a 75w UHF Mitrek with a blowen

final transistor. Need a replacement!!! Also need a 71.9 decorder reed

(kln-6209a) for same radio.

thanks
Dennis, w8nw

2585. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <862ub9dqc1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

George Bush is just another wannabe who wants to be kingpin but will
lose to Al Gore because Americans are too smart to vote out prosperity.

In article <ay1h4.42$n77.607@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com>
wrote:

>Why bother repeating something we've been hearing for 8yrs (or too darned
>neare it, anyway)?...
>
>"AF2Y_RULES" <d_ranged@nutcase.net> wrote in message
>news:38848E6B.3A92C97A@nutcase.net...
>> I didn't vote for Clinton and am glad of it. Too bad he did win
>> without a majority. Just shows what Ross did to take the election
>> away from George Sr.
>
>
>

2586. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <862urh$730$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

To the Clinton bashers I say this: you're ALL THE SAME. None of you ever gives credit to Clinton for anything positive that's happened here since Jan.20,1993 but every one of you would blame him for anything gone sour. It's the same garbage I've been hearing since day one out of the mouth of fatso Rush and copied by pea brains nationwide. Remember this: Clinton's been such an INEFFECTIVE Prez that Gore will be elected by promising to continue with specific programs Bill helped push through Congress.

In article <38848E6B.3A92C97A@nutcase.net>, AF2Y_RULES
<d_ranged@nutcase.net> wrote:

>I didn't vote for Clinton and am glad of it. Too bad he did win
>without a majority. Just shows what Ross did to take the election
>away from George Sr.
>
>
>
>D
>
>Ross Archer wrote:
>>
>> As soon as you credit Jimmy Carter's Administration
>> and policies for the entire boom of the 80's, under your
>> bizarre "economic lag" theory,
>> then I'll gladly allow Reagan/Bush to take
>> credit for the boom of the 90's. You can't have it both
>> ways. If you are like 99% of all so-called
>> Conservatives, you were trumpeting the success of
>> Reagonomics during said 1980's. I repeat, you can't
>> have it both ways.
>>
>> Fact is, there's nothing except your wishful thinking
>> to back up the idea that economic conditions can "lag"
>> major policy changes by as much as eight years.
>> Money supply changes, tax changes, energy price
>> spikes (that's what hurt Carter), all have relatively
>> immediate consequences.
>>
>> The voters looked at conditions and decided to credit
>> Clinton's administration for them.
>>
>> My point stands. The American public is not stupid,
>> and a great deal less deluded than your typical gun-nut.

>>
>> Dan K Nelson wrote:
>>
>> > Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
>> > news:388149CC.D51B37F8@topnow.com...
>> >
>> > > Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
>> > > figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
>> > > stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created.
>> >
>> > Our economy is the direct result of "Reagonomics". The effect of any major
>> > economic policy shift takes several years to manifest itself to the common
>> > man. All Clinton did was take credit for the conditions he inherited.
>> >
>> > >Real wages are going up for the first time since 1973. (Put another way,
>> > real >wages were going down during the whole so-called boom of the 80's.)
>> >
>> > Again, the cause and effect of "Reagonomics", private enterprise in the
>> > TelCom and computer technologies,and the fact that Congress has kept Clinton
>> > from doing any real harm, like Socialized medicine, etc. The current danger
>> > lies in the fact that those in the White House stay up nights trying to
>> > figure out how they can control, regulate, and tax these new found sources
>> > of private wealth.
>> >
>> > >American productivity is the envy of the world.
>> >
>> > Again because of the private sector innovation. Nothing the government runs
>> > is either cost effective or productive.
>> >
>> > > The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!
>> >
>> > You would worry too if you knew that you could not back the currency you
>> > print with anything of real value. The country was taken off the gold
>> > standard by the Government to make it easy for them to manipulate the value
>> > of paper money to hide their deficit spending. But it's a "house of cards"
>> > and if they lose control the scheme will be exposed with drastic results.
>> >
>> > > Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>> >
>> > Which proves the Clinton gun grabbers have a hidden agenda. The violent
>> > crime rate is declining because the current generation of criminals are
>> > growing older - out of the target age group for violent crime. However, the
>> > next generation is just now coming into this target age group (18 - 35).
>> > With the past 8 years of liberal BS in the court system, the after effects
>> > will begin to be felt in the coming years.
>> >
>> > > Welfare roles are shrinking.
>> >
>> > Because many states took it upon themselves to reduce or eliminate their
>> > welfare programs. You can thank the conservative Congress for that one - in

>> > spite of all the roadblocks Clinton tried to put in place to stop them.
>> >
>> > > Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
>> >
>> > Sure, he's an effective lier, he's effective in taking credit for other's
>> > work, he's effective in diverting the public's attention from his criminal
>> > actions, he's effective in causing major damage to our military, he's
>> > effective in making the Office of the President a joke to the rest of the
>> > world, etc. How much more of this "effectiveness" can the country take ?
>> >
>> > > So, looking at the "bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
>> > > it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.
>> >
>> > Who doesn't ? It's just unfortunate that many were hoodwinked into thinking
>> > Clinton was responsible for any of it.
>> >
>> > > Dole was a spokesman for the old failed ways.
>> >
>> > Sure, he represented those old failed values like honesty, integrety,
>> > respect for his country, the people, the military, and the office of the
>> > President.
>> >
>> > > The American public is not dumb at all.
>> >
>> > Not dumb, just misinformed. The majority just receive their daily
>> > programming via the media and takes it as Gospel. They have lost the desire
>> > and/or ability to analyze and differentiate between truth and "Spin".
>> >
>> > 73 de W6DKN

2587. 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <862vbm$eq7$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121199

Even though I say hello to the guys on 14.275, 14.313 has been my home since 1989 and I intend keeping it that way. There is no reason why Polly, "Badblood," Queenie the Weenie" and other assorted nuts and bolts should hold sway over my frequency, therefore I am asking if they will vacate immediately and QSY to allow me to show off my CLOUDWARMER.
Doc.AF2Y

2588. Re: loop antenna resonance vs. PVC enclosure??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Farrington <farringr@std.teradyne.com>
Message-ID: <38850245.185666E1@std.teradyne.com>
Source record: 121200

"Thomas C. Sefranek" wrote:

> Sjcduke wrote:
> > I have a square loop of bare copper 18 gauge wire.
> > Thru the use of a grid dip meter, I have determined that the loop is resonant
> > at 145.710 Mhz.
> > If I run this wire thru PVC tubing of approx. 3/4 inch inside diameter, will
> > the resonant freq of the loop rise, or will the resonant freq decrease?
> > Would the change be a tiny change.......such as now resonant at 146.000
> > Mhz......or would the change in resonant freq be much more........such as a
> > change of several Mhz??
> I would not expect any change.
> Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
> ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.

I would expect a very small change, downward in frequency. The PVC has a higher dielectric constant than air, but there is more air around the wire than PVC.

BTW, how big is the square? Sound like a nice DF antenna.

Bob Farrington
Aging Engineer

2589. Ebay item. Tube related

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: yodabean1@yahoo.com.nospamplease
Message-ID: <dj1a8s4luspj4tp06in1e2gs4ha183129r@4ax.com>
Source record: 121201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi;

Repair shops / tube audio gurus / radio buffs take a look!

One lot of tube shields for the 9 pin miniature tubes (12ax7,
12au7...etc) these are the twist-lock spring-loaded type used in
radio and tube audio gear.

There are over 300 in the lot.

Stock up now!

Don't miss out on this one! Only 22 hours left to bid!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236415863

2590. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: JEP <transoman#@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8630nc$564@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 121202

Wish I could buy a copy but it's not been in our local newsstands for 2
years.

Igniti 02:01 wrote in message ...
>Check out the new 73 Magazine.
>
>No, I won't reproduce it here.
>
>(Go out and buy a copy - don't be a "cheapie")
>
>Wayne comments on 3.950 in it.
>
>

2591. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <0237dd28.821f317a@usw-ex0101-004.remarq.com>
Source record: 121203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL, GOT THE PEDAL TO THE METAL,
PUT THE HAMMER DOWN, I DO BELIEVE WE GOT US A CONVOY!!!!!

(Jerry Reed, A.K.A. JerrReed, the up and coming SPOKESPERSON
for the HF cesspool!!!)

OOoooooeeeee! Am I gald I take the VHF/UHF highroad. "Don't
ever go North of Mockingbird Lane, or lower than 50MHz..."

(I done been learned my CB lingos from them movie pitchers
on the TeeVee.)

In article <DZ1h4.143$pC.8674@news.uswest.net>, "C.H. Parsons"
<chparsons@uswest.net> wrote:
> WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2592. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred211@slr.net
Message-ID: <862vut$1tbe@edrn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 121204

In article <3884CBBD.26180A1A@innocent.com>, Kevin says...
>
>The Chief wrote:
>>
>[...]
>> > >You fail to answer my question. Why is it acceptable and encouraged
>> for every
>> > >minority group in this country to celebrate their culture and their
>> history,
>> > >except for those of European (white) ancestry?
>> >
>> > Excuse me?
>> >
>> > St. Patrick's day - Irish.
>> > Oktoberfest - German.
>> > Not to mention the numerous Italian, Greek, and other ethnic
>> celebrations held
>> > around the country. All of which are of European ancestry.
>> >
>> > Tom - N8ECW
>> >
>> You forgot COLUMBUS Day
>[...]
>
>
>
>
>
>And if all the celebrants were permitted, even encouraged, to
>emphasize explicitly that these are celebrations by, about, and for
>White people, then you would have a point.
>
>
>
>
>Happy hamming,
>
>
>
>
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>

>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

Your point would also be stronger if the Post Office, banks and gummint offices were closed on all of the "European holidays" that you mention.

Fred.

2593. Re: A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy George <munsch@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <38851bf8_4@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Well, you need the two books that were written by the fellow who invented radio astronomy. "Antennas", and "Electromagnetics" by John D. Kraus, W8JK

--
Crazy George

2594. Re: NCI files Comments supporting ARRL Petition for Reconsideration on "PRB-1"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s8a86955eh6152@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3881c04b.729204@news.dvol.com...
> >
> >Please provide a quote where I said any such thing ... I have
> >REPEATEDLY stated that I have no agenda to, nor would I
> >support, ANY move to ban the use of Morse (your "traditional"
> >mode, per your own comments).
> >
> Then why did you and Coffman come up with your utterly brilliant
> concepts of HF cw contesting via digital "simulation" vs. using
> existing band space for the existing analog cw mode?

Because it would work much better and provide far more
capacity in the same amount of spectrum ...
That doesn't constitute a proposal to ban the use of
conventional OOK Morse ... just a strawman design
for a system which could have the "look and feel"
(same user interface) as OOK Morse, yet work better.

What do you have against better?

I'm not going to argue bandplanning with you because
I don't really favor the segregation of modes by sub-bands.
Most of the nations of the world have no such arbitrary
balkanization of their bands ... they let the operators choose
their mode and frequency ... and the world doesn't seem
to have suffered from it ...

> >I have made mention of the fact that the FCC has mandated
> >spectral efficency standards in the commercial services and
> >they could well slap the same requirements on the ARS if we
> >continue to waste huge amounts of VHF/UHF spectrum with
> >inefficient FM repeaters, many or which lie dormant 95% or
> >more of the time, yet "occupy" a channel (pair) to the exclusion
> >of other uses.
> >
> VHF/UHF is an entirely different topic.

Spectral efficiency is JUST as important at HF as at VHF/UHF,
perhaps even more so, since our HF bands are small by comparision
to the VHF/UHF bands.
```

> >Those comments were intended to stimulate
> >thought about adopting new technologies before they are
> >forced on us by the FCC, with a possible loss of amateur
> >spectrum as another threat.
> >
> The FCC is not going to mandate any ham modes. It's not a matter of
> spectral efficiency, it's a matter of utilization and the results of
> that utilization. If a lack of band occupancy makes it obvious that
> any underutilized space would better serve the public by being handed
> off to some other service then so be it and we did it to ourselves.
> Technological changes won't increase the number of guys using two
> meters and/or increase the value of ham radio to the public.

Technological changes could INDEED increase the number of people
using 2m ... for example, moving forward from antiquated, wasteful
FM repeater operations (which in a vast majority of cases lie idle
90%+ or the time to the exclusion of other uses) could greatly increase
the REAL utilization of the band ... the same applies to the other VHF/UHF
bands.

> In fact, a high level of spectral efficiency might bring the FCC to
> the conclusion that they can compress our space without any loss of
> our benefit to the public and therefore take the unnesessary space
> away fron us on that basis.

You're assuming that no uses will "fill the void" ... I think that's an
absurd conclusion ...

73,

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOIUgspe+N6+q84HiEQJN6gCeMu/3WcnJqMTuDHRzgemUvGz3la8AoJWT
MfK0ZPIRTvFg9RbHiOVR+o/W
=/pSz
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2595. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <38852447.1EB7A2EE@preferred.com>
Source record: 121207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong, it does take years for ECONOMIC POLICIES to have their effect. Something like what happened to Carter with the energy crisis was NOT a slow shift, it happened pratically overnight with fuel prices almost tripling in a several month period. American wages didn't raise to cover that in the same time period. No, that took many years. Now we look at gas as being fairly cheap again, 20 years of wages increasing while gas prices remained in the same window.
Give it about 4-5 years until the full impact of Clinton-nomics hits. It is already being felt in my area.
The areas largest employer had it's first lay-off, all of it's new growth is in Mexico and the Far East. A number of smaller companies have completely shutdown operations here. Due to either going to Mexico, or can't compete with foreign made goods. Hell, Clinton wants Communist China accepted (remember the Seattle demostrations???). Why, Big buisness wants the cheap practicaly slave labor of Red China.
Hell, if I am not mistaken with the exception of Greeneville TN there are no direct view, crt, TV's made in the US anymore. And Philips was going to shut that down (Philips has several huge TV plants in Warez, Mexico), but did sale it off to a company who wants to do contract electronics manufacturing.
Plus, look at your employment figures, most of the jobs are low paying retail and fast food. Why do you think there is such a problem with medical insurance. These types of jobs carry no benefets like medical. All of the higher paying and good benefet manufacturing jobs are leaving.
There is even a controversy brewing over computer jobs, management claims there are not enough software people available so they want to import them. However research done by professsional groups conclude that it is a scam to get cheaper labor. Ya know, students from other countries who don't want to go back, so will take an engineering job at not much more then minimum wage to stay in the country...

Ross Archer wrote:
>
> As soon as you credit Jimmy Carter's Administration
> and policies for the entire boom of the 80's, under your
> bizarre "economic lag" theory,
> then I'll gladly allow Reagan/Bush to take
> credit for the boom of the 90's. You can't have it both
> ways. If you are like 99% of all so-called
> Conservatives, you were trumpeting the success of
> Reagonomics during said 1980's. I repeat, you can't
> have it both ways.

>
> Fact is, there's nothing except your wishful thinking
> to back up the idea that economic conditions can "lag"
> major policy changes by as much as eight years.
> Money supply changes, tax changes, energy price
> spikes (that's what hurt Carter), all have relatively
> immediate consequences.
>
> The voters looked at conditions and decided to credit
> Clinton's administration for them.
>
> My point stands. The American public is not stupid,
> and a great deal less deluded than your typical gun-nut.
>

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

2596. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Kay" <jonk@atlantic.net>
Message-ID: <38852EEC.7234DC4B@atlantic.net>
Source record: 121208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Let's remember that after all: "it's just a hobby", not your career. After all the discussions about ham radio being ruined, etc, you would think someone just lost an election.

2597. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38853BD8.655397C9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

&#65533;
:) I can hear her voice now... that's funny. So I go to cast my vote for a section manager and I realize I have a sweat ball on my nose!!

ddz

alice wrote:

> What's all this talk about secret erections!&#65533; I think they should be ashamed of
> themselves.
>
> With apologies to Rosanne Rosannadanna (Gilda Radner).

2598. Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-1801002141050001@209.163.129.80>
Source record: 121210

Having monitored and participated in this Newsgroup for about a month, I noticed that very few who post here, can actually spell. As well it seems as most fail miserably in the grammatical composition of simple sentances.

I question whether or not the FCC changed the speed requirement do to poor spelling, figuring that slower speeds may provide more time for accuracy. What is 20 WPM if the other party cannot comprehend what you are saying? People not associated with amateur radio often ask " why do you call yourselves "hams"" The reason is: half of the radio community cannot spell

amatuer
amateur
amatuere
armature

Deer John (call sign) here is the resestor you rekrested, 500 ohm, 5% tolorance hope the finale you working on works good win youre done.
Cincerly tecnishion joe

I resemble these remarks...:-)

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

2599. Re: Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3885449F.B1471D1F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121211

Perfidious wrote:

> Having monitored and participated in this Newsgroup for about a month, I
> noticed that very few who post here, can actually spell. As well it seems
> as most fail miserably in the grammatical composition of simple sentances.

I believe the word that eluded your spell checker was "sentences" :)
But since I know what you meant it, perhaps it's not so important.

ddz

2600. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38853742.6F0BAFCF@icss.net>
Source record: 121212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And TP stuck to your shoe.

Tim wrote:

> :) I can hear her voice now... that's funny. So I go to cast my vote for a section
> manager and I realize I have a sweat ball on my nose!!
>
> ddz
>
> alice wrote:
>
> > What's all this talk about secret erections! I think they should be ashamed of
> > themselves.
> >
> > With apologies to Rosanne Rosannadanna (Gilda Radner).

2601. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer and adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vVah4.5044$FS5.68163@news3.mia>
Source record: 121213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Well Said - me with you?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
geo_06492@my-deja.com wrote in message <86262e$dk4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <20000117124020.01397.00000951@nso-ch.aol.com>,
> rfenforcer@aol.com (RFEnforcer) wrote:
>> What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
>>
>> 1) Reading The Communist Manifesto
>>
>> 2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.
>>
>> 3) Wondering aloud why MLK's birthday is a paid national holiday
>while the
>> birthdays of George Washington and Abraham Lincoln are not.
>>
>> 4) Sending a donation to the Sons of the Confederacy in South
>Carolina to keep
>> radical black activists from removing the Stars & Bars atop the
>Statehouse.
>>
>> 5) Wondering why we can have "Black History Month", "Black Pride
>Week", "Black
>> Spring Break", etc...but not "WHITE History Month", "WHITE Pride
>Week", or
>> "WHITE Spring Break."

>>
>> 6) all of the above.
>
>I agree with you 1000%. Looking back over the past thirty years we can
>see how the cultural Marxists have gained total control over what was
>once a great country. Speaking of MLK, the town of Wallingford
>Connecticut is under attack by the cultural Marxists for not officially
>declaring MLK's birthday a holiday. I don't know the motivations
>behind the town's not going along with the P.C. crowd, but I hope they
>stick to their guns. I'm sick and tired of groups like the ADL, the
>Southern Poverty Lawe Center, and others of their ilk telling me how I
>should feel and act. It is long overdue for the people of White
>European heritage to take back America from these Communist thugs!
>
>Middle aged angry white man.
>p.s. If the KKK wasn't so infiltrated by government agent
>provacateurs, maybe I'd join them.
>>
>> Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please
>contain all
>> discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.
>>
>>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2602. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <WWah4.5048$FS5.68069@news3.mia>
Source record: 121214

What kind of comments? Good? Bad?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Igniti 02:01 wrote in message ...
>Check out the new 73 Magazine.
>
>No, I won't reproduce it here.
>
>(Go out and buy a copy - don't be a "cheapie")
>
>Wayne comments on 3.950 in it.
>
>

2603. Re: Why Does Strom Lie? No Morals...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <45bh4.5083$FS5.68435@news3.mia>
Source record: 121215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

What's wrong with being a "nazi"? It's ok to be gay, jewish, a communist?
I think we need to let up on Strom. He is a good man. I agree with alot of
his thinking.
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
MWBRYANT wrote in message <20000118231522.03671.00000230@ng-fe1.aol.com>...
>>From: Armstrong armstrong@worldnet.att.~net
>
>>That indicates a plurality of posts, but not a majority as you
>>originally stated.
>
>If you total up Strom's racist Nazi rantings in NGs related to white
>nationalism (the same way Strom totals up all his posts in radio NGs) it
still
>works.
>
>The exact numbers are really not relevant to the point being made. Do you
>really believe Strom is not a Nazi? Have you looked at his page?
>
>MW Bryant

2604. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <18bh4.5092$FS5.68769@news3.mia>
Source record: 121216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

A kill File is a useless pice of trash. We can very easy bypass by using
different subject titles and different names. In time, your kill-file will
choak on itself and lock-up your program, hence defeating your efforts!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
Luke Seubert wrote in message <3884F426.ED79DA3@radix.net>...
>Over the past few months, I have been killfiling more and more people
>and subjects in the rec.radio.shortwave newsgroup.
>
>I thought it would help others here on the group if I shared my killfile
>filters so that they too could clean out some of the off topic and/or
>obnoxious garbage.
>
>I hope that others will share their killfile words with the entire
>newsgroup as well, so that we can all develop a shared set of filters
>that kills the crap once and for all. I know that I could use some more
>sender filters and a few more subject filters. Come on folks, let's
>share and share alike!
>
>
>Message Filters:
>
>Delete message if sender is:
>----------------------------
>
>libnetjammr@aol.com

>mwbryant@aol.com
>
>
>Delete message if subject contains:
>-----------------------------------
>
>Maryanne & Keyhoe
>Art & Bell
>NOVFP
>League & membership
>Amateur & restructuring
>End & ham & radio
>FCC & ARRL
>maus & nazis
>Stair
>MLK
>liberty & net
>MWBRYANT
>B.A.R.F
>George & Bush & ham
>strom & nazis

2605. Re: AC5IU's "WAS" Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <860h7s$8dk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121278

In article <8606u8gkb1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> OR WORKED ALL SILENT KEYS.

Well, if the boy would use a copper conductor instead of a catctus, he
might have a signal....

> ----------
> In article <85t35n$ps8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
wrote:
>
> >AC5IU is at it again, arguing with Donnie on the new frequency.
> >Deano claims to have WAS on 75 meters with his antenna, but as low as
> >his signal is, it must've been Worked All Submarines.
> >
> >
> >
> >--
> >73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> >EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> >Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2606. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <860j8a$9u4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121279

In article <8604fj$l7$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> You are one friggin' idiot and a stinking right wing liar as well.
Had the
> Civil Rights Movement been able to follow the course set by Dr.King,
we
> never would have had the hatred and militarism that dominates our
lives. Ray
> fired a shot that has had agonizing effects but a person like you
spouting
> off his demented racism is a bullet in itself. Dr.King truly was a
heroi and
> a day honoring him is deserved.
> AF2Y,Doc (and I hate racism)
> ----------
> In article <20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com>,
> rfenforcer@aol.com (RFEnforcer) wrote:
>
> >What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
> >
> >
> >1) Reading The Communist Manifesto
> >
> >2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.
> >
> >3) Wondering aloud why MLK's birthday is a paid national holiday
while the
> >birthdays of George Washington and Abraham Lincoln are not.
> >
> >4) Sending a donation to the Sons of the Confederacy in South
Carolina to keep
> >radical black activists from removing the Stars & Bars atop the
Statehouse.
> >
> >5) Wondering why we can have "Black History Month", "Black Pride
Week", "Black
> >Spring Break", etc...but not "WHITE History Month", "WHITE Pride
Week", or
> >"WHITE Spring Break."
> >
> >6) all of the above.
> >
> >
> >

> >Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please
contain all
> >discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup
thread.
> >
>
Good point Doc, but answer me this.....why did congress choose to place
MLK day on the day celebrated in the South as the Robert E. Lee day?
We both know the answer to that one, don't we?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2607. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <786E9CFF9AAD5F91.AF9AC5166E2EC7B2.914ED36DE60036AB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wow, I wonder how it is that I live in the same area as Jim Haynie and I haven't heard anything to the effect that he is running for a position????

I will have to contact him!

Kim W5TIT

Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in article <38839B42.7C5@arrl.net>...
> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>
> > What elections?
>
> At the upcoming ARRL Board meeting, the Board will elect the ARRL
> President, Vice Presidents and other officers, as outlined in the ARRL
> Bylaws.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> ARRL Lab
>

2608. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <860md5$c1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8604nsvdd1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:

> The Una-Basher returns!

Beauty! I don't know which I like better; mr_negativity or Una-basher!

Don't you folks worry; if you could buy him for what he's worth and sell
him for what he thinks he's worth, you'd be wealthy people.

Let's see; ham radio is dying, W6ROD is killing ham radio, ARRL is
killing ham radio, W6ROD is killing ARRL, contesting is killing ham
radio, amplifiers are killing ham radio, big antennas are
killing ham radio, the internet is dying...

Good thing there isn't another millenium starting anytime soon; this
loony would be using up what's left of the internet.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2609. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3885639F.2B395015@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The phoney notion of a liberal press notwithstanding, the venal gore-loving press doesn't have the chance to report shovel mass-killings, though the opportunity arises too often to report gun mass-killings. When was the last time someone walked into a school or office and killed 10 people with a weapon other than a gun or a bomb?

Will
KD7BFX

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Will White wrote:
> >
> > A gun is just a tool, just as a shovel is just a tool. A shovel can be
> > every bit as effective as a gun, used as a killing tool. There are
> > probably more shovels in circulation than there are guns. Why aren't
> > more people killed or injured with shovels?
> >
>
> Replace "shovel" with any number of non-gun appliances, and
> the answer is "They are!".
>
> The fact is that the liberal press, IE, opponents of
> private gun ownership, choose to ignore all that and give
> all the (negative) press to violent crimes involving
> firearms alone. And virtually *none* to the vast numbers of
> cases where citizens ward off crime and violence by the
> simple fact of owning -and making it known at the right
> time- a firearm.

2610. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <863nafqkp1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>>>So I look at it like this. In the long run this is going to create
>>>MORE hams to help fight off the communication giants of the world who
>>>wish to take our freq. for there gain.

>> Name the "communication giants" who wish to take our HF frequencies.

>HF broadcasters, all sorts of point-point data operations, etc.

You still didn't name any. State the name of a firm which has made
a bid on an amateur HF frequency.

Jeff

2611. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38856447.80FB1D76@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Good solution. Let's arm teachers and allow school kids to carry
firearms. That's a world I want to live in.

Will
KD7BFX

Brian wrote:
>
> Will White wrote:
>
> > Yeah, there were a lot of bombs. Many of them exploded. Everyone who
> > died was killed by a bullet.
> >
> > Will
> > KD7BFX
>
> Did anyone shoot back?

2612. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <388565DA.CF441084@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I agree that drug prohibition has cause far more problems than it has solved.

One thing that will help the school shooting problem is to hold parents responsible for their children. This means, among other things, their access to guns. Columbine was unusual not only because of the number of shooters and the extent of the loss of life, but because the two shooters got at least some of their guns from the black market. Kip Kinkel and the shooters at Paducah and Pearl, among others, got their guns from their parents. They should be charged as accessories to the crimes their minor children have committed.

Will
KD7BFX

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Will White wrote:
> >
> > Yeah, there were a lot of bombs. Many of them exploded. Everyone who
> > died was killed by a bullet.
>
> So removing all firearms from private individuals will
> solve such prolems? Surely you're not that obtuse. That
> didn't start it nor will it stop it. Look what the "war on
> drugs" has done to stem the flow.

2613. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <863p21rrd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Then why must we be tested for code to access 160M?

Because it's an amateur band below 30 MHz. (It happens to be our
only MF band.)

Jeff

2614. Re: WWV Y2K prank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <863o3mrdl1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121037

Kevin <xrivaznyl@ubgznvy.pbz> wrote:
>On Sat, 15 Jan 2000 04:22:48 GMT, bob

>>Immediately after midnight UTC on 01 January, I heard someone
>>broadcast "Y2K" in code on one of WWV's frequencies. Unfortunately, I
>>cannot remember which one; it was either 5.0, 10.0, 15.0 or 20.0 Mhz.
>>Did anyone else hear this? Has anyone heard any mention of it?

>Sure this is possible by any station. WWV frequencies are also used as calling
>frequencies the world over by hams, maritime stations

Yet you can't name a single ham nor maritime station which as done so.

>and unlicensed radios.

"And"? No ham nor maritime station is licensed to operate on any of
those frequencies. Anyone doing so, whether ham or maritime, would
be "unlicensed."

Jeff

2615. Re: Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3886639a.4352728@news.usol.com>
Source record: 121038

On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 21:41:00 -0600, perf@inlandcars.com (Perfidious)
wrote:

>Having monitored and participated in this Newsgroup for about a month, I
>noticed that very few who post here, can actually spell. As well it seems
>as most fail miserably in the grammatical composition of simple sentances.

That's "sentences."

>
>
>I question whether or not the FCC changed the speed requirement do to poor

That's "due to poor", not "do to poor."

Does pot, kettle, black ring a bell ?

>spelling, figuring that slower speeds may provide more time for accuracy.
>What is 20 WPM if the other party cannot comprehend what you are saying?
>People not associated with amateur radio often ask " why do you call
>yourselves "hams"" The reason is: half of the radio community cannot spell
>
>amatuer
>amateur
>amatuere
>armature
>
>Deer John (call sign) here is the resestor you rekrested, 500 ohm, 5% tolorance
>hope the finale you working on works good win youre done.
>Cincerly tecnishion joe
>
>I resemble these remarks...:-)

2616. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <863ppa$sh8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 121039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"T.L. Bryant" wrote:
> In article <85oletcub1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>>In rec.radio.cb and 8 other NGs, Dave Hall wrote:

>>>And that is what I was refering to. If CW usages declines appreciably,
>>>the justification for special band segments for CW will diminish as
>>>well.

>>Can you name those "special band segments for CW," Dave?

>On HF, the "special band segments" in which CW/RTTY/FSK/digital modes only are
> permitted are as follows. (All frequencies are in Mhz.)
> 28.000 to 28.300 24.890 to 24.930 21.000 to 21.200 18.068 to 18.110
> 14.000 to 14.150 10.100 to 10.150 7.000 to 7.150 3.500 to 3.750
> 1.800 to 2000
> Tom - N8ECW

Nope. I asked Dave for his "special band segments for CW," not the
digital segments. Still waiting.

Jeff

2617. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38856DC9.1D10CDEC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

PREDICTION: The licensing changes will result in a net change in band use of less than 10% five years out. Not only that, but think of all the barely used gear that will come on the market as all the people who get their tickets on impulse tire and get bored of radio!

Will
KD7BFX

Henry Knouse wrote:
>
> Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net> wrote:
>
> >Yes, those dirty business people. All they want is money! Funny how
> >Radio Shack started the Family Radio Service. Just think what would
> >have happened had RS gone after 80/40/20 mtrs.
>
> Don't worry, after 4/15/2000, it will.

2618. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38856E59.787D2F0@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, if they are truly no-code, lots of operators (like myself) will
hardly even have the opportunity to talk to them!

Will
KD7BFX

Brent Irvine & Dianne Lancia wrote:

>
> And be careful who you insult (referring to the "no code CB whiners") -
> after all, they could be at the other end of the conversation on HF, code or
> not and get you call sign, remember who you are, and conveniently forget to
> send the QSL...
>
> After all, with talk like that, people might think you have a bad attitude!

2619. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <rseh4.97$145.8875@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3885639F.2B395015@mindspring.com...
> The phoney notion of a liberal press notwithstanding, the venal
> gore-loving press doesn't have the chance to report shovel
> mass-killings, though the opportunity arises too often to report gun
> mass-killings. When was the last time someone walked into a school or
> office and killed 10 people with a weapon other than a gun or a bomb?
>
>
> Will
> KD7BFX

How about one of the biggest mass murder of recent times, where a guy went into a night club in New York with a can of gas and a book of matches ? New York's strict gun control didn't stop him, he just used another method. Until society decides to control the criminal regardless of his methods, nothing will change except the victims ability to defend themselves...

Gun control has created a hell of a lot more victims than it will ever save !

73 de W6DKN

2620. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <38858ff0_2@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 121043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed is exactly right. If the League did not publish many of its
materials, these materials would simply not be available, since the
ham community is probably too small for a conventional publisher to
want to serve it. Sheesh, we'd all be criticizing the League if it
did NOT make license manuals, RFI handbooks, etc. available!

--
Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:38847C99.216D@arrl.net...
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> > Ham radio and reading are inseparable; and it takes a publishing
business
> > to do what the League has accomplished.
>
> Unlike a real publishing business, the League can keep the prices
low
> and still generate some revenues over expenses. Unlike a real
> publishing house, those revenues are not used to pay dividends to
> stockholders, but are used to fund things that cannot and do not
> generate revenues.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2621. Re: Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38859977.39438B2F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 121044

On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 21:41:00 -0600, perf@inlandcars.com (Perfidious)
embarrassed himself by writing:

>... As well it seems
>as most fail miserably in the grammatical composition of simple sentances.

This line is grammatically incorrect, a sentence fragment,
and you misspelled "sentences."

There is little in life more satisfying then watching
a self-righteous person make an ass of himself.

D.S.

2622. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88bcdg.v5o.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 121045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Jan 2000 16:50:31 EST, JEP <transoman#@yahoo.com> wrote:
>And why are the CD versions more money when cost should be much less?

While the reproduction cost of the CD is less that that of the printed book, those costs are a fraction of the retail price in either case. The reason the CDs cost more is that there is a cost associated with creating the CD master, and that cost is recovered over many fewer unit sales than are the costs for the printed book. That ratio is changing over time, which is one reason you see the CD price coming closer to the book price. (The Handbook CD price dropped by $10 this year.) If we sold as many CD units as book units -- if we sold even half as many -- the CD price would be lower than the book price.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2623. Re: parts for Mitrek uhf radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Boren <Gregory.Boren@nokia.com>
Message-ID: <DVih4.651$3D5.14379@news2.nokia.com>
Source record: 121046

Try this link for the PL: http://www.brinkleyelec.com/

Try here for parts and radios: http://www.brinkleyelec.com/

http://www.cdi2.com/build_it/surplus.htm

Greg/N5SKE

Dennis A. Homerick wrote in message <3884FFBA.DDBB7F09@richnet.net>...
>
>Thanks for reading this message. I have a 75w UHF Mitrek with a blowen
>
>final transistor. Need a replacement!!! Also need a 71.9 decorder reed
>
>(kln-6209a) for same radio.
>
>thanks
>Dennis, w8nw
>
>
>

2624. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <J7jh4.4225$mj7.242617@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 121047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

your still a jerk
Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Lcvg4.9025$8z5.113475@news4.mia...
> The Censors March On
>
> This edition of The SPOTLIGHT will be the very first one thousands of you
> will have seen. A substantial number of you will have Internet access and
> will visit our website, also for the first time.
>
> But some of you will be filtered out or "blocked" from our site by
> censoring software which is always promoted as a means to protect children
> from sexual pornography. No one can argue with that objective.
> However, a filter as a means of blocking access to sites-any sites-is a
> form of censorship which is already being abused. Some of these filters do
> not block all pornographic sites as advertised, but do block sites that an
> unelected elite considers politically or culturally incorrect.
>
> This often includes The SPOTLIGHT site which is a reflection of the
> populist philosophy of this newspaper and its publisher, Liberty Lobby.
> SurfWatch software censors The SPOTLIGHT under their category
> "Violence/Hate." Do you find any violent or hate spewing articles here in
> The SPOTLIGHT? I-Gear, a software al ready in use by many government,
> school, library and corporate entities, also censors our site. Another
> filter, WebSENSE, labels us "Politics" but it is unclear if we are
censored
> by them. Can you imagine filtering every site that can be labeled
> "Politics?" CyberPatrol, another software censor, claims we are not on
> their "CyberNot" list at this time.
>
> The fact is that police agencies of most countries know precisely where
> pornography is generated on the Internet but they do not crack down on it.
> This raises a question of the true motives of the media barrage against
> pornography on the Internet. The fall of the Soviet Union has often been
> attributed to information spread over a fax network that the government
> could not prevent. Internet information is hundreds of times faster and
> more prolific as homes all over the world come "on line." A necessity and
> reason for censorship must exist if undesirable truths are to be
eliminated
> from the Internet by the powerful elitists who want absolute control of
all
> means of communication. Therefore they are using the guise of "fighting
> obscenity on the Internet" as a clever cover by which to launch censorship
> of dissident political views and opinions on the Internet that threaten

the
> power and control of that establishment elite.
>
> Although parents and others are being encouraged to use censoring software
> at home, filtering software is being promoted for use and mandated by
local
> laws on public computers in libraries and schools all the time. In fact,
> George W. Bush, in a recent presidential debate, said he is an advocate of
> using filtering software in schools and public libraries. If he becomes
the
> next president, will he propose any institution of learning that receives
> public funding employ some type of censoring software or risk losing
money?
>
> It is also becoming a sales gimmick to "bundle" censoring filters on new
> computers as one of many "free" software programs in the package.
> The SPOTLIGHT is interested in spreading truth, not censoring it. We ask
> our readers to cooperate with us to keep truth alive on the Internet. What
> you can do is write or e-mail us anytime you find our site, or similar
> sites, blocked. Give us the details, including the software used if you
can
> determine its manufacture.
>
> Until the advent of the Internet, amateur ham radio was virtually the only
> free and independent means for large numbers of people to communicate and
> to trade ideas and opinions without censorship. Now, some powerful special
> interest groups are using their high-level political clout (not to mention
> their influence among some ham radio operators) to attempt to censor and
> jam ham radio just as these same interest groups are also trying to censor
> the Internet.
>
> One of the most popular ham radio forums over the past 30 years has been
> the Liberty Net, which originally started out as a group of radio
operators
> who all happened to be supporters of Liberty Lobby, the populist
> Institution in Washington, D.C., that publishes The SPOTLIGHT. In fact,
the
> Liberty Net actually preceded the launching of The SPOTLIGHT in 1975.
>
> In recent years the Liberty Net has been targeted by the high-level
censors
> and now even the Federal Communications Commission (perhaps influenced by
> the special interests) has been siding with those who are trying to
disrupt
> free and independent discussion on ham radio.
> These remarkable developments were described on the July 18, 1999,
> broadcast of The SPOTLIGHT's weekly radio talk forum, Radio Free America
> with host Tom Valentine.
>
> The guest was Bill Lauderback, a veteran ham radio operator who is also

> widely known as the inventor of the "tiny tenna" that many short-wave
radio
> listeners utilize to increase the power and reception for their short-wave
> radios. (For more on the "tiny tenna" and other products of interest to
ham
> radio and short wave radio, write: Catalogue, P.O. Box 66, Hanover, Mich.
> 49241.)
>
> The story you are about to tell us is very interesting because it tells a
> lot about the nature of the politics in this country and how special
> interest groups are trying to suppress freedom of speech in all of the
> independent venues of communication, such as ham radio (as well as the
> Internet).
>
> I wasn't really a participant in the Liberty Net per se. There were a
> couple other nets on 3.950 megahertz that I participated in during the
> week. But generally on Saturday nights I would listen for a short while
and
> then turn in.
>
> What has come down the pike is a problem that started seven years ago with
> a gentleman out of Evansville, Ind. This guy is David O. Castle. He took
it
> upon himself seven years ago to enlist some help in trying to purposely
jam
> and maliciously interfere with the Liberty Net which was on the 3.950
lower
> sideband.
>
> That channel at 3.950 was the channel that ham radio operators talked on
to
> other ham radio operators all over the world.
> Most people who have shortwave radios are capable of picking this up. So
> people can listen in to the Liberty Net.
>
> Now the Liberty Net was actually founded as a result of the work of
Liberty
> Lobby, the publisher of The SPOTLIGHT and the sponsor of my program.
>
> Yes. Approximately 30 years ago a group of amateur radio operators found
> that they had a common bond. They were all members of Liberty Lobby. So
> they gathered up on the 75 meter band, which is what you call the
frequency
> range between 3.5 and 4 MHz, the amateur radio section that the Federal
> Communication Commission (FCC) has granted to ham radio operators to talk
> on, and they decided to put together a discussion group which "met" once a
> week over the air.
>
> Over the years this group grew and grew and incorporated people from all
> over the political spectrum. There were people from the hard left and from

> the hard right and a lot of people in be tween. They would banter back and
> forth talking about current events.
>
> However, as I noted, there was a man named David O. Castle of Evansville,
> Ind., who decided that he didn't like the political speech that was going
> on and he decided to take it upon himself, along with others, to harass
and
> to otherwise jam the discussions on the Liberty Net every Saturday night.
> They were getting away with it. However we filed complaint after complaint
> after complaint with the FCC until it reached the point where just about
> every communication on that frequency was being disrupted.
>
> I used to have regular evening conversations with Harvey Heinz and we used
> to get in there and chat around 7 p.m. every evening. Mr. Castle would
come
> in and berate me and my business and would try and jam and interfere with
> my conversations.
>
> I got nowhere with the FCC. I contacted my attorney and we sent Mr. Castle
> a letter, mainly in hopes of putting him on notice, but also in hopes of
> getting the FCC to do something about it. We sent copies to the FCC and to
> the American Radio Relay League, which is kind of like a lobby
organization
> for amateur radio. This had absolutely no effect whatsoever. I took a tape
> recording down and my attorney heard the jamming and the obscenities. So
we
> had this continuing interference problem to the point that Castle was
> recruiting people from other radio frequencies to come over on Saturday
> night and there were times when the Liberty Net just couldn't function.
> When the moderator, Vic Misek, tried to move the conversations to another
> frequency, these people would follow and jam there. It was an orchestrated
> effort, and a well-orchestrated effort, and it seemed there was no relief
> in sight.
>
> However, about a year ago the FCC finally announced that they were going
to
> have somebody come in to enforce the amateur radio bands. We were happy.
> The gentleman appointed was W. Riley Hollingsworth and he is an attorney
> and also a ham radio operator. We gave him the benefit of the doubt and
> figured that he would make a sincere effort.
>
> Sure enough, one of the frequencies he decided to clean up was 3.950 MHz,
> the Liberty Net frequency. We saw Mr. Castle approached by Mr. Hollings
> worth. There was an investigation and Castle was given a two-year
> suspension from the short-wave spectrum. He was permitted to go up on the
> shorter distance UHF and VHF frequencies, but he was ordered to stay off
> the short-wave spectrum.
>
> That was the federal government ordering Castle to cease and desist.
>

> Yes. However, during the second week of July 1999, that whole thing was
> reversed all of a sudden and the FCC went after Vic Misek, the moderator
of
> the net control station of the Liberty Net, and instead falsely accused
> Misek of jamming. According to Hollingsworth, it was "retaliatory
jamming."
> He also made some accusations that I frankly didn't understand, one of
> which was that Misek was "broadcasting" [as though he were a commercial
> station]. Nothing could be further from the truth. This was just an on-air
> conversation between ham operators amongst themselves.
>
> But to justify that this was "broadcasting," Hollingsworth of the FCC said
> that Misek had a web site set up to advertise this to short-wave
listeners.
> In fact, there was no such web site. So the FCC was grasping at straws to
> make Vic Misek out to be the bad guy when, in fact, he has been the victim
> for seven years of this onslaught. Vic is a real gentleman on the air and
> has been a valuable asset to the ham radio community over the years.
>
> Who is this guy Castle who has been causing these problems? Has anyone
> confronted him?
>
> Yes. I've spoken to him and he's a very unreasonable man. I even spoke to
> his lovely wife on the telephone but she was oblivious to everything and
> she just said: "Oh, he's just having fun." However, now the FCC has given
> him a free ride.
>
> The sort of things that Castle is doing is similar to people who try to
> disrupt Internet chat rooms and news groups.
>
> In fact, just recently on ham radio operators' news group, someone who
> doesn't like you or The SPOTLIGHT got up and posted a message saying he
> hoped that the FBI would shoot you, Tom Valentine, before you ever got to
> your microphone. The guy's name is Doc and his call letters are AF2Y. I
> believe his last name is Schwartzbarr. He's a strong supporter of the
> Anti-Defamation League (ADL). [The ADL is one of the leading groups
> promoting censorship of the Internet.-Ed.]
> He's one of the people, by the way, who was encouraging David Castle to
jam
> the Liberty Net. When Castle first got his suspension, he got on one of
the
> radio frequencies where "Doc" hangs out and said, "If I go down, I'm going
> to take a bunch of you down with me because you guys helped to coax me
into
> doing this."
>
> These people are trying to stop any kind of intelligent discourse, whether
> it is on ham radio or whether it's over the Internet chat rooms and news
> groups.
>

> On the Internet they do what is called "spamming," that is, putting up
> numerous nonsense messages or they try to change the topics of discussion.
>
> At least on ham radio there is a voice you can identify.
>
> What you are trying to express will get out on the Internet, but on ham
> radio, if you've got several powerful stations grouped together, they can
> jam the discussion as they've done on the Liberty Net.
>
> One of the things they have tried to do, Mr. Castle, in particular, is to
> go on the air prior to the Liberty Net discussion and to grab the
> frequency. Some of these guys on the West Coast would get on at five
> o'clock in the afternoon and say that they had the frequency and that the
> Liberty Net couldn't go on. On the night of May 1, 1999, Mr. Castle got on
> and couldn't raise up any of his cohorts to join him, so he literally
> broadcast, speaking a monologue, which is against FCC rules, for a good 50
> minutes. Finally, some people from the Liberty Net came up and started
into
> their conversation while Mr. Castle went on in the background in his
> monologue, talking to absolutely no one.
>
> About 10 minutes prior to when the Liberty Net discussion was supposed to
> begin at 10 pm, some of Castle's cohorts came on and pretended that they
> had the frequency and that the Liberty Net people had come in and taken it
> from them. In the meantime, on the alternate frequency at two and half
> kilohertz down, another anti-Liberty Net was trying to block that one so
> that the Liberty Net couldn't move there. It was a strategic, well-planned
> orchestration to stop the Liberty Net from taking place that night.
>
> You mentioned the ADL, which has been in the forefront of trying to
silence
> The SPOTLIGHT and all other dissident voices in America. It is an
illegally
> unregistered lobby for a foreign nation. Now here's Bob calling from
> Virginia:
>
> Bob: This guy Castle has personally threatened me. In fact, we had a
> meeting of about 50 people in Evansville in 1995 and I met Castle in
person
> there. He figured out a way to get in where we were meeting.
>
> When my show wasn't even 11 weeks old back in 1988, I was out in my yard
at
> my home in Iowa chopping down weeds and there was a guy across the street
> taking pictures of me. I found out that it was Daniel Levitas. He has been
> affiliated with the ADL and the Southern Poverty Law Center of Morris
Dees.
> He came all the way to Iowa City from Des Moines to spy on me because I
was
> broadcasting this radio program.

>
> Bob: That's remarkable, but not surprising. This guy "Doc" mentioned
> earlier has gone on record on the ham radio operator's news group on the
> Internet boasting that the FCC is going to take the Liberty Net off the
air.
>
> That's illustrative of the power of the ADL.
>
> Bob: I've become unpopular with some Liberty Net people by telling them
> that, in my opinion, they won't get any justice out of the FCC. I believe
> that the FCC is operating on a directive from the ADL and/or some of these
> higher-ups in government who want to shut down the Liberty Net or curtail
> it.
>
> Here's Tim calling from Las Vegas.
>
> Tim: The Liberty Net is listed on the Internet as a "hate group" alongside
> the National Alliance and the Ku Klux Klan.
> I've seen those postings on the Internet. There are even some Christian
> churches that his postings accuse of being "hate groups."
>
> The people like the ADL who can't stand the facts have to call names and
> try to censor people, whether its on ham radio or on the Internet.
>
>
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
>
>

2625. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer and adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3885E07E.98F01F01@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Of course this coming from a devout racist

D

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> Well Said - me with you?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> geo_06492@my-deja.com wrote in message <86262e$dk4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <20000117124020.01397.00000951@nso-ch.aol.com>,
> > > rfenforcer@aol.com (RFEnforcer) wrote:
> >> What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
> >>
> >> 1) Reading The Communist Manifesto
> >>
> >> 2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.
> >>
> >> 3) Wondering aloud why MLK's birthday is a paid national holiday
> >while the
> >> birthdays of George Washington and Abraham Lincoln are not.
> >>
> >> 4) Sending a donation to the Sons of the Confederacy in South
> >Carolina to keep
> >> radical black activists from removing the Stars & Bars atop the
> >Statehouse.

> >>
> >> 5) Wondering why we can have "Black History Month", "Black Pride
> >Week", "Black
> >> Spring Break", etc...but not "WHITE History Month", "WHITE Pride
> >Week", or
> >> "WHITE Spring Break."
> >>
> >> 6) all of the above.
> >
> >I agree with you 1000%. Looking back over the past thirty years we can
> >see how the cultural Marxists have gained total control over what was
> >once a great country. Speaking of MLK, the town of Wallingford
> >Connecticut is under attack by the cultural Marxists for not officially
> >declaring MLK's birthday a holiday. I don't know the motivations
> >behind the town's not going along with the P.C. crowd, but I hope they
> >stick to their guns. I'm sick and tired of groups like the ADL, the
> >Southern Poverty Lawe Center, and others of their ilk telling me how I
> >should feel and act. It is long overdue for the people of White
> >European heritage to take back America from these Communist thugs!
> >
> >Middle aged angry white man.
> >p.s. If the KKK wasn't so infiltrated by government agent
> >provacateurs, maybe I'd join them.
> >>
> >> Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please
> >contain all
> >> discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.

2626. Re: Why Does Strom Lie? No Morals...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3885E0B0.513D7D93@nutcase.net>
Source record: 121049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm sure you would!

D

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> What's wrong with being a "nazi"? It's ok to be gay, jewish, a communist?
> I think we need to let up on Strom. He is a good man. I agree with alot of
> his thinking.
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
> Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/
> MWBRYANT wrote in message <20000118231522.03671.00000230@ng-fe1.aol.com>...
> >>From: Armstrong armstrong@worldnet.att.~net
> > >
> > >>That indicates a plurality of posts, but not a majority as you
> > >>originally stated.
> > >
> > >If you total up Strom's racist Nazi rantings in NGs related to white
> > >nationalism (the same way Strom totals up all his posts in radio NGs) it
> > still
> > >works.
> > >
> > >The exact numbers are really not relevant to the point being made. Do you
> > >really believe Strom is not a Nazi? Have you looked at his page?
> > >
> > >MW Bryant

2627. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000119092212.03573.00000275@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 121050

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
comment this

2628. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3885CCA5.114D1056@innocent.com>
Source record: 121051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> A kill File is a useless pice of trash. We can very easy bypass by using
> different subject titles and different names. In time, your kill-file will
> choak on itself and lock-up your program, hence defeating your efforts!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
[...]

I have to say I think you're wrong on that, Lloyd.

If we value the principle of free association, then no one should be forced, by trickery or by law, to read something he doesn't want to read.

Just as no one in amateur radio should be forced to listen to transmissions from jammers or unwanted stations commenting without being part of the QSO; and just as no one should be forced to hire a person he finds objectionable for any reason whatsoever.

So email and newsgroup filtering is a fine example of a tradition of the Old America, and one that should be reinvigorated: freedom of association.

And, after all, we all do filtering of a kind, merely by choosing what we will read. It's unavoidable, since no one can read everything.

On the other hand, those, like the "Anti"-Defamation League, who are attempting to get "hate" (read: thoughtcrime) filters placed on library computer terminals and preinstalled in home PCs are censors of the most contemptible kind.

With every good wish,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

2629. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <43E6550AA1012E21.15FC707B3B41DEC5.F0F1EECF153E2053@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in article
<COdh4.713$72.8923@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>...
>
> "when a nerve gets hit"? I've never been "into" CB (it used to be impossible
> not to know someone who was, tho), so.. just what is this nerve you've hit,
> Tit?...
>

Uh huh, I'm believing you! But, that's OK...don't worry.

Kim W5TIT

2630. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3885D04D.179ED212@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White wrote:
> Good solution. Let's arm teachers and allow school kids to carry
> firearms. That's a world I want to live in.

Nope, don't arm children. But *properly training* and then arming
teachers who accept the responsibility would probably save lives.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2631. Re: Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-1901000906410001@209.163.129.86>
Source record: 121054

In article <3886639a.4352728@news.usol.com>, chipster66@hotmail.com (Chip)
wrote:

> On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 21:41:00 -0600, perf@inlandcars.com (Perfidious)
> wrote:
>
> >Having monitored and participated in this Newsgroup for about a month, I
> >noticed that very few who post here, can actually spell. As well it seems
> >as most fail miserably in the grammatical composition of simple sentances.
>
> That's "sentences."
>
> >
> >
> >I question whether or not the FCC changed the speed requirement do to poor
>
> That's "due to poor", not "do to poor."
>
> Does pot, kettle, black ring a bell ?
Bottom reads, "I resemble these remarks...:-)"
>
> >spelling, figuring that slower speeds may provide more time for accuracy.
> >What is 20 WPM if the other party cannot comprehend what you are saying?
> >People not associated with amateur radio often ask " why do you call
> >yourselves "hams"" The reason is: half of the radio community cannot spell
> >
> >amatuer
> >amateur
> >amatuere
> >armature
> >
> >Deer John (call sign) here is the resestor you rekrested, 500 ohm, 5%
tolorance
> >hope the finale you working on works good win youre done.
> >Cincerly tecnishion joe
> >
> >I resemble these remarks...:-)

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

2632. Re: Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-1901000907480001@209.163.129.86>
Source record: 121055

In article <38859977.39438B2F@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
<arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 21:41:00 -0600, perf@inlandcars.com (Perfidious)
> embarrassed himself by writing:
>
> >... As well it seems
> >as most fail miserably in the grammatical composition of simple sentances.
>
> This line is grammatically incorrect, a sentence fragment,
> and you misspelled "sentences."
>
> There is little in life more satisfying then watching
> a self-righteous person make an ass of himself.
>
> D.S.
You too, did not read "the bottom line"

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

2633. Re: Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-1901000912040001@209.163.129.86>
Source record: 121056

In article <3885449F.B1471D1F@worldnet.att.net>, Tim
<ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> &#65533;
>
> Perfidious wrote:
>
> > Having monitored and participated in this Newsgroup for about a month, I
> > noticed that very few who post here, can actually spell. As well it seems
> > as most fail miserably in the grammatical composition of simple sentances.
>
> I believe the word that eluded your spell checker was "sentences" :)
> But since I know what you meant it, perhaps it's not so important.
>
> ddz

Seems as though you saw the humor in it. It missed the target with
everyone else. Hell, it was supposed to be funny! Is everyone but you so
defensive that they forgot how to laugh at a little irony? Spell Checker?
wht's that? a breakfast cereal? :-)

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

2634. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.H. Parsons" <chparsons@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <c6lh4.101$c13.912@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

ROTFLOL!!

I just could not help adding that little comment, sorry if I ruffled
feathers.
Maybe I should have added a happy face-- :-) or ;->

"Quux" <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:0237dd28.821f317a@usw-ex0101-004.remarq.com...
>
> WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL, GOT THE PEDAL TO THE METAL,
> PUT THE HAMMER DOWN, I DO BELIEVE WE GOT US A CONVOY!!!!!
>
> (Jerry Reed, A.K.A. JerrReed, the up and coming SPOKESPERSON
> for the HF cesspool!!!)
>
> OOoooooeeeee! Am I gald I take the VHF/UHF highroad. "Don't
> ever go North of Mockingbird Lane, or lower than 50MHz..."
>
> (I done been learned my CB lingos from them movie pitchers
> on the TeeVee.)
>
> In article <DZ1h4.143$pC.8674@news.uswest.net>, "C.H. Parsons"
> <chparsons@uswest.net> wrote:
[<<> > WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP
TALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!<<]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]
>
>
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network
*
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>
>

2635. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F0A29E8C04F062D8.FB7354D75507C412.26A1BDF2E6CEA986@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You're worrying........

Kim W5TIT

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in article
<_hkh4.944$72.11646@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>...
> Do you really think I care what you think, Tit?
> HAHA... Hmm.. Your hubby wouldn't be w5dik, would he?
> No, no.. That's Driving-In-Kentucky.. Get your mind out of the gutter, why
> don't you?
>
>
> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> > Uh huh, I'm believing you! But, that's OK...don't worry.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
>

2636. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3885E65C.BFB23C37@flash.net>
Source record: 121059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Will White wrote:
>
> PREDICTION: The licensing changes will result in a net change in band
> use of less than 10% five years out. Not only that, but think of all the
> barely used gear that will come on the market as all the people who get
> their tickets on impulse tire and get bored of radio!
>

Questionable prediction, I think, Will. If CW use diminishes, there will be a push to "re-farm" (ARRL term) significant portions of the CW spectra for use in SSB. Not my hope, but if band crowding in SSB portions happens with HF SSB licenses easier to earn, it seems a pretty obvious fix.

But all of this is guesswork. But I have trouble believing that the use of SSB spectra won't increase by more than 10%, while the CW spectra will be used less.

Phil W7OX

2637. Listening to amateur freqs on scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bigdunk2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1609-3885E681-3@storefull-247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 121060

My friend is trying to get his novice license and wants to practice reading code by listening to amateur freqs on his scanner.Any ideas as to what freqs he should listen to?

And in the end the love you take,is equal tothe noise you make.

2638. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <864ve2$g9m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <AWlh4.2$Tj.365@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net>,
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
> That's not going to get me to shut up.. ;)
> I don't get intimidated (sp) so easily, Tit.

Poor baby.

Obviously, Kim's getting the better of you.

> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
news:F0A29E8C04F062D8.FB7354D75507C412.26A1BDF2E6CEA986@lp.airnews.net..
.
> > You're worrying........
>
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2639. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.H. Parsons" <chparsons@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <tamh4.317$c13.1350@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 121062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't want to ruffle too many feathers, (here it comes) after I got out of
the
Army--many years ago--a guy told me "vulgar language shows lack of
intelligence
and by that I mean that you could not think of anything else to say."
He sure hit nerve, and to this day I try and use what brain cells I have
left to make
my point without using vulgar terms.

"Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote in message
news:EJIf4.201$c7.20058@news.ntr.net...
> Arthur H. Wertz wrote
> >Sure hope most families don't read these emails
> >[Aaron and Kim using vulgar slang terms in Usenet posts]
>
> I think most would agree that Usenet is *not* a place for families.
> Vulgarity, profanity, threats, and name calling have been the norm here
> for some time. Many ISPs require a special warning/authorization
> screen just to get here.
>
> On the other hand, Ham Radio has been touted as a family hobby for
decades.
> And for most of those decades, with a few exceptions, it has been true.
> But I think many hams will agree with me that in recent years, things
> have been changing for the worse. Call me a prude if you want, but I
> think it's an unfortunate change...
>
> Let's hope that future ham licensing applications won't require a warning
> and parents authorization disclosure statement...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

2640. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Sgmh4.941$xZ3.10661@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Perhaps the numbers won't suit your purpose - but the day after the Columbine incident, and mental-case AUTOMOBILE driver crashed thru a pre-school fence purposely in an admitted effort to kill as many "innocent children" (his words!) as he possibly could - as I recall, he managed to kill one and injured many others. For SOME strange reason, our national media wasn't NEARLY as interested in covering that AUTO related murder as they were the one involving guns... Our local paper DOES ocassionally cover stories where alcohol and autos (2 of our country's GREATEST killers) were directly blamed for some deliberate attempted murder, as one driver tries to run over another in a fit of alcohol-induced rage...

Guess we need to work HARDER at car-control and banning...

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3885639F.2B395015@mindspring.com...
> The phoney notion of a liberal press notwithstanding, the venal
> gore-loving press doesn't have the chance to report shovel
> mass-killings, though the opportunity arises too often to report gun
> mass-killings. When was the last time someone walked into a school
or
> office and killed 10 people with a weapon other than a gun or a
bomb?
>
>
> Will
> KD7BFX
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Will White wrote:
> > >
> > > A gun is just a tool, just as a shovel is just a tool. A shovel
can be
> > > every bit as effective as a gun, used as a killing tool. There
are
> > > probably more shovels in circulation than there are guns. Why
aren't
> > > more people killed or injured with shovels?

> > >
> >
> > Replace "shovel" with any number of non-gun appliances, and
> > the answer is "They are!".
> >
> > The fact is that the liberal press, IE, opponents of
> > private gun ownership, choose to ignore all that and give
> > all the (negative) press to violent crimes involving
> > firearms alone. And virtually *none* to the vast numbers of
> > cases where citizens ward off crime and violence by the
> > simple fact of owning -and making it known at the right
> > time- a firearm.

2641. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <oqmh4.949$xZ3.10381@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:388565DA.CF441084@mindspring.com...
> I agree that drug prohibition has cause far more problems than it
has solved.
>
> One thing that will help the school shooting problem is to hold
parents
> responsible for their children. This means, among other things,
their
> access to guns. Columbine was unusual not only because of the number
of
> shooters and the extent of the loss of life, but because the two
> shooters got at least some of their guns from the black market. Kip
> Kinkel and the shooters at Paducah and Pearl, among others, got
their
> guns from their parents. They should be charged as accessories to
the
> crimes their minor children have committed.
>
> Will
> KD7BFX
>

A *LIE!*

Or at LEAST an intentional misrepresentation of FACT!

Those kids didn't "get guns from their parents", they BROKE INTO and STOLE them - one from a grandparent! LOTS different than the implication that the parents GAVE the kids the guns! One of the kids WAS an avid hunter - but the guns he used were kept locked when not in use.

If you are going to demonize GUNS used in these incidents, how about CARS? Should the PARENTS also be held responsible for the deaths and injuries, as well as property damage caused by their children? Why not - those CARS kill as many and MORE "innocent kids" than hated guns do! AHHH, but YOU own, drive and enjoy CARS - so aren't ABOUT to place restraints on THEM, ARE YOU!

Nothing like circumstantial ethics... Ban and demonize the stuff that WON'T affect ME, but DON'T touch the stuff *I* have any need for or interest in...

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

>
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Will White wrote:
> > >
> > > Yeah, there were a lot of bombs. Many of them exploded. Everyone
who
> > > died was killed by a bullet.
> >
> > So removing all firearms from private individuals will
> > solve such prolems? Surely you're not that obtuse. That
> > didn't start it nor will it stop it. Look what the "war on
> > drugs" has done to stem the flow.

2642. TS-140S Pse hlp for repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ta2ad <ta2ad@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3885f11e@news.marun.edu.tr>
Source record: 121065

Dear om
if you can help me I`ll be happy :)

I have a Transceiver (Kenwood TS-140S)
But it is not work now

SYMPTOMS :

First time : good receive but audible alarm " UNLOCK "
with morse code time to time .

Now : - open display firs time (forexample 14.000.00)
- after opened display (like . . . . .)
- not receive also not any sound or hiss
- not transmit
- alarm " UNLOCK " with morse code repeatly .
- when I rotate Tuning knob display is open
like 14.230.00 but again . . . . . .after 1 second.

I measured 3.2V on back-up lithium battery .

TA2AD Sua TORTU TURKEY

2643. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3vOFODKcMlv3UKWdMw6pF0aBaHVN@4ax.com>
Source record: 121066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 13:03:22 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
> If we
>sold as many CD units as book units -- if we sold even half as many --
>the CD price would be lower than the book price.

If the CD price were lower than the book price, you likely *would* sell more
CDs than books. Demand elasticity is a function of price.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2644. Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8652bdigo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:

* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).

Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code tests... OK.

They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the bunch.

It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam, so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"

* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place. In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-examination on certain topics.

They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the "boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2645. Re: ICOM Users net 14.317 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XPaFOCTpLWEJUJbhvRGt73TabZJg@4ax.com>
Source record: 121068

On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 13:37:11 GMT, "Greg Boren" <Gregory.Boren@nokia.com> wrote:
>Hi all! I've been trying to hear the Icom users net Sundays on 14.317 for
>some time but there is always a carrier slightly above the freq,
>(14.31716??) here in Dallas area. I have eliminated local interference,
>tried different radios and have also heard same tone on a trip to San
>Antonio Texas. Anyone else hear this signal or know the source?????

What you're hearing is 4x the NTSC TV subcarrier frequency. Every
TV, and most computers, radiate it. Get your antenna well away from
TVs and computers, employ your notch filter, and it shouldn't be much
of a problem.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2646. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CAD6B04C6175EE33.D6216E7E8CBCCE98.36E54EAF1B1E36B7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 121069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

C.H. Parsons <chparsons@uswest.net> wrote in article
<tamh4.317$c13.1350@news.uswest.net>...
> I don't want to ruffle too many feathers, (here it comes) after I got out of
> the
> Army--many years ago--a guy told me "vulgar language shows lack of
> intelligence
> and by that I mean that you could not think of anything else to say."
> He sure hit nerve, and to this day I try and use what brain cells I have
> left to make
> my point without using vulgar terms.
>

That's really nice, C.H., really nice. Did this guy also tell you, years ago,
that being an informed commenter would also lend credence to your intelligence?
I have just said, in an intelligent way, the same thing I could have said
thusly: You are an idiot for not knowing what it is you are commenting on.

The *way* I said it makes no reflection on how intelligent I am, probably more
how *emotional* I am about what I am saying.

By the way...the topic here WAS that Aaron thinks my callsign, W5TIT, is
vulgar. So, you see? I don't think there was ever even any vulgar language in
this thread... Maybe, for once, Aaron will even agree with me on that
one....probably not....

Kim W5TIT

>
> "Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:EJIf4.201$c7.20058@news.ntr.net...
> > Arthur H. Wertz wrote
> > >Sure hope most families don't read these emails
> > >[Aaron and Kim using vulgar slang terms in Usenet posts]
> >
> > I think most would agree that Usenet is *not* a place for families.
> > Vulgarity, profanity, threats, and name calling have been the norm here
> > for some time. Many ISPs require a special warning/authorization
> > screen just to get here.
> >
> > On the other hand, Ham Radio has been touted as a family hobby for
> decades.
> > And for most of those decades, with a few exceptions, it has been true.
> > But I think many hams will agree with me that in recent years, things
> > have been changing for the worse. Call me a prude if you want, but I
> > think it's an unfortunate change...

> >
> > Let's hope that future ham licensing applications won't require a warning
> > and parents authorization disclosure statement...
> >

2647. Very busy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <864th4$1iq$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121070

Just a line to say that I'm way too busy to get on the air but will be "Monitwing in da quiet mode" and will be taking occasional notes. And if Jim Dale is reading this, please send me your e-mail address. I lost it.
Doc

2648. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tfeFOL7Na7oSPalS9wm5XKZxiuyP@4ax.com>
Source record: 121071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 18 Jan 2000 16:48:54 -0800, Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote:
>
>WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL, GOT THE PEDAL TO THE METAL,
>PUT THE HAMMER DOWN, I DO BELIEVE WE GOT US A CONVOY!!!!!
>
>(Jerry Reed, A.K.A. JerrReed, the up and coming SPOKESPERSON
>for the HF cesspool!!!)

Actually, _Convoy_ was written and performed by CW McCall,
not Jerry Reed. (Jerry just played a truck driver in the Burt Reynolds
movie based, loosely, on that song.)

Yep, it is all the fault of that old devil CW.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2649. Re: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8654mf$k6b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121072

In article <862pa4$b13$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
"Mike Swan" <mjswan@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Missy <missy21O@nospm.mailcity.com> wrote:
> > The next step is to call your local police, call your
> > lawyer, and then get a restraining order issued
> > against him. Don't mess around. This kind of
> > stuff cannot be tolerated and must be dealt with
> > immediately.
>
> Excellent advice. If you feel the threats are serious, don't delay in
> getting the situation legally documented.
>
> Mike
>
>
My question is what did he do to tick him off enough to issue a "death
threat" in the first place. In otherwords, what is the "rest of the
story"?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2650. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@uswest.net
Message-ID: <864tomcuq1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 121073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> writes:

>If the CD price were lower than the book price, you likely *would*
>sell more CDs than books. Demand elasticity is a function of price.

And if BMWs were cheaper than Ford Escorts, they'd sell more
BMWs. Incrementalist pricing at its finest!

Your answer is oversimplistic (or maybe you don't understand
pricing models).

Pricing models are based on several factors, only one of which is
demand elasticity.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2651. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8656aqliq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3885CCA5.114D1056@innocent.com>,
Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >
> > A kill File is a useless pice of trash. We can very easy bypass by
using
> > different subject titles and different names. In time, your kill-
file will
> > choak on itself and lock-up your program, hence defeating your
efforts!
> >
> > --
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> [...]
>
> I have to say I think you're wrong on that, Lloyd.
>
> If we value the principle of free association, then no one should be
> forced, by trickery or by law, to read something he doesn't want to
> read.
>
> Just as no one in amateur radio should be forced to listen to
> transmissions from jammers or unwanted stations commenting without
> being part of the QSO; and just as no one should be forced to hire a
> person he finds objectionable for any reason whatsoever.
>
> So email and newsgroup filtering is a fine example of a tradition of
> the Old America, and one that should be reinvigorated: freedom of
> association.
>
> And, after all, we all do filtering of a kind, merely by choosing
> what we will read. It's unavoidable, since no one can read
> everything.
>
> On the other hand, those, like the "Anti"-Defamation League, who are
> attempting to get "hate" (read: thoughtcrime) filters placed on
> library computer terminals and preinstalled in home PCs are censors
> of the most contemptible kind.
>
> With every good wish,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.

> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>
My concept of "freedom of choice" extends outward and inward. I make
the choice. I don't need a "kill file". I read all points of view and
form my own opinion on any given subject.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2652. Re: Listening to amateur freqs on scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8656l1$lu9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121075

In article <1609-3885E681-3@storefull-247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Bigdunk2000@webtv.net wrote:
> My friend is trying to get his novice license and wants to practice
> reading code by listening to amateur freqs on his scanner.Any ideas as
> to what freqs he should listen to?
>
> And in the end the love you take,is equal tothe noise you make.
>
>
If you are refering to a scanner that receives vhf/uhf frequencies then
you are barking up the wrong tree. Amateur CW is done mainly on HF.

Dan/W4NTI
--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2653. Re: Very busy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8656r7$m0a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121076

In article <864th4$1iq$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Just a line to say that I'm way too busy to get on the air but will be
> "Monitwing in da quiet mode" and will be taking occasional notes. And
if Jim
> Dale is reading this, please send me your e-mail address. I lost it.
> Doc
>

Hey, Doc!
Welcome back!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2654. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86572t$m3m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121077

```
<Newsgroup trimmed>
In article <8656aq$liq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <3885CCA5.114D1056@innocent.com>,
> Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> > Lloyd Davies wrote:
> > >
> > > A kill File is a useless pice of trash. We can very easy bypass
by
> using
> > > different subject titles and different names. In time, your
kill-
> file will
> > > choak on itself and lock-up your program, hence defeating your
> efforts!
> > >
> > > --
> > > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > [...]
> >
> > I have to say I think you're wrong on that, Lloyd.
> >
> > If we value the principle of free association, then no one should be
> > forced, by trickery or by law, to read something he doesn't want to
> > read.
> >
> > Just as no one in amateur radio should be forced to listen to
> > transmissions from jammers or unwanted stations commenting without
> > being part of the QSO; and just as no one should be forced to hire a
> > person he finds objectionable for any reason whatsoever.
> >
> > So email and newsgroup filtering is a fine example of a tradition of
> > the Old America, and one that should be reinvigorated: freedom of
> > association.
> >
> > And, after all, we all do filtering of a kind, merely by choosing
> > what we will read. It's unavoidable, since no one can read
> > everything.
> >
> > On the other hand, those, like the "Anti"-Defamation League, who are
> > attempting to get "hate" (read: thoughtcrime) filters placed on
> > library computer terminals and preinstalled in home PCs are censors
> > of the most contemptible kind.
```

> >
> > With every good wish,
> >
> > Kevin, WB4AIO.
> > --
> >
> > Kevin Alfred Strom.
> >
> > News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
> >
> My concept of "freedom of choice" extends outward and inward. I make
> the choice. I don't need a "kill file". I read all points of view and
> form my own opinion on any given subject.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Didn't Lloyd post that he was quitting making comments and the crap and
the war and so forth in the ng?
But her I read and see more of his racist crap etc. on here.
Can you say lying hypocrite?
A killfile would filter out the bad, leaving in the good.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2655. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8657f7mgc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121078

```
In article <86572t$m3m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> <Newsgroup trimmed>
> In article <8656aq$liq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <3885CCA5.114D1056@innocent.com>,
> > Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> > > Lloyd Davies wrote:
> > > >
> > > > A kill File is a useless pice of trash. We can very easy bypass
> by
> > using
> > > > different subject titles and different names. In time, your
> kill-
> > file will
> > > > choak on itself and lock-up your program, hence defeating your
> > efforts!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > > > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > > [...]
> > >
> > > I have to say I think you're wrong on that, Lloyd.
> > >
> > > If we value the principle of free association, then no one should
be
> > > forced, by trickery or by law, to read something he doesn't want
to
> > > read.
> > >
> > > Just as no one in amateur radio should be forced to listen to
> > > transmissions from jammers or unwanted stations commenting without
> > > being part of the QSO; and just as no one should be forced to
hire a
> > > person he finds objectionable for any reason whatsoever.
> > >
> > > So email and newsgroup filtering is a fine example of a tradition
of
> > > the Old America, and one that should be reinvigorated: freedom of
> > > association.
> > >
> > > And, after all, we all do filtering of a kind, merely by choosing
> > > what we will read. It's unavoidable, since no one can read
```

> > > everything.
> > >
> > > On the other hand, those, like the "Anti"-Defamation League, who
are
> > > attempting to get "hate" (read: thoughtcrime) filters placed on
> > > library computer terminals and preinstalled in home PCs are
censors
> > > of the most contemptible kind.
> > >
> > > With every good wish,
> > >
> > > Kevin, WB4AIO.
> > > --
> > >
> > > Kevin Alfred Strom.
> > >
> > > News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
> > >
> > My concept of "freedom of choice" extends outward and inward. I
make
> > the choice. I don't need a "kill file". I read all points of view
and
> > form my own opinion on any given subject.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Didn't Lloyd post that he was quitting making comments and the crap
and
> the war and so forth in the ng?
> But her I read and see more of his racist crap etc. on here.
> Can you say lying hypocrite?
> A killfile would filter out the bad, leaving in the good.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 | ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Lllllloooooyd wakes up to a "brand new day every morning". Here in
the south a common phrase is " He ain't rite, but he's alrite". In
Lllllloyds case it reads " He ain't rite, and he ain't alrite either".

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2656. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3885FF95.648D@arrl.net>
Source record: 121079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Johnny Rico wrote:

> Ed is exactly right. If the League did not publish many of its
> materials, these materials would simply not be available, since the
> ham community is probably too small for a conventional publisher to
> want to serve it. Sheesh, we'd all be criticizing the League if it
> did NOT make license manuals, RFI handbooks, etc. available!

Hello, Roger,

Yes, the League would be criticized if it didn't make such material
available, but I disagree with the first part of your statement. Even
with the ARRL publising a lot of books, there is still a plethora of
good information available from other sources. Without "competition"
from the League pubs, other publishers would fill the gap.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2657. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3886000A.6F9C@arrl.net>
Source record: 121080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:

> Ahem! What is your definition of "Low" Ed? There are several League
> publications that, when I walked into HRO, they told me to put up my
> hands, this was a robbery. :)

Which ones, Rick? If you want a good comparison, go to the ARRL catalog (http://www.arrl.org/catalog) and compare the prices of books that ARRL publishes with the price of books published by others and re-sold by ARRL (RSGB, Noble, etc.)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2658. Re: Why Does Strom Lie? No Morals...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38861048.96F84AF5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121081

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> What's wrong with being a "nazi"? It's ok to be gay, jewish, a communist?
> I think we need to let up on Strom. He is a good man. I agree with alot of
> his thinking.
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

Because Gays, Jews never called for the extermination of a race of people.
Please tell me your pretending to be a complete blithering idiot and that your
posts are just news group trolls.
Post something, anything, that makes even the slightest amount of sense so that
we might know your really not a complete idiot.

ddz

2659. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <zWoh4.99$eX6.704@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 121082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Second that motion. I am within 6 months of qualifying to join QCWA (at age 43),yet I am a Tech Plus. HAve been a Tech since 1980. I have been active in VHF/UHF,am the owner of a UHF repeater,am a ARES/RACES operator and have been so for 20 years......different times I would dig out the Code Practice program and work up to about 10 wpm or so, but then I made my mistake.......I actually turned on the HF rig, and listened to all the Garbage on the upper end of 75 Meters....and lost all interest in upgrading.....AGAIN.....can someone explain to me why I would be more of a detriment to the hobby on HF than some of these southern fried crackers you hear on HF yelling about My frequency,and starting Flame wars on Usenet??

"J. Kay" <jonk@atlantic.net> wrote in message news:38852EEC.7234DC4B@atlantic.net...
> Let's remember that after all: "it's just a hobby", not your career. After all the
> discussions about ham radio being ruined, etc, you would think someone just lost an
> election.
>

2660. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <86581i$k39$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 121083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The "upper end of 75M" is but a small portion of the Amateur HF allocation.
Why do you insist that it is the standard for all Ham Radio to be compared to?
That band is the exception to Ham radio and not the rule. I was listening on
75M just last night and turned it off after about 10 mins of sex jokes and
personal put downs to other ops so I see your point but don't judge all the
bands by those losers.

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Timmins" <timmins@penn.com> wrote in message
news:zWoh4.99$eX6.704@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Second that motion. I am within 6 months of qualifying to join QCWA (at age
> 43),yet I am a Tech Plus. HAve been a Tech since 1980. I have been active in
> VHF/UHF,am the owner of a UHF repeater,am a ARES/RACES operator and have
> been so for 20 years......different times I would dig out the Code Practice
> program and work up to about 10 wpm or so, but then I made my
> mistake.......I actually turned on the HF rig, and listened to all the
> Garbage on the upper end of 75 Meters....and lost all interest in
> upgrading.........AGAIN.............can someone explain to me why I would be
> more of a detriment to the hobby on HF than some of these southern fried
> crackers you hear on HF yelling about My frequency,and starting Flame wars
> on Usenet??
>
> "J. Kay" <jonk@atlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:38852EEC.7234DC4B@atlantic.net...
> > Let's remember that after all: "it's just a hobby", not your career.
> After all the
> > discussions about ham radio being ruined, etc, you would think someone
> just lost an
> > election.
> >
>
>

2661. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?

On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
>
>
>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
>
>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
>tests... OK.
>
>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
>bunch.
>
>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
>
>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC
>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-
>examination on certain topics.
>
>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
>
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2662. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <vjrh4.74$uh7.824@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 121085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

L C D (lowest common denominator) We are not what others perceive, but quite
often
are judge to be.
kb9thn

2663. Re: New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <lcrh4.58$uh7.346@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 121086

you wont be alone!

kb9thn@yahoo.com

Just passed the five word per minute (a small accomplishment for a person almost deaf)and have the general exam under my belt.

I can't see all the hoopla about whether you got your ticket under any number of changes in requirements. There is room for all of us; I think the more we pull into this hobby the better we will all be.

2664. Re: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Swan <mjswan@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <865gnt$8vd$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121087

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> My question is what did he do to tick him off enough to issue
> a "death threat" in the first place. In otherwords, what is the
> "rest of the story"?

After I replied to Chip's initial post (thereby breaking the golden rule as laid out by my 3rd grade teacher, "READ everything before you DO anything."), I ran into other posts by him as well as some from the fellow that allegedly threatened him. Based on the direct quotes that Chip posted in a different thread, I personally thought you had to look pretty hard to see anything that could seriously be taken as a threat. Hopefully these gentlemen will reach a peaceful settlement (or simply ignore each other) so that we can all get back to talking about radios.

Mike

2665. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <zXrh4.138$6w1.10324@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 121088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38858ff0_2@news1.prserv.net>, lawdog2@ibm.net says...
> Ed is exactly right. If the League did not publish many of its
> materials, these materials would simply not be available, since the
> ham community is probably too small for a conventional publisher to
> want to serve it. Sheesh, we'd all be criticizing the League if it
> did NOT make license manuals, RFI handbooks, etc. available!
>
> --
> Roger J. Buffington
> W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Johnny Rico and I agreeing on the same issue?? It's the big one
Elizabeth, I is coming to join you.

Must be something in the water

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

2666. Re: 3950 Voice Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: sonaichabi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000119184447.02639.00000658@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 121089

>Subject: 3950 Voice Chat
>From: "frg9600" frg9600@mindspring.com
>Date: Mon, 10 January 2000 02:31 AM EST
>Message-id: <85c1q9sne1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>http://www.firetalk.com/
>Room 3950

But will Tim KK7HO show up and tell us where Sid K5BLB is hiding??

2667. RE: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: fractenna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000119182804.03558.00000263@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 121090

19 January 2000

Below is a summary of the threats to harm made by Rick Littlefield. Those who have expressed an interest can now see them directly and in context of time and subject.

I am grateful for the help from others in getting Mr. Littlefield to diffuse his focus on my harm.

Nathan 'Chip' Cohen
N1IR

The following is a summary of the threats to harm Dr. Nathan Cohen by Frederick Littlefield.

Pointing out the threats publicly has appeared to have had a positive effect, in that it has made Mr. Littlefield aware of the severity of his statements, although he is strongly in denial.

Dr. Cohen has decided to go to local and federal law enforcement should Mr. Littlefield make any more statements threatening him. He has also publicly suggested, although Mr. Littlefield has not complied, that Dr. Cohen and Mr. Littlefield have no interaction whatsoever, including responses to posts made by the other. This summary, when posted on USENET, is the last one made regarding Mr. Littlefield on USENET by Dr. Cohen.

SUMMARY OF THREATENING COMMENTS

In allusions to Nathan Cohen, Frederick Littlefield made the following statements: Both come from dialogue publicly posted on USENET between Thomas Rauch and Frederick Littlefield. Both are radio amateurs with callsigns W8JI and K1BQT respectively.

****
Re: Goodbye AOL
15 Jan 2000

< Can K1BQT help?>

"Yes I can, Tom. I know an established North End family with a unique approach for resolving libel claims for out-of-town clients. It's not cheap, but it's faster than the courtsâ€¦"

73

Rick K1BQT

****

AND

****
RE: CFA (Cross Field Antenna)
15 Jan 2000

<What do you think?>

If Gypsy Rose Lee turned an indecent occupation into a glamorous art form, Chip has surely done the opposite for antenna design. Could CFA mean "Chip's Final Act?â€¦"

Rick K1BQT

****

Both statements -made the same day--clearly refer to efforts to harm Nathan Cohen. The first refers to a "family" living in a section of Boston with historical links to organized crime; this "family" "resolves" libel claims outside of the court system, for a hefty price. This is a threat against Dr. Cohen designed to prevent him from pursuing legal action for stopping long-term harassment by Thomas Rauch against Dr. Cohen.

The second statement clearly refers to a "final act". Dr. Cohen is a scientist with 33 years of antenna building who is one of the prominent researchers in the field.of antenna design. Mr. Littlefield is stating that there is a "final act" to an ongoing profession for Dr. Cohen. Dr. Cohen has never given any indication that his work in this field is anything but ongoing. Thus we are asked to contemplate a means by which Dr. Cohen is terminated

It is important to note that motivation for such is presented by Mr. Littlefield. He asserts that Dr. Cohen is responsible for the destruction of an occupational field of endeavor, for which Dr. Cohen is well known to the public, into an "indecent occupation".

On 18 January, in another public USENET post between the two, Frederick Littlefield again refers to the 'North End ' reference to Thomas Rauch, confirming the that the intent is to harm Dr. Cohen, in an allusion to the breaking of knees by a "North-End family". Notice the change in wording from "family" to the single "surgeon"-a perversion of the harming of people by breaking their knees-- after Dr. Cohen expressed public fear of harmful intent by Mr. Littlefield:

****
Re: Death Threats
18 Jan 2000

On the 15thâ€¦ I see that I offered to put YOU [Thomas Rauch] in touch with the services of a fictitious North-End Boston knee surgeon to help YOU resolve YOUR libel problemâ€¦.

Rick K1BQT

****
No info is proffered as to why a surgeon from a "North-End family" would be in any way involved in resolving a libel case. The suggestion is now presented that Dr. Cohen can be harmed by having his knees broken, thus indicative of harmful intent.

2668. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Odsh4.1358$xZ3.23190@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
news:38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net...
> Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement.
It
> is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
> one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
> driver.

You're FULL of it!

There are differing written AND driving tests depending on the CLASS
of driver's license you are applying for, AND the type vehicle you
expect to drive!

Do you REALLY think truck drivers face the SAME test, written OR
driving as mere CAR drivers?

Your OWN argument has just turned AGAINST you!

Fool...

Gary - KJ6Q
--
"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."

As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
> the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
>
>
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
> >
> >
> >* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests
is
> >eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code
tests).
> >
> >Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
> >until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
> >The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm
code
> >tests... OK.

> >
> >They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of
the
> >bunch.
> >
> >It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking
exam,
> >so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
> >
> >* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give
the QPC
> >time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
> >license manuals into print before the new structure is put into
place.
> >In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial
credit
> >toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
> >remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring
re-
> >examination on certain topics.
> >
> >They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new
models
> >until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
> >"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has
begun at
> >test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless
Tech
> >boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
>

2669. The W8MMQ Wire - 01/21/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq@email.com>
Message-ID: <865ko3$e30$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121092

January 21, 2000
Target: rec.radio.amateur.misc

The W8MMQ Wire

Welcome to the W8MMQ Wire for January 21, 2000! This newsletter is distributed each week, and is available free to all radio amateurs. If you would like to subscribe to the W8MMQ Wire, send your name and email address to w8mmq@email.com. Thanks!

Please feel free to pass this newsletter along to others. We encourage the free distribution of this newsletter to others, so long as credit is given in some way to W8MMQ. Thank you.

In this issue: The revised amateur radio question pool is coming soon; the ARRL buys Communications Quarterly; malicious interference is a new top priority for the FCC; and much more!

THE REVISED AMATEUR RADIO QUESTION POOL IS COMING SOON

W8MMQ - Drastic changes are coming to the amateur radio service on April 15, 2000, and with those changes comes a new question pool.

The Question Pool Committee of the National Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators says that the new question pool could be out by February 1, or soon after. We will have more information on the new question pool, as it becomes available.

The changes to amateur radio include the elimination of the 13 and 20-WPM Morse code requirements, one of the most controversial topics throughout the ham radio community lately.

ARRL BUYS COMMUNICATIONS QUARTERLY

eHam.Net - In a letter from Mark Wilson, K1RO, ARRL Publications Manager, the following was announced:

The ARRL has purchased Communications Quarterly from CQ Communications and effective January 2000, "Communications Quarterly will be combined with ARRL's technical magazine, QEX."

The letter cites the financial woes of publishing a niche technical journal. "The market for technical publications of the calibre of Communications Quarterly and QEX is a loyal but small one, and the economics of publishing are such that it is difficult for such a small specialty magazine to break even."

The combined magazine will assume the publishing schedule of QEX, and will be published 6 times a year. It appears that the "Quarterly" name will be dropped and the new combined magazine will be called QEX but the letter does not state the name change explicitly.

As a charter subscriber, this writer is concerned that the same, independent, free-wheeling, in-depth, extended, technical articles, as well as the sometimes controversial opinion writing that Communications Quarterly has been known for, will continue. These characteristics distinguished Communications Quarterly from the balance of the Ham Radio media.

Communications Quarterly began as a "Ham Radio" Publication and later became a CQ Publication.

From eHam.Net and the ARRL, January 11, 2000

THE FCC SET TO VOTE ON LPFM SERVICE

W8MMQ - According to various sources within the FCC, and on the internet, the FCC is set to vote on a new, low-power FM radio service on January 20.

The proposed LPFM service will be for non-commercial use only, and will be limited to 100-watts or less. Antenna height will also be limited, to 98 feet or less.

This has been a controversial issue for quite some time. Those who operate "pirate" radio stations (often called microbroadcasters), feel that this latest development means that the airwaves are one step closer to being opened up for everyone. Those in the commercial radio industry are concerned that these new, smaller radio stations may shrink their potential listener base.

For complete information on the LPFM movement, be sure to visit http://www.concentric.net/~radiotv/. The site, provided by Rodger Skinner, is an excellent resource for anyone interested in LPFM.

MALICIOUS INTERFERENCE CASES A TOP PRIORITY FOR THE FCC

ARRL - As the latest batch of FCC correspondence reveals, malicious interference cases continue to comprise the bulk of amateur enforcement cases facing FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Riley Hollingsworth. Recent allegations of deliberate and malicious interference have involved both HF and VHF/UHF operation. Here's a sampling:

On December 30, 1999, the FCC wrote Amateur Extra licensee Frederick J. Roll, NU5M, enclosing a tape recording it said was of a November 13, 1999, QSO between NU5M and KC1ZQ on 14.313 MHz that allegedly included harassing behavior. Hollingsworth said the tape was made by the FCC's High-Frequency Direction Finding Center in Columbia, Maryland. The FCC requested that Roll provide a full explanation of the transmissions and said his response would be used to determine what action the FCC would take in the matter. The FCC also set aside a recent vanity call sign grant to Roll, pending the outcome of the case.

The FCC put Amateur Extra licensee Arthur Visser, W9ART, on notice December 30 that it intends to designate his license for revocation if it learns of additional allegations of malicious interference by the licensee. The FCC says it's received "numerous complaints regarding malicious interference and jamming" apparently originating from Visser's station on 3.950 MHz, most recently on Christmas Eve. The FCC had issued Visser a Warning Notice January 8, 1999, regarding similar allegations. Hollingsworth told the ARRL January 6, 2000, that he and Visser had been in touch by telephone "in an effort to resolve the issues" that led to the FCC letter.

Meanwhile, the FCC wrote General licensee Jeffrey J. Pipenur, WA8IKW, in late December, setting aside his license renewal, granted last October 19. Hollingsworth told Pipenur that the FCC had monitoring evidence indicating that the licensee has "deliberately and maliciously interfered with radio operations of other amateur licensees on 3.865 MHz" last April 13 and 14 and last November 21. The FCC also has sent Pipenur tape recordings of his April 1999 transmissions and requested his response. "This matter will have to be resolved before we can make a decision on your renewal application," Hollingsworth told the licensee.

Hollingsworth told the ARRL that the FCC has received renewed allegations of VHF repeater interference in connection with Anthony J. Barben Jr, N2WNF, of Brooklyn, New York. In June 1997, Barben consented to a 15-month license suspension as part of an FCC effort to resolve a rash of interference cases in the New York City-Long Island area. The suspension followed accusations of willful and malicious interference, using obscene or indecent language and failure to identify. On December 28, 1999, the FCC requested that Barben retake his Technician class Amateur Radio examination under the supervision of FCC personnel by January 30, 2000.

Other repeater interference cases involved two stations in California. The FCC sent Advanced licensee Jensen W. Woods, AH6MX, a Warning Notice for alleged interference with the KC6OKA repeater system in the LA area. Another Warning Notice went out to Technician licensee Gary R. Dent, KE6JUV, for alleged interference to an ATV repeater.

Hollingsworth has said he plans to make more use of the FCC's High-Frequency Direction Finding Center to track down rulebreakers in the coming year. In addition, Hollingsworth now has enhanced monitoring tools at his Gettysburg office.

From the ARRL Letter, January 14, 2000

THE WEEKLY DX REPORT

Here is advance information of the activation of South and North Cook Islands. Peter, HB9BMY, will be active from the 27th of February until the 3rd of March from Aitutaki and Penrhyn, as ZK1XXC. These count as OC-083 and OC-082 towards the RSGB Islands on the Air awards programme. The operation from North Cook will depend upon the availability of flights. Peter will work CW only, near the IOTA frequencies 14.040, 21.040 and 28.040MHz. There will be no low-band and no WARC activities. QSL is direct to HB9BMY or via the bureau.

A useful list of stations currently active in Antarctica comes from The 59(9) DX Report:

R1ANF is active from Bellinghausen Base on King George Island, which counts as South Shetlands, AN-010 for IOTA. QSL is via RK1PWA.

R1ANZ and R1ANT are active from Mirny Base.

R1AND and R1ANL are active from Novolazarevskaya Base.

8J1RL is active from Showa Base on Ongul Island, counting as AN-015 for IOTA. QSL is via JARL.

FT5YG is active from Dumont d'Urville Base on Petrels Island, which counts as AN-017 for IOTA. QSL is via F5LBL.

KC4AAA is active from the South Pole.

EM1U is active from Vernadtsky Base on Galindez Island, which counts as AN-006 for IOTA. QSL is via UT7UA.

From RSGB News and 425 DX News

UPCOMING CONTESTS AND EVENTS

Visit the ARRL Contest Calendar at http://www.arrl.org/contests/ for more contest information.

The ARRL January VHF Sweepstakes, sponsored by the American Radio Relay League, will run from 1900-Z on January 22 through 0400-Z January 24. Operate 6 meters or higher. Visit http://www.arrl.org/contests/announcements/01vhfss.html, or see the December, 1999 QST, for more information on the contest.

The CQ World Wide 160-Meter DX Contest, CW portion, sponsored by CQ Magazine will run from 2200-Z January 28 until 1600-Z January 30 (phone portion will run from 2200-Z February 25 until 1600-Z February 27). Check out http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/160rules99.html for more information.

The YL International QSO Party, CW portion, sponsored by YL International SSBers Inc., will run from 0000-Z January 29 to 2359-Z January 30 (SSB 0000-Z February 26 to 2359-Z February 27). Use general CW bands only.

If you know of an upcoming amateur radio contest or event, send it to w8mmq@email.com, and we will include it in this section. Be sure to send it at least a week in advance. Thanks!

AND ... IN CLOSING

Thanks for reading this edition of the W8MMQ Wire! This newsletter is produced and distributed each week by Dan, W8MMQ, and is available free to all radio amateurs.

Information from the following sources was used in the production of this newsletter: the ARRL Letter, RSGB News, eHam.Net, and other published reports.

An online edition of the newsletter is available at http://w8mmq.net/. All content on the W8MMQ.COM and W8MMQ.NET web sites is Copyright (C) 2000 by Daniel Hicks, Jr.

73 de,
Dan, W8MMQ

2670. Identifying Belden cable help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38865AE0.38B0B169@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have come by a large length of what appears to be Belden 2668 cable. I can't find that cable type in any of my catalogs or in the Belden on-line catalog. What I have appears little used, with gray PVC covering, about 0.5" in diameter, is terminated on both ends with 37-pin Centronics-type male connectors, and is marked thus: "Belden AWM STYLE 2668." Can anyone tell me what this cable is used for, and how much it costs (I probably want to sell it to someone who can use it)? The cable also has an inspection tag from what appears to be a vendor (Kertech in MA) that refers to "Part # LC37(7S)PP." Thanks.

Will
KD7BFX

2671. Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <WVsh4.8399$wk.101611@news1.mia>
Source record: 121094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I notice alot of posts about radios on "e-bay" I bought a radio there once.
I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and some tell me
that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

2672. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Swan <mjswan@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <865mnhfpa1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and
> some tell me that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?

IMHO, both groups of people are correct. The rule for dealing with auctions is "caveat emptor" (let the buyer beware). When you find something you like, do a little research to try and determine what the current market rate is for the item in the condition described. Next factor in the "rarity" of the item and come up with a price you're willing to pay. The most important (and sometimes hardest) part is to promise yourself that you won't get caught up in "bidding fever" and go over this price.

I also like to check up on the seller. I'm a bit more wary of folks without a history of satisfied customers. Drop the seller an e-mail and ask for a phone conversation so you can feel them out and determine if you're comfortable sending them an unsecured money order. Thus far I've not had a bad experience buying or selling on auction sites, and I've picked up a few really sweet deals in the process.

Good luck!
Mike

2673. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38865EC0.D05B139A@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The short answer is that you wouldn't. You sound like you would be a welcome addition to HF. I hope now that the code is within your reach you will QSY down. As far as I am concerned, the code was never the real issue in this interminable debate. The real issue was and is raising and holding a high standard of behavior on HF (and elsewhere). Mistakenly many have thought CW tests could or should accomplish this. I don't think Elements 1(b) and 1(c) ever were very effective "filters." Maybe a few bad eggs were kept off HF as a result, but far more people who would do no harm or be assets to the bands were kept off. I am not one of those who thinks the sky is going to fall after April 15. What we need is more FCC enforcement. Tests may be good for ensuring operator safety and competence, but they are not any substitute for enforcement and strong peer-pressure when it comes to jamming, profanity, and other forms of OTA malice. The nastiness has been there for a number of years. Where did it come from? Enforcement fell off and so did standards of what is considered acceptable behavior. The mess has resulted from an indifferent regulatory agency and from good ops who take the bait and encourage jammers and that lot by responding to their taunts et al.

Will
KD7BFX

Timmins wrote:
>
> Second that motion. I am within 6 months of qualifying to join QCWA (at age
> 43),yet I am a Tech Plus. HAve been a Tech since 1980. I have been active in
> VHF/UHF,am the owner of a UHF repeater,am a ARES/RACES operator and have
> been so for 20 years......different times I would dig out the Code Practice
> program and work up to about 10 wpm or so, but then I made my
> mistake.......I actually turned on the HF rig, and listened to all the
> Garbage on the upper end of 75 Meters....and lost all interest in
> upgrading.....AGAIN.....can someone explain to me why I would be
> more of a detriment to the hobby on HF than some of these southern fried
> crackers you hear on HF yelling about My frequency,and starting Flame wars
> on Usenet??
>
> "J. Kay" <jonk@atlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:38852EEC.7234DC4B@atlantic.net...
> > Let's remember that after all: "it's just a hobby", not your career.
> After all the
> > discussions about ham radio being ruined, etc, you would think someone
> just lost an
> > election.
> >

2674. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38866362.38743743@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Kip Kinkel's father bought him a Glock pistol and a Ruger 10-22 rifle (including a 50-round rotary magazine). The kid was supposed to pay his dad back over time, but in the case of the Glock at least he never did, and never would have had the money to buy it himself.

Yes, parents should be treated as accessories to the crimes their children commit when it is shown that they abdicated their responsibilities to ensure that their kids are mature, stable, and intelligent enough to handle whatever--guns, cars, matches, gummi-bears. This means that they keep up with what is going on in the kid's life, his emotional and mental state, their friends, school performance, etc. It also means proper instruction and limit setting. You know, just like parents used to do. Having done these things, that is all a parent can do, or can be expected to, and then should not be made to answer further. When a child misbehaves so extremely as to cause the death of another, I think it is reasonable to suspect that something is very wrong in their home life, and reasonable to investigate those circumstances. In so many cases kids do wrong, and it comes out that their parents did nothing to prevent, monitor, or intervene in the behavior. Parents have a responsibility to instruct, supervise, and control their children. We take custody away from parents whose neglect results in harm to their children. We should also take action against parents whose neglect results in harm to others. It is a reasonable standard of accountability and one that schools, employers, and government agencies are already subject to.

Will
KD7BFX

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
> Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:388565DA.CF441084@mindspring.com...They should be charged as accessories to
> the
> > crimes their minor children have committed.
> >
> > Will
> > KD7BFX
> >
>
> A *LIE!*
>
> Or at LEAST an intentional misrepresentation of FACT!
>

> Those kids didn't "get guns from their parents", they BROKE INTO and
> STOLE them - one from a grandparent! LOTS different than the
> implication that the parents GAVE the kids the guns! One of the kids
> WAS an avid hunter - but the guns he used were kept locked when not in
> use.
>
> If you are going to demonize GUNS used in these incidents, how about
> CARS? Should the PARENTS also be held responsible for the deaths and
> injuries, as well as property damage caused by their children? Why
> not - those CARS kill as many and MORE "innocent kids" than hated guns
> do! AHHH, but YOU own, drive and enjoy CARS - so aren't ABOUT to place
> restraints on THEM, ARE YOU!

2675. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3886675A.6689F124@mindspring.com>
Source record: 121098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

How are the changes going to lead to a decrease in CW use? That is the
part of the argument for code tests that I find least persuasive.
Compelling CW proficiency just isn't a very good way to promote use of
CW, and never has been since phone operation became commonplace. It is
begging the question to make the argument that since all hams who use CW
on HF had to pass a CW test, CW testing creates an interest in using the mode.

Will
KD7BFX

Phil Wheeler wrote:
>
> Will White wrote:
> >
> > PREDICTION: The licensing changes will result in a net change in band
> > use of less than 10% five years out. Not only that, but think of all the
> > barely used gear that will come on the market as all the people who get
> > their tickets on impulse tire and get bored of radio!
> >
>
> Questionable prediction, I think, Will. If CW use diminishes, there
> will be a push to "re-farm" (ARRL term) significant portions of the CW
> spectra for use in SSB. Not my hope, but if band crowding in SSB
> portions happens with HF SSB licenses easier to earn, it seems a pretty
> obvious fix.
>
> But all of this is guesswork. But I have trouble believing that the use
> of SSB spectra won't increase by more than 10%, while the CW spectra
> will be used less.
>
> Phil W7OX

2676. Re: Upgrade-before or after 4/15?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Felix <felix@risny.com>
Message-ID: <3886652d@news.nais.com>
Source record: 121099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

i'm not whining. I like this new licensing change! now i can finally use HF!
Dana H. Myers K6JQ <Dana@Source.Net> wrote in message
news:3883E439.FB6D32D@Source.Net...
> Amazing. There are still people around that whine about 1968
> when Incentive Licensing was instituted - and Generals lost
> operating privileges until they upgraded to Extra.
>
> Now the tide has turned and there is a new batch of whiners!
>
> Dana
>
>
> Save Ham Radio wrote:
>
> > Before April 15th it's an upgrade, after april 15th it's a free gift!
> >
> > Ten four good buddy?
> >
> > The FCC screwed me while the ARRL held me down.
> >
> > In article <s7pmq03boj8134@corp.supernews.com>, Bruce Bryant
> > <heritage@zelgadis.mich.com> wrote:
> > >Fellow Hams:
> > >
> > >I put together a handy chart detailing the upgrade paths, before and
after
> > >the restructuring takes effect, along with my generic recommendations
as to
> > >whether to upgrade or get CSCE's before 4/15, or upgrade after 4/15.
Check
> > >it out at:
> > >
> > >http://www.qsl.net/w8hp/upgrchrt.htm
> > >
> > >Be sure to let me know of any inaccuracies or omissions.
> > >
> > >Also, while you're there, you might check out out club webpage; it has
lotsa
> > >good general ham links:
> > >
> > >http://www.qsl.net/w8hp
> > >
> > >And if you're going to be in the Detroit, MI area this weekend (Jan

16th),
> > >come to our hamfest! Details are on the club page.
> > >
> > >73 and GL in the contest,
> > >Bruce, AB8CI
> > >ab8ci@qsl.net
> > >
> > >
>

2677. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: perf@inlandcars.com
Message-ID: <perf-1901002008290001@209.163.129.77>
Source record: 121100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7j3h4.207$n77.1590@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>, "BD"
<bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

> You DID, Tit?
> I knew very few CB'rs who'd lower their selves as far as you did with that
> 'handle' (which most vanity-calls are nothing but)...
>
> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7001F792E9449D7C.26352D91D87383D6.57F4E0CE76764706@lp.airnews.net...
> > Know an awful lot about CB lingo? Hmmmmm, how'd ya learn it? Where'd ya
> hear
> > it? How often ya use it? Hmmmm???? Did you "change" your style of
> > communication when you moved over to the ham radio side? Don't you think
> > others can/will also? I know I did....
'Jeez...been driving for a couple of years now in my "big truck". Not once
have I heard someone say " wall to wall" etc..."dirty side down, shiny
side up"

You guys ?? Ya'll ?? youse'guys?? dudes & dudettes? Ladies and Gents,..etc
seem to be watching too much "smokie and the bandit" or "BJ and the bear"
or possibly "Convoy" (Song by C W McCall) played by Kris Kristofferson.(
driving a "R" model mack as I recall. ""this here's the duck, we just
'aint 'gonna pay no toll""...etc

--
per.fid'.e.es adj.

2678. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <1bd14d26.9074e3e2@usw-ex0102-013.remarq.com>
Source record: 121101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Didn't ruffle mine!
I am rather amused by this...shows folks true colors. :)

I stay above 50MHz, and just watch the carnage below....

Boy oh boy can the human psyche despise change!
(...we got us a great big convoy...) ;)

73

In article <c6lh4.101$c13.912@news.uswest.net>, "C.H. Parsons"
<chparsons@uswest.net> wrote:
> ROTFLOL!!
> I just could not help adding that little comment, sorry if I
> ruffled
> feathers.
> Maybe I should have added a happy face-- :-) or ;->

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2679. How much would the ARRL help a ham upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6vvh4.8747$wk.107494@news1.mia>
Source record: 121102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Please? I want to upgrade my ham ticket. But i have a hard time with learning code - i must have lots of mental blocks - Is there some special tapes or some therepy i could take? Can someone reading this that lives in my area help me? I would also need help with the following?

Must i use ULS? I hear it sucks

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

2680. Re: Identifying Belden cable help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Gallagher <KS3Y@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8661et$becm$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 121103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sounds like the stuff that we used to use for the LV controllers for stage lighting. The control console and the dimmer packs were set up with 27, 37,or 53 pin QC's, and the trusses where already pre-wired. all you had to do was get 220 to the trusses, and then daisy-chain the controller cables from the stage box to the trusses. A larger version of the control 'snake' was routed along with the audio snakes to front-of-house where it was QC'd to the lighting control console.

the small chunk I have here is silver-colored jacket with 34 pairs of 26-gauge solid core.

Bill WA3RA

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:38865AE0.38B0B169@mindspring.com...
> I have come by a large length of what appears to be Belden 2668 cable. I
> can't find that cable type in any of my catalogs or in the Belden
> on-line catalog. What I have appears little used, with gray PVC
> covering, about 0.5" in diameter, is terminated on both ends with 37-pin
> Centronics-type male connectors, and is marked thus: "Belden AWM STYLE
> 2668." Can anyone tell me what this cable is used for, and how much it
> costs (I probably want to sell it to someone who can use it)? The cable
> also has an inspection tag from what appears to be a vendor (Kertech in
> MA) that refers to "Part # LC37(7S)PP." Thanks.
>
> Will
> KD7BFX

2681. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <q%vh4.8795$wk.110126@news1.mia>
Source record: 121104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

What does IMHO stand for?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
Mike Swan wrote in message <865mnhfpa1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>> I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and
>> some tell me that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?
>
>IMHO, both groups of people are correct. The rule for dealing with
auctions
>is "caveat emptor" (let the buyer beware). When you find something you
>like, do a little research to try and determine what the current market
rate
>is for the item in the condition described. Next factor in the "rarity" of
>the item and come up with a price you're willing to pay. The most
important
>(and sometimes hardest) part is to promise yourself that you won't get
>caught up in "bidding fever" and go over this price.
>
>I also like to check up on the seller. I'm a bit more wary of folks
without
>a history of satisfied customers. Drop the seller an e-mail and ask for a
>phone conversation so you can feel them out and determine if you're
>comfortable sending them an unsecured money order. Thus far I've not had a
>bad experience buying or selling on auction sites, and I've picked up a few
>really sweet deals in the process.
>
>Good luck!
>Mike
>
>
>

2682. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <8663uihcc1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>What does IMHO stand for?

In My Humble Opinion
Al

2683. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jennifer <nomail@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <gywh4.2561$pk.32881@news3.mia>
Source record: 121106
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Is the "person" you choose the cover of QST magazine? Wow! Some of us are beyond help!

Jen.

2684. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben Wallace <bwallace@sd.cts.com>
Message-ID: <86680b$5mn$1@thoth.cts.com>
Source record: 121107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

It has been MY experience that going to a ham fest or swap meet --
purchasing an item -- usually untested is almost the same as eBay EXCEPT:

using eBay, your audience is huge
there is a feedback system with eBay -- just as there is with local swaps
(be careful of this guy -- this other guy is great-honest....)
my peeve ----- it hasn't eliminated, but is cutting into the predators that
prey on the widows of deceased hams. The word is getting out that the older
ham equipment is worth more than SOME estate hunters want to pay.

Ben

"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:WVsh4.8399$wk.101611@news1.mia...
> I notice alot of posts about radios on "e-bay" I bought a radio there
once.
> I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and some tell me
> that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>
>

2685. Re: Listening to amateur freqs on scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <3886AED3.C35FB26@inreach.com>
Source record: 121108

depends on your location, but here on the west coast at approx 7099 khz
is a station that transmitts code for practice, mostly religious stuff,
the license qth is hollywood, ca.
I listen from 7000-7150, and from 3500-3725 to practice. There are no
truely set freqs, also, the lower end of 1800 to 2000 khz.

Bigdunk2000@webtv.net wrote:
>
> My friend is trying to get his novice license and wants to practice
> reading code by listening to amateur freqs on his scanner.Any ideas as
> to what freqs he should listen to?
>
> And in the end the love you take,is equal tothe noise you make.

2686. Re: ICOM Users net 14.317 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert John <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <862deb$d3f$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121217

You are not alone! I can't hear them in Illinois on my Icom because all I
pick up is TV carrier interference. The net needs to move off 14.317.
73, Bob AA8X
"Greg Boren" <Gregory.Boren@nokia.com> wrote in message
news:b0_g4.593$3D5.12440@news2.nokia.com...
> Hi all! I've been trying to hear the Icom users net Sundays on 14.317 for
> some time but there is always a carrier slightly above the freq,
> (14.31716??) here in Dallas area. I have eliminated local interference,
> tried different radios and have also heard same tone on a trip to San
> Antonio Texas. Anyone else hear this signal or know the source?????
>
>
> Thanks
> Greg/N5SKE
>
>

2687. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <862vll$1p7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121218

In article <3884CBBD.26180A1A@innocent.com>,
Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> The Chief wrote:
> >
> [...]
> > > >You fail to answer my question. Why is it acceptable and
encouraged
> > for every
> > > >minority group in this country to celebrate their culture and
their
> > history,
> > > >except for those of European (white) ancestry?
> > >
> > > Excuse me?
> > >
> > > St. Patrick's day - Irish.
> > > Oktoberfest - German.
> > > Not to mention the numerous Italian, Greek, and other ethnic
> > celebrations held
> > > around the country. All of which are of European ancestry.
> > >
> > > Tom - N8ECW
> > >
> > You forgot COLUMBUS Day
> [...]
>
> And if all the celebrants were permitted, even encouraged, to
> emphasize explicitly that these are celebrations by, about, and for
> White people, then you would have a point.
>
> Happy hamming,
>
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
All I wrote is "You forgot COLUMBUS Day". The rest is by N8ECW.

> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2688. Re: ICOM Users net 14.317 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86325b$3k0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121219

In article <862deb$d3f$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>,
"Robert John" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> You are not alone! I can't hear them in Illinois on my Icom because
all I
> pick up is TV carrier interference. The net needs to move off 14.317.
> 73, Bob AA8X
> "Greg Boren" <Gregory.Boren@nokia.com> wrote in message
> news:b0_g4.593$3D5.12440@news2.nokia.com...
> > Hi all! I've been trying to hear the Icom users net Sundays on
14.317 for
> > some time but there is always a carrier slightly above the freq,
> > (14.31716??) here in Dallas area. I have eliminated local
interference,
> > tried different radios and have also heard same tone on a trip to
San
> > Antonio Texas. Anyone else hear this signal or know the source?????
> >
> >
> > Thanks
> > Greg/N5SKE
> >
> >
>
>
Go buy yourself a MFJ -1025 or 1026 "Noise canceling signal enhancer".
It will elliminate the puter crap, and basically anything else that is
bugging you. (Except for the XYL of course).

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2689. Re: Posts with Pazzazz vs. Board Boredom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8632ck$3mo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121220

In article <6639-3884C447-30@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Dan, It'll be my pleasure. I miss the ham radio outlet for
discussion.
> This time I am going to make some changes: no response to
> insults/polarity, and no involvement in the broadcast issue (I realize
> K1MAN is not doing it at this time).
>
> 73,
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>
I miss it too Bob. Things have gone hill for a looooong time. Looking
forward to your return.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2690. Re: CB Radio now dead? Its headed that way.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8632rk$427$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121221

In article <200001160743.CAA11532@cotse.com>,
"Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote:
> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> Guess CB radio is toast. With the new ham rules coming up
> soon, most of the CBers will be migrating to the other
> high frequency bands. Only ones that will be left will be
> the truckers. Possibly it might become a fun place to
> operate again.
>
>
The real question is....who care's what the cb guys/gals are gonna do?
If they want to play they have to pay. If not. Who cares.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2691. Parts for Mitrek uhf radio/PL info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: k0bv@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <863avc$9n3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121222

Dennis
I can't help with the final. But, if you can
find a subaudible board HLN4181, you're in
business generating any PL tone you want. The
board is programmed with a resistor pack, but the
pack can be replaced with 100K resistors to give
any tone. See www.oregonvos.net/n7kmj/pl/
Do you by any chance know where I can learn
how to connect a HMN1014B dtmf mic to the Mitrek?
I think the mic came from a Syntor, has the same
square plug, but needs power.
Tnx, Bob K0BV

In article <3884FFBA.DDBB7F09@richnet.net>,
"Dennis A. Homerick" <w8nw@richnet.net> wrote:
>
> Thanks for reading this message. I have a 75w
UHF Mitrek with a blowen
>
> final transistor. Need a replacement!!! Also
need a 71.9 decorder reed
>
> (kln-6209a) for same radio.
>
> thanks
> Dennis, w8nw
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2692. Re: license renewal: Help, Elmer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <38850A84.DC96FE5B@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 121223

"David J. Windisch" wrote:

> Gotta renew. Soon!
>
> Been reading FCC stuff, with about the same level of comprehension as with
> IRS stuff <g>
>
> Downloaded the renewal form. There are no changes on my end. No vanity
> call. Plain vanilla renewal.
>
> Do I fill it out and send it in by itself?
>
> If not, with what else?
>
> Other forms?
>
> Fees?
>
> <sigh>
>
> TIA,
>
> Dave, K3BHJ.

I renewed ONLINE!
Just answer the questions.

Whats with the downloading a form?

--
Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
http://www.harvardrepeater.org
http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

2693. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: alice@kramden.moon
Message-ID: <kK8h4.388$b3.1483@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 121224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What's all this talk about secret erections! I think they should be ashamed of themselves.

With apologies to Rosanne Rosannadanna (Gilda Radner).

2694. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KG9QM@ham.org
Message-ID: <eV8h4.389$b3.1483@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 121225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you want to bash the targetted-publications market, look no further than the computer business (er, racket). All these companies are cranking out books of limited worth (most regurgitate the mother-vendor's documentation, e.g. Microsoft, and add little else) at $50 to $80 apiece. They auto-expire in 6-12 months because the next version of software comes out, making them obsolete. Aaakk!

This is BIG business. I am glad the ARRL books are so cheap. (Actually I did not buy many of them because the local library stocks them!).

don

2695. Re: Open letter to ARRL, FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000118213210.03560.00000215@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 121226

Said "brenec@rpi.edu ;

>I understand your concerns about the fate of the bands, but I was a
>little disturbed by your somewhat unwelcoming attitude towards
>newcomers. I think it's important to let newbies get involved in
>ongoing QSOs between "regulars" as a way of cementing their interest in
>the hobby. Otherwise, they'll go away feeling rebuffed, lose
>enthusiasm, and will be less likely to continue operating.

That is a good point. I hope your right!

> It's also important on
>HF bands, since we've all been "selling" the idea of license upgrades in
>order to "earn" the right to use these bands.

> If the reward is to be
>ignored or shunned by those already on the bands, then the upgrade
>doesn't seem to have much value. Let's make sure we don't turn away
>converts!

There are no more upgrades, the licenses will be given away to anyone with a
pulse and the ability to pass an open-book test. Therefore I predicted the
comming "vast wasteland."

But I also proposed a solution: All VE's either resign and give no new tests,
or modify their cw testing to require 1 min perfect copy plus 1 min perfect
sending by hand. You should go back and read the squeils of agony at the
thought of a meaningful cw test, even at 5wpm!

Kï¿½MB

2696. Re: Why it is what it is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000118213429.03560.00000217@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 121227

>Just because one can imagine that the writing _could_ be on the wall
>doesn't mean that the writing _is_ on the wall; and even if the writing
>_is_ on the wall doesn't mean what is written will _come to pass_.

Just because I'm paranoid doesn't mean they're NOT out to get me!

Kï¿½MB

2697. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <38852516.8B197847@innocent.com>
Source record: 121228

beachmaster wrote:
>
[...]
> >
> All I wrote is "You forgot COLUMBUS Day". The rest is by N8ECW.
[...]

That's correct. I was replying to both of you to save wear on my
fingertips.

As ever,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

2698. Re: A Good Source on Antenna Design?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <n8tt@tir.com>
Message-ID: <38853141.2C31@tir.com>
Source record: 121229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Hold on there. My mentor, W8JK, wrote some mightly good books and is a heck of good person, but he did not "invent" radio astronomy. Before I worked with Kraus, I worked at NRAO in the vicinity of the second man in radio astronomy, Grote Reber (though I may have spelled his name wrong). Reber was a radio amateur in the Chicago area when he made the first RA map prior to the war. I have heard, first hand, about Reber's maps being published (a story itself) and then seen and developed by the Dutch who were in German camps durring the war. Kraus and Reber are good friends.
So, who then should get the credit as the first radio astronomer? He was the sickly son of a famous family in electronics who, as things were done in those days, was put to work outdoors investigating directional antennas. The Prof. has given you an assignment. It will be graded. Warm regards, Mac

P.S. In my era, radio amateurs did good work.
P.P.S. Kraus' book changed my life for the better.

Crazy George wrote:
>
> Well, you need the two books that were written by the fellow who invented
> radio astronomy. "Antennas", and "Electromagnetics" by John D. Kraus, W8JK
>
> --
> Crazy George

--
J. Mc Laughlin N8TT@TIR.COM Michigan

2699. Re: New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <863hk8$i78$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121230

>>I believe there should be a end to the currect 1x2 & 2x1 Eextra class
>>call signs on April 15, 2000. Thus, there would be a way to know the
>>difference between real Extra Class holders who earned & proved their
>>abilities with difficult theory & faster morse code. There would be
The theory (written test) haven't changed. Just easier code.
>>a fairly clear way to recognize them as different & better than the
>>"Welfare Extras" starting the last half of April who have little skills
>>& abilities.
>
>I don't know about you, but I wait until those folks open their mouths
Shouldn't make any difference on the phone bands.
>(or start pounding brass).
Here you will know. I doubt an "extra lite" with 5WPM ability will venture
onto teh Extra code subbands. They'll hang out in the Novice subbands
untill their speed improves. (Note: I will be one of those new
"extra lites" :-)).
>

2700. FS "Very Rare" Collins 399B-1 Adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioleo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <mvch4.5849$eX.120088@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121231

I have a "VERY RARE" COLLINS 399B-1 DX ADAPTER

FOR A COLLINS KWM-1 TRANSCEIVER. It is in very good condition, with manual

$550.00 plus shipping

73, Leo KJ6HI

Ph/Fax (310) 670-6969

Thank You, & 73........

2701. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Amirault <ramirault@erols.com>
Message-ID: <8685fo$t6v$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

See comments below...

--
Richard Amirault N1JDU Boston, MA,
U.S.A.
ramirault@erols.com "Go Fly A Kite"

kf6foz wrote in message <388686ef.2482787@news.thegrid.net>...
>
>When buying a radio from someone on ebay, I do what you probably did
>and what everyone else should do and that is, check out the sellers
>history before you bid. I also check to see if they have more than 2
>concurrent auctions running. If they have no feedback and only one
>item, I usually not deal with them till someone else has tried or he
>adds more stuff to let me know he is serious about selling and not out
>to make a quick hundred or more.

So, you'll only deal with someone who is "serious about selling", that's
like saying you won't buy a used car from an individual, only a dealer. Or,
you won't buy a house from *anyone* since they only haven't sold enough
houses to be a "serious" house seller. Yes, it is safer to purchase from
someone who has a high and positive feedback rating, but you *still* can
have problems, even with those folks. Use your best judgement.

(snip)
>Save your
>messages. E-Mail msg's are admissable in a court of law as proof. If
>you are really skeptical, Save the webpage to your harddrive and any
>pictures.

Get Real! E-Mail messages (unless maybe they are digitialy encrypted) can be
easily altered by the receipient. Web pages are the same. Copies of E-Mail
are better than nothing, but not much.

2702. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <388798D4.A38D70E5@uci.edu>
Source record: 120833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
> I've always used "break" over a repeater. One ham told me that, "We don't
> do that", and asked how long I had been licensed. I said, "48 years, and
> 'we' indeed *do* do that." :-)

I've always loved those stories of yours. Must be fun to do that to
those guys.

73, Jim

2703. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38873d83.12618743@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
wrote:
>kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
>news:38868351.1555738@news.thegrid.net...
>> Your still not making your point. What a driver does can kill a
>> person. Morse code has never posed a threat of killing anyone.
>
>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives

When was the last several examples?

AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?

>tho' - in the
>hands of operators and in lands where other more complex and expensive
>modes were not available. Even NOW, low power CW is an active mode
>used byHR back-packers. Far more efficient and basic - as well as
>economical in terms of power consumption, and thus portability.

So what and where do HR back-packers do
their back packing? If CW meets their specific
needs, that is fine, but they don't need a code test
requirement to do that.

2704. ARRL CD-ROM costs, was Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38873bf7.12223000@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 19 Jan 2000 17:54:30 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:

>In a previous article, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
>>If the CD price were lower than the book price, you likely *would*
>>sell more CDs than books. Demand elasticity is a function of price.
>
>And if BMWs were cheaper than Ford Escorts, they'd sell more
>BMWs. Incrementalist pricing at its finest!
>
>Your answer is oversimplistic (or maybe you don't understand
>pricing models).
>
>Pricing models are based on several factors, only one of which is
>demand elasticity.

And it also covers the R&D to produce the product.
The incremental production of a CD-ROM disk may be
cheap, but getting the data prepared may be far more
expensive depending on the source. I'd hope that
all new ARRL publications are being prepared in
a format that lends itself to either direct archiving
on a CD-ROM, or involves only minimal conversion
from the original document production software to
an archive type such as PDF.

If that is done, then there is no significant additional
cost to go from print to CD-ROM. In fact, the new
Handbook should be prepared via computer based
word processing in the first place.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2705. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <ffNh4.101$7Z4.6299@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 120836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Do yourself a favor and don't post when you know nothing about what you are commenting on. It makes you look less than intelligent.

BD wrote in message ...
>And how the heck would you know that?...
>I'd bet that most bidders there have no idea (or don't care) about the
>'avenues' that Ebay offers for letting others know about their bad, or
good,
>experiences with the site. Like anywhere else.. People will complain to
>management and/or to their friends, but they don't bother "helping
>strangers" avoid similar probs.
>
>"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:38872512.8B8B8C8A@ix.netcom.com...
>>
>>
>> That said, the overwhelming majority of people
>> who sell radios on Ebay tell the truth and deal squarely.
>
>
>

2706. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Townsend" <pog@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <IcNh4.7576$603.38536@news4.atl>
Source record: 120837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jon Bloom wrote in message ...
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 14:16:23 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
wrote:
>>On 19 Jan 2000 17:54:30 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>>>And if BMWs were cheaper than Ford Escorts, they'd sell more
>>>BMWs. Incrementalist pricing at its finest!
>>
>>Yes, they would, and they'd make a larger profit too if the BMW
>>cost less to produce than the Escort. That's the case with CDs
>>versus paper books.
>
>No, it costs less to DUPLICATE CDs than paper books. When volume is
>large, that particular cost dominates the cost side. When volume is not
>large, that cost may very well not dominate.
>
>>>Your answer is oversimplistic (or maybe you don't understand
>>>pricing models).
>>
>>I took marketing courses in college. I know how to calculate a
>>min/max solution containing a number of constraining equations.
>
>Without data? Because as far as I know, you have no specific market
>research data covering this issue upon which to draw.
>
>>>Pricing models are based on several factors, only one of which is
>>>demand elasticity.
>>
>>I understand that it is cheaper to stamp CDs than it is to print
>>paper books. And I understand that having a reference work in
>>computer searchable form is very attractive. But I also understand
>>that I'm not going to pay a multiple of the paper book price to get
>>it, and apparently not a lot of other hams are willing to do that
>>either.
>
>And a lot of hams aren't going to buy it even if it costs less than the
>paper book. That's what our data shows.
>
>Jon
>--
>Jon Bloom, KE3Z
>jbloom@arrl.org
>Electronic Publications Manager
>(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

You fellows are not very complimentary towards the other Hams in the ARS. First they won't join the league because they are too cheap to pay the dues now they are too cheap to buy an CD Rom with information that they may find usefull.

Ex-KF4HMN

2707. Re: Death Threats on Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3887A145.EC908213@innocent.com>
Source record: 120838

Death threats and calls for murder or assault are inexcusable on amateur radio. Yet they happen.

There have been documented cases of jammers threatening the lives of legitimate operators on 3950, the latest being from W9ART. And these threats aren't _implied_ threats -- they're direct and unmistakable.

W9ART's death threats, recorded in a .wav file, can be heard at

http://www.3950.net

by clicking on the link in the item about W9ART. His calls for murder are near the end of the recording.

In addition, by going to the audio archive section of the 3950.net web site, you can hear the jammers K5BLB and K5ZU calling for the assault and murder of other operators. Also, there is a recording of K5BLB and some of his associates not only laughing about a fellow amateur's anticipated death, but describing in scatological detail how they intend to desecrate his remains when he dies.

There is a certain kind of mentality that, unable to accept the fact that sometimes others have diverging points of view, or that sometimes others obtain use of a frequency first, that quickly escalates into illegal behavior including threats of violence or calls for assault or murder.

A lower-level variant of the same mentality shows itself with obscenities or crude and gross insults, often sexual in nature.

Sometimes that mentality shows itself on Usenet, too.

It is the mentality of the ignorant, the mentality of the thug.

It would be good if a license examination could be devised which would filter out such persons before they soil our bands, and perhaps if the right people could be convinced that more commercial equipment could be sold thereby, something might be done in that direction.

Of course, a restoration of the culture of the Old America would also go a long way toward solving the problem, but that is beyond the scope the amateur regulations.

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

2708. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3887B393.2C0D6CDC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> Granted the Masataka-style pronunciation of elections is amusing at
> first,

Yep, and that's all it was meant to be. Just some humor in the middle of an otherwise ordinary day.

> but what is it with hams that they turn a newsgroup into a
> anatomical discussion worthy of a junior high school ?

There are only a handfull on this ng that use the type of language your describing, it HARDLY speaks for ham radio as a whole.

> I find this on very few newsgroups.

Well then you need to get out more often because most of the other ng's I've read are no different at all, just a different ng name.

> The same people so very worried about "saving" ham radio from old farts
> and recruting young people by dropping code requirements are the same
> crowd who would cause any parent to shudder at the prospect of their
> child spending 1200 dollars to talk with such people.
>
> Of course, given the language used, perhaps such people have a hidden
> agenda for wanting younger hams....

For the record, your the only one who has even hinted to such a thing. Perhaps you shouldn't worry so much about what goes on here and take a deeper look inside.

ddz

2709. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <6HNh4.308$XB.2016@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120840

YEA!!! What about the black Irish? :)

2710. A real mans ham web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <868a6m$16g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120841
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Among the many things on my page there are links to some great ham radio pages that you ham kiss butts will love and links to some ham radio pages that satire the hobby. Something for all HAMS.

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it. You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

2711. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <546E0134A32D7DBA.1A4A6A23433DE91D.45FB5E6DCE2FF3FB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

>
> W5TIT/Kim wrote in message ...
> >Proper protocol for breaking in on an emergency is "Break Break." The only
> >reason I bring this up is that it is a "prosign" and has proper usage.
>
>
> Cap'n Crunch wrote:
> Procedural siganls (pro-signs) do not include "Break" or "Break, Break".
> Pro-signs are meant for use in CW, such as "BT" (da dit dit dit da) which
> actually signals a "break in text" (very commonly used as a period by many
> hams)
>

From: http://www.iea.com/~donf/pan/POGqsigs.html

Abbreviations, Prosigns, Prowords
CW--Phone (meaning or purpose)

BK--Break; break me; break-in (interrupt transmission on cw. Quick check
onphone).

I was mistaken to use the term "prosign." The term I should have used
is "proword." Break Break must be a local use term.

2712. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Walton <kf6fgb@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3887BEF4.CA354B22@pacbell.net>
Source record: 120843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

What a crock! Mr. KF6FOZ -- John Johnson, no-code tech should walk-the-walk,
as they say. Extra class my foot.

Mr Cold Shower wrote:

> I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
> pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
> you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
> want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
> whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
> they can fix things for you.
>
> Extra class, killed in action.
>
> cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
> <38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:
>
> >Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It
> >is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
> >one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
> >driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
> >the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
> > >
> > >
> > >On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > >>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
> > >>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
> > >>
> > >>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
> > >>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
> > >>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
> > >>tests... OK.
> > >>
> > >>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
> > >>bunch.
> > >>
> > >>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
> > >>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
> > >>
> > >>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC

> >>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
> >>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
> >>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
> >>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
> >>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-
> >>examination on certain topics.
> >>
> >>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
> >>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
> >>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
> >>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
> >>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >>Before you buy.
> >

2713. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Walton <kf6fgb@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3887C1E1.E4BB623@pacbell.net>
Source record: 120844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

OOPS, my apologies to KF6FOZ. I misread the tag and 'flamed' him for the "Mr. Cold Shower" comment about farting 5 wpm.

For all we know... the anonymous "Cold Shower" might not even hold a license.

Mr Cold Shower wrote:

> I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
> pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
> you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
> want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
> whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
> they can fix things for you.
>
> Extra class, killed in action.
>
> cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
> <38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:
>
> >Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It
> >is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
> >one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
> >driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
> >the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
> > >
> > >
> > >On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > >>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
> > >>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
> > >>
> > >>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
> > >>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
> > >>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
> > >>tests... OK.
> > >>
> > >>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
> > >>bunch.
> > >>
> > >>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
> > >>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"

> >>
> >>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC
> >>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
> >>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
> >>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
> >>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
> >>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-
> >>examination on certain topics.
> >>
> >>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
> >>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
> >>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
> >>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
> >>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >>Before you buy.
> >

2714. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: lop <lop@rom.com>
Message-ID: <3887CFDD.58AF@rom.com>
Source record: 120845
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

> > If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
> > choice or have your picture on
> > the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?

The fact that this question has to be asked is very scary to me.

2715. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3887D31C.14A22599@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

BD wrote:
>(something not worth reading again...)

When you get a name or callsign, ask me again, pissant.

2716. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3887D342.315BEAF6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I've always used "break" over a repeater. One ham told me that, "We don't
> > do that", and asked how long I had been licensed. I said, "48 years, and
> > 'we' indeed *do* do that." :-)
>
> I've always loved those stories of yours. Must be fun to do that to
> those guys.

Yep, and I pull it off every chance I get. I love being
bizarrely politically incorrect. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

2717. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <868kr1hhf1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

wow! I'm having "smoky and the bandit" flashbacks!
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:COdh4.713$72.8923@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> "when a nerve gets hit"? I've never been "into" CB (it used to be
impossible
> not to know someone who was, tho), so.. just what is this nerve you've
hit,
> Tit?...
>

2718. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: PeterMaus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3887D945.26565C5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Not that this is terribly relevant, but when I moved to
Texarkana, in 1980, The first time my wife and I went to the movies
we were stunned to see that Smokey and the Bandit was showing. I
asked the manager of the theatre, and he told me with some pride,
that Smokey and teh Bandit was still in its original run at Joys
Cinema 7 and soon they were going to be adding 6 more rooms so they
can put it on 2 SCREENS!
Longest year and a half of my career.

Brian Denley wrote:
>
> wow! I'm having "smoky and the bandit" flashbacks!
> Brian Denley
>
> --
> "There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
> miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein
>
> BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
> news:COdh4.713$72.8923@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> > "when a nerve gets hit"? I've never been "into" CB (it used to be
> impossible
> > not to know someone who was, tho), so.. just what is this nerve you've
> hit,
> > Tit?...
> >

2719. Tube / Parts take a look

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: yodabean1@yahoo.com.nospamplease
Message-ID: <knkf8somvlo0trd0nrifh0j0o59fjsr005@4ax.com>
Source record: 120850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi;

One lot of (250) 9 pin miniature tube shields. These are for the
12AX7, 12AU7 ...etc tubes. Current bid is only $10.50 on the entire
lot.

I have seen these go for over $3.00 each on the net.

This is the last lot I will have up. I doubt you will ever find a deal
like this again!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=241152438

2720. Re: The "real" revised FCC test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01906254.a8693601@usw-ex0102-011.remarq.com>
Source record: 120851

ROTFLMAO!
Most grounded commentary on the ARS I've read all year.

Too bad the ones that should gain from it most are forever
closed off. What a waste of a life....

Keep the humor coming. ;)

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2721. Re: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11733-3886A288-3@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120982

Dan, on this one I have to disagree. Anyone who issues that kind of threat is committing a serious crime in the threat per se. That gentleman needs to be stopped.

In my opinion, Dan, to dignify the threat with the rest of the story is to give it legitimacy.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

2722. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <U%yh4.2241$xZ3.44636@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
news:38868351.1555738@news.thegrid.net...
> Your still not making your point. What a driver does can kill a
> person. Morse code has never posed a threat of killing anyone.
>

That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives tho' - in the hands of operators and in lands where other more complex and expensive modes were not available. Even NOW, low power CW is an active mode used byHR back-packers. Far more efficient and basic - as well as economical in terms of power consumption, and thus portability.

> I currently posses a Class A driver license with Double/Triple
> Endorsements. They test you to see if you can operate a vehicle
safely
> on all parts of these test, meaning if you can drive without causing
> accidents or killing someone.

I *seriously* doubt you hold those driving license "endorsements" you NOW boast of - otherwise you wouldn't have made the following STUPID claim:

====
kf6foz wrote:

>>>There is only
> >> one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
> >> driver.
====

And THAT was the basis of my post - you know - the one YOU are now trying to evade...

AS far as code requirements - and their eventual effects on the "hobby" as we have known it in the past - guess it doesn't REALLY make all that much difference to old farts like me. By the time HR is REALLY kicking it's last, so will we - and the rest will be involved in whatever Internet has "evolved" into - it probably has already siphoned off the core of those who WOULD have otherwise possible become or remained active hams.

Thank God *I* won't have to see it - until recent years, HR has been pretty good for me - the electronics experience it provided help me excell in those aspects of my employment thru the years, and will no doubt continue to satisfy for a decent period in the future now that I have retired and have more free time on my hands.

The pity is, newcomers will never know or appreciate a similar
experience, or know Amayeur Radio for anything more that a mysterious
appliance to be used to talk to a few others using similar mysterious
appliances as they exchange "first personals" and "20's" as they
"shoot skip" on the new bands that have been opened to them.

> >Gary - KJ6Q
> >--
> >"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
> >spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat

2723. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwoody1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000120033444.03557.00000625@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 120984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Just to cut through the crud and acually answer the question:

As a ham all you do is throw out your call. "Break" is usually reserved just to break into a ongoing conversation for an emergency. If you wanted to join the conversation ,then simply throw in your call. There might be a few people say different , as I am sure there will be in this newsgroup. But I can gaurentee you that if you use this procedure, you won't have any one correcting you or giving you a sermon on proper procedure while talking on the radio.

Hope this helps , Woody

2724. Re: How much would the ARRL help a ham upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <866ssg$sk6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120985

Nocodegeek wrote in message <20000120000044.03555.00000370@ng-cq1.aol.com>...
>hey lloyd the toid
>
> being a member of the "master race"
> as you claim why cant you master
> a 5 wpm test?.. dum ass huh?
> why dont you ask your god who
> you claim is only going to let
> white folks in the pearly gates
> and everybody elses going to burn
> is there really one god for each color?
> lloyd i know of a few jews, blacks
> and just plain old white folks
> that have pass more then 5 wpm
> (whats wrong with this picture)
> its funny to read your posts
> into the groups . i always wonder
> how someone could be that dum
> and still have a pluse ?
> you are alive "R I G H T ?"
> "''' tiny tinna this" ha
> maybe you sould sell all them junky
> SWR radios you own? and get you
> a transmitter so you can be ready
> when you do pass.............
> N O T !!!!
> HAHAHHAHA EHHEHEHEH
> IM SURE AS THE SUN SHINES
> ON MY WHITE ASS YOULL NEVER
> PASS THAT HAHAHHAHAHEHEHHE
> HOOHOHOOHH
>
> o and by the way you , kevin , steve
> vic, harvey and all the 3950 fags
> that talk their master race BS "and you sitting at home listening and
>jacking off
> cause thats all you can do"
> can KISS MY 100% WHITE ASS
>
> have a gay day
> ken

LOL!
Good flame, but here's a hint: Use a spellchecker.
I'd give it a B -.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2725. Re: HAM vs HAM or Point Counterpoint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <3886CE9E.D6A752BD@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 120986

MailfrmPA wrote:

> >Of course
> >considering how dead the cw bands are, unless their is a contest,
> >shows me most folks don't use it anyway. I don't unless there is
> >a contest, or a dx station I want.
>
> Your brain is a lot more dead than the cw bands, there OM!

Wow! Nice amateur conduct here.

> > CW is basically history, bury it as a license requirement.
> >Make it a endorsement to your license, if you want it. Give the
> >CW boys 50 kc and be done with it.
>
> And you say this because you are a 'phone operator, and won't miss the space?
> Soory, bud, but you're part of the problem, not the voice of reason with the
> solution!

You are calling Dan a "Phone operator",
You obviously have never worked W4NTI in CW!

> Kï¿½MB

--
Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
http://www.harvardrepeater.org
http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

2726. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Swan <mjswan@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <866oka$d8h$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Al, N2NKB <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
> >What does IMHO stand for?
>
> In My Humble Opinion
> Al

Oops, sorry. No codes or ciphers on the ham bands...
:-)

Mike

2727. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dolph <raceminoraSPAM@ntplx.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <01dfed54.84650a15@usw-ex0107-050.remarq.com>
Source record: 120988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Let me relate a "good" experience on an e-bay purchase.

I purchased a Yaesu FRG-7 that was said to be in "mint condition".
When I received it 5 of the 8 dial bulbs were not working. Otherwise
it was in "mint condition". I e-mailed the seller about the bulbs
being burnt out. He said that they probably went bad during shipment,
but he agreed to refund $20 to cover the bulbs and labor. I spent $8
for the bulbs and 1/2 hour to open the receiver and replace them.

Ovreall I'm happy with the deal, eventhough I had to do a little work.
I guess I'm lucky that I was dealing with someone who has the integrity
to make good on a purchase.

Just my experience...

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2728. Re: WWV Y2K prank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: greasy grimy gopher guts <bookie@aol.com>
Message-ID: <aKDh4.1796$D4.61867@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kevin wrote in message ...

>Jeff, listen to 10.000 MHz sometime, especially in Asia, there is
>a lot of activities by marine and ham stations.

True. Listen in USB to 10 or 15 mHz during daylight hours
and spanish speaking stations "hiding" under WWV are
easily heard. This is an old trick of drug trafficers
and clandestine operations. You transmit to your inner-group
which are just a few miles away from each other, while
operating directly on the same frequency as a high power
shortwave broadcaster or other commercial station. Casual
listeners will hear just the Broadcaster, unless, the
clandestine stations propigate into the "shadow area" of
the broadcast station they are trying to hide beneath.
In this case they are often heard in-the-clear. This tactic
was commonly employed by the North Vietnamese Military
during the Vietnam war. We could occasionally hear them
but it was a clever tactic that is still used by stations
who which to hide by cammoflaguing themselves and their
point-to-point SSB. Again, take a casual listen to WWV
10 and 15 during daylight and you'll hear a dozen stations
daily on SSB operating with tactical callsigns and coded
voice transmissions in Spanish language. Wouldn't suprise
me a bit if they were drug trafficers.

Ken - Darlington SC

2729. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88e0h0.1d2.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 120990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 12:29:13 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 13:03:22 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>> If we
>>sold as many CD units as book units -- if we sold even half as many --
>>the CD price would be lower than the book price.
>
>If the CD price were lower than the book price, you likely *would* sell more
>CDs than books. Demand elasticity is a function of price.

That's only one factor -- it's hard to sell snow shovels in Florida at
any price. The reality is that the majority of buyers don't yet want
their books electronically, and price has little to do with it.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2730. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E024AAA96209B7B5.A6810D9487FDE001.943B1AD11915CC8A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Proper protocol for breaking in on an emergency is "Break Break." The only reason I bring this up is that it is a "prosign" and has proper usage. We have this debate around here because people who are unaware of the "debate" here will invariably key up on the "RACES" repeater and say "break" to get into a conversation. They are always immediately reprimanded by people who don't understand what the heck they are talking about and tell them they were wrong to say "break" because it means an emergency. Break is a request or signal that you wish to join (or even interrupt) a conversation. You could also achieve the same goal by just giving your callsign.

Kim W5TIT

WWoody1 <wwoody1@aol.com> wrote in article
<20000120033444.03557.00000625@ng-ck1.aol.com>...
> Just to cut through the crud and acually answer the question:
>
> As a ham all you do is throw out your call. "Break" is usually reserved just
> to break into a ongoing conversation for an emergency. If you wanted to join
> the conversation ,then simply throw in your call. There might be a few people
> say different , as I am sure there will be in this newsgroup. But I can
> gaurentee you that if you use this procedure, you won't have any one
correcting
> you or giving you a sermon on proper procedure while talking on the radio.
>
> Hope this helps , Woody
>

2731. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: hnlute@optcamel.com
Message-ID: <MPG.12f0b8242399ea6d98973b@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <01dfed54.84650a15@usw-ex0107-050.remarq.com>,
racemiNOraSPAM@ntplx.net.invalid says...
> Let me relate a "good" experience on an e-bay purchase.
>
> I purchased a Yaesu FRG-7 that was said to be in "mint condition".
> When I received it 5 of the 8 dial bulbs were not working. Otherwise
> it was in "mint condition". I e-mailed the seller about the bulbs
> being burnt out. He said that they probably went bad during shipment,
> but he agreed to refund $20 to cover the bulbs and labor. I spent $8
> for the bulbs and 1/2 hour to open the receiver and replace them.
>
> Ovreall I'm happy with the deal, eventhough I had to do a little work.
> I guess I'm lucky that I was dealing with someone who has the integrity
> to make good on a purchase.
>
> Just my experience...
>
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>
>
The feedback system does work quite well and we use it to determine
quality of the buyer/seller before either merchandise or money is
exchanged. If a problem occurs I ALWAYS refund either $$ or the item.
Our rating is not 470 and climbing daily.
friend,
Lute

--
Howard and Kelly Lute
He: Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber
She: Patient eBay Name = kell eBay Rating = 470
optcamel@ix.netcom.com or hnlute@optcamel.com
Pirate Radio Web Page = http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel2.html

2732. Re: How much would the ARRL help a ham upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner <darryl@shecora.com>
Message-ID: <38871F8E.E769CB51@shecora.com>
Source record: 120993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> Please? I want to upgrade my ham ticket. But i have a hard time with
> learning code - i must have lots of mental blocks - Is there some special
> tapes or some therepy i could take? Can someone reading this that lives in
> my area help me? I would also need help with the following?

No, you don't have lots of mental blocks. With few excepts code doesn't
come easy. Learning code takes time. But using the Koch method it is
really easy with with MorseCat Beta http://www.qsl.net/dk5ci/. Be sure
to get the beta version. Earlier versions of worst than useless!
Also read: http://www.hfradio.org/koch_1.html

If you haven't learned code at 5 WPM, then start at 13 WPM. You can
do it just as quick or quicker with Koch and MorseCat, plus you will
enjoy
it after you learn it.

>
> Must i use ULS? I hear it sucks

I didn't have any problem with it.

Good Luck!
--
Darryl Wagoner
Moderator of the Global Early Warning EMail System
http://dova.shecora.com/y2k/earlywarning.html

We need you!!!!

2733. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388721E2.CB0704DE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
> The pity is, newcomers will never know or appreciate a similar
> experience, ...

That can be said about a lot of things, Gary - horses and slide-
rules come to mind. Things change - time moves on.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2734. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000120095245.02584.00001184@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 120995

In article <86680b$5mn$1@thoth.cts.com>, "Ben Wallace" <bwallace@sd.cts.com> writes:

>It has been MY experience that going to a ham fest or swap meet --
>purchasing an item -- usually untested is almost the same as eBay

Not true. Almost all equipment can be tested at a ham fest or swap meet. If I see something I'm interested in, I ask for permission to take the item out to my car for a few minutes. If the seller is someone I don't know personally, I offer them cash in the amount of the item's selling price, in order to assure them of it's return. My vehicle contains everything I need for quick and accurate testing of radio equipment: antennas for the various ham bands; accurate meters for determining wattage, modulation, current draw, and frequency stability; plus quick power hookups for both 12-13.8VDC and 120VAC via an onboard voltage inverter. I can determine everything I need to know in less than 5 minutes. Not so for online auctions. You payeth your money and you taketh your chances.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2735. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38872512.8B8B8C8A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> I notice alot of posts about radios on "e-bay" I bought a radio there once.
> I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and some tell me
> that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?

If you buy at a hamfest or a flea market, you can find good
deals and bad deals. It depends on the honesty of the seller
and the common sense, knowledge and judgement of the buyer.
Ebay is no different.

I have both bought and sold on Ebay many, many times
over nearly three years. I can count the bad deals
on one hand and have fingers left over.
I sure as heck can't say that about hamfests.

In general, dealing on Ebay has given me a better attitude
about my fellow man. Now, there are crooks everywhere
and sooner or later you get "taken."
Also, some people tell the truth about an item to the
best of their knowledge and make honest mistakes-
like describing a military BC-348 with an AC supply
as being "unmodified-" he's not "lying;" it's an honest mistake.
The buyer should know something about what he's buying
and use good judgement, just like at a hamfest.

That said, the overwhelming majority of people
who sell radios on Ebay tell the truth and deal squarely.
And I've added many, many rare radios to my collection that
I would never have found in a lifetime of hamfests.
Some were cheap and some were dear, but I'm glad for all of them.

73 DE Dave Stinson AB5S

2736. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <948383635.836149@rochesterEDGE>
Source record: 120997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT/Kim wrote in message ...
>Proper protocol for breaking in on an emergency is "Break Break." The only
>reason I bring this up is that it is a "prosign" and has proper usage.

Procedural siganls (pro-signs) do not include "Break" or "Break, Break".
Pro-signs are meant for use in CW, such as "BT" (da dit dit dit da) which
actually signals a "break in text" (very commonly used as a period by many
hams)

>We have will invariably key up on the "RACES" repeater and say "break" to
>get into aconversation. They are always immediately reprimanded by people
>who don't understand what the heck they are talking about and tell them
they
>were wrong to say "break" because it means an emergency. Break is a
>request or signal that you wish to join (or even interrupt) a conversation.
You >could also achieve the same goal by just giving your callsign.

"Break" or "Contact" is used on HF SSB in some cases to break into a QSO or
a net (again... as you said, just your call-sign will do as well)

In our area (Detroit), using "break" or "break break" on most area repeaters
would earn you the same scolding you speak of. On almost all the 2m/440
repeaters here, "break" means you need the frequency NOW. Around here, to
get into a QSO, the best way is to just toss in your callsign at the
"tail-end" of someone's transmission. I'd say listen for a while or ask in
your area as to what proper practice is... it differs considerably across
the US.

2737. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.H. Parsons" <chparsons@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <xoGh4.356$v4.6549@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I was merely making a comment on an earlier post, not on the original post.
The question of your callsign's vugarity or lack thereof is not what I was
posting to.
I happen to think it is not, but my original post stands--
"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:CAD6B04C6175EE33.D6216E7E8CBCCE98.36E54EAF1B1E36B7@lp.airnews.net...
> C.H. Parsons <chparsons@uswest.net> wrote in article
> <tamh4.317$c13.1350@news.uswest.net>...
> > I don't want to ruffle too many feathers, (here it comes) after I got
out of
> > the
> > Army--many years ago--a guy told me "vulgar language shows lack of
> > intelligence
> > and by that I mean that you could not think of anything else to say."
> > He sure hit nerve, and to this day I try and use what brain cells I have
> > left to make
> > my point without using vulgar terms.
> >
>
> That's really nice, C.H., really nice. Did this guy also tell you, years
ago,
> that being an informed commenter would also lend credence to your
intelligence?
> I have just said, in an intelligent way, the same thing I could have said
> thusly: You are an idiot for not knowing what it is you are commenting on.
>
> The *way* I said it makes no reflection on how intelligent I am, probably
more
> how *emotional* I am about what I am saying.
>
> By the way...the topic here WAS that Aaron thinks my callsign, W5TIT, is
> vulgar. So, you see? I don't think there was ever even any vulgar
language in
> this thread... Maybe, for once, Aaron will even agree with me on that
> one....probably not....
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> >
> > "Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com> wrote in message
> > news:EJIf4.201$c7.20058@news.ntr.net...
> > > Arthur H. Wertz wrote
> > > >Sure hope most families don't read these emails

> > > >[Aaron and Kim using vulgar slang terms in Usenet posts]
> > >
> > > I think most would agree that Usenet is *not* a place for families.
> > > Vulgarity, profanity, threats, and name calling have been the norm
here
> > > for some time. Many ISPs require a special warning/authorization
> > > screen just to get here.
> > >
> > > On the other hand, Ham Radio has been touted as a family hobby for
> > decades.
> > > And for most of those decades, with a few exceptions, it has been
true.
> > > But I think many hams will agree with me that in recent years, things
> > > have been changing for the worse. Call me a prude if you want, but I
> > > think it's an unfortunate change...
> > >
> > > Let's hope that future ham licensing applications won't require a
warning
> > > and parents authorization disclosure statement...
> > >

2738. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3887375D.43EC@arrl.net>
Source record: 120999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

claudefraud@my-deja.com wrote:

> "Those "20WPM Extras" that are icons of Amateur Radio legend have given
> us underlings a good education on how to act
> on HF by putting these practices on display on 3898, and 14313 and
> other pompous sections of those elitist bands.

I don't think that those hams, Extra or other, who engage in illegal
operation on any frequency are seen as being "icons" by more than a
small sliver of Amateur Radio operators.

The frequencies you cited are not in the Extra sub-bands...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2739. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: km5n.nospam@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.12f0f7b87da9335298969f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.amateur.antenna and a copy was
sent to the cited author.]

In article <387E4812.DEBA864C@research.bell-labs.com>,
salex@research.bell-labs.com says...
> That said, I was frightened by how little I learned preparing for the tests
> and how little use I expect that to have

I agree that the actual knowledge needed for the initial tests is
lamentably small. The Advanced (4A) and Extra (4B) elements do test
technical knowledge; 4A is actually a fairly stout test if you don't work
professionally in electronics, and take the test in good faith. (That
is, work the problems, don't memorize the answers).

> As to the texts, I picked up a text, somewhat on a whim at Radio Shack
> while I was looking for something else. I was appalled to find out that it
> had the list of questions with answers and brief explanations. I had
> expected much more general information to fit the pieces together.

I was always disappointed (even before I took any of the elements)
that the tests were multiple choice, and that the question pools were
available in their entirety to the public. After the FCC's restructuring
announcement, I suggested to the two VECs that I am associated with that
multiple choice testing should be replaced by fill in the blank, or that
the question pools not be public domain. Alas, it doesn't look as though
that is going to happen.

> Ultimately, I guess I believe the most useful test would consist of being
> handed a box of parts and told to construct a transceiver and to make a
> successful contact with it. That would seem to cover the range of
> privileges granted by the license quite well. Failing that, it would seem
> that even with the pass-the-test type books, if passing the test required
> the applicant to make an on-air contact under the supervision and coaching
> of the VE, there would be a good opportunity to pass along some of the
> traditions and practices of amateur radio.

I agree that some kind of practicum would be an excellent idea for
the higher class of license. Your first idea has a lot of intellectual
merit, but I fear that it would raise the bar higher than would be
necessary for licensing. After all, commercial licensees don't have to
pass that kind of standard. It makes little sense that amateurs should.

> A final note: While my goal through all of this was telecommand and
> telemetry, my interest was piqued enough that I've started thinking about
> buying a rig and upgrading my (soon to be) license.

Everybody has different interests, and the hobby is big enough to encompass all of those interests. My original goal was to get on the HF phone bands so I could get real-time practice with foreign languages. I have discovered that I very much enjoy rag-chew QSOs using medium speed CW, and 90% of my air time is spent on the CW HF subbands. (With very little time spent on the phone bands, and even less using my decaying language skills.)
Restoring old radios has become another pursuit, and in the future I would like to get involved in the microwave bands, work with spread spectrum technology, and perhaps EME some day. All have their aficianados, and all enrich the hobby.

> So, even for those
> who want to preserve the traditions of amateur radio, you might consider
> how many are being kept away by the seeming insularity of some of the
> requirements at the same time you count the number of CBers who will be
> taking over the airwaves.

Some (many?) bemoan the fact the the telegraphy requirement is being reduced because of the loss of tradition. In the end, it is not the FCC's job to structure regulation to maintain tradition, but to create a pool of part-time radio operators and hobbyists who will "advance the radio art." I suspect that if the ITU did not mandate testing telegraphy for access to the HF bands, that the FCC, and most other national regulatory agencies, would not require it for licensing.

Adam, KM5N
km5n.NoSpam@earthlink.net

2740. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: km5n.nospam@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.12f0f99b397c67519896a0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 121001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.amateur.antenna and a copy was
sent to the cited author.]

In article <zWoh4.99$eX6.704@newsfeed.slurp.net>, timmins@penn.com
says...
> been so for 20 years......different times I would dig out the Code Practice
> program and work up to about 10 wpm or so, but then I made my
> mistake.......I actually turned on the HF rig, and listened to all the
> Garbage on the upper end of 75 Meters....and lost all interest in
> upgrading.........AGAIN............

There's the mistake. Avoid 75 meters and things will seem a lot
more appealing.

Adam, KM5N

2741. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <867fcd$hu3$1@208.207.71.145>
Source record: 121002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom wrote:
>

> The reality is that the majority of buyers don't yet want
> their books electronically, and price has little to do with it.

I don't think so. I'd give $100 for all the issues of QST
(even excluding the ad pages) if they were available on 10
CDs. Same for Ham Radio Magazine on CD. But not >$300 each.
The new handbook on a single CD for $20? New Antenna manual
for $15? Yes, anytime. But those prices aren't here yet, and
Nope, its just not that large a priority to spend the
current amounts on them, where they're available at all.
If your statement reflects current League thought, a poll
testing the potential market might be in order. I think the
buyers are there if the prices were more attractive.

2742. Re: funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <867lns$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121003

In article <21010000.3649@hotmail.com>,
successful2@fcmail.com wrote:
> What's the difference between women at ages of 8, 18 , 28, 38 and
48???
> The answer is :
> 08 - You take her to bed and tell her a story
> 18 - You tell her a story and take her to bed
> 28 - You don't need to tell her any story and take her to
bed
> 38 - She tells you a story and takes you to bed
> 48 - You tell her a story to avoid going to bed
>
> Tom
> --
> http://i.am/disable
>

LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2743. Re: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <867lr3$g5b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121004

In article <20000120000314.03555.00000371@ng-cq1.aol.com>,
nocodegeek@aol.com (Nocodegeek) wrote:
> hahhhhhahgahahhahahha
>
> hahhah
>
> lloyd couldnt buy a woman
> with a 100 bucks in a texas ho house
>
> ahhahaha
>

Nows, cut Lloyd some slack..he is disabled...

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2744. Re: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <867h2hoqv1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121005

What exactly did N0VFP say about me?
AF2Y

In article <20000117082141.26029.00001326@ng-fr1.aol.com>,
n0vfpsucks@aol.com (N0vfpsucks) wrote:

>Subject: Re: Disband B.A.R.F?
>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>Date: 1/15/2000 5:10 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <Qf6g4.5982$8z5.74024@news4.mia>
>
>I just want to say that i'm no longer intetested in name calling, fighting
>etc. I just want people to quit making fun of me!?
>
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> The FCC didn't assign me
>Very Fine Person for no reason!
>
>Athens, AL 35611>Subject: Liberty Net mentioned in the SPOTLIGHT!
>>From: "Lloyd Davies" n0vfp@bellsouth.net
>>Date: 1/16/2000 9:33 PM Eastern Standard Time
>>Message-id: <Lcvg4.9025$8z5.113475@news4.mia>
>>
>
>Well Lloyd, I see that you couldn't control yourself. You couldn't wait to
>post something about AF2Y and David Castle, as long as it's someone eles's
>comments. I see you really stuck to that "i'm no longer intetested in name
>calling, fighting" BS that you posted earlier, but what can we expect,
>considering its you.

2745. Re: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <867ontiht1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121006

In article <11733-3886A288-3@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
AllAmericn@webtv.net (Robert Morris) wrote:
> Dan, on this one I have to disagree. Anyone who issues that kind of
> threat is committing a serious crime in the threat per se. That
> gentleman needs to be stopped.
>
> In my opinion, Dan, to dignify the threat with the rest of the story
is
> to give it legitimacy.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>
That is exactly what I'm trying to determine. Is it a "legitimate"
threat? Or, as most likely, a figure of speech that was mis-
interpreted. Or at worst a heat of the moment comment. What makes the
difference in a utterence of such things is if any action is taken, or
if
there is a reasonable chance action is able to be taken. Catch my
drift?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2746. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38874E36.50F1@arrl.net>
Source record: 121007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Will White wrote:

> How are the changes going to lead to a decrease in CW use? That is the
> part of the argument for code tests that I find least persuasive.

Hi, Will,

I think the changes willlead to a long-term decrease in CW use, for a number of reasons. If I remember, 5 wpm was, to me, a stepping stone to ultimately develop 13 wpm proficiency. If, the day I first passed my Novice, I had a choice of using 5 wpm or getting on 75 M and 20 M phone, I would have certainly picked the latter. Without that 13 wpm test hanging over my head, I may not have used CW much at all. Once I got past 15 wpm, it became a lot more fun.

Having said that, however, I will add that CW will still attract some new users, I think; what makes the mode useful is NOT the testing that was done, but, well, the factors that make the mode useful. The level of activity will be at CW's natural level, much like RTTY, PACTOR, SSTV, etc., is at a level that is determined by the wishes of the operators using it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2747. Re: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <867p94$j1m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121008

In article <867lr3$g5b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <20000120000314.03555.00000371@ng-cq1.aol.com>,
> nocodegeek@aol.com (Nocodegeek) wrote:
> > hahhhhhahgahahhahahha
> >
> > hahhah
> >
> > lloyd couldnt buy a woman
> > with a 100 bucks in a texas ho house
> >
> > ahhahaha
> >
>
> Nows, cut Lloyd some slack..he is disabled...
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
WARNING!! WARNING !!

Before any nice southern gal's get too interested in LLllllyd. I
would suggest a complete lookup of his postings. And if your still
interested, I suggest a frontal lobotomy.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2748. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <867ped$j37$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38853742.6F0BAFCF@icss.net>,
burke1@icss.net wrote:
> And TP stuck to your shoe.
>
> Tim wrote:
>
> > :) I can hear her voice now... that's funny. So I go to cast my vote for a section
> > manager and I realize I have a sweat ball on my nose!!
> >
> > ddz
> >
> > alice wrote:
> >
> > > What's all this talk about secret erections! I think they should be ashamed of
> > > themselves.
> > >
> > > With apologies to Rosanne Rosannadanna (Gilda Radner).
>
>

Granted the Masataka-style pronunciation of elections is amusing at
first, but what is it with hams that they turn a newsgroup into a
anatomical discussion worthy of a junior high school ?

I find this on very few newsgroups.

The same people so very worried about "saving" ham radio from old farts
and recruting young people by dropping code requirements are the same
crowd who would cause any parent to shudder at the prospect of their
child spending 1200 dollars to talk with such people.

Of course, given the language used, perhaps such people have a hidden
agenda for wanting younger hams....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2749. ANY NICE CLIPPERTONIAN GIRLS ON ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <867pn3$jf1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121010

Oh wait. That's right. It's not a country with people on it, just a rock the ARRL (soon to replace the UN) says is a country.

Hmph.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2750. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelj@networktel.net>
Message-ID: <OMIh4.178$VH3.43736@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 121011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary,

Your facts are a bit flawed. In the State of Florida, a 70 year old man, driving a converted greyhound bus that is disguised as a motorhome, towing a Chevy Suburban only needs an operators license, the same class as Joe Average who drives a Chevy Lumina to and from work.

Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote in message news:Odsh4.1358$xZ3.23190@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
> news:38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net...
> > Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement.
> It
> > is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
> > one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
> > driver.
>
> You're FULL of it!
>
> There are differing written AND driving tests depending on the CLASS
> of driver's license you are applying for, AND the type vehicle you
> expect to drive!
>
> Do you REALLY think truck drivers face the SAME test, written OR
> driving as mere CAR drivers?
>
> Your OWN argument has just turned AGAINST you!
>
> Fool...
>
> Gary - KJ6Q
> --
> "Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
> spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."
>
>
>
>
>
>
> As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
> > the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
> >
> >
> > On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> >
> > >From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
> > >
> > >
> > >* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests
> is
> > >eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code
> tests).
> > >
> > >Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
> > >until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
> > >The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm
> code
> > >tests... OK.
> > >
> > >They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of
> the
> > >bunch.
> > >
> > >It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking
> exam,
> > >so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
> > >
> > >* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give
> the QPC
> > >time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
> > >license manuals into print before the new structure is put into
> place.
> > >In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial
> credit
> > >toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
> > >remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring
> re-
> > >examination on certain topics.
> > >
> > >They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new
> models
> > >until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
> > >"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has
> begun at
> > >test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless
> Tech
> > >boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > >Before you buy.
> >

>

2751. The "real" revised FCC test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: fdecker@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20000120135217.02595.00001163@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 121012

From the Canned Ham:
==========

Once again, prevailing thought has called upon the canned HAM to respond. In light of the recent FCC testing requirements changes, those self appointed to protect our rights have used the airwave soapbox to decry the injustice of it all. A recent satirical "test" was passed around, but the CannedHam used his ample snout to root out the "real" test and found this ripe truffle. He presents it here for your pleasure:

FCC EXTRA CLASS LICENSE EXAM

1. A change long lobbied for is announced by the FCC, do you:

a. complain miserably on every band for which you have access
b. stock supplies in preparation for the obvious end of the world
c. make sure everyone knows how hard things were when you first became a ham
d. all of the above

2. Proper testing procedure should include:

a. the ability to solve a triple integral equations and fourier transforms
b. the design and construcion of an entire HF rig using tubes
c. the ability to send 30wpm cw using a foot paddle while standing on your head
d. all of the above

3. The FCC moves to another letter in sequence denoting new hams, you must:

a. Listen for the new calls and let them know how long you have been a ham and that you are a higher class
b. Coordinate with your buddies to not respond to these "appliance operators"
c. Make sure to "educate" all the new calls as to the proper procedures of being a HAM according to you
d. all of the above

4. When you received your license it also gave you which rights?

a. omnipotence: your opinion is always right and there is no room for other, obviously wrong, views
b. your fringe interest such as QRP, CW, ATV, APRS, etc. must be imposed on others
c. to post and say controversial or extreme views and expect no dissention or debate
d. all of the above

5. HAM radio should always maintain the same set of rules since Marconi because:

a. You had to do it, so should everybody else
b. We wouldn't want to ruin the neighborhood by letting all those lazy CB rejects into the hobby
c. You can't possibly be a good HAM radio operator without an EE degree and 20wpm code skills
d. all of the above

6. A good way to assert you opinion is to:

a. say and write vile right wing diatribes but laugh or put a smiley on it and say is is a "joke"
b. hang out only in groups of people who believe as you do to reinforce your opinions
c. let everyone know that it is not an opinion, but rather a fact and only an idiot couldn't see that
d. all of the above

7. The HAM radio motto is:

a. intolerance, self-righteousness, arrogance
b. exclusion, close-mindedness, bitterness
c. conservatism, the Klan, the NRA
d. Veni, Vidi, Vinci

8. The recent "proposal for change" in rulemaking has asked for the following:

a. reciting 500 memorized stories, chants, and formulas in a dark, candle lit room to 12 hooded elders
b. electric shock therapy for any question missed regarding Q signals or pro-signs
c. skills test showing the ability to solder 50 surface mount components in 5 minutes
d. proficiency in setting up a remote mountain top station using magnet wire, chewing gum and burlap

9. The proper way to maintain your skills as a HAM are:

a. troll newsgroups and nets to learn clever catch phrases like "appliance operator" and "codeless tech"
b. get on 2m repeaters and use Q signals like "QTH" and "QSL", and terms like "ROGER" and "OVER"
c. put down others so that you can appear to be above them without actually having to elevate yourself
d. all of the above

10. When you first became a HAM radio operator

a. You aced all exams without a missed question, could send 30wpm CW, and built all of your own equipment
b. You had to walk 20 miles in a blizzard, at night, with a broken leg to take your tests at the FCC office
c. You didn't have to worry about those damn parasites that didn't have to pass a code test
d. Marconi was still a Novice

The "Canned" HAM

cannedham@bangkok.com

2752. TV Oscillator kit schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: filmed@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <867s6clic1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121013

I'm looking for a schematic for a TV oscillator kit like Edlie Electronics sells. It scrambles channels 2 thru 13 when you tune it to the correct frequency. Edlie has a $25. minimum, and the only thing I need is the one kit for 7.95. Thanks in advance!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2753. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <iUIh4.418$nx5.11682@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 121014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike <michaelj@networktel.net> wrote in message
news:OMIh4.178$VH3.43736@news1.atlantic.net...
> Gary,
>
> Your facts are a bit flawed. In the State of Florida, a 70 year old
man,
> driving a converted greyhound bus that is disguised as a motorhome,
towing a
> Chevy Suburban only needs an operators license, the same class as
Joe
> Average who drives a Chevy Lumina to and from work.

(SIGH!)

Some of you diehards will go to EXTREME extents to evade an issue!

SO tell us - are YOU saying that nation-wide, there is ONLY a SINGLE
written and driving test, no matter WHAT type/size vehicle you plan to
operate?

THAT, and ONLY that was the disputed point! It was CLAIMED that a
SINGLE driving/written test was "all that's required to obtain a
driver's license" And *I* pointed out that was NOT TRUE, that
DIFFERENT tests ARE required for differing vehicles and applications!
YOUR minor example - which in ITSELF does NOT apply in ALL states, is
a mere juvenile evasion of what the basic POINT was to begin with!

SHEESH!

--
Gary - KJ6Q
= = = = = = = =
Legally required trigger locks on home protection guns is
as STUPID as placing a cars emergency brake in the TRUNK

2754. FS Collins KWM-2 (RE), 516-F2, 136-B2 near mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbatterman@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <38875DB3.788BB47D@attglobal.net>
Source record: 121015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

FS Collins KWM-2 (RE) transceiver, 516-F2 power supply, 136-B2 noise blanker. All in near mint condition. Late model round emblem with plug-in relays. All original paint, no mods. Works great on all bands (used on CQ DX contest). Eveything near mint except small scratch on trim ring, replacement power cord. Includes original manuals for KWM-2, power supply, reprint for noise blanker. Don't miss this one. $1075. Chuck, W6CAB (831) 688-0785.

2755. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jFyHOFvK6l9SCy3iJrxghG7miz2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 121016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Jan 2000 17:07:25 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>Jon Bloom wrote:
>>
>
>> The reality is that the majority of buyers don't yet want
>> their books electronically, and price has little to do with it.
>
>
> I don't think so. I'd give $100 for all the issues of QST
>(even excluding the ad pages) if they were available on 10
>CDs. Same for Ham Radio Magazine on CD. But not >$300 each.
>The new handbook on a single CD for $20? New Antenna manual
>for $15? Yes, anytime. But those prices aren't here yet, and
>Nope, its just not that large a priority to spend the
>current amounts on them, where they're available at all.
> If your statement reflects current League thought, a poll
>testing the potential market might be in order. I think the
>buyers are there if the prices were more attractive.

Several times recently I find myself in complete agreement
with Dick about something. It's scary. :-)

Anyway, I agree completely with what Dick is saying. As
long as the CDs are priced as high as they are, I'm going
to think long and hard before buying them. But if the price
were down in a more reasonable range, I'd buy them in a
heartbeat instead of the paper copies.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2756. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aV2HOFwTMYTbQmAm88lYOFUekpYH@4ax.com>
Source record: 121017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 19 Jan 2000 17:54:30 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>In a previous article, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> writes:
>
>>If the CD price were lower than the book price, you likely *would*
>>sell more CDs than books. Demand elasticity is a function of price.
>
>And if BMWs were cheaper than Ford Escorts, they'd sell more
>BMWs. Incrementalist pricing at its finest!

Yes, they would, and they'd make a larger profit too if the BMW
cost less to produce than the Escort. That's the case with CDs
versus paper books.

>Your answer is oversimplistic (or maybe you don't understand
>pricing models).

I took marketing courses in college. I know how to calculate a
min/max solution containing a number of constraining equations.

>Pricing models are based on several factors, only one of which is
>demand elasticity.

I understand that it is cheaper to stamp CDs than it is to print
paper books. And I understand that having a reference work in
computer searchable form is very attractive. But I also understand
that I'm not going to pay a multiple of the paper book price to get
it, and apparently not a lot of other hams are willing to do that
either.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2757. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38875D5E.6852B95@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cap'n Crunch wrote:
> "Break" or "Contact" is used on HF SSB in some cases to break into a QSO or
> a net (again... as you said, just your call-sign will do as well)

It sure is easier to say "break" than
Dou-ble-U-dou-ble-U-se-ven-dou-ble-U-dou-ble-U-dou-ble-U :-)

> In our area (Detroit), using "break" or "break break" on most area repeaters
> would earn you the same scolding you speak of.

I've always used "break" over a repeater. One ham told me that, "We don't
do that", and asked how long I had been licensed. I said, "48 years, and
'we' indeed *do* do that." :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2758. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88ep82.3vv4su3.jbloom@jbloom.arrl.org>
Source record: 121019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 14:16:23 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>On 19 Jan 2000 17:54:30 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>>And if BMWs were cheaper than Ford Escorts, they'd sell more
>>BMWs. Incrementalist pricing at its finest!
>
>Yes, they would, and they'd make a larger profit too if the BMW
>cost less to produce than the Escort. That's the case with CDs
>versus paper books.

No, it costs less to DUPLICATE CDs than paper books. When volume is
large, that particular cost dominates the cost side. When volume is not
large, that cost may very well not dominate.

>>Your answer is oversimplistic (or maybe you don't understand
>>pricing models).
>
>I took marketing courses in college. I know how to calculate a
>min/max solution containing a number of constraining equations.

Without data? Because as far as I know, you have no specific market
research data covering this issue upon which to draw.

>>Pricing models are based on several factors, only one of which is
>>demand elasticity.
>
>I understand that it is cheaper to stamp CDs than it is to print
>paper books. And I understand that having a reference work in
>computer searchable form is very attractive. But I also understand
>that I'm not going to pay a multiple of the paper book price to get
>it, and apparently not a lot of other hams are willing to do that
>either.

And a lot of hams aren't going to buy it even if it costs less than the
paper book. That's what our data shows.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager
(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2759. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388766D6.A15054A5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:
> If your statement reflects current League thought, a poll
> testing the potential market might be in order. I think the
> buyers are there if the prices were more attractive.

Perhaps the situation could be resolved by simply pricing
the books and CDs at the same percent above cost. I suspect
the percentage markup on the CDs is many times the percentage
markup on the books. I suspect that if the percentage markup
was the same, the CDs would always be the best deal.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2760. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88es6i.3vgu33r.jbloom@jbloom.arrl.org>
Source record: 121021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 13:49:42 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> If your statement reflects current League thought, a poll
>> testing the potential market might be in order. I think the
>> buyers are there if the prices were more attractive.

Been there, done that.

>Perhaps the situation could be resolved by simply pricing
>the books and CDs at the same percent above cost. I suspect
>the percentage markup on the CDs is many times the percentage
>markup on the books.

Nope.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager
(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2761. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sasquatch <Sasquatch-Trucking@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <867r8lcvl1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 121022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Break is what I was taught to say years ago, or your call sign , saying contact seems to be more acceptable now days , I think it is more pressure not to sound like 11 meters , like saying 73's , or anything faintly that resembles CB,I would imagine that on 2 meters ,maybe a lot of folks that scold about it have never been on HF, my apologies about the language , but normally I am not to tolerant of verbal abuse and the logger tends to come out !
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:38875D5E.6852B95@IEEE.org...
> Cap'n Crunch wrote:
> > "Break" or "Contact" is used on HF SSB in some cases to break into a QSO or
> > a net (again... as you said, just your call-sign will do as well)
>
> It sure is easier to say "break" than
> Dou-ble-U-dou-ble-U-se-ven-dou-ble-U-dou-ble-U-dou-ble-U :-)
>
> > In our area (Detroit), using "break" or "break break" on most area repeaters
> > would earn you the same scolding you speak of.
>
> I've always used "break" over a repeater. One ham told me that, "We don't
> do that", and asked how long I had been licensed. I said, "48 years, and
> 'we' indeed *do* do that." :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2762. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ZiaDog <ziadogNOziSPAM@juno.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <1b0840d8.f3bdf889@usw-ex0105-039.remarq.com>
Source record: 121023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

About buying radios on "e-bay"...
Well - I found it a bit of a ripoff, myself, and if you just shop around, you'll likely find better deals - for NEW equipment, instead of used, along with their complete full factory warranties! -

I was interested in buying a shortwave radio late last fall, and thinking I would get great deals via auctions, I signed up with e-bay. The shortwave radios I desired, after much research on quality and prices, was either the Grundig YB_400PE, the Sangean 909, or the Sony 7200(?). I found myself in the bidding frenzy, noticing that there are those that do their majority of bidding within the last minute of the sale - and was greatful, after seeing how much money I was going to spend - that I "lost" the bids! I also noticed that the bidders were paying nearly as much - or even MORE than the original lower-end retail prices!

I ended up going over to NetMarket, and became a member - so I could get my YB_400PE as "refurbished", with full factory warranty, paying only $120.00, plus $6.95 s&h. The radio came with ALL of its the original factory extras - and I'm happy as can be with my Grundig! Meanwhile, while waiting delivery, I went back to E-bay to watch the bidding - and observed people bidding higher than my NetMarket price, for the same radio, used! (BTW, I had the option of quiting NetMarket within 3 months, before having to pay the $59.95 membership dues - which I did, because I only wanted the radio.)

Last year I also bought a "CCRadio", reputedly the strongest AM receiver (which I fully beleive, after using it for many months, now), as a returned "orphan", from the C. Crane Co., who makes this radio in partnership with Sangean. Their retail price is $149.95. I called their 800 number, asked if they had any returns, and bought one for $129.95, p&h included, with full warranty. On E-bay I watched this same radio, used, go for almost the original retail price!

So it shows that spending a little time researching and inquiering you can probably save MUCH more than the "bargains" you can get from E-bay... I guess it's because you don't compete with the bidding frenzies... I thought it

weird, anyway, that E-bay says you "win" if you out-bid the
other bidders - which makes it seem like its a "prize" that
you can fork out your dollars for whatever it is you "win".

Hope this helps... ZiaDog

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2763. Re: WWV Y2K prank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ho Ho Big Al <3894.5@jackasses.net>
Message-ID: <3fLh4.786$38.20217@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 121024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Ed,

You were never in Viet Nam. You spent
your naval career in the reserves doing weekend
drills & two-week summer duty. You are too
young to have served in Viet Nam. What
unit were you assigned to in Viet Nam? and
what time frame. Be specific!

You must have heard these "Viet Cong"
sea stories at your reserve center.

C'mon fess up!

2764. 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cold@shower.org
Message-ID: <s8f0qst4eh6110@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe they can fix things for you.

Extra class, killed in action.

cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
<38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:

>Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It
>is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
>one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
>driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
>the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
>
>
>On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
>>
>>
>>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
>>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
>>
>>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
>>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
>>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
>>tests... OK.
>>
>>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
>>bunch.
>>
>>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
>>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
>>
>>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC
>>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
>>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
>>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
>>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
>>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-

>>examination on certain topics.
>>
>>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
>>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
>>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
>>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
>>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>>Before you buy.
>

2765. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <388782DF.5799@arrl.net>
Source record: 121026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote:

> Anyway, I agree completely with what Dick is saying. As
> long as the CDs are priced as high as they are, I'm going
> to think long and hard before buying them. But if the price
> were down in a more reasonable range, I'd buy them in a
> heartbeat instead of the paper copies.

Hi, Gary,

Speaking a bit out of turn, the reproduction costs (printing/duplication) are only part of the costs of producing a publication. Author fees, editing, graphics and production all enter into it as well. Even if reproducing the CD media were free, the rest of the costs need to be considered as part of the pricing decisions. In the case of books about Amateur Radio, the market is not as big as it is for "Windows for Dummies" or other such popular book.

In the CD products, there are additional costs involved, in setting all the internal linkages, the search engines, adding the software and template packages that also go into the product, to say nothing of the fact that ARRL has never had to spend staff time offering a customer support when he is having difficulty opening a book.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

2766. Re: Make Ham Radio Better by Recruiting Better People

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <s8f2tcfgeh632@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 121027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Kim, how'd you end up with the call w5Tit, was w5ASS already taken?

As far as making ham radio better, we were working on that. But the FCC, with ARRL support, chose to dumb-down license requirements instead of improving them.

kb7adl

kw5tit@hotmail.com (W5TIT/Kim) wrote in
<E8EC10994AC55B79.428FCB8CFCE6C2D9.B7107716E6BF35A9@lp.airnews.net>:
>First: Good.
>Second: Why should I care?
>Third: Why is it OK for everyone else to be having opinions and
>expressing them but me?
>Fourth: I practice at being a bitch. Here's the best place!
>Fifth: What did THIS have to do with the topic?
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

2767. WANTED: Motorola Spectra - GX - Maxtrac help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <meadowcomm@erols.com>
Message-ID: <86830a$e3i$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 121028
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello All - Looking for help on modifying the radio or the software so that I can program the Motorola 900 Mhz Maxtrac B7 - Spectra - or GX trunked radios for ham band useage.

The software I bought from Motorola will allow me to get the rigs to do conventional mode, but althoguh the freq range is from about 896 - 941, I can't get it to do thr ham freqs.

Any help is appreciated.

73 de Chris

2768. VA3GJB,Confirmed Illegal Operator and Usenet forgerer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38878DB2.FC9189C7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 121029

For those of you wondering what HF privileges VA3GJB has earned, I submit a copy of a reply the Honourable John Manly e-mailed today. Canadian hams with the Basic endorsement with 5wpm are under a different bandplan than Canadian amateurs with 13wpm endorsement. After looking at the RIC2 pamphlet in Adobe format, I noticed that VE3GJB is not allowed any phone privilege on twenty meters whatsoever. Pretty astounding when you realize that he was one of the active stations on 14.313/14.300 for the last four years. And is still active there now. He has phone on 75 meters, but only at the 200 watt level.He has described his power level in detail, and it is always 1K plus. And if that is not galling enough, he has made several posts to usenet posing as David Tolassi, KC1ZQ, with his Interlog Internet address right in the header. All these posts were insulting and libelous, and were calculated to fan the flames of controversy on 14.313, a frequency that he was operating illegally! For an individual trying to ease the tensions on twenty meters between the two groups, his behaviour is bizarre indeed. Anyone with information regarding the illegal HF activities investigation of VA3GJB is requested to contact the Honourable John Manly or the Honourable Hubert Pambrun, Industry Canada. Maybe if the Goodfellows Amateur Radio Group would stop such libel and HF bootlegging, the conflict will stop.

Dear :

The Honourable John Manley has asked me to reply to your email of December 17, 1999, concerning the operating privileges of a Canadian amateur operator, Mr. Graham Dyer.

Industry Canada's publication entitled "Standards for the Operation of Radio Stations in the Amateur Radio Service - (RIC 2)" sets out the frequency bands within which Canadian amateurs may operate in accordance

with their qualifications. This document can be found on our Internet site at : http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01372e.html#RadiocommunicationsInformationCirculars.

Following verification of our records, I would like to inform you

that the data found on the RAC web site regarding Mr. Graham Dyer is accurate. Mr. Dyer has the following qualifications: Basic Qualification

with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.).

Therefore, in accordance with the above mentioned document, a Canadian amateur operator is allowed to operate below 30MHz as specified in
Schedule 1 of RIC 2.

Thank you for bringing your concerns to our attention.

Yours truly,

Jan Skora
Director General

Radiocommunications
and Broadcasting
Regulatory
Branch

c.c. Hubert Pambrun
Ottawa District Office

c.c. Riley Hollingsworth
S.C.A.R.E.

2769. Re: How much would the ARRL help a ham upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: William 'sempar carnivorus' Gates <wegates@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <38879381.6145A9BF@prodigy.net>
Source record: 121030

"c"

an attempt at formating needs at least be made

KC8JBO wrote:
>
> Nocodegeek wrote in message <20000120000044.03555.00000370@ng-
> cq1.aol.com>...
>
> LOL!
> Good flame, but here's a hint: Use a spellchecker.
> I'd give it a B -.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2770. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38879102.6743E18A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 121031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Mr Cold Shower wrote:
> I can Fart code at 5 WPM.

If it's any more than a "dit" or "dah", we know why you
are bent out of shape. Try Beano next time.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2771. Re: loop antenna resonance vs. PVC enclosure??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray Kelly <mkelly@powerup.com.au>
Message-ID: <38869174.89727CEE@powerup.com.au>
Source record: 121109

I think it would change, too. I built a 'slim jim' for 2m with
ladderline, tuned it carefully, then decided to put it in a piece
of grey PVC tube for rigidity. It needed re-tuning.

I think the type of PVC is important, here. The white and red
seem to be OK but the grey stuff varies. Some has carbon as a filler
and this may be the cause of detuning noted.

Cheers.

Robert Farrington wrote:
>
> "Thomas C. Sefranek" wrote:
>
> > Sjcduke wrote:
> > > I have a square loop of bare copper 18 gauge wire.
> > > Thru the use of a grid dip meter, I have determined that the loop is resonant
> > > at 145.710 Mhz.
> > > If I run this wire thru PVC tubing of approx. 3/4 inch inside diameter, will
> > > the resonant freq of the loop rise, or will the resonant freq decrease?
> > > Would the change be a tiny change.......such as now resonant at 146.000
> > > Mhz......or would the change in resonant freq be much more........such as a
> > > change of several Mhz??
> > I would not expect any change.
> > Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
> > ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
>
> I would expect a very small change, downward in frequency. The PVC has a higher
> dielectric constant than air, but there is more air around the wire than PVC.
.
--
****
* Murray Kelly vk4aok mkelly@powerup.com.au *
* 29 Molonga Ter. / Graceville/ QLD. 4075/ Australia *
* ph/fax Intl+ 61 7 3379 3307 *
****

2772. HAM vs HAM or Point Counterpoint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <865llg$1b2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121110

Ham vs Ham or Point Counterpoint.

It seems to me that there is a lot of useless bickering going on these days about "who has the bigger and better license". You all do, or should, realise that this is exactly what incentive licensing caused in the first place. For those that don't know, allow me to explain.

Back in the late sixties a thing called "Incentive Licensing" was imposed upon amateur radio. I am not going to get into who did what to or by whom. The bottom line was that the "old school" of hams pushed through a program to force you to upgrade. Some of us even LOST frequencies, after earning them in the first place.

This whole fiasco caused, what I call, the caste structure of ham radio. We are still living with it today. And seeing all this bickering and complaining about "welfare general's, welfare extra's", etc. Proves my theory is correct.

This recent episode by the ARRL and FCC is a case in point. The FCC basically disregarded most, if not all, of the good propasals by the ARRL and implimented the modern day version of incentive licensing. Example, the ARRL wanted the Novice dropped, and the frequencies "re farmed" to other purposes. Such as moving the phone bands down a bit more. The Novice was removed, but no freq's were added.

The Tech and Tech plus will now be one. Just like it used to be, without the CW. No problem with that. The advanced get shafted and should be grandfathered. This didn't happen either. You ask why is that? Simple, the Advanced is the more difficult of all the written tests to date. The present Extra is far easier.

Now let's discuss the old days Extra. Back in the "good old days" 20 words per was just that. Solid copy, on paper, for one solid minute out of five. And the written of the day was considered equivelant to the first phone commercial. At least that is what I have been told. And of course all of this was in front of the FCC. A trip to the FCC field office usually consisted of missed work, a hotel stay, and sheer mental exhaustion.

What I'm saying is that the post FCC tested Extra with the multiple guess morse code questions and the VE system is a totally different thing from the old extra tests. So if you insist on making a comparison, you need to go way back to the FCC tested guys. Which

of course means we are far superior. Or are we? Of course we are not superior. At least that is how I feel. In fact anyone that thinks they are a superior ham just because he has a "old time Extra" is a total fool. I spent most of my life in state of the art electronics repair. And you know something? I don't think I could pass the modern Advanced and Extra test right now. And I'll bet a lot of you "hollier than tho" types are in the same boat.

As for morse code qualifications making one a better operator... no way...it just makes you better on cw than average. Of course considering how dead the cw bands are, unless their is a contest, shows me most folks don't use it anyway. I don't unless there is a contest, or a dx station I want. But its not because I don't enjoy cw. It's because I have a lot of trouble finding anyone to finger talk with at a reasonable speed. And what does that tell me? CW is basically history, bury it as a license requirement. Make it a endorsement to your license, if you want it. Give the CW boys 50 kc and be done with it.

Now to the point of this whole thing.....Lets stop attacking each other and eating our own. Lets concentrate on HELPING your fellow amateur, even if they are just a Novice or a no code tech geek (gasp). And let's make a special effort to attrack some youngsters into the Amateur Radio Service. Show them the ropes, and help them like we used to do. And above all when these "hordes" show up on our "private" frequencies, lets say welcome to ham radio.

Dan/W4NTI

w4nti@arrl.net (for any e-mail responses)

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2773. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: claudefraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8661tu$a18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <zWoh4.99$eX6.704@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
"Timmins" <timmins@penn.com> wrote:
> Second that motion. I am within 6 months of qualifying to join QCWA
(at age
> 43),yet I am a Tech Plus. HAve been a Tech since 1980. I have been
active in
> VHF/UHF,am the owner of a UHF repeater,am a ARES/RACES operator and
have
> been so for 20 years......different times I would dig out the Code
Practice
> program and work up to about 10 wpm or so, but then I made my
> mistake.......I actually turned on the HF rig, and listened to all the
> Garbage on the upper end of 75 Meters....and lost all interest in
> upgrading.........AGAIN.............can someone explain to me why I
would be
> more of a detriment to the hobby on HF than some of these southern
fried
> crackers you hear on HF yelling about My frequency,and starting Flame
wars
> on Usenet??
>

As I put it in another string...

"Those "20WPM Extras" that are icons of Amateur Radio legend have given
us underlings a good education on how to act
on HF by putting these practices on display on 3898, and 14313 and
other pompous sections of those elitist bands. I as a
no code tech that passed his advanced, and almost passed the extra
written when I tested for my license will be looking
forward to talking with all my dear friends on HF while I use fowl
language, discuss the intricacies of trailer trash, tell cat
killing stories, and work the actions of my firearms, as I have heard
all of you fine examples do for years. I salute you in
your pursuit of Amateur Radio excellence, and hope to join you soon.
Even now I am studying up on my code, and it will
give me another use for the best CB I ever owned. An Icom 706 mark 2!
Two meters, 6 meters, and CB all in one box. Life is
good!"

I read you loud and clear. I'm getting my upgrade. Don't let those
horses arses get to you. Branch free family trees create those kind of
people that you heard on HF, but there are a lot of nice people to talk
to and nice places to go on the band to get away from that junk.

Here is another jem I wrote. It kinda makes the same point.

"My fellow hams.
Since you insist on arguing, allow me to throw some gas on the fire.
I too am a nocode tech that has a distaste for the ARRL because they do not represent my views. I'm sure that not all of your views are represented by the league either. The league in one way or another is run by crotchety old men and stuffed shirt cw loving young punks that look like a reunion for the revenge of the nerds, that use morse as a method of measuring a hams worth and beating all the rest of us to death with it. I know for a fact that there are lots of technically inclined individuals that either are in ham radio as nocode techs or are not involved at all because of the evil specter of morse.

We have a couple of older hams around here in some of the local clubs that have been teaching classes to become new hams and to upgrade licenses. No offence, but these guys are hard to understand and boring as hell. I appreciate their efforts, but they were chasing off prospective hams. I offered to teach the theory part of the classes, and to tutor folks to pass their Advanced class license theory, and was flatly refused by two clubs that I belonged to. It was not a case of they did not need the help, but they did not want to have a nocode tech teaching the class. I'm pretty good at putting complex issues in simple terms while maintaining the interest of the group, and it's really sad that they were that vain.

I've worked the VHF contests, I've went to Dayton once (they almost had to shackle me and haul me there), I've worked the field days and emergency events, and I could never seem to get away from the holier than thou attitude that permeates the amateur services. There were all kinds of things that were a pain in the butt that were a result of the twisted thinking of some of the hams. Here are some examples.
*What good is an autopatch that you can't make calls on, even if you pay to be able to use it?
*What good are traffic nets where no one wants to deliver the messages? Erie,Pittsburgh,Cleveland,Buffalo
*What good is it to break a repeater for emergency services when the hams cannot relay accurate information in a real emergency? (These guys were advanced's and Extra's that were brain dead.)
*What good is it to take any ham radio with you on a trip and politely ask for directions in a neighboring city, and be ignored. (I finally talked with another ham from out of town on that repeater and proceded to trash the integrity off the hams in that city. They then spoke up and defended themselves and I found out that they did not want to help me because they thought that I was a trucker trying to deliver a commercial load. I was not a trucker, but the truckers did help me on the CB. I now never leave home without one.)
*What good is field day if so many other hams are not allowed to operate HF. That means that it is one or two days of work to set up, and then one or two to tear down and I didn't even get to operate. Then you have the balls to wonder why your turnout is so low.
*There are a few self appointed gods of amateur radio that have picked

fights with the outside community, such as emergency services, commercial radio, CB operators (real bad idea), public events, etc...

All in all, I hope that all the warring factions in amateur radio kill each other off so that those of us who want to use it and enjoy it for all it's worth can do so in peace, and without some holier than thou busy body making and issue of everything. This is supposed to be fun.

After all of you die hard code fiends are dead and gone, or at least in a small minority, I look forward to the day that I can talk on HF with my fellow hams, burp, pass gas, work the action of my firearms on the air, and tell cat killing stories (Like those on 3898 and 14313) without any more grief from the sticks in the mud.

KLOD"

It's not just you that has had enough. Well I better get studying. I also have to figure out what my vanity call will be. Maybe AHzeroLE.

ClaudeFraud

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2774. Re: MLK Day: Time to honor a known communist sympathizer & adulterer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000119195309.02613.00000655@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 121112

In article <8622051nmg@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) writes:

>St. Patrick's day - Irish.
>Oktoberfest - German.
>Not to mention the numerous Italian, Greek, and other ethnic celebrations
>held around the country. All of which are of European ancestry.

And none of which are paid, federal holidays. MLK Day = discrimination against white, European heritage.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2775. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8662geadp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8604nsvdd1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <85vm3v$k24$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > At last, ARRL will be free of W6ROD,
>
> The Una-Basher returns! Tell us, MF, how is it that W6ROD
> destroyed ARRL?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> --
> ~~~
> If you make people think they're thinking, they'll love you;
> but if you really make them think, they'll hate you.
> ~~~
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy have it tested by UL.

(;o))
The ARRL is K0HBs G-d,
He shalt not want,
Their Rod and staff confort him,
They preparest a dumbing down of Hamdom,
In the presence of a cadre of experts,
And anointith their heads with nothing.
Their modulation percentages runneth over,
Surely CBers and sheep will follow them,
All the days of the next millenium
And they shall dwell in a house where the
RF hazard lever is exceeded.
E-men...(Extras that is).
--Not a psalm of David...Just a sigh of w2ilp--

On a sadder note I learned of the recent passing of
Heddy Lamar, who cut Samson's hair in a movie and
told us how to use spread spectrum techniques in
the real world. Who said that sexy YLs can't be
technical?
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2776. Re: Damn the FCC, full speed ahead!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8663jv$b63$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121114

In article <TTLf4.1337$Lm3.16452@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>,
"N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
> : Because it's going to become very common to ask "what were you
before the
> : dumbing-down?"... Think about it... I'd certainly be proud to say
that I
> was
> : a 'real' General, and you would be too... You wont admit it, tho...
> : I'd only have to upgrade my code speed to 13wpm (I've passed the
general
> : written test), but can't get in the mood long enough to do it..
> : Oh well.... I'll just have to settle with "just a Tech Plus" when
the
> : question gets asked...
>
> I can say that I am a member of the Quarter Century Wireless Assoc.
> and have been in amateur radio since 1959 (I started when I was 9).
>
> Just remember, most of these Extra class hams will be dead in 10
> years.

If things continue as they will be after April 15, 2000, ALL HAMS WILL BE EXTRAS in 10 years but simply passing a 120 question multiple choice question exam from a fixed Q&A pool. The ITU will eliminate the 5 wpm HF requirement. Did you ever doubt? If as you state above all Extras will dead then Ham Radio will be dead as well.

We don't need "Tech Plus" Hams any more BUT now we need we need "Extra Plus" Hams to form a cadre of experts and advance the state of the Radio art.
Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase Licensing Pride)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2777. Re: Listening to amateur freqs on scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <865t62$4ga$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121115

In article <8656l1$lu9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
>In article <1609-3885E681-3@storefull-247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Bigdunk2000@webtv.net wrote:
>> My friend is trying to get his novice license and wants to practice
>> reading code by listening to amateur freqs on his scanner.Any ideas as
>> to what freqs he should listen to?
>>
>If you are refering to a scanner that receives vhf/uhf frequencies then
>you are barking up the wrong tree. Amateur CW is done mainly on HF.
>
>--
Your friend will need a shortwave radio with a BFO (beat frequency oscillator).
Radio Shack has these, as well as other places. Also, look at the ARRL web
page for frequencies of practice sessions. http://www.arrl.org

2778. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <865slq$i5o@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 121116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
: From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
[snip]

: It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
: so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"

"A trucking exam", is that where people "truck" one another on the road =80

How many people do you think will be killed by the lowering of the CW
speed ? How many people would you imagine might be endangered or killed
by a "vehicle operators permit" lacking -- *driving*?
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

2779. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <865tlf$s7m$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 121117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>There are differing written AND driving tests depending on the CLASS
>of driver's license you are applying for, AND the type vehicle you
>expect to drive!
>
>Do you REALLY think truck drivers face the SAME test, written OR
>driving as mere CAR drivers?
>
The different driving tests are there to be reasonably assured that
people are qualified to handle various kinds of vehicles safely.
Being able to do code at 13 or 20 wpm doesn't mean you can operate
your rig more safely than someone who only did 5 wpm.

2780. Re: HAM vs HAM or Point Counterpoint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000119221352.03557.00000532@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 121118

>Of course
>considering how dead the cw bands are, unless their is a contest,
>shows me most folks don't use it anyway. I don't unless there is
>a contest, or a dx station I want.

Your brain is a lot more dead than the cw bands, there OM!

> CW is basically history, bury it as a license requirement.
>Make it a endorsement to your license, if you want it. Give the
>CW boys 50 kc and be done with it.
>

And you say this because you are a 'phone operator, and won't miss the space?
Soory, bud, but you're part of the problem, not the voice of reason with the
solution!

Kï¿½MB

2781. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digital_Disrupter <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <948319105.734783@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 121119
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Any female, between the ages of 20 - 34, petite, nice looking, single,
moderately busty with a pair of nice legs. Brunette, Blonde, preferrebly red
hair.
Thats what my hormones call for. Hey do more hormones make one more
romantic?

DD

Burt <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:85shml$3bp$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
> choice or have your picture on
> the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>
> Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years
>
>
> Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
> You will see:
>
> 1. How to pass the A+ Certification
> 2. Really interesting answering machine messages
> 3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
> 4. How you know you are a ham.
> 5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
> 6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
> 7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
> 8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
> 9. An amazing card game that will shock others
> 10. Pictures of me and my family.
> 11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
> 12. See now the future of ham radio.
>
> Burt Fisher
> A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
> Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
> K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have
>
> burt@broadcast.net
> http://hfradio.org/k1oik/
>
>

2782. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38867f99.36318645@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 121120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Jan 2000 02:58:55 GMT, wa2ise@netcom.com (Robert Casey) wrote:

>>There are differing written AND driving tests depending on the CLASS
>>of driver's license you are applying for, AND the type vehicle you
>>expect to drive!
>>
>>Do you REALLY think truck drivers face the SAME test, written OR
>>driving as mere CAR drivers?
>>
>The different driving tests are there to be reasonably assured that
>people are qualified to handle various kinds of vehicles safely.
>Being able to do code at 13 or 20 wpm doesn't mean you can operate
>your rig more safely than someone who only did 5 wpm.

Additionally, other than slices of frequencies,
there isn't much that an Extra can do that a
General or Tech can't. Now if you had to
be Extra to run high power that would make
some sense.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2783. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Cain <rccain@home.com>
Message-ID: <Nvvh4.3323$sO5.42977@news.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 121121
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Burt wrote:
> If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
> choice or have your picture on
> the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?

I already spend romantic evenings with the person in the world I choose, so
I guess I'd have to go for the cover of QST.

Robert, KG4FGN

2784. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ohara Sensei <ka9dhz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <866akvfom1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121122

CUT<(Libnetjammr) wrote:
> http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
> comment this
> CUT

The issue of the Liberty Net has also been on the Tom Valentine show Stan Solomon SHow and the Intel Report. The issue is the moronic jammers.If you dont like what a group is discussing go somewhere else.

Local hams need to locate jammers, doccument what they find out and make vigerous complaints.These moron need to be off the air.

The moronic content of the silly page which was posted above is representative of the moron jammers who need heavy fines and revocation of their tickets

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2785. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38868351.1555738@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your still not making your point. What a driver does can kill a
person. Morse code has never posed a threat of killing anyone.

I currently posses a Class A driver license with Double/Triple
Endorsements. They test you to see if you can operate a vehicle safely
on all parts of these test, meaning if you can drive without causing
accidents or killing someone.

Morse Code test your ability to perform the actual mode. No one will
get harmed or any accidents will happen causing injury. People need to
stop comparing code test with other test since there is no comparison.

When morse code becomes a threat to life, then make your complaint.

Now who's full of it?

This debate over code is getting old and noone ever makes complete
sense when trying to explain it.
Do like I am going to do. Go pass your code if you want it. Upgrade.

73 KF6FOZ

On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 23:59:42 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
wrote:

>kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
>news:38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net...
>> Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement.
>It
>> is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
>> one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
>> driver.
>
>You're FULL of it!
>
>There are differing written AND driving tests depending on the CLASS
>of driver's license you are applying for, AND the type vehicle you
>expect to drive!
>
>Do you REALLY think truck drivers face the SAME test, written OR
>driving as mere CAR drivers?
>
>Your OWN argument has just turned AGAINST you!
>
>Fool...
>

>Gary - KJ6Q
>--
>"Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming
>spoons for Rosie O'Donnell being fat."
>
>
>
>
>
>As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
>> the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
>>
>>
>> On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> >From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
>> >
>> >
>> >* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests
>is
>> >eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code
>tests).
>> >
>> >Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
>> >until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
>> >The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm
>code
>> >tests... OK.
>> >
>> >They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of
>the
>> >bunch.
>> >
>> >It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking
>exam,
>> >so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
>> >
>> >* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give
>the QPC
>> >time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
>> >license manuals into print before the new structure is put into
>place.
>> >In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial
>credit
>> >toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
>> >remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring
>re-
>> >examination on certain topics.
>> >
>> >They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new

>models
>> >until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
>> >"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has
>begun at
>> >test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless
>Tech
>> >boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> >Before you buy.
>>
>

2786. Re: CB Radio now dead? Its headed that way.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ohara Sensei <ka9dhz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <866ccpgrm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 121124

1 CB is not dead.Al will be back in a few days

2 Most of the BS on the ham bands is not no coders or other assorted geeks.Its gemerals,advanced and extras

3 I bought my last tranciever at a CB shop.An old hr 25-10

4 CB has a purpose.It gives you something to compare lids to

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2787. Re: HAM vs HAM or Point Counterpoint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <3886868b.2382711@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121125

Very well said Dan. I couldn't have said it better myself.
Thank You, and I agree with you 100%.

73 kf6foz

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 00:42:27 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>Ham vs Ham or Point Counterpoint.
>
>It seems to me that there is a lot of useless bickering going on
>these days about "who has the bigger and better license". You
>all do, or should, realise that this is exactly what incentive
>licensing caused in the first place. For those that don't know,
>allow me to explain.
>
>Back in the late sixties a thing called "Incentive Licensing" was
>imposed upon amateur radio. I am not going to get into who did
>what to or by whom. The bottom line was that
>the "old school" of hams pushed through a program to force you to
>upgrade. Some of us even LOST frequencies, after earning them in
>the first place.
>
>This whole fiasco caused, what I call, the caste structure of ham
>radio. We are still living with it today. And seeing all this
>bickering and complaining about "welfare general's, welfare
>extra's", etc. Proves my theory is correct.
>
>This recent episode by the ARRL and FCC is a case in point. The
>FCC basically disregarded most, if not all, of the good propasals
>by the ARRL and implimented the modern day version of incentive
>licensing. Example, the ARRL wanted the Novice dropped, and the
>frequencies "re farmed" to other purposes. Such as moving the
>phone bands down a bit more. The Novice was removed, but no freq's
>were added.
>
>The Tech and Tech plus will now be one. Just like it used to be,
>without the CW. No problem with that. The advanced get shafted
>and should be grandfathered. This didn't happen either. You ask
>why is that? Simple, the Advanced is the more difficult of all the
>written tests to date. The present Extra is far easier.
>
>Now let's discuss the old days Extra. Back in the "good old days"

>20 words per was just that. Solid copy, on paper, for one solid
>minute out of five. And the written of the day was considered
>equivelant to the first phone commercial. At least that is what I
>have been told. And of course all of this was in front of the FCC.
>A trip to the FCC field office usually consisted of missed work, a
>hotel stay, and sheer mental exhaustion.
>
>What I'm saying is that the post FCC tested Extra with the multiple
>guess morse code questions and the VE system is a totally different
>thing from the old extra tests. So if you insist on making a
>comparison, you need to go way back to the FCC tested guys. Which
>of course means we are far superior. Or are we? Of course we are
>not superior. At least that is how I feel. In fact anyone that
>thinks they are a superior ham just because he has a "old time
>Extra" is a total fool. I spent most of my life in state of the
>art electronics repair. And you know something? I don't think I
>could pass the modern Advanced and Extra test right now. And I'll
>bet a lot of you "hollier than tho" types are in the same boat.
>
>As for morse code qualifications making one a better operator...
>no way...it just makes you better on cw than average. Of course
>considering how dead the cw bands are, unless their is a contest,
>shows me most folks don't use it anyway. I don't unless there is
>a contest, or a dx station I want. But its not because I don't
>enjoy cw. It's because I have a lot of trouble finding anyone to
>finger talk with at a reasonable speed. And what does that tell
>me? CW is basically history, bury it as a license requirement.
>Make it a endorsement to your license, if you want it. Give the
>CW boys 50 kc and be done with it.
>
>Now to the point of this whole thing.....Lets stop attacking each
>other and eating our own. Lets concentrate on HELPING your fellow
>amateur, even if they are just a Novice or a no code tech geek
>(gasp). And let's make a special effort to attrack some youngsters
>into the Amateur Radio Service. Show them the ropes, and help
>them like we used to do. And above all when these "hordes" show up
>on our "private" frequencies, lets say welcome to ham radio.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>w4nti@arrl.net (for any e-mail responses)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2788. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388686ef.2482787@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 121126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

When buying a radio from someone on ebay, I do what you probably did and what everyone else should do and that is, check out the sellers history before you bid. I also check to see if they have more than 2 concurrent auctions running. If they have no feedback and only one item, I usually not deal with them till someone else has tried or he adds more stuff to let me know he is serious about selling and not out to make a quick hundred or more. Also do some e-mail transactions and get an address from the individual that has the item when you win the bidding on. Get as much info on the item as you can. Save your messages. E-Mail msg's are admissable in a court of law as proof. If you are really skeptical, Save the webpage to your harddrive and any pictures.

Hope this helps all.

On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 18:45:55 -0600, "Lloyd Davies"
<n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I notice alot of posts about radios on "e-bay" I bought a radio there once.
>I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and some tell me
>that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?
>
>--
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>Athens, AL 35611
>http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>
>

2789. Re: How much would the ARRL help a ham upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nocodegeek@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000120000044.03555.00000370@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 121127

hey lloyd the toid

being a member of the "master race"
as you claim why cant you master
a 5 wpm test?.. dum ass huh?
why dont you ask your god who
you claim is only going to let
white folks in the pearly gates
and everybody elses going to burn
is there really one god for each color?
lloyd i know of a few jews, blacks
and just plain old white folks
that have pass more then 5 wpm
(whats wrong with this picture)
its funny to read your posts
into the groups . i always wonder
how someone could be that dum
and still have a pluse ?
you are alive "R I G H T ?"
"'''' tiny tinna this" ha
maybe you sould sell all them junky
SWR radios you own? and get you
a transmitter so you can be ready
when you do pass.............
N O T !!!!
HAHAHHAHA EHHEHEHEH
IM SURE AS THE SUN SHINES
ON MY WHITE ASS YOULL NEVER
PASS THAT HAHAHHAHAHEHEHHE
HOOHOHOOHH

o and by the way you , kevin , steve
vic, harvey and all the 3950 fags
that talk their master race BS "and you sitting at home listening and
jacking off
cause thats all you can do"
can KISS MY 100% WHITE ASS

have a gay day
ken

2790. Re: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nocodegeek@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000120000314.03555.00000371@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 121128

hahhhhhahgahahhahahha

hahhah

lloyd couldnt buy a woman
with a 100 bucks in a texas ho house

ahhahaha

2791. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freddo <freddo1@home.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.12f065cad2dd46f5989698@news>
Source record: 121129

In article <3876CF68.5278A8FC@sprint.ca>, dhennig@sprint.ca says...
>
> I hope Canada rapidly follows suit with the US in its licensing regulations.

_I_ don't.

And no, I don't think it is "the" determining factor in being a 'good
op'.
But it sure as hell can't hurt.

Fred
VA3FD
nr Ottawa

2792. Re: parts for Mitrek uhf radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <i3xh4.3102$_G1.63741@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 121130

Try contacting Motorola, they will have all the parts you need.

"Dennis A. Homerick" <w8nw@richnet.net> wrote
> Thanks for reading this message. I have a 75w UHF Mitrek with a blowen
> final transistor. Need a replacement!!! Also need a 71.9 decorder reed

2793. Re: Blake Bowers the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <R1xh4.3100$_G1.63847@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 121131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Two Way facility, after John applied for a job there! They said they
> can't believe John is actually that stupid!

Where was this facility & what type of two way was it Blake??
Come on now, be specific!! If you aren't lying then you would have
NO problem coming up with this one because it would be something
you couldn't miss!!!! BTW- every two way shop I have applied at I
have been hired!!!!!!

Or are you lying again like the time you were making harassing prank phone
calls to my elderly father at his work & the caller ID traced it to YOUR
phone listed in YOUR name & you tried to say your teenage son did it??

(Obviously, the later is true!!)

2794. funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: siulee88@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <21010000.3649@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120852

What's the difference between women at ages of 8, 18 , 28, 38 and 48???
The answer is :
08 - You take her to bed and tell her a story
18 - You tell her a story and take her to bed
28 - You don't need to tell her any story and take her to bed
38 - She tells you a story and takes you to bed
48 - You tell her a story to avoid going to bed

Tom
---
http://i.am/disable

2795. TS 430s Remote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trent Sampson <LLFP@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <NPJh4.18747$oJ5.37265@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 120853

Subject: TS430 COMPUTER REMOTE

> IS ANYONE AWARE OF remote / computer control systems for the TS430
Kenwood.
> It is avail for the immediate later model perhaps you have seen an article
> or done it yourself //
>
>
> Trent VK4TI
>
>

2796. Re: ANY NICE SOUTHERN GIRLS ON HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8688kmuhi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120854

Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <867p94$j1m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <867lr3$g5b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> In article <20000120000314.03555.00000371@ng-cq1.aol.com>,
>> nocodegeek@aol.com (Nocodegeek) wrote:
>> > hahhhhhahgahahhahahha
>> >
>> > hahhah
>> >
>> > lloyd couldnt buy a woman
>> > with a 100 bucks in a texas ho house
>> >
>> > ahhahaha
>> >
>>
>> Now, cut Lloyd some slack..he is disabled...
>>
>> --
>> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>>
>WARNING!! WARNING !!
>
>Before any nice southern gal's get too interested in LLllllyd. I
>would suggest a complete lookup of his postings. And if your still
>interested, I suggest a frontal lobotomy.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

ho-HO!

Oh, well, llyyyd there's always mail-order brides....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2797. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: claudefraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <868dar$1t8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8652bdigo1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new
models until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize
the "boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun
at test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
> boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
>

I'm not clear on the second half of the last sentence.
I will say that the price of hf gear will go through the roof this
summer. No deals this year. It's going to be a rough couple of years
ahead.

Claude

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2798. Vote For The Ultimate Scanner Site Of All Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: green20@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <868di4$275$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Vote For The Ultimate Scanner Site Of All Time OR
ADD YOUR SITE TO THE LIST!

http://www.topsitelists.com/run/scanner/topsites.html

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2799. Titan 10 meter radio ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Rowan <" trowan"@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3887A503.7A04CA4D@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 120857

I'm looking for info on a Titan 10 meter radio , a friend said it is fairly new on the market . It is not related to the one made by Tram years back . Does anyone know where I can find any info about this on the web ?? I've searched for a hour and have had no luck !!!!! Thanks in advance ......

2800. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl and Dee Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <dONh4.17632$pb2.1404251@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 120858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

**********EXCUSE ME**********
BUT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE HALF A GRIP ON WHAT YOU WROTE>>>>>>>
I HAVE A COMMERCIAL GENERAL RADIO TELEPHONE LICENSE, WITH MARINE RADAR
ENDORSEMENT,,A HAM LICENCE ,A VERY SUCESSFUL 2 WAY RADIO BUSINESS, AND FOR
OVER 50 YEARS I CAN NOT COPY 2 WPM,CODE LET ALONE 5 WPM.
NO I AM NOT ON WELFARE,I AM NOT WINNING,OR BITCHING, BUT PEOPLE LIKE
YOU PEALLY P*** ME OFF,,IF YOU WERE 1/2 A MAN YOU WOULD APOLOGIZE, AND TRY
TO GET A GRIP ON YOUR LIFE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Mr Cold Shower wrote in message ...
>
>
>I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
>pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
>you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
>want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
>whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
>they can fix things for you.
>
>Extra class, killed in action.
>
>
>cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
><38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:
>
>>Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It
>>is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
>>one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
>>driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
>>the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
>>
>>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>>>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
>>>
>>>
>>>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
>>>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
>>>
>>>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
>>>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
>>>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
>>>tests... OK.

>>>
>>>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
>>>bunch.
>>>
>>>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
>>>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
>>>
>>>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC
>>>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
>>>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
>>>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
>>>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
>>>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-
>>>examination on certain topics.
>>>
>>>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
>>>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
>>>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
>>>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
>>>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>>>Before you buy.
>>
>

2801. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carl and Dee Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <lSNh4.17641$pb2.1405609@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 120859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

******* BY THE WAY IF YOU HAVENT FIGURED IT OUT YET, MR.COLD S. I HAVE A
SEVERE CASE OF
*****************DYSLEXIA**********************************
SHAME ON YOU...

Carl and Dee Wesser wrote in message ...
>**********************EXCUSE ME***********************
>BUT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE HALF A GRIP ON WHAT YOU WROTE>>>>>>>
>I HAVE A COMMERCIAL GENERAL RADIO TELEPHONE LICENSE, WITH MARINE RADAR
>ENDORSEMENT,,A HAM LICENCE ,A VERY SUCESSFUL 2 WAY RADIO BUSINESS, AND FOR
>OVER 50 YEARS I CAN NOT COPY 2 WPM,CODE LET ALONE 5 WPM.
> NO I AM NOT ON WELFARE,I AM NOT WINNING,OR BITCHING, BUT PEOPLE LIKE
>YOU PEALLY P*** ME OFF,,IF YOU WERE 1/2 A MAN YOU WOULD APOLOGIZE, AND TRY
>TO GET A GRIP ON YOUR LIFE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>Mr Cold Shower wrote in message ...
>>
>>
>>I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
>>pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
>>you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
>>want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
>>whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
>>they can fix things for you.
>>
>>Extra class, killed in action.
>>
>>
>>cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
>><38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:
>>
>>>Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It
>>>is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
>>>one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
>>>driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
>>>the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
>>>
>>>
>>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is

>>>>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
>>>>
>>>>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
>>>>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
>>>>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
>>>>tests... OK.
>>>>
>>>>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
>>>>bunch.
>>>>
>>>>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
>>>>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
>>>>
>>>>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC
>>>>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
>>>>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
>>>>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
>>>>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
>>>>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-
>>>>examination on certain topics.
>>>>
>>>>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
>>>>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
>>>>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
>>>>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
>>>>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>>>>Before you buy.
>>>
>>
>
>

2802. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000120200706.03696.00000225@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 120860

ka9dhz wrote
>The moronic content of the silly page which was posted above is
>representative of the moron jammers who need heavy fines and revocation of
>their tickets

how bout the moronic liberty net members spouting of things like this

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Page2/AA8DP3.wav

2803. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000120203535.03660.00000538@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 120861

W5TWIT said:

>Proper protocol for breaking in on an emergency is "Break Break."

Isn't "Brickety Brack Broke?"

Kï¿½MB

2804. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3887BB44.6BDEA0F3@innocent.com>
Source record: 120862

TheLibNet1 wrote:
>
> ka9dhz wrote
> >The moronic content of the silly page which was posted above is
> >representative of the moron jammers who need heavy fines and revocation of
> >their tickets
>
> how bout the moronic liberty net members spouting of things like this
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Page2/AA8DP3.wav

I notice you carefully edited out the part, immediately after the
snippet in your sound clip, wherein W1WCR (the Net Control Station)
and another Net participant stated that they did not like the
language used by AA8DP or want it on the Liberty Net, language which
I agree was ungentlemanly and undesirable. (I can provide an
unedited recording of this, if desired.)

There's a contrast worth noting here: I've never heard those who
associate with the jammers similarly chastise W9ART or K5BLB for
their threats of violence or jamming tapes. (To be fair, I did
once hear K1GKM tell David Castle, WA9KJI, that his behavior was
wrong.)

However, there was nothing at all illegal in AA8DP's transmission,
nor was it jamming.

Those who cause malicious interference are the rulebreakers.

Honor bright,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

2805. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <868hee$4nq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120863

In article <865slq$i5o@nntp.seflin.org>,
z005381b@bc.seflin.org (James Rosenthal) wrote:

>mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
>: From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
>[snip]
>
>: It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
>: so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
>
>"A trucking exam", is that where people "truck" one another on the road =80
>
>How many people do you think will be killed by the lowering of the CW
>speed.
>--
>Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

Don't know for sure, but it's killing me.

2806. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000120215312.02608.00001627@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 120864

In article <s8f0qst4eh6110@corp.supernews.com>, Cold@shower.org (Mr Cold Shower) writes:

>I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
>pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
>you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
>want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
>whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL.

Look who's whining.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2807. Re: 3950 Voice Chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000120220414.03695.00000937@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 120865

U bet he's on 3950 right now Micky.

2808. Re: WWV Y2K prank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3887D436.CEBCC4AD@home.com>
Source record: 120866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

greasy grimy gopher guts wrote:
>
> Kevin wrote in message ...
>
> >Jeff, listen to 10.000 MHz sometime, especially in Asia, there is
> >a lot of activities by marine and ham stations.
>
> True. Listen in USB to 10 or 15 mHz during daylight hours
> and spanish speaking stations "hiding" under WWV are
> easily heard. This is an old trick of drug trafficers
> and clandestine operations. You transmit to your inner-group
> which are just a few miles away from each other, while
> operating directly on the same frequency as a high power
> shortwave broadcaster or other commercial station. Casual
> listeners will hear just the Broadcaster, unless, the
> clandestine stations propigate into the "shadow area" of
> the broadcast station they are trying to hide beneath.
> In this case they are often heard in-the-clear. This tactic
> was commonly employed by the North Vietnamese Military
> during the Vietnam war. We could occasionally hear them
> but it was a clever tactic that is still used by stations
> who which to hide by cammoflaguing themselves and their
> point-to-point SSB. Again, take a casual listen to WWV
> 10 and 15 during daylight and you'll hear a dozen stations
> daily on SSB operating with tactical callsigns and coded
> voice transmissions in Spanish language. Wouldn't suprise
> me a bit if they were drug trafficers.
>
> Ken - Darlington SC

2809. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: CDT <xctx@onr.com>
Message-ID: <01bf63cc$8a6646a0$5e32c8cf@default>
Source record: 120867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey folks....don't forget that there are Conservative Democrats
out there as well. I hate to disappoint you guys but Republicans
DON'T have a monopoly on conservative ideals in America.
....It's just that the Republicans want you to think they do.

Get a clue.

2810. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <868s0a$42o$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd:
What has killed Ebay is the resellers. Instead of the auction being used as a giant white elephant table, large numbers of people try to make a living buying anything and selling all for a couple of bucks more (not that this doesn't happen a swap meets). I have seen the same item show up four times, each time at a higher reserve. Buying an item to keep (from the original owner) is becoming rare. I have recently gotten a few very good buys on computer items but always for an item that the average reseller wouldn't recognize. Notice how everything is mint or barely used? The resellers are all reading the same Ebay how-to books.

BTW: There is an MS8 speaker at $41 with two days to go. I would almost bet that this will go for more than the new price ($49).
Don't ask me why.

Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:WVsh4.8399$wk.101611@news1.mia...
> I notice alot of posts about radios on "e-bay" I bought a radio there once.
> I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and some tell me
> that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>
>

2811. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <868s9m$5ma$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Peter:
That was funny!
I wonder what it replaced. the Last Picture Show?
sumbitch
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

PeterMaus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3887D945.26565C5@worldnet.att.net...
> Not that this is terribly relevant, but when I moved to
> Texarkana, in 1980, The first time my wife and I went to the movies
> we were stunned to see that Smokey and the Bandit was showing. I
> asked the manager of the theatre, and he told me with some pride,
> that Smokey and teh Bandit was still in its original run at Joys
> Cinema 7 and soon they were going to be adding 6 more rooms so they
> can put it on 2 SCREENS!
> Longest year and a half of my career.
>
> Brian Denley wrote:
> >
> > wow! I'm having "smoky and the bandit" flashbacks!
> > Brian Denley
> >
> > --
> > "There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is
a
> > miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein
> >
> > BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
> > news:COdh4.713$72.8923@nnrp2.rcsntx.swbell.net...
> > > "when a nerve gets hit"? I've never been "into" CB (it used to be
> > impossible
> > > not to know someone who was, tho), so.. just what is this nerve you've
> > hit,
> > > Tit?...
> > >

2812. WTB: Kachina 505DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kirby Nesbitt <knesbitt@newbridge.com>
Message-ID: <3887F7A0.C18514EC@newbridge.com>
Source record: 120870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Looking to purchase a late model Kachina 505DSP. Must be in excellent condx.
Please contact via email, stating price, equipment details, and QTH.
Thanks and 73.

Kirby Nesbitt, VE6IV
<knesbitt@newbridge.com>

2813. Re: The "real" revised FCC test...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Ross <pragmatist@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3887FA98.F1A4FF4@kc.rr.com>
Source record: 120871

Highly thoughtful, very amusing, and a very wise observation.

KB0MLR
Chris

FDecker wrote:

> From the Canned Ham:
> ==========
>
> Once again, prevailing thought has called upon the canned HAM to respond. In
> light of the recent FCC testing requirements changes, those self appointed to
> protect our rights have used the airwave soapbox to decry the injustice of it
> all. A recent satirical "test" was passed around, but the CannedHam used his
> ample snout to root out the "real" test and found this ripe truffle. He
> presents it here for your pleasure:
>
> FCC EXTRA CLASS LICENSE EXAM
>
> 1. A change long lobbied for is announced by the FCC, do you:
>
> a. complain miserably on every band for which you have access
> b. stock supplies in preparation for the obvious end of the world
> c. make sure everyone knows how hard things were when you first became a ham
> d. all of the above
>
> 2. Proper testing procedure should include:
>
> a. the ability to solve a triple integral equations and fourier transforms
> b. the design and construcion of an entire HF rig using tubes
> c. the ability to send 30wpm cw using a foot paddle while standing on your head
> d. all of the above
>
> 3. The FCC moves to another letter in sequence denoting new hams, you must:
>
> a. Listen for the new calls and let them know how long you have been a ham and
> that you are a higher class
> b. Coordinate with your buddies to not respond to these "appliance operators"
> c. Make sure to "educate" all the new calls as to the proper procedures of
> being a HAM according to you
> d. all of the above
>
> 4. When you received your license it also gave you which rights?
>
> a. omnipotence: your opinion is always right and there is no room for other,

> obviously wrong, views
> b. your fringe interest such as QRP, CW, ATV, APRS, etc. must be imposed on
> others
> c. to post and say controversial or extreme views and expect no dissention or
> debate
> d. all of the above
>
> 5. HAM radio should always maintain the same set of rules since Marconi
> because:
>
> a. You had to do it, so should everybody else
> b. We wouldn't want to ruin the neighborhood by letting all those lazy CB
> rejects into the hobby
> c. You can't possibly be a good HAM radio operator without an EE degree and
> 20wpm code skills
> d. all of the above
>
> 6. A good way to assert you opinion is to:
>
> a. say and write vile right wing diatribes but laugh or put a smiley on it and
> say is is a "joke"
> b. hang out only in groups of people who believe as you do to reinforce your
> opinions
> c. let everyone know that it is not an opinion, but rather a fact and only an
> idiot couldn't see that
> d. all of the above
>
> 7. The HAM radio motto is:
>
> a. intolerance, self-righteousness, arrogance
> b. exclusion, close-mindedness, bitterness
> c. conservatism, the Klan, the NRA
> d. Veni, Vidi, Vinci
>
> 8. The recent "proposal for change" in rulemaking has asked for the following:
>
> a. reciting 500 memorized stories, chants, and formulas in a dark, candle lit
> room to 12 hooded elders
> b. electric shock therapy for any question missed regarding Q signals or
> pro-signs
> c. skills test showing the ability to solder 50 surface mount components in 5
> minutes
> d. proficiency in setting up a remote mountain top station using magnet wire,
> chewing gum and burlap
>
> 9. The proper way to maintain your skills as a HAM are:
>
> a. troll newsgroups and nets to learn clever catch phrases like "appliance
> operator" and "codeless tech"
> b. get on 2m repeaters and use Q signals like "QTH" and "QSL", and terms like

> "ROGER" and "OVER"
> c. put down others so that you can appear to be above them without actually
> having to elevate yourself
> d. all of the above
>
> 10. When you first became a HAM radio operator
>
> a. You aced all exams without a missed question, could send 30wpm CW, and built
> all of your own equipment
> b. You had to walk 20 miles in a blizzard, at night, with a broken leg to take
> your tests at the FCC office
> c. You didn't have to worry about those damn parasites that didn't have to pass
> a code test
> d. Marconi was still a Novice
>
>
>
> The "Canned" HAM
>
> cannedham@bangkok.com

--

"One World, One Web, One Program" - Microsoft Promotional Ad
"Ein Volk, Ein Reich, Ein Fuhrer" - Adolf Hitler

2814. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <868u62dsh1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120872
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

lop <lop@rom.com> wins an AllExpenses (TM) paid
trip to Starkville (TM), Mississippi and a DeluxeTour (TM) of the MFJ
(TM) HAMagicKingdom (R) for writing in response to the following
question:

> > > If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world
of your
> > > choice or have your picture on
> > > the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>
> The fact that this question has to be asked is very scary to me.

Is that your final answer?

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating four years as part of the problem with the Internet.

2815. WANTED Admiralty B41 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: gtelford@telia.co.uk
Message-ID: <388d0d15.90187822@news.freeuk.net>
Source record: 120873

Has anyone got an Admiralty B41 Receiver for sale.
In the UK please as it weighs too much to post

2816. Re: K1BQT Death Threats--A Plea for Help! Dan, You're Right ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27687-38880F48-4@storefull-248.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120874

OK, Dan, that is right. Legal question: Was the utterance intended to reach the level of definitive threat under the law? Forgive me if I'm a bit slow as I grow older and thanks for engaging.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

2817. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <388923e9.2351827@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 21:51:24 GMT, Cold@shower.org (Mr Cold Shower)
wrote:

>
>
>I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
>pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
>you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
>want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
>whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
>they can fix things for you.
>
>Extra class, killed in action.

When is this CRAP going to stop??? The new test requirements are
not welfare, you still have to study to pass the tests. Remember
welfare is a hand out. For Amateur Radio license to be a hand out it
would mean no testing at all and no charge for the license.

The Code War is over so stop fighting it! If you think the FCC is
going to rase the code speeds up again forget it, it's not going to
happen. The return of the 13 and 20wpm requirement has a snowball's
chance in Hell of coming back.

Rick KC2ESD

2818. For Sale: Test Equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudySPAM@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <38882704.91BCCBC8@lgcy.com>
Source record: 120876

Sencore
CVA-94 for Color Video Camera testing and alignment.
VR-940 Light Box with alignment slides (condition; like new, 9)

Hewlett Packard
HP 606 signal gen. (condition; very very good, 8)

Texscan
VS-40A Sweep marker gen. (condition; very good, 7)

Marconi Inst., LTD
TF-1099 20Mhz Sweep gen. (condition; fair, 5)

Jerrold
704B TV Signal Strenght Meter (condition, poor/fair, 4)

Will sell for best offer.
contact me at <hrudy@lgcy.com>
Or you can call me at 801-532-8511
KA7YWO
Howard Rudy
Salt Lake City, Ut.

2819. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <869dhqohk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <zWoh4.99$eX6.704@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
"Timmins" <timmins@penn.com> wrote:
> Second that motion. I am within 6 months of qualifying to join QCWA
(at age
> 43),yet I am a Tech Plus. HAve been a Tech since 1980. I have been
active in

Go ahead and join now, if your were licensed ANYTIME
during 1975 or earlier, you meet the requirement.
You don't have to wait until actual aniversary date of
your first license. If you were first licensed in
the latter half of 1975 and too late be included in
the 1976 callbook, it's helpful to submit a copy of
your 1975 issue license if you can produce a copy of
it.

.....Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2820. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38882077.7415790@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

You better re-read the message! Extra Class was said by Mr Cold
Shower!! Anything else Mr. Im better because I am Dennis B.
Walton-Tech+? You are supporting the Arguement that other coded hams
are denying.

I am a no-code technician not by choice. It may have taken me a while
to go for my code due to owning my own business, but at least I am
still going to do my upgrade. So keep your browser open and check QRZ
from time to time. Until then I work my ass off trying to improve my
life instead of furthering my hobby and when I got the time from work,
I'll do my code.

73 de kf6foz

145.230 PL 100hz W6APZ Repeater
145.450 PL 100hz K6FB Repeater

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 18:05:40 -0800, Brent Walton <kf6fgb@pacbell.net>
wrote:

>What a crock! Mr. KF6FOZ -- John Johnson, no-code tech should walk-the-walk,
>as they say. Extra class my foot.
>
>Mr Cold Shower wrote:
>
>> I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
>> pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
>> you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
>> want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
>> whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
>> they can fix things for you.
>>
>> Extra class, killed in action.
>>
>> cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
>> <38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:
>>
>> >Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It
>> >is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
>> >one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
>> >driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
>> >the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
>> >
>> >
>> >On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

>> >
>> >>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
>> >>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
>> >>
>> >>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
>> >>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
>> >>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
>> >>tests... OK.
>> >>
>> >>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
>> >>bunch.
>> >>
>> >>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
>> >>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
>> >>
>> >>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC
>> >>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
>> >>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
>> >>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
>> >>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
>> >>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-
>> >>examination on certain topics.
>> >>
>> >>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
>> >>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
>> >>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
>> >>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
>> >>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> >>Before you buy.
>> >
>

2821. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: murray_southwell@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <869e0e$ot0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120879

I certainly hope all you cw die-hard wowsers don't use anything above 6M, Surely to use vhf and above it should be a license requirement to demonstrate a working knowledge of satellite earth stations? How many dedicated cw users would no longer be able to use 2M if they had to know how to calculate kelprin elements, dopler effect or write satellite tracking software? Actually a working knowledge of satellite equipment should be mandatory for any ham license, and for that matter, why not introduce a practical test - could you or any of you cw buddies design and build a transceiver for the 47Ghz band? I dont think you would
have any more idea than the average CB'er - NONE. There is more to ham radio than cw.

Murray
VK7ZMS
Tasmania

In article <MPG.12f065cad2dd46f5989698@news>,
Freddo <freddo1@home.com> wrote:
> In article <3876CF68.5278A8FC@sprint.ca>, dhennig@sprint.ca says...
> >
> > I hope Canada rapidly follows suit with the US in its licensing regulations.
>
> _I_ don't.
>
> And no, I don't think it is "the" determining factor in being a 'good
> op'.
> But it sure as hell can't hurt.
>
> Fred
> VA3FD
> nr Ottawa
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2822. analogue cell phone to ham conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: murray_southwell@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <869fckplo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120880

Has anyone come across conversions for the old analogue cell phones for ham use. Surely there must be millions of outdated analogue cell phone sitting around. Some of the older motorola type (transportable and in-car types) were built around a very robust transciever module.
Thanking you in advance for any information you may have come across.
Murray
VK7ZMS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2823. Ham Radio SHITLIST!! Add a user today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38882d02.10628011@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

```
Add your nominee to the next available line....

kf6foz - for starting this stupid thing.
kf6fgb - for not learning to read.
```

2824. analogue cell phone to ham conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: murray_southwell@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <869ffqpne1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120882

Has anyone come across conversions for the old analogue cell phones for ham use. Surely there must be millions of outdated analogue cell phone sitting around. Some of the older motorola type (transportable and in-car types) were built around a very robust transciever module.
Thanking you in advance for any information you may have come across.
Murray
VK7ZMS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2825. ts 430s remote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trent Sampson <LLFP@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <8SXh4.535$YM1.896@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 120883

good evening all has anyone heard of computer remote for the Kenwood ts430s??

trent vk4ti

2826. Re: WWV Y2K prank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B. Gump" <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <38884783.2633@nospam.com>
Source record: 120884

bob wrote:
>
> Immediately after midnight UTC on 01 January, I heard someone
> broadcast "Y2K" in code on one of WWV's frequencies. Unfortunately, I
> cannot remember which one; it was either 5.0, 10.0, 15.0 or 20.0 Mhz.
> Did anyone else hear this? Has anyone heard any mention of it?
>
> Bob

Yea... those frequencies have been used for clandestine operation for years---the method is called 'piggybacking' (works especially good on the FM broadcast band for bugging operations with a bug on a broadcast channel and a monitor receiver nearby). I monitored 10 and 15 MHz channels overseas and heard plenty... Strange, dead serious, short one-liner transmissions right at the beginning of the WWV silent period (most likely made from an aircraft), another broadcast... "M60 calling M16" obviously stateside bootleggers, plenty transmissions and nutty antics in Spanish from Latin America, and I'll never forget that one guy who whistled that ever popular Lambada song of the late 80's---obviously, that guy was a Brazilian.

Actually, activity on the HF bands in South American is sheer bedlam. I have heard bootleg telephone companies running patch traffic on the 75 meter amateur band. Amateurs, or anyone who can get hold of communications equipment (usually amateur gear) routinely operate in commercial, military and government allocations---and not always maliciously! There really is no effective rule of law on the airwaves down there.

Even more interesting are the coded broadcasts that piggyback with international shortwave broadcasters; folks stateside can't hear those. I'll leave that discussion for another day but I will say one BBC channel is routinely used by one obnoxious country (and it's not the US)! I wouldn't have said anything but it always interfered with my enjoyment of BBC program material on that channel.

No, those tranmissions on the WWV channels are nothing new but they seemingly have been ignored.

2827. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121082507.02584.00001704@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 120885

In article <868s9m$5ma$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "Brian Denley" <bdenley@erols.com>
writes:

>Peter:
>That was funny!
>I wonder what it replaced. the Last Picture Show?
>sumbitch
>Brian Denley

Probably replaced "Citizen's Band." (Remember that one?)

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

2828. Need help locating an old callbook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Lynch <jwl@sgi.com>
Message-ID: <38885E8B.B8F6A69A@sgi.com>
Source record: 120886

I have a friend who has recently become a ham and is interested in getting his grandfather's call if it is available. His problem is that it has been so long (1960 or so) that neither he nor his grandmother remember it. Does anyone know how he might be able to find it given the grandfather's name and QTH?

Thanks,
Jim.

2829. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <3887a683.4645808@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 120887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

km5n.nospam@earthlink.net (Adam Adkins) wrote:
>
>> As to the texts, I picked up a text, somewhat on a whim at Radio Shack
>> while I was looking for something else. I was appalled to find out that it
>
> I was always disappointed (even before I took any of the elements)
>that the tests were multiple choice, and that the question pools were
>available in their entirety to the public. After the FCC's restructuring
>announcement, I suggested to the two VECs that I am associated with that
>multiple choice testing should be replaced by fill in the blank, or that
>the question pools not be public domain. Alas, it doesn't look as though
>that is going to happen.

When the FCC gave tests in the 60's,the question pool was supposed to
be a secret. Then, I believe it was Amco or something like that, had
people taking the exams just to get the question pool. After a while,
they had the entire question pool without going to the FCC.
I think a better approach would be to make the question pool so large
that it could not be memorized easily,
Just my opinion
Henry, K7WAR

2830. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88gme7.3a9.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 120888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 18:50:12 -0500, D. Townsend <pog@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>Jon Bloom wrote in message ...
>>And a lot of hams aren't going to buy it even if it costs less than the
>>paper book. That's what our data shows.
>
>You fellows are not very complimentary towards the other Hams in the ARS.
>First they won't join the league because they are too cheap to pay the dues
>now they are too cheap to buy an CD Rom with information that they may find
>usefull.

Which "fellows?" I've never said either of those things. What I'm
saying is that most buyers still prefer the paper book over the CD, and
price is only a secondary factor. How you get from that to "hams are
cheap" mystifies me.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2831. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net>
Message-ID: <388865F3.4E23DF5@snip.net>
Source record: 120889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

If the theory test wasn't such a handout we might be able to take pride in holding a ham radio license.

Rick wrote:

> On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 21:51:24 GMT, Cold@shower.org (Mr Cold Shower)
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
> >pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
> >you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
> >want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
> >whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
> >they can fix things for you.
> >
> >Extra class, killed in action.
>
> When is this CRAP going to stop??? The new test requirements are
> not welfare, you still have to study to pass the tests. Remember
> welfare is a hand out. For Amateur Radio license to be a hand out it
> would mean no testing at all and no charge for the license.
>
> The Code War is over so stop fighting it! If you think the FCC is
> going to rase the code speeds up again forget it, it's not going to
> happen. The return of the 13 and 20wpm requirement has a snowball's
> chance in Hell of coming back.
>
> Rick KC2ESD

2832. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net>
Message-ID: <388866D2.E3885278@snip.net>
Source record: 120890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

I agree that 5wpm isn't much of a requirement, but just the same, I would
love to hear you fart at 5wpm!

Mr Cold Shower wrote:

> I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
> pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
> you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
> want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
> whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
> they can fix things for you.
>
> Extra class, killed in action.
>
> cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
> <38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:
>
> >Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement. It
> >is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
> >one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
> >driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and still
> >the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
> > >
> > >
> > >On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > >>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
> > >>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code tests).
> > >>
> > >>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
> > >>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
> > >>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm code
> > >>tests... OK.
> > >>
> > >>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of the
> > >>bunch.
> > >>
> > >>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking exam,
> > >>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
> > >>
> > >>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the QPC

> >>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
> >>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into place.
> >>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial credit
> >>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
> >>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring re-
> >>examination on certain topics.
> >>
> >>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new models
> >>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
> >>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun at
> >>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless Tech
> >>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >>Before you buy.
> >

2833. Re: Ham Radio SHITLIST!! Add a user today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2fng@qsl.net
Message-ID: <38886e63.1338754@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 120891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:57:32 GMT, cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz)
wrote:

>Add your nominee to the next available line....
>
>
>kf6foz - for starting this stupid thing.
>kf6fgb - for not learning to read.

KF2TI - for not learning to read, write, think, or tell the truth

73 DE KC2FNG

2834. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.H. Parsons" <chparsons@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <an_h4.341$VO1.8446@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Are you serious? or joking? I was making an extremely obvious to me I must
say,
JOKE! so sorry--I should perhaps put a smiley face up at the end or said
GRINNNN!
so there would be no such problem.

"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7001F792E9449D7C.26352D91D87383D6.57F4E0CE76764706@lp.airnews.net...
> Know an awful lot about CB lingo? Hmmmmm, how'd ya learn it? Where'd ya
hear
> it? How often ya use it? Hmmmm???? Did you "change" your style of
> communication when you moved over to the ham radio side? Don't you think
> others can/will also? I know I did....
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> C.H. Parsons <chparsons@uswest.net> wrote in article
> <DZ1h4.143$pC.8674@news.uswest.net>...
> > WALL TO WALL AND TREE TOP TALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
> > news:s896a5e0eh6112@corp.supernews.com...
> > > If you only want to work distant stations, it is proper to
> > > replace "CQ Breaker" with "SkipLand, SkipLand, SkipLand, this
> > > here's the Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) reading the mail."
> > >
> > >
> > > nospam@nospam.com (M. Smith) wrote in <387BBA64.7610@nospam.com>:
> > > >
> > > >After restructuring it will be acceptable to initiate a QSO with the
> > > >call, "CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... CQ Breaker... here it the Mighty
> > > >Duck (FCC callsign), then "by for a call" or just plain "c'mon back".
> > > >
> > > >The Operating Staff recommends a 3X2 CQ call repeating as necessary
to
> > > >avoid band congestion; CQ Breaker X 3 and Mighty Duck (FCC callsign)
X
> > > >2.
> > > >
> > > >It's up to the individual good buddy/ham if they want to include the
> > > >frequency---just use three digits of the frequency ie. 14,213 would
be
> > > >213 and then make a call like, "Breaker! Breaker! 213 how copy the

> > > >Mighty Duck (FCC callsign) c'mon back"
> > > >
> > > >It is preferable that signal strengths use the 'Pound System' like S9
> > > >plus 20 pounds, "Yo puttin' S9 plus 20 pounds on my radio." There is
a
> > > >logic to the 'Pound System'---remember when you heard hams say,
"Gawd,
> > > >you're poundin' in here tonight Joe."
> > > >
> > > >Signal quality or audio quality would be given in terms of kilohertz
> > > >bandwidth; "Ok roger, your afterburner and power mike putting in S9
> > > >plus 20 pounds AND... 10 k's wide---doin' a fine job c'mon back"
> > > >
> > > >I'm sure there are plenty more other can add to the list but I'm
still
> > > >learnin' up
> > > >

2835. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <an_h4.1370$OT5.85294@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Well, I agree, 5WPM isn't a real test. You can basically just memorize
the characters and do a 'mental lookup' and pass the 5WPM.

But that's not the point. The point is CW should go, period. It's
outlived
it's prime. If you like it, use it, but don't force it on everybody.
ESPECIALLY
not as a fake qualifier of technical superiority for the 'so called
Extra' class.

Mike

Mr Cold Shower <Cold@shower.org> wrote in message
news:s8f0qst4eh6110@corp.supernews.com...
>
>
> I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying
to
> pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and
now
> you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you
all
> want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't
you
> whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL.
Maybe
> they can fix things for you.
>
> Extra class, killed in action.
>
>
> cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote in
> <38862370.1939081@news.thegrid.net>:
>
> >Failing a road test? There not getting rid of the code requirement.
It
> >is still in affect but at the same 5wpm. Get over it. There is only
> >one driving test and one written test to take if you want to be a
> >driver. As for morse? There is still a written for beginners and
still
> >the initial 5wpm that everyone starts with. Whats the prob?
> >
> >
> >On Wed, 19 Jan 2000 19:12:57 GMT, mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:

> >
> >>From CQ, paraphrasing FCC:
> >>
> >>
> >>* The much-abused disability waiver for 13- and 20-wpm code tests is
> >>eliminated (since there will no longer be any 13- or 20-wpm code
tests).
> >>
> >>Well now, here's some typical "military intelligence" I didn't read
> >>until today in the FCC's Retort and Odor.
> >>The code waiver is being abused, so less ditch the 13 and 20 wpm
code
> >>tests... OK.
> >>
> >>They did give a few other reasons, but this is the most stupid of
the
> >>bunch.
> >>
> >>It's like saying "everyone is failing the road test of a trucking
exam,
> >>so we'll just make it a written, shall we ?"
> >>
> >>* The changes will take effect on April 15, 2000. This will give the
QPC
> >>time to create new exams, and will give publishers time to get new
> >>license manuals into print before the new structure is put into
place.
> >>In addition, it will give tens of thousands of hams with partial
credit
> >>toward one of the new license classes the opportunity to pass the
> >>remaining element(s) before the new tests begin, possibly requiring
re-
> >>examination on certain topics.
> >>
> >>They left out the part about the radio makers issuing limited new
models
> >>until they had a "bigger" market... of course when they realize the
> >>"boom" (the ARRL will mention in the ARRL Letter this week has begun
at
> >>test sessions already) will die as quick a death as the codeless
Tech
> >>boom, they'll curtail manufacturing even faster.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >>Before you buy.
> >
>

2836. This is a nice Forum now!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86a0je$68r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120894

With the moving of the "Goodfellows" to 14275, this forum is more
friendly.

Way to go fellows, lets keep it that way!!

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2837. PARTING OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86a20pcke1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120895

```
HAMMERLUND-HQ-180
HEATHKIT-DX-40, DX-100, HO-10 AND VHF-1
HALLICRAFTERS-S-40A
NATIONAL-NCX3

THANK YOU
GARY
```

2838. TUBES..TUBES..TUBES..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86a22kckr1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120896

THOUSANDS OF TUBES FOR SALE.
gbrown@mint.net

THANK YOU
GARY

2839. MANUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86a24scla1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120897

PHOTOCOPIES OF HALLICRAFTERS, HEATHKIT, HAMMERLUND AND OTHERS.
gbrown@mint.net

THANK YOU
GARY

2840. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38887FB4.A370E57D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Rick wrote:
> When is this CRAP going to stop??? The new test requirements are
> not welfare, you still have to study to pass the tests. Remember
> welfare is a hand out. For Amateur Radio license to be a hand out it
> would mean no testing at all and no charge for the license.

Rick, they are just unhappy that the federal subsidy program for
Morse code has been decreased. They might now have to get off
their lazy butts and expend some energy promoting their favorite
mode.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2841. HR-10B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86a270cls1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120899

FOR SALE.
HEATHKIT, HR-10B.
LOOKS AND WORKS GOOD.
MAKE OFFER
THANK YOU
GARY

2842. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38887DD0.E835DD17@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jon Bloom wrote:
> Which "fellows?" I've never said either of those things. What I'm
> saying is that most buyers still prefer the paper book over the CD, and
> price is only a secondary factor. How you get from that to "hams are
> cheap" mystifies me.

I was going to order three CDs the other day. When I realized it came
to three digits, I backed out. (And I got a new ARRL Handbook for a
Christmas present.)

Riddle me this, Jon. I can get two copies of "The ARRL Antenna
Compendium", Volume 6 *WITH TWO CDs* for $40. Why is "The ARRL
Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2843. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388880B5.BDE4369C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Timothy J. Fiebig wrote:
> If the theory test wasn't such a handout we might be able to take pride in
> holding a ham radio license.

I don't remember pride being a Part 97 requirement. Anyone whose
self-esteem depends on an arbitrary governmental license should
see a psychologist. The value of a ham license is simply the
privileges granted.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2844. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38888172.D76F74BD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Mike Yetsko wrote:
> The point is CW should go, period.

Nope, CW should NOT go. CW is and should stay available
to anyone who wants to use it. Methinks you meant:
"The point is Morse code *testing* should go, period".
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2845. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88h2ib.3vv5l1v.jbloom@jbloom.arrl.org>
Source record: 120903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:40:00 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
>Riddle me this, Jon. I can get two copies of "The ARRL Antenna
>Compendium", Volume 6 *WITH TWO CDs* for $40. Why is "The ARRL
>Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?

As I noted in another posting, it's not the medium that determines the
price of a CD, it's the content.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager
(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2846. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@uswest.net
Message-ID: <86a1v1$6rc$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 120904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

> Why is "The ARRL Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?

Because it's worth it.

Perusing my latest copy of QEX last evening, I saw a CD advertised at $1,299 and a number of antenna books in the $80-120 range. The ARRL prices are absolute bargains against what is available from the "commercial" press houses. I think we ought to look at raising our prices!

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2847. Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Bruss <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <86a51e$k82$1@mark.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 120905

When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or regular?

Mike, KF6IMS

2848. Solar report in cw (Jan 21, 00)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <86a59p$rr1$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 120906
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

The latest solar and geomagnetic activity report as Morse code audio
files can be accessed / downloaded in MIDI-format at the DTC web site:

at 12 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/wx210100.mid
at 30 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/swx210100.mid

For the full text version look at http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html
clicking on the line with ONDREJOV in the left frame.

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

2849. New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: compcnsult@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121124432.03560.00000531@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 120907

As a ham for over 30 years I am personally glad to see the code requirements reduced but I think the technical part of the exam should be harder. After all ham radio has led a lot of us to technical careers and not cw professionals. Those that want to get on the air and ragchew at 20+ wpm can do just that. Those of us interested in the more technical aspect of the hobby should not be held back because of the code requirement. We need to do all we can to get more and younger folks interested in the hobby or it's going to die on the vine. The internet is hurting us everyday, why should a youngster put up a antenna, buy a rig, and fight QRN, QRM just to talk to his/her buddy in Japan when he can purchase a cheap computer and do the same thing - static free. We don't require new internet users to start off with a 300 baud modem and have to earn their right to go to a 56K modem. Then we should't expect them to start off at 5 wpm and work up to 20 wpm just for more privileges.

Just my 2 cents worth
Chuck, W0CBF
w0cbf@aol.com

2850. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <38889BC6.6FE1@arrl.net>
Source record: 120908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Timothy J. Fiebig wrote:

> > If the theory test wasn't such a handout we might be able to take pride in
> > holding a ham radio license.

> I don't remember pride being a Part 97 requirement. Anyone whose
> self-esteem depends on an arbitrary governmental license should
> see a psychologist. The value of a ham license is simply the
> privileges granted.

I don't know, Cecil, I am proud of some of my accomplishments in ham radio, and the value to me is in what those priveleges have allowed me to do. I am even proud of passing the tests, but that is not at the top of my list of lifetime accomplishements. :-)

Getting back to what Mr Fiebig wrote, I think that today's testing is less of a handout for full amateur priveleges than was the test I took to get same back in '63. I bet lots of people today would love to take a test they could pass after studying 16 pages of study-guide material. That is what it took to pass the General in 63, and for that I got all amateur priveleges at the legal power limit.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2851. Re: This is a nice Forum now!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: compcnsult@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121124802.03560.00000533@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 120909

Amen

2852. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Townsend" <pog@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <U%0i4.9231$JT3.52646@news2.atl>
Source record: 120910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jon Bloom wrote in message ...
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 18:50:12 -0500, D. Townsend <pog@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>>Jon Bloom wrote in message ...
>>>And a lot of hams aren't going to buy it even if it costs less than the
>>>paper book. That's what our data shows.
>>
>>You fellows are not very complimentary towards the other Hams in the ARS.
>>First they won't join the league because they are too cheap to pay the
dues
>>now they are too cheap to buy an CD Rom with information that they may
find
>>usefull.
>
>Which "fellows?" I've never said either of those things. What I'm
>saying is that most buyers still prefer the paper book over the CD, and
>price is only a secondary factor. How you get from that to "hams are
>cheap" mystifies me.
>
>Jon
>--
>Jon Bloom, KE3Z
>jbloom@arrl.org
>Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

Get real Jon how many times has the excuse been used on the newsgroup to explain why the entry level license classes will not join the league is they will not pay the $35.00 fee to become a member of the ARRL. now your saying that even if you reduce the price of the CD to a price that is lower than a book most won't even buy it then. Sure sounds like you saying that most Amateurs won't buy either no matter how cheap you make them.

Ex-KF4HMN

2853. Airline Frequencies on hf need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: stoli126@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121133015.03682.00000871@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 120911

Can anyone tell me if any airlines operate on the ham hf frequencies it so what band and frequencies and is it possible to hear them on an hf rig
Thanks

2854. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86acsigai1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120912

In article <86a51e$k82$1@mark.ucdavis.edu>,
Michael Bruss <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu> wrote:
> When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> regular?
>
> Mike, KF6IMS
>
At 5wpm what difference does it make? Unless of course you are
actually doing it to LEARN the Morse Code. In that case, I hope they
use the Farnsworth method.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2855. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ad39gkg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120913

In article <20000121124432.03560.00000531@ng-bh1.aol.com>,
compcnsult@aol.com (CompCnsult) wrote:
> As a ham for over 30 years I am personally glad to see the code
requirements
> reduced but I think the technical part of the exam should be harder.
After all
> ham radio has led a lot of us to technical careers and not cw
professionals.
> Those that want to get on the air and ragchew at 20+ wpm can do just
that.
> Those of us interested in the more technical aspect of the hobby
should not be
> held back because of the code requirement. We need to do all we can
to get more
> and younger folks interested in the hobby or it's going to die on the
vine. The
> internet is hurting us everyday, why should a youngster put up a
antenna, buy a
> rig, and fight QRN, QRM just to talk to his/her buddy in Japan when
he can
> purchase a cheap computer and do the same thing - static free. We
don't
> require new internet users to start off with a 300 baud modem and
have to earn
> their right to go to a 56K modem. Then we should't expect them to
start off at
> 5 wpm and work up to 20 wpm just for more privileges.
>
> Just my 2 cents worth
> Chuck, W0CBF
> w0cbf@aol.com
>
Chuck,

Well put however I know of many people that started in ham radio and
made a living as a professional CW operator. Example: Merchant
Marine, Military, CIA, etc.

And to respond to why a young person would want to go to the trouble of
hanging a ant, fighting noise just to talk around the world. CHALLANGE.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2856. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888A630.6A77D2D6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jon Bloom wrote:
> As I noted in another posting, it's not the medium that determines the
> price of a CD, it's the content.

IMO, the ARRL would sell twice as many Antenna Book CDs and make a
larger profit from them if the price were $29.95 instead of $39.95.
I would never miss $39.95 but it is just not worth that much to me
(and I would really like to have one). It's got to be worth even
less to those hams who would miss the $39.95.

I would rather go hungry than pay $10 for a high-class hamburger.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2857. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888A795.7747FC02@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>
> In a previous article, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > Why is "The ARRL Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
>
> Because it's worth it.

Not to me, Hans, and I really would like to have one. There's
a threshold involved. I'm not unique in that I already have
two ARRL Antenna Books. The incremental information is just
not worth $40 to me.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2858. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86adfd$gp2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <867ped$j37$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <38853742.6F0BAFCF@icss.net>,
> burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > And TP stuck to your shoe.
> >
> > Tim wrote:
> >
> > > :) I can hear her voice now... that's funny. So I go to cast my vote for a section
> > > manager and I realize I have a sweat ball on my nose!!
> > >
> > > ddz
> > >
> > > alice wrote:
> > >
> > > > What's all this talk about secret erections! I think they should be ashamed of
> > > > themselves.
> > > >
> > > > With apologies to Rosanne Rosannadanna (Gilda Radner).
> >
> >
>
> Granted the Masataka-style pronunciation of elections is amusing at
> first, but what is it with hams that they turn a newsgroup into a
> anatomical discussion worthy of a junior high school ?
>
> I find this on very few newsgroups.
>
> The same people so very worried about "saving" ham radio from old farts
> and recruting young people by dropping code requirements are the same
> crowd who would cause any parent to shudder at the prospect of their
> child spending 1200 dollars to talk with such people.
>
> Of course, given the language used, perhaps such people have a hidden
> agenda for wanting younger hams....
>

Tim wrote:

(Some defenses of the perverts in ham radio and using turnabout as his
last line of defense)

If you aren't involved why defend them ? The joke is a cliche and while
it might elicit a brief chuckle, does not belong here. It only serves to

point the level to which hams have sunk, male and female.

Your claim that most hams aren't perverts is true. But there are a far greater proportion of freaks in ham radio (and on the internet) than in life in general. It attracts friendless escapists who are looking for a fresh start.

Witness a senior citizen aged ham I know of who instructed CW classes at ARRL HQ, a convicted child molester, who was arrested yet again a few years ago.

I NEVER, in my LIFE, had the displeasure of having conducted discourse with someone like this.. I was disgusted after the fact when I thought back and realized that he was quite prone to latching on to the younger ham class students.

Fortunately for the hobby, he gave his radios away, presumably in embarrassment for having been outed.

So you tell me why the jackasses obsessed with

1. lowering the code speed
2. getting more "yound blood" and "fresh faces"

are the same cases who started the discussion of reproductive functions in a thread about ARRL E-L-E-C-T-I-O-N-S

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2859. Re: This is a nice Forum now!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86adhcgpi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120917

In article <20000121124802.03560.00000533@ng-bh1.aol.com>,
compcnsult@aol.com (CompCnsult) wrote:
> Amen
>
Actually the move to 14.275 is not the reason this forum is better.
The move is just a part of the overall solution. You see we, the
Goodfellows, have decided to end this idiotic bickering. And the move
is to break off the combatants.

As to why this forum is better. I, for one, have disengaged from the
war. Both on this forum and on the air. I hope my example has led
others to do the same. Credit is also due to Bob Youngblood, George
Ashley, Marti Chittum, Oris Grim, Jimmy Obrien and even Doc. Thanks to
all of you. No one can expect a "easy" disengagement. There will be
occassional "skirmishes". These to shall pass.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2860. Re: Ham Radio SHITLIST!! Add a user today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf2ti1126@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86ae8o$hj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

In article <38886e63.1338754@news3.buffnet.net>,
rec.radio.amateur.policy wrote:
> On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:57:32 GMT, cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz)
> wrote:
>
> >Add your nominee to the next available line....
> >
> >
> >kf6foz - for starting this stupid thing.
> >kf6fgb - for not learning to read.
>
> KF2TI - for not learning to read, write, think, or tell the truth
>
> 73 DE KC2FNG

Gee little Porta Johnny waa waa Thought I was kill filed dickweed.
Maybe you should name yourself. Any person who slanders fellow club
members ina public forum needs to be on a list like this. This is a
truth that I read from your posting, I wrote to several of your club
members that I personally know to advise them that you basically are a
lower form of plant life. I provided copies of your post to them as
proof

Therefore, I did read the truth (as you wrote it) I did write the
truth (unless you lied about the whole thing) I did think that the
truth should be spread amoungst that you insulted and I am telling the
truth now. I did all those truths and would do it again, because I
know it pisses you off.

Maybe you wanna send popgun shooter 1 at me again. Yes Johnny rides
the cotton pony, we all know you have a dejanews address and post
messages back and forth to yourself. Best you stay near that big bad
radio station you set up. Never will know when Ares will need you to
rescue someone caught in the ice flows of the Niagara.

Tata prattle brained fool. Go back to teaching your pet poodle morse
code. I'm sure it would be a better operator than the likes of you

Don't forget to killfile me again

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2861. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888A9D7.10A08E1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> I don't know, Cecil, I am proud of some of my accomplishments in ham
> radio, and the value to me is in what those priveleges have allowed me
> to do. I am even proud of passing the tests, but that is not at the top
> of my list of lifetime accomplishements. :-)

I personally don't tie my accomplishments to my license but your
mileage may vary.

> Getting back to what Mr Fiebig wrote, I think that today's testing is
> less of a handout for full amateur priveleges than was the test I took
> to get same back in '63. I bet lots of people today would love to take
> a test they could pass after studying 16 pages of study-guide material.
> That is what it took to pass the General in 63, and for that I got all
> amateur priveleges at the legal power limit.

It was only 10 pages in the 1957 ARRL License Manual and probably less
than that in 1952 when I passed Conditional and acquired *all* amateur
operating privileges. There were no solid-state device questions at all
on my 1952 exam. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2862. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@uswest.net
Message-ID: <86aaju$9t5$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 120920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, K4ATL <pog@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Get real Jon how many times has the excuse been used on the newsgroup to
>explain why the entry level license classes will not join the league is they
>will not pay the $35.00 fee to become a member of the ARRL. now your saying
>that even if you reduce the price of the CD to a price that is lower than a
>book most won't even buy it then. Sure sounds like you saying that most
>Amateurs won't buy either no matter how cheap you make them.
>
>
>Ex-KF4HMN

No, that's not what he's saying at all. He's saying you can't capture
100% of the market unless you lower your price to some outrageous
figure like $00.00.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2863. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Hamilton M. Bryant, II." <hm-bryant@raytheon.com>
Message-ID: <3888B603.19F03D0B@raytheon.com>
Source record: 120921
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: hm-bryant.vcf

Farnsworth, I know I learned in regular, got to test and couldn't copy a
thing.
Ham KM5IS

Michael Bruss wrote:

> When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> regular?
>
> Mike, KF6IMS

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2864. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3888B49B.7822@arrl.net>
Source record: 120922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

W6RCecilA wrote:

> I personally don't tie my accomplishments to my license but your
> mileage may vary.

Well, I was talking about accomplishments within Amateur Radio, and it kind of hard to disassociate those from my license. I didn't think anyone wanted to hear the horror stories of how I keep my old beaters on the road well past their expected dates of demise. (No one except Carl; the lobster bet does require that the loser drives, so I am going to pick him up in my Jeep. Seeing his fearful expression and white knuckles will be worth the whole trip!)

In my case, it is not possible to disassociate my professional accomplishements from my license, either. :-)

> > Getting back to what Mr Fiebig wrote, I think that today's testing is
> > less of a handout for full amateur priveleges than was the test I took
> > to get same back in '63. I bet lots of people today would love to take
> > a test they could pass after studying 16 pages of study-guide material.
> > That is what it took to pass the General in 63, and for that I got all
> > amateur priveleges at the legal power limit.

> It was only 10 pages in the 1957 ARRL License Manual and probably less
> than that in 1952 when I passed Conditional and acquired *all* amateur
> operating privileges. There were no solid-state device questions at all
> on my 1952 exam. :-)

Are you telling me that ham radio has been dumbed down since 1957? And to think, the newcomer today gets to look at the few hundred pages in Now You're Talking study guide and be thankful he didn't have to study those 10 pages to pass today's dumbed down exam.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2865. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Sanford <tsanford@roadrunner.nf.net>
Message-ID: <3888B2D4.9F03E157@roadrunner.nf.net>
Source record: 120923
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Digital_Disrupter wrote:

> Snip.....................

> Thats what my hormones call for. Hey do more hormones make one more
> romantic?
>

No they are Horny-omes! Dream on.

2866. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4733BC418ED0DA0A.AEA40280AFE1645D.C1775A89438B618A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote in article <86adfd$gp2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >
> > The same people so very worried about "saving" ham radio from old farts
> > and recruting young people by dropping code requirements are the same
> > crowd who would cause any parent to shudder at the prospect of their
> > child spending 1200 dollars to talk with such people.
> >

I would shudder at spending $1200 to get into ham radio.

Kim W5TIT

2867. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001212033400.1882-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000, Mr Cold Shower wrote:
> I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
> pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
> you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
> want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't you
> whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
> they can fix things for you.

Well, actually, it is: Can someone recognize the individual letters - yes or
no?

I agree that for "Conversational 'CW'," the 13 wpm test is THE test (and 20wpm
tested proficiency only).

2868. N0VFP's New Years Hate Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86ag08$6hv$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120926

On either Dec.31 or Jan.1, N0VFP posted an extremely racist NEW MILLENNIUM message that infuriated Eric Cooper, me, Dan Jeswald, Roger Wiseman, Tim Volz and others. I've searched the archives and cannot locate it and it's not on old messages on my USENET board screen. I have a neighbor who is going for his doctorate in political science with the emphasis on Internet hate and that posting would be appreciated by him. Would someone please copy and paste it if found.
Thanks a lot.
Doc,AF2Y. P..S. I no longer know what it's like to be knocked offline or not to be able to connect the first time. As I said, each ISP has advantages and disadvantages and monopolies should be relegated to board games. Also I have not been active on ham radio and the Internet because of a very busy sked. The Internet has greatly increased my value as a diagnostician and treatment planner and the $ is unbelievable. When I get the chance I shall return to illuminate this board as well as the ham bands; in the meantime have as much fun as possible but it will be tougher without me.

2869. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3888C56A.6DDE3094@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
> >
> > In a previous article, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > > Why is "The ARRL Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
> >
> > Because it's worth it.
>
> Not to me, Hans, and I really would like to have one. There's
> a threshold involved. I'm not unique in that I already have
> two ARRL Antenna Books. The incremental information is just
> not worth $40 to me.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

I'd really like to have a Dodge Viper but I can't justify the price.
Why not do as I do--don't buy if you can't come up with a spare 40 clams
from your big investments.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to:
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/ad1c/5H3US/

For info on K8MN go to:
http://www.geocities.com/k8mn_1999

For information on the U.S. Embassy in Tanzania, go to:
http://www.cats-net.com/amemb/main.htm

2870. Re: A-1 Operators who Aren't (N2FF Violates Band Plan !)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex PA1AW <pa1aw@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <86ahtoqfo1@news.hccnet.nl>
Source record: 120928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

<mf_luder@my-deja.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
85svocndo1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> > Hey, you don't know if you've got a nomination on file
> > and are just waiting for that second one!
>
> I have one. And in its ideal form the "club" is an OK idea, and should
> work as you say.

At least this should be a kind of AA : " Amateur Anonymous "
What other club can accept members without name or a call.
Wonder how you would organize a clubmeeting.......

Alex PA1AW

2871. W8MMQ.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq@email.com>
Message-ID: <86aj3fbbn1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120929

The W8MMQ Wire for January 21 is now online. Also, the radio mods archive has been updated, and new mods will be added tonight.

Check out the site at http://www.w8mmq.net/. Any comments on the site would be appreciated.

73 de Dan, W8MMQ

2872. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <3888d1a4.2316862@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120930

The last time I tried to pass my test it was given with farnsworth at
the Sunnyvale VEC. others may be different.

On 21 Jan 2000 17:29:18 GMT, Michael Bruss <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu>
wrote:

>When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
>regular?
>
>Mike, KF6IMS

2873. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121172710.02778.00000919@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 120931

herr stromhitler sprechen

>I notice you carefully edited out the part, immediately after the
>snippet in your sound clip, wherein W1WCR (the Net Control Station)
>and another Net participant stated that they did not like the
>language used by AA8DP or want it on the Liberty Net, <1st time for
everything> language which
>I agree was ungentlemanly and undesirable. <can you say RA-CIST>

For the record I edited nothing. Oh my gosh, W1wcr said something to aa8dp
about his remarks. N2saggy said something about aa8dp's remarks? Isn't that
ironic, how people have been angry at the net and the net members for certain
speech, and now we have the net members themselves practicing cencorship.
Belay the spin and tap dancing herr stromhitler, call it what it is _ Racist
slurs and remarks. After all we all know that's what the net is made up of.
This isn't the first instance of this taking place. Although it is the first
where anyone has decided to chastize AA8DP for his remarks. I guess it's only
because the LIGHT is on you & the Net right now.
was this also edited herr stom?

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions/aa8dp.wav

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Page2/AA8DP3.wav >>

(beginning of original message)
Subject: Re: A response to KAS <kevin alfred strom> concerning Dr. King
From: bentleydrv@bellsouth.net
Date: 2000/01/20
Newsgroups: alt.discrimination,k12.chat.teacher,soc.culture.usa
Ah, greatness, like beauty, is in the eye of the beholder.

vonquark@mindspring.com wrote:

> To the Redoubtable and Formidable
> Kevin Alfred Strom:
>
> So Martin Luther King had moral lapses!
> So what?! He was still a great man!
>
> King David was an adulterer and a murderer yet God
> reckoned him as a man after his own heart....
> (1 Samuel 13:13-14- OT) and again in
> (Acts 13:22 - NT) Because the Christian message
> transcends human weaknesses.
>
> But I err; appeals to Jewish Scripture may not be

> considered a legitimate precedent for you.
>
> Hmmmm! Since you won't look to the Tenach
> and the Pentateuch for lessons in morality can
> we find a morality that you respect?
>
> How about the Christian New Testament.
> Paul was a murderer yet his work is considered
> sacred.....Oooops, you would still consider
> Paul (nee Saul) to be too Semetic for your liking.
> Is there an Aryan you would look up to Kevin?
> One who would demonstrate my point?!
>
> Churchill?!
>
> He was Aryan. Blue eyes, Red Hair. Doesn't
> get any more Aryan than that. He was not
> merely racially prejudiced agaist Blacks, he
> even disliked the Irish - they weren't Aryan
> enough for him. But, he was a drunk of
> Bacchanalian proportions.
>
> But yet, surely inspite of his moral failings and
> prejudices, he was a hero. He withstood
> the Nazi onslaught.......Ooooops I forgot
> you admire the Nazis.......And you probably
> consider those moral failings of his - those
> racial prejudices - as virtures not vices.....
>
> Gonna be hard to make this moral point to you!
> Especially since you seem to admire Hitler.
> And no doubt you would mention Hitler's blue eyes,
> his teetotaling, his vegetarianism, and his crusade
> against cigarettes as proof that that he was
> an ï¿½berMensch zum ï¿½berMenschen.
>
> And we know Hitler was not an adulterer. He may not
> have been adequately equipped for that task. Unable
> to rise to the occasion so to speak.
>
> But to be fair those rumors are not fully documented.
> Suffice to say, Hitler never had a Lewinsky in his past.
>
> Hitler did not drink, smoke or philander. Unlike
> Roosevelt and Churchill who were given to all these
> vices. If it wasn't fï¿½r all those millions he killed Hitler
> would have been a poster boy fï¿½r the Moral Majority.
>
> Hmmmmm! Das Historie is so unfair, Ja, Freund Kevin!
>

> How can we make you to understand that
> moral failings often accompany great men?
> Yet, these personal failings do not diminish the
> grandeur of their work.
>
> Who could I use to illustrate this point, someone
> you would be obliged to respect given your
> Nordische outlook!
>
> I KNOW! I KNOW!
>
> Initially, Richard Wagner had Socialist
> tendencies and took part in the LIBERAL
> German Revolution of 1848 which failed;
> forcing him to flee to Paris. He was involved
> in a few illicit assignations. One of the more
> notable was with a Mathilde Wesendonck.
> Then there was that affair with Cosima
> von Bï¿½low. A women he only married later on.
>
> Maybe he had some vision, no doubt brought
> to him by a Walkï¿½rie born of a Nordic zeitgeist,
> of a glorious prophetic future for Cosima
> when she would have the singular distinction
> of having been one of Hitler's early supporters
> and may have been the only human to have
> known both men. A Walkï¿½rie of a Frau!
>
> A biography of Wagner
> http://www.zazz.com/wagner/biography.html
> http://www.dominet.com.tr/members/okonsar/WagnerBio.htm
>
> So Richard Wagner, that Aryan Komposer Extraordinaire,
> that ï¿½berMusiker, was an ex-socialist and a sexual lothario.
> And yet somehow Nazis and Neo Nazis overlooked
> his personal failings and considered him a genius.
>
> In fact your ï¿½berWebPage
> http://www.kevin-strom.com
> offers Wagner's FestMarch as one of the premier
> examples of Western Art. (Kevin has a link to one
> of Meister Wagner's more famous pieces.)
>
> How did this happen? Kevin?! I am surprised
> at you. You criticize Martin Luther King for his
> excesses while at the same time you gloss over
> similar failings in Richard Wagner.
>
> Tschub and Wagner was such an Aryan Hero, too!
> Ja! He was anti-Semitic and blonde to boot!

> A real fun mensch at the Hofbraï¿½. Always the
> first to sing polkas mit der boys. "An a Vun!
> An a Two! Ach du lieber Augustine, Augustine..."
>
> Wagner was an ï¿½berKondukter. Writer of VolkMusik!
> Yet given to such moral failings!
>
> Tschub! Ach du lieber! Gott im Himmel!
> Was is Diese?!
>
> Has the Velt (World) gone mad! Is there no one
> stalwart Aryan hero of virtue to lead us onward
> to Seig (Victory)? Have they all fallen by the
> wayside? Failing to live up to the eternal standards
> of Blood und Honor. Lesser men?!
> Untermenschen all!
>
> DON'T MENTION IT!
>
> But wait! KEVIN! You will be our Hero! Our
> Defender! Dare I say it! Our...Our...Our SEIGFRIED!
>
> You will trample down on the Birfrost demons of
> liberality and extirpate the untermenschen who this
> very moment plot the demise of our
> HerrenVolk (Master Race). You will lead us on
> to Valhalla where we shall drink Mead and
> listen to the eternal Sagas and Eddas while being
> regaled by willing Walkï¿½ren (Valkyries) who are
> there solely to fulfill our Aryan need for Nordic
> beauty. And who seek to bear HerrenKinder fï¿½r
> das Race. Blonde babies mit blue eyes whom you
> just love to pinch they are so pink and cute.
>
> Und we drink schnapps and make lieb all day
> mit die Walkï¿½ren. While oompah bands serenade us.
> In the evenings we don LiederHosen and eat all manner
> of Wï¿½rst and drink more BochBier in Steins and it is just
> one Grï¿½sse wunderbar OktoberFest for all eternity.
> Bring on das Sauerkraut. A Triumph des Willens!
>
> Onward Kevin. Onward....Grab that Hammer
> of yours and start flailing in a BerserkerFest of
> Nordic Purgation. Down with the untermenschen.
> Down! Down! Time for KultureKampf.
> KevinKampf. Take no prisoners, Kevin!
>
> Kampf fï¿½r Kevin und Volk!.
>
> BUT WAIT!

>
> Your picture on the website shows you have black hair.
>
> Egads.
>
> Kevin, you are genetically weak!
> Your genes display negressence. A product
> of some ill considered miscegenation in the
> misty pasts. I bet you even have brown eyes.
> An ancestor of yours had some rendevous
> with a swarthy. Thus permanently
> destroying your DNA. You are .....
> are.... are..... UnNORDIC!
>
> ODIN, WHAT ARE WE TO DO?
>
> There is no one! Even Mighty Kevin
> has been shown to be weak. ODIN, we are not
> worthy of Valhalla. Not worthy to drink
> your Mead. Not worthy of a Blonde Walkï¿½rie
> mit WunderBooben und BreastPlate Korsets.
>
> Help us Mighty ODIN.
> There is no one left. Even Freda has run off
> with some Puertoriqueï¿½o Salsa band and
> has fallen for the lead drummer, Raoul.
> Greta sings Kalypso mit some Reggae Dred!
>
> Destroy the Velt now!
>
> Bring on Ragnarok.
>
> Valhalla has been stormed. They are
> busing Blacks into Asgard! Das Schwartzen
> have won!
>
> For the rest of you - those who are
> untermenschenen like me and proud of it -
> I think Dr. King was a great man inspite
> of his failings, even as King David was
> favored of the Lord.
>
> VonQuark
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>
> > Joe Malloy wrote:
> > >
> > > RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com...

> > > > What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
> > > >
> > > > 1) Reading The Communist Manifesto
> > >
> > > Been there, done that, it has a few pointers that are true, but nobody
> > > listens.
> >
> > MLK listened. He described himself as a Marxist, though the boobs
> > are seldom informed of that. A documented article on the subject is
> > available at my site (url below), and you can also visit:
> >
> > http://www.martinlutherking.org
> >
> > >
> > > > 2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.
> > >
> > > Name a conservative icon of your own who *hasn't* been a "philanderer."
> > > There are darn few, conservative or democrats, who have been "pure."
Gee,
> > > you folks like Thomas Jefferson a whole bunch but he philandered with a
> > > *black* woman. How do you like that?
> > [...]
> >
> > Despite the high hopes of the Jefferson-haters, that hasn't been
> > proved by their much vaunted tests. Even _Nature_ magazine, and the
> > original author of the misleading article (Eugene Foster), have
> > backed off considerably from their original statements.
> >
> > But, for the large number who accept the media image as reality,
> > it's an "established fact."
> >
> > Just as is the near-sainthood of Communists Mandela and King. In my
> > site's lead article you can even see an icon-like carved idol of
> > King now attached to one wall of the National Cathedral.
> >
> > Note followups.
> >
> > With all good wishes,
> >
> > --
> >
> > Kevin Alfred Strom.
> >
> > News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

(end of original message)

2874. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86amlf$o9j$3@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 120932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Rick wrote:
> > When is this CRAP going to stop??? The new test requirements are
> > not welfare, you still have to study to pass the tests. Remember
> > welfare is a hand out. For Amateur Radio license to be a hand out it
> > would mean no testing at all and no charge for the license.
>
> Rick, they are just unhappy that the federal subsidy program for
> Morse code has been decreased. They might now have to get off
> their lazy butts and expend some energy promoting their favorite
> mode.

Not a chance. If codehaters can't understand why they
should learn it from all that has gone before here on RRAP,
attempting to "promote" it to them would be an enormous
exercise in futility, to say nothing of overfilled with
rancor.
Oh BTW....Why haven't we heard from you, the character who
wants everyone with a ham license to be an engineer, on the
memorize-the-question-and-its-answer writtens? You ARE all
smoke and BS, aren't you?

2875. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86amd2$o9j$2@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 120933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> > Which "fellows?" I've never said either of those things. What I'm
> > saying is that most buyers still prefer the paper book over the CD, and
> > price is only a secondary factor. How you get from that to "hams are
> > cheap" mystifies me.
>
> I was going to order three CDs the other day. When I realized it came
> to three digits, I backed out. (And I got a new ARRL Handbook for a
> Christmas present.)
>
> Riddle me this, Jon. I can get two copies of "The ARRL Antenna
> Compendium", Volume 6 *WITH TWO CDs* for $40. Why is "The ARRL
> Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?

Well, that's three potential buyers who've backed out
because of price. Wonder how many more will sign on?

2876. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3888DFAB.7582@arrl.net>
Source record: 120934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:

> > Rick, they are just unhappy that the federal subsidy program for
> > Morse code has been decreased. They might now have to get off
> > their lazy butts and expend some energy promoting their favorite
> > mode.

> Not a chance. If codehaters can't understand why they
> should learn it from all that has gone before here on RRAP,
> attempting to "promote" it to them would be an enormous
> exercise in futility, to say nothing of overfilled with
> rancor.

I do not think that all of those who will pass a 5 wpm test and get on HF are necessarily "code haters," Dick. And I am certain that not all of them have been following the thousands of threads and tens of thousands of posts on RRAP. For some, the ONLY practical exposure they will get to the potential worth and fun of manual OOK CW is going to be from old time CW ops like you and me. For you to have determined, without even having met these people, that you would be overfilled with rancor to even try indicates to me that your goal is not to promote Morse code use at all.

I hope that some of these newcomers come to understand that there are people willing to offer encouragement in whatever they decide they want to do with ham radio if they come on board. If that includes Morse code, I will certainly do my best to help; if that includes some other mode, I will do my best to help with that, too, maybe at the price of a few minutes of explaining why I like OOK CW, but without any prejudgement about them if all they do with that explanation is nod their heads politely and thank me for helping them decide what antenna is best.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2877. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86anfa$o9j$4@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 120935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>
> In a previous article, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > Why is "The ARRL Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
>
> Because it's worth it.
>
> Perusing my latest copy of QEX last evening, I saw a CD advertised
> at $1,299 and a number of antenna books in the $80-120 range. The
> ARRL prices are absolute bargains against what is available from the
> "commercial" press houses. I think we ought to look at raising our
> prices!

Suits me. I won't buy any more. Or less.

2878. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3888E2E2.5724@arrl.net>
Source record: 120936

Michael Bruss wrote:

> When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> regular?

Hi, Mike,

The code tests are almost always sent Farnsworth. ARRL tapes contain 18 wpm characters spaced at 5 wpm. This offers two advantages; it gives a bit more time between characters and it helps you train for higher speed CW by getting you used to fast dots and dashes early in the process. This latter point can be a disadvantage for some who may have trouble aurally distinguishing dots and dashes at 18 wpm.

To digress a bit, and add a bit of my input to any who are having trouble with the dits and dahs, I will say that for some people (me, for instance) the difference between a dit and a dah seems to be almost natural; I never had any trouble. Some I have talked to who have been having a lot of trouble with the code turned out to be having trouble hearing the difference a B (dad-di-di-di) would be sent and they would hear beep-beep-beep-beep. For those, a non-Farnsworth code test may help. The easiest approach is to first spend time listening to the code until you can always decode the dits and dahs that are sent, without necessarily recognizing what letter it is. One way to do this is with a computer program, work on A/N K/R combinations until you can always recognize the sound. Then, jump immediately to the Q/F Y/L combinations, to do the same with longer letters. Not only will you have learned a few of the "tough" letters, but you will now have a good skill at dit/dah decoding and can now move on to memorizing the character sets.

One last point; I talked to Bart Jahnke, the ARRL VEC Manager. He told me that the League can supply 5 wpm non-Farnsworth tapes to ARRL VE teams, but that those who need them as a reasonable accomodation would have to make arrangements in advance with the VE team. It may be easier to work on dit/dah decoding a bit, but if it looks like that option is the only way to reasonably pass the test, contact the ARRL VEC.

In any event, if I digressed too much, please forgive me. And good luck on the tests.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2879. Ham love poll (Burt Fisher is a very sick Man)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcolenso@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121175735.03666.00000613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120937

The same day that Mr. Fisher posted:
++++++++++++++++++++++++++
If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your choice or have your picture on
the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
++++++++++++++++++++++++++

he posted the following on a NG about weather in the North East US.

Bob
KD8WU

==========
Would you rather have 16" of snow or a romantic evening and night with the person of your choice?

Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years

Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it. You will see:

1. How to pass the A+ Certification
2. Really interesting answering machine messages
3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
4. How you know you are a ham.
5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in". 7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact. 8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people. 9. An amazing card game that will shock others
10. Pictures of me and my family.
11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
12. See now the future of ham radio.

Burt Fisher
A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have

burt@broadcast.net
http://hfradio.org/k1oik/

Post Reply << Previous in search ï¿½ Next in search >>

Save this thread
Subscribe to ne.weather
Mail this message to a friend

View original Usenet format
Create a custom link to this message from your own Web site
==========

Remove the obvious when responding by e-mail

U of M GO BLUE !!! BEAT ALABAMA !!!

2880. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86ap9v$o9j$6@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 120938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
>
I think that today's testing is
> less of a handout for full amateur priveleges than was the test I took
> to get same back in '63. I bet lots of people today would love to take
> a test they could pass after studying 16 pages of study-guide material.

I wish they had to. For sure they'd have to learn
SOMETHING, unlike todays question pools.

> That is what it took to pass the General in 63, and for that I got all
> amateur priveleges at the legal power limit.

And as you tested, FCC was preparing to recant on those
"full priveleges", obviously realizing what a mistake that
ruling had been.
Now today's new and improved FCC just trash-canned all the
progress that has been gained over the past 32 years, all
the bitching aside. We advance even further to the rear, to
where any CB/FBer who wants to memorize enough code to copy
dots and dashes, and do the necessary study of
question/answer pairing can quickly and easily, without
learning, grab everything ham radio has to offer. It has all
been made essentially worthless. Next stop, useless.

And then? Some slow, inexorable and continuous, but
definite conversion of amateur spectrum to commercial use.
Just as the frog in warm water sits placidly, fat dumb and
comfortable, while the temperature is slowly turned up.

Just wait-- the justification WILL be there, and it will be
valid.
There's already plenty on the books, and you ain't seen
nothin' yet.

And I'd LOVE to be wrong. But history DOES repeat itself
and the past 35 years history will be repeated in spades,
this time. We're talking the full HF ham radio spectrum now,
not just one sliver high therein. And, there won't be a damn
thing anyone can do about it. In 5 to 10 years, maybe much
less, the HF bands will sound every bit as bad as 27 MC does
now, and the FCC will just as studiously ignore it all,
whatever is left, - If there IS an FCC left. Riley is ONE.
Even with his hi-tech junk he won't make a dent in it.

It is clear that NO ONE at FCC has been paying attention,
at all. So now ham radio will pay the price.

2881. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <UH5i4.2347$OT5.125035@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

You're not quoting me correctly.

Yes, I said it, but WITH it I ALSO said:

If you like it, use it, but don't force it on everybody.
ESPECIALLY not as a fake qualifier of technical
superiority for the 'so called Extra' class.

No one said you couldn't use it. Just don't make a PHYSICAL skill
a measure of technical competance. It's not, and never will be.
If you think it is, well, I have some cheap land in Florida to sell...

Mike

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:38888172.D76F74BD@IEEE.org...
> Mike Yetsko wrote:
> > The point is CW should go, period.
>
> Nope, CW should NOT go. CW is and should stay available
> to anyone who wants to use it. Methinks you meant:
> "The point is Morse code *testing* should go, period".
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

2882. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86aprd$o9j$8@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 120940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> > As I noted in another posting, it's not the medium that determines the
> > price of a CD, it's the content.
>
> IMO, the ARRL would sell twice as many Antenna Book CDs and make a
> larger profit from them if the price were $29.95 instead of $39.95.
> I would never miss $39.95 but it is just not worth that much to me
> (and I would really like to have one). It's got to be worth even
> less to those hams who would miss the $39.95.
>

And with the proliferation of CD copiers, it would seem
that some- maybe many- hams would 'share' a copy, since the
price seems inflated anyway. I can't see that as a good for
anyone.

2883. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "." <k793BINTHISBIT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <k6bf8soqqevjgv46ue4houv2i5qeiba5lj@4ax.com>
Source record: 120941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur

On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 08:37:17 -0500, "Burt" <k1oik@yahoo.com> wrote:

>If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of your
>choice or have your picture on
>the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>
I would have a picture of myself and my main squeeze on the front
cover of QST,in full technicolour,examining and swooning over my huge
erection whilst stood in my back garden.

joe

Remove BINTHISBIT When replying via email

2884. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86ar8k$o9j$9@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 120942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>

He's saying you can't capture
> 100% of the market unless you lower your price to some outrageous
> figure like $00.00.
>

There's a pretty good spread between $0.00 and $40. I believe there are some far more realistic figures to be had in there somewhere. What is never known is the "politics" behind it all. As you mentioned, maximizing profit is the intended goal. Maybe the party doing the pricing sees some personal advantage to be gained by "maximixing" the profit involved in his decision, since if successful he gains points with the boss. Without knowing nor caring who is involved, it just seems wrong that ARRL CD literature, even in a niche market, can't be more in tune with the rest of the world.

The best way to build any market is through satisfied customers. I don't even know anyone who has bought any of the ARRL CD's. If I did, and had an opportunity to look them over, I might well decide I had to have them, too. As it is, it's only an seemingly overpriced catalog item to me. With virtually every ham using computers, and virturly every computer including a CD drive, I would think they'd be popular if priced attractively. Obviously they are not. And it isn't because we can't afford it, either. The priority just doesn't justify the price, whatever the cost structure.

I was seriously thinking about the QST Cd's, even at that price, until I learned that they aren't fully searchable since they're scanned as full pages instead of text. That dropped their value to me. I understand the index only is searchable.

2885. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3888F299.CCE@arrl.net>
Source record: 120943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:

> And with the proliferation of CD copiers, it would seem
> that some- maybe many- hams would 'share' a copy, since the
> price seems inflated anyway. I can't see that as a good for
> anyone.

Are you really offering that hams would share a copy of a $40 CD, but would not share a $30 CD?

I do agree, such "sharing" is not good for anyone; a good example is found in the Antenna Optimizer program. When someone cracked the cripple code in the demo version and started downloading copies everywhere, the vendor simply folded shop and we will all never see the next version.

This is not the kind of sharing that my parents taught me when I was young.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2886. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3888F1C2.5FD@arrl.net>
Source record: 120944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I was seriously thinking about the QST Cd's, even at that
> price, until I learned that they aren't fully searchable
> since they're scanned as full pages instead of text. That
> dropped their value to me. I understand the index only is
> searchable.

Unforutunately, the amount of staff time it would have taken to have OCR'ed *and* cleaned up the text from the old QSTs would have simply not been doable. In a full-text QST, the market would also expect all the linkages to be working, including the page jumps for 'continued' articles, or references to other articles, etc. At that point, the cost would probably be so high that no one would buy them.

If you just want a searchable index, a free one is available at:

http://www.arrl.org/members-only/qqnsearch.html

The next generation of that search capability will have keywords added (done by Al Alvareztorres, AA1DO, the TIS Coordinator) so that the "Mid Michigan Skyhook" will appear if one types in "Tower" in the title search. This is one of the things we do with some of the revenues generated by the sale of the for-sale books.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2887. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888F364.9E9CCB69@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Well, that's three potential buyers who've backed out
> because of price. Wonder how many more will sign on?

Don't know about signing on, Dick, but I'll bet there
are tens of thousands of potential buyers who back out
because of price.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2888. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888F440.12680FB7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dave Heil wrote:
> I'd really like to have a Dodge Viper but I can't justify the price.
> Why not do as I do--don't buy if you can't come up with a spare 40 clams
> from your big investments.

I would never miss $40, Dave. It's the principle that matters,
not the money. Even though I have a $100,000 limit on my credit
card, I sometimes leave a resturant because food just isn't worth
what they are trying to charge for it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2889. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888F705.88CCC777@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:
> In 5 to 10 years, maybe much
> less, the HF bands will sound every bit as bad as 27 MC does
> now, ...

Maybe the Rapture will happen before that time, Dick, and
save you from having to endure it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2890. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888F669.1360EB87@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> Are you telling me that ham radio has been dumbed down since 1957?

Nope, I'm telling you it has been dumbed down since **1951** when
I got my first ham license. I have no knowledge of the condition
of the ARS before that.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2891. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888F7E5.D09D0BCE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Oh BTW....Why haven't we heard from you, the character who
> wants everyone with a ham license to be an engineer, on the
> memorize-the-question-and-its-answer writtens? You ARE all
> smoke and BS, aren't you?

You *have* heard from me, Dick, over and over, and from Bill
and Ed, too. The ham exams in 50s and 60s were no more difficult
than they are today. In a lot of ways, they were less difficult
than the exams are today. The ARS had already been dumbed down
when you took your first ham exam.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2892. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3888FA0F.77E9@arrl.net>
Source record: 120950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:

> I think that today's testing is
> > less of a handout for full amateur priveleges than was the test I took
> > to get same back in '63. I bet lots of people today would love to take
> > a test they could pass after studying 16 pages of study-guide material.

> I wish they had to. For sure they'd have to learn
> SOMETHING, unlike todays question pools.

There are more sentences in the correct answers to today's questions in the pools than there were in the 16 pages I studied in 1963. Why would you think that you and I learned by reading those pages in 1963, but that most newcomers today won't learn by reading the pools?

I have taught at local classes and although I saw a few students who just wanted to memorize the answers, most of the students appeared to me to want to learn about the subject I was teaching. I presume that the same passion for knowledge that drives you and me will exist in the same percentage of them as it did in my generation. In 1963, some hams learned and some memorized, just as today.

Dick, if you have an ARRL FCC Rule Book, find the sidebar that explains the major changes that have taken place in Amateur Radio over the years. This restructuring is but one of many, and in each case, Amateur Radio continued to be pretty much what it always was. From what I am hearing, VE sessions that used to be dead are springing to life; can that really be bad? What was disinterest is now interest. Can that really be bad? IMHO, the restructuring that just happened is a good thing, will breathe new life into ham radio, which will be no better or worse than what we all make it. In my opinion, the time to discuss this in detail was during the rulemaking process; we all did, including ARRL, and out of that, the FCC reached a decision. I, for one, intend to support that decision because that is the one that was reached under our legal process. And that decision does NOT define what Amateur Radio *is*; that is still up to you and me and all those who become licensed under the provisions of Part 97.

Dick, if I thought for a minute that the problems facing Amateur Radio today could be solved by testing, I would support it. If I thought that making people work harder before becoming hams would solve those problems, I would support making them work harder. Heck, Dick, it is harder to become an Extra than it is to become licensed to drive a car,

and 50,000 lives a year hang on the wrong side of the balance on the outcome of the latter. When I teach radio classes, I don't see troublemakers and rabble rousers; I see serious, respectful students eager to enter ham radio. THESE are the people who will be our future. When I see the bad guys in ham radio, I don't see lazy whiners, I see disturbed people who were willing to work hard enough to get a test under today's rules. Most VHF-only hams are not breaking the rules on VHF; they will not break the rules on HF. Most of those who are breaking the rules have passed a code test. There is simply no correlation that I see; how can we decry that the change in code test speed will create one?

I intend to move on, and in spite of the protestations of my friend Len that such is "recanting," I will say clearly that we all had our say, ham radio is what it is, and we should all work to help it grow and be strong. All those who will be issued licenses under Part 97 will be hams, they can take no tests other than the ones that will be made available to them, so after they have done so, I will do what I can to be helpful and encouraging, both personally, and on behalf of ARRL. I sure hope that you can find it in your heart to do the same. IMHO, that is the course that is best for ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2893. FA: Mobile FRS Radio w/magnetic mount antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: sleong@world.northgrum.com
Message-ID: <MPG.12f214fcd9b7e4159896af@news.northgrum.com>
Source record: 120951
Cross-posted to: misc.forsale.wanted,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap

Limited Quantity! "Grandfathered" in by the FCC, these are the ONLY MOBILE FRS Radios available with an external magnetic mount car antenna!

Get up to 50% more range with these radios with the external antenna!

Manufactured by Midland, (Model 75-510XLM), original retail $119. Full logic control, backlit
LCD display, scan, adjustable squelch, power save, keyboard lockout.

See: http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/ebayisapi.dll?viewitem&item=242731322
for availability and box photos.

Or: http://www.pixhost.com/pixs/seleong/frs1.jpg
http://www.pixhost.com/index.asp?ref=seleong

Steve KC6IJM

2894. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3888FD36.CB7DCF30@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Mike Yetsko wrote:
> No one said you couldn't use it.
> > Mike Yetsko wrote:
> > > The point is CW should go, period.

If CW goes, as you say it should, then no one can use it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2895. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <2107d358.f61f6a00@usw-ex0104-028.remarq.com>
Source record: 120953

In article <MPG.12f30a64d80a81ef989699@news>, Freddo <freddo1@home.com>
wrote:
I don't think he was addressing you in particular; it read more as if
your post was the last one he read that triggered a response.

As far as:
> Sure. Do you use anything below 6m?
Nope. Never. Too busy and too happy where I am. LOTS of very cool
technology above 50MHz. Fits right in w/ me.

> How many of those do we have on 2m?
Very many. Ever tried to QSO via satellite? VERY busy. I digitally
QSO'ed w/ Sergei who was aboard Mir at the time. Very cool. Also, very
challenging to beat others to the chance. Satellite earth stations on
2m? A bunch. So much that this technology should be as much a part of
passing a test as CW should be.

> > how to calculate kelprin elements, dopler effect or write
Actually, that's 'doppler', named after Christian Andreas Doppler, and
'Keplerian', named after Johannes Kepler. Here are some decent URLs:
http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/Mathematicians/Doppler.html
http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/Mathematicians/Kepler.html

If I need to know about Samuel Morse and The Code(TM) to send digital
data bouncing off of satellites, then folks who want to send CW should
know all about Doppler and Kepler, et al; data communications, etc.
Both would make great test questions, n'est ce pas?

Unless we want to be an organization of hotheaded ignoramuses....

Just my .02,
73

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2896. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Harrison <reply2newsgroups@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Message-ID: <38895D4A.E28A3873@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Source record: 120954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> Anyway, I agree completely with what Dick is saying. As
> long as the CDs are priced as high as they are, I'm going
> to think long and hard before buying them. But if the price
> were down in a more reasonable range, I'd buy them in a
> heartbeat instead of the paper copies.

I like my books on paper. I find it difficult, at best, to
bring my laptop with me into the bathroom for a good read.
And best of all, if what you are reading is by someone who
obviously has no clue whatsoever, you can save on toilet
paper, albeit a bit 'rough'...

Ken
N6MHG

2897. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Harrison <reply2newsgroups@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Message-ID: <38896182.4DFF3CF0@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Source record: 120955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"I'm making a point, damn it! <stomp stomp of Gary's feet>
Aw, come on... I want to win this argument! <shaking his fist>
I out-logic you, I know I do, I just know I do."

Hahaha...
OK, that's it. Gary! Out of the sandbox, you're on "time-out."

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
> Mike <michaelj@networktel.net> wrote in message
> news:OMIh4.178$VH3.43736@news1.atlantic.net...
> > Gary,
> >
> > Your facts are a bit flawed. In the State of Florida, a 70 year old
> man,
> > driving a converted greyhound bus that is disguised as a motorhome,
> towing a
> > Chevy Suburban only needs an operators license, the same class as
> Joe
> > Average who drives a Chevy Lumina to and from work.
>
> (SIGH!)
>
> Some of you diehards will go to EXTREME extents to evade an issue!
>
> SO tell us - are YOU saying that nation-wide, there is ONLY a SINGLE
> written and driving test, no matter WHAT type/size vehicle you plan to
> operate?
>
> THAT, and ONLY that was the disputed point! It was CLAIMED that a
> *SINGLE* driving/written test was "all that's required to obtain a
> driver's license" And *I* pointed out that was NOT TRUE, that
> DIFFERENT tests ARE required for differing vehicles and applications!
> YOUR minor example - which in ITSELF does NOT apply in ALL states, is
> a mere juvenile evasion of what the basic POINT was to begin with!
>
> SHEESH!
>
> --
> Gary - KJ6Q
> = = = = = = = =
> Legally required trigger locks on home protection guns is
> as STUPID as placing a cars emergency brake in the TRUNK

2898. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Harrison <reply2newsgroups@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Message-ID: <3889635E.E3821A69@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Source record: 120956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>
> Proper protocol for breaking in on an emergency is "Break Break." The only
> reason I bring this up is that it is a "prosign" and has proper usage. We have
> this debate around here because people who are unaware of the "debate" here
> will invariably key up on the "RACES" repeater and say "break" to get into a
> conversation. They are always immediately reprimanded by people who don't
> understand what the heck they are talking about and tell them they were wrong
> to say "break" because it means an emergency. Break is a request or signal
> that you wish to join (or even interrupt) a conversation. You could also
> achieve the same goal by just giving your callsign.
>
> Kim W5TIT

I have found it sounds much more polite when someone tosses in their
callsign to the conversation. "BRRRRRREEEEEAAAAAAK" for jumping in
to a conversation is the sort of thing someone might say who wasn't
raised indoors.

Ken
N6MHG

2899. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <388A1F4E.1AD8F5AC@preferred.com>
Source record: 120732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 07:27:58 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> >That's true Ed, aside from the smaller reproduction costs, the costs
> >of producing the volume on CDROM are essentially the same as the
> >costs for publishing a paper book. So why is it priced 3 to 4 times as
> >high?
>
> What CD-ROM are you talking about that's priced 3-4 times the cost of
> the equivalent book?
Uh, correct me if I am wrong, but I have had an Adobe Acrobat reader on
my Linux machines for over a year... Couldn't live without it as I am a
software engineer who is ALWAYS getting and reading tech documents....
>
> An increasing number of our products are in PDF, which is well
> supported on Windows, Macintosh and several variants of Unix. Now, if
> Adobe would just make a Reader+Search product for Linux...
>
> >Alternatively, you could publish in HTML so that any machine supporting
> >a browser could read the volume.
>
> HTML is problematic for a product that incorporates detailed technical
> drawings.
>
> Jon
> --
> Jon Bloom, KE3Z
> jbloom@arrl.org
> Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

2900. SV: NEW SW MAIL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson <bjorndan@hem.passagen.se>
Message-ID: <uapi4.1309$j46.193722368@newsa.telia.net>
Source record: 120733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

OK OK WE SAW YOUR POST!!!

But you don't have to shout it out loud. :-)

2901. Big Al K4OKA returns to 75M TONITE!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: A new kind of Dog <bluenips@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zepi4.1121$bp2.64195@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Get ready sport's fans!

Tonight marks the return of the greatest Amateur
Operator to ever key a microphone!

Be there for the ham radio event of the Millenium
as K4OKA returns to 75 Meters tonight!

Representatives from other 75M frequencies may bow down
in the general direction of Hickory NC and bring a tribute
fitting of 3894.9

Assorted Toilet ticks and "Captain Fruit" can go pound sand
into a Ralleigh-Durham hooker's b--ck rear-end. Ho Ho!

Yes sir, "So You Want To be a Millionaire" will drop several
ratings points this evening, as the World tunes into 3894.5 for the
triumphant return of the greatest ham that ever lived - Big Al - K4OKA.

AND PORKBUTT---> YOUCAN KISS MY BIG FAT ASS, BOY!!

Hoo Hoo Hoo Hoo Hooo!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2902. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86daq0fqg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If I may join in on this discussion. When computers got a foot hold 30 years ago we were told we would never need printed matter anymore, that's proved to be another load of BS. We are churning out more paper now than ever before, the same goes for CD's there is no way they will ever replace printed material let alone a book. They are very user unfriendly, and even if they where given out free most people would never use them the reason doing a manual search through book is a dam sight quicker.

regards

Jim
In article <86ar8k$o9j$9@208.207.71.137>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
> >
>
> He's saying you can't capture
> > 100% of the market unless you lower your price to some outrageous
> > figure like $00.00.
> >
>
> There's a pretty good spread between $0.00 and $40. I
> believe there are some far more realistic figures to be had
> in there somewhere. What is never known is the "politics"
> behind it all. As you mentioned, maximizing profit is the
> intended goal. Maybe the party doing the pricing sees some
> personal advantage to be gained by "maximixing" the profit
> involved in his decision, since if successful he gains
> points with the boss. Without knowing nor caring who is
> involved, it just seems wrong that ARRL CD literature, even
> in a niche market, can't be more in tune with the rest of
> the world.
>
> The best way to build any market is through satisfied
> customers. I don't even know anyone who has bought any of
> the ARRL CD's. If I did, and had an opportunity to look them
> over, I might well decide I had to have them, too. As it is,
> it's only an seemingly overpriced catalog item to me. With
> virtually every ham using computers, and virturly every
> computer including a CD drive, I would think they'd be
> popular if priced attractively. Obviously they are not. And
> it isn't because we can't afford it, either. The priority
> just doesn't justify the price, whatever the cost structure.

>
> I was seriously thinking about the QST Cd's, even at that
> price, until I learned that they aren't fully searchable
> since they're scanned as full pages instead of text. That
> dropped their value to me. I understand the index only is
> searchable.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2903. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86dar3fqp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If I may join in on this discussion. When computers got a foot hold 30 years ago we were told we would never need printed matter anymore, that's proved to be another load of BS. We are churning out more paper now than ever before, the same goes for CD's there is no way they will ever replace printed material let alone a book. They are very user unfriendly, and even if they where given out free most people would never use them the reason doing a manual search through book is a dam sight quicker.

regards

Jim
In article <86ar8k$o9j$9@208.207.71.137>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
> >
>
> He's saying you can't capture
> > 100% of the market unless you lower your price to some outrageous
> > figure like $00.00.
> >
>
> There's a pretty good spread between $0.00 and $40. I
> believe there are some far more realistic figures to be had
> in there somewhere. What is never known is the "politics"
> behind it all. As you mentioned, maximizing profit is the
> intended goal. Maybe the party doing the pricing sees some
> personal advantage to be gained by "maximixing" the profit
> involved in his decision, since if successful he gains
> points with the boss. Without knowing nor caring who is
> involved, it just seems wrong that ARRL CD literature, even
> in a niche market, can't be more in tune with the rest of
> the world.
>
> The best way to build any market is through satisfied
> customers. I don't even know anyone who has bought any of
> the ARRL CD's. If I did, and had an opportunity to look them
> over, I might well decide I had to have them, too. As it is,
> it's only an seemingly overpriced catalog item to me. With
> virtually every ham using computers, and virturly every
> computer including a CD drive, I would think they'd be
> popular if priced attractively. Obviously they are not. And
> it isn't because we can't afford it, either. The priority
> just doesn't justify the price, whatever the cost structure.

>
> I was seriously thinking about the QST Cd's, even at that
> price, until I learned that they aren't fully searchable
> since they're scanned as full pages instead of text. That
> dropped their value to me. I understand the index only is
> searchable.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2904. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <dnpi4.688$Xn1.20488@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120737

Ben Klausner <spam_sink@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:388a1bf6.1463244@news.earthlink.net...
> Howw do I prove I have a pre-1987 Tech license under the new FCC licensing
> plan? Is there a source for old Callbooks or the like?
>
> Thanks.
>

Well, as much as I hate to say it, I found a 1987 callbook on E-Bay. You
might check around the for sale groups and online auctions for a used one.
I'm not aware of any source to purchase old callbooks other than used, and
the cd callbook guys do not go back far enough...

73 de W6DKN

2905. Re: A real mans ham web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susan Fagan <s.fagan@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <86d83i$1pv$1@nclient11-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 120738
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

Very good, like the chic ;-)

Burt wrote in message <868a6m$16g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
>Among the many things on my page there are links to some great ham radio
>pages that you ham kiss butts will love and links to some ham radio pages
>that satire the hobby. Something for all HAMS.
>
>Welcome to ham radio-set your watch back 40 years
>
>
>Please visit my World Wide Web Site, you have never seen a page like it.
>You will see:
>
>1. How to pass the A+ Certification
>2. Really interesting answering machine messages
>3. A blond naked chic being held by a female ham!.
>4. How you know you are a ham.
>5. Hear Ted Kennedy really screw up in front of Clinton
>6. Actually sounds of Bill Clinton "after the lov'in".
>7. The writings of me and others on ham radio, hear a typical ham contact.
>8. The warm side of K1OIK, hear me speak, see me with famous people.
>9. An amazing card game that will shock others
>10. Pictures of me and my family.
>11. Did common German's enable the holocaust?
>12. See now the future of ham radio.
>
>Burt Fisher
>A Plus Certified Teacher of video, broadcasting and electronics
>Amateur call South Dennis, Ma. (Cape Cod)
> K1OIK Bacteria-the only culture most hams have
>
> burt@broadcast.net
> http://hfradio.org/k1oik/
>
>

2906. Re: Stacking Pwr Supplies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86dbq9gic1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120739

In article <20000122103035.03575.00000933@ng-cf1.aol.com>,
kg4fet@aol.com (KG4FET) wrote:
> I need to stack 2 pwr supplies to supply my FT 100 with the proper
current. My
> AIM 20 is getting to hot for my tastes. I get full out of the radio
but the
> supply is way to hot if I do any rag chewing. I put a fan on it and
that seems
> to help but I would like to take it easier on it. Here is the
question. Can I
> hook the second supply directly to the the AIM's output or should I
use a bus
> strip? The second supply is a Johnson Power 15 amp rated supply used
for a
> Johnson business band base radio. The output voltage is the same on
on both
> (13.98+/-.03 volts). There is not a switch on the Johnson pwr supply
to turn
> it off, but that can be fixed.
> Any Help would be appreciated,
> Sandor KG4FET
>
I don't like that approach. If one, or both, supplies receive a
voltage from the other, and it is not blocked by a "LARGE" diode, you
may have a problem.

Have you considered a standard auto battery? Put it across your Power
Supply. Use it to charge the battery and draw the current through it?
Works fine. Just be careful and vent it properly, or get a sealed
battery.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2907. FS: SMA-TO-BNC ADAPTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gulyas@netzero.net
Message-ID: <86dc9oguj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanners,rec.radio.amateur.misc

New Quick & Safe Way To Change H.T. Antennas

Prevent damage to your radio's SMA antenna connection due to having to change antennas for base,mobile,or to a different style than stock antenna use. Adapter will take all the wear and tear,instead of the chassis connector being subjected to wear or from coming loose. You can now quickly and safely change the more popular and wider selection available of BNC antennas,and help to protect your radio from damage,all at a low cost.

Adapter has gold contacts with a neoprene rubber covering. A low profile design adds only 1/2"-to-5/8" depending on radio model to the overall antenna length. The rubber base seals to the top of the radio with no spacers needed to prevent moisture and dirt from entering. The base also provides a positive support for all antenna applications and a better overall appearance than other available adapters. There's no need to remove adapter once installed to make a quick and safe antenna change.

Sizes to fit radio models:
Yaesu: VX-5, VX-1, FT-50-R
Icom: IC-R2, IC-T8A, IC-Q7A
Kenwood: TH-G71, TH-D7
Alinco: DJ-V5
Standard: C508A
Aor: AR-16

To view a photo of the adapter go to:
(WWW.QRZ.COM)(Swap)(Enter keyword SMA to search classifieds)

$11.95 + $1.50 S+H (Check or money order)
Specify-radio-model

Stephen G. Gulyas
706 Lalor St. Trenton, N.J. 08610

I welcome any questions or comments at my email:
gulyas@netzero.net

Thanks for looking at my posting. S.G.G. KC2BHO 73's

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2908. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86dcblguv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Boy OH Boy does your Government love people like you, when it comes and bites you in the ASS and it will it will be too late to say we where right all the time. Make NO mistake these evil SOB's are running a police state you DO NOT live in a free country. Your Government is just another brand of Communism. I find it difficult to accept how the American people have stood back and be well and truly STUFFED you spent years and lost lives fighting Communism all over the World only to have it happen in your own back yard. Mr Clinton the antichrist is in bed with the Chinese only because he is a Democrat which is another word for communist. Wake up America before it's too late, personally I think you have already kissed your ASS goodbye. If you think the FEDS are going to stop at the small guy running an electronics shop then you are living in the dream world they want you to, next it's going to be Deja.com and the Internet. The last thing they want is forums like this spreading the Gospel and sharing information, I give it 12 months at the most and all of us could be charged with an offence for just reading this stuff let alone contributing to it.

Jim Wilson
In article <38435C47.873@no.net>,
jj@no.net wrote:
> David Stinson wrote:
> >
> > The jack-booted thugs
> > continue to build the murderous police state,
> > while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> > Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?
>
> you need to calm down and recount your ammo or go outside and watch
for
> those black helicopters. Watch out for any black cars driving down the
> street it may be some CIA agent spying on you.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2909. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86dcjh$h1d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120742

In article <2728bdc4.ee451816@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>,
Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote:
> Yeah! Like maybe they could hang out in newsgroups and create false
> hysteria! Post trolls! Be a real-life Chicken Little! Great idea!
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet -
Free!
>
>
Ok, lets bite on this troll.....where are they going to operate? Not
exactly wide open spaces you know. Course they could join a "group" and
act stupid, get a good reputation going and be shunned completely.

75 is NOT GOING TO CHANGE to accomidate your idea's, trust me. Never
has and never will.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2910. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86dcqbhav1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120743

In article <388A08E4.AE7144C5@inreach.com>,
Brook <wgifford@inreach.com> wrote:
> Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job,
why
> doesnt the FCC raise the license fee's to cover costs for government
> observers/investigators?
>
>
Why do you feel we can't trust the OO's? Have you had a bad
experience? If so, and you feel you are right, contact the Amateur
Auxilliary honcho at the ARRL. If you are indeed right, I'll be the OO
in question will be history.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2911. Where can I buy earphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Cantrell <steve_cantrellNOstSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0b0a33f9.08297bb8@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 120744

I am looking for the simple little earphones that plug into one ear.
The ones at Radio Shack really suck and cost too much. I saw a shit
load of them one time at the Dayton Ham Fest for 50 cents each and wish
I would have bought more. My damn cat ate my last one. Does any one
know where I can find these? I am willing to buy in bulk.

Thanks, Steve

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2912. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388A29E6.E79E4040@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Timothy J. Fiebig wrote:
>
> I sure hope the rapture occurs. Cecil, do you think the good Lord will
> also Rapture No-Code Techs along with us CW types? :)

Well, I do have to admit that some of the CW types have a head
start in the category of faith/religion. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2913. jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <k%pi4.8212$U5.388813@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 120746

who is the jerk that's always saying "amen brother" an does not
ID....???

2914. tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: james o'b <"mr cracker"@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <388A4B39.A85A1018@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120747

tester

2915. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doofus <Doofus@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <388a2f29_2@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 120748

The CB'ers done already arrived, Good Buddy and they have Extra licenses!
"Brook" <wgifford@inreach.com> wrote in message
news:388A067A.5CB5B6B1@inreach.com...
> All you old geezers on 75 meters better find a new place to hang out.
> The CB'ers are a comin'! Better brush up on those 10 codes, good buddy!
>
> Thats a BIG TEN-4!
>

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

2916. Re: NEW SW MAIL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <mvlaw@home.com>
Message-ID: <388A322B.44469FD8@home.com>
Source record: 120749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

ATTENTION LLOYD! THE QUICKEST WAY TO "CLEAN UP" REC.RADIO.SHORTWAVE IS FOR
YOU AND KEVIN STROM TO GET THE HECK OFF OF THIS NEWSGROUP!

Eric Cooper

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> I HAVE MADE A NEW E-MAIL LIST TO DISCUSS "OFF TOPIC" POSTS - THIS WAY WE
> CAN CLEAN-UP R.R.SW - EVERYONE WELCOME!
>
> http://www.onelist.com/community/right_sw
>
> JOIN TODAY!!!!
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

2917. Re: Where can I buy earphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <EX0jPGAp+ii4Ewop@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 120750

In article <0b0a33f9.08297bb8@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>, Steve Cantrell
<steve_cantrellNOstSPAM@hotmail.com.invalid> writes
>I am looking for the simple little earphones that plug into one ear.
>The ones at Radio Shack really suck and cost too much. I saw a shit
>load of them one time at the Dayton Ham Fest for 50 cents each and wish
>I would have bought more. My damn cat ate my last one. Does any one
>know where I can find these? I am willing to buy in bulk.

Was your cat deaf? Was it trying to tell you something?

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

2918. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86dcue$su6$4@208.206.142.171>
Source record: 120751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> >
> > The League seems to have adopted the view that because this
> > media is "Advanced Technology", it is worth more. That's
> > contrary to reality. Advances in technology are supposed to
> > reduce costs while increasing usability and efficiency, not
> > the other way around, and in most other cases they do.
>
> So I guess nuclear power would be a perfect example of how this thought
> falls on its face....
>

Not really... Japan would be in dire straits without
nuclear power. It depends very heavily on it. Problem is,
none of their plants are very far from a fault in the
earth's crust. They've been lucky so far.

2919. Re: Do I say Breaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dan <danelectro80@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <86dch3$26u$1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 120752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

well it is nice to see that we are talking about two meter issues on this ng
, and who says cb radio operators are flocking to them ham bands, oh let's
get esoteric shall we,.......dan out.............
Ken Harrison wrote in message <3889635E.E3821A69@not-here.no-com.no-how>...
>W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>>
>> Proper protocol for breaking in on an emergency is "Break Break." The
only
>> reason I bring this up is that it is a "prosign" and has proper usage.
We have
>> this debate around here because people who are unaware of the "debate"
here
>> will invariably key up on the "RACES" repeater and say "break" to get
into a
>> conversation. They are always immediately reprimanded by people who
don't
>> understand what the heck they are talking about and tell them they were
wrong
>> to say "break" because it means an emergency. Break is a request or
signal
>> that you wish to join (or even interrupt) a conversation. You could also
>> achieve the same goal by just giving your callsign.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>
>I have found it sounds much more polite when someone tosses in their
>callsign to the conversation. "BRRRRRREEEEEAAAAAAK" for jumping in
>to a conversation is the sort of thing someone might say who wasn't
>raised indoors.
>
>Ken
>N6MHG

2920. If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <rYqi4.415$6w1.14678@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 120753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Since the Japanese have been cited by NCI as being a model for no code HF, here's a little tidbit that the Japanese amateurs have to abide by as well. I am sure in keeping with their new and highly funny bylaws, the BoD of NCI should file comments with the FCC along the following lines

The Japanese Road Traffic Act of 1999

Please Concentrate On Driving!!

An amendment to the Road Traffic Act has taken effect on November 1, 1999 banning the use of hand held communication equipment (including amateur radio hand held transceivers) while driving. Also the Act says "should not look at car navigation systems (including "display" of amateur radio equipment) for a long period of time."

(1) Prohibition of the use of the portable telephone while driving

One of the new rules the driver must observe is that "he/she must not use the radio communication equipment e.g. portable telephone while driving. This "radio communication equipment" means the equipment which cannot transmit or receive a message without holding a part or a whole of the equipment in hand. This includes handy type transceiver which is held in hand when transmitting or receiving a message. On the other hand, this rule is not applied to the use of the hands free equipment for the amateur radio operation and the so-called mounted type transceiver for the mobile vehicle which is fixed to the car.

(2) Prohibition of observation of the picture display equipment

The next rule the driver must keep is that "he/she must not watch the picture on the picture display equipment e.g. car navigation system." This rule prohibits continued observation of pictures of the picture display equipment such as car navigation system and car television. It is permissible to use the car navigation system in the usual way, but it is prohibited to see the picture display equipment continuously while the car is running in order to operate the equipment for detection of a restaurant or a gas station or to input information for route leading to the destination. "The picture display equipment" in the above paragraph includes not only the car navigation system or the color television, but the liquid crystal display equipment frequently used for recent radio equipment which provide information such as radio frequency, SWR, ALT and RS. Therefore, while the car is running, it is against the rule to see the picture of the display equipment continuously to change frequency or to tune the antenna, or to see the picture of the display equipment continuously to send or receive data by packet communication or to read

information in letters of the portable telephone. For the person who violated the above rule and as a result incurred the traffic risk on the road, the penal regulation (penal servitude of 3 months or less, or a fine of 50,000 yen or less) will be applied.

(3) For safe driving

Even before enforcement of the above regulations, the Road Traffic Law, Article 70 "Obligation for Safe Driving" has been applied as a penal rule to the driver who incurred the traffic accident due to forward inattention while the car is running operating the portable telephone or the radio equipment, or being engrossed in watching television. The purpose of the revision of the rules of this time is to clarify by law the responsibility of the driver to cope with the rapid increase of traffic accidents due to the remarkable popularization of the car navigation system.

It is our earnest request that every radio amateur will enjoy mobile ham in consideration of safe driving by using hands free equipment or a mounted type transceiver for the mobile vehicle while driving.
--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

2921. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A15A09ED0FB43B5E.F2ECC20BCDF0088B.C3956476F2A12D16@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > >
> > > The League seems to have adopted the view that because this
> > > media is "Advanced Technology", it is worth more. That's
> > > contrary to reality. Advances in technology are supposed to
> > > reduce costs while increasing usability and efficiency, not
> > > the other way around, and in most other cases they do.
> >
> > So I guess nuclear power would be a perfect example of how this thought
> > falls on its face....
> >
>
> Not really... Japan would be in dire straits without
> nuclear power. It depends very heavily on it. Problem is,
> none of their plants are very far from a fault in the
> earth's crust. They've been lucky so far.

Your comparison was that advances in technology reduce costs......
```

2922. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <2sri4.5685$_G1.96463@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120755

I am an active VE. Under the current requirements, to prove a pre-1987 Technician Class
amateur radio license you need one of the two following:

1. a copy of your Technicial Class license issued before the 1987 date
2. a letter from the FCC stating you held a Technician class license before the 1987 date

"Ben Klausner" <spam_sink@yahoo.com> wrote
> Howw do I prove I have a pre-1987 Tech license under the new FCC licensing
> plan? Is there a source for old Callbooks or the like?

2923. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <2uri4.5687$_G1.96459@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120756

What are you basing that statement on?

The OO's are cornerstone of amateur radio self-policing & amateur radio
would be lost without the OO's (& the VE program)!!

I myself am an active OO & VE with an Extra Class license!

"Brook" <wgifford@inreach.com> wrote
> Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job, why
> doesnt the FCC raise the license fee's to cover costs for government
> observers/investigators?

2924. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <388A4555.E10D2529@aznet.net>
Source record: 120757

Innocent John!! wrote:
>
> What are you basing that statement on?
>
> The OO's are cornerstone of amateur radio self-policing & amateur radio
> would be lost without the OO's (& the VE program)!!
>

Wasn't there a big hiatus for more than a decade?

> I myself am an active OO & VE with an Extra Class license!
>
> "Brook" <wgifford@inreach.com> wrote
> > Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job, why
> > doesnt the FCC raise the license fee's to cover costs for government
> > observers/investigators?

2925. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <388A53D4.6454B2@aznet.net>
Source record: 120758

Innocent John!! wrote:
>
> The FCC requirement is for regular Morse Code.
>

Depends on what VEC the examiners belong to. Doesn't the ARRL use
Fast Farnsworth?

> "Michael Bruss" <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu> wrote
> > When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> > regular?

2926. Radio's FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gundoc <gundoc@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <388a6072@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 120759

I am Going to try to cut down on the amount of radios that I have because
1. I can only use one at a time.
2. I have too Many.

GE MP-D VHF 136-154 MHZ. This Radio is Programmed into the 2 meter ham band and is a great Radio. New large capacity Battery, Charger and has been converted to a BNC antenna connector. I have had a keypad face installed on this
radio but needs a new interface board to make it work. Radio works as a standard non scan MP-D. This radio puts out a little better than 7 watts and I can get into almost all of the repeaters in my area. Oh yes the Radio is intrinsically safe
as well as the new intrinsically safe battery (over $175.00) from GE.
$300.00

GE MP-D UHF This Radio is programmed into the UHF ham bands and is as great a radio as the VHF radio above. This radio has the standard antenna, a new intrinsically safe battery, Belt clip, and a charger. Standard non scan radio. Just to explain a little about MP-D's for the people that do not know they are 48 channel Alpha numeric radio's . This radio is very wide banded as I have ham and GMRS repeaters programmed into it and it is just as good at both ends of its split.
$225.00

GE MT-L UHF This radio is also programmed into the UHF ham band as well as a GMRS repeater. Radio is a 16 channel scan version that has slight wear on edges. comes with charger and new Intrinsically safe Battery and yes the factory antenna. I like this radio it is a poor mans MP-A and works just like an MP-A select. With the new
battery $250.00

Kenwood TK330 UHF. This Radio is in the 450 - 470 split and is not programmed
by me into anything. I can hear my local police on it so I know it works. Radio is a 10 channel with scan and is programmable. I do not have a charger for this radio I have been charging it on a universal charger just to listen to the Local police.
This radio looks great and still has the speaker mic cover over the connection.
1600 Mah battery and antenna.
$150.00

Icom U18 UHF . This is also a 450 - 470 split radio and I have not tried to get it programmed onto any frequency yet. I have been listening to local businesses on it and finally figured out I was listening to a garbage collection company. Anyway this is a 16 channel scan radio with a radio

shack battery that can be charged with a wall wart charger. Radio is in great shape and will take a large assortment of batteries from commercial and Ham Radio's. And it uses a standard 2 prong
Speaker mic.
$125.00

Maxon 2850 UHF This is another radio in the 450 - 470 split that I have and have not used for anything. Radio is working but not programmed to anything I can pick up. But I can talk to it and hear it with My FT50. Radio is 10 channel with standard Battery and Maxon charger.
$125.00

Mobiles

GE MVS UHF radio This one is in my van right now and is my UHF ham radio. This is a 25 watt UHF wide band radio that will do ham band and GMRS and the best part is it is 128 channels and I have all but 14 programmed into it. Radio will scan 16 frequencies at a time and is another great GE product. The only reason I am selling this radio is I am trying to cut down on the radios in my van. It looks like mission control with all the single radio's. I need to get a dual band radio to take it's place.
$250.00

Motorola Mitrek UHF Radio's I have two Complete 50 watt radio's that would make great link radio's as they are 410 - 430 split. I bought these with this in mind. They come complete with cable, single channel control heads, Mic, and speaker.
$40.00 ea.

Well that is it for now I may have a few more radio's out in the garage if so I will post another ad. As usual I will consider offers and trades for other radio equipment
Dean

2927. mr cracker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001230246.VAA06554@cotse.com>
Source record: 120760

This message was posted anonymously:

Rumor is that mr cracker is none other than Dimmy OBrien.
Is this true?

2928. need info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Lind <chip1@TheRamp.net>
Message-ID: <s8l2h5uieh6156@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120761
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hello everybody. I am in need of a mounting system for the radios in my car. It dosen't matter to me if it is a console or mounting bracket, so long as there is room for my rigs. I need to know where to go to get one, or who makes these. Thanks in advance.
Adam
chip1@theramp.net

2929. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landshark <lndshark@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <388A8DF7.9D90B87@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

kf6foz wrote:

> I am a no-code technician not by choice. It may have taken me a while
> to go for my code due to owning my own business, but at least I am
> still going to do my upgrade. So keep your browser open and check QRZ
> from time to time. Until then I work my ass off trying to improve my
> life instead of furthering my hobby and when I got the time from work,
> I'll do my code.
>
> 73 de kf6foz
>

UHhhhhhhh HUhhhhhhhhh. Nice to know you're that busy.
I thought when I came through town last time you said "Hey
come by anytime, we'll have a brew or 2 or 12" or something
like that.
Don't make come into that town with 415 & start ruining your
life. ;)>

The Landshark

--
"Ah it's a Buell-tiful day for a perfect ride"
98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
83 Honda 1100F
99 Ford F150 Supercab

2930. Re: Ham Radio SHITLIST!! Add a user today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landshark <lndshark@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <388A92E0.DD30B79@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <38886e63.1338754@news3.buffnet.net>,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy wrote:
> > On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:57:32 GMT, cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Add your nominee to the next available line....
> > >
> > >
> > >kf6foz - for starting this stupid thing.
> > >kf6fgb - for not learning to read.
> >
> > KF2TI - for not learning to read, write, think, or tell the truth
> >
> > 73 DE KC2FNG
>
> Gee little Porta Johnny waa waa Thought I was kill filed dickweed.
> Maybe you should name yourself. Any person who slanders fellow club
> members ina public forum needs to be on a list like this. This is a
> truth that I read from your posting, I wrote to several of your club
> members that I personally know to advise them that you basically are a
> lower form of plant life. I provided copies of your post to them as
> proof
>
> Therefore, I did read the truth (as you wrote it) I did write the
> truth (unless you lied about the whole thing) I did think that the
> truth should be spread amoungst that you insulted and I am telling the
> truth now. I did all those truths and would do it again, because I
> know it pisses you off.
>
> Maybe you wanna send popgun shooter 1 at me again. Yes Johnny rides
> the cotton pony, we all know you have a dejanews address and post
> messages back and forth to yourself. Best you stay near that big bad
> radio station you set up. Never will know when Ares will need you to
> rescue someone caught in the ice flows of the Niagara.
>
> Tata prattle brained fool. Go back to teaching your pet poodle morse
> code. I'm sure it would be a better operator than the likes of you
>
> Don't forget to killfile me again
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

> Before you buy.

Jesus Christ John..... Raising the shit
over there now? You are going too have to inform
me of little turds like this, I just love to make
them spin, and go down the bowl with a smile.

The Landshark
--
"Ah it's a Buell-tiful day for a perfect ride"
98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
83 Honda 1100F
99 Ford F150 Supercab

2931. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landshark <lndshark@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <388A9818.4214A4D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

kf6foz wrote:
>
> That was almost 4 yrs ago. But you are welcome to make the 250 mile
> trip to drink a few. Just make sure it is on my day off!!!
>
> Is channel 1 still dead as hell down there? Last time I was there
> about 6 months ago, There wasnt a soul around except on 17.
>
> Uh oh! Someone up Named Porky says, Tell him to come up here so I can
> squish him... Heh! Sound like a threat?
> I think you could squish him with the setup you had that I remember.
> Dont know about now though.
>
> Cya later
> -Cobra-
>
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 21:13:28 -0800, The Landshark
> <lndshark@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > UHhhhhhhh HUhhhhhhhhh. Nice to know you're that busy.
> >I thought when I came through town last time you said "Hey
> >come by anytime, we'll have a brew or 2 or 12" or something
> >like that.
> > Don't make come into that town with 415 & start ruining your
> >life. ;)>
> >
> > The Landshark
> >
> >--
> >"Ah it's a Buell-tiful day for a perfect ride"
> >98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> >83 Honda 1100F
> >99 Ford F150 Supercab

Now Now John, It's not 250 miles, more around,
110 to 145, depending on local in your neighborhood.
You should know me better by now, driving for me is
a pleasure, not a task for most people.
Porky Huh, sounds like a great reason to go up
there and try my new recipe for pork rinds.

As far as the set up, I said before, more powerful
than when you left town.

Channel 1, I WON, No ifs, ands, or BUTTS about it.
I'm working on 17 now, but the good things about
that channel, there's always some trucker coming
through town to pick on.

The Landshark
--
"Ah it's a Buell-tiful day for a perfect ride"
98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
83 Honda 1100F
99 Ford F150 Supercab

2932. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NaqJOC4K5AVVeZywblh5HdTgzyoJ@4ax.com>
Source record: 120782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 16:33:17 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:40:00 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>
>>Riddle me this, Jon. I can get two copies of "The ARRL Antenna
>>Compendium", Volume 6 *WITH TWO CDs* for $40. Why is "The ARRL
>>Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
>
>As I noted in another posting, it's not the medium that determines the
>price of a CD, it's the content.

Ok, Jon, but the paper copy is $30. I'm sorry, but 70,000 pages of filler
(propagation tables) isn't worth the extra $10.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2933. Re: My complaint to the FCC about ONDCP payola to US TV networks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <Hhii4.117$Xn1.4838@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120783
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.drugs

You posted to the wrong NGs. Try the guys who work
with the FCC.

Michael Hess <bbsnews@mindspring.com> wrote ...
:
:
: Since the Salon article I posted a short while ago said that the FCC had
: not received a complaint about the behavior of McCzar and the ONDCP in
: paying with tax dollars for propaganda, I sent them a complaint. It
: didn't bounce so I assume the email address worked, unfortunately I
: couldn't find a better address, specifically to their enforcement
: bureau. If anyone can find a better address, please whip it on me.
:
: There is also a toll free number in the US, 1-888-TELL FCC. I don't
: think it would hurt to have everyone and their brother give them some
: complaints so the next time Salon comes a interviewin' they will now be
: able to say that they have had some complaints:
:
: ==
: -To: <fccinfo@fcc.gov>
: -From: Michael Hess <bbsnews@mindspring.com>
: -Date: Sat, 15 Jan 2000 04:15:25 +1900
: -Subject: Complaint about ONDCP alleged payola to US TV Broadcast
Networks...
:
:
: Dear sirs,
:
: My name is Michael Hess, I am a citizen of the United States and a
: consumer of televised broadcast media.
:
: I would like to formally request that an immediate investigation be
: launched into allegations stemming from a January 14th, 2000 Salon.com
: article where, Barry McCaffrey and the Office of National Drug Policy
: (ONDCP) have been accused of paying off US television networks,
: including "ABC, CBS, NBC, the WB, Fox, and, this TV season, UPN" in
: return for US government sponsored anti-some drug propaganda.
:
: An excerpt from the article makes clear the need for an official
: investigation:
:
: "As disclosed Thursday, the scheme began in fiscal year 1998, when
: Congress appropriated nearly $200 million a year over five years for

: paid anti-drug advertising. But there was a catch: Congress said the
: networks had to give the government a two-for-one deal on the ads.
:
: Instead, the networks and government officials decided that anti-drug
: themes and stories in prime-time TV shows could take the place of the
: free ads. Ultimately, promulgating government-approved propaganda
: afforded the networks the opportunity to earn buckets of extra cash.
:
: The arrangement raises legal questions. Some observers think the
: government may have run afoul of the nation's anti-payola regulations.
: Payola entered public consciousness during the 1950s, when rock 'n' roll
: impresarios were convicted of bribery for paying DJs and radio stations
: to play specific records."
:
:
: In the same article it says the FCC was contacted for comment:
:
: An FCC enforcement bureau official says, "I was not aware [of the ONDCP
: financial incentives]." He adds, "We haven't received any complaints
: yet. If we do receive a complaint, we'll proceed from there."
:
:
: Please accept this as a complaint. I searched your web site for a
: complaint form or an address and got bounced from several of the pages
: there, the email address above is the closest one that I could find for
: the purpose of this complaint.
:
: If this complaint has reached the wrong office please forward it to the
: FCC Enforcement Bureau for immediate consideration.
:
: Thank you.
:
: Sincerely,
:
: Michael Hess, January 15th, 2000
: ==
:
:
:
:
: Michael Hess * New and improved * With web polls and java based news &
views
: about world drug policy * plus!
http://www.mindspring.com/~bbsnews/daily.htm
:
: Visit Earth's Largest Web Library of Drug Policy Research and learn
about:
:
: http://www.druglibrary.org/schaffer/Library/graphs/22.htm - Property
: Seizures.

2934. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5KqJOICqBf1WjFJQiRXTHNIvh8uT@4ax.com>
Source record: 120784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 18:58:17 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>> And with the proliferation of CD copiers, it would seem
>> that some- maybe many- hams would 'share' a copy, since the
>> price seems inflated anyway. I can't see that as a good for
>> anyone.
>
>Are you really offering that hams would share a copy of a $40 CD, but
>would not share a $30 CD?
>
>I do agree, such "sharing" is not good for anyone; a good example is
>found in the Antenna Optimizer program. When someone cracked the
>cripple code in the demo version and started downloading copies
>everywhere, the vendor simply folded shop and we will all never see the
>next version.
>
>This is not the kind of sharing that my parents taught me when I was
>young.

I'm definitely not in favor of piracy, but there have been some pricing
models done which show that piracy is more rampant for products
which are perceived to be overpriced. In other words, if people feel
they're being ripped off, they're more likely to rip back. That's human
nature. (It is also human nature that some people hate copy protection,
and will break it just to show that they can. We see a version of this
in ham radio where people will "mod" their radios, not because they
intend to use them illegally, but just to show that they could.)

Borland became a successful software publisher by recognizing
those facts. By keeping their pricing low, they managed to avoid to
a large extent the piracy that plagued other software publishers.
They marketed their products the way popular paperback books
are marketed. Few people copy paperbacks.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2935. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NbOJOGFEdlvkXM2VQupQKmNEXBi9@4ax.com>
Source record: 120785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 21 Jan 2000 16:36:49 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:

>In a previous article, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>> Why is "The ARRL Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
>
>Because it's worth it.

Worth 25% more than the paper version? I don't think so,
and apparently neither do a lot of hams since we're told
it is selling far fewer copies than the paper version.

Fish that I am, I bought a copy on CD, and I'm here to
tell you that there isn't sufficient added value to justify
the price premium. In fact it is downright aggravating
to use in my mostly non-Microsoft environment.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2936. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@urec.net>
Message-ID: <01bf64e0$973ef240$867f473f@cbx-nt>
Source record: 120786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

With all due respect, why do you guys continuously crosspost this diatribe the Satellite newsgroup? Speaking for myself, I cannot see where anybody here cares about the CW/H.F. privileges, it is a non issue.

You guys are so wrapped up in disputing the now commercially worthless H.F. segments that you have lost focus on what the commercial sector really wants and is lobbying for, two meters and UP for satellite work.

I cannot help but wonder if the FCC and the lobbyist have struck a deal and this restructuring is a way to wean us off of the upper bands.

Free your mind.......

Christopher Cox
Working diligently on Morse Code

2937. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <K7WJOL1W1NCFsOroVxJYxD89irCS@4ax.com>
Source record: 120787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 18:54:42 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>> I was seriously thinking about the QST Cd's, even at that
>> price, until I learned that they aren't fully searchable
>> since they're scanned as full pages instead of text. That
>> dropped their value to me. I understand the index only is
>> searchable.
>
>Unforutunately, the amount of staff time it would have taken to have
>OCR'ed *and* cleaned up the text from the old QSTs would have simply not
>been doable. In a full-text QST, the market would also expect all the
>linkages to be working, including the page jumps for 'continued'
>articles, or references to other articles, etc. At that point, the cost
>would probably be so high that no one would buy them.

That's why you send it out to an electronic document house in the
Philippines. The going rate to rekey paper documents is 50 cents
a page. (OCR isn't that good yet, rekeying is the way businesses
archive their old paper documents electronically today.)

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

2938. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3889BA5D.CC509DF5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Pay him no mind, Gary. The only time he took an exam at the
> FCC office (advanced) he says he was drunk. He still doesn't
> know anything about it.

As usual, wrong again, Dick. My parents drove me 200 miles
round trip in a '46 Chevvy to the Houston FCC office in
1951. The only alcohol I had was Hadecol (sp?), 30 proof :-)
I often wondered why that stuff made me feel so warm and
fuzzy.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2939. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000122092038.02608.00002306@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 120789

In article <3888F299.CCE@arrl.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Are you really offering that hams would share a copy of a $40 CD, but
>would not share a $30 CD?
>
>I do agree, such "sharing" is not good for anyone; a good example is
>found in the Antenna Optimizer program. When someone cracked the
>cripple code in the demo version and started downloading copies
>everywhere, the vendor simply folded shop and we will all never see the
>next version.
>
>This is not the kind of sharing that my parents taught me when I was
>young.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

If anybody wants to send me the Disc, or any Disc from ARRL, I will copy it for you and your Freinds, and all I will charge you is Shipping and the price for a Blank Disc.

2940. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88jcq8.5b9.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 120790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 08:03:39 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 16:33:17 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>>On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:40:00 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>>
>>>Riddle me this, Jon. I can get two copies of "The ARRL Antenna
>>>Compendium", Volume 6 *WITH TWO CDs* for $40. Why is "The ARRL
>>>Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
>>
>>As I noted in another posting, it's not the medium that determines the
>>price of a CD, it's the content.
>
>Ok, Jon, but the paper copy is $30. I'm sorry, but 70,000 pages of filler
>(propagation tables) isn't worth the extra $10.

We each have our own standard of worth. On the CD-ROM medium you have
full-text searchability and hyperlinking, and it's physically small
compared to the book. Some consider those features valuable; others
don't. Some find the propagation tables valuable; others consider them
"filler material." To each his own.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2941. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3889BF11.AA83E7A8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary Coffman wrote:
> They marketed their products the way popular paperback books
> are marketed. Few people copy paperbacks.

My daughter was really upset at Christmas. In 1993, she gave
me a copy of _The_ARRL_Handbook_ for Christmas. It cost her
$25 in hardback. Last Christmas, it cost her $32 in paperback.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2942. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3889BF83.2AA9E225@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary Coffman wrote:
> Fish that I am, I bought a copy on CD, and I'm here to
> tell you that there isn't sufficient added value to justify
> the price premium. In fact it is downright aggravating
> to use in my mostly non-Microsoft environment.

I'll give you $20 for it, Gary. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2943. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88jfbb.5b9.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 120793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 07:29:27 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 20:32:19 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 13:49:42 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>>Perhaps the situation could be resolved by simply pricing
>>>the books and CDs at the same percent above cost. I suspect
>>>the percentage markup on the CDs is many times the percentage
>>>markup on the books.
>>
>>Nope.
>
>Then you're obviously doing something wrong.

Gary, that you can reach that conclusion without assessing the actual
processes and resources required is amazing. Your pronunciamentos on
this topic are based on assumption, not fact, and are in error.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2944. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88jdeo.5b9.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 120794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 12:38:13 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>>
>> In a previous article, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>
>> > Why is "The ARRL Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
>>
>> Because it's worth it.
>
>Not to me, Hans, and I really would like to have one. There's
>a threshold involved. I'm not unique in that I already have
>two ARRL Antenna Books. The incremental information is just
>not worth $40 to me.

That will occur at any price point -- some will want it at that price,
some won't. Heck, most people in the world wouldn't want an Antenna
Book CD if we were giving them away. (Particularly the non-English
readers!) Why should your personal threshold be the determining factor,
as opposed to someone else's?

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

2945. Re: Ham love poll had a watt pole once

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: merryprankster <merryprankster@cwcon.net>
Message-ID: <iBji4.2033$PG6.27032@news2-hme0>
Source record: 120795
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

but it sure did struggle

2946. HTX202 HT W/ free 30Watts Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: airwavesmith@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000122102001.02720.00001447@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 120796

One HTX202 ht with matching 30 Watts Amp for sale.
$115 shipped to your door before somebody else takes it!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
e mail at airwavesmith@aol.com

2947. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3889C885.28856F60@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jon Bloom wrote:
> Why should your personal threshold be the determining factor,
> as opposed to someone else's?

Because it's my personal money that's involved, Jon.

If you don't want to consider a customer's input, you
are perfectly free to ignore it.

One might conclude from the evidence that, for some reason,
the ARRL would simply rather sell books than CDs.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2948. Stacking Pwr Supplies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kg4fet@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000122103035.03575.00000933@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 120798

I need to stack 2 pwr supplies to supply my FT 100 with the proper current. My AIM 20 is getting to hot for my tastes. I get full out of the radio but the supply is way to hot if I do any rag chewing. I put a fan on it and that seems to help but I would like to take it easier on it. Here is the question. Can I hook the second supply directly to the the AIM's output or should I use a bus strip? The second supply is a Johnson Power 15 amp rated supply used for a Johnson business band base radio. The output voltage is the same on on both (13.98+/-.03 volts). There is not a switch on the Johnson pwr supply to turn it off, but that can be fixed.
Any Help would be appreciated,
Sandor KG4FET

2949. Bad Laws and Censorship by/of Government Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: You <spam@me.now>
Message-ID: <3889CF82.25D16BD5@me.now>
Source record: 120799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.politics.usa.congress,alt.government.abuse,law.court.federal

The 106th Congress is trying for more restrictions with the H.R. 514 bill. Representative Heather Wilson and members of The Subcommittee on Telecommunications, Trade, & Consumer Protection started this bill. This bill adds frightening restrictions by requiring the removal of the
SMRS and PCS radio band in radio scanners. SMRS is the Motorola iDEN (e.g. Nextel "Direct Connect") 2-way radios used by Government and in the same radio band as Government public-safety radio systems. Current radio scanners can not decode digital Motorola iDEN. Also, note that radio scanners do not effectively receive PCS because it is very short-range microwave and digital. PCS in fact is bad for mobile

communications because all-direction (Omni-directional) antennas have large signal losses at these high frequencies. Because of this, PCS requires micro-cells or many more cells compared to original 800 MHz cellular. PCS would be better for non-mobile use with fixed directional antennas. As you can see the push by commercial interest and greed for H.R. 514 will lead to restricting Citizens from monitoring
our Government services. It will deny the Citizens ability to have future radio scanners that can decode the new digital modes used by our
Government services. It sounds good when they say it is for your privacy but in reality gives them the dangerously increasing power to close
Government radio communications from the average American. Stop H.R. 514.

H.R. 2369 enacted by the 105th Congress was bad enough and needs corrected.

More said at http://www.qsl.net/n4tyv/#Openness

2950. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net>
Message-ID: <3889D0C3.BE3C5CE0@snip.net>
Source record: 120800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I sure hope the rapture occurs. Cecil, do you think the good Lord will
also Rapture No-Code Techs along with us CW types? :)

Just a little humor!

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > In 5 to 10 years, maybe much
> > less, the HF bands will sound every bit as bad as 27 MC does
> > now, ...
>
> Maybe the Rapture will happen before that time, Dick, and
> save you from having to endure it.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2951. FS: HEIL HEADSET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb8mcz@aol.comgoway
Message-ID: <20000122105716.03554.00000818@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 120801

I have a Heil headset with the HC-4 microphone wired for Kenwood but can be used for the other rigs if you add there plug to it.
It is in great shape and want $40.00 for it you ship UPS for for $5.00 total-$45.00.
Thanks, Dave

kb8mcz@aol.com
KB8MCZ
DAVID T GARDYNIK
SHIOCTON,WI
AMA# 223597

2952. FS: ICOM 746-HF+6M+2M+100watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Sprague <dsprague@mint.net>
Message-ID: <3889D6FA.DEEECD17@mint.net>
Source record: 120802

ICOM 746-HF+6M+2M+100watts all bands. MINT COND W/mike,
RS-746 computer software & cabling, FL-100 & FL-223 filters,
- $1,300 plus freight.

2953. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy J. Fiebig" <k2tf@snip.net>
Message-ID: <3889D721.6C74EE44@snip.net>
Source record: 120803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cecil, I have to say that at age 15, I did take pride in obtaining my General. When I get a chance I will ask my parents why they didn't take me to see a psychologist for this abnormal behavior. I also took pride in high school wrestling, good grades, honesty, ect. What a warp individual I must have been. Thanks for bringing this to my attention :)

Ed, I can't comment on how the exams were in 1963, I wasn't born until then. I guess if I did pass prior to age one, I would have to admit that the testing was much easier then. :)
However, I took my General exam in the late 70's it was much harder than what I see as questions today. I did find the code requirment much easier than the theory, probably because I was just getting into Algebra at that age and there seemed to be a lot of formulas that I had to know that were difficult to understand. However it did lay a great foundation for growth.

Oh by the way, when I took my General, the exam was tube theory. After passing it I took the Advanced the same day and it was solid state threory. I was one confused teenager (Maybe thats what triggered the pride thing... Cecil :)) They were in the middle of changing the exams.

Best Wishes,

Timothy Fiebig K2TF

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Timothy J. Fiebig wrote:
>
> > > If the theory test wasn't such a handout we might be able to take pride in
> > > holding a ham radio license.
>
> > I don't remember pride being a Part 97 requirement. Anyone whose
> > self-esteem depends on an arbitrary governmental license should
> > see a psychologist. The value of a ham license is simply the
> > privileges granted.
>
> I don't know, Cecil, I am proud of some of my accomplishments in ham
> radio, and the value to me is in what those priveleges have allowed me
> to do. I am even proud of passing the tests, but that is not at the top
> of my list of lifetime accomplishements. :-)
>
> Getting back to what Mr Fiebig wrote, I think that today's testing is
> less of a handout for full amateur priveleges than was the test I took

> to get same back in '63. I bet lots of people today would love to take
> a test they could pass after studying 16 pages of study-guide material.
> That is what it took to pass the General in 63, and for that I got all
> amateur priveleges at the legal power limit.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2954. Re: W9ART gets letter from Riley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: KK5YY@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3889d75e.1076638@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120804

On 12 Jan 2000 01:52:37 GMT, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:

>As for the tape, it seems that the FCC doesn't think that it's forged and I'm
>sure that they have much better capabilities and understanding of it than herr
>Stomitler and his homebrew rendition of audio analysis.

No Tim, the FCC got snookered and they now know they got snookered. I
get the impression that they have some information about who did the
forgery also. You might want to tread a little lightly around this one
Tim.

> Yeah i heard Riley on 3950 the other night , he seemed to be instructing Dave
>a little, yes.

You clean forgot the mention Dave whinnying, "I have a tape." I guess
that idiot thinks the big lie will work even when Hollingsworth in on
the frequency. This guy has a problem.

I wonder where Dave learned the big lie technique, no I don't.

>>I heard your buddy Sid on 2M the other day I guess he's been in the
>>hospital. Look for me on APRS in Vegas I'll be there all Winter.
>Roger
>Oh yeah? Did you say Hi to Sid for me?
>Why not?

No I didn't I figured he might be pissed at you since your goading and
antics have cost him his license. Haven't you caused Sid enough misery
yet Tim? You lead the poor old dummy down the primrose path to do your
dirty work and he loses his ticket. With "friends' like you he doesn't
need enemies.

> 3-7's from Vegas, KK7HO
>
>Gerald Schmitt wrote
>
>
>>From: KK5YY@arrl.net (Gerald Schmitt)
>>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
>>Date: Sat, 08 Jan 2000 22:44:42 GMT
>>Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
>>Lines: 23
>>Message-ID: <3877bc54.881515@nntp.mindspring.com>
>>References: <20000107011009.01017.00000315@ng-ch1.aol.com>
>><20000107202624.25727.00000579@ng-fw1.aol.com>
>>Reply-To: kk5yy@arrl.net
>>NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.8a.b6.42

>>Mime-Version: 1.0
>>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>>X-Server-Date: 8 Jan 2000 22:44:33 GMT
>>X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99g/32.339
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>KJI wasn't he the one who got off with a forged tape? I remember that.
>>He apparently didn't learn from that experience since Riley caught him
>>red handed the other night. It's going to take a REALLY good fake tape
>>to turn that around. But who is keeping score?
>>
>>I heard your buddy Sid on 2M the other day I guess he's been in the
>>hospital. Look for me on APRS in Vegas I'll be there all Winter.
>>
>>
>>
>>On 08 Jan 2000 01:26:24 GMT, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:
>>
>>>Hey Steve don't forget
>>>W1WCR (twice), W2YEE(6 months),
>>>KJI -susp. was recinded - but who keeping score, oh it looks like you are.
>>>N8wgm wrote
>>>>Lets see now--------the score
>>>>is----------W9ART-----WA9KJI-------K5BLB-----N0KED--------soon
>>Doofus-------
>

2955. INVITATION TO 3 ANTENNA REFLECTORS (Lists)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: fractenna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000122113346.03694.00001289@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 120805

QST--

You are invited to join one or all of the following lists on onelist. All these reflectors are e-mail based, and moderated so that no spam, porn, off-topic, or personal-offensive posts are sent out. You will receive no off-list e-mails by subscribing: again; no spam.

These lists (with average recent subscriber sizes) are:

ANTENNAS@ONELIST.COM 120 members
CROSSFIELDANTENNA@ONELIST.COM 60 members
FRACTALANTENNA@ONELIST.COM 210 members

Please review the descriptions at

http://www.onelist.com

Please note the CFA list specifically deals with this antenna in an objectively critical fashion and no posts will be allowed that make grandiose claims without supporting data presented. To date, no data has been presented which requires the extraordinary claims made by some pundits: there is no evidence for better performance than any other small vertical antenna (and that performance is poor).

To subscribe to any list, either go through the process at onelist, or to skip the process just do:

---------- -subscribe@onelist.com

where the ---------- is the name of a given list (such as 'fractalantenna')

73,
Chip N1IR

2956. Radio Shack HTX-10 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: newtech@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <86cqg1$4po$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120806

I can get HTX-10's at near wholesale prices. For the price,it seems to be a decent radio & it would fulfill my needs for overseas rural applications (outside of the FCC's reign of enforcement). It would be even better if it could be modified for extra frequencies! After all, there seems to be quite a few "export" models easily available with all modes & extra channels but, as I said, for the price, this would be really be ideal! Any info could be e-mailed directly to me to avoid publicly posting. Thankyou, newtech@prodigy.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2957. QSL Bureaus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Lind <chip1@TheRamp.net>
Message-ID: <s8jp3gb5eh635@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120807
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.ssb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello everyone. I recently made a contact with a Ham in a foreign country, and I want to send him a QSL card. He mentioned something about using a QSL bureau. I am new to HF, and I need to know:
1. What is a QSL bureau?
2. How do I use one of these bureaus?
3. Can I get this gentleman's card from a QSL bureau?

Any information would be GREATLY appreciated.
Thanks, and 73's
Adam

chip1@theramp.net

2958. Re: QSL Bureaus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3889E68D.F64E8FD6@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120808
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.ssb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Adam Lind wrote:

> Hello everyone. I recently made a contact with a Ham in a foreign country,
> and I want to send him a QSL card. He mentioned something about using a QSL
> bureau. I am new to HF, and I need to know:
> 1. What is a QSL bureau?
> 2. How do I use one of these bureaus?
> 3. Can I get this gentleman's card from a QSL bureau?
>
> Any information would be GREATLY appreciated.
> Thanks, and 73's
> Adam
>
> chip1@theramp.net

Hi Chip -- all about QSling, Bureaus, Tips, IRC's, greenstamps, etc at URL:
http://www.ac6v.com/page2.html

--
73 From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 527,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- General Ham Radio
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html -- DX Reference Pages

2959. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86cp63$2u8$1@208.206.142.179>
Source record: 120809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> On 21 Jan 2000 16:36:49 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>
> >In a previous article, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> >> Why is "The ARRL Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
> >
> >Because it's worth it.
>
> Worth 25% more than the paper version? I don't think so,
> and apparently neither do a lot of hams since we're told
> it is selling far fewer copies than the paper version.
>
> Fish that I am, I bought a copy on CD, and I'm here to
> tell you that there isn't sufficient added value to justify
> the price premium. In fact it is downright aggravating
> to use in my mostly non-Microsoft environment.
>

The League seems to have adopted the view that because this
media is "Advanced Technology", it is worth more. That's
contrary to reality. Advances in technology are supposed to
reduce costs while increasing usability and efficiency, not
the other way around, and in most other cases they do.

2960. Re: My complaint to the FCC about ONDCP payola to US TV networks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: eggyt@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3889ebee.31874624@news>
Source record: 120810
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.drugs

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 13:30:15 GMT, "N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:

here are the FCC chairman and commisioner's email addresses
bkennard@fcc.gov <bkennard@fcc.gov>; sness@fcc.gov; hfurchtg@fcc.gov;
mpowell@fcc.gov; gtristan@fcc.gov

>You posted to the wrong NGs. Try the guys who work
>with the FCC.
>
>Michael Hess <bbsnews@mindspring.com> wrote ...
>:
>:
>: Since the Salon article I posted a short while ago said that the FCC had
>: not received a complaint about the behavior of McCzar and the ONDCP in
>: paying with tax dollars for propaganda, I sent them a complaint. It
>: didn't bounce so I assume the email address worked, unfortunately I
>: couldn't find a better address, specifically to their enforcement
>: bureau. If anyone can find a better address, please whip it on me.
>:
>: There is also a toll free number in the US, 1-888-TELL FCC. I don't
>: think it would hurt to have everyone and their brother give them some
>: complaints so the next time Salon comes a interviewin' they will now be
>: able to say that they have had some complaints:
>:
>: ==
>: -To: <fccinfo@fcc.gov>
>: -From: Michael Hess <bbsnews@mindspring.com>
>: -Date: Sat, 15 Jan 2000 04:15:25 +1900
>: -Subject: Complaint about ONDCP alleged payola to US TV Broadcast
>Networks...
>:
>:
>: Dear sirs,
>:
>: My name is Michael Hess, I am a citizen of the United States and a
>: consumer of televised broadcast media.
>:
>: I would like to formally request that an immediate investigation be
>: launched into allegations stemming from a January 14th, 2000 Salon.com
>: article where, Barry McCaffrey and the Office of National Drug Policy
>: (ONDCP) have been accused of paying off US television networks,
>: including "ABC, CBS, NBC, the WB, Fox, and, this TV season, UPN" in
>: return for US government sponsored anti-some drug propaganda.
>:

>: An excerpt from the article makes clear the need for an official
>: investigation:
>:
>: "As disclosed Thursday, the scheme began in fiscal year 1998, when
>: Congress appropriated nearly $200 million a year over five years for
>: paid anti-drug advertising. But there was a catch: Congress said the
>: networks had to give the government a two-for-one deal on the ads.
>:
>: Instead, the networks and government officials decided that anti-drug
>: themes and stories in prime-time TV shows could take the place of the
>: free ads. Ultimately, promulgating government-approved propaganda
>: afforded the networks the opportunity to earn buckets of extra cash.
>:
>: The arrangement raises legal questions. Some observers think the
>: government may have run afoul of the nation's anti-payola regulations.
>: Payola entered public consciousness during the 1950s, when rock 'n' roll
>: impresarios were convicted of bribery for paying DJs and radio stations
>: to play specific records."
>:
>:
>: In the same article it says the FCC was contacted for comment:
>:
>: An FCC enforcement bureau official says, "I was not aware [of the ONDCP
>: financial incentives]." He adds, "We haven't received any complaints
>: yet. If we do receive a complaint, we'll proceed from there."
>:
>:
>: Please accept this as a complaint. I searched your web site for a
>: complaint form or an address and got bounced from several of the pages
>: there, the email address above is the closest one that I could find for
>: the purpose of this complaint.
>:
>: If this complaint has reached the wrong office please forward it to the
>: FCC Enforcement Bureau for immediate consideration.
>:
>: Thank you.
>:
>: Sincerely,
>:
>: Michael Hess, January 15th, 2000
>: ==
>:
>:
>:
>:
>: Michael Hess * New and improved * With web polls and java based news &
>views
>: about world drug policy * plus!
>http://www.mindspring.com/~bbsnews/daily.htm
>:

>: Visit Earth's Largest Web Library of Drug Policy Research and learn
>about:
>:
>: http://www.druglibrary.org/schaffer/Library/graphs/22.htm - Property
>: Seizures.
>
>

2961. discussion list for NVIS and other low-profile HF ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3889F57C.54AB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,sci.electronics.design

For info there is an internet
discussion list I've run across
that is related to NVIS HF radio
and other "low-profile" antennas
and related subjects.

If you have an interest in low-profile
HF radio antennas and communications,
we would like to stimulate some discussion
in this area..

There's currently about 25 subscribers
and apparently, the group has not
advertized itself very much..

you can link there by going to:

<http://www.onelist.com/community/nvis>

or via email, by using the following
addresses:

Community email addresses:
Post message: NVIS@onelist.com
Subscribe: NVIS-subscribe@onelist.com
Unsubscribe: NVIS-unsubscribe@onelist.com
List owner: NVIS-owner@onelist.com
--

Patricia E. Gibbons
Acting Chief Communications Technician
City of San Jose - ITD/communications
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

Echelon Magnet:
This message is in no way related to any
of the following sensitive-compartmented
subjects:

JOHN RUFFO, eavesdropping debugging interception COCOT
rhost rhosts SETA Amherst Broadside Capricorn

Gamma Gorizont Ionosphere Mole Keyhole Kilderkin
Artichoke Badger Cornflower Daisy Egret Iris
Hollyhock Jasmine Juile Vinnell B.D.M. shipments
of Tritium-trigger devices arriving in Iraq.

2962. "Big Al" to return to 3894.5 tonite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <x1ni4.17884$wk.250814@news1.mia>
Source record: 120812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

This will be better than the Liberty Net or Brother Stair, David J. Smith tonite. K4OKA will return to us from a "vacartion" - SWL's 12:01am EST tonite - Be there!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

2963. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dinod@deltanet.com
Message-ID: <Rrni4.9864$k25.809259@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 120813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nice post Mike! Good Luck!

Being an Amateur for over 10-years, and having attained my license
before the advent of no-code, and still enjoying all aspects of
CB radio, I"M ON YOUR SIDE!

Keep working hard and ask yourself WHY amateurs who HATE CB come
here. They are not superior operators because of the license
they hold. They are inferior humans by trying to talk down to the
next guy based on their inadaquacies.

Dino...K6RIX

>So this is what I have to look forward to, huh? I'm sorry, but I resent the
>hell out of your sentiment that every new ham is an "idiot" or a "CBer". I
>am studying for my Technician's license (ready to take the test at the next
>hamfest) and look forward to advancing in the amateur radio service, despite
>the less than gracious welcome mat that you folks have laid out. The focus
>of Amateur Radio is helping people through the understanding and application
>of radio communications theory. From what I've seen, other than relaxing
>the CW requirements somewhat, the FCC hasn't lowered the entrance
>requirements to the point that it doesn't require hard work to attain the
>various level licenses.
>
>I'm not meaning to single you two gentlemen out, I've been reading similar
>posts for awhile now and decided it was time to speak up. There are some of
>us who are just getting started in amateur radio that could use help and
>encouragement from the more established hams. I understand your anger, but
>you should be careful not to do more harm than good by callously tossing out
>such comments. Dig out your ARRL Handbook and read the Amateur's Code,
>based on this and similar recent threads we seem to have lost sight of
>something important.
>
>Respectfully,
>Mike
>
>
>

2964. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <3889F7A3.26E@imt.net>
Source record: 120814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

With no previous radio or code experience I went from CBer to extra in 7 months,I didn't learn a damn thing from the question pools.What little I know I learned from hands-on and doing a lot of non-question-pool reading.
Whwn the ARRL looks back in 20 years I hope they're happy with what they did to this once great hobby.

> > Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
. Why would
> you think that you and I learned by reading those pages in 1963, but
> that most newcomers today won't learn by reading the pools?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

2965. K7IJ DOWN FOR THE COUNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <388A0865.8E64C955@inreach.com>
Source record: 120815

What happened to my favorite repeater group?

2966. CB'ers to take over 75M!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <388A067A.5CB5B6B1@inreach.com>
Source record: 120816

All you old geezers on 75 meters better find a new place to hang out.
The CB'ers are a comin'! Better brush up on those 10 codes, good buddy!

Thats a BIG TEN-4!

2967. OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <388A08E4.AE7144C5@inreach.com>
Source record: 120817

Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job, why doesnt the FCC raise the license fee's to cover costs for government observers/investigators?

2968. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <388A0786.BB4E4562@inreach.com>
Source record: 120818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Check your history there old man. BUSH used family influence to become a Naval pilot.. HE WAS NOT QUALIFIED FOR THE POSITION-HE WAS JUST RICH.

Two men died the second time he was shot down because he shit his pants and bailed out instead of ditching the plane. Both Bush's are gutless cowards.

>
> > He's also someone who fought for his country and got shot out of
> > the sky.
> > With his background he could have easily avoided service but he
> > didn't.
> >

2969. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <V4oi4.1962$IN4.105246@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

I like this!!

> BRINGS up an interesting question - when WERE the exams
"difficult" -
> only when *I* took them...?

It sounds an awful lot like my dad, with the "I had to walk three miles
to
school everyday, even in bad weather. And if our shoes had holes in
them, our feet got wet!" But I guess my dad was pretty well off. I've
heard from others their dads would claim they were barefoot in the
winter.

Mike

Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote in message
news:9c7i4.663$oa7.23431@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote
> The ham exams in 50s and 60s were no more difficult
> > than they are today. In a lot of ways, they were less difficult
> > than the exams are today. The ARS had already been dumbed down
> > when you took your first ham exam.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil,
>
> BRINGS up an interesting question - when WERE the exams "difficult" -
> only when *I* took them...?
>
> 8^)
>
>
> Gary - KJ6Q
> = = = = = = = =
> Legally required trigger locks on home protection guns is
> as STUPID as placing a cars emergency brake in the TRUNK!
>
>

2970. Blake Bowers harassing again!!! was:Re: Board Members Birthday Celebration Festival

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <0moi4.5615$_G1.94265@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake -

Once again you demonstrate yourself to be a fool who is blindly pursuring me
in order to harass. The poster using the ID of KJ4SO is postng thru
dejanews
using the ISP of proxymate. I use the ISP of @home. If you would bother
to take a moment to read the headers, you would have known this.

Further, I never saw anywhere that the person posting under the ID
of KJ4SO claimed to be a amateur radio operator or that it was
a callsign.

Get a life, Blake!

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> More forges John?
>
> the REAL KJ4SO wrote to me that he had no idea
> who posted these messages, and was wondering who
> was forging his call.
>
> You should be ashamed John.

2971. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllama@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <2728bdc4.ee451816@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 120821

Yeah! Like maybe they could hang out in newsgroups and create false hysteria! Post trolls! Be a real-life Chicken Little! Great idea!

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

2972. Re: Toilet-Boy Mackeyloon harassing again!!! was:Re: Board Members Birthday Celebration Festival

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <dDoi4.701$9L3.30056@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John-Bowl "call me Toilet-Boy" Mackey showed his ignorance by saying........

> Blake -
>
> Once again you demonstrate yourself to be a fool who is blindly pursuring
me
> in order to harass. The poster using the ID of KJ4SO is postng thru
> dejanews
> using the ISP of proxymate. I use the ISP of @home. If you would bother
> to take a moment to read the headers, you would have known this.

Lesson one John-Bowl, anybody can post through a proxy like proxymate. You must be either a moron John-Bowl or telling a lie to cover your tracks. In your case both to use such a pissant story like that. Quit harassing the newsgroups Toilet-Boy.

> Further, I never saw anywhere that the person posting under the ID
> of KJ4SO claimed to be a amateur radio operator or that it was
> a callsign.

So that means it wasn't you Toilet-Boy, right. BAWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You sound like a 4 year old. Over the last 3 years not a single person has hung around to defend you 350 off topic posts, your internet stalking, your forges and your other nonsense. Your only defenders are hotmail, dejanews and AOL accounts John-Bowl and they are gone inside a month.

> Get a life, Blake!

Blake seems to be doing quite well John-Bowl other than you stalking him. You need to get back on your medications.

>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > More forges John?
> >
> > the REAL KJ4SO wrote to me that he had no idea
> > who posted these messages, and was wondering who
> > was forging his call.
> >
> > You should be ashamed John.
>
>
>

2973. Blake Bowers harassing again!! was:Re: Blake Bowers the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <LFoi4.5623$_G1.94334@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Blake -

Once again anyone with any brains knows you are lying. First, every two-way shop I
have applied to I have been hired!! Second, (even if your feeble accusation were true)
no empolyee or personel specialist is going to risk termination, and both civil
& criminal law violations of disclosing information just to help you with your
little old lady type pissing match harassment you have been doing to me for that last 4 years.

Get a life, Blake!!

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > > Two Way facility, after John applied for a job there! They said they
> > > can't believe John is actually that stupid!
>
> No problem. I will gladly post the information including name of the
> facility, name of the person responsible for hiring, and a copy of
> your application. (Now, that was extremely funny) I can even include
> phone numbers.

2974. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <388A19B7.BD20BABA@preferred.com>
Source record: 120824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What I would like to see is getting away from Windows only format and readers. Why aren't they done in a html, pdf, or other more widely cross platform. I run Linux as my primary system and would much rather use it then Windoze. Plus if done that way the readers don't have to be maintained by the provider. A user can use their favorite reader.

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> On 18 Jan 2000 16:50:31 EST, JEP <transoman#@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >And why are the CD versions more money when cost should be much less?
>
> While the reproduction cost of the CD is less that that of the printed
> book, those costs are a fraction of the retail price in either case.
> The reason the CDs cost more is that there is a cost associated with
> creating the CD master, and that cost is recovered over many fewer unit
> sales than are the costs for the printed book. That ratio is changing
> over time, which is one reason you see the CD price coming closer to
> the book price. (The Handbook CD price dropped by $10 this year.) If we
> sold as many CD units as book units -- if we sold even half as many --
> the CD price would be lower than the book price.
>
> Jon
> --
> Jon Bloom, KE3Z
> jbloom@arrl.org
> Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

2975. FS items/Ft ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "I.T.2" <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <388A4411.2C00A514@bv.net>
Source record: 120825

The following items are for sale or trade (or both) make reasonable offers.
mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

FS: 486/75 Color T2115CS Laptop w/ W95, 8MB ram, 14.4 PCMCIA modem w/o cables , 350MB HD, 3.5 floppy and 2 PCMCIA ports. Works great, keyboard trackpoint (w/o rubber), plugs into wall directly, battery is dead, AC directly into machine works
fine. Sell $300 w/case OBO

I have a Fluke Model 87 III True RMS DMM with leads, no case or rubber for sale, I will sell for $170 shipped

1 pc
DONGAN Multi-former Model LJH-90A
120VAC input (primary)
10,000V/60Hz output (secondary)
New in Box (photo upon request)
sell $100 obo plus shipping

EF johnson VHF handi talkie, w/o antenna connector. Unit will work fine when charged and antenna connector added. With 9.6V 1Ah battery
Sell $150

2- Sony C-Mount CCTV Lenses for ccd cameras
12.5-75mm zoom with manual aperture. 1 with Lens cap included. Both have sun shield
$125/each

1- CANON C-Mount CCTV Lenses for ccd cameras
12.5-75mm zoom with manual aperture. Both units have sun shields
$125

Advanced Modular Solutions Model # MS/16+ Portable 486dx50 computer. Runs on 12VDC adapter (included), standard
PS2 keyboard and mouse. Runs a standard SVGA monitor also has 16MB memory, Built in TCP/IP module via RG
connector on back. 1.2GB HD, very small unit, a bit bigger than cigarette carton. O/S loaded are os/2 and W95...Great buy
for portable computer. 2 DB9 com ports and 1 DB25 port. No floppy.
Photo upon request
$200

Mobilcomm - Voice Organizer Pager. Model 9601 Voice recognition pager stores numbers and appointments and IS A
PAGER ALSO ! Recognizes your voice to pull up telephone numbers or dates. Runs on 3 AAA batteries, complete

instructions included. Paid well over $200 for it orig. Sell for $50

Misc

100+ pieces LM317t Positive adjustable voltage regulators, TO220 size,
NEW and unused make offers

Vicor VI-J52-IZ
DC-DC converter 150VDC input (@35W) output 15VDC @25 W
$25

2976. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000122160115.03680.00001390@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 120826

>From: Brook wgifford@inreach.com

>Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job, why
>doesnt the FCC raise the license fee's to cover costs for government
>observers/investigators?

Um, did you get an OO card?

Kï¿½MB

2977. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <D9B1A866B0CD049A.6636A31D955BCB80.E475BDFB86DE54DA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
>
> The League seems to have adopted the view that because this
> media is "Advanced Technology", it is worth more. That's
> contrary to reality. Advances in technology are supposed to
> reduce costs while increasing usability and efficiency, not
> the other way around, and in most other cases they do.

So I guess nuclear power would be a perfect example of how this thought falls on its face....

Kim W5TIT

2978. Re: David Duke on SW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <yXoi4.18134$wk.261033@news1.mia>
Source record: 120828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I was just curious - I got an e-mail about his webpage:

-----Original Message-----
From: aidscuresfags@yoderanium.com <aidscuresfags@yoderanium.com>
To: n0vfp@bellsouth.net <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Date: Friday, January 21, 2000 5:10 PM
Subject: DIRTY FAGGOT JOKES! READ ABOUT DIRTY FAGS AT THIS SITE

>COME VISIT THE #1 COMEDY PAGE ABOUT FAGS!!
>
>http://members.yoderanium.com/aidscuresfags/
>
>CLICK HERE TO VIEW
AIDS CURES FAGS WEBSITE
>
>We also want to request that everyone buy David Dukes book 'My Awakening'
or
>atleast get it at your local library.
>
>
>David Duke also just formed a new pro white group. Check it out at
>
>http://www.duke.org
>
>CLICK HERE FOR DAVID DUKES SITE
>
>
>If you are under the age of 21, please throw away this letter, we don't
>want you at our website.
>
>

I enjoyed the "fag word game"
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
YCTHULHU wrote in message <20000122150424.03688.00000691@ng-fx1.aol.com>...
>N0VFP wrote:
>
>
>>
>>??
>>

>
>Well, THAT was unambiguous! What are you talking about, Lloyd? Is there
some
>rumour about DD getting a SW program?
>
>
>
>

2979. How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: spam_sink@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <388a1bf6.1463244@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120829

Howw do I prove I have a pre-1987 Tech license under the new FCC licensing plan? Is there a source for old Callbooks or the like?

Thanks.

~~~~~~
Ben Klausner, N5DJL | The universe is driven by a complex
Redmond, WA | interaction between three ingredients:
| Matter, energy, and enlightened self-interest.
~~~~~~

2980. NEW SW MAIL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c6pi4.18166$wk.261514@news1.mia>
Source record: 120830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I HAVE MADE A NEW E-MAIL LIST TO DISCUSS "OFF TOPIC" POSTS - THIS WAY WE
CAN CLEAN-UP R.R.SW - EVERYONE WELCOME!

http://www.onelist.com/community/right_sw

JOIN TODAY!!!!
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

2981. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com>
Message-ID: <388A1E6E.F46A7463@preferred.com>
Source record: 120831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

At the price it would be more fair to allow them to placed on a network, directly forbidden by the disk license. This way a group/club could buy them and have them available. But, at this point I believe that would be a problem since they are not a MIME compliant format (I could be wrong, if I am please point to the info that proves I am wrong, we are all always learning.

Technically I am in violation. I have several older 2x SCSI CD-ROM drives on my central machine at home and access them through my home network.

But, I now remember that the ARRL is more interested in making money then to easy acces to ham related materials. After all, it is the publications that do provide the greatest revenue.

Maybe even have it as part of your membership privileges. On-line access to the CD-ROM publications. I know that when working on a project I might want access to some info in one of them. But, it isn't worth spending $30-$40 for the book for that one piece, plus waiting for delivery. If this was available, it might even cause me to rejoin by the ARRL showing that it IS INTERESTED in caring for and providing for the member, not the other way around.

Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> On 20 Jan 2000 17:07:25 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >Jon Bloom wrote:
> >>
> > >
> > >> The reality is that the majority of buyers don't yet want
> > >> their books electronically, and price has little to do with it.
> > >
> > >
> > > I don't think so. I'd give $100 for all the issues of QST
> > >(even excluding the ad pages) if they were available on 10
> > >CDs. Same for Ham Radio Magazine on CD. But not >$300 each.
> > >The new handbook on a single CD for $20? New Antenna manual
> > >for $15? Yes, anytime. But those prices aren't here yet, and
> > >Nope, its just not that large a priority to spend the
> > >current amounts on them, where they're available at all.
> > > If your statement reflects current League thought, a poll
> > >testing the potential market might be in order. I think the
> > >buyers are there if the prices were more attractive.
>

> Several times recently I find myself in complete agreement
> with Dick about something. It's scary. :-)
>
> Anyway, I agree completely with what Dick is saying. As
> long as the CDs are priced as high as they are, I'm going
> to think long and hard before buying them. But if the price
> were down in a more reasonable range, I'd buy them in a
> heartbeat instead of the paper copies.
>
> Gary
> Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
> 534 Shannon Way | We break it |
> Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

--
Jeff Pierce
piercej@preferred.com
http://pages.preferred.com/~piercej

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

2982. Re: NEW VEC EXAM QUESTIONS OUT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86b0co$v1p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120957

Fred, it is customary to post AFTER and not before the others comments.
Just so you'll know for future reference. I posted from the top so
that you'll see it.

Wow a day when ONE general could administer a Tech exam. When was that?

In ham years I'm just a toddler, but I remember Tricky Dick. He went
down for doing wrong. Isn't that the way its supposed to be?

In article <862ofn$1di5@edrn.newsguy.com>,
Fred211@slr.net wrote:
> Sorry, Brian, but there WAS once a day when ONE General could
administer a
> Technician exam. But then, you wouldn't remember that. Bet you don't
remember
> Richard Nixon, either.
>
> In article <38844B54.2F78323B@icss.net>, Brian says...
> >
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> >> In rec.radio.swap MIKE (707) no4n4x4@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >Be nice Gary... I remember a few years back when a guy could buy a
couple of
> >> >*Generals* a 12-pack of beer, and a couple of pizzas and
miraculously
> >> >"pass" the technician test. Hmmm... I wonder how many of those
> >> >"technicians" can find the ass-end of a diode! :>)
> >>
> >> But of course you'd be hard-pressed to name names, callsigns, and
dates.
> >>
> >> Jeff
> >
> >I think we just found the "ass end" of Mike.
> >
> >His story doesn't work - i.e., couldn't happen. Tech exams were
never given by
> >two Generals. He's probably thinking of the Novice exam - prior to
folding it
> >under the umbrella of the VE system. Today it would take 3 VE
Generals, and
> >another six pack and another pizza.
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2983. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86b108vel1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <867ped$j37$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <38853742.6F0BAFCF@icss.net>,
> burke1@icss.net wrote:
> > And TP stuck to your shoe.
> Granted the Masataka-style pronunciation of elections is amusing at
> first, but what is it with hams that they turn a newsgroup into a
> anatomical discussion worthy of a junior high school ?
>
> I find this on very few newsgroups.
>
> The same people so very worried about "saving" ham radio from old
farts
> and recruting young people by dropping code requirements are the same
> crowd who would cause any parent to shudder at the prospect of their
> child spending 1200 dollars to talk with such people.
>
> Of course, given the language used, perhaps such people have a hidden
> agenda for wanting younger hams....

MFer, I really don't like what you are implying. As far as hidden
agendas go, why the MFing screen name "MF Luder?"

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2984. Re: N0VFP's New Years Hate Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121193606.09262.00000344@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 120959

Here you go Doc, from the deja.com archives.

Hello and Greetings from the Better Amateur Radio Federation!

I hope this message finds everyone ok. Looks like we made it. I would wait till Monday, when the Stock Market opens.

I want to take a few minutes of your time to let you know of some new things the B.A.R.F/ARG/Stumpjumpers/Liberty Net plan to do for the year 2000.

"Liberty Net 2000" This will be an all-new Website.

I want to say to all White, Liberty minded folks that we can claim this next Millennium. For the prize is about to be won. We can fight back and re-claim everything that has been taken away from us. It's time we folks who live in the South claim back our states rights and raise a New Confederacy. We all need to pray for this next year. God is sick of the sins of this country. REPENT AMERIKA! God is about to judge this Nation!

I now want to address the Ham community. This new FCC rulemaking is a step in the right direction. For many years now, old gas-bag extra class hams have kept us no-coders from having to get on HF. We will use to our advantage.

We have great things planned for the Liberty Net this year. We will step-up our look-out for jammers and potential recruits. Look for a reversal in the David Castle case this year. Ol' Davy maybe listening to 3950 from Leavenworth!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

The FCC didn't assign me
Very Fine Person for no reason!

Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
http://members.xoom.com/calverhall/

2985. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <9c7i4.663$oa7.23431@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote
The ham exams in 50s and 60s were no more difficult
> than they are today. In a lot of ways, they were less difficult
> than the exams are today. The ARS had already been dumbed down
> when you took your first ham exam.
> --
> 73, Cecil,

BRINGS up an interesting question - when WERE the exams "difficult" -
only when *I* took them...?

8^)

Gary - KJ6Q
= = = = = = = =
Legally required trigger locks on home protection guns is
as STUPID as placing a cars emergency brake in the TRUNK!

2986. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Oi7i4.671$oa7.23910@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

YUP! I was RIGHT - the exam was always harder "when *I* took mine..."

8^)

--
Gary - KJ6Q
= = = = = = = =
Legally required trigger locks on home protection guns is
as STUPID as placing a cars emergency brake in the TRUNK!

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3888F669.1360EB87@IEEE.org...
> Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> > Are you telling me that ham radio has been dumbed down since 1957?
>
> Nope, I'm telling you it has been dumbed down since **1951** when
> I got my first ham license. I have no knowledge of the condition
> of the ARS before that.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2987. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine ! Also in Jan. issue of CQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000121200345.03561.00000823@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 120962

They did "chastise" (spelled correctly) Steve AA8DP and that's a good direction. Granted a little late in coming but never the less,..... I think that the instigating and goating, not to mention trolling this NG should stop. At least as long as things are going in the right direction. Also, I hadn't planned on having the files blocked/removed but .....?
Ps. here's how you spell "censorship".

libnit1 wrote
>herr stromhitler sprechen
>
> >I notice you carefully edited out the part, immediately after the
> >snippet in your sound clip, wherein W1WCR (the Net Control Station)
> >and another Net participant stated that they did not like the
> >language used by AA8DP or want it on the Liberty Net, <1st time for
>everything> language which
> >I agree was ungentlemanly and undesirable. <can you say RA-CIST>
>
> For the record I edited nothing. Oh my gosh, W1wcr said something to aa8dp
>about his remarks. N2saggy said something about aa8dp's remarks? Isn't that
>ironic, how people have been angry at the net and the net members for certain
>speech, and now we have the net members themselves practicing cencorship.
> Belay the spin and tap dancing herr stromhitler, call it what it is _
>Racist
>slurs and remarks. After all we all know that's what the net is made up of.
>This isn't the first instance of this taking place. Although it is the first
>where anyone has decided to chastize AA8DP for his remarks. I guess it's only
>because the LIGHT is on you & the Net right now.
> was this also edited herr stom?
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions/aa8dp.wav
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Page2/AA8DP3.wav >>
>
>(beginning of original message)
>Subject: Re: A response to KAS <kevin alfred strom> concerning Dr. King
>From: bentleydrv@bellsouth.net
>Date: 2000/01/20
>Newsgroups: alt.discrimination,k12.chat.teacher,soc.culture.usa
>Ah, greatness, like beauty, is in the eye of the beholder.
>
>vonquark@mindspring.com wrote:
>
>> To the Redoubtable and Formidable
>> Kevin Alfred Strom:

>>
>> So Martin Luther King had moral lapses!
>> So what?! He was still a great man!
>>
>> King David was an adulterer and a murderer yet God
>> reckoned him as a man after his own heart....
>> (1 Samuel 13:13-14- OT) and again in
>> (Acts 13:22 - NT) Because the Christian message
>> transcends human weaknesses.
>>
>> But I err; appeals to Jewish Scripture may not be
>> considered a legitimate precedent for you.
>>
>> Hmmmm! Since you won't look to the Tenach
>> and the Pentateuch for lessons in morality can
>> we find a morality that you respect?
>>
>> How about the Christian New Testament.
>> Paul was a murderer yet his work is considered
>> sacred.....Oooops, you would still consider
>> Paul (nee Saul) to be too Semetic for your liking.
>> Is there an Aryan you would look up to Kevin?
>> One who would demonstrate my point?!
>>
>> Churchill?!
>>
>> He was Aryan. Blue eyes, Red Hair. Doesn't
>> get any more Aryan than that. He was not
>> merely racially prejudiced agaist Blacks, he
>> even disliked the Irish - they weren't Aryan
>> enough for him. But, he was a drunk of
>> Bacchanalian proportions.
>>
>> But yet, surely inspite of his moral failings and
>> prejudices, he was a hero. He withstood
>> the Nazi onslaught.......Ooooops I forgot
>> you admire the Nazis.......And you probably
>> consider those moral failings of his - those
>> racial prejudices - as virtures not vices.....
>>
>> Gonna be hard to make this moral point to you!
>> Especially since you seem to admire Hitler.
>> And no doubt you would mention Hitler's blue eyes,
>> his teetotaling, his vegetarianism, and his crusade
>> against cigarettes as proof that that he was
>> an ï¿½berMensch zum ï¿½berMenschen.
>>
>> And we know Hitler was not an adulterer. He may not
>> have been adequately equipped for that task. Unable
>> to rise to the occasion so to speak.

>>
>> But to be fair those rumors are not fully documented.
>> Suffice to say, Hitler never had a Lewinsky in his past.
>>
>> Hitler did not drink, smoke or philander. Unlike
>> Roosevelt and Churchill who were given to all these
>> vices. If it wasn't fï¿½r all those millions he killed Hitler
>> would have been a poster boy fï¿½r the Moral Majority.
>>
>> Hmmmmm! Das Historie is so unfair, Ja, Freund Kevin!
>>
>> How can we make you to understand that
>> moral failings often accompany great men?
>> Yet, these personal failings do not diminish the
>> grandeur of their work.
>>
>> Who could I use to illustrate this point, someone
>> you would be obliged to respect given your
>> Nordische outlook!
>>
>> I KNOW! I KNOW!
>>
>> Initially, Richard Wagner had Socialist
>> tendencies and took part in the LIBERAL
>> German Revolution of 1848 which failed;
>> forcing him to flee to Paris. He was involved
>> in a few illicit assignations. One of the more
>> notable was with a Mathilde Wesendonck.
>> Then there was that affair with Cosima
>> von Bï¿½low. A women he only married later on.
>>
>> Maybe he had some vision, no doubt brought
>> to him by a Walkï¿½rie born of a Nordic zeitgeist,
>> of a glorious prophetic future for Cosima
>> when she would have the singular distinction
>> of having been one of Hitler's early supporters
>> and may have been the only human to have
>> known both men. A Walkï¿½rie of a Frau!
>>
>> A biography of Wagner
>> http://www.zazz.com/wagner/biography.html
>> http://www.dominet.com.tr/members/okonsar/WagnerBio.htm
>>
>> So Richard Wagner, that Aryan Komposer Extraordinaire,
>> that ï¿½berMusiker, was an ex-socialist and a sexual lothario.
>> And yet somehow Nazis and Neo Nazis overlooked
>> his personal failings and considered him a genius.
>>
>> In fact your ï¿½berWebPage
>> http://www.kevin-strom.com

>> offers Wagner's FestMarch as one of the premier
>> examples of Western Art. (Kevin has a link to one
>> of Meister Wagner's more famous pieces.)
>>
>> How did this happen? Kevin?! I am surprised
>> at you. You criticize Martin Luther King for his
>> excesses while at the same time you gloss over
>> similar failings in Richard Wagner.
>>
>> Tschub and Wagner was such an Aryan Hero, too!
>> Ja! He was anti-Semitic and blonde to boot!
>> A real fun mensch at the Hofbraï¿½. Always the
>> first to sing polkas mit der boys. "An a Vun!
>> An a Two! Ach du lieber Augustine, Augustine..."
>>
>> Wagner was an ï¿½berKondukter. Writer of VolkMusik!
>> Yet given to such moral failings!
>>
>> Tschub! Ach du lieber! Gott im Himmel!
>> Was is Diese?!
>>
>> Has the Velt (World) gone mad! Is there no one
>> stalwart Aryan hero of virtue to lead us onward
>> to Seig (Victory)? Have they all fallen by the
>> wayside? Failing to live up to the eternal standards
>> of Blood und Honor. Lesser men?!
>> Untermenschen all!
>>
>> DON'T MENTION IT!
>>
>> But wait! KEVIN! You will be our Hero! Our
>> Defender! Dare I say it! Our...Our...Our SEIGFRIED!
>>
>> You will trample down on the Birfrost demons of
>> liberality and extirpate the untermenschen who this
>> very moment plot the demise of our
>> HerrenVolk (Master Race). You will lead us on
>> to Valhalla where we shall drink Mead and
>> listen to the eternal Sagas and Eddas while being
>> regaled by willing Walkï¿½ren (Valkyries) who are
>> there solely to fulfill our Aryan need for Nordic
>> beauty. And who seek to bear HerrenKinder fï¿½r
>> das Race. Blonde babies mit blue eyes whom you
>> just love to pinch they are so pink and cute.
>>
>> Und we drink schnapps and make lieb all day
>> mit die Walkï¿½ren. While oompah bands serenade us.
>> In the evenings we don LiederHosen and eat all manner
>> of Wï¿½rst and drink more BochBier in Steins and it is just
>> one Grï¿½sse wunderbar OktoberFest for all eternity.

>> Bring on das Sauerkraut. A Triumph des Willens!
>>
>> Onward Kevin. Onward....Grab that Hammer
>> of yours and start flailing in a BerserkerFest of
>> Nordic Purgation. Down with the untermenschen.
>> Down! Down! Time for KultureKampf.
>> KevinKampf. Take no prisoners, Kevin!
>>
>> Kampf fï¿½r Kevin und Volk!.
>>
>> BUT WAIT!
>>
>> Your picture on the website shows you have black hair.
>>
>> Egads.
>>
>> Kevin, you are genetically weak!
>> Your genes display negressence. A product
>> of some ill considered miscegenation in the
>> misty pasts. I bet you even have brown eyes.
>> An ancestor of yours had some rendevous
>> with a swarthy. Thus permanently
>> destroying your DNA. You are .....
>> are.... are..... UnNORDIC!
>>
>> ODIN, WHAT ARE WE TO DO?
>>
>> There is no one! Even Mighty Kevin
>> has been shown to be weak. ODIN, we are not
>> worthy of Valhalla. Not worthy to drink
>> your Mead. Not worthy of a Blonde Walkï¿½rie
>> mit WunderBooben und BreastPlate Korsets.
>>
>> Help us Mighty ODIN.
>> There is no one left. Even Freda has run off
>> with some Puertoriqueï¿½o Salsa band and
>> has fallen for the lead drummer, Raoul.
>> Greta sings Kalypso mit some Reggae Dred!
>>
>> Destroy the Velt now!
>>
>> Bring on Ragnarok.
>>
>> Valhalla has been stormed. They are
>> busing Blacks into Asgard! Das Schwartzen
>> have won!
>>
>> For the rest of you - those who are
>> untermenschenen like me and proud of it -
>> I think Dr. King was a great man inspite

>> of his failings, even as King David was
>> favored of the Lord.
>>
>> VonQuark
>>
>> Kevin Alfred Strom wrote:
>>
>> > Joe Malloy wrote:
>> > >
>> > > RFEnforcer <rfenforcer@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:20000117124022.01397.00000954@nso-ch.aol.com...
>> > > > What are you doing to honor "the great" Martin Luther King today?
>> > > >
>> > > > 1) Reading The Communist Manifesto
>> > >
>> > > Been there, done that, it has a few pointers that are true, but nobody
>> > > listens.
>> >
>> > MLK listened. He described himself as a Marxist, though the boobs
>> > are seldom informed of that. A documented article on the subject is
>> > available at my site (url below), and you can also visit:
>> >
>> > http://www.martinlutherking.org
>> >
>> > >
>> > > > 2) Comparing MLK to other known philanderers like Teddy Kennedy.
>> > >
>> > > Name a conservative icon of your own who *hasn't* been a "philanderer."
>> > > There are darn few, conservative or democrats, who have been "pure."
>Gee,
>> > > you folks like Thomas Jefferson a whole bunch but he philandered with a
>> > > *black* woman. How do you like that?
>> > [...]
>> >
>> > Despite the high hopes of the Jefferson-haters, that hasn't been
>> > proved by their much vaunted tests. Even _Nature_ magazine, and the
>> > original author of the misleading article (Eugene Foster), have
>> > backed off considerably from their original statements.
>> >
>> > But, for the large number who accept the media image as reality,
>> > it's an "established fact."
>> >
>> > Just as is the near-sainthood of Communists Mandela and King. In my
>> > site's lead article you can even see an icon-like carved idol of
>> > King now attached to one wall of the National Cathedral.
>> >
>> > Note followups.
>> >
>> > With all good wishes,
>> >

>> > --
>> >
>> > Kevin Alfred Strom.
>> >
>> > News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>
>
>
>(end of original message)

2988. Re: How much would the ARRL help a ham upgrade?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121200717.09262.00000353@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 120963

Lloyd you are some piece of work, the FCC just handed you an avenue that most children could pass to earn a ham license with HF privledges and you want to whine "help me" "I have a hard time with learning the code". You still don't get it, the reason a lot of the higher coded hams are pissed off is because the code is so hard to learn and takes lots of time and effort to master.

Why don't you just get off of your lazy ass and study for couple of months and pass the 5 wpm code test? Or is passing the 5 wpm code test to get a higher class license now not worth your time either? You have got to be the laziest person on the planet.

2989. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: alice@kramden.moon
Message-ID: <N98i4.496$b3.1736@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 120964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>If you aren't involved why defend them ? The joke is a cliche and while
>it might elicit a brief chuckle, does not belong here. It only serves to
.point the level to which hams have sunk, male and female.

Isn't it funny how a silly comment, thrown into a silly discussion, can spawn a whole other silly discussion? Gotta love this internet! What a study in human behavior.

The basic paranoid idea that there is some sinister uber-culture steering the ARRL and manipulating the election (did I spell it right?) process is quite stupid and deserving of a stupid joke to poke fun at it.

2990. Re: still haven't gotten an answer to this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: makos327@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20000121211624.03567.00000646@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 120965

>Subject: still haven't gotten an answer to this
>From: "Cal25skipper" Skipper3@swbell.net
>Date: Sun, 09 January 2000 11:39 PM EST
>Message-id: <xsde4.425$pB4.9796@nnrp1.rcsntx.swbell.net>

>So I hope you are all correct. I hope the code doesn't make a difference,
>because once the precidence is set to do away with it, any type of theory is
>next. Then what will the difference between the amateur and citizen's radio
>services be?
>
>Brent Scott
>N5CLK
>Austin, TX
>
>

Brent,

You make a valid point here; and it got me thinking. I am also an avid CW advocate. I started using the mode early on in my Ham life as a necessity. When I upgraded to General and used SSB it played havoc with the TV and radios in the house. My parents and my sister wanted to kill me! I could happily send CW until the cows cam home with no problems however, so CW became and 22 years later remains my favorite mode.

When the restructuring and lower CW speed requirements were announced I immediately became a bit upset. But as I thought about it, what angered me most wasn't that the CW requirements have become belittled; but it seems again we are again descending down the slippery slope of mediocrity.

I first tried for my ticket in hight school. Armed with an old Ameco LP, I set out to learn the code. For whatever reason, I couldn't "get it". I gave up. After college and starting a career, the bug bit again (no pun intended). This time I enrolled in an evening adult continuation program at a local high school and began my second try. This time learning the code was easier; and I earned my Novice. To this day, I consider that one of the crowning acheivements in my life! I conquered an old bug-a-boo with diligence and hard work; and darn it .... I EARNED IT !!!!!!!!! General, Advanced and Extra came as the years have gone by; but none came with as much pride as that first Novice ticket!

Now it seems we're all too eager to give away the privilege of an Amateur radio license. I'm sorry if I seem cynical; but I really don't believe that with the loss of the code speed requirement that " a more difficult and comprehensive" written exam will take it's place to keep Amateur Radio as a service where your ability to participate must be earned.

I indeed think we are now travelling down the road where 10 or 15 years from now certain folks will be asking "Why do we really need to take a test to become a Ham?" And that day, will be a sad one for sure.

I once had a boss who would absolutely never let us give any "freebies" to our customers as perks. His reasoning was; and I quote .... "If something is given away for nothing, then it has no value." I came to understand his wisdom.

Larry N2ELW
South Plainfield, NJ
LJM
Mets fan since 1967.

Baseball is God's gift to America.

2991. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38891d27.4082884@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 13:47:36 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:

>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 18:50:12 -0500, D. Townsend <pog@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>>Jon Bloom wrote in message ...
>>>And a lot of hams aren't going to buy it even if it costs less than the
>>>paper book. That's what our data shows.
>>
>>You fellows are not very complimentary towards the other Hams in the ARS.
>>First they won't join the league because they are too cheap to pay the dues
>>now they are too cheap to buy an CD Rom with information that they may find
>>usefull.
>
>Which "fellows?" I've never said either of those things. What I'm
>saying is that most buyers still prefer the paper book over the CD, and
>price is only a secondary factor.

Yep, it is kinda difficult to curl up with a fire going
and read your laptop. I prefer hard copy for quite
a few things.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2992. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38891d90.4187712@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 16:33:17 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:

>On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:40:00 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>
>>Riddle me this, Jon. I can get two copies of "The ARRL Antenna
>>Compendium", Volume 6 *WITH TWO CDs* for $40. Why is "The ARRL
>>Antenna Book" single CD priced at $40?
>
>As I noted in another posting, it's not the medium that determines the
>price of a CD, it's the content.

Yep, just check out the prices on some telecommunications
technical requirments documentation.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2993. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: ab4d@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121230306.03686.00000984@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 120968

I believe that the ARRL VEC tests with the Farnsworth method of tapes like the ARRL practice tapes and the W5YI VEC tests with standard morse tapes like the Radio Shack/Gordon West tapes. Hope this helps 73 de AB4D.

2994. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: compcnsult@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000121230515.03678.00001271@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120969

Dan you are right also. I have always wished that I could copy the code as well as some of those operators.

Thanks
Chuck

2995. Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freddo <freddo1@home.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.12f30a64d80a81ef989699@news>
Source record: 120970

In article <869e0e$ot0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, murray_southwell@my-deja.com
says...
> I certainly hope all you cw die-hard wowsers don't use anything above
> 6M,

Sure. Do you use anything below 6m?

Surely to use vhf and above it should be a license requirement to
> demonstrate a working knowledge of satellite earth stations?

How many of those do we have on 2m?

How many
> dedicated cw users would no longer be able to use 2M if they had to know
> how to calculate kelprin elements, dopler effect or write
> satellite tracking software? Actually a working knowledge of satellite
> equipment should be mandatory for any ham license, and for that matter,
> why not introduce a practical test - could you or any of you cw buddies
> design and build a transceiver for the 47Ghz band? I dont think you
> would
> have any more idea than the average CB'er - NONE.

My friend, you know what happens when you make ASSumptions?

There is more to ham
> radio than cw.

I believe I said that. I also said a knowledge of cw can't hurt.

Fred
VA3FD

2996. Re: Ham love poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: GC <georgec2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ahbi4.8935$k25.763822@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 120971
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio

How much do I get for being in the picture?

-g

lop <lop@rom.com> wrote in message news:3887CFDD.58AF@rom.com...
> > > If you could spend a romantic evening with the person in the world of
your
> > > choice or have your picture on
> > > the cover of QST as the Ham of the Year which would you pick?
>
> The fact that this question has to be asked is very scary to me.

2997. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Mina <kb3dkr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <86bkjl$l52$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120972
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Mods are a part of the amateur community, also... There are some of us that are involved with the MARS program that requires us to transmit outside the amateur bands. I happen to be one of them, and the only reason I attempted the mod on my IC-706 was for that very reason, and it works great. Just don't transmit where you are not supposed to, and you have nothing to worry about.
My 2p
de KB3DKR

. <k793BINTHISBIT@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:eoe78sck6f98bcoqnm6cekrgcmvia5bclm@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2000 19:33:21 +0000, Duncan Walters <tesla@cwcom.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Willy Wilson wrote:
> >
> >> Hi all,
> >> Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
> >> the amateur bands please?
> >> Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> >> Willy.
> >
> >Now why do you want to know that for, Willy?
> >Duncan G4DFV
> >
> Well i dont know why willy wnats to do it but I modified my TS850S (by
> clipping the relevant diode on the front PCB behind the front panel)
> becuase i wanted to transmit on frequencies which were not available
> as supplied. I also sometimes transmit on the UK amateur bands with my
> class A callsign. No doubt Willy will find the info he wants soon. I
> would willingly post it here but alas my meory is not so good and i
> can't put my hands on my 850 manual at present.
>
> joe
>
>
> Remove BINTHISBIT When replying via email

2998. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3889554c.926572@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Jan 2000 23:15:11 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
t. In 5 to 10 years, maybe much
>less, the HF bands will sound every bit as bad as 27 MC does
>now, and the FCC will just as studiously ignore it all,
>whatever is left.

Can You prove this in a court of law in front of a Judge and
Jury???

Rick KC2ESD

2999. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86bkr7mgd2@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 120974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Gary - KJ6Q wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote
> The ham exams in 50s and 60s were no more difficult
> > than they are today. In a lot of ways, they were less difficult
> > than the exams are today. The ARS had already been dumbed down
> > when you took your first ham exam.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil,
>
> BRINGS up an interesting question - when WERE the exams "difficult" -
> only when *I* took them...?
>
> 8^)

Pay him no mind, Gary. The only time he took an exam at the
FCC office (advanced) he says he was drunk. He still doesn't
know anything about it.

3000. tubes I want to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86broo$hi5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120975

Hi Everybody,

I am looking for some tubes NEW or Good Used will do. I need 4 or 6 pcs
of the following.

866A 872A 3B28 833A 250TL 152TL 806 304TL

If any of you can help I will pay good money, please let me know even if
you omly have 1 peice please respond.

Regards

VTAOZ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3001. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Harrison <reply2newsgroups@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Message-ID: <388964B3.88786F4@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Source record: 120976

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> In article <86a51e$k82$1@mark.ucdavis.edu>,
> Michael Bruss <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu> wrote:
> > When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> > regular?
> >
> > Mike, KF6IMS
> >
> At 5wpm what difference does it make? Unless of course you are
> actually doing it to LEARN the Morse Code. In that case, I hope they
> use the Farnsworth method.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Farnsworth will give you more time to look 'em up between
characters... ;-)

Ken

3002. For Sale: NC-60 Special SW Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudyNoSPAM@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <388995C4.B702D5CF@lgcy.com>
Source record: 120977

For Sale: NC-60 Special SW Radio
I have an National NC-60 Special SW Radio for sale for
someone to restore. It doesn't have the back cover or tubes.
Make offer..plus shipping.
Contact me at <hrudy@lgcy.com>
or call me for more infor.

KA7YWO
Howard Rudy
Salt Lake City, Ut
801-532-8511

3003. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Z5qJOIuKc79BwB67+OrVL9ldoHOT@4ax.com>
Source record: 120978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 16:49:19 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Gary Coffman wrote:
>
>> Anyway, I agree completely with what Dick is saying. As
>> long as the CDs are priced as high as they are, I'm going
>> to think long and hard before buying them. But if the price
>> were down in a more reasonable range, I'd buy them in a
>> heartbeat instead of the paper copies.
>
>Hi, Gary,
>
>Speaking a bit out of turn, the reproduction costs
>(printing/duplication) are only part of the costs of producing a
>publication. Author fees, editing, graphics and production all enter
>into it as well. Even if reproducing the CD media were free, the rest of
>the costs need to be considered as part of the pricing decisions.

That's true Ed, aside from the smaller reproduction costs, the costs
of producing the volume on CDROM are essentially the same as the
costs for publishing a paper book. So why is it priced 3 to 4 times as
high?

>In the CD products, there are additional costs involved, in setting all
>the internal linkages, the search engines, adding the software and
>template packages that also go into the product, to say nothing of the
>fact that ARRL has never had to spend staff time offering a customer
>support when he is having difficulty opening a book.

Having on-board hyperlinks and search engines are nice (if you run
Windoze), but not so nice as to justify the price premium. I'd be happy
to use my own search tools to form a permuted index, and cut and
paste URLs, if that's what's needed to get the cost down to the level
of paper volumes. I have to anyway, since I use Linux, not Windoze,
and the supplied engines don't work for me.

You really should publish in Portable Document Format to be compatible
across the various platforms out here (and the PDF reader is *free*),
instead of leaning so heavily on Windoze. You're cutting yourself off
from at least 30% of the market by tying yourself so tightly to Microsoft's
coattails, and it's the part of the market that's most computer literate at
that, and thus most likely to be a customer.

Alternatively, you could publish in HTML so that any machine supporting
a browser could read the volume. There are good (cheaper than paper
publishing layout software) HTML publishing tools available which automate

translation of plain text to HTML. Since virtually everyone with a computer knows how to use a browser, support costs would be minimal too. The only downside is that HTML is bulkier than PDF, but your CDs aren't full anyway, so that shouldn't be a big issue.

Gary

Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

3004. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cqKJONLJ6L=zUsHItutveSwY1aU9@4ax.com>
Source record: 120979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 20:32:19 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 13:49:42 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>Perhaps the situation could be resolved by simply pricing
>>the books and CDs at the same percent above cost. I suspect
>>the percentage markup on the CDs is many times the percentage
>>markup on the books.
>
>Nope.

Then you're obviously doing something wrong.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

3005. Re: HR-10B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86cbhhksb1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120980

ITS BEEN SOLD.
THANK YOU
GARY
Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net> wrote in message
news:86a270cls1@ruby.mint.net...
> FOR SALE.
> HEATHKIT, HR-10B.
> LOOKS AND WORKS GOOD.
> MAKE OFFER
> THANK YOU
> GARY
>
>

3006. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0aKJOHmSI+87Xdfksjx01KRAWLiz@4ax.com>
Source record: 120981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 19:41:55 GMT, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) wrote:
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 14:16:23 -0500, Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>On 19 Jan 2000 17:54:30 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>>>And if BMWs were cheaper than Ford Escorts, they'd sell more
>>>BMWs. Incrementalist pricing at its finest!
>>
>>Yes, they would, and they'd make a larger profit too if the BMW
>>cost less to produce than the Escort. That's the case with CDs
>>versus paper books.
>
>No, it costs less to DUPLICATE CDs than paper books. When volume is
>large, that particular cost dominates the cost side. When volume is not
>large, that cost may very well not dominate.

According to our internal publishing figures (Gannett), the break point where pressing CDs becomes cheaper than a paper book is around 1000 copies. (For much smaller runs, we burn CDRs.) We're a *big* print publisher who buys ink and paper by the train load, but we distribute our internal training materials on CD because it is cheaper to do so than to set the job up on our presses and warehouse and distribute paper books. Printing daily newspapers is still done on (cheap) paper, of course, because that's what the customer wants, but it isn't necessarily the least expensive way to distribute the information.

Document preparation costs are virtually the same regardless of how we publish them. So the distribution medium is the primary variable with respect to costs.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

3007. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <388b73b1.19605044@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 120583

On Sun, 23 Jan 2000 16:25:03 GMT, cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz)
wrote:

>
>>> Same here, I took my 13wpm test last April and failed because of the
>>> way they do it. It's not true 13 like I practiced. I was copying GREAT
>>> at home but once the test started within seconds I knew I was screwed.
>>> I think it's BS the way it's done. So did the other 3 guys taking the
>>> test. I should have passed the damn thing. I did pass the written.
>>> There would of been at least 3 new generals that day if it was done
>>> RIGHT. I busted my ass for 2 years to get there only to have that kind
>>> of nonsense pulled. And it doesn't matter if they put a half hour
>>> between characters, it was still coming out at 18wpm which is a LOT
>>> different than 13.
>>>
>>> I continue to practice but I'll be damned if I'll take it again.
>>>
>>> Tom - KB2SMS
>>>
>>>
>>I am truely sorry you ran into that problem. And this is not meant to
>>start a fued. If a person would just turn on a HF radio, and copy it
>>off the air then he would become used to the sound of "REAL SENDING".
>>This will prepare you for ANYTHING you can get at a VE session.
>>
>>Just a bit of advice.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>--
>>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>>
>Only problem with that is that some dont have HF priviliges till they
>pass the test, let alone the radio. I for one won't buy an HF rig
>unless I have passed the test.

I'm a tech plus so I have HF radios. A TS-450s and an IC-706MkII

I do listen to on-air code as well. Was ready for a 13wpm test, got
one faster than that. That's my original reason for failing it.

Tom - KB2SMS

3008. The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86g1m9$9kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120584

Joe, yours is one of the more interesting and enlightened comments in this thread. For a start I am English (or Brit call me what you like) I spent 5 months living and working in Colorado last October. Whilst there I had my own Radio chat show slot on American Freedom Network (KHNC out of Johnstown) I used to have a 2 hour show every Saturday. AFN is only a small network and as such I used to have to do my own research for my show, the long and the short of all this is that some of the stuff I learned and uncovered would make your hair fall out.

You DONOT live in a free country anymore, and neither do I in England. If you think I am some kind of nut then I am sorry ,but I have to tell you that some of the stuff I aired and some of the interviews I held with prominent and well informed people lead me into hot water so much so that the FEDS paid me more than one visit, I was about to be held on some jumped up charge about being anti American. Nothing could be further from reality, I love your country and the American people, I am very sad that they have let themselves be hood winked by nothing but a group of Communists (Bill Clinton and the Democrats) Anybody who belongs to the Council on Foreign Relations CFR, The Trilateral Commission, The Bildaberger Group, Who is a 33rd degree Mason, and a member of the illuminati (yes I am talking about your beloved President Mr Clinton the antichrist) has to be more than a little suspect. Just look at some of the Executive Orders he has signed, ask yourselves why he would he want to get into bed with the Chinese, who now control both ends of the Panama Canal. Ask your self what is the real function of FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) and why there is over 160 EMPTY prison camps that will hold up to 5,000 people each dotted all around your country. Ask yourself why the IRS has decided to spend $ 100 million of your money arming themselves to the teeth. Mr Clinton does not give a rat's ass about America or the American people, he is a Globalist, he is a ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT man along with his partners in crime Tony Blair and all the other cronies around the World. Make no mistake when he leaves office his next move will be to the top job at the United Nations, if he gets it then it will really hit the FAN in a very big way and its goodnight America and the rest of the World you will have kissed your ass and sovereignty goodbye. By now you are all thinking that I am definitely a raving nutcase, all I can say is watch this space. By the way I left America before they the FEDS that is had the chance to put me in leg irons and lock me up in the Marion Prison.

Regards

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3009. Death notices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Hinchey <mhinche1@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AULi4.2557$8J4.17732@typhoon2.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 120585

My father, Frank, KA7SNN, passed away a couple years ago. It had never occurred to me to ask this question, but I just found his huge collection of QSL cards. Despite half an hour on the ARRL website, I found nothing about death notices. So I turn to you folks. Is it necessary, or desirable, to inform ARRL of his passing? Or just a nice thing to do?

--
Mike Hinchey

3010. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <czMi4.964$38.32069@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 120586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote
>I always pull over to the side when someone calls.

Pulling over to the side and stopping on a freeway can be difficult
and dangerous on a freeway. The same may also be true on surface streets
when you're in heavy traffic...

>I've nearly caused too many wrecks while trying to concentrate on driving
>and talking on the phone/radio, and I've seen it actually happen with
>others way too many here in the dfw area...

Next are you going to outlaw talking to your passengers, kids screaming,
smoking while driving, listening to talk radio, and so on. They are all
distracting to a certain extent. Do we really need government control for
every little problem...

3011. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KF2TI - Steve <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <j2Ni4.449$6w1.16079@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 120587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <s8mjmmfeh6101@corp.supernews.com>, wa6vse@fast.net says...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message
> news:388a5e96.2458918@news.interactive.net...
> > In the USA there is an increasing pressure from some
> > sides to do exactly the same thing as is indicated
> > in the Japanesse situation. The local daily
> > newspaper recently ran an editiorial on the subject.
> >
> > While the target is cell phone users, you can bet that
> > any ban will be far more inclusive than just cell phones
> > if it happens.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> Here in Allentown, PA, the City Council, recently voted down a
> proposed ban on the use of cellphones while driving. Seems that
> some folks realize that modern technology is here to stay and
> offers benefits.

That and the fact there is no way to enforce it. There is a small town south of Cleveland Oh that banned cell phone usage. Seems they stopped people if they saw them using the phone AND stopped people that looked like they were talking on hands-free phones. Turned out that 80% of those stops were for people singing along with the radio.

The law was modified to prohibit the use of cell phones that did not have a hands free operation. Allentown fell into that trap too. They'll be back with prohibiting hand held units but not hands free units.

--
Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
improve the morality of the students will have
the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
the morality of the guests in the hotel room.

Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
Join the ARRL

3012. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7C60ED6AECDFD316.6AA4AC92186466A1.AA1470D573AA2C8E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
> >On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
>
> >>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives
>
> >When was the last several examples?
> >AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?
>
> A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
> contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
> in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
> details.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

Uh huh, and every day on 2M there are assists made by amateurs who come upon traffic accidents, stranded motorists, drunk drivers, etc., etc. Yet, to hear many who support CW as a supreme mode of operation, 2M has little more than CB value? HA!!!

Kim W5TIT

3013. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <338FCC12DB2976AF.1AAF0992470E49A3.D8DE1756AF6D872A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Carl and Dee Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote:
> >BUT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE HALF A GRIP ON WHAT YOU WROTE>>>>>>>
> >I HAVE A COMMERCIAL GENERAL RADIO TELEPHONE LICENSE, WITH MARINE RADAR
> >ENDORSEMENT,,A HAM LICENCE ,A VERY SUCESSFUL 2 WAY RADIO BUSINESS, AND FOR
> >OVER 50 YEARS I CAN NOT COPY 2 WPM,CODE LET ALONE 5 WPM.
> > NO I AM NOT ON WELFARE,I AM NOT WINNING,OR BITCHING, BUT PEOPLE LIKE
> >YOU PEALLY P*** ME OFF,,IF YOU WERE 1/2 A MAN YOU WOULD APOLOGIZE, AND TRY
> >TO GET A GRIP ON YOUR LIFE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>
> Hi Carl,
> If you're KE4FQY, a Technician, then of course you can't copy code.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

EXCUSE
ME??
That is about the dumbest damn remark I think I have heard! That is
just totally absurd and I just can't believe anyone would be stupid
enough to relate someone's class of license to whether they can copy
CW. For cryin' out loud!!!! So, you think there's no one else out
there but hams who can copy CW??????????????????????

Good grief....

Kim W5TIT

3014. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388BAB02.F7600AEF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
> contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
> in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
> details.

What mode? No BFO on FM. No carrier on SSB. Good thing he had a
mic, eh?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3015. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <388BB3B5.8CE5F98C@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 120591

Farnsworht is the method where the individual characters are sent at a faster speed and the spacing between them is so the overall speed is slower. That is they are sent at 15 or 20 wpm but spaced so that at the end of several characters the overall speed will be only 5 WPM.

Regular is where each character is sent at the 5 wpm speed.

The test can be given by either method depending on the examiner and maybe the wishes of the people taking the test.

It is thought by many that if you learn the character at the speed you want to eventually obtain it will be easier in the long run. This is the Farnsworht method.

"Innocent John!!!" wrote:
>
> According to the FCC, code tests are in Morse Code.
> (perhaps that is what you mean by "regular"?)
>
> "Michael Bruss" <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu> wrote
> > When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> > regular?

3016. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <86gdqv$ig0$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Only if your an asshole.
Brian Denley

.....

Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:18bh4.5092$FS5.68769@news3.mia...
> A kill File is a useless pice of trash. We can very easy bypass by using
> different subject titles and different names. In time, your kill-file
will
> choak on itself and lock-up your program, hence defeating your efforts!

3017. NEW Email List for finding Boatanchor auctions - Easy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eugene Rippen <soundval@foothill.net>
Message-ID: <86gfc0$5s8$1@ns2.foothill.net>
Source record: 120593

NEW EMAIL LIST is now set up for the posting of
notices of "AUCTIONS" for tube era items..

Not only are there notices of URL's of internet commercial
auctions, but also one can post their own auction. A
suggested form is even available for preparing one's own
auction and can be found at:
http://www.egroups.com/group/boatanchor-auctions/8.html?

For "boatanchor" related items. For our purposes, "Auctions"
mean items listed for sale without fixed prices. Postings
may be as simple as "$150 obo", or formal auction terms,
or the announcement of a URL at which there is an auction
(e.g. an eBay address, etc.).
"Boatanchor" may include old tube type broadcast radio stuff,
but not recent audio gear, tubes or parts.

Subscribers have the choices of:
1. Receiving each email posted by each subscriber.
2. Receiving all emails once each day in one message.
3. Receiving a digest once each day of just the "subjects"
and "authors" of each message.
4. Receiving no emails. but allowing subscriber to go to
egroups.com and selecting this LIST
"boatanchor-auctions@egroups.com" and then
selecting which messages to view by "subject"

To subscribe to boatanchor auctions list
SEND boatanchor-auctions-subscribe@egroups.com
Note: Singular "boatanchor. Plural, "auctions' and
"egroups"

RULES will be simple:
Post for tube era related items only, for which firm prices are
NOT stated.
NO radio program tapes, magazine cut-out ads, CB stuff
(except linears tuned to Ham Bands), or tubes or
parts for the audiophile market.
Please refrain from claiming something is "rare" unless
certain almost anyone would consider it rare.
Post only once for each auction. (No followup messages)
PLAIN simple TEXT ONLY. (Not even a background color)
Use descriptive "Subject" headings.
Include closing dates where appropriate

FOR THE NEXT FEW DAYS (after 1/22/00) emails may
contain some advertising. That should end when egroups
receives my check, which has been mailed.

To change the mode by which you receive messages
(Every message, all messages at once daily, all message
headings only once daily, or go to egroups.com and select
messages to read)
GO TO: http://www.egroups.com
SELECT: "My Space" button
Then SELECT the group for which you wish to change
your mode of receiving messages.
SELECT: "Group Info" button on bar.
SELECT: "Modify Subscription" button at bottom page.
Then make the changes.
SELECT: "Apply Changes" button

THIS MESSAGE MAY BE FREELY COPIED AND
REPOSTED in its entirety.

Eugene Rippen

3018. NEW Eamil List for finding Ham Auction - Easy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eugene Rippen <soundval@foothill.net>
Message-ID: <86gfek$5sa$1@ns2.foothill.net>
Source record: 120594

A LIST is now set up for the posting of "AUCTIONS" for
HAM related items (NOT TUBE era stuff). For our purposes,
"Auctions" mean items listed for sale without fixed prices.
Postings may be as simple as "$150 obo", or formal auction
terms, or the announcement of a URL at which there is an
auction (e.g. an eBay address, etc.).
"HAM" does NOT include audiophile gear, tubes or parts,
or CB stuff except linears actually tuned to only Ham Bands.

Not only are there notices of URL's of internet commercial
auctions, but also one can post their own auction. A
suggested form is even available for preparing one's own
auction and can be found at:
http://www.egroups.com/group/ham-auctions/7.html?

Subscribers have the choices of:
1. Receiving each email posted by each subscriber.
2. Receiving all emails once each day in one message.
3. Receiving a digest once each day of just the "subjects"
and "authors" of each message.
4. Receiving no emails. but allowing subscriber to go to
egroups.com and selecting this LIST
"ham-auctions@egroups.com" and then
selecting which messages to view by "subject"

To subscribe
SEND TO: ham-auctions-subscribe@egroups.com
Note: Plural "auctions" and "egroups"

RULES will be simple:
Post for HAM related items only, for which firm prices are
NOT stated. Not for tube era gear, another LIST
has been set up for that.
NO radio program tapes, magazine cut-out ads, CB stuff
(except linears tuned to Ham Bands), or tubes or
parts for the audiophile market.
Please refrain from claiming something is "rare" unless
certain almost anyone would consider it rare.
Post only once for each auction. (No followup messages)
PLAIN simple TEXT ONLY. (Not even a background color)
Use descriptive "Subject" headings.
Include closing dates where appropriate

To change the mode by which you receive messages
(Every message, all messages at once daily, all message headings only once
daily, or go to egroups.com and select messages to read)

GO TO: http://www.egroups.com
SELECT: "My Space" button
Then SELECT the group for which you wish to change
your mode of receiving messages.
SELECT: "Group Info" button on bar.
SELECT: "Modify Subscription" button at bottom page.
Then make the changes.
SELECT: "Apply Changes" button

FOR THE NEXT FEW DAYS (after 1/22/00) emails may
contain some advertising. That should end when egroups
receives my check, which has bneen mailed.

THIS MESSAGE MAY BE FREELY COPIED AND
REPOSTED in its entirety.

Eugene Rippen

3019. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <86gg1d$44s$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In fact the buyer did pay more ($51) than the MS-8 speaker costs new ($49) from Drake. The shipping costs through Ebay is $10 and $9.80 from Drake. I cannot understand why a buyer would do this when any search engine would have given the new price. Ebay fever I guess.
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com> wrote in message news:868s0a$42o$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
>
> BTW: There is an MS8 speaker at $41 with two days to go. I would almost
> bet that this will go for more than the new price ($49).
> Don't ask me why.
>
> Brian Denley
>

3020. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <2WRi4.992$38.33854@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 120596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote in message ...
>That's not the same at all.. There's been plenty of studies that show your
>concentration-level while on a phone drops down to that of a drunk
>person...

I've read that. I just don't see the difference in concentration between conversing with a person on the phone, or conversing with a person in the passengers seat. In fact, the passenger might be worse, since you have a tendency to occasionally take your eyes off the road and look at them...

>Look at how most people stare into space while talking on a phone

If there is no person to look at when talking on the phone, looking at nothing in particular (staring into space?) seems reasonable...

>and most people I've asked, after 'watching themselves' one the
>phone a few times, say they experience the same thing.

Do you really go around asking people to watch themselves when they are on the phone? How do they do that? Use the phone by the mirror??

3021. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick E Wallis <rwallis@ocsonline.com>
Message-ID: <21_i4.2837$K5.24334@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Its not free now but you people sure as hell are working on it.. If I could pass the test anyone could..
I aint real good at code but I passed the thing without bitchin.. Its what I had to do.. I passed the written because Its what I do for a living but there are lots of guys that pass both.. Why? They thought ham radio was worth working for... My wife passed her test for no code tech and has been working on the code.. Now she said "their going to give the thing away.. all that work down the drain." I told her its not down the drain but there will just be fewer real hams and a lot of free loaders.. After a while even filling out an app. got to be too much trouble for the CBers.. pretty soon they will be getting rid of the written too.. Do you now what that will make us.. CBers.. IT IS RADIO WELFAIR.. You dont want to work for it.. Hey you have a right to have it.. I want it FREE! Well if we make it so easy what have we got.. NOTHING.. not a damn thing! Like America its going to Hell in a hand basket..
dumb it on down.. Packet is going and RTTY because they cant read.. Give them the paper..

Rick <Rickhit@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:388923e9.2351827@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 21:51:24 GMT, Cold@shower.org (Mr Cold Shower)
> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
> > >pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
> > >you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
> > >want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't
you
> > >whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
> > >they can fix things for you.
> > >
> > >Extra class, killed in action.
>
> When is this CRAP going to stop??? The new test requirements are
> not welfare, you still have to study to pass the tests. Remember
> welfare is a hand out. For Amateur Radio license to be a hand out it
> would mean no testing at all and no charge for the license.
>
> The Code War is over so stop fighting it! If you think the FCC is
> going to rase the code speeds up again forget it, it's not going to
> happen. The return of the 13 and 20wpm requirement has a snowball's

> chance in Hell of coming back.
>
> Rick KC2ESD

3022. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toadster <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <388cac0b.0@news.sac.bfp.net>
Source record: 120598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

I like it! Way to Brian - 2shaaaa
"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:86f7pa$nd8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
| In article <S7xi4.1162$Kf2.8652@news.swbell.net>,
| "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
|
| > 20-30mins/day, dood... Skip a tv-show
|
| I don't watch TV except the wx channel before I start work
in the
| morning. I work outside so its kind of important.
|
| > Get up a half-hr early
|
| I'm already up at 4:30. You get up half an hour earlier.
|
| > Stay in the office during lunch-break
|
| I'm a field Tech. I eat in the truck on the way to the
next site if I
| eat at all. I visit the office on Monday morning to see
my e-mail and
| file my travel vouchers.
|
| > Come up with some other excuse, iow.. ;)
|
| Why are you liberals always trying to decide what someone
else should do
| with THEIR time and THEIR life? I've got enough problems
without you
| inventing more for me.
|
| Now here are my demands of you.
|
| 1. Join the Republican Party. Actively support your
local Republican
| candidates.
|
| 2. Get up half an hour early and telephone friends,
neighbors, and talk
| shows and tell them about how the Republicans want to get
government out
| of their pockets, homes, and lives.

|
| 3. Spend 30 minutes of your your lunch hour handing out Republican
| Party brochures and encouraging people to register and vote.
|
| After you have done all three, you will be allowed to post on rra.policy
| using your real name and callsign.
|
| 73, Brian
|
|
| Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
| Before you buy.

3023. Re: Blake Bowers harassing again!! was:Re: Blake Bowers the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <b4wi4.5750$_G1.99986@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120632
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
SNIP
> Prove me wrong. List the
> shops that you have applied to.

No problem, I have said I would provide a complete resume of previous employment & credential listing immediately after that well known criminal near San Diego (the one who suggests using metallic tuning tools on RF circuts) complies with the request of this NG & presents HIS credentials for the inspection of this NG.

> Beg pardon? What law would they be violating? (Bear in mind public
> records
SNIP

You should try to learn something about employment laws & confidentiality, then you wouldn't ask such stupid questions.

3024. Re: 3950.net: W9ART Defies Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <388A90C2.657FA4A@innocent.com>
Source record: 120633

KK7HO wrote:
>
> Now Kevin i was on 3950 tonight when W9ART was in. He did no jamming. PERIOD.
> Why you would come on here and posts such blatant lies is beyond me. Maybe your
> just trying to mislead, either way, there were 7 other stations on with ART
> tonight and he did not jam. Hell the net wasn't even on.
> Are you just trying to drum up some hits for your page or what? Why mislead?
> Or lie? KK7HO
>
> WB4AIO (kevin strom) wrote in message:
> >Message-ID: <388A8255.99925A41@innocent.com>
>
> >Even after receiving a warning letter from Riley Hollingsworth
> >stating that further rulebreaking will lead to revocation of his
> >license, W9ART is completely unrepentant and continues his
> >intentional interference activities.
> >
> After checking your referenced page it's obvious that you are just looking for
> hits to your web page, along with continuing the attack against W9ART, as
> nothing NEW has occured as your post implies.
> Your as bad as the major news media!

Two points:

1. The cited jamming by W9ART definitely occurred: listen to the
.wav file. It's made quite clear on 3950.net that it happened _last_
Saturday, not this evening.

2. How can you have a friendly QSO, as you did tonight with W9ART,
when you know that just a few weeks ago he was on the air jamming
and stating that another amateur "should be shot in the head"?

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

3025. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388a87be.5180463@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

That was almost 4 yrs ago. But you are welcome to make the 250 mile
trip to drink a few. Just make sure it is on my day off!!!

Is channel 1 still dead as hell down there? Last time I was there
about 6 months ago, There wasnt a soul around except on 17.

Uh oh! Someone up Named Porky says, Tell him to come up here so I can
squish him... Heh! Sound like a threat?
I think you could squish him with the setup you had that I remember.
Dont know about now though.

Cya later
-Cobra-

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 21:13:28 -0800, The Landshark
<lndshark@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> UHhhhhhhh HUhhhhhhhhh. Nice to know you're that busy.
>I thought when I came through town last time you said "Hey
>come by anytime, we'll have a brew or 2 or 12" or something
>like that.
> Don't make come into that town with 415 & start ruining your
>life. ;)>
>
> The Landshark
>
>--
>"Ah it's a Buell-tiful day for a perfect ride"
>98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
>83 Honda 1100F
>99 Ford F150 Supercab

3026. Great radioscanner startingpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bommeltje@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86e6ph$2b1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120635

Why not take a look at the International
Radioscanner Startingpage at:
http://radioscanner.start4all.com

Do you know a great scannerpage that should be
absolutely linked on that page, then please don't
hesitate and send it to me at: radioscanner@tref.nl

With regards, Peter van Bommel
http://leden.tref.nl/~bommeltje/ Peter's Scanner Pages
http://scanner.pagina.nl Dutch Radioscanner
Startingpage
http://radioscanner.start4all.com Intern. Radioscanner Startingpage

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3027. Re: Ham Radio SHITLIST!! Add a user today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388a8dda.6745416@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 21:34:24 -0800, The Landshark
<lndshark@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>kf2ti1126@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> In article <38886e63.1338754@news3.buffnet.net>,
>> rec.radio.amateur.policy wrote:
>> > On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 09:57:32 GMT, cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz)
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> > >Add your nominee to the next available line....
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >kf6foz - for starting this stupid thing.
>> > >kf6fgb - for not learning to read.
>> >
>> > KF2TI - for not learning to read, write, think, or tell the truth
>> >
>> > 73 DE KC2FNG
>>
>> Gee little Porta Johnny waa waa Thought I was kill filed dickweed.
>> Maybe you should name yourself. Any person who slanders fellow club
>> members ina public forum needs to be on a list like this. This is a
>> truth that I read from your posting, I wrote to several of your club
>> members that I personally know to advise them that you basically are a
>> lower form of plant life. I provided copies of your post to them as
>> proof
>>
>> Therefore, I did read the truth (as you wrote it) I did write the
>> truth (unless you lied about the whole thing) I did think that the
>> truth should be spread amoungst that you insulted and I am telling the
>> truth now. I did all those truths and would do it again, because I
>> know it pisses you off.
>>
>> Maybe you wanna send popgun shooter 1 at me again. Yes Johnny rides
>> the cotton pony, we all know you have a dejanews address and post
>> messages back and forth to yourself. Best you stay near that big bad
>> radio station you set up. Never will know when Ares will need you to
>> rescue someone caught in the ice flows of the Niagara.
>>
>> Tata prattle brained fool. Go back to teaching your pet poodle morse
>> code. I'm sure it would be a better operator than the likes of you
>>

>> Don't forget to killfile me again
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>
>Jesus Christ John..... Raising the shit
>over there now? You are going too have to inform
>me of little turds like this, I just love to make
>them spin, and go down the bowl with a smile.
>
> The Landshark
>--
>"Ah it's a Buell-tiful day for a perfect ride"
>98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
>83 Honda 1100F
>99 Ford F150 Supercab
Hehee! :)

3028. Re: 3950.net: W9ART Defies Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000123005258.27024.00000869@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 120637

Well I'm glad that you clarified that kevin. Your post made it sound as though he was jamming this evening.
Kevin I can can have a friendly QSO with anyone. The fact that you, W1WCR, and the net, have a problem with a particular person does not obligate me to refrain from speaking with them. I have had nothing "but" friendly qso's with w9art and have had none of the problems that you and the "net" seem to be experiencing. As for a threat that was made, it sounded more like an opinion to me, either way I don't take it seriously. I have heard, and have on tape Vic saying similar things about people in the political arena. Should I assume that Vic was serious? I'm sure that you find it advantageous to assume that art was. It keeps the pot stirred up doesn't it?

in message >Message-ID: <388A90C2.657FA4A@innocent.com>

Kevin Strom WB4AIO wrote
>Two points:
>
>1. The cited jamming by W9ART definitely occurred: listen to the
>.wav file. It's made quite clear on 3950.net that it happened _last_
>Saturday, not this evening.
>
>2. How can you have a friendly QSO, as you did tonight with W9ART,
>when you know that just a few weeks ago he was on the air jamming
>and stating that another amateur "should be shot in the head"?
>
>
>With all good wishes,
>
>
>
>Kevin, WB4AIO.
>--
>
>Kevin Alfred Strom.
>
>News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

3029. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000123012149.03555.00001203@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 120638

>The OO's are cornerstone of amateur radio self-policing & amateur radio
>would be lost without the OO's

I seriously doubt that.

3030. Re: Stacking Pwr Supplies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <388ABB4A.5647F382@interlog.com>
Source record: 120639

yepper use the battery to filter out any ac hum or ripple noise and use a slow charger 5or10 amp charger

graham va3gjb

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> In article <20000122103035.03575.00000933@ng-cf1.aol.com>,
> kg4fet@aol.com (KG4FET) wrote:
> > I need to stack 2 pwr supplies to supply my FT 100 with the proper
> current. My
> > AIM 20 is getting to hot for my tastes. I get full out of the radio
> but the
> > supply is way to hot if I do any rag chewing. I put a fan on it and
> that seems
> > to help but I would like to take it easier on it. Here is the
> question. Can I
> > hook the second supply directly to the the AIM's output or should I
> use a bus
> > strip? The second supply is a Johnson Power 15 amp rated supply used
> for a
> > Johnson business band base radio. The output voltage is the same on
> on both
> > (13.98+/-.03 volts). There is not a switch on the Johnson pwr supply
> to turn
> > it off, but that can be fixed.
> > Any Help would be appreciated,
> > Sandor KG4FET
> >
> I don't like that approach. If one, or both, supplies receive a
> voltage from the other, and it is not blocked by a "LARGE" diode, you
> may have a problem.
>
> Have you considered a standard auto battery? Put it across your Power
> Supply. Use it to charge the battery and draw the current through it?
> Works fine. Just be careful and vent it properly, or get a sealed
> battery.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3031. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dentronman <w9jcm@reno.quik.com>
Message-ID: <phxi4.6434$b3.242014@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 120640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

if you dont like the hobby then stay on cb seems like you sure love it .
youre on here all the time
AB7RS wrote in message <3889F7A3.26E@imt.net>...
>With no previous radio or code experience I went from CBer to extra in 7
>months,I didn't learn a damn thing from the question pools.What little I
>know I learned from hands-on and doing a lot of non-question-pool
>reading.
> Whwn the ARRL looks back in 20 years I hope they're happy with what
>they did to this once great hobby.
>
>
>
>
>> > Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
>. Why would
>> you think that you and I learned by reading those pages in 1963, but
>> that most newcomers today won't learn by reading the pools?
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI

3032. Newsline 1171 - 01/21/00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: newsline@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <86e8q2$26b$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120641

Newsline 1171

CALL-UP AND BILLBOARD

Newsline report number 1171 for release on Friday, January 21st, 2000
to follow in

5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. The world of Amateur Radio reacts to
restructuring in the United States and the questions will be ready on
time. These stories and a lot more on Newsline report number 1171
coming your way righ
t now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

*****

RESTRUCTURING: AUSTRALIA TO USA - YES!

The first overseas reaction to restructuring the United States Amateur
Radio service is in and the Australians like what they see. Q-News
Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has more:

First official reaction from the Wireless Institute of Australia to the
recent restructuring of the US Amateur has been reported by VK7 WIA
news Director Tony Farrow VK2TGF says as part of a brief to seek
opportunities fo
r increasing the popularity of amateur radio in VK he has been
monitoring changes to the AR service made in other countries. Such as
the USA and in the UK, amateur repeaters with the Internet.

Tony said it's encouraging to see both the British and US authorities
explicitly expressing the desire to encourage more people to contribute
to Amateur Radio experimentation.

>Im Graham Kemp VK4BB of Q-News Australia for Newsline.

If the reaction from Australia is any indication, the rest of the world
should also be positive toward this FCC ham radio restructuring action.
(Q-News)

*****

RESTRUCTURING: NEW QUESTIONS WILL BE READY

When April 15 th rolls around, the new questions to test prospective and upgrading hams will be ready. This as the Question Pool Committee of the National Conference of Volunteer Examination Coordinators announces a proj
ected roll out date of February 1 st for all new exam elements. The Rain Reports Hap Holly, KC9RP has been following the work of the Question Pool Committee and has this report:

--

The NCVEC Question Pool Committee has been working feverishly since the report and order on restructuring was announced. Fred Maia W5YI, is Vice Chairman of that committee:

+++++

Maia: "Normally, it takes us a year to revise a question pool. And here it is January and we had not even selected the questions yet -- much less turn the questions over to the various publishers.

It was decided that we would have to have a very streamlined schedule. That schedule would involve the three remaining licenses and developing question pools for the Technician, General and Extra and getting this complet
ed within 30 days.

That was an impossible situation, so instead it was decided that we would come up with a system that will keep the current [study] manuals in the publishing marketplace as reasonably valid. In other words, if an applican
t for an Amateur Radio license picked up an ARRL study manual for elements 2 and 3A -- the Novice and Technician -- those questions for the most part would be carried over to the new element 2 which is the new Technician.

The questions to the old element 3B General to the new element 3 General and the questions in the combined element 4A Advanced and 4B Extra would be carried over to the new element 4 Extra class."

+++++

The entire interview with Fred Maia W5YI is on the web at www.rainreport.com
>I'm Hap Holly KC9RP, in Chicago for Newsline.

If you have not read the report and order on restructuring the United States Amateur Service, we have it available for downloading at our website in Microsoft Word. Go to www.arnewsline.org and it's the first thing you w
ill see at the top of the page. (Newsline, RAIN)

**

RESTRUCTURING: LICENSE VERIFICATION FOR OLD TECHS

If you are a Technician class ham who was licensed prior to March 21 st 1987, then on April 15 th you can apply for a General Class upgrade without taking a test. But only if you can prove to a Volunteer Examiner that y
ou really have passed the qualifying exams. If you still have your original license document you probably have all the evidence you need. If you have lost it you will have to find another way to proving that you are wha
t you say. Now, the FCC and a publisher of a C-D ROM based call directory are both here to help.

We start with the FCC which says that it will try to provide such verification if you send a request by mail. You must include your name, address, telephone number, date of birth, and the original call sign issued to you
when you first got your Technician class ticket and the date you think it was issued. Requests go to The FCC, 1270 Fairfield Road, Gettysburg Pennsylvania 17325. Mark your request to the attention of The Amateur Sectio
n.

If you have a computer, modem and access to the World Wide Web, then you might want to try www.qrz.com. That's the website run by Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ, for his Q-R-Zed callsign directory sales. And, as a free public servic
e to the nations ham community, Fred has posted a copy o the 1993 FCC call sign database at the site. If you are one of those old Tech class license holders, try going www.qrz.com/search1993.html. Let the page load, ent
er your call sign and click. If the information proving your license was issued prior to the March 1987 date, you can print out a copy for your files.

By the way, as we go to air, the National Conference of Volunteer Examination Coordinators has not made public what proof it will require to handle your instant upgrade. Until they do, it's a good idea to collect all the
proof that you can get. (FCC, ARRL, AB7BQ)

*****

NEW ARRL LEADERSHIP

Some late breaking news from the ARRL. The League's Board of Directors has elected Dallas Texas businessman Jim Haynie, W5JBP, as its first president of the new century. This as the Board holds its first meeting of the
year 2000 in Memphis Tennessee.

Haynie succeeds Rodney Stafford, W6ROD and becomes the ARRL's thirteenth president . The Board also elected Joel Harrison W5ZN as its

first Vice President. He replaces Steve Mendelsohn, W2ML, who made an unsuccessful bid
for the office of ARRL President.

Atlantic Division Director Kay Cragie, WT3P, and the Roanoke Division's John Kanode, N4MM, were also given Vice Presidential slots. Current Vice-President Hugh Turnbull, W3ABC, was elected as an Honorary Vice President o
f the League.

Outgoing ARRL President Stafford was elected as International Affairs Vice President, replacing Larry Price, W4RA. Price was elected as president of the IARU last year and had decided not to seek another term in service
with the ARRL. (ARRL Letter)

*****

Break 1

This is Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world, including the W3UU Repeater serving Harrisburg Pennsylvania.

(5 sec pause here)

*****

RESTRUCTURING BACKLASH: FIRST REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED

A small group of hams who are obviously unhappy with the FCC's Report and Order to restructure the United States Amateur Radio Service have has filed a petition for partial reconsideration. The three hams behind the fili
ng are identified as Alan J. Wormser, N5LF Frederick V. Adsit, NY2V Michael J. Dinelli, N9BOR.

Their twenty-one page petition essentially boils down to two basic complaints. First, that the FCC is "dumbing down" the Amateur Service by not concentrating on the technical aspect of the hobby. Second, they claim that
fast code should be retained for the Extra Class license.

Regarding the dumbing down charge, the three petitioners say that the total number of questions in the testing pools is going to be reduced. As a result the proportion of questions related to technical matters will be re
duced in favor of questions about rules and operating procedures.

As for the code, they press the importance of CW as an essential skill. They say that the 5 word per minute General class exam is adequate accommodation for people with disabilities. Under their proposal, there would be
no Morse code waiver whatever for the Extra Class license.

The Wormser Adsit, and Dinelli petition may be found on the WWW at http://www.qsl.net/n5lf/.

> For Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak WA6ITF in Los Angeles. Fred. (Handi-Hams Newsletter, others)

*****

RESTRUCTURING: DON'T COMPLAIN TO RILEY

If you have questions, comments or complaints about the restructuring of Amateur Radio, please do not address them to FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio, Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH. He can't help you because his depar
tment had nothing to do with writing the document and is not in a position to comment on it:

Hollingsworth: 'I've been getting a lot of questions about restructuring. I want to emphasize that issue is a Wireless Telecommunications Bureau matter. You recall that they handle policy and rule-making regarding the
Amateur Service as well as licensing. By agreement, we in the Enforcement Bureau just handle the enforcement aspect, so as much as I might like to it isn't appropriate for me to answer questions or comment on the restruc
turing.'

And what will restructuring mean for his department? Hollingsworth says that it will not greatly impact on enforcing the rules:

Hollingsworth: ' Enforcement will continue unabated. There is nothing in the restructuring item that causes me any concern at all from an enforcement standpoint.

Basically, for any program to work it has to have two things. One is a basic set of good rules and -- two -- the willingness and resources to enforce them. We have both of those for this Amateur Radio program, so I am n
ot worried about that at all.'

Hollingsworth indicates that as far as enforcing the rules, nothing will change. (RAIN)

*****

ENFORCEMENT: CLEMSON SC EXAM SESSION INVESTIGATION UPDATE

The FCC has upped the stakes in its investigation of an Amateur Radio exam session held in Clemson South Carolina on July 14 th 1999. This as several people say that they were not at the session and have no idea how thei
r signatures got on a manifest of candidates whom the V-E's claim had successfully past their Amateur Radio exams. Newsline's Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reports from Miami:

The latest revelations come in January 13th letters from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Riley Hollingsworth to two William J. Browning of Pendleton and Eugene D. Watring of Iva South Carolina. According to Hollingsworth, both served as Volunteer Examiners and managers for various exam elements claimed to have been administered for the July 14th 1999 session. Now, both are now being asked to explain
how signatures purported to be from several people who were not at the session got on the manifest document.

Specifically, in relation to Watring, the FCC says that it has confirmation from a Mr. Grady P. Robinson that states that he was not present at the session. Also, that he did not sign any documents relating to it.

In relation to Browning, the FCC says that both Mr. Eugene D. Matring and Mauriece D. Martin have filed statements with the Commission certifying that they were not present at the session and did not sign the manifest.

Hollingsworth has mailed both V-E's copies of the manifest and Form 610's from the session. He has given them twenty days to explain in detail the apparent discrepancies. Hollingswoth adds that Title 18, Section 1001 of
the United States Code provides for criminal penalties.

But thats not all. Hollingsworth's letter to Browning says that the agency has received allegations that Browning has -- and we quote -- "physically threatened one or more of the Volunteer Examiners whom your documents i
ndicate participated in the July 14, 1999 session at
Clemson." -- end quote.

Hollingsworth cautions Browning that if such allegations are true, this information will be turned over to law enforcement authorities, including the United States Attorney, in Brownings jurisdiction.

> From Miami, I'm Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reporting for Newsline.

The audit and investigation of this session is expected to continue for some time. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: ALLEGED Y2K JAMMING BRINGS WARNING FROM FCC

Still with enforcement news, the FCC has warned Michael D. Adams, AE4FB, that it believes that he was the one who deliberately interfered with a an Amateur Radio Emergency Service Y-2-K Standby Net operating the evening
December 31st 1999 on 3.878 MHz. The complaint alleges that the Doraville Georgia ham caused deliberate interference to net operations.

Adams has been given 20 days from the date of the notification letter to respond to the complaint. The FCC says that Adams response will be used to determine what further action, if any, will be taken in the case. (FCC)

****

ARRL: PRB-1 FOLLOW-UP

As reported last week the American Radio Relay League is asking the FCC to reconsider its denial of a League request to expand the scope of PRB-1. Rick Lindquist, N1RL, of the ARRL Audio News, has more:

The ARRL wants the FCC to declare that its limited federal preemption policy
known as PRB-1 applies to amateurs who live in areas governed by deed restrictions, covenants, CC&Rs, or condominium regulations just as it does
to hams regulated solely by local zoning laws. In a Petition for Reconsideration, the League has formally asked the FCC to rethink its November dismissal of a 1996 ARRL Petition for Rule Making. That petition
called on the Commission to expand and clarify PRB-1.

In November, the FCC said PRB-1 excludes restrictive covenants in private
contracts as "outside the reach of our limited preemption," although it strongly encouraged associations of homeowners and private contracting parties to "follow the principle of reasonable accommodation" with respect
to Amateur Radio. But the FCC drew the line at proposing specific rule changes to bring private restrictive covenants under the umbrella of PRB-1.

In asking the FCC to rethink its November Order, the League said the FCC's
disclaimer "is no longer a valid premise" and no longer accurately reflects
FCC jurisdiction over private land use regulations. Since the advent of PRB-1 in 1985, the ARRL pointed out, the FCC has made it clear that it has

Congressional authority to prohibit restrictive covenants that could keep
property owners and even renters from installing antennas to receive TV,
satellite and similar signals. The League asserts the same principle applies
to Amateur Radio, in which the FCC has said it has a "strong federal interest."

The League called on the FCC to clarify that PRB-1 applies to private land
use regulations, leaving hams free to negotiate reasonable accommodation
provisions with local homeowners' associations just as they do now with
governmental land use regulators.

The League also asked the FCC to provide some relief from "prohibitive and
excessive fees" that localities might impose on amateurs for permits and
fees. The ARRL said that such costs can be "the functional equivalent of a
prohibition of amateur communications" and asked the FCC to state that
"excessive costs associated with land use approvals fail the 'reasonable
accommodation' and 'minimum practicable restriction' tests of PRB-1."

A copy of the ARRL's Petition for Reconsideration is available at
http://www.arrl.org/announce/regulatory/prb-1/prb1-recon.pdf

>I�m Rick Lindquist, N1RL.

The FCC is expected to respond sometime in the next few months. (ARRL)

*****

Break 2

This is Newsline, with links to the world at www.arnewsline.org

(5 sec pause here)

*****

ARRL: LEAGUE BUYS COMMUNICATIONS QUARTERLY MAGAZINE

The ARRL has bought Communications Quarterly magazine from CQ
Communications and will merge the publication with its technical
journal Q-E-X. The change becomes effective for subscribers starting
with the March/April issu
e of Q-E-X. The new combined publication initially will serve a joint
readership of more than 7000.

The League says that a subscription to the new, combined Q-E-X and Communications Quarterly magazine is priced at $34 but will be discounted to $22 for ARRL members. Please direct subscription questions to Circulation De
partment at league headquarters, 225 Main Street, Newington Connecticut 06111 or by e-mail to circulation@arrl.org. (ARRL)

**

PUBLIC SERVICE: HAMS NEEDED FOR LUNG ASSOCIATION RIDE

Mark Ewert, KB1ECH, tells Newsline that he is working with the American Lung Association to assist in recruiting ham radio communicators. This, for the charity's Big Ride Across America 2000.

Big Ride Across America 2000 is a thirty-five hundred mile bicycle ride from Seattle Washington to Washington, D. C.. It begins on June 19 th and is slated to arrive in the nations capital on August 5 th.

Mark says that in 1999, Radio Amateurs were used as communications personnel for the first time. He tells Newsline that ham radio communications proved to be very effective means of communications, particularly in the mo
re remote areas of the country. Because of this, Amateur Radio has again been asked to take part.

Mark says that hams interested in volunteering can either go the whole distance or work only a segment of the ride. For more information take your web browser to www.bigride.com. To volunteer or obtain more information
about volunteer responsibilities, please contact toll free at 1-877-B-I-G-R-I-D-E. In numbers thats 1-877-244-7433 (KB1ECH)

**

SPACE: MIR MAY HAVE HAM RADIO AGAIN

If cosmonauts do return to the Mir space station in March, hams wonder if they will put the ham radio station back on the air. While nobody really knows for certain, at least one Internet based rumor seems fairly certain
that ham radio will play a part in the mission.

The report says that right now all of the Amateur Radio experiments are currently disconnected but there is a tentative plan to have any new crew reactivate the Packet and S-S-T-V station. If they ever do come back on th
e air, any activity will be limited to FM Simplex on 145.985 MHz.

We must note that at airtime there is no independent confirmation of this report from any Russian government source. Also, putting the station back in service will require permission from the Russian Space Agency and lic
ensing of the crew by this nations department of Poste and Telecommunications. (AMSAT-BB)

**

VOLUNTEERISM: MORE FLY-IN VUCC CERTIFICATIONS

Pete Heins, N6ZE, says over the V-H-F Reflector that he will be available to perform VHF/UHF Century Club certification in Atlanta Georgia and Birmingham Alabama several times over the next few weeks. For those not aware
, Pete is a Boeing 767 captain who donates a lot of his free time during layovers to performing what can best be called fly-in VUCC certification

To save time, Pete does ask that all currently required forms from the Leagues website be downloaded and completely filled out before meeting with him. Also, you must be a current member of ARRL to even be eligible for t
he award.

If you want to take advantage of Pete's VUCC fly-in certification service please send e-mail to k1fjm@aol.com to arrange a time and date to meet. (N6ZE, VHF Reflector)

**

DX

Now with this weeks D-X report, here is Jeramy Boot, G4NJ H in Nottinham England:

YL operator Sarah will be active as KC4AAD from Antarctica for the next few weeks. QSL via K4MZU

Special events station 3Z8IMA is active on bands between 160 and 10 meters using CW, SSB, RTTY and AMTOR from Lublin Poland. He will be on though January 31st.

W4ZYV will be active as T34DA from East Kiribati until January 25th. QSL as directed on the air.

> .Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

Thanks Jeramy. (G4NJH)

**

LAST BUT NOT LEAST: WEB ADDICTED OR HAM RADIO ADDICTED?

And finally this week, many hams have husbands or wives who complain bitterly that their spouses are addicted to the radio. Now they may have a new complaint. Web addiction!! Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, has more:

The Los Angeles Times reports that a recent study of Internet surfers found that nearly 6% or about 11.4 million people are addicted to the Internet in some way or other.

Using a questionnaire posted on ABC News' Web site, researcher David Greenfield analyzed the responses of nearly 17,000 web surfers using criteria similar to that used to classify gambling addicts. Respondents who answer
ed 'yes' to five of the 10 questions were named addicts.

According to the study, marriages are being disrupted, kids are getting into trouble and people are spending too much money via the Internet. Which leads us to wonder what researcher Greenfield would find if he performed
a similar survey with radio addicted hams?

> From Birmingham Alabama, I'm David Black, KB4KCH, reporting for Newsline.

Guess we will never know the answer to that one. Will we? And maybe its better that way. (Newsline from published reports)

**

CLOSE

With thanks to the ARRL, the FCC, The Ohio Penn D-X Bulletin, the Radio Society of Great Britain and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline. Our webpage is at www dot a r newsline dot org and our
e-mail address is newsline at a r newsline dot o-r-g. Also, the address for the Newsline support Fund is Newsline, in care of Andy Jarema, N-6-T-C-Q, P.O.Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF at the editor's desk, this is _____ saying welcome to ham radio in the 21st century, 73 and we thank you for listening.

Newsline is copyright 2000. All rights are reserved.

3033. What are the HF bands like nowadays? (off for 20yrs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ec76$5k2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120642

For some reason the radio bug is starting to bite again. What are the various bands like now? I mean in terms of DX action, what's hot and what's not?

I was last active in 1980 (contesting and DXing)and I imagine that the Internet has drained a lot of young talent away.

Anyone worked DXCC on Internet chat?

Just curious about what 80/40/20/15/10 are like (and aren't there some "new" bands like 30m??)

I feel like I've just thawed out of an ice block...

Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3034. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388a9e80.11007928@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 21:56:40 -0800, The Landshark
<lndshark@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> Now Now John, It's not 250 miles, more around,
>110 to 145, depending on local in your neighborhood.
>You should know me better by now, driving for me is
>a pleasure, not a task for most people.
> Porky Huh, sounds like a great reason to go up
>there and try my new recipe for pork rinds.
>
> As far as the set up, I said before, more powerful
>than when you left town.
>
>Channel 1, I WON, No ifs, ands, or BUTTS about it.
>I'm working on 17 now, but the good things about
>that channel, there's always some trucker coming
>through town to pick on.
>
> The Landshark
>--
>"Ah it's a Buell-tiful day for a perfect ride"
>98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
>83 Honda 1100F
>99 Ford F150 Supercab

Yeah know, I been waiting for a long time for someone to squish this guy. He thinks his Ford Dually and a 4 pill box is the best out here.

He used to live in Palo Alto, but he is a white guy that reminds me of someone that should have a Galaxy Saturn strapped on to his John Deere tractor.

Steve Michaelson was in this area a couple years ago and got a whole shitty thing stirred up up here. But fortunately that loser was only passing through.

Heh. Even your good buddy "Noggie" was up here for a while tripping off of all these shit starters up here.

I have a load of a time laughing at all the crap up here!

Be advised, It is a long trip up here. If you do decide to come up this way, Bring Mikey with ya...

Cya Later!
-Cobra-

3035. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86edor$7ke$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David, yours is one of the more interesting and enlightened comments on this news group. For a start I am English (or Brit call me what you like) I spent 5 months living and working in Colorado last October. Whilst there I had my own Radio chat show slot on American Freedom Network (KHNC out of Johnstown) I used to have a 2 hour show every Saturday. AFN is only a small network and as such I used to have to do my own research for my show, the long and the short of all this is that some of the stuff I learned and uncovered would make your hair fall out.

You DONOT live in a free country anymore, and neither do I in England. If you think I am some kind of nut then I am sorry ,but I have to tell you that some of the stuff I aired and some of the interviews I held with prominent and well informed people lead me into hot water so much so that the FEDS paid me more than one visit, I was about to be held on some jumped up charge about being anti American. Nothing could be further from reality, I love your country and the American people, I am very sad that they have let themselves be hood winked by nothing but a group of Communists (Bill Clinton and the Democrats) Anybody who belongs to the Council on Foreign Relations CFR, The Trilateral Commission, The Bildaberger Group, Who is a 33rd degree Mason, and a member of the illuminati (yes I am talking about your beloved President Mr Clinton the antichrist) has to be more than a little suspect. Just look at some of the Executive Orders he has signed, ask yourselves why he would he want to get into bed with the Chinese, who now control both ends of the Panama Canal. Ask your self what is the real function of FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) and why there is over 160 EMPTY prison camps that will hold up to 5,000 people each dotted all around your country. Ask yourself why the IRS has decided to spend $ 100 million of your money arming themselves to the teeth. Mr Clinton does not give a rat's ass about America or the American people, he is a Globalist, he is a ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT man along with his partners in crime Tony Blair and all the other cronies around the World. Make no mistake when he leaves office his next move will be to the top job at the United Nations, if he gets it then it will really hit the FAN in a very big way and its goodnight America and the rest of the World you will have kissed your ass and sovereignty goodbye. By now you are all thinking that I am definitely a raving nutcase, all I can say is watch this space. By the way I left America before they the FEDS that is had the chance to put me in leg irons and lock me up in the Marion Prison.

Regards
In article <386ACB14.9D6C2567@ix.netcom.com>,
David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> The jack-booted thugs

> continue to build the murderous police state,
> while the american sheeple graze and bleet.
> Wonder how long before owning a BC-611 means jail time?
>
> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS
> Date: Tue, 28 Dec 1999 15:04:45 -0600
>
> See: http://www.2600.com/news/1999/1218.html
>
> FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS
> 12/18/99
> 2600 has learned that several small electronic hobbyist
> vendors were recently raided by federal agents
> brandishing semiautomatic weapons.
> News of these raids has been kept out of the media
> and many of those involved fear retribution
> if they openly discuss the case.
>
> Until now, these companies had operated for years
> selling harmless educational electronic kits
> (like wireless microphones) to students, hobbyists,
> ham radio operators, and hackers.
> Now their inventories are being seized at gunpoint
> and their owners could be facing bankruptcy and prison time.
>
> The U.S. Dept. of Justice is apparently re-interpreting federal
> wiretapping and smuggling laws to include
> small hobby radio transmitters.
> Even possessing so-called "Mr. Microphone" type toys
> can now put hobbyists at risk of looking down the barrel
> of a federal agent's loaded gun, a felony conviction,
> federal prison time, loss of property,
> and legal fees into the tens of thousands of dollars.
>
> Ramsey Electronics and Super Circuits are just two
> of the companies recently raided at gunpoint.
> Both have good and longstanding reputations for selling
> inexpensive, quality educational kits and components
> to electronics hobbyists for many years through ads
> in electronic hobby magazines and their websites.
> A high-ranking Justice Department official
> told one of the business owners the orders for these raids
> are politically motivated and originated from a
> very high level in the Clinton administration
> as a result of the Linda Tripp wiretapping case.
> "They just need convictions," the official said.
>
> Earlier this year the Justice Dept. indicted Bill Cheek,
> the well-known and loved author of books on radio scanner

> modifications and Monitoring Times column writer.
> Bill was selling very simple "data-slicer" circuits
> (made from parts available at any Radio Shack)
> that could be used with a PC and a scanner to monitor
> radio data transmissions--including unencrypted
> police mobile data terminal (MDT) transmissions.
> Even after Bill had been diagnosed with
> terminal cancer, the Federal government refused
> to back off, even issuing a summons to court
> on the same day he was to begin chemotherapy.
>
> In 1995 2600's BernieS was locked up
> in five different maximum-security prisons
> over 14 months by the Secret Service
> for possessing his laptop computer, his software
> and ordinary electronic parts available at Radio Shack.
> He had been distributing parts, software, books
> and pamphlets about cellular and coin telephones.
> The Justice Department's final investigation report stated
> "There were no victims in the offense."
>
> This is a clear pattern of harassment
> and abuse of power by our Federal government
> against people teaching others about electronic
> communications. It appears our government doesn't want
> people to know this information.
> 2600 strongly feels this knowledge is important
> for people to have, and will continue spreading
> it at every opportunity.
> Write and call your legislators to
> complain about this injustice.
> You could be next!
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3036. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Cifelli <ecifelli@cybertrails.com>
Message-ID: <w5zi4.545$H6.12854@mick.cybertrails.com>
Source record: 120645

The QRZ.com website has a 1993 database. This may have the info you are
looking for. 93 was the 1st yr they came out, according to them.
Good Luck

--
Ed Cifelli
Concho AZ
KC7MWP
"There is nothing more consistent than change."

Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:dnpi4.688$Xn1.20488@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Ben Klausner <spam_sink@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:388a1bf6.1463244@news.earthlink.net...
> > Howw do I prove I have a pre-1987 Tech license under the new FCC
licensing
> > plan? Is there a source for old Callbooks or the like?
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
>
>
> Well, as much as I hate to say it, I found a 1987 callbook on E-Bay. You
> might check around the for sale groups and online auctions for a used one.
> I'm not aware of any source to purchase old callbooks other than used, and
> the cd callbook guys do not go back far enough...
>
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>
>

3037. AOL and Doc: Freedom of Contract

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4715-388AB7EB-5@storefull-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120646

Respected hams, has it occurred to anyone, freedom of contract is at stake here? No one, other than the parties themselves, has privity to that contract. Each party has the inviolate right to act as desired, or not to act. No explanation to any audience is required.

Moreover, for us third parties, acting so as to come between the parties in privity moves into interference with contractual relations, a civil cause of action. Speculating about it is an exercise in futility, because ordinarily reasons are multi-faceted. And unless we get full disclosures from the parties, we are merely conjecturing about meaningless minutiae.

In my view, taking it into personal attacks on the posters is further flawed. Another tempest in a teapot!

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3038. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: georgedean <georgedean@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86enoovst1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dear Floyd QST

I would like to thank you for writing this article about how restructuring is a good thing. I have been a ham radio operator for about seven years and I was getting to the point of quiting the hobby because the lack of accessibility to gain privileges on the HF spectrum due to the code requirements. About six months ago I decided to give it one last shot when it comes to the 13 words per minute code. To my surprise I did achieve 13 words per minute and became a general amateur radio operator about two months ago.

When I heard about the restructuring of the licenses my first reaction was to be very mad. How dare does the FCC changed requirements after all my hard work. Then I started to think back to that seven years that I was frustrated about the code and how just about every month or two I was swaying back and forth on if I was going to sell my radios and settle for the Internet.

But then I realized that everything you said in your article was true. If we do not entice new members to join the ranks of amateur radio and build up our numbers we will surely be extinct in about 20 years. The older hams or as you said the old farts only have one selfish idea in mind. They believe that only they deserve to be up on the H. F. spectrum unless you pass all of their requirements. When you ask one of these older hams about the future of ham radio I generally get this reply back and I quote"why should I care I will probably be dead by then". With attitudes like these we are surely in trouble if we do not get fresh blood into our hobby.

There is one thing that the FCC needs to do. They now have recognize that Morse code is no longer a major requirement when advancing our licenses in his hobby because of that I also believe the FCC should shorten the spectrum of frequencies used for Morse code. For the amount of activity which is very little in the cw range it could be better utilized for voice and digital transmissions. The reason I say this is we should be giving "the new generation of ham radio operators" more spectrum to enjoy voice communications instead of just making the frequencies very crowded and impossible to use due to the ham radio population explosion that we will see after April 15th.

Well thats about it for me and once again I thank you for the truth that is written in your article.

Sincerely George

KB0MAI
Read article at http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?inc=column_000117

3039. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gil Baron W0MN <gbaron@usa.net>
Message-ID: <odCi4.1337$Rc7.32393@news.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 120648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Boy, did you open a can of worms here. As a person that uses code a lot for fun and currently am around 30 WPM I still must agree with you. It is ludicrous to see all that spectrum going to WASTE. MUCH more is needed for voice AND for digital modes. With these modes CW is a and should be dead as a need. For many it is a fun skill but not a requirement. If people are mad, let them add a more relevant requirement.

"georgedean" <georgedean@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:86enoovst1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Dear Floyd QST
>
> I would like to thank you for writing this article about how restructuring
> is a good thing. I have been a ham radio operator for about seven years and
> I was getting to the point of quiting the hobby because the lack of
> accessibility to gain privileges on the HF spectrum due to the code
> requirements. About six months ago I decided to give it one last shot when
> it comes to the 13 words per minute code. To my surprise I did achieve 13
> words per minute and became a general amateur radio operator about two
> months ago.
>
> When I heard about the restructuring of the licenses my first reaction was
> to be very mad. How dare does the FCC changed requirements after all my
> hard work. Then I started to think back to that seven years that I was
> frustrated about the code and how just about every month or two I was
> swaying back and forth on if I was going to sell my radios and settle for
> the Internet.
>
> But then I realized that everything you said in your article was true. If
> we do not entice new members to join the ranks of amateur radio and build up
> our numbers we will surely be extinct in about 20 years. The older

hams or
> as you said the old farts only have one selfish idea in mind. They
believe
> that only they deserve to be up on the H. F. spectrum unless you
pass all of
> their requirements. When you ask one of these older hams about the
future
> of ham radio I generally get this reply back and I quote"why should
I care I
> will probably be dead by then". With attitudes like these we are
surely in
> trouble if we do not get fresh blood into our hobby.
>
> There is one thing that the FCC needs to do. They now have recognize
that
> Morse code is no longer a major requirement when advancing our
licenses in
> his hobby because of that I also believe the FCC should shorten the
spectrum
> of frequencies used for Morse code. For the amount of activity
which is
> very little in the cw range it could be better utilized for voice
and
> digital transmissions. The reason I say this is we should be giving
"the
> new generation of ham radio operators" more spectrum to enjoy voice
> communications instead of just making the frequencies very crowded
and
> impossible to use due to the ham radio population explosion that we
will see
> after April 15th.
>
> Well thats about it for me and once again I thank you for the truth
that is
> written in your article.
>
> Sincerely George
>
> KB0MAI
> Read article at http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?inc=column_000117
>
>
>
>

3040. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <388AE5A9.4D11@imt.net>
Source record: 120649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

This ain't CB fool!

dentronman wrote:
>
> if you dont like the hobby then stay on cb seems like you sure love it .
> youre on here all the time
> AB7RS wrote in message <3889F7A3.26E@imt.net>...

3041. re: james obrien without prejudice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <388B1607.11B10255@interlog.com>
Source record: 120650

this post is intended for any individual who has recieved either verbal
or written threats of bodliy harm of inaccurate facts either verbally or
written, by the above listed individual .

please forward said info or phone number to my e-mail address at
interlog email address as follows= grahmd@interlog.com or via phone
at 905-836-0052

graham dyer

3042. Shortwave/Scanner/Radio Bookstore OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <S3Di4.646$oX4.102926@news.flash.net>
Source record: 120651

If you are interested in Scanner Books, SWL Books, CB Books please check out our new website http://www.topsecretbooks.com

We now have many scanner frequency guides as well as books on scanner repair and modification.

We have many CB books on Mods, Amps, and other Secrets which will blow your socks off!

Also a well stocked assortmant of books for every ShortWave and Utility Listener!

The owners of RadiosOnLine have created an online bookstore for the Radio Hobbiest!

Thanks,
George
http://www.topsecretbooks.com

--

Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
For The Greatest Source of Infomation & Software
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/auctionpak1.htm <--

3043. Re: Is spelling important in CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Potter <no@spam.com>
Message-ID: <388AFE16.8EB8A70@spam.com>
Source record: 120652

Chip wrote:

>
>
> Does pot, kettle, black ring a bell ?

DING DONG, dammit, DING DONG..... ;-)

3044. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Potter <no@spam.com>
Message-ID: <388B011B.E8239951@spam.com>
Source record: 120653

CompCnsult wrote:

> Those of us interested in the more technical aspect of the hobby should not be
> held back because of the code requirement.

I've heard that bogus argument made over and over by those of you who never wanted to bother learning code.

It falls flat on its face with the insinuation that lack of enough incentive to increase one's code proficiency is somehow mysteriously linked to one's ability to read about and learn more theory. Exactly how does the lack of a higher class ham ticket prevent anyone from learning more about "the more technical aspect of the hobby"?

I'm missing something.

3045. Re: need info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Cummings <kg7il@foxinternet.net>
Message-ID: <388B0825.8246BF46@foxinternet.net>
Source record: 120654
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Check out Ham Radio Outlet. They have a page in their catalog (.pdf download)
that has quite a few mounts.
Glenn, KG7IL in Renton

Adam Lind wrote:

> Hello everybody. I am in need of a mounting system for the radios in my car.
> It dosen't matter to me if it is a console or mounting bracket, so long as
> there is room for my rigs. I need to know where to go to get one, or who
> makes these. Thanks in advance.
> Adam
> chip1@theramp.net

3046. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86f7pa$nd8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

In article <S7xi4.1162$Kf2.8652@news.swbell.net>,
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

> 20-30mins/day, dood... Skip a tv-show

I don't watch TV except the wx channel before I start work in the morning. I work outside so its kind of important.

> Get up a half-hr early

I'm already up at 4:30. You get up half an hour earlier.

> Stay in the office during lunch-break

I'm a field Tech. I eat in the truck on the way to the next site if I eat at all. I visit the office on Monday morning to see my e-mail and file my travel vouchers.

> Come up with some other excuse, iow.. ;)

Why are you liberals always trying to decide what someone else should do with THEIR time and THEIR life? I've got enough problems without you inventing more for me.

Now here are my demands of you.

1. Join the Republican Party. Actively support your local Republican candidates.

2. Get up half an hour early and telephone friends, neighbors, and talk shows and tell them about how the Republicans want to get government out of their pockets, homes, and lives.

3. Spend 30 minutes of your your lunch hour handing out Republican Party brochures and encouraging people to register and vote.

After you have done all three, you will be allowed to post on rra.policy using your real name and callsign.

73, Brian

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3047. SEITS web pae

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Anderson <johnandeNOjoSPAM@interl.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <0a7653bd.15fda2d0@usw-ex0101-004.remarq.com>
Source record: 120656

Has anyone been able to access the Seits website lately?

http://www.seits.org/ or it's parent site http://www.qcaccess.com/

I have been unable to even raise the webmaster muldoon@lisco.com
by e-mail or get a response on the Seits repeator system in Iowa.

The parent site must have lost it's computer, I guess!

John Anderson K0BKL
West Point, Iowa

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3048. Re: make money for doing nothin! 79298

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerd Henjes <ghenjes1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yJEi4.8446$U5.413942@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 120657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please forward quickly to Rich Whiten at rich7@my-deja.com

<sdfsd@fdfs.com> wrote in message news:220100014944@fdfs.com...
> Everyone likes making easy money. This place pays you 50 cents for every
hour you browse the web!!!
> Get even more if you refer people! I just got a check for $36.00!!!
>
> Sign up here:
> http://www.alladvantage.com/go.asp?refid=IMY627
>
>
>
>
>
> H)-Q(0HB%<

3049. R5000 IF output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlquerton@airtel.net
Message-ID: <86f99t$oi2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120658

R5000 IF. Where on the IF board of Kenwood R5000 to pick up the 8.83 Mhz
IF signal ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3050. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerd Henjes <ghenjes1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UQEi4.8448$U5.414118@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 120659

Rich... maybe it has something to do with the volume and frequency of the
lies, you're king of the hill. gerd, w2isb

<rich7@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:855se2gkj1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <854n6m$js5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <854mql$jh1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > In article <850nk6$p9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > > Does anyone not find it, rather peculiar that Riley accepts
> supposed
> > > > incriminating evidence, from some of the worst Amateur Radio
> > > > criminals? Let's look at the following, he takes WA9KJI, off the
> > air
> > > > for 2 years, with bogus information supplied to him by, N2SAG,
> W3KE,
> > > > and known KKK member AA8DP, and when found out, had to reinstate
> > > > David. Obviously, he failed to learn from that mistake, now we
> > have 4
> > > > more yet to come. Next big mistake was NX9J, once again bogus
> > > > information supplied by the likes of KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM, all
> part
> > of
> > > > the KV4FZ clan. Once again, Riley had to rescind, and put Bill,
> > NX9J,
> > > > back on the air, when the true facts were made apparent. One
> would
> > > > think, that by now he would rely on his own monitoring stations.
> > Let's
> > > > not forget this one, KB4TEW, yes indeed, he again accepted bogus
> > > > information supplied by the likes of W4FQF, KT4TR, WA2EXQ, K3QAM,
> > and
> > > > others to get Jim, put off the air. And yes, you guessed it, he
> > had to
> > > > put him back on the air, you ask why, yup you guessed it, they
> duped
> > > > Riley again. Hard to believe? Let's talk about me, and the E-
> mail,
> > > > that I never sent to Riley, which removed me from the Database.
> > > > Gettysburg, requires, a registered and notarized letter, and your
> > > > license enclosed, which never took place. Now let me bring you up
> > to
> > > > date with what transpired last week, with N9LAT. Once again,

> > untrue,
> > > > unsubstantiated information supplied to Hollingsworth by W2KP and
> > > > others which would have required the gentleman to retest. Riley
> > > > Hollingsworth sent an apology by virtue of the ARRL Web Extra.
> One
> > > > thing I have learned about Amateur Radio, all Hams lie, whether
> it's
> > > > how high your antennae is, or how many countries you just worked
> in
> > a
> > > > contest. If it is in fact true, Riley's claim of how great the
> FCC
> > DF
> > > > system is, why not use it? It's much better to let a guilty party
> > go
> > > > free, than prosecute an innocent one. A LIE, IS A LIE, IT MATTERS
> > NOT
> > > > WHO TELLS IT. Rich Whiten
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Richard, you are obviously extremely confused about the facts in
> > these cases
> > > that you have referenced. I was listening yesterday when Tom,
> WB3HGW,
> > was
> > > making the claim that he had been in contact with you and that you
> > were going
> > > to be back on the air soon. It really doesn't matter if you are
> > allowed to
> > > return to amateur radio or not, because the entire ham community is
> > cognizant
> > > of your agenda and activity on ham radio. Tom also stated that you
> > were
> > > treated unlawfully by Mr. Hollingsworth and that you will be setting
> > the
> > > record straight. Why don't you get one of those so-called family
> > attorneys
> > > and challenge the system ??? Get off of your porcine ass and make a
> > statement
> > > in the courtroom and see where you stand. You can ask for a hearing
> > before
> > > the ALJ and your case will be settled. Tom McMillan stated yesterday
> > that Mr.
> > > Hollingsworth had been sent to Gettysburg as a punishment for
> > something, this
> > > is utter nonsense and Tom should realize that you have caused
> > problems for

> > > many people who are tainted by your lies and never ending BULLSHIT.
> > You also
> > > stated that all of the above mentioned individuals had been dealt a
> > raw deal
> > > and that Riley had to correct his so called errors. Utter nonsense,
> > you
> > > should research the facts before you make such unfounded statements.
> > NX9J,
> > > KE4TEW. WA9KJI, were caught doing exactly what they were warned not
> > to do. I
> > > was listening when KJI inserted his foot in his mouth and continued
> > his
> > > nightly platform of jamming the amateur bands. As for KE4TEW, just
> > recently
> > > he was running mobile and his signal had a definite problem with a
> > heater fan
> > > or some other electrical feedback to the audio, which made his
> signal
> > very
> > > unique. As the idiot traveled north and used the callsign of W4LLI
> > it was
> > > extremely noticeable and was a dead giveaway . Get the facts, report
> > the
> > > truth, be accountable. GET A JOB AND QUIT LIVING OFF YOUR WIFE !!!!
> > > Remember, I still have more information that I have never divulged,
> > but I
> > > will !!!!!!!
> > >.>>>>>>>>>> SOUNDS LIKE BIG TALK FROM A LIQUOR HEAD!<<<<<<<
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> >
> > Boob Fucknutz, wannbe detective.
> > Why is it you always run mobile? Did your "wife" finally put her foot
> > down on your BS over the air?
> >>>>>>> I UNDERSTAND, THE WIFE GET'S AT LEAST HALF IN FLORIDA, COULD
> THAT BE THE REASON HE TOOK HIS TOWER DOWN?<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> > 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
> > Roger
> > Yaesu FT-920
> > Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3051. Re: "Big Al" to return to 3894.5 tonite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <n6Fi4.2687$VD4.56339@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 120660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
lioyd your a JERK an so is BIG AL
Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:x1ni4.17884$wk.250814@news1.mia...
> This will be better than the Liberty Net or Brother Stair, David J. Smith
> tonite. K4OKA will return to us from a "vacartion" - SWL's 12:01am
EST
> tonite - Be there!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>
```

3052. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86faohpds1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120661

In article <388a6c5f.17363661@news.idsi.net>,
Tom@astro.net wrote:
> On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 13:39:48 -0600, "Hamilton M. Bryant, II."
> <hm-bryant@raytheon.com> wrote:
>
> >This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
> >--------------521310D40E1337F5D8EC899E
> >Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> >Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >
> >Farnsworth, I know I learned in regular, got to test and couldn't
copy a
> >thing.
> >Ham KM5IS
>
> Same here, I took my 13wpm test last April and failed because of the
> way they do it. It's not true 13 like I practiced. I was copying GREAT
> at home but once the test started within seconds I knew I was screwed.
> I think it's BS the way it's done. So did the other 3 guys taking the
> test. I should have passed the damn thing. I did pass the written.
> There would of been at least 3 new generals that day if it was done
> RIGHT. I busted my ass for 2 years to get there only to have that kind
> of nonsense pulled. And it doesn't matter if they put a half hour
> between characters, it was still coming out at 18wpm which is a LOT
> different than 13.
>
> I continue to practice but I'll be damned if I'll take it again.
>
> Tom - KB2SMS
>
>
I am truely sorry you ran into that problem. And this is not meant to
start a fued. If a person would just turn on a HF radio, and copy it
off the air then he would become used to the sound of "REAL SENDING".
This will prepare you for ANYTHING you can get at a VE session.

Just a bit of advice.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3053. Re: What are the HF bands like nowadays? (off for 20yrs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86fb9mpql1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120662

In article <86ec76$5k2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com> wrote:
> For some reason the radio bug is starting to bite again. What are the
> various bands like now? I mean in terms of DX action, what's hot and
> what's not?
>
> I was last active in 1980 (contesting and DXing)and I imagine that the
> Internet has drained a lot of young talent away.
>
> Anyone worked DXCC on Internet chat?
>
> Just curious about what 80/40/20/15/10 are like (and aren't there
> some "new" bands like 30m??)
>
> I feel like I've just thawed out of an ice block...
>
> Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
We are heading for a solar maximum. However all indications seem to
show it will not be all that spectacular. The SFI is running close and
slightly above 200 these days.

You will find ten meters opening during daylight in good style most
days. Fifteen and 20 being the more reliable, of course.

The WARC bands basically follow suit. The activity on the WARC bands
is not too high. Which leads to good qso's of the rag chew variety.

Forty has been excellent to Europe and the Pacific with just basic
dipole's and about 700 watts. CW of course.

Thirty meters is rather sparcly occupied. We need more action there.

75 is 75, not much of a change in the last 50 years.

Welcome back. If you can stand the smart alec's on the bands you will
have fun.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3054. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: unknown <unknown@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <388B2D0F.14738B26@nospam.com>
Source record: 120663

If you're interested in eBay, I'd suggest you "lurk" on their site until
you get a good feel for how the system works. As many have said,
you can get bargains, and you can end up paying a lot more than an
item is worth (either because you didn't know what its "market" price
really was, or because you got caught in a bidding frenzy, or because
you assumed that the item had the best of tender loving care and
you assumed wrong).
I just saw a piece of used ham gear sell for more than HRO charges
for the same item brand-new-with-warranty-and-free-shipping.
Because eBay has such a huge audience, items that are attractive
and in high demand are likely to sell, used, for nearly their new price.
You may get good prices if you're looking to buy items that aren't
presently popular. Even the timing plays a big role. I bought a used
Modem thru eBay in mint condition (I already received it and it is mint)
for $15 two months ago. Last week, I saw the identical item on eBay,
and it had been bid up to $75 and the bidding still had over a day to
go before that items' auction ended.

One thought that I haven't seen mentioned in this thread yet. Many items
say that "... buyer pay actual shipping costs ...". You should email the
seller and ask how they intend to ship and what the costs are likely to be.
If the seller intends to use some independent package mailing service,
the charges can be a lot higher than you would imagine.
The mother-in-law sends Christmas presents every year to one of her
sons on the other side of the country, and had been using a
local "agent" for a nationwide package delivery service. Two years
ago, she asked me to send the package for her, and I took it
directly to UPS. Shipping was $18 - she was shocked that it was
so low, as she usually spend $40-$50 to ship the package. So, I
called the "agent" that she usually used, gave them the weight, and
was told the cost for them to ship UPS was $45.

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> I notice alot of posts about radios on "e-bay" I bought a radio there once.
> I hear people say that you can get a radio at a good deal and some tell me
> that you get ripped off too. Thoughts?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

3055. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23144-388B29B2-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120664

Rich Whiten DID NOT write this!!!

Rich Whiten CANNOT put two sentences together without a grammatical error. This is too well written. It HAS to be an imposter!

(Drew)

3056. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388b2af8.11008482@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120665

>> Same here, I took my 13wpm test last April and failed because of the
>> way they do it. It's not true 13 like I practiced. I was copying GREAT
>> at home but once the test started within seconds I knew I was screwed.
>> I think it's BS the way it's done. So did the other 3 guys taking the
>> test. I should have passed the damn thing. I did pass the written.
>> There would of been at least 3 new generals that day if it was done
>> RIGHT. I busted my ass for 2 years to get there only to have that kind
>> of nonsense pulled. And it doesn't matter if they put a half hour
>> between characters, it was still coming out at 18wpm which is a LOT
>> different than 13.
>>
>> I continue to practice but I'll be damned if I'll take it again.
>>
>> Tom - KB2SMS
>>
>>
>I am truely sorry you ran into that problem. And this is not meant to
>start a fued. If a person would just turn on a HF radio, and copy it
>off the air then he would become used to the sound of "REAL SENDING".
>This will prepare you for ANYTHING you can get at a VE session.
>
>Just a bit of advice.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
Only problem with that is that some dont have HF priviliges till they
pass the test, let alone the radio. I for one won't buy an HF rig
unless I have passed the test.

3057. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd1on@arrl.net
Message-ID: <388d3bf1.125901248@news.gte.net>
Source record: 120666

In <388b2af8.11008482@news.thegrid.net>,
cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote:
}>I am truely sorry you ran into that problem. And this is not meant to
}>start a fued. If a person would just turn on a HF radio, and copy it
}>off the air then he would become used to the sound of "REAL SENDING".
}>This will prepare you for ANYTHING you can get at a VE session.
}>
}Only problem with that is that some dont have HF priviliges till they
}pass the test, let alone the radio. I for one won't buy an HF rig
}unless I have passed the test.

He didn't say anything about sending on HF, just copying off the air. No HF
privileges are required for that.

I owned radios capable of use on all the bands I was interested in (VHF, HF)
before I had the privilege of using those bands. It worked out.

/J KD1ON/7

3058. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dfrandin@lvcm.com
Message-ID: <388b417c.61862355@news.lvcablemodem.com>
Source record: 120667

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 23:55:42 GMT, "Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org> wrote:

>I am an active VE. Under the current requirements, to prove a pre-1987
>Technician Class
>amateur radio license you need one of the two following:
>
>1. a copy of your Technicial Class license issued before the 1987 date
>2. a letter from the FCC stating you held a Technician class license before
>the 1987 date
>

Is there a mechanism to obtain such a letter from the FCC? or do they
even have such records? I've been licensed as a Tech since 1976 and
I certainly don't have any copies of my license from back then, nor
was there any indication of any need to keep such info prior to the
beginning of the no-code tech license... Somehow, I get the feeling
I'm screwed if I wish to upgrade...

Dave Frandin
K7DGF

3059. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: atatkd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000123133425.02734.00001945@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 120668

>Show some guts and take the 20 wpm code or you'll just be a Welfare
>Extra.
>

How do we tell them apart :p

Seriously...The only people who might feel upset is the Extra Class License Holders. I can tell you that change happens all around us my friend. Either your with it or not. For those who really cannot handle the new structure.... I'm looking for some radio equipment :}

Joe

3060. Re: Mackey vs. Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <JXHi4.6226$_G1.106056@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120669
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said, VERY well said!!

Glenn simply likes to cause trouble. Everyone who knows him knows that is
true.

MANY people remember a few years ago when he was caught trooling
for young boys to have encounters with on rec.radio.swap!! Obviously
his homosexual drive causes some of his probllems

"patton" <timberman@centric.net> wrote
> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
> OK, big-man whats YOUR excuse? mmm? why havent YOU stopped it. hmm?

3061. Re: "Big Al" to return to 3894.5 tonite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000123134445.02584.00003082@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 120670

Sounds like Rich better get his tape loop out again. You know, the one that repeats "You ever notice the jamming stops when Big Al Talks. K4OKA. Mister Jammer."

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

3062. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000123140403.02584.00003092@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 120671

In article <388A08E4.AE7144C5@inreach.com>, Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
writes:

>Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job, why
>doesnt the FCC raise the license fee's to cover costs for government
>observers/investigators?

More agents for the government and increasing the federal payroll. Just what
we DON'T need!

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

3063. Re: "Big Al" to return to 3894.5 tonite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000123140438.02584.00003093@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 120672

In article <86d299$4fo0$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>, "Donald Reeve" <don236@prodigy.net> writes:

>Other complaints to the FCC alleged that Abernethy had aired something >called the "Porkbutt Song,"

How much did Father John (KC3AU, the preacher on 14.313 from Pennsylvania) make in royalties off that song? Al must have played it at least 5,000 times before Riley finally paid a visit and had it destroyed.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

3064. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: spam_sink@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <388c50e3.1795782@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120673

On Sun, 23 Jan 2000 01:34:07 -0700, "Ed Cifelli"
<ecifelli@cybertrails.com> wrote:

>The QRZ.com website has a 1993 database. This may have the info you are
>looking for. 93 was the 1st yr they came out, according to them.
>Good Luck

Unfortunately, while they correctly list my current and original
callsigns, they only list the dates for the current renewal :(

~~~~~~
Ben Klausner, N5DJL | The universe is driven by a complex
Redmond, WA | interaction between three ingredients:
| Matter, energy, and enlightened self-interest.
~~~~~~

3065. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <86fjfl$4bu$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120674

At 5 wpm....if you can't pass it either way, you shouldn;t be taking the test. You should be able to pass a 5wpm.

The ARRL uses 13wpm character speed on the 5wpm.

LCC wrote in message <388A53D4.6454B2@aznet.net>...
>Innocent John!! wrote:
>>
>> The FCC requirement is for regular Morse Code.
>>
>
>Depends on what VEC the examiners belong to. Doesn't the ARRL use
>Fast Farnsworth?
>
>> "Michael Bruss" <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu> wrote
>> > When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
>> > regular?

3066. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s8mjmmfeh6101@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message
news:388a5e96.2458918@news.interactive.net...
> In the USA there is an increasing pressure from some
> sides to do exactly the same thing as is indicated
> in the Japanesse situation. The local daily
> newspaper recently ran an editiorial on the subject.
>
> While the target is cell phone users, you can bet that
> any ban will be far more inclusive than just cell phones
> if it happens.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Here in Allentown, PA, the City Council, recently voted down a
proposed ban on the use of cellphones while driving. Seems that
some folks realize that modern technology is here to stay and
offers benefits.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOItOipe+N6+q84HiEQKRfQCgqEqRNrPfGnVET6y3xj4MVzQ8fNoAoK3X
bScFJWM83LdA0C+y+upjbQpk
=k/Rj
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3067. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s8mk1f66eh6103@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120676

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Dave Potter" <no@spam.com> wrote in message
news:388B011B.E8239951@spam.com...
>
>
> CompCnsult wrote:
>
> > Those of us interested in the more technical aspect of the hobby should
not
be
> > held back because of the code requirement.
>
> I've heard that bogus argument made over and over by those of you who
never
wanted
> to bother learning code.
>
> It falls flat on its face with the insinuation that lack of enough
incentive
to
> increase one's code proficiency is somehow mysteriously linked to one's
ability to
> read about and learn more theory. Exactly how does the lack of a higher
class
ham
> ticket prevent anyone from learning more about "the more technical aspect
of
the
> hobby"?
>
> I'm missing something.

Clearly ...

The point is that, under the old Rules, the 20 wpm Morse test was a
significant DISincentive to many to even bother to learn the technical
material necessary to upgrade to Extra.

Under the new Rules, that DISincentive has been removed and I fully
expect that many, many more people will undertake to improve their
technical knowledge/skills in order to upgrade.

If you read the FCC's R&O (available under the "Articles" button at

http://www.nocode.org

you'll find that that (providing incentive/removing DISincentive to
improve one's technical knowledge/skills) was one of the FCC's
goals/justifications for elminiating high speed Morse testing.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- --
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- --
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOItP5Ze+N6+q84HiEQJkCwCfaFDBQXQ1PleWh8MkiZuZSGx04lwAoMpA
ZIIO41i3VXBo4sOvqfABaxSk
=xixM
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

3068. Graham Dyer, Confirmed HF Bootlegger and Usenet Forgerer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388B54FF.EFED5BC5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dear Mr.:

The Honourable John Manley has asked me to reply to your email of
December 17, 1999, concerning the operating privileges of a Canadian amateur

operator, Mr. Graham Dyer.

Industry Canada's publication entitled "Standards for the Operation
of Radio Stations in the Amateur Radio Service - (RIC 2)" sets out the
frequency bands within which Canadian amateurs may operate in accordance
with their qualifications. This document can be found on our Internet site
at :
http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01372e.html#RadiocommunicationsInformationCi

rculars.

Following verification of our records, I would like to inform you
that the data found on the RAC web site regarding Mr. Graham Dyer is
accurate. Mr. Dyer has the following qualifications: Basic Qualification
with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.).

Therefore, in accordance with the above mentioned document, a
Canadian amateur operator is allowed to operate below 30MHz as specified in
Schedule 1 of RIC 2.

Thank you for bringing your concerns to our attention.

Yours truly,

Jan Skora
Director General
Radiocommunications
and Broadcasting
Regulatory Branch

c.c. Hubert Pambrun
Ottawa District Office

By checking the pamphlet indicated, RIC 2, you will see that VA3GJB has no
privileges whatsoever on the twenty meter band. Only a basic endorsement
with 5 and 12 wpm can operate on twenty meters. This ignorant canuck has
only the 5 wpm endorsement. I discovered this after researching his multiple
usenet forgeries made under the name of Dave Tolassi, made from his Interlog
account. much like the libelous post that started this thread. So why, you
ask is VA3GJB operating on twenty meters when he does not have the liscense
to do so? Why has he libeled others on Usenet with posts forged under David
Tolassi's name? Well there are some wheels rolling on the Tolassi forgeries,

that's for sure. And Industry Canada welcomes all evidence of operation on the twenty meter phone band by Graham Dyer, VA3GJB. All operation on this band in the past and present by this lid are, according to Industry Canada, illegal. So let's pull together and get this bootlegging QRM'ing buddy of WB2OTK's off the twenty meter band. Please send all evidence, written and recorded to http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/engdoc/main.html. Points of contact are John Manley,Director, Jan Skora,Communications, and Hubert Pambrun, Ottawa District.

3069. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86fq1v$450$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <86enoovst1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>,
"georgedean" <georgedean@mindspring.com> wrote:

> There is one thing that the FCC needs to do. They now have recognize that
> Morse code is no longer a major requirement when advancing our licenses in
> his hobby because of that I also believe the FCC should shorten the spectrum
> of frequencies used for Morse code. For the amount of activity which is
> very little in the cw range it could be better utilized for voice and
> digital transmissions. The reason I say this is we should be giving "the
> new generation of ham radio operators" more spectrum to enjoy voice
> communications instead of just making the frequencies very crowded and
> impossible to use due to the ham radio population explosion that we will see
> after April 15th.
>
> Well thats about it for me and once again I thank you for the truth that is
> written in your article.
>
> Sincerely George
>
> KB0MAI

George, while it's good that you passed 13 wpm (you sucker) your ideas
are those of a ham who has not been very active.

I agree that the code portions of >>some<<, BUT NOT ALL, HF bands can be
shrunk, however you must not have an antenna. To imply that CW use is
that low is just stupidity. Get ears, please.

There are far more CW QSOs at any given second than digital QSOs and
most people dont want to be bothered with lame modes like RTTY anymore.
God, if they can't get out of WWII and use PSK31, why should those ops
get any more room than the many more CW ops on the air ?

> ham radio population explosion that we will see
> after April 15th.

George, you have the dreamy ideas of a newcomer. The only explosion will
be in welfare hams upgrading. The will be hardly any new hams.

There is no one worth getting licensed, no one who would be an asset, on
the outside looking in, wishing to get into this overpriced, space
consuming hobby.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3070. Re: Graham Dyer, Confirmed HF Bootlegger and Usenet Forgerer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86frfu$529$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120679

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote in message
<FLUSH!>

It's time to get YOU off of here _and_ amateur radio, FATASS
O' Brainless.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3071. Decoding CW/RTTY/ETC what to use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Klodt <llklodt@home.com>
Message-ID: <wUJi4.1888$Rc7.37659@news.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 120680

Greetings! I want to interface my computer to my radio, to decode CW, RTTY, weather satellites, etc. What do you all recommend? THanks much!

LARRY KC0HBB

3072. Re: Riley Hollingsworth>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86fpua$8j5$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120681

Wait a minute. KT4TrashRadio took down his tower? All he runs is a mobile rig? But then again he can foment as much ill will while on the road as at home. You say that Florida law grants a wife "halfies" which explains Boob's sudden change of operating M.O? I'll be expecting news about these situations from some very reliable sources.
AF2Y

In article <UQEi4.8448$U5.414118@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>, "Gerd Henjes" <ghenjes1@twcny.rr.com> wrote:

>> >
>> > Boob Fucknutz, wannbe detective.
>> > Why is it you always run mobile? Did your "wife" finally put her foot
>> > down on your BS over the air?
>> >>>>>>> I UNDERSTAND, THE WIFE GET'S AT LEAST HALF IN FLORIDA, COULD
>> THAT BE THE REASON HE TOOK HIS TOWER DOWN?<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>> > 73 de KC8JBO|EM99
>> > Roger
>> > Yaesu FT-920
>> > Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>> >
>> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> > Before you buy.

3073. TH-D7A software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Byodroid@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <86dj4elac1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120765

I need to find the software for this radio TH-D7A. I searched in kenwood site but is not there anymore.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3074. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <uBri4.5689$_G1.96494@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120766

The FCC requirement is for regular Morse Code.

"Michael Bruss" <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu> wrote
> When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> regular?

3075. Polly's pic on 14.313 web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86dl75moq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120767

No improvement on his appearance...he looks like a fat cadaver.
What's the deal with the smile on his face and the dog's smile..the dog looks like he's really "excited".....in fact, so does Polly from the expression on his face...
Apparently, Polly's branched out from birds to dogs....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3076. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwilliams2458@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86dmjcnjl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120768

In article <k%pi4.8212$U5.388813@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com> wrote:
> who is the jerk that's always saying "amen brother" an does not
> ID....???
>
> I HEARD MENTION THAT THE "AMEN BROTHER" IS ACTUALLY A DF MARKER
ASSIGNED BY FCC TO MARK FREAK FOR "FIXING" PURPOSE.
WHO KNOWS THOUGH, MAY BE SOMEONES "GOD"

YOU ARE CORRECT ABOUT THE "JERK"

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3077. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <388a543e.61974215@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The reason the average age of an Amateur Radio operator has risen as high as it has is because of the attitude of the Amateur Radio operators. Granted, not all share this attitude, but those that don't are the exception, rather than the rule. I for one have been trying to understand this attitude, but have failed. If there are any hams out there who would like to enlighten me, I will be more than happy to further my education. Thanks in advance, Bill.

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 19:22:25 GMT, dinod@deltanet.com (Dino) wrote:

>Nice post Mike! Good Luck!
>
>Being an Amateur for over 10-years, and having attained my license
>before the advent of no-code, and still enjoying all aspects of
>CB radio, I"M ON YOUR SIDE!
>
>Keep working hard and ask yourself WHY amateurs who HATE CB come
>here. They are not superior operators because of the license
>they hold. They are inferior humans by trying to talk down to the
>next guy based on their inadaquacies.
>
>Dino...K6RIX
>
>
>>So this is what I have to look forward to, huh? I'm sorry, but I resent the
>>hell out of your sentiment that every new ham is an "idiot" or a "CBer". I
>>am studying for my Technician's license (ready to take the test at the next
>>hamfest) and look forward to advancing in the amateur radio service, despite
>>the less than gracious welcome mat that you folks have laid out. The focus
>>of Amateur Radio is helping people through the understanding and application
>>of radio communications theory. From what I've seen, other than relaxing
>>the CW requirements somewhat, the FCC hasn't lowered the entrance
>>requirements to the point that it doesn't require hard work to attain the
>>various level licenses.
>>
>>I'm not meaning to single you two gentlemen out, I've been reading similar
>>posts for awhile now and decided it was time to speak up. There are some of
>>us who are just getting started in amateur radio that could use help and
>>encouragement from the more established hams. I understand your anger, but
>>you should be careful not to do more harm than good by callously tossing out
>>such comments. Dig out your ARRL Handbook and read the Amateur's Code,
>>based on this and similar recent threads we seem to have lost sight of
>>something important.

>>
>>Respectfully,
>>Mike
>>
>>
>>
>

3078. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86dov7$p4t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120770

In article <k%pi4.8212$U5.388813@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com> wrote:
> who is the jerk that's always saying "amen brother" an does not
> ID....???
>
>
That is the infamous "Captain Truth". He is allegedly in the vicinity
of Hickory N.C.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3079. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <W5ti4.5710$_G1.97881@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120771

I guess the VEC's can do what they want. But if you look
in the rules, the FCC's requirement is for standard Morse Code
NOT Farnsworth Code or Continental Code.

"LCC" <hass@aznet.net> wrote
> Innocent John!! wrote:
> > The FCC requirement is for regular Morse Code.
>
> Depends on what VEC the examiners belong to. Doesn't the ARRL use
> Fast Farnsworth?

3080. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <388a5e96.2458918@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In the USA there is an increasing pressure from some
sides to do exactly the same thing as is indicated
in the Japanesse situation. The local daily
newspaper recently ran an editiorial on the subject.

While the target is cell phone users, you can bet that
any ban will be far more inclusive than just cell phones
if it happens.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 18:25:19 -0500, KF2TI - Steve (Landing, NJ)
<kf2ti@interactive.net> wrote:

>Since the Japanese have been cited by NCI as being a model for no code
>HF, here's a little tidbit that the Japanese amateurs have to abide by as
>well. I am sure in keeping with their new and highly funny bylaws, the
>BoD of NCI should file comments with the FCC along the following lines
>
>The Japanese Road Traffic Act of 1999
>
>Please Concentrate On Driving!!
>An amendment to the Road Traffic Act has taken effect on November 1, 1999
>banning the use of hand held communication equipment (including amateur
>radio hand held transceivers) while driving. Also the Act says "should
>not look at car navigation systems (including "display" of amateur radio
>equipment) for a long period of time."
>
>(1) Prohibition of the use of the portable telephone while driving
>
>One of the new rules the driver must observe is that "he/she must not use
>the radio communication equipment e.g. portable telephone while driving.
>This "radio communication equipment" means the equipment which cannot
>transmit or receive a message without holding a part or a whole of the
>equipment in hand. This includes handy type transceiver which is held in
>hand when transmitting or receiving a message. On the other hand, this
>rule is not applied to the use of the hands free equipment for the
>amateur radio operation and the so-called mounted type transceiver for
>the mobile vehicle which is fixed to the car.
>
>(2) Prohibition of observation of the picture display equipment
>
>The next rule the driver must keep is that "he/she must not watch the

>picture on the picture display equipment e.g. car navigation system."
>This rule prohibits continued observation of pictures of the picture
>display equipment such as car navigation system and car television. It is
>permissible to use the car navigation system in the usual way, but it is
>prohibited to see the picture display equipment continuously while the
>car is running in order to operate the equipment for detection of a
>restaurant or a gas station or to input information for route leading to
>the destination. "The picture display equipment" in the above paragraph
>includes not only the car navigation system or the color television, but
>the liquid crystal display equipment frequently used for recent radio
>equipment which provide information such as radio frequency, SWR, ALT and
>RS. Therefore, while the car is running, it is against the rule to see
>the picture of the display equipment continuously to change frequency or
>to tune the antenna, or to see the picture of the display equipment
>continuously to send or receive data by packet communication or to read
>information in letters of the portable telephone. For the person who
>violated the above rule and as a result incurred the traffic risk on the
>road, the penal regulation (penal servitude of 3 months or less, or a
>fine of 50,000 yen or less) will be applied.
>
>(3) For safe driving
>
>Even before enforcement of the above regulations, the Road Traffic Law,
>Article 70 "Obligation for Safe Driving" has been applied as a penal rule
>to the driver who incurred the traffic accident due to forward
>inattention while the car is running operating the portable telephone or
>the radio equipment, or being engrossed in watching television. The
>purpose of the revision of the rules of this time is to clarify by law
>the responsibility of the driver to cope with the rapid increase of
>traffic accidents due to the remarkable popularization of the car
>navigation system.
>
>It is our earnest request that every radio amateur will enjoy mobile ham
>in consideration of safe driving by using hands free equipment or a
>mounted type transceiver for the mobile vehicle while driving.
>--
>Hanging the 10 commandments in schools to
>improve the morality of the students will have
>the same effect Giddeon Bibles do in improving
>the morality of the guests in the hotel room.
>
>
>Protect the ARS into the 21st Century
>Join the ARRL

3081. FA: Only a couple hours left... Eico Signal Generator, 90 watt 2 meter amplifier, Standard UHF GMRS mobile radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388a5cd2.8511529@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120773
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Standard GMR-1 Series Mobile UHF Radio
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236701146

Eico Model 324 Signal Generator
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236710912

TPL Communications 90 watt 2 meter Amplifier 136-175mhz
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236722955

3082. Re: K7IJ DOWN FOR THE COUNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388a634e.10171792@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120774

It was probably Timmy Sheen that took it down, He is control operator over there! N6MZA? Heh! That repeater has been up/down for a couple years. I havent heard it lately or even tried to hear it. Maybe Ronny had something to do with it or even Eddie!

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 11:43:34 -0800, Brook <wgifford@inreach.com> wrote:

>What happened to my favorite repeater group?
>
>

3083. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <388a6c5f.17363661@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 120775

On Fri, 21 Jan 2000 13:39:48 -0600, "Hamilton M. Bryant, II."
<hm-bryant@raytheon.com> wrote:

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>--------------521310D40E1337F5D8EC899E
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>Farnsworth, I know I learned in regular, got to test and couldn't copy a
>thing.
>Ham KM5IS

Same here, I took my 13wpm test last April and failed because of the
way they do it. It's not true 13 like I practiced. I was copying GREAT
at home but once the test started within seconds I knew I was screwed.
I think it's BS the way it's done. So did the other 3 guys taking the
test. I should have passed the damn thing. I did pass the written.
There would of been at least 3 new generals that day if it was done
RIGHT. I busted my ass for 2 years to get there only to have that kind
of nonsense pulled. And it doesn't matter if they put a half hour
between characters, it was still coming out at 18wpm which is a LOT
different than 13.

I continue to practice but I'll be damned if I'll take it again.

Tom - KB2SMS

3084. 50 MHz DX Bulletin (#00-003)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "O. Bj&#65533;rn Madsen" <obm@image.dk>
Message-ID: <deui4.1441$E4.43309@news010.image.dk>
Source record: 120776
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Dr OM/YL

This mail to inform You that the latest edition
of the OZ50MHz DX Bulletin #00-003 is now uploaded
at my website, at the adress;

http://www.qsl.net/oz6om/

Please have in mind that the OZ50MHz DX Bulletin
normaly is uploaded late Saturday evening (UT time)

vy 73 de OZ6OM / OZ7M "Matt"

3085. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86dreeaus4@208.206.142.171>
Source record: 120777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > The League seems to have adopted the view that because this
> > > > media is "Advanced Technology", it is worth more. That's
> > > > contrary to reality. Advances in technology are supposed to
> > > > reduce costs while increasing usability and efficiency, not
> > > > the other way around, and in most other cases they do.
> > > >
> > > So I guess nuclear power would be a perfect example of how this thought
> > > falls on its face....
> > >
> > >
> > Not really... Japan would be in dire straits without
> > nuclear power. It depends very heavily on it. Problem is,
> > none of their plants are very far from a fault in the
> > earth's crust. They've been lucky so far.
>
> Your comparison was that advances in technology reduce costs......

What do you suppose it would cost if Japan had to import
enough coal and build coalfired powerplants to replace all
the nuclear powerplants? Many of them would be using
candlelight.

3086. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000122224725.02608.00002700@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 120778

In article <388a5e96.2458918@news.interactive.net>, billsohl@interactive.net (Bill Sohl) writes:

>In the USA there is an increasing pressure from some
>sides to do exactly the same thing as is indicated
>in the Japanesse situation. The local daily
>newspaper recently ran an editiorial on the subject.
>
>While the target is cell phone users, you can bet that
>any ban will be far more inclusive than just cell phones
>if it happens.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Maybe NCI should jump on this.

3087. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: henry@wolfenet.com
Message-ID: <388f79d5.2137538@news1.wolfenet.com>
Source record: 120779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

dinod@deltanet.com (Dino) wrote:

>
>Keep working hard and ask yourself WHY amateurs who HATE CB come
>here. They are not superior operators because of the license
>they hold. They are inferior humans by trying to talk down to the
>next guy based on their inadaquacies.
>
>Dino...K6RIX
>

I don't hate CBers. I was a license CBer in the early 70's. I got my
first ham ticket in 1961 and general class in 62. I currently have an
extra clas ham ticket.
What I don't like about CBers is that fact that they think that they
are above the law. Death threats, profanity, and hate talk dominate
the CB channels. A person running legal power is a rare thing on the
CB bands where I live. If my children were little, I would not
hesitate letting them work the ham bands unsupervised.
But,like the Internet, I would not consider letting my children
work the cB channels unsupervised. That is why hams don't want
the CB set comming up to the ham bands.
Just a thought

3088. 3950.net: W9ART Defies Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <388A8255.99925A41@innocent.com>
Source record: 120780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Even after receiving a warning letter from Riley Hollingsworth stating that further rulebreaking will lead to revocation of his license, W9ART is completely unrepentant and continues his intentional interference activities.

The full story, including a downloadable .wav file of ART's renewed jamming, is now the lead article at:

http://www.3950.net

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

3089. Re: 3950.net: W9ART Defies Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000122235249.03677.00001473@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 120781

Now Kevin i was on 3950 tonight when W9ART was in. He did no jamming. PERIOD.
Why you would come on here and posts such blatant lies is beyond me. Maybe your
just trying to mislead, either way, there were 7 other stations on with ART
tonight and he did not jam. Hell the net wasn't even on.
Are you just trying to drum up some hits for your page or what? Why mislead?
Or lie? KK7HO

WB4AIO (kevin strom) wrote in message:
>Message-ID: <388A8255.99925A41@innocent.com>

>Even after receiving a warning letter from Riley Hollingsworth
>stating that further rulebreaking will lead to revocation of his
>license, W9ART is completely unrepentant and continues his
>intentional interference activities.
>
After checking your referenced page it's obvious that you are just looking for
hits to your web page, along with continuing the attack against W9ART, as
nothing NEW has occured as your post implies.
Your as bad as the major news media!

3090. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M! ugh, there already there!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <388C7CB0.CC7B9C9C@nutcase.net>
Source record: 120533

So what's new?????

D

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> In article <2728bdc4.ee451816@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>,
> Quux <crouchinglama@netscape.net> wrote:
> > Yeah! Like maybe they could hang out in newsgroups and create false
> > hysteria! Post trolls! Be a real-life Chicken Little! Great idea!
> >
> > * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
> Network *
> > The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet -
> Free!
> >
> >
> Ok, lets bite on this troll.....where are they going to operate? Not
> exactly wide open spaces you know. Course they could join a "group" and
> act stupid, get a good reputation going and be shunned completely.
>
> 75 is NOT GOING TO CHANGE to accomidate your idea's, trust me. Never
> has and never will.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3091. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88olp8.97g.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 120534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 16:21:18 -0500, Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com> wrote:
>Uh, correct me if I am wrong, but I have had an Adobe Acrobat reader on
>my Linux machines for over a year... Couldn't live without it as I am a
>software engineer who is ALWAYS getting and reading tech documents....

Yes, but it doesn't have the Search plug-in, which is what allows you
to read the word indexes that implement full-text search in Acrobat.
The "find" function you do have can only scan (slowly) the open
document for text.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

3092. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn88ojl3.97g.jbloom@jbloom.connix.com>
Source record: 120535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 09:11:01 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jon Bloom wrote:
>> Why should your personal threshold be the determining factor,
>> as opposed to someone else's?
>
>Because it's my personal money that's involved, Jon.

Many people's money is involved in determining the price, Cecil.

>If you don't want to consider a customer's input, you
>are perfectly free to ignore it.

I don't ignore it; I take it in context, along with the input from a
lot of other consumers.

>One might conclude from the evidence that, for some reason,
>the ARRL would simply rather sell books than CDs.

One would be foolish to conclude that because the evidence doesn't
support that conclusion.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

3093. Re: What are the HF bands like nowadays? (off for 20yrs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86huhgkbh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120536

Sounds like fun. My thing was 10m DXing. I once had a 3 el beam up 20 ft and Australia was coming in (to Wisconsin) at about 10 over S9! We both reduced our power to see how low we could go, and our power meters both showed about 2 watts was plenty. Amazing stuff.

When is the next solar maximum supposed to be? I am in the UK right now (hence the M0AGP call)and not sure what bands are like from here.

I am an apartment dweller but might have some luck on 10m at the right moment...

Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP

In article <86fb9mpql1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <86ec76$5k2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > For some reason the radio bug is starting to bite again. What are the
> > various bands like now? I mean in terms of DX action, what's hot and
> > what's not?
> >
> > I was last active in 1980 (contesting and DXing)and I imagine that the
> > Internet has drained a lot of young talent away.
> >
> > Anyone worked DXCC on Internet chat?
> >
> > Just curious about what 80/40/20/15/10 are like (and aren't there
> > some "new" bands like 30m??)
> >
> > I feel like I've just thawed out of an ice block...
> >
> > Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
> We are heading for a solar maximum. However all indications seem to
> show it will not be all that spectacular. The SFI is running close and
> slightly above 200 these days.
>
> You will find ten meters opening during daylight in good style most

> days. Fifteen and 20 being the more reliable, of course.
>
> The WARC bands basically follow suit. The activity on the WARC bands
> is not too high. Which leads to good qso's of the rag chew variety.
>
> Forty has been excellent to Europe and the Pacific with just basic
> dipole's and about 700 watts. CW of course.
>
> Thirty meters is rather sparcly occupied. We need more action there.
>
> 75 is 75, not much of a change in the last 50 years.
>
> Welcome back. If you can stand the smart alec's on the bands you will
> have fun.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3094. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DE92F43EB8A8B6BF.AD2D10A4C2887919.09878A730F64D2BF@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You've been in ham radio way too long, Dick....take a break....sheesh

Kim W5TIT

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in article
<86gl4a$7op$4@208.206.142.167>...
> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > >
> > > Carl and Dee Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote:
> > > >BUT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE HALF A GRIP ON WHAT YOU WROTE>>>>>>>
> > > >I HAVE A COMMERCIAL GENERAL RADIO TELEPHONE LICENSE, WITH MARINE RADAR
> > > >ENDORSEMENT,,A HAM LICENCE ,A VERY SUCESSFUL 2 WAY RADIO BUSINESS, AND
FOR
> > > >OVER 50 YEARS I CAN NOT COPY 2 WPM,CODE LET ALONE 5 WPM.
> > > > NO I AM NOT ON WELFARE,I AM NOT WINNING,OR BITCHING, BUT PEOPLE
LIKE
> > > >YOU PEALLY P*** ME OFF,,IF YOU WERE 1/2 A MAN YOU WOULD APOLOGIZE, AND
TRY
> > > >TO GET A GRIP ON YOUR LIFE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> > >
> > > Hi Carl,
> > > If you're KE4FQY, a Technician, then of course you can't copy code.
> > >
> > > 73, Jeff KH6O
> >
> > EXCUSE
> > ME??
> > That is about the dumbest damn remark I think I have heard! That is
> > just totally absurd and I just can't believe anyone would be stupid
> > enough to relate someone's class of license to whether they can copy
> > CW. For cryin' out loud!!!! So, you think there's no one else out
> > there but hams who can copy CW??????????????????????
> >
> > Good grief....
> >
>
>
> "The race may not always go to the fastest, but that's the
> safe bet".
> Same principle applies.
>

3095. Re: Ham Radio SHITLIST!! Add a user today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len ANderson - Non Ham & Proud <andersonbytes@bubblesin>
Message-ID: <MPG.12f63d3fc9793b219896a8@news.eni.net>
Source record: 120538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

In article <388becc2.2355562@news3.buffnet.net>, kc2fng@qsl.net says...
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 21:34:24 -0800, The Landshark
> <lndshark@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >Jesus Christ John..... Raising the shit
> >over there now? You are going too have to inform
> >me of little turds like this, I just love to make
> >them spin, and go down the bowl with a smile.
>
> He's just a B.S. artist that I killfiled, but he enjoys carrying on a
> one-way conversation, I guess.
>
>
> 73 DE KC2FNG

as a member of your club John, I suggest you look in a mirror. BS
becomes you. I was one of those advanced licensees who "could no be
bothered" Sonny boy, you are full of it. You are a pain and quite
frankly I will be personally glad to see you leave the club

3096. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388c6e98.9662893@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

This post is generally aimed at those who bitch too much....

Ham Radio was dumbed down (In your terms) before anyone out here got there ticket and now everyone wants to bitch... Only ones dumbing it down are those that complain and say it will be like CB or say that CB'ers are gonna invade the bands. Get real!!! You keep speaking garbage like that and it will eventually happen and you would have ruined ham radio for eveyone. Could you live with that?

The activity of the FCC restructure hasnt even hit the CB bands in my area yet. If it has, I dont hear it on mine...Only 2 other people I know on the CB band which are Hams as well know about it. A few other CB'ers I know that I talked to on the phone could care less about the ham bands. They like CB the way it is and dont even want to hear about ham radio. They still think The ARS Sucks.

Now, I am a no code Tech so you know. I, before the news, wasnt even interested in code. Now, I am practicing every day and night so I can get my 5 wpm and join those of you on the HF bands. If you dont want to talk to me there or on UHF/VHF, fine, so be it. Some one will talk to me or pound a paddle with me. You will not be missed one bit by me and alot of other users, Including Generals, Advanced, and Extra Class operators.

If you decide to have a temper tantrum like a child and want to sell your gear and leave ham radio for good, I got a few hundred bucks to blow on some used equipment.

We all know you won't sell it because of the news. You will put it away and then come back after a year or 2.

On Sun, 23 Jan 2000 22:01:47 -0500, "Rick E Wallis"
<rwallis@ocsonline.com> wrote:

>Its not free now but you people sure as hell are working on it.. If I could
>pass the test anyone could..
>I aint real good at code but I passed the thing without bitchin.. Its what
>I had to do.. I passed the written because Its what I do for a living but
>there are lots of guys that pass both.. Why? They thought ham radio was
>worth working for... My wife passed her test for no code tech and has been
>working on the code.. Now she said "their going to give the thing away.. all
>that work down the drain." I told her its not down the drain but there will
>just be fewer real hams and a lot of free loaders.. After a while even
>filling out an app. got to be too much trouble for the CBers.. pretty soon
>they will be getting rid of the written too.. Do you now what that will

>make us.. CBers.. IT IS RADIO WELFAIR.. You dont want to work for it.. Hey
>you have a right to have it.. I want it FREE! Well if we make it so easy
>what have we got.. NOTHING.. not a damn thing! Like America its going to
>Hell in a hand basket..
>dumb it on down.. Packet is going and RTTY because they cant read.. Give
>them the paper..
>
>Rick <Rickhit@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:388923e9.2351827@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 21:51:24 GMT, Cold@shower.org (Mr Cold Shower)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
>> >pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
>> >you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
>> >want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't
>you
>> >whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
>> >they can fix things for you.
>> >
>> >Extra class, killed in action.
>>
>> When is this CRAP going to stop??? The new test requirements are
>> not welfare, you still have to study to pass the tests. Remember
>> welfare is a hand out. For Amateur Radio license to be a hand out it
>> would mean no testing at all and no charge for the license.
>>
>> The Code War is over so stop fighting it! If you think the FCC is
>> going to rase the code speeds up again forget it, it's not going to
>> happen. The return of the 13 and 20wpm requirement has a snowball's
>> chance in Hell of coming back.
>>
>> Rick KC2ESD
>
>

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3097. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388c734c.10866674@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000 15:02:06 GMT, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes
>
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>> Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>> >On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
>>
>> >>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives
>>
>> >When was the last several examples?
>> >AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?
>>
>> A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
>> contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
>> in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
>> details.
>>
>> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
>That is so astounding we should require every citizen to learn Morse
>code and give them a 2 meter rig just in case they may need it in such
>an emergency. After all, if it saved even one life it would be worth it.

Only thing that this post shows is that: He was hanging on to ham
radio in a life threatening situation and just happened to have the
mic in hand. The rest of you are having a heart attack about ham radio
but are holding on to your keyboards. I guess that means we better
require a typing skill test for a ham license.
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3098. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C4D6168ABD86ABBE.BA56376114981061.1EEB5FBBB52CD652@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in article
<388c6e98.9662893@news.thegrid.net>...
> This post is generally aimed at those who bitch too much....
>
>
> Ham Radio was dumbed down (In your terms) before anyone out here got
> there ticket and now everyone wants to bitch... Only ones dumbing it
> down are those that complain and say it will be like CB or say that
> CB'ers are gonna invade the bands. Get real!!! You keep speaking
> garbage like that and it will eventually happen and you would have
> ruined ham radio for eveyone. Could you live with that?
>

The real pity is, they could! Because it isn't THEIR ham radio any more. It has now evolved to something else other than what "they" had, so it can't be REAL ham radio! They don't care what happens now...

The great thing, that's fine and I hope we never, ever become as narrow sighted as them. Please, everyone who is a "young" ham, or even an "older" open-minded ham, please let's all remember these people when it's our turn to experience change! Let's remember this and conduct ourselves in a much more open minded way.

Kim W5TIT

3099. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86i1n6jsv1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Because I disagree with you..does that alone make me narrow sighted..?? If I was more like you would that make me open minded..?? At least you are right about one thing Kim..I really don't care anymore.

de Tim K0FL

W5TIT/Kim wrote in message ...
>kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in article
><388c6e98.9662893@news.thegrid.net>...
>> This post is generally aimed at those who bitch too much....
>>
>>
>> Ham Radio was dumbed down (In your terms) before anyone out here got
>> there ticket and now everyone wants to bitch... Only ones dumbing it
>> down are those that complain and say it will be like CB or say that
>> CB'ers are gonna invade the bands. Get real!!! You keep speaking
>> garbage like that and it will eventually happen and you would have
>> ruined ham radio for eveyone. Could you live with that?
>>
>
>The real pity is, they could! Because it isn't THEIR ham radio any more.
It
>has now evolved to something else other than what "they" had, so it can't
be
>REAL ham radio! They don't care what happens now...
>
>The great thing, that's fine and I hope we never, ever become as narrow
sighted
>as them. Please, everyone who is a "young" ham, or even an "older"
open-minded
>ham, please let's all remember these people when it's our turn to
experience
>change! Let's remember this and conduct ourselves in a much more open
minded
>way.
>
>Kim W5TIT

3100. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86i5l5$q79$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120543

In article <86g1m9$9kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote:
> Joe, yours is one of the more interesting and enlightened comments in
> this thread. For a start I am English (or Brit call me what you like)
I
> spent 5 months living and working in Colorado last October. Whilst
there
> I had my own Radio chat show slot on American Freedom Network (KHNC
out
> of Johnstown) I used to have a 2 hour show every Saturday.

Nice try, Lloyd.

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
LeMars, Iowa - "Ice Cream Capitol of the World."
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3101. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E3712287B87BFF38.0D512FEDCE348C22.8C4B95818159275F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

randas@mindspring.com wrote in article
<86i1n6jsv1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Because I disagree with you..does that alone make me narrow sighted..?? If
> I was more like you would that make me open minded..?? At least you are
> right about one thing Kim..I really don't care anymore.
>
> de Tim K0FL
>

You don't have to think like me. You don't have to do anything for me. I just hope that as the future comes on for me and I have been a ham for a while, that I can be open-minded enough to accept change and certainly open-minded enough not to call people names and have opinions about them because they don't do something the way I did it. But, no, you don't have to do a thing for me...not at all. I consider you pretty narrow-sighted for your opinions, and I plan never to conduct myself like that, that's all.

Your posting and your time to think about these matters tells me you do care...

Kim W5TIT

3102. ARRL Extra Class License manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: w0tok <w0tok@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u6iHrMpZ$GA.301@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 120545

I have the current 6th edition of the ARRL Extra Class
License manual for $11.00 delivered to any US Address Paul
Washa 4916 Three Points Blvd Mound MN 55364-1245
Phone 612-472-8991

3103. Q on restructuring...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Hansen <khansen@njcc.com>
Message-ID: <86i46iouu2@mars.njcc.com>
Source record: 120546

I have been otherwise occupied, but now I am curious
about the restructuring of the licenses: I have a no-code
Technician license, which, if I do nothing will remain
as a third-tier (lowest grade) license. My question is,
what will I need to do get a "second tier" license?
Is 5 wpm code all I need, or will I also need to pass
another written test?

My impression is that with minimal effort I can (in
effect) upgrade to previous General ticket abilities...

TIA,

Ken
khansen@njcc.com

3104. Re: What are the HF bands like nowadays? (off for 20yrs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86iakn$u42$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120547

In article <86huhgkbh1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Sounds like fun. My thing was 10m DXing. I once had a 3 el beam up
20
> ft and Australia was coming in (to Wisconsin) at about 10 over S9! We
> both reduced our power to see how low we could go, and our power
meters
> both showed about 2 watts was plenty. Amazing stuff.
>
> When is the next solar maximum supposed to be? I am in the UK right
> now (hence the M0AGP call)and not sure what bands are like from here.
>
> I am an apartment dweller but might have some luck on 10m at the right
> moment...
>
> Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP
>
> In article <86fb9mpql1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <86ec76$5k2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > For some reason the radio bug is starting to bite again. What are
> the
> > > various bands like now? I mean in terms of DX action, what's hot
> and
> > > what's not?
> > >
> > > I was last active in 1980 (contesting and DXing)and I imagine that
> the
> > > Internet has drained a lot of young talent away.
> > >
> > > Anyone worked DXCC on Internet chat?
> > >
> > > Just curious about what 80/40/20/15/10 are like (and aren't there
> > > some "new" bands like 30m??)
> > >
> > > I feel like I've just thawed out of an ice block...
> > >
> > > Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > We are heading for a solar maximum. However all indications seem to

> > show it will not be all that spectacular. The SFI is running close
> and
> > slightly above 200 these days.
> >
> > You will find ten meters opening during daylight in good style most
> > days. Fifteen and 20 being the more reliable, of course.
> >
> > The WARC bands basically follow suit. The activity on the WARC
bands
> > is not too high. Which leads to good qso's of the rag chew variety.
> >
> > Forty has been excellent to Europe and the Pacific with just basic
> > dipole's and about 700 watts. CW of course.
> >
> > Thirty meters is rather sparcly occupied. We need more action
there.
> >
> > 75 is 75, not much of a change in the last 50 years.
> >
> > Welcome back. If you can stand the smart alec's on the bands you
will
> > have fun.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Ten meters is famous for being the band to do it on with no signal..hi.
When it's open, its OPEN.

The maximum is projected for mid 2001 or 2002 depending on which source
you use. It is on the way up, so that is a good sign.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3105. Re: make money for doing nothin! 79298

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <86iann$u68$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <86ghmhdgu1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
wa2ise@netcom.com (Robert Casey) wrote:
> My bos says I'm doing this already! :-)

Hmmm...Make money for doing nothing???
According to law Hams are supposed to be non-profit operators. This means that they can not be paid for relaying messages...I think.

Fellow Hams don't let the WWW bribe you into forgetting that you are Hams.

Hams need MORE license classes so that they can continue their tradition of being good for nothing.

73,
Bob Wex, w2ilp (Increase License Potential)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3106. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86i6rmhmh1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dino <dinod@deltanet.com> wrote:
>Keep working hard and ask yourself WHY amateurs who HATE CB come here.

"Here" is relative, Dino. You posted your comment above to eight newsgroups including five amateur NGs: r.r.a.antenna, r.r.a.equipment, r.r.a.space, r.r.a.misc, r.r.a.policy. I've trimmed the header down a bit.

One has to wonder why someone who hates hams would come "here"!

73 (that means "best regards"), Jeff KH6O

3107. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388CA121.EC6ACDCC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kf6foz wrote:
> Only thing that this post shows is that: He was hanging on to ham
> radio in a life threatening situation and just happened to have the
> mic in hand.

It's interesting that it happened with a non-CW rig on a
band where Morse code skill is NOT required.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3108. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388CA2D7.FD3FDEA3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

randas@mindspring.com wrote:
> At least you are
> right about one thing Kim..I really don't care anymore.

Too bad, Tim. Caring hams have always been the backbone of the ARS.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3109. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388CA418.8369C54A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

BD wrote:
> ... "open minded" requires that you're willing to accept ALL changes?

When it's a done deal - unless you are willing to go to war with
the feds. Denying reality only hurts the one doing the denying.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3110. Re: Q on restructuring...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86idfhhu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120553

In article <86i46iouu2@mars.njcc.com>,
"Ken Hansen" <khansen@njcc.com> wrote:
> I have been otherwise occupied, but now I am curious
> about the restructuring of the licenses: I have a no-code
> Technician license, which, if I do nothing will remain
> as a third-tier (lowest grade) license. My question is,
> what will I need to do get a "second tier" license?
> Is 5 wpm code all I need, or will I also need to pass
> another written test?
>
> My impression is that with minimal effort I can (in
> effect) upgrade to previous General ticket abilities...
>
> TIA,
>
> Ken
> khansen@njcc.com
>
>
Go to www.arrl.org and look for "Amateur Restructuring" for the
full skinny.

As a no code technician you will need to pass 5wpm of Morse code and
pass the General written. This will be a 35 question test. (Element 3).

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3111. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86i834$is5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:
> CompCnsult wrote:

>> Those of us interested in the more technical aspect of the hobby should
>> not be held back because of the code requirement.

You're free to open a book, study, and learn to your heart's content
regardless of any code requirement. Haven't you ever read just for the
enjoyment of learning?

>The point is that, under the old Rules, the 20 wpm Morse test was a
>significant DISincentive to many to even bother to learn the technical
>material necessary to upgrade to Extra.

Again, if one had wanted to further his knowledge, he could have done so
on his own.

>Under the new Rules, that DISincentive has been removed and I fully
>expect that many, many more people will undertake to improve their
>technical knowledge/skills in order to upgrade.

The same books will be available after restructuring as were before.
If the drive to learn lacked before, that same drive will be lacking
after.

Don't confuse learning and memorization of the question pool.

73, Jeff KH6O
Wanted: Rotating light beacon for the Ala Wai Harbor lighthouse project.

3112. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388CA981.225E074B@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Don't confuse learning and memorization of the question pool.

Ever since I entered ham radio in 1951, unknowledgeable
newcomers have been memorizing the "question pool". Some
of us have later actually contributed something to ham
radio. Every endeavor has beginners and holier-than-thou
elders who forget they were ever beginners.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3113. Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86if7l$1v3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<4CAFA9A588925E52.556F512B63701894.041816A1F3B25A1F@lp.airnews.net>,
kw5tit@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > : Either way, I use the bands allocated me to converse to/with
others, to
> > : learn, and to save myself phone bills, postage...etc. Not to
specifically
> > : help my, or your community. I did not intend to become a public
servant.
> > : Did you study for, and get your ticket....did you save, purchase
or build
> > : your station to help the comunnity? Was that you're initial
intent? We
> > : have a neighbor lives a few miles away, my daughter talks to her
on 11
> > : meter. Our neighbor is a toll call.
> >
>
> Sorry to have missed this post Perfidious...Yes, I did study for and
get
> my ticket and I save for and purchased my first radio for the sole
> purpose of community service in the form of Skywarn and storm
spotting.
> I have gone on to providing net control for emergency nets when phone
> service is disabled, helping with local missing children alerts, a
> couple of public service events (bikeathons, parades). But, my
premier
> love is for storm spotting and being able to be a part of a potential
> early warning to a community.
>
> I think you would really enjoy ham radio. I encourage and would
welcome
> you to our ranks. You can keep your chip, too. I did ;-) Oh, I
don't
> talk on CB any more, because my dad has my CB radio. I plan on
getting
> it back into the car, and I am going to use the very same antenna
that I
> use for 10M and use an antenna switch alternately for either radio!
>
> Hope you'll get some interest!

>
> Kim W5TIT

And here lies the major difficulties:
(Please refer to:
http://www.arrl.org/field/regulations/news/part97/)

Prospective hams who are interested in "using"
Amateur frequencies as a cheaper alternative to some
other radio or communications service often never
get past 97.1a and feel this is a justification for what
they do. This is not only illegal as per 97.113.a.2,3
and 5, but is very damaging to Amateur radio as it
puts those communications in direct competition
with other communications services and thus makes
Amateur radio a problem for big and small local
business alike. It is also a doorway by which people
fraudulently or mistakenly obtain Amateur Radio
licenses and gear.

In fact, when one reads in context, all of the part
97.1, 97.113, 97.400 - 407, we find the intent of the
Amateur service rules is to provide a broadly trained
radio resource that may be utilized in an any
emergency or emergency service, when other means
are down, overloaded or were not available for
regular use; rather than to provide over-the-counter
radios and a pool of frequencies to be utilized by
persons trained for a specific agenda obviously not in
accordance with other provisions of 97.1.

Perhaps the truest test is in fact 97.113.a.5, which
specifically prohibits, "Communications, on a
regular basis, which could reasonably be furnished
alternatively through other radio services." This
means that the official in charge of your volunteer
Search and Rescue team has no business sending you
out to get ham licenses and to buy your own ham
radios, because he could just as easily get a public
safety frequency allocation (more appropriately,
common statewide S.R. frequencies) and have you
buy your own radios more appropriate for the task at
hand.

73, Jim

You may send comments regarding my opinion (provided
you have truely read it in full context)
to: ac6tk@cybertime.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3114. Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86ib52$6kq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120557

Where is the "Enforcer" when you need him? Take this morning for instance-please. I was having a "treadmill 2" contact on 14.313 with a gent in Florida and after coincluding, without as much as giving a listen to hear if I had signed off, in came the two bosom buddies, KT4TR and K2IVX to take over the frequency. It was a clear cut case of piracy and poor amateur practice but did I send an e-mail to K4ZDH? No way. And since I no longer use a tape recorder during QSOs, forget it. For one reason or another "Badblood" and "Tippecanoe Schaier" think they're immune from the same "laws of Riley" that govern us all. What lends to such arrogance? Beats me but I assure you that what goes around, comes around.
AF2Y
P.S. With the new FCC restructuring, can N0VFP become an Extra overnight? God, what a thought!

3115. HTX 200 how are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scarpad <scarpad@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <86ih4f$3ea$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120558

I've had an old RS HTX202 for years thing was and is built like a tank
and was a solid rig, no intermod etc. How is the replacement rig the
HTX 200 ? Anyone try one ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3116. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Harrison <reply2newsgroups@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Message-ID: <388CB5B4.814AA159@not-here.no-com.no-how>
Source record: 120559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rick E Wallis wrote:
>
> My wife passed her test for no code tech and has been
> working on the code.. Now she said "their going to give the thing away.. all
> that work down the drain."

Hmmm... How is it that I never made any bitching and moaning
sounds when the "no code" tech license was made? I had to pass
5 WPM code back in '85 in order to get my novice and then
technician license. I wanted to get on VHF and UHF radios. I
had to be a technician in order to be there.

Then they created the technician class license WITH NO CODE that
granted new technicians all the priveledges I had (except for HF)
without having to study the code. Did I get angry and cry sour
grapes? Hell no. I welcomed a lot of good people into the hobby
who would never have gotten into it otherwise. Many that I
personally know went on to General and above after having obtained
their "no code" tech license.

So, by your own definition you are calling your wife a welfare ham.
Do you think she appreciates that? I'm sure she doesn't and trust
she will punish you appropriately. :-)

Ken
N6MHG

I told her its not down the drain but there will
> just be fewer real hams and a lot of free loaders.. After a while even
> filling out an app. got to be too much trouble for the CBers.. pretty soon
> they will be getting rid of the written too.. Do you now what that will
> make us.. CBers.. IT IS RADIO WELFAIR.. You dont want to work for it.. Hey
> you have a right to have it.. I want it FREE! Well if we make it so easy
> what have we got.. NOTHING.. not a damn thing! Like America its going to
> Hell in a hand basket..
> dumb it on down.. Packet is going and RTTY because they cant read.. Give
> them the paper..
>
> Rick <Rickhit@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:388923e9.2351827@netnews.worldnet.att.net...
> > On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 21:51:24 GMT, Cold@shower.org (Mr Cold Shower)
> > wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >

> > >I can Fart code at 5 WPM. Anyone who can't pass five wpm isn't trying to
> > >pass. You losers got your free government handout radio license and now
> > >you complain ham radio isn't Dumbed down enough for you. I guess you all
> > >want to get licensed as easy as you get your welfare checks. Why don't
> you
> > >whiners get together with your CB buddy's and suck up to the ARRL. Maybe
> > >they can fix things for you.
> > >
> > >Extra class, killed in action.
> >
> > When is this CRAP going to stop??? The new test requirements are
> > not welfare, you still have to study to pass the tests. Remember
> > welfare is a hand out. For Amateur Radio license to be a hand out it
> > would mean no testing at all and no charge for the license.
> >
> > The Code War is over so stop fighting it! If you think the FCC is
> > going to rase the code speeds up again forget it, it's not going to
> > happen. The return of the 13 and 20wpm requirement has a snowball's
> > chance in Hell of coming back.
> >
> > Rick KC2ESD

3117. New PSK31 Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil <DontDoIt@ImNotHere.com>
Message-ID: <GLmMOF11W9nrWoWzNr6E8FYNayfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 120560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Just a quick heads up on a web site that I've created; it's provided
as a service to help others find various info and software for PSK31.
I hope you come and visit, download, and then join the fun on the air.

http://www.psk31.com

Neil, N1DMA
Hollis, NH USA

3118. Re: Mackey vs. Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <3d3j4.7130$_G1.119750@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur

Then are YOU admitting YOUR GUILT of causing trouble since YOU
have posted to more newsgroups than messages you replied to originally
included?? You have added newsgroups of alt.fan.john.mackey &
alt.radio.parg when they had NOTHING to do with the thread.

I'll make you a deal Blake, I'll stop adding newsgroups IF YOU STOP
adding newsgroups. YOUR ACTIONS, NOT YOUR WORDS
WILL INDICATE YOUR INTENTIONS !!!!

It's a choice that is up to you, Blake Bowers!

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> John, here is proof of your intent to create hate, and discontent all
> over the
> newsgroups. The message you replied to went to 3 or 4 newsgroups, yet
> YOUR
> reply went to those and three more! Why would you repost this to a
> radio.swap
> newsgroup? It is clear that JOHN simply likes to cause trouble.

3119. Re: Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000124160208.00423.00000358@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 120562

In article <86ib52$6kq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>, "radiosigs@mindspring.com"
<radiosigs@mindspring.com> writes:

> It was a clear cut case of piracy and poor amateur
>practice but did I send an e-mail to K4ZDH? No way. And since I no longer
>use a tape recorder during QSOs, forget it.

So why are you whining about it on here? Why not just follow your own advice
and "forget it."

And yes, we're still waiting to hear your explanation re: what happened to your
dental practice.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

3120. Blake Bowers causing more harassment!! was:Re: Mackey vs. Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <M63j4.7129$_G1.119504@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Between this, and John's reposts to other newsgroups, AND, the most
> important,
> his lies about being recruited to the FCC in a division that does not
> even
> exist. Add to that the rumors about his selling HAM licenses, and I
> think

Since everything you have said is a lie, I think this will look pretty funny
You will be making yourselves look like FOOLS!!!!!!!

> Lets do it. Send complaints about John to hq@arrl.org

Go for it, this ought to be very funny!!

3121. WTB: Replacement Battery Azden AZ-21 2M HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: morrista@oz.uc.edu
Message-ID: <86iffs$p66$1@news.ececs.uc.edu>
Source record: 120564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc

(While it doesn't glow in the dark, I'm considering this HT a boatanchor since Azden's abandoned it in the US market...)

I have a nifty Azden AZ-21 2M HT that I like a lot...except I only have the one battery pack that came with the unit. It seems like _nooobody_ among the "usual suspects" in the battery repackaging market has a replacement battery pack for this unit, so I could keep a hot spare. Heck, even my Yaesu FT-208's FNB-2's are still being sold! But not the Azden.

Anyone have a lead on these? Or know what style case is compatible, so I can buy a case and stuff it myself with new nicads? TIA, Ted

--
Theodore Allan Morris, UC Med Ctr Acad Info Technol & Libraries; also
Theodore.Morris@uc.edu; http://oz.uc.edu/~morris; 513-558-0177(W); WB8VNV;
ALSO TRY: sg94548n@dunx1.ocs.drexel.edu (Drexel U Ph.D. stdnt); OR: "Hey, TED!"
SNAPPY MOTTO: Failure is not the falling down, it's the not getting up again.

3122. Re: Q on restructuring...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001242149580.7413-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120565

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000, Ken Hansen wrote:
> I have been otherwise occupied, but now I am curious
> about the restructuring of the licenses: I have a no-code
> Technician license, which, if I do nothing will remain
> as a third-tier (lowest grade) license. My question is,
> what will I need to do get a "second tier" license?
> Is 5 wpm code all I need, or will I also need to pass
> another written test?
>
> My impression is that with minimal effort I can (in
> effect) upgrade to previous General ticket abilities...

You need both code (element 1A for now) and the general theory (element 3B for now) to be available to upgrade to general on April 15th.

3123. Re: Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001242152110.7413-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120566

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000, Southern Kiwi wrote:
> I have a rig in my petrol engine truck, when idling I get random static
> burst (up to strength 7), when I rev this increases and stays at strength 7.
> I have checked the antenna earth,coax,SWR, and have a filter on the power
> lead which comes from the accessory key. I suspect the alternator, is there
> a way I can check this without having to remove it?.
> Thanks for any advice

What happens when the truck engine is off? If there's no interference, then
you know it's your truck's electrical system.

3124. Re: Richard Kendrick the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <mJ3j4.7136$_G1.119948@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Richard Kendrick" <n7nt@primenet.com> wrote

Send complaints about Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com> to both:
abuse@primenet.com and abuse@globalcenter.net.

Richard, I have NEVER initiated any discussions with you.

> This is a public forum. Whenever you post, you initiate a
> discussion with each and every person who participates in this
> forum and whomever decides to respond. You have no choice or

So what is your point?

> You are like the
SNIP

YOUR demented opinions are of little concern to the majority.

> 1. I proved you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
Internet.

OK, present your proof that my statement was a lie!

> 2. I proved you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.

Such a thing has NEVER happened!
Present your proof!.

> 3. I proved you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.

Present your proof for this also.

> 4. I proved you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead sister's
children.

What the heck are you talking about???????????

> 5. I proved you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.

Present your proof here also.

> 6. I proved you lied about having college degrees.

I do have degrees.
Present your proof here also.

> 7. I proved you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of

Acually, that was your hero Bill What's-his-name near San Diego
that was identified as a known Internet abuser by Joe Greco.

> 8. I proved you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft

The above never happened, present your proof!

> 9. I proved you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.

I have NEVER used a fictitious or illegal Internet address, present your
proof!

> 10. I proved you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.

I am NOT the most prolific poster, present your proof!

> 11. I proved you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,

I still stand by my original statement, Roy is incorrect. That certainly
is NOT a lie!!

> 12. I proved you lied about your place of employment when I
> posted your work telephone and related data. I also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.

Wrong again. Present your proof!

> 13. I proved you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.

I never said that he had "never" been a member of Mensa. I said
that he was "NOT" a member of Mensa. Once again you are wrong!!

> 14. It's been proved by others that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.

Wrong, I have never lost an ISP or forged cancels. Present your proof

> 15. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.

Wrong again, see number 9 above!

> 16. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> and total lack of technical expertise.

I have never blown up a repeater system, present your proof!

> 17. It's been proven by others that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.

I have never done such a thing, present your proof!

> 18. It's been proven by others that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> on one hand!"

Wrong , again!!!
I have passsed more FCC license tests than can be counted on one hand.
I NEVER said it had more FCC licenses than cam be counted on one hand.
Please Richard, pay attention to what I say!

Richard, out of the first 18 accusations you have made EVERY ONE OF YOUR
STATEMENTS IS WRONG!!!!! I'm not going to bother anwering all the rest
because
they are ALL WRONG!! Present your verifiable proof or forget it & back
off!!!

3125. Re: Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001242148050.7413-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120568

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000, radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote:
> Where is the "Enforcer" when you need him? Take this morning for
> instance-please. I was having a "treadmill 2" contact on 14.313 with a gent
> in Florida and after coincluding, without as much as giving a listen to hear
> if I had signed off, in came the two bosom buddies, KT4TR and K2IVX to take
> over the frequency. It was a clear cut case of piracy and poor amateur
> practice but did I send an e-mail to K4ZDH? No way. And since I no longer
> use a tape recorder during QSOs, forget it. For one reason or another
> "Badblood" and "Tippecanoe Schaier" think they're immune from the same "laws
> of Riley" that govern us all. What lends to such arrogance? Beats me but I
> assure you that what goes around, comes around.

Although you could hear them, could they hear you? Propagation can do strange
things.

3126. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001242155390.7413-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120569

On Sun, 23 Jan 2000, Dave Frandin wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 23:55:42 GMT, "Innocent John!!"
> <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org> wrote:
> >I am an active VE. Under the current requirements, to prove a pre-1987
> >Technician Class
> >amateur radio license you need one of the two following:
> >
> >1. a copy of your Technicial Class license issued before the 1987 date
> >2. a letter from the FCC stating you held a Technician class license before
> >the 1987 date

I disagree: #1 - yes. #2 - NO! The R&O doesn't say that anything other than the expired license is acceptable, AND when a friend of mine (N6GPP) wrote to get the pre-1987 proof letter, his request was DENIED (yet, the FCC did revise his existing license from "tech" to "tech plus" - so obviously, they did do the research). His request was handled in December 1999, a week before the R&O came out. The FCC staff knew that the R&O said "expired license" only.

Although the typical sources are saying that the information can be obtained, anything other than the pre-'87 issued license is worthless. (Had the FCC thought not, they would have said "pre-03/21/87 license OR EQUIVALENT" and/or would have issued a supplement to the R&O clarifying this).

> Is there a mechanism to obtain such a letter from the FCC? or do they
> even have such records? I've been licensed as a Tech since 1976 and
> I certainly don't have any copies of my license from back then, nor
> was there any indication of any need to keep such info prior to the
> beginning of the no-code tech license... Somehow, I get the feeling
> I'm screwed if I wish to upgrade...

If you didn't keep your old license(s) (or lost them in anything other than "good faith" - casualty or theft), that's your problem. Old licenses are part of the "station records" one is supposed to keep.

3127. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001242202340.7413-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120570

On 23 Jan 2000, ATATKD wrote:
> >Show some guts and take the 20 wpm code or you'll just be a Welfare
> >Extra.
>
> How do we tell them apart

By date of issue. Older extra's, at least for the next 10 years, may have a date of issue after 4/15/2000, but the processing code from ULS will say "RENEWAL" instead of "ISSUED". Whether or not the public databases, other than ULS will distinguish this is up to them.

[The private database I have will make this distinction (and for general too). It's still in test mode - because some club things are turning out strangely.]

3128. Re: What are the HF bands like nowadays? (off for 20yrs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com>
Message-ID: <GD4j4.13699$k25.1027451@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 120571

You can now easily work over 100 countries during one contest weekend. DX
is alive and well.

Andy K5VM

mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:86ec76$5k2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> For some reason the radio bug is starting to bite again. What are the
> various bands like now? I mean in terms of DX action, what's hot and
> what's not?
>
> I was last active in 1980 (contesting and DXing)and I imagine that the
> Internet has drained a lot of young talent away.
>
> Anyone worked DXCC on Internet chat?
>
> Just curious about what 80/40/20/15/10 are like (and aren't there
> some "new" bands like 30m??)
>
> I feel like I've just thawed out of an ice block...
>
> Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3129. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <nospam@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.fouzze4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 120572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 25 Jan 2000 00:20:48 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>Next are you going to outlaw talking to your passengers, kids screaming,
>>smoking while driving, listening to talk radio, and so on. They are all
>>distracting to a certain extent. Do we really need government control for
>>every little problem...
>
>Add to that list:
>Changing the radio station on the car radio
>Changing a CD
>Changing an audio cassette
>Drinking a (non-alcoholic) beverage
>Eating
>etc.
>

In Israel - where I learned to drive a stick shift "the hard way", the country where they have the worst traffic accident record anywhere, and where stuffing one's face, smoking, and gabbing on the cellphone are national pastimes, it has been unlawful for many years for drivers to eat or drink anything while driving. It is sporadically enforced but it hasn't helped......

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP / 4X4UQ

3130. License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: WildBill <WildBill@therange.com>
Message-ID: <86irgt$di4$1@hume.nmia.com>
Source record: 120573

My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license. However, he is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be frowned upon by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained to him that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have changed, and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own actions, not by the date on his license. I do not have a license, as I have always been happy as a "listener". His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby, and he has spent many hours listening and learning. He also reads the newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him understand the amateur radio hobby. I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud member of the community. Comments?

3131. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <388D023D.EA2EB15C@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 120574

Tell him to get his license and don't worry about what the others say.

I had a Technician license for about 25 years and had lots of fun doing what I wanted to do. Finally decided to go to Extra about a year ago as I had a job that gave me plenty of time to study the code. The written was no problem as I have been involved in electronics for many years. Outside of making a few digital mode contacts I only get on 80 meters to talk to a couple of fellows about 100 miles away. Just don't see much use for the DC bands for what I enjoy doing.

I have probably been involved with more modes than lots of hams before I got my Extra Class. RTTY, weak signal work on 144 and 432, keeping a repeater on the air, and working the satellites. Just too much to do to upgrade for 25 years.

WildBill wrote:
>
> My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license. However, he
> is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be frowned upon
> by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained to him
> that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have changed,
> and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
> actions, not by the date on his license. I do not have a license, as I have
> always been happy as a "listener". His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
> and he has spent many hours listening and learning. He also reads the
> newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him understand the
> amateur radio hobby. I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
> member of the community. Comments?

3132. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <388CFEF9.2571@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 120575

WildBill wrote:
>
> My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license. However, he
> is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be frowned upon
> by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained to him
> that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have changed,
> and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
> actions, not by the date on his license. I do not have a license, as I have
> always been happy as a "listener". His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
> and he has spent many hours listening and learning. He also reads the
> newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him understand the
> amateur radio hobby. I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
> member of the community. Comments?
Yes, He will be very welcomed. A great hobby and great place to
learn. check out arrl.org and w5yi.org for info.
73 (Best wishes) de (from) Martin N5PZJ

3133. FS: Yaesu FT-767GX Complete Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <recon@vh.net>
Message-ID: <388CFF5C.759A752C@vh.net>
Source record: 120576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have for sale in excellent condition, the (PACKAGE BELOW)

1. Yaesu FT - 767GX 100 watts on HF, 10 watts on all other
bands.
2. Yaesu FEX-767-2 2 meter module
3. Yaesu FEX-767-6 6 meter module
4. Yaesu FEX-767-7 (A) 70 cm module (440-450MHz)
5. Yaesu FEX-767-7 (B) 70 cm module (430-440MHz)
6. Yaesu FTS-8 CTCSS encoder/decoder unit
7. Yaesu SP-767 Speaker with filters
8. Yaesu MH-1B8 Hand-held Microphone
9. Yaesu MD-1B8 Desk-top Microphone

Also included are both the User and Service Manual. The reason I am
selling
this station is that I am Upgrading to an Icom 756 PRO. I will not split
it up.
Sold as a complete station. $1600 plus shipping. 73 de Dave/N2JBO

3134. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <388D251C.D87AE7E9@inreach.com>
Source record: 120577

No, never received one. Thats the problem. No card, no letter from the FCC(lately!). Just slanderous remarks by OOs. I WISH I did get a card, I'd be proud to frame it and hang it in my shack
MailfrmPA wrote:

> >From: Brook wgifford@inreach.com
>
> >Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job, why
> >doesnt the FCC raise the license fee's to cover costs for government
> >observers/investigators?
>
> Um, did you get an OO card?
>
> Kï¿½MB

3135. Re: Death notices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86g7ebdlo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120599

In article <AULi4.2557$8J4.17732@typhoon2.tampabay.rr.com>,
"Michael Hinchey" <mhinche1@tampabay.rr.com> wrote:
> My father, Frank, KA7SNN, passed away a couple years ago. It had
never
> occurred to me to ask this question, but I just found his huge
collection of
> QSL cards. Despite half an hour on the ARRL website, I found nothing
about
> death notices. So I turn to you folks. Is it necessary, or
desirable, to
> inform ARRL of his passing? Or just a nice thing to do?
>
> --
> Mike Hinchey
>
>
From what I understand you need to send a newspaper notice of his
passing to the Silent Keys section of QST. Just send it to :

ARRL
225 Main St.
Newington Ct. 06011

Attention: QST Editor, Silent Keys

That should get it. If anyone has better info, pass it along. My
condolances to you and your family.

Dan/W4NTI
--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3136. Re: Blake Bowers harassing again!! was:Re: Blake Bowers the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <73Ni4.6431$_G1.109755@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120600
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I might be willing to allow "RicK" to view my credentials (degrees)
& report that he did observe them just as Kendrick says he
has done for what's-his-name in San Diego.

"RicK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote
> Take a rest Blake Boy.
> I would like to see all the credentials of boastful Cheek and tenacious
> Mackey.
> I will be an impartial reviewer of this material and would gladly serve to
> evaluate the material provided and when both have provided the evidence,
> I will post the credential info for everyone to see.
> This should put an end to this debate if all would just desist.
>
> Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org> wrote in message
> news:yZHi4.6227$_G1.105774@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > Wrong again Blake!!
> >
> > Even within the last few days, people have been asking for San Diego
> > person's
> > credentials. Only you & Glenn are concerned about mine, & that started
> > after
> > the original for old what's-his-name.
> >
> > "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > > John, no one cares about that person down by San Diego anymore, except
> > > you. Let it go. Grow up. Get over it.
> > >
> > > The question at this time is about YOU.
> > .
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3137. Re: Blake Bowers harassing again!! was:Re: Blake Bowers the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!! <jmackey@NOpargSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <aaNi4.6435$_G1.109849@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120601
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake - here is yet another person unhappy with your poor
conduct here on usenet. Notice how he calls it
"the Blake vs. the World, "he said, he said" thread again!"

Get a life & stop harassing me, Blake!!!!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bear" <bear@spamfree.news>
Newsgroups: alt.firefighters
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2000 3:19 PM
Subject: Re: BLAKE BOWERS caught lying & forging messages AGAIN!!!!!!!!

Oh my God... I just had a horrible feeling. I got sweaty, felt faint, and
began having palpitations. Is it a heart attack? CVA?
Wait... I know what it is! It's deja vue! it's the Blake vs. the World,
"he said, he said" thread again!
Aaaarrrrgggghhhh!!!!! Help Me! Save Me!

Bear

3138. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86g81smmk1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>

>>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives

>When was the last several examples?
>AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?

A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact details.

73, Jeff KH6O

3139. Re: Blake Bowers harassing again!! was:Re: Blake Bowers the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines and ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtullman@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <NuNi4.5103$lN4.242779@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120603
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <73Ni4.6431$_G1.109755@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, "Innocent John!!"
<jmackey@paNOSPAMrg.org> wrote:
>I might be willing to allow "RicK" to view my credentials (degrees)
>& report that he did observe them just as Kendrick says he
>has done for what's-his-name in San Diego.
>

Nobody but you two really seems to give a shit. May I suggest you two lambaste
each other in e-mail and leave the rest of us out it??

Army Liason to the Office of Naval Contemplation

3140. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <lENi4.6442$_G1.110241@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120604

According to the FCC, code tests are in Morse Code.
(perhaps that is what you mean by "regular"?)

"Michael Bruss" <fzbruss@logan.ucdavis.edu> wrote
> When 5 wpm code tests are given, is the code usually in Farnsworth or
> regular?

3141. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <xBNi4.6440$_G1.110337@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120605

"Dave Frandin" <dfrandin@lvcm.com> wrote
> Is there a mechanism to obtain such a letter from the FCC? or do they
> even have such records? I've been licensed as a Tech since 1976 and
> I certainly don't have any copies of my license from back then, nor
> was there any indication of any need to keep such info prior to the
> beginning of the no-code tech license... Somehow, I get the feeling
> I'm screwed if I wish to upgrade...

Contact your closest FCC office, their computer records seem to be reliable
only to about the very early 1980's for amateur licenses. If you have been
licensed CONTNOUSLY since 1976 then that should not be a problem for you.
If they have to search old databases before the early 1980's then the FCC
has
contracted that out to a private agency that charges $25 per hour & most
searches
take 45-90 mins. The cost will be billed to you.

3142. Re: HAM vs HAM or Point Counterpoint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <DGNi4.6443$_G1.110450@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120606

What are you trying to say?

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> Ham vs Ham or Point Counterpoint.
>
> It seems to me that there is a lot of useless bickering going on
> these days about "who has the bigger and better license". You
> all do, or should, realise that this is exactly what incentive
> licensing caused in the first place. For those that don't know,
> allow me to explain.
>
> Back in the late sixties a thing called "Incentive Licensing" was
> imposed upon amateur radio. I am not going to get into who did
> what to or by whom. The bottom line was that
> the "old school" of hams pushed through a program to force you to
> upgrade. Some of us even LOST frequencies, after earning them in
> the first place.
>
> This whole fiasco caused, what I call, the caste structure of ham
> radio. We are still living with it today. And seeing all this
> bickering and complaining about "welfare general's, welfare
> extra's", etc. Proves my theory is correct.
>
> This recent episode by the ARRL and FCC is a case in point. The
> FCC basically disregarded most, if not all, of the good propasals
> by the ARRL and implimented the modern day version of incentive
> licensing. Example, the ARRL wanted the Novice dropped, and the
> frequencies "re farmed" to other purposes. Such as moving the
> phone bands down a bit more. The Novice was removed, but no freq's
> were added.
>
> The Tech and Tech plus will now be one. Just like it used to be,
> without the CW. No problem with that. The advanced get shafted
> and should be grandfathered. This didn't happen either. You ask
> why is that? Simple, the Advanced is the more difficult of all the
> written tests to date. The present Extra is far easier.
>
> Now let's discuss the old days Extra. Back in the "good old days"
> 20 words per was just that. Solid copy, on paper, for one solid
> minute out of five. And the written of the day was considered
> equivelant to the first phone commercial. At least that is what I
> have been told. And of course all of this was in front of the FCC.
> A trip to the FCC field office usually consisted of missed work, a
> hotel stay, and sheer mental exhaustion.

> What I'm saying is that the post FCC tested Extra with the multiple
> guess morse code questions and the VE system is a totally different
> thing from the old extra tests. So if you insist on making a
> comparison, you need to go way back to the FCC tested guys. Which
> of course means we are far superior. Or are we? Of course we are
> not superior. At least that is how I feel. In fact anyone that
> thinks they are a superior ham just because he has a "old time
> Extra" is a total fool. I spent most of my life in state of the
> art electronics repair. And you know something? I don't think I
> could pass the modern Advanced and Extra test right now. And I'll
> bet a lot of you "hollier than tho" types are in the same boat.
>
> As for morse code qualifications making one a better operator...
> no way...it just makes you better on cw than average. Of course
> considering how dead the cw bands are, unless their is a contest,
> shows me most folks don't use it anyway. I don't unless there is
> a contest, or a dx station I want. But its not because I don't
> enjoy cw. It's because I have a lot of trouble finding anyone to
> finger talk with at a reasonable speed. And what does that tell
> me? CW is basically history, bury it as a license requirement.
> Make it a endorsement to your license, if you want it. Give the
> CW boys 50 kc and be done with it.
>
> Now to the point of this whole thing.....Lets stop attacking each
> other and eating our own. Lets concentrate on HELPING your fellow
> amateur, even if they are just a Novice or a no code tech geek
> (gasp). And let's make a special effort to attrack some youngsters
> into the Amateur Radio Service. Show them the ropes, and help
> them like we used to do. And above all when these "hordes" show up
> on our "private" frequencies, lets say welcome to ham radio.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> w4nti@arrl.net (for any e-mail responses)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

> Before you buy.

3143. Re: Airline Frequencies on hf need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <cDNi4.6441$_G1.110385@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120607

"Stoli126" <stoli126@aol.com> wrote
> Can anyone tell me if any airlines operate on the ham hf frequencies it so
what
> band and frequencies and is it possible to hear them on an hf rig

By law, NO COMMERCIAL TRAFFIC (including airlines) may occur
on ANY amateur frequency (including HF).

3144. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86g8h1oeg1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Carl and Dee Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote:
>BUT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE HALF A GRIP ON WHAT YOU WROTE>>>>>>>
>I HAVE A COMMERCIAL GENERAL RADIO TELEPHONE LICENSE, WITH MARINE RADAR
>ENDORSEMENT,,A HAM LICENCE ,A VERY SUCESSFUL 2 WAY RADIO BUSINESS, AND FOR
>OVER 50 YEARS I CAN NOT COPY 2 WPM,CODE LET ALONE 5 WPM.
> NO I AM NOT ON WELFARE,I AM NOT WINNING,OR BITCHING, BUT PEOPLE LIKE
>YOU PEALLY P*** ME OFF,,IF YOU WERE 1/2 A MAN YOU WOULD APOLOGIZE, AND TRY
>TO GET A GRIP ON YOUR LIFE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Hi Carl,
If you're KE4FQY, a Technician, then of course you can't copy code.

73, Jeff KH6O

3145. Re: WWV Y2K prank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86g92kquu1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kevin wrote in message ...
>Jeff, listen to 10.000 MHz sometime, especially in Asia, there is
>a lot of activities by marine and ham stations.

How do you know they're hams? Do they give their amateur callsigns?
How do you know they're mariners? Do they give their marine-band
callsigns?

They're pirates.

73, Jeff KH6O

3146. Re: Buying Radios on "e-bay"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86g9dq$14b$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
>You a bra, eh?

Eh Bra -- you speak Hawaiian-kine pidgin? So what -- where you go-stay
when hea?

k-den, laters.

Jeff KH6O

3147. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86g9ld$1qg$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll, posting in rec.radio.cb, wrote

> In 5 to 10 years, maybe much
> less, the HF bands will sound every bit as bad as 27 MC does
> now, ...

Except for 30m.

73, Jeff KH6O

3148. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net>
Message-ID: <G6Oi4.3471$Xn1.73756@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AS usual - a demand is given for "proof" of a statement - and THEN
when one is provided, it gets "shined on" and ridiculed by those
asking for such proof - EXACTLY why *I* didn't bother replying to THAT
request - or any similar ones - it's a WASTE OF TIME AND EFFORT trying
to change a mind that's already made up... And it would have made NO
difference if *1*, or *100* similar examples had been provided!

--
Gary - KJ6Q
= = = = = = = =
Requiring trigger locks on home protection guns is as
STUPID as placing a cars emergency brake in the TRUNK!

W5TIT, Kim Walker <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7C60ED6AECDFD316.6AA4AC92186466A1.AA1470D573AA2C8E@lp.airnews.net
...
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
> > >On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q"
<davison7@gte.net>
> >
> > >>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives
> >
> > >When was the last several examples?
> > >AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?
> >
> > A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc
which
> > contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed
his mic
> > in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the
exact
> > details.
> >
> > 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> Uh huh, and every day on 2M there are assists made by amateurs who
come
> upon traffic accidents, stranded motorists, drunk drivers, etc.,
etc.
> Yet, to hear many who support CW as a supreme mode of operation, 2M
has
> little more than CB value? HA!!!

>
> Kim W5TIT

3149. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Green <sdgreen.msn@attcanada.net>
Message-ID: <O2Oi4.19618$j%2.1327@cabot.ops.attcanada.net>
Source record: 120613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Goodness, at this rate the supporters of code will be quoting the use of
such when GI's are in prison of an unfriendly country.

Code is nice to knoe, but in reality is dead in the mainstream. Has it's
place as a mode of communication only, not as a requirement of qualification
!

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:86g81smmk1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
> >On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
>
> >>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives
>
> >When was the last several examples?
> >AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?
>
> A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
> contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
> in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
> details.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
>

3150. Re: Death notices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Hinchey <mhinche1@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BiOi4.2583$8J4.18649@typhoon2.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 120614

Thanks for your time, Dan. Just what I needed.

<Mike

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:86g7ebdlo1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <AULi4.2557$8J4.17732@typhoon2.tampabay.rr.com>,
> "Michael Hinchey" <mhinche1@tampabay.rr.com> wrote:
> > My father, Frank, KA7SNN, passed away a couple years ago. It had
> never
> > occurred to me to ask this question, but I just found his huge
> collection of
> > QSL cards. Despite half an hour on the ARRL website, I found nothing
> about
> > death notices. So I turn to you folks. Is it necessary, or
> desirable, to
> > inform ARRL of his passing? Or just a nice thing to do?
> >
> > --
> > Mike Hinchey
> >
> >
> From what I understand you need to send a newspaper notice of his
> passing to the Silent Keys section of QST. Just send it to :
>
> ARRL
> 225 Main St.
> Newington Ct. 06011
>
> Attention: QST Editor, Silent Keys
>
> That should get it. If anyone has better info, pass it along. My
> condolances to you and your family.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> --
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

3151. Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Southern Kiwi <p.mccaul@spamsucks.ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <86gcle$m4c$1@news.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 120615

I have a rig in my petrol engine truck, when idling I get random static
burst (up to strength 7), when I rev this increases and stays at strength 7.
I have checked the antenna earth,coax,SWR, and have a filter on the power
lead which comes from the accessory key. I suspect the alternator, is there
a way I can check this without having to remove it?.
Thanks for any advice

--
Southern Kiwi
p.mccaul@spamsucks.ihug.co.nz

3152. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <388D1A90.83B88854@topnow.com>
Source record: 120616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Denley wrote:

> Only if your an asshole.
> Brian Denley
>

Agreed.
Deliberately trying to avoid spam-filters is a pretty
low-life thing to do.

Of course, when we've got people on here who
take delight in the suffering and death of others,
and calls this murderous glee "comedy,"
spamming a newsgroup seems pretty tame
by comparison. And people with morals
have a right to put the Nazis back in their place.

I won't name this nasty little toad since
people know about this little monster already.

-- Ross

>
> ....
>
> Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:18bh4.5092$FS5.68769@news3.mia...
> > A kill File is a useless pice of trash. We can very easy bypass by using
> > different subject titles and different names. In time, your kill-file
> will
> > choak on itself and lock-up your program, hence defeating your efforts!

3153. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <86gh8k$1pc@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 120617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
: Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
: >On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>

: >>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives

Of course it has. So have most of the other modes (and probably in
greater numbers of times [cell-phones for instance]).

: >When was the last several examples?
: >AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?

: A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
: contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
: in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
: details.

I didn't read it that close but I thought he was -on- CW at the time.
Seems strange that he would take the time to send CW with the PPT rather
than just talk in that case. He sent, "I think I'm having a heart attack"
and then there was silence.

But it would be even more inlightening to see how many other emergencies
were handled in that same short time period by modes OTHER than CW.

: 73, Jeff KH6O
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

3154. Re: make money for doing nothin! 79298

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <86ghmhdgu1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My bos says I'm doing this already! :-)

3155. Get your free DSL now !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ligosa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000123231237.27278.00000638@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 120619

FREE High Speed Internet Access
Check out this FREE new service from Broadband Digital Group (BDG). Click on the link below and register now to get "FREE" unlimited high-speed (DSL) Internet access over your everyday phone line - which lets you talk and surf the net at the same time. The service is always on, which that means to you, no more waiting on busy signals and no more dropped connections. Surf the Internet at speeds over 50 times faster than conventional dial-up. All you have to do is download a new Internet Software Application called the FreeDSL Browser Assistant from Winfire. It works with your web browser to provide instant access to useful web sites and services directly from your desktop (it's small enough to fit in your windows start bar). Just click on the attached link to quickly download the program for free. Let me know what you think. Also, once you download and install the software, if you refer the BDG FreeDSL service to 10 other people, you will receive a "FREE" high-speed DSL modem.

GIVE MY EMAIL ADDRESS WHEN YOU SIGN UP Ligosa@aol.com

Sign Up!
To sign up for this amazing offer, or to just get more information on it, go

http://in.winfire.com/s/isapiEng.dll/wf.exe?cmd=rl&452,100004916&wf.exe

3156. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86gkbdaiq1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

claudefraud@my-deja.com wrote:
> "Those "20WPM Extras" that are icons of Amateur Radio legend have given
> us underlings a good education on how to act
> on HF by putting these practices on display on 3898, and 14313 and
> other pompous sections of those elitist bands.

You might want to listen to the lower 25 kHz of those bands to hear
the 20 wpm Extras in action.

It seems that phone brings out the worst in many licensees; maybe those
operators which are NAL'd should lose their phone privileges.

73, Jeff KH6O
Four bedroom home for sale in Minden NV, close to Lake Tahoe, south of
Carson City. http://realestate.classifieds.yahoo.com/nevada/realestate --
enter town name: "Minden" then choose catagory "Resale homes by owner."

3157. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86gl1a$7op$3@208.206.142.167>
Source record: 120621

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll, posting in rec.radio.cb, wrote
>
> > In 5 to 10 years, maybe much
> > less, the HF bands will sound every bit as bad as 27 MC does
> > now, ...
>
> Except for 30m.
>

O, I dunno. When they get all CW allocations taken away,
why should they ignore 30?

3158. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86gl4a$7op$4@208.206.142.167>
Source record: 120622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > Carl and Dee Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote:
> > >BUT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE HALF A GRIP ON WHAT YOU WROTE>>>>>>>
> > >I HAVE A COMMERCIAL GENERAL RADIO TELEPHONE LICENSE, WITH MARINE RADAR
> > >ENDORSEMENT,,A HAM LICENCE ,A VERY SUCESSFUL 2 WAY RADIO BUSINESS, AND FOR
> > >OVER 50 YEARS I CAN NOT COPY 2 WPM,CODE LET ALONE 5 WPM.
> > > NO I AM NOT ON WELFARE,I AM NOT WINNING,OR BITCHING, BUT PEOPLE LIKE
> > >YOU PEALLY P*** ME OFF,,IF YOU WERE 1/2 A MAN YOU WOULD APOLOGIZE, AND TRY
> > >TO GET A GRIP ON YOUR LIFE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >
> > Hi Carl,
> > If you're KE4FQY, a Technician, then of course you can't copy code.
> >
> > 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> EXCUSE
> ME???
> That is about the dumbest damn remark I think I have heard! That is
> just totally absurd and I just can't believe anyone would be stupid
> enough to relate someone's class of license to whether they can copy
> CW. For cryin' out loud!!!! So, you think there's no one else out
> there but hams who can copy CW??????????????????????
>
> Good grief....
>

"The race may not always go to the fastest, but that's the
safe bet".
Same principle applies.

3159. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86gkkqaup1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb kf6foz cobrano@budweiser.com> wrote:

>I am a no-code technician not by choice. It may have taken me a while
>to go for my code due to owning my own business, but at least I am

Yet you have time to read and post to Usenet? Every minute you've spent
here could have been used studying.

73, Jeff KH6O
Private sailing lessons out of the Ala Wai Harbor. Email for appointment.

3160. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86gm41$7op$5@208.206.142.167>
Source record: 120624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
> > contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
> > in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
> > details.
>
> What mode? No BFO on FM. No carrier on SSB. Good thing he had a
> mic

If you are even a half-vast CW operator you can copy the
changes in the sound a keyed CW signal makes on an FM
circuit. If you can open the squelch copying the quieting
becomes a piece of cake.
On several occasions I had to do that to ID a interfering
CW signal harmonic-ing onto a public safety frequency
(surprising how little RF it takes). When I copied the ham's
callsign I could look him up in the callbook I kept handy
and get him on the phone. Quick and clean. Worked FB.
Later we got a couple of HT's on those frequencies, then I
had a BFO to use! .....Might as well make it easy on
oneself.

3161. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86gmdq$c6o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb, Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote:
>BRINGS up an interesting question - when WERE the exams "difficult" -
>only when *I* took them...?

In _The_Book_Of_Wireless_, Frederick Collins, 1915 we see that the code speed was just 5 wpm. (Of course, back then, code was the only mode in use -- you HAD to learn it AT SPEED or else you didn't communicate with anyone.)

Here are the other requirements:

Recognize the official KEEP OUT signal and distress call.

Be knowledgable of the International Radio-telegraphic Convention in force and Sections 3, 4, 5, and 7 of the Act of Congress of August 13, 1912.

Take a seven-question written exam covering:

1. How to make a diagram of the transmitting and receiving apparatus
2. How the transmitting apparatus is made and how it works
3. How the receiving apparatus is made and how it works
4. The International regulations for wireless communications and the U.S. wireless laws and regulations.

That written exam was an essay-type test. As the goverment examiners were fond of saying back then, "What you fail to say, you don't know."

Jeff KH6O
Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

3162. Re: 3950.net: W9ART Defies Hollingsworth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: root@nul.nul
Message-ID: <388beb22.22073197@news.gate.net>
Source record: 120626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 23 Jan 2000 04:23:20 GMT, Kevin Alfred Strom
<kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:

Considering that this has *NOTHING* to do with shortwave radio, could
you kindly take the rec.radio.shortwave group out of these posts? I
doubt most of the people who read the newsgroup care who's screwing
who in ham radio.

3163. Re: Ham Radio SHITLIST!! Add a user today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2fng@qsl.net
Message-ID: <388becc2.2355562@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 120627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 21:34:24 -0800, The Landshark
<lndshark@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Jesus Christ John..... Raising the shit
>over there now? You are going too have to inform
>me of little turds like this, I just love to make
>them spin, and go down the bowl with a smile.

He's just a B.S. artist that I killfiled, but he enjoys carrying on a
one-way conversation, I guess.

73 DE KC2FNG

3164. Delaware & W. Virginia skeds wanted on 10M for WAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cjs9@cornell.edu
Message-ID: <86hhud$d9f$1@news01.cit.cornell.edu>
Source record: 120628

I am looking for skeds on 10 meters from Del. & W.Va. for my WAS please e-mail
me at cjs9@cornell.edu
thanks

3165. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <D7Yi4.23122$wk.397755@news1.mia>
Source record: 120629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Well Said...

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote in message <86g1m9$9kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Joe, yours is one of the more interesting and enlightened comments in
>this thread. For a start I am English (or Brit call me what you like) I
>spent 5 months living and working in Colorado last October. Whilst there
>I had my own Radio chat show slot on American Freedom Network (KHNC out
>of Johnstown) I used to have a 2 hour show every Saturday. AFN is only a
>small network and as such I used to have to do my own research for my
>show, the long and the short of all this is that some of the stuff I
>learned and uncovered would make your hair fall out.
>
>You DONOT live in a free country anymore, and neither do I in England.
>If you think I am some kind of nut then I am sorry ,but I have to tell
>you that some of the stuff I aired and some of the interviews I held
>with prominent and well informed people lead me into hot water so much
>so that the FEDS paid me more than one visit, I was about to be held on
>some jumped up charge about being anti American. Nothing could be
>further from reality, I love your country and the American people, I am
>very sad that they have let themselves be hood winked by nothing but a
>group of Communists (Bill Clinton and the Democrats) Anybody who belongs
>to the Council on Foreign Relations CFR, The Trilateral Commission, The
>Bildaberger Group, Who is a 33rd degree Mason, and a member of the
>illuminati (yes I am talking about your beloved President Mr Clinton the
>antichrist) has to be more than a little suspect. Just look at some of
>the Executive Orders he has signed, ask yourselves why he would he want
>to get into bed with the Chinese, who now control both ends of the
>Panama Canal. Ask your self what is the real function of FEMA (Federal
>Emergency Management Agency) and why there is over 160 EMPTY prison
>camps that will hold up to 5,000 people each dotted all around your
>country. Ask yourself why the IRS has decided to spend $ 100 million of
>your money arming themselves to the teeth. Mr Clinton does not give a
>rat's ass about America or the American people, he is a Globalist, he is
>a ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT man along with his partners in crime Tony Blair
>and all the other cronies around the World. Make no mistake when he
>leaves office his next move will be to the top job at the United
>Nations, if he gets it then it will really hit the FAN in a very big way
>and its goodnight America and the rest of the World you will have kissed
>your ass and sovereignty goodbye. By now you are all thinking that I am

>definitely a raving nutcase, all I can say is watch this space. By the
>way I left America before they the FEDS that is had the chance to put me
>in leg irons and lock me up in the Marion Prison.
>
>Regards
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3166. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: A new kind of Dog <bluenips@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MiYi4.6058$IN4.298533@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120630

<vtaoz@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:86g1m9$9kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> Make no mistake when he
> leaves office his next move will be to the top job at the United
> Nations, if he gets it then it will really hit the FAN in a very big way
> and its goodnight America and the rest of the World you will have kissed
> your ass and sovereignty goodbye. By now you are all thinking that I am
> definitely a raving nutcase, all I can say is watch this space. By the
> way I left America before they the FEDS that is had the chance to put me
> in leg irons and lock me up in the Marion Prison.

More likely Bellevue or Attica.......

(and yes, you and Lloyd are BOTH confirmed nutcases)

3167. Re: How to Prove pre-1987 Tech License?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
Message-ID: <388C5FE1.634EFAD3@nospam.wcom.com>
Source record: 120631

Contact ITS, International Transcription Service at 717-337-1433. Look to spend about $30.00 plus $10.00 extra if you want your letter certified by the FCC. They take credit cards. Friendly staff, but a little expensive. Fast service, in my mail box in about 10 calendar days.

3168. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5923974AF2EE3BB3.5ADA22BA005B6C6F.1BC20F0BD466FF6B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I tried when I first got here to converse on some real ham stuff. It was quickly shot down, so I joined the fray...

I wouldn't know about 20m cw, because I am not licensed for 20m. Nor would it be likely that I would be on CW, because I don't appreciate the mode. But I am glad you do and appreciate that you do.

Kim W5TIT

randas@mindspring.com wrote in article
<86kugb$a2j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Kim..you understand my frustration as well as you know my name (Tim). I
> didn't single you out for anything. You just seem to inject yourself with a
> flair that many people think deserves a response. Instead of going out of
> your way to bump heads with people (name calling)... keep it a bit lighter.
> I have no problem with you..just how quick you start the name calling when
> someone disagrees with you. If you don't want to have anyone disagree with
> you..then you better stick with talking to yourself in the mirror. So...how
> about that dx on 20m cw..??
>
> de "Tim" K0FL
>

3169. Exams in Illinois?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregory <okguy@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <nDoj4.15$Qi1.1447@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 120484

Anyone know of any license tests or hamfests in Illinois ?
Greg

--
Our homepage:
www.geocities.com/Heartland/Canyon/6826

3170. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <388E0884.2E1F@no.net>
Source record: 120485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

BD wrote:
>
> You can't be that retarded.. You yourself call yourself Tit...
> I don't remember seeing anyone calling themselves W5COK..
> DO YOU?

Tbe only way she could have any is to put them in her callsign.

3171. Re: THE FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001252153.QAA22568@cotse.com>
Source record: 120486

This message was posted anonymously:

The american people have bent over and asked for this.
The politicians have offered them quick fixes for their petty
problems- just turn over a basic freedom and we'll throw
you a bone. Americans would sell their mother's ass
on the street corner for pocket change to rent the latest video.
They are the most despicable scum on the planet,
because they were given FREEDOM and LIBERTY,
and they PISSED ALL OVER IT! They wipe their rotten
asses with the flag their fathers and forefathers
bleed and died to defend. I have more respect
for reeking maggots then Americans.
At least they don't lie and delude themselves about
the parasites they are.

Americans are sheep - herd animals.
Fit only for sheering and slaughter.
I say give them to the U.N. and the One Worlders.
A few generations under the yoke of the New Imperialists
of the one-world movement would do them good- see how
they like picking lettice and living in a tin shack after
their weath is "redistributed."
It would kill off the weaklings.

I hope God damns the sheeple of the United States to fiery Hell,
for he allowed them the most precious of things, LIBERTY,
and they shit all over it!

3172. Re: Q on restructuring...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s8s6484e7q5158@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120487

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Ken Hansen" <khansen@njcc.com> wrote in message
news:86i46i$ouu$2@mars.njcc.com...
> I have been otherwise occupied, but now I am curious
> about the restructuring of the licenses: I have a no-code
> Technician license, which, if I do nothing will remain
> as a third-tier (lowest grade) license. My question is,
> what will I need to do get a "second tier" license?
> Is 5 wpm code all I need, or will I also need to pass
> another written test?
>
> My impression is that with minimal effort I can (in
> effect) upgrade to previous General ticket abilities...
>
> TIA,
>
> Ken
> khansen@njcc.com

Ken,

To upgrade to General you will have to pass the 5 wpm code test
and take the new Element 3 written test (35 questions) after 4/15.

If you take the 5 wpm code and the current 3(b) before 4/15,
you can present the CSCEs and your current Tech license at
a VE session after 4/15 and upgrade that way, too. (The CSCEs
will be good for 365 days.)

73,

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
```

Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOI4Ynpe+N6+q84HiEQIOzQCgoFH21bA6uCgtl4Jb6qp8oavCADoAoIk8
tgdoyhHMiVNrAG6o7xWFYe5f
=iQuh
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

3173. Re: VA3GJB info, yes he is a bootlegger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388E19F7.4D7C87EC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> If anyone cares to check on QRZ you will find that VA3GJB, Graham
> Dyer, is listed as AD. AD means advanced.
>
> AD means he has passed all theory and 12 wpm of that class. Which by
> the way gives him full hf and power authorization on all HF bands
> available to Canadian amateurs. Which include 20 and 75 meter phone.
>
> You may confirm this by going to the RAC Canada home page at:
>
> www.rac.ca/
>
> Or if you want Ill gladly forward my copy of the frequency
> authorizations if you send me an e-mail requesting same. At:
>
> w4nti@arrl.net
>
> 73
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

If you take the time to write Industry Canada, like I have done, they will inform you that he has the basic with 5wpm. If you look at the pamphlet RIC2, you will see the hf privileges for a basic with 5 wpm code has phone on 75 at 200 watts, but no privileges whatsoever on twenty meters. This came to my attention after filing paperwork on his forgeries on Usenet from his Interlog account using the name Dave Tolassi. If he had not been libeling and insulting others with forged posts, this would have not come to light. Once again I enclose a copy of the e-mail from Jan Skora, on behalf of Minister Manley, the director. He is the Canadian equivalent of our FCC Chairman, Mr. William Kennard. And yes, this has been verified on the telephone with a conversation with Jan Skora and Mr Hubert Pambrun, Ontario Chief.

Dear:

The Honourable John Manley has asked me to reply to your email of December 17, 1999, concerning the operating privileges of a Canadian amateur operator, Mr. Graham Dyer.

Industry Canada's publication entitled "Standards for the Operation of Radio Stations in the Amateur Radio Service - (RIC 2)" sets out the frequency bands within which Canadian amateurs may operate in accordance with their qualifications. This document can be found on our Internet site at : http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01372e.html#RadiocommunicationsInformationCi

rculars.

Following verification of our records, I would like to inform you that the data found on the RAC web site regarding Mr. Graham Dyer is accurate. Mr. Dyer has the following qualifications: Basic Qualification with Morse Code (5 w.p.m.).

Therefore, in accordance with the above mentioned document, a Canadian amateur operator is allowed to operate below 30MHz as specified in Schedule 1 of RIC 2.

Thank you for bringing your concerns to our attention.

Yours truly,

Jan Skora
Director General

Radiocommunications
and Broadcasting
Regulatory Branch

c.c. Hubert Pambrun
Ottawa District Office

Here are some copies of his header forgeries that Industry Canada is also investigating:

From:
"david tolassi" <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc
References:
<22830-3870356E-1@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<26465-38708B4C-24@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Subject:
Re: WB2OTK, Et Al, Should Be Reinstated
Lines:
20
X-Priority:

3
X-MSMail-Priority:
Normal
X-Newsreader:
Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.3825.400
X-MimeOLE:
Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.3825.400
Message-ID:
<mm2c4.637$FW.48112@cac1.rdr.news.psi.ca>
Date:
Mon, 3 Jan 2000 09:38:06 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host:
209.20.6.12
X-Trace:
cac1.rdr.news.psi.ca 946909714 209.20.6.12 (Mon, 03
Jan 2000 09:28:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date:
Mon, 03 Jan 2000 09:28:34 EST
Organization:
Interlog Internet (Toronto)
Xref:
newsfeed.atl rec.radio.amateur.misc:2272777

if that was the case ? al lot of amateurs would be w/out a ticket . It
takes
a bigger man to forgive ones mistakes than it does to keep a closed mind

---

From: "david tolassi" <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <83bfjcdsu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Poor, poor Jimmy, w4AMP what a LID!
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

These are just 2 of 30 forgeries made by Dyer. Nice truce, Dan.

3174. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fatbob <fdecker@catskill.net>
Message-ID: <s8sar9qo7q5101@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120489

Right! My SWL and scanning reading and experience, combined with a degree in x-ray, made the tests a breeze! Any SWL knows a lot about HF and a scannist knows VHF/UHF. The ARRL's books and the many ham websites made the listening hobby much, much more enjoyable as I gained knowledge. And I am VERY sorry I waited over 20 years since first becoming interested. I missed 2 great solar maxima. Don't lose out on this one!
73 de KC2DST
"WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:86jf53$p23$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <86irgt$di4$1@hume.nmia.com>,
> "WildBill" <WildBill@therange.com> wrote:
> > My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license.
>
> Great!!
>
> > However, he
> > is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be
> frowned upon
> > by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained
> to him
> > that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have
> changed,
> > and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
> > actions, not by the date on his license.
>
> I'm pretty low on the "Ham" pecking order (as one of those no code
> tech geeks) but because he takes a test that has only half as many
> questions on it as my test had, means nothing to me he will still
> pass the FCC's requirements to recieve a license and that's what
> makes him a ham, no matter what the "older and wiser" (pronounced
> Old Farts) might say!
>
>
> > I do not have a license, as I have
> > always been happy as a "listener".
>
> Now would be a great time for some of that father/son type stuff.
> Studying and taking the test together would be something he would
> be able to look back on (when older) and remember what a great guy
> "Dad" was. Also then you would be one of those people that the SWL
> group would be listening to.
>
> > His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
> > and he has spent many hours listening and learning.

>
> Then both of you have a head start on the HF route.
>
> > He also reads the
> > newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him
> understand the
> > amateur radio hobby.
>
> The more knowlage about the "ART" of radio the better!!
>
>
> > I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
> > member of the community. Comments?
>
> I agree!! I wish my daughters would take an interest in
> amateur radio, but that's another story. :^)
>
> Bottom line is he needs to do this NOW, and not wait
> like I did. I got interested when I was very young,
> and got licensed when I was 42 wasting at least 30 years
> that I could have been enjoying my self!!
>
> "73" and Good Luck on your amateur adventure!
> WW - KB9TMP
>
> --
> It is best not meddle in the affairs of dragons,
> for you are crunchy and taste good with ketchup!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3175. FS: Portable Short-Wave Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Boyd <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <E2qj4.25$qh1.990@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 120490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have a (Magnavox) "Mid 50s" All-Wave, All Transistor Portable
Radio. Model AW-100. Covers 540 Kilocycles to 22.5 Megacycles
in 4 bands. Is in very good condition. Scale 1 to 10 it's a 9+. Runs
on a internal 9 Volt Battery or external 9 volt power supply. It is
12" wide x 5 1/4" deep x 7 1/2" tall. Has a 52" telescoping antenna.
Also have original owners manual. JPG on request.

$200.00 firm, plus shipping

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd W6DSB

3176. Re: NEWSLINE....Scam...STAY TUNED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4gks@mindspring.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <388e3744.81711050@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120491

On Tue, 25 Jan 2000 19:16:30 GMT, rlw@msn.com wrote:

>
>Keep watch for our new posts on NEWSLINE, and Bill Pasternak's TOYS we
>are all asked to pay for..!!!! <<<_-----

Who cares? I enjoy reading them. Besides, if you never sent in any
money, what are you complaining about?

3177. Re: VA3GJB info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388E446D.74707997@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120492

rich7@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <86l2sh$v59$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > If anyone cares to check on QRZ you will find that VA3GJB, Graham
> > Dyer, is listed as AD. AD means advanced.
> >
> > AD means he has passed all theory and 12 wpm of that class. Which by
> > the way gives him full hf and power authorization on all HF bands
> > available to Canadian amateurs. Which include 20 and 75 meter phone.
> >
> > You may confirm this by going to the RAC Canada home page at:
> >
> > www.rac.ca/
> >
> > Or if you want Ill gladly forward my copy of the frequency
> > authorizations if you send me an e-mail requesting same. At:
> >
> > w4nti@arrl.net
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Dan, will you ever get it out of your head, the truth is
> not an issue with these people? <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Boy, all you clowns can do is lie like a rug. QRZ info is meaningless, the idiot savant dyer provided that info, which further proves the conspiracy to bootleg. The accurate data for the qrm'ing canuck is at the Radio Amateurs of Canada website, here is the link with the canucks call:

http://www.rac.ca/cgi-bin/cgiwrap/rac/callbook?QueryText=va3gjb&QueryType=call&perpage=25

Basic with 5 wpm. Then you go the infamous pamphlet RIC2 which is in adobe format at the Industry Canada website at:

http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01372e.html#RadiocommunicationsInformationCi

Then click on RIC2,
Standards for the Operation of Radio Stations in the Amateur
Radio Service

This is a pamphlet in adobe format. It clearly spells out his operating privileges, which are once again, according to John Manley, Prime Minister of Industry Canada, and the Radio Amateurs of Canada, basic with 5 wpm. That is the truth, like it or not.

3178. Re: This is a nice Forum now!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86lj14$hr3$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120493

This guy's nuts. I move either nowhere or anywhere, at MY disgression. My Extra permits it which is more than Polly can say. Had Riley taken this guy out for 6 months, there would have never been a need for anyone to QSY off 14.313 and if you want to be honest to yourselves, you know I speak the truth. And these labels of "Goodfellas" and "Stumpjumpers" and all the rest of that nonsense is nothing but "Pollyanish" bullbleep. Good grief, W4FQF is just as big an instigator on the Internet as he is on ham radio. A Fisherville putz at best.
AF2Y

In article <86a0je$68r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:

>With the moving of the "Goodfellows" to 14275, this forum is more
>friendly.
>
>Way to go fellows, lets keep it that way!!
>
>--
>gotchagoat 2000
>
>President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
>amateur radio personality club in the world!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3179. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86lj3d$hr3$2@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120494

Gary Bauer.

In article <k%pi4.8212$U5.388813@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>, "etagg"
<etagg@twcny.rr.com> wrote:

>who is the jerk that's always saying "amen brother" an does not
>ID....???
>
>

3180. Re: THE FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <388E5D7B.68386832@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120495

> Obvious post by a smelly little creature that lives under a bridge (troll
> :>) .

yeah- but sad to say, he might have a point or two.
Minus the potty mouth, anyways.
And no- I don't know how to fix it.
D.S.

3181. RE: mr__cracker a liar....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001260250.VAA23724@cotse.com>
Source record: 120496

This message was posted anonymously:

Cracker is a congenital liar and not to be believed.This
malcontent tubba lard is a delusional bottom feeder.

3182. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <388E1F16.69606B21@uci.edu>
Source record: 120497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> I wouldn't know about 20m cw, because I am not licensed for 20m.

I don't suppose you're licensed for 75 meters either, but that didn't
stop you from telling us about how everyone there is intolerant.

ac6xg

3183. Re: Q on restructuring...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Hansen <khansen@njcc.com>
Message-ID: <86mrij$1c2$2@mars.njcc.com>
Source record: 120498

Thanks all, I have a good sense of what I'll need to do,
and I assume I'll try to upgrade prior to 4/15/00, seems
like a good idea...

Thanks again,

Ken
khansen@njcc.com

Ken Hansen wrote in message <86i46iouu2@mars.njcc.com>...
>I have been otherwise occupied, but now I am curious
>about the restructuring of the licenses: I have a no-code
>Technician license, which, if I do nothing will remain
>as a third-tier (lowest grade) license. My question is,
>what will I need to do get a "second tier" license?

3184. Re: A decent QST for once

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <86msfp$2du$2@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I didn't really care for the fictionalized QRP column but agree with the
rest.

73, John KO0D

<mf_luder@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:86l394viv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I give kudos where they're due, which is rarely in 21st century America.
>
> The February QST is an improvement over the past several issues...

3185. where is otk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <388E32B0.C914E2E6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120500

where is wb2otk and when can we expect his return to 20 meters and 75

Richard has been away from that 850 far to long , we neen him back to straiten out all the mess on the bands.

pvt. cueball

3186. mr cracker is a cracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <388E77D6.20691BC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120501

well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up that there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is such a stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is donnie's brother?hhhmmmm?

the real pvtcueball u moron

3187. HRO Fire ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86ipgp$9u3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120578

>This major amateur radio store located in Anaheim, California has been
>reported as burned down in an arson fire in the early hours of the
>22nd.
>Local tv newscasts note that a shoe store, video store, gun store and a
>doctors office were burned to the ground. Arson is suspected via
>the doctors office and a car near the office that was burned at the
>trunk end of the car only. HRO was NOT mentioned at all BUT the fire >
>occured in the 900 block of Euclid of which HRO is listed at 933
>Euclid. More info as it arrives here later on.

>Stewart H. MacKenzie - WDX6AA
>"World Friendship Through Shortwave Listening"

Gosh, I don't see why anyone would want to burn down a doctor's office
without the doctor being in it, can you ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3188. Re: Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86ir7t$b6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120579

You left the frequency bird brain! Do you think that the frequency is reserved for your Highness for hours after you leave. Get real, you don't like Lenny and Bob because they ended your little grm gig off the freq with that treadmill from Hell. Get on it, rev it up and take off across the Atlantic and stop half way.

Rileygotchu

In article <86ib52$6kq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Where is the "Enforcer" when you need him? Take this morning for
> instance-please. I was having a "treadmill 2" contact on 14.313 with a gent
> in Florida and after coincluding, without as much as giving a listen to hear
> if I had signed off, in came the two bosom buddies, KT4TR and K2IVX to take
> over the frequency. It was a clear cut case of piracy and poor amateur
> practice but did I send an e-mail to K4ZDH? No way. And since I no longer
> use a tape recorder during QSOs, forget it. For one reason or another
> "Badblood" and "Tippecanoe Schaier" think they're immune from the same "laws
> of Riley" that govern us all. What lends to such arrogance? Beats me but I
> assure you that what goes around, comes around.
> AF2Y
> P.S. With the new FCC restructuring, can N0VFP become an Extra overnight?
> God, what a thought!
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3189. Re: Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Southern Kiwi <p.mccaul@spamsucks.ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <86ilu2$5nb$1@news.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 120580

D. Stussy <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10001242152110.7413-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Mon, 24 Jan 2000, Southern Kiwi wrote:
> > I have a rig in my petrol engine truck, when idling I get random static
> > burst (up to strength 7), when I rev this increases and stays at
strength 7.
> > I have checked the antenna earth,coax,SWR, and have a filter on the
power
> > lead which comes from the accessory key. I suspect the alternator, is
there
> > a way I can check this without having to remove it?.
> > Thanks for any advice
>
> What happens when the truck engine is off? If there's no interference,
then
> you know it's your truck's electrical system.
>

There is no noise unless the motor is running

--
Southern Kiwi
p.mccaul@spamsucks.ihug.co.nz

3190. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <388c6b0d.5167387@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 24 Jan 2000 00:57:32 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>>On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
>
>>>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives
>
>>When was the last several examples?
>>AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?
>
>A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
>contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
>in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
>details.
>73, Jeff KH6O

If my recollection is correct. Your description
is incorrect. The "story" claims the ham was
engaged in an actual CW QSO already when
the chest pains hit and the ham then asked for
help via the continuing CW contact.

The ham did not experiance chest pains and
then turn to his rig to send an emergency
CW call with the hope that someone would
happen to hear it and reply.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3191. Re: If the Japanese can do it, why not us

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <388c7179.6811692@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 23 Jan 2000 13:55:10 -0500, "Carl R. Stevenson"
<wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message
>news:388a5e96.2458918@news.interactive.net...
>> In the USA there is an increasing pressure from some
>> sides to do exactly the same thing as is indicated
>> in the Japanesse situation. The local daily
>> newspaper recently ran an editiorial on the subject.
>>
>> While the target is cell phone users, you can bet that
>> any ban will be far more inclusive than just cell phones
>> if it happens.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
>Here in Allentown, PA, the City Council, recently voted down a
>proposed ban on the use of cellphones while driving. Seems that
>some folks realize that modern technology is here to stay and
>offers benefits.

Here in NJ, any such effort would require a state law
as municipalities can't make laws that deal with the
operation of motor vehicles. Even local speed limits
must gain a state approval to become local law for
a township road.

ALSO....
On Sun, 23 Jan 2000 14:03:59 -0700, "Aaron Jones" <no@mail.com>
wrote:
>BD wrote:
>> I always pull over to the side when someone calls.
>
>Pulling over to the side and stopping on a freeway can be difficult
>and dangerous on a freeway. The same may also be true on surface streets
>when you're in heavy traffic...
>
>>I've nearly caused too many wrecks while trying to concentrate on driving
>>and talking on the phone/radio, and I've seen it actually happen with
>>others way too many here in the dfw area...
>
>Next are you going to outlaw talking to your passengers, kids screaming,

>smoking while driving, listening to talk radio, and so on. They are all
>distracting to a certain extent. Do we really need government control for
>every little problem...

Add to that list:
Changing the radio station on the car radio
Changing a CD
Changing an audio cassette
Drinking a (non-alcoholic) beverage
Eating
etc.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3192. Re: Q on restructuring...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388cea32.2601361@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120682

In order to upgrade to general, you will have to pass the 5wpm and the
written exam for that class...

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000 12:19:04 -0500, "Ken Hansen" <khansen@njcc.com>
wrote:

>I have been otherwise occupied, but now I am curious
>about the restructuring of the licenses: I have a no-code
>Technician license, which, if I do nothing will remain
>as a third-tier (lowest grade) license. My question is,
>what will I need to do get a "second tier" license?
>Is 5 wpm code all I need, or will I also need to pass
>another written test?
>
>My impression is that with minimal effort I can (in
>effect) upgrade to previous General ticket abilities...
>
>TIA,
>
>Ken
>khansen@njcc.com
>
>

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3193. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388cea98.2704019@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hell it probably wasnt morse he was sending either. Most likely a
muscle spasm...

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000 12:59:45 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>kf6foz wrote:
>> Only thing that this post shows is that: He was hanging on to ham
>> radio in a life threatening situation and just happened to have the
>> mic in hand.
>
>It's interesting that it happened with a non-CW rig on a
>band where Morse code skill is NOT required.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3194. Re: Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388ceb05.2812802@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120684

This sounds like it is a ford. Some one else was having a similar problem but the sound was constant at idle or high RPM. In the above case, Check the electric fuel pump. In your case it sounds like an ignition cause. When I had problems with a similar situation, I had to go aut and buy 8mm plug wires and a few other items. this helped, but not enough to keep me from smashing everything on the asphalt. The owner took the truck to the dealer at my request and found that the onboard computer was throwing harmonics near a frequency of 27mhz. The onboard computer was replaced as well as a new ignition module and the noise was gone. Your case maybe different, but this was the most expensive and the last thing to do if you need to do it.... especially if your vehicle is not under warranty. (These were both FORDs).
Im happy I own a Chevy.

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000 21:52:44 +0000, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>On Mon, 24 Jan 2000, Southern Kiwi wrote:
>> I have a rig in my petrol engine truck, when idling I get random static
>> burst (up to strength 7), when I rev this increases and stays at strength 7.
>> I have checked the antenna earth,coax,SWR, and have a filter on the power
>> lead which comes from the accessory key. I suspect the alternator, is there
>> a way I can check this without having to remove it?.
>> Thanks for any advice
>
>What happens when the truck engine is off? If there's no interference, then
>you know it's your truck's electrical system.
>

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3195. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86jf53$p23$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120685

In article <86irgt$di4$1@hume.nmia.com>,
"WildBill" <WildBill@therange.com> wrote:
> My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license.

Great!!

> However, he
> is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be
frowned upon
> by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained
to him
> that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have
changed,
> and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
> actions, not by the date on his license.

I'm pretty low on the "Ham" pecking order (as one of those no code
tech geeks) but because he takes a test that has only half as many
questions on it as my test had, means nothing to me he will still
pass the FCC's requirements to recieve a license and that's what
makes him a ham, no matter what the "older and wiser" (pronounced
Old Farts) might say!

> I do not have a license, as I have
> always been happy as a "listener".

Now would be a great time for some of that father/son type stuff.
Studying and taking the test together would be something he would
be able to look back on (when older) and remember what a great guy
"Dad" was. Also then you would be one of those people that the SWL
group would be listening to.

> His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
> and he has spent many hours listening and learning.

Then both of you have a head start on the HF route.

> He also reads the
> newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him
understand the
> amateur radio hobby.

The more knowlage about the "ART" of radio the better!!

> I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
> member of the community. Comments?

I agree!! I wish my daughters would take an interest in
amateur radio, but that's another story. :^)

Bottom line is he needs to do this NOW, and not wait
like I did. I got interested when I was very young,
and got licensed when I was 42 wasting at least 30 years
that I could have been enjoying my self!!

"73" and Good Luck on your amateur adventure!
WW - KB9TMP

--
It is best not meddle in the affairs of dragons,
for you are crunchy and taste good with ketchup!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3196. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388cfd36.7470250@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120686

There will be very little to frown on him from what I hear on the bands. Will he be going for his 5 words per minute? If not, He would be frowned upon as a no-code tech anywayz. I say, Encourage him and allow him to make his own decision but, ensure him that either license he gets he will have become a ham operator with the priviliges for that license. After about 6 months the bickering and complainig out here will settle down and your son will be the leader of so called "New Blood" that ham radio will need instead of the followers and whiners that currently exist. Wish your son good luck for me and I hope to hear him on the bands in the future. As long as I'm a ham, I will talk to and help the new hams since I will have reflected an image of a "Real Ham" that they will never forget.

73 kf6foz

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000 17:42:22 -0700, "WildBill" <WildBill@therange.com> wrote:

>My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license. However, he
>is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be frowned upon
>by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained to him
>that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have changed,
>and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
>actions, not by the date on his license. I do not have a license, as I have
>always been happy as a "listener". His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
>and he has spent many hours listening and learning. He also reads the
>newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him understand the
>amateur radio hobby. I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
>member of the community. Comments?
>
>

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3197. re:which to choose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "^^*< SNOOPY 05 >*^^" <jebemtimater@cetnikill.com.hr>
Message-ID: <uq8j4.187$5l3.12572@nsw.nnrp.telstra.net>
Source record: 120687

hi all i'm in a bit of a decider.
i live in australia and want a radio that will work on the aussie uhf cb band which is 476-477mhz uhf
now i was looking at the kenwoodTMV7A
or
the alinco DR605e(the 610e)also looks very nice but very$$$$
i have been told these radio don't operate on the frequency i want but they can be moded if so which one and how, also which is better alinco or kenwood

regards
john
please reply to
jackle_666@primus.com.au

3198. Re: The END of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <86j7jj$6ln$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120688

In article <86gkbdaiq1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>claudefraud@my-deja.com wrote:
>> "Those "20WPM Extras" that are icons of Amateur Radio legend have given
>> us underlings a good education on how to act
>> on HF by putting these practices on display on 3898, and 14313 and
>> other pompous sections of those elitist bands.
>
>You might want to listen to the lower 25 kHz of those bands to hear
>the 20 wpm Extras in action.
>
>It seems that phone brings out the worst in many licensees; maybe those
>operators which are NAL'd should lose their phone privileges.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O

When was the last time you heard those kind of things going on on the extra frequencies? Last I
checked, those were the general portion of the bands. Listen to the extra portion and you will
hear the true extras.

Dale
KC8FAQ/20

3199. Help - old Icom IC-22S programming details??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radios_R_Me@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <388d216d.22597235@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Does anyone recall ever seeing instructions for the diode programming procedure on an ICOM IC-22S FM transceiver?

I have someone really needing help with it.

If anyone has an old manual with the onformation or access to an index of 73 Magazine etc., I know there were some good articles back in the early to mid 1970s about these rigs...

Anyone able to help?

Thanks & 73

3200. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <86j7o4$n7i$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120690

In article <388CA981.225E074B@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Don't confuse learning and memorization of the question pool.
>
>Ever since I entered ham radio in 1951, unknowledgeable
>newcomers have been memorizing the "question pool". Some
>of us have later actually contributed something to ham
>radio. Every endeavor has beginners and holier-than-thou
>elders who forget they were ever beginners.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

...and every endeavor has those who dumb it down.

3201. $10,000 for old tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86jqkl$1f1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120691

Hi. I am interested in buying all your old Vacuum Tubes New or Good used. I will pay top $ for whatever you have got just e-mail me with your list, and if I want it I will pay you CASH. I am especially interested in all tubes begining 800 801, 802 and so on upto 872A.

Also aLL old Transmitting Triodes such as VT4C, 211, 203A 212E and so on

Dont forget I have cash sitting here for you and an overwhelming desire to give you some of it

Regards

Jim

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3202. Re: Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23035-388D2E87-8@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120692

Doc, so glad they were bosom buddies and not buxom buddies. p.s. The world abounds with arrogance
and piracy as well as humility and sharing--different strokes for different folks!

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3203. Re: N7NT is deranged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <Jtaj4.7279$_G1.125139@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<williarsch@nandomail.com> wrote
> n7nt@primenet.com wrote:
> > The ARRL Official Observer program... prides itself on having its
> > observers being anonymous.
>
> Then how do they send out notices with their callsigns?

Not to mention that they sign their names to the notices!!

> Let's see, Mackey lies about being an OO, but he has violated the
> "secret program"?

Richard & Blake are always contradicting themselves with thier lies.

> > > Lets do it. Send complaints about John to
SNIP
> > Complaints about John Mackey should be directed to the ARRL Section
> > Communication Manager (SCM), ARRL Northwester Division Director and
SNIP
>
> And you say Mackey is obsessed?

Richard & Blake are the ones who are obsessed!! They constantly
harass me at every chance!

3204. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23037-388D30A7-1@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120694

Is he a jerk or unidentified station? If he's unidentified, how do you know he's a jerk? Or are all unidenfied stations jerks, according to you?

I'm only trying to prove a point: How often we characterize people with our own coloration, confusing it with fact.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3205. Re: Kendrick the Liar Whines and Whines and Whines...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <U%aj4.7298$_G1.127381@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said, very well said.

As you point out Richard is 1/2 of the problem, & obviously
Blake is the other 1/2.

"PaulM" <paulm@mindspring.com>
> And tell him, and tell him, and tell him, and tell him.....My God, you
> have WAYYYYY to much time on yer hands! What kills me is your inablilty
> to understand that by your refusing to stop replying, you are absolutely,
> 100 percent, and undeniably exactly HALF of the problem! MORE, really,
> because you have the power to stop it all. YOUR obsession with John is
> *exactly* equal to HIS obsession with CHEEK!
> Don't you know how this makes you appear? I had you kill-filed months
> ago, and then I trashed my filters and lookie here.... RICHARD KENDRICK
> right there in the middle of the shit pile!
>
> You're AMAZING...

3206. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmoisan@shore.net
Message-ID: <388bcef8.28237817@news.shore.net>
Source record: 120696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 16:49:38 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Mr Cold Shower wrote:
>> I can Fart code at 5 WPM.
>
>If it's any more than a "dit" or "dah", we know why you
>are bent out of shape. Try Beano next time.

His wife is probably irritated by his key-down duty cycle.

Take care,

Dave

David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL n1kgh@amsat.org
Invisible Disability: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
GE Superradio FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
Sangean ATS-909 FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

3207. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmoisan@shore.net
Message-ID: <388d351a.119933959@news.shore.net>
Source record: 120697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 12:32:03 -0600, AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net> wrote:

>With no previous radio or code experience I went from CBer to extra in 7
>months,I didn't learn a damn thing from the question pools.What little I
>know I learned from hands-on and doing a lot of non-question-pool
>reading.

College grads say the same. It was ever thus.

Take care,

Dave

David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL n1kgh@amsat.org
Invisible Disability: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
GE Superradio FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
Sangean ATS-909 FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

3208. O-scope repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Ross <pragmatist@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <388D6DF8.AB22305F@kc.rr.com>
Source record: 120698

I have a very nice Heathbuilt O-scope that has been giving me fits lately. I am the third owner of this thing, and its in near mint condition. The inside is very clean and all wireing seems to be in order

from what I can see. I get no output to the CRT at all, however. I have replaced all the vaccuum tubes in the thing and when the unit is turned on, they all light up just fine. I am beginning to suspect that I may very well have a bad CRT, which I am sure is very difficult to find. Any

suggestions before I go on the Quest for the Holy Grail in finding a very expensive and probably no longer manufactured part that I have no part numbers on?

--

"One World, One Web, One Program" - Microsoft Promotional Ad
"Ein Volk, Ein Reich, Ein Fuhrer" - Adolf Hitler

3209. Re: Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86k733$9ed$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120699

In article <86ir7t$b6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> You left the frequency bird brain! Do you think that the frequency is
> reserved for your Highness for hours after you leave. Get real, you
> don't like Lenny and Bob because they ended your little grm gig off the
> freq with that treadmill from Hell. Get on it, rev it up and take off
> across the Atlantic and stop half way.
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> In article <86ib52$6kq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > Where is the "Enforcer" when you need him? Take this morning for
> > instance-please. I was having a "treadmill 2" contact on 14.313 with
> a gent
> > in Florida and after coincluding, without as much as giving a listen
> to hear
> > if I had signed off, in came the two bosom buddies, KT4TR and K2IVX
> to take
> > over the frequency. It was a clear cut case of piracy and poor amateur
> > practice but did I send an e-mail to K4ZDH? No way. And since I no
> longer
> > use a tape recorder during QSOs, forget it. For one reason or another
> > "Badblood" and "Tippecanoe Schaier" think they're immune from the
> same "laws
> > of Riley" that govern us all. What lends to such arrogance? Beats me
> but I
> > assure you that what goes around, comes around.
> > AF2Y
> > P.S. With the new FCC restructuring, can N0VFP become an Extra
> overnight?
> > God, what a thought!
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Seems as if the demented fool from Clifton is having memory problems. AF2Y
has a new tactic now and he started last week by calling CQ when he hears
amateurs on the frequency that he does not like. This tactic started last
week and now everymorning he does the same old trick. The best way to treat

this idiot is to ignore him and possibly he will get the picture. He has been shunned by most all amateurs and can call cq for hhours , and there will be no reply to his calls. It is rather laughable.

He was a;so removed from AOL because of his attacks on individuals on the net. Too bad his wife was so upset about being thrown off the service. He should have thought about how his wife would have been deprived from her internet service if he had not stopped his foolish behavior. Iris, we know that it was not your fault. You obviously were not aware of the behavior of Charles.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3210. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86k3oegtl1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Maybe if you weren't so "narrow minded" Kim..you would save your deep
insight for people you know and have met/talked to before.

de Tim K0FL

<<Kim..the ham radio operator typed with her wittle fingers..>>

> I consider you pretty narrow-sighted for your opinions, and I plan
>never to conduct myself like that, that's all.
>
>>
>Kim W5TIT

3211. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86k4e2lfe1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

I have alot of time and effort invested in ham radio Cecil. I love it as much now as I ever did. I'm just tired of all the pissing and moaning. Restructuring is a done deal..there isn't a damn thing me or anyone else can do about it. If I have an opinion
that "tit" doesn't like..I'm a bad guy...well piss on her and anyone like her. If she/they take that attitude to the HF bands they are digging their own graves. When the "narrow minded" "old farts" don't listen to their crap..it'll start a war on HF that'll make 14.313 and 3.950 look like preschool.

de Tim K0FL

W6RCecilA wrote in message <388CA2D7.FD3FDEA3@IEEE.org>...
>randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>> At least you are
>> right about one thing Kim..I really don't care anymore.
>
>Too bad, Tim. Caring hams have always been the backbone of the ARS.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3212. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4E22AE210A4490A1.36A9490566200EF4.8EC936A0C694296C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

BD wrote:
>
> And your reply had nothing at all to do with what I was saying (when taking
> in context with what I replied to)...
> TITty was saying that you should never complain about changes.. I've not
> even marched in front of the FCC over this change, btw, but that doesn't
> mean I have to like it in order to be "open minded"...
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:388CA418.8369C54A@IEEE.org...
> > BD wrote:
> > > ... "open minded" requires that you're willing to accept ALL changes?
> >
> > When it's a done deal - unless you are willing to go to war with
> > the feds. Denying reality only hurts the one doing the denying.

And you, COCKy, place way too much misinterpretation into my words. But
you are an over-reactor, aren't you...

Kim W5TIT

3213. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DCBED75D18A691D6.F99FAD9D1EB7069A.92BA2F999BD1FB1C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Maybe if you weren't so "narrow minded" Kim..you would save your deep
> insight for people you know and have met/talked to before.
>
> de Tim K0FL
>
> <<Kim..the ham radio operator typed with her wittle fingers..>>
>
> > I consider you pretty narrow-sighted for your opinions, and I plan
> >never to conduct myself like that, that's all.
> >
> >>
> >Kim W5TIT

I love it when I make a point, so I am told to pack up my bags and go
home. ROFLMAO

Kim W5TIT

Debating with facts and evidence are the tools of those holding fast to
the truth.
Anger and censorship are the tools of those who find the truth getting
in the path of their desires.

3214. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM <d.breeden@nospam.wcom.com>
Message-ID: <388DA7E6.BD2A9DE7@nospam.wcom.com>
Source record: 120704

I am glad so far you have been getting good feedback.

I would not consider him any less than a ham at any time with any license. Remember amateur is closely related to a latin word the means for the love of it. It has noting to do with his expertise.

Unfortunately, as with all hobbies, there are bad apples and I or any one else can do any thing about them unless they don't follow rules and regulation or break the law.

Fortunately, you will fine 99.9% of the hams will more than welcome him and will assist in any way with one stipulation. He do the same for someone else.

There is lots of room for more new faces, many sub hobbies, vhf, microwave, digital, contesting, public service just to name a few.

Goon luck and best regards (73's)

Dennis WB3KUM/9

3215. Re: Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <388DC673.49920826@nutcase.net>
Source record: 120705

Hey dick head enforcer. What has this got to do with HAM radio.
Lets keep it that way.... OK

D

RFEnforcer wrote:
>
> In article <86ib52$6kq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>, "radiosigs@mindspring.com"
> <radiosigs@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> > It was a clear cut case of piracy and poor amateur
> >practice but did I send an e-mail to K4ZDH? No way. And since I no longer
> >use a tape recorder during QSOs, forget it.
>
> So why are you whining about it on here? Why not just follow your own advice
> and "forget it."
>
> And yes, we're still waiting to hear your explanation re: what happened to your
> dental practice.
>
> Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all
> discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

3216. Re: Blake Bowers is caught in MORE lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <o0ij4.7419$_G1.130956@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote

> Caught in yet another lie John? My replies in the alt.parg newsgroups
> are entirely appropiate, as many will agree.

Wrong!

The NG of alt.parg was started for members of Phantom't Amateur Radio Group, International
for distribution of information prior to the PARG mailing list starting. It was NOT
started as a place for you to harass & cause trouble.

3217. Re: Mackey vs. Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <EZhj4.7418$_G1.131008@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120707
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Perhaps if you would just STOP all your harassing then everything
would return to normal, Blake.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> It is the only way I know of to deal with him. Dealings that
> one would have with a normal person just don't seem to work.

3218. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388da133.3988810@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Just trying to kill this thread in the rec.radio.cb group

On Tue, 25 Jan 2000 06:59:46 -0600, "W5TIT, Kim Walker"
<kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:

>BD wrote:
>>
>> And your reply had nothing at all to do with what I was saying (when taking
>> in context with what I replied to)...
>> TITty was saying that you should never complain about changes.. I've not
>> even marched in front of the FCC over this change, btw, but that doesn't
>> mean I have to like it in order to be "open minded"...
>>
>> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>> news:388CA418.8369C54A@IEEE.org...
>> > BD wrote:
>> > > ... "open minded" requires that you're willing to accept ALL changes?
>> >
>> > When it's a done deal - unless you are willing to go to war with
>> > the feds. Denying reality only hurts the one doing the denying.
>
>And you, COCKy, place way too much misinterpretation into my words. But
>you are an over-reactor, aren't you...
>
>Kim W5TIT

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3219. Re: need info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C.H. Parsons" <chparsons@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <wAij4.4$6X5.834@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120709
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

If you have a business in your area that does installs for police cars, they just might
have something that would fill the bill for you as well.

"Adam Lind" <chip1@TheRamp.net> wrote in message
news:s8l2h5uieh6156@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello everybody. I am in need of a mounting system for the radios in my
car.
> It dosen't matter to me if it is a console or mounting bracket, so long as
> there is room for my rigs. I need to know where to go to get one, or who
> makes these. Thanks in advance.
> Adam
> chip1@theramp.net
>
>
>
>

3220. Dirty Dealer Robertwhite@AOL.COM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: BamBam <kl0an@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86kkjs$ju5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120710

In early December I made contact with this individual Robert White (W1HF) of PeaceDale, RI and we arranged for the sale of his Hustler 2 meter antenna. I sent him a money order for the agreed upon price of $20 and when he recieved it he wrote back stating that the shipping was too much via UPS or whatever he was using. I replied and asked him to send it USPS Ground or cheapest way possible. He replied that he would and also that he sent it. After three weeks I sent him another e-mail asking about it and he said he sent it. That was the 28th of December and I still haven't recieved the package or anything from Robert White. I've e-mailed him a couple of times the past week and he doesn't even bother to respond.

Be aware of this guy. He sounded like a decent person in the e-mails back and forth but, now I'm out $20..

If you know this guy personally, make sure you tell him about this message, I'd welcome any response from him.

All my other deals thru this newsgroup have come out well, it's a shame that one individual has to ruin a perfect record.

And yes this will be cross-posted to a couple of other newsgroups because I feel it's important to warn other newsgroup users of dirty dealers. Sorry for the inconvenience.

Paul Jordan
Fairbanks, AK
kl0an

-- Failure Is Not An Option. It's bundled with your software.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3221. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Con Daly <radioman27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E9jj4.35573$Mg.526806@c01read03-admin.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 120711

Yo,

Whatever about the whole issue being over or not, the fact remains that
5 WPM code TAUGHT at 5 WPM speeds is actually HARDER to learn than
12-13 WPM code. There has already been much written on this matter by
the self styled code doctors and much of it is quite true.
I think that it is a sham that this has been allowed to happen when
anyone who knows anything about the psychology behind morse reception
knows that this is a well established fact. Just who made this
decision?? If they are going to use farnsworth type spacing for the
tests then this is fair enough but I don't think they will do that.
The insanity of the situation makes me cringe. The people learning it
will inevitably set their tutors or software to 5 wpm with proportional
spacing and so end up putting in much more effort than they have to.
THIS IS A FACT! They have made the code test harder instead of easier.
unnngggggh. The world's going mad.

73's

Con EI5IK
--
Free audio & video emails, greeting cards and forums
Talkway - http://www.talkway.com - Talk more ways (sm)

3222. FS:Radio & Telegraph Catalogs on CD's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Massey <N6TT@jps.net>
Message-ID: <388dd184_3@news.jps.net>
Source record: 120712

Check out the Radio & Telegraphy LIST of 105 Catalogs on "CD"s-
HomeSpun Catalogs http://www.telegraph-wireless.com/Homespun

3223. Re: O-scope repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ktebquv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120713

In article <388D6DF8.AB22305F@kc.rr.com>,
Chris Ross <pragmatist@kc.rr.com> wrote:
> I have a very nice Heathbuilt O-scope that has been giving me fits
> lately. I am the third owner of this thing, and its in near mint
> condition. The inside is very clean and all wireing seems to be in
order
>
> from what I can see. I get no output to the CRT at all, however. I
have
> replaced all the vaccuum tubes in the thing and when the unit is
turned
> on, they all light up just fine. I am beginning to suspect that I may
> very well have a bad CRT, which I am sure is very difficult to find.
Any
>
> suggestions before I go on the Quest for the Holy Grail in finding a
> very expensive and probably no longer manufactured part that I have no
> part numbers on?
>
> --
>
> "One World, One Web, One Program" - Microsoft Promotional Ad
> "Ein Volk, Ein Reich, Ein Fuhrer" - Adolf Hitler
>
>
Does the CRT filament light up? If not check the crt tube socket and
for continuity through the crt filiments. If the crt fil. circuit
looks good trace the wire down to the source of the voltage to find the
problem.

If the crt lights up then check for high voltage at the anode of the
crt. If there....turn all lights down in the room. Turn scope back on
and see if there is any light at all in the crt. If not, try cleaning
all the pots and switches. It may be a bad contact/connection.

If nothing here works, buy a new crt.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3224. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86kn0p$t02$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Can you post a response without calling names or putting labels..??
Geezz..you talk about the old timers being judgmental..they could take
lesions from you (but they won't)

de Tim K0FL

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote in message
<4E22AE210A4490A1.36A9490566200EF4.8EC936A0C694296C@lp.airnews.net>...
>BD wrote:
>>
>> And your reply had nothing at all to do with what I was saying (when
taking
>> in context with what I replied to)...
>> TITty was saying that you should never complain about changes.. I've not
>> even marched in front of the FCC over this change, btw, but that doesn't
>> mean I have to like it in order to be "open minded"...
>>
>> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>> news:388CA418.8369C54A@IEEE.org...
>> > BD wrote:
>> > > ... "open minded" requires that you're willing to accept ALL changes?
>> >
>> > When it's a done deal - unless you are willing to go to war with
>> > the feds. Denying reality only hurts the one doing the denying.
>
>And you, COCKy, place way too much misinterpretation into my words. But
>you are an over-reactor, aren't you...
>
>Kim W5TIT

3225. Re: Dirty Dealer Robertwhite@AOL.COM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4gksATmindspring.com
Message-ID: <388de174.59739876@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120715

On Tue, 25 Jan 2000 16:56:31 GMT, BamBam <kl0an@my-deja.com> wrote:

Get a tracking number from him. He ought to have at least that much.

3226. Re: 3.950 in 73 Magazine !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000125130131.00361.00000638@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120716

> For the record I edited nothing. Oh my gosh, W1wcr said something to aa8dp
>about his remarks. N2saggy said something about aa8dp's remarks? Isn't that
>ironic, how people have been angry at the net and the net members for certain
>speech, and now we have the net members themselves practicing cencorship.
> Belay the spin and tap dancing herr stromhitler, call it what it is _
>Racist
>slurs and remarks. After all we all know that's what the net is made up of.
>This isn't the first instance of this taking place. Although it is the first
>where anyone has decided to chastize AA8DP for his remarks. I guess it's only
>because the LIGHT is on you & the Net right now.
> was this also edited herr stom?
For the record...I do not speak for WB4AIO...i just wish to adress the
issues raised here.--N8WGM

WRONG!!!!!!!!!!
There have been many comments made in regard to the comments made......I myself
have gone against some of the language used. I have heard W1WCR, N2SAG, WB4AIO
say in the past that this is not acceptable.
You seem to be upset that someone says NIGGER or is a member of some
group....yet you do not speak out against illegal jamming, death threats, music
playing, slanders, etc. .

>> So Martin Luther King had moral lapses!
>> So what?! He was still a great man!

Palllllleeeeese? Martin Luther King a great Man? What world do you live in? A
great man who was caught plagerizing his doctoral thesis...and his support of
communist leaders and their support of him. The Russians took great glee in
MLK's activites knowing what havock they would wreak. Recent documents released
by the Russians support this.
Don't get me wrong....the blacks in this country did not have a great
chance to succeed in the past. But they went down the road to destruction for
themselves by relying on GOVERNMENT to solve their problems. And MLK was the
Key to government intrusion into their lives. If they would have done as other
minority groups have done..such as the Asian Americans and the Jewish people
and put an emphasis on eductation and self reliance instead of affirmitive
acations and they would be far better off today. And anyone who is supported
by the Communist party world is not a great man. The communists killed hundreds
of millions.....far more than Hitler ever dreamed of. And yet you do not come
out against Stalin or Mao or Pol Pot...alll I ever hear from you people is
Hitler and the Nazis. Hitler was bad---Stalin was worse...and Mao was the
biggest killer of all.......

>King David was an adulterer and a murderer yet God
>> reckoned him as a man after his own heart...

Yous seem to think you know what God thinks by making a comparison of King David and MLK....wellllllllll.....
Ok say you ar right. Then we can safely ASSUME that the devil, who was being used by God sent Hitler to destroy the Jewish people because of their hate of Christians.....read the Talmud.....

>> How about the Christian New Testament.
>> Paul was a murderer yet his work is considered
>> sacred.....

The thing about Paul was that he REPENTED of his actions to God....MLK was using prostitutes and drinking up to the very day he died. He did not Repent.

>> But yet, surely inspite of his moral failings and
>> prejudices, he was a hero. He withstood
>> the Nazi onslaught.....

And Churchill and FDR gave away Eastern Europe to the Evil Empire that is the Soviet Union. Millions died under Stalin after the war. Churchill was a hero to those who are mis-informed and do not undertstand history and why things happen.

>> you folks like Thomas Jefferson a whole bunch but he philandered with a
>> > > *black* woman. How do you like that?

That is nothing more than BS. Ther is no credible proof that Jefferson ever had an afair with a black slave.
N8WGM

3227. VA3GJB info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86l2sh$v59$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120717

If anyone cares to check on QRZ you will find that VA3GJB, Graham Dyer, is listed as AD. AD means advanced.

AD means he has passed all theory and 12 wpm of that class. Which by the way gives him full hf and power authorization on all HF bands available to Canadian amateurs. Which include 20 and 75 meter phone.

You may confirm this by going to the RAC Canada home page at:

www.rac.ca/

Or if you want Ill gladly forward my copy of the frequency authorizations if you send me an e-mail requesting same. At:

w4nti@arrl.net

73

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3228. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000125132051.00361.00000643@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120718

>Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
>figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
>stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created.
Please inform me.....
Name one policy that Clinton put into effect that Gave us this great economy.

> Real wages are going up for
>the first
>time since 1973. (Put another way, real wages were going down during the
>whole
>so-called boom of the 80's.)
By whose account....the Governents? Why then is the inflation rate tied to
such things as shoe laces and macaroni when in real terms the inflation rate is
more dependent on housing costs and fuel.....things the government disregards
when figuring thier numbers. Don't believe everything you read or hear.

>The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!

Now why in the world would the Fed be worried about someething like that? Why
would a bunch of non government banks be worried about people making too much
money? Remember the Federal reserve isn't Federal at all.

>Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.

Thanks to concealed carry in many states.

>Welfare roles are shrinking.
Thanks to the insistance by the republicans to change welfare despite Clinton's
objection to welfare reform.

>Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
>

Yes he's a very effective LIAR. Remeber--he's the only elected president to be
impeached and the only president to be judged to have lied to a court and held
in contempt of that court. And I've seem to remember a famous quote-----"I've
never had any improper relations with that woman, Ms. Lewinski...." And don't
forget the rape allegations.......which to this day have not been adressed.
>"bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
>it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.

Yes he is a very sucessful liar..philanderer....you have been decieved!
>The American public is not dumb at all.
>

No they are not .....they have been misinformed as you seem to have been.

I am not a Republican ...not a Democrat.....just a guy who loves America.......and the 2 party system in this country is killing it!
N8WGM

3229. A decent QST for once

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86l394viv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I give kudos where they're due, which is rarely in 21st century America.

The February QST is an improvement over the past several issues... it's nigh time they remade each issue into a combination of HF and VHF, not just 2 meter FM CB projects, product reviews, antennas, gripes, moanings, how-to's and the like.

I don't dislike VHF or UHF, I'm active on the weak signal and satellite ends of both. But I loathe the simple-simon nature of FM CBing, and pray I'll never see the deluge of dumb-dumb and FM articles I just witnessed for most of 1999 again.

Emulating Popular Communications CB section with a FM mobile or HT of the month review is a sure-fire way to lose members... why, there's going to be so many new hams on HF (cough cough), why would they want to go the FM direction anyway ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3230. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <292F5A124EBD8967.74C75C4C7240D5B7.289FA9C181090337@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

randas@mindspring.com wrote in article
<86kn0p$t02$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Can you post a response without calling names or putting labels..??
> Geezz..you talk about the old timers being judgmental..they could take
> lesions from you (but they won't)
>
> de Tim K0FL
>

OK, wait. You can call me TITy, but I can't call you COCKy? Uh huh....

Kim W5TIT

3231. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86ksas$gq6$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

As usual..you are confused Kim. It wasn't me who called you anything. Thats more your MO than mine. Why don't we try to tone things down a bit...talk about radios, how great dx is on the cw bands etc...

de Tim K0FL

W5TIT/Kim wrote in message
<292F5A124EBD8967.74C75C4C7240D5B7.289FA9C181090337@lp.airnews.net>...
>
>
>randas@mindspring.com wrote in article
><86kn0p$t02$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> Can you post a response without calling names or putting labels..??
>> Geezz..you talk about the old timers being judgmental..they could take
>> lesions from you (but they won't)
>>
>> de Tim K0FL
>>
>
>OK, wait. You can call me TITy, but I can't call you COCKy? Uh huh....
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

3232. NEWSLINE....Scam...STAY TUNED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rlw@msn.com
Message-ID: <388df6b2.2421453@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120722

Keep watch for our new posts on NEWSLINE, and Bill Pasternak's TOYS we are all asked to pay for..!!!! <<<_-----

3233. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <A0AA48F66262239E.C0A1094220947715.A52776040DBE6D04@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom, I understand your frustration with the attitudes of posts here, but I can't help but wonder why in the world you have seemed to sinlge me out as the only person here who has that type of attitude???????!!!!!! I've only been subscribed here for a couple of weeks and "walked" into this brawl....it seems the norm for this NG....

Kim W5TIT

randas@mindspring.com wrote in article
<86ksas$gq6$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> As usual..you are confused Kim. It wasn't me who called you anything. Thats
> more your MO than mine. Why don't we try to tone things down a bit...talk
> about radios, how great dx is on the cw bands etc...
>
> de Tim K0FL

3234. Re: NEWSLINE....Scam...STAY TUNED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <DWmj4.6901$ln.913634@news4.mia>
Source record: 120724

Do you have some proof? Bill is doing a GREAT Job! Just leave him alone
ok?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

rlw@msn.com wrote in message
<388df6b2.2421453@news.earthlink.net>...
>
>Keep watch for our new posts on NEWSLINE, and Bill Pasternak's TOYS we
>are all asked to pay for..!!!! <<<_-----
>

3235. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86kugb$a2j$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kim..you understand my frustration as well as you know my name (Tim). I didn't single you out for anything. You just seem to inject yourself with a flair that many people think deserves a response. Instead of going out of your way to bump heads with people (name calling)... keep it a bit lighter. I have no problem with you..just how quick you start the name calling when someone disagrees with you. If you don't want to have anyone disagree with you..then you better stick with talking to yourself in the mirror. So...how about that dx on 20m cw..??

de "Tim" K0FL

W5TIT/Kim wrote in message ...
>Tom, I understand your frustration with the attitudes of posts here, but I
>can't help but wonder why in the world you have seemed to sinlge me out as
the
>only person here who has that type of attitude???????!!!!!! I've only been
>subscribed here for a couple of weeks and "walked" into this brawl....it
seems
>the norm for this NG....
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
>randas@mindspring.com wrote in article
><86ksas$gq6$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> As usual..you are confused Kim. It wasn't me who called you anything.
Thats
>> more your MO than mine. Why don't we try to tone things down a bit...talk
>> about radios, how great dx is on the cw bands etc...
>>
>> de Tim K0FL
>

3236. Re: What are the HF bands like nowadays? (off for 20yrs)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86l95d$46j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120726

In 1977 when I was most active you could do that with a tribander up 50
feet and a kilowatt.

Still the same sort of requirements?

I remember I used to fantasize about building a giant antenna farm with
32 elements on 20 meters up 250 feet, etc. Now that I could
potentially "afford" such a thing I somehow have altered my priorities
a bit...

Glad to hear the Internet hasn't killed off interest in Amateur Radio!

In article <GD4j4.13699$k25.1027451@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>,
"Andy Wallace" <andywallace@home.com> wrote:
> You can now easily work over 100 countries during one contest
weekend. DX
> is alive and well.
>
> Andy K5VM
>
> mike <mg_weber2@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:86ec76$5k2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > For some reason the radio bug is starting to bite again. What are
the
> > various bands like now? I mean in terms of DX action, what's hot
and
> > what's not?
> >
> > I was last active in 1980 (contesting and DXing)and I imagine that
the
> > Internet has drained a lot of young talent away.
> >
> > Anyone worked DXCC on Internet chat?
> >
> > Just curious about what 80/40/20/15/10 are like (and aren't there
> > some "new" bands like 30m??)
> >
> > I feel like I've just thawed out of an ice block...
> >
> > Mike WB9WFJ/M0AGP
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3237. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86l9pu$4km$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120727

The point is on the top of your head Bob, you are a piece of work. You would try to prove Jack the ripper a nice guy, Lizzy Borden a misunderstood nice, lady. And last but not least, "PORKBUTT" Whiting a decent fine gentleman. Hogwash. Captain Truth is a common qrmer!! and not in the least bit nice.

Gotchagoat 2000

In article <23037-388D30A7-1@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Is he a jerk or unidentified station? If he's unidentified, how do you
> know he's a jerk? Or are all unidenfied stations jerks, according to
> you?
>
> I'm only trying to prove a point: How often we characterize people with
> our own coloration, confusing it with fact.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3238. Re: VA3GJB info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86lc0d$6au$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120728

In article <86l2sh$v59$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> If anyone cares to check on QRZ you will find that VA3GJB, Graham
> Dyer, is listed as AD. AD means advanced.
>
> AD means he has passed all theory and 12 wpm of that class. Which by
> the way gives him full hf and power authorization on all HF bands
> available to Canadian amateurs. Which include 20 and 75 meter phone.
>
> You may confirm this by going to the RAC Canada home page at:
>
> www.rac.ca/
>
> Or if you want Ill gladly forward my copy of the frequency
> authorizations if you send me an e-mail requesting same. At:
>
> w4nti@arrl.net
>
> 73
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Dan, will you ever get it out of your head, the truth is
not an issue with these people? <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3239. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388f0d0c.9957815@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120729

On 25 Jan 2000 18:20:51 GMT, n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:

>>Eight years of Clinton, and we're looking at the lowest unemployment
>>figures in modern times. Structurally, we've got an economy that's far
>>stronger than anything "Reagonomics" ever created.
>Please inform me.....
>Name one policy that Clinton put into effect that Gave us this great economy.
>
> > Real wages are going up for
>>the first
>>time since 1973. (Put another way, real wages were going down during the
>>whole
>>so-called boom of the 80's.)
>By whose account....the Governents? Why then is the inflation rate tied to
>such things as shoe laces and macaroni when in real terms the inflation rate is
>more dependent on housing costs and fuel.

I recall paying about 28 cents a gallon for gas for my new Camaro in
1969, based on today's costs it's about 4.5 times that. My wages have
gone up more than 4.5 times what they were in 1969, have yours ?

....things the government disregards
>when figuring thier numbers. Don't believe everything you read or hear.
>
>
>>The Fed is worried that working people might be making too much money!
>
>Now why in the world would the Fed be worried about someething like that? Why
>would a bunch of non government banks be worried about people making too much
>money? Remember the Federal reserve isn't Federal at all.
>
>>Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>
>Thanks to concealed carry in many states.

Does NYC have concealed carry ?

>
>>Welfare roles are shrinking.
>Thanks to the insistance by the republicans to change welfare despite Clinton's
> objection to welfare reform.

By "caring Republicans" no doubt , they're the ones that don't want
locks placed on dumpsters.

>
>
>>Verdict on eight years of Clinton is obvious; he's effective.
>>
>
>Yes he's a very effective LIAR. Remeber--he's the only elected president to be
>impeached and the only president to be judged to have lied to a court and held
>in contempt of that court. And I've seem to remember a famous quote-----"I've
>never had any improper relations with that woman, Ms. Lewinski...."

Don't forget NAZI Ken Starrs infatuation with Clinton's sex life. It's
been reported he spent a lot of time in the 'bathroom' reading all the
lurid details of the affair (I wonder ???? what he possibly could
have been doing ????? ;-)) . I only hope someday you or your wife
get a little NAZI prosecutor like Ken Starr to investigate your sex
lives. If it weren't for the courts NAZI Ken would have subverted the
attorney-client privelege.

> And don't
>forget the rape allegations.......which to this day have not been adressed.
>>"bread-and-butter" issues in 1996 (and 2000),
>>it's obvious why we elected Clinton. We like success.

Oh yeah, decades later someone appears out of the bushes to make
wild-eyed claims ... Ever hear of Clarence Thomas ??

>
>Yes he is a very sucessful liar..philanderer....you have been decieved!
>>The American public is not dumb at all.
>>
>
>No they are not .....they have been misinformed as you seem to have been.

I'll take ole Bill warts and all.

>
>I am not a Republican ...not a Democrat.....just a guy who loves
>America.......and the 2 party system in this country is killing it!
>N8WGM

So write Jesse (The Body) Ventura in as your candidate , complete with
feather boa.

3240. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A0DB16DDA9B0269.1913C9BE3251F848.B410B568BA05BD8C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in article <X5nj4.155$bu.1484@news.swbell.net>...
> You can't be that retarded.. You yourself call yourself Tit...
> I don't remember seeing anyone calling themselves W5COK..
> DO YOU?
>

OK, COCKy, when did I call myself that?

Kim W5TIT

Run, fast! It's getting deep in here!!!

3241. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BF1C5D1899AB5C97.964462959B1ACC8B.B23214B6FFAAECD2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in article <09nj4.156$bu.1574@news.swbell.net>...
> You singled yourself out with that 'handle'... You choose such and then
> expect people to look the other way.. Sort of like teens playing their rap
> loud enough to shake the walls and then wondering why anyone complains.
> They start the 'loop' on purpose to get the attention. You mentally-flash
> everyone and then act like the innocent little dame...
>

Oh, OK, thanks for the clarification...*cough* I love it *choke* when
something begins *hack, cough* to make sense...*choke* Then, I had you pegged
correctly when I called you COCKy...I thought so to begin with.

Kim W5TIT

> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:A0AA48F66262239E.C0A1094220947715.A52776040DBE6D04@lp.airnews.net...
> > , but I
> > can't help but wonder why in the world you have seemed to sinlge me out as
> the
> > only person here who has that type of attitude???????!!!!!! I've only
> been
> > subscribed here for a couple of weeks and "walked" into this brawl....it
> seems
> > the norm for this NG....
>

3242. Re: AF2, IRIS BECAME IRATE ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ms36$7ic$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120333

hammer007 wrote in message <86lljj$d1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Word has it that when Iris was informed of the situation with the
provider
>she became irate and went ballistic !!! Charlie should behave and
these
>things would not happen. Iris should really tighten up on this
Svengali.

But the question is, did Iris become as irate as Eileen when she made you operate excusively "mobile?" "Mobile" operation via a dipole in the grove, drinking by yourself, all alone.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3243. Re: mr__cracker a liar....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ms77$7j9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120334

Anon wrote in message <200001260250.VAA23724@cotse.com>...
>This message was posted anonymously:
>
>Cracker is a congenital liar and not to be believed.This
>malcontent tubba lard is a delusional bottom feeder.
>

Yeah, but who has more brains, Mr. Cracker or his pet birds?
I'd place money on the birds.....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3244. Re: VA3GJB, Bootlegger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86msbc$7la$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120335

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote in message
<388E8D4D.D6A61EE9@hotmail.com>...
>
>
>Anon wrote:
>
>> This message was posted anonymously:
>>
>> Cracker is a congenital liar and not to be believed.This
>> malcontent tubba lard is a delusional bottom feeder.
>
>Wow, snappy comeback. You must be part of the "Dyer" dream team. I
>believe Industry Canada will love meeting an attorney of your calibre,
>moron.
>

Too bad you weren't in that delapidated T-bird, O' Brainless.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3245. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86msft$7m8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120336

Chip wrote in message <388fa3e2.5991993@news.usol.com>...
>On 26 Jan 2000 06:33:06 GMT, n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:
>
>>>>Name one policy that Clinton put into effect that Gave us this
great
>>>economy.
>>
>I recall paying about 28 cents a gallon for gas for my new Camaro in
>1969, based on today's costs it's about 4.5 times that. My wages have
>gone up more than 4.5 times what they were in 1969, have yours ?
>
>>
>>No answer to my question- so I'll ask again.....-Name one policy that
Clinton
>>proposed and put into effect that gave us this great economy.....
>
>Here's the part you snipped out:
>
>> > Real wages are going up for
>>>the first
>>>time since 1973. (Put another way, real wages were going down during
the
>>>whole
>>>so-called boom of the 80's.)
>>By whose account....the Governents? Why then is the inflation rate
tied to
>>such things as shoe laces and macaroni when in real terms the
inflation rate is
>>more dependent on housing costs and fuel.
>
>See my question above again. You bitch about fuel costs but when
>presented with evidence you snip it out and hide.
>
>
>
>>>>>Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>>>>
>>
>>>>Thanks to concealed carry in many states.
>>>
>>
>>>Does NYC have concealed carry ?
>>>
>>>

>>
>>No it does not-
>
>Well there goes your theory about concealed carry. Crime rates have
>gone down all over not just in concealed carry states.
>
>>-----but NYC has Rudolph Julianie..a republican who had to clean
>>up after Dinkins------and Clinton suported Dinkins for re-election.
If Dinkins
>>was re-elected---NYC would have a heck of a lot more crime than it
does. See
>>the states that have concealed carry----Texas, Florida, etc.....the
murder
>>rates, the robery rates and all other types of crimes have dropped in
the
>>states that made it lawful to cary a gun.
>>
>>>>>Welfare roles are shrinking.
>>>>Thanks to the insistance by the republicans to change welfare
despite
>>>Clinton's
>>>> objection to welfare reform.
>>>
>>>By "caring Republicans" no doubt , they're the ones that don't want
>>>locks placed on dumpsters.
>>
>> "Republicans" insisted on reforming welfare. Clinton resisted at
first..but
>>had to join in due to public opinion polls that showed that the vast
majority
>>of americans wanted changes made to stop this welfare socialism that
bread even
>>more poverty. As far as locks on dumpsters........well I'm sure that
you would
>>not like people living in your dumpster if you were a business. I
must say that
>>your attempt to troll is a little weak at this point.
>
>As far as trolling how about the BILLIONS in Corporate Welfare in the
>form of tax breaks, loopholes and subsidies that Big Bidness gets. But
>that doesn't count right ? because those are "special interests."
>
>
>>
>>>>Yes he's a very effective LIAR. Remeber--he's the only elected
president to
>>>be
>>>>impeached and the only president to be judged to have lied to a
court and
>>>held

>>>>in contempt of that court. And I've seem to remember a famous
>>>quote-----"I've
>>>>never had any improper relations with that woman, Ms. Lewinski...."
>>>
>>>Don't forget NAZI Ken Starrs infatuation with Clinton's sex life.
It's
>>>been reported he spent a lot of time in the 'bathroom' reading all
the
>>>lurid details of the affair (I wonder ???? what he possibly could
>>>have been doing ????? ;-)
>>
>>Whats with you people? Calling anyone who disagrees with you a nazi.
>
>I get nervous when an out of control NAZI prosector digs into ones
>personal PRIVATE sex life and issues subpoenas to BOOKSTORES. Sig Heil
>Herr Reichsmarshall Starr. The right wing Republican Gun nuts can
>blather on all day long about intrusive big gumm't but then they turn
>their backs when a NAZI prosecutor delves into ones private, personal
>sex life.
>
>> I don't
>>recall Ken Starr ever procaliming his support of national socialism
(AKA
>>NAZISIM). And where is your source that proves that Ken Starr sat in
a
>>bathroom reading all the lurid details. Seems you are making up
stories to
>>support your hypothesis that Bill Clinton is so wonderfull.
>>
>>>) . I only hope someday you or your wife
>>>get a little NAZI prosecutor like Ken Starr to investigate your sex
>>>lives. If it weren't for the courts NAZI Ken would have subverted the
>>>attorney-client privelege.
>>
>>What? Ken Starr was never at Nueremburg. He's not old enough to be a
Nazi
>>prosecutor.
>> I've never needed to be investigated by the judicial branch of
the
>>Federal government
>
>You might be someday and they may want to know all about your of age,
>personal, consensual, private sex life. Thanks to Ken Starr.
>
>>---Bill Clinton cannot say that! And as far as attourney
>>client priveledge--what's that got to do with the price of tea in
China.
>
>It was another example of out-of-control NAZI Ken at work.
>

>
>> The
>>courts have ruled in Starrs favor in every instance and several
prosecutions of
>>Clinton friends have resulted.
>
>They sure as hell didn't when he tried to have a dead mans private
>conversations with his ATTORNEY turned over to NAZI Ken.
>
>
>>
>>>Oh yeah, decades later someone appears out of the bushes to make
>>>wild-eyed claims ... Ever hear of Clarence Thomas ??
>>
>>Yes I have. And the evidence against Thomas is non existent. As far
as the rape
>>allegations...well there are several witnesses to the fact that
Juanita
>>Broderick was raped.....and it was indeed by Clinton. Even the ever
so cautious
>>Limbaugh made mention of the Broderick case. The only thing
protecting Clinton
>>from some very serious jail time is he's the president..at least for
now!
>
>Yep, just like Anita Hill , some decades later someone comes a
>crawling out of the closet.
>
>
>
>>
>>>I'll take ole Bill warts and all.
>>>
>>
>>Typical in the box democrat. I seem to remember a quote by a famous
>>dictator..something about useful idiots. I did not mean that as a put
down.
>>It's just that when people accept others as their leaders.....they
must insist
>>on integrity...something the Clinton administration has no idea of
what that
>>is.
>
>I think if Dubbya is elected we should reinstate the special
>prosecutor law and investigate his cocaine usage/connections, see what
>was going on there, is there a Columbian tie to the white house ???
>
>
>
>>>>I am not a Republican ...not a Democrat.....just a guy who loves

>>>>America.......and the 2 party system in this country is killing it!
>>>>N8WGM
>>>
>>>So write Jesse (The Body) Ventura in as your candidate , complete
with
>>>feather boa.
>>
>>You can have Jesse. I'll take senator Smith or how about a
Buchanon/Alan Keyes
>>ticket. Just the thing to wake up America before its too late.
>> Have a great day!
>
>I'll take Gore or maybe Mccain (sp).

TONduuuuhhhhh isn't very bright. He will parrot tired, old, right-wing
rhetoric, though.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3246. Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: robert3243@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86mt64$854$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120337

Hi
I recently got into ham radio. I am really enjoying it but my wife says all these people are dorks and geeks. She will not let me turn on the radio in front of my kids or other people. I dont know what to do . I am really enjoying this hobby. I realize in any hobby there are all walks of life. She says that these people us have nothing better to do with our lives. She and her girlfriends kid me constantly about getting me a hat with my call letters for my birthday and putting a magnetic sign on my car with my call letters. In short she is really getting me upset. Has anyone ever had similar experiences and how did u handle it
Many thanks
Robert

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3247. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FB43B8F7331E9484.AF1720FCD18586B3.CB26227147412A36@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote:
> >Now, I am a no code Tech so you know. I, before the news, wasnt even
> >interested in code. Now, I am practicing every day and night so I can
> >get my 5 wpm and join those of you on the HF bands. If you dont want
> >to talk to me there or on UHF/VHF, fine, so be it. Some one will talk
> >to me or pound a paddle with me. You will not be missed one bit by me
>
> I can guarantee that if you do decide to use CW, absolutely no one will
> care what class of license you have, nor how recently you obtained it.
> The other CW operators will welcome you with open arms.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

Ditto...these idiots you are reacting to here in this NG are the
MINORITY, believe me. You'll have lots of fun no matter what mode you
settle into. I hope you will remember folks like those you object to in
the future. I am going to do my best to...

Oh, and good luck

Kim W5TIT

3248. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3EB918D35F673058.E71B7218C99B3D71.79AE87A601868FBE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > I wouldn't know about 20m cw, because I am not licensed for 20m.
>
> I don't suppose you're licensed for 75 meters either, but that didn't
> stop you from telling us about how everyone there is intolerant.
>
> ac6xg

OH, damn....am I supposed to have a license to listen...?????

Kim W5TIT

3249. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0E04314E3EE10681.7A84F8AB876B41C1.AE8BF16CE3C89935@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W5TIT, Kim Walker <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >Uh huh, and every day on 2M there are assists made by amateurs who come
> >upon traffic accidents, stranded motorists, drunk drivers, etc., etc.
> >Yet, to hear many who support CW as a supreme mode of operation, 2M has
> >little more than CB value? HA!!!
>
> Same thing happens on CB, on cellphones, and at pay phones. Those willing
> to help will find one way or another to communicate their needs.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
> Private sailing lessons out of the Ala Wai Harbor. Email for appointment.

GASP There ARE signs of comprehension in there....

Kim W5TIT

3250. internet research

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kbwwvu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86n1dm$bk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120341

Hello-

My name is Kevin Wright, and I am a researcher at West Virginia University, and I am studying interpersonal communication in newsgroups on the Internet. Relatively little research has been done on newsgroups despite their growing popularity.

If you would be willing to take a few minutes to complete my on-line questionnaire, your input would be extremely helpful to my research. All responses are anonymous, but I would be willing to send you a summary of the survey results if you email me.

The link to the on-line questionnaire is:

http://www.as.wvu.edu/comm/surveyfrontpage.html

Thank you very much for your time.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3251. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388F05F7.D311A321@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> And regardless of the existence of the question pool, those
> with a lust for learning studied and bettered themselves.

And those without a lust for learning declared that they had
"arrived" and were justified in resting on their rosey red
laurels. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3252. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388f083c.4777393@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120343

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 13:35:00 GMT, robert3243@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>
>Hi
>I recently got into ham radio. I am really enjoying it but my wife says
>all these people are dorks and geeks. She will not let me turn on the
>radio in front of my kids or other people. I dont know what to do . I
>am really enjoying this hobby. I realize in any hobby there are all
>walks of life. She says that these people us have nothing better to do
>with our lives. She and her girlfriends kid me constantly about getting
>me a hat with my call letters for my birthday and putting a magnetic
>sign on my car with my call letters. In short she is really getting me
>upset. Has anyone ever had similar experiences and how did u handle it
>Many thanks
>Robert

<yawn>

That was an ineffective troll the first time it was posted.

>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3253. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86n4oo$l5e$1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120344

D I V O R C E
<robert3243@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:86mt64$854$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> Hi
> I recently got into ham radio. I am really enjoying it but my wife says
> all these people are dorks and geeks. She will not let me turn on the
> radio in front of my kids or other people. I dont know what to do . I
> am really enjoying this hobby. I realize in any hobby there are all
> walks of life. She says that these people us have nothing better to do
> with our lives. She and her girlfriends kid me constantly about getting
> me a hat with my call letters for my birthday and putting a magnetic
> sign on my car with my call letters. In short she is really getting me
> upset. Has anyone ever had similar experiences and how did u handle it
> Many thanks
> Robert
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3254. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86n4lu$e6k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120345

In article <86g1m9$9kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote:

> You DONOT live in a free country anymore, and neither do I in England.
> If you think I am some kind of nut then I am sorry ,but .....

Yes, you're just another whacko, but don't worry, Lloyd and Kevin will
suck up to you.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3255. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86n529ein1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120346

In article <388B011B.E8239951@spam.com>,
Dave Potter <no@spam.com> wrote:

> Exactly how does the lack of a higher class ham ticket
> prevent anyone from learning more about "the more
> technical aspect of the hobby"?

It doesn't. The license is merely wallpaper, and is not
a measure of your technical talent.

My favorite "go-to-guy" when I was stumped with a technical
problem was W0VDI (SK last year) who still had the "Technician"
class license he first got back in 1958.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3256. Re: THE FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86n5tc$f69$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120347

In article <388E5D7B.68386832@ix.netcom.com>,
David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > Obvious post by a smelly little creature that lives under a bridge
(troll
> > :>) .
>
> yeah- but sad to say, he might have a point or two.
> Minus the potty mouth, anyways.
> And no- I don't know how to fix it.
> D.S.
>
Yes he does have some good points..however....not all that many years
ago a dictator with a Napoleon complex, and a oriental with interest in
conquering the world, and a Italian with a superman complex, also
thought the same of America.

God help those that think America won't stand and fight when these
freedoms are attacked. This is what makes this country great. Our
diversity and in a time of "real crises" will rise and destroy those
foolish enough to attack us.

Remember.....we are the first and ONLY nation to use a nuclear weapon.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3257. Re: W2KP Super Sleuth or super idiot >>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Internet purchases are tax free <dondumbo@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <UdEj4.2386$Wy5.107072@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120348

rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote in message <86lnc1$e88$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...

>Live with it!!>>>And get
>over it>>> If, God Forbid, you get back on the air>>>>>>>You will have
>to be a good boy>>>> sorry, times have changed, and>>>>>>>Oh bye the
>bye, learn to spell or get a GED!!
>
>Rileygotchu HO HO>>>>>>>>>>Herbie still here, Al is back, Porkie still
>gone!!

I guess you were not listening on 3891 and 3927 last
evening, when a certain "white noise" jammer just happened
to make a grand re-appearance....

Strange how the jamming signal seemed to peak
when I swung the beam to a bearing of 140°.
(this happens to co-incide with Western North Carolina
draw your own conclusions)

3258. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <388F1A3B.D8F53347@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120349

>
> > Hi
> > I recently got into ham radio. I am really enjoying it but my wife says
> > all these people are dorks and geeks. She will not let me turn on the
> > radio in front of my kids or other people. I dont know what to do . I
> > am really enjoying this hobby. I realize in any hobby there are all
> > walks of life. She says that these people us have nothing better to do
> > with our lives. She and her girlfriends kid me constantly about getting
> > me a hat with my call letters for my birthday and putting a magnetic
> > sign on my car with my call letters. In short she is really getting me
> > upset. Has anyone ever had similar experiences and how did u handle it
> > Many thanks
> > Robert

The Rig or the Wife -- well??
I'm thinking I'm thinking
Jack Benny -- many years ago

--
73 From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 565,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- General Ham Radio
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html -- DX Reference Pages

3259. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388f0886.19660992@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120350

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 13:35:00 GMT, robert3243@my-deja.com wrote:
>Hi
>I recently got into ham radio. I am really enjoying it but my wife says
>all these people are dorks and geeks. She will not let me turn on the
>radio in front of my kids or other people. I dont know what to do . I
>am really enjoying this hobby. I realize in any hobby there are all
>walks of life. She says that these people us have nothing better to do
>with our lives. She and her girlfriends kid me constantly about getting
>me a hat with my call letters for my birthday and putting a magnetic
>sign on my car with my call letters. In short she is really getting me
>upset. Has anyone ever had similar experiences and how did u handle it
>Many thanks
>Robert
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

Dorks and Geeks? I have seen Female ham operators that look like playboy centerfolds... Got friends in a Harley-Davidson Club that use ham radio (I happen to Ride one)... Truckers use ham radio (I happen to drive one)... My friend owns a 4 wheel drive club that uses ham radio (only licensees). I also thought Hammers were geeks like computer users till I became one of them. Seen a few bikers at a local ride with ham radios and asked about them and was then interested. I then found out that Ham Radio operators are people also interested in another hobby other than just riding there bikes, playing on computers or posing in nudie magazines.

Ask her this, Does she talk on the telephone, listen to the stereo, watch TV? If she does, tell her you are gonna buy her a hat with the name of the phone company, TV Channel or Stereo frequency she uses and put a magnetic sign on her car or even slap a sign on her back.

Only things that there are better to do is Work, Pay the bills and raise a family or stick with your other prefered hobby.

Don't get upset about it, because you like it and that is what you want to do. Im sure she has certain things that she likes to do that you can use as a retaliation to her or even her friends. I also know that the radio wont fight with you, Refuse you any fun with it, You can turn it on at will and turn it off when you dont want to use it, It wont stick its cold pieces on your backside in bed, doesnt burp, fart, eat all your food, It works for you, You can trade it in for a better one or buy another and have 2 or 3 or 4 of them without the

other getting jealous.

I own 2 companies and my hobbies helped me create them.
First is My 2 way radio repair shop and then after that was established, I opened up a Pallet Recycling company that is just now in the start up phase and seems that it will prosper better than the radio shop.

CB was my first radio experience, soon after came ham radio, then came the repair shop that was suggested by a friend to help support our hobby, Other radio enthusiast, and private companies (Commercial, Public and Private).

Hopefully Ham Radio has enthused you enough to prosper in it and take advantage of what it offers you.....

73, de kf6foz
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3260. Analysis of VA3GJB Incident

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dr Hugh Hightower [via AOL]" <Florida_Clinic@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <CaFj4.1060$38.44478@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 120351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

&#$(*###AOLvia&$#@$$-----NNTP216.38.78.1

Graham could be the latest target of a type of
personality which consistently follows a fixed
pattern. We've seen many such types in this
NG and on the air.

Ham radio and the NGs provide a convenient
vent for particular personality types.

If it wasn't Graham, it would be someone
else. There will always be a target for this type
of personality's aggression, until such time as
this type of personality has the capacity to
be honest with itself, if ever.

This type of personality wallows in controversy
and always has, controversy being necessary to
fuel a state of hypomania.

Anyone following the antics in this NG should
research Type 2 & Type 3 Bipolar Mental
Disorder, especially the state of Hypomania.

In a very simple layman's analysis:

-A stressor, such as job loss or intense
scholastic requirements, triggers an alcohol
binge and/or drug usage.

-This in turn induces a state of Hypomania
in the Type 2 or 3 Bipolar personality.

-The inability to cope with life's daily problems,
coupled with extreme emotional immaturity,
and the state of Hypomania manifests itself
in repeating episodes of aggression toward
a person or thing which the personality
perceives as the source of their real
and imagined problems.

Example: My car won't start this morning.
It has to be Graham Dyer's fault because he
does not possess the prerequisite class of
Canadian amateur license to operate on 14313.

The above example, humorous as it is to the general population, is reality to the Type 2 & 3 Bipolar.

While the above is a simplistic explanation, one can begin to see the emerging and repeating pattern.

Unfortunately, the down side of Bipolar Disorder is Depressive. When the Hypomanic state crashes, as it always will, a state of severe depression evolves. For now, we'll confine our discussion to the Hypomanic state, as that is what is currently being displayed in this NG and on the air.

The Type 2 & 3 Bipolar's written expression in the Hypomanic state is characterized by lengthy rambling in poorly constructed sentences. Clich&#65533;s in these sentences can be humorous. "Put a hinge on it and flip it up your ass. Weak as well water. Lie like a rug."

Speech patterns, such as on ham radio, of the Type 2 & 3 Bipolar in Hypomania are very rapid, with several thoughts strung together in rambling sentences. This type personality rapidly shifts from subject to subject and back again to previous subjects, often finally ending with a thought irrelevant to all previous subjects.

Speech in the Hypomanic state is clipped. "Sherry dunnit," and "Dutmutmat," being a prime examples. (Translation: "She already did it." and "It doesn't matter much.")

Maybe this helps explain some of the behavior in the NG and on the air.

I'm Dr. Hugh Hightower, and you can meet me daily on the Florida State Traffic Net. Along with all my little low towers, I wish you the best of hamming and DX.

73,

Dr. Hugh Hightower
Florida Clinics, Serving the ham radio communities since 1968.

(If ham radio is stressing you out, please join Mrs. Hightower and me after the state traffic net for one of our VERY

soothing and relaxing on the air therapy sessions. This week's topic is, "How to keep your level of aggression down, while waiting for that rare DX QSL card.")

3261. Ht Stand ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdn1@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <388f2116.1298080@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

I used to have a wooden stand for my 2 meter HT back a few years ago, it has since come up missing. It was a just a simple square piece of wood with a angled slot in it and a piece of plexiglass that fit in the slot. The belt clip on the HT fit over the plexiglass and held it in place so you could use it like a min-mobile rig. Anyone know of anyplace that still sells such a contraption ?

Regards

Gary

3262. Re: High speed code practice- why bother ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner <darryl@shecora.com>
Message-ID: <388F24D1.F29C4C2A@shecora.com>
Source record: 120353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cwdxer@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Are the people in this hobby brain dead ? First they assist in
> destroying the code speeds, then the ARRL devises that ridiculous
> continuing education idea... now they vote for this ? Why bother ?
> Practice on the air. What a waste of electricity this would be.

I don't see that one has anything to do with the other. I would say that most if not all the people in favor of lower or removing the code requirements still believe that code is a very valuable mode. It is just a mode and it isn't for everyone. So why force people to learn the mode just so they can take the test. The only thing it proofs is that the person take the test can receive X WPM when they took the test. It doesn't prove they will be a better operator and/or person on the air.

At some point I want to learn high speed code, but that point isn't now. That doesn't make me any worst of a person. It just makes me a person that doesn't want to learn code right now, but does want to operate phone.

Ham isn't going to be a CB good buddy band and here is why:

1. There is already a NO CODE license. 6M and above doesn't have any CB types to speak of on it. Sure you point to a few but I have never swear word worst than hell or damn and that is very very rare.

2. CBs already have a band where there is plenty of cheap radios and no risk. They are not going to be willing to invest $1000+ bucks into HF gear.

3. The CB types will not be welcome on the ham bands unless they behave themselves. If they do what is the big deal?

73s

--
Darryl Wagoner
Moderator of the Global Early Warning EMail System
http://dova.shecora.com/y2k/earlywarning.html

We need you!!!!

3263. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <388f2a5c.15894913@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 26 Jan 2000 07:11:06 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>>Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:
>>>> CompCnsult wrote:
>
>>>>> Those of us interested in the more technical aspect of the hobby
>>>>>should not be held back because of the code requirement.
>
>>>You're free to open a book, study, and learn to your heart's content
>>>regardless of any code requirement. Haven't you ever read just for the
>>>enjoyment of learning?
>
>>>>The point is that, under the old Rules, the 20 wpm Morse test was a
>>>>significant DISincentive to many to even bother to learn the technical
>>>>material necessary to upgrade to Extra.
>
>>>Again, if one had wanted to further his knowledge, he could have done so
>>>on his own.
>
>>>>Under the new Rules, that DISincentive has been removed and I fully
>>>>expect that many, many more people will undertake to improve their
>>>>technical knowledge/skills in order to upgrade.
>
>>>The same books will be available after restructuring as were before.
>>>If the drive to learn lacked before, that same drive will be lacking
>>>after.
>
>>>Don't confuse learning and memorization of the question pool.
>
>>Ever since I entered ham radio in 1951, unknowledgeable
>>newcomers have been memorizing the "question pool". Some
>>of us have later actually contributed something to ham
>>radio. Every endeavor has beginners and holier-than-thou
>>elders who forget they were ever beginners.
>
>And regardless of the existence of the question pool, those
>with a lust for learning studied and bettered themselves.
>Thanks for proving my point.

Right on Jeff. My ham license was the start
(after some preliminary tinkering with SWL)

of a career journey. Oddly enough, except for
4 years in the Navy, most all of my electronic
and technical involvement was not at all
involved with any radio related stuff. I got
an early dose of digital stuff because of encrypted
RTTY technology used by the Navy.
Post Navy went to Ma Bell, etc.

Except for the Navy, at no time did
anyone ever rely on my ham license as being THE
reason for anything I did or got relative to my
career. The Navy did make me an
ETN (Electronic Tech-Communications) vs an
ETR (radar type) because of the ham license. The
designation to becoming an ET, however, was
predicated on my having an associate degree
in electronics.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3264. HRO - Anaheim fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388f2715.27485361@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A picture of the HRO Store fire in Anaheim has been posted on my main page for all to see. If anyone has updates about this incident, please inform me...

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3265. Re: AF2, IRIS BECAME IRATE ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: goodbyeherbiesaysdoc@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86nfo2nfs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120356

In article <86ms36$7ic$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> hammer007 wrote in message <86lljj$d1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >Word has it that when Iris was informed of the situation with the
> provider
> >she became irate and went ballistic !!! Charlie should behave and
> these
> >things would not happen. Iris should really tighten up on this
> Svengali.
>
> But the question is, did Iris become as irate as Eileen when she made
> you operate excusively "mobile?" "Mobile" operation via a dipole in the
> grove, drinking by yourself, all alone.
>
> --Tim. you're wasting your time with the guy. My wife was more irate at being knocked
offline by AOL than by

anything else however she was aggravated by my not telling her I was
switching. For some reason the clown from Vero is taking credit for my
leaving AOL thanks to AOL and if it were so I would be hopping mad and
badmouthing it and so forth and so on. I reiterate that AOL is super but at
times very annoying and I speak SUBJECTIVELY. I am trying to persuade no one
to leave it or join it. And don't believe anything out of the processor of
K2IVX who is nothing but a pawn of TR's. He too is taking credit for
something that never happened. If anyone wants to believe that two crackpot
AOL stockholders can, by virtue of just the power of the buck, coerce someone
at AOL to terminate for good the account of a user, it's ridiculous.
Furthermore what kind of business would it really be if it allowed itself to
be thusly coerced? AF2Y a..k.a. goodbyeherbiesaysdoc

> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3266. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000126125243.17637.00000154@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 120357

Roger is a gay man living with his mother in a shack in West Virginia.....HO HO!
N8WGM

3267. Re: High speed code practice- why bother ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <389233d1.6093261@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 11:46:09 -0500, Darryl Wagoner
<darryl@shecora.com> wrote:

>
>
>cwdxer@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> Are the people in this hobby brain dead ? First they assist in
>> destroying the code speeds, then the ARRL devises that ridiculous
>> continuing education idea... now they vote for this ? Why bother ?
>> Practice on the air. What a waste of electricity this would be.
>
>I don't see that one has anything to do with the other. I would say
>that most if not all the people in favor of lower or removing the
>code requirements still believe that code is a very valuable mode.
>It is just a mode and it isn't for everyone. So why force people
>to learn the mode just so they can take the test. The only thing
>it proofs is that the person take the test can receive X WPM when
>they took the test. It doesn't prove they will be a better operator
>and/or person on the air.
>
>At some point I want to learn high speed code, but that point isn't
>now. That doesn't make me any worst of a person. It just makes me
>a person that doesn't want to learn code right now, but does want
>to operate phone.
>
>Ham isn't going to be a CB good buddy band and here is why:
>
> 1. There is already a NO CODE license. 6M and above doesn't
> have any CB types to speak of on it. Sure you point to a few
> but I have never swear word worst than hell or damn and that
> is very very rare.
>
> 2. CBs already have a band where there is plenty of cheap radios
> and no risk. They are not going to be willing to invest
> $1000+ bucks into HF gear.

You mean like invest a grand or so into an illegal HF rig and
AMPLIFIER then call "CQ Skipland"" in the 10 meter CW section ??? Why
what CB'er would _ever_ do something like that when they have legal 5
watt rigs available ? ;-)

> 3. The CB types will not be welcome on the ham bands unless they
> behave themselves. If they do what is the big deal?

>
>73s

3268. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <388F46D0.40AE0CB8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120359

I've enjoyed amateur radio for over 20 years, there has always been the group that somehow feels the need to point out how much more they know than you. I hear it on HF and the local repeaters as well. As a teen ham I became discouraged on several occasions trying to fit in with the local crowd on the repeater in Akron, OH. If your not in with the in crowd then no one wanted to chat with you. In time I found there were plenty who shared the same interests as I did it just took a little more effort to seek them out.

My advise, get the license, enjoy the hobby. Get involved in different modes, build a kit or two, try some different antenna designs and enjoy radio. Chase DX, enter a QSO party or two and you'll meet plenty of interesting hams. Guys that are actually building things and experimenting and contesting are typically not so concerned with what class license you hold but rather what your doing with radio. Anyone who brings up issues of being a welfare ham or codeless hams being less of a ham than someone else are not worth debating with or talking to, they have personal problems which are far too deep to resolve on this newsgroup or anywhere else. Don't expect to fit into every group you hear on the air because you wont. Not unlike a pilots license, getting an amateur ticket is a license to learn, those who continue to learn and do more have lots of fun, the real learning and understanding of radio begins after you pass the test.

The newsgroups are probably not the best place to learn about radio, personal amateur web pages probably offer much more of what the ham community really is as opposed to the bickering old women found here.

Tim ka8ddz

WildBill wrote:

> My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license. However, he
> is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be frowned upon
> by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained to him
> that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have changed,
> and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
> actions, not by the date on his license. I do not have a license, as I have
> always been happy as a "listener". His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
> and he has spent many hours listening and learning. He also reads the
> newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him understand the
> amateur radio hobby. I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
> member of the community. Comments?

3269. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curtis CCR <curtisccr@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <86ni1spdp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <bhxj4.437$bu.10808@news.swbell.net>,
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
> The retarded thing about that is it doesn't block 'em... Everytime
they're
> quoted in another msg, they get archived (unless the next person also
> prefixes his post with that ****)...

What's even more retrarded is that is doesn't prevent archiving in many cases to begin with. News and mail servers are not always set up to respect the no archive command - they are not required to.

Some systems that do respect it will drop the message altogther - so some newsgroup readers will never see the message.

If you're afraid that something you say may come back to haunt you, then don't say it at all. x-no-archive is not very effective at cleaning up after you.

> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> news:86m5e8$5hi$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > In article <381EEE2A.11B6@no.net>, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
> > >x-no-archive: yes
> >
> > Why would you block your comments from being archived, JJ?
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3270. Re: This is a nice Forum now!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86ndkj$ua1$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120361

So Polly was grandfathered, ay? In my opinion he isn't up to the
expectations of a CBer and has alientated just about anyone with any modicum
of decency on the ham bands. His replacement of "George" from "Polly" is
suppoed to lend credibility to the man; like hell it does because he's just
as sneaky and devious as ever. P.U. and I don't mean C.P.U. either.
AF2Y

In article <86mrg0$759$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <86lj14$hr3
>$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>This guy's nuts. I move either nowhere or anywhere, at MY disgression.
>My
>>Extra permits it which is more than Polly can say. Had Riley taken
>this guy
>>out for 6 months, there would have never been a need for anyone to QSY
>off
>>14.313 and if you want to be honest to yourselves, you know I speak the
>>truth. And these labels of "Goodfellas" and "Stumpjumpers" and all the
>rest
>>of that nonsense is nothing but "Pollyanish" bullbleep. Good grief,
>W4FQF is
>>just as big an instigator on the Internet as he is on ham radio. A
>>Fisherville putz at best.
>>AF2Y
>
>Polly's just angry because we found out he was a Conditional
>grandfathered to General. His CB-like operating procedures are a dead
>giveaway that he was a CB operator long before he received his ham
>ticket.
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3271. Re: Analysis of VA3GJB Incident, Why he bootlegged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388F3CBE.C29E8273@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dr Hugh Hightower [via AOL]" wrote:

> &#$(*###AOLvia&$#@$$-----NNTP216.38.78.1
>
> Graham could be the latest target of a type of
> personality which consistently follows a fixed
> pattern. We've seen many such types in this
> NG and on the air.
>
> Ham radio and the NGs provide a convenient
> vent for particular personality types.
>
> If it wasn't Graham, it would be someone
> else. There will always be a target for this type
> of personality's aggression, until such time as
> this type of personality has the capacity to
> be honest with itself, if ever.
>
> This type of personality wallows in controversy
> and always has, controversy being necessary to
> fuel a state of hypomania.
>
> Anyone following the antics in this NG should
> research Type 2 & Type 3 Bipolar Mental
> Disorder, especially the state of Hypomania.
>
> In a very simple layman's analysis:
>
> -A stressor, such as job loss or intense
> scholastic requirements, triggers an alcohol
> binge and/or drug usage.
>
> -This in turn induces a state of Hypomania
> in the Type 2 or 3 Bipolar personality.
>
> -The inability to cope with life's daily problems,
> coupled with extreme emotional immaturity,
> and the state of Hypomania manifests itself
> in repeating episodes of aggression toward
> a person or thing which the personality
> perceives as the source of their real
> and imagined problems.
>

> Example: My car won't start this morning.
> It has to be Graham Dyer's fault because he
> does not possess the prerequisite class of
> Canadian amateur license to operate on 14313.
>
> The above example, humorous as it is to the
> general population, is reality to the Type 2
> & 3 Bipolar.
>
> While the above is a simplistic explanation,
> one can begin to see the emerging and
> repeating pattern.
>
> Unfortunately, the down side of Bipolar
> Disorder is Depressive. When the Hypomanic
> state crashes, as it always will, a state of
> severe depression evolves. For now, we'll
> confine our discussion to the Hypomanic state,
> as that is what is currently being displayed in
> this NG and on the air.
>
> The Type 2 & 3 Bipolar's written expression
> in the Hypomanic state is characterized by lengthy
> rambling in poorly constructed sentences.
> Clichï¿½s in these sentences can be humorous.
> "Put a hinge on it and flip it up your ass. Weak
> as well water. Lie like a rug."
>
> Speech patterns, such as on ham radio,
> of the Type 2 & 3 Bipolar in Hypomania are
> very rapid, with several thoughts strung together
> in rambling sentences. This type personality
> rapidly shifts from subject to subject and back
> again to previous subjects, often finally ending
> with a thought irrelevant to all previous subjects.
>
> Speech in the Hypomanic state is
> clipped. "Sherry dunnit," and "Dutmutmat,"
> being a prime examples. (Translation: "She
> already did it." and "It doesn't matter
> much.")
>
> Maybe this helps explain some of the
> behavior in the NG and on the air.
>
> I'm Dr. Hugh Hightower, and you can meet
> me daily on the Florida State Traffic Net.
> Along with all my little low towers, I wish
> you the best of hamming and DX.
>

> 73,
>
> Dr. Hugh Hightower
> Florida Clinics, Serving the ham radio
> communities since 1968.
>
> (If ham radio is stressing you out, please
> join Mrs. Hightower and me after the
> state traffic net for one of our VERY
> soothing and relaxing on the air therapy
> sessions. This week's topic is, "How to
> keep your level of aggression down, while
> waiting for that rare DX QSL card.")

Wow. So that is why VA3GJB threw his liscense out the window?
Fascinating

3272. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nl16rqo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120363

In article <388F46D0.40AE0CB8@worldnet.att.net>,
Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> &#65533;
> I've enjoyed amateur radio for over 20 years, there has always been
the group
> that somehow feels the need to point out how much more they know than
you. I
> hear it on HF and the local repeaters as well. As a teen ham I became
> discouraged on several occasions trying to fit in with the local
crowd on the
> repeater in Akron, OH. If your not in with the in crowd then no one
wanted to
> chat with you. In time I found there were plenty who shared the same
interests
> as I did it just took a little more effort to seek them out.
>
> My advise, get the license, enjoy the hobby. Get involved in
different modes,
> build a kit or two, try some different antenna designs and enjoy
radio. Chase
> DX, enter a QSO party or two and you'll meet plenty of interesting
hams. Guys
> that are actually building things and experimenting and contesting
are typically
> not so concerned with what class license you hold but rather what
your doing
> with radio. Anyone who brings up issues of being a welfare ham or
codeless hams
> being less of a ham than someone else are not worth debating with or
talking to,
> they have personal problems which are far too deep to resolve on this
newsgroup
> or anywhere else. Don't expect to fit into every group you hear on
the air
> because you wont. Not unlike a pilots license, getting an amateur
ticket is a
> license to learn, those who continue to learn and do more have lots
of fun, the
> real learning and understanding of radio begins after you pass the
test.
>
> The newsgroups are probably not the best place to learn about radio,
personal

> amateur web pages probably offer much more of what the ham community really is
> as opposed to the bickering old women found here.
>
> Tim ka8ddz
>
> WildBill wrote:
>
> > My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license. However, he
> > is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be frowned upon
> > by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained to him
> > that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have changed,
> > and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
> > actions, not by the date on his license. I do not have a license, as I have
> > always been happy as a "listener". His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
> > and he has spent many hours listening and learning. He also reads the
> > newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him understand the
> > amateur radio hobby. I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
> > member of the community. Comments?
>
>
Good advise and comments from all. Allow me, as one of the "old school Extra's" (whatever that means) to extend my hand of welcome to the soon to be new ham. There is so much to do in amateur radio that no matter what your license "class" you will find others that share your interest. Have fun and enjoy, thats the ticket.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3273. The theme song of r.r.a.*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nlqf$sd3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am the very model of a Newsgroup personality.
I intersperse obscenity with tedious banality.
Addresses I have plenty of, both genuine and ghosted too,
On all the countless newsgroups that my drivel is cross posted to.
Your bandwidth I will fritter with my whining and my sniveling,
And you're the one who pays the bill, downloading all my driveling.
My enemies are numerous, and no-one would be blaming you
For cracking my head open after I've been rudely flaming you.

I hate to lose an argument (by now I should be used to it).
I wouldn't know a valid point if I was introduced to it.
My learning is extensive but consists of mindless trivia,
Designed to fan my ego, which is larger than Bolivia.

The comments that I vomit forth, disguised as jest and drollery,
Are really just an exercise in unremitting trollery.
I say I'm frank and forthright, but that's merely lies and vanity,
The gibberings of one who's at the limits of his sanity.
If only I could get a life, as many people tell me to;
If only Mom could find a circus freak show she could sell me to;
If I go off to Zanzibar to paint the local scenery;
If I lose all my fingers in a mishap with machinery.

If I survive to twenty, which is somewhat problematical;
If what I post was more mature, or slightly more grammatical;
If I could learn to spell a bit, and maybe even punctuate;
Would I still be the loathsome and objectionable punk you hate?

But while I have this tiresome urge to prance around and show my face,
It simply isn't safe for normal people here in cyberspace.
To stick me in Old Sparky and turn on the electricity
Would be a fitting punishment for my egocentricity.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3274. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <86no7j$u9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38858ff0_2@news1.prserv.net>,
"Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net> wrote:
> Ed is exactly right. If the League did not publish many of its
> materials, these materials would simply not be available, since the
> ham community is probably too small for a conventional publisher to
> want to serve it. Sheesh, we'd all be criticizing the League if it
> did NOT make license manuals, RFI handbooks, etc. available!
>
> --
> Roger J. Buffington
> W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net
> _____________________
Nonsense....
Long ago the "Editors and Engineers" {Bill Orr, W6SAI}
published "The Radio Handbook" which IMHO was better than the ARRL
Handbook in some respects. Its construction articles produced better
ham gear for example.
For many years AMECO Publishing, run by a NY Ham, named Martin
Schwartz, published ham license guides as well as code records and
tapes.
The FCC itself makes the exam Q & As available and the government
printing office Supt. of Docs publishes an Interference Handbook and
other pubs of interest to Hams.
Q&As will continue to be available for free via web-sites.
At the present time license guides and code tapes are available
from Fred Maia, W5YI and Gordon West, WB6NOA. They will soon be
available for the exams that will be applicable after April 15, 2000.
Looking through recent Ham mags you may find other commercial sources
of study guides as well.
When it comes to technical publications for the more advanced hams
nothing beats dedicated texts and IEEE standard manuals. There is a
wealth of antenna information availablefrom many sources, for example,
that can be very eye-opening to practical hams who want to do the right
thing for the right technical reasons. Digital technology pubs are
continously being produced by many publishers and must be a part of the
education of all Hams IMHO.
The ARRL is not the only kid on the block...nor has it ever been.
I don't believe that it should ever be. That is why I support the
continuation of as many differtent VECs as possible and the fact that
the VEC exam creation committee is not solely made up of ARRL people.
I do not intend to flame the ARRL here...but just to remind all
that most of the ARRL stuff is at the beginner level and much of it has
not advanced as much as it should to keep up with the digital

technology of today. It would be impossible for the present ARRL staff
to advance to the level that many members of TAPR now are at, for
example. The ARRL staff can no longer be technical leaders in modern
communications techniques and must rely more and more on professional
hams to lead them.
How can the ARRL be expected to lead Ham Radio into the millenium
and continue to promote its very BASIS and PURPOSE? That is: To
advance the state of the art and encourage the development of a cadre
of technical experts. My answer is that the ARRL can not be expected
to do so alone. The ARRL must IMHO let professional volunteers make
the kind of technical decisions that will shape the future of Ham Radio
and remember that they are basically a lobbying, publication company
and a social organization ...which can no longer be fit to shape the
future of Ham techniques or Ham technologies without outside help.

Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Intelligent Licensing Publications?)

According to Moore's Law, PC capabilities continue to
advance almost geometrically as a function of time.
Digital/Analog Cell phones have also been advancing at
a rapid pace. The development of lithium batteries is
said to be near its limit and long-life power sources
for portable equipmment are now considered a major
limiting factor....
BUT
Let us not limit the technical knowledge and ability
that Hams have. I believe that the curiosity and
innovation that hams have always had can continue
to permit them to understand the principles of
operation of all the equipment that they may be
able to use; maybe even "geometrically as a function
of time"....IMHO motivated Human brains are not as
limited as lithium cells may be....nor do all of them
fade with age.
--w2ilp--

> Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
> Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html
>
> "Politicians are the same the world over...
> They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
> -- Nikita Khruschev

Politicians build bridges when they aren't needed, in order to get kick
backs from bridge contractors. Some idiots help them by making rivers
out of pissing contests.
--w2ilp--
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:38847C99.216D@arrl.net...

> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > > Ham radio and reading are inseparable; and it takes a publishing
> business
> > > to do what the League has accomplished.
> >
> > Unlike a real publishing business, the League can keep the prices
> low
> > and still generate some revenues over expenses.
Huh???

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3275. Re: License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: t41@optonline.net
Message-ID: <v8lj4.47$Hz5.272@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120366

In article <86irgt$di4$1@hume.nmia.com>, "WildBill" <WildBill@therange.com> wrote:
>My son has become interested in obtaining his amateur license. However, he
>is very concerned that if he gets it after 15 April he will be frowned upon
>by "older and wiser" members of the Ham Community. I have explained to him
>that it isn't his fault that the requirements to get a license have changed,
>and that I think that the majority of Hams will judge him by his own
>actions, not by the date on his license. I do not have a license, as I have
>always been happy as a "listener". His interest sprouted from my SWL hobby,
>and he has spent many hours listening and learning. He also reads the
>newsgroups and any other info he can find that will make him understand the
>amateur radio hobby. I think he is on the right path to becoming a proud
>member of the community. Comments?
>
>

Your son obviously has a good understanding of Amateur Radio already.

He is correct in assuming he will be "frowned upon" by some amateurs ....older probably....wiser definately not!

There are always people who "frown upon" other people who are different or newer than themselves.

However, I believe your son will have the tenacity to ignore these individuals and seek out those who will embrace him and enjoy sharing their knowledge and experiences with others.

I look forward to meeting your son on the air....and why don't you take the tests with him? You might find it a common mode of communicating even when he reaches those years where NOTHING you say is getting through!

Good Luck!

W2TMA Rich

ICQ# 36063892

When one's expectations are reduced to zero, one really appreciates
everything one does have.

Stephen Hawking

3276. Re: W2KP Super Sleuth or super idiot >>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nr60$k8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120367

donnie@bellsouth.net wrote:

> I guess you were not listening on 3891 and 3927 last
> evening, when a certain "white noise" jammer just happened
> to make a grand re-appearance....
>
> Strange how the jamming signal seemed to peak
> when I swung the beam to a bearing of 140�.
> (this happens to co-incide with Western North Carolina
> draw your own conclusions)

Hey, lame-ass, you ain't got no beam on 75 meters, let alone
one you can rotate. First liar ain't got a chance!

Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3277. Re: THE FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <388F8485.3A92BEA7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120368

that's correct dan

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> In article <388E5D7B.68386832@ix.netcom.com>,
> David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > > Obvious post by a smelly little creature that lives under a bridge
> (troll
> > > :>) .
> >
> > yeah- but sad to say, he might have a point or two.
> > Minus the potty mouth, anyways.
> > And no- I don't know how to fix it.
> > D.S.
> >
> Yes he does have some good points..however....not all that many years
> ago a dictator with a Napoleon complex, and a oriental with interest in
> conquering the world, and a Italian with a superman complex, also
> thought the same of America.
>
> God help those that think America won't stand and fight when these
> freedoms are attacked. This is what makes this country great. Our
> diversity and in a time of "real crises" will rise and destroy those
> foolish enough to attack us.
>
> Remember.....we are the first and ONLY nation to use a nuclear weapon.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3278. nti tells it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <388F84C2.60E7CC51@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120369

nti dan tells it the way it is no-wonder they can't stand it

3279. Re: where is otk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nsf4$1pf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120370

```
Path: news!den-news-02.qwest.net!qwest!newsfeed.slurp.net!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cyclone.bc.net!news.uunet.ca!
nnrp1.uunet.ca.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <388E32B0.C914E2E6@hotmail.com>
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: where is otk
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Tue, 25 Jan 2000 15:33:04 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.47.187.157
X-Trace: nnrp1.uunet.ca 948918473 209.47.187.157 (Wed, 26 Jan 2000
15:27:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 26 Jan 2000 15:27:53 EST
Organization: UUNET Canada News Reader Service
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:7903
david tolassi wrote in message <388E32B0.C914E2E6@hotmail.com>...
>where is wb2otk and when can we expect his return to 20 meters and 75
>
>Richard has been away from that 850 far to long , we neen him back to
>straiten out all the mess on the bands.
>
>pvt. cueball
>

He'll return when HE wants to, dumbass.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

3280. Re: This is a nice Forum now!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nsju$1rp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120371

radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <86ndkj$ua1
$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
>So Polly was grandfathered, ay? In my opinion he isn't up to the
>expectations of a CBer and has alientated just about anyone with any
modicum
>of decency on the ham bands. His replacement of "George" from "Polly"
is
>suppoed to lend credibility to the man; like hell it does because he's
just
>as sneaky and devious as ever. P.U. and I don't mean C.P.U. either.
>AF2Y

That's because Polly never takes baths or showers....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3281. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nsp8$1t9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120372

Troyce wrote in message <86nmrl$8li$1@news.tamu.edu>...
>In article <388F1A3B.D8F53347@ix.netcom.com>, ac6v
<ac6v@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>>The Rig or the Wife -- well??
>>I'm thinking I'm thinking
>>Jack Benny -- many years ago
>
>Now cut that out!
>Sing, Dennis!
>
>Troyce
>(who spent a year telling folks that he was the same age
>Jack Benny was at his death, without anyone getting it).

Rochester!!!!!!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3282. Re: Analysis of VA3GJB Incident

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nssi$1vl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120373

Dr Hugh Hightower [via AOL] wrote in message ...
>&#$(*###AOLvia&$#@$$-----NNTP216.38.78.1
>
>Graham could be the latest target of a type of
>personality which consistently follows a fixed
>pattern. We've seen many such types in this
>NG and on the air.
>
>Ham radio and the NGs provide a convenient
>vent for particular personality types.
>
>If it wasn't Graham, it would be someone
>else. There will always be a target for this type
>of personality's aggression, until such time as
>this type of personality has the capacity to
>be honest with itself, if ever.
>
>This type of personality wallows in controversy
>and always has, controversy being necessary to
>fuel a state of hypomania.
>
>Anyone following the antics in this NG should
>research Type 2 & Type 3 Bipolar Mental
>Disorder, especially the state of Hypomania.
>
>In a very simple layman's analysis:
>
> -A stressor, such as job loss or intense
> scholastic requirements, triggers an alcohol
> binge and/or drug usage.
>
> -This in turn induces a state of Hypomania
> in the Type 2 or 3 Bipolar personality.
>
>
> -The inability to cope with life's daily problems,
> coupled with extreme emotional immaturity,
> and the state of Hypomania manifests itself
> in repeating episodes of aggression toward
> a person or thing which the personality
> perceives as the source of their real
> and imagined problems.
>

> Example: My car won't start this morning.
> It has to be Graham Dyer's fault because he
> does not possess the prerequisite class of
> Canadian amateur license to operate on 14313.
>
> The above example, humorous as it is to the
> general population, is reality to the Type 2
> & 3 Bipolar.
>
>
> While the above is a simplistic explanation,
> one can begin to see the emerging and
> repeating pattern.
>
> Unfortunately, the down side of Bipolar
>Disorder is Depressive. When the Hypomanic
>state crashes, as it always will, a state of
>severe depression evolves. For now, we'll
>confine our discussion to the Hypomanic state,
>as that is what is currently being displayed in
>this NG and on the air.
>
>
> The Type 2 & 3 Bipolar's written expression
>in the Hypomanic state is characterized by lengthy
>rambling in poorly constructed sentences.
>Clich&#65533;s in these sentences can be humorous.
>"Put a hinge on it and flip it up your ass. Weak
>as well water. Lie like a rug."
>
> Speech patterns, such as on ham radio,
>of the Type 2 & 3 Bipolar in Hypomania are
>very rapid, with several thoughts strung together
>in rambling sentences. This type personality
>rapidly shifts from subject to subject and back
>again to previous subjects, often finally ending
>with a thought irrelevant to all previous subjects.
>
> Speech in the Hypomanic state is
>clipped. "Sherry dunnit," and "Dutmutmat,"
>being a prime examples. (Translation: "She
> already did it." and "It doesn't matter
>much.")
>
> Maybe this helps explain some of the
>behavior in the NG and on the air.
>
>I'm Dr. Hugh Hightower, and you can meet
>me daily on the Florida State Traffic Net.

>Along with all my little low towers, I wish
>you the best of hamming and DX.
>
> 73,
>
> Dr. Hugh Hightower
> Florida Clinics, Serving the ham radio
> communities since 1968.
>
> (If ham radio is stressing you out, please
> join Mrs. Hightower and me after the
> state traffic net for one of our VERY
> soothing and relaxing on the air therapy
> sessions. This week's topic is, "How to
> keep your level of aggression down, while
> waiting for that rare DX QSL card.")

Great prognosis, Doc. I think Mr._cracker's gene pool was a cesspool.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3283. Re: AF2, IRIS BECAME IRATE ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nsvr$20g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120374

goodbyeherbiesaysdoc@my-deja.com wrote in message <86nfo2
nfs1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <86ms36$7ic$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> hammer007 wrote in message <86lljj$d1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>> >Word has it that when Iris was informed of the situation with the
>> provider
>> >she became irate and went ballistic !!! Charlie should behave and
>> these
>> >things would not happen. Iris should really tighten up on this
>> Svengali.
>>
>> But the question is, did Iris become as irate as Eileen when she made
>> you operate excusively "mobile?" "Mobile" operation via a dipole in
the
>> grove, drinking by yourself, all alone.
>>
>> --Tim. you're wasting your time with the guy. My wife was more
irate at being knocked offline by AOL than by

Tim??????? lol!

>anything else however she was aggravated by my not telling her I was
>switching. For some reason the clown from Vero is taking credit for my
>leaving AOL thanks to AOL and if it were so I would be hopping mad and
>badmouthing it and so forth and so on. I reiterate that AOL is super
but at
>times very annoying and I speak SUBJECTIVELY. I am trying to persuade
no one
>to leave it or join it. And don't believe anything out of the
processor of
>K2IVX who is nothing but a pawn of TR's. He too is taking credit for
>something that never happened. If anyone wants to believe that two
crackpot
>AOL stockholders can, by virtue of just the power of the buck, coerce
someone
>at AOL to terminate for good the account of a user, it's ridiculous.
>Furthermore what kind of business would it really be if it allowed
itself to
>be thusly coerced? AF2Y a..k.a. goodbyeherbiesaysdoc
>
>> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Hey, I'll get stock in orange juice companies and see if I can coerce
them to boycott a certain grower on the east coast of Florida.
lol

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3284. Re: mr__cracker a liar....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86nt44$29t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120375

graham dyer wrote in message <388F8068.250E442@interlog.com>...
>just do what i am ignore it . things are in the works
>
>graham

Great! I hope you are successful.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3285. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ntbo$2c0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120376

N8WGM wrote in message <20000126125243.17637.00000154@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>Roger is a gay man living with his mother in a shack in West
>Virginia.....HO HO!
>N8WGM

Poor TONduhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.

Since TONduhhhhh (n8wgm) was the one who so desperately wanted my picture for masturbation, it would seem that my outing the fairy Steve desperately turns to his usual lame Pee Wee Herman replies. Oh, sorry TonDuhh, I didn't mean to bring your idol into this.
TonDuhhhh's track record for marriages is rather poor, since his obvious perverse lifestyle actually disenchants the lonely, plain women (or are they like him, hermorphadites) in record time and they leave him. Usually, he can only get the obese, truck stop women to pay attention to his bubba like appearance.
Not to mention his greasy-hair, coke-bottled glassed, buck-toothed appearance. Somebody must've used an ice pick on his face.
He has as many divorces as those out in Hollywood. Not that any quality women look his way. What I mean is, what woman would actually want to live underground like he does, with a dirt floor? Not to mention that his property in Eastern Ohio is well-known as a toxic waste-dump site.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3286. Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kl7mr@uswest.net
Message-ID: <8EC79E34FKL7MRuswestnet@207.225.159.10>
Source record: 120377

The Wife and I are moving into a new development that does not allow the erection of amateur antenna towers or any external antennas with the exception of DBS dishes. I have been told that there is a Federal Pre-emption , isued not too long ago, that deals with this subject.

I am asking that some of you that may know anything on this subject may let me know where I can get texts of the new ruling or send me other links to any related stuff.

thanking you in advance Mike KL7MR

3287. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <388F5CE9.1D83C23F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
> The designation to becoming an ET, however, was
> predicated on my having an associate degree
> in electronics.

Aha Bill, I always thought you might be an ET. Want to
shake hands with a 300,000 ft. tall alien?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3288. Re: Ht Stand ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clint@clintbradford.com>
Message-ID: <86nvhd$42c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

In article <388f2116.1298080@news.usol.com>,
gdn1@rocketmail.com (Gary) wrote:
> I used to have a wooden stand for my 2 meter HT...

Not quite as elegant, but awfully inexpensive AND funtional:

A pair of steel bookends from your local stationery store. Three bucks a pair.

"Re-form" the angle of the upright section, and each bookend will hold 2 HTs each (or 3 VX-1R HTs <g>) at the proper angle for viewing.

Black...steel grey...fake woodgrain...enough options to match any desktop!!!

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3289. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: twilson@ag-eco.tamu.edu
Message-ID: <86nmrl$8li$1@news.tamu.edu>
Source record: 120380

In article <388F1A3B.D8F53347@ix.netcom.com>, ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>The Rig or the Wife -- well??
>I'm thinking I'm thinking
>Jack Benny -- many years ago

Now cut that out!
Sing, Dennis!

Troyce
(who spent a year telling folks that he was the same age
Jack Benny was at his death, without anyone getting it).

3290. Re: mr__cracker a liar....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <388F8068.250E442@interlog.com>
Source record: 120381

just do what i am ignore it . things are in the works

graham

KC8JBO wrote:

> Anon wrote in message <200001260250.VAA23724@cotse.com>...
> >This message was posted anonymously:
> >
> >Cracker is a congenital liar and not to be believed.This
> >malcontent tubba lard is a delusional bottom feeder.
> >
>
> Yeah, but who has more brains, Mr. Cracker or his pet birds?
> I'd place money on the birds.....
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3291. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <388F6511.F65276E0@uci.edu>
Source record: 120382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > > I wouldn't know about 20m cw, because I am not licensed for 20m.

> > I don't suppose you're licensed for 75 meters either, but that didn't
> > stop you from telling us about how everyone there is intolerant.

> OH, damn....am I supposed to have a license to listen...?????

Exactly the point. The fact that you are not licensed for 20 meters is
irrelevant as to whether you would know what is happening there.
Evidently the truth is that you simply don't listen - not that you are
not licensed for 20 meters, as you stated.

73, Jim AC6XG

3292. Arrogant TR and elephant FQF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86not6$9hb$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120433

Seems to me both KT4TR and his pet rat W4FQF are being arrogant to say the least. I was on my treadmill today and had an enjoyable QSO with a gent in Mississippi. The freq. was clear therefore I took advantage of it. And Bobby Boy, my account on AOL is still active but dormant and your letter or letters and/or phone calls, if that's what you're implying, had nothing to do with anything between AOL and me. Why you keep taking credit for something that never happened is beyond me. Are you on AOL by the way? If not, why no?. And furthermore I think MindSpring is excellent. Very satisfied indeed.
AF2Y

In article <86k733$9ed$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <86ir7t$b6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> You left the frequency bird brain! Do you think that the frequency is
>> reserved for your Highness for hours after you leave. Get real, you
>> don't like Lenny and Bob because they ended your little grm gig off the
>> freq with that treadmill from Hell. Get on it, rev it up and take off
>> across the Atlantic and stop half way.
>>
>> Rileygotchu
>>
>> In article <86ib52$6kq$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
>> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> > Where is the "Enforcer" when you need him? Take this morning for
>> > instance-please. I was having a "treadmill 2" contact on 14.313 with
>> a gent
>> > in Florida and after coincluding, without as much as giving a listen
>> to hear
>> > if I had signed off, in came the two bosom buddies, KT4TR and K2IVX
>> to take
>> > over the frequency. It was a clear cut case of piracy and poor amateur
>> > practice but did I send an e-mail to K4ZDH? No way. And since I no
>> longer
>> > use a tape recorder during QSOs, forget it. For one reason or another
>> > "Badblood" and "Tippecanoe Schaier" think they're immune from the
>> same "laws
>> > of Riley" that govern us all. What lends to such arrogance? Beats me
>> but I
>> > assure you that what goes around, comes around.

>> > AF2Y
>> > P.S. With the new FCC restructuring, can N0VFP become an Extra
>> overnight?
>> > God, what a thought!
>> >
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>
> Seems as if the demented fool from Clifton is having memory problems. AF2Y
>has a new tactic now and he started last week by calling CQ when he hears
>amateurs on the frequency that he does not like. This tactic started last
>week and now everymorning he does the same old trick. The best way to treat
>this idiot is to ignore him and possibly he will get the picture. He has been
>shunned by most all amateurs and can call cq for hhours , and there will be
>no reply to his calls. It is rather laughable.
>
>He was a;so removed from AOL because of his attacks on individuals on the
>net. Too bad his wife was so upset about being thrown off the service. He
>should have thought about how his wife would have been deprived from her
>internet service if he had not stopped his foolish behavior. Iris, we know
>that it was not your fault. You obviously were not aware of the behavior of
>Charles.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3293. Re: NEWSLINE....Scam...STAY TUNED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001262158090.1526-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120434

On Tue, 25 Jan 2000, Lloyd Davies wrote:
> Do you have some proof? Bill is doing a GREAT Job! Just leave him alone
> ok?

The proof is simple. Newsline claims that it needs about $1k/month to cover its expenses.

The east coast version, "This Week in Amateur Radio" only needs $100/month to cover its expenses.

So, what is Newsline really doing with it's $900 difference? Is all of that really being eaten up in expenses? If so, mismanagement. If not, who's pocket is it going into? It's that simple.

3294. Re: HRO - Anaheim fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001262201330.1526-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Definently a new way to fry a radio!

3295. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2F173EC44C2B1D4C.7B7B254F2FF3942D.0A0D967531CFC586@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in article <388F6511.F65276E0@uci.edu>...
> W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote:
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > > W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > > > I wouldn't know about 20m cw, because I am not licensed for 20m.
>
> > > I don't suppose you're licensed for 75 meters either, but that didn't
> > > stop you from telling us about how everyone there is intolerant.
>
> > OH, damn....am I supposed to have a license to listen...?????
>
> Exactly the point. The fact that you are not licensed for 20 meters is
> irrelevant as to whether you would know what is happening there.
> Evidently the truth is that you simply don't listen - not that you are
> not licensed for 20 meters, as you stated.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>

I think in the original statement I made, left in this post above, I said that I would not know about 20M *CW* because I am not licensed for 20M. I should have drawn a longer picture, clarified my position, and stated that I would not know about 20M *CW* because a) I am not licensed to have experienced 20M CW, b) I don't use CW at all so to understand it escapes me...

I also don't think I have ever referred to the entier 75M band, only the frequency of 3853. If I referred to the entire 75M band in relation to bad operators, it would have been unfair of me to characterize it as such...

Kim W5TIT

3296. Morse exercises No.7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <86nr97$59a$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 120437
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Dear YLs and OM,

DTC's regular Morse exercises transmitted every Monday at 19:00 h
local time in DL on 3575 +/- 3 kHz are available on the Internet as
well, being in downloadable MIDI-file format.

Currently three speeds are offered for download:

Speed "90" (= 18 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr7_90.mid

Speed "60" (= 12 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr7_60.mid

Speed "45" (= 9 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr7_45.mid

Hoping you'll have some fun decoding either on the air or from the
Internet, you may check out your capabilities in decoding Morse. For
ease of reference the content of the Morse code transmission / file
may be accessed at DTC's web site using

http://www.muenster.org/dtc/cwtext.html

Your opinion and suggestions are welcome: dtc@muenster.org

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

3297. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <388F76B1.2819A041@uci.edu>
Source record: 120438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> I also don't think I have ever referred to the entier 75M band, only the
> frequency of 3853. If I referred to the entire 75M band in relation to bad
> operators, it would have been unfair of me to characterize it as such...

You really do need to pay more attention to what you write, Kim. In another thread you went into great detail about how every ham conversation you hear is bigotted, etc., etc. and you cited 75 meter frequencies. I believe it was Hans who took exception to your comments. Remember?

73, Jim AC6XG

3298. Re: Blake Bowers causing more harassment!! was:Re: Mackey vs. Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Reemes <nospam-dan@etx.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.12f93539d0350b6c9896dc@news.ballistic.com>
Source record: 120439
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

Oh geez... Is it time for Baby Bowers vs
Baby Mackey wars again? Will these 2 ever
grow up?

Hey Bowers and Mackey... Listen up...

TAKE IT TO EMAIL!!!!!

You 2 are worse than spammers!!!

In article <M63j4.7129$_G1.119504@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org
says...
>
> "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> > Between this, and John's reposts to other newsgroups, AND, the most
> > important,
> > his lies about being recruited to the FCC in a division that does not
> > even
> > exist. Add to that the rumors about his selling HAM licenses, and I
> > think
>
> Since everything you have said is a lie, I think this will look pretty funny
> You will be making yourselves look like FOOLS!!!!!!!
>
>
> > Lets do it. Send complaints about John to hq@arrl.org
>
> Go for it, this ought to be very funny!!
>
>
>

3299. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5FC7531DB8A303D7.E36D7DAB0D469DF4.34F0601EF5DBCB95@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I refer you to the "If" statement I made....

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in article <388F76B1.2819A041@uci.edu>...
> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > I also don't think I have ever referred to the entier 75M band, only the
> > frequency of 3853. If I referred to the entire 75M band in relation to bad
> > operators, it would have been unfair of me to characterize it as such...
>
> You really do need to pay more attention to what you write, Kim. In
> another thread you went into great detail about how every ham
> conversation you hear is bigotted, etc., etc. and you cited 75 meter
> frequencies. I believe it was Hans who took exception to your comments.
> Remember?
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>

3300. Re: Ht Stand ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <388F7DFA.58A5090@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 120441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

I pasted this from a message I saved last year. I have not used this item nor am I connected in any way with it. Just passing the information along....

==========

Custom Designed Scanner Stands, will also work well with Yaesu FT-50. Black wood base with rubber feet o bottom to protect furniture, adjustable angle bracket uses belt clip to fasten radio. Turn your HT in to a base, don't have it fall off the table. $11.

VHF Hi- UHF J-Pole Antennas..... great for apartment dwellers or hotel use. Hang from a curtain road or tree limb. Also good for transmitting on the 2M & 70CM ham bands.... $18.

Shipping by Priority Mail: two Items $4, one item $3.

3301. Re: Ht Stand ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <388F7E94.84860522@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 120442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Sorry...the seller/advertiser of these items is: kc2ayc@aol.com
I forgot to put it in my original post....I AM NOT the seller....

3302. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388f7d3e.4322931@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120443

Hard to believe that by the end signature of "kc8jbo" that you would
even discuss a post like this. I am flabbergasted at such a reply.
Nah, Im just kidding. Clown em to hell.

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 22:44:09 GMT, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>N8WGM wrote in message <20000126125243.17637.00000154@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>>Roger is a gay man living with his mother in a shack in West
>>Virginia.....HO HO!
>>N8WGM
>
>Poor TONduhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.
>
>Since TONduhhhhh (n8wgm) was the one who so desperately wanted my
>picture for masturbation, it would seem that my outing the fairy Steve
>desperately turns to his usual lame Pee Wee Herman replies. Oh, sorry
>TonDuhh, I didn't mean to bring your idol into this.
>TonDuhhhh's track record for marriages is rather poor, since his
>obvious perverse lifestyle actually disenchants the lonely, plain women
>(or are they like him, hermorphadites) in record time and they leave
>him. Usually, he can only get the obese, truck stop women to pay
>attention to his bubba like appearance.
>Not to mention his greasy-hair, coke-bottled glassed, buck-toothed
>appearance. Somebody must've used an ice pick on his face.
>He has as many divorces as those out in Hollywood. Not that any quality
>women look his way. What I mean is, what woman would actually want to
>live underground like he does, with a dirt floor? Not to mention that
>his property in Eastern Ohio is well-known as a toxic waste-dump site.
>
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3303. mobile with a Ford Power Stroke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul.baldock@checksum.com
Message-ID: <4ELj4.1215$ag.22365@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120444

It's pretty much impossible to work HF mobile with my new Ford Power Stroke. The general opinion seems to be that the most obnoxious comes from the injector solenoids.

Has anybody actually managed to solve the problem on a Fords PSD (no theories please)?

Thanks

Paul

paul.baldock@checksum.com

3304. Re: mobile with a Ford Power Stroke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul.baldock@checksum.com
Message-ID: <%OLj4.1225$ag.22365@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120445

In article <4ELj4.1215$ag.22365@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>,
paul.baldock@checksum.com says...
>
>It's pretty much impossible to work HF mobile with my new Ford Power Stroke.
>The general opinion seems to be that the most obnoxious comes from the
>injector solenoids.

Sorry I left out the word NOISE. Its OBNOXIOUS ELECTRICAL NOISE

Paul

3305. NCI files Oppostion to "Wormser, Adsit, & Dinelli"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s8v2nb817q5128@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

NCI has filed a formal Oppostion to the "Petition for Partial
Reconsideration"
filed by Wormser, Adsit, and Dinelli, seeking to overturn parts of the FCC's
Report and Order (FCC 99-412) in WT Docket No. 98-143.

Copies may be viewed or downloaded in Adobe Acrobat .pdf format from:

http://www.nocode.org (under the "Articles" button)

- - --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- - ----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- - ----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOI+K65e+N6+q84HiEQJ8KQCgnbepVbG9i1tQIUBaHrRafX4JZfkAniIB
wDMddotbKzRT9sGS3xYNuBqR
=yvXC
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3306. NCI files Opposition to "Learn" Req. for Decl. Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s8v2q24e7q546@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

NCI has filed a formal Oppostion to the "Request for Declaratory Ruling"
filed by Larry L. Learn, seeking to overturn parts of the FCC's
Report and Order (FCC 99-412) in WT Docket No. 98-143.

Copies may be viewed or downloaded in Adobe Acrobat .pdf format from:

http://www.nocode.org (under the "Articles" button)

- - --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- - ----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- - ----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOI+LQZe+N6+q84HiEQJ/+wCgvlaiC3OOKJbh+tXoje6h2ChCjv4AoOXg
ookkJ+cso6FH4JpvuzBvFucm
=HeOz
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3307. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <388F909C.91BB4719@uci.edu>
Source record: 120448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT/Kim wrote:

> I refer you to the "If" statement I made....

Right. That's why I said you should pay more attention to what you
write. No guessing about what you might have said. :-)

73, jim ac6xg

3308. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0B4F4E30513F5163.80F5E88054322A1A.F5C30FBE352BF602@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
>
> > I refer you to the "If" statement I made....
>
> Right. That's why I said you should pay more attention to what you
> write. No guessing about what you might have said. :-)
>
> 73, jim ac6xg

Oh, I think probably lots of folks should pay more attention. Perhaps
if I were in a forum where it was really critical, I'd be a little more
worried. I also have never really been one for nitpicking the English
language to death. I guess I could start communicating with one
liners...I'll try that for a while...

Kim W5TIT

3309. Re: "GET LOST AF2Y" AOL to Doc and Iris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86o8hs$vu9$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120450

Polly, name ONE individual at AOL who took Badblood and Tippecanoe
seriously. Just ONE! I dare you.
AF2Y

In article <86o2v8$6mc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:

>From what I have heard, AOL told AF2Y that the law suit inviting posts
>that he was putting on news groups was reason enough to pull the switch
>on his antics. It was reported that two very influential stockholders
>complained about his "Libel Act" to the powers that be at AOL.
>
>Good work boys. Iris is punishing him with whips as she is mad about
>the floor falling out from under her weird "HUBBY".(but he likes
>that)!!
>
>Rileygotcha
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3310. Re: AF2Y, AOL REMOVED HIM FROM SERVICE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86o85c$rf8$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120451

This is indeed strange. My flare up with AOL came about in mid December of '99 and had nothing to do with my postings on rec. radio since I was utilizing my First Amendment Rights. There was never any libel involved since that word was never used by either the FCC or AOL. Somehow you have pipedreams believing that any complaint you may have registered, along with Lenny Schaier, could have influenced AOL against me. Don't you understand that if what you are saying was true then AOL could be held liable for their actions if indeed they had taken place. Why should a major company be beholden to an invasive big mouths like you and Schaier.You and Schaier are wannabes, nothing more. Can you substantiate your claims of power? If you wrote or called about me, to whom did you speak? Was anything promised? Of course not because it's a lie and you know it and everyone on this board does also. All you're trying to do is to take your "stupid super sleuth" atitude on ham radio and move it here to impress the world. In the meantime what you're doing is making AOL look bad by trying to make everyone think you "bought" them. Get off your high horse Bob and wise up. Everyone is on to you.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <86o6p7$9aa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:

>Say all you like Charles about your so-called absence from AOL. The reason
>that you are not on that service is that you were removed because of your
>rude and repugnant postings. Your wife was even irate over the incident and
>you are such a "JACKASS", that you have deprived Iris from a service that she
>really liked. You were warned about your slander and offensive behavior, too
>bad you did not take precautions. First you were warned from the FEDERAL
>COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION and now you see where your actions have landed you.
>
>As a stockholder of AOL I must compliment AOL for taking action against
>people who abuse the service.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3311. AF2Y describes the scenario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86oa7dttk1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120452

for example....uh....Dear Mr. Case.
Chairman of the Board
AOL.......
uh.......we are Robert Youngblood and Leonard Schaier...uh....the former is a fruit picker with AOL stock and also a skip tracer or...uh..sumpin else in law enforcement and the latter is the CEO of a company in Long Island but he can't spell too "good" so I'm spelling good for bothus.
Ah...uh...there's this ham named Doc with the call AF2Y who writes some badass stuff on rec.radio and even though it's the truth it's so badass that me and Lenny are going to divest ourselves of millions of bucks of AOL if you don't kick him off. Our problem is that he's too good wit words and it drives us nuts so you better get rid of him fast or thanks to us you're gonna go belly up.
Yours truly,
Bothus

And this ladies and gentlemen of this esteemed board is how the two geniuses supposedly got me expelled from AOL. Can you believe the chutzpah of them? Chutzpah is Swedish for "nerve." For heaven's sake people-tell them to get a life or get real and stop pretending to be something they're not-powerful.

Doc,AF2Y

3312. Re: The theme song of r.r.a.*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86oacr$6f0$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

CONGRATULATIONS MR. GESUNDHEIT. IT'S TREMENDOUS AND YOU'RE ONE VERY VERY
INTELLIGENT, CREATIVE AND WITTY DUDE. I SALUTE YOU.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <86nlqf$sd3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Walter Gesundheit
<w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:

>I am the very model of a Newsgroup personality.
>I intersperse obscenity with tedious banality.
>Addresses I have plenty of, both genuine and ghosted too,
>On all the countless newsgroups that my drivel is cross posted to.
>Your bandwidth I will fritter with my whining and my sniveling,
>And you're the one who pays the bill, downloading all my driveling.
>My enemies are numerous, and no-one would be blaming you
>For cracking my head open after I've been rudely flaming you.
>
>I hate to lose an argument (by now I should be used to it).
>I wouldn't know a valid point if I was introduced to it.
>My learning is extensive but consists of mindless trivia,
>Designed to fan my ego, which is larger than Bolivia.
>
>The comments that I vomit forth, disguised as jest and drollery,
>Are really just an exercise in unremitting trollery.
>I say I'm frank and forthright, but that's merely lies and vanity,
>The gibberings of one who's at the limits of his sanity.
>If only I could get a life, as many people tell me to;
>If only Mom could find a circus freak show she could sell me to;
>If I go off to Zanzibar to paint the local scenery;
>If I lose all my fingers in a mishap with machinery.
>
>If I survive to twenty, which is somewhat problematical;
>If what I post was more mature, or slightly more grammatical;
>If I could learn to spell a bit, and maybe even punctuate;
>Would I still be the loathsome and objectionable punk you hate?
>
>But while I have this tiresome urge to prance around and show my face,
>It simply isn't safe for normal people here in cyberspace.
>To stick me in Old Sparky and turn on the electricity
>Would be a fitting punishment for my egocentricity.
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

>Before you buy.

3313. Restructuring Ham Radio As We Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark E Hill <remington@bctonline.com>
Message-ID: <XiOj4.837$tJ2.18932@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 120454

Greetings Fellow Ham's,

I was shocked to see how the fcc changed the rules! I am a no-code tech and feel deeply for all of you who worked so hard at obtaining your ticket into the wonderful world of Ham Radio. I feel sorry for you veterans who have had to do things the hard way such as learning 13 - 20 wpm telegraphy. I feel as an operator that you need to earn your priveleges to more power the old fashioned way. I still plan on taking the full on 13 -wpm code exam to earn my General class license. I have learned a lot from you seasoned guys and feel that I too should work hard to gain upper class priveleges. I got out of cb radio's because of the people who make and break rules illegaly in the 11 meter band. Now it seems in my opinion that the government is trying to take away decency in radio and trying to move in on us to take away our priveleges to gain for themselves. I'm sorry that this has happened but there's only so much I can do or at least have power to do. Like I mentioned earlier I will still accomplish the 13- wpm code test and pass the written exam to get my General ticket the honest way by working for it. I feel in a mighty way that my right to earn my upgrades have been violated. Some how I get this horrible feeling that Amateur radio is going to be attacked by childish people in the upcoming months as this new thing comes into power in April. Anyhow 73's to everyone and sorry to hear the awful news.

Mark H.

3314. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E12B9DD2C817B556.BB9F01C77C5F8549.A2E76A4AE9603A4E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley (jwkelley@uci.edu) wrote:
> : W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> : > I wouldn't know about 20m cw, because I am not licensed for 20m.
>
> : I don't suppose you're licensed for 75 meters either, but that didn't
> : stop you from telling us about how everyone there is intolerant.
>
> : ac6xg
>
> 20m doesn't have Tech+ frequencies, "80m" does. (But one "could" listen
> on either.)
> --
> Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

Watch it...you'll get a lecture now... :o

Kim W5TIT

3315. Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120456

Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:

> well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up that
> there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is such a
> stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is donnie's
> brother?hhhmmmm?
>
> the real pvtcueball u moron

The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
header-forging morons. Unreal.

3316. FA: Kenwood TR-2200A 2-Meter FM Transceiver (NO RESERVE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ebay@ElectronicReports.com" <ebay@ElectronicReports.com>
Message-ID: <388FCDDE.FDDFAA89@ElectronicReports.com>
Source record: 120457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FOR AUCTION: Kenwood TR-2200A 2-Meter FM Transceiver -- NO RESERVE!!!

Auction information, specifications and pictures available at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=246116501

3317. FA: Realistic PRO-38 Handheld Radio Scanner (NO RESERVE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ebay@ElectronicReports.com" <ebay@ElectronicReports.com>
Message-ID: <388FCE48.CB097C35@ElectronicReports.com>
Source record: 120458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FOR AUCTION: Realistic PRO-38 Handheld Radio Scanner -- NO RESERVE!!!

Auction information, specifications and pictures available at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=246127584

3318. Re: The theme song of r.r.a.*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <388FD692.31D7F0D2@radiks.net>
Source record: 120459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And he polished up his rhyming so carefully,
that now he's the Poet of the r-r-a-p!

Walter Gesundheit wrote:
>
> I am the very model of a Newsgroup personality.
> I intersperse obscenity with tedious banality.
> Addresses I have plenty of, both genuine and ghosted too,
> On all the countless newsgroups that my drivel is cross posted to.
> Your bandwidth I will fritter with my whining and my sniveling,
> And you're the one who pays the bill, downloading all my driveling.
> My enemies are numerous, and no-one would be blaming you
> For cracking my head open after I've been rudely flaming you.
>
> I hate to lose an argument (by now I should be used to it).
> I wouldn't know a valid point if I was introduced to it.
> My learning is extensive but consists of mindless trivia,
> Designed to fan my ego, which is larger than Bolivia.
>
> The comments that I vomit forth, disguised as jest and drollery,
> Are really just an exercise in unremitting trollery.
> I say I'm frank and forthright, but that's merely lies and vanity,
> The gibberings of one who's at the limits of his sanity.
> If only I could get a life, as many people tell me to;
> If only Mom could find a circus freak show she could sell me to;
> If I go off to Zanzibar to paint the local scenery;
> If I lose all my fingers in a mishap with machinery.
>
> If I survive to twenty, which is somewhat problematical;
> If what I post was more mature, or slightly more grammatical;
> If I could learn to spell a bit, and maybe even punctuate;
> Would I still be the loathsome and objectionable punk you hate?
>
> But while I have this tiresome urge to prance around and show my face,
> It simply isn't safe for normal people here in cyberspace.
> To stick me in Old Sparky and turn on the electricity
> Would be a fitting punishment for my egocentricity.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3319. New to group...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jason M. Maddux" <jason.maddux@trw.com>
Message-ID: <86m8b3$qs1@news1.dh.trw.com>
Source record: 120460

Greetings fellow amateur radio operators.... KB7SKY.... Sierra Vista AZ.

3320. W2KP Super Sleuth or super idiot >>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86ldvq$7mp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120502

I wonder why little Eddie W2KP, Complains so much about Captain Truth operating without benefit of call letters. He never seemed to have a problem, talking with Frankie Todd, who bootlegged my call (WB2OTK)for over a year. He also, never had a problem talking with Kurt (now Graham)when he was part of there group. Another question comes to mind, I wonder why both he and Jim Laraway (FCC Com Center DC)use to put out hits on Taylors, SC implying I was jamming on 75m, when we had moved 3 months prior to Powdersville, SC. After finding the new address of Easley, SC his bogus hits continued, poor Ed, never did figure out, that we do not live in Easley, although that is our postal address. It took quite a period of time to install the 220v line, remember the cathedral ceiling jokes?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3321. AF2, IRIS BECAME IRATE ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86lljj$d1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120503

Word has it that when Iris was informed of the situation with the provider she became irate and went ballistic !!! Charlie should behave and these things would not happen. Iris should really tighten up on this Svengali.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3322. Re: W2KP Super Sleuth or super idiot >>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86lnc1$e88$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120504

>>>>>>PORKBUTT EX WB2OTK AKA Rich7>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>!!

Poor cancelled amateur that you are, you just don't get it, you have not a clue>>>>>>>>> The bands have changed>>>>>>>people like you who qrmed, cussed, played the bully, talked over qsos etc>>>>>>>are out!!! Part 97 rule abiding amateurs are in!!!>>>>>>>>>>> unidentified qrmers will be hunted down like the dogs they are!!Live with it!!>>>And get over it>>> If, God Forbid, you get back on the air>>>>>>>You will have to be a good boy>>>> sorry, times have changed, and>>>>>>>Oh bye the bye, learn to spell or get a GED!!

Rileygotchu HO HO>>>>>>>>>>Herbie still here, Al is back, Porkie still gone!!

In article <86ldvq$7mp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> I wonder why little Eddie W2KP, Complains so much about Captain Truth
> operating without benefit of call letters. He never seemed to have a
> problem, talking with Frankie Todd, who bootlegged my call (WB2OTK)for
> over a year. He also, never had a problem talking with Kurt (now
> Graham)when he was part of there group. Another question comes to
> mind, I wonder why both he and Jim Laraway (FCC Com Center DC)use to
> put out hits on Taylors, SC implying I was jamming on 75m, when we had
> moved 3 months prior to Powdersville, SC. After finding the new
> address of Easley, SC his bogus hits continued, poor Ed, never did
> figure out, that we do not live in Easley, although that is our postal
> address. It took quite a period of time to install the 220v line,
> remember the cathedral ceiling jokes?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3323. Re: CSCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <388E41C0.46E44B68@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 120505

helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:

> Anyone know how to get a copy of their CSCE when it is lost?
> Can't figure where it is and don't know how to get another.
> Any help is appreciated.
> helmsman@mindspring.com
> http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman/

Apply to the VEC or the VEs who conducted your session.
They have to keep copies for 3 years.

--
Thomas C. Sefranek WA1RHP
ARRL Instructor, Technical Specialist, VE Contact.
http://www.harvardrepeater.org
http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html

3324. Re: THE FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <2Nsj4.1899$K26.34449@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120506

Obvious post by a smelly little creature that lives under a bridge (troll
:>) .

Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200001252153.QAA22568@cotse.com...
> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> The american people have bent over and asked for this.
> The politicians have offered them quick fixes for their petty
> problems- just turn over a basic freedom and we'll throw
> you a bone. Americans would sell their mother's ass
> on the street corner for pocket change to rent the latest video.
> They are the most despicable scum on the planet,
> because they were given FREEDOM and LIBERTY,
> and they PISSED ALL OVER IT! They wipe their rotten
> asses with the flag their fathers and forefathers
> bleed and died to defend. I have more respect
> for reeking maggots then Americans.
> At least they don't lie and delude themselves about
> the parasites they are.
>
> Americans are sheep - herd animals.
> Fit only for sheering and slaughter.
> I say give them to the U.N. and the One Worlders.
> A few generations under the yoke of the New Imperialists
> of the one-world movement would do them good- see how
> they like picking lettice and living in a tin shack after
> their weath is "redistributed."
> It would kill off the weaklings.
>
> I hope God damns the sheeple of the United States to fiery Hell,
> for he allowed them the most precious of things, LIBERTY,
> and they shit all over it!
>
>

3325. Re: Riley, where are you?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000125212018.23833.00000096@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 120507

hammer007 wrote
>He was a;so removed from AOL because of his attacks on individuals on the
>net.

You were also WARNED by your ISP, why do you yet continue? Say goodbye now!

3326. High speed code practice- why bother ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwdxer@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86m14a$ku4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Are the people in this hobby brain dead ? First they assist in
destroying the code speeds, then the ARRL devises that ridiculous
continuing education idea... now they vote for this ? Why bother ?
Practice on the air. What a waste of electricity this would be.

QRZ.COM POLL

Should the ARRL continue the high speed code practice
broadcasts after April 15, when the new rules go into effect?

Yes 77%
1561 votes

No 22%
460 votes

Total 2021 votes

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3327. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <388E57F7.2907@no.net>
Source record: 120509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
>
> You singled yourself out with that 'handle'... You choose such and then
> expect people to look the other way.. Sort of like teens playing their rap
> loud enough to shake the walls and then wondering why anyone complains.
> They start the 'loop' on purpose to get the attention. You mentally-flash
> everyone and then act like the innocent little dame...

It is amazing what people will do to get attention, and then complain
when they get it.

3328. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86ltl5$sm3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Innocent John!! jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>I myself am an active OO & VE with an Extra Class license!

That doesn't sound any more impressive than your:

"I own the Portland 147.435 repeater!"

logo from years ago. (And of course, we both know that you never did
own it.)

Jeff KH6O

3329. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86lu2v$t1m$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John Mackey jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>The FCC requirement is for regular Morse Code.

As a volunteer examiner, please cite the appropriate title and part in
the CFR where "regular" Morse is stipulated.

Jeff KH6O

3330. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86lvt2$1ed$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

georgedean <georgedean@mindspring.com> wrote:
>I also believe the FCC should shorten the spectrum
>of frequencies used for Morse code.

Morse can be used on any frequency on any band. It doesn't displace
any other mode on HF.

> For the amount of activity which is
>very little in the cw range it could be better utilized for voice and
>digital transmissions.

George, good buddy, you need to install an outdoor antenna. On any
evening, I can count as many (if not more) CW QSOs as phone QSOs.

Morse already shares its spectrum with the phone and digital modes. You're
proposal is senseless.

Jeff KH6O

3331. Re: The Internet is Dying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m07p$1me$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gil Baron W0MN <gbaron@usa.net> wrote:
>Boy, did you open a can of worms here. As a person that uses code a
>lot for fun and currently am around 30 WPM I still must agree with
>you. It is ludicrous to see all that spectrum going to WASTE. MUCH
>more is needed for voice AND for digital modes. With these modes CW is

Tell me, Gil, on what HF frequencies does Morse displace any other mode?
What are the exclusive HF Morse frequencies on which no other modes are
permitted?

Jeff KH6O
Four bedroom home for sale in Minden NV, close to Lake Tahoe, south of
Carson City. http://realestate.classifieds.yahoo.com/nevada/realestate --
enter town name: "Minden" then choose catagory "Resale homes by owner."

3332. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m0pd$26n$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120514

ATATKD <atatkd@aol.com> wrote:
>ATATKD deleted the attribution line for whomever wrote:

>>Show some guts and take the 20 wpm code or you'll just be a Welfare
>>Extra.

>How do we tell them apart :p

Oh, I suppose that we could scan our licenses onto some web page.
The 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns are almost all gone so when you see or hear
one, you can be assured that it belongs to a 20 wpm Extra.

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula.

3333. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <ddwj4.7741$_G1.139906@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120515
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeff, you don't know what you are talking about again!! But who cares??
Everyone thinks you are the fool of the newsgroups.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
> logo from years ago. (And of course, we both know that you never did
> own it.

3334. Re: Farnsworth for 5 wpm test?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m1po$2q5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120516

In article <388b73b1.19605044@news.idsi.net>, <Tom@astro.net> wrote:
>I do listen to on-air code as well. Was ready for a 13wpm test, got
>one faster than that. That's my original reason for failing it.

Are you sure that more than thirteen words were sent during each
minute? If you failed the exam, how could you have had an accurate
word count? =:o

73, Jeff KH6O
Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

3335. VA3GJB, Bootlegger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388E8D4D.D6A61EE9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120517

Anon wrote:

> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> Cracker is a congenital liar and not to be believed.This
> malcontent tubba lard is a delusional bottom feeder.

Wow, snappy comeback. You must be part of the "Dyer" dream team. I believe Industry Canada will love meeting an attorney of your calibre, moron.

3336. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m2fv$3fk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120518

In article <86g1m9$9kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <vtaoz@my-deja.com> wrote:
>I am
>definitely a raving nutcase, all I can say is watch this space. By the
>way I left America before they the FEDS that is had the chance to put me
>in leg irons and lock me up in the Marion Prison.

If you have nothing to offer this country, then you're a parasite.
Good riddance. No one misses you.

3337. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m3fu$49v$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT, Kim Walker <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Uh huh, and every day on 2M there are assists made by amateurs who come
>upon traffic accidents, stranded motorists, drunk drivers, etc., etc.
>Yet, to hear many who support CW as a supreme mode of operation, 2M has
>little more than CB value? HA!!!

Same thing happens on CB, on cellphones, and at pay phones. Those willing
to help will find one way or another to communicate their needs.

73, Jeff KH6O
Private sailing lessons out of the Ala Wai Harbor. Email for appointment.

3338. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000126013306.28571.00000830@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 120520

>>Name one policy that Clinton put into effect that Gave us this great
>economy.

>I recall paying about 28 cents a gallon for gas for my new Camaro in
>1969, based on today's costs it's about 4.5 times that. My wages have
>gone up more than 4.5 times what they were in 1969, have yours ?
>

No answer to my question- so I'll ask again.....-Name one policy that Clinton proposed and put into effect that gave us this great economy.....

>>>Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>>

>>Thanks to concealed carry in many states.
>

>Does NYC have concealed carry ?
>
>

No it does not------but NYC has Rudolph Julianie..a republican who had to clean up after Dinkins------and Clinton suported Dinkins for re-election. If Dinkins was re-elected---NYC would have a heck of a lot more crime than it does. See the states that have concealed carry----Texas, Florida, etc.....the murder rates, the robery rates and all other types of crimes have dropped in the states that made it lawful to cary a gun.

>>>Welfare roles are shrinking.
>>Thanks to the insistance by the republicans to change welfare despite
>Clinton's
>> objection to welfare reform.
>
>By "caring Republicans" no doubt , they're the ones that don't want
>locks placed on dumpsters.

"Republicans" insisted on reforming welfare. Clinton resisted at first..but had to join in due to public opinion polls that showed that the vast majority of americans wanted changes made to stop this welfare socialism that bread even more poverty. As far as locks on dumpsters.....well I'm sure that you would not like people living in your dumpster if you were a business. I must say that your attempt to troll is a little weak at this point.

>>Yes he's a very effective LIAR. Remeber--he's the only elected president to
>be
>>impeached and the only president to be judged to have lied to a court and
>held

>>in contempt of that court. And I've seem to remember a famous
>quote-----"I've
>>never had any improper relations with that woman, Ms. Lewinski...."
>
>Don't forget NAZI Ken Starrs infatuation with Clinton's sex life. It's
>been reported he spent a lot of time in the 'bathroom' reading all the
>lurid details of the affair (I wonder ???? what he possibly could
>have been doing ????? ;-)

Whats with you people? Calling anyone who disagrees with you a nazi. I don't recall Ken Starr ever procaliming his support of national socialism (AKA NAZISIM). And where is your source that proves that Ken Starr sat in a bathroom reading all the lurid details. Seems you are making up stories to support your hypothesis that Bill Clinton is so wonderfull.

>) . I only hope someday you or your wife
>get a little NAZI prosecutor like Ken Starr to investigate your sex
>lives. If it weren't for the courts NAZI Ken would have subverted the
>attorney-client privelege.

What? Ken Starr was never at Nueremburg. He's not old enough to be a Nazi prosecutor.
I've never needed to be investigated by the judicial branch of the Federal government---Bill Clinton cannot say that! And as far as attourney client priveledge--what's that got to do with the price of tea in China. The courts have ruled in Starrs favor in every instance and several prosecutions of Clinton friends have resulted.

>Oh yeah, decades later someone appears out of the bushes to make
>wild-eyed claims ... Ever hear of Clarence Thomas ??

Yes I have. And the evidence against Thomas is non existent. As far as the rape allegations...well there are several witnesses to the fact that Juanita Broderick was raped.....and it was indeed by Clinton. Even the ever so cautious Limbaugh made mention of the Broderick case. The only thing protecting Clinton from some very serious jail time is he's the president..at least for now!

>I'll take ole Bill warts and all.
>

Typical in the box democrat. I seem to remember a quote by a famous dictator..something about useful idiots. I did not mean that as a put down. It's just that when people accept others as their leaders.....they must insist on integrity...something the Clinton administration has no idea of what that is.

>>I am not a Republican ...not a Democrat.....just a guy who loves
>>America.......and the 2 party system in this country is killing it!
>>N8WGM
>
>So write Jesse (The Body) Ventura in as your candidate , complete with
>feather boa.

You can have Jesse. I'll take senator Smith or how about a Buchanon/Alan Keyes ticket. Just the thing to wake up America before its too late.
Have a great day!

3339. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m4ed$52d$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT, Kim Walker <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Carl and Dee Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote:

>> >BUT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE HALF A GRIP ON WHAT YOU WROTE>>>>>>>
>> >I HAVE A COMMERCIAL GENERAL RADIO TELEPHONE LICENSE, WITH MARINE RADAR
>> >ENDORSEMENT,,A HAM LICENCE ,A VERY SUCESSFUL 2 WAY RADIO BUSINESS, AND FOR
>> >OVER 50 YEARS I CAN NOT COPY 2 WPM,CODE LET ALONE 5 WPM.
>> > NO I AM NOT ON WELFARE,I AM NOT WINNING,OR BITCHING, BUT PEOPLE LIKE
>> >YOU PEALLY P*** ME OFF,,IF YOU WERE 1/2 A MAN YOU WOULD APOLOGIZE, AND TRY
>> >TO GET A GRIP ON YOUR LIFE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>> Hi Carl,
>> If you're KE4FQY, a Technician, then of course you can't copy code.

>EXCUSE
>ME??
>That is about the dumbest damn remark I think I have heard! That is
>just totally absurd and I just can't believe anyone would be stupid
>enough to relate someone's class of license to whether they can copy
>CW.

You failed to read what he (Carl) wrote. I was responding to *his*
remark concerning him being a ham but not being able to copy code.
His class of license affirms that. If he had recently passed the
Extra, then that fact would counter his claim.

>For cryin' out loud!!!! So, you think there's no one else out
>there but hams who can copy CW??????????????????????
>Good grief....

Be careful not to allow anything you read on here to raise your blood
pressure like that. Let absolutely nothing posted on the NGs to affect
your emotions. But do read the ">>>>" included comments carefully.

73, Jeff KH6O
Wanted: Rotating light beacon for the Ala Wai Harbor lighthouse project.

3340. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m4kf$53j$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stephen Green <sdgreen.msn@attcanada.net> wrote:
>Goodness, at this rate the supporters of code will be quoting the use of
>such when GI's are in prison of an unfriendly country.
>Code is nice to knoe, but in reality is dead in the mainstream. Has it's
>place as a mode of communication only, not as a requirement of qualification

Stephen, he asked for an example, and I provided one. How can you find
fault with that?

73, Jeff KH6O
For sale: 500 kHz marine band MCW transmitter.

>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
>news:86g81smmk1@news.hawaii.edu...
>> Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>> >On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
>>
>> >>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives
>>
>> >When was the last several examples?
>> >AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?
>>
>> A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
>> contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
>> in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
>> details.
>>
>> 73, Jeff KH6O
>>
>>
>
>

3341. Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m5e8$5hi$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <381EEE2A.11B6@no.net>, JJ <jj@no.net> wrote:
>x-no-archive: yes

Why would you block your comments from being archived, JJ?

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>> >On Thu, 20 Jan 2000 07:42:44 GMT, "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>

>> >>That simple mode of communication HAS SAVED many lives

>> >When was the last several examples?
>> >AND did those examples even involve any hams at all?

>> A couple weeks ago there was a Newsline-type post in r.r.a.misc which
>> contained a story of a ham who was having chest pains and keyed his mic
>> in Morse to ask for help. You can do a Deja search to get the exact
>> details.

>That is so astounding we should require every citizen to learn Morse
>code and give them a 2 meter rig just in case they may need it in such
>an emergency. After all, if it saved even one life it would be worth it.

He asked for an example, and I provide one (although it might have
been that the operators were using CW, not phone). Would you have been
happier if no one had been able to give an example? Does the existance
of a very recent example bother you?

Again, why would you attempt to block your comments from being archived?
Anyone who conducts a follow-up to you (such as I'm doing) will over-ride
your "x-no-archive: yes" command.

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula.

3342. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m5re$5ue$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote:
>Now, I am a no code Tech so you know. I, before the news, wasnt even
>interested in code. Now, I am practicing every day and night so I can
>get my 5 wpm and join those of you on the HF bands. If you dont want
>to talk to me there or on UHF/VHF, fine, so be it. Some one will talk
>to me or pound a paddle with me. You will not be missed one bit by me

I can guarantee that if you do decide to use CW, absolutely no one will
care what class of license you have, nor how recently you obtained it.
The other CW operators will welcome you with open arms.

73, Jeff KH6O

3343. Re: High speed code practice- why bother ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <86m6qsrra1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <86m14a$ku4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <cwdxer@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Are the people in this hobby brain dead ? First they assist in
>destroying the code speeds, then the ARRL devises that ridiculous
>continuing education idea... now they vote for this ? Why bother ?
>Practice on the air. What a waste of electricity this would be.
>
>QRZ.COM POLL

Maybe people are thinking the WAD petition will change the FCCs mind
for higher extra code speed? Or people wil want to increase their
speed to operate in the extra subbands? (where the big boys play??).
>
>
>Should the ARRL continue the high speed code practice
>broadcasts after April 15, when the new rules go into effect?
>
>Yes 77%
> 1561 votes
>
>No 22%
> 460 votes
>
>
>Total 2021 votes
>

3344. Re: New license structures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m6ma$6jo$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:
>>> CompCnsult wrote:

>>>> Those of us interested in the more technical aspect of the hobby
>>>>should not be held back because of the code requirement.

>>You're free to open a book, study, and learn to your heart's content
>>regardless of any code requirement. Haven't you ever read just for the
>>enjoyment of learning?

>>>The point is that, under the old Rules, the 20 wpm Morse test was a
>>>significant DISincentive to many to even bother to learn the technical
>>>material necessary to upgrade to Extra.

>>Again, if one had wanted to further his knowledge, he could have done so
>>on his own.

>>>Under the new Rules, that DISincentive has been removed and I fully
>>>expect that many, many more people will undertake to improve their
>>>technical knowledge/skills in order to upgrade.

>>The same books will be available after restructuring as were before.
>>If the drive to learn lacked before, that same drive will be lacking
>>after.

>>Don't confuse learning and memorization of the question pool.

>Ever since I entered ham radio in 1951, unknowledgeable
>newcomers have been memorizing the "question pool". Some
>of us have later actually contributed something to ham
>radio. Every endeavor has beginners and holier-than-thou
>elders who forget they were ever beginners.

And regardless of the existence of the question pool, those
with a lust for learning studied and bettered themselves.
Thanks for proving my point.

73, Jeff KH6O

3345. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m6ui$6o0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in rec.radio.cb:
>| I don't watch TV except the wx channel before I start work
>in the morning. I work outside so its kind of important.
>| I'm already up at 4:30. You get up half an hour earlier.
>| I'm a field Tech. I eat in the truck on the way to the
>next site if I
>| eat at all. I visit the office on Monday morning to see
>my e-mail and file my travel vouchers.

Yet you find time to post and post and post. In the time you
spent writing the above, you could have learned a new letter
in Morse.

73, Jeff KH6O

3346. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86m7dk$73n$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120528

Brook <wgifford@inreach.com> wrote:
>No, never received one. Thats the problem. No card, no letter from the
>FCC(lately!). Just slanderous remarks by OOs.

About you? What was said? Who said it? Facts, please.

>I WISH I did get a card, I'd be proud to frame it and hang it in my shack

You'd be proud to have been caught violating federal communication laws?

>Since we obviously can't trust the OO's to objectively do their job,

"Obviously"? Explain, providing facts this time.

73, Jeff KH6O

3347. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <388fa3e2.5991993@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120529

On 26 Jan 2000 06:33:06 GMT, n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:

>>>Name one policy that Clinton put into effect that Gave us this great
>>economy.
>
I recall paying about 28 cents a gallon for gas for my new Camaro in
1969, based on today's costs it's about 4.5 times that. My wages have
gone up more than 4.5 times what they were in 1969, have yours ?

>
>No answer to my question- so I'll ask again....-Name one policy that Clinton
>proposed and put into effect that gave us this great economy.....

Here's the part you snipped out:

> > Real wages are going up for
>>the first
>>time since 1973. (Put another way, real wages were going down during the
>>whole
>>so-called boom of the 80's.)
>By whose account....the Governents? Why then is the inflation rate tied to
>such things as shoe laces and macaroni when in real terms the inflation rate is
>more dependent on housing costs and fuel.

See my question above again. You bitch about fuel costs but when
presented with evidence you snip it out and hide.

>>>>Violent crime rates continue their gradual decline.
>>>
>
>>>Thanks to concealed carry in many states.
>>
>
>>Does NYC have concealed carry ?
>>
>>
>
>No it does not-

Well there goes your theory about concealed carry. Crime rates have
gone down all over not just in concealed carry states.

>-----but NYC has Rudolph Julianie..a republican who had to clean
>up after Dinkins------and Clinton suported Dinkins for re-election. If Dinkins
>was re-elected---NYC would have a heck of a lot more crime than it does. See
>the states that have concealed carry----Texas, Florida, etc.....the murder
>rates, the robery rates and all other types of crimes have dropped in the

>states that made it lawful to cary a gun.
>
>>>>Welfare roles are shrinking.
>>>Thanks to the insistance by the republicans to change welfare despite
>>Clinton's
>>> objection to welfare reform.
>>
>>By "caring Republicans" no doubt , they're the ones that don't want
>>locks placed on dumpsters.
>
> "Republicans" insisted on reforming welfare. Clinton resisted at first..but
>had to join in due to public opinion polls that showed that the vast majority
>of americans wanted changes made to stop this welfare socialism that bread even
>more poverty. As far as locks on dumpsters.....well I'm sure that you would
>not like people living in your dumpster if you were a business. I must say that
>your attempt to troll is a little weak at this point.

As far as trolling how about the BILLIONS in Corporate Welfare in the
form of tax breaks, loopholes and subsidies that Big Bidness gets. But
that doesn't count right ? because those are "special interests."

>
>>>Yes he's a very effective LIAR. Remeber--he's the only elected president to
>>be
>>>impeached and the only president to be judged to have lied to a court and
>>held
>>>in contempt of that court. And I've seem to remember a famous
>>quote-----"I've
>>>never had any improper relations with that woman, Ms. Lewinski...."
>>
>>Don't forget NAZI Ken Starrs infatuation with Clinton's sex life. It's
>>been reported he spent a lot of time in the 'bathroom' reading all the
>>lurid details of the affair (I wonder ???? what he possibly could
>>have been doing ????? ;-)
>
>Whats with you people? Calling anyone who disagrees with you a nazi.

I get nervous when an out of control NAZI prosector digs into ones
personal PRIVATE sex life and issues subpoenas to BOOKSTORES. Sig Heil
Herr Reichsmarshall Starr. The right wing Republican Gun nuts can
blather on all day long about intrusive big gumm't but then they turn
their backs when a NAZI prosecutor delves into ones private, personal
sex life.

> I don't
>recall Ken Starr ever procaliming his support of national socialism (AKA
>NAZISIM). And where is your source that proves that Ken Starr sat in a
>bathroom reading all the lurid details. Seems you are making up stories to
>support your hypothesis that Bill Clinton is so wonderfull.
>
>>) . I only hope someday you or your wife

>>get a little NAZI prosecutor like Ken Starr to investigate your sex
>>lives. If it weren't for the courts NAZI Ken would have subverted the
>>attorney-client privelege.
>
>What? Ken Starr was never at Nueremburg. He's not old enough to be a Nazi
>prosecutor.
> I've never needed to be investigated by the judicial branch of the
>Federal government

You might be someday and they may want to know all about your of age,
personal, consensual, private sex life. Thanks to Ken Starr.

>---Bill Clinton cannot say that! And as far as attourney
>client priveledge--what's that got to do with the price of tea in China.

It was another example of out-of-control NAZI Ken at work.

> The
>courts have ruled in Starrs favor in every instance and several prosecutions of
>Clinton friends have resulted.

They sure as hell didn't when he tried to have a dead mans private
conversations with his ATTORNEY turned over to NAZI Ken.

>
>>Oh yeah, decades later someone appears out of the bushes to make
>>wild-eyed claims ... Ever hear of Clarence Thomas ??
>
>Yes I have. And the evidence against Thomas is non existent. As far as the rape
>allegations...well there are several witnesses to the fact that Juanita
>Broderick was raped.....and it was indeed by Clinton. Even the ever so cautious
>Limbaugh made mention of the Broderick case. The only thing protecting Clinton
>from some very serious jail time is he's the president..at least for now!

Yep, just like Anita Hill , some decades later someone comes a
crawling out of the closet.

>
>>I'll take ole Bill warts and all.
>>
>
>Typical in the box democrat. I seem to remember a quote by a famous
>dictator..something about useful idiots. I did not mean that as a put down.
>It's just that when people accept others as their leaders.....they must insist
>on integrity...something the Clinton administration has no idea of what that
>is.

I think if Dubbya is elected we should reinstate the special
prosecutor law and investigate his cocaine usage/connections, see what
was going on there, is there a Columbian tie to the white house ???

>>>I am not a Republican ...not a Democrat.....just a guy who loves
>>>America.......and the 2 party system in this country is killing it!

>>>N8WGM
>>
>>So write Jesse (The Body) Ventura in as your candidate , complete with
>>feather boa.
>
>You can have Jesse. I'll take senator Smith or how about a Buchanon/Alan Keyes
>ticket. Just the thing to wake up America before its too late.
> Have a great day!

I'll take Gore or maybe Mccain (sp).

3348. Re: Exams in Illinois?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregory Chambers <chambers@midwest.net>
Message-ID: <a1dt8sk8lrvfccili0oa6ts16a0942lrqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 120530

"Gregory" <okguy@megsinet.net> wrote:

>Anyone know of any license tests or hamfests in Illinois ?

You'll find the answers to both questions at www.arrl.org or
www.qrz.com.

73's - Greg - WB9UHR

3349. Re: This is a nice Forum now!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86mrg0$759$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120531

radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <86lj14$hr3
$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>This guy's nuts. I move either nowhere or anywhere, at MY disgression.
My
>Extra permits it which is more than Polly can say. Had Riley taken
this guy
>out for 6 months, there would have never been a need for anyone to QSY
off
>14.313 and if you want to be honest to yourselves, you know I speak the
>truth. And these labels of "Goodfellas" and "Stumpjumpers" and all the
rest
>of that nonsense is nothing but "Pollyanish" bullbleep. Good grief,
W4FQF is
>just as big an instigator on the Internet as he is on ham radio. A
>Fisherville putz at best.
>AF2Y

Polly's just angry because we found out he was a Conditional
grandfathered to General. His CB-like operating procedures are a dead
giveaway that he was a CB operator long before he received his ham
ticket.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3350. Re: VA3GJB info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86mrtg$78t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120532

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote in message
<388E446D.74707997@hotmail.com>...
>
>
>rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> In article <86l2sh$v59$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> > If anyone cares to check on QRZ you will find that VA3GJB, Graham
>> > Dyer, is listed as AD. AD means advanced.
>> >
>> > AD means he has passed all theory and 12 wpm of that class.
Which by
>> > the way gives him full hf and power authorization on all HF bands
>> > available to Canadian amateurs. Which include 20 and 75 meter
phone.
>> >
>> > You may confirm this by going to the RAC Canada home page at:
>> >
>> > www.rac.ca/
>> >
>> > Or if you want Ill gladly forward my copy of the frequency
>> > authorizations if you send me an e-mail requesting same. At:
>> >
>> > w4nti@arrl.net
>> >
>> > 73
>> >
>> > Dan/W4NTI
>> >
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Dan, will you ever get it out of your head, the truth
is
>> not an issue with these people? <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>> >
>> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> > Before you buy.
>> >
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>
>Boy, all you clowns can do is lie like a rug. QRZ info is meaningless,
the

>idiot savant dyer provided that info, which further proves the
conspiracy to
>bootleg. The accurate data for the qrm'ing canuck is at the Radio
Amateurs
>of Canada website, here is the link with the canucks call:
>
>http://www.rac.ca/cgi-bin/cgiwrap/rac/callbook?
QueryText=va3gjb&QueryType=call&perpage=25
>
>Basic with 5 wpm. Then you go the infamous pamphlet RIC2 which is in
adobe
>format at the Industry Canada website at:
>
>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01372e.html#RadiocommunicationsInformat
ionCi
>
>Then click on RIC2,
> Standards for the Operation of Radio Stations in the
Amateur
> Radio Service
>
>This is a pamphlet in adobe format. It clearly spells out his operating
>privileges, which are once again, according to John Manley, Prime
Minister
>of Industry Canada, and the Radio Amateurs of Canada, basic with 5
wpm. That
>is the truth, like it or not.

You wouldn't know the truth if it bit you on the ass, you two-faced
moron.
You're justifing this recent episode to a supposed forgery via a
canadian isp. Now, how can you be sure which of that isp's users sent
them? I'd say you'd use any old excuse to go back to your previous
behavior, mr. "I've killed once, and by god, I might just kill again."
Here's a suggestion: you let Graham operate his station the way he
wants to, and you operate yours the way you want to, and let the two
respective communications authorities sort it out. Your vigilante
mentality, if such a thing is possible with you reeks of the CB band.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3351. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86pf4o$5ah$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120283

My OH My did I rattle a few cages. For a start it's no good you all
getting so upset just because you have been found out obviously you
are all in it together. You are just the kind of people your
Government love, lambs to the slaughter, give them any old BS and they
will believe it why because they are just too stupid to figure it out
for themselves whats really going on. Make no mistake when it bites
You in the ass and it will it will be too late to start crying, just
Remember us nutcases told you so. Your beloved president Bill is A ONE
WORLD GOVERNMENT MAN he dose not give a dam about you-your Wives or
Children or more importantly America. For him and his cronies to
succeed they first of all have to get rid of the middle classes, next
The Christians, they have succeeded in virtually wiping out the middle
Classes, but they have got NO chance of doing it to a true Christian,
Why because we are on the winning team. It is quite obvious that none
Of you ever read your Bible, if you did you would understand that the
Book of Revelation is unfolding right before your very eyes. I am only
trying to bring a message to people like you who really don't want to
listen as it upsets their cosy little World, well enjoy it while it
last's you have not much time left, and don't complain when they tattoo
a
mark on your forehead otherwise they will cart you of to one of the
empty 160 prison camps they have reserved. The last thing I want to say
to you poor misguided individuals is that we know who you are but we
will pray for you.

VTAOZ

In article <86n4lu$e6k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <86g1m9$9kd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > You DONOT live in a free country anymore, and neither do I in
England.
> > If you think I am some kind of nut then I am sorry ,but .....
>
> Yes, you're just another whacko, but don't worry, Lloyd and Kevin will
> suck up to you.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3352. Re: Restructuring Ham Radio As We Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86pehi$5ai$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120284

Hello Mark...Get ready for flames, flames, flames. I think you have a great attitude. But there are some people who will resent your hard work ethic..they are waiting to be given all that you have and will work hard for. Don't feel sorry for the veterans.. feel sorry for all those that will flame you on this one..they don't have a clue.

Be glad to see you on the bands.

de Tim K0FL

Mark E Hill wrote in message ...
>Greetings Fellow Ham's,
>
> I was shocked to see how the fcc changed the rules! I am a no-code tech
>and feel deeply for all of you who worked so hard at obtaining your ticket
>into the wonderful world of Ham Radio. I feel sorry for you veterans who
>have had to do things the hard way such as learning 13 - 20 wpm telegraphy.
>I feel as an operator that you need to earn your priveleges to more power
>the old fashioned way. I still plan on taking the full on 13 -wpm code
exam
>to earn my General class license. I have learned a lot from you seasoned
>guys and feel that I too should work hard to gain upper class priveleges.
I
>got out of cb radio's because of the people who make and break rules
>illegaly in the 11 meter band. Now it seems in my opinion that the
>government is trying to take away decency in radio and trying to move in on
>us to take away our priveleges to gain for themselves. I'm sorry that this
>has happened but there's only so much I can do or at least have power to
do.
>Like I mentioned earlier I will still accomplish the 13- wpm code test and
>pass the written exam to get my General ticket the honest way by working
for
>it. I feel in a mighty way that my right to earn my upgrades have been
>violated. Some how I get this horrible feeling that Amateur radio is going
>to be attacked by childish people in the upcoming months as this new thing
>comes into power in April. Anyhow 73's to everyone and sorry to hear the
>awful news.
>
> Mark H.
>
>

3353. Re: Restructuring Ham Radio As We Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38903705.15159190@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120285

Tim,
He hasnt been given anything yet.
Since he is a No-Code tech (In Theory, Since he didn't post with a
call) with only close to 3 months left, we will see how it turns out
after April 17th or later when some one checks his call sign on
qrz.com... Hard work ethics are always respected, However, Him being a
No-Code at present doesn't bring me to believe he will pass the 13wpm
before the last day. Also, How do you and the rest of these morons
think it is just given to others? I asked this question before and all
you guys seem to ignore it or work around it. Only closed minded
people get flamed. They don't have a clue? Do you care to give one
away? I got plenty of clues, In fact clue #1. Your angry because now,
all that paddleing you did got you to the same place as a 5wpm
amateur. Big Deal!!! You still have your little segments to use your
abilities and I will look up to those with 13wpm or higher as long as
they dont act like you! You might want to change your attitude about
all this because soon the No-Code Techs', 5wpm Generals and Extras'
will out number you. after a few years or more, the likes of the old
crew will slowly die off and you might be left alone with all of us.
How would you feel if we treated you like you do us? Be an adult about
it, Be a Respected Ham and allow others to look up to you for your
accomplishments at the time you took your 13wpm and after that.
I respect Marks' and Your Opinion, But get real, Nothing is being
handed out. I am somewhat dissapointed in the change, but i'm not out
here complaining about it. I just take it with a grain of salt and let
it go.

Good Luck Mark with your 13wpm.

73 de kf6foz

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 07:43:48 -0500, <randas@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Hello Mark...Get ready for flames, flames, flames. I think you have a great
>attitude. But there are some people who will resent your hard work
>ethic..they are waiting to be given all that you have and will work hard
>for. Don't feel sorry for the veterans.. feel sorry for all those that will
>flame you on this one..they don't have a clue.
>
>Be glad to see you on the bands.
>
>de Tim K0FL
>
>
>Mark E Hill wrote in message ...

>>Greetings Fellow Ham's,
>>
>> I was shocked to see how the fcc changed the rules! I am a no-code tech
>>and feel deeply for all of you who worked so hard at obtaining your ticket
>>into the wonderful world of Ham Radio. I feel sorry for you veterans who
>>have had to do things the hard way such as learning 13 - 20 wpm telegraphy.
>>I feel as an operator that you need to earn your priveleges to more power
>>the old fashioned way. I still plan on taking the full on 13 -wpm code
>exam
>>to earn my General class license. I have learned a lot from you seasoned
>>guys and feel that I too should work hard to gain upper class priveleges.
>I
>>got out of cb radio's because of the people who make and break rules
>>illegaly in the 11 meter band. Now it seems in my opinion that the
>>government is trying to take away decency in radio and trying to move in on
>>us to take away our priveleges to gain for themselves. I'm sorry that this
>>has happened but there's only so much I can do or at least have power to
>do.
>>Like I mentioned earlier I will still accomplish the 13- wpm code test and
>>pass the written exam to get my General ticket the honest way by working
>for
>>it. I feel in a mighty way that my right to earn my upgrades have been
>>violated. Some how I get this horrible feeling that Amateur radio is going
>>to be attacked by childish people in the upcoming months as this new thing
>>comes into power in April. Anyhow 73's to everyone and sorry to hear the
>>awful news.
>>
>> Mark H.
>>
>>
>
>

The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3354. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "(Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38904ADD.46EC86E4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Now I see why this was posted in rec.radio.cb! Sounds just like
my CB. Free entertainment <:

BD wrote:
>
> I see you chose to exit the 'debate,' TITty... ;)
>
> "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
> news:QFvj4.293$bu.8895@news.swbell.net...
> > Anyone with half a brain knows that a 'chosen' call-sign is either
> initials
> > for something, or a 3 letter word or abbreviation.. And when it's a word,
> > it's no different than a handle (ie calling yourself that word) with a
> > prefix, and you know it, TITty...

--
Posts to the newsgroup DO NOT need a copy e-mailed to me. Thanks.
(Unless you're afraid I won't see it!)

Unit SIX-NINE Chesapeake Bay

3355. Re: Restructuring Ham Radio As We Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <86pi1f$20i$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120287

Thanks for the early morning laugh...lets see..you call me a moron, closed minded, angry, and say I need to change my attitude. But yet you respect my opinion..?? The last thing that will ever happen is that I will change my thinking because of such an intelligent post as yours. Also, the very last thing I worry about is as you say I "might be left alone with all of us". I spend most of the time I operate on the cw bands. That is one place I have no doubt we will never meet. (thank God)

Please notice how I can make a point without the name calling. Try it sometime, I might start to respect your opinions also.

de Tim K0FL

kf6foz wrote in message <38903705.15159190@news.thegrid.net>...
>Tim,
> He hasnt been given anything yet.
>Since he is a No-Code tech (In Theory, Since he didn't post with a
>call) with only close to 3 months left, we will see how it turns out
>after April 17th or later when some one checks his call sign on
>qrz.com... Hard work ethics are always respected, However, Him being a
>No-Code at present doesn't bring me to believe he will pass the 13wpm
>before the last day. Also, How do you and the rest of these morons
>think it is just given to others? I asked this question before and all
>you guys seem to ignore it or work around it. Only closed minded
>people get flamed. They don't have a clue? Do you care to give one
>away? I got plenty of clues, In fact clue #1. Your angry because now,
>all that paddleing you did got you to the same place as a 5wpm
>amateur. Big Deal!!! You still have your little segments to use your
>abilities and I will look up to those with 13wpm or higher as long as
>they dont act like you! You might want to change your attitude about
>all this because soon the No-Code Techs', 5wpm Generals and Extras'
>will out number you. after a few years or more, the likes of the old
>crew will slowly die off and you might be left alone with all of us.
>How would you feel if we treated you like you do us? Be an adult about
>it, Be a Respected Ham and allow others to look up to you for your
>accomplishments at the time you took your 13wpm and after that.
>I respect Marks' and Your Opinion, But get real, Nothing is being
>handed out. I am somewhat dissapointed in the change, but i'm not out
>here complaining about it. I just take it with a grain of salt and let
>it go.
>
>Good Luck Mark with your 13wpm.
>
>73 de kf6foz
>

>On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 07:43:48 -0500, <randas@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>>Hello Mark...Get ready for flames, flames, flames. I think you have a
great
>>attitude. But there are some people who will resent your hard work
>>ethic..they are waiting to be given all that you have and will work hard
>>for. Don't feel sorry for the veterans.. feel sorry for all those that
will
>>flame you on this one..they don't have a clue.
>>
>>Be glad to see you on the bands.
>>
>>de Tim K0FL
>>
>>
>>Mark E Hill wrote in message ...
>>>Greetings Fellow Ham's,
>>>
>>> I was shocked to see how the fcc changed the rules! I am a no-code
tech
>>>and feel deeply for all of you who worked so hard at obtaining your
ticket
>>>into the wonderful world of Ham Radio. I feel sorry for you veterans
who
>>>have had to do things the hard way such as learning 13 - 20 wpm
telegraphy.
>>>I feel as an operator that you need to earn your priveleges to more power
>>>the old fashioned way. I still plan on taking the full on 13 -wpm code
>>exam
>>>to earn my General class license. I have learned a lot from you seasoned
>>>guys and feel that I too should work hard to gain upper class priveleges.
>>I
>>>got out of cb radio's because of the people who make and break rules
>>>illegaly in the 11 meter band. Now it seems in my opinion that the
>>>government is trying to take away decency in radio and trying to move in
on
>>>us to take away our priveleges to gain for themselves. I'm sorry that
this
>>>has happened but there's only so much I can do or at least have power to
>>do.
>>>Like I mentioned earlier I will still accomplish the 13- wpm code test
and
>>>pass the written exam to get my General ticket the honest way by working
>>for
>>>it. I feel in a mighty way that my right to earn my upgrades have been
>>>violated. Some how I get this horrible feeling that Amateur radio is
going
>>>to be attacked by childish people in the upcoming months as this new
thing
>>>comes into power in April. Anyhow 73's to everyone and sorry to hear the

>>>awful news.
>>>
>>> Mark H.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>The kf6foz BBS
>http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3356. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwilliams2458@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86pobk$b05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120288

In article <26118-388FDA22-96@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> Well written, Gotcha. But my point wasn't to justify this guy's
> distasteful behavior. My point was rather, why must we characterize
him
> as a "jerk," when we can accurately describe his conduct without
> attacking him with this opinion maquerading as fact.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
> everytime he unloads his disruptive, worthless, volley, without
identification, and while an active QSO is taking place, he is jerking
us around. even being a topic of discussion on this forum, he or she is
jerking us around!
so, he be a jerk!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3357. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Internet purchases are tax free <dondumbo@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <68Yj4.438$Sa2.21317@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120289

robert3243@my-deja.com wrote in message <86mt64$854$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>
>
>Hi
>I recently got into ham radio. I am really enjoying it but my wife says
>all these people are dorks and geeks. She will not let me turn on the
>radio in front of my kids or other people. I dont know what to.

As Chef said to Stan in the movie: Southpark - Bigger, Longer and Uncut

"Awwww... that's easy, all you have to do is find the clitoris"

3358. Time for a FUNNY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Internet purchases are tax free <dondumbo@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <B4Yj4.435$Sa2.20612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120290

Too much 14313/3901 bullshit on this NG lately....

Here's a funny diversion: (enjoy - ya've earned it!)

"ED ZACHARY DISEASE"

A woman was very distraught at the fact that she
had not had a date or any sex in quite some time. She was
afraid she >>>might have something wrong with her, so she decided to employ
the medical expertise of a sex therapist.

Her doctor recommended that she go see Dr. Chang, the
well known Chinese sex therapist. So she went to see him.

Upon entering the examination room, Dr. Chang said."OK take off
all you crose." The woman did as she was told. "Now get down and
craw reery reery fass to odder side of room." Again the woman did as
she was told.

Dr. Chang then said, "OK now craw reery reery fast
back to me." So she did.

Dr. Chang slowly shook his head and said, "Your
probrem vewy bad, you haf Ed Zachary Disease, worse case I ever
see, dat why you not haf sex or dates."

Confused, the woman asked, "Oh my God, Dr. Chang,
what is Ed Zachary disease?"

Dr. Chang looked the woman in the eyes and replied,
" Your face rook Ed Zachary rike your ass."

3359. CONGRATS BOB &LENNY REMOVING AF2Y FRM AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86pq2i$cb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120291

Nice work boys, now go after him on Mindspring. Notice how you have
made him a NICE Boy HO HO.

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3360. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86pqgtcpe1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120292

In article <86pf4o$5ah$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote:

> The last thing I want to say to you poor misguided individuals
> is that we know who you are but we will pray for you.

Watch out with that loud praying -- the dudes in the black
copters will hear you.

And thats the way it is!

73, Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3361. Re: AF2Y, AOL REMOVED HIM FROM SERVICE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfenforcer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000127100905.00423.00001615@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 120293

In article <86o85c$rf8$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> writes:

>This is indeed strange. My flare up with AOL came about in mid December of >'99 and had nothing to do with my postings on rec. radio since I was >utilizing my First Amendment Rights.

Get a clue, Charles. Your "First Amendment Rights" do not apply to ISPs and online services. Read AOL's Terms of Service. They reserve the right to remove you or to delete messages which, in their opinion, are improper.

You'd have about as much success as an attorney as you did as a dentist.

Note: this address does not accept unsolicited e-mail. Please contain all discussion regarding USENET posts to the appropriate newsgroup thread.

3362. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FF21804DB7779A91.86D5B132F3E0BAB4.7A3D01B5957B0D9D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

(Unit 69) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in article
<38904ADD.46EC86E4@hotmail.com>...
> Now I see why this was posted in rec.radio.cb! Sounds just like
> my CB. Free entertainment <:
>

heh heh, yeah it gets like that when the "longer licensed" hams get their mics
in a twist...LOL It'll settle down soon...they'll go back to their workbenches
;)

Kim W5TIT
PS--BD? To what debate are you referring?

> BD wrote:
> >
> > I see you chose to exit the 'debate,' TITty... ;)
> >
> > "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
> > news:QFvj4.293$bu.8895@news.swbell.net...
> > > Anyone with half a brain knows that a 'chosen' call-sign is either
> > initials
> > > for something, or a 3 letter word or abbreviation.. And when it's a word,
> > > it's no different than a handle (ie calling yourself that word) with a
> > > prefix, and you know it, TITty...
>
> --
> Posts to the newsgroup DO NOT need a copy e-mailed to me. Thanks.
> (Unless you're afraid I won't see it!)
>
> Unit SIX-NINE Chesapeake Bay
>

3363. Re: CONGRATS BOB &LENNY REMOVING AF2Y FRM AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86q0nj$i47$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120295

In article <86pq2i$cb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
> Nice work boys, now go after him on Mindspring. Notice how you have
> made him a NICE Boy HO HO.
>
> --
> gotchagoat 2000
>

It's time to get Polly off of Juno as well......

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3364. Kenwood TM-221A Step rate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Sheppard <bradjenn@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3890709c.0@209.128.1.3>
Source record: 120296

Hi I just acquired an old Kenwood TM221A mobile radio and am wondering how to chage the step rate of the VFO. It is currently set at 20KHz and I have a load of repeaters in this area that require a 10 KHz step rate (i.e. 146.790 as opposed to either 146.780 or 146.800 which are the only two frequencies even close to the desired one available)

Thanks in advance
Brad Sheppard
VO1XA

3365. Re: AF2Y describes the scenario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86q3c8$k52$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120297

In article <86oa7dttk1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> for example....uh....Dear Mr. Case.
> Chairman of the Board
> AOL.......
> uh.......we are Robert Youngblood and Leonard Schaier...uh....the
former is
> a fruit picker with AOL stock and also a skip tracer or...uh..sumpin
else in
> law enforcement and the latter is the CEO of a company in Long Island
but he
> can't spell too "good" so I'm spelling good for bothus.
> Ah...uh...there's this ham named Doc with the call AF2Y who writes
some
> badass stuff on rec.radio and even though it's the truth it's so
badass that
> me and Lenny are going to divest ourselves of millions of bucks of
AOL if
> you don't kick him off. Our problem is that he's too good wit words
and it
> drives us nuts so you better get rid of him fast or thanks to us
you're
> gonna go belly up.
> Yours truly,
> Bothus
>
> And this ladies and gentlemen of this esteemed board is how the two
geniuses
> supposedly got me expelled from AOL. Can you believe the chutzpah of
them?
> Chutzpah is Swedish for "nerve." For heaven's sake people-tell them
to get a
> life or get real and stop pretending to be something they're not-
powerful.
>
> Doc,AF2Y
>

LOL!!!!! :)

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3366. Re: HOW Should FCC Foster a Technical Hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86q3c9$k53$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I would presume you wouldn't support an ARRL venture into
> the CB radio area.

Sweetie, you'd presume right!

ARRL venturing into CB radio would be equivalent to the Pope
venturing into a whorehouse!

And that's the way it is!

73,
Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3367. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86q3hskep1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120299

In article <86l9pu$4km$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
> The point is on the top of your head Bob, you are a piece of work. You
> would try to prove Jack the ripper a nice guy, Lizzy Borden a
> misunderstood nice, lady. And last but not least, "PORKBUTT" Whiting a
> decent fine gentleman. Hogwash. Captain Truth is a common qrmer!! and
> not in the least bit nice.
>
> Gotchagoat 2000

How about the times YOU qrmed with that lousy harmonica playing, Polly?
Or chirping "herbies #1" or any other assorted qrming you've done,
w4fqf?

> In article <23037-388D30A7-1@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> > Is he a jerk or unidentified station? If he's unidentified, how do
> you
> > know he's a jerk? Or are all unidenfied stations jerks, according to
> > you?
> >
> > I'm only trying to prove a point: How often we characterize people
> with
> > our own coloration, confusing it with fact.
> >
> > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> > Miami, Florida
> >
> >
>
> --
> gotchagoat 2000
>
> President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
> amateur radio personality club in the world!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3368. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38907C5B.F7DA4A62@uci.edu>
Source record: 120300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal wrote:
> 20m doesn't have Tech+ frequencies, "80m" does. (But one "could" listen
> on either.)

One can listen anywhere - that was the point. And 80/75 meters doesn't
have any Tech+ voice frequencies. Kim doesn't listen to code.

73, ac6xg

3369. Re: NCI files Opposition to "Learn" Req. for Decl. Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38907DD9.F186C58A@uci.edu>
Source record: 120301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> Copies may be viewed or downloaded in Adobe Acrobat .pdf format from:

> http://www.nocode.org (under the "Articles" button)

Why not give the URL for the file.....or is this a commercial of some
sort?

ac6xg

3370. Re: NCI files Opposition to "Learn" Req. for Decl. Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbloom@arrl.org
Message-ID: <slrn8911um.3vv7gsr.jbloom@jbloom.arrl.org>
Source record: 120302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 09:18:17 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
>> Copies may be viewed or downloaded in Adobe Acrobat .pdf format from:
>
>> http://www.nocode.org (under the "Articles" button)
>
>Why not give the URL for the file.....or is this a commercial of some
>sort?

Particularly since the "Articles" button isn't present unless you have
Java applets enabled in your Web browser.

Jon
--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager
(Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

3371. Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@uswest.net
Message-ID: <86q0lr$3n1$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 120303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Here's your chance to contribute to the new question pools as they are assembled by the QPC.

A mailing list has been established as a public point for submitting questions for consideration. This is a private effort, not a function of the NCVEC, and is intended as a clearinghouse for public input into the Amateur Radio license examination question pool. Questions gathered there will be forwarded to the QPC (Question Pool Committee).

To subscribe to the list, and to obtain further instructions, send an empty email message to:

Ham-Test-subscribe@egroups.com

Instructions will be sent to you by return mail.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3372. Re: Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2A098FC883DF8805.87E888B0554B42EA.644F20C6592B6331@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K0HB@uswest.net wrote:
>
> Here's your chance to contribute to the new question pools as they are
> assembled by the QPC.
>
> A mailing list has been established as a public point for submitting questions
> for consideration. This is a private effort, not a function of the NCVEC,
> and is intended as a clearinghouse for public input into the Amateur Radio
> license examination question pool. Questions gathered there will be
> forwarded to the QPC (Question Pool Committee).
>
> To subscribe to the list, and to obtain further instructions, send an empty
> email message to:
>
> Ham-Test-subscribe@egroups.com
>
> Instructions will be sent to you by return mail.
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
> ----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

Just for clarification, can't questions also be submitted to the QPC
directly?

Kim W5TIT

3373. Re: NCI files Opposition to "Learn" Req. for Decl. Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FC2DC03C4DAEF98C.AB6DB86D0F84B2C8.9DA9AA51406F61BF@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > Copies may be viewed or downloaded in Adobe Acrobat .pdf format from:
>
> > http://www.nocode.org (under the "Articles" button)
>
> Why not give the URL for the file.....or is this a commercial of some
> sort?
>
> ac6xg

What would be the matter with a commercial?

Kim W5TIT

3374. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86qcnhrnc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120306

In article <38932539.90439426@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick) wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 11:35:22 -0800, Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
> wrote:
>
> >All you old geezers on 75 meters better find a new place to hang out.
> >The CB'ers are a comin'! Better brush up on those 10 codes, good
buddy!
> >
> >Thats a BIG TEN-4!
>
> What do you mean there comming? They have been there for over
> 5 years already! The only differnce between 11 and 80 meters is the
> guys on 80 are CBextras.
>
> Rick KC2ESD
>
Are you saying that the big guns operating in the DX window are cb
types? Usual amateur tradition referes to 75 meters as the phone band,
and 80 as the cw/digital band.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3375. Motorola MCS2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3890988D.E2AD36E5@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 120307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Anyone know anything about this radio ? Motorola MCS2000

3376. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86qgmi$uv5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120308

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote in message
<388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>...
>
>
>Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:

"Fornicating," you illiterate Bubba.

>> well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up
that
>> there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is
such a
>> stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
>> all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is donnie's
>> brother?hhhmmmm?
>>
>> the real pvtcueball u moron
>
>The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
>header-forging morons. Unreal.

"license"
It's amazing that you still remember how to breathe.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3377. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86qgrh$v0c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120309

W5TIT/Kim wrote in message ...
>(Unit 69) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in article
><38904ADD.46EC86E4@hotmail.com>...
>> Now I see why this was posted in rec.radio.cb! Sounds just like
>> my CB. Free entertainment <:
>>
>
>heh heh, yeah it gets like that when the "longer licensed" hams get
their mics
>in a twist...LOL It'll settle down soon...they'll go back to their
workbenches
>;)

LOL!!!!!!

>
>Kim W5TIT
>PS--BD? To what debate are you referring?

One in his own mind....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3378. Re: CONGRATS BOB &LENNY REMOVING AF2Y FRM AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Pm1k4.3469$c%1.43252@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 120310

you fools have to much time on your hands
<gotchagoat@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:86pq2i$cb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Nice work boys, now go after him on Mindspring. Notice how you have
> made him a NICE Boy HO HO.
>
> --
> gotchagoat 2000
>
> President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
> amateur radio personality club in the world!!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3379. Most Successful R.R.A.* Troll Award

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3890AE47.E8A31EFC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'd like to nominate my
"Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS"
thread as the most successful ham newsgroup troll ever.

Weeks and weeks after that first inflammatory post,
the thread and innumerable sub threads are like the
pink rabbit... they "keep going and going and going...."

(applause)
Thank you, Thank you....;-)

3380. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3E1CBDFAC7A61F75.7027BA295D94DBD4.A6C8A02D9CB47A8B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
>
> You know.. You asked me to show you where you'd called yourself TIT,
> TITty...
> And I explained it to you. You then shut up pretty quickly.. ;)
> Are you still saying you don't? (and don't try to do your usual and
> flip-flop. It's simple-as-heck to quote "any #" of posts where you did.)
>
> "W5TIT/Kim" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> > PS--BD? To what debate are you referring?
> >
> > > > I see you chose to exit the 'debate,' TITty... ;)
> > > >
> > > > "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:QFvj4.293$bu.8895@news.swbell.net...
> > > > > Anyone with half a brain knows that a 'chosen' call-sign is either
> > > > initials
> > > > > for something, or a 3 letter word or abbreviation.. And when it's a
> word,
> > > > > it's no different than a handle (ie calling yourself that word) with
> a
> > > > > prefix, and you know it, TITty...

Then, simply, do it....

Kim W5TIT

3381. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Cosmo G. Topper" <Cosmo@topper.com>
Message-ID: <86qbhi$56k$2@news.service.uci.edu>
Source record: 120313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT, Kim Walker wrote in message
<0B4F4E30513F5163.80F5E88054322A1A.F5C30FBE352BF602@lp.airnews.net>...
>I guess I could start communicating with one
>liners...I'll try that for a while...

Heck yeah. Couldn't do any worse. Ask Cecil, LCC, and Brian for advice on
one liners. (Actually, Cecil has the best ones.)

73, ac6xg

3382. TS680S dim display - help please !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: g0lfp@invalid.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <memo.20000127213202.20151B@g0lfp.cix.co.uk>
Source record: 120314

apologies to anyone who has seen this in another radio newsgroup, heres hoping for more info here.

My display has gone very dim on my TS680S, anyone had this happen to them and know how to cure it? I assume that there is a high voltage driver for the tube gone pop, but without a cct diagram, I'm a bit loathed to start prodding around aimlessly !!!

regards - Steve
ICQ 8554470
G0LFP / G7DEI
g0lfp at hotmail.com

3383. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3890C071.72ED@arrl.net>
Source record: 120315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:

> The ARRL is not the only kid on the block...nor has it ever been.

> I do not intend to flame the ARRL here...but just to remind all
> that most of the ARRL stuff is at the beginner level and much of it has
> not advanced as much as it should to keep up with the digital
> technology of today. It would be impossible for the present ARRL staff
> to advance to the level that many members of TAPR now are at, for
> example. The ARRL staff can no longer be technical leaders in modern
> communications techniques and must rely more and more on professional
> hams to lead them.

> How can the ARRL be expected to lead Ham Radio into the millenium
> and continue to promote its very BASIS and PURPOSE? That is: To
> advance the state of the art and encourage the development of a cadre
> of technical experts. My answer is that the ARRL can not be expected
> to do so alone. The ARRL must IMHO let professional volunteers make
> the kind of technical decisions that will shape the future of Ham Radio
> and remember that they are basically a lobbying, publication company
> and a social organization ...which can no longer be fit to shape the
> future of Ham techniques or Ham technologies without outside help.

My first instinct was to be insulted, but then I realized that I, too, share the view you just expressed, although I would have expressed it differently.

I don't think that ARRL ever has, or at least ever should have been, the sole source of Good Technical Things in Amateur Radio. Modern radio technology is simply too vast and has far too many disciplines for anyone to expect that any individual, or group of small individuals, would possess the talent and skills to be able to do it all. If the technical problems facing Amateur Radio today were small enough that they could be handled by four hams in the ARRL Lab, they would have been solved years ago.

The League has recognized this for decades. The ARRL Field Organization has created a Technical Coordinator position, with assitant Technical Specialists, to address technical issues at the section level. From a national point of view, notice how much QST and QEX material is prepared by non-HQ hams. The League draws heavily on outside experts when it creates its publications; the various chapters in the Handbook, RFI Book and many others have been written by people selected for their expertise, their ability to put that expertise into words and their willingness to do so. The League has a list of about 100 technical

advisors, with expertise ranging from medical to digital to RF to antenna design to lightning protection, again, able top-notch professionals that the League could NOT afford to hire but who are willing to donate some of their time to help Amateur Radio. The same principle applies to many ARRL Board-level committees. The RF Safety Committee is comprised of what I believe to be some "world-class" experts in the EMF field. The RFI Task Group is about to expand its membership significantly, adding members from the leadership of several disciplines and areas (Part 15 manufacturers, the cable industry, etc.) When I was tasked to do the RFI Book and RF Exposure and You the very first thing I did was to draw on our existing experts and shake the bushes for more. This is exactly what my boss told me to do; it is really a "normal" and appropriate way to do things, IMHO.

Now, having said that, I will add the the Technical staff of ARRL are no slouches. In the antenna field, the experts would agree that Dean Straw, N6BV, the Antenna Book editor is "one of them." In the RFI field, there are people who know more than HQ staff about individual aspects of RFI, but HQ staff have, IMHO, made contributions to the state of the art in the field solutions to RFI problems. Even in the area of RF exposure, the work that ARRL has done has been valuable. One of the FCC OET staff, upon getting his copy of RF Exposure and You, said that the information it provided went well beyond what the FCC had prepared. Zack Lau has been a consistent presence in QEX, often adding his own design work to its value.

This is all a planned part of what ARRL is and does; it is NOT the collection of 100-odd HQ staff; it is NOT the ARRL Board of Directors; it is NOT just the Field Organization or the National Traffic System or the DXCC program, of even just the members. It is a process, one that, to the extent that people are able and willing to participate, can draw on the best of our best for the good of Amateur Radio. QST and QEX and other publications are one of the ways that such knowledge that is out there is documented and shared with Amateur Radio. That is why I have often suggested to people here that they write those QST and QEX articles. Every bit of this process adds its own value and every bit of it is important. So if you say that ARRL HQ staff cannot make all of the contributions that need to be made for Amateur Radio, I will agree heartily. ARRL has provided one means for making the contributions that ARE being made readily accessible to other hams. If you say that ARRL staff cannot make any contributions to Amateur Radio, I will heartily disagree; I have offered but a few examples from my own work. If you say that ARRL is a publications house and social organization, you are neglecting or not seeing much of what the League is doing.

Although there is always room for improvement, I think that what we have right now is working pretty well. Any suggestions for ways to make it better?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

ARRL Lab

3384. Solar report in cw (jan, 28)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <86qfma$3le$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 120316
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

The latest solar and geomagnetic activity report as Morse code audio
files can be accessed / downloaded in MIDI-format at the DTC web site:

at 12 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/wx270100.mid
at 30 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/swx270100.mid

For the full text version look at http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html
clicking on the line with ONDREJOV in the left frame.

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

3385. FA: Mirage M75 100-Watt 10-Meter Bi-Linear Amplifier (NO RESERVE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ebay@ElectronicReports.com" <ebay@ElectronicReports.com>
Message-ID: <3890C166.7C818684@ElectronicReports.com>
Source record: 120317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

FOR AUCTION: Mirage M75 100-Watt 10-Meter Bi-Linear Amplifier

NO RESERVE!!!

Auction information, specifications and pictures available at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=247508631

3386. Re: Motorola MCS2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <AU3k4.774$VR1.20087@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

: Anyone know anything about this radio ? Motorola MCS2000
:

It is the handheld version of the series of 800 Mhz trunked radios.
The unit is about $1650 each for Model II (alphanumeric display
and keypad). Model I is cheaper (about $900) with less features.
Very flexable radio for trunking.

The MCP2000 is the mobile unit.

Got one to sell?

BTW, this is becoming the standard radio for police and public
safety.

3387. FT890 & CW FAULT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: digi <dave@omens.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <86qhespeb1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120319

Hi thanks for reading ,

i have a fault with my ft890 in cw mode it will only
produce milliwatts of power,the radio works well though
in every other mode .

anybody have the info on which pre-set controls the cw gain
or any other info on this problem would be a great help
i do have the schematics for the radio ,but not
the sevice manual .

thanks in advance

dave mw5adj

3388. Re: FA: Realistic PRO-38 Handheld Radio Scanner (NO RESERVE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <3890B903.6069@no.net>
Source record: 120320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

ebay@ElectronicReports.com wrote:
>
> FOR AUCTION: Realistic PRO-38 Handheld Radio Scanner -- NO RESERVE!!!
>
> Auction information, specifications and pictures available at:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com <snip>

Another stupid ebay spammer

3389. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3890CD1F.AEC61136@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Leonard Martin wrote:
> Whenever I see a post like this, I think about what must be going on in the
> poor shnook's life to create such virulent displaced feeling. I'm guessing
> poverty here, possibly after a period of affluence (in a country where
> we're all supposed to get and stay rich). I'm also willing to conside
> sexual performance problems and the heartbreak of psoriasis.

Keep those blinders on, Leonard, the feds are counting on it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3390. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3890CF33.11EF0028@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cosmo G. Topper wrote:
> Ask Cecil, LCC, and Brian for advice on
> one liners. (Actually, Cecil has the best ones.)

I have a confession to make. That's the extent of my aging
attention span. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3391. Re: How to Foster a Technical Hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@uswest.net
Message-ID: <86q241$4ar$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 120323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In a previous article, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>I think the membership requirements are unreasonable, Hans, as it
>unfairly discriminates against AO's who are new hams.

This is a very selective organization, Jim. They only want
members who have proven (by long example) that they are
dedicated and committed anti-technoids. They certainly
don't want some disruptive person to show up at a meeting
wielding a hot soldering iron, or embarrassing them with
with a logic diagram or schematic!

73, Hans, K0HB

>K0HB wrote:
>> --
>> News Release: (Originally from Danny, K7SS)
>>
>> QCAO Reorganizes
>> --
>> The Quarter Century Appliance Operators was founded in the
>> early 1950's by a small group of Amateur Radio operators from
>> the Pacific Northwest. They had been active in their hobby for
>> over 25 years, yet still lacked the basic knowledge of radio
>> electronics and had no idea of how their equipment worked.
>>
>> They banded together to try and protect each others honor
>> and pride. At radio gatherings and club meetings in the 1950s
>> one was considered unworthy of the name Ham Radio Operator if
>> he or she couldn't not only name components, but know how to
>> solder them together and make a radio work, or fix a broken set!
>>
>> When faced with insults and dreision, those few hardy
>> pioneers banded together and formed the First Chapter and
>> National Organization of the QCAO. This was known as the "Cold
>> Solder" Chapter. They even coined the now-famous club byword
>> "e pluribus ignoramae" which is Latin for "We don't have to know
>> how to solder, we just wanna talk on our radios."
>>
>> No veterans of that first chapter are known to be active on
>> the air today. In the late 1950s and early '60s, with the
>> worldwide interest in science and space and technology, the QCAO
>> membership went underground.
>>

>> It is with great pride and dignity that today in the 2000s
>> the revived QCAO stands ready to rise from the ashes, and become
>> the standard of mediocrity it once proudly was. In honor of
>> those first pioneering members, QCAO hereby invites all eligible
>> applicants to step forward and join!
>>
>> The benefits of QCAO include not only the pride of
>> membership. Think of the warm glow you will feel at club
>> meetings and gatherings showing off your new all-plastic
>> imprinted QCAO pocket protector! And that's not all! For your
>> minimal membership fee, you will also receive a handsome,
>> suitable-for-framing, certificate of honor, with hand-lettered
>> name and Charter Membership Number. Other QCAO memorabilia
>> will soon be available for members, including T-shirts, caps,
>> pins, etc. At this date charter membership numbers are still
>> available. Membership requires a 25 years (more or less)
>> interest in Amateur Radio, coupled with a basic ignorance of how
>> radios work and how to repair them.
>>
>> Think of meeting other QCAO members on the air! No more
>> embarrassing pauses when someone in the QSO mentions an RF choke
>> or a parasitic bleeder...Be able to exchange meaningful sharing,
>> talk about real things, yes, even swap QCAO numbers with each
>> other! And soon perhaps . . . a worldwide QCAO contest!
>>
>> You no longer have to shrink to the back of the room at post-
>> meeting sessions of your radio club. Just display your QCAO
>> protector and others will be able to identify you immediately.
>> Who knows? Perhaps one of the originals from that old QCAO
>> Chapter is just waiting for you to find him. Join now! Remember
>> "We don't have to know how to solder, we just wanna talk on our
>> radios"! Don't let technoids embarrass you and kick jargon in
>> your face. Stand up for what's right! Join QCAO!
>>
>> "e pluribus ignoramae"
>> - - -

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3392. Re: Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@uswest.net
Message-ID: <86q4rr$58v$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 120324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>Just for clarification, can't questions also be submitted to the QPC
>directly?

Yes, and in fact that is probably the preferred way.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3393. Re: HOW Should FCC Foster a Technical Hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3890D2F3.A2CDF740@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Walter Gesundheit wrote:
> ARRL venturing into CB radio would be equivalent to the Pope
> venturing into a whorehouse!

And according to the History Channel, that has certainly happened
in the past. Say, did you hear about the one where the Pope
required a lady-of-the-night to be deaf, dumb, and have ___ ____?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3394. Re: Motorola MCS2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <dan@truvalue.com>
Message-ID: <86qm2qogb1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 120326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What's an MCP2000? European version?

I have two MCS2000 Model I Smartzone 900Mhz and they are VERY similar to the
MTS2000 portable that I have. The MCS2000 is a MOBILE.
My company has five of them and we were one of the first places to get them.
Serials are less than 200. They were major buggy and had to be 'upgraded'
several times by Motorola before getting them fixed. They are VERY good
now.
Nice radio all around, but I like the Spectra series better. Too bad
Motorola orphaned that line.

Dan

"N9NWO" <2121d@GTE.net> wrote in message
news:AU3k4.774$VR1.20087@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> : Anyone know anything about this radio ? Motorola MCS2000
> :
>
> It is the handheld version of the series of 800 Mhz trunked radios.
> The unit is about $1650 each for Model II (alphanumeric display
> and keypad). Model I is cheaper (about $900) with less features.
> Very flexable radio for trunking.
>
> The MCP2000 is the mobile unit.
>
> Got one to sell?
>
> BTW, this is becoming the standard radio for police and public
> safety.
>
>
>
>

3395. Re: New to group...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jim@taborsoft.com>
Message-ID: <s91msud37q5181@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120327

Howdy,

Greetings and welcome to you. There is some interesting info to be found
here, but you must dig. :)

Cheers,
Jim
--
http://www.taborsoft.com
Communications Analysis Prediction Wizard
CAPMan HF Propagation Prediction & System Analysis Software
Jim's page: http://www.wtrt.net/~ku5s/

Jason M. Maddux <jason.maddux@trw.com> wrote in message
news:86m8b3$qs1@news1.dh.trw.com...
> Greetings fellow amateur radio operators.... KB7SKY.... Sierra Vista AZ.
>
>

3396. Re: Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3890E089.2B4A@arrl.net>
Source record: 120328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

K0HB@uswest.net wrote:

> "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

> >Just for clarification, can't questions also be submitted to the QPC
> >directly?

> Yes, and in fact that is probably the preferred way.

Not necessarily, Hans. A reflector list like that will allow hams to propose questions that they are unsure of, have the benefit of a group discussion and, from that, minor errors that are creeping into the questions now may be minimized. Committee processes can be cumbersome, and sometimes produce unusual results, but as we learn in the editorial business, more eyes mean fewer typos.

The important thing is that the QPC receive more input from hams, by whatever process.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3397. Re: NCI files Opposition to "Learn" Req. for Decl. Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s91msr597q5140@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:FC2DC03C4DAEF98C.AB6DB86D0F84B2C8.9DA9AA51406F61BF@lp.airnews.net...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> > > Copies may be viewed or downloaded in Adobe Acrobat .pdf format from:
> >
> > > http://www.nocode.org (under the "Articles" button)
> >
> > Why not give the URL for the file.....or is this a commercial of some
> > sort?
> >
> > ac6xg
>
> What would be the matter with a commercial?
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim ... it's obvious ... those who favored continued Morse testing
would prefer to see "the candle" buried, rather than have the light
be seen :-)

73,

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
```

```
iQA/AwUBOJDbqpe+N6+q84HiEQKj4wCeMgbIN/W+FR/FTEVN3AYCpv/8+lUAniqd
tHVxjckHUkewuJn6rw/BJzT0
=tRnZ
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3398. Radio Specialty Mfg. Co. FM Dev. NEED Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel nicholson <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <s91ojnrt7q597@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120330

I am looking for a manual or a copy of a manual for a Radio Specialty Mfg.
Co.. FM Deviation Meter Model 1163-61-1
This thing is old but appears to be complete and operating. I just need the
information on how it works...
Any Help out there??

Thanks Dan

3399. Re: NCI files Opposition to "Learn" Req. for Decl. Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3890E4A0.4CE6B619@uci.edu>
Source record: 120331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > Jim Kelley politely asked:

> > > Why not give the URL for the file.....or is this a commercial of some
> > > sort?

And Carl snidely replied:

> Kim ... it's obvious ... those who favored continued Morse testing
> would prefer to see "the candle" buried, rather than have the light
> be seen :-)

Nope. Just wanted to look at what the NCI document said without having wade thru the rest of the crap on the page.

Bye the way, thanks for the URL on that file. Oh, that's right. You never supplied it. Guess that's why I thought you might just be putting a commercial for the NCI page on the newsgroup.

73, Jim AC6XG

3400. Re: NCI files Opposition to "Learn" Req. for Decl. Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3890F377.46DD@arrl.net>
Source record: 120332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:

> > What would be the matter with a commercial?

> Kim ... it's obvious ... those who favored continued Morse testing
> would prefer to see "the candle" buried, rather than have the light
> be seen :-)

I don't know, Carl; it seems to me that Jim was asking that the candle
not be stuck in his eye.

:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3401. RANGER RCI 6300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: FES <FES@SOLVE.NET>
Message-ID: <86r1n9$5i5$1@news.solve.net>
Source record: 120383

DOES ANYONE HAVE THE CHANNEL MODS FOR THE RANGER 6300F.
PLEASE POST OR EMAIL
THANKS
FES

3402. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <847EF719CF61A3D3.B8D0441695CE0FF8.873DD5CC60C014D3@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
>
> Are you saying that you don't call yourself TIT, TITty?...
> I bet you get "turned on" everytime you say it on the air.. Especially when
> some 'john' starts funn'n with you about it.
>
> >
> > Then, simply, do it....
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >

You're one of those that are referred to as "the CB type" I bet. Of course, I wince at terms like that, because I know some really decent folks who are also CB enthusiasts (should I tell you how to pronounce that word?)

Anyway, folks, this isn't a "CB type," if that is what you have meant. This is, simply, a non-plus human type. And, now you will see what happens when we "shun" such types...

Kim W5TIT

3403. Re: "DOC NOT ON AOL", "DOC NOT ON AOL"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86qvm4$hb1$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120385

And I don't see Eileen running around yelling, "Bob on AOL. Bob on AOL." In the meantime Little Harry's in the background saying nothing while building a nuke warhead out of clay in 6th grade gifted children class.
AF2Y

In article <86qmto$3nr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:

>When Iris was informed about AF2Y being booted from AOL , all she could do
>was run around the house and yell, " DOC NOT ON AOL", "DOC NOT ON AOL", "DOC
>NOT ON AOL".
>
>Now we read where Charles is talking about the freedom of speech on the ISP.
>What a statement, stupid is as stupid says. Chuckie, go get those sulfur
>compounds out of your mouth, you stink !!!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3404. Tubes...Tubes...Tubes...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86r2jeqrv1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120386

I have thousands of tubes for sale. E-Mail me with your wants.
gbrown@mint.net
Thank You
Gary

3405. Re: CONGRATS BOB &LENNY REMOVING AF2Y FRM AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86qvdg$tk8$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120387

Apparently misguided ignorant Polly thinks that the yokels Bobby and Lenny have incanny abilities to persuade a major company to can employees or terminate subscribers' accounts ad nauseum. Here's my challenge. I dare either KT4TR or K2IVX to prove that my account with AOL was terminated or that they had anything to do with alleged termination. Go ahead, make my day.
AF2Y

In article <86pq2i$cb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:

> Nice work boys, now go after him on Mindspring. Notice how you have
>made him a NICE Boy HO HO.
>
>--
>gotchagoat 2000
>
>President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
>amateur radio personality club in the world!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3406. Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s91vj8dd7q5106@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

On January 20th, Stephen B. Gerstenschlager, K0EY, filed a 3 paragraph
document titled "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" with the FCC,
seeking reconsideration of unspecified parts of FCC Report and Order 99-412.
A copy of Mr. Gerstenschlager's "Petitioncan be viewed at

http://www.nocode.org/articles

NCI has already filed a formal Opposition to Mr. Gerstenschlager's
"Petition,"
which may be viewed at the same URL.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- -----------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Executive Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- -----------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOJD+V5e+N6+q84HiEQI9xwCeOSTczplOIa06UkrTSLYu6mQL8E4AoMx4
nCJNyMUGHCATwjKSggCOD7oq
=eP2u
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3407. Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86r2lsqte1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120389

I have photocopies of Hallicrafters, Heathkit, Hammarlund and many others.
gbrown@mint.net
Thank You
Gary

3408. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s921hp2k7q530@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote in message
news:s91vj8dd7q5106@corp.supernews.com...
>
> On January 20th, Stephen B. Gerstenschlager, K0EY, filed a 3 paragraph
> document titled "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" with the FCC,
> seeking reconsideration of unspecified parts of FCC Report and Order
99-412.
> A copy of Mr. Gerstenschlager's "Petitioncan be viewed at
>
> http://www.nocode.org/articles
>
> NCI has already filed a formal Opposition to Mr. Gerstenschlager's
> "Petition,"
> which may be viewed at the same URL.

Sorry for the html "boo-boo" ... apparently the /articles sub-directory
cannot be listed ... only accessed from the main page.

To view the materials referenced above, go to the main page at

http://www.nocode.org

then click on the "Articles" button in the upper left corner.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Executive Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
```

```
iQA/AwUBOJEGR5e+N6+q84HiEQIFeQCcDouu9S/FYogQoPUQmezhKoj+CtAAoOkn
b2DsIwgM99zUFTa3CMBcYWo+
=SgUl
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3409. mr__cracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001280411.XAA11444@cotse.com>
Source record: 120391

This message was posted anonymously:

Mr Cracker...freedom of speech advocate or malcontent substance abuser?
Did Mr Cracker get HIS license with a waiver? Cracker should be re-tested asap since he has a hearing problem...namely that his head is so firmly wedged in his rectal cavity that there is NO WAY he could have passed a CW test using the standard testing method. Perhaps he learned CW by having his XYL pound out the code on the top of his thick skull with a cast iron frying pan. That would explain why he is not yet housebroken and suffers from delusion tremors.
A quote from mr cracker, "Wait! What is that climbing up my wall? Lizards? Snails? Oh, never mind. It is only one of my resident cockroaches."

3410. Re: mr__cracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doofus <Doofus@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <389120a0_1@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 120392

Anon...ever consider that Cracker's mom did not like what she saw and took
him back for a refund? Do they call that Post Partum Depression? I heard
that WalMart has a pretty liberal return policy.....
"Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200001280411.XAA11444@cotse.com...
> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> Mr Cracker...freedom of speech advocate or malcontent
> substance abuser?
> Did Mr Cracker get HIS license with a waiver? Cracker
> should be re-tested asap since he has a hearing
> problem...namely that his head is so firmly wedged in his
> rectal cavity that there is NO WAY he could have passed a
> CW test using the standard testing method. Perhaps he
> learned CW by having his XYL pound out the code on the top
> of his thick skull with a cast iron frying pan. That would
> explain why he is not yet housebroken and suffers from
> delusion tremors.
> A quote from mr cracker, "Wait! What is that climbing up
> my wall? Lizards? Snails? Oh, never mind. It is only one of
> my resident cockroaches."
>

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

3411. Re: mr__cracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <38912382.2612F302@aznet.net>
Source record: 120393

Anon wrote:
>
> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> Mr Cracker...freedom of speech advocate or malcontent
> substance abuser?
> Did Mr Cracker get HIS license with a waiver? Cracker
> should be re-tested asap since he has a hearing
> problem...namely that his head is so firmly wedged in his

Is that a legal reason to re-test?

> rectal cavity that there is NO WAY he could have passed a
> CW test using the standard testing method. Perhaps he

First he can't hear, then he cheats? Um, he could have used
a LED or vibrator without cheating

3412. help with TMV7A modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "^^*< SNOOPY 05 >*^^" <jebemtimater@cetnikill.com.hr>
Message-ID: <hqDj4.329$l54.9976@nsw.nnrp.telstra.net>
Source record: 120461

i would like to know if the tmv7a can be modded for extended tx &rx in the
476-477mhz range uhf for the australian uhf cb band

regards
john
please reply to
jackle_666@primus.com.au

3413. "GET LOST AF2Y" AOL to Doc and Iris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86o2v8$6mc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120462

From what I have heard, AOL told AF2Y that the law suit inviting posts that he was putting on news groups was reason enough to pull the switch on his antics. It was reported that two very influential stockholders complained about his "Libel Act" to the powers that be at AOL.

Good work boys. Iris is punishing him with whips as she is mad about the floor falling out from under her weird "HUBBY".(but he likes that)!!

Rileygotcha

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3414. AF2Y, AOL REMOVED HIM FROM SERVICE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86o6p7$9aa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120463

Say all you like Charles about your so-called absence from AOL. The reason that you are not on that service is that you were removed because of your rude and repugnant postings. Your wife was even irate over the incident and you are such a "JACKASS", that you have deprived Iris from a service that she really liked. You were warned about your slander and offensive behavior, too bad you did not take precautions. First you were warned from the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION and now you see where your actions have landed you.

As a stockholder of AOL I must compliment AOL for taking action against people who abuse the service.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3415. Re: AF2Y, AOL REMOVED HIM FROM SERVICE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86o88j$ah7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120464

"Hammer". why is AF2Y blowing smoke about his bums rush from AOL, I heard that two very prominant stockholders got tired of his antics and complained to the CEO, Why is Crazy Doc stone walling the truth? Why don't he come clean? I heard Iris tried to log on her favorite ISP ,AOL, and found out that the "Problem Child" of the Swartzbard Clan had screwed up again. No AOL for Iris anymore so sad, soon, No Mindspring either. And No more AOL for the "A" hole, AF2Y.

Rileygotcha

In article <86o6p7$9aa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Say all you like Charles about your so-called absence from AOL. The reason
> that you are not on that service is that you were removed because of your
> rude and repugnant postings. Your wife was even irate over the incident and
> you are such a "JACKASS", that you have deprived Iris from a service that she
> really liked. You were warned about your slander and offensive behavior, too
> bad you did not take precautions. First you were warned from the FEDERAL
> COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION and now you see where your actions have landed you.
>
> As a stockholder of AOL I must compliment AOL for taking action against
> people who abuse the service.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3416. Re: AF2Y, AOL REMOVED HIM FROM SERVICE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86obup$d0p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120465

hammer007 wrote in message <86o6p7$9aa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Say all you like Charles about your so-called absence from AOL. The
reason
>that you are not on that service is that you were removed because of
your
>rude and repugnant postings. Your wife was even irate over the
incident and
>you are such a "JACKASS", that you have deprived Iris from a service
that she
>really liked. You were warned about your slander and offensive
behavior, too

Slander is a verbal defamation. Libel is a written defamation. The
newsgroup usually posts WRITTEN material, DUMBASS.

>bad you did not take precautions. First you were warned from the
FEDERAL
>COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION and now you see where your actions have
landed you.

Sort of like YOUR warning, huh Youngblood? You're the only one I know
that received a warning letter from the FCC and a write-up in QST for
reading credit reports, police reports, etc. over the air in some sort
of petty revenge scheme.

>As a stockholder of AOL I must compliment AOL for taking action against
>people who abuse the service.

Hell, Youngblood, I could have stock in major orange juice companies
and I could start a campaign to have them boycott your meager "crop."

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3417. Re: NCI files Oppostion to "Wormser, Adsit, & Dinelli"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <86o45bpqc1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120466

In article <s8v2nb817q5128@corp.supernews.com>,
"Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: SHA1
>
>NCI has filed a formal Oppostion to the "Petition for Partial
>Reconsideration"
>filed by Wormser, Adsit, and Dinelli, seeking to overturn parts of the FCC's
>Report and Order (FCC 99-412) in WT Docket No. 98-143.
>
>Copies may be viewed or downloaded in Adobe Acrobat .pdf format from:
>
>http://www.nocode.org (under the "Articles" button)
>
>
>- - --
>Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse

What a suprise. What a shame.

3418. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <86o412$8ul@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 120467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley (jwkelley@uci.edu) wrote:
: W5TIT/Kim wrote:
: > I wouldn't know about 20m cw, because I am not licensed for 20m.

: I don't suppose you're licensed for 75 meters either, but that didn't
: stop you from telling us about how everyone there is intolerant.

: ac6xg

20m doesn't have Tech+ frequencies, "80m" does. (But one "could" listen
on either.)
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

3419. Re: HRO - Anaheim fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: nothing but cheese <scarter@gulfnet.net>
Message-ID: <SvNj4.4457$Wy5.160391@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hand me a bag of marshmallows and a long fondue stick.
Jap mfgr's want too much money for freakin ham radio gear
anyway. Let it all burn up to crispy carbonized chips for all I
give a flyin sh-t.

kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
news:388f2715.27485361@news.thegrid.net...
> A picture of the HRO Store fire in Anaheim has been posted on my main
> page for all to see. If anyone has updates about this incident, please
> inform me...
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm
> http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3420. Re: Lay test on VA3gJb Skandal - AY2f DR hate still silents

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: alice@kramden.moon
Message-ID: <oVNj4.91$83.342@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 120469

Kin yu tells me wear too gits duh pro gram what meks inglesh en to jibresh? Ah thik it iz funey too red.

thinks on add vince

3421. Re: Restructuring Ham Radio As We Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <388fb5b4.1547979@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120470

What a "Kiss Ass"! You better go get that 13wpm soon or you will be in the same boat as us other No-Coders. Since when does code make radio decent? Code is a Mode, Not an attitude you reflect in utter stupidity. I speak english and have no license for it, are you saying that if it spoke it faster that I would get and upgrade from nothing to nothing? I will always support Morse as a mode and the 5wpm testing, However the 13wpm and 20 wpm can fly off of a cliff since I will upgrade and pass the elements I need to operate where I want too. There was a post in this group and someone used a Truck Driver as an example for how he was tested and different test for different endorsements, I will only say one thing about that and that is: Why does the DMV only allow the use of one trailer when you take the driven test? I have Double/Triple endorsements which is like having 20wpm but I only had to take a written exam for and didnt have to take the dolly and second trailer to prove it.

We as hams maybe threatened by Corporations wanting our bands, But I will always Protect the bands that we rightfully use. You won't find me hiding in a corner while other hams are trying to battle off these pest.

I respect those who respect me and since alot of these other punks that like to cry and whine about me being a No-Code Tech and that I got my Ticket handed to me and say that im now getting my code handed to me can kiss my big ass, because I still have to work for it and study.

I use to look up to the Generals, Advanced and Extra class operators, because they are the ones who would set the example for those with the entry level licensee. However, After hearing the squabble out there on the bands, which isn't as bad as in here, Has got me to the point that other entry level licensees look up to me, because Im in the same boat but I have only been out to sea longer. Say what you will, I have heard the crap on HF (Jamming, Foul Language and Threats) and tend to stay on VHF/UHF but will soon be down there to be an example of a real Ham Operator and not one of the Generals or higher that constantly abuse the very band they they protect. What a bunch of hipocrits. I only respect those of you who have worked your tails off to get where you are and continue to set an example for others also to mention those of you who have protected the band for the right reason.

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 18:46:43 -0800, "Mark E Hill"
<remington@bctonline.com> wrote:

>Greetings Fellow Ham's,
>

> I was shocked to see how the fcc changed the rules! I am a no-code tech
>and feel deeply for all of you who worked so hard at obtaining your ticket
>into the wonderful world of Ham Radio. I feel sorry for you veterans who
>have had to do things the hard way such as learning 13 - 20 wpm telegraphy.
>I feel as an operator that you need to earn your priveleges to more power
>the old fashioned way. I still plan on taking the full on 13 -wpm code exam
>to earn my General class license. I have learned a lot from you seasoned
>guys and feel that I too should work hard to gain upper class priveleges. I
>got out of cb radio's because of the people who make and break rules
>illegaly in the 11 meter band. Now it seems in my opinion that the
>government is trying to take away decency in radio and trying to move in on
>us to take away our priveleges to gain for themselves. I'm sorry that this
>has happened but there's only so much I can do or at least have power to do.
>Like I mentioned earlier I will still accomplish the 13- wpm code test and
>pass the written exam to get my General ticket the honest way by working for
>it. I feel in a mighty way that my right to earn my upgrades have been
>violated. Some how I get this horrible feeling that Amateur radio is going
>to be attacked by childish people in the upcoming months as this new thing
>comes into power in April. Anyhow 73's to everyone and sorry to hear the
>awful news.
>
> Mark H.
>
>

The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3422. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000126233103.13059.00000142@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 120471
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Mike:
>The Wife and I are moving into a new >development that does not allow the
>erection of amateur antenna towers or any >external antennas with the
>exception of DBS dishes. I have been told >that there is a Federal Pre-
>emption , isued not too long ago, that >deals with this subject.
>I am asking that some of you that may >know anything on this subject may let
>me know where I can get texts of the new >ruling or send me other links to
>any related stuff.

"Federal preemption" probably just doesn't apply to your case - because Washington "preempted" the right of cities or states to ban antennas.
Your situation is a matter of contract - a contract that you voluntarily signed - to abide by these deed restrictions. As such, you probably have no recourse - unless your state law provides otherwise. Courts are reluctant to mess with deed restrictions that buyers themselves signed onto.
If it's a 2M antenna you want, try hiding it in the attic; it will probably work just as well, based on my experience. Or there are concealed VHF/UHF antennas that go over a plumbing vent and look like just a taller vent to the untrained - your neighbor or homeowner's association isn't likely to notice.
That's the advice of this attorney.
N4GSV
==========
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore and Bill Bradley - in their own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT

3423. Re: OO's should be eliminated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86ojdi$3sp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Mackey wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>John Mackey wrote:

>>>I myself am an active OO & VE with an Extra Class license!

>>That doesn't sound any more impressive than your:
>>"I own the Portland 147.435 repeater!"
>>logo from years ago. (And of course, we both know that you never did
>>own it.)

>Jeff, you don't know what you are talking about again!! But who cares??
>Everyone thinks you are the fool of the newsgroups.

Just trying to keep you honest. At least I've never claimed to own a
repeater that really wasn't mine.

73, Jeff KH6O

3424. The W8MMQ Wire - 01/28/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <dahicks@email.com>
Message-ID: <86olcr$6uv$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120473

January 28, 2000
Target: rec.radio.amateur.misc

The W8MMQ Wire

Welcome to the W8MMQ Wire for January 28, 2000! This newsletter is distributed each week, and is available free to all radio amateurs. If you would like to subscribe to the W8MMQ Wire, send your name and email address to w8mmq@email.com. Thanks!

This newsletter is available online at http://w8mmq.net/. Also, be sure to visit web site for a large archive of radio modification files. The archive is updated every few months, and currently has over 2,000 files.

In this issue: The ARRL elects a new president; the South Caroline exam audits continue; and more!

NEW ARRL PRESIDENT ELECTED
W8MMQ - The ARRL Board of Directors has elected Jim Haynie, W5JBP, to the position of president. Haynie was elected during the Board's meeting in Memphis on January 21.

Haynie is 56 years old, and has been an amateur radio operator for 27 years. He says that during his presidency, he will focus on the future of the amateur radio service.

In other business, the Board discussed the implications of the new changes to amateur radio, which will go into effect on April 15. They also discussed enhancing the League's presence on the internet. Details of the meeting will be listed in an upcoming issue of QST magazine.

SOUTH CAROLINA EXAM AUDIT CONTINUES
ARRL - An FCC investigation continues into possible irregularities in some South Carolina exam sessions last summer. On January 13, the Commission wrote Volunteer Examiner William J. Browning, AB4BB (ex-AF4PJ), of Pendleton, South Carolina. The letter was part of a continuing audit of a July 14, 1999, W5YI-VEC Amateur Radio examination session in Clemson. Browning was listed as the manager of the session.

The FCC said the Manifest of Applicants Browning submitted was signed by Eugene D. Watring, AF4DB, and Maurice D. "Dale" Martin, KT4NY. Watring and Martin have told the FCC that they were not at the session and did not sign the manifest. Seven FCC Forms 610 Browning submitted also bore Watring's and Martin's signatures, but both have told the FCC they did not sign them.

In its letter to Browning, the FCC enclosed copies of the Manifest and Forms 610 and asked him to explain the apparent discrepancies "in detail" within

20 days.

FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth also said the FCC was aware of allegations that Browning had "physically threatened one or more of the Volunteer Examiners" listed on the July 14 session documents. Hollingsworth warned Browning that if the allegations are true, the information would be turned over to law enforcement authorities.

The FCC also wrote Watring on January 13 regarding a second July 14 VE session in Clemson that Watring managed. The FCC said the Manifest of Applicants Watring submitted was signed by Grady P. Robinson, AK4N, and Mikel T. Blackwell, N4OPD. The FCC said Robinson's and Blackwell's signatures also appear on four Forms 610 Watring submitted. Robinson has told the FCC he was not at the session and didn't sign any documents relating to it. The FCC had not yet heard from Blackwell.

The FCC enclosed copies of the Manifest and Forms 610s and asked Watring to explain the apparent discrepancies "in detail" within 20 days. The W5YI-VEC has been cooperating with the FCC in its investigation in South Carolina.

From the ARRL Letter, January 21, 2000

A NEW LPFM SERVICE IS APPROVED
W8MMQ - On January 20, the FCC voted on a new, noncommerical low power FM radio service (called LPFM). Many throughout the microbroadcasting community are looking at this as a victory, and a step closer to opening the airwaves for everyone to use.

The new service will be broken into two categories. There will be a higher power category, with power ranging from 50 to 100 watts and a service radius of about 3.5 miles. The lower power category will range from 1 to 10 watts and have a service radius of about 1 to 2 miles.

The LPFM stations will be issued an 8 year, renewable license, along with a 4 letter callsign, with an "LP" suffix. These new stations will be required to broadcast a minimum of 36 hours per week in order to maintain their license.

Visit the FCC's Mass Media Bureau web site at
http://www.fcc.gov/mmb/prd/lpfm/ for complete information on this new radio service.

THE WEEKLY DX REPORT
VA3DX will be active as 8P9DX from Barbados until the 6th of February. He is using all bands with CW, SSB and RTTY, and will be participating in this weekend's CQ WW 160 metre contest. This counts as NA-021 towards the RSGB Islands on the Air award. QSL is via VE3ICR or VA3DX.

PA0LPE is expected to sign 8Q7PA from the Maldives until the 3rd of February. This counts as AS-013 for IOTA. QSL is via PA0LPE.

There is just time to look out for DU1/DL4OCM who is active from the Philippines until the 1st of February. He is on 160 to 10 metres using CW RTTY and SSB. This counts as OC-042 for IOTA.

After his Anguilla operation, W5SJ will be active as P4/W5SJ from Aruba, which counts as SA-036 for IOTA, and as J3/W5SJ from Grenada, which counts as NA-024, between the 2nd and the 10th of February.

SM0CCM will be active as J73CCM from Dominica until the 13th of February. He will be using 6m and 160 metres. This counts as NA-101 for IOTA. QSL is via SM0CCM.

Look out for P29DX from Papua New Guinea. The call was originally used by Steve, G4JVG, between 1991 and 1994, and has been re-issued to Elmer Ribeyro. QSL is direct to Elmer or to his QSL manager EA4CEN, direct or via the bureau.

Finally, Roger, G3SXW, and Nigel, G3TXF, will be active on CW only as S79SXW and S79TXF from the Seychelles this week. QSL is to their home calls.

From RSGB News and 425 DX News

UPCOMING CONTESTS AND EVENTS
Visit the ARRL Contest Calendar at http://www.arrl.org/contests/ for more contest information.

The CQ World Wide 160-Meter DX Contest, CW portion, sponsored by CQ Magazine will run from 2200-Z January 28 until 1600-Z January 30 (phone portion will run from 2200-Z February 25 until 1600-Z February 27). Check out http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/160rules99.html for more information.

The YL International QSO Party, CW portion, sponsored by YL International SSBers Inc., will run from 0000-Z January 29 to 2359-Z January 30 (SSB 0000-Z February 26 to 2359-Z February 27). Use general CW bands only.

If you know of an upcoming amateur radio contest or event, send it to w8mmq@email.com, and we will include it in this section. Be sure to send it at least a week in advance. Thanks!

AND ... IN CLOSING
Thanks for reading this edition of the W8MMQ Wire! This newsletter is produced and distributed each week by Dan, W8MMQ, and is available free to all radio amateurs.

Information from the following sources was used in the production of this newsletter: the ARRL Letter, RSGB News, eHam.Net, and other published reports.

An online edition of the newsletter is available at http://w8mmq.net/. All content on the W8MMQ.COM and W8MMQ.NET web sites is Copyright (C) 2000 by Daniel Hicks, Jr.

73 de,
Dan, W8MMQ

3425. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26118-388FDA22-96@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120474

Well written, Gotcha. But my point wasn't to justify this guy's distasteful behavior. My point was rather, why must we characterize him as a "jerk," when we can accurately describe his conduct without attacking him with this opinion maquerading as fact.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3426. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000127011158.26290.00000416@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120475

Roger is a 45 year old gay man living with his mother in small shack in a small town just outside Wheeling west Virginia.
Roger, who is unemployed, is studying to be an electronics expert.
Funny---45 years old and he hasn't figured out what he wants to do with his pathetic life except insult people. Gee Rog---45 and you are back at school using government money to re-educate yourself because you chose a path that lead no-where. . And you're just a General class operator? Some electronics expert. Can't pass the advanced or extra class tests......What a loser....45--unemployed....gay.living at home with mommy...back at school....a wannabee Kung foo fool.....and was caught jamming 14.313 by none other than Riley Hollingsworth himself. Can you say LOOOOSER!!!!!!!!!!
Roger drives a pathetically small chevy pickup truck---an S-10 that is just big enough to handle this obese freak.
As Roger so aptly points out---his fantasies are to have men think of him while masturbating......a very sick wacked out sub human terd this Roger is.
He wants to get into the Guinness world book of records for the most postings by a retarded mongoloid and is well on his way to set that record. Rogers only claim to fame is that he thinks he's a bad ass and wants the world to know it.
Funny thing is, he's a coward---hides behind his curtains and his mommies dress. Typical of a bully who is nothing more than an unemployed smart ass.....LOOOSER!!!!!!!!
Here's a summary of rogers life---------
He was Born Roger L. Wiseman---in September of 1955 into a family that was not the best. Rogers Father left them when he was around 6 years old......something about Roger being a silly little fudgepacking geek who will amount to nothing...his dad was right.
Rogers mom was a kind soul.........always looking out for old Roger......you see Roger was an odd child. His head was deformed from having stuck into places it should not have been stuck. Roger always came home smelling like a toilet. Flies were always in Rogers hair......something was not right with this child and rogers mom knew it. Rogers nick name as a child was "GERBIL".
As a teen...Roger was always asking people....."Hey--do you think of me when you masturbate?" Roger was hit repeatedly when asking this question and Roger vowed to be able to defend himself if ever attacked again.....He continually kept muttering .."Those damn HOMOPHOBES." So he took up Karate. His Karate teacher nicknamed him Gerbil.
In his high school years, Roger was involved in the school band.....he was into the wind instruments...........and this helped him later in life.
Roger made many freinds with his abilities to play certain wind instruments........all the while thinking----"hey will this guy remember me when he mastrubates? "
Roger thought allot about masturbating and he loves the idea to this day.

So there you have it...HO HO! Roger Wiseman---the man who thinks men are after him.

I can flame with the best------and Roger---you loser------can't.

N8WGM

3427. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: yourwife@mybed.net
Message-ID: <8EC8129ECKL7MRuswestnet@207.225.159.6>
Source record: 120476

A very special thanks to Kevin and and GLC1173 for their quick responces and helpful advice. I allready have a small dual band antenna for the garage attic so I have that one covered. Six meters is the band I'll miss the most... but it will only be a year though...

Thanks again Mike

3428. Re: Flush Blake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <%cTj4.8587$_G1.157585@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

While you are correct that no one cares about Blake & the other CAL's,
they have done a good job of trying to ruin the newsgroups of their targets.

"LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote
> No one cares.
>
> > > "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
SNIP

3429. Re: N7NT is deranged

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <VdTj4.8588$_G1.157585@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120478
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well said, very well said.

<williarsch@nandomail.com> wrote
> The Cheek-A-Likes are just like their daddy. They are snitches.
> That's what makes Cheek's troubles seem so funny -- he got what was
> coming to him.
>
> Kendrick and Bowers would stir up trouble with the ARRL just to
> feed their petty feud.

3430. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86pcka$3sf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120479

Functional illiterate and underground living bucktoothed choad
N8WGM wrote in message <20000127011158.26290.00000416@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>Roger is a 45 year old gay man living with his mother in small shack
in a small
>town just outside Wheeling west Virginia.

Prove I am gay. Fact: YOU were the one who so desperately had to have a
picture of me that you drove out of your way to try and get one.
What straight man would do THAT? I think TONDuhhhhh, that YOU are the
closet queen here.
Fact 2: My mother lives with me, not the other way around.
And by the way, DUMBASS, it's "West Virginia," of course, an ill-
educated inbred whose appearance is like the albino boy
in "Deliverence" named Stevie wouldn't know the difference, anyway.
I'll be 45 in September, stupid..use your toes next time if you can't
count any better than that.

> Roger, who is unemployed, is studying to be an electronics expert.
>Funny---45 years old and he hasn't figured out what he wants to do
>with his pathetic life except insult people.

Poor baby..I've already received a BA, but all TONDuhhh has is a GED.

Gee Rog---45 and you are back at school
>using government money to re-educate yourself because you chose a
>path that lead no-where. .
And you're just a General class operator? Some electronics
>expert. Can't pass the advanced or extra class tests......

I could pass those tests like I did the previous ones I've taken..with
EASE. Not like the several failed attempts of N8WGM.

>What a loser....45--unemployed....gay.living at home with
>mommy...back at school....

Sentance fragments...but you can't do any better with your lack of
education can you?

>wannabee Kung foo fool.....

12 years of training..wanna find out?

>and was caught jamming 14.313 by none other than
>Riley Hollingsworth himself. Can you say LOOOOSER!!!!!!!!!!

Riley didn't catch me at all.. or are you now admitting you LIED about
your child molesting 'uncle" from Wheeling who supposedly drove by here?

> Roger drives a pathetically small chevy pickup truck---an S-10
that is >just big enough to handle this obese freak.

No, you DUMBASS, I drive a TOYOTA pickup truck. You're too ignorant to
know the difference. Better get those coke bottle bottoms you wear for
glasses checked.
I'm obese? Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
Now you're just guessing.

> As Roger so aptly points out---his fantasies are to have men
think of him
>while masturbating......a very sick wacked out sub human terd this

"Turd," something you're vary familiar with, since it's your main
dietary supplement.

Roger is.
>He wants to get into the Guinness world book of records for the most
postings
>by a retarded mongoloid and is well on his way to set that record.
Rogers only
>claim to fame is that he thinks he's a bad ass and wants the world to
know it.
>Funny thing is, he's a coward---hides behind his curtains and his
mommies
>dress. Typical of a bully who is nothing more than an unemployed smart
>ass.....LOOOSER!!!!!!!!

Poor baby. My neighbor said you drooled on your shirt when you were
talking to him.

> Here's a summary of rogers life---------
>He was Born Roger L. Wiseman---in September of 1955 into a family that
was not
>the best. Rogers Father left them when he was around 6 years

Hmmmm, then why was Dad still around the house up until he died in
1990?

old......something
>about Roger being a silly little fudgepacking geek who will amount to
>nothing...his dad was right.

Now, TONDUHHH, you shouldn't drag you childhood into this. We know your
father sodomized you on a regular basis. Of course, you begged him for
it.

> Rogers mom was a kind soul.........always looking out for old
Roger......you
>see Roger was an odd child. His head was deformed from having stuck
into places
>it should not have been stuck. Roger always came home smelling like a
toilet.
>Flies were always in Rogers hair......something was not right with

this child
>and rogers mom knew it. Rogers nick name as a child was "GERBIL".
> As a teen...Roger was always asking people....."Hey--do you think
of me
>when you masturbate?" Roger was hit repeatedly when asking this
question and
>Roger vowed to be able to defend himself if ever attacked again.....He
>continually kept muttering .."Those damn HOMOPHOBES." So he took up
Karate. His
>Karate teacher nicknamed him Gerbil.

Poor baby. You can't get your facts straight. I started martial arts
long AFTER I got out of high school. Which is, by the way, something
you didn't do.

> In his high school years, Roger was involved in the school
band.....he
>was into the wind instruments..........and this helped him later in
life.
>Roger made many freinds with his abilities to play certain wind
>instruments.....all the while thinking----"hey will this guy
remember me
>when he mastrubates? "
> Roger thought allot about masturbating and he loves the idea to
this day.

Fact is, TONDuhh, you came over herfe thinking I'd replace your father.
Sorry, you might not like women, but I do. Looks like you'll have to go
back to your dog and/or your wife. I know they're interchangable.

> So there you have it...HO HO! Roger Wiseman---the man who thinks
>men are after him.

Why'd you wiretap my phone then?

> I can flame with the best------and Roger---you loser------can't.

Since when? If this is any indication, you'd better go back to flame
school, boy.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3431. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <38903685.CAC11D59@interlog.com>
Source record: 120480

what you want to check is the covenent if it specifies shall not erect any tv antenna or antenna's it is refering to cable tv protection not amateur radio .
this was put in there to give the cable tv companies a hook as they ate the cost of instalation . the legal interp. of tv antenna or antenna's is for tv antenna's

hope this helped a bit

graham va3gjb

"Mike!" wrote:

> A very special thanks to Kevin and and GLC1173 for their quick responces
> and helpful advice. I allready have a small dual band antenna for the
> garage attic so I have that one covered. Six meters is the band I'll miss
> the most... but it will only be a year though...
>
> Thanks again Mike

3432. Re: Restructuring Ham Radio As We Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3892207d.89227151@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120481

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 18:46:43 -0800, "Mark E Hill"
<remington@bctonline.com> wrote:

> Some how I get this horrible feeling that Amateur radio is going
>to be attacked by childish people in the upcoming months as this new thing
>comes into power in April. Anyhow 73's to everyone and sorry to hear the
>awful news.
>
> Mark H.
>

Highly unlikely. If the above was true 10, 6, 2 Meters, and
70cm would have turned in to a CB mess by this time. Yet most of the
problems I hear on the ham band are on 20 and 80 meters, were you need
to pass the 13wpm test (until 4/15/00). So it seems to me that knowing
the code or not does not make a difference on one's behavior.

As for as CB is concerned, I find it a great tool for traveling
cross country for road info and places to eat. It's just a shame a lot
of people use it for spewing foul language and fighting but then again
it's a shame the same thing happens on parts of 80 and 20 meters.

73s Rick KC2ESD

3433. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <38932539.90439426@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120482

On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 11:35:22 -0800, Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
wrote:

>All you old geezers on 75 meters better find a new place to hang out.
>The CB'ers are a comin'! Better brush up on those 10 codes, good buddy!
>
>Thats a BIG TEN-4!

What do you mean there comming? They have been there for over
5 years already! The only differnce between 11 and 80 meters is the
guys on 80 are CBextras.

Rick KC2ESD

3434. Re: Motorola MCS2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob C." <bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us>
Message-ID: <38926f1a_1@news.greatbasin.net>
Source record: 120183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Terra-Rist <sprt@sprt.org> wrote in message
news:86rqvk$hin$1@news.monmouth.com...
>
> Dan <dan@truvalue.com> wrote in message
> news:86qm2q$ogb$1@news.xmission.com...
> > What's an MCP2000? European version?
> >
> > I have two MCS2000 Model I Smartzone 900Mhz and they are VERY
similar to
> the
> > MTS2000 portable that I have. The MCS2000 is a MOBILE.
> > My company has five of them and we were one of the first places
to get
> them.
> > Serials are less than 200. They were major buggy and had to be
'upgraded'
> > several times by Motorola before getting them fixed. They are
VERY good
> > now.
>
>
> "VERY good" compared to what ? A Kenwood ? The MCS2000 is a flimsy
piece
> of plastic junk ! Unfortunately it reflects the attitude of the
new group
> in charge at Motorola - put out cheap, poorly thought out low
cost, low
> end junk to compete with the proliferation of inexpensive mobiles
put out
> by other companies.
>
>
>
> > Nice radio all around, but I like the Spectra series better.
Too bad
> > Motorola orphaned that line.
>
>
> Well, not really, the Astro Spectras have picked up the torch.
Also
> I believe the regular analog Spectras are still available on a
'per
> customer' basis. (ie. if you yell loudly enough, you can get one).
```

>
>
>
> > > BTW, this is becoming the standard radio for police and public
> > > safety.
>
>
> AHAHAHAHAHAHA....you wish ! it's a piece of shit radio, the only
> agencies that are buying into them are the ones that can't afford
> Spectra's !
>
> Anyone that thinks a 110 watt A-9 Spectra with INTEGRATED siren
> packages, INTEGRATED vip capabilities, and Astro IMBE capability
> is inferior to a flimsy piece of plastic, that uses all kinds of
> hideous add on kluges to achieve the same things a Spectra does,
> is smoking crack ! Also let's see an MCS2000 do OTAR, it ain't
> happening !
>
> It's a junky piece of shit ! If you have a say in it, insist on
> a Spectra !
>
> LONG LIVE SPECTRA/ASTRO SPECTRA !!!

While I won't say they are the best radio to come along, the
MCS2000 is not a bad radio. It still does have a bug in the way it
handles a remote head. The "Big M" supposedly is working on the
firmware fix for this. Also, OTAR is not the highest on the list of
priorities unless you work for the government and even they don't
generally use it.
I firmly believe the Spectra and Astro Spectra may well be the
best units they have brought out in years. They are still available
to government and other law enforcement through Motorola direct.

3435. Yaesu FT 50 R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Guido Kaz <guidokaz@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <389271F3.FA40AD65@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120184

How can I reset my Ft 50 back to the factory setting.????

Thank
DG9GK
KF4QHM

3436. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <86sdp2dcs2@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 120233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Chip <chipster66@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Hmmm wasn't there some kind of new scanner legislation / inquiry / etc
> shortly after a certain REPUBLICAN who will remain nameless (NEWT
> GINGRINCH) was taped saying one thing one a Cell-Phone and something
> else in PUBLIC ??? That must be part of that Democrat-Communist
> alliance.

--> And remember, it's mostly the Republicans who want to control what you
can talk about on the Internet in your own home...

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

3437. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3891BB9E.131F2C31@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

David M. Brodbeck wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Chip <chipster66@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Hmmm wasn't there some kind of new scanner legislation / inquiry / etc
> > shortly after a certain REPUBLICAN who will remain nameless (NEWT
> > GINGRINCH) was taped saying one thing one a Cell-Phone and something
> > else in PUBLIC ??? That must be part of that Democrat-Communist
> > alliance.
>
> --> And remember, it's mostly the Republicans who want to control what you
> can talk about on the Internet in your own home...

When I talk about the feds, I'm talking about the democrats and the
republicans. There's not a dime's worth of difference between them.

When the democrats are in power, they take away economic freedoms.
When the republicans are in power, they take away personal freedoms.
Result: Erosion of *ALL* freedoms. They each take their turn.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3438. Sold-HTX 202 W/30WAMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: airwavesmith@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000128112949.26658.00000032@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 120235

The HTX202 is gone.
TX 4 all! airwavesmith@aol.com

3439. Doofus Jammer is N9PGE Mick Slater!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3891D002.F1ED636E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120236

Hard core, divorced, alcoholic Mick Slater wrote:

> Anon...ever consider that Cracker's mom did not like what she saw and took
> him back for a refund? Do they call that Post Partum Depression? I heard
> that WalMart has a pretty liberal return policy.....
> "Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:200001280411.XAA11444@cotse.com...
> > This message was posted anonymously:
> >
> > Mr Cracker...freedom of speech advocate or malcontent
> > substance abuser?
> > Did Mr Cracker get HIS license with a waiver? Cracker
> > should be re-tested asap since he has a hearing
> > problem...namely that his head is so firmly wedged in his
> > rectal cavity that there is NO WAY he could have passed a
> > CW test using the standard testing method. Perhaps he
> > learned CW by having his XYL pound out the code on the top
> > of his thick skull with a cast iron frying pan. That would
> > explain why he is not yet housebroken and suffers from
> > delusion tremors.
> > A quote from mr cracker, "Wait! What is that climbing up
> > my wall? Lizards? Snails? Oh, never mind. It is only one of
> > my resident cockroaches."
> >
>
> -----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
> ------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

IP line from header:

X-Trace: 27 Jan 2000 22:52:48 -0600, 63.75.243.117

IP trace for 63.75.243.117

Local Alias List: (null)
Hostname: client.ppp.southbend.in.microvillage.net
IP Address: 63.75.243.117
Alias List: (null)

User info for 63.75.243.117;

JAMES M. SLATER
2107 STEVENS AVE
ELKHART IN 46517
USA

Who is, of course, the drunken, divorced, fired cop and blubbering drunk N9PGE. To all Liberty Net members: This is the DOOFUS jammer, as indicated by his post.

cc: Riley Hollingsworth
cc: W1WCR

3440. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3891D1D4.34DA68D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120237

Oris Grim wrote:

> In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
> mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
> >
> > > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up
> that
> > > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is
> such a
> > > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> > > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
> donnie's
> > > brother?hhhmmmm?
> > >
> > > the real pvtcueball u moron
> >
> > The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
> > header-forging morons. Unreal.
> >
> >
> PIG VOMIT
> WHY do you tell those lies? Oris Grim Never wrote any of that. I do
> beleive your trying to start trouble. What are you, stupid? Never mind I
> all ready know the answer to that one. You make a ROCK look smart. Don't
> they give you enough homework at that welfare college you go to. So now
> your going to start up lies, then when you get smacked back your going
> to cry "OH THEY ARE PICKING ON ME AGAIN. What in the world did I ever
> do?" What a loser!Hey PIG VOMIT don't you have anything better to do?
> Does the FCC know you are trying to stir the shit stew again? Maybe I'll
> tell them. How much radio equipment are you going to hock to pay that
> lawyer now? The FCC is coming to get you? Did You really lied under
> oath? You're such a slimeball. I bet you did! WHAT A LOSER! You are
> going to keep messing with Oris and that boy is going hurt you I think
> you better wake up and smell the coffee. Leave the boy alone. He hasn't
> been in this newsgroup in quite some time. He isn't spoofing anyone. Are
> you sure your not hackin' again to make people look like they are
> hackin'? Now I'm warning you leave Oris out of it. He isn't part of
> this. I'm telling you this for your own good.
> have a nice day
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

> Before you buy.

Saw that picture of your pig wife at http://members.aol.com/jcunham/

What you have been feeding that beast? Keep the pictures waist up. I would say she goes about 250.

3441. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3891D272.AB96ED77@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120238

Ex WB2OTK, Orifice's buddy wrote:

> In article <86ri3n$m3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
> > mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
> > >
> > > > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up
> > that
> > > > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is
> > such a
> > > > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> > > > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
> > donnie's
> > > > brother?hhhmmmm?
> > > >
> > > > the real pvtcueball u moron
> > >
> > > The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
> > > header-forging morons. Unreal.
> > >
> > >
> > > PIG VOMIT
> > > WHY do you tell those lies? Oris Grim Never wrote any of that. I do
> > > beleive your trying to start trouble. What are you, stupid? Never
> > mind I
> > > all ready know the answer to that one. You make a ROCK look smart.
> > Don't
> > > they give you enough homework at that welfare college you go to. So
> > now
> > > your going to start up lies, then when you get smacked back your going
> > > to cry "OH THEY ARE PICKING ON ME AGAIN. What in the world did I ever
> > > do?" What a loser!Hey PIG VOMIT don't you have anything better to do?
> > > Does the FCC know you are trying to stir the shit stew again? Maybe
> > I'll
> > > tell them. How much radio equipment are you going to hock to pay that
> > > lawyer now? The FCC is coming to get you? Did You really lied under
> > > oath? You're such a slimeball. I bet you did! WHAT A LOSER! You are
> > > going to keep messing with Oris and that boy is going hurt you I think
> > > you better wake up and smell the coffee. Leave the boy alone. He
> > hasn't

> > been in this newsgroup in quite some time. He isn't spoofing anyone.
> Are
> > you sure your not hackin' again to make people look like they are
> > hackin'? Now I'm warning you leave Oris out of it. He isn't part of
> > this. I'm telling you this for your own good.
> > have a nice day
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> BE NICE, YOU COULD BE RELATIVES!<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Go back to the shortwave set moron. If Oris is so stupid why is he still on the air?

3442. Porkbutt, Idiot Savant, Spoofs as Al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3891D38D.D84C22DF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120239

Richard Whiten, Moron, wrote:

> word on 14313 is that
> ....Atlanta Bird Man......is busy stuffing
> rags into the cold drafty cracks of his.......
> rented shanty........., brrrrr

Word is that this idiot, namely 63.11.125.85, is:

Local Alias List: (null)
Hostname: 1Cust85.tnt1.anderson.sc.da.uu.net
IP Address: 63.11.125.85
Alias List: (null)

Here is Porky posing to be Al.Since Al has his ticket and idiot Rich
does not, I suppose it makes sense. Have fun on the shortwave set, Rich,
you moron.

3443. Re: "NOMAS" AOL to AF2Y. XYL-IRIS- IRATE SAY "HE CRAZY"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86so1giao1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120240

This is very interesting "Gotchu". Can you blame AOL for pulling the
switch on "LIttle Chuckie".

From what I heard, two VERY big stockholders complained about his
antics on this and other forums. That shows what "POWER" can do!!

Gotchagoat 2000

In article <86r5ec$do6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> Its "NOMAS" time on AOL for the Clifton libeler, AF2Y. His XYL
Says "HE
> CRazy"!! (Banana nose was cut loose by AOL for his libelious
posting)!!
>
> His XYL IRIS was reported to have blown a fuse when she tried to log
on
> AOL and got a rejection window. Sorry Iris your chosen husband should
> clean up his act. ISPs like AOL do not take kindly to the antics he
has
> indulged in lately. You need to keep a short leash on that keyboard
> "DOG" and teach him to be house broken .
>
> A big thanks to Lenny & Bob for taking care of AF2Ys nasty posting
> habits due to your influence at AOL!!
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3444. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <86skkk$k7@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 120241
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated January 27, 2000 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JANUARY 2000

Jan 2 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Jan 9 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Jan 23 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jan 23 (Sun): Cicero, IL - Wheaton Community Radio Amateurs Mid Winter Hamfest - 2000 at the Chicago Motor Speedway. 3301 S. Laramie, Cicero. Located between Central and Cicero, between the Ike and the Stevenson. Tickets $5.00 in advance with 4 stubs. Free parking. Talk in on 145.39 (-.600) 107.2 or 103.4 Hz PL and 444.475 (+5.0) 114.8 Hz PL. Info: www.w9ccu.org or send email to hamfest2k@hotmail.com.

Jan 23 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

Jan 30 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

FEBRUARY 2000

Feb 6 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see | below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Man- | chester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Feb 13 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale | (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange | Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2. |

Feb 26 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Feb 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

MARCH 2000

March 19 (Sun): Sterling, IL - Sterling-Rock Falls ARS. info: Lloyd Sherman, KB9APW, PO Box 521, Sterling, IL 61081-0521. tel. 815-336-2434, E-mail: lsherman@essexl.com

Mar 25 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

March 26 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Lake County Fairgrounds. North Shore Radio Club. http://www.ns9rc.org. ALL INDOOR, Radio, Electronic and Computer Swapfest V.E. Testing 9AM to Noon, Door Prize Drawing Every Hour, Admission $6 at the gate / $5 in Advance. Door Open at 8 AM. Set-up at 6AM. Free Parking. Cafeteria Open. Talk-in Simplex 146.52 & NS9RC Repeater 147.345 +.600. For NS9RCfest Information Call (847) 291-4160 until 10 PM. Info: Jacob Fishman, KF9ZF, PO Box 1066, Highland Park, IL 60035. Phone: 847-291-4160, Email: kf9zf@lightwriters.com

APRIL 2000

Apr 15 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Apr 29 (Sat): Stickney, IL - DuPage ARC. http://www.w9dup.org, Info: Ed Weinstein, WD9AYR, 7511 Walnut Avenue, Woodridge, IL 60517. Phone: 630-985-9256. Email: DARCHamfest@aol.com

Apr 30 (Sun): Arthur, IL - Moultrie ARK. Info: Ralph Zancha, WC9V, PO Box 55, Lovington, IL 61937. Phone: 217-873-5287. Email: rzancha@one-eleven.net

Apr 30 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

MAY 2000

May 20 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

May 28 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

JUNE 2000

Jun 4 (Sun): Princeton - IL Starved Rock RC. Bureau County Fairgrounds. Exit 56 from I-80. Admission $7 (ouch!) at gate. Talk-in 146.955, -600, PL103.5 Hz. info: AL Erbrederis, tel. 1-815-498-9675, 262 Easy St., Somanauk IL.60552. ERB.N9PIB@juno.com. http://www.qsl.net/w9mks. E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 11 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Six Meter Club of Chicago. http://cyberconnect.com/orion/smcc.html. Info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Avenue, Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. Tel: 630-963-4922 or 708-442-4961 . Email: wa9rij@mc.net

Jun 24 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jun 25 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

JULY 2000

Jul 9 (Sun): Peotone, IL - Kankakee Area Radio Society, http://www.w9az.com. Info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. Tel: 815-939-7548. Email: k9nr@juno.com

Jul 15 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jul 23 (Sun): Sugar Grove, IL - Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html Info: Maurice Schietecatte, W9CEO, 813 Cindy Lane, Sandwich, IL 60548. Tel: 815-786-2860. Email: w9ceo@arrl.net (good, small to midsized ham-

fest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 2000

Aug 12 (Sat): Quincy, IL - Western Illinois ARC. http://www.qsl.net/w9awe. Info: Jim Funk, N9JF, PO Box 3132, Quincy, IL 62305-3132. Tel: 217-336-4191 . Email: jfunk@adams.net

Aug 13 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 66th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea Market. Tickets are $4.00 in advance with double stub, $5.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July 25th, Christine Mack, PO Box 2161, Oak Park, IL 60303. tel. 708-358-1786, email: christine1@mediaone.net. Talk in on 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater

Aug 19 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Aug 27 (Sun): Danville, IL - Vermilion County ARA. Info: Gary Denison, KA9SKS, 14704 East 2750 North Road, Danville, IL 61834-5610. Tel: 217-759-7389 . Email: gdenison@danville.net

Aug 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

SEPTEMBER 2000

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. http://www.w9uvi.org. Info: Jim Williams, N9HHU, PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. Tel: 309-692-3378. Email: jimn9hhu@juno.com

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best ham-

fest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30

AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies,
games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors,
diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables,
expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds,
Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall
Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor
info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at
http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

3445. NYS: Tech & Engineer Jobs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stan <stan.cehonski@philips.com>
Message-ID: <949084864.710326@dibbs1.nam.cis.philips.com>
Source record: 120242
Cross-posted to: alt.cable-tv,alt.dcom.telecom,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello,

Our company has openings for RF & Telecommunications engineers and technicians. We are in central New York State, and part of a larger corporation. I am NOT a HEADHUNTER, but an employee of this company. Please inquire at valjrc@borg.com

3446. NEWSLINE..Crap Exposed..STAY TUNED <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rlw@msn.com
Message-ID: <3891dfa5.895353@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120243

Watch for a post shorty, explaining WHY NEWSLINE is always 'begging'
for funds, and threatening to go away if you do not send money!!

(And especially watch the response by the Pasternak Brown-nosers and
minions. <<---)

3447. Re: RF assistance sought (HF)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <balbert@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <%glk4.71619$905.1471085@news5.giganews.com>
Source record: 120244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Des, I recommend the ARRL Radio Amateur's Handbook as an excellent reference on how these things work.

Further, there is a company (name escapes me at the moment) in the US that manufactures a single chip receiver that requires one crystal and little more to make it operate.

In these days of cellular telephone excitement there are many companies making circuits for transmission and reception at low power levels and with a minimum of ancillary components. Of course, using these components will not teach you all that much about how to design radio circuits, but it does offer a short path to a working model.

If you have any specific questions, let me know.

73, Bob K6DDX

3448. Re: Doofus Jammer is N9PGE Mick Slater!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <3891E3D9.2F8ABD53@innocent.com>
Source record: 120245

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
>
[...]
>
> User info for 63.75.243.117;
>
> JAMES M. SLATER
> 2107 STEVENS AVE
> ELKHART IN 46517
> USA
>
> Who is, of course, the drunken, divorced, fired cop and blubbering drunk N9PGE. To
> all Liberty Net members: This is the DOOFUS jammer, as indicated by his post.
>
> cc: Riley Hollingsworth
> cc: W1WCR

I believe this claim to be spurious. N9PGE is not along the bearing line for Doofus (which follows an approximate line from Hudson, New Hampshire to Richmond, Virginia) and signal strength readings of "Doofus" indicate strongest signals in the Middle Atlantic states, which would hardly be likely if he was in Indiana.

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

3449. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Brodbeck" <dmbrodbe@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <86snsv$35b$1@campus3.mtu.edu>
Source record: 120246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> When the democrats are in power, they take away economic freedoms.
> When the republicans are in power, they take away personal freedoms.
> Result: Erosion of *ALL* freedoms. They each take their turn.

--> I agree with you there. It's the natural tendancy of people in power to
want to accumulate more power.

David Brodbeck, N8SRE dmbrodbe@mtu.edu
finger gull@cyberspace.org for my public key block.

"[Television] won't be able to hold on to any market it captures after the
first six months. People will soon get tired of staring at a plywood box
every night." -- Darryl Zanuck, 20th Century Fox Studios chief, in 1946.

3450. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <86sp23$5no$5@208.207.71.158>
Source record: 120247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> When the democrats are in power, they take away economic freedoms.
> When the republicans are in power, they take away personal freedoms.
>

Really? Who is it that wants all your personal weapons made
illegal?

I see that you're still confused. About this, too

3451. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86svujomn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120248

In article <3891D1D4.34DA68D@hotmail.com>,
mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> Oris Grim wrote:
>
> > In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
> > mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
> > >
> > > > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is
up
> > that
> > > > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he
is
> > such a
> > > > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> > > > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
> > donnie's
> > > > brother?hhhmmmm?
> > > >
> > > > the real pvtcueball u moron
> > >
> > > The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
> > > header-forging morons. Unreal.
> > >
> > >
> > PIG VOMIT
> > WHY do you tell those lies? Oris Grim Never wrote any of that. I do
> > beleive your trying to start trouble. What are you, stupid? Never
mind I
> > all ready know the answer to that one. You make a ROCK look smart.
Don't
> > they give you enough homework at that welfare college you go to.
So now
> > your going to start up lies, then when you get smacked back your
going
> > to cry "OH THEY ARE PICKING ON ME AGAIN. What in the world did I
ever
> > do?" What a loser!Hey PIG VOMIT don't you have anything better to
do?
> > Does the FCC know you are trying to stir the shit stew again? Maybe

I'll
> > tell them. How much radio equipment are you going to hock to pay
that
> > lawyer now? The FCC is coming to get you? Did You really lied under
> > oath? You're such a slimeball. I bet you did! WHAT A LOSER! You are
> > going to keep messing with Oris and that boy is going hurt you I
think
> > you better wake up and smell the coffee. Leave the boy alone. He
hasn't
> > been in this newsgroup in quite some time. He isn't spoofing
anyone. Are
> > you sure your not hackin' again to make people look like they are
> > hackin'? Now I'm warning you leave Oris out of it. He isn't part of
> > this. I'm telling you this for your own good.
> > have a nice day
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> Saw that picture of your pig wife at http://members.aol.com/jcunham/
>
> What you have been feeding that beast? Keep the pictures waist up. I
would
> say she goes about 250.
>
>>>>>>>>>>> Is that what the hacks called you in prison,come here
moron, bend over? I wouldn't be talking about the mans wife, when your
married to your grandmother!<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3452. No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com>
Message-ID: <86sst2$29vp$1@dolf.netfront.net>
Source record: 120249
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

http://www.laughingpoliceman.com

we are the No.1 club for alternative radio enthusiasts!

G8ASO

Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
Complaints to news@netfront.net

3453. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3891F8D2.1A761940@uci.edu>
Source record: 120250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 17:02:25 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Modern radio
>technology is simply too vast and has far too many disciplines for
>anyone to expect that any individual, or group of small individuals,
>would possess the talent and skills to be able to do it all.

I agree. But ham radio is, for the most part, a group of large
individuals.

:-)
Jim AC6XG/73

3454. Re: New to group...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <HMmk4.967$Mq4.8504@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120251

know the area. I was at Ft Huachuca in 1967. loved the area hated the Army.
welcome aboard.

kb9thn@yahoo.com

Wes Jeffries
Mexico In

3455. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3891FE48.E3E860AB@uci.edu>
Source record: 120252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Produced from the NCI URL listed below:

Directory Listing Denied

This Virtual Directory does not allow contents to be listed.

> http://www.nocode.org/articles

Hey Carl, why not just type the exact URL into your post. I believe it
is technologically possible.

Thanks, ac6xg

3456. Re: The theme song of r.r.a.*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <sf049sccca302g9dvjl1khjaekguq9agtm@4ax.com>
Source record: 120253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 20:35:27 GMT, Walter Gesundheit
<w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:

>I am the very model of a Newsgroup personality.
<snip>

I got a smile out of that. Thank-you Walter. Without your sense of
humor on this, we'd all go crazy (some think we already have).

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3457. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86t5hh$t16$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120254

rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <86svujomn1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <3891D1D4.34DA68D@hotmail.com>,
> mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> Oris Grim wrote:
>>
>> > In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
>> > mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is
>up
>> > that
>> > > > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he
>is
>> > such a
>> > > > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
>> > > > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
>> > donnie's
>> > > > brother?hhhmmmm?
>> > > >
>> > > > the real pvtcueball u moron
>> > >
>> > > The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
>> > > header-forging morons. Unreal.
>> > >
>> > >
>> > PIG VOMIT
>> > WHY do you tell those lies? Oris Grim Never wrote any of that. I
>do
>> > beleive your trying to start trouble. What are you, stupid? Never
>mind I
>> > all ready know the answer to that one. You make a ROCK look smart.
>Don't
>> > they give you enough homework at that welfare college you go to.
>So now
>> > your going to start up lies, then when you get smacked back your
>going
>> > to cry "OH THEY ARE PICKING ON ME AGAIN. What in the world did I
>ever
>> > do?" What a loser!Hey PIG VOMIT don't you have anything better to

>do?
>> > Does the FCC know you are trying to stir the shit stew again? Maybe
>I'll
>> > tell them. How much radio equipment are you going to hock to pay
>that
>> > lawyer now? The FCC is coming to get you? Did You really lied under
>> > oath? You're such a slimeball. I bet you did! WHAT A LOSER! You are
>> > going to keep messing with Oris and that boy is going hurt you I
>think
>> > you better wake up and smell the coffee. Leave the boy alone. He
>hasn't
>> > been in this newsgroup in quite some time. He isn't spoofing
>anyone. Are
>> > you sure your not hackin' again to make people look like they are
>> > hackin'? Now I'm warning you leave Oris out of it. He isn't part of
>> > this. I'm telling you this for your own good.
>> > have a nice day
>> >
>> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> > Before you buy.
>>
>> Saw that picture of your pig wife at http://members.aol.com/jcunham/
>>
>> What you have been feeding that beast? Keep the pictures waist up. I
>would
>> say she goes about 250.
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Is that what the hacks called you in prison,come here
>moron, bend over? I wouldn't be talking about the mans wife, when your
>married to your grandmother!<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

I thought his wife had a strange resemblance to Clara Peller.
I hear the phrase "Where's the beef?" is a common one out of Cracker's
wife's mouth which may explain the resemblance.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3458. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <D93461DB884270BC.46FC8B0A9F8A7C1B.37E01AFB0D411ABB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in article <3891FE48.E3E860AB@uci.edu>...
> Produced from the NCI URL listed below:
>
> Directory Listing Denied
>
> This Virtual Directory does not allow contents to be listed.
>
> > http://www.nocode.org/articles
>
> Hey Carl, why not just type the exact URL into your post? I believe it
> is technologically possible.
>
> Thanks, ac6xg
>

The "Hey, Carl..." sentance needed a question mark at the end of it.

Thanks, w5tit

3459. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <4o049sku9i0l9rjjlib1h9k044qrjjtq31@4ax.com>
Source record: 120256

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 20:36:53 GMT, kl7mr@uswest.net (Mike!) wrote:

>I am asking that some of you that may know anything on this subject may let
>me know where I can get texts of the new ruling or send me other links to
>any related stuff.

I hate to remind you, but a contract is a contract. Most HOAs have
exception rules, however. Find out how you can apply for an
exception.

One way to do this is to point out that you're legally allowed to use
up to 1500 watts. If you're allowed to erect an unobtrusive wire
antenna to a tree somewhere, you can say you won't have to run more
than 200 watts. If you are restricted to an antenna in an attic,
you'll need all 1500 watts of power to make up for the "inadequate"
antenna. This will virtually guarantee difficulties with TVI, and the
like.

Faced with that, many HOAs have caved in.

Good luck.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3460. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38920497.554EDFA4@uci.edu>
Source record: 120257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> The "Hey, Carl..." sentance needed a question mark at the end of it.

Seems like you need a hobby. But since you're interested, I decided to leave out the question mark because it was more of a statement than a question. Understand?

3461. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <389207ED.A2605DB3@uci.edu>
Source record: 120258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5Kim/TIT wrote:
> The "Hey, Carl..." sentance needed a question mark at the end of it.

Why? You think it'll make a difference maybe? Carl has yet to give the URL for any of these documents he's talking about. Besides, it was intended to be a sarcastic declaratory statement.

3462. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01C6406FE030D73F.4CE68B574D25D0A4.349558D990AACD05@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Don't like it, huh? <G>

Kim W5TIT

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in article <38920497.554EDFA4@uci.edu>...
> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> > The "Hey, Carl..." sentance needed a question mark at the end of it.
>
> Seems like you need a hobby. But since you're interested, I decided to
> leave out the question mark because it was more of a statement than a
> question. Understand?
>

3463. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3892383F.C7826625@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120260

hihi dudeey

rich7@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <3891D1D4.34DA68D@hotmail.com>,
> mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Oris Grim wrote:
> >
> > > In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
> > > mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is
> up
> > > that
> > > > > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he
> is
> > > such a
> > > > > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> > > > > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
> > > donnie's
> > > > > brother?hhhmmmm?
> > > > >
> > > > > the real pvtcueball u moron
> > > >
> > > > The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
> > > > header-forging morons. Unreal.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > PIG VOMIT
> > > WHY do you tell those lies? Oris Grim Never wrote any of that. I do
> > > beleive your trying to start trouble. What are you, stupid? Never
> mind I
> > > all ready know the answer to that one. You make a ROCK look smart.
> Don't
> > > they give you enough homework at that welfare college you go to.
> So now
> > > your going to start up lies, then when you get smacked back your
> going
> > > to cry "OH THEY ARE PICKING ON ME AGAIN. What in the world did I
> ever

> > > do?" What a loser!Hey PIG VOMIT don't you have anything better to
> do?
> > > Does the FCC know you are trying to stir the shit stew again? Maybe
> I'll
> > > tell them. How much radio equipment are you going to hock to pay
> that
> > > lawyer now? The FCC is coming to get you? Did You really lied under
> > > oath? You're such a slimeball. I bet you did! WHAT A LOSER! You are
> > > going to keep messing with Oris and that boy is going hurt you I
> think
> > > you better wake up and smell the coffee. Leave the boy alone. He
> hasn't
> > > been in this newsgroup in quite some time. He isn't spoofing
> anyone. Are
> > > you sure your not hackin' again to make people look like they are
> > > hackin'? Now I'm warning you leave Oris out of it. He isn't part of
> > > this. I'm telling you this for your own good.
> > > have a nice day
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
> > Saw that picture of your pig wife at http://members.aol.com/jcunham/
> >
> > What you have been feeding that beast? Keep the pictures waist up. I
> would
> > say she goes about 250.
> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Is that what the hacks called you in prison,come here
> moron, bend over? I wouldn't be talking about the mans wife, when your
> married to your grandmother!<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3464. Re: Porkbutt, Idiot Savant, Spoofs as Al

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923885.DE142623@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120261

be nice to rich

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:

> Richard Whiten, Moron, wrote:
>
> > word on 14313 is that
> > ....Atlanta Bird Man......is busy stuffing
> > rags into the cold drafty cracks of his.......
> > rented shanty........., brrrrr
>
> Word is that this idiot, namely 63.11.125.85, is:
>
> Local Alias List: (null)
> Hostname: 1Cust85.tnt1.anderson.sc.da.uu.net
> IP Address: 63.11.125.85
> Alias List: (null)
>
> Here is Porky posing to be Al.Since Al has his ticket and idiot Rich
> does not, I suppose it makes sense. Have fun on the shortwave set, Rich,
> you moron.

3465. FS: UHF P110 2 Channel w/ charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: MItch <mcguyver@apollo.bv.net>
Message-ID: <38923611.EA191C26@apollo.bv.net>
Source record: 120262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FS Model # P110 Motorola UHF transceiver with battery, antenna and standard charger.
Model#P44QLC20A2AA

Works fine, asking $175 shipped CONUS

3466. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT/Kim <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2A778D47D8BEB5E8.B4DBFDD1962B7D2A.5EAA85F0727B413B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 120263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in article <389207ED.A2605DB3@uci.edu>...
>
>
> W5Kim/TIT wrote:
> > The "Hey, Carl..." sentance needed a question mark at the end of it.
>
> Why? You think it'll make a difference maybe? Carl has yet to give the
> URL for any of these documents he's talking about. Besides, it was
> intended to be a sarcastic declaratory statement.
>

You come back TWICE to the same post...<G> I will consider myself as having
gotten under the skin of one of the best... ;-P

Kim W5TIT

Oh, by the way...did you see the URL?

3467. Re: >>>>>>>>>My Good Friend Doc.<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923926.3CC9347D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120264

doc has better taist than that he has class

possum belly wrote:

> In article <86sg2lbve1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
> > You should know abou telling lies Pork Butt, You lied about the E mail
> > you sent the FCC, then said it was a "mistake" I hate to tell you this
> > but , Jeffs comments are no prayer book either. In any event"Banana
> > Nose" is off AOL . Why don't he get back on to prove us
> > wrong "cancelled fatboy"?
> >
> > Rileygotchu
> >
>
> i herd that. you can sey all that agin MR Rileygotchu. Wid DR Hate an
> porky an jeffery. i things we got a pure case a Shitrack Meatshack an a
> Ben niggo if you gets my meen in. one lies the utter swairs to it. an
> the utter reddy to sine a half a david to certificate it proper like. i
> herd that. these the lie in type a nomads. you neat to watts them for
> all manner a triks and coonknifing. i herd that. they all ways reddy to
> thro down staffs an pick up snakes if you gets my meen in. i say they
> these types needs a nutter 600 yeers stomp in mud in too briks in
> goshen. that wat they desserts fer lie in to us. i herd that.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3468. Re: poor poor porky -rich7- stiil pissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3892396E.9685AF00@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120265

w2otk will be back just you wait and see my hero will return

pvtcueball

possum belly wrote:

> yeppers porky still pissed coz MR hollandswort done triked him off the
> air. BWAAAAA HAH AH HA HA HA. we all still laff in. coz porky was beet
> by them same dirty tack ticks he like to use. to bad MR hollanswort no
> how to plays dirty his self. poor poor porky -rich7- had to lern the
> hard way bout dirty tack ticks. now porky bak on CB try to be the king a
> chan haul 36 agin. it so funny. BWAAAAAAA HA HA HA AH HA. even the
> trukers be laff in at him on 36 & 19. porky cant pass the reed test on
> april 15. BWAAAAA ha ha ha. we rid a porky for ever an ever. so let
> it bee ritten. so let it bee dun. moan faggot moan. amen.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3469. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <389239C2.AA693CE8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120266

any itiot nows that

graham dyer wrote:

> what you want to check is the covenent if it specifies shall not erect any tv
> antenna or antenna's it is refering to cable tv protection not amateur radio
> .
> this was put in there to give the cable tv companies a hook as they ate the
> cost of instalation . the legal interp. of tv antenna or antenna's is for tv
> antenna's
>
> hope this helped a bit
>
> graham va3gjb
>
> "Mike!" wrote:
>
> > A very special thanks to Kevin and and GLC1173 for their quick responces
> > and helpful advice. I allready have a small dual band antenna for the
> > garage attic so I have that one covered. Six meters is the band I'll miss
> > the most... but it will only be a year though...
> >
> > Thanks again Mike

3470. Re: jerk on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923A10.AAC30C6B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120267

20meters above 14.200 are all scum especialy 14.313 and 14.275

KC8JBO wrote:

> In article <86l9pu$4km$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
> > The point is on the top of your head Bob, you are a piece of work. You
> > would try to prove Jack the ripper a nice guy, Lizzy Borden a
> > misunderstood nice, lady. And last but not least, "PORKBUTT" Whiting a
> > decent fine gentleman. Hogwash. Captain Truth is a common qrmer!! and
> > not in the least bit nice.
> >
> > Gotchagoat 2000
>
> How about the times YOU qrmed with that lousy harmonica playing, Polly?
> Or chirping "herbies #1" or any other assorted qrming you've done,
> w4fqf?
>
> > In article <23037-388D30A7-1@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> > > Is he a jerk or unidentified station? If he's unidentified, how do
> > you
> > > know he's a jerk? Or are all unidenfied stations jerks, according to
> > > you?
> > >
> > > I'm only trying to prove a point: How often we characterize people
> > with
> > > our own coloration, confusing it with fact.
> > >
> > > Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> > > Miami, Florida
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --
> > gotchagoat 2000
> >
> > President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
> > amateur radio personality club in the world!!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> --

> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3471. Re: CONGRATS BOB &LENNY REMOVING AF2Y FRM AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86t15u$nu5$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120268

Uh wait a minute there, sonny boy "gotchagoat." Being unable to get on this esteemed board, I was the recipient of a phone call in which I was told that Jeff Herman called the TERMS OF SERVICE department at AOL to find out about my account termination. The gentleman to whom he spoke assured him of no such event and furthermore he never heard of the two lummoxes Youngblood and Schaier who boasted about THEIR having done me in. This is getting to be confusing but not amusing since I'm getting my kicks watching those two nut cases banging their heads against the wall. I think I made my point. As I said, AOL is a very fine company and the number one ISP in accounts. I hope you understand that I live in the very busy northeast where even five phone numbers offered by AOL can be busy for 20 minutes at a clip. I am not having a problem connecting with MindSpring, another top notch ISP. So what's the big deal about the situation? Who knows, I might even add "Earthlink" too?
Doc, AF2Y

In article <86q0nj$i47$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <86pq2i$cb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
>> Nice work boys, now go after him on Mindspring. Notice how you have
>> made him a NICE Boy HO HO.
>>
>> --
>> gotchagoat 2000
>>
>
>
>It's time to get Polly off of Juno as well......
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3472. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38920FB8.A522A491@uci.edu>
Source record: 120269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> You come back TWICE to the same post...<G> I will consider myself as having
> gotten under the skin of one of the best... ;-P

don't flatter yourself

> Oh, by the way...did you see the URL?

For the document file? No, I didn't. I saw a link to it.

3473. Re: Doofus Jammer is N9PGE Mick Slater!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923A8E.46A70B5E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120270

thats nick danger not mick jager

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:

> Hard core, divorced, alcoholic Mick Slater wrote:
>
> > Anon...ever consider that Cracker's mom did not like what she saw and took
> > him back for a refund? Do they call that Post Partum Depression? I heard
> > that WalMart has a pretty liberal return policy.....
> > "Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:200001280411.XAA11444@cotse.com...
> > > This message was posted anonymously:
> > >
> > > Mr Cracker...freedom of speech advocate or malcontent
> > > substance abuser?
> > > Did Mr Cracker get HIS license with a waiver? Cracker
> > > should be re-tested asap since he has a hearing
> > > problem...namely that his head is so firmly wedged in his
> > > rectal cavity that there is NO WAY he could have passed a
> > > CW test using the standard testing method. Perhaps he
> > > learned CW by having his XYL pound out the code on the top
> > > of his thick skull with a cast iron frying pan. That would
> > > explain why he is not yet housebroken and suffers from
> > > delusion tremors.
> > > A quote from mr cracker, "Wait! What is that climbing up
> > > my wall? Lizards? Snails? Oh, never mind. It is only one of
> > > my resident cockroaches."
> > >
> >
> > ----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
> > ------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----
>
> IP line from header:
>
> X-Trace: 27 Jan 2000 22:52:48 -0600, 63.75.243.117
>
> IP trace for 63.75.243.117
>
> Local Alias List: (null)
> Hostname: client.ppp.southbend.in.microvillage.net
> IP Address: 63.75.243.117
> Alias List: (null)
>
> User info for 63.75.243.117;

>
> JAMES M. SLATER
> 2107 STEVENS AVE
> ELKHART IN 46517
> USA
>
> Who is, of course, the drunken, divorced, fired cop and blubbering drunk N9PGE. To
> all Liberty Net members: This is the DOOFUS jammer, as indicated by his post.
>
> cc: Riley Hollingsworth
> cc: W1WCR

3474. Re: >>>>>>>Iris to kill Doc<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923BA0.DCEC64ED@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120271

the doctor in the house may give you resonable rates no house call charge

pvtcueball

rich7@my-deja.com wrote:

> You heard it right, murder to take place in Clifton! Doc lost her
> address book on Mindspring. He's already asked, if we would take him in
> for awhile,(he eats to much) What should I say, he might get me kicked
> off AOL?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3475. my name is tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923C2F.854030AF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120272

whats all the stink abt me my real name is tolassi so stop sending post
to some ham guy I am a swl just joining in on the festivites

pvtcueball

3476. Re: >>>>>>>Iris to kill Doc<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923AE9.6434236F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120273

is this the cracker ? wrinting these nasty dsatardlie things

possum belly wrote:

> In article <86sguucji1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > You heard it right, murder to take place in Clifton!
>
> porky you is lie in agin. he dint loose nut in. daisy gone to mass a
> kerr him coz she sick a say in
>
> - So SOLLy So Solly Doc No Home Doc NO home So Solly -
>
> to all them thair bill cool lesters an fienants cumpanees.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3477. Re: >>>>>>>>>My Good Friend Doc.<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38923B48.7ABE85DD@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120274

the docter was undesirving of the boot

pvtcueball

gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:

> You should know abou telling lies Pork Butt, You lied about the E mail
> you sent the FCC, then said it was a "mistake" I hate to tell you this
> but , Jeffs comments are no prayer book either. In any event"Banana
> Nose" is off AOL . Why don't he get back on to prove us
> wrong "cancelled fatboy"?
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> In article <86seimakt1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Same old story Doc, say it long enough,and loud enough, and some will
> > believe. It looks like Jeff, proved the liars to be what they are!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> --
> gotchagoat 2000
>
> President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
> amateur radio personality club in the world!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3478. Re: NEWSLINE..Crap Exposed..STAY TUNED <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4gks@mindspring.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <38921472.78584437@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120275

On Fri, 28 Jan 2000 18:28:31 GMT, rlw@msn.com wrote:

>
> Watch for a post shorty, explaining WHY NEWSLINE is always 'begging'
>for funds, and threatening to go away if you do not send money!!
>---
>(And especially watch the response by the Pasternak Brown-nosers and
>minions. <<---)
>---
Well, you are now filtered.

3479. Re: help with TMV7A modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <Bobacer1@home.com>
Message-ID: <_0pk4.3245$2C1.118439@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 120276

Go to www.mods.dk , you can find all the mods for most any radio there.

Bob

"^^*< SNOOPY 05 >*^^" <jebemtimater@cetnikill.com.hr> wrote in message
news:hqDj4.329$l54.9976@nsw.nnrp.telstra.net...
>
> i would like to know if the tmv7a can be modded for extended tx &rx in the
> 476-477mhz range uhf for the australian uhf cb band
>
> regards
> john
> please reply to
> jackle_666@primus.com.au
>
>
>

3480. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <k4pk4.633$6w1.22919@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 120277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <389207ED.A2605DB3@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>
> W5Kim/TIT wrote:
> > The "Hey, Carl..." sentance needed a question mark at the end of it.
>
> Why? You think it'll make a difference maybe? Carl has yet to give the
> URL for any of these documents he's talking about. Besides, it was
> intended to be a sarcastic declaratory statement.
>

for someone who has played spelling police on several occasions, you'd
think that the word sentEnce would be actually spelled correct.

That's twice. Maybe that's how they spall in TexAss

3481. Re: Ham HF bands will be free-4-all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <389227a4.11755760@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Poor Donny Bertoney, Jack Mullen not around to pick on any more?
Where is UUM? He leave you because his Black Girlfriend tosses his
salad better? I also see that HLR and KL have decided to leave you out
of the spotlight as well. Why dont you hang out on the W4BFA repeater
that much anymore? It used to be a religion for you to go there and
help Jam K6CBL at will.

On 28 Jan 2000 22:17:45 GMT, qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:

>>From: "Don Bertone--qrz.com KK6AN"
>
>>
>>We members of the Wally-Wally Club are anxiously waiting for the
>>onslaught of a new batch of hams, licensed and not.
>
>With all due respect, this was an inappropriate post for rec.radio.cb. You have
>"diss-ed" CB operators by suggesting that people on 11 meters have no operating
>skills or supposing that no CBers adhere to any kind of behavior standards.
>
>If you really are a licensed ham, you are way out of line to "bait" people to
>come into the 40 meter band "licensed or not".
>
>>All are welcome to join in the fracus that goes on every day. It used
>>to be a LOT wilder but we all have jobs now or have died.
>
>Not quite the whole story, my friend. Actually, your group got the word from
>Mr. Hollinsworth that this behavior would not be tolerated. Most of them wised
>up. You are the exception.
>
>When the CB newsgroup needs a lecture from hams, we will ask. We refer you to
>the charter for rec.radio.cb. It will explain that we already know amplifiers
>and free banding are illegal. We know not to go into the ham bands without
>being licensed. We are well aware of the changes in licensing requirements
>which will soon take effect. Yada Yada Yada.
>
>If you have something positive to contribute, be our guest. But know this. We
>don't need nor appreciate trolls....especially when they try to start CB vs
>Ham arguements.
>
>What? Is there a full moon today?
>
>QRM
>

The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3482. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "The Derra\"s" <derra@thegrid.net>
Message-ID: <uksk4.1591$84.240153@alfalfa.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

get off of it. I have been a ham for quite a few years and have had difficulty breaking the code barrier for general 13 wpm. Does this mean that I am incompetent? What about the techs that operate eme or meteor scatter or even sats. I bet some of these people can put the old timers to shame

3483. Re: Let us share killfile filters and end this plague!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <86tm1cbnf1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross:
My opinion is that if people ignore those posts, the scum will just fade away. They only get their jollies by stirring people up. Without that satisfaction, they get bored very fast.

You will notice that for every wacko post, some other goofball just HAS to respond and there it goes...
Stop paying attention to them and they will quickly find another newsgroup to annoy.

Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:388D1A90.83B88854@topnow.com...
>
>
> Of course, when we've got people on here who
> take delight in the suffering and death of others,
> and calls this murderous glee "comedy,"
> spamming a newsgroup seems pretty tame
> by comparison. And people with morals
> have a right to put the Nazis back in their place.

3484. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy Brookins <kc8mvw@up.net>
Message-ID: <86tmss$k6j@btc3.up.net>
Source record: 120281

Bogart,
They were talking about the bands themselves. There is the 2 meter band (144-148 MHz in the US) and 10 meters is 28 to 29.7 MHz in the US. Hope that this clears this up for you. You might need a different radio to transmit on these bands at the same time, but don't worry about 10 meters until you pass the 5 wpm test.

bogart <b_o_g_a_r_t@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:86tglm$4t2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Hello,
>
> Just received my passing score as a no-code technician, and am awaiting
> my license from the FCC. The other week I overheard some folks talking
> on the radio and they kept saying things like "two meter" and "ten
> meters", etc when talking about their radios. I only have a cheap
> Kenwood that I change channels with three wheels that kind of look like
> the odometer in my car. So, I know my radio is too cheap to have any
> meters on it, but what could the second meter be for, or for crying out
> loud, why would anyone want a radio with TEN meters on it? What are they
> all for? A neighbor that has moved away used to be a HAM, and one day he
> was showing off all of his equipment and what he likes to do with it. I
> remeber when he was harping on his watts and srw's or swr's, and he was
> showing me on this separate meter that he kept switching a switch to
> show me reflections or something. His meter would show more than one
> thing, so why would a radio need two meters or TEN! meters? Why can't I
> just buy outside meters like he had and keep my radio cost down? I am
> sure a big, expensive radio that has many meters wouldn't make sense for
> me. I am not looking to buy anything soon, but would like to be more
> ready for when I can.
>
> Thanks for helping a new HAM!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3485. Re: Motorola MCS2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob C." <bob@bigbird.reno.nv.us>
Message-ID: <38926f90_1@news.greatbasin.net>
Source record: 120282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan <dan@truvalue.com> wrote in message
news:86qm2qogb1@news.xmission.com...
> What's an MCP2000? European version?
>
> I have two MCS2000 Model I Smartzone 900Mhz and they are VERY
similar to the
> MTS2000 portable that I have. The MCS2000 is a MOBILE.
> My company has five of them and we were one of the first places to
get them.
> Serials are less than 200. They were major buggy and had to be
'upgraded'
> several times by Motorola before getting them fixed. They are
VERY good
> now.
> Nice radio all around, but I like the Spectra series better. Too
bad
> Motorola orphaned that line.
>
> Dan
The MCS2000 is also available in the remainder of the bands as
well as 800/900 mhz.
True to Motorola fashion, they build them first and then design
them in the field.

3486. anyone know the e-mail address for kenwood usa radio comms site because it doesn't seem to work on their template

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "^^*< SNOOPY 05 >*^^" <jebemtimater@cetnikill.com.hr>
Message-ID: <CQYj4.175$Dk4.8305@nsw.nnrp.telstra.net>
Source record: 120394

reply
to
jackle_666@primus.com.au

3487. "DOC NOT ON AOL", "DOC NOT ON AOL"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86qmto$3nr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120395

When Iris was informed about AF2Y being booted from AOL , all she could do was run around the house and yell, " DOC NOT ON AOL", "DOC NOT ON AOL", "DOC NOT ON AOL".

Now we read where Charles is talking about the freedom of speech on the ISP. What a statement, stupid is as stupid says. Chuckie, go get those sulfur compounds out of your mouth, you stink !!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3488. RF assistance sought (HF)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Des Bromilow <des.bromilow@citec.com.auV2>
Message-ID: <3890C03E.AE8EC969@citec.com.auV2>
Source record: 120396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

G'Day,
I'm hoping someone can help me with a simple request which I'm asking on behalf of some microprocessor experimenters.

My main questions are geared towards building a low wattage (<500mW) transmitter which uses a removable crystal (27 or 40MHz) for frequency selection. The receiver would need matching capabilites (frequency modification via crystal subtitution) and AGC. I've a grasp on the concepts of FM Tx and Rx using PLL, but no practical experience. I have built my fair share of crystal sets, and so have an understanding of AM detection, but no experience using IF and subsequent mixers.

If someone has a URL for a "dummys guide to basic RF design" or something that would help (circuit diagram for RC Tx/Rx sets, or crystal based Tx/Rx pairs in the HF bands), I would appreciate it.
I'm using 27MHz and 40 MHz since they are the standard frequencies for RC cars in Australia. I plan on using crystals for RC cars (from high Q in Sydney) in my circuits. This way I'll be avoiding my experiments causing any issues with DOTC. The hoped for design will use a "data in" and "data out" pin in the transmitter, receiver respectively. I beleive that RC cars are limited to a bandwidth of 10kHz, so our processor designs would have to take this into account.

I would appreciate any assistance i can obtain. Most of us don't have a lot of the FR test instruments you would have, so designs which do not rely so heavily on these instruments would be appreciated, However if this reasoning is unreasonable, then please let me know. Please send info to des.bromilow@citec.com.au and to the list.

Many many thanks in advance,
Des Bromilow
--
Des Bromilow
Queensland Rocketry Association
Brisbane
Australia
"Inner Child" be darned... I'm having WAY too much fun rediscovering my "Inner Teenager"!!! dyb 11/2/99
Email addresses: des.bromilow@citec.com.auV2 and desbromilow@primus.com.auV2
remove the German anti-spam device before replying.

3489. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <2I5k4.380$Hz5.43156@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120397

After reading all the answers you got to your missive...it would be my guess
that your wife is absolutely correct.
Troyce <twilson@ag-eco.tamu.edu> wrote in message
news:86nmrl$8li$1@news.tamu.edu...
> In article <388F1A3B.D8F53347@ix.netcom.com>, ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:
>
> >The Rig or the Wife -- well??
> >I'm thinking I'm thinking
> >Jack Benny -- many years ago
>
> Now cut that out!
> Sing, Dennis!
>
> Troyce
> (who spent a year telling folks that he was the same age
> Jack Benny was at his death, without anyone getting it).

3490. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@spamlessgte.net>
Message-ID: <FW5k4.1539$VR1.27073@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

AMEN!

--
Gary - KJ6Q
==========

W6RCecilA wrote in message <3890CD1F.AEC61136@IEEE.org>...
>Leonard Martin wrote:
>> Whenever I see a post like this, I think about what must be going on
in the
>> poor shnook's life to create such virulent displaced feeling. I'm
guessing
>> poverty here, possibly after a period of affluence (in a country
where
>> we're all supposed to get and stay rich). I'm also willing to conside
>> sexual performance problems and the heartbreak of psoriasis.
>
>Keep those blinders on, Leonard, the feds are counting on it.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3491. Re: Kenwood TM-221A Step rate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001280104370.1427-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120399

Try F-Rev.

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000, Brad Sheppard wrote:
> Hi I just acquired an old Kenwood TM221A mobile radio and am wondering how
> to chage the step rate of the VFO. It is currently set at 20KHz and I have
> a load of repeaters in this area that require a 10 KHz step rate (i.e.
> 146.790 as opposed to either 146.780 or 146.800 which are the only two
> frequencies even close to the desired one available)

3492. "NOMAS" AOL to AF2Y. XYL-IRIS- IRATE SAY "HE CRAZY"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86r5ec$do6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120400

Its "NOMAS" time on AOL for the Clifton libeler, AF2Y. His XYL Says "HE CRazy"!! (Banana nose was cut loose by AOL for his libelious posting)!!

His XYL IRIS was reported to have blown a fuse when she tried to log on AOL and got a rejection window. Sorry Iris your chosen husband should clean up his act. ISPs like AOL do not take kindly to the antics he has indulged in lately. You need to keep a short leash on that keyboard "DOG" and teach him to be house broken .

A big thanks to Lenny & Bob for taking care of AF2Ys nasty posting habits due to your influence at AOL!!

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3493. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Finn <finnd@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nd7k4.3199$%87.88892@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120401

Once you sign close on the house, you will have signed documents binding you to the convenants
binding the property. It takes an act of congress to pre-empt them (as was the rare case with DBS
dishes).

On the other hand, subdivisions are attractive real estate investments and you really should not let a
"hobby" stand in the way of a better investment decision. Move into the subdivision and buy I house
well suited for attic antenna's and/or where 14Ga, wire coming out of the window to a tree won't be an
eyesore. It usually is quite invisible after the weather darkens the copper.

I myself have a full G5RV bent at various angles in my attic. There are no lobes but the apex of my house is at least 40 feet above average terrain and my 80 meter is fine at 100W (not to mention 40, 30
20, 15, and 10, all with one antenna. I have an Amp which can be used subject to RF limits (but I can
still run 500W at 80 and 40 meters up there). I have heard anectdotes of RF heating problems in attics
but can detect no detectable heat source up there when running 500W at 80 meters and 14Ga wire.

You may be surprized and surprize others with what you can do with attic antennas. Just follow the RF ERP rules and double check for possible heat sources.

73 de KR4AJ
Mike! <kl7mr@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:8EC79E34FKL7MRuswestnet@207.225.159.10...
> The Wife and I are moving into a new development that does not allow the
> erection of amateur antenna towers or any external antennas with the
> exception of DBS dishes. I have been told that there is a Federal Pre-
> emption , isued not too long ago, that deals with this subject.
>
> I am asking that some of you that may know anything on this subject may
let
> me know where I can get texts of the new ruling or send me other links to
> any related stuff.
>
> thanking you in advance Mike KL7MR

3494. Re: New to group...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38910bf7.4449540@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120402

Welcome...

73 de kf6foz

On Wed, 26 Jan 2000 13:11:50 -0700, "Jason M. Maddux"
<jason.maddux@trw.com> wrote:

>Greetings fellow amateur radio operators.... KB7SKY.... Sierra Vista AZ.
>
>

The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3495. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38911631.10191406@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 17:02:25 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
>> I do not intend to flame the ARRL here...but just to remind all
>> that most of the ARRL stuff is at the beginner level and much of it has
>> not advanced as much as it should to keep up with the digital
>> technology of today. It would be impossible for the present ARRL staff
>> to advance to the level that many members of TAPR now are at, for
>> example. The ARRL staff can no longer be technical leaders in modern
>> communications techniques and must rely more and more on professional
>> hams to lead them.
>
>> How can the ARRL be expected to lead Ham Radio into the millenium
>> and continue to promote its very BASIS and PURPOSE? That is: To
>> advance the state of the art and encourage the development of a cadre
>> of technical experts. My answer is that the ARRL can not be expected
>> to do so alone. The ARRL must IMHO let professional volunteers make
>> the kind of technical decisions that will shape the future of Ham Radio
>> and remember that they are basically a lobbying, publication company
>> and a social organization ...which can no longer be fit to shape the
>> future of Ham techniques or Ham technologies without outside help.
>
>My first instinct was to be insulted, but then I realized that I, too,
>share the view you just expressed, although I would have expressed it
>differently.
>
>I don't think that ARRL ever has, or at least ever should have been, the
>sole source of Good Technical Things in Amateur Radio. Modern radio
>technology is simply too vast and has far too many disciplines for
>anyone to expect that any individual, or group of small individuals,
>would possess the talent and skills to be able to do it all.

AMEN! Ed.

Finally someone starts to really get at the heart of
the difference between being technical 50 years ago
as opposed to today. It didn't take a whole lot of
study, experience, etc to know a great deal about
the "state-o-the-art" radio in the 50s. Most
recievers were just a few more tube stages
beyond that of a simple 5 tube AC/DC broadcast
radio. The same was true of transmitters.
Frequency stability meant crystal control for most

people.

Compare that to the sets of today with the incredible amount of digital electronics, memories, software controled, etc

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3496. Re: Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38911a7d.11291174@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 27 Jan 2000 19:04:27 GMT, K0HB@uswest.net wrote:

>"W5TIT, Kim Walker" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:
>
>>Just for clarification, can't questions also be submitted to the QPC
>>directly?
>
>Yes, and in fact that is probably the preferred way.

Remember too. The QPC is already starting with all
the existing questions. Which of those, if not most,
will end up in the new written element pools remains
to be seen....BUT I'd suspect most of them will.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3497. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <86r6lp$3jd@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 120405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley (jwkelley@uci.edu) wrote:
: James Rosenthal wrote:
: > 20m doesn't have Tech+ frequencies, "80m" does. (But one "could" listen
: > on either.)

: One can listen anywhere - that was the point.

I do believe I mentioned it also.

: And 80/75 meters doesn't
: have any Tech+ voice frequencies. Kim doesn't listen to code.

: 73, ac6xg

Are we really sure -what- Kim does? ;-)

All we know is that she tricked the FCC into giving her a "vulgar" call by
throwing money at them so she could annoy some people on this NG before
she knew about this NG. ?:o

Sort of like scofflaw freebanders wanting to get licensed so they couldn't
be free anymore. ??:o
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

3498. Re: Could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <DF9k4.8999$_G1.167178@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120406
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake-

Why is it that YOUR PEERS suggested you stop harassing me, leave me
alone & ignore me, yet you continue with your childish, lie filled, ranting
& raving?

Why is it that you are unable to stop harassing me?

Why is it that you can't follow the advice of your peers?

Why is it that you always exhibit the attention seeking behavior
that always causes so much trouble?

Why is it that you always need a few friends to support you
on your harassing activities?

Why is it that EVERY post on alt.firefighters about you in negative?

Get a life Blake!!

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Could it be that person who
SNIP
> Alt.firefighters might finally be getting quiet? Finally?
SNIP

3499. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86ri3n$m3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120407

In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
>
> > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up
that
> > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is
such a
> > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
donnie's
> > brother?hhhmmmm?
> >
> > the real pvtcueball u moron
>
> The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
> header-forging morons. Unreal.
>
>
PIG VOMIT
WHY do you tell those lies? Oris Grim Never wrote any of that. I do
beleive your trying to start trouble. What are you, stupid? Never mind I
all ready know the answer to that one. You make a ROCK look smart. Don't
they give you enough homework at that welfare college you go to. So now
your going to start up lies, then when you get smacked back your going
to cry "OH THEY ARE PICKING ON ME AGAIN. What in the world did I ever
do?" What a loser!Hey PIG VOMIT don't you have anything better to do?
Does the FCC know you are trying to stir the shit stew again? Maybe I'll
tell them. How much radio equipment are you going to hock to pay that
lawyer now? The FCC is coming to get you? Did You really lied under
oath? You're such a slimeball. I bet you did! WHAT A LOSER! You are
going to keep messing with Oris and that boy is going hurt you I think
you better wake up and smell the coffee. Leave the boy alone. He hasn't
been in this newsgroup in quite some time. He isn't spoofing anyone. Are
you sure your not hackin' again to make people look like they are
hackin'? Now I'm warning you leave Oris out of it. He isn't part of
this. I'm telling you this for your own good.
have a nice day

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3500. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000128012041.17636.00000696@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 120408

>Prove I am gay.

Ok..who else would fantasize about men masturbating to their photo. You've stated this several times. You are Gay. No doubt about it. You live at home with Mommy and you drive a beat up Toyota with a big ying yang sign on the side. Tell me that ain't gay!
>YOU were the one who so desperately had to have a
>picture of me that you drove out of your way to try and get one.

Dream on Gay Boy. Please don't include me in on your fantasies.

>My mother lives with me, not the other way around.

Yeah right. Reminds me of the state vegatable of West Virginia. PUMP KIN. By the way. Stop using your mom's Social security money to go to school. The money is hers. Roger, it's sick of you stealing the money that your parents worked hard for. Shame on you, leaching off your Moms limmited income. Sell that radio and give that money back to her.

>I'll be 45 in September

So. Count yorself lucky. According to medical surveys..gay may don't live much longer than that. Can we hope for a silent key soon?

>.I've already received a BA

Oh wow a BA. I mean who is impressed here? Obviously you have impressed yourself because no one gives a rats ass.

> Gee Rog---45 and you are back at school
>>using government money to re-educate yourself because you chose a
>>path that lead no-where. .

Unemployed dentally challenged LOOSER. Using government funds to go back to school. Stop taking money from mom. Get a job and let mom have her Social security money.
>I could pass those tests like I did the previous ones I've taken..with
>EASE. Not like the several failed attempts of N8WGM.

Yeah so why didn't you. It could not be because you were too busy working. Excuses, Excuses. I've heard them all before. Face it Roger. A gay Mommies boy who cannot get along in society should not be on ham radio. I thank GOD that you did not go any further due to your inability to pass those tests. I only hope that Riley catches you jamming again and that'll be the end of you on ham radio.

>>wannabee Kung foo fool.....
>

>12 years of training..wanna find out?

Yeah Right. Chicken shit Roger. I'm a kung foo expert Roger. Yeah right.Hide behind those blinds gay momma boy Roger.

>Riley didn't catch me at all.. or are you now admitting you LIED about
>your child molesting 'uncle" from Wheeling who supposedly drove by here?

There you go again. Antisocial gay boy Roger Wiseman. Poor paranoid gay roger Wiseman. Calls everybody names.

>No, you DUMBASS, I drive a TOYOTA pickup truck. You're too ignorant to
>know the difference. Better get those coke bottle bottoms you wear for
>glasses checked.

Toyota? OH I'm impressed. That rusty piece of shit you call a truck with the Ying Yang symbol on the side. Proclaiming to all the world don't mess with me. I'm badass Roger Wiseman. Kung foo artist. AND IF YOU DRIVE BY MY HOUSE I'LL HIDE BEHIND MY BLINDS AND TELL MY MOMMY.

>Now you're just guessing.
>
Well well. Now I'm just guessing. So everything else is true...but now I'm just guessing.

>> As a teen...Roger was always asking people....."Hey--do you think
>of me
>>when you masturbate?" Roger was hit repeatedly when asking this
>question and
>>Roger vowed to be able to defend

>himself if ever attacked again.....He
>>continually kept muttering .."Those damn HOMOPHOBES." So he took up

>Karate. His
>>Karate teacher nicknamed him Gerbil.

>Poor baby. You can't get your facts straight. I started martial arts
>long AFTER I got out of high school.

Notice he did not argue against the point that he got into martial arts to defend himself because of his gay activitesHope not to see you later GERBIL boy.

>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
and don't forget jammer of 14.313!!!!!!!!!!

N8WGM

3501. Re: Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <86rdghsnb1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

"The amplification of the capacitence between the base and collector of
a common emitter transistor is called the:

A Budweiser effect
B Michalob effect
C Miller effect
D Fosters effect

Answer C

3502. FA Tube Tester, HF/SSB Fixed Freq RX. Mechanical filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Lenaghan <southwest_telephone@mail.com>
Message-ID: <38913AD7.ADCB8860@mail.com>
Source record: 120410
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

246635846: Marconi HF/SSB Fixed channel receiver
Bidding starts at: $20.00
Auction ends on: Feb-05-00, 10:56:48 PST
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=246635846

246637687: Marconi HF/SSB Fixed channel receiver
Bidding starts at: $5.00
Auction ends on: Feb-05-00, 10:59:32 PST
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=246637687

246639776: Collins Mechanical Filter, F455 FA 21 6926
Bidding starts at: $25.00
Auction ends on: Feb-05-00, 11:02:55 PST
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=246639776

3503. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <389341f7.2606323@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120411

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 21:18:47 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <38932539.90439426@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
> Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick) wrote:
>> On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 11:35:22 -0800, Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >All you old geezers on 75 meters better find a new place to hang out.
>> >The CB'ers are a comin'! Better brush up on those 10 codes, good
>buddy!
>> >
>> >Thats a BIG TEN-4!
>>
>> What do you mean there comming? They have been there for over
>> 5 years already! The only differnce between 11 and 80 meters is the
>> guys on 80 are CBextras.
>>
>> Rick KC2ESD
>>
>Are you saying that the big guns operating in the DX window are cb
>types? Usual amateur tradition referes to 75 meters as the phone band,
>and 80 as the cw/digital band.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Sorry, I meant 75 meters.

Rick KC2ESD

3504. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38923e37.1948043@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 16:56:31 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Leonard Martin wrote:
>> Whenever I see a post like this, I think about what must be going on in the
>> poor shnook's life to create such virulent displaced feeling. I'm guessing
>> poverty here, possibly after a period of affluence (in a country where
>> we're all supposed to get and stay rich). I'm also willing to conside
>> sexual performance problems and the heartbreak of psoriasis.
>
>Keep those blinders on, Leonard, the feds are counting on it.

Hmmm wasn't there some kind of new scanner legislation / inquiry / etc
shortly after a certain REPUBLICAN who will remain nameless (NEWT
GINGRINCH) was taped saying one thing one a Cell-Phone and something
else in PUBLIC ??? That must be part of that Democrat-Communist
alliance.

3505. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86rhma$4tr$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
> Long ago the "Editors and Engineers" {Bill Orr, W6SAI}
>published "The Radio Handbook" which IMHO was better than the ARRL
>Handbook in some respects. Its construction articles produced better
>ham gear for example.

So you built the gear out of both manuals and conducted side-by-side
tests?

For years, the League's Handbook was the greatest selling technical
publication in the country. That accomplishment was not due to just
amateurs. Heck, the military thought so highly of it that it was
used as a radio/electronics training manual.

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula for your prints.

3506. Re: AF2Y, AOL did not REMOVE HIM FROM SERVICE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86riho$5mp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120414

hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Say all you like Charles about your so-called absence from AOL. The reason
>that you are not on that service is that you were removed because of your
>rude and repugnant postings.

I talked to Chris Jackson of AOL's TOS staff (former UH computer center staff member); he's never heard of you nor has anything crossed his desk concerning Charles losing his account.

>As a stockholder of AOL I must compliment AOL for taking action against
>people who abuse the service.

Yeah, I bought some AOL stock on E-trade, for an $8 commission. Guess that makes me a "stockholder," too.

73, Jeff KH6O
Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

3507. Re: Motorola MCS2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terra-Rist <sprt@sprt.org>
Message-ID: <86rqvkhin1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 120415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan <dan@truvalue.com> wrote in message
news:86qm2qogb1@news.xmission.com...
> What's an MCP2000? European version?
>
> I have two MCS2000 Model I Smartzone 900Mhz and they are VERY similar to
the
> MTS2000 portable that I have. The MCS2000 is a MOBILE.
> My company has five of them and we were one of the first places to get
them.
> Serials are less than 200. They were major buggy and had to be 'upgraded'
> several times by Motorola before getting them fixed. They are VERY good
> now.

"VERY good" compared to what ? A Kenwood ? The MCS2000 is a flimsy piece
of plastic junk ! Unfortunately it reflects the attitude of the new group
in charge at Motorola - put out cheap, poorly thought out low cost, low
end junk to compete with the proliferation of inexpensive mobiles put out
by other companies.

> Nice radio all around, but I like the Spectra series better. Too bad
> Motorola orphaned that line.

Well, not really, the Astro Spectras have picked up the torch. Also
I believe the regular analog Spectras are still available on a 'per
customer' basis. (ie. if you yell loudly enough, you can get one).

> > BTW, this is becoming the standard radio for police and public
> > safety.

AHAHAHAHAHAHA....you wish ! it's a piece of shit radio, the only
agencies that are buying into them are the ones that can't afford
Spectra's !

Anyone that thinks a 110 watt A-9 Spectra with INTEGRATED siren
packages, INTEGRATED vip capabilities, and Astro IMBE capability
is inferior to a flimsy piece of plastic, that uses all kinds of
hideous add on kluges to achieve the same things a Spectra does,
is smoking crack ! Also let's see an MCS2000 do OTAR, it ain't
happening !

It's a junky piece of shit ! If you have a say in it, insist on
a Spectra !

LONG LIVE SPECTRA/ASTRO SPECTRA !!!

3508. Re: HOW Should FCC Foster a Technical Hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Drink your Ovaltine <richwhitt@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6xgk4.2147$Sa2.92013@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Walter Gesundheit wrote in message <86q3c9$k53$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...

>ARRL venturing into CB radio would be equivalent to the Pope
>venturing into a whorehouse!
>
>And that's the way it is!
>
>73,
>Walter

Arrl is not the Pope. Arrl is Jimmy Swaggart. This means
he can go into cheap New Orleans whore house and get nookies
anytime after 10:00 PM from crack niggahs.

3509. Re: HOW Should FCC Foster a Technical Hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Drink your Ovaltine <richwhitt@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <7xgk4.2148$Sa2.92013@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Walter Gesundheit wrote in message <86q3c9$k53$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...

>And that's the way it is!
>
>73,
>Walter

HEY WALTER! How about playing us some of that great ole
German goose-steppin music on 3950 some nite like the good ole days??

Please! I like to put on my knee-high leather books and
goose-step around the ham shack while listenin to those
great German marchin toons!!!!!!

3510. Atlanta Bird Man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Big AL <Fruit_Loop@birdshit.net>
Message-ID: <yGgk4.1135$38.51578@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 120418

word on 14313 is that
.....Atlanta Bird Man.....is busy stuffing
rags into the cold drafty cracks of his.....
rented shanty....., brrrrr

3511. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Boren <Gregory.Boren@nokia.com>
Message-ID: <PJgk4.1143$3D5.25505@news2.nokia.com>
Source record: 120419

The wife said it was her or the radio! I'm sure going to miss her, "Over".

3512. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86s9e6$6hi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120420

Illegal wiretapper
N8WGM wrote in message <20000128012041.17636.00000696@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>>Prove I am gay.
>
>Ok..who else would fantasize about men masturbating to their photo.
>You've stated this several times. You are Gay. No doubt about it.

Bwhahahahahahaha! You have the disability of not being hampered by information. YOU are the one who wanted the picture of another MALE. YOU drove by his house, taking pictures of it, called me on the phone wanting phone sex, and I speculate that you want the pictures to masturbate to, and you get shrill in your denials. Looks like the red panties guy is you, Tonduhh. And I'll let Riley know.

>You live at home with Mommy and you drive a beat up Toyota with a >big ying yang sign on the side. Tell me that ain't gay!

It sounds like you're the EXPERT at being GAY, TONDuhh.

>>YOU were the one who so desperately had to have a
>>picture of me that you drove out of your way to try and get one.
>
>Dream on Gay Boy. Please don't include me in on your fantasies.

Oh, so you're denining you stalked me? Hmmm, that's not what the archives on Deja says.

>>My mother lives with me, not the other way around.
>
>Yeah right. Reminds me of the state vegatable of West Virginia. PUMP KIN.

"Vegetable," you high school dropout.

>By the way. Stop using your mom's Social security money to go to school. The
>money is hers. Roger, it's sick of you stealing the money that your parents
>worked hard for. Shame on you, leaching off your Moms limmited income. Sell
>that radio and give that money back to her.
>
>>I'll be 45 in September
>
>So. Count yorself lucky. According to medical surveys..gay may don't live much
>longer than that. Can we hope for a silent key soon?

So how are you living with AIDS, TONDuhhh? Tonduhh has had quite a few std's. Of course, TONduhh's time as black inmate Rufus Washington, Jr.'s prison bitch might have had something to do with it.

>>.I've already received a BA
>
>Oh wow a BA. I mean who is impressed here? Obviously you have
>impressed yourself because no one gives a rats ass.

Obviously YOU do, otherwise you wouldn't have responded. Of course, there's a jealousy factor, since you didn't even get a high school diploma, did you? OF course, one couldn't expect very much out of mentally-challenged TONduhh.

>Unemployed dentally challenged LOOSER.

From what my neighbor says, your buckteeth could open up walnuts. He said he was going to recommend a good dry cleaner, since your clothes reeked of drool.

>Using government funds to go back to
>school. Stop taking money from mom. Get a job and let mom have her
>Social security money.

Poor baby. Now crawl back into your hole in the ground "house." And DO work more hours at your minimum-wage "job" so I can reap more benefits....<snicker>.
Idiot.

>Yeah so why didn't you. It could not be because you were too busy
>working.

Bwhahahahahahahahaha! My Social Security records reflect otherwise.

>Excuses, Excuses. I've heard them all before. Face it Roger. A gay
Mommies boy
>who cannot get along in society should not be on ham radio.
I thank GOD that

Oh, is the right-wing hypocrite also a jesus freak? What a surprise. Does your GOD tell you to act this way, or is it the snakes you handle during the services? Oh, that's not a snake, that's your preacher's schlong.

>you did not go any further due to your inability to pass those tests.
I >only hope that Riley catches you jamming again and that'll be the
end >of you on ham radio.

Riley didn't catch me. You said you sent your child molesting uncle over here with your ratted out sw radio.

Steven A Tunder, N8WGM
3472 Timberwood Trail Box 441
Richfield, OH 44286-9755
USA

Class: Advanced

Previous Call: KB8OHN
Previous Class: General
Issue Date: 01-25-1994 Expires: 01-25-2004
Process date: 01-25-1994 Birth date: 06-23-1956

QSL Manager:
E-Mail address: n8wgm@cyberdrive.net

My, my TONdUhhhhh the wonder chipmunk has been stagnant at Advanced class since 1994. What's the matter TONduhhh, can't pass the Extra THEORY or is it the 20 wpm code stopping you?

<space reserved for TONduhh's excuses>

>>12 years of training..wanna find out?
>
>Yeah Right. Chicken shit Roger. I'm a kung foo expert Roger. Yeah
>right.Hide
>behind those blinds gay momma boy Roger.

Obviously, you've missed the point of the martial arts. I WON by not doing anything, while you LOST and still are obsessing about me.

>
>>Riley didn't catch me at all.. or are you now admitting you LIED about
>>your child molesting 'uncle" from Wheeling who supposedly drove by
here?
>
>There you go again. Antisocial gay boy Roger Wiseman. Poor paranoid
gay roger
>Wiseman. Calls everybody names.

Hey, dumbass, reread this whole posting by yourself. Can you say "Hypocrite?"

>Toyota? OH I'm impressed. That rusty piece of shit you call a truck
>with the >Ying Yang symbol on the side. Proclaiming to all the world
don't mess with me.

Poor TONDuhh..his rusted, beat up white 4-wd truck isn't in good shape either. It has dents and scrapes from Tonduhh's many DWI's. The salt has eaten out the frame of his "truck", and it obviously has a couple of hundred thousand miles on the engine.

>I'm badass Roger Wiseman. Kung foo artist. AND IF YOU DRIVE BY >MY
HOUSE I'LL HIDE BEHIND MY BLINDS AND TELL MY MOMMY.

Poor baby. Your pathetic cb-mentality type of bullying didn't work, Tonduhh. And now you're exhibiting what is normally called "sour grapes." Obviously, you didn't learn anything with those two free lessons at the local karate school about the martial arts.

>Well well. Now I'm just guessing. So everything else is true...but now
>I'm just guessing.

Poor Tonduhh..his hybrid chipmunk -and Ernie from "My Three Sons"
appearance has stunted his growth mentally and physically.
Everything you've ever said is a lie.

>Notice he did not argue against the point that he got into martial
arts to
>defend himself because of his gay activitesHope not to see you later
>GERBIL boy.

Funny, your suppositions are quite a stretch, chipmunk boy. I didn't
say why I got into martial arts at all. And you shouldn't project you
sexual activities onto others. Or is your lacking in one department the
reason why your wives left you?

>>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>and don't forget jammer of 14.313!!!!!!!!!!

Then why didn't Riley mention that frequency in his warning letter?
<Space reserved for "Ernie's" backpedaling>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3513. Re: Could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtullman@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <d0hk4.4411$%87.140817@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120421
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <DF9k4.8999$_G1.167178@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@parg.NOSPAMorg> wrote:
>Blake-
>
>Why is it that YOUR PEERS suggested you stop harassing me, leave me
>alone & ignore me, yet you continue with your childish, lie filled, ranting
>& raving?
>

Actually YOUR PEERS have long suggested that you BOTH shut the f*** up and leave us alone...

Army Liason to the Office of Naval Contemplation

3514. Re: Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3891A063.2737@arrl.net>
Source record: 120422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Remember too. The QPC is already starting with all
> the existing questions. Which of those, if not most,
> will end up in the new written element pools remains
> to be seen....BUT I'd suspect most of them will.

For this go around, I am sure; that is about all they can do in the time they have. But for the future, I sure hope that the QPC takes a hard look at the syllabi and the questions. That is the time I will expect to see decisions being made about the content and the door opened up for new questions.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

3515. Re: New PSK31 Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3891a46d_2@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 120423

Nice site!
--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"Neil" <DontDoIt@ImNotHere.com> wrote in message
news:GLmMOF11W9nrWoWzNr6E8FYNayfl@4ax.com...
> Just a quick heads up on a web site that I've created; it's provided
> as a service to help others find various info and software for
PSK31.
> I hope you come and visit, download, and then join the fun on the
air.
>
> http://www.psk31.com
>
> Neil, N1DMA
> Hollis, NH USA
>

3516. Re: AF2Y, AOL did not REMOVE HIM FROM SERVICE.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86sdl2$a0f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120424

In article <86riho$5mp$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >Say all you like Charles about your so-called absence from AOL. The
reason
> >that you are not on that service is that you were removed because of
your
> >rude and repugnant postings.
>
> I talked to Chris Jackson of AOL's TOS staff (former UH computer
center
> staff member); he's never heard of you nor has anything crossed his
desk
> concerning Charles losing his account.
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Thanks Jeff,it's nice to see someone in here with a
brain.<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> >As a stockholder of AOL I must compliment AOL for taking action
against
> >people who abuse the service.
>
> Yeah, I bought some AOL stock on E-trade, for an $8 commission. Guess
> that makes me a "stockholder," too.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
> Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3517. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86se0e$a4n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120425

In article <86ri3n$m3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
> mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
> >
> > > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up
> that
> > > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is
> such a
> > > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> > > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
> donnie's
> > > brother?hhhmmmm?
> > >
> > > the real pvtcueball u moron
> >
> > The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
> > header-forging morons. Unreal.
> >
> >
> PIG VOMIT
> WHY do you tell those lies? Oris Grim Never wrote any of that. I do
> beleive your trying to start trouble. What are you, stupid? Never
mind I
> all ready know the answer to that one. You make a ROCK look smart.
Don't
> they give you enough homework at that welfare college you go to. So
now
> your going to start up lies, then when you get smacked back your going
> to cry "OH THEY ARE PICKING ON ME AGAIN. What in the world did I ever
> do?" What a loser!Hey PIG VOMIT don't you have anything better to do?
> Does the FCC know you are trying to stir the shit stew again? Maybe
I'll
> tell them. How much radio equipment are you going to hock to pay that
> lawyer now? The FCC is coming to get you? Did You really lied under
> oath? You're such a slimeball. I bet you did! WHAT A LOSER! You are
> going to keep messing with Oris and that boy is going hurt you I think
> you better wake up and smell the coffee. Leave the boy alone. He
hasn't
> been in this newsgroup in quite some time. He isn't spoofing anyone.
Are

> you sure your not hackin' again to make people look like they are
> hackin'? Now I'm warning you leave Oris out of it. He isn't part of
> this. I'm telling you this for your own good.
> have a nice day
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> BE NICE, YOU COULD BE RELATIVES!<<<<<<<<<<<

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3518. >>>>>>>>>My Good Friend Doc.<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86seimakt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120426

Same old story Doc, say it long enough,and loud enough, and some will believe. It looks like Jeff, proved the liars to be what they are!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3519. Re: REPOST: Re: Suspect arrested in illegal tranmissions over police radio. Katz eats humble pie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3891B088.700BA7C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120427
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

No, the amateur radio community did not assist. They were not called
upon. It was strictly a CHP and FCC joint incident.

N9NWO wrote:
>
> Did the amateur radio community assist with his arrest?
> Could you give more details??
>
> Mitchell Enterprises <mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
> : This subject was arrested and booked by the California Highway Patrol
> : inconjunction with the FCC. This individual "WAS" broadcasting foul
> : language on CHP radio frequencies.
> :
> : --
> : Kenneth Allan Mitchell
> : Mitchell Enterprises
> : mailto:mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com
> : http://www.kenmitchell.com <--------In Progress
>
> ========= WAS CANCELLED BY =======:
> Path: ...!torn!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-ge.switch.ch!news1.sunrise.c
h!not-for-mail
> From: "N9NWO" <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
> Newsgroups: schule.test,kiel.test,owl.test,sat.test,mn.test,uk.test,at.test,de.test,alt.
law-enforcement
> Subject: cmsg cancel <PJkg4.2519$hV4.47006@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
> Control: cancel <PJkg4.2519$hV4.47006@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
> Date: 16 Jan 2000 22:21:17 GMT
> Organization: sunrise communications ag
> Lines: 1
> Message-ID: <PWlm2.8527$zC2.38028@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: mtrose.sapal.ch
> X-Trace: news1.sunrise.ch 948061283 1647 195.141.30.3 (16 Jan 2000 22:21:23 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: usenet@sunrise.ch
> NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2000 22:21:23 GMT

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:mitchenterprises@ix.netcom.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com <--------In Progress
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

3520. Re: 'there all' jerks on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3891CE41.B6BB95DE@nutcase.net>
Source record: 120428

Bob Sherin wrote:
>
> Well written, Gotcha. But my point wasn't to justify this guy's
> distasteful behavior. My point was rather, why must we characterize him
> as a "jerk," when we can accurately describe his conduct without
> attacking him with this opinion maquerading as fact.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida

3521. Re: CB'ers to take over 75M! 'their already there'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3891CE8E.12F7F030@nutcase.net>
Source record: 120429

Rick wrote:
>
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2000 11:35:22 -0800, Brook <wgifford@inreach.com>
> wrote:
>
> >All you old geezers on 75 meters better find a new place to hang out.
> >The CB'ers are a comin'! Better brush up on those 10 codes, good buddy!
> >
> >Thats a BIG TEN-4!
>
> What do you mean there comming? They have been there for over
> 5 years already! The only differnce between 11 and 80 meters is the
> guys on 80 are CBextras.
>
> Rick KC2ESD

3522. Re: >>>>>>>>>My Good Friend Doc.<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86sg2lbve1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120430

You should know abou telling lies Pork Butt, You lied about the E mail
you sent the FCC, then said it was a "mistake" I hate to tell you this
but , Jeffs comments are no prayer book either. In any event"Banana
Nose" is off AOL . Why don't he get back on to prove us
wrong "cancelled fatboy"?

Rileygotchu

In article <86seimakt1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> Same old story Doc, say it long enough,and loud enough, and some will
> believe. It looks like Jeff, proved the liars to be what they are!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3523. Re: CONGRATS BOB &LENNY (Dumb and Dummer) REMOVING AF2Y FRM AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <3891CF13.A1C1EA05@nutcase.net>
Source record: 120431

KC8JBO wrote:
>
> In article <86pq2i$cb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Nice work boys, now go after him on Mindspring. Notice how you have
> > made him a NICE Boy HO HO.
> >
> > --
> > gotchagoat 2000
> >
>
> It's time to get Polly off of Juno as well......
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3524. >>>>>>>Iris to kill Doc<<<<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86sguucji1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120432

You heard it right, murder to take place in Clifton! Doc lost her address book on Mindspring. He's already asked, if we would take him in for awhile,(he eats to much) What should I say, he might get me kicked off AOL?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3525. Re: see how nasty Suzy really lives!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86v2f3$52j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120133

```
In article <86ulkk$su8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <86tbld$1j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> > http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/
> > Christ look what I found. OH my god.
> > HAHAHAHA
> > A picture is worth a 1000 words.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Don't waste time looking at these fakes, take a look at 250 pound Suzy
> the pig, after she ate a bucket of lard and washed it down with
> cocaine!Hideous!
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Is that a crack pipe I see in the back ground, or a
dildo for an elephant? <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

3526. Re: Another "Petition for Partial Reconsideration" Surfaces, NCI Responds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <s960eahp1cf139@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3891FE48.E3E860AB@uci.edu...
> Produced from the NCI URL listed below:
>
> Directory Listing Denied
>
> This Virtual Directory does not allow contents to be listed.
>
> > http://www.nocode.org/articles
>
> Hey Carl, why not just type the exact URL into your post. I believe it
> is technologically possible.
>
> Thanks, ac6xg

Because I screwed up ... OK? :-)

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Executive Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOJMB3pe+N6+q84HiEQLRiwCeMUpjYI+2fuDgxJbfK20IIwYDOjQAn3fL
kbtc19Umv7V8KxNDRqet/kX5
=sH0I
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3527. Re: NEWSLINE..Crap Exposed..STAY TUNED <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86v2ue$5ev$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120135

In article <3891dfa5.895353@news.earthlink.net>,
rlw@msn.com wrote:
>
> Watch for a post shorty, explaining WHY NEWSLINE is always 'begging'
> for funds, and threatening to go away if you do not send money!!
> --
> (And especially watch the response by the Pasternak Brown-nosers and
> minions. <<---)
> --
> LEAVE BILL ALONE, SO WHAT IF HE HAS A GOOD SCAM GOING,IT"S NO WORST
THAN THE ARRL AND FCC! NOW THATS A SCAM.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3528. Re: More E-Bay Madness !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Gottlieb <peter@gottriggs.com>
Message-ID: <O31kqrma$GA.277@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 120136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

If you put in a good number of (what you think) are fair maximum bids, you do occassionally pick something up for a good price. If you absolutely MUST have some specific item, be prepared to shell out the BIG bucks...

I don't know if it's because people on eBay can't be bothered to check retail prices, or are too lazy to expend the effort required to shop around, or simply make it an ego thing to "win" but it really is pretty wild how high some prices do go.

Matt Erickson <kk5dr@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3892F643.E5458308@hotmail.com...
The buyers on E-bay are INSANE!

Chip wrote:
I've been looking for an inexpensive 2M mobile and was pricing the Kenwood TM-261A. I thought I'd check E-Bay for the heck of it to see what USED ones were going for.

This one went for $229.50

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=233291630

This one only made it to $182.50 but didn't meet the "Reserve" price:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236669111

Then I checked AES's _NEW_ price and this is a direct cut and paste:

KEN TM261A KENWOOD 50W 2M FM XCVR/TTP# 179.95

Save money - buy new !

3529. Re: See how Mr Chacker really lives *updated*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86v3k5$5s0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120137

In article <86tvpe$f09$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> The web page HAS BEEN UPDATED!!
> More photos as they come in Please tell your children to say no the
> drugs. Let them see how people who do drugs really live.
> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
> Show this to everyone you know! Don't let this be YOUR children!
> Educate everyone!
> JUST SAY NO TO DRUGS
> Covert62
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Don't know if this is the work of Oris, but it sure
is funny. A must see for everyone.<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3530. Re: Manuals and Tubes for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <86v3sqhs1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net> wrote in message
news:86v3k7vvr1@ruby.mint.net...
> I have photocopies of alot of manuals for Hallicrafters, Heathkit,
> Hammarlund and others.
> Also have thousands of tubes for sale. E-Mail me with your wants to:
> gbrown@mint.net
> Thank you
> Gary
>
>

3531. Re: see how nasty Suzy really lives!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86v475$696$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120139

rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <86v2f3$52j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <86ulkk$su8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
>> In article <86tbld$1j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>> covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
>> > http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/
>> > Christ look what I found. OH my god.
>> > HAHAHAHA
>> > A picture is worth a 1000 words.
>> >
>> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> > Before you buy.
>> >
>>
>> Don't waste time looking at these fakes, take a look at 250 pound
Suzy
>> the pig, after she ate a bucket of lard and washed it down with
>> cocaine!Hideous!
>> >>>>>>>>>>>> Is that a crack pipe I see in the back ground, or a
>dildo for an elephant? <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Oh, I didn't know Donna was into those.....sexual aids...not that it's
any big surprise, since the Clara Peller lookalike is always looking
for the beef.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3532. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <%aEk4.2627$Hz5.58271@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Finally, someone out there figured out that in order to keep the amateur service it has to have a political critical mass. The politicians count votes when it comes to spectrum, if you want space on the usable portions of the spectrum we had best bring in plenty of young people. If code has to go to accomplish that, then good riddance to code. Personally, I copy about 13 wpm, well worth the effort...today I can copy the identifier on repeaters and an occasional aurora contact on six. Hardly worth the effort.

If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the copy RTTY manually.

Sam, K2LDK
Matt Erickson <kk5dr@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3892F4C3.D8520E2A@hotmail.com...
> In all things, Life, Business, hobbies, etc, You MUST learn and GROW, or
> DIE!
> If restructuring is what it takes to keep my hobby "ALIVE", then so be it!
> I'll be an extra soon too.
> It's the law now, "DEAL WITH IT!"
> If you can't handle it, GET OUT!
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> > Ken Jobling wrote:
> > >
> > > No. Surely by getting on air at 20 WPM plus, you do not need the
> > > callsign and piece of paper as proof. Unless, of course, the callsign
> > > and piece of paper is just a status thing.
> > >
> > For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever)
> > light up on HF.
> > >
> > Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
> > entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
> > something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
> > years ago.
> > >
> > I got my Novice ticket nearly 20 years ago, and upgraded immediately to
> > Technician (thus "Tech+") by taking the General written exam. If I had
> > ever been willing to take the time to get my code speed up, I would have
> > been a General (and I am looking forward to the change). I will not
> > likely go to Extra because an Extra can't do anything that I want to do
> > which I can't do as a General.
> > >

> > While a lot of the Extras are whining about this change, I think that
> > given 5 years they will see that it was the only way to SAVE ham radio.
> > The artificial barrier imposed by "Titanic Mode" skills have kept
> > MILLIONS of kids from getting ham licenses over the decades since large
> > chunks of the General allocation were sliced off to create Advanced and
> > Extra in the "Incentive Licensing" debacle.
> >
> > Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new
> > hams onto the air in the next decade, bring back school ham clubs, and
> > pull ham radio's foot back out of the grave.
>

3533. <<<<<<<<<<<<Jimmy's Dig's>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86v9al$9u5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120141

Holy shit! I've had better accomadations in a sleeeping bag, camping out. I know Pigs, that wouldn't harbor that sty!Hope she can find the dildo, in all the debris!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3534. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86va20$ac8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120142

In article <20000127011158.26290.00000416@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:
> Roger is a 45 year old gay man living with his mother in small shack
in a small
> town just outside Wheeling west Virginia.
> Roger, who is unemployed, is studying to be an electronics expert.
> Funny---45 years old and he hasn't figured out what he wants to do
with his
> pathetic life except insult people. Gee Rog---45 and you are back at
school
> using government money to re-educate yourself because you chose a path
that
> lead no-where. . And you're just a General class operator? Some
electronics
> expert. Can't pass the advanced or extra class tests......What a
> loser....45--unemployed....gay.living at home with mommy...back at
school....a
> wannabee Kung foo fool.....and was caught jamming 14.313 by none other
than
> Riley Hollingsworth himself. Can you say LOOOOSER!!!!!!!!!!
> Roger drives a pathetically small chevy pickup truck---an S-10
that is just
> big enough to handle this obese freak.
> As Roger so aptly points out---his fantasies are to have men
think of him
> while masturbating......a very sick wacked out sub human terd this
Roger is.
> He wants to get into the Guinness world book of records for the most
postings
> by a retarded mongoloid and is well on his way to set that record.
Rogers only
> claim to fame is that he thinks he's a bad ass and wants the world to
know it.
> Funny thing is, he's a coward---hides behind his curtains and his
mommies
> dress. Typical of a bully who is nothing more than an unemployed smart
> ass.....LOOOSER!!!!!!!!
> Here's a summary of rogers life---------
> He was Born Roger L. Wiseman---in September of 1955 into a family that
was not
> the best. Rogers Father left them when he was around 6 years
old......something
> about Roger being a silly little fudgepacking geek who will amount to
> nothing...his dad was right.

> Rogers mom was a kind soul.....always looking out for old
Roger......you
> see Roger was an odd child. His head was deformed from having stuck
into places
> it should not have been stuck. Roger always came home smelling like a
toilet.
> Flies were always in Rogers hair......something was not right with
this child
> and rogers mom knew it. Rogers nick name as a child was "GERBIL".
> As a teen...Roger was always asking people....."Hey--do you think
of me
> when you masturbate?" Roger was hit repeatedly when asking this
question and
> Roger vowed to be able to defend himself if ever attacked again.....He
> continually kept muttering .."Those damn HOMOPHOBES." So he took up
Karate. His
> Karate teacher nicknamed him Gerbil.
> In his high school years, Roger was involved in the school
band.....he
> was into the wind instruments.....and this helped him later in
life.
> Roger made many freinds with his abilities to play certain wind
> instruments.....all the while thinking----"hey will this guy
remember me
> when he mastrubates? "
> Roger thought allot about masturbating and he loves the idea to
this day.
>
> So there you have it...HO HO! Roger Wiseman---the man who thinks men
are
> after him.
>
> I can flame with the best------and Roger---you loser------can't.
>
> N8WGM
>

Just heard the piece of trash on twenty meters making rude comments about a ham's daughter that passed. This idiot recently got nailed by the FCC for the SECOND time. I wonder what this loser will do when his mother dies? I'll guess he will inherit the shotgun shack. At least Roger is a fruit, and cannot copulate with a female. Luckily his idiotic DNA will not be passed on.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3535. Re: Could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <8YFk4.10000$_G1.187970@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120143
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote

> John, a solid example of your harassing ME, and stalking ME

Stop posting your harassing messages of me Blake & it will all stop.
It's that simple Blake. YOUR actions are the lead factor in the
cause/effect scene!

> Why do you continue to crosspost your message to numerous
> newsgroups,

Because YOU have continued to cross-post & cause more troubles. When
TYOU stop trying to move your harassing messages to different newsgroups
then I will stop crossposting. YOUR actions are what will dictate the
results, Blake!

> Why do you continue to impersonate an FCC official?

I have never impersonated an FCC official

> Why do you continue to starve for respect, so much that
> you lie about your qualifications, training, and employment?

I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

3536. Re: PARG PIO welcomed on newsserver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <JZFk4.10007$_G1.187974@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wrong, again Glenn.

Their news server is simply not consistant in the messages it holds, a
common
problem with many news servers.

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> More proof that nobody likes you Toilet-Boy.
>
> Now you are not only hated by your peers but now you are being banned from
> news servers.

3537. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
Message-ID: <16a69s4e1g0td3d5na7ork9art8sb820tl@4ax.com>
Source record: 120145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 16:03:07 GMT, "Samuel Goldstein"
<sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:

>Finally, someone out there figured out that in order to keep the amateur
>service it has to have a political critical mass. The politicians count
>votes when it comes to spectrum, if you want space on the usable portions of
>the spectrum we had best bring in plenty of young people. If code has to go
>to accomplish that, then good riddance to code. Personally, I copy about 13
>wpm, well worth the effort...today I can copy the identifier on repeaters
>and an occasional aurora contact on six. Hardly worth the effort.
>
>If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the copy
>RTTY manually.
>

You mean ther is a machine that will copy RTTY??? Now you tell me...

3538. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com>
Message-ID: <86vaca$23q6$1@dolf.netfront.net>
Source record: 120146
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

EEEERM, when where "callsigns" first used on Internet?

Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
Complaints to news@netfront.net

3539. Re: Bowers vs. Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <p3Gk4.10030$_G1.187950@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Well said, very well said!!

<williarsch@nandomail.com> wrote
> Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:
SNIP
> Silly Blake games. You need this feud, don't you? Your life must be
> meaningless without it. Of all the Cheek-A-Likes, you will be the last
> one to let it go.

3540. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlisso@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3893332c1wyvffba$mr2ice@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>>Finally, someone out there figured out that in order to keep the amateur
>>service it has to have a political critical mass....
>>
>>If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the copy
>>RTTY manually.
>>

>You mean there is a machine that will copy RTTY??? Now you tell me...

I have heard rumors of something called "pencil and paper" that the tech+
folks use to copy CW. What will they think of next????

3541. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3893345A.6F94ED10@bctv.com>
Source record: 120149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hap wrote:
>
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 16:03:07 GMT, "Samuel Goldstein"
> <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >Finally, someone out there figured out that in order to keep the amateur
> >service it has to have a political critical mass. The politicians count
> >votes when it comes to spectrum, if you want space on the usable portions of
> >the spectrum we had best bring in plenty of young people. If code has to go
> >to accomplish that, then good riddance to code. Personally, I copy about 13
> >wpm, well worth the effort...today I can copy the identifier on repeaters
> >and an occasional aurora contact on six. Hardly worth the effort.
> >
> >If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the copy
> >RTTY manually.
> >
>
> You mean ther is a machine that will copy RTTY??? Now you tell me...

They have machines which will punch that paper tape, too, so you can
stop using that pencil.

3542. Re: More E-Bay Madness !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3893332D.32302D28@bctv.com>
Source record: 120150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Peter Gottlieb wrote:
>
> If you put in a good number of (what you think) are fair maximum bids, you
> do occassionally pick something up for a good price. If you absolutely MUST
> have some specific item, be prepared to shell out the BIG bucks...

Yep. But usually if you are smart you can avoid that problem.

> I don't know if it's because people on eBay can't be bothered to check
> retail prices, or are too lazy to expend the effort required to shop around,
> or simply make it an ego thing to "win" but it really is pretty wild how
> high some prices do go.

You see that in live auctions, too.

3543. Re: <<<<<<<<<<<<Jimmy's Dig's>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86vj9sgop1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120151

rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <86v9al$9u5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Holy shit! I've had better accomadations in a sleeeping bag, camping
>out. I know Pigs, that wouldn't harbor that sty!Hope she can find the
>dildo, in all the debris!

It's said that the home's owners reflect their personalities. I wonder what that shithole in Hiram says about it's owners? I bet the roaches have a feast every night. I can just imagine what the Center for Disease Control would think about the delapidated cesspool on Hiram-Sudie Road in Hiram..occupied by the two HOGS. I hereby nominate teh song off the Beatles white album "Piggies" as the theme song for the web page. What's his family crest say? "The right hand is stronger" or something like that. It's probably true, from O' brien's constant lifting of a bottle of alcohol and spanking his monkey.
I can see that O' Brainless' drug and alcohol abuse has gotten worse. In those pictures he's so wasted he can barely keep those piglet eyes open. Two "honorable discharges" Bwhahahahahahahaha..,too bad the total time in service is less than a full term on either.

Poor Jimmy, filth living in squalor and filth.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3544. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86vjgn$gr7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120152

FATASS broken-down druggie and booze head
suzy_the_pigvomit@my-deja.com wrote in message <86va20$ac8
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Just heard the piece of trash on twenty meters making rude comments
>about a ham's daughter that passed.

Really? I haven't keyed my mike today, FATBOY. Been too busy, if you know what I mean. Oh, well, there goes your "he's gay theory." Hey, maybe your OLD LADY will get some trucker to do what you can't. Of course, she, like you would be a "charity case" sexwise, so you deserve each other.
Even Riley was surprised when you complained on the air to him. You know the day...the day you deliberately QRMed an ongoing QSO of two spanish speaking stations. Don't worry I documented the whole thing and submitted it to Riley.

>This idiot recently got nailed by the FCC for the SECOND time.

I was? When? Where? I haven't heard a damn thing about it. I think you should switch back to heroin, FATASS O' Brainless. I think you're engaging your fat, rottent toothed, bucktoothed mouth before engaging your brain stem again.

>I wonder what this loser will do when his
>mother dies?

I'll live and not worry about money. I don't need Mommy's money since I have my own. Poor baby, you can't make that claim, can you?
Now, use your "investigative skills" to find out. You'll fuck it up like you did with the claim I worked for GMAC in Wheeling....
Bwhahahahahaha
You dumb fuck. I have NEVER worked for GMAC. You didn't get me fired, and you DID make a bigger ass out of yourself than usual with your ludicrous claims. Now, watch it, your sickly parrot is leaving your lunch on your shoulder.

I'll guess he will inherit the shotgun shack. At least
>Roger is a fruit, and cannot copulate with a female. Luckily his
idiotic
>DNA will not be passed on.

Suuuuuuuuuuure I can't. Prove I don't have kids. Prove it, you fatassed, living in filth dung beetle. A braindead moron like you luckily doesn't have the right equipment to sire any kids of the human species. I don't have that problem, but you always had to BEG to get laid, didn't you PIGGIE?

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3545. Re: More E-Bay Madness !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: w2upX@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <389340d8.24062722@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

It all depends what you're looking for.
A new HAL ST-8000 RTTY modem goes for $4000. A brand new one went for
$800 and a used one went for about $400 recently on Ebay.
Know your prices, be a smart shopper and you won't get burned. Worst
that happens is you don't "win" the auction.
Barry W2UP

On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 10:15:01 -0500, "Peter Gottlieb"
<peter@gottriggs.com> wrote:

>If you put in a good number of (what you think) are fair maximum bids, you
>do occassionally pick something up for a good price. If you absolutely MUST
>have some specific item, be prepared to shell out the BIG bucks...
>
>I don't know if it's because people on eBay can't be bothered to check
>retail prices, or are too lazy to expend the effort required to shop around,
>or simply make it an ego thing to "win" but it really is pretty wild how
>high some prices do go.
>
>
>
>Matt Erickson <kk5dr@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:3892F643.E5458308@hotmail.com...
>The buyers on E-bay are INSANE!
>
>Chip wrote:
>I've been looking for an inexpensive 2M mobile and was pricing the
>Kenwood TM-261A. I thought I'd check E-Bay for the heck of it to see
>what USED ones were going for.
>
>This one went for $229.50
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=233291630
>
>This one only made it to $182.50 but didn't meet the "Reserve" price:
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236669111
>
>Then I checked AES's _NEW_ price and this is a direct cut and paste:
>
>KEN TM261A KENWOOD 50W 2M FM XCVR/TTP# 179.95
>
>Save money - buy new !
>

>

--
Note - Remove the X from my e-mail address for direct replies

3546. Re: "NOMAS" AOL to AF2Y. XYL-IRIS- IRATE SAY "HE CRAZY"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86vkde$eg8$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120154

Question "rileygotchu." Jeff Herman ascertained yesterday that yours truly was never kicked off AOL but I'm not here to convince you of anything since you're not man enough to give your name or callsign. I am curious why you keep saying that Youngblood and Schaier had anything to do with anything and perchance what influence does each have with that wonderful organization? I truly am curious.
AF2Y

In article <86r5ec$do6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:

>Its "NOMAS" time on AOL for the Clifton libeler, AF2Y. His XYL Says "HE
>CRazy"!! (Banana nose was cut loose by AOL for his libelious posting)!!
>
>His XYL IRIS was reported to have blown a fuse when she tried to log on
>AOL and got a rejection window. Sorry Iris your chosen husband should
>clean up his act. ISPs like AOL do not take kindly to the antics he has
>indulged in lately. You need to keep a short leash on that keyboard
>"DOG" and teach him to be house broken .
>
>A big thanks to Lenny & Bob for taking care of AF2Ys nasty posting
>habits due to your influence at AOL!!
>
>Rileygotchu
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3547. W4AMP photos for all to see...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peaeyes <Peaeyes@dirty.net>
Message-ID: <7qIk4.1309$38.56668@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 120155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/

3548. You're missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <86vk53$7cb$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120156

For the hundreds of thousands who have been sending e-mails, telegrams and letters asking of my whereabouts on 14.313 and this "bored," I thank you but I've been very busy making bread on the Internet and the last place I'd want to waste my valuable time would be here or on 14.313. I just bought a $48,000 Jaguar and I need another 2.5K to seal the deal in cash therefore I've been putting in a lot of time working. In other words I'm looking for an L2K(loot two thousand). I'll try to return here with some wit, wisdom and sassy frassy plus satirical humor which most of you don't understand to begin with so why waste my time? See you on down the logbook as the oldtimers say.
AF2Y

3549. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10001291610030.16811-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 120157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> I have heard rumors of something called "pencil and paper" that the tech+
> folks use to copy CW. What will they think of next????

Chalk crayons and slate tableaus, with the option of sticks and clay
tables...

3550. Internet Accessible AR-Cluster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nfivein@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86vq8i$le2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120158

You are welcome to connect to the internet accessible AR-Cluster at
KC0DJO in Duluth Minnesota.

The IP address is 209.32.226.63

At your first check in you will be asked for your Call, Name and QTH.
Please enter your QTH in the form: Chicago IL or Oslo Norway so that we
will know where all our new friends are from! You will also be
reminded to SET/LOCATION, that's optional but recommended. If you set
your location, then the AR-Cluster will calculate beam headings for you
to various prefixes. For HELP on these commands you may type

HELP SET/NAME
HELP SET/QTH
HELP SET/LOCATION

To see what you have entered type: SHOW/STATION your_call

You can correct any errors using the SET commands.

For more information on AR-Cluster including a Logon Tutorial and
Command List go to this website:

http://www.cestro.com/pcluster/index.shtml

Ideally you should use your logging program to make your telnet
connection. Its a huge advantage as then your logging program will
monitor the dx spots and alert you to contacts you need.

However, here are very simple instructions for using software already a
part of Win95/98:

First connect to your ISP as you normally do when using the internet.
Click Start then click Run.
In the box enter "telnet 209.32.226.63" (without the quotes of course).
Now click OK.

You should now be connected to the KC0DJO AR-Cluster.

Enter your call. If what you type does not display, then you will have
to turn on local echo. In the Telnet window click on Terminal /
Preferences.. and check Local Echo and then click on OK.

Now proceed as earlier described to sign on.

Try some common commands as:

SH/DX
SH/C

HELP

Welcome to the KC0DJO AR-Cluster.....and 73 de Ron N5IN

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3551. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86vpp6$ee9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <38926E4B.7C4E930E@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Considering the sheer number of "appliance operator" Extras, it would be
>amusing to see if anyone would pass a couple of questions on soldering
>iron use . . .

Most you you folks, regardless of license class, are appliance operators.
There are very few of us left who build and operate our own gear on the
air.

73, Jeff KH6O
Four bedroom home for sale in Minden NV, close to Lake Tahoe, south of
Carson City. http://realestate.classifieds.yahoo.com/nevada/realestate --
enter town name: "Minden" then choose category "Resale homes by owner."

3552. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86vqud$f8l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Follow-ups set to just .misc

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
>For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever)
>light up on HF.

You took a poll? You didn't ask me, nor did you ask any Hawaii Extras,
so your conclusion is invalid.

>Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
>entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
>something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
>years ago.

That's like saying a marathon winner only ran fast one time in his life.
Unlikely.

>Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new
>hams onto the air in the next decade,

Millions? Yikes! There's already a code-free license for V/UHF which
didn't draw millions, so you must believe that with the creation of
a slow-code HF ticket, millions will flock to HF.

Let's crunch some numbers: Our M/HF spectrum consists of 3.75 MHz,
with say, only half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for communication at
any one particular time of the day. If suddenly, as if by magic,
all current licensees were granted M/HF privileges, we could possibly
have 670,000 have attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay, I'll grant
you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any one time,
we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two per QSO.
That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz. =:o

Okay, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time,
with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time: Now
each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.

Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Suppose
we could manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency;
each such grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.

That's with our current 670 kilohams. And you desire "millions"?

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula applied to your prints.

3553. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86vrfn$oc8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120161
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Follow-up set to just misc

In rec.radio.cb (and 7 other NGs) Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:

>When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was incapable
>of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W gun
>that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
>the heck out of the connector!

Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
of yours.

73, Jeff KH6O
Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

3554. Re: Ham HF bands will be free-4-all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38936b24.5721943@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I guess as some would say, "Intruiging".

On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 11:10:03 GMT, "Kisshme Assh" <dtolassi@nebell.com>
wrote:

>
>kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
>news:389227a4.11755760@news.thegrid.net...
>> Poor Donny Bertoney, Jack Mullen not around to pick on any more?
>> Where is UUM? He leave you because his Black Girlfriend tosses his
>> salad better
>
>He sleeps with a black woman every nite....
>She tastes like a cookie and she's oh-soooo-tight....
>But he can't help it cause he's very small...
>She can't even tell when he's in-her hole....
>
>
>

The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3555. Re: Could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86tferlid1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Guilty John!!! <jmackey@parg.NOSPAMorg> wrote:
>Why is it that you always exhibit the attention seeking behavior
>that always causes so much trouble?

Why are you bringing a .scanner thread over to the amateur NGs, John?
But since you're here, tell us in what manner you're abiding by the
Amateur Radio Service's charter, as mandated by the FCC:

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
principles:
(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
respect to providing emergency communications.
(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute
to the advancement of the radio art.
(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which
provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases
of the art.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of
trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
international goodwill.

Tell us about your lastest project. (You do have a soldering iron, right?)
What have you built and operated on the air?

73, Jeff KH6O
Private sailing lessons out of the Ala Wai Harbor. Email for appointment.

3556. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38937749.8FC80B80@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120164
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
> of yours.

How would you like evidence that an un-named extra didn't know
what common-mode currents are and accused the ham engineers of
a *world-wide* conspiracy to keep the truth hidden? :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3557. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86vs7k$3b0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120165

Follow-ups set to just .misc

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Samuel Goldstein sjgold@optonline.net wrote:

>Finally, someone out there figured out that in order to keep the amateur
>service it has to have a political critical mass. The politicians count
>votes when it comes to spectrum, if you want space on the usable portions of
>the spectrum we had best bring in plenty of young people. If code has to go
>to accomplish that, then good riddance to code.

Hi Sam,
What HF spectrum are we in danger of losing that would warrant lowering
the code speed? (I assume you must be referring to HF, for we've had a
code-free V/UHF license available for almost a decade now.) As we speak,
proposals stand which would award us more HF spectrum with our current
numbers.

>Personally, I copy about 13 wpm, well worth the effort.

Glad to hear you felt it was worth the effort!

73, Jeff KH6O
Private sailing lessons out of the Ala Wai Harbor. Email for appointment.

3558. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dm <dmandjos@olypen.com>
Message-ID: <Z1Mk4.61268$475.1542557@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 120166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I "(THOUGHT)" this is where I can find some great gear.....'Looks like I better stick to QST Ads. Too many guys unloading empty guns here. Or, we can talk about it "on-air", if you can 'chew at 25 to 30??? 73,
I guess, "DM"

3559. wanted: radio schack weather station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kable guy minn <n0mnb@minn.net>
Message-ID: <87050v$qo1$1@newsfeed.minn.net>
Source record: 120167

hello
looking for a working used weather station newer model pc compatable..

n0mnb@minn.net

3560. FT-2600 Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Wolfe <jwolfe@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3893bab8_1@news.gci.net>
Source record: 120168

Does anyone have info on the MARS/CAP modification for the Yaesu FT-2600 VHF 60W mobile transceiver?

73,
John/AA0NN
Wasilla, Alaska

3561. Re: NEWSLINE..Crap Exposed..STAY TUNED <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: sherinsmiami@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15111-3893BEB7-50@storefull-247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120169

Would you be so kind as to identify the "minoins and Brown-nosers." Or is your post a sly way of anticipating and defeating all NEWSLINE support?

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3562. Re: what are the CW segments for each band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3893CEDD.15F9D11B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120170

CW is permitted on ALL allocated amateur frequencys.

Tim ka8ddz

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> ??
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

3563. Re: NEED ICOM 706 SERVICE MANUAL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3893CF55.C1C48424@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120171

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> I NEED A SERVICE MANUAL - ANYONE HAVE ONE?

har har har, now THAT'S FUNNY, Lloyd with a SERVICE manual.

ddz

3564. Re: Could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86vsfp$3k5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Mackey jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

"I own the Portland 147.435 repeater!"

=:o

Jeff KH6O
For sale: 500 kHz marine band MCW transmitter.

3565. see how Mr_Chacker really lives!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86tbld$1j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120185

http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/
Christ look what I found. OH my god.
HAHAHAHA
A picture is worth a 1000 words.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3566. Re: CONGRATS BOB &LENNY REMOVING AF2Y FRM AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86teqr$3m3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120186

I don't know what your friend "POLLY" whoever would say. but I have a bettr way you can show us . Get back on AOL!! You won't do that "Banana Nosed One" because Bob & Lenny put you out of business because of your stupid childish behavior. Now Iris is saying "Doc He Crazy". He all mixup in head" chop chop no tickee no washee.

She right!!HO HO . Velly sorry. "Doc not home"!!

Rileygotchu

In article <86qvdg$tk8$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Apparently misguided ignorant Polly thinks that the yokels Bobby and Lenny
> have incanny abilities to persuade a major company to can employees or
> terminate subscribers' accounts ad nauseum. Here's my challenge. I dare
> either KT4TR or K2IVX to prove that my account with AOL was terminated or
> that they had anything to do with alleged termination. Go ahead, make my
> day.
> AF2Y
> ----------
> In article <86pq2i$cb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Nice work boys, now go after him on Mindspring. Notice how you have
> >made him a NICE Boy HO HO.
> >
> >--
> >gotchagoat 2000
> >
> >President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
> >amateur radio personality club in the world!!
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3567. Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bogart <b_o_g_a_r_t@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86tglm$4t2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120187

Hello,

Just received my passing score as a no-code technician, and am awaiting my license from the FCC. The other week I overheard some folks talking on the radio and they kept saying things like "two meter" and "ten meters", etc when talking about their radios. I only have a cheap Kenwood that I change channels with three wheels that kind of look like the odometer in my car. So, I know my radio is too cheap to have any meters on it, but what could the second meter be for, or for crying out loud, why would anyone want a radio with TEN meters on it? What are they all for? A neighbor that has moved away used to be a HAM, and one day he was showing off all of his equipment and what he likes to do with it. I remeber when he was harping on his watts and srw's or swr's, and he was showing me on this separate meter that he kept switching a switch to show me reflections or something. His meter would show more than one thing, so why would a radio need two meters or TEN! meters? Why can't I just buy outside meters like he had and keep my radio cost down? I am sure a big, expensive radio that has many meters wouldn't make sense for me. I am not looking to buy anything soon, but would like to be more ready for when I can.

Thanks for helping a new HAM!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3568. Re: Contribute suggested questions to the Amateur tests

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3891d693.12129915@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 120188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 22:48:18 -0600, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>I thought that the Novice/Tech and Advanced/Extra tests were going to be
>combined with many fewer questions than with the double tests now
>required...

That is true for the actual test itself...BUT
there is no limit on the number of questions
in the test pool itself.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3569. Re: see how Mr_Chacker really lives!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86tmp3$914$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120189

covert62@my-deja.com wrote in message <86tbld$1j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/
> Christ look what I found. OH my god.
> HAHAHAHA
>A picture is worth a 1000 words.

ROFLMAO!!!!!!! EXTREMELY FUNNY site!
Can you say "White trash????????"
LOL!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3570. More E-Bay Madness !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38933f33.3749734@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

I've been looking for an inexpensive 2M mobile and was pricing the
Kenwood TM-261A. I thought I'd check E-Bay for the heck of it to see
what USED ones were going for.

This one went for $229.50

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=233291630

This one only made it to $182.50 but didn't meet the "Reserve" price:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236669111

Then I checked AES's _NEW_ price and this is a direct cut and paste:

KEN TM261A KENWOOD 50W 2M FM XCVR/TTP# 179.95

Save money - buy new !

3571. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <86tgmf$g7v@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 120191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
: > Long ago the "Editors and Engineers" {Bill Orr, W6SAI}
: >published "The Radio Handbook" which IMHO was better than the ARRL
: >Handbook in some respects. Its construction articles produced better
: >ham gear for example.

: So you built the gear out of both manuals and conducted side-by-side
: tests?

Did you ever try to get the ARRL 1Kw linear that was in a 10" x 10" alum box
and had the plate RFC parallel and close to the tank coil to work? ;-))

Somewhere above 850 watts the RFC explodes!!!

: For years, the League's Handbook was the greatest selling technical
: publication in the country. That accomplishment was not due to just
: amateurs. Heck, the military thought so highly of it that it was
: used as a radio/electronics training manual.

The first 5 or so chapters have and -are- excelent "basic training" for
anyone interested in radio electronics.

But it wasn't the *only* publication intended for amateurs. That was the
original premise.

: 73, Jeff KH6O
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

3572. Re: NEWSLINE..Crap Exposed..STAY TUNED <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86tgi7mdd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120192

On Fri, 28 Jan 2000 18:28:31 GMT, rlw@msn.com wrote:
> Watch for a post shorty, explaining WHY NEWSLINE is always 'begging'
>for funds, and threatening to go away if you do not send money!!

It seems a bit hypocritical that you read the Newsline posts, then complain about asking to kick in a few cents to help support what you've just read. I imagine you're the type that will grab a newspaper out of a rack that someone else has opened with their quarter.

73, Jeff KH6O
Four bedroom home for sale in Minden NV, close to Lake Tahoe, south of Carson City. http://realestate.classifieds.yahoo.com/nevada/realestate -- enter town name: "Minden" then choose category "Resale homes by owner."

3573. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <3892424b.18579915@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120193

Another TROLL!!!!!

On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 01:44:22 GMT, bogart <b_o_g_a_r_t@my-deja.com>
wrote:

>Hello,
>
>Just received my passing score as a no-code technician, and am awaiting
>my license from the FCC. The other week I overheard some folks talking
>on the radio and they kept saying things like "two meter" and "ten
>meters", etc when talking about their radios. I only have a cheap
>Kenwood that I change channels with three wheels that kind of look like
>the odometer in my car. So, I know my radio is too cheap to have any
>meters on it, but what could the second meter be for, or for crying out
>loud, why would anyone want a radio with TEN meters on it? What are they
>all for? A neighbor that has moved away used to be a HAM, and one day he
>was showing off all of his equipment and what he likes to do with it. I
>remeber when he was harping on his watts and srw's or swr's, and he was
>showing me on this separate meter that he kept switching a switch to
>show me reflections or something. His meter would show more than one
>thing, so why would a radio need two meters or TEN! meters? Why can't I
>just buy outside meters like he had and keep my radio cost down? I am
>sure a big, expensive radio that has many meters wouldn't make sense for
>me. I am not looking to buy anything soon, but would like to be more
>ready for when I can.
>
>Thanks for helping a new HAM!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3574. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Jones <bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ZLdG1JA2xkk4Ewbs@worcester.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 120194
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Any message sent under the callsign of G8ASO is a forgery. Especially
those sent in support of the LPWS. At no time would I give any
support
to the activities of that organisation or any member of that body.

All messages which I send on this mode are only sent through the
Demon mail servers. At no time have I included my callsign in the
address line.

Brian
- --
Brian Jones Worcester, United Kingdom
Amateur radio G8ASO @ G8ASO.GB7GLO.#46.GBR.EU
mailto: bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP Personal Privacy 6.5.1 Int.

iQA/AwUBOJJMXGWnXR8akLllEQIdJgCdGbtYO3NbK6IIm/cyFUJU8j5DomgAoJv1
XjqJiCYEqsqZG8Pr0jlCdB0y
=NyCa
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3575. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com>
Message-ID: <86tlq4$mf2$1@dolf.netfront.net>
Source record: 120195
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

Just how many Brian Jones's are there out there anyway?

There are at least 3 separate G8ASO's posting here!

Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
Complaints to news@netfront.net

3576. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Schlatter <w4hh@3wave.com>
Message-ID: <Eatk4.2644$ox5.659457@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 120196

Troll, yes, but at least he is inventive.

--

Regards,
Joe Schlatter
joe@schlatter.org
http://www.schlatter.org/
http://www.miafacts.org/
Amateur Radio Extra Class call sign W4HH

kf6foz wrote in message <3892424b.18579915@news.thegrid.net>...
>Another TROLL!!!!!
>
>On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 01:44:22 GMT, bogart <b_o_g_a_r_t@my-deja.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Hello,
>>
>>Just received my passing score as a no-code technician, and am awaiting
>>my license from the FCC. The other week I overheard some folks talking
>>on the radio and they kept saying things like "two meter" and "ten
>>meters", etc when talking about their radios. I only have a cheap
>>Kenwood that I change channels with three wheels that kind of look like
>>the odometer in my car. So, I know my radio is too cheap to have any
>>meters on it, but what could the second meter be for, or for crying out
>>loud, why would anyone want a radio with TEN meters on it? What are they
>>all for? A neighbor that has moved away used to be a HAM, and one day he
>>was showing off all of his equipment and what he likes to do with it. I
>>remeber when he was harping on his watts and srw's or swr's, and he was
>>showing me on this separate meter that he kept switching a switch to
>>show me reflections or something. His meter would show more than one
>>thing, so why would a radio need two meters or TEN! meters? Why can't I
>>just buy outside meters like he had and keep my radio cost down? I am
>>sure a big, expensive radio that has many meters wouldn't make sense for
>>me. I am not looking to buy anything soon, but would like to be more
>>ready for when I can.
>>
>>Thanks for helping a new HAM!
>>
>>
>>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>>Before you buy.
>
>The kf6foz BBS

>http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3577. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <86tpo5srd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120197

bogart <b_o_g_a_r_t@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Just received my passing score as a no-code technician, and am awaiting
>my license from the FCC.

Congratulations!

>The other week I overheard some folks talking
>on the radio and they kept saying things like "two meter" and "ten
>meters", etc when talking about their radios.

They're referring to wavelengths; 2 meters is the approximate wave-
length of frequencies between 144 and 148 megahertz (MHz); the formula
is: wavelength = 300 / f, where f is the frequency in megahertz. A
"meter" as a metric measure of length of about 39.37 inches; it's not
related to meters used in measuring devices.

> I only have a cheap
>Kenwood that I change channels with three wheels that kind of look like
>the odometer in my car.

Don't be so quick to disregard your radio. I too have a hand-held VHF
radio with thumb-wheel switches like yours. With both It's non-electronic
frequency display and manually entered frequency switches means less
battery drain. If it sounds good on transmit and receive, that's all
you really need for now.

Feel free to email me if you have ANY questions at all.

73, Jeff KH6O
Wanted: Rotating light beacon for the Ala Wai Harbor lighthouse project.

3578. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38926E4B.7C4E930E@bctv.com>
Source record: 120198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Phil Wheeler wrote:
>
> W5TIT/Kim wrote:
> >
> > Not to start a war, here...I am *just* making the observation that the
> > tests may change AND be a bit harder (laughable, I know) after the April 15
> > date.
> >
>
> Sure is possible, since they may cover newer technology. Plus I think
> the new one is longer (50 vs. 40 questions?).

Considering the sheer number of "appliance operator" Extras, it would be amusing to see if anyone would pass a couple of questions on soldering iron use . . .

3579. Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389271FD.93CB5DC1@bctv.com>
Source record: 120199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ken Jobling wrote:
>
> No. Surely by getting on air at 20 WPM plus, you do not need the
> callsign and piece of paper as proof. Unless, of course, the callsign
> and piece of paper is just a status thing.

For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever) light up on HF.

Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion, years ago.

I got my Novice ticket nearly 20 years ago, and upgraded immediately to Technician (thus "Tech+") by taking the General written exam. If I had ever been willing to take the time to get my code speed up, I would have been a General (and I am looking forward to the change). I will not likely go to Extra because an Extra can't do anything that I want to do which I can't do as a General.

While a lot of the Extras are whining about this change, I think that given 5 years they will see that it was the only way to SAVE ham radio. The artificial barrier imposed by "Titanic Mode" skills have kept MILLIONS of kids from getting ham licenses over the decades since large chunks of the General allocation were sliced off to create Advanced and Extra in the "Incentive Licensing" debacle.

Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new hams onto the air in the next decade, bring back school ham clubs, and pull ham radio's foot back out of the grave.

3580. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38927314.5137D3AB@bctv.com>
Source record: 120200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Henry Knouse wrote:
>
> dinod@deltanet.com (Dino) wrote:
>
> >
> >Keep working hard and ask yourself WHY amateurs who HATE CB come
> >here. They are not superior operators because of the license
> >they hold. They are inferior humans by trying to talk down to the
> >next guy based on their inadaquacies.
> >
> >Dino...K6RIX
> >
>
> I don't hate CBers. I was a license CBer in the early 70's. I got my
> first ham ticket in 1961 and general class in 62. I currently have an
> extra clas ham ticket.
> What I don't like about CBers is that fact that they think that they
> are above the law. Death threats, profanity, and hate talk dominate
> the CB channels.

?! When did they change CB from 11 Meters to 20?

3581. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3892740B.FF99B580@bctv.com>
Source record: 120201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

K0HB@aint.it.awful.org wrote:
>
> In a previous article, <vlfiscus@mcn.net> writes:
> >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> >
> >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> >everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
> >whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
> >checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
> >Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
> >wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
> >to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
> >of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
> >this, it makes them feel important & needed.
>
> Uhhhhh, I think no-Code amateur radio in the USA was instituted by
> the Reagan/Bush administrations.

Not only that, it was the Kennedy Administration which chopped all those
freqs out of the General band in the first place.

3582. See how Mr Chacker really lives *updated*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86tvpe$f09$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120202

The web page HAS BEEN UPDATED!!
More photos as they come in Please tell your children to say no the drugs. Let them see how people who do drugs really live.
http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
Show this to everyone you know! Don't let this be YOUR children!
Educate everyone!
JUST SAY NO TO DRUGS
Covert62

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3583. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38927389.1566E8FC@bctv.com>
Source record: 120203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Derra\"s wrote:
>
> get off of it. I have been a ham for quite a few years and have had
> difficulty breaking the code barrier for general 13 wpm. Does this mean
> that I am incompetent? What about the techs that operate eme or meteor
> scatter or even sats. I bet some of these people can put the old timers to
> shame

When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was incapable
of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W gun
that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
the heck out of the connector!

3584. James Vincent O'Brien, Mr Cracker...W4AMP photos for all to see...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001290520.AAA17765@cotse.com>
Source record: 120204

This message was posted anonymously:

http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/

3585. Re: More E-Bay Madness !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "MIKE (707)" <no4n4x4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DSuk4.2880$ox5.734893@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 120205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Kinda' like them $150.00 8950 tubes! <LOL>
Chip wrote in message <38933f33.3749734@news.usol.com>...
>I've been looking for an inexpensive 2M mobile and was pricing the
>Kenwood TM-261A. I thought I'd check E-Bay for the heck of it to see
>what USED ones were going for.
>
>This one went for $229.50
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=233291630
>
>This one only made it to $182.50 but didn't meet the "Reserve" price:
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236669111
>
>
>
>Then I checked AES's _NEW_ price and this is a direct cut and paste:
>
>KEN TM261A KENWOOD 50W 2M FM XCVR/TTP# 179.95
>
>Save money - buy new !

3586. seeking ac fan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: louis <lou1@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <86u0g2$ni0$9@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 120206

hi

anyone have an a.c. colling fan approx. 3 x 3 inches?
pls email me

thnks

lou

--
==========
email: lou1@primenet.com

3587. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Flanagan <markf@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <s9507eimq0s16@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah baby!

The Derra"s <derra@thegrid.net> wrote in message
news:uksk4.1591$84.240153@alfalfa.thegrid.net...
> get off of it. I have been a ham for quite a few years and have had
> difficulty breaking the code barrier for general 13 wpm. Does this mean
> that I am incompetent? What about the techs that operate eme or meteor
> scatter or even sats. I bet some of these people can put the old timers
to
> shame
>
>

3588. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000129012446.03704.00000091@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 120208

. I will refrain from name calling to present the facts.

Here are the facts.
Roger Wiseman is pissed off because he got a letter from the FCC. He was caught jamming 20 meters by playing music. He was doing this under the direction of his buddy who will remain nameless. If you go to the rain report, you will see a letter to Roger Wiseman warning him to stop his illegal activities. You will also see letters to his friend regarding jamming and argumentative behavior, very much like what is exhibited by these very same people in this newsgroup.
Roger was outed as a jammer on 3950 by Myself, N8WGM. Now Roger is pissed off that I got him in trouble with the feds for his illegal activities. Sorry Roger, but Jamming scum should be removed from the ham bands. You are jamming scum.

Now Roger has attacked me when I made a post to someone else. I did not even refer to KC8JBO at all. He just came out of the woodwork and attacked me. I had no contact with this
antisocial jamming scum for several months after he was caught jamming. He just decided to attack me for my expose of his illegal activities. His vigorous attacks and his crying about stalking was a way to keep me from exposing his jamming. Roger could not stand the spotlight that was showing him to be a jammer.

>"Vegetable," you high school dropout.
Roger said

Roger then said......
>Oh, so you're denining you stalked me?

Roger, as long as we are going to correct each others spelling, it's DENYING not DENINING

>From what my neighbor says, your buckteeth could open up walnuts.

Your neighbor has no teeth. You know the old story of how anyone can figure out that toothpaste was invented in West Virginia? Because in any other state it would have been called TEETH paste.

>Poor baby. Now crawl back into your hole in the ground "house."
>And DO work more hours at your minimum-wage "job" so I can reap more
>benefits....<snicker>.
>Idiot.

Roger lives with his mother in a 650 square foot tiny little house and lives off his mothers social security. He depends on a government grant to go back to school. The stereotypical hillbilly.

As far as my house is concerned, my home is far superior structurally to yours. I've seen your "house" as you like to call it and all I can say is that it does have the look of small to it. My home is designed with superior fenestration. My house is not 10 feet off the road as yours is. My Lot is 15 acres. Your yard is 1500 square feet.
Roger, your house is tiny. It 's not big. How can I say this without offending you.
It's a tiny little house real close to the road. If there is any question to minimum wage, it would be with you.

>Riley didn't catch me.

Then why the letter on the rain website?
LIAR.

>Steven A Tunder, N8WGM
> 3472 Timberwood Trail Box 441
> Richfield, OH 44286-9755
> USA

Wrong!
Try this....
Steven A. Tunder
72643 Glasgow road
Freeport, Ohio 43973

>My, my TONdUhhhhh the wonder chipmunk has been stagnant at Advanced
>class since 1994.

At least I can say I'm an advanced class, General class electronics wiz. I still wonder why a person who is supposed to know so much about electronics still a general class licensee?

>>>12 years of training..wanna find out?

Find out what?
Please--no threats.

>Obviously, you've missed the point of the martial arts. I WON by not
>doing anything, while you LOST and still are obsessing about me.
>

No you've missed the point. I did not want this stuff to happen. You brought it to yourself due to your jamming on the ham bands,. I live about 60 miles from you and you got caught. Tough luck on your part.
I called you on your activities last summer and not too long after you got your letter from Riley.
Don't you see the corellation to the fact that I discovered your jamming activities, and you got a letter soon after my drive down your road? You lost your bet that you would not get caught. And you got real mad because I've shown the spotlight on your jamming.

>Poor baby. Your pathetic cb-mentality type of bullying didn't work,

It was not meant to be a bullying tactic. You were suspected of being a jammer. I investigated. I taped. I had relatives monitor your activities because they live not far from you just over the River. You got caught. That was the strategy. I believe they call it self policing!
You Loose!

>And now you're exhibiting what is normally called "sour
>grapes."

Why would sour grapes have anything to do with this? You got caught. What I did worked. You are now on the rain website branded as a jammer. Do it again and you are gone!
Roger said
>Everything you've ever said is a lie.

I say
Here is the truth------
You do live with your mother.
You do live in a very small house.
You do consider yourself a bad ass kung foo'er--you've said so many times.
You did hide behind your curtains.
You did get caught by the FCC jamming
You drive a very small pickup truck--with a Ying Yang kung foo sign on the side.
You do have frieinds who jam and have been caught doing so.
You do get a government grant to re-educate yourself.
You do depend on your moms social security money to live.
You are argumentative.

But I cannot continue on with this crap for I'm going on vacation to My house in Florida for the next few weeks.
Have fun Roger.....just don't get caught jamming again!

N8WGM

3589. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Flanagan <markf@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <s9516jn0q0s160@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> >
> > I don't hate CBers. I was a license CBer in the early 70's. I got my
> > first ham ticket in 1961 and general class in 62. I currently have an
> > extra clas ham ticket.
> > What I don't like about CBers is that fact that they think that they
> > are above the law. Death threats, profanity, and hate talk dominate
> > the CB channels.
>
> ?! When did they change CB from 11 Meters to 20?

No, I think you mean 75 meters!

Mark - K1MKF

3590. FS: Motorola HT 600 radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: njmedman@aol.comnoemail
Message-ID: <20000129015226.02284.00000061@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 120210

Selling Motorola HT 600 w/2 extra batteries, charger, "rubber duck" antenna and carrying case. $150 firm. Frequencies include 469.500 and 464.500. Contact me at ajcogswell@hotmail.com

3591. Jimmy O'Brien's Episodes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001290707.CAA18047@cotse.com>
Source record: 120211

This message was posted anonymously:

Watch out for Jimmy O'Brien's episodes. Do NOT make fun of Jimmy on the radio. Jimmy is very treacherous. He will make telephone calls to your neighbors and employers. He is a beer freak and he will lie. Then he passes out and forgets what he did. Watch our for Jimmy. To all good hams, you cannot reason with an alchoholic. Stay clear of Jimmy's CQ's.

Anon2

3592. Phamily Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200001290842.DAA18273@cotse.com>
Source record: 120212

This message was posted anonymously:

See site http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/

3593. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grumpee <Crabby@oldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3892a768$1_1@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 120213

"david tolassi" <pvtcueball@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:389239C2.AA693CE8@hotmail.com...
> any itiot nows that

It is spelled "Covenant", but like you said, any "itiot" knows that.

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

3594. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <doormatt@NOSPAMbigpond.com>
Message-ID: <4lzk4.1701$VJ1.3297@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 120214
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

There may well be "at least 3 seperate G8ASO's posting out there", however there can only be one person with the callsign G8ASO and if you are not the person who it is allocated to (and this is what he is saying) then you have no right to attribute your comments to his callsign...

--

Matt

G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com> wrote in message news:86tlq4$mf2$1@dolf.netfront.net...
> Just how many Brian Jones's are there out there anyway?
>
> There are at least 3 separate G8ASO's posting here!
>
>
> ------
> Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
> Complaints to news@netfront.net

3595. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3892F01E.AEA@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 120215

bogart wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> Just received my passing score as a no-code technician, and am awaiting
> my license from the FCC. The other week I overheard some folks talking
> on the radio and they kept saying things like "two meter" and "ten
> meters", etc when talking about their radios. I only have a cheap
> Kenwood that I change channels with three wheels that kind of look like
> the odometer in my car. So, I know my radio is too cheap to have any
> meters on it, but what could the second meter be for, or for crying out
> loud, why would anyone want a radio with TEN meters on it? What are they

Gee......if you find out would you let me know??? I have a radio with
160 meters on it!!!!!!

WD4KDN

3596. Re: oink-oink-oink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kisshme Assh <dtolassi@nebell.com>
Message-ID: <bUzk4.6803$%87.248462@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120216

<covert62@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:86tbld$1j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/

> Christ look what I found. OH my god.
> HAHAHAHA
> A picture is worth a 1000 words.

What a PIG!!!! OINK - OINK - OINK!
I've seen houses lived in by fat-assed KFC-eatin welfare niggers that look
cleaner!

3597. Re: NEWSLINE..Crap Exposed..STAY TUNED <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kisshme Assh <dtolassi@nebell.com>
Message-ID: <dUzk4.6804$%87.248462@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120217

<rlw@msn.com> wrote in message news:3891dfa5.895353@news.earthlink.net...

> Watch for a post shorty, explaining WHY NEWSLINE is always 'begging'
> for funds, and threatening to go away if you do not send money!!

Yeah! Whoever sends that shyster $$$ is living proof that
P.T. Barnum words about suckers born one-a-minute is alive and well.

> (And especially watch the response by the Pasternak Brown-nosers and
> minions.)

Bring it on!

3598. Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kisshme Assh <dtolassi@nebell.com>
Message-ID: <eUzk4.6805$%87.248462@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120218

Do beer-bellied cheap-ass hams I see at hamfest get regular
sex or do they just whack-off to dirty pics on internet?

3599. Re: Ham HF bands will be free-4-all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kisshme Assh <dtolassi@nebell.com>
Message-ID: <fUzk4.6806$%87.248462@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

kf6foz <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
news:389227a4.11755760@news.thegrid.net...
> Poor Donny Bertoney, Jack Mullen not around to pick on any more?
> Where is UUM? He leave you because his Black Girlfriend tosses his
> salad better

He sleeps with a black woman every nite....
She tastes like a cookie and she's oh-soooo-tight....
But he can't help it cause he's very small...
She can't even tell when he's in-her hole....

3600. Re: Oris Grim, N4CND, now spoofs David Tolassi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86ukshsho1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120220

In article <388FC9A5.D86F996@hotmail.com>,
mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> Oris Grim, Nasty Fournicating Child Neglector Dirtball wrote:
>
> > well mr cracker is all cracked up doesn't he know which way is up
that
> > there tree must haven fell on him when he was in the t-bird.he is
such a
> > stupid bastard he don't even know who is who up here
> > all that cocaine must have damaged brain cells . maybe he is
donnie's
> > brother?hhhmmmm?
> >

Poor dope and booze addict Oris Grim. Nasty Fournicating Child Negector
Dirtball. I wonder how his bootlegging buddy VA3GJB is doing? Poor
Graham the bootlegger! His 200 watts on 75 sounds puny! Ha! Goota go
guys, time for more drugs and lard, suzy_the_pig.
> > the real pvtcueball u moron
>
> The Goodfellows-Certified Idiots. Bootlegging, liscense canceled,
> header-forging morons. Unreal.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3601. Re: see how nasty Suzy really lives!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86ulkk$su8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120221

In article <86tbld$1j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/
> Christ look what I found. OH my god.
> HAHAHAHA
> A picture is worth a 1000 words.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Don't waste time looking at these fakes, take a look at 250 pound Suzy the pig, after she ate a bucket of lard and washed it down with cocaine!Hideous!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3602. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ulnqsuj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120222

Illegal wiretapper and stalker
N8WimpyGayMale whined in message <20000129012446.03704.00000091@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>. I will refrain from name calling to present the facts.
>
>Here are the facts.

You mean here are the lies.

> Roger Wiseman is pissed off because he got a letter from the FCC. He was
>caught jamming 20 meters by playing music.

No, I was sent a warning letter. The FCC didn't "catch" me doing anything, Ernie. You and other submitted false evidence to the FCC.

He was doing this under the
>direction of his buddy who will remain nameless. If you go to the rain report,
>you will see a letter to Roger Wiseman warning him to stop his illegal
>activities. You will also see letters to his friend regarding jamming and
>argumentative behavior, very much like what is exhibited by these very
>same people in this newsgroup.

Cry me a river. But how many people saw your stalking of me, just to get a picture of me to masturbate to?
Even the Police chief read copies of your posts, (I submitted them when I filled out the report) and said you must be some kind of nutcase.

> Roger was outed as a jammer on 3950 by Myself, N8WGM.

I've never transmitted on 3950, DUMBASS. Riley's letter only mentions the 20 meter band, which as an "Advanced class" licensee you should know is on the 75/80 meter band. Either you can't read, or your a extremely stupid person, or both. I'd say both.

>Now Roger is pissed off that I got him in trouble with the feds for his >illegal activities. Sorry Roger, but Jamming scum should be removed from the ham bands. You are jamming scum.

Suuuuuuuuure I am. And yet I received a VE appointment. Bwhahahahaha! Something YOU can't claim, can you, bucktooothed choad?

> Now Roger has attacked me when I made a post to someone else. I
>did not even refer to KC8JBO at all. He just came out of the woodwork
>and attacked me.

Translation: WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
Roger's picking on me, WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
Roger's kicking my ass with words, so maybe I'll drive by his house and
TRY to take it to a physical level, because I'm outmatched.
Whhhhhhaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!

> I had no contact with this
>antisocial jamming scum for several months after he was caught
>jamming. He just decided to attack me for my expose of his illegal
>activities. His vigorous attacks and his crying about stalking was a
way >to keep me from exposing his
>jamming. Roger could not stand the spotlight that was showing him to
>be a jammer.

TONDuhhhh was caught several times for being a child molester, yet he
never mentions that fact. People who were on this newsgroup KNOW that
you DID stalk me. YOU never address that, do you?

>
> >"Vegetable," you high school dropout.
>Roger said
>
>Roger then said......
>>Oh, so you're denining you stalked me?
>
>Roger, as long as we are going to correct each others spelling, it's
>DENYING not DENINING

Post editing, Chipmunk boy.
Try harder. Your lack of education is quite apparent in your postings,
TONDuhhh. Your consistent use of substandard English and numerous
spelling errors highlight your severe learning disability student
status.

>
>>From what my neighbor says, your buckteeth could open up walnuts.
>
>Your neighbor has no teeth. You know the old story of how anyone can
>figure out that toothpaste was invented in West Virginia? Because in
>any other state it would have been called TEETH paste.

I wasn't discussing my neighbor's teeth, I was discussing YOURS,
chipmunk. You drool so much, you never need to shower. Your quite
yellow and rotten teeth make your smile look like a pair of dice.
At least we don't live in a HOLE, chipmunk.

>
>>Poor baby. Now crawl back into your hole in the ground "house."
>>And DO work more hours at your minimum-wage "job" so I can reap >more
benefits....<snicker>.
>>Idiot.
>
> Roger lives with his mother in a 650 square foot tiny little house

and >lives off his mothers social security.

Prove it. I don't have to live off of anything.
The house is at least 1080 sqare feet. Of course even that beats the
trash dump you call home.

>He depends on a government grant to go back to
>school. The stereotypical hillbilly.
>As far as my house is concerned, my home is far superior structurally
>to yours.

Bwhahahahahahaha..you live in a HOLE in the ground. It has TONS of junk
and trash in your yard. You have to live way out of town because
usually the police were tired of visiting for your criminal activities.
You were kicked out of your previous neighborhooods because you
wouldn't leave the neighbor's kids alone. TONDuhhh couldn't get along
in previous neighborhoods, that's why he has to live way out in the
middle of Shithole, Ohio.

>I've seen your "house" as you like to call it and all I can say is that
>it does have the look of small to it. My home is designed with superior
>fenestration. My house is not 10 feet off the road as yours is.

Get those thick, coke-bottle bottomed glasses checked, Ernie..my house
is 30 feet from the road. The edge of the sidewalk where the
landscaping ties is 10 feet. The house proper is 20 feet from the
sidewalk. 20+10 = 30. Try again, and this time take your shoes off to
count if you have to, dropout. Your hole in the ground probably is
superior to your usual digs, a cardboard box.

>My Lot is 15 acres. Your yard is 1500 square feet.
>Roger, your house is tiny. It 's not big. How can I say this without
>offending you.
> It's a tiny little house real close to the road. If there is any
question to
>minimum wage, it would be with you.

You need to get your coke-bottle bottom glasses fixed, Ernie.
When you have to go to the bathroom at your house, you pick a corner.

>>Riley didn't catch me.
>
>Then why the letter on the rain website?
>LIAR.

You posted that your child molesting and on the take ex-cop uncle from
Wheeling drove by with your shitty sw radio and taped me. Get your lies
straight.

The letter doesn't give any specifics about times and/or frequencies.
You are lying through your buckteeh.

>>Steven A Tunder, N8WGM
>> 3472 Timberwood Trail Box 441

>> Richfield, OH 44286-9755
>> USA
>
>Wrong!
>Try this....
>Steven A. Tunder
>72643 Glasgow road
>Freeport, Ohio 43973

A hole in the hill, dirt floored, shanty.

>>My, my TONdUhhhhh the wonder chipmunk has been stagnant at Advanced
>>class since 1994.
>
>At least I can say I'm an advanced class, General class electronics
>wiz. I still wonder why a person who is supposed to know so much
>about electronics still a general class licensee?

Why does such an "expert" such as yourself just have an Advanced and
not an Extra? You didn't answer THAT question. Of course, you had to
pay to get a passing grade on your Advanced, didn't you?

>
>>>>12 years of training..wanna find out?
>
>Find out what? Please--no threats.

Poor baby. How do mere words threaten you from about 60 miles, Ernie?

>>Obviously, you've missed the point of the martial arts. I WON by not
>>doing anything, while you LOST and still are obsessing about me.
>
>No you've missed the point. I did not want this stuff to happen. You
>brought it to yourself due to your jamming on the ham bands,. I live
>about 60 miles from you and you got caught. Tough luck on your part.
>I called you on your activities last summer and not too long after you
>got your letter from Riley.

Bwhahahahahahahaha. Liar. You started this little flamefest, all
because of your homosexual attraction. I ask again, what straight man
would so desperately want a picture of another man, going so far to
driving by their house, about 120 miles round trip, trying to get a
picture?

>Don't you see the corellation to the fact that I discovered your

"Correlation," you high school dropout.

>jamming activities, and you got a letter soon after my drive down your
>road? You lost your bet that you would not get caught. And you got
>real mad because I've shown the spotlight on your jamming.

What bet? I've never made such a bet. You never let the truth get in
the way of your lies, chipmunk.

>>Poor baby. Your pathetic cb-mentality type of bullying didn't work,
>
>It was not meant to be a bullying tactic. You were suspected of being
a >jammer. I investigated. I taped.

You wiretapped my phone, recorded WITHOUT my permission to do so. You
made threats during the week before you drove by my house.
You are nothing but an obsessed, bucktoothed faggot.

>I had relatives monitor your activities because they
>live not far from you just over the River. You got caught. That was the
>strategy. I believe they call it self policing!

You said on here that your relatives lived in Wheeling. Make up your
mind, dickhead.

>You Loose!

"Lose." Now I know the schooling in Ohio is substandard. Of course, you
aren't much for a teacher to work with.

>>And now you're exhibiting what is normally called "sour
>>grapes."
>
>Why would sour grapes have anything to do with this? You got caught.
>What I did worked. You are now on the rain website branded as a
>jammer. Do it again and you are gone!

Suuuuuuuuure I am. Why didn't Riley ever respond to my calls and
letters? Hmmmm? Maybe he discovered what a liar you are chipmunk.
I suppose you had practice lying when you had to lie to the Social
worker who came by to investigate when your father sodomized you.

>I say
>Here is the truth------

You wouldn't know the truth.

>You do live with your mother.

My mother lives with me.

>You do live in a very small house.

1080 square feet, Ernie. How did you ever pass the Advanced class exam
with your poor math skills? Oh, that's right, you paid the examiners
off.

>You do consider yourself a bad ass kung foo'er--you've said so many
>times.

I've never said that. _You've_ said that about me. Yet, you wouldn't
DARE get out of that ratted-out and dingy white pickup truck with the
DWI dents. Pussy.

>You did hide behind your curtains.

Translation: I didn't fall into your game. I didn't care to talk to an univited guest. That's my right.

>You did get caught by the FCC jamming

This statement contradicts the warning letter and what you posted above.

>You drive a very small pickup truck--with a Ying Yang kung foo sign on
>the side.

So? Oh, and it's a symbol, not a sign, you functional illiterate. Get your head out of your ass, Ernie.

>You do have frieinds who jam and have been caught doing so.

I have no control over what my "friends" do, dumbass white trash goofball. "freinds," bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!

>You do get a government grant to re-educate yourself.

Poor baby. It pays for a lot, but I still get other monies. And we don't have to worry about finances, either.

>You do depend on your moms social security money to live.

Hell, BOY, we get money from other sources, like investments. Not something a chipmunk who lives in a hole in the ground with a dirt-floor could fathom. You'll get over it, Ernie.

>You are argumentative.

Poor baby. You stay out of my face and I'll do the same.

>
>But I cannot continue on with this crap for I'm going on vacation to
My >house in Florida for the next few weeks.

Translation: Ernie has no endurance, and knows when he's outmatched. And you call that run-down delapidated trailer a "house" in Florida? I guess you go down there to molest the children in Florida during the winter.

>Have fun Roger.....just don't get caught jamming again!
>N8WGM

You mean like you do on 3950 on a regular basis?

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3603. Re: oink-oink-oink, Oris grim rolls his pig in flour and lokks for the wet spot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3892CFC0.5D974C24@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120223

Kisshme Assh wrote:

> <covert62@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:86tbld$1j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> > http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/
>
> > Christ look what I found. OH my god.
> > HAHAHAHA
> > A picture is worth a 1000 words.
>
> What a PIG!!!! OINK - OINK - OINK!
> I've seen houses lived in by fat-assed KFC-eatin welfare niggers that look
> cleaner!

See the drug and alcohol filled pig Mrs. Orifice Grime at
http://members.aol.com/jcunham/

See Orifice wince as his cross-eyed obese pig wife crushes him after one of
their dope and alcohol sessions! Disgusting!

3604. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86uo72$uc9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120224

In article <38903685.CAC11D59@interlog.com>,
graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com> wrote:
> what you want to check is the covenent if it specifies shall not erect
any tv
> antenna or antenna's it is refering to cable tv protection not amateur
radio
> .
> this was put in there to give the cable tv companies a hook as they
ate the
> cost of instalation . the legal interp. of tv antenna or antenna's is
for tv
> antenna's
>
> hope this helped a bit
>
> graham va3gjb

I would not listen to this clown. He bootlegged on HF with no call for three years. He portrayed himself as a Canadian named Kurt. Not to mention the fact that he bootlegged on twenty meters the last five years with a 5wpm code endorsement. He participated in the orginized jamming on twenty meters with the likes of WB2OTK (liscense cancelled), N4CND (drug addicted trailer trash), KA9BSD (known jammer, alcoholic, frustrated bachelor, Gay?), KK9G (known jammer, wannabe hacker, disability faker),N9PGE (alcoholic,divorced, fired from Elkhart County SO for drunkeness, also a known jammer. Recently posted as doofus jammer), KC8JBO (Recently caught jamming on twenty for the second time, NAL to follow. 50 years old and lives with his mother!) This is a great group to give advice. The Good fellows have conspired to jam HF frequencies for years. This group is responsibile for 90% of the jamming on HF today. Other members of this group who are not currently jamming are W4NTI and AF2Y. Although they are not jamming now, they have jammed in the past and will probaly do it again. This group has terrorized hf for five years, and they should all have their tickets revoked. (VA3GJB will lose his soon).

>
> "Mike!" wrote:
>
> > A very special thanks to Kevin and and GLC1173 for their quick
responces
> > and helpful advice. I allready have a small dual band antenna for
the
> > garage attic so I have that one covered. Six meters is the band I'll
miss

> > the most... but it will only be a year though...
> >
> > Thanks again Mike
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3605. KC8JBO,busted AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <86uop1unj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120225

In article <20000126125243.17637.00000154@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:
> Roger is a gay man living with his mother in a shack in West
> Virginia.....HO HO!
> N8WGM
>

Why else would a 50 year old live with his mother? He just got nailed qrm'ing on twenty AGAIN. Word has it a big NAL is headed his way. I wonder if Mommy will help him pay it? She has clothed and housed this fruit since 1950, might as well.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3606. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris J Dixon <chris.dixon@easynet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ura59s4chigh72ebbej2rhgjeas908iigg@4ax.com>
Source record: 120226
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

Brian Jones wrote:

>Any message sent under the callsign of G8ASO is a forgery. Especially
>those sent in support of the LPWS. At no time would I give any
>support
>to the activities of that organisation or any member of that body.
>
Looks like it is not just umra that is pretty near the edge of
the bell curve.

Chris
--
Chris J Dixon Nottingham
'48/51/23 M B+ G+ A L(-) I S-- CH-(--) Ar++ T+ H0 ?Q Sh+
chris.dixon@easynet.co.uk
Have dancing shoes, will ceilidh.

3607. Re: oink-oink-oink, Oris grim rolls his pig in flour and lokks for the wet spot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86uso8$18t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120227

mr__cracker@hotmail.com wrote in message
<3892CFC0.5D974C24@hotmail.com>...
>
>
>Kisshme Assh wrote:
>
>> <covert62@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:86tbld$1j5
$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>>
>> > http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker/
>>
>> > Christ look what I found. OH my god.
>> > HAHAHAHA
>> > A picture is worth a 1000 words.
>>
>> What a PIG!!!! OINK - OINK - OINK!
>> I've seen houses lived in by fat-assed KFC-eatin welfare niggers
that look
>> cleaner!
>
>See the drug and alcohol filled pig Mrs. Orifice Grime at
>http://members.aol.com/jcunham/
>
>See Orifice wince as his cross-eyed obese pig wife crushes him after
one of
>their dope and alcohol sessions! Disgusting!

FATASS, you have no room to talk.
Have another beer....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3608. Re:Jimmy the HOG O'Brien, lives in filth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86ut2j$1j0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120228

In article <86uop1unj1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <20000126125243.17637.00000154@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
> n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:
> > Roger is a gay man living with his mother in a shack in West
> > Virginia.....HO HO!
> > N8WGM
> >
>
> Why else would a 50 year old live with his mother? He just got nailed
> qrm'ing on twenty AGAIN. Word has it a big NAL is headed his way. I
> wonder if Mommy will help him pay it? She has clothed and housed this
> fruit since 1950, might as well.

50?????????? Try 45, DUMBASS. Why'd you pick up that varicosed-veined
old fart you married? Too many beers that night? God, I wouldn't claim
that as any trophy. Suuure I was QRMing on 20, which frequency and
time? You better clean up that filthy rat's nest you live in, O'
Brainless, before the roaches completely take it over.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3609. Re: Covenent covering outdoor antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <86utl8$1v2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120229

In a rat and roach infested dump <86uo72$uc9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com W4AMP hiding from behind yet another alias
drooled in an alcohol and cocaine induced stupor:
> In article <38903685.CAC11D59@interlog.com>,
> graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com> wrote:
> > what you want to check is the covenent if it specifies shall not
erect
> any tv
> > antenna or antenna's it is refering to cable tv protection not
amateur
> radio
> > .
> > this was put in there to give the cable tv companies a hook as they
> ate the
> > cost of instalation . the legal interp. of tv antenna or antenna's
is
> for tv
> > antenna's
> >
> > hope this helped a bit
> >
> > graham va3gjb
>
> I would not listen to this clown. He bootlegged on HF with no call for
> three years. He portrayed himself as a Canadian named Kurt. Not to
> mention the fact that he bootlegged on twenty meters the last five
years
> with a 5wpm code endorsement. He participated in the orginized jamming
> on twenty meters with the likes of WB2OTK (liscense cancelled), N4CND
> (drug addicted trailer trash), KA9BSD (known jammer, alcoholic,
> frustrated bachelor, Gay?), KK9G (known jammer, wannabe hacker,
> disability faker),N9PGE (alcoholic,divorced, fired from Elkhart County
> SO for drunkeness, also a known jammer. Recently posted as doofus
> jammer), KC8JBO (Recently caught jamming on twenty for the second
time,
> NAL to follow. 50 years old and lives with his mother!) This is a

Prove it, you fatassed bubba. I haven't even been on 14.313 at ALL.
I wonder how many times the Health department has been to your disease-
infested hovel in Hiram. Riley was told all about your deliberate
jamming the spanish speaking stations on 14.320 or
thereabouts...because I let him know all about it.

great
> group to give advice. The Good fellows have conspired to jam HF

> frequencies for years. This group is responsibile for 90% of the
jamming
> on HF today. Other members of this group who are not currently jamming
> are W4NTI and AF2Y. Although they are not jamming now, they have
jammed
> in the past and will probaly do it again. This group has terrorized hf
> for five years, and they should all have their tickets revoked.
(VA3GJB
> will lose his soon).

While WA4AMP here received a warning letter for jamming on 75/80 and
the dumbass tried to coordinate his jamming on 2 meters. O' Brien has
issued death threats over the radio, used profanity and engaged in
world class jamming activities. He married his current "old lady" after
a twelve day drinking and drug using binge.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3610. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Erickson <kk5dr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3892F4C3.D8520E2A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In all things, Life, Business, hobbies, etc, You MUST learn and GROW, or
DIE!
If restructuring is what it takes to keep my hobby "ALIVE", then so be it!
I'll be an extra soon too.
It's the law now, "DEAL WITH IT!"
If you can't handle it, GET OUT!

Keith Wood wrote:

> Ken Jobling wrote:
> >
> > No. Surely by getting on air at 20 WPM plus, you do not need the
> > callsign and piece of paper as proof. Unless, of course, the callsign
> > and piece of paper is just a status thing.
>
> For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever)
> light up on HF.
>
> Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
> entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
> something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
> years ago.
>
> I got my Novice ticket nearly 20 years ago, and upgraded immediately to
> Technician (thus "Tech+") by taking the General written exam. If I had
> ever been willing to take the time to get my code speed up, I would have
> been a General (and I am looking forward to the change). I will not
> likely go to Extra because an Extra can't do anything that I want to do
> which I can't do as a General.
>
> While a lot of the Extras are whining about this change, I think that
> given 5 years they will see that it was the only way to SAVE ham radio.
> The artificial barrier imposed by "Titanic Mode" skills have kept
> MILLIONS of kids from getting ham licenses over the decades since large
> chunks of the General allocation were sliced off to create Advanced and
> Extra in the "Incentive Licensing" debacle.
>
> Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new
> hams onto the air in the next decade, bring back school ham clubs, and
> pull ham radio's foot back out of the grave.

3611. Re: More E-Bay Madness !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Erickson <kk5dr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3892F643.E5458308@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

The buyers on E-bay are INSANE!

Chip wrote:

> I've been looking for an inexpensive 2M mobile and was pricing the
> Kenwood TM-261A. I thought I'd check E-Bay for the heck of it to see
> what USED ones were going for.
>
> This one went for $229.50
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=233291630
>
> This one only made it to $182.50 but didn't meet the "Reserve" price:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=236669111
>
> Then I checked AES's _NEW_ price and this is a direct cut and paste:
>
> KEN TM261A KENWOOD 50W 2M FM XCVR/TTP# 179.95
>
> Save money - buy new !

3612. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Republican <"May England be delivered">, soon, "From the festering sore of monarchy And the cancer of the crown.May Englishmen enjoy the boon Of pure", "unshackled liberty.May democracy abound."
Message-ID: <949157134.6981.1.nnrp-07.9e98250c@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 120232
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

Brian Jones <bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:ZLdG1JA2xkk4Ewbs@worcester.demon.co.uk...
> Any message sent under the callsign of G8ASO is a forgery. Especially
> those sent in support of the LPWS. At no time would I give any
> support
> to the activities of that organisation or any member of that body.
>
> All messages which I send on this mode are only sent through the
> Demon mail servers. At no time have I included my callsign in the
> address line.
>
> Brian
> - --
> Brian Jones Worcester, United Kingdom
> Amateur radio G8ASO @ G8ASO.GB7GLO.#46.GBR.EU
> mailto: bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk

Yes, there are certainly a lot of childish cowards about who post using
other's names and callsigns. Some such mental defective has been
recently doing the same to me.

3613. Re: Gun Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <872guleqc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120033

In article <872bs1$b6g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> A convicted felon with a gun? So where is gun control?
> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien/
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> All he has to say is, it belongs to his wife.
Gordon Liddy does it all the time.<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3614. Re: George soon to go to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <872hdk$f6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120034

```
In article <871l8u$rm3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>
> suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote in message <870mc1$7u4
> $1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <870iul$5oi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> >> Check it out Mr Crack website has been updated check it out!
> >> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
> >> See how the biggest loser in Amateur Radio lives. Photographic
proof
> >> that he is a real loser!
> >> 73
> >> Covert62
> >>
> >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >> Before you buy.
> >>
> >
> >Good post Oris. Let's do an IP trace shall we?
> >
> >Local Alias List: (null)
> >Hostname: r-152.53.alltel.net
> >IP Address: 166.102.152.53
> >
> >User info;
> >ORIS A. GRIM, III
> > 5591 JOT EM DOWN RD
> > DANIELSVILLE GA 30633
> > USA
> >
> >Way to go Oris. You have virtualy guaranteed your buddy George a trip
> to
> >jail and a a large fine. Papers will be filed Monday. Thanks! And say
> >hello to your 250 pound pig wife for me. She has some surprises
coming
> >up as well!
>
> You be sure to get the finest lawyer...the on from the league again.
> Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
```

> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yeah, make sure you get an ARRL attorney, so they can lie for you once again, like they did with the FCC. Poor Riley that was his first blunder!<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3615. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <872g20mri1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>>>How would you like evidence that an un-named extra didn't know
>>>what common-mode currents are and accused the ham engineers of
>>>a *world-wide* conspiracy to keep the truth hidden? :-)

>> Why would he want the world to know that he didn't know? =:o

>Wouldn't you be embarrassed to admit it?

I sure would. That's why your statement is so confusing. You say
hams are keeping the truth hidden (which he should want) but he's
calling it a conspiracy (implying he wants his lack of knowledge
exposed).

73, Cecil,
Jeff KH6O

Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula applied to your prints.

3616. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3894c317.11845318@news.usol.com>
Source record: 120036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 14:36:11 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Louis Albert wrote:
>> I am a liberal and proud and proud of it!
>
>
>Q: Do you know what they call a liberal who has been mugged?
>
>A: A conservative.

Q: Do you know what they call a conservative that has been arrested ?

A: a liberal

3617. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <872h4s$nk3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120037
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Follow-ups set to just .misc

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Keith Wood wrote:
>>> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>>> In rec.radio.cb (and 7 other NGs) Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:

>>>>>When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was incapable
>>>>>of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W gun
>>>>>that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
>>>>>the heck out of the connector!

>>>> Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
>>>> of yours.

>>> I chose not to. That is not the same as "can't."
>>> If it matters to you that much, email me. There is no need to embarrass
>>> him in public.

So it's better to embarrass all "prominent" Extras in Arizona?

>> At this point, it is either embarrass HIM or embarrass YOU. If you
>> provide his call, he'll at least have the opportunity to refute your
>> charges.

>Why would I be embarrassed?

Because you got caught making up "facts" again. If you want to carry
any credibility on the NGs, back up your examples with evidence which
can be confirmed by third parties, or at least give the "victim" in
question a chance to defend himself.

>The ham in question made a big name for himself in the Prescott, Arizona
>area in the late 1980s.

So now you've pinpointed his approximate QTH and have limited the
embarrassment to just "prominent" Extras in Prescott. The only fair thing
to do now is to give his identity and provide him the chance to respond
to your accusations.

>The only one I'm embarrassed for is Jeff. He is so impressed with being
>an Extra that he thinks all Extras are something special, and is
>convinced that I can't be telling the truth about this.

Wrong again. I'm only interested in giving an accused a fair chance to respond so as to hear both sides of the story. There are too many one-sided accusations made in this forum. Very distasteful, and not gentlemanly at all. Fight fair, Keith.

73, Jeff KH6O

Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions imprinted with the Henry classification formula applied to your prints.

3618. Re: Posting to eight newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Flanagan <markf@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <s99k13l75io122@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:3883414C.E8445F41@radiks.net...
>
> Posting to eight newsgroups at the same time carries some implications
> that don't even have to be said.
>
> Dick McCollum N0BK

I haven't passed a 13 wpm code test so I don't understand,.

What are the implications that you are referring to?

Mark, K1MKF

3619. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3894E51D.FC8A3787@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >>>How would you like evidence that an un-named extra didn't know
> >>>what common-mode currents are and accused the ham engineers of
> >>>a *world-wide* conspiracy to keep the truth hidden? :-)
>
> >> Why would he want the world to know that he didn't know? =:o
>
> >Wouldn't you be embarrassed to admit it?
>
> I sure would.

That's why I don't want to embarrass him any farther. I don't know
what his breaking point is.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

3620. Re: KC8JBO,busted AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28239-3894E6C6-67@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120040

Inflammatory, rumor and innuendo. What relevancy does your post have to this NG?

Are you sparking debate about someone's sexual orientation? Is it legitimate to criticise a guy because he is living with his Mom. More children, in my view, should do so, caring for their aging parents. Not everyone has the good fortune of living in a lush home. Thank God if yours is more substantial.

And finally, respected poster, does this leave your sexual orientation up for grabs?

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3621. NEED ICOM 706 SERVICE MANUAL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Mj6l4.2322$rC3.68725@news3.mia>
Source record: 120041

I NEED A SERVICE MANUAL - ANYONE HAVE ONE?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

3622. Re: KC8JBO,busted AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com>
Message-ID: <38951076.77A91722@interlog.com>
Source record: 120042

since when did facts enter in this newsgroup ????

if you tell it enough times it becomes the truth in the tellers mind

graham

Bob Sherin wrote:

> Inflammatory, rumor and innuendo. What relevancy does your post have to
> this NG?
>
> Are you sparking debate about someone's sexual orientation? Is it
> legitimate to criticise a guy because he is living with his Mom. More
> children, in my view, should do so, caring for their aging parents. Not
> everyone has the good fortune of living in a lush home. Thank God if
> yours is more substantial.
>
> And finally, respected poster, does this leave your sexual orientation
> up for grabs?
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida

3623. what are the CW segments for each band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gS6l4.2392$rC3.70702@news3.mia>
Source record: 120043

??

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

3624. does icom 2800 crossband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17661-389512C5-36@storefull-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120044

i just picked up one of these VHF/UHF mobils at the HRO fire sale, and i
was wondering if this rig can crossband.
there is nothing in the manual about it but i heard somewhere that it
does.
a pretty nice radio for one with poor eyesight (like me)

does anyone know how to do it, or where i might find out?

thanks,
K6DHL

3625. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3894A794.EE2B2A0E@bctv.com>
Source record: 120045

? wrote:
>
> > > >Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
> > > >entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
> > > >something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
> > > >years ago.
> I have the utmost respect for anyone who can copy 20wpm.
> I recently passed 5wpm and with the problems I have with my hearing
> I consider myself lucky to do that. 20wpm is an accomplishment and
> should never be discounted no matter when it happened.
> kb9thn@hotmail.com

The problem is that a lot of people who passed the exam CAN'T read code at 20WPM.

At this speed, the exams can be MEMORIZED in the same way one memorizes a song. Have you ever heard the first couple of notes on the radio, and known what the song was (and all the words) before the THIRD note? There aren't that many different exams -- play the tapes, over and over, and you will get sick of them but you will be programmed when the time comes for your exam.

This was the method used about 20 years ago, when a guy named Dick Bash developed a program to teach people to pass the tests -- not to LEARN anything more than "If you see this cue in the question, this is the answer." There are a lot of hams who didn't know a battery from a capacitor when they got their Advanced tickets (to be fair, most of those either lost interest or they did learn more about electronics as time went by).

The folks at the FCC office where I took my Tech exam (in 1981) joked that "This guy will guess that song in ten notes!" and they could often tell when recognition set in during the exam. To be fair, the majority of those sitting the tests hadn't done this, but many had, so I guess there are a lot of brainwashed Extras out there still.

When I took my Novice, I had to SEND the code, not just recognize it. I knew a lot of people who never again touched a key or keyer, and a lot of them went on to Advanced or Extra. I have known Extras who can't pull their own calls out of a string of 10 random characters, sent at any code speed -- and I knew one gal who could send at better than 40WPM on a straight key! She was a General, and only because her husband had talked her into becoming a ham and worked her through to the Tech license, when she discovered that she didn't much like voice but she loved CW!

There is room for everyone out there. Including slow-code Extras. But don't accept ANY license as proof of ANY skill level.

3626. Re: what are the CW segments for each band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38944B1E.C3B651F3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120046

Of course I mean by the FCC, that's who issued my amateur callsign and regulates the modes and frequencys upon which I transmit. While the ARRL suggests the following it doesn't really match the reality of 40m. It's a dumb question to begin with BD, Lloyd knows where to find the answers, after all he's Chief of Communications for BARF.

7.040 RTTY DX - You'll find much more QRP CW op's here than RTTY DX most RTTY DX is calling above 7070 KHz where the rest of the RTTY op's are.
7.080-7.100 RTTY

No mention is made of PSK31, most of those op's hang around 7070 KHz, and Hellschrieber on 7063. So again the answer is 7.000 to 7.300 MHz. So does that mean the CW "segment" ends at 7040? After all the ARRL suggests that freq is for RTTY DX. Or maybe they mean 7040 +/- 5 KHz? Does the CW "Segment" pick up again at 7045 and end at 7080 KHz? If after listening for a few days you still can't figure it out then perhaps you should cut an antenna for 3950 KHz and be done with it.

p.s There are only two CW only portions of amateur bands 50 to 50.1 and 144 to 144.1 MHz.

ddz

BD wrote:

> So?... He didn't say "allocated" (I assume you mean by the FCC)...
> "Segmented" can refer to voluntary, suggested, etc...
> For even more of that, look in readily-available 2meter/440
> band-plans/charts for repeater/simplex 'segments'...
>
> "Tim" <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3893CEDD.15F9D11B@worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > CW is permitted on ALL allocated amateur frequencys.

3627. Re: Could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <872fhfmfe1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120047
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

John Mackey, jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote

>> Why are you bringing a .scanner thread over to the amateur NGs, John?

>Because it started in amateur NG's & you moved it, so I am putting
>it back where it rightly belongs.

Nope. Blake doesn't post to the amateur NGs; it began in .scanner,
and you cross-posted it to .misc to widen the audience.

But since you're here, tell us how you're abiding by the Amateur
Radio Service's charter, as outlined by our government:

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following principles:
(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with respect to providing emergency communications.
(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art.
(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases of the art.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance international goodwill.

Be specific now.

73, Jeff KH6O
For sale: 500 kHz marine band MCW transmitter.

3628. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <3893b16d.23717508@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120083

>>Do beer-bellied cheap-ass hams I see at hamfest get regular
>>sex or do they just whack-off to dirty pics on internet?
>>
>>
Would you like to ask my Wife and Girlfriend that?
The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3629. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <870dpibsc3@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 120084

In rec.radio.amateur.space Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote:
> Okay, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time,
> with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time: Now
> each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.

No problem, that's enough for PSK31 ;-)

73
Hamish VK3SB
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

3630. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: compcnsult@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000129231908.22484.00000338@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 120085

This may save amateur radio or it may not. I think initially that there will be quite a few upgrades, me included, but as time wears on only the dieharded will endure the race. I am glad to see the restructuring but I do believe that the code requirements should have been higher for the EXTRA class. Maybe they should have dropped it to only 15 wpm or something like that.

My 2 cents -- > W0CBF

3631. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <870dqn$i56$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120086
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>How would you like evidence that an un-named extra didn't know
>what common-mode currents are and accused the ham engineers of
>a *world-wide* conspiracy to keep the truth hidden? :-)

Why would he want the world to know that he didn't know? =:o

3632. Mr Crackers webb page updated Come see!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <870iul$5oi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120087

Check it out Mr Crack website has been updated check it out!
http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
See how the biggest loser in Amateur Radio lives. Photographic proof
that he is a real loser!
73
Covert62

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3633. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtaoz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <870k04$6eu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120088

Walter than you for your short but direct input, but I must disagree with you that's not the way it is, it only appears to people like you that it is that way, I can only assume that the reason you take the view you do is because you are one of them, when the LORD comes and make no mistake he is on his way you will be judged along with the rest of the human race, their is no escape. If you value your SOLE then you must first of all repent and through repenting all will become clear to you.

Best Regards

James

In article <86pqgtcpe1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <86pf4o$5ah$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > The last thing I want to say to you poor misguided individuals
> > is that we know who you are but we will pray for you.
>
> Watch out with that loud praying -- the dudes in the black
> copters will hear you.
>
> And thats the way it is!
>
> 73, Walter
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3634. FS Moving Sale Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioleo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <TIPk4.1097$yw.41910@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120089

Moving Sale Part II

The equipment that is still available

TRANSCEIVERS.............
1. ICOM 211digital display, built-in p/supply 10 watt all mode 2

meter all mode transceiver with SM-2 Icom desk microphone $199.00
2. Kenwood TS-700S digital display 10 watt 2 meter all mode
transceiver, with a Communication Specialists TE-64
selectable PL/Encode Unit. Built-In power supply, Kenwood
hand microphone, and manual, WORKS great $250.00
4. YAESU FT-127RA 220 MHZ mobile transceiver rig works , turn on once
into a dummyload 10 watts out $80.00
5. ICOM IC-22S 2 meter mobile transceiver, turns on $40.00
6. SWAN CYGNET 270 transceiver works OK $65.00

ACCESSORIES........

7. YAESU YC-500J Frequency Counter $59.00
8. YAESU black series 601 external speaker $30.00
9. PALOMAR P310X Preamplfier $40.00
10. COURIER PORT-A-LAB mod/power/swr/field strength $29.00
11. HAL ST-5000 $20.00

WATTMETERS......

12.. BIRD 100C $40.00

13. AMECO 621 50/144/220 MHZ $39.00 untested, nice

POWER SUPPLIES
14. SWAN PSU-1 power supply/speaker $40.00

KEYERS.............

15.. AUTEK MK-1 electronic keyer $35.00

RECEIVERS....

16. HYGAIN Proscan scanner $20.00

PADDLES........

17.. BENCHER BY-1 NEEDS SPRING $30.00

ANTENNA SWITCHES

18. 6 POSITION BW $35.00

19. YAESU LANDLINER BLACK 301 PHONE PATCH $30.00
20. PALOMAR NOISE BRIDGE $25.00
21. PALOMAR NOISE BRIDGE $25.00

22. YAESU BLACK External SPEAKER for 301 series $25.00
23. KENWOOD MS-1 DC DROP IN FOR TR-2500 $20.00
24. KENWOOD SMC-25 SP/MIC $15.00
25. ICOM HM-46 SP/MIC $14.00

THANK YOU, & 73,

ALL PLUS SHIPPING CHARGES.......

Thank You & MERRY NEW YEAR..

Leo KJ6HI

PH/FAX (310) 670-6969

3635. George soon to go to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <870mc1$7u4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120090

In article <870iul$5oi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> Check it out Mr Crack website has been updated check it out!
> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
> See how the biggest loser in Amateur Radio lives. Photographic proof
> that he is a real loser!
> 73
> Covert62
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Good post Oris. Let's do an IP trace shall we?

Local Alias List: (null)
Hostname: r-152.53.alltel.net
IP Address: 166.102.152.53

User info;
ORIS A. GRIM, III
5591 JOT EM DOWN RD
DANIELSVILLE GA 30633
USA

Way to go Oris. You have virtualy guaranteed your buddy George a trip to
jail and a a large fine. Papers will be filed Monday. Thanks! And say
hello to your 250 pound pig wife for me. She has some surprises coming
up as well!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3636. W2ZBB on the way to jail...Thanks to Oris!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <870mm3$88p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <7qIk4.1309$38.56668@news.ntr.net>,
Oris Grim, and his buddy George, in violation of a court order wrote:
> Libelous page snipped

Pack a toothbrush George, you will need it.
>
>
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3637. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3893DF5F.5D7B651A@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120092
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > Follow-up set to just misc
> >
> > In rec.radio.cb (and 7 other NGs) Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
> >
> > >When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was incapable
> > >of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W gun
> > >that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
> > >the heck out of the connector!
> >
> > Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
> > of yours.
>
> I chose not to. That is not the same as "can't."
>
> If it matters to you that much, email me. There is no need to embarrass
> him in public.

At this point, it is either embarrass HIM or embarrass YOU. If you provide his call, he'll at least have the opportunity to refute your charges.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

3638. Re: NEWSLINE..Crap Exposed..STAY TUNED <<---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <3893e1f9.36148075@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 120093
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This post is just here for trolling purposes....

On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 23:31:51 -0500 (EST), sherinsmiami@webtv.net
(Robert Sherin) wrote:

>
>--WebTV-Mail-744-1997
>Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
>
>Would you be so kind as to identify the "minoins and Brown-nosers." Or
>is your post a sly way of anticipating and defeating all NEWSLINE
>support?
>
>Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>Miami, Florida
>
>
>--WebTV-Mail-744-1997
>Content-Description: signature
>Content-Disposition: Inline
>Content-Type: Text/HTML; Charset=US-ASCII
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>AUTOSTART=true LOOP=infinite>
>
>
>
>
> Robert (Bob) Sherin
>
>
>
>
>

>--WebTV-Mail-744-1997--

The kf6foz BBS
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

3639. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26427-3893FAA3-38@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 120094

Who is this low class ham's Wife?

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3640. Re: Could it be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <f3Uk4.10581$_G1.199485@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 120095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Because it started in amateur NG's & you moved it, so I am putting
it back where it rightly belongs.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
> Why are you bringing a .scanner thread over to the amateur NGs, John?

3641. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <doormatt@NOSPAMbigpond.com>
Message-ID: <92Vk4.2522$VJ1.4904@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 120096
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

Well given that the majority of newsgroups that you have posted to (using another persons amateur radio callsign) have been amateur radio orientated sites I think that it is very relevant. There is of course no requirement for people to use their ham radio callsigns (if they have them) on the net, but this still does not change the fact that you are in effect saying that you are that person - i.e. G8ASO. He is saying that he is not happy with you masquerading under his callsign. As most people would see his callsign as being the sender of that post they would think that it was him who had written it and was supporting your site. Stop being silly, change your posting ID and stop trying to pretend that you are him. Hmmm if I were G8ASO then I guess that I might be flattered, after all they say that imitation is the sincerest form of flattery - or words to that effect.

Matt

G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com> wrote in message
news:86vaca$23q6$1@dolf.netfront.net...
> EEEERM, when where "callsigns" first used on Internet?
>
>
> ------
> Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
> Complaints to news@netfront.net

3642. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: stephenbowden@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <389424d6.82465623@news.bcandid.deja.com>
Source record: 120097
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

"Matt" <doormatt@NOSPAMbigpond.com> wrote:

>Well given that the majority of newsgroups that you have posted to (using
>another persons amateur radio callsign) have been amateur radio orientated
>sites I think that it is very relevant.

Please take this obviously fascinating but to some of us rather
esoteric debate out of uk.media.radio.archers - we are radio amateurs
in only a virtually obsolete sense of the term.

Follow-ups set.

--
Stephen

Animops Digital Rostrum - Ken Morse

3643. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Maxwell <tmaxwell@nobleharbor.com>
Message-ID: <3894269F.6DDCE1EA@nobleharbor.com>
Source record: 120098
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Joe K7JOE wrote:
> >
> > Bravo, Cecil. You speak the truth. As an extra class 20 WPM ham who
> > enjoys CW, I decided to do my share elmering / being a VE. So,

etc...

> Good for you, Joe. If the PCTA folk follow your example, CW will
> remain alive and well. If they don't, only they are responsible
> for the consequences.

Consequences? Really? So while you and yours have been
teaching "cw",
everyone else on the planet have been rubbing their hands
together
with great anticipation. OVER HAM ALLOCATIONS! What is wrong
with you?
For the last few years all I've heard is whining over all
those new
codeless people... all WHO? The numbers are pathetic... If
we don't get
some REAL numbers soon... there won't BE any frequencies
left. Look around
you. Look at the malls, all those kids with portable
wireless "things" no
matter how bright or gheeky they are... you see anyone with
a code key? Hmm?
They play with digital equipment like we played with the old
tube things.
Meanwhile more and more pressure is put on the band
allocations. Sigh.. oh
for those days when you could get hot with the gap... spark
gap that is...
Oh? those days are gone eh? obsolete you say... well so is
the "amateur radio,
ham, elmer" or what have you. The days of exciting radio
toys are passing...
fast.
You just wait for the coming bio/nano revolution, it won't
be long now. When
that stuff (FRPG's) goes surplus how you going to keep them

down on the Radio
farm then? Say by-by to all or at least most of the current
seriously under used
frequencies we do have. Then what? Reconstruction's?
Reenactments? Radio models?
I hope you know what the exhibit will say... "CW, the end of
radio as we knew it".

Remember the Dinosaurs.
and,
the "Hams".
Both good examples of the walking dead.

> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3644. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <W0GUOBkpsgmDHWfQ3fXjTP8HR39V@4ax.com>
Source record: 120099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 30 Jan 2000 04:11:11 GMT, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au> wrote:

>In rec.radio.amateur.space Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:
>> If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the copy
>> RTTY manually.
>
>Now THAT would be impressive.. 10 WPM Morse not so hard.

10 WPM RTTY isn't so hard either. But 60 WPM RTTY is of similar
difficulty to copy by ear as 60 WPM Morse. I can recognize RYRYRY
and my call sign in 60 WPM RTTY, but that's about all I can do by
ear with it. I can't even do that with Morse, but that's because I
don't operate much Morse. I do, or did before PSK31 came along,
operate quite a bit of RTTY.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

3645. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38944495.66D1420D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120100

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >How would you like evidence that an un-named extra didn't know
> >what common-mode currents are and accused the ham engineers of
> >a *world-wide* conspiracy to keep the truth hidden? :-)
>
> Why would he want the world to know that he didn't know? =:o

Wouldn't you be embarrassed to admit it?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3646. Re: Mr Crackers webb page updated Come see!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <871l23rcs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120101

covert62@my-deja.com wrote in message <870iul$5oi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Check it out Mr Crack website has been updated check it out!
>http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
>See how the biggest loser in Amateur Radio lives. Photographic proof
>that he is a real loser!
> 73
> Covert62

I must say it is a rather funny site.
Congrats to whomever the author is.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3647. Re: George soon to go to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <871l8u$rm3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120102

suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote in message <870mc1$7u4
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <870iul$5oi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
>> Check it out Mr Crack website has been updated check it out!
>> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
>> See how the biggest loser in Amateur Radio lives. Photographic proof
>> that he is a real loser!
>> 73
>> Covert62
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>
>Good post Oris. Let's do an IP trace shall we?
>
>Local Alias List: (null)
>Hostname: r-152.53.alltel.net
>IP Address: 166.102.152.53
>
>User info;
>ORIS A. GRIM, III
> 5591 JOT EM DOWN RD
> DANIELSVILLE GA 30633
> USA
>
>Way to go Oris. You have virtualy guaranteed your buddy George a trip
to
>jail and a a large fine. Papers will be filed Monday. Thanks! And say
>hello to your 250 pound pig wife for me. She has some surprises coming
>up as well!

You be sure to get the finest lawyer...the on from the league again.
Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3648. Re: You're missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <871lcbrmn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120103

possum belly wrote in message <8705gf$t58$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <86vk53$7cb$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
><FLOOD!>
>
>when DR hate fingkers hits the key bored you no a sting a lies bout to
>bee tolt. i herd that. ole obi-wandrin duh nomad AY2F never say he make
>in money less he all most broked. Daisy must a kick out DR hate fer a
>few day fer not pay in the lite bill. or else she done went an cot him
>wid sum lil boy agin.i herd that. jus like he done did in the dent tall
>offise for he was cot. an had his licents revocated.i herd that. you
see
>ole obi-wandrin duh nomad AY2F has a lil problemo wid the lil boys. he
>cudnt left his hands off them.i herd that. that most probly why lil
>ricky done went an turnt coksuker on him. he pay in bak his pappy fer
>all the lil boys he molestered.i herd that. ones up on a time there was
>a lil boy a name philip rosenblatt. ole obi-wandrin duh nomad AY2F dont
>like to be reed minded bout that lil boy.i herd that. you no
why???????
>cos that the lil boy who now own ole obi-wandrin duh nomad AY2F. that
>the lil boy who done put DR Hate on the street over ten yeer ago.i herd
>that. he growed up now. except in he still own DR Hate. you all no why
>to. i herd that.

The only thing you hear are the many footsteps of the roaches running
along your walls of your hovel.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3649. FS: Drake 7 line manual copies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9sqg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000130094615.26665.00000399@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 120104

For fellow Drake enthusiasts, I can provid a set of copies of manuals for the 7 line including TR-7 service manual, R7 service manual, and copies of owner's manuals for almost everything in the 7 line including general coverage mods for the TR7. $26 shipped priority mail, conus only.

73

Evan

3650. Re: Amateur Restructuring Announcement - FCC screws Ham Radio!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3894518F.C2AA76E2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120105
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Tim Maxwell wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Good for you, Joe. If the PCTA folk follow your example, CW will
> > remain alive and well. If they don't, only they are responsible
> > for the consequences.
>
> Consequences? Really? So while you and yours have been
> teaching "cw",
> everyone else on the planet have been rubbing their hands
> together
> with great anticipation. OVER HAM ALLOCATIONS! What is wrong
> with you?

Uhhhhhh Tim, the "consequences" I was talking about referred only
in context to the *consequences for the CW mode*, not the ARS.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

3651. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <389453e9_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 120106

Troll alert!!

--
Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"bogart" <b_o_g_a_r_t@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:86tglm$4t2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Hello,
>
> Just received my passing score as a no-code technician, and am
awaiting
> my license from the FCC. The other week I overheard some folks
talking
> on the radio and they kept saying things like "two meter" and "ten
> meters", etc when talking about their radios. I only have a cheap
> Kenwood that I change channels with three wheels that kind of look
like
> the odometer in my car. So, I know my radio is too cheap to have any
> meters on it, but what could the second meter be for, or for crying
out
> loud, why would anyone want a radio with TEN meters on it? What are
they
> all for? A neighbor that has moved away used to be a HAM, and one
day he
> was showing off all of his equipment and what he likes to do with
it. I
> remeber when he was harping on his watts and srw's or swr's, and he
was
> showing me on this separate meter that he kept switching a switch to
> show me reflections or something. His meter would show more than one
> thing, so why would a radio need two meters or TEN! meters? Why
can't I
> just buy outside meters like he had and keep my radio cost down? I
am
> sure a big, expensive radio that has many meters wouldn't make sense
for

> me. I am not looking to buy anything soon, but would like to be more
> ready for when I can.
>
> Thanks for helping a new HAM!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3652. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Republican <"May England be delivered">, soon, "From the festering sore of monarchy And the cancer of the crown.May Englishmen enjoy the boon Of pure", "unshackled liberty.May democracy abound."
Message-ID: <949246639.15664.1.nnrp-08.9e98250c@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 120107
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

Stephen <stephenbowden@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:389424d6.82465623@news.bcandid.deja.com...
> "Matt" <doormatt@NOSPAMbigpond.com> wrote:
> >Well given that the majority of newsgroups that you have posted to (using
> >another persons amateur radio callsign) have been amateur radio
orientated
> >sites I think that it is very relevant.
> Please take this obviously fascinating but to some of us rather
> esoteric debate out of uk.media.radio.archers - we are radio amateurs
> in only a virtually obsolete sense of the term.

Perhaps by posting your whingeing irrelevance to so many newsgroups, you
are a pot calling the kettle black?

3653. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <m6Zk4.2450$P5.6141@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120108

IF bogart??? passed the no-code test and still has no idea what "meters"
are,
then he should change his name to bogus.

I am sure Kenwood loves to hear his endorsement for their cheap radio.

but thanks for the posting in these times we all need a little humor. ;)

3654. Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389460B3.11313913@bctv.com>
Source record: 120109
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > >
> > > Follow-up set to just misc
> > >
> > > In rec.radio.cb (and 7 other NGs) Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was incapable
> > > >of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W gun
> > > >that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
> > > >the heck out of the connector!
> > >
> > > Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
> > > of yours.
> > >
> > I chose not to. That is not the same as "can't."
> >
> > If it matters to you that much, email me. There is no need to embarrass
> > him in public.
>
> At this point, it is either embarrass HIM or embarrass YOU. If you
> provide his call, he'll at least have the opportunity to refute your
> charges.

Why would I be embarrassed? It isn't like Jeff is going to call him up and hear "Yup, I dunno the first thing about working on radios." The ham in question made a big name for himself in the Prescott, Arizona area in the late 1980s. I have no idea if he is still there or not, and I really don't much care. I stopped paying attention to him after the mike-jack incident and after I had to make a 120-mile round trip to go turn a serial cable into a null-modem cable because he wasn't able to desolder and resolder two leads in a DB9 connector.

The only one I'm embarrassed for is Jeff. He is so impressed with being an Extra that he thinks all Extras are something special, and is convinced that I can't be telling the truth about this.

3655. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3894629B.7FB5DCB@bctv.com>
Source record: 120110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gary Coffman wrote:
>
> On 30 Jan 2000 04:11:11 GMT, Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au> wrote:
>
> >In rec.radio.amateur.space Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the copy
> >> RTTY manually.
> >
> >Now THAT would be impressive.. 10 WPM Morse not so hard.
>
> 10 WPM RTTY isn't so hard either. But 60 WPM RTTY is of similar
> difficulty to copy by ear as 60 WPM Morse. I can recognize RYRYRY
> and my call sign in 60 WPM RTTY, but that's about all I can do by
> ear with it.

About a year and a half ago, the TV station I was working for had an apparent problem with the captioning system. The Vectorscope showed a visual trace of the incoming caption signal, and (while the engineers were starting to tear apart the control room, trying to figure out what was happening) I took a moment to look at it. The signal was a repetitive set -- ABC's version of RYRYRYRY. When I explained it (these guys didn't even know what RTTY was), they contacted the network, who confirmed that they weren't sending text -- they were sending RY<clear>RY<clear>RY<clear>!

3656. MI - Livonia Amateur Radio Club - SWAP-N-SHOP - 2-20-2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Billy <billy@n8hqg.mi.org>
Message-ID: <7qZk4.602$sN.48464@typhoon1.rdc-detw.rr.com>
Source record: 120111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,mi.misc

---

Sunday February 20, 2000
8:00 - 12:30

The 30th Annual

LIVONIA AMATEUR RADIO CLUB

S W A P - N - S H O P

Buy, sell, electronic equipment
including ham radios, parts, computers etc...

2nd Year at our
******* N E W L O C A T I O N ********

Location: William M Costick Activity Center
28600 W. 11 Mile Road
Farmington Hills, MI

1 1/4 Miles East of Orchard Lake Road
-Exit Orchard Lake Road off I-696
South 1/2 Mile to 11 Mile Road
Left (east) on 11 mile 1 1/4
-Just east of Middlebelt Road
on the North side of the road.

****

Admission: $5
Tables: $16/8ft.
Talkin: 145.35MHz (-)

For additional information call (734) 261-5486
or write swap@larc.mi.org

Visit our Web Site at: http://www.larc.mi.org

Mark your calendars for Sunday February 20, 2000!!!

AND TELL ALL YOUR FRIENDS.....

--
Bill Johnston, W8WSJ mailto:president@larc.mi.org
icbmto: 042*21'55.21" N
083*28'19.57" W @727ft

3657. Re: Question--I am a new HAM, and am trying to learn...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: john_benett@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <871sal$7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120112

Bogart,

If there is a ham radio club anywhere near you,
join it and go along. Meet a few "Elmers" - good
ole boys who've seen and done it all before.
They, in the true ham spirit, will help you out
for sure. You won't be sorry.

Regards & 73 Dave

In article <86tglm$4t2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
bogart <b_o_g_a_r_t@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Hello,
>
> Just received my passing score as a no-code
technician, and am awaiting
> my license from the FCC. The other week I
overheard some folks talking
> on the radio and they kept saying things like
"two meter" and "ten
> meters", etc when talking about their radios. I
only have a cheap
> Kenwood that I change channels with three
wheels that kind of look like
> the odometer in my car. So, I know my radio is
too cheap to have any
> meters on it, but what could the second meter
be for, or for crying out
> loud, why would anyone want a radio with TEN
meters on it? What are they
> all for? A neighbor that has moved away used to
be a HAM, and one day he
> was showing off all of his equipment and what
he likes to do with it. I
> remeber when he was harping on his watts and
srw's or swr's, and he was
> showing me on this separate meter that he kept
switching a switch to
> show me reflections or something. His meter
would show more than one
> thing, so why would a radio need two meters or
TEN! meters? Why can't I
> just buy outside meters like he had and keep my
radio cost down? I am
> sure a big, expensive radio that has many

meters wouldn't make sense for
> me. I am not looking to buy anything soon, but
would like to be more
> ready for when I can.
>
> Thanks for helping a new HAM!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3658. Yaesu vx5r tone squelch problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: larry_brown22@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <389468c7.148744172@news.dnai.com>
Source record: 120113

Hello:

I've got a pair of vx5r's that my wife and I use simplex while skiing. Every once in a while, I have troubles with the tone squelch. The radio transmits, but either doesn't transmit the tone, or the other radio doesn't decode it. I can't tell which, because I've never been able to reproduce the problem in the presence of another radio to test with.

I am aware that early vx5r's had a problem with slow decode of tone squelch, and both of mine have been back to the factory for this issue. When things work, I don't seem to have a problem with slow tone squelch opening. When things don't work, the tone squelch simply doesn't open.

Has anybody else experienced something like thist?

Thanks, Larry n6txx
e-mail: larry_brown22@hotmail.com

3659. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Flanagan <markf@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <s98sfspt5io160@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120114
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Who cares? I don't feel either is embarassed. Somebody provided some
hearsay evidence. Accept it or dismiss it. It doesn't matter much anyway.
The lines are drawn and nobody is crossing over. This is not a debate it
has become just a forum for everyone to vent their personal frustrations.

Mark, K1MKF

Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com> wrote in message
news:3893DF5F.5D7B651A@cats-net.com...
> Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > >
> > > Follow-up set to just misc
> > >
> > > In rec.radio.cb (and 7 other NGs) Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was
incapable
> > > >of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W
gun
> > > >that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
> > > >the heck out of the connector!
> > >
> > > Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
> > > of yours.
> >
> > I chose not to. That is not the same as "can't."
> >
> > If it matters to you that much, email me. There is no need to embarrass
> > him in public.
>
> At this point, it is either embarrass HIM or embarrass YOU. If you
> provide his call, he'll at least have the opportunity to refute your
> charges.
>
> Dave 5H3US, K8MN
```

3660. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <Av_k4.2523$P5.7223@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120115
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who care if one operator is incompetent? As long as they don't cause a
problem why bother. If ones
ego demands that everyone meet a standard then remember ----judge not least
ye be judged

If he is causing a problem then string em up with his own coax :>)

who?

3661. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1w_k4.3372$Hz5.68608@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120116

We are working feverishly on stealth antennas for senior quarters. Haven't you seen the glorious new Ventenna advertised in QST. Its a 80-10 meter antenna that looks like the air vent from a plumbing stack. Low SWR...agreed not much of a radiator due to high angle of radiation, but very good for local work.

Then of course we have the remote stations becoming more popular. You control the remote with a UHF signal.

Ya know...us OT's will probably be around HR for a long time...working you all from the beach, sucking up your hard earned Social Security contributions. Don't write us off too quickly.
Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:3893B4BD.CEE89EA1@bctv.com...
>
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > Follow-ups set to just .misc
> >
> > In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
> > >For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever)
> > >light up on HF.
> >
> > You took a poll? You didn't ask me, nor did you ask any Hawaii Extras,
> > so your conclusion is invalid.
>
> Actually, there is a company which is was seeking to cut a chunk out of
> the HF allocations. They figured that the Extra freqs were being
> underutilized, and they hired a polling company to go to hamfests and
> club meetings nationwide, including Dayton, a year or two ago. I don't
> have the actual data, but what I heard (I'm a TV newscritter by
> profession) was that something like 1/3 of the Extras don't own HF gear,
> and less that 50% of the remainder work HF "at least once each month."
>
> I dunno about Hawaii, but if it gets right down to it, the Elect in
> Sodom-on-the-Potomac think that your governor's last name is McGarrett,
> and they don't much care what anyone thinks in any state where you buy
> Best Foods.
>
> > >Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
> > >entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
> > >something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
> > >years ago.
> >
> > That's like saying a marathon winner only ran fast one time in his life.

> > Unlikely.
>
> If you say so.
>
> > >Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new
> > >hams onto the air in the next decade,
> >
> > Millions? Yikes! There's already a code-free license for V/UHF which
> > didn't draw millions, so you must believe that with the creation of
> > a slow-code HF ticket, millions will flock to HF.
>
> It worked until 1963. Remember Lafayette Electronics? Knight-Kit?
> HEATHKIT?! These companies went TU, in large part because the growth of
> ham radio came to a screeching halt with "Incentive Licensing."
>
> > Let's crunch some numbers: Our M/HF spectrum consists of 3.75 MHz,
> > with say, only half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for communication at
> > any one particular time of the day. If suddenly, as if by magic,
> > all current licensees were granted M/HF privileges, we could possibly
> > have 670,000 have attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay, I'll grant
> > you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any one time,
> > we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two per QSO.
> > That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz. =:o
> >
> > Okay, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time,
> > with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time: Now
> > each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.
> >
> > Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Suppose
> > we could manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency;
> > each such grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.
> >
> > That's with our current 670 kilohams. And you desire "millions"?
>
> Right now, YOU are on the COMPUTER. A few thousand General, Advanced
> and Extra operators are on repeaters. Another couple of thousand are on
> digital radio. There is room for another million hams in the next ten
> years, if for no better reason than a large percentage of our current
> hams will go SK due to age, or they will get off HF due to the
> restrictions in retirement and condo villages.

3662. Re: W2ZBB on the way to jail...Thanks to Oris!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8720q1$38o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In article <870mm3$88p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <7qIk4.1309$38.56668@news.ntr.net>,
> Oris Grim, and his buddy George, in violation of a court order
wrote:
> > Libelous page snipped
>
> Pack a toothbrush George, you will need it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

rhshrshrh

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3663. IC- Q7A Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ve9hi@nbnet.nb.ca
Message-ID: <3894790b.1841600@news.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 120118

Can anyone help with any mod info for this fine little ht?

3664. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ? <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <20%k4.2537$P5.7635@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120119

> > >Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
> > >entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
> > >something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
> > >years ago.
I have the utmost respect for anyone who can copy 20wpm.
I recently passed 5wpm and with the problems I have with my hearing
I consider myself lucky to do that. 20wpm is an accomplishment and
should never be discounted no matter when it happened.
kb9thn@hotmail.com

3665. Re: You're missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87239p$564$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120120

In article <86vk53$7cb$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> For the hundreds of thousands who have been sending e-mails,
telegrams and
> letters asking of my whereabouts on 14.313 and this "bored," I thank
you but
> I've been very busy making bread on the Internet and the last place
I'd want
> to waste my valuable time would be here or on 14.313. I just bought a
> $48,000 Jaguar and I need another 2.5K to seal the deal in cash
therefore
> I've been putting in a lot of time working. In other words I'm
looking for
> an L2K(loot two thousand). I'll try to return here with some wit,
wisdom
> and sassy frassy plus satirical humor which most of you don't
understand to
> begin with so why waste my time? See you on down the logbook as the
> oldtimers say.
> AF2Y
>
I'm disapointed Doc. I thought you never paid retail.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3666. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: m_man54@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <38947d5e.42977575@news.gte.net>
Source record: 120121

On 30 Jan 2000 04:00:26 GMT, ycthulhu@aol.com (YCTHULHU) wrote:

>>
>>Do beer-bellied cheap-ass hams I see at hamfest get regular
>>sex or do they just whack-off to dirty pics on internet?
>>
>>
>
>What? You mean that ISN'T sex???
>
>Nyuk Nyuk Nyuk

No internet for them, they don't understand it..

3667. Re: George soon to go to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3894B562.3E61EF2C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120122

now dimmy everone knows that you n miss piggy ,possum belly, mr cracker are 1 and the same and we all know you posted the webpage to make yourself a victim again! so stop the crap all the good swl's and hams are tired of heering this crapp on the newsgrups so get a career or sumthing and take up anotther intrest like sowing nitting or whatever but stop with the wining and get on with life. some of us are delt bad cards but we play em and make the best of it. get sum help pleaze

pvt cueball s.w.l.

possum belly wrote:

> In article <870mc1$7u4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Way to go Oris. You have virtualy guaranteed your buddy George a trip
> to
> > jail and a a large fine. Papers will be filed Monday. Thanks! And say
> > hello to your 250 pound pig wife for me. She has some surprises coming
> > up as well!
> >
>
> Ahoy there CMDR obrian. you 109% rite bout them certificated idyots orus
> an gorge.i herd that. they must has to bee disaplined. fer disk reed
> garding the hi cord order. possum belly say disapline there asses.i
> herd that. disapline them fer the sake of it. disapline them coz they
> desserts it. disapline them coz they neats it. disapline them disapline
> them disapline them. i herd that. that the only way they gone lern.
> they must has to bee disaplined. they gots to bee disaplined. they
> neats to bee disaplined. i herd that. disapline them disapline them
> disapline them. they in core a gibbles. an this a cleer cut case of
> reed pete off fenders. i hates reed pete off fenders. dont you????? i
> herd that.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3668. Re: KC8JBO,busted AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3894B5E5.64B9990E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 120123

suzy alias mr cracker possum belly stop wining.

suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <20000126125243.17637.00000154@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
> n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM) wrote:
> > Roger is a gay man living with his mother in a shack in West
> > Virginia.....HO HO!
> > N8WGM
> >
>
> Why else would a 50 year old live with his mother? He just got nailed
> qrm'ing on twenty AGAIN. Word has it a big NAL is headed his way. I
> wonder if Mommy will help him pay it? She has clothed and housed this
> fruit since 1950, might as well.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3669. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Louis Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <389496B6.2B2C2B10@uakron.edu>
Source record: 120124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am a liberal and proud and proud of it!
http://www.ifip.com/liberal.htm
http://www.ifip.com/cj.htm
http://ifip.com/wa8phd.htm

Lou WA8PHD
http://www.ifip.com/

Keith Wood wrote:

> K0HB@aint.it.awful.org wrote:
> >
> > In a previous article, <vlfiscus@mcn.net> writes:
> > >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> > >
> > >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> > >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> > >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> > >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> > >everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
> > >whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
> > >checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
> > >Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
> > >wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
> > >to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
> > >of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
> > >this, it makes them feel important & needed.
> >
> > Uhhhhh, I think no-Code amateur radio in the USA was instituted by
> > the Reagan/Bush administrations.
>
> Not only that, it was the Kennedy Administration which chopped all those
> freqs out of the General band in the first place.

3670. Gun Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <872bs1$b6g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120125

A convicted felon with a gun? So where is gun control?
http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3671. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38949F4D.4D35A5DF@bctv.com>
Source record: 120126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Louis Albert wrote:
>
> I am a liberal and proud and proud of it!

Well, of course.

You don't know any better.

;)

3672. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3894A0BB.9485E17C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Louis Albert wrote:
> I am a liberal and proud and proud of it!

Q: Do you know what they call a liberal who has been mugged?

A: A conservative.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3673. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3894A267.59A9F82D@bctv.com>
Source record: 120128

Samuel Goldstein wrote:
>
> We are working feverishly on stealth antennas for senior quarters. Haven't
> you seen the glorious new Ventenna advertised in QST.

Yep. This will be the source of numerous EMS calls to Sun City
("83-year-old male, stuck on ladder, approximately 3 feet off ground").
;)

> Its a 80-10 meter
> antenna that looks like the air vent from a plumbing stack. Low SWR...agreed
> not much of a radiator due to high angle of radiation, but very good for
> local work.
>
> Then of course we have the remote stations becoming more popular. You
> control the remote with a UHF signal.
>
> Ya know...us OT's will probably be around HR for a long time...working you
> all from the beach, sucking up your hard earned Social Security
> contributions. Don't write us off too quickly.

Trust me, I'm not. At one disaster I worked, there was a little old guy
who had a packet rig mounted on his WALKER. He had plenty of strength
to move it, just reflexes too slow to safely walk unaided.

However, we ALL die. When I got my license (about 20 years ago), the
average age in the ham clubs that I visited (in California) was about
60. I was in my early 20s, and was the youngest one there by easily 40
years.

This is NOT the way to grow a hobby -- and it is sure not the way to
raise up defense of resources which others will eagerly pay the Feds a
lot of money to take over.

You can bet that there is some lobbyist somewhere, gleefully tracking
the SK lists, waiting for just the right numbers to come up before he
pounces on behalf of the company who sponsored that poll.

3674. Re: Gun Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <872evk$di7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120129

covert62@my-deja.com wrote in message <872bs1$b6g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>A convicted felon with a gun? So where is gun control?
>http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien/

Terrible, isn't it? It's probably the only way fatass can feel like a
man.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3675. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry <larya@home.com>
Message-ID: <jF1I4.69728$Dv1.1914838@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 120130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SomeBody wrote in message <388a543e.61974215@nntp.mindspring.com>...
>The reason the average age of an Amateur Radio operator has risen as
>high as it has is because of the attitude of the Amateur Radio
>operators. Granted, not all share this attitude, but those that don't
>are the exception, rather than the rule. I for one have been trying to
>understand this attitude, but have failed. If there are any hams out
>there who would like to enlighten me, I will be more than happy to
>further my education. Thanks in advance, Bill.
>

What 'attitude' are you speaking about? I have not been following any cb
threads in a long time.

larry ve3fxq

3676. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3J1l4.4486$Hz5.71797@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120131

This was exactly what I was saying...if the hobby doesn't grow from the pool of young people out there, the Feds will reallocate the spectrum when the hamdom critical mass falls off the radar screen. If no code is the future...then no code is the way to go.

I'm hardly at the walker stage and I leave my HT in my desk draw at the office for those times of the day when I can no longer take the work-a-day nonsense. I turn on the HT and get more of the same, but this time from different people.

I dunno, when I was a kid ham radio was magic, Dick Tracy had a wrist radio and that too was magic. I actually remembers kids in P.S. 3 who didn't have phones. The world is changing and I am sure we had better change with it.

I remember a time when a pleasant QSO lasted beyond the signal report...or the funniest tag line I have ever heard..."when last heard you were 5-2, QSL via the buro".

73's, Sam
K2LDK
Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:3894A267.59A9F82D@bctv.com...
>
>
> Samuel Goldstein wrote:
> >
> > We are working feverishly on stealth antennas for senior quarters.
Haven't
> > you seen the glorious new Ventenna advertised in QST.
>
> Yep. This will be the source of numerous EMS calls to Sun City
> ("83-year-old male, stuck on ladder, approximately 3 feet off ground").
> ;)
>
> > Its a 80-10 meter
> > antenna that looks like the air vent from a plumbing stack. Low
SWR...agreed
> > not much of a radiator due to high angle of radiation, but very good for
> > local work.
> >
> > Then of course we have the remote stations becoming more popular. You
> > control the remote with a UHF signal.
> >
> > Ya know...us OT's will probably be around HR for a long time...working
you
> > all from the beach, sucking up your hard earned Social Security

> > contributions. Don't write us off too quickly.
>
> Trust me, I'm not. At one disaster I worked, there was a little old guy
> who had a packet rig mounted on his WALKER. He had plenty of strength
> to move it, just reflexes too slow to safely walk unaided.
>
> However, we ALL die. When I got my license (about 20 years ago), the
> average age in the ham clubs that I visited (in California) was about
> 60. I was in my early 20s, and was the youngest one there by easily 40
> years.
>
> This is NOT the way to grow a hobby -- and it is sure not the way to
> raise up defense of resources which others will eagerly pay the Feds a
> lot of money to take over.
>
> You can bet that there is some lobbyist somewhere, gleefully tracking
> the SK lists, waiting for just the right numbers to come up before he
> pounces on behalf of the company who sponsored that poll.

3677. Spelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <QM1l4.4519$Hz5.71728@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120132

Hi People...

Has anyone ever noticed the "Spelling" icon on your toolbars.

Gloryosky Zero...punch that one up, could improve the postings.

We can work syntax later.

3678. Re: Motorola MCS2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ojisan2@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3893827B.56F8392F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 120173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

After working in a Motorola MSS for the past ten yeas every MCS2000 I've
seen
have been mobile units, not hand held units.

N9NWO wrote:

> : Anyone know anything about this radio ? Motorola MCS2000
> :
>
> It is the handheld version of the series of 800 Mhz trunked radios.
> The unit is about $1650 each for Model II (alphanumeric display
> and keypad). Model I is cheaper (about $900) with less features.
> Very flexable radio for trunking.
>
> The MCP2000 is the mobile unit.
>
> Got one to sell?
>
> BTW, this is becoming the standard radio for police and public
> safety.

3679. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <cHLk4.2830$Hz5.63429@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I certainly would have mentioned it if I weren"t sworn to secrecy. I am afraid by alluding to the manually copied RTTY I may have given it away. I apologize.

The4 reason for the RTTY of course stemmed from the lack of capitals and lower case in the Morse Code. This is an enormous weakness given you could not tell where the sentences started...you had trouble describing things such as democrat and Democrat...big difference in meaning. So of course we had to develop a system that could distinguish between the upper and lower case. Eureka, baudot.

Next time around we can discuss analog and digital baudot...but perhaps we can leave that for another night.

Sam, K2LDK

Hap <hap@tscnet.com> wrote in message
news:16a69s4e1g0td3d5na7ork9art8sb820tl@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2000 16:03:07 GMT, "Samuel Goldstein"
> <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >Finally, someone out there figured out that in order to keep the amateur
> >service it has to have a political critical mass. The politicians count
> >votes when it comes to spectrum, if you want space on the usable portions
of
> >the spectrum we had best bring in plenty of young people. If code has to
go
> >to accomplish that, then good riddance to code. Personally, I copy about
13
> >wpm, well worth the effort...today I can copy the identifier on repeaters
> >and an occasional aurora contact on six. Hardly worth the effort.
> >
> >If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the
copy
> >RTTY manually.
> >
>
> You mean ther is a machine that will copy RTTY??? Now you tell me...

3680. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lSLk4.2832$Hz5.63546@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120175

Actually I have no inside information...but I have always thought that we had a better chance at spectrum if we had larger numbers. In fact I gave up code after I passed my General...then of course the idiots in Newington pushed for "incentive licensing" and we got smaller segments if we were insufficiently motivated to move to Advance or Amateur Extra. When I was first licensed the amateur spectrum allocation included a segment of frequencies 10000 MHZ and above. Well today we haven't got all that...why, because someone figured out a way to get something to oscillate at those frequencies and suddenly it became commercially feasible. The amateurs lost most of the territory. I am not sure there is a lesson in there but I pass it along as a piece of history.

In any event, I propose eliminating the Morse Code as a requirement and substituting a "psychological test" in its place. The requirement would be a certficate of mental health from an accredited psychologist or a psychiatrist. From what I have been hearing on 80 and 20, those bands would be deseted...until all of us moved in to fill the void.

Sam, K2LDK
Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:86vs7k$3b0$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Follow-ups set to just .misc
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Samuel Goldstein sjgold@optonline.net wrote:
>
> >Finally, someone out there figured out that in order to keep the amateur
> >service it has to have a political critical mass. The politicians count
> >votes when it comes to spectrum, if you want space on the usable portions of
> >the spectrum we had best bring in plenty of young people. If code has to go
> >to accomplish that, then good riddance to code.
>
> Hi Sam,
> What HF spectrum are we in danger of losing that would warrant lowering
> the code speed? (I assume you must be referring to HF, for we've had a
> code-free V/UHF license available for almost a decade now.) As we speak,
> proposals stand which would award us more HF spectrum with our current
> numbers.
>
> >Personally, I copy about 13 wpm, well worth the effort.
>
> Glad to hear you felt it was worth the effort!
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

> Private sailing lessons out of the Ala Wai Harbor. Email for appointment.

3681. Jimmy Obrien sex test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <870atfku1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120176

Find out what kind of man you truly are.
Take the Jimmy Obrien Sex test.
http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
Scroll to the bottom of the page to take the test.
New and updated
Covert62

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3682. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3893B649.B622E58F@bctv.com>
Source record: 120177
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Follow-up set to just misc
>
> In rec.radio.cb (and 7 other NGs) Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
>
> >When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was incapable
> >of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W gun
> >that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
> >the heck out of the connector!
>
> Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
> of yours.

I chose not to. That is not the same as "can't."

If it matters to you that much, email me. There is no need to embarrass
him in public.

3683. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3893B5BB.FFBABD3C@bctv.com>
Source record: 120178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> In article <38926E4B.7C4E930E@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Considering the sheer number of "appliance operator" Extras, it would be
> >amusing to see if anyone would pass a couple of questions on soldering
> >iron use . . .
>
> Most you you folks, regardless of license class, are appliance operators.
> There are very few of us left who build and operate our own gear on the
> air.

Hey! You can't include ME in that "you"! I consider factory gear to be
the seed of a station. ;)

I even still have an HW-2036.

3684. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3893B4BD.CEE89EA1@bctv.com>
Source record: 120179

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Follow-ups set to just .misc
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
> >For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever)
> >light up on HF.
>
> You took a poll? You didn't ask me, nor did you ask any Hawaii Extras,
> so your conclusion is invalid.

Actually, there is a company which is was seeking to cut a chunk out of the HF allocations. They figured that the Extra freqs were being underutilized, and they hired a polling company to go to hamfests and club meetings nationwide, including Dayton, a year or two ago. I don't have the actual data, but what I heard (I'm a TV newscritter by profession) was that something like 1/3 of the Extras don't own HF gear, and less that 50% of the remainder work HF "at least once each month."

I dunno about Hawaii, but if it gets right down to it, the Elect in Sodom-on-the-Potomac think that your governor's last name is McGarrett, and they don't much care what anyone thinks in any state where you buy Best Foods.

> >Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
> >entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
> >something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
> >years ago.
>
> That's like saying a marathon winner only ran fast one time in his life.
> Unlikely.

If you say so.

> >Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new
> >hams onto the air in the next decade,
>
> Millions? Yikes! There's already a code-free license for V/UHF which
> didn't draw millions, so you must believe that with the creation of
> a slow-code HF ticket, millions will flock to HF.

It worked until 1963. Remember Lafayette Electronics? Knight-Kit? HEATHKIT?! These companies went TU, in large part because the growth of ham radio came to a screeching halt with "Incentive Licensing."

> Let's crunch some numbers: Our M/HF spectrum consists of 3.75 MHz,
> with say, only half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for communication at
> any one particular time of the day. If suddenly, as if by magic,
> all current licensees were granted M/HF privileges, we could possibly
> have 670,000 have attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay, I'll grant
> you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any one time,
> we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two per QSO.
> That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz. =:o
>
> Okay, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time,
> with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time: Now
> each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.
>
> Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Suppose
> we could manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency;
> each such grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.
>
> That's with our current 670 kilohams. And you desire "millions"?

Right now, YOU are on the COMPUTER. A few thousand General, Advanced and Extra operators are on repeaters. Another couple of thousand are on digital radio. There is room for another million hams in the next ten years, if for no better reason than a large percentage of our current hams will go SK due to age, or they will get off HF due to the restrictions in retirement and condo villages.

3685. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000129230026.22420.00000389@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 120180

>
>Do beer-bellied cheap-ass hams I see at hamfest get regular
>sex or do they just whack-off to dirty pics on internet?
>
>

What? You mean that ISN'T sex???

Nyuk Nyuk Nyuk

3686. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <870dkvbsc2@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 120181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.amateur.space Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:
> If you really want to keep people out of the hobby you should make the copy
> RTTY manually.

Now THAT would be impressive.. 10 WPM Morse not so hard.

Hamish VK3SB
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

3687. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <870ck1hbv1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120182

Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:
>then of course the idiots in Newington pushed for "incentive licensing"

No, it was the government which did that:

Incentive licensing has been around almost as long as ham radio itself.
The idea of an IL structure was created in 1923. Back then the government
developed the Extra First Class amateur license; to be awarded the ticket
one had to be licensed for at least two years, take a test similar to the
First Class exam of that period, copy 20 wpm, and have a clean record.
Extras were then permitted to operate on a band that those with lessor
grade licenses were forbidden to use. That band (200-220 meters) had
originally been open to all hams.

Moreover, in 1959, the FCC asked hams, via a Notice of Inquiry, what
incentives could be tacked on to the Extra Class license.

It was the FCC which first suggested incentive licensing, not the ARRL.

>first licensed the amateur spectrum allocation included a segment of
>frequencies 10000 MHZ and above. Well today we haven't got all that...why,
>because someone figured out a way to get something to oscillate at those
>frequencies and suddenly it became commercially feasible. The amateurs lost
>most of the territory. I am not sure there is a lesson in there but I pass
>it along as a piece of history.

And the slow- and no-code technician licenses didn't do anything to
protect that spectrum. Maybe we should have offered free pizzas to
folks to get more interested in applying for those tickets.

>In any event, I propose eliminating the Morse Code as a requirement and
>substituting a "psychological test" in its place. The requirement would be
>a certficate of mental health from an accredited psychologist or a
>psychiatrist. From what I have been hearing on 80 and 20, those bands would
>be deseted...until all of us moved in to fill the void.

No problems on 80m -- the CW folks are very well behaved; you probably
mean 75m phone. Since all the riff-raff utilizes phone, maybe we should
ban that mode.

73, Jeff KH6O
Wanted: Rotating light beacon for the Ala Wai Harbor lighthouse project.

3688. software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium IT <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <IZnl4.16880$UL1.366365@nnrp4.clara.net>
Source record: 119983

Does your club need call logging software or a database for frequencies?
I can build these or anything else for this hobby to requirements.
For Further information contact

Email info@millennium-it.co.uk
Web - http://www.millennium-it.co.uk
Millennium I.T Systems Ltd
'I.T for business or individual requirements'

3689. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38961B8D.C90BE7BB@icss.net>
Source record: 119984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Charles C. wrote:
> > Since having an Advanced license will be a rarity after April, doubt Cecil
> > is studying for the Extra.
>
> In a CYA move, I am going to acquire a CSCE for Extra, good for a year. I
> am not going to use it to upgrade unless my Advanced status deteriorates.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Now the PCTA has a real mission - not to let your Advanced status deteriorate.
Are they up to the task?

3690. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com>
Message-ID: <8757bn$1lf9$1@dolf.netfront.net>
Source record: 119985
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

A callsign is assigned to a station and not a person, if amateur radio station G8ASO was established, then anyone using it must give the same call-sign irrespective of whether they have a call-sign of their "own".

As this is conducted over telephone lines, amateur radio call-signs are irrelevant! This is not an amateur radio station!

G8ASO

Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
Complaints to news@netfront.net

3691. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3896212E.4DF89D1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Charles C. wrote:
> > > Since having an Advanced license will be a rarity after April, doubt Cecil
> > > is studying for the Extra.
> >
> > In a CYA move, I am going to acquire a CSCE for Extra, good for a year. I
> > am not going to use it to upgrade unless my Advanced status deteriorates.
>
> Now the PCTA has a real mission - not to let your Advanced status deteriorate.
> Are they up to the task?

Dunno, Brian, am I a lame duck?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3692. Let's do some arithmetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8759jr$nm5$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119987

Regarding Sunday's ruckus on 14.275 about which Polly pleaded complete innocence, let's do some arithmetic. Polly and Billy Jack were on 14.313 and supposedly were jammed.Now Polly's been saying how happy he's been that a certain faction broke away from .313 in favor of .275 which theoretically was a peace promoter. If you'll check the archives, several weeks ago I posted a message saying that in the not too distant future Polly would invade 14.275 and wreck the armistice. Getting back to Sunday's debacle, I heard Polly tell Billy Jack on 14.313, "Let's go to .275." Now let's count. How many kHz are there between 14.313 and 13.275? Right-38.Why then didn't Polly suggest a move DOWN one by one to the first clear frequency if his motive was to talk to W4LLI? Why did he jump 38 kHz? Because he was LOOKING FOR AN ARGUMENT. K0ECK's assessment was right on target. Polly's move was premeditated and designed to create problems. He'll never change and hopefully Riley will FINALLY understand what's going on with W4FQF and take appropriate measures once and for all.
AF2Y, Doc

3693. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38963478.C103402A@icss.net>
Source record: 119988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Brian wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > > Charles C. wrote:
> > > > Since having an Advanced license will be a rarity after April, doubt Cecil
> > > > is studying for the Extra.
> > >
> > > In a CYA move, I am going to acquire a CSCE for Extra, good for a year. I
> > > am not going to use it to upgrade unless my Advanced status deteriorates.
> >
> > Now the PCTA has a real mission - not to let your Advanced status deteriorate.
> > Are they up to the task?
>
> Dunno, Brian, am I a lame duck?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

If the gubm'nt subsidized health care, no ducks would be lame.

3694. Re: You're missed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <875doo$8fu$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119989

It's $48,000 wholesale!

In article <87239p$564$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
wrote:

>In article <86vk53$7cb$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> For the hundreds of thousands who have been sending e-mails,
>telegrams and
>> letters asking of my whereabouts on 14.313 and this "bored," I thank
>you but
>> I've been very busy making bread on the Internet and the last place
>I'd want
>> to waste my valuable time would be here or on 14.313. I just bought a
>> $48,000 Jaguar and I need another 2.5K to seal the deal in cash
>therefore
>> I've been putting in a lot of time working. In other words I'm
>looking for
>> an L2K(loot two thousand). I'll try to return here with some wit,
>wisdom
>> and sassy frassy plus satirical humor which most of you don't
>understand to
>> begin with so why waste my time? See you on down the logbook as the
>> oldtimers say.
>> AF2Y
>>
>I'm disapointed Doc. I thought you never paid retail.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3695. should I re-up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <875ebm$ee8$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119990

I just went to see if I could access my old mailbox and couldn't but was extended this invitation to re-up. The thing is that MindSpring is doing a nice job and even though I harbor no real resentment against AOL aside from the connection and knocked offline problems, methinks I'll stay put. I swear that MindSpring allows access to over 26,000 newsgroups plus over 1000 from Microsoft's news server. I only wish I could copy and paste them here but cannot. It'd blow your minds providing that anyone on this board has one except for me and the Goodfellows. We are all geniuses!
AF2Y

AOL Mail

This account has been cancelled. To reactivate, the master account holder may call 1-888-265-8003.

Click here to try again.

Copyright © America Online, Inc.
All rights reserved. Legal Notices
Try AOL
Privacy Policy

3696. Re: Re G8ASO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Douglas Dedon <ddedon@intersurf.com>
Message-ID: <38964299.2CC032E1@intersurf.com>
Source record: 119991
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

the web site sux's too.

G8ASO* wrote:

> A callsign is assigned to a station and NOT a person, if amateur radio
> station G8ASO was established, then anyone using it must give the same
> call-sign irrespective of whether they have a call-sign of their "own" or
> not. Many people seem to forget this licence requirement, how often have you
> heard "I have G1XYU here with me"
> Bollocks!
> G1XYU is NOT there!, and if that call-sign were to be used the original
> station would have to close - log book entries filled in, and then a contact
> re-established as the station is identified by the new call-sign. If the
> original operator was then to speak, he would be legally required to
> identify himself [his station] as G1XYU, not G8ASO, until such time as G1XYU
> closed down. Actually, it would be G1XYU/M as this would not be established
> at the main station address for the G1XYU license.
>
> Easy if you read the BR68!
>
> As this news-group lark is conducted over telephone lines, amateur radio
> call-signs are irrelevant! This is not an amateur radio station! This is not
> amateur radio, and G8ASO said that, stroke M, of course!
>
> 70 Trees G8ASO
> none of this detracts from the web site though
> http://www.laughingpoliceman.com
>
> ------
> Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
> Complaints to news@netfront.net

3697. Re: where is otk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <875ehl$d2e$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119992

Good God almighty. David, if this really came from you, did you ever attend
any school?

In article <388E32B0.C914E2E6@hotmail.com>, david tolassi
<pvtcueball@hotmail.com> wrote:

>where is wb2otk and when can we expect his return to 20 meters and 75
>
>Richard has been away from that 850 far to long , we neen him back to
>straiten out all the mess on the bands.
>
>pvt. cueball
>

3698. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ICT <thurston@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <glrl4.1763$84.272841@alfalfa.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119993

Probably. =-]~

Kisshme Assh <dtolassi@nebell.com> wrote in message
news:eUzk4.6805$%87.248462@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Do beer-bellied cheap-ass hams I see at hamfest get regular
> sex or do they just whack-off to dirty pics on internet?
>
>
>

3699. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Leikhim <jleikhim@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <38965E24.7CF462E9@nettally.com>
Source record: 119994
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

filter test
--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
Jleikhim@nettally.com

"tv dinner by the pool,
i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967

"The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron

Dopeler effect: The tendency of stupid ideas to seem smarter when they come

at you rapidly.

3700. Re: Control Codes for Kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <ptul4.1506$38.66189@news.ntr.net>
Source record: 119995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Neil wrote
>To make a long story short, does anyone out there have the list of
>Kenwood control commands that may be sent from the PC to the rig (via
>the RS232 interface)? I can't seem to find it in any of my Kenwood
>manuals.

The Kenwood TS870 manual used to be available for download at their site.
The control codes for that rig are in the manual. I don't know if they are
the same as your rig however...

3701. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38950432.8514887@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119996
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 16:02:59 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Why would I be embarrassed? It isn't like Jeff is going to call him up
>and hear "Yup, I dunno the first thing about working on radios." The
>ham in question made a big name for himself in the Prescott, Arizona
>area in the late 1980s. I have no idea if he is still there or not, and
>I really don't much care. I stopped paying attention to him after the
>mike-jack incident and after I had to make a 120-mile round trip to go
>turn a serial cable into a null-modem cable because he wasn't able to
>desolder and resolder two leads in a DB9 connector.

The trouble is these damn connectors keep getting
smaller while I keep getting older. I'd just as soon
NOT have to solder DB9 connectors at all unless it
was an emergency repair.

More to the point, the
ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
competency anyway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3702. Re: Low Class Hams Wife hates them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl <kshoe@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3895C830.46A6@juno.com>
Source record: 120048

Also, Bob can you help me with my supittiday (fustrated, not paying attention to my spelling) that I cannot figure out how to respond to a "wevtv" posting. there is no function on my ns browser.

I was going to respond to this Robert guy....

thanks
Karl
kshoe@juno.com

3703. Re: George soon to go to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <872m5a$i91$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120049

rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <872hdk$f6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <871l8u$rm3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>>
>> suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote in message <870mc1$7u4
>> $1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>> >In article <870iul$5oi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>> > covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
>> >> Check it out Mr Crack website has been updated check it out!
>> >> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
>> >> See how the biggest loser in Amateur Radio lives. Photographic
>proof
>> >> that he is a real loser!
>> >> 73
>> >> Covert62
>> >
>> >Good post Oris. Let's do an IP trace shall we?
>> >
>> >Local Alias List: (null)
>> >Hostname: r-152.53.alltel.net
>> >IP Address: 166.102.152.53
>> >
>> >User info;
>> >ORIS A. GRIM, III
>> > 5591 JOT EM DOWN RD
>> > DANIELSVILLE GA 30633
>> > USA
>> >
>> >Way to go Oris. You have virtualy guaranteed your buddy George a
trip
>> to
>> >jail and a a large fine. Papers will be filed Monday. Thanks! And
say
>> >hello to your 250 pound pig wife for me. She has some surprises
>coming
>> >up as well!
>>
>> You be sure to get the finest lawyer...the one from the league again.
>> Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
>>
>> --
>> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Yeah, make sure you get an ARRL attorney, so they
>can lie for you once again, like they did with the FCC. Poor Riley that
>was his first blunder!<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Fatass would rather spend money on booze and drugs.
I've noticed that the "Mr.Cracker is a loser" site is down. Jimmy's
whining must've been the cause of that.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3704. Convicted Felon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <872r0tlev1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120050

http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
It would seem that Angelfire prefers to protect a known felon! The web site is no longer. Angelfire will censor the truth. James V. Obrien III is a convicted felon. The felony got him thrown out of the U.S. Navy after 14 years. There is photographic proof that this guy lives like a pig. Yet he wants to point his finger at innocent people. There was a road rage murder on I-75 the morning of the 28th. This guy has been heard on 2-meters as well as 75-meters saying ,"I have killed before and I will kill again!" He has been known to pick fights with people who have handicaps. I just want all to know the facts about this loser. He starts fights then when he gets hit back he cries "foul." What a big baby. The facts about this dangerous person are in web form. You can see the facts at
http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien
You can see the proof and judge for yourself!
73 and best wishes
from your friend
Covert62

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3705. KC8JBO Busted by a pair of 38's... ahhhh! (was: Re: KC8JBO,busted AGAIN)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <872rmm$lr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120051

Bob Sherin wrote in message <28239-3894E6C6-67@storefull-
242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>Inflammatory, rumor and innuendo. What relevancy does your post >have
to this NG?

His post has nothing, absolutely nothing to do with this ng.

>Are you sparking debate about someone's sexual orientation? Is it
>legitimate to criticise a guy because he is living with his Mom. More
>children, in my view, should do so, caring for their aging parents.
Not
>everyone has the good fortune of living in a lush home. Thank God if
>yours is more substantial.

The boy should have seen some of my former digs. I'd say the boy 's
parents didn't want any part of him.

>And finally, respected poster, does this leave your sexual orientation
>up for grabs?
>
>Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>Miami, Florida

Hehehehehe. Let's see I was a guitarist in a band when I was younger, I
really wasn't, let's say..."lacking for female companionship."
My detractors can't make THAT claim.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3706. Re: Convicted Felon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <872rto$m4e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120052

In article <872r0tlev1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/mrcracker
> It would seem that Angelfire prefers to protect a known felon! The
web
> site is no longer. Angelfire will censor the truth. James V. Obrien
III
> is a convicted felon. The felony got him thrown out of the U.S. Navy
> after 14 years. There is photographic proof that this guy lives like a
> pig. Yet he wants to point his finger at innocent people. There was a
> road rage murder on I-75 the morning of the 28th. This guy has been
> heard on 2-meters as well as 75-meters saying ,"I have killed before
and
> I will kill again!" He has been known to pick fights with people who
> have handicaps. I just want all to know the facts about this loser. He
> starts fights then when he gets hit back he cries "foul." What a big
> baby. The facts about this dangerous person are in web form. You can
see
> the facts at
> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien
> You can see the proof and judge for yourself!
> 73 and best wishes
> from your friend
> Covert62
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

The only thing that fatassed bubba has killed is several bottles of his
cheap-ass beer.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3707. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3894E5C3.3FA165D6@bctv.com>
Source record: 120053

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Follow-ups set to just .misc
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> Keith Wood wrote:
> >>> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>>> In rec.radio.cb (and 7 other NGs) Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
>
> >>>>>When I lived in Arizona there was a "prominent" Extra who was incapable
> >>>>>of operating a soldering iron. In fact, he complained that the 120W gun
> >>>>>that he bought to solder a mike jack was defective, because it melted
> >>>>>the heck out of the connector!
>
> >>>> Yet you can't provide a callsign of said Extra. Just another fantasy
> >>>> of yours.
>
> >>> I chose not to. That is not the same as "can't."
> >>> If it matters to you that much, email me. There is no need to embarrass
> >>> him in public.
>
> So it's better to embarrass all "prominent" Extras in Arizona?

Why would they be embarrassed? Not counting those who think I might be
talking about them, anyway.

> >> At this point, it is either embarrass HIM or embarrass YOU. If you
> >> provide his call, he'll at least have the opportunity to refute your
> >> charges.
>
> >Why would I be embarrassed?
>
> Because you got caught making up "facts" again.

Nope. But thanks for playing.

> If you want to carry
> any credibility on the NGs, back up your examples with evidence which
> can be confirmed by third parties, or at least give the "victim" in
> question a chance to defend himself.

Okay, so to your worldview, it is better for me to identify an incompent
to the world so that he can defend himself (and just how would he do
that?). Unique.

> >The ham in question made a big name for himself in the Prescott, Arizona
> >area in the late 1980s.
>
> So now you've pinpointed his approximate QTH and have limited the
> embarrassment to just "prominent" Extras in Prescott. The only fair thing
> to do now is to give his identity and provide him the chance to respond
> to your accusations.

As I said, if you want to know, email me. Then, if you put it on the
newsgroups, it's YOU who did it, not ME.

> >The only one I'm embarrassed for is Jeff. He is so impressed with being
> >an Extra that he thinks all Extras are something special, and is
> >convinced that I can't be telling the truth about this.
>
> Wrong again. I'm only interested in giving an accused

Under law, one is only accused when identified.

> a fair chance to respond so as to hear both sides of the story.

As I said, do you really expect him to proclaim his inability to work
basic electronic tools?

> There are too many
> one-sided accusations made in this forum. Very distasteful, and not
> gentlemanly at all. Fight fair, Keith.

I'm not fighting anything. You do that. I am simply reporting what I
know, which is the business I'm in.

3708. Re: Restructuring Ham Radio As We Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3894F01A.5956D080@home.com>
Source record: 120054

> Good attitude Mark!! You gonna get beat up over it but it still a good point
> of view.

It seems like the fcc is going to eventually start including a ham ticket
with monthly food stamp dispersments. Just give everybody one and get it over
with. Mike Burch K8MB

3709. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc2fng@qsl.net
Message-ID: <389fea26.11915411@news3.buffnet.net>
Source record: 120055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 19:56:05 GMT, Louis Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
wrote:

>I am a liberal and proud and proud of it!
>http://www.ifip.com/liberal.htm
>http://www.ifip.com/cj.htm
>http://ifip.com/wa8phd.htm
>
>Lou WA8PHD
>http://www.ifip.com/

Two guys were sitting on a park bench. One turned to the other and
asked, "Are you a Liberal or a Conservative?"

"I'm a Conservative," the other man proudly replied.

"Why are you a Conservative?" the first man asked.

"Because my father was a Conservative, and so were my grandfather, my
great grandfather, and his father before him," replied the other man.

"What if your father was a jackass, and so were your grandfather, your
great grandfather, and his father before him?" persisted the first
man. "What would you be then?"

The first man thought for a moment, then replied, "A Liberal."

73 DE KC2FNG

3710. Once again Jimmy O loser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8731pk$q07$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120056

Once again the loser comes up with lier threats. Maybe we will all get
lucky and the convicted felon will sell his computer to pay his lawyer!
The felon says the same thing over and over'"OH OH I'm getting a lawyer
BOO HOO!" Then he will lie about who I am. Oh maybe everyone will feel
sorry for him. Oh he is such the victum. Well well well I geuss you will
cry to your mommy. How many Handicaped people did you attack this week?
how are things at the welfare college your about to flunk out of.
The boy needs ETHICS!! Don't they teach ETHICS at that welfare school
he says he attends?? I wonder if Riley knows about him tring to QRM on
75 meters. I hear him now crying," I'm getting a lawyer monday!BOO HOO
BOO HOO!"
What a loser
http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien
240 hits in a hour! WOW! I could sell advertising on that page!
Call a lawyer Jimmy there is nothing you can do ITS ALL TRUE!
73 from your friend
Covert62
Have a nice jay!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3711. Need Nevda, Montana, Rhode island, Alaska, N & S Dakoda To

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: sjnoll@big-list.com
Message-ID: <3866b73f.498361@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120057

Check into the Channel Islands Chapter 10XInternational Net. Every
Wednesday On 28.340 Mhz, PST 10am-12noon & at 6pm-8pm. - 18.00-20.00Z
& 02.00-04.00Z. We need your 10-10 numbers. All others are invited,&
Nonmebers are also welcome. See you on Wednesday.
rcnoll@ix.netcom.com.
Ralph Noll

3712. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8733h4$6db$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120058

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:

>>>For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever)
>>>light up on HF.

>> You took a poll? You didn't ask me, nor did you ask any Hawaii Extras,
>> so your conclusion is invalid.

>Actually, there is a company which is was seeking to cut a chunk out of
>the HF allocations.

For what purpose?

>They figured that the Extra freqs were being underutilized,

A receiver connected to a good antenna would have lead them to "figure"
otherwise.

>and they hired a polling company

An HF receiver would have been cheaper.

>to go to hamfests and
>club meetings nationwide, including Dayton, a year or two ago. I don't
>have the actual data,

Ah, so you're making stuff up again.

>but what I heard

Hearsay...

>was that something like 1/3 of the Extras don't own HF gear,
>and less that 50% of the remainder work HF "at least once each month."

Something's quite odd about those "figures." I know of no amateurs who
go to club meetings, Dayton, or hamfests who aren't also very active
on the air.

You can't name the company, you can't state what their interests in HF
were, you can't name the polling company, you can't provide the actual
results of the ineptly conducted poll (a mail survey of as many Extras
as feasible would have yielded the most accurate results). It's all
fantasy.

>I dunno about Hawaii, but if it gets right down to it, the Elect in
>Sodom-on-the-Potomac think that your governor's last name is McGarrett,

Making up more stuff again. *Every* time the President flies across the Pacific to meet with other heads of state, he stops here for a round of golf with our governor. Some of his stopovers last just a half-day in order for them to play golf. This state (sadly) is heavily Democratic, thus, our governor has the President's ear. I won't begin to talk about about the Defense Department's interest and presence in Hawaii.

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions imprinted with the Henry classification formula applied to your prints.

3713. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87358u$7it$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120059

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>Keith Wood wrote:

>>>Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
>>>entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
>>>something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
>>>years ago.

>> That's like saying a marathon winner only ran fast one time in his life.
>> Unlikely.

>If you say so.

I do. And as a Technician, I don't believe you have any basis for forming
such an opinion (extras hitting 20wpm only once in their life).

>>>Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new
>>>hams onto the air in the next decade,

>> Millions? Yikes! There's already a code-free license for V/UHF which
>> didn't draw millions, so you must believe that with the creation of
>> a slow-code HF ticket, millions will flock to HF.

>It worked until 1963.

Millions flocked to HF in '63??

>Remember Lafayette Electronics? Knight-Kit?
>HEATHKIT?! These companies went TU, in large part because the growth of
>ham radio came to a screeching halt with "Incentive Licensing."

Your statement above doesn't at all support your claim that millions
flocked to HF in '63. And don't forget the importation of JA-made
gear beginning around that era helped to kill those fine companies.

>> Let's crunch some numbers: Our M/HF spectrum consists of 3.75 MHz,
>> with say, only half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for communication at
>> any one particular time of the day. If suddenly, as if by magic,
>> all current licensees were granted M/HF privileges, we could possibly
>> have 670,000 have attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay, I'll grant
>> you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any one time,
>> we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two per QSO.
>> That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz. =:o
>>
>> Okay, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time,
>> with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time: Now
>> each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.

>>
>> Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Suppose
>> we could manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency;
>> each such grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.
>>
>> That's with our current 670 kilohams. And you desire "millions"?

>Right now, YOU are on the COMPUTER.

With the HF rig tuned to 30m.

>A few thousand General, Advanced
>and Extra operators are on repeaters. Another couple of thousand are on
>digital radio.

Tune across the bands right now -- jammed -- wall to wall signals.

>There is room for another million hams in the next ten years,

Ah, now you've reduced your number to *one* million over ten years. But
it's much more desirable to attract quality operators than quantity;
our 3.75 megahertz of M/HF spectrum jammed with 14.313MHz-type QSOs
will endanger it more than lack of numbers.

73, Jeff KH6O
Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

3714. Re: what are the CW segments for each band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Ross <pragmatist@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <38951D14.E14CB67@kc.rr.com>
Source record: 120060

Try here:

http://www.arrl.org/field/regulations/bandplan.html

Lloyd Davies wrote:

> ??
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

--

"One World, One Web, One Program" - Microsoft Promotional Ad
"Ein Volk, Ein Reich, Ein Fuhrer" - Adolf Hitler

3715. Any hams have these ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3895254b.27218898@news.mint.net>
Source record: 120061

i know this is an odd request in a Ham radio group,but I respect most ham radio operators as electronic gurus,and like me have different kinds of electonics "laying around"......i am looking for a set of stereo level indicators vu meter type ,measures the power to your speakers.(rad shack,mura,etc....) if anybody has any laying around please e-mail me for further ......thanks 100 %

3716. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <873g74gv0@208.207.71.151>
Source record: 120062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >>>How would you like evidence that an un-named extra didn't know
> >>>what common-mode currents are and accused the ham engineers of
> >>>a *world-wide* conspiracy to keep the truth hidden? :-)
>
> >> Why would he want the world to know that he didn't know? =:o
>
> >Wouldn't you be embarrassed to admit it?
>
> I sure would. That's why your statement is so confusing. You say
> hams are keeping the truth hidden (which he should want) but he's
> calling it a conspiracy (implying he wants his lack of knowledge
> exposed).

Jeff, as ususl, before you place any credence in anything that Cecil the Great Obfuscator offers you might want to check into it a little further just to be sure he isn't changing the whole story to suit his Class Envy again. I mentioned that in looking through all the electrical and electronic engineering books I have, and even in Walt Maxwell;s antenna book "Reflections", not once was I able to find the term "common mode currents". So he has converted that to me insisting that there was an engineers conspiracy to withold it from everyone else.

What did you expect from him? Hell, he's the old Truth Teller who swore on a stack of bibles that he would NEVER go for an extra class license, no matter what. So look at him now, memorizing with the best of them. After all, he went to engineering school to learn how to build power lines, not radios.

3717. RE: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miguel Romeo <mromeoy@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <rFcl4.2434$9Q6.14228@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 120063
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

Tu puedes ser el siguiente.
En www.consupermiso.com te dan 5 ptas. por cada e-mail que recibas. Ninguno supera las 10k. Tu escoges cuanto leer y que leer, ya que eliges los temas en los que realmente est&#65533;s interesado. Para apuntarte usa mi e-mail como la persona que te recomienda y autom&#65533;ticamente ya ganas 100 ptas: mromeoy@hotmail.com

3718. RE: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miguel Romeo <mromeoy@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <0Gcl4.2435$9Q6.14314@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 120064
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

Tu puedes ser el siguiente.
En www.consupermiso.com te dan 5 ptas. por cada e-mail que recibas. Ninguno supera las 10k. Tu escoges cuanto leer y que leer, ya que eliges los temas en los que realmente est&#65533;s interesado. Para apuntarte usa mi e-mail como la persona que te recomienda y autom&#65533;ticamente ya ganas 100 ptas: mromeoy@hotmail.com

3719. Re: Posting to eight newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <s9avngoj5io105@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Mark Flanagan wrote in message ...
>
>Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
>news:3883414C.E8445F41@radiks.net...
>>
>> Posting to eight newsgroups at the same time carries some implications
>> that don't even have to be said.
>>
>> Dick McCollum N0BK
>
>
>I haven't passed a 13 wpm code test so I don't understand,.
>
>What are the implications that you are referring to?
>
>Mark, K1MKF
>
>
```

Nor have I, but his point is clear. Would you shout CQ on 8 frequencies simultaneously?

73, Charley, N8MQL

3720. Re: Any hams have these ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: none <none@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MEfl4.1355$gC3.65017@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120066

Try Fair Radio Sales in Ohio.

Skyhawk wrote in message <3895254b.27218898@news.mint.net>...
>i know this is an odd request in a Ham radio group,but I respect most
>ham radio operators as electronic gurus,and like me have different
>kinds of electonics "laying around"......i am looking for a set of
>stereo level indicators vu meter type ,measures the power to your
>speakers.(rad shack,mura,etc....) if anybody has any laying around
>please e-mail me for further ......thanks 100 %

3721. Control Codes for Kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neil <DontDoIt@ImNotHere.com>
Message-ID: <tJ6VOJxHNqMdkDl6Odvvw1vFndb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 120067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

I've been trying to use a program "BeaconSee" with my Kenwood 850, but apparently it doesn't have the correct command sequences to tune the rig under control of the PC.

To make a long story short, does anyone out there have the list of Kenwood control commands that may be sent from the PC to the rig (via the RS232 interface)? I can't seem to find it in any of my Kenwood manuals.

Any/all help is greatly appreciated.

Neil, N1DMA
Email to : NeilR@innermedia.com
(603) 465-2788, or (800) 962-2949

3722. Re: Once again Jimmy O loser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <874c6tngc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120068

```
Path: news!den-news-02.qwest.net!qwest!pulsar.dimensional.com!
dimensional.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.gate.net!news.acadiacom.net!209.12.218.215
From: root@warez.phantom.com (Dave)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Once again Jimmy O loser
Date: 31 Jan 2000 11:48:21 GMT
Organization: none
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <8zqqhf$jjXD$1@warez.phantom.com>
References: <8731pk$q07$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mail.acadiacom.net
X-Trace: news.gate.net 949324816 39180 209.12.42.4 (31 Jan 2000
13:20:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gate.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Jan 2000 13:20:16 GMT
X-No-Archive: yes
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.12.218.215
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:8325

Dave wrote in message <8zqqhf$jjXD$1@warez.phantom.com>...
>On Mon, 31 Jan 2000 04:07:18 GMT covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>: NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.102.54.62 ( r-54.62.alltel.net )
>:
>: Once again the loser comes up with lier threats. Maybe we will all
get
>: lucky and the convicted felon will sell his computer to pay his
lawyer!
>
>I don't have any love for the individual in question, but I must point
>out that your own web page is just as objectionable as any by him that
>we've had taken down in the past.
>
>Since I want to be consistent with past complaints about the subject
>individual's objectionable web pages, I have sent a letter of complaint
>about YOUR pages to abuse@angelfire.com.
>
>your usenet pal,
>Dave

You have no credibility..you post anonymously.
```

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3723. Re: Posting to eight newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Fay <robert.fay@unisys.com>
Message-ID: <8741ggkrp1@si05.rsvl.unisys.com>
Source record: 120069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You would if you were so full of yourself that you knew everyone had to hear
what you have to say...
Bob N0TVZ

"Charley Harp" <charp@htonline.com> wrote in message
news:s9avngoj5io105@corp.supernews.com...
Mark Flanagan wrote in message ...
>
>Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
>news:3883414C.E8445F41@radiks.net...
>>
>> Posting to eight newsgroups at the same time carries some implications
>> that don't even have to be said.
>>
>> Dick McCollum N0BK
>
>
>I haven't passed a 13 wpm code test so I don't understand,.
>
>What are the implications that you are referring to?
>
>Mark, K1MKF
>
>

Nor have I, but his point is clear. Would you shout CQ on 8 frequencies
simultaneously?

73, Charley, N8MQL

3724. FS Yaesu station $599.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioleo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <Bhil4.1403$fo.48901@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120070

I have a Very Nice Yaesu station, I recently acquired from an
estate.The condition is very good. I have turn each piece on Untested,
no modifications, and all original and selling it AS IS. Manual for
the FT-902DM only with all connecting cables....$599.00 Plus Shipping

YAESU FT-902DM HF TRANCEIVER VERY NICE with Yaesu hand microphone

YAESU YO-901 Multiscope

YAESU SP-901P External Speaker

YAESU FV-901DM Sythesized Scanning VFO

Thank You & 73,

Leo KJ6HI

Ph/Fax (310) 670-6969

3725. Red Panty Man on 14.313

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: k3eko@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <874fdepvm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120071

Does anyone know who the Red Panty Man is on 313?

73's,

Frank K3EKO

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3726. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3895BB10.FD98199F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So he has converted that to me insisting that there was an engineers
> conspiracy to withold it from everyone else.

I'm sure everyone remembers what you wrote, Dick, except you. Please go
review what you wrote so I won't have to re-publish it.

> What did you expect from him? Hell, he's the old Truth Teller who swore on a
> stack of bibles that he would NEVER go for an extra class license, no matter
> what. So look at him now, memorizing with the best of them.

Ahhhhhhh, but I am NOT going for an extra class license, Dick. I am going
for the Extra CSCE. I'm not actually going to upgrade so you, as usual,
are wrong.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3727. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tZjl4.48$wR.5370@news.flash.net>
Source record: 120073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:873g74gv0@208.207.71.151...
>
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > >> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >
> > >>>How would you like evidence that an un-named extra didn't know
> > >>>what common-mode currents are and accused the ham engineers of
> > >>>a *world-wide* conspiracy to keep the truth hidden? :-)
> > >
> > >> Why would he want the world to know that he didn't know? =:o
> > >
> > >Wouldn't you be embarrassed to admit it?
> > >
> > I sure would. That's why your statement is so confusing. You say
> > hams are keeping the truth hidden (which he should want) but he's
> > calling it a conspiracy (implying he wants his lack of knowledge
> > exposed).
>
>
>
> Jeff, as ususl, before you place any credence in anything that Cecil
the
> Great Obfuscator offers you might want to check into it a little further
just
> to be sure he isn't changing the whole story to suit his Class Envy again.
I
> mentioned that in looking through all the electrical and electronic
> engineering books I have, and even in Walt Maxwell;s antenna book
> "Reflections", not once was I able to find the term "common mode
currents".
> So he has converted that to me insisting that there was an engineers
> conspiracy to withold it from everyone else.

Actually, "common mode currents" are not understood/known by most engineers.
Heck, I had never heard of it, until a couple of years ago and I've been
working
with hardware engineers for 20 years (as R&D tech, then Software Engineer)

> What did you expect from him? Hell, he's the old Truth Teller who swore
on a
> stack of bibles that he would NEVER go for an extra class license, no
matter
> what. So look at him now, memorizing with the best of them. After all, he
> went to engineering school to learn how to build power lines, not radios.

Since having an Advanced license will be a rarity after April, doubt Cecil
is studying for the Extra.

3728. Re: Control Codes for Kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <874m1n$2oc$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

I have the ones for the IC-10 chipset (R-5000, TS-440,etc.) Are they the same? What chipset does the 850 use?
Brian Denley

--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

Neil <DontDoIt@ImNotHere.com> wrote in message news:tJ6VOJxHNqMdkDl6Odvvw1vFndb6@4ax.com...
> I've been trying to use a program "BeaconSee" with my Kenwood 850, but
> apparently it doesn't have the correct command sequences to tune the
> rig under control of the PC.
>
> To make a long story short, does anyone out there have the list of
> Kenwood control commands that may be sent from the PC to the rig (via
> the RS232 interface)? I can't seem to find it in any of my Kenwood
> manuals.
>
> Any/all help is greatly appreciated.
>
> Neil, N1DMA
> Email to : NeilR@innermedia.com
> (603) 465-2788, or (800) 962-2949
>

3729. New licensing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lee <dwl@slip.net>
Message-ID: <s9bofl7h5io31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 120075

Hi,

I read that effective April, 2000 only element 2 will be
required for the Technician class license. Will the
element 2 question pool change in April, i.e. will I be wasting
my time if I study the questions now and take the test
in April?

Thanks
David

P.S. please respond by e-mail if possible: DWL@slip.net

3730. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000131151128.23201.00001521@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120076

Roger, You seem angry. Try to settle down and post with some intelligence for once.

All I can say is you got a letter from Hollingsworth telling you to stop jamming 20 meters. You got that letter after myself and others figured out you were jamming. We self policed the ham band. You got caught and now you are pissed off at me for catching you. I was in your area and caught you jamming and you got a letter from the FCC
You jammed and I reported you and now you are angry at me. Sorry but I will report you again if you jam again. I will make sure you are off the air if you do it again.
You can threaten me all you want. You can call the police all you want...But if I catch you jamming again, you are history.

No MORE KC8JBO. NO MORE INVERTED V AT 40 FEET and I'll know where to get a real cheap Yeasu FT 920.

>I guess you go down there to molest the children in Florida during the
>winter.
>

Typical childish behavior..just stick to the facts that you got caught after I was in your area. You better make the connection that I was there, then you got a letter from Hollingsworth. See The Rain Report under letters. Tough luck jammer.

N8WGM

3731. Re: New licensing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10001312026220.4436-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 120077

On Mon, 31 Jan 2000, David Lee wrote:
> I read that effective April, 2000 only element 2 will be
> required for the Technician class license. Will the
> element 2 question pool change in April, i.e. will I be wasting
> my time if I study the questions now and take the test
> in April?

The new element 2 questions will be pulled from the existing elements 2 & 3A
question pools.

3732. Re: Is George Bush Sr. a Ham?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8752vt$9ld$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120078

N8WimpyGayMale wrote in message <20000131151128.23201.00001521@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>Roger, You seem angry. Try to settle down and post with some
>intelligence for once.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
You think YOU can make me angry? Don't flatter yourself, Ernie the Chipmunk.

>
>All I can say is you got a letter from Hollingsworth telling you to stop
>jamming 20 meters. You got that letter after myself and others figured >out you were jamming. We self policed the ham band. You got caught >and now you are pissed off at me for catching you. I was in your area and caught you jamming and you got a letter from the FCC
> You jammed and I reported you and now you are angry at me.

You're a liar.

>Sorry but I will report you again if you jam again. I will make sure you are off the air if you do it again.
> You can threaten me all you want. You can call the police all you
>want...But if I catch you jamming again, you are history.

More threats? Well, I'll be watching YOUR ham activities. And the police said if they catch you in my neighborhood again, you'll be gettting to know a black inmate named "Rufus" very INTIMATELY. But, then, you'd like that, wouldn't you?

>No MORE KC8JBO. NO MORE INVERTED V AT 40 FEET and I'll >know where to get a real cheap Yeasu FT 920.

And that would be the ONLY way you could afford an FT-920, isn't it, Ernie? Crawl back into your dirt-floored, musty, hole in the hill.

>>I guess you go down there to molest the children in Florida during the
>>winter.
>>
>
>Typical childish behavior..just stick to the facts that you got caught
>after I was in your area.

No, the city of Glen Dale had to disenfect the street after your visit though. And speaking of facts, where's the facts in anything you post? YOU are the one who stalked me, wanting my picture to jack off to, a

substitute for the gay male porn you regularly download.

<space reserved for TONDUHH's denials of his GAY lifestyle>

>You better make the connection that I was >there, then you got a
letter >from Hollingsworth. See The Rain Report under letters. Tough
luck >jammer.

Poor child molester TONDUHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH...
Here's the letter:

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman
<@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@>
<#################>

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at
various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the
basis and purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for
legitimate users. This serves as a Warning Notice that such operation
will not be tolerated. Additional incidents of operation of the type
described above will result in a monetary fine being levied against you
and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service
Enforcement Bureau

No mention of YOU and your perverted uncle. Anywhere. You have lamely
tried to overstate your importance on the newsgroup.
It's awfully funny Riley didn't respond to my phone calls, e-mails and
written apology. I guess that shows how much credence you have,
bucktoothed chipmunk.
I've already let Riley know about your little agenda. Poor baby. Now
he's seen the reports filed on you, stalker.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3733. A Blast from the Past..on gayboy n8wgm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <875441aea1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120079

Here's a blast from the past that proves TONDUHH's stalking of me.

From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
Subject: Roger Wiseman is going to be on the internet!!!!!!!
Date: 10 Sep 1999 00:00:00 GMT
Message-ID: <19990910005658.04630.00011026@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
X-Admin: news@aol.com

Howdy everyone!
I'm going ot visit Roger Wiseman this weekend-----I'm bringing a
digital
camera---so everyone can see the infamous KC8JBO. Cut the grass Roger---
clean
up the house-------I'm coming to visit and report to the world who in
the hell
is Roger Wiseman.......... It should be fun........to actually see the
man
behind the postings-------isn't the Internet great!!!!
You've wondered who in the hell is Roger Wiseman---KC8JBO---well
now
you'll know!!!!!! Info on the Website to see Roger Wiseman will be
upcomming
in a post real soon. See you all then!!!!!!!!!!
N8WGM

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3734. N8WGM describes his hole in the ground

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8754ro$b5v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120080

From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
Subject: Re: Living Underground
Date: 24 Mar 1999 00:00:00 GMT
Message-ID: <19990324004433.01431.00000673@ng-cg1.aol.com>
References: <x_FJ2.400$We2.4573727@harpo.ctel.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: misc.survivalism
X-Admin: news@aol.com

Sounds Great Wendy.
I built my own earth bermed home. It's 1200 sq. feet with earth bermed on 3
sides and has massive south facing windows for passive solar gain.When the sun
shines in the winter, I have to open up the windows to cool the house down. In
the Summer, I need no air conditioning--I just run a small de-humidifier to dry
out the air. I did all the work myself and the house was built with no mortage
and it is free & clear except for the tax man.
I'm using a composting toilet and have solar pannels and a small windmill
for 12 volt power. I have 3 lighting systems---12 volt halogen lights, 120
volt incadecent, and keroscene lamps. The well utilizes a hand pump to a
cistern. From the cistern, I run a 12 volt pump to a pressurized tank. That way
I can allways have water no matter if the power goes off or not.
The refrigeration is handled by a ice house and inside the house I utilize a
12 volt boat fridge. I've built underground a super insulated root cellar that
holds several 5 gallon containers of water that I freeze in the winter by
setting them outside. After freezing, I put them into the ice house/root cellar
and they stay frozen till the fall season.
Heating is handled by wood stove, passive solar gain and I also have 240 volt
baseboard heat and finaly, a small propane ventless gas heater.
Ive got other plans for solar water heating--right now I'm using a
tankless Aquastar heater and it works great.

I love my house--no heating bills--no water bills, low taxes and low
upkeep.
Steve from Bunker Hill in southeastern Ohio.

N8WGM

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3735. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3895FA36.6C9683E9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charles C. wrote:
> Since having an Advanced license will be a rarity after April, doubt Cecil
> is studying for the Extra.

In a CYA move, I am going to acquire a CSCE for Extra, good for a year. I
am not going to use it to upgrade unless my Advanced status deteriorates.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3736. Re: Posting to eight newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-01-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10001311636590.14887-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 120082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Posting to eight newsgroups at the same time carries some implications
> that don't even have to be said.
>
> Dick McCollum N0BK

Don't cloak yourself in fake mystery.

What are the implications?

They are:

ONE single message, EIGHT newsgroup flags attached to it.

I don't assume, these are unspeakable implications. Or did you really
believe, that a message posted to eight newsgroups criss crosses the
internet as EIGHT individual messages, consuming eight times the
bandwidth? The designers of internet had more brains than that.
Though you can read the message in eight groups, it only exists ONCE.

3737. Re: The FEDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <877208$m4l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119933

In article <870k04$6eu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Walter than you for your short but direct input, but I must disagree
> with you that's not the way it is, it only appears to people like you
> that it is that way, I can only assume that the reason you take the
view
> you do is because you are one of them, when the LORD comes and make no
> mistake he is on his way you will be judged along with the rest of the
> human race, their is no escape. If you value your SOLE then you must
> first of all repent and through repenting all will become clear to
you.
>
> Best Regards
>
> James

Hey James,

If I want to save my valuable SOLE, I will purchase good sturdy boots
and warm socks.

As far as my SOUL goes, I got that all covered too, and the LORD has
revealed to me that you whacko's don't know squat. But keep a sharp
lookout for those black helicopters anyhow.

And that's the way it is!

73,
Walter

> In article <86pqgtcpe1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <86pf4o$5ah$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > vtaoz@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > > The last thing I want to say to you poor misguided individuals
> > > is that we know who you are but we will pray for you.
> >
> > Watch out with that loud praying -- the dudes in the black
> > copters will hear you.
> >
> > And thats the way it is!
> >
> > 73, Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3738. Searching the words for the "sound- alikes" methode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruziboy <ASchewelew@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <876v56$80o$1@news05.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 119934

I'm searching for the words for the lerning Morse with the "sound-alike"
methode.

Thanks,
Alexander

3739. The Children of 5 wpm Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mf_luder@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8773kj$n5t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Legos could replace pencil and paper college entrance exams

ZCZC
CALLING ALL SHIPS AT SEA
HEAR YE HEAR YE
ARRL HIGHLY OFFICIAL SOUNDING BULLETIN FOLLOWS
ARLXTRASPECIALB001
READITANDWEEP

February 1, 2000
Web posted at: 10:27 AM EST (1527 GMT)

COLORADO SPRINGS, Colorado (AP) -- Standard
pencil-and-paper college-admissions exams don't reveal talents
like initiative, teamwork and leadership, so select teen-agers are
going to get an alternative test -- which includes making a robot
out of Legos.

Colorado College is joining with eight other schools around the
nation in an experiment aimed at recruiting diverse students
who otherwise might not win admission.

"This puts more emphasis on hard-to-measure characteristics
and less on the standardized tests that frankly have been a
stumbling block for disadvantaged and minority students," said
Terry Swenson, admissions dean for Colorado College, which
has 1,900 students.

<sounds like the FCC wrote that part>

Other colleges participating are four private liberal arts colleges
-- Beloit, Carleton, Grinnell and Macalester and four state
universities -- Penn State, Rutgers, Delaware and Michigan.

The Lego test is one of a dozen workshop activities aimed at
replacing pencil and paper exams.

Groups of eight to 10 students are given a box full of Lego
pieces and told that they have 10 minutes to build a robot
exactly like one sitting on a table in an adjacent room.

Each group member is allowed to look at the robot, one at a
time without taking notes. Evaluators then grade members of
the group as they snap together their version of the robot.

"It's easy to latch onto the Legos, but this is not selecting
students by their ability to use Lego blocks," Swenson said.

"The Legos are a mechanism to force students to interact and
see which ones emerge as leaders."

Other workshop activities include public speaking,
conflict-resolution drills and personal interviews.

...

NNNN

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3740. Re: Why pick on W4JYZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <3896ff76.11737797@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119936

I would hate to see what the crowd out here would sound like on a
local repeater! Continuous hetrodyne? The sound of 20 or more trying
to talk at the same time would be a riot.

On Tue, 01 Feb 2000 09:58:44 +0000, "radiosigs@mindspring.com"
<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Enough already with picking on Donald Anderson. You say he hates elderly
>perople? Bullbleep. He's the most polite guy on 20 meters; we can all learn
>from him. You don't like what he says about Viet Nam? That's too bad; he was
>THERE, evaded no draft whatsoever and I don't care in what capacity he
>served. HE WAS THERE!. How much proof do you need that we should have never
>gotten involved? Robert McNamara said it was unnecessary; in fact, there
>never was a Gulf of Tonkin incident. That was fabricated by L.B.J. and pals.
> Listen to W4NTI's analysis of that S.E.Asian murder factory. According to
>him it was a war that greatly profited Lady Bird and her darling starlings.
>I don't agree with W4JYZ's admiration for Jane Fonda; I think she was
>treacherous but by God, Donald has a right to express himself because HE WAS
>THERE! It's very easy to talk about patriotism and fight a war from one's
>living room, isn't it. Was I there? No because at the time of the big
>callups, I was close to thirty but I did my part by voting for Goldwater in
>'64 since the Reds were scared of him big time and Barry would have stopped
>that slaughterhouse fast. And t I attended to some who served and saw first
>hand what had happened to our proud youth and dammit-we should have either
>nuked the beasts or kept out. Give W4JYZ a break because HE WAS THERE and
>has keen insight into politics.
>AF2Y

The "kf6foz BBS"
Redding, CA.
530-229-0896
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/
The BBS is back up... Ignore what the webpage says...

3741. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2pzk@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000201114103.00862.00000614@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119937

AEA Isoloop...ex. condition w/manual controller. Contact Howie, N2PZK@aol.com

3742. KC8JBO...Credit Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_asshole@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8775mmouf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119938

'DA BULL IS BACK!!!!!!!!

This time I'm really kickin' ass & taking names.

I have just ordered KC8JBO's credit history online for $19.95!!! This is the BEST 20 bucks I have ever spent. I will post ALL OF the report on HOMESTEAD.COM after I get it via email in a few hours or so.

KC8JBO is still jamming 14.313 & MUST PAY FOR HIS EVIL DEEDS, hohohoho.

Just when you think 'da BULL is off your case.....I RETURN TO FINISH THE JOB!!!! I will slap WIDEASS around a 'lil more before I get the credit history on AF2Y.

KICK IT UP A NOTCH,

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3743. KC8JBO vs. PITBULL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_asshole@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8775otouq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119939

'DA BULL IS BACK!!!!!!!!1

This time I'm really kickin' ass & taking names.

I have just ordered KC8JBO's credit history online for $19.95!!! This is the BEST 20 bucks I have ever spent. I will post ALL OF the report on HOMESTEAD.COM after I get it via email in a few hours or so.

KC8JBO is still jamming 14.313 & MUST PAY FOR HIS EVIL DEEDS, hohohoho.

Just when you think 'da BULL is off your case.....I RETURN TO FINISH THE JOB!!!! I will slap WIDEASS around a 'lil more before I get the credit history on AF2Y.

KICK IT UP A NOTCH,

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3744. GE Phoenix SX Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <3897077d.13792748@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Anyone know who might program these radios? Web Addresses and anywhere
in California would be great.

73 de kf6foz
The "kf6foz BBS"
Redding, CA.
530-229-0896
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/
The BBS is back up... Ignore what the webpage says...

3745. Re: Skyhawk's going to be sued...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <389713fb.3938586@news.mint.net>
Source record: 119941

you are on some bad drugs man bad drugs ....you need some
help......prozac or something

On Tue, 1 Feb 2000 00:10:50 -0600, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>And here's a email that sky444@mint.net forged from me, which my lawyer has
>already asked for proof of...
>We're really going to like to see the msg-headers, which his and my isp can
>use to verify that I actually sent it...
>IOW, there's going to be no record at all that I've ever sent him any email.
>
>You really should take the use of email a bit more seriously, Sky..
>----------------------
>
>H aH aha how would you like to have this posted....ha ha ha ha ahe he
>he aaaaaaaaahhhhhhh ahhhhhh you have got to be kidding......
>
>
>
>Re: I will digitally photograph you for free SAT ONLY
>
>Date: 09/19/1999
>Author: BD <bodu@pobox.com>
>
>
>> WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE SEXUAL PICS OF YOURSELF DONE ON DIGITAL CAMERA.
>I
>> HAVE A CAMERA THAT I WILL BRING TO YOU THIS SATURDAY NIGHT ONLY AND
>PHOTOGRAPH
>> YOU AND GIVE YOU THE PICS IMMEDIATLY ON FLOPPY FOR FREE. ALL IMAGES ARE
>SHOT
>> AND TRANSFERRED TO FLOPPY IN THE CAMERA AND CAN BE IMMEDIATLY SEEN AND
>USED FOR
>> WHAT EVER PURPOSE. I ONLY ASK THAT YOU BE UNDER 35 AND IN DECENT SHAPE
>AS I
>> WILL GET A SMALL AMOUNT OF PLEASURE OUT OF WATCHING. COUPLES ARE MORE
>THAN
>> WELCOME. THIS OFFER WILL ONLY BE FOR SATURDAY NIGHT 9-18-99 THRU SUNDAY
>> MORNING AT 3 AM AND INQUIRIES AFTER THIS TIME WILL NOT BE RESPONDED TOO.
>> DALLAS AREA ONLY
>
>
>"Skyhawk" <sky444@mint.net> wrote in message
>news:3895254b.27218898@news.mint.net...
>> i know this is an odd request in a Ham radio group,but I respect most

>> ham radio operators as electronic gurus,and like me have different
>> kinds of electonics "laying around"......i am looking for a set of
>> stereo level indicators vu meter type ,measures the power to your
>> speakers.(rad shack,mura,etc....) if anybody has any laying around
>> please e-mail me for further ......thanks 100 %
>
>

3746. Kenwood TM-G707

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cherryhalls@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000201122726.00961.00000724@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 119942

Does anyone know of anywhere to get a schematic for this radio, seems Kenwood is not shipping the schematics with their radios any longer......

73
Deb

3747. WELCOME TO FORUM KC8JBO_ASSHOLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8779n3$s85$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119943

WELCOME KC8JBO_ASSHOLE

We are glad you are using your real last name!! Keep up the dirty work!!

Tharsritepolly

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3748. Re: Skyhawk's going to be sued...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <38971a44.5547361@news.mint.net>
Source record: 119944

you are on some bad drugs man bad drugs ....you need some
help......prozac or something.......and also by the way this was not
posted only e-mailed you posted your self ya stupid moron....

On Tue, 1 Feb 2000 00:10:50 -0600, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>And here's a email that sky444@mint.net forged from me, which my lawyer has
>already asked for proof of...
>We're really going to like to see the msg-headers, which his and my isp can
>use to verify that I actually sent it...
>IOW, there's going to be no record at all that I've ever sent him any email.
>
>You really should take the use of email a bit more seriously, Sky..
>----------------------
>
>H aH aha how would you like to have this posted....ha ha ha ha ahe he
>he aaaaaaaaahhhhhhh ahhhhhh you have got to be kidding......
>
>
>
>Re: I will digitally photograph you for free SAT ONLY
>
>Date: 09/19/1999
>Author: BD <bodu@pobox.com>
>
>
>> WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE SEXUAL PICS OF YOURSELF DONE ON DIGITAL CAMERA.
>I
>> HAVE A CAMERA THAT I WILL BRING TO YOU THIS SATURDAY NIGHT ONLY AND
>PHOTOGRAPH
>> YOU AND GIVE YOU THE PICS IMMEDIATLY ON FLOPPY FOR FREE. ALL IMAGES ARE
>SHOT
>> AND TRANSFERRED TO FLOPPY IN THE CAMERA AND CAN BE IMMEDIATLY SEEN AND
>USED FOR
>> WHAT EVER PURPOSE. I ONLY ASK THAT YOU BE UNDER 35 AND IN DECENT SHAPE
>AS I
>> WILL GET A SMALL AMOUNT OF PLEASURE OUT OF WATCHING. COUPLES ARE MORE
>THAN
>> WELCOME. THIS OFFER WILL ONLY BE FOR SATURDAY NIGHT 9-18-99 THRU SUNDAY
>> MORNING AT 3 AM AND INQUIRIES AFTER THIS TIME WILL NOT BE RESPONDED TOO.
>> DALLAS AREA ONLY
>
>
>"Skyhawk" <sky444@mint.net> wrote in message
>news:3895254b.27218898@news.mint.net...

>> i know this is an odd request in a Ham radio group,but I respect most
>> ham radio operators as electronic gurus,and like me have different
>> kinds of electonics "laying around"......i am looking for a set of
>> stereo level indicators vu meter type ,measures the power to your
>> speakers.(rad shack,mura,etc....) if anybody has any laying around
>> please e-mail me for further ......thanks 100 %
>
>

3749. wtb: Gordon West Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougw@psd.k12.co.us
Message-ID: <877603$h1$3@mercury.psd.k12.co.us>
Source record: 119945

Need a copy of Gordon West's extra class upgrade manual for the current 4b question pool!!! buy or borrow!!

please email: dougw@frii.com

thanks !!!
--

3750. Re: Control Codes for Kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kresimir Kovarik <kreso@kreso.com>
Message-ID: <8777tk$rh4$1@as102.tel.hr>
Source record: 119946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Try:

http://www.qsl.net/ok1rr

Under tech stuff you will find some commands for different radios...

Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com> wrote in message
news:874m1n$2oc$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> I have the ones for the IC-10 chipset (R-5000, TS-440,etc.) Are they the
> same? What chipset does the 850 use?
> Brian Denley
>
> --
> "There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a
> miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein
>
> Neil <DontDoIt@ImNotHere.com> wrote in message
> news:tJ6VOJxHNqMdkDl6Odvvw1vFndb6@4ax.com...
> > I've been trying to use a program "BeaconSee" with my Kenwood 850, but
> > apparently it doesn't have the correct command sequences to tune the
> > rig under control of the PC.
> >
> > To make a long story short, does anyone out there have the list of
> > Kenwood control commands that may be sent from the PC to the rig (via
> > the RS232 interface)? I can't seem to find it in any of my Kenwood
> > manuals.
> >
> > Any/all help is greatly appreciated.
> >
> > Neil, N1DMA
> > Email to : NeilR@innermedia.com
> > (603) 465-2788, or (800) 962-2949
> >
>
>

3751. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: lew mccoy <lewmccoy@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3897227C.8BAB8422@uswest.net>
Source record: 119947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I just got through wading through all the restructuring comments.--I think I have probably been around many years longer than most of you abd I can make a few observations. The FCC has acted--it did not listen to ARRL, Fred Maia, or many others--it did listen to QCWA. But no matter, it is over and it is what we have to live with--and the guy that was complaining about dems and repubs--that is inane--national politics has very little to o with amateujr radio--I should know because I spent a great deal of time in Washington. (DC) dealing with the bandits down there. The only time the pols are going to get into the act is when your neihbor has TVI--then we have troubles

Lew McCoy, W1ICP/7

Keith Wood wrote:

> K0HB@aint.it.awful.org wrote:
> >
> > In a previous article, <vlfiscus@mcn.net> writes:
> > >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
> > >
> > >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
> > >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
> > >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
> > >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
> > >everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
> > >whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
> > >checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
> > >Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
> > >wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
> > >to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
> > >of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
> > >this, it makes them feel important & needed.
> >
> > Uhhhhh, I think no-Code amateur radio in the USA was instituted by
> > the Reagan/Bush administrations.
>
> Not only that, it was the Kennedy Administration which chopped all those
> freqs out of the General band in the first place.

3752. Posting rules in this group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stan <stan.cehonski@philips.com>
Message-ID: <949430157.672670@dibbs1.nam.cis.philips.com>
Source record: 119948
Cross-posted to: alt.cable-tv,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Can anyone tell me what they are or where to find them?

Thanks,

Stan

3753. Re: what are the CW segments for each band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38972505.D8FF3305@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119949

BD wrote:

> So?... He didn't say "allocated" (I assume you mean by the FCC)...
> "Segmented" can refer to voluntary, suggested, etc...
> For even more of that, look in readily-available 2meter/440
> band-plans/charts for repeater/simplex 'segments'...
>
> "Tim" <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3893CEDD.15F9D11B@worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > CW is permitted on ALL allocated amateur frequencys.

Maybe see Band Plans at URL:
http://www.ac6v.com/pagefreq.html
--
73 From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 565,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- General Ham Radio
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html -- DX Reference Pages

3754. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38972f4d.3605570@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 119950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 01 Feb 2000 11:14:20 -0700, lew mccoy <lewmccoy@uswest.net>
wrote:

>I just got through wading through all the restructuring comments.--I think I have
>probably been around many years longer than most of you abd I can make a few
>observations. The FCC has acted--it did not listen to ARRL, Fred Maia, or many
>others--it did listen to QCWA. But no matter, it is over and it is what we have
>to live with--and the guy that was complaining about dems and repubs--that is
>inane--national politics has very little to o with amateujr radio--I should know
>because I spent a great deal of time in Washington. (DC) dealing with the
>bandits down there. The only time the pols are going to get into the act is
>when your neihbor has TVI--then we have troubles
>
>Lew McCoy, W1ICP/7
>
Welcome aboard Revered One. It ain't gonna be easy . . !
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

>Keith Wood wrote:
>
>> K0HB@aint.it.awful.org wrote:
>> >
>> > In a previous article, <vlfiscus@mcn.net> writes:
>> > >Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, and No-Coders.
>> > >
>> > >The FCC's restructuring plan shouldn't be a surprise to
>> > >anyone. After all, it comes out of the Liberal Clinton
>> > >Administration. Conservative republicans are willing to work hard
>> > >for their rewards, but Liberal democrat No-Code CB whiners want
>> > >everything handed to them on a silver platter. The democrat
>> > >whiners want to get ham licenses as easy as they get their welfare
>> > >checks. And the Liberal FCC, part of the Liberal Clinton
>> > >Administration gave the Liberal No-Code CB whiners what they
>> > >wanted, and the rest of us got shafted. Liberal Democrats like
>> > >to steal treasures from the hard workers, and give to those who spend most
>> > >of their time waiting for another government hand-out. When liberals do
>> > >this, it makes them feel important & needed.
>> >
>> > Uhhhhh, I think no-Code amateur radio in the USA was instituted by
>> > the Reagan/Bush administrations.
>>
>> Not only that, it was the Kennedy Administration which chopped all those

>> freqs out of the General band in the first place.
>

3755. Weather Station RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayj@nwlink.com
Message-ID: <877ldq$5lc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119951

Whew - this is my first time in this forum for a while. Now I remember why I stopped visiting. The Noise-to-Signal ratio is worse than ever!

Gee folks; how is this going to look to all of the potential new Hams out there - no matter what we think of each other, or restructuring, or all of the other off-topic garbage I saw; if the number of hams declines far enough, the FCC will decide we're not worth the effort and start taking away bands!

Let's all clean it up!
<We now return you to your regularly scheduled forum>
The real reason I'm posting here is to see if anyone else has a Davis Weather Station and is having RFI problems. Specifically, I've got a problem with a Davis Weather Monitor II and my ICOM 756. The 756 appears (based on other devices around my home, including computers, modems, a security system, TVs, AM/FM radios and even a wireless phone) to be "clean", but when I transmit (even at fairly low power, like 50W); the temperature readings on the weather station (just temp, not Humidity, Pressure or Wind) get "trashed" (go to all 1).

So far, the only "help" Davis was able to come up with was "move one of the two devices".

Anyone else have this or a similar problem?

Please reply via email, and, if I get enough interesting replies, I'll post a summary.

Thanks!

Clay Jackson
N7QNM

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3756. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <877hc7npe1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
were able to solder...

73, Jeff KH6O

In article <3896E25B.CF4727E8@mindspring.com>,
Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
>Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
>dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
>technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
>things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
>even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
>a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
>barring arthritis and astigmatism it is hard to understand why a ham
>can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex
>tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or
>building and installing common antennas.
>
>Will KD7BFX
>
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>>
>> More to the point, the
>> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
>> competency anyway.

3757. Re: Why pick on W4JYZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <877h6q$o3a$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119953

What the hell does your reply have to do with my posting. I'm discussing the harassment of one ham and you let fly with this pro California bleep. Furthermore I'm wondering if you can hear from sunny Cal., Donald being picked on?

In article <3896ff76.11737797@news.thegrid.net>, cobrano-spam@budweiser.com (kf6foz) wrote:

>I would hate to see what the crowd out here would sound like on a
>local repeater! Continuous hetrodyne? The sound of 20 or more trying
>to talk at the same time would be a riot.
>
>On Tue, 01 Feb 2000 09:58:44 +0000, "radiosigs@mindspring.com"
><radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>>Enough already with picking on Donald Anderson. You say he hates elderly
>>perople? Bullbleep. He's the most polite guy on 20 meters; we can all learn
>>from him. You don't like what he says about Viet Nam? That's too bad; he was
>>THERE, evaded no draft whatsoever and I don't care in what capacity he
>>served. HE WAS THERE!. How much proof do you need that we should have never
>>gotten involved? Robert McNamara said it was unnecessary; in fact, there
>>never was a Gulf of Tonkin incident. That was fabricated by L.B.J. and pals.
>> Listen to W4NTI's analysis of that S.E.Asian murder factory. According to
>>him it was a war that greatly profited Lady Bird and her darling starlings.
>>I don't agree with W4JYZ's admiration for Jane Fonda; I think she was
>>treacherous but by God, Donald has a right to express himself because HE WAS
>>THERE! It's very easy to talk about patriotism and fight a war from one's
>>living room, isn't it. Was I there? No because at the time of the big
>>callups, I was close to thirty but I did my part by voting for Goldwater in
>>'64 since the Reds were scared of him big time and Barry would have stopped
>>that slaughterhouse fast. And t I attended to some who served and saw first
>>hand what had happened to our proud youth and dammit-we should have either
>>nuked the beasts or kept out. Give W4JYZ a break because HE WAS THERE and
>>has keen insight into politics.
>>AF2Y
>
>The "kf6foz BBS"
>Redding, CA.
>530-229-0896
>http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/
>The BBS is back up... Ignore what the webpage says...

3758. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000201161240.28332.00000741@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 119954

>Do beer-bellied cheap-ass hams I see at hamfest get regular
>>sex or do they just whack-off to dirty pics on internet?
>>
>>

Excuse me...it's not "whacking off" as you so eloquently put it. It's called doing research for the book I'm writing called "What to do with Tropical Blend in one hand and a Beer in the other while on-line"

...and if it's done often enough, it gets to be kind of regular.

3759. Re: Civilian evidence only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <877i81ojk1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119955

N8WGM <n8wgm@aol.com> wrote:

>I find it very troubling that you in the past have supported known
>jammers.....AF2Y, KC8JBO, OTK etc.. These jammers have been either removed or
>warned not to engage in their illegal activities again.

Yet, you can't pull up one single article of mine which "supports" jammers
or jamming activity.

Always keep a copy of the "Associated Press Stylebook and Libel Manual"
next to your keyboard so as to prevent getting yourself in trouble.

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Service: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula as applied to your prints.

3760. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <877k1t$q24$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119956

The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@hawaii.edu> hath said:
>: NeXus edited out the attribution line for whomever wrote:

>:>How do we tell them apart :p

>: Oh, I suppose that we could scan our licenses onto some web page.
>: The 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns are almost all gone so when you see or hear
>: one, you can be assured that it belongs to a 20 wpm Extra.

>Jeff I see you haven't been to the Vanity Callsign page at either
>QRZ or ARRL. There are hundreds of 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns ready to
>be issued to people who choose one, and several hundreds more that
>are in the process of their 2 year wait period before they can be
>re-issued.
>There are plenty for the taking.

Really? Let's do some arithmetic: For K, N, and W 1x2 prefixes for
the ten call areas, there are 20,280 combinations of possible
callsigns. For the A, K, N, and W 2x1 prefixes for the 13
call areas, there are 23,400 combinations of possible callsigns.
Hence, by my rough estimate, there are about 43,680 combinations.

You say there are "hundreds" of Extra calls currently available;
many of those "hundreds" are going to be gobbled up as folks rush
to attain a pre-April Extra Class license. I'll be generous and
grant you that 1000 Extra calls will still be available come
April. That's a bit under 2.3% of all possible Extra calls. 2.3%

As I said, they're almost all gone.

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Service: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula as applied to your prints.

3761. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <877kri$ip1$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 119957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

First it was CW skill that was the mark of a " true Ham " and now it is
soldering proficiency. Please give it a rest......

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:877hc7npe1@news.hawaii.edu...
> I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
> were able to solder...
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> In article <3896E25B.CF4727E8@mindspring.com>,
> Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
> >dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
> >technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
> >things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
> >even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
> >a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
> >barring arthritis and astigmatism it is hard to understand why a ham
> >can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex
> >tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or
> >building and installing common antennas.
> >
> >Will KD7BFX
> >
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> More to the point, the
> >> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> >> competency anyway.
>
>

3762. Re: FS: Yaesu FT-767GX Complete Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Fredstrom <asken@att.net>
Message-ID: <mlle9s8khi6tdioaecoslcit04l9oh6l44@4ax.com>
Source record: 119958

Dave, If you are interested in selling just the MD-1 mike, let me
know. Thanks. Ken.WB7NEX E-mail asken@att.net

On Mon, 24 Jan 2000 20:41:48 -0500, Dave <recon@vh.net> wrote:

>I have for sale in excellent condition, the (PACKAGE BELOW)
>
>1. Yaesu FT - 767GX 100 watts on HF, 10 watts on all other
>bands.
>2. Yaesu FEX-767-2 2 meter module
>3. Yaesu FEX-767-6 6 meter module
>4. Yaesu FEX-767-7 (A) 70 cm module (440-450MHz)
>5. Yaesu FEX-767-7 (B) 70 cm module (430-440MHz)
>6. Yaesu FTS-8 CTCSS encoder/decoder unit
>7. Yaesu SP-767 Speaker with filters
>8. Yaesu MH-1B8 Hand-held Microphone
>9. Yaesu MD-1B8 Desk-top Microphone
>
>Also included are both the User and Service Manual. The reason I am
>selling
>this station is that I am Upgrading to an Icom 756 PRO. I will not split
>it up.
>Sold as a complete station. $1600 plus shipping. 73 de Dave/N2JBO

3763. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <87854j$m0s$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 119959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My remarks were in agreement with yours. I thought it was plain enough not to require an explanation.

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:87822n$81s$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com> wrote:
> >First it was CW skill that was the mark of a " true Ham " and now it is
> >soldering proficiency. Please give it a rest......
>
> I wrote "I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins,
> et el were able to solder" in order to point out that soldering pro-
> ficiency is not, as you say, the mark of a true radio person. I thought
> that implication would be clear without having to provide an explanation!
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
> Fingerprint Classification Service: A copy of your own inked impressions
> imprinted with the Henry classification formula as applied to your prints.
>
>
> >"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> >news:877hc7npe1@news.hawaii.edu...
> >> I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
> >> were able to solder...
> >>
> >> 73, Jeff KH6O
> >>
> >> In article <3896E25B.CF4727E8@mindspring.com>,
> >> Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >> >Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
> >> >dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
> >> >technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
> >> >things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
> >> >even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
> >> >a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
> >> >barring arthritis and astigmatism it is hard to understand why a ham
> >> >can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex

> >> >tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or
> >> >building and installing common antennas.
> >> >
> >> >Will KD7BFX
> >> >
> >> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> More to the point, the
> >> >> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> >> >> competency anyway.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

3764. What say, "Rileygotcha?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8786bo$ae9$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119960

Now let's see. "Rileygotcha" write
this.....

From what I have heard, AOL told AF2Y that the law suit inviting posts
that he was putting on news groups was reason enough to pull the switch
on his antics. It was reported that two very influential stockholders
complained about his "Libel Act" to the powers that be at AOL.

Good work boys. Iris is punishing him with whips as she is mad about
the floor falling out from under her weird "HUBBY".(but he likes
that)!!

Rileygotcha

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

and I posted this message from AOL

I just went to see if I could access my old mailbox and couldn't but was
extended this invitation to re-up. The thing is that MindSpring is doing a
nice job and even though I harbor no real resentment against AOL aside from
the connection and knocked offline problems, methinks I'll stay put. I swear
that MindSpring allows access to over 26,000 newsgroups plus over 1000 from
Microsoft's news server. I only wish I could copy and paste them here but
cannot. It'd blow your minds providing that anyone on this board has one
except for me and the Goodfellows. We are all geniuses!
AF2Y

AOL Mail

This account has been cancelled. To reactivate, the master account holder
may call 1-888-265-8003.

Click here to try again.

Copyright © America Online, Inc.
All rights reserved. Legal Notices
Try AOL
Privacy Policy

Apparently there seems to be a credibility problem with "Rileygotcha." Am I
wrong?
Doc,AF2Y

3765. Re: New licensing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <3897A9D2.AB02632@aznet.net>
Source record: 119961

BD wrote:
>
> I'd do so, and I'd try it a week before the deadline (and a week afterward
> if failing)...
> It may be just ego, but wouldn't it be nice to be able to say you were a
> pre-dummying-down Tech? ;)
>

no

> > On Mon, 31 Jan 2000, David Lee wrote:
> > > I read that effective April, 2000 only element 2 will be
> > > required for the Technician class license. Will the
> > > element 2 question pool change in April, i.e. will I be wasting
> > > my time if I study the questions now and take the test
> > > in April?

3766. Re: Skyhawk's going to be sued...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: N0JAM@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28529-3897C89A-12@storefull-101.private.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119962

daymn...i thought our repeater was ☐'ed up.
sheesh! youze taking on trainees here?
we could learn a thing or three. haha

3767. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <875d2j$h3v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3890C071.72ED@arrl.net>,
w1rfi@arrl.net wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
>
> > The ARRL is not the only kid on the block...nor has it ever
been.
>
> > I do not intend to flame the ARRL here...but just to remind all
> > that most of the ARRL stuff is at the beginner level and much of it
has
> > not advanced as much as it should to keep up with the digital
> > technology of today. It would be impossible for the present ARRL
staff
> > to advance to the level that many members of TAPR now are at, for
> > example. The ARRL staff can no longer be technical leaders in
modern
> > communications techniques and must rely more and more on
professional
> > hams to lead them.
>
> > How can the ARRL be expected to lead Ham Radio into the millenium
> > and continue to promote its very BASIS and PURPOSE? That is: To
> > advance the state of the art and encourage the development of a
cadre
> > of technical experts. My answer is that the ARRL can not be
expected
> > to do so alone. The ARRL must IMHO let professional volunteers make
> > the kind of technical decisions that will shape the future of Ham
Radio
> > and remember that they are basically a lobbying, publication company
> > and a social organization ...which can no longer be fit to shape the
> > future of Ham techniques or Ham technologies without outside help.
>
> My first instinct was to be insulted, but then I realized that I, too,
> share the view you just expressed, although I would have expressed it
> differently.
>
> I don't think that ARRL ever has, or at least ever should have been,
the
> sole source of Good Technical Things in Amateur Radio. Modern radio
> technology is simply too vast and has far too many disciplines for
> anyone to expect that any individual, or group of small individuals,
> would possess the talent and skills to be able to do it all. If the

> technical problems facing Amateur Radio today were small enough that
> they could be handled by four hams in the ARRL Lab, they would have
been
> solved years ago.
>
> The League has recognized this for decades. The ARRL Field
Organization
> has created a Technical Coordinator position, with assitant Technical
> Specialists, to address technical issues at the section level. From a
> national point of view, notice how much QST and QEX material is
prepared
> by non-HQ hams. The League draws heavily on outside experts when it
> creates its publications; the various chapters in the Handbook, RFI
Book
> and many others have been written by people selected for their
> expertise, their ability to put that expertise into words and their
> willingness to do so. The League has a list of about 100 technical
> advisors, with expertise ranging from medical to digital to RF to
> antenna design to lightning protection, again, able top-notch
> professionals that the League could NOT afford to hire but who are
> willing to donate some of their time to help Amateur Radio. The same
> principle applies to many ARRL Board-level committees. The RF Safety
> Committee is comprised of what I believe to be some "world-class"
> experts in the EMF field. The RFI Task Group is about to expand its
> membership significantly, adding members from the leadership of
several
> disciplines and areas (Part 15 manufacturers, the cable industry,
etc.)
> When I was tasked to do the RFI Book and RF Exposure and You the very
> first thing I did was to draw on our existing experts and shake the
> bushes for more. This is exactly what my boss told me to do; it is
> really a "normal" and appropriate way to do things, IMHO.
>
> Now, having said that, I will add the the Technical staff of ARRL are
no
> slouches. In the antenna field, the experts would agree that Dean
> Straw, N6BV, the Antenna Book editor is "one of them." In the RFI
> field, there are people who know more than HQ staff about individual
> aspects of RFI, but HQ staff have, IMHO, made contributions to the
state
> of the art in the field solutions to RFI problems. Even in the area
of
> RF exposure, the work that ARRL has done has been valuable. One of
the
> FCC OET staff, upon getting his copy of RF Exposure and You, said that
> the information it provided went well beyond what the FCC had
prepared.
> Zack Lau has been a consistent presence in QEX, often adding his own
> design work to its value.
>

> This is all a planned part of what ARRL is and does; it is NOT the
> collection of 100-odd HQ staff; it is NOT the ARRL Board of Directors;
> it is NOT just the Field Organization or the National Traffic System
or
> the DXCC program, of even just the members. It is a process, one that,
> to the extent that people are able and willing to participate, can
draw
> on the best of our best for the good of Amateur Radio. QST and QEX
and
> other publications are one of the ways that such knowledge that is out
> there is documented and shared with Amateur Radio. That is why I have
> often suggested to people here that they write those QST and QEX
> articles. Every bit of this process adds its own value and every bit
of
> it is important. So if you say that ARRL HQ staff cannot make all of
> the contributions that need to be made for Amateur Radio, I will agree
> heartily. ARRL has provided one means for making the contributions
that
> ARE being made readily accessible to other hams. If you say that ARRL
> staff cannot make any contributions to Amateur Radio, I will heartily
> disagree; I have offered but a few examples from my own work. If you
say
> that ARRL is a publications house and social organization, you are
> neglecting or not seeing much of what the League is doing.
>
> Although there is always room for improvement, I think that what we
have
> right now is working pretty well. Any suggestions for ways to make it
> better?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> ARRL Lab

Hi Ed,
Thank you for the above comments...A very good response Ed! There
is a sure resonance between our thoughts and I think that both of us
are aware of some of the advances of technology to a level where we can
begin to understand the limitations of many who used to be considered
as ham experts...as well as our own limitations. All of your points
above are well taken. There is just one point that I want to emphasize
and that is there are ham specialist experts who are not ARRL members
or ARRL appointees of any kind. Technological experts are
unfortunately not always U.S.citizens or ARRL members or members of any
specific sex, race, or ethnic group. There are Hams throughout the
world and scientific geniuses as well as idiots throughout the world.
There are corporations, universities and governments that promote
technological progress. The worlds of science and technology are not
dependent of the ARRL or any one organization. If the ARRL would cease
to exist Ham Communications Techniques would continue to advance, IMHO

maybe even more so...because it would cease to be tethered by Ham politicians who have limited foresight.
Personally I would like to see the kind of innovation made by ARRL members as has been made by JARL members in recent years. What, if anything, do you think the ARRL could do to make this happen? Maybe more incentive HAM licensing might help?????

Hams need MORE license classes.
Keep radiation resistance in antennas.....not in neighbors.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Incentive License Policy?)
ARRL Member IEEE Life Member

If we value the pursuit of knowledge, we must be free to follow wherever that search will lead us. The free mind is no barking dog to be tethered on a ten-foot chain.
--Adlat E. Stevenson Jr. (1952)--

You tell me whar a man gits his corn pone, en I'll tell you what his 'pinions is.
--Mark Twain (1925)--

Many hams could care less about what is in their ham gear or where it was made. Most Americans don't know that Coca Cola isn't "classic" because it has been made with corn syrup (and not with cane sugar) since WW2...nor do they know that the U.S. government has been supporting the corn lobby since the Whisky Rebellion.
I dunno if that is good or bad...or if corny politics is off-topic here.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3768. Re: Let's do some arithmetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <875eg0$i1o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119998

radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <8759jr$nm5
$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Regarding Sunday's ruckus on 14.275 about which Polly pleaded complete
>innocence, let's do some arithmetic. Polly and Billy Jack were on
14.313 and
>supposedly were jammed.Now Polly's been saying how happy he's been
that a
>certain faction broke away from .313 in favor of .275 which
theoretically
>was a peace promoter. If you'll check the archives, several weeks ago I
>posted a message saying that in the not too distant future Polly would
>invade 14.275 and wreck the armistice. Getting back to Sunday's
debacle, I
>heard Polly tell Billy Jack on 14.313, "Let's go to .275." Now let's
count.
>How many kHz are there between 14.313 and 13.275? Right-38.Why then
didn't
>Polly suggest a move DOWN one by one to the first clear frequency if
his
>motive was to talk to W4LLI? Why did he jump 38 kHz? Because he was
LOOKING
>FOR AN ARGUMENT. K0ECK's assessment was right on target. Polly's move
was
>premeditated and designed to create problems. He'll never change and
>hopefully Riley will FINALLY understand what's going on with W4FQF and
take
>appropriate measures once and for all.
>AF2Y, Doc

True. I documented it and sent it to Riley.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3769. Re G8ASO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com>
Message-ID: <8757v4$1nge$1@dolf.netfront.net>
Source record: 119999
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

A callsign is assigned to a station and NOT a person, if amateur radio station G8ASO was established, then anyone using it must give the same call-sign irrespective of whether they have a call-sign of their "own" or not. Many people seem to forget this licence requirement, how often have you heard "I have G1XYU here with me"
Bollocks!
G1XYU is NOT there!, and if that call-sign were to be used the original station would have to close - log book entries filled in, and then a contact re-established as the station is identified by the new call-sign. If the original operator was then to speak, he would be legally required to identify himself [his station] as G1XYU, not G8ASO, until such time as G1XYU closed down. Actually, it would be G1XYU/M as this would not be established at the main station address for the G1XYU license.

Easy if you read the BR68!

As this news-group lark is conducted over telephone lines, amateur radio call-signs are irrelevant! This is not an amateur radio station! This is not amateur radio, and G8ASO said that, stroke M, of course!

70 Trees G8ASO
none of this detracts from the web site though
http://www.laughingpoliceman.com

Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
Complaints to news@netfront.net

3770. -+= Repeaters Online/TCP/IP and DX Voice chat online. =+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: skbenjamin@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000131190508.23211.00001142@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120000

URL: http://geocities.com/cqdxnet2000

Communicate World Wide with other amateur radio operators,
talk over repeaters online and use TCP/IP. All in one place at
our amateur radio webpage. The page is growing.
Requirements for access is be a licensed amateur radio operator
have current information included e-mail address on QRZ or scan
a copy of your license and email your current information to
cqdxnet@hotmail.com and request access.

It is awsome for those that cannot have antenna's on the roof
or are Technicians. DX is here... England repeater online..

Enjoy, meet you there

73 de KE6RMP

3771. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com>
Message-ID: <Xupl4.8215$Nv1.794772@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 120001
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is what minimum wage assembly line people do--I wouldn't brag about
being good at it. :)

Andy K5VM

> More to the point, the
> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> competency anyway.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

3772. Re: Any hams have these ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Bakers <nospam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38963090.213E361C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120002

Typical snotty ham reply.

BD wrote:

> Wow.. Same here, so does any hams have any knowledge of Geo Metro brakes?
> Mine have been getting a bit 'soft' lately, and.. Because I am a ham that
> just happens to be a Metro driver, that makes its' brake problems a ham
> related topic, too....

3773. NCTECH Hamfest in North Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Johnson <kb5ylg@gte.net>
Message-ID: <pMql4.612$qH.34822@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 120003

Advance Notice:

NCTECH 2000
Nov 11, 2000

Event includes VE Test session, swap meet, educational presentations,
special event station, contests, valuable door prizes.

Set up and complimentary BBQ for vendors
Nov 10, 2000.

Location:

Heritage RV Park and Meeting Hall
FM 730 at Beaver Creek
between Azle and Weatherford

Full details to be posted asap to
http://www.qsl.net/tcarc-ntx/

:)

3774. Re: Control Codes for Kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas R. Sundstrom" <trs@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.13001c7f542934f098969c@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 120004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

In article <tJ6VOJxHNqMdkDl6Odvvw1vFndb6@4ax.com>, DontDoIt@ImNotHere.com says...
> I've been trying to use a program "BeaconSee" with my Kenwood 850, but
> apparently it doesn't have the correct command sequences to tune the
> rig under control of the PC.
>
> To make a long story short, does anyone out there have the list of
> Kenwood control commands that may be sent from the PC to the rig (via
> the RS232 interface)? I can't seem to find it in any of my Kenwood
> manuals.

Kenwood used to supply the command set with the chip set, not the rig itself. I can't help you as my files stopped with the TS-940 and R-5000. The basic command set hasn't changed, but each model added or subtracted data strings to the basic set. Have you asked Kenwood for the datasheet?

--
Tom Sundstrom W2XQ
Contributing Editor, Radio Netherlands' Media Network
Contributing Editor, Radio and Communications Magazine
www dot trsc dot com

3775. Civilian evidence only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <875lnh$98n$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120005

N8WGM <n8wgm@aol.com> wrote:
>All I can say is you got a letter from Hollingsworth telling you to stop
>jamming 20 meters. You got that letter after myself and others figured out you
>were jamming. We self policed the ham band. You got caught and now you are
>pissed off at me for catching you. I was in your area and caught you jamming
>and you got a letter from the FCC

I find it a dangerous precedence when the FCC sends a letter based
only upon evidence gathered by civilians. That is what you're implying,
correct?

Very troubling.

73, Jeff KH6O

Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula applied to your prints.

3776. Re: Amateur Restructuring - CALL SIGNS !!!!! - Great Idea!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <875m1q$9kp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>I am not going to use it
>unless my
>status deteriorates.

That went a long time ago, Cecil. (Gee, this selective editing
you've taught us is fun!)

73, Jeff KH6O

Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula applied to your prints.

3777. Re: A Blast from the Past..on gayboy n8wgm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <875mho$a2c$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 120007

>From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
>Howdy everyone!
>I'm going ot visit Roger Wiseman this weekend-----I'm bringing a
>digital camera---so everyone can see the infamous KC8JBO. Cut the grass
>Roger--- clean up the house-------I'm coming to visit and report to the
>world who in the hell is Roger Wiseman.......... It should be
>fun........to actually see the man behind the postings-------isn't the
>Internet great!!!! You've wondered who in the hell is Roger
>Wiseman---KC8JBO---well now you'll know!!!!!! Info on the Website to
>see Roger Wiseman will be upcomming in a post real soon. See you all
then!!!!!!!!!!
>N8WGM

This is what makes the FCC action so worrisome. N8WGM stalks a
ham, then sends letters to the FCC of alleged jamming; the FCC
believes WGM, and sends the ham a warning letter.

I hope the accused forwarded the above threat-to-stalk notice
to the FCC.

73, Jeff KH6O
Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

3778. Re: N8WGM describes his hole in the ground

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: phisionguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000201000945.23220.00001531@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120008

>From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
>Subject: Re: Living Underground
>Date: 24 Mar 1999 00:00:00 GMT
>Message-ID: <19990324004433.01431.00000673@ng-cg1.aol.com>
>References: <x_FJ2.400$We2.4573727@harpo.ctel.net>
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>Newsgroups: misc.survivalism
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>
>Sounds Great Wendy.
>I built my own earth bermed home. It's 1200 sq. feet with earth bermed
>on 3
>sides and has massive south facing windows for passive solar gain.When
>the sun
>shines in the winter, I have to open up the windows to cool the house
>down. In
>the Summer, I need no air conditioning--I just run a small de-
>humidifier to dry
>out the air. I did all the work myself and the house was built with no
>mortage
>and it is free & clear except for the tax man.
> I'm using a composting toilet and have solar pannels and a small
>windmill
>for 12 volt power. I have 3 lighting systems---12 volt halogen lights,
>120
>volt incadecent, and keroscene lamps. The well utilizes a hand pump to a
>cistern. From the cistern, I run a 12 volt pump to a pressurized tank.
>That way
>I can allways have water no matter if the power goes off or not.
> The refrigeration is handled by a ice house and inside the house I
>utilize a
>12 volt boat fridge. I've built underground a super insulated root
>cellar that
>holds several 5 gallon containers of water that I freeze in the winter
>by
>setting them outside. After freezing, I put them into the ice
>house/root cellar
>and they stay frozen till the fall season.
> Heating is handled by wood stove, passive solar gain and I also have
>240 volt
>baseboard heat and finaly, a small propane ventless gas heater.
> Ive got other plans for solar water heating--right now I'm
>using a
>tankless Aquastar heater and it works great.

> I love my house--no heating bills--no water bills, low taxes and low
>upkeep.
> Steve from Bunker Hill in southeastern Ohio.
>
>
>N8WGM
>
>
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>
>

Wow! Sounds like a great house to me.
I lived throught the ice storm up in Quebec a few years ago. I wish I had a house like that so I wouldn't have froze my butt off. No power for a month and a half in the worst time of the year to not have power. The Winter.
More people should think this way and become more self reliant. It would make their lives a lot easier in case of emergencies like an ice storm or a tornado which sure does make for a bad day.
He says he has no heating/electric bills and no house payment. I sure wish I could have done that!

3779. Re: Civilian evidence only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000201002103.23197.00001460@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120009

>I find it a dangerous precedence when the FCC sends a letter based
>only upon evidence gathered by civilians. That is what you're implying,
>correct?
>
>Very troubling.
>

I find it very troubling that you in the past have supported known jammers.....AF2Y, KC8JBO, OTK etc.. These jammers have been either removed or warned not to engage in their illegal activities again.
The evidence gathered was presented to the FCC via letters and recordings. You can guess at the implications as much as you like. The letter I'm sure was not based soley on the obersvations of "civilians". I'm sure the FCC had made observations on their own after being made aware of the activites of this "JAMMER" by those "civilians" who knew this guy was jamming.

Very Troubling that anyone who is a ham
thinks that the FCC should not take into concideration the observations of other hams in the quest to clean up the bands.
JBO jammed and he was caught.

N8WGM

3780. West Texas QST Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <kc5zrq@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Byul4.2617$Zd7.32503@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120010

```
West Texas QST Net
Tuesdays at 8 pm CST
http://www.lubbockradio.net/net.htm
```

3781. Re: A Blast from the Past..on gayboy n8wgm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8762r1$vj9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120011

Jeffrey Herman wrote in message <875mho$a2c$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>>From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
>>Howdy everyone!
>>I'm going ot visit Roger Wiseman this weekend-----I'm bringing a
>>digital camera---so everyone can see the infamous KC8JBO. Cut the
grass
>>Roger--- clean up the house-------I'm coming to visit and report to
the
>>world who in the hell is Roger Wiseman.......... It should be
>>fun........to actually see the man behind the postings-------isn't the
>>Internet great!!!! You've wondered who in the hell is Roger
>>Wiseman---KC8JBO---well now you'll know!!!!!! Info on the Website to
>>see Roger Wiseman will be upcomming in a post real soon. See you
all
>then!!!!!!!!!!
>>N8WGM
>
>This is what makes the FCC action so worrisome. N8WGM stalks a
>ham, then sends letters to the FCC of alleged jamming; the FCC
>believes WGM, and sends the ham a warning letter.
>
>I hope the accused forwarded the above threat-to-stalk notice
>to the FCC.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

I did. I sent a copy to Riley. Of course, the local police already have
a copy.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3782. Re: N8WGM describes his hole in the ground

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87630c$vl3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120012

In article <20000201000945.23220.00001531@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
phisionguy@aol.com (Phisionguy) wrote:
> >From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
> >Subject: Re: Living Underground
> >Date: 24 Mar 1999 00:00:00 GMT
> >Message-ID: <19990324004433.01431.00000673@ng-cg1.aol.com>
> >References: <x_FJ2.400$We2.4573727@harpo.ctel.net>
> >Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
> >Newsgroups: misc.survivalism
> >X-Admin: news@aol.com
> >
> >Sounds Great Wendy.
> >I built my own earth bermed home. It's 1200 sq. feet with earth
bermed
> >on 3
> >sides and has massive south facing windows for passive solar
gain.When
> >the sun
> >shines in the winter, I have to open up the windows to cool the house
> >down. In
> >the Summer, I need no air conditioning--I just run a small de-
> >humidifier to dry
> >out the air. I did all the work myself and the house was built with
no
> >mortage
> >and it is free & clear except for the tax man.
> > I'm using a composting toilet and have solar pannels and a small
> >windmill
> >for 12 volt power. I have 3 lighting systems---12 volt halogen
lights,
> >120
> >volt incadecent, and keroscene lamps. The well utilizes a hand pump
to a
> >cistern. From the cistern, I run a 12 volt pump to a pressurized
tank.
> >That way
> >I can allways have water no matter if the power goes off or not.
> > The refrigeration is handled by a ice house and inside the house I
> >utilize a
> >12 volt boat fridge. I've built underground a super insulated root
> >cellar that
> >holds several 5 gallon containers of water that I freeze in the
winter
> >by

> >setting them outside. After freezing, I put them into the ice
> >house/root cellar
> >and they stay frozen till the fall season.
> > Heating is handled by wood stove, passive solar gain and I also
have
> >240 volt
> >baseboard heat and finaly, a small propane ventless gas heater.
> > Ive got other plans for solar water heating--right now I'm
> >using a
> >tankless Aquastar heater and it works great.
> > I love my house--no heating bills--no water bills, low taxes and
low
> >upkeep.
> > Steve from Bunker Hill in southeastern Ohio.
> >
> >
> >N8WGM
> >
> >
> >
> >--
> >73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> >EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> >Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Wow! Sounds like a great house to me.
> I lived throught the ice storm up in Quebec a few years ago. I wish
I had a
> house like that so I wouldn't have froze my butt off. No power for a
month and
> a half in the worst time of the year to not have power. The Winter.
> More people should think this way and become more self reliant. It
would
> make their lives a lot easier in case of emergencies like an ice
storm or a
> tornado which sure does make for a bad day.
> He says he has no heating/electric bills and no house payment. I
sure wish I
> could have done that!
>

>

He probably lives in filth, like w4amp.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3783. Re: Civilian evidence only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <876393$vn8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120013

Jeffrey Herman wrote in message <875lnh$98n$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>N8WGM <n8wgm@aol.com> wrote:
>>All I can say is you got a letter from Hollingsworth telling you to
stop
>>jamming 20 meters. You got that letter after myself and others
figured out you
>>were jamming. We self policed the ham band. You got caught and now
you are
>>pissed off at me for catching you. I was in your area and caught you
jamming
>>and you got a letter from the FCC
>
>I find it a dangerous precedence when the FCC sends a letter based
>only upon evidence gathered by civilians. That is what you're implying,
>correct?
>
>Very troubling.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>
>Fingerprint Classification Services: A copy of your own inked
impressions
>imprinted with the Henry classification formula applied to your prints.

Exactly. The FCC didn't mention any specific incidents, as you can see
from the post with my warning letter.
TONDUHH the blunder chipmunk overstates his influence upon the FCC.
He's a legend in his own little tiny mind.
I thought he was going to Florida, and didn't have time for this.
Another lie out of n8whitegaymale........
Or is it because he can't scrape up enough gas money?

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3784. Re: Civilian evidence only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8763d7vur1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120014

N8WackoGayMale wrote in message <20000201002103.23197.00001460@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>I find it a dangerous precedence when the FCC sends a letter based
>>only upon evidence gathered by civilians. That is what you're
>>implying, correct?
>>
>>Very troubling.
>>
>
>I find it very troubling that you in the past have supported known
>jammers.....AF2Y, KC8JBO, OTK etc.. These jammers have been >either
removed or warned not to engage in their illegal activities again.

My what a bold statement. Can you back it up, Ernie the chipmunk?
I can just see you drooling profusely over your keyboard, there in your darkened hole, as you spin your transparent lies on the newsgroup.

> The evidence gathered was presented to the FCC via letters and
>recordings. You can guess at the implications as much as you like.
>The letter I'm sure was not based soley

"soley" hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha

"solely," I'm sure you are an undereducated, narrow-minded dumbass.

on the obersvations

"observations" Jesus christ, TONDuhhh, dcan't you put together a simple sentence in English? Or were you too upset by being exposed as a stalker, illegal wiretapper and pure asshole?

of "civilians". I'm sure the
>FCC had made observations on their own after being made aware of the
activites
>of this "JAMMER" by those "civilians" who knew this guy was jamming.

Yeah, right. Why do you avoid the question?

>
> Very Troubling that anyone who is a ham
>thinks that the FCC should not take into concideration

"consideration," dumbass white trash.

>the observations of other hams in the quest to clean up the bands.
>JBO jammed and he was caught.
>

>N8WGM

Hmmm. n8wgm engages in the cb-mentality of vigilante justice, what a surprise. I suppose it goes along with his extreme right-wing "thinking" and KKK membership. This wacko needs to be locked up in the mental ward. Riley has been made aware of this idiot's postings, and now has the whole picture. TONDUHHHHH needs to go back to 11 meters from which he sprang.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3785. Morse exercises No.8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <875trs$2np$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 120015
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Dear YLs and OM,

DTC's regular Morse exercises transmitted every Monday at 19:00 h
local time in DL on 3575 +/- 3 kHz are available on the Internet as
well, being in downloadable MIDI-file format.

Currently three speeds are offered for download:

Speed "90" (= 18 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr8_90.mid

Speed "60" (= 12 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr8_60.mid

Speed "45" (= 9 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr8_45.mid

Hoping you'll have some fun decoding either on the air or from the
Internet, you may check out your capabilities in decoding Morse. For
ease of reference the content of the Morse code transmission / file
may be accessed at DTC's web site using

http://www.muenster.org/dtc/cwtext.html

Your opinion and suggestions are welcome: dtc@muenster.org

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

3786. Re: A Blast from the Past..on gayboy n8wgm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wgm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000201032643.23199.00001521@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120016

>This is what makes the FCC action so worrisome. N8WGM stalks a
>ham, then sends letters to the FCC of alleged jamming; the FCC
>believes WGM, and sends the ham a warning letter.
>

Your postings indicate a predispostion for support of jammers and jamming, especialy this rather acerbic jammer . You've supported some of the biggest jammers in ham radio with your comments on this NG. You have no credability. No stalking took place. I drove by his house and asked him to come out. He asked me to kiss his ass and then I left. End of story. Self policing worked . . Roger got caught.

If anything, KJC8JBo is a stalker. A thousand postings and attacks on several others on this newsgroup. I've ignored his attacks but every once in a while I'll respond.
KC8 JBO was jamming and he got caught. You people are angry that your buddy was caught by hams who knew what you were up to. Too damn bad. Your rather violent reactions to my visit was more than enough evidence to show that something illegal was going on. A few weeks later after my visit---Roger got his letter.

Criminal Jammers will be paranoid and try to keep people from finding out who they are.They will scream anything to prevent people from finding out who they are including "stalker--stalker".
Everyone on this newsgroup knows that JBO is an antisocial jammer. His over 1000 antisocial hate filled postings in the last year would be evidence enough. Read them and weep.
> N8WGM stalks a
>ham,

You've made this statement several times.

You are right.
In Webster's collegiate dictionary, The word stalk means " in search of prey or quarry"
Jammers are the quarry. KC8JBO is a jammer. He got caught. I sent no letter to the FCC but made several comments on the air on 3950 about KC8JBO. What Roger didn't figure was that someone lives close by and who won't put up with his crap on the air. .

KC8JBO likes anonymity. All criminals do.
So he screams "stalker" or " he wants to photograph me to masturbate to". What kind of sick wacko is this? I wanted to put him on the web so people could see what kind of bully this guy is. He has room to make all kinds of insulting remarks to everyone. All the while he lives in a shoe box with his mommy. He's nothing more than a schoolyard bully who hasn't learned he's no longer in

school. OOPS--I guess he still is after 45 years.
Hollingsworth was monitoring 3950 because of the activities that were sanctioned by several of the 14.313 participants. He probably heard my comments about positive identification of jamming signals made by my uncle in the area. Too bad. You people can cry all you want. But Roger has a warning letter. If it happens again, He's history.

N8WGM

3787. Re: Kenwood TM-221A Step rate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <8768mr$p0r$3@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 120017

Brad Sheppard <bradjenn@nf.sympatico.ca> hath said:
: Hi I just acquired an old Kenwood TM221A mobile radio and am wondering how
: to chage the step rate of the VFO. It is currently set at 20KHz and I have
: a load of repeaters in this area that require a 10 KHz step rate (i.e.
: 146.790 as opposed to either 146.780 or 146.800 which are the only two
: frequencies even close to the desired one available)

: Thanks in advance
: Brad Sheppard
: VO1XA

I haven't used this rig in awhile, mine is boxed up on a shelf.
Even though I can't answer right away, I would like to suggest
that you can obtain a copy of the owners manual from Kenwood's
online site free of charge. All you need is Adobe Acrobat to
read the .PDF file. Go to ftp.kenwood.net and you should see
folders there on for Amateur in there you should see a folder
for manuals etc. just select the one for the TM-221A. Ofcourse
if you print it out, it will be exactly like an original manual.
Jimmy

--
~ o ~
' | ` AMATEUR - RADIO - STATION - K B 5 F S V
|
|====. Jimmy W. Simmons, Amateur Radio Operator
|.--.| KB5FSV, Member Jackson County ARES/RACES
||o=|| and SkyWarn. Grid: EM50QJ Running Kenwood
||__|| TS450, TS440, and Collins S-line Stations
|[::]| Former Civil Air Patrol - MockingBird 484
'----'

3788. Re: Got my EXTRA CSCEs (written) today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <87688i$p0r$2@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 120018

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@hawaii.edu> hath said:
:>How do we tell them apart :p

: Oh, I suppose that we could scan our licenses onto some web page.
: The 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns are almost all gone so when you see or hear
: one, you can be assured that it belongs to a 20 wpm Extra.
: 73, Jeff KH6O

Jeff I see you haven't been to the Vanity Callsign page at either
QRZ or ARRL. There are hundreds of 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns ready to
be issued to people who choose one, and several hundreds more that
are in the process of their 2 year wait period before they can be
re-issued. When I get upgraded I'm either going for a 1x2 or a 2x1
or a 2x2, if I can find something witty that matches 2x2 I'll go
for it. I'd get a 1x2 or 2x1 to shorten up the time it takes to say
a callsign. Right now I have a tongue-twister, its almost impossible
to understand without phonetics, KB5FSV.. Check out www.qrz.com under
vanity callsigns. There are plenty for the taking.

-- _______
------------------------- __________| |_____________
------------------------ / Heartbeat of America /
---------------------- / http:// www.datasync.com/nexus /
---------------------- /_____________ __________/
|_______|

3789. Re: Manuals and Tubes for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net>
Message-ID: <876d48skc1@ruby.mint.net>
Source record: 120019
Cross-posted to: free.uk.amateur-radio,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Gary Brown <gbrown@mint.net> wrote in message
news:86v3k7vvr1@ruby.mint.net...
> I have photocopies of alot of manuals for Hallicrafters, Heathkit,
> Hammarlund and others.
> Also have thousands of tubes for sale. E-Mail me with your wants to:
> gbrown@mint.net
> Thank you
> Gary
>
>

3790. FS: UHF P110 & DMM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: MItch <mcguyver@apollo.bv.net>
Message-ID: <3896DD78.721DD538@apollo.bv.net>
Source record: 120020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,ga.forsale,misc.industrial.electronics.marketplace

FS Model # P110 Motorola UHF transceiver with battery, antenna and standard charger.2 channel, 4 W,
Model#P44QLC20A2AA

Works fine, asking $175 shipped CONUS

I have a used, but great condition Fluke Model 87 III True RMS DMM for sale, with fluke leds and yellow protector, works fine, photo upon request, selling to get a different DMM

Asking $175

3791. HF Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: nw7us@hfradio.removethispart.org
Message-ID: <dbzl4.210$aN6.5771@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 120021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Howdy, folks.

New site! http://cw.hfradio.org - CW / Morse Code Resrouce center. Lots of stuff coming down the line. If you have stories, tips, links, and other things, would you share them with me, and allow me to post them on this new resource?

Also, if you are into HF, consider getting onto 10 meters! It is hot right now. Lots of DX. The Ten Ten International club is challenging Amateurs to work 2000 contacts on 10 meters, any mode, any 10 meter frequency, in the year 2000. I've posted my current 10 meter logs on the site. See if you are in there (if ya worked me!).

As usual, you are also invited to visit http://hfradio.org - a non-commercial site offering:

+ Azimuth Map / Equidistant Map Creation (choose any QTH as center, many options)

+ Propagational Resources (live, real-time reports, eAlert service (sign up for automatic email solar/geo reports, complete with Aurora index))

+ News, and DX information and reports

+ QSL Manager and Callsign Lookup center

+ Swap and Shop

+ FREE HOME PAGES THAT YOU CAN USE TO POST YOUR OWN HAM OR SWL SITE

+ Bookstore - SWL and Ham related books. Over a 1000 titles so far.

+ Plus more (Directories, etc.)

73 de NW7US, Tomas

--
: http://accessnow.com -- Brinnon, Washington : 122.93W : 47.67N :
: HOME: http://hfradio.org and http://cw.hfradio.org :
: - :
: World-Wide Communications via Amateur Radio : CW/SSB DX Hound :
: 10x56526 : FISTS 7055 : Code Warrior #60 : Propagation Student :
: :
: Member, ARRL -- Official Webmaster of the North West ARRL Site :
: NWARRL - http://hfradio.org/nwarrl/ :

3792. Re: A Blast from the Past..on gayboy n8wgm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <876i9m$ag0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120022

Known stalker and illegal wiretapper N8WGM backpedaled in message
<20000201032643.23199.00001521@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>Your postings indicate a predispostion for support of jammers and
>jamming, especialy this rather acerbic jammer . You've supported >some
of the biggest jammers in ham radio with your comments on this >NG.
You have no credability.

Pot kettle black.

>No stalking took place. I drove by his house and asked him to come
>out. He asked me to kiss his ass and then I left. End of story. Self
>policing worked . .

Obviously, you're misinformed on the subject of stalking. But this is
no surprise, you are misinformed on everything.

>Roger got caught.

SUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUURE I did.

>If anything, KJC8JBo is a stalker. A thousand postings and attacks on
>several others on this newsgroup.

Whaaaaaaaaah.. Poor baby.

>I've ignored his attacks but every once in a while I'll respond.

Translation: "I know I'm outmatched."

>KC8JBO was jamming and he got caught. You people are angry that >your
buddy was caught by hams who knew what you were up to. Too >damn bad.
Your rather violent reactions to my visit was more than >enough
evidence to show that something illegal was going on. A few >weeks
later after my visit---Roger got his letter.

Obviously, you are in denial.

> Criminal Jammers will be paranoid and try to keep people from
>finding out who they are.They will scream anything to prevent people
>from finding out who they are including "stalker--stalker".

This ass wgm sounds like an expert in such matters.

> Everyone on this newsgroup knows that JBO is an antisocial jammer.
>His over 1000 antisocial hate filled postings in the last year would
be >evidence enough. Read them and weep.

Poor baby. It's fun lashing the boil encrusted shindles of a
blubbering, ill-educated moron like you, TONDuhhhhh.

> > N8WGM stalks a
>>ham,
>
>You've made this statement several times.
>
> You are right.

Oh, now he ADMITS stalking me.

> In Webster's collegiate dictionary, The word stalk means " in search
>of prey or quarry"
> Jammers are the quarry. KC8JBO is a jammer. He got caught. I sent
>no letter to the FCC but made several comments on the air on 3950
>about KC8JBO.

Translation: Ernie the chipmunk is a wacko that professed his love for
me on 3950.

> What Roger didn't figure was that someone lives close by and who
>won't put up with his crap on the air. .
>
>KC8JBO likes anonymity. All criminals do.
>So he screams "stalker" or " he wants to photograph me to masturbate
>to". What kind of sick wacko is this? I wanted to put him on the web
so >people could see what kind of bully this guy is. He has room to
make >all kinds of insulting remarks to everyone. All the while he
lives in a >shoe box with his mommy. He's nothing more than a
schoolyard bully >who hasn't learned he's no longer in school. OOPS--I
guess he still is >after 45 years.

Poor baby. TONDUhhh lives in a ppig sty, similar to w4amp, and drived a
white 4wd that looks like he's had several DWIs.
Poor TONDUHHH, jealous because all HE has is a GED.
How SICK is this?

<875mho$a2c$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>>From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
>>Howdy everyone!
>>I'm going ot visit Roger Wiseman this weekend-----I'm bringing a
>>digital camera---so everyone can see the infamous KC8JBO. Cut the
>>grass Roger--- clean up the house-------I'm coming to visit and
report >>to the world who in the hell is Roger Wiseman.......... It
should be
>>fun........to actually see the man behind the postings-------isn't the
>>Internet great!!!! You've wondered who in the hell is Roger
>>Wiseman---KC8JBO---well now you'll know!!!!!! Info on the Website
>>to see Roger Wiseman will be upcomming in a post real soon. See
>>you all then!!!!!!!!!!
>>N8WGM

>Hollingsworth was monitoring 3950 because of the activities that were
>sanctioned by several of the 14.313 participants. He probably heard
>my comments about positive identification of jamming signals made >by

my uncle in the area.
>Too bad. You people can cry all you want. But Roger has a warning
>letter. If it happens again, He's history.

Whine, whine, whine. Of course, you can't do anything else, can you, bucktoothed choad? He heard your comments, you said before that you and your child molesting uncle sent in letters and tapes. Quit changing your story, or is it beacuse it's a lie, and you can't really remember which lies you've posted?

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3793. Re: Once again Jimmy O loser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <876im9$aq4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 120023

Dave wrote in message <8zqqhf$jjYD$1@warez.phantom.com>...
>On Mon, 31 Jan 2000 16:11:15 GMT kc8jbo@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>: You have no credibility..you post anonymously.
>
>And you post from Deja ... Now THERE'S a credible location (not).
>
>But I don't email anonymously, and that's what counts.

I don't see your ham radio callsign on your posts. You might as well
post anonymously.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3794. Re: Re G8ASO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com>
Message-ID: <876i82$d4r$1@dolf.netfront.net>
Source record: 120024
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

SUX'S ....This word does not exist!

Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
Complaints to news@netfront.net

3795. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jan R. Terpstra" <maildump@a2000.nl>
Message-ID: <3896DA31.6DCFB592@a2000.nl>
Source record: 120025
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

G8ASO* wrote:
>
> A callsign is assigned to a station and not a person, if amateur radio
> station G8ASO was established, then anyone using it must give the same
> call-sign irrespective of whether they have a call-sign of their "own".
>
> As this is conducted over telephone lines, amateur radio call-signs are
> irrelevant! This is not an amateur radio station!
>
> G8ASO
>
> ------
> Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
> Complaints to news@netfront.net

will y'all please stop posting enlish brouhaha in foreign newgroups?

thank you.
--
\\JT\\

3796. MFJ784B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al <avitiello@abc-inc.org>
Message-ID: <876lob$qe@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 120026

I wonder in anyone is using the MFJ784B DSP filter. I have a older rig and
I'm thinking of buying one but is it's performance worth the hefty $250.00
price tag. Thanks for any help
73
Al - WB2KAB

3797. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3896E25B.CF4727E8@mindspring.com>
Source record: 120027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic* a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that barring arthritis and astigmatism it is hard to understand why a ham can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or building and installing common antennas.

Will KD7BFX

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> More to the point, the
> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> competency anyway.

3798. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3896E3E2.4B0778DA@mindspring.com>
Source record: 120028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Which is exactly the argument being made, but as inverse: the skill is simple and common and undemanding. However, given that soldering is a staple (no pun intended) activity of amateurs who are more than purely appliance operators, lacking minimal competence in it raises questions about other basic skills, higher order skills, and the quality and extent of the self-training we are supposed to be engaged in.

Will KD7BFX

Andy Wallace wrote:
>
> This is what minimum wage assembly line people do--I wouldn't brag about
> being good at it. :)
>
> Andy K5VM
>
> > More to the point, the
> > ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> > competency anyway.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >

3799. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3896E672.A4492DC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 120029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Chip wrote:
>
> On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 14:36:11 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Louis Albert wrote:
> >> I am a liberal and proud and proud of it!
> >>
> >>
> >Q: Do you know what they call a liberal who has been mugged?
> >>
> >A: A conservative.
>
> Q: Do you know what they call a conservative that has been arrested ?
>
> A: a liberal

Good proof of the axiom that both are bankrupt, corrupt ideologies that have everything to do with imposing oneself on others, and nothing to do with morality, truth, or efficacy. Both of them stink.

Will KD7BFX

3800. Re: Posting to eight newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3896E822.7D45F71D@mindspring.com>
Source record: 120030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not only that, but if you are using a news reader much more modern than
TIN, reading a message in one group should mark it as read in the others
as well, so you don't see it twice, unless you want to torture yourself
with everything that everyone else is doing wrong.

Will KD7BFX

Siegfried Rambaum wrote:
>
> > Posting to eight newsgroups at the same time carries some implications
> > that don't even have to be said.
> >
> > Dick McCollum N0BK
>
> Don't cloak yourself in fake mystery.
>
> What are the implications?
>
> They are:
>
> ONE single message, EIGHT newsgroup flags attached to it.
>
> I don't assume, these are unspeakable implications. Or did you really
> believe, that a message posted to eight newsgroups criss crosses the
> internet as EIGHT individual messages, consuming eight times the
> bandwidth? The designers of internet had more brains than that.
> Though you can read the message in eight groups, it only exists ONCE.

3801. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <3896F2B4.4F39F8DF@radiks.net>
Source record: 120031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Hams need MORE license classes.
> Keep radiation resistance in antennas.....not in neighbors.
>
> 73,
> Bob Wex w2ilp (Incentive License Policy?)
> ARRL Member IEEE Life Member
> ----------
> If we value the pursuit of knowledge, we must be free
> to follow wherever that search will lead us. The free
> mind is no barking dog to be tethered on a ten-foot
> chain.
> --Adlat E. Stevenson Jr. (1952)--
>
> You tell me whar a man gits his corn pone, en I'll tell
> you what his 'pinions is.
> --Mark Twain (1925)--

Mark Twain died in 1910. Dick McCollum N0BK
>
> Many hams could care less about what is in their ham
> gear or where it was made. Most Americans don't know
> that Coca Cola isn't "classic" because it has been made
> with corn syrup (and not with cane sugar) since WW2...nor
> do they know that the U.S. government has been supporting
> the corn lobby since the Whisky Rebellion.
> I dunno if that is good or bad...or if corny politics is
> off-topic here.
> --w2ilp--
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3802. Why pick on W4JYZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <876stdrnu1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120032

Enough already with picking on Donald Anderson. You say he hates elderly perople? Bullbleep. He's the most polite guy on 20 meters; we can all learn from him. You don't like what he says about Viet Nam? That's too bad; he was THERE, evaded no draft whatsoever and I don't care in what capacity he served. HE WAS THERE!. How much proof do you need that we should have never gotten involved? Robert McNamara said it was unnecessary; in fact, there never was a Gulf of Tonkin incident. That was fabricated by L.B.J. and pals. Listen to W4NTI's analysis of that S.E.Asian murder factory. According to him it was a war that greatly profited Lady Bird and her darling starlings. I don't agree with W4JYZ's admiration for Jane Fonda; I think she was treacherous but by God, Donald has a right to express himself because HE WAS THERE! It's very easy to talk about patriotism and fight a war from one's living room, isn't it. Was I there? No because at the time of the big callups, I was close to thirty but I did my part by voting for Goldwater in '64 since the Reds were scared of him big time and Barry would have stopped that slaughterhouse fast. And t I attended to some who served and saw first hand what had happened to our proud youth and dammit-we should have either nuked the beasts or kept out. Give W4JYZ a break because HE WAS THERE and has keen insight into politics.
AF2Y

3803. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3898058B.6535D913@mindspring.com>
Source record: 119883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I can answer that. Except for Maxwell (about whose life I know next to nothing), these guys all had workshops and were quite competent technicians. Soldering and other contruction skills are pretty much prerequisites for inventors and experimenters. How else do you test an hypothesis, save by putting a circuit together and running it? Can you imagine how important a good solder joint is in prototyping an *entirely* novel circuit, like the Audion or product detector? Maybe it works, maybe it doesn't, but be very sure that if it *doesn't* that you have failed at the drafting table, not on the workbench, or else you are liable to discard a good working design!

Especially early on, most electronic pioneers had neither the funds nor the confidence of the science and engineering establishment to have a lab full of technical assistants. Morse himself was a notable exception. He had a little money of his own, and was able to persuade his brother to grant him even more to finish his early work. But what impeded Morse more than anything wasn't finances or scientific opinion, but his own ego, upper-class aversion to manual labor, and stubborness. He did little of the drab dirty lab work himself, but got Alfred Vail to do it.

With his hands on the actual telegraph apparatus itself, it was Vail who first recognized the problems with the initial inked-tape system, and it was Vail and an assistant who recognized the superiority of using an electro-mechanical sounder to the original system. It was Vail who developed that apparatus, though it was Morse who took the credit for it. Vail knew and used the original Morse code more than Morse himself did. As a result, Vail came up with a number of great improvements and changes to the code, which Morse fought. It was only after a series of public failures than Morse allowed the changes, in the apparatus and the code itself, to be made. Morse built little, and operated little more, and as a result he had a hard time selling the telegraph and telegraph code to Congress and others, since he really knew little about the system, being responsible mostly for the original concept of an electrical telegraph, not for the actual engineering and development. "What hath Alfred wrought?" might have been a more appropriate first message.

Even the most brilliant theorist and inventor needs to get his hands dirty in the workshop. The history of science is full of examples of men of modest background succeeding fabulously, because they spent time building, operating, and using their creations, where other men with sterling stature and credentials failed utterly: Moveable type, longitude, heredity, avaiation, to name a few subjects. Yes, soldering isn't a very high-order or virtuoso skill, but without it and other manual workshop skills, it is hard to invent and develop new things.

de Will KD7BFX

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
> were able to solder...
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> In article <3896E25B.CF4727E8@mindspring.com>,
> Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
> >dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
> >technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
> >things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
> >even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
> >a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
> >barring arthritis and astigmatism it is hard to understand why a ham
> >can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex
> >tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or
> >building and installing common antennas.
> >
> >Will KD7BFX
> >
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> More to the point, the
> >> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> >> competency anyway.

3804. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38980726.A5E5CD3D@mindspring.com>
Source record: 119884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith,

Do you know any more details? There is a thread on the CW/QTH listserv right now about blind hams and homebrewing/tuning up tube finals. I would especially be interested in how blind hams solder, and then check their joints. I imagine you can pretty easily rig up a DMM and other test equipment to voice-annunciate readings, but I wonder how you avoid burns and ensure solder gets in the right places and the right amounts, and how you avoid bridges and cold joints. A HW-101 is one thing, but how in the world do the blind work on PC boards?

de Will KD7BFX

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Will White wrote:
> >
> > Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
> > dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
> > technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
> > things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
> > even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
> > a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
> > barring arthritis and astigmatism
>
> I knew a ham who was "blackout" blind and had built his HW-101.
>

3805. how many antennas on 1 mast??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5cqa@devtex.net
Message-ID: <389e06bd.5524022@news.devtex.net>
Source record: 119885

I have a single band 440 ground plane antenna, a 2 meter butternut
ground plane, and a 2 meter horz loop antenna, and a 440 horz loop
antenna. What are the configurations that I could use to get the
"most out of my mast" ???
the mast is oilfield upset tubing. It is very strong. The elevation
is 51feet. Looking for any and all input. C. Lorentson 73

3806. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <doormatt@NOSPAMbigpond.com>
Message-ID: <PqUl4.5242$VJ1.8485@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 119886
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

What is irrelevant is your drivel. You do not appear to hold the callsign G8ASO - as such stop attributing your site to the holder of this callsign - as he obviously is unhappy with both your site and also you attributing your site to him.

--

Matt

G8ASO* <g8aso@coolmail.com> wrote in message news:8757bn$1lf9$1@dolf.netfront.net...
> A callsign is assigned to a station and not a person, if amateur radio
> station G8ASO was established, then anyone using it must give the same
> call-sign irrespective of whether they have a call-sign of their "own".
>
> As this is conducted over telephone lines, amateur radio call-signs are
> irrelevant! This is not an amateur radio station!
>
> G8ASO
>
>
> ------
> Posted via news://freenews.netfront.net
> Complaints to news@netfront.net

3807. AF2Y and old hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <879717$9de$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119887

This subject seems to have gotten W4JYZ in trouble with some of his "pears." My spin on the subject is that ham radio has gone to the grayhairs and graybeards and restructuring came about to try to turn it around. And when something is left up to the "greys". invariably it'll die sooner than one wants because so do the "greys," What's killing ham radio? Hell that's easy-the Internet and NOTHING'S GOING TO STOP IT. This whole restructuring bit is a shtick that has two sides. It will bring in some youth which is what we want but what kind? LAZY YOUTH! The one's wanting something for nothing and THAT'S SUPPOSED TO SAVE HAM RADIO? Like hell it will. Internet games and chatrooms are "doing in" amateur radio and it's going to get worse for us. Do you know what made AOL a zillion bucks? THEIR CHATS! The server is an Internet within an Internet and as a self cancelled subscriber, after ten months I know how it works. AOL is so big, bold and interesting that , for example, a young subscriber NEVER has to use it as the door to open the big bold WORLDWIDE Internet. Kids just wanna have fun and there it is. Hell, it's even easier than CB and mark my words, that too will go the way of the grey crowd. Somehow are we going to stem the tide of events that bode ill for the longevity of ham radio? WE CAN'T. Nothing is as powerful as an idea whose time has come and PCs and MACs are both the culprits and saviours. So get used to things all of you who desperately want to turn the tide of history around and set the clock back to "Alice in QSO Land." It ain't gonna happen. Don doesn't hate old people. What bothers him is that the oldies now dominate ham radio and will until they die and what's coming up in the rear will NOT supplant them. Live with it-IT'S REALITY.
Doc,AF2Y

3808. Re: AF2Y and old hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <879eh2$e6l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119888

In article <879717$9de$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> This subject seems to have gotten W4JYZ in trouble with some of
his "pears."
> My spin on the subject is that ham radio has gone to the grayhairs and
> graybeards and restructuring came about to try to turn it around.
And when
> something is left up to the "greys". invariably it'll die sooner than
one
> wants because so do the "greys," What's killing ham radio? Hell that's
> easy-the Internet and NOTHING'S GOING TO STOP IT. This whole
restructuring
> bit is a shtick that has two sides. It will bring in some youth which
is
> what we want but what kind? LAZY YOUTH! The one's wanting something
for
> nothing and THAT'S SUPPOSED TO SAVE HAM RADIO? Like hell it will.
> Internet games and chatrooms are "doing in" amateur radio and it's
going to
> get worse for us. Do you know what made AOL a zillion bucks? THEIR
CHATS!
> The server is an Internet within an Internet and as a self cancelled
> subscriber, after ten months I know how it works. AOL is so big, bold
and
> interesting that , for example, a young subscriber NEVER has to
use it
> as the door to open the big bold WORLDWIDE Internet. Kids just wanna
have
> fun and there it is. Hell, it's even easier than CB and mark my
words, that
> too will go the way of the grey crowd. Somehow are we going to stem
the tide
> of events that bode ill for the longevity of ham radio? WE CAN'T.
Nothing is
> as powerful as an idea whose time has come and PCs and MACs are both
the
> culprits and saviours. So get used to things all of you who
desperately want
> to turn the tide of history around and set the clock back to "Alice
in QSO
> Land." It ain't gonna happen. Don doesn't hate old people. What
bothers him
> is that the oldies now dominate ham radio and will until they die and
what's

> coming up in the rear will NOT supplant them. Live with it-IT'S
REALITY.
> Doc,AF2Y
>
I remember these sort of comments when I got on the air. I was the youngblood and there were "nothing but old timer's on the radio". I had no problem with that, I asked the questions, I got the answers and I eventually found my "niche" in ham radio.

The internet has not taken away the young blood. Society has. It is no longer so exciting to talk to strange sounding places in a far away land. When you can pick up a cell phone and do the same. When you can watch your planet from space. etc. etc.

Sure the internet is quick and easy. The real problem is our youth have no challanges. It starts in school, with the touchy feely crap being taught to the parents with no time for the kids because they are busting their butts with two or three jobs just to stay alive.

And last but not least it comes down to us "old timers". You know the ones that can't be bothered by a new ham's question. The one's that sit on 2 meter repeaters and bad mouth the youngsters that came up via the no code tech route. The ones that sit on this newsgroup and call them "welfare generals", etc.

So ladies and gentlemen if you want some youngsters in this service, get your butt out there and bring them to the shack. Show them the fun and thrill of talking thousands of miles on a piece of wire. I did just that last night, with my 6 year old grandson. He is coming back today for another taste. End of story.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3809. WTB: Extra Theory Preparation Tapes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Baker <Stephen.Baker@umassmed.edu>
Message-ID: <3898BC07.952CAF5A@umassmed.edu>
Source record: 119889

Subject line says it all!

KD1PF
stephen.baker@umassmed.edu

3810. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38984DF5.9E4A1C2B@nutcase.net>
Source record: 119890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To answer your question you have someone else do the work, you just
supply the brains.

D

Will White wrote:
>
> I can answer that. Except for Maxwell (about whose life I know next to
> nothing), these guys all had workshops and were quite competent
> technicians. Soldering and other contruction skills are pretty much
> prerequisites for inventors and experimenters. How else do you test an
> hypothesis, save by putting a circuit together and running it? Can you
> imagine how important a good solder joint is in prototyping an
> *entirely* novel circuit, like the Audion or product detector? Maybe it
> works, maybe it doesn't, but be very sure that if it *doesn't* that you
> have failed at the drafting table, not on the workbench, or else you are
> liable to discard a good working design!
>
> Especially early on, most electronic pioneers had neither the funds nor
> the confidence of the science and engineering establishment to have a
> lab full of technical assistants. Morse himself was a notable exception.
> He had a little money of his own, and was able to persuade his brother
> to grant him even more to finish his early work. But what impeded Morse
> more than anything wasn't finances or scientific opinion, but his own
> ego, upper-class aversion to manual labor, and stubborness. He did
> little of the drab dirty lab work himself, but got Alfred Vail to do it.
>
> With his hands on the actual telegraph apparatus itself, it was Vail who
> first recognized the problems with the initial inked-tape system, and it
> was Vail and an assistant who recognized the superiority of using an
> electro-mechanical sounder to the original system. It was Vail who
> developed that apparatus, though it was Morse who took the credit for
> it. Vail knew and used the original Morse code more than Morse himself
> did. As a result, Vail came up with a number of great improvements and
> changes to the code, which Morse fought. It was only after a series of
> public failures than Morse allowed the changes, in the apparatus and the
> code itself, to be made. Morse built little, and operated little more,
> and as a result he had a hard time selling the telegraph and telegraph
> code to Congress and others, since he really knew little about the
> system, being responsible mostly for the original concept of an
> electrical telegraph, not for the actual engineering and development.
> "What hath Alfred wrought?" might have been a more appropriate first message.
>
> Even the most brilliant theorist and inventor needs to get his hands

> dirty in the workshop. The history of science is full of examples of men
> of modest background succeeding fabulously, because they spent time
> building, operating, and using their creations, where other men with
> sterling stature and credentials failed utterly: Moveable type,
> longitude, heredity, avaiation, to name a few subjects. Yes, soldering
> isn't a very high-order or virtuoso skill, but without it and other
> manual workshop skills, it is hard to invent and develop new things.
>
> de Will KD7BFX
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
> > were able to solder...
> >
> > 73, Jeff KH6O
> >
> > In article <3896E25B.CF4727E8@mindspring.com>,
> > Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > >Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
> > >dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
> > >technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
> > >things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
> > >even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
> > >a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
> > >barring arthritis and astigmatism it is hard to understand why a ham
> > >can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex
> > >tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or
> > >building and installing common antennas.
> > >
> > >Will KD7BFX
> > >
> > >Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > >>
> > >> More to the point, the
> > >> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> > >> competency anyway.

3811. Re: AF2Y and old hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <879gkcfmf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119891

Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <879eh2$e6l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <879717$9de$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,

>I was the youngblood<snip>

No, anything but youngblood.
<snicker>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3812. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <879gr2$g0c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119892

AF2Y_RULES wrote in message <38984DF5.9E4A1C2B@nutcase.net>...
>To answer your question you have someone else do the work, you just
>supply the brains.
>
>
>D

Or in the "kc8jbo_asshole@my-deja.com" and "thatsritepolly@my-deja.com"
cases, you do the work, and they have nothing to supply. They nevehad
any brains to supply..

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3813. AF2Y STILL OFF AOL,,THANKS-BOB-LENNY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rileygotchu@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <879j6jhti1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119893

For all his breast beating and swagger on this forum, the fact remains that AF2Y is still off AOL. Iris is pissed at him for his obnoxous behavior that caused him to dump her address book and then be kicked off her favorite ISP! Now he brags about getting a free posting on AOL. It is obvious he does not understand the internet and how it works.

His zany spelling, (example) pears for peers, in a recent posting is causeing people to think he is going to a new level of mashugana (crazy). It is very sad, but he made his bed, he will have to lay in it. HO HO HO HEE HEE.

Rileygotchu

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3814. DONT YOU DARE USE 14.275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <879l9q$jh2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119894

THERE ARE SOME STATIONS THAT OWEN 14.275 DONT YOU DARE USE THAT FREQ
IT IS JUST FOR HIM STAY OFF OR SUFFER THE RATH OF SAINT LOUIS AND
HARLEY DAVIDSON.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3815. K0ECK SAYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <879lti$k1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119895
Body handling: 1 inline base64-encoded payload block was not displayed

HAY RILLEY POLLY

[Inline base64-encoded payload not displayed]
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA POLLY

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3816. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <879j78$d2f$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Follow-ups once again set to just .misc:

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
>> were able to solder...

>Uh, Jeff . . .? Collins got his start in the manufacturing business
>when the Army and Navy discovered that his homebrewed rigs were better
>than anything the military had. Marconi had BUILT some of the military
>stuff. I would hazard a guess they they were pretty good with a
>soldering iron.

I would be very interested in viewing photos of equipment Art Collins
assembled *himself* for the military. Please provide a URL.

I've seen photos of early Marconi gear; he did not solder wire joints.
Metal clips were used to join wires together. Equipment build on a
wooden "chassis" utilized wood screws to join wires together.

Early books on wireless (published within the first decade of the
1900s) contain no chapters on soldering techniques; clips are
shown at wire joints. "The Book of Wireless" by Fred Collins (1915)
shows how to assemble a station from scratch -- again, no mention of
soldering is made -- wire clips wood screws are used throughout assembly.

Your error lies in the belief that the joining of components has always
meant utilizing solder.

Quiz: Can you state the date when soldering was first applied
electronic assembly?

73, Jeff KH6O

Fingerprint Classification Service: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula as applied to your prints.

3817. WTB Yaesu FT101ZD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioleo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <wnYl4.385$Gi4.17527@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119897

WANTED A YAESU FT-101ZD IN GREAT TO EXCELLENT CONDITION ELECTRICALLY,
AND COSMETICALLY. THE ONE USING 6146 TUBES IN THE FINAL.

THANK YOU

LEO KJ6HI

PH/FAX (310) 670-6969

3818. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <879kp9dpu1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Follow-ups set to just .misc:

Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
>I can answer that. Except for Maxwell (about whose life I know next to
>nothing), these guys all had workshops and were quite competent
>technicians. Soldering and other contruction skills are pretty much
>prerequisites for inventors and experimenters. How else do you test an
>hypothesis, save by putting a circuit together and running it? Can you
>imagine how important a good solder joint is in prototyping an
>*entirely* novel circuit, like the Audion or product detector? Maybe it
>works, maybe it doesn't, but be very sure that if it *doesn't* that you
>have failed at the drafting table, not on the workbench, or else you are
>liable to discard a good working design!

You're making the same mistake Keith makes: Assuming the joining of components cannot be accomplished without soldering. If you analyze photos of very early gear, you'll see wires joined using clips or wood screws (the suggestion of using metal in place of a wooden chassis didn't come about until the October 1922 issue of QST).

73, Jeff KH6O

Fingerprint Classification Service: A copy of your own inked impressions imprinted with the Henry classification formula as applied to your prints.

3819. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Jenkins <"sop(NOSPAM)"@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3898126C.3665@erols.com>
Source record: 119899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charles T Johnston wrote:
>
> First it was CW skill that was the mark of a " true Ham " and now it is soldering proficiency. Please give it a rest......
>
> --
>
> Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
> charles@ab7sl.com
>

Damn right.....everybody knows that it really is tree climbing and slingshot proficiency that makes a real ham.

Gene
N4JEE (Real Technician and soon to be General/lite)
PS: Learn to live with it.

3820. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <879nk1$t1u$1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 119900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's right......I had forgotten how much fun it was using my 'wrist rocket' slingshot and rod and reel. Yes indeed 'that' is the mark of a " True Ham ".

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Gene Jenkins" <"sop(NOSPAM)"@erols.com> wrote in message news:3898126C.3665@erols.com...
> Charles T Johnston wrote:
> >
> > First it was CW skill that was the mark of a " true Ham " and now it is soldering proficiency. Please give it a rest......
> >
> > --
> >
> > Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
> > charles@ab7sl.com
> >
>
>
> Damn right.....everybody knows that it really is tree climbing and
> slingshot proficiency that makes a real ham.
>
> Gene
> N4JEE (Real Technician and soon to be General/lite)
> PS: Learn to live with it.

3821. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Anderson <johnandeNOjoSPAM@interl.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <00a2a61c.9305fe41@usw-ex0105-036.remarq.com>
Source record: 119901

Such sick comments have no place in a family type hobby.
We are not CB!

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3822. Re: how many antennas on 1 mast??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4A5caJAOBFm4Ewec@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 119902

In article <389e06bd.5524022@news.devtex.net>, kc5cqa
<kc5cqa@devtex.net> writes
> I have a single band 440 ground plane antenna, a 2 meter butternut
>ground plane, and a 2 meter horz loop antenna, and a 440 horz loop
>antenna. What are the configurations that I could use to get the
>"most out of my mast" ???

One less than when it falls down.

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

3823. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38986f78.5869180@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119903
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 02 Feb 2000 03:35:58 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 16:02:59 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>> >Why would I be embarrassed? It isn't like Jeff is going to call him up
>> >and hear "Yup, I dunno the first thing about working on radios." The
>> >ham in question made a big name for himself in the Prescott, Arizona
>> >area in the late 1980s. I have no idea if he is still there or not, and
>> >I really don't much care. I stopped paying attention to him after the
>> >mike-jack incident and after I had to make a 120-mile round trip to go
>> >turn a serial cable into a null-modem cable because he wasn't able to
>> >desolder and resolder two leads in a DB9 connector.
>>
>> The trouble is these damn connectors keep getting
>> smaller while I keep getting older.
>
>Not the problem in his case. He was in his early 30s.
>
>> I'd just as soon
>> NOT have to solder DB9 connectors at all unless it
>> was an emergency repair.
>>
>> More to the point, the
>> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
>> competency anyway.
>
>I disagree. Soldering is THE basic skill of electronics technical
>competency.

Not so. Most R&D folks have their stuff assembled and
built by lower level techs. The cost of an R&D person
is too valuable for basic assembly work.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3824. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38987174.6C31F346@uci.edu>
Source record: 119904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charles T Johnston wrote:
>
> That's right......I had forgotten how much fun it was using my 'wrist rocket'
> slingshot and rod and reel. Yes indeed 'that' is the mark of a " True Ham ".

Yeah, if there is such a thing as a "True Ham", that's gotta be it. I'm
terrible at it. Broke out a window of the neighbors house trying it.
That was a proud moment.

Every year, the night before Field Day, a crowd of local hams gathers to
watch one of our guys launch monofilament over the 80' light standards
at the park. Always a crowd pleaser.

73 ac6xg

3825. Repeat questions on contesting.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wbzguy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87a2h2ufg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

The following is often seen on contesting.com. Bill can run it however he wants (it seems by the declining posts over the past 4 years he's censoring it into the ground), and I get his point to limit repetition somewhat, but this is getting to be bit much. Let's face it. This is a guy and his cronies who spend entire ham "careers" repeating the same thing as much as possible in contests.

But he wants contesting.com to be repetition free...

Now his reply will be don't like it, don't use it. Or some poop about bandwidth (what year internet is this guy on ???). But these are contesters who think it's ok to jam repetition down our throats 35 weekends a year for 48 hours.

Guess people don't like in others what they see in themselves.

At least he took the time to summarize for the guy, but geezus enough with the damn chant. Shut it down if you can't handle it being a publicly used site.

==========
<blah blah>
Which is best?

73,
Stan, N5UE

de W4ZV:

Stan, this has been covered in previous archives but here's the short answer:
<blah blah>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3826. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3898798C.D3E551D4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Charles T Johnston wrote:
> >
> > That's right......I had forgotten how much fun it was using my 'wrist rocket'
> > slingshot and rod and reel. Yes indeed 'that' is the mark of a " True Ham ".
>
> Yeah, if there is such a thing as a "True Ham", that's gotta be it. I'm
> terrible at it. Broke out a window of the neighbors house trying it.
> That was a proud moment.

As usual, you have it all wrong. A Real Hamï¿½ is a ham who will climb a 70ft
tower in Minnisota during the winter just to lower his SWR by 0.3
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

3827. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <879ttbqu1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 119907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I got a chuckle out of that Jim....never broke a window but had a few times where it was hard to find where the line had landed. Couple times got perfect shots right up over the tree and straight down the other side. Yeah..that is the measure of a "True Ham"

73 / DX thanks for the chuckles

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:38987174.6C31F346@uci.edu...
>
>
> Charles T Johnston wrote:
> >
> > That's right......I had forgotten how much fun it was using my 'wrist rocket'
> > slingshot and rod and reel. Yes indeed 'that' is the mark of a " True Ham ".
>
> Yeah, if there is such a thing as a "True Ham", that's gotta be it. I'm
> terrible at it. Broke out a window of the neighbors house trying it.
> That was a proud moment.
>
> Every year, the night before Field Day, a crowd of local hams gathers to
> watch one of our guys launch monofilament over the 80' light standards
> at the park. Always a crowd pleaser.
>
> 73 ac6xg

3828. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <879tvgrb1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 119908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You know there are those Hams that can't sleep well at night if they have a 1.5:1 SWR ! They need to bone up on transmission line theory.

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3898798C.D3E551D4@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Charles T Johnston wrote:
> > >
> > > That's right.....I had forgotten how much fun it was using my 'wrist rocket'
> > > slingshot and rod and reel. Yes indeed 'that' is the mark of a " True Ham ".
> >
> > Yeah, if there is such a thing as a "True Ham", that's gotta be it. I'm
> > terrible at it. Broke out a window of the neighbors house trying it.
> > That was a proud moment.
>
> As usual, you have it all wrong. A Real Ham is a ham who will climb a 70ft
> tower in Minnisota during the winter just to lower his SWR by 0.3
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3829. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <879u3lrj1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 119909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are right Bill..as a former engineering tech I can validate your remarks.
The EE's I worked for rarely if ever assembled anything.
And really it makes good sense. Gave me the opportunity to hone my assembly and
testing skills which included soldering and we all know soldering is the mark
of a "True Ham" 73 / DX

--

Charles T Johnston - AB7SL
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL- Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message
news:38986f78.5869180@news.interactive.net...
> On Wed, 02 Feb 2000 03:35:58 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 16:02:59 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >> >Why would I be embarrassed? It isn't like Jeff is going to call him up
> >> >and hear "Yup, I dunno the first thing about working on radios." The
> >> >ham in question made a big name for himself in the Prescott, Arizona
> >> >area in the late 1980s. I have no idea if he is still there or not, and
> >> >I really don't much care. I stopped paying attention to him after the
> >> >mike-jack incident and after I had to make a 120-mile round trip to go
> >> >turn a serial cable into a null-modem cable because he wasn't able to
> >> >desolder and resolder two leads in a DB9 connector.
> >>
> >> The trouble is these damn connectors keep getting
> >> smaller while I keep getting older.
> >
> >Not the problem in his case. He was in his early 30s.
> >
> >> I'd just as soon
> >> NOT have to solder DB9 connectors at all unless it
> >> was an emergency repair.
> >>
> >> More to the point, the
> >> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> >> competency anyway.
> >
> >I disagree. Soldering is THE basic skill of electronics technical
> >competency.

>
> Not so. Most R&D folks have their stuff assembled and
> built by lower level techs. The cost of an R&D person
> is too valuable for basic assembly work.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

3830. icom ic-w2e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: p_reds@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87a75e$2ar$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119910

hello to everybody, I'm an Italian amateand I'm new to this forum.
Can someone help me?
I recently found an ICOM IC-W2E handheld transceiver, I know that the
frequency can be expanded but I don't know how.
Can someone tell me how to expand the receiving frequency up to 900 MHz?
Thank you all

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3831. FS Older/Newer Test Equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul332@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <s9h1v8rler2119@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119911

Send e-mail for list.

3832. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <389888BB.BE0F75C6@uci.edu>
Source record: 119912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > Charles T Johnston wrote:

> > > That's right......I had forgotten how much fun it was using my 'wrist rocket'
> > > slingshot and rod and reel. Yes indeed 'that' is the mark of a " True Ham ".

> > Yeah, if there is such a thing as a "True Ham", that's gotta be it. I'm
> > terrible at it. Broke out a window of the neighbors house trying it.
> > That was a proud moment.

> As usual, you have it all wrong. A Real Hamï¿½ is a ham who will climb a 70ft
> tower in Minnisota during the winter just to lower his SWR by 0.3

As usual, you changed the subject. We were talking about "True Hams",
and you jump in half-cocked with a comment about "Real Hams". Different
thing altogether, as you are well aware.

I vote for Cecil as the one, True, Real Weird Ham. :-)

ac6xg

3833. Re: AF2Y and old hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Q10m4.3559$wR.205069@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119913

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:879eh2$e6l$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <879717$9de$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> The internet has not taken away the young blood. Society has. It is
> no longer so exciting to talk to strange sounding places in a far away
> land. When you can pick up a cell phone and do the same. When you can
> watch your planet from space. etc. etc.
>
> Sure the internet is quick and easy. The real problem is our youth
> have no challanges. It starts in school, with the touchy feely crap
> being taught to the parents with no time for the kids because they are
> busting their butts with two or three jobs just to stay alive.

Totally disagree. Kids today have a much larger challenge than learning
code.
The world has become extremely complicated. Global economy has made
things extremely competative. Kids don't have time to fool with much
other than trying to get a high enough education level to survive.

3834. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bigdad <Bigdad@tefbbs.com>
Message-ID: <3898A977.4B84BFB4@tefbbs.com>
Source record: 119914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I dont see anyone whinin...except for you and a handful of others...its the year 2000 for Gods sake and it was time to update a antiquated system.

3835. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <3898921F.6175@ixpres.com>
Source record: 119915
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill OM,

Maybe most, but not all. I design PC layouts, acquire parts,
assemble, and solder my own prototype circuits still, (including SMT
boards) even after 34 years as a design engineer, and I for one like it
that way. Apparently my employer does not consider that to be too
expensive :)

73,
Don, W6JL

>
> Not so. Most R&D folks have their stuff assembled and
> built by lower level techs. The cost of an R&D person
> is too valuable for basic assembly work.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

--
ï¿½ï¿½à¡±ï¿½

3836. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38989721.27C316D9@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I vote for Cecil as the one, True, Real Weird Ham. :-)

TRW ham? Naaahhhhhhh, I'm obviously an RCA ham.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3837. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38989B6C.1AF8608D@uci.edu>
Source record: 119917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I vote for Cecil as the one, True, Real Weird Ham. :-)
>
> TRW ham? Naaahhhhhhh, I'm obviously an RCA ham.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

"Really Collossal......" naw. Can't say it. Just not true.

73, Jim AC6XG

3838. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <87a6jsrmo1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119918
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:3897A61E.CFF2D2A3@bctv.com...
>
> I disagree. Soldering is THE basic skill of electronics technical
> competency.

Maybe the FCC should drop code AND theory testing and only test for
soldering ability.

73 John, KO0D

3839. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38989C98.5DCCF761@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > I vote for Cecil as the one, True, Real Weird Ham. :-)
> >
> > TRW ham? Naaahhhhhhh, I'm obviously an RCA ham.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> "Really Collossal......" naw. Can't say it. Just not true.

Would you believe, "Really Cool Amateur"? If you don't believe
that, Jim, I am going to be really cool to you. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

3840. Re: NEED ICOM 706 SERVICE MANUAL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: libnetjammr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000202173332.00867.00001069@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119920

http://www.wf.quik.com/n5jtt/3950.htm
servce this

3841. Re: HF Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <877tmv$ac6$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 119963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thanks Tom, its a nice looking addition to www.hfradio.org.
Jimmy, KB5FSV, http://www.datasync.com/nexus/hamradio

In rec.radio.amateur.equipment Tomas - NW7US <nw7us@hfradio.removethispart.org> wrote:
: Howdy, folks.

: New site! http://cw.hfradio.org - CW / Morse Code Resrouce center. Lots of stuff coming down the line. If you have stories, tips, links, and other things, would you share them with me, and allow me to post them on this new resource?

: Also, if you are into HF, consider getting onto 10 meters! It is hot right now. Lots of DX. The Ten Ten International club is challenging Amateurs to work 2000 contacts on 10 meters, any mode, any 10 meter frequency, in the year 2000. I've posted my current 10 meter logs on the site. See if you are in there (if ya worked me!).

: As usual, you are also invited to visit http://hfradio.org - a non-commercial site offering:

: + Azimuth Map / Equidistant Map Creation (choose any QTH as center, many options)

: + Propagational Resources (live, real-time reports, eAlert service (sign up for automatic email solar/geo reports, complete with Aurora index))

: + News, and DX information and reports

: + QSL Manager and Callsign Lookup center

: + Swap and Shop

: + FREE HOME PAGES THAT YOU CAN USE TO POST YOUR OWN HAM OR SWL SITE

: + Bookstore - SWL and Ham related books. Over a 1000 titles so far.

: + Plus more (Directories, etc.)

: 73 de NW7US, Tomas

: --
: : http://accessnow.com -- Brinnon, Washington : 122.93W : 47.67N :
: : HOME: http://hfradio.org and http://cw.hfradio.org :
: : - :
: : World-Wide Communications via Amateur Radio : CW/SSB DX Hound :
: : 10x56526 : FISTS 7055 : Code Warrior #60 : Propagation Student :
: : :
: : Member, ARRL -- Official Webmaster of the North West ARRL Site :
: : NWARRL - http://hfradio.org/nwarrl/ :

--
John 3:16
For God so loved the world that he gave his
only begotten Son that whoever believes in
him shall not perish but have eternal life.
I believe, do you? Jimmy, nexus@ametro.net

3842. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87822n$81s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com> wrote:
>First it was CW skill that was the mark of a " true Ham " and now it is
>soldering proficiency. Please give it a rest......

I wrote "I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins,
et el were able to solder" in order to point out that soldering pro-
ficiency is not, as you say, the mark of a true radio person. I thought
that implication would be clear without having to provide an explanation!

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Service: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula as applied to your prints.

>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
>news:877hc7npe1@news.hawaii.edu...
>> I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
>> were able to solder...
>>
>> 73, Jeff KH6O
>>
>> In article <3896E25B.CF4727E8@mindspring.com>,
>> Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> >Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
>> >dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
>> >technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
>> >things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
>> >even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
>> >a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
>> >barring arthritis and astigmatism it is hard to understand why a ham
>> >can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex
>> >tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or
>> >building and installing common antennas.
>> >
>> >Will KD7BFX
>> >
>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> More to the point, the
>> >> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
>> >> competency anyway.
>>
>>
>

>

3843. Re: Weather Station RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8782el$831$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119965

In article <877ldq$5lc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <clayj@nwlink.com> wrote:
>Whew - this is my first time in this forum for a while. Now I remember
>why I stopped visiting. The Noise-to-Signal ratio is worse than ever!
>
>Gee folks; how is this going to look to all of the potential new Hams
>out there - no matter what we think of each other, or restructuring, or
>all of the other off-topic garbage I saw; if the number of hams
>declines far enough, the FCC will decide we're not worth the effort and
>start taking away bands!

If new hams peruse the newsgroups, and their news-readers spit out the
NGs in alphabetical order, then they'll be exposed to many very good
and useful amateur newsgroups before they see this one. In order
of appearance:

.antenna
.boatanchors
.digital.misc
.dx
.equipment
.homebrew
.misc
.policy
.space

so not to worry (too much!).

73, Jeff KH6O
Private math tutoring up through calculus. Email for appointment.

3844. List of the rec.radio newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8782pd$8fv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Archive-name: radio/personal-brief-introduction

In an effort help ensure a poster's article reaches the appropriate audience, below is a list of the rec.radio newsgroups. In most cases, a group's name will explain its purpose. Cross-posting should rarely be necessary.

rec.radio.broadcasting (devoted to the broadcast industry; moderated)
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.info (periodic informational bulletins; moderated)
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.shortwave
rec.radio.swap (for buying, selling, and trading; not a discussion group)

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any topic not covered in the above groups)

(At least two other English language radio hierarchies exist, prefixed with "uk" (United Kingdom), and "aus" (Australia), in place of "rec.")

Again, cross-posting should rarely be necessary. Many times, a long-running thread results from a single cross-posted article, and its content drifts further and further away from being "on-topic" in the various NGs which receive each and every followup.

For more detailed information, see "Guide to the Rec.radio Newsgroups" posted on the 15th of each month.

Jeffrey Herman, KH6O

3845. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <38979210.193298006@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 119967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 01 Feb 2000 11:14:20 -0700, lew mccoy <lewmccoy@uswest.net>
wrote:

>I just got through wading through all the restructuring comments.--I think I have
>probably been around many years longer than most of you abd I can make a few
>observations. The FCC has acted--it did not listen to ARRL, Fred Maia, or many
>others--it did listen to QCWA. But no matter, it is over and it is what we have
>to live with--and the guy that was complaining about dems and repubs--that is
>inane--national politics has very little to o with amateujr radio--I should know
>because I spent a great deal of time in Washington. (DC) dealing with the
>bandits down there. The only time the pols are going to get into the act is
>when your neihbor has TVI--then we have troubles
>
>Lew McCoy, W1ICP/7
>
>

nice to see ya lew...always done a fine job....

3846. Re: Tech+ to General

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: slickwilly6382@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <878a4tkkc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119968

In article <38709DDF.26B8F7BC@sgi.net>,
Pappy@sgi.net wrote:
> Sir, NO question silly when the person asking it doesn't know the
answer. And who
> is this "WE" should be making theory harder?
>
He is probably talking about the VE's

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3847. Help Standard C501 UHF Tx Mods.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Winston Yu <hl5biw@chollian.net>
Message-ID: <8784shoid1@michelle.lgcit.com>
Source record: 119969

Please give me information about C501 HT Tx mods.

Thanks.

Winston Yu

hl5biw@chollian.net

3848. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897A7E6.A09796EA@bctv.com>
Source record: 119970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Will White wrote:
>
> Well, maybe that's right, and then maybe not. Soldering is a skill of
> dexterity and timing, but also a result of proper instruction in
> technique. Lacking such skill, one may still understand all sorts of
> things, and be able to explain, repair, adjust, operate, modify, and
> even design the kitchen sink etc. It's just that soldering is so *basic*
> a skill for a ham, and so easy to learn and do competently well, that
> barring arthritis and astigmatism

I knew a ham who was "blackout" blind and had built his HW-101.

> it is hard to understand why a ham
> can't do it, or how he *can* be competent in performing more complex
> tasks, like using an oscilloscope, setting up a field day station, or
> building and installing common antennas.
>
> Will KD7BFX
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >
> > More to the point, the
> > ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> > competency anyway.

3849. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897A61E.CFF2D2A3@bctv.com>
Source record: 119971
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 16:02:59 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Why would I be embarrassed? It isn't like Jeff is going to call him up
> >and hear "Yup, I dunno the first thing about working on radios." The
> >ham in question made a big name for himself in the Prescott, Arizona
> >area in the late 1980s. I have no idea if he is still there or not, and
> >I really don't much care. I stopped paying attention to him after the
> >mike-jack incident and after I had to make a 120-mile round trip to go
> >turn a serial cable into a null-modem cable because he wasn't able to
> >desolder and resolder two leads in a DB9 connector.
>
> The trouble is these damn connectors keep getting
> smaller while I keep getting older.

Not the problem in his case. He was in his early 30s.

> I'd just as soon
> NOT have to solder DB9 connectors at all unless it
> was an emergency repair.
>
> More to the point, the
> ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> competency anyway.

I disagree. Soldering is THE basic skill of electronics technical
competency.

3850. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897A656.87900687@bctv.com>
Source record: 119972
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, but wouldn't you be ashamed if the world knew that you were unable
to do something that minimum-wage assembly line people do all day long?

Andy Wallace wrote:
>
> This is what minimum wage assembly line people do--I wouldn't brag about
> being good at it. :)
>
> Andy K5VM
>
> > More to the point, the
> > ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> > competency anyway.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >

3851. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897A6DF.CD8602BB@bctv.com>
Source record: 119973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Will White wrote:
>
> Which is exactly the argument being made, but as inverse: the skill is
> simple and common and undemanding. However, given that soldering is a
> staple (no pun intended) activity of amateurs who are more than purely
> appliance operators, lacking minimal competence in it raises questions
> about other basic skills, higher order skills, and the quality and
> extent of the self-training we are supposed to be engaged in.
>
> Will KD7BFX

This is especially true during disaster work, but it's really kind of
pathetic that so many Extras -- who should know this better than anyone
-- don't seem to understand it.

> Andy Wallace wrote:
> >
> > This is what minimum wage assembly line people do--I wouldn't brag about
> > being good at it. :)
> >
> > Andy K5VM
> >
> > > More to the point, the
> > > ability to solder is not at all an indication of technical
> > > competency anyway.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > Bill K2UNK
> > >

3852. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897A923.7B573904@bctv.com>
Source record: 119974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
> were able to solder...
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

Uh, Jeff . . .? Collins got his start in the manufacturing business when the Army and Navy discovered that his homebrewed rigs were better than anything the military had. Marconi had BUILT some of the military stuff. I would hazard a guess they they were pretty good with a soldering iron.

3853. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897A952.CE6D8787@bctv.com>
Source record: 119975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charles T Johnston wrote:
>
> First it was CW skill that was the mark of a " true Ham " and now it is
> soldering proficiency. Please give it a rest......

Other way around. The TRUE hams built their rigs, THEN showed their CW skills. ;)

3854. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897A9E1.D53BF1B2@bctv.com>
Source record: 119976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Charles T Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com> wrote:
> >First it was CW skill that was the mark of a " true Ham " and now it is
> >soldering proficiency. Please give it a rest......
>
> I wrote "I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins,
> et el were able to solder" in order to point out that soldering pro-
> ficiency is not, as you say, the mark of a true radio person.

Unfortunately, your example makes no sense -- these guys built their own
gear.

3855. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897B3B6.38B0A7DD@bctv.com>
Source record: 119977

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Keith Wood kw@bctv.com wrote:
>
> >>>For most Extras, that's all it is, because most Extras rarely (if ever)
> >>>light up on HF.
>
> >> You took a poll? You didn't ask me, nor did you ask any Hawaii Extras,
> >> so your conclusion is invalid.
>
> >Actually, there is a company which is was seeking to cut a chunk out of
> >the HF allocations.
>
> For what purpose?

To make money. I got the info based on an NDA and I can't say much
about it.

> >They figured that the Extra freqs were being underutilized,
>
> A receiver connected to a good antenna would have lead them to "figure"
> otherwise.

Yes, but a poll give them numbers to crunch -- then for their lobbyists
to hand to their tame Congresscritters. When is the next internation
radio treaty supposed to be discussed?

> >and they hired a polling company
>
> An HF receiver would have been cheaper.
>
> >to go to hamfests and
> >club meetings nationwide, including Dayton, a year or two ago. I don't
> >have the actual data,
>
> Ah, so you're making stuff up again.

If you want to believe that, you are welcome to do so. You have already
proven your inability to comprehend anything which isn't placed right in
front of you (and much of what is).

> >but what I heard
>
> Hearsay...

Yep.

> >was that something like 1/3 of the Extras don't own HF gear,
> >and less that 50% of the remainder work HF "at least once each month."
>
> Something's quite odd about those "figures." I know of no amateurs who
> go to club meetings, Dayton, or hamfests who aren't also very active
> on the air.

"The air" isn't just HF, Jeff. The vast majority of hams are active on VHF and UHF. A distinct minority are active in the HF ranges allocated for their particular license class.

> You can't name the company,

Wrong. I WON'T name the company.

> you can't state what their interests in HF
> were,

Wrong. Their interests are commercial.

> you can't name the polling company, you can't provide the actual
> results of the ineptly conducted poll (a mail survey of as many Extras
> as feasible would have yielded the most accurate results). It's all
> fantasy.

If you say so,. Jeff, you are SO much smarter than everyone else -- which makes me wonder how you didn't know that Collins was handy with a soldering iron . . .

> >I dunno about Hawaii, but if it gets right down to it, the Elect in
> >Sodom-on-the-Potomac think that your governor's last name is McGarrett,
>
> Making up more stuff again.

Boy, you ARE humor-impaired, aren't you?

No wonder you whine so much.

3856. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <878ei4gul1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Followups once again set to just .misc

In article <3897A6DF.CD8602BB@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>This is especially true during disaster work, but it's really kind of
>pathetic that so many Extras -- who should know this better than anyone
>-- don't seem to understand it.

You claim there is some unnamed Extra in AZ who can't solder and you
then extrapolate that to "so many Extras"? Keith, you've entered that
fantasy world again! Your time would be much better spent upgrading
from Technician.

73, Jeff KH6O

3857. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <878fci$hb0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Follow-ups once again set to just .misc:

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> I wonder how well Maxwell, Marconi, Flemming, Armstrong, Collins, et el
>> were able to solder...

>Uh, Jeff . . .? Collins got his start in the manufacturing business
>when the Army and Navy discovered that his homebrewed rigs were better
>than anything the military had. Marconi had BUILT some of the military
>stuff. I would hazard a guess they they were pretty good with a
>soldering iron.

Ah, you took the bait. Tell us, Keith, the history of the soldering iron, a tool you seem to worship. I would especially be interested in the soldering iron Marconi used in the early 1890s when he began his experiments, what Branly used to make his coherer/decoherer detector in 1891, and what Maxwell used in 1873. Be specific now -- this is for the historical record.

73, Jeff KH6O

3858. Re: Stop whining about Amateur Restructuring!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3897BD24.A6D26A74@bctv.com>
Source record: 119980

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> >>>Not that there is anything wrong with an Extra spending his or her
> >>>entire on-air time on an HT, but too many of them think that they are
> >>>something special because the were able to copy 20 WPM on ONE occasion,
> >>>years ago.
> >
> >> That's like saying a marathon winner only ran fast one time in his life.
> >> Unlikely.
> >
> >If you say so.
> >
> I do. And as a Technician, I don't believe you have any basis for forming
> such an opinion (extras hitting 20wpm only once in their life).

What does license class have to do with it?

> >>>Properly handled and publicised, this change can bring millions of new
> >>>hams onto the air in the next decade,
> >
> >> Millions? Yikes! There's already a code-free license for V/UHF which
> >> didn't draw millions, so you must believe that with the creation of
> >> a slow-code HF ticket, millions will flock to HF.
> >
> >It worked until 1963.
> >
> Millions flocked to HF in '63??

Until Incentive Licensing, the average growth of the license pool was
10% per year. From 1965 - 1990, there was a net LOSS each year -- more
SKs and non-renewals than new licensees. We weren't replacing
ourselves, and the average age of hams was increasing. Check the FCC on
this one.

> >Remember Lafayette Electronics? Knight-Kit?
> >HEATHKIT?! These companies went TU, in large part because the growth of
> >ham radio came to a screeching halt with "Incentive Licensing."
> >
> Your statement above doesn't at all support your claim that millions
> flocked to HF in '63.

You must be public schooled. Nowhere did I say that millions flocked to
HF in 1963.

> And don't forget the importation of JA-made
> gear beginning around that era helped to kill those fine companies.

Nope. Not one of those companies was hurt by JA gear. Do you even know
what Lafayette was? Can you tell me what happened to Knight-Kit without
having to find out from someone else? Heathkit went down because there
weren't enough people interested in building electronics to support
their operation -- hams were their major market.

> >> Let's crunch some numbers: Our M/HF spectrum consists of 3.75 MHz,
> >> with say, only half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for communication at
> >> any one particular time of the day. If suddenly, as if by magic,
> >> all current licensees were granted M/HF privileges, we could possibly
> >> have 670,000 have attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay, I'll grant
> >> you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any one time,
> >> we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two per QSO.
> >> That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz. =:o
> >>
> >> Okay, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time,
> >> with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time: Now
> >> each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.
> >>
> >> Worried that I didn't take into account frequency re-use? Suppose
> >> we could manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency;
> >> each such grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.
> >>
> >> That's with our current 670 kilohams. And you desire "millions"?
>
> >Right now, YOU are on the COMPUTER.
>
> With the HF rig tuned to 30m.

Only an appliance operator would think that having your rig on while you
play on the computer is being on the air.

> >A few thousand General, Advanced
> >and Extra operators are on repeaters. Another couple of thousand are on
> >digital radio.
>
> Tune across the bands right now -- jammed -- wall to wall signals.

Where were these wall to wall signals last March? Do you think that
maybe, just maybe, this has something to do with the sunspot cycle?

> >There is room for another million hams in the next ten years,
>
> Ah, now you've reduced your number to *one* million over ten years. But
> it's much more desirable to attract quality operators than quantity;

Yep. And I don't consider license class a sign of quality. But then,
my viewpoint is a bit skewed -- I mostly run on the ham bands during

disaster ops, and too many of the ops who show up aren't competent in that type of environment. Many of those are Extras, and many are not. I don't care. If you can't pull your weight on the air and I can't find something else for you to do (that you are willing to do), I thank you for volunteering and send you home.

> our 3.75 megahertz of M/HF spectrum jammed with 14.313MHz-type QSOs
> will endanger it more than lack of numbers.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

No. The only thing that has kept the bands for us is political clout. Without numbers, we don't get the clout. If I could license every person who walks past the door tomorrow, I would do so, even if they never touch a radio as long as they live. The Elect Officials don't know who does and doesn't get on the air -- they would just see all those names on the FCC books and figure maybe they don't want to make us mad.

Did you ever see "The Right Stuff"? Remember that line: "No bucks, no Buck Rogers!" Well no hams, no ham radio!

When he has to decide, a Congresscritter from some predominantly black or Hispanic district is a lot more likely to listen to the lobbyist from UPS than he is to someone promoting what is seen as an Old White Guys hobby. But if YOU ACT LIKE AN ADULT AND GET OFF YOUR BUTT, then go down to a junior high or high school in that guy's district and help them get a ham club going ("Hey! You want to talk all over the world? It's EASY!") then maybe ham radio will outlive you.

If you keep whining instead of looking for opportunities to bring in hew hams, you will someday be holding an official notice that your call sign has been retired and that the Amateur Radio Service has ceased to exist. There are companies already lining up to divide the spectrum, when it become available.

Here's an idea -- you and a couple of ham friends (especially kids and younger women) go to a school fair. Put up a booth and your Field Day rig (yes, it will work in a month with an R in it), and a sign inviting kids to come talk to the world. At 0900 that Saturday morning, you sit down at the table under a sign saying "Watch me BUILD a RADIO!" and put together a couple of QRP rigs. Have a mini-parade to the other end of the schoolyard with one, then put them on the air to each other. Have the youngest hams you can find interpret the code (at 5WPM) and repeating how EASY it all is. Teach the kids to send HI and let them do that.

Make sure you have flyers telling the kids when the next Novice class is. This is how school clubs grow. This is how, in 10 years, you will still be welcoming new members into your local clubs.

I've done this. It's a BLAST! ;)

So, you tell me what you are going to do. Instead of crying that it's unfair ("_I_ had to do this, why doesn't HE have to?"), why don't you take advantage of the sheer OPPORTUNITY that is being handed us?

3859. Re: A Blast from the Past..on n8wgm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <878q4b$p5m$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119981

N8WGM <n8wgm@aol.com> wrote:
>I wrote:

>>This is what makes the FCC action so worrisome. N8WGM stalks a
>>ham, then sends letters to the FCC of alleged jamming; the FCC
>>believes WGM, and sends the ham a warning letter.

>Your postings indicate a predispostion for support of jammers and jamming,
>especialy this rather acerbic jammer . You've supported some of the biggest
>jammers in ham radio with your comments on this NG.

Yet you can't pull up a single article of mine showing that I support
jammers or jamming.

>You have no credability. <SIC>

If that were true, you wouldn't be responding as you are.

>No stalking took place. I drove by his house

With the purpose of spying on him, then reporting his activities on
Internet.

>> N8WGM stalks a ham,

>You've made this statement several times.
>You are right.

Glad to see you finally admit to it, but it contradicts your contention
that you didn't, four paragraphs above.

Always keep a copy of the "Associated Press Stylebook and Libel Manual"
next to your keyboard so as to avoid getting yourself in trouble.

73, Jeff KH6O

3860. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: garrisan@NOSPAM_RadioFreeTEXAS.com
Message-ID: <7ED080279ACA3DDE.6D14B4AE1D5929C4.AAAAB1C5FBEE9027@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 119982

from what I have heard, yes ... but not at the frequency they prefer.

Some are thought to spend too much time tuning the transmitter.

3861. Re: K0ECK SAYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: treydog123@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87ceuvkdi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119783

In article <879lti$k1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> HAY RILLEY POLLY
>
BAWWWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA POLLY

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Just what the heck is that supposed to be?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3862. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Taylor <rtaylor@fallschurch.esys.com>
Message-ID: <389961C8.EE0C1B2A@fallschurch.esys.com>
Source record: 119784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Who Moved My Cheese" - Read the book - Change happens - Adapt or become extinct.

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Bigdad wrote:
> >
> > I dont see anyone whinin...except for you and a handful of others...its
> > the year 2000 for Gods sake and it was time to update a antiquated
> > system.
>
> Thats what we heard all through the 90's to justify change - its the
> 90's. It did not make any more sense in the 90's than it does now.
> What in the heck does a change of a date have to do with some vague
> obligation to change the way we do things?
>
> In other words, why if it time for a change just because it is the
> year 2000? Are you saying that just because January 1st has come, it is
> time to change everything that existed the day before (December 31st)?
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

3863. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: busdriver@mobiletel.com
Message-ID: <38996b97.175587127@nntp.mobiletel.com>
Source record: 119785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I ALWAYS SAY (INNOVATE OR
EVAPORATE).....HEHE BusDriver

>"Who Moved My Cheese" - Read the book - Change happens - Adapt or become
>extinct.
>
>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>> Bigdad wrote:
>> >
>> > I dont see anyone whinin...except for you and a handful of others...its
>> > the year 2000 for Gods sake and it was time to update a antiquated
>> > system.
>>
>> Thats what we heard all through the 90's to justify change - its the
>> 90's. It did not make any more sense in the 90's than it does now.
>> What in the heck does a change of a date have to do with some vague
>> obligation to change the way we do things?
>>
>> In other words, why if it time for a change just because it is the
>> year 2000? Are you saying that just because January 1st has come, it is
>> time to change everything that existed the day before (December 31st)?
>>
>> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

BUSDRIVER@MOBILETEL.COM
WEB SITE BUSDRIVER.ORG
Falgout's C.B. & Sign Shop
4298 Hwy 90 East
DesAllemands La. 70030
1-800-303-5152
BusDriver

3864. FS: HALLICRAFTERS SX-117 RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioeg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000203065448.26305.00001411@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 119786

I HAVE FOR SALE A HALLICRAFTERS RECEIVER SX-117 WITH COPY OF MANUAL IN EXCELLENT CONDITION FOR $200.00 PLUS SHIPPING FROM LOS ANGELES CA TNX ED K6EG

3865. Re: K0ECK SAYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87ciqr$ng2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119787

```
In article <87ceuv$kdi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
treydog123@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <879lti$k1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> > HAY RILLEY POLLY
> >
>
BAWWWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
```

> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> >
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> > AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA POLLY
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
> Just what the heck is that supposed to be?
WELL IF YOU LISTEN TO 14.275 AND HERE THE CRY BABY HIS SELF (I OWEN
THIS FREQ DONT YOU COME ON HERE UNLESS I OK IT) HA HA NOT waa waa
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3866. Re: Blake Bowers was:Re: Re:Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <dont@mail.me>
Message-ID: <p5si9sg79ieg14bas8fr2norbik8ngu24r@4ax.com>
Source record: 119788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote:

>
>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
>> I had tried to be nice, and ignore you, and thought that
>> you might do the same. I had made no posts to you or
>> about you in the past couple of days. Yet, lo and behold,
>> you start crossposting your trash into newsgroups to
>SNIP
>
>Yea right, you have NEVER stopped your trashing the alt.parg NG!!!!
>What a LIAR you are!

Sorta like you have NEVER posted an on topic post in any of these groups in your entire life.

Grow up, toilet scrubber.

3867. Re: KEYBOARD POOCH "NOMAS" AF2Y

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <38999FE1.C0B11FDA@nutcase.net>
Source record: 119789

This guy must have on 'hell' of a radio to have been 'watching' AF2Y
on amateur radio. My radio can only hear!!!!!

D

part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Hammer:
>
> I have been watching AF2Y on amateur radio. It is no surprise that he
> got kicked off AOL. I want to thank Bob and Lenny , I hope they read
> this forum. They have delivered a great service to the forum members .
> I hope they get him off of his new server, because he will never change.
>
> Thanks
>
> Part97 obey it!!
>
> In article <87al6u$d05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > You are certainly correct Rileygotchu, the Clifton idiot was caught
> dead in
> > his tracks by AOL, and now rumor has it that Mindspring is closing in
> on the
> > notorious one. Iris was really nuts when she got the rejection window
> and was
> > so furious that she went nuts on "NOMAS CHARLIE". information is
> coming soon
> > on the investment collapse, and the facts will be interesting. Hey,
> what ever
> > happened to WB2OTK ???? I heard the guys on 3894.5 playing stumpjumper
> > jeopardy the other night and everyone got the correct answer,
> PORKBUTT.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3868. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: thanatos999@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87csdhune1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <389961C8.EE0C1B2A@fallschurch.esys.com>,
Robert Taylor <rtaylor@fallschurch.esys.com> wrote:
> "Who Moved My Cheese" - Read the book - Change happens - Adapt or
become
> extinct.

How ham radio is regulated has nothing to do with personal survival or species survival. Better luck next time.

No one has YET shown how this BS of theirs is better for anyone except two groups:

1) NCI type whiners who refuse to work for what they want, preferring to whine for what they want, and who sit there holding their breath and stamping their little feet until the FCC wimps cave in and give them what they demand, and

2) Commercial interests who could not care less about ham radio and fall into two groups... those who seek to sell more radios to increase their bottom line and those who slaver over the idea of ham radio's demise so they can turn a profit from what they generally considered unproductive resources.

Sorry, but I for one am not interested in benefitting EITHER of those groups.

And ham radio suffers either way.

Some 'improvement'... NOT!

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Bigdad wrote:
> > >
> > > I dont see anyone whinin...except for you and a handful of
others...its
> > > the year 2000 for Gods sake and it was time to update a antiquated
> > > system.
> >
> > Thats what we heard all through the 90's to justify change - its
the
> > 90's. It did not make any more sense in the 90's than it does now.
> > What in the heck does a change of a date have to do with some vague
> > obligation to change the way we do things?
> >
> > In other words, why if it time for a change just because it is the

> > year 2000? Are you saying that just because January 1st has come,
it is
> > time to change everything that existed the day before (December
31st)?
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3869. Re: VX-1R OR VX-5R ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vahm4.3985$wR.284583@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119791

<post@here.com> wrote in message
news:389917d5.26306009@news.earthlink.net...
>
> O.K....Will someone post their pros and cons on the YAESU VX-1R or
> VX-5R....

VX-1R - bad radio
VX-5R - good radio

3870. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87c8h1$905$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bull Sh*t, Who ever said a change for the worse counted.
Example:
Here in New York we have the still married wife of a unconvicted rapist, liar, adultery committer and her team of Hollyweird freaks and screw ball's. All scripting a freak show using an *acquired empty house* to make her a senator..... to make things better, LOL

She has never been on the subway or come to see her *new* favorite New York ball, and hockey team's in fear of getting the Bronx cheer as well as Booed on TV.
Yet Rev.Al (a frequent guest of every jail) and the hordes work capable healthy welfare dwellers, homeless felons, ileagels and feminist's love her.
That is can not be good a good sign, its common sense.
Keep liberals out as long as you can.
NO THANKS !

>BUSDRIVER@MOBILETEL.COM Wrote SNIPP:
I ALWAYS SAY (INNOVATE OR EVAPORATE).....HEHE BusDriver
>"Who Moved My Cheese" - Read the book - Change happens - Adapt or become
>extinct.

>WEB SITE BUSDRIVER.ORG
>Falgout's C.B. & Sign Shop
>4298 Hwy 90 East
>DesAllemands La. 70030
>1-800-303-5152
>BusDriver

3871. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllamaNOcrSPAM@netscape.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <2442da90.0d316ab3@usw-ex0103-085.remarq.com>
Source record: 119793

You can't be serious. You pontificate on about "Dummys" and have
5 GROSS errors in your message? Try paying someone with at least
a fifth-grade education to be your spokesman!
Not "Dummys", but 'dummies'.
Not "of we are", but 'off we are'.
Not "fell good", but 'feel good'.
Not "there", but 'their'.
Not "selfs", but 'selves'.

And no, I don't give a flip whether code is, or is not.

Here, let me translate...maybe this will help:
'An know, I dunt gif a flip wedder kode iz or ain't.'

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>It just goes along with everything else in the 90s, the easier
we can make it
>for the Dummys, and the Brain Dead, the better of we are, they
may not know
>anything but at least they fell good about there selfs
>
>

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3872. Solar report in cw (Feb, 04)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <87cg9o$fu1$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 119794
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

The latest solar and geomagnetic activity report as Morse code audio
files can be accessed / downloaded in MIDI-format at the DTC web site:

at 12 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/wx040200.mid
at 30 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/swx040200.mid

For the full text version look at http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html
clicking on the line with ONDREJOV in the left frame.

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

3873. Re: FS:Alinco DX-77TH BRAND NEW & Warranted, not used CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Bryden <dan.bryden@boeing.com>
Message-ID: <3899C290.72039EAE@boeing.com>
Source record: 119795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Mr. Joe could you let me know if this neat sounding radio puts out 100w
on 180 meters or do you think we could get a few more pills out of it.
If so Im interested.
Dan 11W3345 :-)

THE HAM CONTACT wrote:
>
> I have a few BRAND NEW Alinco DX-77 HF base, NEVER used. This is a 100
> watt radio from 18O-10 Meters that sells everyday for $650. I am asking
> for $500 each. Why pay a lot more for the same thing? These radios ARE
> warranted for 30 days with money back guarantee. Buyer pays shipping.
> VISA & MASTER CARD will ship same or next business day.
>
> View a similar unit and specs at www.hamcontact.com/alinco/dx-77.html
>
> Thank You,
> Joe N6YYO <><
>
> Questions? Please e-mail directly or visit our website at
> www.HamContact.com

3874. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Arch-conservatives (like Republicans) & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Malloy <jmalloy@borg.com>
Message-ID: <3899f0ce.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 119796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It'd be true if it meant a change for the worse, like electing that G-man,
but fortunately we have a choice.

> Bull Sh*t, Who ever said a change for the worse counted.
> Example:
> Here in New York we have the still married wife of a unconvicted rapist,

Prove it, if you think you can.

W2RBA

3875. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Arch-conservatives (like Republicans) & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87cuupscv1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Prove it, if you think you can.
Thats impossible, power and money
gets you a get out of jail free
card.

>like electing that G-man, but
fortunately we have a choice.
Hey, that native G Man has forced
80+percent the low life scum and
freeloaders out of here and made
NYC a safe fun place again for the
first time in 35 + years.

Unfortunately whoever is not in
jail has already been shot and
killed or relocated to LA and
warmer climates to live and get
away with *crap* with help from
open armed liberals, I could see
why you do not like this.

Joe Malloy wrote in message
<3899f0ce.0@nntp2.borg.com>...
>It'd be true if it meant a change
for the worse, like electing that
G-man,
>but fortunately we have a choice.
>
>> Bull Sh*t, Who ever said a
change for the worse counted.
>> Example:
>> Here in New York we have the
still married wife of a unconvicted
rapist,
>
>Prove it, if you think you can.
>
>W2RBA
>
>

3876. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Arch-conservatives (like Republicans) & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: lew mccoy <lewmccoy@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <389A0A60.C26935EA@uswest.net>
Source record: 119798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have been aroun d a helluva lot longer tan most of hyou and my primary observation is simple--isn't this suppose to be a group interested in antennas? Let's cujt out all this ccrap--we are not going to change anything by complaints.

73 Lew McCoy. W1ICP/7

Joe wrote:

> >Prove it, if you think you can.
> Thats impossible, power and money
> gets you a get out of jail free
> card.
>
> >like electing that G-man, but
> fortunately we have a choice.
> Hey, that native G Man has forced
> 80+percent the low life scum and
> freeloaders out of here and made
> NYC a safe fun place again for the
> first time in 35 + years.
>
> Unfortunately whoever is not in
> jail has already been shot and
> killed or relocated to LA and
> warmer climates to live and get
> away with *crap* with help from
> open armed liberals, I could see
> why you do not like this.
>
> Joe Malloy wrote in message
> <3899f0ce.0@nntp2.borg.com>...
> >It'd be true if it meant a change
> for the worse, like electing that
> G-man,
> >but fortunately we have a choice.
> >
> >> Bull Sh*t, Who ever said a
> change for the worse counted.
> >> Example:
> >> Here in New York we have the
> still married wife of a unconvicted

> rapist,
> >
> >Prove it, if you think you can.
> >
> >W2RBA
> >
> >

3877. Re: FT-2600 Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan McAuliffe <spamnot.dmcaulif@dowco.com>
Message-ID: <389a62ac_2@news.vphos.net>
Source record: 119799

06-08-1999 Yaesu FT-2600 coverage TX to 134-174 MHz
go to this web site for the complete mod info

http://www.mods.dk/indextext.htm

This modification opens uo transmit coverage. Be careful not to transmit outside the Amateur Bands.

Turn the FT-2600M off and remove the DC power cord.
Reomove the (5) screws securing the top cover and carefully remove the cover.
Locate and remove JP1011 (near Q1049) as shown below.
Reassamble the radio again.
Press and hold [A/N] + [D/MR] + [MHz] while powering up to reset the microprocessor.

Dan

3878. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87ajnrbri1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <86tgmf$g7v@nntp.seflin.org>,
z005381b@bc.seflin.org (James Rosenthal) wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
> : Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
> : > Long ago the "Editors and Engineers" {Bill Orr, W6SAI}
> : >published "The Radio Handbook" which IMHO was better than the ARRL
> : >Handbook in some respects. Its construction articles produced
better
> : >ham gear for example.
>
> : So you built the gear out of both manuals and conducted side-by-side
> : tests?

My opinion is based not only on my own expwerience but on the opinions
of many Hams who built their own stuff. I have to report that some of
them are now silent keys...BUT very recently a member of QCWA asked to
borrow an old Editors and Engineer's Handbook because he needed to
finish a project which he had started many years ago. It was a linear
that used type 4-400A tubes. My own experience has included the
redesigning of most ARRL Handbook aqnd QST projects which I attempted
but less redesigning of non-ARRL pub projects...That is my opinion.
>
> Did you ever try to get the ARRL 1Kw linear that was in a 10" x 10"
alum box
> and had the plate RFC parallel and close to the tank coil to work? ;-
))
No but I used the software disk and hardware description that came with
the 1996 ARRL Handbook for the Morse Code to ASCII project with not
very good results.
>
> Somewhere above 850 watts the RFC explodes!!!

That's SHOW BIZ.

> : For years, the League's Handbook was the greatest selling technical
> : publication in the country. That accomplishment was not due to just
> : amateurs. Heck, the military thought so highly of it that it was
> : used as a radio/electronics training manual.

I agree about that. I understand that it is a best seller when comp
[ared to such books as the Holy Bible and Dr. Spock's book on how to
raise children.

> The first 5 or so chapters have and -are- excelent "basic training"
for

> anyone interested in radio electronics.
>
> But it wasn't the *only* publication intended for amateurs. That was
the
> original premise.
>
> : 73, Jeff KH6O
> --
> Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ
I must admit that I carried a copy of the ARRL Handbook with me when I
was in the Army. I carried it to Korea as well. This was not part of
my military issue. The U.S. Army had lots of well writen technical
manuals both for learning the basics and for each individual item that
I had to service. One of my tasks was to help bi-lingual Korean Army
officers translate TMs into Korean. They weren't interested in the
ARRL Handbook. I was surprised to find that they were at a much higher
technical level....their main difficulty was in dealing with the
English language at that time.

Hams need MORE license classes...
so that they can read the schematics in both the ARRL Handbook and in
dedicated technical literature.
73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase Lab Proficiency)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3879. Re: AF2Y and old hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87akfqcaa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119922

In article <Q10m4.3559$wR.205069@news.flash.net>,
"Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:879eh2$e6l$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <879717$9de$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > The internet has not taken away the young blood. Society has. It
is
> > no longer so exciting to talk to strange sounding places in a far
away
> > land. When you can pick up a cell phone and do the same. When you
can
> > watch your planet from space. etc. etc.
> >
> > Sure the internet is quick and easy. The real problem is our youth
> > have no challanges. It starts in school, with the touchy feely crap
> > being taught to the parents with no time for the kids because they
are
> > busting their butts with two or three jobs just to stay alive.
>
> Totally disagree. Kids today have a much larger challenge than
learning
> code.
> The world has become extremely complicated. Global economy has made
> things extremely competative. Kids don't have time to fool with much
> other than trying to get a high enough education level to survive.
>
>
Excuse me, but where did I even mention CW? Try reading it next time.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3880. KEYBOARD POOCH "NOMAS" AF2Y

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87al6u$d05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119923

You are certainly correct Rileygotchu, the Clifton idiot was caught dead in his tracks by AOL, and now rumor has it that Mindspring is closing in on the notorious one. Iris was really nuts when she got the rejection window and was so furious that she went nuts on "NOMAS CHARLIE". information is coming soon on the investment collapse, and the facts will be interesting. Hey, what ever happened to WB2OTK ???? I heard the guys on 3894.5 playing stumpjumper jeopardy the other night and everyone got the correct answer, PORKBUTT.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3881. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87am93dns1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38911631.10191406@news.interactive.net>,
billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jan 2000 17:02:25 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> >> I do not intend to flame the ARRL here...but just to remind all
> >> that most of the ARRL stuff is at the beginner level and much of
it has
> >> not advanced as much as it should to keep up with the digital
> >> technology of today. It would be impossible for the present ARRL
staff
> >> to advance to the level that many members of TAPR now are at, for
> >> example. The ARRL staff can no longer be technical leaders in
modern
> >> communications techniques and must rely more and more on
professional
> >> hams to lead them.
> >
> >> How can the ARRL be expected to lead Ham Radio into the
millenium
> >> and continue to promote its very BASIS and PURPOSE? That is: To
> >> advance the state of the art and encourage the development of a
cadre
> >> of technical experts. My answer is that the ARRL can not be
expected
> >> to do so alone. The ARRL must IMHO let professional volunteers
make
> >> the kind of technical decisions that will shape the future of Ham
Radio
> >> and remember that they are basically a lobbying, publication
company
> >> and a social organization ...which can no longer be fit to shape
the
> >> future of Ham techniques or Ham technologies without outside help.
> >
> >My first instinct was to be insulted, but then I realized that I,
too,
> >share the view you just expressed, although I would have expressed it
> >differently.
> >
> >I don't think that ARRL ever has, or at least ever should have been,
the

> >sole source of Good Technical Things in Amateur Radio. Modern radio
> >technology is simply too vast and has far too many disciplines for
> >anyone to expect that any individual, or group of small individuals,
> >would possess the talent and skills to be able to do it all.
>
> AMEN! Ed.
>
> Finally someone starts to really get at the heart of
> the difference between being technical 50 years ago
> as opposed to today. It didn't take a whole lot of
> study, experience, etc to know a great deal about
> the "state-o-the-art" radio in the 50s. Most
> recievers were just a few more tube stages
> beyond that of a simple 5 tube AC/DC broadcast
> radio. The same was true of transmitters.
> Frequency stability meant crystal control for most
> people.
>
> Compare that to the sets of today with the incredible
> amount of digital electronics, memories, software
> controled, etc
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than they did in the 1950s. Remember that the "Class A" license, which became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use that new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating technique. How else can hams be expected to advance the state of the art and encourage the formation of a cadre of technical experts????... or have any Basis and Purpose????

On another note it is interesting to see that although some Hams have suggested it, our government has never made it a requirement that anyone who buys a ham transmitter or a ham transceiver hold a valid ham license. Hmmm...Is this something that the ARRL has promoted or is it something that the ham gear manufacturers have promoted? Even gun manufacturers don't expect to sell guns to those who are not qualified or licensed these days.
We have lost the Heath Company and can not expect to get new Heathkits anymore. This is IMHO bad for hams who would at least like to assemble their own stuff from kits. There are still a few U.S. companies who sell electronics kits. I had posted about a kit company called Ramsey. I built a 40 watt two meter ham amplifier from a Ramsey kit and it works well. Now I hear that our government is shutting down Ramsey...because it sells very low power FM xmitter kits that are well within legal limits...but that the government believes may be used

by spies. I can understand the government being careful about any terrorist explosive equipment that might enter our nation. I hope that they continue to do the good job that they are doing...BUT I don't think they need to shut down Ramsey because Ramsey sells toy kits that can pick up audio and be received by near-by FM radios. Such devices have been around for a long time and are easy to make from descrete components as well as kits. Heck if the spies want to build kits instead of buying finished products that are manufactured off-shore...maybe they will learn enough to be Hams or technicians and give up spying.
Nah...They don't have to get a Ham license to buy a 1500 watt xmitter!...or a private cell phone or a miniature remote video camera for that matter.

Just my opinion.

Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Illegal Low Power???)

Ther are some government people who would like to
ban the sale of blank paper because they fear that
secret messages may be written on the paper by spies
who use invisible ink.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3882. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87aophfdp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3896F2B4.4F39F8DF@radiks.net>,
Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> > Hams need MORE license classes.
> > Keep radiation resistance in antennas.....not in neighbors.
> >
> > 73,
> > Bob Wex w2ilp (Incentive License Policy?)
> > ARRL Member IEEE Life Member
> > ----------
> > If we value the pursuit of knowledge, we must be free
> > to follow wherever that search will lead us. The free
> > mind is no barking dog to be tethered on a ten-foot
> > chain.
> > --Adlat E. Stevenson Jr. (1952)--
> >
> > You tell me whar a man gits his corn pone, en I'll tell
> > you what his 'pinions is.
> > --Mark Twain (1925)--
>
> Mark Twain died in 1910. Dick McCollum N0BK

Thank you for your keen observation Dick.
You are correct Twain died in 1910...but his essay, from which the
quote was taken, "Corn Pone Opinions", was first published in 1925.
Yeah although Twain is a sk, Tom Sawyer, Huck Finn and Puddinhead
Wilson live on..
... BTW Sam is a sk because he did know how to copy and send wired
telegraph signals as well as pilot river boats. Too bad he never
became a ham.
If freezers were available to store corn, as they are today,
there probably would never have been a Whiskey Rebellion....
or a need to develop corn biproducts. The pigs could depend
on eating most of the corn that humans don't want to eat and
we could be sure that quality hams are products of corn-fed pigs
instead of maybe being eaters of corn syrup filled candy bars.

> > Many hams could care less about what is in their ham
> > gear or where it was made. Most Americans don't know
> > that Coca Cola isn't "classic" because it has been made
> > with corn syrup (and not with cane sugar) since WW2...nor
> > do they know that the U.S. government has been supporting
> > the corn lobby since the Whisky Rebellion.
> > I dunno if that is good or bad...or if corny politics is

> > off-topic here.
> > --w2ilp--
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

Before you buy note if it contains corn syrup or corn sweeteners.
Cane sugar would be as cheap as salt if it wasn't supported by
the government...or if we could get it from communist Cuba again.
On second thought maybe we should be glad that corn syrup is
available as a substitute sweetener...We still haven't been able
to find a really good substitute for OPEC oil....Which is also
off-topic here.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3883. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87asr3$i7d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <N98i4.496$b3.1736@news1.iquest.net>,
alice@kramden.moon (alice) wrote:

How sweet it is? Is it?
Did Ralph finally kick you to the moon.com for a moon bounce?
What happened to norton@sewer.com ?
...and away we go...

> >If you aren't involved why defend them ? The joke is a cliche and
while
> >it might elicit a brief chuckle, does not belong here. It only
serves to
> .point the level to which hams have sunk, male and female.
>
> Isn't it funny how a silly comment, thrown into a silly discussion,
can spawn a
> whole other silly discussion? Gotta love this internet! What a
study in human
> behavior.
>
> The basic paranoid idea that there is some sinister uber-culture
steering the
> ARRL and manipulating the election (did I spell it right?) process is
quite
> stupid and deserving of a stupid joke to poke fun at it.
>

Good points Alice. I dunno if your being on a ham band or the WWW
makes you feel like "Alice in Wonderland".
I enjoy communicating with folks who have a sense of humor. Like Hams,
Humorists are found in all chat groups. Funny thing that you mention
the mentioning of such things as purported "ARRL manipulations" (you
can spell it any way you want because some of us may recognize it and
some may not care to dare to recognize it). As for me...jokes are
never off topic anywhere.

Just remember that Hams displayed human behavior even before there was
an internet!

Hams need MORE license classes...and that isn't meant to be funny,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Internet Links Psychologists) or is it...
(Internet Links Paranoids?)

I've wisdom from the East and from the West,
That's subject to no academic rule,

You may find it in the jeering of a jest,
Or distil it from the folly of a fool.
I can teach you with a quip, if I've a mind;
I can trick you into learning with a laugh;
Oh, winnow all my folly, and you'll find
A grain or two of truth among the chaff!
--W.S. Gilbert--

No somber G-d could have made a bullfrog or a giraffe.
A profound book on laughter might be a final theology.
--George Buttrick--

He who laughs last was too dumb to get the joke the first time.
--who ?--

Many a paradox is said as a cliche. I will always vote for
the politician who can tell the best jokes, especially if
he laughs at his own jokes and doesn't take them too
seriously. Only professional comedians don't laugh at their
own jokes...a professional politician should be IMHO an
amateur joke teller. You can't tell a ham from a pro if he
keys or types his jokes.
--w2ilp--

I have heard it said that engineers do not have a sense
of humor. IMHO engineers who are hams do have a sense
of humor or they couldn't make fun of their serious
products.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3884. AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87b2inluj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119927

From what I hear AOL Bad Boy AF2Y was pulling the same libel tricks on AOL that he pulled on 20 meters. That includes his Part 97 violations. Too bad, Bob and Lenny put a halt to the dirty tricks of the internet "Bad Boy" AF2Y on AOL.. Next stop for them Mindspring bye bye Chuckie!! Thanks Boys!!!

Part97 obey it!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3885. Re: KEYBOARD POOCH "NOMAS" AF2Y

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87b37amjd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119928

Hammer:

I have been watching AF2Y on amateur radio. It is no surprise that he got kicked off AOL. I want to thank Bob and Lenny , I hope they read this forum. They have delivered a great service to the forum members . I hope they get him off of his new server, because he will never change.

Thanks

Part97 obey it!!

In article <87al6u$d05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> You are certainly correct Rileygotchu, the Clifton idiot was caught dead in
> his tracks by AOL, and now rumor has it that Mindspring is closing in on the
> notorious one. Iris was really nuts when she got the rejection window and was
> so furious that she went nuts on "NOMAS CHARLIE". information is coming soon
> on the investment collapse, and the facts will be interesting. Hey, what ever
> happened to WB2OTK ???? I heard the guys on 3894.5 playing stumpjumper
> jeopardy the other night and everyone got the correct answer, PORKBUTT.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3886. Re: AF2Y STILL OFF AOL,,THANKS-BOB-LENNY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87c5ml$df9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119929

In article <879j6jhti1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
> For all his breast beating and swagger on this forum, the fact remains
> that AF2Y is still off AOL. Iris is pissed at him for his obnoxous
> behavior that caused him to dump her address book and then be kicked
> off her favorite ISP! Now he brags about getting a free posting on
AOL.
> It is obvious he does not understand the internet and how it works.
>
> His zany spelling, (example) pears for peers, in a recent posting is
> causeing people to think he is going to a new level of mashugana
> (crazy). It is very sad, but he made his bed, he will have to lay in
> it. HO HO HO HEE HEE.
>
> Rileygotchu
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

You should worry, Polly, you lay out in the horse barn amid the horse
manure. You should feel right at home. ho HO!
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3887. Re: KEYBOARD POOCH "NOMAS" AF2Y

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87c5phdhd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119930

In article <87b37amjd1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Hammer:
>
> I have been watching AF2Y on amateur radio. It is no surprise that he
> got kicked off AOL. I want to thank Bob and Lenny , I hope they read
> this forum. They have delivered a great service to the forum members .
> I hope they get him off of his new server, because he will never
change.
>
> Thanks
>
> Part97 obey it!!
>
> In article <87al6u$d05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > You are certainly correct Rileygotchu, the Clifton idiot was caught
> dead in
> > his tracks by AOL, and now rumor has it that Mindspring is closing
in
> on the
> > notorious one. Iris was really nuts when she got the rejection
window
> and was
> > so furious that she went nuts on "NOMAS CHARLIE". information is
> coming soon
> > on the investment collapse, and the facts will be interesting. Hey,
> what ever
> > happened to WB2OTK ???? I heard the guys on 3894.5 playing
stumpjumper
> > jeopardy the other night and everyone got the correct answer,
> PORKBUTT.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

You to friggin' IDIOTS will never change. Polly, you and Tingly rectum need to be out of ham radio.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3888. Re: AF2Y and old hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87c5sr$dp1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119931

In article <87akfqcaa1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <Q10m4.3559$wR.205069@news.flash.net>,
> "Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:879eh2$e6l$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <879717$9de$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > > The internet has not taken away the young blood. Society has. It
> is
> > > no longer so exciting to talk to strange sounding places in a far
> away
> > > land. When you can pick up a cell phone and do the same. When
you
> can
> > > watch your planet from space. etc. etc.
> > >
> > > Sure the internet is quick and easy. The real problem is our
youth
> > > have no challanges. It starts in school, with the touchy feely
crap
> > > being taught to the parents with no time for the kids because they
> are
> > > busting their butts with two or three jobs just to stay alive.
> >
> > Totally disagree. Kids today have a much larger challenge than
> learning
> > code.
> > The world has become extremely complicated. Global economy has made
> > things extremely competative. Kids don't have time to fool with much
> > other than trying to get a high enough education level to survive.
> >
> >
> Excuse me, but where did I even mention CW? Try reading it next time.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

LOL!
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3889. Re: AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87c5uudpr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119932

In article <87b2inluj1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
> From what I hear AOL Bad Boy AF2Y was pulling the same libel tricks on
> AOL that he pulled on 20 meters. That includes his Part 97 violations.
> Too bad, Bob and Lenny put a halt to the dirty tricks of the
> internet "Bad Boy" AF2Y on AOL.. Next stop for them Mindspring bye bye
> Chuckie!! Thanks Boys!!!
>
> Part97 obey it!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Hey, Polly, do you still watch birds fornicate for pleasure?
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3890. Re: Raytheon CK722 and other early transistors question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gene Jenkins <"sop(NOSPAM)"@erols.com>
Message-ID: <389ACFD7.792C@erols.com>
Source record: 119733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Allan Pellnat wrote:
<Clip>
> The following year, 1955, the CK716 was no longer listed.
>
> So the question is who was first, GE, or Raytheon?
>
> What happened to the CK716?
>
> Allan Pellnat
> KX2H

As a teenager at the time price was a consideration for me. Raytheon's CK722 was $.99. Another affordable transistor at the time was the GE 2N107 which was a little more at $1.25. Used to ride my bicycle to Henry Radio to get them. That's when Henry Radio was a COOL place.

Gene
N4JEE (Original Technician, soon to be General/Lite)

3891. Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: a272968983@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000204131020.29821.00001390@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 119734

> You notice forum members that he is no longer
>posting the libelous crap he used to spew out on a daily basis.

>Yeah he is busy not being busy with
>the obnoxious posts .

Now if we can get you off of here too and stop the obnoxious postings about AF2Y this and AF2Y that, everything would be great. I don't know which is worse AF2Y's garbage or yours, you guys are so much like each other that you can't stand what you see. In case you don't know it 99% of the users of this group don't give a rats ass about AF2Y, the idiots that hang out on 14.313, or your stupid little radio war, you guys are just like a bunch of flys fighting over a piece of dog crap, GET A LIFE.

3892. Re: Posting to eight newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Fergerson <mfergerson1@home.com>
Message-ID: <389B3647.C39008B7@home.com>
Source record: 119735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<delurk>

Tomas - NW7US wrote:

<snip>

> Anyone want to express opinions regaring building all the coils and capacitors out of raw materials and junk? Like chewing gum wrappers??? Oh, that'd mean I'd need a TR switch setup to isolate it during transmit... hmmm. Dratted QRM.

Since the stuff I snipped had no mention of any specific construction project, I'll assume you meant the traps for your gravity-inverted vee.

Why not? As I've mentioned here (RRAA) before, Hams used to be able to build their own _tubes_! Tasks like winding coils and stacking caps are cake compared to glassblowing, and much safer (silicosis).

There is the problem of assuring value stability once the parts are built. You can calculate of course, but edge effect creep in with caps, and inductors like to "grab" nearby magnetic materials and change their values. Coils are fairly easy to tweak if you make provision for that during construction, but trimming a homemande cap can make you crazy. Choose wire size and foil/dielectric for power-handling capacity, and go for it. Oh, and don't worry about the smoke, it's traditional ;>)

Mark L. Fergerson

<relurk>

3893. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com>
Message-ID: <uYFm4.13009$Nv1.1198991@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 119736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Thousands of poor souls must have considered the exams for the last five years tough. The number of Generals has been about equal to the number of Advanced holders. If the exams were so easy, why didn't the thousands of Generals upgrade?? no code was required. What makes anybody think these Generals who couldn't--or wouldn't--pass the Advanced exam will now jump to Extra??

Andy K5VM

Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote in message news:V4oi4.1962$lN4.105246@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I like this!!
>
> > BRINGS up an interesting question - when WERE the exams
> "difficult" -
> > only when *I* took them...?
>
> It sounds an awful lot like my dad, with the "I had to walk three miles
> to
> school everyday, even in bad weather. And if our shoes had holes in
> them, our feet got wet!" But I guess my dad was pretty well off. I've
> heard from others their dads would claim they were barefoot in the
> winter.
>
> Mike
>
>
>
> Gary - KJ6Q <davison7@gte.net> wrote in message
> news:9c7i4.663$oa7.23431@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote
> > The ham exams in 50s and 60s were no more difficult
> > > than they are today. In a lot of ways, they were less difficult
> > > than the exams are today. The ARS had already been dumbed down
> > > when you took your first ham exam.
> > > --
> > > 73, Cecil,
> >
> > BRINGS up an interesting question - when WERE the exams "difficult" -
> > only when *I* took them...?
> >
> > 8^)
> >
> >

> > Gary - KJ6Q
> > = = = = = = = =
> > Legally required trigger locks on home protection guns is
> > as STUPID as placing a cars emergency brake in the TRUNK!
> >
> >
>
>

3894. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllamaNOcrSPAM@netscape.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <05ac45fb.27d46f42@usw-ex0105-036.remarq.com>
Source record: 119737

See? There you are. Uneducated and illiterate.
Not "to", but 'too'.
(not to mention the random capitalizations...)

Yes, 5 instances of blatant illiteracy in the mere few
sentences you wrote is atrocious, (big word, huh?) especially
when you are blathering on about how dumb others are.

Yep, that thar's reel konvincing, if'n yew no whut I meen.

In article <20000203190823.08158.00002123@nso-ch.aol.com>,
wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>
>Oh no not 5 Errors, isnt that to Bad
>
>

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3895. Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87fmho$v9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119738

Hmmmmm, let me get this straight. You have no interest in this, you think it is silly. you know about 14313 and all the players, you take the time to work out a reply about it, you say no one cares. You tell more lies, then you take your precious time and post a reply to something you don't care about in the first place. You are one twisted type moron. Do you know that? Or do you waste your time on other things you have no interest in? Hmmmmmmmmm!!!

Part97 Obey it!!!

In article <20000204131020.29821.00001390@ng-ck1.aol.com>, a272968983@aol.com (A272968983) wrote:
> > You notice forum members that he is no longer
> >posting the libelous crap he used to spew out on a daily basis.
>
> >Yeah he is busy not being busy with
> >the obnoxious posts .
>
> Now if we can get you off of here too and stop the obnoxious postings about
> AF2Y this and AF2Y that, everything would be great. I don't know which is
> worse AF2Y's garbage or yours, you guys are so much like each other that you
> can't stand what you see. In case you don't know it 99% of the users of this
> group don't give a rats ass about AF2Y, the idiots that hang out on 14.313,
> or your stupid little radio war, you guys are just like a bunch of flys
> fighting over a piece of dog crap, GET A LIFE.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3896. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quux <crouchingllamaNOcrSPAM@netscape.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <06618666.4b27add8@usw-ex0102-084.remarq.com>
Source record: 119739

Yes, little boy, yes.
Being that I have at least a fifth-grade education, that would include me. It would also include (hopefully) a neighborhood fifth-grader. I even venture to say that many third-graders could correct most of the mistakes in your original post (or essentially all of them).

Dumbing-down of America?
YOU are the result of dumbing-down. How old are you, and you are, on some very rudimentary levels, illiterate? Don't like idiots? Start with yourself. Get a GED. Any school that passed you should be sued.

You don't even know the difference between "their, there, and they're"! So come back with more of your blinding wisdom, as I am almost certain that you are one of those types that simply insists on proving to the masses what your level of comprehension is...

In article <20000203190823.08158.00002122@nso-ch.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:
>You mean like you.
>
>

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

3897. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: R Lindberg / E Winnie <rlindber@kendaco.telebyte.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.3.96.1000204122012.25686A-100000@kendaco.telebyte.com>
Source record: 119740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 4 Feb 2000, Andy Wallace wrote:

> Thousands of poor souls must have considered the exams for the last five
> years tough. The number of Generals has been about equal to the number of
> Advanced holders. If the exams were so easy, why didn't the thousands of
> Generals upgrade?? no code was required. What makes anybody think these
> Generals who couldn't--or wouldn't--pass the Advanced exam will now jump to
> Extra??
Something I have wondered as well, for many people the theory is just as
bad as the code (or worse).

There will be some upgrades, there will be some new Generals/Extras. The
average age of Hams will still go up. Also, after the first 'burp' of
new/upgrades has happened, the number of Hams will go -down-.

This is due to a number of factors: 1) Most people (us included I guess)
would rather be on the 'Net on then on the air. 2)It will still be
"difficult" to become a Ham (while all it will take to get on the 'Net is
$$$). 3)The attitude of some shown here will be reflected (just as it was
on the no-Code Techs), causing the new Hams to feel unwelcome, and they
will drop out.

The FCC handed us a flawed policy, the best we can do is live with it
and -NOT- blame the new/upgraded Hams. Else we -will- drive them away and
the the hobby -will- die.

Ralph Lindberg N7BSN <n7bsn@amsat.org> ICQ#5988954
RV and Camping FAQ <http://kendaco.telebyte.net/rlindber/rv/>
Oh Bother said the Borg, we've assimilated Po

3898. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <389B37C0.635641A5@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Andy Wallace wrote:
> Thousands of poor souls must have considered the exams for the last five
> years tough. The number of Generals has been about equal to the number of
> Advanced holders. If the exams were so easy, why didn't the thousands of
> Generals upgrade?? no code was required. What makes anybody think these
> Generals who couldn't--or wouldn't--pass the Advanced exam will now jump to
> Extra??

Exactly, I predict a bunch of tech+'s jumping to general for the privileges.
I predict a bunch of advanced jumping to extra. I predict the great majority
of generals not jumping to extra.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3899. Re: Schematics for YAESU FIF232 needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ko4qc@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <389b4975.32840979@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Feb '93' QST has it published,if you can find someone who has a
collection.Functionally identical units are available for appx $40.
Joel,Ko4qC

On Fri, 04 Feb 2000 07:14:20 GMT, bam-mon@ewetel.net (Monsees) wrote:

>Hi,
>I'm looking for the schematics of the YAESU CAT-Interface FIF232
>
>regards,
> Reelf Monsees

3900. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Townsend" <pog@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eNHm4.8199$Fk.113047@news2.mia>
Source record: 119743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

W6RCecilA wrote in message <389B37C0.635641A5@IEEE.org>...
>Andy Wallace wrote:
>> Thousands of poor souls must have considered the exams for the last five
>> years tough. The number of Generals has been about equal to the number of
>> Advanced holders. If the exams were so easy, why didn't the thousands of
>> Generals upgrade?? no code was required. What makes anybody think these
>> Generals who couldn't--or wouldn't--pass the Advanced exam will now jump
to
>> Extra??
>
>Exactly, I predict a bunch of tech+'s jumping to general for the
privileges.
>I predict a bunch of advanced jumping to extra. I predict the great
majority
>of generals not jumping to extra.
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Don't know Cecil when I took the General test at the first of January there were nine people there all were Generals or Technician plus operators upgrading. We went back to take the Extra Test on the Second of February and there were over 30 people there mostly Technician plus and Generals upgrading and several old time Advanced operators taking the Extra test. Several more were taking the five word per minute test on their way to getting a General or higher license class.

I have been to one of these session several times the last few years and while they usually had five or six people each time, I have never seen it where they had to use every table in the room and add four more along the wall just to accommodate all the people who wanted to upgrade.

The same has been happening at other sites around here. The one where I took the advance Test usually had only three to five people upgrading on average and they had twenty people taking the test. again most of the people upgrading were long time Generals or Advanced class operators on their way to getting their Extra class ticket.

Maybe this area is just a isolated occurrence but I am willing to bet that the number of people who will upgrade to General or higher will far exceed what most of the PCA's think. I know they would like to believe that anyone who has not passed a twenty word code test in the past has no interest in upgrading their license class but I strongly suspect that will be wishful thinking on their part.

Now before old Dick or one of his cronies cries out that these people are not real operators and are taking the easy way, let me say Most of those I talked with were long time Advanced and General class Amateurs and more than one told of taking his last test in front of a FCC official.

Ex-KF4HMN

3901. Re: Raytheon CK722 and other early transistors question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RSherry@metricom.com
Message-ID: <389b609f.188398092@news.metricom.com>
Source record: 119744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The GT-34 was also affordable at $.99. I was getting $0.50 per week allowance. I used some of each for audio amplifiers. The GT-34 generally had higher gain. I had to ride six miles by bike to get parts (Electronic City at Mid- Island Plazza or Electronic City (store name?) at Rosevelt Field Shopping Center) or wait to Saturday and take the bus to go to Hempstead at Standard Parts. Once in a while you could get wet slug tantalum caps (Mallory) at charity prices (100 piece prices) from Rosevelt Field Shopping Center store. This was about 1954,1955 and I was 12 years old. All this was on Long Island.
Russ, WA2GWV
On Fri, 04 Feb 2000 08:10:47 -0500, Gene Jenkins
<"sop(NOSPAM)"@erols.com> wrote:

>Allan Pellnat wrote:
><Clip>
>> The following year, 1955, the CK716 was no longer listed.
>>
>> So the question is who was first, GE, or Raytheon?
>>
>> What happened to the CK716?
>>
>> Allan Pellnat
>> KX2H
>
>As a teenager at the time price was a consideration for me. Raytheon's
>CK722 was $.99. Another affordable transistor at the time was the GE
>2N107 which was a little more at $1.25. Used to ride my bicycle to Henry
>Radio to get them. That's when Henry Radio was a COOL place.
>
>Gene
>N4JEE (Original Technician, soon to be General/Lite)

3902. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <389B6218.98A83B1C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

D. Townsend wrote:
> Now before old Dick or one of his cronies cries out that these people are
> not real operators and are taking the easy way, let me say Most of those I
> talked with were long time Advanced and General class Amateurs and more than
> one told of taking his last test in front of a FCC official.

Dick is suffering from sour grapes because he can't upgrade and therefore
can't learn something new. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3903. Re: Blake Bowers was:Re: Re:Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Message-ID: <s9mpv6oudh71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Of course the Macaroon tard knows well how to paint the kettle
black...........

Macaroon <mackey@is.mental> wrote in message
news:4oil9s48pmabusfu6g5bsrkmh3ajd6iv3p@4ax.com...
> Wow. What a surprise. Another off topic post from toilet boy.
>
> Mark was dead on with you, FOOL!!
>
>
> Vote time for the group - will we EVER see an on topic post from the
mental
> wonder?
>
>
>
> "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote:
>
> >Get a life, loser!!! It's sorta hard to believe someone who isn't even
> >brave enough to use their real e-mail address.
> >
> >"Mark" <dont@mail.me> wrote
> >> Sorta like you have NEVER posted an on topic post in
> >> any of these groups in your entire life.
> >>
> >> Grow up, toilet scrubber.

3904. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26584-389B6F4E-23@storefull-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119747

Interesting and beautifully written.

Reportedly a Viet Nam veteran, WA4D wielded an immense intellect recklessly. He acted as if nothing could equal what he had been put through; therefore he was entitled to use the full breadth of freedom in expressing himself.

To appreciate his humor, you had to put on your thinking cap. Even then, you couldn't sort it all out unless you had his soaring mind.

My heart went out to him, as if he symbolized the futility of our war in Viet Nam and what we had put our soldiers through. But when you tried to express societal apologies to him, he deflected it with more sarcasm.

Yes, I agree. he is a U.S. patriot and was a misunderstood genius in the rough on ham radio.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3905. RCI 2990

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JO�O MAURO <jomagouv@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <87d7cvumk1@duke.telepac.pt>
Source record: 119748

I�m looking for a MANUAL for the RCI 2990 or GALAXY SATURN TURBO.

Anyone withe a copy ??

Please send-me to:

Email: jomagouv@mail.telepac.pt

Thank you

JO�O

3906. Need hamfest calender

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregory <okguy@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <rOKm4.1039$WB5.43764@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 119749

Where can I find info on hamfests in the midwest / Chicago area / ?
Greg

--
Our homepage:
www.geocities.com/Heartland/Canyon/6826

3907. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <389B7C9C.27A12CEB@amouse.net>
Source record: 119750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Flanagan wrote:
>
> Well that's not true. The sky is always blue it is the year 2000 for the
> first time. I believe the correct interpretation is , "There is no time
> like the present."

Not exactly. The sky was not blue yesterday (at least not from the
view of the sky from my position on Earth).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

3908. Re: Raytheon CK722 and other early transistors question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clifford Buttschardt <cbuttsch@slonet.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.95.1000204181324.28236B-100000@oso.slonet.org>
Source record: 119751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh forgot to mention----I finally got an engineering degree from Berkeley and went to work for Raytheon!! I was assigned to the CK722 line you mentioned. Hell bells, I did not know a transistor from a hot rock, but I tried hard and enventually got back to Waltham. From there I continued to screw up and had a hellva good time. Cliff K7RR

3909. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <389c1818_4@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 119752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bob Wexelbaum, w2ilp"
> >
> Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
> they did in the 1950s. Remember that the "Class A" license, which
> became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
that
> new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
> There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
> communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
> technique.

God, what a ghastly idea. Gosh, I guess to apply this logic there
should be a different type of driver's license for chevy's, porshces,
and my poor little old Ford explorer. Better yet, let's require all
hams to take a 3 hour exam every six months to ensure that they meet
"high" standards. That ought to run all those appliance operators out
of the hobby.
--
Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

3910. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Bakers <nospam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <389A17CB.A450937B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:

> BUT it isn't required as a developed skill by any school
> I know of. Some experiments may call for soldering,
> but that's maybe the extent of it.

A good analogy would be requiring CS majors to demonstrate proficiency and efficiency in keypunching (or I suppose, keyboarding is the up-to-date word).

They may indeed need to know enough to struggle through it [not accurately the first time, or rapidly, or by the 'book' unlike a career data entry operator, perhaps] in order to get an assignment or project completed ..... in fact they could probably hire someone else to type it in for them, and not violate any class rules assuming they wrote the program themselves, etc.

But to require 100 characters per minute (or whatever) speed with < 1 % errors, for example, in order to obtain a BSCS or MSCS degree is ridiculous. So it is for soldering and EEs, of course.

Now then, employers are always free to add good soldering to the list of requirements for a particular job, or make successful completion of a training course in that a prerequisite for continued employment or advancement, but that is another matter altogether.

3911. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000203190823.08158.00002122@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 119801

In article <2442da90.0d316ab3@usw-ex0103-085.remarq.com>, Quux
<crouchingllamaNOcrSPAM@netscape.net.invalid> writes:

>Try paying someone with at least
>a fifth-grade education to be your spokesman

You mean like you.

3912. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000203190823.08158.00002123@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 119802

In article <2442da90.0d316ab3@usw-ex0103-085.remarq.com>, Quux
<crouchingllamaNOcrSPAM@netscape.net.invalid> writes:

>You can't be serious. You pontificate on about "Dummys" and have
>5 GROSS errors in your message

Oh no not 5 Errors, isnt that to Bad

3913. Re: VX-1R OR VX-5R ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <389A2D71.B41034CD@moonco.com>
Source record: 119803

I agree 100%

Chris

"Charles C." wrote:

> <post@here.com> wrote in message
> news:389917d5.26306009@news.earthlink.net...
> >
> > O.K....Will someone post their pros and cons on the YAESU VX-1R or
> > VX-5R....
>
> VX-1R - bad radio
> VX-5R - good radio

3914. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389A2F77.BC990ACD@bctv.com>
Source record: 119804

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >> Follow-ups once again set to just .misc (as long as Keith doesn't
> >> again mess with the header):
>
> >No, Jeff. I haven't messed with the header yet. And here is what it says:
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >So I guess you aren't much of a Usenet expert, either.
>
> It worked just fine this time; note that your follow-up appeared
> only in .misc. The "Followup-to" line works great if you don't
> fool around with it as you've been doing.

Jeff, what are you smoking? I haven't changed ANYTHING, it isn't
important enough to me to even care.
Yet you have repeatedly accused me of changing the headers.

I do, however, have a simple solution.

Welcome to the twitlist, Jeff. You were made for each other.

Replies are not desired, nor will they be entertained.

3915. ** New England Ham - Electronic Fleamarket Dates ** February ** Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: w1gsl@mit.edu
Message-ID: <389a2db8$0$22466@senator-bedfellow.mit.edu>
Source record: 119805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,ne.forsale

```
New England Area Ham - Electronic Flea Market *** DATES *** 2000 P 1 of 2
All events are Ham Radio/ Electronic related except ~_____~
*******************************************************************************
1999 Contact Source
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
17 Oct Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
Thanks to Everyone for our Biggest + Best Season
*******************************************************************************
2000 Contact Source
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
5 Feb E Hartford CT VintageR Museum @Church $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F

19 Feb Marlborough MA AlgnquinARC @MidSc $15@8 $3@10 Ann KA1PON 508 481 4988 F

20 Feb Westford MA @Regency RadioXXXI Antique $6@8 Tammy A.R.C. 978 371 0512 F+

26 Feb Milton VT NVT WinterHamfest @8 @HS Rt7 Mitch W1SJ 802 879 6589

27 Feb Levittown NY LIMARC @LevitT Hall $6@9 $25/T Eddie KC2AYC 631 791 7630 F

5 March Lindenhurst NY GSBARC+SCRC Lenore N2KYP 516 785 0826 A

11 Mar Londonderry NH IRS @ Lions Hall $10@6 $3@8 Harold N1UZT 603 883 3308 +

12 Mar Westfield MA MtTomARA @127 Holyoke Rd $4@9 Cindy K1ISS 413 568 1175 +

18 March Reading MA QRA @Ch GoodShep Auction@10AM Redmond W1SYA 781 944 8689 +

18 March Pomfret CT ECARA @Rt169+101 $10/T $2@8 Paul KE1LI 860 928 2456 A+

19 Mar Uxbridge MA CMPSA 8:30 @SerdiptyHall Rt16@146 Mike N1PSE 508 278 3477

25 Mar Lewiston ME AARC ME St Conv. @Ramada s@6 b@8 Ivan N1OXA 207 784 0350 W+

25-26 March Timonium MD GBARC @FG Sharron N3QQC 800 HAM FEST F

26 March Framingham MA FARA @HS $14/T@7:30 $3@9 Bev N1LOO 508 626 2012 W+

1 Ap Waterford CT RASON Auction @10 @SrCtr Rt85 Tony AA1JN 860 859 0162 W+

2 Ap Southington CT SARA @HS $15/T@6:30 TG$10 $5@9 Chet KA1ILH 860 628 9346

8 April Twin Mt NH NARC + LARK Richard WB1ASL 603 788 4428 A+

15 April Montreal PQ MARC @LaSalle Legion http://www.marc.qc.ca/fest.html W

16 April Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
Third Sunday -- April thru October
```

29 April Nashua NH NE Antique RC $5@8 $1@9 @ Res Ctr Church 617 923 2665 F

29,30 Apr Waltham MA Photographica @HS $5@10 ~photo~ bef 9PM Ed 617 965 0807 F

30 Ap Poughkeepsie NY MtBARC Ken KL7JCQ 914 485 9617 A+

7 May Yonkers NY Metro70 Otto WB2SLQ 914 969 1053 A

12,13 May Rochester NH Hoss Traders @FG x13 rt16 Joe K1RQG 207 469 3492 F
~~~~~~
LAST UPDATE 2-2-00 de W1GSL http://mit.edu/w1gsl/Public/ne-fleas P 1 of 2
****
Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu
US Mail W1GSL POB 397082 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139
(c)2000 W1GSL SASE for updated copy as issued.
unlimited reproduction permitted in entirety
P 2 of 2
****
2000 Contact Source
~~~~~~
20 May Forestdale RI RIFMRS @VFW rt146 8A flea+auct Rick K1KYI 401 725 7507

21 May Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F
Third Sunday -- April thru October

2-4 June Rochester NY ARRL Atlantic Conv Harold K2HC 716 424 7184 A

3 June Herman ME PineStARC @HS $8@6:30 $4@8 Ed K1DTW 207 825 4417 +

4 June Corona NYC @ HallofScience 47-01 111st Steve WB2KDG 718 898 5599 A+

4 June Newington CT NARL A+

10 Jun Doaktown NB @AnglicanCH $3/T@8 $3@10 Ron VE1BCQ 506 365 4674 R+

11 June Bethpage NY LIMARC Ed KC2AYC 516 520 9311 A+

18 June Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

24 June E Hartford CT VintageR Mus 711 Main $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F

15 July Nashua NH NE Antique RC $5@8 $1@9 @ Res Ctr Church 617 923 2665 F

16 July Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

20 Aug Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

26-27 Aug Boxboro MA ARRL NE DivConv $8+ $14/T/day@8 Dave W1TQ 978 649 3907

27 Aug Yonkers NY YARC John WB2AUL 914 969 6548 A

9 Sept BallstonSpa NY SCRACES fri6P $5+5/T+15cmp Darlene N2XQG 518 587 2385

10 Sept S Dartmouth MA SE MassARA @club $2@9 $0/S@7 Bill K1IBR 508 996 2969 F

16 Sept White Plains NY WECA Thomas WB2NHC 914 741 6606 A

16 Sept Forestdale RI RIFMRS @VFW rt146 8A flea+auct Rick K1KYI 401 725 7507

16 Sept E Hartford CT VintageR Mus 711 Main $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F

17 Sept Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

24 Sept Yonkers NY Metro70 Otto WB2SLQ 914 969 1053 A

6,7 Oct Rochester NH Hoss Traders @FG x13 rt16 Joe K1RQG 207 469 3492 T

8 Oct Wallingford CT NUTMEG CT Conv $6@9 $15/tg@6 Gordon K1BIY 860 342 3258
~~~~~~
LAST UPDATE 2-21-00 de W1GSL P 2 of 2
List is normally updated twice a month - look for the latest version
Source F= Flyer T= tentative early info + = new info this month
A= ARRL R= RAC list D= W1DL W= web M= N1WFO WR NV 73 CQ QST = Mags +
This list has been compiled from many sources. While we believe the info to
be accurate the author can not be responsible for changes or errors.
Check with the sponsoring organizations for more details. This list will be
posted monthly to USENET. Mailed copies are sent when additions are made.
****
Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu
US Mail W1GSL POB 397082 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139
(c)2000 W1GSL http://flealist.senie.com/ SASE for updated copy as issued.
unlimited reproduction permitted in entirety

New England Area Ham - Electronic Flea Market *** DATES *** 2001 -> ***

Page 3 Electronic distribution only. This page has the overflow if any P3
from the paper version.
****
2000 Contact Source
~~~~~~
15 Oct Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F

21 Oct Nashua NH NE Antique RC $5@8 $1@9 @ Res Ctr Church 617 923 2665 F

28 Oct Longueuil PQ Montreal SARC $10/T@6 $5@9 Micheline VE2XW 450 446 0477 R+

29 Oct Lindenhurst NY GSBARC Lenore N2KYP 516 785 0826 A

18 Nov Newton MA WARA/1200RC Auction @Masonic $2@11 Eliot W1MJ 617 484 1089 T

2 Dec E Hartford CT VintageR Museum @Church $12/T@7 $1@8 John 860 675 9916 F
****
2001 Contact Source
~~~~~~
15 April Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+
Third Sunday -- April thru October

20 May Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

17 June Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

15 July Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

19 Aug Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+
~~~~~~

16 Sept Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+

21 Oct Flea at MIT Nick 617 253 3776 F+
Third Sunday -- April thru October

LAST UPDATE 2-2-00 de W1GSL P 3
List is normally updated twice a month - look for the latest version
Additions/ Corrections via Internet w1gsl@mit.edu
US Mail W1GSL POB 397082 MIT Br Cambridge MA 02139
(c)2000 W1GSL SASE for updated copy as issued.
unlimited reproduction permitted in entirety
****

This list has been posted... as a service of the individual home page
owners, to the following WWW sites.

http://flealist.senie.com/
http://mit.edu/w1gsl/Public/ne-fleas
http://www.berkshire.net/~robbins/flea.html
http://www.connix.com/~wz1v/ne-fleas.html
http://www.k1dwu.net/flealist.html
http://www.mmra.org/~mmra/flealist.htm
http://www.qsl.net/vhfnews/ne-fleas.html
http://uhavax.hartford.edu/~newsvhf/ne-fleas.html

List is normally updated twice a month - look for the latest version

Be sure to check for the latest version as updating is under the control
of the page owner.

* You can have the list e-mailed directly to you as it is updated. *
* Just send a request to be added to the distribution to w1gsl@mit.edu *

73 Steve F
W1GSL

3916. On the DESTRUCTURING of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <wlqm4.1270$Bj2.5333@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 119806

My god...I have been in Hamdom so long I can finally see some revenge in my sights. If you live long enough, all the bad stuff that happens to you gets undone.

The latest FCC troublemaking, will go a long way to right a terrible wrong. Along the way, us General Class Licensees lost some spectrum to Advanced and Amateur Extra Class Licensees. With the "Boys from Newington" and the FCC the incentive licensing left us with smaller spectrum. I always suspected the guys from the ARRL really wanted some exclusive space for themselves.

Here were guys committed to ham radio, they could certainly find the time to get the code speed up to 20 WPM and learn enough theory to earn the higher class license. The rest of us English Majors could rough it out with the hoi polloi in the general portion of the band. Pick up the crumbs as the DX lurked in the Extra portion of the band.

I mean that another thing that really ticks me off. Why are we maintaining the CW portions of the bands when the code test is being downsized on its way to oblivion. Hey, it ought to be every man for himself. We certainly could use another 150 KHz on 20 and 200 KHz on 15 for full CW, phone and image. C'mon let's go for broke...let's go get the DX where it's hiding. I mean, they use phone down there why not us.

For crying out loud, I can't get a permit to put up a tower higher than 38 feet and with the population density here in New York I can't use the AMP very often. The stations the DX have are mind-boggling...wide spaced monobanders at 80 feet. Alpha Amplifiers are the norm...and they operate in the clear for the most part. Let's give them a run for their money.

Anyway, back to the thesis. With the latest in ham destructuring I believe I am only 50 questions times 70% to an Amateur Extra Class license. Good things do come to those who wait.

K2LDK

3917. WTB: MFJ-1796 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raj Narayan <raj_narayan@satellite.bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <k8rm4.6424$VJ1.11121@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 119807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am looking for a used/ secondhand MFJ-1796 Multiband Antenna. Please email me price and other details.

3918. The W8MMQ Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq@email.com>
Message-ID: <NErm4.176$Bt2.9732@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119808

```
The latest issue of the W8MMQ Wire
is available at http://w8mmq.net/.

To subscribe to the W8MMQ Wire,
just email w8mmq@email.com.
Your email will be read and
answered as soon as possible.

Also, be sure to visit the site for the
growing radio mods archive, now
with over 2,000 radio mod files.
```

3919. Re: Do hams get sex?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87dhc6$d6v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119809

In article <gIrl4.1763$84.272841@alfalfa.thegrid.net>,
"ICT" <thurston@earthling.net> wrote:
> Probably. =-]~
>
> Kisshme Assh <dtolassi@nebell.com> wrote in message
> news:eUzk4.6805$%87.248462@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Do beer-bellied cheap-ass hams I see at hamfest get regular
> > sex or do they just whack-off to dirty pics on internet?
> >MOST HAMS COULDN'T GET LAID IN A WHORE HOUSE.
> >
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3920. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87dlcercg1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

My goodness, Keith, you posted your comments to:
rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.amateur.equipment, rec.radio.amateur.space,
rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
and rec.radio.amateur.misc -- that must be a new
world's record! The follow-up line is set to just .misc:

In Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> spammed:

>Not only that, it was the Kennedy Administration which chopped all those
>freqs out of the General band in the first place.

Actually, it began MUCH earlier than that:

Incentive licensing has been around almost as long as ham radio itself.
The idea of an IL structure was created in 1923. Back then the government
developed the Extra First Class amateur license; to be awarded the ticket
one had to be licensed for at least two years, take a test similar to the
First Class exam of that period, copy 20 wpm, and have a clean record.
Extras were then permitted to operate on a band that those with lessor
grade licenses were forbidden to use. That band (200-220 meters) had
originally been open to all hams.

Moreover, in 1959, the FCC asked hams, via a Notice of Inquiry, what
incentives could be tacked on to the Extra Class license.

73, Jeff KH6O

3921. NNJ BARA Ham Auction Sun 2/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpn518@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000204000639.21975.00001489@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 119811

The Bergen Amateur Radio Assn.(BARA) is holding
its Annual Ham Auction this Sunday, Feb. 6th in
nearby New Milford NJ, located just 15minutes
from the GW Bridge at the New Milford Elks Lodge.

Recycle some of your unused equipment and find
stuff you really needed. Fun time for all, and
refreshments will be served.

Sellers, arrive at 7pm for check in of your equipment.

Auction starts at 8pm.

Directions:
From NYC and LI:
1) Come over the GW Bridge.
2) Take Route 4 (West).
3) Exit River Rd., make left at light, toward New Milford.
4) About 1.8miles, you'll see the big lighted Municipal Building.
5) At traffic light, make left onto Ptl. Ray Woods Drive.
6) Bear right at fork and lodge is on left.

From NJ points:
1) Take Route 4 to River Rd. (Fairleigh Dickenson Univ exit)
2) Bear left to New Milford. At light, make left onto River Rd.
3) About 1.8miles, you'll see the big lighted Municipal Building.
4) At traffic light, make left onto Ptl. Ray Woods Drive.
5) Bear right at fork and lodge is on left.

Talk-in on the 146.79 repeater (may have PL on of 141.3)

Hope to see you there.

3922. Re: K1MAN has a tantrum whaaa!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4323-389A6677-4@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119812

Mainkook, the fact a lawsuit is tossed is simply another hurdle a litigater must jump. It doesn't mean that there is no merit to the material issue.

Among the reasons for dismissal are: failure to state a cause of action, wrong venue, statute of limitations bars suit, lack of jurisdiction, failure to join indispensable party, party has no standing, et al.

If it's a dismissal, there is the significant legal question of whether the dismissal is with or without prejudice. Summary disposition of a case results in greater scrutiny by appellate courts, since due process is at issue.

Contested civil lawsuits usually have many battles, the idea being to fully litigate all the issues, so the ultimate decision is right and just.
Many of the battles, example above, are over the adjective or procedural law, i.e. motion practice. Winning or losing a motion, while significant, isn't the end of the road.

Before you gloat over judicial action, be well informed as to what you are championing. Issues of greater importance to society than your distaste for K1MAN might well be at stake here.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3923. Attention Will White, KD7BFX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87dssb$3he$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.swap

Will White, KD7BFX, please contact Jonathan and Al regarding unfinished business you have with them.

73, Jeff KH6O

3924. Wanted: Manual for Azden PCS 5000/5800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwbest@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <389a7b08.5404347@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Just bought an Azden PCS 5800H and it came with no manual.
I believe the PCS 5000 is the same radion as the 5800 except
for the power output. Anyone have a manual for either?

Jim N7CLV
jwbest@earthlink.net

3925. Schematics for YAESU FIF232 needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: bam-mon@ewetel.net
Message-ID: <389a7bfc.2301632@news1.ewetel.de>
Source record: 119815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi,
I'm looking for the schematics of the YAESU CAT-Interface FIF232

regards,
Reelf Monsees

3926. Morse code readers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: masthope@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87eho4$2q5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119816

Hello folks,
I need a Windows NT program for reading code. The Morse code is embedded in an ATIS signal on satellite TV transmissions. Presently, we are using an FM Systems ATIS reader to demodulate the 7.1 Mhz subcarrier and feed the audio Morse code (400-2000Hz, 15-25wpm) into a Microcraft Code Star display. I would like to replace the Code Star displays with a software package that will display the station ID on a monitor,by running the audio code into a sound card. Ideally, the software should be just a simple reader with no send or practice options, that any non-ham could easily figure out. Anyone with any input on various code reading software,,, your help would be welcome.

Tom
N3UJM
tomm@ltis.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3927. Re: Blake Bowers was:Re: Re:Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <wYvm4.14661$_G1.261680@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 119817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Get a life, loser!!! It's sorta hard to believe someone who isn't even
brave enough to use their real e-mail address.

"Mark" <dont@mail.me> wrote
> Sorta like you have
SNIP

3928. Re: FT-2600 Modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grumpee <Crabby@oldmail.com>
Message-ID: <389aa354_3@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119818

This mod is easily done. I did it, and total time from case removal to
desoldering to case re-installation took only seven minutes. It took longer
to reset the memory and reprogram it....

"Dan McAuliffe" <spamnot.dmcaulif@dowco.com> wrote in message
news:389a62ac_2@news.vphos.net...
> 06-08-1999 Yaesu FT-2600 coverage TX to 134-174 MHz
> go to this web site for the complete mod info
>
> http://www.mods.dk/indextext.htm
>
> This modification opens uo transmit coverage. Be careful not to transmit
> outside the Amateur Bands.
>
>
> Turn the FT-2600M off and remove the DC power cord.
> Reomove the (5) screws securing the top cover and carefully remove the
> cover.
> Locate and remove JP1011 (near Q1049) as shown below.
> Reassamble the radio again.
> Press and hold [A/N] + [D/MR] + [MHz] while powering up to reset the
> microprocessor.
>
> Dan
>
>

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

3929. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <389AC055.C5A164A8@amouse.net>
Source record: 119819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert Taylor wrote:
>
> "Who Moved My Cheese" - Read the book -
> Change happens - Adapt or become
> extinct.

You're changeing the subject instead of answering the question, Robert. The subject is not about change. The subject is about why a date is a reason for change.

What does a date have to do with change? Why does a date justify change? Why do people say, "its the year 2000," to justify the change they want, when the year 2000 is a date, not a reason?

It would make just about as much sense to say the sky is blue, so we have to change ... (insert whatever). There is simply no connection between the two.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

3930. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Flanagan <markf@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <s9lh59mgdh19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well that's not true. The sky is always blue it is the year 2000 for the first time. I believe the correct interpretation is , "There is no time like the present."

Mark, K1MKF

Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net> wrote in message news:389AC055.C5A164A8@amouse.net...
>
> It would make just about as much sense to say the sky is blue, so we
> have to change ... (insert whatever). There is simply no connection
> between the two.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

3931. AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87es66aav1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119821

For all his blowing like a howling monkey, AF2Y is still off AOL.
Thanks to BOB and Lenny. You notice forum members that he is no longer
posting the libelous crqap he used to spew out on a daily basis. His
excuse is "I am busy making money". Yeah he is busy not being busy with
the obnoxious posts . Hooray for Bob and Lenny!!

Part97 Obey it!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3932. WANT Tube HiFi or stereo amps: buying or ham & radio stuff to trade. ANY CONDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: cosmotronics@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87etct$ba0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119822

Please E-mail direct to: olds98@together.net with details

WANT TO BUY:

Any TUBE HiFi or stereo amplifiers & receivers, DEAD OR ALIVE !
Please email directly me with details as to condition/price.
May have some old radio, ham, CB stuff to trade.
Thanks! RHAnderson

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3933. Re: Blake Bowers was:Re: Re:Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Macaroon <mackey@is.mental>
Message-ID: <4oil9s48pmabusfu6g5bsrkmh3ajd6iv3p@4ax.com>
Source record: 119823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wow. What a surprise. Another off topic post from toilet boy.

Mark was dead on with you, FOOL!!

Vote time for the group - will we EVER see an on topic post from the mental wonder?

"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote:

>Get a life, loser!!! It's sorta hard to believe someone who isn't even
>brave enough to use their real e-mail address.
>
>"Mark" <dont@mail.me> wrote
>> Sorta like you have NEVER posted an on topic post in
>> any of these groups in your entire life.
>>
>> Grow up, toilet scrubber.

3934. Raytheon CK722 and other early transistors question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan Pellnat <kx2h@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PoBm4.1322$Ks4.25961@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm doing some research for an upcoming display of the history of the transistor and transistor radios for the AWA Electronic Communication Museum.

It seems that the general opinion is that the Raytheon CK722 transistor was the first mass produced for general consumption. However in poking around in some old Allied, Hudson, et al catalogs for 1953 I find some others listed. For example:

Allied Radio Catalog #131 for the year 1953, page 55, the first offering of transistors, lists 3 types available from either GE or Raytheon. GE G11 and G11A are Germanium Point Contact types. The only difference between them is that the G11A is □additionally tested for operation in trigger circuits□. Raytheon has a single type CK716 Germanium Point Contact.

Hudson Radio & TV Corp Catalog #H-53 for the year 1953, page 65 Shows same as Allied Radio #131. Same catalog, page 190 shows five types from Transistor Products Inc. They are Germanium Point Contact identified as types 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D and 2E.

Newark Electric Catalog #53 for the year 1953, page 65 Shows same as Allied Radio #131.

Then in 1954, Allied Radio Catalog #135 for the year 1954, page 63. Adds RCA to the manufacturers offering two Point Contact and two Junction types. The two GE types are the same as listed in 1953. Raytheon now lists three types. The CK716 is the same as in 1953 and two new types CK721 and CK722, Junction type transistors are added.

The following year, 1955, the CK716 was no longer listed.

So the question is who was first, GE, or Raytheon?

What happened to the CK716?

Allan Pellnat
KX2H

3935. Re: FEDS RAID ELECTRONIC HOBBY VENDORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: azoth@netcom.com
Message-ID: <87eojb$eq5$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi Dick,

: Really? Who is it that wants all your personal weapons made
: illegal?

: I see that you're still confused. About this, too

A morally and ideologically bankrupt Congress, regardless of the
controlling party, can ONLY take away what is ours already, as it
has nothing to give, and no real inclination but to serve itself.

This is, of course, why I like boatanchors.

73 de KF4FJH - RF Buchanan

3936. HT1250 Software Please!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Backyard <gregr@canoemail.com>
Message-ID: <s9lppe9tdh19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119826

I just recieved my ht1250 and need software to get it going, anyone have a copy for me?

Thanks
gregr54@watchmail.com

3937. Re: Posting rules in this group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <ed_ellers@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <O$flrFvb$GA.205@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 119827

Stan <stan.cehonski@philips.com> wrote:

"Can anyone tell me what they are or where to find them?"

Apparently you can slander anybody you want and use as much foul language as you like, but if you turn on the HTML formatting option you will be treated as if you were a Class A war criminal.

3938. Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87f80u$jt8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119828

There has been a recent fit of rhetoric about K1MAN, a retired superstar of disruptive operating habits on 20 meters.

Folks, K1MAN and the current crop of goons at 14.313, 14.275, and various toxic waste sites on 75M can't hold a candle to "The Dawg".

As anybody who was on the air in the late 80's knows, WA4D was one of the true superstars of ham radio. No, he never went on any Dxpeditions or checked into any DX nets, but his talents transcended any of those activities. You see, WA4D was the Howard Stern of Amateur Radio before there was a Howard Stern on broadcast radio. WA4D, or WA4 DAWG as he was more commonly known operated mostly 75m phone, but would occasionally venture onto 20M to work DX (as long as the DX station was a communist, see below). Typically, The Dawg would check into the 75m Pig Farmer* nets and begin harassing the Pig Farmers* in the most hilarious ways. No, he wouldn't curse or use the racial slurs so many of the Pig Farmers use, but instead would concentrate his jabs at the effects of the Pig Farmers' old age and sedentary lifestyles. The Dawg pioneered the open discussion of Erectile Dysfunction, long before Bob Dole ever became its' paid spokesman. In fact, this would usually be the opening salvo in The Dawg's discussions with the Pig Farmers. He would then move on to the Pig Farmer's weight, their lack of knowledge of popular culture since the Eisenhower administration, and their "Scene out of Deliverance" wife and family. As you can imagine, The Dawg was not popular among the Pig Farmers. In fact, many radio fights were started with The Dog. The Dawg was reported to the FCC many times and became a legitimate superstar of 75 meters.

The Dawg was not satisfied with the regional and somewhat national superstardom that 75 meters afforded him. The Dawg wanted to broaden his fanbase and therefore moved to 20 meters to be on the international stage. The Dog's goal was to further human understanding and international political discussion. In order to achieve this goal, The Dawg pioneered the use of the call "CQ Communists". This was, of course, the time of the Iron Curtain, before the Berlin Wall fell and everyone lived happily ever after. At the time, all of Eastern Europe and Russia were under the control of communist governments. Amateurs in these countries would only give you name, QTH and a signal report before ending the QSO (I guess some things never change). The Dawg wanted to give these Eastern Bloc hams a chance to discuss international politics and would seek them out wherever he could. The Dawg wasn't interested in their name, their QTH or their signal report and would simply ignore these and begin discussing the failures of communism. He encouraged these hams to speak out on the air against communism and for the glory of capitalism. Unfortunately, these hams

seemed confused by The Dawg's discussion and would either curse The Dawg or quickly sign off. The Dawg was not popular among the communists. Again, many radio fights ensued and The Dawg was reported to the FCC many times. Only this time, he became a legitimate international amateur radio superstar.

It is for these reasons that I nominate WA4D as The R.R.A.M Superstar of The Century. No single individual represented the values and qualities this newsgroups as much over the last 100 years as The Dawg did. I would be happy to come to Dayton next year and give Mike his introductory speech if he is selected.

Epilogue:

To my knowledge, WA4D is no longer an active ham. The popular Amateur Radio urban legend is that WA4D's license was revoked or that the FCC forced him off the air. The fact is that his call still appears in the FCC database and his license doesn't expire until 2005. Some recent searching on the Internet found that The Dawg is quite active on the Vietnam Veteran's newsgroup and still has the same flare for causing controversy.

*Pig Farmer: A term coined by contesters for the southern (usually), old (almost always) racist bigots that inhabit 75-meter phone, at 3950 and other notorius fecal deposits on that band.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3939. Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: thelibnet1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000204115112.23607.00001194@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 119829

The person at
1cust69.tnt2.memphis3.tn.da.uu.net
Domain Name: UU.NET
Registrar: NETWORK SOLUTIONS, INC.

Referral URL: www.networksolutions.com
Name Server: AUTH00.NS.UU.NET
Name Server: AUTH60.NS.UU.NET
wrote
>For all his blowing like a howling monkey, AF2Y is still off AOL.
>Thanks to BOB and Lenny. You notice forum members that he is no longer
>posting the libelous crqap he used to spew out on a daily basis. His
>excuse is "I am busy making money". Yeah he is busy not being busy with
>the obnoxious posts . Hooray for Bob and Lenny!!
>
>Part97 Obey it!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

3940. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Republican <"May England be delivered">, soon, "From the festering sore of monarchy And the cancer of the crown.May Englishmen enjoy the boon Of pure", "unshackled liberty.May democracy abound."
Message-ID: <949684521.25746.0.nnrp-07.9e98250c@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 119830
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur

I do not accept posts resulting from newsgroups, for which reason I do not publish my email details. However, there are usually a few childish cowards who delight in getting round that. (Cowards because they do not have the strength
of character to publish their remarks in the public forum where they belong.)

It is quite normal for childishness to come out of our universities, and here
is another example.....

>Return-Path: <robin.stevens@computing-services.oxford.ac.uk>
>Received: from punt-1.mail.demon.net by mailstore
> Thu, 03 Feb 2000 19:38:34 GMT
>Received: from walter.merton.ox.ac.uk ([129.67.2.16]) by punt-1.mail.demon.net
> id aa1003220; 3 Feb 2000 19:38 GMT
>Received: (from rejs@localhost)
> by walter.merton.ox.ac.uk (8.8.7/8.8.7) id TAA29523;
> Thu, 3 Feb 2000 19:38:09 GMT
>Date: Thu, 3 Feb 2000 19:38:09 GMT
>Subject: Re: No.1!
>X-Newsgroups: >alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.media.radio.archers,uk.radio.amateur
>In-Reply-To: <86sst2$29vp$1@dolf.netfront.net> <86tlq4$mf2$1@dolf.netfront.net> <4lzk4.1701$VJ1.3297@newsfeeds.bigpond.com> <86vaca$23q6$1@dolf.netfront.net>
><92Vk4.2522$VJ1.4904@newsfeeds.bigpond.com> <389424d6.82465623@news.bcandid.deja.com> <949246639.15664.1.nnrp-08.9e98250c@news.demon.co.uk>
>From: Robin Stevens <robin.stevens@oucs.ox.ac.uk>
>Message-ID: <rejs$3923-castaneu@walter.merton.ox.ac.uk>
>Organization: a First in Low Esteem
>Path: rejs
>
>In article <949246639.15664.1.nnrp-08.9e98250c@news.demon.co.uk><May England be delivered, soon, From the festering sore of monarchy And the cancer of the crown. May Englishmen enjoy the boon Of pure, unshackled liberty. May democracy abound.> Republican

>wrote:
>> Stephen <stephenbowden@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:389424d6.82465623@news.bcandid.deja.com...
>>> Please take this obviously fascinating but to some of us rather
>>> esoteric debate out of uk.media.radio.archers - we are radio amateurs
>>> in only a virtually obsolete sense of the term.
>
>> Perhaps by posting your whingeing irrelevance to so many newsgroups, you
>> are a pot calling the kettle black?
>
>And you then go and ignore the followup headers and post the thing to all
>those groups again. His "whingeing irrelevance" was a polite request not
>to crosspost here, but he had no idea which of the other nine groups you
>were posting from. Maybe the other groups aren't so bothered about
>ridiculous crossposting - at least they appear to have some relevance to
>the matter under discussion.
>
>Please take the hint: take this discussion out of uk.media.radio.archers.
>I know it may be fascinating for all I know, but it's off-topic in this
>group.
>
>PS: Do you have some problem with posting under your real identity?
>I'm surprised your news server accepts posts with such a horribly broken
>address.
>
>--
>------------------ Robin Stevens
<robin.stevens@oucs.ox.ac.uk> ---------------
>Oxford University Computing Services
http://www-astro.physics.ox.ac.uk/~rejs/
>"Time passed, which, basically, is its job." - Terry Pratchett

3941. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <TCDm4.2522$Mk2.96203@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I think a bit closer analogy would be to require CS majors to be
able to key in a program on a PDP-8 or similar machine to
bootstrap it.

They'd be required to know how the concept works, know the
theory behind it, and know the application.

But why grade them on speed?

Mike

The Bakers <nospam@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:389A17CB.A450937B@worldnet.att.net...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > BUT it isn't required as a developed skill by any school
> > I know of. Some experiments may call for soldering,
> > but that's maybe the extent of it.
>
> A good analogy would be requiring CS majors to demonstrate proficiency
and
> efficiency in keypunching (or I suppose, keyboarding is the up-to-date
word).
>
> They may indeed need to know enough to struggle through it [not
accurately the first
> time, or rapidly, or by the 'book' unlike a career data entry
operator, perhaps] in
> order to get an assignment or project completed ..... in fact they
could probably
> hire someone else to type it in for them, and not violate any class
rules assuming
> they wrote the program themselves, etc.
>
> But to require 100 characters per minute (or whatever) speed with < 1
% errors, for
> example, in order to obtain a BSCS or MSCS degree is ridiculous. So
it is for
> soldering and EEs, of course.
>
> Now then, employers are always free to add good soldering to the list
of
> requirements for a particular job, or make successful completion of a
training

> course in that a prerequisite for continued employment or advancement,
but that is
> another matter altogether.
>

3942. Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87fb34$mf3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119832

Another "Sherlock"!! He takes the time to do his "Gum Shoe" bit,
then posts it for all the world to see, then admire what a "smart"
guy he is, (amazing) `whatever!! The problem is , there are one to two
million people in the area. It is never the "obvious" one, You should
know that "Sherlock" . Work on it!!

Part97 Obey it AF2Y "NOMAS" on AOL!!

In article <20000204115112.23607.00001194@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
thelibnet1@aol.com (TheLibNet1) wrote:
> The person at
> 1cust69.tnt2.memphis3.tn.da.uu.net
> Domain Name: UU.NET
> Registrar: NETWORK SOLUTIONS, INC.
>
> Referral URL: www.networksolutions.com
> Name Server: AUTH00.NS.UU.NET
> Name Server: AUTH60.NS.UU.NET
> wrote
> >For all his blowing like a howling monkey, AF2Y is still off AOL.
> >Thanks to BOB and Lenny. You notice forum members that he is no
longer
> >posting the libelous crqap he used to spew out on a daily basis. His
> >excuse is "I am busy making money". Yeah he is busy not being busy
with
> >the obnoxious posts . Hooray for Bob and Lenny!!
> >
> >Part97 Obey it!!
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3943. Attention Will White, KD7BFX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87ftpumnc1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.swap

Will White, KD7BFX, please contact Jonathan and Al regarding unfinished business you have with them.

While you're at it, consider contacting Nick, too. He didn't sound very happy.

73, Jeff KH6O

3944. Re: VX-1R OR VX-5R ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000204203457.01479.00001569@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 119754

I also agree 100%

>I agree 100%
>
>
>Chris
>
>
>"Charles C." wrote:
>
>> <post@here.com> wrote in message
>> news:389917d5.26306009@news.earthlink.net...
>> >
>> > O.K....Will someone post their pros and cons on the YAESU VX-1R or
>> > VX-5R....
>>
>> VX-1R - bad radio
>> VX-5R - good radio
>
>
>

3945. Re: Blake Bowers was:Re: Re:Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <FNLm4.15783$_G1.267249@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 119755
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have posted on topic MANY times!

"Mark" <dont@mail.me> wrote
> Sorta like you have NEVER posted an on topic post in any of these groups
in
> your entire life.

3946. Glenn Hansen continues to make an ASS of himself!!! Re: PARG PIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <1JLm4.15780$_G1.267240@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 119756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
> Why the addition of
>
,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Because it was relevant to the discussion.

> What's your @home address

I don't have an @home address, FOOL!! Read the previous responses to you!

3947. Re: Blake Bowers was:Re: Re:Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Message-ID: <XQLm4.15785$_G1.267322@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 119757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That's good Blake. If you terminate your harassing & stalking activities
then I will not make any more posts confronting you on your behavior.

No real need to respond, Blake. Your actions, NOT your WORDS will
indicate your intentions & desires to either behave respectably or
otherwise.

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Again John, all you have to do is stop. Right now. Don't
STOP

3948. Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: a272968983@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000204230417.00880.00001414@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119758

>Subject: Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL
>From: part97@my-deja.com
>Date: 2/4/2000 6:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <87fmho$v9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>
>
>
>Hmmmmm, let me get this straight. You have no interest in this, you
>think it is silly.

Yes, I do think it stupid, but I do have an interest in this NG and the amateur radio service in general.

> you know about 14313 and all the players,

Where did I say I know all the players? The only players I know are the ones on the news group, but the way you and your cronies post and cross post all of your 14.313 and AF2Y garbage, even the ladies in the needle point forum know about 14.313.

>you take the time to work out a reply about it,

Boy 30 seconds sure is a long time, if it takes longer than that for you to "work out" a post I can see why you post the stupid crap you do.

you say no one cares. You tell
>more lies,

What Lies ? I care that people like you pollute this NG, but I don't care about your stupid little radio war with AF2Y and I'm sure no one else does either except for the "players".

then you take your precious time and post a reply to

The time wasn't that precious as a matter of fact I was getting paid with your tax dollars when I posted the previous message.

>something you don't care about in the first place. You are one twisted
>type moron.

How many types of morons are there, and how do you "twist" a moron? Hmmmmm.

>Do you know that? No, but I do know that you are an childish idiot, and through your posts you are projecting a bad image of the amateur radio service.

Or do you waste your time on other
>things you have no interest in? Hmmmmmmmmm!!!

>

Hmmmmmmmmm!! I think I can spare 47 seconds.

>Part97 Obey it!!!

Practice what you preach!!!! Obey it!!!

3949. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: a272968983@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000204233943.00880.00001417@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119759

>Radio urban legend is that WA4D's license was revoked or that the FCC
>forced him off the air. The fact is that his call still appears in the
>FCC database and his license doesn't expire until 2005.

I haven't heard the Dog, WA4D on the air since 1994 or 1995. The last time I heard him on the air was on the 146.625 repeater in Arlington, VA. The rumor that was going around was that it was spousal pressure that forced his early retirement from amateur radio. Unfortuantly I guess the last big fine (I think it was 10K) from the FCC sealed the deal, but what fun it was to listen to an artist at work. :)

>Folks, K1MAN and the current crop of goons at 14.313, 14.275, and
>various toxic waste sites on 75M can't hold a candle to "The Dawg".
>
>
Amen!

3950. Re: Attention Will White, KD7BFX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87gb1a$45i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.swap

Just attempting to help some older fellows complete their deals with
Will. They were a bit too trusting and feel they might have been
taken advantage of.

I'd do that for anyone on here who felt they might have been cheated;
even you!

Take care, friend,
Jeff

In article <8c2n9s0qumr21ajfp82rg11fi9lpe71145@4visi.net>
<somebody@visi.net> wrote:
>Hey Jeffy,
>Did Will do business with you?
>What is your interest in this anyway?
>Why would you post this to rec.radio.swap?
>I wonder if the folks at hawaii.edu know what kind of a flake they have in
>their employ?
>Somebody

>On 5 Feb 2000 01:18:54 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>>Will White, KD7BFX, please contact Jonathan and Al regarding unfinished
>>business you have with them.
>>While you're at it, consider contacting Nick, too. He didn't sound very
>>happy.

3951. Re: Need hamfest calender

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: The NeXus <nexus@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <87gi4n$a83$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 119761

Gregory <okguy@megsinet.net> hath said:
: Where can I find info on hamfests in the midwest / Chicago area / ?
: Greg

Have you ever thought about ARRL's homepage??????

http://hamgate1.sunyerie.edu/~larc/hamfest_and_convention_database.htm
http://www.arrl.org/hamfests.html
http://www.hamfest.org/
http://www.qsl.net/w9mks/hamfest.htm
http://www.modempool.com/k8smc/hamfests.htm
http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/index2.htm
http://www.geocities.com/~w9az/karsfest2000.html
http://www.qsl.net/w9awe/swapfest.htm

These are just SOME of the listings I found by simply using
something called "Google" its a Search Engine, and all I did
was type in Chicago Hamfests. http://www.google.com

--
,---. Dialup Networking could not negotiate a compatible set
CO-|| Y of network protocols you specified in the server type
O || | settings. Check your network configuration in the control
| D J panel and try the connection again.
// /"""' -- Welcome to Windows 95
`'`'

3952. It's Baaack and is it good ! The NEW .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002050818.DAA04239@cotse.com>
Source record: 119762

This message was posted anonymously:

Telling the other side of the story is the NEW
3950 Opinion Page.
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

3953. Old Radio Catalogs on CD-Rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Massey <N6TT@jps.net>
Message-ID: <389be1a9_1@news.jps.net>
Source record: 119763

Subject: Radio/Telegraph Catalogs on "CD"

Check out the Old Radio & Telegraphy LIST of 105 Catalogs on "CD"s-
HomeSpun Catalogs http://www.telegraph-wireless.com/Homespun

3954. Cross Band Linking using a Kenwood TMD-700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BJ <billjoh1@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <87h63d$eah@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 119764

Cross Band Linking using a Kenwood TMD-700A

I have been using the new Kenwood dual bander that has the built in TNC, Sky Commander / remote control, APRS, and Cross Band link; I believe it has more bells and whistles than any other dual band (2 M/70 cm) radio on the market. During remote control of this radio while in a simplex cross-band-link to a local repeater I have experienced an inconsistent problem: Once the radio has entered a time-out mode after a 3+ minute qso it will sometime resume in a "locked band" mode rather than return to a "cross band" mode and will not allow me access via the remote control link. Is there any way to recover from this via the remote control link or do I have to venture out to the car each time to reset?

I use the this simplex cross band linking often in a temporary way while at my office....I work deep in the heart of a ultra high security building with lots of razor wire and thick steel reinforced concrete walls. The building is a RF hole and little gets in or out including radio waves/energy. I have good success by cross band linking: out on the Yaesu VX-5 HT at low power setting on 440.025 / simplex. My car/Kenwood TMD-700A sitting along side of the building repeats this out to the input of a local popular 2 meter repeater at low power. After I de-key the HT the Kenwood then listens on the local 2 meter repeater output and repeats this back to me simplex on the 440.025. I then have to wait for the squelch tail of the local 2 meter repeater to drop out before I can key up again given that the link is simplex. If I had ears within the building I could use the HT's split VFOs and listen directly to the local repeater output and do away with the down linking but I can't receive anything unless I boost the signal via the above arrangement. Anyone else out there have experience using this arrangement / radio and had similar problems of it reverting to a "lock band link"?

At home I also at times use a lock-band-link with the Kenwood out in the car/garage and split VFOs on the HT running the lowest power setting using a miniature/stubby antenna. I can then hit repeaters I couldn't with the HT running 5 watts and the regular rubber ducky antenna.

This way I can sit anywhere in/around the house rather than at a base station and can run the HT all day without charging given I am running at the lowest power setting.

3955. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 03 Feb 2000 01:39:47 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <38911631.10191406@news.interactive.net>,
> billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> Finally someone starts to really get at the heart of
>> the difference between being technical 50 years ago
>> as opposed to today. It didn't take a whole lot of
>> study, experience, etc to know a great deal about
>> the "state-o-the-art" radio in the 50s. Most
>> recievers were just a few more tube stages
>> beyond that of a simple 5 tube AC/DC broadcast
>> radio. The same was true of transmitters.
>> Frequency stability meant crystal control for most
>> people.
>>
>> Compare that to the sets of today with the incredible
>> amount of digital electronics, memories, software
>> controled, etc
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
>they did in the 1950s.

For what purpose?
To prove what to whom?

>Remember that the "Class A" license, which
>became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use that
>new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.

Does not compute. Advanced had no additional privileges
beyond General when the licenses were redone in 1951.

>There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
>communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
>technique.

So you are saying that hams should NOT be permitted
to use certain modes until they pass a test on that
particular mode (or set of modes, e.g. digital)?

>How else can hams be expected to advance the state of the
>art and encourage the formation of a cadre of technical experts????...

Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
requirements. No individual ham is required to become
a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
into the technical field I followed as a career.

If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
become technical, well we should just obviously
agree to disagree.

>or have any Basis and Purpose????

Seems like we have and use many aspects of being
a ham besides just the technical. RACES, etc being
formost in my mind.

> On another note it is interesting to see that although some Hams have
>suggested it, our government has never made it a requirement that
>anyone who buys a ham transmitter or a ham transceiver hold a valid ham
>license. Hmmm...Is this something that the ARRL has promoted or is it
>something that the ham gear manufacturers have promoted? Even gun
>manufacturers don't expect to sell guns to those who are not qualified
>or licensed these days.

Just what we'd need. More government regulation.
Just think of the hassle that would be in selling used
equipment, selling at hamfests, etc. All any such law
would do is make life difficult for those that already
obey the rules and regs.

> We have lost the Heath Company and can not expect to get new
>Heathkits anymore.

Heath folded up because of the economics. It was
that simple. If you can't make a product that sells,
then you don't stay profitable.

>This is IMHO bad for hams who would at least like
>to assemble their own stuff from kits. There are still a few U.S.
>companies who sell electronics kits. I had posted about a kit company
>called Ramsey. I built a 40 watt two meter ham amplifier from a Ramsey
>kit and it works well.

So someone figured out a way to do so and make a profit.

>Now I hear that our government is shutting
>down Ramsey...because it sells very low power FM xmitter kits that are
>well within legal limits...but that the government believes may be used
>by spies.

I suspect that is more legend than fact.

<SNIP> of additional Ramsey comments.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3956. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38999970.4306574@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

several inappropriate newsgroups deleted from reply

Don,
That is why I said "most" not all. Your example
is simply one excetion.

For the record, in some places, union contract and work rules
would forclose the engineer from doing the physical
assembly and soldering.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

On Wed, 02 Feb 2000 12:22:55 -0800, Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com> wrote:

>Bill OM,
> Maybe most, but not all. I design PC layouts, acquire parts,
>assemble, and solder my own prototype circuits still, (including SMT
>boards) even after 34 years as a design engineer, and I for one like it
>that way. Apparently my employer does not consider that to be too
>expensive :)
> Don, W6JL
>
>> Not so. Most R&D folks have their stuff assembled and
>> built by lower level techs. The cost of an R&D person
>> is too valuable for basic assembly work.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
>--
>

3957. Re: Amateur Restructuring, Liberal Democrats, & No-Coders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BigWez <BigWez@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <87hbho$ck1o$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WOW the truth
"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:87c8h1$905$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Bull Sh*t, Who ever said a change for the worse counted.
> Example:
> Here in New York we have the still married wife of a unconvicted rapist,
> liar, adultery committer and her team of Hollyweird freaks and screw
ball's.
> All scripting a freak show using an *acquired empty house* to make her a
> senator.............. to make things better, LOL
>
> She has never been on the subway or come to see her *new* favorite New
York
> ball, and hockey team's in fear of getting the Bronx cheer as well as
Booed
> on TV.
> Yet Rev.Al (a frequent guest of every jail) and the hordes work capable
> healthy welfare dwellers, homeless felons, ileagels and feminist's love
her.
> That is can not be good a good sign, its common sense.
> Keep liberals out as long as you can.
> NO THANKS !
>
> >BUSDRIVER@MOBILETEL.COM Wrote SNIPP:
> I ALWAYS SAY (INNOVATE OR
> EVAPORATE).....HEHE BusDriver
> >"Who Moved My Cheese" - Read the book - Change happens - Adapt or become
> >extinct.
>
> >WEB SITE BUSDRIVER.ORG
> >Falgout's C.B. & Sign Shop
> >4298 Hwy 90 East
> >DesAllemands La. 70030
> >1-800-303-5152
> >BusDriver
>
>

3958. ATL: Stolen FT470

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n7ouq@arrl.net
Message-ID: <389c341a.21054023@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 119768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

A Yaesu FT-470, dual-band handheld was stolen here in Tempe, AZ. I am trying to get it back. I can offer a small reward.

Yaesu FT470, serial #2D520533

If located, please contact me, N7OUQ@ARRL.NET or the Tempe Police at (480) 966-6211.

Thanks
Ed (N7OUQ)

3959. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenneth schemmel <kenny42@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <LJWm4.1657$WB5.61778@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 119769

WE ARE HAMS NOT CB BOYS!
WE HAVE RULES AND WE OBEY THEM!
Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:87f80u$jt8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> There has been a recent fit of rhetoric about K1MAN, a retired
> superstar of disruptive operating habits on 20 meters.
>
> Folks, K1MAN and the current crop of goons at 14.313, 14.275, and
> various toxic waste sites on 75M can't hold a candle to "The Dawg".
>
> As anybody who was on the air in the late 80's knows, WA4D was one of
> the true superstars of ham radio. No, he never went on any Dxpeditions
> or checked into any DX nets, but his talents transcended any of those
> activities. You see, WA4D was the Howard Stern of Amateur Radio before
> there was a Howard Stern on broadcast radio. WA4D, or WA4 DAWG as he
> was more commonly known operated mostly 75m phone, but would
> occasionally venture onto 20M to work DX (as long as the DX station was
> a communist, see below). Typically, The Dawg would check into the 75m
> Pig Farmer* nets and begin harassing the Pig Farmers* in the most
> hilarious ways. No, he wouldn't curse or use the racial slurs so many
> of the Pig Farmers use, but instead would concentrate his jabs at the
> effects of the Pig Farmers' old age and sedentary lifestyles. The Dawg
> pioneered the open discussion of Erectile Dysfunction, long before Bob
> Dole ever became its' paid spokesman. In fact, this would usually be
> the opening salvo in The Dawg's discussions with the Pig Farmers. He
> would then move on to the Pig Farmer's weight, their lack of knowledge
> of popular culture since the Eisenhower administration, and
> their "Scene out of Deliverance" wife and family. As you can imagine,
> The Dawg was not popular among the Pig Farmers. In fact, many radio
> fights were started with The Dog. The Dawg was reported to the FCC many
> times and became a legitimate superstar of 75 meters.
>
> The Dawg was not satisfied with the regional and somewhat national
> superstardom that 75 meters afforded him. The Dawg wanted to broaden
> his fanbase and therefore moved to 20 meters to be on the international
> stage. The Dog's goal was to further human understanding and
> international political discussion. In order to achieve this goal, The
> Dawg pioneered the use of the call "CQ Communists". This was, of
> course, the time of the Iron Curtain, before the Berlin Wall fell and
> everyone lived happily ever after. At the time, all of Eastern Europe
> and Russia were under the control of communist governments. Amateurs in
> these countries would only give you name, QTH and a signal report
> before ending the QSO (I guess some things never change). The Dawg
> wanted to give these Eastern Bloc hams a chance to discuss

> international politics and would seek them out wherever he could. The
> Dawg wasn't interested in their name, their QTH or their signal report
> and would simply ignore these and begin discussing the failures of
> communism. He encouraged these hams to speak out on the air against
> communism and for the glory of capitalism. Unfortunately, these hams
> seemed confused by The Dawg's discussion and would either curse The
> Dawg or quickly sign off. The Dawg was not popular among the
> communists. Again, many radio fights ensued and The Dawg was reported
> to the FCC many times. Only this time, he became a legitimate
> international amateur radio superstar.
>
> It is for these reasons that I nominate WA4D as The R.R.A.M Superstar
> of The Century. No single individual represented the values and
> qualities this newsgroups as much over the last 100 years as The Dawg
> did. I would be happy to come to Dayton next year and give Mike his
> introductory speech if he is selected.
>
>
> Epilogue:
>
> To my knowledge, WA4D is no longer an active ham. The popular Amateur
> Radio urban legend is that WA4D's license was revoked or that the FCC
> forced him off the air. The fact is that his call still appears in the
> FCC database and his license doesn't expire until 2005. Some recent
> searching on the Internet found that The Dawg is quite active on the
> Vietnam Veteran's newsgroup and still has the same flare for causing
> controversy.
>
>
> *Pig Farmer: A term coined by contesters for the southern (usually),
> old (almost always) racist bigots that inhabit 75-meter phone, at 3950
> and other notorius fecal deposits on that band.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

3960. Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87hgck$5e3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119770

In article <20000204230417.00880.00001414@ng-fj1.aol.com>,
a272968983@aol.com (A272968983) wrote:
> >Subject: Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL
> >From: part97@my-deja.com
> >Date: 2/4/2000 6:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <87fmho$v9c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Hmmmmm, let me get this straight. You have no interest in this, you
> >think it is silly.
>
> Yes, I do think it stupid, but I do have an interest in this NG and
the amateur
> radio service in general.
>
> > you know about 14313 and all the players,
>
> Where did I say I know all the players? The only players I know are
the ones
> on the news group, but the way you and your cronies post and cross
post all of
> your 14.313 and AF2Y garbage, even the ladies in the needle point
forum know
> about 14.313.
>
> >you take the time to work out a reply about it,
>
> Boy 30 seconds sure is a long time, if it takes longer than that for
you to
> "work out" a post I can see why you post the stupid crap you do.
>
> you say no one cares. You tell
> >more lies,
>
> What Lies ? I care that people like you pollute this NG, but I don't
care
> about your stupid little radio war with AF2Y and I'm sure no one else
does
> either except for the "players".
>
> then you take your precious time and post a reply to
>

> The time wasn't that precious as a matter of fact I was getting paid
with your
> tax dollars when I posted the previous message.
>
> >something you don't care about in the first place. You are one
twisted
> >type moron.
>
> How many types of morons are there, and how do you "twist" a moron?
Hmmmmm.
>
> >Do you know that? No, but I do know that you are an childish idiot,
and
> through your posts you are projecting a bad image of the amateur
radio service.
>
> Or do you waste your time on other
> >things you have no interest in? Hmmmmmmmmm!!!
> >
>
> Hmmmmmmmmm!! I think I can spare 47 seconds.
>
> >Part97 Obey it!!!
>
> Practice what you preach!!!! Obey it!!!
>
Sir:

You have received the "Gotchagoat" award for the month of Febuary
2000. This is the result of the goat getting number that was given to
you by Mr Part97.
Enjoy!! your goat was got. HO HO Time to give award five seconds heh
heh !!

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3961. N. NJ Ham Auction this Sun. 2/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpn518@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000205101942.27529.00000573@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 119771

The Bergen Amateur Radio Assn.(BARA) is holding
its Annual Ham Auction this Sunday, Feb. 6th in
nearby New Milford NJ, located just 15minutes
from the GW Bridge at the New Milford Elks Lodge.

Recycle some of your unused equipment and find
stuff you really needed. Fun time for all, and
refreshments will be served.

Sellers, arrive at 7pm for check in of your equipment.

Auction starts at 8pm.

Directions:
From NYC and LI:
1) Come over the GW Bridge.
2) Take Route 4 (West).
3) Exit River Rd., make left at light, toward New Milford.
4) About 1.8miles, you'll see the big lighted Municipal Building.
5) At traffic light, make left onto Ptl. Ray Woods Drive.
6) Bear right at fork and lodge is on left.

From NJ points:
1) Take Route 4 to River Rd. (Fairleigh Dickenson Univ exit)
2) Bear left to New Milford. At light, make left onto River Rd.
3) About 1.8miles, you'll see the big lighted Municipal Building.
4) At traffic light, make left onto Ptl. Ray Woods Drive.
5) Bear right at fork and lodge is on left.

Talk-in on the 146.79 repeater (may have the PL on, 141.3)

Hope to see you there.

3962. AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87hhhr$hc0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119772

No I'm not modest but truthful and candid. I can remember when this board was a seething hotbed of bigoty ever since the battle on 14.313 spilled over onto the Internet. I think back to all the awful racism and anti-Semitism posted by N0VFP as well as by anonymous and fictitously screen named hams and all that white extremist stuff to boot. My God, it wasn't America on here but a combo of Nazism and Communism. But AF2Y was always there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by God it worked. We have a rather dull board now but dammit-the extremists seem to have gone the other way. No one has to post anything about anyone being listed in Raymond Franklin's Internet Hate Directory. I read no references to any BARF board either. And for that matter almost all of the bigoted garbage on 14.313 is gone too. I guess Riley had a lot to do with that element of hate while I and other good Americans took care of the situation right here. Fellows who loathe bigotry-STAND TALL AND PROUD. We did a whale of a job and I'm proud of all of you.
Doc,AF2Y

3963. Re: FS: H.F. Manpack SR-MP-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389C4B15.ABF92A14@bctv.com>
Source record: 119773
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

To: lgonce@canadawired.com
References:
1
Did you get my email messages about your radio? I got no replies.

What accy's does it have? Manual? What shape? Are you interested in a
swap for something?

I used to have one (true Kachina) and would like to have another.

Keith
kw@bctv.com

3964. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87hlo2$8vl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119774

In article <87hhhr$hc0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> No I'm not modest but truthful and candid. I can remember when this
board
> was a seething hotbed of bigoty ever since the battle on 14.313
spilled
> over onto the Internet. I think back to all the awful racism and
> anti-Semitism posted by N0VFP as well as by anonymous and fictitously
screen
> named hams and all that white extremist stuff to boot. My God, it
wasn't
> America on here but a combo of Nazism and Communism. But AF2Y was
always
> there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by
God it
> worked. We have a rather dull board now but dammit-the extremists
seem to
> have gone the other way. No one has to post anything about anyone
being
> listed in Raymond Franklin's Internet Hate Directory. I read no
references
> to any BARF board either. And for that matter almost all of the
bigoted
> garbage on 14.313 is gone too. I guess Riley had a lot to do with that
> element of hate while I and other good Americans took care of the
situation
> right here. Fellows who loathe bigotry-STAND TALL AND PROUD. We did a
whale
> of a job and I'm proud of all of you.
> Doc,AF2Y
>

Thanks!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3965. Re: Gun Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000205120058.14152.00001411@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 119775

That's what the problem is NO ENFORCEMENT, instead they'd rather restrict
access to them by law abiding citizens
.>From: covert62@my-deja.com

>A convicted felon with a gun? So where is gun control?
>http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien/
>

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

3966. AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87hqa3$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119776

Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to send me brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know him from a hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL motivation of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a simple pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will someone with more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe Brother John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he walks with the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my aegis. Amen, gesundheit and thank you.
AF2Y

3967. Thirty-nine-Fifty tonite : Interview with 33rd Degree Mason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dx302man@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000205140253.00851.00000435@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 119777

Tonites Liberty Net is gonna be a good one. We are going to have a genuine 33rd Degree Mason on with us tonite. What will he tell us? Will he tell us we are a bunch of nuts or he tell us that we are on target talking about a "New World Order"

Also in tonights net, Praise of Austria's new Freedom Party - How will this effect Amerika? Kevin Strom will tell all!

DX302MAN

3968. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87hvaofcm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119778

In article <87hqa3$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to send
me
> brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know him
from a
> hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL
motivation
> of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a simple
> pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will
someone with
> more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe
Brother
> John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he walks
with
> the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my aegis.
Amen,
> gesundheit and thank you.
> AF2Y
>>>>>>>>>>>> Doc, why do you waste your intelligence on these obvious
morons, that have no life, othen than what you give them?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3969. YAESU VX-1R info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: post@here.com
Message-ID: <389c713c.319268@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119779

Would anyone with a VX-1R please post your likes and dislikes of this
little radio..??? Due to the size, I am leaning toward that model
over the 5 Watt VX-5R <<---

Thanks..

3970. Low Power CW Transmitter Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrnelson@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87hvsu$fp1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119780

Greetings,

I am not an amateur radio operator, so I appologize in advance if my question is inappropriate in this forum. But I think the ham community may be uniquely knowledgable in this area.

I am working on a project involving a remote data aquisition "probe" that will need to periodically send and receive small amounts of information between itself and a home base. The remote unit will be controlled by a basic stamp microcontroller and will be located up to about 15 miles from home base - possibly with buildings blocking the direct line of sight. The remote unit also needs to be easily portable, so a long wire antenna strung from poles is probably not practical.

My questions:

Would it be possible to achieve a range of 15 miles or so using a small enough amount of power so as not to require an FCC license in the US?

What frequency band would be best suited to this application?

I have seen cordless phones advertised with a range of 5-7 miles under ideal conditions, and my very limited knowledge of radio signals leads me to believe that a slow, pulsed CW signal, like morse code could extend this range significantly over a voice modulated signal. Is this correct?

Thanks for reading this. Any advice would be appreciated.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3971. CTCSS tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: GH <gregh@prairie.lakes.com>
Message-ID: <389c74d5@sushi>
Source record: 119781

Question: How do you find out what CTCSS tones are used by local law enforcement?

Thanks

3972. Re: New licensing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: GH <gregh@prairie.lakes.com>
Message-ID: <389c784d@sushi>
Source record: 119782

I asked the same question:

I received an anwer from the FCC, about 95% of the questions will be taken from elements 2 & 3A. You can still pass the test with the current material.

David Lee <dwl@slip.net> wrote in message news:s9bofl7h5io31@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi,
>
> I read that effective April, 2000 only element 2 will be
> required for the Technician class license. Will the
> element 2 question pool change in April, i.e. will I be wasting
> my time if I study the questions now and take the test
> in April?
>
> Thanks
> David
>
> P.S. please respond by e-mail if possible: DWL@slip.net
>
>

3973. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87i111gjt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119833

Living in a real life roach motel,
possum belly slobbered in message <87hqq3$ca1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <87hhhr$hc0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
><FLOOD!>
>
>watt a soft amoorerick try at a troll. now gets bak unda the ports DR
>hate till you can compost a descent troll. else we gone tale the
worlt
>moore bout that there lil boy you diddled. when you was throne out a
>dennis tree overt 10 yeers go.dennis licents revocated bye NJ. AY2f
>job well dun. BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA as woger like to sey. >you
pathsetick QrM in hate criminole. job well dun raisin a gay boy
>coksuker you queer daddy you. moan faggot moan.

Dimmy O'Bese, didn't you take at least freshman English at that vo-
tech "college?"

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3974. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87i176gkq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119834

In article <87hvaofcm1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <87hqa3$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to
send
> me
> > brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know him
> from a
> > hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL
> motivation
> > of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a
simple
> > pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will
> someone with
> > more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe
> Brother
> > John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he walks
> with
> > the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my aegis.
> Amen,
> > gesundheit and thank you.
> > AF2Y
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Doc, why do you waste your intelligence on these obvious
> morons, that have no life, othen than what you give them?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

That's right, this newsgroup, 14.313 and watching birds fornicate is
the only life Polly w4fqf knows, for instance.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3975. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87i1c9$gu0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119835

radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <87hqa3
$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to send
me
>brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know him from
a
>hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL
motivation
>of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a simple
>pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will someone
with
>more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe
Brother
>John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he walks
with
>the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my aegis.
Amen,
>gesundheit and thank you.
>AF2Y

I wonder if brother john's daughter is like a preacher's daughter I
went out with once? She had the whitest teeth I've ever come across.
LOL! And her sister was similar...LOL!
Makes you wonder about those that profess to be so pious.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3976. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <A4%m4.335$zf1.13137@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 119836

While I do not favor the extremists, your tactics were just
as bad. Now, will you surrender your licenses and leave
Amateur Radio? There is no place for your kind either.

<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:87hhhr$hc0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
: No I'm not modest but truthful and candid. I can remember when this board
: was a seething hotbed of bigoty ever since the battle on 14.313 spilled
: over onto the Internet. I think back to all the awful racism and
: anti-Semitism posted by N0VFP as well as by anonymous and fictitously
screen
: named hams and all that white extremist stuff to boot. My God, it wasn't
: America on here but a combo of Nazism and Communism. But AF2Y was always
: there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by God
it
: worked. We have a rather dull board now but dammit-the extremists seem to
: have gone the other way. No one has to post anything about anyone being
: listed in Raymond Franklin's Internet Hate Directory. I read no references
: to any BARF board either. And for that matter almost all of the bigoted
: garbage on 14.313 is gone too. I guess Riley had a lot to do with that
: element of hate while I and other good Americans took care of the
situation
: right here. Fellows who loathe bigotry-STAND TALL AND PROUD. We did a
whale
: of a job and I'm proud of all of you.
: Doc,AF2Y

3977. Re: A Blast from the Past..on gayboy n8wgm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87hv3qbke1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119837

It's all bluster and no backbone, Roger. Dismiss it.

In article <875441aea1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>Here's a blast from the past that proves TONDUHH's stalking of me.
>
>From: n8wgm@aol.com (N8WGM)
>Subject: Roger Wiseman is going to be on the internet!!!!!!!
>Date: 10 Sep 1999 00:00:00 GMT
>Message-ID: <19990910005658.04630.00011026@ng-cg1.aol.com>
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>
>Howdy everyone!
> I'm going ot visit Roger Wiseman this weekend-----I'm bringing a
>digital
>camera---so everyone can see the infamous KC8JBO. Cut the grass Roger---
>clean
>up the house-------I'm coming to visit and report to the world who in
>the hell
>is Roger Wiseman.......... It should be fun........to actually see the
>man
>behind the postings-------isn't the Internet great!!!!
> You've wondered who in the hell is Roger Wiseman---KC8JBO---well
>now
>you'll know!!!!!! Info on the Website to see Roger Wiseman will be
>upcomming
>in a post real soon. See you all then!!!!!!!!!!
>N8WGM
>
>
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>

>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3978. Mobile Antenna Help Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <bsplaine@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <Gg%m4.32$gl1.692@typhoon.sonic.net>
Source record: 119838

Lotsa questions.. need answers..... I also posted this to RRAA

I have the '00 Ford Diesel CrewCab and am considering the following:

Just checking to see if any of you guys have installed amateur rigs on a diesel truck. I'm interested in what bands have been installed, where you mounted the antennas, where you routed the coax and if you have had any problems with RFI and your truck's computer systems.

Here is what I would like to do..

CB radio with a Wilson 1000 roof mound. I'm considering cutting the whip to the center of the 10M band so I can use it for both 10/11M; 2M/440 dualband roof mount; and on the front of the bed I'm likely going to install a DK 'screwdriver' antenna for 10-80M or if I really use the Wilson for 10M also the DK will be only for 15-80.

Also, is there a minimum distance between antennas on the roof?

Thanks for any help
Bill/N6GHG

3979. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87hvj2$9s0$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119839

Apparently you don't understand human nature either so go back into your
mole hole or instead of posting here go to Momma Goldberg's Knish Recipe
USENET.

In article <A4%m4.335$zf1.13137@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
<N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:

>While I do not favor the extremists, your tactics were just
>as bad. Now, will you surrender your licenses and leave
>Amateur Radio? There is no place for your kind either.
>
>
><radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:87hhhr$hc0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
>: No I'm not modest but truthful and candid. I can remember when this board
>: was a seething hotbed of bigoty ever since the battle on 14.313 spilled
>: over onto the Internet. I think back to all the awful racism and
>: anti-Semitism posted by N0VFP as well as by anonymous and fictitously
>screen
>: named hams and all that white extremist stuff to boot. My God, it wasn't
>: America on here but a combo of Nazism and Communism. But AF2Y was always
>: there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by God
>it
>: worked. We have a rather dull board now but dammit-the extremists seem to
>: have gone the other way. No one has to post anything about anyone being
>: listed in Raymond Franklin's Internet Hate Directory. I read no references
>: to any BARF board either. And for that matter almost all of the bigoted
>: garbage on 14.313 is gone too. I guess Riley had a lot to do with that
>: element of hate while I and other good Americans took care of the
>situation
>: right here. Fellows who loathe bigotry-STAND TALL AND PROUD. We did a
>whale
>: of a job and I'm proud of all of you.
>: Doc,AF2Y
>
>

3980. Re: AF2Y STILL "NOMAS" (OFF) AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87i049bit1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119840

Explain to me why you think that TR and IVX had any influence in my leaving AOL. My account was cancelled by me, not terminated by AOL. And a phone call on my part can create reinstatement. Wanna see? Once again, why do you believe them?

AOL Mail

This account has been cancelled. To reactivate, the master account holder may call 1-888-265-8003.

Click here to try again.

Copyright © America Online, Inc.
All rights reserved. Legal Notices
Try AOL
Privacy Policy

In article <87es66aav1@nnrp1.deja.com>, part97@my-deja.com wrote:

>For all his blowing like a howling monkey, AF2Y is still off AOL.
>Thanks to BOB and Lenny. You notice forum members that he is no longer
>posting the libelous crqap he used to spew out on a daily basis. His
>excuse is "I am busy making money". Yeah he is busy not being busy with
>the obnoxious posts . Hooray for Bob and Lenny!!
>
>Part97 Obey it!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

3981. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: big fat floppy donkey dic <meinkook@aol.com>
Message-ID: <hw%m4.4560$Mk2.178493@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119841

N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote in message
news:A4%m4.335$zf1.13137@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...

> While I do not favor the extremists, your tactics were just
> as bad. Now, will you surrender your licenses and leave
> Amateur Radio? There is no place for your kind either.

I'll take 5-more of AF2Y over even one piece of 3950 filth, NWO.
Perhaps if you do not like to listen to AF2Y you should consider
giving that big knob on the front panel of you radio a good
counterclockwise spin. If you do this, I guarantee that any station
you don't like to listen to will >dissappear< from the speaker.
It works each and every time. Give it a try - M'kay?

(It REALLY boggles my mind how *so many* hams can moan and
complain about something they hear on some frequency, and yet
do not have the intellegence and/or will-power to simply turn the
VFO knob. Can someone out there what has superior intellegence
PLEASE explain to me why it is hams beat themselve up so many
times by not turning the freakin VFO when they hear somethin they
don't like...? Oy!)

3982. Re: Thirty-nine-Fifty tonite : Interview with 33rd Degree Mason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: big fat floppy donkey dic <meinkook@aol.com>
Message-ID: <fw%m4.4559$Mk2.178493@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119842

DX302MAN <dx302man@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000205140253.00851.00000435@ng-fi1.aol.com...

> Also in tonights net, Praise of Austria's new Freedom Party - How will
this
> effect Amerika? Kevin Strom will tell all!
>
> DX302MAN

No doubt KKKevin is a happy camper today.
Mabey iffen were lucky, he will move to Austria
and that will be one less piece of white trash to
worry about.

3983. AF2Y: Maybe I'm wrong but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87i0s4eed1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119843

Maybe I'm wrong but I think Herbie, KV4FZ, received a cease and desist and is off ham radio right now! There was some kind of deal struck in which he promised to go minus fanfare if his radio demise wasn't published. What say anybody?

3984. Re: Doc's fan club.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87i22otif1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119844

I thank you for the accolades but I'm just an average Joe with a very good looking wife and two very good looking children however I can and do influence thanks to a gift of God a.k.a. KC3AU. The thing about me that turns off a Hammer007 and a "Rileygotchu" and other sociopaths is that I TELL THE TRUTH. And I can spot a phony a mile away and 14.313 is a home for them. I and other decent guys have crippled the bigots on that frequency and on this USENET. We destroyed the BARF and the ones still hobbling around have toned down their incessant racist crap to a whisper. Whatever happened to the "phone patch issue?" Remember how WA2EXQ and his buds were going nuts writing and complaining about Intercon and Ruth Hoffman? Now whenever Lloyd is on, he refrains from anti-Semitism and phone patching issues. Remember when KV4FZ was ruling the roost? He's gone. Remember when Billy Gasell was talking about burning people in crematoria and using their ashes to make ink? He's been off the air for years thanks to the kind of opposition mounted by me and my pals. Remember Mike Galego, KA4MUJ, the oaf one slice short of a loaf. He's now playing gin rummy with KE2LO in a place hotter than Phoenix. Youngblood is NOT a bigot; never was and never will be but his sin is a refusal to stand up against it which signifies acceptance and he can't tolerate a staunch foe of it personified by me. "Rileygotchu?" Some say he's Polly while others disagree. If he is Polly, then it's obvious I've had no use for him for ten or more years. Who has? No, these people are no fan club members but in reality are afraid of me and my truthfulness and in life what one fears one hates. My point is don't put me on a pedestal-I'll do it myself.

In article <20000202214704.24956.00001108@ng-bj1.aol.com>,
a272968983@aol.com (A272968983) wrote:

>Doc, AF2Y, must be one very attractive smooth operator, I've never seen a man
>attract so much attention from other "men". What, does Doc look like, a male
>version of Marilyn Monroe? It seems as though Hammer007, Rileygotchu and
>gotchagoat can't go for any length of time without posting some type of comment
>about "dreamy AF2Y". It seems as though they are in love with AF2Y, and can't
>get him out of their minds. If you guys give me your call signs I would be
>happy to submit them to LARC for membership. I'm sure they would appreciate
>and welcome some "new warm bodies" into the club and you could meet some of
>your own type of people.

3985. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14751-389C85B6-40@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119845

Kenneth, yes, we are hams and we do have rules!

But what are those rules? Part 97? OK, but where, for instance, does the First Amendment, U.S. Const., intersect Part 97? In other words, what can we say?

That is just the question I asked Robert McNamara, Chief of the Private Radio Bureau, FCC at M Street, Washington, D.C. in the latter 80's. The very capable and cordial Chief paused, then asked me to turn off my tape recorder. He then conferred with his Counsel, Mr. Tom Fitzgibbons, gathered the threads of his answer, and a few minutes later went back on record. Of course, he couldn't answer the question.

There are many such questions that we hams are involved in pioneering. If we follow your admittedly well-intentioned direction, we'd all become mindless robots marching to the beat of the FCC, which often knows no more than we.

For example, the K1MAN broadcast issue is far more complex and meritorious than the FCC acknowledges. Believe it or not, there are two writings directly from the FCC supporting K1MAN's right to transmit information bulletins.

By denigrating hams without full knowledge of their background and motive, we are only furthering ignorance and injustice.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3986. Re: YAESU VX-1R info please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel nicholson <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <s9p4jdgl98e91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119846

I have both and I would lean to the VX-5R over the VX-1R. The size is NOT
that much different and the extra power and the longer life battery is nice.
Plus the VX-5R is easier to program in the field and of course has more
memories. Go for the 5R. If you get the 1R U will be sorry later.

IMHO Dan
post@here.com wrote in message <389c713c.319268@news.earthlink.net>...
>
> Would anyone with a VX-1R please post your likes and dislikes of this
>little radio..??? Due to the size, I am leaning toward that model
>over the 5 Watt VX-5R <<---
>
>Thanks..
>----------------------------------
>

3987. Re: CTCSS tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel nicholson <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <s9p4k3r798e83@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119847

ASK??

GH wrote in message <389c74d5@sushi>...
>Question: How do you find out what CTCSS tones are used by local law
>enforcement?
>
>Thanks
>
>

3988. Re: Low Power CW Transmitter Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87i8belco1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119848

In article <87hvsu$fp1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wrnelson@my-deja.com wrote:
> Greetings,
>
> I am not an amateur radio operator, so I appologize in advance if my
> question is inappropriate in this forum. But I think the ham
community
> may be uniquely knowledgable in this area.
>
> I am working on a project involving a remote data aquisition "probe"
> that will need to periodically send and receive small amounts of
> information between itself and a home base. The remote unit will be
> controlled by a basic stamp microcontroller and will be located up to
> about 15 miles from home base - possibly with buildings blocking the
> direct line of sight. The remote unit also needs to be easily
portable,
> so a long wire antenna strung from poles is probably not practical.
>
> My questions:
>
> Would it be possible to achieve a range of 15 miles or so using a
small
> enough amount of power so as not to require an FCC license in the US?
>
> What frequency band would be best suited to this application?
>
> I have seen cordless phones advertised with a range of 5-7 miles
under
> ideal conditions, and my very limited knowledge of radio signals leads
> me to believe that a slow, pulsed CW signal, like morse code could
> extend this range significantly over a voice modulated signal. Is
this
> correct?
>
> Thanks for reading this. Any advice would be appreciated.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
A transmitter with the range you want would likely require a license of
some sort.

Not knowing the type of "data" you desire to transmit makes commenting
on the "modulation mode" difficult.

If it basically a ON/OFF function, then CW would probably do. If anything more is needed Id suggest you look into DSP. Digital signal processing. That's all I can say at this time. Perhaps other's will be of more help.

Dan/W4NTI

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3989. Re: CTCSS tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87i90s$m0o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119849

In article <389c74d5@sushi>,
"GH" <gregh@prairie.lakes.com> wrote:
> Question: How do you find out what CTCSS tones are used by local law
> enforcement?
>
> Thanks
>
>
Why would you need to know such? Seems to me that if you were
authorized that information, you would not need to ask here for it.
Thus I can only surmise you are seeking this info in order to use it
in some illegal activity. I'd suggest you try elsewhere.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3990. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87i621hja1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
>the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
>requirements. No individual ham is required to become
>a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
>encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
>far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
>and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
>into the technical field I followed as a career.
>
>If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
>become technical, well we should just obviously
>agree to disagree.

Bill, no where in S97.1 is the word "goal" used:

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
principles:

The government says "fundamental purpose," not "goal."

And note that the disjunctive "or" is not used nor even implied
between the following subparagraphs:

(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
respect to providing emergency communications.
(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute
to the advancement of the radio art.
(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which
provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases
of the art.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of
trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
international goodwill.

Those are not goals; taken together, that's our "fundamental purpose."
If the government so desired, it could make the above items mandatory.

Seem far-fetched? No more unbelievable than the FCC making it mandatory that we keep a log of every transmission we make, that we attest to having operated a certain number of hours on the air prior to having our license renewed, that we notify the government that we intend to operate portable. Remember those days?

73, Jeff KH6O

3991. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87i75riea1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119851

Robert Morris <AllAmericn@webtv.net> wrote:
>But what are those rules? Part 97? OK, but where, for instance, does
>the First Amendment, U.S. Const., intersect Part 97? In other words,
>what can we say?

I suppose I could pose a similar question as to whether the First
Amendment and your city's penal code intersect. If that intersection
is non-empty, then nothing would prohibit me from standing outside
your home at 2am with a bull-horn making all sorts of threats and noise.

73, Jeff KH6O
Fingerprint Classification Service: A copy of your own inked impressions
imprinted with the Henry classification formula as applied to your prints.

3992. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: whiskey4@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87ib3vnbf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119852

In article <87i176gkq1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <87hvaofcm1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <87hqa3$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > > Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to
> send
> > me
> > > brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know him
> > from a
> > > hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL
> > motivation
> > > of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a
> simple
> > > pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will
> > someone with
> > > more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe
> > Brother
> > > John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he
walks
> > with
> > > the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my
aegis.
> > Amen,
> > > gesundheit and thank you.
> > > AF2Y
> > >>>>>>>>>>>> Doc, why do you waste your intelligence on these
obvious
> > morons, that have no life, othen than what you give them?
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> That's right, this newsgroup, 14.313 and watching birds fornicate is
> the only life Polly w4fqf knows, for KC8JBO|Roger
>
> Are these guys the Three Stoogies of ham radio?????? whiskey4

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

3993. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nassss@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8zqqhf$XX00$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119853

On Sat, 05 Feb 2000 18:40:04 GMT, possum belly <possim@my-deja.com> wrote:

: watt a soft amoorerick try at a troll. now gets bak unda the ports DR
: hate till you can compost a descent troll. else we gone tale the worlt

yew doent have evun the furst idea uv how tew dew a reel troll but i forgiv
yew becuz yew try hard. yew get A fer effert even if yew are discombooberated
in a weird way.

luv,
N.A., future modurator uv r.r.a.m.

3994. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <1p3n4.912$6w1.34551@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 119854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <389c1818_4@news1.prserv.net>, lawdog2@ibm.net says...
>
>
>
> "Bob Wexelbaum, w2ilp"
> > >
> > Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
> > they did in the 1950s. Remember that the "Class A" license, which
> > became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
> that
> > new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
> > There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
> > communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
> > technique.
>
>
> God, what a ghastly idea. Gosh, I guess to apply this logic there
> should be a different type of driver's license for chevy's, porshces,
> and my poor little old Ford explorer. Better yet, let's require all
> hams to take a 3 hour exam every six months to ensure that they meet
> "high" standards. That ought to run all those appliance operators out
> of the hobby.
> --
> Roger J. Buffington
> W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

A lawyer and a Hollywood producer are walking down the street when they
spy a beautiful actress leaving MGM.

The producer turns to the lawyer and says, "Boy, I'd really like to screw
her". The lawyer takes one more look at the women and turns to the
producer and says..

"Out of how much?"

Did you hear about the lawyer who gave up his practice and became a
baker? His specialty was strawberry torts.

What happens when you stick a lawyer with a needle? He bleeds habeas
corpuscles

--
You can fool some of the people, all of the time
and you can fool all of the people some of the time
BUT

YOU CAN'T FOOL MOM!!!

Carpe Diem!!!!

3995. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Legion <nowrittentest@domain.com>
Message-ID: <icycOPDNitKfXipOGoigWLvckKLv@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119855

On Fri, 04 Feb 2000 19:07:15 GMT, Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:

>various toxic waste sites on 75M can't hold a candle to "The Dawg".

WA4D is SK, D is for "Dead"

3996. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: AllAmericn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14751-389CD034-54@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119856

Jeff, sorry, the example you raise is an incongruous and convoluted comparison to the question of Part 97 and the 1st Amendment, U.S. Const. The immediate criminal law covering your bull horn example is disturbing the peace. Call the police; they're empowered to handle it. Otherwise it can be handled civilly under the cause of nuisance.

The circumscription of the First Amendment by Part 97 is indeed an indigenous issue to ham radio and the FCC, and there are loads of cases touching on it. Not that they've been followed by the FCC, which would rather forget the complication the First Amendment presents to their charge of regulating the spectrum.

Jeffrey, I know that you'd love to reduce the whole thing to absurdity but you can't. Many issues arise out of Part 97 and the First Amendment, issues that challenge even Constitutional scholars.

In my view, Jeffrey, though your posts are usually on the money, in this case your logic is legally flawed and you are trivializing a vital issue. Still, I appreciate your effort.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

3997. Re: Low Power CW Transmitter Advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <389CE12D.28D26BE3@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 119857

I doubt that you can do what you want without some kind of license. To get a range of 15 miles (unless the antenna is very high, over 1000 feet) will require too much power for unlicense operations. There might be some microwave bands that this could be done, but I am not familiar with that part. Also when sending data it is a high speed transmission and the ammount of power needed is lots greater than the Morse code. Morse code is normally a very slow speed mode. That is why the bandwidth can be made smaller and you will get a beter signal to noise ratio.

wrnelson@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Greetings,
>
> I am not an amateur radio operator, so I appologize in advance if my
> question is inappropriate in this forum. But I think the ham community
> may be uniquely knowledgable in this area.
>
> I am working on a project involving a remote data aquisition "probe"
> that will need to periodically send and receive small amounts of
> information between itself and a home base. The remote unit will be
> controlled by a basic stamp microcontroller and will be located up to
> about 15 miles from home base - possibly with buildings blocking the
> direct line of sight. The remote unit also needs to be easily portable,
> so a long wire antenna strung from poles is probably not practical.
>
> My questions:
>
> Would it be possible to achieve a range of 15 miles or so using a small
> enough amount of power so as not to require an FCC license in the US?
>
> What frequency band would be best suited to this application?
>
> I have seen cordless phones advertised with a range of 5-7 miles under
> ideal conditions, and my very limited knowledge of radio signals leads
> me to believe that a slow, pulsed CW signal, like morse code could
> extend this range significantly over a voice modulated signal. Is this
> correct?
>
> Thanks for reading this. Any advice would be appreciated.
>
>

3998. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <389CE4DB.F5CEB046@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
> For the record, in some places, union contract and work rules
> would forclose the engineer from doing the physical
> assembly and soldering.

When the Houston NASA complex was under construction, I almost caused
a site-wide union walkout because I was using a Simpson to check
continuity on an IBM Model-B cable when I worked for Honeywell.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

3999. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Buck <kh7t@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <389CE9A7.F6695220@arrl.net>
Source record: 119859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I can relate to the soldering skill issue. As a graduate EE and an
Extra,
one of the high points of my career was when I changed a soldered in IC
on a factory built PC board during a weekend troubleshooting session.
On Monday the professional soldering inspector refused to believe that I
had changed the part until I showed her the removed part. But I did not
learn that skill in school.

Aloha,
John KH7T

>Charles T Johnston wrote:
> >> >> You are right Bill..as a former engineering tech I can validate your remarks.
> >> >> The EE's I worked for rarely if ever assembled anything.
> >> >Perhaps not -- but I would be willing to bet that they know how. >> >one of those
little things you learn during schooling for your EE.
> >>
> >> BUT it isn't required as a developed skill by any school
> >> I know of. Some experiments may call for soldering,
> >> but that's maybe the extent of it.
> >
> >I look forward to your explanation of how a school which assigns "some"
> >experiments which require soldering skill doesn't require "developed"
> >soldering skill.
>
> I have seen some truly BAD soldering that was
> certainly not representative of a "developed"
> soldering skill BUT the eperiment that the
> soldering was used to set up worked fine.
>
> There is a BIG difference between soldering
> in production vs a bench experiment.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

4000. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Septic-One@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27419-389D7F0F-26@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119683

As Charlie Brown once said, "Don't you know sarcasm when you hear it??"
We all often like to poke fun at Riley, who's actually the one with this
"broadcasting mentality" problem.

(Drew)

4001. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87jvr1$82o$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119684

Hell that's nothing. As a young man I took out a gal with so much bracework,
to kiss her I had to pay toll.

In article <87i1c9$gu0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <87hqa3
>$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to send
>me
>>brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know him from
>a
>>hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL
>motivation
>>of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a simple
>>pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will someone
>with
>>more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe
>Brother
>>John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he walks
>with
>>the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my aegis.
>Amen,
>>gesundheit and thank you.
>>AF2Y
>
>I wonder if brother john's daughter is like a preacher's daughter I
>went out with once? She had the whitest teeth I've ever come across.
>LOL! And her sister was similar...LOL!
>Makes you wonder about those that profess to be so pious.
>
>
>
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4002. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87k08e$h76$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119685

By the way, one of the Stooges, "Curly," in real life was Moses Horwitz who used the stage name Moe Howard. Need proof? Log on to www.findagrave.com and type in Moe Howard. This is one of the most intriguing websites on the Internet and which will enable to you pay respects to anyone who was anyone in the history of the U.S.A.

In article <87ib3vnbf1@nnrp1.deja.com>, whiskey4@my-deja.com wrote:

>> That's right, this newsgroup, 14.313 and watching birds fornicate is
>> the only life Polly w4fqf knows, for KC8JBO|Roger
>>
>> Are these guys the Three Stoogies of ham radio?????? whiskey4

4003. AF2Y: A CLARIFICATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87k1no$t1f$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119686

Last night upon returning from dinner, I activated the answering machine and heard a message about an uproar due to remarks I made concerning what Fred said about WA4KBX, Joe. WRONG AGAIN. Why can't these dimwits get anything straight? I put no words in Fred's mouth, NONE. My comments were directly attributed to those made by Joe himself and none other. He said that when Fred visited, Fred asked where he was going to be put up. After perusing the situation, Fred LEFT and when Joe asked when they'd meet again, Fred replied, "I'll call you."
Then I heard Joe disdainfully announce, "If I ever see him again, that's alright. If not, then that's alright too." Rather cavalier, wouldn't you say? Not the words from one buddy to another but rather from a guy who was rejected, correct? I then relayed the info to Bill, K0ECK and Rube,K4RUB and also interjected my own comments about the strong possibility of Fred having seen cockroaches. I never said he saw them! Perhaps had he stayed to have a better look, he would have but when leaving swiftly, just the odor sticks in one's mind. And that's not to say that Joe's place smelled either. It's all hypothetical. Obviously Fred didn't stay because of disenfranchisement with the entire situation yet he never went into details. My comments were assumptive and possibly presumptuous which is redundant since they are analogous and any reference to the living or dead in this scenario is completely aboriginal. For all I know Joe might live like a king but then again Hulk Hogan might be wearing a bra and panties.

4004. AF2Y's message to "Meinkook@AOL."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87k20rvjp1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119687

"Minekook" mine boy. I vant to tank you from the bottom of mine Hartz for
all da support like mine stockings give and vish you mit da femiley a
vunderful Zunday und a gud veek too,
AF2Y

In article <hw%m4.4560$Mk2.178493@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "big
fat floppy donkey dic" <meinkook@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote in message
>news:A4%m4.335$zf1.13137@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>
>> While I do not favor the extremists, your tactics were just
>> as bad. Now, will you surrender your licenses and leave
>> Amateur Radio? There is no place for your kind either.
>
>I'll take 5-more of AF2Y over even one piece of 3950 filth, NWO.
>Perhaps if you do not like to listen to AF2Y you should consider
>giving that big knob on the front panel of you radio a good
>counterclockwise spin. If you do this, I guarantee that any station
>you don't like to listen to will >dissappear< from the speaker.
>It works each and every time. Give it a try - M'kay?
>
>(It REALLY boggles my mind how *so many* hams can moan and
>complain about something they hear on some frequency, and yet
>do not have the intellegence and/or will-power to simply turn the
>VFO knob. Can someone out there what has superior intellegence
>PLEASE explain to me why it is hams beat themselve up so many
>times by not turning the freakin VFO when they hear somethin they
>don't like...? Oy!)
>
>
>

4005. Re: AF2Y replies to "Aryan fist."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87k271$97c$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119688

I've got a good place right on you to stick your Aryan fist.

In article <20000205205208.06736.00000634@ng-fx1.aol.com>, aryanfist@aol.com
(ARYAN FIST) wrote:

>AF2Y belched:
>
>>
>> But AF2Y was always
>>there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by God it
>>worked.
>>
>
>What a self-deluded, self-centered clown this AF2Y yidiot is! It probably never
>occured to him that maybe it is a waste of time and energy to argue with such
>an insignificant and worthless bag of protoplasm. AF2Y is nothing but a
>speedbump, hardly worth noticing.
>
>Hey Doc, why don't you get off the Internet once in a while and spend more time
>with your wife? What? Oh. I see. Phew, now THAT is one ugly woman! Can't say I
>blame you, then.
>
>But, of course, I have just wasted my time and energy responding to the yidiot.
>But it had to be said ...

4006. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerd Henjes <ghenjes1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ulgn4.2847$Y5.84456@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ah, so what? Gerd, W2ISB

"Mr. Mxyplzyk" <unit208@andromeda.net> wrote in message
news:hs4n4.5014$563.210369@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Fellow Hams,
> I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning
on
> April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
> identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow
the
> new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
> anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be
> faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able
to
> id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
> 73
> de KR4AJ
>
>

4007. Re: New Ham need etiquette information for 20 m and 40 m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry <larya@home.com>
Message-ID: <rFgn4.10274$45.511228@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 119690

greetings Jane and also congratulations

One suggestion that was given to me when I was just starting out, on phone in particular, is do a lot of listenning. You can learn an incredible amount listening to how others communicate.

Also get your code speed up, while still a beginner on hf. Cw, though many downplay it, is a valuable way to communicate especially when you seem to have difficulties getting out on phone.

larry ve3fxq

John Grimes wrote in message <386C75FF.4A6089E7@erols.com>...
>Jane2061 wrote:
>
>> Hello
>> I am a new ham radio operator just having received my general class license.Can
>> someone tell me what the procedure is for getting into a conversation. It seems
>> that when I am tuning the bands on 40 meters and 20 meters everyone is already
>> engaged in qsos. I dont know how to properly check into a net or do they frown
>> on newcomers. I keep listening and calling cq but no one responds. Am I doing
>> something wrong. Also what frequencies do u stay clear of on 40 and 20 for
>> calling cq I know there is a dx net on 14.247 in the afternoon and I should not
>> call cq on there or I will get my head handed to me. I would appreciate some
>> guidance on how to get started the hf bands.
>>
>> Many thanks
>> Jane
>> Females for Ham Radio Group
>
>Congrats Jane,
>
> There could be several things causing you problem, one, luck or maybe your not
>being "heard." You should check the output power at your transmitter, then where
>the feedline attached to your antenna and if you have a field strength

meter,
>measure the strength near your anttenna. Remember the old adage, a station
is
>only as good as its antenna system. If that doesn't work, try arranging a
QSO
>with someone (I would volunteer, but due to covenants etc, etc, I currently
do not
>have a an HF station on air.), also you may want to try some bands other
than 20
>or 40.
>
> May I recomend the 12 Meter (24.93 through 24.99 Mhz), 15 Meter (21.3
through
>21.45 Mhz) and 17 Meters (18.11 through 18.168 Mhz. to get started then
move down
>to 20, 40 and 80 meters after you get some experience.
>
>
>
>Good luck and 73's
>
>
>John, kd3wy
>

4008. N. NJ Ham Auction Today 2/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bpn518@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000206104830.27139.00002033@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 119691

The Bergen Amateur Radio Assn.(BARA) is holding
its Annual Ham Auction this Sunday, Feb. 6th in
nearby New Milford NJ, located just 15minutes
from the GW Bridge at the New Milford Elks Lodge.

Recycle some of your unused equipment and find
stuff you really needed. Fun time for all, and
refreshments will be served.

Sellers, arrive at 7pm for check in of your equipment.

Auction starts at 8pm.

Directions:
From NYC and LI:
1) Come over the GW Bridge.
2) Take Route 4 (West).
3) Exit River Rd., make left at light, toward New Milford.
4) About 1.8miles, you'll see the big lighted Municipal Building.
5) At traffic light, make left onto Ptl. Ray Woods Drive.
6) Bear right at fork and lodge is on left.

From NJ points:
1) Take Route 4 to River Rd. (Fairleigh Dickenson Univ exit)
2) Bear left to New Milford. At light, make left onto River Rd.
3) About 1.8miles, you'll see the big lighted Municipal Building.
4) At traffic light, make left onto Ptl. Ray Woods Drive.
5) Bear right at fork and lodge is on left.

Talk-in on the 146.79 repeater (PL may be on, 141.3).

Hope to see you there.

4009. Ambiguities of the ECPA Law, Government Abuses, and New York

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: You <sent@spam.con>
Message-ID: <389D9F6F.52236BA5@spam.con>
Source record: 119692
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.government.abuse,law.court.federal,alt.politics.usa.congress,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.journalism

The ambiguities of the ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT OF 1986 (ECPA) are being used in an abusive way against Citizens. It was originally created to protect Citizens from our Government electronically invading your privacy. Government has begun enforcing it to protect Government from being electronically monitored by Citizens.

For the record, this law (H.R.4952 & S.2575) began in the 99th Congress. It was sponsored by Rep. Robert W. Kastenmeier of the House Judiciary and passed the House. Then it was reported by the Senate Committee on the Judiciary and sponsored by Senator Patrick Leahy. It passed the Senate and President Reagan approved it into law.

March 1, 1990 the Secret Service improperly conducted an early morning raid at Steve Jackson Games (SJG). A company that produced fantasy books and games. There was a long court battle and in the end, SJG won a lawsuit against the Secret Service.

With the help from the Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF), they used the ECPA against the Secret Service. The judge did not find that Secret Service agents violated the ECPA when agents seized the Illuminati BBS at SJG. The judge did find, however, that the ECPA was violated by the agent's seizure of stored electronic communications on the system.

In August of 1997, FBI's Electronic Crimes Task Force jointly with the New York Police Department and the Secret Service used the ECPA against the Breaking News Network. It is believed to be the first case of Government using the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986. BNN owners were charged with intercepting Government service messages of the New York Police Department, New York Fire Department, Office of Emergency Management, Emergency Medical Services, and other Government services.

The New York City Mayor at that time was Rudolph Giuliani, The New York Police Commissioner was Howard Safir, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York was Mary Jo White, and the Magistrate Judge of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York was Leonard Bernikow (resigned 11/2/98). As a result, some journalists quickly began saying the crackdown was part of a larger effort by Mayor Rudolph Giuliani and his allies to exert more control over the New York media. City police commissioner Howard Safir said that as a result of the intercepts, the city has changed its private communications system. He declined to say exactly how the new system worked, but added that BNN's alleged interceptions had not "compromised" the city's police work.

Some journalist said BNN was little more than a tip service. Associated Press New York City bureau chief Sam Boyle said the service monitored the city's many police radios and beeped clients with news about anything that might look interesting. "It all had to be checked out before it could be used," Boyle said.

BNN said one former volunteer was "a former city official with ties to the Secret Service and who for months has publicly vowed to destroy this company." BNN also accused federal law-enforcement officers of "heavy handed conduct" and suggested that the charges were motivated by government's own embarrassment and was a frame-up. The company is outraged at what appears to be a crude and blatant attempt by the NYPD Intelligence Division to embarrass and intimidate a respected news gathering organization," said a defense attorney for BNN. Prosecutors refused to say whether the interceptions had damaging effects. That says the interceptions were not damaging which means the prosecution could be called malicious.

The intercepted messages were not scrambled or encrypted messages. The program used "Message Tracker" is also used by law-enforcement for Government to intercept private messages of Citizens.

Repeal or amend sections of the US Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 119 to remove the dangerous interpretations resulting from Federal Judges persuaded by people in our Federal and non-Federal Government. The wrong people in control of Government will try to raid, arrest, prosecute, and convict News Media organizations and average Citizens for having newsgathering electronic devices and impose their form of legal censorship. Then they will move Government Public services to a private/encrypted radio system. Reasons why we need new laws to force Government openness.
http://www.qsl.net/n4tyv/#Openness

Here is another case worth noting. It involves a data slicer and Government communications. Remember, in the past Government communications used to be very open. Data slicers have many legal uses in the radio hobby. It has a New York City connection.
http://www.qsl.net/n4tyv/freq/Bcheek.htm

The Government raid on electronic stores seems motivated to protect Government's privacy from electronic devices used by Citizens. This has a New York State connection.
http://www.qsl.net/n4tyv/freq/Ramsey.htm

4010. Website update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Simon Collings <simon.collings@cableinet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6ihn4.562$li2.32150@news3.cableinet.net>
Source record: 119693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

New articles on weather satellites, Rugby MSF and GPS. Visit:

http://wkweb4.cableinet.co.uk/simon.collings/Articles/index.htm

4011. Re: Wanted: Manual for Azden PCS 5000/5800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwbest@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <38a0acc6.44018732@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 04 Feb 2000 07:14:13 GMT, jwbest@earthlink.net wrote:
All: Shortly after I posted this Want I received the PCS 5000 manual
from the widow of the estate where I purcased the radio. Thanks to
all who took the time to answer my request.

73 de Jim
N7CLV

>Just bought an Azden PCS 5800H and it came with no manual.
>I believe the PCS 5000 is the same radion as the 5800 except
>for the power output. Anyone have a manual for either?
>
>Jim N7CLV
>jwbest@earthlink.net

4012. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Tannehill <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <389DB66A.65DD@firstinter.net>
Source record: 119695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

D. Stussy wrote:
>
> On Sun, 6 Feb 2000, Mr. Mxyplzyk wrote:
> > I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning on
> > April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
> > identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow the
> > new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
> > anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be
> > faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able to
> > id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
>
> That was NOT stated nor implied in the R&O.

Since 1992, many repeater owner/operators have not been able to understand morse cw at all. (No-Code Techs) This has not seemed to bother the FCC one bit, with cw ID's running 20 wpm. Keep in mind that many commercial and public safety systems are also ID'd by morse. Most of their techs don't understand morse at all either. The only thing that matters is that the FCC can decode the ID. I assume that they will merely slow down the morse as far as necessary on a tape machine to copy one in question.

Rick T.

4013. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfoutput@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000206132310.23569.00001518@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 119696

:KD1PL on the net stated that : "3950 was a **Nationally Heard Prime Time**
frequency. Now if that isn't a *broadcasting* mentality I don't know what is.
While his statement may be essentially correct, we all know that is not what
amateur radio is for or about.
Septic-tank wrote
>As Charlie Brown once said, "Don't you know sarcasm when you hear it??"
>We all often like to poke fun at Riley, who's actually the one with this
>"broadcasting mentality" problem.
>

What a bunch of BS, now blame it on Riley why don't you. Who is "we" by the
way?
Your statement doesn't hold water. It's obvious that his (kd1pl) statement
indicates what the "net's" perception of what amateur radio is for or about. It
always has been. It's also obvious from the "monologues" that take place for
up to 25 minutes at a time, that the net does more BROADCASTING of opinions
than discussion of events.
Besides "everyone" KNOWS that the net has done all it can to DEVELOP an
audience, which in and of itself "indicates" broadcasting. We all know that!
Certainly, honesty isn't a big part of that group so "they'll never admit it"
but "WE" know, don't WE!

4014. KC8JBO credit report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_info@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87khki$5ca$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119697

I have only one thing to say.......

I- K-N-O-W Y-O-U-R C-R-E-D-I-T H-I-S-T-O-R-Y, L-A-R-D-A-S-S!!!!!
hohohoho.

It looks like you have some FINANCIAL QUESTIONS that need to be
answered, FATBOY!!!

'DA PITBULL will be getting a brand new scanner Tuesday
afternoon.......I will then scan ALL of the credit reports I have on
WIDEASS onto Homestead.com!!!

The pages I have made about 'ole Charles WON'T HOLD A CANDLE TO
KC8JBO's PAGE, hohohoho.

http://www.homestead.com/kc1zq/kc1zq
http://www.angelfire.com/vt/kc1zq/
http://www.angelfire.com/vt/af2y/

The Internet is a WONDERFUL THING......For only 20 bucks you can get
financial info on ANYONE!!! I hope your sitting down, FATBOY. Hohohoho

PITBULL

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4015. KC8JBO & credit info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_info@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87kho3$5d1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119698

I have only one thing to say.......

I- K-N-O-W Y-O-U-R C-R-E-D-I-T H-I-S-T-O-R-Y, L-A-R-D-A-S-S!!!!!
hohohoho.

It looks like you have some FINANCIAL QUESTIONS that need to be
answered, FATBOY!!!

'DA PITBULL will be getting a brand new scanner Tuesday
afternoon.......I will then scan ALL of the credit reports I have on
WIDEASS onto Homestead.com!!!!

The pages I have made about 'ole Charles WON'T HOLD A CANDLE TO
KC8JBO's PAGE, hohohoho.

http://www.homestead.com/kc1zq/kc1zq
http://www.angelfire.com/vt/kc1zq/
http://www.angelfire.com/vt/af2y/

The Internet is a WONDERFUL THING......For only 20 bucks you can get
financial info on ANYONE!!! I hope your sitting down, FATBOY. Hohohoho

PITBULL

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4016. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87kl55$7q7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <389c1818_4@news1.prserv.net>,
"Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net> wrote:
>
>
> "Bob Wexelbaum, w2ilp"
> > >
> > Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
> > they did in the 1950s. Remember that the "Class A" license, which
> > became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
> that
> > new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
> > There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
> > communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
> > technique.
>
> God, what a ghastly idea. Gosh, I guess to apply this logic there
> should be a different type of driver's license for chevy's, porshces,
> and my poor little old Ford explorer.
...or my 1984 Toyota Tersel?

Nah...BUT there certainly should be different qualifications required
for driving, piloting and maintaining automobiles, ships and aircraft!
The Ham equipment of today is as different from the Ham gear of 1950 as
there exist differences between cars, ships and airplanes.

> Better yet, let's require all
> hams to take a 3 hour exam every six months to ensure that they meet
> "high" standards. That ought to run all those appliance operators out
> of the hobby.

Well the ARRL may have read what some of us Hams have been saying
here. They admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
technically dumbed down...and as a result they are proposing to start
their own advanced certification program. Maybe that is going to be
too little to late as it will not be part of any official FCC
structure. It will be an incentive however for Hams who want to
certify that they are technically capable at a higher level to do so.
> --
> Roger J. Buffington
> W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net
> ____________________
>
> Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
> Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

>
> "Politicians are the same the world over...
> They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
> -- Nikita Khruschev
>
Weak politicians pass weak laws but
dentists are the ones who build bridges with teeth in them.
--w2ilp--

Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Identify Lunatic Pilots)

Human history becomes more and more a race between
education and catastrophe.
--H.G. Wells (The Outline of History)(1920)--

Democracy has arrived at a gallop in England and
I feel all the time that it is a race for life;
can we educate them before the crash comes?
--Stanley Baldwin (letter to Edward Wood)(1928)--

Did Baldwin foresee the crash of 1929?
The skilled Hams will continue to be skilled Hams
even if they lose their professions due to a
major economic crash.
--w2ilp--

The human species was funny even beforte there was an internet.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4017. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <ed_ellers@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OQT98dNc$GA.265@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 119700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rick Tannehill <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:

"Since 1992, many repeater owner/operators have not been able to understand morse cw at all. (No-Code Techs) This has not seemed to bother the FCC one bit, with cw ID's running 20 wpm. Keep in mind that many commercial and public safety systems are also ID'd by morse. Most of their techs don't understand morse at all either. The only thing that matters is that the FCC can decode the ID. I assume that they will merely slow down the morse as far as necessary on a tape machine to copy one in question."

Or the FCC may have operators who can copy 20 wpm (which, IIRC, is also the requirement for a Radiotelegraph Endorsement on a commercial ticket).

4018. Re: KC8JBO & credit info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87klo0$881$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119701

kc8jbo_info@my-deja.com wrote in message <87kho3$5d1
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> I have only one thing to say.......
>
> I- K-N-O-W Y-O-U-R C-R-E-D-I-T H-I-S-T-O-R-Y, L-A-R-D-A-S-S!!!!!
>hohohoho.
>
> It looks like you have some FINANCIAL QUESTIONS that need to be
>answered, FATBOY!!!
>
> 'DA PITBULL will be getting a brand new scanner Tuesday
>afternoon.......I will then scan ALL of the credit reports I have on
>WIDEASS onto Homestead.com!!!!
>
> The pages I have made about 'ole Charles WON'T HOLD A CANDLE TO
>KC8JBO's PAGE, hohohoho.
>
>
> http://www.homestead.com/kc1zq/kc1zq
> http://www.angelfire.com/vt/kc1zq/
> http://www.angelfire.com/vt/af2y/
>
>
> The Internet is a WONDERFUL THING......For only 20 bucks you can get
>financial info on ANYONE!!! I hope your sitting down, FATBOY. Hohohoho
>
>
>
> PITBULL
>
>
>
> PITBULL

Whooopeee shit, dumbass. I have no questions TO answer, O'Bese.
Now crawl back into that roach-infested hovel on Hiram sudie road. And
take your aged, fatassed old lady with you. She's only giving you
charity sex or is it vice-versa? You have no call, no credibility. No
one on here gives a rat's ass, least of all me, of what you lie about.
You hide behind several aliases because you lack the scroat to
do anything like a man. Of course, this reflects your gay nature, still
stalking men and hiding behind a skirt , maybe your own, saying "I'm
gonna get you." Grow up, for christ's sake.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4019. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87km4s$8im$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119702

The stooges are great!

radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <87k08e$h76
$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>...
>By the way, one of the Stooges, "Curly," in real life was Moses
Horwitz who
>used the stage name Moe Howard. Need proof? Log on to
www.findagrave.com
>and type in Moe Howard. This is one of the most intriguing websites on
the
>Internet and which will enable to you pay respects to anyone who was
anyone
>in the history of the U.S.A.

And the idiot's threatening post has been forwarded to the FBI.
Let's see him post his lies out of their internet crimes division.

>----------
>In article <87ib3vnbf1@nnrp1.deja.com>, whiskey4@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
>>> That's right, this newsgroup, 14.313 and watching birds fornicate is
>>> the only life Polly w4fqf knows, for KC8JBO|Roger
>>>
>>> Are these guys the Three Stoogies of ham radio?????? whiskey4

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4020. Re: I have a Motorola Talkabout 250 which operates on GMRS/BUSINESS frequencies instead of FRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <ed_ellers@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OORrTkNc$GA.269@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 119703

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:

"My first thought was that it was some sort of "export" model...but FRS is only legal in the U.S. and these are marked "For U.S. use only" on the packaging."

Actually there is now a European equivalent of FRS; it's called PMR 446 and provides eight channels between 446.0-446.1 MHz, which obviously isn't what came in your "illegal" radio. Several manufacturers are now selling PMR 446 versions of their FRS radios. Britain's Radio Authority has a Web page about PMR 446 at http://www.radio.gov.uk/document/ra_info/ra357.htm.

My guess about your radio is that they may have left out a jumper, or put one in that wasn't supposed to be there, or something along those lines. The obvious answer is to send the unit in to Motorola for service.

--
Ed Ellers, KD4AWQ

4021. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87kofc$a50$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>,
billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> On Thu, 03 Feb 2000 01:39:47 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> wrote:
>
> >In article <38911631.10191406@news.interactive.net>,
> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> >> Finally someone starts to really get at the heart of
> >> the difference between being technical 50 years ago
> >> as opposed to today. It didn't take a whole lot of
> >> study, experience, etc to know a great deal about
> >> the "state-o-the-art" radio in the 50s. Most
> >> recievers were just a few more tube stages
> >> beyond that of a simple 5 tube AC/DC broadcast
> >> radio. The same was true of transmitters.
> >> Frequency stability meant crystal control for most
> >> people.
> >>
> >> Compare that to the sets of today with the incredible
> >> amount of digital electronics, memories, software
> >> controled, etc
> >> Bill K2UNK
> >>
> >Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
> >they did in the 1950s.
>
> For what purpose?
> To prove what to whom?
The PURPOSE is to comply with the BASIS and PURPOSE of AMATEUR RADIO as
stated in Part 97. Even more important: to enable Hams, as a group to
be recognized as being more than appliance users and to make Ham Radio
a valuable asset recognized by academia, industry and if need be
military leaders...not solely a fun hobby for conspicuous consumers.
>
> >Remember that the "Class A" license, which
> >became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
that
> >new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
>
> Does not compute. Advanced had no additional privileges
> beyond General when the licenses were redone in 1951.

NOT TRUE...In 1951 you could not use radiotelephony on 20 or 75 meters
without an Advanced ticket. There was no 15 or 40 meter phone bands at
that time. General class could only use phone on 11 and 10 meters and
higher frequency bands. All HF phone bands were later opened to
General Class.

> >There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
> >communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
> >technique.
>
> So you are saying that hams should NOT be permitted
> to use certain modes until they pass a test on that
> particular mode (or set of modes, e.g. digital)?
Not necessarily. I don't think that anyone could easily change the
present privilages. I would think that those who want to experiment
with newer digital techniques than are presently in use might have to
qualify at higher levels. Perhaps those who want to run the higher
levels of RF power should also require more exams to prove that they
know what they are doing. Perhaps those who set up repeaters should
be able to prove that they are better qualified to do so. BUT anyone
who wants to show that he or she has gained the technical knowledge
from formal schools, on the job training or self study might want to
prove it...just like people who have the money to pay a fee can
now "prove" that they are qualified for a "vanity" call.
>
> >How else can hams be expected to advance the state of the
> >art and encourage the formation of a cadre of technical
experts????...
>
> Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
> the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
> requirements. No individual ham is required to become
> a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
> encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
> far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
> and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
> into the technical field I followed as a career.
I agree...Hams as a group are required to be potential experts BUT then
why should it be easy for all hams as individuals to earn an Extra
class license? Surely there must be incentive for some hams to earn
higher level licenses or the group will have no proof that they are any
more than CMBers (citizen's multi-banders).
> If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
> become technical, well we should just obviously
> agree to disagree.

Not every Ham but some Hams.. and thus not every Ham, but some Hams,
need qualify at highest technical levels.

> >or have any Basis and Purpose????
>
> Seems like we have and use many aspects of being

> a ham besides just the technical. RACES, etc being
> formost in my mind.
Not in the next millenmium when commercial portable communication
becomes cheaper and more robust than ham appliances.
> > On another note it is interesting to see that although some Hams
have
> >suggested it, our government has never made it a requirement that
> >anyone who buys a ham transmitter or a ham transceiver hold a valid
ham
> >license. Hmmm...Is this something that the ARRL has promoted or is
it
> >something that the ham gear manufacturers have promoted? Even gun
> >manufacturers don't expect to sell guns to those who are not
qualified
> >or licensed these days.
>
> Just what we'd need. More government regulation.
> Just think of the hassle that would be in selling used
> equipment, selling at hamfests, etc. All any such law
> would do is make life difficult for those that already
> obey the rules and regs.

No hassle at all, even Radio Shack now has a data base listing all
licensed Hams. It would be easy to identify licensed hams...I do so at
every VE session. Let them show their Ham license and drivers license
for ident. If mail order is involved look up their call in one of the
on-line data bases and compare with the name on their credit card.

> > We have lost the Heath Company and can not expect to get new
> >Heathkits anymore.
>
> Heath folded up because of the economics. It was
> that simple. If you can't make a product that sells,
> then you don't stay profitable.

They folded because of rising costs of components and especially
because of rising costs of technical writers who are needed to make
instruction manuals, etc...and a marketing survey that showed
that "dumbed down" hobbiests were not as interested in building kits as
part of their hobbies as they were in the past...and that our
government was afraid that the kits might get into the hands of the
unsupervised latch-key children who eat paint chips and would surely
burn their houses down if they had access to soldering irons!

> >This is IMHO bad for hams who would at least like
> >to assemble their own stuff from kits. There are still a few U.S.
> >companies who sell electronics kits. I had posted about a kit company
> >called Ramsey. I built a 40 watt two meter ham amplifier from a
Ramsey
> >kit and it works well.
>

> So someone figured out a way to do so and make a profit.

Yep.. On a smaller scale...with lesser expectations.

> >Now I hear that our government is shutting
> >down Ramsey...because it sells very low power FM xmitter kits that
are
> >well within legal limits...but that the government believes may be
used
> >by spies.
>
> I suspect that is more legend than fact.

Nope... I have read reports about the confiscation of Ramsey kits by
federal authorities from several sources.

> <SNIP> of additional Ramsey comments.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
Cheers,
Ham need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)

It is better that some should be unhappy than
that none should be happy, which would be the
case in a general state of equality.
--Samuel Johnson (1776)--

All of us do not have equal talent, but all of
us should have equal opportunities to develop our
talent.
--John F. Kennedy (1963)--

All Hams may not be able to pass truly advanced
technical exams, but all Hams who can should have
the opportunity to take such exams.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4022. Re: I have a Motorola Talkabout 250 which operates on GMRS/BUSINESS frequencies instead of FRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389DD308.8E7380E8@bctv.com>
Source record: 119705

Ed Ellers wrote:
>
> TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:
>
> "My first thought was that it was some sort of "export" model...but FRS is
> only legal in the U.S. and these are marked "For U.S. use only" on the
> packaging."
>
> Actually there is now a European equivalent of FRS; it's called PMR 446 and
> provides eight channels between 446.0-446.1 MHz, which obviously isn't what
> came in your "illegal" radio. Several manufacturers are now selling PMR 446
> versions of their FRS radios. Britain's Radio Authority has a Web page
> about PMR 446 at http://www.radio.gov.uk/document/ra_info/ra357.htm.
>
> My guess about your radio is that they may have left out a jumper, or put
> one in that wasn't supposed to be there, or something along those lines.
> The obvious answer is to send the unit in to Motorola for service.

A more obvious answer is to sell it to a collector!

4023. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87kort$a9t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119706

In article <27419-389D7F0F-26@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> As Charlie Brown once said, "Don't you know sarcasm when you hear
it??"
> We all often like to poke fun at Riley, who's actually the one with
this
> "broadcasting mentality" problem.
>
> (Drew)
>
> The Liberty-Net's "Broadcasting Mentality" was around long before
Riley showed up. Do you really think the public is so stupid as to
think the Liberty-Net comes on each Saturday evening to inform EACH
OTHER of the week's "conspiracys" ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4024. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87kqscbop1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <87i621hja1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
> >Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
> >the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
> >requirements. No individual ham is required to become
> >a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
> >encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
> >far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
> >and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
> >into the technical field I followed as a career.
> >
> >If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
> >become technical, well we should just obviously
> >agree to disagree.
>
> Bill, no where in S97.1 is the word "goal" used:
>
> ---
> 97.1 Basis and purpose.
> The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an
amateur
> radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the
following
> principles:
> ---
-
>
> The government says "fundamental purpose," not "goal."

SAME-same...Please read below.

> And note that the disjunctive "or" is not used nor even implied
> between the following subparagraphs:

Hey ...Nowhere is the word "subparagraph" used! You recognize
subparagraphs. I recognize GOALS.

Each subparagraph may stand alone as an encouraged GOAL.
Each goal is a potential reason for Ham Radio to receive support from
our government. Without such GOALS there could be no purpose for our
government (the FCC) to grant hams the use of radio frequeccy bands.
> ---
--

> (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
to the
> public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service,
particularly with
> respect to providing emergency communications.
> (b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to
contribute
> to the advancement of the radio art.
> (c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through
rules which
> provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical
phases
> of the art.
> (d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio
service of
> trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
> (e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to
enhance
> international goodwill.

ALL OF THE ABOVE ARE GOALS! All Hams can not be expected to
individually satisfy any or all of the above GOALS
BUT
as a group, it is hoped that Hams will continue to meet the above goals
to the best of their abilities...For if they do not do so then Hams as
a group are not continuing to comply with their very BASIS and PURPOSE.
> ----------

>
> Those are not goals; taken together, that's our "fundamental purpose."
> If the government so desired, it could make the above items mandatory.

This is not so, except in time of war or national emergency. Whatever
Hams do is done on a voluntary basis otherwise. If you study Part 97
you will see that all the regulations are made to limit what hams may
do but not to command what hams MUST do. It is a hobby and, as I said
before, we are neither "amateurs" nor "professionals" [by their true
definitions], nor are we a "service"...although some of us may
volunteer to serve in a manner that is complementry to our goals.

> Seem far-fetched? No more unbelievable than the FCC making it
mandatory
> that we keep a log of every transmission we make, that we attest to
having
> operated a certain number of hours on the air prior to having our
license
> renewed, that we notify the government that we intend to operate
portable.
> Remember those days?

Yep...but requirements have changed.

The Basis and Purpose outline GOALS in five subparagraphs. IMHO (a) and (e) are becoming obsolete, respectivily due to the availability of local cell phones and the international internet. Communication in the Information Age is not unique to licensed Hams...BUT the potential value of (b),(c), and (d) stand as our only remaining unique valuable GOALS. We must support incentives that will make more [but certainly not all] future Hams capable of meeting those GOALS. If we do not Ham Radio will die.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

You have made some good points Jeff BUT I am not foolish enough to believe that the government could at this point in time force all Hams to educate themselves well enough to work at the cutting edge of the state of the art. I do think that when governments and politicians have more of a chance to look at what will be evolving in the future they may reconsider and the license structure will again be changed so that there exists more incentive for Hams to learn modern communications technologies.

73, Bob Wex W2ILP (Important Little Paragraphs?)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4025. YAESU FT-51R DTMF decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: *dwebb2*@revealed.net
Message-ID: <389dd77e.118111867@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119708

I asked this question a year ago or so.
I apologize for not keeping better notes.
I searched www.deja.com for my original
post and the response, but I can't find it.

I have a FT-51R, and can never figure out how
to get the thing to decode DTMF.
(the instruction manual isn't the best)

Can someone post the instructions for this.

Thanks,

Dave Webb
N9HUR

4026. AF2Y says SHEER HYPOCRISY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119709

All afternoon I listened to K0ECK run me down because of my satirical embellishment of remarks on the air made by WA4KBX regarding Fred Roll's leaving Joe's trailer in a hurry instead of staying the night. Yet, now get this folks, that same fellow, Bill, K0ECK from Saint Louis, has no reservations whatsoever in communicating freely with Duane Jacobs,WB9BCC, a louse who did his best to convince eveyone of his being awarded the Medal of Honor which never happened. Thomas Cuttone, Special Agent of the F.B.I. located in West Paterson,New Jersey, sat with me in my home on July 5,1997 and told me that Jacobs was an impostor, a disgrace to the United States of America and would appreciate if I and as many hams as possible would STOP COMMUNICATING with the man. And that's the gospel folks! Can you imagine the deceit, impudence and rottenness of anyone claiming to have been awarded this nation's highest medal of valor and still being talked to on a regular basis by K0ECK and others while simultaneously yours truly is castigated because of rather innocuous remarks? And that raises a question: in light of such hypocrisy, who have their heads on straighter-the crowd on 14.313 or 14.275. And I think the .275 crows labels themselves as Goodfellows? Did I wrote Goodfellows? Good? HYPOCRITES is more like it. And here's something else to consider. I could get on the air right now and openly apologize to Joe, WA4KBX, AND I WILL IN A DAY OR TWO because of my silliness and Joe would probabaly shrug his shoulders and pass everything off as ho hum. And Joe would be right because MY REMARKS, not Fred's since he made none, are easily forgettable. But are Duane's? Think about it, so-called hypocritical Goodfellows. And isn't it strange that these Goody Two Shoers, supposedly my friends, give BCC a pat on the back despite the official dislike of same by our FBI while the other side has always shunned Duane after the truth came out. I'm DISGUSTED!
AF2Y

4027. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <389DEA96.378634E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> Well the ARRL may have read what some of us Hams have been saying
> here. They admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
> technically dumbed down...and as a result they are proposing to start
> their own advanced certification program. Maybe that is going to be
> too little to late as it will not be part of any official FCC
> structure. It will be an incentive however for Hams who want to
> certify that they are technically capable at a higher level to do so.

I'm not sure I understand what this certificate program is all about.
Will it replace my Texas A&M University diploma in electrical engineering
that the rats made a nest out of?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4028. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002062307160.8661-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm not certain that this is a troll. There is alot of misinformation about the restructuring out there. I'm a volunteer examiner, and only about half of the people taking upgrade elements for restructuring were aware that they had to come back in (or after) April to get the upgrade processed.

The question about code speed was actually reasonable (although based on misinformation).

On Sun, 6 Feb 2000, Brian wrote:
> You're taking his troll seriously. Don't.
>
> BD wrote:
> > If that's true, then why is morse allowed at all for id? What about the
> > techs who can't understand it at any speed?... And wouldn't a 5wpm
> > requirement make for an awfully long (time wise) id?...
> >
> > "Mr. Mxyplzyk" <unit208@andromeda.net> wrote in message
> > news:hs4n4.5014$563.210369@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Fellow Hams,
> > > I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning
> > on
> > > April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
> > > identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow
> > the
> > > new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
> > > anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be
> > > faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able
> > to
> > > id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?

4029. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389e03fb.2867486@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> Well the ARRL may have read what some of us Hams have been saying
> here. They admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
> technically dumbed down...

I must have missed that ARRL notice. When did the
ARRL make such a statement that they (the ARRL)
admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
technically dumbed down?

>...and as a result they are proposing to start
> their own advanced certification program.

Which is fine with me. The question is: What
does such certification mean to anyone?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4030. EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <389e03e5.245135376@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119713

Starting April 15th everyone on HF needs to start using code at 20 wpm to ID. The new Welfare Generals and Extras won't know who's on frequency. It will be a hoot.

4031. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389e04e4.3100957@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thanks Bob,

The simple analogy for Jeff might also be various
other "institutions" that have a basic purpose
(i.e. goal) to develope graduates skilled in medicine,
engineering, social sciences, etc." The INSTITUTION's
goals are not, by any stretch those of each and every
student.

Part 97.1 sets the goals (purpose) of the SERVICE.
The fact that any individual ignores one or more parts
of the service goals does NOT in any way put that
ham in a bad or non-conforming status with regards
to being a good ham vs a bad ham.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 21:59:42 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <87i621hja1@news.hawaii.edu>,
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>> Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote:
>> >Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
>> >the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
>> >requirements. No individual ham is required to become
>> >a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
>> >encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
>> >far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
>> >and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
>> >into the technical field I followed as a career.
>> >
>> >If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
>> >become technical, well we should just obviously
>> >agree to disagree.
>>
>> Bill, no where in S97.1 is the word "goal" used:
>>
>> --
>> 97.1 Basis and purpose.
>> The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an
>amateur
>> radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the

>following
>> principles:
>> ---
>-
>>
>> The government says "fundamental purpose," not "goal."
>
>SAME-same...Please read below.
>
>> And note that the disjunctive "or" is not used nor even implied
>> between the following subparagraphs:
>
>Hey ...Nowhere is the word "subparagraph" used! You recognize
>subparagraphs. I recognize GOALS.
>
>Each subparagraph may stand alone as an encouraged GOAL.
>Each goal is a potential reason for Ham Radio to receive support from
>our government. Without such GOALS there could be no purpose for our
>government (the FCC) to grant hams the use of radio frequeccy bands.
>> ---
>--
>> (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service
>to the
>> public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service,
>particularly with
>> respect to providing emergency communications.
>> (b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to
>contribute
>> to the advancement of the radio art.
>> (c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through
>rules which
>> provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical
>phases
>> of the art.
>> (d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio
>service of
>> trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
>> (e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to
>enhance
>> international goodwill.
>
>ALL OF THE ABOVE ARE GOALS! All Hams can not be expected to
>individually satisfy any or all of the above GOALS
>BUT
>as a group, it is hoped that Hams will continue to meet the above goals
>to the best of their abilities...For if they do not do so then Hams as
>a group are not continuing to comply with their very BASIS and PURPOSE.
>> ---
>----
>>

>> Those are not goals; taken together, that's our "fundamental purpose."
>> If the government so desired, it could make the above items mandatory.
>
>This is not so, except in time of war or national emergency. Whatever
>Hams do is done on a voluntary basis otherwise. If you study Part 97
>you will see that all the regulations are made to limit what hams may
>do but not to command what hams MUST do. It is a hobby and, as I said
>before, we are neither "amateurs" nor "professionals" [by their true
>definitions], nor are we a "service"...although some of us may
>volunteer to serve in a manner that is complementry to our goals.
>
>> Seem far-fetched? No more unbelievable than the FCC making it
>mandatory
>> that we keep a log of every transmission we make, that we attest to
>having
>> operated a certain number of hours on the air prior to having our
>license
>> renewed, that we notify the government that we intend to operate
>portable.
>> Remember those days?
>
>Yep...but requirements have changed.
>
>The Basis and Purpose outline GOALS in five subparagraphs. IMHO (a)
>and (e) are becoming obsolete, respectivily due to the availability of
>local cell phones and the international internet. Communication in the
>Information Age is not unique to licensed Hams...BUT the potential
>value of (b),(c), and (d) stand as our only remaining unique valuable
>GOALS. We must support incentives that will make more [but certainly
>not all] future Hams capable of meeting those GOALS. If we do not Ham
>Radio will die.
>>
>> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
>You have made some good points Jeff BUT I am not foolish enough to
>believe that the government could at this point in time force all Hams
>to educate themselves well enough to work at the cutting edge of the
>state of the art. I do think that when governments and politicians
>have more of a chance to look at what will be evolving in the future
>they may reconsider and the license structure will again be changed so
>that there exists more incentive for Hams to learn modern
>communications technologies.
>
>73, Bob Wex W2ILP (Important Little Paragraphs?)
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4032. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <389E06E1.DE6FB95C@innocent.com>
Source record: 119715

The Chief wrote:
>
> In article <27419-389D7F0F-26@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> > As Charlie Brown once said, "Don't you know sarcasm when you hear
> it??"
> > We all often like to poke fun at Riley, who's actually the one with
> this
> > "broadcasting mentality" problem.
> >
> > (Drew)
> >
> > The Liberty-Net's "Broadcasting Mentality" was around long before
> Riley showed up. Do you really think the public is so stupid as to
> think the Liberty-Net comes on each Saturday evening to inform EACH
> OTHER of the week's "conspiracys" ?
[...]

Actually, the amateur regulations don't prohibit or require any
particular mentality when transmitting.

And, since "mentality" cannot be measured, and in fact has no
physical existence whatsoever, it would be impossible to enforce any
such regulation even if it existed.

Happy transmitting,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

4033. Re: Kim: Your Writing is You, Make It Count!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: sherinsmiami@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15707-389E05F4-37@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119716

Yer Buddy, Thanks. I'd be nowhere without spell check.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4034. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389e05d1.3337516@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 21:18:39 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>,
> billsohl@interactive.net wrote:

>> >Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
>> >they did in the 1950s.
>>
>> For what purpose?
>> To prove what to whom?

>The PURPOSE is to comply with the Basis and purpose of 97.1

I must disagree. I had only a tech for 30 years and
that in no way hindered me from working and supporting
the basis and purpose of 97.1.

>Even more important: to enable Hams, as a group to
>be recognized as being more than appliance users and to make Ham Radio
>a valuable asset recognized by academia, industry and if need be
>military leaders...not solely a fun hobby for conspicuous consumers.

What asset does any ham bring to academia?

To industry?

To the military?

>> >Remember that the "Class A" license, which
>> >became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
>that
>> >new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
>>
>> Does not compute. Advanced had no additional privileges
>> beyond General when the licenses were redone in 1951.
>
>NOT TRUE...In 1951 you could not use radiotelephony on 20 or 75 meters
>without an Advanced ticket. There was no 15 or 40 meter phone bands at
>that time. General class could only use phone on 11 and 10 meters and
>higher frequency bands. All HF phone bands were later opened to
>General Class.

That later is, I belive 1951. PRIOR to 51 was when the
advanced had the phone on 20 and 75.

>> >There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
>> >communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
>> >technique.
>>
>> So you are saying that hams should NOT be permitted
>> to use certain modes until they pass a test on that
>> particular mode (or set of modes, e.g. digital)?
>
>Not necessarily. I don't think that anyone could easily change the
>present privilages. I would think that those who want to experiment
>with newer digital techniques than are presently in use might have to
>qualify at higher levels.

WHY? What technical reason might be at issue if
current hams are permitted to experiment (as indeed
they are) today?

>Perhaps those who want to run the higher
>levels of RF power should also require more exams to prove that they
>know what they are doing.

I (me K2UNK) have no problem with that. There
are already too many people that run too much
power for their purposes.

>Perhaps those who set up repeaters should
>be able to prove that they are better qualified to do so.

WHY? Has there been a problem so far?

>BUT anyone
>who wants to show that he or she has gained the technical knowledge
>from formal schools, on the job training or self study might want to
>prove it...just like people who have the money to pay a fee can
>now "prove" that they are qualified for a "vanity" call.

Prove it (what?) to who? My technical knowledge
from formal schools, company training and company
on-the-job training doesn't need any ham license
wallpaper to validate it.

>> >How else can hams be expected to advance the state of the
>> >art and encourage the formation of a cadre of technical
>experts????...
>>
>> Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
>> the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
>> requirements. No individual ham is required to become
>> a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
>> encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
>> far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
>> and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
>> into the technical field I followed as a career.
>

>I agree...Hams as a group are required to be potential experts BUT then
>why should it be easy for all hams as individuals to earn an Extra
>class license?

Let's see... today only 1 in 10 hams is an Extra.
That doesn't seem to indicate that getting to Extra is
an easy path. Indeed, only about another 1 in 10
are Advanced (no code test needed) yet we still
have as many Generals that have NOT upgraded.
Are they all inactive or SK? I really doubt that.

> Surely there must be incentive for some hams to earn
>higher level licenses or the group will have no proof that they are any
>more than CMBers (citizen's multi-banders).

What PROOF is needed?
Who needs the proof?

>> If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
>> become technical, well we should just obviously
>> agree to disagree.
>
>Not every Ham but some Hams.. and thus not every Ham, but some Hams,
>need qualify at highest technical levels.

Agreed and that has been the case since ham radio
started and is still the case today.

>> >or have any Basis and Purpose????
>>
>> Seems like we have and use many aspects of being
>> a ham besides just the technical. RACES, etc being
>> formost in my mind.
>
>Not in the next millenmium when commercial portable communication
>becomes cheaper and more robust than ham appliances.

It still takes some smarts to set that station up,
properly rig antennas, run cable, etc.

>> > On another note it is interesting to see that although some Hams
>have
>> >suggested it, our government has never made it a requirement that
>> >anyone who buys a ham transmitter or a ham transceiver hold a valid
>ham
>> >license. Hmmm...Is this something that the ARRL has promoted or is
>it
>> >something that the ham gear manufacturers have promoted? Even gun
>> >manufacturers don't expect to sell guns to those who are not
>qualified
>> >or licensed these days.
>>
>> Just what we'd need. More government regulation.

>> Just think of the hassle that would be in selling used
>> equipment, selling at hamfests, etc. All any such law
>> would do is make life difficult for those that already
>> obey the rules and regs.
>
>No hassle at all, even Radio Shack now has a data base listing all
>licensed Hams.

The hassle becomes one that YOU and I then need
to be at odds with if I want to sell my rig.

> It would be easy to identify licensed hams...I do so at
>every VE session. Let them show their Ham license and drivers license
>for ident. If mail order is involved look up their call in one of the
>on-line data bases and compare with the name on their credit card.

If I sell at a hamfest, I sure don't need the cops or
the FCC overlooking my transactions to be sure
I only sell to a ham. Such a law would, I repeat,
only make work and trouble for hams who are
and do obey the law today.

>> > We have lost the Heath Company and can not expect to get new
>> >Heathkits anymore.
>>
>> Heath folded up because of the economics. It was
>> that simple. If you can't make a product that sells,
>> then you don't stay profitable.
>
>They folded because of rising costs of components and especially
>because of rising costs of technical writers who are needed to make
>instruction manuals, etc...and a marketing survey that showed
>that "dumbed down" hobbiests were not as interested in building kits as
>part of their hobbies as they were in the past...and that our
>government was afraid that the kits might get into the hands of the
>unsupervised latch-key children who eat paint chips and would surely
>burn their houses down if they had access to soldering irons!

Translation: They couldn't make a product that sells.
Dumbed down had nothing to do with it. As to
the government worried about kits getting into the hands
of latch-key children...well that is really far fetched.

>> >This is IMHO bad for hams who would at least like
>> >to assemble their own stuff from kits. There are still a few U.S.
>> >companies who sell electronics kits. I had posted about a kit company
>> >called Ramsey. I built a 40 watt two meter ham amplifier from a
>Ramsey
>> >kit and it works well.
>>
>> So someone figured out a way to do so and make a profit.
>

>Yep.. On a smaller scale...with lesser expectations.

Then we don't have any problem on that point.

>> >Now I hear that our government is shutting
>> >down Ramsey...because it sells very low power FM xmitter kits that
>> >are well within legal limits...but that the government believes
>> >may be used by spies.
>>
>> I suspect that is more legend than fact.
>
>Nope... I have read reports about the confiscation of Ramsey kits by
>federal authorities from several sources.

Confiscation of ALL or only certain KITS?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4035. Re: AF2Y says SHEER HYPOCRISY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87lcm2$3s7$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119718

I have no argument whatsoever with KT4TR on those grounds. NONE. If it were not illegal to pretend to be a Medal of Honor awardee, the FBI never would have been to my home and the reason the agent was here was to see if my story of Duane's "heroism" matched the one told to Bob Youngblood and judging by the reaction, it did. However Bob had no right to declare that I sponsored Duane's lie because he knew that I never thought anyone would stoop as low as BCC did. So you see KT4TR was part sleuth and part wise guy. And if I had been able to produce a photo of that fake medal which never existed to begin with or one of Duane wearing something resembling it, he most certainly would have been arrested. Also KT4TR had no right lying about an association between the fake medal and the State of Israel. No such reference ever was made and in that sense, Bob took a page out of Herbie Schoenbohm's handbook which surprised me since I don't consider KT4TR a racist. His crime is in not coming out against those who are. Keep in mind that KT4TR made enemies because of his support for an anti-Semite from the Virgin Islands and once he dug that hole, he never was able to climb out and never will.
AF2Y

In article <87l3j6$ho2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, part97@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>
>And who do you think uncovered the glory robber, the Medal of Honor
>wannabe WB9BCC who is a skumbag of the first order for posing as a
>Medal of Honor receiver!!TA DAHHH KT4TR that's who, a Mighty Stump
>Jumper. Of course the "Goodfellows " need a guy like "Faker" Dwayne
>WB9BCC in their group. Adds class to the organization... In case you
>did not know it, impersonating a Medal Of Honor receiver is against
>Federal laws..Dwayne, WB9BCC, is lucky he did not end up in the Federal
>correction center.
>
>Part97 Obey it!!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>In article <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> All afternoon I listened to K0ECK run me down because of my satirical
>> embellishment of remarks on the air made by WA4KBX regarding Fred
>Roll's

>> leaving Joe's trailer in a hurry instead of staying the night. Yet,
>now get
>> this folks, that same fellow, Bill, K0ECK from Saint Louis, has no
>> reservations whatsoever in communicating freely with Duane
>Jacobs,WB9BCC, a
>> louse who did his best to convince eveyone of his being awarded the
>Medal of
>> Honor which never happened. Thomas Cuttone, Special Agent of the
>F.B.I.
>> located in West Paterson,New Jersey, sat with me in my home on July
>5,1997
>> and told me that Jacobs was an impostor, a disgrace to the United
>States of
>> America and would appreciate if I and as many hams as possible would
>STOP
>> COMMUNICATING with the man. And that's the gospel folks! Can you
>imagine the
>> deceit, impudence and rottenness of anyone claiming to have been
>awarded
>> this nation's highest medal of valor and still being talked to on a
>regular
>> basis by K0ECK and others while simultaneously yours truly is
>castigated
>> because of rather innocuous remarks? And that raises a question: in
>light of
>> such hypocrisy, who have their heads on straighter-the crowd on
>14.313 or
>> 14.275. And I think the .275 crows labels themselves as Goodfellows?
>Did I
>> wrote Goodfellows? Good? HYPOCRITES is more like it. And here's
>something
>> else to consider. I could get on the air right now and openly
>apologize to
>> Joe, WA4KBX, AND I WILL IN A DAY OR TWO because of my silliness and
>Joe
>> would probabaly shrug his shoulders and pass everything off as ho
>hum. And
>> Joe would be right because MY REMARKS, not Fred's since he made none,
>are
>> easily forgettable. But are Duane's? Think about it, so-called
>hypocritical
>> Goodfellows. And isn't it strange that these Goody Two Shoers,
>supposedly my
>> friends, give BCC a pat on the back despite the official dislike of
>same by
>> our FBI while the other side has always shunned Duane after the truth
>came
>> out. I'm DISGUSTED!
>> AF2Y
>>

>>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4036. Re: AF2Y STILL OFF AOL,,THANKS-BOB-LENNY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87lcs8$11u$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119719

Only a complete idiot, one who never reads, would't know that "pears" for peers is a play on words as exemplified by the quotation marks but then again what can one really expect from that guy? Dumb dee dumb dumb.....

In article <87c5ml$df9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <879j6jhti1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rileygotchu@my-deja.com wrote:
>> For all his breast beating and swagger on this forum, the fact remains
>> that AF2Y is still off AOL. Iris is pissed at him for his obnoxous
>> behavior that caused him to dump her address book and then be kicked
>> off her favorite ISP! Now he brags about getting a free posting on
>AOL.
>> It is obvious he does not understand the internet and how it works.
>>
>> His zany spelling, (example) pears for peers, in a recent posting is
>> causeing people to think he is going to a new level of mashugana
>> (crazy). It is very sad, but he made his bed, he will have to lay in
>> it. HO HO HO HEE HEE.
>>
>> Rileygotchu
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>
>You should worry, Polly, you lay out in the horse barn amid the horse
>manure. You should feel right at home. ho HO!
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4037. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389E3BB2.637A093D@icss.net>
Source record: 119720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> > Well the ARRL may have read what some of us Hams have been saying
> > here. They admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
> > technically dumbed down...
>
> I must have missed that ARRL notice. When did the
> ARRL make such a statement that they (the ARRL)
> admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
> technically dumbed down?

They didn't say that they (the ARRL) thought it was dumbed down, they said that members have commented that it is dumbed down. So they (the ARRL) are going to take measures to bring back technical quality to hamdom.

> >...and as a result they are proposing to start
> > their own advanced certification program.
>
> Which is fine with me. The question is: What
> does such certification mean to anyone?

They are exploring "continuing education credits" which are the equivalent of what the FEMA self-study courses offer (if you pay the tuition to a local jr. college).

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

73, Brian/N0iMD

4038. WB2OTK GONE FOREVER HIP HIP HORRAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c8rn4.19812$vk.297067@news1.mia>
Source record: 119721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

GOTO:

http://www.homestead.com/goodfellows3/goodfellows3.html

I for one am glad to see this embarrassment to the 20m band gone. Now if we can get AF2Y and KC8JBO off we'll be in great shape!

HAIL LIBERTY NET!
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

4039. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389E40D1.4210D241@icss.net>
Source record: 119722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Rick Tannehill <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:
> > The only thing
> >that matters is that the FCC can decode the ID. I assume that they will
> >merely slow down the morse as far as necessary on a tape machine to copy
> >one in question.
>
> Because the FCC monitors the HF amateurs bands, including the CW
> segments, you can be sure the technicians at the monitoring stations
> have the ability to copy code well above 20 wpm by ear.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

Why? Nothing bad is ever said on CW. There are no bad operators on CW. All CW operators keep their appliances within the specifications. No reason for the FCC to ever monitor CW.

4040. Re: The Children of 5 wpm Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389E4485.47199FE1@icss.net>
Source record: 119723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

claudefraud@my-deja.com wrote:

> As I brilliantly wrote in another post my answer to this junk remains...
>
> After all of you die hard code fiends are dead and gone, or at least in
> a small minority, I look forward to the day that I can talk on HF with
> my fellow hams, burp, pass gas, work the action of my firearms on the
> air, and tell cat killing stories (Like those on 3898 and 14313) without
> any more grief from the sticks in the mud.
>
> KLOD

Maybe we need to rethink the elimination of the 13 and 20 wpm CW exams.
Naw, Riley will close this guy down in short order.

4041. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <8k3n4.972$zf1.25808@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 119860

: > While I do not favor the extremists, your tactics were just
: > as bad. Now, will you surrender your licenses and leave
: > Amateur Radio? There is no place for your kind either.
:
: I'll take 5-more of AF2Y over even one piece of 3950 filth, NWO.
: Perhaps if you do not like to listen to AF2Y you should consider
: giving that big knob on the front panel of you radio a good
: counterclockwise spin. If you do this, I guarantee that any station
: you don't like to listen to will >dissappear< from the speaker.
: It works each and every time. Give it a try - M'kay?
:
: (It REALLY boggles my mind how *so many* hams can moan and
: complain about something they hear on some frequency, and yet
: do not have the intellegence and/or will-power to simply turn the
: VFO knob. Can someone out there what has superior intellegence
: PLEASE explain to me why it is hams beat themselve up so many
: times by not turning the freakin VFO when they hear somethin they
: don't like...? Oy!)

I will not let my hobby become the home for terrorists. Leftwing
or rightwing extremists. If that means finding something to charge
you with in order to remove, so be it.

4042. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389CC172.B45D3873@bctv.com>
Source record: 119861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Mr Cold Shower wrote:
>
> I can Fart code at 5 WPM.

Proof that CW stinks.

4043. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87ik1s$t9p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119862

In an alcoholic haze <87ib3vnbf1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
whiskey4@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <87i176gkq1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <87hvaofcm1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > In article <87hqa3$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > > > Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to
> > send
> > > me
> > > > brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know
him
> > > from a
> > > > hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL
> > > motivation
> > > > of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a
> > simple
> > > > pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will
> > > someone with
> > > > more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe
> > > Brother
> > > > John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he
> walks
> > > with
> > > > the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my
> aegis.
> > > Amen,
> > > > gesundheit and thank you.
> > > > AF2Y
> > > >>>>>>>>>>>> Doc, why do you waste your intelligence on these
> obvious
> > > morons, that have no life, othen than what you give them?
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> >
> > That's right, this newsgroup, 14.313 and watching birds fornicate is
> > the only life Polly w4fqf knows, for KC8JBO|Roger
> >
> > Are these guys the Three Stoogies of ham radio?????? whiskey4
>
> >

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
"Three Stooges," you illiterate moron. Go chase the roaches on your
walls, O'Bese.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4044. Re: CTCSS tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389CC5DA.ACDF8033@bctv.com>
Source record: 119863

GH wrote:
>
> Question: How do you find out what CTCSS tones are used by local law
> enforcement?

You don't need the tones to listen. If you are authorized to transmit,
they will tell you what the tones are.

4045. I have a Motorola Talkabout 250 which operates on GMRS/BUSINESS frequencies instead of FRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000205200642.11269.00003626@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 119864

Greetings all,

I posted this to the alt.family group and hope it's not too off-topic for this one. My thinking is that some of the hams here might be able to shed some insight on a very interesting little radio which I have come across.

A few days ago, I purchased a pair of Motorola Talkabout 250 FRS radios. Both units appeared to be fully operational, but are not compatible with each other. Today, I found out why:

Using my PRO-2006 as a reference receiver to see which one was bad, I found that unit 1 transmits and receives perfectly on all 14 FRS channels. Unit 2 also transmits and receives perfectly...but on 14 GMRS & BUSINESS frequencies, all 12.5Khz below the appropriate FRS frequencies!

Channel 1 = 462.550
Channel 2 = 462.575
Channel 3 = 462.600
Channel 4 = 462.625
Channel 5 = 462.650
Channel 6 = 462.675
Channel 7 = 462.700
Channel 8 = 467.550
Channel 9 = 467.575
Channel 10 = 467.600
Channel 11 = 467.625
Channel 12 = 467.650
Channel 13 = 462.675
Channel 14 = 467.700

Has anyone else ever run across an FRS radio that does this? This came straight from the retailer to me in the original "bubble pack", so it has not been modified in any way. Plus, I don't think these radios CAN be modified to go out-of-band, can they? My first thought was that it was some sort of "export" model...but FRS is only legal in the U.S. and these are marked "For U.S. use only" on the packaging. Date of manufacture is 12/7/99. The two radios have the exact same FCC ID and TNP numbers.

What exactly do I have here? Simply a manufacturing mistake, or something which was built special for "someone" and was never supposed to sold to the general public. Anyone?

4046. Re: Thirty-nine-Fifty tonite : Interview with 33rd Degree Mason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000205201446.14164.00001515@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 119865

meinkook said:

>
>No doubt KKKevin is a happy camper today.
>Mabey iffen were lucky, he will move to Austria
>and that will be one less piece of white trash to
>worry about.
>

He said this with a straight face after claiming a nickname of ....

> "big fat floppy donkey dic"

Talk about trash!

4047. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: aryanfist@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000205205208.06736.00000634@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 119866

AF2Y belched:

>
> But AF2Y was always
>there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by God it
>worked.
>

What a self-deluded, self-centered clown this AF2Y yidiot is! It probably never occured to him that maybe it is a waste of time and energy to argue with such an insignificant and worthless bag of protoplasm. AF2Y is nothing but a speedbump, hardly worth noticing.

Hey Doc, why don't you get off the Internet once in a while and spend more time with your wife? What? Oh. I see. Phew, now THAT is one ugly woman! Can't say I blame you, then.

But, of course, I have just wasted my time and energy responding to the yidiot. But it had to be said ...

4048. New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Mxyplzyk" <unit208@andromeda.net>
Message-ID: <hs4n4.5014$563.210369@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fellow Hams,
I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning on April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow the new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able to id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
73
de KR4AJ

4049. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389ce35a.3082980@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 03 Feb 2000 03:14:55 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:

>
>
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 03 Feb 2000 01:05:19 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Charles T Johnston wrote:
>> >> You are right Bill..as a former engineering tech I can validate your remarks.
>> >> The EE's I worked for rarely if ever assembled anything.
>> >
>> >Perhaps not -- but I would be willing to bet that they know how. It's
>> >one of those little things you learn during schooling for your EE.
>>
>> BUT it isn't required as a developed skill by any school
>> I know of. Some experiments may call for soldering,
>> but that's maybe the extent of it.
>
>I look forward to your explanation of how a school which assigns "some"
>experiments which require soldering skill doesn't require "developed"
>soldering skill.

I have seen some truly BAD soldering that was
certainly not representative of a "developed"
soldering skill BUT the eperiment that the
soldering was used to set up worked fine.

There is a BIG difference between soldering
in production vs a bench experiment.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4050. 50 MHz DX Bulletin - issue #00-005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: OZ6OM <obm@image.dk>
Message-ID: <Qy5n4.1139$E4.28565@news020.image.dk>
Source record: 119869
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Dr OM/YL

This mail to inform interested that the latest
edition of the OZ50MHz DX Bulletin #00-005 is now
uploaded at my website, at the adress;

http://www.qsl.net/oz6om/

Please have in mind that the OZ50MHz DX Bulletin
usually is uploaded late Saturday evening (UT time)

vy 73 de OZ6OM / OZ7M "Matt"

4051. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: busdriver@mobiletel.com
Message-ID: <389cfb37.12646680@nntp.mobiletel.com>
Source record: 119870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

```
>
>
>Mr Cold Shower wrote:
>>
>> I can Fart code at 5 WPM.
>
>Proof that CW stinks.
That is Funny
hahahahahahahahahahahahaheheheheheheheehehahahahaahahaaheheheheheheh
BusDriver
BUSDRIVER@MOBILETEL.COM
WEB SITE CBguy.COM
Falgout's C.B. & Sign Shop
4298 Hwy 90 East
DesAllemands La. 70030
1-800-303-5152
BusDriver
```

4052. KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002060544.AAA09506@cotse.com>
Source record: 119871

This message was posted anonymously:

"3950 was a Nationally Heard Prime Time frequency. Now if
that isn't a *broadcasting* mentality I don't know what is.
While his statement may be essentially correct, we all know
that is not what amateur radio is for or about. "websurfer"

4053. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: crouchingllama@netscape.net
Message-ID: <389d1a6f.19763718@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Troll, troll, troll.....:)

I am not required by the FCC to be able to ID a repeater via its CW
ID.

>> Fellow Hams,
>> I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning
>on
>> April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
>> identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow
>the
>> new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
>> anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be
>> faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able
>to
>> id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
>> 73
>> de KR4AJ
>>
>>
>>
>
>

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4054. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: crouchingllama@netscape.net
Message-ID: <389d2859.23325189@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

True. I can believe that.

On Sun, 6 Feb 2000 01:06:19 -0600, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>Not that it isn't a troll... But the FCC could rule that repeaters have to
>use slower speeds without also ruling that you have to be able to understand
>it.....
>Two different 'animals'...
>
>"Osama/bin/login" <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote in message
>news:389d1a6f.19763718@news.newsfeeds.com...
>>
>>
>> Troll, troll, troll.....:)
>>
>> I am not required by the FCC to be able to ID a repeater via its CW
>> ID.
>>
>> >> Fellow Hams,
>> >> I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that,
>beginning
>> >on
>> >> April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
>> >> identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to
>allow
>> >the
>> >> new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs.
>Can
>> >> anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we
>be
>> >> faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be
>able
>> >to
>> >> id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
>>
>>
>>

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4055. Kim: Your Writing is You, Make It Count!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13099-389D2A01-95@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119874

Kim, everything you write is important. In the case of newsgroups, you're tieing up readers who migh just as well read something else more meaningful.

As you can see, I'm a proponent of putting all of your ability into your writing, so the readers get the most out of it. We can never work too hard for those who take the time to read us!

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4056. Re: CTCSS tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002060903470.8295-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119875

On Sat, 5 Feb 2000, GH wrote:
> Question: How do you find out what CTCSS tones are used by local law
> enforcement? Thanks

The same way one cracks the tone used on a local repeater - by scanning for the tone on the input while the frequency is in use. Duh!

4057. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002060914520.8295-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 6 Feb 2000, Mr. Mxyplzyk wrote:
> I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning on
> April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
> identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow the
> new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
> anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be
> faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able to
> id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?

That was NOT stated nor implied in the R&O.

4058. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389D7165.26F8FE1F@icss.net>
Source record: 119877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mr. Mxyplzyk wrote:

> Fellow Hams,
> I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning on
> April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
> identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow the
> new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
> anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor?

I can neither confirm nor deny this rumor. Lets trace it to its source. Did
you start it?

> If this is NOT true, then will we be
> faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able to
> id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?

How is this different than today's Code Tape Generals and Extras?

> 73
> de KR4AJ

I think you're making a lot out of nothing.

4059. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389D71F1.92B2F6EE@icss.net>
Source record: 119878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > For the record, in some places, union contract and work rules
> > would forclose the engineer from doing the physical
> > assembly and soldering.
>
> When the Houston NASA complex was under construction, I almost caused
> a site-wide union walkout because I was using a Simpson to check
> continuity on an IBM Model-B cable when I worked for Honeywell.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

You should see the union paint job on my 92 GMC truck. If I drive faster
than 45 or so, the paint blows off in big sheets.

4060. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <iEen4.1055$6w1.35237@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 119879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <389D71F1.92B2F6EE@icss.net>, burke1@icss.net says...
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > For the record, in some places, union contract and work rules
> > > would forclose the engineer from doing the physical
> > > assembly and soldering.
> >
> > When the Houston NASA complex was under construction, I almost caused
> > a site-wide union walkout because I was using a Simpson to check
> > continuity on an IBM Model-B cable when I worked for Honeywell.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> You should see the union paint job on my 92 GMC truck. If I drive faster
> than 45 or so, the paint blows off in big sheets.
>
>

Did you complain to GM. I did and they told me the problem was excessive miles (I had over 175k, on an 89 Grand Am, bought new). According to their letter, the paint vibrated off the car. After a few go arounds, they offered to repaint the car for $200. I did the next best thing, I took the $200 and bought a 92 Honda ACcord, new. It's still running, a tad beat for the wear (230k mileage), but never a lick of trouble. No wonder they have less than 28% of the US car market now down from 49% in the mid to late 80's.

GM never admitted that the paint formulation they used between 1989 and 1993 was defective. That the primer was not good and that the "new and improved" method they used to prepare the metal surfaces was in fact originally designed for plastic parts and not metal.

--
You can fool some of the people, all of the time
and you can fool all of the people some of the time
BUT

YOU CAN'T FOOL MOM!!!

Carpe Diem!!!!

4061. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389D79CD.1073FEEF@icss.net>
Source record: 119880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You're taking his troll seriously. Don't.

BD wrote:

> If that's true, then why is morse allowed at all for id? What about the
> techs who can't understand it at any speed?... And wouldn't a 5wpm
> requirement make for an awfully long (time wise) id?...
>
> "Mr. Mxyplzyk" <unit208@andromeda.net> wrote in message
> news:hs4n4.5014$563.210369@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Fellow Hams,
> > I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning
> on
> > April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
> > identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow
> the
> > new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
> > anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be
> > faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able
> to
> > id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
> > 73
> > de KR4AJ
> >
> >
> >

4062. Re: Incompetent Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389D812D.1C00D68@icss.net>
Source record: 119881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ) wrote:

> In article <389D71F1.92B2F6EE@icss.net>, burke1@icss.net says...
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > For the record, in some places, union contract and work rules
> > > > would forclose the engineer from doing the physical
> > > > assembly and soldering.
> > >
> > > When the Houston NASA complex was under construction, I almost caused
> > > a site-wide union walkout because I was using a Simpson to check
> > > continuity on an IBM Model-B cable when I worked for Honeywell.
> > > --
> > > 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> >
> > You should see the union paint job on my 92 GMC truck. If I drive faster
> > than 45 or so, the paint blows off in big sheets.
> >
> >
>
> Did you complain to GM. I did and they told me the problem was excessive
> miles (I had over 175k, on an 89 Grand Am, bought new). According to
> their letter, the paint vibrated off the car. After a few go arounds,
> they offered to repaint the car for $200. I did the next best thing, I
> took the $200 and bought a 92 Honda ACcord, new. It's still running, a
> tad beat for the wear (230k mileage), but never a lick of trouble. No
> wonder they have less than 28% of the US car market now down from 49% in
> the mid to late 80's.

I'm a big believer in japanese made cars. I've abandoned US made cars with the 73 Buick piece of crap and haven't looked back. Problem was, I wanted a full sized truck. Toyota is getting there, but there not quite there yet. I've seen ads for used Mercedes diesel Unimogs for about 8k. I wonder if they're worn out?

> GM never admitted that the paint formulation they used between 1989 and
> 1993 was defective. That the primer was not good and that the "new and
> improved" method they used to prepare the metal surfaces was in fact
> originally designed for plastic parts and not metal.

GM doesn't get any more of my biddness, they just haven't figgered it out yet.

4063. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87jvlh$f5p$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119882

It's my duty to give them something to live for.

In article <87hvaofcm1@nnrp1.deja.com>, rich7@my-deja.com wrote:

>In article <87hqa3$72g$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Whoever encouraged WA9JYG, a guy named Schemmel or Schlemiel, to send
>me
>> brazen e-mails, I wish you'd put a leash on him. I don't know him
>from a
>> hole in the wall and he simply doesn't understand the FINANCIAL
>motivation
>> of the latest FCC restructuring program so he comes across as a simple
>> pimple, unequal to my intelligence and perspicaciousness. Will
>someone with
>> more diplomacy take him aside and show him the right path? Maybe
>Brother
>> John, KC3AU, can although he's no brother of mine but since he walks
>with
>> the Lord the task might be better accomplished than under my aegis.
>Amen,
>> gesundheit and thank you.
>> AF2Y
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Doc, why do you waste your intelligence on these obvious
>morons, that have no life, othen than what you give them?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4064. Re: Incompetent Amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389ed934.2474571@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119584

On 7 Feb 2000 01:32:48 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>On Thu, 03 Feb 2000 03:14:55 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>>I look forward to your explanation of how a school which assigns "some"
>>experiments which require soldering skill doesn't require "developed"
>>soldering skill.
>
>Wire wrap.

Or terminal strips, or just plain sloppy
soldering.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4065. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <389F606B.E3A8F6B1@uci.edu>
Source record: 119585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?

> Sometimes, but as often as not, he is a got-better-things-
> to-do type of ham.

Isn't that what underachievers would say? Or do you think they would tell people that they simply achieved less than everyone predicted or expected?

73 de ac6xg

4066. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389F6915.85F7754D@icss.net>
Source record: 119586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> > BD wrote:
>
> > > And why is it always the have-nots who say such?...
>
> > Because we are also the "why-bothers" when it comes to the Advanced
> > ticket.
>
> Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?
>
> ac6xg

Is Bill Gates an underachiever?

4067. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <389F6C08.930E3BA@uci.edu>
Source record: 119587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
> Is Bill Gates an underachiever?

I don't think so. An underachiever is someone who achieves less than predicted or expected.

Have you achieved what might be predicted or expected of you based on your abilities? Or are expectations about your ability to achieve rather low? Just wondering why you interjected your comment. Seems it might be a sensitive subject for you is all.

73 ac6xg

4068. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <389F6F6E.5A857E99@icss.net>
Source record: 119588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Brian wrote:
> > Is Bill Gates an underachiever?
>
> I don't think so. An underachiever is someone who achieves less than
> predicted or expected.

He quit college - couldn't cut it. The worlds richest failure.

> Have you achieved what might be predicted or expected of you based on
> your abilities?

More - I push myself too hard.

> Or are expectations about your ability to achieve
> rather low?

Expectations are high, achievement is high. Ability, however, is average.

> Just wondering why you interjected your comment. Seems it
> might be a sensitive subject for you is all.

I just wonder why you did.

> 73 ac6xg

73, Brian

4069. FS:Central Electronics 200V Mint!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE HAM CONTACT Joe Brancato N6YYO <n6yyo@hamcontact.com>
Message-ID: <389F9EFC.35E82BD9@hamcontact.com>
Source record: 119589
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

We have for sale 1 Central Electronics 200V transmitter. It is in
EXCELLENT condition. 9.5 out of 10 at least, if not 10 out of 10.
100% Electrically & physically. It could easily polished up and be made
BETTER than new. Pictures can be created and sent via e-mail for
serious offers. Price is $800 OBO. U-Ship (I'm a UPS shipper so
Shipping will be real cost, not inflated "Mail Boxes Etc" price)

Thank you for viewing this post,
Joe N6YYO <><
www.hamcontact.com

4070. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87l8iuvg1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rick Tannehill <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:
> The only thing
>that matters is that the FCC can decode the ID. I assume that they will
>merely slow down the morse as far as necessary on a tape machine to copy
>one in question.

Because the FCC monitors the HF amateurs bands, including the CW
segments, you can be sure the technicians at the monitoring stations
have the ability to copy code well above 20 wpm by ear.

73, Jeff KH6O

4071. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000206211046.27004.00001827@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119634

KK7HO done did went and said:

>
>No but they (the amateur regs) DO "prohibit *broadcasting*. Also mentality
>can
>be measured i.e., your white supremist "mentality" can be measured and
>evidenced by the "type" and amount of posts you have in such newsgroups as
>"alt.politics.white-power"
>

(...)

>14445 or any of the
>others that you frequent.
> Ones "mentality" is evidenced by by many things Kevin, such as their
>"speech", "actions", "interests" and "behavior" so Kevin, for you to make
>such
>a claim in defense of the situation is weak, couldn't you do better than
>that?
>
>

On the other hand, KK7HO's obcessive campaign to save America from folks like Kevin Strom and the Liberty Net would seem to indicate some sort of pathological defect attributed to exessive paranoia or something. "My God, they're exercising their right of free speech again! Are they ALLOWED to think things? Oooohh, I'm afraid."

4072. Re: The Children of 5 wpm Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: claudefraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87lctu$o1a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8773kj$n5t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
mf_luder@my-deja.com wrote:
> Legos could replace pencil and paper college entrance exams
>
> ZCZC
> CALLING ALL SHIPS AT SEA
> HEAR YE HEAR YE
> ARRL HIGHLY OFFICIAL SOUNDING BULLETIN FOLLOWS
> ARLXTRASPECIALB001
> READITANDWEEP
>
> February 1, 2000

As I brilliantly wrote in another post my answer to this junk remains...

After all of you die hard code fiends are dead and gone, or at least in a small minority, I look forward to the day that I can talk on HF with my fellow hams, burp, pass gas, work the action of my firearms on the air, and tell cat killing stories (Like those on 3898 and 14313) without any more grief from the sticks in the mud.

KLOD

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4073. Re: AF2Y says SHEER HYPOCRISY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87leohpcs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119636

In article <87l3j6$ho2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> And who do you think uncovered the glory robber, the Medal of Honor
> wannabe WB9BCC who is a skumbag of the first order for posing as a
> Medal of Honor receiver!!TA DAHHH KT4TR that's who, a Mighty Stump
> Jumper. Of course the "Goodfellows " need a guy like "Faker" Dwayne
> WB9BCC in their group. Adds class to the organization... In case you
> did not know it, impersonating a Medal Of Honor receiver is against
> Federal laws..Dwayne, WB9BCC, is lucky he did not end up in the
Federal
> correction center.
>
> Part97 Obey it!!

Hey, Polly, is it true that the only way you can get it up is watching
birds fornicate?

>
> In article <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > All afternoon I listened to K0ECK run me down because of my
satirical
> > embellishment of remarks on the air made by WA4KBX regarding Fred
> Roll's
> > leaving Joe's trailer in a hurry instead of staying the night. Yet,
> now get
> > this folks, that same fellow, Bill, K0ECK from Saint Louis, has no
> > reservations whatsoever in communicating freely with Duane
> Jacobs,WB9BCC, a
> > louse who did his best to convince eveyone of his being awarded the
> Medal of
> > Honor which never happened. Thomas Cuttone, Special Agent of the
> F.B.I.
> > located in West Paterson,New Jersey, sat with me in my home on July
> 5,1997
> > and told me that Jacobs was an impostor, a disgrace to the United
> States of
> > America and would appreciate if I and as many hams as possible would
> STOP
> > COMMUNICATING with the man. And that's the gospel folks! Can you
> imagine the
> > deceit, impudence and rottenness of anyone claiming to have been
> awarded

> > this nation's highest medal of valor and still being talked to on a
> regular
> > basis by K0ECK and others while simultaneously yours truly is
> castigated
> > because of rather innocuous remarks? And that raises a question: in
> light of
> > such hypocrisy, who have their heads on straighter-the crowd on
> 14.313 or
> > 14.275. And I think the .275 crows labels themselves as Goodfellows?
> Did I
> > wrote Goodfellows? Good? HYPOCRITES is more like it. And here's
> something
> > else to consider. I could get on the air right now and openly
> apologize to
> > Joe, WA4KBX, AND I WILL IN A DAY OR TWO because of my silliness and
> Joe
> > would probabaly shrug his shoulders and pass everything off as ho
> hum. And
> > Joe would be right because MY REMARKS, not Fred's since he made
none,
> are
> > easily forgettable. But are Duane's? Think about it, so-called
> hypocritical
> > Goodfellows. And isn't it strange that these Goody Two Shoers,
> supposedly my
> > friends, give BCC a pat on the back despite the official dislike of
> same by
> > our FBI while the other side has always shunned Duane after the
truth
> came
> > out. I'm DISGUSTED!
> > AF2Y
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4074. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <389e2f44.14199501@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119637

The Welfare Generals and extras won't give a fuck, because they'll be using SSB, or worse, AM!!!!!!!!!
We're gonna ruin the frequencies for all the old geezer gasbags!
We're gonna be breaking and roger beeping and using all other sound effects we can get our hans on!!!
When all the old gasbags hear us cutting up, they'll have heart attacks and strokes, then there will be a floosd of used equip on ebay from estate sales for us to modify!!!!!! Can you say 175% modulation??? The splatter will be great!!!!!

On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 23:32:20 GMT, scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM wrote:

>Starting April 15th everyone on HF needs to start using code at 20 wpm
>to ID. The new Welfare Generals and Extras won't know who's on
>frequency. It will be a hoot.

4075. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Some@body.com
Message-ID: <36bcf903.13494695@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119638

The Welfare generals and extras won't give a fuck, because they'll be using SSB, or worse AM!!!!!!!!!!
Die old geezer gasbags!!!
We're coming and we're gonna ruin the frequencies for you!!!!!
You'll never get on the air because we'll be good buddying and qthing and breaking 24/7 all over with 125% modulation, roger beeps and all kinds of cool sound effects!!!!!!

On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 23:32:20 GMT, scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM wrote:

>Starting April 15th everyone on HF needs to start using code at 20 wpm
>to ID. The new Welfare Generals and Extras won't know who's on
>frequency. It will be a hoot.

4076. Re: LIE Queer DADdy Ay2F LIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87lf10pga1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119639

In article <87ldh9ogu1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
possum belly <possim@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> <FLOOD?>
>
> moan faggot moan. lie queer Daddy lie. spin DR hate spin. you cot in
a
> nudder lie. you the one make up that dwaino metal a Onher storie any
> ways. the hole worlt no that you DR hate formulationed that storie an
> made poor dwain swair to it. we herd you tale that metal a Onher
storie
> bout 10000 time or moore on 14313.i herd that. when you got cot you
put
> the shit bak on dwain. moan faggot moan. jus like you made dwain out
too
> be in juwish. dwain no moore juwish then santy claws.i herd that.
dwain
> done even sed one nite on 3901 he jus went long wid it to kept you
from
> turn in on him. i herd that. like a rattler snack you turnt on dwain
> any ways. moan faggot moan. i herd that. you nifed dwain in the bak
you
> stink in NJ nomad you.moan faggot moan. the bizness bout metal a
Onhers
> was yor do in. 127% yor do in . i herd that. now you got yor lil army
in
> hear do in yor bid in. like woger the elongitated cone hed in Wva. he
> nuther faggot like yor boy down in VA. live wid granny an suk in Rufus
> when granny a sleep. moan faggot moan. to bad you never gone be a gran
> pappy coz faggots got no chillin. an broad ass daana to mutha fukin
ugly
> to has chillin. no booty gone to touch that fat cow. moan faggot moan.
> BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA as woger all ways say.moan faggot moan. lie
> queer Daddy lie. spin DR hate spin.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Jimmy O'bese has no room to talk. His fat ass and belly matches that of
his old, decrepid, toothless hag he married while on another week
bender. Two pigs in a poke! Oink oink!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4077. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000206215812.23565.00001603@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 119640

ycthulhu babbled

>On the other hand, KK7HO's obcessive campaign to save America from folks like
>Kevin Strom and the Liberty Net would seem to indicate some sort of
>pathological defect attributed to exessive paranoia or something. "My God,
>they're exercising their right of free speech again! Are they ALLOWED to
>think
>things? Oooohh, I'm afraid."
>
Nothing of the sort ytchulhu i'm as concerned about fcc enforcement actions as they are. Free speech is one thing, but turning free speech into "broadcasting on amateur radio" is another. Try to stay on the subject ok?

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4078. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <87lcga$4l4$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119641

In article <36bcf903.13494695@nntp.mindspring.com>,
Some@body.com (SomeBody) wrote:

>The Welfare generals and extras won't give a fuck, because they'll be
>using SSB, or worse AM!!!!!!!!!!
>Die old geezer gasbags!!!
>We're coming and we're gonna ruin the frequencies for you!!!!!
> You'll never get on the air because we'll be good buddying and qthing
>and breaking 24/7 all over with 125% modulation, roger beeps and all
>kinds of cool sound effects!!!!!!

...and they said the bands won't turn into CB.

4079. Re: AF2Y: WHO LET THE GUY LOOSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: whiskey4@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87lg6vqao1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119642

In article <87km4s$8im$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> The stooges are great!
>
> radiosigs@mindspring.com wrote in message <87k08e$h76
> $1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >By the way, one of the Stooges, "Curly," in real life was Moses
> Horwitz who
> >used the stage name Moe Howard. Need proof? Log on to
> www.findagrave.com
> >and type in Moe Howard. This is one of the most intriguing websites
on
> the
> >Internet and which will enable to you pay respects to anyone who was
> anyone
> >in the history of the U.S.A.
>
> .I wasn't comparing you to the actors moron. whiskey4
> .
>

> >
> >
>
> >>>
> >>>
>
> --
>
>
>
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4080. Internet Accessible AR-Cluster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nfivein@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87lgop$qo0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119643

You are welcome to connect to the internet accessible AR-Cluster at
KC0DJO in Duluth Minnesota.

The IP address is 209.32.226.63

At your first check in you will be asked for your Call, Name and QTH.
Please enter your QTH in the form: Chicago IL or Oslo Norway so that we
will know where all our new friends are from! You will also be
reminded to SET/LOCATION, that's optional but recommended. If you set
your location, then the AR-Cluster will calculate beam headings for you
to various prefixes. For HELP on these commands you may type

HELP SET/NAME
HELP SET/QTH
HELP SET/LOCATION

To see what you have entered type: SHOW/STATION your_call

You can correct any errors using the SET commands.

For more information on AR-Cluster including a Logon Tutorial and
Command List go to this website:

http://www.cestro.com/pcluster/index.shtml

Ideally you should use your logging program to make your telnet
connection. Its a huge advantage as then your logging program will
monitor the dx spots and alert you to contacts you need.

However, here are very simple instructions for using software already a
part of Win95/98:

First connect to your ISP as you normally do when using the internet.
Click Start then click Run.
In the box enter "telnet 209.32.226.63" (without the quotes of course).
Now click OK.

You should now be connected to the KC0DJO AR-Cluster.

Enter your call. If what you type does not display, then you will have
to turn on local echo. In the Telnet window click on Terminal /
Preferences.. and check Local Echo and then click on OK.

Now proceed as earlier described to sign on.

Try some common commands as:

SH/DX
SH/C

HELP

Welcome to the KC0DJO AR-Cluster.....and 73 de Ron N5IN

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4081. WB9BCC,"FAKE MEDAL OF HONOR CLAIMER",AF2Y

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87li2p$rl5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119644

Its about time AF2Y admitted that WB9BCCs claim to have been awarded the Medal Of Honor is as phony as three dollar bills, He covered this liar up for a long time., bragged about Jacobs bravery to any one who would listen,. Then when snubbed by the "Goodfellows as a trouble maker on 14275 Breaks the "NEWS" that WB0BCC is a pretender(liar) and if the boys want have anything to do with him, they should dump Duane WB9BCC. Why didn't he announce this skullduggery before? This tall sick, awful lie has been before this forum before and AF2Y did not say a word admitting that Duane, WB9BCC was a poltroon liar, AF2Ys silence was support for the obnoxious glory robber Duane, shame , shame , shame.

Part97 Obey it!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4082. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <389E3EB4.78A43B05@innocent.com>
Source record: 119645

Actually, broadcasting is defined in the regulations, and under that definition virtually nothing on ham SSB could be reasonably construed as broadcasting.

You'd have to show intent to reach the "general public," a purpose which would be very ill-served by operating on various frequencies and by getting on the air at infrequent and random intervals as I do.

In fact, if one wanted to reach the general public, Internet broadcasting or purchasing time on commercial stations would make a lot more sense in every way.

I like to relax and joke around, and discuss things with friends on amateur radio who are on an intellectual wavelength that allows them to understand me. That's why the legitimate group on 3950 is important to me personally.

I suppose I've received mail from a handful of SWLs over the years, and now count a few of them as friends, but that's not why I'm on the air. My contact with fellow amateurs is 100 times my contact with SWLs at the very least.

And the suggestion that there's something wrong with posting to White nationalist newsgroups is childish -- it's evidence of the same mindset that would view posting to Second Amendment discussion groups as "evil."

Happy February,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

4083. Titan AH100 10 meter hand held radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajbate@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000206224446.05635.00002022@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 119646

I just noticed that a copy of the Cherokee AH100 handheld 11 meter radio is being sold as a 10 meter radio. Does anyone know anything about this hand held and how difficult it would be to make it into a 10/11?
Thanks in advance.

4084. Re: WB9BCC,"FAKE MEDAL OF HONOR CLAIMER",AF2Y

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: whiskey4@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87ljnnspu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119647

In article <87li2p$rl5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
> Its about time AF2Y admitted that WB9BCCs claim to have been awarded
> the Medal Of Honor is as phony as three dollar bills, He covered this
> liar up for a long time., bragged about Jacobs bravery to any one who
> would listen,. Then when snubbed by the "Goodfellows as a trouble
maker
> on 14275 Breaks the "NEWS" that WB0BCC is a pretender(liar) and if the
> boys want have anything to do with him, they should dump Duane WB9BCC.
> Why didn't he announce this skullduggery before? This tall sick, awful
> lie has been before this forum before and AF2Y did not say a word
> admitting that Duane, WB9BCC was a poltroon liar, AF2Ys silence was
> support for the obnoxious glory robber Duane, shame , shame , shame.
>
> Part97 Obey it!!
> That's right Part97. Obey it!! whiskey4

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4085. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000206231437.26998.00002474@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119648

Kevin sprechen

>Actually, broadcasting is defined in the regulations, and under that
>definition virtually nothing on ham SSB could be reasonably
>construed as broadcasting.

That's YOUR interpretation Kevin ask K1man about it - he may have a different
view.
>You'd have to show intent to reach the "general public," (No Kidding) a
purpose
>which would be very ill-served by operating on various frequencies
>and by getting on the air at infrequent and random intervals as I
>do.

OK Kevin we arn't really talking about YOU. Of course, YOU knew that.
>In fact, if one wanted to reach the general public, Internet
>broadcasting or purchasing time on commercial stations would make a
>lot more sense in every way.

Agreed, I think that was why Riley suggested to Vic that he and the net look
into the Internet or some low power FM station. You remember that letter don't
you?
>I like to relax and joke around, and discuss things with friends on
>amateur radio who are on an intellectual wavelength that allows them
>to understand me. That's why the legitimate group on 3950 is
>important to me personally.

Are you trying to say that "the legitimate group" is only the net? Come on now.
Even you know better than that.

>I suppose I've received mail from a handful of SWLs over the years,

Yeah and I'll bet many other have also. The "So called" net has gone out of
it's way over the years to "create a listening audience" read that "broadcast".
>and now count a few of them as friends, but that's not why I'm on
>the air.
Again, YOU are not the topic.

My contact with fellow amateurs is 100 times my contact
>with SWLs at the very least.
OK
>And the suggestion that there's something wrong with posting to
>White nationalist newsgroups is childish --
Did I say there was "anything wrong" with posting there. i said that it is
evidence of a "mentality".

it's evidence of the
>same mindset that would view posting to Second Amendment discussion
>groups as "evil."

Now who said that? Oh, YOU did.
>Happy February,

Pleasant Interneting

In article <27419-389D7F0F-26@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> As Charlie Brown once said, "Don't you know sarcasm when you hear
it??"
> We all often like to poke fun at Riley, who's actually the one with
this
> "broadcasting mentality" problem.
>
> (Drew)
>
> The Liberty-Net's "Broadcasting Mentality" was around long before
Riley showed up. Do you really think the public is so stupid as to
think the Liberty-Net comes on each Saturday evening to inform EACH
OTHER of the week's "conspiracys" ?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4086. Re: I have a Motorola Talkabout 250 which operates on GMRS/BUSINESS frequencies instead of FRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <389E4863.99292F37@moonco.com>
Source record: 119649

Put it on a spectrum analyzer... you may be off on your frequencies... Just because your scanner stops on that frequency, it doesn't mean that the radios are programmed wrong. It may just be your scanner.

Chris

P.S. Goto 462.5625(Channel 1) on your scanner and transmit with the (bad) radio.. Then try 462.550 on your scanner..

Keith Wood wrote:

> Ed Ellers wrote:
> >
> > TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > "My first thought was that it was some sort of "export" model...but FRS is
> > only legal in the U.S. and these are marked "For U.S. use only" on the
> > packaging."
> >
> > Actually there is now a European equivalent of FRS; it's called PMR 446 and
> > provides eight channels between 446.0-446.1 MHz, which obviously isn't what
> > came in your "illegal" radio. Several manufacturers are now selling PMR 446
> > versions of their FRS radios. Britain's Radio Authority has a Web page
> > about PMR 446 at http://www.radio.gov.uk/document/ra_info/ra357.htm.
> >
> > My guess about your radio is that they may have left out a jumper, or put
> > one in that wasn't supposed to be there, or something along those lines.
> > The obvious answer is to send the unit in to Motorola for service.
>
> A more obvious answer is to sell it to a collector!

4087. HTX-10 MODS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: newtech1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87ll2rtlp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119650

I CAN ACQUIRE HTX-10'S AT NEAR WHOLESALE PRICES. IT'S A FINE RADIO IN ITSELF BUT,IT WOULD BE AN EVEN BETTER BARGAIN IF IT COULD BE CONFIGURED TO OPERATE WITH EXTRA CHANNELS! I'D LIKE TO USE IT FOR OVERSEAS,RURAL APPLICATIONS (FAR OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE FCC) & I'D LIKE TO GET AS MUCH BANG FOR THE BUCK AS POSSIBLE! IF ANY MODS ARE AVAILABLE,YOU CAN E-MAIL ME DIRECTLY SO AS TO KEEP IT FROM BEING POSTED PUBLICLY. THANKS,John...newtech@prodigy.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4088. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389e49b9.20724125@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 10:59:06 -0700, Rick Tannehill
<rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:

>D. Stussy wrote:

>> On Sun, 6 Feb 2000, Mr. Mxyplzyk wrote:
>> > I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that, beginning on
>> > April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
>> > identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to allow the
>> > new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs. Can
>> > anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we be
>> > faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be able to
>> > id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
>>
>> That was NOT stated nor implied in the R&O.
>
>Since 1992, many repeater owner/operators have not been able to
>understand morse cw at all. (No-Code Techs) This has not seemed to
>bother the FCC one bit, with cw ID's running 20 wpm. Keep in mind that
>many commercial and public safety systems are also ID'd by morse. Most
>of their techs don't understand morse at all either. The only thing
>that matters is that the FCC can decode the ID. I assume that they will
>merely slow down the morse as far as necessary on a tape machine to copy
>one in question.

The bottom line is that for 99% or more of repeater
operation, the repeater ID is of no need to the
user anyway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4089. Re: WB2OTK GONE FOREVER HIP HIP HORRAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87li4vrlj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119652

Inbred illiterate
Lloyd Davies the blimp drooled in message ...
>
>GOTO:
>
>http://www.homestead.com/goodfellows3/goodfellows3.html
>
>I for one am glad to see this embarrassment to the 20m band gone.

You should worry, you're stuck on 6 meters and above, mainly because
you're too frigging STUPID to pass a code test.
Say, Lloyd did you find that "southern gal" yet? Or are you so
repugnant to the females in your area, they all wear garlic around
their necks to keep you at bay. Don't bother to deny it, you couldn't
get laid with a high credit limit platnium visa card in a whorehouse.
It's your face and body odor lloyd, do something about them and maybe
you'll have success. But I kind of doubt it, since your lack of female
companionship is the result of natural selection. The females WANT your
gene cesspool to die out.

>Now if we can get AF2Y and KC8JBO off we'll be in great shape!

So you want to "get us off?" Is this some sort of come-on, lloyd?
Sorry to dissappoint you, but we don't swing that way. You may want to
look up Baby Huey or Dave Tolassi for those sort of intimacies.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4090. TECH-PLUS/GENERAL UPGRADE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Kane <k2ane@home.com>
Message-ID: <ygsn4.34295$eC2.341901@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 119653

Several weeks ago I read on the ARRL website that one can contact the FCC for confirmation of having possessed a technician's class license previous to March 21, 1987 and thereby prove that credit exists for the successful completion of the general portion of the written exam. Being a tech-plus licensee, I wrote to the FCC and in 2 weeks time I received from them this very confirmation. The reply also had an official seal affixed to it signifying it as an official document.

To say the least, I was very surprised at this quick and thorough response. It certainly saves a lot of time and hassle for me since I had moved and lost all of my old documentation. To any other ham in a similar situation I would recommend doing the same thing so that when the new license structure goes into effect, they can present this documentation to any VE session, pay a fee and immediately be upgraded to general.

To facilitate this request, include all pertinant information to enable the people at the FCC to lookup these records, which by the way are on microfiche and not on a computerized database. Inform them of your name, address and callsign relative to the time frame pre-1987. If you know the exact dates of having obtained your technician license, provide that and it will make their task a whole bunch easier. In my case I knew that info because I checked it out on www.qrz.com where they were running a copy of their first callsign CD and that date of becoming a technician licensee appeared there.

Send your request to:

Federal Communications Commission
Consumer Information Bureau
1270 Fairfield Road
Gettysburg, PA 17325-7245

Enclose a nice note thanking them for their assistance in this matter. That is what I did and received what I think to be a very fast response.

Good luck & best 73's de K2ANE

4091. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: crouchingllama@netscape.net
Message-ID: <389e4fca.98958514@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119654

On Mon, 07 Feb 2000 03:08:00 GMT, Dale Harper
<docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>In article <36bcf903.13494695@nntp.mindspring.com>,
> Some@body.com (SomeBody) wrote:
>
>>The Welfare generals and extras won't give a fuck, because they'll be
>>using SSB, or worse AM!!!!!!!!!!
>>Die old geezer gasbags!!!
>>We're coming and we're gonna ruin the frequencies for you!!!!!
>> You'll never get on the air because we'll be good buddying and qthing
>>and breaking 24/7 all over with 125% modulation, roger beeps and all
>>kinds of cool sound effects!!!!!!
>
>...and they said the bands won't turn into CB.
>

Ummmm...
a) Being in a ng is not the same as actually transmitting on a
frequency.
b) Nobody know this guy from Adam, there's a good chance he doesn't
even have a ticket.
c) Let him try this attitude on the air...watch what happens next...
d) besides, from what is seen and heard, there's _worse_ folks in the
ARS than on CB. The bands are _already_ CB. I avoid HF like the
plague.

That's one edge the satellites have...you gotta have half a brain to
work them....

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4092. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000207000529.27286.00001679@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 119655

Just in case Kevin, you did not remember the FCC's concern I'll include the text of the letter here.

HUDSON, NH:
The FCC has asked a net control station of the Liberty Net on 3950 kHz to justify the net's use of Amateur Radio frequencies. Via Certified Mail May 7 to Extra class licensee Victor A. Misek, W1WCR, FCC Legal Adviser for Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth said monitoring on May 1 indicated that Misek's station and members of the group for which he was acting as net control "began transmitting on top of existing Amateur communications that were already in progress." Hollingsworth said that such operation "is considered deliberate interference and cannot be tolerated on the Amateur frequencies." Hollingsworth pointed out that Congress has authorized the FCC to seek information to enable it to determine the qualifications of a licensee or applicant. "We are so far unable to determine how the transmissions of this group meet the standards of, or contribute to the purposes of, the allocation of frequencies for the Amateur Radio Service," Hollingsworth wrote. He suggested The Liberty Net explore operation on the Internet or seek a low-power FM broadcast grant if that service is authorized. Hollingsworth reminded Misek that Part 97 "prohibits communications on the Amateur frequencies that, on a regular basis, 'could reasonably be furnished alternatively through other services.'" He also pointed out that Part 97 prohibits broadcasting .

By the way, the FCC has authorized the licensing of low power FM stations up to 100 watts.

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4093. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <87lib2gqs2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 119656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 4 Feb 2000, Andy Wallace wrote:

: Thousands of poor souls must have considered the exams for the last five
: years tough. The number of Generals has been about equal to the number of
: Advanced holders. If the exams were so easy, why didn't the thousands of
: Generals upgrade?? no code was required. What makes anybody think these
: Generals who couldn't--or wouldn't--pass the Advanced exam will now jump to
: Extra??

The Advanced license give you only slightly greater operating privileges.
It just is not worth the effort.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4094. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <87ljl4$co5@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 119657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian (burke1@icss.net) wrote:
: Bill Sohl wrote:
: > Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
: > > Well the ARRL may have read what some of us Hams have been saying
: > > here. They admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
: > > technically dumbed down...

Not quite.

: > I must have missed that ARRL notice. When did the
: > ARRL make such a statement that they (the ARRL)
: > admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
: > technically dumbed down?

: They didn't say that they (the ARRL) thought it was dumbed down, they
: said that members have commented that it is dumbed down. So they (the
: ARRL) are going to take measures to bring back technical quality to
: hamdom.

: > >...and as a result they are proposing to start
: > > their own advanced certification program.
: >
: > Which is fine with me. The question is: What
: > does such certification mean to anyone?

If you take it at the -main- ARRL office rather than by mail you get more
braging rights. But only till a few of the present NG PCAs take the tests,
after that, it too will be dumbed down because the questions will be
"leaked" to the general public.

: They are exploring "continuing education credits" which are the
: equivalent of what the FEMA self-study courses offer (if you pay the
: tuition to a local jr. college).

They could combine it with the CW certification program and make 'em
learn 55 WPM! That's a sure fire way to "smarten it up" (again). ?:o

: > Cheers, Bill K2UNK
: 73, Brian/N0iMD
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4095. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <389E62B9.5A7C92AB@innocent.com>
Source record: 119658

KK7HO wrote:
>
[...]
> By the way, the FCC has authorized the licensing of low power FM stations up to
> 100 watts.
[...]

That is nice, but I fail to see how it would help me talk to the
friends I've made on 75 meters on subjects of mutual interest.

Pip pip,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

4096. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87m03t$5nn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119659

In article <389E06E1.DE6FB95C@innocent.com>,
Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com> wrote:
> The Chief wrote:
> >
> > In article <27419-389D7F0F-26@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
> > > As Charlie Brown once said, "Don't you know sarcasm when you hear
> > it??"
> > > We all often like to poke fun at Riley, who's actually the one
with
> > this
> > > "broadcasting mentality" problem.
> > >
> > > (Drew)
> > >
> > > The Liberty-Net's "Broadcasting Mentality" was around long before
> > Riley showed up. Do you really think the public is so stupid as to
> > think the Liberty-Net comes on each Saturday evening to inform EACH
> > OTHER of the week's "conspiracys" ?
> [...]
>
> Actually, the amateur regulations don't prohibit or require any
> particular mentality when transmitting.
>
> And, since "mentality" cannot be measured, and in fact has no
> physical existence whatsoever, it would be impossible to enforce any
> such regulation even if it existed.
>
> Happy transmitting,
>
> Kevin, WB4AIO.
> --
>
> Kevin Alfred Strom.
>

Spoken like a true pseudo lawyer.
Measuring mentality is not the point.
The point is nobody is fooled by the Liberty Net's long standing and
obvious goal of getting their "message" of deceit and doom to as many
listeners as possible. All under the guise of the "free wheeling
exchange of ideas". What a pathetic dog and pony show.

> News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4097. Maxon cm-4020-a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Reed <mreed911@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <h5vn4.1114$o01.38229@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119660

Anyone have information on reprogramming a Maxon cm-4020-a UHF radio? It's a four channel beast, and I've been unable to find anything on it on the internet. I've got a hunch it's crystal based. Ideas? If you would, cc: mreed@ccems.com as I don't check these groups often enough.

Mike :)

4098. For Sale Kenwood TM-231 Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <9f0t9skbaan1v5m8su9rn1mv25b06gneqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 119661

selling a 2meter kenwood tm-231 mobile radio with 50 watts good cond
with box and mobile bracket and DTMF Mic.... 140.00 plus shipping from
so cal.. email me thanks

4099. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <389EE0E6.935EF33A@nutcase.net>
Source record: 119662

"radiosigs@mindspring.com" wrote:
>
> Apparently you don't understand human nature either so go back into your
> mole hole or instead of posting here go to Momma Goldberg's Knish Recipe
> USENET.
> ----------
> In article <A4%m4.335$zf1.13137@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
> <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
>
> >While I do not favor the extremists, your tactics were just
> >as bad. Now, will you surrender your licenses and leave
> >Amateur Radio? There is no place for your kind either.

AND WHAT KIND IT THAT?????????????

> >
> >
> ><radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:87hhhr$hc0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
> >: No I'm not modest but truthful and candid. I can remember when this board
> >: was a seething hotbed of bigoty ever since the battle on 14.313 spilled
> >: over onto the Internet. I think back to all the awful racism and
> >: anti-Semitism posted by N0VFP as well as by anonymous and fictitously
> >screen
> >: named hams and all that white extremist stuff to boot. My God, it wasn't
> >: America on here but a combo of Nazism and Communism. But AF2Y was always
> >: there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by God
> >it
> >: worked. We have a rather dull board now but dammit-the extremists seem to
> >: have gone the other way. No one has to post anything about anyone being
> >: listed in Raymond Franklin's Internet Hate Directory. I read no references
> >: to any BARF board either. And for that matter almost all of the bigoted
> >: garbage on 14.313 is gone too. I guess Riley had a lot to do with that
> >: element of hate while I and other good Americans took care of the
> >situation
> >: right here. Fellows who loathe bigotry-STAND TALL AND PROUD. We did a
> >whale
> >: of a job and I'm proud of all of you.
> >: Doc,AF2Y
> >
> >

4100. Re: AF2Y says,"A job well done."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: AF2Y_RULES <d_ranged@nutcase.net>
Message-ID: <389EE19D.CECBCBFE@nutcase.net>
Source record: 119663

AND N9NWO, WHAT KIND IS THAT?????

N9NWO wrote:
>
> While I do not favor the extremists, your tactics were just
> as bad. Now, will you surrender your licenses and leave
> Amateur Radio? There is no place for your kind either.
>
> <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:87hhhr$hc0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net...
> : No I'm not modest but truthful and candid. I can remember when this board
> : was a seething hotbed of bigoty ever since the battle on 14.313 spilled
> : over onto the Internet. I think back to all the awful racism and
> : anti-Semitism posted by N0VFP as well as by anonymous and fictitously
> screen
> : named hams and all that white extremist stuff to boot. My God, it wasn't
> : America on here but a combo of Nazism and Communism. But AF2Y was always
> : there, right in their faces. Tit for tat and never look back. And by God
> it
> : worked. We have a rather dull board now but dammit-the extremists seem to
> : have gone the other way. No one has to post anything about anyone being
> : listed in Raymond Franklin's Internet Hate Directory. I read no references
> : to any BARF board either. And for that matter almost all of the bigoted
> : garbage on 14.313 is gone too. I guess Riley had a lot to do with that
> : element of hate while I and other good Americans took care of the
> situation
> : right here. Fellows who loathe bigotry-STAND TALL AND PROUD. We did a
> whale
> : of a job and I'm proud of all of you.
> : Doc,AF2Y

4101. Re: Blake Bowers was:Re: Re:Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <dont@mail.me>
Message-ID: <q3ft9so22300ru43ip53c37pqo6u9cii88@4ax.com>
Source record: 119664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.parg,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I hope you believe your lies. Nobody else does.

"Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>I have posted on topic MANY times!
>
>"Mark" <dont@mail.me> wrote
>> Sorta like you have NEVER posted an on topic post in any of these groups
>in
>> your entire life.
>
>

4102. Re: AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87mku3pqj1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119665

Thank you for this most informative and helpful posting.
AF2Y

In article <87b2inluj1@nnrp1.deja.com>, part97@my-deja.com wrote:

>From what I hear AOL Bad Boy AF2Y was pulling the same libel tricks on
>AOL that he pulled on 20 meters. That includes his Part 97 violations.
>Too bad, Bob and Lenny put a halt to the dirty tricks of the
>internet "Bad Boy" AF2Y on AOL.. Next stop for them Mindspring bye bye
>Chuckie!! Thanks Boys!!!
>
>Part97 obey it!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4103. CTCSS codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: GH <gregh@prairie.lakes.com>
Message-ID: <389ef1fa@sushi>
Source record: 119666

For all of you that think I'm a crook for wanting to know this.

I am trying to find a way to filter out other counties that are on the same frequency. Due to skip, quite often I get this distant county and it is annoying.

As far as the individual that answered my question in such a "positive" way, I am new to scanning and am trying to learn. Thanks for making me feel stupid with your "duh" comment.

I hope everyone on this list is not as "caring" as the people that thought I was a crook or an idiot.

GH

4104. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <389EF345.18C711AF@innocent.com>
Source record: 119667

The Chief wrote:
[...]
> The point is nobody is fooled by the Liberty Net's long standing and
> obvious goal of getting their "message" of deceit and doom to as many
> listeners as possible. All under the guise of the "free wheeling
> exchange of ideas".
[...]

Well, Chief, a net is collection of independent stations who happen to want to communicate with each other.

W1WCR can't control who chooses to check in to the Net (only three stations that I know of, egregious jammers all, are forbidden to check in), and he certainly can't control what they "want" in their minds.

True, it is possible that some of them might want SWLs to listen in. Some of them might want a big dish of praline caramel ice cream. Some of them might want a date with Drew Barrymore. Who knows?

Have you ever checked into the Liberty Net? I know you've been in QSO with me and with the NCS for the Net. Does that mean that you, too, have a part in this supposed collective "goal"?

(As for me, when I've wanted to reach the general public, I've done other things. I've never used amateur radio for that purpose.)

The Liberty Net has been in existence for about thirty years, in much the same form as it has today. I doubt that it has significant non-ham listenership compared to any broadcast station you could care to name, so clearly this alleged "goal" hasn't been reached.

In fact, I think that what publicity the Net has received, and what SWL listenership it may have, are due far more to the activities of its detractors and jammers than to anything that Net participants have done: The lunatic from Evansville travels around 75, 40, and 20 meters, telling lurid tales of the naughty Liberty Netters who are so incredibly "evil" that they actually want their race to survive; and certain well-known persons on this newsgroup have made a career out of attacking the Net to the point that it is now extremely unlikely that anyone who has read the amateur newsgroups for more than three days is unaware of it.

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

4105. Extra Class License Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: w0tok <w0tok@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <O5B5qgYc$GA.238@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 119668

I have 40 ARRL extra class license manuals for sale at $11.00
del Paul Washa 4916 three Points Blvd Mound MN
55364-1245

4106. Re: HTX-10 MODS???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <389F08B5.5A5@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 119669

newtech1@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> I CAN ACQUIRE HTX-10'S AT NEAR WHOLESALE PRICES. IT'S A FINE RADIO IN
> ITSELF BUT,IT WOULD BE AN EVEN BETTER BARGAIN IF IT COULD BE CONFIGURED
> TO OPERATE WITH EXTRA CHANNELS! I'D LIKE TO USE IT FOR OVERSEAS,RURAL
> APPLICATIONS (FAR OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE FCC) & I'D LIKE TO
> GET AS MUCH BANG FOR THE BUCK AS POSSIBLE! IF ANY MODS ARE
> AVAILABLE,YOU CAN E-MAIL ME DIRECTLY SO AS TO KEEP IT FROM BEING POSTED
> PUBLICLY. THANKS,John...newtech@prodigy.net
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
Sorry mods on this one is hard, solid 10 meter chip, on extras,
BTW-overseas (other countries that is) have their equivalents of the
FCC minus usually the Bill O'Rights, so caution on putting something up
which the local dictator might not like.

4107. Re: WB2OTK GONE FOREVER HIP HIP HORRAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LPDn4.582$KF5.14224@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

your still a jerk
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:c8rn4.19812$vk.297067@news1.mia...
>
> GOTO:
>
> http://www.homestead.com/goodfellows3/goodfellows3.html
>
> I for one am glad to see this embarrassment to the 20m band gone. Now if
we
> can get AF2Y and KC8JBO off we'll be in great shape!
>
> HAIL LIBERTY NET!
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>

4108. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <87n1t7$ro1@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 119671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD (bodu@pobox.com) wrote:
: And why is it always the have-nots who say such?...
: To me, it's not much different than the other 'certificates' you get...
: It's not so much the extra priviledges, it's the "feeling of accomplishment"
: you get from doing it.

Agreed.

:Something those welfare-hams have no interest in, I guess. ;)

You "guess" wrong!

You mean that hams that have been code tested as Generals (and even ones tested by the FCC) 20-40 years ago are ALSO "WELFARE-HAMS"?? Those -are- the hams that the original statment was refering to.

If you don't mean them, then the "real" welfare-hams ALSO met (will meet) the requirments in place at the time.

: "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message news:87lib2>
: > The Advanced license give you only slightly greater operating privileges.
: > It just is not worth the effort.
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4109. NEWSLINE = 'SNOOZELINE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rf@qrz.com
Message-ID: <389f2ff8.2546479@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119672

Yawn......Newsline....boring......rename please to:

'SNOOZELINE'......

4110. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: thanatos999@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87nhsnalq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

In article <87lib2gqs2@bashir.peak.org>,
Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
> On Fri, 4 Feb 2000, Andy Wallace wrote:
>
> : Thousands of poor souls must have considered the exams for the last
five
> : years tough. The number of Generals has been about equal to the
number of
> : Advanced holders. If the exams were so easy, why didn't the
thousands of
> : Generals upgrade?? no code was required. What makes anybody think
these
> : Generals who couldn't--or wouldn't--pass the Advanced exam will now
jump to
> : Extra??
>
> The Advanced license give you only slightly greater operating
privileges.
> It just is not worth the effort.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

Actually it is considerable. It is the amount of band space from
advanced to extra (175KHz total) which is negligible.

General to advanced almost doubles the HF space on the non WARC HF bands
15-80

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4111. RadioMaster2000 - Kenwood PC Control Prog.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: vk2kdg <vk2kdg@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87ni8d$as4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119674

Are you looking for a fresh modern look to your Control Software, with lots of functions for the Amateur Radio, and SWL operator.....Have a look at the features below;

- Frequency operation covering from 0 KHz to 999,999.999 KHz
- All Modes including the Reverse functions for CW and FSK
- All Tones as per the TS570 operation
- Main fine tuning with 10 Hz steps
- Selectable coarse tuning with steps of 100 Hz, 1 KHz, 5 KHz, 10 Khz, 0.5 MHz and 1 MHz. (Additional steps can be provided, depending upon the demand)
- Tuning knob with Fine and Coarse Tuning. The Fine tuning provides approx. 1.2 Khz per revolution and the Coarse tuning at approx. 8.5 Khz per revolution.
- Standard Memory switching, as appearing in the Radio
- Band switching is provided by way of an additional screen. User definable with 50 selectable frequencies and Mode
- Manual entry of a frequency is provided
- Quick Memo operation using 5 Memories
- Flock operation
- Frequency Scanning operation. 50 user definable ranges are available, that include the Mode, Frequency Step and Pause time. Includes a description of each range
- NR1/2 Noise reduction operation
- BC Beat Cancel
- 300 memories are available where the first 100 are used for downloading and uploading the Radio's memories. All memories have Split operation as well as Mode, Tone and Description. Memory scanning is available based on a code against each memory, and/or by limiting the memory locations to be scanned. Additional functions include; current VFO to current memory location, and current memory location to VFO A/B. The Radio memory locations can be transferred to the program and vice versa, modified, and then re-loaded back to the Radio
- Standard VFO A/B/Memory selection, with A=B, B=A and current memory displayed transferred to VFO A
- Split Frequency Operation with TF-Set
- RIT/XIT operation with the appropriate frequency display
- Volume, RF, DSP High and Low, and IF Shift front panel controls. IF
- shift displays the frequency
- CW Auto tune function
- Filter display, showing the setting, depending upon the mode in operation
- Power, Microphone Gain, Keyer Speed And Delay, VOX Gain, and Squelch Level are adjustable using a slider control on a separate function

screen
- Antenna selection, AGC, Tone and the Channel selections are also available from the same separate function screen
- Power Switch, Attenuator, Pre-Amplifier, VOX, Speech Processor, Send Function and Antenna Tuner are all operational
- S Meter function
- Local as well as GMT, Date and Time is displayed on the front panel
- Not necessary to have the Radio connected, in order to have the basic set-up functions available, e.g. Scanning, Band and Memories
- Logging Function that allows Local and GMT Date and Time recording. The Frequency and Mode can also be transferred from the current VFO. The Call Sign and Description can also be recorded. This is an unlimited database with sorting available on each column
- Communication speeds from 110 Baud to 256 Kbaud (57,600 Baud is the max for the TS570)
- Up to 16 Com Port Selections

Program has been fully tested, and runs under Windows 95, 98 and NT

This program was written based on the Kenwood TS 570, but it will work on other Kenwood models...

If you would like to download it, you can find it at my home page address below;

http://web.one.net.au/~kjbutler/page2.html

Please let me know what you think of it, and if you would like any changes made, or additional functions.

I have a few ideas in the pipeline to further increase the functionality.

Danny Butler
vk2kdg
Sydney, Australia

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4112. WTB 71.9 PL Mitrek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dennis A. Homerick" <w8nw@richnet.net>
Message-ID: <389F3629.27F86EAC@richnet.net>
Source record: 119675

thanks for reading this message. I'm looking for a 71.9 analog or DPL
code plug for
a Motorola Mitrek radio. If you have truth table for the DPL Code Plug
, I can build a
code plug with the truth table!

Thanks
Dennis, w8nw

4113. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000207163638.22421.00002274@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 119676

kevin.strom@innocent.com wrote:

[...]

>
>In fact, I think that what publicity the Net has received, and what
>SWL listenership it may have, are due far more to the activities of
>its detractors and jammers than to anything that Net participants
>have done: The lunatic from Evansville travels around 75, 40, and 20
>meters, telling lurid tales of the naughty Liberty Netters who are
>so incredibly "evil" that they actually want their race to survive;
>and certain well-known persons on this newsgroup have made a career
>out of attacking the Net to the point that it is now extremely
>unlikely that anyone who has read the amateur newsgroups for more
>than three days is unaware of it.
>

[...]

As a matter of fact, I stumbled onto the Liberty Net when, while leisurely tuning through 75 meters one Saturday night, I came upon an unbelievable cacophony of music, profanity, and electronic noise makers on 3950. Obviously, I had to listen to this chaos to figure out what the heck was going on. Turns out that I was hearing K5BLB, WA9KJI, and some unknown jammers letting loose on the Liberty Net. But it was the comments being made by the Liberty Net's participants that really made a lasting impression, because I realized that a lot of what was being said was exactly how I felt about America's current condition. "Thanks" to the malicious jamming of K5BLB, WA9KJI, and whoever, I became a regular listener to the Liberty Net.

So, KK7HO, when you become filled with jealousy and rage at the thought of shortwave listeners like me who eagerly look forward to the Liberty Net each week, and who consider those who jam and otherwise try to derail the Liberty Net to be at best a bunch of clowns, you can thank your jamming buddies K5BLB, WA9KJI, W9ART, and even your own newsgroup postings for making the Liberty Net so well known and listened to.

LOL!

I note the somewhat shaky "cease-fire" that has subdued 3950, no doubt due in large part to Sheriff Hollingsworth coming to town. I also note some tentative dialougues between the 2 warring sides. Except for a few individuals such as WA9KJI and W9ART who seem hell-bent on continuing the insanity, it seems to me that there are at least some

issues that both sides are in agreement with, such as gun control, the continuing threat of communism (China) and the New World Order, the sickening treason and corruption that permeates our political system, etc. I only speak for myself, and others may disagree with me, but sometimes I think that the campaign to destroy the Liberty Net has less to do with ideology and more to do with jealousy or personal hatred. I sincerely hope that someday all this can be resolved.

>
>meters, telling lurid tales of the naughty Liberty Netters who are
>so incredibly "evil" that they actually want their race to survive;
>

To me, anyone who does NOT want their race to survive is incredibly evil, regardless of the race in question.

73s.

4114. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389F44AD.7BE3AAB0@bctv.com>
Source record: 119677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
>
> And why is it always the have-nots who say such?...

Because we are also the "why-bothers" when it comes to the Advanced
ticket.

> To me, it's not much different than the other 'certificates' you get...
> It's not so much the extra priviledges, it's the "feeling of accomplishment"
> you get from doing it. Something those welfare-hams have no interest in, I
> guess. ;)

Something that most of us aren't anal enough to worry about, which the
FCC finally realized (after only 37 years)!

4115. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389F462E.2B2EB4DD@bctv.com>
Source record: 119678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Follow-ups set to just rec.radio.amateur.misc:
>
> In rec.radio.cb and seven other NGs, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Mr Cold Shower wrote:
>
> >> I can Fart code at 5 WPM.
>
> >Proof that CW stinks.
>
> How do you know he's emitting Continuous Waves?
^ ^

When the dog keels over that's a pretty good hint.

The NEIGHBOR'S dog.

4116. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <389F4CD5.34119E62@uci.edu>
Source record: 119679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood wrote:

> BD wrote:

> > And why is it always the have-nots who say such?...

> Because we are also the "why-bothers" when it comes to the Advanced
> ticket.

Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?

ac6xg

4117. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <389F54E9.851DEFBA@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?

Sometimes, but as often as not, he is a got-better-things-
to-do type of ham.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4118. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389ed1ae.548226@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 20:22:01 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <389c1818_4@news1.prserv.net>,
> "Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> "Bob Wexelbaum, w2ilp"
>> > >
>> > Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
>> > they did in the 1950s. Remember that the "Class A" license, which
>> > became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
>> that
>> > new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
>> > There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
>> > communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
>> > technique.
>>
>> God, what a ghastly idea. Gosh, I guess to apply this logic there
>> should be a different type of driver's license for chevy's, porshces,
>> and my poor little old Ford explorer.
>...or my 1984 Toyota Tersel?
>
>Nah...BUT there certainly should be different qualifications required
>for driving, piloting and maintaining automobiles, ships and aircraft!
>The Ham equipment of today is as different from the Ham gear of 1950 as
>there exist differences between cars, ships and airplanes.

But there is NO license required by government for me to
repair or maintain my automobiles and/or trucks.
Aircraft has a whole set of government oversight
because the airplane is highly prone to really bad
things happening if maintenance or flight worthyness
is in any way jeopardized.

>> Better yet, let's require all
>> hams to take a 3 hour exam every six months to ensure that they meet
>> "high" standards. That ought to run all those appliance operators out
>> of the hobby.
>
>Well the ARRL may have read what some of us Hams have been saying
>here. They admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
>technically dumbed down...

I disagree that the ARRL has made any such statement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4119. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <389ed2a3.792960@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 6 Feb 2000 23:34:35 -0600, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>And why is it always the have-nots who say such?...
>To me, it's not much different than the other 'certificates' you get...
>It's not so much the extra priviledges, it's the "feeling of accomplishment"
>you get from doing it. Something those welfare-hams have no interest in, I
>guess. ;)

What a bunch of garbage. For those that need the
accomplishment validation that a certificate might
provide, then the ARRL "certification" program will
be just the thing they need. There are thousands
of us that have the career and/or academic credentials
that cancel any need for more wallpaper.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4120. Re: AF2Y says SHEER HYPOCRISY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87l3j6$ho2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119724

And who do you think uncovered the glory robber, the Medal of Honor wannabe WB9BCC who is a skumbag of the first order for posing as a Medal of Honor receiver!!TA DAHHH KT4TR that's who, a Mighty Stump Jumper. Of course the "Goodfellows " need a guy like "Faker" Dwayne WB9BCC in their group. Adds class to the organization... In case you did not know it, impersonating a Medal Of Honor receiver is against Federal laws..Dwayne, WB9BCC, is lucky he did not end up in the Federal correction center.

Part97 Obey it!!

In article <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> All afternoon I listened to K0ECK run me down because of my satirical
> embellishment of remarks on the air made by WA4KBX regarding Fred Roll's
> leaving Joe's trailer in a hurry instead of staying the night. Yet, now get
> this folks, that same fellow, Bill, K0ECK from Saint Louis, has no
> reservations whatsoever in communicating freely with Duane Jacobs,WB9BCC, a
> louse who did his best to convince eveyone of his being awarded the Medal of
> Honor which never happened. Thomas Cuttone, Special Agent of the F.B.I.
> located in West Paterson,New Jersey, sat with me in my home on July 5,1997
> and told me that Jacobs was an impostor, a disgrace to the United States of
> America and would appreciate if I and as many hams as possible would STOP
> COMMUNICATING with the man. And that's the gospel folks! Can you imagine the
> deceit, impudence and rottenness of anyone claiming to have been awarded
> this nation's highest medal of valor and still being talked to on a regular
> basis by K0ECK and others while simultaneously yours truly is castigated
> because of rather innocuous remarks? And that raises a question: in light of
> such hypocrisy, who have their heads on straighter-the crowd on 14.313 or
> 14.275. And I think the .275 crows labels themselves as Goodfellows? Did I

> wrote Goodfellows? Good? HYPOCRITES is more like it. And here's
something
> else to consider. I could get on the air right now and openly
apologize to
> Joe, WA4KBX, AND I WILL IN A DAY OR TWO because of my silliness and
Joe
> would probabaly shrug his shoulders and pass everything off as ho
hum. And
> Joe would be right because MY REMARKS, not Fred's since he made none,
are
> easily forgettable. But are Duane's? Think about it, so-called
hypocritical
> Goodfellows. And isn't it strange that these Goody Two Shoers,
supposedly my
> friends, give BCC a pat on the back despite the official dislike of
same by
> our FBI while the other side has always shunned Duane after the truth
came
> out. I'm DISGUSTED!
> AF2Y
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4121. Re: KC8JBO credit report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87l8hg$l0u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119725

In article <87khki$5ca$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kc8jbo_info@my-deja.com wrote:

> 'DA SPITBALL will be getting a brand new scanner Tuesday
> afternoon.......I will then scan ALL of the credit reports I have on
> WIDEASS onto Homestead.com!!!

Yeah, right. Sure you will. Will you have them posted before or after
all the AF2Y stuff you claimed to have but have never posted.

> The pages I have made about 'ole Charles WON'T HOLD A CANDLE TO
> KC8JBO's PAGE, hohohoho.

Since there's nothing on them, that's not much of a claim.

>http://www.angelfire.com/vt/af2y/

Bwahahahahahahahaha. The one that allegedly has the DWI report shows it
as a busted image, and when you look at the source code it shows
"triangle.gif" where the report is supposed to be. Some DWI report. You
should learn something about how HTML works before trying to bluff with
an empty hand.

> The Internet is a WONDERFUL THING......

Yeah, if you're an immature coward you can say any BS whether it's true
or not. And, since you've been claiming you were going to post AF2Y
stuff since June and haven't done squat, that sort of puts you right
in the middle of that category, doesn't it?

> PITHBOWL

Put up or shut up.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4122. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87l6lnsvs1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Follow-ups set to just rec.radio.amateur.misc:

In rec.radio.cb and seven other NGs, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Mr Cold Shower wrote:

>> I can Fart code at 5 WPM.

>Proof that CW stinks.

How do you know he's emitting Continuous Waves?
^ ^

4123. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: crouchingllama@netscape.net
Message-ID: <389e08bf.80771603@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119727

...and then when you're done with that, there'll be a whole itinerary
of other useless and juvenile actvities. There'll be fart-lighting
with mom and dad's long-tipped butane lighter, a whole bunch of salt
that can be poured on snails and slugs, a panty-raid at the local
Wal-Mart in the pre-teen girl's section, and....well, it's just gonna
be a hoot for all!

On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 23:32:20 GMT, scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM
wrote:

>Starting April 15th everyone on HF needs to start using code at 20 wpm
>to ID. The new Welfare Generals and Extras won't know who's on
>frequency. It will be a hoot.

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4124. Re: Incompetent Amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87l7c0$38$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 03 Feb 2000 03:14:55 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>I look forward to your explanation of how a school which assigns "some"
>experiments which require soldering skill doesn't require "developed"
>soldering skill.

Wire wrap.

73, Jeff KH6O

4125. Re: Thirty-nine-Fifty tonite : Interview with 33rd Degree Mason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: big fat floppy donkey dic <meinkook@aol.com>
Message-ID: <%npn4.6896$Mk2.251290@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119729

YCTHULHU <ycthulhu@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000205201446.14164.00001515@ng-fb1.aol.com...
>
> He said this with a straight face after claiming a nickname of ....
>
> > "big fat floppy donkey dic"
>
> Talk about trash!

You have obviously not seen the movie
"Southpark - Bigger, Better, Uncut"
Rent the video of the above title and you'll understand. :)

4126. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gene Tucker Ph.D" <gene4947@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_Cpn4.1091$%%5.23298@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Why take an extra when all your connections are available using the general class. CB operators make friends on their frequencies and those with General Class certification really do not have to or do not want any higher rating because what they have meets their needs. Very simple. Its like a BS degree, if this meets your needs why get a Masters. IF a masters meets your needs they upgrade to a Ph.D The pay is not greater! It all depends on the needs of the person or individual.

Silver Eagle
JSA #4 El Paso, Texas
KAVR 1054

4127. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000206204846.27125.00001924@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 119731

>The Chief wrote:
>>
>> In article <27419-389D7F0F-26@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
>> Septic-One@webtv.net (Drew Bathwater) wrote:
>> > As Charlie Brown once said, "Don't you know sarcasm when you hear
>> it??"
>> > We all often like to poke fun at Riley, who's actually the one with
>> this
>> > "broadcasting mentality" problem.
>> >
>> > (Drew)
>> >
>> > The Liberty-Net's "Broadcasting Mentality" was around long before
>> Riley showed up. Do you really think the public is so stupid as to
>> think the Liberty-Net comes on each Saturday evening to inform EACH
>> OTHER of the week's "conspiracys" ?
>[...]
>
Kevin spun
>
>Actually, the amateur regulations don't prohibit or require any
>particular mentality when transmitting.
>
>And, since "mentality" cannot be measured, and in fact has no
>physical existence whatsoever, it would be impossible to enforce any
>such regulation even if it existed.
>Happy transmitting,
>Kevin, WB4AIO.

No but they (the amateur regs) DO "prohibit *broadcasting*. Also mentality can be measured i.e., your white supremist "mentality" can be measured and evidenced by the "type" and amount of posts you have in such newsgroups as "alt.politics.white-power"
http://x45.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/dnquery.xp?search=word&defaultOp=and&query=%7ea%20(kevin.strom@innocent.com)%20%26%20%7eg%20(alt.politics.white-power)&svcclass=dnserver&ST=PS&CONTEXT=949887555.1098514445 or
alt.politics.nationalism.white
http://x45.deja.com/[ST_rn=ap]/dnquery.xp?search=word&defaultOp=and&query=%7ea%20(kevin.strom@innocent.com)%20%26%20%7eg%20(alt.politics.nationalism.white)&svcclass=dnserver&ST=PS&CONTEXT=949887555.1098514445 or any of the others that you frequent.
Ones "mentality" is evidenced by by many things Kevin, such as their "speech", "actions", "interests" and "behavior" so Kevin, for you to make such a claim in defense of the situation is weak, couldn't you do better than that?

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4128. Re: New 5wpm Requiewmwnt For VHF Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: claudefraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87lc97nes1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <hs4n4.5014$563.210369@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Mr. Mxyplzyk" <unit208@andromeda.net> wrote:
> Fellow Hams,
> I have heard, but have not been able to confirm, a rumor that,
beginning on
> April 15, any repeaters that use Morse code to provide station
> identification must reduce the code speed to 5wpm max.. This is to
allow the
> new Extra Class hams to be able to identify the repeater call signs.
Can
> anyone confirm (or deny) this rumor? If this is NOT true, then will we
be
> faced with a situation where HF generals and extras will not even be
able to
> id the call signs, pl's etc. of the 2m repeaters they may be using?
> 73
> de KR4AJ
>
>

Haw Haw Haw

If you have been to a hamfest anytime in the past five years, more than half of the ameteur operators wear underware that is large enough to fabricate circus tents with them. I say that we should make it easy and silk screen the callsign of the repeater on the underware and run them up the antenna tower. On a clear day these large briefs can be clearly read by someone with 20/20 vision from 25 miles away, eliminating the code problem once and for all.

Glad I could help.

Claude

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4129. AF2Y responds to KT4TR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87qhe8$i7r$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119534

Charles, you are forgetting a couple of facts that need to be set straight. It was you who tried to validate WB9BCC's claim to the medal of honor. I remember you calling me and telling me that Duane had received a secret medal
that was kept secret to protect the Israel state and that I should accept that as the truth. Well, Mr. Cuttone listened to the facts and went directly to your home and set the record straight. Now you are making excuses and trying to distance yourself from the demented, so called hero. You should have known better, but you were all caught up in the Porkbutt bullshit

I would like to see WB9BCC hiding in the closet on veterans day when the parade is going past the flag and all the great veterans are standing near. Maybe he would like to go visit my dear friends who are now a name on a granite wall. Yes, you really knew how to pick a friend. You were great when you told me that I was disgracing an AMERICAN HERO, obviously you were truly confused.

<<<Excuse me Bob, but you're exaggerating again. Never did I call you to make reference to the Medal of Honor and Israel. What did Israel have to do with this whole thing? You either took things out of context or are once again acting brazenly and irrationally.If I remember correctly the conversation we had dealt with keeping the lid on Duane's "Medal" because of the problem we were having with North Korea and according to him(and he must have told you the same bullsnot), his platoon brought by sub were sent on a mission to kill non-combatant North Korean commies such as government officials, teachers, youth leaders etc. How you interpreted Israel to be involved is astounding. Why did I support Duane while you were screaming he was a fraud? For two reasons (1) he'd been saying he's Jewish which also is a lie and you know that I take pride in my people as you do in yours. He said he was in a concentration camp and freed by a friendly guard. That too is bullsnot because Jewish children were sent to EXTERMINATION camps and never freed but murdered or used in sadistic medical experiments. Duane said his father was shot by a Nazi soldier. That's a lie too. Duane has absolutely no knowledge of the German language; was born and raised in Chicago or environs and is Catholic or Protestant. (2) I supported him because never did I believe that anyone could be so low as to lie about something as important and precious as the Medal of Honor. Even when you came on the air with irrefutable proof, I rejected it. Call me gullible and you'd be right. Call me too trusting and you'd be right but never call me unpatriotic because I love this country and despise anyone who tarnishes her image and Duane did exactly that. And if I were any less of a man I'd now still be supporting and talking to Duane as many do but dammit
I'd sooner talk to the devil if such a thing existed. Stop making me the fall guy for this incident because once I knew the truth out of the mouth of Special Agent Cuttone, I never spoke another word to WB9BCC and never will.

Although we don't get along nonetheless in this instance you were right; I was wrong. If this doesn't put an end to discussion about it, then go ahead and beat your drum until the cows come home. I simply will not care.
Doc.,AF2Y>>>

4130. AF2Y to "dradioman@my-deja.com"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87qi0deuo1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119535

In article <87pppf$v4f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
drradioman@my-deja.com wrote:
> What is missing here? Why would a special agent go the home of some
old
> middle class ham radio operator who frequents a location on twenty
> meters inhabited by retired old prunes with mental illness and tell
him
> not to talk to a certain other old fool? Are these my tax dollars at
> work? I suspect there is more to this story and its better that ZQ's
> story about the demise of his homosexual friend when he worked for
> IBM..

>>>>>>It's true. I originally made an error on the year. Special Agent
Thomas Cuttone of the West Paterson.NJ Branch of the FBI was in my home on
July 5,1998 to take down my verbal account of WB9BCC's "fairy tale" about
being the recipient of the Medal of Honor. Up until that time I believed
BCC. Cuttone told me in no uncertain terms that it was a LIE; BCC was an
insult to this nation and asked that I and every other ham who then knew the
truth, cease talking to the man. I complied more than willingly. Others have
not and it's a shame. There's been a lot of
raw deals on 14.313 but that story about being the recipient of the Medal
CROSSED THE LINE as far as I'm concerned. WB9BCC should be shunned by
veterans and non-veterans alike.
Doc,AF2Y<<<<<<<

4131. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raj <raj@lhome.com>
Message-ID: <38A0CEEE.E3F5A6AC@lhome.com>
Source record: 119536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

So is there a rec.radio.licensing or rec.radio.policy that
we should post our ads to, now that you all busy deciding
who is going to run your club?

No matter how true your message is, it loses its impact when
it is cross posted indiscriminately to irrelevant news
groups. This news group is rec.radio.swap. Either buy
something, sell something, or even bitch about ebay if you
want. Please keep your licensing problems/views out of here.

Raj

Derickam wrote:
>
> Finally some suggestions instead of ignorance and insults.
> If you were in our club I would vote for you for club president.
>
> Right on Keith!
>
> 73
> de
>
> --
> Derick Amburgey
> k7dxx
> derickam@wa.freei.net

4132. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: sean hall <shnews@pressroom.com>
Message-ID: <sa1j6fsnhse132@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

i'm afraid i'm one of the originals who thought cutting back the code requirement would virtually eliminate a 'how serious are you about being a ham' filter. in a way, i still do. but keith makes some very good points. our hobby is only as good as we want it to be. even if newcomers only have to learn 5wpm, it doesn't mean they need to stop there. maybe we SHOULD have neighborhood get-togethers. the only problem is that we might have to run for our lives when our TVI-laden neighbors show up!

sean hall
N1VU

4133. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K5JOE <k5joe@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <38A0D037.133849D0@swbell.net>
Source record: 119538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Very well said, I forwarded you message to all hams on my email list.
K5JOE

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Like it or not, restructuring is about to arrive.
>
> Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> room in the spectrum.
>
> Like it or not, there are going to be a lot of new Extras who are only 5
> - 13 WPM CW operators.
>
> Instead of whining about these things, let's figure out NOW how to LIVE
> WITH IT. We can't afford to eat our young, and that's most of what I've
> seen in here in the last couple of weeks. If you guys are
> representative of the ham radio community at large, we might as well
> write off amateur radio.
>
> I'm actually surprised that we are being given this chance to bring in a
> new influx of people to lobby against the sale of our frequencies to the
> highest bidder. We need to make the most of this opportunity.
>
> You 20 WPM guys, instead of griping about the new crop of Extras, just
> remember that not too long ago hams had to BUILD their equipment -- and
> your predecessors complained when storebought gear got so popular,
> saying that a bunch of know-nothing appliance operators were going to
> destroy the whole hobby. THEY WERE WRONG, and SO ARE YOU.
>
> We slow-code guys need to STAY OUT OF THE EXTRA CW SUBBANDS -- this will
> give the speed-demons less to complain about AND it will give us a goal
> to shoot for if we are into Beep Mode.
>
> We need to find ways to COOPERATE with each other!
>
> And EVERYONE start thinking of ways to demonstrate ham radio for the
> kids in schools and at fairs, because without them, we're sunk when
> wireless Internet providers start taking polls as to who should use all
> those frequencies. You want those kids to say "No way! I'm using that
> band!"
>
> Make a big noise to your friends about how easy it is now to get a

> license. Push no-code, push slow-code, THEN tune to the fast-CW bands
> to show the EXPERTS, not the REQUIREMENTS.
>
> Field Day ideas:
>
> INDIVIDUALS --
>
> If you are doing Field Day, STAY HOME this year! Print up a bunch of
> flyers to stick on your neighbors' doors inviting them to drop by your
> house and see -- make a block party of it, grill some hot dogs or
> something, and invite people to help log -- or even operate -- as you
> hit contacts all over. Enthusiasm is contagious!
>
> This is also a good demonstration proving that YOU are NOT the likely
> cause of their TVI, because you are on the air all that weekend and they
> wouldn't know it without you telling them.
>
> Get a couple other hams to help explain things, especially YLs and XYLs
> who can show it's not an old man's hobby!
>
> If you have any thank-yous from the Red Cross or other agencies for your
> work, post them prominently.
>
> CLUBS --
>
> Instead of hiding on your usual mountaintop, in your campers and
> trailers, pick a busy park and do a big presentation under awnings.
> Have a TV set running to show the lack of TVI "even with all of these
> radios transmitting all at the same time!" Go to the local media and
> start talking about this, get some attention and show them what we are
> using all of those free freqs for. Put up pics of hams doing disaster
> work.
>
> NO CLUB FD SITE SHOULD BE WITHOUT A DESIGNATED PUBLICITY REP, whose job
> is to get attention of the media AND of anyone and everyone who ventures
> near.
>
> Have someone build a QRP rig where everyone can watch -- maybe a new rig
> every hour or two -- then set up a remote site at the far end of the
> park, where you encourage visitors to try their hand at "HI" -- then
> give them a Certificate of Operation, logging name, date, time, freq,
> traffic sent and your call, and don't forget the time and place of your
> club meetings, web URL, etc.
>
> Give away handfuls of FREE "Associate Membership" cards to anyone who
> seems at all interested (ans especially "guest operators." This is
> where next year's members will come from.
>
> Show off other modes, too. Packet, space, ATV, whatever -- don't just
> spend all of your time trying to stack up numbers. Your record number

> of contacts won't mean anything if there isn't a Field Day in 2010, and
> you "low" showing won't matter if you help make it possible to have a
> Field Day in 2020.
>
> Get the newest, youngest, and oldest hams to work FD, and make a special
> sign to post when they are operating to attract attention. Get kids
> involved as best you can.
>
> Make a poster showing 3 or 5 simple questions from the Tech exam, with
> the answers (illustrated), to show how easy the exam can be with just a
> minimum amount of training.
>
> It isn't just Field Day, either! Find ways to promote the new structure
> of ham radio licensing. Get on your local talk radio (the commercial
> broadcast kind) and show some enthusiasm. Every group has at least one
> guy or gal who is a fireball, so put them to work.
>
> If you can get the local emergency services people to do a demo or
> display, all the better. If you have been doing work for the local
> parade group or anything like that, suggest that they can help you be
> there for them by throwing some publicity your way -- the award of a
> thank-you certificate to the club will get someone's attention.
>
> Above all, YOUR YOUR BRAIN as something other than a counterweight for
> your butt! Think of ways to attract favorable attention, quit your
> griping and GET TO WORK.
>
> This may be our last, best hope to keep the hobby going. If we blow
> this chance, then we DESERVE to lose out.

4134. Heathkit HFT-9 Tuner with Random Wire?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Bernazzani <dber@gis.net>
Message-ID: <57l1as4j0f8pvv03a98r7ru528b49tek3a@4ax.com>
Source record: 119539

Hello all,

A while back I got a used HW9 with matching HFT-9 antenna tuner. The tuner did not come with a manual (but I did get a good deal!). I've used with Coax feed successfully last year. I now want to try loading up the tuner with a random wire setup to work some of other bands (I have a 40/15M dipole but wanted to work 80M) I looked on the back (and inside) and noticed three screw-terminals labeled as follows:

```
-------------------------------------
Term1 Term2 Term3
| |
+--------+ Balanced Line
wire
-------------------------------------
```

Where it says "wire", there is a physical jumper wire connecting screw terminal 1 and terminal 2. On the inside of the tuner, terminal 1 is connected to the center pin of the Antenna jack and to C2 of the actual tuner. Terminal two and three run into some form of matching balun (4:1?) inside the tuner probably for balanced lines.

Anyway, what is the proper procedure for hooking up a random wire to this tuner? Should I simply connect the random wire antenna to screw terminal 1 and remove the existing jumper wire which is there? Is the jumper wire only needed for balanced line hook-up?

It seems fairly obvious, but I don't want to smoke any equipment for lack of knowledge...

Thanks for any help you can provide!

Regards,

Dave Bernazzani
dber@gis.net

4135. WTB: Yaesu Accessorys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed biedricki <n2qjn@webspan.net>
Message-ID: <38A0D767.560934DF@webspan.net>
Source record: 119540

Hello All,

Thank you fro reading this message. I am looking for the following accessories for the 901. QTR-24(World Clock) YP-150 (Dummy Load / Watt Meter).

Eddie..N2QJN

http://www.angelfire.com/nj/n2qjn/index.html

4136. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: sherinsmiami@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22799-38A0D89A-26@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119541

What I am saying Jeffrey is that, all other things being equal, the two examples constitute an incongruous comparison. Here is why ...

My example first. When we look at the FCC using Part 97 to regulate ham radio, the First Amendment comes into play substantially as a mitigating factor. Here is an example: the FCC might not want the KlMAN-type information bulletins but may be precluded from stopping them by the lst Amendment.

Now your example of someone wielding a bull horn in front of another's home in the wee hours. This isn't barred per se by the First Amendment. Clearly, it falls instead within the ambit of disturbing the peace and nuisance.

The fact that both have human speaking doesn't bring the 1st Amendment in as a mitigating factor. This legal stuff is so complex, not only to grasp, but to explain all the twists and turns.

The bottom line, Jeffrey, is that you have to do a legal analysis, which is like a chess game. After parsing each example, then you compare the apples with apples and the pears with pears.

The exceptions do complicate this analysis, because it is true that certain things that we say anywhere are illegal, such as advocating the overthrow of the government. That is the reason in the first sentence of this effort I wrote "all other things being equal." The statement is indispensable to a meaningful legal analysis.

Jeffrey, you always manage to spark great discussions. You know that if I happen to be incorrect, I'm always happy to learn and set the record straight. p.s. I was only a bit above average in legal analogies on the SAT.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4137. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Stuart <fstuart1@maine.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EY5o4.149$Tw1.716@newsr1.maine.rr.com>
Source record: 119542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Kudo to Keith,
This is a very fine example on how get good people attracted to Ham radio.
No trolling, no name calling, just F U N!
The ARRL should take note of your suggestions and Hype it up!
73 de Fred KE1BB
"K5JOE" <k5joe@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:38A0D037.133849D0@swbell.net...
> Very well said, I forwarded you message to all hams on my email list.
> K5JOE
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > Like it or not, restructuring is about to arrive.
> >
> > Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> > cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> > room in the spectrum.
> >
> > Like it or not, there are going to be a lot of new Extras who are only 5
> > - 13 WPM CW operators.
> >
> > Instead of whining about these things, let's figure out NOW how to LIVE
> > WITH IT. We can't afford to eat our young, and that's most of what I've
> > seen in here in the last couple of weeks. If you guys are
> > representative of the ham radio community at large, we might as well
> > write off amateur radio.
> >
> > I'm actually surprised that we are being given this chance to bring in a
> > new influx of people to lobby against the sale of our frequencies to the
> > highest bidder. We need to make the most of this opportunity.
> >
> > You 20 WPM guys, instead of griping about the new crop of Extras, just
> > remember that not too long ago hams had to BUILD their equipment -- and
> > your predecessors complained when storebought gear got so popular,
> > saying that a bunch of know-nothing appliance operators were going to
> > destroy the whole hobby. THEY WERE WRONG, and SO ARE YOU.
> >
> > We slow-code guys need to STAY OUT OF THE EXTRA CW SUBBANDS -- this will
> > give the speed-demons less to complain about AND it will give us a goal
> > to shoot for if we are into Beep Mode.
> >
> > We need to find ways to COOPERATE with each other!
> >

> > And EVERYONE start thinking of ways to demonstrate ham radio for the
> > kids in schools and at fairs, because without them, we're sunk when
> > wireless Internet providers start taking polls as to who should use all
> > those frequencies. You want those kids to say "No way! I'm using that
> > band!"
> >
> > Make a big noise to your friends about how easy it is now to get a
> > license. Push no-code, push slow-code, THEN tune to the fast-CW bands
> > to show the EXPERTS, not the REQUIREMENTS.
> >
> > Field Day ideas:
> >
> > INDIVIDUALS --
> >
> > If you are doing Field Day, STAY HOME this year! Print up a bunch of
> > flyers to stick on your neighbors' doors inviting them to drop by your
> > house and see -- make a block party of it, grill some hot dogs or
> > something, and invite people to help log -- or even operate -- as you
> > hit contacts all over. Enthusiasm is contagious!
> >
> > This is also a good demonstration proving that YOU are NOT the likely
> > cause of their TVI, because you are on the air all that weekend and they
> > wouldn't know it without you telling them.
> >
> > Get a couple other hams to help explain things, especially YLs and XYLs
> > who can show it's not an old man's hobby!
> >
> > If you have any thank-yous from the Red Cross or other agencies for your
> > work, post them prominently.
> >
> > CLUBS --
> >
> > Instead of hiding on your usual mountaintop, in your campers and
> > trailers, pick a busy park and do a big presentation under awnings.
> > Have a TV set running to show the lack of TVI "even with all of these
> > radios transmitting all at the same time!" Go to the local media and
> > start talking about this, get some attention and show them what we are
> > using all of those free freqs for. Put up pics of hams doing disaster
> > work.
> >
> > NO CLUB FD SITE SHOULD BE WITHOUT A DESIGNATED PUBLICITY REP, whose job
> > is to get attention of the media AND of anyone and everyone who ventures
> > near.
> >
> > Have someone build a QRP rig where everyone can watch -- maybe a new rig
> > every hour or two -- then set up a remote site at the far end of the
> > park, where you encourage visitors to try their hand at "HI" -- then
> > give them a Certificate of Operation, logging name, date, time, freq,
> > traffic sent and your call, and don't forget the time and place of your
> > club meetings, web URL, etc.

> >
> > Give away handfuls of FREE "Associate Membership" cards to anyone who
> > seems at all interested (ans especially "guest operators." This is
> > where next year's members will come from.
> >
> > Show off other modes, too. Packet, space, ATV, whatever -- don't just
> > spend all of your time trying to stack up numbers. Your record number
> > of contacts won't mean anything if there isn't a Field Day in 2010, and
> > you "low" showing won't matter if you help make it possible to have a
> > Field Day in 2020.
> >
> > Get the newest, youngest, and oldest hams to work FD, and make a special
> > sign to post when they are operating to attract attention. Get kids
> > involved as best you can.
> >
> > Make a poster showing 3 or 5 simple questions from the Tech exam, with
> > the answers (illustrated), to show how easy the exam can be with just a
> > minimum amount of training.
> >
> > It isn't just Field Day, either! Find ways to promote the new structure
> > of ham radio licensing. Get on your local talk radio (the commercial
> > broadcast kind) and show some enthusiasm. Every group has at least one
> > guy or gal who is a fireball, so put them to work.
> >
> > If you can get the local emergency services people to do a demo or
> > display, all the better. If you have been doing work for the local
> > parade group or anything like that, suggest that they can help you be
> > there for them by throwing some publicity your way -- the award of a
> > thank-you certificate to the club will get someone's attention.
> >
> > Above all, YOUR YOUR BRAIN as something other than a counterweight for
> > your butt! Think of ways to attract favorable attention, quit your
> > griping and GET TO WORK.
> >
> > This may be our last, best hope to keep the hobby going. If we blow
> > this chance, then we DESERVE to lose out.

4138. Vanity calls for new 5wpm extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise <wa2ise@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <87npm0gfr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119590

I'll be becomming an "extra lite", "welfare extra", or "novextra"
depending on your preference. Should I get a vanity callsign like
x#EL or x#WE or x#NE? So I can send phonetics like "blah blah
extra lite QRZ?", "blah blah welfare extra QRZ?", or
"blah blah nov extra QRZ?"

:-) troll alert!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4139. Re: NEWSLINE = 'SNOOZELINE'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise <wa2ise@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <87npoeggc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119591

```
In article <389f2ff8.2546479@news.earthlink.net>,
rf@qrz.com wrote:
>
> Yawn......Newsline....boring......rename please to:
>
> 'SNOOZELINE'......
>
>
Okay, so don't listen/read it.....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

4140. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <87nn5j$k60$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119592

In article <389e4fca.98958514@news.newsfeeds.com>,
crouchinglama@netscape.net (Osama/bin/login) wrote:

>On Mon, 07 Feb 2000 03:08:00 GMT, Dale Harper
><docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>>In article <36bcf903.13494695@nntp.mindspring.com>,
>> Some@body.com (SomeBody) wrote:
>>
>>>The Welfare generals and extras won't give a fuck, because they'll be
>>>using SSB, or worse AM!!!!!!!!!!
>>>Die old geezer gasbags!!!
>>>We're coming and we're gonna ruin the frequencies for you!!!!!
>>> You'll never get on the air because we'll be good buddying and qthing
>>>and breaking 24/7 all over with 125% modulation, roger beeps and all
>>>kinds of cool sound effects!!!!!!
>>
>>...and they said the bands won't turn into CB.
>>
>
>Ummmm...
>a) Being in a ng is not the same as actually transmitting on a
>frequency.
>b) Nobody know this guy from Adam, there's a good chance he doesn't
>even have a ticket.
>c) Let him try this attitude on the air...watch what happens next...
>d) besides, from what is seen and heard, there's _worse_ folks in the
>ARS than on CB. The bands are _already_ CB. I avoid HF like the
>plague.
>
>That's one edge the satellites have...you gotta have half a brain to
>work them....
>

A) I wasn't referring to the newsgroups, I was referring to his attitute he expressed in relation to being on HF.

B) I can't argue that one.

C) I agree whole heartedly, and I hope his attitude does not come to fruition on the bands.

D) I have had a bug to try the birds and maybe soon, with time permitting, I will get to see the
joy you have seen playing with them. Sure sounds like fun and to my mind, quite an accomplishment.

4141. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <87noav$bvm@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 119593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

: "James Rosenthal" <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote in message news:87n1t7>
: > You mean that hams that have been code tested as Generals (and even ones
: > tested by the FCC) 20-40 years ago are ALSO "WELFARE-HAMS"?? Those -are-
: > the hams that the original statment was refering to.

BD (bodu@pobox.com) wrote:
: You said "The Advanced license give you only slightly greater operating
: privileges. It just is not worth the effort."

: I was just saying that it's "not worth the effort" only to those who
: haven't, well.. gotten the 'gumtion' (however that's spelled) to make the
: effort.. ;)
: For some reason, lots of others have done something that is "not worth the
: effort"..

My point was that this same line of thinking also applies to the many
Techs who -also- (for what ever reasons) didn't upgrade. But in their
case the PCAs called them "lazy". I really haven't seen PCAs calling
(code tested) Generals lazy becasue -they- didn't just take the give
away "welfare-ham" test. (Double standard strikes again.)
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4142. HF & 6m Rigs, VHF/UHF Antennas, Computer Products

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiotek@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <389f64d4.516973@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 119594

Please visit my website for HF & 6m rig, VHF/UHF Commercial and Ham Radio Antennas, as well as Computer Products.

Please Visit:

http://magelectronics.8m.com

Thanks & 73,

Mike KQ6MU

4143. Re: Vanity calls for new 5wpm extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <umKn4.6897$wR.684357@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119595

"wa2ise" <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:87npm0gfr1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I'll be becomming an "extra lite", "welfare extra", or "novextra"
> depending on your preference. Should I get a vanity callsign like
> x#EL or x#WE or x#NE? So I can send phonetics like "blah blah
> extra lite QRZ?", "blah blah welfare extra QRZ?", or
> "blah blah nov extra QRZ?"
>
> :-) troll alert!

How about N0CW

4144. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000207204256.25672.00002093@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 119596

OK both of you (ycthulhu and kevin) cut the "propaganda". The jamming is a direct result of the Liberty Net just plowing onto the frequency and tring to take it from others that had it. PERIOD>
Now for the SWL's, I guess I'll have to dig out the tape of VIC, you know, W1WCR, the Net Control station, along with KE2LO, discussing ways of getting more Listeners. then Curtis N5HDQ coming in with a comment about that and the Vic stating that they (the liberty net) have Thousands and Thousands of shortwave listeners. Not to mention the many many times net members have actually "broadcast" to SWLs. I'll dig em out OK? You know shit like Merry christmas to all of the swls out there. I'll find it and maybe we can put this to rest.
Your "lines" aren't fooling people, most are smart enough to know. 73

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4145. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <87o36n$n9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <389e05d1.3337516@news.interactive.net>,
billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 21:18:39 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> wrote:
>
> >In article <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>,
> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>
> >> >Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now
than
> >> >they did in the 1950s.
> >>
> >> For what purpose?

To be capable of doing what they did in the 1950s with the same jkind
of comprehension.

> >> To prove what to whom?
If you don't know read below.
>
> >The PURPOSE is to comply with the Basis and purpose of 97.1
>
> I must disagree. I had only a tech for 30 years and
> that in no way hindered me from working and supporting
> the basis and purpose of 97.1.

Speak for yourself Bill.

> >Even more important: to enable Hams, as a group to
> >be recognized as being more than appliance users and to make Ham
Radio
> >a valuable asset recognized by academia, industry and if need be
> >military leaders...not solely a fun hobby for conspicuous consumers.
>
> What asset does any ham bring to academia?
The ability to get college credit for courses and labs that they are
more than qualified for.
> To industry?
The ability to get the oportunity to get hired as technicians at a
level that recognizes their ham experience.
> To the military?
The ability to pass through military technical schools with advanced
placement due to their prior experience and training thus saving the
government costs in providing such training.

I did all of the above. I am not unique. Many other hams also did such
things.

> >> >Remember that the "Class A" license, which
> >> >became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
> >that
> >> >new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
> >>
> >> Does not compute. Advanced had no additional privileges
> >> beyond General when the licenses were redone in 1951.
> >
> >NOT TRUE...In 1951 you could not use radiotelephony on 20 or 75
meters
> >without an Advanced ticket. There was no 15 or 40 meter phone bands
at
> >that time. General class could only use phone on 11 and 10 meters and
> >higher frequency bands. All HF phone bands were later opened to
> >General Class.
>
> That later is, I belive 1951. PRIOR to 51 was when the
> advanced had the phone on 20 and 75.
I don't "believe"...I know. I held a General Class license in 1951 and
was only permitted on 10 and 11 Phone.
> >> >There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
> >> >communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
> >> >technique.
> >>
> >> So you are saying that hams should NOT be permitted
> >> to use certain modes until they pass a test on that
> >> particular mode (or set of modes, e.g. digital)?
> >
> >Not necessarily. I don't think that anyone could easily change the
> >present privilages. I would think that those who want to experiment
> >with newer digital techniques than are presently in use might have to
> >qualify at higher levels.
>
> WHY? What technical reason might be at issue if
> current hams are permitted to experiment (as indeed
> they are) today?

I understand that Canada now requires their Hams to use only type
accepted equipment. Is this what we want?

> >Perhaps those who want to run the higher
> >levels of RF power should also require more exams to prove that they
> >know what they are doing.
>
> I (me K2UNK) have no problem with that. There
> are already too many people that run too much
> power for their purposes.

OK.

> >Perhaps those who set up repeaters should
> >be able to prove that they are better qualified to do so.
>
> WHY? Has there been a problem so far?

No but IMHO such people can probably all pass appropriate exams. We
don't need to have problems in order to take measures to prevent them.

> >BUT anyone
> >who wants to show that he or she has gained the technical knowledge
> >from formal schools, on the job training or self study might want to
> >prove it...just like people who have the money to pay a fee can
> >now "prove" that they are qualified for a "vanity" call.
>
> Prove it (what?) to who? My technical knowledge
> from formal schools, company training and company
> on-the-job training doesn't need any ham license
> wallpaper to validate it.

Again...speak for yourself...BUT don't assume that technical ham
certification can not hold value for the young hams of the future!
A license that is recognized as being more than wallpaper will attract
hams who want to do more than talk to the wall because they know how to
do so and can be permitted to do so.

> >> >How else can hams be expected to advance the state of the
> >> >art and encourage the formation of a cadre of technical
> >experts????...
> >>
> >> Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
> >> the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
> >> requirements. No individual ham is required to become
> >> a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
> >> encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
> >> far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
> >> and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
> >> into the technical field I followed as a career.
> >
> >I agree...Hams as a group are required to be potential experts BUT
then
> >why should it be easy for all hams as individuals to earn an Extra
> >class license?
>
> Let's see... today only 1 in 10 hams is an Extra.
> That doesn't seem to indicate that getting to Extra is
> an easy path. Indeed, only about another 1 in 10
> are Advanced (no code test needed) yet we still
> have as many Generals that have NOT upgraded.
> Are they all inactive or SK? I really doubt that.

With the CW requirement removed and only three classes, 1 in 2 Hams
will be Extra Class after April 15th....Wait and see. Then what?
Don't ever doubt that.

> > Surely there must be incentive for some hams to earn
> >higher level licenses or the group will have no proof that they are
any
> >more than CMBers (citizen's multi-banders).
>
> What PROOF is needed?
> Who needs the proof?

If you don't know who needs proof you haven't been paying attention.

> >> If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
> >> become technical, well we should just obviously
> >> agree to disagree.
> >
> >Not every Ham but some Hams.. and thus not every Ham, but some Hams,
> >need qualify at highest technical levels.
>
> Agreed and that has been the case since ham radio
> started and is still the case today.

...Except there is no way to formerly prove higher level technical
qualifications.
And with only three classes of old fixed Q & A it can not continue to
be the case nor IMHO is it at the present time.

> >> >or have any Basis and Purpose????
> >>
> >> Seems like we have and use many aspects of being
> >> a ham besides just the technical. RACES, etc being
> >> formost in my mind.
> >
> >Not in the next millenmium when commercial portable communication
> >becomes cheaper and more robust than ham appliances.
>
> It still takes some smarts to set that station up,
> properly rig antennas, run cable, etc.
>
> >> > On another note it is interesting to see that although some Hams
> >have
> >> >suggested it, our government has never made it a requirement that
> >> >anyone who buys a ham transmitter or a ham transceiver hold a
valid
> >ham
> >> >license. Hmmm...Is this something that the ARRL has promoted or
is
> >it
> >> >something that the ham gear manufacturers have promoted? Even gun
> >> >manufacturers don't expect to sell guns to those who are not
> >qualified
> >> >or licensed these days.
> >>
> >> Just what we'd need. More government regulation.

> >> Just think of the hassle that would be in selling used
> >> equipment, selling at hamfests, etc. All any such law
> >> would do is make life difficult for those that already
> >> obey the rules and regs.
> >
> >No hassle at all, even Radio Shack now has a data base listing all
> >licensed Hams.
>
> The hassle becomes one that YOU and I then need
> to be at odds with if I want to sell my rig.
I don't sell my rigs. If I give them away I make sure that I give them
to licensed Hams. Wouldn't you???
>
> > It would be easy to identify licensed hams...I do so at
> >every VE session. Let them show their Ham license and drivers
license
> >for ident. If mail order is involved look up their call in one of
the
> >on-line data bases and compare with the name on their credit card.
>
> If I sell at a hamfest, I sure don't need the cops or
> the FCC overlooking my transactions to be sure
> I only sell to a ham. Such a law would, I repeat,
> only make work and trouble for hams who are
> and do obey the law today.

Well ...If they obay the law today and there are more laws added; they
can obey the law tomorrow...besides people aren't supposed to become
hams primarily to make profit from selling ham gear.

> >> > We have lost the Heath Company and can not expect to get new
> >> >Heathkits anymore.
> >>
> >> Heath folded up because of the economics. It was
> >> that simple. If you can't make a product that sells,
> >> then you don't stay profitable.

If a large U.S. firm can't support a CEO who makes over ten times what
their best engineers can make it either decides to make it off-shore or
give up making it. Thus if a very large market is not probable then
the firm sells out or folds. No need to stray further off topic here.

> >They folded because of rising costs of components and especially
> >because of rising costs of technical writers who are needed to make
> >instruction manuals, etc...and a marketing survey that showed
> >that "dumbed down" hobbiests were not as interested in building kits
as
> >part of their hobbies as they were in the past...and that our
> >government was afraid that the kits might get into the hands of the
> >unsupervised latch-key children who eat paint chips and would surely
> >burn their houses down if they had access to soldering irons!

>
> Translation: They couldn't make a product that sells.
> Dumbed down had nothing to do with it. As to
> the government worried about kits getting into the hands
> of latch-key children...well that is really far fetched.

Is it far fetched that our government is now going to ban the sale of solder that contains lead??? Heathkits would have to be a lot more expensive if they were forced to have Silver-Bismuth solder with non-toxic flux and a higher temperature melting point.

> >> >This is IMHO bad for hams who would at least like
> >> >to assemble their own stuff from kits. There are still a few U.S.
> >> >companies who sell electronics kits. I had posted about a kit company
> >> >called Ramsey. I built a 40 watt two meter ham amplifier from a
> >Ramsey
> >> >kit and it works well.
> >>
> >> So someone figured out a way to do so and make a profit.
> >
> >Yep.. On a smaller scale...with lesser expectations.
>
> Then we don't have any problem on that point.
>
> >> >Now I hear that our government is shutting
> >> >down Ramsey...because it sells very low power FM xmitter kits that
> >> >are well within legal limits...but that the government believes
> >> >may be used by spies.
> >>
> >> I suspect that is more legend than fact.
> >
> >Nope... I have read reports about the confiscation of Ramsey kits by
> >federal authorities from several sources.
>
> Confiscation of ALL or only certain KITS?

I don't think that the agents have the smarts to identify one kit from another.
According to a "W5YI Report"
"About $30,000 in products were confiscated from Ramsey which the Customs Agents turned over to the U.S. attourny in Buffalo. John Ramsey, the firm's president said none of the merchandice was designed to be sold as 'surreptitious surveillance equipment'. In fact he said, most of it...like a wireless microphone kit that sells for less than $6.00 - was ill-suited for espionage. The U.S. government is apparanyly re-inventing federal wiretapping and smuggling laws to include small hobby transmitters."
<end of quote>
AND...You think the government would be hassling Hams if the Hams who wanted to sell their transmitters had to identify the buyers as

licensed Hams???
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
Cheers,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Identify Licensed Purchasers!)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4146. Re: AF2Y says SHEER HYPOCRISY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hammer007 <hammer007@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87o54o$os2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119598

In article <87lcm2$3s7$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> I have no argument whatsoever with KT4TR on those grounds. NONE. If it were
> not illegal to pretend to be a Medal of Honor awardee, the FBI never would
> have been to my home and the reason the agent was here was to see if my
> story of Duane's "heroism" matched the one told to Bob Youngblood and
> judging by the reaction, it did. However Bob had no right to declare that I
> sponsored Duane's lie because he knew that I never thought anyone would
> stoop as low as BCC did. So you see KT4TR was part sleuth and part wise guy.
> And if I had been able to produce a photo of that fake medal which never
> existed to begin with or one of Duane wearing something resembling it, he
> most certainly would have been arrested. Also KT4TR had no right lying about
> an association between the fake medal and the State of Israel. No such
> reference ever was made and in that sense, Bob took a page out of Herbie
> Schoenbohm's handbook which surprised me since I don't consider KT4TR a
> racist. His crime is in not coming out against those who are. Keep in mind
> that KT4TR made enemies because of his support for an anti-Semite from the
> Virgin Islands and once he dug that hole, he never was able to climb out and
> never will.
> AF2Y
> ----------
> In article <87l3j6$ho2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >And who do you think uncovered the glory robber, the Medal of Honor
> >wannabe WB9BCC who is a skumbag of the first order for posing as a
> >Medal of Honor receiver!!TA DAHHH KT4TR that's who, a Mighty Stump
> >Jumper. Of course the "Goodfellows " need a guy like "Faker" Dwayne
> >WB9BCC in their group. Adds class to the organization... In case you
> >did not know it, impersonating a Medal Of Honor receiver is against
> >Federal laws..Dwayne, WB9BCC, is lucky he did not end up in the Federal
> >correction center.
> >
> >Part97 Obey it!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >In article <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >> All afternoon I listened to K0ECK run me down because of my satirical

> >> embellishment of remarks on the air made by WA4KBX regarding Fred
> >Roll's
> >> leaving Joe's trailer in a hurry instead of staying the night. Yet,
> >now get
> >> this folks, that same fellow, Bill, K0ECK from Saint Louis, has no
> >> reservations whatsoever in communicating freely with Duane
> >Jacobs,WB9BCC, a
> >> louse who did his best to convince eveyone of his being awarded the
> >Medal of
> >> Honor which never happened. Thomas Cuttone, Special Agent of the
> >F.B.I.
> >> located in West Paterson,New Jersey, sat with me in my home on July
> >5,1997
> >> and told me that Jacobs was an impostor, a disgrace to the United
> >States of
> >> America and would appreciate if I and as many hams as possible would
> >STOP
> >> COMMUNICATING with the man. And that's the gospel folks! Can you
> >imagine the
> >> deceit, impudence and rottenness of anyone claiming to have been
> >awarded
> >> this nation's highest medal of valor and still being talked to on a
> >regular
> >> basis by K0ECK and others while simultaneously yours truly is
> >castigated
> >> because of rather innocuous remarks? And that raises a question: in
> >light of
> >> such hypocrisy, who have their heads on straighter-the crowd on
> >14.313 or
> >> 14.275. And I think the .275 crows labels themselves as Goodfellows?
> >Did I
> >> wrote Goodfellows? Good? HYPOCRITES is more like it. And here's
> >something
> >> else to consider. I could get on the air right now and openly
> >apologize to
> >> Joe, WA4KBX, AND I WILL IN A DAY OR TWO because of my silliness and
> >Joe
> >> would probabaly shrug his shoulders and pass everything off as ho
> >hum. And
> >> Joe would be right because MY REMARKS, not Fred's since he made none,
> >are
> >> easily forgettable. But are Duane's? Think about it, so-called
> >hypocritical
> >> Goodfellows. And isn't it strange that these Goody Two Shoers,
> >supposedly my
> >> friends, give BCC a pat on the back despite the official dislike of
> >same by
> >> our FBI while the other side has always shunned Duane after the truth
> >came
> >> out. I'm DISGUSTED!

> >> AF2Y
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
>

Charles, you are forgetting a couple of facts that need to be set straight. It was you who tried to validate WB9BCC's claim to the medal of honor. I remember you calling me and telling me that Duane had received a secret medal that was kept secret to protect the Israel state and that I should accept that as the truth. Well, Mr. Cuttone listened to the facts and went directly to your home and set the record straight. Now you are making excuses and trying to distance yourself from the demented, so called hero. You should have known better, but you were all caught up in the Porkbutt bullshit

I would like to see WB9BCC hiding in the closet on veterans day when the parade is going past the flag and all the great veterans are standing near. Maybe he would like to go visit my dear friends who are now a name on a granite wall. Yes, you really knew how to pick a friend. You were great when you told me that I was disgracing an AMERICAN HERO, obviously you were truly confused.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4147. For my pal Polly in West Memphis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: big fat floppy donkey dic <meinkook@aol.com>
Message-ID: <jeLn4.2365$pH6.19663@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119599

Hey Polly! - found this one online just 4 U - Enjoy, ya've earned it!

From _Easyriders Magazine_

ANIMAL SEX LIFE

by Don Sharp

Cross-country bikers who travel cuntless usually discover that to leave one nagging problem behind simply leaves a throbbing one in front. Fotunately, America's farmlands provide an abundance of domestic livestock tha can be exploited to reduce the swelling. The biker who uses such means may know that he is practicing a tradition sufficiently ancient to have been denounced by Moses.

Unfortunately, sex manuals neglect this dimension of sexual practice. They tell how it's done in a dozen countries, of acrobatic positions, of how to use cunt juice as a sauce for roast squab, but tell nothing of shagging animals. The following treatise may well be the first of its kind. Hopefully, this pioneer work will stimulate public discussion of animal-fucking. Perhaps someone will initiate a monthly journal devoted thereto, complete with centerfolds, advertisements for helpful apparatus, and a question-answer column (which the author hereof, being the only one qualified, volunteers to write). Further, the author hereof swears on a greasy chop manual that the lore presented herein has been gathered from years of attendance to the dis-course of plowboys, mule-skinners, swineherds, chicken thieves, and others of like ilk, well qualified to instruct. Henceforth, no biker should begin a cross-country run without taking this copy of Easyriders along for guidance.

Given the brevity of this guide, only the rudimentary procedures appropriate to common domestic livestock can be outlined. Exotic foreign species such as the yak or alpaca and wildlife such as bears and moose are excluded, as are dogs, these topics deserving treatises to themselves.

To consider cows first. Cows are basically nervous. They're like the prick-teasers of the 50's who would bat their eyelashes, lean over to show their boobs, flounce their skirts to show a beaver, and then shriek like hell if some bothered dude tweaked a tit. Cows can be attracted by a handful of cottonseed meal, a piece of bread (preferably whole wheat), even a bunch of grass. They will hang around, switching their tails to show off their

cunts, then get jumpy and run off as soon as the cow-screwer gets serious.

Therefore, to fuck a cow requires that it be immobilized, a fact long recognized in rural architecture. As long as milk-maids did the milking, it was done in the open, the cow being kept in place by a bucket of eating goodies. With the development of large dairies, men took over and the barns built to shelter milking were cleverly contrived to assist cow-screwing.

The cow was headed into a stall, its head locked in a stanchion, and hobbles added according to the disposition of the cow and the agility of the cow-shagger. Posts ran up to support the roof at the cow-ass end of the stall, these posts being connected by horizontal 2x4s. The 2x4 presumably provided a place from which to hang milk buckets, stools, hobbles, and so on, but was, of course, carefully placed for cow-shagging, its height indicating the favorite technique. If about a foot above a man's reach, the cow-fucker leapt up, hung from the 2x4, and swung in to hook his heels in the cow's flanks, from which position he could achieve suitable intromission, regulating the stroke with his legs.

Were the 2x4 only slightly above head-high, the screwer clambered over and hung by the armpits. He poked the cow in the ass with a toe and when the cow switched her tail, he grabbed it in both hands, placed feet athwart hamstrings, and by pulling on the tail and heaving with the feet, could effectively achieve his purpose. This latter method lacks the passionate violence of the former, but suggests the method for the itinerant biker who must make do without the niceties of dairy barns.

Having found a cow, enticed it into grabbing range, and tethered it to a fence post, the biker goes behind, removes his boots, and gets his inner tube out. He grasps the tail, catches one ham-string between big toe and the next (like a shower thong), heaves up, catches the other hamstring, and begins to ream properly.

Unfortunately, cows have two serious faults. First, they'll shit all over you. You can't even fool them into dumping first by gigging them with a ratchet handle. The cow waits till the humper starts driving in to finish, then lets out about a gallon of slurpy, green cowshit. The poor, fucking bastard will splash it all up his shirt and get his pants full, and be grateful that he took his boots off.

Second, a cow is an indifferent piece, somewhat like thigh-fuck-ing a flabby, lard-loaded, ass-drooping fat woman; that is, hopelessly loose, ill-defined, and unresponsive, like screwing a plastic bag of warm Jello. Calves are some improvement, but their common diarrhea-like ailment known as "scours" renders them totally unfit. Yearlings are best, like median-age women, less full of shit but not yet become vindictive. As a final note, the

beef breeds, Angus and Hereford, are most tractable. Of dairy breeds, Shorthorn and Brown Swiss are preferred to Holsteins, which are especially likely to shit, and to Jerseys, which are just too damn nervous.

Horses are better than cows. Like some women, if you can get close enough to talk to them, you can probably screw them. Also, like women who must be taken to dinner or who get hot giving head, they can be seduced by edibles, preferably raisins. Sugar cubes are used only in kids' stories. A horse will stand still to be fucked, but won't tolerate any messing with its tail or feet. Hence, cow technique will not work, and a horse-fucker must have something to stand on. Traditionally, horses were "stump-broke"; that is, trained to back up to a stump, presumably to aid a bareback rider to mount and dismount, but, in fact, to assure cooperation when the plowboy wanted a piece. If biking in a group, members can support each other in turn. Else, the horse can be backed up to a parked scoot, provided it has cooled. Horses don't like hot, greasy metal smells.

A horse gives a good fuck, if a frustrating one. The big ass interferes with getting in deep, and while it's warm, firm, and confining, the horse fucker senses a tremendous amount of unused cunt that he simply can't reach. Guys uptight about their bore and stroke shouldn't screw horses.

Hasty fuckers will prefer goats, the most convenient of all animals to screw. An adult nanny stands just high enough for a bent-kneed fuck and the tail flips up as soon as the goat feels something poking at its snatch. A nanny gives a good fit and puts up no objections. In fact, that's what's wrong with goats. They just don't care. A goat can take on a whole bike club and chew its cud the whole time. A cow gets nervous like something wild is happening; a horse gets comfortable, like it digs what's happening; but a goat, like a Tijuana whore paid in advance, doesn't care whether anything is happening.

Sheep, though, are one of the choice pieces among quadrupeds, a fact long known (and kept suppressed) by shepherds. Like the girl next door, sheep want the fucker to be friendly, kind, and just aggressive enough to do the job, and they give back a fair fuck in return.

A cartoon in _Easyriders_ (January '75, page 50) illustrates a pair of bikers screwing some sheep by a method that would work only with an oversized Rambouilett ewe or with very short bikers. Also, anyone who used the naive technique illustrated would spend most of his time chasing the sheep around the pasture. To properly screw a sheep, pull your pants legs up above your boot tops, hoist the sheep by the tail, and drop its hind feet into your boots. With the sheep thus elevated and secured, the trousers can be lowered and milady enjoyed.

The sheep will look over its shoulder a lot; hence, the idea that one must kiss a sheep, a notion that has led some authorities to urge a sheep-superior position, i.e., biker supine, sheep's forelegs astraddle his chest, etc. The idea is just plain silly. A sheep doesn't give a rat's ass whether you kiss it or not. Sheep do groove on sniffing each other's asses, so a foul-breathed sheep-fucker can blow some her way. However, it's hardly a necessary gesture; sheep certainly don't insist on it.

Now, while a sheep is a good piece, it may, unfortunately, have VD, either clap or syph. Indeed, some medical historians believe VD came to people from sheep. Sheep-fuckers should avoid any that are obviously dripping foul stuff, and should carry protection for others. Rubbers, "sold only for the prevention of disease," are readily available, and if not, a prophylactic buffer of grease can be applied to the moving part. Vaseline is a virtual standard, but wheel bearing grease will do as well. Some users report gratifying results with coarse fibre grease, while others say a rapid stroke requires a proper high-speed lithium-base grease with molybdenum additives, and yet others insist on vegetable-base lubricants, since petroleum-base lubricants form carbon under heat and pressure, wherefore the sheep-fucker may withdraw his pushrod to find it coated with black, carbonized grease that requires repeated applications of Gunk or, worse yet, steam cleaning to remove. Given the potential difficulties, a sheep-fucker should carry rubbers.

Though easy to screw, sheep are stupid. You can't develop a meaningful relationship with a sheep; hence, the notorious promiscuity of shepherds. The animal that demands personalized cuddling and which returns affection with an excellent fuck is a pig.

The pig-fucker must enter the sty casually, like cruising at a party, as if getting laid were the last thing on his mind. He must greet each sow and give a scratch or two. Once he has chosen one, he must devote full attention to her. He kneels on one side and scratches behind ears and down the snout with one hand while the other hand scratches along the back and sides until reaching the tail, at which point the first hand works back and sides while the other hand goes under the tail to rim the cunt. Thorough courtship involves finger-fucking to assure the sow is ready.

Meanwhile, the pig-screwer must gently ease the sow into a corner of the pen, thus to inhibit her lateral movement. Any movements she can make will be agreeable fore-and-aft motions. Once she is cornered and finger-fucked into readiness, the biker inserts his rod. However, he must not slacken his caresses. If the sow thinks she's being taken for granted, she will sit down. And if the other sows see that, you'll never get screwed in that pigsty. A pig will not cooperate with a fucker who thinks she's too easy.

A pig is an even better piece than a sheep, and a well-fucked sow will grunt appreciatively. Opinions differ, though, on whether a pig is best of all. One ancient declared wistfully, in his impotent dotage, that "I've fucked just about everything, but I always liked pussy best." Asked about "second best," he replied at once: "A chicken."

The old man knew his fucking. If a pig isn't second best, a chicken is. A hen doesn't need much petting, but she does need to be talked to. Some authorities view this talk as like that used on those women who will be divested of garments and shagged in every position as long as the word "sex" is never uttered. Others view it as the "sweet nothings" that add their own dimension to getting laid. Either way, you've got to talk to a chicken.

The approach begins with the chicken-fucker getting down on all fours to establish eye contact (while avoiding inadvertent hand contact with chicken shit), and saying "kuh-kuh-kuh." That's the basic line, but it can be varied to "keh-keh-keh" or "kee-kee-kee," if uttered in tones of sincere passion and devotion. Don't, however, say "chickey-chickey-chickey," for that's how farmers call chickens. To a chicken, it sounds like an order, which is a turn-off.

Once a chicken comes close and begins to respond to the small talk, a hand goes under its breast and belly and the hen is lifted up. Once its feet lose purchase, a chicken will sit still. However, the chicken-fucker must keep talking as he gets his cock into place. Don't be offended by the thought that a chicken's asshole and its cunt are functionally the same aperture, of which only one is provided. The chicken isn't going to apologize for it, and certainly, among humankind, the former has been taken for the latter often enough and the fucker never the wiser.

As with a porcupine, a chicken must be screwed carefully. Even allowing for the exaggeration of bike-club boasting, your average Rhode Island Red can't accommodate more than half the average biker's cock, a Leghorn no more than a third. However, as anyone who has watched an egg being laid knows, that half or third can enjoy some extraordinary hospitality.

The old fucker quoted earlier added a note on how chicken-screwing could be elevated to the sublime. "Just as you go off," said he, "you cut its throat. That last, dying quiver..." This refinement presents the biker with a dismaying choice. To cut the throat of the chicken he has spoken to so intimately, the hen he has cultivated so carefully, seems to border on murder; to kill for mere lust seems gross beyond mention. Yet, one has not properly fucked a chicken unless one goes all the way.

Rural tradition did not view the matter as morally reprehensible. Usually, when the family got home from church, the farmwife sent a twelvish son to fetch a chicken for Sunday dinner. Son fucked the chicken before killing it, and enjoyed the dying quiver as a concomitant to obeying his mother's orders. The biker, then, can resolve the moral dilemma simply by taking the chicken along for roasting over the campfire. Any further doubts can be obviated by recalling that to spare the chicken may only mean its ultimate delivery into the fatal custody of Colonel Sanders.

In cutting the chicken's throat, the knife should be placed behind the neck and directed forward and down. To cut from under and upward may result in a facefull of chicken blood that severely distracts from that exquisite dying quiver. If buddies help, they can see to the cutting while the fucker concentrates on the quiver.

More could be said, of course, but as most readers hereof will be novices at animal-fucking, they should concentrate on mastering the fundamentals outlined here before attempting creative variations. Even the elementary level of animal-fucking will provide the cuntless biker's rigid stroker with solace superior to that available from a grimy hand.

----------end of article----------

----------comments by original poster----------

My three previous postings make up the full _Easyriders_ article as published. I have no idea if there were any follow-up articles or interesting letters to the editor in later issues of the magazine.

Much of what the article describes I know to be true, based on testimony from guys I know. For instance, cows will indeed dump on the guy who's "shagging" them. That's not necessarily a problem, though -- some people like cow shit. :-) And yeah, a guy really can hook his toes on a cow's hamstrings and stand on her hocks. The term "stump-broke" is genuine.

There's lots of stuff the article leaves out. No mention of men having sex with male animals, probably because of the article's target audience. No mention of coupling with the animals while they're lying down, which obviates the need for tethering or other restraints. No mention of one of the things that horselovers swear by -- the "winking" of a mare's vulva during intercourse. No mention of oral sex, either.

The author states that his article is meant as a guide to "cuntless bikers" -- men who (at least at the moment) have no woman lover, and who might want to satisfy their sexual desires with an animal partner. I don't know any animal-lovers who are motivated in this way. The guys I know do not have sex with animals because it gives them a warm hole to poke in the absence of a woman

-- they have sex with animals because they love animals and think animals are sexy. Sex with animals is not, for them, a _substitute_ for sex with another human; it's something they enjoy regularly in addition to or instead of sex with humans.

I should also say, I don't know (nor do I know of) any animal-lovers who have sex with chickens or any other fowl. All the guys I know who are into animals are "four legs and a tail"-men.

----------end of everything----------

4148. Re: KD1PL on the libnet stated that.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Message-ID: <389F908D.2D957F23@innocent.com>
Source record: 119600

KK7HO wrote:
>
[...]
> I'll dig em out OK? You know shit like Merry
> christmas to all of the swls out there.
[...]

Well, I'm sure many hams have said things like that, so I'll just stipulate that such things were said. I don't think that it constitutes broadcasting, any more than when WB0CXJ talked to FRG9600 (an SWL and webmaster), or when Vic says "Good night Mrs. Calabash, wherever you are."

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

4149. Titan 10 meter radio. Does anyone know anything about it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ajbate@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000207230914.29573.00002582@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119601

Titan 10 meter radio. Does anyone know anything about it?

4150. Re: Nomination: Retired superstar of rec.radio.amateur.misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87o6an$b3n$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119602

Robert Morris <AllAmericn@webtv.net> wrote:
>Jeff, sorry, the example you raise is an incongruous and convoluted
>comparison to the question of Part 97 and the 1st Amendment, U.S. Const.
>The immediate criminal law covering your bull horn example is disturbing
>the peace. Call the police; they're empowered to handle it. Otherwise
>it can be handled civilly under the cause of nuisance.

So you're saying that city/county/state penal laws can take precedence
over the First Amendment. You believe that amplified audio frequencies
are exempt from the First, but amplified RF should in no way be exempt.

You're dismissing this example too quickly, Bob.

73, Jeff KH6O

4151. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002080525080.486-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119603

On Sun, 6 Feb 2000 scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM wrote:
> Starting April 15th everyone on HF needs to start using code at 20 wpm
> to ID. The new Welfare Generals and Extras won't know who's on
> frequency. It will be a hoot.

Why limit it to HF? Repeater ID's can be code-only too.

4152. Re: I have a Motorola Talkabout 250 which operates on GMRS/BUSINESS frequencies instead of FRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002080528330.486-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119604

On Mon, 7 Feb 2000, Chris wrote:
> Put it on a spectrum analyzer... you may be off on your frequencies... Just
> because your scanner stops on that frequency, it doesn't mean that the radios are
> programmed wrong. It may just be your scanner.
>
> Chris
>
> P.S. Goto 462.5625(Channel 1) on your scanner and transmit with the (bad) radio..
> Then try 462.550 on your scanner..
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> > Ed Ellers wrote:
> > >
> > > TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > "My first thought was that it was some sort of "export" model...but FRS is
> > > only legal in the U.S. and these are marked "For U.S. use only" on the
> > > packaging."
> > >
> > > Actually there is now a European equivalent of FRS; it's called PMR 446 and
> > > provides eight channels between 446.0-446.1 MHz, which obviously isn't what
> > > came in your "illegal" radio. Several manufacturers are now selling PMR 446
> > > versions of their FRS radios. Britain's Radio Authority has a Web page
> > > about PMR 446 at http://www.radio.gov.uk/document/ra_info/ra357.htm.
> > >
> > > My guess about your radio is that they may have left out a jumper, or put
> > > one in that wasn't supposed to be there, or something along those lines.
> > > The obvious answer is to send the unit in to Motorola for service.
> > >
> > A more obvious answer is to sell it to a collector!

FIrst of all: Wrong NG. FRS and GMRS are not amateur radio. GMRS is
licensed, class A CB, so "rec.radio.cb" may be appropriate if there's no GMRS
specific NG.

Secondly, have you looked at the frequency allocations for FRS and GMRS? Note
the overlap?

4153. Re: TECH-PLUS/GENERAL UPGRADE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002080531360.486-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119605

1) Old news.
2) I'm surprised that you got it. I've heard from at least one pre-87 tech who's request to the FCC was actually DENIED, but his current license was revised from "tech" to "tech plus."

On Mon, 7 Feb 2000, Bill Kane wrote:
> Several weeks ago I read on the ARRL website that one can contact the FCC
> for confirmation of having possessed a technician's class license previous
> to March 21, 1987 and thereby prove that credit exists for the successful
> completion of the general portion of the written exam. Being a tech-plus
> licensee, I wrote to the FCC and in 2 weeks time I received from them this
> very confirmation. The reply also had an official seal affixed to it
> signifying it as an official document.
>
> To say the least, I was very surprised at this quick and thorough response.
> It certainly saves a lot of time and hassle for me since I had moved and
> lost all of my old documentation. To any other ham in a similar situation I
> would recommend doing the same thing so that when the new license structure
> goes into effect, they can present this documentation to any VE session, pay
> a fee and immediately be upgraded to general.
>
> To facilitate this request, include all pertinant information to enable the
> people at the FCC to lookup these records, which by the way are on
> microfiche and not on a computerized database. Inform them of your name,
> address and callsign relative to the time frame pre-1987. If you know the
> exact dates of having obtained your technician license, provide that and it
> will make their task a whole bunch easier. In my case I knew that info
> because I checked it out on www.qrz.com where they were running a copy of
> their first callsign CD and that date of becoming a technician licensee
> appeared there.
>
> Send your request to:
>
> Federal Communications Commission
> Consumer Information Bureau
> 1270 Fairfield Road
> Gettysburg, PA 17325-7245
>
> Enclose a nice note thanking them for their assistance in this matter. That
> is what I did and received what I think to be a very fast response.
>
> Good luck & best 73's de K2ANE
>
>

>
>

4154. Re: CTCSS codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002080533160.486-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119606

On Mon, 7 Feb 2000, GH wrote:
> For all of you that think I'm a crook for wanting to know this.
>
> I am trying to find a way to filter out other counties that are on the same
> frequency. Due to skip, quite often I get this distant county and it is
> annoying.
>
> As far as the individual that answered my question in such a "positive" way,
> I am new to scanning and am trying to learn. Thanks for making me feel
> stupid with your "duh" comment.
>
> I hope everyone on this list is not as "caring" as the people that thought I
> was a crook or an idiot.

...Then ask your question on "rec.radio.scanner."

It might not work. It's possible that the PL is used only on the input side.

4155. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389FBC27.BD795F2@bctv.com>
Source record: 119607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> > BD wrote:
>
> > > And why is it always the have-nots who say such?...
>
> > Because we are also the "why-bothers" when it comes to the Advanced
> > ticket.
>
> Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?

Some are. The rest of us are just too busy achieving things of greater
importance.

4156. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <389FBC67.95687F91@bctv.com>
Source record: 119608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > > BD wrote:
> >
> > > > And why is it always the have-nots who say such?...
> >
> > > Because we are also the "why-bothers" when it comes to the Advanced
> > > ticket.
> >
> > Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?
> >
> > ac6xg
>
> Is Bill Gates an underachiever?

Only when it comes to using a comb or speaking the truth.
```

4157. NEED INFO ABOUT EIMAC 8930 tube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: seca4all <seca4all@altern.org>
Message-ID: <QUQn4.100$et3.803932@nnrp3.proxad.net>
Source record: 119609

Hi every body
I' need some information about the vacuum tube Eimac 8930,
plate voltage , grid bias voltage ... schematics ..all that you know can
help me so please write me to
ipas.m@netclic.fr

4158. radiot�l�phone.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: PJ <f5jtz@club-internet.fr>
Message-ID: <38A01D86.124B@club-internet.fr>
Source record: 119610

73 de patrice F5JTZ.
Suis a la recehrche de toutes infos concernant
radiotelephones suivants:

(Soft et hard) pour le passage dans les bandes amateur

ATR 420 digicom
ATR 9220
PRM80
Storno 6000
Ascom 940
Motorola (ramses)
TMF221 (portable)

ou autres....
Merci a tous.
Patrice / f5jtz.

4159. Re: Incompetent Amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@ham.radio.net>
Message-ID: <38A03B42.A95D245B@ham.radio.net>
Source record: 119611

Talk about incompetent hams, the other night I was checked into our state MARS net when we heard a station say break. The net control asked the break station to id and he had a two by two call amateur call. We were on 4.020 mhz on USB. What kind of hams are getting into the service/hobby that don't know the band limits. IT CAN ONLY GET WORSE!

David

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 7 Feb 2000 01:32:48 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 03 Feb 2000 03:14:55 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >>I look forward to your explanation of how a school which assigns "some"
> >>experiments which require soldering skill doesn't require "developed"
> >>soldering skill.
> >
> >Wire wrap.
>
> Or terminal strips, or just plain sloppy
> soldering.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

4160. AF2Y apologized to WA4KBX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87pb8e$325$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119612

To the thousands of well wishers out there who've sent congratulatory telegrams, e mails and made phone calls regarding my on-the-air apologies to Joe, WA4KBX, I want to thank you publicly. The entire matter was taken out of context since it appeared that some of the never-to-be rehabilitated StumpJumpers, i.e. the lost souls had been making hay with it in a futile effort at winning the gullible over to their side. The somewhat caustic and regrettable comments made about Joe's habitat were solely my responsibility while it must be understood that Fred Roll said absolutely nothing demeaning. In fact knowing Fred as I do, I can safely say that he was delighted in knowing that Joe would have put up his carcass for the night.It's more than I would have done. But jocularity aside, for an unexplainable reason other than the fact that Joe called me a "Christ Killer" which is ridiculous, inflammatory and prejudiced, I took a dislike to the man which I feel went overboard. Seemingly he felt I was anti South which also is untrue because my daughter married a gentleman from below the Mason Dixon Line and my son graduated from a southern law school. Furthermore when I vacation I head south not north and did you ever hear of a southerner retiring in the north? To go from the Sun Belt to the Trousers with Holes? Fuggetaboutit. And so the issue is history. Joe has no roaches in his trailer and Fred even remarked that he has an exquisite one to boot, not a roach, a trailer. I hope that some of you would speak to Joe to convince him to accept my apology to enable us to move on to a brighter future sans friction. It's time the war on the Internet and ham radio stopped and I'm doing my best to end it. Dan,W4NTI and Bill, K0ECK are right-enough is enough and hopefully when Riley shleps off the air Polly, Queenie, David and some other hetzers, peace and tranquility shall reign. In the meantime, how about some of you e-mailing Riley to ask for a moratorium on present day censure? WB2OTK has been off the air long enough and we all can benefit from his wit and wisdom. Riley should give the guy a break rather than see Rich sell out and get out. Looking forward to better days on 20 meters, I remain
Yours fraternally,
Doc,AF2Y

4161. AF2Y and DIVERSITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87pene$4ul$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119613

Don't you people understand that for a democracy to work, there must be opposing viewpoints? And why is a Conservative necessarily a Republican while a Liberal a Democrat? Many of our Senators and Congressmen represent a potpourri of both while still voting one specific way or the other. The big change in American politics occurred right at the end of the Reagan years. Ronnie was dynamic and exactly what we needed after Jimmy Carter was giving the commies the world from Jan.20,1977 to. Jan.20,1981. And I voted for Carter because I felt that Gerald Ford was a klutzy jerk who was going to knock his head to death on airplane doors. Was I a Democrat, a Liberal in 1976 when I pulled the lever for Carter. No. And was I then a Conservative Republican when I pulled the levers for Reagan in 1980 and '84? No. I was a concerned American who was seeing and fearing communist expansionism everywhere and felt that Ron would stop it and he did. So then what's causing the polarization today in our country? Why all the nastiness between Liberals and Conservatives? Because of the insidiuosness of the Christian Coalition which grabbed on to the coattails of the Republican party and has been dragging it down to destruction ever since. Abortion should be a POLITICAL ISSUE? Are you mad? Of course not. We have a myriad of problems both social and economic which must be addressed and we don't need to be sidetracked by those who'd mix religion with politics. Abortion must be left to personal choice and the clergymen and kept out of the political arena and when either McCain or George W. state so as fact, then either will become awesome in November 2000. Once the G.O.P divests itself of the religious monkey on its back, America will see a very close race which might very well swing to the elephant.

In article <3896E672.A4492DC@mindspring.com>, Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com> wrote:

Chip wrote:
>
> On Sun, 30 Jan 2000 14:36:11 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Louis Albert wrote:
> >> I am a liberal and proud and proud of it!
> > >
> > >
> > >Q: Do you know what they call a liberal who has been mugged?
> > >
> > >A: A conservative.
>
> Q: Do you know what they call a conservative that has been arrested ?
>

> A: a liberal

Good proof of the axiom that both are bankrupt, corrupt ideologies that have everything to do with imposing oneself on others, and nothing to do with morality, truth, or efficacy. Both of them stink.

Will KD7BFX

4162. Re: AF2Y and DIVERSITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87pjllqfs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119614

"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:

> Don't you people understand that for a democracy to work, there must
be
> opposing viewpoints?

blah, blah, blah.

Hey, Doc, this political rant has nothing to do with
amateur radio. Why don't you just QSY your senile ass
over to a political newsgroup.

And that's the way it is!

Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4163. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87pl7v$ri3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119615

crouchingllama@netscape.net (Osama/bin/login) wrote:

> That's one edge the satellites have...you gotta have half a brain to
> work them....

OK, squattingcamel, that means you're ideally qualified with
your half-brain. What a dump huck!

That's the way it is!

Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4164. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38A05B9E.B776F002@uci.edu>
Source record: 119616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Have you achieved what might be predicted or expected of you based on
> > your abilities?

> More - I push myself too hard.

Umm, I believe we were discussing amateur radio.

> > Or are expectations about your ability to achieve
> > rather low?

> Expectations are high, achievement is high. Ability, however, is average.

In amateur radio, these parameters would be inconsistant with the
observed phenomenon. :-)

> > Just wondering why you interjected your comment. Seems it
> > might be a sensitive subject for you is all.

> I just wonder why you did.

I'll answer your question after you answer mine. :-)

73, ac6xg

4165. KC8JBO page DONE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87ppas$uo0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119617

I have scanned ALL of KC8JBO's credit history onto a page at Homestead.com

I'm going to "hype" this fact to all the news groups & any other places on the web 'till I release the page's address for the general public to view the page at.

KC8JBO sure has some credit history questions to answer. THE BEST IS YET TO COME!!!!

Kick it up a notch,

'Da PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4166. KC8JBO web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87ppc1$uo7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119618

I have scanned ALL of KC8JBO's credit history onto a page at
Homestead.com

I'm going to "hype" this fact to all the news groups & any other places
on the web 'till I release the page's address for the general public to
view the page at.

KC8JBO sure has some credit history questions to answer. THE BEST IS
YET TO COME!!!!!

Kick it up a notch,

'Da PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4167. Re: AF2Y says SHEER HYPOCRISY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: drradioman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87pppf$v4f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119619

What is missing here? Why would a special agent go the home of some old middle class ham radio operator who frequents a location on twenty meters inhabited by retired old prunes with mental illness and tell him not to talk to a certain other old fool? Are these my tax dollars at work? I suspect there is more to this story and its better that ZQ's story about the demise of his homosexual friend when he worked for IBM..

In article <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> All afternoon I listened to K0ECK run me down because of my satirical
> embellishment of remarks on the air made by WA4KBX regarding Fred Roll's
> leaving Joe's trailer in a hurry instead of staying the night. Yet, now get
> this folks, that same fellow, Bill, K0ECK from Saint Louis, has no
> reservations whatsoever in communicating freely with Duane Jacobs,WB9BCC, a
> louse who did his best to convince eveyone of his being awarded the Medal of
> Honor which never happened. Thomas Cuttone, Special Agent of the F.B.I.
> located in West Paterson,New Jersey, sat with me in my home on July 5,1997
> and told me that Jacobs was an impostor, a disgrace to the United States of
> America and would appreciate if I and as many hams as possible would STOP
> COMMUNICATING with the man. And that's the gospel folks! Can you imagine the
> deceit, impudence and rottenness of anyone claiming to have been awarded
> this nation's highest medal of valor and still being talked to on a regular
> basis by K0ECK and others while simultaneously yours truly is castigated
> because of rather innocuous remarks? And that raises a question: in light of
> such hypocrisy, who have their heads on straighter-the crowd on 14.313 or
> 14.275. And I think the .275 crows labels themselves as Goodfellows? Did I
> wrote Goodfellows? Good? HYPOCRITES is more like it. And here's something

> else to consider. I could get on the air right now and openly
apologize to
> Joe, WA4KBX, AND I WILL IN A DAY OR TWO because of my silliness and
Joe
> would probabaly shrug his shoulders and pass everything off as ho
hum. And
> Joe would be right because MY REMARKS, not Fred's since he made none,
are
> easily forgettable. But are Duane's? Think about it, so-called
hypocritical
> Goodfellows. And isn't it strange that these Goody Two Shoers,
supposedly my
> friends, give BCC a pat on the back despite the official dislike of
same by
> our FBI while the other side has always shunned Duane after the truth
came
> out. I'm DISGUSTED!
> AF2Y
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4168. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38A05E8B.B75C0526@uci.edu>
Source record: 119620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?

> Some are. The rest of us are just too busy achieving things of greater
> importance.

Some do amateur radio AND things of greater importance.

73, Jim AC6XG

4169. GET GESUNDHEIT OFF MY BACK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <87pnrtlum1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119621

To the person responsible for unleashing on me Walter Gesundheit, W2DNE---I don't like it. He's froathing at the mouth and sending obnoxious e mails. Will you notify security at his mental institution that he's broken out and suggest they ready their bloodhounds. Thank you.
AF2Y.Doc

4170. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002081913.OAA01998@cotse.com>
Source record: 119622

This message was posted anonymously:

To dimwit@my-deja.com (Dimmy)
GO F#$@ YOURSELF!

4171. Re: KC8JBO page DONE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87q03l$35n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119623

In article <87ppas$uo0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:

> I have scanned ALL of KC8JBO's credit history onto a page at
> Homestead.com

Sure you have. Just like the AF2Y stuff.

> I'm going to "hype" this fact to all the news groups & any other
> places on the web 'till I release the page's address for the general
> public to view the page at.

If you had anything you would have posted the URL here. All this "hype"
is just that.

> Kick it up a notch,

BA BAM! More gas.

> 'Da PITHBOWL

Put up or shut up.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4172. david's son is better off dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87q50q$6pj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119624

the fags son is better off dead than to have him for a dad..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4173. Re: david's son is better off dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87q6gl$843$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119625

Mike:

That is a low blow on David. You sound like Porkbutt. Leave the family
out of this, Just jump on David. I expected better from you than a
remark like that. (think about what you said)

Gotchagoat

In article <87q50q$6pj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> the fags son is better off dead than to have him for a dad..
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4174. Re: AF2Y says SHEER HYPOCRISY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87q6po$884$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119626

You are so ignorant that you do not know that it is a federal law to pose as a Medal Of Honor receiver. But the Medal Of Honor does not mean much to you it is obvious. And for your information the FBI agent did go to Docs house, I know that for a fact!!

gotchagoat

In article <87pppf$v4f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
drradioman@my-deja.com wrote:
> What is missing here? Why would a special agent go the home of some old
> middle class ham radio operator who frequents a location on twenty
> meters inhabited by retired old prunes with mental illness and tell him
> not to talk to a certain other old fool? Are these my tax dollars at
> work? I suspect there is more to this story and its better that ZQ's
> story about the demise of his homosexual friend when he worked for
> IBM..
>
> In article <87kqf1$j1n$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > All afternoon I listened to K0ECK run me down because of my satirical
> > embellishment of remarks on the air made by WA4KBX regarding Fred
> Roll's
> > leaving Joe's trailer in a hurry instead of staying the night. Yet,
> now get
> > this folks, that same fellow, Bill, K0ECK from Saint Louis, has no
> > reservations whatsoever in communicating freely with Duane
> Jacobs,WB9BCC, a
> > louse who did his best to convince eveyone of his being awarded the
> Medal of
> > Honor which never happened. Thomas Cuttone, Special Agent of the
> F.B.I.
> > located in West Paterson,New Jersey, sat with me in my home on July
> 5,1997
> > and told me that Jacobs was an impostor, a disgrace to the United
> States of
> > America and would appreciate if I and as many hams as possible would
> STOP
> > COMMUNICATING with the man. And that's the gospel folks! Can you
> imagine the
> > deceit, impudence and rottenness of anyone claiming to have been
> awarded
> > this nation's highest medal of valor and still being talked to on a

> regular
> > basis by K0ECK and others while simultaneously yours truly is
> castigated
> > because of rather innocuous remarks? And that raises a question: in
> light of
> > such hypocrisy, who have their heads on straighter-the crowd on
> 14.313 or
> > 14.275. And I think the .275 crows labels themselves as Goodfellows?
> Did I
> > wrote Goodfellows? Good? HYPOCRITES is more like it. And here's
> something
> > else to consider. I could get on the air right now and openly
> apologize to
> > Joe, WA4KBX, AND I WILL IN A DAY OR TWO because of my silliness and
> Joe
> > would probabaly shrug his shoulders and pass everything off as ho
> hum. And
> > Joe would be right because MY REMARKS, not Fred's since he made
none,
> are
> > easily forgettable. But are Duane's? Think about it, so-called
> hypocritical
> > Goodfellows. And isn't it strange that these Goody Two Shoers,
> supposedly my
> > friends, give BCC a pat on the back despite the official dislike of
> same by
> > our FBI while the other side has always shunned Duane after the
truth
> came
> > out. I'm DISGUSTED!
> > AF2Y
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4175. Re: KC8JBO page DONE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <38a08a3e.35270828@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119627

On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 19:03:58 GMT, kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:

> I have scanned ALL of KC8JBO's credit history onto a page at
>Homestead.com
>
> I'm going to "hype" this fact to all the news groups & any other places
>on the web 'till I release the page's address for the general public to
>view the page at.
>
> KC8JBO sure has some credit history questions to answer. THE BEST IS
>YET TO COME!!!!
>
>
>
> Kick it up a notch,
>
>
> 'Da PITBULL
>
Your so full of shit you stink. You're all talk and no show. Do us a
favor and drop dead.
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4176. Re: KC8JBO web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <38a18ad1.35418350@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119628

On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 19:04:35 GMT, kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:

> I have scanned ALL of KC8JBO's credit history onto a page at
>Homestead.com
>
> I'm going to "hype" this fact to all the news groups & any other places
>on the web 'till I release the page's address for the general public to
>view the page at.
>
> KC8JBO sure has some credit history questions to answer. THE BEST IS
>YET TO COME!!!!!
>
>
>
> Kick it up a notch,
>
>
> 'Da PITBULL

This guys IP comes back to
smtpgw.hennepin.lib.mn.us

Who among you is in Minn?

>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4177. Re: david's son is better off dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87qajm$b87$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119629

In article <87q6gl$843$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
> Mike:
>
> That is a low blow on David. You sound like Porkbutt. Leave the family
> out of this, Just jump on David. I expected better from you than a
> remark like that. (think about what you said)
>
> Gotchagoat
>
> In article <87q50q$6pj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> > the fags son is better off dead than to have him for a dad..
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> --
> gotchagoat 2000
i ment every thing i said about that worthless son of a bitch and the
quicker he off the air the better.............................
> President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
> amateur radio personality club in the world!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4178. FT-100 power connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Mirabella <kjm@flo-bin.com>
Message-ID: <ux1o4.1980$Tc.108063@typhoon-la.pbi.net>
Source record: 119630

Just in!

The OEM power connector end that goes to the voltage source. Also the OEM
HF connector that goes to the voltage source.

Finally you can make your own cables.

www.powerwerx.com/order/

73, ken, KM6YH
www.powerwerx.com

4179. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray Green <mgreen@erols.com>
Message-ID: <38A0C416.DE15B761@erols.com>
Source record: 119631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Nice write-up Keith. A lot of creativity there; an excellent defense of your position. Let's hope it takes hold. 73 Murray, K3BEQ

Keith Wood wrote:

> Like it or not, restructuring is about to arrive.
>
> Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> room in the spectrum.
>
> Like it or not, there are going to be a lot of new Extras who are only 5
> - 13 WPM CW operators.
>
> Instead of whining about these things, let's figure out NOW how to LIVE
> WITH IT. We can't afford to eat our young, and that's most of what I've
> seen in here in the last couple of weeks. If you guys are
> representative of the ham radio community at large, we might as well
> write off amateur radio.
>
> I'm actually surprised that we are being given this chance to bring in a
> new influx of people to lobby against the sale of our frequencies to the
> highest bidder. We need to make the most of this opportunity.
>
> You 20 WPM guys, instead of griping about the new crop of Extras, just
> remember that not too long ago hams had to BUILD their equipment -- and
> your predecessors complained when storebought gear got so popular,
> saying that a bunch of know-nothing appliance operators were going to
> destroy the whole hobby. THEY WERE WRONG, and SO ARE YOU.
>
> We slow-code guys need to STAY OUT OF THE EXTRA CW SUBBANDS -- this will
> give the speed-demons less to complain about AND it will give us a goal
> to shoot for if we are into Beep Mode.
>
> We need to find ways to COOPERATE with each other!
>
> And EVERYONE start thinking of ways to demonstrate ham radio for the
> kids in schools and at fairs, because without them, we're sunk when
> wireless Internet providers start taking polls as to who should use all
> those frequencies. You want those kids to say "No way! I'm using that
> band!"
>
> Make a big noise to your friends about how easy it is now to get a
> license. Push no-code, push slow-code, THEN tune to the fast-CW bands

> to show the EXPERTS, not the REQUIREMENTS.
>
> Field Day ideas:
>
> INDIVIDUALS --
>
> If you are doing Field Day, STAY HOME this year! Print up a bunch of
> flyers to stick on your neighbors' doors inviting them to drop by your
> house and see -- make a block party of it, grill some hot dogs or
> something, and invite people to help log -- or even operate -- as you
> hit contacts all over. Enthusiasm is contagious!
>
> This is also a good demonstration proving that YOU are NOT the likely
> cause of their TVI, because you are on the air all that weekend and they
> wouldn't know it without you telling them.
>
> Get a couple other hams to help explain things, especially YLs and XYLs
> who can show it's not an old man's hobby!
>
> If you have any thank-yous from the Red Cross or other agencies for your
> work, post them prominently.
>
> CLUBS --
>
> Instead of hiding on your usual mountaintop, in your campers and
> trailers, pick a busy park and do a big presentation under awnings.
> Have a TV set running to show the lack of TVI "even with all of these
> radios transmitting all at the same time!" Go to the local media and
> start talking about this, get some attention and show them what we are
> using all of those free freqs for. Put up pics of hams doing disaster
> work.
>
> NO CLUB FD SITE SHOULD BE WITHOUT A DESIGNATED PUBLICITY REP, whose job
> is to get attention of the media AND of anyone and everyone who ventures
> near.
>
> Have someone build a QRP rig where everyone can watch -- maybe a new rig
> every hour or two -- then set up a remote site at the far end of the
> park, where you encourage visitors to try their hand at "HI" -- then
> give them a Certificate of Operation, logging name, date, time, freq,
> traffic sent and your call, and don't forget the time and place of your
> club meetings, web URL, etc.
>
> Give away handfuls of FREE "Associate Membership" cards to anyone who
> seems at all interested (ans especially "guest operators." This is
> where next year's members will come from.
>
> Show off other modes, too. Packet, space, ATV, whatever -- don't just
> spend all of your time trying to stack up numbers. Your record number
> of contacts won't mean anything if there isn't a Field Day in 2010, and

> you "low" showing won't matter if you help make it possible to have a
> Field Day in 2020.
>
> Get the newest, youngest, and oldest hams to work FD, and make a special
> sign to post when they are operating to attract attention. Get kids
> involved as best you can.
>
> Make a poster showing 3 or 5 simple questions from the Tech exam, with
> the answers (illustrated), to show how easy the exam can be with just a
> minimum amount of training.
>
> It isn't just Field Day, either! Find ways to promote the new structure
> of ham radio licensing. Get on your local talk radio (the commercial
> broadcast kind) and show some enthusiasm. Every group has at least one
> guy or gal who is a fireball, so put them to work.
>
> If you can get the local emergency services people to do a demo or
> display, all the better. If you have been doing work for the local
> parade group or anything like that, suggest that they can help you be
> there for them by throwing some publicity your way -- the award of a
> thank-you certificate to the club will get someone's attention.
>
> Above all, YOUR YOUR BRAIN as something other than a counterweight for
> your butt! Think of ways to attract favorable attention, quit your
> griping and GET TO WORK.
>
> This may be our last, best hope to keep the hobby going. If we blow
> this chance, then we DESERVE to lose out.

4180. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derickam <derickam@wa.freei.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.130a64989193c7898968a@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Finally some suggestions instead of ignorance and insults.
If you were in our club I would vote for you for club president.

Right on Keith!

73
de

--
Derick Amburgey
k7dxx
derickam@wa.freei.net

4181. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <38A225C1.7897@rpi.edu>
Source record: 119484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> On Fri, 4 Feb 2000, Andy Wallace wrote:
>
> : Thousands of poor souls must have considered the exams for the last five
> : years tough. The number of Generals has been about equal to the number of
> : Advanced holders. If the exams were so easy, why didn't the thousands of
> : Generals upgrade?? no code was required. What makes anybody think these
> : Generals who couldn't--or wouldn't--pass the Advanced exam will now jump to
> : Extra??
>
> The Advanced license give you only slightly greater operating privileges.
> It just is not worth the effort.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

Actually, the General-to-Advanced increment gives you much more addition
spectrum for the effort than Advanced-to-Extra does. If you like to
work 75m DX in the "window" (3,790 - 3,800 kHz), you need the Advanced
rating.

Curt Breneman
KC2EBP

4182. HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A0B0FB.120ACB5@bctv.com>
Source record: 119543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Like it or not, restructuring is about to arrive.

Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable room in the spectrum.

Like it or not, there are going to be a lot of new Extras who are only 5 - 13 WPM CW operators.

Instead of whining about these things, let's figure out NOW how to LIVE WITH IT. We can't afford to eat our young, and that's most of what I've seen in here in the last couple of weeks. If you guys are representative of the ham radio community at large, we might as well write off amateur radio.

I'm actually surprised that we are being given this chance to bring in a new influx of people to lobby against the sale of our frequencies to the highest bidder. We need to make the most of this opportunity.

You 20 WPM guys, instead of griping about the new crop of Extras, just remember that not too long ago hams had to BUILD their equipment -- and your predecessors complained when storebought gear got so popular, saying that a bunch of know-nothing appliance operators were going to destroy the whole hobby. THEY WERE WRONG, and SO ARE YOU.

We slow-code guys need to STAY OUT OF THE EXTRA CW SUBBANDS -- this will give the speed-demons less to complain about AND it will give us a goal to shoot for if we are into Beep Mode.

We need to find ways to COOPERATE with each other!

And EVERYONE start thinking of ways to demonstrate ham radio for the kids in schools and at fairs, because without them, we're sunk when wireless Internet providers start taking polls as to who should use all those frequencies. You want those kids to say "No way! I'm using that band!"

Make a big noise to your friends about how easy it is now to get a license. Push no-code, push slow-code, THEN tune to the fast-CW bands to show the EXPERTS, not the REQUIREMENTS.

Field Day ideas:

INDIVIDUALS --

If you are doing Field Day, STAY HOME this year! Print up a bunch of flyers to stick on your neighbors' doors inviting them to drop by your

house and see -- make a block party of it, grill some hot dogs or something, and invite people to help log -- or even operate -- as you hit contacts all over. Enthusiasm is contagious!

This is also a good demonstration proving that YOU are NOT the likely cause of their TVI, because you are on the air all that weekend and they wouldn't know it without you telling them.

Get a couple other hams to help explain things, especially YLs and XYLs who can show it's not an old man's hobby!

If you have any thank-yous from the Red Cross or other agencies for your work, post them prominently.

CLUBS --

Instead of hiding on your usual mountaintop, in your campers and trailers, pick a busy park and do a big presentation under awnings. Have a TV set running to show the lack of TVI "even with all of these radios transmitting all at the same time!" Go to the local media and start talking about this, get some attention and show them what we are using all of those free freqs for. Put up pics of hams doing disaster work.

NO CLUB FD SITE SHOULD BE WITHOUT A DESIGNATED PUBLICITY REP, whose job is to get attention of the media AND of anyone and everyone who ventures near.

Have someone build a QRP rig where everyone can watch -- maybe a new rig every hour or two -- then set up a remote site at the far end of the park, where you encourage visitors to try their hand at "HI" -- then give them a Certificate of Operation, logging name, date, time, freq, traffic sent and your call, and don't forget the time and place of your club meetings, web URL, etc.

Give away handfuls of FREE "Associate Membership" cards to anyone who seems at all interested (ans especially "guest operators." This is where next year's members will come from.

Show off other modes, too. Packet, space, ATV, whatever -- don't just spend all of your time trying to stack up numbers. Your record number of contacts won't mean anything if there isn't a Field Day in 2010, and you "low" showing won't matter if you help make it possible to have a Field Day in 2020.

Get the newest, youngest, and oldest hams to work FD, and make a special sign to post when they are operating to attract attention. Get kids involved as best you can.

Make a poster showing 3 or 5 simple questions from the Tech exam, with the answers (illustrated), to show how easy the exam can be with just a minimum amount of training.

It isn't just Field Day, either! Find ways to promote the new structure of ham radio licensing. Get on your local talk radio (the commercial broadcast kind) and show some enthusiasm. Every group has at least one guy or gal who is a fireball, so put them to work.

If you can get the local emergency services people to do a demo or display, all the better. If you have been doing work for the local parade group or anything like that, suggest that they can help you be there for them by throwing some publicity your way -- the award of a thank-you certificate to the club will get someone's attention.

Above all, YOUR YOUR BRAIN as something other than a counterweight for your butt! Think of ways to attract favorable attention, quit your griping and GET TO WORK.

This may be our last, best hope to keep the hobby going. If we blow this chance, then we DESERVE to lose out.

4183. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A0B4CF.A6E313ED@bctv.com>
Source record: 119544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Is a "why-bother" anything like an underachiever?
>
> > Some are. The rest of us are just too busy achieving things of greater
> > importance.
>
> Some do amateur radio AND things of greater importance.

Yep. It's all how you choose to divide your time. For instance my time
is better spent seeking a wife who doesn't think that "fidelity" is a
town in Pennsylvania than it would be practicing CW.

4184. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: walter@dump_huck.com
Message-ID: <38a0b29c.66562321@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119545

On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 17:54:17 GMT, Walter <walter@dump_huck.com>
bleated forth through his anal orifice thusly:

>crouchingllama@netscape.net (Osama/bin/login) wrote:
>
>> That's one edge the satellites have...you gotta have half a brain to
>> work them....
>
>
>OK, squattingcamel, that means you're ideally qualified with
>your half-brain. What a dump huck!
>
>That's the way it is!
>
>Walter

Due to defects like Walter, C.B. is already well-rooted in the
ARS. Hmmmm....I bet Walter _likes_ roots in the ars(e)....

And that's the way he is!

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4185. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A0B6F8.5A17F53E@bctv.com>
Source record: 119546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Murray Green wrote:
>
> Nice write-up Keith. A lot of creativity there; an excellent defense of your
> position. Let's hope it takes hold. 73 Murray, K3BEQ

Thanks. We'll see, soon enough.

I'm just afraid that too many of the people here are all too happy to
fight in a burning house.

>
> Keith Wood wrote:
>
> > Like it or not, restructuring is about to arrive.
> >
> > Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> > cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> > room in the spectrum.
> >
> > Like it or not, there are going to be a lot of new Extras who are only 5
> > - 13 WPM CW operators.
> >
> > Instead of whining about these things, let's figure out NOW how to LIVE
> > WITH IT. We can't afford to eat our young, and that's most of what I've
> > seen in here in the last couple of weeks. If you guys are
> > representative of the ham radio community at large, we might as well
> > write off amateur radio.
> >
> > I'm actually surprised that we are being given this chance to bring in a
> > new influx of people to lobby against the sale of our frequencies to the
> > highest bidder. We need to make the most of this opportunity.
> >
> > You 20 WPM guys, instead of griping about the new crop of Extras, just
> > remember that not too long ago hams had to BUILD their equipment -- and
> > your predecessors complained when storebought gear got so popular,
> > saying that a bunch of know-nothing appliance operators were going to
> > destroy the whole hobby. THEY WERE WRONG, and SO ARE YOU.
> >
> > We slow-code guys need to STAY OUT OF THE EXTRA CW SUBBANDS -- this will
> > give the speed-demons less to complain about AND it will give us a goal
> > to shoot for if we are into Beep Mode.
> >
> > We need to find ways to COOPERATE with each other!
> >

> > And EVERYONE start thinking of ways to demonstrate ham radio for the
> > kids in schools and at fairs, because without them, we're sunk when
> > wireless Internet providers start taking polls as to who should use all
> > those frequencies. You want those kids to say "No way! I'm using that
> > band!"
> >
> > Make a big noise to your friends about how easy it is now to get a
> > license. Push no-code, push slow-code, THEN tune to the fast-CW bands
> > to show the EXPERTS, not the REQUIREMENTS.
> >
> > Field Day ideas:
> >
> > INDIVIDUALS --
> >
> > If you are doing Field Day, STAY HOME this year! Print up a bunch of
> > flyers to stick on your neighbors' doors inviting them to drop by your
> > house and see -- make a block party of it, grill some hot dogs or
> > something, and invite people to help log -- or even operate -- as you
> > hit contacts all over. Enthusiasm is contagious!
> >
> > This is also a good demonstration proving that YOU are NOT the likely
> > cause of their TVI, because you are on the air all that weekend and they
> > wouldn't know it without you telling them.
> >
> > Get a couple other hams to help explain things, especially YLs and XYLs
> > who can show it's not an old man's hobby!
> >
> > If you have any thank-yous from the Red Cross or other agencies for your
> > work, post them prominently.
> >
> > CLUBS --
> >
> > Instead of hiding on your usual mountaintop, in your campers and
> > trailers, pick a busy park and do a big presentation under awnings.
> > Have a TV set running to show the lack of TVI "even with all of these
> > radios transmitting all at the same time!" Go to the local media and
> > start talking about this, get some attention and show them what we are
> > using all of those free freqs for. Put up pics of hams doing disaster
> > work.
> >
> > NO CLUB FD SITE SHOULD BE WITHOUT A DESIGNATED PUBLICITY REP, whose job
> > is to get attention of the media AND of anyone and everyone who ventures
> > near.
> >
> > Have someone build a QRP rig where everyone can watch -- maybe a new rig
> > every hour or two -- then set up a remote site at the far end of the
> > park, where you encourage visitors to try their hand at "HI" -- then
> > give them a Certificate of Operation, logging name, date, time, freq,
> > traffic sent and your call, and don't forget the time and place of your
> > club meetings, web URL, etc.

> >
> > Give away handfuls of FREE "Associate Membership" cards to anyone who
> > seems at all interested (ans especially "guest operators." This is
> > where next year's members will come from.
> >
> > Show off other modes, too. Packet, space, ATV, whatever -- don't just
> > spend all of your time trying to stack up numbers. Your record number
> > of contacts won't mean anything if there isn't a Field Day in 2010, and
> > you "low" showing won't matter if you help make it possible to have a
> > Field Day in 2020.
> >
> > Get the newest, youngest, and oldest hams to work FD, and make a special
> > sign to post when they are operating to attract attention. Get kids
> > involved as best you can.
> >
> > Make a poster showing 3 or 5 simple questions from the Tech exam, with
> > the answers (illustrated), to show how easy the exam can be with just a
> > minimum amount of training.
> >
> > It isn't just Field Day, either! Find ways to promote the new structure
> > of ham radio licensing. Get on your local talk radio (the commercial
> > broadcast kind) and show some enthusiasm. Every group has at least one
> > guy or gal who is a fireball, so put them to work.
> >
> > If you can get the local emergency services people to do a demo or
> > display, all the better. If you have been doing work for the local
> > parade group or anything like that, suggest that they can help you be
> > there for them by throwing some publicity your way -- the award of a
> > thank-you certificate to the club will get someone's attention.
> >
> > Above all, YOUR YOUR BRAIN as something other than a counterweight for
> > your butt! Think of ways to attract favorable attention, quit your
> > griping and GET TO WORK.
> >
> > This may be our last, best hope to keep the hobby going. If we blow
> > this chance, then we DESERVE to lose out.

4186. Re: KC8JBO web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87qk9u$i52$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119547

In article <38a18ad1.35418350@news.mindspring.com>,
scorpion589@mindspring.comNOSPAM wrote:
> On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 19:04:35 GMT, kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > I have scanned ALL of KC8JBO's credit history onto a page at
> >Homestead.com
> >
> > I'm going to "hype" this fact to all the news groups & any other
places
> >on the web 'till I release the page's address for the general public
to
> >view the page at.
> >
> > KC8JBO sure has some credit history questions to answer. THE BEST IS
> >YET TO COME!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> > Kick it up a notch,
> >
> >
> > 'Da PITBULL
>
> This guys IP comes back to
> smtpgw.hennepin.lib.mn.us
>
> Who among you is in Minn?
>
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
>
>

Isn't that neo-nazi fuck KKKevin Strom in MN?
It's really sad that I've beaten these guys so bad they have to do such
things.
Homestead.com has no such web page.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4187. Re: david's son is better off dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87qko7igp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119548

In article <87qajm$b87$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <87q6gl$843$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Mike:
> >
> > That is a low blow on David. You sound like Porkbutt. Leave the
family
> > out of this, Just jump on David. I expected better from you than a
> > remark like that. (think about what you said)
> >
> > Gotchagoat
> >
> > In article <87q50q$6pj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > nd8vmike@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > the fags son is better off dead than to have him for a dad..
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> >
> > --
> > gotchagoat 2000
> i ment every thing i said about that worthless son of a bitch and the
> quicker he off the air the better.............................
> > President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
> > amateur radio personality club in the world!!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

I heard Riley's gonna take off a few of the regulars on 14.313.
It's no wonder the Goodfellows are bigger than Jesus Christ...
:>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4188. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87ql11iqf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119549

In article <200002081913.OAA01998@cotse.com>,
"Anon" <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote:
> This message was posted anonymously:
>
> To dimwit@my-deja.com (Dimmy)
> GO F#$@ YOURSELF!
>
>
That's literally what he's doing when he shags his female twin wife.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4189. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raymond Hutchinson <rdhutch@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <38A211A1.22F9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Keith,

Well said! I believe you are right on!

73, Ray, AE6H
--

4190. Yosemite repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lee <dwl@slip.net>
Message-ID: <sa1gqfdnhse101@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119551

Hi,

I'm trying to hit the Yosemite repeater (I think it's 147.000?) from the east bay Berkeley/Oakland hills area using a 5W HT. I also tried the linked Turlock repeater but still no luck.

My question is do I have any hope of hitting the Yosemite repeater from the Berkeley hills? If so how much power would I need? My guess is I'd have better luck with the Turlock linked repeeater. If I got a base antenna with some gain could I use my HT? I'd rather not have to buy a base station if possible.

Thanks
David
kf6asc

DWL@slip.net

4191. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38a0bfc2.5838650@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I second that "If".

On Tue, 8 Feb 2000 17:37:00 -0800, Derickam <derickam@wa.freei.net>
wrote:

>Finally some suggestions instead of ignorance and insults.
>If you were in our club I would vote for you for club president.
>
>Right on Keith!
>
>73
>de
>
>--
>Derick Amburgey
>k7dxx
>derickam@wa.freei.net

The "kf6foz BBS"
Redding, CA.
530-229-0896
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/
The BBS is back up... Ignore what the webpage says...

4192. Re: Yosemite repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38a0bff8.5892941@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119553

I lived close to that area and was able to get 2 repeaters on the same frequency. One of which was the Yosemite repeater, one had a negative offset and the other was a positive offset but cant remember which the Yosemite repeater was. The catch is, I was using a Cushcraft ARX2B Base antenna with 130 watts output in Fremont. I was able to hear it barely but no go on my HT from Redwood City Hills on a Larsen dual band mobile antenna. And your Frequency is correct, but try the opposite offset. You also may have better luck with a base antenna and no amp but cant tell you to do that.

On Wed, 09 Feb 2000 01:30:55 GMT, David Lee <dwl@slip.net> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I'm trying to hit the Yosemite repeater (I think it's 147.000?) from
>the east bay Berkeley/Oakland hills area using a 5W HT. I also tried
>the linked Turlock repeater but still no luck.
>
>My question is do I have any hope of hitting the Yosemite repeater
>from the Berkeley hills? If so how much power would I need? My guess
>is I'd have better luck with the Turlock linked repeeater. If I
>got a base antenna with some gain could I use my HT? I'd rather not
>have to buy a base station if possible.
>
>Thanks
>David
>kf6asc
>
>DWL@slip.net
>
>

The "kf6foz BBS"
Redding, CA.
530-229-0896
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/
The BBS is back up... Ignore what the webpage says...

4193. Re: EVEYONE ID WITH CODE ON APRIL 15th!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87qhh5$d4a$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119554

In article <36bcf903.13494695@nntp.mindspring.com>,
Some@midnspring.com (SomeBody) wrote:

>The Welfare generals and extras won't give a fuck, because they'll be
>using SSB, or worse AM!!!!!!!!!! >Die old geezer gasbags!!!
>We're coming and we're gonna ruin the frequencies for you!!!!!
> You'll never get on the air because we'll be good buddying and qthing
>and breaking 24/7 all over with 125% modulation, roger beeps and all
>kinds of cool sound effects!!!!!!

I suspect 30m will become quite popular...

4194. West Texas QST Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <kc5zrq@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KU3o4.10746$Mk2.427996@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119555

The West Texas QST Net originating from Lubbock, TX is online right now at
http://www.lubbockradio.net/net.htm

4195. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87qho5dcn1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <389FBC27.BD795F2@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Some are. The rest of us are just too busy achieving things of greater
>importance.

Like posting nonsense to the NGs. ;)

4196. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <no4n4x4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c25o4.33744$ox5.8109065@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 119557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Very well said Keith ! I am a "new" ham that passed the technician test before all of this restructuring came to light. I didn't really know what I was getting into, or weather I would be accepted by the 'real hams.' Them ol' codgers accepted me with open arms ! I think that people lose the fact that this is simply a hobby. Much like model airplanes or bowling. But your right... either USE the bands, or LOSE them to some deep-pocketed cellular / mobile radio provider. CAN'T WE ALL JUST GET ALONG.

Mike
K5JOE wrote in message <38A0D037.133849D0@swbell.net>...
>Very well said, I forwarded you message to all hams on my email list.
>K5JOE
>
>Keith Wood wrote:
>>
>> Like it or not, restructuring is about to arrive.
>>
>> Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
>> cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
>> room in the spectrum.
>>
>> Like it or not, there are going to be a lot of new Extras who are only 5
>> - 13 WPM CW operators.
>>
>> Instead of whining about these things, let's figure out NOW how to LIVE
>> WITH IT. We can't afford to eat our young, and that's most of what I've
>> seen in here in the last couple of weeks. If you guys are
>> representative of the ham radio community at large, we might as well
>> write off amateur radio.
>>
>> I'm actually surprised that we are being given this chance to bring in a
>> new influx of people to lobby against the sale of our frequencies to the
>> highest bidder. We need to make the most of this opportunity.
>>
>> You 20 WPM guys, instead of griping about the new crop of Extras, just
>> remember that not too long ago hams had to BUILD their equipment -- and
>> your predecessors complained when storebought gear got so popular,
>> saying that a bunch of know-nothing appliance operators were going to
>> destroy the whole hobby. THEY WERE WRONG, and SO ARE YOU.
>>
>> We slow-code guys need to STAY OUT OF THE EXTRA CW SUBBANDS -- this will
>> give the speed-demons less to complain about AND it will give us a goal
>> to shoot for if we are into Beep Mode.

>>
>> We need to find ways to COOPERATE with each other!
>>
>> And EVERYONE start thinking of ways to demonstrate ham radio for the
>> kids in schools and at fairs, because without them, we're sunk when
>> wireless Internet providers start taking polls as to who should use all
>> those frequencies. You want those kids to say "No way! I'm using that
>> band!"
>>
>> Make a big noise to your friends about how easy it is now to get a
>> license. Push no-code, push slow-code, THEN tune to the fast-CW bands
>> to show the EXPERTS, not the REQUIREMENTS.
>>
>> Field Day ideas:
>>
>> INDIVIDUALS --
>>
>> If you are doing Field Day, STAY HOME this year! Print up a bunch of
>> flyers to stick on your neighbors' doors inviting them to drop by your
>> house and see -- make a block party of it, grill some hot dogs or
>> something, and invite people to help log -- or even operate -- as you
>> hit contacts all over. Enthusiasm is contagious!
>>
>> This is also a good demonstration proving that YOU are NOT the likely
>> cause of their TVI, because you are on the air all that weekend and they
>> wouldn't know it without you telling them.
>>
>> Get a couple other hams to help explain things, especially YLs and XYLs
>> who can show it's not an old man's hobby!
>>
>> If you have any thank-yous from the Red Cross or other agencies for your
>> work, post them prominently.
>>
>> CLUBS --
>>
>> Instead of hiding on your usual mountaintop, in your campers and
>> trailers, pick a busy park and do a big presentation under awnings.
>> Have a TV set running to show the lack of TVI "even with all of these
>> radios transmitting all at the same time!" Go to the local media and
>> start talking about this, get some attention and show them what we are
>> using all of those free freqs for. Put up pics of hams doing disaster
>> work.
>>
>> NO CLUB FD SITE SHOULD BE WITHOUT A DESIGNATED PUBLICITY REP, whose job
>> is to get attention of the media AND of anyone and everyone who ventures
>> near.
>>
>> Have someone build a QRP rig where everyone can watch -- maybe a new rig
>> every hour or two -- then set up a remote site at the far end of the
>> park, where you encourage visitors to try their hand at "HI" -- then

>> give them a Certificate of Operation, logging name, date, time, freq,
>> traffic sent and your call, and don't forget the time and place of your
>> club meetings, web URL, etc.
>>
>> Give away handfuls of FREE "Associate Membership" cards to anyone who
>> seems at all interested (ans especially "guest operators." This is
>> where next year's members will come from.
>>
>> Show off other modes, too. Packet, space, ATV, whatever -- don't just
>> spend all of your time trying to stack up numbers. Your record number
>> of contacts won't mean anything if there isn't a Field Day in 2010, and
>> you "low" showing won't matter if you help make it possible to have a
>> Field Day in 2020.
>>
>> Get the newest, youngest, and oldest hams to work FD, and make a special
>> sign to post when they are operating to attract attention. Get kids
>> involved as best you can.
>>
>> Make a poster showing 3 or 5 simple questions from the Tech exam, with
>> the answers (illustrated), to show how easy the exam can be with just a
>> minimum amount of training.
>>
>> It isn't just Field Day, either! Find ways to promote the new structure
>> of ham radio licensing. Get on your local talk radio (the commercial
>> broadcast kind) and show some enthusiasm. Every group has at least one
>> guy or gal who is a fireball, so put them to work.
>>
>> If you can get the local emergency services people to do a demo or
>> display, all the better. If you have been doing work for the local
>> parade group or anything like that, suggest that they can help you be
>> there for them by throwing some publicity your way -- the award of a
>> thank-you certificate to the club will get someone's attention.
>>
>> Above all, YOUR YOUR BRAIN as something other than a counterweight for
>> your butt! Think of ways to attract favorable attention, quit your
>> griping and GET TO WORK.
>>
>> This may be our last, best hope to keep the hobby going. If we blow
>> this chance, then we DESERVE to lose out.

4197. WTB USED DSS RECEIVER "direct tv"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: area51now@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000208221652.00883.00000306@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 119558

i would like to buy a used dss reciever for direct tv.

4198. Re: Vanity calls for new 5wpm extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <87qrma$c4s$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119559

In article <87npm0gfr1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wa2ise <wa2ise@netcom.com> wrote:

>I'll be becomming an "extra lite", "welfare extra", or "novextra"
>depending on your preference. Should I get a vanity callsign like
>x#EL or x#WE or x#NE? So I can send phonetics like "blah blah
>extra lite QRZ?", "blah blah welfare extra QRZ?", or
>"blah blah nov extra QRZ?"
>

Just as long as you properly identify as a no code extra.

4199. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A10987.6407769A@bctv.com>
Source record: 119560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Derickam wrote:
>
> Finally some suggestions instead of ignorance and insults.
> If you were in our club I would vote for you for club president.

Then maybe you need to get on the back of your current club president to shake things up a bit.

But remember -- the president is only there to coordinate your activities -- YOU can get these things going.

Thanks for the vote of confidence, BTW, but I'm not even in any of the local clubs here in Utah (recent arrival).

And for the guys who wondered why I didn't post my call sign, I generally use my call on ham, my email address on the net, my phone number for telephone, and so on, and just plain didn't think it was all that important. I sit corrected.

Keith
N7JUZ, former KA6PCD
Tech since 1981, General in April 2000

4200. ACS Newsletter for 2/7/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87r30c$p40$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119561
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.info

Welcome to the Auxiliary Communications Service Newsletter, developed by the ACS Training Unit of the State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. Please visit our ACS Home Page at http://acs.oes.ca.gov

The purpose of this weekly newsletter is to bring those of us in emergency communications closer together by sharing common ideas, and by introducing new technology into the emergency services community. All individuals with an interest in emergency communications, disaster preparedness or helping the community at large are encouraged to participate here in an open forum.

You will find a virtual community here, with representatives from many different organizations with the same common goal: emergency response. We encourage everyone, from whatever organization you represent (or just yourself!) to bring us your ideas, suggestions, concerns, manuals, handbooks, or opinions so that we may share them with the group. To those of you who have just joined us, welcome aboard!

.....

Please Welcome:

Dave Lucas N6YYM <n6yym@arrl.net>
Oakley, CA

Scott Welch WH6QA <SWELCH@FOSTERCITY.ORG>
Foster City, CA

Raymond K Bedford KF6WPL <docbbq@yahoo.com>
Fremont, CA

Jack Nelson <jadn@chevron.com>
La Mirada, CA
Hello, my name is Jack Nelson and I am employed by Chevron Pipe Line Company In the Los Angeles Basin. I am one of two members on my team involved in the ICS. I have completed the ARC Emergency Response Training and am currently taking classes to train basic First Aid and CPR through the American Red Cross. I have also recieved certification from FEMA for Community Emergency Response.

Loyd C. Headrick KF4UGT <kf4ugt@hotmail.com>
Chattanooga,Tennessee

I've been an Amatuer radio operater for three years and work with RACES and ARES here. I work with Volunteer State Rescue part of the Emergency Services here. Primary response is water rescue. We all depend on communications for information needed to get the job done.

Mike Saculla WA6FQM <fqm@msn.com>
Stockton, CA
I'm president of the Manteca Amateur Radio Club (MARC). Our club is active in RACES with the City of Manteca. We regulary practice our emergency preparidness with various events during the year. Our biggest achievement to date was primary communications for Dept.of Water Works, et. al., during the floods in south San Joaquin county January 1997.

MARC is presently establishing ties with the San Joaquin chapter of the Red Cross to organize and strengthen our mutual capabilities.

MARC is also experimenting with multimode capabilities during emergencies. Along with standart FM voice operation, we are now incorporating packet, APRS, ATV and SSTV into our capabilites in the field. We plan also to develope simplex and crossband repeater systems for use if our main repeater is lost.

Douglas Stinson KG6ADR <douglas_stinson@excite.com>
Fremont, CA
I completed CERT training in Oct 1999. The CERT training focused on using "runners" to communicate between teams in the field and base. This seemed impractical to me. So my wife and I desided to join the group of CERT members who were also Ham Radio operators. Hopefully, we can contribute to effective communication via radio within our local CERT organization.

Doug L. Griffiths KC7JEL <kc7jel@lightcom.net>
Rupert, Idaho
You could call me the profesional volunteer. I belong to Magic Valley RACES/ARES, Civil Air Patrol, Disaster Services of Minidoka County, and I am a DSHR volenteer for The American Red Cross. I do have other things I do unrelated to disaster also, such as fighting the computer.

Brian Carroll <zaephod@gofree.indigo.ie>
Dublin,Ireland
As a Radio Operator with A Volunteer Fire Dept. I am always interested in improving communications. Looking forward to receiving new ideas. Keep up the good work!

Daniel Hancock N8DJP <n8djp@qsl.net>
Dearborn, MI
I am Deputy RO/EC for Wayne County Michigan. Wayne County has a total population of over 2 million (includes the City of

Detroit). I am also a professional police dispatcher with over 10 years experience. Our RACES/ARES organization is suffering from the same problem most volunteer agencies are, a lack of active volunteers. With many requests for amateur coverage for the Y2k non-event, we were stretched very thin. Had things gone wrong, we probably would n ot have been able to fulfill all the requests we had, this despite the fact we have over 150 "registered" RACES members. Most of these people are "ghost" members who for some reason feel a need to obtain their card but never show up for nets or meetings, or actual events for that matter. Some who do show up for events have never participated in nets or meetings so they are of little value. Is there a cure for this problem? If there is, I'm unaware of it. Anybody with ideas to drum up activity/enthusiasm please let the rest of us know!

.....

Current Events:

From: Ross Peterson <WB6ZBU@pacbell.net>

There are 2 upcoming ham classes in the San Mateo area. The first class is March 4 & 5 (Saturday & Sunday) in Foster City at the Foster City Recreation Center, 650 Shell Blvd, Foster City. This class is primarily a no-code technician class, with testing & study materials available on Sunday for any class of license.

The second class is April 15 (Saturday) at the Mount Alverno Conference Center in Redwood City, 3910 Bret Harte Drive. This class will be the first class under the new licensing regulations and will offer code testing at 5WPM; upgrading without testing (for those who qualify); and classes for the new technician, general and extra licenses (the extra will require advanced study, please talk to me for information).

Both classes will start at 8:30AM each morning and will run until approximately 5:30PM. If you are interested please let me know. Class size is limited - please reserve early.

Ross Peterson WB6ZBU
Email WB6ZBU@arrl.net
650-349-5349

From: Cap Pennell <cap@cruzio.com>

Amateur packet radio APRS has a new "protocol" document soon to be finalized. The new document includes the following:

Conventional packet radio is really only useful for passing bulk message traffic from point to point, and has traditionally been difficult to apply to real-time events where information has a very short lifetime. APRS turns packet radio into a real-time

tactical communications and display system for emergencies and public service applications. APRS provides universal connectivity to all stations, but avoids the complexity, time delays and limitations of a connected network. It permits any number of stations to exchange data just like voice users would on a voice net. Any station that has information to contribute simply sends it, and all stations receive it and log it. APRS recognizes that one of the greatest real-time needs at any special event or emergency is the tracking of key assets. Where is the marathon leader? Where are the emergency vehicles? What's the weather at various points in the county? Where are the power lines down? Where is the head of the parade? Where is the mobile ATV camera? Where is the storm? To address these questions, APRS provides a fully featured automatic vehicle location and status reporting system. It can be used over any two-way radio system including amateur radio, marine band, and cellular phone. There is even an international live APRS tracking network on the Internet.

There are routinely between 150 and 200 amateur packet radio stations using APRS in California at any given time. For more information on APRS, check <http://www.tapr.org>. Or, for information specific to California and adjoining states, send email to <admin@lists.monterey.edu> with only "info aprs" (without the quotes) in the body of the message. A email message will be sent back to you with more information.

73, Cap Pennell KE6AFE

.....

What's New on the Net:

TestYourSmokeAlarms.com is a home fire safety Web site that distributes a free, monthly e-mail newsletter. Each month, our subscribers receive an e-mail reminder to test their smoke alarms along with brief, practical tips they can use right away to make their lives, and the lives of the people they love, a little safer.

The free monthly TestYourSmokeAlarms.com reminder can reduce fire death rates and assist firefighters by improving smoke alarm performance - and you can help. E-mail this message to people you care about and ask them to sign up. Tell your buddies at the fire house about this free service and put a link to TestYourSmokeAlarms.com on your fire station's Web site. Hang this message up on the bulletin board. Send it to your local newspaper. Tell the public about TestYourSmokeAlarms.com during fire prevention activities.

To receive this free e-mail reminder newsletter, you can sign up on the Web at: http://www.TestYourSmokeAlarms.com or send an

e-mail to:
HOMESAFETY-SUBSCRIBE-REQUEST@List.TestYourSmokeAlarms.com Sign up now, then spread the word. You just might save a life!
.....

This week's EMCOMM Bulletin:

EMC223 Statewide Comm Drill 3/5
To: Emergency Communications Units - Information Bulletin
To: Emergency Management Agencies via Internet and Radio
By: Auxiliary Communications Service (ACS) of the
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services

EMC223 Statewide Comm Drill 3/5 For release 2/7/2000

Continuation of a Statwide Communications Drill example

"STATEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS DRILL

AGENCY: Date:
Contact: Summary (yes....no.....)
Telephone
Number:

Instructions: Listed below are the different types of communication available. Underneath each type of communication to be tested are categories and a checklist. Please locate your category on the checklist and test that type of communication with levels below and above you (i.e. an OA would test with a local government and their REOC). Your test communications can take place anytime between 8:00am and 5:00pm on December x. If the test is a success check the Yes box. If the system is in place and you are unable to successfully complete the test, check the Fail box. If the type of communication is not available to you please check N/A.

COMMERCIAL TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS
Standard Telephone (Existing system used daily, operational capability is assumed.)
Cellular Telephones (Existing system used daily, operational capability is assumed.)
Automatic Ring Downs (Existing system ringing to the Warning Center, operational capability is assumed.)

California Warning Alert System (CALWAS)/National Warning Alert System (NAWAS)
These are two separate and secure communications systems consisting of hard wired direct telephone lines. The CALWAS system connects 56 of 58 counties in California. The NAWAS system connects all 50 States, FEMA Regional Offices and Federal Government Agencies to a National Warning Center in Arlington, West Virginia. Test calls are made daily on each system.

Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center ___ ____ ____
Operational Areas

Green Phone/Operational Dial Telephone System (ODT) is a State owned system which uses the state microwave system and/or wire lines leased from the telephone company. The Warning Center can be reached at #####.
Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center ___ ____ ____
SOC/REOC.
State Agencies.

Response Information Management System (RIMS) (Specifically network connectivity) RIMS is used on a daily basis for the exchange of information among the Warning Center, SOC/REOC's, State Agencies, Operational Areas, Field Representatives and Fire & Law Regional Coordinators.
Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
Network (Client/Server)
Internet
No-Network (Hard Copy and Fax)
SOC/REOC
Network (Client/Server)
Internet
No-Network (Hard Copy and Fax)
State Agencies. .
Network (Client/Server)
Internet
Operational Areas
Network (Client/Server)
Internet (Net RIMS)
No-Network (Hard Copy and Fax)"

Continues next bulletin

To subscribe to bulletins, use the Subscription Services web page at <http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html>. If you don't have web access, just send an e-mail message to <mailto:emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>.

- Submit suggestions, topics or comments on the bulletins to cary.mangum@macnexus.org or cary_mangum@oes.ca.gov

Bulletins are on the ACS Web page: http://acs.oes.ca.gov -and a Landline BBS: 916-262-0856 (graphical & standard interface); and an Archive: ftp.ucsd.edu/emcomm or ftp.ucsd.edu/hamradio/races

.....

Please let others in the emergency services field know of the newsletter, and encourage them to join... If you have opinions, suggestions or written material that you'd like to share with the group, let us know. We're always looking for new ideas and suggestions that will benefit everyone.

See you next week!

Dave Larton N6JQJ
Deputy State ACS Officer
Auxiliary Communications Service
Telecommunications Unit
Information Technology Branch
Governor's Office of Emergency Services
State of California

(408) 778-7265
(408) 778-3101 FAX

larton@garlic.com
David_Larton@oes.ca.gov

Special thanks to ACS Listmaster Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>

Thanks also to the State ACS Training Unit:
Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>
Don Lynn <delynnj@msg.pacbell.com>
Perrin Larton <perrin@garlic.com>

SUBSCRIBING/UNSUBSCRIBING:

Contact ACS List Subscription Services at

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html

If you don't have Internet access, and you want to:

- Subscribe
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your new address, please send an e-mail message to the appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-sub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the action happen.

IF YOU WANT TO:
- Cancel your subscription
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your currently-subscribed address, please send an e-mail message to the appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-unsub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-unsub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the action happen.

If you have tried this procedure and can't get it to work, please contact <listmaster at harthaven.com> and place "Manual request" in the subject line. Be sure to include both your old and new addresses, if applicable.

4201. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <87r1uq$4rf$7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 119562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb thanatos999@my-deja.com wrote:
: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
:> On Fri, 4 Feb 2000, Andy Wallace wrote:
:>
:> The Advanced license give you only slightly greater operating
: privileges.
:> It just is not worth the effort.
:>
:> --
:> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

: Actually it is considerable. It is the amount of band space from
: advanced to extra (175KHz total) which is negligible.

: General to advanced almost doubles the HF space on the non WARC HF bands
: 15-80

You may be right. I was relying on my memory - which is known to be
occasionally faulty.

Thanks for the correctiion.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4202. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87r4eiptq1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:

In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:

>Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
>cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
>room in the spectrum.

It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your Technician class point of view, UHF might cease to exist (which seems to contradict the claim that the no-code V/UHF license would save that spectrum), but our M/HF spectrum is in no danger. It was 20 years ago at WARC '79 that we received three new HF bands; we had half the number of licensees then as today, and the pressure on the HF spectrum from commercial services was at its greatest than at any time in history. Regardless of those strikes against us, WARC awarded us three new bands. Today, our current 3.75 megahertz of M/HF spectrum is not of as much value as then (except to us). You have no proof that that ham radio will cease to exist in any time frame.

Previously, you called for "millions of new licensees in the next decade." Let's crunch some numbers and see what *could* happen with just the folks we currently have: Our M/HF spectrum consists of 3.75 MHz, with only say, half of it, 1875 kHz, useful for communications at any one particular time of the day. If suddenly, as if by magic, all licensees were granted M/HF privileges, we could possibly have 670,000 hams attempting to fill that 1.875 MHz. Okay, I'll grant you that folks have to work and sleep, so let's say at any one time, we have one-fourth of all 670 kilohams on the air, with two per QSO. That would mean each QSO would be separated by just 22 Hz. =:o

Okay, being even more conservative, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is available all the time, with say, one-tenth of all operators on at any one time: Now each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.

Worried that I didn't take frequency re-use into account? Say we could manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency; each such grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.

"Millions of new operators" are not needed. At times, the bands are jammed to capacity, especially 40m at night (and no, Keith, the sunspot cycle has no effect on 40m propagation).

73, Jeff KH6O
(Remember, Keith, "Mean people suck," so try to respond without any personal insults; facts with proof will suffice.)

4203. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A13B19.23FEC025@bctv.com>
Source record: 119564

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
>
> In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:
>
> >Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> >cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> >room in the spectrum.
>
> It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
> Technician class point of view,

Jeffrey, I just didn't believe that you are THAT STUPID! It isn't a "Technician class" or "Extra class" problem, it is a HAM RADIO problem.

Pull your head out of your butt and LOOK AROUND. There are companies willing to spend a lot of money to take over our spectrum, chunk by chunk. If the number of hams PERIOD falls below critical mass, the following treaty conference will see the US (and Europe) pushing for a reduction in ham frequencies, including HF, simply because there aren't enough voters who are hams to worry the Conrgresscritters. With only one American in about 450 having a ham license, and a large number of those are either inactive or SK, we aren't even a blip on the political scope.

The only way to change that is to work together and find ways to exploit our opportunities. If we fail to do that, WE WILL LOSE. I've gotten over 50 responses about this, mostly emails, and yours is the ONLY one which is completely negative and without value.

I took you off the twitlist hoping that you would be smarter than you apparently are, but instead of trying to figure out some way to help ham radio, you are still just whining about statistics and proof, and pretending that you are something special just because of your high skill in an obsolescent and minority interest.

It is clear that my first impression of you was the accurate one. You are bigoted, short-sighted, and you argue for the sake of arguing. You are, pure and simple, not worth my time, so you are going BACK on the twitlist.

Have a nice day.

4204. Re: New Extra Class licenses!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87rk0h$7of$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119565

In article <38840DB9.B468805D@usa.alcatel.com>,
Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:
> And I knew a General (now SK) with a 1X2. No big thing, right?
>
> Cortland
> (ka5s@saber.net)
>
> Johnny Rico wrote:
>
> > By the way, I'm an extra with a 1X3. How does this fit into your
New
> > Order of Extra Class Licenses?
>
I knew of a technician class licensee (now SK) who held a 1X2
call. The individual had a lapsed license for many years and
was re-licensed in the mid 70's as a tech and reclaimed the 1X2
call using the "previously held" provision during the previous
vanity program of the mid 70's.
Basically put, callsign format has NEVER been a definitive
hallmark in determining what class of license the individual
in question holds. To suggest that certain benefits of the
vanity call system be denied to people licensed after April 15th
seems silly and counterproductive.

.....Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4205. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM/9 <d.breeden@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Message-ID: <38A16ACF.8AB41130@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Source record: 119566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I only scan your post but for the most part I agree with it. I also feel a volunteer band plan for CW operators should be considered. Although it not been anywhere I have seen, for my +20 years in the hobby, a pattern I have notice, the slower operators keep the the high end of the band and the faster operators stay to the low end of the band, probably because the extra CW was the bottom of the band and there were a lot of 20+wpm operators generally hung around there. Even with the upgrade path to General for Tech+, I will stay what is currently at the high end of the novice portion due to my limited CW skills. I have no intention of calling CQ at 3505KHz, I will stick to 3700 to 3725KHz with the rest 0f the 6-10WPM ops.

4206. The 14.313 / 3.901 / 14.275 NEWS PAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Beer Nuts <beernutz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Nueo4.6190$pH6.185803@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://members.aol.com/jcunham/

Probably the cleverest, funny and downright entertaining
web page which is FULLY DEVOTED to bringing YOU
the latest breaking news concerning Ham Radio longenst
running soap opera: The 3.901 / 14.313 / 14.275 Story!

Whoever does this page deserves a Pulitzer.

(and yes - there's PICS of all your favorite players too!!!!!)

4207. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@ham.radio.net>
Message-ID: <38A1A603.6C0842F7@ham.radio.net>
Source record: 119568

Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
and UHF is... Think for a minute.......who operates on those
frequencies and who operates on HF....Do you see the picture now..

David
PS: watch out for the 'black' helos, there coming......

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
> >
> > In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > >Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> > >cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> > >room in the spectrum.
> >
> > It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
> > Technician class point of view,
>
> Jeffrey, I just didn't believe that you are THAT STUPID! It isn't a
> "Technician class" or "Extra class" problem, it is a HAM RADIO problem.
>
> Pull your head out of your butt and LOOK AROUND. There are companies
> willing to spend a lot of money to take over our spectrum, chunk by
> chunk. If the number of hams PERIOD falls below critical mass, the
> following treaty conference will see the US (and Europe) pushing for a
> reduction in ham frequencies, including HF, simply because there aren't
> enough voters who are hams to worry the Conrgresscritters. With only
> one American in about 450 having a ham license, and a large number of
> those are either inactive or SK, we aren't even a blip on the political
> scope.
>
> The only way to change that is to work together and find ways to exploit
> our opportunities. If we fail to do that, WE WILL LOSE. I've gotten
> over 50 responses about this, mostly emails, and yours is the ONLY one
> which is completely negative and without value.
>
> I took you off the twitlist hoping that you would be smarter than you
> apparently are, but instead of trying to figure out some way to help ham
> radio, you are still just whining about statistics and proof, and
> pretending that you are something special just because of your high
> skill in an obsolescent and minority interest.

>
> It is clear that my first impression of you was the accurate one. You
> are bigoted, short-sighted, and you argue for the sake of arguing. You
> are, pure and simple, not worth my time, so you are going BACK on the
> twitlist.
>
> Have a nice day.

4208. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@ham.radio.net>
Message-ID: <38A1A615.7E9F65D5@ham.radio.net>
Source record: 119569

Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
and UHF is... Think for a minute.......who operates on those
frequencies and who operates on HF....Do you see the picture now..

David
PS: watch out for the 'black' helos, there coming......

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
> >
> > In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > >Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> > >cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> > >room in the spectrum.
> >
> > It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
> > Technician class point of view,
>
> Jeffrey, I just didn't believe that you are THAT STUPID! It isn't a
> "Technician class" or "Extra class" problem, it is a HAM RADIO problem.
>
> Pull your head out of your butt and LOOK AROUND. There are companies
> willing to spend a lot of money to take over our spectrum, chunk by
> chunk. If the number of hams PERIOD falls below critical mass, the
> following treaty conference will see the US (and Europe) pushing for a
> reduction in ham frequencies, including HF, simply because there aren't
> enough voters who are hams to worry the Conrgresscritters. With only
> one American in about 450 having a ham license, and a large number of
> those are either inactive or SK, we aren't even a blip on the political
> scope.
>
> The only way to change that is to work together and find ways to exploit
> our opportunities. If we fail to do that, WE WILL LOSE. I've gotten
> over 50 responses about this, mostly emails, and yours is the ONLY one
> which is completely negative and without value.
>
> I took you off the twitlist hoping that you would be smarter than you
> apparently are, but instead of trying to figure out some way to help ham
> radio, you are still just whining about statistics and proof, and
> pretending that you are something special just because of your high
> skill in an obsolescent and minority interest.

>
> It is clear that my first impression of you was the accurate one. You
> are bigoted, short-sighted, and you argue for the sake of arguing. You
> are, pure and simple, not worth my time, so you are going BACK on the
> twitlist.
>
> Have a nice day.

4209. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@ham.radio.net>
Message-ID: <38A1A653.945E5FF4@wt.net>
Source record: 119570

Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
and UHF is... Think for a minute.......who operates on those
frequencies and who operates on HF....Do you see the picture now..

David
PS: watch out for the 'black' helos, there coming......

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
> >
> > In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > >Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> > >cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> > >room in the spectrum.
> >
> > It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
> > Technician class point of view,
>
> Jeffrey, I just didn't believe that you are THAT STUPID! It isn't a
> "Technician class" or "Extra class" problem, it is a HAM RADIO problem.
>
> Pull your head out of your butt and LOOK AROUND. There are companies
> willing to spend a lot of money to take over our spectrum, chunk by
> chunk. If the number of hams PERIOD falls below critical mass, the
> following treaty conference will see the US (and Europe) pushing for a
> reduction in ham frequencies, including HF, simply because there aren't
> enough voters who are hams to worry the Conrgresscritters. With only
> one American in about 450 having a ham license, and a large number of
> those are either inactive or SK, we aren't even a blip on the political
> scope.
>
> The only way to change that is to work together and find ways to exploit
> our opportunities. If we fail to do that, WE WILL LOSE. I've gotten
> over 50 responses about this, mostly emails, and yours is the ONLY one
> which is completely negative and without value.
>
> I took you off the twitlist hoping that you would be smarter than you
> apparently are, but instead of trying to figure out some way to help ham
> radio, you are still just whining about statistics and proof, and
> pretending that you are something special just because of your high
> skill in an obsolescent and minority interest.

>
> It is clear that my first impression of you was the accurate one. You
> are bigoted, short-sighted, and you argue for the sake of arguing. You
> are, pure and simple, not worth my time, so you are going BACK on the
> twitlist.
>
> Have a nice day.

4210. icom 3230h

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: realtor-news <realtor@cdc.net>
Message-ID: <45D566C466BD1FFE.2B885DC18808B509.ED58B85DF6A9C32A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 119571

I own a icom 3230h
i have lost the instruction manual.
if you still have one. i would pay for a photocopy of same..
thanks alot.
Debbie.

4211. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38a18e12.12621814@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 03:40:07 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <389e05d1.3337516@news.interactive.net>,
> billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 21:18:39 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>,
>> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>>
>> >> >Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now
>than
>> >> >they did in the 1950s.
>> >>
>> >> For what purpose?
>>
>To be capable of doing what they did in the 1950s with the same jkind
>of comprehension.

And what is/was that? Sorry, the general type
of answer does not tell anyone what that is or was.

>> >> To prove what to whom?
>>
>If you don't know read below.

I will look below.

>> >The PURPOSE is to comply with the Basis and purpose of 97.1
>>
>> I must disagree. I had only a tech for 30 years and
>> that in no way hindered me from working and supporting
>> the basis and purpose of 97.1.
>>
>Speak for yourself Bill.

I did speak for myself. You, on the otherhand
apparently wish to speak for everyone else. The point
is that one does NOT need any license to work in support
of the basis and purpose of 97.1.

>> >Even more important: to enable Hams, as a group to
>> >be recognized as being more than appliance users and to make Ham
>> >Radio a valuable asset recognized by academia, industry and

>> >if need be military leaders...not solely a fun hobby for
>> >conspicuous consumers.
>>
>> What asset does any ham bring to academia?
>
>The ability to get college credit for courses and labs that they are
>more than qualified for.

Examples please. What specific course(s).
Why couldn't the person apply to take an advanced
placement test to get the credits? Colleges don't
just hand out course credits that easily without
some verivication.

>> To industry?
>
>The ability to get the oportunity to get hired as technicians at a
>level that recognizes their ham experience.

Your expectations are based on the technology of
50 years ago in comparison to what industry would
and does require today. No comparison and additional
testing of radio subjects isn't going to help. Indeed,
just what type of companies might you think woould
be so inclined to hire hams (assuming you had a
"more improved" technical capability license?

>> To the military?
>
>The ability to pass through military technical schools with advanced
>placement due to their prior experience and training thus saving the
>government costs in providing such training.

Assuming one had the "smarts" those smarts would
advance the person anyway. A license is not
sufficient proof.

>I did all of the above. I am not unique. Many other hams also did such
>things.

When did you do them?

More to the point, the "radio" aspects of being a
technician today are more complex than years
past AND the radio aspect is but a small piece part
of being a technical person. Ham licenses don't
address all those other aspects digital, etc.) that
are a considerable part of today's consumer and
industrial electronics.
>
>> >> >There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
>> >> >communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
>> >> >technique.

>> >>
>> >> So you are saying that hams should NOT be permitted
>> >> to use certain modes until they pass a test on that
>> >> particular mode (or set of modes, e.g. digital)?
>> >
>> >Not necessarily. I don't think that anyone could easily change the
>> >present privilages. I would think that those who want to experiment
>> >with newer digital techniques than are presently in use might have to
>> >qualify at higher levels.
>>
>> WHY? What technical reason might be at issue if
>> current hams are permitted to experiment (as indeed
>> they are) today?
>
>I understand that Canada now requires their Hams to use only type
>accepted equipment. Is this what we want?

I don't belive that is true. Canda has one license
class that (I think) mandates commercial equipment,
but the Canadaian equivalent to the USA General
can still build, repair, modify any equipment.

>> >Perhaps those who set up repeaters should
>> >be able to prove that they are better qualified to do so.
>>
>> WHY? Has there been a problem so far?
>
>No but IMHO such people can probably all pass appropriate exams. We
>don't need to have problems in order to take measures to prevent them.

We don't need laws/regulations where we have no need
established. Government governs best when it
governs least.

>> >BUT anyone
>> >who wants to show that he or she has gained the technical knowledge
>> >from formal schools, on the job training or self study might want to
>> >prove it...just like people who have the money to pay a fee can
>> >now "prove" that they are qualified for a "vanity" call.
>>
>> Prove it (what?) to who? My technical knowledge
>> from formal schools, company training and company
>> on-the-job training doesn't need any ham license
>> wallpaper to validate it.
>
>Again...speak for yourself...BUT don't assume that technical ham
>certification can not hold value for the young hams of the future!
>A license that is recognized as being more than wallpaper will attract
>hams who want to do more than talk to the wall because they know how to
>do so and can be permitted to do so.

If what you say is true then the proposed ARRL
"certification" program will meet the need of those
hams that desire such certification. The government
should stay the hell out of such areas...EXCEPT
where absolute safety issues are identified...as
in the aviation industry.

>> >> >How else can hams be expected to advance the state of the
>> >> >art and encourage the formation of a cadre of technical
>> >experts????...
>> >>
>> >> Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
>> >> the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
>> >> requirements. No individual ham is required to become
>> >> a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
>> >> encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
>> >> far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
>> >> and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
>> >> into the technical field I followed as a career.
>> >
>> >I agree...Hams as a group are required to be potential experts BUT
>> >then why should it be easy for all hams as individuals to earn
>> >an Extra class license?
>>
>> Let's see... today only 1 in 10 hams is an Extra.
>> That doesn't seem to indicate that getting to Extra is
>> an easy path. Indeed, only about another 1 in 10
>> are Advanced (no code test needed) yet we still
>> have as many Generals that have NOT upgraded.
>> Are they all inactive or SK? I really doubt that.
>
>With the CW requirement removed and only three classes, 1 in 2 Hams
>will be Extra Class after April 15th....Wait and see. Then what?
>Don't ever doubt that.

I absolutely doubt that. Time will tell.

>> > Surely there must be incentive for some hams to earn
>> >higher level licenses or the group will have no proof that they
>> >are any more than CMBers (citizen's multi-banders).
>>
>> What PROOF is needed?
>> Who needs the proof?
>
>If you don't know who needs proof you haven't been paying attention.

I have been reading, but I believe you are living
in the best as to your expectations of what a
competent technician today is.

>> >> If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
>> >> become technical, well we should just obviously
>> >> agree to disagree.

>> >
>> >Not every Ham but some Hams.. and thus not every Ham, but some
>> >Hams, need qualify at highest technical levels.
>>
>> Agreed and that has been the case since ham radio
>> started and is still the case today.
>
>...Except there is no way to formerly prove higher level technical
>qualifications.

But there is NO reason to formally approve. Your
expectations of college credits can be met by the
ham taking an advanced placement test.
The military will advance people based on evaluation
by seniors, instructors, etc.
Industry isn't going to hire anyone on the sole
basis of having a ham license...probably never
has in the first place.

>And with only three classes of old fixed Q & A it can not continue to
>be the case nor IMHO is it at the present time.

You want the licensing to serve a purpose beyond
which it can do today. 50 years ago...maybe, but
not anymore. More importantly, the FCC clearly has
no intention to get into that arena.

>> >> > On another note it is interesting to see that although some Hams
>> >have
>> >> >suggested it, our government has never made it a requirement that
>> >> >anyone who buys a ham transmitter or a ham transceiver hold a
>valid
>> >ham
>> >> >license. Hmmm...Is this something that the ARRL has promoted or
>is
>> >it
>> >> >something that the ham gear manufacturers have promoted? Even gun
>> >> >manufacturers don't expect to sell guns to those who are not
>> >qualified
>> >> >or licensed these days.
>> >>
>> >> Just what we'd need. More government regulation.
>> >> Just think of the hassle that would be in selling used
>> >> equipment, selling at hamfests, etc. All any such law
>> >> would do is make life difficult for those that already
>> >> obey the rules and regs.
>> >
>> >No hassle at all, even Radio Shack now has a data base listing all
>> >licensed Hams.
>>
>> The hassle becomes one that YOU and I then need

>> to be at odds with if I want to sell my rig.
>
>I don't sell my rigs. If I give them away I make sure that I give them
>to licensed Hams. Wouldn't you???

I will sell to anyone that gives me the $$$. That is no
different than when I buy. No one has ever asked me
if I was a ham before selling me equipment. We don't
need more feel-good laws that those that operate outside
the law will ignore anyway...while placing unnecessary burdens
and limitations on the law abiding hams.

>> > It would be easy to identify licensed hams...I do so at
>> >every VE session. Let them show their Ham license and drivers
>license
>> >for ident. If mail order is involved look up their call in one of
>the
>> >on-line data bases and compare with the name on their credit card.
>>
>> If I sell at a hamfest, I sure don't need the cops or
>> the FCC overlooking my transactions to be sure
>> I only sell to a ham. Such a law would, I repeat,
>> only make work and trouble for hams who are
>> and do obey the law today.
>
>Well ...If they obay the law today and there are more laws added; they
>can obey the law tomorrow...besides people aren't supposed to become
>hams primarily to make profit from selling ham gear.

I repeat...we don't need MORE laws UNLESS there is
both a well documented PROBLEM...and a high
degree of certainty that the proposed NEW law will
solve that problem.

>> >> > We have lost the Heath Company and can not expect to get new
>> >> >Heathkits anymore.
>> >>
>> >> Heath folded up because of the economics. It was
>> >> that simple. If you can't make a product that sells,
>> >> then you don't stay profitable.
>
>If a large U.S. firm can't support a CEO who makes over ten times what
>their best engineers can make it either decides to make it off-shore or
>give up making it. Thus if a very large market is not probable then
>the firm sells out or folds. No need to stray further off topic here.

I really have no idea what point you are attempting to
make with this last comment.

>> >They folded because of rising costs of components and especially
>> >because of rising costs of technical writers who are needed to make
>> >instruction manuals, etc...and a marketing survey that showed

>> >that "dumbed down" hobbiests were not as interested in building kits
>> >as part of their hobbies as they were in the past...and that our
>> >government was afraid that the kits might get into the hands of the
>> >unsupervised latch-key children who eat paint chips and would surely
>> >burn their houses down if they had access to soldering irons!
>>
>> Translation: They couldn't make a product that sells.
>> Dumbed down had nothing to do with it. As to
>> the government worried about kits getting into the hands
>> of latch-key children...well that is really far fetched.
>
>Is it far fetched that our government is now going to ban the sale of
>solder that contains lead??? Heathkits would have to be a lot more
>expensive if they were forced to have Silver-Bismuth solder with non-
>toxic flux and a higher temperature melting point.

But Heath is gone so it makes no difference.

>> >> >Now I hear that our government is shutting
>> >> >down Ramsey...because it sells very low power FM xmitter kits that
>> >> >are well within legal limits...but that the government believes
>> >> >may be used by spies.
>> >>
>> >> I suspect that is more legend than fact.
>> >
>> >Nope... I have read reports about the confiscation of Ramsey kits by
>> >federal authorities from several sources.
>>
>> Confiscation of ALL or only certain KITS?
>
>I don't think that the agents have the smarts to identify one kit from
>another.

Then Ramsey's legal representation will address that if
the Feds overstepped their bounds.

>According to a "W5YI Report"
>"About $30,000 in products were confiscated from Ramsey which the
>Customs Agents turned over to the U.S. attourny in Buffalo. John
>Ramsey, the firm's president said none of the merchandice was designed
>to be sold as 'surreptitious surveillance equipment'. In fact he said,
>most of it...like a wireless microphone kit that sells for less than
>$6.00 - was ill-suited for espionage. The U.S. government is
>apparanyly re-inventing federal wiretapping and smuggling laws to
>include small hobby transmitters."
><end of quote>

That's why Ramsey has an attorney I would
suspect. I am not condoning the action, but
merely note that the issues will be addresses
in a court forum as can be expected.

>AND...You think the government would be hassling Hams if the Hams who
>wanted to sell their transmitters had to identify the buyers as
>licensed Hams???

Neither situation should be acceptable. Ramsey has legal
representation as any business would. Individual hams
find such legal "expenses" far more economically
prohibitive.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4212. Amateur paging system???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rskup@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87s92j$lj2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119573

I've had my license a couple years now and am impressed with all the modes of operation hams have experimented and worked with (packet, SSTV, moonbounce, satellite, etc.....).

Does anyone know if any amateurs have experimented with or developed their own paging system? Maybe the call-sign would be the "pager number"?

Robert
KC8FVO

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4213. 70 CM ATV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenneth m cubilo <kce@freeway.net>
Message-ID: <38a1a0a9$0$95135@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 119574

hi i am located 250 miles north of detroit, anybody out there within
a hundred miles or so active on 70 cm atv? tks kce@freeway.net

4214. Morse exercises No.9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <87s8la$4h2$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 119575
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Dear YLs and OM,

DTC's regular Morse exercises transmitted every Monday at 19:00 h
local time in DL on 3575 +/- 3 kHz are available on the Internet as
well, being in downloadable MIDI-file format.

Currently three speeds are offered for download:

Speed "90" (= 18 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr9_90.mid

Speed "60" (= 12 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr9_60.mid

Speed "45" (= 9 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr9_45.mid

Hoping you'll have some fun decoding either on the air or from the
Internet, you may check out your capabilities in decoding Morse. For
ease of reference the content of the Morse code transmission / file
may be accessed at DTC's web site using

http://www.muenster.org/dtc/cwtext.html

Your opinion and suggestions are welcome: dtc@muenster.org

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

4215. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38a1a3a0.43193597@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 119576

On 9 Feb 2000 07:19:46 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>
>It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
>Technician class point of view,
>
BINGO.
>
>"Millions of new operators" are not needed. At times, the bands are
>jammed to capacity, especially 40m at night (and no, Keith, the sunspot
>cycle has no effect on 40m propagation).
>
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>(Remember, Keith, "Mean people suck," so try to respond without any
>personal insults; facts with proof will suffice.)
>
The bottom lines in all this are pretty simple. The restructuring move
will generate a whole bunch more upgrades than it will new hams. If
ham radio has a growth problem, which is not established fact at all,
we've had stagnation in the past, the problem will be rooted in
competition with other pastimes, not code tests. The other no-brainer
I'm seeing here is a flock of HF newbies coming off the wall with
comments about HF when they obviously ain't never been there.
>
Like you sed. ham radio is not gonna die, ham radio is not gonna
suddenly explode with new hams, the HF spectrum will be expanded
before it gets "taken away from us", (80m cell phones??) nothing at
all will change with respect to who operates at what speeds where in
the cw segemnts, yadda, yadda.
>
I'd be a bit more sympathetic with these dreamy folk if they had as
much actual HF operating experience as they have surfing rrap and the
machines.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

4216. Re: The 14.313 / 3.901 / 14.275 NEWS PAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwilliams2458@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87sgad$rc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is a keeper! Keep em flying and thank you!
Consider adding 3950 training freak!

In article <Nueo4.6190$pH6.185803@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Beer Nuts" <beernutz@aol.com> wrote:
> http://members.aol.com/jcunham/
>
> Probably the cleverest, funny and downright entertaining
> web page which is FULLY DEVOTED to bringing YOU
> the latest breaking news concerning Ham Radio longenst
> running soap opera: The 3.901 / 14.313 / 14.275 Story!
>
> Whoever does this page deserves a Pulitzer.
>
> (and yes - there's PICS of all your favorite players too!!!!!)
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4217. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38A1B9FA.B9C672CC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
> I will sell to anyone that gives me the $$$. That is no
> different than when I buy. No one has ever asked me
> if I was a ham before selling me equipment.

Back in the early 50s, I bought a Globe Scout transmitter
from World Radio Labs before I had obtained a ham license.
The law back then was that it was legal to own a
transmitter, just illegal to *USE* it without a license.
Receiving anything was legal. Those were good laws. *Mere
ownership* of a transmitter or amp or receiver capable of
receiving cell-phone frequencies are victimless crimes.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4218. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87sp9a$3ag$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119579

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> Okay, being even more conservative, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is
> available all the time, with say, one-tenth of all operators on at
> any one time: Now each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.
>
> Worried that I didn't take frequency re-use into account? Say we could
> manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency; each such
> grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.

This is a lovely illustration of the old adage that "figures don't
lie, but liars figure"!

To presume that 10% of all licensees are on the air at any given
time simultaneously, even in the most popular operating
event (Field Day) is an exageration of stupendous porportions!

You have completely discredited your argument, Herman.

And that's the way it is!

Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4219. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38a1d680.56219193@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 119580

On 9 Feb 2000 07:19:46 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>
>It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
>Technician class point of view,
>
BINGO.
>
>"Millions of new operators" are not needed. At times, the bands are
>jammed to capacity, especially 40m at night (and no, Keith, the sunspot
>cycle has no effect on 40m propagation).
>
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>(Remember, Keith, "Mean people suck," so try to respond without any
>personal insults; facts with proof will suffice.)
>
The bottom lines in all this are pretty simple. The restructuring move
will generate a whole bunch more upgrades than it will new hams. If
ham radio has a growth problem, which is not established fact at all,
we've had stagnation in the past, the problem will be rooted in
competition with other pastimes, not code tests. The other no-brainer
I'm seeing here is a flock of HF newbies coming off the wall with
comments about HF when they obviously ain't never been there.
>
Like you sed. ham radio is not gonna die, ham radio is not gonna
suddenly explode with new hams, the HF spectrum will be expanded
before it gets "taken away from us", (80m cell phones??) nothing at
all will change with respect to who operates at what speeds where in
the cw segemnts, yadda, yadda.
>
I'd be a bit more sympathetic with these dreamy folk if they had as
much actual HF operating experience as they have surfing rrap and the
machines.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

4220. Polly's ramshackle farmhouse on 1.6 acres needs roof

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87su16$6v7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119581

Poor Polly, w4fqf, he's finally run out of pots and pans to catch the roof leaks, and he's going to have to break down to get a new roof on his ramshackle farmhouse. I guess the Fisherville, TN "land baron" may have to cash several social security checks, and his aluminum can collection to cover it. ho-HO!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4221. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI (w1rfi@arrl.org)" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <eelo4.4$UT2.3962@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 119582

Brian Kelly wrote in message

>The bottom lines in all this are pretty simple. The restructuring move
>will generate a whole bunch more upgrades than it will new hams. If
>ham radio has a growth problem, which is not established fact at all,
>we've had stagnation in the past, the problem will be rooted in
>competition with other pastimes, not code tests.

Yes, but ham radio with significant HF access will probably compete a bit better with those other activities than ham radio with VHF-only access or with the Nov/Tech band access that some have now. From what I am hearing, many VHF only ops are now looking to upgrade, because now the priveleges are sufficient that more of them are willing to learn code well enough to pass the code test.

> The other no-brainer I'm seeing here is a flock of HF newbies
> coming off the wall with comments about HF when they
> obviously ain't never been there.

Many of them have been there, on 11 meters. That band surely will give some indication of the type of propagation that one can expect on the upper HF range. Others have other non-ham HF experience, and, as a minimum, just like I did, some have listened to HF, as hams or as SWLs. Seems enough experience to offer a comment on a newsgroup.

>I'd be a bit more sympathetic with these dreamy folk if they had as
>much actual HF operating experience as they have surfing rrap and
>the machines.

I dreamed a lot about operting HF before my license finally arrived, so I too was once one of those "dreamy folk." My first days on the air were pretty shaky, and I am sure I made my share of operating faux pas. Fortunately, I didn't have any old timers who could post complaints about my bad habits on the internet. Seems that surfing rrap could be a double edged sword.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

4222. FS: R7000+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <87sobc$6oi$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have forsale in Virginia area the R7000+. It is now setup as the R7000,
but I have the 80 meter kit and removed it when I moved.

250.00 cash pickup...or other arrangements can be made.

Pat
K4OW

4223. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <87t27h$23e$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119485

Imagine the antenna for an 80 meter car phone.

>Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
>and UHF is... Think for a minute.......who operates on those
>frequencies and who operates on HF....Do you see the picture now..
>
>
>
>David
>PS: watch out for the 'black' helos, there coming......
>
>Keith Wood wrote:
>>
>> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> >
>> > Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
>> >
>> > In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:
>> >
>> > >Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
>> > >cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
>> > >room in the spectrum.
>> >
>> > It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
>> > Technician class point of view,
>>
>> Jeffrey, I just didn't believe that you are THAT STUPID! It isn't a
>> "Technician class" or "Extra class" problem, it is a HAM RADIO problem.
>>
>> Pull your head out of your butt and LOOK AROUND. There are companies
>> willing to spend a lot of money to take over our spectrum, chunk by
>> chunk. If the number of hams PERIOD falls below critical mass, the
>> following treaty conference will see the US (and Europe) pushing for a
>> reduction in ham frequencies, including HF, simply because there aren't
>> enough voters who are hams to worry the Conrgresscritters. With only
>> one American in about 450 having a ham license, and a large number of
>> those are either inactive or SK, we aren't even a blip on the political
>> scope.
>>
>> The only way to change that is to work together and find ways to exploit
>> our opportunities. If we fail to do that, WE WILL LOSE. I've gotten
>> over 50 responses about this, mostly emails, and yours is the ONLY one
>> which is completely negative and without value.
>>

>> I took you off the twitlist hoping that you would be smarter than you
>> apparently are, but instead of trying to figure out some way to help ham
>> radio, you are still just whining about statistics and proof, and
>> pretending that you are something special just because of your high
>> skill in an obsolescent and minority interest.
>>
>> It is clear that my first impression of you was the accurate one. You
>> are bigoted, short-sighted, and you argue for the sake of arguing. You
>> are, pure and simple, not worth my time, so you are going BACK on the
>> twitlist.
>>
>> Have a nice day.

4224. Re: Amateur paging system???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don@KG9QM.HAM
Message-ID: <Evoo4.91$53.282@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 119486

Yes. It is called APRS. See www.aprs.net

In article <87s92j$lj2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, rskup@my-deja.com was of the opinion...
>
>I've had my license a couple years now and am impressed with all the
>modes of operation hams have experimented and worked with (packet, SSTV,
>moonbounce, satellite, etc.....).
>
>Does anyone know if any amateurs have experimented with or developed
>their own paging system? Maybe the call-sign would be the "pager
>number"?
>
>Robert
>KC8FVO
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4225. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87t3qk$c29$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Back in the early 50s, I bought a Globe Scout transmitter
>from World Radio Labs before I had obtained a ham license.

Hey Cecil, I just gave one of those transmitters away (it was the
Model 65A with 160m capability). It had been modified so heavily by
its previous owners that its wiring didn't at all resemble the
original schematic. What a nightmare.

73, Jeff KH6O (who believes that all modifications should be documented!)

4226. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87t48g$c74$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119488

Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>> Okay, being even more conservative, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is
>> available all the time, with say, one-tenth of all operators on at
>> any one time: Now each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.
>> Worried that I didn't take frequency re-use into account? Say we could
>> manage three simultaneous QSOs on a single frequency; each such
>> grouping would be separated by 336 Hz.

>This is a lovely illustration of the old adage that "figures don't
>lie, but liars figure"!
>To presume that 10% of all licensees are on the air at any given
>time simultaneously, even in the most popular operating
>event (Field Day) is an exageration of stupendous porportions!

Ah, but consider if Keith's wish comes true -- "millions of new
operators"; even one percent of that quantity on the air at any
one time will cause utter bedlam. 40m at night is already jammed
to capacity with just the numbers we have today.

>You have completely discredited your argument, Herman.

Oh, don't be such a spoil sport!

73, Jeff KH6O

4227. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87t4scckc1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119489

Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>> Okay, being even more conservative, let's pretend that all 3.75 MHz is
>> available all the time, with say, one-tenth of all operators on at
>> any one time: Now each two-person QSO is separated by 112 Hz.

>This is a lovely illustration of the old adage that "figures don't
>lie, but liars figure"!
>To presume that 10% of all licensees are on the air at any given
>time simultaneously, even in the most popular operating
>event (Field Day) is an exageration of stupendous porportions!

This is a "lovely illustration" of over editing, Walter! You conveniently
deleted my first sentence:

>>Let's crunch some numbers and see what *could* happen with just the
>>folks we currently have: Our M/HF spectrum consists of 3.75 MHz, with

I specifically wrote "*could* happen" as in 'worst case scenario.'

73, Jeff KH6O

4228. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87t1vi0ogd@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119490

In article <87r4eiptq1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

<snip>

>"Millions of new operators" are not needed. At times, the bands are
>jammed to capacity, especially 40m at night (and no, Keith, the sunspot
>cycle has no effect on 40m propagation).
>
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>(Remember, Keith, "Mean people suck," so try to respond without any
>personal insults; facts with proof will suffice.)
>

Not everyone is surrounded by the Pacific Ocean, Jeff, so not everyone is going to hear a 40m band that is "jammed to capacity". Your generalization simply doesn't apply to all situations.

Tom - N8ECW

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4229. Re: Amateur paging system???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@juno.com
Message-ID: <8ED5B5D66Solarpower1Junocom@192.168.0.101>
Source record: 119491

How is a "positioning" system defined as a "paging" system?

>Yes. It is called APRS. See www.aprs.net

>>Does anyone know if any amateurs have experimented with or developed
>>their own paging system? Maybe the call-sign would be the "pager
>>number"?

4230. HELP : TS-940S Power Increase ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: i_o_t_a@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87tlrlncc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119492

Morning....

Can anyone please email me the procedure/mods to increase the power
of a Kenwood ts-940s from 100 watts to 150-200 watts ?

any help or advice on this matter will be greatly appreciated.

i will just be running the radio off its internal/plug in the wall
power supply ..... will it handle the increase ?

cheers,
Dj.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4231. Re: AF2Y apologized to WA4KBX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: k3eko@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000209214337.21955.00002782@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 119493

Yes, I believe that Rich, W2OTK should be allowed back on the air since he has been off for quite sometime now. I thought that Riley would have had Rich come back on the air when The Great White Father (K4OKA) did, it would only be fair in my opinion!

73's de Frank, K3EKO

4232. Re: DONT WORRY ABOUT HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Mxyplzyk" <unit208@andromeda.net>
Message-ID: <LGro4.8092$pH6.262765@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sorry but I disagree with your basic premise that ham radio is in danger. You also overstate the value of MF and HF spectrum in this day and age of satellite radio and the internet, which is basically the future of long distance religious, commercial but mostly government propaganda radio/communications. Don't spend so much time worrying about MF/HF as you may be discouraging new entrants into the service. The sky is not falling.

Ham radio will be here as long as there are hams, you know, those people like us who just plain like radio, who like communicating over long distance using their own equipment and who will never be satisfied by satellite VHF repeaters or the internet. It's cool ;-))
73
KR4AJ

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:38A0B0FB.120ACB5@bctv.com...
>
>
> Like it or not, restructuring is about to arrive.
>
> Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
> cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
> room in the spectrum.
>
> Like it or not, there are going to be a lot of new Extras who are only 5
> - 13 WPM CW operators.
>
> Instead of whining about these things, let's figure out NOW how to LIVE
> WITH IT. We can't afford to eat our young, and that's most of what I've
> seen in here in the last couple of weeks. If you guys are
> representative of the ham radio community at large, we might as well
> write off amateur radio.
>
> I'm actually surprised that we are being given this chance to bring in a
> new influx of people to lobby against the sale of our frequencies to the
> highest bidder. We need to make the most of this opportunity.
>
> You 20 WPM guys, instead of griping about the new crop of Extras, just
> remember that not too long ago hams had to BUILD their equipment -- and
> your predecessors complained when storebought gear got so popular,
> saying that a bunch of know-nothing appliance operators were going to
> destroy the whole hobby. THEY WERE WRONG, and SO ARE YOU.
>
> We slow-code guys need to STAY OUT OF THE EXTRA CW SUBBANDS -- this will
> give the speed-demons less to complain about AND it will give us a goal

> to shoot for if we are into Beep Mode.
>
> We need to find ways to COOPERATE with each other!
>
> And EVERYONE start thinking of ways to demonstrate ham radio for the
> kids in schools and at fairs, because without them, we're sunk when
> wireless Internet providers start taking polls as to who should use all
> those frequencies. You want those kids to say "No way! I'm using that
> band!"
>
> Make a big noise to your friends about how easy it is now to get a
> license. Push no-code, push slow-code, THEN tune to the fast-CW bands
> to show the EXPERTS, not the REQUIREMENTS.
>
> Field Day ideas:
>
> INDIVIDUALS --
>
> If you are doing Field Day, STAY HOME this year! Print up a bunch of
> flyers to stick on your neighbors' doors inviting them to drop by your
> house and see -- make a block party of it, grill some hot dogs or
> something, and invite people to help log -- or even operate -- as you
> hit contacts all over. Enthusiasm is contagious!
>
> This is also a good demonstration proving that YOU are NOT the likely
> cause of their TVI, because you are on the air all that weekend and they
> wouldn't know it without you telling them.
>
> Get a couple other hams to help explain things, especially YLs and XYLs
> who can show it's not an old man's hobby!
>
> If you have any thank-yous from the Red Cross or other agencies for your
> work, post them prominently.
>
>
> CLUBS --
>
> Instead of hiding on your usual mountaintop, in your campers and
> trailers, pick a busy park and do a big presentation under awnings.
> Have a TV set running to show the lack of TVI "even with all of these
> radios transmitting all at the same time!" Go to the local media and
> start talking about this, get some attention and show them what we are
> using all of those free freqs for. Put up pics of hams doing disaster
> work.
>
> NO CLUB FD SITE SHOULD BE WITHOUT A DESIGNATED PUBLICITY REP, whose job
> is to get attention of the media AND of anyone and everyone who ventures
> near.
>
> Have someone build a QRP rig where everyone can watch -- maybe a new rig

> every hour or two -- then set up a remote site at the far end of the
> park, where you encourage visitors to try their hand at "HI" -- then
> give them a Certificate of Operation, logging name, date, time, freq,
> traffic sent and your call, and don't forget the time and place of your
> club meetings, web URL, etc.
>
> Give away handfuls of FREE "Associate Membership" cards to anyone who
> seems at all interested (ans especially "guest operators." This is
> where next year's members will come from.
>
> Show off other modes, too. Packet, space, ATV, whatever -- don't just
> spend all of your time trying to stack up numbers. Your record number
> of contacts won't mean anything if there isn't a Field Day in 2010, and
> you "low" showing won't matter if you help make it possible to have a
> Field Day in 2020.
>
> Get the newest, youngest, and oldest hams to work FD, and make a special
> sign to post when they are operating to attract attention. Get kids
> involved as best you can.
>
> Make a poster showing 3 or 5 simple questions from the Tech exam, with
> the answers (illustrated), to show how easy the exam can be with just a
> minimum amount of training.
>
> It isn't just Field Day, either! Find ways to promote the new structure
> of ham radio licensing. Get on your local talk radio (the commercial
> broadcast kind) and show some enthusiasm. Every group has at least one
> guy or gal who is a fireball, so put them to work.
>
> If you can get the local emergency services people to do a demo or
> display, all the better. If you have been doing work for the local
> parade group or anything like that, suggest that they can help you be
> there for them by throwing some publicity your way -- the award of a
> thank-you certificate to the club will get someone's attention.
>
> Above all, YOUR YOUR BRAIN as something other than a counterweight for
> your butt! Think of ways to attract favorable attention, quit your
> griping and GET TO WORK.
>
> This may be our last, best hope to keep the hobby going. If we blow
> this chance, then we DESERVE to lose out.

4233. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <87thto$r8v$2@208.207.71.149>
Source record: 119495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Back in the early 50s, I bought a Globe Scout transmitter
> >from World Radio Labs before I had obtained a ham license.
>
> Hey Cecil, I just gave one of those transmitters away (it was the
> Model 65A with 160m capability). It had been modified so heavily by
> its previous owners that its wiring didn't at all resemble the
> original schematic. What a nightmare.
>

And I just acquired one today that looks like it just left
the assembly plant. Just like the one I got in the fall of
'58.
> 73, Jeff KH6O (who believes that all modifications should be documented!)

4234. FS: Yaesu FT-2700 RH VHF/UHF Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Howard Rudy <hrudyNOSPAM@lgcy.com>
Message-ID: <38A249F0.722C7A04@lgcy.com>
Source record: 119496

For Sale
Yaesu FT-2700 RH Dual Band 2m/70cm: 3W/25W Mobile
2 VFO's; 10 memory cannels; 25 W continuous full-duplex
crossband operation;
with / FTS-8 tone Bd., & FVS-1 voice synthesizer Bd.;
MH-15AB scanning hand mic. w/DTMF keypad
Two MMB-27 quick-mount mobile mounting brackets;
And Kenwood MA-4000 Duplexer (50W FM)
This Radio is in A1 condition. Does not show any signs of use.
Have Box & manual
Asking $240 + shipping / OBO

Contact ----------Remove NOSPAM from above address
<hrudy@lgcy.com>
KA7YWO
Howard Rudy
SLC, UT

4235. Re: I have a Motorola Talkabout 250 which operates on GMRS/BUSINESS frequencies instead of FRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Ellers <ed_ellers@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uh8F2w4c$GA.224@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 119497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

D. Stussy <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

"Secondly, have you looked at the frequency allocations for FRS and GMRS?
Note the overlap?"

Yep, but FRS operation is only permitted on those fourteen specific
frequencies, not the ones used for GMRS duplex operation.

4236. e-qsl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: matteone@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87u4ps$20s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119498

Hi all... i would be interested in having a feedback from all the colleagues in the newsgroup about the possibility of introducing the use of the internet in the QSL exchange, both for the sender to destination direct exchange and for the SQL manager network SQl exchange. Using actual security techologies it should not be a problem at all to guarantee the integrity and originality of the information exchanged; obvioulsy this system could work if there's just an access to the internet or to the packet network; but considering the times we're living today, it should not be an issue. At least it could help to make a part of the QSL traffic extremely fast.

Does anyone know of a project about e-QSL ?

thanks for reading
73 de IW2NGE

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4237. THE RILEY SONG #1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002100757.CAA20627@cotse.com>
Source record: 119499

fart fart fart @ fartfartfart.com

This message was posted anonymously:

(Heard on 14.313)
(Sung to the tune of "Bicycle Built for Two.")

Riley, Riley
we feel so sad,
we do.

All these jammers
don't give a fig for you.

You said that you'd find
their asses.

Well maybe you
need glasses.

'Cause they're still here-
still acting queer-
and laughing like hell
at you!

4238. seeking info on Opto-7000 600mhz freq cntr (Optoelectronics Inc...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: rmonagha@smu.edu
Message-ID: <87tv6r$l20$1@post.cis.smu.edu>
Source record: 119500

greetings!

I recently acquired an Opto 7000 600 Mhz frequency counter made by Optoelectronics Inc. I am particularly interested in info on the required power supply adapter voltage/current and pinout. I would also be interested in purchasing a photocopy of the manual for this unit if anyone has one. A web site would be great; yet I am unable to locate any for this company or unit. Thanks for your help in putting this unit back to use!

regards bobm W5VC
--
* Robert Monaghan POB752182 Dallas Tx 75275-2182 rmonagha@post.cis.smu.edu *
* Bronica 6x6 medium format: http://www.smu.edu/~rmonagha/bronica.html site *
* Medium Format Cameras: http://www.smu.edu/~rmonagha/mf/index.html megasite*

4239. Modif 9k6 sur Icom U200 ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: PJ - Patrice F5JTZ <f5jtz@club-internet.fr>
Message-ID: <38A29332.5488@club-internet.fr>
Source record: 119501

73 de patrice .
Recherche modifs pour passage du U200 Icom UHF en 9600 bds.
schï¿½mas... photos....etc.....
merci.
Patrice / f5jtz.

4240. Re: AF2Y apologized to WA4KBX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gotchagoat@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87uk6pckk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119502

In article <20000209214337.21955.00002782@ng-fq1.aol.com>,
k3eko@aol.comnojunk (Frank A Todd K3EKO) wrote:
> Yes, I believe that Rich, W2OTK should be allowed back on the air
since he has
> been off for quite sometime now. I thought that Riley would have had
Rich come
> back on the air when The Great White Father (K4OKA) did, it would
only be fair
> in my opinion!
>
> 73's de Frank, K3EKO

> Very forgiving Frank. Especially when Porkbutt, Wb2OTK made a wish
that you were dead with Hodgkins desease and in the grave. This is
according to his wishes. Remember, he has time to serve, Big Al served
his time for his qrming. Porkbutt is not contrite, has served no time
out and HE cancelled his ticket. He really needs to be out of Ham Radio
forever until he makes ammends , then retakes the test and is issued a
different call sign. Remember, he wanted you pushing up weeds from the
grave where the worms work. Think about it!!!
>

--
gotchagoat 2000

President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
amateur radio personality club in the world!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4241. Re: AF2Y apologized to WA4KBX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87upmn$h1r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119503

In article <20000209214337.21955.00002782@ng-fq1.aol.com>,
k3eko@aol.comnojunk (Frank A Todd K3EKO) wrote:
> Yes, I believe that Rich, W2OTK should be allowed back on the air
since he has
> been off for quite sometime now. I thought that Riley would have had
Rich come
> back on the air when The Great White Father (K4OKA) did, it would
only be fair
> in my opinion!
>
> 73's de Frank, K3EKO
>
>

You got it. Very good, Frank, you have a lot of wisdom for your young
years.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4242. Re: IC- Q7A Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <grweiler@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <38A2ADB0.CB55535C@fuse.net>
Source record: 119504

Go to this site..look up you radio..mod is there!

http://www.qrz.com/mods/

ve9hi@nbnet.nb.ca wrote:

> Can anyone help with any mod info for this fine little ht?

4243. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wt.net>
Message-ID: <38A2DB90.74366770@wt.net>
Source record: 119505

Hey John, when was the last time you were on HF.....?

David

John Bowers wrote:
>
> On Wed, 09 Feb 2000 15:41:34 GMT David <david@ham.radio.net> wrote:
>
> : Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
>
> This from a guy who can't even operate a browser? Posts everything
> three times? How in the world do you manage to deal with a ham station?
>
> Yep, you have real good credibility.
>
> Jeffie Herman sure can bring the twits out of the woodwork.

4244. Motorola 40W VHF Power Amp DC plug ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: alfdude@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <87uul9$l2i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119506

I recently picked up a Motorola VHF power amplifier (Model N1274A) off of ebay. It came with the manual, but not power cable. The power connector has four pins (2 horizontal and 2 vertical). I have no idea as to which pin is +12vdc and which is ground. The manual does not help and neither does Motorola tech support (unless I buy the cable). Anyone have one of these and can e-mail me the pin out connections for power?
Thanks in advance,
Mike
alfdude@earthlink.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4245. KC8JBO on the run!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87v2ht$o8e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119507

'Ole FATASS is getting upset now!!!

KC8JBO DOES NOT want you to see his credit history because it is so BAD!!! LARDASS says that the site I made on Homestead.com does not exist.....Hohohoho. What did you do? Search Homestead by entering "KC8JBO"? Hohohoho!!!!!!!!! I will release the address on MONDAY AFTERNOON FOR ALL TO SEE.

Once a PITBULL sinks it's teeth into you.......IT NEVER LETS GO!!!

Tell Mama to buy another box of Hostess Ding Dong's next time she does all your food shopping, FATBOY.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4246. KC8JBO & Ding Dong's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87v2k4$o90$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119508

'Ole FATASS is getting upset now!!!

KC8JBO DOES NOT want you to see his credit history because it is so BAD!!! LARDASS says that the site I made on Homestead.com does not exist.....Hohohoho. What did you do? Search Homestead by entering "KC8JBO"? Hohohoho!!!!!!!!! I will release the address on MONDAY AFTERNOON FOR ALL TO SEE.

Once a PITBULL sinks it's teeth into you.......IT NEVER LETS GO!!!!

Tell Mama to buy another box of Hostess Ding Dong's next time she does all your food shopping, FATBOY.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4247. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk5yy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <38a2d581.778240@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119509

Actually Dale I don't have to imagine it I just look out at the
driveway. I've worked all states and four continents on my 75M
mobile. Perhaps what you dofuses did not know is that 75M IS
the bush phone system in parts of Africa. Those folks would love
to have us off of HF after all the phone system generates revenue
and hams do not.

On Thu, 10 Feb 2000 01:01:38 GMT, Dale Harper
<docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>
>Imagine the antenna for an 80 meter car phone.
>
>
>>Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
>>and UHF is... Think for a minute.......who operates on those
>>frequencies and who operates on HF....Do you see the picture now..
>>
>>
>>
>>David
>>PS: watch out for the 'black' helos, there coming......
>>
>>Keith Wood wrote:
>>>
>>> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
>>> >
>>> > In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:
>>> >
>>> > >Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
>>> > >cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
>>> > >room in the spectrum.
>>> >
>>> > It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
>>> > Technician class point of view,
>>>
>>> Jeffrey, I just didn't believe that you are THAT STUPID! It isn't a
>>> "Technician class" or "Extra class" problem, it is a HAM RADIO problem.
>>>
>>> Pull your head out of your butt and LOOK AROUND. There are companies
>>> willing to spend a lot of money to take over our spectrum, chunk by
>>> chunk. If the number of hams PERIOD falls below critical mass, the
>>> following treaty conference will see the US (and Europe) pushing for a

>>> reduction in ham frequencies, including HF, simply because there aren't
>>> enough voters who are hams to worry the Conrgresscritters. With only
>>> one American in about 450 having a ham license, and a large number of
>>> those are either inactive or SK, we aren't even a blip on the political
>>> scope.
>>>
>>> The only way to change that is to work together and find ways to exploit
>>> our opportunities. If we fail to do that, WE WILL LOSE. I've gotten
>>> over 50 responses about this, mostly emails, and yours is the ONLY one
>>> which is completely negative and without value.
>>>
>>> I took you off the twitlist hoping that you would be smarter than you
>>> apparently are, but instead of trying to figure out some way to help ham
>>> radio, you are still just whining about statistics and proof, and
>>> pretending that you are something special just because of your high
>>> skill in an obsolescent and minority interest.
>>>
>>> It is clear that my first impression of you was the accurate one. You
>>> are bigoted, short-sighted, and you argue for the sake of arguing. You
>>> are, pure and simple, not worth my time, so you are going BACK on the
>>> twitlist.
>>>
>>> Have a nice day.
>
>

4248. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk5yy@arrl.net
Message-ID: <38a3d688.1040948@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119510

On 09 Feb 2000 20:54:02 GMT, jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:

>On Wed, 09 Feb 2000 15:41:34 GMT David <david@ham.radio.net> wrote:
>
>: Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
>
>This from a guy who can't even operate a browser? Posts everything
>three times? How in the world do you manage to deal with a ham station?
>
>Yep, you have real good credibility.
>
>Jeffie Herman sure can bring the twits out of the woodwork.

Birds of a feather and all that.

4249. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wt.net>
Message-ID: <38A2F897.E491E8AA@wt.net>
Source record: 119511

Hey guys, I just responded to all groups and what you see is what
you get. When you all have nothing better to add to the discussion
you turn to criticism. Get a life and stick to the issue.

David

Gerald Schmitt wrote:
>
> On 09 Feb 2000 20:54:02 GMT, jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 09 Feb 2000 15:41:34 GMT David <david@ham.radio.net> wrote:
> >
> >: Keith, get you head out of your butt. HF is not in jeopardy. VHF
> >
> >This from a guy who can't even operate a browser? Posts everything
> >three times? How in the world do you manage to deal with a ham station?
> >
> >Yep, you have real good credibility.
> >
> >Jeffie Herman sure can bring the twits out of the woodwork.
>
> Birds of a feather and all that.

4250. Re: KC8JBO on the run!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87v5u5$qp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119512

In article <87v2ht$o8e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
> LARDASS says that the site I made on Homestead.com does not
> exist.....

No, I said it.

> Search Homestead by entering "KC8JBO"?

As usual, nothing, nada, zilch, zip. Just like your gas about AF2Y

> I will release the address on MONDAY AFTERNOON FOR ALL TO SEE.

Sure you will. If you had one, you would have put it here. BS.

>
> Once a PITHBOWL...

Yeah, yeah, yeah.

> PISS BULL

Yawn.

When are you going to post the AF2Y stuff you said you had? You are the
biggest talking, smallest acting blowhard on the internet.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4251. Re: 5 WPM ain't a code test. was Re: Code Exam "Disability" Waivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pogo <jlnovackNOjlSPAM@na2.us.ml.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <1388e948.d4840fdb@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Source record: 119513

I've got the solution to this. Why don't we put an asterisk after the calls in the callsign directory and databases for all those who pass their general and extra tests without the (admittedly archaic) code requirements. Then we can all tell who the "real" hams are and who the "welfare" licensees are.

In fact, why don't we put an asterisk after the calls of everyone who upgraded to general or extra in the last 25 years - after they dropped virtually all questions on (admittedly archaic except for those running old gear or tube linears) tube theory from the tests. Heck, my Elmer in the early '70s used to say that any "appliance operator" who couldn't design his own tube transmitter and receiver schematics from scratch and build them with a bag full of parts from the local electronics store and a soldering iron wasn't a "real" ham, anyway. Maybe we should require Extras to bring their home-brew rigs into the testing sites.

And why stop there? What about all you old greybeards who got your tickets in the '40s, '50s, and '60s. I had to copy 13 wpm for my General test 3 decades ago, but I don't recall any questions on TNCs, circular polarization and tumbling satellites, SSTV bandwidth, or Doppler effect<g>. Maybe we should put an asterisk after your calls too - since you have never had to answer any questions on packet radio, IC theory, satellites, SSTV, TCP/IP, RF safety, and all the other things that have entered ham radio in the past couple of decades.

For that matter, how many current General, Advanced, and Extra ticket holders could repass the code requirements for their grades today? How many simply struggled up to speed, got through the test (maybe with some inspired guessing on a couple of multiple-choice questions) and then immediately put away the code tapes and paddles and picked up their microphones. Maybe we should institute retesting with every license renewal. 5 minutes perfect copy and 5 minutes perfect sending with an old Navy straight key<g>. None of these namby-pamby multiple-choice questions. Wonder how many of the current licensees would downgrade <g>.

Okay - my point is that the hobby is always changing and advancing. The body of knowledge that encompasses the current state of the hobby also changes. Although CW was a critical skill in the past, it is far less so today. For those of you who continue to champion it and find satisfaction in it (like

those who collect and run historic gear, or who build their own
rigs and still remember the spark gap rigs they built as kids)
more power to you - the hobby needs to preserve its tradition,
and CW is a major part of that. But let up on the new guys -
ham radio is a different world today. Aren't we always bragging
about how we are so good at advancing the art into the future?

73 de Pogo

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

4252. Re: DONT WORRY ABOUT HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A2EEA1.28A8C483@bctv.com>
Source record: 119514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mr. Mxyplzyk" wrote:
>
> Sorry but I disagree with your basic premise that ham radio is in danger.

It is your right to be wrong. ;)

> You also overstate the value of MF and HF spectrum in this day and age of
> satellite radio and the internet, which is basically the future of long
> distance religious, commercial but mostly government propaganda
> radio/communications.

Hmmmm . . .lessee . . .the FCC gets more money from even ONE commercial licensee on ONE frequency than from ALL 675,000 hams. The FCC (like all Federal agencies) is in a prepetual round of trying to find ways to make money on their field of interest. There are many more commercial interests which would be willing to pay for the use of frequencies which are now given to us without charge than there are hams who could complain to their Congresscritters.

Which part of this progression eludes you?

> Don't spend so much time worrying about MF/HF as you
> may be discouraging new entrants into the service. The sky is not falling.

And just what do YOU think is holding it up? All the Advanced- and Extra-class hams, standing there hand-in-hand and singing "Kumbaya"?

> Ham radio will be here as long as there are hams, you know, those people
> like us who just plain like radio, who like communicating over long
> distance using their own equipment and who will never be satisfied by
> satellite VHF repeaters or the internet. It's cool ;-))

Well, sure, there won't be any hams if the service is eliminated.

If you are saying that as long as we are interested there will be ham radio, then no, you are wrong. There will only be ham radio as long as there are enoughed licensed hams to justify the keeping the spectrum noncommercialized.

So, what are YOU doing to make this happen? Arguing with me sure as heck won't help.

4253. Re: Polly's ramshackle farmhouse on 1.6 acres needs roof

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtolassi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87vbjcvfm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119515

Roger, you need to get a life.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4254. Southeast Iowa Technical Society (SEITS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Anderson <johnandeNOjoSPAM@interl.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <14e79dab.a018545a@usw-ex0102-084.remarq.com>
Source record: 119516

SEITS now has a new temporary website. Eventually will be back
with their own domain on a new server.

Quote here from webmaster:
>>>>QC Access closed it's doors and shut down it's
server.... .and never told us it
would happen. We are getting it set up on another host and it
will have the
same old URL, www.seits.org. In the meantime, I have set up a
mirror site
that is a complete duplicate of the original site at
http://www.seits.50megs.com. I'll let you know when the
original is back.

Also we lost the list server... don't know yet if we can recover
or if I'll have to
start all over..... oh, well, at least I had the site completely
backed up.

Michael G. Muldoon, KE0BX
muldoon@lisco.net
www.seits.org (temporarily down)
www.seits.50megs.com
"The faster I go, the behinder I get!!"

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

4255. Yaesu FT707

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: g3vbe@georgem.idps.co.uk
Message-ID: <1c46as07alpgujqmdq2tqfo2v395h15udf@4ax.com>
Source record: 119517

Does anyone have technical infomation on the FV707DM synthesized external vfo for the FT707. I have circuits but no detail of alignment or how the unit works. I am aware that there are two versions of 'control board', PB-2123 and a later PB-2177.

Thanks...George

4256. Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia>
Source record: 119518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:

T he Fort Worth Star-Telegram newspaper of Friday, January 21, 2000, p. 9A, had an article headlined "Helms warns U.N. against overstepping its authority." It was a scathing indictment of the U.N.'s agenda of a centralize world order - with THEM running it WITHOUT the American people's consent.

Where did this organization originate? And does it REALLY have designs of a One-World-Government under its leadership with the United States as just another member nation? Does it REALLY have designs to dissolve our National Sovereignty and police with foreign troops to keep us in line? He said that MANY Americans were catching onto their scheme and American power would never be usurped by the U.N.

An ultra-secret organization called the Order of the Illuminati was founded on May 1, 1776, by Adam Weishaupt in Bavaria, Germany. It merged in 1782 at the highest levels with Freemasonry. The Illuminati's ultimate GOAL was creation of a NOVUS ORDO SECORUM - New World Order. It was to be a COMPLETE world Socialist/Communist SUPER STATE to rule over all nations.

After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed up all of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took over the philosophical [religious] aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan by name.

He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to be concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century [2001] or shortly thereafter. He wrote a remarkable letter to Mazzini dated August 15, 1871, giving graphic details of plans for three world wars. After each of these wars, there would be an attempt to establish a World Government to prevent future wars. There would also be many smaller revolutionary wars, so the nations would never know peace. Notice that they tried to establish the League of Nations after World War 1. They succeeded in creating the United Nations after World War II.

He stated that in the third of these wars "we [the Illuminati] shall unleash the Nihilists and Atheists, and we shall PROVOKE a formidable social cataclyism which in all its horror will show CLEARLY to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose

deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass [direction], anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the PURE LIGHT through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a manifestation which will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, BOTH CONQUERED AND EXTERMINATED AT THE SAME TIME."

Could the Illuminati take exception to the blistering words of Senator Jesse Helms of North Carolina and decide to quicken the pace for establishing World Government and engulfing the United States in it? President Bill Clinton was hand selected for his job by the Illuminati! Derek Shearer, Rhodes Scholar trained to help implement world government, said that a Far Leftist [Communist] would be elected president during the '90s. Clinton has been implementing ALL of their program to complete World Government. 1) He FORCED homosexuals upon the military to demoralize it; 2) He is implementing the third phase of State Department Publication #7277 to disarm America. He has now closed 97 bases and downsized the military; 3) He has made the U.S. a sitting duck for a surprise Russian/Chinese nuclear attack just like Manuilsky drew up the plans at the Lenin War School in Moscow; 4) Clinton drafted Presidential Decision Directives #13, 25, and 60 to facilitate the TURNOVER of ALL of our military equipment and men to the United Nations; 5) Clinton is running the country like a dictator by writing Executive Orders illegally. 6) Any law that would further Socialize America and Congress would NOT pass, he by-passes them with Executive Orders; 7) He has buried in lengthy legislation laws that will CREATE a Big Brother society of TOTAL surveillance. The U.N. may speed up their plans if they believe Senator Helms that Americans are catching on!

Sincerely,

David J. Smith

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

4257. Re: SEITS web pae

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Anderson <johnandeNOjoSPAM@interl.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <2471461b.a875101c@usw-ex0102-084.remarq.com>
Source record: 119519

Webmaster finally responded with this message:

KE0BX wrote:
>QC Access closed it's doors and shut down it's server.... .and
never told us it
would happen. We are getting it set up on another host and it
will have the
same old URL, www.seits.org. In the meantime, I have set up a
mirror site
that is a complete duplicate of the original site at
http://www.seits.50megs.com. I'll let you know when the
original is back.

Also we lost the list server... don't know yet if we can recover
or if I'll have to
start all over..... oh, well, at least I had the site completely
backed up.

Michael G. Muldoon, KE0BX
muldoon@lisco.net
www.seits.org (temporarily down)
www.seits.50megs.com
"The faster I go, the behinder I get!!"

In article <0a7653bd.15fda2d0@usw-ex0101-004.remarq.com>, John
Anderson <johnandeNOjoSPAM@interl.net.invalid> wrote:
>Has anyone been able to access the Seits website lately?
>
>http://www.seits.org/ or it's parent site
http://www.qcaccess.com/
>
>I have been unable to even raise the webmaster muldoon@lisco.com
>by e-mail or get a response on the Seits repeator system in
Iowa.
>
>The parent site must have lost it's computer, I guess!
>
>John Anderson K0BKL
>West Point, Iowa
>
>
>* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's
Discussion Network *
>The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in
Usenet - Free!

>
>

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

4258. Dream on, stupid (Was:Re: KC8JBO on the run!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87vimq$4lp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119520

LRod wrote in message <87v5u5$qp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <87v2ht$o8e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
>> LARDASS says that the site I made on Homestead.com does not
>> exist.....
>
>No, I said it.
>
>> Search Homestead by entering "KC8JBO"?
>
>As usual, nothing, nada, zilch, zip. Just like your gas about AF2Y

What do you expect? He's mentally-challenged. In the first place I
don't know of anybody on here who gives a rat's ass about other
people's credit histories. Obviously, the boy has quite the affection
for me, and spends every waking hour thinking about KC8JBO. I suppose
he's missing his black prison cellmate, Bubba.

>
>> I will release the address on MONDAY AFTERNOON FOR ALL TO SEE.
>
>Sure you will. If you had one, you would have put it here. BS.

It'll take him that long to make the "credit history" up.
I'd wager that all he'll do is either lie, which is a Dimmy O'Bese
trait, or post his own credit history with my name pasted in it.

>
>>
>> Once a PITHBOWL...
>
>Yeah, yeah, yeah.
>
>> PISS BULL
>
>Yawn.
>
>When are you going to post the AF2Y stuff you said you had? You are the
>biggest talking, smallest acting blowhard on the internet.
>
>LRod

The boy's delusional. He actually thinks I give a rat's ass what he
posts on the internet. He posts without a callsign or name. He has no
credibility by default. I DID notice that he's such a CLUELESS NEWBIE
to the internet that he posted the same post twice. He really needs to

not spam the newsgroup, if he wants to keep his isp.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4259. Re: Polly's ramshackle farmhouse on 1.6 acres needs roof

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87visg$4o4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119521

In article <87vbjcvfm1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
dtolassi@my-deja.com wrote:
> Roger, you need to get a life.

Faggot Tolassi, you need to MYOB. And Riley's going to get YOU,
Fagboy.

HTH HAND FOAD

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4260. WTB Router

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dennis A. Homerick" <w8nw@richnet.net>
Message-ID: <38A323D3.8D4CE9E2@richnet.net>
Source record: 119522

Thanks for reading this message. Anyone know of a source for a quality surplus Routers?
I have a PacketCluster (kn8coq) and gateway being shared with a comercial radio station. The router keeps locking up after hours and the Cluster is down all nite. Need to find a quality router to demonstrate the failings of there price leader router! Any info on used or surplus routers would be appreciated.

Thanks
Dennis, w8nw

4261. TPR (Total Power Receiver) Schematic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Watson <mtn_view@sirius.com>
Message-ID: <38A3257A.97857CBA@sirius.com>
Source record: 119523

Does anyone have a schematic (block diagrams would be fine too) on the constituent components of a
simple TPR? I believe a simple TPR is a signal power or strength measuring device.

-

4262. information about a Kenwood TH- 28 E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Microscan <morlon@swissonline.ch>
Message-ID: <87v8q0$fp8$1@pollux.ip-plus.net>
Source record: 119524

Hi Im' looking for some information about a Kenwood TH- 28 E . Does anyone know if it can be modified and what do you think of it as a TX ? Can you use it for UHF also ?
73 's
Stephen
Stephen.Pescod@mcnet.ch

4263. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <+nStjDAbFyo4EwdX@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 119525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia>, Lloyd Davies
<n0vfp@bellsouth.net> writes
>Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>
>T he Fort Worth Star-Telegram newspaper of Friday, January 21, 2000, p. 9A,
>had an article headlined "Helms warns U.N. against overstepping its
>authority." It was a scathing indictment of the U.N.'s agenda of a
>centralize world order - with THEM running it WITHOUT the American people's
>consent.
>
>Where did this organization originate? And does it REALLY have designs of a
>One-World-Government under its leadership with the United States as just
>another member nation? Does it REALLY have designs to dissolve our National
>Sovereignty and police with foreign troops to keep us in line? He said that
>MANY Americans were catching onto their scheme and American power would
>never be usurped by the U.N.
>
>An ultra-secret organization called the Order of the Illuminati was founded
>on May 1, 1776, by Adam Weishaupt in Bavaria, Germany. It merged in 1782 at
>the highest levels with Freemasonry. The Illuminati's ultimate GOAL was
>creation of a NOVUS ORDO SECORUM - New World Order. It was to be a COMPLETE
>world Socialist/Communist SUPER STATE to rule over all nations.
>
>After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took
>the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
>movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed up all of
>the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took over
>the philosophical [religious] aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan
>by name.
>
>He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to be
>concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century [2001] or shortly
>thereafter. He wrote a remarkable letter to Mazzini dated August 15, 1871,
>giving graphic details of plans for three world wars. After each of these
>wars, there would be an attempt to establish a World Government to prevent
>future wars. There would also be many smaller revolutionary wars, so the
>nations would never know peace. Notice that they tried to establish the
>League of Nations after World War 1. They succeeded in creating the United
>Nations after World War II.
>
>He stated that in the third of these wars "we [the Illuminati] shall unleash
>the Nihilists and Atheists, and we shall PROVOKE a formidable social
>cataclyism which in all its horror will show CLEARLY to the nations the

>effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the most bloody turmoil.
>Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend themselves against the
>world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of
>civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose
>deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass [direction],
>anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration,
>will receive the PURE LIGHT through the universal manifestation of the pure
>doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a manifestation which
>will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the
>DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, BOTH CONQUERED AND EXTERMINATED AT
>THE SAME TIME."
>
>Could the Illuminati take exception to the blistering words of Senator Jesse
>Helms of North Carolina and decide to quicken the pace for establishing
>World Government and engulfing the United States in it? President Bill
>Clinton was hand selected for his job by the Illuminati! Derek Shearer,
>Rhodes Scholar trained to help implement world government, said that a Far
>Leftist [Communist] would be elected president during the '90s. Clinton has
>been implementing ALL of their program to complete World Government. 1) He
>FORCED homosexuals upon the military to demoralize it; 2) He is implementing
>the third phase of State Department Publication #7277 to disarm America. He
>has now closed 97 bases and downsized the military; 3) He has made the U.S.
>a sitting duck for a surprise Russian/Chinese nuclear attack just like
>Manuilsky drew up the plans at the Lenin War School in Moscow; 4) Clinton
>drafted Presidential Decision Directives #13, 25, and 60 to facilitate the
>TURNOVER of ALL of our military equipment and men to the United Nations; 5)
>Clinton is running the country like a dictator by writing Executive Orders
>illegally. 6) Any law that would further Socialize America and Congress
>would NOT pass, he by-passes them with Executive Orders; 7) He has buried in
>lengthy legislation laws that will CREATE a Big Brother society of TOTAL
>surveillance. The U.N. may speed up their plans if they believe Senator
>Helms that Americans are catching on!

Try Nostradamus. He's funnier!

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

4264. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A33D82.841C2B25@bctv.com>
Source record: 119526

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> On 9 Feb 2000 07:19:46 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> wrote:
>
> >
> >It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
> >Technician class point of view,
> >
> BINGO.

Too bad for you we're not playing.

> >"Millions of new operators" are not needed. At times, the bands are
> >jammed to capacity, especially 40m at night (and no, Keith, the sunspot
> >cycle has no effect on 40m propagation).
> >
> >
> >73, Jeff KH6O
> >(Remember, Keith, "Mean people suck," so try to respond without any
> >personal insults; facts with proof will suffice.)
> >
> The bottom lines in all this are pretty simple. The restructuring move
> will generate a whole bunch more upgrades than it will new hams. If
> ham radio has a growth problem, which is not established fact at all,
> we've had stagnation in the past, the problem will be rooted in
> competition with other pastimes, not code tests. The other no-brainer
> I'm seeing here is a flock of HF newbies coming off the wall with
> comments about HF when they obviously ain't never been there.

I've been there, mostly 10 meters, some CW elsewhere. That has nothing
to do with HF operation, and EVERYTHING to do with POLITICAL POWER --
and ham radio is rapidly running out of that.

> Like you sed. ham radio is not gonna die, ham radio is not gonna
> suddenly explode with new hams, the HF spectrum will be expanded
> before it gets "taken away from us", (80m cell phones??) nothing at
> all will change with respect to who operates at what speeds where in
> the cw segemnts, yadda, yadda.
> >
> I'd be a bit more sympathetic with these dreamy folk if they had as
> much actual HF operating experience as they have surfing rrap and the
> machines.

I've be a bit more sympathetic with your odd worldview except that it
threatens a hobby that I enjoy. Surely you remember the line: "All

that is necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing."
Well, all that is necessary for ham radio to be legislated out of
existence is for people like you, who SHOULD know better, to say that
there really IS no problem.

For an eye-opener, check and find out just how much money the Feds get
for each commercial radio license. Then check the calls by various
local, state and Federal agencies for more spectrum to be made available
-- and ask yourself where they think those freqs will be found?

There is a lot of money to be made in selling off ham radio spectrum.
There aren't a lot of people who will lobby against it -- as you and a
couple of others have proven.

4265. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdr+rrsw@honet.com
Message-ID: <6DD5E1050A928742.9D0A798187AD24B5.68870DDD24FBB1E0@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 119527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.shortwave on Thu, 10 Feb 2000 13:31:19 -0600,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:

I think you want the medication in the other bottle, the one that says "Take
one tablet twice per day".

mdr
--
Doctrine, when it lets its hair down,
can trample, without fear,
even the most innocent of truths.
--from Federico Garcia Lorca's 'The Public'

4266. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kacd <kacd@massed.net>
Message-ID: <87vgnm$6rm$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Rathbun <mdr+rrsw@honet.com> wrote in message
news:6DD5E1050A928742.9D0A798187AD24B5.68870DDD24FBB1E0@lp.airnews.net...
> In rec.radio.shortwave on Thu, 10 Feb 2000 13:31:19 -0600,
> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>
> I think you want the medication in the other bottle, the one that says
"Take
> one tablet twice per day".
>
> mdr
> --
> Doctrine, when it lets its hair down,
> can trample, without fear,
> even the most innocent of truths.
> --from Federico Garcia Lorca's 'The Public'

That would be the one marked "Xanax" Lloyd

4267. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38a2efc3.3735206@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 09 Feb 2000 13:03:22 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> I will sell to anyone that gives me the $$$. That is no
>> different than when I buy. No one has ever asked me
>> if I was a ham before selling me equipment.
>
>Back in the early 50s, I bought a Globe Scout transmitter
>from World Radio Labs before I had obtained a ham license.
>The law back then was that it was legal to own a
>transmitter, just illegal to *USE* it without a license.

The law is the same today. Nothing has changed.

>Receiving anything was legal. Those were good laws. *Mere
>ownership* of a transmitter or amp or receiver capable of
>receiving cell-phone frequencies are victimless crimes.

And they are still legal today.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4268. TS-570 D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <87vcs4$r2n$1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 119530

Anyone know which trimmer in the 570, is the power out control?

4269. need information to get RSS motorola radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonnie <bonnieNOboSPAM@opme.gramedia.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <0b958bb0.c1bd2e8d@usw-ex0109-069.remarq.com>
Source record: 119531

Please if somebody know where to get Radio Service Software
for Motorola Radio....

* Sent from AltaVista http://www.altavista.com Where you can also find related Web Pages, Images, Audios, Videos, News, and Shopping. Smart is Beautiful

4270. manual instruction for C412 STANDARD Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonnie <bonnieNOboSPAM@opme.gramedia.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <02efc50a.c2cdb877@usw-ex0109-069.remarq.com>
Source record: 119532

I need a Manual instruction for handheld C412 STANDARD
Radio,if someone knows the location where I can get ,
please tell me

thank's a lot

* Sent from AltaVista http://www.altavista.com Where you can also find related Web Pages, Images, Audios, Videos, News, and Shopping. Smart is Beautiful

4271. Re: looking for pictures of old heath-kit radios????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwilliams2458@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <87vp1r$95h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119434

In article <38a5b07d.4828047@news.devtex.net>,
kc5cqa@devtex.net (kc5cqa) wrote:
> Would lyon know of a website ????? thanks Callie Lorentson 73
>

not sure what pictures you are seeking but
try WA7ZZE, Chuck Penson, last known was
Box 2414 Saint Paul, Mn 55102
dont have e-mail address for him.
He publishes a Guide to Heathkit Amatuer Radio Products
and it is loaded with Heathkit marketing photographs.
good luck

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4272. Re: Dream on, stupid (Was:Re: KC8JBO on the run!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <87vp9v$98f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119435

In article <87vimq$4lp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>
> LRod wrote in message <87v5u5$qp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <87v2ht$o8e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
> >> LARDASS says that the site I made on Homestead.com does not
> >> exist.....
> >
> >No, I said it.
> >
> >> Search Homestead by entering "KC8JBO"?
> >
> >As usual, nothing, nada, zilch, zip. Just like your gas about AF2Y
>
> What do you expect? He's mentally-challenged. In the first place I
> don't know of anybody on here who gives a rat's ass about other
> people's credit histories. Obviously, the boy has quite the affection
> for me, and spends every waking hour thinking about KC8JBO. I suppose
> he's missing his black prison cellmate, Bubba.
>
> >
> >> I will release the address on MONDAY AFTERNOON FOR ALL TO SEE.
> >
> >Sure you will. If you had one, you would have put it here. BS.
>
> It'll take him that long to make the "credit history" up.
> I'd wager that all he'll do is either lie, which is a Dimmy O'Bese
> trait, or post his own credit history with my name pasted in it.
>
> >
> >>
> >> Once a PITHBOWL...
> >
> >Yeah, yeah, yeah.
> >
> >> PISS BULL
> >
> >Yawn.
> >
> >When are you going to post the AF2Y stuff you said you had? You are
the
> >biggest talking, smallest acting blowhard on the internet.

> >
> >LRod
>
> The boy's delusional. He actually thinks I give a rat's ass what he
> posts on the internet. He posts without a callsign or name. He has no
> credibility by default. I DID notice that he's such a CLUELESS NEWBIE
> to the internet that he posted the same post twice. He really needs to
> not spam the newsgroup, if he wants to keep his isp.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Oh hell let the idiot post it all he wants. Then he can explain why he
obtained the info from the Feds. You see....unless he is doing biz
with you, or fixin to employ you.....well you know the rest.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4273. Hamradio pics and specifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Rask <martin.rask@mailbox.swipnet.se>
Message-ID: <NSHo4.9842$jg4.19220@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 119436

Have you ever wonderd how a specific radio looks like ?. If that's the case I hope that this page can be the solutions to your problems. On this page I will put all information about rigs that I can find mostly pictures and specifcations. All information is taken dirctly from the companies folders or hompages. Do you have any pictures or folder with information on radios that I don't have ? If you have and would like to help, please send pictures or information to the email adress below.
Email : martin.rask@mailbox.swipnet.se

http://www.qsl.net/sm7vhs/radio

Best Regards Martin SM7VHS

4274. Re: The 14.313 / 3.901 / 14.275 NEWS PAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: goldreged@aol.comJUNKBLOK
Message-ID: <20000210200135.14467.00000096@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119437

AWESOME !!!!!
Ed G.
KB1DQX
(Please Remove "JUNKBLOK" to E-mail.
Unless it's a Flame.)

4275. Porkbutt Song?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: goldreged@aol.comJUNKBLOK
Message-ID: <20000210204131.14459.00000044@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119438

Where can I find the Porkbutt Song?
I want to record it for something to listen to while commuting.....
Ed G.
KB1DQX
(Please Remove "JUNKBLOK" to E-mail.
Unless it's a Flame.)

4276. PORKBUTT,EX,WB2OTK,WHINES"I WANT SUPPORT"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8803er$gd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119439

It has been reported that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent a long E mail to all his "Suckboys" complaining about the lack of support on their part in his license cancellation. He infers that "Herb" got support. why can't his suckboys support him. Seems they blame one little low powered ham station in Tennessee for Porkbutts problems. Lets get real , "Suckling boys", Porkie got his own ass in the ripsaw. So Sorry!! Lets all support Porkies exit from ham radio and hope he never returns.

Part 97.....Porkie should have obeyed it!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4277. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Beer Nuts <beernutz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <09Ko4.10334$pH6.353955@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> blappered and belched and farted while
writing in message news:YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia...

> After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took
> the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
> movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed up all
of
> the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took
>over
> the philosophical [religious] aspect of the Illuminati

What is to be gained by repeating anti-Masonic re-hash from
the middle 18th Century Lloyd, as David J. Smith
in Waxahatchie does on a nightly basis on WWCR?

Do you like being USED as a mouthpiece for this moron Lloyd?

Do you know he was ex-communicated by the Seventh Day
Adventist Organization for espousing radical beliefs which bordered
on heresy?

I have the pleasure of knowing men who are members of the Lodge
and other Fraternal Organizations Lloyd. Each and every one of them
has been a Gentleman and a person(s) of honest repute, often
well respected in their field. (law enforcement, attorney, fireman etc..)

I cannot (sadly) say the same for many of the misfits and malcontents
who have a microphone on domestic shortwave radio.

4278. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38a569b3.1211768@news.usol.com>
Source record: 119441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 10 Feb 2000 18:09:09 -0500, "kacd" <kacd@massed.net> wrote:

>
>Michael Rathbun <mdr+rrsw@honet.com> wrote in message
>news:6DD5E1050A928742.9D0A798187AD24B5.68870DDD24FBB1E0@lp.airnews.net...
>> In rec.radio.shortwave on Thu, 10 Feb 2000 13:31:19 -0600,
>> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>>
>> I think you want the medication in the other bottle, the one that says
>"Take
>> one tablet twice per day".
>>
>> mdr
>> --
>> Doctrine, when it lets its hair down,
>> can trample, without fear,
>> even the most innocent of truths.
>> --from Federico Garcia Lorca's 'The Public'
>
>That would be the one marked "Xanax" Lloyd
>

I'd prescribe that along with some Lithobid (Lithium - mood leveler)
and some Stelazine (Trifluoperaz, anti-psychotic) and in a few weeks
he'd probably want to finish his GED and would start posting about
what a great country we live in ;)

4279. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Jeffrey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87vq1o$ed4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119442

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> In rec.radio.swap (why?), Keith Wood wrote:

>>>Like it or not, without a heavy influx of new licenses, ham radio will
>>>cease to exist with a very few years. We take up a lot of very valuable
>>>room in the spectrum.

>> It's too bad you began your post with a false premise. From your
>> Technician class point of view, UHF might cease to exist (which
>>seems to contradict the claim that the no-code V/UHF license would
>>save that spectrum), but our M/HF spectrum is in no danger.

>Jeffrey, I just didn't believe that you are THAT STUPID!

Now Keith, no need to go "postal" on us. I have this vision of you someday walking into your TV studio and mowing everyone down! You should never let anyone's comments enrage you in the manner that you've displayed here. It's bad for your heart.

> It isn't a
>"Technician class" or "Extra class" problem, it is a HAM RADIO problem.

Your view of ham radio ("ham radio will die unless we get millions of new operators) is certainly skewed, and the only reason apparent to me that you have such a lop-sided view is due to your 20 years of being restricted to VHF+. We can certainly fit millions into the upper reaches of our spectrum, but certainly not in the 3.75 MHz of our HF bands. You can't deny this, so instead you go ballistic and resort to name-calling. Bad boy.

>Pull your head out of your butt and LOOK AROUND.

Now Keith, there you go again. Instead of attempting to defend your stance, you get mean.

>There are companies
>willing to spend a lot of money to take over our spectrum, chunk by
>chunk.

VHF+ maybe, but we've had a code-free VHF+ license for almost a decade now, so you're admitting that that code-free license failed it's intended purpose. This we can agree on.

Yet, you can't name one single company that has made a bid on our HF spectrum; as I wrote before, we were given three new HF bands in '79 even though competition at that time was fierce and we only had half the number of hams we have today.

> If the number of hams PERIOD falls below critical mass, the
>following treaty conference will see the US (and Europe) pushing for a
>reduction in ham frequencies, including HF, simply because there aren't
>enough voters who are hams to worry the Conrgresscritters.

Don't attempt to pass fiction for fact here, Keith. There are proposals *right now* to award us *more* M/HF spectrum as we speak. And you again ignore that the spectrum most vulnerable has had code-free access for almost a decade. Do you see how empty your claim is? How would lowering the code speed on HF save our threatened V/UHF? There is NO code requirement for VHF+.

>The only way to change that is to work together and find ways to exploit
>our opportunities. If we fail to do that, WE WILL LOSE. I've gotten
>over 50 responses about this, mostly emails, and yours is the ONLY one
>which is completely negative and without value.

I'm the only one who brought your false premise to light. Your "ham radio will die" claim is just fantasy:

Ham radio will not die. It's the *only* communications medium, in which any two operators anywhere in the world, can communicate directly without using government or commercial communication services. Those same two operators don't even need to purchase commercially-built radios -- they can build them themselves.

BTW, your prediction is older than you are: In "...1922 many radio magazines which had catered to the transmitting amateur swung away from him, one even so far as to run an editorial ... prophesying the end of amateur radio." (From Fifty Years of ARRL.)

>I took you off the twitlist hoping that you would be smarter than you
>apparently are, but instead of trying to figure out some way to help ham
>radio, you are still just whining about statistics and proof,

What an odd remark, coming from someone who said he worked in the TV news industry. I would think that every aspect of your life, in and our of your profession, would be based on evidence-gathering and fact-proving.

>>It is clear that my first impression of you was the accurate one. You
>are bigoted, short-sighted, and you argue for the sake of arguing.

Not true at all. I'm just attempting to point out that you pass opinion as fact; you're unable to back up your claims, even after repeated requests. You are never able to provide proof of your comments and instead, resort to name-calling and personal attacks.

>You are, pure and simple, not worth my time, so you are going BACK on the
>twitlist.

Well boo-hoo; now you've really hurt my feelings. Just for that I'll continue to point out flaws in your posts. Your silence will just be an indication that you're unable to defend yourself and unable to prove your claims (such as "most Extras are unable to solder"!).

>Have a nice day.

Thanks! You too, friend.
73, Jeff KH6O

4280. Re: KC8JBO on the run!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87vqgv$f25$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119443

In article <87v2ht$o8e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com> wrote:
> KC8JBO DOES NOT want you to see his credit history because it is so
>BAD!!! LARDASS says that the site I made on Homestead.com does not
>exist.....Hohohoho. What did you do? Search Homestead by entering
>"KC8JBO"? Hohohoho!!!!!!!!! I will release the address on MONDAY
>AFTERNOON FOR ALL TO SEE.

At which time they'll yank it. They've affirmed that they do not
condone such use of their site.

73, Jeff KH6O

4281. Re: Porkbutt Song?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8804algsb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119444

It would be easy to make your own tape such as this
In "Suck boy Tempo with slurps!!
Ay Ay I- Ay Ay I- Thats the PorkButt Song
Ay Ay I- Ay -Ay Im out of ham radio- Thats the Porkbutt song!!

Thank GOD!!

Part 97 obey it!!

In article <20000210204131.14459.00000044@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
goldreged@aol.comJUNKBLOK (Goldreged) wrote:
> Where can I find the Porkbutt Song?
> I want to record it for something to listen to while commuting.....
> Ed G.
> KB1DQX
> (Please Remove "JUNKBLOK" to E-mail.
> Unless it's a Flame.)
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4282. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <87vr72fjd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119445

In article <38A33D82.841C2B25@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:

>> >(Remember, Keith, "Mean people suck," so try to respond without any
>> >personal insults; facts with proof will suffice.)

>For an eye-opener, check and find out just how much money the Feds get
>for each commercial radio license. Then check the calls by various
>local, state and Federal agencies for more spectrum to be made available
>-- and ask yourself where they think those freqs will be found?
>There is a lot of money to be made in selling off ham radio spectrum.
>There aren't a lot of people who will lobby against it -- as you and a
>couple of others have proven.

You continue to weigh apples against pineapples. The spectrum being
auctioned is VHF+, not M/HF. We've had a VHF+ code-free license for
almost a decade now; if the upper ham spectrum is lost, it will be
due to the failure of that code-free license.

You cannot name one single case of amateur HF spectrum being considered
for auction. As we speak, proposals exist to grant us even more M/HF
frequencies.

160m cell phones. Right, Keith.

73, Jeff KH6O

4283. The Dream 20 Meter Station?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23614-38A3A31C-30@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119446

The concrete and tower mounts are in place. The 75-foot, free standing tower is due for delivery in a month. The console is set to be custom crafted.

Question: If you were to operate strictly 20 meter SSB, buying the best transceiver, considering audio quality important, amplifier, antenna and rotor, what would you get?

A cubical quad is out. I used to have a 5 element KLM monobander, which I liked. Now, there must be many contenders. Please, fellow hams, this is a sincere request. I appreciate your input!

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4284. WTS EBAY KLM 10-170 2-meter Ham Radio Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@a.com
Message-ID: <8809bb1hs9@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119447

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=255142136

4285. WTS EBAY Siltronix 1011C Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@a.com
Message-ID: <8809b80hs9@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119448

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=255125329

4286. Re: Schematics for YAESU FIF232 needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bam-mon@ewetel.net
Message-ID: <38a3b435.315599@news1.ewetel.de>
Source record: 119449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well, thank you. At least now I know where to search.
Anyone out there with a copy copy of Feb. '93 QST willing
to copy+mail that article ?

Reelf

ko4qc@mindspring.com (Joel) wrote:

>Feb '93' QST has it published,if you can find someone who has a
>collection.Functionally identical units are available for appx $40.
> Joel,Ko4qC
>
>
>
>
>
>On Fri, 04 Feb 2000 07:14:20 GMT, bam-mon@ewetel.net (Monsees) wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>>I'm looking for the schematics of the YAESU CAT-Interface FIF232
>>
>>regards,
>> Reelf Monsees
>

4287. Internet gate ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jacek M. Holeczek" <holeczek@us.edu.pl>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.1000211113502.28069A-100000@uranos.cto.us.edu.pl>
Source record: 119450

Hi,
I'm not a HAM, but from time to time I'd like to make some DX'es over the
Internet (I mean to talk, not to write, to people from different places
in the world). Is there somewhere any publicly accessible forum ?
For example, I have found two pages :
http://speed.nimh.nih.gov/listener/listener.html
http://www.javaradio.com/
which provide the thing I'd like to use, but in ONE direction only. I mean
I can only listen to people talking, but I cannot talk to them.
Is there somewhere any Internet place which allows me to take active part
in a conversation ?
Thanks in advance,
Jacek.

4288. Te koop Easy Fax interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ber <pa3dah@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <38a3f799$0$6742@reader3.casema.net>
Source record: 119451

Te koop een Easy Fax interface van DF6JB.
Te gebruiken met o.a. JVFAX 7.X

Info: pa3dah@amsat.org

4289. Reflectors re: Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: amer2267@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <881176$4o7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119452

Can someone please help me I am new to ham radio and I am hearing alot about reflectors. Can someone tell me where and how I get a list of these boards Is there a separate url that lists all these groups
Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4290. 75 meter mobile operation question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: amer2267@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8811d8$509$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119453

I was thinking about trying to install an all mode rig and antenna on myvehicle Someone told me not to waste my time trying to run 75 meter mobile that I should just be happy with 20 meters and 40 meters as well as 10.He said it is very hard to get out on 75 Is this a fact or does it depend on the antenna I am thinking about teh atas 100 yaesu automatic tuning antenna and a 75 meter hamstick Can someone offer me some guidance
Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4291. Solar report in cw (Feb, 11)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <88126kpai1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 119454
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

The latest solar and geomagnetic activity report as Morse code audio
files can be accessed / downloaded in MIDI-format at the DTC web site:

at 12 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/wx110200.mid
at 30 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/swx110200.mid

For the full text version look at http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html
clicking on the line with ONDREJOV in the left frame.

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

4292. Re: 75 meter mobile operation question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM/9 <d.breeden@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Message-ID: <38A412FC.7AB6167@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Source record: 119455

75 meters phone was, is and always will be one of the popular mobile bands. Normally you are limited to a fairly narrow bandwidth due to antenna restrictions, but an antenna tuner will help, but I still would not stray too far from resonants. Also, I would use an automatic outboard tuner located as close to the antenna as possible. SGC seems to have the best setup here. There were some "tunable" mobile antennas, Swan I remember made one.

Modern cars add a large number of challenges. In addition to ignition noise, you have to work with noise from fuel pumps and on board computers. Also, the on board computer need to be protected from the transmitter, for ALL bands, not just 80/75meters.

I would get information from here and other sources on (Ford Term) Technical Service Bulletins (TSB's) for your car on installing two way radios and resolving noise. Also have the dealer get a hold of anything he can and also have him check Police Service information since these cars have to be "radio ready".

Good luck and 73's

Dennis WB3KUM/9

4293. WTB: UNIDEN HR-2510 RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eagle One <zbr@edmail.com>
Message-ID: <oBUo4.894$l06.111451@news1.primary.net>
Source record: 119456
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Want to buy new or used Uniden HR 2510 10 meter radios. Please include your asking price on first e-mail. E-mail me at: radiobuyer@hotmail.com

4294. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TFUo4.693$Z5.17538@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

JERK
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia...
> Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>
> T he Fort Worth Star-Telegram newspaper of Friday, January 21, 2000, p. 9A,
> had an article headlined "Helms warns U.N. against overstepping its
> authority." It was a scathing indictment of the U.N.'s agenda of a
> centralize world order - with THEM running it WITHOUT the American people's
> consent.
>
> Where did this organization originate? And does it REALLY have designs of a
> One-World-Government under its leadership with the United States as just
> another member nation? Does it REALLY have designs to dissolve our National
> Sovereignty and police with foreign troops to keep us in line? He said that
> MANY Americans were catching onto their scheme and American power would
> never be usurped by the U.N.
>
> An ultra-secret organization called the Order of the Illuminati was founded
> on May 1, 1776, by Adam Weishaupt in Bavaria, Germany. It merged in 1782 at
> the highest levels with Freemasonry. The Illuminati's ultimate GOAL was
> creation of a NOVUS ORDO SECORUM - New World Order. It was to be a COMPLETE
> world Socialist/Communist SUPER STATE to rule over all nations.
>
> After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took
> the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
> movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed up all of
> the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took over
> the philosophical [religious] aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan
> by name.
>
> He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to be
> concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century [2001] or shortly
> thereafter. He wrote a remarkable letter to Mazzini dated August 15, 1871,
> giving graphic details of plans for three world wars. After each of these
> wars, there would be an attempt to establish a World Government to prevent
> future wars. There would also be many smaller revolutionary wars, so the
> nations would never know peace. Notice that they tried to establish the
> League of Nations after World War 1. They succeeded in creating the United
> Nations after World War II.
>
> He stated that in the third of these wars "we [the Illuminati] shall unleash
> the Nihilists and Atheists, and we shall PROVOKE a formidable social

> cataclyism which in all its horror will show CLEARLY to the nations the
> effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the most bloody turmoil.
> Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend themselves against the
> world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of
> civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose
> deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass [direction],
> anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration,
> will receive the PURE LIGHT through the universal manifestation of the pure
> doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a manifestation which
> will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the
> DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, BOTH CONQUERED AND EXTERMINATED AT
> THE SAME TIME."
>
> Could the Illuminati take exception to the blistering words of Senator Jesse
> Helms of North Carolina and decide to quicken the pace for establishing
> World Government and engulfing the United States in it? President Bill
> Clinton was hand selected for his job by the Illuminati! Derek Shearer,
> Rhodes Scholar trained to help implement world government, said that a Far
> Leftist [Communist] would be elected president during the '90s. Clinton has
> been implementing ALL of their program to complete World Government. 1) He
> FORCED homosexuals upon the military to demoralize it; 2) He is implementing
> the third phase of State Department Publication #7277 to disarm America. He
> has now closed 97 bases and downsized the military; 3) He has made the U.S.
> a sitting duck for a surprise Russian/Chinese nuclear attack just like
> Manuilsky drew up the plans at the Lenin War School in Moscow; 4) Clinton
> drafted Presidential Decision Directives #13, 25, and 60 to facilitate the
> TURNOVER of ALL of our military equipment and men to the United Nations; 5)
> Clinton is running the country like a dictator by writing Executive Orders
> illegally. 6) Any law that would further Socialize America and Congress
> would NOT pass, he by-passes them with Executive Orders; 7) He has buried in
> lengthy legislation laws that will CREATE a Big Brother society of TOTAL
> surveillance. The U.N. may speed up their plans if they believe Senator
> Helms that Americans are catching on!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> David J. Smith
>
>
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>

4295. Re: Reflectors re: Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38A41AA6.AA86A488@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119458

amer2267@my-deja.com wrote:

> Can someone please help me I am new to ham radio and I am hearing alot
> about reflectors. Can someone tell me where and how I get a list of
> these boards Is there a separate url that lists all these groups
> Thanks
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Hundreds of Mail Lists and Reflectors at URL:
http://www.ac6v.com/pageae.html
--
73 From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 565,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- General Ham Radio
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html -- DX Reference Pages

4296. Re: PORKBUTT,EX,WB2OTK,WHINES"I WANT SUPPORT"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <881c76dek1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119459

In article <8803er$gd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
> It has been reported that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent a long E mail to all
> his "Suckboys" complaining about the lack of support on their part in
> his license cancellation. He infers that "Herb" got support. why can't
> his suckboys support him. Seems they blame one little low powered ham
> station in Tennessee for Porkbutts problems. Lets get real , "Suckling
> boys", Porkie got his own ass in the ripsaw. So Sorry!! Lets all
> support Porkies exit from ham radio and hope he never returns.
>
> Part 97.....Porkie should have obeyed it!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> POOR POLLY, ALL THIS FROM A TENN. 4th GRADE EDUCATION!
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4297. Re: Porkbutt Song?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <881eb1$fa3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119460

In article <8804algsb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> It would be easy to make your own tape such as this
> In "Suck boy Tempo with slurps!!
> Ay Ay I- Ay Ay I- Thats the PorkButt Song
> Ay Ay I- Ay -Ay Im out of ham radio- Thats the Porkbutt song!!
>
> Thank GOD!!
>
> Part 97 obey it!!
>
> In article <20000210204131.14459.00000044@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
> goldreged@aol.comJUNKBLOK (Goldreged) wrote:
> > Where can I find the Porkbutt Song?
> > I want to record it for something to listen to while commuting.....
> > Ed G.
> > KB1DQX
> > (Please Remove "JUNKBLOK" to E-mail.
> > Unless it's a Flame.)
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Or you could listen nightly to 3901, 3894.5 and 3.898. But you need to
be patient..Al don't hang long these days.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4298. testing for non-HTML message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <881d18$4df$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119461

```
test
```

4299. Re: AF2Y apologized to WA4KBX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <881db3$kd4$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119462

Question for "Gotchgoat 2000," what does your castigation of Frank have to
do with the subject of my apology to WA4KBX. Create your own subject
anywhere than on my turf.
AF2Y

In article <87uk6pckk1@nnrp1.deja.com>, gotchagoat@my-deja.com wrote:

>In article <20000209214337.21955.00002782@ng-fq1.aol.com>,
> k3eko@aol.comnojunk (Frank A Todd K3EKO) wrote:
>> Yes, I believe that Rich, W2OTK should be allowed back on the air
>since he has
>> been off for quite sometime now. I thought that Riley would have had
>Rich come
>> back on the air when The Great White Father (K4OKA) did, it would
>only be fair
>> in my opinion!
>>
>> 73's de Frank, K3EKO
>
>> Very forgiving Frank. Especially when Porkbutt, Wb2OTK made a wish
>that you were dead with Hodgkins desease and in the grave. This is
>according to his wishes. Remember, he has time to serve, Big Al served
>his time for his qrming. Porkbutt is not contrite, has served no time
>out and HE cancelled his ticket. He really needs to be out of Ham Radio
>forever until he makes ammends , then retakes the test and is issued a
>different call sign. Remember, he wanted you pushing up weeds from the
>grave where the worms work. Think about it!!!
>>
>
>--
>gotchagoat 2000
>
>President, "POLLY" , "W4FQF" fan club. The largest
>amateur radio personality club in the world!!
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4300. Re: PORKBUTT,EX,WB2OTK,WHINES"I WANT SUPPORT"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <881l7sklk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119463

rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <881c76dek1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <8803er$gd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>> It has been reported that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent a long E mail to all
>> his "Suckboys" complaining about the lack of support on their part in
>> his license cancellation. He infers that "Herb" got support. why
can't
>> his suckboys support him. Seems they blame one little low powered
ham
>> station in Tennessee for Porkbutts problems. Lets get
real , "Suckling
>> boys", Porkie got his own ass in the ripsaw. So Sorry!! Lets all
>> support Porkies exit from ham radio and hope he never returns.
>>
>> Part 97.....Porkie should have obeyed it!!
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> POOR POLLY, ALL THIS FROM A TENN. 4th GRADE
EDUCATION!
><<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Hey you've got to give him some credit.. he preservered and it only
took him 10 years to get it.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4301. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nordland@DELETETHIS.lineone.net
Message-ID: <38a45f27.8111120@news.lineone.net>
Source record: 119464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 10 Feb 2000 16:55:54 -0600, mdr+rrsw@honet.com (Michael Rathbun) wrote:

>In rec.radio.shortwave on Thu, 10 Feb 2000 13:31:19 -0600,
>"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>>Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>
>I think you want the medication in the other bottle, the one that says "Take
>one tablet twice per day".

....or two cyanide ones once a day...

--
Jim,
nordland at lineone.net
amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk

4302. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nordland@DELETETHIS.lineone.net
Message-ID: <38a45f2e.8118837@news.lineone.net>
Source record: 119465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 11 Feb 2000 02:06:52 GMT, "Beer Nuts" <beernutz@aol.com> wrote:

>Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> blappered and belched and farted while
>writing in message news:YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia...
>
>> After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took
>> the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
>> movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed up all
>of
>> the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took
>>over
>> the philosophical [religious] aspect of the Illuminati
>
>What is to be gained by repeating anti-Masonic re-hash from
>the middle 18th Century Lloyd, as David J. Smith
>in Waxahatchie does on a nightly basis on WWCR?
>
>Do you like being USED as a mouthpiece for this moron Lloyd?
>
>Do you know he was ex-communicated by the Seventh Day
>Adventist Organization for espousing radical beliefs which bordered
>on heresy?
>
>I have the pleasure of knowing men who are members of the Lodge
>and other Fraternal Organizations Lloyd. Each and every one of them
>has been a Gentleman and a person(s) of honest repute, often
>well respected in their field. (law enforcement, attorney, fireman etc..)

Attorneys respectable? Bwahahahahah !!

--
Jim,
nordland at lineone.net
amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk

4303. Re: PORKBUTT,EX,WB2OTK,WHINES"I WANT SUPPORT"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <881u2orjl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119466

Whatsamatter Porkbutt? Won"t your "SuckBoys" support you like
the "Stump Jumpers" supported Herb? Outta sight or outta hearing, You
are outta their minds. You are last years news Fatboy, get used to it!!
You are not liked as much as Herbie, in fact no one likes you at all.
Therefore, no support for Porkbutt by his sucklings. So sad , but
true... Oh yes, Big Al is on every night with his old call sign and
having a good time, on the other hand all you can do is some
unidentified qrm every now and again while you stare at that desk top
computer and make lame posts.... LOL

Part97 Obey it!! if you ever get a license again Fatboy!!

In article <881c76dek1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <8803er$gd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> part97@my-deja.com wrote:
> > It has been reported that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent a long E mail to
all
> > his "Suckboys" complaining about the lack of support on their part
in
> > his license cancellation. He infers that "Herb" got support. why
can't
> > his suckboys support him. Seems they blame one little low powered
ham
> > station in Tennessee for Porkbutts problems. Lets get
real , "Suckling
> > boys", Porkie got his own ass in the ripsaw. So Sorry!! Lets all
> > support Porkies exit from ham radio and hope he never returns.
> >
> > Part 97.....Porkie should have obeyed it!!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> POOR POLLY, ALL THIS FROM A TENN. 4th GRADE
EDUCATION!
> <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4304. 12V(gell cell batteries) vs. 13.8V (power supplies)...why???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis/WR4i <wr4i@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38A46A03.A0B516D5@mindspring.com>
Source record: 119467

Dear Fellow Hams et.al.
Why is it that ham rigs are designed to work at 100% with 13.8v power supplies hooked to mains yet Gel Cell manufacturers,etc only offer 12v batteries? In other words, why don't they offer an 11-cell model (1.25 x 11)? By the time you apply a load the 12v usually drops to 12.2 and below depending on the quality of your gell cell. The "extra" cell would give a edge here.
70 Trees,
Dennis/WR4i

4305. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Alan J. Price" <career1@home.com>
Message-ID: <38A480C0.BD6E0A1D@home.com>
Source record: 119468
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Willy:
Unless I am wrong the change is easy and is a firmware mod. Just one of those things you program into the radio. Check your manaul.
Alan
W1HYV

Willy Wilson wrote:

> Hi all,
> Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit outside
> the amateur bands please?
> Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> Willy.

4306. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: root@nul.nul
Message-ID: <38a4837d.63236298@news.gate.net>
Source record: 119469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 11 Feb 2000 02:06:52 GMT, "Beer Nuts" <beernutz@aol.com>
wrote:

>
>Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> blappered and belched and farted while
>writing in message news:YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia...

That sounds like a very concise summary of what his message
probably contained... As to what Lloyd's original message contained,
I'm at a loss, but quite happy that my kill filters nabbed it first...
now to figure out how to block entire threads without user
intervention.

4307. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <7T0p4.588$cH3.23459@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 119470
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Alan J. Price <career1@home.com> wrote in message
news:38A480C0.BD6E0A1D@home.com...
> Willy:
> Unless I am wrong the change is easy and is a firmware mod. Just one of
those
> things you program into the radio. Check your manaul.
> Alan
> W1HYV
>
> Willy Wilson wrote:
>
> > Hi all,
> > Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit
outside
> > the amateur bands please?
> > Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> > Willy.
>

On the 850, you need to remove a diode on the PLL board... Details are in
docs on many ham web sites, like QRZ, etc.

73 de W6DKN

4308. FS: Alinco DX70T (10w Model)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: N7RCM@POBX.COM
Message-ID: <38a4908a.6906909@news1.coam.net>
Source record: 119471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Alinco DX70T 100w HF and 10w 6m. Has been mobile a couple of times
for special events and contests, Other than that, it looks real nice.
Box, manual and two power cords. Mars mode has been done.

Price: $500 + a few bucks for shipping and insurance. I'll ship
prepay or COD anywhere in the U.S.

Note: COD will be with cashiers check or Money Order only.

4309. Check out the Web Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <882age$ns3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119472

Gentlemen and I use that term loosely,
If you're an ARRL member, check out the latest FCC enforcement actions listed in Web Extra. Whoa and I don't mean "Whoa Whoa Cue" a.k.a. Wesley fwom Wabelle Fwowida either. One guy from Florida got a 20K fine and it was UPHELD. Talk about stupid; he refused to stop doing what he was doing and WHAM! And take a gander at the rest of the callsigns who are offenders.
Wow. I mean like holy hell...what I'm hearing on 14.313 is as bad now as it ever was before Riley entered the secne and when John, KC3AU, says that a large part of it is coming from the northeast, he's right. I cannot hear New England from here which is 12 miles N.W. of N.Y.C. K3QAM is a whisper if at all audible. No copy whatsoever from immediate states south e.g.Delaware and Maryland and from New York to the north. The racket I've been hearing which according to John is very very loud in the Atlanta area is, based on the strength, approximately 30-50 miles from me and in New Jersey. When this guy gets caught, 20K is going to seem cheap.
AF2Y

4310. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Zq6kOIIxQb8PdgO9OV9hGqgkwIu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 119473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 19:20:30 -0700, Raj <raj@lhome.com> wrote:
>So is there a rec.radio.licensing or rec.radio.policy that
>we should post our ads to, now that you all busy deciding
>who is going to run your club?

There is rec.radio.swap, which is the *only* place for sale and
wanted ads are supposed to appear. But alas, people don't seem
to do that. They seem to think that discussion groups like
rec.radio.amateur.equipment and rec.radio.amateur.misc are
the place to post ads. So it is little wonder that discussions
which should only be in the rec.radio.amateur.policy newsgroup
wind up spamming other groups too. Monkey see, monkey do.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

4311. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stewart Teaze <stewart.teaze@gat.com>
Message-ID: <38A4BB21.D58DA352@gat.com>
Source record: 119474
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:

> Top 10 List...

You really believe that Morse code is that important? How can you be so out of touch with reality?

Your post is laden with hypocracy and insesitivity, and proves that this move was long overdue.

It is really hard to believe that this kind of post isn't faked, to try build up the no-code position(which I don't approve of, by the way).

4312. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Shannon P. McGauley" <mcgauley@onramp.net>
Message-ID: <38A4C1FE.478C5F5C@onramp.net>
Source record: 119475
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcgauley.vcf

First of all the requirements were needed to screen the bad element out.
Through the last few years we've seen the quick fix policies enacted even in
our state laws. That's why we spend so much time repairing laws and our
kids are so out of control. THE QUICK FIX POLICY. I'm for the new license
requirement change as long as the FCC monitors and fines violators.

My thought,
N5KOOU

Stewart Teaze wrote:

> Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:
>
> > Top 10 List...
>
> You really believe that Morse code is that important? How can you be so
> out of touch with reality?
>
> Your post is laden with hypocracy and insesitivity, and proves that this
> move was long overdue.
>
> It is really hard to believe that this kind of post isn't faked, to try
> build up the no-code position(which I don't approve of, by the way).

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

4313. FS or Trade R7000 (with plus kit)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <k4ow@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <882g3r$196$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have forsale or trade an R7000 with the 80 meter kit. It is setup for 10-40 now, but I have the full kit for adding 80meters. Price is 250.00 you pick up in Quinton, VA.

Looking for other ham gear too. Need a laptop for packet, etc.

Pat
K4OW

4314. Yaesu FT50r

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Hall <shall@wavegate.com>
Message-ID: <38A4F8A0.308C783F@wavegate.com>
Source record: 119477

Hello,
I have a Yaesu FT50r HT and I am in need of the rubber insert that you push for the tx the squelch and the light button. I have had a couple ordered from Yaesu for a couple of months but they are still on back order. Anybody having one that they would sell please let me know.

4315. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Cuilhe <wa6gsd@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38A4CF25.81982A24@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119478
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:

> Top 10 List.
>
> 1.) Let it expire.
>
> 2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your spectrum anyway.
>
> 3.) Send it to the FCC & tell them to shove it.
>
> 4.) Get VE accredited and help license CBers.
>
> 5.) Send it to the ARRL & tell them "Thanks for Nothing"
>
> 6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.
>
> 7.) Modify CB's for your new ham friends & test their echo Mics.
>
> 8.) Brush up on your 10-codes.
>
> 9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and turn on a soldering gun.
>
> 10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then flush, a CBer will find it.
>
> Hiram Percy Maxim ex. w1aw

Morse code is just another mode, one that I am very fond of.. The code
requirement
should have been left in place simply as a way to ensure that someone who
achieved
extra class as least had to work a little bit.. The current "technical"
exams are so silly,
that anyone who has any kind of a memory at all can pass with no problem.

The FCC (and ARRL) has just helped ensure the demise of amateur radio..

More numbers does not mean a better service!

Just my thoughts.

Steve Cuilhe'
WA6GSD
Extra Class since 1976 and proud of it!

4316. Mobile antenna array?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <38A4E5E7.525A738A@analon.com>
Source record: 119479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I have two wide coverage (0.1-1900mhz) radios in my truck (Ford F-150XL)

I am considering constructing an antenna array. Anyone ever tried this ?

probably need an antenna fror the 0.1-30mhz (long wave and shortwave), then
one for 118-174 (VHFand 2meter), one for 400-512 (uhf and 70cm), and one for
820-950 and higher

The kicker is that this has to work while the truck is in motion on a freeway
at speeds up to 90mph. I don't go over about 80 but if everythinhg will worj
at 90mph, tht will account for any
sudden wind gusts.

JOHN

--
*****--
* JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com 800.873.5884
* the RIGHT computer for you at an AFFORDABLE
price
*.........................>310-346-7404<
. Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
* Junk/spam mail goes straight to the bit bucket!
* if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail so I will check the grp
NHTSA PDPS is a sham
*****--

4317. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38A4EB4D.BAC83FB4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> If Leo didn't sell you the Globe King would you still have gone on to
> get a Ham license?

Back then, it took about a month to get one's license in the mail after passing the exam at the FCC office. I purchased the Globe Scout during that month and had it before I received my license in the mail.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4318. looking for pictures of old heath-kit radios????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5cqa@devtex.net
Message-ID: <38a5b07d.4828047@news.devtex.net>
Source record: 119533

Would lyon know of a website ????? thanks Callie Lorentson 73

4319. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38A64022.7DA829AE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119334

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> I can build a CW station from junk-box parts, and be on the air
> communicating with amateurs around the world in a matter of hours.
> Try that with any other mode.

I can do that with FM also, Jeff. The big question is: How many
times have you "built a CW station from junk-box parts, and had
it on the air communicating with amateurs around the world in
a matter of hours."
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4320. Re: 75 meter mobile operation question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Beer Nuts <beernutz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <sV2p4.2588$zE2.65681@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119384

Use a "Texas Bugcatcher" antenna. Ad's in all the Magazines.

Best 75 Mobile antenna i've used ever!

<amer2267@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8811d8$509$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I was thinking about trying to install an all mode rig and antenna on
> myvehicle Someone told me not to waste my time trying to run 75 meter
> mobile that I should just be happy with 20 meters and 40 meters as
> well as 10.He said it is very hard to get out on 75 Is this a fact or
> does it depend on the antenna I am thinking about teh atas 100 yaesu
> automatic tuning antenna and a 75 meter hamstick Can someone offer me
> some guidance
> Thanks
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4321. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000211205848.03224.00001162@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 119385

In article <38A4BB21.D58DA352@gat.com>, Stewart Teaze <stewart.teaze@gat.com>
writes:

>You really believe that Morse code is that important? How can you be so
>out of touch with reality?

Its not a Question of CW, its a Question about the non Technical Questions to
obtain a License

4322. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <882l57$c1l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38a18e12.12621814@news.interactive.net>,
billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 03:40:07 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> wrote:
Bill the difference between you and I can be seen in our discussions.
Neither of us might be seen as the Average Joe Ham BUT IMHO I believe
that Ham Radio's Basis and Purpose meant more to me than it did or does
to you. That is because I started in the hobby as a poor kid and
became a ham, a technician, a U.S. Army field radio repairman, a field
service engineer, and a degreed design engineer... in that order.
While Ham Radio itself is but a non-profit hobby...what one learns by
being a Ham may be a stepping stone to a career in electronics....NOT
for every Ham but maybe for the kids who become Hams even when their
parents and teachers try to discourage them from what they believe to
be an expensive hobby. To prove that it is not just an expensive hobby
for the rich kids some innovative kids have built their low power
stations from surplus or from scratch. Some have been able to network
with Hams who have helped them find appropriate employment or
encouraged them to continue their technical education. It all could
have started from being a Ham...and that is what makes the goals of
Part 97 obtainable...of value to the Hams....and of value ultimately to
our nation if it produces constructive, productive individuals. We
hear a lot of politicians talking about "family values" these days BUT
their are IMHO also work ethic values...and IMHO the goals of Ham Radio
are indeed technical working values for the Hams who use their hobby in
a productive way.
> >In article <389e05d1.3337516@news.interactive.net>,
> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> >> On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 21:18:39 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >In article <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>,
> >> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >> >Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now
> >than
> >> >> >they did in the 1950s.
> >> >>
> >> >> For what purpose?
> >>
> >To be capable of doing what they did in the 1950s with the same kind
> >of comprehension.
>

> And what is/was that? Sorry, the general type
> of answer does not tell anyone what that is or was.
We spoke about it here. Most Hams built their own transmitters in the
early 50s and before that. Today many Ham think that building anything
from scratch would be near impossible.
>
> >> >> To prove what to whom?
To themselves for one thing. Building successful projects reinforces
one's technical confidence.
> >If you don't know read below.
>
> I will look below.
>
> >> >The PURPOSE is to comply with the Basis and purpose of 97.1
> >>
> >> I must disagree. I had only a tech for 30 years and
> >> that in no way hindered me from working and supporting
> >> the basis and purpose of 97.1.
> >
> >Speak for yourself Bill.
>
> I did speak for myself. You, on the otherhand
> apparently wish to speak for everyone else. The point
> is that one does NOT need any license to work in support
> of the basis and purpose of 97.1.
I do not wish to speak for everyone else BUT I want to say that as a
group Hams must have a basis and purpose and they do have a written set
of basis and purpose goals...that I admit we can nnot expect all to
meet as individuals...HOWEVER some must be encouraged to progress to
the limits of the state of the present art and to be so certified if
they wish to prove that even some Hams are ready to satisfy their group
Basis and Purpose.
> >> >Even more important: to enable Hams, as a group to
> >> >be recognized as being more than appliance users and to make Ham
> >> >Radio a valuable asset recognized by academia, industry and
> >> >if need be military leaders...not solely a fun hobby for
> >> >conspicuous consumers.
> >>
> >> What asset does any ham bring to academia?
Hams show their ability in electronics labs where they often are better
than the teachers and sometimes better than the professors who wrote
the lab manuals. In some courses Ham experience shows up and is
recognized. Such courses as Networks, Electronics, and Communication
Theory are courses that Hams can excell in. Non-Hams are not even
familiar with a lot of the terminology that practical Hams can
comprehend.
> >The ability to get college credit for courses and labs that they are
> >more than qualified for.
In some colleges advanced Hams do get credit for the beginning
electronics labs and some beginning technical courses. They may use

their Ham and industrial experiences to prepare portfolios that can
prove their applicable work experience.
> Examples please. What specific course(s).
See above.
> Why couldn't the person apply to take an advanced
> placement test to get the credits? Colleges don't
> just hand out course credits that easily without
> some verivication.
Some do...but in some cases portfolios are required before one can get
the privilage of taking an placement exam. In some cases the portfolio
along with an oral exam is all that is required.
> >> To industry?

I became a factory television troubleshooter without ever going to a
technical school. I advanced to become a test equipment constructor
and then to be a government lab engineering technician.

> >The ability to get the oportunity to get hired as technicians at a
> >level that recognizes their ham experience.
>
> Your expectations are based on the technology of
> 50 years ago in comparison to what industry would
> and does require today. No comparison and additional
> testing of radio subjects isn't going to help. Indeed,
> just what type of companies might you think woould
> be so inclined to hire hams (assuming you had a
> "more improved" technical capability license?

Around in circles you go...That is why I believe that present day hams
need more license classes and incentives to learn more modern
technology. Companies hire people who can do the jobs that they need
to have done. The economics of employment is somewhat off-topic here
but it is a shame when companies have to hire foreign technicians
because they are unable to fill their needs with American born
technicians. There are certain levels of employees needed who are at
intermediate levels. By that I mean the levels that exist between
unskilled workers and degreed engineers...The jobs that are often
filled by "super-techs" who can get things done independently. Often
the type of people who fill such jobs are Hams or people who have
learned from "do-it-yourself" technical hobbies.
>
> >> To the military?
Yep...To the military. As I said before I completed a six month
military course at The South Eastern Signal School, Camp gordon, GA by
taking phase tests, in three weeks. Most of the stuff on the exams was
the same as was found on ham tests...with the exception that I spent a
few days learning about RTTY from another Ham so that I could pass the
test on RTTY.
> >The ability to pass through military technical schools with advanced
> >placement due to their prior experience and training thus saving the
> >government costs in providing such training.

>
> Assuming one had the "smarts" those smarts would
> advance the person anyway. A license is not
> sufficient proof.
Yeah but my point is that I got the "smarts" by being a Ham!
If it wasn't for Ham radio I would never have had the incentive to the
self-study and the practical construction experience. The license was
indeed an important part of the proof that I was not just interested
but knowledgeable.

> >I did all of the above. I am not unique. Many other hams also did
such
> >things.
>
> When did you do them?

All through my life. As I advanced I continued to read the technical
literature that often applied to both my job and to Ham Radio...right
up to spread spectrum techniques, microwave technology, control
systems, microprocessors, etc.
>
> More to the point, the "radio" aspects of being a
> technician today are more complex than years
> past AND the radio aspect is but a small piece part
> of being a technical person. Ham licenses don't
> address all those other aspects digital, etc.) that
> are a considerable part of today's consumer and
> industrial electronics.
THAT IS WHY WE NEED MORE LICENSE CLASSES and more incentives. BUT the
basic principals of physics, electricity and electronics hold for all
analog and digital techniques..and we learn static logic before we get
into dynamic sequential programmed control. It is all one long string
of knowledge that builds on what you learn at each step. Too many
schools skip steps these days and the so called experts often do not
understand what they are trying to do. A true "expert" can be a
specialist but he or she must comprehend both hardware and software.
Understanding radio electronics is a good starting point.
> >> >> >There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be
digital
> >> >> >communicators or to use each different signaling and/or
modulating
> >> >> >technique.
> >> >>
> >> >> So you are saying that hams should NOT be permitted
> >> >> to use certain modes until they pass a test on that
> >> >> particular mode (or set of modes, e.g. digital)?
> >> >
> >> >Not necessarily. I don't think that anyone could easily change
the
> >> >present privilages. I would think that those who want to
experiment

> >> >with newer digital techniques than are presently in use might
have to
> >> >qualify at higher levels.
> >>
> >> WHY? What technical reason might be at issue if
> >> current hams are permitted to experiment (as indeed
> >> they are) today?
One thing that comes to mind is the FCC permission to use different new
protocols. There are other related technical decisions that must not
in my opinion be made by Ham politicians or by manufacturers opf Ham
gear; They must be made by Hams who have proven that they are
qualified to be technical leaders.
> >
> >I understand that Canada now requires their Hams to use only type
> >accepted equipment. Is this what we want?
>
> I don't belive that is true. Canda has one license
> class that (I think) mandates commercial equipment,
> but the Canadaian equivalent to the USA General
> can still build, repair, modify any equipment.
You might be correct...but even if a license class must only use type
accepted equipment that IMHO means that the whole concept of Ham Radio
in Canada may be heading in the wrong direction...toward Citizen's
Multi-Band (CMB).
> >> >Perhaps those who set up repeaters should
> >> >be able to prove that they are better qualified to do so.
> >>
> >> WHY? Has there been a problem so far?
> >
> >No but IMHO such people can probably all pass appropriate exams. We
> >don't need to have problems in order to take measures to prevent
them.
>
> We don't need laws/regulations where we have no need
> established. Government governs best when it
> governs least.
That is your opinion. The government must in some cases be alert to
potentially dangerous conditions....but unfortunately the government
does not always use people who know that they are doing...like in Waco
and with Ramsey...sometimes the government goes too far without using
common sense.
> >> >BUT anyone
> >> >who wants to show that he or she has gained the technical
knowledge
> >> >from formal schools, on the job training or self study might want
to
> >> >prove it...just like people who have the money to pay a fee can
> >> >now "prove" that they are qualified for a "vanity" call.
> >>
> >> Prove it (what?) to who? My technical knowledge

> >> from formal schools, company training and company
> >> on-the-job training doesn't need any ham license
> >> wallpaper to validate it.
> >
> >Again...speak for yourself...BUT don't assume that technical ham
> >certification can not hold value for the young hams of the future!
> >A license that is recognized as being more than wallpaper will
attract
> >hams who want to do more than talk to the wall because they know how
to
> >do so and can be permitted to do so.
>
> If what you say is true then the proposed ARRL
> "certification" program will meet the need of those
> hams that desire such certification. The government
> should stay the hell out of such areas...EXCEPT
> where absolute safety issues are identified...as
> in the aviation industry.
I disagree. All communication may require measures that can be
important safety measures...We see it even in this Information Age
when "Hackers" can disrupt commercial internet enterprises and security
becomes a problem. Naturally federal agencies must investigate and
Janet Reno must preside.
> >> >> >How else can hams be expected to advance the state of the
> >> >> >art and encourage the formation of a cadre of technical
> >> >experts????...
> >> >>
> >> >> Those that can and want to will. You are confusing
> >> >> the goals of Part 97.1 as being a set of individual
> >> >> requirements. No individual ham is required to become
> >> >> a technical expert. The goal of the service is to
> >> >> encourage the formation of a cadre of experts. So
> >> >> far that is being done. I consider myself an expert
> >> >> and ham radio was a root cause of leading me
> >> >> into the technical field I followed as a career.
> >> >
> >> >I agree...Hams as a group are required to be potential experts BUT
> >> >then why should it be easy for all hams as individuals to earn
> >> >an Extra class license?
> >>
> >> Let's see... today only 1 in 10 hams is an Extra.
That is IMHO due to the present 20 wpm Morse requirement.
> >> That doesn't seem to indicate that getting to Extra is
> >> an easy path. Indeed, only about another 1 in 10
> >> are Advanced (no code test needed) yet we still
> >> have as many Generals that have NOT upgraded.
> >> Are they all inactive or SK? I really doubt that.
> >
> >With the CW requirement removed and only three classes, 1 in 2 Hams
> >will be Extra Class after April 15th....Wait and see. Then what?

> >Don't ever doubt that.
>
> I absolutely doubt that. Time will tell.
>
> >> > Surely there must be incentive for some hams to earn
> >> >higher level licenses or the group will have no proof that they
> >> >are any more than CMBers (citizen's multi-banders).
> >>
> >> What PROOF is needed?
> >> Who needs the proof?

See above and parents and teachers need proof to be mortivated to
encourage their kids and students to spend time becoming Hams and
building stuff rather than just being computer users.

> >If you don't know who needs proof you haven't been paying attention.
>
> I have been reading, but I believe you are living
> in the best as to your expectations of what a
> competent technician today is.

Nope I have worked with and taught competent technicians up until very
recently, when I retired.

> >> >> If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
> >> >> become technical, well we should just obviously
> >> >> agree to disagree.
> >> >
> >> >Not every Ham but some Hams.. and thus not every Ham, but some
> >> >Hams, need qualify at highest technical levels.
> >>
> >> Agreed and that has been the case since ham radio
> >> started and is still the case today.
> >
> >...Except there is no way to formally prove higher level technical
> >qualifications.
There thus needs to be higher level FCC exams.
> But there is NO reason to formally approve. Your
> expectations of college credits can be met by the
> ham taking an advanced placement test.
> The military will advance people based on evaluation
> by seniors, instructors, etc.
> Industry isn't going to hire anyone on the sole
> basis of having a ham license...probably never
> has in the first place.
Yep...BUT if Ham licenses were to mean more they could be an important
part of technical qualifications.
> >And with only three classes of old fixed Q & A it can not continue to
> >be the case nor IMHO is it at the present time.
>
> You want the licensing to serve a purpose beyond

> which it can do today. 50 years ago...maybe, but
> not anymore. More importantly, the FCC clearly has
> no intention to get into that arena.
>
> >> >> > On another note it is interesting to see that although some
Hams
> >> >have
> >> >> >suggested it, our government has never made it a requirement
that
> >> >> >anyone who buys a ham transmitter or a ham transceiver hold a
> >valid
> >> >ham
> >> >> >license. Hmmm...Is this something that the ARRL has promoted
or
> >is
> >> >it
> >> >> >something that the ham gear manufacturers have promoted? Even
gun
> >> >> >manufacturers don't expect to sell guns to those who are not
> >> >qualified
> >> >> >or licensed these days.
> >> >>
> >> >> Just what we'd need. More government regulation.
> >> >> Just think of the hassle that would be in selling used
> >> >> equipment, selling at hamfests, etc. All any such law
> >> >> would do is make life difficult for those that already
> >> >> obey the rules and regs.
> >> >
> >> >No hassle at all, even Radio Shack now has a data base listing all
> >> >licensed Hams.
> >>
> >> The hassle becomes one that YOU and I then need
> >> to be at odds with if I want to sell my rig.
> >
> >I don't sell my rigs. If I give them away I make sure that I give
them
> >to licensed Hams. Wouldn't you???
>
> I will sell to anyone that gives me the $$$. That is no
> different than when I buy. No one has ever asked me
> if I was a ham before selling me equipment. We don't
> need more feel-good laws that those that operate outside
> the law will ignore anyway...while placing unnecessary burdens
> and limitations on the law abiding hams.
Well I certainly can not agree with you. What is the purpose of their
being licensed Hams if anyone can buy rice-boxes and bootleg?
> >> > It would be easy to identify licensed hams...I do so at
> >> >every VE session. Let them show their Ham license and drivers
> >license
> >> >for ident. If mail order is involved look up their call in one of

> >the
> >> >on-line data bases and compare with the name on their credit card.
> >>
> >> If I sell at a hamfest, I sure don't need the cops or
> >> the FCC overlooking my transactions to be sure
> >> I only sell to a ham. Such a law would, I repeat,
> >> only make work and trouble for hams who are
> >> and do obey the law today.
> >
> >Well ...If they obey the law today and there are more laws added;
they
> >can obey the law tomorrow...besides people aren't supposed to become
> >hams primarily to make profit from selling ham gear.
>
> I repeat...we don't need MORE laws UNLESS there is
> both a well documented PROBLEM...and a high
> degree of certainty that the proposed NEW law will
> solve that problem.

Look at the dumb law that prevented the sale of liniar RF power
amplifiers that could tune to eleven meters! That was dumb and could
have been solved by preventing such units (that could tune to ten
meters) from being sold to non-Ham CBers and not by prohibiting coils
and capascitors that resonate at ten meters on band-switch assemblies.

> >> >> > We have lost the Heath Company and can not expect to get new
> >> >> >Heathkits anymore.
> >> >>
> >> >> Heath folded up because of the economics. It was
> >> >> that simple. If you can't make a product that sells,
> >> >> then you don't stay profitable.
> >
> >If a large U.S. firm can't support a CEO who makes over ten times
what
> >their best engineers can make it either decides to make it off-shore
or
> >give up making it. Thus if a very large market is not probable then
> >the firm sells out or folds. No need to stray further off topic here.
>
> I really have no idea what point you are attempting to
> make with this last comment.
Too naive?
> >> >They folded because of rising costs of components and especially
> >> >because of rising costs of technical writers who are needed to
make
> >> >instruction manuals, etc...and a marketing survey that showed
> >> >that "dumbed down" hobbiests were not as interested in building
kits
> >> >as part of their hobbies as they were in the past...and that our
> >> >government was afraid that the kits might get into the hands of
the

> >> >unsupervised latch-key children who eat paint chips and would
surely
> >> >burn their houses down if they had access to soldering irons!
> >>
> >> Translation: They couldn't make a product that sells.

Many socio-economic conditions added up to the fact that continuing to
make such products was not a good investment for a large
corporation...Let us leave it at that.
> >> Dumbed down had nothing to do with it. As to
> >> the government worried about kits getting into the hands
> >> of latch-key children...well that is really far fetched.
> >
> >Is it far fetched that our government is now going to ban the sale of
> >solder that contains lead??? Heathkits would have to be a lot more
> >expensive if they were forced to have Silver-Bismuth solder with non-
> >toxic flux and a higher temperature melting point.
>
> But Heath is gone so it makes no difference.

But what about the possibility of future electronics kit
manufacturers? Are they doomed to be as extinct as the companies that
made chemistry sets and balsa-strut model airplanes? Are they to
prevent kids from learning and experimenting because of the risks that
they believe are involved? This is a case where maybe the government
goes too far. Maybe such stuff should be made available to serious
kids who can qualify to use them with the proper precautions.

> >> >> >Now I hear that our government is shutting
> >> >> >down Ramsey...because it sells very low power FM xmitter kits
that
> >> >> >are well within legal limits...but that the government believes
> >> >> >may be used by spies.
> >> >>
> >> >> I suspect that is more legend than fact.
> >> >
> >> >Nope... I have read reports about the confiscation of Ramsey kits
by
> >> >federal authorities from several sources.
> >>
> >> Confiscation of ALL or only certain KITS?
> >
> >I don't think that the agents have the smarts to identify one kit
from
> >another.
>
> Then Ramsey's legal representation will address that if
> the Feds overstepped their bounds.
>
> >According to a "W5YI Report"
> >"About $30,000 in products were confiscated from Ramsey which the

> >Customs Agents turned over to the U.S. attorney in Buffalo. John
> >Ramsey, the firm's president said none of the merchandice was
designed
> >to be sold as 'surreptitious surveillance equipment'. In fact he
said,
> >most of it...like a wireless microphone kit that sells for less than
> >$6.00 - was ill-suited for espionage. The U.S. government is
> >apparanyly re-inventing federal wiretapping and smuggling laws to
> >include small hobby transmitters."
> ><end of quote>
>
> That's why Ramsey has an attorney I would
> suspect. I am not condoning the action, but
> merely note that the issues will be addresses
> in a court forum as can be expected.
>
> >AND...You think the government would be hassling Hams if the Hams who
> >wanted to sell their transmitters had to identify the buyers as
> >licensed Hams???
>
> Neither situation should be acceptable. Ramsey has legal
> representation as any business would. Individual hams
> find such legal "expenses" far more economically
> prohibitive.

Why would a non-ham want to buy a ham transmitter? Why can't he or she
wait to get a license? Why would a Ham want to help a non-ham get on-
the air to bootleg? IMHO any reasonable Ham would and should welcome
any laws that help keep transmitters out of the hand of the unlicensed.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
Cheers,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignorant Laws Preside?)

Government can easily exist without law,
but law can not exist without government.
--Bertrand Russell (Unpopular Essays)(1950)--

Ham Radio can not exist without laws
and the laws must be made and enforced
by government.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4323. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <882jnl$jg1$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119387

In article <38A4CF25.81982A24@ix.netcom.com>,
Steve Cuilhe <wa6gsd@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>
>
>Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:
>
>> Top 10 List.
>>
>> 1.) Let it expire.
>>
>> 2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your spectrum anyway.
>>
>> 3.) Send it to the FCC & tell them to shove it.
>>
>> 4.) Get VE accredited and help license CBers.
>>
>> 5.) Send it to the ARRL & tell them "Thanks for Nothing"
>>
>> 6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.
>>
>> 7.) Modify CB's for your new ham friends & test their echo Mics.
>>
>> 8.) Brush up on your 10-codes.
>>
>> 9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and turn on a soldering gun.
>>
>> 10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then flush, a CBer will find it.
>>
>> Hiram Percy Maxim ex. w1aw
>
>
>
> Morse code is just another mode, one that I am very fond of.. The code
>requirement
>should have been left in place simply as a way to ensure that someone who
>achieved
>extra class as least had to work a little bit.. The current "technical"
>exams are so silly,
>that anyone who has any kind of a memory at all can pass with no problem.
>
>The FCC (and ARRL) has just helped ensure the demise of amateur radio..
>
>More numbers does not mean a better service!

>
>Just my thoughts.
>
>Steve Cuilhe'
>WA6GSD
>Extra Class since 1976 and proud of it!

Those who believe that the removal of code from the ARS have won this round, I just wonder if
they have the stomach for the next round on the bands. 11 meters has just been reunited with the
ARS.

4324. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <882oatdvn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38A1B9FA.B9C672CC@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > I will sell to anyone that gives me the $$$. That is no
> > different than when I buy. No one has ever asked me
> > if I was a ham before selling me equipment.
>
> Back in the early 50s, I bought a Globe Scout transmitter
> from World Radio Labs before I had obtained a ham license.
> The law back then was that it was legal to own a
> transmitter, just illegal to *USE* it without a license.
> Receiving anything was legal. Those were good laws. *Mere
> ownership* of a transmitter or amp or receiver capable of
> receiving cell-phone frequencies are victimless crimes.

I am not entirely disagreeing with your idea of freedom of
ownership...It is just my own opinion that anyone who buys anything
that he can not legally use might be too stupid to ever legally use
it. In your case I am obviously wrong because you was smart enough to
eventually use your Globe Scout legally...I think.

If an Arab, a Zionist, or a member of some controversial ethinicity
bought a radio transmitter today he might easily risk being identified
as a spy or terrorist. Maybe we should be sure that only citizens in
good standing can buy CB radios.
I think that was once the law and that is why they called it "Citizen's
Band". Now a days anyone can legally buy a CB transceiver but not a CB
power amplifier unless it is intended for export to the same place that
everyone who buys experimental TV descramblers intends to export them
to. Anyone can have a cell phone as long as he or she accepts a listed
number and the charges.

If Leo didn't sell you the Globe King would you still have gone on to
get a Ham license?

The laws sometimes work otherwise. If you are caught carrying certain
drugs you can't get away with saying that you bought the drugs with no
intent to use them. Maybe that is because there is no strong lobby
from a an amateur drug relaying publishing organization.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
Hams need MORE license classes,
73, Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure Legal Possessions)
w2ilp@juno.com

Possession is nine-tenths of the law.
--? Proverb ?--

In some states you can own just
about anything as long as you pay
the sales tax.
--w2ilp--

In my town zone it would be illegal for me
to own a horse, a monkey, a boa constrictor
or more than three domestic
cats and I dunno if it would make any
difference if I intended not to use them
for anything....or if they were neutered.
--w2ilp--

You don't need to
have a driver's license to register
a car but you need proof of
insurance and you need a driver's
license to buy insurance in most states.
Now that is why I think that this
whole problem could be solved if gun owners
and hams got insured and the insurance
companies cared if they were licensed
to use their guns and radios....
Maybe Extra Class hams could get a discount
on their insurance for wearing wrist straps or
for taking a defensive jamming course.
That might give Hams an incentive to upgrade.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4325. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A4E184.DC6B3CBE@bctv.com>
Source record: 119389
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Someone afraid to tell us who he really is wrote:

> Top 10 List.
>
> 1.) Let it expire.

This sounds like a good plan for all you whiners.

> 2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your spectrum anyway.

> 6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.

So, what you are saying here is that things will be pretty much the same
then as now . . ?

> 9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and turn on a soldering gun.

. . .assuming, of course, that YOU know . . .

4326. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: shott002@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119390
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

cry cry cry cry, I'm sick and tired of people crying over this, get a life pal. If you are an ex W1AW what are you doing still hanging with the in crowd? If you don't like the new rules, and you have quit this hobby, then go find a new one and quit crying. I will personaly will become a 5wpm Extra just for people like you. I'm sorry if I have touch a bad spot with some of you, but really getting tired of the crying. It's said and done, nothing you nor I can do about it.

Shane
Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal

4327. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <882pis$pj6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119391

T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Not everyone is surrounded by the Pacific Ocean, Jeff, so not everyone
>is going
>to hear a 40m band that is "jammed to capacity". Your generalization
>simply
>doesn't apply to all situations.

Hawaii lies in a huge, empty ellipse -- nothing but water -- few transmitting amateurs in the millions of square miles which made up the North, Central, and South Pacific. Our nearest neighbor to the east is California, 2200 miles. Asia is 5,000 miles to the west. Australia, some 7000 miles to the south. A vast, transmitter-less ocean. There are no short-skip signals within range. Yet, 40m is jammed with amateurs at night. (The band sounds just as dense with signals when I'm visiting my Nevada QTH.)

Remember, we're 3 hours in longitude behind CA, and 6 hours behind the East Coast, but it's still daylight to the west during our evening. Almost all the evening 40m signals we hear come from North America.

73, Jeff KH6O

4328. Ham Radio in Latvia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <882tvp$sk5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thanks to Pete, KC8ER, for posting this to the Ham Radio History List.
Anyone interested in subscribing, send a blank email to:
ham-radio-history-subscribe@egroups.com

Jeff KH6O

Ham Radio Latvia
By Gunnars E. Postnieks, YL2PG.

The Amateur Service is a radiocommunication service for the purpose of self-training, intercommunication and technical investigations carried out by amateurs, that is, by duly authorized persons interested in radio technique solely with a personal aim and without pecuniary interest.

Radio Regulations (RRS1.56) complementing the Constitution and the Convention of the International Telecommunication Union.

This page is focused on Amateur Radio (a.k.a. Ham Radio) in Latvia and it is assumed that the reader has prior knowledge of the subject. An excellent introduction to amateur radio is at Ham Radio Online. Many fine books on this hobby are published in English by The American Radio Relay League and The Radio Society of Great Britain.

The Licensing Authority:

The Latvia Telecommunication State Inspection licenses and supervises all Latvian radio services, including amateur. Here the official edition of Latvian Amateur Radio Rules may be purchased and queries also should be addressed to them:

Statistics
263 Latvian amateur stations have valid licenses (29 December 1999).
Membership to the Latvian Radio Amateur League is voluntary.

The callsign system:

YL1xx are club stations.
YL2xx and YL3xx are first or second category private stations.
YL2Gxx and YL3Gxx are third or fourth category private stations.
YL#xx/R or YL#Rxx is a repeater.
YL callsigns with other numbers may be issued on a temporary basis, e.g. YL80xxx.
Callsign/A means the station is operating outside it's normal address.
/M, /P, /AM, /MM have the usual meaning.

Frequency Bands:

Amateurs in Latvia may use the following frequency bands: 135.7-137.8 kHz
1810-1930 kHz*
3.500-3800 kHz
7000-7100 kHz
10100-10150 kHz
14000-14350 kHz
18068-18168 kHz
21000-21450 kHz
24890-24990 kHz
28000-29700 kHz
50-52 MHz
144-146 MHz
430-440 MHz
1240-1300 MHz
2300-2450 MHz
5650-5850 MHz
10-10.5 GHz
24-24.25 GHz
47-47.2 GHz
75.5-81 GHz
119.98-120.02 GHz
142-149 GHz
241-250 GHz
*In the band 1850-1930 kHz power is restricted to 10 W for all categories.

License Classes:

There are four license classes in Latvia, called categories which may be defined** as
1 - Extra
2 - Advanced
3 - Novice
4 - Communication
**Unofficial, used here solely for explanation.

First Category:

First Category amateurs may use all bands all modes (137 kHz CW only).
Power:
LF 100 W
MF & HF 500 W
50 MHz 50 W
144 MHz and 430 MHz 100 W
above 1 GHz 50 W

Second Category:

Second Category amateurs may use all bands, except 10 MHz and 50 MHz, all modes. 14 MHz SSB is limited to 14300-14350 kHz and 137 kHz is CW only.
Power:
LF, MF & HF 100 W
144 MHz and 430 MHz 50 W
above 1 GHz 30 W

Third Category:

Third Category amateurs have restricted access to the 1.8, 3.5, 7, 21 and 28 MHz HF bands and my use most modes on 144 MHz and above. Power:
MF & HF: 30 W
144 MHz and above: 10 W

Fourth Category:

Fourth Category amateurs have restricted access to the 144, 430 and 1240 MHz bands and they may not use CW. Power: 10 W.

Repeater abuse advice. A guide for repeater users in the UK. By the RSGB, endorsed by the UK Radiocommunication Agency. May also well apply to Latvia.

QSL Bureau
P.O.Box 164
Riga LV-1010
Latvia

The bureau is run by the Latvian Radio Amateur League.

Visit and operating from Latvia:

Latvia has implemented CEPT Recommendation T/R 61-01 The CEPT Radio Amateur License.

CEPT Class A license holders are granted Latvian Second Category amateur privileges.

CEPT Class B license holders are granted Latvian Fourth Category amateur privileges.

The prefix for Latvia is YL.

On entering Latvia visitors may be asked to produce a Radio Import License. To apply, send a list of equipment names and serial numbers with a copy of your CEPT license to The Latvia Telecommunication State Inspection. The Inspection may charge you approximately ten US Dollars for this.
If you do not hold a CEPT License, you may apply for a temporary Latvian license. Request an application form from the Inspection (you may use email). Fill in the form, affix a passport-size photo, attach a copy of your national license, and mail this to the Inspection. Again, you may be charged, approximately ten US Dollars.
If you don't hold an amateur license, why not pass the Latvian Radio Amateur Examination and get one?

From: http://www.hamradio.lv/index.html

Feel free to ask any questions or share whatever trivia, facts or recollections of ham radio that you can. Participate and post a message to Ham Radio History. Email your post to: ham-radio-history@eGroups.com

4329. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <8832ff$104$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
>
>Shane
>Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal

Me too. I already have the 4A and 4B CSCE's, old tech plus ready to go come April. I'll keep my current callsign wa2ise.

trimmed the groups to misc and policy.

4330. ED.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grumpee <Crabby@oldmail.com>
Message-ID: <38a528a1_3@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119394

It has been said that one of every ten men suffer from ED...Erectile Dysfunction.
This news group makes one wonder it that should be one in five.....

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4331. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <cutfeline@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8839sqqoh1@news1.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 119395
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

What does this have to do with CB?

Shannon P. McGauley <mcgauley@onramp.net> wrote in message
news:38A4C1FE.478C5F5C@onramp.net...
> First of all the requirements were needed to screen the bad element out.
> Through the last few years we've seen the quick fix policies enacted even
in
> our state laws. That's why we spend so much time repairing laws and our
> kids are so out of control. THE QUICK FIX POLICY. I'm for the new
license
> requirement change as long as the FCC monitors and fines violators.
>
> My thought,
> N5KOOU
>
>
> Stewart Teaze wrote:
>
> > Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:
> > >
> > > > Top 10 List...
> > >
> > > You really believe that Morse code is that important? How can you be so
> > > out of touch with reality?
> > >
> > > Your post is laden with hypocracy and insesitivity, and proves that this
> > > move was long overdue.
> > >
> > > It is really hard to believe that this kind of post isn't faked, to try
> > > build up the no-code position(which I don't approve of, by the way).
>

4332. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gm4plm@emn.org.uk
Message-ID: <38a521eb.211558624@news.freenetname.co.uk>
Source record: 119396
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

On Fri, 11 Feb 2000 23:24:51 GMT, "Dan K Nelson" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
wrote:

Try www.mods.dk

73 Simon GM4PLM

>Alan J. Price <career1@home.com> wrote in message
>news:38A480C0.BD6E0A1D@home.com...
>> Willy:
>> Unless I am wrong the change is easy and is a firmware mod. Just one of
>those
>> things you program into the radio. Check your manaul.
>> Alan
>> W1HYV
>>
>> Willy Wilson wrote:
>>
>> > Hi all,
>> > Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit
>outside
>> > the amateur bands please?
>> > Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
>> > Willy.
>>
>
>On the 850, you need to remove a diode on the PLL board... Details are in
>docs on many ham web sites, like QRZ, etc.
>
>73 de W6DKN
>
>
>
>
>

The contents of this message represent the personal opinion of the
author and are not representative of the RSGB or DUBUS unless otherwise stated.
+---+
| Simon Lewis GM4PLM Editor - European Microwave News |
| Radio Society of Great Britain Microwave Column Editor |
| DUBUS Microwave Europe Column Editor |
| European Microwave News - Supporting Microwave Radio Across Europe |
| EMN Homepage - www.emn.org.uk Email gm4plm@emn.org.uk |

```
| RSGB Microwave Column Homepage - www.emn.org.uk/mwcol.htm |
| RSGB Microwave Column Email uwave.radcom@rsgb.org.uk |
| DUBUS Microwave Europe Column Homepage - www.emn.org.uk/dubus.htm |
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
```

4333. Re: Mobile antenna array?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Message-ID: <38A54385.CCE0C9F8@cmcorp.com>
Source record: 119397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

JA Moran wrote:

> I have two wide coverage (0.1-1900mhz) radios in my truck (Ford F-150XL)

I have an ICOM 706 to cover 160 meters to 2 meters. (1.8 mhz to 150 mhz.)
and a Kenwood 741 for 222 and 440 Mhz in my F-150 XL.

I use several antennas (Total of 5.)
You can not expect any real performance from an antenna on a vehicle on the
frequerncies
below 7 Mhz.

Fortunately, I think your just receiving, so you can get by with non resonant
antennas.
So just use a long whip.

> I am considering constructing an antenna array. Anyone ever tried this ?

I built "Frankentenna" for the low frequencies from a Schedule M 3/4" copper
pipe,
8 ' long on a military ball mount AND a LARGE coil covered with a popcorn can,
AND a 4 ' whip on top. It has to be guyed! Don't try to erect this antenna and

drive 55! (Your likely to cause accidents from gawking just driving around
town.)

> probably need an antenna fror the 0.1-30mhz (long wave and shortwave), then
> one for 118-174 (VHFand 2meter), one for 400-512 (uhf and 70cm), and one for
> 820-950 and higher
>
> The kicker is that this has to work while the truck is in motion on a freeway
> at speeds up to 90mph. I don't go over about 80 but if everythinhg will worj
> at 90mph, tht will account for any sudden wind gusts.
>
> JOHN
>

--
*
| __O Thomas C. Sefranek tcs@cmcorp.com
|_-\<,_ Amateur Radio Operator: WA1RHP
(*)/ (*) Bicycle mobile on 145.41, 448.625 MHz

http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html
http://www.harvardrepeater.org

4334. SWL question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <iamdavid@iname.com>
Message-ID: <B4CA9D38.5E1F%iamdavid@iname.com>
Source record: 119398

I have an FRG-8800 with the Active Antenna unit, the FRA-7700 which has a telescopic antenna attached.

If I rig a long wire aerial, would it work to connect the long wire in place of the telescopic whip on the FRA-7700?

I am getting back into SWL after many years absence, and its frightening how much I have forgotten.

Any comments would be greatly appreciated.

David

4335. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A566DB.462DD3BD@bctv.com>
Source record: 119399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
> >
> >Shane
> >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
>
> Me too. I already have the 4A and 4B CSCE's, old tech plus ready to go
> come April. I'll keep my current callsign wa2ise.
>
> trimmed the groups to misc and policy.

I'm a tech plus, but I am "W1AW's" SUPERIOR in everything that counts.
Attitude, for instance.

4336. New Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmith9695@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000212092638.11069.00000520@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 119400

Hi all
My new call sign has been published oh qrz.com.I understand that I can go on the air with the call sign now that it have been issued.Is my undestanding of this correct?I surely don't want to start off on the wrong foot.Getting my ticket has been something I wanted to do for a long time.I just never seemed to have the time to study.I'm not jumping on the band wagon because of the restucturing,it's something I've wanted since I first learned of ham radio.I'm really happy to have made it and am looking forward to a great hobbie.Thanks to all who read

73 Rob KG4GGX

4337. FOR SALE: Alinco DJ191T 2M HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Titcomb <jimmytee@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <a8ep4.6073$UP1.140255@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119401

Brand new, bought for Xmas, but no 2m action at my QTH, mint condition, beautiful little rig, fully loaded, includes ni-cad battery, desktop charger, original carton, manual.
$125 + shipping. For specs and photo, check out this web site:
http://www.durhamradio.ca/dj191.htm

Jim KH6IX
kimoloka@worldnet.att.net

4338. FA: Amateur Radio Call Book 1999 Summer CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wd5bjq@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8841lj$84v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119402

Hello,

I just received the new version of the Amateur Radio CallBook for 2000.
I am offering my old 1999 Summer Version CD on ebay.
The starting Bid is 1.00 with no reserve.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=258781187

Happy Bidding..
DE WD5BJQ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4339. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <883sct$p3a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119403
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Another soon to be extra...has no idea who or what W1AW is.

de Tim K0FL

SHOTT002 wrote in message <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>...
>cry cry cry cry, I'm sick and tired of people crying over this, get a life
pal.
>If you are an ex W1AW what are you doing still hanging with the in crowd?
If
>you don't like the new rules, and you have quit this hobby, then go find a
new
>one and quit crying. I will personaly will become a 5wpm Extra just for
people
>like you. I'm sorry if I have touch a bad spot with some of you, but really
>getting tired of the crying. It's said and done, nothing you nor I can do
about
>it.
>
>Shane
>Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal

4340. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <EATSPAMupplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38A574A2.1921BD7B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119404
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

He's a troll. Just stirring up the pot. Ignore the bridge dweller.

Rob wrote:
>
> What does this have to do with CB?
>
> Shannon P. McGauley <mcgauley@onramp.net> wrote in message
> news:38A4C1FE.478C5F5C@onramp.net...
> > First of all the requirements were needed to screen the bad element out.
> > Through the last few years we've seen the quick fix policies enacted even
> in
> > our state laws. That's why we spend so much time repairing laws and our
> > kids are so out of control. THE QUICK FIX POLICY. I'm for the new
> license
> > requirement change as long as the FCC monitors and fines violators.
> >
> > My thought,
> > N5KOOU
> >
> >
> > Stewart Teaze wrote:
> >
> > > Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:
> > >
> > > > Top 10 List...
> > >
> > > You really believe that Morse code is that important? How can you be so
> > > out of touch with reality?
> > >
> > > Your post is laden with hypocracy and insesitivity, and proves that this
> > > move was long overdue.
> > >
> > > It is really hard to believe that this kind of post isn't faked, to try
> > > build up the no-code position(which I don't approve of, by the way).
> >

--
Posts to the newsgroup DO NOT need a copy e-mailed to me. Thanks.
(Unless you're afraid I won't see it!)

Unit SIX-NINE Chesapeake Bay

4341. Re: New Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38a56e20.1367933@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119405

Yes you can jump on the bands and chat away.
Just stay where you are supposed to, We dont want grumpy old men
getting mad at you or I.

On 12 Feb 2000 14:26:38 GMT, rsmith9695@aol.com (RSmith9695) wrote:

>Hi all
>My new call sign has been published oh qrz.com.I understand that I can go on
>the air with the call sign now that it have been issued.Is my undestanding of
>this correct?I surely don't want to start off on the wrong foot.Getting my
>ticket has been something I wanted to do for a long time.I just never seemed to
>have the time to study.I'm not jumping on the band wagon because of the
>restucturing,it's something I've wanted since I first learned of ham radio.I'm
>really happy to have made it and am looking forward to a great hobbie.Thanks to
>all who read
>
>73 Rob KG4GGX

The "kf6foz BBS"
Redding, CA.
530-229-0896
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/

4342. Spectrum International, J-Beam antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kennkk@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8845iuavn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I plan to get back into ATV and want to know if anyone is using
what was called back in the 80's a "J-Beam" antenna. It was made by
Spectrum International and the model number was "88".

I own one of these and trying to remember how to put it back together.
Before I install it I was wondering if anyone might still have the
single page instruction sheet that came with it and if I could get a
copy of it.

I also would like to know what other ATV people are using and if I
should stick with this antenna or go with something else.

thanks,

please email direct: n1kk@netzero.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4343. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <38A5837A.525C@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 119407
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Another soon to be extra...has no idea who or what W1AW is.
>
> de Tim K0FL
>
> SHOTT002 wrote in message <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>...
> >cry cry cry cry, I'm sick and tired of people crying over this, get a life
> pal.
> >If you are an ex W1AW what are you doing still hanging with the in crowd?
> If
> >you don't like the new rules, and you have quit this hobby, then go find a
> new
> >one and quit crying. I will personaly will become a 5wpm Extra just for
> people
> >like you. I'm sorry if I have touch a bad spot with some of you, but really
> >getting tired of the crying. It's said and done, nothing you nor I can do
> about
> >it.
> >
> >Shane
> >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
Who is W1AW, it is the ham callsign of the Hiram Percy Maxim Memorial
Station in Newington, CT operated by the ARRL. Because of Hiram Percy
Maxim we have Ham and CB today, the US NAVY wanted to run all civilians
off the air after WW 1. The ARRL got congres to open up the airwaves
and the rest is history.

4344. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8849pr$dq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You forgot to put what you will REALLY do with it...

Not a damn thing. Anyone that would think that Morse
really had so much value in today's world is so out
of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
of anything, really. (Except complain)

Stewart Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4345. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <dZfp4.1337$6w1.44037@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 119409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8832ff$104$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>, wa2ise@netcom.com says...
> In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
> >
> >Shane
> >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
>
> Me too. I already have the 4A and 4B CSCE's, old tech plus ready to go
> come April. I'll keep my current callsign wa2ise.
>
> trimmed the groups to misc and policy.
>

you're gonna be equal to club station? Will you allow visitor ops? Will you have all the latest and greatest in amateur radio toys??

Maybe you can out a lock on the restroom door??

--
You can fool some of the people, all of the time
and you can fool all of the people some of the time
BUT

YOU CAN'T FOOL MOM!!!

Carpe Diem!!!!

4346. HAM RADIO on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: skbenjamin@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000212115223.21939.00000442@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 119410

CQ DX NETWORK
http://geocities.com/cqdxnet2000

Join the other amateurs on the Internet
Talk person to person or in a group and
use the online repeaters, tcp/ip and links
for local amateurs to talk in to the
network. Talk and do tcp/ip at the same
time. CQ DX Network is expanding and
if you are a amateur radio operator, we
invite you to join us.

Just e-mail us with the following info.:

Amateur Call
Full name
City
State or Country
e-mail listed (qrz)

73 CQ DX Network

4347. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prince of Coyotes <fdecker@catskill.net>
Message-ID: <sab3tn36ivs115@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119411
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

If he'd used W1AW to get his 13 and 20 WPM with their practice sessions,
like me and a lot of us, he'd know, wouldn't he? I got to 5 with the
ARRL's CDs, and the booklet that came with it printed their schedule.

<randas@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:883sct$p3a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Another soon to be extra...has no idea who or what W1AW is.
>
> de Tim K0FL
>
> SHOTT002 wrote in message
<20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>...
> >cry cry cry cry, I'm sick and tired of people crying over this, get a
life
> pal.
> >If you are an ex W1AW what are you doing still hanging with the in crowd?
> If
> >you don't like the new rules, and you have quit this hobby, then go find
a
> new
> >one and quit crying. I will personaly will become a 5wpm Extra just for
> people
> >like you. I'm sorry if I have touch a bad spot with some of you, but
really
> >getting tired of the crying. It's said and done, nothing you nor I can do
> about
> >it.
> >
> >Shane
> >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
>
>

4348. FS: Grundig Yacht Bob 400PE portable receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Harrison <bharrison@apple.com>
Message-ID: <B4CAFE22.64F1%bharrison@apple.com>
Source record: 119412

Hello, and thanks for reading:

I have an almost new Grundig Yacht Bob 400PE portable receiver for sale.
This radio was purchased for a nephew who was to go overseas with the Peace
Corps, but ultimately didn't - used very little, maybe 5 hours.
Works very well...

See the latest Amateur Electronics Supply catalog page 32. or info at:
http://www.aesham.com

Covers 1.6 to 30 MHz, all SW bands, FM broadcast band (stereo with
headphones), AM and long wave broadcast bands. Direct keypad frequency
entry or scan tuning with 40 memories, SSB reception with fine tuning
control, high-low tone switch. Tilt stand, telescoping antenna.
Multi-function backlit LCD display shows dual zone 24 hour time, frequency,
band and signal strength. Dual selectivity. Automatic turn-on and sleep
timer.

Includes external reel-up antenna for shortwave, carrying pouch, manual, and
AC adapter (otherwise uses 6 "AA" batteries, not included).

approx 4.5" height x 7.75" width x 1.3" diameter, 1.5 lbs.

I'll ship to your door (in the US) for $169.

thanks, and regards,

brian
kn4r

bharrison@earthlink.net

704 483-5679
near Charlotte NC

4349. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <884feihkm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <883sct$p3a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
<randas@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Another soon to be extra...has no idea who or what W1AW is.
>
> de Tim K0FL
>

That would be sad wouldn't it. But then you only have YOURSELF to blame. You attitude has turned off the younger generation from giving one diddly about anything "old". All you have left is an elitist attitude. You mourn, but you do NOTHING to educate. You stand behind your past accomplishment, like it actually means something in today's world, and you expect anyone to give any concern to ANY of your values?

It is TRULY AMAZING that you can't see this. I can't believe you guys haven't lived long enough to have been on the other end of a similar experience.

Why don't you post some facts about W1AW, like some others did here(and please don't use a condecending attitude) - maybe you'll actually start to help bridge the gap, and pass on some of your values. When I first started getting interested in ham radio, I remember reading something about him in an ARRL book I think was entitled "Introduction to Amateur Radio", or something like that. I passed the book onto somebody else, and never got it back(but that's OK, I hope he passed it onto some other new ham).

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4350. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sarge <Bearcat@cloudnet.com>
Message-ID: <38A55348.5CD43AC4@cloudnet.com>
Source record: 119414
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hey ASSHOLE, go back to the ham section and cry. We don't need to hear you
cry down here. What a PUTS!

Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:

> Top 10 List.
>
> 1.) Let it expire.
>
> 2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your spectrum anyway.
>
> 3.) Send it to the FCC & tell them to shove it.
>
> 4.) Get VE accredited and help license CBers.
>
> 5.) Send it to the ARRL & tell them "Thanks for Nothing"
>
> 6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.
>
> 7.) Modify CB's for your new ham friends & test their echo Mics.
>
> 8.) Brush up on your 10-codes.
>
> 9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and turn on a soldering gun.
>
> 10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then flush, a CBer will find it.
>
> Hiram Percy Maxim ex. w1aw

4351. Re: Check out the Web Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <884bog$d0c$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119415

Right, Dan. Can't fault you on the quip. If one I.D.s as one should, then one gets targeted. If one raises hell un-I.D., one gets away with it. The FCC has ultra sophisticated tracking devices? Then tell me why "Red Panties" hasn't been caught. He's on there long enough to give an DFer an exact calculation, yet nothing happens. Too much trouble, F.C.C? Gas prices too high to get a truck or car in high gear? And how about the guy no more than 30-50 miles from Clifton who's on there day after day with tapes loud enough, so says KC3AU, to blow away legit signals everywhere? He's not touched. Why not? How about the "Amen" guy? He can't be traced? Like hell he can't. And so boys and girls you now know why so many never I.D. Simple enough. THEY WON'T GET CAUGHT! Something's rotten in Denmark and 14.313 is Copenhagen.
AF2Y

In article <882hkm$9m0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <882age$ns3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Gentlemen and I use that term loosely,
>> If you're an ARRL member, check out the latest FCC enforcement actions
>> listed in Web Extra. Whoa and I don't mean "Whoa Whoa Cue" a.k.a.
>Wesley
>> fwom Wabelle Fwowida either. One guy from Florida got a 20K fine and
>it was
>> UPHELD. Talk about stupid; he refused to stop doing what he was doing
>and
>> WHAM! And take a gander at the rest of the callsigns who are
>offenders.
>> Wow. I mean like holy hell...what I'm hearing on 14.313 is as bad
>now as it
>> ever was before Riley entered the secne and when John, KC3AU, says
>that a
>> large part of it is coming from the northeast, he's right. I cannot
>hear New
>> England from here which is 12 miles N.W. of N.Y.C. K3QAM is a whisper
>if at
>> all audible. No copy whatsoever from immediate states south
>e.g.Delaware and
>> Maryland and from New York to the north. The racket I've been
>hearing which
>> according to John is very very loud in the Atlanta area is, based on
>the
>> strength, approximately 30-50 miles from me and in New Jersey. When

>this guy
>> gets caught, 20K is going to seem cheap.
>> AF2Y
>>
>Right....when the FCC "pops" ANYONE that is not using a call on HF Ill
>beleive it. Give me a break.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4352. DO YOU HAVE A VX-1R or VX-5R ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rf@qrz.com
Message-ID: <38a5b37c.662884@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119416

Please post your LIKES and DISLIKES on the VX-1R or the VX-5R...

Inquiring minds want to know.....

4353. Re: DO YOU HAVE A VX-1R or VX-5R ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: goldreged@aol.comJUNKBLOK
Message-ID: <20000212145204.27089.00003287@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 119417

<<Please post your LIKES and DISLIKES on the VX-1R or the VX-5R...

Inquiring minds want to know.....>>

Well, I have the VX 5 R & LOVE it. The only things that I would like to have added are 1: a CLOCK & 2: a dual VFO.
The Clock would be easy. The dual VFO would be a packaging concern.

http://www.onelist.com/viewarchive.cgi?listname=YAESUVX5

This is a E-mail reflector that you have to sign up for & has EVERYTHING that you can need for the VX 5 R. I'm sure that there is one for the other radios.
Ed G.
KB1DQX
(Please Remove "JUNKBLOK" to E-mail.
Unless it's a Flame.)

4354. Re: DO YOU HAVE A VX-1R or VX-5R ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel nicholson <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <saben4duivs58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119418

Have both....like them both......just wish they had better belt clips and or leather cases..

Dan
rf@qrz.com wrote in message <38a5b37c.662884@news.earthlink.net>...
>
>Please post your LIKES and DISLIKES on the VX-1R or the VX-5R...
>
>Inquiring minds want to know.....

4355. Re: New Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <38A5C15B.39D48DE9@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 119419

There are lots of things that are suspose to be learned for the tests.
I doubt that anyone new to the hobby can remember everthing that is in
the tests. Even with the rules in front of many, they are hard to
understand. Here is a new ham trying to do right and all you do is give
a bunch of junk to him instead of being helpful.

BTW the answer is that as soon as the call appears in the FCC data base
he can get on the air with it and all that the license class entitles
him to.

Don't let the call fool you , been an ham over 25 years.
de KU4PT

BD wrote:
>
> Isn't that one of the things you have to learn for the test?...
> Maybe it was just in the study booklets, vs being one of the questions,
> tho..
>
> "RSmith9695" <rsmith9695@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20000212092638.11069.00000520@ng-co1.aol.com...
> > Hi all
> > My new call sign has been published oh qrz.com.I understand that I can go
> on
> > the air with the call sign now that it have been issued.Is my undestanding
> of
> > this correct?I surely don't want to start off on the wrong foot.Getting my
> > ticket has been something I wanted to do for a long time.I just never
> seemed to
> > have the time to study.I'm not jumping on the band wagon because of the
> > restucturing,it's something I've wanted since I first learned of ham
> radio.I'm
> > really happy to have made it and am looking forward to a great
> hobbie.Thanks to
> > all who read

4356. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A5C661.D36432A@bctv.com>
Source record: 119420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
>
> In article <8832ff$104$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>, wa2ise@netcom.com
> says...
> > In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
> > >
> > >Shane
> > >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
> >
> > Me too. I already have the 4A and 4B CSCE's, old tech plus ready to go
> > come April. I'll keep my current callsign wa2ise.
> >
> > trimmed the groups to misc and policy.
> >
>
> you're gonna be equal to club station?

Are you trying to claim that the club station issued that original
whinemail?

Or will you accept the idea that the guy who responded simply accepted
the whiner's (unlawful) use of the callsign, rather than try to figure
out who it was who was so brave.

4357. TS-570DG power ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marc H <on6aa@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <884kl7$e6j$1@news1.skynet.be>
Source record: 119421

Hello,
Would like to reduce my power more then 5 watts ! When QRP.
Would like to have 100 watts instead of 10 watts on 160m !
How ?
Thanks for help.
Marc

4358. WTB 2510, Moonraker, and tower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin Maynard <Maynard@cimtel.net>
Message-ID: <884kb7$e16$1@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 119422

If you have any of these items please email me at Maynard@cimtel.net with
prices.
--
Martin Maynard

4359. Re: WTB 2510, Moonraker, and tower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000212170537.26305.00003061@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 119423

>From: "Martin Maynard"

WTB 2510, Moonraker, and tower
>If you have any of these items please email me at Maynard@cimtel.net with
>prices.
>--
>Martin Maynard

10-4 good buddy

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4360. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Rice <KG4APJ@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%1lp4.11959$gG1.35411@news3.atl>
Source record: 119424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Or Three cyanide ones twice a Day to be sure :-)

--
****
WinErr 815: Insufficient Memory - Only 50,312,583 Bytes available

'73
Jim Rice KG4APJ
... And then God stepped on a cat, and there was light!

http://personal.atl.bellsouth.net/atl/j/i/jimr/index.html

Support anti-Spam legislation.

Join the fight <http://www.cauce.org/

Do Bears Really ???????? Now we know for sure-

http://personal.atl.bellsouth.net/atl/j/i/jimr/bears.htm

No trees were destroyed in the sending of this message. However, a
large number of electrons were significantly inconvenienced.

ReALiTy |s ThE LeADiNg CauSe of STreSS aMoNG ThoSe |n ToUcH WiTh IT!
****

"Jim" <nordland@DELETETHIS.lineone.net> wrote in message
news:38a45f27.8111120@news.lineone.net...
> On Thu, 10 Feb 2000 16:55:54 -0600, mdr+rrsw@honet.com (Michael Rathbun)
wrote:
>
> >In rec.radio.shortwave on Thu, 10 Feb 2000 13:31:19 -0600,
> >"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
> >
> >I think you want the medication in the other bottle, the one that says
"Take
> >one tablet twice per day".
>
> ....or two cyanide ones once a day...
>
> --
> Jim,
> nordland at lineone.net
> amadeus at netcomuk.co.uk

4361. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <884qmn$9us$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119425

In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
shott002@aol.com (SHOTT002) wrote:

>cry cry cry cry, I'm sick and tired of people crying over this, get a life pal.
>If you are an ex W1AW what are you doing still hanging with the in crowd? If
>you don't like the new rules, and you have quit this hobby, then go find a new
>one and quit crying. I will personaly will become a 5wpm Extra just for people
>like you. I'm sorry if I have touch a bad spot with some of you, but really
>getting tired of the crying. It's said and done, nothing you nor I can do about
>it.
>
>Shane
>Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal

...and of course no one cried before the rules changed. No, there were no whiners in the crowd
about how hard it was to upgrade, nope no whiners at all. Just out of curiosity, you got your
boxtop license, why not go on the radio and play.

4362. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <38A5E71D.55D9@imt.net>
Source record: 119426
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Shannon P. McGauley wrote:
> My thought,
> N5KOOU

Is that a CB call,a bootleg Ham call,or a typo?

4363. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <38A5E7B1.424F@imt.net>
Source record: 119427
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Rob wrote:
>
> What does this have to do with CB?

If you read the original post you would see it mentions CB 3 times (5
if you count "retards").

4364. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <38A5E824.3DC8@imt.net>
Source record: 119428
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Your thoughts are right on the mark.

> Morse code is just another mode, one that I am very fond of.. The code
> requirement
> should have been left in place simply as a way to ensure that someone who
> achieved
> extra class as least had to work a little bit.. The current "technical"
> exams are so silly,
> that anyone who has any kind of a memory at all can pass with no problem.
>
> The FCC (and ARRL) has just helped ensure the demise of amateur radio..
>
> More numbers does not mean a better service!
>
> Just my thoughts.
>
> Steve Cuilhe'
> WA6GSD
> Extra Class since 1976 and proud of it!

4365. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <K4np4.217$032.460@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 119429
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

AB7RS wrote in message <38A5E824.3DC8@imt.net>...
>Your thoughts are right on the mark.

For 1920.

>> Morse code is just another mode, one that I am very fond of.. The code
>> requirement
>> should have been left in place simply as a way to ensure that someone who
>> achieved
>> extra class as least had to work a little bit.. The current "technical"
>> exams are so silly,
>> that anyone who has any kind of a memory at all can pass with no problem.
>>
>> The FCC (and ARRL) has just helped ensure the demise of amateur radio..
>>
>> More numbers does not mean a better service!
>>
>> Just my thoughts.
>>
>> Steve Cuilhe'
>> WA6GSD
>> Extra Class since 1976 and proud of it!

4366. WTB ICOM SM-8 DESK MIKE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norman Owens <kq6td@atlantic.net>
Message-ID: <nxop4.189$Ly6.18359@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 119430
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Want to buy a good cond Icom SM-8 desk Mike. Please e-mail to
kq6td@amsat.com

Thanks,

Norm

4367. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Ekpp4.219$032.481@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 119431

Jeffrey Herman wrote in message <8856ie$kp9$1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>Follow-ups set to just .misc:
>
>In rec.radio.cb <horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>>Anyone that would think that Morse
>>really had so much value in today's world is so out
>>of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
>>of anything, really.
>
>Ah, but it certainly has value in our world of amateur radio.
>It's the second-most used mode on HF, but at times, one can
>count more CW QSOs than SSB QSOs.
>
>I can build a CW station from junk-box parts, and be on the air
>communicating with amateurs around the world in a matter of hours.
>Try that with any other mode.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O

To be honest, who cares. And there is no real value.

4368. Re: Ham Radio in Lavatory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Mullan <jmullan@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <38A6268C.90C17520@pacbell.net>
Source record: 119432

While I will probably never have the opportunity to visit Latvia I found
the item interesting. Unfortunately your response is becoming the norm
for this NG, useless and demeaning.

de KD2LQ

John Bowers wrote:
>
> On 12 Feb 2000 06:18:33 GMT jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu wishes he wrote:
>
> : The Licensing Authority:
> :
> : The Lavatory Telecommunication State Inspection licenses and supervises all
> : Lavatoryn radio services, including amateur. Here the official edition of
> : Lavatoryn Amateur Radio Rules may be purchased and queries also should be
> : addressed to them:
>
> [snip]
>
> At least my title is more interesting ...

4369. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <lubcassrr2gotg9tr2k13goq4m7p445ki9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119433

>>Anyone that would think that Morse
>>really had so much value in today's world is so out
>>of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
>>of anything, really.
>
>Ah, but it certainly has value in our world of amateur radio.
>It's the second-most used mode on HF, but at times, one can
>count more CW QSOs than SSB QSOs.

Value? Just as basket weaving has value to the mentally ill?

>I can build a CW station from junk-box parts, and be on the air
>communicating with amateurs around the world in a matter of hours.
>Try that with any other mode.

I can communicate anywhere around the world quicker than
that. Heck this newsgroup does that. Wake up. CW is no more
important than a horse and buggy.

4370. 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: founder@arrl.com
Message-ID: <sa9bg5d2fo851@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119481
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Top 10 List.

1.) Let it expire.

2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your spectrum anyway.

3.) Send it to the FCC & tell them to shove it.

4.) Get VE accredited and help license CBers.

5.) Send it to the ARRL & tell them "Thanks for Nothing"

6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.

7.) Modify CB's for your new ham friends & test their echo Mics.

8.) Brush up on your 10-codes.

9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and turn on a soldering gun.

10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then flush, a CBer will find it.

Hiram Percy Maxim ex. w1aw

4371. Re: Check out the Web Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <882hkm$9m0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119482

In article <882age$ns3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Gentlemen and I use that term loosely,
> If you're an ARRL member, check out the latest FCC enforcement actions
> listed in Web Extra. Whoa and I don't mean "Whoa Whoa Cue" a.k.a.
Wesley
> fwom Wabelle Fwowida either. One guy from Florida got a 20K fine and
it was
> UPHELD. Talk about stupid; he refused to stop doing what he was doing
and
> WHAM! And take a gander at the rest of the callsigns who are
offenders.
> Wow. I mean like holy hell...what I'm hearing on 14.313 is as bad
now as it
> ever was before Riley entered the secne and when John, KC3AU, says
that a
> large part of it is coming from the northeast, he's right. I cannot
hear New
> England from here which is 12 miles N.W. of N.Y.C. K3QAM is a whisper
if at
> all audible. No copy whatsoever from immediate states south
e.g.Delaware and
> Maryland and from New York to the north. The racket I've been
hearing which
> according to John is very very loud in the Atlanta area is, based on
the
> strength, approximately 30-50 miles from me and in New Jersey. When
this guy
> gets caught, 20K is going to seem cheap.
> AF2Y
>
Right....when the FCC "pops" ANYONE that is not using a call on HF Ill
beleive it. Give me a break.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4372. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JV2p4.16078$wR.1025831@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Hiram Percy Maxim" <founder@arrl.com> wrote in message
news:sa9bg5d2fo851@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Top 10 List.
> 10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then flush, a CBer will find it.

wipe first.

4373. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com>
Message-ID: <cxCp4.23275$Nv1.2321581@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 119284

Stewart--

There is a new HF data mode more robust and more effective with weak signals
than CW--works off your computer sound card and the software is free on the
web--PSK-31 or something like that. It will get through when CW will not.
The US military has several of these very sensitive digital modes--some
combined with spread-spectrum-- that they are not sharing with amateurs.

Andy K5VM

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:885ivf$8c9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <885hd3$7ii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> davidj22@my-deja.com wrote:
> > I was just wondering if anyone out there uses 900 MHz? If so what
> modes?
> > I keep hearing talk about if we don't use a band it will be taken
> away,
> > but what about 900 MHz? From what I hear no one uses it but we still
> > have it.
>
> Some TAPR/GRAPES folks were playing around with 56kbps packet on these
> freqs about 5-8 years ago, using surplus low-power Gunplexors(I looked
> into getting them). That would be pretty dull right now though. I got
> out of ham radio 5 years ago, because most hams were a bunch of pig-
> heads that could only think about their "beloved" Morse code. Without
> someone to experiment with locally(digital low power on 900mhz is
> obviously a very local proposition), it would have been pretty lonely.
> I'm going to try to put back up my 439.025Mhz 9600 baud stuff to see if
> anything has changed out here in San Diego and Riverside Counties - I
> really doubt if it has. Then when I get my General in April(hooray!),
> I'm gonna look at using digital on HF. At least I'll be able to get
> out of my own backyard. I'm sure there is software-related
> experimental work going on there(at least the SHOULD be), to increase
> speed, and do error-correction and stuff. I hope the PIG-HEADS haven't
> ruined everything, and it isn't too late to meet up with anyone else.
>
> Stewart Teaze
> N0MHS
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4374. Re: Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <886u55$764$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119285

You might be right about the conversation at 5wpm...but it doesn't take long for a good cw operator to know he's listening to a keyboard.

de Tim K0FL

Samuel Goldstein wrote in message
<8QBp4.40879$QN6.65123@news.optonline.net>...
>With the FCC keeping the CW portions of the bands with the new
>structuring...I would think you CW freaks would be rejoicing.
>
>If you don't need to know more than 5 WPM for any new license, the CW
>portions of the bands will become QRM free. As the new hams come on board
>they will all migrate to the modes they know best...and CW isn't one of
>them. Let's face facts...in ten years the CW sub-bands will be inhabited by
>the same guys that you find there now less the SK's and a small group of
low
>coders who love to "chat" back and forth at 5 WPM.
>
>Do you guys know how frustrating it is to communicate at 5 WPM.Try it
>someday...if these guys want to "communicate" anything more meaningful than
>NAME HR IS...UR 5NN, QTH HR IS...., they had better learn more than 5.
>
>Of course, I didn't take into account that the Morse sent by your Curtis
>Keyer could easily be read by my Kantronics CW program at almost any speed
>up to 80 WPM. And while I respond to you by simply typing my reply into the
>keyboard...I can download any one of the 15 buffers I have loaded with idle
>chatter about my various interests. If it makes you feel better I could set
>the send speed at 75WPM and think about how much fun your having as the
last
>of the purists.
>
>73 OM's
>DE K2LDK, SAM
>
>

4375. Re: ABC 20/20 interview with libnet member's (Kevin Strom wb4aio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000213140437.06742.00002249@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 119286

All I can say Is that he TRUTH Always Comes Out.

anon wrote
>Very revealing interview with one of the libertynet members
>ex wife on ABC's 20/20 Feb.9, 2000 .
>
http://abcnews.go.com/onair/2020/transcripts/2020_000210_rightwomen_trans.html
>
>also her webpage - find out what she went thru and why,
>along with what she's doing about it.
>
http://www.castleplanet.com/naples/kirstenkaiser/
>
>Online chat transcript with her.
http://abcnews.go.com/onair/DailyNews/2020_rightwomen_000210_chat.html

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4376. HELP Please Yaesu FT-747 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiotek@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <38a6fe99.14222749@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 119287

Hello,

I have a Yaesu FT-747 HF rig. A few days ago, I was talking with this guy on 15m. Once we finished our QSO, I left the rig on but turned down the volume. But, a couple of hours later, I turned up the volume and I noticed that there was something wrong!

I was still on 15 Meters. The audio just did not sound right. I left it in USB mode and that was the same mode that was on when I came back. The audio now sounds very NARROW on USB. When you switch from USB to CW modes, you can hear the audio change from wide on USB to narrow on CW. I cannot figure this one out!!! And no, this rig does not have IF shift or anything like that.

However, LSB sounds just great! I cannot figure this one out. I am about ready to through this away!! j/k hee hee
I wonder if anyone else has come across a problem such as mine.

I don't know why this would do that. It may be a relay is stuck in the ON position to switch the narrow audio filter. And this may only effect USB & CW, not LSB. But, this radio does not have the capability of narrowing the audio on USB! This radio does have a narrow CW & AM filter. But, the filter on CW sounds more narrow than what I am experiencing on USB. What I think is happening is: normal SSB filtering is ~ 6 kHz wide, but now it seems to be stuck on ~ 2.5 kHz wide filtering.

Can anyone help me??? I do not know what to do. I do not want to take this to Yaesu, it may cost me $100 or so.

Please E-mail me: radiotek@pacbell.net

Thanks & 73,

Mike KQ6MU

4377. Re: ABC 20/20 interview with libnet member's (Kevin Strom wb4aio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000213140844.06742.00002254@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 119288

All I can say Is that the TRUTH Always seems to Comes Out Doesn't It.

anon wrote
>Very revealing interview with one of the libertynet members
>ex wife on ABC's 20/20 Feb.9, 2000 .
>
http://abcnews.go.com/onair/2020/transcripts/2020_000210_rightwomen_trans.html
>
>also her webpage - find out what she went thru and why,
>along with what she's doing about it.
>
http://www.castleplanet.com/naples/kirstenkaiser/
>
>Online chat transcript with her.
http://abcnews.go.com/onair/DailyNews/2020_rightwomen_000210_chat.html

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4378. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88702m$11l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38A6D43F.C28C6B8E@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:

>> BTW, what was unlawful about the use of a callsign?

>Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
>identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
>a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
^^^^^^^^^^^^

Oh, Keith! You walked into that one with your eyes closed! 47CRF97
does not apply to the Internet. Heck, you could sign your own posts
as "W1AW," if you wished.

73, Jeff KH6O

4379. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88709o$1ca$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:

>> I am missing something.

>I don't know. You seem to be missing everything. Instead, I think that
>you are just trying to agitate, so have a nice life.

That's it? That's your only defense for wrongly claiming that the FCC
prohibits using someone else's callsign on the NGs?

72.5, Jeff KH6O

4380. Newsline Report 1174 - Feb. 11, 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Pasternak <newsline@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38A7085F.71594C55@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119291

Newsline 1174

Newsline report number 1174 with a release date of Friday, February
11th, 2000 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a QST. A big win in court for federal preemption in
radio frequency matters and the nations second largest VEC becomes the
target of an FCC audit.

These stories and more on Newsline report number 1174 coming your way
right now!

(Billboard cart here)

*****

RADIO LAW: COURT OF APPEALS UPHOLDS FEDERAL PREEMPTION

The United States Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit upheld FCC
preemption of RFI issues. It happened in Kansas. Newslineï¿½s Cathy
Gilliland, KB0FDU, reports:

It is a legal decision that could have wide repercussions anyplace that
a
state, city or municipality tries to control radio frequency signals.
And,
it happened out here in Johnson County Kansas. Thatï¿½s where the County
Board
of Commissioners decided that a communications tower owned by
Southwestern
Bell Telephone was causing interference to public safety
communications. The
county then passed some laws and ordered the site to be shut down.

Southwestern Bell refused and took the matter into court. The
telecommunications giant asked the court to nullify countyï¿½s rules. It
also
obtained a declaratory ruling that the regulation was preempted by
federal
law.

But it was not over yet. The county appealed the judges decision to the

Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals. But to Johnson Countyï¿½s surprise, that
court
held that Congress intended the F-C-C and only the F-C-C to have

exclusive
jurisdiction over radio frequency interference matters. It went on to
say
that based on the concept of federal preemption in communications
matters,
that there exists no room for local regulation of radio frequency
radiation by Johnson County and -- by inference -- any other non federal
jurisdiction.
Whether or not Johnson County will appeal the issue of the tower to the
United States Supreme court is not known as we go to air.

And what does this mean for hams? We will have to wait to see if this
becomes what is known as a published decision. Thatï¿½s one that sets
legal
precedent nationwide. If it is, then it could also be the basis for
hams to
use to obtain reasonable antenna accommodations such as dipoles and
verticals
when a city or county says ï¿½no.ï¿½

>From the end of the Yellow Brick Road in Hiawatha Kansas, Iï¿½m Cathy
Gilliland, KB0FDU reporting for Newsline.

And this just in. The ARRL says that Kansas has become the latest state
to introduce a PRB-1 companion bill in its legislature. If you already
belong to ARRL you can get the details on their Members Only website.
If you are not a member you can see if you want to sign up at. (ARRL,
The Radio Legal Remailer, Ham-Law Remailer, others)

*****

RADIO LAW: PROPOSED COLORADO ANTI MOBILE LAW KILLED

Iï¿½m Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, with word that a proposed ban on the use of
cellular telephones and C-B radios while driving in Colorado has been
killed. And its good news for radio amateurs because House Bill 00-1156
would also have ended mobile operations by hams in the state. But quick
work by a group of non hams and a Denver area state congressman have
killed off any chance of this measure seeing the light of day. Howard
M. Paul is the Executive Secretary of the Emergency Medical Services
Association of Colorado:

Audio Here

The now dead piece of legislation also stated that no person could use a
mobile communications device while operating a motor vehicle unless that
device is specifically designed for hands free operation. The only
exceptions would have been for firefighters, peace officers or members
of an ambulance team.

*****

ENFORCEMENT: FCC AUDITING NATIONS SECOND LARGEST VEC

Citing complaints about the administration of Amateur Radio examinations, the FCC expanded an audit of the Nationï¿½s second largest Volunteer Examination Coordinator.

In a Commission letter to W5YI Volunteer Examiner Coordinator Fred Maia, the FCC asks that he explain numerous discrepancies in tests audited by that agency. Rick Lindquist of the ARRL Audio News has details on the expanded investigation:

In a February 2 letter, FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth said the complaints "allege the selling of both original licenses and upgrade licenses in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands" by W5YI-VEC volunteer examiners.

The eight-page FCC letter also cites an ongoing probe begun late last fall into three 1999 W5YI-VEC exam sessions in South Carolina and seeks additional details from Maia. At the South Carolina sessions, Hollingsworth said, VEs have filed statements claiming their names were forged on session documents by the W5YI session manager. The FCC says a session manager's license apparently was upgraded to Amateur Extra, an unannounced "sub session" was held after a regular VE session, and a General class session manager administered an Extra class examination.

Maia, who's been cooperating in the FCC's South Carolina probe, told the ARRL that he was surprised, confused, and "a little discouraged" to learn that the FCC had expanded its audit. He suggested that the FCC might be acting on the basis of "incorrect information" and said he's not aware that anyone ever paid for any licenses through a W5YI-VEC volunteer examiner. In December, Maia conceded that there was reason to believe that W5YI-VEC paperwork may have been forged in South Carolina, that some volunteer examiners actually were impostors, and that one examiner also was an examinee.

In his letter, Hollingsworth noted that no Virgin Islands licensees originally tested by W5YI-VEC and later called in by the FCC for retesting have appeared for retesting. The FCC this week canceled licenses of five US Virgin Islands residents for failing to appear for retesting. Maia says the W5YI-VEC has not administered amateur exams in the US Virgin Islands since 1992, and he's looking into the situation. "I am totally in the dark on that one," Maia said of the Virgin Islands inquiry.

Of W5YI-VEC examinees recalled in Puerto Rico, the FCC said, only one showed up for retesting. Maia says he knows of only one situation in Puerto Rico that involved a VE who was dis-accredited after being called in for retesting. Maia said he would contact Hollingsworth to get more specifics about the latest allegations of W5YI-VEC testing irregularities.

The FCC letter asks Maia if he's received complaints about the possible selling of licenses and upgrades by W5YI-VEs in Puerto Rico, the US Virgin Islands, and South Carolina and, if so, how they've been handled. Among other things, the Commission also seeks details regarding screening and accreditation of W5YI-VEC volunteer examiners; examination materials and their handling and grading; how exam results are verified; and the names of VEs and the applicants they tested over the past three years. The FCC asked W5YI-VEC if VE sessions are announced publicly in advance, as required by Part 97, and if any have not been.

>Iï¿½m Rick Lindquist, N1RL.

Hollingsworth's letter cited the 1983 Report and Order that established the volunteer examiner program as the basis for his inquiry. The W5YI VEC has 30 days to respond. (FCC, ARRL)

*****

BREAK 1

This is Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world, including the AA4ED repeater serving Broward County, Florida.

(5 Sec Pause Here)

*****

INDUSTRY: ARRL VS. KENWOOD VS. THE FM COMMUNITY

A feud between two giants. The ARRL tells the FCC to deny a request by the Kenwood Communications Corporation permitting operation of Kenwoodï¿½s "Sky Command" system in the 2-meter band. And Kenwood asks for a variance in the rules to permit the system to be used. Bill Burnett, KT4SB, has more:

For those who have never heard of Kenwoodï¿½s Sky Command, it is basically an interface radio system that permits a dual band mobile or hand held radio control a remotely located base station radio.

Sky Command operates in full duplex mode using 2 meters and 70 centimeter F-M channels. A 70 centimeter frequency is used to transmit audio and control commands to a dual-band transceiver at the remote station. A 2-meter frequency is used to transmit received audio via the remote station's Sky Command transceiver to the operator's transceiver. And thatï¿½s where the problem lies. The ARRL maintains that Kenwood's use of a 2-meter frequency would cause amateurs using the system to violate Section 97.201 subpart B of the Amateur Service rules. This is the regulation which mandates that all auxiliary control operation must be on frequencies above 222.15 MHz.

Last December Kenwood formally filed before the FCC. At that time it asked the agency to either to declare that Sky Command complies with

Commission rules or to waive applicable sections of the rules to make it legal. The ARRL has now filed against the Kenwood request saying that Sky Command System is clearly an auxiliary operation, and as such is not permitted in the 144 to 148 MHz band.

>From Miami, Iï¿½m Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reporting for Newsline.

Some FMï¿½ers are also calling on their repeater coordinators to join the opposition to Sky Command. But a source at Kenwood tells Newsline that Company officials spoke with several repeater coordination groups. All agree that Sky Command operates point-to-point and very few of groups coordinate simplex. (ARRL, Kenwood, Repeater Remailer, others)

*****

RADIO POLITICS: FCC CHIEF WANTS WIRELESS INTERNET

The nation's communications chief says that he wants to spread wireless technology across the country quickly. This, says William Kennard, will revolutionize the daily life of all Americans ranging from the way they access the Internet to the way that they choose cars and kitchen appliances.

In a recent interview, the Federal Communications Commission Chairman says that to achieve the goal, his agency will auction more spectrum for wireless technology. It will also draft a new set of standards for digital TV. Kennard says that Digital TV allows broadcasters to squeeze more video and data into existing channel space. He believes that this will lead to sharper TV pictures along with additional TV channels for sports or movies plus other data transmitted to home computers. (FCC)

*****

RESTRUCTURING: ED HAMMOND, WN1I, ON SPECTRUM

Cushcraftï¿½s Ed Hammond, WN1I, will be the special guest of Mark Emmanuel and company on the short-wave radio digest program ï¿½Spectrumï¿½ Saturday evening ï¿½ February 12Th -- United States times. Ed says that he was invited to discuss the transformation about to take place in ham radio and how antennas will play a significant part in that change:

In-Q: What Iï¿½m going to....
Out-Q: ...old hams alike.

Hammond has been a ham radio operator since his teenage years. He has been with Cushcraft since 1988 serving as the companyï¿½s domestic and overseas Amateur Radio Products Sales Manager. The ï¿½Spectrumï¿½ communications magazine program airs on WWCR radio on 5.070 MHz each Saturday night at 11 PM Eastern Time. (WN1I)

*****

RESTRUCTURING: VK CONSIDERING 5 WPM MORSE

Australia could be the next nation to lower the maximum Morse code speed to 5 words per minute. The Wireless Institute of Australia has been actively considering this issue for the past six weeks. It is likely to be discussing the matter in detail next month with the Australian Communications Authority. Thatï¿½s Australiaï¿½s version of our FCC.

Here is where the 5 word per minute issue stands. The seven state based Divisions of the WIA, which form the policymaking WIA Federal Council are about to vote on a new policy. Four WIA Divisions have already declared ï¿½in favorï¿½ while only two are undecided. Only four are needed to pass.

The Wireless Institute of Australia also expects the general issue of Morse code license requirements to be debated at the International Amateur Radio Union Region 3 conference later this year. (WIA)

*****

EDUCATION: SCHOOL CLUB ROUND-UP

School ham radio clubs will be ready and waiting to talk to anyone who will listen on February 14th to the 18th. Every year Newsline hears of more schools across the US that participated in the School Club Round-up for the first time. And they can't wait to do it again.

Although this event is ideal for school clubs, individual hams can participate, too. If you hear a call from a school, give it a moment. If no one comes back to them, please take the time to chat with the students. You may want to take a break now and then and invite other school clubs to join in. For more information on this event visit http://www.arrl.org/contests/announcements/00/scr.html (ARRL)

*****

SCOUTING: GOTA IN FEBRUARY

And if you enjoyed participating in the scouts Jamboree on the Air last fall, then you have got to try GOTA! GOTA, also known as Thinking Day on the Air, started with Guides in Canada. The Canadian Guides are the equivalent of our Girl Scouts, making this an ideal opportunity for Girl Scouts and Guides to share ideas and have fun with ham radio.

This operating event will take place on February 19th and 20th. Visit http://www.guidezone.skl.com/i_ha_index.htm on the world wide web. (ARRL)

*****

HAM RADIO CHARITY: RADIO SHACK JOINS BIG RIDE

And an update on our story two weeks ago about the American Lung Association recruiting ham radio "communicators." This, to assist in

its Big Ride Across America 2000.

Now comes word that Radio Shack has become an underwriter of that ride. According to a news release, Radio Shack will provide monetary assistance as well as the communications gear to help ensure the safety of riders and volunteers.

The Big Ride crew will use amateur radio to communicate from camp to camp and vehicle to vehicle to support the riders as they move an average of 80 miles a day. The "mobile city" of gear trucks, support vehicles, tents, catering wagons, showers and crew, spread out over many acres, will use Radio Shack short-range two-way personal family radios to communicate.

The event, now known as the Radio Shack Big Ride Across America benefiting the American Lung Association, will take adventurers from all over the United States, Canada and England on a 3,250 mile journey from Seattle, Washington to Washington, DC in the summer of 2000. More details are available at www.bigride.com. To volunteer your time or to obtain more information about volunteer responsibilities, contact Mark Ewert, KB1ECH by e-mail at: bigride@lungusa.org or call him toll free at 877-244-7433. (Tandy-Radio Shack Release)

*****

BREAK 2

This is Newsline with links to the world at: www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

*****

VIRGINIA HONORS HAMS

The Virginia General Assembly has approved a joint House-Senate resolution praising the Amateur Radio response to Hurricane Floyd. The resolution unanimously passed both chambers on January 27th. A signing ceremony is set for February 17th, at the Capitol in Richmond. (ARRL)

*****

SK: HAM DIES IN AKLASKA AIRLINES CRASH

At least one Amateur Radio operator was aboard Alaska Airlines Flight 261 when it crashed off the California coastline on Monday, January 31st, killing all 88 on board. According to the ARRL, one of those who lost his life was Robert A. Ost, KC6ZUC, of from San Bruno, California. His wife Ileana and their infant daughter Emily were also aboard the ill-fated MD-83. (ARRL)

*****

ASUSAT 1 MAY BE LOST

Assi Friedman, 4X1KX, reports that the ASUSat-1 satellite has stopped transmitting. Telemetry indicates the batteries aboard the satellite are not recharging from the solar array. As we go to air the ASUSat-1 team has no idea as to what caused the failure and whether or not it will be possible to salvage this bird. ASUSat-1 contained an amateur packet hardware system and a cross-band FM voice repeater. (4X1KX)

*****

SPACE STATION: NASA SERVICE MODULE

NASA administrator Daniel Goldin says that the United States will launch its own service module to the International Space Station late this year if Russia fails to put up a module by this summer.

In a press conference on Thursday February 3rd, Goldin said that he hopes the Russians will complete and launch a service module by July. If they fail, Goldin says that the U.S. will have one ready to go into space. The Russians, who are more than a year behind schedule, have now diverted some equipment that was supposed to go to the space station for use in maintaining their aging Mir space station. (Published news reports)

*****

AMSAT: P3D AT LAUNCH SITE

It made it safely to its launch site. Now DB2OS reports that images of the transportation of the Phase 3 D ham satellite to the ESA launch complex in Kourou, French Guyana can now be viewed on the world wide web. You will find them at www.amsat-dl.org/p3dkru . (DB2OS)

*****

DX

In DX, the special event callsign VC7AIR has been assigned for the period of February 9 to March 10. It will be used to commemorate the launch of a Y2K Balloon Event scheduled sometime this month. The contact for this event is Rick Martin VE7CUP. (RAC)

Meantime, 6 meters remains the strongest of the VHF DX bands with another opening reported between the US mainland and Hawaii on Tuesday February 8th. Pete Heins, N6ZE, reports on the VHF Reflector that stations in our furthest western state could be heard from 20:45 to 21:30 UTC. This included KH6IAA, KH7R, AH6TM and WH6O. Also copyable were the KH6HME and KH6HI beacons. (N6ZE)

*****

A CLOSING THOUGHT: EXTRA CLASS BIRD

And finally the story of a two winged friend that could be on his or her way to becoming the worlds first self-propelled flying ham. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the story of the Magpie thatï¿½s teaching itself the Morse code:

Let me tell you a story from the Blue Mountains. One of the resident amateurs there has befriended a family of Magpies, that he regularly feeds. His 2m rig is mostly running in the background, tuned to the local repeater VK2RBM. Every now and then the repeater-ident is sent in CW.

Well, one of the Magpies has picked up the sound, and now quite often whistles
"VK2RBM" in Morse code! Which just goes to show, that even with a birdbrain one can learn Morse!

>Im Graham Kemp, VK4BB, of Q-News reporting for Newsline.

So the next time someone tells you that CW is for the birds, you can tell them that there could be an Extra flying around out there in the ethereal abysses. (Q-News)

*****

CLOSE

With thanks to the ARRL, the FCC, The Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, RAIN, the Radio Society of Great Britain and Australiaï¿½s Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline. Our web page is at www.arnewsline.org . our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. Also, the address for the Newsline support Fund is Newsline, in care of Andy Jarema, N6TCQ, P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF at the editor's desk, this is Robert Sudock, WB6FDF saying: ï¿½Welcome to ham radio in the 21st century, 73 and we thank you for listening.

Newsline is copyright 2000. All rights are reserved.

4381. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8871khbfo1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119292

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>> <horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:

>>>Why do you think we are typing at each other

>> Good question. Typing is a rather old skill. Older that CW.

>It isn't the typing, it is THE WHOLE INTERNET(this newsgroup, TCP/IP,
>ethernet, etc.) that makes Morse Code so riduculously (sic) miniscule in
>importance in today's world.

If you don't mind being helplessly dependent upon untold billions
of dollars worth of other people's equipment connected via millions
of miles of cables kept running by an army of workers putting in
tens of thousands of man-hours per day.

With Morse, or with any of AR's modes, we're not anchored to
someone else's equipment as you are. No hackers interfere with
my QSOs.

(That's exactly what I wrote in my prior response to you; not only
did you fail to respond to that comment, but you deleted it completely.)

>> Because this isn't radio. Speaking of which, when you get licensed,
>> how do you intend to fulfill S97.1? It is the "fundamental purpose"
>> (FCC's words) the ARS, you know.

>Software - you silly old fool.

This is the Amateur RADIO Service; does your software emit RF?

>>>It WAS about MORSE CODE, you pig-headed buffoon! That was what we
>>>were trying to tell you guys all along! Jeemineee H!

>> Why do you folks get so emotional over this issue?

>Because of stubborn pig-heads like LRod, and silly-old-fools like you!

"Silly old fool?" I've broken three state swimming records. You can't
compete against me on here or in the water.

72.4, Jeff KH6O

4382. Re: Newsline Report 1174 - Feb. 11, 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8872gl$h1e$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119293

Bill Pasternak <newsline@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Well, one of the Magpies has picked up the sound, and now quite often
>whistles "VK2RBM" in Morse code! Which just goes to show, that even
>with a birdbrain one can learn Morse!

"...even with a birdbrain one can learn Morse!" Heh.

4383. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38A71476.C0F3FFA1@icss.net>
Source record: 119294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In article <38A6D43F.C28C6B8E@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
>
> >> BTW, what was unlawful about the use of a callsign?
>
> >Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
> >identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
> >a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Oh, Keith! You walked into that one with your eyes closed! 47CRF97
> does not apply to the Internet. Heck, you could sign your own posts
> as "W1AW," if you wished.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

So if he sent in a change of address or request for a new call, signing as
kh6o, that would not be illegal?

4384. Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: lrB <lrblewstei@sclc.org>
Message-ID: <lOEp4.1632$Zp1.57128@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Do you want to learn exactly what the people on 3.950 espouse
and believe in?

Would you like a study into the strange, paranoid and bizzare
world of Militias, the so-called Patriot movement, KKK'ers, Tax
Protesters, Followers of folks like the late Gordon Kahl, Possee
Commatus Movement, "Fully-Informed-Jury", Republic of Texas
Movement, David Duke followers, "Redemption" scams etc...

Click here---> www.militia-watchdog.org/

This website will give even the casual reader an education into the
esoteric world of Right Wing Extremist Groups operating in the USA
and of recent on 3.950. (Did you know that the "Liberty Net"
derives it's name from the Liberty Lobby? Publishers of the
extremist newsletter "The Spotlight" ?

Read up on the above website - these type of people now have a toe-hold
into Ham Radio.

4385. Model 9R-4J Radio - 9r-4j.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ronrap@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <38a70e1b.12343355@news-west.newscene.com>
Source record: 119296

Hi:

I have this old tube radio I acquired from a friend some time ago.

The model number is 9R-4J.

The manufacturer is difficult to tell. It has what appears to be a
company logo on the upper right corner of the unit.

It is either "TR10" or "JR10" or "TRIO" or "JRIO".

I would like to learn more about it (age, value,etc.)

The unit works and is in excellent condition.

Attached is a photo.

Thanks in advance for any responses.

Ron Rap
ronrap@ix.netcom.com

4386. OX DXpedition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fj@cfjensen.dk
Message-ID: <38a41421.18395173@news.inet.tele.dk>
Source record: 119297

Dr. OMs

OX2K DXpedition

We are a group of Danish hams who for several years have had a dream
of activating OX, Greenland on 2m, 70cm and 23cm EME
Well, now the dream is a reality!
Besides the EME operation, 6m and all HF-bands will also be operated
around the clock on CW and SSB.
We are 25 hams putting up this large scale operation as OX2K in the
period from 29th of May till 6th of June 2000.
The prefix OX2 has not been in use for many years, and Greenland is
exceptional on VHF-SHF and still rare on 6m and HF.
Of course this operation is expensive, so we kindly ask the DX and EME
community to support the DXpedition.
Please visit our homepage at http://www.qsl.net/ox2k and get all the
information about EME-skeds, QSL-info, equipment, planning details and
so on.
We will be very glad to give you "a new one" on HF or VHF-SHF.
If you have any questions or suggestions, please send us a E-mail.
mailto:ox2k@qsl.net
Tell your friends about this DX-pedition.

CU on the bands.

Best 73 the Greenland Team OX2K

4387. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000213173216.05335.00001052@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 119298

Irblewsteinbergson wrote:

>
>Do you want to learn exactly what the people on 3.950 espouse
>and believe in?
>
>Would you like a study into the strange, paranoid and bizzare
>world of Militias, the so-called Patriot movement, KKK'ers, Tax
>Protesters, Followers of folks like the late Gordon Kahl, Possee
>Commatus Movement, "Fully-Informed-Jury", Republic of Texas
>Movement, David Duke followers, "Redemption" scams etc...
>
>Click here---> www.militia-watchdog.org/
>
>This website will give even the casual reader an education into the
>esoteric world of Right Wing Extremist Groups operating in the USA
>and of recent on 3.950. (Did you know that the "Liberty Net"
>derives it's name from the Liberty Lobby? Publishers of the
>extremist newsletter "The Spotlight" ?
>
>Read up on the above website - these type of people now have a toe-hold
>into Ham Radio.
>
>

HAHAHA!! Sounds like some left-wing paranoia by one of the self-chosen who now have a heck of a lot more than a toe-hold in our government, news media, academia, etc, etc, etc. Sounds to me like these folks have a guilty conscience about all their TREASON.

Let us hope that their nightmare of real Americans taking their nation back from the left-wing scum comes to pass!

4388. Valentine's day thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <887cgfpob1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119299

I do not mean to spam but this is quite funny.

HOW TO IMPRESS A WOMAN
>
>Compliment her,
>Respect her,
>Honor her,
>Cuddle her,
>Kiss her,
>Caress her,
>Love her,
>Stroke her,
>Tease her,
>Comfort her,
>Protect her,
>Hug her,
>Hold her,
>Spend money on her,
>Wine and dine her,
>Buy things for her,
>Listen to her,
>Care for her,
>Stand by her,
>Support her,

>
>
>
>HOW TO IMPRESS A MAN
>
>Show up naked,
>Bring food.

73

4389. WB4AIO Kevin Strom's ex wife has Rolling Stone interview coming out in March

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002132334.SAA20522@cotse.com>
Source record: 119300

This message was posted anonymously:

Kevy's ex has an interview in the Rolling Stone magazine
that should be released in march. Be sure to Check it out.

4390. Re: Valentine's day thoughts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: JOHN WARD <HITECH@EROLS.COM>
Message-ID: <887dv9$l2m$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119301

Don't forget to show up naked with food and and a handy talkie....LOL

Joe wrote in message <887cgfpob1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
>I do not mean to spam but this is quite funny.
>
>HOW TO IMPRESS A WOMAN
>>
>>Compliment her,
>>Respect her,
>>Honor her,
>>Cuddle her,
>>Kiss her,
>>Caress her,
>>Love her,
>>Stroke her,
>>Tease her,
>>Comfort her,
>>Protect her,
>>Hug her,
>>Hold her,
>>Spend money on her,
>>Wine and dine her,
>>Buy things for her,
>>Listen to her,
>>Care for her,
>>Stand by her,
>>Support her,
>
>>
>>
>>
>>HOW TO IMPRESS A MAN
>>
>>Show up naked,
>>Bring food.
>
>73
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

4391. Re: Feds Raid Ramsey - discussion board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: LA8AK@online.no
Message-ID: <38a73dba.14229440@news.online.no>
Source record: 119302
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.shortwave

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000 06:36:23 GMT, acatalan@pipeline.com (Tony) wrote:

>In Germany they had an "official" radio set to RX a single
>channel. If you were to break the seal or modify it in any way
>the penalty was DEATH.
not DEATH but you might have to stay in prison, provided some of your
neighbours mentioned that you listened to foreign broadcasters. It
wasn't single channel radio, but tuning might need a tool

4392. Re: Model 9R-4J Radio - 9r-4j.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David J. Windisch" <davidw@copper.net>
Message-ID: <KeHp4.2448$Cx.18041@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 119303

that's an (asian?) copy of a Hallicrafters S-38.

<ronrap@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:38a70e1b.12343355@news-west.newscene.com...
> Hi:
>
> I have this old tube radio I acquired from a friend some time ago.
>
> The model number is 9R-4J.
>
> The manufacturer is difficult to tell. It has what appears to be a
> company logo on the upper right corner of the unit.
>
> It is either "TR10" or "JR10" or "TRIO" or "JRIO".
>
> I would like to learn more about it (age, value,etc.)
>
> The unit works and is in excellent condition.
>
> Attached is a photo.
>
> Thanks in advance for any responses.
>
> Ron Rap
> ronrap@ix.netcom.com

4393. Re: AES deception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002132339000.5083-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119304

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, Richard wrote:
> WARNING!! The specials flyer recently sent out by AES lists the
> ICOM IC-207H for $299.95 and shows a picture of an IC-2710H. The IC2710H
> has dual band display where the IC-207H only has a single frequency
> display.

Ever thought that this could be an honest mistake?

4394. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <8lgeaso9c27qhfilvij723uu54r4prkuq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 119305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Whilst tap dancing on a land mine, "IrB" <Irblewstei@sclc.org> wrote:

>Do you want to learn exactly what the people on 3.950 espouse
>and believe in?

An interesting post, but I'd like to make a few observations:

Your Org is set to the Southern Poverty Law Center, which doesn't have an exactly sterling reputation of truthfulness in its own right, at least in my experience. However, your From and Reply indicate sclc.org, which is the Southern Connecticut Library Council (according to a whois search). Other info (Message ID, X-Complaints) indicate you posted through Earthlink. I suppose the question should be who are you--really?

Now given all that, and the fact that this Militia Watchdog, which a project of one Mark Pitcavage, who is apparently funded in his work by the Federal Government (in specifc, the DOJ, according to his site), it seems that the reportage on the "right-wing militia movement" is not really going to be even-handed.

All that said, would you care to explain just exactly why we should take you or any of this information seriously? It smells like propaganda to me--and I don't buy that from either right, center or left.

Bill Seward
http://www.homestead.com/the_freehold

4395. Ham newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark and Annette <gege@laurie.net>
Message-ID: <saemkbnpivs121@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119306

I am interested in becoming a member of the Ham radio community.... Someone please tell me where to start... what to look for... and any advice they may have.

please send replies to my email address listed below

Mark
gege@planetsos.com

4396. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38A76A91.C7E4D6F@icss.net>
Source record: 119307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Burch wrote:

> >
> > Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
> > identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
> > a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
>
> The FCC does not have any jurisdiction over NG's. You have had that tech
> license long enough, its time to hit the books.
>
> Kind regards Mike Burch K8MB

Really? Does the data flow on a telephone wire? You've been resting on your amateur laurels too long. Time to hit the books.

Mostest bestest regards, Brian

4397. Re: PORKBUTT,EX,WB2OTK,WHINES"I WANT SUPPORT"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: whiskey4@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8852ettua1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119335

In article <881u2orjl1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
> Whatsamatter Porkbutt? Won"t your "SuckBoys" support you like
> the "Stump Jumpers" supported Herb? Outta sight or outta hearing, You
> are outta their minds. You are last years news Fatboy, get used to
it!!
> You are not liked as much as Herbie, in fact no one likes you at all.
> Therefore, no support for Porkbutt by his sucklings. So sad , but
> true... Oh yes, Big Al is on every night with his old call sign and
> having a good time, on the other hand all you can do is some
> unidentified qrm every now and again while you stare at that desk top
> computer and make lame posts.... LOL
>
> Part97 Obey it!! if you ever get a license again Fatboy!!
> thats right Part97....you the law....whiskey4
> In article <881c76dek1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <8803er$gd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > part97@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > It has been reported that Porkbutt, WB2OTK sent a long E mail to
> all
> > > his "Suckboys" complaining about the lack of support on their part
> in
> > > his license cancellation. He infers that "Herb" got support. why
> can't
> > > his suckboys support him. Seems they blame one little low powered
> ham
> > > station in Tennessee for Porkbutts problems. Lets get
> real , "Suckling
> > > boys", Porkie got his own ass in the ripsaw. So Sorry!! Lets all
> > > support Porkies exit from ham radio and hope he never returns.
> > >
> > > Part 97.....Porkie should have obeyed it!!
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> POOR POLLY, ALL THIS FROM A TENN. 4th GRADE
> EDUCATION!
> > <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>

> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4398. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenfoshee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88539suiv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Who really cares about you license. It sounds like you think CBer's are
a lower class citizen but I would advise you look in the mirrow buddy.
We have a right to use the frequencies that have been assigned to us.
Why don't get back into the real world and get a life. I think that the
radio waves have altered your thought process but get on with it..

In article <sa9bg5d2fo851@corp.supernews.com>,
founder@arrl.com (Hiram Percy Maxim) wrote:
>
> Top 10 List.
>
> 1.) Let it expire.
>
> 2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your spectrum anyway.
>
> 3.) Send it to the FCC & tell them to shove it.
>
> 4.) Get VE accredited and help license CBers.
>
> 5.) Send it to the ARRL & tell them "Thanks for Nothing"
>
> 6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.
>
> 7.) Modify CB's for your new ham friends & test their echo Mics.
>
> 8.) Brush up on your 10-codes.
>
> 9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and turn on a soldering gun.
>
> 10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then flush, a CBer will find
it.
>
> Hiram Percy Maxim ex. w1aw
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4399. Mourning the loss of 13/20wpm reqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8856uksj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119337

You know, turning things around, and using their old "whiner" term against them just doesn't seem right somehow. Let me suggest the term "mourner".

For those of you that have lost your beloved 13/20wpm requirement; let me urge you to stop "mourning", and get on with your lives.

And remember, if you had gone along with those of us who wanted a REASONABLE 10wpm General, and 15wpm Extra requirement five years ago, you would have had enough allies to keep morse "alive"; and it wouldn't have have had to HURT so bad.

Stewart Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4400. Re: New Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <885771va1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119338

In article <Gmgp4.739$A34.19862@news.swbell.net>,
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
> Isn't that one of the things you have to learn for the test?...
> Maybe it was just in the study booklets, vs being one of the
questions,
> tho..

You only have to get 75% right. But us old-fogeys don't remember that
part, right?

Congratulations kid, you done good.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4401. Re: ED.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8858ar$1o1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119339

In article <38a528a1_3@news5.newsfeeds.com>,
"Grumpee" <Crabby@oldmail.com> wrote:
> It has been said that one of every ten men suffer from ED...Erectile
> Dysfunction.
> This news group makes one wonder it that should be one in five.....

Yes, I too suffer from ED... I have a hard-on all the time! :)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4402. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <885ds1$5cm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119340

In article <884qmn$9us$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
Dale Harper <docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> ...and of course no one cried before the rules changed. No, there
were no whiners in the crowd
> about how hard it was to upgrade, nope no whiners at all. Just out of
curiosity, you got your
> boxtop license, why not go on the radio and play.
>

Dale;

Doesn't it just EAT YOU UP that the "whiners" got their way? No, you
aren't a whiner, because whining implies that you might somehow get
your way - you are just a "mourner" - and it is better that you get
over it as fast as possible; otherwise you might give yourself a stroke
or something, what with your excessive

BOO HOO HOO HOO HOO!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4403. Re: Mourning the loss of 13/20wpm reqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <885eeq$5o6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119341

In article <8856uksj1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> You know, turning things around, and using their old "whiner" term
> against them just doesn't seem right somehow. Let me suggest the term
> "mourner".

I thought the something-for-nothings were the whiners. Yeah, I'd say mourner is about right. I mourn the lack of interest in doing something because it needs to be done. I mourn the lack of desire to accomplish something for the sake of accomplishing it, not trying to see how to work around it. I've seen it for the last 15 years in the workplace. It's a pride thing, you wouldn't understand.

> For those of you that have lost your beloved 13/20wpm requirement; let
> me urge you to stop "mourning", and get on with your lives.

No problem here. I know the difference between earning something and not. I'm comfortable with who I am and who the something-for-nothing crowd can't be. I've done things and been places in radio that you can never hope to, because I wanted to accomplish something, so I set about meeting the standards to do so and did it. And it doesn't make any difference what you think that amounts to in the grand scheme of things; it was and is for me.

> And remember, if you had gone along with those of us who wanted a
> REASONABLE 10wpm General, and 15wpm Extra requirement five years ago,
> you would have had enough allies to keep morse "alive";

It's so easy to speculate without basis. But if you look at the charter and activities of certain organizations, you might recognize what a slippery slope we're on whether it was your "reasonable" standard or what we got. If you think those certain organizations are satisfied or done, just wait.

> and it wouldn't have have had to HURT so bad.

This is the part the something-for-nothing person just doesn't get; it doesn't hurt those of us that earned something with significantly more rigorous standards. It hurts those that didn't/wouldn't and now can't.

There are folks bragging about getting their 5 wpm Extra in April and saying they'll be equal to those of us who had it before, or those of us who got it at the FCC, or those who drew schematics. You may think you'll be equal, but those of us who earned a ticket under far more rigorous standards, know the difference. Wait until you meet your first no-code Extra in a few years. Tell me then how "equal" you feel to him.

Do you ever remember your parents or teachers use the phrase "you're only cheating yourself?" If you get that, then you'll get this. But I doubt it. I haven't seen any of them get it yet. It wasn't even about Morse code.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4404. Re: Mourning the loss of 13/20wpm reqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <885g2u$6id$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119342

In article <885eeq$5o6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:

> There are folks bragging about getting their 5 wpm Extra in April and
> saying they'll be equal to those of us who had it before, or those of
us
> who got it at the FCC, or those who drew schematics. You may think
> you'll be equal, but those of us who earned a ticket under far more
> rigorous standards, know the difference. Wait until you meet your
first
> no-code Extra in a few years. Tell me then how "equal" you feel to
him.

I won't care; because I can blame it on you guys for the demise of
Morse, by putting such a premium on something that MAKES ABSOLUTELY NO
DIFFERENCE IN TODAY'S WORLD, and forcing this "whiplash effect" of
almost total elimination. Please get that into your skull. Why do you
think we are typing at each other - and not using "Morse Code".
Because "MORSE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE". Do I have to hit you over the
head with a baseball bat? I repeat;

MORSE CODE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE.

I have a hard time believing it myself, so I'll say it again...

MORSE CODE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE.

> Do you ever remember your parents or teachers use the phrase "you're
> only cheating yourself?" If you get that, then you'll get this. But I
> doubt it. I haven't seen any of them get it yet. It wasn't even about
> Morse code.

It WAS about MORSE CODE, you pig-headed buffoon! That was what we were
trying to tell you guys all along! Jeemineee H!

LRod, come over here, I won't do anything with this baseball bat... I
swear... BOOM!

MORSE CODE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE.
MORSE CODE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE.
MORSE CODE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4405. 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: davidj22@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <885hd3$7ii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119343

I was just wondering if anyone out there uses 900 MHz? If so what modes? I keep hearing talk about if we don't use a band it will be taken away, but what about 900 MHz? From what I hear no one uses it but we still have it.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4406. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <885ivf$8c9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119344

In article <885hd3$7ii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
davidj22@my-deja.com wrote:
> I was just wondering if anyone out there uses 900 MHz? If so what
modes?
> I keep hearing talk about if we don't use a band it will be taken
away,
> but what about 900 MHz? From what I hear no one uses it but we still
> have it.

Some TAPR/GRAPES folks were playing around with 56kbps packet on these freqs about 5-8 years ago, using surplus low-power Gunplexors(I looked into getting them). That would be pretty dull right now though. I got out of ham radio 5 years ago, because most hams were a bunch of pig-heads that could only think about their "beloved" Morse code. Without someone to experiment with locally(digital low power on 900mhz is obviously a very local proposition), it would have been pretty lonely. I'm going to try to put back up my 439.025Mhz 9600 baud stuff to see if anything has changed out here in San Diego and Riverside Counties - I really doubt if it has. Then when I get my General in April(hooray!), I'm gonna look at using digital on HF. At least I'll be able to get out of my own backyard. I'm sure there is software-related experimental work going on there(at least the SHOULD be), to increase speed, and do error-correction and stuff. I hope the PIG-HEADS haven't ruined everything, and it isn't too late to meet up with anyone else.

Stewart Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4407. Re: Mourning the loss of 13/20wpm reqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <885jjp$8ns$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119345

In article <885g2u$6id$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

(violent, off-topic, non-sequitirs deleted)

> It WAS about MORSE CODE, you pig-headed buffoon! That was what we
> were trying to tell you guys all along! Jeemineee H!

I knew you wouldn't get it.

And your descent into ad-hominem attack has earned you an exit to this
dialogue.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4408. Re: New Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <38a60788.171775779@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 119346

On 12 Feb 2000 14:26:38 GMT, rsmith9695@aol.com (RSmith9695) wrote:

>Hi all
>My new call sign has been published oh qrz.com.I understand that I can go on
>the air with the call sign now that it have been issued.Is my undestanding of
>this correct?

Sure is! Congratulations and welcome to ham radio!

>73 Rob KG4GGX

73!
Tom - KB2SMS

4409. Re: Mourning the loss of 13/20wpm reqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <885kg3$9cl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119347

In article <885jjp$8ns$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:
> And your descent into ad-hominem attack has earned you an exit to this
> dialogue.
>
> LRod

Too bad. You lose again.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4410. Re: 75 meter mobile operation question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: trans-am@austin.rr.com
Message-ID: <HXnp4.411$Rc.4402@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 119348

In article <8811d8$509$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, amer2267@my-deja.com wrote:
>I was thinking about trying to install an all mode rig and antenna on
>myvehicle Someone told me not to waste my time trying to run 75 meter
>mobile that I should just be happy with 20 meters and 40 meters as
>well as 10.He said it is very hard to get out on 75 Is this a fact or
>does it depend on the antenna I am thinking about teh atas 100 yaesu
>automatic tuning antenna and a 75 meter hamstick Can someone offer me
>some guidance
>Thanks
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
The ATAS-100 antenna is a nice looking auto tuning antenna for any vehicle. If you want to get on 75 meters while mobile you have a few choices. 1- The hamstick type of antenna. Nice looking antenna, but poor band with only good thing is that is inexpensive. 2- Texas Bug Catcher. Big ugly antenna (at least that is what non-hams think). Works great, probably the best 75 meter antenna. Hard to tune it up, until you figure out how to do it. Works best with the big pizza pie capacity hat and 102 inch wip, but then you loose 10 meters. You can run it with a smaller wip and have both 75 and 10 meters. Good for the person that likes to keep his redio on one band for a while. Can handle an amp with no problem. 3- Screwdriver antenna. Your best bet if you want to work all bands and still put out a good signal on 75 meters. Advantage over bug catcher is that you don't have to get out of the car to change bands. It is a good idea to stop to tune it up instead of trying to tune it up while driving unless you have good hand to eye coordination and are not in to much traffic. Somebody makes an auto tuning memory adapter for the screwdriver antennas now That will go to a previous tuned spot. It is ugly too, but not as ugly as the bug catcher. You need to spend some big bucks if you want to run a screwdriver that can handle an amp. 4- Then comes the ATAS-100 antenna. The only thing wrong with it is that it does not do 75 meters and can't handle an amp. The auto-tunning feature more than makes up for it. You can be in the worst traffic in the world and hit the tune button on the FT-100 and the receiver will turn off while it finds a match for that band. When it finds a match, the receiver turns back on and you are ready to transmitt. All without you having to take your eyes off the road to look at your SWR meter or slow down. If the band is dead, just switch bands and hit the tune button again. The receiver will go silent again while the antenna finds a new match. Yaesu got their prices screwd up. They should be selling the antenna for $1099 and the Radio for $289 instead of the other way around. Get the ATAS-100 now and you can thank me latter.
Ralph
AA5YC

4411. Re: Amateur paging system???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: trans-am@austin.rr.com
Message-ID: <49op4.134$wc.10805@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 119349

Just about any new talky will do the paging thing. Just set up your tones and the receiver will be silent until it hears those tones. A friend and I tryed that feature a few times with our Kenwood TH-78A talkies. You could setup the radio to ring like a telephone when it heard the other persons tone. You could even send messages and they showed up on the display of the radio. The novelty wore off after a few days.
Ralph
AA5YC

In article <87s92j$lj2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, rskup@my-deja.com wrote:
I've had my license a couple years now and am impressed with all the
>modes of operation hams have experimented and worked with (packet, SSTV,
>moonbounce, satellite, etc.....).
>
>Does anyone know if any amateurs have experimented with or developed
>their own paging system? Maybe the call-sign would be the "pager
>number"?
>
>Robert
>KC8FVO
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4412. A "peek" into the world of wa9KJI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Beer Nuts <beernutz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <PRop4.232$f4.10067@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Finally, the web page that asks the question:

"Who want's to be a looney-toone Millionaire?"

clickinzie here--> www.geocities.com/hollywood/agency/1382/

...for a peek into the bizzare world of 3.950's very own lunatic

still pics, audio and VIDEOTAPE !

4413. Re: HELP SAVE HAM RADIO! ATTN: Bowers (who ever that is)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <885654kka1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119351

John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote:

>Jeffie Herman sure can bring the twits out of the woodwork.

Boy, you can say that again. I've never heard of you!

>I don't think that Jeffie really is being sincere: He was more than
>likely bugged because you got so much positive attention, and his
>jealousy took over.
>You managed to do what he's been trying to do for a long time (get
>recognition), and so he had to try and take you down a peg. You see,
>Jeffie has never achieved the kind of response you just got in all his
>years of trying and so he decided to disparage your idea (and disparage
>you too, based on the "technician" comment).
>It's a typical, mean-spirited, small-minded response which I have come
>to expect out of him.

Let's see, where do I begin. First, you'd be hard-pressed to find any
mean-spirited responses from me at all. I do not post opinion; only
facts that can be backed up by references. Keith's view of amateur
radio is skewed ("amateur radio will die unless we get millions of
new operators...") -- we can certainly fit millions of new operators
up on our endangered UHF frequencies, but certainly not on M/HF;
You can't deny that. Keith has been a 20-year Technician; you can't
deny that. Hence, his view of amateur radio must be that of a
Technician; you can't deny that.

HF is not in danger; you can't deny that. No commercial entities have
made any bids to take away our HF frequencies; you can't deny that.
We received three new HF bands in '79 even though we had half the
number of hams then as today and commercial pressure on HF at that
time was almost at it's worst; you can't deny that. Today, commercial
demand on HF is less than at any time in history; you can't deny that.
There are proposals pending right now to provide us with more M/HF
spectrum; you can't deny that. At times, the HF bands are jammed to
capacity; you can't deny that. Morse is the second-most used mode on HF,
but at times, one can count more Morse QSOs than SSB QSOs; you can't
deny that (all you'd need is a receiver with a good outdoor antenna to
confirm that for yourself).

No mean-spirited comments. Just facts.

I don't seek positive attention as you seem to think; I only want facts
which can be proved. When Keith or anyone else attempts to pass fantasy
for fact, I'll jump in, and ask for proof. Fallout from folks in the
woodwork is expected -- many don't like to hear the facts. Boo hoo.

>Jeffie Herman sure can bring the twits out of the woodwork.

Who'd you say you are? I don't recall reading anything from you, but I'm flattered that you seem to have read every word I've ever written.

>You are quite right to consign him to your twit filter.

He had to; he could never back up his opinions with facts -- he could never defend himself so he resorted to personal attacks. Just like you!

73, Jeff KH6O

4414. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8856ie$kp9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Follow-ups set to just .misc:

In rec.radio.cb <horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:

>Anyone that would think that Morse
>really had so much value in today's world is so out
>of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
>of anything, really.

Ah, but it certainly has value in our world of amateur radio.
It's the second-most used mode on HF, but at times, one can
count more CW QSOs than SSB QSOs.

I can build a CW station from junk-box parts, and be on the air
communicating with amateurs around the world in a matter of hours.
Try that with any other mode.

73, Jeff KH6O

4415. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88573m$l6j$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.swap (why?) Dave Stadt wrote:
>AB7RS wrote:
>>Steve WA6GSD wrote:

>>> The current "technical" exams are so silly, that anyone who has any
>>>kind of a memory at all can pass with no problem.
>>> More numbers does not mean a better service!

>>Your thoughts are right on the mark.

>For 1920.

Say, Dave, what's so "1920" about Steve's comments? He seems to be
calling for more difficult exams. You want easier ones????

73, Jeff KH6O

4416. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <8859c8ast1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm going to use mine to get on the air.

4417. ** New BC895XLT software released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: News <slyster7@mn.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <x0qp4.29$yT6.5755@typhoon.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 119355
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

AirSCAN released- scanning software for the Uniden BC895XLT. Check out our website to see what it can do for you!

http://people.mn.mediaone.net/slyster7

"Silver Software" 02-12-00
slyster7@mn.mediaone.net

4418. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: briansp@HiWAAY.omit-this.net
Message-ID: <38a62ffb.28898353@news.HiWAAY.net>
Source record: 119356
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

(Newsgroups field trimed in accordance with various complaints.)

" G8ASO*" <g8aso@coolmail.com> wrote:
>A callsign is assigned to a station and not a person, if amateur radio
>station G8ASO was established, then anyone using it must give the same
>call-sign irrespective of whether they have a call-sign of their "own".
>
>As this is conducted over telephone lines, amateur radio call-signs are
>irrelevant! This is not an amateur radio station!
>
>G8ASO

This is somewhat like saying that it is ok to call yourself the
President of the United States, because you are not in a situation
where the actual President would be required to identify himself as
such.

Within the context of any amatuer radio venue, identfiers that are
structurally valid as amatuer radio callsigns are generally accepted
as such. You know this. Don't bother telling us about legal
loopholes. It doesn't change the fact that you are fraudulently
portraying yourself as an entity that you are not. You are neither
affiliated with station G8ASO nor with the individual responsible for
its license. Calling yourself G8ASO (especially against the voiced
wishes of its owner) is grossly unethical (whether it's actually
illegal or not).

4419. Output on 10 M Kenwood TS850S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amrum Lakritz <isrus@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Umqp4.606$iA5.6892@typhoon2.san.rr.com>
Source record: 119357

Can I expect, realistically, 100 watts ouput on 10 M with my Kenwood TS850S radio? (My max is about 80-85 Watts)
TNX

4420. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: pv <verrando@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <368A8BA6530E8C61.7861B74173C31494.E86012250AF513BA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 119358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

There is a great experiment now going on in the world in
attempt of a one world government. It is called the
United States of America.
This nation was the first to take a loose confederation of
individual states and successfully unite them into a cohesive
group, each submitting to one central authority.
At the time, none of the states wanted to do this, and each
resented mightily, fearing the loss of its individual greatness and
claiming that such a union would not serve its best interests.
States were conducting their own foreign affairs, several reaching
private agreements on trade and other matters with France, Spain,
England and other countries.
The states also fought wars with each other. Each state considered
its militia a standing army, nine states had their own navies.
Over half the states were printing their own money.
Though joined under the Articles of Confederation, they were acting
exactly as independent nations do today.

4421. Gordon West Extra Class License Book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Towers <ftowers@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <B0rp4.8497$%M5.180139@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119359

This book is in absolutely brand new condition.
Upgrade Now! There will never be a better time.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=258390788

4422. Feds Raid Ramsey - discussion board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: acatalan@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <38a64f0d.98505293@nntp.pipeline.com>
Source record: 119360
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.shortwave

In NAZI Germany they had an "official" radio set to RX a single
channel. If you were to break the seal or modify it in any way
the penalty was DEATH. With all this emerging technology the
Klintonistas only want you to know how to push the buttons on
all the wonderful little black boxes and to dutifully pay all the
little ALGORE surcharges. They don't want you to have ANY
inkling on how the innards of these wonderful gadgets work or to be
able to build anythingof your own. QRP homebrewing is
becoming a big thing. Perhaps soon we will have to "register" our
experiments with the FCC and obtain type acceptance on
everything that xmits. An INGNORAMUS is a socialist/democrat's best
customer
** Knock Knock.
Whose there?
** "The Children"
The children who?"
We have a search warrant, none of your beeswax.
Open up! We are well armed with the good gov't guns.
Need I convince you more?
http://www.nra.org Tony (ww2w)
Ramsey info subpage:
http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/cgi-bin/SoftCart.exe/scstore/sitepages/hobby/fedpage3.htm
?L+scstore+ufoz5774+947157453
Feds Raid Ramsey - discussion board
http://www.thisiswrong.com/

4423. Re: Output on 10 M Kenwood TS850S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <Ugsp4.2390$ek5.34863@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 119361

Amrum Lakritz <isrus@san.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Umqp4.606$iA5.6892@typhoon2.san.rr.com...
> Can I expect, realistically, 100 watts ouput on 10 M with my Kenwood
TS850S
> radio? (My max is about 80-85 Watts)
> TNX
>
>

Sure, you can turn it up in the radio to close to 200, but I wouldn't
recommend it. But it will do 100 easy, and can run at a bit more (mine did
at 125) for a long time.

73 de W6DKN

4424. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <885kc3ui1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

pv <verrando@airmail.net> wrote:
>The states also fought wars with each other. Each state considered
>its militia a standing army, nine states had their own navies.
>Over half the states were printing their own money.
>Though joined under the Articles of Confederation, they were acting
>exactly as independent nations do today.

And you owe the Nation of Hawaii a sum of $500 (we're taxing
the orginator of every incoming NG article).

KH6O

4425. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <885ker$12a$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119363

In article <885ds1$5cm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Doesn't it just EAT YOU UP that the "whiners" got their way?

Nope. You still have to learn the code.

KH6O

4426. Re: New Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000213022037.14487.00000410@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119364

Hi Rob, Congratulations and welcome. It is a great hobby despite what some will tell you or display to you through their actions. Again, welcome! Do you have your license? I mean...do you have your license, the actual piece of paper from the FCC physically in your possession? If not, don't operate. While I cannot go into chapter and verse, somewhere in the rules it says in essence, the license must be displayed at the station or in the possession of the operator (if a mobile or in an away from home operation, etc). QRZ.com and/or Buckmaster and the other callsign servers may have you in their most recently updated databases, but if you don't have the license in your hand, you don't have a license. Just hold out a little longer...shouldn't be more than a few days.
Congratulations!
Welcome!
Have FUN!
Enjoy.

73 de Tom KB2FIK
Bloomfield, New Jersey
Tbone107@aol.com

4427. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <885lcc$1m9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119365

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> I can build a CW station from junk-box parts, and be on the air
>> communicating with amateurs around the world in a matter of hours.
>> Try that with any other mode.

>I can do that with FM also, Jeff.

Really? Would you like to have a friendly challenge? We can
begin March First, document the time spend building, and see
who will have accumulated the greatest number of contacts by
March Thirtieth. Let's use Twenty Meters. (I can't wait to see
how many FM contacts you make on that band.)

>The big question is: How many
>times have you "built a CW station from junk-box parts, and had
>it on the air communicating with amateurs around the world in
>a matter of hours."

Easy question. I did it one time for each band, beginning with
a 160m. It was an incredible learning experience. Now, I won't
reveal how many hours make up "a matter of hours"!

73, Jeff KH6O

4428. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <885mhb$pk2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119366

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:885ivf$8c9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <885hd3$7ii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,

>I hope the PIG-HEADS haven't
> ruined everything, and it isn't too late to meet up with anyone
else.
>
> Stewart Teaze
> N0MHS

"From somewhere deep in my memory, I heard a snatch of some
half-remembered conversation between a construction worker and a
bartender in Colorado. The construction man was explaining why he
shouldn't have another drink: 'You can't wallow with the pigs at night
and then soar with the eagles in the morning,' he said.

"I thought briefly on this, then shrugged it off. My own situation was
totally different, I felt. In about three hours, I was supposed to be
down on the docks with my camera and tape recorder to spend another
day on one of those goddamn boats.

"No, I thought, that geek in Colorado had it all wrong. The real
problem is how to wallow with the eagles at night and then soar with
the pigs in the morning." - Hunter S. Thompson, "The Great Shark
Hunt."

Welcome back.

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
Celebrating four years as part of the problem with the Internet.

4429. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <885m6i$255$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119367

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
>I won't care; because I can blame it on you guys for the demise of
>Morse,

Demise? It's the second-most used mode on HF, at times surpassing that
of SSB. Demise?

>Why do you think we are typing at each other

Good question. Typing is a rather old skill. Older that CW.

> and not using "Morse Code".

Because this isn't radio. Speaking of which, when you get licensed,
how do you intend to fulfill S97.1? It is the "fundamental purpose"
(FCC's words) the ARS, you know. Will you be building your own keyboard?

>MORSE CODE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE.

If that were true, the code speed would have been reduced to just
one word per fortnight.

>It WAS about MORSE CODE, you pig-headed buffoon! That was what we were
>trying to tell you guys all along! Jeemineee H!

Why do you folks get so emotional over this issue?

KH6O

4430. Re: Ham Radio in Lavatory (sic: bowers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <885oev$3ot$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119368

John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote:
>On 12 Feb 2000 06:18:33 GMT jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu wishes he wrote:

>: The Licensing Authority:
>: The Lavatory Telecommunication State Inspection licenses and

Editing out material is fine, John Bowers, but changing the contents of someone's post is not; the original word was "Latvian."

Regardless of how fierce the battles are on here, you'll never see anyone commit the sin which you've committed, John Bowers.

>At least my title is more interesting ...

For whom?

KH6O

cc: abuse@deja.com, abuse@arcadiacom.net, abuse@gate.net

4431. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <885qi9$54o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119369

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote:
>>Jeff wrote:
>>> tnom deleted the attribution line for whomever wrote:

>>>Anyone that would think that Morse
>>>really had so much value in today's world is so out
>>>of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
>>>of anything, really.

>>Ah, but it certainly has value in our world of amateur radio.
>>It's the second-most used mode on HF, but at times, one can
>>count more CW QSOs than SSB QSOs.

>Value?

Yes, within amateur radio. We're communicators first and foremost.

>Just as basket weaving has value to the mentally ill?

That's the only defense you have? That's all you could think of to
write?

>>I can build a CW station from junk-box parts, and be on the air
>>communicating with amateurs around the world in a matter of hours.
>>Try that with any other mode.

>I can communicate anywhere around the world quicker than that.

Using equipment which you've built yourself?

>Heck this newsgroup does that.

If you don't mind being dependent upon untold billions of dollars worth
of other people's equipment, via millions of miles of cable. You're then
helplessly dependent upon others for your communications needs; you're
helplessly dependent upon the tens of thousand of man-hours spent each
day to keep the system running.

>Wake up. CW is no more important than a horse and buggy.

It's difficult to communicate around the world with a horse and buggy.
CW (actually, any mode) gives us the independence to communicate which
you'll never have, with your dependence upon computers belonging to
others, and cable belonging to the various telephone companies.

Jeff KH6O

4432. Visit my page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa1cil <fa1cil@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <8863lf$hf5$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 119370

Hello,

You can visit my home page at :

http://perso.wanadoo.fr/fa1cil/UK.htm

Deliver to me your opinion.

73 from FA1CIL Pascal.

4433. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <886q8s$1l3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119371

In article <885mhb$pk2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
"Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> wrote:

> "No, I thought, that geek in Colorado had it all wrong. The real
> problem is how to wallow with the eagles at night and then soar with
> the pigs in the morning." - Hunter S. Thompson, "The Great Shark
> Hunt."
>
> Welcome back.
>

That's where pigs fly - on 900Mhz!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4434. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <886qpc$214$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119372

In article <885m6i$255$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> <horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >I won't care; because I can blame it on you guys for the demise of
> >Morse,
>
> Demise? It's the second-most used mode on HF, at times surpassing that
> of SSB. Demise?
>

I was talking about the demise of the Morse reqs.

> >Why do you think we are typing at each other
>
> Good question. Typing is a rather old skill. Older that CW.
>

It isn't the typing, it is THE WHOLE INTERNET(this newsgroup, TCP/IP,
ethernet, etc.) that makes Morse Code so riduculously miniscule in
importance in today's world.

> > and not using "Morse Code".
>
> Because this isn't radio. Speaking of which, when you get licensed,
> how do you intend to fulfill S97.1? It is the "fundamental purpose"
> (FCC's words) the ARS, you know. Will you be building your own
keyboard?
>

Software - you silly old fool.

> >MORSE CODE DOESN'T MATTER ANYMORE.
>
> If that were true, the code speed would have been reduced to just
> one word per fortnight.
>
> >It WAS about MORSE CODE, you pig-headed buffoon! That was what we
were
> >trying to tell you guys all along! Jeemineee H!
>
> Why do you folks get so emotional over this issue?
>
> KH6O

Because of stubborn pig-heads like LRod, and silly-old-fools like you!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4435. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <VUxp4.1359$6w1.45072@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 119373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38A5C661.D36432A@bctv.com>, kw@bctv.com says...
>
>
> "Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
> >
> > In article <8832ff$104$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>, wa2ise@netcom.com
> > says...
> > > In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
> > > >
> > > >Shane
> > > >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
> > >
> > > Me too. I already have the 4A and 4B CSCE's, old tech plus ready to go
> > > come April. I'll keep my current callsign wa2ise.
> > >
> > > trimmed the groups to misc and policy.
> > >
> >
> > you're gonna be equal to club station?
>
> Are you trying to claim that the club station issued that original
> whinemail?

???????

>
> Or will you accept the idea that the guy who responded simply accepted
> the whiner's (unlawful) use of the callsign, rather than try to figure
> out who it was who was so brave.

see above.

I still would like to know if he is going to equal the W1AW club station,
if he'll have a lock installed on the restroom door. Maybe install a
snack or soda (pop) machine?

BTW, what was unlawful about the use of a callsign?

--
Carpe Diem!!!!

4436. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: " .G8ASO*" <g8aso@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <886dso$k7i$1@naxos.belnet.be>
Source record: 119374
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

I am the owner of posting title G8ASO!

There are 1 or 2 impostors here, but as they seem to generally sympathise, I am not bothered. This Cunt/Twat/Wanker is only 1 of 2 Brian Jones's posting here, which one is the real "owner" of the title?

This is not amateur radio, and as I have pointed out, G8ASO (in an amateur radio environment, which this is not!) is NOT user specific.

This Cunt/Twat/Wanker Brian Jones bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk has stated that he never uses or has used the identification G8ASO when posting to news groups, so what is the problem? This message is NOT from an amateur radio station - which should be quite obvious to the most stupid amateurs.

So, to recap, Jones is complaining about the use of a posting "handle" he has never used, WHY?

Others are complaining about it because they stupidly think it is possible to establish an amateur radio station via Internet, PULL YOURSELVES TOGETHER!

The fact that this Jones character is a complete and total twat seems to have been completely overlooked.

Try and think in a rational manner and call things as they are, not as you think they are.....you may find this good advice in other aspects of your life! Anyway, I thought imitation was the sincerest form of flattery, everyone in the LPWS seems to have been imitated at some time or other, I don't remember any of us getting upset about it.

If you have read this far, then you might as well visit the home page site at http://www.laughingpoliceman.com

G8ASO The real one!

4437. Re: New Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <38A6CB58.C585F9BC@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 119375

At one time you would have been correct. The rules have been changed in
the last couple of years. Now as soon as your call appears in the FCC
data base you can operate even if you do not have a paper in hand.

With the new computer system I have seen the calls appear in a day or
two after the tests are given at a hamfest. Depends on how long it
takes the VECs to get their computer 'paperwork' to the FCCs computer.

de KU4PT VE for the W4VEC group.

Tbone107 wrote:
>
> Hi Rob, Congratulations and welcome. It is a great hobby despite what some will
> tell you or display to you through their actions. Again, welcome! Do you have
> your license? I mean...do you have your license, the actual piece of paper from
> the FCC physically in your possession? If not, don't operate. While I cannot go
> into chapter and verse, somewhere in the rules it says in essence, the license
> must be displayed at the station or in the possession of the operator (if a
> mobile or in an away from home operation, etc). QRZ.com and/or Buckmaster and
> the other callsign servers may have you in their most recently updated
> databases, but if you don't have the license in your hand, you don't have a
> license. Just hold out a little longer...shouldn't be more than a few days.
> Congratulations!
> Welcome!
> Have FUN!
> Enjoy.
>
> 73 de Tom KB2FIK
> Bloomfield, New Jersey
> Tbone107@aol.com

4438. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jim_aa2qa@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8879r7cac1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <sa9bg5d2fo851@corp.supernews.com>,
founder@arrl.com (Hiram Percy Maxim) wrote:
>
> Top 10 List.
>
> 1.) Let it expire.
>
> 2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your
spectrum anyway.
>
> 3.) Send it to the FCC & tell them to shove it.
>
> 4.) Get VE accredited and help license CBers.
>
> 5.) Send it to the ARRL & tell them "Thanks
for Nothing"
>
> 6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.
>
> 7.) Modify CB's for your new ham friends &
test their echo Mics.
>
> 8.) Brush up on your 10-codes.
>
> 9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and
turn on a soldering gun.
>
> 10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then
flush, a CBer will find it.
>
> Hiram Percy Maxim ex. w1aw
>
>
Funny, I keep seeing somewhat related posts on
many CB and ham sites. As far as letting you
license expire, I did in 1980 - along with my
commercial 1st class telephone license and 2nd
class radiotelegraph license with radar
endorsement (I was too young for the 1st class
when I took the test and never did use the
ticket). In 1993 I decided I wanted the tickets
back - a trip to SUNY Alfred for the GROL and a
session with the VEs netted my my GROL and extra

- despite not being on the air since 1969 or 1970 and not checking any Q&A manuals. Oh, the code was a bit shaky, but I wrote a morse code send/receive program, just for fun, and doubt I would have trouble with 30 or 40 again.

Morse is obsolete; what bothers me is the attitudes many of us develop towards lower class licensees and/or CBers. I presume that those people answering posts such as these are likely on the internet (make that they ARE on the internet) and are probably computer literate. Sure there are many aspects to electronics and radio - a big one is the digital realm and some folks just might be surprised how computer literate these people are. I think I get a bit uptight with some of the rantings since I am now over 50 years old and sometimes get some attitudes at work. A month ago some kid password protected the PC we use to program the robots and PLCs at work - I heard him snickering in the distance ... I asked him to come over (I heard him say to another guy 'see, I knew he'd have to ask). When he came over, his eyes popped - there was the message on the screen 'WARNING - you are about to delete the active partition on your hard drive. All information will be lost. Continue (Y/N)?" I then mentioned I could have gotten real sneaky and attempted ways to get around it, but this was quick and we'd be equals. Got the message across.

This stuff is not helping anyone. Why do you think the amateur ranks are dwindling? When is the last time you actually welcomed someone into a club? Of course, if you keep enough people out of amateur radio, you have more spectrum left to yourself. Which is it we want?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4439. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A6D43F.C28C6B8E@bctv.com>
Source record: 119377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
>
> In article <38A5C661.D36432A@bctv.com>, kw@bctv.com says...
> >
> >
> > "Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <8832ff$104$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>, wa2ise@netcom.com
> > > says...
> > > > In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
> > > > >
> > > > >Shane
> > > > >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
> > > > >
> > > > Me too. I already have the 4A and 4B CSCE's, old tech plus ready to go
> > > > come April. I'll keep my current callsign wa2ise.
> > > > >
> > > > trimmed the groups to misc and policy.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > you're gonna be equal to club station?
> > >
> > Are you trying to claim that the club station issued that original
> > whinemail?
> >
> ???????
>
> >
> > Or will you accept the idea that the guy who responded simply accepted
> > the whiner's (unlawful) use of the callsign, rather than try to figure
> > out who it was who was so brave.
> >
> see above.

I see it. What is your question?

> BTW, what was unlawful about the use of a callsign?

Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.

4440. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <ShBp4.1364$6w1.45227@reader1.interactive.net>
Source record: 119378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <38A6D43F.C28C6B8E@bctv.com>, kw@bctv.com says...
>
>
> "Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
> >
> > In article <38A5C661.D36432A@bctv.com>, kw@bctv.com says...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <8832ff$104$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>, wa2ise@netcom.com
> > > > says...
> > > > > In article <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Shane
> > > > > >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
> > > > > >
> > > > > Me too. I already have the 4A and 4B CSCE's, old tech plus ready to go
> > > > > come April. I'll keep my current callsign wa2ise.
> > > > > >
> > > > > trimmed the groups to misc and policy.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > you're gonna be equal to club station?
> > > >
> > > Are you trying to claim that the club station issued that original
> > > whinemail?
> > >
> > ???????
> > >
> > >
> > > Or will you accept the idea that the guy who responded simply accepted
> > > the whiner's (unlawful) use of the callsign, rather than try to figure
> > > out who it was who was so brave.
> > >
> > see above.
> >
> I see it. What is your question?

What unlawful use of what callsign??

>
> > BTW, what was unlawful about the use of a callsign?
> >
```

> Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
> identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
> a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
>

OK >?????????

where was the unlawful use of a callsign? The FCC prohibits ID'ing
yourself on the air with someone else's callsign. Where did this happen?
Here???

This Shane person didn;t identify himself at all and the other stated he
was keeping his callsign. I must have missed something in all the
attributes.

If you are referring to the Usenet, I find nothing in any FCC ruling that
prohibits anyone mentioning a callsign, or requiring an ID. If that were
the true, you'd be guilty of failing to identify.

Like I said, Shane mentioned he'd be equal to a club station and you are
stating your are superior to some guy who's been dead for 60 years?.
What type of attitude does a dead person exhibit that makes it inferior?

I am missing something.

--
Carpe Diem!!!!

4441. ABC 20/20 interview with libnet member's (Kevin Strom wb4aio) ex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002131718.MAA18085@cotse.com>
Source record: 119379

This message was posted anonymously:

Very revealing interview with one of the libertynet members
ex wife on ABC's 20/20 Feb.9, 2000 .

http://abcnews.go.com/onair/2020/transcripts/2020_000210_rig
htwomen_trans.html

also her webpage - find out what she went thru and why,
along with what she's doing about it.

http://www.castleplanet.com/naples/kirstenkaiser/

Online chat transcript with her.

http://abcnews.go.com/onair/DailyNews/2020_rightwomen_000210
_chat.html

4442. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A6E715.576FC2C4@bctv.com>
Source record: 119380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:

> I am missing something.

I don't know. You seem to be missing everything. Instead, I think that
you are just trying to agitate, so have a nice life.

4443. Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <8QBp4.40879$QN6.65123@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 119381

With the FCC keeping the CW portions of the bands with the new structuring...I would think you CW freaks would be rejoicing.

If you don't need to know more than 5 WPM for any new license, the CW portions of the bands will become QRM free. As the new hams come on board they will all migrate to the modes they know best...and CW isn't one of them. Let's face facts...in ten years the CW sub-bands will be inhabited by the same guys that you find there now less the SK's and a small group of low coders who love to "chat" back and forth at 5 WPM.

Do you guys know how frustrating it is to communicate at 5 WPM.Try it someday...if these guys want to "communicate" anything more meaningful than NAME HR IS...UR 5NN, QTH HR IS...., they had better learn more than 5.

Of course, I didn't take into account that the Morse sent by your Curtis Keyer could easily be read by my Kantronics CW program at almost any speed up to 80 WPM. And while I respond to you by simply typing my reply into the keyboard...I can download any one of the 15 buffers I have loaded with idle chatter about my various interests. If it makes you feel better I could set the send speed at 75WPM and think about how much fun your having as the last of the purists.

73 OM's
DE K2LDK, SAM

4444. Re: ABC 20/20 interview with libnet member's (Kevin Strom wb4aio) ex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Weber-bites@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17917-38A6E82D-6@storefull-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119382

"Vengence hath no fury like a woman scorned"...

-MI43-

4445. School Round up scheds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Fournia <glide@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <38A6F5E8.C2A6F8DB@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 119383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The students of Jefferson Middle School in Rochester, NY are looking for

scheds in a limited operating window. Friday, February 18, 8:00 AM to

11:00 AM Eastern time only (1300-1600z).

We will be tuning the Round up frequencies of:

7225-7255
14,250-14,280
21,300-21,330
28,550-28,580
28,350-28,400

Look for W2SKY. Schedules are welcome by emailing W2SKY, Peter at
w2sky@arrl.net
Operation is sponsored by the RARA Radio Coaches
http://www.ggw.org/rara/radiocoach.htm

Peter Fournia
W2SKY

4446. pink pantyman busted at orlando hamfest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38A7B41D.15689698@netrover.com>
Source record: 119234

pink pantyman busted at orlando hamfest turns in his panties and ticket
to Riley see website for more details

http://www.angelfire.com/ak/goofellows

4447. here is the link to the page sorry guys long night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38A7B5F6.E12AE3EE@netrover.com>
Source record: 119235

http://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows

4448. Re: HF will not become CB with extra lites and general lites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8898nfmfl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119236

Good advise Dan. I am sure you do not want them following your
footsteps. You found the troublesome frequencies, participated and
operated on them for years. Nice to see you advising new extras to
avoid your mistake. I congratulate you on your turn around.

Part97, Obey it!!

In article <8893keihe1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <20000213223853.03804.00000206@ng-fd1.aol.com>,
> k3eko@aol.comnojunk (Frank A Todd K3EKO) wrote:
> > I concur!!!
> >
> > 73's de Frank K3EKO
> >
> I agree, with reservations. If we, the present inhabitants of HF,
take
> the time and effort to show and teach the new arrivals. Hopefully not
> too many of them will find certain "trouble spots". And if they do,
> stay clear of them like Mr. Todd has done.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4449. Re: AES deception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clint@clintbradford.com>
Message-ID: <88991d$mk1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119237

>> WARNING!! ....

> Ever thought that this could be an honest mistake?

Oh, goodness NO. It is a massive conspiracy...intended to defraud the
general public...who isn't smart enough to know what they're purchasing.

<grin>

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4450. Re: pink pantyman busted at orlando hamfest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <889dvn$qj9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119238

In article <38A7B41D.15689698@netrover.com>,
GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote:
> pink pantyman busted at orlando hamfest turns in his panties and
ticket
> to Riley see website for more details
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows
>
>

We know what Riley would do with his ticket, but what WILL Riley do
with those panties??????

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4451. Re: HF will not become CB with extra lites and general lites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <889e4p$qm2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119239

In article <8898nfmfl1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Good advise Dan. I am sure you do not want them following your
> footsteps. You found the troublesome frequencies, participated and
> operated on them for years. Nice to see you advising new extras to
> avoid your mistake. I congratulate you on your turn around.
>
> Part97, Obey it!!

You mean follow your unidentified remarks, harmonica playing(that's a strech, considering your lack of musical talent, Polly) and qrming on 3.901 and other frequencies. Frank has turned around to what he was...good job Frank.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4452. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <889f9srjk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119240

In article <887v9e$s88$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> > I got out of ham radio 5 years ago, because most hams were a bunch
> > of pig-heads that could only think about their "beloved" Morse code.
>
> There is a huge world of operators on the air, some of whom surely
> have many things in common with you,

So the best thing for him would be to call "CQ vituperative, clueless,
immature, misanthropic quitters" and hope for a reply?

> Look on the air for companionship if you can't find any locally.

I'll bet there's a world of truth to be found in that statement.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4453. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: brian@doesnt.feel.like.getting.private.replies.to.this.so.dont
Message-ID: <38a7b5a5.128667497@news.HiWAAY.net>
Source record: 119241
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

>From: gg <ggehring@uq.net.au>

>> You are neither
>> affiliated with station G8ASO nor with the individual responsible for
>> its license. Calling yourself G8ASO (especially against the voiced
>> wishes of its owner) is grossly unethical (whether it's actually
>> illegal or not).
>
>So in your opinion ETHICS overide LAW.

In my opinion, ethics are important. As already been stated in this thread, the laws governing radio transmission are not relevant to usenet posts. So, we are left with ethics. The crux is NOT whether you CAN use someone else's station's call as your identity in a non-radio medium, but whether you SHOULD (especially if they request that you don't).

>Aircraft licences are in the same catagory as amateur licences.
>Can you imagine if every pilot took the attitude that because he happened
>to own & operate aircraft N0000 then borrowed/hired someone else's
>aircraft which happened to be registered/licenced as N9999 (note the
>aircraft is registered/licenced NOT the pilot... same it's a radio ident)
>Do you seriously expect him to mis-identify N9999 as N0000

That would be a lovely argument if your analogy resembled this situation.

Would be ok for the owner of the N0000 aircraft to wear N9999 on their jacket when they're at the local pilot hangouts and talk about things that the person who owns the N9999 aircraft ephatically does not endorse? (I'm not asking you if it's legal.) Would you like it if someone defamed you in that way?

What if i started posting as ggehring@uq.net.au (which identifies your emailbox--not you)? Would that be ok with you? You might get a bunch of e-mail that you dont want, regarding my posts--Just as the owner of G8ASO might get a lot of unwanted correspondance regarding that website--which has nothing to do with them--from folks who looked up the callsign.

This is not about law. But, perhaps that's what it takes--making the legal infrastructure yet more complex, to deal with those hell bent upon doing anything they can get away with, whether it's good for the world or not.

>73 de "NOCALL"

best regards
Brian

4454. Re: HF will not become CB with extra lites and general lites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <889jdv$um3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119242

In article <8898nfmfl1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> Good advise Dan. I am sure you do not want them following your
> footsteps. You found the troublesome frequencies, participated and
> operated on them for years. Nice to see you advising new extras to
> avoid your mistake. I congratulate you on your turn around.
>
> Part97, Obey it!!
>
> In article <8893keihe1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <20000213223853.03804.00000206@ng-fd1.aol.com>,
> > k3eko@aol.comnojunk (Frank A Todd K3EKO) wrote:
> > > I concur!!!
> > >
> > > 73's de Frank K3EKO
> > >
> > I agree, with reservations. If we, the present inhabitants of HF,
> take
> > the time and effort to show and teach the new arrivals. Hopefully
not
> > too many of them will find certain "trouble spots". And if they do,
> > stay clear of them like Mr. Todd has done.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Thats what makes my advise so poignant. Been there, done that, got the
teashirt. So when are the rest of the problem children going to do the
same?

Dan/W4NTI

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4455. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <u5mfasoa7ca5j52jvsfunnhhlhjadalmm7@4ax.com>
Source record: 119243

>>Anyone that would think that Morse
>>really had so much value in today's world is so out
>>of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
>>of anything, really.
>
>Ah, but it certainly has value in our world of amateur radio.
>It's the second-most used mode on HF, but at times, one can
>count more CW QSOs than SSB QSOs.

Value? Just as basket weaving has value to the mentally ill?

>I can build a CW station from junk-box parts, and be on the air
>communicating with amateurs around the world in a matter of hours.
>Try that with any other mode.

I can communicate anywhere around the world quicker than
that. Heck this newsgroup does that. Wake up. CW is no more
important than a horse and buggy.

4456. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <889m6qrd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38a75e17.13809624@news.interactive.net>,
billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2000 03:47:51 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> wrote:
>
> >In article <38a18e12.12621814@news.interactive.net>,
> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> >> On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 03:40:07 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> >> wrote:
> >Bill the difference between you and I can be seen in our discussions.
> >Neither of us might be seen as the Average Joe Ham
>
> Why not?
I must admit that I didn't know much about your background so that it
was unfair for me to think that you are not closer to "Joe Average Ham"
than I may be. Actually no one ham is "Joe Average" because "Joe
Average" would have to be a hypothetical Ham whose qualifications and
experiences were compiled from a statistical study of all Hams.
>
> >BUT IMHO I believe
> >that Ham Radio's Basis and Purpose meant more to me than it did or
does
> >to you.
>
> ONE part of it takes more importance in your opinion.

Yep...BUT that is a rather large part. You can also understand that
the before 1951 Ham qualifications, the after 1951 Ham qualifications
and now the after April 15th, 2000 Ham qualification are different
enough for us to recognize that the "Joe Average Ham" of each era will
be vastly different.

> >That is because I started in the hobby as a poor kid and
> >became a ham, a technician, a U.S. Army field radio repairman, a
field
> >service engineer, and a degreed design engineer... in that order.
>
> So what? I obtained an AAS degree, went in the Navy
> as a technician, and became a telephone company
> central office technician...and then I finished a BA
> degree which I did over a 5 year period at night.
I completed a BSEE in eleven years at night and later got an MSEd in
two years.
I can respect all that you have accomplished and can recognize your

progress but where and when did your interest in Ham Radio start and what stage were you at when you were first licensed? In other words: - Did you become a Ham because of your career or did you choose your career because you were a Ham or do you not see any relationship between your hobby interests and your education and career?

> >While Ham Radio itself is but a non-profit hobby...what one learns by
> >being a Ham may be a stepping stone to a career in electronics....
>
> Worked that way for me.
OK!
>
> >NOT
> >for every Ham but maybe for the kids who become Hams even when their
> >parents and teachers try to discourage them from what they believe to
> >be an expensive hobby.
>
> I have never met anyone that so discouraged any youth
> from becoming a ham.

I have had High School teachers tell me that I was wasting my time and should be working harder on what they believed to be more in the area of academic college preparation. A biology teacher said that no hobby should come before school work...which at that time involved memorizing the Latin names for lots of fauna and flora that I succeeded in doing...but have since been of no real use to me and I have forgotten. My parents didn't want me to spend time and money on Ham radio nor to cause TVI to the neighbors in the apartment house we were living in. They were afraid that my antennas would cause the landlord to raise our rent.

> >To prove that it is not just an expensive hobby
> >for the rich kids some innovative kids have built their low power
> >stations from surplus or from scratch.
>
> I worked all one summer to be able to buy my rig
> as a Novice. No one handed it to me on a silver
> platter.
Good to hear that.
> >Some have been able to network
> >with Hams who have helped them find appropriate employment or
> >encouraged them to continue their technical education. It all could
> >have started from being a Ham...and that is what makes the goals of
> >Part 97 obtainable...of value to the Hams....and of value ultimately
to
> >our nation if it produces constructive, productive individuals.
>
> Agreed. So where do we differ?
At this point we don't differ...BUT why can't you understand that the GOALS of the Basis and Purpose of Ham Radio include encouraging young people, who want to be Hams, to use what they learn as Hams to

advantage in their chosen careers if practical. I admit that this can not apply to all Hams BUT we can see that it does apply to many Hams, including you and I, to at least some extent.
> >We
> >hear a lot of politicians talking about "family values" these days BUT
> >their are IMHO also work ethic values...and IMHO the goals of Ham Radio
> >are indeed technical working values for the Hams who use their hobby in
> >a productive way.
>
> More words than anything of substance. Those of
> us that are hams today must be the example. FCC
> rules won't and don't do anything but set the playing field.
By "productive way" I can mean any or all the subparagraphs of our Basis and Purpose.
POTENTIAL ETHICAL WORK VALUES are an abstraction BUT they are just as much of substance as "Family Values" which is also IMHO an abstraction. Both values are conceptual and can only be fully defined by those who understand them from a conceptual point of view.

> >> >In article <389e05d1.3337516@news.interactive.net>,
> >> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> >> >> On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 21:18:39 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >In article <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>,
> >> >> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now
> >> >than
> >> >> >> >they did in the 1950s.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> For what purpose?
> >> >>
> >> >To be capable of doing what they did in the 1950s with the same kind
> >> >of comprehension.
> >> >
> >> And what is/was that? Sorry, the general type
> >> of answer does not tell anyone what that is or was.
> >>
> >We spoke about it here. Most Hams built their own transmitters in the
> >early 50s and before that. Today many Ham think that building anything
> >from scratch would be near impossible.
>

> It depends on what you want the finished product
> to accomplish. The bottom line is that you can't
> go home again to the 50s. Technical mprovements,
> advances, etc have forclosed MOST home
> brewing of major elements (e.g. recievers and or
> transmitters).
No. You can't go back to the 50s and in the future nobody can go back
to 2000 either. IMHO no technology will ever eliminate the ability to
home-brew...BUT if the future Hams are not given incentive to learn and
confidence that they can home-brew then we can not expect home-brewing
to become more popular than it has been in recent years. Putting more
circuits on a silicon substrate makes things easier NOT more difficult.
We can not expect to popularize something that publishers, such as
the ARRL, may not themselves be particularly motivatted to encourage.
True there are no Hugo Gernsbacks to encourage folks by telling them
how easy it is to listen to the world on a two tube SW radio BUT there
are those who can see profit in selling Yaesu, Kenwood and ICOM radios,
which in my opinion can only help Hams to become appliance operators.
There are lots of Hams, who I have recently spoken to, who agree that
the license restructuring will serve to make more Ham appliance
operators who we do not believe in the Ham values that formed our
original purpose nor will futrure Hams, as a group, continue the legacy
which IMHO has made Ham Radio what it was and is today.

> >> >> >The PURPOSE is to comply with the Basis and purpose of 97.1
> >> >>
> >> >> I must disagree. I had only a tech for 30 years and
> >> >> that in no way hindered me from working and supporting
> >> >> the basis and purpose of 97.1.
> >> >
> >> >Speak for yourself Bill.
> >>
> >> I did speak for myself. You, on the otherhand
> >> apparently wish to speak for everyone else. The point
> >> is that one does NOT need any license to work in support
> >> of the basis and purpose of 97.1.
> >
> >I do not wish to speak for everyone else BUT I want to say that as a
> >group Hams must have a basis and purpose and they do have a written
set
> >of basis and purpose goals...that I admit we can not expect all to
> >meet as individuals...HOWEVER some must be encouraged to progress to
> >the limits of the state of the present art and to be so certified if
> >they wish to prove that even some Hams are ready to satisfy their
group
> >Basis and Purpose.
>
> And those that do progress to the limits of the state of the present
> art are NOT going to do so on their own. They will have
> embarked on an academic and/or career path to that end.

> You comment as if no one at all is doing or progressing
> beyond the license knowledge. That is simply not true.

Maybe most - but not all. Just remember that Bill Gates dropped out of college because he understood that what he knew made him more valuable than what he could expect to learn by finishing college. This is always the case. The peopke with the most foresight are ahead of academia. That is maybe why Tom Edison dropped out of elementry school. It doesn't take a genius to understand that there will never be another Bill Gates in the USA. Now all the big software projects will be run in Bombay, India. BUT he was there at the crucial TIME. On a lesser scale. I probably would not have had any opportunity if I dinn't get that first job in a NJ TV factory as a troubleshooter if TV sets weren't being made in NJ....and I might not have progressed even with my Ham and commercial FCC licenses.
> >> >> What asset does any ham bring to academia?
> >
> >Hams show their ability in electronics labs where they often are
better
> >than the teachers and sometimes better than the professors who wrote
> >the lab manuals. In some courses Ham experience shows up and is
> >recognized. Such courses as Networks, Electronics, and Communication
> >Theory are courses that Hams can excell in. Non-Hams are not even
> >familiar with a lot of the terminology that practical Hams can
> >comprehend.
>
> You only identify why some hams, as students, might do better
> than others. That's no real asset to academia.

Then what is it??? Hams also become teachers and lab managers.

> >> >The ability to get college credit for courses and labs that they
are
> >> >more than qualified for.
> >
> >In some colleges advanced Hams do get credit for the beginning
> >electronics labs and some beginning technical courses. They may use
> >their Ham and industrial experiences to prepare portfolios that can
> >prove their applicable work experience.
>
> Any college can give advanced credit for knowledge
> that is shown or previously learned. People take
> preplacement/advanced placement tests all the time.
>
> >> Examples please. What specific course(s).
> >See above.
> >> Why couldn't the person apply to take an advanced
> >> placement test to get the credits? Colleges don't
> >> just hand out course credits that easily without
> >> some verivication.
Yep and a Ham who can show actual equipment that he has built and

technical articles that he has had published would be in a position to
provide verification.
> >Some do...but in some cases portfolios are required before one can
get
> >the privilage of taking any placement exam. In some cases the
portfolio
> >along with an oral exam is all that is required.
>
> So what constitues a ham portfolio...and what
> has changed with the R&O?
The point is that HAM projects can form real parts of proof of self
study. The reputation of all hams has been continuously been
downgraded...Sometimes by Hams themselves. With the new R&O things can
only be expected to get worse.
> >> >> To industry?
> >
> >I became a factory television troubleshooter without ever going to a
> >technical school. I advanced to become a test equipment constructor
> >and then to be a government lab engineering technician.
>
> How long ago was that?
It makes no difference except that now there might be more
opportunities to do such things in Asia or Mexico than in the USA.

> >> >The ability to get the opportunity to get hired as technicians at
a
> >> >level that recognizes their ham experience.
> >>
> >> Your expectations are based on the technology of
> >> 50 years ago in comparison to what industry would
> >> and does require today. No comparison and additional
> >> testing of radio subjects isn't going to help. Indeed,
> >> just what type of companies might you think woould
> >> be so inclined to hire hams (assuming you had a
> >> "more improved" technical capability license?
> >
> >Around in circles you go...That is why I believe that present day
hams
> >need more license classes and incentives to learn more modern
> >technology.
>
> No circles at all...the facts are that the technology a
> technician needs to know today FAR exceeds
> what any ham license might call for in terms of knowledge.

So make the Ham License Exams far exceed the dumbed down exams that
presently exist!

> >Companies hire people who can do the jobs that they need
> >to have done.
>

> Yes, and the days of component level technicians
> is history in all but very limited applications of electronics
> to both consumer and buisness products.

BUT we can not assume that even newer technologies will make more use
of troubleshooting abilities. I just read an article about automotive
mechanics who are learning to be computer troubleshooters. Their
ability is based on their LOGICAL thinking and can be applied to
different technologies if need be.

> >The economics of employment is somewhat off-topic here
> >but it is a shame when companies have to hire foreign technicians
> >because they are unable to fill their needs with American born
> >technicians.
>
> What are the technical skills of those foreign
> technicians? Did they gain their skill and
> knowledge as hams?

Some did. Others gained abilities because they knew that they must
study hard and work hard to survive and that they couldn't depend on
expecting others to do everything for them. Nor did they see hobby
leaders as back-slapping politicians and salesmen...They respected
educated leaders who formed their role models.

> >There are certain levels of employees needed who are at
> >intermediate levels. By that I mean the levels that exist between
> >unskilled workers and degreed engineers...The jobs that are often
> >filled by "super-techs" who can get things done independently. Often
> >the type of people who fill such jobs are Hams or people who have
> >learned from "do-it-yourself" technical hobbies.
>
> Not these days.

To an even greater extent EVEN TODAY!

> >> >> To the military?
> >Yep...To the military. As I said before I completed a six month
> >military course at The South Eastern Signal School, Camp Gordon, GA
by
> >taking phase tests, in three weeks. Most of the stuff on the exams
was
> >the same as was found on ham tests...with the exception that I spent
a
> >few days learning about RTTY from another Ham so that I could pass
the
> >test on RTTY.
>
> And the same thing can happen today. Are you
> saying it never happens anymore? Consider too
> that new entrants into the military are not from the
> ranks of individuals who are any older than

> 20 or 21 years of age.
This I agree with. The military "repair" schools are not as ambitious
as in the past and the military are not able to troubleshoot to the
descrete component level at the present time. There however is much
skill in being a military OPERATOR. The military does train excellent
RADAR and SONAR operators as well as pilots. Exotic RADAR and SONAR
equipment may take a lot more ability to operate efficiently than Ham
transceivers. These operators do have a different but valuable level
of expertise which can often be applied commercially. On top of that
the military training builds confidence in those 20-21 year old people
that wouldn't tend to make them fear learning technical stuff when they
need to. We should not speak bad of our military...They have more than
kept up with the hardware that our industry continues to modify and
provide.

> >> >The ability to pass through military technical schools with
advanced
> >> >placement due to their prior experience and training thus saving
the
> >> >government costs in providing such training.
> >>
> >> Assuming one had the "smarts" those smarts would
> >> advance the person anyway. A license is not
> >> sufficient proof.

Yep but it shows that it was a part of the incentive.

> >Yeah but my point is that I got the "smarts" by being a Ham!
> >If it wasn't for Ham radio I would never have had the incentive to
the
> >self-study and the practical construction experience. The license
was
> >indeed an important part of the proof that I was not just interested
> >but knowledgeable.
>
> The proof to who? Did the license get you the
> advancement or did YOUR OWN knowledge?

What came first the egg or the chicken???...and why was the chicken
able to cross the road??? The egg couldn't unless it was rolled over
without being broken, but it couldn't if it didn't exist because there
was no chicken to lay it!

> >> >I did all of the above. I am not unique. Many other hams also did
> >> >such things.
> >>
> >> When did you do them?
It does not matter.
> >All through my life. As I advanced I continued to read the technical
> >literature that often applied to both my job and to Ham Radio...right
> >up to spread spectrum techniques, microwave technology, control
> >systems, microprocessors, etc.

>
> You, like many hams and non-hams, continued a path
> of self learning. You didn't need amateur radio
> centive licensing to do that. More to the point, how long
> ago did you get that advanced military placement, etc?
Yep...BUT seeing the progress applied to Ham Radio helped make things
interesting for me and as I see it for many other Hams.

> >> More to the point, the "radio" aspects of being a
> >> technician today are more complex than years
> >> past AND the radio aspect is but a small piece part
> >> of being a technical person. Ham licenses don't
> >> address all those other aspects digital, etc.) that
> >> are a considerable part of today's consumer and
> >> industrial electronics.

Take the concept of BANDWIDTH. It is a concept that must apply to all
communication...not just radio communication. We can not separate
communication theory and say that RF theory differs from AC theory...in
fact the real theory (Maxwell's equations) applies from DC to light
frequencies. All waves (frequencies) can be cases of electromagnetics
and DC (direct current) is a special case.

> >THAT IS WHY WE NEED MORE LICENSE CLASSES and more incentives. BUT
the
> >basic principals of physics, electricity and electronics hold for all
> >analog and digital techniques..and we learn static logic before we
get
> >into dynamic sequential programmed control. It is all one long
string
> >of knowledge that builds on what you learn at each step. Too many
> >schools skip steps these days and the so called experts often do not
> >understand what they are trying to do. A true "expert" can be a
> >specialist but he or she must comprehend both hardware and software.
> >Understanding radio electronics is a good starting point.
>
> And it won't get you to first base in digital
> transport systems technology.

Not by itself BUT you have to first develop an interest before you can
learn concepts.

> >One thing that comes to mind is the FCC permission to use different
new
> >protocols. There are other related technical decisions that must not
> >in my opinion be made by Ham politicians or by manufacturers of Ham
> >gear; They must be made by Hams who have proven that they are
> >qualified to be technical leaders.
>
> Using different protocols should NOT be limited by
> license class. To do so would make no
> technical sense at all.

Did I say anything about who or what class should use new protocols?
What I am referring to is - who should lobby the FCC aboput what new
protocols need to be recognized and standardized.
>
> >> We don't need laws/regulations where we have no need
> >> established. Government governs best when it
> >> governs least.
How can we know what the needs of the future will bring. Did the
blacksmiths of yesteryear know how big our interstate superhighway
system would have to become?
> >That is your opinion. The government must in some cases be alert to
> >potentially dangerous conditions....but unfortunately the government
> >does not always use people who know that they are doing...like in
Waco
> >and with Ramsey...sometimes the government goes too far without using
> >common sense.
>
> So what "potentially dangerous condition" is the FCC
> ignoring in the announced restructuring?

They are ignoring the fact that they are ignoring things that they are
too stupid to understand because they do not think like technologists
or technocrats but like marketers.

> >> If what you say is true then the proposed ARRL
> >> "certification" program will meet the need of those
> >> hams that desire such certification. The government
> >> should stay the hell out of such areas...EXCEPT
> >> where absolute safety issues are identified...as
> >> in the aviation industry.
> >
> >I disagree. All communication may require measures that can be
> >important safety measures...We see it even in this Information Age
> >when "Hackers" can disrupt commercial internet enterprises and
security
> >becomes a problem. Naturally federal agencies must investigate and
> >Janet Reno must preside.
>
> So are you now suggesting internet licensing?
> You have NOT offered even ONE absolute safety
> issue to justify additional ham licening.

I dunno if we should have to license all internet users BUT maybe we
need to license all internet technicians and even hackers.
>
> >> >> > Surely there must be incentive for some hams to earn
> >> >> >higher level licenses or the group will have no proof that they
> >> >> >are any more than CMBers (citizen's multi-banders).
> >> >>
> >> >> What PROOF is needed?
> >> >> Who needs the proof?

> >
> >See above and parents and teachers need proof to be mortivated to
> >encourage their kids and students to spend time becoming Hams and
> >building stuff rather than just being computer users.
>
> The proof is NOT the license, but what any
> one individual does. Your argument is seriously
> flawed.
BOTH are implied and go hand-in-hand!
> >> >If you don't know who needs proof you haven't been paying
attention.

> >>
> >> I have been reading, but I believe you are living
> >> in the best as to your expectations of what a
> >> competent technician today is.
> >
> >Nope I have worked with and taught competent technicians up until
very
> >recently, when I retired.
>
> And just what preliminary knowledge have those
> techs brought with them before you had them
> under your instruction?
Very varied and their employment opportunities were very varied as well.
>
> >> >> >> If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
> >> >> >> become technical, well we should just obviously
> >> >> >> agree to disagree.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Not every Ham but some Hams.. and thus not every Ham, but some
> >> >> >Hams, need qualify at highest technical levels.
> >> >>
> >> >> Agreed and that has been the case since ham radio
> >> >> started and is still the case today.
> >> >
> >> >...Except there is no way to formally prove higher level technical
> >> >qualifications.
> >
> >There thus needs to be higher level FCC exams.
>
> WHY? There haven't been for 50 years. What
> do you think has changed? What experiance/knowledge
> equivalency would you expect any higher license to
> measure up to?
Ham Radio IMHO has gone down the drain for the past 50 years and if the
new structure is anything like I expect it will becomed, it will now go
down the drain much more rapidly than in the past.

> >> >I don't sell my rigs. If I give them away I make sure that I give
> >> >them to licensed Hams. Wouldn't you???

> >>
> >> I will sell to anyone that gives me the $$$. That is no
> >> different than when I buy. No one has ever asked me
> >> if I was a ham before selling me equipment. We don't
> >> need more feel-good laws that those that operate outside
> >> the law will ignore anyway...while placing unnecessary burdens
> >> and limitations on the law abiding hams.
> >
> >Well I certainly can not agree with you. What is the purpose of
their
> >being licensed Hams if anyone can buy rice-boxes and bootleg?
>
> That has ALWAYS been a possibility. I ordered my
> Kenwood rice-box by mail order...NO license
> was needed.
>
> >> >> If I sell at a hamfest, I sure don't need the cops or
> >> >> the FCC overlooking my transactions to be sure
> >> >> I only sell to a ham. Such a law would, I repeat,
> >> >> only make work and trouble for hams who are
> >> >> and do obey the law today.
> >> >
> >> >Well ...If they obey the law today and there are more laws added;
> >they
> >> >can obey the law tomorrow...besides people aren't supposed to
become
> >> >hams primarily to make profit from selling ham gear.
> >>
> >> I repeat...we don't need MORE laws UNLESS there is
> >> both a well documented PROBLEM...and a high
> >> degree of certainty that the proposed NEW law will
> >> solve that problem.
That is what the gun buffs have said and unfortunately they want to
carry that opinion to Ham Radio as well. I don't buy it from a
political or a technical position.
> >Look at the dumb law that prevented the sale of liniar RF power
> >amplifiers that could tune to eleven meters! That was dumb and could
> >have been solved by preventing such units (that could tune to ten
> >meters) from being sold to non-Ham CBers and not by prohibiting coils
> >and capasitors that resonate at ten meters on band-switch assemblies.
>
> If you think for one minute that such a law would
> have worked...well I own this bridge in
> Brooklyn.....

Not selling Ham gear to non-Hams could work better than the dumb law
about prohibiting linear amps that could tune to 10/11 meters
did...simply because it is a simpler law in concept and much easier to
monitor.
>

> >> But Heath is gone so it makes no difference.
> >
> >But what about the possibility of future electronics kit
> >manufacturers? Are they doomed to be as extinct as the companies
that
> >made chemistry sets and balsa-strut model airplanes? Are they to
> >prevent kids from learning and experimenting because of the risks
that
> >they believe are involved? This is a case where maybe the government
> >goes too far. Maybe such stuff should be made available to serious
> >kids who can qualify to use them with the proper precautions.
>
> You comment as if the government has made it illegal
> to sell kits. That is simply not true.
> As to making kits available to "serious" kids...
> are you suggesting they take an interest test first?
Now are you purposely getting foolish yo avoid seeing my point?
>
> >> Neither situation should be acceptable. Ramsey has legal
> >> representation as any business would. Individual hams
> >> find such legal "expenses" far more economically
> >> prohibitive.
> >
> >Why would a non-ham want to buy a ham transmitter? Why can't he or
she
> >wait to get a license?
>
> I had my first transmitter BEFORE I passed my Novice test.
>
> >Why would a Ham want to help a non-ham get on-
> >the air to bootleg?
>
> YOU are assuming that as soon as someone gets a rig
> they will be bootleging. False assumption.
Well look at it from a more dynamic point of view. How can you bootleg
on a ham band if you don't have a license and you can't own a
transmitter?
> > IMHO any reasonable Ham would and should welcome
> >any laws that help keep transmitters out of the hand of the
unlicensed.
>
> Score me as being unreasonable then.
OK.
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
Cheers,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure Logical Positions)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4457. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38A7E226.A894CF35@icss.net>
Source record: 119245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In article <38A71476.C0F3FFA1@icss.net>, Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >>>"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
>
> >>>> BTW, what was unlawful about the use of a callsign?
>
> >>>Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
> >>>identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
> >>>a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> >> Oh, Keith! You walked into that one with your eyes closed! 47CRF97
> >> does not apply to the Internet. Heck, you could sign your own posts
> >> as "W1AW," if you wished.
>
> >So if he sent in a change of address or request for a new call, signing as
> >kh6o, that would not be illegal?
>
> What would make his actions illegal is that he'd have to forge my
> name/signature and my tax-payer identification number (TIN). I
> believe the government frowns upon that; he'd probably lose his
> own license just for making the attempt, not to mention whatever
> the feds do to people who forge government documents. I'd also have
> a go at filing a civil lawsuit for "pain and suffering." (Don't you
> just hate those ridiculously huge monetary amounts that courts award
> defendants?)
>
> More importantly, that someone would attempt such a act (or even suggest
> it) just because he doesn't like the facts I present on here, leads me
> to believe that he is a danger to the community.

It was a hypothetical question, not an action or a suggestion. You seemed to
do an abrupt about face on the issue when it was -your- callsign we were
talking about. Get over it.

> 73, Jeff KH6O

Gee, and you lead me to believe that there wasn't anything wrong with using
someone elses callsign. So there is or there isn't anything wrong with with
it?

4458. Valve AC voltmeter FS (UK)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trumpet One <trumpet.one@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <888pba$2fs$1@nclient11-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 119246
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,relcom.radio.ham,uk.radio.amateur,uwarwick.societies.amateur-radio

I am selling a Hewlett Packard vacuum tube AC voltmeter. It is being
auctioned on eBay and the starting price is very very affordable!!!
Full details and pictures are at:
http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=260035348

4459. Yeasu FT2200 Advice (PLEASE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: vk2hmv@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <889tpp$6n2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119247

I just recently picked up a Yeasu FT-2200 radio. Unfortunately there was no manual or other documentation with the radio. The problem I'm having at the moment is I cannot workout the steps required to store frequency's into memory. I believe the radio has 53 memory channels, however, I have been unable to access them. Any advice you could offer would be greatly appreciated as I just received my amateur licence and I'm eager to get this radio working properly.

Regards Colin.
VK2HMV

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4460. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88a148$93h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119248

In article <01bf7686$e2f58660$0100a8c0@ZTU>,
"Bobby Atkinson" <bobbys@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
> If you refrain from calling other people names
> more people may want to communicate with you.
> Just a theory. 73 K4BGA

Sorry, but it has been JUST THE OPPOSITE. The ONE original "non-flame-bait" post I made about how I found my radios in the garage got ZERO response. The other flame-bait original posts have drawn HUGE RESPONSES. And, notice that I was the ONLY one to respond to the original 900MHz message? Any discussion of technical merit is verboten here.

I just couldn't help getting some jollies out of FLAMING the pig-headed bastards that held on to the 13/20 reqs for so long, that they ended up getting Extra reqs reduced to 5wpm! Now, I have agreed to NOT flame-bait the pig-heads as a group any longer - but when I see a pig-headed individual, I will gladly "flame-broil" him.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4461. TS-940S---------- Best Mic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: i_o_t_a@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88a30t$ak0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119249

PLEASE EMAIL REPLIES TO gday@usa.com

Just after your thoughts as to which desk microphone works best with a
KENWOOD TS-940S radio ?

thanks Dj.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4462. Re: Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <8890bu$a0l$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119250

The real question is whats your point. If you include the post I was
responding to my post makes plenty of sense. I was stating a fact..not
pissing and moaning. At least your starting to sign your name

de Tim K0FL

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote in message <887o1o$lg0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <886u55$764$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
> <randas@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> You might be right about the conversation at 5wpm...but it doesn't
>take long
>> for a good cw operator to know he's listening to a keyboard.
>>
>> de Tim K0FL
>>
>
>And your point would be what, Tim? Your days of gloating are
>finished. Better learn to type faster. It is now obvious that a
>typing speed test is more relevant to HF operations in today's world
>than is Morse code speed test.
>
>HA! You, my friend, are a dinosaur.
>
>S Teaze
>N0MHS
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4463. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wt.net>
Message-ID: <38A820DD.58952BEF@wt.net>
Source record: 119251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Al, you really need to get on the band wagon. All that has transpired concerning the ham bands has been the 'addition' of HF spectrum and the 'elimination' of some VHF and SHF spectrum. Who operates on the HF and who operates to help keep our VHF and SHF frequencies?

David

Al wrote:
>
> > This stuff is not helping anyone. Why do you
> > think the amateur ranks are dwindling? When is
> > the last time you actually welcomed someone into
> > a club? Of course, if you keep enough people out
> > of amateur radio, you have more spectrum left to
> > yourself. Which is it we want?
>
> One must realize that the commercial dwellers are
> waiting to grab whatever bandwith they can get their
> grubby little fingers on. If they can show an argument
> the bands are not being used guess who will get them.
>
> 73
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4464. Re: Porkbutt Song?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wt.net>
Message-ID: <38A8247E.90E8C275@wt.net>
Source record: 119252

This guy 'really' has no life.......

Goldreged wrote:
>
> Where can I find the Porkbutt Song?
> I want to record it for something to listen to while commuting.....
> Ed G.
> KB1DQX
> (Please Remove "JUNKBLOK" to E-mail.
> Unless it's a Flame.)

4465. Re: Ham newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM/9 <d.breeden@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Message-ID: <38A80DDC.A72A663@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Source record: 119253

Go to the ARRL web site. They will have a starting point as to some books and other literature. Also they will have a listing of some or the clubs in the area. Contact them, they will either have or can direct you to a club that offers classes or someone will be happy to work with you on requirements to get a license, the different radios to consider buying, etc.

Good Luck and 73's (best regards)

Dennis WB3KUM/9

4466. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com>
Message-ID: <jOUp4.24257$Nv1.2452036@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 119254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This makes too much sense--it surely will be attacked :)

Andy K5VM

Osama/bin/login <crouchingllama@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:38a7595b.207279682@news.newsfeeds.com...
> (DISCLAIMER: This is not necessarily directed at Mike Burch.)
>
> Why is it such a requirement to "hit the books"?
>
> The amount of spectrum and areas for experimentation that his current
> priveleges allow is extremely vast. MAYBE he's content and challenged
> right where he IS.
>
> I know this is a hugely unpopular mindset to have (especially now,
> with the restructuring event); however, many, as in a lot, as in a
> considerable portion of HAMs are NOT drawn to antique (albeit
> effective) communication such as Morse code. SO BE IT.
>
> High tech happens to be in the higher end of the spectrum. Granted
> there is high tech in HF, BUT NOT NEARLY AS MUCH ROOM TO
> EXPERIMENT!
>
> I, for one, flat out REFUSE to spend time on CW because there is so
> much more to learn about that is more attractive to me. (that's the
> cue for all the closed-minded, "be just like me" types who just can't
> exist knowing that another human doesn't think and act JUST LIKE
> THEM, to belch forth with a dizzying barrage of futile, misguided
> hatred.)
>
> It's amazing. Anyone in a newsgroup writes anything even remotely
> indifferent about CW, and they are hit with seemingly endless
> projectile vomiting by others, and some of those others spell and
> communicate like some retarded, six-year old bastard street kid.
>
> "Hit the books?" Yep, I hit the books...damn near every night. But not
> on CW or Extra class theory. My books are on operating systems like
> UNIX or NT(which is a sad attempt at an OS), transmission and network
> protocols, fiber optics, programming languages like C, Tcl/Tk, UNIX
> shell.
>
> CW to me (and there's a whole bunch of other HAMs who will fit this
> description) is a NOVELTY. Like restoring an old radio. Nothing to be
> looked DOWN on...rather it should be APPRECIATED. BUT, it is a

> diversion from current and emerging technologies that just so happen
> to cath my interest FIRST.
>
> As with many things, inflexibility can lead to extinction. HAM radio
> is a technical hobby. Technology is moving forward in an exponential
> manner. This technology WILL AFFECT HAM radio, and the EFFECT
> just might be a portion of HAMs that don't use CW. If being a HAM
> means mandatory CW, then the ARS will be as quaint and feeble as a
> national TRS-80 users group before long.

4467. AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <8896g3$h19$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119255

Some on .313 aren't satisfied if they're not picking on someone. I'd like to know what the hell's bad about Donald Anderson, W4JYZ? From that I hear he's acting like a perfect gentleman and if he happens to ask a provocative question, so what? He's as entitled as anyone else. I'm beginning to believe there's politics involved in this dispute with him. To those who dislike Don's political leanings, go stuff it. His liberalism is as necessary as someone else's conservatism because pluralism is part and parcel of America. Naturally there's a lot of talk about the FCC licensing restructuring program that will become effective 4/15/00 and W4JYZ is entitled to have his say too. I've listened to him discuss the need for the infusion of the young into ham radio and he's right on target and if anyone thinks by his stressing youth he's knocking age, that's nuts. The prospects for the future are the responsibility of the YOUNG therefore YOUTH MUST BE STRESSED in the field of our hobby. Don tells it like it is, not like you want to it to be and for that he's castigated? The other day he asked me to name three things I liked about KV4FZ; I treated it cursorily but didn't start beating any drum against the guy. So what if it was a provocative question? My reply graded the so called provocation-I could have escalated or deescalated and I chose ther latter. I think there's too much Rush Limbaughism around(that crackpot has been poisoning the American well for far too long) and if someone like Donald deviates from his ugly mindset, then there's a problem. So far I've stayed in the background regarding the Anderson versus the stupidos affair but I'm getting ticked and am ready to enter the fray with both barrels blasting away. LEAVE W4JYZ ALONE OR ELSE!
AF2Y

4468. Re: No.1!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: " .G8ASO*" <g8aso@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <889hfa$6e6$1@naxos.belnet.be>
Source record: 119256
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,es.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,tnn.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

Well you may have a point IF dick head Jones had asked, but he seems ambivalent!

4469. MacHam 2.0 Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim@neudecker.org.nospam
Message-ID: <1e5ztt1.gsstn7eb693qN%tim@neudecker.org.nospam>
Source record: 119257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Macintosh Owners - http://www.neudecker.org/~macham

There is a CallSign database made just for you.

Not a copy of a PC program but a program made on the Macintosh for the
Macintosh.

MacHam is CD-ROM based and sells for $30
(includes shipping in the USA and local tax if needed).

Send Check or MO to :

Macs By Moonlight,
10 Valley Rd
Brewster, NY 10509

Order on line via SSL Secure Kagi Site at

http://www.neudecker.org/~macham

--
Tim
macham@neudecker.org

4470. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <889l36$av0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119258

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>>?
>>>?

>>>> Because this isn't radio. Speaking of which, when you get licensed,
>>>> how do you intend to fulfill S97.1? It is the "fundamental purpose"
>>>> (FCC's words) the ARS, you know.

>>>Software - you silly old fool.

>> This is the Amateur RADIO Service; does your software emit RF?

>Boy, you really are out of it, aren't you.

You didn't answer my question. Does your software emit RF? Are you
able, by some magic, to communicate on the ham bands with just your
software and no radio?

>>>>>It WAS about MORSE CODE, you pig-headed buffoon! That was what we
>>>>>were trying to tell you guys all along! Jeemineee H!

>>>> Why do you folks get so emotional over this issue?

>>>Because of stubborn pig-heads like LRod, and silly-old-fools like
>>>you!

>> "Silly old fool?" I've broken three state swimming records. You can't
>> compete against me on here or in the water.

>What do Morse, or swimming have to do with the price of tea in China?

You called me "old" -- I might be 46 now, but can out swim you regardless
of your age.

>But, don't tell me, your swimming records were in some old, archiac
>stroke that no one uses anymore... Hmmm, let me guess, Breast stroke?

Breast stroke will never become archaic! ;) (It *is* an Olympic stroke,
you know.)

73, Jeff

4471. Re: AES deception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: fireman604@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000214175657.06743.00002269@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 119259

Sounds more like a layout mistake than deception.....

4472. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <nospam@evodata.net>
Message-ID: <88a1iq$r6s$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 119260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

check this out
http://www.militia-watchdog.org/
"etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com> wrote in message
news:TFUo4.693$Z5.17538@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
JERK
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia...
> Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>
> T he Fort Worth Star-Telegram newspaper of Friday, January 21, 2000, p. 9A,
> had an article headlined "Helms warns U.N. against overstepping its
> authority." It was a scathing indictment of the U.N.'s agenda of a
> centralize world order - with THEM running it WITHOUT the American people's
> consent.
>
> Where did this organization originate? And does it REALLY have designs of a
> One-World-Government under its leadership with the United States as just
> another member nation? Does it REALLY have designs to dissolve our National
> Sovereignty and police with foreign troops to keep us in line? He said that
> MANY Americans were catching onto their scheme and American power would
> never be usurped by the U.N.
>
> An ultra-secret organization called the Order of the Illuminati was founded
> on May 1, 1776, by Adam Weishaupt in Bavaria, Germany. It merged in 1782 at
> the highest levels with Freemasonry. The Illuminati's ultimate GOAL was
> creation of a NOVUS ORDO SECORUM - New World Order. It was to be a COMPLETE
> world Socialist/Communist SUPER STATE to rule over all nations.
>
> After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took
> the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
> movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed up all of
> the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took over
> the philosophical [religious] aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan
> by name.
>
> He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to be
> concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century [2001] or shortly
> thereafter. He wrote a remarkable letter to Mazzini dated August 15, 1871,
> giving graphic details of plans for three world wars. After each of these
> wars, there would be an attempt to establish a World Government to prevent
> future wars. There would also be many smaller revolutionary wars, so the
> nations would never know peace. Notice that they tried to establish the
> League of Nations after World War 1. They succeeded in creating the United

> Nations after World War II.
>
> He stated that in the third of these wars "we [the Illuminati] shall unleash
> the Nihilists and Atheists, and we shall PROVOKE a formidable social
> cataclyism which in all its horror will show CLEARLY to the nations the
> effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the most bloody turmoil.
> Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend themselves against the
> world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of
> civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose
> deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass [direction],
> anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration,
> will receive the PURE LIGHT through the universal manifestation of the pure
> doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a manifestation which
> will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the
> DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, BOTH CONQUERED AND EXTERMINATED AT
> THE SAME TIME."
>
> Could the Illuminati take exception to the blistering words of Senator Jesse
> Helms of North Carolina and decide to quicken the pace for establishing
> World Government and engulfing the United States in it? President Bill
> Clinton was hand selected for his job by the Illuminati! Derek Shearer,
> Rhodes Scholar trained to help implement world government, said that a Far
> Leftist [Communist] would be elected president during the '90s. Clinton has
> been implementing ALL of their program to complete World Government. 1) He
> FORCED homosexuals upon the military to demoralize it; 2) He is implementing
> the third phase of State Department Publication #7277 to disarm America. He
> has now closed 97 bases and downsized the military; 3) He has made the U.S.
> a sitting duck for a surprise Russian/Chinese nuclear attack just like
> Manuilsky drew up the plans at the Lenin War School in Moscow; 4) Clinton
> drafted Presidential Decision Directives #13, 25, and 60 to facilitate the
> TURNOVER of ALL of our military equipment and men to the United Nations; 5)
> Clinton is running the country like a dictator by writing Executive Orders
> illegally. 6) Any law that would further Socialize America and Congress
> would NOT pass, he by-passes them with Executive Orders; 7) He has buried in
> lengthy legislation laws that will CREATE a Big Brother society of TOTAL
> surveillance. The U.N. may speed up their plans if they believe Senator
> Helms that Americans are catching on!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> David J. Smith
>
>
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>

4473. Re: AES deception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14958-38A8A005-88@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119261

Sometimes my local grocery flier will show a 20 oz. box of Captain Crunch for $2.29, when they really mean a 15 oz. box.

Does this mean my grocery is also part of this AES conspiracy???

4474. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <38A8D096.D5FF828A@INVALID.com>
Source record: 119262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>

Badges?!?! You don need no stiiiiinkin' Badges.

;)

4475. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Warren <wdwarren@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <88a8fp$kf9$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Goodbye!!!!
"Keith Wood" <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message
news:38A8A008.7062169C@bctv.com...
>
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
> > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > 73 de KB7ADL
>
> Brains are a better thing for hams to have than guts.
>
> Thanks for leaving. I wouldn't trust you as a VE.

4476. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stewart Teaze <stewart.teaze@gat.com>
Message-ID: <38A8A8EE.20C2F0CE@gat.com>
Source record: 119264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.

Hey, Vince; do you have any radio equipment that you would like to sell me?

> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

No, sorry -- I'll be enjoying my new Extra priviliges in 60 days.

Oh, by the way, why are you getting out of ham radio? It is an enjoyable
hobby where we get to meet new people and learn new things.

Stewart Teaze
N0MHS - Tech Plus

4477. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bigdad <BigdadNOSPAM@rworld.com>
Message-ID: <38A8CACF.AF6F8188@rworld.com>
Source record: 119265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too bad you cant be forward thinking like some of the others ive seen...the hobby of ham radio is DYING pure and simple...the enticement of the no code license for techs did not pull in people by the droves it was expected to. However now with upper class licenses easier to get and the ability to talk all over the world hopefully that will draw em in. Heck even the military had enough forsight to eliminate CW from its operations. Cw has its place for sure and i fault no one for their opinions on it but its past the time when high code proficiency should be a factor for obtaining a higher class license.

4478. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge. **STUPID**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ham <H@a.m>
Message-ID: <38A8C422.A6C50198@a.m>
Source record: 119266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, I think it's more: **** "Anybody stupid enough to join me?"****

If you don't like what's happened, why not work within the system to make sure the standards are upheld and what better way than by continuing to serve as a VE?

Besides the multiple-guess exams that have been the norm for so long are a joke anyway. New exams might actually be better exams but anything that's multiple-guess is a joke!

And you can't accuse me of being a newly licensed individual who is anti-CW. Yes, I must admit I went two weekends ago and passed element 4B with one wrong so I can turn it in after April 14 to upgrade to Extra. However I could, just after I upgraded from Novice, copy 25 wpm but needed a typewriter to be able to get a minute or two down on paper with no errors. I never really enjoyed CW that much but did operate 40 and 15 meters as a Novice which is a good way to build one's speed. By the time Extra existed I had been not using CW for so long that my speed stuck around 10 wpm and goes up to 15 wpm when I use CW for a few hours a day for a week. I upgraded to Advanced many years ago before the exam was a "fill in the blanks" exam.

I was licensed nearly 10 years BEFORE YOU WERE EVEN BORN! And some think it's us "old f*%#s who are against recognizing that times change and we have to adapt to them or the world will pass us by. I guess YOU are the one being passed by and you're no older than my daughter!

Get with the times and live in the real world rather than what you wish the world would be!

And yes, I choose NOT to post my identity. LIVE WITH IT - I get tired of flames not posted for the whole world to see and SPAM.

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

4479. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Martin D. or Tunisia G. WADE" <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <38A8C15C.57B3@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 119267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
>
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL
Bye.
Plenty more VEs, no problems.

4480. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Shannon P. McGauley" <mcgauley@onramp.net>
Message-ID: <38A8C7FD.5D69BEF7@onramp.net>
Source record: 119268
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcgauley.vcf

I agree I passed the 20wpm myself, but was to busy to take the written. I
know if someone wanted they could pass the 20wpm.

Steve Cuilhe wrote:

> Hiram Percy Maxim wrote:
>
> > Top 10 List.
> >
> > 1.) Let it expire.
> >
> > 2.) Give it to a retard, they're gonna your spectrum anyway.
> >
> > 3.) Send it to the FCC & tell them to shove it.
> >
> > 4.) Get VE accredited and help license CBers.
> >
> > 5.) Send it to the ARRL & tell them "Thanks for Nothing"
> >
> > 6.) Listen to retards talking in your spectrum.
> >
> > 7.) Modify CB's for your new ham friends & test their echo Mics.
> >
> > 8.) Brush up on your 10-codes.
> >
> > 9.) Teach new hams how to make a dipole and turn on a soldering gun.
> >
> > 10.) Place it in the toilet Bowl... then flush, a CBer will find it.
> >
> > Hiram Percy Maxim ex. w1aw
>
> Morse code is just another mode, one that I am very fond of.. The code
> requirement
> should have been left in place simply as a way to ensure that someone who
> achieved
> extra class as least had to work a little bit.. The current "technical"
> exams are so silly,
> that anyone who has any kind of a memory at all can pass with no problem.
>
> The FCC (and ARRL) has just helped ensure the demise of amateur radio..
>
> More numbers does not mean a better service!

>
> Just my thoughts.
>
> Steve Cuilhe'
> WA6GSD
> Extra Class since 1976 and proud of it!

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

4481. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tate Jackson <tatejackson@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88ahq1cua1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

To Bad. You probably have a lot of technical knowledge to share. If you aren't going to be a VE, at least stay in the hobby and teach those of us who wants to learn.

73's

Tate
N3BXZ

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

4482. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <B44q4.381$032.955@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 119270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I seriously doubt he and others like him ever shared anything in his life
except anger for
just about everyone and everything. Doubt there is much technical ability
at all. He spent
too much time being angry to learn anything.

Tate Jackson wrote in message <88ahq1cua1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>To Bad. You probably have a lot of technical knowledge to share. If you
>aren't going to be a VE, at least stay in the hobby and teach those of us
>who wants to learn.
>
>73's
>
>Tate
>N3BXZ
>
>Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
>news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
>> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>> turning in my Badge.
>>
>> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>>
>> 73 de KB7ADL
>
>

4483. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <514q4.380$032.1006@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 119271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why wait, turn it in now and do all of us a favor. Turn in your license while you are at it. Then burn yourself at the stake and you will be the complete marter. Just don't expect anyone to feel sorry for you. If you need
matches let me know and I will get some on the way to you.

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote in message ...
>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>turning in my Badge.
>
>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
>73 de KB7ADL

4484. ICOM IC-W32A Cross Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Spoecker <ke6nij@thegrid.net>
Message-ID: <UB5q4.4260$84.474836@alfalfa.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119272

I have just purchased an ICOM IC-W32A dual band HT and am trying to figure out if it can be used as a cross band repeater.

All that the instruction manual says on page 18 is...

Crossband full duplex operation
The crossband full duplex function can be turned ON or OFF in initial set mode. When the function is OFF, the sub band audio is muted during transmission.

What does that mean in other (more) words?

KE6NIJ Robert

4485. Re: Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <887o1o$lg0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119308

In article <886u55$764$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
<randas@mindspring.com> wrote:
> You might be right about the conversation at 5wpm...but it doesn't
take long
> for a good cw operator to know he's listening to a keyboard.
>
> de Tim K0FL
>

And your point would be what, Tim? Your days of gloating are
finished. Better learn to type faster. It is now obvious that a
typing speed test is more relevant to HF operations in today's world
than is Morse code speed test.

HA! You, my friend, are a dinosaur.

S Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4486. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf2ti1126@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <887rus$o3u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38A6E715.576FC2C4@bctv.com>,
kw@bctv.com wrote:
>
>
> "Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
>
> > I am missing something.
>
> I don't know. You seem to be missing everything. Instead, I think
that
> you are just trying to agitate, so have a nice life.

Nope, just that on occasion, my ISP skips some stuff. I've been filled
in. Seems like you are confusing the USENET with on the air rules and
regs.

If you feel so strongly, complain to Riley Hollingsworth. He'll be
appearing, courtesy of the SplitRock ARC at the Morris County (NJ) Fire
and Police Academy at 7:30 pm on Thursday 2/17. I am sure he'll be
amused that someone is using W1AW here on the Internet. I can't say
he'll get very excited about it, unless of course someone uses W1AW on
the air. But then again, 6 days a week, the callsign W1AW is heard on
the air, bewteen 10am and 12noon and then 1pm to 3:45pm. All with
guest ops, using some really great stuff to play with.

Thanks for the kind words, except I usually have a GREAT life.

Thanks for playing, try again soon

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4487. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al <alprice@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <887sie$oh0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> This stuff is not helping anyone. Why do you
> think the amateur ranks are dwindling? When is
> the last time you actually welcomed someone into
> a club? Of course, if you keep enough people out
> of amateur radio, you have more spectrum left to
> yourself. Which is it we want?

One must realize that the commercial dwellers are
waiting to grab whatever bandwith they can get their
grubby little fingers on. If they can show an argument
the bands are not being used guess who will get them.

73

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4488. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8880ms$r7c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119311

In article <8871khbfo1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> >> Because this isn't radio. Speaking of which, when you get licensed,
> >> how do you intend to fulfill S97.1? It is the "fundamental purpose"
> >> (FCC's words) the ARS, you know.
>
> >Software - you silly old fool.
>
> This is the Amateur RADIO Service; does your software emit RF?

Boy, you really are out of it, aren't you.

> >>>It WAS about MORSE CODE, you pig-headed buffoon! That was what we
> >>>were trying to tell you guys all along! Jeemineee H!
>
> >> Why do you folks get so emotional over this issue?
>
> >Because of stubborn pig-heads like LRod, and silly-old-fools like
you!
>
> "Silly old fool?" I've broken three state swimming records. You can't
> compete against me on here or in the water.

What do Morse, or swimming have to do with the price of tea in China?

But, don't tell me, your swimming records were in some old, archiac
stroke that no one uses anymore... Hmmm, let me guess, Breast stroke?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4489. PLEASE..NOT ANOTHER NEWSLINE..!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: hooboys@qst.net
Message-ID: <38a747bd.21499359@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119312

```
Yawn..Another 'SNOOZELINE' posting from boring Pasternak Productions..
I'll take the rainreport anytime......
-------------------------------------------------------
```

4490. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <38A750BE.C3853F4F@home.com>
Source record: 119313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
> identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
> a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.

The FCC does not have any jurisdiction over NG's. You have had that tech
license long enough, its time to hit the books.

Kind regards Mike Burch K8MB

4491. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bobby Atkinson <bobbys@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bf7686$e2f58660$0100a8c0@ZTU>
Source record: 119314

If you refrain from calling other people names
more people may want to communicate with you.
Just a theory. 73 K4BGA

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote in article <885ivf$8c9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> In article <885hd3$7ii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> davidj22@my-deja.com wrote:
> > I was just wondering if anyone out there uses 900 MHz? If so what
> modes?
> > I keep hearing talk about if we don't use a band it will be taken
> away,
> > but what about 900 MHz? From what I hear no one uses it but we still
> > have it.
>
> Some TAPR/GRAPES folks were playing around with 56kbps packet on these
> freqs about 5-8 years ago, using surplus low-power Gunplexors(I looked
> into getting them). That would be pretty dull right now though. I got
> out of ham radio 5 years ago, because most hams were a bunch of pig-
> heads that could only think about their "beloved" Morse code. Without
> someone to experiment with locally(digital low power on 900mhz is
> obviously a very local proposition), it would have been pretty lonely.
> I'm going to try to put back up my 439.025Mhz 9600 baud stuff to see if
> anything has changed out here in San Diego and Riverside Counties - I
> really doubt if it has. Then when I get my General in April(hooray!),
> I'm gonna look at using digital on HF. At least I'll be able to get
> out of my own backyard. I'm sure there is software-related
> experimental work going on there(at least the SHOULD be), to increase
> speed, and do error-correction and stuff. I hope the PIG-HEADS haven't
> ruined everything, and it isn't too late to meet up with anyone else.
>
> Stewart Teaze
> N0MHS
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

4492. VX1R Discriminator Output`

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Annick <annick_100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <azIp4.32628$vl6.845900@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 119315

Hiya...

I'm looking for someone who knows the discriminator output for the Yaesu
VX1R. Please email me directly. Many Thanks!

annick_100@hotmail.com

4493. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A75669.5793625C@bctv.com>
Source record: 119316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Burch wrote:
>
> >
> > Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
> > identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
> > a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
>
> The FCC does not have any jurisdiction over NG's.

Actually, callsigns are a means of registering licenses issued by the FCC for FCC purposes, and IN THEORY there is no legal right to use them for anything else, even when the license has been issued to you.

In addition, there are laws against identifying yourself using a government-issue identification code which has not been assigned to you, and they don't except such things as ham callsigns. There are also laws prohibiting a person from claiming to have a license which isn't theirs.

Now, I don't expect that the FCC cares one way or another, but the legitimate holder of a radio license is permitted to take civil action against someone who uses their callsign without authorization.

>You have had that tech license long enough, its time to hit the books.

Agreed. General in April, probably Extra this summer sometime.

4494. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dineh66@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <888mn8$9uc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> This website will give even the casual reader an education into the
> esoteric world of Right Wing Extremist Groups operating in the USA
> and of recent on 3.950. (Did you know that the "Liberty Net"
> derives it's name from the Liberty Lobby? Publishers of the
> extremist newsletter "The Spotlight" ?

Does the site give us an education on the left-wing radical,
commie, pinko, extremist groups??

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4495. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: crouchingllama@netscape.net
Message-ID: <38a7595b.207279682@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

(DISCLAIMER: This is not necessarily directed at Mike Burch.)

Why is it such a requirement to "hit the books"?

The amount of spectrum and areas for experimentation that his current priveleges allow is extremely vast. MAYBE he's content and challenged right where he IS.

I know this is a hugely unpopular mindset to have (especially now, with the restructuring event); however, many, as in a lot, as in a considerable portion of HAMs are NOT drawn to antique (albeit effective) communication such as Morse code. SO BE IT.

High tech happens to be in the higher end of the spectrum. Granted there is high tech in HF, BUT NOT NEARLY AS MUCH ROOM TO EXPERIMENT!

I, for one, flat out REFUSE to spend time on CW because there is so much more to learn about that is more attractive to me. (that's the cue for all the closed-minded, "be just like me" types who just can't exist knowing that another human doesn't think and act JUST LIKE THEM, to belch forth with a dizzying barrage of futile, misguided hatred.)

It's amazing. Anyone in a newsgroup writes anything even remotely indifferent about CW, and they are hit with seemingly endless projectile vomiting by others, and some of those others spell and communicate like some retarded, six-year old bastard street kid.

"Hit the books?" Yep, I hit the books...damn near every night. But not on CW or Extra class theory. My books are on operating systems like UNIX or NT(which is a sad attempt at an OS), transmission and network protocols, fiber optics, programming languages like C, Tcl/Tk, UNIX shell.

CW to me (and there's a whole bunch of other HAMs who will fit this description) is a NOVELTY. Like restoring an old radio. Nothing to be looked DOWN on...rather it should be APPRECIATED. BUT, it is a diversion from current and emerging technologies that just so happen to cath my interest FIRST.

As with many things, inflexibility can lead to extinction. HAM radio is a technical hobby. Technology is moving forward in an exponential manner. This technology WILL AFFECT HAM radio, and the EFFECT just might be a portion of HAMs that don't use CW. If being a HAM means mandatory CW, then the ARS will be as quaint and feeble as a

national TRS-80 users group before long.

On Mon, 14 Feb 2000 00:48:03 GMT, Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
wrote:

>>
>> Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
>> identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
>> a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
>
> The FCC does not have any jurisdiction over NG's. You have had that tech
>license long enough, its time to hit the books.
>
> Kind regards Mike Burch K8MB
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4496. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A76F95.90900F71@bctv.com>
Source record: 119319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

IrB wrote:
>
> Do you want to learn exactly what the people on 3.950 espouse
> and believe in?

I'm more worried by the collectivist left-wing neo-Socialist politics of
the Southern Poverty Law Center.

4497. Re: Ham newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002140305070.5416-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119320

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, Mark and Annette wrote:
> I am interested in becoming a member of the Ham radio community.... Someone
> please tell me where to start... what to look for... and any advice they
> may have.
>
> please send replies to my email address listed below

Get a license first. See the reply to your message on another newsgroup.

4498. HF will not become CB with extra lites and general lites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <887s4nhdv1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119321

I listened to and have operated in the 10 meter novice subband, where people of 5WPM licenses are allowed. Unless I'm missing some subtle errors, the operators there seem as capable of proper ham operation as everyone else.

So I predict no disaster will happen to all of the HF ham bands come April 15th, when all of us extra lites and general lites get on the air. It will not turn into CB.

4499. Re: HF will not become CB with extra lites and general lites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: k3eko@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20000213223853.03804.00000206@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 119322

I concur!!!

73's de Frank K3EKO

4500. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: skinny lyn <lloyd-l-1@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FYKp4.9337$UP1.213589@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

yes whats the operation time of this station. thanks
IrB wrote in message ...
>Do you want to learn exactly what the people on 3.950 espouse
>and believe in?
>
>Would you like a study into the strange, paranoid and bizzare
>world of Militias, the so-called Patriot movement, KKK'ers, Tax
>Protesters, Followers of folks like the late Gordon Kahl, Possee
>Commatus Movement, "Fully-Informed-Jury", Republic of Texas
>Movement, David Duke followers, "Redemption" scams etc...
>
>Click here---> www.militia-watchdog.org/
>
>This website will give even the casual reader an education into the
>esoteric world of Right Wing Extremist Groups operating in the USA
>and of recent on 3.950. (Did you know that the "Liberty Net"
>derives it's name from the Liberty Lobby? Publishers of the
>extremist newsletter "The Spotlight" ?
>
>Read up on the above website - these type of people now have a toe-hold
>into Ham Radio.
>
>
>

4501. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <887uhprcp1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38A71476.C0F3FFA1@icss.net>, Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>>>"Steve - KF2TI (Landing, NJ)" wrote:

>>>> BTW, what was unlawful about the use of a callsign?

>>>Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
>>>identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
>>>a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.
^^^^^^^^^^^^

>> Oh, Keith! You walked into that one with your eyes closed! 47CRF97
>> does not apply to the Internet. Heck, you could sign your own posts
>> as "W1AW," if you wished.

>So if he sent in a change of address or request for a new call, signing as
>kh6o, that would not be illegal?

What would make his actions illegal is that he'd have to forge my
name/signature and my tax-payer identification number (TIN). I
believe the government frowns upon that; he'd probably lose his
own license just for making the attempt, not to mention whatever
the feds do to people who forge government documents. I'd also have
a go at filing a civil lawsuit for "pain and suffering." (Don't you
just hate those ridiculously huge monetary amounts that courts award
defendants?)

More importantly, that someone would attempt such a act (or even suggest
it) just because he doesn't like the facts I present on here, leads me
to believe that he is a danger to the community.

73, Jeff KH6O

4502. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <887v9e$s88$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119325

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> I got
> out of ham radio 5 years ago, because most hams were a bunch of pig-
> heads that could only think about their "beloved" Morse code.

There is a huge world of operators on the air, some of whom surely
have many things in common with you, no matter what your interests.
For example, I can call "CQ Chess" on 20m and immediately have several
ops answer, desiring to play a game. Look on the air for companionship
if you can't find any locally.

73, Jeff KH6O

4503. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38a75e17.13809624@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 12 Feb 2000 03:47:51 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <38a18e12.12621814@news.interactive.net>,
> billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 03:40:07 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
>> wrote:
>Bill the difference between you and I can be seen in our discussions.
>Neither of us might be seen as the Average Joe Ham

Why not?

>BUT IMHO I believe
>that Ham Radio's Basis and Purpose meant more to me than it did or does
>to you.

ONE part of it takes more importance in your opinion.

>That is because I started in the hobby as a poor kid and
>became a ham, a technician, a U.S. Army field radio repairman, a field
>service engineer, and a degreed design engineer... in that order.

So what? I obtained an AAS degree, went in the Navy
as a technician, and became a telephone company
central office technician...and then I finished a BA
degree which I did over a 5 year period at night.

>While Ham Radio itself is but a non-profit hobby...what one learns by
>being a Ham may be a stepping stone to a career in electronics....

Worked that way for me.

>NOT
>for every Ham but maybe for the kids who become Hams even when their
>parents and teachers try to discourage them from what they believe to
>be an expensive hobby.

I have never met anyone that so discouraged any youth
from becoming a ham.

>To prove that it is not just an expensive hobby
>for the rich kids some innovative kids have built their low power
>stations from surplus or from scratch.

I worked all one summer to be able to buy my rig
as a Novice. No one handed it to me on a silver
platter.

>Some have been able to network
>with Hams who have helped them find appropriate employment or
>encouraged them to continue their technical education. It all could
>have started from being a Ham...and that is what makes the goals of
>Part 97 obtainable...of value to the Hams....and of value ultimately to
>our nation if it produces constructive, productive individuals.

Agreed. So where do we differ?

>We
>hear a lot of politicians talking about "family values" these days BUT
>their are IMHO also work ethic values...and IMHO the goals of Ham Radio
>are indeed technical working values for the Hams who use their hobby in
>a productive way.

More words than anything of substance. Those of
us that are hams today must be the example. FCC
rules won't and don't do anything but set the playing field.

>> >In article <389e05d1.3337516@news.interactive.net>,
>> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> >> On Sun, 06 Feb 2000 21:18:39 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >In article <3899996a.4299722@news.interactive.net>,
>> >> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now
>> >than
>> >> >> >they did in the 1950s.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> For what purpose?
>> >>
>> >To be capable of doing what they did in the 1950s with the same kind
>> >of comprehension.
>>
>> And what is/was that? Sorry, the general type
>> of answer does not tell anyone what that is or was.
>
>We spoke about it here. Most Hams built their own transmitters in the
>early 50s and before that. Today many Ham think that building anything
>from scratch would be near impossible.

It depends on what you want the finished product
to accomplish. The bottom line is that you can't
go home again to the 50s. Technical mprovements,
advances, etc have forclosed MOST home
brewing of major elements (e.g. recievers and or
transmitters).

>> >> >The PURPOSE is to comply with the Basis and purpose of 97.1
>> >>
>> >> I must disagree. I had only a tech for 30 years and

>> >> that in no way hindered me from working and supporting
>> >> the basis and purpose of 97.1.
>> >
>> >Speak for yourself Bill.
>>
>> I did speak for myself. You, on the otherhand
>> apparently wish to speak for everyone else. The point
>> is that one does NOT need any license to work in support
>> of the basis and purpose of 97.1.
>
>I do not wish to speak for everyone else BUT I want to say that as a
>group Hams must have a basis and purpose and they do have a written set
>of basis and purpose goals...that I admit we can not expect all to
>meet as individuals...HOWEVER some must be encouraged to progress to
>the limits of the state of the present art and to be so certified if
>they wish to prove that even some Hams are ready to satisfy their group
>Basis and Purpose.

And those that do progress to the limits of the state of the present
art are NOT going to do so on their own. They will have
embarked on an academic and/or career path to that end.
You comment as if no one at all is doing or progressing
beyond the license knowledge. That is simply not true.

>> >> What asset does any ham bring to academia?
>
>Hams show their ability in electronics labs where they often are better
>than the teachers and sometimes better than the professors who wrote
>the lab manuals. In some courses Ham experience shows up and is
>recognized. Such courses as Networks, Electronics, and Communication
>Theory are courses that Hams can excell in. Non-Hams are not even
>familiar with a lot of the terminology that practical Hams can
>comprehend.

You only identify why some hams, as students, might do better
than others. That's no real asset to academia.

>> >The ability to get college credit for courses and labs that they are
>> >more than qualified for.
>
>In some colleges advanced Hams do get credit for the beginning
>electronics labs and some beginning technical courses. They may use
>their Ham and industrial experiences to prepare portfolios that can
>prove their applicable work experience.

Any college can give advanced credit for knowledge
that is shown or previously learned. People take
preplacement/advanced placement tests all the time.

>> Examples please. What specific course(s).
>See above.
>> Why couldn't the person apply to take an advanced

>> placement test to get the credits? Colleges don't
>> just hand out course credits that easily without
>> some verivication.
>Some do...but in some cases portfolios are required before one can get
>the privilage of taking an placement exam. In some cases the portfolio
>along with an oral exam is all that is required.

So what constitues a ham portfolio...and what
has changed with the R&O?

>> >> To industry?
>
>I became a factory television troubleshooter without ever going to a
>technical school. I advanced to become a test equipment constructor
>and then to be a government lab engineering technician.

How long ago was that?

>> >The ability to get the oportunity to get hired as technicians at a
>> >level that recognizes their ham experience.
>>
>> Your expectations are based on the technology of
>> 50 years ago in comparison to what industry would
>> and does require today. No comparison and additional
>> testing of radio subjects isn't going to help. Indeed,
>> just what type of companies might you think woould
>> be so inclined to hire hams (assuming you had a
>> "more improved" technical capability license?
>
>Around in circles you go...That is why I believe that present day hams
>need more license classes and incentives to learn more modern
>technology.

No circles at all...the facts are that the technology a
technician needs to know today FAR exceeds
what any ham license might call for in terms of knowledge.

>Companies hire people who can do the jobs that they need
>to have done.

Yes, and the days of component level technicians
is history in all but very limited applications of electronics
to both consumer and buisness products.

>The economics of employment is somewhat off-topic here
>but it is a shame when companies have to hire foreign technicians
>because they are unable to fill their needs with American born
>technicians.

What are the technical skills of those foreign
technicians? Did they gain their skill and
knowledge as hams?

>There are certain levels of employees needed who are at
>intermediate levels. By that I mean the levels that exist between
>unskilled workers and degreed engineers...The jobs that are often
>filled by "super-techs" who can get things done independently. Often
>the type of people who fill such jobs are Hams or people who have
>learned from "do-it-yourself" technical hobbies.

Not these days.

>> >> To the military?
>Yep...To the military. As I said before I completed a six month
>military course at The South Eastern Signal School, Camp gordon, GA by
>taking phase tests, in three weeks. Most of the stuff on the exams was
>the same as was found on ham tests...with the exception that I spent a
>few days learning about RTTY from another Ham so that I could pass the
>test on RTTY.

And the same thing can happen today. Are you
saying it never happens anymore? Consider too
that new entrants into the military are not from the
ranks of individuals who are any older than
20 or 21 years of age.

>> >The ability to pass through military technical schools with advanced
>> >placement due to their prior experience and training thus saving the
>> >government costs in providing such training.
>>
>> Assuming one had the "smarts" those smarts would
>> advance the person anyway. A license is not
>> sufficient proof.
>
>Yeah but my point is that I got the "smarts" by being a Ham!
>If it wasn't for Ham radio I would never have had the incentive to the
>self-study and the practical construction experience. The license was
>indeed an important part of the proof that I was not just interested
>but knowledgeable.

The proof to who? Did the license get you the
advancement or did YOUR OWN knowledge?

>> >I did all of the above. I am not unique. Many other hams also did
>> >such things.
>>
>> When did you do them?
>
>All through my life. As I advanced I continued to read the technical
>literature that often applied to both my job and to Ham Radio...right
>up to spread spectrum techniques, microwave technology, control
>systems, microprocessors, etc.

You, like many hams and non-hams, continued a path
of self learning. You didn't need amateur radio
centive licensing to do that. More to the point, how long

ago did you get that advanced military placement, etc?

>> More to the point, the "radio" aspects of being a
>> technician today are more complex than years
>> past AND the radio aspect is but a small piece part
>> of being a technical person. Ham licenses don't
>> address all those other aspects digital, etc.) that
>> are a considerable part of today's consumer and
>> industrial electronics.
>
>THAT IS WHY WE NEED MORE LICENSE CLASSES and more incentives. BUT the
>basic principals of physics, electricity and electronics hold for all
>analog and digital techniques..and we learn static logic before we get
>into dynamic sequential programmed control. It is all one long string
>of knowledge that builds on what you learn at each step. Too many
>schools skip steps these days and the so called experts often do not
>understand what they are trying to do. A true "expert" can be a
>specialist but he or she must comprehend both hardware and software.
>Understanding radio electronics is a good starting point.

And it won't get you to first base in digital
transport systems technology.

>One thing that comes to mind is the FCC permission to use different new
>protocols. There are other related technical decisions that must not
>in my opinion be made by Ham politicians or by manufacturers opf Ham
>gear; They must be made by Hams who have proven that they are
>qualified to be technical leaders.

Using different protocols should NOT be limited by
license class. To do so would make no
technical sense at all.

>> We don't need laws/regulations where we have no need
>> established. Government governs best when it
>> governs least.
>
>That is your opinion. The government must in some cases be alert to
>potentially dangerous conditions....but unfortunately the government
>does not always use people who know that they are doing...like in Waco
>and with Ramsey...sometimes the government goes too far without using
>common sense.

So what "potentially dangerous condition" is the FCC
ignoring in the announced restructuring?

>> If what you say is true then the proposed ARRL
>> "certification" program will meet the need of those
>> hams that desire such certification. The government
>> should stay the hell out of such areas...EXCEPT
>> where absolute safety issues are identified...as
>> in the aviation industry.

>
>I disagree. All communication may require measures that can be
>important safety measures...We see it even in this Information Age
>when "Hackers" can disrupt commercial internet enterprises and security
>becomes a problem. Naturally federal agencies must investigate and
>Janet Reno must preside.

So are you now suggesting internet licensing?
You have NOT offered even ONE absolute safety
issue to justify additional ham licening.

>> >> > Surely there must be incentive for some hams to earn
>> >> >higher level licenses or the group will have no proof that they
>> >> >are any more than CMBers (citizen's multi-banders).
>> >>
>> >> What PROOF is needed?
>> >> Who needs the proof?
>
>See above and parents and teachers need proof to be mortivated to
>encourage their kids and students to spend time becoming Hams and
>building stuff rather than just being computer users.

The proof is NOT the license, but what any
one individual does. Your argument is seriously
flawed.

>> >If you don't know who needs proof you haven't been paying attention.
>>
>> I have been reading, but I believe you are living
>> in the best as to your expectations of what a
>> competent technician today is.
>
>Nope I have worked with and taught competent technicians up until very
>recently, when I retired.

And just what preliminary knowledge have those
techs brought with them before you had them
under your instruction?

>> >> >> If you think that Part 97.1 requires EVERY ham to
>> >> >> become technical, well we should just obviously
>> >> >> agree to disagree.
>> >> >
>> >> >Not every Ham but some Hams.. and thus not every Ham, but some
>> >> >Hams, need qualify at highest technical levels.
>> >>
>> >> Agreed and that has been the case since ham radio
>> >> started and is still the case today.
>> >
>> >...Except there is no way to formally prove higher level technical
>> >qualifications.
>

>There thus needs to be higher level FCC exams.

WHY? There haven't been for 50 years. What
do you think has changed? What experiance/knowledge
equivalency would you expect any higher license to
measure up to?

>> >I don't sell my rigs. If I give them away I make sure that I give
>> >them to licensed Hams. Wouldn't you???
>>
>> I will sell to anyone that gives me the $$$. That is no
>> different than when I buy. No one has ever asked me
>> if I was a ham before selling me equipment. We don't
>> need more feel-good laws that those that operate outside
>> the law will ignore anyway...while placing unnecessary burdens
>> and limitations on the law abiding hams.
>
>Well I certainly can not agree with you. What is the purpose of their
>being licensed Hams if anyone can buy rice-boxes and bootleg?

That has ALWAYS been a possibility. I ordered my
Kenwood rice-box by mail order...NO license
was needed.

>> >> If I sell at a hamfest, I sure don't need the cops or
>> >> the FCC overlooking my transactions to be sure
>> >> I only sell to a ham. Such a law would, I repeat,
>> >> only make work and trouble for hams who are
>> >> and do obey the law today.
>> >
>> >Well ...If they obey the law today and there are more laws added;
>they
>> >can obey the law tomorrow...besides people aren't supposed to become
>> >hams primarily to make profit from selling ham gear.
>>
>> I repeat...we don't need MORE laws UNLESS there is
>> both a well documented PROBLEM...and a high
>> degree of certainty that the proposed NEW law will
>> solve that problem.
>
>Look at the dumb law that prevented the sale of liniar RF power
>amplifiers that could tune to eleven meters! That was dumb and could
>have been solved by preventing such units (that could tune to ten
>meters) from being sold to non-Ham CBers and not by prohibiting coils
>and capascitors that resonate at ten meters on band-switch assemblies.

If you think for one minute that such a law would
have worked...well I own this bridge in
Brooklyn.....

>> But Heath is gone so it makes no difference.
>

>But what about the possibility of future electronics kit
>manufacturers? Are they doomed to be as extinct as the companies that
>made chemistry sets and balsa-strut model airplanes? Are they to
>prevent kids from learning and experimenting because of the risks that
>they believe are involved? This is a case where maybe the government
>goes too far. Maybe such stuff should be made available to serious
>kids who can qualify to use them with the proper precautions.

You comment as if the government has made it illegal
to sell kits. That is simply not true.
As to making kits available to "serious" kids...
are you suggesting they take an interest test first?

>> Neither situation should be acceptable. Ramsey has legal
>> representation as any business would. Individual hams
>> find such legal "expenses" far more economically
>> prohibitive.
>
>Why would a non-ham want to buy a ham transmitter? Why can't he or she
>wait to get a license?

I had my first transmitter BEFORE I passed my Novice test.

>Why would a Ham want to help a non-ham get on-
>the air to bootleg?

YOU are assuming that as soon as someone gets a rig
they will be bootleging. False assumption.

> IMHO any reasonable Ham would and should welcome
>any laws that help keep transmitters out of the hand of the unlicensed.

Score me as being unreasonable then.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4504. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <887vussuu1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Mike Burch wrote:

>>The FCC does not have any jurisdiction over NG's. You have had that tech
>>license long enough, its time to hit the books.

>Really? Does the data flow on a telephone wire?

Provide a reference in the CFR stating that the FCC has jurisdiction
over what's *written* on Usenet. Specifically, point out the paragraph
which states that someone signing their post as, say, "w1aw" has violated
federal law.

Jeff

4505. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <38a787c5.37584946@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 119328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 14 Feb 2000 02:59:33 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:

>
>
>IrB wrote:
>>
>> Do you want to learn exactly what the people on 3.950 espouse
>> and believe in?
>
>I'm more worried by the collectivist left-wing neo-Socialist politics of
>the Southern Poverty Law Center.

yeah you know how dangerous left wingers are....i mean, timothy
mcveigh's commie views are well known...

4506. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <888166$k8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Mike Burch wrote:
>>Keith Wood wrote:

>>> Surely anyone with an Extra-class license knows that the FCC prohibits
>>> identifying yourself by the use of a callsign not your own . . .I'm only
>>> a lowly Tech+ and I've known that since getting my license in 1981.

>> The FCC does not have any jurisdiction over NG's.

>Actually, callsigns are a means of registering licenses issued by the
>FCC for FCC purposes, and IN THEORY there is no legal right to use them
>for anything else, even when the license has been issued to you.

Of course, you can't provide any reference for this THEORY of yours.
If such a THEORY existed,
* states would be prohibited from stamping callsign license plates;
* city/county/state governments would be prohibited from requiring
one's callsign on their RACES application form;
* the ham radio magazines would be prohibited from printing callsigns in
their articles.
* other government agencies, such as the military, would be prohibited
from asking for a member's callsign.

>In addition, there are laws against identifying yourself using a
>government-issue identification code which has not been assigned to you,

I'll have to look up "government-issued identification code" in the
CFR and get back to you.

>legitimate holder of a radio license is permitted to take civil action
>against someone who uses their callsign without authorization.

Ah, now we agree on something!

>>You have had that tech license long enough, its time to hit the books.

>Agreed. General in April, probably Extra this summer sometime.

Why not try for the General sooner than April? I'd be glad to help
you with the code.

Jeff

4507. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A79387.E80A6DDD@bctv.com>
Source record: 119330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>
> On Mon, 14 Feb 2000 02:59:33 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >IrB wrote:
> >>
> >> Do you want to learn exactly what the people on 3.950 espouse
> >> and believe in?
> >
> >I'm more worried by the collectivist left-wing neo-Socialist politics of
> >the Southern Poverty Law Center.
>
> yeah you know how dangerous left wingers are....i mean, timothy
> mcveigh's commie views are well known...

I was thinking more of Ted Kazynski or the NWLF, but YMMV.

4508. Re: HF will not become CB with extra lites and general lites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8893keihe1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119331

In article <20000213223853.03804.00000206@ng-fd1.aol.com>,
k3eko@aol.comnojunk (Frank A Todd K3EKO) wrote:
> I concur!!!
>
> 73's de Frank K3EKO
>
I agree, with reservations. If we, the present inhabitants of HF, take the time and effort to show and teach the new arrivals. Hopefully not too many of them will find certain "trouble spots". And if they do, stay clear of them like Mr. Todd has done.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4509. LQQK----> Equipment 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiodoc37@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000214003823.26431.00003331@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 119332

----> Equipment For Sale <------

Yaesu FTDx560 HF Tube Transceiver 10-80 meters,
plastic still on faceplate, excellent condition
with copy of manual $250.00

Yaesu XF-32A SSB filter for FT101 series, $40.00

Crown IC-150 Stereo preamplifier, Metal cabinet
model with copy of manual $150.00

Midland 79-290, removeable face 10/11 meter AM/SSB/WX
Mobile,dual final design, 5 bands, WX, good condition with
stock mic. $120.00

Commercial VHF Handhelds:
Motorola Radius P50
Kenwood TK240 with drop in charger
Reasonable offer

Homebrew 3 tube 10 meter amplifier, uses 3 military
versions of the 6146, Seperate open chassis PA and
power supply deck. RF sensing, Plate Load, meter, SSB
and AM. 150-200 watts with low drive $150.00

Browning Mark III, AM transmitter and 2 AM/SSB receivers, working.
With 2 non-working parts AM/SSB transmitters and 1 non working
AM/SSB receiver. Complete Package $400.00

Tram D201s, Both have unlocked VFO's, One has lower freqs
with good AM and weak SSB the other has upper freqs with good
AM and SSB. Both in good condition $250.00 Each

See More Equipment for sale with pics at:

http://members.aol.com/radiodoc37/indexdoc.html

Modified components to build your own Rife-Bare
Resonant Frequency Therapy Machine, Modified
ISM transmitter, Modified Class C amplifiers,
and a variety of Plasma Tubes. Built and tested
systems available.
To Learn about Plasma Wave Therapy See My site:

http://www.medicineofthefuture.com

All items shipped UPS ground after reciept
of MO Or cleared Ca. Check.

Thanks
Mike N6KYD (805) 526-5487

4510. pink panty man busted at orlando hamfest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38A7B285.AD95E2B2@netrover.com>
Source record: 119333

pink pantyman busted check website for more details..

http://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows.html

4511. Spectrum 'scope/panorama adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marc Mallett <mmallettcat@mc.net>
Message-ID: <88c2nu$es1$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 119134

I'm intending to add a panorama adapter/spectrum scope to my rig. I realize that both will need modification, no problem there. I want to be able to see activity +/- 200KHz of my receiver's freq.
What's out there and available in new/used gear? Anyone having one of these gems laying around that would like to sell it please post your response here (this is my work addr. and I don't always get my messages if emailed there).
Marc N9KHI

4512. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Radioman@TheBigDial.com
Message-ID: <38a98b11.14350068@news.idt.net>
Source record: 119135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Vince,

Don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out.
You'll rethink your position when you see Ham Radio come
alive again. The sales of rigs will be going up, and when sales
increases manufacturing increase and prices will drop.

Dropping the code is the BEST thing to happen to our
hobby.

Oh yeah, I didn't give up my P.E. license when the slide rule
went the way of the dodo after the HP35 came out. I just take pride
in knowing that I entered the field when number crunching wasn't as
easy as pushing buttons ... AND .... I don't think any less of my
younger peers because they had programable calculators.

Your logic is fuzzy.

monty

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:34:31 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
KB7ADL) wrote:

>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>turning in my Badge.
>
>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
>73 de KB7ADL

4513. Re: Spectrum 'scope/panorama adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38A99A8C.11136EFA@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119136

Marc Mallett wrote:

> I'm intending to add a panorama adapter/spectrum scope to my rig. I realize
> that both will need modification, no problem there. I want to be able to see
> activity +/- 200KHz of my receiver's freq.
> What's out there and available in new/used gear? Anyone having one of
> these gems laying around that would like to sell it please post your
> response here (this is my work addr. and I don't always get my messages if
> emailed there).
> Marc N9KHI

Kewood made a SM-220 and SM-230, See URL:
http://www.firstname.com/kenwood/

I think Heathkit made some -- see URL:
http://www.ac6v.com/pagex.html
for Heathkit links

--
73 From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 565,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- General Ham Radio
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html -- DX Reference Pages

4514. My web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa1cfx <fa1cfx@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <88c8cg$1m5$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 119137

Hello,you can see my web page to this adress:

http://perso.wanadoo.fr/fa1cfx/

thank's for the remarks

73's from FA1CFX / Phil

4515. FS: Old Radio Books, 1937-1954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Cleaves <dcleaves@mitretek.org>
Message-ID: <38A9A6CA.94648251@mitretek.org>
Source record: 119138

I currently have the following old radio-related books for sale. Postage is $2 for the first book, and $1 for each additional item. The books are:

"Reference Data for Radio Engineers" published by the Federal Telephone and Radio Corporation, published in 1949, 3rd edition. The 640+ page hardbound book has green cloth covers, and is in very good condition. Clean with no page tears or pen marks other than a previous owners name inside the front cover. The dust jacket is in poor condition, split along the front spine edge into two pieces, but mostly present. Available for $9.

"How to Fix Your Own TV, Radio, and Record Player; Also Including High Fidelity Equipment and Home Recorders" published by the Popular Science Publishing Co. in 1954. The 186 page hardbound book is in good+ condition, clean with no page tears or pen marks, and a strong binding. The covers show a bit of wear, but not bad at all. Available for $9.

"Radio Physics Course, An Elementary Text Book on Electricity and Radio" by Alfred Ghirardi, published in 1937, 2nd edition. The 972 page hardbound book is in good+ condition. The internals are clean, with no page tears or pen marks other than a previous owners name inside the front cover, and a strong binding. The problem with the book is that the rear cover has some moisture damage and discoloration, which also discolors the rear end pages. Doesn't effect the text at all. Nicely illustrated throughout. Available for $8.

"Applied Practical Radio-Television" by the Technical Staff of the Coyne Electrical, Radio & Television School in Chicago, the 1953 Edition. This is a 5 volume set, all in very good condition. Clean inside and out, with strong bindings. Volume 1 is "Application of Radio and Television Principles" (299 pages); Volume 2 is "Radio, Television, and FM Receivers" (403 pages); Volume 3 is "Radio and Television Circuits" (336 pages); Volume 4 is "Latest Instruments for Servicing Radio-Television" (345 pages); and Volume 5 is "Practical Television Servicing and Trouble Shooting Manual" (406 pages). Nicely illustrated throughout. The 5 volume set is available for $20 (postage is $5 for the 5 books).

Or, all of the above for $40, post paid. If interested in any of the above, please email to "Oldbooks78@aol.com" to reserve. Thanks, and best regards.

Dave

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4516. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: postmaster@127.0.0.1
Message-ID: <38aaabb9.51660370@news.gate.net>
Source record: 119139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:34:31 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
KB7ADL) wrote:

>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>turning in my Badge.

And just how does this concern shortwave listeners in
rec.radio.shortwave?

4517. Important message from France CFRR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: RA-News <he@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <88cb3u$5lv$5@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 119140

This is an important message

from : CFFR Confederation of French Radioamateurs and Radiolisteners

to : All radioamateurs

to get the original file of this message, it is nessecary to connect :

http://www.cfrr.org/cfrr_actuels/cominfo0002.pdf

and for an "smell of it" ....: (perhaps you like to have a look to our page ? it's easy at : http://www.cfrr.org for any information of our confederation / or connect http://www.sldx.net for an taste of the life of an small radioamateur club located in the triangle (and the heart of Europe) of LX,F and DL

COMMUNIQUE SPECIAL D'INFORMATION de la C.F.R.R.

du 5 f�vrier 2000 N° 2000-02

Jugement du Conseil d'Etat. Un fait sans pr�c�dent dans les annales du radioamateurisme fran�ais.
S�ance du 5 janvier 2000 - Lecture du 26 janvier 2000 - Notification du 3 f�vrier 2000
Les requ�rants ont re�u le 4 f�vrier 2000 la d�cision du Conseil d'Etat concernant la r�glementation amateur.

Extrait des conclusions du Conseil d'Etat :

« ..qu'ainsi les dispositions des articles 2, 3, 4 et 13 de la d�cision homologu�e par l'arr�t« attaqu« ont »t«
prise par une autorit« incomp«tente ; que ces dispositions »tant indivisibles des autres articles de la d«cision
97-453 du 17 d«cembre 1997 de l'autorit« de r«gulation des t«l«communications, les requ«rants sont fond«s «
demander l'annulation de l'arr«t« du 14 mai 1998 du secr«taire d'Etat « l' industrie en tant qu'il homologue
cette d«cision. »

DECIDE

Article 1er: : L'arr«t« du 14 mai 1998 du secr«taire d'Etat « l'industrie est annul« en tant qu'il homologue la
d«cision 97-453 du 17 d«cembre 1997 de l'autorit« de r«gulation des t«l«communications fixant les
conditions d'utilisation des installations de radioamateurs et de d«livrance des certificats et des indicatifs

radioamateurs.

L'article 2 : (�num�re les noms et qualit�s des requ�rants.)

Cons�quemment,
"La R�publique mande et ordonne au ministre de l'�conomie des finances et de l'industrie en ce qui le
concerne et tous huissiers ce requis en ce qui concerne les voies de droit commun contre les parties
priv�es de pourvoir l'ex�cution de la pr�sente d�cision."

La CFRR ajoute que pour toute information relative aux cons�quences de droit de la d�cision d'annulation, les
radioamateurs peuvent s'enqu�rir aupr�s de l'administration de tutelle ou de la seule des trois f�d�rations de la
concertation nationale ayant donn� quitus l'ART.

Ce communiqu� est disponible sur le r�seau packet et Internet (http://www.cfrr.org) et libre de diffusion sous
r�serve qu'elle soit int�grale.

Fin du communiqu� n 2000 - 02

Le Pr�sident
Bernard SINEUX / F5LPQ

Conf�d�ration Fran�aise des Radioamateurs et Radio�couteurs

Association but non lucratif, r�gie par la loi 1901

C.F.R.R. - Conf�d�ration Fran�aise des Radioamateurs et Radio�couteurs.
Si�ge social : 26, rue Dagorno
75012 PARIS FRANCE
E-mail : cfrr@cfrr.org - Serveur : http://www.cfrr.org

4518. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: save@radio.org
Message-ID: <sajdu1h92pd73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm with you.

Its refreshing to see someone with principle standing up for what they
believe is right. The Arrl leadership has turned into a bunch of
limp-wristed liberals catering to low-life. If the think these new
"Cracker Jack box licensees" are going to care enough about the hobby to
become members, then their really foolish.

SAVE HAM RADIO!

vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote in
<sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>:

>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>turning in my Badge.
>
>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
>73 de KB7ADL
>

4519. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <38A9B123.11EE@imt.net>
Source record: 119142
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

!0 minutes a day is all it takes,don't you spend that here?

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> "Shannon P. McGauley" wrote:
> >
> > I agree I passed the 20wpm myself, but was to busy to take the written. I
> > know if someone wanted they could pass the 20wpm.
>
> There are a lot of things that people can do if they only want to. The
> question is simply one of time and priorities.
>
> I could have knuckled down and passed off 20WPM, but I don't regret for
> a moment that I instead used that time to be daddy to my kids and to
> learn the professional skills that now pay their child support.
>
> Since my divorce, I have had a lot more time that could be spent
> developing high-speed code skills, but that time has been much better
> spent being friends with Cindy and swapping emails with Allison and
> helping fix Dionne's car so she can herd her 5 kids around and being
> there so that Sarah didn't have to die alone like I will.
>
> Yes, I could have been a high-speed code guru like you. I don't think
> it would have been worth it.

4520. Midget Cone Speaker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry <K6ME@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <cBlq4.4378$84.493206@alfalfa.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119143

What was a NA-ALD Midget Cone Speaker used for?
I have one in the original box with the purchase receipt from
Betta-Tone Radio Co. in San Francisco dated 11-05-1927

Thanks

4521. Re: HELP Please Yaesu FT-747 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <38A9F551.5DBC@rpi.edu>
Source record: 119144

MAG Electronics =>KQ6MU wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> I have a Yaesu FT-747 HF rig. A few days ago, I was talking with this
> guy on 15m. Once we finished our QSO, I left the rig on but turned
> down the volume. But, a couple of hours later, I turned up the volume
> and I noticed that there was something wrong!
>
> I was still on 15 Meters. The audio just did not sound right. I left
> it in USB mode and that was the same mode that was on when I came
> back. The audio now sounds very NARROW on USB. When you switch from
> USB to CW modes, you can hear the audio change from wide on USB to
> narrow on CW. I cannot figure this one out!!! And no, this rig does
> not have IF shift or anything like that.
>
> However, LSB sounds just great! I cannot figure this one out. I am
> about ready to through this away!! j/k hee hee
> I wonder if anyone else has come across a problem such as mine.
>
> I don't know why this would do that. It may be a relay is stuck in the
> ON position to switch the narrow audio filter. And this may only
> effect USB & CW, not LSB. But, this radio does not have the capability
> of narrowing the audio on USB! This radio does have a narrow CW & AM
> filter. But, the filter on CW sounds more narrow than what I am
> experiencing on USB. What I think is happening is: normal SSB
> filtering is ~ 6 kHz wide, but now it seems to be stuck on ~ 2.5 kHz
> wide filtering.
>
> Can anyone help me??? I do not know what to do. I do not want to take
> this to Yaesu, it may cost me $100 or so.
>
> Please E-mail me: radiotek@pacbell.net
>
> Thanks & 73,
>
> Mike KQ6MU

Mike,

I am an owner of a much older Yaesu rig (FTDX-560) with 2.1 khz and 500
hz filters (SSB and CW). Isn't 6 khz a tad wide for SSB?

Curt Breneman
KC2EBP

4522. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdn <dnorris@k7no.com>
Message-ID: <k72kas4r7vomauh4ht36hsqb65kbtmgdkj@4ax.com>
Source record: 119145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

-You forgot to put what you will REALLY do with it...
-
-Not a damn thing. Anyone that would think that Morse
-really had so much value in today's world is so out
-of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
-of anything, really. (Except complain)
-
And the internal combustion engine is crude compared to nuclear power.
What do you run in YOUR car? If you had to start from scratch with
Ace Hardware parts, just which would come first?

Dean Norris, K7NO - email to: dnorris@k7no.com

4523. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Clint \"Rattlehead\"" <rattlehead@computron.net>
Message-ID: <38AA1236.30F7@computron.net.zzz>
Source record: 119146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

exactly my thoughts....
if that's how weak you are and that's yours stance on the issue, it will
be a service to ham radio if you left.

goodbye and goodluck.

4524. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <2Jpq4.483$032.1187@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 119147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Actually his leaving will be a positive for amateur radio. Don't need
knuckle draggers
like him around.

David wrote in message <38A96D89.F05A670B@wt.net>...
> I guess since Vince will be turning in his VE accreditation, I will
>go and get VE accreditation after April 15th when I get my 'Extra".
>There will be some of us that will try to preserve amateur radio.
>Don't feel that the 20 wpm is a show stopper. I do feel that the
>FCC should have set it to at least 10 wpm for Extra but that is
>water under the bridge and now we all need to ge 'along'.
>
>Ham radio is only as good as you make it. Doing what you are doing
>is not helping amateur radio.
>
>
>
>
>David
>
>"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>>
>> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>> turning in my Badge.
>>
>> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>>
>> 73 de KB7ADL

4525. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darrel <Ask@and.you.shall.recieve.com>
Message-ID: <sak9hn772pd46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WOW What a thread!

I have sat here and read every post and am still laughing in my head over some of them. Some of you have come up with some really creative ideas and that is great!!! That is what this NG stuff is all about. I am glad to see it still works. I personally am newly licensed in Amateur Radio, but have been around it for about 15 years. I however am not new to the internet and it is great to see these long threads where although we had some negative ones most of them were positive ideas for Vince.

I have to agree with many of you on the restructuring of the Ham License. Shit happen, times change, deal with it, and move forward in your life sir. You are almost 37 years old and acting like a child. What's next, going to your room and throwing a temper tantrum? I really think you need to reevaluate your priorities in life.

Keep up the great threads.

Darrel
kd7gyw

"Bill" <k7kkg@juno.com> wrote in message
news:38a9e21f.24354085@news.mindspring.com...
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:34:31 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> >I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> >membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> >turning in my Badge.
>
> Then what will you do for extra money when you're not selling ARS
> licenses any more?
>
> ---

> Gun control is the tool of the oppressive tyrant,it
> servers no purpose in public safety, but does allow the
> tyrant to more easily control those whom he would
> make his victims. -Bill (1997)

4526. Re: TS-940S---------- Best Mic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sherins@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5965-38AA26C3-5@storefull-245.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119149

Heil. I like the Heil headset. Don't get the DX model, because it sounds more shrill.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4527. Re: Need information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John S. MacKay" <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <nWqq4.11309$mK.831195@brie.direct.ca>
Source record: 119150

In my opinion, your location will make you an eagerly-sought-after call sign. GOOOOO!!
Eddy Young <young@intnet.mu> wrote in message news:38A94784.30B98975@intnet.mu...
> Hi all,
>
> I am considering taking the examination to become a licensed radio
> amateur. However, I would like to know whether my geographically
> isolated location will not make it a waste of time. FYI, I live in
> Mauritius, Indian Ocean.
>
> Regards,
> Eddy Young
>
>

4528. LEGAL Question: FRS and a 440 HT mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Pake <spake@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <88epui$19m4@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 119151

Okay, is this legal?

I have an FRS radio and a dual band 440 HT. There's a mod available for the HT that will allow it to transmit in the FRS band from 462-467 MHz. FCC regulations do not allow an ERP of more than 500mW, and no external antenna for FRS, so with my modified HT would it be legal to transmit on the FRS band as long as my ERP doesn't exceed 500mW ??? (like if I'm using the 0dB gain ducky antenna...)

Is that legal? Maybe it's a dumb question and maybe it's the whole point of a mod like this in the first place, but I just want to make sure before I do anything.

Thanks,

Steve
N3UCN

4529. Re: 900 MHz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88a9qv$r91$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119184

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Sorry, but it has been JUST THE OPPOSITE. The ONE original
>"non-flame-bait" post I made about how I found my radios in the garage
>got ZERO response. The other flame-bait original posts have drawn HUGE
>RESPONSES.

Regarding your "pig-headed" post, I only counted two; your response to
yourself above is the third.

> And, notice that I was the ONLY one to respond to the original 900MHz
> message? Any discussion of technical merit is verboten here.

Not true; it's just that there are more appropriate NGs for technical
discussions, e.g.:

---
rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-
built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local
restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any topic not covered in the above groups)

Hope that helps!

73, Jeff

4530. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <a82q4.2058$Oy1.31553@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 119185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

See ya... Have a nice life :>)

4531. Re: Question/Answer from new Extra class exam pool...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88aa93$rq6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stewart Teaze <stewart.teaze@gat.com> wrote:

>The answer, of course, is C. Discussions of a technical nature.
>Any discussion related to a technical subject is PROHIBITED
>on the rec.radio.amateur band.

Stewart, your alter ego, horseshoestew, just voiced the exact same
complaint! In case you missed my response to him, here are some
fine discussion forums in the rec.radio.amateur.* hierarchy:

---
rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-
built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local
restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any topic not covered in the above groups)

73, Jeff

4532. Re: Polly's ramshackle farmhouse & Redneck Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88bi46$ae1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119187

In article <88b383pb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Ohara Sensei <ka9dhz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> You Just might be a red neck ham
> If your inverted V
> is the tin roof on your house.
>
> If your hound dog glows in the dark beacuse his stake doubles as a
ground rod
> for your shack
>
> If you think SWR is single white red head
>
> If you call your amplifier
> a lean yaar
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

LOL!!!!!!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4533. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Fairbanks <BILLFAIRBANKS@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01bf77c4$2ef27440$d210460c@default>
Source record: 119188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What's your problem? The Novice written exam is being merged with the Tech exam so now it will actually be harder to get a beginner's ticket" then it was under the old system !! As for the code, if somebody wants to 'go fast' they'll learn it on their own because they like doing it. The 5 wpm code requirement is there because of international treaty obligations and the fact that if everything else breaks you can still communicate with somebody world wide with Morse Code. You don't have to be a speed demon to do that.

Thank you for your service as a VE. What you did was and is important and luckily there will be somebody to take your place.

73 Bill

4534. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dfswlchris@cs.com
Message-ID: <20000214215442.28785.00003490@ng-fw1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 119189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi
snickers Wanna give up your station too while you're at it? I know some guys that would love to get into the ham thing who can build a hell of a radio antenna or even work on a radio and do it right but stumble on the code and if you gonna throw in the towel because they want a chance to learn cw and ssb ops at a modern pace for todays world then by all means leave the equipment too when you give that last test score to whoever takes it .I say that because of this... with the new requirement you are not willing to let people in because they don't conform to your standards so why be a ham when they show up regardless of your views? :) Or do we prefer to be elitist tonight ?
Just a thought
Chris

4535. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2_2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <38a8cc39.15016899@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 119190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince...

Don't let the extinct morse code keyer hit you in the rear on the way
out!

I doubt you'll get much sympathy on this in a scanner group.

These new rules are a breath of fresh air. Now, if we could eliminate
code altogether, I would gladly visit the old keyers in a musem ,
where they belong.

Wilson

>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>turning in my Badge.
>
>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
>73 de KB7ADL

4536. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <j44q4.42797$QN6.81617@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 119191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I must admit that I got really PO'd at the League when they appeared to get behind incentive and refused membership for about 20 years. I discovered they didn't care and I missed out on a pretty decent magazine.

Now to the real problem...I am sure you actually believe that the Code makes us better hams. If that's true then I support your stand. But, I can't help thinking that you are just too set in your ways and refuse to roll with the punches.

Listen Bucky...the stupid Morse is finished and I say good riddance. By the way I was trained in the military and can still send and receive in excess of 35 WPM, I can often be found sneaking around the CW sub bands working really exotic DX... without the usual pileups and DX net BS that you find in SSB.

Haven't you begun to realize that the new crop of low code Hams will have no interest in our CW sub bands and they will leave us to work all the really cool DX without the usual QRM that you find on SSB. Think this thing through and you will realize that the "real hams" (defined as those who know CW) will have exactly what they want...an exclusive window without interference. Think back when hams had calls like 7ADL and you could hear the whirring noise of the rotary spark gap transmitters...nice, big bandwidth, no interference...when real hams made their own equipment. I wonder what those guys would say about your rice box...not a real ham is he?

Now, when we die off and the CW sub bands go into disuse, the FCC will reallocate the CW to something else. Meanwhile, you and I will be trying to communicate with the living by tapping out Morse on the nearest cloud. Gee, I hope my granddaughter can copy CW.

Sam, K2LDK

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

4537. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon <jtec@#cnw.com>
Message-ID: <01bf776a$8d44d380$5b8195cf@alvbynsy>
Source record: 119192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You're all a bunch of rude assholes. The guy had a point to make and all
you can do is make childish remarks.

4538. Re: Question/Answer from new Extra class exam pool...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88bobfend1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <88aa93$rq6$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> Stewart Teaze <stewart.teaze@gat.com> wrote:
>
> >The answer, of course, is C. Discussions of a technical nature.
> >Any discussion related to a technical subject is PROHIBITED
> >on the rec.radio.amateur band.
>
> Stewart, your alter ego, horseshoestew, just voiced the exact same
> complaint! In case you missed my response to him, here are some
> fine discussion forums in the rec.radio.amateur.* hierarchy:

Jeff, you're wasting your time with this guy. He's just an angry young man with a chip on his shoulder. He's incapable of accomplishment so he lashes out at those who are capable. The callbook says he's 40 but he writes like he's 12.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4539. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwilliams2458@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88bofuevj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <38a8cc39.15016899@news.bellatlantic.net>,
dconti2@hotmail.com wrote:
> Vince...
>
> Don't let the extinct morse code keyer hit you in the rear on the way
> out!
>
> I doubt you'll get much sympathy on this in a scanner group.
>
> These new rules are a breath of fresh air. Now, if we could eliminate
> code altogether, I would gladly visit the old keyers in a musem ,
> where they belong.
>
> Wilson
>

It doesnt take "guts" to CUT AND RUN Vince!
Maybe your dodging an investigation.
Seems to me that your volunteer service
would be needed more than before.
Bottom line, if you would regroup, retract
your decision, continue your service, and announce
it on this forum, then sir, that would be "guts" and
you would be respected by many of your critics.
What ever your course, thank you for your
contribution.

> >I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my
League
> >membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system.
I'm
> >turning in my Badge.
> >
> >Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> >73 de KB7ADL
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4540. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000214230902.24980.00003538@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 119195

The peasant revolutionaries were gathered into a mob outside the Tsar's winter palace. "Why do we huddle out here in the cold, with nothing to eat, while THEY warm themselves by the fire, and fill their fat bellies?" they cried. "Its not fair! Its not democratic!" And with that, the mob began to pound on the doors, to break in the windows, and to despoil the palace. The orgy lasted for hours, until the palace was in ruins, looted of its finery, and devoid of its warmth and comfort. "Funny," said one of the mob as he departed, "it looked so grand and inviting, while we were locked outside. But now, looking at it like this, I just wonder why we were ever interested in at all? Now, its no better than out poor peasant hovels in the countryside."

A parable for ham radio.

Kï¿½MB

4541. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002150539300.810-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119196

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000, Keith Wood wrote:
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
> > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > 73 de KB7ADL
>
> Brains are a better thing for hams to have than guts.

Especially since there are alot of hams that have 10x more guts than brains.
Still makes me wonder about the secondary, "secret" derrivation of ham?

ham <=> pig <=> fat people

Now, if the FCC replaced morse code testing with oinking, just how many
amateurs would be squealing about that? ;-)

4542. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002150542370.810-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Feb 2000, Robert Casey wrote:
> In article <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>,
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:
> >I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> >membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> >turning in my Badge.
> >
> >Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> Well, it's 60 days until I cash in my 4A and 4B CSCEs for my extra lite :-)

Same here (except my 4A is part of my existing license), then 2 weeks later, (extra-lite grant being timely returned) process in about 50+ similar advanced+4B applicants (the one's we had in January at the "big" VE session in Los Angeles that made both TWIAR and "Newsline" a week ago).

KB7ADL's worst nightmare: 50+ new extra-lites, just from one session!

4543. Harder for new licensees - was Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002150546520.810-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119198

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000, Bill Fairbanks wrote:
> What's your problem? The Novice written exam is being merged with the Tech
> exam so now it will actually be harder to get a beginner's ticket" then it
> was under the old system !! As for the code, if somebody wants to 'go
> fast' they'll learn it on their own because they like doing it. The 5 wpm
> code requirement is there because of international treaty obligations and
> the fact that if everything else breaks you can still communicate with
> somebody world wide with Morse Code. You don't have to be a speed demon to
> do that....

Yes, I agree. Although most adult applicants go for the no-code license, most children under 14 go for the novice license as their entry path. Some of the current element 3A questions are just too difficult in concept for them (that is, for those who believe in actually learning the material instead of just the Q&A for passing the exam).

4544. Re: NEWSLINE... CRAP....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002150554250.810-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119199

Instead of the blatant smear campaign (such as below), some of us have taken a more open approach: Newsline begged for money to the Los Angeles Area Council of Amateur Radio Clubs last year (read the minutes - they're on the web somewhere). Well, in response, the group inquired about how much. The response came back that it averages $800-$1k per month. OK, - however, the representative of one of the member clubs (Baldwin Hills) noted that a similar "east coast" service, This Week in Amateur Radio, does it for about $100/month. TWIAR has a website (and a couple of shadows too), uses real-audio, and pays for an audio-only satellite carrier for 40 minutes/week. So, where is the difference really going? If it's really going into the news collection activity, it seems like there's a big waste of expense occuring. Otherwise, Bill's pocket seems logical.

Unless Newsline ever decides to disclose publically its accounting, we'll never know the truth, but "Bill's toys" isn't an unreasonable conclusion in the absence of anything to the contrary.

[OK, so it's the same conclusion, but how I got there means that I'm not out to smear him.]

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 hooboys@qst.net wrote:
> I'm glad some of you get it.. Why is NEWSLINE begging for money? Even
> though SNOOZELINE is done at minimal cost?
>
> It's because you must PAY for Pasternak's TOYS!
>
> He now has to pay for his Internet Service, He now has several 'Domain
> Names' and web services to pay for....
>
> He has Real Streaming Audio for Newsline..(Who needs it?)
>
> Available by phone for years....AND by the way, the Minions that
> broadcast Newsline PAY FOR THEIR OWN EQUIPMENT and phone
> service...Hooboy.... How many of you know where most of the
> 'Production' of Newsline takes place? A bunch of phone reports..
>
> Oh..yes..Bill works for a FOX TV affiliate in the audio Dept.in L.A.
> Hmmmm..
>
> Remember what P.T. Barnum said.... Yes, Bill, I also work in your
> area.. Very close..I know your scam..!!

4545. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88bsdthqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119200

In article <8896g3$h19$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:

> LEAVE W4JYZ ALONE OR ELSE!
> AF2Y

Phuckest thou.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4546. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <38A8EA2B.169594A1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: wb6sub.vcf

Take this for what it's worth Vince (KB7ADL) I am a veteran Submarine Radioman with plenty of code background. The hobby is changing, I think we sometimes have to take 1 step back to take a few forward. And if this is what we have to do to keep the bands we have, from losing them to leo's , or any other entity that has outgrown their pants like the Federal Government. I think it is a little sacrifice we have to make. I under stand some of your feelings. Your services as a VE Will be missed, and your QSO's. We all have our opinions on the subject I am sure, but we radio guys need to stick together. Or the Washington politicians will police us to death. I Respect your views and the views of the "New Blood" and welcome both of you into any QSO. Hope to "Shoot the Bull" with all of you one day. Lets stay united, not divided. We cannot be touched united, but easily shot down in washington by some pencil pusher if we get divided this easily. Please stick around, how will we know what the past was like and keep a little of the old with the new If you leave.
Happy Hamming. And hope to Catch all of my fellow hams on frequency one day!

all safe wrote:

> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
>
> Badges?!?! You don need no stiiiiinkin' Badges.
>
> ;)

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

4547. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88aqvl$f7h$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gerald Schmitt <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>No Vince, I won't join you but I will replace you. Perhaps you would
>like to turn in your license too. That way we won't have to listen to
>your bullshit on the air here

We're not on the air here, Jerry.

73, Jeff

4548. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88ar69$f81$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Fairbanks <BILLFAIRBANKS@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>was under the old system !! As for the code, if somebody wants to 'go
>fast' they'll learn it on their own because they like doing it. The 5 wpm
>code requirement is there because of international treaty obligations and
>the fact that if everything else breaks you can still communicate with
>somebody world wide with Morse Code. You don't have to be a speed demon to
>do that.

What's interesting, Bill, is that we've returned to the 1912 code speed
requirement.

73, Jeff

4549. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88as2m$gd6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Samuel Goldstein <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:
>I must admit that I got really PO'd at the League when they appeared to get
>behind incentive

Incentive licensing has been around almost as long as ham radio itself.
The idea of an IL structure was created in 1923. Back then the government
developed the Extra First Class amateur license; to be awarded the ticket
one had to be licensed for at least two years, take a test similar to the
First Class exam of that period, copy 20 wpm, and have a clean record.
Extras were then permitted to operate on a band that those with lessor
grade licenses were forbidden to use. That band (200-220 meters) had
originally been open to all hams.

Moreover, in 1959, the FCC asked hams, via a Notice of Inquiry, what
incentives could be tacked on to the Extra Class license.

It was the FCC which first suggested incentive licensing, not the ARRL.

>Listen Bucky...the stupid Morse is finished and I say good riddance. By the
>way I was trained in the military and can still send and receive in excess
>of 35 WPM,

Why'd you remain a General?

>Think back when hams had calls like 7ADL and you could hear the whirring
>noise of the rotary spark gap transmitters...nice, big bandwidth, no
>interference...

"No interference"? Are you kidding? The horror stories of "Rotten QRM"
are legion. The early years of QST will document just how bad the the
situation was.

>Now, when we die off and the CW sub bands go into disuse, the FCC will
>reallocate the CW to something else.

They already have; they're now called the digital subbands. BTW, Morse
is permitted on any frequency on any band.

73, Jeff KH6O

4550. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88astigrq1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy.rec.radio.amateur.space

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>"Shannon P. McGauley" wrote:

>> I agree I passed the 20wpm myself, but was to busy to take the written. I
>> know if someone wanted they could pass the 20wpm.

>There are a lot of things that people can do if they only want to. The
>question is simply one of time and priorities.
>I could have knuckled down and passed off 20WPM, but I don't regret for
>a moment that I instead used that time to be daddy to my kids and to
>learn the professional skills that now pay their child support.

Then you missed a great opportunity to spend even more time with
them; I've seen entire families learn the code together -- the
kids loved it. It was a riot to hear them at the dinner table
vocalizing dits and dahs to each other!

You could have used your commute time, your lunch break, time
during TV commercials -- there were dozens of opportunities
during the day and evening. When you were studying for your
Tech license in 1980, you didn't have to stop at 5 wpm; you
were well on your way to General.

There are so many wasted minutes throughout the day which can
be utilized to learn something new.

73, Jeff

4551. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88atov$hh6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Follow-ups set to just .policy and .misc:

John Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:
>Take this for what it's worth Vince (KB7ADL) I am a veteran Submarine
>Radioman with plenty of code background.

Why'd you remain a Tech, John? You could have easily made General with
that code background...

>The hobby is changing, I think we
>sometimes have to take 1 step back to take a few forward. And if this is
>what we have to do to keep the bands we have, from losing them to leo's , or
>any other entity that has outgrown their pants like the Federal Government.

You're chanting the Tech's mantra: "Code needs to be done away with in
order to save our bands," yet the only bands which are in danger have had
code-free access for almost a decade now. M/HF is not in any danger of
being auctioned. You can't name one entity which has made a bid on our
M/HF spectrum.

In '79 we were given three new HF bands, and proposals exist at this
moment to provide us with even more.

73, Jeff KH6O

4552. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88c53codn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy.rec.radio.amateur.space

In article <88astigrq1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >"Shannon P. McGauley" wrote:
>
> >> I agree I passed the 20wpm myself, but was to busy to take the
written. I
> >> know if someone wanted they could pass the 20wpm.
>
> >There are a lot of things that people can do if they only want to.
The
> >question is simply one of time and priorities.
> >I could have knuckled down and passed off 20WPM, but I don't regret
for
> >a moment that I instead used that time to be daddy to my kids and to
> >learn the professional skills that now pay their child support.
>
> Then you missed a great opportunity to spend even more time with
> them; I've seen entire families learn the code together -- the
> kids loved it. It was a riot to hear them at the dinner table
> vocalizing dits and dahs to each other!
>
> You could have used your commute time, your lunch break, time
> during TV commercials -- there were dozens of opportunities
> during the day and evening. When you were studying for your
> Tech license in 1980, you didn't have to stop at 5 wpm; you
> were well on your way to General.
>
> There are so many wasted minutes throughout the day which can
> be utilized to learn something new.
>
> 73, Jeff
>

Good suggestions, Jeff.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4553. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88c56poej1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119208

In article <88bsdthqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <8896g3$h19$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> > LEAVE W4JYZ ALONE OR ELSE!
> > AF2Y
>
> Phuckest thou.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

ahh, and another no call no gonads poster enlightens the masses...

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4554. KC8JBO Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88cdu9$v5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119209

I GOT THE "GOODS" ON YOU, WIDEASS.

Why don't you post where your father is? Hohohoho!!!

The BEST is yet to come.............

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4555. KC8JBO & Father

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hytej@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <88ce6j$v9i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119210

Coming SOON.......

The FULL story about the father of KC8JBO.........

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4556. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ce8n$vh6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119211

In article <889l36$av0$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> >>>> Because this isn't radio. Speaking of which, when you get
licensed,
> >>>> how do you intend to fulfill S97.1? It is the "fundamental
purpose"
> >>>> (FCC's words) the ARS, you know.
>
> >>>Software - you silly old fool.
>
> >> This is the Amateur RADIO Service; does your software emit RF?
>
> >Boy, you really are out of it, aren't you.
>
> You didn't answer my question. Does your software emit RF? Are you
> able, by some magic, to communicate on the ham bands with just your
> software and no radio?
>

> >> "Silly old fool?" I've broken three state swimming records. You
can't
> >> compete against me on here or in the water.
>
> >What do Morse, or swimming have to do with the price of tea in China?
>
> You called me "old" -- I might be 46 now, but can out swim you
regardless
> of your age.

I'm sure you can outswim me. I used to be able to outswim a couple of
the guys from our High School swim team, but the better ones could blow
my doors off.

> >But, don't tell me, your swimming records were in some old, archiac
> >stroke that no one uses anymore... Hmmm, let me guess, Breast stroke?
>
> Breast stroke will never become archaic! ;) (It *is* an Olympic
stroke,
> you know.)

The one that really doesn't make any sense is the butterfly.

Anyway, sorry about the "silly old fool" comment. It was out of line.
Besides, I'm gonna be 41 on Wednesday.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4557. Re: Mourning the loss of the work ethic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88cemp$vm8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119212

In article <20000214230902.24980.00003538@ng-ci1.aol.com>,
mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA) wrote:
> The peasant revolutionaries were gathered into a mob outside the
Tsar's winter
> palace. "Why do we huddle out here in the cold, with nothing to eat,
while THEY
> warm themselves by the fire, and fill their fat bellies?" they cried.
"Its not
> fair! Its not democratic!" And with that, the mob began to pound on
the doors,
> to break in the windows, and to despoil the palace. The orgy lasted
for hours,
> until the palace was in ruins, looted of its finery, and devoid of its
warmth
> and comfort. "Funny," said one of the mob as he departed, "it looked
so grand
> and inviting, while we were locked outside. But now, looking at it
like this, I
> just wonder why we were ever interested in at all? Now, its no better
than out
> poor peasant hovels in the countryside."
>
> A parable for ham radio.
>
> K&#65533;MB
>

That is a pretty good analogy. George Orwell's "Animal Farm" would be
another good analogy.

As for me, I have no intention of "ransacking" the HF bands. However,
this "peasant" couldn't help but breaking a few windows on the
discussion boards.

S. Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4558. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88cgfh$133$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119213

In article <8896g3$h19$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Some on .313 aren't satisfied if they're not picking on someone. I'd like to
> know what the hell's bad about Donald Anderson, W4JYZ? From that I hear he's
> acting like a perfect gentleman and if he happens to ask a provocative
> question, so what? He's as entitled as anyone else. I'm beginning to believe
> there's politics involved in this dispute with him. To those who dislike
> Don's political leanings, go stuff it. His liberalism is as necessary as
> someone else's conservatism because pluralism is part and parcel of America.
> Naturally there's a lot of talk about the FCC licensing restructuring
> program that will become effective 4/15/00 and W4JYZ is entitled to have his
> say too. I've listened to him discuss the need for the infusion of the young
> into ham radio and he's right on target and if anyone thinks by his
> stressing youth he's knocking age, that's nuts. The prospects for the future
> are the responsibility of the YOUNG therefore YOUTH MUST BE STRESSED in the
> field of our hobby. Don tells it like it is, not like you want to it to be
> and for that he's castigated? The other day he asked me to name three things
> I liked about KV4FZ; I treated it cursorily but didn't start beating any
> drum against the guy. So what if it was a provocative question? My reply
> graded the so called provocation-I could have escalated or deescalated and I
> chose ther latter. I think there's too much Rush Limbaughism around (that
> crackpot has been poisoning the American well for far too long) and if
> someone like Donald deviates from his ugly mindset, then there's a problem.
> So far I've stayed in the background regarding the Anderson versus the
> stupidos affair but I'm getting ticked and am ready to enter the fray with
> both barrels blasting away. LEAVE W4JYZ ALONE OR ELSE!

> AF2Y
>
>
Donald Anderson is a wanabee southern version of David Tolasi (KC1ZQ).
He has his own agenda and his own purpose. What is that you ask? To
stir the pot and fan the flames. He is not happy unless there is a war
or a threat of war. He is nothing but a piece of crap.

As to why hams are attacking him....allow me to elaborate briefly;

1. There's too many yankee's on this frequency.
2. My hero's are the ones that went to Canada during the VN War.
3. Jane Fonda was a hero in my eye's.
4. There are too many OLD PEOPLE in ham radio. You need to all go
away and let the youngsters talk.
5. All you OLD HAMS do is complain and talk about what you did before.
6. Ham radio and CB are the same, just CB has better people on it.

These statements have been made by your "hero".

I gave Donny a chance last April, when I told him I had been listening
to him lately and he sounded like he was getting his act together. And
I was willing to give him a chance and welcomed him into our group.

Of course he began his idiotic attacks on the people and the traditions
of amateur radio shortly thereafter. Even that did not cause a
problem, at first. But after he continued this for a month we ALL got
real tired of it. We told him to take a hike. And this time he did.
A couple years back he just stayed there and continued to "babble" to
himself for HOURS on end. Guess that Riley letter got his attention
after all.

When he first came on the air he started this same crap then. He took
a break when Riley showed up, now he is back at it again. I'd suggest
you find a better person to prop up. He ain't worth it.

You and I have a long history of "agreeing to dis-agree" on politics.
Donny has NOTHING TO DO WITH POLITICS. He is just a troublemaker and
that is it...PERIOD.

Most of us are trying to END and STOP this stupid radio war. Donny is
NOT and is actually part of the problem. As a bit of advise to you I
would say drop this fool like a hot potatoe, or YOU WILL PAY THE PRICE.
Not a threat DOC, just the facts.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4559. Re: Question/Answer from new Extra class exam pool...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ch3j$1ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <88aa93$rq6$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> Stewart Teaze <stewart.teaze@gat.com> wrote:
>
> >The answer, of course, is C. Discussions of a technical nature.
> >Any discussion related to a technical subject is PROHIBITED
> >on the rec.radio.amateur band.
>
> Stewart, your alter ego, horseshoestew, just voiced the exact same
> complaint! In case you missed my response to him, here are some
> fine discussion forums in the rec.radio.amateur.* hierarchy:
>
>

> rec.radio.amateur.antenna
> rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
> rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital
modes)
> rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
> rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding
commercially-
> built amateur gear; not for
buying/selling)
> rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
> rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local
> restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
> rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
> rec.radio.amateur.misc (any topic not covered in the above groups)
>

>
> 73, Jeff
>

Thanks, I'll check them out.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4560. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtolassi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ci0f$2cv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119215

Knock off your stupid rhetoric doc nobody cares

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4561. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtolassi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ciae$2gu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119216

Talk about "wanabe's" Why dont you find another hobby there Rambo

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4562. Re: KC8JBO Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ck1j$3q9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119217

In article <88cdu9$v5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:

> Why don't you post where your father is?

Why don't you post the URL you said was going to be posted yesterday?
All mouth and no show, huh?

> The BEST is yet to come.............

Sure it is. Just another loudmouth no-show. You never posted a thing
about AF2Y and you'll never post a thing about KC8JBO. Because you don't
have anything. It's all a big mouth bluff. You have zero credibility.

> PITHBOWL

Alligator mouth trying to write checks his hummingbird butt can't cover.
No one's buying your act.

Put up or shut up.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4563. Re: NEWSLINE... CRAP....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14866-38A93A10-4@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119218

So what if Bill gets "paid" a few hundred for the news work that he performs.

Peter Jennings gets paid MILLIONS for his bullshit.

Keep up the good work, Bill! My check's in the mail!

4564. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38A93D6A.7DE6A4F5@icss.net>
Source record: 119219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>
> >> >So if he sent in a change of address or request for a new call, signing as
> >> >kh6o, that would not be illegal?
>
> >> What would make his actions illegal is that he'd have to forge my
> >> name/signature and my tax-payer identification number (TIN). I
> >> believe the government frowns upon that; he'd probably lose his
> >> own license just for making the attempt, not to mention whatever
> >> the feds do to people who forge government documents. I'd also have
> >> a go at filing a civil lawsuit for "pain and suffering." (Don't you
> >> just hate those ridiculously huge monetary amounts that courts award
> >> defendants?)
>
> >It was a hypothetical question, not an action or a suggestion. You seemed to
> >do an abrupt about face on the issue when it was -your- callsign we were
> >talking about.
>
> Exactly where do you see an "abrupt about face," Brian? No where in my
> comment did I mention anything about him using my callsign on Internet.
> Where he'd get into trouble is forging my name and TIN. You'd better
> believe I'd push the issue if someone attempted such a forgery.
>
> >Get over it.
>
> Get over what? Such a comment (or whatever that is) is very much out of
> place in this discussion -- it's nonsensical.

You're awfully upset about something. Is that nonsensical too?

> >Gee, and you lead me to believe that there wasn't anything wrong with using
> >someone elses callsign. So there is or there isn't anything wrong with with
> >it?
>
> I said that nothing in the CFR prohibits another from using it on
> Internet; here's my comment again:
>
> >>>> Oh, Keith! You walked into that one with your eyes closed! 47CRF97
> >>>> does not apply to the Internet. Heck, you could sign your own posts
> >>>> as "W1AW," if you wished.

>
> To drive the point home, I once saw my callsign embedded in someone else's
> ISP-assigned article number! It was something like:
> "In article sf48kh6odf@aol.com..." Perfectly legal, Brian.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

Have a nice day.

4565. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel nicholson <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <saifmina2pd99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So long. Kind of a silly thing to do but then we all do silly things.

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote in message ...
>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>turning in my Badge.
>
>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
>73 de KB7ADL

4566. Re: KC8JBO Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88cmih$5q6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119221

```
In article <88cdu9$v5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
> I GOT THE "GOODS" ON YOU, WIDEASS.
>
> Why don't you post where your father is? Hohohoho!!!
>
> The BEST is yet to come.............
>>>>>>>>>>>>At least, he knows who his father is!!!!!!!!!!!!
> PITBULL
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

4567. 10GHz gear for sale on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon O'Moose <Sean@home.org>
Message-ID: <8g1iasg9ol4bso89i16vhnmhtu8b60os09@4ax.com>
Source record: 119222

I hope this won't really be looked at as SPAM. I just want to let you microwavers out there know about the box of misc. microwave parts and units I have up for sale on eBay.

I have 2 working 10GHz units and many parts for sale in a sort of parts box.

If you are interested, stop by. I want to move these things out of the shack as there seems to be little interest in uWaves here in Central Ohio.

Thanks,
KB8JNE

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=259987203

4568. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wt.net>
Message-ID: <38A96D89.F05A670B@wt.net>
Source record: 119223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I guess since Vince will be turning in his VE accreditation, I will
go and get VE accreditation after April 15th when I get my 'Extra".
There will be some of us that will try to preserve amateur radio.
Don't feel that the 20 wpm is a show stopper. I do feel that the
FCC should have set it to at least 10 wpm for Extra but that is
water under the bridge and now we all need to ge 'along'.

Ham radio is only as good as you make it. Doing what you are doing
is not helping amateur radio.

David

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

4569. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@flash.net>
Message-ID: <38A95BBA.50BBBFB9@flash.net>
Source record: 119224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

Ummm .. who cares what you do? Are you too good to administer 5 wpm
exams?

Phil

4570. Re: NEWSLINE... CRAP....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A960AA.C49FE9C1@bctv.com>
Source record: 119225

"I.F. Shift" wrote:
>
> So what if Bill gets "paid" a few hundred for the news work that he
> performs.
>
> Peter Jennings gets paid MILLIONS for his bullshit.

You don't REALLY believe that Jennings does any of his OWN gathering, do you? That's what reporters and photojournalists do. An anchor just gives the viewer a stable point of reference between stories developed by others. There are times when the first he knows of a story is when he reads the scroll.

4571. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A96198.4201C8C6@bctv.com>
Source record: 119226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Phil Wheeler wrote:
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
> > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > 73 de KB7ADL
>
> Ummm .. who cares what you do? Are you too good to administer 5 wpm
> exams?

Maybe he's afraid that they'll make him TAKE one.

Hmmmm . . .this is a good idea. Maybe we should require proof of
current 20 WPM code skill before we let anyone whine about
restructuring.

How about it, Vince? Care to provide the date of your last successful
20 WPM exam?

4572. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <usdq4.3838$68.134665@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 119227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

geeeeeeeee what a JERK
"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

4573. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000215094813.26295.00003453@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 119228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Subject: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.
>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
>Date: 02/14/2000 6:34 PM Central Standard Time

>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm turning in my Badge.

>Anyone else have the guts to join me?<

For what reason?

Don't let the virtual door hit you on the bootie on the way out, but the way.

73 de K4YZ

4574. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Martin D. or Tunisia G. WADE" <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <38A97985.26AA@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 119229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Follow-ups set to just .policy and .misc:
>
> John Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >Take this for what it's worth Vince (KB7ADL) I am a veteran Submarine
> >Radioman with plenty of code background.
>
> Why'd you remain a Tech, John? You could have easily made General with
> that code background...
>
> >The hobby is changing, I think we
> >sometimes have to take 1 step back to take a few forward. And if this is
> >what we have to do to keep the bands we have, from losing them to leo's , or
> >any other entity that has outgrown their pants like the Federal Government.
>
> You're chanting the Tech's mantra: "Code needs to be done away with in
> order to save our bands," yet the only bands which are in danger have had
> code-free access for almost a decade now. M/HF is not in any danger of
> being auctioned. You can't name one entity which has made a bid on our
> M/HF spectrum.
>
> In '79 we were given three new HF bands, and proposals exist at this
> moment to provide us with even more.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
Maritime automatic systems are looking pretty hard at HF. I work in the
Offshore Oil Industry and long distance communication systems without
satellites is being explored for distant platforms in the deep water
areas.
73 de Martin N5PZJ, Oil Man

4575. Need information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddy Young <young@intnet.mu>
Message-ID: <38A94784.30B98975@intnet.mu>
Source record: 119230

Hi all,

I am considering taking the examination to become a licensed radio amateur. However, I would like to know whether my geographically isolated location will not make it a waste of time. FYI, I live in Mauritius, Indian Ocean.

Regards,
Eddy Young

4576. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38A97F18.287965FE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steve Robeson wrote:
> >Anyone else have the guts to join me?<
>
> For what reason?

Seems this thread's vote is about 20-2 so far against
resigning as a VE in protest.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4577. Re: NEWSLINE... CRAP....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16821-38A986E4-71@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119232

Sorry Keith, so insensitive of me to forget that Peter gets paid millions for READING the news, while Bill gets paid HUNDREDS for news gathering, news reading, technical maintenance, travel expenses, telephone maintenance, staples, scotch tape, paper clips AND WHAT EVER ELSE A SMALL BUSINESS PERSON WOULD NEED TO HAVE IN THE OVERHEAD DEPARTMENT!!!!!!

Wasn't yelling at you, Keith. Just that phucking idiot that has nothing better to do with his time than to bash Mr. Pasternack's efforts. I can't stand people like that!!

--Isaac--

4578. updated webpage with logsearch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mauricea.nobrol@glo.be
Message-ID: <38a98af1.300488@nntp.z052.glo.be>
Source record: 119233

Hi,

Our local club's webpage is updated. There's a logsearch for
operations by members of the club.

http://www.qsl.net/on6wl/

bye Maurice

Hamradio: ON4BAM / M0CIL http://www.qsl.net/on4bam
Pix and travelstories from Alaska, Scotland and IOTA activations

*** remove 'nobrol' to reply ***
Fight Spam! Join CAUCE (Coalition Against Unsolicited Commercial Email)
at http://www.cauce.org/

4579. Re: Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jim_aa2qa@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88a7aodfp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119273

In article <887o1o$lg0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <886u55$764$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
> <randas@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > You might be right about the conversation at 5wpm...but it doesn't
> take long
> > for a good cw operator to know he's listening to a keyboard.
> >
> > de Tim K0FL
> >
>
> And your point would be what, Tim? Your days of gloating are
> finished. Better learn to type faster. It is now obvious that a
> typing speed test is more relevant to HF operations in today's world
> than is Morse code speed test.
>
> HA! You, my friend, are a dinosaur.
>
> S Teaze
> N0MHS
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Guys! What are we arguing about? Back on Guam, I was in the shack
with another fellow who informed me that every transmission from the
shack was monitored by the communications technicians (like the guys on
the Pueblo). For whatever reason (mostly the beer I was enjoying), I
told the other guy I was going to have fun. We seldom used 40 meters,
but I went down to the low end and started calling didit dit
dididahdit ...
the other guy asked what I was doing. I stated I was calling CQ. He
stated I wasn't sending CQ ... hmmmm.... didn't he state everything
was monitored - and taped? Yep - and a guy came back. We started
chewing the fat ... I finally informed the guy with the puzzled look on
his face I was using AMERICAN LAND LINE MORSE! The tears were pouring
down our faces we got laughing so hard. We knew what was going to
happen. There would be some poor third class petty officer pouring
over that 45 minute tape of our QSO for a week!

Cute, yes; but does that make me 'superior' to guys who only know
international morse? I doubt it. As far as being able to tell if the
code is machine sent - let me break out my old HA1 TO keyer. I hope
you CAN'T tell it from machine code. I do not particularly care to

copy 'swing'. When I talked with Norm, VK2NP for hours on end many weekends, it was 3 or 4 QSOs with him before I had a memory 'belch' and alternately sent letters/figures/letters/figures/ pause then resumed typing - and he finally realized I was NOT using a tape. I simply pressed the words into the teletype as fast as it would accept them. For the record, I could type 92 words per minute into a 100 word per minute teletype (no, the ham shack ran 60 of course!). Does that make me superior? I doubt it.

The testing should only ensure someone is capable of running a rig legally and safely. The learning experience goes on during a lifetime. I do get a bit upset with this 'dinosaur' business. Having recently hit the half-century mark, I still get a bit upset when someone has the feeling that I somehow don't have current technical knowlege. One guy tried password protecting the Windows 95 roll-around PC we use to program robots and PLCs. He was snickering when I called him over, assuming I was stuck. You should have seen his eyes at the message on the screen - 'WARNING - YOU ARE ABOUT TO DELETE THE ACTIVE PARTITION ON YOUR HARD DRIVE. ALL DATA WILL BE LOST! PROCEED (Y/N)?

Someone also tried a trick on the Windows NT computer - locked out control panel, disabled everything in 'my computer' except the desktop, and even disabled the 'find' command. Left a cute cartoon on the desktop. Hmmmm... c'mon, kid, you can do better than that. Took the whole sum of maybe 20 seconds to fix. I watch these characters with their data collection systems sending double precision floating point over the network (the original data were integers!). I mentioned this to one he asked 'do you know how fast floating point is'?!?!. Yep, pretty fast - only 2 to 4 times SLOWER than double length integers. Besides, the PLC takes hundreds of microseconds to do what the host can do in hundreds of NANOseconds! Sure, I'm old - I also programmed mainframes and PCs for a couple of years - oh yes, PLCs also.

How in heck are you guys going to attract youngsters with your attitudes?

Sincerely,
Jim AA2QA

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4580. Re: Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88a7uqdsh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119274

In article <88a7aodfp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
jim_aa2qa@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> ...
>
> The testing should only ensure someone is capable of running a rig
> legally and safely. The learning experience goes on during a
> lifetime. I do get a bit upset with this 'dinosaur' business. Having
> recently hit the half-century mark, I still get a bit upset when
> someone has the feeling that I somehow don't have current technical
> knowlege. One guy tried password protecting the Windows 95
roll-around
> PC we use to program robots and PLCs. He was snickering when I called
> him over, assuming I was stuck. You should have seen his eyes at the
> message on the screen - 'WARNING - YOU ARE ABOUT TO DELETE THE ACTIVE
> PARTITION ON YOUR HARD DRIVE. ALL DATA WILL BE LOST! PROCEED (Y/N)?
>
> Someone also tried a trick on the Windows NT computer - locked out
> control panel, disabled everything in 'my computer' except the
desktop,
> and even disabled the 'find' command. Left a cute cartoon on the
> desktop. Hmmmm... c'mon, kid, you can do better than that. Took the
> whole sum of maybe 20 seconds to fix. I watch these characters with
> their data collection systems sending double precision floating point
> over the network (the original data were integers!). I mentioned this
> to one he asked 'do you know how fast floating point is'?!?!. Yep,
> pretty fast - only 2 to 4 times SLOWER than double length integers.
> Besides, the PLC takes hundreds of microseconds to do what the host
can
> do in hundreds of NANOseconds! Sure, I'm old - I also programmed
> mainframes and PCs for a couple of years - oh yes, PLCs also.
>
> How in heck are you guys going to attract youngsters with your
> attitudes?
>
> Sincerely,
> Jim AA2QA

Now THAT is my idea of what an EXTRA should be.

Stewart Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4581. Re: Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88a84qdtn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119275

In article <88a7aodfp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
jim_aa2qa@my-deja.com wrote:
> Guys! What are we arguing about?
> ...
> The testing should only ensure someone is capable of running a rig
> legally and safely. The learning experience goes on during a
> lifetime. I do get a bit upset with this 'dinosaur' business. Having
> recently hit the half-century mark, I still get a bit upset when
> someone has the feeling that I somehow don't have current technical
> knowlege. One guy tried password protecting the Windows 95
roll-around
> PC we use to program robots and PLCs. He was snickering when I called
> him over, assuming I was stuck. You should have seen his eyes at the
> message on the screen - 'WARNING - YOU ARE ABOUT TO DELETE THE ACTIVE
> PARTITION ON YOUR HARD DRIVE. ALL DATA WILL BE LOST! PROCEED (Y/N)?
>
> Someone also tried a trick on the Windows NT computer - locked out
> control panel, disabled everything in 'my computer' except the
desktop,
> and even disabled the 'find' command. Left a cute cartoon on the
> desktop. Hmmmm... c'mon, kid, you can do better than that. Took the
> whole sum of maybe 20 seconds to fix. I watch these characters with
> their data collection systems sending double precision floating point
> over the network (the original data were integers!). I mentioned this
> to one he asked 'do you know how fast floating point is'?!?!. Yep,
> pretty fast - only 2 to 4 times SLOWER than double length integers.
> Besides, the PLC takes hundreds of microseconds to do what the host
can
> do in hundreds of NANOseconds! Sure, I'm old - I also programmed
> mainframes and PCs for a couple of years - oh yes, PLCs also.
>
> How in heck are you guys going to attract youngsters with your
> attitudes?
>
> Sincerely,
> Jim AA2QA
>

Now, that is my idea of what an Extra SHOULD be.

Stewart Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4582. Re: Mourning...You should be rejoicing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88af3uiqf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119276

In article <88a7uqdsh1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> Now THAT is my idea of what an EXTRA should be.

Well, son, if you spent more time listening and less time calling people names and spouting nonsense, you'd find a whole lot of Extras like that.

Now, I'm going to give you a clue here. In sales there are psychological phenomena called "reply buttons." For example, a salesman trying to move you up to a more expensive model might say something like, "you wouldn't want to shortchange yourself, would you?" And psychologically, you are almost compelled to reply to that. He has given you a reply button. Only someone who is inclined to self-control is able to resist a reply button. Let's test the waters.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4583. Hey, it's Monday; where's PithBowl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ag28$ji7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119277

The blowhard claimed he was going to have a URL today for his alleged webpage that he claimed would have some embarrassing material on it that he said he had already uploaded.

Yeah. Just what I thought.

More gas. Just another anonymous, newsgroup 12 year old, wannabe reporter; all talk and no show.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4584. Re: Polly's ramshackle farmhouse & Redneck Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ohara Sensei <ka9dhz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88b383pb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119278

You Just might be a red neck ham
If your inverted V
is the tin roof on your house.

If your hound dog glows in the dark beacuse his stake doubles as a ground rod
for your shack

If you think SWR is single white red head

If you call your amplifier
a lean yaar

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4585. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A89C14.F23DF226@bctv.com>
Source record: 119279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jack Previdi wrote:
>
> > Does the site give us an education on the left-wing radical,
> > commie, pinko, extremist groups??
>
> Oh, come now! There are no " left-wing radical, commie, pinko, extremist
> groups."
>
> Ahh... umm...are there? (ggg)

Compared to SPLC, ALL groups are to the right.

4586. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <88a6m9$4sf@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 119280
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2000 - ILLINOIS
last updated February 5, 2000 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

FEBRUARY 2000

Feb 6 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm Rd. & Manchester Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Feb 13 (Sun): Orland Park, IL - Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & Lagrange Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Adults $6, children $2.

Feb 26 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Feb 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

MARCH 2000

March 12 (Sun): Indianapolis, IN - Indiana Hamfest & Com- |
puter Show. Morgan County Repeater Assn. East Pavillion |
Bldg. Indiana State Fairgrounds, 1202 E. 38th St. 8 AM. |
Admission $7 plus $2/parking. Info http://www.eb1.com/mcra |

March 19 (Sun): Sterling, IL - Sterling-Rock Falls ARS. |
Field House at Chailand Middle School Gymnasium, 1700 Sixth |
Ave. Admission $4. Open 7:30 AM. Talk-in 146.85 MHz |
repeater. info: Lloyd Sherman, KB9APW, PO Box 521, Sterling, IL 61081-0521. tel. 815-336-2434, E-mail: lsherman@essexl.com

Mar 25 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6,

or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

March 26 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Lake County Fairgrounds. North Shore Radio Club. http://www.ns9rc.org. ALL INDOOR, Radio, Electronic and Computer Swapfest V.E. Testing 9AM to Noon, Door Prize Drawing Every Hour, Admission $6 at the gate / $5 in Advance. Door Open at 8 AM. Set-up at 6AM. Free Parking. Cafeteria Open. Talk-in Simplex 146.52 & NS9RC Repeater 147.345 +.600. For NS9RCfest Information Call (847) 291-4160 until 10 PM. Info: Jacob Fishman, KF9ZF, PO Box 1066, Highland Park, IL 60035. Phone: 847-291-4160, Email: kf9zf@lightwriters.com

APRIL 2000

Apr 15 (Sun): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Apr 29 (Sat): Stickney, IL - DuPage ARC. Hawthorne Race | Course, 3500 S. Cicero Ave. Admission $6. Talk-in 145.25 | repeater. http://www.w9dup.org, Info: Ed Weinstein, WD9AYR, 7511 Walnut Avenue, Woodridge, IL 60517. Phone: 630-985-9256. Email: DARCHamfest@aol.com, |

Apr 30 (Sun): Arthur, IL - Moultrie ARK. Info: Ralph Zancha, WC9V, PO Box 55, Lovington, IL 61937. Phone: 217-873-5287. Email: rzancha@one-eleven.net

Apr 30 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

Apr 30 (Sun): Galva, IL - W9YPS Hamfest, Area Amateur Radio | Operators club. Galva National Guard Armory. Morgan Rd. | Admission $5. Info: Phil IMes, WD9IRE, kewphil@cin.net or | Bill Anderson WA9BA, bill@inw.net

MAY 2000

May 20 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

May 28 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

JUNE 2000

Jun 4 (Sun): Princeton - IL Starved Rock RC. Bureau County Fairgrounds. Exit 56 from I-80. Admission $7 (ouch!) at

gate. Talk-in 146.955, -600, PL103.5 Hz. info: AL Erbrederis, tel. 1-815-498-9675, 262 Easy St., Somanauk IL.60552. ERB.N9PIB@juno.com. http://www.qsl.net/w9mks. E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 11 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Six Meter Club of Chicago. http://cyberconnect.com/orion/smcc.html. Info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Avenue, Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. Tel: 630-963-4922 or 708-442-4961 . Email: wa9rij@mc.net

Jun 24 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jun 25 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

JULY 2000

Jul 8 (Sat): Oak Creek, WI - South Milwaukee ARC. American | Legion Post 434, 9327 South Shepard Ave. Admission $5. | Talk-in 146.52 MHz. Info, call (414)762-3235. |

Jul 9 (Sun): Peotone, IL - Kankakee Area Radio Society, Will | County Fairgrounds. I-57 to Peotone exist 327 (East). Free | parking. Talk-in 146.94 MHz. http://www.w9az.com. Admis- | sion $5. Info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. Tel: 815-939-7548. Email: k9nr@juno.com

Jul 15 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Jul 23 (Sun): Sugar Grove, IL - Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. Admission $5. | http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html Info: Maurice Schietecatte, W9CEO, 813 Cindy Lane, Sandwich, IL 60548. Tel: 815-786-2860. Email: w9ceo@arrl.net (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 2000

Aug 12 (Sat): Quincy, IL - Western Illinois ARC. http://www.qsl.net/w9awe. Info: Jim Funk, N9JF, PO Box 3132, Quincy, IL 62305-3132. Tel: 217-336-4191 . Email: jfunk@adams.net

Aug 13 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 66th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea Market. Tickets are $4.00 in advance with double stub, $5.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July 25th, Christine Mack, PO Box 2161, Oak Park, IL 60303. tel. 708-358-1786, email: christine1@mediaone.net. Talk in on 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater

Aug 19 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Aug 27 (Sun): Danville, IL - Vermilion County ARA. Info: Gary Denison, KA9SKS, 14704 East 2750 North Road, Danville, IL 61834-5610. Tel: 217-759-7389 . Email: gdenison@danville.net

Aug 27 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

SEPTEMBER 2000

Sep 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Sep 16 & 17 (Sat & Sun): Peoria, IL - Peoria Area ARC. http://www.w9uvi.org. Info: Jim Williams, N9HHU, PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL 61612-3508. Tel: 309-692-3378. Email: jimn9hhu@juno.com

Sep 23 & 24 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. http://www.chicagofmclub.org. Admission $8, good both days. | Info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Avenue, Norridge, IL 60706. Tel: 708-457-0966, Email: mbrost@cin.net (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

Sep 24 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

OCTOBER 2000

Oct 14 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Bel-

videre Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Oct 22 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

NOVEMBER 2000

Nov 5 (Sun): Davenport, IA - Davenport Radio Club. Iowa | National Guard Hanger, Mt. Joy Airport. Talk-in 146.88 MHz | repeater. http://www.gwltd.com/hamfest |

Nov 18 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

Nov 18, 19 (Sat, Sun): Ft. Wayne, IN Fort Wayne Hamfest & | Computer Expo. Allen County Amateur Radio Technical | Society. 2000 Indiana ARRL State Convention. Allen County | War Memorial Coliseum Exposition Center. Hours 9 AM - 4 PM | EST Sat, 9 AM - 3 PM EST Sun. Admission $5 plus $2 parking. | http://www.acarts.com |

Nov 26 (Sun): Glen Ellyn, IL - Computer Central Show (see below). College of Dupage. Corner of Park Blvd. & College Rd. 9:30 AM - 3 PM. Admission $6, children $2.

DECEMBER 2000

Dec 16 (Sat): Grayslake, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Lake County Fairgrounds Exhibit Hall, Rt. 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Rt. 45. 4 miles west of I94. Admission $6, or $5 with discount coupon available at WebSite.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer
expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30
AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies,
games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors,
diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables,
expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds,
Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall
Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor
info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at
http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

4587. 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm turning in my Badge.

Anyone else have the guts to join me?

73 de KB7ADL

4588. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38A8A008.7062169C@bctv.com>
Source record: 119282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

Brains are a better thing for hams to have than guts.

Thanks for leaving. I wouldn't trust you as a VE.

4589. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88a905ptr1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:

>> >So if he sent in a change of address or request for a new call, signing as
>> >kh6o, that would not be illegal?

>> What would make his actions illegal is that he'd have to forge my
>> name/signature and my tax-payer identification number (TIN). I
>> believe the government frowns upon that; he'd probably lose his
>> own license just for making the attempt, not to mention whatever
>> the feds do to people who forge government documents. I'd also have
>> a go at filing a civil lawsuit for "pain and suffering." (Don't you
>> just hate those ridiculously huge monetary amounts that courts award
>> defendants?)

>It was a hypothetical question, not an action or a suggestion. You seemed to
>do an abrupt about face on the issue when it was -your- callsign we were
>talking about.

Exactly where do you see an "abrupt about face," Brian? No where in my
comment did I mention anything about him using my callsign on Internet.
Where he'd get into trouble is forging my name and TIN. You'd better
believe I'd push the issue if someone attempted such a forgery.

>Get over it.

Get over what? Such a comment (or whatever that is) is very much out of
place in this discussion -- it's nonsensical.

>Gee, and you lead me to believe that there wasn't anything wrong with using
>someone elses callsign. So there is or there isn't anything wrong with with
>it?

I said that nothing in the CFR prohibits another from using it on
Internet; here's my comment again:

>>>> Oh, Keith! You walked into that one with your eyes closed! 47CRF97
>>>> does not apply to the Internet. Heck, you could sign your own posts
>>>> as "W1AW," if you wished.

To drive the point home, I once saw my callsign embedded in someone else's
ISP-assigned article number! It was something like:
"In article sf48kh6odf@aol.com..." Perfectly legal, Brian.

73, Jeff KH6O

4590. Re: Mobile antenna array?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Walker <tom.walker@general.com>
Message-ID: <91glaskmekc5t3ldbr3tfdpqh8vl477agk@4ax.com>
Source record: 119084
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

If one has lots of VHF-Lo activity, Like thge California Highway
Patrol in my Case, the 50/144/440 is a better option.
But if your listening is moslty VHF-Hi and above the
144/440/1200 would be better. Particalrly for Trunking in the 800
area. A 144/440/900 wouuld perhaps be a little better.

On Mon, 14 Feb 2000 21:13:00 -0000, "Rudolph M. Marcelletti"
<rudym@net-link.net> wrote:

>Regarding antennas, Get a whip antenna. An 8 foot stainless steel whip
>will work very well to receive with. Use a CB type ball mount. This will
>also work OK at say 24mhz up to 30mhz for transmit with the proper coupler,
>as long as you stay 100 watts or lower to avoid arcing. For the higher
>freqs, Comet and Diamond make good multi-band antennas. Some cover
>50/146/446mhz, some 144/220/440 and some 146/446/1200. I'd get the latter
>if you are just interested in listening.

4591. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000216115528.03224.00003560@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 119085

Question from the lurkers' section:

Why do you guys keep responding to obvious trolls such as this?

4592. Re: Need information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddy Young <young@intnet.mu>
Message-ID: <38AAD5F6.49E10A92@intnet.mu>
Source record: 119086

> Get the class of license that lets you on the shortwave ham bands (HF).
> You'll have no problem finding hams to talk to, as you would be interesting
> DX. You'll probably get "pile-up"s (lots of stations trying to get your
> attention all at once). Various methods of managing this exist, like
> saying "stations in the American 2 district only please." And sequencing
> thru the various districts.

Gee, that sounds interesting. I think I'm on the "go" now. Thanks for
replying.

> I'm at the other end of this spectrum, my call "WA2ISE" is a rather boring
> and common callsign. I never get pileups.

Pity you :(*grin*

4593. License renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Gerdemann <gerdeman@alrc.doe.gov>
Message-ID: <newscache$j0a1qf$ac6$1@drc.alrc.doe.gov>
Source record: 119087

How does one go about renewing a license these days? I have been pretty inactive for several years so I�m out of touch but I don�t want to lose my call and I hope to be more active in the future. I receive something in the mail that for $6.00 they will take care of the renewal. This would be easy but I suspect I�m paying $6 for what I can get for free.

Thanks
Steve Gerdemann
WB7OEE

4594. Re: License renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38AADF96.83A5C604@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119088

Steve Gerdemann wrote:

> How does one go about renewing a license these days? I have been pretty
> inactive for several years so Iï¿½m out of touch but I donï¿½t want to lose my
> call and I hope to be more active in the future. I receive something in the
> mail that for $6.00 they will take care of the renewal. This would be easy
> but I suspect Iï¿½m paying $6 for what I can get for free.
>
> Thanks
> Steve Gerdemann
> WB7OEE

Hi Steve -- see URL:
http://www.arrl.org/fcc/forms.html

Has the latest info.

PS you could have found this on the AC6V pages as well as 700 other Ham Topics
-- give it a try

--
73 From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 565,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- General Ham Radio
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html -- DX Reference Pages

4595. more fixes re: ft100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38AB0121.D83B19F1@netrover.com>
Source record: 119089

Subject: [FT100] Digest Number 318
Date: 14 Feb 2000 10:29:33 -0000
From: FT100@onelist.com
To: FT100@onelist.com

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

There are 15 messages in this issue.

Topics in today's digest:

1. Re: Digest Number 317
From: "Marcus" <marcusnl@xs4all.nl>
2. Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net>
3. Re: Digest Number 315
From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
4. Re: Digest Number 315
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
5. RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "William Mastop" <wmastop@home.com>
6. Re: Digest Number 315
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
7. Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "Dale G. Brown" <dgbrown@wcnet.net>
8. RE: Digest Number 317
From: "Rainer Arndt (ERA)" <Rainer.Arndt@era.ericsson.se>
9. RE: Digest Number 316
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
10. FS: FT-100 w remote kit
From: "Thomas M. Schaefer" <toms@inconnect.com>
11. Re: Digest Number 315
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
12. Re: Digest Number 315
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
13. Re: Digest Number 315
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>

14. Re: Digest Number 316
From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
15. Re: Digest Number 316
From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>

Message: 1
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 14:59:31 +0100
From: "Marcus" <marcusnl@xs4all.nl>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 317

> Is there anyway to adjust the S-meter on the FT-100??? My S-meter
> seems to be displaying signals signals weaker than what I am receiving.

Hi all,

I have almost the same problem.
In the beginning the S meter was working alright but not anymore.

The max scale is 9 meanwile it can goes up to 9+60. Also often it's more a
modulation meter then a S meter.
It goes up & down. I test with 100 watt and realy the S meter goes not
higher.

Could this be solved?

Marcus pd2db Netherlands

Message: 2
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 07:01:31 -0800
From: "Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Subject: Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

What do you mean when you say that the ATAS 100 uses the CAT port?

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

----- Original Message -----
From: Timothy H. Heaton
To: FT100@onelist.com
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2000 3:10 PM

Subject: Re: [FT100] Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>

> From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
>
> If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ

I doubt the ATAS-100 sends ANY commands to the FT-100; all control is surely from the radio. The radio may send low power signals to the antenna and adjust it according to the return SWR, but that is different from the changing the FT-100's power setting externally.

Also note that the ATAS-100 and a CAT connection to a computer cannot be used simultaneously, as both require use of the single CAT port. TRX-Manager's documentation makes a point of this.

>> In reading the manual and testing the FT-100 via a computer, I don't
>> believe that either keying the transmitter or changing the output power
>> are available functions using the CAT interface. Sorry to add to the
>> list of disappointments.
>>
>> -Tim (WB7NMY) who still loves his FT-100!

Please note my earlier correction that you CAN key the FT-100 via CAT input, but you can't change the power setting.

-Tim (WB7NMY)

Please click above to support our sponsor

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Message: 3
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 15:48:30 GMT
From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

> Wow. My installer lost the aforementioned screws. After a dozen local
> inquiries I found 25mm(!) long 2.6mm screws and I fashioned a huge washer.
> But when I sent the radio in for some minor repairs I asked Yaesu and they
> supplied for free some replacements.

> Gee, I just don't see why they didn't use a snap connector arrangement on
> the connection at the radio.

Me too - I'm surprised that more people haven't complained about this!
Although I've got the Remote Kit, I find myself not using it because of
this arrangement, and the risk of losing the screws. The IC-706
introduced this idea - you'd have thought that Yaesu would have
learned!

Bob, G8IYK

Message: 4
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 10:17:25 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more screws,
the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only reason
I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They might
get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it, they
will have to remove the front seat.
Ralph
AA5YC
----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 9:48 AM
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 315

> From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
>
> > Gee, I just don't see why they didn't use a snap connector arrangement
on
> > the connection at the radio.
>
> Me too - I'm surprised that more people haven't complained about this!
> Although I've got the Remote Kit, I find myself not using it because of
> this arrangement, and the risk of losing the screws. The IC-706
> introduced this idea - you'd have thought that Yaesu would have
> learned!
>
>
> Bob, G8IYK
>
>
>
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
> Intro APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
> Click
Here

>
> ---
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 5
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 09:10:06 -0800
From: "William Mastop" <wmastop@home.com>
Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

Tim, I think you may have mistyped below. The atas 100 does not use the cat port on the ft-100. The atas 100 connects directly to the hf coax antenna output of the ft-100. It does not connect to any other portion of the ft-100.
Perhaps you were referring to the amplifier?
William

-----Original Message-----
From: Timothy H. Heaton [mailto:theaton@usd.edu]
Sent: February 12, 2000 3:10 PM
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>

> From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
>
> If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ

I doubt the ATAS-100 sends ANY commands to the FT-100; all control is surely from the radio. The radio may send low power signals to the antenna and adjust it according to the return SWR, but that is different from the changing the FT-100's power setting externally.

Also note that the ATAS-100 and a CAT connection to a computer cannot be used simultaneously, as both require use of the single CAT port. TRX-Manager's documentation makes a point of this.

>> In reading the manual and testing the FT-100 via a computer, I don't
>> believe that either keying the transmitter or changing the output power
>> are available functions using the CAT interface. Sorry to add to the
>> list of disappointments.
>>
>> -Tim (WB7NMY) who still loves his FT-100!

Please note my earlier correction that you CAN key the FT-100 via CAT input, but you can't change the power setting.

-Tim (WB7NMY)

---------- ONElist Sponsor ----------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 6
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 09:46:38 -0800
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

At 10:17 AM 2/13/00 -0600, you wrote:
>From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
>
>I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more screws,
>the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only reason
>I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They might
>get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it, they
>will have to remove the front seat.

Ralph,

Have you ever checked whether you can get the front panel without the rest
of the rig? I read a while back on one of the lists I'm on that it's
impossible get the front panel by itself.

Hap, w6hap

Message: 7
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 13:04:42 -0600
From: "Dale G. Brown" <dgbrown@wcnet.net>
Subject: Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

He may be thinking of the FC-20....it uses the cable pig-tail for control...Dale
KC2PZ

Message: 8
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 22:29:38 +0100
From: "Rainer Arndt (ERA)" <Rainer.Arndt@era.ericsson.se>
Subject: RE: Digest Number 317

Go into the alignment menu by pushing A,B and C at the same time
and turning the FT-100 on. Then again push and hold FUNC. When
turning the Select knob beyond the usual menus, you will find menus
F01 thru F59. F07 is for S-meter full scale.
Alignment:

Inject a 14.200 MHz in USB mode into the antenna at +95dbmicroVolt.
Adjust F07 to S9+60dB.

Exit the alignment menu push FUNC.
Regards
Rainer
SM5LBR

-----Original Message-----
From: Marcus [mailto:marcusnl@xs4all.nl]
Sent: den 13 februari 2000 15:00
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 317

From: "Marcus" <marcusnl@xs4all.nl>

> Is there anyway to adjust the S-meter on the FT-100??? My S-meter
> seems to be displaying signals signals weaker than what I am receiving.

Hi all,

I have almost the same problem.
In the beginning the S meter was working alright but not anymore.

The max scale is 9 meanwile it can goes up to 9+60. Also often it's more a
modulation meter then a S meter.
It goes up & down. I test with 100 watt and realy the S meter goes not
higher.

Could this be solved?

Marcus pd2db Netherlands

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 9
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 18:26:35 -0500
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
Subject: RE: Digest Number 316

Except you cannot use the auto tune feature on the LDG unless you can
key the FT-100 in CW. Not too easy while mobile, I leave the key at home !!
I asked LDG if they had plans to make an interface like they do for the ICOM,
but they were not too enthusiastic. Guess the owner likes ICOM !!

73, Dick, W1KSZ

-----Original Message-----
From: SS409SS@aol.com [SMTP:SS409SS@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2000 7:03 PM
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 316

From: SS409SS@aol.com

In a message dated 02/12/2000 5:02:05 AM Eastern Standard Time,
FT100@onelist.com writes:

<< From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??

73, Dick, W1KSZ >>

Two words...Cocky Doody.. Get the AT11 from LDG electronics, a much better
tuner than the FC20.... Dont get me wrong, the FC20 works but the AT11 works
better and for much less money.. Regards.. Richy

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 10
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 16:44:39 -0700
From: "Thomas M. Schaefer" <toms@inconnect.com>
Subject: FS: FT-100 w remote kit

I know I said I wouldn't but...

I have an FT100 for sale with the remote seperation kit. I do not want to
take too much bandwidth here, but it is in great shape. I only removed it
from my vehicle because I wanted an APRS mobile rig. If you are interested,
the price is $1000 with the seperation kit. You have to pay shipping and
insurance (20-30?) from Utah to you.

Email me direct for more information.

Thanks,

Tom NY4I
Sandy, Utah

Message: 11
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 20:07:09 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

> >I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more
screws,
> >the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only
reason
> >I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They
might
> >get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it,
they
> >will have to remove the front seat.
>
> Ralph,
>
> Have you ever checked whether you can get the front panel without the rest
> of the rig? I read a while back on one of the lists I'm on that it's
> impossible get the front panel by itself.
>
> Hap, w6hap

My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just ordered another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu they will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if you have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont give you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote mounting kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones with all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate on your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the screws missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too! They must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold you a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
Ralph AA5YC
>
>

Message: 12
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 18:45:57 -0800
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

At 08:07 PM 2/13/00 -0600, you wrote:
>From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
>
>
> > >I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more
>screws,
> > >the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only
>reason
> > >I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They
>might
> > >get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it,
>they
> > >will have to remove the front seat.
> >
> > Ralph,
> >
> > Have you ever checked whether you can get the front panel without the rest
> > of the rig? I read a while back on one of the lists I'm on that it's
> > impossible get the front panel by itself.
> >
> > Hap, w6hap
>
>My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just ordered
>another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu they
>will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if you
>have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont give
>you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote mounting
>kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones with
>all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate on
>your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the screws
>missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too! They
>must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold you
>a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
>Ralph AA5YC
> >
> >

No comment...

Message: 13
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 21:14:30 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

----- Original Message -----
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 8:45 PM
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 315

> From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
> No comment...
Just joking ;-)

Message: 14
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 21:31:47 -0600
From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 316

I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.

I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.

HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.

I'll try it one of these days this week.

Mikef

----- Original Message -----
From: Richard W. Solomon <w1ksz@tiac.net>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 5:26 PM
Subject: RE: [FT100] Digest Number 316

> From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
>
> Except you cannot use the auto tune feature on the LDG unless you can
> key the FT-100 in CW. Not too easy while mobile, I leave the key at home
!!
> I asked LDG if they had plans to make an interface like they do for the
ICOM,
> but they were not too enthusiastic. Guess the owner likes ICOM !!
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: SS409SS@aol.com [SMTP:SS409SS@aol.com]
> Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2000 7:03 PM
> To: FT100@onelist.com
> Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 316
>
> From: SS409SS@aol.com
>
> In a message dated 02/12/2000 5:02:05 AM Eastern Standard Time,

> FT100@onelist.com writes:
>
> << From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
> Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
>
> If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ >>
>
> Two words...Cocky Doody.. Get the AT11 from LDG electronics, a much
better
> tuner than the FC20.... Dont get me wrong, the FC20 works but the AT11
works
> better and for much less money.. Regards.. Richy
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
> Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
> Click
Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> Get what you deserve with NextCard Visa. Rates as low as 2.9 percent
> Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR, online balance transfers, Rewards
> Points, no hidden fees, and much more. Get NextCard today and get the
> credit you deserve. Apply now. Get your NextCard Visa at
> Click
Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 15
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 01:17:02 -0500 (EST)
From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 316

Mike:
Set your AM mode to 10 W and use that to tune. It's pretty easy to hit

the mode button a couple times, transmit for a second, then the mode
button a couple times. That works pretty good with my SGC tuner and I
believe the LDG will tune just as fast.
I tried playing with some of the FT100 tuner modes but finally gave up
due to the limitations and hassle in comparison with the above procedure.

Chuck - N8DNX

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, mikef wrote:

> From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
>
> I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.
>
> I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
> to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.
>
> HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
> takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
> send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
> for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
> the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
> AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.
>
> I'll try it one of these days this week.
>
> Mikef

Subject: [FT100] Digest Number 319
Date: 15 Feb 2000 09:30:13 -0000
From: FT100@onelist.com
To: FT100@onelist.com

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

FREE ADVICE FROM REAL PEOPLE! Xpertsite has thousands of experts who
are willing to answer your questions for FREE. Go to Xpertsite today
and put your mind to rest.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

There are 11 messages in this issue.

Topics in today's digest:

1. Front panels and ethics
From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>

2. RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>
3. Re: Digest Number 318
From: SS409SS@aol.com
4. Re: Front panels and ethics
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
5. OOPS Here is the reply ATAS/FC20 etc..
From: SS409SS@aol.com
6. Re: Digest Number 315
From: N1evh@aol.com
7. RE: Digest Number 316
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
8. RFI
From: k5mog@swbell.net
9. LDG Tuner
From: "AdamH" <adam@uswest.net>
10. Re: RFI
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
11. Re: RFI
From: Vahsen@aol.com

Message: 1
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 15:23:36 -0000
From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
Subject: Front panels and ethics

(I realize that the follow paragraph is obvious, but some readers seem to have missed it)
Since the front panel is easily removable (unless you're in a hurry) and the rest of the rig is likely to be screwed down. It follows that when you leave your car on the street overnight, you will probably remove the front panel and leave the body of the rig in the car. If a thief breaks into your car, he'll get the body of the rig and you'll have the front panel. So long as Yaesu won't sell the front panel, the thief doesn't profit. (If they report all attempts to buy a front panel to the police they might even help catch a few thieves)

I would think that we, as FT100 owners, should insist that Yaesu refuse to sell FT100 front panels.

Steve -AI7W-
Email: ai7w@continet.com

I see Ralph is not the kind of guy that lets the little moral issue get in the way of getting whatever he wants.

<Stuff Deleted>
> My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just ordered

> another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu they
> will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if
you
> have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont
give
> you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote
mounting
> kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones
with
> all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate on
> your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the
screws
> missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too!
They
> must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold
you
> a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
> Ralph AA5YC

Message: 2
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 09:34:36 -0600 (CST)
From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>
Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, William Mastop wrote:

>Tim, I think you may have mistyped below. The atas 100 does not use the cat
>port on the ft-100. The atas 100 connects directly to the hf coax antenna
>output of the ft-100. It does not connect to any other portion of the
>ft-100.
>Perhaps you were referring to the amplifier?
>William

Okay. I don't own the ATAS-100, so I don't know about the physical
connections. I have read several documents claiming that the ATAS-100 and
the CAT port cannot be used at the same time, and I just assumed that it
because of common wiring. Thanks for the correction.

-Tim (WB7NMY)

Message: 3
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 10:35:44 EST
From: SS409SS@aol.com
Subject: Re: Digest Number 318

In a message dated 02/14/2000 5:35:28 AM Eastern Standard Time,
FT100@onelist.com writes:

<< I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.

I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.

HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.

I'll try it one of these days this week.

Mikef >>

Message: 4
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 09:50:50 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Front panels and ethics

----- Original Message -----
From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 9:23 AM
Subject: [FT100] Front panels and ethics

> From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
>
>
> I see Ralph is not the kind of guy that lets the little moral issue get in
> the way of getting whatever he wants.
>
Some of you guys have no sense of humor. If my radio ever gets stolen I
would just simply call my insurance agent and they should cover it. Or the
ARRL will cover it through their insurance plan. I was just making fun of
the fact that some people think that they are getting refurbished radios
from Yaesu because of the old serial numbers and the stickers on the box. I
installed my radio underneath the seat. I had to remove the seat to do it.
If a thief goes to all the trouble to take off the seat to steal the radio
before I get back to my truck, he could have the radio. If the front of my
radio ever gets stolen, I would not order another from HRO. I would just
follow you around and wait until you park your vehicle. Then I would just
simply bust out your window and steal the front of your radio and my
problems will be over.
THAT WAS A JOKE!! GET IT?
Ralph
AA5YC

> <Stuff Deleted>
> > My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just

> ordered
> > another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu
they
> > will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if
> you
> > have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont
> give
> > you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote
> mounting
> > kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones
> with
> > all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate
on
> > your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the
> screws
> > missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too!
> They
> > must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold
> you
> > a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
> > Ralph AA5YC
>
>
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> Get what you deserve with NextCard Visa. ZERO. Rates as low as 0.0
> percent Intro APR, online balance transfers, Rewards Points, no hidden
> fees, and much more. Get NextCard today and get the credit you deserve.
> Apply now! Get your NextCard Visa at
> Click
Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 5
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 10:53:55 EST
From: SS409SS@aol.com
Subject: OOPS Here is the reply ATAS/FC20 etc..

In a message dated 02/14/2000 5:35:28 AM Eastern Standard Time,
FT100@onelist.com writes:

<< I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.

I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.

HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.

I'll try it one of these days this week.

Mikef >>

My big thumb hit the send button..Sorry!!
LDG makes a new version available with a remote mount head, its called the
MD11. This solves the problem of tuning the AT11 from another location. If
you are a "good ham", you can install an earphone jack and add a remote
button to tune the AT11 from up front and avoid the addtional purchase cost
of the MD11. I would still rather have the AT11 or MD11 over the FC20.
To clarify things, the FC20 uses the data line which then precludes the
use of the data line for cat functions. The ATAS does not require the data
line for any purpose whatsoever. The ATAS uses a circuit which determines
motor direction by how much voltage is sent up the coax. If its 9 volts it
goes one way, if its 12 it goes the other (circuitry is located in the
antenna).
The radio "watches" the SWR to find a match and no info is sent to the
antenna nor sent back during this process. The radio requires no connection
to the CAT port for this to occur. The FC20 will tie up the cat port and also
"suck" in actually doing the job of matching the antenna to the radio. Dont
get me wrong, they work but for 250 plus it should be better. Its matching
range is limited and will often fail in finding a match for a non resonant
antenna. Its good for tweaking an antenna thats a band or two away but forget
trying to tune an antron on 17m as it most likely wont do it...
Lets all be honest here, I am hearing quite a bit of discomfort with the
FT100 over its true purpose (a small do all radio). I enjoy the radio very
much and do agree it could be better but its merits far outweigh its faults.
I am working quite a few countries every day from the car with excellent
reports, I use the radio as an FM rx and listen to local talk radio inbetween
lulls in band activity. When the bands I use are closed I often jump onto 2m
ssb and chat with my friends using a vertical. I also jump on the 2m/440
machines to see whats happening, for ease of use this radio is great, all
bands in a box, the other stuff is trivial.. Good Luck.. Richy

---

Message: 6
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 16:25:00 EST
From: N1evh@aol.com
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

In a message dated 2/13/00 9:13:56 PM Eastern Standard Time,
trans-am@austin.rr.com writes:

> Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones with all the
> stickers on the outside of the box.

I recently got an FT-100 with a 9D serial number from HRO. Any
idea what all of the different color stickers on the outside of the
box mean???

Mike - N1EVH
n1evh@aol.com

Message: 7
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 17:48:08 -0500
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
Subject: RE: Digest Number 316

I would hesitate to do that while going down the highway at 55 !!!

73, Dick, W1KSZ

-----Original Message-----
From: Charles Scott [SMTP:cscott@gaslightmedia.com]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 1:17 AM
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 316

From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>

Mike:
Set your AM mode to 10 W and use that to tune. It's pretty easy to hit
the mode button a couple times, transmit for a second, then the mode
button a couple times. That works pretty good with my SGC tuner and I
believe the LDG will tune just as fast.
I tried playing with some of the FT100 tuner modes but finally gave up
due to the limitations and hassle in comparison with the above procedure.

Chuck - N8DNX

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, mikef wrote:

> From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
>
> I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.
>
> I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
> to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.
>
> HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
> takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
> send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap

> for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
> the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
> AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.
>
> I'll try it one of these days this week.
>
> Mikef

---------- ONElist Sponsor ----------

Get what you deserve with NextCard Visa. ZERO. Rates as low as 0.0
percent Intro APR, online balance transfers, Rewards Points, no hidden
fees, and much more. Get NextCard today and get the credit you deserve.
Apply now! Get your NextCard Visa at
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 8
Date: 15 Feb 2000 04:57:22 -0000
From: k5mog@swbell.net
Subject: RFI

Hello

I have a FT100 from the 9e series with several mod stickers for what
thats worth. The radio has always been well grounded but always has RF
problems. This results in uneven output and crappy audio. It even changs
bands at times just by keying the mike. Has anyone run into this kind
of problems and how did you solve it? I have several radios both mobile
and base living happily where I tried to use the FT100. What gives with
this radio? What makes it so sensitive?
Thanks Ralph k5mog

Message: 9
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 22:06:11 -0700
From: "AdamH" <adam@uswest.net>
Subject: LDG Tuner

I ordered a LDG AT-11 today.I was impressed by the knowledge the person I
talked with. I was also told that if within the 30 days after I received the
tuner I wanted to upgrade to the AT-11MP I would be given full credit for
the AT-11 minus the shipping. This is a real good deal! I am using a FC-20
right now and am really unimpressed. I also tried a MFJ-948 and was
exceptionally disappointed. I'm using 300 foot long wires and a dipole as
the antennas. I've already done a dejanews and onelist search, but Im

looking for any and all comments regarding this tuner. Also if anyone is interested, I will be doing a performance study of my new FT100, s/n 9F04xxxx and will create a word document of the results. Please email me your address if you are interested. I use a IFR 1200S for the testing.

Message: 10
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 23:20:47 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: RFI

----- Original Message -----
From: <k5mog@swbell.net>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 10:57 PM
Subject: [FT100] RFI

> From: k5mog@swbell.net
>
> Hello
>
> I have a FT100 from the 9e series with several mod stickers for what
> thats worth. The radio has always been well grounded but always has RF
> problems. This results in uneven output and crappy audio. It even changs
> bands at times just by keying the mike. Has anyone run into this kind
> of problems and how did you solve it? I have several radios both mobile
> and base living happily where I tried to use the FT100. What gives with
> this radio? What makes it so sensitive?
> Thanks Ralph k5mog

Sounds like you still don't have a good enough ground. Have you tryed the FT-100 in your house as a base unit with a good ground? If it works in your house but not in your car then it is probably not grounded good enough in your car. You may want to invest in the seperation kit and mount the radio underneath your seat. Run a 3 inch ground wire made from and old piece of braid from old coax from the side of the radio straight to the floor board. Scrape the paint off first to bare metal. That should fix half your ground problems. That is the easy part. The hard part will be finding a good ground for the antenna. Good Luck
Ralph
AA5YC
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> Shabang.com is the place to get your FREE eStore, Absolutely FREE
> Forever. If you have any desires to sell your products or services
> online, or you want to expand your customer base for FREE, Come check
> out Shabang.com FREE eStores.
> Click Here
>

> ---
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 11
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 03:10:26 EST
From: Vahsen@aol.com
Subject: Re: RFI

I have the same problem, i tried everything b ut it did not work. so I decide to put my ft100 and the atas antenne off and install my old alinco dx70 with samrtuner, which was working the last years perfect. My opinion: The ft100 is a very nice device for a little homestation, but not good for mobile use.73 Gerd DL1GVM

4596. more on ft100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38AB01A2.B9E8E87A@netrover.com>
Source record: 119090

Subject: [FT100] Digest Number 318
Date: 14 Feb 2000 10:29:33 -0000
From: FT100@onelist.com
To: FT100@onelist.com

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

There are 15 messages in this issue.

Topics in today's digest:

1. Re: Digest Number 317
From: "Marcus" <marcusnl@xs4all.nl>
2. Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net>
3. Re: Digest Number 315
From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
4. Re: Digest Number 315
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
5. RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "William Mastop" <wmastop@home.com>
6. Re: Digest Number 315
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
7. Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "Dale G. Brown" <dgbrown@wcnet.net>
8. RE: Digest Number 317
From: "Rainer Arndt (ERA)" <Rainer.Arndt@era.ericsson.se>
9. RE: Digest Number 316
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
10. FS: FT-100 w remote kit
From: "Thomas M. Schaefer" <toms@inconnect.com>
11. Re: Digest Number 315
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
12. Re: Digest Number 315
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
13. Re: Digest Number 315
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>

14. Re: Digest Number 316
From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
15. Re: Digest Number 316
From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>

Message: 1
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 14:59:31 +0100
From: "Marcus" <marcusnl@xs4all.nl>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 317

> Is there anyway to adjust the S-meter on the FT-100??? My S-meter
> seems to be displaying signals signals weaker than what I am receiving.

Hi all,

I have almost the same problem.
In the beginning the S meter was working alright but not anymore.

The max scale is 9 meanwile it can goes up to 9+60. Also often it's more a
modulation meter then a S meter.
It goes up & down. I test with 100 watt and realy the S meter goes not
higher.

Could this be solved?

Marcus pd2db Netherlands

Message: 2
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 07:01:31 -0800
From: "Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Subject: Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

What do you mean when you say that the ATAS 100 uses the CAT port?

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

----- Original Message -----
From: Timothy H. Heaton
To: FT100@onelist.com
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2000 3:10 PM

Subject: Re: [FT100] Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>

> From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
>
> If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ

I doubt the ATAS-100 sends ANY commands to the FT-100; all control is surely from the radio. The radio may send low power signals to the antenna and adjust it according to the return SWR, but that is different from the changing the FT-100's power setting externally.

Also note that the ATAS-100 and a CAT connection to a computer cannot be used simultaneously, as both require use of the single CAT port. TRX-Manager's documentation makes a point of this.

>> In reading the manual and testing the FT-100 via a computer, I don't
>> believe that either keying the transmitter or changing the output power
>> are available functions using the CAT interface. Sorry to add to the
>> list of disappointments.
>>
>> -Tim (WB7NMY) who still loves his FT-100!

Please note my earlier correction that you CAN key the FT-100 via CAT input, but you can't change the power setting.

-Tim (WB7NMY)

Please click above to support our sponsor

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Message: 3
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 15:48:30 GMT
From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

> Wow. My installer lost the aforementioned screws. After a dozen local
> inquiries I found 25mm(!) long 2.6mm screws and I fashioned a huge washer.
> But when I sent the radio in for some minor repairs I asked Yaesu and they
> supplied for free some replacements.

> Gee, I just don't see why they didn't use a snap connector arrangement on
> the connection at the radio.

Me too - I'm surprised that more people haven't complained about this!
Although I've got the Remote Kit, I find myself not using it because of
this arrangement, and the risk of losing the screws. The IC-706
introduced this idea - you'd have thought that Yaesu would have
learned!

Bob, G8IYK

Message: 4
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 10:17:25 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more screws,
the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only reason
I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They might
get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it, they
will have to remove the front seat.
Ralph
AA5YC
----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 9:48 AM
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 315

> From: Bob Sayers <bob.sayers@zetnet.co.uk>
>
> > Gee, I just don't see why they didn't use a snap connector arrangement
on
> > the connection at the radio.
>
> Me too - I'm surprised that more people haven't complained about this!
> Although I've got the Remote Kit, I find myself not using it because of
> this arrangement, and the risk of losing the screws. The IC-706
> introduced this idea - you'd have thought that Yaesu would have
> learned!
>
>
> Bob, G8IYK
>
>
>
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
> Intro APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
> Click
Here

>
> ---
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 5
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 09:10:06 -0800
From: "William Mastop" <wmastop@home.com>
Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

Tim, I think you may have mistyped below. The atas 100 does not use the cat port on the ft-100. The atas 100 connects directly to the hf coax antenna output of the ft-100. It does not connect to any other portion of the ft-100.
Perhaps you were referring to the amplifier?
William

-----Original Message-----
From: Timothy H. Heaton [mailto:theaton@usd.edu]
Sent: February 12, 2000 3:10 PM
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>

> From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
>
> If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ

I doubt the ATAS-100 sends ANY commands to the FT-100; all control is surely from the radio. The radio may send low power signals to the antenna and adjust it according to the return SWR, but that is different from the changing the FT-100's power setting externally.

Also note that the ATAS-100 and a CAT connection to a computer cannot be used simultaneously, as both require use of the single CAT port. TRX-Manager's documentation makes a point of this.

>> In reading the manual and testing the FT-100 via a computer, I don't
>> believe that either keying the transmitter or changing the output power
>> are available functions using the CAT interface. Sorry to add to the
>> list of disappointments.
>>
>> -Tim (WB7NMY) who still loves his FT-100!

Please note my earlier correction that you CAN key the FT-100 via CAT input, but you can't change the power setting.

-Tim (WB7NMY)

---------- ONElist Sponsor ----------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 6
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 09:46:38 -0800
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

At 10:17 AM 2/13/00 -0600, you wrote:
>From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
>
>I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more screws,
>the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only reason
>I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They might
>get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it, they
>will have to remove the front seat.

Ralph,

Have you ever checked whether you can get the front panel without the rest
of the rig? I read a while back on one of the lists I'm on that it's
impossible get the front panel by itself.

Hap, w6hap

Message: 7
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 13:04:42 -0600
From: "Dale G. Brown" <dgbrown@wcnet.net>
Subject: Re: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

He may be thinking of the FC-20....it uses the cable pig-tail for control...Dale
KC2PZ

Message: 8
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 22:29:38 +0100
From: "Rainer Arndt (ERA)" <Rainer.Arndt@era.ericsson.se>
Subject: RE: Digest Number 317

Go into the alignment menu by pushing A,B and C at the same time
and turning the FT-100 on. Then again push and hold FUNC. When
turning the Select knob beyond the usual menus, you will find menus
F01 thru F59. F07 is for S-meter full scale.
Alignment:

Inject a 14.200 MHz in USB mode into the antenna at +95dbmicroVolt.
Adjust F07 to S9+60dB.

Exit the alignment menu push FUNC.
Regards
Rainer
SM5LBR

-----Original Message-----
From: Marcus [mailto:marcusnl@xs4all.nl]
Sent: den 13 februari 2000 15:00
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 317

From: "Marcus" <marcusnl@xs4all.nl>

> Is there anyway to adjust the S-meter on the FT-100??? My S-meter
> seems to be displaying signals signals weaker than what I am receiving.

Hi all,

I have almost the same problem.
In the beginning the S meter was working alright but not anymore.

The max scale is 9 meanwile it can goes up to 9+60. Also often it's more a
modulation meter then a S meter.
It goes up & down. I test with 100 watt and realy the S meter goes not
higher.

Could this be solved?

Marcus pd2db Netherlands

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 9
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 18:26:35 -0500
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
Subject: RE: Digest Number 316

Except you cannot use the auto tune feature on the LDG unless you can
key the FT-100 in CW. Not too easy while mobile, I leave the key at home !!
I asked LDG if they had plans to make an interface like they do for the ICOM,
but they were not too enthusiastic. Guess the owner likes ICOM !!

73, Dick, W1KSZ

-----Original Message-----
From: SS409SS@aol.com [SMTP:SS409SS@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2000 7:03 PM
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 316

From: SS409SS@aol.com

In a message dated 02/12/2000 5:02:05 AM Eastern Standard Time,
FT100@onelist.com writes:

<< From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??

73, Dick, W1KSZ >>

Two words...Cocky Doody.. Get the AT11 from LDG electronics, a much better
tuner than the FC20.... Dont get me wrong, the FC20 works but the AT11 works
better and for much less money.. Regards.. Richy

---------- ONElist Sponsor ----------

GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 10
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 16:44:39 -0700
From: "Thomas M. Schaefer" <toms@inconnect.com>
Subject: FS: FT-100 w remote kit

I know I said I wouldn't but...

I have an FT100 for sale with the remote seperation kit. I do not want to
take too much bandwidth here, but it is in great shape. I only removed it
from my vehicle because I wanted an APRS mobile rig. If you are interested,
the price is $1000 with the seperation kit. You have to pay shipping and
insurance (20-30?) from Utah to you.

Email me direct for more information.

Thanks,

Tom NY4I
Sandy, Utah

Message: 11
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 20:07:09 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

> >I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more
screws,
> >the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only
reason
> >I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They
might
> >get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it,
they
> >will have to remove the front seat.
>
> Ralph,
>
> Have you ever checked whether you can get the front panel without the rest
> of the rig? I read a while back on one of the lists I'm on that it's
> impossible get the front panel by itself.
>
> Hap, w6hap

My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just ordered another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu they will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if you have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont give you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote mounting kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones with all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate on your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the screws missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too! They must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold you a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
Ralph AA5YC
>
>

Message: 12
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 18:45:57 -0800
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

At 08:07 PM 2/13/00 -0600, you wrote:
>From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
>
>
> > >I like the idea of the screws. The more screws the better. The more
>screws,
> > >the harder it is to steal and the longer it takes to steal. The only
>reason
> > >I got the remote kit is so that the radio looks harder to steal. They
>might
> > >get the front of the radio, but if they want to steal the rest of it,
>they
> > >will have to remove the front seat.
> >
> > Ralph,
> >
> > Have you ever checked whether you can get the front panel without the rest
> > of the rig? I read a while back on one of the lists I'm on that it's
> > impossible get the front panel by itself.
> >
> > Hap, w6hap
>
>My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just ordered
>another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu they
>will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if you
>have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont give
>you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote mounting
>kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones with
>all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate on
>your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the screws
>missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too! They
>must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold you
>a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
>Ralph AA5YC
> >
> >

No comment...

Message: 13
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 21:14:30 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

----- Original Message -----
From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 8:45 PM
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 315

> From: Hap <hap@tscnet.com>
> No comment...
Just joking ;-)

Message: 14
Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2000 21:31:47 -0600
From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 316

I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.

I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.

HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.

I'll try it one of these days this week.

Mikef

----- Original Message -----
From: Richard W. Solomon <w1ksz@tiac.net>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2000 5:26 PM
Subject: RE: [FT100] Digest Number 316

> From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
>
> Except you cannot use the auto tune feature on the LDG unless you can
> key the FT-100 in CW. Not too easy while mobile, I leave the key at home
!!
> I asked LDG if they had plans to make an interface like they do for the
ICOM,
> but they were not too enthusiastic. Guess the owner likes ICOM !!
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: SS409SS@aol.com [SMTP:SS409SS@aol.com]
> Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2000 7:03 PM
> To: FT100@onelist.com
> Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 316
>
> From: SS409SS@aol.com
>
> In a message dated 02/12/2000 5:02:05 AM Eastern Standard Time,

> FT100@onelist.com writes:
>
> << From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
> Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
>
> If so, then how does the FT-100/FC-20 combo work ??
>
> 73, Dick, W1KSZ >>
>
> Two words...Cocky Doody.. Get the AT11 from LDG electronics, a much
better
> tuner than the FC20.... Dont get me wrong, the FC20 works but the AT11
works
> better and for much less money.. Regards.. Richy
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> GET A NEXTCARD VISA, in 30 seconds. Get rates as low as 0.0 percent
> Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR and no hidden fees. Apply NOW.
> Click
Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> Get what you deserve with NextCard Visa. Rates as low as 2.9 percent
> Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR, online balance transfers, Rewards
> Points, no hidden fees, and much more. Get NextCard today and get the
> credit you deserve. Apply now. Get your NextCard Visa at
> Click
Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 15
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 01:17:02 -0500 (EST)
From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>
Subject: Re: Digest Number 316

Mike:
Set your AM mode to 10 W and use that to tune. It's pretty easy to hit

the mode button a couple times, transmit for a second, then the mode
button a couple times. That works pretty good with my SGC tuner and I
believe the LDG will tune just as fast.
I tried playing with some of the FT100 tuner modes but finally gave up
due to the limitations and hassle in comparison with the above procedure.

Chuck - N8DNX

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, mikef wrote:

> From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
>
> I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.
>
> I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
> to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.
>
> HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
> takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
> send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
> for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
> the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
> AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.
>
> I'll try it one of these days this week.
>
> Mikef

4597. more on ft100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38AB01C0.637EE238@netrover.com>
Source record: 119091

Subject: [FT100] Digest Number 319
Date: 15 Feb 2000 09:30:13 -0000
From: FT100@onelist.com
To: FT100@onelist.com

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

FREE ADVICE FROM REAL PEOPLE! Xpertsite has thousands of experts who
are willing to answer your questions for FREE. Go to Xpertsite today
and put your mind to rest.
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

There are 11 messages in this issue.

Topics in today's digest:

1. Front panels and ethics
From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
2. RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?
From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>
3. Re: Digest Number 318
From: SS409SS@aol.com
4. Re: Front panels and ethics
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
5. OOPS Here is the reply ATAS/FC20 etc..
From: SS409SS@aol.com
6. Re: Digest Number 315
From: N1evh@aol.com
7. RE: Digest Number 316
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
8. RFI
From: k5mog@swbell.net
9. LDG Tuner
From: "AdamH" <adam@uswest.net>
10. Re: RFI
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
11. Re: RFI
From: Vahsen@aol.com

Message: 1
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 15:23:36 -0000
From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
Subject: Front panels and ethics

(I realize that the follow paragraph is obvious, but some readers seem to have missed it)
Since the front panel is easily removable (unless you're in a hurry) and the rest of the rig is likely to be screwed down. It follows that when you leave your car on the street overnight, you will probably remove the front panel and leave the body of the rig in the car. If a thief breaks into your car, he'll get the body of the rig and you'll have the front panel. So long as Yaesu won't sell the front panel, the thief doesn't profit. (If they report all attempts to buy a front panel to the police they might even help catch a few thieves)

I would think that we, as FT100 owners, should insist that Yaesu refuse to sell FT100 front panels.

Steve -AI7W-
Email: ai7w@continet.com

I see Ralph is not the kind of guy that lets the little moral issue get in the way of getting whatever he wants.

<Stuff Deleted>
> My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just ordered
> another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu they
> will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if you
> have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont give
> you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote mounting
> kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones with
> all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate on
> your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the screws
> missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too! They
> must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold you
> a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
> Ralph AA5YC

Message: 2
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 09:34:36 -0600 (CST)
From: "Timothy H. Heaton" <theaton@usd.edu>

Subject: RE: Is an FT-100 Keypad worth doing?

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, William Mastop wrote:

>Tim, I think you may have mistyped below. The atas 100 does not use the cat
>port on the ft-100. The atas 100 connects directly to the hf coax antenna
>output of the ft-100. It does not connect to any other portion of the
>ft-100.
>Perhaps you were referring to the amplifier?
>William

Okay. I don't own the ATAS-100, so I don't know about the physical connections. I have read several documents claiming that the ATAS-100 and the CAT port cannot be used at the same time, and I just assumed that it because of common wiring. Thanks for the correction.

-Tim (WB7NMY)

Message: 3
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 10:35:44 EST
From: SS409SS@aol.com
Subject: Re: Digest Number 318

In a message dated 02/14/2000 5:35:28 AM Eastern Standard Time, FT100@onelist.com writes:

<< I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.

I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...) to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.

HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.

I'll try it one of these days this week.

Mikef >>

Message: 4
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 09:50:50 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Front panels and ethics

----- Original Message -----
From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>

To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 9:23 AM
Subject: [FT100] Front panels and ethics

> From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
>
>
> I see Ralph is not the kind of guy that lets the little moral issue get in
> the way of getting whatever he wants.
>
Some of you guys have no sense of humor. If my radio ever gets stolen I
would just simply call my insurance agent and they should cover it. Or the
ARRL will cover it through their insurance plan. I was just making fun of
the fact that some people think that they are getting refurbished radios
from Yaesu because of the old serial numbers and the stickers on the box. I
installed my radio underneath the seat. I had to remove the seat to do it.
If a thief goes to all the trouble to take off the seat to steal the radio
before I get back to my truck, he could have the radio. If the front of my
radio ever gets stolen, I would not order another from HRO. I would just
follow you around and wait until you park your vehicle. Then I would just
simply bust out your window and steal the front of your radio and my
problems will be over.
THAT WAS A JOKE!! GET IT?
Ralph
AA5YC

> <Stuff Deleted>
> > My son had the front of his stereo stolen out of his car and he just
> ordered
> > another one with no problem. I am sure if you scream enough at Yaesu
they
> > will sell you another one. I don't see why the wouldn't, especially if
> you
> > have a receipt. If anybody gets their faceplate stolen and Yaesu wont
> give
> > you another one here is what you do. Order a new radio and remote
> mounting
> > kit from HRO. Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones
> with
> > all the stickers on the outside of the box. Install the new face plate
on
> > your old radio. Then call Yaesu and tell them that not only are the
> screws
> > missing from the remote kit, but the front of the radio is missing too!
> They
> > must have forgot to put in the face plate and the screws when they sold
> you
> > a refurbished radio. Send them the radio back and get your money back.
> > Ralph AA5YC
>
>

>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> Get what you deserve with NextCard Visa. ZERO. Rates as low as 0.0
> percent Intro APR, online balance transfers, Rewards Points, no hidden
> fees, and much more. Get NextCard today and get the credit you deserve.
> Apply now! Get your NextCard Visa at
> Click
Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 5
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 10:53:55 EST
From: SS409SS@aol.com
Subject: OOPS Here is the reply ATAS/FC20 etc..

In a message dated 02/14/2000 5:35:28 AM Eastern Standard Time,
FT100@onelist.com writes:

<< I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.

I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.

HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.

I'll try it one of these days this week.

Mikef >>

My big thumb hit the send button..Sorry!!
LDG makes a new version available with a remote mount head, its called the
MD11. This solves the problem of tuning the AT11 from another location. If
you are a "good ham", you can install an earphone jack and add a remote
button to tune the AT11 from up front and avoid the addtional purchase cost
of the MD11. I would still rather have the AT11 or MD11 over the FC20.
To clarify things, the FC20 uses the data line which then precludes the
use of the data line for cat functions. The ATAS does not require the data
line for any purpose whatsoever. The ATAS uses a circuit which determines
motor direction by how much voltage is sent up the coax. If its 9 volts it
goes one way, if its 12 it goes the other (circuitry is located in the

antenna).
The radio "watches" the SWR to find a match and no info is sent to the antenna nor sent back during this process. The radio requires no connection to the CAT port for this to occur. The FC20 will tie up the cat port and also "suck" in actually doing the job of matching the antenna to the radio. Dont get me wrong, they work but for 250 plus it should be better. Its matching range is limited and will often fail in finding a match for a non resonant antenna. Its good for tweaking an antenna thats a band or two away but forget trying to tune an antron on 17m as it most likely wont do it...
Lets all be honest here, I am hearing quite a bit of discomfort with the FT100 over its true purpose (a small do all radio). I enjoy the radio very much and do agree it could be better but its merits far outweigh its faults. I am working quite a few countries every day from the car with excellent reports, I use the radio as an FM rx and listen to local talk radio inbetween lulls in band activity. When the bands I use are closed I often jump onto 2m ssb and chat with my friends using a vertical. I also jump on the 2m/440 machines to see whats happening, for ease of use this radio is great, all bands in a box, the other stuff is trivial.. Good Luck.. Richy

---

Message: 6
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 16:25:00 EST
From: N1evh@aol.com
Subject: Re: Digest Number 315

In a message dated 2/13/00 9:13:56 PM Eastern Standard Time,
trans-am@austin.rr.com writes:

> Make sure they give you one of those 9D refurbished ones with all the
> stickers on the outside of the box.

I recently got an FT-100 with a 9D serial number from HRO. Any
idea what all of the different color stickers on the outside of the
box mean???

Mike - N1EVH
n1evh@aol.com

Message: 7
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 17:48:08 -0500
From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
Subject: RE: Digest Number 316

I would hesitate to do that while going down the highway at 55 !!!

73, Dick, W1KSZ

-----Original Message-----
From: Charles Scott [SMTP:cscott@gaslightmedia.com]

Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 1:17 AM
To: FT100@onelist.com
Subject: Re: [FT100] Digest Number 316

From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>

Mike:
Set your AM mode to 10 W and use that to tune. It's pretty easy to hit
the mode button a couple times, transmit for a second, then the mode
button a couple times. That works pretty good with my SGC tuner and I
believe the LDG will tune just as fast.
I tried playing with some of the FT100 tuner modes but finally gave up
due to the limitations and hassle in comparison with the above procedure.

Chuck - N8DNX

On Sun, 13 Feb 2000, mikef wrote:

> From: "mikef" <mikef@usfamily.net>
>
> I've got the LDG tuner and it's working fine.
>
> I'm in the process (just broke away from some soldering to recheck mail...)
> to add mods to allow me to remote mount a front panel for the LDG unit.
>
> HOWEVER, I also want to do some playing with the FT100 to find out what it
> takes to get a "Tune" signal out of the FT100. I THINK that the FT100 will
> send a +9v or +12v DC voltage through the antenna lead (use a choke and cap
> for filter) and use that signal to drive a relay, to do a "manual tune" of
> the LDG AT-11. That means that with a simple filter and a relay in the
> AT-11, the FT100 can initiate a TUNE function.
>
> I'll try it one of these days this week.
>
> Mikef

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

Get what you deserve with NextCard Visa. ZERO. Rates as low as 0.0
percent Intro APR, online balance transfers, Rewards Points, no hidden
fees, and much more. Get NextCard today and get the credit you deserve.
Apply now! Get your NextCard Visa at
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

Message: 8
Date: 15 Feb 2000 04:57:22 -0000
From: k5mog@swbell.net

Subject: RFI

Hello

I have a FT100 from the 9e series with several mod stickers for what
thats worth. The radio has always been well grounded but always has RF
problems. This results in uneven output and crappy audio. It even changs
bands at times just by keying the mike. Has anyone run into this kind
of problems and how did you solve it? I have several radios both mobile
and base living happily where I tried to use the FT100. What gives with
this radio? What makes it so sensitive?
Thanks Ralph k5mog

Message: 9
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 22:06:11 -0700
From: "AdamH" <adam@uswest.net>
Subject: LDG Tuner

I ordered a LDG AT-11 today.I was impressed by the knowledge the person I
talked with. I was also told that if within the 30 days after I received the
tuner I wanted to upgrade to the AT-11MP I would be given full credit for
the AT-11 minus the shipping. This is a real good deal! I am using a FC-20
right now and am really unimpressed. I also tried a MFJ-948 and was
exceptionally disappointed. I'm using 300 foot long wires and a dipole as
the antennas. I've already done a dejanews and onelist search, but Im
looking for any and all comments regarding this tuner. Also if anyone is
interested, I will be doing a performance study of my new FT100, s/n
9F04xxxx and will create a word document of the results. Please email me
your address if you are interested. I use a IFR 1200S for the testing.

Message: 10
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2000 23:20:47 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: RFI

----- Original Message -----
From: <k5mog@swbell.net>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2000 10:57 PM
Subject: [FT100] RFI

> From: k5mog@swbell.net
>
> Hello
>
> I have a FT100 from the 9e series with several mod stickers for what
> thats worth. The radio has always been well grounded but always has RF

> problems. This results in uneven output and crappy audio. It even changs
> bands at times just by keying the mike. Has anyone run into this kind
> of problems and how did you solve it? I have several radios both mobile
> and base living happily where I tried to use the FT100. What gives with
> this radio? What makes it so sensitive?
> Thanks Ralph k5mog

Sounds like you still don't have a good enough ground. Have you tryed the
FT-100 in your house as a base unit with a good ground? If it works in your
house but not in your car then it is probably not grounded good enough in
your car. You may want to invest in the seperation kit and mount the radio
underneath your seat. Run a 3 inch ground wire made from and old piece of
braid from old coax from the side of the radio straight to the floor board.
Scrape the paint off first to bare metal. That should fix half your ground
problems. That is the easy part. The hard part will be finding a good ground
for the antenna. Good Luck
Ralph
AA5YC
>
> ---------- ONElist Sponsor ----------
>
> Shabang.com is the place to get your FREE eStore, Absolutely FREE
> Forever. If you have any desires to sell your products or services
> online, or you want to expand your customer base for FREE, Come check
> out Shabang.com FREE eStores.
> Click Here
>
> ---
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 11
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 03:10:26 EST
From: Vahsen@aol.com
Subject: Re: RFI

I have the same problem, i tried everything b ut it did not work. so I decide
to put my ft100 and the atas antenne off and install my old alinco dx70 with
samrtuner, which was working the last years perfect. My opinion: The ft100 is
a very nice device for a little homestation, but not good for mobile use.73
Gerd DL1GVM

4598. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38aada8b.10832391@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 14 Feb 2000 19:48:43 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <38a75e17.13809624@news.interactive.net>,
> billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2000 03:47:51 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <38a18e12.12621814@news.interactive.net>,
>> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> >> On Tue, 08 Feb 2000 03:40:07 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >BUT IMHO I believe
>> >that Ham Radio's Basis and Purpose meant more to me than it did or
>> >does to you.
>>
>> ONE part of it takes more importance in your opinion.
>
>Yep...BUT that is a rather large part. You can also understand that
>the before 1951 Ham qualifications, the after 1951 Ham qualifications
>and now the after April 15th, 2000 Ham qualification are different
>enough for us to recognize that the "Joe Average Ham" of each era will
>be vastly different.

Times change, technology changes.

>> >That is because I started in the hobby as a poor kid and
>> >became a ham, a technician, a U.S. Army field radio repairman, a
>> >field service engineer, and a degreed design engineer... in that order.
>>
>> So what? I obtained an AAS degree, went in the Navy
>> as a technician, and became a telephone company
>> central office technician...and then I finished a BA
>> degree which I did over a 5 year period at night.
>I completed a BSEE in eleven years at night and later got an MSEd in
>two years.
>I can respect all that you have accomplished and can recognize your
>progress but where and when did your interest in Ham Radio start and
>what stage were you at when you were first licensed? In other words: -
>Did you become a Ham because of your career or did you choose your
>career because you were a Ham or do you not see any relationship
>between your hobby interests and your education and career?

I was a ham first...in high school. Did ham radio influence
my career choice? Absolutely!

>> >NOT
>> >for every Ham but maybe for the kids who become Hams even when their
>> >parents and teachers try to discourage them from what they believe to
>> >be an expensive hobby.
>>
>> I have never met anyone that so discouraged any youth
>> from becoming a ham.
>
>I have had High School teachers tell me that I was wasting my time and
>should be working harder on what they believed to be more in the area
>of academic college preparation. A biology teacher said that no hobby
>should come before school work...which at that time involved memorizing
>the Latin names for lots of fauna and flora that I succeeded in
>doing...but have since been of no real use to me and I have forgotten.

That is far different than discouraging you as you discrbed above.
Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
thought they already knew it all...and/or because they spent
too much time hamming and not studying.

>My parents didn't want me to spend time and money on Ham radio nor to
>cause TVI to the neighbors in the apartment house we were living in.
>They were afraid that my antennas would cause the landlord to raise our
>rent.

Luckily mine encouraged me to be a ham.
If you didn't spend time and money on ham radio, what
did your parents want you to spend time and money on?
As for the TVI worry and rent, that makes no sense.

>At this point we don't differ...BUT why can't you understand that the
>GOALS of the Basis and Purpose of Ham Radio include encouraging young
>people, who want to be Hams, to use what they learn as Hams to
>advantage in their chosen careers if practical. I admit that this can
>not apply to all Hams BUT we can see that it does apply to many Hams,
>including you and I, to at least some extent.

Agreed. I, however, see YOU wanting the technical
aspect of the Basis and Purpose to be forced on all
hams (or a large majority of hams). THAT is where we
differ...some will, not all or most must.

>> More words than anything of substance. Those of
>> us that are hams today must be the example. FCC
>> rules won't and don't do anything but set the playing field.
>
>By "productive way" I can mean any or all the subparagraphs of our
>Basis and Purpose.
>POTENTIAL ETHICAL WORK VALUES are an

>abstraction BUT they are just as
>much of substance as "Family Values" which is also IMHO an
>abstraction. Both values are conceptual and can only be fully defined
>by those who understand them from a conceptual point of view.

None of this has any place in government rules
and regulations for ham licenses. That it MIGHT
play some role in the lives of some hams is just
a byproduct of the process. The government
ham license requirements are not and should not
be viewed as being some type of personal
litmus test for subjective work, family values
or ethics.

>> > Today many Ham think that building anything
>> >from scratch would be near impossible.
>>
>> It depends on what you want the finished product
>> to accomplish. The bottom line is that you can't
>> go home again to the 50s. Technical mprovements,
>> advances, etc have forclosed MOST home
>> brewing of major elements (e.g. recievers and or
>> transmitters).
>
>No. You can't go back to the 50s and in the future nobody can go back
>to 2000 either. IMHO no technology will ever eliminate the ability to
>home-brew...BUT if the future Hams are not given incentive to learn and
>confidence that they can home-brew then we can not expect home-brewing
>to become more popular than it has been in recent years. Putting more
>circuits on a silicon substrate makes things easier NOT more difficult.

The REASON home brewing has fallen from favor
is because the end results don't provide the reason
to do so. There is NO significant (if any) cost savings,
there is not a likly better end result, etc.

> We can not expect to popularize something that publishers, such as
>the ARRL, may not themselves be particularly motivatted to encourage.

Why wouldn't ARRL be motivated to publish home-brew
articles (assuming there was any readership demand for
them)?

>True there are no Hugo Gernsbacks to encourage folks by telling them
>how easy it is to listen to the world on a two tube SW radio BUT there
>are those who can see profit in selling Yaesu, Kenwood and ICOM radios,
>which in my opinion can only help Hams to become appliance operators.

And what is so terrible if that is what happens?
How many people home repair their autos anymore...
because GM, Ford, Toyata, etc have developed the
car to an engineering level that surpasses back-yard

mechanic's skill levels?

>There are lots of Hams, who I have recently spoken to, who agree that
>the license restructuring will serve to make more Ham appliance
>operators who we do not believe in the Ham values that formed our
>original purpose nor will futrure Hams, as a group, continue the legacy
>which IMHO has made Ham Radio what it was and is today.

This is where you draw a conclusion that does not
derive from your premise. You assume, incorrectly,
that all appliance ops will not embark on learning
anything about theory and/or won't follow a career
path that you and I did. I think such a conclusion
ignores reality.

As for career choices, I will note that unless we
bring in YOUTH as hams, then it is too late to
influence career choice once the person is in
their mid 20's or older.

>> And those that do progress to the limits of the state of the present
>> art are NOT going to do so on their own. They will have
>> embarked on an academic and/or career path to that end.
>> You comment as if no one at all is doing or progressing
>> beyond the license knowledge. That is simply not true.
>
>Maybe most - but not all. Just remember that Bill Gates dropped out of
>college because he understood that what he knew made him more valuable
>than what he could expect to learn by finishing college. This is always
>the case.

Bill Gates is a very unique individual. Right person,
right time, new technology, no acaedmic preparation
needed to enter the field, etc. Gates is NO comparison for
ham radio in general.

>The people with the most foresight are ahead of academia.

How much does that happen in the electronics/radio
field? When/who was the last similar person to a Gates
in the field of radio?

>That is maybe why Tom Edison dropped out of elementry school. It
>doesn't take a genius to understand that there will never be another
>Bill Gates in the USA. Now all the big software projects will be run
>in Bombay, India. BUT he was there at the crucial TIME. On a lesser
>scale. I probably would not have had any opportunity if I dinn't get
>that first job in a NJ TV factory as a troubleshooter if TV sets
>weren't being made in NJ....and I might not have progressed even with
>my Ham and commercial FCC licenses.

Another time, another place. You are comparing
apples and oranges. Do you really think some

breakthrough radio technology is going to suddenly
pop up via a ham with no formal (post highschool)
education?

>> You only identify why some hams, as students, might do better
>> than others. That's no real asset to academia.
>
>Then what is it??? Hams also become teachers and lab managers.

So? They don't become teachers or lab managers
without formal education, however. The ham license
as a youth may help direct their career choice...and I
don't think we can expect any more than that.

>> Any college can give advanced credit for knowledge
>> that is shown or previously learned. People take
>> preplacement/advanced placement tests all the time.
>
>Yep and a Ham who can show actual equipment that he has built and
>technical articles that he has had published would be in a position to
>provide verification.

How many hams have ever published an article
as a pre-college youth? Who has ever been asked
to bring in one of their home-brewed creations to
provide verification?

>The point is that HAM projects can form real parts of proof of self
>study. The reputation of all hams has been continuously been
>downgraded...Sometimes by Hams themselves.

The reputation hasn't been downgraded, the technology
and the expanse in knowledge to be competent today
has considerably upgraded (expanded) beyond the
level of what a technician was in the 50s. The same thing
is true for auto mechanics today. Such changes do NOT
mandate higher license requirements to be able to
operate a ham station, however.

>With the new R&O things can
>only be expected to get worse.

Worse is how you look at things. Different
perhaps, but not worse or better as abstract
judgements.

>> >> >> To industry?
>> >
>> >I became a factory television troubleshooter without ever going to a
>> >technical school. I advanced to become a test equipment constructor
>> >and then to be a government lab engineering technician.
>>
>> How long ago was that?

>
>It makes no difference except that now there might be more
>opportunities to do such things in Asia or Mexico than in the USA.

Sure it makes a difference because the same opportunity
is NOT at all likly today in the first place.

>> >> >The ability to get the opportunity to get hired as technicians at
>> >> >a level that recognizes their ham experience.
>> >>
>> >> Your expectations are based on the technology of
>> >> 50 years ago in comparison to what industry would
>> >> and does require today. No comparison and additional
>> >> testing of radio subjects isn't going to help. Indeed,
>> >> just what type of companies might you think woould
>> >> be so inclined to hire hams (assuming you had a
>> >> "more improved" technical capability license?
>> >
>> >Around in circles you go...That is why I believe that present
>> >day hams need more license classes and incentives to learn
>> >more modern technology.
>>
>> No circles at all...the facts are that the technology a
>> technician needs to know today FAR exceeds
>> what any ham license might call for in terms of knowledge.
>
>So make the Ham License Exams far exceed the
>dumbed down exams that presently exist!

That is NOT the role of government
amateur radio licensing requirements.

>>...and the days of component level technicians
>> is history in all but very limited applications of electronics
>> to both consumer and buisness products.
>
>BUT we can not assume that even newer technologies will make more use
>of troubleshooting abilities. I just read an article about automotive
>mechanics who are learning to be computer troubleshooters. Their
>ability is based on their LOGICAL thinking and can be applied to
>different technologies if need be.

EXCELLENT! You just made my point that
you don't need to home brew to learn and apply
such principals. That auto mechanic troubleshoots
using a computer interface to the auto's
electronic system and then identifies a module
that is diagnosed as the problem an then
replaces the entire module. That is exactly
what happens in today's telecommunications
equipment. NO field component level testing
at all. Troubles are isolated to circuit packs

and the entire circuit pack is replaced. Ohm's law
isn't even used. Testing and troubleshooting
is on a functional basis to a system and then to
the circuit pack.

>> >The economics of employment is somewhat off-topic here
>> >but it is a shame when companies have to hire foreign technicians
>> >because they are unable to fill their needs with American born
>> >technicians.
>>
>> What are the technical skills of those foreign
>> technicians? Did they gain their skill and
>> knowledge as hams?
>
>Some did.

Bull. Sorry to be so blunt, but I truly don't believe that
at all. I have not met any such example of a foriegn
born technician that gained the technical skills via
ham radio.

>Others gained abilities because they knew that they must
>study hard and work hard to survive and that they couldn't depend on
>expecting others to do everything for them.

Absolutely the case.

>Nor did they see hobby
>leaders as back-slapping politicians and salesmen...They respected
>educated leaders who formed their role models.

Odds are they had NO contact with ham radio at
all, either as a hobby or otherwise.

>> >There are certain levels of employees needed who are at
>> >intermediate levels. By that I mean the levels that exist between
>> >unskilled workers and degreed engineers...The jobs that are often
>> >filled by "super-techs" who can get things done independently. Often
>> >the type of people who fill such jobs are Hams or people who have
>> >learned from "do-it-yourself" technical hobbies.
>>
>> Not these days.
>
>To an even greater extent EVEN TODAY!

Examples please.

>> And the same thing can happen today. Are you
>> saying it never happens anymore? Consider too
>> that new entrants into the military are not from the
>> ranks of individuals who are any older than
>> 20 or 21 years of age.
>

>This I agree with. The military "repair" schools are not as ambitious
>as in the past and the military are not able to troubleshoot to the
>descrete component level at the present time.

And that is because there is NO need to
troubleshoot to the discrete level today. Field
repairs don't cut it any more. That is the same for
most consumer and industrial components.
Someone identifies a bad sound card in a PC they
get a new sound card. The cost to troubleshoot
that card (time and $$$ costs) just don't make
it economically.

>There however is much
>skill in being a military OPERATOR. The military does train excellent
>RADAR and SONAR operators as well as pilots. Exotic RADAR and SONAR
>equipment may take a lot more ability to operate efficiently than Ham
>transceivers. These operators do have a different but valuable level
>of expertise which can often be applied commercially. On top of that
>the military training builds confidence in those 20-21 year old people
>that wouldn't tend to make them fear learning technical stuff when they
>need to. We should not speak bad of our military...They have more than
>kept up with the hardware that our industry continues to modify and
>provide.

Why do you not mention the radio operator types?
They abound in many facets of military operations.

>> Using different protocols should NOT be limited by
>> license class. To do so would make no
>> technical sense at all.
>
>Did I say anything about who or what class should use new protocols?
>What I am referring to is - who should lobby the FCC about what new
>protocols need to be recognized and standardized.

The FCC listens to anybody. No license needed at all.
New protocols, except as they may be used in
radio transmission (hams, cellular, cordless, TV, etc.)
fall (for the most part) totally outside the perview of
the FCC in the first place, There is no FCC involvement
in what digital protocols or transmission schemes are
used in cable systems and or lightguide transport for
example.
.
>> >> We don't need laws/regulations where we have no need
>> >> established. Government governs best when it
>> >> governs least.
>
>How can we know what the needs of the future will bring. Did the
>blacksmiths of yesteryear know how big our interstate superhighway
>system would have to become?

I haven't a clue what that means in relation to my comments.

>> So what "potentially dangerous condition" is the FCC
>> ignoring in the announced restructuring?
>
>They are ignoring the fact that they are ignoring things that they are
>too stupid to understand because they do not think like technologists
>or technocrats but like marketers.

Assuming you are correct...I repeat my question:
What "potentially dangerous condition" is the FCC
ignoring in the announced restructuring?

What is the "dangerous" result of the FCC thinking
as you claim it to be?

>> So are you now suggesting internet licensing?
>> You have NOT offered even ONE absolute safety
>> issue to justify additional ham licening.
>
>I dunno if we should have to license all internet users BUT maybe we
>need to license all internet technicians and even hackers.

Just what we need. The government stopped
licensing technicians that do radio repair and that has
not resulted in any problems. Do you really believe
there is any need at all for "big brother" to get
into regulation of internet technicians? Additionally,
what would a "licensed" internet technician be
allowed to do that non-licensed internet folks would,
therefore, be prohibited from doing?

>> And just what preliminary knowledge have those
>> techs brought with them before you had them
>> under your instruction?
>
>Very varied and their employment opportunities were very varied as well.

Very non-specifc answer.

>Ham Radio IMHO has gone down the drain for the past 50 years and if the
>new structure is anything like I expect it will becomed, it will now go
>down the drain much more rapidly than in the past.

Only by your assessment because you have expectations
that don't fit the 2000 technology.

>> >> I repeat...we don't need MORE laws UNLESS there is
>> >> both a well documented PROBLEM...and a high
>> >> degree of certainty that the proposed NEW law will
>> >> solve that problem.
>
>That is what the gun buffs have said and unfortunately they want to
>carry that opinion to Ham Radio as well. I don't buy it from a

>political or a technical position.

I don't own a gun, but I am smart enough to know that
the liberal anti-gun lobby totally ignors the current
laws that exist. They want NO guns at all. Sorry, I
don't buy that either. More to the point, you have not
indicated ANY known problem that warrants any federal
law to prohibit non-hams from buying, selling or otherwise
owning ham radio transmitting equipment...and that has
been the case since the first hams came about.

>Not selling Ham gear to non-Hams could work better than the dumb law
>about prohibiting linear amps that could tune to 10/11 meters
>did...simply because it is a simpler law in concept and much easier to
>monitor.

And just how would you see such monitoring being done?
By whom? Where? What circumstances?

>> >Why would a Ham want to help a non-ham get on-
>> >the air to bootleg?
>>
>> YOU are assuming that as soon as someone gets a rig
>> they will be bootleging. False assumption.
>
>Well look at it from a more dynamic point of view. How can you bootleg
>on a ham band if you don't have a license and you can't own a
>transmitter?

Your response ignors the thousands of legitamate
hams who have owned their rigs BEFORE being licensed.
Indeed, today that may be more the case because the
vast majority of rigs are trancievers as opposed to
separate recievers and transmitters as was far more
common some 30 or more years ago. You would
want a law that would make it illegal for me to loan my
nephew my TS-690 to listen to short-wave and perhaps
never take an interest in ham radio.

By the way, I also owned my own car before
I had a driver's license. Should that be illegal
too?

>> >But what about the possibility of future electronics kit
>> >manufacturers? Are they doomed to be as extinct
>> >as the companies that
>> >made chemistry sets

Are chemistry sets illegal? Are they not available today?

>> >and balsa-strut model airplanes?

Buy a copy of a decent model airplane magazine and you'll
find such balsa-strut models are readily available today and
have always been so? Who told you they weren't anymore?

>> >Are they to prevent kids from learning and
>> >experimenting because of the risks that
>> >they believe are involved? This is a case where maybe the government
>> >goes too far. Maybe such stuff should be made available to serious
>> >kids who can qualify to use them with the proper precautions.
>>
>> You comment as if the government has made it illegal
>> to sell kits. That is simply not true.
>> As to making kits available to "serious" kids...
>> are you suggesting they take an interest test first?
>
>Now are you purposely getting foolish yo avoid seeing my point?

You are the one that brought in the question of a kid
being serious or not. My response points to the foolishness
of your comment in the first place.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4599. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com>
Message-ID: <zJBq4.26398$Nv1.2637922@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 119093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Darrel--

This is good advice. I am now going to become a VE to take Bill's place (I have been an Extra for a number of years). BTW, anyone who thinks Jim Haynie--the new ARRL Pres--is a "limp-wristed liberal" has never met the man and would be ill-advised to say that to his face.

Andy K5VM

Darrel <Ask@and.you.shall.recieve.com> wrote in message news:sak9hn772pd46@corp.supernews.com...
> WOW What a thread!
> I have to agree with many of you on the restructuring of the Ham License.
> Shit happen, times change, deal with it, and move forward in your life sir.
> You are almost 37 years old and acting like a child. What's next, going to
> your room and throwing a temper tantrum? I really think you need to
> reevaluate your priorities in life.
>
> Keep up the great threads.
>
> Darrel
> kd7gyw
>
>
> "Bill" <k7kkg@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:38a9e21f.24354085@news.mindspring.com...
> > On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:34:31 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
> > KB7ADL) wrote:
> >
> > >I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > >membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > >turning in my Badge.

4600. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88epms$fk5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan Finn <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
>After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use choice
>segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been prohibited
>from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we cannot
>communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow generals
>and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm CQ's to

Those new Extras will get to use the Extra subbands because they passed
the Extra exams. There's nothing stopping Generals and Advanced from
studying for and passing those same exams.

73, Jeff

4601. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88eq60$g1c$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119095

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>The vast majority of Extras that you know are a
>small minority of Extras.

That's exactly what I was trying to make you understand last week; you know of a few Extras who are unable to solder but you extrapolate that saying "most Extras can't solder."

"Physician, heal thyself."

73, Jeff

4602. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88eql5gdt1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

Follow-ups set to just .policy and .misc:

In rec.radio.scanner (why?) Vince Fiscus wrote:
>If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep my
>badge and rejoin the ARRL.

I'll back that in an instant! In addition, I'd like to see us go back
to one-minute of perfect copy on the code test, too.

73, Jeff

4603. Morse exercises No.10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <88esn6okl1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 119097
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Dear YLs and OM,

DTC's regular Morse exercises transmitted every Monday at 19:00 h
local time in DL on 3575 +/- 3 kHz are available on the Internet as
well, being in downloadable MIDI-file format.

Currently three speeds are offered for download:

Speed "90" (= 18 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr10_90.mid

Speed "60" (= 12 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr10_60.mid

Speed "45" (= 9 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr10_45.mid

Hoping you'll have some fun decoding either on the air or from the
Internet, you may check out your capabilities in decoding Morse. For
ease of reference the content of the Morse code transmission / file
may be accessed at DTC's web site using

http://www.muenster.org/dtc/cwtext.html

Your opinion and suggestions are welcome: dtc@muenster.org

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

4604. Re: Mobile antenna array?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38AAF5AD.6591DA71@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 119098
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Comet and Diamond DO make an active antenna that covers the whole range. I tried one, once and was disappointed, as it was self-oscillating in the 125 MHz area, causing poor intermod performance everywhere. However, you can use almost anyone's active antenna for 0.1 through about 100 MHz (MFJ 1022?). And as others have pointed out, multiband Amateur antennas can do the rest. However, I once used a modified conical monopole antenna with good results for 6 meters, and 100 MHz and above. I took the cone assembly form a RS discone, and mounted it upside down on a modified ball mount on the roof. (Removed the ball and screwed the cone assembly directly to the plastic.) This works well from about 100 MHz through 1.3 GHz. I resonated the cones on 6 meters with parallel inductance to ground, but the active rod antenna will cover LW, MW, HF and VHF low-band OK for you.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

JA Moran wrote:

> I have two wide coverage (0.1-1900mhz) radios in my truck (Ford F-150XL)
>
> I am considering constructing an antenna array. Anyone ever tried this ?
>
> probably need an antenna fror the 0.1-30mhz (long wave and shortwave), then
> one for 118-174 (VHFand 2meter), one for 400-512 (uhf and 70cm), and one for
> 820-950 and higher
>
> The kicker is that this has to work while the truck is in motion on a freeway
> at speeds up to 90mph. I don't go over about 80 but if everythinhg will worj
> at 90mph, tht will account for any
> sudden wind gusts.
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> *****--
> * JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com 800.873.5884
> * the RIGHT computer for you at an AFFORDABLE
> price
> *.........................>310-346-7404<
> *.* Unix & Linux, the Operating System of today and the future
> * Junk/spam mail goes straight to the bit bucket!
> * if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail so I will check the grp
> NHTSA PDPS is a sham
> *****--

4605. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AAF58F.63134320@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
> too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
> thought they already knew it all...

Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree? Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless without additional data.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4606. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AAFC6E.DABFA6C2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Andy Wallace wrote:
> This is good advice. I am now going to become a VE to take Bill's place (I
> have been an Extra for a number of years). BTW, anyone who thinks Jim
> Haynie--the new ARRL Pres--is a "limp-wristed liberal" has never met the man
> and would be ill-advised to say that to his face.

Not a good thing to say to a Texan. Them's fitin' wurds, pardner!
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4607. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AAFBD9.FDFD020D@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Follow-ups set to just .policy and .misc:
>
> In rec.radio.scanner (why?) Vince Fiscus wrote:
> >If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep my
> >badge and rejoin the ARRL.
>
> I'll back that in an instant! In addition, I'd like to see us go back
> to one-minute of perfect copy on the code test, too.

How about re-testing, Jeff? :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4608. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AAFDBB.A9B9F8E4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I'll make you a
> deal....you run your 5 wpm in the Novice subbands and Ill run real CW
> in the real CW bands...OK?

If 5wpm is not "real CW" then why have a Morse code exam at all?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4609. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38AAFF25.385F140E@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
> > thought they already knew it all...
>
> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
> without additional data.

That bit of silliness can be turned about in an instant:

I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.

If all you have to do is brag about engineers or your Mensa membership, Cecil, you really need to find some things to do in your retirement.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

4610. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AB0290.D716580A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:
> I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of
> Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one
> day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school
> but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had
> completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of
> the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.
>
> If all you have to do is brag about engineers or your Mensa membership,
> Cecil, you really need to find some things to do in your retirement.

On the contrary, Dave, I am arguing exactly the opposite and your example proves my point. If a graduate engineer can be full of $hit, how much more full of $hit can an extra class licensee be? The extra class exam is akin to a freshman EE exam.

Moral: Don't trust anyone unless he/she proves he/she knows what he/she is talking about. That includes EEs *AND EXTRAS*, much to your chagrin, Dave. You seem to want extras to have a "get out of jail free" pass. That ain't gonna happen.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4611. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <UuDq4.10981$Zp1.348596@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com> wrote
>
> This is good advice. I am now going to become a VE to take Bill's
place (I
> have been an Extra for a number of years). BTW, anyone who thinks Jim
> Haynie--the new ARRL Pres--is a "limp-wristed liberal" has never met
the man
> and would be ill-advised to say that to his face.
>
> Andy K5VM

All Right Andy!

I guess some people just won't give up, grow up, and move on. But
at least this shows there are HAMs ready to step to the plate to take
over for the people you'd probably rather have gone in the first place.

And to the 'Bill' that left. Good bye! Hope you get over the emotional
loss. And good riddance. Makes me wonder if you were any good
as a VE in the first place.... Who knows, right? Good or not, you're
not the kind of person I would feel proud about representing my hobby
to potential new entrants.

Mike

4612. Re: LEGAL Question: FRS and a 440 HT mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wt.net>
Message-ID: <38AB210E.23D1814C@wt.net>
Source record: 119106

No.

1. It is not FCC type accepted to operate on the FRS band.
2. The transmit deviation is 3 khz for FRS but your radio does 5
khz.

By the FRS radios and use them on FRS....

David

Steve Pake wrote:
>
> Okay, is this legal?
>
> I have an FRS radio and a dual band 440 HT. There's a mod available for the
> HT that will allow it to transmit in the FRS band from 462-467 MHz. FCC
> regulations do not allow an ERP of more than 500mW, and no external antenna
> for FRS, so with my modified HT would it be legal to transmit on the FRS
> band as long as my ERP doesn't exceed 500mW ??? (like if I'm using the 0dB
> gain ducky antenna...)
>
> Is that legal? Maybe it's a dumb question and maybe it's the whole point of
> a mod like this in the first place, but I just want to make sure before I do
> anything.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Steve
> N3UCN

4613. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38AB0E5A.1A764949@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of
> > Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one
> > day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school
> > but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had
> > completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of
> > the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.
> >
> > If all you have to do is brag about engineers or your Mensa membership,
> > Cecil, you really need to find some things to do in your retirement.
>
> On the contrary, Dave, I am arguing exactly the opposite and your example
> proves my point. If a graduate engineer can be full of $hit, how much more
> full of $hit can an extra class licensee be? The extra class exam is akin
> to a freshman EE exam.

Please demonstrate how you are arguing the exact opposite. Maybe you
haven't made your point clearly. While you're at it, please explain why
an Amateur Extra has to be full of anything just because some
engineering grad is stupid. Sometimes you don't seem to make sense.

> Moral: Don't trust anyone unless he/she proves he/she knows what he/she
> is talking about. That includes EEs *AND EXTRAS*, much to your chagrin,
> Dave. You seem to want extras to have a "get out of jail free" pass.
> That ain't gonna happen.

Cecil, your self-proclaimed superior intellect sometimes goes off on a
tangent. How does it seem that I want holders of the Amateur Extra to
get any such thing? I've had my Extra ticket for about 23 years now. I
enjoy all that U.S. amateur radio has to offer. I'm not in jail.

I don't know about trusting someone but I don't pay much attention to
someone who doesn't know what he's talking about and it doesn't matter
whether we're discussing amateur radio or not. I'm not chagrined but I
am amused.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

4614. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002162125241.2238-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 16 Feb 2000, David Moisan wrote:
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 05:46:12 GMT, "D. Stussy"
> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
> >On 15 Feb 2000, Robert Casey wrote:
> >> In article <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>,
> >> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:
> >> >I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> >> >membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> >> >turning in my Badge.
> >> >
> >> >Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >>
> >> Well, it's 60 days until I cash in my 4A and 4B CSCEs for my extra lite :-)
>
> >Same here (except my 4A is part of my existing license), then 2 weeks later,
> >(extra-lite grant being timely returned) process in about 50+ similar
> >advanced+4B applicants (the one's we had in January at the "big" VE session in
> >Los Angeles that made both TWIAR and "Newsline" a week ago).
>
> 50 is "big". When I earned my 4B last night with the Boston Amateur
> Radio Club, there were 12 examinees of which 4 were taking 4B, and the
> others 4A, the Tech and 20 WPM; that was considered a "big" session.

Aside: You missed the point and the news: We had 45 Advanced-class holders at January, but the total session had 90 candidates. In about 10 days, we'll have our February session - let's see how big that will be. Regardless, we know that in April, all these people will be returning for their credit to be processed into a license (upgrade). We didn't see many tech+HF's seeking element 3B, but do expect a rush of the pre-'87 tech's as well.

[Prior to January's session, our high point was 66 candidates back in 1996, and we've had sessions with as few as 8 candidates when we started in 1994.]

> What bothers me is that people will make a big deal about the crowds
> we'll get in 60 days, but a year from now, we'll be back to
> onesie-twosie VE sessions where the VE's outnumber the examinees. We
> should strive for big sessions *every* month. Whole classes of
> middle-school kids. Vince can't take it.

4615. Re: License renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002162148450.2238-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119109

On Wed, 16 Feb 2000, Steve Gerdemann wrote:
> How does one go about renewing a license these days? I have been pretty
> inactive for several years so I'm out of touch but I don't want to lose my
> call and I hope to be more active in the future. I receive something in the
> mail that for $6.00 they will take care of the renewal. This would be easy
> but I suspect I'm paying $6 for what I can get for free.

What you received was the W5YI scam. Since someone else has already suggested
the correct action, I won't repeat it.

4616. Re: LEGAL Question: FRS and a 440 HT mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002162149550.2238-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119110

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000, Steve Pake wrote:
> I have an FRS radio and a dual band 440 HT. There's a mod available for the
> HT that will allow it to transmit in the FRS band from 462-467 MHz. FCC
> regulations do not allow an ERP of more than 500mW, and no external antenna
> for FRS, so with my modified HT would it be legal to transmit on the FRS
> band as long as my ERP doesn't exceed 500mW ??? (like if I'm using the 0dB
> gain ducky antenna...)
>
> Is that legal? Maybe it's a dumb question and maybe it's the whole point of
> a mod like this in the first place, but I just want to make sure before I do
> anything.

Technically, no. FRS radios need type-acceptance. However, until you posted,
who's going to know?

4617. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AB2267.623120DD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > If a graduate engineer can be full of $hit, how much more
> > full of $hit can an extra class licensee be? The extra class exam is akin
^^^ ^^
> > to a freshman EE exam.
>
> While you're at it, please explain why
> an Amateur Extra has to be full of anything just because some
^^^^^^^^^
> engineering grad is stupid. Sometimes you don't seem to make sense.

Please haul out your trusty Webster's and ascertain the difference
between "has to be" and "can be". Perhaps when you get your
definitions straight, then you will understand.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

4618. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <samct2ui2pd69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gee, I'm a Freemason. Seems someone forgot to tell me that we were taking over the world with this one world government. What a relief to know that I'll be in charge now. Should cure all those money problems. Can I dictate what my salary as world leader will be?

"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia...
> Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>
> T he Fort Worth Star-Telegram newspaper of Friday, January 21, 2000, p. 9A,
> had an article headlined "Helms warns U.N. against overstepping its
> authority." It was a scathing indictment of the U.N.'s agenda of a
> centralize world order - with THEM running it WITHOUT the American people's
> consent.
>
> Where did this organization originate? And does it REALLY have designs of a
> One-World-Government under its leadership with the United States as just
> another member nation? Does it REALLY have designs to dissolve our National
> Sovereignty and police with foreign troops to keep us in line? He said that
> MANY Americans were catching onto their scheme and American power would
> never be usurped by the U.N.
>
> An ultra-secret organization called the Order of the Illuminati was founded
> on May 1, 1776, by Adam Weishaupt in Bavaria, Germany. It merged in 1782 at
> the highest levels with Freemasonry. The Illuminati's ultimate GOAL was
> creation of a NOVUS ORDO SECORUM - New World Order. It was to be a COMPLETE
> world Socialist/Communist SUPER STATE to rule over all nations.
>
> After the death of Adam Weishaupt in 1832, Giuseppe Mazzini of Italy took
> the helm of the Illuminati. He headed the revolutionary aspect of the
> movement. Later, Albert Pike of Little Rock, Arkansas, who headed up all of
> the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry worldwide, took over
> the philosophical [religious] aspect of the Illuminati. He worshipped Satan

> by name.
>
> He claimed Lucifer had given him the blueprint for world conquest to be
> concluded toward the end of the twentieth Century [2001] or shortly
> thereafter. He wrote a remarkable letter to Mazzini dated August 15, 1871,
> giving graphic details of plans for three world wars. After each of these
> wars, there would be an attempt to establish a World Government to prevent
> future wars. There would also be many smaller revolutionary wars, so the
> nations would never know peace. Notice that they tried to establish the
> League of Nations after World War 1. They succeeded in creating the United
> Nations after World War II.
>
> He stated that in the third of these wars "we [the Illuminati] shall
unleash
> the Nihilists and Atheists, and we shall PROVOKE a formidable social
> cataclyism which in all its horror will show CLEARLY to the nations the
> effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and the most bloody
turmoil.
> Then everywhere, the citizens, obligated to defend themselves against the
> world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of
> civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose
> deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass [direction],
> anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration,
> will receive the PURE LIGHT through the universal manifestation of the
pure
> doctrine of Lucifer, brought out in the public view, a manifestation which
> will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the
> DESTRUCTION OF CHRISTIANITY AND ATHEISM, BOTH CONQUERED AND EXTERMINATED
AT
> THE SAME TIME."
>
> Could the Illuminati take exception to the blistering words of Senator
Jesse
> Helms of North Carolina and decide to quicken the pace for establishing
> World Government and engulfing the United States in it? President Bill
> Clinton was hand selected for his job by the Illuminati! Derek Shearer,
> Rhodes Scholar trained to help implement world government, said that a Far
> Leftist [Communist] would be elected president during the '90s. Clinton
has
> been implementing ALL of their program to complete World Government. 1) He
> FORCED homosexuals upon the military to demoralize it; 2) He is
implementing
> the third phase of State Department Publication #7277 to disarm America.
He
> has now closed 97 bases and downsized the military; 3) He has made the
U.S.
> a sitting duck for a surprise Russian/Chinese nuclear attack just like
> Manuilsky drew up the plans at the Lenin War School in Moscow; 4) Clinton
> drafted Presidential Decision Directives #13, 25, and 60 to facilitate the
> TURNOVER of ALL of our military equipment and men to the United Nations;

5)
> Clinton is running the country like a dictator by writing Executive Orders
> illegally. 6) Any law that would further Socialize America and Congress
> would NOT pass, he by-passes them with Executive Orders; 7) He has buried
in
> lengthy legislation laws that will CREATE a Big Brother society of TOTAL
> surveillance. The U.N. may speed up their plans if they believe Senator
> Helms that Americans are catching on!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> David J. Smith
>
>
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>
>

4619. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RedRum <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com>
Message-ID: <38AB3D0E.60E4@chooseyourmail.com>
Source record: 119113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> I like to camp, and I have fairly good skill set there. But I see no
> reason why

...everyone has to pass a test showing they know how to camp before they
are allowed to purchase a home.

4620. Re: Control Frequency needed for DTMF Remote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <38AB4A8A.E74228E3@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 119114

The following was scanned in from a recent QST artical from the ARRL.

Q: How can I make my station into a
crossband repeater?
A: Modern dualband or triband VHF/UHF
rigs often have the capability of crossband
operation. When operating in this mode, the
users may call them "crossband repeaters.
Actually they are often "remote bases,"
such as when they are used to allow an
operator with a hand-held radio to access a
repeater from a location where he or she
would normally not be able to do so.
A "crossband repeater" (or "portable
remote base") operation is okay as long as
several conditions are met:
(1) The user communicates with his
crossband rig via the UHF side. Since this
serves as the control and voice uplink, it is
a form of auxiliary operation and must be
conducted on authorized auxiliary frequen-
cies above 222.15 MHz. Since the operator
is the control operator, that person must
actually be able to control the station! That
person must be able to turn it off locally or
remotely if a problem develops. If it can't
be controlled, it's not legal [97.7, 97.201,
97.213]!
(2) If the control link fails, the remote
station must shut down within three min-
utes, which means a 3-minute timer is re-
quired [97.213].
(3) The unattended station must be identi-
fied on all frequencies on which it transmits.
Since this is a type of remote base, the users
who identify over the UHF uplink to the
dualband radio also serve to identify the
VHF output of the mobile fig. In the other
direction, however, there is no way for the
control operator to identify the UHF downlink
from the mobile remote base, so some form of
automatic identification must be employed
[97.119]. Unfortunately, few manufacturers
include the capabilities listed above in their

figs. Hence, to be fully legal, some form of add-on controller may be necessary.
Another use for crossband operation is to link together two existing repeaters on different bands, usually done on a temporary basis during an emergency, a drill or a special event. The rules above apply.
In both examples cited above, the control requirement can be satisfied by having a control operator at the station, thus making it a locally controlled station. Although this may not always be convenient, it is a way to satisfy all of the station control requirements.

Q: Should I contact my frequency coordinator even if my crossband repeater is temporary?
A: Yes, because you might be transmitting on a repeater input (or a link or control frequency for some unknown repeater) and you could unknowingly cause interference.

Contact your local frequency coordinator for a recommendation as to what frequencies to use. Many coordinators have set aside frequency pairs that are designated specifically for temporary, portable or emergency repeaters. For the name and address of your frequency coordinator, see the latest edition of The ARRL Repeater Directory or check the listing on the ARRL Web page at: http:/Iwww.arrl.orglfleldl reguiationslfreqcoord.html.

Q: What are ancillary functions?
A: They are user functions. The FCC makes a distinction between functions which the repeater users can perform on the repeater input (activating a crossband link, getting a weather or time-of-day report, or making a phone call via the autopatch, for example), and those primary control functions reserved exclusively for the control operators in effecting basic control of the station. The rules state that "ancillary (which also means "secondary") functions" of a repeater that are available to users on the input channel are not considered remotely controlled functions of the station" [97.205(e)]. These "user" functions are conducted on the repeater's input fre-

quency. However, the primary control functions (turning the repeater on or offl for example) must be performed via the repeater' 5 primary control system which is separate from the input frequency. By the way, there is nothing to prevent implementing some form of "emergency backup" means to shut down a repeater via its regular input frequency, as long as the turn-on function can only be performed via the pflmary control system.

Q: Are "closed" repeaters legal?
A: Yes. The FCC even says so in Part 97 in stating "...Limiting the use of a repeater to only certain users is permissible" [97.205(e)]. Just because a repeater may use some form of coded access, such as CTCSS, does not necessarily mean that it is a "closed" repeater. Many 'open" repeaters employ coded access to eliminate or reduce various types of interference, not to restrict who is welcome to use the machine. Also, there is no FCC rule that requires a repeater' 5 licensee or sponsor to build a repeater or allow use by other operators. A repeater is not a public utility-you don't have a "fight" to use it. If you use that station in a manner that the owner finds objectionable, for whatever reason, that person has every right to revoke your privilege of using it!

Q: What other Part 97 rules concerning repeaters should I be aware of?
A: Amateurs should know that:

(1) Novices can't operate as repeater stations [97.205(a)].
(2) Repeaters may operate on any frequency authofized to the Amateur Radio Service above 29.5 MHz except for 50.0 - 51.0, 144.0 - 144.5, 145.5 - 146.0, 222.0 - 222.15, 431 - 433 and 435 - 438 MHz [97.205(b)]. Note that these frequencies include both the input and output frequencies of all repeaters [97.205(b)].
(3) Two repeater licensees must work together to solve an interference problem between the repeaters, unless one repeater is coordinated and the other is not. The licensee of an uncoordinated repeater bears the pri-

mary responsibility for solving an interfer-
ence problem. This is the FCC's way ofrec-
ognizing and encouraging repeater sponsors
participation in local or regional frequency
coordination programs [97.205(c)].
(4) If the control operator is someone
other than the licensee, both are equally
responsible for the proper operation of the
station [97.103(a)].
(5) The licensee arid control operator(s)
of a repeater that inadvertently retransmits
communications that violate the rules in Part
97 are not normally held accountable for the
violative communications [97.205(g)].
However, they will be held accountable if
they become aware of the illegal communi-
cations and allow them to continue, because
they are no longer "inadvertent." They must
make an effort to prevent such communica-
tions from continuing.

Q: Are the rules for simplex repeaters the
same as duplex repeaters?
A: A "simplex repeater" is not a repeater
according to the FCC. It does not simulta-
neously retransmit the signals of another
station and it might not retransmit the sig-
nals on a different channel or channels, as
specified in the FCC's definition of a re-
peater. Since it isn't a repeater, such a de-
vice cannot be operated under automatic
control. If a control operator is present and
controlling the device, either by local or
remote control, then it can be used, but U
cannot be left unattended! On the other
hand, it is not limited by the other repeat
rules, such as specific repeater frequenc
limitations. Auxiliary stations must contr
the simplex repeater and control must
conducted on frequencies authorized f
auxiliary operation (above 222.15 MM
except 431 to 433 and 435 to 438 MHz).
Special thanks to Gary Hendnckso
W3DTN, chairman of the Mid-AtlanticR
peater Council's Open Band Planrn'
Committee, for his assistance with this C
umn. As the TMARC coordinator for
years, Gary is a recognized expert in
field ofrepeater coordination and corn
repeater operations.

4621. Mark my words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88finb$cb2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119115

Print this out and hang it on your shack wall. Riley is finally going to understand that the chief troublemaker and instigator on 14.313 is Polly, FQF and the dude is gonna go thataway. Guaranteed if Polly gets six months in radio prison, things will subside to less than a whisper on that esteemed frequency or is it "steamed." I said way back when, when there was a division between the 14.313 participants on .313 into that and .275, Polly would never leave .275 alone and it's come to pass. Just today Paul, WB9HEU on .275 was the target of abuse by you know whom. Mark my words, it's just a matter of time before the fellow from Tennessee gets the hook. It's a LONG TIME COMING too. That guy, cloaked in vestments, has been getting away with hell for years and the piper is going to be paid. Finally.
AF2Y,Doc

4622. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88fmhvrpr1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119116

Donald is MISUNDERSTOOD, that's all and Dan, you are intelligent enough to understand.
AF2Y

In article <88cu53$3sm$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> As a bit of advise to you I
>>would say drop this fool like a hot potatoe, or YOU WILL PAY THE PRICE.
>>Not a threat DOC, just the facts.
>
> Dan, that doesn't sound like you at all. What price would be paid?
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

4623. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked! For KC1ZQ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88fo6bjlh1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119117

Yes they do care David because I make more sense than 99% of the stiffs on this "bored." My sin is HONESTY and candidness and speaking of candidness I haven't tasted a CLARK BAR in 30 years. God how I loved to eat those things. And how about the debate on Larry King last night between Bush, Keyes and McCain? Keyes come across as the smartest of the three but his stand on abortion and religious matters doesn't fly. Now take Bush for instance, please. He's a Quayle with curly hair. The guy doesn't have a clue and how Texas has survived under him is sheer alamo. Dull and how. Now McCain would be a better choice for the G.O.P. Why? Because he has a good sense of humor, a solid voting record in the Senate, a down home flavor and an outstanding military record. Furthermore he's the type of guy who could easily become a fine Prez. by on-the job-training as Bill did. Does John have a chance of beating Gore? If it comes down to nothing but debates, Al will have his lunch BUT...there's economic trouble ahead for this nation. Clinton refuses to grab OPEC by the lapels and shake and rising petroleum prices will cause inflation here and unemployment and they just might become significant enough by Fall to do in Gore as did a poor economy to Bush in '88. Isn't it strange that Bush went to war in the Persian Gulf to PREVENT exactly that's happening now while Clinton sits on his Lewinsky? And David that brings me to ham radio and YOU. Although I haven't been on the air much, I have noticed the hams' reaction to you and obviously you're improving. Congratulations. I guess you're no longer playing your kid's game on the air pretending to be naive Dennis Day asking Jack Benny to explain everything everytime. Certainly you can't be stupid and perform in your line of work however your ability with English composition is tantamount to a third grader's. Nothing you ever write is logical or correct and perhaps you should buy a tome pertaining to our native language and its proper usage. Let's make this deal; when you're in North Jersey, you give me some lessons on electrons and I'll educate you in English skills? Okay? In the meantime David I suggest you completely forget about antagonizing Fred Roll because you must admit you've gotten away luckily and I wouldn't push my luck with the man. Simpy treat him with respect and he'll do likewise because basically he's a great guy and you have potential which now is coming to the forefront. Lastly I'm happy you passed the retest but if you aced just the General, then how can you have a 2 x 2 callsign? Behave Mr. Tolassi and listen to Brother John in Atlanta who'll convert you with words of wisdom. To Judaism.
73,
Doc,AF2Y

In article <88ci0f$2cv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, dtolassi@my-deja.com wrote:

> Knock off your stupid rhetoric doc nobody cares
>

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4624. Re: SV: 60 Dayblah blah...start nuking these prats !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Leikhim <jleikhim@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <38AB753F.968D6DCA@nettally.com>
Source record: 119118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have to put a new killfile in every week due to this crap (this will be # 9).
Maybe they think it means "space" for crybabies?

eavsdropper wrote:

> What the bloddy hell has this got to do with Amateur space, I think you
> idiots should be NUKED !...off to your servers i go

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
Jleikhim@nettally.com

"tv dinner by the pool,
i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967

"The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron

Dopeler effect: The tendency of stupid ideas to seem smarter when they come
at you rapidly.

4625. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88cpam$7id$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119152

In article <88ciae$2gu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
dtolassi@my-deja.com wrote:
> Talk about "wanabe's" Why dont you find another hobby there Rambo
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Guess what ladies and gentlemen...this is indeed the real Zipper
Queen....his "REAL" e-mail is:

dtolassi@together.net

So if anyone needs to talk to this flake that is how to do it.

And to answer your moronic one liner.....why should I? Folks like you
are the walking dead of amateur radio. When your gone everything will
be better. And from what I hear your on the LIST ASSHOLE.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4626. Re: KC8JBO & Father a good relationship Pithbowl never had since he didn't know his father

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88cpt0$7v8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119153

hytej@yahoo.com wrote in message <88ce6j$v9i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> Coming SOON.......
>
> The FULL story about the father of KC8JBO.........
>
> PITBULL

Translation: Fatass Jimmy can't do any better.
He's projecting his own prison bitch father's llife on others.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4627. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88d19ucvt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119154

In article <38A96198.4201C8C6@bctv.com>,
kw@bctv.com wrote:

> How about it, Vince? Care to provide the date of your last successful
> 20 WPM exam?

This demonstrates the vast lack of understanding of the
something-for-nothing's. While there may indeed be some Extras (or
Generals for that matter) out there that would be hard pressed to
demonstrate proficiency at their tested speed, the vast majority of
Extras that I know certainly can.

I'll be happy to take you up on your challenge any time. Then we'll do
yours.

LRod

P.S. 1978

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4628. IS FQF a Red Neck Ham??DHZ IS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ohara Sensei <ka9dhz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88dle6psc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119155

No offence intended to my Traveling brother or anyone else,just a little fun
from an Indiana Red Neck ham!

You Just might be a red neck ham

You have more antennas on your vehicle than hub caps
(I quailify)

If your inverted V is the tin roof on your house. • If your hound dog glows
in the dark beacuse his stake doubles as a ground rod for your shack • If you
think SWR is single white red head • If you call your amplifier a lean yaar

If you were every working a cw contact that turned out to be a beacon
(I qualify)
•
If you tape a mag lite to you beam to see which way it is pointed at night

If you think the international mose code is made up of three elements Dit Dah
and Duh

If your daughters hair doo ever got hung up in your ant. roter.

Vote

IS FQF a Red Neck Ham

Yes

No

Have fun and enjoy the hobby.

From a back woods Indiana Red Neck Ham.

And Traveling man

KA9DHZ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4629. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88cu53$3sm$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119156

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> As a bit of advise to you I
>would say drop this fool like a hot potatoe, or YOU WILL PAY THE PRICE.
>Not a threat DOC, just the facts.

Dan, that doesn't sound like you at all. What price would be paid?

73, Jeff KH6O

4630. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88cujs$49c$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill <k7kkg@juno.com> wrote:
>KB7ADL) wrote:

>>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>>turning in my Badge.

> Then what will you do for extra money when you're not selling ARS
>licenses any more?

Bill:
Consider keping a copy of the "Associated Press Stylebook and Libel
Manual" next to your keyboard so as to avoid getting yourself in trouble.

73, Jeff

4631. Control Frequency needed for DTMF Remote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Ottria <gottria@home.com>
Message-ID: <kpoq4.33897$Fb1.775584@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 119158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I just got a mobile unit that has the DTMF remote control capability. I plan on using my HT to control the mobile units memories and for turning crossband repeat on and off.
What 70cm frequency I can legally control my mobile unit with. --
Greg Ottria KE6PTP
Castro Valley California

4632. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles C." <charlescopeland@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <spoq4.5145$nu4.295698@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"cdn" <dnorris@k7no.com> wrote in message
news:k72kas4r7vomauh4ht36hsqb65kbtmgdkj@4ax.com...
> horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> -You forgot to put what you will REALLY do with it...
> -
> -Not a damn thing. Anyone that would think that Morse
> -really had so much value in today's world is so out
> -of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
> -of anything, really. (Except complain)
> -
> And the internal combustion engine is crude compared to nuclear power.
> What do you run in YOUR car? If you had to start from scratch with
> Ace Hardware parts, just which would come first?
> Dean Norris, K7NO - email to: dnorris@k7no.com

Well, you couldn't put anything in a modern car from Ace.
Pollution standards are way to stringent. Briggs&Stratton
just wouldn't do. Looks like they are about to release
those hybrid hydrogen engines this year. We'll get more
MPG out of a gallon of gas than ever dreamed of
and pollution free. Times they are a changin'

4633. Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Finn <finnd@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use choice
segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been prohibited
from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we cannot
communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow generals
and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm CQ's to
encourage them along
and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think? We might
consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice bands where
the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging words and
help them along except that this time, it would be the lower classes that
lent an
extra hand. Agree?
73
de KR4AJ

4634. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmoisan@shore.net
Message-ID: <38aa2be1.282339089@news.shore.net>
Source record: 119161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 05:46:12 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>On 15 Feb 2000, Robert Casey wrote:
>> In article <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>,
>> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:
>> >I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>> >membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>> >turning in my Badge.
>> >
>> >Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>>
>> Well, it's 60 days until I cash in my 4A and 4B CSCEs for my extra lite :-)

>Same here (except my 4A is part of my existing license), then 2 weeks later,
>(extra-lite grant being timely returned) process in about 50+ similar
>advanced+4B applicants (the one's we had in January at the "big" VE session in
>Los Angeles that made both TWIAR and "Newsline" a week ago).

50 is "big". When I earned my 4B last night with the Boston Amateur
Radio Club, there were 12 examinees of which 4 were taking 4B, and the
others 4A, the Tech and 20 WPM; that was considered a "big" session.

What bothers me is that people will make a big deal about the crowds
we'll get in 60 days, but a year from now, we'll be back to
onesie-twosie VE sessions where the VE's outnumber the examinees. We
should strive for big sessions *every* month. Whole classes of
middle-school kids. Vince can't take it.

73's, Dave

David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL n1kgh@amsat.org
Invisible Disability: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
GE Superradio FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
Sangean ATS-909 FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

4635. Re: ICOM IC-W32A Cross Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jones <Ken.Jones@Bigfoot-NOSPAM-.com>
Message-ID: <88dcnk$hnm2@ns1.netrax.net>
Source record: 119162

It means you can transmit on one band while you simultaneously
receive on the other band.

"Robert Spoecker" <ke6nij@thegrid.net> wrote in message
news:UB5q4.4260$84.474836@alfalfa.thegrid.net...
> I have just purchased an ICOM IC-W32A dual band HT and am
trying to figure
> out if it can be used as a cross band repeater.
>
> All that the instruction manual says on page 18 is...
>
> Crossband full duplex operation
> The crossband full duplex function can be turned ON or OFF in
initial set
> mode. When the function is OFF, the sub band audio is muted
during
> transmission.
>
> What does that mean in other (more) words?
>
> KE6NIJ Robert
>
>
>
>

4636. Re: Need information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ei9sefg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119163

In article <38A94784.30B98975@intnet.mu>,
Eddy Young <young@intnet.mu> wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> I am considering taking the examination to become a licensed radio
> amateur. However, I would like to know whether my geographically
> isolated location will not make it a waste of time. FYI, I live in
> Mauritius, Indian Ocean.
>
> Regards,
> Eddy Young
>
>
It won't be a waste of time if you enjoy passing out signal reports to
mobs of calling stations. On HF at least. If all you want to do is
VHF/UHF then it may be a bit slow.

Dan/W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4637. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88eimjerg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119164

In article <88cu53$3sm$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > As a bit of advise to you I
> >would say drop this fool like a hot potatoe, or YOU WILL PAY THE
PRICE.
> >Not a threat DOC, just the facts.
>
> Dan, that doesn't sound like you at all. What price would be paid?
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
When it comes to Donny and some others I have had the misfortune of
knowing via ham radio I have difficulty being "nice". The price is
when Riley gets sick of it all and throws the big net out. All and any
associated with this sort of operation will be history in ham radio.
Mark my words, the axe is fixing to fall.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4638. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ej3l$f8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use
choice
> segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been
prohibited
> from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we
cannot
> communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow
generals
> and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm
CQ's to
> encourage them along
> and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think? We might
> consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice
bands where
> the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging words
and
> help them along except that this time, it would be the lower classes
that
> lent an
> extra hand. Agree?
> 73
> de KR4AJ
>
>
As you SHOULD know, judging by your call...the bottom of the band is considered DX country. Your idea is good, with the exception of cluttering it up for the "more experienced" cw types. I'll make you a deal....you run your 5 wpm in the Novice subbands and Ill run real CW in the real CW bands...OK?

And from time to time I'll slow down and come up and join you there.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4639. Re: Need information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwilliams2458@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ejhkfjt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119166

eddy, you might contact 3B8CF, Seewoosankar Mandary, on Shastri Road,
Candos, Quatre Bornes, Mauritius.
Also, my e-mail address is wwilliams@qtm.net
I lost contact with old sailor friend that lives in/around Port Louis.
I am trying to find out what happened to him and the old sailing
vessel. if you can help, send me e-mail.

In article <88ei9sefg1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <38A94784.30B98975@intnet.mu>,
> Eddy Young <young@intnet.mu> wrote:
> > Hi all,
> >
> > I am considering taking the examination to become a licensed radio
> > amateur. However, I would like to know whether my geographically
> > isolated location will not make it a waste of time. FYI, I live in
> > Mauritius, Indian Ocean.
> >
> > Regards,
> > Eddy Young
> >
> >
> It won't be a waste of time if you enjoy passing out signal reports to
> mobs of calling stations. On HF at least. If all you want to do is
> VHF/UHF then it may be a bit slow.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4640. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: BD <bumologist@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.13140da84342570c9896a4@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 119167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <sajdu1h92pd73@corp.supernews.com>, save@radio.org says...
>
> I'm with you.
>
> Its refreshing to see someone with principle standing up for what they
> believe is right. The Arrl leadership has turned into a bunch of
> limp-wristed liberals catering to low-life. If the think these new
> "Cracker Jack box licensees" are going to care enough about the hobby to
> become members, then their really foolish.
>
> SAVE HAM RADIO!
>
>Oh boy,another "liberal" BS guy yammering about this CW nonsense!The
ARRL -FINALLY- woke up and saw that CW isn't what it used to be,about
50 years too late,and that their(ARRL'S)"great experiment"-incentive
licensing,has been a total disaster.Even they admit what was wrong with
it,taking priviliges away (from the Generals)is always a mistake!The
incentive change in 1967(?)directly caused a huge number of ham stores
to go out of business.Look at a CQ or QST from before,and a year or two
after,about half the stores are gone!Yeah,maybe the ARRL wants to sell
radios and books,but there has to be hams to buy them!I wouldn't mind
them making the written test a lot harder than it is and will be,BUT,
make CW just a part of the exam that can be FLUNKED,and you can still
pass the test if you ace the rest of it.
If there are no NEW hams,then there won't be ENOUGH hams later on,
and if there aren't enough,there goes spectrum.......
Barry,Former NOVICE,1973(See,I passed the 5WPM test)
Present NO CODE TECH 1991(Don't care enough about HF to take it again)
>

4641. Re: ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: geezer@nursing.home
Message-ID: <ngkkassq1l0d8pmd5umftb7jocqhtie0fo@4ax.com>
Source record: 119168
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc

SMITH29 <"smith29(not)"@home.com> wrote:

>Glen Quarnstrom wrote:

>> But I'm not HF on any more. I got bored with it.

>Hmmmmmmm!,,, KING GEEEZER. Yes, that has a ring to it.

Kneel and nurse, peon.

>Was that voice or code?

Both.

>Do you still have the awards from being 10 meter KING ham?

Yes.

>I agree that you are probably the only mighty ham in IDAHO.

I was the second ham in the PNW to get WAZ on ten meters, and one of the
first in the seventh call area.

>You are a big frog in a little pond, but you didn't work Japan.

Not over a thousand times or so. Send me a box with postage included,
and I'll send you the QSL cards to prove it. Working Japan is so easy
even YOU could do it. With a fucking CB set and a chunk of wire hanging
out your window. Mobile. Work a TT on ten, then get back to me, punk.

>You told me all I wanted to know and then some.

A retarded rabbit could handle that chore.

>73's
^^^^

Get it right, lid.
--

"The signature is a wonderful sympton of an ego that needs to express its personal chaos."
---some Webbie lamer attempts to wax philosophical.

glenq@cyberhighway.net
http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

4642. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <sakk9bbh2pd106@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep my badge and rejoin the ARRL. Otherwise I'll just be an elmer, fighting corruption, rule violations, and restructured newbies using CB Lingo on the bands.

73

save@radio.org (Save Ham Radio) wrote:
>
>I'm with you.
>
> Its refreshing to see someone with principle standing up for what they
>believe is right. The Arrl leadership has turned into a bunch of
>limp-wristed liberals catering to low-life. If the think these new
>"Cracker Jack box licensees" are going to care enough about the hobby to
>become members, then their really foolish.
>
>SAVE HAM RADIO!
>
>vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote in
><sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>:
>
>>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>>turning in my Badge.
>>
>>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>>
>>73 de KB7ADL
>>
>

4643. Re: Need information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <88dksq$r9d$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119170

Get the class of license that lets you on the shortwave ham bands (HF).
You'll have no problem finding hams to talk to, as you would be interesting
DX. You'll probably get "pile-up"s (lots of stations trying to get your
attention all at once). Various methods of managing this exist, like
saying "stations in the American 2 district only please." And sequencing
thru the various districts.

I'm at the other end of this spectrum, my call "WA2ISE" is a rather boring
and common callsign. I never get pileups.

In article <nWqq4.11309$mK.831195@brie.direct.ca>,
John S. MacKay <k35454@direct.ca> wrote:
>In my opinion, your location will make you an eagerly-sought-after call
>sign. GOOOOO!!
>Eddy Young <young@intnet.mu> wrote in message
>news:38A94784.30B98975@intnet.mu...
>> Hi all,
>>
>> I am considering taking the examination to become a licensed radio
>> amateur. However, I would like to know whether my geographically
>> isolated location will not make it a waste of time. FYI, I live in
>> Mauritius, Indian Ocean.
>>
>> Regards,
>> Eddy Young
>>
>>
>
>

4644. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <88dkej$el4$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38aa1ea4.682044@news.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 03:24:25 GMT, "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use choice
>>segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been prohibited
>>from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we cannot
>>communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow generals
>>and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm CQ's to
>>encourage them along
>>and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think? We might
>>consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice bands where
>>the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging words and
>>help them along except that this time, it would be the lower classes that
>>lent an
>>extra hand. Agree?
>>
>No. The lower .025s are dxing freqs. All the cw bands are plenty wide
>enough for all the activities right now including slo-code. What
>you're proposing would generate unecessary qrm for the dx and that
>would be just plain rude. Whatever noticeable slo-code operations
>might come as a result of the restructuring should and will happen up
>the bands where "local" cw qsos have been conducted for eons by evey
>class licensed to operate HF cw without regard to the class.
>>
As for the extra DX segments, we should let the DX stations decide
if they want a few slo code QSOs. They just might, if they should
run out of fast code operators to talk to. Then again, maybe that would
never happen, I haven't listened there.

No regulations should be needed, gentlemens agreements should suffice.

4645. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa2ise@netcom.com
Message-ID: <88dl85$1av$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <sakk9bbh2pd106@corp.supernews.com>,
Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:
>
>If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep my
>badge and rejoin the ARRL. Otherwise I'll just be an elmer, fighting
>corruption, rule violations, and restructured newbies using CB Lingo on the
>bands.

I haven't heard any CB lingo on the novice segment of 10 meters, and that's
where the 5WPM people currently operate. If anything, they seem as proficient
in ham methods as in other HF bands.

As for the "work ethic" in code, the "boss" (FCC) has decided you don't
need to do it beyond 5wpm.
>
>73
>
>save@radio.org (Save Ham Radio) wrote:
>>
>>I'm with you.
>>
>> Its refreshing to see someone with principle standing up for what they
>>believe is right. The Arrl leadership has turned into a bunch of
>>limp-wristed liberals catering to low-life. If the think these new
>>"Cracker Jack box licensees" are going to care enough about the hobby to
>>become members, then their really foolish.
>>
>>SAVE HAM RADIO!
>>
>>vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote in
>><sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>:
>>
>>>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>>>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>>>turning in my Badge.
>>>
>>>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>>>
>>>73 de KB7ADL
>>>
>>

newsgroups trimmed to misc and policy.

4646. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Woodhams <wwoodhamsNOwwSPAM@vcnet.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <07ad677c.5faa70cf@usw-ex0107-050.remarq.com>
Source record: 119173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Vince:
We respect you for once having gotten the "Extra", and passing 20 w.p.m., plus the theory. That was the requirement, then.

We respect you for having the vision and enthusiasm to help others see the excitement of amateur radio, by serving as a VE. Bless you heart!

You've probably helped your fellow hams and your local community many time over in recent years, and we respect you for that. Many unsaid thanks.

We even envy you for living in such a beautiful place as Yellowstone County. We're talking God's country, here.

So where in the hell does "having the guts to quit" fit into the above picture?

It never ceases to amaze me how folks in amateur radio can gloat over their "forward-thinking" technology, while being stuck in the cement of the past. It's like facing west, then bending down, sticking your head between your legs, and trying to observe the beauty of a new sunrise. No matter how you look at it, your ass is in the way.

So, you have 2 choices. Stand up, and greet the morning, or say, "Goodnight", and move on. Either way, you gotta take your ass out of the picture. If you're attitude is as you truly state it, then you no longer belong in amateur radio. Being a "ham" is NOT about being a code-aholic, a theory guru, or a techno-buff. It's about being willing to learn, teach, and serve, using the marvelous tools of electronics.

I sincerely hope that you take some time, rethink your early years, consider your achievements, contributions, etc., and perhaps the opportunities and unfinished business that still could be contributed by your efforts, and continue on in the fine tradition of amateur radio, or for heaven's sake, just get out of the way, as quickly and gracefully as you can. This is the year 2000, and we're standing up, looking for a brand new day.

73
RWW, N6WIX

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

4647. Antenna help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: llauzon@cybertours.com
Message-ID: <88fb9f$1ie$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119174

Hi

I am looking for a good antenna!!! Looking for the freq. range of
452.875 - 463.725, BUT also work as a good scanner antenna.
I have a PRO-92 and need it for LTR Trunking system freq.
I saw a WORKMAN HR-12 Dual band HT antenna.....has anyone heard of
that ? any good ?

thanks for any help

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4648. WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: nohambull@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88fblv$1ti$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119175

GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING
DOWN
BAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHA

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4649. ATTN FT100 OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38AAB30E.1459012C@netrover.com>
Source record: 119176

there are groups out there doing amateur radio still!!!!!

Subject: [FT100] Digest Number 320
Date: 16 Feb 2000 09:31:05 -0000
From: FT100@onelist.com
To: FT100@onelist.com

--------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------

Get what you deserve with NextCard Visa. ZERO. Rates as low as 0
percent Intro or 9.9 percent Fixed APR, online balance transfers,
Rewards Points, no hidden fees, and much more! Get NextCard today and
get the credit you deserve. Apply now. Get your NextCard Visa at
Click Here

We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

There are 8 messages in this issue.

Topics in today's digest:

1. Re: RFI
From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>
2. Re: Front panels and ethics
From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
3. ATAS mechanism
From: "Bill Tubbs" <piperbill@jps.net>
4. Tune Fuctions
From: k5mog@arrl.net
5. Re: Tune Fuctions
From: Neil Sablatzky <wa2wim@ismi.net>
6. Re: Tune Fuctions
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
7. New to this Ft100 list
From: "george-norma" <george-norma@inet-1.com>
8. FT-100 Successful AO-10 Mobile Satellite Contacts !!!
From: k7xq@aol.com

Message: 1
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 08:14:05 -0500 (EST)
From: Charles Scott <cscott@gaslightmedia.com>

Subject: Re: RFI

Guys:
You should note that this is not the case for many of us running mobile
FT-100 installations. Anyone who is having this problem either has a
significant problem with the radio or something about the installation
that is causing the problem.
The keys to a successful mobile installation are good power and good
antenna installation. Personally, I think a proper antenna installation is
the most important. The most critical factor in that regard is ensuring
that the coax is not acting as a return for RF energy. That means a good
ground to some significant metalwork at the antenna and perhaps decoupling
of the transmission line in some cases. Unfortunatly, some vehicles now
have metal panels, bumpers, etc that are not electically connected to the
rest of the vehicle. If you mount your antenna in such a location, you
WILL have problems.
I'm not saying that this is the case for those who are having problems.
It's entirely possible that there is something wrong with those radios
that's allowing RF into the unit or some other problem. What I am saying
is that many FT-100's are known to function fine in mobile installations
and in my opinion it's a much better mobile radio than anything else
currently on the market.

Chuck - N8DNX

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000 Vahsen@aol.com wrote:

> From: Vahsen@aol.com
>
> I have the same problem, i tried everything b ut it did not work. so I decide
> to put my ft100 and the atas antenne off and install my old alinco dx70 with
> samrtuner, which was working the last years perfect. My opinion: The ft100 is
> a very nice device for a little homestation, but not good for mobile use.73
> Gerd DL1GVM
>
> ---------- ONElist Sponsor ----------
>
> FREE ADVICE FROM REAL PEOPLE! Xpertsite has thousands of experts who
> are willing to answer your questions for FREE. Go to Xpertsite today
> and put your mind to rest.
> Click Here
>
> ---
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 2
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 15:07:42 -0000

From: Steve Jepsen <ai7w@continet.com>
Subject: Re: Front panels and ethics

Ah humor, a curious concept. My I suggest the use of the smiley face :-) .
It tends to reduce confusion.

>>
>>
>> I see Ralph is not the kind of guy that lets the little moral issue get in
>> the way of getting whatever he wants.
>>
> Some of you guys have no sense of humor. If my radio ever gets stolen I
> would just simply call my insurance agent and they should cover it. Or the
> ARRL will cover it through their insurance plan. I was just making fun of
> the fact that some people think that they are getting refurbished radios
> from Yaesu because of the old serial numbers and the stickers on the box. I
> installed my radio underneath the seat. I had to remove the seat to do it.
> If a thief goes to all the trouble to take off the seat to steal the radio
> before I get back to my truck, he could have the radio. If the front of my
> radio ever gets stolen, I would not order another from HRO. I would just
> follow you around and wait until you park your vehicle. Then I would just
> simply bust out your window and steal the front of your radio and my
> problems will be over.
> THAT WAS A JOKE!! GET IT?
> Ralph
> AA5YC

Steve -AI7W-
Email: ai7w@continet.com

Message: 3
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 01:06:30 -0800
From: "Bill Tubbs" <piperbill@jps.net>
Subject: ATAS mechanism

I finally figured out what's going on with the ATAS antennas. Those few bad ones we keep
hearing about somehow got the screw mechanism from the MD80 series aircraft stabilizers!

MIne's perfectly fine, however, so it must have been from a different aircraft... ;-)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Message: 4
Date: 16 Feb 2000 01:00:36 -0000
From: k5mog@arrl.net
Subject: Tune Fuctions

Hello

Anybody out there know how to remove the block for tuning the atas100 on
80 and 160. I have copyed the control circuit out of the atas100 and adopted
it to my bandhopper. So far all I've accomplished is having an ant that is
not limited to 100 watts. (the bandhopper is kilowatt rated)
I am unable to have the radio (FT100) tune these bands for me due to the software
block on 80 and 160. An e-mail to yaesu was no help. They say it can't be done
Are there any hackers out there smarter then yaesu. You would be my buddy for
life. Anybody that can solve this I will share my antenna controls with you
73 k5mog

Message: 5
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 21:20:16 -0500
From: Neil Sablatzky <wa2wim@ismi.net>
Subject: Re: Tune Fuctions

I also have a bandhopper antenna but I am in need for the drawing for the control
circuit for the ATAS-100 antenna. Does anyone have a copy of the skemactic?

73 Neil WA2WIM

k5mog@arrl.net wrote:

> From: k5mog@arrl.net
>
> Hello
>
> Anybody out there know how to remove the block for tuning the atas100 on
> 80 and 160. I have copyed the control circuit out of the atas100 and adopted
> it to my bandhopper. So far all I've accomplished is having an ant that is
> not limited to 100 watts. (the bandhopper is kilowatt rated)
> I am unable to have the radio (FT100) tune these bands for me due to the software
> block on 80 and 160. An e-mail to yaesu was no help. They say it can't be done
> Are there any hackers out there smarter then yaesu. You would be my buddy for
> life. Anybody that can solve this I will share my antenna controls with you
> 73 k5mog
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> FREE ADVICE FROM REAL PEOPLE! Xpertsite has thousands of experts who
> are willing to answer your questions for FREE. Go to Xpertsite today
> and put your mind to rest.
> Click Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.

--
Celebrating 25 years of Amateur Radio Public Service (3/17/74 - 3/17/99)

Message: 6
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 20:45:55 -0600
From: "Ralph Encarnacion" <trans-am@austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Tune Fuctions

----- Original Message -----
From: Neil Sablatzky <wa2wim@ismi.net>
To: <FT100@onelist.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2000 8:20 PM
Subject: Re: [FT100] Tune Fuctions

> From: Neil Sablatzky <wa2wim@ismi.net>
>
You may want to check out this page http://www.ko6yd.com/ This guy makes a device called a SAM. Stands for Screwdriver Antenna Memory. It doesn't tune your screwdriver automatically, but what it does is remembers where you previously tuned the screwdriver in each band. Then you put your radio on say 20 meters and put the SAM on memory 5 (for exsample) and it will screw the antenna for where you had it tuned up in that band. Not as good as auto tuning your antenna, but it is the next best thing. Cost is around $150.00.
Ralph AA5YC

> I also have a bandhopper antenna but I am in need for the drawing for the control
> circuit for the ATAS-100 antenna. Does anyone have a copy of the skemactic?
>
> 73 Neil WA2WIM
>
> k5mog@arrl.net wrote:
>
> > From: k5mog@arrl.net
> >
> > Hello
> >
> > Anybody out there know how to remove the block for tuning the atas100 on
> > 80 and 160. I have copyed the control circuit out of the atas100 and adopted
> > it to my bandhopper. So far all I've accomplished is having an ant that is
> > not limited to 100 watts. (the bandhopper is kilowatt rated)
> > I am unable to have the radio (FT100) tune these bands for me due to the software
> > block on 80 and 160. An e-mail to yaesu was no help. They say it can't be done
> > Are there any hackers out there smarter then yaesu. You would be my buddy for
> > life. Anybody that can solve this I will share my antenna controls with you

> > 73 k5mog
> >
> > --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
> >
> > FREE ADVICE FROM REAL PEOPLE! Xpertsite has thousands of experts who
> > are willing to answer your questions for FREE. Go to Xpertsite today
> > and put your mind to rest.
> > Click Here
> >
> > --
> >
> > We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>
>
>
> --
> Celebrating 25 years of Amateur Radio Public Service (3/17/74 - 3/17/99)
>
>
>
> --------------------------- ONElist Sponsor ----------------------------
>
> FREE ADVICE FROM REAL PEOPLE! Xpertsite has thousands of experts who
> are willing to answer your questions for FREE. Go to Xpertsite today
> and put your mind to rest.
> Click Here
>
> --
>
> We are all a part of this Lets make it as good as possible.
>

Message: 7
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 19:46:57 -0700
From: "george-norma" <george-norma@inet-1.com>
Subject: New to this Ft100 list

Name here is George N7INC

Had my FT100 since last April when they first came out.
No problems with the rig, but had to send the separation cable back and get another one that worked.
I do have the ATAS-100 antenna mounted on a 1995 ford pickup.
I just found out tonight that the Ft100 does not store the repeater offset in memory, but is what ever is in menu #42. rats.
Also would like to tx on 47.5mhz that our SAR unit uses.
Good to read some information from you guys about the FT-100.

Thanks,
George

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Message: 8
Date: 16 Feb 2000 07:49:18 -0000
From: k7xq@aol.com
Subject: FT-100 Successful AO-10 Mobile Satellite Contacts !!!

Just a note on using the FT-100 in the mobile working satellites.
Tonight, i successfully worked W6VPH and KB8VAO on the AO-10
Satellite using the FT-100 barefoot on my way home from work at
1930 utc. The antennas were both single M2 HO-loops mounted on the same
mag mount mast. I used a antenna switch to switch between the two antennas as
I Xmit and RCV. I had to estimate the uplink frequency based on previous
experience with this satellite. The uplink was only 20 watts, i used NO
external preamps. My location at the time of the contacts was livermore california
on interstate 580. I just wanted to share this information for those wondering
about the capabilities of this radio. It was slightly " challenging " as this radio
only can do half duplex / split but it can be done !!!

Jeff K7XQ
K7XQ@aol.com
homepage: http://members.aol.com/vhf2micro/k7xq.html

4650. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W4CSC@lostonthe.net
Message-ID: <38aa9ec2.169604363@eastcorp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't think you'll hear anything different at all. Those that enjoy
CW will still enjoy CW. The only thing I think you're going to hear
is a lot younger voices in the Extra Phone Bands. Those bands may not
sound like a nursing home chat room talking about their latest
operation, any more.

Larry W4CSC

On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 03:24:25 GMT, "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use choice
>segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been prohibited
>from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we cannot
>communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow generals
>and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm CQ's to
>encourage them along
>and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think? We might
>consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice bands where
>the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging words and
>help them along except that this time, it would be the lower classes that
>lent an
>extra hand. Agree?
>73
>de KR4AJ
>
>

4651. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brent Walton <kf6fgb@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <38AAA612.A340E7B7@pacbell.net>
Source record: 119178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I really don't see how in the world that keeps people from using "CB Lingo" or violating the rules. The best defense against those folks is to ignore them once they've been told not to do it.

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

> If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep my
> badge and rejoin the ARRL. Otherwise I'll just be an elmer, fighting
> corruption, rule violations, and restructured newbies using CB Lingo on the
> bands.
>
> 73
>
> save@radio.org (Save Ham Radio) wrote:
> >
> >I'm with you.
> >
> > Its refreshing to see someone with principle standing up for what they
> >believe is right. The Arrl leadership has turned into a bunch of
> >limp-wristed liberals catering to low-life. If the think these new
> >"Cracker Jack box licensees" are going to care enough about the hobby to
> >become members, then their really foolish.
> >
> >SAVE HAM RADIO!
> >
> >vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote in
> ><sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>:
> >
> >>I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> >>membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> >>turning in my Badge.
> >>
> >>Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >>
> >>73 de KB7ADL
> >>
> >

4652. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM/9 <d.breeden@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Message-ID: <38AAB51A.D2D8D544@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Source record: 119179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No

I have seen in my 20+ years a kind of self policing that most slower operator stay to the high end of the band and faster operators move down. Beginning Novice (6-10 WPM) stay to the top of the Novice portion, faster Novices hung the General portion. The same for Generals/Advance. New or slower Generals (10-15 WPM) hung the Novice band. As their speed increases they move down the band to operators with about the same speed they are operating. This is not a gentalmans rule like the 2 meter band, but it seems to have just happened.

4653. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AABA9B.6CE54B07@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:
> >If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep my
> >badge and rejoin the ARRL. Otherwise I'll just be an elmer, fighting
> >corruption, rule violations, and restructured newbies using CB Lingo on the
> >bands.
>
> I haven't heard any CB lingo on the novice segment of 10 meters, and that's
> where the 5WPM people currently operate. If anything, they seem as proficient
> in ham methods as in other HF bands.

I usually cannot tell the license class of the 10m operators by QSOing
with them. If misbehaving CBers are going to invade the ARS because of
the 5wpm requirement, why aren't they already Novices operating on 10m?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4654. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AAB9A9.910A6093@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep my
> badge and rejoin the ARRL.

Well, amateur radio is not for everyone. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4655. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Message-ID: <88edsr$470$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 119182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,

In a previous article, "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> writes:

>After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use choice
>segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been prohibited
>from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we cannot
>communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow generals
>and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm CQ's to
>encourage them along and help them build up their code speeds.

No, I don't agree. If you want to operate in the Extra portion of
the band, upgrade to Extra.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free Usenet News via the Web -----
----- http://newsone.net/ -- Discussions on every subject. -----
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4656. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <R9zq4.10340$Zp1.324819@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

cdn <dnorris@k7no.com> wrote in message
news:k72kas4r7vomauh4ht36hsqb65kbtmgdkj@4ax.com...
> horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> -You forgot to put what you will REALLY do with it...
> -
> -Not a damn thing. Anyone that would think that Morse
> -really had so much value in today's world is so out
> -of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
> -of anything, really. (Except complain)
> -
> And the internal combustion engine is crude compared to nuclear power.
> What do you run in YOUR car? If you had to start from scratch with
> Ace Hardware parts, just which would come first?
>
> Dean Norris, K7NO - email to: dnorris@k7no.com

Is this the best arguement you can do? No wonder CW is dead
and rapidly disappearing! And lame arguements like this
just boost the cynicism levels of those who are already
laughing at this.

This BS whiney argument that CW is some kind of magical
cure-all mode that will save HAM radio, cure herpes, and make
your dog stop eating tidbits from the cat litter box really has to
stop.

BULL$#!+!!

CW is fun, nothing more, nothing less. It's neat to operate, and
can be accomplished with a minimum of effect IF the skills are
there. But what I DO object to is mandatory skill sets that really
have no relevance to being a competant quality operator.

I like to camp, and I have fairly good skill set there. But I see no
reason why every single parent that goes on an occasional
campout with their kids Boy Scout troop has to be a Daniel Boone
and be fully skilled.

Mike

4657. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88gbur$b3t$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe the government could not stop the free speech so they
figured why not flood the bands to stop some of the
badmouthing in the last 7 years.
Why voluntarily give in and be defeated.

A similar type of tactic may have been used in the 60's when
they *supposedly* flooded the streets with drugs to shut down
the Black Panthers.

David M. Hitchner wrote in message
<8nJq4.4656$Qx5.61934@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>...
>
>"Michael Rathbun" <mdr+rrs@HONet.com> wrote in message
>news:0FA6DBAAF3E5F930.5CF1F959865E000C.803E2D656A69DADF@lp.ai
rnews.net...
>> In rec.radio.scanner on Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:34:31 GMT,
>> vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
>>
>> >Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>>
>> How are guts involved?
>>
>> I was first licensed in 1963, have been an Extra since the
early
>seventies,
>> was a military CW op, can play "burn out" with the best of
them at 45 wpm
>> plus, and I don't have any particular problem with the new
structure.
>>
>> I admit that I think that everybody ought to be required to
demonstrate
>the
>> ability to build a Poulsen Arc transmitter from scrap brass
and bailing
>> wire before being given a license, but hey, times change
and I try to live
>> with them.
>
>My thoughts exactly. Morse code is only a small part of
amateur radio.
>Morse code has reached it's technical limits. There are
other worlds to
>explore. Also morse code is more of a talent thing. Some

people have
>it and some don't.
>
>> mdr (de KL7F)
>
>

4658. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88hnf6mql1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <88gbur$b3t$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Maybe the government could not stop the free speech so they
> figured why not flood the bands to stop some of the
> badmouthing in the last 7 years.
> Why voluntarily give in and be defeated.

Heh, heh, heh; "flood the bands", huh? You are really out to lunch.
For the most part, young people don't care too much about your precious
"bands" anymore. Other than emergecy communications, what can you do
with your "bands" that they can't do 100 times better over the Internet
or a with a cell phone call?

Thank you so much for always thinking about yourself first, and for
"tuning out" the younger generations.

I've been meeting up with some decent older hams on these discussion
boards, and they have really started to change some of my feelings. But
this kind of paranoid, selfish attitude is annoying and indicative of
why I started to get a bad attitude in the first place.

> A similar type of tactic may have been used in the 60's when
>
they *supposedly* flooded the streets with drugs to shut down
> the Black Panthers.

And the price of tea in China is up to 9 cents a cup.

Newsgroups trimmed to RRA.misc & RRA.policy

S. Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4659. FT-290R circuit diagram wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steinar Lote <steinar.lote@nrk.no>
Message-ID: <kMPq4.841$kz6.16886@news1.online.no>
Source record: 119036

Dear OM,
My long trusting all-mode vhf rig has gone dead. I located an overheated trans and a blown fuse. But my doc for the ft290r is not to be found anywhere in my many docs.
Could you help me with a copy of the circuit diagram of the ft290R, so I can locate the fault? Or do you know anyone who can? A scanned copy on .jpg or .gif would do, as long as the text and circuits are radable.
Thank you.
If a printed hardcopy is possible - mail me for the postal adress.

73 from Steinar Lote, Foerde, Norway

4660. Re: LEGAL Question: FRS and a 440 HT mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2ehj@intrex.net
Message-ID: <38abd5e4.16082865@news.intrex.net>
Source record: 119037

No, your
HT was
never type
accepted
for that
band.

>Okay, is this legal?
>
>I have an FRS radio and a dual band 440 HT. There's a mod available for the
>HT that will allow it to transmit in the FRS band from 462-467 MHz. FCC
>regulations do not allow an ERP of more than 500mW, and no external antenna
>for FRS, so with my modified HT would it be legal to transmit on the FRS
>band as long as my ERP doesn't exceed 500mW ??? (like if I'm using the 0dB
>gain ducky antenna...)
>
>Is that legal? Maybe it's a dumb question and maybe it's the whole point of
>a mod like this in the first place, but I just want to make sure before I do
>anything.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Steve
>N3UCN
>
>
>
>
>
>

4661. Re: Mark my words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88i1qb$u5l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119038

You can not just "wish" W4FQF off of Ham Radio!! I have heard him on
the twenty meter band and he is obeying part 97 in a perfect manner.
Of course some people can not "beat" him in a discussion and it burns
their buns. You are just mad because you got caught qrming with
your "TREAD MILL FROM QRM HELL". Mr Ashley, (W4FQF) is a model for all
amateurs to pattern after. He is on going about his business,. You on
the other hand can not qrm the way you used to and it pisses you off
big time.
Keep up the good work FQF, keep being a example for all good operating
amateurs!!

Part97 nObey it, and that means you AF2Y

In article <88finb$cb2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Print this out and hang it on your shack wall. Riley is finally going
to
> understand that the chief troublemaker and instigator on 14.313 is
Polly,
> FQF and the dude is gonna go thataway. Guaranteed if Polly gets six
months
> in radio prison, things will subside to less than a whisper on that
esteemed
> frequency or is it "steamed." I said way back when, when there was a
> division between the 14.313 participants on .313 into that and .275,
Polly
> would never leave .275 alone and it's come to pass. Just today Paul,
WB9HEU
> on .275 was the target of abuse by you know whom. Mark my words, it's
just a
> matter of time before the fellow from Tennessee gets the hook. It's a
LONG
> TIME COMING too. That guy, cloaked in vestments, has been getting
away with
> hell for years and the piper is going to be paid. Finally.
> AF2Y,Doc
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4662. My new web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa1cfx <fa1cfx@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <88gsi0$h4m$10@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 119039

Hello,you can see my new web page to this adress:

http://perso.wanadoo.fr/fa1cfx/

But it's only in french

Your's remarks are welcome

73's from FA1CFX / Philippe

4663. Re: LEGAL Question: FRS and a 440 HT mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wt.net>
Message-ID: <38AC1496.FC121016@wt.net>
Source record: 119040

Who's going to know? How about the people using the adjacent
channels that get your signal since your deviation is 5 khz while
and FRS radio is supposed to be around 3khz. I guess if you break
the law and no one finds out then it is ok.

David

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2000, Steve Pake wrote:
> > I have an FRS radio and a dual band 440 HT. There's a mod available for the
> > HT that will allow it to transmit in the FRS band from 462-467 MHz. FCC
> > regulations do not allow an ERP of more than 500mW, and no external antenna
> > for FRS, so with my modified HT would it be legal to transmit on the FRS
> > band as long as my ERP doesn't exceed 500mW ??? (like if I'm using the 0dB
> > gain ducky antenna...)
> >
> > Is that legal? Maybe it's a dumb question and maybe it's the whole point of
> > a mod like this in the first place, but I just want to make sure before I do
> > anything.
>
> Technically, no. FRS radios need type-acceptance. However, until you posted,
> who's going to know?

4664. Re: LEGAL Question: FRS and a 440 HT mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38AC0626.7A7F1CE8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119041

David wrote:

> Who's going to know? How about the people using the adjacent
> channels that get your signal since your deviation is 5 khz while
> and FRS radio is supposed to be around 3khz. I guess if you break
> the law and no one finds out then it is ok.
>
> David
>
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> >
> > On Tue, 15 Feb 2000, Steve Pake wrote:
> > > I have an FRS radio and a dual band 440 HT. There's a mod available for the
> > > HT that will allow it to transmit in the FRS band from 462-467 MHz. FCC
> > > regulations do not allow an ERP of more than 500mW, and no external antenna
> > > for FRS, so with my modified HT would it be legal to transmit on the FRS
> > > band as long as my ERP doesn't exceed 500mW ??? (like if I'm using the 0dB
> > > gain ducky antenna...)
> > >
> > > Is that legal? Maybe it's a dumb question and maybe it's the whole point of
> > > a mod like this in the first place, but I just want to make sure before I do
> > > anything.
> >
> > Technically, no. FRS radios need type-acceptance. However, until you posted,
> > who's going to know?

==========
Ah a legal dilemma -- maybe see a movie called "A Simple Plan"
Talk about "who's going to know"

Bottom line -- don't mess with the law and the law won't mess with you

For FRS Rules and Regs -- see URL:
http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/prs/famrad.html

--
73 From Rod In San Diego

AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 565,000 visits on the counter
http://www.ac6v.com/ -- General Ham Radio
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html -- DX Reference Pages

4665. Re: The REAL reasons ham radio has been dumbed-down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knotlob <Fcarter@bellsouth.com>
Message-ID: <lvUq4.2247$O43.94188@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote in message <88fv1s$e52$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...

>But the pro-code die-hards can't see the obvious(or admit the obvious).
>They realize that there has been a gradual dumbing down of the amateur
>community and they try to blame it on "the youth". But young
>technologically-astute individuals have been discouraged by the Morse
>code requirements that were ridiculously unreasable in today's digital
>world. With things like computers, the Internet, video games, etc. to
>keep the techies challanged, ham radio might be considered; but Morse
>code - ugggh!

BRAVO!! TRUTH!! BRAVO!!

>
>As the existing ham community grew older and lost brain cells, the
>dumbing down proceeded. This help set up a vicious circle, as it
>further limited their ability to attract new members, as young folks
>don't want to hang out with a bunch of "grumpy old men", who don't know
>anything about computers, don't have anything to teach them, are
>continously complaining about their health problems, and who anger them
>with their second-childhood vocabulary of "lazy", "whining" and "dumb"
>comments, while greedily hoarding their "well-earned privileges".
>
>So, I'm sorry to say, this is the REAL reason ham radio was
>"dumbed-down".

Thank you Sir! There is *more truth* in the above paragraphs
than in everything I have collectivly read in the pages
of QST for as far back as I can recall.

Please, consider running for ARRL C.E.O. We NEED more people like you.

4666. F/S Yaesu FT-920

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: terryh@bayou.com
Message-ID: <38ac18ef.9154306@news.bayou.com>
Source record: 119043

For Sale:

Yaesu FT-920 mint condition. Band coverage 6 meters through 160 meters. The rig has a AM & FM module with matching SP-8 filter speaker. Also has a MDX-100 desk mic and all manuals. This rig with all accessories cost $2,200.00 and has only one owner. I am asking $1,200.00 dollars for complete set and you pay shipping. You can reach me here in Monroe, La. at (318)343-9480 or e-mail to terryh@bayou.com

73's
Terry W1MRC
http://www.bayou.com/~terryh/

4667. Re: AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!! AF2Y answers.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88h5pl$4rn$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119044

Bob, you're writing high class English for low class morons. Make it easy for them by saying, "You have no proof therefore what you're doing is defaming." But to be candid, if I thought there was reason to take action, I would. No one seems to understand that AOL isn't THE only provider and besides, it has flaws which I didn't need anymore. Of course there's always the vultures KT4TR and K2IVX hovering and squawking how THEY, THE IMPORTANT STOCKHOLDERS ended my contract with AOL. If I thought it was true, I'd sue them but it's all braggadocio and they know it. No one's suing anyone because I know what happened and it's exactly as I described. Their complaints coincided with my contempt and they took the credit. To prove it. let anyone on this board ask Bob and Lenny for specifics and you'll get no answer. No names or dates given. Is there any further need to classify them as liars? I think not.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <25255-38AB8D5D-18@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:

> part97, Would you be so kind as to enumerate the specific infractions?
> Merely citing Part 97 infractions is insufficient for the readers, not
> to speak of the accused. Your absence of specificity render you charges
> a form of defamation in itself. Please enlighten us with adequate
> details.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>

4668. FT51R Programming w/ADMS software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <not@home.ae1m.com>
Message-ID: <mt6oas871qc6f6d3u7v3aucl9dntnlkait@4ax.com>
Source record: 119045

I have had an FT51R for years and have had good success uploading and downloading to the radio with the ADMS software I bought from RT Systems.

Recently I acquired a new (used) FT51R. I can upload and download to that radio also.

However, if I try to clone (download to the new radio data I uploaded to the PC from the old radio) I get failure.

Both radios have the same h/w mod (remove solder bridge on pad 6 for extended tx/rx - they make nice Family Radio Service radios now too!).

Again, each radio can upload and dwonload it's own data, but can't upload/download the others data.

1) Anyone have any insight?
2) Anyone have contact info for RT Systems? I'd hoped www.rtsystems.com would be the place, but no luck.

Thanks,
Bob AE1M

```
******************************************
** PLEASE NOTE: Do NOT use your REPLY **
** button to reply to this message. **
** **
** REPLIES--> bob@ae1m.com **
** **
** -----Sorry for the inconvenience----- **
******************************************
```

4669. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38ac10df.2165761@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 20:53:46 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
>> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
>> > thought they already knew it all...
>>
>> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
>> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
>> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
>> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
>> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
>> without additional data.
>
>That bit of silliness can be turned about in an instant:
>
>I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of
>Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one
>day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school
>but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had
>completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of
>the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.

That turns nothing around. It only points to a very
poor ability of the school to assess an EE's competency
for graduation.

And in another post, same thread....
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > If all you have to do is brag about engineers or your Mensa membership,
>> > Cecil, you really need to find some things to do in your retirement.
>>
>> On the contrary, Dave, I am arguing exactly the opposite and your example
>> proves my point. If a graduate engineer can be full of $hit, how much more
>> full of $hit can an extra class licensee be? The extra class exam is akin
>> to a freshman EE exam.
>
>Please demonstrate how you are arguing the exact opposite. Maybe you
>haven't made your point clearly. While you're at it, please explain why

>an Amateur Extra has to be full of anything just because some
>engineering grad is stupid. Sometimes you don't seem to make sense.
>
>> Moral: Don't trust anyone unless he/she proves he/she knows what he/she
>> is talking about. That includes EEs *AND EXTRAS*, much to your chagrin,
>> Dave. You seem to want extras to have a "get out of jail free" pass.
>> That ain't gonna happen.
>
>Cecil, your self-proclaimed superior intellect sometimes goes off on a
>tangent. How does it seem that I want holders of the Amateur Extra to
>get any such thing? I've had my Extra ticket for about 23 years now. I
>enjoy all that U.S. amateur radio has to offer. I'm not in jail.

BUT there are others that want the EXTRA license to
somehow proclaim to all the world (academia, industry,
military, etc.) that the EXTRA license conveys a level of
technical competency that should be automatically
recognized. Your (Dave Heil) example of the inept EE
shows that even a 4 year degreed individual can apparently
pass all the required tests in the college EE program and
still graduate not knowing certain basics.

>I don't know about trusting someone but I don't pay much attention to
>someone who doesn't know what he's talking about and it doesn't matter
>whether we're discussing amateur radio or not. I'm not chagrined but I
>am amused.

I agee. At best, an EXTRA license might be a door opener
in a limited sense BUT the proof is in the individual to SHOW
the competency (advanced placement tests, accelerating
in military training classes, employment tests, etc.) No such
"free pass" should come with it. Glad you agree.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4670. Filtres 30M15B pour U200T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: F5JTZ - Patrice <f5jtz@club-internet.fr>
Message-ID: <38AC29CF.2DB8@club-internet.fr>
Source record: 119047

73 a tous.
Recherche filtres 30M15B pour Icom ICU-200....
Merci ï¿½ tous.
Patrice / f5jtz.

4671. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38AC2D27.5382131@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 20:53:46 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
> >> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
> >> > thought they already knew it all...
> >>
> >> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
> >> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
> >> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
> >> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
> >> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
> >> without additional data.
> >>
> >That bit of silliness can be turned about in an instant:
> >
> >I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of
> >Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one
> >day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school
> >but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had
> >completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of
> >the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.
>
> That turns nothing around.

...sez you.

> It only points to a very
> poor ability of the school to assess an EE's competency
> for graduation.

Very poor ability? How about no ability? How about a free pass based
on volleyball? Let's not lay it all on OSU. Pete D. certainly didn't
do the assignments and hit the books. He was astounded that the hams
working at the company knew so much about electronics and so much about
components. Not all of us were Extra Class hams. We had three Extras,
an Advanced and a couple of old time Techs. At very least the guy
parlayed a bogus EE degree into an electronics sales job. Can you say
"free pass"?

> And in another post, same thread....
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > If all you have to do is brag about engineers or your Mensa membership,
> >> > Cecil, you really need to find some things to do in your retirement.
> >>
> >> On the contrary, Dave, I am arguing exactly the opposite and your example
> >> proves my point. If a graduate engineer can be full of $hit, how much more
> >> full of $hit can an extra class licensee be? The extra class exam is akin
> >> to a freshman EE exam.
> >
> >Please demonstrate how you are arguing the exact opposite. Maybe you
> >haven't made your point clearly. While you're at it, please explain why
> >an Amateur Extra has to be full of anything just because some
> >engineering grad is stupid. Sometimes you don't seem to make sense.
> >
> >> Moral: Don't trust anyone unless he/she proves he/she knows what he/she
> >> is talking about. That includes EEs *AND EXTRAS*, much to your chagrin,
> >> Dave. You seem to want extras to have a "get out of jail free" pass.
> >> That ain't gonna happen.
> >
> >Cecil, your self-proclaimed superior intellect sometimes goes off on a
> >tangent. How does it seem that I want holders of the Amateur Extra to
> >get any such thing? I've had my Extra ticket for about 23 years now. I
> >enjoy all that U.S. amateur radio has to offer. I'm not in jail.
>
> BUT there are others that want the EXTRA license to
> somehow proclaim to all the world (academia, industry,
> military, etc.) that the EXTRA license conveys a level of
> technical competency that should be automatically
> recognized. Your (Dave Heil) example of the inept EE
> shows that even a 4 year degreed individual can apparently
> pass all the required tests in the college EE program and
> still graduate not knowing certain basics.

What others want that? Name a few of them. I've heard much more from
Lenny about wanting a free pass based on his many years of
"professional" communications and more from Carl and Cecil about how
just having electrical engineers grace ham radio with their presence
would be a boon to the service. For that matter, Carl goes around
calling himself an engineer but admits that he has no engineering
degree. You don't become an engineer simply by calling yourself one.

> >I don't know about trusting someone but I don't pay much attention to
> >someone who doesn't know what he's talking about and it doesn't matter
> >whether we're discussing amateur radio or not. I'm not chagrined but I
> >am amused.
>
> I agee. At best, an EXTRA license might be a door opener
> in a limited sense BUT the proof is in the individual to SHOW
> the competency (advanced placement tests, accelerating

> in military training classes, employment tests, etc.) No such
> "free pass" should come with it. Glad you agree.

Door opened for me several times because of a ham ticket. I happened to hold an Extra when a couple of those doors swung wide.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

4672. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38AC3ACF.24BC7CB2@uci.edu>
Source record: 119049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"David M. Hitchner" wrote:

> Morse code is only a small part of amateur radio.

What is the big part?

73, AC6XG

4673. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38ac404e.62254050@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 119050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 12:59:46 GMT, W4CSC@lostonthe.net (Larry W4CSC)
wrote:

>I don't think you'll hear anything different at all.
>
Amen.
>
>Those that enjoy
>CW will still enjoy CW. The only thing I think you're going to hear
>is a lot younger voices in the Extra Phone Bands. Those bands may not
>sound like a nursing home chat room talking about their latest
>operation, any more.
>
All this restructuring thingey will do is produce 95% upgrades and
maybe 5% new hams, the new voices heard and the topics discussed in
the Extra phone segments will simply be the voices and topics
currently heard and discussed on the machines. The only thing which
will change is the frequency. And the "coverage", of course. Zzzzz . .
.
>
>Larry W4CSC
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

4674. AF2Y and the "DUNGHILL"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <B_Xq4.10105$Ez.199903@news2.mia>
Source record: 119051

I was listening to a sermon by the Great Pastor Pete Peters last night. He was talking about how some Jews in Hollywood promote toilets and such. I was shocked! I did'nt know that Jews had a fetish with bathrooms and related things

AF2Y: Is this true?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

4675. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38AC4FE3.9C2C31E3@uci.edu>
Source record: 119052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:
> BUT there are others that want the EXTRA license to
> somehow proclaim to all the world (academia, industry,
> military, etc.) that the EXTRA license conveys a level of
> technical competency that should be automatically
> recognized.

I think that if the Extra class license should be changed in order to convey something, it should be changed to convey the idea that the holder of the license is a long experienced amateur radio operator. I feel that way even though (and maybe even because) it's not something that is true in my case. I think it should recognize the guys (gals) who have been at it the longest, and have been actively pursuing the advancement of their own skill and expertise in amateur radio - in a variety of disciplines. Someone might ask if I would be willing to give my Extra up in order to affect such a change, and I'd have to say yes, I would - hopefully to be able to regain it once I had fulfilled the new requirements (begging permission to perhaps grumble a bit along the way :-)

One of the best things to come out of restructuring is that for many, their Extra class ticket will finally come to be. For many who already have the Extra class license, it probably IS the result of many years of work - albeit as an artifact of the years it took them to obtain proficiency at 20 WPM. But any expectation that an amateur radio license should be reflective of something outside of amateur radio is probably unrealistic.

73, Jim AC6XG

4676. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38ac4f7a.2724674@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 119053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 17 Feb 2000 17:17:27 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 20:53:46 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
>> >> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
>> >> > thought they already knew it all...
>> >>
>> >> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
>> >> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
>> >> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
>> >> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
>> >> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
>> >> without additional data.
>> >
>> >That bit of silliness can be turned about in an instant:
>> >
>> >I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of
>> >Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one
>> >day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school
>> >but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had
>> >completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of
>> >the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.
>>
>> That turns nothing around.
>
>...sez you.

Yep, sez me.

>> It only points to a very
>> poor ability of the school to assess an EE's competency
>> for graduation.
>
>Very poor ability? How about no ability? How about a free pass based
>on volleyball? Let's not lay it all on OSU.

Who other than OSU should take the blame? OSU
compromised their educational standards (apparently).

>Pete D. certainly didn't
>do the assignments and hit the books.

BUT in the end it was OSU that passed him.

>He was astounded that the hams
>working at the company knew so much about electronics and so much about
>components. Not all of us were Extra Class hams. We had three Extras,
>an Advanced and a couple of old time Techs. At very least the guy
>parlayed a bogus EE degree into an electronics sales job. Can you say
>"free pass"?

I don't disagree with that at all. I simply noted that it
was OSU that apparently gave it all away.

>> And in another post, same thread....
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > If all you have to do is brag about engineers or your Mensa membership,
>> >> > Cecil, you really need to find some things to do in your retirement.
>> >>
>> >> On the contrary, Dave, I am arguing exactly the opposite and your example
>> >> proves my point. If a graduate engineer can be full of $hit, how much more
>> >> full of $hit can an extra class licensee be? The extra class exam is akin
>> >> to a freshman EE exam.
>> >
>> >Please demonstrate how you are arguing the exact opposite. Maybe you
>> >haven't made your point clearly. While you're at it, please explain why
>> >an Amateur Extra has to be full of anything just because some
>> >engineering grad is stupid. Sometimes you don't seem to make sense.
>> >
>> >> Moral: Don't trust anyone unless he/she proves he/she knows what he/she
>> >> is talking about. That includes EEs *AND EXTRAS*, much to your chagrin,
>> >> Dave. You seem to want extras to have a "get out of jail free" pass.
>> >> That ain't gonna happen.
>> >
>> >Cecil, your self-proclaimed superior intellect sometimes goes off on a
>> >tangent. How does it seem that I want holders of the Amateur Extra to
>> >get any such thing? I've had my Extra ticket for about 23 years now. I
>> >enjoy all that U.S. amateur radio has to offer. I'm not in jail.
>>
>> BUT there are others that want the EXTRA license to
>> somehow proclaim to all the world (academia, industry,
>> military, etc.) that the EXTRA license conveys a level of
>> technical competency that should be automatically
>> recognized. Your (Dave Heil) example of the inept EE
>> shows that even a 4 year degreed individual can apparently
>> pass all the required tests in the college EE program and
>> still graduate not knowing certain basics.

>
>What others want that? Name a few of them.

Let's see:

Vince Biancomo
Jim N2EY
Bob W2ilp
Dick Carroll

All have written to the tune of "that old
amateur (especially Extra) license don't get no respect"

>I've heard much more from
>Lenny about wanting a free pass based on his many years of
>"professional" communications and more from Carl and Cecil about how
>just having electrical engineers grace ham radio with their presence
>would be a boon to the service.

Sorry Dick, but neither Carl nor Cecil ever asked for any
FREE PASS. They have simply noted that the old Morse
roadblock kept a number of highly qualified people from
becoming hams. Neither Carl nor Cecil ever said or
suggested that anyone with an EE (friend or not) should
be waived from a written test.

>For that matter, Carl goes around
>calling himself an engineer but admits that he has no engineering
>degree. You don't become an engineer simply by calling yourself one.

I have the title of Systems Engineer and have a degree in
Economics plus an AAS in Electrical Technology.
Who ever said you MUST be a degreed individual
(i.e. have an engineering degree) to be employed in or
hold a title that defines you as an engineer?
The ONLY rules that I know of (i.e. legal requirements)
are that you must have passed a Proffesional Engineer
test to include the PE designation after your engineering
title.

>> >I don't know about trusting someone but I don't pay much attention to
>> >someone who doesn't know what he's talking about and it doesn't matter
>> >whether we're discussing amateur radio or not. I'm not chagrined but I
>> >am amused.
>>
>> I agee. At best, an EXTRA license might be a door opener
>> in a limited sense BUT the proof is in the individual to SHOW
>> the competency (advanced placement tests, accelerating
>> in military training classes, employment tests, etc.) No such
>> "free pass" should come with it. Glad you agree.
>
>Door opened for me several times because of a ham ticket. I happened to
>hold an Extra when a couple of those doors swung wide.

Fine and you then PROVED yourself during an interview, during some type of background check, etc. You didn't expect the License alone to be your validation as to your competency. I do believe we actually agree here in principal as to the importance or "respect" that anyone can/should expect from having an amateur license.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4677. Re: AF2Y and the "DUNGHILL"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88hlro$he3$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119054

On ham radio I know of one person who promotes toilets and he's "Bathroom Man,", WA7WOC, Donald Lehto from Carefree, AZ. The reason is because plumbing supplies and fixtures is his business. Regarding Pastor Peters, having heard him I can't attest to his "greatness" but can understand why he would get on the topic of toilets since he's as full of it as you are. And I would think that some Jews in the United States sell toilets since, with the exception of certain areas of the United States which caters to outhouses, something you know all about, it's an excellent business. By the way I've been to Carefree Arizona and seen WA7WOC's dwelling and bathroom supplies have done him quite well. The way you write Lloyd concerning your icon Pastor Peters, it seems that he is trying to covey the idea that Jews and toilets don't mix. I say whether Jew, Gentile, Muslim e.g., they all must go. Amen.
AF2Y

In article <B_Xq4.10105$Ez.199903@news2.mia>, "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> I was listening to a sermon by the Great Pastor Pete Peters last night. He
> was talking about how some Jews in Hollywood promote toilets and such. I
> was shocked! I did'nt know that Jews had a fetish with bathrooms and
> related things
>
> AF2Y: Is this true?
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>

4678. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38AC5EF6.D3615651@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> "David M. Hitchner" wrote:
> > Morse code is only a small part of amateur radio.
>
> What is the big part?

Obviously, everything else combined. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4679. question for n0VFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88hoag$9jf$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119056

Lloyd,
Do listeners of Pastor Peter Peters address him as Pastor Queeenie Peters
the same way hams address Peter Mort as Queenie Mort? But why should they
address Peter as Queenie when his real name is Harold and the middle initial
is R? A better name for him would be Heenie Mort. Don't you agree?
AF2Y

4680. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <eGZq4.4168$8k3.34673@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 119057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:38AC4FE3.9C2C31E3@uci.edu...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > BUT there are others that want the EXTRA license to
> > somehow proclaim to all the world (academia, industry,
> > military, etc.) that the EXTRA license conveys a level of
> > technical competency that should be automatically
> > recognized.
>
> I think that if the Extra class license should be changed in order to
> convey something, it should be changed to convey the idea that the
> holder of the license is a long experienced amateur radio operator. I
> feel that way even though (and maybe even because) it's not something
> that is true in my case. I think it should recognize the guys (gals)
> who have been at it the longest, and have been actively pursuing the
> advancement of their own skill and expertise in amateur radio - in a
> variety of disciplines. Someone might ask if I would be willing to give
> my Extra up in order to affect such a change, and I'd have to say yes, I
> would - hopefully to be able to regain it once I had fulfilled the new
> requirements (begging permission to perhaps grumble a bit along the way
> :-)
>

I agree. Onlly thing I look at is the code requirement. The writtens can be
as hard
as they can, and I won't care. ;)

> One of the best things to come out of restructuring is that for many,
> their Extra class ticket will finally come to be. For many who already
> have the Extra class license, it probably IS the result of many years of
> work - albeit as an artifact of the years it took them to obtain

> proficiency at 20 WPM. But any expectation that an amateur radio
> license should be reflective of something outside of amateur radio is
> probably unrealistic.
>

Alas, but that's true too.

> 73, Jim AC6XG

4681. Re: AF2Y and the "DUNGHILL"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knotlob <Fcarter@bellsouth.com>
Message-ID: <Db_q4.13819$Zp1.447255@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119058

Lloyd Davies wrote in message ...
>I was listening to a sermon by the Great Pastor Pete Peters last night. He
>was talking about how some Jews in Hollywood promote toilets and such. I
>was shocked! I did'nt know that Jews had a fetish with bathrooms and
>related things

Well Lloyd, we now know what program you and your patriot numbskulls
like to listen to. My guess is the clown cult from Waxahatchie
(or is that Nuts-a-hatchin) Texas on 3.215 at 8:00 EST.
Better yet is that OKC Bombing Revisionist Mike Koernkie at 9:00
on 6.755(?) WGTG Sideband. You should like this guy Lloyd, he still
maintains that the deaths of 200+ people in the A.P. Murrah building
was a "good thing". Keep listen Lloyd, and don't worry about any
"bathroom fetish" people might have, instead, you should worry if
that knock at the door at 3:00 AM is the BATF, who rightly so should
cart YOU and YOUR MILITIA ilk to the nearest Federal Corrections
Facility for an "extended stay" on oatmeal, water and licence plate
manufacturing. Yes Lloyd, I just cannot wait for the day that "Right
Wing Whacko Roundup Time" begins!!!

4682. Attention says AF2Y

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88hrni$ck2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119059

My long lost friend and confidant in the Vero Beach area, "Llgpt@aol.com"
a.k.a. Mr.Lewis just showed up with this info. Riley RETURNED that stinking
StumpJumper number given to him; he knows that TR and QAM are up to no good
and the Vero Zero never went to California but then again TR did call KA6URA
to sked a lunch date for which Bob must pay so what the hell's going on?
Hanging around .313 tends to confuse even the most brilliant of persons, ME.

4683. Code, Testing changes, etc. - was Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002172204070.2929-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So, the pro-code proponents feel that the elimination of the higher code speeds has "dumbed-down" amateur radio. Hmmmm.

Well, I guess so: If these "walnut-brained" (literally) operators can do it, I guess it must have been "dumbed-down." (cf. Recent "Newsline" story about a bird whistling a morse code repeater ID in Australia and the URL http://cottontail.home.att.net/Ralph/page2.html - first image).

Have the theory tests been "dumbed-down" too? Not really - in that the same questions still exist in the pools; just merely rearranged. Then again, Gordon West's dog managed to pass.

Has code kept out some [otherwise] qualified people? Probably, but we shall see. I'm surprised that it managed to survive this long. The Spark Gap was eliminated in 1934 (by its omission) and code, in my opinion, should have died as an obsolete form (at least as far as licensing is concerned) in the 1970's. I have no objection to its survival as something "fun" to do; just that it shouldn't be a requirement. At least the FCC had the correct idea when it created the no-code license.

[However, I agree with another thread that perhaps the entry-level license is too broad in allowing all bands above 30MHz. I'm not certain that the test sufficiently addresses the building of microwave transmitters - but then again, anyone who isn't sufficiently technical to do so will fry their own brain anyway.]

4684. Re: NEW KENWOOD HF-1.2GHZ RADIO !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4jzh@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <38ac7a6b.39023203@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119061

On 17 Feb 2000 00:57:35 GMT, dlr18sh@aol.com (DLR18SH) wrote:

>Kenwood will debut a NEW HF-1.2 GHZ radio that is designed to outgun the FT-847
>and ICOM IC-746 at DAYTON 2000.
>ICOM is coming out with a IC-746 MK II or PRO version that has 440 MHZ added
>and this explains the $300 coupon in the USA.
>73's TIM
And where did you hear this info????

4685. N8IEZ, Libelous Stalker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38AC797E.2C4F7CD7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119062

John Bowers wrote:

> On Wed 16 Feb 2000 05:51:58 GMT, "Paul" <pab1@home.com> barfed:
>
> : X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
>
> : That's W4AMP....He calls of lot of name but we know he his a pissed of drug
> : addict.
> : I call him that name only I show proof!
> [drivelsnip]
>
> What an intelligent post (NOT)! Not only have you posted binaries to a
> non-binary news group (a violation of @home's TOS), but you posted them
> repeatedly and didn't even get them encoded correctly. They were all
> incomplete.
>
> YOU are the spammer at this point. Carefully read the pages at
> http://www.die.net/random/h/b/e/index.htm before you post again.

Libelous garbage from N8IEZ, Paul Biliti, an alcoholic who likes to stalk 75 year old hams at the dayton hamfest. He has been in a bad way ever since Riley threw his buddy OTK off the air. And then of course VA3GJB got turned into Industry Canada for bootlegging on twenty for the last five years.Actually, I hope he keeps it up. It will insure another ham (not amp) will go to jail for contempt. Touche!

cc: rholling@fcc.gov

4686. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38AC89F9.5CC86236@uci.edu>
Source record: 119063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"David M. Hitchner" wrote:
>
> To name a few, there is FM, AM, USB, LSB, PSK, PACKET, etc. Plus
> there is also building antennas and other equipment, participating in nets,
> outtings, public service communications, etc.

And so are each of these "big" in comparison to CW?

> Like I said, morse code is only a small part.

Compared to the total list, yes. Even FM is small compared to the total
list. But CW is certainly not small when compared to AM for example.

What is your point in any of this?

73, Jim AC6XG

4687. FA: Unassembled Heathkits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry <K6ME@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <b20r4.4903$84.525112@alfalfa.thegrid.net>
Source record: 119064

Is there a Heathkit Web site or reflector that I can get information about several un-assembled in the box Heathkits?
I have an Audio analyzer, Model IM-4B and a Harmonic Distortion Meter, Model IM-58. They are still unwrapped and in the original but opened shipping box. Manuals also.

Thanks: K6ME

4688. Re: Re-testing for renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <sap6nrlo2pd7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"Glen Hawkes" <hawkesgl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:910r4.14055$Zp1.456568@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Retesting is a great way to insure that newer Hams lose interest in Ham
> radio and then you old dinosaurs can sit around and wonder why Ham radio
> isnt going anywhere

Actually, I'd bet that the newcomers would fare far better in retesting
than the "old dinosaurs" ... I doubt that more than 25% of the "old
dinosaurs" could pass the current tests for their current class of license.

- --
Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
<mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
<http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
- ------------------------------------------------------
NCI-1052
Executive Director, No Code International
Fellow, The Radio Club of America
Senior Member, IEEE
Member, TAPR
Member, ARRL
- ------------------------------------------------------
Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
<http://www.nocode.org>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3

iQA/AwUBOKya+5e+N6+q84HiEQJk2wCg0YShp+DQl8jI99GNgHI688GAhdcAoOOT
V6lyAJIcYzEQOKjvzMEHdQVD
=9w+L
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

4689. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <sapf6o9s2pd98@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

depends on the sub-major that the EE was working on.

f'example, if a guy was really into power, he might go to comm systems and get a passing grade while aceing thermodynamics and power conversion classes.

or the data guys that were strong on the computer aspects of EE but weaker on power/motors and maybe hopeless on communications systems (not realizing that they were gonna need fast comm systems to hook up the fast computers)...

73, bill wb9ivr

Bill Sohl <billsohl@interactive.net> wrote in message news:38ac10df.2165761@news.interactive.net...
> BUT there are others that want the EXTRA license to
> somehow proclaim to all the world (academia, industry,
> military, etc.) that the EXTRA license conveys a level of
> technical competency that should be automatically
> recognized. Your (Dave Heil) example of the inept EE
> shows that even a 4 year degreed individual can apparently
> pass all the required tests in the college EE program and
> still graduate not knowing certain basics.

4690. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@iu.net>
Message-ID: <sapfim4b2pd59@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's not all that much different, Bob.

The block diagrams of, say, a KWM-2 or HF-230 have a lot in common with the TS-120 out in the living room or the R-4C we had at a club station.

a lot of the difference is that today's basic radios have features not even available in the 1950s.

just because there's a lot of add-on bells and whistles doesn't mean that the guts are radically different.

amplifiers are still amplifiers, etc.

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message news:87kl55$7q7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <389c1818_4@news1.prserv.net>,
> "Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > "Bob Wexelbaum, w2ilp"
> > > >
> > > Yes Bill....and that is why Hams need MORE license classes now than
> > > they did in the 1950s. Remember that the "Class A" license, which
> > > became the Advanced Class, was initiated when Hams started to use
> > that
> > > new fangled RADIOTELEPHONY.
> > > There should be a class of license for Hams who want to be digital
> > > communicators or to use each different signaling and/or modulating
> > > technique.
> > >
> > God, what a ghastly idea. Gosh, I guess to apply this logic there
> > should be a different type of driver's license for chevy's, porshces,
> > and my poor little old Ford explorer.
> ...or my 1984 Toyota Tersel?
>
> Nah...BUT there certainly should be different qualifications required
> for driving, piloting and maintaining automobiles, ships and aircraft!
> The Ham equipment of today is as different from the Ham gear of 1950 as
> there exist differences between cars, ships and airplanes.
>
> > Better yet, let's require all
> > hams to take a 3 hour exam every six months to ensure that they meet
> > "high" standards. That ought to run all those appliance operators out
> > of the hobby.
>

> Well the ARRL may have read what some of us Hams have been saying
> here. They admit that the present Ham licensing structure is
> technically dumbed down...and as a result they are proposing to start
> their own advanced certification program. Maybe that is going to be
> too little to late as it will not be part of any official FCC
> structure. It will be an incentive however for Hams who want to
> certify that they are technically capable at a higher level to do so.
> > --
> > Roger J. Buffington
> > W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net
> > _____________________
> >
> > Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
> > Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html
> >
> > "Politicians are the same the world over...
> > They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
> > -- Nikita Khruschev
> >
> Weak politicians pass weak laws but
> dentists are the ones who build bridges with teeth in them.
> --w2ilp--
>
> Hams need MORE license classes,
> Bob Wex w2ilp (Identify Lunatic Pilots)
> ---
> Human history becomes more and more a race between
> education and catastrophe.
> --H.G. Wells (The Outline of History)(1920)--
>
> Democracy has arrived at a gallop in England and
> I feel all the time that it is a race for life;
> can we educate them before the crash comes?
> --Stanley Baldwin (letter to Edward Wood)(1928)--
>
> Did Baldwin foresee the crash of 1929?
> The skilled Hams will continue to be skilled Hams
> even if they lose their professions due to a
> major economic crash.
> --w2ilp--
>
> The human species was funny even beforte there was an internet.
> --w2ilp--
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

4691. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com>
Message-ID: <#iL$uVHf$GA.257@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 119068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Heck no. That's what they built computers for! Why send at 5 WPM when you
can let the computer do it at much faster speeds!

"Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use choice
> segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been prohibited
> from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we cannot
> communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow
generals
> and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm CQ's
to
> encourage them along
> and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think? We might
> consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice bands
where
> the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging words and
> help them along except that this time, it would be the lower classes that
> lent an
> extra hand. Agree?
> 73
> de KR4AJ
>
>

4692. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com>
Message-ID: <OGOoyVHf$GA.284@cpmsnbbsa04>
Source record: 119069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As a Tech with a EE who just took the Extra test....

Well, me and another guy took 4B with about 2 hours worth of studying. And both of us haven't designed RF circuits in many years.

An Extra without an engineering degree, well, they have demonstrated that they can receive code faster!

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:38AAF58F.63134320@IEEE.org...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
> > thought they already knew it all...
>
> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
> without additional data.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4693. Re: KC8JBO Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ftqp$d51$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119119

In article <88cdu9$v5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
> I GOT THE "GOODS" ON YOU, WIDEASS.
>
> Why don't you post where your father is? Hohohoho!!!
>
> The BEST is yet to come.............
>
> PITBULL
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> AT LEAST HE KNOWS WHO HIS FATHER IS!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4694. The REAL reasons ham radio has been dumbed-down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88fv1s$e52$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I gotta love the comments of the pro-code die-hards complaining about a "dumbing-down" of the ham community due to the lessening of Morse code requirements - when the old Morse requirements were actually a big part of the cause of the dumbing-down. And I gotta love the no-code die hards too.

But the pro-code die-hards can't see the obvious(or admit the obvious). They realize that there has been a gradual dumbing down of the amateur community and they try to blame it on "the youth". But young technologically-astute individuals have been discouraged by the Morse code requirements that were ridiculously unreasable in today's digital world. With things like computers, the Internet, video games, etc. to keep the techies challanged, ham radio might be considered; but Morse code - ugggh!

As the existing ham community grew older and lost brain cells, the dumbing down proceeded. This help set up a vicious circle, as it further limited their ability to attract new members, as young folks don't want to hang out with a bunch of "grumpy old men", who don't know anything about computers, don't have anything to teach them, are continously complaining about their health problems, and who anger them with their second-childhood vocabulary of "lazy", "whining" and "dumb" comments, while greedily hoarding their "well-earned privileges".

So, I'm sorry to say, this is the REAL reason ham radio was "dumbed-down".

By the way, the reason I'm sorry to say it, is because I'm no spring chicken either. However, I'm constantly trying to learn new things and keep the brain cells I have left in an active state. I just could never see what value there was in "getting over the hump" to 13wpm(I could do 10), when there were so many other interesting things to learn and do.

Now, for the no-coders - I supported 5wpm Novice/10wpm General /15wpm Extra reqs in the early '90's, and support 5wpm Novice/10wpm General/15wpm Extra reqs now. I don't like the No-Code Tech license as it is structured now, and I am definately against eliminating the 5wpm General reqs: I really don't see how a 5wpm requirement is much of an impediment, and getting rid of it would go too far and be the final straw in the "dumbing-down" process. The No-Code Tech license didn't help to bring in very many techies when it was implemented(because it was TOO easy, and NO challange to a techie), and a No-Code General wouldn't help at all now. The fact is the No-Code Tech license DID allow a few "dummies" in and(unfortunately) strengthened the argument of the 13/20 die-hards. The big mistake with the No-Code Tech license was

that it gave too many priviledges to them on VHF and UHF. No-Code Techs should have gotten 1.25m and 70cm privileges, and nothing more. This would have been a decent entry-level license, and given them an incentive to upgrade. Novices should have been given 6m and 2m privileges, not No-Code Techs! Also, Tech-Pluses should have been given more HF privileges! Tech-pluses have NO HF priviliges to speak of. I'm not saying they should have gotten a lot of priviliges; but they should have gotten at least some digital and more CW privileges(than Novices) on the world-wide HF bands(I'm not talking about 10meters - what an insult that was to us in the early '90s). Novices and Tech-Pluses were s**t on, the very people that HAD learned morse. The effect was a further widening of the generation/privilege gap.

The move to a 5wpm Extra requirement by the FCC seems to be a slap in the face to the Extra class for all their inflammatory comments of the past and a spanking of the ARRL for their incorrect stand in the past. And, once again; the FCC has widened the generation gap. However, they didn't fix the privilege gap - they KNEE-JERKED, and eliminated it! And, they have virtually eliminated incentive licensing. But what can you expect from the government? They have NEVER been presented with a coherent plan in the past from the amateur community - just unreasonable bickering. Who can blame them for being confused - the people who were/are interested in ham radio couldn't/can't agree; so how was some group that didn't understand and wasn't interested in ham radio gonna sort things out.

The ARRL recommendation of 5wpm/10wpm came way too late and seems contrived and timed to be politically correct, when the INEVITABLE was approaching. As if they had something to do with "trying to save ham radio"; when their organization has to take a huge part of the blame for virtually killing it..

What a mess.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4695. 4Sale or Trade Toshiba 333 Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KM5QD <km5qd@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88g3lo$gu0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119121

For Sale or Trade Toshiba Satellite 2545 CDS 32 meg Ram, 4.3 Gig HD V90 Modem, CDRom, Carry Case and Manuals.. In Excellent Condition. Is a One Owner Paid over $1500.00 for it a year ago.. Will Take $950.00 or A nice clean HF rig OF equal value.. make me an offer.

Douglas Shilling
KM5QD

km5qd@hotmail.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4696. Re: KC8JBO Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88gn7jufv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119122

rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <88ftqp$d51$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <88cdu9$v5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
>> I GOT THE "GOODS" ON YOU, WIDEASS.
>>
>> Why don't you post where your father is? Hohohoho!!!
>>
>> The BEST is yet to come.............
>>
>> PITBULL
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> AT LEAST HE KNOWS WHO HIS FATHER IS!

LOL Rich.
I know where my father is..he died in 1990. Poor Dimmy has no goods,
all he has is the filthy hovel on Hiram-Sudie Road, a "wife" that
responds to "Sueeeeee" on command, and some supposed "copyrighted"
photographs of him pretending to be a man with that flintlock rifle.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4697. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88gnhbuig1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119123

In article <88fblv$1ti$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nohambull@my-deja.com wrote:
> GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN GOING DOWN
GOING
> DOWN
>
BAHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
>
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHA
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

LOL..keep watching the Rain Report...........
That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead of
causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that didn't
even key their mikes.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4698. Re: SV: 60 Dayblah blah...start nuking these prats !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: eavsdropper <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <38AB3BD8.6BA7F9EE@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Source record: 119124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What the bloddy hell has this got to do with Amateur space, I think you
idiots should be NUKED !...off to your servers i go

eavsdropper

Sebastian Ekholm wrote:
>
> Not much of "amateur" thinking in what you are writing. Maybe you think you
> are professional in an amateur world?
>
> grow up...I am a MCSE...when MS changed the test. Should i quit then too?
> just to see someone else pass the tests and get a title?
>
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> skrev i
> diskussionsgruppsmeddelandet:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
> > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > 73 de KB7ADL

4699. NEW KENWOOD HF-1.2GHZ RADIO !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: dlr18sh@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000216195735.19278.00000514@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 119125

Kenwood will debut a NEW HF-1.2 GHZ radio that is designed to outgun the FT-847
and ICOM IC-746 at DAYTON 2000.
ICOM is coming out with a IC-746 MK II or PRO version that has 440 MHZ added
and this explains the $300 coupon in the USA.
73's TIM

4700. Re: KC8JBO Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88h26b$60m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119126

In article <88gn7jufv1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <88ftqp$d51$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <88cdu9$v5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
> >> I GOT THE "GOODS" ON YOU, WIDEASS.
> >>
> >> Why don't you post where your father is? Hohohoho!!!
> >>
> >> The BEST is yet to come.............
> >>
> >> PITBULL
> >>
> >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >> Before you buy.
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> AT LEAST HE KNOWS WHO HIS FATHER IS!
>
> LOL Rich.
> I know where my father is..he died in 1990. Poor Dimmy has no goods,
> all he has is the filthy hovel on Hiram-Sudie Road, a "wife" that
> responds to "Sueeeeee" on command, and some supposed "copyrighted"
> photographs of him pretending to be a man with that flintlock rifle.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Not trying to start anything....but....I thought a "felon" was not
allowed a firearm....(???).

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4701. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88h2hn$6c3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119127

In article <88fmhvrpr1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Donald is MISUNDERSTOOD, that's all and Dan, you are intelligent
enough to
> understand.
> AF2Y
>
> ----------
> In article <88cu53$3sm$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey
> Herman) wrote:
>
> > Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >> As a bit of advise to you I
> >>would say drop this fool like a hot potatoe, or YOU WILL PAY THE
PRICE.
> >>Not a threat DOC, just the facts.
> >
> > Dan, that doesn't sound like you at all. What price would be paid?
> >
> > 73, Jeff KH6O
>
What is it he is "misunderstood" about? With your experience in
the "radio war" I can't believe you are that gullable.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4702. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <8nJq4.4656$Qx5.61934@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 119128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Michael Rathbun" <mdr+rrs@HONet.com> wrote in message
news:0FA6DBAAF3E5F930.5CF1F959865E000C.803E2D656A69DADF@lp.airnews.net...
> In rec.radio.scanner on Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:34:31 GMT,
> vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
>
> >Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> How are guts involved?
>
> I was first licensed in 1963, have been an Extra since the early
seventies,
> was a military CW op, can play "burn out" with the best of them at 45 wpm
> plus, and I don't have any particular problem with the new structure.
>
> I admit that I think that everybody ought to be required to demonstrate
the
> ability to build a Poulsen Arc transmitter from scrap brass and bailing
> wire before being given a license, but hey, times change and I try to live
> with them.

My thoughts exactly. Morse code is only a small part of amateur radio.
Morse code has reached it's technical limits. There are other worlds to
explore. Also morse code is more of a talent thing. Some people have
it and some don't.

> mdr (de KL7F)

4703. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88h8bjass1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

(Michael Rathbun) wrote:

> I think you want the medication in the other bottle, the one that
says "Take one tablet twice per day".

Lloyd becomes a laughing stock.
Appropriate!

S.

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
LeMars, Iowa - "Ice Cream Capitol of the World."
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4704. Re: AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25255-38AB8D5D-18@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119130

part97, Would you be so kind as to enumerate the specific infractions? Merely citing Part 97 infractions is insufficient for the readers, not to speak of the accused. Your absence of specificity render you charges a form of defamation in itself. Please enlighten us with adequate details.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4705. Re: KC8JBO Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88hgp7hig1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119131

```
In article <88h26b$60m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <88gn7j$ufv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > rich7@my-deja.com wrote in message <88ftqp$d51$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > >In article <88cdu9$v5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >> I GOT THE "GOODS" ON YOU, WIDEASS.
> > >>
> > >> Why don't you post where your father is? Hohohoho!!!
> > >>
> > >> The BEST is yet to come.............
> > >>
> > >> PITBULL
> > >>
> > >> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > >> Before you buy.
> > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> AT LEAST HE KNOWS WHO HIS FATHER IS!
> > >
> > > LOL Rich.
> > > I know where my father is..he died in 1990. Poor Dimmy has no goods,
> > > all he has is the filthy hovel on Hiram-Sudie Road, a "wife" that
> > > responds to "Sueeeeee" on command, and some supposed "copyrighted"
> > > photographs of him pretending to be a man with that flintlock rifle.
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> > > EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > Not trying to start anything....but....I thought a "felon" was not
> > allowed a firearm....(???).
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
```

I thought so too, but he probably had to beg to use it while he was
posing for his photo.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4706. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88hh0fhrc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119132

In article <88h2hn$6c3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <88fmhvrpr1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > Donald is MISUNDERSTOOD, that's all and Dan, you are intelligent
> enough to
> > understand.
> > AF2Y
> >
> > ----------
> > In article <88cu53$3sm$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
> (Jeffrey
> > Herman) wrote:
> >
> > > Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >> As a bit of advise to you I
> > >>would say drop this fool like a hot potatoe, or YOU WILL PAY THE
> PRICE.
> > >>Not a threat DOC, just the facts.
> > >
> > > Dan, that doesn't sound like you at all. What price would be paid?
> > >
> > > 73, Jeff KH6O
> >
> What is it he is "misunderstood" about? With your experience in
> the "radio war" I can't believe you are that gullable.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
I gotta side with Dan, on this one Doc. I've heard Donny say some off
the wall stuff on the freq. I've talked to Donny and so far haven't had
a problem with him, but I don't talk to him on a regular basis either.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4707. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88hh5uhud1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <8nJq4.4656$Qx5.61934@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>,
"David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com> wrote:
>
> "Michael Rathbun" <mdr+rrs@HONet.com> wrote in message
>
news:0FA6DBAAF3E5F930.5CF1F959865E000C.803E2D656A69DADF@lp.airnews.net..
.
> > In rec.radio.scanner on Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:34:31 GMT,
> > vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> >
> > >Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > How are guts involved?
> >
> > I was first licensed in 1963, have been an Extra since the early
> seventies,
> > was a military CW op, can play "burn out" with the best of them at
45 wpm
> > plus, and I don't have any particular problem with the new
structure.
> >
> > I admit that I think that everybody ought to be required to
demonstrate
> the
> > ability to build a Poulsen Arc transmitter from scrap brass and
bailing
> > wire before being given a license, but hey, times change and I try
to live
> > with them.
>
> My thoughts exactly. Morse code is only a small part of amateur
radio.
> Morse code has reached it's technical limits. There are other
worlds to
> explore. Also morse code is more of a talent thing. Some people
have
> it and some don't.
>
> > mdr (de KL7F)
>
>

Yeah, so go ahead and turn in your badge, I've recently received mine
and will use it to further the service in getting new people interested
in the hobby, etc. and give back to the hobby/service.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4708. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmoisan@shore.net
Message-ID: <38acb579.448661051@news.shore.net>
Source record: 118984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 21:31:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>
>Aside: You missed the point and the news: We had 45 Advanced-class holders at
>January, but the total session had 90 candidates. In about 10 days, we'll have
>our February session - let's see how big that will be. Regardless, we know
>that in April, all these people will be returning for their credit to be
>processed into a license (upgrade). We didn't see many tech+HF's seeking
>element 3B, but do expect a rush of the pre-'87 tech's as well.
>
>[Prior to January's session, our high point was 66 candidates back in 1996, and
>we've had sessions with as few as 8 candidates when we started in 1994.]

Point taken. But if our goal is to get people into the service, we
can't just have a big burst of us upgraders that disappears into the
mist after we've all made Extra. Vince and his bitter old friends are
counting on that, I think.

Take care,

Dave

David Moisan, N1KGH, GROL n1kgh@amsat.org
Invisible Disability: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/invisible_disability.html
GE Superradio FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/superradio/gesr_faq.html
Sangean ATS-909 FAQ: http://www1.shore.net/~dmoisan/faqs/sangean/ats909faq.html

4709. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Harris <n2ah@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88jnpe$2ht$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <j44q4.42797$QN6.81617@news.optonline.net>,
"Samuel Goldstein" <sjgold@optonline.net> wrote:

> Haven't you begun to realize that the new crop of low code Hams will
have no
> interest in our CW sub bands and they will leave us to work all the
really
> cool DX without the usual QRM that you find on SSB. Think this thing
through
> and you will realize that the "real hams" (defined as those who know
CW)
> will have exactly what they want...an exclusive window without
interference.

This is pure bugle oil. Here's my vision of what will happen. Since new hams will have no motivation to progress beyond 5 WPM, they will not use CW. (QSO's at 5 WPM are torture!) Inevitably, CW activity will decline and the CW sub-bands will be eliminated (as has happened in Canada and elsewhere). The handful of CW ops will be fighting against SSB California kilowatts on 14010 kHz!

Turn in your badges!

--
Art Harris N2AH (Extra Class since 1971)
Long Island, NY

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4710. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Finn <finnd@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wQ2r4.3717$O43.144059@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan, I've heard your code and it isn't that fast. Last time I heard it was
on 14313 (voice) and very easy to copy. You run about 12 wpm when I hear
you. I typically run about 18-20. What do you mean by 'real CW' anyway?
73
de KR4AJ
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:88ej3l$f8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use
> choice
> > segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been
> prohibited
> > from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we
> cannot
> > communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow
> generals
> > and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm
> CQ's to
> > encourage them along
> > and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think? We might
> > consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice
> bands where
> > the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging words
> and
> > help them along except that this time, it would be the lower classes
> that
> > lent an
> > extra hand. Agree?
> > 73
> > de KR4AJ
> >
> >
> As you SHOULD know, judging by your call...the bottom of the band is
> considered DX country. Your idea is good, with the exception of
> cluttering it up for the "more experienced" cw types. I'll make you a
> deal....you run your 5 wpm in the Novice subbands and Ill run real CW
> in the real CW bands...OK?
>
> And from time to time I'll slow down and come up and join you there.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

4711. Re: AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88jqp0$4th$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118987

thatsritepolly@my-deja.com wrote in message <88ifu0$7i0
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>For Gods sake Bob, go get your law degree. This is no place to practice
>law. Go to a real court room, not this dinky forum!!
>
>Thatsritepolly (Not a lawyer)

Yeah, Polly's just a lower class ex-washing machine repairman.

>In article <25255-38AB8D5D-18@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
>> part97, Would you be so kind as to enumerate the specific
infractions?
>> Merely citing Part 97 infractions is insufficient for the readers,
not
>> to speak of the accused. Your absence of specificity render you
>charges
>> a form of defamation in itself. Please enlighten us with adequate
>> details.
>>
>> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>> Miami, Florida

Pervert FQF likes to watch birds copulate.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4712. Re: N8IEZ. Just another ALL TALK NO ACTION BUM!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88jr6a$5be$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118988

thatsritepolly@my-deja.com wrote in message
<88ifca$75d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>For all of Paul N8IEZs big talk about using a baseball bat on Polly
>W4FQF at the Dayton Hamfest, Paul, the windbag was so scared he
>avoided Polly and did not go near the table where he knew Polly was
>waiting to see if the windbag N8IEZ, would carry out the threats he
>made on seventyfive meters. Guess what?
>
>Mr "YELLOW STREAK" N8IEZ steered clear of Pollys table. From what I
>heard , that was a smart decision On Pauls part. But of course we all
>know the beverages make a lot of people "BIG MEN" behind a mike when
>the buzz kicks in!! So much for the coward Paul N8IEZ!!
>
>Thatsritepolly Paul is a big gasser!!

Big talk from UNDER your table, Polly. YOU hid behind a baseball bat,
which could be taken away from you easily and shoved where the sun
don't shine.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4713. Re: get a life mr__cracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88jrbr$5d0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118989

In article <88jmh1$1l1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
bigstik@my-deja.com wrote:
> get a life cracker head and stop re-hashing old news let the radio
wars
> die or get a new hobby.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Mr. crackhead has no life..just consider he lives in a roach infested
trash dump.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4714. FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88jtl0$77t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No more "stern letters" from Riley, or polite invitations to retest.

Instead of pussy-footin' around with warning letters, the FCC has fined two Houston, Texas hams $8,000 apiece for interference and failing to identify.

K4TOF and N5WLY have just learned the price of QRM'ing!

And that's the way it is!

Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4715. KC8JBO Father...AIDS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88k0vr$9sd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118991

I just talked to the West Virgina Dept. Of Health......Dr. Robert Carlson told me the BIG NEWS!!!

In 1990 the father of KC8JBO DIED OF AIDS.

Is this why FATASS lives with his mother in a 950 sq. foot "shack"? She must need a lot of comfort from her FATASS son, hohohoho.

I hear that KC8JBO has been "selling" extra class license's.....He has been a VE for the last 2 months.

CALL THE ARRL & TELL THEM KC8JBO IS SELLING HAM "TICKETS" through the VE program. You can also send Hollingsworth an email to tell him this news.

Eat another box of Ding Dongs, LARDASS!!!!!

The ONE & ONLY,

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4716. KC8JBO selling ham "Tickets"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88k14q$9ut$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118992

I just talked to the West Virgina Dept. Of Health......Dr. Robert Carlson told me the BIG NEWS!!!!

In 1990 the father of KC8JBO DIED OF AIDS.

Is this why FATASS lives with his mother in a 950 sq. foot "shack"? She must need a lot of comfort from her FATASS son, hohohoho.

I hear that KC8JBO has been "selling" extra class license's.....He has been a VE for the last 2 months.

CALL THE ARRL & TELL THEM KC8JBO IS SELLING HAM "TICKETS" through the VE program. You can also send Hollingsworth an email to tell him this news.

Eat another box of Ding Dongs, LARDASS!!!!!

The ONE & ONLY,

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4717. Re: N8IEZ. Just another ALL TALK NO ACTION BUM!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88k197$a01$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118993

Is tht right?

All I know is that Paul N8IEZ made threats on Polly(behind the mike in
the security of his shack} , when Dayton hamfest time rolled around
Paul did not carry his threats out. In fact he avoided the flea market
section that Polly was set up in along with his friend Billy Jack,
W4LLI who was
just two sections over from Polly. Lets face, it Paul N8IEZ is a Wind
bag
coward who is brave on the mike but is a chicken when crunch time comes
around. What a yellow coward he is, Dayton proved it!! Big Mouth no
balls !! And you are probably him or his devoted suckboy.

Thatsritepolly

In article <88jn3n$230$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
bigstik@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <88ifca$75d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> thatsritepolly@my-deja.com wrote:
> > For all of Paul N8IEZs big talk about using a baseball bat on Polly
> > W4FQF at the Dayton Hamfest, Paul, the windbag was so scared he
> > avoided Polly and did not go near the table where he knew Polly was
> > waiting to see if the windbag N8IEZ, would carry out the threats he
> > made on seventyfive meters. Guess what?
> >
> > Mr "YELLOW STREAK" N8IEZ steered clear of Pollys table. From what I
> > heard , that was a smart decision On Pauls part. But of course we
all
> > know the beverages make a lot of people "BIG MEN" behind a mike when
> > the buzz kicks in!! So much for the coward Paul N8IEZ!!
> >
> > Thatsritepolly Paul is a big gasser!!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> n8iez is one of the kindest considerate operators on the air you don't
> see all sorts of hate posts from him on this forum unlike you
> aka thatsritepolly, mr_cracker,misspiggy and all the rest of your
> alias's spreading hate in the radio spectrum and cyberspace why don't
> you do us a favour and pull the trigger!!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4718. ACS Newsletter for 1/14/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88ipar$i89$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118994
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.info

Welcome to the Auxiliary Communications Service Newsletter, developed by the ACS Training Unit of the State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. Please visit our ACS Home Page at http://acs.oes.ca.gov

The purpose of this weekly newsletter is to bring those of us in emergency communications closer together by sharing common ideas, and by introducing new technology into the emergency services community. All individuals with an interest in emergency communications, disaster preparedness or helping the community at large are encouraged to participate here in an open forum.

You will find a virtual community here, with representatives from many different organizations with the same common goal: emergency response. We encourage everyone, from whatever organization you represent (or just yourself!) to bring us your ideas, suggestions, concerns, manuals, handbooks, or opinions so that we may share them with the group. To those of you who have just joined us, welcome aboard!

.....

Please Welcome:

Marie Thompson, N6ZFN <n6zfn@thegrid.net>
Bakersfield, CA
I am the ARES/RACES emergency coordinator for Western Kern County.

Bob Dichard <rdichard@prodigy.net>
Amherst NH

I'm a dispatcher for the Hollis Communications Center.We dispatch police, fire, and rescue for two towns with a total population of around 11,000. I'm also on the Emergency Management Team.

Carl R Wilmoth <wilmoth7@bellsouth.net>
Memphis,TN

Looks quite interesting is about all I can say at the moment, as I peruse your materials, I may have comments for the future.

I am an emergency services dispatcher (comm opr II) with the Tennessee Department of Safety Communications Department and dispatch for the Fourth District Headquarters of the Tennessee

Highway Patrol here in Memphis,TN.

.....

>From the Mailbag:

West Valley ARA Announces Web-Based Exam Site

The West Valley Amateur Radio Association (WVARA) is providing public access to its WVExam online Amateur Radio exam practice software. It's located at

http://www.wvara.org/wvexam/

This web-based examination software was originally written for WVARA's New Ham classes in 1996 and 1997. It can be used for all current written exams until April 14, 2000. Effective April 15 the new exam pools become effective, and all exams taken April 15 and later will be based on the new question pools. Until then, though, the WVARA site can be an effective tool for those who choose to beat the deadline by taking upgrade examinations prior to April 15. The following tests are available at the site:

Element 2 - Novice
Element 3A - Technician
Element 3B - General
Element 4A - Advanced
Element 4B - Extra

The software generates a random exam on a web form the same way that the Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) organizations would. These are from the same published question pool as the actual exams so these are real and effective practice. in addition, WVARA's practice exams can be taken a section at a time as one studies through other materials. (ARRL Pacific Division Letter)

.....

Current Events:

From: "Paul Cavnar" <nn7b@powernet.net>

I have an informational bulletin to post in the ACS newsletter. Your neighbors over the hill to the east are in the process of revitalizing the RACES programs in counties throughout the state. As part of the process of revitalizing the RACES programs around the state, the Nevada DEM Communications Administrator, Mr. Gary Derks, has tasked several of the active emergency radio communicators to spread the word and openly recruit new members in all counties. There have been many new appointments of RACES officers in several counties and all will be participating in activities planned locally and statewide.

Since we have several list members on the ACS list that may be interested in signing on with RACES, they may do so on our Nevada RACES Site at: http://www.cvrc.net/races/ The site is generously hosted by the Carson Valley Radio Club.

You will find a listing of the RACES officers in each county as well as an on-line application they can fill out and send in. It will be forwarded to their respective county RACES officer for processing and contact. Should anyone have any questions about the RACES program in Nevada, they may e-mail me at nn7b@powernet.net and I will answer your questions or find the answers for you.

73 from the Silver State!

Paul Cavnar, NN7B

College Students Wired for Safety
Innovative Device Can Locate Students in Trouble

By Stephanie Kirch

MELBOURNE, Fla. (APBNews.com) -- Some safety-conscious college students in Florida and Alabama are arming themselves with beeper-sized alarms that display their location when activated in an emergency.

Students at the universities of South Florida and South Alabama, along with some school staff members, are wearing new WalkMate alarm units on their belts.

At the press of a button, their exact location will flash on a computer screen monitored by campus police dispatchers.

Schools 'not immune' to crime

Brendan Fitzgerald, president of Microgistics, which manufactures the alarm, recalls that campus crime, especially sexual assault, wasn't much of a problem when he was in college. But now, he said, "every college or university administration wants to make sure their campus is safe."

"You have an environment that is not immune to criminal activity, and you have a fundamental concern on the part of parents for their children who are living away from home for the first time," he told APBnews.com. "They may be walking to their cars alone in a remote parking lot after a night class or working in the library or a study lab late at night. There are a number of situations where our product can enhance existing safety systems."

Device connected to campus police

This is the first year the Melbourne firm has offered the tracking device to campus police departments.

Each device is equipped with three buttons: The red one is a panic button, blue is for medical emergencies and yellow signals the need for non-emergency assistance. When a student presses a button, the device sends a signal to an on-campus radio receiver station. Each station is connected to a campus police department computer on which CampusWatch software has been installed.

On the screen, the police dispatcher sees a map of the campus with a blinking dot corresponding to the location of the person who activated the alarm. The dot matches the color of the button that has been pressed. An audio alarm also sounds to alert the dispatcher.

Cops can be dispatched

Since the screen also displays the location of officers, the dispatcher can quickly identify and dispatch the nearest mobile-response team.

In alert mode, the location of the individual who pressed the alarm button is updated on the screen every second.

The universities pay nothing for the monitoring equipment or the locator units distributed to campus police, and dispatchers who will use the system receive free training.

Students can supply information about themselves to include in a personal profile, including a physical description and any medical condition, such as asthma or epilepsy. They can update their profiles using the Internet; they can even list the names of their dates, including the destination.

But Fitzgerald said the WalkMate is not a "Big Brother" because "there is absolutely no way for campus police to know where subscribers are unless they have pressed one of the three alert buttons."

Students pay a one-time $25 activation fee for the service, then $54.95 per semester. So far, most of the students signing up for the service have been female.

Encouraged to use device

Sherral Cornwell, a secretary for the campus police at the University of South Alabama in Mobile, says she plans to sign up for the monitoring service.

"I'm a staff member and also a student who takes night classes," she said. "I have been on campus at 2 a.m. and have been concerned for my safety."

Cornwell said the campus police department is actively encouraging students to sign up for the service.

The company expects to sell several thousand units between the two campuses this semester and has had inquiries from other colleges, Fitzgerald said. There has also been some interest from law enforcement agencies serving communities with a large number of retirees, he said.

System only works on campus

Sgt. Mike Klingbiel of the campus police at the University of South Florida in Tampa said his department received four reports of sexual assault last year. But, he admitted, "we know that this is a crime that is traditionally underreported."

As part of the program, Microgistics gives campus police departments five free WalkMate alarm units to give to students who report being stalked or who take out restraining orders against potential abusers.

"It's important for everyone to know, however, that this is a campus-only system. As soon as subscribers walk or drive off of campus property, there is no monitoring," Klingbiel cautioned.

Myra Kodner, program coordinator for Pennsylvania-based Security on Campus Inc., which provides rape-prevention and victim-assistance information to students, said she applauds any effort to enhance safety.

'Technology' not only answer

But, she said, "students still need to be educated about prevention and good judgment. What are you going to do if the computer is down or if you lose your beeper? It's not safe to rely totally on technology."

Fitzgerald agreed: "We don't promote our product as eliminating the need to behave in a safe manner. In the user manual for the WalkMate, we remind subscribers to follow common sense safety measures.

"But it does give you peace of mind when you can get help in situations where you could not otherwise get assistance."

.....

What's New on the Net:

For a set of free public service announcements
you can use:
www.theideabank.com.psadoc.html

For a listing of juvenile firesetter resources available
in the United States and Canada:
www.theideabank.com/jfs_guide/

.....

This week's EMCOMM Bulletin:

EMC224 Statewide Comm Drill 4/5
To: Emergency Communications Units - Information Bulletin
To: Emergency Management Agencies via Internet and Radio
By: Auxiliary Communications Service (ACS) of the
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services

EMC224 Statewide Comm Drill 4/5 For release 2/14/2000

Continuation of a Statewide Communications Drill example

"OPERATIONAL AREA SATELLITE INFORMATION SYSTEM (OASIS) The Operational Area Satellite Information System (OASIS) is a satellite based communications system with a high frequency radio backup. OASIS provides the capability to rapidly transfer a wide variety of informational reports between OASIS user agencies outside of commercial communications channels. In SEMS, OASIS can be viewed as both a communications network and information dissemination system linking three of the five SEMS organizational levels.

OASIS is a primary method of communications within SEMS. OASIS users include, OES State Headquarters, OES Regions, Field Representatives, all Operational Areas and several state agencies. The intent of OASIS is to provide disaster resistant communications between the operational areas, state OES Regions, OES Headquarters and mobile state telecommunications units. The communications component of OASIS does not extend into the local government level of SEMS.

Voice
Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
SOC/REOC.
State Agencies.
Operational Areas.
Field Representatives
Fire & Law Regional Coordinators

Data
Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
SOC/REOC.
State Agencies
Operational Areas.
Field Representatives
Fire & Law Regional Coordinators.

AMERICAN MOBILE SATELLITE SYSTEM (AMS)
AMS is a satellite telephone and radio/talk group system. The talk groups are similar to radio frequencies but are different from any established and currently in use emergency coordination frequencies. There are five talk groups, two of

which are available for statewide use, the other three are
dedicated one to each to Coastal, Inland and Southern Regions
**Due to the high cost of air time, please limit your calls
to "communications testing only"**

Telephone/Voice Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center.
SOC/REOC
Fire & Law Regional Coordinators
State Agencies

Radio/Talk Groups Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
SOC/REOC
Fire & Law Regional Coordinators
State Agencies

RADIO

California Emergency Services Radio System (CSERS)
CSERS was developed to serve as an emergency communications
system for OES and county emergency service organizations.
The system assists in the dissemination of warning information,
supports disaster and emergency operations and may also be used
on a day-to-day basis for administrative emergency services
business. Statewide communications are provided through a
number of microwave interconnected and mountain top relays.
Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
SOC/REOC
Operational Areas
Law Regional Coordinators"

Continues next bulletin.

To subscribe to bulletins, use the Subscription Services
web page at <http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html>. If
you don't have web access, just send an e-mail message to
<mailto:emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>.

Bulletins are on the ACS Web page: http://acs.oes.ca.gov -and a
Landline BBS: 916-262-0856 (graphical & standard interface); and
an Archive: ftp.ucsd.edu/emcomm or ftp.ucsd.edu/hamradio/races
EOM

.....

Please let others in the emergency services field know of the
newsletter, and encourage them to join... If you have opinions,
suggestions or written material that you'd like to share with
the group, let us know. We're always looking for new ideas and
suggestions that will benefit everyone.

See you next week!

Dave Larton N6JQJ
Deputy State ACS Officer
Auxiliary Communications Service
Telecommunications Unit
Information Technology Branch
Governor's Office of Emergency Services
State of California

(408) 778-7265
(408) 778-3101 FAX

larton@garlic.com
David_Larton@oes.ca.gov

Special thanks to ACS Listmaster Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>

Thanks also to the State ACS Training Unit:
Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>
Don Lynn <delynnj@msg.pacbell.com>
Perrin Larton <perrin@garlic.com>

SUBSCRIBING/UNSUBSCRIBING:

Contact ACS List Subscription Services at

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html

If you don't have Internet access, and you want to:

- Subscribe
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your new address, please send an e-mail message to the
appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-sub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you
sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must
reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the
action happen.

IF YOU WANT TO:
- Cancel your subscription
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your currently-subscribed address, please send an e-mail
message to the appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-unsub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-unsub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the action happen.

If you have tried this procedure and can't get it to work, please contact <listmaster at harthaven.com> and place "Manual request" in the subject line. Be sure to include both your old and new addresses, if applicable.

4719. PithBowl Hahahahaha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88k3pkbqt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118995

In article <88k0vr$9sd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com back pedalled:

> I just talked to the West Virgina Dept. Of Health......Dr. Robert
> Carlson told me the BIG NEWS!!!

Sure you did. Public officials are always releasing sensitive
information to losers who cold call them with requests like that. What a
liar.

> In 1990 the father of KC8JBO DIED OF AIDS.

Wait a minute; you said he was in prison. Now you say he's dead, just
like KC8JBO said. So which piece of your junk should we believe? Sure
isn't hard to figure out who the lying loudmouth blowhard is here.

> Is this why FATASS lives with his mother in a 950 sq. foot "shack"?
> She must need a lot of comfort from her FATASS son, hohohoho.

Is this more true or less true than your claim of his father being in
prison?

Is this more true or less true than your claim of having his credit
report?

> I hear that KC8JBO has been "selling" extra class license's........He
> has been a VE for the last 2 months.

Is this more true or less true than your claim of putting up a website
with his information on it?

Is this more true or less true than your claim of having AF2Y's info on
a website?

> CALL THE ARRL & TELL THEM KC8JBO IS SELLING HAM "TICKETS" through the
> VE program. You can also send Hollingsworth an email to tell him this
> news.

Be sure and tell them who you heard it from. That ought to be good. What
a liar.

> The ONE & ONLY,
>
> PITHBOWL

Ah, the lying loser from laughtown. Where's the webpage your lying loser
big mouth said was going to be up LAST Monday?

The instrument has yet to be invented that can measure the credibility you have.

Come on big mouth, admit that you just make this stuff up. Admit that you don't have anything. Admit that you are a lying piece of crap. It shouldn't be too hard; you can just lie about it.

Put up or shut up.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4720. KC8JBO & VE Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88k4qdclp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118996

KC8JBO has been SELLING Ham tickets for the last 2 months......Tell the ARRL you won't put up with this. Send the ARRL an email about KC8JBO.

vec@arrl.org

The future of ham radio is counting on you to "turn in" KC8JBO for VE EXAM FRAUD.

Thank you,

Pit Bull WU5QFI

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4721. Re: W4AMP Father...AIDS just like his prison bitch drunken son Jimmy O'Brien III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88k6fkdvu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118997

kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote in message
<88k0vr$9sd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> I just talked to the West Virgina Dept. Of Health......Dr. Robert
>Carlson told me the BIG NEWS!!!
>
> In 1990 the father of KC8JBO DIED OF AIDS.

Bwhahahahahahaha. Nope. He died of cancer from smoking for many years.
Try again, FATASS O Brien. I hear your Donna is so heavy that you have
to have special furniture made out of 4 by 6's to support the old sow's
girth.

> Is this why FATASS lives with his mother in a 950 sq. foot "shack"?
She
>must need a lot of comfort from her FATASS son, hohohoho.

Why did Jimmy O Brien get busted three times for drugs?

> I hear that KC8JBO has been "selling" extra class license's........He
>has been a VE for the last 2 months.
>
> CALL THE ARRL & TELL THEM KC8JBO IS SELLING HAM "TICKETS" through the
>VE program. You can also send Hollingsworth an email to tell him this
>news.

Prove it.

>
> Eat another box of Ding Dongs, LARDASS!!!!!
>
>
>
> Re: W4AMP Father...AIDS just like his prison bitch
drunken son Jimmy O'Brien III The ONE & ONLY,
>
>
> PISSBULL WITH AIDS

check out the loserobrien page and see FATASS o'Bese's trashy, filthy
living conditions.
http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4722. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Harris <n2ah@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88k6ov$ea3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use
choice
> segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been
prohibited
> from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we
cannot
> communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow
generals
> and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm
CQ's to
> encourage them along
> and help them build up their code speeds.

You know, you bring up an interesting point. The FCC/ARRL always say
that the examination requirements should correlate to the privileges
earned. But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.
--
Art Harris N2AH (Extra Class since 1971)
Long Island, NY

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4723. Re: Mark my words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88k9vcgjt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118999

You are the one who got a warning letter from FCC. Not Polly(see Rainreport) . You got called down and had to cut the qrming, Now you are predicting Polly will get the axe. Just because you are a qrming machine, you want to pick on people who are not even listed as getting a letter in the (Rainreport)!!

Polly W4FQF, follows part97 in every respect. Of course he has a right to opinions, (You would like to take his rights away from him on that point!!!)

Don't say you were not warned by Mr Hollingsworth!! You got the letter listed in the (Rainreport)! Not Polly!! Quit whining pineapple nose!!

Thatsritepolly

In article <88finb$cb2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Print this out and hang it on your shack wall. Riley is finally going
to
> understand that the chief troublemaker and instigator on 14.313 is
Polly,
> FQF and the dude is gonna go thataway. Guaranteed if Polly gets six
months
> in radio prison, things will subside to less than a whisper on that
esteemed
> frequency or is it "steamed." I said way back when, when there was a
> division between the 14.313 participants on .313 into that and .275,
Polly
> would never leave .275 alone and it's come to pass. Just today Paul,
WB9HEU
> on .275 was the target of abuse by you know whom. Mark my words, it's
just a
> matter of time before the fellow from Tennessee gets the hook. It's a
LONG
> TIME COMING too. That guy, cloaked in vestments, has been getting
away with
> hell for years and the piper is going to be paid. Finally.
> AF2Y,Doc
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4724. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ka9jgtt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <wQ2r4.3717$O43.144059@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> Dan, I've heard your code and it isn't that fast. Last time I heard
it was
> on 14313 (voice) and very easy to copy. You run about 12 wpm when I
hear
> you. I typically run about 18-20. What do you mean by 'real CW'
anyway?
> 73
> de KR4AJ
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:88ej3l$f8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use
> > choice
> > > segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been
> > prohibited
> > > from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we
> > cannot
> > > communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to
allow
> > generals
> > > and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their
5wpm
> > CQ's to
> > > encourage them along
> > > and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think? We
might
> > > consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice
> > bands where
> > > the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging
words
> > and
> > > help them along except that this time, it would be the lower
classes
> > that
> > > lent an
> > > extra hand. Agree?
> > > 73
> > > de KR4AJ
> > >
> > >

> > As you SHOULD know, judging by your call...the bottom of the band is
> > considered DX country. Your idea is good, with the exception of
> > cluttering it up for the "more experienced" cw types. I'll make
you a
> > deal....you run your 5 wpm in the Novice subbands and Ill run real
CW
> > in the real CW bands...OK?
> >
> > And from time to time I'll slow down and come up and join you there.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
>
My view of "real cw" is being able to send and receive comfortably
without the benifit of a keyboard or a code reader, at speeds of at
least 25 to 30. That is when it is a language and makes for easy and
enjoyable conversation.

As for my sending at 13 on 14.313...probably so. I'm responding to the
speed being sent to me. Furthermore I have no concern whatsoever of
what you think of my CW ability. I operate major DX contests and if
you have ANY knowledge of them, you will KNOW that they are rarely done
at 13.

I wonder if you ever heard me and NR5T in qso on CW? Or me and AC4VO?
Probably not. Both of those mentioned op's are "world class". I have
no problem at all in working them on CW.

Tell ya what....I no longer operate on 14.313....give me a call on
14.275 during the day or 3.901 during the evenings...we'll do a bit of
morse. But not this weekend....I'll be in the ARRL DX CW at 13 wpm.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4725. KC8JBO and VE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88kgak$l6s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119001

AIDS & VE FRAUD.......Poor, Poor LARDASS.

Go cry on your "landlords" (his mothers) shoulder!!!!!

950 sq Ft......Your new name is, Donald Trump, hohohoho. The CRAP SHACK
of KC8JBO is the size of most homes kitchens.

Kick it up a notch,

'Da Bull

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4726. Re: KC8JBO & VE Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88kh58$lm0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119002

kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com wrote in message
<88k4qdclp1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> KC8JBO <FLUSH>

Bwhahahaha, how can I sell tickets when I haven't administered an exam
yet, DUMBASS?
Maybe that's how YOU got YOUR GENERAL ticket, Dimmy. It sure couldn't
be because you studied the question pool.
Your jealousy of me is getting to become obsession, fatass.

Extracts from the public record:

PUBLIC RECORDS, PAULDING COUNTY, GEORGIA
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF PAULDING IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA

Index to Criminal Dockets, Docket "X" page 52
(microfilm cartridge #63 - 0320 to 360)
(criminal minutes & final record 15, pages 147-173, & 185-187, &
191-197)

CASE # 93-CR-000158, Date: 2/15/93

JAMES VINCENT O' BRIEN III

1 Count, GA Code 16-13-30, Violation of the GA Controlled
Substance Act

2 Counts, GA Code 16-13-30 Violation of the GA Controlled
Substance Act

$10, 000 bond

Conviction JTC 09, 6/16/93

Confinement: 12 mos.
Confinement: 2 yrs.
Probabtion: 4 yrs.
(credit for time served)

Prosecutor: Mike Deaver
Def. Attorney: Randall G. Williams
Bondsman: Gregory Graham

10/22/93: Docketing Notice from Supreme Court, dated: 10/21/93
Supreme Court Case# S94A 0102

1/14/94: Order of supervised reprieve from State Board of Pardons
& Paroles

7/21/95: Order - Sentence Review Panel - Affirming

FINAL ENTRY in the PUBLIC RECORD as of 11/24/97,
end of extracts from the public record.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4727. Re: KC8JBO and VE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88khib$m1m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119003

In article <88kgak$l6s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com wrote:
> AIDS & VE FRAUD.......Poor, Poor LARDASS.

So my dad died in WV did he?? HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
Try again, DUMBFUCK. I've only lived in WV for a total of about 11
years of my nearly 45 -year-old-life. Now, Inspector dickless, er
clueless, try and determine which other states I've lived in.

> Go cry on your "landlords" (his mothers) shoulder!!!!!
>
> 950 sq Ft......Your new name is, Donald Trump, hohohoho. The CRAP
SHACK
> of KC8JBO is the size of most homes kitchens.
>
> Kick it up a notch,
>
> 'Da Bull
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Try 1950 square feet, obviously your G.E.D. was given to you as well as
your General license.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4728. Something the "Pitbull" neglects to mention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88kisemqm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119004

NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #1130 - 04/09/99
(**********) (* *) (* A M A T E U R *) (* *) (* R A D I O *) (* *) (* N E W S L I N E *)

Hams deny FCC charges Two hams who received warning letters from the FCC have told the ARRL that they are the victims of inaccurate information. Newslines Bill Burnett, KT4SB, has more: James O'Brien the third, of Hiram Georgia was one of the two sent a Warning Notice by the FCC. His went out on March 15th. In it, the regulatory agency indicated that O'Brien, that or someone using his callsign was and we quote -- "deliberately interfering with and jamming radio communications" on 75 meters. The FCC also charged the station with coordinating on 2 meter FM with another station to interfere with stations on various 75 meter frequencies. The regulatory agency identified this other station as Charles Parris Jr., of Smyrna, Georgia. At the time of the alleged transgressions Parris held the callsign KE4KXP. He has since changed his call to K4JMZ. A week later, on March 24th, the FCC's Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, said that he received assurances from O'Brien regarding the allegations outlined in the Warning Notice. Hollingsworth told the American Radio Relay League that he had talked with O'Brian and the matter was closed for now. But, in a call to the ARRL, O'Brien, who is a League member, denied any wrong doing. Instead, he asserted that the FCC Warning Notice was based upon and we again quote: "totally false and inaccurate" information from a third party. Also claiming to be the target of the same misinformation is Charles Parris Jr., K4JMZ. He also received a warning letter from the FCC indicating that he, or someone using his callsign, was deliberately interfering with and jamming radio communications on 75 meters. Also, that the station was coordinating on 2 meter FM with W4AMP to interfere with stations on various 75 meter frequencies. But on March 24th, Parris also telephoned the ARRL. He too is a League member, and he too emphatically denied any wrongdoing. And like O'Brian, he also suggested that the FCC Warning Notice was based on incorrect information from a third party. The ARRL report did not say who supplied the alleged inaccurate information. Nor did it indicate why the two hams called the League to proclaim their innocence.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4729. Proposed license restructuring for 2001.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88kj2hmsl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Change to four-class licensing structure that:

A) Attracts newcomers to Amateur Radio.
B) Really puts the word "incentive" back into incentive licensing.
C) Aligns Morse code requirements with today's realities.
D) Provides more descriptive names for the license classes.

The new classes would be:
BEGINNER
INTERMEDIATE
ADVANCED
EXTRA

BEGINNER:

Requirements: Current Novice written test(rewritten to reflect beginner class privileges).

Privileges: 1.25cm, 2m simplex, 70cm, and current 10m Novice privileges. 10m Novice privileges will give folks a chance to actually experiment with HF, Morse code, RTTY, etc., and understand the benefits/drawbacks firsthand.

Intent: "kiddy" or "sampler" license. Very easy to get, to give folks a sample of ham radio. It doesn't have a lot of privileges; giving folks the incentive to upgrade quickly.

INTERMEDIATE:

Requirements: Current Tech written test(rewritten to reflect new intermediate class privileges) and VERY simple 5wpm code test(small test, with lots of easy letters, simple punctuation(period and commas only), big time gaps between sentences, no prosigns or numbers, & 75% score on multiple choice test(6 out of 8 questions)).

Privileges: Existing Tech-Plus, with additional HF privs amounting to 10% of existing General HF privileges.

Intent: Return of incentive to "Incentive Licensing"; giving folks more of a chance to actually experiment with HF, Morse code, RTTY, etc., and understand the benefits/drawbacks firsthand.

ADVANCED:

Requirements: Current General written, plus existing 5wpm code test.

Privileges: Current General privileges.

EXTRA:

Requirements: Current Extra written, plus 10wpm code test.

Privileges: As exist currently for Extra.

Intent: Keep the "Extra" in Extra. Some will say; "Well what about allthose that got in with 5wpm"? I say; "Who cares". If we don't have something to separate this license class - we might as well just eliminate it, as it does not confer too many more privileges than the existing General class license.

S. Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4730. Re: James Vincent O'brien III (UNCOOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88kl66obv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119006

John Bowers blubbered in message <8zqqhf$XX07$2@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 00:58:10 GMT KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> barfed:
>
>: >>John Bowers wrote:
>: >>
>: >>> On Wed 16 Feb 2000 05:51:58 GMT, "Paul" <pab1@home.com> >>>
wrote:
>: >>>
>: >>> : That's W4AMP....He calls of lot of names but we know he's a
>: >>>pissed off drug addict.
>: >>> : I call him that name only I show proof!
>: >>>
>: >>> What an intelligent post (NOT)! Not only have you posted
binaries
>: >>> to a non-binary news group (a violation of @home's TOS), but you
>: >>> posted them repeatedly and didn't even get them encoded
>: >>> correctly. They were all incomplete.
>:
>: Then YOU need to learn how to use your newsreader, his pictures were
>: not complete, stupid.
>
>Ummm, incomplete == not complete. What part of my last sentence didn't
>you understand?
>
>As Forrest Gump said, "Stupid is as stupid does".

You sound like an EXPERT, dumbfuck. I said the pictures were complete.
Now try to post edit again.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4731. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhawk@megabits.net
Message-ID: <38ad9c11.1888442@news.megabits.net>
Source record: 119007
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Had this preoblem also. sign the money order with his name
then sign your name below his. Then put "for deposit only",
"prior endorsements guaranteed" and deposit in your account.

Some here will tell you this is forgery. Your intent is to recover
your money. it was always yours and by leaving a "paper trail"
you have shown NO intent to defraud.

NOT advice. but it works.

My opinion

Bob

On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 15:30:07 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
<wiedemann@home.com> wrote:

>Just wanted to warn other hams of my bad experience with a private purchase
>of some radio equipment off these newsgroups.
>
>I recently had a CONFIRMED deal to buy a TNC from a ham. He wanted cash up
>front, though.
>
>This was the first time I tried doing the deal by sending a money order
>rather than doing COD which always worked fine before.
>
>Well, after waiting a few WEEKS for the TNC to arrive, instead of the
>hardware I get a letter with my money order back (unsigned) and a note
>saying he's sorry but he got confused and already shipped it to someone
>else!!!!
>
>Now my bank doesn't even want to take the unsigned money order back because
>it's specifically made out to his name!!!!
>
>I vow NEVER EVER to do any deal other than by COD. WHO CARES if it takes
>several weeks for the seller to get his bucks! Eventually he'll get it, but
>this way the buyer is more likely to get his hardware as agreed.
>
>Pissed,
>
>KF6RLQ
>
>

4732. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38ADCC36.B39E4A0F@icss.net>
Source record: 119008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:

> "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:
> > Yes, I agree and now believe that General/Advanced class operators
> > should be given access to *all* cw/data HF frequencies. I hope the FCC
> > launches another NPRM on band/mode realignment after April 15th.
>
> Prolly ought to go ahead and throw in the phone frequencies while you're
> at it. Make it a clean sweep. Retribution is sweet, ain't it Rick?
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

Dump the Extra and its a done deal.

4733. Re: Code, Testing changes, etc. - was Re: 60 Days until I turn in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002182239240.3811-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 119009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Feb 2000, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
> >Has code kept out some [otherwise] qualified people? Probably, but we shall
> >see. I'm surprised that it managed to survive this long. The Spark Gap was
> >eliminated in 1934 (by its omission)
>
> Oooh, you're way off. One outcome of the 1959 international radio
> conference was that spark would finally be prohibited after 1/1/1966.
> (See, for example, Schroeder's "Contact At Sea, A History of Maritime
> Radio Communications," or the ITU archives in the gov docs section of
> your library.)
>
> I'm sorry, but an error of 32 years means that D. Stussy KD6LVW has been
> relegated to the No Credibility File. For 32 years.

Actually, I heard that it was one of the changes actually made in 1934. It could be that my source mistook a subsequent change to "The Communications Act of 1934" as meaning a change in 1934 instead of a later change.

4734. PAGE WEB RTX + RX USED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: iz0ckl@libero.it
Message-ID: <38adb9c0.2541408@news.libero.it>
Source record: 119010

http:// www.geocities.com/iz0ckl/

4735. LAST 2 DAYS TO SEE THE PINK PANTY MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38ADE616.552838B6@netrover.com>
Source record: 119011

THE LAST TWO DAYS TO SEE THE PINK PANTYMAN TURN IN HIS PANTIES AND
TICKET TO RILEY

htttp://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows/

4736. Re: LEGAL Question: FRS and a 440 HT mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2pzk@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000218180402.16618.00000286@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 119012

No, Deviation on the FRS is limited to 2.5 KHz and amateur HT's are 5
KHz...although on the Yaesu VX5R there is a differential deviation setting.
All FRS handhelds are only type accepted if produced only as an FRS
rig...amatuer gear is only legal on amateur bands.
73's de N2PZK Howie

4737. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88kh7k$1kh$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 119013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Art Harris <n2ah@my-deja.com> wrote:
>But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
>ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
>that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.

They'll get to use the Extra subbands because they each passed the Extra
exam. Generals and Advanced too can use those same subbands by passing
that same test, only the Generals and Advanced folks will have an
easier time -- no code test will be necessary for them.

Jeff

4738. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38ADCAE0.381236A3@icss.net>
Source record: 119014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, if they went and passed one of them new-fangled tests given at the local firemans hall, then they would be "dummed down" too.

Andy Wallace wrote:

> Easy solution--all they have to do is pass the new "dumbed down" Extra
> written test just like the new 5 wpm Extras will have to do. It is easy,
> isn't it??.
>
> Andy K5VM
>
> Art Harris <n2ah@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:88k6ov$ea3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> . But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
> > ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
> > that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.
> > --
> > Art Harris N2AH (Extra Class since 1971)
> > Long Island, NY
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

4739. Re: HF will not become CB with extra lites and general lites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2pzk@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000218180724.16618.00000287@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 119015

It can't get any worse than the garbage spewed on 3950....or can it?
Howie, N2PZK

4740. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38ADCBC1.7D599CB9@icss.net>
Source record: 119016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:

> Yes, I agree and now believe that General/Advanced class operators
> should be given access to *all* cw/data HF frequencies. I hope the FCC
> launches another NPRM on band/mode realignment after April 15th.
>
> Rick T.

Its a rare event when I disagree with you Rick, but....

If they want to dump the Extra license and remake the General what it once was, then OK. Otherwise, they can go take the written just like anybody else.

4741. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI (w1rfi@arrl.org)" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <iukr4.46$rM3.48439@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 119017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote in message <38ADC6FA.2D2A507A@icss.net>...

>Ed, keep talking like that and I might renew my membership for another
year, you
>old smoothy. :)

Yeah, and I even got the smiley out of you, too. :-)

But if you are going to base your decision about League membership on what a ham says personally on rrap, I might suggest that you reconsider. That is a sword that can cut me from two different directions, and there are much better issues on which to make your choice. I prefer that my participation here be personal, just like everybody else's.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

4742. Re: Proposed license restructuring for 2001.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: W4CSC@lostonthe.net
Message-ID: <38add95b.38739116@eastcorp.supernews.com>
Source record: 119018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dream on, sport. When ITU dumps code requirements, I suspect FCC will
do the same. I believe they would have dumped the code test all
together if it hadn't been an international requirement we agreed to
follow. It'll be dumped next time, ARRL or no ARRL......

No, I suspect, before this is over you might see 2 classes of ham
license.....Tech and Amateur.....split right at 30 mhz.

FCC has had enough of this nonsense of Extras who think they are
"better" and mostly untouchable acting like little Hitlers on the
bands. Making ALL amateurs the SAME would remove a lot of enforcement
problems. The egotistical maniacs make a lot of trouble for them.
We're putting the kids in school in little uniforms to make them
"alike". They'll do the same for ham radio....and are already doing
it.

Larry W4CSC.....Where DID I leave my fire extinguisher?

On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 23:02:41 GMT, horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

>Change to four-class licensing structure that:
>
>A) Attracts newcomers to Amateur Radio.
>B) Really puts the word "incentive" back into incentive licensing.
>C) Aligns Morse code requirements with today's realities.
>D) Provides more descriptive names for the license classes.
>
>The new classes would be:
> BEGINNER
> INTERMEDIATE
> ADVANCED
> EXTRA
>
>
>BEGINNER:
>
>Requirements: Current Novice written test(rewritten to reflect beginner
>class privileges).
>
>Privileges: 1.25cm, 2m simplex, 70cm, and current 10m Novice privileges.
> 10m Novice privileges will give folks a chance to actually experiment
>with HF, Morse code, RTTY, etc., and understand the benefits/drawbacks
>firsthand.
>
>Intent: "kiddy" or "sampler" license. Very easy to get, to give folks a

>sample of ham radio. It doesn't have a lot of privileges; giving folks
>the incentive to upgrade quickly.
>
>
>INTERMEDIATE:
>
>Requirements: Current Tech written test(rewritten to reflect new
>intermediate class privileges) and VERY simple 5wpm code test(small
>test, with lots of easy letters, simple punctuation(period and commas
>only), big time gaps between sentences, no prosigns or numbers, & 75%
>score on multiple choice test(6 out of 8 questions)).
>
>Privileges: Existing Tech-Plus, with additional HF privs amounting to
>10% of existing General HF privileges.
>
>Intent: Return of incentive to "Incentive Licensing"; giving folks more
>of a chance to actually experiment with HF, Morse code, RTTY, etc., and
>understand the benefits/drawbacks firsthand.
>
>
>ADVANCED:
>
>Requirements: Current General written, plus existing 5wpm code test.
>
>Privileges: Current General privileges.
>
>
>EXTRA:
>
>Requirements: Current Extra written, plus 10wpm code test.
>
>Privileges: As exist currently for Extra.
>
>Intent: Keep the "Extra" in Extra. Some will say; "Well what about
>allthose that got in with 5wpm"? I say; "Who cares". If we don't have
>something to separate this license class - we might as well just
>eliminate it, as it does not confer too many more privileges than the
>existing General class license.
>
>S. Teaze
>N0MHS
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4743. Re: BANK PROBLEM? WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: K5WLS@email.com
Message-ID: <8EDEB1A21K5WLSemailcom@192.168.44.3>
Source record: 119019
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

al.link@worldnet.att.net (Al Link) wrote in
<YJir4.1892$tk7.136949@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>:

>Seems the problem is with the man's bank. I have returned money
>orders to my bank for full refund before, no problem.

Did you have the receipt? Without the receipt, should the bank give the
money to just anybody that brings the money order in?

>Why does the bank in question refuse to cancel a normal transaction
>and return his money?

I'm sure they will return the monry to the purchaser with a receipt.

>Perhaps a letter to the appropriate state bank
>examiner's office is in order.

Why would you assume that the bank has a state charter and not a national
charter?

4744. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38ADEF0C.3DD8E92D@uci.edu>
Source record: 119020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Jim,

Did you ever see that data sheet for the WOM - Write Only Memory? It was a hoot. Looked like a real data sheet from...I forget who now. My favorite plots were for Number of Pin Insertions vs. Number of Pins Remaining, and Filament Voltage vs. Filament Current. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

James Rosenthal wrote:
>
> Bill Newkirk (wnewkirk@iu.net) wrote:
> [snip]
>
> : amplifiers are still amplifiers, etc.
>
> What filament voltage does a LM386 require? ;-))
> --
> Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4745. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38ADF603.1041BFB@icss.net>
Source record: 119021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Hare, W1RFI (w1rfi@arrl.org) wrote:

> Brian wrote in message <38ADC6FA.2D2A507A@icss.net>...
>
> >Ed, keep talking like that and I might renew my membership for another
> year, you
> >old smoothy. :)
>
> Yeah, and I even got the smiley out of you, too. :-)
>
> But if you are going to base your decision about League membership on what a
> ham says personally on rrap, I might suggest that you reconsider. That is a
> sword that can cut me from two different directions, and there are much
> better issues on which to make your choice. I prefer that my participation
> here be personal, just like everybody else's.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

All of that is well and good, but your explanation of the gentleman's agreement on the band plan was superb.

4746. Re: Proposed license restructuring for 2001.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38ADF862.AB28CFF0@icss.net>
Source record: 119022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Larry W4CSC wrote:

> Dream on, sport. When ITU dumps code requirements, I suspect FCC will
> do the same. I believe they would have dumped the code test all
> together if it hadn't been an international requirement we agreed to
> follow. It'll be dumped next time, ARRL or no ARRL......
>
> No, I suspect, before this is over you might see 2 classes of ham
> license.....Tech and Amateur.....split right at 30 mhz.
>
> FCC has had enough of this nonsense of Extras who think they are
> "better" and mostly untouchable acting like little Hitlers on the
> bands. Making ALL amateurs the SAME would remove a lot of enforcement
> problems. The egotistical maniacs make a lot of trouble for them.
> We're putting the kids in school in little uniforms to make them
> "alike". They'll do the same for ham radio....and are already doing
> it.
>
> Larry W4CSC.....Where DID I leave my fire extinguisher?

These OF's already have their voice and cw keyers programmed for, "I used to be an xxxx class ham." And, "I took my exams at the FCC office." It won't die until they do.

4747. Re: Amateur Radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cal25skipper <Skipper3@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <h5or4.861$04.20509@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 119023

Well Mr Mikel, remember when you were learning about amateur radio and you read that the name ham has an unknown origin? Well now you know.

I've been in this hobby for about 23 years and there have always been those that like to draw attention to themselves by pissing and moaning.

4748. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <38AE2BCC.5367054B@amouse.net>
Source record: 119024
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Rudi Wiedemann wrote:
>
> Now my bank doesn't even want to take the unsigned money order back because
> it's specifically made out to his name!!!!

If your name is not on the money order, don't even waste time trying
to cash it without a receipt. Instead, write "for deposit only" on the
back and deposit it in your checking account. It may take a few days to
clear, but at least you'll have your money.

In the future, use Post Office money orders. There is a place on
these money orders for both the sender's and receiver's name. If it is
returned, the sender can also cash it.

Dwight Stewart

4749. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net>
Message-ID: <38AE3203.8DCA3E62@amouse.net>
Source record: 119025
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Piero Sassu wrote:
>
> I got burned on a FedEx C.O.D. I paid the shipping/COD charges upfront (as
> required) and the jerk I shipped the package to never accepted the package, even
> though I confirmed he wanted it, confirmed it's arrival with him and reconfirmed
> the costs. It was returned to me but I was out my shipping/COD charges, and it
> wasn't cheap. FedEx's reply..."Too Bad"! I also had a problem with UPS COD. My
> payment "got lost", then they couldn't confirm it, problem after problem! UPS
> finally sent me a check after almost three months of bitching and complaining! I
> now deal with Postal Money Orders. Cheaper than banks and if there is a problem,
> you can file a fraud complaint with the Postal Inspectors. If you get the money
> order back, you take it and the receipt to any post office and get a refund,
> really simple and it works.

I, on the other hand, have been burned by dishonest individuals selling stuff. Because of that, I will not do business with a person that will only ship after receiving money up front (money order, or whatever). In fact, I'm very wary of any individual that flatly refuses to ship COD.

You're the seller, Piero. You're the one that wants money. Therefore, in my book, you're the one who has to take the risks. If given a cloice between sending you money up front, or buying a product elsewhere for more, I'll shop elsewhere everytime.

Besides, why would I want to send money to a total stranger? When I buy from an established company, there is something more substantial on the other end. You're just some guy sitting in front of a computer. You would have to prove to me that you were honest before I would send you money up front (finance records, references, and/or anything else a real business would have). Even with a regular business there is no guarantee that the transaction will go smoothly, but it has a far better chance than it does when dealing with an individual.

Unless you do a lot of business, the situations you describe above are very rare. I've done business with UPS and FedEx for years and have only had problems a couple of times (usually circumstances other than a straight transaction involved).

You're claim that a person can file a "fraud complaint with the Postal Inspectors" really would make me nervious dealing with you. If you know as much as you suggest, than you should know that no investigation is launched unless large amounts of money are involved or a history of complaints exist. Therefore, to hide behind this to justify your business practice of accepting only money up front, when you know that

nothing will be done if the person complains about you (assuming you did rip someone off), clearly suggests to me that I would not want to do business with you.

Dwight Stewart

4750. Re: FT-290R circuit diagram wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88i2fguin1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119070

In article <kMPq4.841$kz6.16886@news1.online.no>,
"Steinar Lote" <steinar.lote@nrk.no> wrote:
> Dear OM,
> My long trusting all-mode vhf rig has gone dead. I located an
overheated
> trans and a blown fuse. But my doc for the ft290r is not to be found
> anywhere in my many docs.
> Could you help me with a copy of the circuit diagram of the ft290R,
so I can
> locate the fault? Or do you know anyone who can? A scanned copy
on .jpg or
> .gif would do, as long as the text and circuits are radable.
> Thank you.
> If a printed hardcopy is possible - mail me for the postal adress.
>
> 73 from Steinar Lote, Foerde, Norway
>
>
I have a block diagram from the operator manual that I could send you
via JPEG e-mail, if you want. A schematic is only in the service
manual. You could check with www.yaesu.com for that if you like.

Dan/W4NTI

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4751. Re: Mark my words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: whiskey4@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88i3oqvep1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119071

Your right Part97.. George is a fine and respectable ham. Keep up the
good work. whiskey4

> .
business,. You on
> the other hand can not qrm the way you used to and it pisses you off
> big time.
> Keep up the good work FQF, keep being a example for all good
operating
> amateurs!!
>
> Part97 nObey it, and that means you AF2Y
>
> In article <88finb$cb2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > Print this out and hang it on your shack wall. Riley is finally
going
> to
> > understand that the chief troublemaker and instigator on 14.313 is
> Polly,
> > FQF and the dude is gonna go thataway. Guaranteed if Polly gets six
> months
> > in radio prison, things will subside to less than a whisper on that
> esteemed
> > frequency or is it "steamed." I said way back when, when there was a
> > division between the 14.313 participants on .313 into that and
.275,
> Polly
> > would never leave .275 alone and it's come to pass. Just today Paul,
> WB9HEU
> > on .275 was the target of abuse by you know whom. Mark my words,
it's
> just a
> > matter of time before the fellow from Tennessee gets the hook. It's
a
> LONG
> > TIME COMING too. That guy, cloaked in vestments, has been getting
> away with
> > hell for years and the piper is going to be paid. Finally.
> > AF2Y,Doc
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4752. Re: N8IEZ, Libelous Stalker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88i5f1lk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119072

Paul wrote in message ...
>Hey Crackhead ,
> its only Libelous if it was not true and thats where your Fucked!
>
>mr__cracksmoker@hotmail.com wrote in message
<38AC797E.2C4F7CD7@hotmail.com>...
>>John Bowers wrote:
>>
>>> On Wed 16 Feb 2000 05:51:58 GMT, "Paul" <pab1@home.com> >>> wrote:
>>>
>>> : That's W4AMP....He calls of lot of names but we know he's a
>>>pissed off drug addict.
>>> : I call him that name only I show proof!
>>>
>>> What an intelligent post (NOT)! Not only have you posted binaries
>>> to a non-binary news group (a violation of @home's TOS), but you
>>> posted them repeatedly and didn't even get them encoded
>>> correctly. They were all incomplete.

Then YOU need to learn how to use your newsreader, his pictures were
not complete, stupid.

>>> YOU are the spammer at this point. Carefully read the pages at
>>> http://www.die.net/random/h/b/e/index.htm before you post again.
>>
>>Libelous garbage from N8IEZ, Paul Biliti, an alcoholic

Check out Dimmy. the known drunk who wears his stupid service cap when
he drinks. Pot calling the kettle black.

>>who likes to stalk 75 year old hams at the dayton hamfest.

But Polly was hiding under his table, how could he have been stalked?

>>He has been in a bad way ever since Riley threw
>>his buddy OTK off the air. And then of course VA3GJB got turned
>>into Industry Canada for bootlegging on twenty for the last five
>>years.

Just like Riley was informed about YOUR jamming of two spanish speaking
stations in an ongoing QSO on 20 meters...around 14.320 or thereabouts.

>>Actually, I hope he keeps it up. It will insure another ham (not amp)
>>will go to jail for contempt.
>>Touche!

Ol jimmy knows all about jail time, don't you Jimmy?

>>cc: rholling@fcc.gov

Poor Dimmy....He's so strung out on drugs he actually thinks I'm keying
up on 14.313..a frequency I haven't been on since late November
so he slanders me on the air. Jimmy's just an angry hog.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4753. N8IEZ. Just another ALL TALK NO ACTION BUM!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ifca$75d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119073

For all of Paul N8IEZs big talk about using a baseball bat on Polly W4FQF at the Dayton Hamfest, Paul, the windbag was so scared he avoided Polly and did not go near the table where he knew Polly was waiting to see if the windbag N8IEZ, would carry out the threats he made on seventyfive meters. Guess what?

Mr "YELLOW STREAK" N8IEZ steered clear of Pollys table. From what I heard , that was a smart decision On Pauls part. But of course we all know the beverages make a lot of people "BIG MEN" behind a mike when the buzz kicks in!! So much for the coward Paul N8IEZ!!

Thatsritepolly Paul is a big gasser!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4754. Re: Mark my words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ifkf$7ep$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119074

Whiskey4. You are so right, every amateur should be as good a operator as Polly , W4FQF,he should get good operator cards from all the OOs and I would send him one if I were an OO. Tell it like it is whiskey4

Thatsritepolly

In article <88i3oqvep1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
whiskey4@my-deja.com wrote:
> Your right Part97.. George is a fine and respectable ham. Keep up the
> good work. whiskey4
>
> > .
> business,. You on
> > the other hand can not qrm the way you used to and it pisses you off
> > big time.
> > Keep up the good work FQF, keep being a example for all good
> operating
> > amateurs!!
> >
> > Part97 nObey it, and that means you AF2Y
> >
> > In article <88finb$cb2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > > Print this out and hang it on your shack wall. Riley is finally
> going
> > to
> > > understand that the chief troublemaker and instigator on 14.313 is
> > Polly,
> > > FQF and the dude is gonna go thataway. Guaranteed if Polly gets
six
> > months
> > > in radio prison, things will subside to less than a whisper on
that
> > esteemed
> > > frequency or is it "steamed." I said way back when, when there
was a
> > > division between the 14.313 participants on .313 into that and
> .275,
> > Polly
> > > would never leave .275 alone and it's come to pass. Just today
Paul,
> > WB9HEU
> > > on .275 was the target of abuse by you know whom. Mark my words,
> it's
> > just a

> > > matter of time before the fellow from Tennessee gets the hook.
It's
> a
> > LONG
> > > TIME COMING too. That guy, cloaked in vestments, has been getting
> > away with
> > > hell for years and the piper is going to be paid. Finally.
> > > AF2Y,Doc
> > >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4755. Re: AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ifu0$7i0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119075

For Gods sake Bob, go get your law degree. This is no place to practice
law. Go to a real court room, not this dinky forum!!

Thatsritepolly (Not a lawyer)

In article <25255-38AB8D5D-18@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Trebb@webtv.net (Bob Sherin) wrote:
> part97, Would you be so kind as to enumerate the specific infractions?
> Merely citing Part 97 infractions is insufficient for the readers, not
> to speak of the accused. Your absence of specificity render you
charges
> a form of defamation in itself. Please enlighten us with adequate
> details.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4756. HIRING: So you wanna be a rocketman?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: space_physics@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <88ijnq$a57$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119076
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.homebuilt,rec.aviation,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur

Small Aerospace firm always collecting resumes and future
employment contacts. Titles are irrelevant to us.
In keeping with the history of Aviation, we accept resumes
from everyone: Bicycle mechanics, hobbyists, HAM radio operators,
kit plane builders, rocket enthusiasts, as well as professional
engineers and scientists (undergraduate through graduate).

OPENINGS:

Aerospace Engineering, Electrical/Electronic, Mechanical:

Spacecraft / Rockets-- Design & Project Management, Systems Engineer,
Structures, Payload, Attitude Control, Telemetry, Flight Computer,
Tracking, Power, Thermal, all relevant subsystems from design through
flight following.

Other: Materials Engineering, Chemistry, Physics, Planetary Science,
and other related fields.

Aviation & Technical:

Airframe & Powerplant Technicians, Automotive Technicians, Electrical
Technicians, Machinists, Draftsman, CAD/CAM and other skilled labor
capable of enhancing our projects.

Hobbyists/Other:

Depending upon your skills, we also love working with people like HAMs,
skydivers, ultra-light pilots, kit builders, rocket & balloon
enthusiasts, and remote control fans. Often, these people provide a
valuable, experienced, and unique consulting & skills base in excess of
a standard engineer. Some even have educational and career
qualifications in excess of what we need. So, don't be shy.

COMPENSATION:

Arrangements run from 10% over your maximum job offers with full
employee package (for the super-duper engineers and grad students).

Other options include contractual work and partial ownership on a per
project basis. For example, when our engineers say "Hey! We should work
on something in that area."... we tend to recruit a CEO, CTO, and
project team and place that under a subsidiary relationship.

APPLICATIONS:

Email your resumes to: space_physics@yahoo.com

Thanks in advance for submitting to our HR database. We will not be replying to most applicants. Please indicate the length of time that you would be interested in tenure, geographic preferences, desired compensation range, and list your skills or experience by keyword.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4757. Re: Re-testing for renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Hawkes <hawkesgl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <910r4.14055$Zp1.456568@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Retesting is a great way to insure that newer Hams lose interest in Ham
radio and then you old dinosaurs can sit around and wonder why Ham radio
isnt going anywhere
Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:88fhps$3v0$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> In rec.radio.scanner (why?) Vince Fiscus wrote:
>
> >> >If the FCC changes the passing score on written exams to 90% I'll keep
my
> >> >badge and rejoin the ARRL.
>
> >> I'll back that in an instant! In addition, I'd like to see us go back
> >> to one-minute of perfect copy on the code test, too.
>
> >How about re-testing, Jeff? :-)
>
> Not only re-testing for renewal, Cecil, but each such renewal exam
> would contain questions of more depth and breadth than the previously
> taken test. This would insure that the licensee is abiding by S97.1,
> continually furthering himself in the technical phases of the service.
>
> 73, Jeff
>

4758. Re: Militias, right wing extremists and 3.950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10002171954220.15366-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 119078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

why don't you'all leave the scanner and short wave news groups out of this thread? those news groups are for listening not transmitting.

4759. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10002171956320.15366-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 119079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space

there are a few here in Georgia that has already done that also.

On Tue, 15 Feb 2000, Keith Wood wrote:

> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2000 00:38:32 +0000
> From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.
>
>
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
> > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > 73 de KB7ADL
>
> Brains are a better thing for hams to have than guts.
>
> Thanks for leaving. I wouldn't trust you as a VE.
>
>

4760. Re: AF2Y and the "DUNGHILL"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88jmbh$1i9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119080

In article <B_Xq4.10105$Ez.199903@news2.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> I was listening to a sermon by the Great Pastor Pete Peters last
night. He
> was talking about how some Jews in Hollywood promote toilets and
such. I
> was shocked! I did'nt know that Jews had a fetish with bathrooms and
> related things
>
> AF2Y: Is this true?>>>>>> ONLY, WHEN THEY HAVE TO CRAP A LLOYD!
<<<<<<<<<
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4761. get a life mr__cracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigstik@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88jmh1$1l1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119081

get a life cracker head and stop re-hashing old news let the radio wars
die or get a new hobby.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4762. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <BiteMe@Spammers.com>
Message-ID: <7u2r4.4891$Qx5.65108@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 119082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:88i8cb$v25$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net...
> >>Are you suggesting that reducing the code requirement is the
> governments way of killing off amateur radio?
>
> Of coarse not !
> But flooding it with CBrs with hacked spurious spewing Xcvrs
> and 2KW's is.

In that case, what we need is better enforcement of the bands
and it has been needed for some time now. I'm not sure that
lowering the code requirement will increase the problem.

I believe the FCC is taking steps to clean up bands but only time
will tell if it does any good.

> David M. Hitchner wrote in message
> >Are you suggesting that reducing the code requirement is the
> >governments way of killing off amateur radio? I look at is
> as one
> >of the few things that the government has eased restrictions
> on.

4763. Re: N8IEZ. Just another ALL TALK NO ACTION BUM!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigstik@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88jn3n$230$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119083

In article <88ifca$75d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
thatsritepolly@my-deja.com wrote:
> For all of Paul N8IEZs big talk about using a baseball bat on Polly
> W4FQF at the Dayton Hamfest, Paul, the windbag was so scared he
> avoided Polly and did not go near the table where he knew Polly was
> waiting to see if the windbag N8IEZ, would carry out the threats he
> made on seventyfive meters. Guess what?
>
> Mr "YELLOW STREAK" N8IEZ steered clear of Pollys table. From what I
> heard , that was a smart decision On Pauls part. But of course we all
> know the beverages make a lot of people "BIG MEN" behind a mike when
> the buzz kicks in!! So much for the coward Paul N8IEZ!!
>
> Thatsritepolly Paul is a big gasser!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

n8iez is one of the kindest considerate operators on the air you don't
see all sorts of hate posts from him on this forum unlike you
aka thatsritepolly, mr_cracker,misspiggy and all the rest of your
alias's spreading hate in the radio spectrum and cyberspace why don't
you do us a favour and pull the trigger!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4764. Togetherness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: avodrecklenshill@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88mek1$s4n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118934

May I propose the following? The return of the adherents of 14.275 to 14.313! Why? Because in light of enforcement since January of '99 and in the spirit of comraderie among us who look with disdain upon the lazy creeps who soon will be flooding our bands with the cacophony of detestable CB mentality, there's every reason to UNITE instead of to separate. Unification should not be preempted by past conflagration but by the common bond of bonafide achievement independent of grade of ticket. Whether General, Advanced or Extra, unless one or more of you birds paid off a VE, we pre-April 15th legions stand as a shining example of the good old and honest days of ham radio. April 15th shall go down as a day of infamy on which the empires of the FCC and ARRL willfully and dastardly attacked the forces of RESOURCEFUL and VIRTUOUS amateur radio in order to line the pockets of merchandisers. Now is the time for all good hams to come to the aid of their country and like Paul Revere, to sound the alarm, "THE TRASH ARE COMING...THE TRASH ARE COMING." The separation of 14.275 and 14.313 only tend to weaken our position thereby leading to dissolution and destruction. I am fully cognizant of the fact that much garbage remains on .313 however by no stretch of the imagination should that frequency be construed as ALL refuse. I beseech you; let the good from both frequencies coalesce and form a united front, NOW. By the way I wrote to WRRA on St.Croix to ask if Herbie Schoenbohm still has his own radio program and the answer is NO. However he still is employed as a technician. They also sent a document to open and when I did it talked about QUICKEN and I have SLOWEN on this computer. God bless you all and gesundheait in case anyone sneezes

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4765. Re: Togetherness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88mheo$u1g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118935

In article <88mek1$s4n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
avodrecklenshill@my-deja.com wrote:
> May I propose the following? The return of the adherents of 14.275 to
> 14.313! Why? Because in light of enforcement since January of '99 and
in the
> spirit of comraderie among us who look with disdain upon the lazy
creeps who
> soon will be flooding our bands with the cacophony of detestable CB
> mentality, there's every reason to UNITE instead of to separate.
Unification
> should not be preempted by past conflagration but by the common bond
of
> bonafide achievement independent of grade of ticket. Whether General,
> Advanced or Extra, unless one or more of you birds paid off a VE, we
> pre-April 15th legions stand as a shining example of the good old and
honest
> days of ham radio. April 15th shall go down as a day of infamy on
which the
> empires of the FCC and ARRL willfully and dastardly attacked the
forces of
> RESOURCEFUL and VIRTUOUS amateur radio in order to line the pockets of
> merchandisers. Now is the time for all good hams to come to the aid
of their
> country and like Paul Revere, to sound the alarm, "THE TRASH ARE
COMING...THE
> TRASH ARE COMING." The separation of 14.275 and 14.313 only tend to
weaken
> our position thereby leading to dissolution and destruction. I am
fully
> cognizant of the fact that much garbage remains on .313 however by no
stretch
> of the imagination should that frequency be construed as ALL refuse. I
> beseech you; let the good from both frequencies coalesce and form a
united
> front, NOW. By the way I wrote to WRRA on St.Croix to ask if Herbie
> Schoenbohm still has his own radio program and the answer is NO.
However he
> still is employed as a technician. They also sent a document to open
and when
> I did it talked about QUICKEN and I have SLOWEN on this computer. God
bless
> you all and gesundheait in case anyone sneezes
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

> Before you buy.
>
Tell ya what Georgia boy.....I'll just hang around on 275 until
the "NET" is thrown and the scum and trash (callsigned or not) is
collected up. Should be an interesting show.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4766. Dayton Hamfest 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: w9gyc@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88mik0$up4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118936

Does any one have a web address to find out about hotel
accomodations for this year?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4767. My new page web

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa1cfx <fa1cfx@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <88lhvp$77d$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 118937

Hello,you can see my new web page to this adress:

http://perso.wanadoo.fr/fa1cfx/

But it's only in french

Your's remarks are welcome

73's from FA1CFX / Philippe

4768. Re: Togetherness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88mo8o$2ah$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118938

This post smells like that infamous receiver of enforcement letters, AF2Y. His preocupation with Herb, KV4FZ, bears it out. He yearns for the the fighting of the good old days, but he is right about the caliber of the present qrmers on 14313 who are just jammers and care not who they jam. Who ever is on the frequency from either side is fine with them, they just jam. Leave it like it is for a while, wait for the invasion of the extra class CBers with ticket in hand and license to jam.

Thatsritepolly

In article <88mek1$s4n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
avodrecklenshill@my-deja.com wrote:
> May I propose the following? The return of the adherents of 14.275 to
> 14.313! Why? Because in light of enforcement since January of '99 and
in the
> spirit of comraderie among us who look with disdain upon the lazy
creeps who
> soon will be flooding our bands with the cacophony of detestable CB
> mentality, there's every reason to UNITE instead of to separate.
Unification
> should not be preempted by past conflagration but by the common bond
of
> bonafide achievement independent of grade of ticket. Whether General,
> Advanced or Extra, unless one or more of you birds paid off a VE, we
> pre-April 15th legions stand as a shining example of the good old and
honest
> days of ham radio. April 15th shall go down as a day of infamy on
which the
> empires of the FCC and ARRL willfully and dastardly attacked the
forces of
> RESOURCEFUL and VIRTUOUS amateur radio in order to line the pockets of
> merchandisers. Now is the time for all good hams to come to the aid
of their
> country and like Paul Revere, to sound the alarm, "THE TRASH ARE
COMING...THE
> TRASH ARE COMING." The separation of 14.275 and 14.313 only tend to
weaken
> our position thereby leading to dissolution and destruction. I am
fully
> cognizant of the fact that much garbage remains on .313 however by no
stretch
> of the imagination should that frequency be construed as ALL refuse. I
> beseech you; let the good from both frequencies coalesce and form a
united

> front, NOW. By the way I wrote to WRRA on St.Croix to ask if Herbie
> Schoenbohm still has his own radio program and the answer is NO.
However he
> still is employed as a technician. They also sent a document to open
and when
> I did it talked about QUICKEN and I have SLOWEN on this computer. God
bless
> you all and gesundheait in case anyone sneezes
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4769. ARRL Secret Elections BORING...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grumpee <Crabby@oldmail.com>
Message-ID: <38ae5f70$1_2@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 118939

Come on, guys. Give the Conspiracy Theory a break, will you? Who really cares about alleged secret elections? The ARRL is losing their grip on the Hams Who Were....Secret Elections do not mean much to most Hams. Most do not even care.
So many new Hams, so few ARRL members....the times they are changing and it does not look good for the Boys From Newington....
It was bound to happen....
ARRL members are now a minority...

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4770. Re: LAST 2 DAYS TO SEE THE PINK PANTY MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88n0im$7o0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118940

In article <38ADE616.552838B6@netrover.com>,
GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote:
> THE LAST TWO DAYS TO SEE THE PINK PANTYMAN TURN IN HIS PANTIES AND
> TICKET TO RILEY>>>>> I'd rather, see a French lady in her panties!
<<<<<
>
> htttp://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4771. Re: James Vincent O'brien III (UNCOOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jb2101@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8zqqhf$XX08$2@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118941

On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 23:38:46 GMT KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> barfed:

: >: >>John Bowers wrote:
: >: >>
: >: >>> On Wed 16 Feb 2000 05:51:58 GMT, "Paul" <pab1@home.com> >>>
: wrote:
: >: >>>
: >: >>> : That's W4AMP....He calls of lot of names but we know he's a
: >: >>>pissed off drug addict.
: >: >>> : I call him that name only I show proof!
: >: >>>
: >: >>> What an intelligent post (NOT)! Not only have you posted
: binaries
: >: >>> to a non-binary news group (a violation of @home's TOS), but you
: >: >>> posted them repeatedly and didn't even get them encoded
: >: >>> correctly. They were all incomplete.
: >:
: >: Then YOU need to learn how to use your newsreader, his pictures were
: >: not complete, stupid.
: >
: >Ummm, incomplete == not complete. What part of my last sentence didn't
: >you understand?
: >
: >As Forrest Gump said, "Stupid is as stupid does".
:
: You sound like an EXPERT, dumbfuck. I said the pictures were complete.
: Now try to post edit again.

(1) Get a newsreader which uses a References header. It will make your ignorant posts thread properly with other posts so that we can all get nauseated in context. As is is now, your posts don't get threaded;

(2) go back and reread your original post on Deja, idiotboy. You were NOT misquoted.

Sheese!!!

4772. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <sLxr4.312$Lg7.423@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 118942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't forget the latest status reports on the old geezers gout, eye problems, heart problems and on and on and on. Exciting stuff. And some of the nets where people check in, nobody says anything and it goes on for hour after hour.

Barry Burke Jr. wrote in message <38AE915D.F05829AA@snet.net>...
>
>
>Wayne Woodhams wrote:
>>
>> Bottom line... cell phones, the internet, and changing recreational
>> values and interests have certainly clobbered amateur activity as much
>> as any other factors.
>
>Let's not forget those of us shortwave listeners and scanner users who
>listen to the ham bands, hear what's going on there and say "Why
>bother?"
>
>I _was_ going to become a ham. I tuned to places like 3950, where all I
>hear are bigots spouting racial supremacy crap. On local 2m repeaters
>all I hear are radio checks and other "exciting" content. Why would I
>buy transmitting equipment to join in with that ind of "fun"?
>
>Barry
>--
>***
> nospam@snet.net _IS_ my real email address
> No changes are needed to reply
> http://www.bburke.com
>***

4773. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: MICHELE FREEDMAN <Luppy1961@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#rsyWiue$GA.265@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 118943
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

always have the money orders made out with the buyers name and your own..
as Pay to "James Kilowatt" or "Cindy Hoo".. that way if you get it back then
there isnt a problem.

Dwight Stewart <stewart@amouse.net> wrote in message
news:38AE2BCC.5367054B@amouse.net...
>
>
> Rudi Wiedemann wrote:
> >
> > Now my bank doesn't even want to take the unsigned money order back
because
> > it's specifically made out to his name!!!!
>
>
> If your name is not on the money order, don't even waste time trying
> to cash it without a receipt. Instead, write "for deposit only" on the
> back and deposit it in your checking account. It may take a few days to
> clear, but at least you'll have your money.
>
> In the future, use Post Office money orders. There is a place on
> these money orders for both the sender's and receiver's name. If it is
> returned, the sender can also cash it.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart

4774. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38AEB1A1.BB9C1CEA@icss.net>
Source record: 118944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Art Harris wrote:

> In article <88kh7k$1kh$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> > Art Harris <n2ah@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
> > >ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW
> segments
> > >that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.
> >
> > They'll get to use the Extra subbands because they each passed the
> Extra
> > exam. Generals and Advanced too can use those same subbands by passing
> > that same test, only the Generals and Advanced folks will have an
> > easier time -- no code test will be necessary for them.
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> My point is the Welfare Extras are getting telegraphy privileges that
> exceed those of people who have passed a more stringent

and today, irrelevant,

> CW exam. If you
> believe that exam requirements should be related to privileges earned
> (as ARRL/FCC claim they do), this makes no sense.
>
> --
> Art Harris
> Long Island, NY

4775. 20 meter pc net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Henry A. Kolesnik" <wd5jfr@tulsa.oklahoma.net>
Message-ID: <38AEBADD.4686A035@tulsa.oklahoma.net>
Source record: 118945

there used to be a pretty good net on 20 above 14.3 ..
i can't find it and would appreciate the frequency and time..
73 hank

4776. Re: W4AMP Father...AIDS just like his prison bitch drunken son Jimmy O'Brien III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88mffi$s9q$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118946

Gentlemen PLEASE. This war between Roger and Jimmy's gotta stop. Ascribing an illness like AIDS to anyone is grounds for a libel per se lawsuit and it will hold up in court whether written on the Internet or slanderously spoken on the air. Both youse guys step back and think about what's going on. I don't know Jimmy's circumstances but I will say that Roger is a great son who is caring for a very ill mother and if that doesn't show class, nothing does.
Doc

In article <88k6fkdvu1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

>
>
> kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote in message
> <88k0vr$9sd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>> I just talked to the West Virgina Dept. Of Health......Dr. Robert
>>Carlson told me the BIG NEWS!!!
>>
>> In 1990 the father of KC8JBO DIED OF AIDS.
>
> Bwhahahahahahaha. Nope. He died of cancer from smoking for many years.
> Try again, FATASS O Brien. I hear your Donna is so heavy that you have
> to have special furniture made out of 4 by 6's to support the old sow's
> girth.
>
>
>> Is this why FATASS lives with his mother in a 950 sq. foot "shack"?
> She
>>must need a lot of comfort from her FATASS son, hohohoho.
>
> Why did Jimmy O Brien get busted three times for drugs?
>
>
>> I hear that KC8JBO has been "selling" extra class license's........He
>>has been a VE for the last 2 months.
>>
>> CALL THE ARRL & TELL THEM KC8JBO IS SELLING HAM "TICKETS" through the
>>VE program. You can also send Hollingsworth an email to tell him this
>>news.
>
> Prove it.
>

>>
>> Eat another box of Ding Dongs, LARDASS!!!!!
>>
>>
>>
>> Re: W4AMP Father...AIDS just like his prison bitch
> drunken son Jimmy O'Brien III The ONE & ONLY,
>>
>>
>> PISSBULL WITH AIDS
>
> check out the loserobrien page and see FATASS o'Bese's trashy, filthy
> living conditions.
> http://www.angelfire.com/punk/loserobrien
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4777. NEW ! HAM WEB SITE RELAY REOURCES F6KEH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: f5lcy <f5lcy@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <88mgnqbhb4@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 118947

http://members.xoom.com/_XMCM/softelecom/index_r.htm

4778. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KH <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <950978160.996050@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 118948
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

The only thing you need to do is write "Not used for purpose intended" on the back of the money order and sign it. They will refund. They keep a record of who bought it. I know, I'm in the banking business and that is how it would be handled with us.

Also, I would consider myself lucky that this individual, while a bit confused, was honest enough to return the money order. I would be disappointed that I didn't get the TNC, but again, you didn't loose your money.

KH

4779. porkbutt is gone HAIL PAUL-BUTT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38AEDF4A.CB624D3E@netrover.com>
Source record: 118949

Yes it was only a matter of time before the scepters was passed read more about it on the monthly news letter

http://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows/

4780. Re: ARRL Secret Elections BORING...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Wallace <andywallace@home.com>
Message-ID: <D8Ar4.32028$Nv1.3054179@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 118950

You guys may be pleasantly surprised by Jim Haynie--he is not one of the old farts with a CW key growing out of his hand :) He is well aware there are other modes.

Andy K5VM

Grumpee <Crabby@oldmail.com> wrote in message news:38ae5f70$1_2@news5.newsfeeds.com...
> Come on, guys. Give the Conspiracy Theory a break, will you? Who really
> cares about alleged secret elections? The ARRL is losing their grip on the
> Hams Who Were....Secret Elections do not mean much to most Hams. Most do not
> even care.
> So many new Hams, so few ARRL members....the times they are changing and it
> does not look good for the Boys From Newington....
> It was bound to happen....
> ARRL members are now a minority...
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4781. 7258 KHZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4HAr4.15828$Ez.288293@news2.mia>
Source record: 118951

http://ky-filters.com/save7258.htm

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

4782. Eve programming for VX-5R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <38AEDDFF.105CDC9C@AMSAT.org>
Source record: 118952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I still can't seem to get the virtual
jumper to work. Actually, I can't find
it on the screen. There is nothing on
the upper right hand side.

Do I have to download, upload then
download again in order for the virtual
jumper to be activated?

4783. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <38AEE015.254805BC@AMSAT.org>
Source record: 118953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

It is this type of elitism that is killing Amateur Radio.
In Europe they long ago moved to less classes under the
CEPT program. And a single code exam of only 10 WPM.

Every since the '70s, with the Bash books and CB, certain
hams have been pissed off that the "masses" might be able
to enter their little fiefdom. It is the same attitudes we
see with gun control. The elite do not want blacks or the
rednecks with guns. Self defense should be left only to those
who are "valuable". (Guns would be just ham radio if we
let the elites have their way.)

Sorry, but the masses have already discovered the Internet.
They do not need amateur radio.

N9NWO/DA4NWO

4784. Re: James Vincent O'brien III (UNCOOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jb2101@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8zqqhf$XX10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118954

On Sat Feb 19 14:22:21 2000 GMT, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> barfed:

: Suckboy John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> sucked and swallowed AMP and
: whined in message news:8zqqhf$XX08$2@nnrp1.deja.com...
: > (1) Get a newsreader which uses a References header. It will make
: your
: > ignorant posts thread properly with other posts so that we can all get
: > nauseated in context. As is is now, your posts don't get threaded;
:
: Hey queer, I'm using Outlook Express 5, and IE 5. Get over it you dumb
: bastard. It's not my fault you're such a computer and Internet
: newbie.............You're such a fuckhead, Bowsers.
: What Paul did was ok in my book. It was quite funny.

You probably think Saddam Hussein is a real neat guy too.

Bwa ha ha ha ha haaaaa! You STILL aren't generating a References
header, but I guess a newbie like you doesn't even know how to look for
it.

: > (2) go back and reread your original post on Deja, idiotboy. You were
: > NOT misquoted.
: >
: > Sheese!!!
:
: Liar.

Scared to death to go back and look? Naww: I think you're just too
dumb to know how.

End of discussion.

4785. Re: Prez candidates and hamdom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9yBr4.15919$Ez.290068@news2.mia>
Source record: 118955

Here is something you should know:

Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:

S enator Bill Bradley, while campaigning in New Hampshire, was asked a few straight-forward questions that should concern every American. Vice President Al Gore was asked the same questions in a separate interview. One question pertained to foreign troops on American soil. Bradley denied knowing anything about foreign troops in America. Al Gore denied it; then added that Americans should not be concerned or worried if Russian troops were here because it will just add to the possibility of attaining "peace." HOW? When Russia and China just signed a military working agreement to help each other against their perceived number one enemy - the United States!

Both Democratic candidates were asked another question of significance. If the Federal Government tried to become a tyranny, could a State or any number of States secede from the Union? Senator Bradley stated without any qualifications to his statement: NO! Vice President Gore answered that we have already fought a war to determine whether secession was legal or not. When BOTH candidates were confronted with the fact that secession was taught in the military academy by federal funds that every State had a "right" to secede, they pleaded ignorance.

William Rawle, first U.S. District Attorney of the U.S., wrote the textbook that taught the legality of secession if the Federal Government stepped "outside" the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution. He wrote: "The Union is an ASSOCIATION of the people of Republics [independent nation States]; It depends on the State itself, to retain or ABOLISH the principle of representation; BECAUSE it depends on itself, whether it will continue a member of the Union. To DENY this RIGHT, would be inconsistent with the principles on which ALL our political systems are founded:..." (A View of the Constitution, by William Rawle, p. 9 & 235).

Historian Alexis de Tocqueville addressed the question of secession: "The Union was formed by the VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT of the States; and these, in uniting together, have NOT FORFEITED the Nationality, nor have they been REDUCED to the condition of one and the same people. If one of the States chose to withdraw its name FROM THE CONTRACT, it would be DIFFICULT to DISPROVE its RIGHT of doing so..." (Democracy in America, by Alexis de Tocqueville, Vol. 1, p. 387).

Abraham Lincoln said secession was a "sacred right" (The Real Lincoln, Charles L. C. Minor, p. 66). Yet he was influenced to violate his own words by those who surrounded him in Washington, D.C. Jefferson Davis, only president of the Confederacy, predicted that the issue of State's Rights over which the Civil War was really fought was NOT resolved by war and would

rise again. It has resurfaced again.

For example: Louisiana, by popular vote of the entire State, passed Amendment 11 to their State Constitution that reaffirmed State's Rights. They said the Federal Government could NOT step outside its legal boundaries expressed in the Constitution of the U.S. If the federal government stepped outside of its limited and delegated authority, Louisiana would not obey its regulations.

Arizona just voted out of committee to be voted on by its full House of Representatives HCR-2034 that gives it the RIGHT to secede from the Union if the Federal Government declares Martial Law in a State without the States permission. Arizona could also secede from the Union if the Federal Government tried to disarm the people by calling for gun confiscation.

Why is this important for every freedom loving American and Christian to understand? President Clinton has stated that if Congress did not approve his proposed legislation [Socialistic] that he would by-pass Congress and write Executive Orders. Executive Orders were to be directed to his staff on HOW to carry out the laws passed by Congress - NOT to create law by the Executive Branch of government. Clinton has already written E.O. 12656, which combined with other Executive Orders, can consolidate presidential power into his hands and abolish STATE'S RIGHTS and CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS BY DECREE. It is rumored that Alaska and Alabama are also seeking steps to secede from the Union IF the Federal Government continues its present course of taking us into a One-World-Government under the United Nations.

Senator Jesse Helmes blistered the U.N. Security Council's ears in January 2000 and said: BACK OFF!

Sincerely,

David J. Smith

Special Offers!
ORDER: Newswatch Magazine - one year: $24.00___

All back issues still available of Newswatch:$31.00___

Cassette Tape #985 The Lull Before the Storm......$10.00_____

Video Tape #985 The Lull Before the Storm.....$25.00_____

Cassette Tapes #781-A&B State's Rights.....$18.00_____

Videos #781-A&B State's Rights.....$40.00_____

Book: America On the Eve of Destruction.....$10.00_____

Visa, Master, Discover Cards: 1-800-516-8736 OR ONLINE ORDERING!

Listen to Newswatch Magazine:

WWL 870 AM Dial 10:30-12PM CST M-F (33 states)

WWKB 1520 AM Dial 10:30PM-12:30AM EST M-F East: Canada-SC

KAAY 1090 AM Dial 10:45 PM CST M-F Midwest and Florida

Shortwave:

WWCR 5.070 6-8 AM & 5-6 PM CST M-F

WWCR 3.215 7-8 PM CST M-F

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
Eric wrote in message ...
>Does anyone out here know who is the most "radio hobby friendly"
>presidential candidate?
>
>I've been hearing that John McCain is the worst; his name is on most of
>the legislation that has removed certain frequencies in scanners and he is
>hooked up to a lot of telecom companies.
>
>Eric N9VJG
>FBOM Magazine
>http://n-lemma.com/FBOM/
>
>--
>Please remove NOSPAM to reply.

4786. Libertynet member..ex wife has interesting webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002191913.OAA04441@cotse.com>
Source record: 118956

This message was posted anonymously:

http://www.castleplanet.com

4787. Re: Caution Dealing with Bill KI7BE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jon S. Van Allen" <yonnie@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38ADD75C.5FD38310@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119026

Piero Sassu wrote:

> That's just crazy. As a police officer for 19 years, I can tell you the first
> time you get burned with a bad check you will be very disappointed by your
> recourse. Even if you get your local police department to file a "bad check"
> complaint (slim to none chance), NO district attorney's office in this country
> will extradite a person for a couple of bad checks, just too expensive. At least
> with a postal money order, you have a better chance dealing with the Postal
> Inspectors and a federal fraud complaint.
>
> 73, Piero - N3FVG

Well I hate to disagree, but I got burned on a purchase with a US Postal
Money Order. I filed a fraud complaint with the Postal Inspectors almost a
year ago, they have done absolutely nothing to resolve the complaint. I
have had no followup contact or any explanation.

I was always under the impression that the US Postal Inspectors would
be glad to pay a visit in your behalf to anyone who stiffed you. Not true.

Jon

4788. The W8MMQ Wire - 02/18/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <email@w8mmq.net>
Message-ID: <8Gmr4.1743$PA2.148911@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119027

February 18, 2000
Target: rec.radio.amateur.misc

Online: http://www.w8mmq.net/
To subscribe/unsubscribe: email@w8mmq.net

Welcome to the W8MMQ Wire for February 18, 2000! Please note, my email address has changed to email@w8mmq.net. Any questions or comments regarding this newsletter should be sent to this new address. Thanks.

FLORIDA MAN GETS $20,000 FINE FOR MALICIOUS INTERFERENCE

W8MMQ - In an order filed January 28, the FCC has given William Flippo, of Jupiter, Florida, 30 days to pay a $20,000 fine levied against him for malicious interference on local HF and repeater nets.

Flippo has apparently ignored the FCC's order and continues to cause problems for amateurs on the 10 and 2 meter bands. Flippo has only given one response to the FCC's ruling, sending them a copy of a bankruptcy filing shortly after receiving a copy of a Notice of Apparent Liability, which accused him of the interference.

Hams throughout the area are becoming increasingly disgruntled about the interference, wanting to see Flippo behind bars at whatever cost.

CANADA AND AUSTRALIA CONSIDER REDUCING MORSE CODE REQUIREMENTS

ARRL - Canada and Australia could follow the US in reducing the Morse code examination requirement to 5 WPM for full HF access. Radio Amateurs of Canada announced plans this month to ask Industry Canada to eliminate that country's 12 WPM Morse requirement. The RAC Board of Directors has voted unanimously in favor of the proposal, expected to be filed soon. If enacted, the change would leave 5 WPM as the sole Morse code examination requirement for full HF access in Canada.

Meanwhile, the Wireless Institute of Australia reports it's seriously considering whether to push regulatory authorities to reduce the maximum Morse code license test speed Down Under from 10 to 5 WPM. WIA says it expects to discuss the matter in detail next month with the Australian Communications Authority.

The WIA says a majority of its membership divisions appear to favor the reduction from 10 to 5 WPM in Australia. The general issue of Morse code licensing requirements is expected to be a topic for debate at the IARU Region 3 conference the WIA will host later this year. Discussion of the International Radio Regulations regarding the Morse code requirement is not expected to come up prior to the World Radiocommunication Conference set for

2003.

A single 5 WPM Morse code examination requirement for HF access goes into effect in the US on April 15 as part of sweeping licensing rules changes. The United Kingdom and Sweden also have adopted 5 WPM as the Morse code examination requirement for access to the full HF amateur spectrum. The South African Telecommunications Regulatory Authority is said to be poised to act on a South African Radio League request for a lower code speed limit there. Other nations have expressed interest in the issue.

The Israeli Ministry of Communications has introduced a new license with a 6 WPM Morse code requirement that gives CW-only access to HF bands. The new ticket does not include all HF bands, however.

From the ARRL Letter, February 18, 2000

AMATEURS RESPOND AFTER VIOLENT GEORGIA STORMS

W8MMQ - Amateur radio operators were quick to respond after killer storms ripped through Georgia earlier this week. The storms killed at least 18 people, injured dozens others, and destroyed nearly 200 homes.

The storms, with winds around 150 MPH, caused nearly $25 million in damages, resulting in states of emergency being declared in several counties.

Hams used various repeaters and simplex frequencies, but the majority of the coordination efforts took place on an emergency net on 75 meters.

This latest effort is just another example of how important amateur radio is in times of emergency. In these days of cheap cell phones and personal radio services, amateur radio is often regarded as a antiquated service. But it's storms like those in Georgia that show the public what amateur radio is all about.

THE WEEKLY DX REPORT

Richard, G3RWL, is currently active as 8P6DR from Barbados until the 28th of February. He will be on 10 - 20 metres mostly during his mornings and evenings. A contact with him will count as NA-021 towards the RSGB Islands on the Air awards programme. QSL via G3RWL.

You should find AC8W/C6A and K8DD/C6A on the air from Treasure Cay, Abaco Island in the Bahamas, until the 25th of February. This counts as NA-080 for IOTA. QSL via home calls.

Look out for Ricardo, CT3KN, on CW from Madeira in the ARRL International DX contest today, the 20th of February, and again on the 4th and 5th of March on SSB. This counts as AF-014 for IOTA. QSL direct to CS3MAD or via the bureau.

Finally, listen for HC8L on CW today, the 20th of February, in the ARRL DX contest. He will be operating from Isla San Cristobal, Galapagos. A contact will count as SA-004 for IOTA, and QSL is via N2AU.

From RSGB News and 425 DX News

UPCOMING CONTESTS AND EVENTS

Visit the ARRL Contest Calendar at http://www.arrl.org/contests/ for more contest information.

The ARRL International DX Contest, CW portion, will run from February 19 through 20. Operate 160, 80, 40, 20, 15, and 10 meters only, CW only.

Next weekend, the RSGB 7 MHz Contest will be held. The contest, sponsored by the Radio Society of Great Britain, will run from 1500-Z February 26 until 0900-Z February 27. Operate CW only, 7.0 to 7.03-MHz.

If you know of an upcoming amateur radio contest or event, send it to email@w8mmq.net, and we will include it in this section. Be sure to send it at least a week in advance. Thanks!

AND ... IN CLOSING

Thanks for reading this edition of the W8MMQ Wire! This newsletter is produced and distributed each week by Dan, W8MMQ, and is available free to all radio amateurs.

To subscribe to this newsletter, email email@w8mmq.net. Your email will be read and answered as soon possible. Your email address will not be given or sold to any other company or organization.

This newsletter is available online at http://www.w8mmq.net/. Information from the following sources was used in the production of this newsletter: the ARRL Letter, RSGB News, and other published reports.

73 de,
Dan, W8MMQ

4789. Massive Solar Event 2/11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: King of Spades <louie404@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CFnr4.6125$O43.230209@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.spacescience.com/headlines/y2000/ast18feb_1.htm

Expected to disrupt radio communications

4790. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Harris <n2ah@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88m330$l1a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <88kh7k$1kh$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> Art Harris <n2ah@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
> >ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW
segments
> >that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.
>
> They'll get to use the Extra subbands because they each passed the
Extra
> exam. Generals and Advanced too can use those same subbands by passing
> that same test, only the Generals and Advanced folks will have an
> easier time -- no code test will be necessary for them.
>
> Jeff
>
My point is the Welfare Extras are getting telegraphy privileges that
exceed those of people who have passed a more stringent CW exam. If you
believe that exam requirements should be related to privileges earned
(as ARRL/FCC claim they do), this makes no sense.

--
Art Harris
Long Island, NY

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4791. Re: Proposed license restructuring for 2001.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dale Harper"
Message-ID: <88l3qmahe1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119030

In article <38ADF862.AB28CFF0@icss.net>,
Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:

>Larry W4CSC wrote:
>
>> Dream on, sport. When ITU dumps code requirements, I suspect FCC will
>> do the same. I believe they would have dumped the code test all
>> together if it hadn't been an international requirement we agreed to
>> follow. It'll be dumped next time, ARRL or no ARRL......
>>
>> No, I suspect, before this is over you might see 2 classes of ham
>> license.....Tech and Amateur.....split right at 30 mhz.
>>
>> FCC has had enough of this nonsense of Extras who think they are
>> "better" and mostly untouchable acting like little Hitlers on the
>> bands. Making ALL amateurs the SAME would remove a lot of enforcement
>> problems. The egotistical maniacs make a lot of trouble for them.
>> We're putting the kids in school in little uniforms to make them
>> "alike". They'll do the same for ham radio....and are already doing
>> it.
>>
>> Larry W4CSC.....Where DID I leave my fire extinguisher?
>
>These OF's already have their voice and cw keyers programmed for, "I used to
>be an xxxx class ham." And, "I took my exams at the FCC office." It won't
>die until they do.
>

I intend to live a long time.
Dale
KC8FAQ

4792. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88l69h$kkh@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 119031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley (jwkelley@uci.edu) wrote:

: Did you ever see that data sheet for the WOM - Write Only Memory? It
: was a hoot. Looked like a real data sheet from...I forget who now. My
: favorite plots were for Number of Pin Insertions vs. Number of Pins
: Remaining, and Filament Voltage vs. Filament Current. :-)

I didn't see the data sheet but I did see a PICTURE of one used for a
city! It looked exactly like 6 or 8 "pin" water tower ;-))

--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4793. Re: James Vincent O'brien III (UNCOOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88m8usofu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119032

Suckboy John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> sucked and swallowed AMP and
whined in message news:8zqqhf$XX08$2@nnrp1.deja.com...
> On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 23:38:46 GMT KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> : >: >>John Bowers wrote:
> : >: >>
> : >: >>> On Wed 16 Feb 2000 05:51:58 GMT, "Paul" <pab1@home.com> >>>
> : wrote:
> : >: >>>
> : >: >>> : That's W4AMP....He calls of lot of names but we know he's a
> : >: >>>pissed off drug addict.
> : >: >>> : I call him that name only I show proof!
> : >: >>>
> : >: >>> What an intelligent post (NOT)! Not only have you posted
> : binaries
> : >: >>> to a non-binary news group (a violation of @home's TOS), but
you
> : >: >>> posted them repeatedly and didn't even get them encoded
> : >: >>> correctly. They were all incomplete.
> : >:
> : >: Then YOU need to learn how to use your newsreader, his pictures
were
> : >: not complete, stupid.
> : >
> : >Ummm, incomplete == not complete. What part of my last sentence
didn't
> : >you understand?
> : >
> : >As Forrest Gump said, "Stupid is as stupid does".
> :
> : You sound like an EXPERT, dumbfuck. I said the pictures were
complete.
> : Now try to post edit again.
>
> (1) Get a newsreader which uses a References header. It will make
your
> ignorant posts thread properly with other posts so that we can all get
> nauseated in context. As is is now, your posts don't get threaded;

Hey queer, I'm using Outlook Express 5, and IE 5. Get over it you dumb
bastard. It's not my fault you're such a computer and Internet
newbie.....You're such a fuckhead, Bowsers.
What Paul did was ok in my book. It was quite funny.

> (2) go back and reread your original post on Deja, idiotboy. You were
> NOT misquoted.
>
> Sheese!!!

Liar.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4794. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: WA0KDS <WA0KDS@mcipnet.com>
Message-ID: <38AD888C.4690@mcipnet.com>
Source record: 119033
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

You don't need a receipt to get a refund on a Money Order.

Steven Steinsapir wrote:
>
> If he sent you back the money order, why don't you just take it and
> your receipt for the money order and get a refund? You did keep your
> receipt didn't you?
>
> Steve - KF6USJ
>
> On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 15:30:07 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
> <wiedemann@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Just wanted to warn other hams of my bad experience with a private purchase
> >of some radio equipment off these newsgroups.
> >
> >I recently had a CONFIRMED deal to buy a TNC from a ham. He wanted cash up
> >front, though.
> >
> >This was the first time I tried doing the deal by sending a money order
> >rather than doing COD which always worked fine before.
> >
> >Well, after waiting a few WEEKS for the TNC to arrive, instead of the
> >hardware I get a letter with my money order back (unsigned) and a note
> >saying he's sorry but he got confused and already shipped it to someone
> >else!!!!
> >
> >Now my bank doesn't even want to take the unsigned money order back because
> >it's specifically made out to his name!!!!
> >
> >I vow NEVER EVER to do any deal other than by COD. WHO CARES if it takes
> >several weeks for the seller to get his bucks! Eventually he'll get it, but
> >this way the buyer is more likely to get his hardware as agreed.
> >
> >Pissed,
> >
> >KF6RLQ
> >
> >

4795. AirSCAN- Software for the BC895XLT.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: News <slyster7@mn.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <clXr4.704$yT6.37190@typhoon.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Download the demo of AirSCAN, software for the BC895XLT and a few other scanners. AirSCAN is a very convenient package used for finding and labeling active frequencies (similar to a "bandscope"), counting hits in frequency ranges, saving and loading previous searches, managing your scanners banks, counting hits on your existing scanner banks, and using the new "extended banks" A-Z adding 2080 more channels for your scanner!

See Silver Software website.
http://people.mn.mediaone.net/slyster7

4796. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B04423.DC215FF9@bctv.com>
Source record: 118885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
> : Art Harris wrote:
> [snip]
>
> : > You know, you bring up an interesting point. The FCC/ARRL always say
> : > that the examination requirements should correlate to the privileges
> : > earned. But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
> : > ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
> : > that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.
>
> There are *written* tests that are part of the requirements. If those
> present day 13 WPM Generals and Advanced are that interested in more
> frequenices, they can take Elements 4(A) and 4(B) right now just like
> anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call *them* WEs
> with your broad brush of bigotry.

ALL Extras are "Welfare" Extras. Not one of them paid for his or her
license or for the spectrum they consider "theirs."

4797. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <vUXr4.4115$TM2.50697@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

: Hey Jerkoff - What thinks you are God? Of course you and your communist
: beliefs would want someone in jail for 20 yrs for saying things that are
not
: with "the party line"
:

All it takes is a little resistance from you at your
arrest and then one bullet to the head. It is a
lot less paperwork.

: >Walter Gesundheit wrote:
: >>
: >> No more "stern letters" from Riley, or polite invitations to retest.
: >>
: >> Instead of pussy-footin' around with warning letters, the FCC has fined
: >> two Houston, Texas hams $8,000 apiece for interference and failing to
: >> identify.
: >>
: >> K4TOF and N5WLY have just learned the price of QRM'ing!
: >>
: >> And that's the way it is!
: >>
: >> Walter
: >
: >I would go even farther. Take their home or car (which ever had
: >the radio in it) like they do for drugs. And charge those doing
: >the jamming and the misuse of free speech (both groups) on 75m
: >and 20m with RICO violations. Let them do 20 years in a federal
: >prison.
:
:

4798. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org>
Message-ID: <s3Yr4.4139$TM2.45494@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sony has just announced a Family Service Radio that "trunks". Once you enter a subaudiable tone code, the radio scanns the 14 channels looking for an open channel before transmitting. Everyone one else with the Sony radio in your group (and the same code) locks on to that frequency.

Why can't we hams have trunked repeaters? One good site and several repeaters. Then trunk groups for the various segments of the community.

: I would figure this is one of the last ditch efforts to keep the Ham Bands
: available for "Amateur" operators. No matter what the requirements to get
: into the system, all previously licensed groups will have a beef.
: But, considering the current over-crowded spectrum which we enjoy to
Listen
: to as "Radio" communications, Watch as "television" communications, and
: support as "Public Service" communications, it won't be long before better
: communications equipment, able to work in the noiser "Amateur" bands is
: available for license. Then the strong Financial Lobby called
"Enterprise"
: and "Public Interest" will begin taking a closer look at the current
: "Amateur" bands as underutilized and request the FCC redress and reassign
: the bands under the guise of "Public Interest" This of course will mean a
: loss of precious communications spectrum to the highest bidder, as has
: happened in other areas of the spectrum.
:
: Now I know this will probably incite a small riot, but look at the
: advantages. Sure there will be a few people with more money than they
know
: what to do with that will buy the biggest-baddest Ham set they can afford.
: But this won't be the masses as envisioned (although three or four
: over-zealous operators per band are more than enough) Given all of the
: other anonymous mediums for self expression most of the average citizens
: will choose the route of "least investment"
:
: On the optimistic flipside, the bands will get better utilized, and as
such
: will become less of a target for the above mentioned group. The law of
: supply and demand will afford the astute amateur to gain better access to
: equipment due to lower costs, and thus putting amateur equipment into the
: hands of young lads and lasses who find Radio and RF propagation an
: interesting hobby.
:
: Needless to say I see this move as an ability to breath new life into a
: generation and a hobby which are fast becoming a thing of the past.
:

: Just my .02

4799. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88pi9a$aca@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood (kw@bctv.com) wrote:
: James Rosenthal wrote:
: > Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
: > : Art Harris wrote:
: > [snip]

: > Jim said;
: > There are *written* tests that are part of the requirements. If those
: > present day 13 WPM Generals and Advanced are that interested in more
: > frequenices, they can take Elements 4(A) and 4(B) right now just like
: > anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call *them* WEs
: > with your broad brush of bigotry.

: > anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call *them* WEs
: > with your broad brush of bigotry.

: ALL Extras are "Welfare" Extras. Not one of them paid for his or her
: license or for the spectrum they consider "theirs."

None of us has "paid" for his license ("fees" excluded). Why don't you
explain to us exactly how much they paid?

Please notice that I said; "What's strange is that *YOU* would now call
them WEs with your broad brush of bigotry". What -are- you trying to prove?
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4800. Re: Uniden HR2600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000220154901.03224.00005720@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 118889

In article <38b0277d$0$1402@news.execpc.com>, David Dropp <ddropp@execpc.com>
writes:

>For sale or trade Uniden HR2600 allmode radio covers the 10,11 and 12
>meter bands 10 watts AM,FM and CW plus 25 on SSB. I have installed a
>Chipswitch micro. to add band coverage and added memory channels for
>more details on the micro go to there web site at chipswitch.com. Radio
>is mint never been mobil reason for selling I bought a Icom 706. Feel
>free to e-mail questions Dave

Price?

4801. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B052C9.7DFC1DEF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118890
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron H wrote:
> Does the direction of the offset between transmit and receive frequencies
> for CW follow the LSB USB convention on HF? i.e. is the transmitter offset
> below the received freq. on bands where LSB is common and vise versa...???

My CW filter is on the high side of my CW frequency on all bands.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4802. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38B0564D.4D17DDB8@cats-net.com>
Source record: 118891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 08:30:32 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2000 17:17:27 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 20:53:46 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >> >> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
> >> >> >> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
> >> >> >> > thought they already knew it all...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
> >> >> >> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
> >> >> >> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
> >> >> >> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
> >> >> >> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
> >> >> >> without additional data.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >That bit of silliness can be turned about in an instant:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of
> >> >> >Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one
> >> >> >day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school
> >> >> >but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had
> >> >> >completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of
> >> >> >the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.
> >> >>
> >> >> That turns nothing around.
> >> >
> >> >...sez you.
> >>
> >> Yep, sez me.
```

> >
> >Your opinion counts for no more than that of others...
>
> Where or when did I say it does?

The part where you say, "That turns nothing around" would indicate that
you think it does.

> >> >> It only points to a very
> >> >> poor ability of the school to assess an EE's competency
> >> >> for graduation.
> >> >
> >> >Very poor ability? How about no ability? How about a free pass based
> >> >on volleyball? Let's not lay it all on OSU.
> >>
> >> Who other than OSU should take the blame? OSU
> >> compromised their educational standards (apparently).
> >
> >The student...
>
> Why the student? If the student did ALL the required tests, etc.
> what fault is there on the student.

Do you believe that a man can graduate from OSU's engineering school
without knowing what RF and IF mean unless he's been granted a
scholastic "gimme"?

> >> >Pete D. certainly didn't
> >> >do the assignments and hit the books.
> >>
> >> BUT in the end it was OSU that passed him.
> >
> >It sure was. If I had to guess, I'd say that it was deliberate on the
> >part of the school administration in order to keep their volleball
> >captain. Before I met Pete, I never heard of a volleyball scholarship.
>
> Thanks, sure seems that Pete just did what he was
> asked to do...unless you have evidence of cheating
> or deliberate misrepresentations by OSU in which
> Pete was a knowing and willing accomplice.

I didn't ask Pete if he cheated or whether OSU made deliberate
misrepresentations and he didn't volunteer the information.

> >> >He was astounded that the hams
> >> >working at the company knew so much about electronics and so much about
> >> >components. Not all of us were Extra Class hams. We had three Extras,
> >> >an Advanced and a couple of old time Techs. At very least the guy
> >> >parlayed a bogus EE degree into an electronics sales job. Can you say
> >> >"free pass"?
> >>
> >> I don't disagree with that at all. I simply noted that it

> >> was OSU that apparently gave it all away.
> >
> >Yep.
>
> Agree again...this could be habit forming.

Let's enjoy it while it lasts.

>
> >> >> And in another post, same thread....
> >> >> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> > If all you have to do is brag about engineers or your Mensa membership,
> >> >> >> > Cecil, you really need to find some things to do in your retirement.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> On the contrary, Dave, I am arguing exactly the opposite and your example
> >> >> >> proves my point. If a graduate engineer can be full of $hit, how much more
> >> >> >> full of $hit can an extra class licensee be? The extra class exam is akin
> >> >> >> to a freshman EE exam.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Please demonstrate how you are arguing the exact opposite. Maybe you
> >> >> >haven't made your point clearly. While you're at it, please explain why
> >> >> >an Amateur Extra has to be full of anything just because some
> >> >> >engineering grad is stupid. Sometimes you don't seem to make sense.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Moral: Don't trust anyone unless he/she proves he/she knows what he/she
> >> >> >> is talking about. That includes EEs *AND EXTRAS*, much to your chagrin,
> >> >> >> Dave. You seem to want extras to have a "get out of jail free" pass.
> >> >> >> That ain't gonna happen.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Cecil, your self-proclaimed superior intellect sometimes goes off on a
> >> >> >tangent. How does it seem that I want holders of the Amateur Extra to
> >> >> >get any such thing? I've had my Extra ticket for about 23 years now. I
> >> >> >enjoy all that U.S. amateur radio has to offer. I'm not in jail.
> >> >>
> >> >> BUT there are others that want the EXTRA license to
> >> >> somehow proclaim to all the world (academia, industry,
> >> >> military, etc.) that the EXTRA license conveys a level of
> >> >> technical competency that should be automatically
> >> >> recognized. Your (Dave Heil) example of the inept EE
> >> >> shows that even a 4 year degreed individual can apparently
> >> >> pass all the required tests in the college EE program and
> >> >> still graduate not knowing certain basics.
> >> >
> >> >What others want that? Name a few of them.
> >>
> >> Let's see:
> >>
> >> Vince Biancomo
> >> Jim N2EY

> >> Bob W2ilp
> >> Dick Carroll
> >>
> >> All have written to the tune of "that old
> >> amateur (especially Extra) license don't get no respect"
> >
> >I don't know about specific classes of license but there are fields
> >where an amateur license has helped one get a foot in the door. I don't
> >often read Vince's posts or Bob's but I can't recall N2EY ever making a
> >statement like that you've ascribed to him. I don't recall that Dick
> >Carroll has stated that an Extra class ticket proclaims anything like
> >you've stated outside of amateur radio. Maybe I've missed the posts.
> >Elaborate if you like.
>
> I'm sure they will state otherwise if I have misrepresented
> their opinions.

> >> >I've heard much more from
> >> >Lenny about wanting a free pass based on his many years of
> >> >"professional" communications and more from Carl and Cecil about how
> >> >just having electrical engineers grace ham radio with their presence
> >> >would be a boon to the service.
> >>
> >> Sorry Dick, but neither Carl nor Cecil ever asked for any
> >> FREE PASS. They have simply noted that the old Morse
> >> roadblock kept a number of highly qualified people from
> >> becoming hams. Neither Carl nor Cecil ever said or
> >> suggested that anyone with an EE (friend or not) should
> >> be waived from a written test.
> >
> >Nope--the term you want is "otherwise qualified". My view is that you
> >are either qualified or you are not qualified. The regs do require a
> >morse test and nobody is exempt from the written exam. I note that your
> >last sentence leaves Len out. Was that deliberate?
>
> I don't believe that when either Carl or Cecil used the
> phrase "pr otherwise qualified" that they were implying
> anyone should not have to take the test.

Oh?

> As to Len, I left him out because I could not state
> with any certainty what his view might actually be.

I think we know within a reasonable degree of certainty given his past
postings.

> >> >For that matter, Carl goes around
> >> >calling himself an engineer but admits that he has no engineering
> >> >degree. You don't become an engineer simply by calling yourself one.
> >>
> >> I have the title of Systems Engineer and have a degree in

> >> Economics plus an AAS in Electrical Technology.
> >> Who ever said you MUST be a degreed individual
> >> (i.e. have an engineering degree) to be employed in or
> >> hold a title that defines you as an engineer?
> >> The ONLY rules that I know of (i.e. legal requirements)
> >> are that you must have passed a Proffesional Engineer
> >> test to include the PE designation after your engineering
> >> title.
> >
> >I've met a couple of fellows who are custodial engineers. They used to
> >be called janitors. Have they passed some sort of exam?
>
> What's your point. Are you saying that Carl (and for that
> matter me) are not engineers, that we are not
> qualified to use the title engineer? Is there any
> requirment (legal or otherwise) that sets the
> minimum standrads to be able to use the title
> engineer?

Are you saying that those custodial engineers aren't engineers or that
they truly are engineers?

> >> >> >I don't know about trusting someone but I don't pay much attention to
> >> >> >someone who doesn't know what he's talking about and it doesn't matter
> >> >> >whether we're discussing amateur radio or not. I'm not chagrined but I
> >> >> >am amused.
> >> >>
> >> >> I agee. At best, an EXTRA license might be a door opener
> >> >> in a limited sense BUT the proof is in the individual to SHOW
> >> >> the competency (advanced placement tests, accelerating
> >> >> in military training classes, employment tests, etc.) No such
> >> >> "free pass" should come with it. Glad you agree.
> >> >
> >> >Door opened for me several times because of a ham ticket. I happened to
> >> >hold an Extra when a couple of those doors swung wide.
> >>
> >> Fine and you then PROVED yourself during an interview,
> >> during some type of background check, etc. You didn't
> >> expect the License alone to be your validation as to your
> >> competency. I do believe we actually agree here in
> >> principal as to the importance or "respect" that anyone
> >> can/should expect from having an amateur license.
> >
> >Everyone does this with every job interview.
>
> Agreed...buy this is getting scary :-) :-)

We don't have problems with job interviews. We have problems agreeing
on amateur radio licensing standards.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

4803. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B056B6.4CEFCE2B@bctv.com>
Source record: 118892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal wrote:
>
> Keith Wood (kw@bctv.com) wrote:
> : James Rosenthal wrote:
> : > Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
> : > : Art Harris wrote:
> : > [snip]
>
> : > Jim said;
> : > There are *written* tests that are part of the requirements. If those
> : > present day 13 WPM Generals and Advanced are that interested in more
> : > frequenices, they can take Elements 4(A) and 4(B) right now just like
> : > anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call *them* WEs
> : > with your broad brush of bigotry.
>
> : > anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call *them* WEs
> : > with your broad brush of bigotry.
>
> : ALL Extras are "Welfare" Extras. Not one of them paid for his or her
> : license or for the spectrum they consider "theirs."
>
> *None* of us has "paid" for his license ("fees" excluded). Why don't you
> explain to us exactly how much they paid?
>
> Please notice that I said; "What's strange is that *YOU* would now call
> *them* WEs with your broad brush of bigotry". What -are- you trying to prove?

I'm not an Extra yet. The phrase was "Welfare Extras," used by current
Extras as "proof" that they are somehow better than the Extras to come.
I was pointing out that the current Extras are taking the same "handout"
that the new Extras will be.

Myself, I consider it WORKFARE. We are given something valuable by the
Feds, with the understanding that we will provide service to the
community in a couple of different ways. I have yet to figure out what
service we are providing when we whine and gripe about a rule change
which will help us provide better service.

4804. ~~~Radio Equipment For Sale and New Radio WebSite~~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiodoc37@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000220162008.11997.00000868@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 118893

---> Equipment For Sale <------

Yaesu FTDx560 HF Tube Transceiver 10-80 meters,
plastic still on faceplate, excellent condition
with copy of manual $250.00 (Pending Sale)

Yaesu XF-32A SSB filter for FT101 series, $40.00

Crown IC-150 Stereo preamplifier, Metal cabinet
model with copy of manual $150.00

Midland 79-290, removeable face 10/11 meter AM/SSB/WX
Mobile,dual final design, 5 bands, WX, good condition with
stock mic. $120.00

Commercial VHF Handhelds:
Motorola Radius P50
Kenwood TK240 with drop in charger
Reasonable offer

Homebrew 3 tube 10 meter amplifier, uses 3 military
versions of the 6146, Seperate open chassis PA and
power supply deck. RF sensing, Plate Load, meter, SSB
and AM. 150-200 watts with low drive $150.00 Reduced to$130.00

Kenwood TS430, with AM filter and FM board, Manuals, Clean and working Great
$400.00

Browning Mark III, AM transmitter and 2 AM/SSB receivers, working.
With 2 non-working parts AM/SSB transmitters and 1 non working
AM/SSB receiver. Complete Package $400.00

Tram D201s, Both have unlocked VFO's, One has lower freqs
with good AM and weak SSB the other has upper freqs with good
AM and SSB. Both in good condition $250.00 Each

Penetrator HB-150 10/15/20/40 meter Mobile amplifier, 150 watts class AB1
Low drive input required $150.00

Hooker 10 meter Amplifier, 1 pill design, PEP and RMS mode, CW/AM/SSB,
Preamplifer, Clean, still has factory seals $75.00

Pacifc Scientific / Superior Electric 2 Axis Servo System $250.00

Tram Diamond 60 AM/SSB Mobile, SIltronics Model 90 VFO -3 and Paradynamics
Mere, All in good working condition $175.00

VHF Trielectric Amplifier 13.8 VDC As Is $40.00

Motorola UHF 13.8 VDC 450-470 Mhz Solid State Amplifier, Surplus, As Is $40.00

To see this Equipment and More equipment and Pics go to:

http://members.aol.com/radiodoc37/indexdoc.html

Modified components to build your own Rife-Bare
Resonant Frequency Therapy Machine, Modified
ISM transmitter, Modified Class C amplifiers,
And a variety of Plasma Tubes. Built and tested
systems available.
To Learn about Plasma Wave Therapy See My site:

http://www.medicineofthefuture.com

All items shipped UPS ground after reciept
of MO Or cleared Ca. Check.
Thanks
Mike N6KYD (805) 526-5487

4805. Re: Uniden HR2600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000220164033.13363.00002013@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 118894

In article <38b0277d$0$1402@news.execpc.com>, David Dropp <ddropp@execpc.com>
writes:

>For sale or trade Uniden HR2600 allmode radio covers the 10,11 and 12
>meter bands 10 watts AM,FM and CW plus 25 on SSB. I have installed a
>Chipswitch micro. to add band coverage and added memory channels for
>more details on the micro go to there web site at chipswitch.com. Radio
>is mint never been mobil reason for selling I bought a Icom 706. Feel
>free to e-mail questions Dave

Price?

4806. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000220164034.13363.00002014@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 118895

>Instead of pussy-footin' around with warning letters, the FCC has fined two
>Houston, Texas hams $8,000 apiece for interference and failing to identify.
>K4TOF and N5WLY have just learned the price of QRM'ing! And that's the way it
is!

Glad to hear it. There will likely be more to come. Riley spoke of this
two-tiered approach to amateur offenders at last weekend's Hamcation in
Orlando. The FCC has taken the "nice" approach and tried to resolve the
problems before they got to the point where heavy-handed enforcement was
necessary. In many cases, this approach has been successful. But for those
"hard core" cases who are bent on destroying our hobby, make no mistake: Riley
has a forfeiture notice with your name on it.

4807. PAGE WEB RTX + RX USED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: iz0ckl@libero.it
Message-ID: <38b05138.1434961@news.libero.it>
Source record: 118896

http:// www.geocities.com/iz0ckl/

4808. Technical Q: Impedence Matching / Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: nw7us@hfradio.removethispart.org
Message-ID: <BrZr4.1092$pK3.24607@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 118897
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Howdy,

I have the IC-706 MK II G. It seems from the manual that the mic input is 600 ohms. Is this correct?

I want to use my Shure mic from the Kenwood TS-830S. (MC-50). It has High and Low (50 KOhms, and 500 Ohms) impedence. Now, my hope is that I can match, say, the 500 to the 600 with a transformer (I can wind it??). Or, is there something better I can do? The shure mic is flat, I think... would that be good on a MKIIG?

One of the reasons I wish to do this is that I have a boom mount so that I can have hands-free operation... I already created the conversion cable ... that works fine. Just the impedence mis-match causes the MC-50 to currently be way to weak for good operation.

Thanks!

73 de NW7US, Tomas

--
: http://accessnow.com -- Brinnon, Washington : 122.93W : 47.67N :
: HOME: http://hfradio.org and http://cw.hfradio.org :
: - :
: World-Wide Communications via Amateur Radio : CW/SSB DX Hound :
: 10x56526 : FISTS 7055 : Code Warrior #60 : Propagation Student :
: :
: Member, ARRL -- Official Webmaster of the North West ARRL Site :
: NWARRL - http://hfradio.org/nwarrl/ :

Get your FREE Ham Radio Website today, create online equidistant
azimuth maps, swap and shop, books, check propagation, read dx
news, and more. All at http://hfradio.org/ - SWL/HAM/Live!

"Cigars are like beautiful women - you fall in love with the
shape, and then you must work to keep the flame alive!"
-- Winston Churchill.

4809. Re: Prez candidates and hamdom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88nkh0$c9p$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118898

Eric <n9vjg19@idtNOSPAM.net> wrote:
>Does anyone out here know who is the most "radio hobby friendly"
>presidential candidate?
>
>I've been hearing that

But what *evidence* do you have? Quit attempting to pass hearsay
as fact.

Jeff

4810. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88nlbhcpm1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:

In rec.radio.scanner N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
>Sorry, but the masses have already discovered the Internet.
>They do not need amateur radio.

Those poor, leashed folks. They're helplessly dependent upon
untold billions of dollars of computer equipment belonging to
thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions of miles
of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens of
thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
running.

Hams, on the other hand, have not such dependence. It's the *only*
communications medium, in which any two operators anywhere in the
world, can communicate directly without using government or commercial
communication services. Those same two operators don't even need to
purchase commercially-built radios -- they can build them themselves.

Jeff

4811. Re: Prez candidates and hamdom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88nlnc$d5e$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118900

In article <9yBr4.15919$Ez.290068@news2.mia>,
Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
>Special Offers!
>ORDER: Newswatch Magazine - one year: $24.00___
>Visa, Master, Discover Cards: 1-800-516-00000 OR ONLINE ORDERING!
>LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841

My goodness, Lloyd -- soliciting money on a RECreational newsgroup?

Jeff

4812. FS: parts for Yeasu FT-101Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com
Message-ID: <88poib$rb9$1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

For sale parts for FT-101Z.

Ask what you need and make an offer. All parts are in good working conditions unless otherwise noted.

Buyer to pay S/H.

Send all inquires to servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com

4813. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vicopolous <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com>
Message-ID: <38B067D3.5B40@chooseyourmail.com>
Source record: 118902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Tucker Ph.D wrote:
>
> So what does camping have to do with taking the code test!

The same thing a code test has to do with wanting to use a microphone on
HF, NOTHING!

4814. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vicopolous <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com>
Message-ID: <38B06896.5A8E@chooseyourmail.com>
Source record: 118903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Canada and Australia could follow the US in reducing the Morse code examination requirement to 5 WPM for full HF access. Radio Amateurs of Canada announced plans this month to ask Industry Canada to eliminate that country's 12 WPM Morse requirement. The RAC Board of Directors has voted unanimously in favor of the proposal, expected to be filed soon. If enacted, the change would leave 5 WPM as the sole Morse code examination requirement for full HF access in Canada.

Meanwhile, the Wireless Institute of Australia reports it's seriously considering whether to push regulatory authorities to reduce the maximum Morse code license test speed Down Under from 10 to 5 WPM. WIA says it expects to discuss the matter in detail next month with the Australian Communications Authority.

The WIA says a majority of its membership divisions appear to favor the reduction from 10 to 5 WPM in Australia. The general issue of Morse code licensing requirements is expected to be a topic for debate at the IARU Region 3 conference the WIA will host later this year. Discussion of the International Radio Regulations regarding the Morse code requirement is expected to come up at the World Radiocommunication Conference set for 2003 in which Morse Code will most likely be eliminated as a requirement for HF access worldwide.

A single 5 WPM Morse code examination requirement for HF access goes into effect in the US on April 15 as part of sweeping licensing rules changes.

The United Kingdom and Sweden also have adopted 5 WPM as the Morse code examination requirement for access to the full HF amateur spectrum. The South African Telecommunications Regulatory Authority is said to be poised to act on a South African Radio League request for a lower code speed limit there. Other nations have expressed interest in the issue.

4815. Amateur Call Sign Data Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6uda@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000220173300.15410.00000576@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118904

Does anyone know were a call sign data base can be found on the web?

Thanks

4816. Which one would you pick??? take - a - poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002202306.SAA14064@cotse.com>
Source record: 118905

This message was posted anonymously:

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4817. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38b068a8.4004696@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 21:40:07 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <38aada8b.10832391@news.interactive.net>,
> billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
>> I was a ham first...in high school. Did ham radio influence
>> my career choice? Absolutely!
>
>OK Bill then we do have a lot in common. If anything we both should be
>able to see that the hobby of Ham Radio can lead to a carteer,
>especially when it motivates the curiosity of a young person.

Agree 100%

>On top of the family and teacher discouragement we also must recognize
>the distractions that tend to steer youngsters away from Ham Radio.
>IMHO the Novice and Tech classes would never have been initiated if it
>were not believed that kids who were watching the TV that became popular
>in the late 40s and early 50s were greatly distracted from our hobby by
>television viewing. Today the restructuring is obviously a try at
>combating the distraction of home PCs! BUT IMHO the home PC is
>potentially a much greater distraction than TV because like Ham Radio it
>is interactive.

Agree again. Indeed, those that stay lost to
TV are of the same mindset today as years ago.
The people that are INTO the computer are
far more likly to have been the kids that would have
been possible hams had they no other choice(s).

>> >> I have never met anyone that so discouraged any youth
>> >> from becoming a ham.
>I have because I have probably come from a poorer background. I never
>got an allowance when I was a kid and I had to work for anything that I
>wanted myself or search the dumps for discarded radios.

Hey, my dad used to pick-up discarded TVs and old
radios that people threw out and then we'd strip them
for parts.

>Other teachers didn't even want me to advertise my Radio & TV Repair
>business in school. They could not believe that I was capable of doing
>such work, because it was not taught to me in a tech school.

All I can say is that you must have had a
crummy bunch of teachers in that school. My high

school actually had a ham club and a techer/sponsor
that encouraged us.

>> That is far different than discouraging you as you discrbed above.
>> Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
>> too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
>> thought they already knew it all...and/or because they spent
>> too much time hamming and not studying.
>
>This can be true. On the other hand it can also be true that teachers
>can not understand the methods that practical hams learn to use. I was
>one of the few students who solved a Smith Chart problem correctly on a
>take-home exam in a college course in Electromagnetics.

Fine if you can do that. The passing of the test(s)
ultimately becomes the criteria.

>> >My parents didn't want me to spend time and money on Ham radio nor to
>> >cause TVI to the neighbors in the apartment house we were living in.
>> >They were afraid that my antennas would cause the landlord to raise
>our
>> >rent.
>>
>> Luckily mine encouraged me to be a ham.
>
>I can only guess that they were either much more affluent than my
>parents OR they were glad that you didn't spend time and money on
>something worse.

Middle class. I paid my own way through college
to get my AAS degree.

>> If you didn't spend time and money on ham radio, what
>> did your parents want you to spend time and money on?
>> As for the TVI worry and rent, that makes no sense.
>
>What money???

Didn't you ever have part time jobs? My first "job"
was delivering newspaper in 7th grade. I always
had an after school/weekend job throughout
high school.

>> Agreed. I, however, see YOU wanting the technical
>> aspect of the Basis and Purpose to be forced on all
>> hams (or a large majority of hams). THAT is where we
>> differ...some will, not all or most must.
>
>No I do not want to force any individual Hams to do anything. I only
>want those Hams who can do so to be able to qualify in a manner that
>will give Ham Radio the respect that it deserves and thus give more
>credit to the value of the hobby for all hams and wanna-be hams.

Agreed. The bottom line is that WE (the hams) make our
own reputation. For the past 10 years or more I'd
say the reputation has been tainted, not by any perceived
dumbing down, but far more due to the likes of many
of the obnoxious types that have crept into the
ranks and been allowed to operate unchecked.
Thankfully with Riley Hollingsworth leading a team
to actually enforce things, we are seeing that change.

>The
>ARRL is going to institute a series of more advanced qualification
>exams, but unfortunately these exams will not be a part of the FCC
>Licensing structure.

And that is as it should be.

>I would like to see a place on the Extra Class Ham
>ticket for adding these qualifications as OFFICIAL ENDORSEMENTS.

WHY? Why does it take an FCC database entry to
make it of any special importance?

>It would take a lot of doing to get them to add endorsements to their
>data base
>BUT IMHO this is not an impossible task and would certainly be worth the
>effort involved.

Not going to happen. The FCC is simplifying, not
looking for ways to make things more complex.
That's not being critical, just being realistic.

>> The REASON home brewing has fallen from favor
>> is because the end results don't provide the reason
>> to do so. There is NO significant (if any) cost savings,
>> there is not a likly better end result, etc.
>
>I don't think that people home brew just to save money. When I cook a
>Chinese dinner it costs me a lot more than it would if I ate out in a
>Chinese restaurant. I do it partly because I want to know all of the
>ingredients of the dinner. If I build something I want to know what all
>the components of it are like and control their individual selection.

I don't think that happens much in the ham ranks.
What you desribe is really the equivalent of what
we did in the Labs that we had in conjunction
with tthe theory/lecture classes we took.

>The same is true if I assemble my own PC from boards and assemblies
>rather than opt for purchasing a complete system.

As always, some do, many don't.

>> > We can not expect to popularize something that publishers, such as
>> >the ARRL, may not themselves be particularly motivatted to encourage.
>>

>> Why wouldn't ARRL be motivated to publish home-brew
>> articles (assuming there was any readership demand for
>> them)?
>
>They are interested in the majority of their readers for the most part
>and will try to devote most of their space to them. It is also true
>that AMSAT and TAPR Hams will publish in their own periodicals...and
>even the ARRL has periodicals that are more technical than QST.

Then there is no problem.

>> >which in my opinion can only help Hams to become appliance operators.
>>
>> And what is so terrible if that is what happens?
>> How many people home repair their autos anymore...
>> because GM, Ford, Toyata, etc have developed the
>> car to an engineering level that surpasses back-yard
>> mechanic's skill levels?
>
>Again you have made a poor analogy. Autos are a necessity of life as
>opposed to a hobby. The folks who are into autos as a hobby do know
>more about them from a technical point of view and may be involved in
>seeking high performance or even repairing or modifying autos
>themselves. If you want to be an appliance operator then get a CB, FRS
>unit or cell phone. If you want to be a Ham and you can't build from
>scratch at least understand how your equipment works and know how to
>repair and maintain it.

Sorry, can't agree on that last sentance.
Understanding how it works is one thing...being able
to repair it far exceeds most people's capabilities
today. Most people don't have the repair test
equipment at all. On this same issue I briefly
chatted with former ARRL 1st VP Steve Mendelsohn
the other day and he sees the modern hame as
being of the "systems engineering" perspectve.
I agree with that and have said the same thing
in this newsgroup before.

>> This is where you draw a conclusion that does not
>> derive from your premise. You assume, incorrectly,
>> that all appliance ops will not embark on learning
>> anything about theory and/or won't follow a career
>> path that you and I did. I think such a conclusion
>> ignores reality.
>
>I can not speak about ALL individuals of any group BUT I can see that
>the licensing restructuring will certainly permit the inclusion of even
>more appliance OPs than ever before.

And I believe that to be inevitable anyway.

>> As for career choices, I will note that unless we
>> bring in YOUTH as hams, then it is too late to
>> influence career choice once the person is in
>> their mid 20's or older.
>
>Yes and no. I admit that the best place to start is in one's youth BUT
>it is never too late to start if one is motivated. Grandma Moses was a
>world class painter who started when she was a senior citizen..Surely
>there can be Grandpa and Grandma Hams who can build from scratch!

I was talking about choosing a career. The anomaly
of a Grandma Moses is but a single case. Few people
change careers later in life. It is the YOUTH that we
must attract.

>I am generalizing about Hams as a group here...There will be exceptions
>to everything that both of us bring up BUT the main thrust is to see how
>the hobby is going to shape up as a result of the restructuring and I
>think that if we don't remember its GENERAL PURPOSE we will get lost.

No argument there. The difference is in
the expectations given today's technology
vs that of 40/50 years ago and the impact
that has had on hams, ham equipment, etc.

>> >The people with the most foresight are ahead of academia.
>>
>> How much does that happen in the electronics/radio
>> field? When/who was the last similar person to a Gates
>> in the field of radio?
>
>Armstrong, Farnsworth and lots of Hams who I could find whose works are
>well published. True they were not big financial successes, as Gates
>is, but their accomplishments are IMHO just as important.

How about someone that made their name in
the last 50 years.

>I don't think it matters because some young Hams are still able to do
>the same. What makes things more difficult these days is not that the
>technology is more complex but that a lot of production type jobs have
>moved out of the USA.

Which has nothing to do with ham radio
skills, knowledge, etc.

>It is likely that a production worker in a VCR factory or a Video camera
>factory or a computer disk drive factory will conceive of a method that
>can greatly improve a product or its method of production or its
>reliability or testing for same. Those who don't work in factories can
>never get that opportunity...academic education or none. This is why it
>is very important to keep factories and not just headquarters in the
>USA....but that is stll another subject that borders on being off-topic
>here although it could include Hams who could have the opportunity to be

>innovative in productive factory settings.

As you note...very off topic.

>> >> ...the facts are that the technology a
>> >> technician needs to know today FAR exceeds
>> >> what any ham license might call for in terms of knowledge.
>
>Hmmm...You neglect the fact that there are many practical levels of
>hardware component as well as software development. There are problems
>that can be solved by innovation at every level. Engineers and
>Technicians alike must be able to focus on individual real problems and
>come up with practical solutions...Nobody learns that in school but some
>learn it by hands-on experience. Sure we need a good theoretical
>background but if we don't flex it with practical exposure it is
>worthless.

Fine, motherhood and apple pie, but what's
that got to do with hams? See my comment above
about hams of today (the technical ones) being
more the systems engineer types.

>> >So make the Ham License Exams far exceed the
>> >dumbed down exams that presently exist!
>>
>> That is NOT the role of government
>> amateur radio licensing requirements.
>
>Ah but if goverment wants to define the Basis and Purpose of Ham Radio
>let them also examine Hams and wanna-be Hams in a manner that assures
>that at least the

BUT the basis and purposes is NOT expected to be
applied to ALL hams such that each and every ham
was obligated/committed to each separate goal
of the Service.

>> >> >The economics of employment is somewhat off-topic here
>> >> >but it is a shame when companies have to hire foreign technicians
>> >> >because they are unable to fill their needs with American born
>> >> >technicians.
>> >>
>> >> What are the technical skills of those foreign
>> >> technicians? Did they gain their skill and
>> >> knowledge as hams?
>> >
>> >Some did.
>
>And some learned it doing factory jobs that we exported.

Which has nothing to do with ham radio.

>> >Nor did they see hobby
>> >leaders as back-slapping politicians and salesmen...They respected
>> >educated leaders who formed their role models.
>>
>> Odds are they had NO contact with ham radio at
>> all, either as a hobby or otherwise.
>
>Not so in Japan which has more Hams per capita than the USA.

One of the only exceptions. Take a look at most other
countries.

>> And that is because there is NO need to
>> troubleshoot to the discrete level today. Field
>> repairs don't cut it any more. That is the same for
>> most consumer and industrial components.
>> Someone identifies a bad sound card in a PC they
>> get a new sound card. The cost to troubleshoot
>> that card (time and $$$ costs) just don't make
>> it economically.
>
>Someone might try to replace chips on the card if they knew what they
>were doing...believe me in commercial avionics shops where they repair
>black boxes that are used for flight safety components get replaced not
>just entire expensive boards. The military can afford to buy entire
>assemblies.

I have been a supervisor in telecommunications maintenance
and I would NOT want my techs doing component level
repairs. The circuit packs get sent out because that is the
most economic means to address repairs of defective packs.
Industry doesn't repair many boards at all because it costs
more to identify the bad components, do a repair, do a
post repair diagnostic check, etc than it does to just
produce the new circuit boards. Remember, most circuit
boards today are produced by robotics, not by
people. Indeed, for many boards, the tolerances couldn't
be met using hand fabrication.

>> >There however is much
>> >skill in being a military OPERATOR. The military does train excellent
>> >RADAR and SONAR operators as well as pilots. Exotic RADAR and SONAR
>> >equipment may take a lot more ability to operate efficiently than Ham
>> >transceivers. These operators do have a different but valuable level
>> >of expertise which can often be applied commercially. On top of that
>> >the military training builds confidence in 20-21 year old people
>> >that wouldn't tend to make them fear learning technical stuff when
>> >they need to. We should not speak bad of our military...They have
>> >more than kept up with the hardware that our industry continues to
>> >modify and provide.
>>
>> Why do you not mention the radio operator types?

>> They abound in many facets of military operations.
>
>Because modern communication can not require much more skill than
>operating a FAX machine if that communication equipment is current. You
>can see why the GMDSS equipment needs no dedicated operator at this time
>and telegraphy is dead.

There are many voice applications involved in emergency
support that reuire a good set of operating skills. Just
putting a mike in someone's hands doesn't make anyone
a skilled operator.

>> >> >> We don't need laws/regulations where we have no need
>> >> >> established. Government governs best when it
>> >> >> governs least.
>
>I don't agree.

I know. That's what results in our different
attitudes and opinions.

>> >Not selling Ham gear to non-Hams could work better than the dumb law
>> >about prohibiting linear amps that could tune to 10/11 meters
>> >did...simply because it is a simpler law in concept and much easier
>> >to monitor.
>>
>Hollingsworth has promised to enforce Part 97.

Hollingsworth doesn't have the time or resources to
go after what might be illegal hamfest sales (assuming
such a change in the law was made).

>> >Well look at it from a more dynamic point of view. How can you
>> >bootleg on a ham band if you don't have a license and you
>> >can't own a transmitter?
>>
>> Your response ignors the thousands of legitamate
>> hams who have owned their rigs BEFORE being licensed.
>
>People once owned slaves..That doesn't make it right.

?????? No idea what you are talking about.
You are saying that a prospective ham should not
be allowed to buy a transciever (HF or VHF) before
getting licensed. What might they then lsiten too
beforehand?

>> Indeed, today that may be more the case because the
>> vast majority of rigs are trancievers as opposed to
>> separate recievers and transmitters as was far more
>> common some 30 or more years ago. You would
>> want a law that would make it illegal for me to loan my
>> nephew my TS-690 to listen to short-wave and perhaps

>> never take an interest in ham radio.
>
>Maybe they should make more receivers with BFOs in them.

Surely you jest?

>> By the way, I also owned my own car before
>> I had a driver's license. Should that be illegal
>> too?
>
>Yes...Did you get insurance without a license??? I wouldn't
>have wanted to live near you at that time.

I didn't say I DROVE the car before being licensed.
I said I OWNED my own car. It sat in the driveway
for over 6 months before I got my license and then
had the car insured and tagged.

The same applies to a transmitter/transciever.
Nothing wrong with owning one without a license
as long as the unlicensed person doesn't
transmit.

On the same basis...should a ham that doesn't
have an Extra license be allowed to have a transciever
that can transmit i the Extra sub-bands?

>> >> >But what about the possibility of future electronics kit
>> >> >manufacturers? Are they doomed to be as extinct
>> >> >as the companies that made chemistry sets
>>
>> Are chemistry sets illegal? Are they not available today?
>> >> >and balsa-strut model airplanes?
>
>Can you tell me who markets chemistry sets today???

Seems that I have seen them at Christmas.
The point is...they are NOT illegal.

>> Buy a copy of a decent model airplane magazine and you'll
>> find such balsa-strut models are readily available today and
>> have always been so? Who told you they weren't anymore?
>
>They are no longer very popular.

That is simply not true. Just as CW has a dedicated
following in amateur radio, there is a dedicated following
of people that build and enjoy bals strut models.
You won't find them in the mall-shop operation, but
go to any GOOD hobby shop and you'll see the kits.

>> >> You comment as if the government has made it illegal
>> >> to sell kits. That is simply not true.

>> >> As to making kits available to "serious" kids...
>> >> are you suggesting they take an interest test first?
>> >
>The legalities vary in different locations. Manufacturers as well as
>Toy and hobby shops are also afraid of getting sued if accidents occur.
> This is a result of our latch-key society and unfortunately it can also
>keep youngsters from Ham Radio soldering.

Examples please. You are really going far off
track here.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

PS - Thanks for the positive discussion. The rest of
the RRAP stuff has really gone bonkers it seems.

4818. Re: Technical Q: Impedence Matching / Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gord Skiffington <skiffing@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <38B0BF0F.6614@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 118907
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Howdy Tomas....Based solely on my experience, I wouldn't think that your problem is due to mismatch...(assume you are using the 500 ohm setup of that MC-50 of course). Impedance is only part of the requirement, with level being the other....output level of a particular cartridge, the directional characteristics of the microphone assembly and to a lesser degree the response curve of the mic can affect its use with a different transmitter.....the response curve will really affect the sound of it when SSB (suppressed carrier) mode is used. If that was my project, I'd want to monitor myself (or preferably someone else you know) using the combination to see if the response is acceptable...if it is, merely increase the mic level to suit - if its still not enough you may have to consider another cartridge OR an added preamp with just enough gain to restore good RF power output response to the audio input. Best of Luck!

73 - Gord VE1AJF

4819. Using ULS - was Re: License renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002202335490.1681-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118908

On Sat, 19 Feb 2000, Larry W4CSC wrote:
> The instructions for using ULS are on the webpage. Basically, because
> of all the hacking, you'll be adding the FCC's 800 number dialin to
> your DUN on Windoze, then dialing into the FCC's own 800 number, not
> the internet. Once there, you'll use your browser to access the form
> and just click you want to renew. The appropriate spaces are filled
> in for you with some answers to simple questions and filling in the
> appropriate blanks. Bingo! You're renewed! Your new license will
> come about 8 days later because, so all the old bureaucrats still have
> a job rather than being simply replaced, they have to rubberstamp a
> bunch of paper to justify just sitting there watching the computer do
> everything.

Ever notice that all the instructions pertain to Micro$oft Windows systems and
that there are no instructions for how to use other systems to dial in?

[I'm not looking for instructions for other OS's or systems.]

4820. Re: Amateur Call Sign Data Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002202346280.1681-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118909

On 20 Feb 2000, KB6UDA wrote:
> Does anyone know were a call sign data base can be found on the web?

The FCC has the data available on their web site (it accesses the ULS directly). If you want to download it, go to ftp://ftp.fcc.gov/pub/Bureaus/Wireless/Databases/uls and pick the appropriate subdirectory and archive file(s).

[For amateur calls only:]
There are also several alternative servers, some by CD-ROM stampers (QRZ, Buckmaster, etc.), and some not (MIT). The UALR server went "bye-bye."

4821. Trunking - was Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002202340050.1681-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

[Why this message was posted to 5 newsgroups is a mystery. The reply is posted to the only two that are appropriate.]

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000, N9NWO wrote:
> Sony has just announced a Family Service Radio that "trunks". Once you
> enter a subaudiable tone code, the radio scanns the 14 channels looking
> for an open channel before transmitting. Everyone one else with the Sony
> radio in your group (and the same code) locks on to that frequency.
>
> Why can't we hams have trunked repeaters? One good site and several
> repeaters. Then trunk groups for the various segments of the community.

There's nothing that forbids that. If someone wants to take several commercial radios and convert them to one of our bands and put up a trunked system (and I would suggest using 33cm, simply because of its sparse population), go for it. It does mean using "n+1" frequency pairs (n voice pairs, plus 1 control pair).

4822. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <p9%r4.1267$Lg7.1149@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 118911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote in message <88nlbhcpm1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
>
>In rec.radio.scanner N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
>>Sorry, but the masses have already discovered the Internet.
>>They do not need amateur radio.
>
>Those poor, leashed folks. They're helplessly dependent upon
>untold billions of dollars of computer equipment belonging to
>thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions of miles
>of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens of
>thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
>running.
>
>Hams, on the other hand, have not such dependence. It's the *only*
>communications medium, in which any two operators anywhere in the
>world, can communicate directly without using government or commercial
>communication services. Those same two operators don't even need to
>purchase commercially-built radios -- they can build them themselves.
>
>Jeff

So what. You could say nearly the same about smoke signals. HAMS are
certainly dependent on the government providing them radio spectrum use.
Betcha not one in 10,000 hams could build even the most simple circuits.

4823. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88q4pu$2uh$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118912

Bush has admitted to being an ex-alcoholic. He was involved is a savings and loan scam. I think I read somewhere he got a bit rough with his wife. After Clinton, are you looking for integrity or for a Dumbalike Quayle with curly hai? McCain should be the G.O.P. choice if the G.O.P were smart but who said they were? Dumd dee dumb dumb. They better be careful or they're gonna get gored but good.

In article <20000220195845.14218.00000754@ng-ce1.aol.com>, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:

> NOT Hardly. BUSH would be the one. He sure has been good for Texas.

4824. Re: 7258 KHZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88q538$ri2$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118913

Davies ought to start a hyperlink society. That's all I ever see from the guy besides some copy and pastes of fringe loony tunes philosophy. Come on Lloyd-do some thinking on your own and stop depending on the other guy!

In article <4HAr4.15828$Ez.288293@news2.mia>, "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> http://ky-filters.com/save7258.htm
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>
>

4825. Re: AF2Y's getting ticked!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88q4s5$2uh$2@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118914

Hey Gesundheit-watch out now-you ain't talkin' to your wife!

In article <88bsdthqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Walter Gesundheit
<w2dne@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <8896g3$h19$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>> LEAVE W4JYZ ALONE OR ELSE!
>> AF2Y
>
> Phuckest thou.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4826. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <nospam@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.fq927e0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 118915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 06:27:18 GMT, Jim wrote:

>The FCC has been issuing NAL's to hams for years. Question is, will
>they ever make anybody actually pay up.....

"Paying up" is not under the control of the FCC. It's the US Attorney who handles that, and in my experience USAs in the major districts put such "affirmative collections" on the back burner after the "pay up or else" letters from the Justice Department unless the subject POs the FCC or the US Attorney's office or the Justice Department has some other handle on the subject (Federal employee, retiree, military, etc).

Their reluctance to charge forward with collections is excused away by "lack of staff" and is a scandal that I tried to get changed years ago when I was doing the FCC end of regulatory forfeitures (NALs), It's like hitting your head against a a brick wall.

The law has now been changed to provide that if the forfeiture is levied as a result of an evidentiary hearing before an FCC Admin Law Judge, the subject has had his "day in court" due process and USA can turn the debt over to a collection agency which can start garnishment and civil seizure on their own.

Have no doubt, though ... the USA's office can and will go all the way if they want to. Not paying is like Russian Roulette.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

4827. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10002202211170.25066-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 118916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000, Vicopolous wrote:

> Canada and Australia could follow the US in reducing the Morse code

> The United Kingdom and Sweden also have adopted 5 WPM as the Morse code
> examination requirement for access to the full HF amateur spectrum. The
> South African Telecommunications Regulatory Authority is said to be

.... and DL has reverted not too long ago from some 20+ years of having a slow code (6 wpm) code exam. It is 12 wpm only now. Three minutes solid copy, plus three minutes solid sending.

Just to complete the picture.....

4828. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tb2s4.1563$Wv2.23920@news2.mia>
Source record: 118917

YOU LEAVE DAVID ALONE!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <88q4nm$6dp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <88p8k3$f2v$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Tolassi reminds me of simp of an imp whose better days are long gone.
>I read
>> much about his callsign being dropped from the FCC database in hopes
>of his
>> ham radio demise altogether. I think not. His call was deleted
>because it
>> signified an ADVANCED but when he was called in for re-testing, he
>aced just
>> the General portion.
>>
>> ----------
>> In article <88gnhbuig1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
>wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > LOL..keep watching the Rain Report.....
>> > That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead of
>> > causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that didn't
>> > even key their mikes.
>>
>I'm sorry....I just cain't resist. Makes you wonder how much he payed
>the first time around don't it? Guess the "good buddy" wasn't
>available when he retested......David Tolassi THE INCREDIBLE SHRINKING
>BRAIN. Oh and BTW I'm waiting with baited breath for the next shoe to
>drop. Bye dipshit.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>--
>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

4829. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Zc2s4.1568$Wv2.23920@news2.mia>
Source record: 118918

Was'nt Neil Armstrong or John Glenn involved in that too?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
KK7HO wrote in message <20000220211956.17541.00000816@ng-fw1.aol.com>...
>Oh by the way - Mccain was involved in the Keating 5 scandal - you know -
the
>one where all of the savings and loans went belly up. He managed to arm
twist
>his way out of it. I lived in AZ for 14 years, not taking anything from
his
>mil. service but, I haven't seen him do a damn thing for the state.

4830. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manuel Perry <mperry5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38B0BA22.A5720FEE@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Do us all a favor and turn it in now.

4831. Re: Kenwood TM-261A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry <K6ME@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <466s4.5246$84.588276@alfalfa.thegrid.net>
Source record: 118920

Great rig, easy to operate and nice audio (my opinion only)
73s: K6ME

4832. WTB: UNIDEN HR 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eagle One <zbr@edmail.com>
Message-ID: <zfXr4.1877$l06.199830@news1.primary.net>
Source record: 118921
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

I want to buy your new or used Uniden HR 2510 10 meter radios. Please include your asking price on first e-mail. Please e-mail me at: radiobuyer@hotmail.com

4833. Re: Proposed license restructuring for 2001.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88nfoa$h9e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118957

In article <88l3qmahe1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
Dale Harper <docdc@ix.netcom.com@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> In article <38ADF862.AB28CFF0@icss.net>,
> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>
> >Larry W4CSC wrote:
> >
> >> Dream on, sport. When ITU dumps code requirements, I suspect FCC
will
> >> do the same. I believe they would have dumped the code test all
> >> together if it hadn't been an international requirement we agreed
to
> >> follow. It'll be dumped next time, ARRL or no ARRL......
> >>
> >> No, I suspect, before this is over you might see 2 classes of ham
> >> license.....Tech and Amateur.....split right at 30 mhz.
> >>
> >> FCC has had enough of this nonsense of Extras who think they are
> >> "better" and mostly untouchable acting like little Hitlers on the
> >> bands. Making ALL amateurs the SAME would remove a lot of
enforcement
> >> problems. The egotistical maniacs make a lot of trouble for them.
> >> We're putting the kids in school in little uniforms to make them
> >> "alike". They'll do the same for ham radio....and are already
doing
> >> it.
> >>
> >> Larry W4CSC.....Where DID I leave my fire extinguisher?
> >
> >These OF's already have their voice and cw keyers programmed for, "I
used to
> >be an xxxx class ham." And, "I took my exams at the FCC office." It
won't
> >die until they do.
> >
>
> I intend to live a long time.
> Dale
> KC8FAQ

Thats better than planning on not living long. So you took your exam at
the FCC office and the KC8 is an Extra vanity call?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4834. Re: James Vincent O'brien III (UNCOOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ovnd$f18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118958

210 lbs LARDASS Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> slobbered in message
news:01Mr4.17003$Ez.318837@news2.mia...
> It's Roger Wideass's way to clogg up the NG, 2 years ago, he did
this same

"Clog," you illiterate moron. Hey Lloyd, how long have you been trying
to pass the
5 WORDS PER MINUTE code test now, 7-8 YEARS??????????????
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
N0VPF, the "eternal technician".....

> crap and the NG was UNUSABLE for 2 months!

Lloyd, you forgot about YOUR off-topic posts and racist posts you
copied from some website that YOU posted on here.

Not to mention your "I'm looking for a nice southern gal" post, where
you admit you are a lardassed, toothless bubba, driving around in a
rusted out pickup truck and couldn't get laid if his life depended upon
it.
BTW, I thought you wanted to end this war? Hypocrite.

> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> John the pedophile Bowzers whelped in message <8zqqhf$XX10
$2@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >On Sat Feb 19 14:22:21 2000 GMT, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> >: Suckboy John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> sucked and swallowed AMP
and
> >: whined in message news:8zqqhf$XX08$2@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >: > (1) Get a newsreader which uses a References header. It will
make
> >: your
> >: > ignorant posts thread properly with other posts so that we can
all get
> >: > nauseated in context. As is is now, your posts don't get
threaded;
> >:
> >: Hey queer, I'm using Outlook Express 5, and IE 5. Get over it you
dumb
> >: bastard. It's not my fault you're such a computer and Internet
> >: newbie.............You're such a fuckhead, Bowsers.
> >: What Paul did was ok in my book. It was quite funny.

> >
> >You probably think Saddam Hussein is a real neat guy too.

No, but I hear you like Adolf Hitler. You have a shrine to him in your hovel.

> >Bwa ha ha ha ha haaaaa! You STILL aren't generating a References
> >header, but I guess a newbie like you doesn't even know how to look for
> >it.

As long as I can piss YOU off, it's worth the extra work NOT to. Besides, Deja doesn't allow that even under power post...I read and answer offline, you obviously don't so who's the dumbass now?
> >: > (2) go back and reread your original post on Deja, idiotboy. You were
> >: > NOT misquoted.
> >: >
> >: > Sheese!!!
> >:
> >: Liar.
> >
> >Scared to death to go back and look? Naww: I think you're just too
> >dumb to know how.
> >
> >End of discussion.

Pussy. No endurance......

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4835. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ovtn$f30$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118959

Poor DIMMY.....sniff some more cocaine.....CONVICT.

--
73 de KC8JBO/Roger

<mr__cracker@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8zsshg$XZ02
$1@nnrp2.deja.com...
> On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 01:28:07 -0500, "Grumpee" <Crabby@oldmail.com>
wrote:
>
> : Wah! Wah! Wah! If you post any copyrighted photos of me or any
members of
> : my dysfunctional family I will sue you pursuant to law # 99-OU812.
> : (Does anybody know any attorneys who will let me make weekly
payments?)
>
> there is bound to be an attorney there in elkheart who will do that.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4836. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <kd7bfx@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <38AFD6B7.2458FD8@mindspring.com>
Source record: 118960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Unlikely, and here's why: HF is inherently an international communications medium. Propagation across borders is the norm. As a result, the HF spectrum is allocated, regulated, and controlled by treaty. The commercial interests of firms in the US (or the UK, Japan, or the EU for that matter) aren't going to alter the consensus of signatory nations (which includes virtually all nations) that HF is properly used for international broadcast, maritime, military, scientific, and amateur purposes.

I don't know which "(new) communications equipment, able to work in the noisier 'Amateur' bands" is vaguely being referred to, but all the DSP power and clever keying-schemes in the world doesn't solve the further technical problems of antenna size, power/efficiency, and bandwidth requirements. The wireless industry hasn't expressed *any* serious interest in the 2-30 MHz range. They recognize the regulatory and diplomatic obstacles, but also can see how impractical cell phones (or wireless RF modems/internet connections, PDAs, pagers, and whatever the next "it" gadget turns out to be) in the 14 MHz range would be! Remember that conventional cellular systems use 50 MHz of UHF spectrum (PCS/digital phones use nearly 200 MHz), so noise, antenna, and regulatory issues notwithstanding, the whole of HF affords only half of the capacity of the current analog cellular band. In even the worst case scenario, the ITU and FCC will never push all broadcast, military, maritime, scientific, and amateur users off HF to make so little room for a commercial venture. Much greater bandwidth, many GHz worth, at line-of-sight frequencies that are not as hotly contested is available up-spectrum.

The short answer is that license restructuring and the numbers of amateurs using HF have little to do with the fate of that allocation. The code requirement was changed because there was no longer a compelling rationale for it, no more or less than that. CW is enjoying a vigorous resurgence regardless and independent of its status as a license pre-requisite, as checking the bands will amply demonstrate any time you care to listen from .075 and down. The actual use of Morse code is also a popular topic for magazine articles, and the ham marketplace is overflowing with *new* CW-oriented products: keys, keyers, QRP kits, QRQ training aids, etc. With any luck, the amateur community will settle down a bit once the new changes are in effect for a while, and all the Chicken Littles, on all sides, can go back to sleep, and everyone else can get back on the air and back to the workbench, and reserve our anxiety for whether we will catch the next big 6-meter opening.

73 de Will KD7BFX

Andy wrote:

> But, considering the current over-crowded spectrum which we enjoy to Listen
> to as "Radio" communications, Watch as "television" communications, and
> support as "Public Service" communications, it won't be long before better
> communications equipment, able to work in the noiser "Amateur" bands is
> available for license. Then the strong Financial Lobby called "Enterprise"
> and "Public Interest" will begin taking a closer look at the current
> "Amateur" bands as underutilized and request the FCC redress and reassign
> the bands under the guise of "Public Interest" This of course will mean a
> loss of precious communications spectrum to the highest bidder, as has
> happened in other areas of the spectrum.

4837. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <88pmvo$tg5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38aada8b.10832391@news.interactive.net>,
billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Feb 2000 19:48:43 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> wrote:
>
> >In article <38a75e17.13809624@news.interactive.net>,
> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:
> >> On Sat, 12 Feb 2000 03:47:51 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >In article <38a18e12.12621814@news.interactive.net>,
> >> > billsohl@interactive.net wrote:

> I was a ham first...in high school. Did ham radio influence
> my career choice? Absolutely!

OK Bill then we do have a lot in common. If anything we both should be
able to see that the hobby of Ham Radio can lead to a carteer,
especially when it motivates the curiosity of a young person.
> >> >NOT
> >> >for every Ham but maybe for the kids who become Hams even when
their
> >> >parents and teachers try to discourage them from what they believe
to
> >> >be an expensive hobby.
On top of the family and teacher discouragement we also must recognize
the distractions that tend to steer youngsters away from Ham Radio.
IMHO the Novice and Tech classes would never have been initiated if it
were not believed that kids who were watching the TV that became popular
in the late 40s and early 50s were greatly distracted from our hobby by
television viewing. Today the restructuring is obviously a try at
combating the distraction of home PCs! BUT IMHO the home PC is
potentially a much greater distraction than TV because like Ham Radio it
is interactive.
> >> I have never met anyone that so discouraged any youth
> >> from becoming a ham.
I have because I have probably come from a poorer background. I never
got an allowance when I was a kid and I had to work for anything that I
wanted myself or search the dumps for discarded radios.
> >I have had High School teachers tell me that I was wasting my time
and
> >should be working harder on what they believed to be more in the area
> >of academic college preparation. A biology teacher said that no
hobby

> >should come before school work...which at that time involved
memorizing
> >the Latin names for lots of fauna and flora that I succeeded in
> >doing...but have since been of no real use to me and I have
forgotten.
Other teachers didn't even want me to advertise my Radio & TV Repair
business in school. They could not believe that I was capable of doing
such work, because it was not taught to me in a tech school.
> That is far different than discouraging you as you discrbed above.
> Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
> too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
> thought they already knew it all...and/or because they spent
> too much time hamming and not studying.
This can be true. On the other hand it can also be true that teachers
can not understand the methods that practical hams learn to use. I was
one of the few students who solved a Smith Chart problem correctly on a
take-home exam in a college course in Electromagnetics. My instructor
accused me of cheating because he did not understand the method I used.
He was unwilling to let me show him a method that was better than his
which required fewer steps. I had a similar problem in a Random
Variables course when I solved probability problems using methods that
were not in the text book. I could go on and on. Sometimes it is best
to keep quiet...but I have often made the dumb mistake of trying to
teach my teachers and that can be fatal...especially if on occasion I am
proven wrong myself!
> >My parents didn't want me to spend time and money on Ham radio nor to
> >cause TVI to the neighbors in the apartment house we were living in.
> >They were afraid that my antennas would cause the landlord to raise
our
> >rent.
>
> Luckily mine encouraged me to be a ham.

I can only guess that they were either much more affluent than my
parents OR they were glad that you didn't spend time and money on
something worse.
> If you didn't spend time and money on ham radio, what
> did your parents want you to spend time and money on?
> As for the TVI worry and rent, that makes no sense.

What money???

> >At this point we don't differ...BUT why can't you understand that the
> >GOALS of the Basis and Purpose of Ham Radio include encouraging young
> >people, who want to be Hams, to use what they learn as Hams to
> >advantage in their chosen careers if practical. I admit that this
can
> >not apply to all Hams BUT we can see that it does apply to many Hams,
> >including you and I, to at least some extent.
>
> Agreed. I, however, see YOU wanting the technical

> aspect of the Basis and Purpose to be forced on all
> hams (or a large majority of hams). THAT is where we
> differ...some will, not all or most must.

No I do not want to force any individual Hams to do anything. I only want those Hams who can do so to be able to qualify in a manner that will give Ham Radio the respect that it deserves and thus give more credit to the value of the hobby for all hams and wanna-be hams. The ARRL is going to institute a series of more advanced qualification exams, but unfortunately these exams will not be a part of the FCC Licensing structure. I would like to see a place on the Extra Class Ham ticket for adding these qualifications as OFFICIAL ENDORSEMENTS. I know the FCC wants to keep their data base simple. Recently the ARRL has had to ask them to show the difference between a Tech license (T) and a Tech Plus license (P) which they did not seem to understand. They just wanted to start identifying all Techs with the same letter after April 15th.
It would take a lot of doing to get them to add endorsements to their data base
BUT IMHO this is not an impossible task and would certainly be worth the effort involved.

> >> More words than anything of substance. Those of
> >> us that are hams today must be the example. FCC
> >> rules won't and don't do anything but set the playing field.
> >
> >By "productive way" I can mean any or all the subparagraphs of our
> >Basis and Purpose.
> >POTENTIAL ETHICAL WORK VALUES are an
> >abstraction BUT they are just as
> >much of substance as "Family Values" which is also IMHO an
> >abstraction. Both values are conceptual and can only be fully
defined
> >by those who understand them from a conceptual point of view.
>
> None of this has any place in government rules
> and regulations for ham licenses. That it MIGHT
> play some role in the lives of some hams is just
> a byproduct of the process. The government
> ham license requirements are not and should not
> be viewed as being some type of personal
> litmus test for subjective work, family values
> or ethics.
>
> >> > Today many Ham think that building anything
> >> >from scratch would be near impossible.
> >>
> >> It depends on what you want the finished product
> >> to accomplish. The bottom line is that you can't
> >> go home again to the 50s. Technical mprovements,
> >> advances, etc have forclosed MOST home

> >> brewing of major elements (e.g. recievers and or
> >> transmitters).
I would hope that you would read the journals of TAPR and look at some of the stuff that they are doing and the kits that they are making available so that others can do the same. We must look at this and not be stuck in old technologies alone. We must look to the Hams who are working closer to today's state of the art because we can most likely expect tomorrows ham technology can come from them. Ham Radio will merge technically with other communication techniques that are not all to be found first in any rice-boxes or imported VHF HTs.

> >No. You can't go back to the 50s and in the future nobody can go back
> >to 2000 either. IMHO no technology will ever eliminate the ability
to
> >home-brew...BUT if the future Hams are not given incentive to learn
and
> >confidence that they can home-brew then we can not expect
home-brewing
> >to become more popular than it has been in recent years. Putting more
> >circuits on a silicon substrate makes things easier NOT more
difficult.

I want to emphasize the above and I hope that younger Hams with new blood and curiosity will understand that Ham technology involves more than superhetradios or SSB and FM transceivers.
> The REASON home brewing has fallen from favor
> is because the end results don't provide the reason
> to do so. There is NO significant (if any) cost savings,
> there is not a likly better end result, etc.

I don't think that people home brew just to save money. When I cook a Chinese dinner it costs me a lot more than it would if I ate out in a Chinese restaurant. I do it partly because I want to know all of the ingredients of the dinner. If I build something I want to know what all the components of it are like and control their individual selection. The same is true if I assemble my own PC from boards and assemblies rather than opt for purchasing a complete system.
> > We can not expect to popularize something that publishers, such as
> >the ARRL, may not themselves be particularly motivatted to encourage.
>
> Why wouldn't ARRL be motivated to publish home-brew
> articles (assuming there was any readership demand for
> them)?

They are interested in the majority of their readers for the most part and will try to devote most of their space to them. It is also true that AMSAT and TAPR Hams will publish in their own periodicals...and even the ARRL has periodicals that are more technical than QST.

> >True there are no Hugo Gernsbacks to encourage folks by telling them
> >how easy it is to listen to the world on a two tube SW radio BUT
there

> >are those who can see profit in selling Yaesu, Kenwood and ICOM
radios,
> >which in my opinion can only help Hams to become appliance operators.
>
> And what is so terrible if that is what happens?
> How many people home repair their autos anymore...
> because GM, Ford, Toyata, etc have developed the
> car to an engineering level that surpasses back-yard
> mechanic's skill levels?
Again you have made a poor analogy. Autos are a necessity of life as
opposed to a hobby. The folks who are into autos as a hobby do know
more about them from a technical point of view and may be involved in
seeking high performance or even repairing or modifying autos
themselves. If you want to be an appliance operator then get a CB, FRS
unit or cell phone. If you want to be a Ham and you can't build from
scratch at least understand how your equipment works and know how to
repair and maintain it.

> >There are lots of Hams, who I have recently spoken to, who agree that
> >the license restructuring will serve to make more Ham appliance
> >operators who we do not believe in the Ham values that formed our
> >original purpose nor will future Hams, as a group, continue the
legacy
> >which IMHO has made Ham Radio what it was and is today.
>
> This is where you draw a conclusion that does not
> derive from your premise. You assume, incorrectly,
> that all appliance ops will not embark on learning
> anything about theory and/or won't follow a career
> path that you and I did. I think such a conclusion
> ignores reality.

I can not speak about ALL individuals of any group BUT I can see that
the licensing restructuring will certainly permit the inclusion of even
more appliance OPs than ever before.

> As for career choices, I will note that unless we
> bring in YOUTH as hams, then it is too late to
> influence career choice once the person is in
> their mid 20's or older.

Yes and no. I admit that the best place to start is in one's youth BUT
it is never too late to start if one is motivated. Grandma Moses was a
world class painter who started when she was a senior citizen..Surely
there can be Grandpa and Grandma Hams who can build from scratch!

> >> And those that do progress to the limits of the state of the
present
> >> art are NOT going to do so on their own. They will have
> >> embarked on an academic and/or career path to that end.
> >> You comment as if no one at all is doing or progressing
> >> beyond the license knowledge. That is simply not true.

I am generalizing abouT Hams as a group here...There will be exceptions to everything that both of us bring up BUT the main thrust is to see how the hobby is going to shape up as a result of the restructuring and I think that if we don't remember its GENERAL PURPOSE we will get lost.

> >Maybe most - but not all. Just remember that Bill Gates dropped out of
> >college because he understood that what he knew made him more valuable
> >than what he could expect to learn by finishing college. This is always
> >the case.
>
> Bill Gates is a very unique individual. Right person,
> right time, new technology, no acaedmic preparation
> needed to enter the field, etc. Gates is NO comparison for
> ham radio in general.

Agreed that Gates is an individual unique case BUT why can't there be other similar cases of individual Hams who are inspired by the right technology at the right time. Surely such individuals would most likely not be appliance operators.

> >The people with the most foresight are ahead of academia.
>
> How much does that happen in the electronics/radio
> field? When/who was the last similar person to a Gates
> in the field of radio?

Armstrong, Farnsworth and lots of Hams who I could find whose works are well published. True they were not big financial successes, as Gates is, but their accomplishments are IMHO just as important.

> >That is maybe why Tom Edison dropped out of elementry school. It
> >doesn't take a genius to understand that there will never be another
> >Bill Gates in the USA. Now all the big software projects will be run
> >in Bombay, India. BUT he was there at the crucial TIME. On a lesser
> >scale. I probably would not have had any opportunity if I dinn't get
> >that first job in a NJ TV factory as a troubleshooter if TV sets
> >weren't being made in NJ....and I might not have progressed even with
> >my Ham and commercial FCC licenses.
>
> Another time, another place. You are comparing
> apples and oranges. Do you really think some
> breakthrough radio technology is going to suddenly
> pop up via a ham with no formal (post highschool)
> education?

I wouldn't be so greatly surprised. Forest Mimms who isn't a Ham discovered that LEDs could be used to both send and receive light signals. He was able to beat Bell Labs out of their pattent because he did it first working at home, with just a few diodes that he got from TI to play with.

> >> You only identify why some hams, as students, might do better
> >> than others. That's no real asset to academia.
> >
> >Then what is it??? Hams also become teachers and lab managers.
>
> So? They don't become teachers or lab managers
> without formal education, however. The ham license
> as a youth may help direct their career choice...and I
> don't think we can expect any more than that.
>
> >> Any college can give advanced credit for knowledge
> >> that is shown or previously learned. People take
> >> preplacement/advanced placement tests all the time.
> >
> >Yep and a Ham who can show actual equipment that he has built and
> >technical articles that he has had published would be in a position
to
> >provide verification.
>
> How many hams have ever published an article
> as a pre-college youth? Who has ever been asked
> to bring in one of their home-brewed creations to
> provide verification?
Hmmm....maybe we should ask the editors of all of the Ham pubs.
> >The point is that HAM projects can form real parts of proof of self
> >study. The reputation of all hams has been continuously been
> >downgraded...Sometimes by Hams themselves.
>
> The reputation hasn't been downgraded, the technology
> and the expanse in knowledge to be competent today
> has considerably upgraded (expanded) beyond the
> level of what a technician was in the 50s. The same thing
> is true for auto mechanics today. Such changes do NOT
> mandate higher license requirements to be able to
> operate a ham station, however.
>
> >With the new R&O things can
> >only be expected to get worse.
>
> Worse is how you look at things. Different
> perhaps, but not worse or better as abstract
> judgements.
>
> >> >> >> To industry?
> >> >
> >> >I became a factory television troubleshooter without ever going to
a
> >> >technical school. I advanced to become a test equipment
constructor
> >> >and then to be a government lab engineering technician.
> >>

> >> How long ago was that?
I don't think it matters because some young Hams are still able to do the same. What makes things more difficult these days is not that the technology is more complex but that a lot of production type jobs have moved out of the USA.
> >It makes no difference except that now there might be more
> >opportunities to do such things in Asia or Mexico than in the USA.
>
> Sure it makes a difference because the same opportunity
> is NOT at all likly today in the first place.

It is likely that a production worker in a VCR factory or a Video camera factory or a computer disk drive factory will conceive of a method that can greatly improve a product or its method of production or its reliability or testing for same. Those who don't work in factories can never get that opportunity...academic education or none. This is why it is very important to keep factories and not just headquarters in the USA....but that is stll another subject that borders on being off-topic here although it could include Hams who could have the opportunity to be innovative in productive factory settings.

> >> ...the facts are that the technology a
> >> technician needs to know today FAR exceeds
> >> what any ham license might call for in terms of knowledge.
Hmmm...You neglect the fact that there are many practical levels of hardware component as well as software development. There are problems that can be solved by innovation at every level. Engineers and Technicians alike must be able to focus on individual real problems and come up with practical solutions...Nobody learns that in school but some learn it by hands-on experience. Sure we need a good theoretical background but if we don't flex it with practical exposure it is worthless.
> >So make the Ham License Exams far exceed the
> >dumbed down exams that presently exist!
>
> That is NOT the role of government
> amateur radio licensing requirements.
Ah but if goverment wants to define the Basis and Purpose of Ham Radio let them also examine Hams and wanna-be Hams in a manner that assures that at least the
> >>...and the days of component level technicians
> >> is history in all but very limited applications of electronics
> >> to both consumer and buisness products.
> >BUT we can not assume that even newer technologies will make more use
> >of troubleshooting abilities. I just read an article about automotive
> >mechanics who are learning to be computer troubleshooters. Their
> >ability is based on their LOGICAL thinking and can be applied to
> >different technologies if need be.
>
> EXCELLENT!

> >> >The economics of employment is somewhat off-topic here
> >> >but it is a shame when companies have to hire foreign technicians
> >> >because they are unable to fill their needs with American born
> >> >technicians.
> >>
> >> What are the technical skills of those foreign
> >> technicians? Did they gain their skill and
> >> knowledge as hams?
> >
> >Some did.
And some learned it doing factory jobs that we exported.
> Bull. Sorry to be so blunt, but I truly don't believe that
> at all. I have not met any such example of a foriegn
> born technician that gained the technical skills via
> ham radio.
>
> >Others gained abilities because they knew that they must
> >study hard and work hard to survive and that they couldn't depend on
> >expecting others to do everything for them.
>
> Absolutely the case.
>
> >Nor did they see hobby
> >leaders as back-slapping politicians and salesmen...They respected
> >educated leaders who formed their role models.
>
> Odds are they had NO contact with ham radio at
> all, either as a hobby or otherwise.
Not so in Japan which has more Hams per capita than the USA.
> >> >There are certain levels of employees needed who are at
> >> >intermediate levels. By that I mean the levels that exist between
> >> >unskilled workers and degreed engineers...The jobs that are often
> >> >filled by "super-techs" who can get things done independently.
Often
> >> >the type of people who fill such jobs are Hams or people who have
> >> >learned from "do-it-yourself" technical hobbies.
> >>
> >> Not these days.
Even today!
> >To an even greater extent EVEN TODAY!
>
> Examples please.
>
> >> And the same thing can happen today. Are you
> >> saying it never happens anymore? Consider too
> >> that new entrants into the military are not from the
> >> ranks of individuals who are any older than
> >> 20 or 21 years of age.
> >
> >This I agree with. The military "repair" schools are not as

ambitious
> >as in the past and the military are not able to troubleshoot to the
> >discrete component level at the present time.
>
> And that is because there is NO need to
> troubleshoot to the discrete level today. Field
> repairs don't cut it any more. That is the same for
> most consumer and industrial components.
> Someone identifies a bad sound card in a PC they
> get a new sound card. The cost to troubleshoot
> that card (time and $$$ costs) just don't make
> it economically.
Someone might try to replace chips on the card if they knew what they were doing...believe me in commercial avionics shops where they repair black boxes that are used for flight safety components get replaced not just entire expensive boards. The military can afford to buy entire assemblies.
> >There however is much
> >skill in being a military OPERATOR. The military does train excellent
> >RADAR and SONAR operators as well as pilots. Exotic RADAR and SONAR
> >equipment may take a lot more ability to operate efficiently than Ham
> >transceivers. These operators do have a different but valuable level
> >of expertise which can often be applied commercially. On top of that
> >the military training builds confidence in those 20-21 year old
people
> >that wouldn't tend to make them fear learning technical stuff when
they
> >need to. We should not speak bad of our military...They have more
than
> >kept up with the hardware that our industry continues to modify and
> >provide.
>
> Why do you not mention the radio operator types?
> They abound in many facets of military operations.
Because modern communication can not require much more skill than operating a FAX machine if that communication equipment is current. You can see why the GMDSS equipment needs no dedicated operator at this time and telegraphy is dead.
> >> Using different protocols should NOT be limited by
> >> license class. To do so would make no
> >> technical sense at all.
> >
> >Did I say anything about who or what class should use new protocols?
> >What I am referring to is - who should lobby the FCC about what new
> >protocols need to be recognized and standardized.
>
> The FCC listens to anybody. No license needed at all.
> New protocols, except as they may be used in
> radio transmission (hams, cellular, cordless, TV, etc.)
> fall (for the most part) totally outside the perview of

> the FCC in the first place, There is no FCC involvement
> in what digital protocols or transmission schemes are
> used in cable systems and or lightguide transport for
> example.

> >> >> We don't need laws/regulations where we have no need
> >> >> established. Government governs best when it
> >> >> governs least.
I don't agree.
> >How can we know what the needs of the future will bring. Did the
> >blacksmiths of yesteryear know how big our interstate superhighway
> >system would have to become?
>
> I haven't a clue what that means in relation to my comments.

> >> So what "potentially dangerous condition" is the FCC
> >> ignoring in the announced restructuring?
> >
> >They are ignoring the fact that they are ignoring things that they
are
> >too stupid to understand because they do not think like technologists
> >or technocrats but like marketers.
>
> Assuming you are correct...I repeat my question:
> What "potentially dangerous condition" is the FCC
> ignoring in the announced restructuring?
>
> What is the "dangerous" result of the FCC thinking
> as you claim it to be?
>
> >> So are you now suggesting internet licensing?
> >> You have NOT offered even ONE absolute safety
> >> issue to justify additional ham licening.
> >
> >I dunno if we should have to license all internet users BUT maybe we
> >need to license all internet technicians and even hackers.
>
> Just what we need. The government stopped
> licensing technicians that do radio repair and that has
> not resulted in any problems. Do you really believe
> there is any need at all for "big brother" to get
> into regulation of internet technicians? Additionally,
> what would a "licensed" internet technician be
> allowed to do that non-licensed internet folks would,
> therefore, be prohibited from doing?
>
> >> And just what preliminary knowledge have those
> >> techs brought with them before you had them
> >> under your instruction?
> >
> >Very varied and their employment opportunities were very varied as

well.
>
> Very non-specifc answer.
>
> >Ham Radio IMHO has gone down the drain for the past 50 years and if
the
> >new structure is anything like I expect it will become, it will now
go
> >down the drain much more rapidly than in the past.
>
> Only by your assessment because you have expectations
> that don't fit the 2000 technology.
>
> >> >> I repeat...we don't need MORE laws UNLESS there is
> >> >> both a well documented PROBLEM...and a high
> >> >> degree of certainty that the proposed NEW law will
> >> >> solve that problem.
> >
> >That is what the gun buffs have said and unfortunately they want to
> >carry that opinion to Ham Radio as well. I don't buy it from a
> >political or a technical position.
>
> I don't own a gun, but I am smart enough to know that
> the liberal anti-gun lobby totally ignors the current
> laws that exist. They want NO guns at all. Sorry, I
> don't buy that either. More to the point, you have not
> indicated ANY known problem that warrants any federal
> law to prohibit non-hams from buying, selling or otherwise
> owning ham radio transmitting equipment...and that has
> been the case since the first hams came about.
>
> >Not selling Ham gear to non-Hams could work better than the dumb law
> >about prohibiting linear amps that could tune to 10/11 meters
> >did...simply because it is a simpler law in concept and much easier
to
> >monitor.
>
Hollingsworth has promised to enforce Part 97.
>
> >> >Why would a Ham want to help a non-ham get on-
> >> >the air to bootleg?
> >>
> >> YOU are assuming that as soon as someone gets a rig
> >> they will be bootleging. False assumption.
> >
> >Well look at it from a more dynamic point of view. How can you
bootleg
> >on a ham band if you don't have a license and you can't own a
> >transmitter?
>

> Your response ignors the thousands of legitamate
> hams who have owned their rigs BEFORE being licensed.

People once owned slaves..That doesn't make it right.

> Indeed, today that may be more the case because the
> vast majority of rigs are trancievers as opposed to
> separate recievers and transmitters as was far more
> common some 30 or more years ago. You would
> want a law that would make it illegal for me to loan my
> nephew my TS-690 to listen to short-wave and perhaps
> never take an interest in ham radio.

Maybe they should make more receivers with BFOs in them.

> By the way, I also owned my own car before
> I had a driver's license. Should that be illegal
> too?

Yes...Did you get insurance without a license??? I wouldn't
have wanted to live near you at that time.

> >> >But what about the possibility of future electronics kit
> >> >manufacturers? Are they doomed to be as extinct
> >> >as the companies that
> >> >made chemistry sets
>
> Are chemistry sets illegal? Are they not available today?
> >> >and balsa-strut model airplanes?

Can you tell me who markets chemistry sets today???
> Buy a copy of a decent model airplane magazine and you'll
> find such balsa-strut models are readily available today and
> have always been so? Who told you they weren't anymore?

They are no longer very popular.

> >> You comment as if the government has made it illegal
> >> to sell kits. That is simply not true.
> >> As to making kits available to "serious" kids...
> >> are you suggesting they take an interest test first?
> >
The legalities vary in different locations. Manufacturers as well as
Toy and hobby shops are also afraid of getting sued if accidents occur.
This is a result of our latch-key society and unfortunately it can also
keep youngsters from Ham Radio soldering.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Innovation Learned Productively)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4838. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <88ookg$15d$0@208.207.71.131>
Source record: 118962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kim W5TIT wrote:
>
> Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in article
> <nMer4.17520$po2.179857@news1.mia>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote ...
> >
> > Someone hopping over to the lower .025s and thinking that they will get dx
> > to answer them at 5 wpm is dreaming. It may happen once in a while, but the
> > typical speed for the dx is 20 wpm and above. The same is true for regional
> > and area traffic nets. They typically operate at 20 wpm and above.
>
> I just know you are not implying that an emergency message would be ignored at
> less than your 20 wpm. I just know you're not saying that. If that is
> so...better left unsaid than at 20 wpm? C'mon....
>
> Kim W5TIT

So NOW you bring in emergency communications on CW, huh?
After all your drivel about "I hate CW and will NEVER use
it blah blah blah", you seem to leap at the "opportunity"
to try to criticise those who use it well.

Of course, as a new memorize-it-all ham you really can't be
expected to know that emergency calls are NEVER ignored,
whatever or wherever they occur. But darn, how you gonna
understand what an emergency call is on CW when you can't
copy it???

4839. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <88oq5q$15d$3@208.207.71.131>
Source record: 118963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Art Harris wrote:
>
> In article <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use
> choice
> > segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been
> prohibited
> > from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we
> cannot
> > communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow
> generals
> > and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm
> CQ's to
> > encourage them along
> > and help them build up their code speeds.
>
> You know, you bring up an interesting point. The FCC/ARRL always say
> that the examination requirements should correlate to the privileges
> earned. But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
> ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
> that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.

Not strange at all, Art. It's the output of a regulatory
agency which has only the most vague idea of what it is they
are regulating, and really couldn't care less about the
results.

4840. Gonset GSB100 Tube Transmitter Schematic & Transformer Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nyles & Karen Priest <npriest@tcccom.net>
Message-ID: <38b0a165.0@windy.powercom.net>
Source record: 118964

I have an old Gonset GSB100 (Formally Burbank, Calif) tube type transmitter that the main power multitap/winding transformer went out on. I am looking for a transformer or a schematic so I can come up with a replacement. Can anyone help?
Nyles npriest@tcccom.net

4841. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38AFF69F.C456191E@icss.net>
Source record: 118965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Kim W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in article
> > <nMer4.17520$po2.179857@news1.mia>...
> > > Brian Kelly wrote ...
> > >
> > > Someone hopping over to the lower .025s and thinking that they will get dx
> > > to answer them at 5 wpm is dreaming. It may happen once in a while, but the
> > > typical speed for the dx is 20 wpm and above. The same is true for regional
> > > and area traffic nets. They typically operate at 20 wpm and above.
> > >
> > I just know you are not implying that an emergency message would be ignored at
> > less than your 20 wpm. I just know you're not saying that. If that is
> > so...better left unsaid than at 20 wpm? C'mon....
> > >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> So NOW you bring in emergency communications on CW, huh?
> After all your drivel about "I hate CW and will NEVER use
> it blah blah blah", you seem to leap at the "opportunity"
> to try to criticise those who use it well.
>
> Of course, as a new memorize-it-all ham you really can't be
> expected to know that emergency calls are NEVER ignored,
> whatever or wherever they occur. But darn, how you gonna
> understand what an emergency call is on CW when you can't
> copy it???

I would suggest to the people who rely on radio for emergency communications to
invest in a mode where they are most likely to be heard and get rescued.

4842. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38AFF895.9727F92E@icss.net>
Source record: 118966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Art Harris wrote:
> >
> > In article <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use
> > choice
> > > segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been
> > prohibited
> > > from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we
> > cannot
> > > communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow
> > generals
> > > and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm
> > CQ's to
> > > encourage them along
> > > and help them build up their code speeds.
> >
> > You know, you bring up an interesting point. The FCC/ARRL always say
> > that the examination requirements should correlate to the privileges
> > earned. But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
> > ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
> > that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.
>
> Not strange at all, Art. It's the output of a regulatory
> agency which has only the most vague idea of what it is they
> are regulating, and really couldn't care less about the
> results.

Nothing prevents them from utilizing the valuable Novice subbands. Don't forget to reduce power.

4843. THE JERK LIOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: etagg <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vhTr4.4190$Z5.111740@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 118967

Has accepted the job of ANTI-CHRIST......

4844. Yeasu FT 102 Mods.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob_531@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88pu0s$20m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118968

I am looking for Mods. for the FT-102, for a friend if any info.
available please respond. Thank you. bob_531

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4845. Hum concern

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron H <rnharsh@visi.com>
Message-ID: <x8Ur4.128$%A3.3568@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 118969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

When driving my 8072 final to more than 40 or 50 watts I hear a hum in the headphones when first keyed.... HMMmmm but it's only present during the first 2 or 3 chars send. There is no hum heard during long transmissions or after a few chars with the keyer but as soon as I stop keying for a minute or two it returns for a few chars... I also notice a slight fall off in output power during this hum say from 60 watts it drifts down to maybe 55 - 58 watts and then hold pretty much steady there... Is this by any chance a function of the capacitive filters in the HV supply? The rig uses ONLY caps to filter the HV... no choke!

73
Still learning after 30 years! Hi Hi
K3PID -> K3PID@arrl.net

4846. Re: Proposed license restructuring for 2001.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W4CSC@lostonthe.net
Message-ID: <38b00e28.183349795@eastcorp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 23:02:41 GMT, horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

>Change to four-class licensing structure that:
>
>A) Attracts newcomers to Amateur Radio.
>B) Really puts the word "incentive" back into incentive licensing.
>C) Aligns Morse code requirements with today's realities.
>D) Provides more descriptive names for the license classes.

As anyone can see from this thread, the type of testing needed for a
ham radio license is mostly psychological......geez!

Larry W4CSC
One can see the same behaviour in the sandbox at any daycare.....

4847. CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron H <rnharsh@visi.com>
Message-ID: <K1Ur4.126$%A3.3483@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 118971
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Does the direction of the offset between transmit and receive frequencies
for CW follow the LSB USB convention on HF? i.e. is the transmitter offset
below the received freq. on bands where LSB is common and vise versa...???

73
K3PID@arrl.net

4848. FS: Drake R4C 1st IF 8kc filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9sqg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000220110817.00358.00000791@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 118972

$35 shipped conus only.

73

Evan

4849. WTB: Standard C510a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Ottria <gottria@home.com>
Message-ID: <KeVr4.10269$8k3.88554@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 118973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

If you have a Standard C510a that you would like to sell let me know the price and condition. Also If you know of any Standard dealers in your area let me know.

--
Greg Ottria KE6PTP
Castro Valley California

4850. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gene Tucker Ph.D" <gene4947@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4iVr4.4508$PA2.392419@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

So what does camping have to do with taking the code test!

4851. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88p8k3$f2v$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118975

Tolassi reminds me of simp of an imp whose better days are long gone. I read much about his callsign being dropped from the FCC database in hopes of his ham radio demise altogether. I think not. His call was deleted because it signified an ADVANCED but when he was called in for re-testing, he aced just the General portion.

In article <88gnhbuig1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:

> LOL..keep watching the Rain Report.....
> That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead of
> causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that didn't
> even key their mikes.

4852. Uniden HR2600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Dropp <ddropp@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <38b0277d$0$1402@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 118976

For sale or trade Uniden HR2600 allmode radio covers the 10,11 and 12 meter bands 10 watts AM,FM and CW plus 25 on SSB. I have installed a Chipswitch micro. to add band coverage and added memory channels for more details on the micro go to there web site at chipswitch.com. Radio is mint never been mobil reason for selling I bought a Icom 706. Feel free to e-mail questions Dave

4853. Re: A HAMlet and AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88p9r3$ue1$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118977

It is impossible to believe that a college educated man and the president of a reputable company doesn't know the difference between "to" and "too." A flaming idiot if I ever read one. What in God's name is the educational system of this country coming to?
AF2Y

In article <38AF5638.DC7E77D@avotec.com>, Len Schaier <lschaier@avotec.com> wrote:

> I think the HAM doth protest to much!!
>
> Len

4854. Re: Can we stop them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88pa75nsa1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Duffy,
Two points. Freemasonry is NOBLE. The guy from Athens Alabama is IGNOBLE.
Enough said.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <samct2ui2pd69@corp.supernews.com>, "J. Duffy Beischel"
<duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:

> Gee, I'm a Freemason. Seems someone forgot to tell me that we were taking
> over the world with this one world government. What a relief to know that
> I'll be in charge now. Should cure all those money problems. Can I dictate
> what my salary as world leader will be?
>
>
> "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:YlEo4.29311$vk.493160@news1.mia...
>> Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:
>>
>> T he Fort Worth Star-Telegram newspaper of Friday, January 21, 2000, p.
> 9A,
>> had an article headlined "Helms warns U.N. against overstepping its
>> authority." It was a scathing indictment of the U.N.'s agenda of a
>> centralize world order - with THEM running it WITHOUT the American
> people's
>> consent.

4855. Wanted- Heathkit Ext. Board Set for SB-303

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: skyking <skyking@rednecks.com>
Message-ID: <b1Wr4.9603$bz2.1781897@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 118979

If anyone has any of the extender boards for the SB-303, I would be very interested in purchasing them.

Ron Klutey, KD4ASX
Henderson, KY 42420

4856. Re: Practice-progs for new tests?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: geezer <geezer@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <Y5Wr4.3182$nF4.15818@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 118980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Try www.qrz.com
They have testing on line for the old and the new.
HamUniversity help me and I am sure in time they'll update it.
If you want to study the new tests then QRZ is your best bet

73 kb9thn in Mexico,Indiana

--
W. W. Jeffries
KB9THN@YAHOO.COM

4857. Full duplex phone patch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <yaesutom@home.com>
Message-ID: <4eWr4.9830$AG2.235610@news.rdc2.mi.home.com>
Source record: 118981

Hi, i would like to be able to use my dual band full duplex HT, as a short distance phone for a short time, and have some kind of phone patch here, using 2 ht's (a 2 meter and 440), one would transmit the person i'm talking to, and the other ht would recieve the signal from my dualband for when i am talking. Are there any phone patch units that would send out a "ring" on the tx ht when someone is calling? and i can enter a dtmf code to answer it? If not, oh well. But what are some good phone patch units? not too expensive maybe also.

4858. Kenwood 820S Tech Help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: mel <myriad1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <myriad1-0B6846.10331820022000@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118982

I have a Kenwood 820S that appears to have some problems with the internal VFO. Symptoms are irratic readout on the edge of 10m 28.490-28.500. Not just the digital readout. Has anyone taken the internal VFO unit apart? Are there any contact points that may have oxidized? Please email me. I need some help.

Mel N6MEL
n6mel@myriadnet.com

4859. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88pcsf$q0f@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
: Art Harris wrote:
[snip]

: > You know, you bring up an interesting point. The FCC/ARRL always say
: > that the examination requirements should correlate to the privileges
: > earned. But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
: > ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
: > that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.

There are *written* tests that are part of the requirements. If those present day 13 WPM Generals and Advanced are that interested in more frequenices, they can take Elements 4(A) and 4(B) right now just like anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call *them* WEs with your broad brush of bigotry.

: Not strange at all, Art. It's the output of a regulatory
: agency which has only the most vague idea of what it is they
: are regulating, and really couldn't care less about the
: results.

One might then wonder why they bothered to go through a year's worth of getting enough of an idea as to what they are regulating to change the rules. But of course as usual, you have only stated an opinion as if it's fact.

I have an idea they have a better grasp of what is going on overal that you/we do.
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4860. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88sb15$hct@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
: James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:

: >NO ONE IS GETTING A HANDOUT. Everyone is meeting the FCC's qualification
: >requirements as they are being presented to us. Those loudmouths seem to
: >ignore completly that the original requirements were 5 WPM and answering
: >7 questions to use HF. And I certainly think that the hams of 1913 were
: >as much "real hams" as any that followed them.

: "...answering 7 questions..."

: 1. Explain how a receiver works.
: 2. Draw a diagram of a receiver, labeling the value of each component
: and describing its purpose.

The xtal set and superregen were king back then. Not PLL multi memory LSI
rigs.

: 3. Explain how a transmitter works.

Spark.

: 4. Draw a diagram of a transmitter, labeling the value of each component
: and describing its purpose.
: 5., 6., and 7. were questions pertaining to national and international
: communication laws.

: These were essay-type questions, and as the feds were fond of saying,

I really wasn't there so I can't vouch for this.

: "What you don't write, you don't know."

Sooooo?

I've written a one hour test answer on "[radio] filters" when the dumb
TV instructor stated his exam question this way;

"Write all you know about filters".

I think he might have meant filters as used on a TV camera but he didn't
mention that. I added a one sentance footnote saying that various visable
wavelength filters are ALSO used in front of video cameras with similar
priciples and results to electronic filters (already explained).

: >We "may" provide a service, but it is not one of the requirements.

: S97.1 (below) is our "fundamental purpose"; nothing is mentioned about
: it being optional.

"Purpose" and "requirement" are not the same thing! The purpose of a gun
is to shoot things. It is not a "requirement" that you shoot *people*
with them (or anything if you don't -want- to)!

: Jeff
: --

: 97.1 Basis and purpose.
: The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
: radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
: principles:

: (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
: public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
: respect to providing emergency communications.

"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
get much MORE than what they pay for. But if it's a requirement - how
many hours a day/week/year (?) are required? What happens if you -don't-
met the "purpose"?

: (b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute
: to the advancement of the radio art.
: (c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which
: provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases
: of the art.
: (d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of
: trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
: (e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
: international goodwill.

I'm not arguing that these are not good things for amateurs to DO, But
they are NOT REQUIREMENTS that an amateur HAS to do. In fact, once
licensed, an amateur doesn't have to do anything (i.e. see DCs comments
concerning same). :(
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4861. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B1B2DA.25F5DF14@icss.net>
Source record: 118785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
> >As a Tech with a EE who just took the Extra test....
> >Well, me and another guy took 4B with about 2 hours worth of studying. And
> >both of us haven't designed RF circuits in many years.
>
> I hadn't been scuba diving "in many years" yet on a recent dive,
> everything came back to me as if I hadn't taken any time off at
> all. Don't discount your memory in regards to what once was second
> nature to you.
>
> Jeff

Don't tell me. You and Mike D. went to BUD training together?

4862. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88sb5u$hct@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil (K8MN@cats-net.com) wrote:
: James Rosenthal wrote:

: > I like the FCCs term, "[meeting] the qualifications".

: I do too, especially since it was just a couple of years ago that Len
: and Carl were disagreeing with me when I used that very term here.

: Dave 5H3US, K8MN

Hi Dave,

Well I'm glad that we finally found some common ground ;-) Let's build
from there.
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4863. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88se14$1h4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:

>> >Heck no. That's what they built computers for! Why send at 5 WPM when you
>> >can let the computer do it at much faster speeds!

>> Please don't encourage them to send at a faster rate than they can
>> receive!! =:o

>My SCS PTC2e HF modem receives Morse code faster than I can.

And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

Never send faster than you can copy, Cecil.

Jeff

4864. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prince of Coyotes <fdecker@catskill.net>
Message-ID: <sb3gqpkjr2a168@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Been to your FLAT state many times...I envy anyone who doesn't have 600-1000
foot mountains to block their signals. Can't say what your range will be
but I'd bet MUCH better than our 10 miles or so simplex range when we're
down in the valleys and canyons. Your repeaters must have awesome coverage!
BTW I love my HTX-242. Try to get it on sale as Radio Shack's list price of
$250 is way too high.
"kf6foz" <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
news:38b1a49b.1436024@news.thegrid.net...
> Here is some simple advice, Go get your ham license and then get the
> radio. With the use of many repeaters on the 2 meter band, you may go
> further than 30 miles maybe 60 or so. There are a few here in
> California that reach as far as 150 miles that I know of...
>
> Hope this helps!!!
>
> 73, John Johnson
>
>
> On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 21:01:55 GMT, "Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com>
> wrote:
>
> >A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
> >communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at
the
> >Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of
30
> >miles or so.
> >
> >Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
> >appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
> >
> >Matthew Walton
> >matt@okdirect.com
> >
> >
> >
>
> The KF6FOZ BBS
> Redding, CA.
> 530-229-0896
>
> Visit my Website for other stuff and more info on
> the BBS. Even a good list of Sale and Swap sites

> on there.
> http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm

4865. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88sic6$s1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118789

In article <88sdploqr1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <88rg3b$2s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> nohambull@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <tb2s4.1563$Wv2.23920@news2.mia>,
> > "Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > YOU LEAVE DAVID ALONE!
> > WHY YOU LIKE FAGS ARE YOU ONE TO?
> >
>
> no he not a fat blimpo 400# bak door fag such like you. you miss
rabble
> lard ass goof. i herd that. still cum in to get me in WVa MR
> elefantiasis ass???? you bet her has lots moore gas money. coz you
got a
> long drive way pass WVa. BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHA as ole elongitated
> deeformed hed woger like to cackle. you mite be able to by a ham
> licents in michgan.i herd that. down hear all you gone get is wat
paddy
> gave the drum. do you no wat paddy gave the drum????? so let it be
> ritten. so let it be dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>

BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!! LARDASS Jimmy o"brien saying somebody is fat...LOL
this from a prison bitch bubba druggie who married a 350 lb SOW named
Donna. Hey does Donna still leave her teeth at home when she goes to
the truck stops to trick?

_-
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4866. Re: Output on 10 M Kenwood TS850S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdonimi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000221182124.18911.00000814@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 118790

The voltage of your power supply, if not 13.8VDC during transmit, can result in a max of 85 Watts.

Jeremy W7YG

Jeremy W7YG (ex WA7YGB)
jdonimi@aol.com

4867. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <38B1CB4A.2FF647D0@i-55.com>
Source record: 118791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

my old 1992 repeater directory shows that there were 14
repeaters on 2 meters then. I would expect that there are
several more by now. i wouldn't worry about getting 30
miles range while using them. You should be able to get
much better than that. i would try the 146.94 repeater and
ask questions.
Jim

Prince of Coyotes wrote:
>
> Been to your FLAT state many times...I envy anyone who doesn't have 600-1000
> foot mountains to block their signals. Can't say what your range will be
> but I'd bet MUCH better than our 10 miles or so simplex range when we're
> down in the valleys and canyons. Your repeaters must have awesome coverage!
> BTW I love my HTX-242. Try to get it on sale as Radio Shack's list price of
> $250 is way too high.
> "kf6foz" <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
> news:38b1a49b.1436024@news.thegrid.net...
> > Here is some simple advice, Go get your ham license and then get the
> > radio. With the use of many repeaters on the 2 meter band, you may go
> > further than 30 miles maybe 60 or so. There are a few here in
> > California that reach as far as 150 miles that I know of...
> >
> > Hope this helps!!!
> >
> > 73, John Johnson
> >
> >
> > On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 21:01:55 GMT, "Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
> > >communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at
> the
> > >Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of
> 30
> > >miles or so.
> > >
> > >Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
> > >appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
> > >
> > >Matthew Walton
> > >matt@okdirect.com

> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > The KF6FOZ BBS
> > Redding, CA.
> > 530-229-0896
> >
> > Visit my Website for other stuff and more info on
> > the BBS. Even a good list of Sale and Swap sites
> > on there.
> > http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm

4868. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B1CB59.FD60AF36@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

That's getting very close to being illegal, Jeff. Tsk, tsk.
Deliberately disguising your transmission is illegal. My
modem copies Morse code that meets the Morse code specification
very well, thank you. That includes most electronic keyers.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4869. Re: Have you linked to My Site? Address change.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: andrewd@nospam.ozemail.com.au
Message-ID: <38b1c6f7.1406057@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 118793
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.wicen,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 14:11:07 +1000, "Adam" <jabbaSPAMJAM@w3.to> wrote:

>Hi
>
>If you know of any other sites that have linked to me please feel free to
>forward this e-mail to them... it would help me greatly and reduce dead
>links

This method is not too reliable. You need a method of locating all
sites pointing to yours, right? So - do a search using altavista,
for sites with links to yours.

The syntax for the link search is all on the Altavista advanced help.

Basically you search for link:blah.com.au/jabba
or whatever your site is.

This way you will find all sites linking to yours (within the currency
errors of Altavista) and you can compile an email list while you're at
it. Then when you next move your site, you can just email those
webmasters and request the change direct.

You will find other very useful facilities on the advanced help of
most search engines. The other one I use often is to limit the domain
range to sites in .au or .com. This does mean you won't find
Australian sites hosted at a non AU domain.

Andrew
VK1DA

Andrew Davis, IT and Business Systems Consultant -- Canberra, Australia
AdvantEdge Business Solutions Pty Ltd http://www.AdvantEdge.com.au
Andrew's Web Hub: http://www.ozemail.com.au/~andrewd/
Associate - Australasian Web Publishers Association www.awpa.asn.au

4870. FS: parts for Yeasu FT-101Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com
Message-ID: <88sj47$41k$2@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

For sale parts for FT-101Z.

Ask what you need and make an offer. All parts are in good working conditions unless otherwise noted.

Buyer to pay S/H.

Send all inquires to servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com

4871. callbook 2000 ....20$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: foro <atapuerca@jet.es>
Message-ID: <88q6bu$22t2c11@SGI3651ef0.iddeo.es>
Source record: 118795

Are you interesing in callbook 2000. I send this aplication for 20$. Send my a E-mail for conditions. 73.

4872. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B1E6B0.71F29B70@icss.net>
Source record: 118796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Heck no. That's what they built computers for! Why send at 5 WPM when you
> >> >can let the computer do it at much faster speeds!
>
> >> Please don't encourage them to send at a faster rate than they can
> >> receive!! =:o
>
> >My SCS PTC2e HF modem receives Morse code faster than I can.
>
> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

The FCC forbids you from using cyphers unless you are a satellite ground station controlling a satellite. That you would deliberately and maliciously wreck a communication speaks well of "Mr Emergency CW Op for the US Coast Guard."

> Never send faster than you can copy, Cecil.
>
> Jeff

4873. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B1E60E.271B842E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Don't send faster than you can copy, Cecil.

I assume you mean "copy without a modem". Sorry, Jeff, I do it
all the time. That's what modems are for - to take the burden
of copy off the operator.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4874. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B1E86C.811CD091@icss.net>
Source record: 118798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> >> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> >> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
>
> >That's getting very close to being illegal, Jeff. Tsk, tsk.
> >Deliberately disguising your transmission is illegal.
>
> Just because your modem can't copy that swing doesn't make it
> illegal.

Deliberately changing the specifications of Morse Code so as to hide the
meaning???

Sure its illegal.

> That just about any other Morse devotee can copy that
> it shows nothing is being "deliberately disguised."
>
> Don't send faster than you can copy, Cecil.

Don't send cryptic messages so as to obscure the meaning and you won't
get in to trouble! It really is that simple.

> Jeff

4875. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B1EB1C.1937C61F@icss.net>
Source record: 118799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
> >: James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
>
> >: >NO ONE IS GETTING A HANDOUT. Everyone is meeting the FCC's qualification
> >: >requirements as they are being presented to us. Those loudmouths seem to
> >: >ignore completly that the original requirements were 5 WPM and answering
> >: >7 questions to use HF. And I certainly think that the hams of 1913 were
> >: >as much "real hams" as any that followed them.
>
> >: "...answering 7 questions..."
> >: 1. Explain how a receiver works.
> >: 2. Draw a diagram of a receiver, labeling the value of each component
> >: and describing its purpose.
>
> >The xtal set and superregen were king back then. Not PLL multi memory LSI
> >rigs.
>
> Regen, yes. Super-regen, no, not quite yet.

Ah, even simpler.

> As the complexity of equipment increases, so does our knowledge base.

For some.

> >: 3. Explain how a transmitter works.
>
> >Spark.
>
> Tubes.

Ignition coil.

> >: 4. Draw a diagram of a transmitter, labeling the value of each component
> >: and describing its purpose.
> >: 5., 6., and 7. were questions pertaining to national and international
> >: communication laws.
> >: These were essay-type questions, and as the feds were fond of saying,
> >: "What you don't write, you don't know."
>
> >I really wasn't there so I can't vouch for this.
>

> The literature of that era can vouch for it.
>
> >: >We "may" provide a service, but it is not one of the requirements.
>
> >: S97.1 (below) is our "fundamental purpose"; nothing is mentioned about
> >: it being optional.
>
> >"Purpose" and "requirement" are not the same thing! The purpose of a gun
> >is to shoot things. It is not a "requirement" that you shoot *people*
> >with them (or anything if you don't -want- to)!
>
> And at such a time that you do fulfill that gun's purpose, a whole
> slew of requirements *must* be met. Using your same analogy, the
> purpose of a transmitter is to transmit. If you don't intend to
> transmit, feel free to ignore S97.1, our fundamental purpose.
>
> >: 97.1 Basis and purpose.
> >: The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
> >: radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
> >: principles:
> >: (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
> >: public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
> >: respect to providing emergency communications.
>
> >"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
> >get much MORE than what they pay for.
>
> I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
> "noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
> (FCC words).

None whatsoever? Do you pay repeater dues? Do you send a buck with your QSL for
that one you really need?

> That you don't know this shows you really don't under-
> stand the regulations.

And you bend and break them to fit whatever you need at the moment.

> Jeff

4876. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88su5g$ne3$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118800

Negative. I'm registered with NO party but feel that the Republicans have become slaves to the religious right which is what America was NEVER intended to become. Since 1960, for President I voted for more Repubs. than Dems. but in good conscience, I can't now. McCain will take no bossing from Jerry Falwell; he's got a hell of a lot of integrity and honesty; is a good family man; has been an effective Senator and has the ability to win in November and I could very well vote for a guy like that. On the other hand, Bush is bush who never would beat Gore. Michigan is an IMPORTANT state since it represents the industrial north and McCain will win, go on to win in Arizona and capture the nomination in the summer.

In article <20000220211633.17541.00000815@ng-fw1.aol.com>, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:

> That's the problem I notice that a lot of Democrats like McCain. Makes me
> wonder why. Now you just piped in on McCain side and from your statements it's
> obvious your a democrat. I guess McCain is liberal enough for the friends of
> Bill
>
> Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
> http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions
>

4877. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prince of Coyotes <fdecker@catskill.net>
Message-ID: <sb3uouq3r2a173@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You're right. Out here hilltopping is great, it's the canyon floors that keep me off FM and on the HF bands. Our repeaters are great too, I just can't hit any unless I'm mobile: hilltopping again.
The distances to the horizon on the high plains are much farther than on our Eastern lowlands, so the equation gives surprising results out there. At 4-5000ft, the curvature of the earth actually becomes a factor. Now run the equation for a repeater on a 7000 ft mtn above a mile-high plain and see what you get. OK, I'm not talking about OK here, just dreaming about a little further West. My statement is true if you're surrounded by sheer granite walls and can't reach out to the repeaters (no tower, yet!) If I lived three miles up the road I'd be a happy VHFer, but in my location halfway up my canyon it's shortwave only! My horizons are measured in feet, not miles.
"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:88sjub$5fg$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Prince of Coyotes <fdecker@catskill.net> wrote:
> >Been to your FLAT state many times...I envy anyone who doesn't have 600-1000
> >foot mountains to block their signals.
>
> Those mountains become your friends if you locate repeaters atop them.
> Otherwise, you have d = sqrt (2h) to contend with, where h = your
> height in feet, and d = the distance to the radio horizon in miles.
> In his flat state with an HT at eye level, the radio horizon will be
> about 3 miles distant.
>
> >Your repeaters must have awesome coverage!
>
> Mountains are needed for that.
>
> Jeff

4878. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88sudoodn1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118802

Ethically and morally Clinton has been the worst ever in our history but
still a very effective domestic Prez. Internationally he's been AWFUL. Ja,
kampfe ich auch fuer Freiheit, Aufrichtigkeit und Ehrlichkeit.

In article <20000220224740.14745.00000808@ng-fh1.aol.com>,
ichkampfe@aol.combat (I Fight) wrote:

> radiosigs wrote:
>
>>
>>Bush has admitted to being an ex-alcoholic. He was involved is a savings and
>>loan scam. I think I read somewhere he got a bit rough with his wife.
>>
>
> Well .... even if that's true, that still makes Bush a saint compared to that
> stinking, slimy, bag of pus that's currently in the White House.
>
>

4879. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88sum1ajd1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118803

Unless one is an anti-Semite then one should not mind McCain meeting with
any Jewish group. And for that matter McCain should meet with Latinos and
Blacks too. A man running for the top spot should court all and alienate
none but then again some people are still on this all white allright kick
which classifies them as bigoted ignoramuses. How's that grab you, putz "ich
kampfe?"

In article <20000220225449.14745.00000809@ng-fh1.aol.com>,
ichkampfe@aol.combat (I Fight) wrote:

> kk7ho wrote:
>
>>
>>Oh by the way - Mccain was involved in the Keating 5 scandal - you know - the
>>one where all of the savings and loans went belly up. He managed to arm twist
>>his way out of it.
>>
>
> The media has tried to keep this quiet, but one of the FIRST things McCain did
> after he announced he was running for president was to meet with the people at
> the Jewish Anti-Defamation League (ADL).
>
> Apparently McCain wants the Jews to know that he will be their compliant
little
> errand-boy, just like Clinton is. I think THIS is why the news media, which
has
> a large percentage of Jews working in it, has been treating McCain like a
king,
> trying to convince the TV-fed idiots to vote for McCain.

4880. Re: 3950 Opinion page poll results to the question....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88suv4$l22$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118804

The one who makes the MOST SENSE is KE2LO.

In article <20000221214506.14225.00001104@ng-ce1.aol.com>,
kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:

> Which Libnet member(s) has the most outrageous/ridiculous statements or
> comments
> Liberty Net members voting:

>

4881. Re: AC3AU provokes his fellow man to ANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88sv25$l22$2@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118805

His call is KC3AU and he's made many many converts. All to Judaism!

In article <2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift) wrote:

> The first question asked by Jesus Christ on Resurrection Day and
> directed to "John the Blab-tist" will be: "Why did you provoke your
> fellow amateur radio operator to anger??"
>
> I really believe that "Brother John" is an "anti-Christian" and carries
> on the way he does on 14.313 to TURN PEOPLE AWAY from the Bible.
>
> If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the
> tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and abortion.
> He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.
>
> This guys a phoney and a fraud...
>

4882. Re: A HAMlet and AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88sva1rtq1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118806

The guy is 60 years old, college educated, the Presdient of a Company called Avotec, has credentials like you wouldn't believe and doesn't know the difference between "to" and "too." This is too much to take! Oy!
AF2Y

In article <38AF5638.DC7E77D@avotec.com>, Len Schaier <lschaier@avotec.com> wrote:

> I think the HAM doth protest to much!!
>
> Len

4883. Re: Mark my words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88t09qohr1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118807

Each of Polly's ears is bigger than my nose!

In article <88k9vcgjt1@nnrp1.deja.com>, thatsritepolly@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>
> You are the one who got a warning letter from FCC. Not Polly(see
> Rainreport) . You got called down and had to cut the qrming, Now you
> are predicting Polly will get the axe. Just because you are a qrming
> machine, you want to pick on people who are not even listed as getting
> a letter in the (Rainreport)!!
>
> Polly W4FQF, follows part97 in every respect. Of course he has a right
> to opinions, (You would like to take his rights away from him on that
> point!!!)
>
> Don't say you were not warned by Mr Hollingsworth!! You got the letter
> listed in the (Rainreport)! Not Polly!! Quit whining pineapple nose!!
>
> Thatsritepolly
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> In article <88finb$cb2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Print this out and hang it on your shack wall. Riley is finally going
> to
>> understand that the chief troublemaker and instigator on 14.313 is
> Polly,
>> FQF and the dude is gonna go thataway. Guaranteed if Polly gets six
> months
>> in radio prison, things will subside to less than a whisper on that
> esteemed
>> frequency or is it "steamed." I said way back when, when there was a
>> division between the 14.313 participants on .313 into that and .275,
> Polly
>> would never leave .275 alone and it's come to pass. Just today Paul,
> WB9HEU
>> on .275 was the target of abuse by you know whom. Mark my words, it's

> just a
>> matter of time before the fellow from Tennessee gets the hook. It's a
> LONG
>> TIME COMING too. That guy, cloaked in vestments, has been getting
> away with
>> hell for years and the piper is going to be paid. Finally.
>> AF2Y,Doc
>>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4884. Re: Mark my words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88t0gm$9d3$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118808

Is it true that Polly supplied NBC-TV with the same soundtrack he used to
spin on .313 the rock and roll for the great flick" LITTLE RICHARD."

In article <88i1qb$u5l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, part97@my-deja.com wrote:

> You can not just "wish" W4FQF off of Ham Radio!! I have heard him on
> the twenty meter band and he is obeying part 97 in a perfect manner.
> Of course some people can not "beat" him in a discussion and it burns
> their buns. You are just mad because you got caught qrming with
> your "TREAD MILL FROM QRM HELL". Mr Ashley, (W4FQF) is a model for all
> amateurs to pattern after. He is on going about his business,. You on
> the other hand can not qrm the way you used to and it pisses you off
> big time.
> Keep up the good work FQF, keep being a example for all good operating
> amateurs!!
>
> Part97 nObey it, and that means you AF2Y

4885. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <38b20632_4@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 118809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Does Wexelbaum get paid by the word or something?
--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"Bob Wexelbaum, w2ilp" <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:88s9sflva1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > --
> Hi Cecil...
> Your above question is not so easy to answer because we must
> consider first what we mean by "knowledgeable". If the Ham-Tech is
the
> type of Ham who builds stuff from scratch and reads a lot of
applicable
> literature he might be more knowledgeable than a degreed professor
of
> EE in many respects. The professor might know more about the theory
> and math that can be applied to a situation but the Ham may solve
his
> technical problems by efficiently applying logical trial and error
> methods. The professor may not even be able to do lab work or
> troubleshooting without help from practical technicians.
> I have been reading an interesting essay by the Murray Gell-Mann,
> the Nobel Prize winning Physist, entitled "Transitions of a More
> Sustainable World". I couldn't help but see some relevance to what
has
> been discussed here in raap. Let me quote frome Gell-Mann.
>
> "Now what is meant here by sustainable? In 'Through the Looking
> Glass' Humpty Dumpty explains to Alice how he uses words to mean
> anything he wants, paying them for the privilage each Saturday night
> (the end of the nineteenth-century work week). These days a great
many
> people must be paying wages to the word 'sustainable.' For example,
if

> the World Bank finances some old-fashioned massive development
project,
> destructive to the environment, that project may well be
> labled 'sustainable development' in the hope of making it more
> applicable.
> This practice reminds me of the Monty Python routine in which a
man
> enters an office to get a license for his pet fish, Eric. Told that
> there is no such thing as a fish license, he points out that he has
> received the same reply when he asked about a cat license, but that
he
> has one anyway. Producing it he is told 'That is not a cat license.
> That is a dog license with the word "dog" crossed out and the
> word "cat" written in in pencil."
> <end of the Gell-Mann quote>
>
> Now, I too used Humpty Dumpty when some ARRL Director wanted to
change
> the name of the ARRL. So far they haven't changed that name. Could
it
> be because of our long thread on this raap NG called "Whats in a
Name?"
>
> Now I want to do a parody on the Monty Python routine just for
laughs...
> or for anything you might want to attribute to sustainable
> restructuring.
> As you can see Professor Gell-Mann used the Monty Python routine
> effectively. So here goes:
>
> A man arrives at a Ham VE session and says "I want to get an
Extra
> Class Ham license for my pet fish, Eric." To which a VE in charge
> replys "Come back after April 15th and we can give him a Citizen's
> Multi-Band license." You might think that this is silly. Why would
> anyone want to license his pet fish? Maybe the man just wanted
another
> vanity call sign. He could buy one for an Extra Class fish.... and
> since the fish resides at his QTH, he then could borrow the licensed
> fish's call which is better than bootlegging with a fishy call.
>
> The above is a joke. The FCC does not have CMB in their data
> base......yet.
>
> Hams need MORE license classes...or at least some real official
> technical endorsements,
> 73,
> Bob Wex, w2ilp (Ignore Licensed Platties?)BUT be kind to
grandfathered
> Novice guppies....It's a hobby.

> IEEE Life Member Amateur Extra Class and something else
(Additional
> data on request)
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4886. Re: Vietnam Dan the hero man!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17120-38B20510-1@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118810

Permit me to proffer another perspective: If Dan is indeed connected to Viet Nam, allow him some latitude, whatever the facts.

Our Viet Nam soldiers are unsung heroes. At a time when many evaded service, some fleeing to Canada, guys like Dan served their country, paying a terrible price, shunned when they returned.

I feel to the depths of my soul that we owe these men and women the obligation to make them whole for what we put them through. Forget attacking Dan's character, for he served all of us, putting his life at risk. In comparison, this controversy is inconsequential. Thanks Dan for your service.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4887. Help getting motors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Easter <jje@andrew.cmu.edu>
Message-ID: <38B20AAA.D472B0E6@andrew.cmu.edu>
Source record: 118811

I am just starting to get into some robotics and am needing some motors. I am needing a DC/servor/stepper motor that is medium size. I guess it would large on the RC hobby scale, but I my budget is not large. Does anyone know what an inexpensive way to get motors? I know that there may not be any source out there, but I want to check.

Thanks,
Jeff
http://jimbob.res.cmu.edu/

4888. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <dan@truvalue.com>
Message-ID: <88t361$s1q$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 118812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Good advice.

We're lucky here in Utah. A lot of tall mountains in which to park
equipment. There are several machines that can easily reach 150miles
coverage.

73 Dan / N7SLC

"kf6foz" <cobrano-spam@budweiser.com> wrote in message
news:38b1a49b.1436024@news.thegrid.net...
> Here is some simple advice, Go get your ham license and then get the
> radio. With the use of many repeaters on the 2 meter band, you may go
> further than 30 miles maybe 60 or so. There are a few here in
> California that reach as far as 150 miles that I know of...
>
> Hope this helps!!!
>
> 73, John Johnson
>
>
> On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 21:01:55 GMT, "Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com>
> wrote:
>
> >A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
> >communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at
the
> >Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of
30
> >miles or so.
> >
> >Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
> >appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
> >
> >Matthew Walton
> >matt@okdirect.com
> >
> >
> >
>
> The KF6FOZ BBS
> Redding, CA.
> 530-229-0896
>
> Visit my Website for other stuff and more info on

> the BBS. Even a good list of Sale and Swap sites
> on there.
> http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm

4889. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Blankenship <mikeb@rectec.net>
Message-ID: <38B22E79.EE55E342@rectec.net>
Source record: 118813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Oh, by the way, why are you getting out of ham radio? It is an enjoyable
> hobby where we get to meet new people and learn new things.

Same thing that I was going to ask...

4890. Re: Do you need to reach Ham operators on the Internet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Blankenship <mikeb@rectec.net>
Message-ID: <38B231CA.6C12CFAE@rectec.net>
Source record: 118814

Thanks for the warning to avoid your site at all costs!

QSL Card wrote:
>
> Hello!
>
> Does your company or organization need to reach amateur radio operators via
> the Internet to promote your products or services?
>
> If so, you may be interested in a very inexpensive method of getting your
> message in front of a large number of hams:
>
> QSLCard.com
>
> The Internet-based QSL card exchange forum. Hams can fill their log books
> with interesting QSOs all over the world, and then instead of running to the
> post office with a wad of cash, they just enter the QSO information into
> their private, personal QSLCard.com logbook and the hams on the other side
> of the world can retrieve them instantly on the web.
>
> Makes a lot of sense. That's why hams are flocking to QSLCard.com to make
> QSLing a lot easier.
>
> For the next few months, you are in the enviable position of being able to
> snatch up a CHARTER ADVERTISER spot on QSLCard.com for the CHEAP price of
> only $35 a month. You heard right. Your 130x130 pixel sponsorship ad will
> be seen by everyone who comes to QSLCard.com whether they are picking up a
> QSL card or posting one.
>
> To introduce our web site to major advertisers, we are only selling a very
> limited number of sponsorship ads. After they are all spoken for, the
> rotating Banner Ad will be all that's left. Obviously, this $35 price is
> only going to be good for a very short time!
>
> To check it out, go to http://www.qslcard.com/ratesheet.shtml and let us
> know right away if you would like to take your place on our home page. It's
> first-come-first-served, so don't delay.
>
> Thanks for your time and 73!
>
> Dave Morris
> N5UP
> Webmaster
>

> P.S. This is a one-time mailing. You are not on any kind of repeat mailing
> list. If this has reached you in error, kindly disregard this e-mail with
> our apologies.
>
> _____
> Get Your Private, Free Email at http://www.hotmail.com

4891. WTB TNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: genno <wm9h@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <38b220ac$1$1404@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 118815

Anyone here have a PK232MBX for sale. let me know wm9h@execpczz.com
drop the zz.

thanks..GENE/WM9H

4892. Re: Free FCC Test preparation on the web

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <9wps4.4076$Wv2.54357@news2.mia>
Source record: 118816

Now we just need for someone to help us take the test!

--
Dave Campbell wrote in message <21022000l227409361%dcmpbell@flash.net>...
>We have created a generalized mechanism for delivering exams across the
>internet, and just added the FCC exams. We are still building on it,
>but we now think it is something we should share, as a free service.
>
>Right now we are providing the FCC Ameteur, Technical and General Exams
>that are to be administered after April 15, 2000 (many thanks to the
>guys at ARRL).
>
>We also have the FAA Recreational, Private, Instrument, Commercial,
>Certified Flight Instructor, and Designated Examiner questions and
>answers for Glider, Airplane and Helicopters. Additional exams,
>including the ATP, ATC and knowledge tests are coming soon.
>
>http://www.webexams.com
>
>It is free. We are not charging or requiring e-mail addresses.
>
>You can take predefined or randomly generated tests, design your own
>tests, browse through questions and answers, have exams and questions
>emailed to you, and more. Exams can be timed or open-ended, and you can
>pause or suspend exams as interruptions occur. You can track your
>progress, and focus on your own weak areas, all free of charge.
>
>Visitors to the site have told us it is very helpful in preparing for
>the FAA written exams, and a fantastic confidence builder.
>
>We are very excited about providing this free, the way we think it
>should be, and would love to have feedback on how to improve the work
>we have done. In addition, if there are other, non-FAA exams you would
>like to see up, please let us know!
>
>Thanks,
>Dave

4893. Advice wanted: Butterfly or similar antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Jeghers <mark@t4p.com>
Message-ID: <38B24171.8D768FF8@t4p.com>
Source record: 118817

I am trying to identify some directional antenna smaller than a
traditional Yagi,
but still having the best possible performance, trouble free, etc.

I've looked at the Butternut Butterfly, and heard both good and bad
reports
on it, so I don't know what to think about it. I'd like to know REALLY
how
this antenna is, as well as any alternatives I should consider.

Please let me know your thoughts on the Butterfly or any other antennas
of
similar size that might also do well.

Thanks,

Mark
KF6ONE

4894. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88q96acop1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>HAMS are
>certainly dependent on the government providing them radio spectrum use.

First, "hams" is not an acronym; it is not spelled all in upper case.

Now, there are frequencies which are not allocated to any service;
they're open for anyone to utilize. They're not regulated. (See the
CFR for the definitive boundaries of regulated frequencies.)

>Betcha not one in 10,000 hams could build even the most simple circuits.

So you're saying that at most 67 U.S. amateurs (670,000 divided by your
10,000 figure) have the ability to "build even the most simple circuits"?
If you believe that, you too will be relegated to the no-credibility
file!

The amount of hams building QRP equipment number in the thousands as
per the count of participants in on-the-air homebrew contests.

There are several "vintage radio" contests run on the air in which
participants must use only homebuilt tube gear, modeled after pre-1930
circuits. Hundreds participate in those contests.

Dave Stadt, don't make stuff up anymore!!

Jeff

4895. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002210328400.1976-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000, FCC Dweebs wrote:
> FCC = Fraud, Waste, Abuse, Corruption,
> Greed, & Criminality
>
> The FCC is the most criminally corrupt agency
> the federal government!

On the rankings of "corrupt" agencies, I thought that the IRS was first!

4896. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: ichkampfe@aol.combat
Message-ID: <20000220224740.14745.00000808@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 118836

radiosigs wrote:

>
>Bush has admitted to being an ex-alcoholic. He was involved is a savings and
>loan scam. I think I read somewhere he got a bit rough with his wife.
>

Well .... even if that's true, that still makes Bush a saint compared to that
stinking, slimy, bag of pus that's currently in the White House.

4897. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: ichkampfe@aol.combat
Message-ID: <20000220225449.14745.00000809@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 118837

kk7ho wrote:

>
>Oh by the way - Mccain was involved in the Keating 5 scandal - you know - the
>one where all of the savings and loans went belly up. He managed to arm twist
>his way out of it.
>

The media has tried to keep this quiet, but one of the FIRST things McCain did after he announced he was running for president was to meet with the people at the Jewish Anti-Defamation League (ADL).

Apparently McCain wants the Jews to know that he will be their compliant little errand-boy, just like Clinton is. I think THIS is why the news media, which has a large percentage of Jews working in it, has been treating McCain like a king, trying to convince the TV-fed idiots to vote for McCain.

4898. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B0B6B7.EFA0AA05@bctv.com>
Source record: 118838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
FCC Dweebs wrote:
>
> FCC = Fraud, Waste, Abuse, Corruption,
> Greed, & Criminality
>
> The FCC is the most criminally corrupt agency
> the federal government!

They aren't even in the Top 10.
```

4899. Vietnam Dan the bitching man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: SoloPost05@nym.alias.net
Message-ID: <20000221060548.25450.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 118839

What a loser. All this guy does is complain about splatter from down the band. The dumbass ought to know that 3 kc's aren't enough to kill splatter. His little asshole buddy Oris the Orifus splatters everywhere, even on 3905. Hey Dan, shut your piehole and just drink your beer, ya sorry shit. By the way, did you ever pay the back the guys who bought you a radio when you didn't have a pot to piss in? Guess not. Loser.

4900. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B0D4FD.15A81768@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

thanatos999@my-deja.com wrote:
> When they whine for it, or benefit from those who whined for it, then it
> is not earned, and it IS a handout.

How about my two-year old grandson who didn't whine but will someday,
I hope, get his ham license? Will it be not earned by him? Will it
be a handout for him?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4901. Re: AOL Bad Boy AF2Y BANISHED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: MAsherin@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18793-38B0E387-3@storefull-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118841

Thatsritepolly,

Ex-washer repairman, lawyer or doctor--what does it matter? Given quality character, they all make honest livings. Why the manifest need to denigrate? Wouldn't you feel better about yourself if you tried to build up your colleagues rather than tearing them down?

I prefer debating the issues on the merits.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4902. Re: Technical Q: Impedence Matching / Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <balbert@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <Q57s4.8963$Pa1.164883@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 118842
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

There is no significant difference between a 500 Ohm and a 600 Ohm impedance microphone. Just connect it and it should work.

73, Bob K6DDX

4903. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38b0f4dc.292158849@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 118843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 21:42:13 -0500, "FCC Dweebs"
<Asshole_at_FCC@corruption.net> wrote:

> FCC = Fraud, Waste, Abuse, Corruption,
> Greed, & Criminality
>
> The FCC is the most criminally corrupt agency
> the federal government!
>
Having to deal with discipline and rules gets under yer skin, eh? Deal
with it.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv

4904. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nohambull@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88rg3b$2s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118844

In article <tb2s4.1563$Wv2.23920@news2.mia>,
"Lloyd Davies" <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> YOU LEAVE DAVID ALONE!
WHY YOU LIKE FAGS ARE YOU ONE TO?
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <88q4nm$6dp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <88p8k3$f2v$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >> Tolassi reminds me of simp of an imp whose better days are long
gone.
> >I read
> >> much about his callsign being dropped from the FCC database in
hopes
> >of his
> >> ham radio demise altogether. I think not. His call was deleted
> >because it
> >> signified an ADVANCED but when he was called in for re-testing, he
> >aced just
> >> the General portion.
> >>
> >> ----------
> >> In article <88gnhbuig1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-
deja.com>
> >wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > LOL..keep watching the Rain Report..........
> >> > That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead
of
> >> > causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that
didn't
> >> > even key their mikes.
> >>
> >I'm sorry....I just cain't resist. Makes you wonder how much he
payed
> >the first time around don't it? Guess the "good buddy" wasn't
> >available when he retested......David Tolassi THE INCREDIBLE
SHRINKING
> >BRAIN. Oh and BTW I'm waiting with baited breath for the next shoe to
> >drop. Bye dipshit.
> >

> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >--
> >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4905. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88rjs0$5fj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118845

I just don't understnd you Dan. You say " I am out of this stupid war
blah, blah , on and on". then you come on here and make a statement
like this. I think you love it and if you couldn't get your disruption
and fighting here you would do business elsewhere.

Thatsritepolly be real tell the truth Dan you love it!!

In article <88q4nm$6dp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> I'm sorry....I just cain't resist. Makes you wonder how much he
payed
> the first time around don't it? Guess the "good buddy" wasn't
> available when he retested......David Tolassi THE INCREDIBLE SHRINKING
> BRAIN. Oh and BTW I'm waiting with baited breath for the next shoe to
> drop. Bye dipshit.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4906. Re: Vietnam Dan the bitching man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thatsritepolly@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88rk77$5rb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118846

You have to understand that Dan loves fighting , but he has a low boiling point can't take it, and will threaten you in a New York minute.

He professes, " I want to quit this crazy confrontation game" Meanwhile back on his frequency, his bitching is heard on and on and on. Practice what you preach Dan!!

Thatsritepolly

In article <20000221060548.25450.qmail@nym.alias.net>, SoloPost05@nym.alias.net wrote:
> What a loser. All this guy does is complain about splatter from
> down the band. The dumbass ought to know that 3 kc's aren't enough to kill
> splatter. His little asshole buddy Oris the Orifus splatters everywhere,
> even on 3905. Hey Dan, shut your piehole and just drink your beer, ya
> sorry shit. By the way, did you ever pay the back the guys who bought you
> a radio when you didn't have a pot to piss in? Guess not. Loser.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4907. FS: parts for Yeasu FT-101Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com
Message-ID: <88r701eeg1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

For sale parts for FT-101Z.

Ask what you need and make an offer. All parts are in good working conditions unless otherwise noted.

Buyer to pay S/H.

Send all inquires to servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com

4908. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38b123c9.2054452@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 21:02:05 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 08:30:32 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>
>> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2000 17:17:27 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> On Wed, 16 Feb 2000 20:53:46 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> >> >> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
>> >> >> >> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
>> >> >> >> > thought they already knew it all...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
>> >> >> >> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
>> >> >> >> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
>> >> >> >> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
>> >> >> >> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
>> >> >> >> without additional data.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >That bit of silliness can be turned about in an instant:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I worked in industrial electronics sales with Pete D., a 1978 EE grad of
>> >> >> >Ohio State. Pete mentioned to me as we walked to the parking lot one
>> >> >> >day that he had heard terms like IF and RF bandied about while in school
>> >> >> >but that he never knew what they meant. I asked how the hell he had
>> >> >> >completed engineering school. He replied that he'd been the captain of
>> >> >> >the OSU volleyball team and went to school on a sports scholarship.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That turns nothing around.
>> >> >

>> >> >...sez you.
>> >>
>> >> Yep, sez me.
>> >
>> >Your opinion counts for no more than that of others...
>>
>> Where or when did I say it does?
>
>The part where you say, "That turns nothing around" would indicate that
>you think it does.

The question is: Where or when did I say my opinion
counts more than anyoe else's does? Of course
I said "That turns nothing around" THAT is
my opinion.

>> >> >> It only points to a very
>> >> >> poor ability of the school to assess an EE's competency
>> >> >> for graduation.
>> >> >
>> >> >Very poor ability? How about no ability? How about a free pass based
>> >> >on volleyball? Let's not lay it all on OSU.
>> >>
>> >> Who other than OSU should take the blame? OSU
>> >> compromised their educational standards (apparently).
>> >
>> >The student...
>>
>> Why the student? If the student did ALL the required tests, etc.
>> what fault is there on the student.
>
>Do you believe that a man can graduate from OSU's engineering school
>without knowing what RF and IF mean unless he's been granted a
>scholastic "gimme"?

And if that is what happended the SCHOOL is at fault.
It is the school's obligation to ensure the academic
fitness of a graduate.

>> >> >Pete D. certainly didn't
>> >> >do the assignments and hit the books.
>> >>
>> >> BUT in the end it was OSU that passed him.
>> >
>> >It sure was. If I had to guess, I'd say that it was deliberate on the
>> >part of the school administration in order to keep their volleball
>> >captain. Before I met Pete, I never heard of a volleyball scholarship.
>>
>> Thanks, sure seems that Pete just did what he was
>> asked to do...unless you have evidence of cheating
>> or deliberate misrepresentations by OSU in which

>> Pete was a knowing and willing accomplice.
>
>I didn't ask Pete if he cheated or whether OSU made deliberate
>misrepresentations and he didn't volunteer the information.

Fair enough, then you have no basis to accuse Pete
of being remiss in anything he did.

<SNIP>

>> >> Sorry Dick, but neither Carl nor Cecil ever asked for any
>> >> FREE PASS. They have simply noted that the old Morse
>> >> roadblock kept a number of highly qualified people from
>> >> becoming hams. Neither Carl nor Cecil ever said or
>> >> suggested that anyone with an EE (friend or not) should
>> >> be waived from a written test.
>> >
>> >Nope--the term you want is "otherwise qualified". My view is that you
>> >are either qualified or you are not qualified. The regs do require a
>> >morse test and nobody is exempt from the written exam. I note that your
>> >last sentence leaves Len out. Was that deliberate?
>>
>> I don't believe that when either Carl or Cecil used the
>> phrase "pr otherwise qualified" that they were implying
>> anyone should not have to take the test.
>
>Oh?

If you can show us otherwise, please do.

On the subject of being identified as an Engineer...you said
>Are you saying that those custodial engineers aren't engineers or that
>they truly are engineers?

I don't care what they are. You seem to
be the one concerned with titles. The question remains:
Are you saying that Carl (and for that
matter me) are not engineers, that we are not
qualified to use the title engineer? Is there any
requirment (legal or otherwise) that sets the
minimum standrads to be able to use the title
engineer?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4909. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88rmoe$7js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118849
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <K1Ur4.126$%A3.3483@ptah.visi.com>,
"Ron H" <rnharsh@visi.com> wrote:
> Does the direction of the offset between transmit and receive
frequencies
> for CW follow the LSB USB convention on HF? i.e. is the transmitter
offset
> below the received freq. on bands where LSB is common and vise
versa...???
>
> 73
> K3PID@arrl.net
>
>
"Normal" cw is done in the USB position on a conventional modern day transceiver. The actual signal transmitted and received must be offset to allow a "tone" to be heard. Normally this is about 700 to 850 cycles. Some digital displays show this offset automaticaly and others do not. On my 1000MP when you switch to CW from USB you will see the display change to +700 . I.E. 14.000.00 on SSB will read 14.OOO.70 This allows the offset cw note to be heard on the 14.000.00 frequency. (at the 700 cycle offset).

This allows the "center frequency" to be properly positioned in the SSB filter passband. One can (on my rig at least) switch to LSB CW and transmit and receive CW on the lower side of the frequency. Sometimes this helps to elliminate QRM, or to be heard on a LSB frequency when you go to CW. Otherwise you would be zero beat and not be heard.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4910. Re: Kenwood 820S Tech Help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88rn7u$80l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118850

In article <myriad1-0B6846.10331820022000@nntp.ix.netcom.com>,
mel <myriad1@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> I have a Kenwood 820S that appears to have some problems with the
> internal VFO. Symptoms are irratic readout on the edge of 10m 28.490-
> 28.500. Not just the digital readout. Has anyone taken the internal
VFO
> unit apart? Are there any contact points that may have oxidized?
Please
> email me. I need some help.
>
> Mel N6MEL
> n6mel@myriadnet.com
>
The 820s is a "older technology" rig. It does not use a Phase Locked
Loop (PLL) system. Thus the VFO is basically a analog unit. I would
get a good contact cleaner and spray all your switches and controls.
Wiggle them around and see if that helps. If not....the internal
components have probably aged. You may get it back on track with a
simple adjustment of the tuning coil or capacitor. This is accessable
through a hole somewhere on the top or bottom of the VFO assembly.

The VFO source voltage is probably alright, since you indicate it is
doing it only on the band edges. Hope that helped. After these steps
a service manual and a competent tech will be needed. I do not
recommend you open the VFO compartment unless you know what your doing.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4911. Re: Vietnam Dan the bitching man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88ro6q$8j4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118851

In article <88rk77$5rb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
thatsritepolly@my-deja.com wrote:
> You have to understand that Dan loves fighting , but he has a low
> boiling point can't take it, and will threaten you in a New York
minute.
>
> He professes, " I want to quit this crazy confrontation game"
Meanwhile
> back on his frequency, his bitching is heard on and on and on.
Practice
> what you preach Dan!!
>
> Thatsritepolly
>
> In article <20000221060548.25450.qmail@nym.alias.net>,
> SoloPost05@nym.alias.net wrote:
> > What a loser. All this guy does is complain about splatter from
> > down the band. The dumbass ought to know that 3 kc's aren't enough
to
> kill
> > splatter. His little asshole buddy Oris the Orifus splatters
> everywhere,
> > even on 3905. Hey Dan, shut your piehole and just drink your beer,
ya
> > sorry shit. By the way, did you ever pay the back the guys who
bought
> you
> > a radio when you didn't have a pot to piss in? Guess not. Loser.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Per FCC regulation 97.307

(a) No amateur station transmisson shall occupy more bandwidth than
necessary for the information rate and emission type being transmitted,
in accordance with good amateur practice.

(b) Emissions resulting from modulation must be confined to the band or
segment available to the control operator. Emissions outside the
necessary bandwidth must not cause splatter or keyclick interference to
operations on adjacent frequencies.

3 kc is plenty of room to seperate two SSB frequencies, assuming the transmitter is properly operated. This is NOT THE CASE with certain operators on 3.898.

As for my bitching....that is correct, and it will continue until the matter is resolved. If you don't like it, then turn your dial Mr. Alias.

And for Thatsrightpolly....

As for my alleged statement of " I want to stop this crazy confrontation game"....I actually said..." I want to end this crazy radio war". If you are going to quote, at least get it right.

Now back to Mr. Alias...

As to your additional comments....when you have the balls to use a real name or call then I'll deal with you. In the meantime your little attempt to re-start a long dead issue is just a waste of all of our time.

Have a nice day

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4912. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88roi2$8u6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118852

In article <88rjs0$5fj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
thatsritepolly@my-deja.com wrote:
> I just don't understnd you Dan. You say " I am out of this stupid war
> blah, blah , on and on". then you come on here and make a statement
> like this. I think you love it and if you couldn't get your disruption
> and fighting here you would do business elsewhere.
>
> Thatsritepolly be real tell the truth Dan you love it!!
>
> In article <88q4nm$6dp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > I'm sorry....I just cain't resist. Makes you wonder how much he
> payed
> > the first time around don't it? Guess the "good buddy" wasn't
> > available when he retested......David Tolassi THE INCREDIBLE
SHRINKING
> > BRAIN. Oh and BTW I'm waiting with baited breath for the next shoe
to
> > drop. Bye dipshit.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
I am intitled to my opinion as much as you are. You are the one making
controversial posts here, not I. Im just saying it the way I see it.
By my choice of divorcing myself from the radio war does not mean I
can't have an opinion. And when it comes to Tolassi I have a long
history with that flake. You should just mind your own business, since
it has NOTHING to do with you at all.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4913. Michigan Primary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88rg93$m57$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118853

McCAIN to win the Michigan Primary tomorrow, the 22nd! He lost S.C. because it's a state with too many Brother Stairs; George W. pandered to them the same way his old man did in '92 while McCain made it clear that politics and religion didn't mix and he was right. Pro "Bushwackers" are all ready to take Michigan tomorrow but it will mark the end of him as the G.O.P frontrunner and if you're a Republican be happy because McCain COULD beat Gore but Bush couldn't.

4914. Re: YOU DECIDE WHICH ONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1012-38B1392C-10@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118854

Which ones are the moron's??? That's easy. The anti-Liberty Netter's!
They can't even put a phucking webpage together correctly!!!

4915. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88rqhh$ab5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118855

Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:tb2s4.1563
$Wv2.23920@news2.mia...
> YOU LEAVE DAVID ALONE!

Lloyd's in love...lloyd couldn't get a woman..so he's going for the
douche bag from Barry. Douche bag's new call suits him WB1EVE...the
feminine spray/deoderant.

> Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <88q4nm$6dp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <88p8k3$f2v$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >> Tolassi reminds me of simp of an imp whose better days are long
gone.
> >I read
> >> much about his callsign being dropped from the FCC database in
hopes
> >of his
> >> ham radio demise altogether. I think not. His call was deleted
> >because it
> >> signified an ADVANCED but when he was called in for re-testing, he
> >aced just
> >> the General portion.
> >>
> >> ----------
> >> In article <88gnhbuig1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-
deja.com>
> >wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > LOL..keep watching the Rain Report...........
> >> > That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead
of
> >> > causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that
didn't
> >> > even key their mikes.
> >>
> >I'm sorry....I just cain't resist. Makes you wonder how much he
payed
> >the first time around don't it? Guess the "good buddy" wasn't
> >available when he retested......David Tolassi THE INCREDIBLE
SHRINKING
> >BRAIN. Oh and BTW I'm waiting with baited breath for the next shoe to
> >drop. Bye dipshit.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI

> >
> >--
> >"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

lol good one Dan.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4916. Re: Vietnam Dan the bitching man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88rqn1$al1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118856

Gonadless <SoloPost05@nym.alias.net> blubbered in message
news:20000221060548.25450.qmail@nym.alias.net...
> What a loser. All this guy does is complain about splatter from
> down the band. The dumbass ought to know that 3 kc's aren't enough to
kill
> splatter. His little asshole buddy Oris the Orifus splatters
everywhere,
> even on 3905. Hey Dan, shut your piehole and just drink your beer, ya
> sorry shit. By the way, did you ever pay the back the guys who bought
you
> a radio when you didn't have a pot to piss in? Guess not. Loser.

Now, Dimmy O'Bese....you shouldn't cast aspirsions upon others,
considering the pictures of you, your sow, and your filthy hovel.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4917. Re: Amateur Call Sign Data Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38B14B56.4A67BBB5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118857

KB6UDA wrote:

> Does anyone know were a call sign data base can be found on the web?
>
> Thanks

Several to choose from -- See URL:
http://www.ac6v.com/page2.html

Humor of the day:
THE TOWER at URL:
http://www.speroni.com/Tower.html
--
73 from Rod in Oceanside, Ca
==========
AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 580,000 Visits On The Counter
http://www.ac6v.com - General Ham Pages
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html - DX Reference Pages

4918. Re: Vietnam Dan the bitching man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10002210949400.22778-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 118858

On 21 Feb 2000 SoloPost05@nym.alias.net wrote:

> What a loser. All this guy does is complain about splatter from
> down the band. The dumbass ought to know that 3 kc's aren't enough to kill
> splatter. His little asshole buddy Oris the Orifus splatters everywhere,
> even on 3905. Hey Dan, shut your piehole and just drink your beer, ya
> sorry shit. By the way, did you ever pay the back the guys who bought you
> a radio when you didn't have a pot to piss in? Guess not. Loser.

If one assumes, that your description of Dan was a truthful representation
of material fact ... now, where would that place you?

I mean, people only take "equals" and "superiors" seriously.

Are you "equal" or "inferior" to the guy you comment about? I guess, the
fact , that you not even can stand to your opinion by signing with your
name, already implies the answer....

4919. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@GTE.net>
Message-ID: <4uds4.402$d26.8157@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118859

: Bush has admitted to being an ex-alcoholic. He was involved is a savings
and
: loan scam. I think I read somewhere he got a bit rough with his wife.
After
: Clinton, are you looking for integrity or for a Dumbalike Quayle with
curly
: hai? McCain should be the G.O.P. choice if the G.O.P were smart but who
said
: they were? Dumd dee dumb dumb. They better be careful or they're gonna get
: gored but good.

That was GWB's brother, Neal, that was involved with the S&L
problem. McCain also had a bit of a scandal with the Keating
5 involvement.

The biggest thing is that GWB did make mistakes but grew
up. Something that neither Clinton, or may on the NGs, ever
seemed to do.

4920. AC3AU provokes his fellow man to ANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118860

The first question asked by Jesus Christ on Resurrection Day and directed to "John the Blab-tist" will be: "Why did you provoke your fellow amateur radio operator to anger??"

I really believe that "Brother John" is an "anti-Christian" and carries on the way he does on 14.313 to TURN PEOPLE AWAY from the Bible.

If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and abortion. He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.

This guys a phoney and a fraud...

4921. Re: Amateur Call Sign Data Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6uda@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000221120246.14218.00000871@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118861

Thanks for the info

Thanks

4922. AF2Y says it's uncalled for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88rshnrt1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118862

All I did was to make a posting about my prediction for the Michigan Primary
and here's what just came in.

Return-Path: <BFO-Control@webtv.net>
Received: from mailsorter-105-1.iap.bryant.webtv.net ([209.240.198.119])
by ngquotad00.atl.mindspring.net (Mindspring Mail Service) with ESMTP id
sb2q9o.chl.37kb01n
for <radiosigs@mindspring.com>; Mon, 21 Feb 2000 11:35:04 -0500 (EST)
Received: from storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net [209.240.198.163])
by mailsorter-105-1.iap.bryant.webtv.net (WebTV_Postfix) with ESMTP id
27BF839B3
for <radiosigs@mindspring.com>; Mon, 21 Feb 2000 08:34:37 -0800 (PST)
Received: (from production@localhost) by storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net
(8.8.8-wtv-e/mt.gso.26Feb98) id IAA00996; Mon, 21 Feb 2000 08:20:10 -0800
(PST)
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
ETAtAhUAqM8xtIjpH4ppOTzs6j41Y8teSZgCFGdW0n0eufeOfmKZHbKlKUcafdNq
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift)
Date: Mon, 21 Feb 2000 11:20:10 -0500 (EST)
To: radiosigs@mindspring.com (radiosigs)
Subject: Re: Michigan Primary
Message-ID: <14074-38B165BA-16652@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Content-Disposition: Inline
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
MIME-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)

Thanks you stupid little phuck-nutt. I wasn't going to bother voting in
tomorrow's primary. But you've just convinced me. "The friend of my
enemy...is my enemy". Therefore....I will go vote for George W., just
to piss you off!!!!

Isaac

Listen up Isaac. As someone who likes Gore, I hope you do vote for Bush and
I hope he wins the nomination because Al will eat his lunch in debates.
Furthermore didn't you know that our Veep invented the Internet and that
alone should engender respect for the man. And in case you haven't heard, he
also discovered ozone and the Twilight Zone as well. Isaac, you're a
disgrace to the profound Old Testament name, Itzhak, the father of Moishe
Oishe and an enemy of the Roman prelate, Punchy Pilot. I'm no friend of John
McCain but I must say he's a hell of a better choice than George W and maybe
it's time for a Roman Catholic to become President after the reknowned John
Fitzgerald Kennedy had a fling at it and Marilyn Monroe was a fling and a

half. And so Isaac I suggest you calm down and start using good English around here because I grade every post and so far you're at an F for your lewd comments. By the way do you know the difference between "to" and "too?" If so, get in touch with K2IVX, the President of a Woild Famous Company called Avotec and teach him. I can't believe that insipidness rules his countenance but it does.
AF2Y

4923. Tolassi trolling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88rt76skp1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118863

> LOL..keep watching the Rain Report.....
> That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead of
> causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that didn't
> even key their mikes.

What's the difference is he trolls and accuses? Who's going to listen to him anyway, Riley? No way. David suffers from false naivete and oral diarrhea which hurts no one. He's like Old Faithful. A geyser going off from time to time just to let the public know he exists. I find David hom-hum rather than ho-ho-ho.
AF2Y

4924. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88rtcf$ti0$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118864

I'll tell you this, Lloyd. Although David dropped from an Advanved to a
General, his ticket surpasses yours by THE DISTANCE OF EARTH TO MARS!
AF2Y

In article <tb2s4.1563$Wv2.23920@news2.mia>, "Lloyd Davies"
<n0vfp@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> YOU LEAVE DAVID ALONE!
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> Dan/W4NTI wrote in message <88q4nm$6dp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>>In article <88p8k3$f2v$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
>> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>> Tolassi reminds me of simp of an imp whose better days are long gone.
>>I read
>>> much about his callsign being dropped from the FCC database in hopes
>>of his
>>> ham radio demise altogether. I think not. His call was deleted
>>because it
>>> signified an ADVANCED but when he was called in for re-testing, he
>>aced just
>>> the General portion.
>>>
>>> ----------
>>> In article <88gnhbuig1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
>>wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > LOL..keep watching the Rain Report..........
>>> > That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead of
>>> > causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that didn't
>>> > even key their mikes.
>>>
>>I'm sorry....I just cain't resist. Makes you wonder how much he payed
>>the first time around don't it? Guess the "good buddy" wasn't
>>available when he retested......David Tolassi THE INCREDIBLE SHRINKING
>>BRAIN. Oh and BTW I'm waiting with baited breath for the next shoe to
>>drop. Bye dipshit.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>

>>--
>>"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>>
>>
>>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>>Before you buy.
>
>

4925. Re: TS850SAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <38b17bdf_4@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 118865

The mod involves cutting the wire of a certain diode. I did it many
years ago (never xmitted outside the bands though) ... 73

--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"Alan J. Price" <career1@home.com> wrote in message
news:38A480C0.BD6E0A1D@home.com...
> Willy:
> Unless I am wrong the change is easy and is a firmware mod. Just
one of those
> things you program into the radio. Check your manaul.
> Alan
> W1HYV
>
> Willy Wilson wrote:
>
> > Hi all,
> > Does anyone know the mod. necessary to allow the TS850 to transmit
outside
> > the amateur bands please?
> > Thanks, 73 de GM3NUF,
> > Willy.
>

4926. Re: NEWSLINE... CRAP....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88rtff$ti0$2@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118866

CAN'T YOU CLEAN UP YOUR LANGUAGE?

In article <14866-38A93A10-4@storefull-137.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift) wrote:

> So what if Bill gets "paid" a few hundred for the news work that he
> performs.
>
> Peter Jennings gets paid MILLIONS for his bullshit.
>
> Keep up the good work, Bill! My check's in the mail!
>

4927. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88rud3$mfm@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Keith Wood (kw@bctv.com) wrote:
: James Rosenthal wrote:
: > Keith Wood (kw@bctv.com) wrote:
: > : > Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
: > : > : Art Harris wrote:
: > : > [snip]
: >
: > Please notice that I said; "What's strange is that *YOU* would now call
: > *them* WEs with your broad brush of bigotry". What -are- you trying to prove?

: I'm not an Extra yet. The phrase was "Welfare Extras," used by current
: Extras as "proof" that they are somehow better than the Extras to come.

The do seem to have some sort of a problem, don't they? :)

: I was pointing out that the current Extras are taking the same "handout"
: that the new Extras will be.

NO ONE IS GETTING A HANDOUT. Everyone is meeting the FCC's qualification
requirements as they are being presented to us. Those loudmouths seem to
ignore completly that the original requirements were 5 WPM and answering
7 questions to use HF. And I certainly think that the hams of 1913 were
as much "real hams" as any that followed them.

Current Extras have gone through a different set of qualifications that's
all. Mainly a 20 WPM Morse code test. Right now the written tests are
exactly the same.

: Myself, I consider it WORKFARE.

I like the FCCs term, "[meeting] the qualifications".

; We are given something valuable by the
: Feds, with the understanding that we will provide service to the
: community in a couple of different ways.

We "may" provide a service, but it is not one of the requirements.

; I have yet to figure out what
: service we are providing when we whine and gripe about a rule change
: which will help us provide better service.

Some people would complain if they were hung with a new rope. :)
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4928. Yaesu FT5100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tobias Thor sM6wVv <tobias.thor@swipnet.se>
Message-ID: <AAfs4.4155$7f7.8106@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 118868

Hello!

Anyone who have a picture of a Yaesu FT5100?

73; sm6wvv

4929. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <88s9sflva1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38AAF58F.63134320@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
> > > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
> > > thought they already knew it all...
> >
> > Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
> > know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
> > else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
> > more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
> > Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
> > without additional data.
> > --
Hi Cecil...
Your above question is not so easy to answer because we must
consider first what we mean by "knowledgeable". If the Ham-Tech is the
type of Ham who builds stuff from scratch and reads a lot of applicable
literature he might be more knowledgeable than a degreed professor of
EE in many respects. The professor might know more about the theory
and math that can be applied to a situation but the Ham may solve his
technical problems by efficiently applying logical trial and error
methods. The professor may not even be able to do lab work or
troubleshooting without help from practical technicians.
I have been reading an interesting essay by the Murray Gell-Mann,
the Nobel Prize winning Physist, entitled "Transitions of a More
Sustainable World". I couldn't help but see some relevance to what has
been discussed here in raap. Let me quote frome Gell-Mann.

"Now what is meant here by sustainable? In 'Through the Looking
Glass' Humpty Dumpty explains to Alice how he uses words to mean
anything he wants, paying them for the privilage each Saturday night
(the end of the nineteenth-century work week). These days a great many
people must be paying wages to the word 'sustainable.' For example, if
the World Bank finances some old-fashioned massive development project,
destructive to the environment, that project may well be
labled 'sustainable development' in the hope of making it more
applicable.
This practice reminds me of the Monty Python routine in which a man
enters an office to get a license for his pet fish, Eric. Told that
there is no such thing as a fish license, he points out that he has
received the same reply when he asked about a cat license, but that he
has one anyway. Producing it he is told 'That is not a cat license.

That is a dog license with the word "dog" crossed out and the
word "cat" written in in pencil."
<end of the Gell-Mann quote>

Now, I too used Humpty Dumpty when some ARRL Director wanted to change
the name of the ARRL. So far they haven't changed that name. Could it
be because of our long thread on this raap NG called "Whats in a Name?"

Now I want to do a parody on the Monty Python routine just for laughs...
or for anything you might want to attribute to sustainable
restructuring.
As you can see Professor Gell-Mann used the Monty Python routine
effectively. So here goes:

A man arrives at a Ham VE session and says "I want to get an Extra
Class Ham license for my pet fish, Eric." To which a VE in charge
replys "Come back after April 15th and we can give him a Citizen's
Multi-Band license." You might think that this is silly. Why would
anyone want to license his pet fish? Maybe the man just wanted another
vanity call sign. He could buy one for an Extra Class fish.... and
since the fish resides at his QTH, he then could borrow the licensed
fish's call which is better than bootlegging with a fishy call.

The above is a joke. The FCC does not have CMB in their data
base......yet.

Hams need MORE license classes...or at least some real official
technical endorsements,
73,
Bob Wex, w2ilp (Ignore Licensed Platties?)BUT be kind to grandfathered
Novice guppies....It's a hobby.
IEEE Life Member Amateur Extra Class and something else (Additional
data on request)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4930. Re: AC3AU provokes his fellow man to ANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John 1952 <john1952@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88sb95$n06$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118870

In article <2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift) wrote:
> The first question asked by Jesus Christ on Resurrection Day and
> directed to "John the Blab-tist" will be: "Why did you provoke your
> fellow amateur radio operator to anger??"
>
> I really believe that "Brother John" is an "anti-Christian" and
carries
> on the way he does on 14.313 to TURN PEOPLE AWAY from the Bible.
>
> If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the
> tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and
abortion.
> He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.
>
> This guys a phoney and a fraud...
>
Surely you mean KC3AU, formerly of Pennsylvania, now in Georgia? I
remember him saying once that he doesn't have a religion, doesn't
believe in religion, but he believes in Christ. Whatever. As for
provoking fellow amateurs, I remember he saying one that if he didn't
act the way he did he would be in trouble, and cited the Apostles as an
example.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4931. Re: AC3AU provokes his fellow man to ANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John 1952 <john1952@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88sbe9$n2v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118871

In article <2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift) wrote:
> The first question asked by Jesus Christ on Resurrection Day and
> directed to "John the Blab-tist" will be: "Why did you provoke your
> fellow amateur radio operator to anger??"
>
> I really believe that "Brother John" is an "anti-Christian" and
carries
> on the way he does on 14.313 to TURN PEOPLE AWAY from the Bible.
>
> If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the
> tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and
abortion.
> He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.
>
> This guys a phoney and a fraud...
>
Surely you mean KC3AU, formerly of Pennsylvania, now in Georgia? I
remember him saying once that he doesn't have a religion, doesn't
believe in religion, but he believes in Christ. Whatever. As for
provoking fellow amateurs, I remember him saying once that if he didn't
act the way he did he would be in trouble, and cited the Apostles as an
example.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4932. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88s6laplm1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
>As a Tech with a EE who just took the Extra test....
>Well, me and another guy took 4B with about 2 hours worth of studying. And
>both of us haven't designed RF circuits in many years.

I hadn't been scuba diving "in many years" yet on a recent dive,
everything came back to me as if I hadn't taken any time off at
all. Don't discount your memory in regards to what once was second
nature to you.

Jeff

4933. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88s6bmpku1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
>Heck no. That's what they built computers for! Why send at 5 WPM when you
>can let the computer do it at much faster speeds!

Please don't encourage them to send at a faster rate than they can
receive!! =:o

Jeff

4934. Free FCC Test preparation on the web

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Campbell <dcmpbell@flash.net>
Message-ID: <210220001227409361%dcmpbell@flash.net>
Source record: 118874

We have created a generalized mechanism for delivering exams across the internet, and just added the FCC exams. We are still building on it, but we now think it is something we should share, as a free service.

Right now we are providing the FCC Ameteur, Technical and General Exams that are to be administered after April 15, 2000 (many thanks to the guys at ARRL).

We also have the FAA Recreational, Private, Instrument, Commercial, Certified Flight Instructor, and Designated Examiner questions and answers for Glider, Airplane and Helicopters. Additional exams, including the ATP, ATC and knowledge tests are coming soon.

http://www.webexams.com

It is free. We are not charging or requiring e-mail addresses.

You can take predefined or randomly generated tests, design your own tests, browse through questions and answers, have exams and questions emailed to you, and more. Exams can be timed or open-ended, and you can pause or suspend exams as interruptions occur. You can track your progress, and focus on your own weak areas, all free of charge.

Visitors to the site have told us it is very helpful in preparing for the FAA written exams, and a fantastic confidence builder.

We are very excited about providing this free, the way we think it should be, and would love to have feedback on how to improve the work we have done. In addition, if there are other, non-FAA exams you would like to see up, please let us know!

Thanks,
Dave

4935. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88s7qeqcu1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
>NO ONE IS GETTING A HANDOUT. Everyone is meeting the FCC's qualification
>requirements as they are being presented to us. Those loudmouths seem to
>ignore completly that the original requirements were 5 WPM and answering
>7 questions to use HF. And I certainly think that the hams of 1913 were
>as much "real hams" as any that followed them.

"...answering 7 questions..."

1. Explain how a receiver works.
2. Draw a diagram of a receiver, labeling the value of each component
and describing its purpose.
3. Explain how a transmitter works.
4. Draw a diagram of a transmitter, labeling the value of each component
and describing its purpose.
5., 6., and 7. were questions pertaining to national and international
communication laws.

These were essay-type questions, and as the feds were fond of saying,
"What you don't write, you don't know."

>We "may" provide a service, but it is not one of the requirements.

S97.1 (below) is our "fundamental purpose"; nothing is mentioned about
it being optional.

Jeff

97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
principles:
(a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
respect to providing emergency communications.
(b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute
to the advancement of the radio art.
(c) Encouragement and improvement of the amateur service through rules which
provide for advancing skills in both the communications and technical phases
of the art.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio service of
trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.
(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance
international goodwill.

4936. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B1A3A1.F3D05156@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
> >Heck no. That's what they built computers for! Why send at 5 WPM when you
> >can let the computer do it at much faster speeds!
>
> Please don't encourage them to send at a faster rate than they can
> receive!! =:o

My SCS PTC2e HF modem receives Morse code faster than I can.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4937. 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Walton <matt@okdirect.com>
Message-ID: <7Jhs4.2498$gE6.261489@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 118877
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at the Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of 30 miles or so.

Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..

Matthew Walton
matt@okdirect.com

4938. a message to N0VFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88s8od$n5t$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118878

Lloyd,
I've already told you I find you offensive beyond belief and to not send me personal e-mails. If you want to post your regular anti-Semitic, anti-government and anti-Black posts taken from your white extremists' rantings and ravings, then do so here but keep them out of my personal mailbox. I find your politics disgusting and non-American and want nothing personally to do with you. I hope I made myself clear.
Doc.AF2Y

Hey, little Jew Read this:

Here is something you should know:

Dear Newswatch Magazine Listeners/Readers:

Senator Bill Bradley, while campaigning in New Hampshire, was asked a few straight-forward questions that should concern every American. Vice President Al Gore was asked the same questions in a separate interview. One question pertained to foreign troops on American soil. Bradley denied knowing anything about foreign troops in America. Al Gore denied it; then added that Americans should not be concerned or worried if Russian troops were here because it will just add to the possibility of attaining "peace." HOW? When Russia and China just signed a military working agreement to help each other against their perceived number one enemy - the United States!

Both Democratic candidates were asked another question of significance. If the Federal Government tried to become a tyranny, could a State or any number of States secede from the Union? Senator Bradley stated without any qualifications to his statement: NO! Vice President Gore answered that we have already fought a war to determine whether secession was legal or not. When BOTH candidates were confronted with the fact that secession was taught in the military academy by federal funds that every State had a "right" to secede, they pleaded ignorance.

William Rawle, first U.S. District Attorney of the U.S., wrote the textbook that taught the legality of secession if the Federal Government stepped "outside" the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution. He wrote: "The Union is an ASSOCIATION of the people of Republics [independent nation States]; It depends on the State itself, to retain or ABOLISH the principle of representation; BECAUSE it depends on itself, whether it will continue a member of the Union. To DENY this RIGHT, would be inconsistent with the principles on which ALL our political systems are founded:..." (A View of the Constitution, by William Rawle, p. 9 & 235).

Historian Alexis de Tocqueville addressed the question of secession: "The Union was formed by the VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT of the States; and these, in

uniting together, have NOT FORFEITED the Nationality, nor have they been REDUCED to the condition of one and the same people. If one of the States chose to withdraw its name FROM THE CONTRACT, it would be DIFFICULT to DISPROVE its RIGHT of doing so..." (Democracy in America, by Alexis de Tocqueville, Vol. 1, p. 387).

Abraham Lincoln said secession was a "sacred right" (The Real Lincoln, Charles L. C. Minor, p. 66). Yet he was influenced to violate his own words by those who surrounded him in Washington, D.C. Jefferson Davis, only president of the Confederacy, predicted that the issue of State's Rights over which the Civil War was really fought was NOT resolved by war and would rise again. It has resurfaced again.

For example: Louisiana, by popular vote of the entire State, passed Amendment 11 to their State Constitution that reaffirmed State's Rights. They said the Federal Government could NOT step outside its legal boundaries expressed in the Constitution of the U.S. If the federal government stepped outside of its limited and delegated authority, Louisiana would not obey its regulations.

Arizona just voted out of committee to be voted on by its full House of Representatives HCR-2034 that gives it the RIGHT to secede from the Union if the Federal Government declares Martial Law in a State without the States permission. Arizona could also secede from the Union if the Federal Government tried to disarm the people by calling for gun confiscation.

Why is this important for every freedom loving American and Christian to understand? President Clinton has stated that if Congress did not approve his proposed legislation [Socialistic] that he would by-pass Congress and write Executive Orders. Executive Orders were to be directed to his staff on HOW to carry out the laws passed by Congress - NOT to create law by the Executive Branch of government. Clinton has already written E.O. 12656, which combined with other Executive Orders, can consolidate presidential power into his hands and abolish STATE'S RIGHTS and CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS BY DECREE. It is rumored that Alaska and Alabama are also seeking steps to secede from the Union IF the Federal Government continues its present course of taking us into a One-World-Government under the United Nations.

Senator Jesse Helmes blistered the U.N. Security Council's ears in January 2000 and said: BACK OFF!

Sincerely,

David J. Smith

Special Offers!
ORDER: Newswatch Magazine - one year: $24.00___

All back issues still available of Newswatch:$31.00___

Cassette Tape #985 The Lull Before the Storm......$10.00_____

Video Tape #985 The Lull Before the Storm.....$25.00_____

Cassette Tapes #781-A&B State's Rights.....$18.00_____

Videos #781-A&B State's Rights.....$40.00_____

Book: America On the Eve of Destruction.....$10.00_____

Visa, Master, Discover Cards: 1-800-516-8736 OR ONLINE ORDERING!

Listen to Newswatch Magazine:

WWL 870 AM Dial 10:30-12PM CST M-F (33 states)

WWKB 1520 AM Dial 10:30PM-12:30AM EST M-F East: Canada-SC

KAAY 1090 AM Dial 10:45 PM CST M-F Midwest and Florida

Shortwave:

WWCR 5.070 6-8 AM & 5-6 PM CST M-F

WWCR 3.215 7-8 PM CST M-F

LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

-----Original Message-----
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: Monday, February 21, 2000 2:59 AM
Subject: Michigan Primary

4939. ACS Newsletter for 2/21/00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88s8ciqpq1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118879
Cross-posted to: alt.firefighters,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.info

Welcome to the Auxiliary Communications Service Newsletter, developed by the ACS Training Unit of the State of California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. Please visit our ACS Home Page at http://acs.oes.ca.gov

The purpose of this weekly newsletter is to bring those of us in emergency communications closer together by sharing common ideas, and by introducing new technology into the emergency services community. All individuals with an interest in emergency communications, disaster preparedness or helping the community at large are encouraged to participate here in an open forum.

You will find a virtual community here, with representatives from many different organizations with the same common goal: emergency response. We encourage everyone, from whatever organization you represent (or just yourself!) to bring us your ideas, suggestions, concerns, manuals, handbooks, or opinions so that we may share them with the group. To those of you who have just joined us, welcome aboard!

.....

Please Welcome:

Joe Carlson / WPOJ430 <joecarlson@home.com>
Livermore, CA

Jon S. Van Allen - KF7YN <yonnie@att.net>
West Jordan, UT

The ACS newsletter is the most informative real-world look at communications to come along in quite awhile. I find it very interesting and enjoyable.

.....

>From the Mailbag:

GEORGIA AMATEURS RESPOND TO TORNADO DISASTER

Amateur Radio operators responded in force after tornadoes struck rural extreme southwestern Georgia this week, killing at least 18 and injuring around 100 others. The storms wiped out nearly 200 houses. Georgia Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Rogers, KR4OL, reports that hams from nearby communities including Moultrie and Albany turned out to help.

Twisters generating winds in excess of 150 MPH leveled a housing development in the town of Camilla, in Mitchell County late Sunday and early Monday. The town was one of the worst-hit areas. The storm also tore roofs from houses and flattened mobile homes. The storm caught many by surprise since warnings were not issued until after residents had retired for the night.

Georgia Gov Roy Barnes declared a state of emergency in Colquitt, Grady, Mitchell and Tift counties. Georgia Emergency Management Agency reports emergency shelters have been set up in Camilla and Moultrie. The Salvation Army and the Red Cross also were involved in the recovery effort.

"Shelters are open, and the Georgia Baptist Hams are setting up feeding locations and assisting with the cleanup," Rogers said. An HF emergency net was established on 75 meters to coordinate activities, but the need for emergency communication was minimal since the telecommunications infrastructure was still intact.

Damage was put at $25 million. Thousands were left without power in Georgia and elsewhere as a result of the severe weather. The storm that wreaked havoc on Georgia was part of a system that also struck Arkansas, Tennessee, Mississippi and Alabama before moving into Georgia, Northeastern Florida and the Carolinas. (The ARRL Letter)

.....

Current Events:

West Valley ARA Announces Web-Based Exam Site

The West Valley Amateur Radio Association (WVARA)
is providing public access to its WVExam online
Amateur Radio exam practice software. It's located at

http://www.wvara.org/wvexam/

This web-based examination software was originally
written for WVARA's New Ham classes in 1996 and 1997.
It can be used for all current written exams until
April 14, 2000. Effective April 15 the new exam pools
become effective, and all exams taken April 15 and
later will be based on the new question pools. Until
then, though, the WVARA site can be an effective tool
for those who choose to beat the deadline by taking
upgrade examinations prior to April 15.
The following tests are available at the site:

Element 2 - Novice
Element 3A - Technician
Element 3B - General
Element 4A - Advanced
Element 4B - Extra

The software generates a random exam on a web form
the same way that the Volunteer Examiner Coordinator
(VEC) organizations would. These are from the same

published question pool as the actual exams so these
are real and effective practice. in addition, WVARA's
practice exams can be taken a section at a time as one
studies through other materials.

.....

This week's EMCOMM Bulletin:

EMC225 Statewide Comm Drill 5/5
To: Emergency Communications Units - Information Bulletin
To: Emergency Management Agencies via Internet and Radio
By: Auxiliary Communications Service (ACS) of the
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services

EMC225 Statewide Comm Drill 5/5 For release 2/21/2000

Continuation of a Statewide Communicatons Drill example:

"California Law Enforcement Radio System (CLERS) CLERS is a
statewide law enforcement point-to-point network. It was
designed and installed by the State of California. Virtually
every county and major city in the state has a control station.
It is comprised of 22 separate mountain top relay stations
interconnected through the state microwave system. It permits
contact from any member station to any other member station. In
addition to counties and cities, the State OES and California
Highway Patrol have stations. It is considered the backbone of
the statewide law enforcement emergency communications system.
Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
SOC/REOC
State Agencies
Operational Areas
Field Representatives
Law Regional Coordinators

California Law Enforcement Mutual Aid Radio System (CLEMARS)
CLEMARS is the California Law Enforcement Mutual Aid Radio
System. It should be noted that this is a system not just one
channel and is a logical extension of the law enforcement mutual
aid concept. The system is provided and managed by the
Governor's Office of Emergency Services (OES). A key aspect of
its design was to provide a frequency that would allow agencies
from different jurisdictions the ability to communicate on common
channels. CLEMARS includes frequencies on low band, very high
frequency band, ultra high frequency band and 800MHz band.
CLEMARS has been designated to permit use of certain channels for
necessary day-to-day operations, provided such use does not
interfere with a higher priority needed in that, or any
neighboring area. This is a tactical system so communications
checks should be done with your field units.

Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
SOC/REOC
State Agencies
Operational Areas
Field Representatives
Law Regional Coordinators

OES Fire Net The Fire and Rescue Branch Radio Communications Network is designed and equipped to provide two-way communication both on OES-controlled and locally controlled frequencies. This in-place system employs the use of two crossband channels operating through a network of mountain top repeaters interconnected by the state microwave system. Repeaters and microwave interconnect switches are tone controlled. OES Fire and Rescue communication equipment is designed and purchased to support the Statewide Fire and Rescue Mutual Aid System. Therefore, all mobile equipment is available during an emergency or incident to any fire agency upon request.
Yes Fail N/A
Warning Center
SOC/REOC
State Agencies
Operational Areas
Field Representatives
Fire Regional Coordinators"

(The Auxiliary Communications System is separately tested.)

" DRILL SUMMARY / COMMENTS:"

(end of an example of a state communications drill in 5 parts.)

To subscribe to bulletins, use the Subscription Services
web page at <http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html>. If
you don't have web access, just send an e-mail message to
<mailto:emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>.

.....

Please let others in the emergency services field know of the
newsletter, and encourage them to join... If you have opinions,
suggestions or written material that you'd like to share with
the group, let us know. We're always looking for new ideas and
suggestions that will benefit everyone.

See you next week!

Dave Larton N6JQJ
Deputy State ACS Officer
Auxiliary Communications Service
Telecommunications Unit

Information Technology Branch
Governor's Office of Emergency Services
State of California

(408) 778-7265
(408) 778-3101 FAX

larton@garlic.com
David_Larton@oes.ca.gov

Special thanks to ACS Listmaster Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>

Thanks also to the State ACS Training Unit:
Mark Hartman <mh@harthaven.com>
Don Lynn <delynnj@msg.pacbell.com>
Perrin Larton <perrin@garlic.com>

SUBSCRIBING/UNSUBSCRIBING:

Contact ACS List Subscription Services at

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/subscribe.html

If you don't have Internet access, and you want to:

- Subscribe
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your new address, please send an e-mail message to the
appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-sub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-sub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you
sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must
reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the
action happen.

IF YOU WANT TO:
- Cancel your subscription
- Change your subscription from one address to another

>From your currently-subscribed address, please send an e-mail
message to the appropriate address, as shown below:

For the ACS-NEWSLETTER list:
<acs-newsletter-unsub@harthaven.com>

For the EMCOMM-BULLETIN list:
<emcomm-bulletin-unsub@harthaven.com>

The subject and body of the message will be ignored.

You'll receive an e-mail message to the account from which you sent the message requesting confirmation of the action; you must reply to this message within 24 hours in order to make the action happen.

If you have tried this procedure and can't get it to work, please contact <listmaster at harthaven.com> and place "Manual request" in the subject line. Be sure to include both your old and new addresses, if applicable.

4940. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38B1A88B.764474E5@cats-net.com>
Source record: 118880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal wrote:

> I like the FCCs term, "[meeting] the qualifications".

I do too, especially since it was just a couple of years ago that Len
and Carl were disagreeing with me when I used that very term here.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

4941. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88s8p8$dv1@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

thanatos999@my-deja.com wrote:
: In article <38B056B6.4CEFCE2B@bctv.com>,
: kw@bctv.com wrote:
: > James Rosenthal wrote:
: > > Keith Wood (kw@bctv.com) wrote:
: > > : James Rosenthal wrote:
: > > : > Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
: > > : > : Art Harris wrote:
: > > : > [snip]

: > > : ALL Extras are "Welfare" Extras. Not one of them paid for his or
: her license or for the spectrum they consider "theirs."
: > >
: > > *None* of us has "paid" for his license ("fees" excluded). Why don't
: you explain to us exactly how much they paid?
: > >
: > > Please notice that I said; "What's strange is that *YOU* would now
: call *them* WEs with your broad brush of bigotry". What -are- you trying
: to prove?
: >
: > I'm not an Extra yet. The phrase was "Welfare Extras," used by
: current Extras as "proof" that they are somehow better than the Extras to
: come. I was pointing out that the current Extras are taking the same
: "handout" that the new Extras will be.

: What 'handout' is this? When you work for something it is earned...
: there is no 'handout'.

How much an hour did you get paid? You mean you learned all the
qualifications to become a ham while getting paid to do it? Please point
out Part 97 paragaph that states the -amount- of *work* required.

: When they whine for it, or benefit from those who whined for it, then it
: is not earned, and it IS a handout.

: Nothing you have ranted changes that one whit.

I think you're -both- wrong! You "meet the qualifications"... just read the
requirements the FCC states it that way. Nothing to do with "work/effort"
etc. What ever it takes - a very small amount or decades of trying.

: > Myself, I consider it WORKFARE. We are given something valuable by
: the Feds, with the understanding that we will provide service to the
: > community in a couple of different ways. I have yet to figure out
: what service we are providing when we whine and gripe about a rule change

: > which will help us provide better service.

: I have yet to see a legitimate and credible explanation as to how
: REDUCING STANDARDS (which no matter how you slice it this 'restructuring
: ' IS... reducing standards) will in any way 'help us provide better
: service'.

FUD + *opinion* <> FACT
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

4942. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron H <rnharsh@visi.com>
Message-ID: <VRhs4.393$%A3.10446@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 118882
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thanks Dan! In the good old days one just set the transmitter to whatever frequency you wanted (or had crystals for) and then tuned around that freq. for callers. My rig has a +/- offset knob and while I generally use + offset that's only because I get more responses that way. I have noted that the CW position is the same as the USB position for the receiver filters.

I take it from your message that there isn't really a "standard" but CW on all bands is generally done with a + offset i.e. 14100.0 CW is really 14100.8 or so transmit freq????

73 & thanks K3PID

4943. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38b1a49b.1436024@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 118883
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Here is some simple advice, Go get your ham license and then get the
radio. With the use of many repeaters on the 2 meter band, you may go
further than 30 miles maybe 60 or so. There are a few here in
California that reach as far as 150 miles that I know of...

Hope this helps!!!

73, John Johnson

On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 21:01:55 GMT, "Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com>
wrote:

>A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
>communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at the
>Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of 30
>miles or so.
>
>Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
>appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
>
>Matthew Walton
>matt@okdirect.com
>
>
>

The KF6FOZ BBS
Redding, CA.
530-229-0896

Visit my Website for other stuff and more info on
the BBS. Even a good list of Sale and Swap sites
on there.
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm

4944. Re: WATCH THE RAIN REPORT BARRY VT GOING DOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88q4nm$6dp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118922

In article <88p8k3$f2v$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Tolassi reminds me of simp of an imp whose better days are long gone.
I read
> much about his callsign being dropped from the FCC database in hopes
of his
> ham radio demise altogether. I think not. His call was deleted
because it
> signified an ADVANCED but when he was called in for re-testing, he
aced just
> the General portion.
>
> ----------
> In article <88gnhbuig1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
wrote:
>
> >
> > LOL..keep watching the Rain Report...........
> > That means Tolassi will be back trolling the playgrounds instead of
> > causing trouble on 20 meters, accusing people of qrming that didn't
> > even key their mikes.
>
I'm sorry....I just cain't resist. Makes you wonder how much he payed
the first time around don't it? Guess the "good buddy" wasn't
available when he retested......David Tolassi THE INCREDIBLE SHRINKING
BRAIN. Oh and BTW I'm waiting with baited breath for the next shoe to
drop. Bye dipshit.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4945. David: THE INCREDIBLE SHRINKING BRAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88q4r9$6fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118923

Remember when I told you I was going to make you "my project"??

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4946. YOU DECIDE WHICH ONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Act Right On 3950 <frequencycaptain@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88q95l$93t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Go here and then YOU choose.

--
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4947. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: thanatos999@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88qf9h$d69$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <38B056B6.4CEFCE2B@bctv.com>,
kw@bctv.com wrote:
>
>
> James Rosenthal wrote:
> >
> > Keith Wood (kw@bctv.com) wrote:
> > : James Rosenthal wrote:
> > : > Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com) wrote:
> > : > : Art Harris wrote:
> > : > [snip]
> >
> > : > Jim said;
> > : > There are *written* tests that are part of the requirements. If
those
> > : > present day 13 WPM Generals and Advanced are that interested in
more
> > : > frequenices, they can take Elements 4(A) and 4(B) right now just
like
> > : > anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call
*them* WEs
> > : > with your broad brush of bigotry.
> >
> > : > anybody else can. What's strange is that *YOU* would now call
*them* WEs
> > : > with your broad brush of bigotry.
> >
> > : ALL Extras are "Welfare" Extras. Not one of them paid for his or
her
> > : license or for the spectrum they consider "theirs."
> >
> > *None* of us has "paid" for his license ("fees" excluded). Why don't
you
> > explain to us exactly how much they paid?
> >
> > Please notice that I said; "What's strange is that *YOU* would now
call
> > *them* WEs with your broad brush of bigotry". What -are- you trying
to prove?
>
> I'm not an Extra yet. The phrase was "Welfare Extras," used by
current
> Extras as "proof" that they are somehow better than the Extras to
```

come.
> I was pointing out that the current Extras are taking the same
"handout"
> that the new Extras will be.

What 'handout' is this? When you work for something it is earned...
there is no 'handout'.

When they whine for it, or benefit from those who whined for it, then it
is not earned, and it IS a handout.

Nothing you have ranted changes that one whit.

> Myself, I consider it WORKFARE. We are given something valuable by
the
> Feds, with the understanding that we will provide service to the
> community in a couple of different ways. I have yet to figure out
what
> service we are providing when we whine and gripe about a rule change
> which will help us provide better service.

I have yet to see a legitimate and credible explanation as to how
REDUCING STANDARDS (which no matter how you slice it this 'restructuring
' IS... reducing standards) will in any way 'help us provide better
service'.

You are more than welcome to try and present such however.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4948. Re: Uniden HR2600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: crouchingllama@netscape.net
Message-ID: <38b085a6.353794018@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 118926

I hear an echo...

On 20 Feb 2000 21:40:33 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
wrote:

>In article <38b0277d$0$1402@news.execpc.com>, David Dropp <ddropp@execpc.com>
>writes:
>
>>For sale or trade Uniden HR2600 allmode radio covers the 10,11 and 12
>>meter bands 10 watts AM,FM and CW plus 25 on SSB. I have installed a
>>Chipswitch micro. to add band coverage and added memory channels for
>>more details on the micro go to there web site at chipswitch.com. Radio
>>is mint never been mobil reason for selling I bought a Icom 706. Feel
>>free to e-mail questions Dave
>
>Price?
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4949. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <38B1DE75.7FE07140@topnow.com>
Source record: 118927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N9NWO wrote:

> Sony has just announced a Family Service Radio that "trunks". Once you
> enter a subaudiable tone code, the radio scanns the 14 channels looking
> for an open channel before transmitting. Everyone one else with the Sony
> radio in your group (and the same code) locks on to that frequency.
>
> Why can't we hams have trunked repeaters? One good site and several
> repeaters. Then trunk groups for the various segments of the community.

I don't know if any FCC regulations would prevent trunking in the amateur service, but certainly there's no technological reason trunking couldn't be used. But why?

Basically, most of the places where I've listened to 2 meters (probably the busiest
of the ham bands over 30 Mhz), and even more so on 70 centimeters and 220 Mhz, most repeaters are quiet 95%+ of the time. Some repeaters just sit there and ID

from time to time, but carry no conversations, as far as I can tell. A few high-level
repeaters get used a lot more, but it's almost impossible to find someone to chat
with at 9pm on a weekday even on these repeaters.

Trunked simplex operation -- now that might find a niche if trunks could be divided
by interest group or intention (e.g. DX vs. ragchew.) It would be useful not so much
to alleviate congestion as to simplify choosing frequencies for mobile operators.

-- Ross

>
>
> : I would figure this is one of the last ditch efforts to keep the Ham Bands
> : available for "Amateur" operators. No matter what the requirements to get
> : into the system, all previously licensed groups will have a beef.
> : But, considering the current over-crowded spectrum which we enjoy to
> Listen
> : to as "Radio" communications, Watch as "television" communications, and
> : support as "Public Service" communications, it won't be long before better
> : communications equipment, able to work in the noiser "Amateur" bands is
> : available for license. Then the strong Financial Lobby called

> "Enterprise"
> : and "Public Interest" will begin taking a closer look at the current
> : "Amateur" bands as underutilized and request the FCC redress and reassign
> : the bands under the guise of "Public Interest" This of course will mean a
> : loss of precious communications spectrum to the highest bidder, as has
> : happened in other areas of the spectrum.
> :
> : Now I know this will probably incite a small riot, but look at the
> : advantages. Sure there will be a few people with more money than they
> know
> : what to do with that will buy the biggest-baddest Ham set they can afford.
> : But this won't be the masses as envisioned (although three or four
> : over-zealous operators per band are more than enough) Given all of the
> : other anonymous mediums for self expression most of the average citizens
> : will choose the route of "least investment"
> :
> : On the optimistic flipside, the bands will get better utilized, and as
> such
> : will become less of a target for the above mentioned group. The law of
> : supply and demand will afford the astute amateur to gain better access to
> : equipment due to lower costs, and thus putting amateur equipment into the
> : hands of young lads and lasses who find Radio and RF propagation an
> : interesting hobby.
> :
> : Needless to say I see this move as an ability to breath new life into a
> : generation and a hobby which are fast becoming a thing of the past.
> :
> : Just my .02

4950. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000220195845.14218.00000754@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118928

NOT Hardly. BUSH would be the one. He sure has been good for Texas.

4951. F/A: Azden PCS-4000 2m mobile & Cobra 134 converted to 10m. <3 hours left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000220202328.13363.00002086@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 118929

The Azden is currently bid at only $66.00 and is at:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=260761103

The Cobra is currently bid at just $26.00 and is at:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=260728594

Bid now! Bid often!

4952. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000220211633.17541.00000815@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 118930

That's the problem I notice that a lot of Democrats like McCain. Makes me wonder why. Now you just piped in on McCain side and from your statements it's obvious your a democrat. I guess McCain is liberal enough for the friends of Bill

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

4953. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000220211956.17541.00000816@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 118931

Oh by the way - Mccain was involved in the Keating 5 scandal - you know - the one where all of the savings and loans went belly up. He managed to arm twist his way out of it. I lived in AZ for 14 years, not taking anything from his mil. service but, I haven't seen him do a damn thing for the state.

4954. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88q818bvu1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote in message <88nlbhcpm1@news.hawaii.edu>...
>>In rec.radio.scanner N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:

>>>Sorry, but the masses have already discovered the Internet.
>>>They do not need amateur radio.

>>Those poor, leashed folks. They're helplessly dependent upon
>>untold billions of dollars of computer equipment belonging to
>>thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions of miles
>>of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens of
>>thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
>>running.
>>
>>Hams, on the other hand, have not such dependence. It's the *only*
>>communications medium, in which any two operators anywhere in the
>>world, can communicate directly without using government or commercial
>>communication services. Those same two operators don't even need to
>>purchase commercially-built radios -- they can build them themselves.

>So what.

That's it? That's your only response? I guess I left you speechless...

Jeff

4955. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38b0a460.19295264@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Feb 2000 13:28:26 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Art Harris wrote:
>> You know, you bring up an interesting point. The FCC/ARRL always say
>> that the examination requirements should correlate to the privileges
>> earned. But here we have a situation where current General and Advanced
>> ticket holders (who passed 13 WPM) are banned from the very CW segments
>> that the 5-WPM Welfare Extras will inherit. Very strange.
>
> Not strange at all, Art. It's the output of a regulatory
>agency which has only the most vague idea of what it is they
>are regulating, and really couldn't care less about the
>results.

Too bad Dick never actually talks to any of
those at the FCC. If he did, he'd know better.
Unless you buy into the big conspiracy theory
that some spout, you'll find the FCC folks that
deal with amateur radio to be dedicated,
knowledgeable AND they do CARE about the
service.

No cheers for Dick,
Bill K2UNK

4956. Re: AC3AU provokes his fellow man to ANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14129-38B3055D-48@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118684

Genuine Telecron,

The fact that someone possesses unique knowledge doesn't make him a well integrated person nor free of mental disorders.

How can you comment on my conversations with John to which you are not privy? Admitting all of your allegations for purposes of argument, I reinterate: John did know DSM-IV extremely well when we conversed. And his misbehavior doesn't automatically render all of his information null and void, especially when there is corroboration.

Despite the negatives of the human condition, most people do have redeeming qualities, if we look for them.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4957. Re: AOL, Customer Oriented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14129-38B30819-50@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118685

Rich,

Yes, AOL may be motivated by the New York action, but that doesn't dampen my feeling of good will. Nor do I claim that AOL is a charitable institution.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4958. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Beckman <karl.beckman@motorola.com>
Message-ID: <88v14d$jj9$1@schbbs.mot.com>
Source record: 118686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

DAN -

Not all manufacturers use the "Normal" USB convention, nor do they all shift
the indicated frequency between modes. The Kenwood line (my TS-440)
transmits the carrier exactly where shown on the dial, with no jump in
indicated frequency between USB, LSB, CW, AM, or FSK, and listens inverted
(LSB) in the CW and FSK modes.
That said, it doesn't make a bit of difference listening on the air except
when tuning to raise or lower the beat note. However, it's a lot easier to
stay in-band when the dial ALWAYS indicates the transmit carrier frequency,
whether suppresed or transmitted.
--
Karl Beckman WA8NVW

mailto:kbeckman@stratos.net

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:88rmoe$7js$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <K1Ur4.126$%A3.3483@ptah.visi.com>,
> "Ron H" <rnharsh@visi.com> wrote:
> > Does the direction of the offset between transmit and receive
> frequencies
> > for CW follow the LSB USB convention on HF? i.e. is the transmitter
> offset
> > below the received freq. on bands where LSB is common and vise
> versa...???
> >
> > 73
> > K3PID@arrl.net
> >
> >
> "Normal" cw is done in the USB position on a conventional modern day
> transceiver. The actual signal transmitted and received must be offset
> to allow a "tone" to be heard. Normally this is about 700 to 850
> cycles. Some digital displays show this offset automaticaly and others
> do not. On my 1000MP when you switch to CW from USB you will see the
> display change to +700 . I.E. 14.000.00 on SSB will read 14.OOO.70
> This allows the offset cw note to be heard on the 14.000.00 frequency.
> (at the 700 cycle offset).
>
> This allows the "center frequency" to be properly positioned in the SSB
> filter passband. One can (on my rig at least) switch to LSB CW and
> transmit and receive CW on the lower side of the frequency. Sometimes
> this helps to elliminate QRM, or to be heard on a LSB frequency when

> you go to CW. Otherwise you would be zero beat and not be heard.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

4959. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88v43q$mn5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118687

<covert62@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:88t1qr$6hk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Did Dimmy get himself a ARRL lawyer again? Did he not pay his rent
> AGAIN? Did he have to move back into the bread truck. I hear that they
> don't sell slinnkys any more. What is he going to do fer a antenna??
> Well it doesn't matter he'll sell his radio gear to pay for a ARRL
> attorney and lie before the Dallas GA court AGAIN! I wonder how much
> longer the Dallas court system will allow Dimmy to do this?? What a
> loser! Dimmy you are such a loser! I wonder how many rocks he will
have
> to sell this time to pay for that ARRL attorney? I wonder if the
> sheriff of Pauldin County knows about his rock activity?? Dimmy got
> tossed out of the Navy fo0r the dope charge. Can you imagin 14 years
of
> ones life wasted just to get tossed out for DOPE. WHAT A IDOIT!
> Have a nice day
> Dimmy your still a loser and will always be a loser in my book
> 73 from
> your friend
> Covert62

LOL! I heard that. Dimmy, possum belly, mr.crackhead, etc. is a living
example for abortion.
Possum Belly, or Dimmy called me on the phone once and actually wanted
to initiate a phone sex conversation. He became very incensed when he
found out that I didn't swing that way. This may accoiunt for his
attacks on me in this nesgroup.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4960. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88v458moo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118688

<covert62@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:88t1qr$6hk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Did Dimmy get himself a ARRL lawyer again? Did he not pay his rent
> AGAIN? Did he have to move back into the bread truck. I hear that they
> don't sell slinnkys any more. What is he going to do fer a antenna??
> Well it doesn't matter he'll sell his radio gear to pay for a ARRL
> attorney and lie before the Dallas GA court AGAIN! I wonder how much
> longer the Dallas court system will allow Dimmy to do this?? What a
> loser! Dimmy you are such a loser! I wonder how many rocks he will
have
> to sell this time to pay for that ARRL attorney? I wonder if the
> sheriff of Pauldin County knows about his rock activity?? Dimmy got
> tossed out of the Navy fo0r the dope charge. Can you imagin 14 years
of
> ones life wasted just to get tossed out for DOPE. WHAT A IDOIT!
> Have a nice day
> Dimmy your still a loser and will always be a loser in my book
> 73 from
> your friend
> Covert62

LOL! I heard that. Dimmy, possum belly, mr.crackhead, etc. is a living
example for abortion.
Possum Belly, or Dimmy called me on the phone once and actually wanted
to initiate a phone sex conversation. He became very incensed when he
found out that I didn't swing that way. This may account for his
attacks on me in this newsgroup.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4961. Re: AC3AU (...a class-1 A-H)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88v4fk$n2g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118689

"possum belly" is thrice-convicted drug dealer Jimmy O' Brien.
Obviously, he had to have an exception during his ve exam, because the
ve's surely had to read the questions to him.

--
73 de KC8JBO/Roger

Blue nipple juice <smyt@aol.com> wrote in message news:RHCs4.2325
$2E1.43528@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Tell me Possum Belly, do you always write like the below..?
>
> Did you graduate Magna Cum Laude in Ebonics?
>
> Or are you just another trailer house dwelling W.T.I.A. ?
>
>
> possum belly wrote in message <88um5ebsn1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <88ufmp$6kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > part97@my-deja.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> As if you never talked about people who have lost loved ones, had
> >> terminal deseases, Looks like the Big Porkbutt Pot gut calling the
> >> kettle black. I don't believe that tale about Brother John and Rube
> >for
> >> a minute. You are still telling lies and are not contrite about
your
> >> awful activities on amateur radio. You are right where you need to
be,
> >> off amateur radio!!
> >>
> >>
> >
> >DR part SIR do them thair wurds frum porky reed like the wurds of a
man
> >wid a contright hart??????????? do he seam even a lil repentatent to
> >you???? he dont seams that way to me. i herd that. he seam like he
stil
> >fool up a hate an spight. jus like his tooter DR hate the ole queer
> >dadDy. wat do you thing bout that?????? is i rite or is i
> >rong????????????? i ask this hear quest onion - do he bee long on
the
> >radio???????????? or do he bee long were he is. do in hard time in
radio
> >prison??????? i here sum the hams say in it was rong fer MR riley to

> >trik porky off the air. i say it was rite. coz you got be a triker to
> >cats a triker. i herd that. them thair nomads is wily an fool a
triks.
> >am i rite?????? say amen won time. i herd that. so i a proves a MR
riley
> >trik in porky. he fed that big ole carp a doe ball. an he cot the
> >bigist lardo qrmer ever hit ham a chair radio. BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHA
like
> >ole elongitated deedformed hed woger screem when he butt plug in
granny
> >wideman. i herd that. an herbie baby #1 stil hear!!!!!!!! so let it
be
> >ritten. so let it be dun. amen.
> >
> >--
> >DR Possum Eugene Belly
> >Rockit Siamtist
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
> >
>
>

And the BLABTIST "preacher" actually admitted he MEMORIZED the answers to the questions for his exams. The only reason he wanted a ham license is to spread his particular rhetoric/agenda over the airwaves, since he was rejected by the high powered commercial religious stations.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4962. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FORPRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88v4n0$n5i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118690

possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:88uqbnfdc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA
> like ole elongitated deedformed hed woger chuckle evry time Rufus is
> swab in his poop deck.

Dimmy know ALL ABOUT being sodomized by a black man, it happened when
he was incarcerated in the GA prison system. Isn't that right, Dimmy?

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4963. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: usedcar@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88v52pnge1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>,
vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my
League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system.
I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL
>
Good, we won"t miss you. BYE.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4964. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <88v5rp$nv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38b20632_4@news1.prserv.net>,
"Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net> wrote:

N.B. : En espanol se dice "Rico".. En Ingles se dice "Rich".
>
> Does Wexelbaum get paid by the word or something?

No Roger, I do not get paid for anything that I type here. I do it as a non-profit ham. Whatever I say ...I say ham to ham, as I am not a professional writer...or a lawyer....or even a good speller. I am just a ham, who is now retired from the profit making world.
> --
>
> Roger J. Buffington
> W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net
> ____________________
>
> Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
> Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

You have a nice web-site Roger. I did visit it.
Did you get paid for making your web-site or do you just charge it to your law firm's legal operating expenses???...or would you refuse to answer such questions on legal grounds???...or as a ham with ground conductivity or radials?

Objections not SUSTAINABLE.

> "Politicians are the same the world over...
> They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
> -- Nikita Khruschev

Hmmm...Seems I read that before and responded to it. Why don't you come up with something new?...so that I can have a chance to respond with something new?

Respectful 73,

Robert I. Wexelbaum
aka Bob Wex to friends and/or hams.
W2ILP (Intelligent Long Poster)

> "Bob Wexelbaum, w2ilp" <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:88s9sflva1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > --
> > Hi Cecil...

> > Your above question is not so easy to answer because we must
> > consider first what we mean by "knowledgeable". If the Ham-Tech is
> the
> > type of Ham who builds stuff from scratch and reads a lot of
> applicable
> > literature he might be more knowledgeable than a degreed professor
> of
> > EE in many respects. The professor might know more about the theory
> > and math that can be applied to a situation but the Ham may solve
> his
> > technical problems by efficiently applying logical trial and error
> > methods. The professor may not even be able to do lab work or
> > troubleshooting without help from practical technicians.
> > I have been reading an interesting essay by Murray Gell-Mann,
> > the Nobel Prize winning Physist, entitled "Transitions of a More
> > Sustainable World". I couldn't help but see some relevance to what
> has
> > been discussed here in raap. Let me quote from Gell-Mann.
> >
> > "Now what is meant here by sustainable? In 'Through the Looking
> > Glass' Humpty Dumpty explains to Alice how he uses words to mean
> > anything he wants, paying them for the privilage each Saturday night
> > (the end of the nineteenth-century work week). These days a great
> many
> > people must be paying wages to the word 'sustainable.' For example,
> if
> > the World Bank finances some old-fashioned massive development
> project,
> > destructive to the environment, that project may well be
> > labled 'sustainable development' in the hope of making it more
> > applicable.
> > This practice reminds me of the Monty Python routine in which a
> man
> > enters an office to get a license for his pet fish, Eric. Told that
> > there is no such thing as a fish license, he points out that he has
> > received the same reply when he asked about a cat license, but that
> he
> > has one anyway. Producing it he is told 'That is not a cat license.
> > That is a dog license with the word "dog" crossed out and the
> > word "cat" written in in pencil."
> > <end of the Gell-Mann quote>
> >
> > Now, I too used Humpty Dumpty when some ARRL Director wanted to
> change
> > the name of the ARRL. So far they haven't changed that name. Could
> it
> > be because of our long thread on this raap NG called "Whats in a
> Name?"
> >
> > Now I want to do a parody on the Monty Python routine just for

> laughs...
> > or for anything you might want to attribute to SUSTAINABLE
> > restructuring.
> > As you can see Professor Gell-Mann used the Monty Python routine
> > effectively. So here goes:
> >
> > A man arrives at a Ham VE session and says "I want to get an
> Extra
> > Class Ham license for my pet fish, Eric." To which a VE in charge
> > replys "Come back after April 15th and we can give him a Citizen's
> > Multi-Band license." You might think that this is silly. Why would
> > anyone want to license his pet fish? Maybe the man just wanted
> another
> > vanity call sign. He could buy one for an Extra Class fish.... and
> > since the fish resides at his QTH, he then could borrow the licensed
> > fish's call which is better than bootlegging with a fishy call.
> >
> > The above is a joke. The FCC does not have CMB in their data
> > base......yet.
> >
> > Hams need MORE license classes...or at least some real official
> > technical endorsements,
> > 73,
> > Bob Wex, w2ilp (Ignore Licensed Platties?) BUT be kind to
> grandfathered
> > Novice guppies....It's a hobby.
> > IEEE Life Member Amateur Extra Class

A man's legs should be long enough to reach
the ground.
--Abraham Lincoln--

A ham's message to this NG should be long
enough to reach its conclusion.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4965. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B3151D.D5702EE2@icss.net>
Source record: 118693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
>
> >>>"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
> >>>get much MORE than what they pay for.
>
> >>I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
> >>"noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
> >>(FCC words).
>
> >None whatsoever?
>
> None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.

Wrong. Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

> >Do you pay repeater dues?
>
> Of course; dues pays for the repeater equipment. The club makes absolutely
> no profit (that would violate its non-profit status).

It would also violate it's amateur status.

> >Do you send a buck with your QSL for that one you really need?
>
> Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.

Some folks. There are far rarer "dx" than hawaii.

> >> That you don't know this shows you really don't under-
> >> stand the regulations.
>
> >And you bend and break them to fit whatever you need at the moment.
>
> Yet you can't provide an example of me bending or breaking any rules.
> Furthermore, always keep a copy of the "Associated Press Stylebook and
> Libel Manual" next to your keyboard so as to avoid getting yourself in
> trouble.
>
> Jeff

Jeff, I can only go by what you say. You say you will deliberately ADD "swing" to your CW QSO so as to make it unintelligible for Cecil. You can take it from there.

4966. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38675FEC3E770612.B76870851BDD20F6.797731BBF0DF1E3C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 118694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> >
> > Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.
>

Guess why some folks want my cards? <G>

Kim W5TIT

4967. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B31A0D.E447D00A@icss.net>
Source record: 118695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >>>> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> >>>> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> >>>> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
>
> >>>That's getting very close to being illegal, Jeff. Tsk, tsk.
> >>>Deliberately disguising your transmission is illegal.
>
> >> Just because your modem can't copy that swing doesn't make it
> >> illegal.
>
> >Deliberately changing the specifications of Morse Code so as to hide the
> >meaning???
>
> Nothing is being hidden. Any proficient Morse op can copy it by ear.

But you knew Cecil was copying with his xxx modem and you deliberately
changed the specification of the Morse Code so as to obscure its meaning!!!

> >Sure its illegal.
>
> No it's not. Anyone using a straight key, bug, or side swiper will
> have a swing. It's impossible to manually time one's dahs to
> exactly fit the standard 1-to-3 dit-to-dah timing ratio. My swing
> didn't bother the FCC examiner back in '76.

Your backing out of this. You said that you would ADD "swing" so as to
obscure your message from Cecil.

> >>That just about any other Morse devotee can copy that
> >>it shows nothing is being "deliberately disguised."
>
> >Don't send cryptic messages
>
> "Cryptic": Secret, occult, mystical.
>
> No mention of a Morse swing in that definition.

Again, you are now backtracking on what you said you would do to Cecil.

> >so as to obscure the meaning and you won't get in to trouble!
>
> I don't really believe that I'd get in any trouble keeping Cecil's
> modem from displaying my hand-sent Morse.

Probably not. So go ahead an break the rules. I think that has something to do with integrity, or maybe the lack thereof. The latest term for that is "Clintonesque!"

> Quiz: If I were to knowingly send at a speed faster than you're
> able to copy, would I be guilty of purposely obscuring the meaning
> of my communication? (I suppose any fast Morse you attempt to copy
> is obscure to you!)
>
> Jeff

Make jokes Jeff. What you said is as plain as the nose on your face(the one thats getting longer). If you maintain the specification of the Morse Code I don't care how fast you send. As long as its not an emergency message, you can send faster than 16wpm till your arm falls off.

4968. Gonset GSB100 Transmitter Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nyles & Karen Priest <npriest@tcccom.net>
Message-ID: <38b40b4f.0@windy.powercom.net>
Source record: 118696

Wanted Gonset GSB100 Transmitter or just a used power transformer for GSB100.

73'3 Nyles W9KUW npriest@tcccom.net

4969. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B3279C.ACF49556@icss.net>
Source record: 118697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >> >The FCC forbids you from using cyphers unless you are a satellite ground st
> >> >ation controlling a satellite.
>
> >> "Swing" means to length one's dahs -- longer than the usual 1-to-3
> >> dit-to-dah ratio.
>
> >So you change the specification of the code to hide the meaning.
>
> Hidden from whom?
>
> >> "Cipher" is any general system for hiding the meaning
> >> of a message by replacing each letter in the original text with another
> >> letter.
>
> >Nope.
>
> Yup. That's the definition of "cipher."
>
> >> As an example, using the Caesar-shift substitution cipher, your name
> >> enciphered would be: EULDQ.
>
> >Authenticate.
>
> Now you're getting silly.
>
> >>>That you would deliberately and maliciously wreck a
> >>>communication speaks well of "Mr Emergency CW Op for the US Coast Guard."
>
> >>"Wreck a communication"? Where'd that come from? I'm in QSO with another
> >>op
>
> >You're in a QSO with Cecil and deliberately sabbotage the specification
> >of Morse Code.
>
> No, that was not the scenario. You're making stuff up again.
>

> >>and we're manually sending, adding a little swing to our fists, i.e.,
> >>making the dahs a little longer; typical of fellows using "bugs" (a semi-
> >>automatic mechanical key, e.g., a Vibroplex) or "side swipers." Cecil
> >>could probably copy us by ear, but his modem might have difficulty.
>
> >You're a lid.
>
> You're being silly again. We're not even on the air here. This is
> all hypothetical jabbering which you take WAY too seriously. Loosen
> up and have some fun, Euldq.
>
> 73, Jeff

And your silly comments of libel? I'll let it go.

4970. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Atwater <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com>
Message-ID: <38B333D8.4937@chooseyourmail.com>
Source record: 118698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Oh, by the way, why are you getting out of ham radio? It is an enjoyable
> > hobby where we get to meet new people and learn new things.

You mean meet new people that hate you because you like microphones and
not Morse Code? You mean learn OLD things like Morse Code?

Forget about the current group of Hams that are close-minded and afraid
of change and new technology. We get a group of our OLD friends to get
licensed and have FRIENDLY conversations with each other rather than
whine and moan about the improved license requirements.

4971. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B34645.F169865A@icss.net>
Source record: 118699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kim W5TIT wrote:

> > >
> > > Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.
> > >
>
> Guess why some folks want my cards? <G>
>
> Kim W5TIT

Cause you're a good QSO?

4972. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B34F45.2FE87452@icss.net>
Source record: 118700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> >>James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
>
> >>>>"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
> >>>>get much MORE than what they pay for.
>
> >>>>I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
> >>>>"noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
> >>>>(FCC words).
>
> >>>None whatsoever?
>
> >>None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.
>
> >Wrong.
>
> Wrong? You belive that one can profit? You're being silly again, Brian.
>
> Jeff

No, you cut what I said in order to perpetuate a falsehood (lie). You should be
ashamed, but you won't be.

Here it is again:

> None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.

Wrong. Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

So there it is.

4973. What ever happened to NX0T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: YoYO <Yo>
Message-ID: <u1k6bsc7n44iuen243k9u9n7sfdld5se2g@4ax.com>
Source record: 118701

What ever happened to the mental defective NX0T (TURD)? He used to terrorize 3950 years ago. I heard the "panty man" on 13.313 yesterday and it sounds just like NX0T. Maybe it's him.

4974. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B3509B.D2B90333@icss.net>
Source record: 118702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In article <38B3151D.D5702EE2@icss.net>, Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>
> >>>Do you pay repeater dues?
>
> >>Of course; dues pays for the repeater equipment. The club makes absolutely
> >>no profit (that would violate its non-profit status).
>
> >It would also violate it's amateur status.
>
> Who's to say that the club is licensed?

A CB repeater in America? Doubtful.

> >>>Do you send a buck with your QSL for that one you really need?
>
> >>Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.
>
> >Some folks. There are far rarer "dx" than hawaii.
>
> How many Hawaii stations have you worked with your Tech license?
> Besides, if a "country" is needed, it's on a person's rare list.

Right. You're not on my list by any stretch.

> >>>> That you don't know this shows you really don't under-
> >>>> stand the regulations.
>
> >>>And you bend and break them to fit whatever you need at the moment.
>
> >> Yet you can't provide an example of me bending or breaking any rules.
> >>Furthermore, always keep a copy of the "Associated Press Stylebook and
> >>Libel Manual" next to your keyboard so as to avoid getting yourself in
> >>trouble.
>
> >Jeff, I can only go by what you say. You say you will deliberately ADD "swing"
> >to your CW QSO so as to make it unintelligible for Cecil. You can take it from
> >there.
>
> That wasn't the scenario. I said I *could* add swing in such a way that
> Cecil's modem wouldn't be able to copy me

Enough said. Don't engage in a QSO with Cecil if you plan to sabotage the QSO.

> but any proficient op could
> copy by ear. Cecil might even be able to copy me by ear, too.
>
> This is all off-the-air hypothetical jabbering -- don't take it so serious
> as to libel someone.

Don't say that you are going to break the rules.

> Quiz: If I were to knowingly send Morse at a speed faster than you can
> copy, would I be guilty of obscuring a communication?
>
> Jeff

You would be a lid.

4975. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B3547A.6C20FD98@icss.net>
Source record: 118703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

73, Brian

4976. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38B35897.7CF51F17@uci.edu>
Source record: 118704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:

> Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
> ----------
> 73, Brian

Hey Jeff,

I think Brian would like to add a swing to his fist, too. He's gettin'
pretty mad. :-)

ac6xg

4977. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grace Cunningham <johngrac@surfsouth.com>
Message-ID: <38B35E1D.B07@surfsouth.com>
Source record: 118705
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Matthew Walton wrote:
>
> A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
> communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at the
> Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of 30
> miles or so.
>
> Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
> appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
>
> Matthew Walton
> matt@okdirect.com
Base to base (45 watt rigs) homemade j-poles or quads, put them up 20'
or so I bet you'll have good luck! KE4QOP John

4978. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88t7sb$j9l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:

>>>"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
>>>get much MORE than what they pay for.

>>I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
>>"noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
>>(FCC words).

>None whatsoever?

None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.

>Do you pay repeater dues?

Of course; dues pays for the repeater equipment. The club makes absolutely
no profit (that would violate its non-profit status).

>Do you send a buck with your QSL for that one you really need?

Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.

>> That you don't know this shows you really don't under-
>> stand the regulations.

>And you bend and break them to fit whatever you need at the moment.

Yet you can't provide an example of me bending or breaking any rules.
Furthermore, always keep a copy of the "Associated Press Stylebook and
Libel Manual" next to your keyboard so as to avoid getting yourself in
trouble.

Jeff

4979. AOL, Customer Oriented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: sherinsmiami@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17117-38B2223C-88@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118735

AOL is often charged with unfair practices, but dealing with them today altered my perspective.

Finding a stray paper with AOL's telephone number for cancellation scribbled, I decided to act. You see, as I've been developing my broadcast station and resurrecting ham radio, my computers have fallen into disrepair. Having paid for six months without using AOL, I envisioned my call would achieve prospective cancellation.

That's not what happened. Without asking, an ultra-polite lady said: "I note that you've had our service for six months without any usage. We will refund your money retroactively for those six months and cancel your service."

How's that? A corporate citizen into its business for the long haul.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4980. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: sherinsmiami@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17118-38B22519-35@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118736

Come on Brandon, you can do better than non sequiturs.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

4981. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88tavr$l8a$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>>>> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
>>>> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
>>>> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

>>>That's getting very close to being illegal, Jeff. Tsk, tsk.
>>>Deliberately disguising your transmission is illegal.

>> Just because your modem can't copy that swing doesn't make it
>> illegal.

>Deliberately changing the specifications of Morse Code so as to hide the
>meaning???

Nothing is being hidden. Any proficient Morse op can copy it by ear.

>Sure its illegal.

No it's not. Anyone using a straight key, bug, or side swiper will
have a swing. It's impossible to manually time one's dahs to
exactly fit the standard 1-to-3 dit-to-dah timing ratio. My swing
didn't bother the FCC examiner back in '76.

>>That just about any other Morse devotee can copy that
>>it shows nothing is being "deliberately disguised."

>Don't send cryptic messages

"Cryptic": Secret, occult, mystical.

No mention of a Morse swing in that definition.

>so as to obscure the meaning and you won't get in to trouble!

I don't really believe that I'd get in any trouble keeping Cecil's
modem from displaying my hand-sent Morse.

Quiz: If I were to knowingly send at a speed faster than you're
able to copy, would I be guilty of purposely obscuring the meaning
of my communication? (I suppose any fast Morse you attempt to copy
is obscure to you!)

Jeff

4982. QST-VIEW for Feb 1963

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <88tcd5$122$1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 118738

Does anyone have the QST-VIEW of 1963 and could send me an article from the February edition? It's the article on the 50/144MHz quad that I'm after.

thanks
Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

4983. Re: AOL, Customer Oriented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: cobrano-spam@budweiser.com
Message-ID: <38b23ee9.1612952@news.thegrid.net>
Source record: 118739

AOL in a way has a good thing, but in my case, They carried too much
garbage that I didnt want. However, that garbage to me may be some one
elses treasure. I always say, Try AOL and then try an independent ISP
for your service and then make a judgement. I did and chose to stay
with the independent guy. But now we have a few free service providers
and if you like aol, then you just might like them without the price
in dollars, but a price of having a banner that stays on top of your
browser or any other internet application. A small burdon which I
concider less of then AOL. http://www.netzero.net for details on the
free internet provider... I'll stay with my 19.95 pay ISP and not have
any advertisements.

On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 00:44:28 -0500 (EST), sherinsmiami@webtv.net
(Robert Sherin) wrote:

>AOL is often charged with unfair practices, but dealing with them today
>altered my perspective.
>
>Finding a stray paper with AOL's telephone number for cancellation
>scribbled, I decided to act. You see, as I've been developing my
>broadcast station and resurrecting ham radio, my computers have fallen
>into disrepair. Having paid for six months without using AOL, I
>envisioned my call would achieve prospective cancellation.
>
>That's not what happened. Without asking, an ultra-polite lady said: "I
>note that you've had our service for six months without any usage. We
>will refund your money retroactively for those six months and cancel
>your service."
>
>How's that? A corporate citizen into its business for the long haul.
>
>Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>Miami, Florida
>

The KF6FOZ BBS
Redding, CA.
530-229-0896

Visit my Website for other stuff and more info on
the BBS. Even a good list of Sale and Swap sites
on there.
http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm

4984. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8zsshg$XZ04$1@nnrp2.deja.com>
Source record: 118740

On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 04:03:41 GMT, covert62@my-deja.com wrote:

> NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.42.168.2

Name: gate.dutil.com
Address: 209.42.168.2

Registrant:
Dalton Utilities (DUTIL-DOM)
P.O. Box 869
Dalton, GA 30722
US

Domain Name: DUTIL.COM

Administrative Contact:
Buzzard, Ray (RB15444) cking@VOL.COM
(706)281-1088
Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
Voyager Online Network Operations Center (VO33-ORG) noc@VOL.COM
(423) 209-2929
Fax- (423) 267-4779
Billing Contact:
Buzzard, Ray (RB15444) cking@VOL.COM
(706)281-1088

Record last updated on 11-Dec-1998.
Record created on 11-Dec-1998.
Database last updated on 21-Feb-2000 12:49:05 EST.

4985. HF Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nw7us@hfradio.removethispart.org
Message-ID: <39us4.1202$pK3.27134@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 118741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

School Round Up went well. The students at Brionnon (Washington) School (5th and 6th graders) really enjoyed their first on-air experiences. We made some very excellent contacts. See some pictures at http://hfradio.org

A reminder:

http://cw.hfradio.org - CW / Morse Code Resource center. Lots of stuff coming down the line. If you have stories, tips, links, and other things, would you share them with me, and allow me to post them on this new resource?

As usual, you are also invited to visit http://hfradio.org - a non-commercial site offering:

+ Azimuth Map / Equidistant Map Creation (choose any QTH as center, many options)

+ Propagational Resources (live, real-time reports, eAlert service (sign up for automatic email solar/geo reports, complete with Aurora index))

+ News, and DX information and reports

+ QSL Manager and Callsign Lookup center

+ Swap and Shop

+ FREE HOME PAGES THAT YOU CAN USE TO POST YOUR OWN HAM OR SWL SITE

+ Bookstore - SWL and Ham related books. Over a 1000 titles so far.

+ Plus more (Directories, etc.)

73 de NW7US, Tomas

--
: http://accessnow.com -- Brinnon, Washington : 122.93W : 47.67N :
: HOME: http://hfradio.org and http://cw.hfradio.org :
: - :
: World-Wide Communications via Amateur Radio : CW/SSB DX Hound :
: 10x56526 : FISTS 7055 : Code Warrior #60 : Propagation Student :
: :
: Member, ARRL -- Official Webmaster of the North West ARRL Site :
: NWARRL - http://hfradio.org/nwarrl/ :

4986. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B26FD7.D08528A@icss.net>
Source record: 118742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >>>My SCS PTC2e HF modem receives Morse code faster than I can.
>
> >>And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> >>wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> >>by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
>
> >The FCC forbids you from using cyphers unless you are a satellite ground st
> >ation controlling a satellite.
>
> "Swing" means to length one's dahs -- longer than the usual 1-to-3
> dit-to-dah ratio.

So you change the specification of the code to hide the meaning.

> "Cipher" is any general system for hiding the meaning
> of a message by replacing each letter in the original text with another
> letter. Swing and cipher are unrelated.

Nope. Same. You replace an element 3 lengths with a 4.5 length.

> As an example, using the Caesar-shift substitution cipher, your name
> enciphered would be: EULDQ.

Authenticate.

> >That you would deliberately and maliciously wreck a
> >communication speaks well of "Mr Emergency CW Op for the US Coast Guard."
>
> "Wreck a communication"? Where'd that come from? I'm in QSO with another
> op

You're in a QSO with Cecil and deliberately sabbotage the specification of Morse
Code.

> and we're manually sending, adding a little swing to our fists, i.e.,
> making the dahs a little longer; typical of fellows using "bugs" (a semi-
> automatic mechanical key, e.g., a Vibroplex) or "side swipers." Cecil
> could probably copy us by ear, but his modem might have difficulty.
>
> Jeff

You're a lid.

4987. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88ttcvgpr1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118743

No man in this nation professing to be President should be encumbered by owing anything to anyone in any religious organization. George W. chose to address Bobby Jones College which apparently condones Bigotry 101. His old man was only too eager to address and pander to the Christian Coalition in 1992 to grab votes while Clinton shunned them. Abortion has no place in politics whatsoever and McCain agrees. George W. will say anything and do anything to get elected but John will not. George W. had the thing "sewn" up based on all that money flowing into his campaign and now McCain will upset the applecart and could get my vote come Nov.2000. GO JOHNNY GO!
AF2Y

In article <4uds4.402$d26.8157@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO" <2121d@GTE.net> wrote:

> : Bush has admitted to being an ex-alcoholic. He was involved is a savings
> and
> : loan scam. I think I read somewhere he got a bit rough with his wife.
> After
> : Clinton, are you looking for integrity or for a Dumbalike Quayle with
> curly
> : hai? McCain should be the G.O.P. choice if the G.O.P were smart but who
> said
> : they were? Dumd dee dumb dumb. They better be careful or they're gonna get
> : gored but good.
>
> That was GWB's brother, Neal, that was involved with the S&L
> problem. McCain also had a bit of a scandal with the Keating
> 5 involvement.
>
> The biggest thing is that GWB did make mistakes but grew
> up. Something that neither Clinton, or may on the NGs, ever
> seemed to do.
>
>
>
>

4988. PK232 Software ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: shuber182@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88u142rpf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118744

I dusted off my old MBA PK232 using the Pakratt Windows software which came with it years ago when it was purchased. Is there any newer/more current software available for this ? The Pakratt software is old and outdated. Any ideas ??? Thanks.

Scott

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4989. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <sCvs4.1466$Lg7.1571@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 118745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Blankenship wrote in message <38B22E79.EE55E342@rectec.net>...
>> Oh, by the way, why are you getting out of ham radio? It is an enjoyable
>> hobby where we get to meet new people and learn new things.
>
>Same thing that I was going to ask...

I would guess the new people and new things are what bothers him. Some
folks cannot accept change.

4990. Re: AC3AU (...a class-1 A-H)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Genuine Telecron Movement <korietzu@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <f2ws4.810$vm5.19865@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118746

I.F. Shift wrote in message
<2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...

>If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the
>tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and abortion.
>He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.

>This guys a phoney and a fraud...

I will never forget the day I heard what this polyester
preaching *scumbag* KC3AU said to Rube in Florida when
his XYL passed on.

This M-F'ing twerp went into a blabber about morals
and dogmas, using the death of someone's spouse as a
springboard! Did he offer any comfort to Rube? No!

The putrid little shi+ went on and on...blabbering into
his microphone and using someone's dead XYL as an
vehicle for his bizzare and offensive rhetoric.

Rube tried to ask him, several times on several occasions
to apologize. John, KC3AU skirted the issue every single
time and *refused* to apologize for his using Rube's XYL
in a display of incredibly and insulting poor taste.

Rube was 100% correct when he said this M-F'ing "Jake-the-Fake"
preacher wannabe and RF mental patient KC3AU desperatly needs to be
HIT upside the head with an asphalt shovel a few times, just
like one would do to a brick-headed pack-mule and
try & knock some sense into his pea-sized brain.

4991. Re: AC3AU provokes his fellow man to ANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Genuine Telecron Movement <korietzu@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <g2ws4.811$vm5.19865@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118747

Robert Sherin wrote in message
<17118-38B21A79-32@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
I.F. Shift,

>In the early 90's while I was in graduate school for Counselling, I
>spoke with John often. He had unquestionable mastery of DSM-IV, the
>worldwide "Diagnostic Manual for Mental Disorders." With enormous
>insight, he taught me so very much about Counselling.

If that twerp KC3AU was ever given a position
within any Institute of Higher Education (apart
from that of a toilet attendent) then that
Institution should have it's Academic Credentials
removed and any students which were exposed to the
bullshit of this babbling FOOL, KC3AU should be
required to re-take this portion of their curriculum.
Finally Bob, if you were in any way influenced
by this twerp, then I feel sorry for you.

Want proof? Get on the telephone an call Rube. Ask him
what this fake said about his XYL on the radio for all
to hear.

DSM-IV ?? This guy should be a case-study and clinical trial
subject for the enhancement of stated publication!

4992. Re: Dayton Hamfest 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jchapman@gcfn.org
Message-ID: <88u4b1$t43$1@acme.gcfn.org>
Source record: 118748

w9gyc@my-deja.com wrote:
: Does any one have a web address to find out about hotel
: accomodations for this year?

I haven't seen a specific web site. There is a list of hotels on the Hamvention site http://www.hamvention.org and they list an email address of dfunke@daytoncvb.net for any specific questions (the Dayton Convention & Visitors Bureau). They still specify that you need to reserve with the local hotel directly, but they provide a good list on their web site. Since I drive back to Columbus every night, I'm not sure yet how full the hotels are getting.

Anybody have any other experience trying to make reservations lately?

73,
--
--*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-
John Chapman WB8INY
Ohio Section ARES, District Emergency Coordinator, District 7
http://www.rexall.com/eLife (for your health, for your future)

4993. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88u50hcko1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

REDRUM is murder spelled backwards and was used extensively in Stephen
King's novel and movie, "The Shining."

In article <38AB3D0E.60E4@chooseyourmail.com>, RedRum
<spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com> wrote:

>> I like to camp, and I have fairly good skill set there. But I see no
>> reason why
>
> ...everyone has to pass a test showing they know how to camp before they
> are allowed to purchase a home.

4994. Re: AF2Y: Maybe I'm wrong but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Herb Schoenbohm <herbs@islands.vi>
Message-ID: <88u6k6vla1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118750

Dr. Hate is wrong again as usual. Seems like extreme wishful
thinking. KV4FZ *is* active, very active especially on
160 meters during both the CQ WW Contest and the recent
ARRL CW Contest. He apparently prefers the challenge of dxing
on top band to the sicko phycobable of Dr. Hate on 14.313

In article <87i0s4eed1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Maybe I'm wrong but I think Herbie, KV4FZ, received a cease and desist
and
> is off ham radio right now! There was some kind of deal struck in
which he
> promised to go minus fanfare if his radio demise wasn't published.
What say
> anybody?
>

--
Find out why the Feds what to silence radio talk
show host and distinguished amateur radio operator KV4FZ
Visit my web site at http://www.islands.vi/~herbs
From the tropical paradise islands of St. Croix,VI

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

4995. Re: AOL, Customer Oriented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88u5bv$ke7$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118751

Three cheers for AOL but why would anyone retain a service provider without
ever using it?

In article <17117-38B2223C-88@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
sherinsmiami@webtv.net (Robert Sherin) wrote:

> AOL is often charged with unfair practices, but dealing with them today
> altered my perspective.
>
> Finding a stray paper with AOL's telephone number for cancellation
> scribbled, I decided to act. You see, as I've been developing my
> broadcast station and resurrecting ham radio, my computers have fallen
> into disrepair. Having paid for six months without using AOL, I
> envisioned my call would achieve prospective cancellation.
>
> That's not what happened. Without asking, an ultra-polite lady said: "I
> note that you've had our service for six months without any usage. We
> will refund your money retroactively for those six months and cancel
> your service."
>
> How's that? A corporate citizen into its business for the long haul.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>

4996. FS GORDON WEST XTRA MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougw@psd.k12.co.us
Message-ID: <88u6sf$9gp$2@mercury.psd.k12.co.us>
Source record: 118752

manual is good until 4/15 contact dougw@frii.com
--

4997. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wtit.net>
Message-ID: <38B2BC5F.5E768703@wtit.net>
Source record: 118753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do you know that for a fact or just spouting off.

FCC Dweebs wrote:
>
> FCC = Fraud, Waste, Abuse, Corruption,
> Greed, & Criminality
>
> The FCC is the most criminally corrupt agency
> the federal government!

4998. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chipster66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38b2add6.1037901@news.usol.com>
Source record: 118754

I agree ... I'm a bonafide Democrat but I'm going out to cast my vote
in the _Republican_ Primary :) for McCain here in Michigan in just a
few mintues ... Go John GO !!!!

On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 06:55:34 +0000, "radiosigs"
<radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:

>No man in this nation professing to be President should be encumbered by
>owing anything to anyone in any religious organization. George W. chose to
>address Bobby Jones College which apparently condones Bigotry 101. His old
>man was only too eager to address and pander to the Christian Coalition in
>1992 to grab votes while Clinton shunned them. Abortion has no place in
>politics whatsoever and McCain agrees. George W. will say anything and do
>anything to get elected but John will not. George W. had the thing "sewn" up
>based on all that money flowing into his campaign and now McCain will upset
>the applecart and could get my vote come Nov.2000. GO JOHNNY GO!
>AF2Y
>
>----------
>In article <4uds4.402$d26.8157@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
><2121d@GTE.net> wrote:
>
>
>> : Bush has admitted to being an ex-alcoholic. He was involved is a savings
>> and
>> : loan scam. I think I read somewhere he got a bit rough with his wife.
>> After
>> : Clinton, are you looking for integrity or for a Dumbalike Quayle with
>> curly
>> : hai? McCain should be the G.O.P. choice if the G.O.P were smart but who
>> said
>> : they were? Dumd dee dumb dumb. They better be careful or they're gonna get
>> : gored but good.
>>
>> That was GWB's brother, Neal, that was involved with the S&L
>> problem. McCain also had a bit of a scandal with the Keating
>> 5 involvement.
>>
>> The biggest thing is that GWB did make mistakes but grew
>> up. Something that neither Clinton, or may on the NGs, ever
>> seemed to do.
>>
>>

>>
>>

4999. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B2AFA0.826C1013@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> I don't really believe that I'd get in any trouble keeping Cecil's
> modem from displaying my hand-sent Morse.

Jeff, the SCS PTC2e uses a DSP adaptive algorithm for copying
CW. If you changed your swing so it can't decode your CW, then
neither could most CW operators.

Morse code was invented to be copied by machine more than 100
years ago. Why would you want to sabotage machine copy in the
present?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5000. Re: AOL, Customer Oriented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88udkf$54e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118756

In article <17117-38B2223C-88@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
sherinsmiami@webtv.net (Robert Sherin) wrote:
> AOL is often charged with unfair practices, but dealing with them
today
> altered my perspective.
>
> Finding a stray paper with AOL's telephone number for cancellation
> scribbled, I decided to act. You see, as I've been developing my
> broadcast station and resurrecting ham radio, my computers have fallen
> into disrepair. Having paid for six months without using AOL, I
> envisioned my call would achieve prospective cancellation.
>
> That's not what happened. Without asking, an ultra-polite lady
said: "I
> note that you've had our service for six months without any usage. We
> will refund your money retroactively for those six months and cancel
> your service."
>
> How's that? A corporate citizen into its business for the long haul.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
> Miami, Florida
>
> >>>>>> It couldn't be due to the fact, they are tied up in a huge law
suit, filed against them by the state of New York, now could it? If you
would like a free service, email and the internet,may I suggest you
check out www.bluelight.com We use it as a back up, should AOL go
down. Rich

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5001. Re: AC3AU (...a class-1 A-H)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88udvj$592$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118757

In article <f2ws4.810$vm5.19865@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Genuine Telecron Movement" <korietzu@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> I.F. Shift wrote in message
> <2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>
> >If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the
> >tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and
abortion.
> >He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.
>
> >This guys a phoney and a fraud...
>
> I will never forget the day I heard what this polyester
> preaching *scumbag* KC3AU said to Rube in Florida when
> his XYL passed on.
>
> This M-F'ing twerp went into a blabber about morals
> and dogmas, using the death of someone's spouse as a
> springboard! Did he offer any comfort to Rube? No!
>
> The putrid little shi+ went on and on...blabbering into
> his microphone and using someone's dead XYL as an
> vehicle for his bizzare and offensive rhetoric.
>
> Rube tried to ask him, several times on several occasions
> to apologize. John, KC3AU skirted the issue every single
> time and *refused* to apologize for his using Rube's XYL
> in a display of incredibly and insulting poor taste.
>
> Rube was 100% correct when he said this M-F'ing "Jake-the-Fake"
> preacher wannabe and RF mental patient KC3AU desperatly needs to be
> HIT upside the head with an asphalt shovel a few times, just
> like one would do to a brick-headed pack-mule and
> try & knock some sense into his pea-sized brain.
>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>WATCH OUT NOW,HE HAS RILEY ON SPEED DIAL!>>>>>>>>>>>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5002. Re: AC3AU (...a class-1 A-H)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: part97@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88ufmp$6kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118758

As if you never talked about people who have lost loved ones, had terminal deseases, Looks like the Big Porkbutt Pot gut calling the kettle black. I don't believe that tale about Brother John and Rube for a minute. You are still telling lies and are not contrite about your awful activities on amateur radio. You are right where you need to be, off amateur radio!!

Part97

In article <88udvj$592$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <f2ws4.810$vm5.19865@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Genuine Telecron Movement" <korietzu@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> > I.F. Shift wrote in message
> > <2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
> >
> > >If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the
> > >tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and
> abortion.
> > >He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.
> >
> > >This guys a phoney and a fraud...
> >
> > I will never forget the day I heard what this polyester
> > preaching *scumbag* KC3AU said to Rube in Florida when
> > his XYL passed on.
> >
> > This M-F'ing twerp went into a blabber about morals
> > and dogmas, using the death of someone's spouse as a
> > springboard! Did he offer any comfort to Rube? No!
> >
> > The putrid little shi+ went on and on...blabbering into
> > his microphone and using someone's dead XYL as an
> > vehicle for his bizzare and offensive rhetoric.
> >
> > Rube tried to ask him, several times on several occasions
> > to apologize. John, KC3AU skirted the issue every single
> > time and *refused* to apologize for his using Rube's XYL
> > in a display of incredibly and insulting poor taste.
> >
> > Rube was 100% correct when he said this M-F'ing "Jake-the-Fake"
> > preacher wannabe and RF mental patient KC3AU desperatly needs to be
> > HIT upside the head with an asphalt shovel a few times, just
> > like one would do to a brick-headed pack-mule and

> > try & knock some sense into his pea-sized brain.
> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>WATCH OUT NOW,HE HAS RILEY ON SPEED DIAL!>>>>>>>>>>>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5003. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FORPRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B2BF38.478E5085@bctv.com>
Source record: 118759

radiosigs wrote:
>
> No man in this nation professing to be President should be encumbered by
> owing anything to anyone in any religious organization.

Bhuddist nuns, for instance . . ?

5004. Re: Amateur Radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10002221110270.24503-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 118760

On Tue, 22 Feb 2000, SMITH29 wrote:

> Watcher111 wrote:
> >
> > What I want to know is if we all spend time flaming and getting flamed on a
> > newsgroup, when do you find time to talk on the radio?? If we can't even
> > manage to get along in print, then how are we going to cooperate in an
> > emergency?? If we can't let people have an opinion without condemning them
> > to hell (a shack with no radios), how do you get along in the real world??
>
> xxx
> Your weenie whine wasn't good enough to get flamed,,Ha Ha!!

I guess, someone needs to post the FAQ for this newsgroup. So that people do not miss the point of what this group's real purpose is.

FOR KE6DJE: The real purpose of this newsgroup is not "discussion", but it is TRAINING FOR EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION by creating a stressful emergency style psychology and behavioral situation. The task is simple: Keep your nerve even under the most outrageous verbal abuse.

You need to understand, that a guy coming from the sheltered life of amateur radio will easily lose his nerve in a real emergency, if not thoroughly trained to cope with panic behaviorism. He would be worthless as an emergency operator. They are used to logic, common-sense, goodwill, and Victorian politeness. Most will faint when hearing a rough word !! How can anyone seriously expect them to function in an emergency ???

Do you expect, that victims of an emergency will be friendly, polite, rational, considerate ??? They will be selfish and abusive to the max.

That's why we undergo voluntary psychological training on this newsgroup: Absurde arguments, compulsory behaviorism, lack of judgement, insults, threats ... the whole enchillada of what an emergency operator might be faced with out in the field in a real emergency. And of course, this training has to be realistic to harden the steels of ham radio cavaliers.

Now that you know the purpose of this newsgroup, why would you expect to get flamed? You're not enrolled in the training program, so why should anyone bother to verbally hit you squarely on your nose? Efficiency is one criterion for the grading, too, and wasting resources will have us lose points. Flaming non-participants is deemed to be such waste of resources.

5005. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FOR PRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88uic8tmr1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118761

GOOD FOR YOU. GO OUT NOW AND VOTE FOR McCAIN AND IN NOVEMBER VOTE FOR GORE!

In article <38b2add6.1037901@news.usol.com>, chipster66@hotmail.com (Chip) wrote:

> I agree ... I'm a bonafide Democrat but I'm going out to cast my vote
> in the _Republican_ Primary :) for McCain here in Michigan in just a
> few mintues ... Go John GO !!!!
>
> On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 06:55:34 +0000, "radiosigs"
> <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>>No man in this nation professing to be President should be encumbered by
>>owing anything to anyone in any religious organization. George W. chose to
>>address Bobby Jones College which apparently condones Bigotry 101. His old
>>man was only too eager to address and pander to the Christian Coalition in
>>1992 to grab votes while Clinton shunned them. Abortion has no place in
>>politics whatsoever and McCain agrees. George W. will say anything and do
>>anything to get elected but John will not. George W. had the thing "sewn" up
>>based on all that money flowing into his campaign and now McCain will upset
>>the applecart and could get my vote come Nov.2000. GO JOHNNY GO!
>>AF2Y
>>
>>----------
>>In article <4uds4.402$d26.8157@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>, "N9NWO"
>><2121d@GTE.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> : Bush has admitted to being an ex-alcoholic. He was involved is a savings
>>> and
>>> : loan scam. I think I read somewhere he got a bit rough with his wife.
>>> After
>>> : Clinton, are you looking for integrity or for a Dumbalike Quayle with
>>> curly
>>> : hai? McCain should be the G.O.P. choice if the G.O.P were smart but who
>>> said
>>> : they were? Dumd dee dumb dumb. They better be careful or they're gonna get
>>> : gored but good.
>>>
>>> That was GWB's brother, Neal, that was involved with the S&L
>>> problem. McCain also had a bit of a scandal with the Keating
>>> 5 involvement.

>>>
>>> The biggest thing is that GWB did make mistakes but grew
>>> up. Something that neither Clinton, or may on the NGs, ever
>>> seemed to do.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>

5006. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FORPRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88uj74$2n8$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118762

If you say so. RELIGIONS are personal not political. God is in one's heart not on a ballot. Late term abortions MUST be outlawed but kept legal in the first trimester. If all the rightwing Republicans can bitch about are the unborn while being content to leave the born suffer, then hang it up. It's about time we concentrated on the immediate issues of the times rather than a kid who's unwanted. The elderly should have access to drugs at as little cost as possible. Too many guns are gotten too easily-they're dangerous to your health. Laws must be constantly enacted to help the average working man and woman in this nation, not just the wealthy. We need a more equitable form of federal taxation. The south has made marvelous strides in the field of integration and basic civil rights while up here, in the wonderful white north, they LAG. Let Jerry Falwell mind his own damn business and concentrate on hoodwinking the gullible instead of trying to force religious issues down the throats of America in general. Any Presidential candidate who sides with Falwell's ilk doesn't deserve to be dog catcher let alone top dog. John McCain is the most decent Republican to come along in a long time and to see him upset that pompous arrogant twit from Texas would be wonderful. GO JOHNNY GO!

In article <38B2BF38.478E5085@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:

>
>
> radiosigs wrote:
>>
>> No man in this nation professing to be President should be encumbered by
>> owing anything to anyone in any religious organization.
>
> Bhuddist nuns, for instance . . ?

5007. Re: AF2Y: Maybe I'm wrong but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88ujk1ja1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118763

My oh my, what a change in Herbie. He's gone from harassing a service net on 20 which according to him is controlled by Jews in order to steal money from phone companies to the 160 meter band. That's good news Herbie and I hope you take all your nincompoop pals with you. And I have to look up "phycobable" which you stole from Rush. Don't you mean "psychobabble." An ex-talk show host who can't spell? Huh?

In article <88u6k6vla1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Herb Schoenbohm <herbs@islands.vi> wrote:

> Dr. Hate is wrong again as usual. Seems like extreme wishful
> thinking. KV4FZ *is* active, very active especially on
> 160 meters during both the CQ WW Contest and the recent
> ARRL CW Contest. He apparently prefers the challenge of dxing
> on top band to the sicko phycobable of Dr. Hate on 14.313
>
>
> In article <87i0s4eed1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Maybe I'm wrong but I think Herbie, KV4FZ, received a cease and desist
> and
>> is off ham radio right now! There was some kind of deal struck in
> which he
>> promised to go minus fanfare if his radio demise wasn't published.
> What say
>> anybody?
>>
>
> --
> Find out why the Feds what to silence radio talk
> show host and distinguished amateur radio operator KV4FZ
> Visit my web site at http://www.islands.vi/~herbs
> From the tropical paradise islands of St. Croix,VI
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5008. Kenwood TH-22 144 MHZ rx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nuvin <nuvin@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <88ulml$ge7$1@news.intnet.mu>
Source record: 118764

hello all,

Does anybody know how to extend the rx range of a Kenwood TH-22 144MHz TX/RX
It wouls much appreciated to have any suggestions

73's

Nuvin

5009. Re: AC3AU (...a class-1 A-H)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <VSAs4.5367$Wv2.64496@news2.mia>
Source record: 118765

Well Said Part 97

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
part97@my-deja.com wrote in message <88ufmp$6kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>
>
>As if you never talked about people who have lost loved ones, had
>terminal deseases, Looks like the Big Porkbutt Pot gut calling the
>kettle black. I don't believe that tale about Brother John and Rube for
>a minute. You are still telling lies and are not contrite about your
>awful activities on amateur radio. You are right where you need to be,
>off amateur radio!!
>
>Part97
>
>
>
>
>
>
>In article <88udvj$592$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
>> In article <f2ws4.810$vm5.19865@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
>> "Genuine Telecron Movement" <korietzu@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>> >
>> > I.F. Shift wrote in message
>> > <2180-38B164E5-17@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>> >
>> > >If you ever listen closely to "Brother John", he doesn't tackle the
>> > >tough but clear issues of the Bible, such as homosexuality and
>> abortion.
>> > >He side-steps these issues so he doesn't "offend" anyone.
>> >
>> > >This guys a phoney and a fraud...
>> >
>> > I will never forget the day I heard what this polyester
>> > preaching *scumbag* KC3AU said to Rube in Florida when
>> > his XYL passed on.
>> >
>> > This M-F'ing twerp went into a blabber about morals
>> > and dogmas, using the death of someone's spouse as a

>> > springboard! Did he offer any comfort to Rube? No!
>> >
>> > The putrid little shi+ went on and on...blabbering into
>> > his microphone and using someone's dead XYL as an
>> > vehicle for his bizzare and offensive rhetoric.
>> >
>> > Rube tried to ask him, several times on several occasions
>> > to apologize. John, KC3AU skirted the issue every single
>> > time and *refused* to apologize for his using Rube's XYL
>> > in a display of incredibly and insulting poor taste.
>> >
>> > Rube was 100% correct when he said this M-F'ing "Jake-the-Fake"
>> > preacher wannabe and RF mental patient KC3AU desperatly needs to be
>> > HIT upside the head with an asphalt shovel a few times, just
>> > like one would do to a brick-headed pack-mule and
>> > try & knock some sense into his pea-sized brain.
>> >
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>WATCH OUT NOW,HE HAS RILEY ON SPEED DIAL!>>>>>>>>>>>
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5010. Re: AF2Y: Maybe I'm wrong but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <FUAs4.5373$Wv2.64484@news2.mia>
Source record: 118766

Well Said Herb, Dr. Hate is just an old Jew, always drawing
"anti-semitism" to him. If he would treat people with respect, maybe he
would not get these types of comments!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

Herb Schoenbohm wrote in message <88u6k6vla1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Dr. Hate is wrong again as usual. Seems like extreme wishful
>thinking. KV4FZ *is* active, very active especially on
>160 meters during both the CQ WW Contest and the recent
>ARRL CW Contest. He apparently prefers the challenge of dxing
>on top band to the sicko phycobable of Dr. Hate on 14.313
>
>
>In article <87i0s4eed1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Maybe I'm wrong but I think Herbie, KV4FZ, received a cease and desist
>and
>> is off ham radio right now! There was some kind of deal struck in
>which he
>> promised to go minus fanfare if his radio demise wasn't published.
>What say
>> anybody?
>>
>
>--
>Find out why the Feds what to silence radio talk
>show host and distinguished amateur radio operator KV4FZ
>Visit my web site at http://www.islands.vi/~herbs
>From the tropical paradise islands of St. Croix,VI
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5011. Re: Do you need to reach Ham operators on the Internet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88un71coq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118767

In article <38B231CA.6C12CFAE@rectec.net>,
Mike Blankenship <mikeb@rectec.net> wrote:
> Thanks for the warning to avoid your site at all costs!
>

Yes. N5UP is a spammer!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5012. AF2Y: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <88um9tsfi1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118768

Last night I checked out one of the 26,000 newsgroups offered by MindSpring. It was one of those comp. groups which specialize in tech stuff since I am trying to becoming a computer wizard and am making no headway. Well anyway I had posed a question about DNS numbers and did get a reply. And it was an interesting and well written one too and it turned out it came from a 15 year old kid on Long Island,NY. I was taken back by the clarity and perfection of the kid's aptitude in English and found out that he's just the average but eager to learn kid in high school who wants of course to earn his livelihood in the field of computers after graduation in 2002. I changed the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and guess what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with CB, was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace? Furthermore the school's ham radio club CLOSED DOWN due to lack of interest. But hasn't Donnie, W4JYZ, taken a lot of flack over this same subject? Didn't he say that ham radio was an old man's hobby and how desperately we need youth? He's right on target ladies and germs. That kid I met last night could become an Extra in one easy step; he's bright and energetic but chooses to channel his efforts AWAY from amateur radio. I say it's a shame but it's REALITY and unfortunately, the ARRL and FCC can restructure all they want-it ain't gonna do the trick. At the outset it will bring in enthusiasts but hardly the serious type. We'll have an infusion of the "good buddy squads" which will then overtake and destroy the refinement that ham radio should embody. Riley's job will become infinitely tougher after April 15th thanks to all this restructuring which is another way of saying," The door's open, goofball electronics rejects. Come on in, buy the gear and dump on our once great hobby/service." What then is restructuring all about? It's a means to stave off as long as possible the demise of this thing we know and love-ham radio. I never got into the mad buying of imported rigs because I refused to become part of the revolving door of planned obsolescence. Never did I envision an electronics storm that would render the hobby/service obsolete but THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT'S HAPPENING. Computers just about dominate every aspect of our lives NOW and this is just the beginning of the evolution revolution. E commerce is growing so rapidly that experts are saying in just a few years, more than 75% of purchases in all fields will be online. The youth and ham radio? CHAT ROOMS is the name of the game folks. No licenses for which to strive. No code at all. Either hook up a mic to your "puter" or type it out-you're all over the world effortlessly. And ham radio is supposed to compete and still maintain great integrity? Will someone tell me how?
AF2Y

5013. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <nospam@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.fqc89v1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 118769
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 15:11:23 -0600, Ron H wrote:

>I take it from your message that there isn't really a "standard" but CW on
>all bands is generally done with a + offset i.e. 14100.0 CW is really
>14100.8 or so transmit freq????

CW is - 'sposed to be - a single discrete OOK frequency. "CW"
on 14.100 MHz is on 14.100 MHz. If your receiver dial reads
otherwise, that's the problem of using a SSB receiver product
detector reading a "supressed carrier" which doesn't exist with
OOK.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

5014. REDRUM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88unmr$d6o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <88u50hcko1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> REDRUM is murder spelled backwards and was used extensively in Stephen
> King's novel and movie, "The Shining."
>

When my daughter was two years old, she had a very strange voice, like
the child in "The Shining". I taught her to say "redrum" on a
visual cue - it "freaked out" my wife.

S. Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5015. ICOM 706 2m mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <3bBs4.5408$Wv2.64826@news2.mia>
Source record: 118771

Someone told me you can mod the ICOM 706 for more TX pwr on 2m? True?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

5016. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: K8TJ <tje@usol.com>
Message-ID: <6rn5bs06tt8qonrnhaf4mt86c0o50o4iah@4ax.com>
Source record: 118772

.... and we can again thank the ARRL for helping us all one step
closer to the demise of the hobby. It was bound to happy sooner or
later. HPM would be turning in his grave if he could see what the
institute he started has done to the hobby. Oh yes, and let us not
forget the other asshole at large, W2NSD/1, good ole Wayne Green !

On Sat, 12 Feb 2000 09:59:54 -0600, mdwade <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
wrote:

>randas@mindspring.com wrote:
>>
>> Another soon to be extra...has no idea who or what W1AW is.
>>
>> de Tim K0FL
>>
>> SHOTT002 wrote in message <20000211234712.03790.00000052@ng-fc1.aol.com>...
>> >cry cry cry cry, I'm sick and tired of people crying over this, get a life
>> pal.
>> >If you are an ex W1AW what are you doing still hanging with the in crowd?
>> If
>> >you don't like the new rules, and you have quit this hobby, then go find a
>> new
>> >one and quit crying. I will personaly will become a 5wpm Extra just for
>> people
>> >like you. I'm sorry if I have touch a bad spot with some of you, but really
>> >getting tired of the crying. It's said and done, nothing you nor I can do
>> about
>> >it.
>> >
>> >Shane
>> >Tech Plus.....but soon to be W1AW's equal
>Who is W1AW, it is the ham callsign of the Hiram Percy Maxim Memorial
>Station in Newington, CT operated by the ARRL. Because of Hiram Percy
>Maxim we have Ham and CB today, the US NAVY wanted to run all civilians
>off the air after WW 1. The ARRL got congres to open up the airwaves
>and the rest is history.

5017. SX-99 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Evans <cosmos41@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38B2EA19.54CE97C8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118773

Back in ham radio after a long hiatus, I recently bought a beautiful SX-99 to use in my Vintage Radio Station. I knew it had a few minor problems and was counting on someone with more technical expertise than I have to help me solve one or two of them.

The main problem is that barely bumping the rcvr or the table it sits on causes the cw note to warble (shift around in frequency) and then settle back down again. Just thumping the side of the rcvr has the same result. Is this a well-known problem with the SX-99? Is it the same stability problem that the SX-100 is known for?

Can someone please tell me how to proceed or where an article on the problem could be found? An answer here is great because others may have the same problem, but if u could email me, that would be even better for me since I can't access the newsgroups at work. My email address is cosmos41@ix.netcom.com

Thanks for any help you could provide. I really want to enjoy the old "gal" the way she was meant to be enjoyed -- "singing" rather than "yodeling." *grin*

Ron - K5MVR

5018. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B2EB4B.BA65EEA4@bctv.com>
Source record: 118774

K8TJ wrote:
>
> .... and we can again thank the ARRL for helping us all one step
> closer to the demise of the hobby.

Yep. In 1963.

We can thank the FCC for one last chance to recover from that blunder.

Stop whining, and do something to prove that we deserve that chance.

5019. Morse exercises No.11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <88ur0l$h9o$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 118775
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Dear YLs and OM,

DTC's regular Morse exercises transmitted every Monday at 19:00 h
local time in DL on 3575 +/- 3 kHz are available on the Internet as
well, being in downloadable MIDI-file format.

Currently three speeds are offered for download:

Speed "90" (= 18 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr11_90.mid

Speed "60" (= 12 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr11_60.mid

Speed "45" (= 9 wpm): http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/nr11_45.mid

Hoping you'll have some fun decoding either on the air or from the
Internet, you may check out your capabilities in decoding Morse. For
ease of reference the content of the Morse code transmission / file
may be accessed at DTC's web site using

http://www.muenster.org/dtc/cwtext.html

Your opinion and suggestions are welcome: dtc@muenster.org

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

5020. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSU.4.10.10002221517420.8280-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 118776

Come on, you give more praise to the ARRL than it deserves.
Would you hold the NWS responsible for a thunderstorm?

> .... and we can again thank the ARRL for helping us all one step
> closer to the demise of the hobby. It was bound to happy sooner or
> later. HPM would be turning in his grave if he could see what the
> institute he started has done to the hobby. Oh yes, and let us not
> forget the other asshole at large, W2NSD/1, good ole Wayne Green !

5021. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <XCCs4.1774$vm5.46453@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118777
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well... Mobile to mobile good luck. Not too likely, unless you go
'external' through a repeater. In that case, it's strictly a repeater
coverage issue. With 45W, you'd be on the 'strong side' of the
statement that 'If you can hear it, you can work it' with most
repeaters.

Mobile to mobile is going to be degraded by the terain, and
as flat as it looks, it just ain't. Buildings and slight variances
in terrain are going to get you as well. 30 miles, well, maybe,
IF it were really 'flat' and IF you had fairly unobstructed path...

But if you have a repeater, over 'relatively' flat terrain, just getting
100' in the air and 30 miles is easy. That's FROM the repeater.
I couldn't run mobile to mobile from my home about 15 mi north
of Ft Worth to even downtown Ft Worth, but had no problem at
all running the same distace from my car to a friends house with
the antenna first 40' then later over 60' in the air. We made our
own repeater and routinely worked through it with 1W from 15
miles away using our HTs. But his 'base' was 60'+ in the air.
(This was both 2M and 220.)

Mike

Matthew Walton <matt@okdirect.com> wrote in message
news:7Jhs4.2498$gE6.261489@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
> communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular,
at the
> Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range
of 30
> miles or so.
>
> Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
> appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
>
> Matthew Walton
> matt@okdirect.com
>

5022. Re: AC3AU (...a class-1 A-H)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blue nipple juice <smyt@aol.com>
Message-ID: <RHCs4.2325$2E1.43528@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118778

Tell me Possum Belly, do you always write like the below..?

Did you graduate Magna Cum Laude in Ebonics?

Or are you just another trailer house dwelling W.T.I.A. ?

possum belly wrote in message <88um5ebsn1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <88ufmp$6kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> part97@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> As if you never talked about people who have lost loved ones, had
>> terminal deseases, Looks like the Big Porkbutt Pot gut calling the
>> kettle black. I don't believe that tale about Brother John and Rube
>for
>> a minute. You are still telling lies and are not contrite about your
>> awful activities on amateur radio. You are right where you need to be,
>> off amateur radio!!
>>
>>
>
>DR part SIR do them thair wurds frum porky reed like the wurds of a man
>wid a contright hart??????????? do he seam even a lil repentatent to
>you???? he dont seams that way to me. i herd that. he seam like he stil
>fool up a hate an spight. jus like his tooter DR hate the ole queer
>dadDy. wat do you thing bout that?????? is i rite or is i
>rong????????????? i ask this hear quest onion - do he bee long on the
>radio???????????? or do he bee long were he is. do in hard time in radio
>prison??????? i here sum the hams say in it was rong fer MR riley to
>trik porky off the air. i say it was rite. coz you got be a triker to
>cats a triker. i herd that. them thair nomads is wily an fool a triks.
>am i rite?????? say amen won time. i herd that. so i a proves a MR riley
>trik in porky. he fed that big ole carp a doe ball. an he cot the
>bigist lardo qrmer ever hit ham a chair radio. BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHA like
>ole elongitated deedformed hed woger screem when he butt plug in granny
>wideman. i herd that. an herbie baby #1 stil hear!!!!!!!! so let it be
>ritten. so let it be dun. amen.
>
>--
>DR Possum Eugene Belly
>Rockit Siamtist
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

>

5023. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38B2FC59.2E6E1502@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 118779
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Be nice, Phil.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Phil Kane wrote:

> If your receiver dial reads otherwise, that's the problem of using a SSB
> receiver product detector reading a "supressed carrier" which doesn't exist
> with OOK.

5024. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88v01ojnn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118780

In article <6rn5bs06tt8qonrnhaf4mt86c0o50o4iah@4ax.com>,
K8TJ <tje@usol.com> wrote:
> .... and we can again thank the ARRL for helping us all one step
> closer to the demise of the hobby. It was bound to happy sooner or
> later. HPM would be turning in his grave if he could see what the
> institute he started has done to the hobby. Oh yes, and let us not
> forget the other asshole at large, W2NSD/1, good ole Wayne Green !

K8TJ, you ignorant slut;

Wayne Green was right, and you were wrong.

It is pessimistic ignoramouses like you, that block progress and hang on to ancient ideas, who are the REAL problem. Pull that horse-drawn carraige off the road, so the motor cars can pass - and quit requiring that motor car operators be required to master the buggy whip to get their driver's license.

Stewart Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5025. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88uujf$r7a$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> >The FCC forbids you from using cyphers unless you are a satellite ground st
>> >ation controlling a satellite.

>> "Swing" means to length one's dahs -- longer than the usual 1-to-3
>> dit-to-dah ratio.

>So you change the specification of the code to hide the meaning.

Hidden from whom?

>> "Cipher" is any general system for hiding the meaning
>> of a message by replacing each letter in the original text with another
>> letter.

>Nope.

Yup. That's the definition of "cipher."

>> As an example, using the Caesar-shift substitution cipher, your name
>> enciphered would be: EULDQ.

>Authenticate.

Now you're getting silly.

>>>That you would deliberately and maliciously wreck a
>>>communication speaks well of "Mr Emergency CW Op for the US Coast Guard."

>>"Wreck a communication"? Where'd that come from? I'm in QSO with another
>>op

>You're in a QSO with Cecil and deliberately sabbotage the specification
>of Morse Code.

No, that was not the scenario. You're making stuff up again.

>>and we're manually sending, adding a little swing to our fists, i.e.,
>>making the dahs a little longer; typical of fellows using "bugs" (a semi-
>>automatic mechanical key, e.g., a Vibroplex) or "side swipers." Cecil
>>could probably copy us by ear, but his modem might have difficulty.

>You're a lid.

You're being silly again. We're not even on the air here. This is all hypothetical jabbering which you take WAY too seriously. Loosen up and have some fun, Euldq.

73, Jeff

5026. Re: PK232 Software ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Beckman <karl.beckman@motorola.com>
Message-ID: <88uvsprf1@schbbs.mot.com>
Source record: 118782

SCOTT -
Head to the Timewave site www.timewave.com to get the latest Win9x software,
demo version. However, the old PKWIN version 1.0 is still useable on Win95
(and possibly Win 3.1 also) if you accept one Y2K glitch.
After the program starts to load (in a DOS window), it will generate an
error dialog box because the year value "00" is not considered valid. Just
click OK and the program will continue loading and will run correctly.
--
Karl Beckman

mailto:karl.beckman@motorola.com

<shuber182@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:88u142rpf1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I dusted off my old MBA PK232 using the Pakratt Windows software which
> came with it years ago when it was purchased. Is there any newer/more
> current software available for this ? The Pakratt software is old and
> outdated. Any ideas ??? Thanks.
>
> Scott
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5027. Re: AOL, Customer Oriented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14129-38B306FA-49@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118783

Doc,

When you are inattentive, you can carry lots of extra baggage. Twice a year I generally take an inventory of the fat before slicing it. Some things you may decide to keep, like a second or third telephone line. You never know when your children or guests stay and want the telephone.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

5028. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88sjub$5fg$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Prince of Coyotes <fdecker@catskill.net> wrote:
>Been to your FLAT state many times...I envy anyone who doesn't have 600-1000
>foot mountains to block their signals.

Those mountains become your friends if you locate repeaters atop them.
Otherwise, you have d = sqrt (2h) to contend with, where h = your
height in feet, and d = the distance to the radio horizon in miles.
In his flat state with an HT at eye level, the radio horizon will be
about 3 miles distant.

>Your repeaters must have awesome coverage!

Mountains are needed for that.

Jeff

5029. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88skce$5s4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
>> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
>> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

>That's getting very close to being illegal, Jeff. Tsk, tsk.
>Deliberately disguising your transmission is illegal.

Just because your modem can't copy that swing doesn't make it
illegal. That just about any other Morse devotee can copy that
it shows nothing is being "deliberately disguised."

Don't send faster than you can copy, Cecil.

Jeff

5030. Re: DO YOU HAVE A VX-1R or VX-5R ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ewx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000221192754.01217.00000156@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118820

VX 5 R , the belt breaks easy and the Sma
mount for the screw on antenna come loose and can't find a way to tighten it .
Other than thoses two things , it's Great .
I still think it beats anything on the market,
I/ve had alot of h/t 's and it's the best . I'll
just fix those little things and keep it !

5031. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88slpg$6ld$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
>: James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:

>: >NO ONE IS GETTING A HANDOUT. Everyone is meeting the FCC's qualification
>: >requirements as they are being presented to us. Those loudmouths seem to
>: >ignore completly that the original requirements were 5 WPM and answering
>: >7 questions to use HF. And I certainly think that the hams of 1913 were
>: >as much "real hams" as any that followed them.

>: "...answering 7 questions..."
>: 1. Explain how a receiver works.
>: 2. Draw a diagram of a receiver, labeling the value of each component
>: and describing its purpose.

>The xtal set and superregen were king back then. Not PLL multi memory LSI
>rigs.

Regen, yes. Super-regen, no, not quite yet.

As the complexity of equipment increases, so does our knowledge base.

>: 3. Explain how a transmitter works.

>Spark.

Tubes.

>: 4. Draw a diagram of a transmitter, labeling the value of each component
>: and describing its purpose.
>: 5., 6., and 7. were questions pertaining to national and international
>: communication laws.
>: These were essay-type questions, and as the feds were fond of saying,
>: "What you don't write, you don't know."

>I really wasn't there so I can't vouch for this.

The literature of that era can vouch for it.

>: >We "may" provide a service, but it is not one of the requirements.

>: S97.1 (below) is our "fundamental purpose"; nothing is mentioned about
>: it being optional.

>"Purpose" and "requirement" are not the same thing! The purpose of a gun
>is to shoot things. It is not a "requirement" that you shoot *people*
>with them (or anything if you don't -want- to)!

And at such a time that you do fulfill that gun's purpose, a whole slew of requirements *must* be met. Using your same analogy, the purpose of a transmitter is to transmit. If you don't intend to transmit, feel free to ignore S97.1, our fundamental purpose.

>: 97.1 Basis and purpose.
>: The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur
>: radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
>: principles:
>: (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
>: public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with
>: respect to providing emergency communications.

>"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
>get much MORE than what they pay for.

I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented, "noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest" (FCC words). That you don't know this shows you really don't understand the regulations.

Jeff

5032. FS: ==)> F-117 NIGHTHAWK Stealth Fighter - parts - UNIQUE <(==

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: VHF <walkie-talkie@europe.com>
Message-ID: <88sl36bpl1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,be.forsale

Make an offer.

http://www.geocities.com/FashionAvenue/Runway/7439/f117.jpg

5033. 3950 Opinion Page coming down again - let's see if others will reciprocate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000221213840.14225.00001099@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118823

```
3950 Opinion Page coming down again - let's see if others will reciprocate.
```

5034. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88ssda$9jg@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:
: James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:
: >Jeffrey Herman (jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu) wrote:

: >: "...answering 7 questions..."
: >: 1. Explain how a receiver works.
: >: 2. Draw a diagram of a receiver, labeling the value of each component
: >: and describing its purpose.

: >The xtal set and superregen were king back then. Not PLL multi memory LSI
: >rigs.

: Regen, yes. Super-regen, no, not quite yet.

Big deal. Is this the best you got?

: As the complexity of equipment increases, so does our knowledge base.

: >: 3. Explain how a transmitter works.

: >Spark.

: Tubes.

No tubes required for a spark "transmitter".

: >: >We "may" provide a service, but it is not one of the requirements.

: >: S97.1 (below) is our "fundamental purpose"; nothing is mentioned about
: >: it being optional.

: >"Purpose" and "requirement" are not the same thing! The purpose of a gun
: >is to shoot things. It is not a "requirement" that you shoot *people*
: >with them (or anything if you don't -want- to)!

: And at such a time that you do fulfill that gun's purpose, a whole
: slew of requirements *must* be met.

Yeah, you pull the trigger with a bullet in the thing. But you don't HAVE
to have it aimed at anything. (fire it straight up in the air)

; Using your same analogy, the
: purpose of a transmitter is to transmit. If you don't intend to
: transmit, feel free to ignore S97.1, our fundamental purpose.

You're starting to catch on.

: >: (a) Recognition and enhancement of the value of the amateur service to the
: >: public as a voluntary noncommercial communication service, particularly with

: >: respect to providing emergency communications.

: >"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
: >get much MORE than what they pay for.

: I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
: "noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
: (FCC words). That you don't know this shows you really don't under-
: stand the regulations.

I certainly understand that as hams we are not -required- to do anything
in particular with the license (other than obey the rules).

: Jeff
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

5035. 3950 Opinion page poll results to the question....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000221214506.14225.00001104@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118825

Which Libnet member(s) has the most outrageous/ridiculous statements or comments
Liberty Net members voting:
Vic (W1WCR) 54%
Harvey (KE4NY) 0%
Larry (N2BUB) 0%
Harrison (K4GAR) 0%
Steve (N8WGM) 0%
Alfred (N2SAG) 0%
Steve (AA8DP) 0%
Harry (N3GVA) 0%
Bob (KN4CF) 0%
Kevin (WB4AIO) 0%
Greg (Kd1PL) 0%
Scott (WB8RAV) 0%

Shortwave Listeners voting:
Vic (W1WCR) 0%
Harvey (KE4NY) 0%
Larry (N2BUB) 4%
Harrison (K4GAR) 0%
Steve (N8WGM) 4%
Alfred (N2SAG) 0%
Steve (AA8DP) 9%
Harry (N3GVA) 0%
Bob (KN4CF) 0%
Kevin (WB4AIO) 0%
Greg (Kd1PL) 0%
Scott (WB8RAV) 0%

other Ham Operators voting:
Vic (W1WCR) 9%
Harvey (KE4NY) 0%
Larry (N2BUB) 0%
Harrison (K4GAR) 0%
Steve (N8WGM) 0%
Alfred (N2SAG) 4%
Steve (AA8DP) 0%
Harry (N3GVA) 0%
Bob (KN4CF) 0%
Kevin (WB4AIO) 4%
Greg (Kd1PL) 9%
Scott (WB8RAV) 0%

Jammers voting:
Vic (W1WCR) 0%
Harvey (KE4NY) 0%
Larry (N2BUB) 0%
Harrison (K4GAR) 0%
Steve (N8WGM) 0%
Alfred (N2SAG) 0%
Steve (AA8DP) 0%
Harry (N3GVA) 0%
Bob (KN4CF) 0%
Kevin (WB4AIO) 0%
Greg (Kd1PL) 0%
Scott (WB8RAV) 0%

Conspiracy theorists voting:
Vic (W1WCR) 0%
Harvey (KE4NY) 0%
Larry (N2BUB) 0%
Harrison (K4GAR) 0%
Steve (N8WGM) 0%
Alfred (N2SAG) 0%
Steve (AA8DP) 0%
Harry (N3GVA) 0%
Bob (KN4CF) 0%
Kevin (WB4AIO) 0%
Greg (Kd1PL) 0%
Scott (WB8RAV) 0%

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5036. Re: Amateur Radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: SMITH29 <"smith29(not)"@home.com>
Message-ID: <38B1FBEC.C1B811BC@home.com>
Source record: 118826

Watcher111 wrote:
>
> ....We'll see how long it takes to get flamed..............
>
> I have been sitting here for the last 10 days reading this newsgroup and I
> have a few questions.
>
> Why don't most of you (not all) get off the flaming of everyone and talk
> about the issues of Ham Radio. Who really cares if someone has a shirt that
> expresses his personal opinion about the FCC, who cares if there is some
> idiot out there that thinks he is Gods gift to the hobby, but is a real
> jerk.
>
> What I want to know is if we all spend time flaming and getting flamed on a
> newsgroup, when do you find time to talk on the radio?? If we can't even
> manage to get along in print, then how are we going to cooperate in an
> emergency?? If we can't let people have an opinion without condemning them
> to hell (a shack with no radios), how do you get along in the real world??
>
> Now I know I'm going to get flamed and you know what, I don't care, I am
> just going to use the fancy feature called "DELETE"
>
> Wayne Mikel
> KE6DJE Tech+
> Lincoln Ca,

xxx
Your weenie whine wasn't good enough to get flamed,,Ha Ha!!
29

5037. W. Texas Hublink Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <kc5zrq@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o1ns4.14145$O43.534629@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118827

From: lbbham@onelist.com

We would like to remind you of this upcoming event.

Hublink 2M Net

Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2000
Time: 8:00PM - 9:00PM CST (GMT-06:00)

Weekly net using the Hublink System, 6 linked repeaters in the
West Texas area.
146.760 - 179.9 Abernathy
147.160 + 179.9 Crosbyton
146.640 - 179.9 Littlefield
147.000 - 179.9 Lubbock
147.060 + 179.9 Post
146.780 - 179.9 Sundown

The audio of this net will be streamed live at
http://www.lubbockradio.net/net.htm.

5038. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88t0la$5o6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I Agree!
FCC = Fraud, Waste, Abuse, Corruption,
> > Greed, & Criminality
> >
> > The FCC is the most criminally corrupt agency
> > the federal government!
>
> On the rankings of "corrupt" agencies, I thought that the IRS was
first!
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5039. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: covert62@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88t1qr$6hk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118829

Did Dimmy get himself a ARRL lawyer again? Did he not pay his rent
AGAIN? Did he have to move back into the bread truck. I hear that they
don't sell slinnkys any more. What is he going to do fer a antenna??
Well it doesn't matter he'll sell his radio gear to pay for a ARRL
attorney and lie before the Dallas GA court AGAIN! I wonder how much
longer the Dallas court system will allow Dimmy to do this?? What a
loser! Dimmy you are such a loser! I wonder how many rocks he will have
to sell this time to pay for that ARRL attorney? I wonder if the
sheriff of Pauldin County knows about his rock activity?? Dimmy got
tossed out of the Navy fo0r the dope charge. Can you imagin 14 years of
ones life wasted just to get tossed out for DOPE. WHAT A IDOIT!
Have a nice day
Dimmy your still a loser and will always be a loser in my book
73 from
your friend
Covert62
In article <88ovtn$f30$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Poor DIMMY.....sniff some more cocaine.....CONVICT.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO/Roger
>
> <mr__cracker@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8zsshg$XZ02
> $1@nnrp2.deja.com...
> > On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 01:28:07 -0500, "Grumpee" <Crabby@oldmail.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > : Wah! Wah! Wah! If you post any copyrighted photos of me or any
> members of
> > : my dysfunctional family I will sue you pursuant to law # 99-OU812.
> > : (Does anybody know any attorneys who will let me make weekly
> payments?)
> >
> > there is bound to be an attorney there in elkheart who will do that.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5040. WTB Schematic for HTX-212

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb6dav@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <88t8d6$b3p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118830

Wanted: Service manual or schematic for a Radio Shack HTX-212 a copy
will be fine. Please respond to my e-mail address wb6dav@juno.com.

Thanks Dave

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5041. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <no4n4x4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Dcps4.59244$ox5.16248409@tw11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 118831
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am currently hitting repeaters in excess of 50 miles away with just 10
watts on FM 2-meters.

A.E. 352
kf6foz wrote in message <38b1a49b.1436024@news.thegrid.net>...
>Here is some simple advice, Go get your ham license and then get the
>radio. With the use of many repeaters on the 2 meter band, you may go
>further than 30 miles maybe 60 or so. There are a few here in
>California that reach as far as 150 miles that I know of...
>
>Hope this helps!!!
>
>73, John Johnson
>
>
>On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 21:01:55 GMT, "Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com>
>wrote:
>
>>A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
>>communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at
the
>>Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of
30
>>miles or so.
>>
>>Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
>>appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
>>
>>Matthew Walton
>>matt@okdirect.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>The KF6FOZ BBS
>Redding, CA.
>530-229-0896
>
>Visit my Website for other stuff and more info on
>the BBS. Even a good list of Sale and Swap sites
>on there.
>http://www.qsl.net/kf6foz/main.htm

5042. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88t706iov1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>>>My SCS PTC2e HF modem receives Morse code faster than I can.

>>And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
>>wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
>>by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

>The FCC forbids you from using cyphers unless you are a satellite ground st
>ation controlling a satellite.

"Swing" means to length one's dahs -- longer than the usual 1-to-3
dit-to-dah ratio. "Cipher" is any general system for hiding the meaning
of a message by replacing each letter in the original text with another
letter. Swing and cipher are unrelated.

As an example, using the Caesar-shift substitution cipher, your name
enciphered would be: EULDQ.

>That you would deliberately and maliciously wreck a
>communication speaks well of "Mr Emergency CW Op for the US Coast Guard."

"Wreck a communication"? Where'd that come from? I'm in QSO with another
op and we're manually sending, adding a little swing to our fists, i.e.,
making the dahs a little longer; typical of fellows using "bugs" (a semi-
automatic mechanical key, e.g., a Vibroplex) or "side swipers." Cecil
could probably copy us by ear, but his modem might have difficulty.

Jeff

5043. Re: AC3AU provokes his fellow man to ANGER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: sherinsmiami@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17118-38B21A79-32@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118833

I.F. Shift,

Sorry, can't buy into that.

In the early 90's while I was in graduate school for Counselling, I spoke with John often. He had unquestionable mastery of DSM-IV, the worldwide "Diagnostic Manual for Mental Disorders." With enormous insight, he taught me so very much about Counselling.

He spoke out on the Bible too. Though I'm not the believer he is, I have no doubt of his sincerity.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

5044. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <890rek$s2q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <88v14d$jj9$1@schbbs.mot.com>,
"Karl Beckman" <karl.beckman@motorola.com> wrote:
> DAN -
>
> Not all manufacturers use the "Normal" USB convention, nor do they
all shift
> the indicated frequency between modes. The Kenwood line (my TS-440)
> transmits the carrier exactly where shown on the dial, with no jump in
> indicated frequency between USB, LSB, CW, AM, or FSK, and listens
inverted
> (LSB) in the CW and FSK modes.
> That said, it doesn't make a bit of difference listening on the air
except
> when tuning to raise or lower the beat note. However, it's a lot
easier to
> stay in-band when the dial ALWAYS indicates the transmit carrier
frequency,
> whether suppresed or transmitted.
> --
> Karl Beckman WA8NVW
>
> mailto:kbeckman@stratos.net
>
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:88rmoe$7js$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <K1Ur4.126$%A3.3483@ptah.visi.com>,
> > "Ron H" <rnharsh@visi.com> wrote:
> > > Does the direction of the offset between transmit and receive
> > frequencies
> > > for CW follow the LSB USB convention on HF? i.e. is the
transmitter
> > offset
> > > below the received freq. on bands where LSB is common and vise
> > versa...???
> > >
> > > 73
> > > K3PID@arrl.net
> > >
> > >
> > "Normal" cw is done in the USB position on a conventional modern day
> > transceiver. The actual signal transmitted and received must be
offset
> > to allow a "tone" to be heard. Normally this is about 700 to 850

> > cycles. Some digital displays show this offset automaticaly and
others
> > do not. On my 1000MP when you switch to CW from USB you will see
the
> > display change to +700 . I.E. 14.000.00 on SSB will read
14.OOO.70
> > This allows the offset cw note to be heard on the 14.000.00
frequency.
> > (at the 700 cycle offset).
> >
> > This allows the "center frequency" to be properly positioned in the
SSB
> > filter passband. One can (on my rig at least) switch to LSB CW and
> > transmit and receive CW on the lower side of the frequency.
Sometimes
> > this helps to elliminate QRM, or to be heard on a LSB frequency when
> > you go to CW. Otherwise you would be zero beat and not be heard.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>
Yes I knew the older Kenwood's did that. I didnt know they still
were. I have a TS-530S and it does the same as your 440. And I guess
it is six of one and half dozen of another.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5045. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <890s18$sh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118585

In article <890pi8$1ht$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> I take great pride in having called the Michigan Primary right on the
> button. No one thought McCain had a chance except AF2Y and BINGO. He
will
> now go on to put away the flamboyant rich son of our ex-Prez. and
become the
> G.O.P. nominee for President. Now when I figure out whom his running
mate
> will be, I'll report it. McCain is JUST WHAT THE G.O.P needs- a
centrist,
> beholden to no one especially that peckerhead Jerry Falwell. John's
an Irish
> Roman Catholic, proud of it and I'm proud of him for being proud of
it. I
> like Catholics, especially the Irish brand although I take no sides in
> Northern Ireland except for telling the British to get the hell out
and
> leave the people alone. And I like the Irish Catholics even though I
used to
> have a problem with Jim O'Brien which became solved after I sent him a
> yarmulka with a propeller on it and the letters W4AMP embroidered
across the
> top. GO JOHNNY GO and bless that man for now making the G.O.P. once
again a
> party for ALL THE PEOPLE instead of the followers of a bunch of
religious
> rightwing loonies!
> AF2Y
>
Amazing I actually agree with Doc on this political issue. Just proves
I was right all along.....Doc ain't such a liberal as you folk's think.
Now you ask why do I support McCain? Anyone that can be a fighter
pilot, a POW, and be the son of an Admiral and make his own life has
got a set of gonad's as big as King Kong. He is my man.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5046. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wtit.net>
Message-ID: <38B40EC5.A42FEEC6@wtit.net>
Source record: 118586
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What do you mean "Going to the trouble"? There is no trouble
getting at least the beginners license. If doing a little work,
and I do mean little, then it really didn't mean much to you and you
should really look at getting NEXTEL radios.

David

Matthew Walton wrote:
>
> BTW...A reply to all of the nasty e-mails I received from people. I have no
> intention of going on the air without a license...I merely wanted to see if
> going to the trouble of getting a license and spending the money on a radio
> would be worth it.
>
> Chill out, folks..:-)
>
> Matthew Walton
> matt@okdirect.com
>
> "Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com> wrote in message
> news:7Jhs4.2498$gE6.261489@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> > A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
> > communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at
> the
> > Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of
> 30
> > miles or so.
> >
> > Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
> > appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
> >
> > Matthew Walton
> > matt@okdirect.com
> >
> >
> >

5047. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Johnny Rico <lawdog2@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <38b3f431_2@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 118587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Under no reasonable interpretation of the regulations could either of my web sites be construed as an ordinary and necessary business expense. Accordingly, no, I did not charge any of the expenses of creating it (which totalled $0.00, in case you are the nosy type) to the Firm, or any other taxpaying entity.

The "ham with conductivity and radials" comment was utterly indecipherable to this responding party.

--

Roger J. Buffington
W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net

Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html

"Politicians are the same the world over...
They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
-- Nikita Khruschev

"Bob Wex w2ilp" <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:88v5rp$nv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <38b20632_4@news1.prserv.net>,
> You have a nice web-site Roger. I did visit it.
> Did you get paid for making your web-site or do you just charge it
to
> your law firm's legal operating expenses???...or would you refuse to
> answer such questions on legal grounds???...or as a ham with ground
> conductivity or radials?
>
> Objections not SUSTAINABLE.
>

5048. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <38B3FB48.522C59E3@cats-net.com>
Source record: 118588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> > Brian <burke1@icss.net> quoted me:
> >
> > >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > >And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> > >wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> > >by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
> >
> > Where your complaint falls flat, Brian, is that no where in the
> > above was "on the air" mentioned; this test could very well be
> > conducted off the air utilizing just a CPO, my bug, and Cecil's
> > modem (with the appropriate interconnections). But even on the air,
> > I have a swing; it's impossible for any op sending by hand to send
> > the exact 3-to-1 dah-to-dit ratio. My swing never bothered the FCC
> > examiner; don't let it bother you.
> >
> > Remember, this is all hypothetical exchanges; no need to commit
> > libel or defamation of character by saying someone's broken some
> > law.
> >
> > Jeff
>
> Jeff, fine. Weasel your way out. Do it often enough and you'll be
> known as a weasel.

Naw, all he has to do is claim that he was just baiting you.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

5049. Re: AF2Y: Maybe I'm wrong but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Herb Schoenbohm <herbs@islands.vi>
Message-ID: <891113jj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118589

Dr. Hate *is* wrong. Herbie is very active
and just participated in the ARRL CW DX contest
on 160 meters. Fortunately Dr. Hate lacks the
capability of generating a signal on this band
and can not jam KV4FZ's working DX.

In article <87i0s4eed1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Maybe I'm wrong but I think Herbie, KV4FZ, received a cease and desist
and
> is off ham radio right now! There was some kind of deal struck in
which he
> promised to go minus fanfare if his radio demise wasn't published.
What say
> anybody?
>

--
Find out why the Feds what to silence radio talk
show host and distinguished amateur radio operator KV4FZ
Visit my web site at http://www.islands.vi/~herbs
From the tropical paradise islands of St. Croix,VI

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5050. Re: Oris Grim, Convicted Trailer Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89115g$m3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118590

<suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:890afp$gm6
$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <8731pk$q07$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Oris Grim, convicted drug felon,gurgled between crack hits@my-
deja.com
> wrote:
> >Drug induced rantings snipped
>
> Orifice is getting cocky now that he has completed his court ordered
> counseling. But obviously he is still using, judging from these posts
> and the jamming on 3865 and 14.313 lately. Him and his butt buddy
KC8JBO
> just love their 920's. especially the DVR's that let them jam people
> with recordings.

Really? Have proof that I've keyed up on either frequency, convict?
And the DVR's only can record a few seconds of speech. so "enlighten"
us some more with your highly uninformed "thoughts," you fatassed bubba.

> His probation officer is on to little Orifice though,
> and drug tests are in his future.Hopefully this trailer trash will be
> incarcerated again. Remember, you can take the trash out of the
trailer,
> but you cannot take the trailer out of the trash.

You should know, O'Bese.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5051. Re: Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8911cjpc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118591

Thrice-convicted druggie Jimmy O'Brien w4amp aka
<suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com> slobbered in message
news:890arj$h1g$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <88ovtn$f30$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>, 40 year old mommy's boy sniveled:
> > Poor DIMMY.....sniff some more cocaine.....CONVICT.
> Good Lord, Mick Slater the slobbering drunk posts and Wiseman the 40
> year old

I'll be 45 in September, you blubbering fool.

>sponging off his mother responds. What a duo. Neither one can
> keep a female (unless you count their mother!)

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.....You would call that denture-wearing old SOW
Donna a female. She looks like she's had a lot of mileage. And her
housekeeping skills are typical of your low-class white trash status. I
heard the CDC has declared your hovel on Hiram-Sudie road a biohazard
waste dump. My mom lives with me, dumbfuck, so put your lying spin
where the sun don't shine. Riley has been in contact with me about your
jamming on 14323 or thereabouts....hope you enjoy your cb radio, 'cause
I'll do my best to get _you_ off of ham radio permenantly. I'm watching
all of your transmissions, fatass, and I hope you don't stay within
part 97 to give me an excuse.

>I think they should both
> stick to jamming 75 and 20 meters, something they are good at.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5052. Visit my page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa1cil <fa1cil@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <891001$h3s$8@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 118592

Hello,

You can visit my web page at
http://perso.wanadoo.fr/fa1cil/UK.htm

Each criticism, suggestion, idea are the welcomes.
--
73 de Pascal

5053. Re: Roger Wiseman,Sponging Momma's boy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8911gs$11p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118593

Coward Jimmy O'Bese w4amp aka drug dealing felon
<suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com> drooled in message news:890bfo$he0
$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> My My the mama's boy is upset. So tell me Wideman, when you and mama
get
> down, are you the pitcher or the catcher?

You mean like you do with your mommy? Hell, O'Bese, she was giving you
a bath well into your twenties...

>Hey, anything for free rent,
> right?

I suppose that explains why Donna tricks at the local truck stops, huh?

> One of these days mama is going to croak, then you have to get a
> job like everyone else. Keep that in the back of your mind as you
sponge
> the last few productive years out of the poor woman, you unemployable
> jamming scumbag.

Surely Jimmy your drug-damaged brin stem remembers this:

NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #1130 - 04/09/99
(**********) (* *) (* A M A T E
U R *) (* *) (* R A D I O *) (* *) (* N E W S L I N E *)

Hams deny FCC charges Two hams who received warning letters from the
FCC have told the ARRL that they are the victims of inaccurate
information. Newslines Bill Burnett, KT4SB, has more: James O'Brien the
third, of Hiram Georgia was one of the two sent a Warning Notice by the
FCC. His went out on March 15th. In it, the regulatory agency indicated
that O'Brien, that or someone using his callsign was and we quote --
"deliberately interfering with and jamming radio communications" on 75
meters. The FCC also charged the station with coordinating on 2 meter
FM with another station to interfere with stations on various 75 meter
frequencies. The regulatory agency identified this other station as
Charles Parris Jr., of Smyrna, Georgia. At the time of the alleged
transgressions Parris held the callsign KE4KXP. He has since changed
his call to K4JMZ. A week later, on March 24th, the FCC's Riley
Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, said that he received assurances from O'Brien
regarding the allegations outlined in the Warning Notice. Hollingsworth
told the American Radio Relay League that he had talked with O'Brian
and the matter was closed for now. But, in a call to the ARRL, O'Brien,
who is a League member, denied any wrong doing. Instead, he asserted

that the FCC Warning Notice was based upon and we again quote: "totally false and inaccurate" information from a third party. Also claiming to be the target of the same misinformation is Charles Parris Jr., K4JMZ. He also received a warning letter from the FCC indicating that he, or someone using his callsign, was deliberately interfering with and jamming radio communications on 75 meters. Also, that the station was coordinating on 2 meter FM with W4AMP to interfere with stations on various 75 meter frequencies. But on March 24th, Parris also telephoned the ARRL. He too is a League member, and he too emphatically denied any wrongdoing. And like O'Brian, he also suggested that the FCC Warning Notice was based on incorrect information from a third party. The ARRL report did not say who supplied the alleged inaccurate information. Nor did it indicate why the two hams called the League to proclaim their innocence.

Bwhahahahahahahahaha...You had to lie even to the League, the FCC, and anybody to get your fat ass out of this one....I'd say that the information WAS accurate...
given YOUR record of death threats, jamming etc on the air on 75 and 20 meters.

Just for giggles:

Extracts from the public record:

PUBLIC RECORDS, PAULDING COUNTY, GEORGIA
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF PAULDING IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA

Index to Criminal Dockets, Docket "X" page 52
(microfilm cartridge #63 - 0320 to 360)
(criminal minutes & final record 15, pages 147-173, & 185-187, & 191-197)

CASE # 93-CR-000158, Date: 2/15/93

JAMES VINCENT O' BRIEN III

1 Count, GA Code 16-13-30, Violation of the GA Controlled Substance Act

2 Counts, GA Code 16-13-30 Violation of the GA Controlled Substance Act

$10, 000 bond

Conviction JTC 09, 6/16/93

Confinement: 12 mos.
Confinement: 2 yrs.
Probabtion: 4 yrs.
(credit for time served)

Prosecutor: Mike Deaver
Def. Attorney: Randall G. Williams

Bondsman: Gregory Graham

10/22/93: Docketing Notice from Supreme Court, dated: 10/21/93
Supreme Court Case# S94A 0102

1/14/94: Order of supervised reprieve from State Board of Pardons
& Paroles

7/21/95: Order - Sentence Review Panel - Affirming

FINAL ENTRY in the PUBLIC RECORD as of 11/24/97,
end of extracts from the public record.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5054. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <8911vv$18d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<38675FEC3E770612.B76870851BDD20F6.797731BBF0DF1E3C@lp.airnews.net>,
"Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my
cards.
> >
>
> Guess why some folks want my cards? <G>

They need Kaufman County, TX, and/or gridsquare EM12SR. Why else?

73 de Jim, N2EY
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5055. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8912lo$1ve$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118595
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <88vr6p$ecq@nntp.seflin.org>,
z005381b@bc.seflin.org (James Rosenthal) wrote:

> Is that why most CW operators in QSO aren't both on the same freq? Or
> are they trying to keep other operators away by spreading out? :)

No, it's because zero-beating is a lost art. In the days of separates, it was easy to get dead on with the other op. Of course that only lasted for a while as each VFO drifted its merry way up or down the band.

Whenever an operator with a modern rig tunes a signal to their liking, it usually isn't the same as whatever offset their rig supplies for the transmitted signal (which varies by brand; 800 Hz - 1 kHz). My TS850 (and others) has a neat feature of being able to adjust the offset from about 400 Hz up to 1kHz. (Most experienced CW ops prefer a lower tone.)

However, to zero-beat, I have to take an extra step (can't remember what; I've been off the air for over a year). Some rigs don't even have the capability, and others (like my old TS830, an otherwise great CW radio) had an odd system that I don't think more than half a dozen people even knew about (tune the signal with the mode switch in "Tune").

Larry down below is dead wrong; it has nothing to do with 40 WPM ops, old farts, bugs, or anything else. It's about tuning. And by the way, listen around; 90% of phone ops can't "zero-beat" a phone signal either.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5056. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B407BF.EB695936@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:
> Naw, all he has to do is claim that he was just baiting you.

And he is a master baitor. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5057. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dm <dmandjos@olypen.com>
Message-ID: <o8Us4.36768$0p1.879388@news4.giganews.com>
Source record: 118597
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

P.S.------

In another era, I was on a ship that worked aircraft out, beyond radar range....say 250 miles, on 243 Mc....day in and day out...RUNNING from 8 to 12 Watts!

The propagational path loss is truly trivial at VHF/UHF...BUT the Terrain loss, due to the obstacles the others mention is a HARD fact.

Get the "ticket", get on air, and suprize yourself.... "DM"

5058. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <38B414CD.57DEC180@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 118598
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> I, on the other hand, have been burned by dishonest individuals
> selling stuff. Because of that, I will not do business with a person
> that will only ship after receiving money up front (money order, or
> whatever). In fact, I'm very wary of any individual that flatly refuses
> to ship COD.
>
> You're the seller, Piero. You're the one that wants money.
> Therefore, in my book, you're the one who has to take the risks. If
> given a cloice between sending you money up front, or buying a product
> elsewhere for more, I'll shop elsewhere everytime.

That is the bottom line. If you do a search on DejaNews, or see warnings about the person on the newsgroups...you can avoid the deal altogether.

> Besides, why would I want to send money to a total stranger? When I
> buy from an established company, there is something more substantial on
> the other end. You're just some guy sitting in front of a computer.
> You would have to prove to me that you were honest before I would send
> you money up front (finance records, references, and/or anything else a
> real business would have). Even with a regular business there is no
> guarantee that the transaction will go smoothly, but it has a far better
> chance than it does when dealing with an individual.

Absolutely true...even checking out the references of a person or business is not a guarantee that the deal will go smoothly.

> Unless you do a lot of business, the situations you describe above are
> very rare. I've done business with UPS and FedEx for years and have
> only had problems a couple of times (usually circumstances other than a
> straight transaction involved).

I mostly deal with UPS. FedEx has been problem after problem at work (Police Department) and at home. My department will no longer deal with FedEx at all.

> You're claim that a person can file a "fraud complaint with the Postal
> Inspectors" really would make me nervious dealing with you.

Why would you be nervous dealing with me, you don't even know me? I have completed hundreds of deals over the internet without a problem. Why are you prejudging me without dealing with me?

> If you know
> as much as you suggest, than you should know that no investigation is
> launched unless large amounts of money are involved or a history of

> complaints exist.

That is not true. I deal with the Postal Inspectors on a regular basis as a police officer and they are very cooperative. Local/State police agencies on the other hand will not get involved unless it is thousands and thousands of dollars, and even then we won't get too involved. Extradition is very expensive, but not for the Federal Government.

> Therefore, to hide behind this to justify your
> business practice of accepting only money up front, when you know that
> nothing will be done if the person complains about you (assuming you did
> rip someone off), clearly suggests to me that I would not want to do
> business with you.

I'm not hiding behind anything, if you choose not to do business with me that is your choice. I have never ripped anyone off and have been burnt twice, but I learned after being burnt. Those people have paid for their bad dealings with me, and that is how it should be.

Piero

5059. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <8916i5$4t4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <JLoq4.9355$Zp1.281852@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:
> After April 15, the new breed of 5wpm extras will be able to use
choice
> segments of the CW bands that generals and advanced have been
prohibited
> from using. We will hear very slow code on those segments that we
cannot
> communicate with. I propose that the regulation be changed to allow
generals
> and advanced on those segments so that we may respond to their 5wpm
CQ's to
> encourage them along
> and help them build up their code speeds. What do you think?

I think there's no need for such a change. All existing Generals and Advanceds will be able to upgrade to Extra by taking the written tests - either the current ones (before April 15) or the new ones (after April 15). Upgrade and join the fun!

The writtens are not THAT hard - just go to

http://www.qrz.com

and try them out.

> We might
> consider the 5wpm extra segments to be similar to the old novice
bands where
> the higher classes often came in to give them some encouraging words
and
> help them along except that this time, it would be the lower classes
that
> lent an
> extra hand. Agree?

Not at all.

My experience in the Novice bands of old (late 1960s) was that they were populated mostly by Novices. A few "upperclassmen" would show up from time to time, but for the most part it was Novice to Novice QSOs.

The subbands you are referring to are the lowest 25 kHz of 80, 40, 20 and 15. They consitute a total of 100 kHz. The total CW/data spectrum space on those bands totals more than seven times that amount. And that's not counting 160, 10 or the WARC bands.

The subbands you refer to are usually populated by DX stations. That's part of the reason so many DXers and contesters have Extras.

Of course, all who have the license are welcome there. I'm glad to work other CW ops at any reasonable speed. However, by tradition the low end has not been a hangout for relatively slow CW.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5060. Activation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cc <carlos.cortes@usa.net>
Message-ID: <8913hm$7rs$33@duke.telepac.pt>
Source record: 118600

Activation
A.R.V.M. Aldeia da Luz
Date: 18 / 19 March
SPECIAL CALL: CQ7LUZ
Locater: IM58HI
Freq�s: 14.195Mhz * 21.295Mhz * 28.695Mhz * 145.350Mhz * 434.350Mhz
http://www.qsl.net/arvm/aldeiadaluz/
73�s

5061. KC8JBO & sick Mother

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8917r1$5s3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118601

I hear the Mother of KC8JBO is on "deaths doorstep". 'Ole FATASS is doing all he can do to help her out the few weeks she has left.......Too bad she took after her husband by being a HUMAN SMOKESTACK, hohohoho.

I guess I would smoke also if I had a LOSER son like LARDASS!!!!!!

Mom is ringing the dinner bell, FATBOY.....Better go & get her something to eat!!!!!!!!!!

'Da BULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5062. KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bggtr@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8918i0$6j5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118602

Hey LARDASS.....Remember when you posted this:

"Replying to your posts is a colossal waste of time".

I guess you LOVE to waste your time, hohohoho. When I say "JUMP", you
say "HOW HIGH MASTER"!!!!!

Give your SICK Mother a kiss,

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5063. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38B4258B.4CE422C0@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 118603
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian,

The manual usually tells you what the dial display signifies. Some rigs show the transmit frequency while transmitting and the "zero beat" frequency while receiving. Some let you see both settings at once, which can be useful. But it's easy to use the zero beat setting and figure how far your transmitted emission extends. IMO, it's part of being a good operator to know where your signal is, and certainly important near band edges or emission limits.

FWIW, the military uses _center_ of nominal emission to designate channels. For example, the MARS net on dial setting (zero beat) 4020 kHz was listed at 4021.5 kHz. If you wanted the net to switch to LSB, you had to have them move the dial setting to 4023 kHz. That was ANOTHER thing to keep track of!

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

Brian Kelly wrote:

> On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 13:15:05 -0800, Cortland Richmond
> <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:
>
> >Be nice, Phil.
> >
> He's absolutely right. I'm still very uncomfortable with not knowing
> exactly freq I'm beeping on with these with bloomin' ssb rigs turned
> half-assed cw rigs. You can shift "freqs" all over the dial with the
> goofy "RIT", "XIT", "VFO A", VFO B"and "Pitch" controls, ad nausem. No
> such problems existed when you had a trannsmitter here and a reciever
> there and a spot switch on the TX and a frequency readout you could
> trust a la Drake and Collins radios. Never mind the 100K kHz crystal
> calibrators which have disapppeared.
> >
> I have a rcvr which is dead nuts and an xcvr which drives me batty in
> this respect. I'm gonna listen to myself beeping into a dummy load
> with the real rcvr and sort this nonsense out for once and for all for
> myself.
> >
> w3rv
>
>

5064. Re: Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <891a73$7l8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118604

In article <8911cjpc1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Thrice-convicted druggie Jimmy O'Brien w4amp aka
> <suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com> slobbered in message
> news:890arj$h1g$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <88ovtn$f30$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>, 40 year old mommy's boy sniveled:
> > > Poor DIMMY.....sniff some more cocaine.....CONVICT.
> > Good Lord, Mick Slater the slobbering drunk posts and Wiseman the 40
> > year old
>
> I'll be 45 in September, you blubbering fool.
>
> >sponging off his mother responds. What a duo. Neither one can
> > keep a female (unless you count their mother!)
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.....You would call that denture-wearing old SOW
> Donna a female. She looks like she's had a lot of mileage. And her
> housekeeping skills are typical of your low-class white trash status.
I
> heard the CDC has declared your hovel on Hiram-Sudie road a biohazard
> waste dump. My mom lives with me, dumbfuck, so put your lying spin
> where the sun don't shine. Riley has been in contact with me about
your
> jamming on 14323 or thereabouts....hope you enjoy your cb
radio, 'cause
> I'll do my best to get _you_ off of ham radio permenantly. I'm
watching
> all of your transmissions, fatass, and I hope you don't stay within
> part 97 to give me an excuse.
>
> >I think they should both
> > stick to jamming 75 and 20 meters, something they are good at.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

> Before you buy.
>
Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit Different
Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how I was
doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her house?

Dan?W4NTI
--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5065. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com>
Message-ID: <38B42641.873FD2CA@usa.alcatel.com>
Source record: 118605
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you know your offset, and tune so the received tone is equal to the offset,
then you should be very close to the same frequency as the other operator.

Cortland
(ka5s@saber.net)

James Rosenthal wrote:

> Is that why most CW operators in QSO aren't both on the same freq? Or are
> they trying to keep other operators away by spreading out? :)
> --
> Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

5066. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000223133128.13363.00003439@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 118606

>> You're the seller, Piero. You're the one that wants money.
>> Therefore, in my book, you're the one who has to take the risks. If
>> given a cloice between sending you money up front, or buying a product
>> elsewhere for more, I'll shop elsewhere everytime.

It's all supply-and-demand. From the seller's perspective, he owns the merchandise so he sets the terms-of-sales. In the case of an eBay auction, for example, there are several bidders for each desirable item. If you won't send money up front, there are 20 others who will. Such is the free market.

5067. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14893-38B42A8A-12@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118607

Oh, come on Dan! Doc ain't gonna vote for McCain! He and his liberal socialist buddies were out en masse yesterday to vote AGAINST Bush! Among Republicans, Bush is ahead!

My wife and I and a bunch of our Republican friends are going to have a little fun and throw a little "election party" the day of the DEMOCRATIC primary. We're going to all go out and vote for Bradley and help throw Gore off course!!

If McCain is such a "centrist"...why is he saying he's a conservative and moving many of his positions to the right??? Because he knows THAT'S WHERE THE VOTES ARE!!

Isaac

5068. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38B43AD8.D36C9912@uci.edu>
Source record: 118608
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

James Rosenthal wrote:
> So offset the TX so it's on the CW Rx freq and be happy.

...and hope the other guy does the same thing 700 or so Hz up from you?

73, Jim AC6XG

5069. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FORPRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <891goiisi1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118609

Possumbelly, why do you waste time and space on this board? You are just about the biggest stupid schmuck to ever pollute this site so why don't you grow up and write like a person or simply hit the road?

In article <88uqbnfdc1@nnrp1.deja.com>, possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <88uj74$2n8$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>> God is in one's heart not on a ballot.
>
>> Late term abortions MUST be outlawed but kept legal in the first
> trimester.
>
>> the rightwing Republicans are content to leave the born suffer
>
>> It's about time we concentrated on the immediate issues of the times
> rather than a kid who's unwanted.
>
>> The elderly should have access to drugs at as little cost as
> possible.
>
>> Too many guns are gotten too easily-they're dangerous to your health.
>> Laws must be constantly enacted to help the average working man and
> woman in this nation, not just the wealthy.
>
>> We need a more equitable form of federal taxation.
>
>> The south has made marvelous strides in the field of integration and
> basic civil rights while up here, in the wonderful white north, they
> LAG.
>
>> Let Jerry Falwell mind his own damn business
>
>> Any Presidential candidate who sides with Falwell's ilk doesn't
> deserve to be dog catcher let alone top dog.
>
> an their you all has it. the commonist mantle festo accordion to DR hate
> AY2f ole queer dadDy hisself. look like all them yeers be in traned bye
> Gus hall is pay in off. ole queer DadDy dont like no reel legion in the
> govner mint. now then why he support the reel legion state a israil were

> the reel legion run the hole got dam cuntry?????? why dont he tale them
> israil lee to keeps the reel legion outta pole attics?????? that a hail
> of a question aint it??????? i hurd that. i can jus here ole queer dadDy
> make in excusses fer israil. say in they a diffrent case all to get her.
> it OK in israil. it jus aint OK hear. i hurd that. he want kept them a
> bore shun cliniks run in nite an day. that so his fell oh nomads can
> kept brung in the geetus an the moolah. see hear. them nomads is in it
> soul lee fer the geetus the gelt an the moolah. i hurd that. many 1000's
> a child less cupples beg in to adop a baby. no matter wat the cost. so
> were is these unwant childs ole queer dadDy is talk in bout??????? i
> hurd that. the ole scammer Dr hate want the govermint pay in all the
> medicow bill.that so he an his nomads can get a steddy check frum the
> govermint. more scammin frum ole queer dadDy. i hurd that. the senor
> citsens the most rich part a are populeration. they dont neat no more
> well fare programm fer drugs. ole DR hate queer dadDy all cuncerned
> bout race real lay shuns. that a joke. BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA
> like ole elongitated deedformed hed woger chuckle evry time Rufus is
> swab in his poop deck. i hurd that. DR hate jus an ole fool. an ole
> commonist fool thing in he gone bring bak joe steel from rusha to be a
> savor fer the nomads. i herd that. so let it be written. so let it be
> dun. amen.
>
>
>
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5070. Re: AF2Y: Maybe I'm wrong but.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <891h03$k9i$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118610

Herbie, all the best of luck on 160. It's great knowing you're not on 20
harassing Intercon and causing anti-Semitism. It's wonderful not hearing
you. Stay on 1.8 megaHertz even if it "Hurtz" for another 40 years.
AF2Y

In article <891113jj1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Herb Schoenbohm <herbs@islands.vi>
wrote:

> Dr. Hate *is* wrong. Herbie is very active
> and just participated in the ARRL CW DX contest
> on 160 meters. Fortunately Dr. Hate lacks the
> capability of generating a signal on this band
> and can not jam KV4FZ's working DX.
>
>
>
>
> In article <87i0s4eed1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Maybe I'm wrong but I think Herbie, KV4FZ, received a cease and desist
> and
>> is off ham radio right now! There was some kind of deal struck in
> which he
>> promised to go minus fanfare if his radio demise wasn't published.
> What say
>> anybody?
>>
>
> --
> Find out why the Feds what to silence radio talk
> show host and distinguished amateur radio operator KV4FZ
> Visit my web site at http://www.islands.vi/~herbs
> From the tropical paradise islands of St. Croix,VI
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5071. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <891j2o$en9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118611

In article <14893-38B42A8A-12@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift) wrote:
> Oh, come on Dan! Doc ain't gonna vote for McCain! He and his liberal
> socialist buddies were out en masse yesterday to vote AGAINST Bush!
> Among Republicans, Bush is ahead!
>
> My wife and I and a bunch of our Republican friends are going to have
a
> little fun and throw a little "election party" the day of the
DEMOCRATIC
> primary. We're going to all go out and vote for Bradley and help
throw
> Gore off course!!
>
> If McCain is such a "centrist"...why is he saying he's a conservative
> and moving many of his positions to the right??? Because he knows
> THAT'S WHERE THE VOTES ARE!!
>
> Isaac
>
>
Of course he knows where the votes are. And he will do and say
whatever it takes to get them. Then so does everyone else. The point
is this....he at least is qualified. And that beats the crap out of
Clinton Jr. Mr Gore. I vote for who I think is the best person.
Actually I prefere Keyes, but he has no chance at all. And I will not
be suckered into throwing away my vote again, like Perot did the first
time around.

Dan/W4NTI

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5072. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <891irs$n7q$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118612

I told you I was neither a liberal or conservative. I'm an average American who is a middle of the roader, who is unbiased and who cares about the well being of every American independent of political affiliation, race, nationality, religion, gender and sexual preference. And John McCain is the first middle of the road Republican to make waves in this country in a long time. He is not the type to be bullied by any conservative ultra rightwing screwball nor screwballs on the left too. The man's got bravado, intelligence, class and determination and is heads and shoulders above George W. If Republicans want THEIR man in the White House starting Jan.20,2001, then they should rally around John because Bush hasn't a ghost of a chance. Gore would eat his lunch and come to think of it, I'm hungry. See ya later.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <890s18$sh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Amazing I actually agree with Doc on this political issue. Just proves
> I was right all along.....Doc ain't such a liberal as you folk's think.
> Now you ask why do I support McCain? Anyone that can be a fighter
> pilot, a POW, and be the son of an Admiral and make his own life has
> got a set of gonad's as big as King Kong. He is my man.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

5073. AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Levner <levner@Stanford.EDU>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.05.10002231253360.7557-100000@tree0.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 118613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi guys. I have two questions, one more specific and one more general, that I was hoping someone on here could help me with.

The first: I'm looking for information on using TCP/IP over an AX.25 newtork. By that I mean more than sticking the TCP/IP packets in the information field of the AX.25 packet, but rather how to find routes for my AX.25 packets in the first place. Maybe my second question will make the first more clear.

The second: I'm wondering whether there is a way that two remote stations could contact each other by hoping packets through some network without having to have static routing information (say if the first station is mobile). What I am asking, really, is whether there is some mechanism out there (which people actually use) that allows my first station to send out some sort of "request for route" and establish a connection (whether TCP/IP or otherwise) to the second station. It doesn't all have to go through the air and I don't mind if the route goes over wired TCP/IP.

To hammer this question to death, I'd like to know whether there is a way that stations A & B can contact each other through station C without having to make specific arrangements with station C, but, rather, that station C happened to be in between and "volunteers" to route packets.

Sorry for the mess,
Danny

5074. A death watch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jb2101@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8zqqhf$XY10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118614

On Wed 23 Feb 2000 18:51:16 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

: Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit Different
: Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how I was
: doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her house?

I haven't been on this newsgroup too long, but admissions such as yours seem to be better left unsaid. Why in the world would you want the world to know about your death watch over your mother, if that's what it was?

My God, man, have you no shame?

5075. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <891jbn$d26$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118615

Possum fool, you're not funny. Just plain stupid and childish. The oafs of oafs! Go reply to other's posts and leave mine alone. I write pearls of wisdom and you're mind is polluted beyond description.

In article <8911lt$149$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <890s18$sh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>> Amazing I actually agree with Doc on this political issue. Just
> proves
>> I was right all along.....Doc ain't such a liberal as you folk's
> think.
>> Now you ask why do I support McCain? Anyone that can be a fighter
>> pilot, a POW, and be the son of an Admiral and make his own life has
>> got a set of gonad's as big as King Kong. He is my man.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> dont get yor hopes up thair VietNam dan. ole queer dadDy AY2f stil gone
> vote fer Gourd on the reel lecshun. DR hate jus play in wid you. he like
> to toy wid the invalid an the disabilitied vetran. i herd that. that why
> he fraim poor ole dwain. he made dwain in too a israil spy like Jon
> nathan pull hard. then queer dadDy sicked the FDI on dwain. that the
> truce. i herd that. so dont bee leaf nut in that ole queer dadDy say. he
> the one wat fraimed dwain WD9DCC. so let it be ritten. so let it be
> dun.amen.
>
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5076. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <891jevgjd1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118616

Because he's not only a hero but also a POLITICIAN.

In article <14893-38B42A8A-12@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift) wrote:

> If McCain is such a "centrist"...why is he saying he's a conservative
> and moving many of his positions to the right??? Because he knows
> THAT'S WHERE THE VOTES ARE!!

5077. Re: What ever happened to NX0T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yo
Message-ID: <38b456df.602032@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118617

On Wed, 23 Feb 2000 12:33:07 GMT, "Blue nipple juice" <smyt@aol.com>
wrote:

>
>YoYO wrote in message ...
>>What ever happened to the mental defective NX0T (TURD)? He used to
>>terrorize 3950 years ago. I heard the "panty man" on 13.313 yesterday
>>and it sounds just like NX0T. Maybe it's him.
>
>It's not old 'NX. Unfortunatly, NX0T has been
>pushing up daisies in MidWestern soil for almost
>2 Years now. Another radio icon gone to that great
>QTH in the sky. (sigh) He was quite the inspiration
>to wa9kji, who still occasionally speaks highly of him
>in his delusional monologues. I'd put him right
>up there with the late ka4muj for sheer RF entertainment
>and comedic value. In closing, the "Pink Panty Man" is
>in Florida - in the general vicinity of [censored].
>He is quite amusing also! I wish him well, and much
>supply of female erotic cherry flavored edible undies
>with lovely females inside :)
>
When did Crazy John die? What did he die of? Didn't hear that one.

5078. Re: Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <891muh$hl9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118618

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:891a73$7l8
$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
Different
> Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how I
was
> doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her house?
>
> Dan?W4NTI
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Yeah, this is true.....they lack the brains to stray from their path.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5079. Re: KC8JBO & sick Mother

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <891nai$i05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118619

Jimmy O' Bese aka <kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com> blubbered:
<FLUSH!>

Try harder, STUPID.
I'd grade this Autolame grade d--

Of course, you can't do any better, can you, fatso?

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5080. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Barton <bbarton@ou.edu>
Message-ID: <38B45B60.CAC66775@ou.edu>
Source record: 118620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The best would be each laptop also being a router. See:

http://monarch.cs.cmu.edu/

Daniel Levner wrote:

> The second: I'm wondering whether there is a way that two remote stations
> could contact each other by hoping packets through some network without
> having to have static routing information (say if the first station is
> mobile). What I am asking, really, is whether there is some mechanism out
> there (which people actually use) that allows my first station to send out
> some sort of "request for route" and establish a connection (whether
> TCP/IP or otherwise) to the second station. It doesn't all have to go
> through the air and I don't mind if the route goes over wired TCP/IP.
>
> To hammer this question to death, I'd like to know whether there is a way
> that stations A & B can contact each other through station C without
> having to make specific arrangements with station C, but, rather, that
> station C happened to be in between and "volunteers" to route packets.

5081. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Prince of Coyotes <fdecker@catskill.net>
Message-ID: <sb8mv3h5r2a91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Get the "ticket", get on air, and suprize yourself.... "DM"
>
Then take your code exam, get a Ranger/Galaxy/Connex/148 DX+/HR/HTX/etc,
and work South America/Canada/both coasts/etc on 10 meters while mobile.
Now THAT'S FUN! Yes, the license is definitely worth the study time. No
regrets here.
"dm" <dmandjos@olypen.com> wrote in message
news:o8Us4.36768$0p1.879388@news4.giganews.com...
> P.S.------
>
> In another era, I was on a ship that worked aircraft out, beyond radar
> range....say 250 miles, on 243 Mc....day in and day out...RUNNING from
8
> to 12 Watts!
>
> The propagational path loss is truly trivial at VHF/UHF...BUT the Terrain
> loss, due to the obstacles the others mention is a HARD fact.
>
> >

5082. Re: PissBull #1 HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <891olgiuo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118622

Hey LOUDMOUTH.....Remember when you posted this:

"I have scanned ALL of KC8JBO's credit history onto a page at Homestead.com"

"I'm going to "hype" this fact to all the news groups & any other places on the web 'till I release the page's address for the general public to view the page at."

That was on the 8th of Feb. Then 2 days later you slobbered:

"I will release the address on MONDAY AFTERNOON FOR ALL TO SEE."

So where is it loudmouth? It's been 2 weeks. You don't have squat, do you? Big mouth, no action. You didn't even hype the page. pithbowl, my ass. What a loser.

Put up or shut up.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5083. WANTED MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: MORTEN MARTINSEN <la7hja@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <MqZs4.2501$fS6.221205@juliett.dax.net>
Source record: 118623
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.wicen,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

HI

I have take over antenne equipment from a silent key station.
But i don't have the manual for it.

HY-GAIN DB-1217 YAGI
AND
HY-GAIN TH3MK4 YAGI

If you kan help me send in mail to

la7hja@c2i.net

vy 73 de la7hja Morten

5084. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <891qfv$k7a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article
<Pine.GSO.4.05.10002231253360.7557-100000@tree0.Stanford.EDU>,
Daniel Levner <levner@Stanford.EDU> wrote:
> ...snipped...(if you want to see it go back and read the original)
> To hammer this question to death, I'd like to know whether there is a
way
> that stations A & B can contact each other through station C without
> having to make specific arrangements with station C, but, rather, that
> station C happened to be in between and "volunteers" to route packets.
>
> Sorry for the mess,
> Danny

Been out of the scene for 5 years, but let me give this a shot from
memory...

Yes, you can do this, but station C has to be set up to be a
digipeater(a term from the golden age of packet) or a network router
running NETROM(or an equivelent), or of course, a TCP/IP router. I
remember doing this all the time out here in Riverside County... It was
just a matter of setting up the TCP/IP route tables correctly. I
remember doing some real cool stuff, like replying thru a second route,
that wasn't on the same frequency from the first route(so they wouldn't
interfere with each other), to get my transfer rates up.

By the way, if "station C" is NOT set up to digipeat, or route, forget
it... you can't hack that station to do your bidding.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5085. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B469CC.E63C72F9@icss.net>
Source record: 118625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

randas@mindspring.com wrote:

> Brian..it's pretty clear to me you really don't have much of a clue about cw
> operation.

How nice of you to say. It is clear to me that you jump to conclusions very quickly.

> People use "bugs" to send cw because it allows a operator to have
> a personal "fist".

The bug was invented in order to send code faster than can be sent with a straight key. That its difficult to use -correctly- is another matter, and hardly an attribute.

> To someone who can not copy code by ear...it "may" make
> their code reader useless. To the operator that has no problem copying by
> ear, its compared to music.. that is appreciated as the skill it is. It's
> not a question of speed as many people use bugs to send cw at 5-10 wpm.

A horrible waste of a bug. Somebody just wants a nice desk ornament. I wonder how long it will take Vibroplex to offer a bug lamp?

> As
> far as calling people who post on newsgroups "lids"...it's another example
> of a ham radio "on the air" term you might want to brush up on.

Jeff said he would "ADD" sloppiness to his fist in order to screw up Cecil. That is a LID. FYI some nuts even type "hihi" on the newsgroup.

Stick around and you will begin to understand Jeff, the Steves, Dick and Larry. They are the Code Bretheren.

> I notice you don't sign your full name or any call sign. Are we just reading
> more sour grapes from someone who has trouble with code..??
>
> de Tim K0FL

Hi Tim, my legal first name is in the identity that you see attributed to my posts. My legal last name is in my e-mail address. My FCC issued call only has to give it every ten (10) minutes. Unlike a lot of calls seen on here, mine is even good in the call book.

By the way, do you have a last name and how do you get "randas" from Tim?

Respectfully and 73,
Brian/N0iMD

5086. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B46B98.C281BF0D@icss.net>
Source record: 118626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> > Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.
>
>
> >Guess why some folks want my cards? <G>
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> I give the recipients a choice between a G- or R-rated card. The
> G-card is a beautiful Hawaiian sunset, while the R-card shows
> a beautiful topless Hawaiian girl... =:o
>
> Jeff

Good grief, Jeff has to resort to offering soft-porn to entice people to work his callsign. I sure hope you're not sending any of those cards to Moslem countries.

5087. Re: AF2Y takes ex -lax .. your right Doc..you could be wrong.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000223184208.14749.00001197@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 118627

Bullshit Doc, folks here's one more example of a liberal democrat praising McCain. This my friends speaks volumes about "who the democrats ARE NOT worried about. How can McCain be praised by sooo many liberals and call himself, or try to, a conservative? Bush had more independants vote for him (35%) than McCain had "Republicans"(25%). How did McCain win Michigan? You guessed it, Doc voted for him. LOL.... I rest my case.

5088. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B47865.BC76A7A0@icss.net>
Source record: 118628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > > Brian <burke1@icss.net> quoted me:
> > >
> > > >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > >
> > > >And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> > > >wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> > > >by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
> > >
> > > Where your complaint falls flat, Brian, is that no where in the
> > > above was "on the air" mentioned; this test could very well be
> > > conducted off the air utilizing just a CPO, my bug, and Cecil's
> > > modem (with the appropriate interconnections). But even on the air,
> > > I have a swing; it's impossible for any op sending by hand to send
> > > the exact 3-to-1 dah-to-dit ratio. My swing never bothered the FCC
> > > examiner; don't let it bother you.
> > >
> > > Remember, this is all hypothetical exchanges; no need to commit
> > > libel or defamation of character by saying someone's broken some
> > > law.
> > >
> > > Jeff
> >
> > Jeff, fine. Weasel your way out. Do it often enough and you'll be
> > known as a weasel.
>
> Naw, all he has to do is claim that he was just baiting you.
>
> Dave 5H3US, K8MN

10-4

5089. Re: A death watch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <2o1t4.21811$zu3.146916@news3.mia>
Source record: 118629

Yeah and you sure can't fix an "elongated " head either can you Woger
Wabbit???

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
Athens, AL 35611
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
KC8JBO wrote in message <8926dusdv1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:8920bdobv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> In article <8zqqhf$XY10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>> jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:
>> > On Wed 23 Feb 2000 18:51:16 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
>wrote:
>> >
>> > : Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
>> Different
>> > : Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how
>I
>> was
>> > : doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her
>> house?
>> >
>> > I haven't been on this newsgroup too long, but admissions such as
>> yours
>> > seem to be better left unsaid. Why in the world would you want the
>> > world to know about your death watch over your mother, if that's
>what
>> it
>> > was?
>> >
>> > My God, man, have you no shame?
>> >
>> Since you obviously have a limited command of the written word I'll
>> make my response to you simple....
>>
>> F*&K YOU STUPID !!!
>>
>> P.S. The fill in the blanks start with a U and a C.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> --
>> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

>
>It's like you always say, Dan....you just can't fix stupid.
>
>
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5090. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B4A5FE.57CBA61A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Upon what basis can you state such a speculation? Have you conducted
> tests having an operator vary the dit-to-dah ratio with both your
> modem and proficient Morse ops copying?

I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
PTC2e copied it better than I did.

> Machine copying only lasted a very short time; operators quickly
> discovered that they could accurately "read" in their heads the encoded
> messages being received.

Yep, that approach wasted a lot of paper. But machines have improved
in the past 160 years. No need for paper any more. It can now all be
done with electrons/photons. Modems were invented to relieve humans
from the drudgery of performing menial wetware tasks. This is the
21st century. Progress happens. Time marches on.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5091. Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia>
Source record: 118631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Taken from his webpage:

Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!

SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
BROTHER STAIR

Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
Time: 10:00am Both Days
Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581

Date: Wednesday & Thursday - March 8 & 9, 2000
Time: 11:00am Both Days
Place: The Upper Room, Mackville, KY
For More Information Phone Brother Baker at 606-366-5642

Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 11 & 12, 2000
Time: 11:00am Both Days
Place: Straightway Communty Tabernacle, LaFayette, TN
For More Information Phone Pastor Dowell at 615-688-4277

Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO PANTS,
make-up, etc.
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

5092. FS: GPS, KPC-3+ & LAPTOP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Juan <N7RCM@POBOX.COM>
Message-ID: <892h091a1o@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118632

I have for sale a Magellan GPS-315 handheld reciver and a KPC-3 Plus TNC ver 8.2 I also have an IBM Thinkpad 486SX laptop, 4m ram, 120hd, color screen, built in mouse, floppy disk. The battery is no good.
I use this setup in the car for portable packet.

The GPS reciever is only 3 days old, have no idea why I bought it. The KPC-3 is about 2 weeks old and the laptop is just something I took in on a trade. The laptop is very rugged for the car. It has Win 3.1 installed.

I'm asking $350 obo for all and I'll pay the shipping whether you prepay or I send COD. Payment accepted with Cashiers Check or Money Order only.

5093. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wufu <wyatt6gunNOwySPAM@ccountry.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <04416800.2b67cfd0@usw-ex0105-040.remarq.com>
Source record: 118633

When I first read the header, I thought the Usenet might have cut
off some of the headline. I was sure that AF2Y was taking a "bowl
movement". Imagine to my surprise that he was only taking a
"bow". Damn, it sure would have helped if I had been correct!

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

5094. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <88vbqn$s16$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:38675FEC3E770612.B76870851BDD20F6.797731BBF0DF1E3C@lp.airnews.net..
.
>
> > >
> > > Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my
cards.
> >
>
> Guess why some folks want my cards? <G>
>
> Kim W5TIT

(.) (.) Kim???????? lol

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5095. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88vcjh$7nu$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118707

K8TJ <tje@usol.com> wrote:
>.... and we can again thank the ARRL for helping us all one step
>closer to the demise of the hobby. It was bound to happy sooner or
>later. HPM would be turning in his grave if he could see what the
>institute he started has done to the hobby.

Please clarify what you think the institute he started has done to the hobby/service. Be specific, now. Begin with all the things they've done since, say, the end of WWI (so you'd start with them getting our amateur privileges returned to us from the Navy).

Jeff

5096. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88ve0v$8pq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> >>James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org> wrote:

>>>>>"noncommercial" = you get what you pay for. In the case of amateurs, they
>>>>>get much MORE than what they pay for.

>>>>I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
>>>>"noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
>>>>(FCC words).

>>>None whatsoever?

>>None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.

>Wrong.

Wrong? You belive that one can profit? You're being silly again, Brian.

Jeff

5097. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88vf1b$9j9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38B3151D.D5702EE2@icss.net>, Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:

>>>Do you pay repeater dues?

>>Of course; dues pays for the repeater equipment. The club makes absolutely
>>no profit (that would violate its non-profit status).

>It would also violate it's amateur status.

Who's to say that the club is licensed?

>>>Do you send a buck with your QSL for that one you really need?

>>Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.

>Some folks. There are far rarer "dx" than hawaii.

How many Hawaii stations have you worked with your Tech license?
Besides, if a "country" is needed, it's on a person's rare list.

>>>> That you don't know this shows you really don't under-
>>>> stand the regulations.

>>>And you bend and break them to fit whatever you need at the moment.

>> Yet you can't provide an example of me bending or breaking any rules.
>>Furthermore, always keep a copy of the "Associated Press Stylebook and
>>Libel Manual" next to your keyboard so as to avoid getting yourself in
>>trouble.

>Jeff, I can only go by what you say. You say you will deliberately ADD "swing"
>to your CW QSO so as to make it unintelligible for Cecil. You can take it from
>there.

That wasn't the scenario. I said I *could* add swing in such a way that
Cecil's modem wouldn't be able to copy me but any proficient op could
copy by ear. Cecil might even be able to copy me by ear, too.

This is all off-the-air hypothetical jabbering -- don't take it so serious
as to libel someone.

Quiz: If I were to knowingly send Morse at a speed faster than you can
copy, would I be guilty of obscuring a communication?

Jeff

5098. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38b340fa.2985390@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 118710
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 13:15:05 -0800, Cortland Richmond
<Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:

>Be nice, Phil.
>
He's absolutely right. I'm still very uncomfortable with not knowing
exactly freq I'm beeping on with these with bloomin' ssb rigs turned
half-assed cw rigs. You can shift "freqs" all over the dial with the
goofy "RIT", "XIT", "VFO A", VFO B"and "Pitch" controls, ad nausem. No
such problems existed when you had a trannsmitter here and a reciever
there and a spot switch on the TX and a frequency readout you could
trust a la Drake and Collins radios. Never mind the 100K kHz crystal
calibrators which have disapppeared.
>
I have a rcvr which is dead nuts and an xcvr which drives me batty in
this respect. I'm gonna listen to myself beeping into a dummy load
with the real rcvr and sort this nonsense out for once and for all for
myself.
>
w3rv

5099. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38b345b3.4194689@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 118711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 08:19:15 -0500, "FCC Dweebs"
<Asshole_at_FCC@corruption.net> wrote:

>
>Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>news:38b0f4dc.292158849@news.dvol.com...
>> > > FCC = Fraud, Waste, Abuse, Corruption,
>> > Greed, & Criminality
>> >
>> > The FCC is the most criminally corrupt agency
>> > the federal government!
>> >
>> Having to deal with discipline and rules gets under yer skin, eh? Deal
>> with it.
>> >
>> Brian Kelly w3rv
>
> A pornography ring, which included CHILD
>PORNOGRAPHY, existed for quite some time at the
>FCC's Columbia (Laurel), Maryland office, and
>was the subject of a major investigation into FCC
>corruption and criminality.
>
>FCC employees at Columbia (Laurel), Maryland
>were caught in the act, during official duty hours,
>using government computers, to download and
>distribute pornography, which included CHILD
>pornography. Don't believe it? Read the
>FCC Inspector General's report for yourself:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Inspector_General/Reports/sar398.txt
>
>
> FCC employees routinely use their government
>issued cell phones to conduct personal business at the
>taxpayers' expense. Why pay for a cell phone they
>figure, when the sucker taxpayers will pay your cell
>phone bills for you. This is also known as GRAND
>THEFT. don't believe it? Read the FCC
> Inspector General's report for yourself:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Inspector_General/sar996.txt
>

> DEAL WITH IT! Wanna see more?
>
I'm not disputing any of yer rant, I could care less actually, hell, when I was a GS-12 I also made personal phone calls on the gummint dime. Like you never made a personal phone call on your employer's dime??
>
Fact is that Riley really doesn't care about any of it, so go ahead, screw around on the ham bands, get a Riley NAL then lay yer child porn rant on De Judge as a defense and see how far you get. Deal with it.
>
w3rv

5100. Re: REDRUM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <38B4BC9F.1F92463B@topnow.com>
Source record: 118712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <88u50hcko1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > REDRUM is murder spelled backwards and was used extensively in Stephen
> > King's novel and movie, "The Shining."
> >
>
> When my daughter was two years old, she had a very strange voice, like
> the child in "The Shining". I taught her to say "redrum" on a
> visual cue - it "freaked out" my wife.

Do you mean, perhaps, your ex-wife!

;)

>
>
> S. Teaze
> N0MHS
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5101. Re: AC3AU (...a class-1 A-H)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26849-38B36F62-7@storefull-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118713

Roger, John sounds normal to me on the basis of your writing. Many of us memorize answers to exams while all of us have some kind of agenda in getting into ham radio, if only to polish our personal skills.

John, who genuinely believes in his theology, would naturally want to spread it. Because he showed bad judgement in some episodes is no reason to demonize him.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

5102. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <88vr6p$ecq@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118714
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian Kelly (kelly@dvol.com) wrote:
: On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 13:15:05 -0800, Cortland Richmond
: <Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:

: >Be nice, Phil.
: >
: He's absolutely right. I'm still very uncomfortable with not knowing
: exactly freq I'm beeping on with these with bloomin' ssb rigs turned
: half-assed cw rigs. You can shift "freqs" all over the dial with the
: goofy "RIT", "XIT", "VFO A", VFO B"and "Pitch" controls, ad nausem.

So offset the TX so it's on the CW Rx freq and be happy.

: No such problems existed when you had a trannsmitter here and a reciever
: there and a spot switch on the TX and a frequency readout you could
: trust a la Drake and Collins radios. Never mind the 100K kHz crystal
: calibrators which have disapppeared.

You'll find that most new rigs are more acurate than the 100 Khz cals were.

: I have a rcvr which is dead nuts and an xcvr which drives me batty in
: this respect. I'm gonna listen to myself beeping into a dummy load
: with the real rcvr and sort this nonsense out for once and for all for
: myself.
: >
: w3rv

Is that why most CW operators in QSO aren't both on the same freq? Or are
they trying to keep other operators away by spreading out? :)
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

5103. Microphone MC-85

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hartono Sudja <hsudja@cbn.net.id>
Message-ID: <38b44c0e.0@news.cbn.net.id>
Source record: 118715

I just recently mount a mike compressor Kenwood MC-65 on to my mobile
transceiver Kenwood TM-241.
During QSO all friends told me that my transmission brings
noise/interference (perhaps from car alternator), and must perhaps use
filter to eliminate it.
Can somebody give me information how to make the noise suppressor?
Information by E-Mail direct to hsudja@cbn.net.id appreciated
Tnk&Rgds
Hartono Sudja (YD0KOR), Indonesia

5104. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88vtvk$k0k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> > Nope. I don't need any. Don't forget that I'm DX; folks want my cards.

>Guess why some folks want my cards? <G>
>Kim W5TIT

I give the recipients a choice between a G- or R-rated card. The
G-card is a beautiful Hawaiian sunset, while the R-card shows
a beautiful topless Hawaiian girl... =:o

Jeff

5105. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88vv74kqp1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>>>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>>>>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>>>>>>I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
>>>>>>"noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
>>>>>>(FCC words).

>>>>>None whatsoever?

>>>>None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.

>>>Wrong.

>>Wrong? You belive that one can profit? You're being silly again, Brian.

>No, you cut what I said in order to perpetuate a falsehood (lie).

You wrote the one-word sentence "Wrong." meaning you believe that
profiting is acceptable. That's what I focused on. What you should
have written is, "Furthermore..."

>You should be ashamed, but you won't be.

Not at all. Cecil taught me that form of editing. Focus attention on
a single word. In this case, you wrote a one-word sentence: "Wrong."
Nothing incorrect with editing between sentences. But you still haven't
stated where I was wrong. You're implying that one may profit.

>Here it is again:

>> None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.

>Wrong.

So, where am I wrong? =:o

Jeff

5106. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8900c5ljl1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> quoted me:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
>wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
>by ear, would have no trouble understanding.

Where your complaint falls flat, Brian, is that no where in the above was "on the air" mentioned; this test could very well be conducted off the air utilizing just a CPO, my bug, and Cecil's modem (with the appropriate interconnections). But even on the air, I have a swing; it's impossible for any op sending by hand to send the exact 3-to-1 dah-to-dit ratio. My swing never bothered the FCC examiner; don't let it bother you.

Remember, this is all hypothetical exchanges; no need to commit libel or defamation of character by saying someone's broken some law.

Jeff

5107. Re: SX-99 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <88vcsg$80l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118719

Ron, I'd suggest you post your note to rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors;
you might also want to subscribe to one of the boatanchor email lists.
for example, boatanchors@listserve.tempe.gov, is an excellent email list
devoted to the older gear. To subscribe, send an email to:
listserv@listserv.tempe.gov
and only write: subscribe boatanchors
and you'll be hooked up.

GL, Jeff KH6O

In article <38B2EA19.54CE97C8@ix.netcom.com>,
Ron Evans <cosmos41@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>Back in ham radio after a long hiatus, I recently bought a beautiful
>SX-99 to use in my Vintage Radio Station. I knew it had a few minor
>problems and was counting on someone with more technical expertise than
>I have to help me solve one or two of them.
>
>The main problem is that barely bumping the rcvr or the table it sits on
>causes the cw note to warble (shift around in frequency) and then settle
>back down again. Just thumping the side of the rcvr has the same
>result. Is this a well-known problem with the SX-99? Is it the same
>stability problem that the SX-100 is known for?
>
>Can someone please tell me how to proceed or where an article on the
>problem could be found? An answer here is great because others may have
>the same problem, but if u could email me, that would be even better for
>me since I can't access the newsgroups at work. My email address is
>cosmos41@ix.netcom.com
>
>Thanks for any help you could provide. I really want to enjoy the old
>"gal" the way she was meant to be enjoyed -- "singing" rather than
>"yodeling." *grin*
>
>Ron - K5MVR

5108. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8900rh$m0o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38B35897.7CF51F17@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>Hey Jeff,
>
>I think Brian would like to add a swing to his fist, too. He's gettin'
>pretty mad. :-)
>
>ac6xg

I'm beginning to think that Brian is Cecil's alter ego.

Jeff

5109. ** BC895XLT software! Download demo now!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: News <slyster7@mn.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <KIMs4.971$yT6.46821@typhoon.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Download the demo of AirSCAN, software for the BC895XLT and a few other scanners. AirSCAN is a very convenient package used for finding and labeling active frequencies (similar to a "bandscope"), counting hits in frequency ranges, saving and loading previous searches, managing your scanners banks, counting hits on your existing scanner banks, and using the new "extended banks" A-Z adding 2080 more channels for your scanner!

See Silver Software website.
http://people.mn.mediaone.net/slyster7

5110. Oris Grim, Convicted Trailer Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <890afp$gm6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118722

In article <8731pk$q07$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Oris Grim, convicted drug felon,gurgled between crack hits@my-deja.com
wrote:
>Drug induced rantings snipped
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Orifice is getting cocky now that he has completed his court ordered
counseling. But obviously he is still using, judging from these posts
and the jamming on 3865 and 14.313 lately. Him and his butt buddy KC8JBO
just love their 920's. especially the DVR's that let them jam people
with recordings. His probation officer is on to little Orifice though,
and drug tests are in his future.Hopefully this trailer trash will be
incarcerated again. Remember, you can take the trash out of the trailer,
but you cannot take the trailer out of the trash.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5111. Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <890arj$h1g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118723

In article <88ovtn$f30$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>, 40 year old mommy's boy sniveled:
> Poor DIMMY.....sniff some more cocaine.....CONVICT.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO/Roger
>
> <mr__cracker@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8zsshg$XZ02
> $1@nnrp2.deja.com...
> > On Sun, 20 Feb 2000 01:28:07 -0500, "Grumpee" <Crabby@oldmail.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > : Wah! Wah! Wah! If you post any copyrighted photos of me or any
> members of
> > : my dysfunctional family I will sue you pursuant to law # 99-OU812.
> > : (Does anybody know any attorneys who will let me make weekly
> payments?)
> >
> > there is bound to be an attorney there in elkheart who will do that.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
Good Lord, Mick Slater the slobbering drunk posts and Wiseman the 40
year old sponging off his mother responds. What a duo. Neither one can
keep a female (unless you count their mother!) I think they should both
stick to jamming 75 and 20 meters, something they are good at.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5112. Roger Wiseman,Sponging Momma's boy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <890bfo$he0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118724

In article <88mffi$s9q$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
AF2Y, twenty meter jammer and recently
kicked on AOL drooled:
> Gentlemen PLEASE. This war between Roger and Jimmy's gotta stop.
Ascribing
> an illness like AIDS to anyone is grounds for a libel per se lawsuit
and it
> will hold up in court whether written on the Internet or slanderously
spoken
> on the air. Both youse guys step back and think about what's going on.
I
> don't know Jimmy's circumstances but I will say that Roger is a great
son
> who is caring for a very ill mother and if that doesn't show class,
nothing
> does.
> Doc
>
> ----------
> In article <88k6fkdvu1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO, known jammer and
sponging off his mommy drooled <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
wrote:
Libel snipped
> >
> >
> > kc8jbo_fatboy@my-deja.com wrote in message
>
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>

My My the mama's boy is upset. So tell me Wideman, when you and mama get
down, are you the pitcher or the catcher? Hey, anything for free rent,
right? One of these days mama is going to croak, then you have to get a
job like everyone else. Keep that in the back of your mind as you sponge
the last few productive years out of the poor woman, you unemployable
jamming scumbag.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5113. Re: James Vincent O'brien III (UNCOOL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <890c0shpe1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118725

In article <8zqqhf$XX10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>,
jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:
> On Sat Feb 19 14:22:21 2000 GMT, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> barfed:
>
> : Suckboy John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> sucked and swallowed AMP
and
> : whined in message news:8zqqhf$XX08$2@nnrp1.deja.com...
> : > (1) Get a newsreader which uses a References header. It will make
> : your
> : > ignorant posts thread properly with other posts so that we can all
get
> : > nauseated in context. As is is now, your posts don't get
threaded;
> :
> : Hey queer, I'm using Outlook Express 5, and IE 5. Get over it you
dumb
> : bastard. It's not my fault you're such a computer and Internet
> : newbie.....You're such a fuckhead, Bowsers.
> : What Paul did was ok in my book. It was quite funny.
>
> You probably think Saddam Hussein is a real neat guy too.
>
> Bwa ha ha ha ha haaaaa! You STILL aren't generating a References
> header, but I guess a newbie like you doesn't even know how to look
for
> it.
>
> : > (2) go back and reread your original post on Deja, idiotboy. You
were
> : > NOT misquoted.
> : >
> : > Sheese!!!
> :
> : Liar.
>
> Scared to death to go back and look? Naww: I think you're just too
> dumb to know how.
>
> End of discussion.
>

You have to forgive Roger Wiseman. Here you have a jerk in his forties
sponging off his mother. Not a real go-getter, if you know what I mean.
His sole purpose for owning an HF rig is to jam and harass others. He

has been bounced off of several newsgroups for stupidity and lack of personality. Wiseman is truly WHYSISWYG, a product of true dysfunctional upbringing. His personality is so flawed it is amazing that even his mother would put up with him. He is a true pathetic lamer that feels he is "someone" when harassing individuals in the groups or jamming them on HF. What he does not understand is that it just lets everyone see how stupid he really is. Pathetic moron.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5114. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B3BC26.124011DC@icss.net>
Source record: 118726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>>>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >>>>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >>>>>>I would expect that you'd realize that in the context presented,
> >>>>>>"noncommercial" means "no monetary profit," or "no pecuniary interest"
> >>>>>>(FCC words).
>
> >>>>>None whatsoever?
>
> >>>>None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.
>
> >>>Wrong.
>
> >>Wrong? You belive that one can profit? You're being silly again, Brian.
>
> >No, you cut what I said in order to perpetuate a falsehood (lie).
>
> You wrote the one-word sentence "Wrong."

You're a pretty smart feller.

> meaning you believe that
> profiting is acceptable. That's what I focused on.

But sometimes...

> What you should
> have written is, "Furthermore..."

Furthermore...

> >You should be ashamed, but you won't be.
>
> Not at all. Cecil taught me that form of editing. Focus attention on
> a single word. In this case, you wrote a one-word sentence: "Wrong."
> Nothing incorrect with editing between sentences. But you still haven't
> stated where I was wrong. You're implying that one may profit.

Of course, you lie.

> >Here it is again:
>
> >> None. You may not profit from an amateur radio communication.
>
> >Wrong.
>
> So, where am I wrong? =:o
>
> Jeff

The sentence after "Wrong."

5115. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B3BC85.8BFC45D8@icss.net>
Source record: 118727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> quoted me:
>
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> >wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> >by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
>
> Where your complaint falls flat, Brian, is that no where in the
> above was "on the air" mentioned; this test could very well be
> conducted off the air utilizing just a CPO, my bug, and Cecil's
> modem (with the appropriate interconnections). But even on the air,
> I have a swing; it's impossible for any op sending by hand to send
> the exact 3-to-1 dah-to-dit ratio. My swing never bothered the FCC
> examiner; don't let it bother you.
>
> Remember, this is all hypothetical exchanges; no need to commit
> libel or defamation of character by saying someone's broken some
> law.
>
> Jeff

Jeff, fine. Weasel your way out. Do it often enough and you'll be
known as a weasel.

5116. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B3BCEF.F836F096@icss.net>
Source record: 118728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In article <38B35897.7CF51F17@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> >Hey Jeff,
> >
> >I think Brian would like to add a swing to his fist, too. He's gettin'
> >pretty mad. :-)
> >
> >ac6xg
>
> I'm beginning to think that Brian is Cecil's alter ego.
>
> Jeff

I'm beginning to think that Jeff is a weasel.

5117. Re: What ever happened to NX0T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Blue nipple juice <smyt@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7sQs4.3258$vm5.67243@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118729

YoYO wrote in message ...
>What ever happened to the mental defective NX0T (TURD)? He used to
>terrorize 3950 years ago. I heard the "panty man" on 13.313 yesterday
>and it sounds just like NX0T. Maybe it's him.

It's not old 'NX. Unfortunatly, NX0T has been
pushing up daisies in MidWestern soil for almost
2 Years now. Another radio icon gone to that great
QTH in the sky. (sigh) He was quite the inspiration
to wa9kji, who still occasionally speaks highly of him
in his delusional monologues. I'd put him right
up there with the late ka4muj for sheer RF entertainment
and comedic value. In closing, the "Pink Panty Man" is
in Florida - in the general vicinity of [censored].
He is quite amusing also! I wish him well, and much
supply of female erotic cherry flavored edible undies
with lovely females inside :)

5118. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: randas@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <890k95$8dd$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian..it's pretty clear to me you really don't have much of a clue about cw operation. People use "bugs" to send cw because it allows a operator to have a personal "fist". To someone who can not copy code by ear...it "may" make their code reader useless. To the operator that has no problem copying by ear, its compared to music.. that is appreciated as the skill it is. It's not a question of speed as many people use bugs to send cw at 5-10 wpm. As far as calling people who post on newsgroups "lids"...it's another example of a ham radio "on the air" term you might want to brush up on.

I notice you don't sign your full name or any call sign. Are we just reading more sour grapes from someone who has trouble with code..??

de Tim K0FL
(glad to QRS to help my brother ham)

Brian wrote in message <38B3547A.6C20FD98@icss.net>...
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
>And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
>wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
>by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
>----------
>73, Brian
>

5119. Ranger RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jacek M. Holeczek" <holeczek@us.edu.pl>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.1000223140958.13732A-100000@uranos.cto.us.edu.pl>
Source record: 118731

Hi,
I've got my hands on a Ranger RCI-2950.
Unfortunately there is NO manual at all.
Does anyone knows where can I download the manual (as PDF for example) ?
Can anyone tell me what is the purpose of the knob that is placed just
above the mic plug ? I can't seem to be able to figure out what it is
supposed to do. It does nothing. Is it possible it is broken ? Or maybe
one needs to "activate" it somehow ?
Thanks in advance,
Jacek.

5120. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew Walton <matt@okdirect.com>
Message-ID: <IPRs4.77$t7.6239@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 118732
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

BTW...A reply to all of the nasty e-mails I received from people. I have no intention of going on the air without a license...I merely wanted to see if going to the trouble of getting a license and spending the money on a radio would be worth it.

Chill out, folks..:-)

Matthew Walton
matt@okdirect.com

"Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com> wrote in message
news:7Jhs4.2498$gE6.261489@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
> communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at
the
> Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of
30
> miles or so.
>
> Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
> appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
>
> Matthew Walton
> matt@okdirect.com
>
>
>

5121. AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <890pi8$1ht$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118733

I take great pride in having called the Michigan Primary right on the button. No one thought McCain had a chance except AF2Y and BINGO. He will now go on to put away the flamboyant rich son of our ex-Prez. and become the G.O.P. nominee for President. Now when I figure out whom his running mate will be, I'll report it. McCain is JUST WHAT THE G.O.P needs- a centrist, beholden to no one especially that peckerhead Jerry Falwell. John's an Irish Roman Catholic, proud of it and I'm proud of him for being proud of it. I like Catholics, especially the Irish brand although I take no sides in Northern Ireland except for telling the British to get the hell out and leave the people alone. And I like the Irish Catholics even though I used to have a problem with Jim O'Brien which became solved after I sent him a yarmulka with a propeller on it and the letters W4AMP embroidered across the top. GO JOHNNY GO and bless that man for now making the G.O.P. once again a party for ALL THE PEOPLE instead of the followers of a bunch of religious rightwing loonies!
AF2Y

5122. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin-N0VSJ <n0vsj@lvc.net>
Message-ID: <9flt4.12252$w7.375668@news1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 118484

Very nicely put,
N0VSJ
Jake Brodsky wrote in message ...
>On Thu, 24 Feb 2000 08:27:19 -0600, Ron Brown <tekniko@primary.net>
>wrote:
>
>>How can one get started in the hobby if there is no one in the area to
>>serve as a sort of mentor? Thanks!
>
>Step One: Go to your favorite on-line book retailer and purchase a
>copy of Passport to World Band Radio.
>
>Step Two: Read it, and get an idea of what's out there and what you'd
>like to listen to.
>
>Step Three: Set up a budget and then decide on what kind of radio you
>would like to purchase.
>
>Step Four: Ask for some opinions on rec.radio.shortwave on your
>various options.
>
>Step Five: You're on your way...
>
>
>If you're interested in ham radio or other such things, be aware that
>the licensing structure is about to change in April. Whatever books
>you use, make sure they're current. See http://www.arrl.org.
>
>Feel free to e-mail me with questions and the like. I've been
>diddling around with this hobby for nearly 25 years...
>
>
>Good Luck!
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5123. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wendy Hardcore <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com>
Message-ID: <38B5E0F7.70A7@chooseyourmail.com>
Source record: 118485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> BTW, I got my license nearly 20 years ago. How about you?

What the hell does that have to do with anything?! Are there now going
to be people not only thinking that knowing Morse Code makes them
superior hams, but when they got their license?

I got mine 22 years and 7 months ago. Does that make me superior to you?

5124. Re: How long these days for new callsigns to show up in the FCCdatabase? A V.E. may be able to help here.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin-N0VSJ <n0vsj@lvc.net>
Message-ID: <Srlt4.12273$w7.377569@news1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 118486

```
It took my 3 months!! 90 days to the day to get my license back in March of
93'
they where backlogged or something
N0VSJ
D. Stussy wrote in message ...
>I've heard of no extraordinary delays. It takes a minimum of a week and
>perhaps as long as three. A VE team has 10 days to get the tests, etc., in
the
>mail to the VEC. Once the VEC staff processes it, it's electronically
filed to
>the FCC and should appear the next day, except for Sundays.
>
>On Tue, 22 Feb 2000, Fusion wrote:
>> Ok, I didn't just jump on the bandwagon so to speak - I just happened
>> to start studying a little while before the restructuring was even
>> announced. But that's besides the point. I passed my Tech no code
>> requirements on Feb. 11th (studying the code now), but my new callsign
>> hasn't shown up yet. There were about 20 - 25 people there taking
>> tests of one kind or another, which was much more than they expected.
>>
>> So, with the jump in people taking their tests, upgrading and such,
>> should I be worried yet that my callsign hasn't been put up yet, or
>> are things getting a little backed up lately?
>
```

5125. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <38B5E629.A149404E@inreach.com>
Source record: 118487

Where do you live? There is maybe a ham club close by, and there is an elmer list on the net, 10-10 site I think.

Ron Brown wrote:
>
> How can one get started in the hobby if there is no one in the area to
> serve as a sort of mentor? Thanks!
>
> --
> Ron Brown
> System Administrator
> Primary Network Internet
>
>

5126. Re: Ranger RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <38B5E732.682D4421@inreach.com>
Source record: 118488

This rig has a modification that can be done to it, where a jumper is placed inside the front panel, it activates the cb mode of the rig, and changes the functions of a few of the buttons such as shf, allows one to switch between cb channel mode and frequency mode, see if this is what is happening.

Jacek M. Holeczek wrote:
>
> Hi,
> Many thanks to all who responded.
> In the meantime, just by pressing different buttons and turning different
> knobs (you know - monkeys and other dumbs learn this way :-) I think I was
> able to "discover" most of available functions.
>
> > Does anyone knows where can I download the manual (as PDF for example) ?
> As far as I can see now there is no downloadable manual available.
> I will try to find someone around who own such a beast ... .
>
> > Can anyone tell me what is the purpose of the knob that is placed just
> > above the mic plug ? I can't seem to be able to figure out what it is
> Now I know it is supposed to change the frequency, exactly as the up-down
> arrows and the buttons on the mic. I would like to say here that BOTH the
> up-down arrows and the buttons on the mic DO change the frequency (that
> means there is no frequency "lock" active), only the knob does not work.
> Is there any known (typical) problem with it ?
>
> I've got another small problem - sometimes when I press a button like
> "SHF" (or "LOCK"), instead of the required function to be performed a
> frequency change happens like I had pushed the up-down arrow. Is there a
> know fix for it ? (Well, it doesn't happen very often, but ... I don't
> like it. ;-)
> Thanks in advance,
> Jacek.

5127. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <92E43F226E978ADC.E74D9A09830641F4.1433B3CC10E0794B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 118489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wendy Hardcore wrote:
>
> > BTW, I got my license nearly 20 years ago. How about you?
>
> What the hell does that have to do with anything?! Are there now going
> to be people not only thinking that knowing Morse Code makes them
> superior hams, but when they got their license?
>
> I got mine 22 years and 7 months ago. Does that make me superior to you?

Wow, you're a little late :) Most of us are already bored with this
topic...

Kim W5TIT

5128. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B5F6A7.681D48FD@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> I didn't have "to twist long and hard" to believe that you had
> given us an exact measurement -- that was the impression you gave.

Your impression is your problem, Jeff, not mine. I absolutely
did NOT give any percentage accuracy associated with my statement.
If you had asked for accuracy, I would have gladly given an estimate.

> "Today I'm 46 years and 51 days old." Does that come across as
> an approximate measurement, Cecil?

Shirley, a math teacher knows the difference between cardinal
and ordinal numbers.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5129. Re: WQ4E WIDE ON 3898 WE NEED HELP ON 3901

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Humphries <ae4vj@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <894va3$7qd$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 118491

I really don't think you have a right to complain. You don't have the balls to give your name or call. If you think they are really breaking the rules, you would give your name and call. Hense, you're either too damn scared or lieing!

--
Brad
AE4VJ
www.qsl.net/ae4vj

<nohambull@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:894nt9pfj1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> THE MEN ON 3898 ARE RUNNING WAY TO MUCH POWER WQ4E WAS SPLATTERING 40
> DB OVER AT 3 KC HELP IS NEEDED TO CLEAN THIS UP. STOP IN TO 3898 AND IF
> YOU THINK THAT THEIR BREAKING THE RULES LISTEN ON 3901 AT THE SPLATER
> THAN SEE WHO IS DOING IT THEN SEND E-MAIL TO RHOLLING@FCC.GOV
> OR FCCHAM@FCC.GOV WE NEED HELP
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5130. Re: A death watch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8920bdobv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118534

In article <8zqqhf$XY10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>,
jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:
> On Wed 23 Feb 2000 18:51:16 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> : Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
Different
> : Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how I
was
> : doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her
house?
>
> I haven't been on this newsgroup too long, but admissions such as
yours
> seem to be better left unsaid. Why in the world would you want the
> world to know about your death watch over your mother, if that's what
it
> was?
>
> My God, man, have you no shame?
>
Since you obviously have a limited command of the written word I'll
make my response to you simple....

F*&K YOU STUPID !!!

P.S. The fill in the blanks start with a U and a C.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5131. Re: A death watch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8923c0$qe4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118535

Still fussing and fighting and calling people names Dan. When will you ever learn. Why do you care if the 350 lb lay -about computer nerd sponge, lazy bones, lives with and off of his old mother in a box shack in W. Va. It is none of your affair. Now go chew on a bone to stop your rage!!

Gitback

In article <8920bdobv1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <8zqqhf$XY10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:
> > On Wed 23 Feb 2000 18:51:16 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
wrote:
> >
> > : Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
> Different
> > : Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how
I
> was
> > : doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her
> house?
> >
> > I haven't been on this newsgroup too long, but admissions such as
> yours
> > seem to be better left unsaid. Why in the world would you want the
> > world to know about your death watch over your mother, if that's
what
> it
> > was?
> >
> > My God, man, have you no shame?
> >
> Since you obviously have a limited command of the written word I'll
> make my response to you simple....
>
> F*&K YOU STUPID !!!
>
> P.S. The fill in the blanks start with a U and a C.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>

> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5132. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <891vct$739$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> I don't really believe that I'd get in any trouble keeping Cecil's
>> modem from displaying my hand-sent Morse.

>Jeff, the SCS PTC2e uses a DSP adaptive algorithm for copying
>CW. If you changed your swing so it can't decode your CW, then
>neither could most CW operators.

Upon what basis can you state such a speculation? Have you conducted
tests having an operator vary the dit-to-dah ratio with both your
modem and proficient Morse ops copying?

>Morse code was invented to be copied by machine more than 100
>years ago.

About 160 years ago. The dots and dashes were ink marks on a paper,
manually read. Morse was hand-sent; thus, the dot-to-dash ratio,
like today, varied from one operator to another, from one character
to another. It's impossible exactly maintain the 1-to-3 dot-to-dash
ratio when sent by hand.

Machine copying only lasted a very short time; operators quickly
discovered that they could accurately "read" in their heads the encoded
messages being received.

>Why would you want to sabotage machine copy in the present?

"Sabotage" is a rather strong word. As I said, I could add a swing*
to my hand-sending which your modem wouldn't be able to copy, but
any proficient Morse op could copy; even you might be able to
copy it by ear.

*Added to the already existing nature swing that every hand-sending
Morse op has.

Jeff

5133. Re: How long these days for new callsigns to show up in the FCC database? A V.E. may be able to help here.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002240103350.8419-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118537

I've heard of no extraordinary delays. It takes a minimum of a week and perhaps as long as three. A VE team has 10 days to get the tests, etc., in the mail to the VEC. Once the VEC staff processes it, it's electronically filed to the FCC and should appear the next day, except for Sundays.

On Tue, 22 Feb 2000, Fusion wrote:
> Ok, I didn't just jump on the bandwagon so to speak - I just happened
> to start studying a little while before the restructuring was even
> announced. But that's besides the point. I passed my Tech no code
> requirements on Feb. 11th (studying the code now), but my new callsign
> hasn't shown up yet. There were about 20 - 25 people there taking
> tests of one kind or another, which was much more than they expected.
>
> So, with the jump in people taking their tests, upgrading and such,
> should I be worried yet that my callsign hasn't been put up yet, or
> are things getting a little backed up lately?

5134. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <891vuv$7h6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:

> Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

The City and County of Honolulu provides Workmen's Comp to RACES members injured during Civil Defense activations. The FCC has no problem with this.

Jeff

5135. icom rs-746 softwar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4mec <n4mec@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Q_%s4.1127$ND4.33677@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118539

im looking to try the icom rs-746 software out. does anyone have a demo copy or something i can install and try. i want to buy it but i want to see if i like it first.

My email is n4mec@arrl.net

5136. Re: A death watch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8926dusdv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118540

Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8920bdobv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <8zqqhf$XY10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:
> > On Wed 23 Feb 2000 18:51:16 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
wrote:
> >
> > : Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
> Different
> > : Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how
I
> was
> > : doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her
> house?
> >
> > I haven't been on this newsgroup too long, but admissions such as
> yours
> > seem to be better left unsaid. Why in the world would you want the
> > world to know about your death watch over your mother, if that's
what
> it
> > was?
> >
> > My God, man, have you no shame?
> >
> Since you obviously have a limited command of the written word I'll
> make my response to you simple....
>
> F*&K YOU STUPID !!!
>
> P.S. The fill in the blanks start with a U and a C.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

It's like you always say, Dan....you just can't fix stupid.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5137. Re: A death watch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89271esqt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118541

In article <8923c0$qe4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> Still fussing and fighting and calling people names Dan. When will you
> ever learn. Why do you care if the 350 lb lay -about computer nerd
> sponge, lazy bones, lives with and off of his old mother in a box
shack
> in W. Va. It is none of your affair. Now go chew on a bone to stop
your
> rage!!
>
> Gitback

Now, Polly, you should really talk. YOU and Billy goat jerk were the
ones last winter asking Dan the tasteless question why he was doing the
death watch on his mother. Of course, you can't help it, none of the
rumphumpers/barflies have any class.
Polly, Mr. H. e-mailed me about you and Billy Jerk's little forays down
on 14.275.I had previously let him know about your qrming. I had to
tell him the truth that you were asked to leave, and you refused to do
so, and were just QRMing ongoing qsos down there. Too bad, parrot.
Now you can go back to the cb band, where you obviously sprang from.

> In article <8920bdobv1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <8zqqhf$XY10$2@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > jb2101@my-deja.com (John Bowers) wrote:
> > > On Wed 23 Feb 2000 18:51:16 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
> wrote:
> > >
> > > : Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
> > Different
> > > : Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember
how
> I
> > was
> > > : doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her
> > house?
> > >
> > > I haven't been on this newsgroup too long, but admissions such as
> > yours
> > > seem to be better left unsaid. Why in the world would you want
the
> > > world to know about your death watch over your mother, if that's
> what
> > it

> > > was?
> > >
> > > My God, man, have you no shame?
> > >
> > Since you obviously have a limited command of the written word I'll
> > make my response to you simple....
> >
> > F*&K YOU STUPID !!!
> >
> > P.S. The fill in the blanks start with a U and a C.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5138. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <aN0t4.10495$PA2.879629@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118542
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Matthew Walton wrote in message ...
>BTW...A reply to all of the nasty e-mails I received from people. I have no
>intention of going on the air without a license...I merely wanted to see if
>going to the trouble of getting a license and spending the money on a radio
>would be worth it.
>
>Chill out, folks..:-)
>
>Matthew Walton
>matt@okdirect.com

Matt,
The last thing that most hams want to do is discourage you away from ham radio. I'm sorry that
you received nasty e-mails. The 30 mile range is testing the limit- if you have flat terrain, decent rigs,
and resonant antennas, you stand a good chance for communication.
If you are in a noisy, hilly environment, you may have a problem without the use of a local repeater.
In my area of NJ, it is difficult to support a direct 30 mile range with mobile setups.
Local amateur radio clubs can help you study for the test and get you set up.
Good luck.
Al

5139. Re: Re-testing for renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <C91t4.2043$YG.162907@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hey, what a great idea. I love it. The old dinosaurs would get locked out! Whee. One problem comes to mind. You see, I went QRT in 1970. I decided to get my tickets back in 1993. I did not study. I did not practice. I just showed up for the testing. Do you really think the old guys can't pass these simple tests? Gosh, I just ticked off a bunch of marks on the advanced portion because I knew I had already passed. I didn't bring a calculator and I didn't really feel like extracting square roots by hand (although I can and do - there was no need to). Good golly. That 20 WPM you call an exam? It used to be a minute of solid copy - oh yeah, for the telegraph license too. But that was not bad - considering I logged about 5 minutes of perfect copy in a test in the Navy at 40 WPM. Yep. Dinosaur. Who uses CW. Then there was the fool at work who tried to password protect me out of Windows 95. Lots of luck. Didn't work - he came back to a drive sitting to be repartitioned. Then someone tried to lock a bunch of us out of Windows NT. I did not let them in on the secret. It was about as hard as that 20 WPM exam; let 'em wonder. Next time I'll go hacking on the registry .... I'm sure I'll come up with something interesting. It's not that you CAN'T lock someone out; you've gotta know how. Seems everytime someone finds an on/off switch he/she thinks they're an expert.

What's interesting is trying to have an intelligent conversation with some folks about why a certain system on the job won't work properly - and find out they're clueless about angular momentum. You get the idea. The problem is that everyone is trying to memorize questions and answers - and makes the erroneous assumption that memorization of Q&A manuals is how everyone passes. WRONG. Oh, the other ticket I got back was my GROL - seems they don't have the 1st class radiotelephone anymore. I was not stupid enough to pay to sit for the telegraph ticket. What use would I have for it? Nice part is, because I never memorized Q&A manuals, I didn't have to memorize anything to pass the new tests. Lots of luck. You forget, transconductance is transconductance ... I never needed to use a VFet until I wanted to interface an old Leslie speaker to a different organ. Had to convert from 90 volts dc high impedance to 120 volts AC low impedance. The local tech quoted me 8 hours of labor. I found the prints and it took 5 minutes of thinking, under $5.00 in parts, and a half hour of my labor to do the job - and it has been working flawlessly for about 4 years now - plus I got to keep the extra audio channel, which the tech said would have to go. Right. NOT.

73s,
Jim AA2QA

Carl R. Stevenson <wa6vse@fast.net> wrote in message
news:sap6nrlo2pd7@corp.supernews.com...

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "Glen Hawkes" <hawkesgl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:910r4.14055$Zp1.456568@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Retesting is a great way to insure that newer Hams lose interest in Ham
> > radio and then you old dinosaurs can sit around and wonder why Ham radio
> > isnt going anywhere
>
> Actually, I'd bet that the newcomers would fare far better in retesting
> than the "old dinosaurs" ... I doubt that more than 25% of the "old
> dinosaurs" could pass the current tests for their current class of
license.
>
> - --
> Carl R. Stevenson - wa6vse
> <mailto:wa6vse@fast/net>
> <http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse>
> - --
> NCI-1052
> Executive Director, No Code International
> Fellow, The Radio Club of America
> Senior Member, IEEE
> Member, TAPR
> Member, ARRL
> - --
> Join No Code International! Hams for the 21st Century.
> Help assure the survival and prosperity of ham radio.
> <http://www.nocode.org>
>
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
> iQA/AwUBOKya+5e+N6+q84HiEQJk2wCg0YShp+DQl8jI99GNgHI688GAhdcAoOOT
> V6lyAJIcYzEQOKjvzMEHdQVD
> =9w+L
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>

5140. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8927c5chp1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38B469CC.E63C72F9@icss.net>, Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>Jeff said he would "ADD" sloppiness to his fist in order to screw up Cecil.

Never said that. I said something like:
"I could add a swing on to my sending in such a way that your modem
wouldn't be able to copy but any proficient CW operator would have no
difficulty; you might even be able to copy it by ear, too" or something
close to that.

>That is a LID.

We're on Usenet, not on the air.

>Stick around and you will begin to understand Jeff, the Steves, Dick and Larry.
>They are the Code Bretheren.

True!

I'm beginning to think that you have a crush on me. I'm already taken,
Brian.

Jeff

5141. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38b4262f.8844645@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 21 Feb 2000 20:19:54 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
>>As a Tech with a EE who just took the Extra test....
>>Well, me and another guy took 4B with about 2 hours worth of studying. And
>>both of us haven't designed RF circuits in many years.
>
>I hadn't been scuba diving "in many years" yet on a recent dive,
>everything came back to me as if I hadn't taken any time off at
>all. Don't discount your memory in regards to what once was second
>nature to you.

And the reality is that 4B is far more rules/regs
than theory anyway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

5142. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38b42735.9106859@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 21:14:58 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <38AAF58F.63134320@IEEE.org>,
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > Indeed, one of the professors in my college classes commented that
>> > too often they had hams flunk the electronic classes because they
>> > thought they already knew it all...
>>
>> Yep, and there are still some hams on this newsgroup who think they
>> know it all simply because they have an extra license (and nothing
>> else). Question: Is a no-code tech with an RF engineering degree
>> more knowledgable than an extra without an engineering degree?
>> Hint: The mere existence of an extra class license is meaningless
>> without additional data.
>> --
>Hi Cecil...
> Your above question is not so easy to answer because we must
>consider first what we mean by "knowledgeable". If the Ham-Tech is the
>type of Ham who builds stuff from scratch and reads a lot of applicable
>literature he might be more knowledgeable than a degreed professor of
>EE in many respects. The professor might know more about the theory
>and math that can be applied to a situation but the Ham may solve his
>technical problems by efficiently applying logical trial and error
>methods. The professor may not even be able to do lab work or
>troubleshooting without help from practical technicians.

I have seen that on many occasions. I had a tech that
worked for me in the Navy who had almost no practical
ability at all. He was extremely smart in theory (he had
2 BS degrees) but troubleshooting was not at all one
of his areas of expertise, much less any "hands-on"
use of tools, test equipment, etc.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

5143. F/A: MINT in-the-box Uniden HR-2600, currently only $102.50!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000223225714.03224.00007527@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 118547

If you're looking for the nicest, most unmolested HR-2600 on the planet, this is the one:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=263620799

5144. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ethidium <lesbos@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <LO2t4.302$Uc4.12696@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Actually, a good communist would attempt to discover what underlying problem caused such disruptive behaviour and solve it so that the QRM would no longer be a problem. From each according to his ability; to each according to his need.

Andy Cowan
KC0GXW

5145. Antique Radio Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <email@w8mmq.net>
Message-ID: <qS2t4.10693$PA2.898621@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118549

W8MMQ Antique Radio Page
http://www.w8mmq.net/oldradio/

5146. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <892j0e$kt9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
>including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
>the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
>PTC2e copied it better than I did.

Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?

>Modems were invented to relieve humans
>from the drudgery of performing menial wetware tasks. This is the
>21st century. Progress happens. Time marches on.

That view is beginning to intrude into higher education; students
are questioning why they should be "forced" to take foreign language
and higher mathematics classes. Computers can do all those "menial
wetware tasks." There is even speculation as to the future of
forcing elementary school students to learn handwriting skills
when a computer+printer can turn out much neater work.

Progress happens, eh, Cecil?

There was an interesting long-term study conducted: Researchers
followed the retirement lives of participating nuns. Those nuns who
regularly performed higher mental activities, such as playing chess,
working crossword puzzles, playing musical instruments, painting, even
knitting, had a very low incidence of Alzheimer's disease; those who
performed lessor mental activities had a higher incidence. The results
were published about a year or two ago.

Put the modem away, Cecil... =:o

Jeff

5147. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: shali <shali@mimos.my>
Message-ID: <892l94us1@news6.jaring.my>
Source record: 118551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I entirely agree with you Jeff. GB ES 73
Shah, 9M2SZ

==========
Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:88se14$1h4$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Heck no. That's what they built computers for! Why send at 5 WPM when
you
> >> >can let the computer do it at much faster speeds!
>
> >> Please don't encourage them to send at a faster rate than they can
> >> receive!! =:o
>
> >My SCS PTC2e HF modem receives Morse code faster than I can.
>
> And I can add a swing to my fist in such a way that your modem
> wouldn't know what I'm sending, yet another CW operator, copying
> by ear, would have no trouble understanding.
>
> Never send faster than you can copy, Cecil.
>
> Jeff

5148. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDF <bumologist@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.131ea92071a47c8b9896b1@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 118552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia>,
lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net says...
> Taken from his webpage:
>
> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
>
>>>>>Oh,you mean they re-opened the monkey house at the zoo??

> SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
> BROTHER STAIR
>
>Ohhhhh,BROTHER!
>
> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
> Time: 10:00am Both Days
> Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
> For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581
>
>
> Date: Wednesday & Thursday - March 8 & 9, 2000
> Time: 11:00am Both Days
> Place: The Upper Room, Mackville, KY
> For More Information Phone Brother Baker at 606-366-5642
>
>
> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 11 & 12, 2000
> Time: 11:00am Both Days
> Place: Straightway Communty Tabernacle, LaFayette, TN
> For More Information Phone Pastor Dowell at 615-688-4277

>>>>>I bet these are all GREAT places,the monkey house is probably
better,probably has a better membership too.The monkeys are probably
smart enough to know better than to fall for this fool's nonsense.I
bet the monkey house SMELLS better too!
>
>
> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO PANTS,
> make-up, etc.

>>>Hmmmm,what will Brother Stair do if a guy wears a really NICE dress?
What will he do if a guy wears make up?I guess Michael Jackson wouldn't
be welcome.He probably wouldn't be "comfortable" there anyway.Oh wait,
it said dress accordingly,would a clown suit be ok?How about one of
those "Jason" halloween masks,along with a conservative suit?No,well

nobody would want anyone to possibly recognise them.How about a Nixon mask??I got one of them someplace!
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>>>>Sheesh Lloyd,what's the deal,is there a prize for lame web pages or what?You gotta be in the top 20!!!

Have fun,Barry
>
>
>

5149. Re: Ranger RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jacek M. Holeczek" <holeczek@us.edu.pl>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.1000224083952.1416A-100000@uranos.cto.us.edu.pl>
Source record: 118553

Hi,
Many thanks to all who responded.
In the meantime, just by pressing different buttons and turning different knobs (you know - monkeys and other dumbs learn this way :-) I think I was able to "discover" most of available functions.

> Does anyone knows where can I download the manual (as PDF for example) ?
As far as I can see now there is no downloadable manual available.
I will try to find someone around who own such a beast ... .

> Can anyone tell me what is the purpose of the knob that is placed just
> above the mic plug ? I can't seem to be able to figure out what it is
Now I know it is supposed to change the frequency, exactly as the up-down arrows and the buttons on the mic. I would like to say here that BOTH the up-down arrows and the buttons on the mic DO change the frequency (that means there is no frequency "lock" active), only the knob does not work. Is there any known (typical) problem with it ?

I've got another small problem - sometimes when I press a button like "SHF" (or "LOCK"), instead of the required function to be performed a frequency change happens like I had pushed the up-down arrow. Is there a know fix for it ? (Well, it doesn't happen very often, but ... I don't like it. ;-)
Thanks in advance,
Jacek.

5150. a new site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa1cfx <fa1cfx@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <892rm5$e9k$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 118554

Hello,you can see my web page to this adress:

http://perso.wanadoo.fr/fa1cfx/

thank's for the remarks

73's from FA1CFX / Phil

5151. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: fred1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <892u3s$d13$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38B1B2DA.25F5DF14@icss.net>,
burke1@icss.net wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> > Ed Woodrick <ewoodrick@ed-com.com> wrote:
> > >As a Tech with a EE who just took the Extra test....
> > >Well, me and another guy took 4B with about 2 hours worth of
studying. And
> > >both of us haven't designed RF circuits in many years.
> >
> > I hadn't been scuba diving "in many years" yet on a recent dive,
> > everything came back to me as if I hadn't taken any time off at
> > all. Don't discount your memory in regards to what once was second
> > nature to you.
> >
> > Jeff
>
I don't recall that recent versions of element 4B ever required
one to DESIGN rf circuits.

.....Fred

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5152. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markus Lenggenhager <Markus.Lenggenhager@philips.com>
Message-ID: <38B4FDE6.473B67@philips.com>
Source record: 118556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Have a look at (X)NET which offers a lot of tcp/ip functionality:
http://www.qsl.net/dl1gji/
It runs on a variety of HW and OSs. Interesting in this context: (X)NET
offers a feature called "learning ARP".

73, Markus HB9BRJ
(sysop of (X)NET digipeater HB9AU)

5153. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38b502c7.0@news>
Source record: 118557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Vince, Well I guess that is one way to address the issue.
Its is your decision to make.
However, I wish you would reconsider.

You have invested a lot of time and efffort getting where you are.
You are in a very good position to guide new hams in the right direction.
Our government has made a desision and like it or not we hams must live with
it.
If we sack it in with out any effort then our hobby is truly doomed.
We need poeple like you "fighting in the trenches" on the inside to keep
what we have.
Think of it as a new challange.
Ham Radio has and will continue to change with or without our help.
I would rather stay and help do what I can to promote what I consider to be
the good things about our hobby.

Like I said it is your decision but I really wish you would reconsider.
One man CAN make a BIG difference!
You probally have already and just do not know it.

William Lee N5WRX

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

5154. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38b503a5.0@news>
Source record: 118558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith, That is a very harsh thing to say to someone you do not even know.
Vince has put a lot of work into becoming a VE and I am sure he did not do
it for the money.
How hard it must be for him to come to the decision to quit doing what he
likes so much.
How easy it is to flame someone here on the internet.
Have you ever tried to walk a mile in someone eles's shoes?

William Lee N5WRX

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:38A8A008.7062169C@bctv.com...
>
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
> > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > 73 de KB7ADL
>
> Brains are a better thing for hams to have than guts.
>
> Thanks for leaving. I wouldn't trust you as a VE.

5155. FS: parts for Yeasu FT-101Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com
Message-ID: <892vt2$12b$1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

For sale parts for FT-101Z.

Ask what you need and make an offer. All parts are in good working conditions unless otherwise noted.

Buyer to pay S/H.

Send all inquires to servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com

5156. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38b50504.0@news>
Source record: 118560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little better
about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!

No Maners
No respect
No regard for anyones feelings
No morals
All take and no give
It's all for me and screw you attitude!

That is what I see from most of the posts here.
What a shame.

William Lee N5WRX

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> turning in my Badge.
>
> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

5157. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38b505a0.0@news>
Source record: 118561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric, I think what he means is the attitude that most of you are expressing
here on the newsgroup!
That is ... screw everyone else as long as I get what I want.

He never said a thing about code.
You seem to have a fixation about that subject.

William Lee N5WRX

Eric Atwater <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com> wrote in message
news:38B333D8.4937@chooseyourmail.com...
> > > Oh, by the way, why are you getting out of ham radio? It is an
enjoyable
> > > hobby where we get to meet new people and learn new things.
>
> You mean meet new people that hate you because you like microphones and
> not Morse Code? You mean learn OLD things like Morse Code?
>
> Forget about the current group of Hams that are close-minded and afraid
> of change and new technology. We get a group of our OLD friends to get
> licensed and have FRIENDLY conversations with each other rather than
> whine and moan about the improved license requirements.

5158. Re: CW Offset question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <38b505ef.40169406@news.dvol.com>
Source record: 118562
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 23 Feb 2000 10:23:07 -0800, Cortland Richmond
<Cortland.Richmond@usa.alcatel.com> wrote:
>
>Brian,
>
>The manual usually tells you what the dial display signifies.
>
It's an elderly but clean/maxed-out TS-940SAT I'm just getting into,
worst manual I've ever had to deal.
>
>Some rigs
>show the transmit frequency while transmitting and the "zero beat"
>frequency while receiving. Some let you see both settings at once, which
>can be useful. But it's easy to use the zero beat setting and figure how
>far your transmitted emission extends.
>
I need to work all these detals out and the only way I'm gonna have
any confidence in what I'm doing with the thing is to have some "qsos
with myself" while diddling the knobs and readouts.
>
>IMO, it's part of being a good
>operator to know where your signal is, and certainly important near band
>edges or emission limits.
>
You got that right.
>
>FWIW, the military uses _center_ of nominal emission to designate
>channels. For example, the MARS net on dial setting (zero beat) 4020 kHz
>was listed at 4021.5 kHz. If you wanted the net to switch to LSB, you had
>to have them move the dial setting to 4023 kHz. That was ANOTHER thing to
>keep track of!
>
Wunnerful. Par for the Pentagon . . .
>
>Cortland
>(ka5s@saber.net)
>
Tnx,
>
Brian w3rv

5159. RadioMaster2000 - You asked for it, you got it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny Butler <dannybutler@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <38b50cfa@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118563

Many thanks to all those that have sent recommended changes for RadioMaster2000.

I have taken them all "on board", and will be making the changes over the next few months.

In the short term, I have implemented a number of the important changes.

It has been good to see, that the intense testing prior to the release, has left only a few minor/cosmetic fixes.

Here they are...

1. Added a 1 Hz frequency step. Yes, the Radio will respond accordingly, even though the display indicates 10 Hz changes. Try setting the Mode to CW, and the filter to 50 Hz. Step RadioMaster2000 10 times watch the Radio Display change, and listen as you go.

2. Fixed a slight problem with F.Lock, it would not change.

3. RadioMaster2000 will not crash if you press any button when not connected to the Radio. I did mention this problem in the documentation, however, I have fixed it any way.

4. If the Radio is switched on, and connected to your PC, and then start RadioMaster2000, both will synchronise automatically. If you switch the Radio on after RadioMaster2000 is started, you will need to press the "Synchronise Radio" button under the Communications menu. This is different from version 1.0.0.

5. Changed the Windows and exe icon to be like a radio.

If you have already downloaded RadioMaster2000, and would like to try the update, download the "Update" zip file from my web site. Unzip it, and use those files to replace those in your folder.

Here is my website for the "Update".....

If you have any problems or questions, please contact me....

http://web.one.net.au/~kjbutler/page2.html

73,

Danny Butler
vk2kdg, Sydney Australia

5160. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B50D08.1501FA6C@icss.net>
Source record: 118564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In article <38B469CC.E63C72F9@icss.net>, Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >Jeff said he would "ADD" sloppiness to his fist in order to screw up Cecil.
>
> Never said that. I said something like:
> "I could add a swing on to my sending in such a way that your modem
> wouldn't be able to copy but any proficient CW operator would have no
> difficulty; you might even be able to copy it by ear, too" or something
> close to that.
>
> >That is a LID.
>
> We're on Usenet, not on the air.
>
> >Stick around and you will begin to understand Jeff, the Steves, Dick and Larry.
> >They are the Code Bretheren.
>
> True!
>
> I'm beginning to think that you have a crush on me. I'm already taken,
> Brian.
>
> Jeff

I'm jealous. Whats his name?

5161. Re: FT-290R circuit diagram wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Soulie <soulie@zipworld.com.au>
Message-ID: <38B50510.B9643985@zipworld.com.au>
Source record: 118565

give me a day and will E-mail you a copy of schematic just found your
request but haven't had a change to scan it yet

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> In article <kMPq4.841$kz6.16886@news1.online.no>,
> "Steinar Lote" <steinar.lote@nrk.no> wrote:
> > Dear OM,
> > My long trusting all-mode vhf rig has gone dead. I located an
> overheated
> > trans and a blown fuse. But my doc for the ft290r is not to be found
> > anywhere in my many docs.
> > Could you help me with a copy of the circuit diagram of the ft290R,
> so I can
> > locate the fault? Or do you know anyone who can? A scanned copy
> on .jpg or
> > .gif would do, as long as the text and circuits are radable.
> > Thank you.
> > If a printed hardcopy is possible - mail me for the postal adress.
> >
> > 73 from Steinar Lote, Foerde, Norway
> >
> >
> I have a block diagram from the operator manual that I could send you
> via JPEG e-mail, if you want. A schematic is only in the service
> manual. You could check with www.yaesu.com for that if you like.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> ---
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
Richard Soulie (VK2ARS)
soulie@zipworld.com.au
35 Musgrave Crescent
Fairfield NSW 2165
Australia

5162. Re: A death watch?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8936b9$i7i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118566

210 lardass who can't get a girlfriend DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero.net>
blubbered in message news:2o1t4.21811$zu3.146916@news3.mia...
> Yeah and you sure can't fix an "elongated " head either can you Woger
> Wabbit???

I wouldn't know fatass. Sorry to hear that the plastic surgeons can't
fix YOURS.
I thought you said you wanted to stop this tiod. Guess you lied again,
huh?
I would guess that it's you who is so fugly they had to advertize on
the internet for a girlfriend, since women usually shun your quasimodo-
like appearance.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5163. POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <893a6skkf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118567

For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on Dr Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends MOMMAS BOY live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows and you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with great pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this forum

Thank You.

Getback

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5164. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <TS9t4.293$5a3.283@nntp0.detroit.mi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 118568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What's being said is that anyone that can't go with the flow and cannot
accept change needs to get out of the way. You assume the comments came
from "NEW HAMS."
That assumption is wrong.

William Lee wrote in message <38b50504.0@news>...
>After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little better
>about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
>indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!
>
>No Maners
>No respect
>No regard for anyones feelings
>No morals
>All take and no give
>It's all for me and screw you attitude!
>
>That is what I see from most of the posts here.
>What a shame.
>
>William Lee N5WRX
>
>Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
>news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
>> I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
>> membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
>> turning in my Badge.
>>
>> Anyone else have the guts to join me?
>>
>> 73 de KB7ADL
>
>

5165. Blow TL922

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Helmut <df7zs@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <893a7u$5aq$1@news03.btx.dtag.de>
Source record: 118569
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Hi there.

I have a TL922 by Kenwood and the folowing happend to it:

On Standby-Mode (after some minutes operation) I heard
a loud "bang" . As I looked on the panel the IP-Meter was
showing 500mA. Remember the Amp is set to STANDBY
not to operate nor the PTT is hit.

My guess was maybe a missreading so I pushed the PTT
and sended out a short burst via mike. The Power meter
showed that the AMP is delivering about 1000 watts as
normal. Back to standby - the IP reading is still 500mA.

I turned the thing off.

Any Ideas what happend ?
Anyone had a simular problem in the past ?
I am also seeking for a Service Manual to
download or faxpoll.

Thanks for any help !!!

73sss

Helmut (DF7ZS)

_____
bort, etry or nfluence with larger hammer !

5166. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE ASS HOLE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <david@wtit.net>
Message-ID: <stat4.300$Zn3.54072@pm02news>
Source record: 118570

gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on Dr
> Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends MOMMAS BOY
> live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual
> Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows and
> you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus
> dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with great
> pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this forum
>
> Thank You.
>
> Getback
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5167. eBay Book: "Transistors I" by RCA Labs from 1957

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Cleaves <Oldbooks78@aol.com>
Message-ID: <38B5343D.BC5E759@aol.com>
Source record: 118571

I currently have up for auction at eBay a nice copy of "Transistors I" published by the RCA Laboratory in Princeton, NJ in 1957. The 676 page hardbound book is a collection of papers describing research and development work by RCA in the theory, fabrication, and application of transitors. The book is in very good condition, clean with no page tears or pen marks other than a previous owners name inside the front cover. Light green cloth covers are sun faded on the spine. Opening bid is $8. Thanks.

Dave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=268250567

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5168. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <893eeenqo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia>,
"DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:
> Taken from his webpage:
>
> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
> www.expage.com/page/falseprophetstair

> SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
> BROTHER STAIR
>
> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
> Time: 10:00am Both Days
> Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
> For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581
>
> Date: Wednesday & Thursday - March 8 & 9, 2000
> Time: 11:00am Both Days
> Place: The Upper Room, Mackville, KY
> For More Information Phone Brother Baker at 606-366-5642
>
> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 11 & 12, 2000
> Time: 11:00am Both Days
> Place: Straightway Communty Tabernacle, LaFayette, TN
> For More Information Phone Pastor Dowell at 615-688-4277
>
> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO
PANTS,
> make-up, etc.
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5169. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <893g12osq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <892j0e$kt9$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
> >including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
> >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
> >PTC2e copied it better than I did.
>
> Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
> Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?

And how was the measurement done.
>
> >Modems were invented to relieve humans
> >from the drudgery of performing menial wetware tasks.

Modems were invented to translate signals from one
technology/environment to another, such as from the shortrange digital
serial port environment to an analog phone line.

> This is the 21st century.

Not until just after midnight, December 31, 2000

> Progress happens. Time marches on.

Dumb bromides multiply.
>
> That view is beginning to intrude into higher education; students
> are questioning why they should be "forced" to take foreign language
> and higher mathematics classes. Computers can do all those "menial
> wetware tasks." There is even speculation as to the future of
> forcing elementary school students to learn handwriting skills
> when a computer+printer can turn out much neater work.

The same mindset can be seen at the primary level. Why must ALL kids be
forced to learn basic math skills, when simple solar-powered
calculators are a give-away item? Those who want to learn such skills
will learn them, and the rest will use calculators, the argument goes.
Forget about learning how to type, some say - voice recognition
software will do that stuff. Same for a whole bunch of other subjects.
Why force kids to learn stuff they don't want to learn, says that
argument, if a technology exists that can do those "menial wetware
tasks" for them? What's wrong with live and let live?
>

> Progress happens, eh, Cecil?

One of the easiest marketing gimmicks is to call something new "progress" and to equate all change with "progress". Remember "New Coke"?

For millions of years, babies were nursed, usually by their mothers. Then "formula" was invented, and the "experts" in developed countries told parents that it was "progress" to feed their babies formula - more sanitary, more nutritious, yada yada yada. So millions did. Bottle feeding became the norm in the USA and many other "developed" countries - this was the 20th century, after all. Then further study showed that there was no nutritional advantage to formula at all, and some actual disadvantages to its use, such as cost, lack of disease resistance and food allergies. Now the experts encourage mothers to nurse their babies if possible, and only use formula as a supplement, if needed.
>
> There was an interesting long-term study conducted: Researchers
> followed the retirement lives of participating nuns. Those nuns who
> regularly performed higher mental activities, such as playing chess,
> working crossword puzzles, playing musical instruments, painting, even
> knitting, had a very low incidence of Alzheimer's disease; those who
> performed lessor mental activities had a higher incidence. The results
> were published about a year or two ago.

Here's another point to consider:

It was not that long ago that the route of "progress" was measured in "labor saving devices" such as cars (which replaced the need to walk any significant distances) various appliances, etc. These things are nice in that they eliminate the necessity for certain types of physical exertion. We could all live a life of ease. This was "progress", we were told.

But one of the unintended side effects of this progress was that people in developed countries, particularly Americans, became fatter, less physically fit, unhealthier, more disconnected from the natural world, and began to die in increasing numbers from "chronic diseases of civilization" such as cardiovascular disease. Unhealthy diet is one cause, but lack of exercise is another. Turns out that the "life of ease" with little or no physical exertion is not healthy. Now we have all sorts of health clubs and exercise equipment as methods of getting and staying in shape. Not just to look good, but to be healthy.

The "labor saving devices" did not eliminate the need for physical exertion. Sadly, the message has not been heard by many Americans.

"Menial wetware tasks"? Not at all. Highly developed skills.
"Progress"? Maybe - maybe not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

>
> Put the modem away, Cecil... =:o
>
> Jeff
>

--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5170. Re: ((..ELECTION 2000..)) - **BUSH** - THE CORRECT CHOICE FORPRESIDENT!!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <893eji$353$2@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118574

Possum, you're the world's biggest schmuck and I'm telling you to stay clear
of my posts.
AF2Y,Doc

In article <891u7b$mq7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, possum belly
<possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <891goiisi1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> Possumbelly, why do you waste time and space on this board? You are
> just
>> about the biggest stupid schmuck to ever pollute this site so why
> don't you
>> grow up and write like a person or simply hit the road?
>>
>
> screw you DR hate you ole full you queer dadDY. you aint tale in no boty
> what they gone rite. or how they gone rite it.you jus an ole full. i
> herd that. evry think bout you an yor stink in famlee be fare game in
> hear.i tale the truce an you caint stand it.jus like vietNam Dan say.
> you dont like here in bout the queer boy wat blew his way thru law
> skool. an you hates to here bout dumpy fat ass dana marred to a
> unmployed catlick.i herd that. you can dish it out. but you jus cant
> take it. this a Merica. it aint israil. a NJ nomad dont cownt fer dog
> do do in here. so frum now on i call in the shots queer dadDy. you dun a
> gud job a ignore in me so far.i herd that. you had bet her go bak to
> ignore in me wile you stil able queer dadDy you ole full. so let it be
> ritten. so let it be dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5171. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <893eoc$902$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118575

Another illiterate schmuck appears in the garb of a "wufu." It's BOWEL you fool, not BOWL.

In article <04416800.2b67cfd0@usw-ex0105-040.remarq.com>, wufu <wyatt6gunNOwySPAM@ccountry.net.invalid> wrote:

> When I first read the header, I thought the Usenet might have cut
> off some of the headline. I was sure that AF2Y was taking a "bowl
> movement". Imagine to my surprise that he was only taking a
> "bow". Damn, it sure would have helped if I had been correct!
>
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>

5172. Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Brown <tekniko@primary.net>
Message-ID: <38B53FC7.EC6C9DD3@primary.net>
Source record: 118576

How can one get started in the hobby if there is no one in the area to serve as a sort of mentor? Thanks!

--
Ron Brown
System Administrator
Primary Network Internet

5173. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <893gkh$pb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118577

As I stated earlier, Mr Possum Belly, you are number one on this forum.

Keep up the good work and we love your unique style of putting to the computer the printed word.

Gitback

n article <stat4.300$Zn3.54072@pm02news>,
David <david@wtit.net> wrote:
>
>
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on Dr
> > Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends MOMMAS
BOY
> > live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual
> > Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows and
> > you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus
> > dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with
great
> > pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this
forum
> >
> > Thank You.
> >
> > Getback
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5174. Re: AF2Y takes a bow! AND HOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <893gd6$14a$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118578

I wonder where GO JOHNNY GO stands on gun control? Personally speaking the right to bear arms has nothing to do with hand guns therefore every American should have the right to possess STANDARD rifles and all automatics and semi-automatics plus ALL concealed weapons should be outlawed. You who say that the crooks will then be the only ones to carry pistols are wrong because the job of the police officer becomes easier. Immediate arrests are instituted solely on concealed weapons charges and by cutting down drastically on foreign aid, we build a lot more prisons to house these creeps. That in turn creates jobs and revenue comes back to the government in the form of income taxes. It's a win win situation and we the people win the most in the form of security. Take the damn NRA and turn their buildings into high rises for the average Yankee family. Toss Charleton Heston out on his ass and his stupid toupee with him. Those who say that the first thing Hitler did was to disarm the populace are LIARS. Under the pre-Hitlerian Weimar Repiblic, NO GERMAN was allowed to own a gun therefore there was nothing to take away. That argument is one by the hideous rightwing lunatics in this country headed by Militias and fundamentalist preachers who have evil and mayhem on their minds. We are SHOOTING OURSELVES TO DEATH and only internal and external enemies of this country are pleased by that. We are obsessed with violence and death as exemplified on TV and in the cinema. Ever notice the 20 minutes of trailers before the main feature? NOTHING BUT EXPLOSIONS, VIOLENCE etc. What happened to the world LOVE? Maybe McCain is one Republican who understands what's going on because he panders to no one. Those who say he won Michigan because of Democrat turnout favoring him are WRONG. You will now see in upcoming primaries Republicans flocking to him. Don't sell this man short even though he is physically. Remember how Jimmy Carter came out of nowehere to beat hell out of Gerald Ford? Who gave the peanut farmer a chance? I voted for him because Ford abandoned South Viet Nam to the Cong, Dong, Gooks and Rats. He should have nuked the crap out of them the way Barry would have done, may he rest in peace. I want to see an exciting race for the Presidency and John and Al fit the bill. George W. and Al don't because it'd be a Democrat cakewalk. As an independent I ask my fellow hams who are Republican to throw your support behind Johnny. This unsolicited announcement has come to you through the courtesy of the CLOUDWARMER ASSOCIATION in cooperation with the GO JOHHNY GO FOUNDATION FOR BETTER GOVERNMENT.
AF2Y,Doc

In article <891j2o$en9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <14893-38B42A8A-12@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> BFO-Control@webtv.net (I.F. Shift) wrote:
>> Oh, come on Dan! Doc ain't gonna vote for McCain! He and his liberal

>> socialist buddies were out en masse yesterday to vote AGAINST Bush!
>> Among Republicans, Bush is ahead!
>>
>> My wife and I and a bunch of our Republican friends are going to have
> a
>> little fun and throw a little "election party" the day of the
> DEMOCRATIC
>> primary. We're going to all go out and vote for Bradley and help
> throw
>> Gore off course!!
>>
>> If McCain is such a "centrist"...why is he saying he's a conservative
>> and moving many of his positions to the right??? Because he knows
>> THAT'S WHERE THE VOTES ARE!!
>>
>> Isaac
>>
>>
> Of course he knows where the votes are. And he will do and say
> whatever it takes to get them. Then so does everyone else. The point
> is this....he at least is qualified. And that beats the crap out of
> Clinton Jr. Mr Gore. I vote for who I think is the best person.
> Actually I prefere Keyes, but he has no chance at all. And I will not
> be suckered into throwing away my vote again, like Perot did the first
> time around.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5175. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B545A7.BC12ED6C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
> >including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
> >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
> >PTC2e copied it better than I did.
>
> Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
> Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?

All I'm saying is that his 'dits' and 'dahs' were so near the same
pulse width that it was extremely hard to copy.

> >Modems were invented to relieve humans
> >from the drudgery of performing menial wetware tasks. This is the
> >21st century. Progress happens. Time marches on.
>
> That view is beginning to intrude into higher education; students
> are questioning why they should be "forced" to take foreign language
> and higher mathematics classes. Computers can do all those "menial
> wetware tasks." There is even speculation as to the future of
> forcing elementary school students to learn handwriting skills
> when a computer+printer can turn out much neater work.
>
> Progress happens, eh, Cecil?

I assume from your tale that you still use a slide-rule instead
of a calculator. Jeff, it will not be long before people won't
need to know how to read and write. Computers will be doing speech
recognition and synthesis for us. Progress happens.

> There was an interesting long-term study conducted: Researchers
> followed the retirement lives of participating nuns. Those nuns who
> regularly performed higher mental activities, such as playing chess,
> working crossword puzzles, playing musical instruments, painting, even
> knitting, had a very low incidence of Alzheimer's disease; those who
> performed lessor mental activities had a higher incidence. The results
> were published about a year or two ago.
>
> Put the modem away, Cecil... =:o

Note that all the above things are fun things, Jeff. I do a lot of fun
things to keep my mind sharp. High-speed Morse code is not fun for me.
Would you do high-speed Morse code if it wasn't fun for you, Jeff?

--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5176. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <893j3j$r8i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118580

In article <893a6skkf1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on Dr
> Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends MOMMAS
BOY
> live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual
> Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows and
> you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus
> dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with
great
> pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this
forum
>
> Thank You.
>
> Getback
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Yeah, number one IDIOT. You have the number one MORON, spot, Polly.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5177. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <893j90$rh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118581

In article <893gkh$pb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>
> As I stated earlier, Mr Possum Belly, you are number one on this
forum.
>
> Keep up the good work and we love your unique style of putting to the
> computer the printed word.
>
> Gitback

Of course, to you, Dr. Seuss is high literature huh, Polly?
Polly the 1.6 acre tennessee land "baron."

> n article <stat4.300$Zn3.54072@pm02news>,
> David <david@wtit.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on
Dr
> > > Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends
MOMMAS
> BOY
> > > live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual
> > > Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows
and
> > > you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus
> > > dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with
> great
> > > pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this
> forum
> > >
> > > Thank You.
> > >
> > > Getback

Polly, put your front teeth back in....
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5178. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <893jc6rhs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118582

In article <893eoc$902$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> Another illiterate schmuck appears in the garb of a "wufu." It's
BOWEL you
> fool, not BOWL.

It's probably Dimmy O'Bese, posting while snorting cocaine again.

> ----------
> In article <04416800.2b67cfd0@usw-ex0105-040.remarq.com>, wufu
> <wyatt6gunNOwySPAM@ccountry.net.invalid> wrote:
>
> > When I first read the header, I thought the Usenet might have cut
> > off some of the headline. I was sure that AF2Y was taking a "bowl
> > movement". Imagine to my surprise that he was only taking a
> > "bow". Damn, it sure would have helped if I had been correct!
> >
> >
> > * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion
Network *
> > The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet -
Free!
> >
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5179. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <9Ybt4.383$7c2.6396@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118583
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mathew,

I agree with AL. But just remember, he said 'most HAMs'. HAM radio
is nothing more than a cross section of society. In some cases, there
are a higher percentage of certain types of people, but basically you
get all types. Including those that will assume the worst and be
idiots.

But there will also be a lot of people who WILL welcome you and
smooth your path if you decide to get that ticket.

Just as there are "*" in society, there will be "*" in HAM radio.

Mike
N1DVJ

Al, N2NKB <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:aN0t4.10495$PA2.879629@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Matthew Walton wrote in message ...
> >BTW...A reply to all of the nasty e-mails I received from people. I
have no
> >intention of going on the air without a license...I merely wanted to
see if
> >going to the trouble of getting a license and spending the money on a
radio
> >would be worth it.
> >
> >Chill out, folks..:-)
> >
> >Matthew Walton
> >matt@okdirect.com
>
>
> Matt,
> The last thing that most hams want to do is discourage you away from
ham radio. I'm sorry that
> you received nasty e-mails. The 30 mile range is testing the limit-
if you have flat terrain, decent rigs,
> and resonant antennas, you stand a good chance for communication.
> If you are in a noisy, hilly environment, you may have a problem
without the use of a local repeater.
> In my area of NJ, it is difficult to support a direct 30 mile range
with mobile setups.
> Local amateur radio clubs can help you study for the test and get you

set up.
> Good luck.
> Al

5180. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B54E59.E2FB80D3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
>
> In article <892j0e$kt9$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
> > >including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
> > >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
> > >PTC2e copied it better than I did.
> >
> > Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
> > Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?
>
> And how was the measurement done.

What's the matter with you people? What is it about his 'dahs' were
1.5 times as long as his 'dits' that you don't understand? Are "units"
too technical for you? Can you read Morse code when the 'dits' and
'dahs' are almost the same length?

> The "labor saving devices" did not eliminate the need for physical
> exertion. Sadly, the message has not been heard by many Americans.

The "labor saving devices" eliminated the need for unenjoyable
physical exertion. Now we can all do the physical exertion that we
enjoy. Tennis is a lot more fun than washing clothes in a washtub
on a rubboard. Designing, building, and programming a Morse code
decoder is a lot more fun (for me) than getting my code speed up.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5181. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wufu <wyatt6gunNOwySPAM@ccountry.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <1fad4553.09ff7cc0@usw-ex0105-037.remarq.com>
Source record: 118635

whether its "bold, bowel, bowl" the message got home! The sad
part is that you will obviously pay no heed to the message. Just
think, sit on the "can" and flush away all you hatred in one
sitting. Mankind, and yourself, would be so much better off. Oh
yes, don't forget to wipe!!

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

5182. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin <cdrcolin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%ect4.9361$w7.310264@news1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 118636
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hmm, invest in the Gordon West no-code book first. Take it home, do some studying. Then call
around and find out when the next VEC testing is in your area. Then go take the test, pass it, and get
you license from the FCC. Then I'm sure you'll have no problem communicating.
73 de N0VSJ
Matthew Walton wrote in message
<7Jhs4.2498$gE6.261489@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com>...
>A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
>communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at the
>Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of 30
>miles or so.
>
>Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
>appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
>
>Matthew Walton
>matt@okdirect.com
>
>
>

5183. Re: 2 meter 45-watt range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin <cdrcolin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0fct4.9362$w7.310264@news1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 118637
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Of course it is, if 2 meters don't work, try another band.
Matthew Walton wrote in message ...
>BTW...A reply to all of the nasty e-mails I received from people. I have
no
>intention of going on the air without a license...I merely wanted to see if
>going to the trouble of getting a license and spending the money on a radio
>would be worth it.
>
>Chill out, folks..:-)
>
>Matthew Walton
>matt@okdirect.com
>
>"Matthew Walton" <matt@okdirect.com> wrote in message
>news:7Jhs4.2498$gE6.261489@news1.rdc2.tx.home.com...
>> A buddy of mine and I are wanting a means of reliable, mobile 2-way
>> communication throughout Oklahoma City....I'm looking, in particular, at
>the
>> Radio Shack HTX-242, a 45 watt 2 meter radio. I'm hoping for a range of
>30
>> miles or so.
>>
>> Is this unrealistic? I'm totally unfamiliar with ham radio and would
>> appreciate any advice anyone might have to offer. Thanks..
>>
>> Matthew Walton
>> matt@okdirect.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>
```

5184. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Cox <cobox@urec.net>
Message-ID: <01bf7ebd$bb6a7c60$8e7f473f@cbx-nt>
Source record: 118638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill,

You might want to research all of Vince's posts before you fall off of that high horse you put yourself on. True enough, he contributed in a positive manner before the restructure issue, but has been nothing but condescending since.

I think one previous post summed it up as a loss if Vince leaves, but he has to grow up and get over it.

Lets not loose perspective here. Actually I might have lost perspective, I have been working on code and Satellites for the past couple of months. :-)

Regards

73's
Christopher Cox
KC8FRJ

5185. Re: Practice-progs for new tests?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin <cdrcolin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Nqct4.9427$w7.310886@news1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 118639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://www.webexams.com/ is also up to date

N0VSJ
BD wrote in message ...
>Anyone released such yet?
>I used Ham-University to get up to General, and would like to see a new
>version of it (hope-hope), but anything's better than the 'raw list' at
>ARRL..
>Thanks!
>
>
>

5186. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <893lpktda1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118640

In article <QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia>,
"dxboy" <lloyd, net zero> wrote:

> Please dress accordingly, ladies NO PANTS

Hey! What kind of meeting is this?

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5187. Re: FT-290R circuit diagram wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <893lqftdi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118641

In article <38B50510.B9643985@zipworld.com.au>,
Richard Soulie <soulie@zipworld.com.au> wrote:
> give me a day and will E-mail you a copy of schematic just found your
> request but haven't had a change to scan it yet
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > In article <kMPq4.841$kz6.16886@news1.online.no>,
> > "Steinar Lote" <steinar.lote@nrk.no> wrote:
> > > Dear OM,
> > > My long trusting all-mode vhf rig has gone dead. I located an
> > overheated
> > > trans and a blown fuse. But my doc for the ft290r is not to be
found
> > > anywhere in my many docs.
> > > Could you help me with a copy of the circuit diagram of the
ft290R,
> > so I can
> > > locate the fault? Or do you know anyone who can? A scanned copy
> > on .jpg or
> > > .gif would do, as long as the text and circuits are radable.
> > > Thank you.
> > > If a printed hardcopy is possible - mail me for the postal adress.
> > >
> > > 73 from Steinar Lote, Foerde, Norway
> > >
> > >
> > I have a block diagram from the operator manual that I could send
you
> > via JPEG e-mail, if you want. A schematic is only in the service
> > manual. You could check with www.yaesu.com for that if you like.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > ---
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> --
> Richard Soulie (VK2ARS)
> soulie@zipworld.com.au
> 35 Musgrave Crescent
> Fairfield NSW 2165

> Australia
>
>
Richard,

If you have an extra minute please send me a copy of the print also.

w4nti@arrl.net

Dan/W4NTI

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5188. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38b55b68.10532209@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 24 Feb 2000 01:02:23 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>
>> Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
>>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.
>
>The City and County of Honolulu provides Workmen's Comp to RACES members
>injured during Civil Defense activations. The FCC has no problem with
>this. >Jeff

And come government entities provide funding for amateur
equipment used for emergency preparedness, RACES, etc.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

5189. Solar report in cw (Feb, 25, 00)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: DTC <dtc@muenster.de>
Message-ID: <893q76$6kv$1@news.citykom.de>
Source record: 118643
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur

The latest solar and geomagnetic activity report as Morse code audio
files can be accessed / downloaded in MIDI-format at the DTC web site:

at 12 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/wx250200.mid
at 30 wpm: http://www.muenster.de/~dtc/swx250200.mid

For the full text version look at http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html
clicking on the line with ONDREJOV in the left frame.

73 es agbp de Frank (DL1YDL), DL-CW-C #030
Editor of the DTC-Web-Site.

| DTC - German Telegraphie Club - Internetadresses: |
| .- --. -... .--. -.. . -.. - -.-. |
| URL : http://www.muenster.org/dtc/eindex.html |
| Email: dtc@muenster.de |

5190. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <893s7u$2kv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <38b50504.0@news>,
"William Lee" <n5wrx@parrett.net> wrote:

> After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little
better
> about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
> indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!

Another one bites the dust!

> No Maners
> No respect
> No regard for anyones feelings
> No morals
> All take and no give
> It's all for me and screw you attitude!

That is EXACTLY how I used to feel about the extreme pro-coders!
We have learned to deal with them in the only language they understand
-- disrespect.

By the way, I'm actually for a 5/10/15wpm requirement, and have been
since the early '90s - but you pig-heads put up such a stink, that the
FCC is now punishing your kind.

> That is what I see from most of the posts here.
> What a shame.
>
> William Lee N5WRX

When you guys all get the heck out of Dodge, things will improve rapidly

S. Teaze
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5191. New version 2.5, Active Beacon Wizard ++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jim@taborsoft.com>
Message-ID: <sbasl0f2r2a16@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118645

Active Beacon Wizard ++ version 2.5

ABW ++ displays the currently active NCDXF beacon over a topographic map, along with the solar terminator. Tools for setting the system clock, via the net, are also provided.

ABW ++ will also download and display up to fourteen selected Space Environment Center (SEC) solar reports and forecasts. In addition, ABW ++ provides the comprehensive SEC glossary of solar terrestrial terms. This glossary, along with report explanations, is provided in windows-help-file format and may be of useful when interpreting the propagation reports and forecasts.

In addition, ABW ++ provides a beacon-cw simulation function. This function uses the system sound card to create the international morse code sounds of the NCDXF beacons. This is mainly intended for demo and training purposes.

Screen captures and basic information along with the publicly download able version, are available at:
http://216.92.156.38/abw/

This is located on our new host; hopefully the speed will be improved.

Regards,
Jim, KU5S
--
http://www.taborsoft.com
Communications Analysis Prediction Wizard
CAPMan HF Propagation Prediction & System Analysis Software
Jim's page: http://www.wtrt.net/~ku5s/

5192. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <893u5j$465$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38B54E59.E2FB80D3@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> >
> > In article <892j0e$kt9$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
> > jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> > > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > >I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
> > > >including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
> > > >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
> > > >PTC2e copied it better than I did.
> > >
> > > Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
> > > Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?
> > >
> > And how was the measurement done.
>
> What's the matter with you people?

Nothing, Cecil. We just want more information.

> What is it about his 'dahs' were
> 1.5 times as long as his 'dits' that you don't understand? Are "units"
> too technical for you?

Nope. We just want to know how the claimed results were arrived at.

> Can you read Morse code when the 'dits' and
> 'dahs' are almost the same length?

I dunno - never tried. Most of the CW signals I hear on the air are
really good.

You gave us an exat ratio of 1:1.5, but you don't say how you measured
it. Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> > The "labor saving devices" did not eliminate the need for physical
> > exertion. Sadly, the message has not been heard by many Americans.
>
> The "labor saving devices" eliminated the need for unenjoyable
> physical exertion.

They DID?

> Now we can all do the physical exertion that we
> enjoy.

That's not the point at all.

The sales pitch was that "labor saving devices" would eliminate the need for physical exertion, period. The idea of a sedentary lifestyle was sold as "progress". Turns out it was progress to unnecessary cardiovascular troubles.

The end result is a lot of sedentary, unhealthy, obese Americans and others.

> Tennis is a lot more fun than washing clothes in a washtub
> on a rubboard.

Not for me it isn't! What is wrong with live and let live?

> Designing, building, and programming a Morse code
> decoder is a lot more fun (for me) than getting my code speed up.

But did you design, build and program your SCS-1e (or whatever it is called)? I don't think so. I think you just bought it and plugged it in.

It's interesting that you edited out my examples of bottlefed babies and educational decay that show how things promoted as "progress" are sometimes just the opposite.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5193. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <893v86$553$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38B545A7.BC12ED6C@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
> > >including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
> > >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
> > >PTC2e copied it better than I did.
> >
> > Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
> > Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?
>
> All I'm saying is that his 'dits' and 'dahs' were so near the same
> pulse width that it was extremely hard to copy.

First you claimed it was an exact 1:1.5 ratio. Then when asked how it
was measured, you backpedal to the above. Interesting.

> > >Modems were invented to relieve humans
> > >from the drudgery of performing menial wetware tasks. This is the
> > >21st century. Progress happens. Time marches on.
> >
> > That view is beginning to intrude into higher education; students
> > are questioning why they should be "forced" to take foreign language
> > and higher mathematics classes. Computers can do all those "menial
> > wetware tasks." There is even speculation as to the future of
> > forcing elementary school students to learn handwriting skills
> > when a computer+printer can turn out much neater work.
> >
> > Progress happens, eh, Cecil?
>
> I assume from your tale that you still use a slide-rule instead
> of a calculator.

That's not the question. The question is, why force kids to learn how
to do basic math?

> Jeff, it will not be long before people won't
> need to know how to read and write.

They don't need to know it now. There are millions of illiterates all
over the world.

> Computers will be doing speech
> recognition and synthesis for us.

Sure. But we will still need to know how to read and write.

I remember quite well how the computer was going to give us the
"paperless office". In fact, Xerox invented the concept of the desktop
PC, the network, and the GUI at their PARC facility 30 years ago
because they thought that the copy machine business would disappear in
a few years.

>Progress happens.

Illiteracy is not "progress".
>
> > There was an interesting long-term study conducted: Researchers
> > followed the retirement lives of participating nuns. Those nuns who
> > regularly performed higher mental activities, such as playing chess,
> > working crossword puzzles, playing musical instruments, painting,
even
> > knitting, had a very low incidence of Alzheimer's disease; those
who
> > performed lessor mental activities had a higher incidence. The
results
> > were published about a year or two ago.
> >
> > Put the modem away, Cecil... =:o
>
> Note that all the above things are fun things, Jeff.

Not to everybody. And they are not all fun to learn. A computer can
generate music from a score - why spend hours learning how to play a
musical instrument?

> I do a lot of fun
> things to keep my mind sharp.

Uh huh.

> High-speed Morse code is not fun for me.

So don't do it. Nobody's forcing you to.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5194. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <893te4$r00@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl (billsohl@interactive.net) wrote:
: On Mon, 21 Feb 2000 21:14:58 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
: wrote:
[snip to this]

: >EE in many respects. The professor might know more about the theory
: >and math that can be applied to a situation but the Ham may solve his
: >technical problems by efficiently applying logical trial and error
: >methods. The professor may not even be able to do lab work or
: >troubleshooting without help from practical technicians.

Quite true.

: I have seen that on many occasions. I had a tech that
: worked for me in the Navy who had almost no practical
: ability at all. He was extremely smart in theory (he had
: 2 BS degrees) but troubleshooting was not at all one
: of his areas of expertise, much less any "hands-on"
: use of tools, test equipment, etc.

: Cheers, Bill K2UNK

Here's another interesting tibit. I have found that repair technicians and even the engineer who designed the piece of equipment needng repair, approach the trouble shooting of the problem differently!

Engineers normally take the theoretical route of trying to figure out what conditions would cause the equipment to deviate from the way it's designed to work. This is a good way to solve a problem if the techs can't fix it. And is also a good way to pretty much have a handle on a problem even before you see the equipment (i.e. by phone).

Technicans on the other hand, tend to rely on more on past experience of other defective equipment that had similar problems. (also works by phone though :)

For production work, it is NOT good to use engineers to do repair work because they also tend to keep thinking "what can I do to fix the basic design and not have this happen -again-". A tech basically wants to fix the immediate problem and go on to the next defective unit. Many of them don't even -want- to hear the technical explaination of what was wrong.

At the same time I've had to enlist the aid of a woman line repair gal to get a prototype radio to work that I had -designed- ;-))) It was a superregen receiver and she "knew" that when a certain T.P. had a "wiggle" in the curve on the scope that you changed Lxx and it would work

again (I tried for days to get the radio to work correctly and changed everything but THAT particular choke ;-((

Moral of story; you've GOT to work with the best people you've got, no matter what level they are at in your group. The idea is to get the job(s) done.
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

5195. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B58064.B23B407E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> Nope. We just want to know how the claimed results were arrived at.

By ear. I couldn't read the code because the 'dits' and 'dahs' were
too much alike.

> You gave us an exact ratio of 1:1.5, but you don't say how you measured
> it. Inquiring minds want to know.

Please produce the posting where I said the ratio was *exactly* 1:1.5.
It was an estimate, Jim, based on my ears.

> The end result is a lot of sedentary, unhealthy, obese Americans and
> others.

Not for me. I'm in good shape. Other people are not my responsibility.
That there are sedentary, unhealthy, obese Americans is not a good
reason to give up my labor-saving machines. Besides, just how much
exercise does one get while rotely decoding Morse code in one's head?
I can let the modem do the Morse code decoding while my subconscious
works on a new antenna design.

> > Tennis is a lot more fun than washing clothes in a washtub
> > on a rubboard.
>
> Not for me it isn't! What is wrong with live and let live?

You would rather wash clothes in a washtub on a rubboard? When is
the last time you did that, Jim? I'll bet your clothes get washed
the same way mine do - by a labor-saving machine.

> But did you design, build and program your SCS-1e (or whatever it is
> called)? I don't think so. I think you just bought it and plugged it in.

That was to get the PACTOR II function. I have a Motorola Eval Board
I am programming to do a better job at decoding CW than the PCT2e.
I'm using a fuzzy logic approach that may never have been tried before.

> It's interesting that you edited out my examples of bottlefed babies
> and educational decay that show how things promoted as "progress" are
> sometimes just the opposite.

I try to edit out all irrelevant stuff, Jim. For every irrelevant
example you give, I could give one that proves the opposite but
I don't want to waste everyone's time with such.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5196. MFJ 1278B INFO PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: genno <wm9h@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <38b582b3$0$1399@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 118650
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Can anyone give me an opinion on the 1278b MFJ tnc. My pk232 died and got to get another tnc.

thanks..

gene/wm9h

wm9h@execpc.comzz

drop the zz.

5197. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <cf0bbsofsftaqasrbald90amp6drtg6agj@4ax.com>
Source record: 118651

On Thu, 24 Feb 2000 08:27:19 -0600, Ron Brown <tekniko@primary.net>
wrote:

>How can one get started in the hobby if there is no one in the area to
>serve as a sort of mentor? Thanks!

Step One: Go to your favorite on-line book retailer and purchase a
copy of Passport to World Band Radio.

Step Two: Read it, and get an idea of what's out there and what you'd
like to listen to.

Step Three: Set up a budget and then decide on what kind of radio you
would like to purchase.

Step Four: Ask for some opinions on rec.radio.shortwave on your
various options.

Step Five: You're on your way...

If you're interested in ham radio or other such things, be aware that
the licensing structure is about to change in April. Whatever books
you use, make sure they're current. See http://www.arrl.org.

Feel free to e-mail me with questions and the like. I've been
diddling around with this hobby for nearly 25 years...

Good Luck!

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5198. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <6yft4.1211$7c2.15049@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Will,

Sorry to see you go, as maybe you had some positive things to
do for HAM radio in the past, but the bottom line is if you're going
to be negative about the changes, and towards the new people,
well, so long, HAM radio doesn't want or need you.

Regardless of your personal feelings, you should be presenting a
positive attitude and be a role model for anyone new to the hobby.
Bitch if you want to, but work for change, don't whine that it's not
how "I" remember it and threaten to pick up your toys and go home.
Just remember, the newbies might just have their own toys.

I'm sorry, (I really am, but not for you, for the hobby that has
'hidden' people with cancerous attitudes for too long) but things
have changed. It's just too bad if you and Vince don't like them.

And I have been a licensed HAM for about 19 years, I'm not
one of the 'newbies' you seem to have a pathlogical fear of.

Mike
N1DVJ

William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net> wrote in message news:38b50504.0@news...
> After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little
better
> about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
> indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!
>
> No Maners
> No respect
> No regard for anyones feelings
> No morals
> All take and no give
> It's all for me and screw you attitude!
>
> That is what I see from most of the posts here.
> What a shame.
>
> William Lee N5WRX
>
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
> news:sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my
League

> > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system.
I'm
> > turning in my Badge.
> >
> > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> >
> > 73 de KB7ADL

5199. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B58449.2413D894@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> First you claimed it was an exact 1:1.5 ratio. Then when asked how it
> was measured, you backpedal to the above. Interesting.

Another lie, Jim, please produce the "exact" statement that I made.
It's impossible to backpedal from something I never said.

> That's not the question. The question is, why force kids to learn how
> to do basic math?

Future generations won't have to, Jim. Machines will do all the work.
Most cash registers calculate one's change today so the cash register
operator doesn't need to know how (and a lot of them actually don't
know how). Machines allow lower levels of expertise to do the same
thing that took higher levels in the past. Why are you so anti-
progress?

> Sure. But we will still need to know how to read and write.

Nope, no more than you still need to know how to hand-crank a vehicle.

> Illiteracy is not "progress".

The definition of illiteracy will change. One will still need to know
how to communicate properly verbally, but reading and writing will no
longer be necessary. Those skills will go the way of being able to
multiply using Roman numerals.

> > High-speed Morse code is not fun for me.
>
> So don't do it. Nobody's forcing you to.

I should have said, "High-speed manual Morse code is not fun for me."
High-speed Morse code *is* fun using the PTC2e. Since it is fun, I
will do it. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5200. KC8JBO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8942fj$7q7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118654

Hey FATASS, you better go turn your mother over in bed. I hear she is getting "LUMPS" on her ass for being in the same place in the bed for DAYS AT THE TIME!!!!!!!!!

I would like to start taking bets on when the Mother of KC8JBO "kicks the bucket". My guess is that it won't be long.....'Ole LARDBOY won't have anyone to wipe his nose then, hohohoho.

Place your bets,

BULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5201. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <dHft4.1079$pP5.13235@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

First I have to ask... "Horse shoe stew"???

But I agree... In that I think the conflict of the pro-code vs the
no-code
is what brought down the house of cards. It was built up for so
long as the holy grail, the savior of HAM radio, keeping the
non-believers at bay, that when it finally was recognized that
something had to be done, there were so many people sick of
the arguements, sick of the atttitudes, and sick of the fraudulent
claims, that they just wanted the whole thing to go away.

I really do think that if we had 'moderated' the influence of CW
over the years in how it restricted HAM radio rank advancement
we'd still have it, at least to some extent, in a multi-tiered form.
But the bastions of no-change brought about their own death with
a backlash against their arguements and attitudes.

Hey, I like CW, but I felt the arguement would be the death of HAM
radio. Turned out it was close, but not quite. It was a suicide, but
only of the CW-or-die crowd.

Mike

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:893s7u$2kv$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <38b50504.0@news>,
> "William Lee" <n5wrx@parrett.net> wrote:
>
> > After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little
> better
> > about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
> > indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!
>
> Another one bites the dust!
>
> > No Maners
> > No respect
> > No regard for anyones feelings
> > No morals
> > All take and no give
> > It's all for me and screw you attitude!
>
> That is EXACTLY how I used to feel about the extreme pro-coders!
> We have learned to deal with them in the only language they understand
> -- disrespect.

>
> By the way, I'm actually for a 5/10/15wpm requirement, and have been
> since the early '90s - but you pig-heads put up such a stink, that the
> FCC is now punishing your kind.
>
> > That is what I see from most of the posts here.
> > What a shame.
> >
> > William Lee N5WRX
>
> When you guys all get the heck out of Dodge, things will improve
rapidly
>
> S. Teaze
> N0MHS

5202. Did she "KICK" yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_ve_fraud@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89431o$890$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118656

The 'Ole GOAT is on her last breath......FATASS better learn how to clean the dishes & cook his own food.

KC8JBO DOES NOT want to be far away from the 'OLD BAG........My guess is that he STICKS HER IN THE BACKYARD when she KICKS!!!!!!

Kick it up 500 notches,

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5203. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE ASSHOLE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38B5A5DA.3DAFCF36@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118657

So is "gitback'....

gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> As I stated earlier, Mr Possum Belly, you are number one on this forum.
>
> Keep up the good work and we love your unique style of putting to the
> computer the printed word.
>
> Gitback
>
> n article <stat4.300$Zn3.54072@pm02news>,
> David <david@wtit.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on Dr
> > > Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends MOMMAS
> BOY
> > > live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual
> > > Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows and
> > > you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus
> > > dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with
> great
> > > pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this
> forum
> > >
> > > Thank You.
> > >
> > > Getback
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5204. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: AndroidCat XENU <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eagt4.18473$bz2.3173409@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 118658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net> wrote in message news:38b50504.0@news...
> After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little
better
> about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
> indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!
>
> No Maners
> No respect
> No regard for anyones feelings
> No morals
> All take and no give
> It's all for me and screw you attitude!
>
> That is what I see from most of the posts here.
> What a shame.
>
> William Lee N5WRX

Speaking of manners and respect, why *is* this thread being posted to
radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.shortwave??

Ron of that ilk.

5205. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: grits_for_brains <grits_for_brains_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <8940nl$690@edrn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118659

Lisin, you idieits, quite fukkin wit possum belie. he bees a gud dude an a fren
o mine. he aint yer ordinerie idieit he be speshal. Now, quite fukkin wit him
and leve him erlone.

Dum shits.

In article <893j90$rh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO says...
>
>In article <893gkh$pb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> As I stated earlier, Mr Possum Belly, you are number one on this
>forum.
>>
>> Keep up the good work and we love your unique style of putting to the
>> computer the printed word.
>>
>> Gitback
>
>Of course, to you, Dr. Seuss is high literature huh, Polly?
>Polly the 1.6 acre tennessee land "baron."
>
>
>> n article <stat4.300$Zn3.54072@pm02news>,
>> David <david@wtit.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on
>Dr
>> > > Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends
>MOMMAS
>> BOY
>> > > live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual
>> > > Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows
>and
>> > > you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus
>> > > dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with
>> great
>> > > pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this
>> forum
>> > >
>> > > Thank You.

>> > >
>> > > Getback
>
>Polly, put your front teeth back in....
>--
>73 de KC8JBO|Roger
>EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
>Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5206. Re: AF2Y takes a ex-lax ! AND HOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000224152833.19378.00001233@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 118660

Doc - on this one, you OBVIOUSLY need to go again, because your full of **it.

LOOK
http://www.nraila.org/show.cgi?page=/research/20000223-SelfDefense-001.shtml

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5207. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE TWIT!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38B5BA2A.DC00B126@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118661

grits_for_brains wrote:
>
> Lisin, you idieits, quite fukkin wit possum belie. he bees a gud dude an a fren
> o mine. he aint yer ordinerie idieit he be speshal. Now, quite fukkin wit him
> and leve him erlone.
>
> Dum shits.
>
> In article <893j90$rh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, KC8JBO says...
> >
> >In article <893gkh$pb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> As I stated earlier, Mr Possum Belly, you are number one on this
> >forum.
> >>
> >> Keep up the good work and we love your unique style of putting to the
> >> computer the printed word.
> >>
> >> Gitback
> >
> >Of course, to you, Dr. Seuss is high literature huh, Polly?
> >Polly the 1.6 acre tennessee land "baron."
> >
> >
> >> n article <stat4.300$Zn3.54072@pm02news>,
> >> David <david@wtit.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > For showing his smarts and savvy, and because he puts the hurt on
> >Dr
> >> > > Hate AF2Y, Tells it like it is to "Raging Dan". W4NTI, Sends
> >MOMMAS
> >> BOY
> >> > > live with her, sponger, lay about W VA computer nerd , Habitual
> >> > > Flamer . and forum creep who I will not mention here as he knows
> >and
> >> > > you all know who I am talking about into a put down funk!! Plus
> >> > > dispatching all the other forum nitnoys!! I will have to say with
> >> great
> >> > > pleasure that you Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this

> >> forum
> >> > >
> >> > > Thank You.
> >> > >
> >> > > Getback
> >
> >Polly, put your front teeth back in....
> >--
> >73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> >EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> >Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.

5208. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8946iorkq1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>n2ey@aol.com wrote:

>> Nope. We just want to know how the claimed results were arrived at.

>By ear. I couldn't read the code because the 'dits' and 'dahs' were
>too much alike.

Wait. A couple years ago didn't you state that you had a difficult time distinguishing between dits and dahs -- some type of disability? (Remember that I warned you to stay off the road since you certainly wouldn't be able to distinguish between a short toot on someone's car horn, and a longer warning blast of a car horn.)

>reason to give up my labor-saving machines. Besides, just how much
>exercise does one get while rotely decoding Morse code in one's head?

Did you forget the research study conducted on those retired nuns?

>I can let the modem do the Morse code decoding while my subconscious
>works on a new antenna design.

Why not do both subconsciously? Work your mind as often and as much as possible so as to delay the onset of Alzheimer's.

Jeff

5209. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8946d7$rk0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message >
> I should have said, "High-speed manual Morse code is not fun for me."
> High-speed Morse code *is* fun using the PTC2e. Since it is fun, I
> will do it. :-)

How would you know it's fun? The modem is doing it, not you.

Jeff

5210. SV: FT-290R circuit diagram wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steinar Lote <steinar.lote@nrk.no>
Message-ID: <Vrht4.686$74.11614@news1.online.no>
Source record: 118664

Thank You folks! I am now the happy owner of a hard copy of the FT290R
circuit diagram. Now may the detective-work start!! de LA5VX

Richard Soulie <soulie@zipworld.com.au> skrev i
meldingsnyheter:38B50510.B9643985@zipworld.com.au...
> give me a day and will E-mail you a copy of schematic just found your
> request but haven't had a change to scan it yet
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > In article <kMPq4.841$kz6.16886@news1.online.no>,
> > "Steinar Lote" <steinar.lote@nrk.no> wrote:
> > > Dear OM,
> > > My long trusting all-mode vhf rig has gone dead. I located an
> > overheated
> > > trans and a blown fuse. But my doc for the ft290r is not to be found
> > > anywhere in my many docs.
> > > Could you help me with a copy of the circuit diagram of the ft290R,
> > so I can
> > > locate the fault? Or do you know anyone who can? A scanned copy
> > on .jpg or
> > > .gif would do, as long as the text and circuits are radable.
> > > Thank you.
> > > If a printed hardcopy is possible - mail me for the postal adress.
> > >
> > > 73 from Steinar Lote, Foerde, Norway
> > >
> > >
> > I have a block diagram from the operator manual that I could send you
> > via JPEG e-mail, if you want. A schematic is only in the service
> > manual. You could check with www.yaesu.com for that if you like.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > ---
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> --
> Richard Soulie (VK2ARS)
> soulie@zipworld.com.au
> 35 Musgrave Crescent
> Fairfield NSW 2165

> Australia
>
>

5211. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <8947on$se7$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>> >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
>> >PTC2e copied it better than I did.

>> Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
>> Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?

>All I'm saying is that his 'dits' and 'dahs' were so near the same
>pulse width that it was extremely hard to copy.

Why did you give the impression that the ratio was exact? I even pressed
the issue with my "...exact ratio?" question.

>>>Modems were invented to relieve humans
>>>from the drudgery of performing menial wetware tasks. This is the
>>>21st century. Progress happens. Time marches on.

>>That view is beginning to intrude into higher education; students
>>are questioning why they should be "forced" to take foreign language
>>and higher mathematics classes. Computers can do all those "menial
>>wetware tasks." There is even speculation as to the future of
>>forcing elementary school students to learn handwriting skills
>>when a computer+printer can turn out much neater work.

>I assume from your tale that you still use a slide-rule instead
>of a calculator.

I do as much in my head as possible; if it spills out, I turn to
pencil and paper. I can do whatever the calculator is able to do,
including logs, extracting roots, trig functions. I get paid to
do this stuff in front of classes of students. If my classroom
policy is "no calculators" then I too stick to that policy.

Remember, every mathematical function can be reduced to a power
series; from that, accurate estimates are easy to calculate.

>Jeff, it will not be long before people won't
>need to know how to read and write. Computers will be doing speech
>recognition and synthesis for us. Progress happens.

Is that really progress? Having a computer read a book to you is
"progress"? Wouldn't you rather read the book yourself?

>>There was an interesting long-term study conducted: Researchers
>>followed the retirement lives of participating nuns. Those nuns who
>>regularly performed higher mental activities, such as playing chess,
>>working crossword puzzles, playing musical instruments, painting, even
>>knitting, had a very low incidence of Alzheimer's disease; those who
>>performed lessor mental activities had a higher incidence. The results
>>were published about a year or two ago.

>Note that all the above things are fun things, Jeff. I do a lot of fun
>things to keep my mind sharp. High-speed Morse code is not fun for me.
>Would you do high-speed Morse code if it wasn't fun for you, Jeff?

High-speed Morse is not an effort for me anymore. Put the modem away
and start copying in your head. Pretty soon, you'll be able to copy
effortlessly, too.

Jeff

5212. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <8949smdld1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38B58064.B23B407E@IEEE.org>,
W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> > Nope. We just want to know how the claimed results were arrived at.
>
> By ear. I couldn't read the code because the 'dits' and 'dahs' were
> too much alike.
>
> > You gave us an exact ratio of 1:1.5, but you don't say how you
measured
> > it. Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> Please produce the posting where I said the ratio was *exactly* 1:1.5.

Here you go:

02/24/00, this thread) Note that the attributions are as they appeared
in the original post.

BEGIN EXACT DEJA QUOTE

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> > In article <892j0e$kt9$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
> > >including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
> > >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
> > >PTC2e copied it better than I did.
> >
> > Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
> > Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?
>
> And how was the measurement done.

What's the matter with you people? What is it about his 'dahs' were 1.5
times as long as his 'dits' that you don't understand? Are "units" too
technical for you? Can you read Morse code when the 'dits' and 'dahs'
are almost the same length?

> The "labor saving devices" did not eliminate the need for physical
> exertion. Sadly, the message has not been heard by many Americans.

The "labor saving devices" eliminated the need for unenjoyable physical
exertion. Now we can all do the physical exertion that we enjoy. Tennis

is a lot more fun than washing clothes in a washtub on a rubboard. Designing, building, and programming a Morse code decoder is a lot more fun (for me) than getting my code speed up.

-- 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

END EXACT DEJA QUOTE

You wrote that

"There was a fist the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'."

And when questioned, you responded angrily:

"What's the matter with you people? What is it about his 'dahs' were 1.5 times as long as his 'dits' that you don't understand? Are "units" too technical for you? Can you read Morse code when the 'dits' and 'dahs' are almost the same length?

No, you didn't use the words "exact" or "exactly". But you stated the ratio of 1.5 as if you had actually measured it somehow.

> It was an estimate, Jim, based on my ears.

Whose accuracy is completely unknown. Could have been 2.5 to 1 for all we know.
>
> > The end result is a lot of sedentary, unhealthy, obese Americans and
> > others.
>
> Not for me. I'm in good shape.

Did I say you weren't?

Many Americans think they are in "good shape" - until they keel over.

> Other people are not my responsibility.

Who said they were? I was talking about general trends. Do you deny that there are a lot of Americans that are sedentary, unhealthy and obese?

> That there are sedentary, unhealthy, obese Americans is not a good
> reason to give up my labor-saving machines.

Who said it was?

> Besides, just how much
> exercise does one get while rotely decoding Morse code in one's head?

Depends on what else you are doing at the time.

> I can let the modem do the Morse code decoding while my subconscious
> works on a new antenna design.

So? Have I said you shouldn't?
>
> > > Tennis is a lot more fun than washing clothes in a washtub
> > > on a rubboard.
> >
> > Not for me it isn't! What is wrong with live and let live?
>
> You would rather wash clothes in a washtub on a rubboard?

Rather than play tennis, yes. I don't enjoy tennis. I don't much enjoy washing clothes by hand, either, but I like it better than tennis, and it's more productive.

> When is
> the last time you did that, Jim?

Not long ago. Sometimes it's quicker to wash something by hand than to wait for a whole washer load.

Besides, when I did it last doesn't matter. All I said was I like it better than playing tennis. And it's been a lot longer since I've played tennis.

> I'll bet your clothes get washed
> the same way mine do - by a labor-saving machine.

Most of them, yes. So what? We are talking about what I like to do. Washing clothes by hand beats tennis. Splitting wood by hand beats tennis. Running a couple of miles beats tennis.
>
> > But did you design, build and program your SCS-1e (or whatever it is
> > called)? I don't think so. I think you just bought it and plugged
it in.
>
> That was to get the PACTOR II function.

But you use it for CW.

> I have a Motorola Eval Board
> I am programming to do a better job at decoding CW than the PCT2e.

Maybe.

> I'm using a fuzzy logic approach that may never have been tried
before.

Maybe. If you like that sort of thing, fine.
>
> > It's interesting that you edited out my examples of bottlefed babies
> > and educational decay that show how things promoted as "progress"
are
> > sometimes just the opposite.
>
> I try to edit out all irrelevant stuff, Jim.

And relevant stuff that disproves your arguments.

> For every irrelevant
> example you give,

I don't give irrelevant examples.

> I could give one that proves the opposite but
> I don't want to waste everyone's time with such.

Nope. You just don't like the fact that sometimes things which are promoted as "progress" are the exact opposite, and those who buy into it wind up worse off. Sad but true.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5213. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B5AA25.A22695@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Wait. A couple years ago didn't you state that you had a difficult time
> distinguishing between dits and dahs -- some type of disability?

Not me, Jeff, that was my father. Now he can't distinguish 'dits'
and 'dahs' from silence.

> >reason to give up my labor-saving machines. Besides, just how much
> >exercise does one get while rotely decoding Morse code in one's head?
>
> Did you forget the research study conducted on those retired nuns?

I don't recall that research involving Morse code. For all I know,
dwelling on the past (like Morse code) is a sign of senility. It
certainly seems to have destroyed some people's ability to think
clearly.

> Why not do both subconsciously? Work your mind as often and as much as
> possible so as to delay the onset of Alzheimer's.

Receiving Morse code is not working the mind, Jeff. It is the exact
opposite of creative thought.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5214. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B5AB5D.A25842D8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BD wrote:
> That's about like saying that 'modem sounds' (whatever that's called) are
> fun because you like to get online, dummy/retard...
> You're no more doing CW than I'm speaking spanish when 'speaking to' the
> wetbacks at work thru an interpreter.

Morse code was the original digital mode designed to be copied by
a machine. Why not use a modem?

In the not so distant future, we will have computers doing the
translation from one language to another so it won't matter what
language you speak as long as you speak one well.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5215. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B5ABC1.6C21303A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message >
> > I should have said, "High-speed manual Morse code is not fun for me."
> > High-speed Morse code *is* fun using the PTC2e. Since it is fun, I
> > will do it. :-)
>
> How would you know it's fun? The modem is doing it, not you.

That's what makes it fun, Jeff. The modem is doing all the work and
I'm sipping on my spoiled grape juice.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5216. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B5AD6F.15BCA607@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Why did you give the impression that the ratio was exact? I even pressed
> the issue with my "...exact ratio?" question.

I didn't say anything to give the impression that it was exact. The
PCTA folk had to twist long and hard to come up with that concept.
A 1:1.5 ratio was my best educated guess.

> Is that really progress? Having a computer read a book to you is
> "progress"? Wouldn't you rather read the book yourself?

Reading will be a hobby for some people. The majority will "read"
a book by having it read to them by a computer equipped with voice
synthesis. Wouldn't you rather multiply using Roman numerals?

> High-speed Morse is not an effort for me anymore. Put the modem away
> and start copying in your head. Pretty soon, you'll be able to copy
> effortlessly, too.

I don't want to put the modem away, Jeff. It already can copy
more effortlessly than you or me. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5217. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B5AFEE.275D57C7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> No, you didn't use the words "exact" or "exactly".

Thank you for being a gentleman and admitting you were entirely wrong.
You used the word, "exactly", not I, in a futile attempt to change the
meaning of what I wrote. It wasn't the first time and I suspect it
won't be the last time.

> I don't give irrelevant examples.

Bottlefed babies on a ham radio group are not irrelevant? ROTFLMO
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5218. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Levner <levner@Stanford.EDU>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.05.10002241437080.28940-100000@tree0.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 118672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello guys, and thank you for all the prompt responses that I got.
However, I think I phrased my question wrong despite my many attempts. I
have received replies concerning different dynamic routing protocols and
different software systems that I may use, BUT, what I'm more concerned
with is this: is there some protocol or software system that people out
there USE, which constitutes, in essence, some existing infrastructure?

If I put up a transmitter somewhere on the globe without making special
arrangements with people in the area to capture and forward its packets,
can I count on there being a nearby station that supports one of the
dynamic routing algorithms that people mentioned, so that it will
nonetheless receive and forward my packets after my receiver requests it
to?

Thanks,
Danny

On Wed, 23 Feb 2000, Daniel Levner wrote:

>
> Hi guys. I have two questions, one more specific and one more general,
> that I was hoping someone on here could help me with.
>
> The first: I'm looking for information on using TCP/IP over an AX.25
> newtork. By that I mean more than sticking the TCP/IP packets in the
> information field of the AX.25 packet, but rather how to find routes for
> my AX.25 packets in the first place. Maybe my second question will make
> the first more clear.
>
> The second: I'm wondering whether there is a way that two remote stations
> could contact each other by hoping packets through some network without
> having to have static routing information (say if the first station is
> mobile). What I am asking, really, is whether there is some mechanism out
> there (which people actually use) that allows my first station to send out
> some sort of "request for route" and establish a connection (whether
> TCP/IP or otherwise) to the second station. It doesn't all have to go
> through the air and I don't mind if the route goes over wired TCP/IP.
>
> To hammer this question to death, I'd like to know whether there is a way
> that stations A & B can contact each other through station C without
> having to make specific arrangements with station C, but, rather, that
> station C happened to be in between and "volunteers" to route packets.
>
>

> Sorry for the mess,
> Danny
>
>
>

5219. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38B5B4CE.686FF3B8@uci.edu>
Source record: 118673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
> I didn't say anything to give the impression that it was exact. The
> PCTA folk had to twist long and hard to come up with that concept.

Is there some reason in particular for the deliberate lack of precision in that sentence, Cecil?

By the way, I like the sound of your fuzzy logic development project. I hope you can get somewhere with it. Seems to me too that that approach might not have been taken yet.

73, Jim AC6XG

5220. Re: How long these days for new callsigns to show up in the FCC database? A V.E. may be able to help here.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <mbran150@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <894cnc$3c6$1@battery.awod.com>
Source record: 118674

No, don't worry about it. I took my no code tech test(took and passed 13 wpm with 100% copy) in November of 97. It took almost a month and a half then. As you pointed out, with the onslaught of upgrades the FCC is sure to get behind. Just try to be patient. But when upgrading, being patient is hard to do.
Fusion <cdr500@zoomnet.net> wrote in message news:p9p6bssi6ncek0ik5vj4lgm5iubkdnfbv5@4ax.com...
> Ok, I didn't just jump on the bandwagon so to speak - I just happened
> to start studying a little while before the restructuring was even
> announced. But that's besides the point. I passed my Tech no code
> requirements on Feb. 11th (studying the code now), but my new callsign
> hasn't shown up yet. There were about 20 - 25 people there taking
> tests of one kind or another, which was much more than they expected.
>
> So, with the jump in people taking their tests, upgrading and such,
> should I be worried yet that my callsign hasn't been put up yet, or
> are things getting a little backed up lately?
>
> Thanks for any reply,
> 73, Dave

5221. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B5C1AB.9B978408@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I didn't say anything to give the impression that it was exact. The
> > PCTA folk had to twist long and hard to come up with that concept.
>
> Is there some reason in particular for the deliberate lack of precision
> in that sentence, Cecil?

Lack of precision when the baud rate is variable, the length of a 'dit'
is variable, and the length of a 'dah' is variable, in addition to
Farnsworth violations of the Morse code specification? Shirley, you jest.

> By the way, I like the sound of your fuzzy logic development project. I
> hope you can get somewhere with it. Seems to me too that that approach
> might not have been taken yet.

It sure seema a natural approach to me. My ultimate goal is to do a best
probability on English words, not just characters.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5222. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B5C553.27DE3648@icss.net>
Source record: 118676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> >
> > In article <892j0e$kt9$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
> > jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> > > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > >I haven't found any CW signal over S3 that the PTC2e won't copy
> > > >including straight keys and semi-automatic bugs. There was a fist
> > > >the other night that had one unit 'dits' and 1.5 unit 'dahs'. The
> > > >PTC2e copied it better than I did.
> > >
> > > Hand sent? And the op was able to maintain that exact ratio?
> > > Or was that an instantaneous measure of a single dit and dah?
> >
> > And how was the measurement done.
>
> What's the matter with you people? What is it about his 'dahs' were
> 1.5 times as long as his 'dits' that you don't understand? Are "units"
> too technical for you? Can you read Morse code when the 'dits' and
> 'dahs' are almost the same length?

Perhaps they don't know or understand the specification of Morse Code?
That is why a dit and a dah nearly the same length doesn't appear to
bother them?

5223. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B5C6E9.AEE38965@icss.net>
Source record: 118677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill Sohl wrote:

> On 24 Feb 2000 01:02:23 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> wrote:
>
> >Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
> >
> >> Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
> >>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.
> >
> >The City and County of Honolulu provides Workmen's Comp to RACES members
> >injured during Civil Defense activations. The FCC has no problem with
> >this. >Jeff
>
> And come government entities provide funding for amateur
> equipment used for emergency preparedness, RACES, etc.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Please be careful with that information. All of us Welfare Generals just might try to cash in on it.

Can I get Carpal Tunnel from a ptt microphone?

5224. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B5CF0A.A79430E7@icss.net>
Source record: 118678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This guy is as obnoxious as Adel.

BD wrote:

> That's about like saying that 'modem sounds' (whatever that's called) are
> fun because you like to get online, dummy/retard...
> You're no more doing CW than I'm speaking spanish when 'speaking to' the
> wetbacks at work thru an interpreter.
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message >
> > I should have said, "High-speed manual Morse code is not fun for me."
> > High-speed Morse code *is* fun using the PTC2e. Since it is fun, I
> > will do it. :-)

5225. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B5CF9A.4368C632@icss.net>
Source record: 118679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message >
> > I should have said, "High-speed manual Morse code is not fun for me."
> > High-speed Morse code *is* fun using the PTC2e. Since it is fun, I
> > will do it. :-)
>
> How would you know it's fun? The modem is doing it, not you.
>
> Jeff

So Cecil has a station in automatic operation of HF? Wonder how he did
that.

5226. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B5D7A4.2CAE02B8@icss.net>
Source record: 118680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:

> This guy is as obnoxious as Adel.
>
> BD wrote:
>
> > That's about like saying that 'modem sounds' (whatever that's called) are
> > fun because you like to get online, dummy/retard...

Specifically, the "dummy/retard" name calling remark.

> > You're no more doing CW than I'm speaking spanish when 'speaking to' the
> > wetbacks at work thru an interpreter.

Wetbacks?

Whats your call?

5227. aliasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sEkt4.3804$fa5.161723@cac1.rdr.news.psi.ca>
Source record: 118681

possum belly mr___cracker pigbreath suzy_the_pig

and more all amp dimmy the nomind alias's

pvt. cueball

5228. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <38B5DB89.D0974EA6@uci.edu>
Source record: 118682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I didn't say anything to give the impression that it was exact. The
> > > PCTA folk had to twist long and hard to come up with that concept.

> > Is there some reason in particular for the deliberate lack of precision
> > in that sentence, Cecil?

> Lack of precision when the baud rate is variable, the length of a 'dit'
> is variable, and the length of a 'dah' is variable, in addition to
> Farnsworth violations of the Morse code specification? Shirley, you jest.

Ummm. I don't remember saying anything about dit and dah lengths. It
appeared to me the subject of the sentence was "The PCTA Folk", not dits
and dahs.

And stop calling me Shirley. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

5229. Re: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin <cdrcolin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Walt4.12238$w7.375174@news1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 118683

Congradulations on you passing the Tech. exam. I got my license when I was
15 (3-2-93). I have enjoyed
the hobby very much. I passed the 5 wpm exam about 5 years ago, hard to
believe it's been that long ago now. It only took like a week of practice
about 30 minutes a day before I was ready to go ace the test. It's actually
harder to make youself sit down and learn code than it is to learn it. Of
course these are only my opinion. By the way, if you carry a hand held
radio to school (I did), people will start saying things. Just ignore them
and have fun with the hobby. We need more young people to be active. Also
let me know how you packet to Internet works out, I own an ISP now and would
like to setup a packet to internet gateway. I'm also working on setting my
Iphone connection backup to a repeater I'm building here. Keep in touch,
Colin@lansystems.net
73 de N0VSJ --Las Vegas,NV
Mooneer Salem wrote in message ...
>I agree. Last Saturday I passed the no-code Technican exam (I'm 15), and am
>trying to
>learn Morse code. If I had waited until April 15th to take the exam I would
>have to
>learn Morse code too. I don't know about anyone else, but unless you're
>dedicated
>there's no way you'd be able to learn Morse code well. The restructuring
>would just
>keep people who would want to get a license from actually taking the exams
>at all.
>
>Right now I'm researching information on how to go about using packet radio
>to
>access the Internet through my home system from my laptop computer. If this
>succeeds
>the kid from Long Island might become interested (it seems that anything
>with "Internet"
>in it is destined to become insanely popular.)
>
>-Mooneer Salem (callsign pending)
>
>"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:88um9tsfi1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net...
>> Last night I checked out one of the 26,000 newsgroups offered by
>MindSpring.
>> It was one of those comp. groups which specialize in tech stuff since I
am
>> trying to becoming a computer wizard and am making no headway. Well
anyway

>I
>> had posed a question about DNS numbers and did get a reply. And it was an
>> interesting and well written one too and it turned out it came from a 15
>> year old kid on Long Island,NY. I was taken back by the clarity and
>> perfection of the kid's aptitude in English and found out that he's just
>the
>> average but eager to learn kid in high school who wants of course to earn
>> his livelihood in the field of computers after graduation in 2002. I
>changed
>> the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and guess
>> what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with
CB,
>> was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace?
>> Furthermore the school's ham radio club CLOSED DOWN due to lack of
>interest.
>> But hasn't Donnie, W4JYZ, taken a lot of flack over this same subject?
>> Didn't he say that ham radio was an old man's hobby and how desperately
we
>> need youth? He's right on target ladies and germs. That kid I met last
>night
>> could become an Extra in one easy step; he's bright and energetic but
>> chooses to channel his efforts AWAY from amateur radio. I say it's a
shame
>> but it's REALITY and unfortunately, the ARRL and FCC can restructure all
>> they want-it ain't gonna do the trick. At the outset it will bring in
>> enthusiasts but hardly the serious type. We'll have an infusion of the
>"good
>> buddy squads" which will then overtake and destroy the refinement that
ham
>> radio should embody. Riley's job will become infinitely tougher after
>April
>> 15th thanks to all this restructuring which is another way of saying,"
The
>> door's open, goofball electronics rejects. Come on in, buy the gear and
>dump
>> on our once great hobby/service." What then is restructuring all about?
>It's
>> a means to stave off as long as possible the demise of this thing we know
>> and love-ham radio. I never got into the mad buying of imported rigs
>because
>> I refused to become part of the revolving door of planned obsolescence.
>> Never did I envision an electronics storm that would render the
>> hobby/service obsolete but THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT'S HAPPENING. Computers
just
>> about dominate every aspect of our lives NOW and this is just the
>beginning
>> of the evolution revolution. E commerce is growing so rapidly that
experts
>> are saying in just a few years, more than 75% of purchases in all fields
>> will be online. The youth and ham radio? CHAT ROOMS is the name of the

>game
>> folks. No licenses for which to strive. No code at all. Either hook up a
>mic
>> to your "puter" or type it out-you're all over the world effortlessly.
And
>> ham radio is supposed to compete and still maintain great integrity? Will
>> someone tell me how?
>> AF2Y
>
>
>

5230. EVER WONDER WHY HIS PICTURE IS NOT ON WEB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: plaintruth@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8967d2$qd5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118434

Have you ever wondered why the picture of the West Virginia "MOMMA SPONGER" Who stills stuffs his face and puts his feet under mommas table and sleeps in her
bed, is not to be found on the web? Every one who has been involved in this deal has a picture that can be seen at various web sites even "Banana
Nose'" Dr Hate. Check out the 14313 site for pictures.

I guess that his elongated head and the pork jowles plus Jenny Craig needed body would not look nice on anyones web site(repulsive)..

Well he never was a player any way, just a wannabe, result, "NO Picture of "MoMMA SPONGER in the Dawgpatch cracker box. HO HO

Plaintruth still looking for the 40 year old lay abouts picture

Put that picture on the internet,"MOMMA SPONGER"

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5231. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jay <mung@bean.com>
Message-ID: <B9xt4.38$uL2.4808@nnrp.gol.com>
Source record: 118435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

>> SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
>> BROTHER STAIR
>> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO
>PANTS,
>> make-up, etc.

I'm sorry, I can't pass this one up. Lloyd, you are telling everyone that the ladies must show up to this meeting without pants, make-up, etc....that seems to mean BUCK NAKED! WOW! Where is this meeting again? I've heard of topless bars, but a meeting where the women wear no pants.....how does that adolescent song go:.....There's a place in France where the ladies where no pants, and the men don't care 'cause they wear no underwear. And this isn't even FRANCE! No wonder MR. Stair is so controvercial.

Start taking your prozac again Lloyd,
Sincerely,
Jason Glavy
Yokohama, Japan

5232. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jay <mung@bean.com>
Message-ID: <Ebxt4.39$uL2.4883@nnrp.gol.com>
Source record: 118436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

King of Jacks
You BEAT ME TO MY COMMENT!!!!

KUSO!!!! (Japanese comment fitting to the situation)

Best regards,
Jason Glavy

5233. Re: POSSUMBELLY FORUM HERO NUM BER ONE ASSHOLE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38B6BDA2.548FED47@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118437

plaintruth@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> POSSUM BELLY
>
> Your are a Hero to your many admirers on this forum. Please keep
> Putting down the MOMMA Spongers, crooks, and trash who linger here.
>
> Plaintruth Stay the way you are, good show!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5234. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <cBxt4.3913$pP5.78725@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So bored you just had to respond....

(Hey, it IS bringing in people! I guess just to see who looses their
cookies and blows up next!)

Mike

Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:92E43F226E978ADC.E74D9A09830641F4.1433B3CC10E0794B@lp.airnews.net..
.
>
> Wow, you're a little late :) Most of us are already bored with this
> topic...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

5235. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B6AD31.3A4313FB@bctv.com>
Source record: 118439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee wrote:
>
> Wow Kieth, Twenty years ago..... man that must get you a lot of free coffee
> at the local donut shop.
> The crowds must part at the hamfests when you arrive.
> Impresses the grilies too ....Huh! That sure makes me stand back in AWE.
> Too bad that you stoped growing about that same time.
> You might have turned into a real fine human being.

I did turn into a real fine human being.

As opposed to being a whiner.

> William Lee (WD5CQS original call)

If this is supposed to impress me, I didn't get it (seriously). I have
never been a callsign expert.

5236. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B6AE32.CE53AFD3@bctv.com>
Source record: 118440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee wrote:
>
> Keith, I thought you got your ham ticket 20 years ago?
> Why would you need to pass a code test now?

I don't. The whiners are upset that the 5WPM I passed back then is now
enough for my upgrade to Extra, as if CW skill were any kind of
determining factor in one's character or technical ability.

> Perhaps you are a lower class of ham who never upgraded.

Yep. Technician since 1981 ("Plus" since the FCC did that). As of
about a month and a half from now, I will be a General, then in a couple
of months I'll take the Extra exam.

> Is there something you are not telling us or do you just make up your story
> as you go along?

Is there something that you are forgetting to ask, so you just assume?

>
> William Lee
>
> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:38B5DA59.526F4BD6@bctv.com...
> >
> >
> > William Lee wrote:
> > >
> > > Eric, I think what he means is the attitude that most of you are
> expressing
> > > here on the newsgroup!
> > > That is ... screw everyone else as long as I get what I want.
> > >
> > > He never said a thing about code.
> > > You seem to have a fixation about that subject.
> >
> > Actually, William, it is the whiners who have that fixation. They are
> > bent out of joint because I don't have to pass the same code exam they
> > did.

5237. Re: EVER WONDER WHY HIS PICTURE IS NOT ON WEB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <896esqii1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118441

Plaintruth, go back to jacking off with your birds.

--
73 de KC8JBO/Roger

<plaintruth@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8967d2$qd5
$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Have you ever wondered why the picture of the West Virginia "MOMMA
> SPONGER" Who stills stuffs his face and puts his feet under mommas
> table and sleeps in her
> bed, is not to be found on the web? Every one who has been involved in
> this deal has a picture that can be seen at various web sites
> even "Banana
> Nose'" Dr Hate. Check out the 14313 site for pictures.
>
> I guess that his elongated head and the pork jowles plus Jenny Craig
> needed body would not look nice on anyones web site(repulsive)..
>
> Well he never was a player any way, just a wannabe, result, "NO
Picture
> of "MoMMA SPONGER in the Dawgpatch cracker box. HO HO
>
> Plaintruth still looking for the 40 year old lay abouts picture
>
> Put that picture on the internet,"MOMMA SPONGER"

Plaintruth regularly downloads gay male porn....that's why he wants
pictures of men.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5238. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ds0007@medtronic.COM
Message-ID: <896d33$f3c$1@gazette.corp.medtronic.com>
Source record: 118442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rob Janssen (nomail@rob.knoware.nl) wrote:

: On the land networks, there is no globally agreed standard protocol. Not
: even for routing AX.25, let alone TCP/IP.
: (node software designers misjudge IP as a "level above AX.25" to be
: routed "over the AX.25 routing mechanism in use", instead of the other
: way around)

: Rob

I haven't read the details on AX.25, but I have a guess as
to what you are saying. Perhaps the root cause of the problem
is that X.25 includes both data link and routing stuff.

Although X.25 Packet Layer Protocol is interesting, history
has shown that IP datagram routing is more flexible and robust.
Thus, what's in wide spread use for the Internet is:

TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
IP ISO level 3, "network"
ethernet ISO level 2, "data link"

You seem to say they suggested redundant routing:

TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
IP ISO level 3, "network"
AX.25 ISO level 3, "X.25 PLP network stuff"
AX.25 ISO level 2, "X.25 HDLC/LAPB data link stuff"

What they should have done was use only the data link part
of X.25 and just use IP for the routing to keep things simple:

TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
IP ISO level 3, "network"
AX.25 ISO level 2, "X.25 HDLC/LAPB"

--
Dale Skiba
W0BOT

5239. Re: WHY IS KC8JBO SO WIDE and deformed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <896gcm$1gm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118443

In article <894qdf$r5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <894p3f$q92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> nohambull@my-deja.com wrote:
> > WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE? FCCHAM@FCC.GOV
>
> Why are Jimmy O' Brien and Polly Ashley so stupid? These questions
> don't have an easy explanation.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

My my, Captain Obesity is starting to get VERY paranoid. I can hear
inside the tar paper shack right now: "Yes mama, coming Mama" as he eats
lard with a spoon.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5240. Re: Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <896ht1$2k7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118444

In article <891muh$hl9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:891a73$7l8
> $1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
> Different
> > Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how I
> was
> > doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her
house?
> >
> > Dan?W4NTI
> > --
> > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>

Surrrre. all the forty year old men in this country live with their mother! I don't think so. With Dan it was different, his mother was Ill and Dan took care of her. That is a noble thing to do. Dan also had his wife helping as well. But there is nothing wrong with Wiseman's mother,the fault lies with retard Roger, born in 1955 and has lived with his mother ALL THIS TIME! little Roger has never had any female relations. More than likely he is a 400 pound 45 year old virgin! His mother would probaly somersault backwards if his fat, smelly, obese ass would go get a job, a woman, and a life, in that order. The clown is a dysfunctional moron, a poor man's Norman Bates. He is so riddled with insecurity, you can jerk the little chain around his neck 24 hours a day and he will jump. What should one really expect from a 45 year old boy whose entire sex life is with his hand? Paranoia, low-self esteem, incompatibility with a female partner, all of his little fears are alleviated with "mommy" near by. Hell she probaly dresses the freak and tucks him in at night.But you cannot fault the mother, she is merely protecting her son, as all good mothers do. Mrs. Wiseman is the real victim here, throwing her life away so she can take care of her perpetual "child". Judging from Wiseman's fractured personality, I am sure he constantly berates and abuses his mother, much like a 10 year old boy would without a male role model.Of course, for an only male child to be reared by a female only puts all kinds of latent homosexual tendencies and thoughts into the child. But we will save that for another post.

> Yeah, this is true.....they lack the brains to stray from their path.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger

> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920, DVR for Jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5241. Re: How long these days for new callsigns to show up in the FCCdatabase? A V.E. may be able to help here.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Kozinn <dkozinn@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <896kkv$49f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118445

In article <Srlt4.12273$w7.377569@news1.usenetserver.com>,
"Colin-N0VSJ" <n0vsj@lvc.net> wrote:
> It took my 3 months!! 90 days to the day to get my license back in
March of
> 93'
> they where backlogged or something
> N0VSJ

I took and passed my no-code tech on February 12, and I haven't seen my info show up yet either. The VEs told me that they were sending it 2nd day to the ARRL who would then post electronically to the FCC database. (I guess that's the ULS?) They said that it should take between 10 days up to around 3-4 weeks, depending on the backlog.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5242. Svetlana out of business???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: w4th@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19371-38B6E515-11@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118446

I heard a terrible rumor that Svetlana was going out of business. I was wondering if anyone else has heard this?
If anyone can shed some more light on this situation, please, let us hear from you.
73
Tom
W4TH

==========
Be sure to visit my web sites.

Amateur radio amp tubes.
http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/ClassifiedsCt/tomstubes/index.html

Ham Radio Homepage.
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Woods/6222/

5243. Hey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <896r9p$96p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118447

In article <896gcm$1gm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:

> ...as he eats lard with a spoon.

Don't be putting down shvarke, the Ukrainian national delicacy.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5244. Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <frCt4.12363$Wv2.139374@news2.mia>
Source record: 118448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine folks? In the early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the two big "S"'s of SW "Stair and Strom" They eached seemed to have a message to preach. Strom talked about the importance in being white and staying white. Stair preached about this being the "Last Generation" and called himself the "Last Day Prophet of God" Anyways, I was about 19 at the time i started intently listening to these 2, the big "S"'s of Shortwave, ironically both coming on WRNO. Stair would be on all night long during the week and Strom would be on during the weekends.

I just want to say that some of my core beliefs were formed by listening to these two, and that I is what i'm proud of. I just feel that people should let them do the work God has called them to do.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

5245. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <896ttb$b5k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <896d33$f3c$1@gazette.corp.medtronic.com>,
ds0007@medtronic.COM (Dale M. Skiba) wrote:
> Rob Janssen (nomail@rob.knoware.nl) wrote:
>
> : On the land networks, there is no globally agreed standard protocol.
Not
> : even for routing AX.25, let alone TCP/IP.
> : (node software designers misjudge IP as a "level above AX.25" to be
> : routed "over the AX.25 routing mechanism in use", instead of the
other
> : way around)
>
> : Rob
>
> I haven't read the details on AX.25, but I have a guess as
> to what you are saying. Perhaps the root cause of the problem
> is that X.25 includes both data link and routing stuff.
>
> Although X.25 Packet Layer Protocol is interesting, history
> has shown that IP datagram routing is more flexible and robust.
> Thus, what's in wide spread use for the Internet is:
>
> TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
> IP ISO level 3, "network"
> ethernet ISO level 2, "data link"
>
> You seem to say they suggested redundant routing:
>
> TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
> IP ISO level 3, "network"
> AX.25 ISO level 3, "X.25 PLP network stuff"
> AX.25 ISO level 2, "X.25 HDLC/LAPB data link stuff"
>
> What they should have done was use only the data link part
> of X.25 and just use IP for the routing to keep things simple:
>
> TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
> IP ISO level 3, "network"
> AX.25 ISO level 2, "X.25 HDLC/LAPB"

Man, you left out NETROM nodes. I don't know about today's networks(got
out of it 5 years ago), but it used to be that the "control freaks"
that were in charge of key networking sites loved their silly NETROM

nodes(that gave them little dictator status), and weren't gonna give them up for nothing.

Then there were the IP coordinator Hitlers and Mussolinis. They used to ignorantly, greedily and stupidly hoard their huge banks of unused IP address allocations, while amateurs were being threatened with having to give up there ample IP address allocation - because they weren't using them! One time, I was trying to set up subnets for a "serious" cross frequency network in Riverside County - and my coordinator just didn't get it, even when I totally spelled it out in writing, and he wouldn't give me any 8-bit subnet address allocations - so I just said "screw 'em" and picked my own. He went running to Phil Karn, or the National IP address coordinator, or somebody or other, who helped him understand I was doing the right thing - so he finally(weeks later) relented to my "choice" of subnet addresses. It was way up in his 16-bit address allocation block, and I guess he finally saw that it posed "no threat" to him and his "control" efforts of the uneducated masses.

You've got to understand that this whole amateur packet radio thing is by definition experimental and is COMPLETELY OUT OF CONTROL. Everyone goes with their own thing, kinda just playing around. Don't EVEN think of seriously trying to make something more out of it, or try to make sense of it - you would go MAD. And when it comes to turf wars, amateur radio is nothing - if you want a total free for all, try youth sports!

For most hams, it is just a big sandbox to play around in and have fun. Nothing more, nothing less.

> --
> Dale Skiba
> W0BOT

73, Stewart
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5246. Tell us what you think

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002252147.QAA20952@cotse.com>
Source record: 118450

http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions--
Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi

5247. Re: aliasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38B71330.BAB1C536@netrover.com>
Source record: 118451

nice try but i have a different provider....different news group provider
as well

possum belly wrote:

> In article <38B6704F.7537FE73@netrover.com>,
> GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote:
> > YEPPER REALLY LOOKS LIKE IT ME WHAT A DUMMY
> >
> > GRAHAM
>
> YEPPER YOU GOT DAM RITE ITS YOU. DUMMY.
>
> X-Trace: cac1.rdr.news.psi.ca 944774369 209.20.6.22
> Organization: Interlog Internet (Toronto)
>
> Destination: 209.20.6.22
>
> -#--------------Node Name---------------IP
> Address------Location-----------RT*--High---Low---Avg-Tot---D-Who
>
> 1 uunet-gw.interlog.com 205.150.221.66 Unknown
> 252 252 252 252 1 0
> 2 s0.router.nmkt.interlog.net 207.34.201.130 Unknown
> 264 264 264 264 1 0
> 3 ts1.nmkt.interlog.com 209.20.5.10 Unknown
> 257 257 257 257 1 0
> 4 209.20.5.1 Unknown
> 247 247 247 247 1 0
> 5 ts1.nmkt.interlog.com 209.20.5.10 Unknown
> 328 328 328 328 1 0
>
> -#--------------Node Name---------------IP
> Address------Location-----------RT*--High---Low---Avg-Tot---D-Who
>
> 1 new-market.leaf.canada.psi.net 154.11.2.114 Unknown
> 253 253 253 253 1 0
> 2 154.11.168.230 Unknown
>
> From: graham dyer <grahmd@interlog.com>
>
> Destination: 209.20.6.26
>
> -#--------------Node Name---------------IP
> Address------Location-----------RT*--High---Low---Avg-Tot---D-Who

>
> 1 uunet-gw.interlog.com 205.150.221.66 Unknown
> 270 270 270 270 1 0
> 2 s0.router.nmkt.interlog.net 207.34.201.130 Unknown
> 285 285 285 285 1 0
> 3 ts1.nmkt.interlog.com 209.20.5.10 Unknown
> 277 277 277 277 1 0
> 4 209.20.5.1 Unknown
> 293 293 293 293 1 0
>
> Destination: 205.150.221.66
>
> -#--------------Node Name---------------IP
> Address------Location-----------RT*--High---Low---Avg-Tot---D-Who
>
> 2 108.at-5-0-0.tr1.mtl1.alter.net 152.63.9.106 Unknown
> 279 279 279 279 1 0
> 3 197.atm7-0.xr1.tor2.alter.net 152.63.128.69 43.417N, 79.250W
> 336 336 336 336 1 0
> 4 a0/0/0.150.bb1.ott1.uunet.ca 152.63.129.90 Unknown
> 321 321 321 321 1 0
> 5 107.a11-0-0.cr2.tor2.uunet.ca 205.150.159.113 43.642N, 79.375W
> 307 307 307 307 1 0
> 6 1.a10-9-9.bb3.tor2.uunet.ca 205.150.159.98 43.642N, 79.375W
> 369 369 369 369 1 0
> 7 uunet-gw.interlog.com 205.150.221.66 45.350N, 74.840W
> 466 466 466 466 1 0
>
> February 25, 2000 9:4:23
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5248. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <896vrqcoq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118452

In article <frCt4.12363$Wv2.139374@news2.mia>,
"DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:

> I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine folks?

I'm going to give this a shot.

> In the early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the
> two big "S"'s of SW "Stair and Strom". They eached seemed to have a
> message to preach.

Yes they do. One is a message of separatism, ethnic superiority, hatred, and, well, not too much that's positive. The other plays on the fears of the uninformed for profit, and preaches a most un-Christian form of religion.

> Strom talked about the importance in being white and staying white.

And how does one do that? It's like the importance of being tall and staying tall. It is something you are utterly unable to control.

> Stair preached about this being the "Last Generation" and called
> himself the "Last Day Prophet of God"

The key phrase here is "called himself". There is no reputable religious expert that will endorse his "mission."

> Anyways, I was about 19 at the time...

This is a partial answer to your question. At 19 we are all quite impressionable. Apparently you were not getting or hadn't gotten much direction in your life on moral or spiritual matters. From your writings you seem to be an angry young person looking for some cause to join. You found a couple of fringe albeit public figures that reinforced that disaffection and fell for their spiel.

> I just want to say that some of my core beliefs were formed by
> listening to these two, and that I is what i'm proud of.

That's unfortunate. Look at it this way; surely you learned about the bell curve of distribution in college. Almost every human attribute falls under that phenomenon. When it comes to acceptable moral thinking, the same applies. The 2 Ss are on the fringe of the bell curve. If that's truly where you want to be, then be prepared for all the people in the middle of the distribution, in other words, the ones who share the vast majority viewpoint, to look at your choice as laughable, pathetic, sad, and a whole lot of other negatives.

> I just feel that people should let them do the work God has called
> them to do.

Some of their work is dangerous to society and some of it is fraudulent. Those of us in society's mainstream aren't prepared for those on the fringe to endanger our way of life.

Think of this; you profess to be a Christian. I understood Christ's principles to be of love, help for the less able, understanding, compassion, etc. How does that kind of Christianity jibe with Strom's beliefs? Do you truly believe that your God has given that sort of calling?

What did you think about the cultists in California a couple of years ago that committed suicide while their "spaceship" was waiting overhead? Well, that's the sort of thing that mainstream society sees as "lunatic fringe."

So, do you want to be on the fringe or where most of society agrees is the proper position? Your choice, but be prepared to accept the consequences of your choice. That's the first lesson of maturity.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5249. FA: A few Comm. Radios !!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: VICTOR GENAO <alvic@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <38B72BA2.574220DE@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118453

Hi, I have a few communications radios at Ebay, if interested just
visit :

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewListedItems&userid=genao.com
&include=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25

or :

http://www.Genao.com

5250. Re: Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: plaintruth@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8972tg$eu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118454

Boy , this guy has the Mommas layabout grubber freak figured out to a T. Way to go Sir!! Tell it like it is. I can't see how Dan W4NTI could keep his nose up this freaks ass all the timee. Oh well, diff strokes etc. How about a picture of "Slope Head". He is ashamed to send a picture to 14313 index, It would reveal his uglyness.

Plaintruth

In article <896ht1$2k7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <891muh$hl9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:891a73$7l8
> > $1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > Why do you bother Roger? This is the SSDD story ...Same Shit
> > Different
> > > Day. These guys have no ability to change tactics. Remember how
I
> > was
> > > doing a "death watch" on my mother and waiting to move into her
> house?
> > >
> > > Dan?W4NTI
> > > --
> > > "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
> >
>
> Surrrre. all the forty year old men in this country live with their
> mother! I don't think so. With Dan it was different, his mother was
Ill
> and Dan took care of her. That is a noble thing to do. Dan also had
his
> wife helping as well. But there is nothing wrong with Wiseman's
> mother,the fault lies with retard Roger, born in 1955 and has lived
with
> his mother ALL THIS TIME! little Roger has never had any female
> relations. More than likely he is a 400 pound 45 year old virgin! His
> mother would probaly somersault backwards if his fat, smelly, obese
ass
> would go get a job, a woman, and a life, in that order. The clown is a
> dysfunctional moron, a poor man's Norman Bates. He is so riddled with
> insecurity, you can jerk the little chain around his neck 24 hours a
day
> and he will jump. What should one really expect from a 45 year old boy
> whose entire sex life is with his hand? Paranoia, low-self esteem,

> incompatibility with a female partner, all of his little fears are
> alleviated with "mommy" near by. Hell she probaly dresses the freak
and
> tucks him in at night.But you cannot fault the mother, she is merely
> protecting her son, as all good mothers do. Mrs. Wiseman is the real
> victim here, throwing her life away so she can take care of her
> perpetual "child". Judging from Wiseman's fractured personality, I am
> sure he constantly berates and abuses his mother, much like a 10 year
> old boy would without a male role model.Of course, for an only male
> child to be reared by a female only puts all kinds of latent
homosexual
> tendencies and thoughts into the child. But we will save that for
> another post.
>
> > Yeah, this is true.....they lack the brains to stray from their
path.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920, DVR for Jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5251. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@rob.knoware.nl
Message-ID: <slrn8bdneg.180.nomail@linux.pe1chl.ampr.org>
Source record: 118455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dale M. Skiba <ds0007@medtronic.COM> wrote:
>I haven't read the details on AX.25, but I have a guess as
>to what you are saying. Perhaps the root cause of the problem
>is that X.25 includes both data link and routing stuff.

First read the details... The AX.25 protocol has little or nothing to do
with the X.25 protocol!

>Although X.25 Packet Layer Protocol is interesting, history
>has shown that IP datagram routing is more flexible and robust.
>Thus, what's in wide spread use for the Internet is:

>TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
>IP ISO level 3, "network"
>ethernet ISO level 2, "data link"

>You seem to say they suggested redundant routing:

>TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
>IP ISO level 3, "network"
>AX.25 ISO level 3, "X.25 PLP network stuff"
>AX.25 ISO level 2, "X.25 HDLC/LAPB data link stuff"

There is no 'AX.25 ISO level 3, "X.25 PLP network stuff"', except
in the ROSE nodes which are not very widespread. Most nodes use NET/ROM
or FLEXNET, which are routing protocols that use a message structure
completely different from X.25 PLP.

>What they should have done was use only the data link part
>of X.25 and just use IP for the routing to keep things simple:

>TCP ISO level 4, "transport"
>IP ISO level 3, "network"
>AX.25 ISO level 2, "X.25 HDLC/LAPB"

You are missing one part: there should be a "service" that accepts
AX.25 connects (level 2, that is all there is) from users, interprets
their requests for further connects to other nodes and users (possibly
deriving this info from the "digipeater" fields) and tunnels the data
they send in a TCP session to the remote node, which sets up another
AX.25 connection to the end user.

This service allows end-users without interest in TCP/IP to connect to
other users or services that are AX.25-based via the network, while the
network itself is purely IP based. That means that other protocols can
be used at level 2 internal to the network, as long as they can serve as

a link level to transport IP datagrams. In some countries it may be
AX.25 (to avoid license hassles), in other countries it could be raw
HDLC or a better protocol that allows for FEC etc.

Rob
--
+----------------------------------+--------------------------------------+
| Rob Janssen pe1chl@amsat.org | WWW: http://www.knoware.nl/users/rob |
| AMPRnet: rob@pe1chl.ampr.org | AX.25 BBS: PE1CHL@PI8WNO.#UTR.NLD.EU |
+----------------------------------+--------------------------------------+

5252. Re: Kenwood 820S Tech Help!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mel <myriad1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <myriad1-F9C754.15523125022000@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118456

In article <88rn7u$80l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
wrote:

> In article <myriad1-0B6846.10331820022000@nntp.ix.netcom.com>,
> mel <myriad1@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > I have a Kenwood 820S that appears to have some problems with the
> > internal VFO. Symptoms are irratic readout on the edge of 10m 28.490-
> > 28.500. Not just the digital readout. Has anyone taken the internal
> VFO
> > unit apart? Are there any contact points that may have oxidized?
> Please
> > email me. I need some help.
> >
> > Mel N6MEL
> > n6mel@myriadnet.com
> >
> The 820s is a "older technology" rig. It does not use a Phase Locked
> Loop (PLL) system. Thus the VFO is basically a analog unit. I would
> get a good contact cleaner and spray all your switches and controls.
> Wiggle them around and see if that helps. If not....the internal
> components have probably aged. You may get it back on track with a
> simple adjustment of the tuning coil or capacitor. This is accessable
> through a hole somewhere on the top or bottom of the VFO assembly.
>
> The VFO source voltage is probably alright, since you indicate it is
> doing it only on the band edges. Hope that helped. After these steps
> a service manual and a competent tech will be needed. I do not
> recommend you open the VFO compartment unless you know what your doing.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
Dan,
Thanks for the advise. I'll give it a try.
73...Mel
n6mel@myriadnet.com

5253. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jenny <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com>
Message-ID: <38B727A3.647E@chooseyourmail.com>
Source record: 118457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Kim W5TIT

First, I thought the FCC wasn't going to assign call signs with
"offensive" type words, second, unless you are using your middle name as
your first name, the call sign belongs to a "Mary".

5254. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wufu <wyatt6gunNOwySPAM@ccountry.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <133ebd66.273db65c@usw-ex0105-035.remarq.com>
Source record: 118458

Does this mean that you have indeed gone to the can and did what
you should have been doing for a long time? Me thinks you have a
problem stemming from childhood with the potty! See if you can't
right your own ship before you meddle with others!

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

5255. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@erols.com>
Message-ID: <897io0$n70$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 118459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmm Didn't Jesus have long hair? Just asking.
Brian Denley
--
"There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is." - Albert Einstein

DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia...
> Taken from his webpage:
>
> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
>
> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO PANTS,
> make-up, etc.

5256. Re: EVER WONDER WHY HIS PICTURE IS NOT ON WEB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8GJt4.13163$Wv2.150758@news2.mia>
Source record: 118460

Sure, Roger can dish it out, but cant take it!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

<plaintruth@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8967d2$qd5$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Have you ever wondered why the picture of the West Virginia "MOMMA
> SPONGER" Who stills stuffs his face and puts his feet under mommas
> table and sleeps in her
> bed, is not to be found on the web? Every one who has been involved in
> this deal has a picture that can be seen at various web sites
> even "Banana
> Nose'" Dr Hate. Check out the 14313 site for pictures.
>
> I guess that his elongated head and the pork jowles plus Jenny Craig
> needed body would not look nice on anyones web site(repulsive)..
>
> Well he never was a player any way, just a wannabe, result, "NO Picture
> of "MoMMA SPONGER in the Dawgpatch cracker box. HO HO
>
> Plaintruth still looking for the 40 year old lay abouts picture
>
> Put that picture on the internet,"MOMMA SPONGER"
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5257. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <zFJt4.13162$Wv2.150865@news2.mia>
Source record: 118461

AMEN!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

<gitback@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8979fdjfo1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Keep up the good work Mr Possum Belly, You are for a fact number one!!
>
> Slope Head is on the run, he is grabbing at straws, His ass is gone
> from his ISP serverhas soon as their abuse .com gets all the goods on
> elfreako Slopeeeee head.
>
> Ever wondered why his picture is not on the web? He is uglYYYYYYYY and
> his MoMMa is UGLYYYYYY, Know what I mean,,,,they would have to take the
> picture of the 40 year old slouch nursing on MoMMa in the cracker box
> house,, how disgusting!!
>
> Keep up the good work Mr Possum Belly numero ono.
>
> Gitback you "Slopehead"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> In article <89754igic1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > ole elongitated deedformed hed frek a zoid woger is bak do in his same
> > ole evol. he violetating the noose grup rules agin. jus like he all
> > ways end up do in. i herd that. this the same crap got him the boot
> > frum the beetle noose grup. an same fer the MST3K noose grup. he dont

> > care bout no band with. i herd that. he dont care bout no rules. he
> jus
> > want to win. he thing he gone win sum think. BWAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA
> as
> > ole martian hed cackle wile Rufus paks his sad sak. i herd that. this
> > the same crap he pool in prevous in card nations as Raven an Crow. he
> > cant deny it. so he gone lie bout it. i herd that. i hope woger keep
> up
> > post in them binary in hear. it gone cost him yet a nuther providor.
> i
> > herd that. no wunder BDZ run his cone hed fag ass outta HFW. he never
> > lern. he doom to a selve distructin loop. so let it be ritten. so let
> > it be dun. amen.
> >
> > --
> > DR Possum Eugene Belly
> > Rockit Siamtist
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5258. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <rFJt4.13161$Wv2.150735@news2.mia>
Source record: 118462

Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.....

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8978hbinr1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Child molester James Vincent O'Brien III <89754igic1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > ole elongitated deedformed hed frek a zoid woger is bak do in his same
> > ole evol. he violetating the noose grup rules agin. jus like he all
> > ways end up do in. i herd that. this the same crap got him the boot
> > frum the beetle noose grup. an same fer the MST3K noose grup. he dont
> > care bout no band with. i herd that. he dont care bout no rules. he
> jus
> > want to win. he thing he gone win sum think. BWAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA
> as
> > ole martian hed cackle wile Rufus paks his sad sak. i herd that. this
> > the same crap he pool in prevous in card nations as Raven an Crow. he
> > cant deny it. so he gone lie bout it. i herd that. i hope woger keep
> up
> > post in them binary in hear. it gone cost him yet a nuther providor.
> i
> > herd that. no wunder BDZ run his cone hed fag ass outta HFW. he never
> > lern. he doom to a selve distructin loop. so let it be ritten. so let
> > it be dun. amen.
> >
> > --
> > DR Possum Eugene Belly
> > Rockit Siamtist
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

> >
>
>
> Poor baby. Now we can see the filthy dump you and your std ridden sow
> Donna exist in, huh Dimmy?
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5259. beacon info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <38B77AE9.A9E453@inreach.com>
Source record: 118463

greetings, for those who wnt to check propogation into Sacramento Valley
California, there is a new 10 meter beacon at 28.223 MHz
kc6ufe

5260. Old Callbooks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Thiel <cct@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <38B771A5.EA211FFF@ktb.net>
Source record: 118464

I just found out from my grandmother that both my grandfather and my great-grandfather were hams... anyone know where I could look for an old callbook? I would love tro find out their old call signs!

-Chris Thiel, KQ6ZW cct@ktb.net

5261. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: King of Jacks <louie404@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_Hjt4.2343$7c2.27213@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message
news:QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia...
> Taken from his webpage:
>
> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!

Should I wear a Chastity belt?

> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO
PANTS,
> make-up, etc.

"ladies NO PANTS" ? What is this goin to be - bottomless??

Who's bringin the sheep? Is this your job Lloyd N0VFP?

5262. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <894jjt$l8o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118493

Mr Possum Belly:

Your latest post is a masterpiece. You are Number One!!!

Keep putting it to that W Va 40 year old MOMMAs boy nerd, who is still suckling his mothers teats, living and sponging off her, while he pounds out dribble on a busted up keyboard in the crackerbox shack in Dawgpatch close to the prison his DADDA is incarcerated in and his mom slings hash in the kitchen while he has a "sugar titty" in his mouth instead of the aformentioned well pulled teats. meanwhile he is whailing until "MOMMA" gets back to the cracker box and he can suckle again.

Keep the pressure on "Raging Dan", W4NTI, AF2Y, Mr "Banana Nose of Clifton"!!

Gitback
Tell it how it is with these low down creeps who ruin this forum.. on and on and on .

Thankyou

Gitback

In article <894a6g$e07$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <893a6skkf1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this forum
> >
>
> MR Getback SIR tank you tank you very kinely. I a humm bull sole. do in
> wat i can to chek the evol in this hear noose grup. ole queer dadDy DR
> hate AY2f gone fine out he got a tuff row to hoe. if you gets my meen
> in. i herd that. he talk bout Herbie baby #1 wive an his chillens. all
> a long ole queer dadDy try in to keep his owen queer boy storie in the
> closset. aint that jus like them NJ nomad tipes????? i herd that. all a
> suddan he big fren to the catlick peeples. only coz his fat ass dumpy
> dana marred a unployed catlick. she sick a that nomad reel legion. an
> them there short dick nomad boys in NJ. she branch in out in dire serch
> of a reel man. i herd that. so DR hate the ole queer dadDy got plenty
> scale tons in his closset. i herd that. BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHA like

> that elongitated deedformed hed freek a zoid woger like to cackle wile
> Rufus be swab in his poop deck. steve show me his pixsure. he look
> like a cone hed from Mars. i fill sure he not frum this plannet. that
> sum bits cum down in a saucer. i herd that. he jus wait in till granny
> die. so he can move Rufus in too the gay luv craker box in dawg
patch. i
> herd that. he is fool time employt in his deth watch over granny. jus
> like his tooter vietNam Dan. member how he was do in the deth watts
on
> his momma?????????? then soon as she croaked. he hi taled it to
Alabamy.
> after he solt her house a corse. she werent even cold yet wen he put
> that house fer sell. then he skidaddle bak to Alabamy. an still dint
pay
> bak the abernethys. i herd that. does you member all that?????? i
sure
> do. so let it be ritten. so let it be dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5263. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KM5QD <km5qd@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <894lhsnro1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <38A820DD.58952BEF@wt.net>,
David <david@wt.net> wrote:
> Al, you really need to get on the band wagon. All that has
> transpired concerning the ham bands has been the 'addition' of HF
> spectrum and the 'elimination' of some VHF and SHF spectrum. Who
> operates on the HF and who operates to help keep our VHF and SHF
> frequencies?
>
> David
>
> Al wrote:
> >
> > > This stuff is not helping anyone. Why do you
> > > think the amateur ranks are dwindling? When is
> > > the last time you actually welcomed someone into
> > > a club? Of course, if you keep enough people out
> > > of amateur radio, you have more spectrum left to
> > > yourself. Which is it we want?
> >
> > One must realize that the commercial dwellers are
> > waiting to grab whatever bandwith they can get their
> > grubby little fingers on. If they can show an argument
> > the bands are not being used guess who will get them.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> I am just glad they are lowering the code requirements to let more
people in. Also I guess it now will make me an Extra Classic instead of
an Extra Class.. I have found that the ones that talk in the Extra
portion of the bands are a bunch of snobs and I had no desire to talk
there, but then I think those are the same ones complaining. Anyhow now
we will have plenty of people that will be able to use HF and will be
plenty to talk to. I cant wait for April 15th, Getting a Tax return and
some new good buddies on HF. and I never owned a CB:). Oh ya, Im not
gonna turn my VE Badge in. Im gonna roll with the changes and still
enjoy Ham Radio. Thanks and Carry On Good Buddies

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5264. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B5D93E.A60FE173@bctv.com>
Source record: 118495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee wrote:
>
> Keith, That is a very harsh thing to say to someone you do not even know.

If you say so. He was having a tantrum.

> Vince has put a lot of work into becoming a VE and I am sure he did not do
> it for the money.

How do you know?

> How hard it must be for him to come to the decision to quit doing what he
> likes so much.

Especially over something so stupid and inconsequential.

> How easy it is to flame someone here on the internet.
> Have you ever tried to walk a mile in someone eles's shoes?

Many times. That's why I have a low tolerance for bigotry, tantrums,
and whining.

Keith

>
> William Lee N5WRX
>
> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:38A8A008.7062169C@bctv.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'm an ARRL accredited examiner, but not for long. I Canceled my League
> > > > membership in August, and I won't give exams under the new system. I'm
> > > > turning in my Badge.
> > > >
> > > > Anyone else have the guts to join me?
> > > >
> > > > 73 de KB7ADL
> > >
> > > Brains are a better thing for hams to have than guts.
> > >
> > > Thanks for leaving. I wouldn't trust you as a VE.

5265. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B5DA0F.C180361E@bctv.com>
Source record: 118496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee wrote:
>
> After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little better
> about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
> indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!

Cool! I look forward to seeing you selling off your gear at the next
hamfest.

BTW, I got my license nearly 20 years ago. How about you?

> No Maners

"Manners."

> No respect

Why respect whiners?

> No regard for anyones feelings

And just how much respect do the whiners have for anyone else?

> No morals

And your basis for judging this is . . ?

> All take and no give

Talking about the whiners?

> It's all for me and screw you attitude!

You ARE talking about the whiners!

> That is what I see from most of the posts here.
> What a shame.

Yes, well, from what they say, the whiners are leaving en masse in
another few weeks, so things will get better.

5266. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B5DA59.526F4BD6@bctv.com>
Source record: 118497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee wrote:
>
> Eric, I think what he means is the attitude that most of you are expressing
> here on the newsgroup!
> That is ... screw everyone else as long as I get what I want.
>
> He never said a thing about code.
> You seem to have a fixation about that subject.

Actually, William, it is the whiners who have that fixation. They are
bent out of joint because I don't have to pass the same code exam they
did.

5267. Re: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mooneer Salem <mooneer@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dXkt4.2608$7c2.33875@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118498

I agree. Last Saturday I passed the no-code Technican exam (I'm 15), and am trying to
learn Morse code. If I had waited until April 15th to take the exam I would have to
learn Morse code too. I don't know about anyone else, but unless you're dedicated
there's no way you'd be able to learn Morse code well. The restructuring would just
keep people who would want to get a license from actually taking the exams at all.

Right now I'm researching information on how to go about using packet radio to
access the Internet through my home system from my laptop computer. If this succeeds
the kid from Long Island might become interested (it seems that anything with "Internet"
in it is destined to become insanely popular.)

-Mooneer Salem (callsign pending)

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:88um9tsfi1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Last night I checked out one of the 26,000 newsgroups offered by
MindSpring.
> It was one of those comp. groups which specialize in tech stuff since I am
> trying to becoming a computer wizard and am making no headway. Well anyway
I
> had posed a question about DNS numbers and did get a reply. And it was an
> interesting and well written one too and it turned out it came from a 15
> year old kid on Long Island,NY. I was taken back by the clarity and
> perfection of the kid's aptitude in English and found out that he's just
the
> average but eager to learn kid in high school who wants of course to earn
> his livelihood in the field of computers after graduation in 2002. I
changed
> the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and guess
> what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with CB,
> was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace?
> Furthermore the school's ham radio club CLOSED DOWN due to lack of
interest.
> But hasn't Donnie, W4JYZ, taken a lot of flack over this same subject?
> Didn't he say that ham radio was an old man's hobby and how desperately we
> need youth? He's right on target ladies and germs. That kid I met last
night

> could become an Extra in one easy step; he's bright and energetic but
> chooses to channel his efforts AWAY from amateur radio. I say it's a shame
> but it's REALITY and unfortunately, the ARRL and FCC can restructure all
> they want-it ain't gonna do the trick. At the outset it will bring in
> enthusiasts but hardly the serious type. We'll have an infusion of the
"good
> buddy squads" which will then overtake and destroy the refinement that ham
> radio should embody. Riley's job will become infinitely tougher after
April
> 15th thanks to all this restructuring which is another way of saying," The
> door's open, goofball electronics rejects. Come on in, buy the gear and
dump
> on our once great hobby/service." What then is restructuring all about?
It's
> a means to stave off as long as possible the demise of this thing we know
> and love-ham radio. I never got into the mad buying of imported rigs
because
> I refused to become part of the revolving door of planned obsolescence.
> Never did I envision an electronics storm that would render the
> hobby/service obsolete but THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT'S HAPPENING. Computers just
> about dominate every aspect of our lives NOW and this is just the
beginning
> of the evolution revolution. E commerce is growing so rapidly that experts
> are saying in just a few years, more than 75% of purchases in all fields
> will be online. The youth and ham radio? CHAT ROOMS is the name of the
game
> folks. No licenses for which to strive. No code at all. Either hook up a
mic
> to your "puter" or type it out-you're all over the world effortlessly. And
> ham radio is supposed to compete and still maintain great integrity? Will
> someone tell me how?
> AF2Y

5268. WQ4E WIDE ON 3898 WE NEED HELP ON 3901

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nohambull@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <894nt9pfj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118499

THE MEN ON 3898 ARE RUNNING WAY TO MUCH POWER WQ4E WAS SPLATTERING 40
DB OVER AT 3 KC HELP IS NEEDED TO CLEAN THIS UP. STOP IN TO 3898 AND IF
YOU THINK THAT THEIR BREAKING THE RULES LISTEN ON 3901 AT THE SPLATER
THAN SEE WHO IS DOING IT THEN SEND E-MAIL TO RHOLLING@FCC.GOV
OR FCCHAM@FCC.GOV WE NEED HELP

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5269. WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nohambull@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <894p3f$q92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118500

WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE? FCCHAM@FCC.GOV

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5270. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <38B5E2E2.EE4B6ABA@bctv.com>
Source record: 118501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wendy Hardcore wrote:
>
> > BTW, I got my license nearly 20 years ago. How about you?
>
> What the hell does that have to do with anything?!

It has to do with my not being one of those "new hams" that he was
ranting about.

> Are there now going
> to be people not only thinking that knowing Morse Code makes them
> superior hams, but when they got their license?

Not me!

> I got mine 22 years and 7 months ago. Does that make me superior to you?

I dunno, does it? ;)

Keith

5271. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <894piuqlq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118502

Obese drug addict Jimmy O' Brien aka
possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> blubbered:

<TRUTH FILTER>

> MR Getback SIR tank you tank you very kinely. I humm on black diks.
do in
> wat i can to spam in this hear noose grup. ole queer dadDy bubba
> gone fine out he got a tuff row to hoe. if you gets my meen
> in. i sucked his blck dick so hard i putz my teeth marks in it. i
herd that. he talk >bout marrying me and i be #1 wive an his chillens.
all
> a long wen i waz incarserated bubba try in to keep this owen queer
boy in the
> bak pocket. aint that jus like them GA whitetrrash tipes????? i herd
that. all a
> wile wen bubba get out dat ol fatbitch whore donna HITZ DA ROAD.
only coz >my fat ass dumpy donna marred a unployed convick. she sick a
me an she go down to da truckstopz and she mak mooney. an them there
ain't short dick boys down there lik me. she branch in out in dire
serch of a reel man. i herd that. so I go and chugz beer and snort
coke. i herd that. BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHA like
> that elongitated deedformed hed freek a zoid me like to cackle wile
> Bubba be swab in mys poop deck. you seen me pixsure. is look
> like a dik hed. i fill sure not frum this plannet. is like to bits
Bubbas cum down >in a saucer. i herd that. he jus wait in till da
warden an parole board i payed off >like wid my parole and he be movin
in wid me. so i can move Bubba in too the gay >luv pet roach-luvin
craker box in hiram. i herd that. i is fool time employt in a >forth
rate scholl cuz i larnin electromics. i paid off a ve to gets my
hambone tickets...i herd dat. i never cud larn electomonics jus
> like woger's tooter vietNam Dan. member how i was to do you in the
muth watts >on yous momma?????????? then soon as you choakkked. i waz
to hi taled it to >Alabamy and met louiz farrahkhaaaaannn for oral sezx.
> after he dun me iz do him a corse. he werent hard yet wen he put
> that inme iz see da light. then i skidaddle bak to hiram and the
trashdump. an >still dint pay bak the abernethys iz ow big aL a
reacharound. i herd that. does you member all that?????? i sure
> do wen im not doin drugz. so let it be ritten. so let it be dun.
amen.
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Cockit Fellatist

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5272. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <894pu8$r00$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118503

<gitback@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:894jjt$l8o$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Mr Possum Belly:
<flush!>
Wipe your chin, Polly, you're sucking and swallowing on Dimmy almost as hard as you do when you and your homosexual lover W4LLI get together and watch birds fornicate.

Polly the "land Baron," had to leave his slum home in Memphis to get his 1.6 acre "estate," thanks to his wife's (One_Toothed, hunchbacked Ruth nee Crawford) money. He only married her for the money.
His "career" as a washing machine repairman making a mere pittance because he worked for someone else. Polly was too stupid to open up his own business, or was it because his credit was so bad?
Bwhahahahahaha!
Shelby County Assessor of Property

DISCLAIMER: The information presented on this web site is based on the inventory of real property found within the jurisdiction of the county of Shelby in the state of Tennessee. Shelby County assumes no legal
responsibility for the information contained in this web site. This is not a bill
and does not serve as a notice or invoice for payment of taxes nor does it
replace scheduled assessment notices mailed to property owners.

Property Location And Owner Information

Parcel ID: D021700115
Property Address: 1138 COLLIERVILLE-ARLINGTON RD
Plat Book & Page: 41-68
Neighborhood Nbr: 00611B01
Square Footage: 69608.88
Acres: 1.598
Lot Dimensions: 161.3/163.72 X 428.65/429.34
Subdiv Lot Name: 0 1
Subdiv Name: ROBERT LYNN PERKINS
Number Of Improvements: 1
Owner Name: ASHLEY GEORGE A & RUTH R
Owner Address: 1138 COLLIERVILLE-ARLINGTON RD
City/State: COLLIERVILLE TN 38017

Appraisal and Assessment Information

Class: RESIDENTIAL - SINGLE FAMILY
Land Appraisal: $43,000
Building Appraisal: $100,500
Total Appraisal: $143,500
Total Assessment: $35,875
Greenbelt Land Value: $0
Homesite Land Value: $0
Homesite Building Value: $0
Total Greenbelt Appraisal: $0
Total Greenbelt Assessment: $0
Dwelling Construction Information
Stories: 1.0
Exterior Walls: FRAME
Style: SINGLE FAMILY
Year Built: 1971
Total Rooms: 5
Bedrooms: 3
Bathrooms: 2
Half-Baths: 0
Additional Fixtures: 0
Total Fixtures: 6
Basement Type: NONE
Heat: CENTRAL HEAT & AIR
Fuel: ELECTRIC
Heating System: CENTRAL HEAT/AIR UNIT
Attic:
Fireplace Opening: 1
Fireplace Pre-Fab: 0
Ground Floor Area: 1612 SQ. FT.
Total Living Area: 1612 SQ. FT.
Grade: RES AVERAGE+(BC)
Condition: AVERAGE
Roof Cover: COMPOSITION SHINGLE
Roof Type: GABLE

Shelby County Assessor of Property

Additional Buildings On Property
Improvement Number: 1
Year Built: 1980
Year Remodeled:
Length: 50
Width: 25
Square Footage Area: 1250
Grade: C
Type: STABLE
Condition: AVERAGE

Shelby County Assessor of Property

Sales Data For: 1138 COLLIERVILLE-ARLINGTON RD
Sales Date Reported Instrument Sales Price Reported

9/9/1998 HT5755 $130,000

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5273. Re: aliasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <894q6f$r37$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118504

david tolassi <pvtcueball@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:sEkt4.3804
$fa5.161723@cac1.rdr.news.psi.ca...
> possum belly mr___cracker pigbreath
suzy_the_pig
>
> and more all amp dimmy the nomind alias's
>
>
> pvt. cueball

That's right, Pvt. Cueball. Dimmy the prison bitch O'Brainless Waiting
4 A Man's Penis (W4AMP) lacks the scroat to post his crap under his own
name/callsign. He'll sit back on his fatass and call names from a
distance. Hell he even called my qth wanting to have phone sex with me.
I declined, and this explains why he's so upset with me all these
years. Just like steve Tonduhh, who wanted a picture of me to
masturbate with, why else would a man want a picture of another man?
And W4FQF, Polly Ashley, who posts under gitback. These two have a long
history of being a coward...O'brien hid behind his fat hog of a wife at
a hamfest from Oris Grimm, and Polly hid underneath the table from
Paul, N8IEZ at Dayton, after running his mouth on the air.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5274. POSSUM BELLY IS NUMBER ONE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <894qaa$r43$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118505

OH YED OH YES!!!

GITBACK

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5275. Re: WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <894qdf$r5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118506

In article <894p3f$q92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
nohambull@my-deja.com wrote:
> WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE? FCCHAM@FCC.GOV

Why are Jimmy O' Brien and Polly Ashley so stupid? These questions
don't have an easy explanation.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5276. Re: WQ4E WIDE ON 3898 WE NEED HELP ON 3901

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4gks@mindspring.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <38b6e976.97238721@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118507

On Fri, 25 Feb 2000 02:03:23 GMT, nohambull@my-deja.com wrote:

>THE MEN ON 3898 ARE RUNNING WAY TO MUCH POWER WQ4E WAS SPLATTERING 40
>DB OVER AT 3 KC HELP IS NEEDED TO CLEAN THIS UP. STOP IN TO 3898 AND IF
>YOU THINK THAT THEIR BREAKING THE RULES LISTEN ON 3901 AT THE SPLATER
>THAN SEE WHO IS DOING IT THEN SEND E-MAIL TO RHOLLING@FCC.GOV
>OR FCCHAM@FCC.GOV WE NEED HELP
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

Where in the hell were you while the double sideband, wide open audio
of the Big Al/ Rich wars were going on? You idiots QRMed 98 for 2
years and almost ran everyone off. Now 98 has started coming back and
you can't stand it. By the way, come listen when certain members of
your group are on the air, You haven't heard spatter until you hear
them loud and clear 5 1/2 KC's down the band. Also, why is Dan is the
only one of your group who has balls enough to sign his own name to
any of his posts? I've never seen so many gutless cowards in all my
life. Get off DejaCrap and sign your name, chump.

Casey/N4GKS

5277. Re: AF2Y takes a bow! AND HOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000224215342.10175.00000547@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118508

af2y babbled

>In article <893gd6$14a$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
>> the right to bear arms has nothing to do with hand guns
>
>> ALL concealed weapons should be outlawed.
>
>> arrests are instituted solely on concealed weapons charges
>
>> we build a lot more prisons to house these creeps.
>
>> That in turn creates jobs and revenue comes back to the
>government
>
>> Take the damn NRA and turn their buildings into high rises for the
>average Yankee family.
>
>> Toss Charleton Heston out on his ass and his stupid toupee with him.
>
>> Under the pre-Hitlerian Weimar Repiblic, NO GERMAN was allowed to own
>a gun
>
>> That argument is one by the hideous rightwing lunatics in this country
>headed by Militias and fundamentalist preachers who have evil and mayhem
>on their minds.

Hey Doc, I have a problem with your stance on guns. I have many handguns, am well trained in the use i.e., personal protection, home defense, etc. I also have a carry permit, have for a few years now. Why would you want to take MY weapon from me? An honest law abiding citizen.
If you think that YOU don't need them that's fine and that's YOUR RIGHT. I would rather be prepared - and that's MY RIGHT.
I hope and pray that some "crazy" never "interupts" your dinner at one of those nice restaurants you frequent, or catches you at yout ATM machine. I hope some "crazy" never breaks into your home, holds you at gunpoint and makes you watch what they do to your loved ones. I respect your decision not own, or carry, why can't you respect mine? I guess the election fervor shows everyone's colors. Mines not yellow or red. How about yours?

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5278. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <894rofdls1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:
> I didn't say anything to give the impression that it was exact. The
> PCTA folk had to twist long and hard to come up with that concept.

In two separate posts you said the dah was 1.5 times the length
of the dit. If you would have at least inserted "about" you
wouldn't have given the impression that your measurement was
exact. I was astounded that you could make such a measurement
so my first questions were "What it hand sent? Was he able to
maintain that exact ratio? Or was that an instantaneous reading
of a single dit and dah?"

I didn't have "to twist long and hard" to believe that you had
given us an exact measurement -- that was the impression you gave.

"Today I'm 46 years and 51 days old." Does that come across as
an approximate measurement, Cecil?

Jeff

5279. Thanks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6uda@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000224224743.17874.00001682@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118510

Thanks for the information on the server

5280. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <894r4i0gl4@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <8946iorkq1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>Wait. A couple years ago didn't you state that you had a difficult time
>distinguishing between dits and dahs -- some type of disability?
>(Remember that I warned you to stay off the road since you certainly
>wouldn't be able to distinguish between a short toot on someone's
>car horn, and a longer warning blast of a car horn.)
>
>>reason to give up my labor-saving machines. Besides, just how much
>>exercise does one get while rotely decoding Morse code in one's head?
>
>Did you forget the research study conducted on those retired nuns?
>
>>I can let the modem do the Morse code decoding while my subconscious
>>works on a new antenna design.
>
>Why not do both subconsciously? Work your mind as often and as much as
>possible so as to delay the onset of Alzheimer's.
>
>Jeff

Jeff,

As much of a pompous ass you can be, this is one thing I agree with. Modern technology has become less a tool and more a crutch. Read Clifford Stoll's <sp> "Silicon Snake Oil."

Tom - N8ECW
--
Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com.
Before you buy.

5281. Re: Brother Stair: Sorry, The Asteroid did not hit us on 1/1/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Finn <finnd@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <67nt4.2497$pP5.47583@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

What religious institution conferred the title "Brother" to Mr. Stair. Also, there is no mention in the Bible of a "Prophet Stair". Now, if anyone can bestow upon himself the title "Brother" or proclaim himself a "prophet", then the "religion" that allows this is a "just pretend" religion. One thing Mr. Stair does not pretend doing is asking for your $$$. Go ahead and see Mr. Stair if you wish but make sure no one knows you're A) CATHOLIC (he hates Catholics and makes fun of the Pope), B) have any reverence at all for Mary (Jesus' mother), C) Jewish and the list goes on...
73
KR4AJ
DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message
news:QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia...
> Taken from his webpage:
>
> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
>
>
> SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
> BROTHER STAIR
>
>
>
> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
> Time: 10:00am Both Days
> Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
> For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581
>
>
> Date: Wednesday & Thursday - March 8 & 9, 2000
> Time: 11:00am Both Days
> Place: The Upper Room, Mackville, KY
> For More Information Phone Brother Baker at 606-366-5642
>
>
> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 11 & 12, 2000
> Time: 11:00am Both Days
> Place: Straightway Communty Tabernacle, LaFayette, TN
> For More Information Phone Pastor Dowell at 615-688-4277
>
>
> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO
PANTS,
> make-up, etc.

> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>
>
>
>

5282. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: AndroidCat XENU <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <i0pt4.19152$bz2.3323378@tw12.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 118513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.shortwave

Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:92E43F226E978ADC.E74D9A09830641F4.1433B3CC10E0794B@lp.airnews.net...
> Wendy Hardcore wrote:
> >
> > > BTW, I got my license nearly 20 years ago. How about you?
> >
> > What the hell does that have to do with anything?! Are there now going
> > to be people not only thinking that knowing Morse Code makes them
> > superior hams, but when they got their license?
> >
> > I got mine 22 years and 7 months ago. Does that make me superior to you?
>
> Wow, you're a little late :) Most of us are already bored with this
> topic...
>
> Kim W5TIT

And the people in rec.radio.scanner were annoyed by this topic from the
start. We have enough local idiot topics with importing
cross-posted-to-hell topics of no relevance to rrs.

Learn how to trim your newsgroup list people! (#$%@ lids!)

Ron of that ilk.

5283. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <38B62DBA.EB2@pvtnetworks.net>
Source record: 118514
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policyrec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

AB7RS wrote:
>
> Rob wrote:
> >
> > What does this have to do with CB?
>
> If you read the original post you would see it mentions CB 3 times (5
> if you count "retards").

AB7RS:
What does this have to with CB, I believe that we are on a CB news-group not the rec.radio.amateur.misc or anything else newsgroup. Personally I wouldn't have a extra-class unprovisional license if the ARRL and the FCC gave the damn thing to me. Why, you are living proof. Stuck-up, arr-gant, opinionated snobs. And I want to study my ass off and spend $$$$$$ $$$$ of my hard earned money to listen to the likes of you. !!!!NOT!!!! Yes, we poor Chicken Banders are dumb as a stump,lazy to the point we can't learn code, appliance operators, we fight all the time on the air, provide intentual interference to other cbers and curse. Sound like some of your favorite HF freqs you use Tracy? What ranks of radio did you use before migrating to the Extra-class amateur ranks and why look down on the route you took. We have to listen to enough dribble on the band that we use without coming up on the news group and have people such as your-self belittle use also. Live up to the amateur name or drop down to our level and prove to us lowly chicken banders that we should join you up on HF bands, to be belittled once again. Get with the program of educat-ing just one cber into becoming a good operator or keep your opinions to yourself. And I suppose you've never drifted your VFO'd MARS modified rig down on your ole haunts and blasted some poor cber with all the power you had to disturb him. RIGHT. You're one heck of a ambassador to the International Radio Community..... 73s&51s OM

5284. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <poppabear1968@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <895crj$7hk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Yawl bring yore sisters, I mean, yore wives!
Don't fergit tew brang sum grits and sum greens and sum possums cawz
Brother Stayer goan have a dayown home ree - vival!

Last week I coodent even spayell profit now I's a fayun a' wun!

Yeesh.

S.

"DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:
> Taken from his webpage:
>
> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
>
> SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
> BROTHER STAIR
>
> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
> Time: 10:00am Both Days
> Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
> For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581

--
Stephen M.H. Lawrence
LeMars, Iowa - "Ice Cream Capitol of the World."
"People generally quarrel because they cannot argue." - G.K. Chesterton

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5285. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@rob.knoware.nl
Message-ID: <slrn8bceq2.r7p.nomail@linux.pe1chl.ampr.org>
Source record: 118516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Daniel Levner <levner@Stanford.EDU> wrote:

>Hello guys, and thank you for all the prompt responses that I got.
>However, I think I phrased my question wrong despite my many attempts. I
>have received replies concerning different dynamic routing protocols and
>different software systems that I may use, BUT, what I'm more concerned
>with is this: is there some protocol or software system that people out
>there USE, which constitutes, in essence, some existing infrastructure?

>If I put up a transmitter somewhere on the globe without making special
>arrangements with people in the area to capture and forward its packets,
>can I count on there being a nearby station that supports one of the
>dynamic routing algorithms that people mentioned, so that it will
>nonetheless receive and forward my packets after my receiver requests it
>to?

No. The closest you can get to that is using the packet satellites, but it
is a store-and-forward operation. So you can send mail (and the mail is
binary transparent, so you can use it to send files too), but not
individual packets that travel directly to a destination.

On the land networks, there is no globally agreed standard protocol. Not
even for routing AX.25, let alone TCP/IP.
(node software designers misjudge IP as a "level above AX.25" to be
routed "over the AX.25 routing mechanism in use", instead of the other
way around)

Rob
--
+----------------------------------+--+
| Rob Janssen pe1chl@amsat.org | WWW: http://www.knoware.nl/users/rob |
| AMPRnet: rob@pe1chl.ampr.org | AX.25 BBS: PE1CHL@PI8WNO.#UTR.NLD.EU |
+----------------------------------+--+

5286. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <895e8l$r2o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> "Today I'm 46 years and 51 days old." Does that come across as
>> an approximate measurement, Cecil?

>Shirley, a math teacher knows the difference between cardinal
>and ordinal numbers.

Shirley, I do =:o, but the distinction between the two plays no role
here, for time is measured in the continuum, not in a discrete sense:
46 years and 51 days = 46 and 51/366 years (a repeating decimal).
If you feel more comfortable working with whole numbers, convert
that time measure to days (taking into account leap years, of course.)

You didn't answer my question, for it would have backed you into
a corner in regard to the dit-to-dah ratio you provided.

Next time you produce an approximate measurement, consider inserting
"about" so as to avoid confusion.

Jeff

5287. Re: aliasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38B6704F.7537FE73@netrover.com>
Source record: 118518

YEPPER REALLY LOOKS LIKE IT ME WHAT A DUMMY

GRAHAM

possum belly wrote:

> In article <sEkt4.3804$fa5.161723@cac1.rdr.news.psi.ca>,
> "david tolassi" <pvtcueball@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> gram i thing it bout time to start lay in yor live storie a jam in out
> hear. fer all to reed. i herd that. is it gram or is it kurt????? or is
> it kurt or is it gram?????? you stil boot legger in to. i herd
> that. BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHA like ole elongitated deedformed hed woger like
> to scream. wile he change in grannys diper.i herd that. gram yor days on
> ham a chair radio is numb bird. you licents all reddy gone an you dont
> even no it. BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA is the mate in
> call of woger an Rufus up in dawg patch WVa.i herd that. bet her dust
> off that their ole CB set gram. you gone be bak their soon.i herd that.
> so let it be ritten. so let it be dun.amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5288. Parts for Yeasu FT-101Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com
Message-ID: <895j1g$36v$1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

For sale parts for FT-101Z.

Ask what you need and make an offer. All parts are in good working conditions unless otherwise noted.

Buyer to pay S/H.

Send all inquires to servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com

5289. ==)> F-117 NIGHTHAWK Stealth Fighter - parts - UNIQUE <(==

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: VHF <walkie-talkie@europe.com>
Message-ID: <895j1e$367$2@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,be.forsale

Make an offer.

http://www.geocities.com/FashionAvenue/Runway/7439/f117.jpg

5290. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38b64b8d.0@news>
Source record: 118521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wow Kieth, Twenty years ago..... man that must get you a lot of free coffee
at the local donut shop.
The crowds must part at the hamfests when you arrive.
Impresses the grilies too ....Huh! That sure makes me stand back in AWE.
Too bad that you stoped growing about that same time.
You might have turned into a real fine human being.

William Lee (WD5CQS original call)

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:38B5DA0F.C180361E@bctv.com...
>
>
> William Lee wrote:
> >
> > After reading all these negitive posts, Vince, I understand a little
better
> > about why you want to get away from the "NEW HAMS". If this is any
> > indication of what is to come, I wont be far behind you!
>
> Cool! I look forward to seeing you selling off your gear at the next
> hamfest.
>
> BTW, I got my license nearly 20 years ago. How about you?
>
> > No Maners
>
> "Manners."
>
> > No respect
>
> Why respect whiners?
>
> > No regard for anyones feelings
>
> And just how much respect do the whiners have for anyone else?
>
> > No morals
>
> And your basis for judging this is . . ?
>
> > All take and no give
>
> Talking about the whiners?
>

> > It's all for me and screw you attitude!
>
> You ARE talking about the whiners!
>
> > That is what I see from most of the posts here.
> > What a shame.
>
> Yes, well, from what they say, the whiners are leaving en masse in
> another few weeks, so things will get better.

5291. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38b64cb2.0@news>
Source record: 118522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith, I thought you got your ham ticket 20 years ago?
Why would you need to pass a code test now?
Perhaps you are a lower class of ham who never upgraded.
Is there something you are not telling us or do you just make up your story
as you go along?

William Lee

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:38B5DA59.526F4BD6@bctv.com...
>
>
> William Lee wrote:
> >
> > Eric, I think what he means is the attitude that most of you are
expressing
> > here on the newsgroup!
> > That is ... screw everyone else as long as I get what I want.
> >
> > He never said a thing about code.
> > You seem to have a fixation about that subject.
>
> Actually, William, it is the whiners who have that fixation. They are
> bent out of joint because I don't have to pass the same code exam they
> did.

5292. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38B65C12.CCA53FC2@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Next time you produce an approximate measurement, consider inserting
> "about" so as to avoid confusion.

Next time you read a posting of mine, please avoid arbitrary insertion
of words like, "exact", that don't exist. All my numbers are approximations
unless explicitly tagged "exact".
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5293. FS 4CX800A MP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: w4th@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19372-38B67472-2@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118524

I am selling Svetlana Russian Military matched pairs of the 4CX800A tubes. This is a special purchase group of tubes, and there are very few of these matched pairs left. These tubes are nos, but carry my own 90 day warranty. These tubes have a green indicator strip on the side of each tube. These strips turn brown when the tubes are used. All of these tubes still have the green strip. When these are gone the price will go back to $125.00 per tube. I am selling these tubes as long as the supply last for $190.00 for a matched pair plus shipping. I will ship anywhere in the world. You can call me at 256-593-0164 or preferably just send me an email.
thanks for your time and 73
Tom Hix
W4TH

==========
Be sure to visit my web sites.

Amateur radio amp tubes.
http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/ClassifiedsCt/tomstubes/index.html

Ham Radio Homepage.
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Woods/6222/

5294. Beward of HACKING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <895tlqvst1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118525

Ladies and germs, go to this article.

http://cbsnews.cbs.com/now/story/0,1597,164551-412,00.shtml

I just found it on the CBS news site and it's something about which you should be aware since it deals with hacking and I know that many geniuses here might be inclined to do it. After all this is the place where the elite meet to greet, right?
And last night at 10:00 on ABC-TV did you catch the story about cyberspace harassment and what happened to the guy? It was reported by Lynn Scherr who needs a makeover in the worst way.
Our computers can, if used incorrectly, be LETHAL WEAPONS and I am appealing to the unbalanced among you to get balanced. Yes, we can fool around with each other but watch out for crossing the line. Slowly but surely the government is becoming more and more involved in the Internet and pretty soon you'll just be allowed to write the letters "h, t, u and the number 8" and anything more will mean jail time. So tone it down people and act accordingly or else. Big Mutha is watching you!!!!

5295. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <895ttm$eu6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeff you're right but what you aren't factoring in is that this is a nation of fads and sometimes, like in the case of rock and roll, fads can be permanent. Home computers is a FAD which is "in" now and will be "inner" constantly and ham radio will never again be able to compete even if it's far more simplified.
AF2Y

In article <88nlbhcpm1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> Follow-ups set to just .misc and .policy:
>
> In rec.radio.scanner N9NWO <N9NWO@AMSAT.org> wrote:
>>Sorry, but the masses have already discovered the Internet.
>>They do not need amateur radio.
>
> Those poor, leashed folks. They're helplessly dependent upon
> untold billions of dollars of computer equipment belonging to
> thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions of miles
> of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens of
> thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
> running.
>
> Hams, on the other hand, have not such dependence. It's the *only*
> communications medium, in which any two operators anywhere in the
> world, can communicate directly without using government or commercial
> communication services. Those same two operators don't even need to
> purchase commercially-built radios -- they can build them themselves.
>
> Jeff
>

5296. Re: FCC - now we're getting serious - QRM'ing costs money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wmmutch@anise.ee.cornell.edu
Message-ID: <895uhkeot1@news01.cit.cornell.edu>
Source record: 118527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <#g#otUBf$GA.79@cpmsnbbsa03>, Asshole_at_FCC@corruption.net says...
>
> FCC = Fraud, Waste, Abuse, Corruption,
> Greed, & Criminality
>
> The FCC is the most criminally corrupt agency
> the federal government!
>
>
> Hey...how about the Chicago Post Office?
>

5297. Re: AF2Y takes a bow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <895ujd$3pk$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118528

Wufu, you're a nauseating twit, void of intelligence and a sense of humor. If you don't know the difference between "bowel" and "bowl," then keep off here and play with your G.I. Joe doll. I mean what the hell is wrong with our schools? One guy in Long Island, a supposed genius, doesn't know the difference between "to" and "too" and now you too wear the mantle of idiocy. You know what's wrong-why you birds can't write-YOU DON'T READ! I've been reading since age THREE and upon being tested in Kindergarten, my folks were told that I was at FIFTH GRADE LEVEL. And I never stopped reading which explains why I can captivate an entire forum and you, on the other hand, are captured by insipidness.

In article <1fad4553.09ff7cc0@usw-ex0105-037.remarq.com>, wufu <wyatt6gunNOwySPAM@ccountry.net.invalid> wrote:

> whether its "bold, bowel, bowl" the message got home! The sad
> part is that you will obviously pay no heed to the message. Just
> think, sit on the "can" and flush away all you hatred in one
> sitting. Mankind, and yourself, would be so much better off. Oh
> yes, don't forget to wipe!!
>
>
> * Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
> The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!
>

5298. Re: Bro Stair: 14313 PINK PANTY MAN TO VISIT!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: none defined <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <k7vt4.3054$pP5.45458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

King of Jacks wrote in message
<_Hjt4.2343$7c2.27213@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>
>DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message
>news:QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia...
>> Taken from his webpage:
>>
>> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
>
>Should I wear a Chastity belt?

NO INSTEAD WEAR YOUR PINK PANTIES!!!

"I GOT MY HIGH HEELED SHOES, I GOT MY RED PANTIEES
ON, I'M GONNAL GO DOWNTOWN AND HAVE ME SOME FUN!!"

BAAAA HA HA HA HA HAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

5299. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE TURD!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38B69EEA.71DC321@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118530

possum belly wrote:
>
> In article <893a6skkf1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this forum
> >
>
> MR Getback SIR tank you tank you very kinely. I a humm bull sole. do in
> wat i can to chek the evol in this hear noose grup. ole queer dadDy DR
> hate AY2f gone fine out he got a tuff row to hoe. if you gets my meen
> in. i herd that. he talk bout Herbie baby #1 wive an his chillens. all
> a long ole queer dadDy try in to keep his owen queer boy storie in the
> closset. aint that jus like them NJ nomad tipes????? i herd that. all a
> suddan he big fren to the catlick peeples. only coz his fat ass dumpy
> dana marred a unployed catlick. she sick a that nomad reel legion. an
> them there short dick nomad boys in NJ. she branch in out in dire serch
> of a reel man. i herd that. so DR hate the ole queer dadDy got plenty
> scale tons in his closset. i herd that. BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHA like
> that elongitated deedformed hed freek a zoid woger like to cackle wile
> Rufus be swab in his poop deck. steve show me his pixsure. he look
> like a cone hed from Mars. i fill sure he not frum this plannet. that
> sum bits cum down in a saucer. i herd that. he jus wait in till granny
> die. so he can move Rufus in too the gay luv craker box in dawg patch. i
> herd that. he is fool time employt in his deth watch over granny. jus
> like his tooter vietNam Dan. member how he was do in the deth watts on
> his momma?????????? then soon as she croaked. he hi taled it to Alabamy.
> after he solt her house a corse. she werent even cold yet wen he put
> that house fer sell. then he skidaddle bak to Alabamy. an still dint pay
> bak the abernethys. i herd that. does you member all that?????? i sure
> do. so let it be ritten. so let it be dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5300. Re: POSSUM BELLY NUMBER ONE JERK!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38B69F17.1CAD9CD@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118531

gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Mr Possum Belly:
>
> Your latest post is a masterpiece. You are Number One!!!
>
> Keep putting it to that W Va 40 year old MOMMAs boy nerd, who is still
> suckling his mothers teats, living and sponging off her, while he
> pounds out dribble on a busted up keyboard in the crackerbox shack in
> Dawgpatch close to the prison his DADDA is incarcerated in and his mom
> slings hash in the kitchen while he has a "sugar titty" in his mouth
> instead of the aformentioned well pulled teats. meanwhile he is
> whailing until "MOMMA" gets back to the cracker box and he can suckle
> again.
>
> Keep the pressure on "Raging Dan", W4NTI, AF2Y, Mr "Banana Nose of
> Clifton"!!
>
> Gitback
> Tell it how it is with these low down creeps who ruin this forum.. on
> and on and on .
>
> Thankyou
>
> Gitback
>
> In article <894a6g$e07$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <893a6skkf1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > gitback@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > Mr Possum Belly Sir ,you are number one on this forum
> > >
> >
> > MR Getback SIR tank you tank you very kinely. I a humm bull sole. do
> in
> > wat i can to chek the evol in this hear noose grup. ole queer dadDy DR
> > hate AY2f gone fine out he got a tuff row to hoe. if you gets my meen
> > in. i herd that. he talk bout Herbie baby #1 wive an his chillens.
> all
> > a long ole queer dadDy try in to keep his owen queer boy storie in the
> > closset. aint that jus like them NJ nomad tipes????? i herd that.
> all a
> > suddan he big fren to the catlick peeples. only coz his fat ass dumpy
> > dana marred a unployed catlick. she sick a that nomad reel legion. an

> > them there short dick nomad boys in NJ. she branch in out in dire
> serch
> > of a reel man. i herd that. so DR hate the ole queer dadDy got plenty
> > scale tons in his closset. i herd that. BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHA like
> > that elongitated deedformed hed freek a zoid woger like to cackle wile
> > Rufus be swab in his poop deck. steve show me his pixsure. he look
> > like a cone hed from Mars. i fill sure he not frum this plannet. that
> > sum bits cum down in a saucer. i herd that. he jus wait in till granny
> > die. so he can move Rufus in too the gay luv craker box in dawg
> patch. i
> > herd that. he is fool time employt in his deth watch over granny. jus
> > like his tooter vietNam Dan. member how he was do in the deth watts
> on
> > his momma?????????? then soon as she croaked. he hi taled it to
> Alabamy.
> > after he solt her house a corse. she werent even cold yet wen he put
> > that house fer sell. then he skidaddle bak to Alabamy. an still dint
> pay
> > bak the abernethys. i herd that. does you member all that?????? i
> sure
> > do. so let it be ritten. so let it be dun. amen.
> >
> > --
> > DR Possum Eugene Belly
> > Rockit Siamtist
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5301. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38B6852E.360D0449@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

> Yawl bring yore sisters, I mean, yore wives!
> Don't fergit tew brang sum grits and sum greens and sum possums cawz
> Brother Stayer goan have a dayown home ree - vival!
>
> Last week I coodent even spayell profit now I's a fayun a' wun!

Wow! One more syllable, and you'd have a Reba McEntire single.
This has potential.

5302. POSSUMBELLY FORUM HERO NUM BER ONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: plaintruth@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89668vpfq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118533

POSSUM BELLY

Your are a Hero to your many admirers on this forum. Please keep
Putting down the MOMMA Spongers, crooks, and trash who linger here.

Plaintruth Stay the way you are, good show!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5303. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA>
Message-ID: <38B79495.2E4D67DF@SYMPATICO.CA>
Source record: 118384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

I don't understand why they kept that morse code so long it's so old that
i'd put that in the archaeology department and i'm glad they took'em
out.That is my opinion naturaly... 73 too all of you from VE2AIB

"Daniel M. Conti" a écrit :

> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>
> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> reading this post.
>
> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>
> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> test!
>
> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>
> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> rightfully so.
>
> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>
> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>
> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>
> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>
> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are

> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>
> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> required.
>
> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> our lack of interest.
>
> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>
> See you at the museum.
>
> Dan
> N2TYB

5304. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38b79547.0@news>
Source record: 118385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Right over your head .... Huh Keith.
I have my ticket.
You are the wantabe.
Get to work. Study for it. Quit griping and DO something!
Are you too dumb to learn anything?

William Lee

Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message news:38B6AD31.3A4313FB@bctv.com...
>
>
> William Lee wrote:
> >
> > Wow Kieth, Twenty years ago..... man that must get you a lot of free
coffee
> > at the local donut shop.
> > The crowds must part at the hamfests when you arrive.
> > Impresses the grilies too ....Huh! That sure makes me stand back in AWE.
> > Too bad that you stoped growing about that same time.
> > You might have turned into a real fine human being.
>
> I did turn into a real fine human being.
>
> As opposed to being a whiner.
>
> > William Lee (WD5CQS original call)
>
> If this is supposed to impress me, I didn't get it (seriously). I have
> never been a callsign expert.

5305. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA>
Message-ID: <38B7964E.4E0A7A81@SYMPATICO.CA>
Source record: 118386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Eh! what planet are you from? I do not understand "gue gue gue gue mombo jombo.

possum belly a écrit :

> In article <38B799E3.1CBFF6F1@netrover.com>,
> GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote:
>
> <FLOOD!>
>
> stil spoof in as david totalassi troll in the gay homo noose grups
> an boot legger in on 20 meeters??????? you nut think butt a got dam 3
> time losser. wen you gone be a man. an tale the worlt the truce????? I
> no I no - never that wen. you never gone be man enuff. to con fessin
> you is lie in to all yor frens. you stil bee a boot legger in on 20.
> wid no privit ledge to be thair. no wat i meen???? no wunder you was
> boot off the polease forts. nuthin worst than a lie in cop. special lee.
> a lie in cop wat is all so a prevert fer transvestalites an lil boys. no
> wat i meen???? you a dish grace to yor cuntry a Canerda. you wooden last
> 1 weak down hear in GA. so stay up thair wid the toronta fags you hang
> wid. that were you bee longs. you got a bewtifull nurse wive. that i
> admits free lee. to bad she marred to a half faggot lie in ex cop. wat
> dont no the diffrents tween rite an rong. i herd that. no wat i
> meen???? amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5306. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Helmut Wabnig <hwabnig@aon.at>
Message-ID: <d26fbso93si1q5k04ug3lbli6annqat1hv@4ax.com>
Source record: 118387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Feb 2000 08:53:12 GMT, RICHARD BEAUCHAMP
<RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA> wrote:

>I don't understand why they kept that morse code so long it's so old that
>i'd put that in the archaeology department and i'm glad they took'em
>out.That is my opinion naturaly... 73 too all of you from VE2AIB

Jou, I myself did several attempts to master CW speed 60.
It became soo boring everytime that I abandoned at tempo 30 or so.
Several times in the last 20 years.
Had my Morse trainer in the car peeping at me during way-to-work,
took Morse casettes with me in the toilet,
listened to beacon blurbs on shortwave,
used computer programs running during cozy evenings with wife,
taking my dog out for a walk with earphones (in my ears, not dog's).

I CANNOT SEE A REASON WHY I SHOULD LEARN MORSE CODE!
Not anymore.

I will not improve my CW skills above tempo 25 or tempo 30
which I would accept as
"Amateur radio emergency communication basic skill"

But I hate and oppose the limiting of access to amateur radio
by preserving inappropriate restrictions .

W.
OE8UWW

5307. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002260941270.10245-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Feb 2000, Helmut Wabnig wrote:
> On Sat, 26 Feb 2000 08:53:12 GMT, RICHARD BEAUCHAMP
> <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA> wrote:
> >I don't understand why they kept that morse code so long it's so old that
> >i'd put that in the archaeology department and i'm glad they took'em
> >out.That is my opinion naturaly... 73 too all of you from VE2AIB
>
> Jou, I myself did several attempts to master CW speed 60.
> It became soo boring everytime that I abandoned at tempo 30 or so.
> Several times in the last 20 years.
> Had my Morse trainer in the car peeping at me during way-to-work,
> took Morse casettes with me in the toilet,
^^
> listened to beacon blurbs on shortwave,
> used computer programs running during cozy evenings with wife,
> taking my dog out for a walk with earphones (in my ears, not dog's).

Considering what you did with the tapes, please spare us the details of what
you did with your radios once you upgraded!

> ....

5308. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dale McCarthy <dale_mccarthy@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <sbfhtkunr2a154@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

I could never figure out what was so difficult about a 5 WPM code test. Sure
I had to make a few attempts myself but I did pass it at age 14! It just
took some effort on my part. As far as extra class hams acting like children
on the radio, there are always idiots out there. These guys just happened to
pass their code exam. For someone to associate 21 WPM with how someone
behaves is a big leap of faith.
possum belly wrote in message <8988q3$881$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <38B7964E.4E0A7A81@SYMPATICO.CA>,
> RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA> wrote:
>> Eh! what planet are you from? I do not understand "gue gue gue gue
>mombo
>
>gram you dum ass pourquoi feignez-vous pour ?tre jean-guy, VE2AJB?
>Direz-vous jamais la v?rit??J'ai entendu cela. BWAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAH
>juste comme le woger avec la t?te ovale et d?form?e. tandis qu'il crie
>toute la journ?e ? sa m?re - apportez-moi ma bouillie de ma?s! J'ai
>entendu cela. laissez-ainsi le soit ?crit - ainsi laissez-le soit fait
>amen.
>
>give it up gram. you cant pass fer a frog.J'ai entendu cela.
>
>--
>DR Possum Eugene Belly
>Rockit Siamtist
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

5309. FS: Parts for Yeasu FT-101Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com
Message-ID: <898i0e$m2f$1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

For sale parts for FT-101Z.

Ask what you need and make an offer. All parts are in good working conditions unless otherwise noted.

Buyer to pay S/H.

Send all inquires to servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com

5310. Re: MFJ 1278B INFO PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: lak <lak@radio.land.com>
Message-ID: <Oy1sw5Fg$GA.249@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 118391
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have a 1278B and an Alinco DX-70T running with no "hash" problems. Was this a 2 meter or HF setup and what type of Radio was used.

My Icom 2100H works very well with it on VHF. However, an old Pace Communicator II is interfered with by my PC. This is also the same radio that gets trashed every time I go near a city with more than 3 commerical radios systems in it.

john <w9jcm@.reno.quik.com> wrote in message news:EcIt4.5180$QN6.336159@newsfeed.intelenet.net...
> DONT BUY IT!! I HAD ONE IT WAS HORRIBLE.. YES I COPIED AND SENT GOOD BUT IT
> THROWS HASH INTO YOUR RADIO.. REALLY BAD.. MFJ DIDNT DO TO GOOD OF A JOB IN
> IT.. BUY A 232 AGAIN OR KAM..
> genno wrote in message <38b582b3$0$1399@news.execpc.com>...
> >Can anyone give me an opinion on the 1278b MFJ tnc. My pk232 died and got
> to
> >get another tnc.
> >
> >thanks..
> >
> >gene/wm9h
> >
> >wm9h@execpc.comzz
> >
> >drop the zz.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5311. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jay <mung@bean.com>
Message-ID: <W%Qt4.47$uL2.5983@nnrp.gol.com>
Source record: 118392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmmm....good point Mr. Denley

Furthermore, wasn't Jesus Jewish? Or did his followers refer to him as Rabbi for some other reason. Maybe the TEMPLE where he spoke at when he was twelve was something other than a Jewish temple. Maybe he was Buddhist? Afterall, we do know that Jerusalem is full of Buddhists.

Maybe I've been living in Japan too long. Has the US changed so much since I was at university 10years ago? Please tell me that Mr. Davies is the extreme and not the norm.

Regards,
Jason Glavy
Yokohama, Japan

5312. Re: MFJ 1278B INFO PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <floydboyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <38B7DD2B.623BA102@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118393
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

i use a 1270b,no problems into my htx-202,had pk-232,sorry i sold it,i
miss the multi-mode capabilities.
ran 1270b with end user eprom,now use 'ded burn in eprom.so if
multi-mode is not
needed,go for it.
i also use procomm 9k6 tnc.
ttyl de dave-n2rhl

5313. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <898mmi$3jp8$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 118394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"jay" <mung@bean.com> wrote in message
news:W%Qt4.47$uL2.5983@nnrp.gol.com...
> Hmmmm....good point Mr. Denley
>
>
> Maybe I've been living in Japan too long. Has the US changed so much
since
> I was at university 10years ago? Please tell me that Mr. Davies is the
> extreme and not the norm.
>

Heck, we're a country of some 270 million last time I checked--we've a got a
little of everything. Even Lloyd....

Donald Reeve

5314. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Edwards <ham@cybercomm.net>
Message-ID: <898ms1$338$1@ostrich.cybercomm.net>
Source record: 118395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Blah Blah Blah.... Get rid of code, its a dinosaur, what good is it?
Why do I need it? Its about time!

Well, you are a witness to the beginning of the end of Ham Radio.
At some point your answer will be...
Thats why we needed it!
...Dave

5315. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Edwards <ham@cybercomm.net>
Message-ID: <898mmq$2vb$1@ostrich.cybercomm.net>
Source record: 118396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:kqmfbscjg0qtjc3n6h02ke4p1jjfpu7f8k@4ax.com...
> "Dale McCarthy" <dale_mccarthy@lvcm.com>, speaking for the crew of the
Mothership,
> wrote... :
>
> >I could never figure out what was so difficult about a 5 WPM code test.
Sure
> >I had to make a few attempts myself but I did pass it at age 14!
>
> At 14, I was taking 4 'advanced level' classes plus playing 1st chair
clarinet
> in my jr. hi marching band.
>
> Nothing to brag about!
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

5316. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhawk@megabits.net
Message-ID: <38b7dc81.3421128@news.megabits.net>
Source record: 118397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Feb 2000 13:59:11 GMT, Bud the Real <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
wrote:

>"Dale McCarthy" <dale_mccarthy@lvcm.com>, speaking for the crew of the Mothership,
>wrote... :
>
>>I could never figure out what was so difficult about a 5 WPM code test. Sure
>>I had to make a few attempts myself but I did pass it at age 14!
>
>At 14, I was taking 4 'advanced level' classes plus playing 1st chair clarinet
>in my jr. hi marching band.
>
Not surpriseing, you do blow a lot of HOT AIR..
>
>
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

5317. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <38B7EB90.396AECB9@dimensional.com>
Source record: 118398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Just goes to show you can "prove" anything with statistical hand waving.
For instance, 100% of those offending hams use toilet paper. Maybe the
FCC should outlaw toilet paper.

73,

Marv
AB0IO

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>
> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> reading this post.
>
> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>
> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> test!
>
> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>
> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> rightfully so.
>
> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>
> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>
> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>
> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and

> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>
> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>
> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> required.
>
> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> our lack of interest.
>
> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>
> See you at the museum.
>
> Dan
> N2TYB

5318. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Flanagan <markf@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <sbfqdsnlr2a74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Oh, you've gotta be kidding. If it is the the beginning of the end the presence or absence of Morse code speed testing has nothing to do with it. It's due mostly to the lack of time to spend on a hobby where you sit for hours and chat on a radio in todays world. That's why Ham radio is still popular in retirement and handicap communities.

Mark, K1MKF

David Edwards <ham@cybercomm.net> wrote in message news:898ms1$338$1@ostrich.cybercomm.net...
> Blah Blah Blah.... Get rid of code, its a dinosaur, what good is it?
> Why do I need it? Its about time!
>
> Well, you are a witness to the beginning of the end of Ham Radio.
> At some point your answer will be...
> Thats why we needed it!
> ...Dave
>
>

5319. Re: EVER WONDER WHY HIS PICTURE IS NOT ON WEB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8990gk$ms9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118400

DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:8GJt4.13163
$Wv2.150758@news2.mia...
> Sure, Roger can dish it out, but cant take it!

Yeah I can...just ask the many women I've had..something you haven't
huh, Lloyd?
Lloyd here suffers from being a 210 lb "itsy bitsy man," who draws
derisive laughter from his very small tool size. Hell, Lloyd, the
ladies need more than about 3 inches......Bwhahahahahahahahahahahaha!

Lloyd's not very smart anyways:
>Subject:Re: Would like a tutor to help with Code
>In article <6t6nto$gtm1@odie.mcleod.net>, "Lloyd,
N0VFP"<davies.lloyd@NOSPAMmcleodusa.net> writes:
>Hello,
>I am going to our club's Novice/Tech class, in which they said they
will
>emphizse more on CW this time around. I would like to upgrade my
liecense
>soon, at lease 5wpm or 13wpm. My probelm is that I tried for 6 years
now,
>but can't seem to get past a certain point in the code, say up to a
certain
>letter, then get stuck and get confused.
>I have the ARRL tapes, Gorden West tapes and several CW programs
including Super morse.
>I know of several programs like Code Quick, but not really willing to
spend the money on them. I you have a used copy to sell me, I'd
consider it.
>Thanks for reading this, Any help is welcome.
>73
>Lloyd Davies, N0VFP
>n0vfp@NOSPAM.qsl.net
>http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

And btw, the only reason my picture isn't on the web is because I don't
have a scanner.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE-ARRL/W5YI|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5320. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8990jr$mu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <frCt4.12363$Wv2.139374@news2.mia>,
"DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:
> I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine folks?
In the
> early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the two
big "S"'s
> of SW "Stair and Strom" They eached seemed to have a message to
preach.
> Strom talked about the importance in being white and staying white.
Stair
> preached about this being the "Last Generation" and called himself
the "Last
> Day Prophet of God" Anyways, I was about 19 at the time i started
intently
> listening to these 2, the big "S"'s of Shortwave, ironically both
coming on
> WRNO. Stair would be on all night long during the week and Strom
would be
> on during the weekends.
>
> I just want to say that some of my core beliefs were formed by
listening to
> these two, and that I is what i'm proud of. I just feel that people
should
> let them do the work God has called them to do.
>
> --

Dear Lloyd,
I have thought alot about whether to respond to this or not, because it seems no one can get through to you on the subject of Bro. Stair. Since you feel Bro. Stair is so wonderful I can say you are a religious person. What you do not understand is this, Bro. Stair does not know the truth, the way or the life that is clearly set forth in the Bible. He takes already fulfilled prophecies of Israel and applies it to the Chruch today. You can't do that Lloyd!!! That is called taking Scripture out of context. He does not know enough about the original text to even know the meanings of most words he uses to try and prove his theology. He has way too many failed prophecies under his hat. He said he was saved at the age of 16. He quite school and went right into the ministry WITHOUT PROPER training/studies and who does he have as mentors??? People like A.A. Allen who was a drunk and claimed to raise the dead and had castout demons in glass jars. I say, what a way to

start out in the ministry.
Are you going to rely on the authority of a man or the authority of the Bible??? Look what it says about the authority of the Bible in 2 Tim. 3:16, Hebrews 1:1-3, Hebrews 2:1-4, John 16:13, 1 Thess. 2:13. The Bible is complete and no more will we have a man come along and proclaim new ideas or events to take place. All we need to know is already in the Bible. It is a done deal and there is nothing Bro. Stair knows that anyone who reads the Bible can know. We DO NOT NEED Bro. Stair for ONE THING> In fact we could do so much better without false prophets like him.
Stop trusting in man, who has but a breath in his nostrils. Of what account is he?? Isaiah 2:22
Jesus loves you!!! How do I know??? Because the Bible tells me so. :)
Sometimes we have to get back to the simplicity of the gospel to see straight again. And God help all those that rely on Bro. Stair, for whatever it is they rely on Bro. STair for???
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5321. Re: i not long fer this hear noose grup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8990oamuo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118402

```
In article <89837m$51m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> sorry to repoort but it the truce. i not long fer this hear noose
> grup.no wat i meen???? the nomads is werk in bee hine the seen. like
> they all ways do fer at leest 2000 yeers. they bout too has me cut
off.
> i can here them yell in - crucy five him crucy five him - jus like
they
> all ways do. i here them yell in - give us brer rabbot give up brer
> rabbot - jus like they all ways do. no wat i meen???? they is thursty
> fer the bloot of a baptilized bee liver. so i soon bee wid you no
moore.
> jus member me fer wat i sed. how i whipped ole queer daddy DR Hate
AY2f
> frum pillar to post. how i showt ole sloop woger to be an elongitated
> deeformed hed freek a zoid frum mars. an how i tolt the truce on gram.
> the inep spoofer who trolls fer transvestitites. an he is stil boot
> legger in on 20 meeters to this very day.no wat i meen???? so if i
quit
> post in. you no the nomads got me. i was solt out fer 30 peaces a
> filbert. no wat i meen???? but i gone be watts in evry think wat
> happens. an i will reeturnt wen i is leest expectalated.no wat i
> meen???? so let it be ritten so let it be dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Poor baby. Your flaming "skills" were as follows:
1) You're obese
2) You a fag
3) You're a welfare man/sponger

Your skills are like everything else in your life....substandard.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
```

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5322. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89912o$n8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118403

DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:zFJt4.13162
$Wv2.150865@news2.mia...
> AMEN!

This is what Lloyd says when he's handling the preacher's snake at his
church of choice.

"YOU ARE NOTHING BUT A LYING JEW WHO LOVES TO CONTROL EVEYTHING AROUND
HIM.
Drools the sub-human antisemite N0VFP, Lloyd Davies in
Message-ID: <19990730080252.21150.00003002@ng-fx1.aol.com>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5323. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89919r$nb1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118404

Totallly ignorant of history as with everything else,
DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:rFJt4.13161
$Wv2.150735@news2.mia...
> Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.......
^^^^^^^^^
What the fuck is this, Lloyd? I know your education is extremely
lacking, but at least get your lies' spelling correct. The word
is "elongated," you dimwit.
Too bad it's a lie, made up by Steve the fag Tonduhhh who so
desperately wanted my picture so he could finally ejaculate.

Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM wrote in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
<....>
>There are those call Liberty People "Nazis"
>What does supposed to mean? I thought a Nazi was a communist.

Bwhahahahahahahaha..idiot!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5324. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8991g0ndn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118405

BDF <bumologist@pipeline.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.132129fb184ca2f89896b2@news.pipeline.com...
> In article <frCt4.12363$Wv2.139374@news2.mia>,
> lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net says...
> > I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine
folks? In the
> > early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the two
big "S"'s
> > of SW "Stair and Strom" They eached seemed to have a message to
preach.
> > Strom talked about the importance in being white and staying
white. Stair
> > preached about this being the "Last Generation" and called himself
the "Last
> > Day Prophet of God" Anyways, I was about 19 at the time i started
intently
> > listening to these 2, the big "S"'s of Shortwave, ironically both
coming on
> > WRNO. Stair would be on all night long during the week and Strom
would be
> > on during the weekends.
>
> >>>>this is getting to be masochistic or more likely a troll.When you
> were 19,you didn't have ANYTHING better to do than listen to that BS?
> What happened to girls,cars,drinking(with some control),hanging out,
> computers,video games,etc,etc...Good old Lloyd,sittin around listining
> to WRNO.Wheeeewwww!!!
> And one question Lloyd,since you are born white,or not,how are you
> "being" white or not,and how do you not be white anymore??
>
> >
> > I just want to say that some of my core beliefs were formed by
listening to
> > these two, and that I is what i'm proud of. I just feel that
people should
> > let them do the work God has called them to do.
>
> >>>>>>So that explains why you...Uh,oh,never mind,but it explains a
lot.
> I doubt god has much to do with either one of your two "heros".Good
luck
> on "staying white",with a lot of work,I'm sure you'll do it!!!!!!!!!!
> barry

Lloyd is white.....trash.
Lloyd A Davies (n0vfp@aol.com) wrote:
> No Doc,
>
> We have just begun. The Whites will rise again and take back what
the Jews
> have taken and then some!
>
> Get it?
>
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841, ARRL member
> Athens, Alabama EM64

> > --
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications

A "Chief of Communications" who lacks the basic communications
skills....how ironic!

> > Athens, AL 35611

Where all the inbred Davis family lives!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5325. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b80635.2252217@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

The color is blue on planet earth.

I haven't painted anyone. Facts are facts, stats don't lie.

>WHAT COLOUR IS THE SKY ON YOUR PLANET ?? AS YOU APPEAR TO PAINT ALL CODE
>HAMS WITH THE SAME PAINT BRUSH!!!!
>
>GRAHAM VA3GJB
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
>> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
>> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>>
>> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
>> reading this post.
>>
>> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
>> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>>
>> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
>> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
>> test!
>>
>> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
>> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
>> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
>> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>>
>> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
>> rightfully so.
>>
>> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
>> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>>
>> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
>> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
>> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>>
>> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>>
>> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
>> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and

>> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
>> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
>> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>>
>> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
>> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
>> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>>
>> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
>> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
>> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
>> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
>> required.
>>
>> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
>> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
>> our lack of interest.
>>
>> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>>
>> See you at the museum.
>>
>> Dan
>> N2TYB
>

5326. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b806ac.2371770@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Just the facts M'am....

You make your own conclusions

>I could never figure out what was so difficult about a 5 WPM code test. Sure
>I had to make a few attempts myself but I did pass it at age 14! It just
>took some effort on my part. As far as extra class hams acting like children
>on the radio, there are always idiots out there. These guys just happened to
>pass their code exam. For someone to associate 21 WPM with how someone
>behaves is a big leap of faith.
>possum belly wrote in message <8988q3$881$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>>In article <38B7964E.4E0A7A81@SYMPATICO.CA>,
>> RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA> wrote:
>>> Eh! what planet are you from? I do not understand "gue gue gue gue
>>mombo
>>
>>gram you dum ass pourquoi feignez-vous pour ?tre jean-guy, VE2AJB?
>>Direz-vous jamais la v?rit??J'ai entendu cela. BWAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAH
>>juste comme le woger avec la t?te ovale et d?form?e. tandis qu'il crie
>>toute la journ?e ? sa m?re - apportez-moi ma bouillie de ma?s! J'ai
>>entendu cela. laissez-ainsi le soit ?crit - ainsi laissez-le soit fait
>>amen.
>>
>>give it up gram. you cant pass fer a frog.J'ai entendu cela.
>>
>>--
>>DR Possum Eugene Belly
>>Rockit Siamtist
>>
>>
>>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>>Before you buy.
>
>

5327. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b8071f.2486662@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

This is a brilliant statement if I ever saw one....

>Just goes to show you can "prove" anything with statistical hand waving.
>For instance, 100% of those offending hams use toilet paper. Maybe the
>FCC should outlaw toilet paper.
>
>73,
>
>Marv
>AB0IO
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
>> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
>> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>>
>> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
>> reading this post.
>>
>> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
>> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>>
>> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
>> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
>> test!
>>
>> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
>> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
>> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
>> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>>
>> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
>> rightfully so.
>>
>> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
>> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>>
>> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
>> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
>> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>>
>> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...

>>
>> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
>> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
>> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
>> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
>> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>>
>> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
>> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
>> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>>
>> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
>> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
>> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
>> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
>> required.
>>
>> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
>> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
>> our lack of interest.
>>
>> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>>
>> See you at the museum.
>>
>> Dan
>> N2TYB
>

5328. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7FUt4.605$ad7.28331@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

FCC doesn't makes laws....so what you say is nonsense.

Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:38B7EB90.396AECB9@dimensional.com...
> Just goes to show you can "prove" anything with statistical hand waving.
> For instance, 100% of those offending hams use toilet paper. Maybe the
> FCC should outlaw toilet paper.
>
> 73,
>
> Marv
> AB0IO
>
> "Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> > This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> > Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
> >
> > It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> > reading this post.
> >
> > What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> > to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
> >
> > Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> > past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> > test!
> >
> > Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> > sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> > testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> > largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
> >
> > He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> > rightfully so.
> >
> > These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> > regarding amateur radio test requirements.
> >
> > After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> > a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> > British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> > this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!

> >
> > Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
> >
> > 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> > after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> > 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> > across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> > time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
> >
> > The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> > acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> > elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
> >
> > brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> > generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> > only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> > video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> > required.
> >
> > The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> > will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> > our lack of interest.
> >
> > All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
> >
> > See you at the museum.
> >
> > Dan
> > N2TYB
>

5329. FS: Drake 7 line manuals copies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9sqg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000226133959.01655.00000276@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 118410

Can provide a packet of copies of TR-7 service manual, R7 service manual, and owner's manuals for just about everything in the 7 line. Shipped conus only priority mail for $26.

73

Evan

5330. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: erhu@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <38b824be.4016230@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 118411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Feb 2000 06:48:30 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
>Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>
>It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
>reading this post.
>
>What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
>to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>
>Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
>past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
>test!
>
>Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
>sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
>testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
>largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>
>He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
>rightfully so.
>
>These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
>regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>
>After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
>British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
>this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>
>Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>
>5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
>after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
>6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
>across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
>time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>
>The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
>acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
>elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.

>
>brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
>generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
>only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
>video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
>required.
>
>The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
>will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
>our lack of interest.
>
>All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>
>See you at the museum.

Dan,
I learned code at 5WPM, I studdied for classes, I went to Ham Radio Outlet (to purchase several hundred dollars worth of radio equip.), I went to a club meeting, I talked on the air, I got out of the hobby!!!!!!!(Club meeting consisted of bickering BTW).

ARRL has an elitist attitude, Gordon West is an egotistical S.O.B., Ham Radio Outlet WAS full of rude personel (they burned down recentley.) and the traffic on the airwaves wasn't much better than I experienced on CB, I sold my equipment.

With a scanner, cell phone, computer and fax, I don't need anything Ham Radio has to offer. Nor do I think It is appealing as it once was to the youth of our nation.

I went to the Local Library Thursday night and right there in the foyer was a display of QSL cards, keys, photos and equipment from the glory days of the hobby. The Item that really caught my eye was a satin blue jacket with a large inscription on the back, "Buena Park Amature Radio Club", intrestingly, there was a letter placed right beside it that told of the club's history right up to 1999. It ended with a line that said "Club Disbanded."

Your precious air bands are being used less and less as the FCC and ARRL find it extremley difficult to come of age and appeal to the potential customer base of future radio owners.

Yes, I do belive that one day the bands will be sold to a private communications company if only for the FCC to get a quick cash infusion. The top dogs of that agency don't really care about Hams or Ham Radio, they only are concerned with their jobs.

The real guardians of the airwaves were the ARRL and the Hams themselves and as of late they both have proved beyond a doubt that neither of them is capable of keeping this hobby up to speed. The ARRL is a condescending dinasaur and the Hams themselves have been bickering amongst themselves for far too long.

Yeah, I'll see you in the museum sooner than later I think.

Neal
Anaheim,CA

>
>Dan
>N2TYB

5331. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000226160724.19365.00001901@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 118412

I've tried. Lloyd will tell ya that I'm sure. We disagree on a lot. But believe it or not we also agree on a lot. I, and others do not like being verbally attacked. Understand? I still talk to Lloyd. The anti-semitism, racist crap isn't the way to go. You want to save the race? Do it by example. SHOW that your race is better by example. Spouting off slurs LOWERS (in character), defiles and runs completely opposite to what you are trying to say and do. Running down others (race/religion) in order to uplift yours isn't productive or advantageous. I'm sure even you can see that from the attitudes and responses Lloyd and others like him have attracted. You are your own worst enemy and don't even know it.

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5332. Re: cancel <8911gs$11p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <899hah$20j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118413

In article <LPUs4.7101$7G4.40858@news3.atl>,
kc8jbo@my-deja.com wrote:
> This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
>

Poor w4amp, just like his cockroaches in his dirty hovel, he can't
stand to see himself brought into the light.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5333. AF2Y: I'll be back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <899h1h$br5$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118414

To all those who called and e-mailed today telling me of the Eagle Wisconsin Cheesehead's attacks on me on 14.313 throughout the day, I'm one step ahead of you. I've been busy with paperwork since early this morning and have not been able to get on the air but have been listening on my Kenwood R1000. When time allows I shall rip him a new one on the air and on the Internet because I have a most interesting narrative to offer about that particular bozo. And speaking of bozo's, congratulations Don Anderson, W4JYZ, for telling W9XV, another Wisconsin Wussie, not to speak to you. My man Donald has that guy pegged just right.
AF2Y in the Eastern Liberal Establishment where KE9RY chose to come in his hour of need!

5334. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chas <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <38B85D4F.781D@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 118415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote:
>
> FCC doesn't makes laws....so what you say is nonsense.

?????? They don't????? Since when? Who the heck has been making them
then? Are we all deluded into thinking that they exist or is it congress
and the president that make all radio laws and rules? What is part 97
then?

> Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:38B7EB90.396AECB9@dimensional.com...
> > Just goes to show you can "prove" anything with statistical hand waving.
> > For instance, 100% of those offending hams use toilet paper. Maybe the
> > FCC should outlaw toilet paper.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Marv
> > AB0IO
> >
> > "Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> >
> > > This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> > > Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
> > >
> > > It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> > > reading this post.
> > >
> > > What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> > > to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
> > >
> > > Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> > > past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> > > test!
> > >
> > > Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> > > sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> > > testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> > > largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
> > >
> > > He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> > > rightfully so.
> > >
> > > These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups

> > > regarding amateur radio test requirements.
> > >
> > > After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> > > a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> > > British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> > > this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
> > >
> > > Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
> > >
> > > 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> > > after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> > > 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> > > across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> > > time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
> > >
> > > The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> > > acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> > > elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
> > >
> > > brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> > > generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> > > only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> > > video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> > > required.
> > >
> > > The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> > > will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> > > our lack of interest.
> > >
> > > All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
> > >
> > > See you at the museum.
> > >
> > > Dan
> > > N2TYB
> >

5335. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chas <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <38B85E7A.40A@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 118416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Stats don't lie, however people use them in incorrect fashion to
prove their points. I seriously doubt there is a correlation between
knowing and using morse code makes you a law breaker. This asinine logic
is the same as when I hear someone say that because a few hunters are
disrespectful of other peoples property, all are that way. NOT!

Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> The color is blue on planet earth.
>
> I haven't painted anyone. Facts are facts, stats don't lie.
>
> >WHAT COLOUR IS THE SKY ON YOUR PLANET ?? AS YOU APPEAR TO PAINT ALL CODE
> >HAMS WITH THE SAME PAINT BRUSH!!!!
> >
> >GRAHAM VA3GJB
> >
> >"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> >
> >> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> >> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
> >>
> >> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> >> reading this post.
> >>
> >> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> >> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
> >>
> >> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> >> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> >> test!
> >>
> >> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> >> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> >> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> >> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
> >>
> >> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> >> rightfully so.
> >>
> >> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> >> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
> >>

> >> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> >> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> >> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> >> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
> >>
> >> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
> >>
> >> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> >> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> >> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> >> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> >> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
> >>
> >> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> >> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> >> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
> >>
> >> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> >> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> >> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> >> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> >> required.
> >>
> >> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> >> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> >> our lack of interest.
> >>
> >> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
> >>
> >> See you at the museum.
> >>
> >> Dan
> >> N2TYB
> >

5336. Re: AF2Y says it's uncalled for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000226181303.17171.00002364@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 118417

Good website to point out TL. Full of quotes from Doc's hero. LOL
KK4TL wrote:
>You tell 'em doc. The Amazing AlGore invented a lot more than just the
>internet. He invented the electric light, the automobile, the airplane, the
>VLSI integrated circuit and a whole bunch of other stuff. Don't believe it ?
>
>Visit this web page and then you'll see the truth. Even Prophet Stair
>couldn't
>tell the truth any better than this.
>http://www.interstat.net/gargaro/algore.html
>
>

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5337. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b85fca.1887913@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Are you kidding me?

Another brillianst statement. No, the Congress makes the laws, the
FCC enforces them. The stats cited are based on FCC enforcement
action. Period.

Remove foot from mouth and learn to read.

However, if this is in fact nonsense, then so be it.

>FCC doesn't makes laws....so what you say is nonsense.
>
>
>Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com> wrote in message
>news:38B7EB90.396AECB9@dimensional.com...
>> Just goes to show you can "prove" anything with statistical hand waving.
>> For instance, 100% of those offending hams use toilet paper. Maybe the
>> FCC should outlaw toilet paper.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Marv
>> AB0IO
>>
>> "Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>>
>> > This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
>> > Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>> >
>> > It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
>> > reading this post.
>> >
>> > What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
>> > to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>> >
>> > Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
>> > past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
>> > test!
>> >
>> > Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
>> > sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
>> > testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
>> > largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>> >

>> > He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
>> > rightfully so.
>> >
>> > These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
>> > regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>> >
>> > After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>> > a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
>> > British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
>> > this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>> >
>> > Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>> >
>> > 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
>> > after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
>> > 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
>> > across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
>> > time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>> >
>> > The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
>> > acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
>> > elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>> >
>> > brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
>> > generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
>> > only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
>> > video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
>> > required.
>> >
>> > The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
>> > will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
>> > our lack of interest.
>> >
>> > All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>> >
>> > See you at the museum.
>> >
>> > Dan
>> > N2TYB
>>
>
>

5338. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b85f4f.1764812@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Conversely,

If the "no-code" Techs were the low-life CBers the "advanced" hams
claim them to be, wouldn't the stats be reversed, with the no-code
Techs being the Lion's share of violators?

Dan

>Stats don't lie, however people use them in incorrect fashion to
>prove their points. I seriously doubt there is a correlation between
>knowing and using morse code makes you a law breaker. This asinine logic
>is the same as when I hear someone say that because a few hunters are
>disrespectful of other peoples property, all are that way. NOT!
>
>Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>>
>> The color is blue on planet earth.
>>
>> I haven't painted anyone. Facts are facts, stats don't lie.
>>
>> >WHAT COLOUR IS THE SKY ON YOUR PLANET ?? AS YOU APPEAR TO PAINT ALL CODE
>> >HAMS WITH THE SAME PAINT BRUSH!!!!
>> >
>> >GRAHAM VA3GJB
>> >
>> >"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>> >
>> >> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
>> >> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>> >>
>> >> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
>> >> reading this post.
>> >>
>> >> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
>> >> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>> >>
>> >> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
>> >> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
>> >> test!
>> >>
>> >> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
>> >> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
>> >> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
>> >> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.

>> >>
>> >> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
>> >> rightfully so.
>> >>
>> >> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
>> >> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>> >>
>> >> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>> >> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
>> >> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
>> >> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>> >>
>> >> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>> >>
>> >> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
>> >> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
>> >> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
>> >> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
>> >> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>> >>
>> >> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
>> >> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
>> >> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>> >>
>> >> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
>> >> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
>> >> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
>> >> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
>> >> required.
>> >>
>> >> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
>> >> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
>> >> our lack of interest.
>> >>
>> >> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>> >>
>> >> See you at the museum.
>> >>
>> >> Dan
>> >> N2TYB
>> >

5339. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b86086.2075499@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Finally, an intelligent response to this posting......
>
>Dan,
> I learned code at 5WPM, I studdied for classes, I went to Ham
>Radio Outlet (to purchase several hundred dollars worth of radio
>equip.), I went to a club meeting, I talked on the air, I got out of
>the hobby!!!!!!!(Club meeting consisted of bickering BTW).

I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
nothing.
The niggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
there...

> ARRL has an elitist attitude, Gordon West is an egotistical
>S.O.B., Ham Radio Outlet WAS full of rude personel (they burned down
>recentley.) and the traffic on the airwaves wasn't much better than I
>experienced on CB, I sold my equipment.

Agreed..

> With a scanner, cell phone, computer and fax, I don't need
>anything Ham Radio has to offer. Nor do I think It is appealing as it
>once was to the youth of our nation.
>
> I went to the Local Library Thursday night and right there in the
>foyer was a display of QSL cards, keys, photos and equipment from the
>glory days of the hobby. The Item that really caught my eye was a
>satin blue jacket with a large inscription on the back, "Buena Park
>Amature Radio Club", intrestingly, there was a letter placed right
>beside it that told of the club's history right up to 1999. It ended
>with a line that said "Club Disbanded."

WHat a shame...

> Your precious air bands are being used less and less as the FCC
>and ARRL find it extremley difficult to come of age and appeal to the
>potential customer base of future radio owners.
>
> Yes, I do belive that one day the bands will be sold to a private
>communications company if only for the FCC to get a quick cash
>infusion. The top dogs of that agency don't really care about Hams or
>Ham Radio, they only are concerned with their jobs.

It is unreal just how lucrative a spectrum of radio frequencies is...

> The real guardians of the airwaves were the ARRL and the Hams
>themselves and as of late they both have proved beyond a doubt that
>neither of them is capable of keeping this hobby up to speed. The ARRL
>is a condescending dinasaur and the Hams themselves have been
>bickering amongst themselves for far too long.
>
> Yeah, I'll see you in the museum sooner than later I think.

See you there...! I'll have my laptop and wireless MODEM!!!

>Neal
>Anaheim,CA
>
>
>>
>>Dan
>>N2TYB
>

5340. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chas <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <38B862F2.A@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 118421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Certainly there has been some wrong comments aimed at no-codes, some
may have been justified because of the "attitude" toward learning cw.
Its unfortunate that childish attitudes on both sides of this issue
result in more of the same, one side reacts by spewing more nonsense,
then the other counters with even more, a never ending cycle, but this
idea that stats prove anything is way off base.

Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> Conversely,
>
> If the "no-code" Techs were the low-life CBers the "advanced" hams
> claim them to be, wouldn't the stats be reversed, with the no-code
> Techs being the Lion's share of violators?
>
> Dan
>
> >Stats don't lie, however people use them in incorrect fashion to
> >prove their points. I seriously doubt there is a correlation between
> >knowing and using morse code makes you a law breaker. This asinine logic
> >is the same as when I hear someone say that because a few hunters are
> >disrespectful of other peoples property, all are that way. NOT!
> >
> >Daniel M. Conti wrote:
> >>
> >> The color is blue on planet earth.
> >>
> >> I haven't painted anyone. Facts are facts, stats don't lie.
> >>
> >> >WHAT COLOUR IS THE SKY ON YOUR PLANET ?? AS YOU APPEAR TO PAINT ALL CODE
> >> >HAMS WITH THE SAME PAINT BRUSH!!!!
> >> >
> >> >GRAHAM VA3GJB
> >> >
> >> >"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> >> >> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
> >> >>
> >> >> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> >> >> reading this post.
> >> >>
> >> >> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative

> >> >> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
> >> >>
> >> >> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> >> >> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> >> >> test!
> >> >>
> >> >> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> >> >> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> >> >> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> >> >> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
> >> >>
> >> >> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> >> >> rightfully so.
> >> >>
> >> >> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> >> >> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
> >> >>
> >> >> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> >> >> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> >> >> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> >> >> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
> >> >>
> >> >> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> >> >> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> >> >> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> >> >> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> >> >> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
> >> >>
> >> >> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> >> >> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> >> >> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
> >> >>
> >> >> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> >> >> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> >> >> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> >> >> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> >> >> required.
> >> >>
> >> >> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> >> >> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> >> >> our lack of interest.
> >> >>
> >> >> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
> >> >>
> >> >> See you at the museum.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan
> >> >> N2TYB

> >> >

5341. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <rusgbsslo3jpog3utlutfikb25j797qs21@4ax.com>
Source record: 118422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>Another brillianst statement. No, the Congress makes the laws, the
>FCC enforces them. The stats cited are based on FCC enforcement
>action. Period.

The FCC makes policy BASED ON LAW. It does not make law.

>Remove foot from mouth and learn to read.

Go back to civics class.

>However, if this is in fact nonsense, then so be it.

>>FCC doesn't makes laws....so what you say is nonsense.

This whole thread is nonsense. Morse is dead. Get over it.

Ham radio is dying. Do something to save it, or get used to that, too.
All this whining and finger pointing does no good whatsoever.

Oh, and the lot of you....

LEARN TO EDIT! Sheesh!
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

5342. NEED M1225 RSS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darkwoods <gregr54@watchmail.com>
Message-ID: <sbgtdd3vr2a134@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118423

I tried to read a m1225 with my rss version2 and it is unable to read the radio. Can someone please send me version 3 or give me some hints to use my version two on this radio.

Thanks

5343. Re: VX-5R Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Coredump <coredump@noxspam.enteract.com>
Message-ID: <47ugbs0rf3m1642orvsufjstm5omp94aft@4ax.com>
Source record: 118424

On Sun, 27 Feb 2000 00:43:10 GMT, hams@qru.com wrote:

>
> Will someone with a Yeasu VX-1R or VX-5R please post some likes and
>dislikes on same....Thank you.... 73......
>

Only a few 'minor' dislikes about the VX-5R.

It could use a better belt clip. There is a better replacement, but I
haven't tracked it down yet.

I'd also rather the transmit coverage was 144-220-440 rather than
50-144-440.

Other than that it's a great radio. Small, light, flexible, hears very well
pretty much from AM broadcast thru 1GHz, with a few 'holes' but not many.
(no cellular). Sounds very good, clear crisp audio.

I'd buy it again.

John - K9IJ

--
coredump@NOSPAM.enteract.com
http://www.enteract.com/~coredump
Living the life on the Information Superhighway

5344. WTB: UNIDEN HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eagle One <zbr@edmail.com>
Message-ID: <pc%t4.2207$l06.255718@news1.primary.net>
Source record: 118425
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

I want to buy several Uniden HR-2510 10 meter radios. New or used. Please include your asking price on first e-mail. Send replys to: radiobuyer@hotmail.com

5345. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <38B88492.4C75EB18@dimensional.com>
Source record: 118426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Seems to me you could teach master classes in whining.

73,

Marv
AB0IO

> <snip>
>
> This whole thread is nonsense. Morse is dead. Get over it.
>
> Ham radio is dying. Do something to save it, or get used to that, too.
> All this whining and finger pointing does no good whatsoever.
>
> Oh, and the lot of you....
>
> LEARN TO EDIT! Sheesh!
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

5346. Possum Belly frequencies.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002270235.VAA29591@cotse.com>
Source record: 118427

```
Listen to possum boobie on 28.310 as they go on booze runs
in their rusted out junker...hope it doesn't rain cause
that garbage bag on the side window won't keep out much
water.
--
Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
```

5347. Re: VX-5R Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Pake <spake@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <89a3am$19go@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 118428

VX-5R:

Likes
* 7.2V 1100mAh Li-Ion battery !!!! (5W output, NO "memory effects")
* Small Size, fits in jean/coat/whatever pocket, nook, or cranny
* center of gravity is low so it won't fall over with a bigger antenna
* does 6-meters too...although I haven't ever used it.
* wide RX band, multimode also (AM, WFM, NFM, covers commercial FM)
* Easy MARS/CAP mods using the programming software.

Dislikes
* does not have dual VFO's (would be nice)
* real cheesy belt clip that breaks easy (mine hasn't...yet)

All in all I think the VX-5R is a great radio. I've talked to other people
that have them and they all love them, I like mine, and I think it's
probalby one of the best HT's on the market. Also check out reviews of it
on www.eham.net

73's from N3UCN
Steve

<hams@qru.com> wrote in message news:38b87360.23639085@news.earthlink.net...
>
> Will someone with a Yeasu VX-1R or VX-5R please post some likes and
> dislikes on same....Thank you.... 73......
>
>

5348. W4AMP is a Good Ham....leave him alone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002270351.WAA29967@cotse.com>
Source record: 118429

W4AMP, James Vincent O'Brien da turd is a good ham...if you
like pickled pig's feet that is....
His pickling solution of choice is purchased in 12 ounce
cans or in brown bottles with a tax stamp on them...
Ya gotta love this guy, triple chins and all!
Give 'em heck, James. Give me a bump and I'll help you find
a new side window for your family rattle trap!
AF2Y
--
Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi

5349. libertynet gets OO card for broacast tapes & failure to id

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002270404.XAA00027@cotse.com>
Source record: 118430

```
k4gar of libertynet notoriety recieves OO cards for
commercial broacast tapes played during the net. also noted
in the violation notice was his failure to id. k4gar poked
fun of oo card and oo's during net.
--
Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
```

5350. Re: Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8977ufiba1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118465

Another gutless coward blubbered:
Path: news!ord-feed.news.verio.net!news.altair.com!uwvax!uchinews!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.enteract.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: plaintruth@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Roger Wiseman, 40 and living with MOM!
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2000 23:23:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 85
Message-ID: <8972tg$eu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <891muh$hl9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <896ht1$2k7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.62.188.227
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 25 23:23:32 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98;
DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x26.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
166.62.188.227
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDplaintruth
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:10158

<plaintruth@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:8972tg$eu6
$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Boy , this guy has the Mommas layabout grubber freak figured out to a
> T. Way to go Sir!! Tell it like it is. I can't see how Dan W4NTI
could
> keep his nose up this freaks ass all the timee. Oh well, diff strokes
> etc. How about a picture of "Slope Head". He is ashamed to send a
> picture to 14313 index, It would reveal his uglyness.
>
> Plaintruth

Hostname: usr56-dialup345.mix2.Atlanta.cw.net
IP Address: 166.62.188.227

Funny how these cowards always hide behind an alias....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5351. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <8978hbinr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118466

Child molester James Vincent O'Brien III <89754igic1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> ole elongitated deedformed hed frek a zoid woger is bak do in his same
> ole evol. he violetating the noose grup rules agin. jus like he all
> ways end up do in. i herd that. this the same crap got him the boot
> frum the beetle noose grup. an same fer the MST3K noose grup. he dont
> care bout no band with. i herd that. he dont care bout no rules. he
jus
> want to win. he thing he gone win sum think. BWAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA
as
> ole martian hed cackle wile Rufus paks his sad sak. i herd that. this
> the same crap he pool in prevous in card nations as Raven an Crow. he
> cant deny it. so he gone lie bout it. i herd that. i hope woger keep
up
> post in them binary in hear. it gone cost him yet a nuther providor.
i
> herd that. no wunder BDZ run his cone hed fag ass outta HFW. he never
> lern. he doom to a selve distructin loop. so let it be ritten. so let
> it be dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Poor baby. Now we can see the filthy dump you and your std ridden sow
Donna exist in, huh Dimmy?
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5352. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gitback@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8979fdjfo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118467

Keep up the good work Mr Possum Belly, You are for a fact number one!!

Slope Head is on the run, he is grabbing at straws, His ass is gone
from his ISP serverhas soon as their abuse .com gets all the goods on
elfreako Slopeeeee head.

Ever wondered why his picture is not on the web? He is uglYYYYYYYY and
his MoMMa is UGLYYYYYY, Know what I mean,,,,they would have to take the
picture of the 40 year old slouch nursing on MoMMa in the cracker box
house,, how disgusting!!

Keep up the good work Mr Possum Belly numero ono.

Gitback you "Slopehead"

In article <89754igic1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
possum belly <possumbelly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> ole elongitated deedformed hed frek a zoid woger is bak do in his same
> ole evol. he violetating the noose grup rules agin. jus like he all
> ways end up do in. i herd that. this the same crap got him the boot
> frum the beetle noose grup. an same fer the MST3K noose grup. he dont
> care bout no band with. i herd that. he dont care bout no rules. he
jus
> want to win. he thing he gone win sum think. BWAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHA
as
> ole martian hed cackle wile Rufus paks his sad sak. i herd that. this
> the same crap he pool in prevous in card nations as Raven an Crow. he
> cant deny it. so he gone lie bout it. i herd that. i hope woger keep
up
> post in them binary in hear. it gone cost him yet a nuther providor.
i
> herd that. no wunder BDZ run his cone hed fag ass outta HFW. he never
> lern. he doom to a selve distructin loop. so let it be ritten. so let
> it be dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Rockit Siamtist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5353. Re: AF2Y: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89788rava1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118468

radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and guess
>what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with CB,

I don't believe that it ever was the "in thing." In our one-hundred
year history, only a small percentage of each generation has shown
an interest in the self-learning of electronic theory, soldering,
reading and understanding schematics, collecting components,
assembling radios, communicating world-wide by RF. It never was
an everyman's hobby.

>was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace?

That's fine if they don't mind being tethered. They're helplessly
dependent upon untold billions of dollars of computer equipment
belonging to thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions
of miles of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens
of thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
running.

Jeff

5354. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: W4CSC@lostonthe.net
Message-ID: <19E2A71D8515158E.CC237068CD721BE8.E277076F0FA3153F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 118469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 25 Feb 2000 19:08:51 -0600, Jenny
<spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com> wrote:

>> Kim W5TIT
>
>First, I thought the FCC wasn't going to assign call signs with
>"offensive" type words, second, unless you are using your middle name as
>your first name, the call sign belongs to a "Mary".

My second choice for a vanity call was N4SEX. It's available. K4SEX
is a radio club in Atlanta, I think!

Larry W4CSC

5355. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000225220409.01209.00000604@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 118470

Give it up L-Rod. An excellent presentation .. and simultaneously an exercise in banging your head against the wall.

Of the 2 "S's", I think Kevin has more credibility and integrity. By far. At least he doesn't claim to be the last day revelator of some message from God. As such, he'll have egg on his face far less frequently. And he's not fleecing the elderly out of their social security. So ... 2 cheers for Kevin.

Or put more to the point:
Kevin Kevin
He da man
He don't fleece 'em
But Brother Stair can.

And I've never found Kevin so redundant as to proclaim the "importance of being white and staying white". Those who are white will no doubt stay that way. Not a lot of effort required here.

Furthermore, L-Rod, don't bet the farm that Mr. Davies learned an awful lot about bell curve distribution or any phenomena related thereto in "college".

Think of it this way, L-Rod. You can't protect people from themselves. A waste of time, energy and good intentions. Invest these attributes where you can make a difference.

5356. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <897ie0$pc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118471

In article <20000225220409.01209.00000604@ng-fx1.aol.com>,
kk4tl@aol.com (KK4TL) wrote:

> Give it up L-Rod. An excellent presentation .. and simultaneously an
> exercise in banging your head against the wall.

Thanks. Who knows...

> Think of it this way, L-Rod. You can't protect people from
> themselves. A waste of time, energy and good intentions. Invest
> these attributes where you can make a difference.

Well, Sunday I had to put my 12<unk> yo golden retriever/lab mix to sleep,
and today I pulled a couple of guys in a van out of some sand they were
stuck in, so I'm feeling full of charity for my fellow creatures. I
thought I'd try for threesies.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5357. Re: MFJ 1278B INFO PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: john
Message-ID: <EcIt4.5180$QN6.336159@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 118472
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

DONT BUY IT!! I HAD ONE IT WAS HORRIBLE.. YES I COPIED AND SENT GOOD BUT IT THROWS HASH INTO YOUR RADIO.. REALLY BAD.. MFJ DIDNT DO TO GOOD OF A JOB IN IT.. BUY A 232 AGAIN OR KAM..
genno wrote in message <38b582b3$0$1399@news.execpc.com>...
>Can anyone give me an opinion on the 1278b MFJ tnc. My pk232 died and got to
>get another tnc.
>
>thanks..
>
>gene/wm9h
>
>wm9h@execpc.comzz
>
>drop the zz.
>
>
>

5358. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000225232355.18904.00002010@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 118473

Good effort Lrod, I doubt it'll do any good.
But you tried, like so many of us.

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5359. Re: Svetlana out of business???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Mitchell <REMOkf7vyVE@amsatDEL.orgETE>
Message-ID: <3JIt4.8572$7c2.126035@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118474

You can visit their web site at http://www.svetlana.com. Judging from their extensive list of upcoming trade shows (on their web site) for audio amplifiers, industrial, broadcast, amateur radio, etc, applications, it does not look like they are out of business but rather very much in business!

Ed, KF7VY
publisher, http://hamradio-online.com

"Tom Hix W4TH" <w4th@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19371-38B6E515-11@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I heard a terrible rumor that Svetlana was going out of business. I was
> wondering if anyone else has heard this?
> If anyone can shed some more light on this situation, please, let us
> hear from you.
> 73
> Tom
> W4TH
>
> ==================================
> Be sure to visit my web sites.
>
> Amateur radio amp tubes.
> http://msnhomepages.talkcity.com/ClassifiedsCt/tomstubes/index.html
>
> Ham Radio Homepage.
> http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Woods/6222/
>
>
>

5360. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <897n6jsfj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <893eee$nqo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia>,
> "DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:
> > Taken from his webpage:
> >
> > Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
> > http://www.expage.com/page/falseprophetstair
>
> > SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
> > BROTHER STAIR
> >
> > Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
> > Time: 10:00am Both Days
> > Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
> > For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581
> >
> > Date: Wednesday & Thursday - March 8 & 9, 2000
> > Time: 11:00am Both Days
> > Place: The Upper Room, Mackville, KY
> > For More Information Phone Brother Baker at 606-366-5642
> >
> > Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 11 & 12, 2000
> > Time: 11:00am Both Days
> > Place: Straightway Communty Tabernacle, LaFayette, TN
> > For More Information Phone Pastor Dowell at 615-688-4277
> >
> > Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies
NO
> PANTS,
> > make-up, etc.
> > --
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > Athens, AL 35611
> > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> > http://www.adl-ci.org/
> > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> > http://www.3950.net/
> > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
```

> > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5361. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BDF <bumologist@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.132129fb184ca2f89896b2@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 118476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <frCt4.12363$Wv2.139374@news2.mia>,
lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net says...
> I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine folks? In the
> early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the two big "S"'s
> of SW "Stair and Strom" They eached seemed to have a message to preach.
> Strom talked about the importance in being white and staying white. Stair
> preached about this being the "Last Generation" and called himself the "Last
> Day Prophet of God" Anyways, I was about 19 at the time i started intently
> listening to these 2, the big "S"'s of Shortwave, ironically both coming on
> WRNO. Stair would be on all night long during the week and Strom would be
> on during the weekends.

>>>>this is getting to be masochistic or more likely a troll.When you
were 19,you didn't have ANYTHING better to do than listen to that BS?
What happened to girls,cars,drinking(with some control),hanging out,
computers,video games,etc,etc...Good old Lloyd,sittin around listining
to WRNO.Wheeeewwww!!!
And one question Lloyd,since you are born white,or not,how are you
"being" white or not,and how do you not be white anymore??

>
> I just want to say that some of my core beliefs were formed by listening to
> these two, and that I is what i'm proud of. I just feel that people should
> let them do the work God has called them to do.

>>>>>>So that explains why you...Uh,oh,never mind,but it explains a lot.
I doubt god has much to do with either one of your two "heros".Good luck
on "staying white",with a lot of work,I'm sure you'll do it!!!!!!!!!!
barry

>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/

> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>
>
>
>

5362. Re: What ever happened to NX0T?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: osugeology@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000226001802.01255.00000822@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118477

Gentlemen - I think it was last summer that I last saw NX0T; he was in north central Oklahoma. He had been living in southwest Missouri, where he never got the air, HF, at least. Over the years, we talked numerous times, although we had major political disagreements. John was perceived as a neo-Nazi, and he certainly espoused some views that might best be described as revisionist, as in Holocaust denier. We disagreed almost totally there, but had no real problems keeping up communication.
About the time he left Divernon Illinois and the HF band, he wrote several revisionist figures (Ernst Zundel, Bradley Smith,etc.) & told them where to stick it, so to speak.
I haven't seen a news group post from him in about a year and a half.
The last time I spoke with him (in person) I felt that if he wasn't able to relocate to a place of his own, that he might not be heard from again; he even hinted at this. I sent WA9KJI e-mail asking if he had heard anything about NX0T about 10 days ago, but have received no answer from Dave.
Over the years, WA9KJI didn't speak well of NX0T, but that was mutual, and I know that John had phoned Dave & they had talked for an extended period, I believe just before Dave got reinstated.
Sorry for the long post, but I too am curious about NX0T, & the fact that I have not heard from him in almost a year does not speak well for his well-being. Hopefully I'm being morbid.
I would appreciate news of John, either on this NG, but especially at:
osugeology@aol.com
Thanks again, Marvin Sebourn, N5CL

5363. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: sheik@yerbouti.com
Message-ID: <38b762bb.803681232@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 118478

No, 'cuz once there was this dude who usta be white,
but he's not white anymore. He's mostly black now. His
name is Walter.

Wwwwwalter.
Wwww-wwwalter.

And that's the way he is!

>Those who are white will no doubt stay that way.
>Not a lot of effort required here.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5364. Re: AF2Y says it's uncalled for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000226005159.01217.00000582@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 118479

You tell 'em doc. The Amazing AlGore invented a lot more than just the internet. He invented the electric light, the automobile, the airplane, the VLSI integrated circuit and a whole bunch of other stuff. Don't believe it ? Visit this web page and then you'll see the truth. Even Prophet Stair couldn't tell the truth any better than this.
http://www.interstat.net/gargaro/algore.html

5365. ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b7732e.3007332@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.

It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be reading this post.

What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :

Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE test!

Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.

He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and rightfully so.

These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups regarding amateur radio test requirements.

After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!

Here is where I think the Hams have gone...

5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.

The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.

brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE required.

The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of our lack of interest.

All because we just can't let go of that damn code....

See you at the museum.

Dan
N2TYB

5366. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38B799E3.1CBFF6F1@netrover.com>
Source record: 118481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

WHAT COLOUR IS THE SKY ON YOUR PLANET ?? AS YOU APPEAR TO PAINT ALL CODE HAMS WITH THE SAME PAINT BRUSH!!!!

GRAHAM VA3GJB

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:

> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>
> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> reading this post.
>
> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>
> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> test!
>
> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>
> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> rightfully so.
>
> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>
> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>
> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>
> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>

> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>
> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> required.
>
> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> our lack of interest.
>
> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>
> See you at the museum.
>
> Dan
> N2TYB

5367. Re: ARRL Secret Elections Coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8982oo$4n3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <38b3f431_2@news1.prserv.net>,
"Johnny Rico" <lawdog2@ibm.net> wrote:
> Under no reasonable interpretation of the regulations could either of
> my web sites be construed as an ordinary and necessary business
> expense. Accordingly, no, I did not charge any of the expenses of
> creating it (which totalled $0.00, in case you are the nosy type) to
> the Firm, or any other taxpaying entity.
>
> The "ham with conductivity and radials" comment was utterly
> indecipherable to this responding party.
>
Hi Roger -
Sorry if I miss-interpreted your previous message. I apologize if
it is true that your web-site is worthless and non-deductible.
By being a "ham with conductivity and radials", I meant a ham who is
well grounded and down to earth. Sorry if you couldn't even decipher
that.
>
> Roger J. Buffington
> W6VZV; w6vzv@arrl.net
> ____________________
>
> Visit my QRZ page: http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=w6vzv
> Visit my photo page: http://www.photopoint.com/users/U185957.html
>
> "Politicians are the same the world over...
> They promise to build bridges, even where there are no rivers."
> -- Nikita Khruschev

Politicans can't always get away with it if there are enough "nosy
types" to stop them.
--w2ilp--

Hams need MORE license classes...at least so that they can decipher
each others' messages (that are not even in Morse code),
73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (I'll Lookat Photos)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5368. possum belly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grumpee <Crabby@oldmail.com>
Message-ID: <38b79446$1_2@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 118483

No need for me to hide my identity...but possum belly is another story.
Coward, Chicken Feces...

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5369. 900mhz Phone Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdonovn <mdovovn2@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Ujlu4.1595$Jh3.14438@news3.mia>
Source record: 118284

I hope someone can give me some ideas I might have missed. I have noticed that my 20 meter transmissions are bleeding into our portable phone. It is not coming thru the phone line as all our wired phones are clear.

Calculations tell me it is not harmonics but none the same, I can hear my transmissions through the hand unit of our 900mhz portable phones. My system in impeccably grounded, all feed wire is top notch and in very good condition. (coax) The radio checks out perfectly and I get no other harmonic frequencies showing on a very sensitive hand held freq counter I have. The ant connections are fine.

I have not had any complaints from neighbors but that doesn't mean I may not be interfering with them too.

Any ideas?

Thanks
Mike

5370. TELL ME WHAT THIS IS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goliath000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29848-38B9DB3F-78@storefull-278.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118285

Ok while tresure hunting in the local junk store I came across this some
kind of Radio, C.B., Ham Radio, or some thing I dont know. It says
Hammerlund on it,
It has a off, rec, send, q mult-cw switch
Antenna adjustment dial
Main tuning dial
sensitivity dial
Main, Avc switch
Tuning Range Mcs switch, 20 bs, 10-30, 4-10, 1.6-4, .55-1.6,
Off, lim on swtch
Audio Gain dial
Band spread dial
selectivity dial
Freq. dial
Carrier level gauge
and it has two gauges that have all kind of numbers
ON THE BACK
3.2 ohms speaker hook up
Phones hook up
Meter zero adjustment knob

If any one can tell me about this or where I can find out about it, I
would be very thank full.

Mark

5371. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B9E757.E1583C0D@icss.net>
Source record: 118286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian burke1@icss.net wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Brian burke1@icss.net wrote:
>
> >>> Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
> >>>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.
>
> >>Still waiting for a comment from you, Brian. Our county government's
> >>provision seems to contradict your claim. After all, if I get hurt
> >>during a RACES activation, I suffer losses; Workmen's Compensation
> >>lessens those losses. But you said such compensation is prohibited.
> >>Were you wrong?
>
> >Jeff, is the workman's comp for activating the PTT or is it for an injury?
>
> For any injury regardless of whether it's my PTT thumb or from climbing
> a tower.

Do you mean to say that you are not compensated for using your PTT thumb, but
for an injury?

> >If an injury does not occur, do you still get workman's comp?
>
> Silly question; of course not.

Hmmm.

> (Do you know what Workmen's Compensation is?)

Is it covered by Part 97.

> If there is no injury, then I won't suffer any loss that you spoke
> of. But you said no money could be accepted to lessen losses,

Yes.

> so either
> you're wrong or the county is wrong. Which is it, Brian?

Neither. You're wrong.

> >Were you wrong?
>
> I didn't make the claim that losses couldn't be compensated -- you did.

No. You're saying that injuries cannot be compensated. I did not say that.

> Jeff

5372. Rain Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <Yqnu4.41603$po2.439441@news1.mia>
Source record: 118287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Listen to the Rain Report - Looks like RH is after folks for using Ham Radios on CB band. Well, looks like i wont be using my 706 on 27.555 after all! LOL!
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

5373. Jimmy O'Brainless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <89c10hoea1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 118288

I just want to say that your attempt to have me booted from my ISP has failed again Jimmy. Dont fuck with a master at the e-mail game... You WILL lose EVERY time... I promise. Jimmy, tell me something. I saw a picture of your wife online. Do you climb up on that without a rope??

Later!

5374. Covert=Dimmy O'Shithead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <89bvu7$n4d$1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 118289

Covert... you try your hardest. to be a badass. You dont scare me. I wanna hear you talk tough. You and your fat ass wife.

5375. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: brother_stair@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89a3r4$ea7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <8990jr$mu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Dear Lloyd,
> I have thought alot about whether to respond to this or not, because
> it seems no one can get through to you on the subject of Bro. Stair.
> Since you feel Bro. Stair is so wonderful I can say you are a
religious
> person. What you do not understand is this, Bro. Stair does not know
> the truth, the way or the life that is clearly set forth in the Bible.
> He takes already fulfilled prophecies of Israel and applies it to the
> Chruch today. You can't do that Lloyd!!! That is called taking
> Scripture out of context. He does not know enough about the original
> text to even know the meanings of most words he uses to try and prove
> his theology. He has way too many failed prophecies under his hat. He
> said he was saved at the age of 16. He quite school and went right
into
> the ministry WITHOUT PROPER training/studies and who does he have as
> mentors??? People like A.A. Allen who was a drunk and claimed to raise
> the dead and had castout demons in glass jars. I say, what a way to
> start out in the ministry.
> Are you going to rely on the authority of a man or the authority of
> the Bible??? Look what it says about the authority of the Bible in 2
> Tim. 3:16, Hebrews 1:1-3, Hebrews 2:1-4, John 16:13, 1 Thess. 2:13.
> The Bible is complete and no more will we have a man come along and
> proclaim new ideas or events to take place. All we need to know is
> already in the Bible. It is a done deal and there is nothing Bro.
Stair
> knows that anyone who reads the Bible can know. We DO NOT NEED Bro.
> Stair for ONE THING> In fact we could do so much better without false
> prophets like him.
> Stop trusting in man, who has but a breath in his nostrils. Of what
> account is he?? Isaiah 2:22
> Jesus loves you!!! How do I know??? Because the Bible tells me
so. :)
> Sometimes we have to get back to the simplicity of the gospel to see
> straight again. And God help all those that rely on Bro. Stair, for
> whatever it is they rely on Bro. STair for???
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > Athens, AL 35611
> > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html

> > http://www.adl-ci.org/
> > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> > http://www.3950.net/
> > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Hey, leave this young brother alone. He is trying to do right. I feel God is calling Brother Lloyd for a purpose.

And for you, Mom_of_6, oh how I cant stand this generation, when you people denounce me as a Prophet!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5376. WTB Icom IC 22A Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: navyvet39@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89a4cpelv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118335

I need the 146.34/146.94 repeater and
146.19/146.79 repeater xtal's for my(Icom IC 22A)
2 Meter Transceiver.

If you have email me ! Thanks in advance.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5377. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: rogerg@earthnet.net
Message-ID: <38B88FB0.1C7@earthnet.net>
Source record: 118336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

> I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
> tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
> nothing.
> The niggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
> people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
> there...

You sure did... sell your stuff, & give it up.
You know nothing of what it's like to be a ham.
You missed the whole point....
Find something else to do.

5378. WTB Icom 2AT Charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: navyvet39@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89a5ug$fh0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118337

Need Battery Pack Charger for
> Icom IC-2AT 2 Meter HT
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5379. Control Commander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: fhamilto@bright.net
Message-ID: <38b89dab.78841868@news.bright.net>
Source record: 118338

Announcing Control Commander for the Kenwood TS-570 series radios. For more information go to http://www.qsl.net/w8frd/cc.htm. To many features to mention here.

Thanks for your time.....

5380. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89a93n$g5s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Follow-ups set to just r.r.a.misc:

In rec.radio.scanner Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
>past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
>test!

This is easy to explain: On HF, if you so much as sneeze, half the world could possibly hear you; HF violators stand out and need to be nailed (NAL'd) to save face internationally. OTOH, on V/UHF, due to it's limited range, the FCC would need to have a monitoring station in each and every community to catch all the violators.

I suspect that there are many, many more violations committed on V/UHF than on HF, but they go unheard by the FCC.

That the HF riff-raff are coded just shows that *anyone* can learn the code -- even scum-bags. But if you tune down to the low end of each band where code is being used, you won't hear any of the non-sense which occurs on SSB.

Jeff

5381. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89aa27gtc1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118340

KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Lloyd, using a new address, lloydvfp@netzero.net wrote:

>> Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.......

>The word is "elongated," you dimwit.

I thought he was calling someone an "egg nog" head. That kind of made
me thirsty.

Jeff

5382. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89abh2$hu1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Follow-ups set to r.r.a.misc:

erhu@pacbell.net wrote:

>With a <...> computer <..>, I don't need
>anything Ham Radio has to offer.

Internet's fine if you don't mind being leashed. You're helplessly dependent upon untold billions of dollars of computer equipment belonging to thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions of miles of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens of thousands of man-hours per day to keep that incredibly complex system running.

Hams, on the other hand, have no such dependence. It's the *only* communications medium in which any two operators anywhere in the world can communicate directly without using government or commercial communication services. Those same two operators don't even need to purchase commercially-built radios -- they can build them themselves.

Jeff

5383. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b8b795.24348140@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 27 Feb 2000 02:45:11 GMT, rogerg@earthnet.net wrote:

>> I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
>> tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
>> nothing.
>> The niggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
>> people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
>> there...
>
>You sure did... sell your stuff, & give it up.

I have, thank you

>You know nothing of what it's like to be a ham.

Says you, another elitist

>You missed the whole point....

Did I? WHat was that?

>Find something else to do.

We (most of the ham community) have, thank you.

5384. "MOM WON'T BUY ME A SCANNER"DAWG PATCH BOY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: plaintruth@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89af11ldk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118343

DAWG PATCH BOY bellowed on this forum '"Momma won't buy me a scanner" I can't put my hidious picture on the internet. WELL, after furnishing roof, clothing, food , for this chronic bedwetter, wacker,hacker,self abusing filthey,, no account,computer keyboard pounding Cheeto , candy bar eating soft drink guzzling slobbering hog. and no one knows what other nasty habits he has, she has put her foot down on this 40 year old who refuses to fly out of the crackerbox nest there in hillbilly lane W VA. He just can't get off mommas teats boys. what else can explain a 40 year old portly, (fat) overage delinquent sticking so close to his only parent, not counting the father who is at this time incarcerated for unmentionable crimes. Don't you think it is about time Dawgpatch boy made it on his own. But , she would get him a scanner, he just won't put his baboon ass picture on the internet, because the truth would be out ugly ass, geeky elongated fool. As number one poster on this forum Possum Belly would say, "MOAN FAGGOT MOAN"

Plaintruth all the time folks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5385. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000227010939.01225.00000879@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 118344

Gee brother_stair .. this writing style sounds frighteningly familiar.

You're not brother_stair at all .. you're .. oh never mind.

Let's just say you've fooled all of the people all of the time. Yeah, that's the ticket.

5386. Re: A HAMlet and AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18322-38B8C37E-1@storefull-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118345

Doc, Let's talk about the real world. How many times have you heard from the best educated minds: "Irregardless .... .", or on the telephone "It is me (when it should technically be: "It is I.")?

Colloquial English often replaces technical English in our dictionaries. Before you catch me TOO in a spelling error, I must admit to my own inadequacy in that department.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

5387. Re: About W4ASX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trebb@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18324-38B8C46F-1@storefull-244.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118346

Doc, Of course a smile came over my face when I spotted this post.
Thanks. But speaking for myself, I cannot say that I hate you--or
anyone--when I like you.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

5388. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89airqnlq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118347

In article <8991g0ndn1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> BDF <bumologist@pipeline.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.132129fb184ca2f89896b2@news.pipeline.com...
> > In article <frCt4.12363$Wv2.139374@news2.mia>,
> > lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net says...
> > > I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine
> folks? In the
> > > early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the two
> big "S"'s
> > > of SW "Stair and Strom" They eached seemed to have a message to
> preach.
> > > Strom talked about the importance in being white and staying
> white. Stair
> > > preached about this being the "Last Generation" and called himself
> the "Last
> > > Day Prophet of God" Anyways, I was about 19 at the time i started
> intently
> > > listening to these 2, the big "S"'s of Shortwave, ironically both
> coming on
> > > WRNO. Stair would be on all night long during the week and Strom
> would be
> > > on during the weekends.
> >
> > >>>>this is getting to be masochistic or more likely a troll.When
you
> > were 19,you didn't have ANYTHING better to do than listen to that
BS?
> > What happened to girls,cars,drinking(with some control),hanging out,
> > computers,video games,etc,etc...Good old Lloyd,sittin around
listining
> > to WRNO.Wheeeewwww!!!
> > And one question Lloyd,since you are born white,or not,how are you
> > "being" white or not,and how do you not be white anymore??
> >
> > >
> > > I just want to say that some of my core beliefs were formed by
> listening to
> > > these two, and that I is what i'm proud of. I just feel that
> people should
> > > let them do the work God has called them to do.
> >
> > >>>>>>So that explains why you...Uh,oh,never mind,but it explains a
> lot.

> > I doubt god has much to do with either one of your two "heros".Good
> luck
> > on "staying white",with a lot of work,I'm sure you'll do
it!!!!!!!!!!
> > barry
>
> Lloyd is white.....trash.
> Lloyd A Davies (n0vfp@aol.com) wrote:
> > No Doc,
> >
> > We have just begun. The Whites will rise again and take back what
> the Jews
> > have taken and then some!
> >

Don't forget the Bagles !

> > Get it?
> >
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841, ARRL member
> > Athens, Alabama EM64
>
> > > --
> > > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>
> A "Chief of Communications" who lacks the basic communications
> skills....how ironic!
>
> > > Athens, AL 35611
>
> Where all the inbred Davis family lives!
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5389. O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anon <anonymous@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <200002270734.CAA01015@cotse.com>
Source record: 118348

Two can play this blame game. W4AMP, James and Donna
O'Brien, 3910 Hiram-Sudie Rd., Hiram, GA 30141 is NOT a
good ham. Ask yourself why he got a warning from Riley
Hollingsworth last year.
Hey, James...what happened to the cell phones you guys had?
Didn't pay the bills? Burned up any amps lately? How about
being behind on your rent? Did you drink up your wife's
money? Maybe it is time to look for another bread
truck...call it a mobile home and put out a slinky for an
antenna...
You are a big time loser..how do they put it? White Trash?
Yeah...that's it.
KC8JBO
--
Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi

5390. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89be4d$935$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118349

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:89aa27
gtc1@news.hawaii.edu...
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >Lloyd, using a new address, lloydvfp@netzero.net wrote:
>
> >> Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.......
>
> >The word is "elongated," you dimwit.
>
> I thought he was calling someone an "egg nog" head. That kind of made
> me thirsty.
>
> Jeff

Yeah there's nothing like a good egg nog! lol!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5391. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89beab$95b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118350

Hey Colon, er Colin..

I didn't post this anonymous post, dumbass.
So shut the fuck up, stupid.

--
73 de KC8JBO/Roger

Colin-N0VSJ <n0vsj@lvc.net> wrote in message news:uD6u4.6884
$Hb2.812175@news1.usenetserver.com...
> hey KC8JBO, go back to your CB radio.

Haven't been on CB in years and years....but you sound like you're
still on it.
From hearing YOUR operating practices....

> Anon wrote in message <200002270734.CAA01015@cotse.com>...
> >
> >Two can play this blame game. W4AMP, James and Donna
> >O'Brien, 3910 Hiram-Sudie Rd., Hiram, GA 30141 is NOT a
> >good ham. Ask yourself why he got a warning from Riley
> >Hollingsworth last year.
> >Hey, James...what happened to the cell phones you guys had?
> >Didn't pay the bills? Burned up any amps lately? How about
> >being behind on your rent? Did you drink up your wife's
> >money? Maybe it is time to look for another bread
> >truck...call it a mobile home and put out a slinky for an
> >antenna...
> >You are a big time loser..how do they put it? White Trash?
> >Yeah...that's it.
> >KC8JBO
> >--
> >Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> >http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5392. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89bee2$95o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118351

Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200002270734.CAA01015@cotse.com...
>
> Two can play this blame game. W4AMP, James and Donna
> O'Brien, 3910 Hiram-Sudie Rd., Hiram, GA 30141 is NOT a
> good ham. Ask yourself why he got a warning from Riley
> Hollingsworth last year.
> Hey, James...what happened to the cell phones you guys had?
> Didn't pay the bills? Burned up any amps lately? How about
> being behind on your rent? Did you drink up your wife's
> money? Maybe it is time to look for another bread
> truck...call it a mobile home and put out a slinky for an
> antenna...
> You are a big time loser..how do they put it? White Trash?
> Yeah...that's it.
> KC8JBO
> --
> Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi

Hmmm...nice forgery.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5393. Re: "MOM WON'T BUY ME A SCANNER"DAWG PATCH BOY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89bei8$97c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118352

<plaintruth@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:89af11
ldk1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> DAWG PATCH BOY bellowed on this forum '"Momma won't buy me a scanner"

Mom doesn't have to buy me a scanner. If I wanted or needed one, I'd
buy it myself. Not everybody uses their wife's money for drugs like YOU
do. Of course, YOUR wife is a big of a drug addict as YOU are.

> I can't put my hidious picture on the internet. WELL, after furnishing
> roof, clothing, food , for this chronic bedwetter, wacker,hacker,self
> abusing filthey,, no account,computer keyboard pounding Cheeto , candy
> bar eating soft drink guzzling slobbering hog.

Says you, who has more chins than the San Francisco phone book.
You still haven't proved I look like that. We all know what you look
like, O'Bese.
Let's see Lloyd is a 210 lb blimpo who couln't get laid in a whorewhose
with a platnium visa card, Polly, the toothless inbred tenessee
hillbilly, ex washing machine repairman until he was fired from Sears,
and then there's Tonduhh, gay male stalker who wanted my picture to
masturbate to. Obviously so do you, O'bese, after all isn't that why
why Donna tricks at the truck stops, to get a real man?

>and no one knows what
> other nasty habits he has,

But we know what drug and alcohol habits you have don't we, CONVICT?

>she has put her foot down on this 40 year
> old who refuses to fly out of the crackerbox nest there in hillbilly
> lane W VA. He just can't get off mommas teats boys.

Really? Since I've only lived in WV twice in my life, 1955-1960 and
1994 to the present, how can you prove otherwise? I thought you had all
the goods on me? Or were you just talking out of your ass AGAIN. Or
STILL.

> what else can explain a 40 year old

I know you're operating under a mental handicap, with that cranial-
rectal inversion syndrome, but do the math. 2000 (that is THIS year,
dunce) minus 1955 (the year I was born, a feat you can't claim, since
your mother shit you. And by the way, what's up with the three
generations of J.V. O'Brainless'??? I mean, I can understand say,
George Foreman's excuse for naming all of his sons George, the guy was
hit in the head too many times, but what's your excuse, O'brien?) is
45. Obviously, your GED didn't include simple math. I guess they don't

teach math at that 4th rate welfare college you're currently
attending, since you obviously can't subtract. But intelligence and
you are in an adversarial relationship, aren't you?

>portly, (fat) overage delinquent

I still don't see any proof that I'm overweight. I hear from a reliable
source that YOU're about to be kicked off of bellsouth AGAIN. What's
this make three times now?

>sticking so
> close to his only parent, not counting the father who is at this time
> incarcerated for unmentionable crimes.

But earlier YOU said he died of AIDS? Which is it? Is he in prison, or
did he die of AIDS like you lied before? Fact is he died in 1990, as a
result of heavy smoking for years, since he was a kid.

>Don't you think it is about time
> Dawgpatch boy made it on his own. But , she would get him a scanner,
he
> just won't put his baboon ass picture on the internet, because the
> truth would be out ugly ass, geeky elongated fool.

Hey, O'Bese, you're catch. I suppose ol Donna agreed to marry you one
night as you both were drunk and on drugs out of your mind. What's with
the down's syndrome kid you both are holding? I guess it's from one of
Donna's truck stop liasions, since you obviously lack the equipment to
sire any kids.

>As number one
> poster on this forum Possum Belly would say, "MOAN FAGGOT MOAN"

I think that's what Bubba, your black prison lover said to you as he
pumped you up the ass.

> Plaintruth all the time folks

But still lacks the balls to post under his name or callsign....my, my.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5394. Re: W4AMP is a Good Ham....leave him alone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89ben7$9er$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118353

Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:200002270351.WAA29967@cotse.com...
>
> W4AMP, James Vincent O'Brien da turd is a good ham...if you
> like pickled pig's feet that is....
> His pickling solution of choice is purchased in 12 ounce
> cans or in brown bottles with a tax stamp on them...
> Ya gotta love this guy, triple chins and all!
> Give 'em heck, James. Give me a bump and I'll help you find
> a new side window for your family rattle trap!
> AF2Y
> --
> Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi

His wreck is a good example to the children..don't drink and drive....

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5395. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89berb$9gp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118354

beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:89airqnlq1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <8991g0ndn1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Lloyd is white.....trash.
> > Lloyd A Davies (n0vfp@aol.com) wrote:
> > > No Doc,
> > >
> > > We have just begun. The Whites will rise again and take back what
> > > the Jews have taken and then some!
>
> Don't forget the Bagles !

lol!!!!!
--
73 de KC8JBO/Roger

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5396. Re: PissBull #1 HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89bev5$9h4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118355

Glenn Roland <groland@mail.wt.net> wrote in message
news:38b8ff0e_5@data.wt.net...
> Gee If I remember my 1st year law.. It is a Felony to release Any
Personal
> Credit Information for PUBLIC dissemination without the EXPRESSED
PERMISSION
> of the Owner (i.e.: the Person who's name is on the credit report).
> How Much time does he wish to do?

O'Brien's already done jail time, he'd just be reunited with his prison
lover, Bubba.

--
73 de KC8JBO/Roger

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5397. my old radio is dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: nd8vmike@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89blt5$dv9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118356

my old 107 yeasu died so i dont have a rig ill be back some day but i dont feel like i want to buy a rig for the crap thats going on. To my friends on the air its been nice .... 73 mike

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5398. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89c1smllp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <89a3r4$ea7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
brother_stair@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <8990jr$mu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Dear Lloyd,
> > I have thought alot about whether to respond to this or not,
because
> > it seems no one can get through to you on the subject of Bro. Stair.
> > Since you feel Bro. Stair is so wonderful I can say you are a
> religious
> > person. What you do not understand is this, Bro. Stair does not know
> > the truth, the way or the life that is clearly set forth in the
Bible.
> > He takes already fulfilled prophecies of Israel and applies it to
the
> > Chruch today. You can't do that Lloyd!!! That is called taking
> > Scripture out of context. He does not know enough about the original
> > text to even know the meanings of most words he uses to try and
prove
> > his theology. He has way too many failed prophecies under his hat.
He
> > said he was saved at the age of 16. He quite school and went right
> into
> > the ministry WITHOUT PROPER training/studies and who does he have as
> > mentors??? People like A.A. Allen who was a drunk and claimed to
raise
> > the dead and had castout demons in glass jars. I say, what a way to
> > start out in the ministry.
> > Are you going to rely on the authority of a man or the authority
of
> > the Bible??? Look what it says about the authority of the Bible in 2
> > Tim. 3:16, Hebrews 1:1-3, Hebrews 2:1-4, John 16:13, 1 Thess. 2:13.
> > The Bible is complete and no more will we have a man come along
and
> > proclaim new ideas or events to take place. All we need to know is
> > already in the Bible. It is a done deal and there is nothing Bro.
> Stair
> > knows that anyone who reads the Bible can know. We DO NOT NEED Bro.
> > Stair for ONE THING> In fact we could do so much better without
false
> > prophets like him.
> > Stop trusting in man, who has but a breath in his nostrils. Of
what

> > account is he?? Isaiah 2:22
> > Jesus loves you!!! How do I know??? Because the Bible tells me
> so. :)
> > Sometimes we have to get back to the simplicity of the gospel to see
> > straight again. And God help all those that rely on Bro. Stair, for
> > whatever it is they rely on Bro. STair for???
> > > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > > Athens, AL 35611
> > > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> > > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> > > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> > > http://www.adl-ci.org/
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> > > http://www.3950.net/
> > > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> > > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> > > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Hey, leave this young brother alone. He is trying to do right. I feel
> God is calling Brother Lloyd for a purpose.
>
> And for you, Mom_of_6, oh how I cant stand this generation, when you
> people denounce me as a Prophet!
>

You can't stand??? You hate everyone that does not promote and proclaim
you as the last day prophet. That just proves you are not from God. No
one from God will hate/can't stand anyone. You will produce good fruit
and will love and have concern for all.
But I tell you: Love your enemies and pray for those who persecute
you, that you may be sons of your Father in heaven. He causes his sunt
o rise on the evil and the good, and sends rain on the righteous and
the unrighteous. If you love those who love you, what reward will you
get? Are not even the tax collectors doing that? And if you greet only
your brothers, what are you doing more than others? Do not even pagans
do that? Be perfect, therefore, as your heavenly Father is perfect.
Matt. 5:44-48
If you love those who love you, what credit it that to you? Even
sinners' love those who love them. And if you do good to those who are
good to you, what credit it that to you? Even sinners' do that. And if
you lend to those from whom you expect repayment, what credit it that
to you? Even sinners lend to sinners, expecting to be repaid in full.

But love your enemies, do good to them, and lend to them without expecting to get anything back. Then your reward will be great, and you will be sons of the Most High, because He is kind to those ungrateful and wicked. Be merciful, just as your Father is merciful. Luke 6:32-36
It doesn't really matter who posted Bro. Stairs message. It was someone who is under his control, or Bro Stair? Same difference, doesn't matter. In what was said is the proof. He hates everyone that does not agree and accept him. PLAN AND SIMPLE.
I have never said anything bad about Lloyd. I am just trying to help him see the truth. I told him Jesus loves him, and that is bothering him?????????
I ask has anyone ever seen any good fruit come from Bro. Stair? Does he have the fruits of the Spirit?? But the fruit of the Spirit is love, joy, peace, patience, kindness, goodness, faithfulness, gentleness and self-control. Against such things there is no law. Gal. 5:22-23
mom_of6
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5399. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: briancastle@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89c7a9$p8k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Anyone who either doesn't know Strom, or thinks that he is some kind
of "preacher" needs only to look at his posting in the "Enough Kat and
Maus Games!" thread. This "self-defense" really speaks for itself.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5400. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89c9e4$qi7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118359

In article <89be4d$935$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:89aa27
> gtc1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >Lloyd, using a new address, lloydvfp@netzero.net wrote:
> >
> > >> Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.......
> >
> > >The word is "elongated," you dimwit.
> >
> > I thought he was calling someone an "egg nog" head. That kind of
made
> > me thirsty.
> >
> > Jeff
>
> Yeah there's nothing like a good egg nog! lol!
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Especially when mommy makes it, right little Roger?

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed

Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5401. Re: Wiseman NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89c9jeqiu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118360

```
In article <89beab$95b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Hey Colon, er Colin..
>
> I didn't post this anonymous post, dumbass.
> So shut the fuck up, stupid.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO/Roger
>
> Colin-N0VSJ <n0vsj@lvc.net> wrote in message news:uD6u4.6884
> $Hb2.812175@news1.usenetserver.com...
> > hey KC8JBO, go back to your CB radio.
>
> Haven't been on CB in years and years....but you sound like you're
> still on it.
> From hearing YOUR operating practices....
>
> > Anon wrote in message <200002270734.CAA01015@cotse.com>...
> > >
> > >Two can play this blame game. W4AMP, James and Donna
> > >O'Brien, 3910 Hiram-Sudie Rd., Hiram, GA 30141 is NOT a
> > >good ham. Ask yourself why he got a warning from Riley
> > >Hollingsworth last year.
> > >Hey, James...what happened to the cell phones you guys had?
> > >Didn't pay the bills? Burned up any amps lately? How about
> > >being behind on your rent? Did you drink up your wife's
> > >money? Maybe it is time to look for another bread
> > >truck...call it a mobile home and put out a slinky for an
> > >antenna...
> > >You are a big time loser..how do they put it? White Trash?
> > >Yeah...that's it.
> > >KC8JBO
> > >--
> > >Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> > >http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
```

> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

What do you expect from a retard that has lived with his mommy for 45 years?

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed Amateurs on the 14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5402. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89c9m4qqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118361

In article <89bee2$95o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:200002270734.CAA01015@cotse.com...
> >
> > Two can play this blame game. W4AMP, James and Donna
> > O'Brien, 3910 Hiram-Sudie Rd., Hiram, GA 30141 is NOT a
> > good ham. Ask yourself why he got a warning from Riley
> > Hollingsworth last year.
> > Hey, James...what happened to the cell phones you guys had?
> > Didn't pay the bills? Burned up any amps lately? How about
> > being behind on your rent? Did you drink up your wife's
> > money? Maybe it is time to look for another bread
> > truck...call it a mobile home and put out a slinky for an
> > antenna...
> > You are a big time loser..how do they put it? White Trash?
> > Yeah...that's it.
> > KC8JBO
> > --
> > Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> > http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
>
> Hmmm...nice forgery.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Say hello to mommy loser..

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5403. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89c9pg$qs0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118362

In article <89berb$9gp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:89airqnlq1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <8991g0ndn1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > Lloyd is white.....trash.
> > > Lloyd A Davies (n0vfp@aol.com) wrote:
> > > > No Doc,
> > > >
> > > > We have just begun. The Whites will rise again and take back
what
> > > > the Jews have taken and then some!
> > >
> > Don't forget the Bagles !
>
> lol!!!!!
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO/Roger
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

At least Lloyd does not live with his mommy, faggot.

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and

maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5404. Re: W4AMP is a Good Ham....leave him alone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89c9v9qsk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118363

In article <89ben7$9er$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:200002270351.WAA29967@cotse.com...
> >
> > W4AMP, James Vincent O'Brien da turd is a good ham...if you
> > like pickled pig's feet that is....
> > His pickling solution of choice is purchased in 12 ounce
> > cans or in brown bottles with a tax stamp on them...
> > Ya gotta love this guy, triple chins and all!
> > Give 'em heck, James. Give me a bump and I'll help you find
> > a new side window for your family rattle trap!
> > AF2Y
> > --
> > Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> > http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
>
> His wreck is a good example to the children..don't drink and drive....
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Kind of hard to have children with mommy, right? Maybe science will
discover a way you can impregnate your right hand.

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and

maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5405. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89ca62qur1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118364

In article <89912o$n8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO, a 45 year old fag who lives with his mommy, vomited
<kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:zFJt4.13162
> $Wv2.150865@news2.mia...
> > AMEN!
>
> This is what Lloyd says when he's handling the preacher's snake at his
> church of choice.
>
> "YOU ARE NOTHING BUT A LYING JEW WHO LOVES TO CONTROL EVEYTHING AROUND
> HIM.
> Drools the sub-human antisemite N0VFP, Lloyd Davies in
> Message-ID: <19990730080252.21150.00003002@ng-fx1.aol.com>
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming|Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

I hear mommy calling,run along.

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in
the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified

transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5406. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: donna_the_whale@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cad5$r8c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118365

Lets look at the truth of the title of this thread. This guy is a perfect example of a waste of Oxygen. Mr Obrainless is a drug abuser a loser and a just plain piece of shit. He is a convicted felon he got kicked out of the Navy for drug abuse. I may add after 14 years of service. What a loser! He did time in the Pauldin County jail for drug abuse. Hiram, GA will not be his address for very much longer. Rumor has it that, He stopped paying his rent? Is it true? Did he drink up all his slut's rent money that she made on the corner?? Did the bird shit smell get to be so bad that this piece of shit and his SLUT have decided to go back to the bread truck and the plastic slinky antenna. What a loser! This guy puts the lose in loser. I bet he sold his radio for another ARRL lawyer.I think his favorite words are,"I'm calling my lawyer!"Even when he hasn't got one. Poor loser no signal no radio and a pig for a wife. What a loser!
Jimmy just do us all including yourself a favor. Take that gun your pig wife owns (we all know you are a felon and can't buy a gun) and stick it in your mouth and pull the trigger. There is no hope for a drug addict like yourself. Your brain is so twisted and warped that you have no concept of the real world. So why don't you just take a dirt nap. The world would be a better place!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5407. Re: cancel <8911gs$11p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cadf$r8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118366

In article <899hah$20j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <LPUs4.7101$7G4.40858@news3.atl>,
> kc8jbo@my-deja.com wrote:
> > This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
> >
>
> Poor w4amp, just like his cockroaches in his dirty hovel, he can't
> stand to see himself brought into the light.
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Maybe if he lived in a shit shack in West Virginia with his mommy he
would be up to your "level". Run along your mommy is calling.

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in
the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified
transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the
basis and

purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5408. Re: WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cahu$r92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118367

In article <894qdf$r5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <894p3f$q92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> nohambull@my-deja.com wrote:
> > WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE? FCCHAM@FCC.GOV
>
> Why are Jimmy O' Brien and Polly Ashley so stupid? These questions
> don't have an easy explanation.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

They do not live with their mommy.

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in
the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified
transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the
basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users.

This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated.
Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a
monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an
Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5409. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89canvrba1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118368

In article <89919r$nb1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO, a 45 year old faggot living with his mother,
<kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Totallly ignorant of history as with everything else,
> DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:rFJt4.13161
> $Wv2.150735@news2.mia...
> > Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.......
> ^^^^^^^^^
> What the fuck is this, Lloyd? I know your education is extremely
> lacking, but at least get your lies' spelling correct. The word
> is "elongated," you dimwit.
> Too bad it's a lie, made up by Steve the fag Tonduhhh who so
> desperately wanted my picture so he could finally ejaculate.
>
> Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM wrote in message
> <19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
> <....>
> >There are those call Liberty People "Nazis"
> >What does supposed to mean? I thought a Nazi was a communist.
>
> Bwhahahahahahahaha..idiot!
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

At least Lloyd is self sufficient and does not live with "mommy".

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5410. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: donna_the_whale@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cas9$rj0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118369

Hey loser what about the letter you got from the FCC you piece of shit. How about all the trouble you got into on 11-meters. How about the drug conviction? Hey loser I sujest that you clean up your own backyard before you look at other people.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5411. Re: cancel <8911gs$11p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: donna_the_whale@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cb2t$rl3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118370

WAAA WAAA WAAA I'm calling my lawyer famous words from a drug abuser in Pauldin County GA

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5412. ALERT!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: donna_the_whale@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cb7a$rn1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118371

Jimmy Obrien is a loser!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5413. Obrien is crazy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: donna_the_whale@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cbdmruo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118372

I bet he really goes nuts NOW!
Hey loser I'm laughing at you you are such a easy card to play!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5414. W4AMP is a idoit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: donna_the_whale@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cbih$s0g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118373

HA HA HA ! Made you look! What a loser!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5415. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b98525.14375847@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

>
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>>
>> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>> a veritable wasteland.
>>
>
>Not so where I live and travel. Maybe it is that no one wants to talk
>to you. Given the rest of your message, no wonder!
>
>Phil

Phil,

Thanks for your positive feedback!

Someone takes a position contrary to yours, and you berate them with a
silly statement. Typical, typical.

The responses to my post here from "hams" on this matter speak volumes
on this point....

Dan

5416. Re: libertynet gets OO card for broadcast tapes & failure to id

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000227151433.18904.00002291@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 118375

Oh yeah, Hmm? I believe that I read somewhere about playing commercial content broadcast tapes is a rules violation.
But you know what is so pathetic? It's the fact that "some" of these libnits, moan and bellyache about there's no enforcement, no action, they are not doing they're job, blah, blah, blah, and THEN, when someone does enforce "the rules", and it happens to be against "one of they're own", they moan and bellyache about it not being right or correct. It seems that what they want is the rules enforced *Only Against Others*, if one of them do it, it's ok, or somebody else is wrong, the FCC, the OO, anyone but the libnet members. Seems pretty obvious that they are looking for that "Special Minority Group Status" which affords them the ability to do what others can not and as long as the OO's or the FCC are "enforcing" against *others* they're doing their job.
Hell, I heard K4gar say that he has a "stack" of OO cards and he'll just put that one with the rest. Hmmm??

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5417. AF2Y: A FEW WORDS BUT NOT ENOUGH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89c6i0buu1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118376

I know...I know...the e-mails are coming in and so are the phone calls. Fellas, I can't spend time on the board. Much too busy. Am making a LOT of money on the Internet and have been busy from 7:00 A.M. this morning, Sunday,2/27. Oh by the way, regarding that awful pornographic tape plus music you were hearing this afternoon, I hope the FCC had their DFing stuff on Louisiana. I'll say no more. Regarding the new net started by Cheesehead and his pals, I think it's called the WHIPPERSNAPPERS; it's a MISNOMER yet it FITS THESE CREEPS BEAUTIFULLY. A whippersnapper is a pretentious but INSIGNIFICANT person. It has nothing to do with AGE, NOTHING. Is not Cheesehead RY and others just that? PRETENTIOUS, BIG SIGNALS, LOUD but INSIGNIFICANT? And wasn't the ridiculous childish net concocted to embarrass Don Anderson? Of course it was, because Don made an innocuous statement about elderly hams which was blown out of proportion by Cheesehead and his pharmaceutical plumber pal. And to think that Riley Hollingsworth would put himself into this willingly is amazing. An FCC official sides with those who concoct a net to embarrass a ham while Riley is telling everyone to cool it? A bit paradoxical I might say. Please Mr. Hollingsworth-don't be fooled by instigators who pose as angels. You might ask about me? There's nothing pretentious about me, Sir. I tell it like it is-the TRUTH even if it hurts! One thing about AF2Y; he's not TWO FACED which is more than I can say about some in whom you place great trust. Yes indeed, these people couldn't have picked a better name for themselves. PRETENTIOUS but INSIGNIFICANT while thinking the name glorifies the elderly. What a laugh! But then again what does Cheesehead care about the elderly? He's a dyed-in-the-wool Republican. A Conservative who hates affimative action-he said so yesterday. He implied that the cops in NYC had a right to get off as payback against our black Americans. Did you folks hear it? I did. I disagree. The cops got off because they were found to be INNOCENT by a jury consisting of white and people of color. And that's the ONLY reason. Payback is RACIST and has no place in America. Cheesehead has antipathy against blacks because apparently he lost out on a job to affirmative action. That's his story. Just perhaps the black man beat him out because the black man was smarter abd better qualified. Someone tell the Big Cheese that blacks are NOT inferior to whites-just DARKER! And tell him that when out blacks fell wounded and died on battle fields from Antietam to Cam Ran Bay to the desert in the Persian Gulf Area, they bled RED just the way he would have had he, for example, been in the Viet Nam War the way his arch enemy Don Anderson, W4JYZ, was. Oh yes, that's right-Donald isn't allowed to express his disdain for that conflict according to Cheese. It made no difference that Don was on duty over there while Tom wasn't, even though they're the same age..hmmmmm..I wonder about that? Doesn't HYPOCRISY stink? I think it does. It stinks as much as ultrarightwingism is such a word exists. It stinks as much as the Republicans in Congress who dragged their feet while the people in the Grand Forks area were flooded out and devastated a few years ago and were begging

for federal relief. And maybe that's why I think the G.O.P turned the corner by having a man like John McCain become prominent. He's got a lot on the ball and his middle of the road, FAIR ATTITUDE, unwillingness to knuckle under to special interest groups will make him competitive with Al Gore providing the G.O.P has the brains to nominate him. In the meantime I prefer to think liberally because I CARE about my fellow man. All of them. I want to see health reform in this nation. Everyone covered dammit and if you want to call that socialism, it's a hell of a lot better than seeing a man die of neglect because of lack of money. Gotta go back to work. See ya.
Doc,AF2Y in the EASTERN LIBERAL ESTABLISHMENT where KE9RY came in his hour of need! In fact. Len Schaier too is right in that same EASTERN LIBERAL ESTABLISHMENT and he too, just like I, felt for Tom and Barbara and did our best to help them through troubling times. Yes, Len and I are at odds but BOTH OF US DID OUR BEST RIGHT HERE IN THE EASTERN LIBERAL ESTABLISHMENT and we can hardly be classified ELITISTS either.

5418. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89cc19$5vu$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:

> Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

The City and County of Honolulu provides Workmen's Comp to RACES members injured during Civil Defense activations. The FCC has no problem with this.

Jeff

Still waiting for a comment from you, Brian. Our county government's provision seems to contradict your claim. After all, if I get hurt during a RACES activation, I suffer losses; Workmen's Compensation lessens those losses. But you said such compensation is prohibited. Were you wrong?

Jeff

5419. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <qgdjbsk8vhlrrcqv5h1gd1tb5a4oetbr4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 118378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>The responses to my post here from "hams" on this matter speak volumes
>on this point....

Your posts speak for themselves. There are those who gripe, and there
are those who buckle down and make a difference. I think we can see
which category you fall into.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

5420. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jenny <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com>
Message-ID: <38B9C1BA.2F96@chooseyourmail.com>
Source record: 118379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee wrote:
> Get to work. Study for it. Quit griping and DO something!
> Are you too dumb to learn anything?

How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
or are you just too dumb or lazy?

5421. AF2Y:Thanks for the nice feedback.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89cgas$up6$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118380

Don't have time to post the compliments I'm getting on my posting about the Cheesehead and friends hypocrisy. I call it like I see it and this time I'm gonna call it BIG TIME. Enough is enough with this guy from Wisconsin throwing his RIGHTWING lingo my way. This stuff he spouts off about us east coasters being ELITISTS and our EASTERN LIBERAL ESTABLISHMENT has a tinge of anti-Semitism to it because it's exactly the stuff tossed around by the shortwave hatemongers. And keep in mind that the Cheesehead spouting off is from the same state that can boast of the utterly disgraced and censured Sen. Joseph McCarthy who saw a communist under every bed; heck even our great Dwight Eisenhower hated the fool. Back to work guys and dolls.
73,
Doc,AF2Y
part of the EASTERN LIBERAL ESTABLISHMENT where KE9RY chose to come in his hour of need and now MOCKS!

5422. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <burke1@icss.net>
Message-ID: <38B9CCB4.D0FA6854@icss.net>
Source record: 118381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>
> > Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
> >if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.
>
> The City and County of Honolulu provides Workmen's Comp to RACES members
> injured during Civil Defense activations. The FCC has no problem with
> this.
>
> Jeff
> --
>
> Still waiting for a comment from you, Brian. Our county government's
> provision seems to contradict your claim. After all, if I get hurt
> during a RACES activation, I suffer losses; Workmen's Compensation
> lessens those losses. But you said such compensation is prohibited.
> Were you wrong?
>
> Jeff

Jeff, is the workman's comp for activating the PTT or is it for an injury?
If an injury does not occur, do you still get workman's comp?

Were you wrong?

5423. Re: Brother Stair: Sorry, The Asteroid did not hit us on 1/1/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89cn8g$35u$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brother" Stair meets with resentment throughout American Christendom. He's a fraud, another Koresh, a false prophet and charlatan. The man's out for bucks and gets them by scaring the hell out of the gullible. Perhaps some day people will wise up to this clever freak?

In article <67nt4.2497$pP5.47583@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Dan Finn" <finnd@earthlink.net> wrote:

> What religious institution conferred the title "Brother" to Mr. Stair. Also,
> there is no mention in the Bible of a "Prophet Stair". Now, if anyone can
> bestow upon himself the title "Brother" or proclaim himself a "prophet",
> then the "religion" that allows this is a "just pretend" religion. One thing
> Mr. Stair does not pretend doing is asking for your $$$. Go ahead and see
> Mr. Stair if you wish but make sure no one knows you're A) CATHOLIC (he
> hates Catholics and makes fun of the Pope), B) have any reverence at all for
> Mary (Jesus' mother), C) Jewish and the list goes on...
> 73
> KR4AJ
> DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia...
>> Taken from his webpage:
>>
>> Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
>>
>>
>> SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
>> BROTHER STAIR
>>
>>
>>
>> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
>> Time: 10:00am Both Days
>> Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
>> For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581
>>
>>
>> Date: Wednesday & Thursday - March 8 & 9, 2000
>> Time: 11:00am Both Days
>> Place: The Upper Room, Mackville, KY
>> For More Information Phone Brother Baker at 606-366-5642
>>
>>
>> Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 11 & 12, 2000

>> Time: 11:00am Both Days
>> Place: Straightway Communty Tabernacle, LaFayette, TN
>> For More Information Phone Pastor Dowell at 615-688-4277
>>
>>
>> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO
> PANTS,
>> make-up, etc.
>> --
>> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>> Athens, AL 35611
>> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
>> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
>> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
>> http://www.adl-ci.org/
>> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
>> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
>> http://www.3950.net/
>> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
>> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

5424. Re: AF2Y says it's uncalled for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89cmucveg1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118383

But what's more important is that Gore is fighting for the right of a woman to abort and the right for the elderly to get their medications much more reasonably and he's fighting for comprehensive health reform and is fighting the tobacco lobby. Did you know that the cigarette industry is the only industry in the nation that kills 33.3% of the users if its product? Don't sell him short. He'd make an excellent President but then again McLain is fine too.

In article <20000226005159.01217.00000582@ng-fu1.aol.com>, kk4tl@aol.com (KK4TL) wrote:

> You tell 'em doc. The Amazing AlGore invented a lot more than just the
> internet. He invented the electric light, the automobile, the airplane, the
> VLSI integrated circuit and a whole bunch of other stuff. Don't believe it ?
> Visit this web page and then you'll see the truth. Even Prophet Stair couldn't
> tell the truth any better than this.
> http://www.interstat.net/gargaro/algore.html

5425. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brendan Minish <bminish@oceanfree.net>
Message-ID: <0ipgbs0efavrpuob6v4s7t86vpbt4kd1mv@4ax.com>
Source record: 118431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Feb 2000 06:48:30 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>All because we just can't let go of that damn code....

You Should Look at Irish (EI) Licensing if you want to see stone age.

We don't have Amateur Radio Licenses we have Radio experimenters licenses, I have to apply individually for each mode and band I wish to use detailing my equipment and my intended experiments!!

For HF Privileges I have to pass a 12 WPM CW test (which I am studying for now), I am then restricted to 7 & 14 Mhz Only (@25W or less) for the first year (or first 250 Contacts with QSL cards to prove) I then have to apply in Writing to the ODTR to use all the other bands and modes.
Ireland has been a member of CEPT for years but the Irish Radio Transmitters society has made no representations to the ODTR (Irish Equivalent of the FCC) to have Irish Licensing brought in line with the rest of the CEPT Countries

BTW the Office of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation (www.odtr.ie) is bang up to date it's just that they leave it up to the IRTS (Irish radio transmitters Society) to propose any changes to Experimenters license conditions. The IRTS has no interest in modernizing Licensing and have not asked the members (Of which I am one) our views. The irts takes the view that reducing the cw requirement or indeed any changes to the Licensing system would lower standards and be unfair to those that have done it the hard way.

I am going to Sit (And hopefully Pass!) my CW test in the next few weeks , I will not feel hard done by if this requirement was reduced or scrapped in the future.
I have held a Class B license (50 MHz and above) for 10 years, I have built much of my own equipment
2M Linear Amp and Pre amp
50 Mhz Transverter and 50 Mhz power amp
10 Ghz WBFM setup
I can think of may radio related projects that I could be doing with the 40 or so hours it is going to take me to get my CW speed up to 12WPM

>See you at the museum.

I guess I won't be working you in CW during my first year then (Whilst of course I am conducting legitimate Experiments into the nature of HF

propagation of course.)

>Dan
>N2TYB

5426. VX-5R Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: hams@qru.com
Message-ID: <38b87360.23639085@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118432

Will someone with a Yeasu VX-1R or VX-5R please post some likes and dislikes on same....Thank you.... 73......

5427. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: beachmaster <beachmaster@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89a1dacpm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <frCt4.12363$Wv2.139374@news2.mia>,
"DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:
> I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine folks?
In the
> early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the two
big "S"'s
> of SW "Stair and Strom" They eached seemed to have a message to
preach.
> Strom talked about the importance in being white and staying white.
Stair
> preached about this being the "Last Generation" and called himself
the "Last
> Day Prophet of God" Anyways, I was about 19 at the time i started
intently
> listening to these 2, the big "S"'s of Shortwave, ironically both
coming on
> WRNO. Stair would be on all night long during the week and Strom
would be
> on during the weekends.
>
> I just want to say that some of my core beliefs were formed by
listening to
> these two, and that I is what i'm proud of. I just feel that people
should
> let them do the work God has called them to do.
>
He has probably called them quite a few things.
God may have plans for Strom and associates, but, I suspect they may
be quite different from what you envision.

> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/

> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5428. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nomail@rob.knoware.nl
Message-ID: <slrn8bkk0n.s8v.nomail@linux.pe1chl.ampr.org>
Source record: 118234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dale M. Skiba <ds0007@medtronic.COM> wrote:
>Rob Janssen (nomail@rob.knoware.nl) wrote:
>: Dale M. Skiba <ds0007@medtronic.COM> wrote:
>: >I haven't read the details on AX.25, but I have a guess as
>: >to what you are saying. Perhaps the root cause of the problem
>: >is that X.25 includes both data link and routing stuff.

>: First read the details... The AX.25 protocol has little or nothing to do
>: with the X.25 protocol!

>Is it worth it? (I mean not to waste effort required for something not
>in widespread use.)

AX.25 is easily the most widespread data protocol for radio amateurs...

But its name is misleading. It should be called LAPB or maybe ALAPB.

Rob
--
+----------------------------------+--------------------------------------+
| Rob Janssen pe1chl@amsat.org | WWW: http://www.knoware.nl/users/rob |
| AMPRnet: rob@pe1chl.ampr.org | AX.25 BBS: PE1CHL@PI8WNO.#UTR.NLD.EU |
+----------------------------------+--------------------------------------+

5429. Re: THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38BABED0.B491CDDB@netrover.com>
Source record: 118235

sorry no caps http://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows/

Casey wrote:

> On Mon, 28 Feb 2000 14:46:53 GMT, "Roger Wiseman" <kc8jbo@1st.net>
> wrote:
>
> >GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote in message
> >news:38BA83C5.F56C945D@netrover.com...
> >> YES THE RUMOR IS TRUE??????THE RETURN OF WB2OTK TO AMATEUR RADIO!!
> >>
> >> READ ABT IT ON THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER
> >>
> >> HTTP://WWW.ANGELFIRE.COM/AK/GOODFELLOWS/
> >
> >Cool! If I lived near him, I would volunteer to administer his technician
> >exam....
> >That'll be great to have Rich back!
> >
> >
> This page has been removed according to your server.

5430. Re: THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89eraiinb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118236

In article <38ba9047.402133862@news.mindspring.com>,
n4gks@mindspring.comNOSPAM (Casey) wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Feb 2000 14:46:53 GMT, "Roger Wiseman" <kc8jbo@1st.net>
> wrote:
>
> >GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote in message
> >news:38BA83C5.F56C945D@netrover.com...
> >> YES THE RUMOR IS TRUE??????THE RETURN OF WB2OTK TO AMATEUR RADIO!!
> >>
> >> READ ABT IT ON THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER
> >>
> >> HTTP://WWW.ANGELFIRE.COM/AK/GOODFELLOWS/
> >
> >Cool! If I lived near him, I would volunteer to administer his
technician
> >exam....
> >That'll be great to have Rich back!
> >
> >
> This page has been removed according to your server.

First of all, according to the server, the page could not be found.
That's not the same as "being removed". Please be accurate

Second of all, due to the all caps post, the page address was incorrect.
Just type the address as is except make the "ak/goodfellows/" lower
case. While server names are case insensitive, almost all of the
server's directory structure is case sensitive. That's why the link
didn't work.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5431. Squatter's rights on VHF/UHF.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89erdl$iv5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Myself, and some of the other hams that have started to get back into the hobby have started to notice that the VHF/UHF bands are now basically unused. What I'd like to do(and I'm sure a lot of other technically-astute individuals that we should be trying to get into the hobby)is to use these bands for hi-speed digital communications(56kbps and above). The "band plans" in my area (Southern California) have totally allocated this space to mostly non-digital applications, and to mostly voice-repeater applications. It seems that a select few hams have basically set up their own "personal communications systems" which they hardly(if ever) use. It is my assertion that once a "critical-mass" of "digitally-aware" hams would start to use the VHF/UHF bands, we should be able to squat on unused frequencies, and eventually form our own band-plan. An even better scenario would be to have the FCC issue a "new deal" of frequency allocations - with an emphasis on experimental mode allocations(hi-speed digital communication being one of them), and a de-emphasis of voice repeaters. The fact is - we could form our own "cellular" communication system that would fulfill both the new digital communication needs, and the old voice communications needs at the same time. We should also petition the FCC to allow 100khz/56kbps operations on 6m and 2m - to allow for more efficient use of our bands, and to spur digital experimentation.

If too many of you would argue with this, maybe I should just suggest we disband amateur radio, as it seems it has lost its main purpose (experimentation) - and give back all our frequency allocations, so it would better serve mankind.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5432. Re: KC8JBO & VE fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89esbnjjq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118238

In article <89eehi$8um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
fgh453@yahoo.com wrote:

> I have information that Roger Wiseman (KC8JBO) has been "selling" ham
> tickets thru the ARRL VE program.

Is this like the credit report you said you had? Is this claim of yours more or less credible than any of the others you have made?

When was that page supposed to be up? Has it been two weeks or three, now. I've lost track.

Where's that AF2Y arrest warrant page? The one with the GIF of a triangle that's supposed to be the warrant. Hmmmm? You were going to have that up 8 months ago. I guess they kicked your butt off of there, huh?

> Please send an email to the ARRL & ask them to look into this matter.
>
> By myself, I can do little about this.....If we ALL band together we
> can stop this fraud from giving amateur radio exams.
>
>
> John "Piss" Bowl

I'll send them an e-mail just as soon as you give me your name and e-mail address so they can contact you and verify your story.

I will not be holding my breath for this.

Put up or shut up.

Kicking it up a notch.

Ba Bam!

LRod

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5433. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89est4$k0v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118239

In article <89c9e4$qi7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <89be4d$935$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:89aa27
> > gtc1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > >Lloyd, using a new address, lloydvfp@netzero.net wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.......
> > > >
> > > >The word is "elongated," you dimwit.
> > > >
> > > I thought he was calling someone an "egg nog" head. That kind of
> made
> > > me thirsty.
> > > >
> > > Jeff
> > >
> > Yeah there's nothing like a good egg nog! lol!
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Especially when mommy makes it, right little Roger?

Hey do you still lick Donna when she has a yeast infection, Dimmy?

>
> November 9, 1999
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Roger L. Wiseman
>
> 610 Glen Haven Avenue
>
> Glendale, WV 26038-1302

>
> Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO
>
> Dear Mr. Wiseman:
>
> The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
> and
> maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
> Amateurs on the
> 14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times
in
> the last
> several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified
> transmissions.
>
> The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
> self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to
the
> basis and
> purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate
users.
> This
> serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated.
> Additional
> incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a
> monetary fine
> being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an
> Administrative
> Law Judge.
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
>
> Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service
>
> Enforcement Bureau
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Ho-Hum.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES

Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5434. Re: KC8JBO & VE fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89et6okca1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118240

In article <89esbnjjq1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <89eehi$8um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> fgh453@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > I have information that Roger Wiseman (KC8JBO) has been "selling"
ham
> > tickets thru the ARRL VE program.
>
> Is this like the credit report you said you had? Is this claim of
yours
> more or less credible than any of the others you have made?
>
> When was that page supposed to be up? Has it been two weeks or three,
> now. I've lost track.
>
> Where's that AF2Y arrest warrant page? The one with the GIF of a
> triangle that's supposed to be the warrant. Hmmmm? You were going to
> have that up 8 months ago. I guess they kicked your butt off of there,
> huh?
>
> > Please send an email to the ARRL & ask them to look into this
matter.
> >
> > By myself, I can do little about this.....If we ALL band together
we
> > can stop this fraud from giving amateur radio exams.
> >
> >
> > John "Piss" Bowl
>
> I'll send them an e-mail just as soon as you give me your name and
> e-mail address so they can contact you and verify your story.
>
> I will not be holding my breath for this.
>
> Put up or shut up.
>
> Kicking it up a notch.
>
> Ba Bam!
>
> LRod
>

> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

He won't L Rod, it would require some intestinal fortitude on his part.
Ol' Druggie convict Jimmy doesn't have any balls.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5435. Re: any chants?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89etgkkfv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118241

In article <89es3ljgb1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
possum belly <possumbilly@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <yTvu4.2929$Xj7.39138@news>,
> "Roger Wiseman" <kc8jbo@1st.net> wrote:
>
> > cc: to Riley Hollingsworth.
>
> that perty got dam funny concider in you all reddy bin busted by MR H.
> wat you try in to do??????? turnt states eviddents????????? i sure you
> at the top a MR H's credetabillity chart!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
you
> jus got busted an you all reddy to drop a dime. wat a punk!!!!!!!!!!
> jus like yo dadDy in mounts vile prisin. he a prisin punk to.
> BWAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAH you ole elongitated
> deedformed hed freek a zoid frum mars. i herd that. any chants the
> motha ship gone cum bak an git you soon????????? hope they take 3/4
ded
> granny wid them. an the rest a you pod peeple kin. an Rufus to. so let
> it bee ritten. so let it bee dun. amen.
>
> --
> DR Possum Eugene Belly
> Folk Denteristy & Herbilist
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Then tell me, O'Brien, why didn't Riley EVER get back in touch with me?
I e-mailed him, called him and snail mailed him, but not ONE response.
I guess he didn't think very much of the contrived evidence, huh?
He DID get back to you when you were caught coordinating your jamming
of HF on 2 meters, didn't he? And you lied your ass off to the League
and the FCC to get out of that jam. Riley did contact me recently
though, about my report of your jamming on 20 meters. Hope you enjoy
the internet, because from what I hear, that and CB will be your only
communications modes. Ho_HO!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5436. Re: AF2Y & "Brother" John.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89eu3jkts1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118242

In article <89e2bd$i3j$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> KC3AU is an interesting but provocative fellow. I met him once and we
hit it
> off just fine. His wife Rose is charming. When the Occhipintis lived
in
> Scranton much more often I heard OF him than actually heard him due
to
> proximity of our cities. After his relocation to Mableton Georgia, the
> reverse is true and he's LOUD, VERY LOUD.
> Basically John's a peace loving guy with two problems, at least in my
humble
> estimation. The first is that he IMPOSES and by that I don't mean OFF
ham
> radio. In other words, he gives you both barrels plus a howitzer plus
an
> Uzzi plus three grenades and a megaton warhead where a spitball would
> suffice. This is known as RELIGIOUS OVERKILL.
> Then comes this unrelenting anachronistic and redundant placement of
Jesus
> Christ on 14.313. I've never heard anything like it. I still can't
figure
> out how or why any biblical personage in anyone's faith belongs on ,or
> controls ham radio? Everything that transpires has to be, in John's
mind,
> related to Jesus. According to him any friction, tension, animosity,
> hostility, benevolence, impudence, friendship etc. must be in terms of
> John's brand of religious belief. In other words, nothing's in black
and
> white. It's all HEAVENLY or wherever since that's all speculative too.
> For any newcomer this may sound a bit confusing but the whole thing
is easy
> to understand once explained properly. I personally go back to
November 1989
> and it's about POWER. Herbie Schoenbohm,KV4FZ; Lloyd Montcalm,WA2EXQ;
Billy
> Gasell, WB4GDP; Bob Dillinger,KB4MXL; Mike Galego,KA4MUJ and "Queenie"
> Mort,K3QAM plus some underlings took it upon themselves to become an
> unwanted, unwarranted and annoying enforcement arm of the FCC called
the
> B.A.R.F. They objected to "phone patching" especially by the Intercon
Net
> and by using the excuse that Intercon was controlled by Jews for the
purpose

> of stealing money from phone companies, they proceeded to harass,
squeeze,
> annoy..you name it, the net as a whole plus the adherents of same. AND
> THAT'S IT IN A NUTSHELL. Their actions provoked reactions and the war
began
> and got out of control until Riley Hollingsworth came onto the scene
in
> January of '99. But.....some hostilities remain and always will.
> The past and present situation has prompted KC3AU to come on and help
ease
> the still tense situation by way of sermons, lectures, staying after
school
> sessions and worship of Jesus. Huh? So what's happened?
> His good intentions remain good but the reaction by those unwilling
to be
> lectured to, sermonized to, staying after school and not necessarily
> worshipping Jesus in the same way John does or not worshipping at
all is
> causing more problems and I don't think KC3AU recognizes it.
> Now let's say that things change even more for the better on .313 and
> hostilities begin to greatly ease and we have dancing around the
maypole and
> picnics and all those goodies. This means that people gather to
exchange
> news and views with others heretofore mutually unfriendly. Good, we
have
> accomplished something positive. THEN in comes KC3AU to turn .313
into a
> church and lo and behold -RIOTSVILLE. The road to trouble is paved
with good
> intentions, correct? So what's the solution? It's not difficult at
all.
> KC3AU has every right to be on any frequency he's licensed to inhabit
and
> can discuss anything gentlemanly which he most certainly does. But if
a man
> wants to speed, then get that car off the city streets and go to a
race
> track. And therein lies the solution.
> If John wants to ragchew as is the custom on ham radio, then .313 is
as good
> a spot as anyplace. But if he wants to SERMONIZE, PREACH, BANG BIBLES
and
> EXTOL THE VIRTUES OF HIS FAITH, then make such an announcement
on .313 to
> the flock and then get the flock out of there. The ones who adhere to
him
> and his brand of religion will vacate and then we should not hear the
> catcalls and insulting remarks. No longer will John be FORCING those
who

> resent hearing his theological rhetoric on .313 to listen. On a
frequency he
> chooses to attend with his followers, he can bang that bible until the
> covers fall off and everyone will be happy. Now how's that for
brainpower?
> AF2Y
>
> `
>
>
Never happen...and you ask why? Simple...where else can he go and have
such a built in audience?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5437. Re: THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89eu4h$ku5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118243

DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:j3Bu4.993
$wk.1021903@news1.mia...
> Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@1st.net> wrote in message
>
> what happened ol' egnoglated head, get kicked off yet another ISP?
^^^^^^^^^^
"Elongated," and my tool head does get elongated, a sensation your
minute attopenis can hardly muster, huh,Lloyd? I think you must be gay,
Lloyd, I don't see any evidence that you can EVER get a woman. And if
you do, it'd be like the fat disease-infested Donna O' Brien.
Whatever happened to "Christine," Lloyd? Mwhahahahahahahah!
Nope..I've been on this one, dumbass. If you were such a computer
genius, you'd have realized that fact. What happened to you and
bellsouth, by the way, Lloyd?
Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahaha...fuckkkhead.

> > Cool! If I lived near him, I would volunteer to administer his
technician
> > exam....
> > That'll be great to have Rich back!
>
> I'm sure it would be cool. And Possum Belley and I both imagine
you "would
> try your best" to give him that "passing grade"

I wouldn't have to, Rich, unlike you stagnant one, can pass the tests
with ease. I wouldn't grasde his tests any different than I would
YOURS, Lloyd.
Prove otherwise.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5438. Re: Rain Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89euak$l6i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118244

DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message news:_fBu4.1019
$wk.1022101@news1.mia...
> > cc: to Riley Hollingsworth.
>
> and your point is, egnogalated head?

The point is on top of _your_skull, you ignorant dumbass.
The word is "elongated," you illiterate fatass. I suppose your little
certificate in "Computer Operations" i.e. Data Entry, did not include
English Composition in its' curriculum. Of course, you barely received
a GED, right, Lloyd? And you of all people, you inbred, 210 lb, 5'07"
high stack of excrement, who couldn't get laid in a whorehouse with a
platnium visa card, have NO room to talk about ANYBODY. Did you ever
get that "Southern gal?" or did your grotesque appearance leave to
dating Rosey Palmer again? That's the best you can do, isn't it Lloyd?
You don't have the damn brains to do code, you don't have the brains to
get a college degree, you don't have the intelligence to get a woman.
You are the eternal Technician ham radio operator, who will stagnate
rather than bettering himself. Then you will blame others, follow the
lunatic far-right goofballs, and wonder what is wrong with your life.

> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>
> Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@1st.net> wrote in message
> news:yTvu4.2929$Xj7.39138@news...
> > DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message
> > news:Yqnu4.41603$po2.439441@news1.mia...
> > >
> > > Listen to the Rain Report - Looks like RH is after folks for
using Ham
> > > Radios on CB band. Well, looks like i wont be using my 706 on

27.555
> > after
> > > all! LOL!
> > > --
> > > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > > Athens, AL 35611
> > > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> > > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> > > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> > > http://www.adl-ci.org/
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> > > http://www.3950.net/
> > > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> > > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> > > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> > >
> > cc: to Riley Hollingsworth.

Hahahahahaha.. Riley won't like a Technician operating on those bands,
or he won't like your excursions of qrming on hf, Lloyd.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5439. Re: AF2Y & "Brother" John.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89euff$l90$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118245

In article <89eu3jkts1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <89e2bd$i3j$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > KC3AU is an interesting but provocative fellow. I met him once and
we
> hit it
> > off just fine. His wife Rose is charming. When the Occhipintis lived
> in
> > Scranton much more often I heard OF him than actually heard him due
> to
> > proximity of our cities. After his relocation to Mableton Georgia,
the
> > reverse is true and he's LOUD, VERY LOUD.
> > Basically John's a peace loving guy with two problems, at least in
my
> humble
> > estimation. The first is that he IMPOSES and by that I don't mean
OFF
> ham
> > radio. In other words, he gives you both barrels plus a howitzer
plus
> an
> > Uzzi plus three grenades and a megaton warhead where a spitball
would
> > suffice. This is known as RELIGIOUS OVERKILL.
> > Then comes this unrelenting anachronistic and redundant placement of
> Jesus
> > Christ on 14.313. I've never heard anything like it. I still can't
> figure
> > out how or why any biblical personage in anyone's faith belongs
on ,or
> > controls ham radio? Everything that transpires has to be, in
John's
> mind,
> > related to Jesus. According to him any friction, tension, animosity,
> > hostility, benevolence, impudence, friendship etc. must be in terms
of
> > John's brand of religious belief. In other words, nothing's in black
> and
> > white. It's all HEAVENLY or wherever since that's all speculative
too.
> > For any newcomer this may sound a bit confusing but the whole thing
> is easy

> > to understand once explained properly. I personally go back to
> November 1989
> > and it's about POWER. Herbie Schoenbohm,KV4FZ; Lloyd
Montcalm,WA2EXQ;
> Billy
> > Gasell, WB4GDP; Bob Dillinger,KB4MXL; Mike Galego,KA4MUJ
and "Queenie"
> > Mort,K3QAM plus some underlings took it upon themselves to become an
> > unwanted, unwarranted and annoying enforcement arm of the FCC called
> the
> > B.A.R.F. They objected to "phone patching" especially by the
Intercon
> Net
> > and by using the excuse that Intercon was controlled by Jews for the
> purpose
> > of stealing money from phone companies, they proceeded to harass,
> squeeze,
> > annoy..you name it, the net as a whole plus the adherents of same.
AND
> > THAT'S IT IN A NUTSHELL. Their actions provoked reactions and the
war
> began
> > and got out of control until Riley Hollingsworth came onto the scene
> in
> > January of '99. But.....some hostilities remain and always will.
> > The past and present situation has prompted KC3AU to come on and
help
> ease
> > the still tense situation by way of sermons, lectures, staying after
> school
> > sessions and worship of Jesus. Huh? So what's happened?
> > His good intentions remain good but the reaction by those unwilling
> to be
> > lectured to, sermonized to, staying after school and not necessarily
> > worshipping Jesus in the same way John does or not worshipping at
> all is
> > causing more problems and I don't think KC3AU recognizes it.
> > Now let's say that things change even more for the better on .313
and
> > hostilities begin to greatly ease and we have dancing around the
> maypole and
> > picnics and all those goodies. This means that people gather to
> exchange
> > news and views with others heretofore mutually unfriendly. Good, we
> have
> > accomplished something positive. THEN in comes KC3AU to turn .313
> into a
> > church and lo and behold -RIOTSVILLE. The road to trouble is paved
> with good
> > intentions, correct? So what's the solution? It's not difficult at

> all.
> > KC3AU has every right to be on any frequency he's licensed to
inhabit
> and
> > can discuss anything gentlemanly which he most certainly does. But
if
> a man
> > wants to speed, then get that car off the city streets and go to a
> race
> > track. And therein lies the solution.
> > If John wants to ragchew as is the custom on ham radio, then .313 is
> as good
> > a spot as anyplace. But if he wants to SERMONIZE, PREACH, BANG
BIBLES
> and
> > EXTOL THE VIRTUES OF HIS FAITH, then make such an announcement
> on .313 to
> > the flock and then get the flock out of there. The ones who adhere
to
> him
> > and his brand of religion will vacate and then we should not hear
the
> > catcalls and insulting remarks. No longer will John be FORCING those
> who
> > resent hearing his theological rhetoric on .313 to listen. On a
> frequency he
> > chooses to attend with his followers, he can bang that bible until
the
> > covers fall off and everyone will be happy. Now how's that for
> brainpower?
> > AF2Y
> >
> > `
> >
> >
> Never happen...and you ask why? Simple...where else can he go and
have
> such a built in audience?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

He's just a broadcaster wannabe.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5440. Win cash $2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Line Champoux <line.champoux@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <VNyu4.8408$e53.360773@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 118246

WIN $2,500!!! TELL A FRIEND!
You have until March 15 to participate in the TodaysSports.com E-mail Referral Contest. Every time you get a friend to sign up for a FREE lifetime membership to TodaysSports.com, you're entered to win $2,500! The best part is, so are your friends! That's right - we're giving away $2,500 to a lucky new member next month, and $2,500 to one of our current members who refers the most friends. PLUS we're giving away a ton of other prizes including t-shirts, autographed memorabilia, and YOU could WIN! To get started, just copy this link and send it to your friends today!
http://www.todayssports.com/signup/r.cgi?Lepic

5441. Re: Brother Stair: Sorry, The Asteroid did not hit us on 1/1/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89ehlb$jb6$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118247

I went to Stair's website (it was given during a broadcast) and told him off. I mean I tore into him for all it was worth but of course in gentlemanly language. That night my toupee fell into the toilet; my upper denture broke in half; I ran out of toilet paper and had a hemorrhoid attack. But not to worry because the same thing happened three months before after a Yankee telecast versus the Angels which the Yanks won. In other words Brother Stair is no more a last day prophet of God than was Gene Autry.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <20000227230941.14218.00002058@ng-ce1.aol.com>, kk4tl@aol.com (KK4TL) wrote:

> Careful, there, Sigs. Posts like this will offend certain visitors to this
> news-group. Now you come right back here and say something nice about "the
> last days prophet of God".
>
> I feel your pain .. I can't think of anything good to say about him either. So
> I guess we must join Kevin in being brutally honest about Prophet Stair. The
> man is a flop. His prophecies fail without fail. Aren't there passages in
> Torah concerning the outcome of false prophets?
>
> Watch out, Sigs. We better cut this short of else one of Prophet Stair's
> adherents will come back and tell us we're full of Hell. And if you're as
> worried about that as I am, then you must be as worried about it as Kevin. And
> among the 3 of us, I think it's safe to say we're not worried at all.
>
> Kevin, if I'm wrong, please add a word of correction here. TYIA.
>
>

5442. Re: THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89eibgmju1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118248

No it's not. It's 2/28/00 and I just talked to him about it and he said no.

In article <38BA83C5.F56C945D@netrover.com>, GRAHAM DYER
<grahmd@netrover.com> wrote:

> YES THE RUMOR IS TRUE??????THE RETURN OF WB2OTK TO AMATEUR RADIO!!
>
> READ ABT IT ON THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER
>
> HTTP://WWW.ANGELFIRE.COM/AK/GOODFELLOWS/
>

5443. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89ei0grbv1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118249

dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

> I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
> tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
> nothing.

And you left the hobby because others couldn't satisfy you? The service is not about others entertaining you, it's about the self-learning of: electronic theory, soldering, reading and understanding schematics, collecting components, assembling radios, national and international radio laws, ionospheric propagation and antenna theory, assembling antennas, and communicating world-wide by RF emissions. It's very specialized; it was never an everyman's hobby. That we have 670,000 licensees attempting to abide by our fundamental purpose (Title 47 CFR 97.1) is in itself amazing.

> The biggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
> people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
> there...

We're operators first and foremost which means getting on the air and talking to others. One aspect of the hobby/service is to optimize your station in such a way so as to contact distance stations. When you stop and consider that one can work nationally or internationally with just a few watts of power is truly amazing; if you don't feel any thrill in that, then maybe the hobby is not for you. Also, each new contact you make is a new found friend.

Are you still "...missing something there..."?

Jeff

5444. Re: AF2Y: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89ekbrsbh1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118250

But Jeff, hear me out. The future of the USA is tied to COMPUTERS, not ham radio. In other words, a kid that chooses a computer over a rig is priming himself for TOMORROW instead of looking at a meaningless QSL today. Hamming is FUN; computers are MANDATORY. Let me give you a stat I heard on the 8:00 A.M. CBS newscast today. In the CITY of New York, there are now 250,000 computer related jobs as compared with zilch a few years ago. A quarter million and THIS IS JUST THE BEGINNING! And that's the gist of everything. Give a kid a computer and chat rooms and he's communicating with the world with no strings attached. He's also sharpening his language and writing skills and who knows, he may even have a dictionary on the desk? My wife's a teacher and I notice that some of her e-mails are from her students who range in age from 11-13 years. And I notice that she answers every single message be it intelligent or silly. Why? Because there's no better way to encourage a kid to write and read than by computer use. And get this-when kids send e-mails and chat among themselves, THEY ARE ENJOYING THE SKILLS THEY'RE BUILDING without stopping to realize the mechanics of it all. That's the beauty of it. And my wife says that she is seeing definite improvement in slower childrens' progress thanks to their using computers. It's IMPOSSIBLE for amateur radio to ever compete again for the mind and the time of youth who WOULD HAVE gone into it. And who knew about it for the longest time? The ARRL and the FCC which explains restructuring. It's a DESPERATION MOVE. And based upon Kenwood's cutting back on manufacturing ham rigs, they too know it. Based on the ever increasing and monumental sales of computers and accesssories I will not be surprised, in just a few years, to see ham licenses GIVEN away. There'll be no more of a requirement to be a ham than there is now to be a CBer. That sounds rash but I'll stick to it. And remember this: in due time you will see Kenwood manufacturing computers if they already haven't started.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <89788rava1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and guess
>>what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with CB,
>
> I don't believe that it ever was the "in thing." In our one-hundred
> year history, only a small percentage of each generation has shown
> an interest in the self-learning of electronic theory, soldering,
> reading and understanding schematics, collecting components,
> assembling radios, communicating world-wide by RF. It never was
> an everyman's hobby.
>

>>was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace?
>
> That's fine if they don't mind being tethered. They're helplessly
> dependent upon untold billions of dollars of computer equipment
> belonging to thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions
> of miles of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens
> of thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
> running.
>
> Jeff

5445. AF2Y's message to Lloyd, N0VFP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89emevrer1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118251

Hey wait a minute. What's with all this refinement for the gasbag? Go to his website and take a peek at him. A slob. Men, no long hair? Why not. Every painting I've ever seen of Jesus showed him with very long hair and at times a beard too. Ladies, no pants? If they did, we then could call him Brother Stare.

>From: "DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave
>Subject: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
>Date: Thu, SuFeb 102431200099314:58 AM
>

> Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies NO PANTS,
> make-up, etc.
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611

5446. Re: THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <j3Bu4.993$wk.1021903@news1.mia>
Source record: 118252

Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@1st.net> wrote in message

what happened ol' egnoglated head, get kicked off yet another ISP?

> Cool! If I lived near him, I would volunteer to administer his technician
> exam....
> That'll be great to have Rich back!
>
>

I'm sure it would be cool. And Possum Belley and I both imagine you "would
try your best" to give him that "passing grade"
--

LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@1st.net> wrote in message

5447. Re: AF2Y: A FEW WORDS BUT NOT ENOUGH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <f6Bu4.999$wk.1021597@news1.mia>
Source record: 118253

radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:89c6i0buu1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I know...I know...the e-mails are coming in and so are the phone calls.
> Fellas, I can't spend time on the board. Much too busy

doing what? casuing more trouble for the "goyim"

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

5448. Re: AF2Y:Thanks for the nice feedback.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <89Bu4.1004$wk.1021949@news1.mia>
Source record: 118254

radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:89cgas$up6$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Don't have time to post the compliments I'm getting on my posting about
the
> Cheesehead and friends hypocrisy

Who cares, get a life!

And keep in mind that the Cheesehead spouting off is
> from the same state that can boast of the utterly disgraced and censured
> Sen. Joseph McCarthy who saw a communist under every bed;

mr mccarthy was a good man and a true american. too bad the american people
did not listen to him.
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

5449. Re: AF2Y takes ex -lax .. your right Doc..you could be wrong.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89ema9$32t$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118255

You are shallow at best. You write as if there's something wrong with being a liberal Democrat. Actually I'm an independent voter but if you want to label me such, go right ahead. The way I see it is that liberal democrats CARE about people and feel that women are entitled to govern their own bodies and that gun sales must be controlled before everybody kills everyone else and that social security and medicare are assured and health programs are instituted and drug prices are checked and all the rest of the stuff that makes living decent in these United States. But then again we must consider the price for everything. Today John McCain opened both barrels on George W. by calling him anti-Catholic for having pandered to the Bob Jones School of Intolerance. He also levelled Jerry Falwell and his ilk for likewise being hatemongers and by golly, John's no patsy for anyone and is scared of no one either. I like that about a Republican who, up to now, have all been Bush Leaguers. Yes indeed, the more Johnny speaks, the more he infuriates the weak panderers who'd sell out their souls for a vote, including George W.As I said I'm an Independent voter and am VERY interested in Mr.McCain because he's one solid staunch dude who did this country proud in Viet Nam and might replicate it as Top Man at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue? There's nothing artificial about me or John although I could never shine his shoes. Let's see how smart is the G.O.P. Are they FINALLY going mainstream America by nominating him or quivering in fear from Christian Coalition backlash? Tim I gather you are a Republican which is fine with me and I guarantee I've voted Republican many more times than you so stop labeling every Independent a liberal Democrat who likes McCain because he won't beat Gore. That's ridiculous. Bush will NEVER beat Gore but John just might. Think about it. What's going on is that the DYED IN THE WOOL DEMOCRATS are making you believe they're for McCain to engender the type of backlash you're rendering in order to throw your weight solidly behind a loser like Bush. It's reverse psychology and you're falling for it. And do me a favor Tim; if you're going to reply, don't give me a BULLBLEEP beginning. Use more appropriate English; you're intelligent enough.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <20000223184208.14749.00001197@ng-fh1.aol.com>,
kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:

> Bullshit Doc, folks here's one more example of a liberal democrat praising
> McCain. This my friends speaks volumes about "who the democrats ARE NOT worried
> about. How can McCain be praised by sooo many liberals and call himself, or
> try to, a conservative? Bush had more independants vote for him (35%) than
> McCain had "Republicans"(25%). How did McCain win Michigan? You guessed it, Doc
> voted for him. LOL.... I rest my case.

5450. Re: Rain Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <_fBu4.1019$wk.1022101@news1.mia>
Source record: 118256

> cc: to Riley Hollingsworth.

and your point is, egnogalated head?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@1st.net> wrote in message
news:yTvu4.2929$Xj7.39138@news...
> DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:Yqnu4.41603$po2.439441@news1.mia...
> >
> > Listen to the Rain Report - Looks like RH is after folks for using Ham
> > Radios on CB band. Well, looks like i wont be using my 706 on 27.555
> after
> > all! LOL!
> > --
> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > Athens, AL 35611
> > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> > http://www.adl-ci.org/
> > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> > http://www.3950.net/
> > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> >
> > cc: to Riley Hollingsworth.
> >

>

5451. Icom IC-735 IF filter problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: g0hdb@amdavies.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <20000228.2225.366snz@amdavies.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 118257
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.misc

Over the weekend I detected a possible problem with my Icom IC-735 HF rig. I noticed while receiving with the rig set to the 'CW' mode that when I switched from the narrow (500Hz) IF filter to the standard 2.4kHz 'wide' filter, which is also used when receiving SSB, the received signal level seemed to fall by about 20dB. I recall that I used to perceive that the signal level was slightly lower when going through the narrow filter, not vice versa as it is now. When receiving in SSB mode the signal level seemed to be the same as in the CW wide mode, ie. about 20dB down on that when in CW narrow mode. The SSB and narrow CW filters are both centered on about 9MHz.

It seems to me that something in the signal path through the standard 2.4kHz filter isn't working as it should. The filter switching is done using diodes; apart from those and a few terminating R's and C's there's no difference (AFAICT) between the wide and narrow signal paths.

On the very few occasions I've transmitted on SSB recently I've had to set the mic gain to a very high level to get full RF output - again this would appear to indicate excess loss somewhere in the signal path. I believe the transmitter chain uses the 2.4kHz filter to remove the unwanted sideband, so a problem with the filter itself, its terminating components or the associated diode switching would explain both the transmit and receive symptoms.

Has anyone else ever experienced a problem like that described above, on either an Icom or any other make of rig?

73
--
Martin G0HDB
Don't forget to delete the "*" from the Reply-To address

5452. Possible open-source Windows logbook project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephen Kennedy <steve@sdk-software.com>
Message-ID: <951776901.13529.0.nnrp-14.c2de196d@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 118258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This message is to assess interest amongst radio amateurs who have software development skills (programming, database and query design, art/design, HTML) in contributing to an open source logbook for Windows 95 and compatible operating systems. It has a secondary purpose of assessing interest amongst users for a new logbook application - after all, there would be little point in starting this project if existing software already fulfills users' requirements. Comments are welcome, please send or at least copy them to me as I am not a regular reader of the newsgroups.

Some of you may remember my mentioning a logbook I was working on back in 1996/7 (SuperLog for Windows), or seen mention of it on my website or in the notes to WinConvers, my convers client application. The project died as I realised it would have been tricky if not impossible (simply in terms of time) to deliver all the functionality I wanted on my own, and given a declining interest in amateur radio (sorry :) I just couldn't commit the time necessary with no immediate prospect of a return. Some time ago I seriously considered releasing what I had under the GNU General Public Licence, but in the end felt that would shift the work, the time dilemna, and the credit, to others; also, the work I have done is only a small fraction of what is required so the project would likely have got nowhere even under the GPL.

Given the current explosion in co-operative open source development, I am considering a co-ordinated project, based on the major elements of my project (the database, code, and, just as importantly, notes on the features and ideas I had planned), with other developers taking responsibility for implementing certain features. I've not decided on the licencing model yet, but it would likely be based on the Netscape Public Licence, which would mean that the resulting core project would be freely distribituble and source code available.

Before the project could even get underway, I would have to assess, list, document, and tidy for public consumption the work I have already done, convert my paper notes into web pages, write preliminary coding guidelines, and form a group of developers. Further steps would then be necessary, comments on my features plans, alterations to account for changes in the computerised amateur radio world since my project began, improvements, additions, deletions, etc.; agreement on coding guidelines; assignment of tasks; deciding on a name; and probably many other things. As you can see this is quite a list, and no doubt I've forgotten things that ought to be in it, so I don't want to waste the time only to find nobody is *genuinely interested in and excited about* this. If they're not, that's fine, I'll take another look at what I have and junk it or throw it onto the net 'as is'. This is about what we can do in the future not the little I've already

done.

Currently the database is structured as an MS Access backend, with an MS Access/VBA frontend, loaded by 'superlog.exe' which is a simple VB loading application. There are also a couple of OCX files written in full VB. It might not be too late to switch the front end to full VB, and indeed Delphi/C work is not out of the question for support files (components, libraries, etc.). I would envisage co-ordinating the project, and being responsible for public variables, the Configuration module, integration, and general application-wide issues.

So far I have:
the application loader
most of the configuration code (properties, config dialog, registry code)
the basic data tables, forms, and code
DXCC form and data
some DX cluster code
logbook form and some code
voice keyer
browser (used for displaying program generated HTML, and for the web)
world factbook
beam headings

quite a few versions ago, statistically stood at:
29 tables/103 indexes/9 relations (plus external)/14 queries (+non-saved runtime SQL)
32 forms/631 controls
2 reports
1 macro
12 modules/11462 lines of code

Let me know if you're interested. I'm not promising anything at this stage, but will read all replies and decide what to do from there.

Steve
g0lri
--
"The pool of tears beneath my feet flood every new born seed"

Home Page: http://www.kalika.demon.co.uk/
Free Software & Shareware: http://www.sdk-software.com/

5453. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <38BB1306.5FCCB5E7@aznet.net>
Source record: 118259

POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE wrote:
>
> Sorry to burst your bubble but your last statement is totally
> incorrect. Why would we loose the 6, 2 and 440 bands because of the
> code? These bands are for the no-code and when a person does pass
> the code test they move away from the 6, 2 and 440 bands for HF. No
> matter what you do, eliminate the code or pass the code test, you
> will not stay on 6, 2 and 440 but will go directly to HF.
>

Interesting. There was much activity on these bands when you needed
to pass a code test for any amateur radio license. :)

> Also you answered you question about the enforcement. The no-code
> techs do not get on the radio as much as coded amateurs. They also
> do not get on frequencies that can be heard all over the world. If

6 meters can open up occasionally. :)

> they fail to ID properly or allow their wife to talk on the radio to
> them even though the wife does not have a license they never get
> caught because they are on frequencies that travel a vary short
> distance.
>
> Doing away with the code will only bring the end to the VHF/UHF/SHF
> bands as all new operators will go directly to HF.....
>

See above, there was activity on these bands before the No-Code Tech license
was created.

5454. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jenny <jparker@kokis.com>
Message-ID: <38BB117B.178F@kokis.com>
Source record: 118260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ian Gill wrote:
>
> Jenny
> > How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> > or are you just too dumb or lazy?
>
> Sure he did, Jenny, Morse is the universal language understood by everyone
> except aliens.

Bullshit. 95% or more of the population would not have any idea waht
you were saying if you used Morse Code. Back to the question being
avoided by changing the subject to something completely idiotic...

> > How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> > or are you just too dumb or lazy?
(Russian, Japanese, German, French, Italian, ETC ETC)

5455. Re: Different Band Plans??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jenny <jparker@kokis.com>
Message-ID: <38BB1405.3E69@kokis.com>
Source record: 118261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chris wrote:
>
> Does anyone know where i can find band plans for Japan, Asia, Europe,
> and Germany??

I would hope they are the same everywhere! For OBVIOUS reasons! Except
for perhaps 2 meters and above.

5456. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kim W5TIT <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <71B01CDB7FDEEE70.32413E73515CDADB.553FC8A902457BD1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 118262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jenny wrote:
>
> Ian Gill wrote:
> >
> > Jenny
> > > How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> > > or are you just too dumb or lazy?
> >
> > Sure he did, Jenny, Morse is the universal language understood by everyone
> > except aliens.
>
> Bullshit. 95% or more of the population would not have any idea waht
> you were saying if you used Morse Code. Back to the question being
> avoided by changing the subject to something completely idiotic...
>
> > > How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> > > or are you just too dumb or lazy?
> (Russian, Japanese, German, French, Italian, ETC ETC)

Oh, wait. I have a "nasty" callsign, and you commented on its
nastiness, but you can use that kind of language freely and openly?
Uh-huh. I think I recognize a double set of standards when I see
them...

Kim W5TIT <<< No bullshit.

5457. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred <fdecker@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <89f6bqiha1@ssauraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118263

Once again, prevailing thought has called upon the canned HAM to respond. In light of the recent FCC testing requirements changes, those self appointed to protect our rights have used the airwave soapbox to decry the injustice of it all. A recent satirical "test" was passed around, but the CannedHam used his ample snout to root out the "real" test and found this ripe truffle. He presents it here for your pleasure:

FCC EXTRA CLASS LICENSE EXAM

1. A change long lobbied for is announced by the FCC, do you:

a. complain miserably on every band for which you have access
b. stock supplies in preparation for the obvious end of the world
c. make sure everyone knows how hard things were when you first became a ham
d. all of the above

2. Proper testing procedure should include:

a. the ability to solve a triple integral equations and fourier transforms
b. the design and construcion of an entire HF rig using tubes
c. the ability to send 30wpm cw using a foot paddle while standing on your head
d. all of the above

3. The FCC moves to another letter in sequence denoting new hams, you must:

a. Listen for the new calls and let them know how long you have been a ham and that you are a higher class
b. Coordinate with your buddies to not respond to these "appliance operators"
c. Make sure to "educate" all the new calls as to the proper procedures of being a HAM according to you
d. all of the above

4. When you received your license it also gave you which rights?

a. omnipotence: your opinion is always right and there is no room for other, obviously wrong, views
b. your fringe interest such as QRP, CW, ATV, APRS, etc. must be imposed on others
c. to post and say controversial or extreme views and expect no dissention or debate
d. all of the above

5. HAM radio should always maintain the same set of rules since Marconi because:

a. You had to do it, so should everybody else
b. We wouldn't want to ruin the neighborhood by letting all those lazy CB rejects into the hobby
c. You can't possibly be a good HAM radio operator without an EE degree and 20wpm code skills
d. all of the above

6. A good way to assert you opinion is to:

a. say and write vile right wing diatribes but laugh or put a smiley on it and say is is a "joke"
b. hang out only in groups of people who believe as you do to reinforce your opinions
c. let everyone know that it is not an opinion, but rather a fact and only an idiot couldn't see that
d. all of the above

7. The HAM radio motto is:

a. intolerance, self-righteousness, arrogance
b. exclusion, close-mindedness, bitterness
c. conservatism, the Klan, the NRA
d. Veni, Vidi, Vinci

8. The recent "proposal for change" in rulemaking has asked for the following:

a. reciting 500 memorized stories, chants, and formulas in a dark, candle lit room to 12 hooded elders
b. electric shock therapy for any question missed regarding Q signals or pro-signs
c. skills test showing the ability to solder 50 surface mount components in 5 minutes
d. proficiency in setting up a remote mountain top station using magnet wire, chewing gum and burlap

9. The proper way to maintain your skills as a HAM are:

a. troll newsgroups and nets to learn clever catch phrases like "appliance operator" and "codeless tech"
b. get on 2m repeaters and use Q signals like "QTH" and "QSL", and terms like "ROGER" and "OVER"
c. put down others so that you can appear to be above them without actually having to elevate yourself
d. all of the above

10. When you first became a HAM radio operator

a. You aced all exams without a missed question, could send 30wpm CW, and built all of your own equipment
b. You had to walk 20 miles in a blizzard, at night, with a broken leg to take your tests at the FCC office
c. You didn't have to worry about those damn parasites that didn't have to pass a code test

d. Marconi was still a Novice

The "Canned" HAM

5458. Re: 10 uses for an Extra Class License after April 15th.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred <fdecker@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <89f5ppiag1@ssauraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118264

what you will REALLY do with it...
> -
> -Not a damn thing. Anyone that would think that Morse
> -really had so much value in today's world is so out
> -of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
> -of anything, really. (Except complain)
> -
> And the internal combustion engine is crude compared to nuclear power.
> What do you run in YOUR car? If you had to start from scratch with
> Ace Hardware parts, just which would come first?

> -Not a damn thing. Anyone that would think that Morse
> -really had so much value in today's world is so out
> -of touch that they would have a hard time doing much
> -of anything, really. (Except complain)
> -
> And the internal combustion engine is crude compared to nuclear power.
> What do you run in YOUR car? If you had to start from scratch with
> Ace Hardware parts, just which would come first?

So should we all pass a test on hand-cranking a car just to be able to
operate an automobile? Your analogy has no merit. It is obvious just how
far people have to struggle to justify their argument and can't even see
that they aren't
comparing the same quantities.

Fred
N4IXL

5459. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jenny <jparker@kokis.com>
Message-ID: <38BB1D3B.26E4@kokis.com>
Source record: 118265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Kim W5TIT wrote:
>
> Jenny wrote:
> >
> > Ian Gill wrote:
> > >
> > > Jenny
> > > > How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> > > > or are you just too dumb or lazy?
> > >
> > > Sure he did, Jenny, Morse is the universal language understood by everyone
> > > except aliens.
> > >
> > Bullshit. 95% or more of the population would not have any idea waht
> > you were saying if you used Morse Code. Back to the question being
> > avoided by changing the subject to something completely idiotic...
> >
> > > > How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> > > > or are you just too dumb or lazy?
> > (Russian, Japanese, German, French, Italian, ETC ETC)
>
> Oh, wait. I have a "nasty" callsign, and you commented on its
> nastiness, but you can use that kind of language freely and openly?
> Uh-huh. I think I recognize a double set of standards when I see
> them...

I mentioned that the "FCC" used to have a problem with certain words,
NOT myself! Go do a search on other call signs with the suffix TIT and
you will see that they do not come up with anyone. This is yet another
silly attempt to avoid the question and change the subject AGAIN!

> > > > How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> > > > or are you just too dumb or lazy?
```

5460. The Ultimate Answer to the Restructuring Debate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred <fdecker@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <89f6r8$im7$1@ssauraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118266

Here you go, even the most ignorant should be able to sit and ponder at least a few of these and see themselves.

Fred, N4IXL
==========

Once again, prevailing thought has called upon the canned HAM to respond. In light of the recent FCC testing requirements changes, those self appointed to protect our rights have used the airwave soapbox to decry the injustice of it all. A recent satirical "test" was passed around, but the CannedHam used his ample snout to root out the "real" test and found this ripe truffle. He presents it here for your pleasure:

FCC EXTRA CLASS LICENSE EXAM

1. A change long lobbied for is announced by the FCC, do you:

a. complain miserably on every band for which you have access
b. stock supplies in preparation for the obvious end of the world
c. make sure everyone knows how hard things were when you first became a ham
d. all of the above

2. Proper testing procedure should include:

a. the ability to solve a triple integral equations and fourier transforms
b. the design and construcion of an entire HF rig using tubes
c. the ability to send 30wpm cw using a foot paddle while standing on your head
d. all of the above

3. The FCC moves to another letter in sequence denoting new hams, you must:

a. Listen for the new calls and let them know how long you have been a ham and that you are a higher class
b. Coordinate with your buddies to not respond to these "appliance operators"
c. Make sure to "educate" all the new calls as to the proper procedures of being a HAM according to you
d. all of the above

4. When you received your license it also gave you which rights?

a. omnipotence: your opinion is always right and there is no room for other, obviously wrong, views
b. your fringe interest such as QRP, CW, ATV, APRS, etc. must be imposed on others
c. to post and say controversial or extreme views and expect no dissention or debate

d. all of the above

5. HAM radio should always maintain the same set of rules since Marconi because:

a. You had to do it, so should everybody else
b. We wouldn't want to ruin the neighborhood by letting all those lazy CB rejects into the hobby
c. You can't possibly be a good HAM radio operator without an EE degree and 20wpm code skills
d. all of the above

6. A good way to assert you opinion is to:

a. say and write vile right wing diatribes but laugh or put a smiley on it and say is is a "joke"
b. hang out only in groups of people who believe as you do to reinforce your opinions
c. let everyone know that it is not an opinion, but rather a fact and only an idiot couldn't see that
d. all of the above

7. The HAM radio motto is:

a. intolerance, self-righteousness, arrogance
b. exclusion, close-mindedness, bitterness
c. conservatism, the Klan, the NRA
d. Veni, Vidi, Vinci

8. The recent "proposal for change" in rulemaking has asked for the following:

a. reciting 500 memorized stories, chants, and formulas in a dark, candle lit room to 12 hooded elders
b. electric shock therapy for any question missed regarding Q signals or pro-signs
c. skills test showing the ability to solder 50 surface mount components in 5 minutes
d. proficiency in setting up a remote mountain top station using magnet wire, chewing gum and burlap

9. The proper way to maintain your skills as a HAM are:

a. troll newsgroups and nets to learn clever catch phrases like "appliance operator" and "codeless tech"
b. get on 2m repeaters and use Q signals like "QTH" and "QSL", and terms like "ROGER" and "OVER"
c. put down others so that you can appear to be above them without actually having to elevate yourself
d. all of the above

10. When you first became a HAM radio operator

a. You aced all exams without a missed question, could send 30wpm CW, and built all of your own equipment
b. You had to walk 20 miles in a blizzard, at night, with a broken leg to take your tests at the FCC office
c. You didn't have to worry about those damn parasites that didn't have to pass a code test
d. Marconi was still a Novice

The "Canned" HAM

5461. Re: Different Band Plans??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38BB1F6F.7382C2F8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jenny wrote:

> Chris wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone know where i can find band plans for Japan, Asia, Europe,
> > and Germany??
>
> I would hope they are the same everywhere! For OBVIOUS reasons! Except
> for perhaps 2 meters and above.

==========
The band plans for Region 1, Region 2, and Region 3 are different -- see
URL:
http://www.arrl.org/field/regulations/io/faq.html#freq

Also if you look at the band plan for the USA, we can operate phone from
7.150 to 7.300 depending on license class.
See URL:
http://www.arrl.org/field/regulations/allocate.html#40

Many other countries are permitted and do operate phone well below the USA
band plan. For example -- the UK:
IARU Region 1 bandplan UK Usage
7.000 - 7.035 CW only Primary
7.035 - 7.045 Digimodes Primary
Phone may be used above 7.040
7.045 - 7.100 Phone and CW Primary
See URL:
http://www.empusa.clara.net/radio/index.htm

--
73 from Rod in Oceanside, Ca
==========
AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 580,000 Visits On The Counter
http://www.ac6v.com - General Ham Pages
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html - DX Reference Pages

5462. AF2Y: DEFINITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89f9bg$sv4$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118268

I quote from WEBSTER'S NEW COLLEGIATE DICTIONARY.

WHIPPERSNAPPER....noun. A diminutive, insignificant or presumptuous person.

From THE NEW ILLUSTRATED WEBSTER'S DICTIONARY including THESAURUS of SYNONYMS & ANTONYMS.

WHIPPERSNAPPER....noun. A pretentious but insignificant person.

Indeed, if the shoe fits, wear it. That's something when a bunch of dolts form a group, give it a name with no idea whatsoever of its meaning and then brag about it. That tells me the dolts are dopier than dolts and the cheese in Cheesehead is as spoiled and rotten as his basic nature. Sorry jerks; your attempt at discrediting Donald Anderson through your net or group name BACKFIRED but good and you have the gall to announce that Riley Hollingsworth is ONE OF YOU? Hopefully you WHIPPERSNAPPERS' associating him with the labels of insignificant, presumptuous and even diminutive will land you on your backsides. I have news for you clowns. While you were taking the high road and looking up to the heavens for guidance, you stepped in a load of dogdoo with both feet.
Doc, AF2Y in the EASTERN LIBERAL ESTABLISHMENT where KE9RY chose to go in his hour of need and who now speaks of it in terms of hatred. Goes to show--the nicer one is, the bigger kick in the butt he gets!

5463. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: LCC <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <38BB3B51.2199C2C6@aznet.net>
Source record: 118269

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> There will always be liars and cheats in amateur radio and everywhere else.
> I agree that morse code is obsolete - but consider this, we have an
> international agreement that prohibits amateur operation below 30 MHz
> WITHOUT morse code profficiency. What level that may be is left up to the
> individual governments. I believe Japan has had a 5 WPM test for years
> (correct me if I am wrong - I am not positive). The U.S. finally has moved

Hate to spoil you, but Japan has a codeless HF license. Other license classes
in Japan do have a code test however.

5464. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jenny <jparker@kokis.com>
Message-ID: <38BB3CD6.3A6B@kokis.com>
Source record: 118270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> >or are you just too dumb or lazy?
>
> Could you explain your "every language on this planet" remark? I
> don't see the connection.

Well good, that is a start. You are starting to use thinking to see that there is no connection to having to learn every language in order to not be dumb or lazy. Now continue using your brain and you will also see that there is no logic in having to learn Morse Code if you want to talk into a microphone on HF bands. Nor is one dumb or lazy for not wanting to learn something that has nothing at all to do with what that person wants to do.

Some people like to ride horses, but horse lovers should not force car drivers to learn how to ride a horse before being allowed to drive a car. Some people like Morse Code, but Code lovers should not force people who like to use microphones and speech to talk to people on the radio to learn Morse Code before they are allowed to use microphones on HF bands.

You should ONLY learn Morse Code if you intend to USE Morse Code. If you never have any intention of USING Morse Code, then taking the time to learn it to satisfy someone ELSE is IDIOTIC!

5465. Ham Radio Outlet Webpage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "William F. Hagen" <whagen@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <38BB5BD3.D4D81D7@inreach.com>
Source record: 118271

What happened to the Ham Radio Outlet Webpage,are they down and out?

5466. Re: AF2Y: A FEW WORDS BUT NOT ENOUGH!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89g81dkid1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118272

If you'll tell me what the hell "casuing" is, I'll feel proud having learned
a new word.

In article <f6Bu4.999$wk.1021597@news1.mia>, "DXMAN"
<lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:

> radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:89c6i0buu1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
>> I know...I know...the e-mails are coming in and so are the phone calls.
>> Fellas, I can't spend time on the board. Much too busy
>
> doing what? casuing more trouble for the "goyim"
>
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>
>
>

5467. Re: Oris Grim, Convicted Trailer Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <89eo2m$gt9$1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 118273

Well dipshit... I own a DVR that holds several minutes of speech. Like 20 minutes. So dont play stupid. Your just mad that someone busted your dumb ass. So, have fun in your trailer dipshit.

> Really? Have proof that I've keyed up on either frequency, convict?
> And the DVR's only can record a few seconds of speech. so "enlighten"
> us some more with your highly uninformed "thoughts," you fatassed bubba.
>

5468. Re: How long these days for new callsigns to show up in the FCC database? A V.E. may be able to help here.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <89eo90$h1r$1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 118274

Yet another blunder by the FCC. You no-code lamers need to get the hell of the air. I havent met a no-coder yet that knows a damn thing about amateur radio. Who cares when your license gets there! When you get it, you wont use it properly anyway... so what the hell does it matter!
Fusion <cdr500@zoomnet.net> wrote in message news:p9p6bssi6ncek0ik5vj4lgm5iubkdnfbv5@4ax.com...
> Ok, I didn't just jump on the bandwagon so to speak - I just happened
> to start studying a little while before the restructuring was even
> announced. But that's besides the point. I passed my Tech no code
> requirements on Feb. 11th (studying the code now), but my new callsign
> hasn't shown up yet. There were about 20 - 25 people there taking
> tests of one kind or another, which was much more than they expected.
>
> So, with the jump in people taking their tests, upgrading and such,
> should I be worried yet that my callsign hasn't been put up yet, or
> are things getting a little backed up lately?
>
> Thanks for any reply,
> 73, Dave

5469. Re: YOU ARE ALL LOSERS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <89eohhhgg1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 118275

Why even post this WHOIS info Covert??? You think your hot stuff. But yet when I confronted you on AOL, you wouldnt even talk to me. Go back in the woodwork. Im starting to think your as bad as Jimmy and his fatass wife.
<mr__cracker@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8zsshg$XZ04$1@nnrp2.deja.com...
> On Tue, 22 Feb 2000 04:03:41 GMT, covert62@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.42.168.2
>
> Name: gate.dutil.com
> Address: 209.42.168.2
>
> Registrant:
> Dalton Utilities (DUTIL-DOM)
> P.O. Box 869
> Dalton, GA 30722
> US
>
> Domain Name: DUTIL.COM
>
> Administrative Contact:
> Buzzard, Ray (RB15444) cking@VOL.COM
> (706)281-1088
> Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
> Voyager Online Network Operations Center (VO33-ORG) noc@VOL.COM
> (423) 209-2929
> Fax- (423) 267-4779
> Billing Contact:
> Buzzard, Ray (RB15444) cking@VOL.COM
> (706)281-1088
>
> Record last updated on 11-Dec-1998.
> Record created on 11-Dec-1998.
> Database last updated on 21-Feb-2000 12:49:05 EST.
>

5470. Jimmy O'Brian Suspect in bombing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <89esj7$mm1$1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 118276

Well guys here we go...From what I hear Dimmy O'Brainless is/was involved in a radical group that was suspects for the Atlanta Olympic bombing. Although indectments were not available, the investigation continues. It has also been brought to my attention that Mr. O'Brainless and his fatass wife were under investigation for child porongraphy and stalking of a 12 year old boy. The parents of the boy are being questioned and the allegations are being confirmed. This and more in the next addition of Jimmy Weekly- The life of a Stump Fucker and his sidekick fatass wife.

5471. No Code Techs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <89eop3hlt1@news.smartworld.net>
Source record: 118277

Ya know something guys... I sit here and listen to these no-code "LIDS" talk on the air. And NOT JUST IN MY STATE!! When I travel as well. Not one of them knows a damn thing about this hobby. NOT ONE! They sound like rejects from 11 meters. Im glad the FCC is doing away with the license. And I wish, as many others do, that the FCC would not allow no-coders to renew their license. No-Coders are just the lazy people who dont want to learn code, but think they should be allowed to get the privilages of other hams. GET A LIFE NO-CODERS. Upgrade to General NOW or get the hell off the air.

5472. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89chnd$vn4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <89a3r4$ea7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
brother_stair@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <8990jr$mu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Dear Lloyd,
> > I have thought alot about whether to respond to this or not,
because
> > it seems no one can get through to you on the subject of Bro. Stair.
> > Since you feel Bro. Stair is so wonderful I can say you are a
> religious
> > person. What you do not understand is this, Bro. Stair does not know
> > the truth, the way or the life that is clearly set forth in the
Bible.
> > He takes already fulfilled prophecies of Israel and applies it to
the
> > Chruch today. You can't do that Lloyd!!! That is called taking
> > Scripture out of context. He does not know enough about the original
> > text to even know the meanings of most words he uses to try and
prove
> > his theology. He has way too many failed prophecies under his hat.
He
> > said he was saved at the age of 16. He quite school and went right
> into
> > the ministry WITHOUT PROPER training/studies and who does he have as
> > mentors??? People like A.A. Allen who was a drunk and claimed to
raise
> > the dead and had castout demons in glass jars. I say, what a way to
> > start out in the ministry.
> > Are you going to rely on the authority of a man or the authority
of
> > the Bible??? Look what it says about the authority of the Bible in 2
> > Tim. 3:16, Hebrews 1:1-3, Hebrews 2:1-4, John 16:13, 1 Thess. 2:13.
> > The Bible is complete and no more will we have a man come along
and
> > proclaim new ideas or events to take place. All we need to know is
> > already in the Bible. It is a done deal and there is nothing Bro.
> Stair
> > knows that anyone who reads the Bible can know. We DO NOT NEED Bro.
> > Stair for ONE THING> In fact we could do so much better without
false
> > prophets like him.
> > Stop trusting in man, who has but a breath in his nostrils. Of
what

> > account is he?? Isaiah 2:22
> > Jesus loves you!!! How do I know??? Because the Bible tells me
> so. :)
> > Sometimes we have to get back to the simplicity of the gospel to see
> > straight again. And God help all those that rely on Bro. Stair, for
> > whatever it is they rely on Bro. STair for???
> > > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> > > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> > > Athens, AL 35611
> > > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> > > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> > > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> > > http://www.adl-ci.org/
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> > > http://www.3950.net/
> > > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> > > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> > > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> Hey, leave this young brother alone. He is trying to do right. I feel
> God is calling Brother Lloyd for a purpose.
>
> And for you, Mom_of_6, oh how I cant stand this generation, when you
> people denounce me as a Prophet!
>

I got you on this one!!!!!!!!! Brother Stair claims Saturday which
was Feb. 26th as a holy day, the Sabbath, and he tells everyone to keep
it holy and it is a day of total rest and worship. He wouldn't be such
a hypocrite WOULD HE?????? To play on the Internet on on the
Sabbath????? No WAY!!!!!
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5473. Re: woger bak to post in binary agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cji3$t7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118291

In article <89be4d$935$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:89aa27
> gtc1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >Lloyd, using a new address, lloydvfp@netzero.net wrote:
> >
> > >> Poor, Poor, egnogalted head.......
> >
> > >The word is "elongated," you dimwit.
> >
> > I thought he was calling someone an "egg nog" head. That kind of
made
> > me thirsty.
> >

Especially when Mommy makes it!

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in
the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified
transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the
basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users.
This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated.

Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a
monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an
Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

> > Jeff
>
> Yeah there's nothing like a good egg nog! lol!
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5474. Re: W4AMP is a Good Ham....leave him alone!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cjl2$14n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118292

In article <89ben7$9er$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:200002270351.WAA29967@cotse.com...
> >
> > W4AMP, James Vincent O'Brien da turd is a good ham...if you
> > like pickled pig's feet that is....
> > His pickling solution of choice is purchased in 12 ounce
> > cans or in brown bottles with a tax stamp on them...
> > Ya gotta love this guy, triple chins and all!
> > Give 'em heck, James. Give me a bump and I'll help you find
> > a new side window for your family rattle trap!
> > AF2Y
> > --
> > Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> > http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
>
> His wreck is a good example to the children..don't drink and drive....
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Does mommy let you drive her car?

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed

Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated. Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5475. Re: WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89cjrh$16t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118293

In article <89cahu$r92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
suzy_the_pig@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <894qdf$r5p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <894p3f$q92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > nohambull@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > WHY IS KA8WAM SO WIDE? FCCHAM@FCC.GOV
> >
> > Why are Jimmy O' Brien and Polly Ashley so stupid? These questions
> > don't have an easy explanation.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920, DVR for jamming |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> They do not live with their mommy.
>
> November 9, 1999
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Roger L. Wiseman
>
> 610 Glen Haven Avenue
>
> Glendale, WV 26038-1302
>
> Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO
>
> Dear Mr. Wiseman:
>
> The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
> and
> maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
> Amateurs on the
> 14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times
in
> the last

> several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified
> transmissions.
>
> The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
> self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to
the
> basis and
> purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate
users.
> This
> serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated.
> Additional
> incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a
> monetary fine
> being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an
> Administrative
> Law Judge.
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
>
> Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service
>
> Enforcement Bureau
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately
and
maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed
Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in

the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified
transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be
self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the
basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users.
This
serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated.
Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a
monetary fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an
Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5476. Ft-8100 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: hinkeydo@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000227204404.03650.00001843@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 118294

Anyone know of any software like the EVE software for an FT-8100 or FT-51?
Please reply by private e-mail. Thanks
hinkeydo@aol.com

5477. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89ckeu$cg2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian burke1@icss.net wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Brian burke1@icss.net wrote:

>>> Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
>>>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

>>Still waiting for a comment from you, Brian. Our county government's
>>provision seems to contradict your claim. After all, if I get hurt
>>during a RACES activation, I suffer losses; Workmen's Compensation
>>lessens those losses. But you said such compensation is prohibited.
>>Were you wrong?

>Jeff, is the workman's comp for activating the PTT or is it for an injury?

For any injury regardless of whether it's my PTT thumb or from climbing
a tower.

>If an injury does not occur, do you still get workman's comp?

Silly question; of course not. (Do you know what Workmen's Compensation
is?) If there is no injury, then I won't suffer any loss that you spoke
of. But you said no money could be accepted to lessen losses, so either
you're wrong or the county is wrong. Which is it, Brian?

>Were you wrong?

I didn't make the claim that losses couldn't be compensated -- you did.

Jeff

5478. Question - Alinco DJ-S41T and NiMH Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcsarp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000227205631.13884.00002100@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 118296

Is anyone charging NiMH batteries inside of their Alinco DJ-S41T using the Alinco EDC78 charger?

Thanks,
John

5479. Re: libertynet gets OO card for broadcast tapes & failure to id

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000227205907.14205.00002210@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118297

KK7HO wrote:

>
> Hell, I heard K4gar say that he has a "stack" of OO cards and he'll just
>put
>that one with the rest. Hmmm??
>

I am almost positive I recall hearing WA9KJI, K5BLB, and W9ART talking about the OO cards they received, and making light of them in a similar sarcastic manner. Quite frankly, as an SWL I have no knowledge as to whether or not K4GAR's tape playing is illegal, so I cannot comment on it. My only point here, Tim, is that you never ever seem to acknowledge the many many instances of illegal transmissions that your friends (mentioned above) have made over the years. Very strange for someone who keeps saying they're willing to "bury the hatchet".

I note that all the jamming on 3950 nowadays seems to be coming from unidentified stations apparently not associated with either "side". I commend both "sides" for the relative peace on 3950 lately. Maybe that can spill over into these newsgroups?

5480. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Cole <emeraldj@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <20000228.2493295@KIRK.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 118298

First off, I can't imagine why this thread was posted to three different ng's in the first place. It only belongs on rec.radio.amateur.misc.

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Original Message <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

On 2/26/00, 6:23:30 PM, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote regarding Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!:

> I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
> tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
> nothing.
> The biggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
> people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
> there...

In defense of that "thrill", I do get a cheap thrill from trying to contact every state in the union. Why? Because others have done it so I want to do it, no one is getting hurt by my attempts, and it's fun. Those who have been to their local club's Field Day festivities know how fun it can be. My first time with my club, was marked by my first taste of packet, and meeting the club's "secret weapon" a blind ham who could copy at 40WPM with out a problem. The next time I was there, a fellow tech and I set up a novice-tech station and had the time of our lives. I could swear that when I started that night I was going at about 4WPM, by the end of night I was at 10WPM.

The club I belong to, Skyline ARC in Cortland NY, has been very accepting of no-code techs. There may be bickering sometimes, but it's usually about spreading ourselves too thin or some such. We have our CW fanatics, but I have yet to hear of them cutting down our no-code crew.

I happen to prefer CW over voice on HF anyway. I don't know of too many hams that can carry on a voice conversation when both parties have an S1 or less for signal strength. I rarely miss out on "straight key night". I also made some pleasant contacts with over CW when the voice band of 10M was full of fading stations.

If someone wants to stay in the 6M and up bands because they don't want to learn the code, fine by me. I'm not going to fault them for it, when I took my test in July of 1991, I had my choice to say to hell with the code exam, I had failed it the fist time I took it. There were two or three Advanced class hams there that cheered me on into going for it a second time. I took it and passed. Two years later, a friend of mine who is a General class, gave me an IC-701, tuner, two antennas, and a ton of books to keep me on HF. This same

ham also gave me a radio shack 10M rig as a congratulations present for passing my tech-plus exam. Imagine how surprised he was to hear that our calls have only one letter difference to them. His - N2MRV, mine - N2NRV.

>I'll have my laptop and wireless MODEM!!!

Funny, I have a "modem" (rather a TNC) whose only wires are those that plug in to the power outlet and into my little HT.

As far as I am concerned, if you don't enjoy the hobby, make it enjoyable or find a new one. Arguing with an opinion will get you no where. The CW requirement for frequencies below 30MHz is there by international treaty, the exception to that rule is CB. I speak from the US point of view, YMMV.

One must focus on the good aspects of the amateur radio hobby to keep from tearing his/her hair out from the chosen few that wish to show the worst of what humanity has to offer. Our hobby is self-policing for the most part, the government only gets involved when the real punishment has to be doled out. That is why the US has an Official Observer program instead of having to expend their resources on the amateur bands.

I read in another post in this thread that it's believed that the FCC doesn't care about the amateur bands. It does, at least one commissioner is a licensed ham. Congress I'm sure has a few hams in it's ranks. If you think that the government doesn't care, nag them until they do care. Government works for you, not the other way around, contrary to the IRS's belief.

2M and 440MHz are not the only VHF/UHF bands, they are just the more popular ones. All of our bands are in jeopardy when we are apathetic.

Thanks,
Fred C - N2NRV

--
There is nothing so rare as common sense.

5481. Re: 900mhz Phone Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002280251360.11311-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118299

On Sun, 27 Feb 2000, mdonovn wrote:
> I hope someone can give me some ideas I might have missed. I have noticed
> that my 20 meter transmissions are bleeding into our portable phone. It is
> not coming thru the phone line as all our wired phones are clear.
>
> Calculations tell me it is not harmonics but none the same, I can hear my
> transmissions through the hand unit of our 900mhz portable phones. My
> system in impeccably grounded, all feed wire is top notch and in very good
> condition. (coax) The radio checks out perfectly and I get no other harmonic
> frequencies showing on a very sensitive hand held freq counter I have. The
> ant connections are fine.
>
> I have not had any complaints from neighbors but that doesn't mean I may not
> be interfering with them too.

How close are the IF's in the phone to your operating frequency? There could also be a proximity/front-end overload issue here.... How about the split between the phone's RX and TX frequencies being about 14 MHz? In other words, check all the frequency relationships and see if there's something close....

If you were on 30m, an IF of 10.7 MHz would obviously be suspect (since 10.7 - the next stage's common IF of 455 KHz may let signals of 10.245 Mhz in, etc.). [I used 10.7 and 455 in the example since they are common values for some equipment.]

5482. Re: AF2Y says it's uncalled for AlGorithms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000227223037.01212.00001113@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 118300

Hell Doc, get your head outa your ..... What about alcohol, Both of which are "legalized" by the government that is attacking them. Besides no one is doing any arm twisting to make anyone smoke or drink. Boy liberals can't take responsibility for anything can they.

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5483. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b9ec75.1148595@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118301

Glad to see =20= that you have so much fun =20= with ham radio and
clubs and all that =20= good contesting stuff =20=

=20= over and out

(if this =20= stuff part of CW?)

>First off, I can't imagine why this thread was posted to three=20
>different ng's in the first place. It only belongs on=20
>rec.radio.amateur.misc.
>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Original Message <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
>On 2/26/00, 6:23:30 PM, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)=20
>wrote regarding Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!:
>
>> I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
>> tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
>> nothing.
>> The biggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
>> people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
>> there...
>
>In defense of that "thrill", I do get a cheap thrill from trying to=20
>contact every state in the union. Why? Because others have done it so=20=
>
>I want to do it, no one is getting hurt by my attempts, and it's fun.=20=
>
>Those who have been to their local club's Field Day festivities know=20=
>
>how fun it can be. My first time with my club, was marked by my first=20=
>
>taste of packet, and meeting the club's "secret weapon" a blind ham=20
>who could copy at 40WPM with out a problem. The next time I was there,=20=
>
>a fellow tech and I set up a novice-tech station and had the time of=20=
>
>our lives. I could swear that when I started that night I was going at=20=
>
>about 4WPM, by the end of night I was at 10WPM.=20
>
>The club I belong to, Skyline ARC in Cortland NY, has been very=20
>accepting of no-code techs. There may be bickering sometimes, but it's=20=
>
>usually about spreading ourselves too thin or some such. We have our=20=

>
>CW fanatics, but I have yet to hear of them cutting down our no-code cre=
>w.
>
>I happen to prefer CW over voice on HF anyway. I don't know of too=20
>many hams that can carry on a voice conversation when both parties=20
>have an S1 or less for signal strength. I rarely miss out on "straight=20=
>
>key night". I also made some pleasant contacts with over CW when the=20=
>
>voice band of 10M was full of fading stations.=20
>
>If someone wants to stay in the 6M and up bands because they don't=20
>want to learn the code, fine by me. I'm not going to fault them for=20
>it, when I took my test in July of 1991, I had my choice to say to=20
>hell with the code exam, I had failed it the fist time I took it.=20
>There were two or three Advanced class hams there that cheered me on=20=
>
>into going for it a second time. I took it and passed. Two years=20
>later, a friend of mine who is a General class, gave me an IC-701,=20
>tuner, two antennas, and a ton of books to keep me on HF. This same=20
>ham also gave me a radio shack 10M rig as a congratulations present=20
>for passing my tech-plus exam. Imagine how surprised he was to hear=20
>that our calls have only one letter difference to them. His - N2MRV,=20=
>
>mine - N2NRV.
>
>>I'll have my laptop and wireless MODEM!!!
>
>Funny, I have a "modem" (rather a TNC) whose only wires are those that=20=
>
>plug in to the power outlet and into my little HT.
>
>As far as I am concerned, if you don't enjoy the hobby, make it=20
>enjoyable or find a new one. Arguing with an opinion will get you no=20=
>
>where. The CW requirement for frequencies below 30MHz is there by=20
>international treaty, the exception to that rule is CB. I speak from=20=
>
>the US point of view, YMMV.
>
>One must focus on the good aspects of the amateur radio hobby to keep=20=
>
>from tearing his/her hair out from the chosen few that wish to show=20
>the worst of what humanity has to offer. Our hobby is self-policing=20
>for the most part, the government only gets involved when the real=20
>punishment has to be doled out. That is why the US has an Official=20
>Observer program instead of having to expend their resources on the=20
>amateur bands.
>

>I read in another post in this thread that it's believed that the FCC=20=
>
>doesn't care about the amateur bands. It does, at least one=20
>commissioner is a licensed ham. Congress I'm sure has a few hams in=20
>it's ranks. If you think that the government doesn't care, nag them=20
>until they do care. Government works for you, not the other way=20
>around, contrary to the IRS's belief.
>
>2M and 440MHz are not the only VHF/UHF bands, they are just the more=20=
>
>popular ones. All of our bands are in jeopardy when we are apathetic.
>
>Thanks,
>Fred C - N2NRV
>
>--
>There is nothing so rare as common sense.
>
>
>
>

5484. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b9eb78.896408@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Glen,

Thanks for your kind words...

Always willing to "buckle down" and help the "ham" community.

If I can be of further assistance here, please let me know. Don't you
just HATE those damn gripers...sheeesh.

Dan

;)

>dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
>>The responses to my post here from "hams" on this matter speak volumes
>>on this point....
>
>Your posts speak for themselves. There are those who gripe, and there
>are those who buckle down and make a difference. I think we can see
>which category you fall into.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

5485. Re: 2m/440 dead? ;) (was: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38b9ecfe.1286430@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Apparently you fall into the same category I do...

All those repeaters are really active, but no one wants to talk to
you..!

Ask Phil!!!

Dan
>Dunno.. It's like that here in the DFW area.. There's hundreds (or so it
>seems) of repeaters on both bands, but rarely more than 2 in use, and often
>no one at all on the air. And that's with the capability to 'hear' repeaters
>within 100miles of my home right in the center of the metroplex.
>
>"Phil" <w7ox@flash.net> wrote in message news:38B97AD6.8F45A97E@flash.net...
>>
>>
>> "Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>> >
>> > After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>> > a veritable wasteland.
>> >
>>
>> Not so where I live and travel. Maybe it is that no one wants to talk
>> to you. Given the rest of your message, no wonder!
>
>
>

5486. Re: libertynet gets OO card for broadcast tapes & failure to id

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000227223912.01212.00001116@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 118304

Boy That would be Nice. I mentioned my observations in response to the post.
You do not hear the individuals that you named running down the FCC, Riley, and the government, claiming no enforcement. I thought that quite hypocritical and amusing.
Ps. I have no hatchet to bury, only observations.

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5487. Re: AF2Y says it's uncalled for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000227230240.14218.00002056@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118305

Good call, Sigs. Come to think of it, I think the Amazing AlGore invented abortions and medicine and tobacco too. Sorry I forgot to mention all of that. Well one way to see how Amazing AlGore really is, go to this web page. http://www.interstat.net/gargaro/algore.html

5488. Re: Brother Stair: Sorry, The Asteroid did not hit us on 1/1/2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000227230941.14218.00002058@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118306

Careful, there, Sigs. Posts like this will offend certain visitors to this news-group. Now you come right back here and say something nice about "the last days prophet of God".

I feel your pain .. I can't think of anything good to say about him either. So I guess we must join Kevin in being brutally honest about Prophet Stair. The man is a flop. His prophecies fail without fail. Aren't there passages in Torah concerning the outcome of false prophets?

Watch out, Sigs. We better cut this short of else one of Prophet Stair's adherents will come back and tell us we're full of Hell. And if you're as worried about that as I am, then you must be as worried about it as Kevin. And among the 3 of us, I think it's safe to say we're not worried at all.

Kevin, if I'm wrong, please add a word of correction here. TYIA.

5489. Different Band Plans??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <chris@moonco.com>
Message-ID: <38BA0A74.82A2039F@moonco.com>
Source record: 118307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Does anyone know where i can find band plans for Japan, Asia, Europe,
and Germany??

5490. Re: AX.25 with TCP/IP routing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ds0007@medtronic.COM
Message-ID: <89d31chvt1@gazette.corp.medtronic.com>
Source record: 118308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rob Janssen (nomail@rob.knoware.nl) wrote:
: Dale M. Skiba <ds0007@medtronic.COM> wrote:
: >I haven't read the details on AX.25, but I have a guess as
: >to what you are saying. Perhaps the root cause of the problem
: >is that X.25 includes both data link and routing stuff.

: First read the details... The AX.25 protocol has little or nothing to do
: with the X.25 protocol!

Is it worth it? (I mean not to waste effort required for something not
in widespread use.)

I'm just starting to play around with digital modes. It's very useful to
get info from you guys who know the protocols inside and out. What's the
next logical step after PSK31. Looks like it isn't AX.25 since it takes
some teamwork to extend it in a scalable way :-)

Dale Skiba
W0BOT

5491. For the "uninformed" liberty nit N2SAG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kk7ho@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <20000228010240.18057.00002484@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 118309

Please note ***section 5*** below. DO NOT move in on existing qso's or transmissions again. This should clarify and put to rest your ridiculous claims and accusations about CW code practice not being permited. Also note nowhere in the section does it state that the code practice session schedule has to be "published. I suggest that you get educated in part 97 and STOP interfering with the legitimate and "proper" use of the amateur radio frequencies. Compare the use (code practice) that you interfered with, to that which the net uses the frequency for (broadcasting, complaining, subversion, racial slurs, etc.) and then ask yourself, never mind, ask other amateurs which contributes to the service / hobby. READ pertinent Part 97 section Below.
"Authorized Transmissions" part of Part 97

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 47, Volume 5, Parts 80 to end]
[Revised as of October 1, 1998]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 47CFR97.111]

[Page 589]

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

COMMISSION (CONTINUED)

PART 97--AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents

Subpart B--Station Operation Standards

Sec. 97.111 Authorized transmissions.

(a) An amateur station may transmit the following types of two-way communications:
(1) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with other stations in the amateur service, except those in any country whose administration has given notice that it objects to such communications. The FCC will issue public notices of current arrangements for international communications;
(2) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a station in another FCC-regulated service while providing emergency communications;
(3) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a United States government station, necessary to providing communications in RACES; and
(4) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a station in a service not regulated by the FCC, but authorized by the FCC to communicate with amateur stations. An amateur station may exchange messages with a participating United States military station during an Armed Forces Day Communications Test.

(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere in this part, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way communications:
(1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
(2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way communications with other stations;
(3) Telecommand;
(4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
(5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in, the international Morse code; and
(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.
(7) Transmissions of telemetry.

[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]

Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions

5492. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mundyusa1@netscape.net
Message-ID: <38c027f8.52578067@news.usol.com>
Source record: 118310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Ahhhhh just as I thought, I thought the name Daniel Conti rang a bell
from hearing him WHINE and CRY BEFORE on other topics in the past.

His previous most *FAMOUS* CRYING SPELL was the beleagured
CRYING about UPS losing an item.

TONS of these in old Deja-News archives:

http://x46.deja.com/getdoc.xp?AN=201575763&CONTEXT=951723610.1450246174&hitnum=196

>>UPS finally sent me the $355.00 check due from a COD received by the
>>purchaser on 10/21/96.

>Good. Now how about shutting up finally?

--

http://x46.deja.com/getdoc.xp?AN=203836540&CONTEXT=951723610.1450246174&hitnum=289
--
>>UPS is FINE for regular shipping. I don't think there was EVER a
>>question of that point during this thread.....
>>
>>However, for COD service, they leave alot to be desired....especially
>>compared to FEDEX.
>>dconti@carroll.com
>>Compuserve - 71242,1774 Amateur Radio - N2TYB
>>Ridgefield, New Jersey
>>

>Dan,
>You got your money! Let it go!

That CRYING SPELL went of for MONTHS.

Maybe I'll get lucky and Daniel Conti will take up stamps or something
?

>On Mon, 28 Feb 2000 03:26:18 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

>Glen,
>
>Thanks for your kind words...
>
>Always willing to "buckle down" and help the "ham" community.
>
>If I can be of further assistance here, please let me know. Don't you

>just HATE those damn gripers...sheeesh.
>
>Dan
>
>;)
>
>>dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>>
>>>The responses to my post here from "hams" on this matter speak volumes
>>>on this point....
>>
>>Your posts speak for themselves. There are those who gripe, and there
>>are those who buckle down and make a difference. I think we can see
>>which category you fall into.
>>--
>>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>>WB7DOW
>>
>>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

5493. Re: Different Band Plans??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10002280814070.11516-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 118311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 28 Feb 2000, Chris wrote:
> Does anyone know where i can find band plans for Japan, Asia, Europe,
> and Germany??

[First, why is this cross-posted to 4 NG's and one non-group hierarchy?]

For Japan, go to the JARL web site.

5494. Re: 900mhz Phone Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Edey <vk4sx@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <89dgo8$2rh$1@news1.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 118312

Audio Rectification by the Speaker in the hand set,pop a ceramic 104 across
the speaker
problem solva.
rgds dennis.vk4sx

5495. Re: 900mhz Phone Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38BA6CF3.552EA13F@netrover.com>
Source record: 118313

well don't go and ask him it's a pandora's box you may open . Is the phone a cordless? if so you may not get rid of it however you may want to check out the k-com phone filters or the pork-butt filters both r excellent for rfi k-com has a site and p-butt filters are available by e-mail for price etc.. contact rich whiten at
richwhiten@aol.com I have both and the butt filters have a small edge as they go 1.8-30 and the k-com are 3.5 -30 and a separate one for 160 m

graham va3gjb

mdonovn wrote:

> I hope someone can give me some ideas I might have missed. I have noticed
> that my 20 meter transmissions are bleeding into our portable phone. It is
> not coming thru the phone line as all our wired phones are clear.
>
> Calculations tell me it is not harmonics but none the same, I can hear my
> transmissions through the hand unit of our 900mhz portable phones. My
> system in impeccably grounded, all feed wire is top notch and in very good
> condition. (coax) The radio checks out perfectly and I get no other harmonic
> frequencies showing on a very sensitive hand held freq counter I have. The
> ant connections are fine.
>
> I have not had any complaints from neighbors but that doesn't mean I may not
> be interfering with them too.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Thanks
> Mike

5496. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian Gill <ian@minidata.co.nz>
Message-ID: <01bf8272$60a6f3e0$LocalHost@default>
Source record: 118314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jenny
> How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
> or are you just too dumb or lazy?

Sure he did, Jenny, Morse is the universal language understood by everyone
except aliens.

Regards

Ian Gill (Formerly ZL3TGK)

5497. The SICK 'ole goat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_sick_mom@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89ecsu$7jt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118315

Thanks to all the people who have sent me their bets as to when the mother of KC8JBO will "KICK THE BUCKET" Most emails say she won't make it 'till summer. 'Ole LARDASS has dug a hole in the backyard for her already!!!!!!!

It's not too late for you to get in on the betting action......If you name the EXACT day she CROAKS on, you will win a BRAND NEW CAR.

PITBULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5498. KC8JBO & Mother

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: fgh453@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <89edf6$82k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118316

The 'Ole GOAT is getting bed sores from not being turned over every few hours. Keep it up KC8JBO.....I'm counting on you to win the bet for me!!!!!

Kick it up a notch,

'Da Bull

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5499. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89ee9b$8ks$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In article <38b7732e.3007332@news.bellatlantic.net>,
dconti2@bellatlantic.net wrote:

> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>
> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>
> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.

You are ABSOLUTELY RIGHT, it is worse than I thought - 2m IS a
WASTELAND. I am a 12 year TechPlus, and I dropped out 4-5 years ago.
Just dusted off my equipment, and started monitoring 2m - about the ONLY
thing I hear is packet activity!

> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.

They didn't die off quick enough.

> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> required.
>
> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> our lack of interest.
>
> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....

The code wasn't the problem - it was the ignorant, elitist, selfish
attitude the old farts had.

> See you at the museum.
>
> Dan

> N2TYB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5500. KC8JBO & VE fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: fgh453@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <89eehi$8um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118318

To ALL Hams:

I have information that Roger Wiseman (KC8JBO) has been "selling" ham tickets thru the ARRL VE program. Please send an email to the ARRL & ask them to look into this matter. The VE email address is: vec@arrl.org

By myself, I can do little about this.....If we ALL band together we can stop this fraud from giving amateur radio exams.

The future of ham radio is counting on you.

John "Pit" Bull

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5501. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89eem0$919$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In article <38B799E3.1CBFF6F1@netrover.com>,
GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote:
> WHAT COLOUR IS THE SKY ON YOUR PLANET ?? AS YOU APPEAR TO PAINT ALL
CODE
> HAMS WITH THE SAME PAINT BRUSH!!!!
>
> GRAHAM VA3GJB

The "colour" is blue, dolt. Why don't you post on topics that you have
some kind of clue what you are talking about?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5502. THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com>
Message-ID: <38BA83C5.F56C945D@netrover.com>
Source record: 118320

YES THE RUMOR IS TRUE??????THE RETURN OF WB2OTK TO AMATEUR RADIO!!

READ ABT IT ON THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER

HTTP://WWW.ANGELFIRE.COM/AK/GOODFELLOWS/

5503. Re: TELL ME WHAT THIS IS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89eicb$bp6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118321

In article <29848-38B9DB3F-78@storefull-278.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Goliath000@webtv.net wrote:
> Ok while tresure hunting in the local junk store I came across this
some
> kind of Radio, C.B., Ham Radio, or some thing I dont know. It says
> Hammerlund on it,
> It has a off, rec, send, q mult-cw switch
> Antenna adjustment dial
> Main tuning dial
> sensitivity dial
> Main, Avc switch
> Tuning Range Mcs switch, 20 bs, 10-30, 4-10, 1.6-4, .55-1.6,
> Off, lim on swtch
> Audio Gain dial
> Band spread dial
> selectivity dial
> Freq. dial
> Carrier level gauge
> and it has two gauges that have all kind of numbers
> ON THE BACK
> 3.2 ohms speaker hook up
> Phones hook up
> Meter zero adjustment knob
>
> If any one can tell me about this or where I can find out about it, I
> would be very thank full.
>
> Mark
>
>
It is a Hammurlund receiver of the HQ-??? series. From your
description it is difficult to determine what it is. Here are some
possibilities;

It is a general coverage SW receiver.

HQ-129x/ HQ-100/ etc. If you can find some info like that then you
will know the model.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5504. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38BA96C4.A1DB1AF8@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry to burst your bubble but your last statement is totally incorrect. Why would we loose the 6, 2 and 440 bands because of the code? These bands are for the no-code and when a person does pass the code test they move away from the 6, 2 and 440 bands for HF. No matter what you do, eliminate the code or pass the code test, you will not stay on 6, 2 and 440 but will go directly to HF.

Also you answered you question about the enforcement. The no-code techs do not get on the radio as much as coded amateurs. They also do not get on frequencies that can be heard all over the world. If they fail to ID properly or allow their wife to talk on the radio to them even though the wife does not have a license they never get caught because they are on frequencies that travel a vary short distance.

Doing away with the code will only bring the end to the VHF/UHF/SHF bands as all new operators will go directly to HF.....

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>
> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> reading this post.
>
> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>
> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> test!
>
> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>
> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> rightfully so.
>
> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>

> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>
> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>
> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>
> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>
> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> required.
>
> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> our lack of interest.
>
> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>
> See you at the museum.
>
> Dan
> N2TYB

5505. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38BA98FD.B190E28F@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

From a man? that knows nothing about the ARRL, the FCC or ham radio.

Neal Wrote:
erhu@pacbell.net wrote:
>
> On Sat, 26 Feb 2000 06:48:30 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
> Conti) wrote:
>
> >This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> >Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
> >
> >It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> >reading this post.
> >
> >What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> >to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
> >
> >Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> >past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> >test!
> >
> >Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> >sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> >testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> >largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
> >
> >He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> >rightfully so.
> >
> >These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> >regarding amateur radio test requirements.
> >
> >After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> >a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> >British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> >this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
> >
> >Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
> >
> >5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> >after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> >6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> >across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with

> >time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
> >
> >The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> >acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> >elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
> >
> >brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> >generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> >only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> >video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> >required.
> >
> >The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> >will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> >our lack of interest.
> >
> >All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
> >
> >See you at the museum.
>
> Dan,
> I learned code at 5WPM, I studdied for classes, I went to Ham
> Radio Outlet (to purchase several hundred dollars worth of radio
> equip.), I went to a club meeting, I talked on the air, I got out of
> the hobby!!!!!!!(Club meeting consisted of bickering BTW).
>
> ARRL has an elitist attitude, Gordon West is an egotistical
> S.O.B., Ham Radio Outlet WAS full of rude personel (they burned down
> recentley.) and the traffic on the airwaves wasn't much better than I
> experienced on CB, I sold my equipment.
>
> With a scanner, cell phone, computer and fax, I don't need
> anything Ham Radio has to offer. Nor do I think It is appealing as it
> once was to the youth of our nation.
>
> I went to the Local Library Thursday night and right there in the
> foyer was a display of QSL cards, keys, photos and equipment from the
> glory days of the hobby. The Item that really caught my eye was a
> satin blue jacket with a large inscription on the back, "Buena Park
> Amature Radio Club", intrestingly, there was a letter placed right
> beside it that told of the club's history right up to 1999. It ended
> with a line that said "Club Disbanded."
>
> Your precious air bands are being used less and less as the FCC
> and ARRL find it extremley difficult to come of age and appeal to the
> potential customer base of future radio owners.
>
> Yes, I do belive that one day the bands will be sold to a private
> communications company if only for the FCC to get a quick cash
> infusion. The top dogs of that agency don't really care about Hams or

> Ham Radio, they only are concerned with their jobs.
>
> The real guardians of the airwaves were the ARRL and the Hams
> themselves and as of late they both have proved beyond a doubt that
> neither of them is capable of keeping this hobby up to speed. The ARRL
> is a condescending dinasaur and the Hams themselves have been
> bickering amongst themselves for far too long.
>
> Yeah, I'll see you in the museum sooner than later I think.
>
> Neal
> Anaheim,CA
>
> >
> >Dan
> >N2TYB

5506. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38BA97CA.578572B0@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Mark, what's the difference of sitting in front of your radio
yakking or sitting in front of your computer surfing?

Mark Flanagan wrote:
>
> Oh, you've gotta be kidding. If it is the the beginning of the end the
> presence or absence of Morse code speed testing has nothing to do with it.
> It's due mostly to the lack of time to spend on a hobby where you sit for
> hours and chat on a radio in todays world. That's why Ham radio is still
> popular in retirement and handicap communities.
>
> Mark, K1MKF
>
> David Edwards <ham@cybercomm.net> wrote in message
> news:898ms1$338$1@ostrich.cybercomm.net...
> > Blah Blah Blah.... Get rid of code, its a dinosaur, what good is it?
> > Why do I need it? Its about time!
> >
> > Well, you are a witness to the beginning of the end of Ham Radio.
> > At some point your answer will be...
> > Thats why we needed it!
> > ...Dave
> >
> >

5507. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38BA99C4.F698A7DF@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

You missed the point. NoCoders don't get on frequencies that are
heard my thousands.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> Conversely,
>
> If the "no-code" Techs were the low-life CBers the "advanced" hams
> claim them to be, wouldn't the stats be reversed, with the no-code
> Techs being the Lion's share of violators?
>
> Dan
>
> >Stats don't lie, however people use them in incorrect fashion to
> >prove their points. I seriously doubt there is a correlation between
> >knowing and using morse code makes you a law breaker. This asinine logic
> >is the same as when I hear someone say that because a few hunters are
> >disrespectful of other peoples property, all are that way. NOT!
> >
> >Daniel M. Conti wrote:
> >>
> >> The color is blue on planet earth.
> >>
> >> I haven't painted anyone. Facts are facts, stats don't lie.
> >>
> >> >WHAT COLOUR IS THE SKY ON YOUR PLANET ?? AS YOU APPEAR TO PAINT ALL CODE
> >> >HAMS WITH THE SAME PAINT BRUSH!!!!
> >> >
> >> >GRAHAM VA3GJB
> >> >
> >> >"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> >> >> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
> >> >>
> >> >> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> >> >> reading this post.
> >> >>
> >> >> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> >> >> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
> >> >>
> >> >> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
> >> >> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE

> >> >> test!
> >> >>
> >> >> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> >> >> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> >> >> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> >> >> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
> >> >>
> >> >> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> >> >> rightfully so.
> >> >>
> >> >> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> >> >> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
> >> >>
> >> >> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> >> >> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> >> >> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> >> >> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
> >> >>
> >> >> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> >> >> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> >> >> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> >> >> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> >> >> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
> >> >>
> >> >> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> >> >> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> >> >> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
> >> >>
> >> >> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> >> >> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> >> >> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> >> >> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> >> >> required.
> >> >>
> >> >> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> >> >> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> >> >> our lack of interest.
> >> >>
> >> >> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
> >> >>
> >> >> See you at the museum.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dan
> >> >> N2TYB
> >> >

5508. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38BA9A65.64B8E13C@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Local clubs here treat everyone the same. Its what you put into the
club that what's count. If you go in with a chip on your shoulder
then sure there will be problems with the other hams.

PS> Is the a California thing?

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> Finally, an intelligent response to this posting......
> >
> >Dan,
> > I learned code at 5WPM, I studdied for classes, I went to Ham
> >Radio Outlet (to purchase several hundred dollars worth of radio
> >equip.), I went to a club meeting, I talked on the air, I got out of
> >the hobby!!!!!!!(Club meeting consisted of bickering BTW).
>
> I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
> tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
> nothing.
> The niggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
> people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
> there...
>
> > ARRL has an elitist attitude, Gordon West is an egotistical
> >S.O.B., Ham Radio Outlet WAS full of rude personel (they burned down
> >recentley.) and the traffic on the airwaves wasn't much better than I
> >experienced on CB, I sold my equipment.
>
> Agreed..
>
> > With a scanner, cell phone, computer and fax, I don't need
> >anything Ham Radio has to offer. Nor do I think It is appealing as it
> >once was to the youth of our nation.
> >
> > I went to the Local Library Thursday night and right there in the
> >foyer was a display of QSL cards, keys, photos and equipment from the
> >glory days of the hobby. The Item that really caught my eye was a
> >satin blue jacket with a large inscription on the back, "Buena Park
> >Amature Radio Club", intrestingly, there was a letter placed right
> >beside it that told of the club's history right up to 1999. It ended
> >with a line that said "Club Disbanded."
>
> WHat a shame...
>

> > Your precious air bands are being used less and less as the FCC
> >and ARRL find it extremley difficult to come of age and appeal to the
> >potential customer base of future radio owners.
> >
> > Yes, I do belive that one day the bands will be sold to a private
> >communications company if only for the FCC to get a quick cash
> >infusion. The top dogs of that agency don't really care about Hams or
> >Ham Radio, they only are concerned with their jobs.
>
> It is unreal just how lucrative a spectrum of radio frequencies is...
>
> > The real guardians of the airwaves were the ARRL and the Hams
> >themselves and as of late they both have proved beyond a doubt that
> >neither of them is capable of keeping this hobby up to speed. The ARRL
> >is a condescending dinasaur and the Hams themselves have been
> >bickering amongst themselves for far too long.
> >
> > Yeah, I'll see you in the museum sooner than later I think.
>
> See you there...! I'll have my laptop and wireless MODEM!!!
>
> >Neal
> >Anaheim,CA
> >
> >
> >>
> >>Dan
> >>N2TYB
> >

5509. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@interactive.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.13243b073b2135a698989f@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <89cc19$5vu$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
> Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>
> > Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
> >if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.
>
> The City and County of Honolulu provides Workmen's Comp to RACES members
> injured during Civil Defense activations. The FCC has no problem with
> this.
>
> Jeff
> ---
>
> Still waiting for a comment from you, Brian. Our county government's
> provision seems to contradict your claim. After all, if I get hurt
> during a RACES activation, I suffer losses; Workmen's Compensation
> lessens those losses. But you said such compensation is prohibited.
> Were you wrong?
>
> Jeff
>
Don't forget to ask him about all the T-shirts that get handed out to
amateurs at all those special events..marathons...boy scout events, etc.
I am sure his royal highness is not that particularr to the rules when he
asks for his T-shirt (xx large)

BTW, the state of NJ also has legislation pending to provide workman's
comp to RACES workers injured during activated times. They are also
looking into taking over RACES, as it pertains to licensing county
governments (but that's only in the talking stages know)

Worked a Xu today and mentioned that he owes me my card and that taking
$$$ is illegal. Boy oh boy, did that open a can or worms. Seems Brian
is already famous for his pontifications on the subject
--
Carpe Diem!!!!

5510. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <gitback@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <38BA9C1C.38880BAA@my-deja.com>
Source record: 118328

I think he did fuck head. Look at the call sign at the end of the
message. We all know who he is. Sorry you don't.

Glenn Roland wrote:
>
> Shame there are so many Cowards on here that hide behind Anonymous
> E-mailers.
> BE A MAN. Or Shut the fuck up.
>
> Anon <anonymous@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:200002270734.CAA01015@cotse.com...
> >
> > Two can play this blame game. W4AMP, James and Donna
> > O'Brien, 3910 Hiram-Sudie Rd., Hiram, GA 30141 is NOT a
> > good ham. Ask yourself why he got a warning from Riley
> > Hollingsworth last year.
> > Hey, James...what happened to the cell phones you guys had?
> > Didn't pay the bills? Burned up any amps lately? How about
> > being behind on your rent? Did you drink up your wife's
> > money? Maybe it is time to look for another bread
> > truck...call it a mobile home and put out a slinky for an
> > antenna...
> > You are a big time loser..how do they put it? White Trash?
> > Yeah...that's it.
> > KC8JBO
> > --
> > Anon news web interface. FAQ available for review at:
> > http://packetderm.cotse.com/cgi-bin/blockit.cgi
> >
> >

5511. Re: Different Band Plans??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38BA8705.34C18344@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chris wrote:

> Does anyone know where i can find band plans for Japan, Asia, Europe,
> and Germany??

==========
Try the ARRL Page at URL:
http://www.arrl.org/field/regulations/io/faq.html#freq

--
73 from Rod in Oceanside, Ca
==========
AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 580,000 Visits On The Counter
http://www.ac6v.com - General Ham Pages
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html - DX Reference Pages

5512. Re: THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@1st.net>
Message-ID: <xTvu4.2928$Xj7.39138@news>
Source record: 118330

GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote in message
news:38BA83C5.F56C945D@netrover.com...
> YES THE RUMOR IS TRUE??????THE RETURN OF WB2OTK TO AMATEUR RADIO!!
>
> READ ABT IT ON THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER
>
> HTTP://WWW.ANGELFIRE.COM/AK/GOODFELLOWS/

Cool! If I lived near him, I would volunteer to administer his technician
exam....
That'll be great to have Rich back!

5513. Re: Rain Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <kc8jbo@1st.net>
Message-ID: <yTvu4.2929$Xj7.39138@news>
Source record: 118331

DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote in message
news:Yqnu4.41603$po2.439441@news1.mia...
>
> Listen to the Rain Report - Looks like RH is after folks for using Ham
> Radios on CB band. Well, looks like i wont be using my 706 on 27.555
after
> all! LOL!
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

cc: to Riley Hollingsworth.

5514. AF2Y & "Brother" John.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89e2bd$i3j$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118332

KC3AU is an interesting but provocative fellow. I met him once and we hit it off just fine. His wife Rose is charming. When the Occhipintis lived in Scranton much more often I heard OF him than actually heard him due to proximity of our cities. After his relocation to Mableton Georgia, the reverse is true and he's LOUD, VERY LOUD.
Basically John's a peace loving guy with two problems, at least in my humble estimation. The first is that he IMPOSES and by that I don't mean OFF ham radio. In other words, he gives you both barrels plus a howitzer plus an Uzzi plus three grenades and a megaton warhead where a spitball would suffice. This is known as RELIGIOUS OVERKILL.
Then comes this unrelenting anachronistic and redundant placement of Jesus Christ on 14.313. I've never heard anything like it. I still can't figure out how or why any biblical personage in anyone's faith belongs on ,or controls ham radio? Everything that transpires has to be, in John's mind, related to Jesus. According to him any friction, tension, animosity, hostility, benevolence, impudence, friendship etc. must be in terms of John's brand of religious belief. In other words, nothing's in black and white. It's all HEAVENLY or wherever since that's all speculative too.
For any newcomer this may sound a bit confusing but the whole thing is easy to understand once explained properly. I personally go back to November 1989 and it's about POWER. Herbie Schoenbohm,KV4FZ; Lloyd Montcalm,WA2EXQ; Billy Gasell, WB4GDP; Bob Dillinger,KB4MXL; Mike Galego,KA4MUJ and "Queenie" Mort,K3QAM plus some underlings took it upon themselves to become an unwanted, unwarranted and annoying enforcement arm of the FCC called the B.A.R.F. They objected to "phone patching" especially by the Intercon Net and by using the excuse that Intercon was controlled by Jews for the purpose of stealing money from phone companies, they proceeded to harass, squeeze, annoy..you name it, the net as a whole plus the adherents of same. AND THAT'S IT IN A NUTSHELL. Their actions provoked reactions and the war began and got out of control until Riley Hollingsworth came onto the scene in January of '99. But.....some hostilities remain and always will.
The past and present situation has prompted KC3AU to come on and help ease the still tense situation by way of sermons, lectures, staying after school sessions and worship of Jesus. Huh? So what's happened?
His good intentions remain good but the reaction by those unwilling to be lectured to, sermonized to, staying after school and not necessarily worshipping Jesus in the same way John does or not worshipping at all is causing more problems and I don't think KC3AU recognizes it.
Now let's say that things change even more for the better on .313 and hostilities begin to greatly ease and we have dancing around the maypole and picnics and all those goodies. This means that people gather to exchange news and views with others heretofore mutually unfriendly. Good, we have accomplished something positive. THEN in comes KC3AU to turn .313 into a church and lo and behold -RIOTSVILLE. The road to trouble is paved with good

intentions, correct? So what's the solution? It's not difficult at all. KC3AU has every right to be on any frequency he's licensed to inhabit and can discuss anything gentlemanly which he most certainly does. But if a man wants to speed, then get that car off the city streets and go to a race track. And therein lies the solution.
If John wants to ragchew as is the custom on ham radio, then .313 is as good a spot as anyplace. But if he wants to SERMONIZE, PREACH, BANG BIBLES and EXTOL THE VIRTUES OF HIS FAITH, then make such an announcement on .313 to the flock and then get the flock out of there. The ones who adhere to him and his brand of religion will vacate and then we should not hear the catcalls and insulting remarks. No longer will John be FORCING those who resent hearing his theological rhetoric on .313 to listen. On a frequency he chooses to attend with his followers, he can bang that bible until the covers fall off and everyone will be happy. Now how's that for brainpower?
AF2Y

`

5515. Re: THE RETURN OF OTK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4gks@mindspring.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <38ba9047.402133862@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118333

On Mon, 28 Feb 2000 14:46:53 GMT, "Roger Wiseman" <kc8jbo@1st.net>
wrote:

>GRAHAM DYER <grahmd@netrover.com> wrote in message
>news:38BA83C5.F56C945D@netrover.com...
>> YES THE RUMOR IS TRUE??????THE RETURN OF WB2OTK TO AMATEUR RADIO!!
>>
>> READ ABT IT ON THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER
>>
>> HTTP://WWW.ANGELFIRE.COM/AK/GOODFELLOWS/
>
>Cool! If I lived near him, I would volunteer to administer his technician
>exam....
>That'll be great to have Rich back!
>
>
This page has been removed according to your server.

5516. KC8JBO Did she kick yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_sick_mom@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89h88k$8re$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118134

The 'ole GOAT is in pretty BAD SHAPE, I hear. 'Comon LARDBOY.....Can't you do anything to speed up the process? Here are a few things to help her KICK a little faster:

1. Take away her dinner bell
2. Stop changing her Pampers
3. Feed the 'ole bag a few of your Hostess Ding Dong's
4. Tell her the REAL reason your Father "kicked the bucket"
5. Show her the hole you have dug in the backyard for her new "home"

I have a lot of money bet on when she KICKS!!!!! Vegas gives her 4:1 odds she won't make it 'till mid-summer.

Place your bets,

'Da Bull

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5517. KC8JBO...To do list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8jbo_sick_mom@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89h8a9$92n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118135

The 'ole GOAT is in pretty BAD SHAPE, I hear. 'Comon LARDBOY.....Can't you do anything to speed up the process? Here are a few things to help her KICK a little faster:

1. Take away her dinner bell
2. Stop changing her Pampers
3. Feed the 'ole bag a few of your Hostess Ding Dong's
4. Tell her the REAL reason your Father "kicked the bucket"
5. Show her the hole you have dug in the backyard for her new "home"

I have a lot of money bet on when she KICKS!!!!!! Vegas gives her 4:1 odds she won't make it 'till mid-summer.

Place your bets,

'Da Bull

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5518. Re: Pickup antenna location??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: WB3KUM/9 <d.breeden@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Message-ID: <38BC20BB.2BE3A894@NOSPAMwcom.com>
Source record: 118136

If you don't have too many low objects, i.e. garage, trees, etc., I use a collinear for 2 meters. I have a ball mount high on the side of the right front bed. The antenna is great in open terrain or hills but it is also 7 1/2 feet long. I have a Lakeview antenna (WB4DUM) but I have had problems with the wire breaking at the base. Hustler look more reliability built.

5519. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA>
Message-ID: <38BC23B8.9B7FCFFB@SYMPATICO.CA>
Source record: 118137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Go easy body, I don't have anay interest in HF at all but i still think
now finaly that CW is abolish, why don't they change the requirement
because after they get their licenses they never goin to us it anayway. In
the the past in my country Canada to get to learn programing you required
to pass on philosophy. Frankly i still don't see the connection and you
don't need to know philosophy crap to be a good analist programer...

C W a écrit :

> POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE wrote:
>
> > Sorry to burst your bubble but your last statement is totally
> > incorrect. Why would we loose the 6, 2 and 440 bands because of the
> > code? These bands are for the no-code and when a person does pass
> > the code test they move away from the 6, 2 and 440 bands for HF. No
> > matter what you do, eliminate the code or pass the code test, you
> > will not stay on 6, 2 and 440 but will go directly to HF.
>
> You live in a dream world!!!
> 6M, 2M and 70CM (what you call 440) is NOT for the no-code
> person. As a Extra Class operator I work these bands daily,
> along with all other bands and I work them on CW. To say
> someone will not stay on 6M, 2M and 70CM and move to HF
> after the pass the code test is very narrow minded of you.
> But then, getting rid of the code requirement for amateur radio
> is very narrow minded and only will destroy our wonderful hobby.
> If anything, make the code requirement tougher. Things worthwhile
> in life do not come easy...you have to work for it! But the crybabies
> out there want a license but do not want to learn the code.
> Fine, if you don't want to learn the code...get a CB radio.
> Because without CW...it's only CB!
>
> Walt.

5520. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <one.big.asshole@ahole.com>
Message-ID: <38BC4229.9CD476E0@ahole.com>
Source record: 118138

Jeff, thought that the USA CB band operators were able to use only 5
watts input...

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> LCC <hass@aznet.net> wrote:
> >Hate to spoil you, but Japan has a codeless HF license.
>
> They call it their "Fourth Class License." Power is limited to 10 watts
> (less than what our CBers can legally use). The frequencies available to
> the licensee are also limited.
>
> Jeff

5521. KC8JBO...On the run!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: fgh453@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <89hclncbs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118139

Hey LARDASS.....Your SICK Mother needs to be turned over. The bed sores are getting real bad!!!!! I hear her dinner bell ringing..."ring-a-ding-ding", hohohoho.

Put some bean & corn seeds over the hole you have dug in the backyard for her.....She will help them GROW, hohohoho.

KC8JBO #1 LOSER son & QRMer

Give the 'ole GOAT a kiss,

BULL

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5522. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Adkins <km5n.nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1325eefe279839fe9896b0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In article <5OGu4.1615$Ns2.120370@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net says...
> There will always be liars and cheats in amateur radio and everywhere else.

That seems to be, unfortunately, the nature of the beast.
Something about humanity...

> I agree that morse code is obsolete - but consider this, we have an
> international agreement that prohibits amateur operation below 30 MHz
> WITHOUT morse code profficiency. What level that may be is left up to the
> individual governments. I believe Japan has had a 5 WPM test for years
> (correct me if I am wrong - I am not positive). The U.S. finally has moved
> to 5 WPM, but cannot eliminate the code thing due to international treaty.
> There are many movements afoot to try and eliminate it entirely.
>
> You are correct in saying some of our VHF/UHF bands are very attractive
> pieces of real estate. I'm not sure about 6 meters - if someone wants it,
> they will probably only ask for the bottom half of 6 since channel 2
> television runs just above the 6 meter band (and leave us the useless part).
>
> The purpose of amateur radio really was to get people interested and
> LEARNING about electronics. Most people nowadays simply memorise Q&A
> manuals. I dropped out of amateur radio. My last transmission (unanswered
> CQ) was in 1973 - with the previous transmission in 1970. I decided to get
> my tickets back in 1993. Because I never memorised Q&A manuals, I did not
> have to study. I went and 'gundecked' the test. I also retested and
> reclaimed my GROL (used to have my 1st class phone and telegraph - let them
> expire too). You'll probably find the same situation anywhere - the
> computer operators and the people who can put 'em together, install and
> troubleshoot hardware, and have no problems 'tweeking' their systems.
> You'll also have the cowards and liars spread everywhere also - regardless
> of class of license (or CBer) or ... etc, etc. You'll also find plenty of
> good, decent people spread everywhere also.
>
> The $64,000 question is this - how do we attract new people into amateur
> radio?
>
>

5523. Re: AF2Y & "Brother" John.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwilliams2458@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89hdsn$d8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118141

I am as confused as a pallbearer at the wrong funeral!

Your point is...?

In article <89ff1tev1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
rich7@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <89e2bd$i3j$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > KC3AU is an interesting but provocative fellow. I met him once and
we
> hit it
> > off just fine. His wife Rose is charming. When the Occhipintis lived
> in
> > Scranton much more often I heard OF him than actually heard him due
> to
> > proximity of our cities. After his relocation to Mableton Georgia,
the
> > reverse is true and he's LOUD, VERY LOUD.
> > Basically John's a peace loving guy with two problems, at least in
my
> humble
> > estimation. The first is that he IMPOSES and by that I don't mean
OFF
> ham
> > radio. In other words, he gives you both barrels plus a howitzer
plus
> an
> > Uzzi plus three grenades and a megaton warhead where a spitball
would
> > suffice. This is known as RELIGIOUS OVERKILL.
> > Then comes this unrelenting anachronistic and redundant placement of
> Jesus
> > Christ on 14.313. I've never heard anything like it. I still can't
> figure
> > out how or why any biblical personage in anyone's faith belongs
on ,or
> > controls ham radio? Everything that transpires has to be, in
John's
> mind,
> > related to Jesus. According to him any friction, tension, animosity,
> > hostility, benevolence, impudence, friendship etc. must be in terms
of
> > John's brand of religious belief. In other words, nothing's in black
> and
> > white. It's all HEAVENLY or wherever since that's all speculative

too.
> > For any newcomer this may sound a bit confusing but the whole thing
> is easy
> > to understand once explained properly. I personally go back to
> November 1989
> > and it's about POWER. Herbie Schoenbohm,KV4FZ; Lloyd
Montcalm,WA2EXQ;
> Billy
> > Gasell, WB4GDP; Bob Dillinger,KB4MXL; Mike Galego,KA4MUJ
and "Queenie"
> > Mort,K3QAM plus some underlings took it upon themselves to become an
> > unwanted, unwarranted and annoying enforcement arm of the FCC called
> the
> > B.A.R.F. They objected to "phone patching" especially by the
Intercon
> Net
> > and by using the excuse that Intercon was controlled by Jews for the
> purpose
> > of stealing money from phone companies, they proceeded to harass,
> squeeze,
> > annoy..you name it, the net as a whole plus the adherents of same.
AND
> > THAT'S IT IN A NUTSHELL. Their actions provoked reactions and the
war
> began
> > and got out of control until Riley Hollingsworth came onto the scene
> in
> > January of '99. But.....some hostilities remain and always will.
> > The past and present situation has prompted KC3AU to come on and
help
> ease
> > the still tense situation by way of sermons, lectures, staying after
> school
> > sessions and worship of Jesus. Huh? So what's happened?
> > His good intentions remain good but the reaction by those unwilling
> to be
> > lectured to, sermonized to, staying after school and not necessarily
> > worshipping Jesus in the same way John does or not worshipping at
> all is
> > causing more problems and I don't think KC3AU recognizes it.
> > Now let's say that things change even more for the better on .313
and
> > hostilities begin to greatly ease and we have dancing around the
> maypole and
> > picnics and all those goodies. This means that people gather to
> exchange
> > news and views with others heretofore mutually unfriendly. Good, we
> have
> > accomplished something positive. THEN in comes KC3AU to turn .313
> into a

> > church and lo and behold -RIOTSVILLE. The road to trouble is paved
> with good
> > intentions, correct? So what's the solution? It's not difficult at
> all.
> > KC3AU has every right to be on any frequency he's licensed to
inhabit
> and
> > can discuss anything gentlemanly which he most certainly does. But
if
> a man
> > wants to speed, then get that car off the city streets and go to a
> race
> > track. And therein lies the solution.
> > If John wants to ragchew as is the custom on ham radio, then .313 is
> as good
> > a spot as anyplace. But if he wants to SERMONIZE, PREACH, BANG
BIBLES
> and
> > EXTOL THE VIRTUES OF HIS FAITH, then make such an announcement
> on .313 to
> > the flock and then get the flock out of there. The ones who adhere
to
> him
> > and his brand of religion will vacate and then we should not hear
the
> > catcalls and insulting remarks. No longer will John be FORCING those
> who
> > resent hearing his theological rhetoric on .313 to listen. On a
> frequency he
> > chooses to attend with his followers, he can bang that bible until
the
> > covers fall off and everyone will be happy. Now how's that for
> brainpower?
> > AF2Y
> >
> > `>>>>>>>>>.Doc, I have a question for you, did you write "War and
> Peace?<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5524. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89hc9o$4uv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118142

In article <38BC4229.9CD476E0@ahole.com>,
POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE <one.big.asshole@ahole.com> wrote:
>Jeff, thought that the USA CB band operators were able to use only 5
>watts input...

That's for AM. In the SSB mode, one is allowed 12 watts.

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>> LCC <hass@aznet.net> wrote:
>> >Hate to spoil you, but Japan has a codeless HF license.
>>
>> They call it their "Fourth Class License." Power is limited to 10 watts
>> (less than what our CBers can legally use). The frequencies available to
>> the licensee are also limited.
>>
>> Jeff

5525. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89hc75$4ul$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Follow-up set to just r.r.a.misc

RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA> wrote:
>the the past in my country Canada to get to learn programing you required=
>to pass on philosophy. Frankly i still don't see the connection and you
>don't need to know philosophy crap to be a good analist programer...

But, a programmer, first and foremost, is a member of the human race,
and to call himself "educated," he should have absorbed knowledge from
a variety of disciplines. Being a productive member of society and making
valuable contributions and important decisions requires more than just
having an education in a narrow field of study.

As an example (not a flame), you could possibly be the best programmer
in Canada, but taking classes in English, Debate, and Radio History
would have enabled you to get your viewpoints across in an easier-to-
understand manner. (And because I never studied French, I'm sure you're
having difficulty understanding my views!)

Jeff

5526. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89hch9$4vr$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Follow-up set to just rec.radio.amateur.misc:

j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net says...
> I agree that morse code is obsolete -

It's too bad you began with a false premise! Some poll says that code is the second-most used mode on the HF ham bands, but if you tune across the bands, at times you'll hear more transmissions in Morse than on phone (SSB). That certainly doesn't fit the definition of "obsolete."

Jeff

5527. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam Adkins <km5n.nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1325f4287b299d859896b1@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118145

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.amateur.equipment and a copy was
sent to the cited author.]

(Irrelevant cross postings removed)

in article <5OGu4.1615$Ns2.120370@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net says...
> I agree that morse code is obsolete

I don't know if I would say that categorically. I know for a fact
that if you listen on the marine bands (right around 13.020 or so) you
will hear quite a lot of morse. Sounds like a shore station or relay
facility. It alternates between fast and slow code speed.
I have heard (though I have no data one way or the other) that US
Special Forces types use morse still. All of this is just to say that
although CW does not have the widespread use it did, it is not
necessarily obsolete.

> - but consider this, we have an
> international agreement that prohibits amateur operation below 30 MHz
> WITHOUT morse code profficiency. What level that may be is left up to the
> individual governments. I believe Japan has had a 5 WPM test for years
> (correct me if I am wrong - I am not positive). The U.S. finally has moved
> to 5 WPM, but cannot eliminate the code thing due to international treaty.
> There are many movements afoot to try and eliminate it entirely.

The treaty requirements are in fact correct. I have done some
research into the various countries' code speed requirements, and the
vast majority of countries have a two tier code speed structure at 5 and
12 or 13 words per minute. Only a few have higher speed requirements
that approached the US, among them Taiwan at 20, Israel at 18 and
Czechoslovakia at 16.
At lower requirements, Denmark and Sweden cut off at 5 WPM, while
Canada, Aruba, Great Britain and Australia are making noises that they
will move in that direction. A singular exception is Bolivia, which has
NO CW requirement at all.

> You'll also have the cowards and liars spread everywhere also - regardless
> of class of license (or CBer) or ... etc, etc. You'll also find plenty of
> good, decent people spread everywhere also.

From my experience, some of the best, most considerate operators I
have met have come from the CB arena. Some of the worst never touched a
CB. I'm with you: Why generalize?

> The $64,000 question is this - how do we attract new people into amateur
> radio?

I would propose that waving our private parts at one another while screaming about code vs. no-code is not the way to do it. Presenting a relatively normal, well-spoken and jargon-free face to the public would be a much better way, I think.

For the record, I did pass element 1C, and about 80% of my QSOs are CW. However, I do believe that if the purpose of the ARS is to advance the radio art, then we do have to move forward.
It always seemed to me that a replacement for the CW testing that would be more relevant to today's technology would be in order. For instance, decode an AX.25 packet string. Or encode some flavor of spread spectrum. Or write a machine language program for a PIC. And so on.

73,

Adam, KM5N

5528. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89h9js013qk@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118146

In article <89f5ldcil1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>
>>it's so old that i'd put that in the archaeology department
>
>It's no older than phone. As soon as a transmitter was invented
>that could emit continuous waves (CW) it was utilized for phone
>transmissions.
>
>Jeff

Talking, as a way of communication between people, isn't likely to become obsolete any time soon.

Tom - N8ECW

5529. FS: Parts for Yeasu FT-101Z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com
Message-ID: <89hf2t$330$1@SOLAIR2.EUnet.yu>
Source record: 118147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

For sale parts for FT-101Z.

Ask what you need and make an offer. All parts are in good working conditions unless otherwise noted.

Buyer to pay S/H.

Send all inquires to servis_za_elektroniku@iname.com

5530. Do you still do sheep? (Was:Re: KC8JBO Did she kick yet?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89hibkggp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118148

```
Path: news!feedeast.news.agis.net!agis!newspump.monmouth.com!
newspeer.monmouth.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: kc8jbo_sick_mom@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: KC8JBO Did she kick yet?
Date: Tue, 29 Feb 2000 19:56:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <89h88k$8re$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <89gc3d$k3b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 29 19:56:06 2000 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; U ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x38.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
209.180.6.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_sick_mom
Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:10414

Hostname: smtpgw.hennepin.lib.mn.us
IP Address: 209.180.6.218

My, oh, my, another coward.
Why did your wife leave you KKKevin, are you just like your idol, Herr
Adolf Schickelgreuber-Hitler, incapable of getting it up?
<kc8jbo_sick_mom@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:89h88k$8re$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> The 'ole GOAT is in pretty BAD SHAPE, I hear. 'Comon LARDBOY.....Can't
> you do anything to speed up the process? Here are a few things to help
> her KICK a little faster:
>
>
> 1. Take away her dinner bell
> 2. Stop changing her Pampers
> 3. Feed the 'ole bag a few of your Hostess Ding Dong's
> 4. Tell her the REAL reason your Father "kicked the bucket"
> 5. Show her the hole you have dug in the backyard for her new "home"
>
>
> I have a lot of money bet on when she KICKS!!!!! Vegas gives her 4:1
> odds she won't make it 'till mid-summer.
>
>
>
> Place your bets,
```

>
>
> 'Da Bull with AIDS
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5531. Re: Jimmy O'Brian Suspect in bombing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89higegjc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118149

In article <89esj7$mm1$1@news.smartworld.net>,
"Crazy Stumper" <spamorama@freewwweb.com> wrote:
>
> Well guys here we go...From what I hear Dimmy O'Brainless is/was
involved in
> a radical group that was suspects for the Atlanta Olympic bombing.
Although
> indectments were not available, the investigation continues. It has
also
> been brought to my attention that Mr. O'Brainless and his fatass wife
were
> under investigation for child porongraphy and stalking of a 12 year
old boy.
> The parents of the boy are being questioned and the allegations are
being
> confirmed. This and more in the next addition of Jimmy Weekly- The
life of a
> Stump Fucker and his sidekick fatass wife.
>
>

Dimmy just has to be a "go-fer" for the group, he nor his obese wife
have the brains to construct a bomb.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5532. Re: Interested in Amateur Radio Hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ac6v <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <38BC47E4.71EF60D8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118150

kal4cu@my-deja.com wrote:

> I have been a shortwave DXer for a long time and would be interested in
> getting into the Amateur hobby. What would be a good reference/source
> to get started?? Thx
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

=======
kal4cu -- if you enjoy SWling -- you'll love Amateur radio -- talking to folks around the world. As you know we are approaching the peak of the 11 year solar cycle and conditions will be excellent for the next 3 or 4 years. Very good time to get your license. In addition to DX contacts, Amateur Radio offers local VHF mobile radio, contests, and emergency service, club sponsored technical presentations and much more.

For the USA, the main Amateur Radio Organization is the ARRL, they have information for getting started in Amateur Radio, see URL:
http://www.arrl.org/iands.html#license

Good Luck and Good DX
--
73 from Rod in Oceanside, Ca
Amateur Callsign AC6V
==========
AC6V INDEX TO DX AND HAM RADIO
FEATURING 100+ PAGES, 700 INDEXED TOPICS, AND 3000 LINKS
Over 580,000 Visits On The Counter
http://www.ac6v.com - General Ham Pages
http://www.ac6v.com/pagedx.html - DX Reference Pages

5533. Re: Oris Grim, Convicted Trailer Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89hiljgkh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118151

In article <89eo2m$gt9$1@news.smartworld.net>,
"Crazy Stumper" <spamorama@freewwweb.com> wrote:
> Well dipshit... I own a DVR that holds several minutes of speech.
Like 20
> minutes. So dont play stupid. Your just mad that someone busted your
dumb
> ass. So, have fun in your trailer dipshit.

Oh, so you admit you know all about qrming with them. I got a warning
letter, that's all, dumbfuck. And pay attention, pull your head out of
your ass, I don't live in a trailer.
GD idiot.

>
> > Really? Have proof that I've keyed up on either frequency, convict?
> > And the DVR's only can record a few seconds of speech.
so "enlighten"
> > us some more with your highly uninformed "thoughts," you fatassed
bubba.
> >
>
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5534. Re: Oris Grim, Convicted Trailer Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89hisngua1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118152

In article <89eo2m$gt9$1@news.smartworld.net>,
"Crazy Stumper" <spamorama@freewwweb.com> wrote:

And dipshit, I wasn't attacking the perdson in the title of the post.
Get your fucking facts straight.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5535. Skanky Donna O' Brainless (Was: Re: KC8JBO)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89hj43$h1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118153

ICU812 Enforcer2 <GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12749-38BBE790-5@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I WILL TAKE THE BET ...LARDASS WONT TAKE CARE OF HIS OM SO SHE WONT
LAST
> LONG...THEN HE IS OUT IN THE STREET ..COLD AND HUNGRY...ANY ONE GOT AN
> EXTRA PIECE OF BREAD FOR KC8JBO??

Hey skanky Donna , put your dentures in and try it in English, you
white trash slut. Every time your obese body goes swimming, EXXON has
to clean up the spill.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5536. Low-tide Donna (Was: Re: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89hj9d$h2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118154

ICU812 Enforcer2 <GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12748-38BBE5FE-16@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> YEAH LOSER GIVE MAMA A KISS ..WHEN SHE GOES YOUR OUT IN THE STREET ON
> YOUR BARE ASS...
>
> I'M never wrong!!!
> Everyone else is !!!
> Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

You think so, fatassed Donna, the truck-stop whore? Hell, bitch, I
wouldn't have to work unless I wanted to.....while you will still be
tricking the truckers who are so desperate, they'd even lay an old fat
sow like you.
I hear that YOU think penicillin is a vitamin.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5537. CAUTION: THIEF: VE7RPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: sabbatai_zvi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <38bc58ca.1677100@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118155
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc

WARNING!!

The only time I ever got ripped off by a radio amateur,
was by VE8AA = VE7RPL

VE8AA
CHRISTOPHER PRINGLE
PO BOX 108
CROFTON BC V0R1R0
CANADA

VE7RPL ��
CHRISTOPHER PRINGLE
8116 EDMOND ST, PO BOX 723
CROFTON BC V0R1R0
CANADA

Use extreme caution when dealing with this individual!
He is very good at convincing you he is sincere,
but then your money will disappear and no radio will
ever arrive! The RCMP police cannot help you
either.

5538. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred <fdecker@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <89hpm2ier1@ssauraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118156

> So Jery .... Do you complain to the College that learning history or
speech
> has nothing to do with electronic engineering?
> I dont think so!
> If you want the degree you take the required classes or you dont get it.

Another ridiculous analogy that has NOTHING to do with the subject and tries to reach to compare apples to oranges. This is not school, this is a HOBBY. You aren't teaching young minds a set currilum designed to give them exposure to enough things to round out their education, and to give them options since most aren't sure what they want to do with their lives. You aren't PAYING a commercial enterprise to educate you. Even so, I am sure that some of this IS wasted on people who know EXACTLY what they want to do, but again this is education, we are talking about a hobby.

This hobby is about people who know what and want to have FUN, they can choose to expose themselves to whatever they want, whenever they want, over their whole lifetime. I personally believe it is a great idea to have a code requirement of some kind. Frankly, I would have opted for at leas 10wpm for the highest class, but the fact remains that having to pass a 20wpm code test just isn't as relevant anymore. 99% of Hams want to talk on phone, period. All the crap about how we need to be prepared for emergencies is just rationalization. I try that with my wife all the time when I tell her why I need a new radio in order to be better equipped for my public service duties. :)

You want to know what else I think is silly? Probably not <grin>, but I think all the questions on the extra about satellite and VE's and all is a bit much. If I want to be a VE, I should have to take another test. Why bother me with obscure rules about that and about how it takes 27 months to apply for a satellite station just to get more bandwidth? I figure it is just to weed people out and/or expose people to things in hopes they will join up with understaffed specialties. I know we are in need of VE's and thought maybe this was part of the recruitment.

Fred
N4IXL

5539. Re: Low-tide Momma (Was: Re: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mr__cracker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <38BC71C1.ECE1BF88@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118157

KC8JBO, freaking out because he has lived with his mommy for the last 45 years flustered and blubbered :

> ICU812 Enforcer2 <GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12748-38BBE5FE-16@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > YEAH LOSER GIVE MAMA A KISS ..WHEN SHE GOES YOUR OUT IN THE STREET ON
> > YOUR BARE ASS...
> >
> > I'M never wrong!!!
> > Everyone else is !!!
> > Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...
>
> Sexual Fantasies about another hams wife snipped.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Damn boy, I guess mommy fills your sexual needs. FREAK

November 9, 1999

CERTIFIED MAILï¿½RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

Subject: Warning Notice: Amateur Radio License: KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

The Commission has monitoring evidence that you have been deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed Amateurs on the
14 MHz Amateur band. This interference has occurred at various times in the last
several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions.

The Commission generally relies upon the Amateur Radio Service to be self-policing. Operation of the type described above is contrary to the

basis and
purpose of Amateur Radio and degrades the service for legitimate users. This

serves as a Warning Notice that such operation will not be tolerated.
Additional
incidents of operation of the type described above will result in a monetary
fine
being levied against you and in revocation proceedings before an
Administrative
Law Judge.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio Service

Enforcement Bureau

5540. Re: AF2Y: DEFINITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: BFO-Control@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27217-38BC7560-28@storefull-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118158

As Charlie Brown use to say,

"Don't ya know sarcasm when you hear it??"

I know of a club that named itself the "Outlaws", because one of the old timers in the town called this group of hams "a bunch of outlaws!" Now each and every day, the Outlaws remind this old timer about his loose lips.

And each and everyday, Jan and her club will remind Donny of what an ass he is.

Wish I could join, but I'm just a "kid".

73 Phucknutty Doc!!

Isaac

5541. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jenny <jparker@kokis.com>
Message-ID: <38BC8DD9.3F14@kokis.com>
Source record: 118159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Do you complain to the College that learning history or speech
> has nothing to do with electronic engineering?

Yes.

> I dont think so!

That figures.
What DOES history or speech, or even gym class have to do with
electronic engineering? That is REALLY stupid!

> If you want the degree you take the required classes or you dont get it.

OR you get a GROL from the FCC, get many years of work experience, then
get a degree for previous experiences.

> > > Could you explain your "every language on this planet" remark? I
> > > don't see the connection.
> >
> > Well good, that is a start. You are starting to use thinking to see
> > that there is no connection to having to learn every language in order
> > to not be dumb or lazy. Now continue using your brain and you will also
> > see that there is no logic in having to learn Morse Code if you want to
> > talk into a microphone on HF bands. Nor is one dumb or lazy for not
> > wanting to learn something that has nothing at all to do with what that
> > person wants to do.
> >
> > Some people like to ride horses, but horse lovers should not force car
> > drivers to learn how to ride a horse before being allowed to drive a
> > car. Some people like Morse Code, but Code lovers should not force
> > people who like to use microphones and speech to talk to people on the
> > radio to learn Morse Code before they are allowed to use microphones on
> > HF bands.
> >
> > You should ONLY learn Morse Code if you intend to USE Morse Code. If
> > you never have any intention of USING Morse Code, then taking the time
> > to learn it to satisfy someone ELSE is IDIOTIC!

5542. Re: WARNING: DO NOT pre-pay for radio equipment, use COD instead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nippy <nipplik@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7A0669014076B5F7.87642A745C7023E7.9E8CCB55210A1335@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 118160
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

On Fri, 18 Feb 2000 15:30:07 GMT, "Rudi Wiedemann"
<wiedemann@home.com> wrote:

Only an idiot would send money(pre-pay) to a stranger before receiving
merchandise. I've made dozens of purchases and sales over
the internet in the last 6 years without any problems. C.O.D. is the
only way to buy and sell over the internet. I perfer to use U.P.S .For
the buyer the worst that can happen is is that no one will come to
collect your check or money order. You only lose the cost of the
check or money order(99cents?).For the seller the the worst that can
happen is you will be out the shipping and C.O.D. charges.You can
always find someone else to buy or sell to. C.O.D. is safer and losses
are minimal for both parties. Pre-paying is risky at best.
!!! DON'T DO IT !!!

>Just wanted to warn other hams of my bad experience with a private purchase
>of some radio equipment off these newsgroups.
>
>I recently had a CONFIRMED deal to buy a TNC from a ham. He wanted cash up
>front, though.
>
>This was the first time I tried doing the deal by sending a money order
>rather than doing COD which always worked fine before.
>
>Well, after waiting a few WEEKS for the TNC to arrive, instead of the
>hardware I get a letter with my money order back (unsigned) and a note
>saying he's sorry but he got confused and already shipped it to someone
>else!!!!
>
>Now my bank doesn't even want to take the unsigned money order back because
>it's specifically made out to his name!!!!
>
>I vow NEVER EVER to do any deal other than by COD. WHO CARES if it takes
>several weeks for the seller to get his bucks! Eventually he'll get it, but
>this way the buyer is more likely to get his hardware as agreed.
>
>Pissed,
>
>KF6RLQ
>

5543. Re: Rogers egoglated head (Was: Re: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <Ep1v4.4157$wk.1067353@news1.mia>
Source record: 118161

This is proven proof that roger wideman has an egoglated head! He's 45 =
lives with mom. Why do you resort to such terrible foul words on this group?
Of courese we know that somone like you who has an deformed face like yours
cant think straight.

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:89hj9d$h2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> ICU812 Enforcer2 <GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12748-38BBE5FE-16@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > YEAH LOSER GIVE MAMA A KISS ..WHEN SHE GOES YOUR OUT IN THE STREET ON
> > YOUR BARE ASS...
> >
> > I'M never wrong!!!
> > Everyone else is !!!
> > Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...
>
> You think so, fatassed Donna, the truck-stop whore? Hell, bitch, I
> wouldn't have to work unless I wanted to.....while you will still be
> tricking the truckers who are so desperate, they'd even lay an old fat
> sow like you.
> I hear that YOU think penicillin is a vitamin.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5544. Re: Do you still live with mom?? (Was:Re: KC8JBO Did she kick yet?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <7s1v4.4163$wk.1067391@news1.mia>
Source record: 118162

Do you?

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:89hibkggp1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Path: news!feedeast.news.agis.net!agis!newspump.monmouth.com!
> newspeer.monmouth.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
> nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
> From: kc8jbo_sick_mom@my-deja.com
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Subject: KC8JBO Did she kick yet?
> Date: Tue, 29 Feb 2000 19:56:06 GMT
> Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
> Lines: 25
> Message-ID: <89h88k$8re$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> References: <89gc3d$k3b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
> X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 29 19:56:06 2000 GMT
> X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; U ;Nav)
> X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x38.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
> 209.180.6.218
> X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_sick_mom
> Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.misc:10414
>
> Hostname: smtpgw.hennepin.lib.mn.us
> IP Address: 209.180.6.218
>
>
> My, oh, my, another coward.
> Why did your wife leave you KKKevin, are you just like your idol, Herr
> Adolf Schickelgreuber-Hitler, incapable of getting it up?

> <kc8jbo_sick_mom@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:89h88k$8re$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > The 'ole GOAT is in pretty BAD SHAPE, I hear. 'Comon LARDBOY.....Can't
> > you do anything to speed up the process? Here are a few things to help
> > her KICK a little faster:
> >
> >
> > 1. Take away her dinner bell
> > 2. Stop changing her Pampers
> > 3. Feed the 'ole bag a few of your Hostess Ding Dong's
> > 4. Tell her the REAL reason your Father "kicked the bucket"
> > 5. Show her the hole you have dug in the backyard for her new "home"
> >
> >
> > I have a lot of money bet on when she KICKS!!!!! Vegas gives her 4:1
> > odds she won't make it 'till mid-summer.
> >
> >
> >
> > Place your bets,
> >
> >
> > 'Da Bull with AIDS
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5545. Re: VX-1R OR VX-5R ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: PreCool <precoolNOprSPAM@email.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <221e94d0.443e650b@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
Source record: 118163

VX-1r :

Best radio.
Why? It's about half the price and half the size..
It fits in the watch pocket of my Levis. It's wearable.
Comes with a power supply. You can transmit from the AC wall
adapter. (1 Watt). Don't do that with a VX-5 or any other HT.
Consider a inexpensive 30-50 watt amp(1 watt in)if you really
need more power. The combined price is good. Get the VX-1 car
adapter for 1W out to your mobile Antenna. Get an MFJ cat wisker
type SMA HT antenna. You may be suprised how many repeaters you
can hit and it will work great at the ham fests and short range
simplex too.

VX-5r :
If you have the money and want to carry a bigger heavyer HT then
get the VX-5. It is state of the art. It does do more. It is
well thought out. It just cost too much. Unless they fixed it,
you can't hot swap the DC power in without turning off the radio
(Built in charger). So how much does that five watts cost? Do
you need an AM shortwave receiver and 6m transceiver built in?
If so go for it.

Now the real reason to get either of these HT's is the Lithium
Ion batteries. Light weight fast charging and long lasting. My
VX-1 never runs down because when I can I hook up DC power and
it's recharged in a snap.

Tips: Just loop the hand strap through your belt loop to
compensate for the clip. If it falls the strap will hold it (or
slip it in your watch pocket.

Less is more! :)

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

5546. Re: Low-tide Internet crack pot! (Was: Re: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <1x1v4.4172$wk.1067389@news1.mia>
Source record: 118164

Thats right! roger has been kicked off so many ISP's its not funny!

Heres an example!

>Message-ID: <5id9j1$arb3@ns2.hgo.net>
>Organization: HGO.Net
>Newsgroups: alt.flame,alt.evil,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose
>
>Fuck you, kike! They must've cut everything off at the bar mitzvah.
>The're always room for cyclon-B. Perhaps I can let some Palistainian
>acquantances know your address...that would be funny.
>
>============

And I could list more too......

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:89i1ju$r05$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Filthy Trash Dump dweller Jimmy O' Brien
> <mr__cracker@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:38BC71C1.ECE1BF88@hotmail.com...
> > KC8JBO, freaking out because he has lived with his mommy for the last
> 45
> > years flustered and blubbered :
>
> Prove it.
>
> > > Sexual Fantasies about another hams wife snipped.
>
> "Ham's," you illiterate.
>

> Sexual fantasies?????????????? From somebody YOU picked up from
> the "Chicks with Dicks" chat room? <peals of derisive laughter>
> Mwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha!
> Dream on, Jimmy. I wouldn't even consider lowering myself down to
> your "standards." That's why you picked Donna, because she is a skank,
> denture wearing, fatassed, obese, truck stop mama who has more mileage
> than the Macks at the truck stop. Of course, you have to take what you
> can get, don't you, Jimmy? You're severely lacking in the tool dept.
> Ol' SOW Donna has a tattoo on her 400 lb belly and it reads "CUM
> AGAIN."
> Your projection of your Oedipus complex is very apparent since you
> married an old porker, just like mommy. Your real mommy gave you sponge
> baths until you were 16, mainly because your drunken and convict father
> was never around.
> James O' Brainless the II, mental midget, just had to name his "son"
> the same name, mainly because that was all he could remember while he
> was on that two-week binge. Hey Dimmy, I hear the roaches in your
> hovel on Hiram-Sudie Road have petitioned the state of GA to get you to
> clean up your trash dump....even the roaches have higher living
> standards than you do. I hear that when somebody needs to go to the
> bathroom in your dump, you tell them to pick a corner.
> Also, Dimmy, is that retard of a kid you and Donna have enjoy you
> molesting him? Poor kid, the only pets he has are the roaches and the
> maggots from the rotting food that is spilled all over your filthy
> house.
>
>
>
>
> --
> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5547. Re: AF2Y: Herbie's gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <Yy1v4.4175$wk.1067108@news1.mia>
Source record: 118165

PAYBACKS ARE HELL DOC!

radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:89gskb$fg0$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net...
> This just came down today from the Appellate Court which affirmed the
FCC's
> decision to remove KV4FZ from the bands, from his beloved 160 up to
> gigaHertz heaven. Question: does lawyer Colby have the right to a writ of
> certiori to the Supreme Court? Will it be exercised? Stay tuned folks.
> AF2Y
>

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

5548. Re: Roger Wideman Suspect in bombing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <hA1v4.4178$wk.1067608@news1.mia>
Source record: 118166

Roger, with that egnoglated head of yours, you could'nt even read the
instructions, let alone make one!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:89higegjc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <89esj7$mm1$1@news.smartworld.net>,
> "Crazy Stumper" <spamorama@freewwweb.com> wrote:
> >
> > Well guys here we go...From what I hear Dimmy O'Brainless is/was
> involved in
> > a radical group that was suspects for the Atlanta Olympic bombing.
> Although
> > indectments were not available, the investigation continues. It has
> also
> > been brought to my attention that Mr. O'Brainless and his fatass wife
> were
> > under investigation for child porongraphy and stalking of a 12 year
> old boy.
> > The parents of the boy are being questioned and the allegations are
> being
> > confirmed. This and more in the next addition of Jimmy Weekly- The
> life of a
> > Stump Fucker and his sidekick fatass wife.
> >
> >
>
> Dimmy just has to be a "go-fer" for the group, he nor his obese wife
> have the brains to construct a bomb.
>
> --

> 73 de KC8JBO|Roger
> EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5549. Re: Roger Wiseman = Shithead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <uB1v4.4180$wk.1067569@news1.mia>
Source record: 118167

VERY TRUE!

--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com> wrote in message
news:89bvu7$n4d$1@news.smartworld.net...
>
> Covert... you try your hardest. to be a badass. You dont scare me. I wanna
> hear you talk tough. You and your fat ass wife.
>
>
>
>

5550. Re: Roger W'Brainless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MB1v4.4181$wk.1067602@news1.mia>
Source record: 118168

True too!
--
LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Athens, AL 35611
http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
http://www.adl-ci.org/
http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
http://www.3950.net/
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.qth.com/dwm/
http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm

Crazy Stumper <spamorama@freewwweb.com> wrote in message
news:89c10hoea1@news.smartworld.net...
>
> I just want to say that your attempt to have me booted from my ISP has
> failed again Jimmy. Dont fuck with a master at the e-mail game... You WILL
> lose EVERY time... I promise. Jimmy, tell me something. I saw a picture of
> your wife online. Do you climb up on that without a rope??
>
> Later!
>
>

5551. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89f5ldcil1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Follow-ups set for just .misc:

RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA> wrote:

>I don't understand why they kept that morse code so long

It's said to be the second-most used mode on HF, but at times, I count more Morse QSOs than SSB QSOs.

>it's so old that i'd put that in the archaeology department

It's no older than phone. As soon as a transmitter was invented that could emit continuous waves (CW) it was utilized for phone transmissions.

Jeff

5552. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89f67a$d0i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Follow-ups set to just r.r.a.misc

Jenny <spamrecycle@chooseyourmail.com> wrote:

>How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet already
>or are you just too dumb or lazy?

Hi Jenny,
Could you explain your "every language on this planet" remark? I
don't see the connection. On the HF hams bands, there is really
only one "language" that needs to be learned, and as of 4/15/00,
one only needs to know it at a very low rate.

Jeff

5553. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rogerg@earthnet.net
Message-ID: <38BB2265.6CFF@earthnet.net>
Source record: 118186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

You sound like a crybaby.
You are NOT ham material.
Again, you just don't "get" it...
Boy, I wonder if you wanted to be a doctor,
and got washed out from med school. Bet you'd
cry about that, and complain too....

> Glen,
>
> Thanks for your kind words...
>
> Always willing to "buckle down" and help the "ham" community.
>
> If I can be of further assistance here, please let me know. Don't you
> just HATE those damn gripers...sheeesh.
>
> Dan
>
> ;)
>
> >dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
> >
> >>The responses to my post here from "hams" on this matter speak volumes
> >>on this point....
> >
> >Your posts speak for themselves. There are those who gripe, and there
> >are those who buckle down and make a difference. I think we can see
> >which category you fall into.
> >--
> >glenq@cyberhighway.net
> >WB7DOW
> >
> >http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

5554. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89f7ph$e9u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian burke1@icss.net wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>Brian burke1@icss.net wrote:
>>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>>>Brian burke1@icss.net wrote:

>>>>>Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
>>>>>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

>>>>Our county government's
>>>>provision seems to contradict your claim. After all, if I get hurt
>>>>during a RACES activation, I suffer losses; Workmen's Compensation
>>>>lessens those losses. But you said such compensation is prohibited.
>>>>Were you wrong?

>>>Jeff, is the workman's comp for activating the PTT or is it for an injury?

>>For any injury regardless of whether it's my PTT thumb or from climbing
>>a tower.

>Do you mean to say that you are not compensated for using your PTT thumb,
>but for an injury?

I'll answer your question with what I wrote above: "...if I get
hurt during a RACES activation, I suffer losses; Workmen's Compensation
lessens those losses." You said that one "cannot take any money even
if it only lessens (one's) losses."

>>>If an injury does not occur, do you still get workman's comp?

>>Silly question; of course not. (Do you know what Workmen's Compensation
>>is?)

>Is it covered by Part 97.

Apparently you believe it is, for you've said that no compensation
can be received for losses suffered from operating.

>>If there is no injury, then I won't suffer any loss that you spoke
>>of. But you said no money could be accepted to lessen losses,

>Yes.

>>so either you're wrong or the county is wrong. Which is it, Brian?

>Neither. You're wrong.

I haven't made any claim, so I can't be wrong about anything. I've only stated a fact: The county will in fact compensate an amateur for losses suffered, contrary to what you claimed.

>>>Were you wrong?

>>I didn't make the claim that losses couldn't be compensated -- you did.

>No.

No? Did you forget what you wrote? Here it is again:

>>>>>Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money
>>>>>even if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

>You're saying that injuries cannot be compensated.

No I'm not; I've pointed out that the county *will* compensate hams for losses suffered due injuries received will on a RACES activation.

>I did not say that.

Let's review once again what you wrote:

>>>>>Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money
>>>>>even if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.

That seems to contradict the county's provisions. Oh, the county also reimburses for mileage. That too, lessens a ham's losses.

Maybe you should have been more specific in what you meant by "losses."

Jeff

5555. Re: Rain Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: ycthulhu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000228210540.14210.00002262@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118188

DXMAN wrote:

>
>Listen to the Rain Report - Looks like RH is after folks for using Ham
>Radios on CB band.
>

Good. Hopefully RH will go after the CBers on the ham bands, too.

I actually listened to 14.313 this weekend.
Holy cow! It makes 3950 look like a proper afternoon serving of tea and
crumpets.

5556. Re: Extra Class CW Segments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@interactive.net
Message-ID: <38ba8b1f.2195346@news.interactive.net>
Source record: 118189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 27 Feb 2000 23:29:45 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Brian <burke1@icss.net> wrote:
>
>> Not only can you NOT make a profit, but you cannot take any money even
>>if it only lessens your losses. Nothing. Nada. Nyet.
>
>The City and County of Honolulu provides Workmen's Comp to RACES members
>injured during Civil Defense activations. The FCC has no problem with
>this.

And as I mentioned before...some local communities
buy the equipment used by hams involved in
local emergency preparedness. That certainly saves
hams the direct costs while they have those rigs
in their possession.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

5557. Re: AF2Y: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EAGu4.1584$Ns2.121113@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118190

Interesting .... I keep reading about amateur radio 'blowing away' and I have a concern. I doubt amateur radio has to die. If we look at commercial broadcasting, it started as AM stations. FM and then television followed. Now we have satellite transmissions (I subscribe to DirecTv), yet they have not died - they are simply following technology. FM has had stereo for years, they also have subcarrier services. Television is in the process of changing to digital, yet it remains reasonably close (but very much enhanced) to the old television I grew up with - yeah, there are probably as many minutes of advertising as actual programs! Hi.

I read about computers taking over (hmm... seem to be using one too!) - and have made a couple of observations. So many seem so computer literate. I have discovered most (kids and adults alike) simply know how to download games. And run them. What happens when some dos program you are installing from a dos prompt overwrites your autoexec.bat and config.sys files? Shouldn't be running dos, right? Well, I have run into a few advertised for win95/98 that WERE dos programs and I did get bit - once. Then you find out if they are computer literate (probably) or actually have some deeper technical knowlege. Most fail at this point. Wanna really up your system? Check and edit the registry. Oh oh ... My point is there never was a large percentage of the population that ever became truely technically literate. Many operators of various equipment, but few who could take it apart, service it, and put it back together - in working order.

The internet is truely a unique and interesting experience. It is, however, tied to extensive cable (optical and copper) networks and various switching points. A couple of years back, there was a tremendous ice storm in the Northeast. From what I had heard at the time, various areas were begging for mobile HF operators. If you have no power, no telephone, and the cell phone stations are down, you are in deep doo doo. It would be interesting to see a network of both HF and VHF/UHF stations link for possible use in emergencies. A portable, mobile, or home based HF station (with portable power generation) could go a long way to reestablishing communications with other areas not affected. We need, however, not CW but high speed digital modes (on HF, probably something that could transfer 100 bytes or more per second would be more than adaquate). Until the time that emergency services (police, fire, etc) tie directly to the satellites, there will be a need for reliable emergency backup communication. Amateur radio can provide that. We need to attract youngsters, however, to ensure its' survival.

73s, Jim (AA2QA)

radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:88um9tsfi1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Last night I checked out one of the 26,000 newsgroups offered by

MindSpring.
> It was one of those comp. groups which specialize in tech stuff since I am
> trying to becoming a computer wizard and am making no headway. Well anyway
I
> had posed a question about DNS numbers and did get a reply. And it was an
> interesting and well written one too and it turned out it came from a 15
> year old kid on Long Island,NY. I was taken back by the clarity and
> perfection of the kid's aptitude in English and found out that he's just
the
> average but eager to learn kid in high school who wants of course to earn
> his livelihood in the field of computers after graduation in 2002. I
changed
> the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and guess
> what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with CB,
> was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace?
> Furthermore the school's ham radio club CLOSED DOWN due to lack of
interest.
> But hasn't Donnie, W4JYZ, taken a lot of flack over this same subject?
> Didn't he say that ham radio was an old man's hobby and how desperately we
> need youth? He's right on target ladies and germs. That kid I met last
night
> could become an Extra in one easy step; he's bright and energetic but
> chooses to channel his efforts AWAY from amateur radio. I say it's a shame
> but it's REALITY and unfortunately, the ARRL and FCC can restructure all
> they want-it ain't gonna do the trick. At the outset it will bring in
> enthusiasts but hardly the serious type. We'll have an infusion of the
"good
> buddy squads" which will then overtake and destroy the refinement that ham
> radio should embody. Riley's job will become infinitely tougher after
April
> 15th thanks to all this restructuring which is another way of saying," The
> door's open, goofball electronics rejects. Come on in, buy the gear and
dump
> on our once great hobby/service." What then is restructuring all about?
It's
> a means to stave off as long as possible the demise of this thing we know
> and love-ham radio. I never got into the mad buying of imported rigs
because
> I refused to become part of the revolving door of planned obsolescence.
> Never did I envision an electronics storm that would render the
> hobby/service obsolete but THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT'S HAPPENING. Computers just
> about dominate every aspect of our lives NOW and this is just the
beginning
> of the evolution revolution. E commerce is growing so rapidly that experts
> are saying in just a few years, more than 75% of purchases in all fields
> will be online. The youth and ham radio? CHAT ROOMS is the name of the
game
> folks. No licenses for which to strive. No code at all. Either hook up a
mic
> to your "puter" or type it out-you're all over the world effortlessly. And

> ham radio is supposed to compete and still maintain great integrity? Will
> someone tell me how?
> AF2Y
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5558. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5OGu4.1615$Ns2.120370@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

There will always be liars and cheats in amateur radio and everywhere else. I agree that morse code is obsolete - but consider this, we have an international agreement that prohibits amateur operation below 30 MHz WITHOUT morse code profficiency. What level that may be is left up to the individual governments. I believe Japan has had a 5 WPM test for years (correct me if I am wrong - I am not positive). The U.S. finally has moved to 5 WPM, but cannot eliminate the code thing due to international treaty. There are many movements afoot to try and eliminate it entirely.

You are correct in saying some of our VHF/UHF bands are very attractive pieces of real estate. I'm not sure about 6 meters - if someone wants it, they will probably only ask for the bottom half of 6 since channel 2 television runs just above the 6 meter band (and leave us the useless part).

The purpose of amateur radio really was to get people interested and LEARNING about electronics. Most people nowadays simply memorise Q&A manuals. I dropped out of amateur radio. My last transmission (unanswered CQ) was in 1973 - with the previous transmission in 1970. I decided to get my tickets back in 1993. Because I never memorised Q&A manuals, I did not have to study. I went and 'gundecked' the test. I also retested and reclaimed my GROL (used to have my 1st class phone and telegraph - let them expire too). You'll probably find the same situation anywhere - the computer operators and the people who can put 'em together, install and troubleshoot hardware, and have no problems 'tweeking' their systems. You'll also have the cowards and liars spread everywhere also - regardless of class of license (or CBer) or ... etc, etc. You'll also find plenty of good, decent people spread everywhere also.

The $64,000 question is this - how do we attract new people into amateur radio?

73s, Jim (AA2QA)

Daniel M. Conti <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:38b7732e.3007332@news.bellatlantic.net...
> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>
> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
> reading this post.
>
> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>
> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in

> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
> test!
>
> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>
> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
> rightfully so.
>
> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>
> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>
> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>
> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>
> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.
>
> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
> required.
>
> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
> our lack of interest.
>
> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>
> See you at the museum.
>
>
> Dan
> N2TYB

5559. Re: AF2Y: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mooneer Salem <mooneer@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FRGu4.4069$iv2.132440@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118192

Actually, the Internet is becoming more commerical-oriented every second.
Amateur radio is one of the few places now where people can experiment
without worry.

We basically have the ability to create our own technology revolution. With
packet and TCP/IP we can create our own Internet dedicated to what the
Internet was supposed to be: a source of information and research.

Once packet speeds get fast enough I envision more and more people in
general
using ham radio because of their boredom with current mass-market
technology.
There have always been ups and downs, and in due time the people in general
will
realize their ways.

-Mooneer

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:EAGu4.1584$Ns2.121113@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Interesting .... I keep reading about amateur radio 'blowing away' and I
> have a concern. I doubt amateur radio has to die. If we look at
commercial
> broadcasting, it started as AM stations. FM and then television followed.
> Now we have satellite transmissions (I subscribe to DirecTv), yet they
have
> not died - they are simply following technology. FM has had stereo for
> years, they also have subcarrier services. Television is in the process
of
> changing to digital, yet it remains reasonably close (but very much
> enhanced) to the old television I grew up with - yeah, there are probably
as
> many minutes of advertising as actual programs! Hi.
>
> I read about computers taking over (hmm... seem to be using one too!) -
and
> have made a couple of observations. So many seem so computer literate. I
> have discovered most (kids and adults alike) simply know how to download
> games. And run them. What happens when some dos program you are
installing
> from a dos prompt overwrites your autoexec.bat and config.sys files?
> Shouldn't be running dos, right? Well, I have run into a few advertised
for
> win95/98 that WERE dos programs and I did get bit - once. Then you find
out

> if they are computer literate (probably) or actually have some deeper
> technical knowlege. Most fail at this point. Wanna really up your
system?
> Check and edit the registry. Oh oh ... My point is there never was a
large
> percentage of the population that ever became truely technically literate.
> Many operators of various equipment, but few who could take it apart,
> service it, and put it back together - in working order.
>
> The internet is truely a unique and interesting experience. It is,
however,
> tied to extensive cable (optical and copper) networks and various
switching
> points. A couple of years back, there was a tremendous ice storm in the
> Northeast. From what I had heard at the time, various areas were begging
> for mobile HF operators. If you have no power, no telephone, and the cell
> phone stations are down, you are in deep doo doo. It would be interesting
> to see a network of both HF and VHF/UHF stations link for possible use in
> emergencies. A portable, mobile, or home based HF station (with portable
> power generation) could go a long way to reestablishing communications
with
> other areas not affected. We need, however, not CW but high speed digital
> modes (on HF, probably something that could transfer 100 bytes or more per
> second would be more than adaquate). Until the time that emergency
services
> (police, fire, etc) tie directly to the satellites, there will be a need
for
> reliable emergency backup communication. Amateur radio can provide that.
> We need to attract youngsters, however, to ensure its' survival.
>
> 73s, Jim (AA2QA)
>

5560. Re: AF2Y: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mooneer Salem <mooneer@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <m%Gu4.4108$iv2.133517@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118193

I did the calculations one night. There's around 1,200 hams in the
Riverside, CA area.
Riverside has 250,000 people, so 1200/250000 equals approx one half of one
percent,
meaning that the ham community isn't a very large community to begin with.
850,000/250,000,000 = .34%, which nearly matches my calculations for
Riverside
(250,000,000 is the current US population I think)

In other news, the complexity is in essense interfering with the ability to
keep it reliable.
A while back some backhoe operator in the Midwest cut a fiber-optic line and
slowed
the Internet down ten-fold. Most people couldn't even access some sites due
to this
problem.

-Mooneer

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:89788rava1@news.hawaii.edu...
> radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and guess
> >what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with
CB,
>
> I don't believe that it ever was the "in thing." In our one-hundred
> year history, only a small percentage of each generation has shown
> an interest in the self-learning of electronic theory, soldering,
> reading and understanding schematics, collecting components,
> assembling radios, communicating world-wide by RF. It never was
> an everyman's hobby.
>
> >was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace?
>
> That's fine if they don't mind being tethered. They're helplessly
> dependent upon untold billions of dollars of computer equipment
> belonging to thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions
> of miles of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens
> of thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
> running.
>
> Jeff

5561. Re: AF2Y: The future of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mooneer Salem <mooneer@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <d2Hu4.4121$iv2.134154@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118194

Once computer technology, or any new technology for that matter, is
perfected,
the prices for all those accessories and computer equipment will rise.
Take American cars: they were around $2,500 or so until the mid-70's. Now
they're anywhere from $15,000 to $35,000+

Like I said in a previous post, people will realize computers are helpful
but
do not provide much in terms of unique enertainment and will turn to
alternative
means.

-Mooneer

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:89ekbrsbh1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
> But Jeff, hear me out. The future of the USA is tied to COMPUTERS, not
ham
> radio. In other words, a kid that chooses a computer over a rig is priming
> himself for TOMORROW instead of looking at a meaningless QSL today.
Hamming
> is FUN; computers are MANDATORY. Let me give you a stat I heard on the
8:00
> A.M. CBS newscast today. In the CITY of New York, there are now 250,000
> computer related jobs as compared with zilch a few years ago. A quarter
> million and THIS IS JUST THE BEGINNING! And that's the gist of everything.
> Give a kid a computer and chat rooms and he's communicating with the
world
> with no strings attached. He's also sharpening his language and writing
> skills and who knows, he may even have a dictionary on the desk? My wife's
a
> teacher and I notice that some of her e-mails are from her students who
> range in age from 11-13 years. And I notice that she answers every single
> message be it intelligent or silly. Why? Because there's no better way to
> encourage a kid to write and read than by computer use. And get this-when
> kids send e-mails and chat among themselves, THEY ARE ENJOYING THE SKILLS
> THEY'RE BUILDING without stopping to realize the mechanics of it all.
> That's the beauty of it. And my wife says that she is seeing definite
> improvement in slower childrens' progress thanks to their using computers.
> It's IMPOSSIBLE for amateur radio to ever compete again for the mind and
the
> time of youth who WOULD HAVE gone into it. And who knew about it for the
> longest time? The ARRL and the FCC which explains restructuring. It's a
> DESPERATION MOVE. And based upon Kenwood's cutting back on manufacturing
ham

> rigs, they too know it. Based on the ever increasing and monumental sales
of
> computers and accesssories I will not be surprised, in just a few years,
to
> see ham licenses GIVEN away. There'll be no more of a requirement to be a
> ham than there is now to be a CBer. That sounds rash but I'll stick to it.
> And remember this: in due time you will see Kenwood manufacturing
computers
> if they already haven't started.
> Doc,AF2Y
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------
> In article <89788rava1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey
> Herman) wrote:
>
>
> > radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> >>the subject to ham radio and asked if he knew anything about it and
guess
> >>what he told me? It ain't the "in thing" anymore. He used to mess with
CB,
> >
> > I don't believe that it ever was the "in thing." In our one-hundred
> > year history, only a small percentage of each generation has shown
> > an interest in the self-learning of electronic theory, soldering,
> > reading and understanding schematics, collecting components,
> > assembling radios, communicating world-wide by RF. It never was
> > an everyman's hobby.
> >
> >>was thinking about hamming but.....who needs it when there's cyberspace?
> >

> > That's fine if they don't mind being tethered. They're helplessly
> > dependent upon untold billions of dollars of computer equipment
> > belonging to thousands of corporation, interconnected by millions
> > of miles of cable, staffed by thousands of workers putting in tens
> > of thousands of man-hours per day to keep that complex system
> > running.
> >
> > Jeff

5562. icom ic-740 11 meter mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: craigwf@free.net.au
Message-ID: <89fu7aaao1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118195

Does anyone have any information on how to open up rx/tx for 27mhz/11 meters on a ic-740.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5563. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38bb5d0d.2334205@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Steve,

With this nonsense you get an official promotion to the rank of Lt. in the newsgroup police.

What do past posts of mine have to do with the points and discourse initiated over the one herein?

Once again, I prove the point that the radio newsgroups are not a venue for discussion and debate, but simply a place where the newsgroup police pick and choose the topics of importance or interest.

Believe it or not, my past postings, though they might seem to YOU as whining, actually initiated interesting debate pro and con regarding UPS, and so on. After seeing countless and endless posts here blasting others such as Bill CHeek, Mackey, and so on ad nauseum, you have the gall to complain about me.

I attempt to stir debate in an intelligent and polite manner. I apparently struck a raw nerve with my post, and instead of offering an intelligent response pertinent to fact, you launch a tirade about my past WHINING and CRYING. Then you proceed to dredge up past "quotes" belittling me for all to see here.

I do not see one word in your response relative to what we are discussing here. I guess at 3 AM, with no life and nothing else to do, blasting me was more appropriate.

Congrats Lt...proceed to monitor and snoop the groups, weeding out other whiners and cryers in the pursuit of your version of proper newsgroup discourse.

Dan
>Ahhhhh just as I thought, I thought the name Daniel Conti rang a bell
>from hearing him WHINE and CRY BEFORE on other topics in the past.
>
> His previous most *FAMOUS* CRYING SPELL was the beleagured
>CRYING about UPS losing an item.
>
>*TONS* of these in old Deja-News archives:
>
>http://x46.deja.com/getdoc.xp?AN=201575763&CONTEXT=951723610.1450246174&hitnum=196
>
>>>UPS finally sent me the $355.00 check due from a COD received by the
>>>purchaser on 10/21/96.
>

>>Good. Now how about shutting up finally?
>
>__
>
>http://x46.deja.com/getdoc.xp?AN=203836540&CONTEXT=951723610.1450246174&hitnum=289
>--
>>>UPS is FINE for regular shipping. I don't think there was EVER a
>>>question of that point during this thread.....
>>>
>>>However, for COD service, they leave alot to be desired....especially
>>>compared to FEDEX.
>>>dconti@carroll.com
>>>Compuserve - 71242,1774 Amateur Radio - N2TYB
>>>Ridgefield, New Jersey
>>>
>
>>Dan,
>>You got your money! Let it go!
>---
>
>That CRYING SPELL went of for MONTHS.
>
>Maybe I'll get lucky and Daniel Conti will take up stamps or something
>?
>---
>>On Mon, 28 Feb 2000 03:26:18 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
>>Glen,
>>
>>Thanks for your kind words...
>>
>>Always willing to "buckle down" and help the "ham" community.
>>
>>If I can be of further assistance here, please let me know. Don't you
>>just HATE those damn gripers...sheeesh.
>>
>>Dan
>>
>>;)
>>
>>>dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>>>
>>>>The responses to my post here from "hams" on this matter speak volumes
>>>>on this point....
>>>
>>>Your posts speak for themselves. There are those who gripe, and there
>>>are those who buckle down and make a difference. I think we can see
>>>which category you fall into.
>>>--
>>>glenq@cyberhighway.net

>>>WB7DOW
>>>
>>>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>

5564. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <38bb5fa3.2995825@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Jim,

Excellent response...

With your response, I think it is proper to jump in here and say that
I sat back these last few days after making this post and endured
criticism, ridicule, and harrassment, and did so gladly.

Lest anyone hasn't gotten it yet, I yearn for intelligent discourse on
these groups, so I make these posts in an attempt to stir up debate,
and it works. Mixed in with the guys who call me a whiner and
crybaby, and dredge up past postings, we hear some real intelligent
discussion from folks such as you, and it makes for a pleasurable pass
time.

Sometimes you have to offer the illusion of a controversial position
to get the flames going so to speak... ;)

Dan

>There will always be liars and cheats in amateur radio and everywhere else.
>I agree that morse code is obsolete - but consider this, we have an
>international agreement that prohibits amateur operation below 30 MHz
>WITHOUT morse code profficiency. What level that may be is left up to the
>individual governments. I believe Japan has had a 5 WPM test for years
>(correct me if I am wrong - I am not positive). The U.S. finally has moved
>to 5 WPM, but cannot eliminate the code thing due to international treaty.
>There are many movements afoot to try and eliminate it entirely.
>
>You are correct in saying some of our VHF/UHF bands are very attractive
>pieces of real estate. I'm not sure about 6 meters - if someone wants it,
>they will probably only ask for the bottom half of 6 since channel 2
>television runs just above the 6 meter band (and leave us the useless part).
>
>The purpose of amateur radio really was to get people interested and
>LEARNING about electronics. Most people nowadays simply memorise Q&A
>manuals. I dropped out of amateur radio. My last transmission (unanswered
>CQ) was in 1973 - with the previous transmission in 1970. I decided to get
>my tickets back in 1993. Because I never memorised Q&A manuals, I did not
>have to study. I went and 'gundecked' the test. I also retested and
>reclaimed my GROL (used to have my 1st class phone and telegraph - let them
>expire too). You'll probably find the same situation anywhere - the
>computer operators and the people who can put 'em together, install and
>troubleshoot hardware, and have no problems 'tweeking' their systems.
>You'll also have the cowards and liars spread everywhere also - regardless

>of class of license (or CBer) or ... etc, etc. You'll also find plenty of
>good, decent people spread everywhere also.
>
>The $64,000 question is this - how do we attract new people into amateur
>radio?
>
>73s, Jim (AA2QA)
>
>Daniel M. Conti <dconti2@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>news:38b7732e.3007332@news.bellatlantic.net...
>> This is the heading in the recent "closing comments" section of
>> Monitoring Times, written by Bob Grove.
>>
>> It got my attention, as I'm sure I did yours here, or you wouldn't be
>> reading this post.
>>
>> What intrigued me most about his position was the statistics relative
>> to enforcement action by the FCC as follows :
>>
>> Of all the license suspensions, revocations or reviews by the FCC in
>> past six months for RULES violations, 85% had passed their MORSE CODE
>> test!
>>
>> Of the licensees cited for LYING on FCC documents, falsifying call
>> sign apps for non-existent clubs, and taking part in illegitimate
>> testing sessions, 91% were certified as code proficient, with the
>> largest representation being the EXTRA class licensee.
>>
>> He goes on to exhalt the presence of Technicians in the hobby, and
>> rightfully so.
>>
>> These stats above cut through all the rhetoric I;ve seen in the groups
>> regarding amateur radio test requirements.
>>
>> After a hiatus of about a year, I have returned to 2M and 440, to find
>> a veritable wasteland. WHERE IS EVERYONE? I feel like the guy in the
>> British Ariways commercial who asks the same question. However, in
>> this case, all the hams have NOT gone to London!!!
>>
>> Here is where I think the Hams have gone...
>>
>> 5 or 6 year no-code techs, who represent the last "wave" of new hams
>> after rule changes, such as myself, being restricted to 2M, 440 , and
>> 6M, have become bored with the lack of activity of late. Yacking
>> across town or across the state is nice at first, but grows old with
>> time. Many have simply dropped out like I did a year ago.
>>
>> The "elders" of the hobby, or Elmers, as the are often called, are
>> acting more like Elmer Fudd, hanging onto old radio values, and silly
>> elitist attitudes, and as we all must do, they are dying off.

>>
>> brand new candidates who would've been attracted to this hobby in past
>> generations, are on computers, and on the internet where they can not
>> only talk to others in far away lands, but can wave at them in live
>> video, and so on. No CODE required. For that matter, NO LICENSE
>> required.
>>
>> The 2M , 440, and 6M amateur bands are valuable radio real estate that
>> will be gone, sold off to another Nextel-type company, as a result of
>> our lack of interest.
>>
>> All because we just can't let go of that damn code....
>>
>> See you at the museum.
>>
>>
>> Dan
>> N2TYB
>
>

5565. Re: 900mhz Phone Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <michaelj@networktel.net>
Message-ID: <u7Ku4.61$1X6.25498@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 118198

Dennis,

Is this a 104 mf capacitor accross the ear receiver leads?

Thanks

Dennis Edey <vk4sx@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:89dgo8$2rh$1@news1.mpx.com.au...
> Audio Rectification by the Speaker in the hand set,pop a ceramic 104
across
> the speaker
> problem solva.
> rgds dennis.vk4sx
>
>

5566. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@parrett.net>
Message-ID: <38bb9461.0@news>
Source record: 118199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So Jery .... Do you complain to the College that learning history or speech
has nothing to do with electronic engineering?
I dont think so!
If you want the degree you take the required classes or you dont get it.

As to the question asked by Jenny about learning every language .... that is
so lame and stupid that it dont deserve an answer!

William Lee

Jenny <jparker@kokis.com> wrote in message news:38BB3CD6.3A6B@kokis.com...
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > >How about yourself? Did you learn every language on this planet
already
> > >or are you just too dumb or lazy?
> >
> > Could you explain your "every language on this planet" remark? I
> > don't see the connection.
>
> Well good, that is a start. You are starting to use thinking to see
> that there is no connection to having to learn every language in order
> to not be dumb or lazy. Now continue using your brain and you will also
> see that there is no logic in having to learn Morse Code if you want to
> talk into a microphone on HF bands. Nor is one dumb or lazy for not
> wanting to learn something that has nothing at all to do with what that
> person wants to do.
>
> Some people like to ride horses, but horse lovers should not force car
> drivers to learn how to ride a horse before being allowed to drive a
> car. Some people like Morse Code, but Code lovers should not force
> people who like to use microphones and speech to talk to people on the
> radio to learn Morse Code before they are allowed to use microphones on
> HF bands.
>
> You should ONLY learn Morse Code if you intend to USE Morse Code. If
> you never have any intention of USING Morse Code, then taking the time
> to learn it to satisfy someone ELSE is IDIOTIC!

5567. KC8JBO and his jamming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtolassi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89gc3d$k3b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118200

Roger,KC8JBO has reached a new low in irrational behavior. Last night on 14.313 he was babbling as if drunk or under the influence. He had better watch out, the powers that be would love to had another notch in the gun. Knock it off Roger. Take up another hobby like tropical fish or such.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5568. Re: Possible open-source Windows logbook project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <89g721$1ang$1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 118201
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In aus.radio.amateur.misc Walt Davidson <no.junk.mail@thank.you> wrote:
> You sound like a reasonably competent programmer, but have you had a
> proper Schedule of Requirements prepared by a professional analyst, in
> consultation with members of the prospective user-base?

> Otherwise your project is doomed to failure before it starts.

That may be true of typical software projects but is not at all
true for open source projects -- they are haphazard by design
(read "The Cathedral and the Bazaar"), development-wise.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au
Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd. http://www.risingsoftware.com/
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA: 1 888 667 7839

5569. Re: AF2Y:Thanks for the nice feedback.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89gbfh$hd2$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118202

It takes a man of Davies mentality to laud Joseph McCarthy who never caught any commie, was a pariah to the Republican Party, who insulted and demonized Pres. Eisenhower and finally ended up in a toilet, head down. It take a guy like Davies, with no political savvy other than the extreme right wing garbage, to tell me the American public should have listened to Joe. Hell, the fool should have been deported.

In article <89Bu4.1004$wk.1021949@news1.mia>, "DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:

> radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:89cgas$up6$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net...
>> Don't have time to post the compliments I'm getting on my posting about
> the
>> Cheesehead and friends hypocrisy
>
> Who cares, get a life!
>
> And keep in mind that the Cheesehead spouting off is
>> from the same state that can boast of the utterly disgraced and censured
>> Sen. Joseph McCarthy who saw a communist under every bed;
>
>
> mr mccarthy was a good man and a true american. too bad the american people
> did not listen to him.
> --
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
> Athens, AL 35611
> http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
> http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
> http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
> http://www.adl-ci.org/
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
> http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
> http://www.3950.net/
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
> http://www.qth.com/dwm/
> http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>
>
>
>

5570. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89gbs2edv1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Davies is the most pathetic waste of newsgroup space to ever appear on the Internet. Need fertilizer for the Spring lawn? Print out and then shred Davies' postings.

In article <898mmi$3jp8$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>, "Donald Reeve" <don236@prodigy.net> wrote:

>
>
> "jay" <mung@bean.com> wrote in message
> news:W%Qt4.47$uL2.5983@nnrp.gol.com...
>> Hmmmm....good point Mr. Denley
>>
>>
>> Maybe I've been living in Japan too long. Has the US changed so much
> since
>> I was at university 10years ago? Please tell me that Mr. Davies is the
>> extreme and not the norm.
>>
>
> Heck, we're a country of some 270 million last time I checked--we've a got a
> little of everything. Even Lloyd....
>
> Donald Reeve
>
>

5571. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89gc8l$r32$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Notice the way Stair never haunts the NY-NJ area? We'd run him out; in fact, he is a native Garden Stater who headed for Walterboro,SC after finding no luck up here spreading. If Jesus had to depend on a bum like that to appear as the last day prophet of God, then Christendom needs a makeover.

In article <897n6jsfj1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <893eeenqo1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Mom_of6 <tamalla@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> In article <QT2t4.31865$po2.331454@news1.mia>,
>> "DXMAN" <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:
>> > Taken from his webpage:
>> >
>> > Ever wanted to meet Prophet Stair? Here's your chance!
>> > http://www.expage.com/page/falseprophetstair
>>
>> > SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
>> > BROTHER STAIR
>> >
>> > Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 4 & 5, 2000
>> > Time: 10:00am Both Days
>> > Place: The Guest House Inn, 810 E. Trugeon St., Henryetta, OK
>> > For More Information Phone The Guest House at (918) 652-2581
>> >
>> > Date: Wednesday & Thursday - March 8 & 9, 2000
>> > Time: 11:00am Both Days
>> > Place: The Upper Room, Mackville, KY
>> > For More Information Phone Brother Baker at 606-366-5642
>> >
>> > Date: Saturday & Sunday - March 11 & 12, 2000
>> > Time: 11:00am Both Days
>> > Place: Straightway Communty Tabernacle, LaFayette, TN
>> > For More Information Phone Pastor Dowell at 615-688-4277
>> >
>> > Remember, Please dress accordingly, men, no long hair, and ladies
> NO
>> PANTS,
>> > make-up, etc.
>> > --
>> > LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
>> > B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
>> > Athens, AL 35611

>> > http://www.md-webdesign.com/KE2LO/
>> > http://members.xoom.com/barf_libnet/libnet99.htm
>> > http://members.aol.com/DIXIEFORME/RebSite-index.html
>> > http://www.adl-ci.org/
>> > http://www.angelfire.com/al/sj61
>> > http://www.angelfire.com/in/w9xn
>> > http://www.3950.net/
>> > http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>> > http://www.qth.com/dwm/
>> > http://homepages.go.com/~wikk3/hollings.htm
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

5572. Re: Brother Stair: SPECIAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89gcoi$3ag$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

The Hebrew term RABBI appeared HUNDREDS OF YEARS after Jesus's ministry
therefore no one could have ever addressed him by that appellation. As far
as being a Jew, he sure was and died one. He became Gentile and a threat to
his own people thanks to Lloyd Davies, Brother Stair, Jerry Falwell and Saul
of Tarsus who met him on the road to Morocco.

In article <W%Qt4.47$uL2.5983@nnrp.gol.com>, "jay" <mung@bean.com> wrote:

> Hmmmm....good point Mr. Denley
>
> Furthermore, wasn't Jesus Jewish? Or did his followers refer to him as
> Rabbi for some other reason. Maybe the TEMPLE where he spoke at when he was
> twelve was something other than a Jewish temple. Maybe he was Buddhist?
> Afterall, we do know that Jerusalem is full of Buddhists.
>
> Maybe I've been living in Japan too long. Has the US changed so much since
> I was at university 10years ago? Please tell me that Mr. Davies is the
> extreme and not the norm.
>
> Regards,
> Jason Glavy
> Yokohama, Japan
>
>

5573. Re: For the "uninformed" liberty nit N2SAG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Defiler <202none@aol.com>
Message-ID: <bRPu4.836$PY4.48317@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118206

KK7HO wrote in message <20000228010240.18057.00002484@ng-cf1.aol.com>...
>Please note ***section 5*** below. DO NOT move in on existing qso's or
>transmissions again. This should clarify and put to rest your ridiculous
claims
>and accusations about CW code practice not being permited.

Oh!! So you ADMIT, KK7HO, that you are the person
responsible for the 3-5 hour long CW "practice" on
3.949.5 every Saturday night. Thanks, chump.

While were on the topic, how about confirming that
the "music player" wh QRM'ed the Liberty Net all
night on 2/26-2/27 was noneother than your drunken
radio buddie: W9ART ??

Care to explain W9ART making snide comments for ONE HOUR during
a conversation being carried on by AA8DP and N1RAJ? No?? This took
place for ONE HOUR LONG PRECEEDING the Liberty Net call-up
on 2/26 from 8:57PM to 9:53PM on 2/26/2000. The drunken bum
W9ART (who has a LONG record of amoebrated type ham activities)
gave his call while making his stupor-commentary, but "carefully'
chose to make them when EITHER AA8DP or N1RAJ was transmitting.
Guess he didn't have the BALLS to ID out in the clear eh?

Put THAT in your CW pipe and insert it sideways
without vasilene - JERKOFF.

5574. DRAKE L-4B--NEED FAV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Black <jb@gatewayone.com>
Message-ID: <38BBCF1F.C52B9560@gatewayone.com>
Source record: 118207

I need the squirrel cage fan for the Drake L-4B linear because mine has warped from age.

ANY IDEAS WHERE I CAN FIND ONE? Drake does not supply them any more.

Pse reply to jb@gatewayone.com

de W3CYQ

5575. Re: yeasu FT840 (help)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com
Message-ID: <20000229092358.16143.00001495@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118208

i had to adjust VR1010 thats marked FWD and the one directly next to it marked REV (cant remember the #). i bought the radio on the 9th of this month and the output was low like yours but after doing that it is fine.

73 tim

>
>my new yeasu has so little
>audio power that my friend
>says he can hardly hear me.
>
>can i bring the audio power
>up?
>
>i also need the mic. pinout.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5576. Re: 60 Days until I turn in my VE badge.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <38BBE40E.418BAA5C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

William Lee wrote:
> So Jery .... Do you complain to the College that learning history or speech
> has nothing to do with electronic engineering? I dont think so!
> If you want the degree you take the required classes or you dont get it.

Actually William, One reason I chose to get my EE degree from Texas A&M
is that they didn't require a foreign language for the BS degree.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

5577. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE1F7-11@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118210

kc8jbo???
what a freakin moron ???gee everyone can see your a MORON...if you worry about everyone but your self ,then you dont look at your own rotten life ..call a Moron an a drunk . non worker , no life , good for nothing like your self , WHAT YOU ARE REALLY IN GOOD ..CLEAN OFF YOUR OWN BACK PORCH BEFORE YOU WORRY ABOUT SOME ONE ELSE...BUT IF I HAD A MISERABLE LIFE LIKE YOURS I WOULD RATHER TALK ABOUT OTHERS ALSO ...LIKE ORIS THE GREASEBALL WHITE TRASH AND A TWICE FIRE MORON OF THE FCC ..YOUR IN GOOD COMPANY ...WOULDNT WANT TO TAKE ANY OF YOUR NEIHBORS TO COURT O SE WHAT YOU DONT DO FOR A LIVING ..GET A LIFE ..GEE GOLLY MOLLY ...

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5578. Re: KC8JBO & sick Mother

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE6AF-17@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118211

GEE ROG
YOUR A SKINNY , GOOD FOR NOTHING LOSER ,GET A JOB . GET YOUR OWN PLACE
STAY ON YOUR OWN TWO SHAKING DRUNKEN FEET FOR ONCE IN YOUR LIFE...

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5579. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE260-12@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118212

LOVE BEING ANON ?? TRY IT IT IS FOR PEOPLE LIKE TWICE FIRED FCC EMPOYEES
AND QRMRS ,AD GREASEBALLS . LOW LIFES ,,,YOU KEEP GREAT COMPANY ...

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5580. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE48F-15@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118213

HEY GITBACK ,,GET BACK IN THE CRACK ..IFYOU KNOW KC8JBO THEN YOUR A MORON ALSO ..AND NT WORTH TALKING TO /...

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5581. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE3B3-13@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118214

YEAH COLIN ..KC8JBO NEEDS TO STAY ON THE CB ,,,NO WAY CAN HE BE A HAM
!!!
HE IS JEALOUS OF THE OBRIENS , GEE THEY MUST HAVE A GREAT LIFE , FOR
ALL THESE MORONS TO BE SO JEALOUS OF THEM.. DONT SEE THEM ON HERE SAYING
ANY THING ABOUT THESE MORONS...THINK I WILL WRITE AND MEET THEM THEY
SOUND PRETTY SMART TO IGNORE THESE SHIT HEADS..

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5582. Re: KC8JBO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12749-38BBE790-5@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118215

I WILL TAKE THE BET ...LARDASS WONT TAKE CARE OF HIS OM SO SHE WONT LAST LONG...THEN HE IS OUT IN THE STREET ..COLD AND HUNGRY...ANY ONE GOT AN EXTRA PIECE OF BREAD FOR KC8JBO??

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5583. Re: KC8JBO #1 HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE5FE-16@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118216

YEAH LOSER GIVE MAMA A KISS ..WHEN SHE GOES YOUR OUT IN THE STREET ON YOUR BARE ASS...

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5584. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE418-14@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118217

YOU GOT THAT RIGHT GLENN..LOSERS..WITH A CAPITOL L..HIDING BEHIND
ANON...POSTS..

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5585. Announcement: AMSAT P3-D on AR507 !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net
Message-ID: <sbnrerc2jp174@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 118218
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

From the Amsat List:

Date: Tue, 29 Feb 2000 14:12:11 +0100
From: Peter Guelzow <Peter.Guelzow@arcormail.de>

Dear Friends,

it's official!!

the following information is from the "e-space" ARIANESPACE NEWSLETTER,
FEBRUARY 2000, No. 151 and can be downloaded from

http://www.arianespace.com/news_espace.html

Provisional Ariane Launch Manifest (February - July 2000)
****

Flight Launcher Payload Launch Date

V127 Ariane 44LP SUPERBIRD-4 February 16
V128 Ariane 505 AsiaStar and Insat 3B March 16
V129 Ariane 42L Galaxy 4R April 6
V130 Ariane 506 Astra 2B and GE-7 May 23
V131 Ariane 44LP Europe*Star or PAS-1R Early July
or 44L
V132 Ariane 507 PAS-1R or Europe*Star, Late July
AMSAT P3-D and STRV-1C/1D

In connection with its customers, Arianespace retains the right to
modify this provisional manifest as the result of the availability of
payloads or for other reasons.

73s Peter
db2os@amsat-dl.org

PS: P3-D is misspelled as 'AmSat P-3B' in the original version, which
is indeed us ;)

5586. Re: PissBull #1 HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12748-38BBE8F9-19@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118219

GLENN

IF YOU WENT TO LAW SCHOOL THEN , YOU KNOW MOST OF WHAT IS SAID OR DONE
BYTHESE MORONS ON HERE ARE ILLEGAL...PUTTING UP SOME ONES PERSONAL INFO
OF ANY KIND SHOULD NOT BE DONE AT ALL..

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5587. Re: Did she "KICK" yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12749-38BBE85B-6@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118220

I SAY SHE WILL BE GONE BY SUMMER ,,THEN HE WILL HAVE WARM WEATHER FOR A WHILE ,,BEFORE HE FREEZES ..THIS WINTER ,HEY MAYBE HE CAN MOVE INTO ORIS'S RAMSHACKLED HOVEL IN DANIELSVILLE , AFER SUSIE THE TOO FAT GETS THRU THE DOOR ONE A DAY . HE WIDENS THE DOOR SOSUSIE CAN GO TO THE POTTY..

I'M never wrong!!!
Everyone else is !!!
Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...

5588. AF2Y: Herbie's gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <89gskb$fg0$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118221

This just came down today from the Appellate Court which affirmed the FCC's decision to remove KV4FZ from the bands, from his beloved 160 up to gigaHertz heaven. Question: does lawyer Colby have the right to a writ of certiori to the Supreme Court? Will it be exercised? Stay tuned folks.
AF2Y

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

Argued October 18, 1999 Decided February 29, 2000

No. 98-1516

Herbert L. Schoenbohm,
Appellant

v.

Federal Communications Commission,
Appellee

Appeal of Orders of the
Federal Communications Commission

Lauren A. Colby argued the cause and filed the briefs for appellant.

James M. Carr, Counsel, Federal Communications Commission, argued the cause for appellee. With him on the brief were Christopher J. Wright, General Counsel, and Daniel M. Armstrong, Associate General Counsel. Pamela L. Smith, Counsel, entered an appearance.

Before: Sentelle, Henderson, and Garland, Circuit Judges.

Opinion for the Court filed by Circuit Judge Garland.

Garland, Circuit Judge: Appellant Herbert Schoenbohm operates an amateur radio station in the U.S. Virgin Islands. In 1992, he was convicted of a felony for fraudulently using counterfeit access codes to obtain long distance telephone services.1 In 1994, shortly before Schoenbohm's amateur radio licenses were due to expire, he filed a renewal application with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

After a series of hearings, an administrative law judge (ALJ) denied Schoenbohm's application. On June 29, 1998,

the Commission affirmed the denial, finding that Schoenbohm's fraud conviction, "in combination with" his misrepresentations and lack of candor during the renewal proceedings, justified nonrenewal. Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 F.C.C.R. 15,028, 15,028 (1998) [hereinafter Decision]. Schoenbohm filed a petition for reconsideration, reiterating arguments he had previously made and asking for the first time that the FCC investigate allegations that some of his detractors may have had improper ex parte contacts with the ALJ. See J.A. at 77. The FCC denied reconsideration, restating its previous justifications for nonrenewal and rejecting the request for an inquiry into the ex parte allegations. See Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 F.C.C.R. 23,774, 23,777 (1998) [hereinafter Reconsideration Order].

Schoenbohm contends that the denials of both his renewal application and his petition for reconsideration were arbitrary and capricious agency actions, in violation of the Administra-

---

1 Schoenbohm was found guilty of violating 18 U.S.C. s 1029(a)(1), which provides that anyone who "knowingly and with intent to defraud produces, uses, or traffics in one or more counterfeit access devices" commits a felony. The statute defines "access device" as "any card, plate, code, account number, ... or other means of account access that can be used ... to obtain money, goods, services, or any other thing of value." Id. s 1029(e)(1). An access device is "counterfeit" if it is "counterfeit, fictitious, altered, or forged." Id. s 1029(e)(2).

tive Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. s 706(2)(A). We affirm the FCC's refusal to renew Schoenbohm's radio licenses and conclude that we are without jurisdiction to review the rejection of his petition for reconsideration.

I

We begin with the FCC's denial of Schoenbohm's renewal application. Before reaching the merits of that decision, however, we must resolve a preliminary question of jurisdiction. The Commission argues that Schoenbohm did not appeal from its original decision to deny his renewal application, but only from its order denying his petition to reconsider that decision. Denial of a petition for reconsideration, the agency correctly notes, is generally nonreviewable unless the request for reconsideration was based on new evidence or changed circumstances. See ICC v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Eng'rs, 482 U.S. 270, 279-80 (1987); Southwestern Bell Tel. Co. v. FCC, 180 F.3d 307, 311 (D.C. Cir. 1999); see also Entravision Holdings, LLC v. FCC, No. 99-1025, slip op. at 4 & n.* (D.C. Cir. Feb. 11, 2000) (holding that nonreviewability in this context means lack of jurisdiction).

It is true that the notice of appeal Schoenbohm filed in this court characterizes his appeal as being from the order denying the petition for reconsideration. See J.A. at 85. It is also true that in Southwestern Bell, where the petition for review designated only the reconsideration order, we held both that the reconsideration order was nonreviewable and that the underlying order was not properly before us. See Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 313-14. We did not, however, suggest that the failure to designate an order in a petition for review (or notice of appeal) is always fatal. To the contrary, "we said in Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. FCC [that] a party may demonstrate its intention to appeal from one order despite referring only to a different order in its petition for review if the petitioner's intent 'can be fairly inferred' from the petition or documents filed more or less contemporaneously with it." Martin v. FERC, 199 F.3d 1370, 1372 (D.C.

Cir. 2000) (quoting Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 313 (quoting Brookens v. White, 795 F.2d 178, 180 (D.C. Cir. 1986))).

Here, Schoenbohm's intent to appeal from the underlying decision (as well as from the denial of reconsideration) is fairly inferable from the "concise statement of reasons," required by 47 U.S.C. s 402(c), that he filed together with his notice of appeal. That statement expressly lists each of his challenges to the underlying decision, including arguments that the FCC erred in relying on his criminal conviction and in finding that he lacked candor in his testimony before the agency. It also separately challenges the agency's refusal to investigate his allegations of improper ex parte contacts with the ALJ. See J.A. at 85-86. Schoenbohm's ancillary filing therefore makes this case like Damsky v. FCC, in which we recently inferred an appellant's intent to appeal an underlying decision from the fact that her concise statement of reasons challenged the substantive merits of that decision. See 199 F.3d 527, 533 (D.C. Cir. 2000); see also Martin, 199 F.3d at 1373 (holding that petitioner's intent to seek review of underlying order was fairly inferable from his contemporaneously filed motion for stay). At the same time, it makes this case unlike Southwestern Bell, where there was no such challenge in any filing "prior to the brief filed in this court." Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 313.

Because Schoenbohm's intent to appeal the FCC's underlying decision can reasonably be inferred from his concise statement of reasons, and because for the same reason the FCC "cannot claim that any notice defects surprised or misled it with regard to the issues [appellant] intended to raise on appeal," we conclude that we have jurisdiction to review the FCC's decision to deny renewal of Schoenbohm's amateur radio licenses. Damsky, 199 F.3d at 533.

II

We review the merits of the FCC's decision only to determine whether the decision was "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law," 5 U.S.C. s 706(2)(A). We review the factual findings upon

which the decision was based to ensure that they were supported by "substantial evidence," id. s 706(2)(E). See 47 U.S.C. s 402(g); Damsky, 199 F.3d at 533. "Substantial evidence," in turn, is defined as "such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion." Consolo v. Federal Maritime Comm'n, 383 U.S. 607, 620 (1966) (quoting Consolidated Edison Co. v. NLRB, 305 U.S. 197, 229 (1938)). Because this standard is "something less than the weight of the evidence, ... the possibility of drawing two inconsistent conclusions from the evidence does not prevent an administrative agency's finding from being supported by substantial evidence." Id.

In the following sections, we apply these principles to each of Schoenbohm's challenges to the FCC's refusal to renew his radio licenses.

A

Schoenbohm's first contention is that it was arbitrary and capricious for the FCC to deny renewal based on his criminal conviction. In 1990, the Commission modified its "character" policy to provide that "evidence of any conviction for misconduct constituting a felony will be relevant to our evaluation of an applicant's or licensee's character." Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5 F.C.C.R. 3252, 3252 (1990) (footnote omitted) [hereinafter Character Policy]. Such evidence is relevant, the FCC said, because it aids the Commission in ascertaining whether a licensee will "deal truthfully with the Commission and ... comply with [its] rules and policies." Id. (quoting 102 F.C.C.2d 1179, 1190-91 (1986)). Rather than establish a " 'hierarchy' of felonies that might arise in individual cases," the Commission examines the impact of a conviction on a case-by-case basis. Id.

There is nothing unreasonable about the FCC's conclusion that Schoenbohm's felony conviction was relevant to his license renewal. A conviction for fraudulent conduct plainly calls into question a licensee's ability to act in a manner consonant with FCC regulations. As the Commission observed, fraud "is a subject area the Commission has tradition-

ally considered to be pertinent to its evaluation of a licensee's character." Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038. Schoenbohm argues, however, that the Commission acted arbitrarily in

judging his conviction not just relevant, but sufficient to justify denial, since in other cases it has renewed a license or granted a permit despite an applicant's felony conviction when the applicant showed evidence of rehabilitation. See, e.g., Richard Richards, 10 F.C.C.R. 3950 (Rev. Bd. 1995); Alessandro Broad. Co., 99 F.C.C.2d 1 (Rev. Bd. 1984). According to appellant, he too established his rehabilitation.

The FCC's policy does acknowledge rehabilitation as a mitigating factor in assessing an applicant's character. See Character Policy, 5 F.C.C.R. at 3252 & n.4. Here, however, the FCC found that Schoenbohm demonstrated an absence of rehabilitation by making deliberate misrepresentations and displaying a lack of candor during the renewal proceedings. See Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038. Moreover, because these occurred in the course of the agency's own proceedings, they were a rationale for nonrenewal that went beyond the felony conviction alone. See id. Commission regulations forbid applicants from "mak[ing] any misrepresentation or willful material omission bearing on any matter...." 47 C.F.R. s 1.17; see also 47 U.S.C. s 312(a)(1). A licensee's complete candor is important to the FCC because "effective regulation is premised upon the agency's ability to depend upon the representations made to it by its licensees." Leflore Broad. Co. v. FCC, 636 F.2d 454, 461 (D.C. Cir. 1980); see also Character Policy, 5 F.C.C.R. at 3253. Accordingly, it is well recognized that the Commission may disqualify an applicant who deliberately makes misrepresentations or lacks candor in dealing with the agency. See Swan Creek Communications, Inc. v. FCC, 39 F.3d 1217, 1221-24 (D.C. Cir. 1994); Garden State Broad. Ltd. v. FCC, 996 F.2d 386, 393-94 (D.C. Cir. 1993). The FCC's findings with respect to Schoenbohm's misrepresentations and lack of candor are therefore more than sufficient to distinguish this case from the precedents he cites.

B

Schoenbohm does not dispute that if he had made misrepresentations to the Commission, that fact, together with his fraud conviction, would have justified nonrenewal. Instead, he disputes that he made any misrepresentations. The FCC found to the contrary in connection with two aspects of his presentations to the Commission.

First, the Commission determined that Schoenbohm made misrepresentations and lacked candor in testifying about the nature of his prior felony. As the Third Circuit noted in affirming his conviction, Schoenbohm was found guilty of violating 18 U.S.C. s 1029(a)(1) because he "used a counterfeit access device." United States v. Schoenbohm, No.

93-7516, slip op. at 3 (3d Cir. Apr. 18, 1994) (emphasis added). Schoenbohm effectively conceded this in his first written submission to the Commission, stating that he was "convicted for defrauding a telephone resale service provider by ... making unauthorized long distance calls." Schoenbohm Aff. at 1 (Aug. 8, 1995) (J.A. at 160). In subsequent presentations, however, Schoenbohm described his conviction differently. In a July 18, 1995 affidavit he said:

I did not steal any money or cause the account of any subscriber to be debited. I was convicted solely of having knowledge in my mind of certain telephone codes of which 4 of the 6 digits were said to be similar to those that could be used to make long distance calls without paying for them.

Schoenbohm Aff. at 2 (J.A. at 185) (emphasis added). And in oral testimony before the Commission, he characterized his conviction as based on his possession of "numbers in my mind," rather than on the performance of any unlawful act. Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,031 (quoting Tr. at 38).

On the basis of this evidence, the FCC found that Schoenbohm had intentionally portrayed his crime in a misleading manner in order to minimize its significance. Schoenbohm maintains that he was not attempting to mislead, but rather was simply trying to explain that his crime involved numeric codes rather than mechanical devices. Although that is not

an impossible reading of his testimony, it was certainly reasonable for the FCC to reach a contrary conclusion.

The FCC also found that Schoenbohm misrepresented the facts and lacked candor in connection with an inquiry the ALJ made into whether he had attempted to violate the agency's ex parte rules--by urging others to ask the Virgin Islands' congressional delegate to intervene with the FCC on his behalf.2 The ex parte rules prohibit a party in specified proceedings (including the proceedings at issue here) from making written presentations to the Commission without serving them on the other parties, and from making oral presentations without notice and an opportunity for the others to be present. See 47 C.F.R. ss 1.1202, 1.1208. They also provide that "[n]o person shall solicit or encourage others to make any presentation which he or she is prohibited from making...." Id. s 1.1210.

In a radio conversation with another amateur operator, Schoenbohm was tape recorded as saying:

I'm not allowed under ex-parte rules to ask for assistance of ... people in political positions but other people if

they feel that government is overbearing or I'm being
treated unfairly, have every right to point this out to
their elected representatives.

J.A. at 175. He also told the other operator:

I'm not permitted at this time because of ex-parte rules
to make any requests of political intervention. Other
people could do it if they are so disposed but I can't do it.
Go ahead.

Id. Schoenbohm then spelled out the congressional dele-
gate's name, and provided an address and telephone number
at which he could be reached. Id.

_____
2 This inquiry is distinct from the inquiry Schoenbohm himself requested, which would have investigated whether opponents of his application had engaged in ex parte communications with the ALJ. See discussion infra Part III.

When asked to explain this conversation, Schoenbohm told the ALJ that he intended only to share his newly acquired knowledge regarding the ex parte rules, and that he did not intend to encourage an ex parte solicitation on his behalf. See Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 11 F.C.C.R. 1146, 1148-49 (1996) (initial decision of ALJ). Later, he submitted an affidavit reiterating that he was simply "expounding on [his] newly discovered knowledge of the ex parte rules," and was not asking anyone to make a contact. Schoenbohm Aff. p 8 (Feb. 1997) (J.A. at 191). Once again, although it is not impossible that Schoenbohm intended to do no more than declare his understanding of the law, the record provides substantial evidence to support the FCC's finding that this explanation was less than candid, and that he was in fact trying to solicit others to make ex parte submissions on his behalf.

Schoenbohm further contends that because there is no evidence that any ex parte contacts actually occurred, he "is being punished simply for uttering words to a friend in the course of a private amateur radio conversation." Schoen-bohm Br. at 13-14. This, he argues, violates the First Amendment. He is wrong for two reasons. First, the agen-cy's ex parte rules do not interfere with Schoenbohm's right to discuss the proceedings with others; they merely require that communications with the agency be on the record. As the FCC correctly concluded, "rules intended to protect the integrity of the administrative process by requiring that presentations to the agency be made on the record and that solicitations of such presentations be limited to requests for on-the-record presentations d[o] not violate the First Amend-ment." Reconsideration Order, 13 F.C.C.R. at 23,775; see

California Motor Transp. Co. v. Trucking Unlimited, 404 U.S. 508, 513 (1972) (stating that although the First Amendment protects the right of access to agencies and courts, it does not immunize from proscription "practice[s] which may corrupt the administrative or judicial processes"); cf. National Soc'y of Prof'l Eng'rs v. United States, 435 U.S. 679, 697 (1978) (noting that although "an injunction against price fixing abridges the freedom of businessmen to talk to one another about prices," the First Amendment does not make it impossible to enforce the antitrust laws).

Moreover, and more fundamentally, the FCC did not deny Schoenbohm's renewal application because he violated, or attempted to violate, the ex parte rules. Nor did it do so because of what he said to his fellow radio operator. Rather, the FCC denied the application because Schoenbohm made misleading statements to the agency itself, and it is well established that the First Amendment does not protect misrepresentations made in administrative adjudications. See California Motor Transp., 404 U.S. at 512-13.

For the foregoing reasons, we conclude there was substantial evidence to support the FCC's findings that Schoenbohm made misrepresentations and lacked candor in his testimony regarding both his felony conviction and his efforts to induce ex parte communications with the Commission. We further conclude that the FCC acted reasonably in deciding that Schoenbohm's "lack of candor and misrepresentation along with the felony conviction together" justified nonrenewal of his licenses. Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038.

III

Following the FCC's original decision denying his license renewal on June 29, 1998, Schoenbohm petitioned the agency for reconsideration. In addition to restating his previous arguments, he asked for the first time that the Commission investigate whether amateur operators who disliked him had themselves communicated with the ALJ ex parte, seeking to have his application denied. In support of this request, Schoenbohm stated that on January 17, 1998 he had made a tape recording of a conversation between two amateur operators which, he asserted, indicated that his detractors were making calls to the ALJ. See Pet. for Recons. pp 5, 6 (J.A. at 77).

The FCC rejected Schoenbohm's request for an inquiry on two grounds. First, the agency held it untimely because it was based neither on changed circumstances nor on newly discovered facts. As the FCC noted, Schoenbohm's request

relied on a conversation he had taped more than six months before the Commission's decision on his renewal application. See Reconsideration Order, 13 F.C.C.R. at 23,775. Second, the FCC rejected Schoenbohm's request on the ground that the tape-recorded conversation "d[id] not provide probative evidence that anyone contacted or attempted to contact [the ALJ], let alone that any such contact reached the Judge." Id. at 23,776. Schoenbohm's allegation, the FCC said, was based "solely on hearsay, speculation, and rumor." Id.

In ICC v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers (BLE), the Supreme Court held that an agency's denial of a petition for reconsideration is nonreviewable unless the petition was based on "new evidence or changed circumstances." 482 U.S. at 284. Included within the ambit of nonreviewable decisions were those concerning matters that, even though "newly raised," were "previously available." Id. at 283. The Court treated nonreviewability under such circumstances as jurisdictional, see id. at 287, a point this circuit confirmed in Entravision Holdings, LLC v. FCC, No. 99-1025, slip op. at 4 n.*.

Schoenbohm does not contend that his petition for reconsideration was based on "new evidence" in the sense of evidence not "previously available" to him. To the contrary, it is apparent on the face of the petition that the evidence upon which he relied--the tape recording of an amateur radio transmission--was previously available. As the FCC noted, the petition states that Schoenbohm made the recording on January 17, 1998--six months before the FCC rendered its original decision on his renewal application. Schoenbohm could have, and under FCC rules should have, submitted this evidence prior to that decision.3 He failed to do so, and on

---

3 See 47 C.F.R. s 1.1214 ("Any party to a proceeding ... who has substantial reason to believe that any violation of [the ex parte rules] has been solicited, attempted, or committed shall promptly advise the Office of General Counsel in writing of all the facts and circumstances which are known to him or her."); see also 47 C.F.R. s 1.65(a) ("Each applicant is responsible for the continuing accuracy and completeness of information furnished in a pending application

appeal does not respond to the FCC's contention that this omission deprives us of jurisdiction. This is not a case, therefore, in which nonreviewability means that "petitioner will have been deprived of all opportunity for judicial consideration ... of facts which, through no fault of his own, the original proceeding did not contain." BLE, 482 U.S. at 279. Here, the fault is solely that of petitioner, and because his petition was not based on new evidence or changed circumstances, we are without jurisdiction to review its denial.4

IV

The FCC's decision denying appellant's application for renewal of his amateur radio licenses is affirmed. Insofar as appellant also appeals from the FCC's refusal to reconsider that decision, we dismiss that appeal for lack of jurisdiction. See BLE, 482 U.S. at 284, 287; Entravision Holdings, No. 99-1025, slip op. at 4 n.*.

---

or in Commission proceedings involving a pending application.... Whenever there has been a substantial change as to any other matter which may be of decisional significance in a Commission proceeding involving the pending application, the applicant shall as promptly as possible ... submit a statement furnishing such additional or corrected information as may be appropriate....").

4 In this case, little turns on whether we hold that the court lacks jurisdiction, or whether we consider the issue on the merits. Even if we were to consider the merits, BLE instructs that we must uphold an agency's decision to deny reconsideration unless there was the clearest abuse of discretion. See 482 U.S. at 278; see also Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 311; Beehive Tel. Co. v. FCC, 180 F.3d 314, 319-20 (D.C. Cir. 1999). It would be difficult to find such an abuse in a case like this, where the agency's denial was based both on the unexcused untimeliness of the appellant's submission and on its lack of probative support.

5589. Re: For the "uninformed" liberty nit N2SAG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: watcher@ispy.net
Message-ID: <38bbfa82.6947166@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118222

Timmie the idiot posting from his rent-a-slum posted the following
words of "wisdom":

As usual he is twisting and spinning trying to make villains out of
his enemies.

Tim your time might be better spent rehanging that piece of crap
dipole in your yard it's gonna fall down.

If anyone wants a picture of Tim's rent-a-slum reply to this post and
I'll post a few choice shots of the dump. Sorry no direct email Tim
likes to kill his antagonists, or at least talk about killing them,
you can't be too careful dealing with the mentally unbalanced.

On 28 Feb 2000 06:02:40 GMT, kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) wrote:

>Please note ***section 5*** below. DO NOT move in on existing qso's or
>transmissions again. This should clarify and put to rest your ridiculous claims
>and accusations about CW code practice not being permited. Also note nowhere in
>the section does it state that the code practice session schedule has to be
>"published. I suggest that you get educated in part 97 and STOP interfering
>with the legitimate and "proper" use of the amateur radio frequencies. Compare
>the use (code practice) that you interfered with, to that which the net uses
>the frequency for (broadcasting, complaining, subversion, racial slurs, etc.)
>and then ask yourself, never mind, ask other amateurs which contributes to the
>service / hobby. READ pertinent Part 97 section Below.
>"Authorized Transmissions" part of Part 97
>
>
>[Code of Federal Regulations]
>[Title 47, Volume 5, Parts 80 to end]
>[Revised as of October 1, 1998]
>From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
>[CITE: 47CFR97.111]
>
>[Page 589]
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>
> COMMISSION (CONTINUED)
>
>PART 97--AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE--Table of Contents
>
> Subpart B--Station Operation Standards
>
>Sec. 97.111 Authorized transmissions.

>
> (a) An amateur station may transmit the following types of two-way
>communications:
> (1) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with other stations
>in the amateur service, except those in any country whose administration
>has given notice that it objects to such communications. The FCC will
>issue public notices of current arrangements for international
>communications;
> (2) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a station in
>another FCC-regulated service while providing emergency communications;
> (3) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a United
>States government station, necessary to providing communications in
>RACES; and
> (4) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a station in a
>service not regulated by the FCC, but authorized by the FCC to
>communicate with amateur stations. An amateur station may exchange
>messages with a participating United States military station during an
>Armed Forces Day Communications Test.
> (b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized
>elsewhere in this part, an amateur station may transmit the following
>types of one-way communications:
> (1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the
>station;
> (2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way
>communications with other stations;
> (3) Telecommand;
> (4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
> (5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or
>improving proficiency in, the international Morse code; and
> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.
> (7) Transmissions of telemetry.
>
>[54 FR 25857, June 20, 1989, as amended at 56 FR 56171, Nov. 1, 1991]
>
>
> Check out 3950 Opinions and leave Yours !
> http://www.angelfire.com/ga/3950Opinions
>

5590. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: C W <CW4EVER@penn.com>
Message-ID: <38BC03CC.DB1BACED@penn.com>
Source record: 118223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

POSSUM BELLY ASSHOLE wrote:

> Sorry to burst your bubble but your last statement is totally
> incorrect. Why would we loose the 6, 2 and 440 bands because of the
> code? These bands are for the no-code and when a person does pass
> the code test they move away from the 6, 2 and 440 bands for HF. No
> matter what you do, eliminate the code or pass the code test, you
> will not stay on 6, 2 and 440 but will go directly to HF.

You live in a dream world!!!
6M, 2M and 70CM (what you call 440) is NOT for the no-code
person. As a Extra Class operator I work these bands daily,
along with all other bands and I work them on CW. To say
someone will not stay on 6M, 2M and 70CM and move to HF
after the pass the code test is very narrow minded of you.
But then, getting rid of the code requirement for amateur radio
is very narrow minded and only will destroy our wonderful hobby.
If anything, make the code requirement tougher. Things worthwhile
in life do not come easy...you have to work for it! But the crybabies
out there want a license but do not want to learn the code.
Fine, if you don't want to learn the code...get a CB radio.
Because without CW...it's only CB!

Walt.

5591. Re: O'Brien NOT a good Ham by a long shot.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89h26m$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118224

In article <12748-38BBE1F7-11@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net (ICU812 Enforcer2) wrote:
> kc8jbo???
> what a freakin moron ???gee everyone can see your a MORON...if you
worry
> about everyone but your self ,then you dont look at your own rotten
life
> ..call a Moron an a drunk . non worker , no life , good for nothing
> like your self , WHAT YOU ARE REALLY IN GOOD ..CLEAN OFF YOUR OWN BACK
> PORCH BEFORE YOU WORRY ABOUT SOME ONE ELSE...BUT IF I HAD A MISERABLE
> LIFE LIKE YOURS I WOULD RATHER TALK ABOUT OTHERS ALSO ...LIKE ORIS THE
> GREASEBALL WHITE TRASH AND A TWICE FIRE MORON OF THE FCC ..YOUR IN
GOOD
> COMPANY ...WOULDNT WANT TO TAKE ANY OF YOUR NEIHBORS TO COURT O SE
WHAT
> YOU DONT DO FOR A LIVING ..GET A LIFE ..GEE GOLLY MOLLY ...
>
> I'M never wrong!!!
> Everyone else is !!!
> Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...
>
>

Follow your own advice, O'Bese.
BTW, I get PAID while going to further my education...so keep up your
minimum-wage job to help pay for it......hahahahahahahahahah!

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5592. Re: KC8JBO and his jamming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89h2be$4ff$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118225

In article <89gc3d$k3b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
dtolassi@my-deja.com wrote:
> Roger,KC8JBO has reached a new low in irrational behavior. Last night
> on 14.313 he was babbling as if drunk or under the influence. He had
> better watch out, the powers that be would love to had another notch
in
> the gun. Knock it off Roger. Take up another hobby like tropical fish
> or such.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Really, David the faggot? When was I supposedly doing this? What time?
Explain how I can do what you said and be 15 miles from my home.
Oh, and your new call <bwhahahahahaha!> suits a DOUCHE BAG such as
yourself, Tolassi the faggot.
--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5593. Re: AF2Y: Herbie's gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89h2pg$4r0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118226

In article <89gskb$fg0$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> This just came down today from the Appellate Court which affirmed the
FCC's
> decision to remove KV4FZ from the bands, from his beloved 160 up to
> gigaHertz heaven. Question: does lawyer Colby have the right to a
writ of
> certiori to the Supreme Court? Will it be exercised? Stay tuned folks.
> AF2Y

LOL! ROFLMAO!!!!!! It couldn't happen to a nicer guy....either...LOL
LOL!

>
> United States Court of Appeals
>
> FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT
>
> Argued October 18, 1999 Decided February 29, 2000
>
> No. 98-1516
>
> Herbert L. Schoenbohm,
> Appellant
>
> v.
>
> Federal Communications Commission,
> Appellee
>
> Appeal of Orders of the
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> Lauren A. Colby argued the cause and filed the briefs for
> appellant.
>
> James M. Carr, Counsel, Federal Communications Com-
> mission, argued the cause for appellee. With him on the brief
> were Christopher J. Wright, General Counsel, and Daniel M.
> Armstrong, Associate General Counsel. Pamela L. Smith,
> Counsel, entered an appearance.
>
> Before: Sentelle, Henderson, and Garland, Circuit
> Judges.
>

> Opinion for the Court filed by Circuit Judge Garland.
>
> Garland, Circuit Judge: Appellant Herbert Schoenbohm
> operates an amateur radio station in the U.S. Virgin Islands.
> In 1992, he was convicted of a felony for fraudulently using
> counterfeit access codes to obtain long distance telephone
> services.1 In 1994, shortly before Schoenbohm's amateur
> radio licenses were due to expire, he filed a renewal applica-
> tion with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).
>
> After a series of hearings, an administrative law judge
> (ALJ) denied Schoenbohm's application. On June 29, 1998,
> the Commission affirmed the denial, finding that Schoen-
> bohm's fraud conviction, "in combination with" his misrepre-
> sentations and lack of candor during the renewal proceedings,
> justified nonrenewal. Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 F.C.C.R.
> 15,028, 15,028 (1998) [hereinafter Decision]. Schoenbohm
> filed a petition for reconsideration, reiterating arguments he
> had previously made and asking for the first time that the
> FCC investigate allegations that some of his detractors may
> have had improper ex parte contacts with the ALJ. See J.A.
> at 77. The FCC denied reconsideration, restating its previ-
> ous justifications for nonrenewal and rejecting the request for
> an inquiry into the ex parte allegations. See Herbert L.
> Schoenbohm, 13 F.C.C.R. 23,774, 23,777 (1998) [hereinafter
> Reconsideration Order].
>
> Schoenbohm contends that the denials of both his renewal
> application and his petition for reconsideration were arbitrary
> and capricious agency actions, in violation of the Administra-
>
> _____
> 1 Schoenbohm was found guilty of violating 18 U.S.C.
> s 1029(a)(1), which provides that anyone who "knowingly and with
> intent to defraud produces, uses, or traffics in one or more counter-
> feit access devices" commits a felony. The statute defines "access
> device" as "any card, plate, code, account number, ... or other
> means of account access that can be used ... to obtain money,
> goods, services, or any other thing of value." Id. s 1029(e)(1). An
> access device is "counterfeit" if it is "counterfeit, fictitious,
altered,
> or forged." Id. s 1029(e)(2).
>
> tive Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. s 706(2)(A). We affirm the
> FCC's refusal to renew Schoenbohm's radio licenses and
> conclude that we are without jurisdiction to review the rejec-
> tion of his petition for reconsideration.
>
> I
>

> We begin with the FCC's denial of Schoenbohm's renewal
> application. Before reaching the merits of that decision,
> however, we must resolve a preliminary question of jurisdic-
> tion. The Commission argues that Schoenbohm did not
> appeal from its original decision to deny his renewal applica-
> tion, but only from its order denying his petition to reconsider
> that decision. Denial of a petition for reconsideration, the
> agency correctly notes, is generally nonreviewable unless the
> request for reconsideration was based on new evidence or
> changed circumstances. See ICC v. Brotherhood of Locomo-
> tive Eng'rs, 482 U.S. 270, 279-80 (1987); Southwestern Bell
> Tel. Co. v. FCC, 180 F.3d 307, 311 (D.C. Cir. 1999); see also
> Entravision Holdings, LLC v. FCC, No. 99-1025, slip op. at 4
> & n.* (D.C. Cir. Feb. 11, 2000) (holding that nonreviewability
> in this context means lack of jurisdiction).
>
> It is true that the notice of appeal Schoenbohm filed in this
> court characterizes his appeal as being from the order deny-
> ing the petition for reconsideration. See J.A. at 85. It is also
> true that in Southwestern Bell, where the petition for review
> designated only the reconsideration order, we held both that
> the reconsideration order was nonreviewable and that the
> underlying order was not properly before us. See Southwest-
> ern Bell, 180 F.3d at 313-14. We did not, however, suggest
> that the failure to designate an order in a petition for review
> (or notice of appeal) is always fatal. To the contrary, "we
> said in Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. FCC [that] a party
> may demonstrate its intention to appeal from one order
> despite referring only to a different order in its petition for
> review if the petitioner's intent 'can be fairly inferred' from
> the petition or documents filed more or less contemporane-
> ously with it." Martin v. FERC, 199 F.3d 1370, 1372 (D.C.
>
> Cir. 2000) (quoting Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 313 (quot-
> ing Brookens v. White, 795 F.2d 178, 180 (D.C. Cir. 1986))).
>
> Here, Schoenbohm's intent to appeal from the underlying
> decision (as well as from the denial of reconsideration) is
> fairly inferable from the "concise statement of reasons,"
> required by 47 U.S.C. s 402(c), that he filed together with his
> notice of appeal. That statement expressly lists each of his
> challenges to the underlying decision, including arguments
> that the FCC erred in relying on his criminal conviction and
> in finding that he lacked candor in his testimony before the
> agency. It also separately challenges the agency's refusal to
> investigate his allegations of improper ex parte contacts with
> the ALJ. See J.A. at 85-86. Schoenbohm's ancillary filing
> therefore makes this case like Damsky v. FCC, in which we
> recently inferred an appellant's intent to appeal an underlying
> decision from the fact that her concise statement of reasons

> challenged the substantive merits of that decision. See 199
> F.3d 527, 533 (D.C. Cir. 2000); see also Martin, 199 F.3d at
> 1373 (holding that petitioner's intent to seek review of under-
> lying order was fairly inferable from his contemporaneously
> filed motion for stay). At the same time, it makes this case
> unlike Southwestern Bell, where there was no such challenge
> in any filing "prior to the brief filed in this court." South-
> western Bell, 180 F.3d at 313.
>
> Because Schoenbohm's intent to appeal the FCC's underly-
> ing decision can reasonably be inferred from his concise
> statement of reasons, and because for the same reason the
> FCC "cannot claim that any notice defects surprised or
> misled it with regard to the issues [appellant] intended to
> raise on appeal," we conclude that we have jurisdiction to
> review the FCC's decision to deny renewal of Schoenbohm's
> amateur radio licenses. Damsky, 199 F.3d at 533.
>
> II
>
> We review the merits of the FCC's decision only to deter-
> mine whether the decision was "arbitrary, capricious, an
> abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law,"
> 5 U.S.C. s 706(2)(A). We review the factual findings upon
>
> which the decision was based to ensure that they were
> supported by "substantial evidence," id. s 706(2)(E). See 47
> U.S.C. s 402(g); Damsky, 199 F.3d at 533. "Substantial
> evidence," in turn, is defined as "such relevant evidence as a
> reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a
> conclusion." Consolo v. Federal Maritime Comm'n, 383 U.S.
> 607, 620 (1966) (quoting Consolidated Edison Co. v. NLRB,
> 305 U.S. 197, 229 (1938)). Because this standard is "some-
> thing less than the weight of the evidence, ... the possibility
> of drawing two inconsistent conclusions from the evidence
> does not prevent an administrative agency's finding from
> being supported by substantial evidence." Id.
>
> In the following sections, we apply these principles to each
> of Schoenbohm's challenges to the FCC's refusal to renew his
> radio licenses.
>
> A
>
> Schoenbohm's first contention is that it was arbitrary and
> capricious for the FCC to deny renewal based on his criminal
> conviction. In 1990, the Commission modified its "character"
> policy to provide that "evidence of any conviction for miscon-
> duct constituting a felony will be relevant to our evaluation of
> an applicant's or licensee's character." Policy Regarding

> Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5 F.C.C.R.
> 3252, 3252 (1990) (footnote omitted) [hereinafter Character
> Policy]. Such evidence is relevant, the FCC said, because it
> aids the Commission in ascertaining whether a licensee will
> "deal truthfully with the Commission and ... comply with
> [its] rules and policies." Id. (quoting 102 F.C.C.2d 1179,
> 1190-91 (1986)). Rather than establish a " 'hierarchy' of
> felonies that might arise in individual cases," the Commission
> examines the impact of a conviction on a case-by-case basis.
> Id.
>
> There is nothing unreasonable about the FCC's conclusion
> that Schoenbohm's felony conviction was relevant to his li-
> cense renewal. A conviction for fraudulent conduct plainly
> calls into question a licensee's ability to act in a manner
> consonant with FCC regulations. As the Commission ob-
> served, fraud "is a subject area the Commission has tradition-
>
> ally considered to be pertinent to its evaluation of a licensee's
> character." Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038. Schoenbohm
> argues, however, that the Commission acted arbitrarily in
> judging his conviction not just relevant, but sufficient to
> justify denial, since in other cases it has renewed a license or
> granted a permit despite an applicant's felony conviction
> when the applicant showed evidence of rehabilitation. See,
> e.g., Richard Richards, 10 F.C.C.R. 3950 (Rev. Bd. 1995);
> Alessandro Broad. Co., 99 F.C.C.2d 1 (Rev. Bd. 1984). Ac-
> cording to appellant, he too established his rehabilitation.
>
> The FCC's policy does acknowledge rehabilitation as a
> mitigating factor in assessing an applicant's character. See
> Character Policy, 5 F.C.C.R. at 3252 & n.4. Here, however,
> the FCC found that Schoenbohm demonstrated an absence of
> rehabilitation by making deliberate misrepresentations and
> displaying a lack of candor during the renewal proceedings.
> See Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038. Moreover, because
> these occurred in the course of the agency's own proceedings,
> they were a rationale for nonrenewal that went beyond the
> felony conviction alone. See id. Commission regulations
> forbid applicants from "mak[ing] any misrepresentation or
> willful material omission bearing on any matter...." 47
> C.F.R. s 1.17; see also 47 U.S.C. s 312(a)(1). A licensee's
> complete candor is important to the FCC because "effective
> regulation is premised upon the agency's ability to depend
> upon the representations made to it by its licensees." Leflore
> Broad. Co. v. FCC, 636 F.2d 454, 461 (D.C. Cir. 1980); see
> also Character Policy, 5 F.C.C.R. at 3253. Accordingly, it is
> well recognized that the Commission may disqualify an appli-
> cant who deliberately makes misrepresentations or lacks can-
> dor in dealing with the agency. See Swan Creek Communi-

> cations, Inc. v. FCC, 39 F.3d 1217, 1221-24 (D.C. Cir. 1994);
> Garden State Broad. Ltd. v. FCC, 996 F.2d 386, 393-94 (D.C.
> Cir. 1993). The FCC's findings with respect to Schoenbohm's
> misrepresentations and lack of candor are therefore more
> than sufficient to distinguish this case from the precedents he
> cites.
>
> B
>
> Schoenbohm does not dispute that if he had made misrep-
> resentations to the Commission, that fact, together with his
> fraud conviction, would have justified nonrenewal. Instead,
> he disputes that he made any misrepresentations. The FCC
> found to the contrary in connection with two aspects of his
> presentations to the Commission.
>
> First, the Commission determined that Schoenbohm made
> misrepresentations and lacked candor in testifying about the
> nature of his prior felony. As the Third Circuit noted in
> affirming his conviction, Schoenbohm was found guilty of
> violating 18 U.S.C. s 1029(a)(1) because he "used a counter-
> feit access device." United States v. Schoenbohm, No.
> 93-7516, slip op. at 3 (3d Cir. Apr. 18, 1994) (emphasis added).
> Schoenbohm effectively conceded this in his first written
> submission to the Commission, stating that he was "convicted
> for defrauding a telephone resale service provider by ...
> making unauthorized long distance calls." Schoenbohm Aff.
> at 1 (Aug. 8, 1995) (J.A. at 160). In subsequent presenta-
> tions, however, Schoenbohm described his conviction differ-
> ently. In a July 18, 1995 affidavit he said:
>
> I did not steal any money or cause the account of any
> subscriber to be debited. I was convicted solely of
> having knowledge in my mind of certain telephone codes
> of which 4 of the 6 digits were said to be similar to those
> that could be used to make long distance calls without
> paying for them.
>
> Schoenbohm Aff. at 2 (J.A. at 185) (emphasis added). And in
> oral testimony before the Commission, he characterized his
> conviction as based on his possession of "numbers in my
> mind," rather than on the performance of any unlawful act.
> Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,031 (quoting Tr. at 38).
>
> On the basis of this evidence, the FCC found that Schoen-
> bohm had intentionally portrayed his crime in a misleading
> manner in order to minimize its significance. Schoenbohm
> maintains that he was not attempting to mislead, but rather
> was simply trying to explain that his crime involved numeric
> codes rather than mechanical devices. Although that is not

>
> an impossible reading of his testimony, it was certainly rea-
> sonable for the FCC to reach a contrary conclusion.
>
> The FCC also found that Schoenbohm misrepresented the
> facts and lacked candor in connection with an inquiry the ALJ
> made into whether he had attempted to violate the agency's
> ex parte rules--by urging others to ask the Virgin Islands'
> congressional delegate to intervene with the FCC on his
> behalf.2 The ex parte rules prohibit a party in specified
> proceedings (including the proceedings at issue here) from
> making written presentations to the Commission without
> serving them on the other parties, and from making oral
> presentations without notice and an opportunity for the oth-
> ers to be present. See 47 C.F.R. ss 1.1202, 1.1208. They
> also provide that "[n]o person shall solicit or encourage others
> to make any presentation which he or she is prohibited from
> making...." Id. s 1.1210.
>
> In a radio conversation with another amateur operator,
> Schoenbohm was tape recorded as saying:
>
> I'm not allowed under ex-parte rules to ask for assistance
> of ... people in political positions but other people if
> they feel that government is overbearing or I'm being
> treated unfairly, have every right to point this out to
> their elected representatives.
>
> J.A. at 175. He also told the other operator:
>
> I'm not permitted at this time because of ex-parte rules
> to make any requests of political intervention. Other
> people could do it if they are so disposed but I can't do it.
> Go ahead.
>
> Id. Schoenbohm then spelled out the congressional dele-
> gate's name, and provided an address and telephone number
> at which he could be reached. Id.
>
> _____
> 2 This inquiry is distinct from the inquiry Schoenbohm himself
> requested, which would have investigated whether opponents of his
> application had engaged in ex parte communications with the ALJ.
> See discussion infra Part III.
>
> When asked to explain this conversation, Schoenbohm told
> the ALJ that he intended only to share his newly acquired
> knowledge regarding the ex parte rules, and that he did not
> intend to encourage an ex parte solicitation on his behalf.
> See Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 11 F.C.C.R. 1146, 1148-49 (1996)

> (initial decision of ALJ). Later, he submitted an affidavit
> reiterating that he was simply "expounding on [his] newly
> discovered knowledge of the ex parte rules," and was not
> asking anyone to make a contact. Schoenbohm Aff. p 8 (Feb.
> 1997) (J.A. at 191). Once again, although it is not impossible
> that Schoenbohm intended to do no more than declare his
> understanding of the law, the record provides substantial
> evidence to support the FCC's finding that this explanation
> was less than candid, and that he was in fact trying to solicit
> others to make ex parte submissions on his behalf.
>
> Schoenbohm further contends that because there is no
> evidence that any ex parte contacts actually occurred, he "is
> being punished simply for uttering words to a friend in the
> course of a private amateur radio conversation." Schoen-
> bohm Br. at 13-14. This, he argues, violates the First
> Amendment. He is wrong for two reasons. First, the agen-
> cy's ex parte rules do not interfere with Schoenbohm's right
> to discuss the proceedings with others; they merely require
> that communications with the agency be on the record. As
> the FCC correctly concluded, "rules intended to protect the
> integrity of the administrative process by requiring that
> presentations to the agency be made on the record and that
> solicitations of such presentations be limited to requests for
> on-the-record presentations d[o] not violate the First Amend-
> ment." Reconsideration Order, 13 F.C.C.R. at 23,775; see
> California Motor Transp. Co. v. Trucking Unlimited, 404
> U.S. 508, 513 (1972) (stating that although the First Amend-
> ment protects the right of access to agencies and courts, it
> does not immunize from proscription "practice[s] which may
> corrupt the administrative or judicial processes"); cf. Nation-
> al Soc'y of Prof'l Eng'rs v. United States, 435 U.S. 679, 697
> (1978) (noting that although "an injunction against price
> fixing abridges the freedom of businessmen to talk to one
>
> another about prices," the First Amendment does not make it
> impossible to enforce the antitrust laws).
>
> Moreover, and more fundamentally, the FCC did not deny
> Schoenbohm's renewal application because he violated, or
> attempted to violate, the ex parte rules. Nor did it do so
> because of what he said to his fellow radio operator. Rather,
> the FCC denied the application because Schoenbohm made
> misleading statements to the agency itself, and it is well
> established that the First Amendment does not protect mis-
> representations made in administrative adjudications. See
> California Motor Transp., 404 U.S. at 512-13.
>
> For the foregoing reasons, we conclude there was substan-
> tial evidence to support the FCC's findings that Schoenbohm

> made misrepresentations and lacked candor in his testimony
> regarding both his felony conviction and his efforts to induce
> ex parte communications with the Commission. We further
> conclude that the FCC acted reasonably in deciding that
> Schoenbohm's "lack of candor and misrepresentation along
> with the felony conviction together" justified nonrenewal of
> his licenses. Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038.
>
> III
>
> Following the FCC's original decision denying his license
> renewal on June 29, 1998, Schoenbohm petitioned the agency
> for reconsideration. In addition to restating his previous
> arguments, he asked for the first time that the Commission
> investigate whether amateur operators who disliked him had
> themselves communicated with the ALJ ex parte, seeking to
> have his application denied. In support of this request,
> Schoenbohm stated that on January 17, 1998 he had made a
> tape recording of a conversation between two amateur opera-
> tors which, he asserted, indicated that his detractors were
> making calls to the ALJ. See Pet. for Recons. pp 5, 6 (J.A. at
> 77).
>
> The FCC rejected Schoenbohm's request for an inquiry on
> two grounds. First, the agency held it untimely because it
> was based neither on changed circumstances nor on newly
> discovered facts. As the FCC noted, Schoenbohm's request
>
> relied on a conversation he had taped more than six months
> before the Commission's decision on his renewal application.
> See Reconsideration Order, 13 F.C.C.R. at 23,775. Second,
> the FCC rejected Schoenbohm's request on the ground that
> the tape-recorded conversation "d[id] not provide probative
> evidence that anyone contacted or attempted to contact [the
> ALJ], let alone that any such contact reached the Judge."
> Id. at 23,776. Schoenbohm's allegation, the FCC said, was
> based "solely on hearsay, speculation, and rumor." Id.
>
> In ICC v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers (BLE), the
> Supreme Court held that an agency's denial of a petition for
> reconsideration is nonreviewable unless the petition was
> based on "new evidence or changed circumstances." 482 U.S.
> at 284. Included within the ambit of nonreviewable decisions
> were those concerning matters that, even though "newly
> raised," were "previously available." Id. at 283. The Court
> treated nonreviewability under such circumstances as juris-
> dictional, see id. at 287, a point this circuit confirmed in
> Entravision Holdings, LLC v. FCC, No. 99-1025, slip op. at 4
> n.*.
>

> Schoenbohm does not contend that his petition for recon-
> sideration was based on "new evidence" in the sense of
> evidence not "previously available" to him. To the contrary,
> it is apparent on the face of the petition that the evidence
> upon which he relied--the tape recording of an amateur radio
> transmission--was previously available. As the FCC noted,
> the petition states that Schoenbohm made the recording on
> January 17, 1998--six months before the FCC rendered its
> original decision on his renewal application. Schoenbohm
> could have, and under FCC rules should have, submitted this
> evidence prior to that decision.3 He failed to do so, and on
>
> _____
> 3 See 47 C.F.R. s 1.1214 ("Any party to a proceeding ... who has
> substantial reason to believe that any violation of [the ex parte
> rules] has been solicited, attempted, or committed shall promptly
> advise the Office of General Counsel in writing of all the facts and
> circumstances which are known to him or her."); see also 47 C.F.R.
> s 1.65(a) ("Each applicant is responsible for the continuing accuracy
> and completeness of information furnished in a pending application
>
> appeal does not respond to the FCC's contention that this
> omission deprives us of jurisdiction. This is not a case,
> therefore, in which nonreviewability means that "petitioner
> will have been deprived of all opportunity for judicial consid-
> eration ... of facts which, through no fault of his own, the
> original proceeding did not contain." BLE, 482 U.S. at 279.
> Here, the fault is solely that of petitioner, and because his
> petition was not based on new evidence or changed circum-
> stances, we are without jurisdiction to review its denial.4
>
> IV
>
> The FCC's decision denying appellant's application for
> renewal of his amateur radio licenses is affirmed. Insofar as
> appellant also appeals from the FCC's refusal to reconsider
> that decision, we dismiss that appeal for lack of jurisdiction.
> See BLE, 482 U.S. at 284, 287; Entravision Holdings, No.
> 99-1025, slip op. at 4 n.*.
>
> _____
> or in Commission proceedings involving a pending application....
> Whenever there has been a substantial change as to any other
> matter which may be of decisional significance in a Commission
> proceeding involving the pending application, the applicant shall as
> promptly as possible ... submit a statement furnishing such
> additional or corrected information as may be appropriate....").
>
> 4 In this case, little turns on whether we hold that the court
lacks

> jurisdiction, or whether we consider the issue on the merits. Even
> if we were to consider the merits, BLE instructs that we must
> uphold an agency's decision to deny reconsideration unless there
> was the clearest abuse of discretion. See 482 U.S. at 278; see also
> Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 311; Beehive Tel. Co. v. FCC, 180
> F.3d 314, 319-20 (D.C. Cir. 1999). It would be difficult to find such
> an abuse in a case like this, where the agency's denial was based
> both on the unexcused untimeliness of the appellant's submission
> and on its lack of probative support.
>
>

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5594. Re: KC8JBO & sick Mother

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <89h30e$4u2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118227

In article <12748-38BBE6AF-17@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
GeeGollyMollyOh@webtv.net (ICU812 Enforcer2) wrote:
> GEE ROG
> YOUR A SKINNY , GOOD FOR NOTHING LOSER ,GET A JOB . GET YOUR OWN
PLACE
> STAY ON YOUR OWN TWO SHAKING DRUNKEN FEET FOR ONCE IN YOUR LIFE...
>
> I'M never wrong!!!
> Everyone else is !!!
> Gee its so great to be right ,try it some time...
>
>

Gee dickhead, 1. I don't drink, 2. I have a place 3. I have a job,
you're just to fucking stupid to figure it out, 4. follow your own
advice, anonymous coward 5. fuck off 6. fuck off and die, motherfucka
7. quit having sex with YOUR grandmother and her dog 8. what you say
has no credibility because you lack the courage to put a name and
callsign behind it.

--
73 de KC8JBO|Roger
EM99 |ARRL|VE|ARES/RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5595. Re: Icom IC-735 IF filter problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul <apollo_six@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <Vw214OAnwAv4EwgR@btinternet.com>
Source record: 118228
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.misc

Might it be that your rig needs nothing more than re-alignment?

In article <20000228.2225.366snz@amdavies.demon.co.uk>, Martin Davies
<g0hdb@amdavies.demon.co.uk> writes
>Over the weekend I detected a possible problem with my Icom
>IC-735 HF rig. I noticed while receiving with the rig set to
>the 'CW' mode that when I switched from the narrow (500Hz) IF
>filter to the standard 2.4kHz 'wide' filter, which is also used
>when receiving SSB, the received signal level seemed to fall by
>about 20dB.
.............................CUT.........................
>Has anyone else ever experienced a problem like that described
>above, on either an Icom or any other make of rig?
>
>73
Paul
--

5596. Relay help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bc10 <BC10@le.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <38BC7E89.12D9@le.ac.uk>
Source record: 118229

Hi
I have a design problem in which I want to trip a 24V relay from a 6V
battery (Ive heard all the jokes about buying a 6V relay...)
Some guy said he remembered an article in a radio ham mag which did just
this for a coax relay. Can anyone help?

Ta, Bruce

5597. Pickup antenna location??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dongilbert@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89h5k1$708$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118230

Where is a good place that does not look too bad to mount an antenna on an 89 ford truck?

Also, does anyone have recommendations on a two meter radio and antenna?

I just received my tech license.

I live in the country with lots of hills and limited repeatrer coverage

Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5598. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89h51t$sq3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118231

LCC <hass@aznet.net> wrote:
>Hate to spoil you, but Japan has a codeless HF license.

They call it their "Fourth Class License." Power is limited to 10 watts (less than what our CBers can legally use). The frequencies available to the licensee are also limited.

Jeff

5599. Re: FCC action: Herb is gone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <89h5qb$t7b$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 118232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I hope that the folks who complain about the FCC's apparent lack
of enforcement read what follows and realize just how complex our
system of law can be. It's no easy task to take legal action against
an individual.

Jeff

> United States Court of Appeals
>
> FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT
>
> Argued October 18, 1999 Decided February 29, 2000
>
> No. 98-1516
>
> Herbert L. Schoenbohm,
> Appellant
>
> v.
>
> Federal Communications Commission,
> Appellee
>
> Appeal of Orders of the
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> Lauren A. Colby argued the cause and filed the briefs for
>appellant.
>
> James M. Carr, Counsel, Federal Communications Com-
>mission, argued the cause for appellee. With him on the brief
>were Christopher J. Wright, General Counsel, and Daniel M.
>Armstrong, Associate General Counsel. Pamela L. Smith,
>Counsel, entered an appearance.
>
>
> Before: Sentelle, Henderson, and Garland, Circuit
>Judges.
>
> Opinion for the Court filed by Circuit Judge Garland.
>
> Garland, Circuit Judge: Appellant Herbert Schoenbohm
>operates an amateur radio station in the U.S. Virgin Islands.
>In 1992, he was convicted of a felony for fraudulently using

>counterfeit access codes to obtain long distance telephone
>services.1 In 1994, shortly before Schoenbohm's amateur
>radio licenses were due to expire, he filed a renewal applica-
>tion with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).
>
> After a series of hearings, an administrative law judge
>(ALJ) denied Schoenbohm's application. On June 29, 1998,
>the Commission affirmed the denial, finding that Schoen-
>bohm's fraud conviction, "in combination with" his misrepre-
>sentations and lack of candor during the renewal proceedings,
>justified nonrenewal. Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 13 F.C.C.R.
>15,028, 15,028 (1998) [hereinafter Decision]. Schoenbohm
>filed a petition for reconsideration, reiterating arguments he
>had previously made and asking for the first time that the
>FCC investigate allegations that some of his detractors may
>have had improper ex parte contacts with the ALJ. See J.A.
>at 77. The FCC denied reconsideration, restating its previ-
>ous justifications for nonrenewal and rejecting the request for
>an inquiry into the ex parte allegations. See Herbert L.
>Schoenbohm, 13 F.C.C.R. 23,774, 23,777 (1998) [hereinafter
>Reconsideration Order].
>
> Schoenbohm contends that the denials of both his renewal
>application and his petition for reconsideration were arbitrary
>and capricious agency actions, in violation of the Administra-
>
>_____
> 1 Schoenbohm was found guilty of violating 18 U.S.C.
>s 1029(a)(1), which provides that anyone who "knowingly and with
>intent to defraud produces, uses, or traffics in one or more counter-
>feit access devices" commits a felony. The statute defines "access
>device" as "any card, plate, code, account number, ... or other
>means of account access that can be used ... to obtain money,
>goods, services, or any other thing of value." Id. s 1029(e)(1). An
>access device is "counterfeit" if it is "counterfeit, fictitious, altered,
>or forged." Id. s 1029(e)(2).
>
>tive Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. s 706(2)(A). We affirm the
>FCC's refusal to renew Schoenbohm's radio licenses and
>conclude that we are without jurisdiction to review the rejec-
>tion of his petition for reconsideration.
>
> I
>
> We begin with the FCC's denial of Schoenbohm's renewal
>application. Before reaching the merits of that decision,
>however, we must resolve a preliminary question of jurisdic-
>tion. The Commission argues that Schoenbohm did not
>appeal from its original decision to deny his renewal applica-
>tion, but only from its order denying his petition to reconsider

>that decision. Denial of a petition for reconsideration, the
>agency correctly notes, is generally nonreviewable unless the
>request for reconsideration was based on new evidence or
>changed circumstances. See ICC v. Brotherhood of Locomo-
>tive Eng'rs, 482 U.S. 270, 279-80 (1987); Southwestern Bell
>Tel. Co. v. FCC, 180 F.3d 307, 311 (D.C. Cir. 1999); see also
>Entravision Holdings, LLC v. FCC, No. 99-1025, slip op. at 4
>& n.* (D.C. Cir. Feb. 11, 2000) (holding that nonreviewability
>in this context means lack of jurisdiction).
>
> It is true that the notice of appeal Schoenbohm filed in this
>court characterizes his appeal as being from the order deny-
>ing the petition for reconsideration. See J.A. at 85. It is also
>true that in Southwestern Bell, where the petition for review
>designated only the reconsideration order, we held both that
>the reconsideration order was nonreviewable and that the
>underlying order was not properly before us. See Southwest-
>ern Bell, 180 F.3d at 313-14. We did not, however, suggest
>that the failure to designate an order in a petition for review
>(or notice of appeal) is always fatal. To the contrary, "we
>said in Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. FCC [that] a party
>may demonstrate its intention to appeal from one order
>despite referring only to a different order in its petition for
>review if the petitioner's intent 'can be fairly inferred' from
>the petition or documents filed more or less contemporane-
>ously with it." Martin v. FERC, 199 F.3d 1370, 1372 (D.C.
>
>
>Cir. 2000) (quoting Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 313 (quot-
>ing Brookens v. White, 795 F.2d 178, 180 (D.C. Cir. 1986))).
>
> Here, Schoenbohm's intent to appeal from the underlying
>decision (as well as from the denial of reconsideration) is
>fairly inferable from the "concise statement of reasons,"
>required by 47 U.S.C. s 402(c), that he filed together with his
>notice of appeal. That statement expressly lists each of his
>challenges to the underlying decision, including arguments
>that the FCC erred in relying on his criminal conviction and
>in finding that he lacked candor in his testimony before the
>agency. It also separately challenges the agency's refusal to
>investigate his allegations of improper ex parte contacts with
>the ALJ. See J.A. at 85-86. Schoenbohm's ancillary filing
>therefore makes this case like Damsky v. FCC, in which we
>recently inferred an appellant's intent to appeal an underlying
>decision from the fact that her concise statement of reasons
>challenged the substantive merits of that decision. See 199
>F.3d 527, 533 (D.C. Cir. 2000); see also Martin, 199 F.3d at
>1373 (holding that petitioner's intent to seek review of under-
>lying order was fairly inferable from his contemporaneously
>filed motion for stay). At the same time, it makes this case

>unlike Southwestern Bell, where there was no such challenge
>in any filing "prior to the brief filed in this court." South-
>western Bell, 180 F.3d at 313.
>
> Because Schoenbohm's intent to appeal the FCC's underly-
>ing decision can reasonably be inferred from his concise
>statement of reasons, and because for the same reason the
>FCC "cannot claim that any notice defects surprised or
>misled it with regard to the issues [appellant] intended to
>raise on appeal," we conclude that we have jurisdiction to
>review the FCC's decision to deny renewal of Schoenbohm's
>amateur radio licenses. Damsky, 199 F.3d at 533.
>
> II
>
> We review the merits of the FCC's decision only to deter-
>mine whether the decision was "arbitrary, capricious, an
>abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law,"
>5 U.S.C. s 706(2)(A). We review the factual findings upon
>
>
>which the decision was based to ensure that they were
>supported by "substantial evidence," id. s 706(2)(E). See 47
>U.S.C. s 402(g); Damsky, 199 F.3d at 533. "Substantial
>evidence," in turn, is defined as "such relevant evidence as a
>reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a
>conclusion." Consolo v. Federal Maritime Comm'n, 383 U.S.
>607, 620 (1966) (quoting Consolidated Edison Co. v. NLRB,
>305 U.S. 197, 229 (1938)). Because this standard is "some-
>thing less than the weight of the evidence, ... the possibility
>of drawing two inconsistent conclusions from the evidence
>does not prevent an administrative agency's finding from
>being supported by substantial evidence." Id.
>
> In the following sections, we apply these principles to each
>of Schoenbohm's challenges to the FCC's refusal to renew his
>radio licenses.
>
> A
>
> Schoenbohm's first contention is that it was arbitrary and
>capricious for the FCC to deny renewal based on his criminal
>conviction. In 1990, the Commission modified its "character"
>policy to provide that "evidence of any conviction for miscon-
>duct constituting a felony will be relevant to our evaluation of
>an applicant's or licensee's character." Policy Regarding
>Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, 5 F.C.C.R.
>3252, 3252 (1990) (footnote omitted) [hereinafter Character
>Policy]. Such evidence is relevant, the FCC said, because it
>aids the Commission in ascertaining whether a licensee will

>"deal truthfully with the Commission and ... comply with
>[its] rules and policies." Id. (quoting 102 F.C.C.2d 1179,
>1190-91 (1986)). Rather than establish a " 'hierarchy' of
>felonies that might arise in individual cases," the Commission
>examines the impact of a conviction on a case-by-case basis.
>Id.
>
> There is nothing unreasonable about the FCC's conclusion
>that Schoenbohm's felony conviction was relevant to his li-
>cense renewal. A conviction for fraudulent conduct plainly
>calls into question a licensee's ability to act in a manner
>consonant with FCC regulations. As the Commission ob-
>served, fraud "is a subject area the Commission has tradition-
>
>
>ally considered to be pertinent to its evaluation of a licensee's
>character." Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038. Schoenbohm
>argues, however, that the Commission acted arbitrarily in
>judging his conviction not just relevant, but sufficient to
>justify denial, since in other cases it has renewed a license or
>granted a permit despite an applicant's felony conviction
>when the applicant showed evidence of rehabilitation. See,
>e.g., Richard Richards, 10 F.C.C.R. 3950 (Rev. Bd. 1995);
>Alessandro Broad. Co., 99 F.C.C.2d 1 (Rev. Bd. 1984). Ac-
>cording to appellant, he too established his rehabilitation.
>
> The FCC's policy does acknowledge rehabilitation as a
>mitigating factor in assessing an applicant's character. See
>Character Policy, 5 F.C.C.R. at 3252 & n.4. Here, however,
>the FCC found that Schoenbohm demonstrated an absence of
>rehabilitation by making deliberate misrepresentations and
>displaying a lack of candor during the renewal proceedings.
>See Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038. Moreover, because
>these occurred in the course of the agency's own proceedings,
>they were a rationale for nonrenewal that went beyond the
>felony conviction alone. See id. Commission regulations
>forbid applicants from "mak[ing] any misrepresentation or
>willful material omission bearing on any matter...." 47
>C.F.R. s 1.17; see also 47 U.S.C. s 312(a)(1). A licensee's
>complete candor is important to the FCC because "effective
>regulation is premised upon the agency's ability to depend
>upon the representations made to it by its licensees." Leflore
>Broad. Co. v. FCC, 636 F.2d 454, 461 (D.C. Cir. 1980); see
>also Character Policy, 5 F.C.C.R. at 3253. Accordingly, it is
>well recognized that the Commission may disqualify an appli-
>cant who deliberately makes misrepresentations or lacks can-
>dor in dealing with the agency. See Swan Creek Communi-
>cations, Inc. v. FCC, 39 F.3d 1217, 1221-24 (D.C. Cir. 1994);
>Garden State Broad. Ltd. v. FCC, 996 F.2d 386, 393-94 (D.C.
>Cir. 1993). The FCC's findings with respect to Schoenbohm's

>misrepresentations and lack of candor are therefore more
>than sufficient to distinguish this case from the precedents he
>cites.
>
>
> B
>
> Schoenbohm does not dispute that if he had made misrep-
>resentations to the Commission, that fact, together with his
>fraud conviction, would have justified nonrenewal. Instead,
>he disputes that he made any misrepresentations. The FCC
>found to the contrary in connection with two aspects of his
>presentations to the Commission.
>
> First, the Commission determined that Schoenbohm made
>misrepresentations and lacked candor in testifying about the
>nature of his prior felony. As the Third Circuit noted in
>affirming his conviction, Schoenbohm was found guilty of
>violating 18 U.S.C. s 1029(a)(1) because he "used a counter-
>feit access device." United States v. Schoenbohm, No.
>93-7516, slip op. at 3 (3d Cir. Apr. 18, 1994) (emphasis added).
>Schoenbohm effectively conceded this in his first written
>submission to the Commission, stating that he was "convicted
>for defrauding a telephone resale service provider by ...
>making unauthorized long distance calls." Schoenbohm Aff.
>at 1 (Aug. 8, 1995) (J.A. at 160). In subsequent presenta-
>tions, however, Schoenbohm described his conviction differ-
>ently. In a July 18, 1995 affidavit he said:
>
> I did not steal any money or cause the account of any
> subscriber to be debited. I was convicted solely of
> having knowledge in my mind of certain telephone codes
> of which 4 of the 6 digits were said to be similar to those
> that could be used to make long distance calls without
> paying for them.
>
>Schoenbohm Aff. at 2 (J.A. at 185) (emphasis added). And in
>oral testimony before the Commission, he characterized his
>conviction as based on his possession of "numbers in my
>mind," rather than on the performance of any unlawful act.
>Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,031 (quoting Tr. at 38).
>
> On the basis of this evidence, the FCC found that Schoen-
>bohm had intentionally portrayed his crime in a misleading
>manner in order to minimize its significance. Schoenbohm
>maintains that he was not attempting to mislead, but rather
>was simply trying to explain that his crime involved numeric
>codes rather than mechanical devices. Although that is not
>
>

>an impossible reading of his testimony, it was certainly rea-
>sonable for the FCC to reach a contrary conclusion.
>
> The FCC also found that Schoenbohm misrepresented the
>facts and lacked candor in connection with an inquiry the ALJ
>made into whether he had attempted to violate the agency's
>ex parte rules--by urging others to ask the Virgin Islands'
>congressional delegate to intervene with the FCC on his
>behalf.2 The ex parte rules prohibit a party in specified
>proceedings (including the proceedings at issue here) from
>making written presentations to the Commission without
>serving them on the other parties, and from making oral
>presentations without notice and an opportunity for the oth-
>ers to be present. See 47 C.F.R. ss 1.1202, 1.1208. They
>also provide that "[n]o person shall solicit or encourage others
>to make any presentation which he or she is prohibited from
>making...." Id. s 1.1210.
>
> In a radio conversation with another amateur operator,
>Schoenbohm was tape recorded as saying:
>
> I'm not allowed under ex-parte rules to ask for assistance
> of ... people in political positions but other people if
> they feel that government is overbearing or I'm being
> treated unfairly, have every right to point this out to
> their elected representatives.
>
>J.A. at 175. He also told the other operator:
>
> I'm not permitted at this time because of ex-parte rules
> to make any requests of political intervention. Other
> people could do it if they are so disposed but I can't do it.
> Go ahead.
>
>Id. Schoenbohm then spelled out the congressional dele-
>gate's name, and provided an address and telephone number
>at which he could be reached. Id.
>
>_____
> 2 This inquiry is distinct from the inquiry Schoenbohm himself
>requested, which would have investigated whether opponents of his
>application had engaged in ex parte communications with the ALJ.
>See discussion infra Part III.
>
>
> When asked to explain this conversation, Schoenbohm told
>the ALJ that he intended only to share his newly acquired
>knowledge regarding the ex parte rules, and that he did not
>intend to encourage an ex parte solicitation on his behalf.
>See Herbert L. Schoenbohm, 11 F.C.C.R. 1146, 1148-49 (1996)

>(initial decision of ALJ). Later, he submitted an affidavit
>reiterating that he was simply "expounding on [his] newly
>discovered knowledge of the ex parte rules," and was not
>asking anyone to make a contact. Schoenbohm Aff. p 8 (Feb.
>1997) (J.A. at 191). Once again, although it is not impossible
>that Schoenbohm intended to do no more than declare his
>understanding of the law, the record provides substantial
>evidence to support the FCC's finding that this explanation
>was less than candid, and that he was in fact trying to solicit
>others to make ex parte submissions on his behalf.
>
> Schoenbohm further contends that because there is no
>evidence that any ex parte contacts actually occurred, he "is
>being punished simply for uttering words to a friend in the
>course of a private amateur radio conversation." Schoen-
>bohm Br. at 13-14. This, he argues, violates the First
>Amendment. He is wrong for two reasons. First, the agen-
>cy's ex parte rules do not interfere with Schoenbohm's right
>to discuss the proceedings with others; they merely require
>that communications with the agency be on the record. As
>the FCC correctly concluded, "rules intended to protect the
>integrity of the administrative process by requiring that
>presentations to the agency be made on the record and that
>solicitations of such presentations be limited to requests for
>on-the-record presentations d[o] not violate the First Amend-
>ment." Reconsideration Order, 13 F.C.C.R. at 23,775; see
>California Motor Transp. Co. v. Trucking Unlimited, 404
>U.S. 508, 513 (1972) (stating that although the First Amend-
>ment protects the right of access to agencies and courts, it
>does not immunize from proscription "practice[s] which may
>corrupt the administrative or judicial processes"); cf. Nation-
>al Soc'y of Prof'l Eng'rs v. United States, 435 U.S. 679, 697
>(1978) (noting that although "an injunction against price
>fixing abridges the freedom of businessmen to talk to one
>
>
>another about prices," the First Amendment does not make it
>impossible to enforce the antitrust laws).
>
> Moreover, and more fundamentally, the FCC did not deny
>Schoenbohm's renewal application because he violated, or
>attempted to violate, the ex parte rules. Nor did it do so
>because of what he said to his fellow radio operator. Rather,
>the FCC denied the application because Schoenbohm made
>misleading statements to the agency itself, and it is well
>established that the First Amendment does not protect mis-
>representations made in administrative adjudications. See
>California Motor Transp., 404 U.S. at 512-13.
>
> For the foregoing reasons, we conclude there was substan-

>tial evidence to support the FCC's findings that Schoenbohm
>made misrepresentations and lacked candor in his testimony
>regarding both his felony conviction and his efforts to induce
>ex parte communications with the Commission. We further
>conclude that the FCC acted reasonably in deciding that
>Schoenbohm's "lack of candor and misrepresentation along
>with the felony conviction together" justified nonrenewal of
>his licenses. Decision, 13 F.C.C.R. at 15,038.
>
> III
>
> Following the FCC's original decision denying his license
>renewal on June 29, 1998, Schoenbohm petitioned the agency
>for reconsideration. In addition to restating his previous
>arguments, he asked for the first time that the Commission
>investigate whether amateur operators who disliked him had
>themselves communicated with the ALJ ex parte, seeking to
>have his application denied. In support of this request,
>Schoenbohm stated that on January 17, 1998 he had made a
>tape recording of a conversation between two amateur opera-
>tors which, he asserted, indicated that his detractors were
>making calls to the ALJ. See Pet. for Recons. pp 5, 6 (J.A. at
>77).
>
> The FCC rejected Schoenbohm's request for an inquiry on
>two grounds. First, the agency held it untimely because it
>was based neither on changed circumstances nor on newly
>discovered facts. As the FCC noted, Schoenbohm's request
>
>
>relied on a conversation he had taped more than six months
>before the Commission's decision on his renewal application.
>See Reconsideration Order, 13 F.C.C.R. at 23,775. Second,
>the FCC rejected Schoenbohm's request on the ground that
>the tape-recorded conversation "d[id] not provide probative
>evidence that anyone contacted or attempted to contact [the
>ALJ], let alone that any such contact reached the Judge."
>Id. at 23,776. Schoenbohm's allegation, the FCC said, was
>based "solely on hearsay, speculation, and rumor." Id.
>
> In ICC v. Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers (BLE), the
>Supreme Court held that an agency's denial of a petition for
>reconsideration is nonreviewable unless the petition was
>based on "new evidence or changed circumstances." 482 U.S.
>at 284. Included within the ambit of nonreviewable decisions
>were those concerning matters that, even though "newly
>raised," were "previously available." Id. at 283. The Court
>treated nonreviewability under such circumstances as juris-
>dictional, see id. at 287, a point this circuit confirmed in
>Entravision Holdings, LLC v. FCC, No. 99-1025, slip op. at 4

>n.*.
>
> Schoenbohm does not contend that his petition for recon-
>sideration was based on "new evidence" in the sense of
>evidence not "previously available" to him. To the contrary,
>it is apparent on the face of the petition that the evidence
>upon which he relied--the tape recording of an amateur radio
>transmission--was previously available. As the FCC noted,
>the petition states that Schoenbohm made the recording on
>January 17, 1998--six months before the FCC rendered its
>original decision on his renewal application. Schoenbohm
>could have, and under FCC rules should have, submitted this
>evidence prior to that decision.3 He failed to do so, and on
>
>_____
> 3 See 47 C.F.R. s 1.1214 ("Any party to a proceeding ... who has
>substantial reason to believe that any violation of [the ex parte
>rules] has been solicited, attempted, or committed shall promptly
>advise the Office of General Counsel in writing of all the facts and
>circumstances which are known to him or her."); see also 47 C.F.R.
>s 1.65(a) ("Each applicant is responsible for the continuing accuracy
>and completeness of information furnished in a pending application
>
>
>appeal does not respond to the FCC's contention that this
>omission deprives us of jurisdiction. This is not a case,
>therefore, in which nonreviewability means that "petitioner
>will have been deprived of all opportunity for judicial consid-
>eration ... of facts which, through no fault of his own, the
>original proceeding did not contain." BLE, 482 U.S. at 279.
>Here, the fault is solely that of petitioner, and because his
>petition was not based on new evidence or changed circum-
>stances, we are without jurisdiction to review its denial.4
>
> IV
>
> The FCC's decision denying appellant's application for
>renewal of his amateur radio licenses is affirmed. Insofar as
>appellant also appeals from the FCC's refusal to reconsider
>that decision, we dismiss that appeal for lack of jurisdiction.
>See BLE, 482 U.S. at 284, 287; Entravision Holdings, No.
>99-1025, slip op. at 4 n.*.
>
>_____
>or in Commission proceedings involving a pending application....
>Whenever there has been a substantial change as to any other
>matter which may be of decisional significance in a Commission
>proceeding involving the pending application, the applicant shall as
>promptly as possible ... submit a statement furnishing such
>additional or corrected information as may be appropriate....").

>
> 4 In this case, little turns on whether we hold that the court lacks
>jurisdiction, or whether we consider the issue on the merits. Even
>if we were to consider the merits, BLE instructs that we must
>uphold an agency's decision to deny reconsideration unless there
>was the clearest abuse of discretion. See 482 U.S. at 278; see also
>Southwestern Bell, 180 F.3d at 311; Beehive Tel. Co. v. FCC, 180
>F.3d 314, 319-20 (D.C. Cir. 1999). It would be difficult to find such
>an abuse in a case like this, where the agency's denial was based
>both on the unexcused untimeliness of the appellant's submission
>and on its lack of probative support.
>
>
>

5600. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA>
Message-ID: <38BC1C9C.9401EAB4@SYMPATICO.CA>
Source record: 118233

I don't know about that but if you say so i don't see why you would lie about that but still phone had change a lot and not CW and with all the technologie for telecommunication that we have come up with, i still don't understand why didn't we droped what i call the great great great great grand daddy of telecomunications. I know some are fond of the CW and i respect that, but to me it is just beep beep and beep again to my hears when i can communicate instantly with people that lives at the furthest point on this globe from where i live. Keep it for fun ok but else that what i don't understand...

Jeffrey Herman a écrit :

> Follow-ups set for just .misc:
>
> RICHARD BEAUCHAMP <RBEAUCHAMP@SYMPATICO.CA> wrote:
>
> >I don't understand why they kept that morse code so long
>
> It's said to be the second-most used mode on HF, but at times, I
> count more Morse QSOs than SSB QSOs.
>
> >it's so old that i'd put that in the archaeology department
>
> It's no older than phone. As soon as a transmitter was invented
> that could emit continuous waves (CW) it was utilized for phone
> transmissions.
>
> Jeff

5601. AF2Y DR Hate"I am going over Hollingsworths to fcc about this!! on this one"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: plaintruth@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89f45h$p7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118278

This morning AF2Y , Dr Hate, Charlie Swartzbard was over heard telling Macon Ga Yard boy, W4JYZ, " I am going over Riley Hollingsworths head about this, he has joined the Wippersnapper group on 14313 and I am going to HIS boss at the FCC!! It looks like "CRAZY CHARLIE" has objections to a group of older amateurs using "HIS" frequency, 14313 for a meeting place. Lets see how far Chuckie gets with his whining, It seems he is upset because Riley Hollingsworth joined in the noble cause of the "Ol Whippersnappers". Sorry Charlie, you are not good enough for the "Ol Whippersnappers" Shut up and ride that monkey wheel!!

Plaintruth all the time

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5602. Re: ABOLISH MORSE CODE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89f5kc$q66$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118279

In article <89ei0grbv1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
> > I found the same thing here at local clubs. If you were a no-cod
> > tech, you were dirt. The Elitists hung around and bickered about
> > nothing.
>
> And you left the hobby because others couldn't satisfy you? The
service
> is not about others entertaining you, it's about the self-learning
> of: electronic theory, soldering, reading and understanding
schematics,
> collecting components, assembling radios, national and international
radio
> laws, ionospheric propagation and antenna theory, assembling antennas,
> and communicating world-wide by RF emissions. It's very specialized;
it
> was never an everyman's hobby.

For the most part the things you say that ham radio is about are
completely archaic. What about digital communications, spread-spectrum,
software? The only thing you mentioned that is applicable is today's
world is antenna theory and assembly - the rest of it is deadsville,
man. Also, you DON'T learn electronic theory in ham radio.

> That we have 670,000 licensees attempting
> to abide by our fundamental purpose (Title 47 CFR 97.1) is in itself
> amazing.

670,000 licensees huh? How many of those are Silent Keys?

> > The biggest thrill was a silly contest to see if you could contact
> > people in every state in union ....Duh...I must be missing something
> > there...
>
> We're operators first and foremost which means getting on the air
> and talking to others. One aspect of the hobby/service is to optimize
> your station in such a way so as to contact distance stations. When
> you stop and consider that one can work nationally or internationally
> with just a few watts of power is truly amazing; if you don't feel any
> thrill in that, then maybe the hobby is not for you. Also, each new
> contact you make is a new found friend.
>
> Are you still "...missing something there..."?

I don't think he was, but I think you are missing something - the
Internet.

> Jeff

Stewart,
N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5603. Re: AF2Y & "Brother" John.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rich7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <89ff1tev1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118280

In article <89e2bd$i3j$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>,
"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote:
> KC3AU is an interesting but provocative fellow. I met him once and we
hit it
> off just fine. His wife Rose is charming. When the Occhipintis lived
in
> Scranton much more often I heard OF him than actually heard him due
to
> proximity of our cities. After his relocation to Mableton Georgia, the
> reverse is true and he's LOUD, VERY LOUD.
> Basically John's a peace loving guy with two problems, at least in my
humble
> estimation. The first is that he IMPOSES and by that I don't mean OFF
ham
> radio. In other words, he gives you both barrels plus a howitzer plus
an
> Uzzi plus three grenades and a megaton warhead where a spitball would
> suffice. This is known as RELIGIOUS OVERKILL.
> Then comes this unrelenting anachronistic and redundant placement of
Jesus
> Christ on 14.313. I've never heard anything like it. I still can't
figure
> out how or why any biblical personage in anyone's faith belongs on ,or
> controls ham radio? Everything that transpires has to be, in John's
mind,
> related to Jesus. According to him any friction, tension, animosity,
> hostility, benevolence, impudence, friendship etc. must be in terms of
> John's brand of religious belief. In other words, nothing's in black
and
> white. It's all HEAVENLY or wherever since that's all speculative too.
> For any newcomer this may sound a bit confusing but the whole thing
is easy
> to understand once explained properly. I personally go back to
November 1989
> and it's about POWER. Herbie Schoenbohm,KV4FZ; Lloyd Montcalm,WA2EXQ;
Billy
> Gasell, WB4GDP; Bob Dillinger,KB4MXL; Mike Galego,KA4MUJ and "Queenie"
> Mort,K3QAM plus some underlings took it upon themselves to become an
> unwanted, unwarranted and annoying enforcement arm of the FCC called
the
> B.A.R.F. They objected to "phone patching" especially by the Intercon
Net
> and by using the excuse that Intercon was controlled by Jews for the
purpose

> of stealing money from phone companies, they proceeded to harass,
squeeze,
> annoy..you name it, the net as a whole plus the adherents of same. AND
> THAT'S IT IN A NUTSHELL. Their actions provoked reactions and the war
began
> and got out of control until Riley Hollingsworth came onto the scene
in
> January of '99. But.....some hostilities remain and always will.
> The past and present situation has prompted KC3AU to come on and help
ease
> the still tense situation by way of sermons, lectures, staying after
school
> sessions and worship of Jesus. Huh? So what's happened?
> His good intentions remain good but the reaction by those unwilling
to be
> lectured to, sermonized to, staying after school and not necessarily
> worshipping Jesus in the same way John does or not worshipping at
all is
> causing more problems and I don't think KC3AU recognizes it.
> Now let's say that things change even more for the better on .313 and
> hostilities begin to greatly ease and we have dancing around the
maypole and
> picnics and all those goodies. This means that people gather to
exchange
> news and views with others heretofore mutually unfriendly. Good, we
have
> accomplished something positive. THEN in comes KC3AU to turn .313
into a
> church and lo and behold -RIOTSVILLE. The road to trouble is paved
with good
> intentions, correct? So what's the solution? It's not difficult at
all.
> KC3AU has every right to be on any frequency he's licensed to inhabit
and
> can discuss anything gentlemanly which he most certainly does. But if
a man
> wants to speed, then get that car off the city streets and go to a
race
> track. And therein lies the solution.
> If John wants to ragchew as is the custom on ham radio, then .313 is
as good
> a spot as anyplace. But if he wants to SERMONIZE, PREACH, BANG BIBLES
and
> EXTOL THE VIRTUES OF HIS FAITH, then make such an announcement
on .313 to
> the flock and then get the flock out of there. The ones who adhere to
him
> and his brand of religion will vacate and then we should not hear the
> catcalls and insulting remarks. No longer will John be FORCING those
who

> resent hearing his theological rhetoric on .313 to listen. On a
frequency he
> chooses to attend with his followers, he can bang that bible until the
> covers fall off and everyone will be happy. Now how's that for
brainpower?
> AF2Y
>
> `>>>>>>>>>.Doc, I have a question for you, did you write "War and
Peace?<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

5604. Re: Return of OTK (CORRECT URL HERE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Poke-em with a stick <grindes3@ucriv.edu>
Message-ID: <g2Eu4.2637$t26.131849@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118281

CLICK HERE---> http://www.angelfire.com/ak/goodfellows/

5605. WTB: HYGAIN ROTOR IV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <ve6dkk@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <C8Eu4.103$BG5.3907@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 118282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,tnn.radio.amateur

Hi All:

I am looking to purchase a Hy-Gain Rotor Model IV. Please forward inquiries
to the following email address. I look forward to hear from you.

Thanks
Glenn
VE6DKK

ve6dkk@rac.ca
gjensen@agt.net

5606. Re: Why Does everyone Pick on Kevin Strom and Brother Stair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2000-02-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <1iEu4.48$J4.1886@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 118283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.shortwave DXMAN <lloydvfp@netzero_nospam.net> wrote:
> I want to know why everybody is up in arms over these 2 fine folks? In the
> early 90's I listened to Strom and Stair - kinda known as the two big "S"'s

Yep, they're a couple o' big asses, all right...

Ob rec.radio.shortwave: I've always heard that a random wire (which
people mistakenly call a "longwire") is omnidirectional -- equally
poor in all directions. What about a true longwire, that is, length
greater than 1 wavelength? I played around with 2,500 feet of wire
end fed this weekend and got surprisingly good (and bad) results.
How does a longwire compare to a resonant dipole on a given frequency?
Anyone do any antenna modelling out there?

Thanks,

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert